BEFORE THE NEW YORK STATE SENATE FINANCE AND ASSEMBLY WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEES

JOINT LEGISLATIVE HEARING

In the Matter of the 2016-2017 EXECUTIVE BUDGET ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS/ GENERAL GOVERNMENT

Hearing Room B Legislative Office Building Albany, New York

January 26, 2016 10:10 a.m.

PRESIDING:

Senator Catharine M. Young Chair, Senate Finance Committee

Assemblyman Herman D. Farrell, Jr. Chair, Assembly Ways & Means Committee

PRESENT:

Senator Liz Krueger Senate Finance Committee (RM)

Assemblyman Robert Oaks
Assembly Ways & Means Committee (RM)

Assemblyman Michael Benedetto Chair, Assembly Cities Committee

Senator Kathleen A. Marchione Chair, Senate Committee on Local Government

Assemblyman William Magnarelli Chair, Assembly Local Governments Committee

1	2016-2017 Executive Budget Local Government Officials/
2	General Government
3	1-26-16
4	PRESENT: (Continued)
5	Assemblyman Michael J. Cusick
6	Senator Andrew J. Lanza
7	Senator Tony Avella
8	Senator Diane Savino
9	Assemblyman David Weprin
10	Assemblywoman Catherine T. Nolan
11	Assemblyman Jeffrion L. Aubry
12	Senator Gustavo Rivera
13	Senator Martin Golden
14	Assemblyman James F. Brennan
15	Senator Adriano Espaillat
16	Assemblywoman Rebecca Seawright
17	Assemblywoman Latrice Walker
18	Senator Ruben Diaz
19	Assemblywoman Nily Rozic
20	Assemblyman Felix Ortiz
21	Senator Brad Hoylman
22	Assemblyman Luis Sepulveda
23	Senator Timothy Kennedy
24	Assemblywoman Rodneyse Bichotte

1	2016-2017 Executive Budget
2	Local Government Officials/ General Government
3	1-26-16
4	PRESENT: (Continued)
5	Senator Andrea Stewart-Cousins
6	Assemblyman Keith L. Wright
7	Assemblyman David F. Gantt
8	Senator Bill Perkins
9	Senator Daniel Squadron
10	Assemblyman Christopher S. Friend
11	Assemblyman John T. McDonald, III
12	Senator Roxanne J. Persaud
13	Assemblywoman Shelley Mayer
L 4	Assemblywoman Patricia Fahy
15	Assemblyman Joseph D. Morelle
16	Senator Jesse Hamilton
17	Assemblyman Steven Otis
18	Assemblyman William Colton
19	Assemblywoman Jo Anne Simon
20	Senator Phil Boyle
21	Assemblywoman Nicole Malliotakis
22	Assemblywoman Diana C. Richardson
23	Assemblyman Joseph S. Saladino
24	Assemblywoman Annette Robinson

1		Executive	_		
2					
3	1-26-16				
4	PRESENT:	(Continue	d)		
5		Senator Joh	nn A. DeFranci	.sco	
6		Assemblywon	nan Jane L. Co	rwin	
7		Assemblymar	n David Buchwa	ıld	
8		Assemblymar	n J. Gary Pret	low	
9		Assemblymar	n Matthew Tito	ne	
10		Assemblymar	n Charles Barr	on	
11		Assemblymar	n James Skoufi	.s	
12		Assemblymar	n Mark Gjonaj		
13		Assemblywon	nan Crystal D.	Peoples-S	Stokes
14					
15					
16		LIS	ST OF SPEAKERS	}	
17				STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
18		e Bill de Bl	lasio		
19	Mayor City of N	Jew York		9	40
20	Scott M.				
21	Comptroll City of N			284	299
22		e Byron W. E	Brown		
23	Mayor City of E	Buffalo		351	363
24					

1	2016-2017 Executive Budget		
2	Local Government Officials/ General Government		
3	1-26-16		
4	LIST OF SPEAKERS,	Cont.	
5	STAT	EMENT QUE	STIONS
6			
7	Honorable Kathy M. Sheehan Mayor		
8	City of Albany	394	408
9	Honorable Lovely A. Warren Mayor		
10	City of Rochester	437	443
11	Honorable Mike Spano Mayor		
12	City of Yonkers	463	473
13	Honorable Stephanie A. Miner Mayor		
14	City of Syracuse	493	502
15	Honorable Patrick Madden Mayor		
16	City of Troy	511	518
17	Stephen J. Acquario Executive Director		
18	New York State Association of Counties	525	539
19	Peter A. Baynes Executive Director		
20	NYS Conference of Mayors and Municipal Officials	554	564
21	Gerry Geist		
22	Executive Director Andrea Nilon, President		
23	Bill Moehle, Exec. Committee Association of Towns of		
24	the State of New York	574	

1	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Good morning.
2	Today we begin the third in a series of
3	hearings conducted by the joint fiscal
4	committees of the Legislature regarding the
5	Governor's proposed budget for the fiscal
6	year 2016-2017. The hearings are conducted
7	pursuant to Article 7, Section 3 of the
8	Constitution, and Article 2, Section 31 and
9	32A of the Legislative Law.
10	Today the Assembly Ways and Means
11	Committee and the Senate Finance Committee
12	will hear testimony concerning the budget
13	proposal for local government officials and
14	general government.
15	I will now introduce members from the
16	Assembly. Senator Young, chair of Senate
17	Finance, will introduce members from the
18	Senate.
19	We've been joined by Assemblyman
20	Weprin, Assemblywoman Bichotte, Assemblyman
21	Aubry, Assemblyman Cusick, Assemblywoman
22	Nolan, Assemblyman Colton, Assemblyman Otis,
23	Assemblyman McDonald, Assemblyman Wright,
24	Assemblywoman Richardson, Assemblywoman

1	Robinson, Assemblyman Brennan, Assemblyman
2	Magnarelli, Assemblyman Benedetto, and
3	Assemblyman Sepulveda.
4	Senator?
5	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, and good
6	morning. And I appreciate your comments,
7	Assemblyman.
8	I'd like to introduce our members from
9	the New York State Senate who have joined us
10	today, and it's great to see so many
11	colleagues interested in the topic area.
12	First I'd like to introduce we're
13	joined by Senator Liz Krueger, ranking member
14	on Senate Finance, and also joined by Andrew
15	Lanza, Kathy Marchione, Senator Marty Golden,
16	Senator Tony Avella, Senator Adriano
17	Espaillat, Senator Diane Savino. And did I
18	miss anyone? Oh, okay. Sorry. Senator
19	Ruben Diaz how could I forget about
20	Senator Ruben Diaz Senator Gustavo Rivera.
21	Oh, I'm sorry oh, he's hiding down there,
22	Senator Brad Hoylman.
23	So welcome. Thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: And I also have

1	with us Assemblyman Oaks.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: And we have
3	Assemblywomen Corwin and Malliotakis.
4	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Before we introduce
5	the first witness, I would like to remind all
6	of the witnesses testifying today to keep
7	your statement within your allotted time
8	limit so that everyone can afford the
9	opportunity to speak. Last night we finished
10	at 7:30.
11	I will now call our first witness.
12	City of New York, the Honorable Bill de
13	Blasio, mayor.
14	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you,
15	Mr. Chair. Chair Farrell, I want to thank
16	you, Chair Young, thank you as well, and the
17	ranking minority members, Senator Krueger,
18	Assemblyman Oaks, thank you so much for
19	giving me this opportunity to appear before
20	you.
21	I also want to thank our legislative
22	leaders, Assembly Speaker Heastie; Majority
23	Leader Flanagan; Senate Democratic Conference
24	Leader Stewart-Cousins; IDC Leader Jeff

1	Klein;	and Assembly Minority Leader Brian
2	Kolb.	Thanks to all, and to all of the
3	members	s of the Legislature here today.

I'm joined by two key members of my team well known to you, our director of OMB, Dean Fuleihan, and the city's director of state legislative affairs, Sherif Soliman.

I want to thank everyone here and all the members of the Legislature for your hard work and for your support for the people of New York City and all the work that we do in New York City together. We have accomplished a great deal over the last few years, and I'm very grateful for your support as we move forward on education, on affordable housing, and on a number of other issues.

We come here today looking forward to building on that partnership. I look forward to discussing the building of that spirit.

After two years, we're proud to say that

New York City is seeing real results from our disciplined fiscal stewardship, our strategic vision, and all that we have done to support the success and hard work of New Yorkers.

1	It's our task this year to deepen
2	those efforts and to extend that work through
3	a budget that is progressive, that is
4	fiscally responsible, and that is honest.
5	And we recognize at the same time that
6	despite some very good results and despite
7	our devotion to continued fiscal discipline,
8	New York City faces some major challenges
9	that did not exist the last time I was here
10	before you, and those include challenges
11	within our pension system, our public
12	hospital system, and in the proposed state
13	budget.
L 4	We're also watching with great
15	concern, and I know everyone here today is,
16	both the national and the global economic
17	landscape. And that landscape looks in many
18	ways increasingly uncertain, and could
19	significantly negatively affect our city and
20	state economy going forward.
21	We also know within that economic

We also know within that economic landscape is not only uncertainty, but unfortunately a continuing trend towards deepening inequality and a growing chasm

1	between	those	with	wealth	and	those	who	are
2	working	hard :	just 1	to get l	oy.			

I'd like to call your attention to an absolutely striking report that got worldwide attention released last week by Oxfam, which found that 1 percent of the globe's population have as much wealth as the other 99 percent, specifically also finding that the richest 62 people worldwide have as much wealth as the poorest half of the world's population, 3.5 billion people.

We feel this inequality reality deeply in New York City, where for every multi-million-dollar condo that hits the market, hundreds of hardworking families are struggling to afford their rent. And we simply cannot be the city we are meant to be unless we confront this challenge.

Our work in fiscal year 2017 will build on the investments we've made in the past two years, focused on our goal of creating One City, Rising Together. These investments include:

Nearly 2,000 new cops on the beat,

Ţ	between the addition of new officers to the
2	force and our civilianization effort to bring
3	officers from desk jobs to the beat. So
4	patrol strength will increase by nearly 2,000
5	officers by the end of this year, the highest
6	patrol strength we will have in New York City
7	since 2001;
8	We're funding our plan for 200,000
9	units of affordable housing, enough to house
10	a half-million people;
11	We're developing a pioneering,
12	comprehensive plan to address the mental
13	health needs of New Yorkers;
14	We're implementing our Equity and
15	Excellence agenda to lift up all of our
16	public schools, and launching an aggressive
17	new effort to tackle homelessness.
18	As we make these key investments, we
19	never lose sight of the vital importance of
20	fiscal responsibility. It's part of
21	everything we do. New Yorkers have seen just
22	how quickly and painfully the economy can
23	turn, wiping out government budgets, forcing
24	cuts to vital services, and even at times

necessitating tax hikes. That's something we
want to work hard to avoid. That's why when
we took office, my administration immediately
began the work of prudent budgeting so that
we can be prepared for potential economic
downturns.

We are, one, building substantial reserves into our budget, just as the state does; two, removing uncertainty in our budget by securing agreements with our workforce.

I'm proud to say just in the last 24 hours we've reached another important labor agreement; we now have agreements with 95 percent of the city workforce, compared to literally zero percent at this point two years ago.

And this is a good moment just to pause and say I'm so appreciative to all of our city workers for the extraordinary job they did over the last few days confronting what was the second largest snowstorm in New York City history, going back 150 years since those storms have been tracked. As I mentioned to some of our colleagues here, if

1	it had been .2 inches bigger, it would have
2	literally been the biggest snowfall in New
3	York City history. Our city workers, led by
4	our sanitation workers, but all our first
5	responders, all our departments, responded I
6	thought with great ability and energy and
7	helped get the city back and running.

I also want to thank the state. I worked closely with Governor Cuomo and his team during the course of the storm. I think the state and the MTA responded very, very well. I think a great team effort all around.

The third point I want to make in terms of fiscal prudence, we're making targeted investments. And this is because we believe fundamentally that we have to keep building our economy. I'm very proud of the fact that we have 4.2 million jobs now in New York City, the highest number of jobs that have ever been in New York City, in all our history. In the last two years we've added 213,000 jobs. That is the most ever gained in a two-year period in the history of the

1 city.

But we have to keep investing to
assure that economic growth, because that's
the only way we can ensure that it is
dependable despite what will obviously be
economic tough times up ahead. So we're
making targeted investments, of course, in
public safety, first and foremost, affordable
housing, education -- all of the things that
we know allow for the fiscal vitality of the
city and our ability to weather the storms.

I want to turn now to the proposed state budget unveiled last week. The budget presented us with some very positive news, which I'll discuss first, and some real uncertainties, which I'll also outline. The good news here is very good; I want to emphasize that. We are very pleased, especially by two of the policies the Governor proposed, the \$15 minimum wage and the paid family leave policy. We believe these would be profoundly important to the people of New York. We strongly agree with so many here today that no New Yorker should

have to choose between their family and their
paycheck, and that is why a paid leave policy
is so important.

In New York City we've taken every action we can to relieve our hardworking families of having to make these difficult choices. We've extended paid sick leave to half a million more New Yorkers, and paid parental leave to more than 20,000 city employees. And we look forward, with our labor union partners, to extending paid parental leave to more and more of the city workforce in the coming months.

And I'm heartened to see paid family leave in the state budget because it means healthier and more financially stable households, more effective workplaces, and a stronger and more just city and state. I particularly want to acknowledge and thank the champions and prime sponsors of paid family leave in the Legislature:

Assemblymember Cathy Nolan, thank you;
Senators Jeff Klein and Joe Addabbo, thank you; and to so many of the cosponsors here,

thank you for focusing on this crucial issue.

Now, I've said before when it comes to the wage issue, nothing does more to lift up working families and move our economy forward than raising wages. I've been a proud participant in the Fight for \$15 for many years. And I can safely say that if we're going to fundamentally change the trajectory of families and lift people out of poverty, one of the things we're focused on in the city, our goal and our One NYC plan of lifting 800,000 New Yorkers out of poverty over the next 10 years, that hinges, first and foremost, on the \$15 minimum wage.

Now, we are acting on our own to reach our own city employees and human services contracted workers. We're investing \$115 million in our budget to extend the \$15 minimum wage to 50,000 workers -- again, city employees and contracted workers alike. Fifty thousand more people will get to that \$15 minimum wage, and so many thousands of their family members will benefit.

We look forward to the passage and

1	signing of a state law that will change the
2	lives of an estimated 1 million workers in
3	our city by guaranteeing \$15 an hour to every
4	New Yorker.

Another very positive aspect of the Governor's proposed budget is the commitment to build 20,000 units of supportive housing.

And this will complement perfectly the city's announcement in November of our intentions to secure 15,000 units over the next 15 years.

These two actions together will have a profound impact on addressing homelessness and mental health issues and will fundamentally change the reality for the better in our city.

Supportive housing is a proven method of bringing safety, stability and a renewed sense of hope to some of our most vulnerable neighbors. These are people dealing with seemingly intractable problems, but that means they need much more than a roof over their heads. They need specific services, like substance abuse programs, to help them address underlying problems and ultimately to

1	build	healthier	and	happier	lives
---	-------	-----------	-----	---------	-------

I want to thank Senator Marty Golden and Assemblyman Andrew Hevesi for galvanizing the support for this critical progress. I really appreciate deeply your efforts.

Let me also mention two additional positives in the budget that would make

New York a fairer and more just state.

First, we're very gratified to see continued support for the DREAM Act. Passage of the DREAM Act is a simple statement of morality and compassion. I must acknowledge the bill's prime sponsors, who are leading the charge here for fairness: Assemblyman Francisco Moya and Senator José Peralta. We thank them.

Second, another important and meaningful step towards justice in our city and our state would be raising the age. And we supported Raise the Age last year, and we support it again this year. In New York City, we've already reduced unnecessary arrests and incarcerations. We've ended punitive solitary confinement for 16- and

1	17-year-olds. And we've applied resources to
2	help young people out of the criminal justice
3	system and onto the right path in life.
4	New York State should not be one of
5	just two states in this country that
6	prosecute 16- and 17-year-old kids as adults.
7	I'd like to particularly thank Speaker
8	Heastie for his leadership on this issue, as
9	well as the members of the Assembly
10	Democratic Conference.
11	Now, those are a number of very good
12	things in this budget for which we are
13	profoundly grateful, but it is also my
14	obligation to talk about some of the
15	challenges in this budget that we think could
16	have a negative impact.
17	The state initially proposed budget
18	cuts that would have cost the City of
19	New York nearly \$1 billion in fiscal 2017,
20	with the costs growing in each succeeding
21	year. These proposed cuts included
22	\$485 million tied to CUNY in fiscal 2017, and
23	having the city take on \$209 million in state

Medicaid payments in 2017, a figure which

alone would grow close to \$1 billion per year
by fiscal 2021.

Later, the Governor clarified that the CUNY and Medicaid proposals would be achieved through reforms and efficiencies done in a collaborative process, and ultimately would not cost the city a penny. I very much appreciate the Governor's pledge and I've made clear, on behalf of my constituents, that I will hold him to it.

We look forward to working with the Governor and the Legislature to find reforms and efficiencies that are workable for the long run, and we also will work energetically to make certain that these cuts do not appear in the final budget in April.

The Governor has also proposed to take the city's sales tax revenue for three years in order to offset refinancing savings on debt the state agreed to assume. There is no justification for this action, which would do a real disservice to city taxpayers, costing them \$600 million over three years, starting in the current fiscal year.

1	I want to note that these cuts are
2	particularly onerous because New York City is
3	the only municipality in New York State that
4	doesn't receive direct municipal aid. Our
5	entire allocation of \$302 million a year was
6	cut beginning in 2010.

Now I would like to outline some of
the ways the proposed state budget affects
New Yorkers' lives across four important
areas: housing, homelessness, education, and
transportation. I'll begin with housing,
which is certainly the number-one issue that
I hear about from my constituents all over
the five boroughs.

New Yorkers from all walks of life are tremendously concerned about the cost of housing and about whether they're going to be able to continue to be able to afford our city. Their concerns are justified, because the city is clearly confronting a crisis when it comes to affordable housing. And to contend with this crisis, we've created and launched the most ambitious municipal affordable housing program in the nation's

history: building or preserving 200,000
affordable units over 10 years.

Now, we certainly commend the Governor for the inclusion of an affordable housing plan in the state budget, and we'll be working to make sure the city receives a fair and proportionate share of the funding under that affordable housing initiative. When the Governor releases the details of his housing plan, they should include a continued commitment of federal tax credits and tax-exempt private activity bonds at levels that are at least commensurate with those that have been historically received by the city.

We also have serious concerns about two important procedural changes the Governor has proposed to the rules for the allocation of tax-exempt private activity bonds. This bond cap allocation is a vital resource for the city. Over the last two years, the city has financed more than 16,700 affordable apartments across all five boroughs using our bond cap allocations. These projects have

1	ranged from a 60-unit building in Upper
2	Manhattan serving formerly homeless families
3	and youths aging out of foster care, to a
4	256-unit mixed-income development in the
5	Tremont neighborhood of the Bronx.

The state's proposal would add two layers of bureaucracy to the process of receiving and using these allocations. Two more layers of bureaucracy would be very costly to the people of New York City. The first layer is a required approval by the Empire State Development Corporation for New York City to be able to use the bond cap for housing. The second layer is a different required approval by the Public Authorities Control Board for each individual project that the city seeks to build with these dollars.

Last year, the city's Housing

Development Corporation efficiently used all

of its state bond cap allocation to fund its

projects. And HDC couldn't even get started

on almost 1,200 affordable apartments across

the five boroughs simply because it did not

1 receive enough in bond cap allocations from 2 the state.

Imagine the situation if these additional regulatory obstacles were to go into effect. They would produce uncertainty in financing and unnecessarily add difficulty and delay to the process of building the affordable housing struggling New Yorkers need.

Another essential component of affordable housing in the five boroughs is the New York City Housing Authority, or NYCHA, which is home to 400,000-plus New Yorkers. Today, we need NYCHA more than ever, but the authority has suffered decades of neglect and disinvestment, resulting in a dangerous financial situation and an unacceptable quality of life for too many of its residents.

Last year we unveiled NextGeneration

NYCHA, our plan to get NYCHA back on solid

fiscal ground and to reinvent itself for the

21st century. As part of that process, we

are honoring our commitment to add

1	\$100 million in capital funding each year for
2	the next three years. We ask the state to
3	fully come through on its commitment of \$100
4	million in capital funding for the current
5	fiscal year and another \$100 million in
6	capital funding for each of the next two
7	fiscal years. That's how to do right by
8	NYCHA's hundreds of thousands of residents.
9	Now I want to turn to homelessness,
10	which is a deep and persistent challenge that

Now I want to turn to homelessness, which is a deep and persistent challenge that the city has been struggling with for decades. Today we're using every tool, committing every resource, and summoning every ally to confront that challenge. To maximize our efforts, the City of New York needs a serious, consistent, lasting partnership with the state government, and added funds for prevention and rental assistance programs. Our work begins with prevention -- keeping families off the street in the first place, keeping families in their apartments and not in shelter.

To that end, we ask that the state modify the criteria it uses to determine the

1	Family Eviction Prevention Supplement, or
2	FEPS. FEPS can be a life-changer for
3	families who are facing the immediate threat
4	of being thrown out of their homes. But today
5	the levels of financial support under FEPS
6	are simply too low to be as effective as they
7	could be. We urge you to raise the state
8	level of assistance to what the U.S.
9	Department of Housing and Urban Development
10	has determined is appropriate for the New
11	York City rental market. That would increase
12	rental assistance by 50 percent, giving the
13	typical family approximately \$1,500 a month.
14	That's fundamental in a city where an average
15	one-bedroom apartment now rents for \$3,100 a
16	month.
17	In addition, we must make FEPS
18	available to victims of domestic violence,

In addition, we must make FEPS available to victims of domestic violence, who often are effectively evicted from their homes when they flee to safety. Let's think about that for a moment. Often a woman doing what she must do to protect herself and her children, fleeing the only home she has for someplace safe -- we need to make sure that

1	these victims have support to get into other
2	forms of available housing and not end up in
3	shelter. And that's what we can do if we
4	increase this FEPS allotment and make it
5	available to those who have already suffered
6	so much because of domestic violence.
7	If we raised the FEPS levels as I
8	described and made it available to victims of
9	domestic violence, it would cost
10	\$63.5 million this year, of which
11	\$19.7 million is city funding and
12	\$35.6 million is federal funding. We urge
13	the state to contribute its portion of this
14	funding, estimated to be \$8.3 million. I
15	would also ask you to revisit the underlying
16	shelter allowance, which FEPS is added on top
17	of and which hasn't been increased since
18	2003.
19	Another aspect of the city's
20	homelessness challenge has to do with people
21	struggling with health issues like AIDS. As
22	part of the city's plan to end the epidemic
23	of AIDS, we have committed to extending
24	HIV/AIDS Services Administration benefits, or

1	HASA, to people who have the HIV virus but
2	are not showing symptoms. We've budgeted \$41
3	million for the city's share, when fully
4	phased in, to extend HASA. We believe that
5	New Yorkers living with HIV or AIDS should
6	not have to choose between medicine and rent,
7	or medicine and food.

We understand the state has made a multiyear commitment to ending the AIDS epidemic -- and we commend the Governor for that -- and we look forward to seeing the details of their plan.

At this point, I want to turn to several education issues. We all remember what the situation was in New York City before mayoral control of education: the school system was fragmented and inefficient, and there was much too much corruption. The city did not have the authority it needed to ensure that schools were functioning properly and to bring about needed reforms.

The Legislature recognized the benefits of extended periods of mayoral control, both in 2002 and again in 2009. And

1	over time, we've all seen that mayoral
2	control works. Look at our administration's
3	implementation of universal pre-K. And thank
4	you again to all the members here for your
5	support of that initiative which made it
6	possible. Within a matter of months, we
7	marshaled multiple agencies and the necessary
8	funds to launch hundreds of programs across
9	the five boroughs. Today, two years after we
10	started, more than 68,500 of our 4-year-olds
11	are enrolled in full-day pre-K and are on the
12	path to lifelong learning. Again, thank you
13	to the Legislature, thank you to the Governor
14	for that support. But we would never have
15	been able to implement such a crucial program
16	in two years' time if it were not for mayoral
17	control of education.
18	I'd also like to draw your attention

I'd also like to draw your attention to the fact that for the first time ever, New York City's high school graduation rate is now over 70 percent, while our dropout rates have hit an all-time low of 9 percent.

Successes like these occur when there's bipartisan consensus on mayoral control, and

1	that is why educators, business leaders, and
2	civic leaders alike want it renewed. It
3	would build predictability into the system,
4	which is important for the deep, long-range
5	change that is needed for our schools.
6	As you know, I believe fundamentally,
7	as a matter of philosophy, that mayoral
8	control should be made permanent. I don't
9	believe there is another system that works.
10	Short of that, it should be renewed for seven
11	years, the same amount of time as its
12	original authorization.
13	And to return for just a moment to
14	pre-K, the success of pre-K should also be
15	evidence enough that we don't need to change
16	how we administer it by creating a pre-K
17	grant board that would supplant the State
18	Education Department. We were able to
19	achieve this huge progress on pre-K under the
20	existing system. We think it works and
21	should be continued.
22	Now, our schools certainly need
23	additional aid and we are in favor, of

course, of funding all schools fairly. Which

1	is why we must get to a proposal that
2	actually supports all of our schools in an
3	equitable way. It is imperative that the
4	state add more money for Foundation Aid so
5	the state can close its \$2 billion shortfall
6	for New York City pursuant to the Campaign
7	for Fiscal Equity decision by the Court of
8	Appeals.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

We're pleased there's support for Community Schools in the budget. The students of New York City's 130 Community Schools deserve a proportionate and fair share of those state funds. The Community Schools in New York City include all of our 94 Renewal Schools -- the city's lowest-performing schools, which have historically suffered from both a lack of attention and resources. We are investing \$187 million a year in their turnaround. We've moved ineffective teachers and principals out over the past year and moved talented and hardworking educators in as part of the changes needed in our Renewal Schools. And support for that initiative and for the

1	Community	Schools	is	crucial	to	our	continued
2	success.						

Now, we hold a basic belief that every single child in our city and every child in our state is equally important, and we certainly can't support initiatives that take from one group of children to give to another group. So we're concerned about the Governor's proposal to raise the per-pupil tuition rate for charter schools, which would cost New York City and only New York City \$30.3 million in fiscal 2017 alone. No other municipality in the state is being asked to shoulder this particular cost.

If the state wants to provide additional aid for charter school tuition, we would certainly welcome it. But the state should not take away from other urgent needs to fund it.

Finally, I'd like to talk to you about critical infrastructure and transportation issues. Breaking with decades of tradition,

New York City made a contribution of \$2.5

billion in capital funds last year to allow

1	the MTA to invest in a more reliable,
2	effective and safe mass transportation system
3	for our future. We request the approval of a
4	fully funded capital plan by the Capital
5	Program Review Board, and adding it to the
6	adoption of the state budget to solidify
7	financial support for MTA capital
8	investments. This will help grow the economy
9	of our entire region and the state as a
10	whole.

We're also pleased to see that the

State Budget has committed \$22 billion to

roads and bridges. Take just two examples of

why these funds are so necessary: The

Brooklyn Queens Expressway Triple Cantilever

Bridge and the Belt Parkway Bridge are facing

shortfalls of \$646 million and \$297 million

respectively. That's almost \$1 billion in

total just for those two projects. Together,

these shortfalls represent 38 percent of the

two projects' costs, the historical state

share that has gone unfunded in recent years.

We obviously look forward to fair and

proportional funding as part of these new

1 resources.

2	Before I conclude, I want to raise two
3	new fiscal challenges that New York City is
4	confronting ourselves this year. And again,
5	these are two challenges that we did not face
6	when I sat before you just a year ago. As
7	part of a regular review process, the city
8	actuary is adjusting life expectancy
9	assumptions for our retirees, and this will
10	increase the city's pension costs by \$600
11	million annually. I should note that that
12	charge was incurred starting in the current
13	fiscal year so there's \$600 million in
14	this fiscal of the budget that we did not
15	anticipate and there was no way to anticipate
16	at the beginning of the building process for
17	this fiscal year \$600 million for next
18	fiscal year, 2017, and every fiscal year
19	thereafter added to the budget.
20	In addition, our public hospital
21	system is facing new challenges. New York
22	City Health and Hospitals is the largest
23	municipal hospital system in the nation. In
24	2014, its 11 hospitals, 70-plus

1	community-based clinics, and other facilities
2	were visited nearly 5 million times. Almost
3	1 in 6 New Yorkers was treated.

But today, the patients who go to

Health and Hospitals are mostly covered by

Medicaid or are entirely uninsured. At the

same time, the federal government is cutting

reimbursements for the care of these

uninsured patients, and Medicaid doesn't

cover the costs of care even for those who

have Medicaid, leaving Health and Hospitals

to pick up millions of dollars in costs.

This is forcing Health and Hospitals to transform how they do business, because this current operating model is no longer fiscally sustainable, given the changes that have occurred in federal and state rules and laws and the changing healthcare economy.

We're working to reform this system immediately, and as a first step I have provided \$337 million in immediate relief for Health and Hospitals for this fiscal year that we are in now.

Within the next three weeks, the city

will be coming to you to identify areas where
the state can provide assistance and help us
address this growing problem.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

To conclude, as the Governor rightly said in his State of the State speech, "We are upstate and we are downstate, but we are one state." I couldn't agree more. We are ALL New York, and we are all New Yorkers. It is my privilege and honor to represent 43 percent of the citizens of this wonderful state, 8.5 million people -- by the way, our highest population ever in the City of New York, and moving rapidly towards 9 million people in the coming years -- 8.5 million people who are hardworking, who are innovative, who are creative, who represent every corner of the earth but come together to make our city and state great. The overwhelming majority of them are doing everything right. They're raising their families, they're paying their fair share in taxes, they're getting up each day, and they're working hard. The choices that you will make in this legislative session will

1	have a great effect on their lives.
2	Thank you for inviting me to speak
3	with you today. And I look forward to
4	working with you, and my entire
5	administration looks forward to working with
6	you, in the days ahead on a budget that will
7	meet the needs of every New Yorker, wherever
8	they may live.
9	Thank you very much.
10	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you,
11	Mr. Mayor.
12	First to question will be
13	Mr. Benedetto. But before that, I would like
14	to introduce with us Assemblyman Ortiz,
15	Assemblywoman Rozic, Assemblywoman Seawright,
16	Assemblywoman Simon, and Assemblywoman
17	Walker.
18	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Also, Assemblyman,
19	we have other Senators who have joined us who
20	Senator Liz Krueger will introduce.
21	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. We are
22	joined by Senator Dan Squadron, Senator
23	Roxanne Persuad, Senator Bill Perkins, and
24	Senator Tim Kennedy.

Τ	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: Thank you,
3	Mr. Farrell.
4	And Mr. Mayor, welcome. We always
5	like to see you here up in Albany and
6	appreciate you taking the time to come up,
7	especially when there's so much going on in
8	the city. And my congratulations to all that
9	you did, and I know there are still some
10	problems out there. But for the size of that
11	snow, you guys did a tremendous job. And the
12	city workers in New York, they're the best,
13	they really are.
14	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Amen. Thank you.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: Listen, you
16	have identified over the years housing,
17	affordable housing, as a major priority.
18	Where do we stand on that? How many units to
19	date have we produced?
20	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Assemblyman, we're
21	very proud to say our plan, because it's
22	200,000 units preserved or built over 10
23	years, basically the average we hope for each
24	year is 20,000 per year. We now have

1	41,000-plus units that have either been
2	already preserved or built, or at least the
3	financing has been secured formally and those
4	are under contract. So 41,000 units now
5	having been achieved over the first two
6	years.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: We had the
8	expiration of the 421a, okay. Any views on
9	how that is going to affect your housing
10	plan?
11	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Well, first I want
12	to say we believe fundamentally that 421a
13	needed change. It had to be a program that
14	was more fair to the taxpayers, it had to be
15	a program that did not focus so many
16	resources on luxury housing. In fact the
17	reason for 421a, in my view, now has to be
18	the creation of affordable housing on a much
19	greater level. So it was profoundly
20	important to get a different approach.
21	Obviously I'm very disappointed that a
22	plan was not agreed upon in recent days. I
23	think we have to get to work, all of us
24	together, in finding a way to move forward

1	quickly that recognizes these key criteria.
2	Whatever is done going forward must do more
3	to support the creation of affordable
4	housing, must be more fair to the taxpayers,
5	and should not reward luxury housing in the
6	way the previous plan did.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: Thank you.
8	Design/build has been used for several
9	projects throughout the State of New York
10	the Tappan Zee Bridge, I know the MTA has
11	been using it for their projects in Penn
12	Station and Javits Center. I've been
13	speaking over the past year and a half or so
14	with Commissioner Trottenberg, who is an
15	ardent advocate of the design/build in some
16	of the projects that the Department of
17	Transportation is producing.
18	Where are we standing with that, and
19	how are we how are we going to come to an
20	agreement with maybe some of the union sector
21	here to make them, you know, jump on board
22	with this?
23	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Well, Assemblyman,

first of all, I appreciate your focus on it.

1	It's not what we'd call a sexy issue, but it
2	is an issue that really matters in terms of
3	what we do every day in the city. You're
4	right that Commissioner Trottenberg is an
5	ardent advocate for this improvement. She is
6	matched in that ardent feeling by Sherif
7	Soliman, so I'm going to ask him to speak to
8	the specifics of this issue.

DIRECTOR SOLIMAN: Sure. Thank you for your leadership on that issue, of course; we've worked closely on that. We would like to have that procurement method extended to New York City, as you know.

The mayor mentioned two major projects in New York City that could really benefit from design/build: We have the BQE project, and we have the Belt Parkway, just to give two examples.

In the city we've done great work to get the building trades on board and also to get DC-37 on board. So we have labor support in New York City. We look forward to working with you and your colleagues to have it adopted this year.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: It would be
2	quite helpful if we would get some memos of
3	support from the unions you mention. I have
4	never seen them. I would like to see them
5	and to show my colleagues that we do have
6	union support for this; right now many of
7	them are doubtful. And I really would be
8	remiss if I didn't mention my colleague
9	Robert Rodriguez, who's very interested in
10	this and chairs our subcommittee on
11	infrastructure. Okay? So we can join in and
12	maybe move ahead on this.
13	DIRECTOR SOLIMAN: Be happy to get you
14	those.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: Good. Thank
16	you very much. Thank you, Mr. Mayor.
17	Mr. Chairman.
18	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
20	Senator?
21	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you very
22	much.
23	And I'd like to welcome the mayor to
24	Albany. It's good to see you. And I do have

1	а	series	of	information	and	questions	for
2	ус	ou.					

So you went through your testimony and I listened to it very carefully. And you did point out there's some good things in the budget that you agreed with, but you also brought in a litany of complaints — things like Medicaid, CUNY, charter schools, transportation, capital, and the list goes on. And basically what you're saying is that you're demanding more money from the state's taxpayers, that you want more money.

This year's budget proposal is a \$322 million net positive for New York City, including \$364 million in growth for school aid, and other investments also being made under this proposal, including in the areas of housing -- which you know I have particular interest in -- homeless programs, economic development and transportation.

So I'd like to go through some of the areas that you covered in your testimony, and I'd like to start with Medicaid. The state actually spends, total, \$18.5 billion on the

1 Medicaid program, and almost \$12 billion of 2 those funds go to New York City. We, as the 3 Legislature, and the Governor have capped the growth of Medicaid to help local governments 4 5 around the state, and they have to live within the constraints of the property tax 6 7 cap, but the property tax cap does not apply to New York City. That's correct, right? 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So this year New York City is collecting \$3.5 billion more in property taxes than if it had the 2 percent property tax cap. So the mandate relief is going, I believe, in the wrong place, and the city clearly has the resources to pay a share of Medicaid annual growth. And here are the numbers over the long term. Medicaid is expected to grow about 4.5 percent. We take actions to control costs and get the growth down to within our own Medicaid spending cap -- this year it's about 3.5 percent. And I think what the budget is asking is for the city to share in a little bit of the growth, but still not as much as what we pay in our counties around the state. The state is

1	capping New York City's growth at 2 percent,
2	and the state will continue to pay any growth
3	above 2 percent. And, by the way, not
4	coincidentally, 2 percent is the limit of the
5	property tax cap.

So we're living under the constraint of the property tax cap upstate. You don't have that constraint. As a result, some of the poorest counties would be subsidizing people on Medicaid in New York City. And we have very poor counties upstate. So that's just wrong.

So even after these proposals, the budget will still provide \$631 million in mandate relief from the Medicaid program to New York City, and that, I may point out, is still more than last year. So I assume that you would prefer this year's budget over last year's budget because of \$631 million in mandate relief.

MAYOR DE BLASIO: Well, first of all, Chair, I want to thank you for that. We've obviously been in a close working partnership with you on housing issues. I know it is

1	your	passion,	and	want	to	thank	you	for	the
2	work	we've do	ne t	oaethe	er.				

3 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MAYOR DE BLASIO: On the questions you're raising now, I think it's important to start by saying I've had perhaps a different experience than some people who have held this particular role, in that I spent a part of my career working on the concerns of upstate as well, and different parts of the state, when I was the HUD regional director. And all of New York State was part of the area that I worked on during the Clinton administration, so I spent a lot of time in big cities and smaller cities and towns all over this state. And I absolutely understand that many parts of our state are struggling economically, just like the other 46 percent of New York City residents who are at or near the poverty level. So we have challenges all around.

I would argue that it would be very good, in all of our discussion about this state, of course to think like one state, to

1	think about the needs of every type of New
2	Yorker, and what we can do to maximize
3	economic growth for all of us, for the good
4	of all. I do think it's fair to say that New
5	York City right now is providing a very
6	positive impact on the rest of the state,
7	economically and in terms of revenue. We're
8	proud of that fact. What I'm trying to do in
9	my budget is keep that going for all of us,
10	to keep building our economy for the good of
11	all residents of New York State.
12	To do that, we have to have fiscal
13	stability. The investments we're making
14	in more police, for example, in improving our
15	schools, and in keeping the housing
16	affordable is also about our economic
17	viability for the long haul.
18	So I do think we're all interrelated
19	deeply, and our ability to succeed affects
20	everyone else and everyone else's reality
21	affects us.
22	Now, what I would argue here is
23	because of what's happened in recent decades,
24	we all know that if there is a downturn, God

1	forbid but we all know enough about
2	economics to say it's not a question of if,
3	it's a question of when in the context of
4	any downturn, there will be a very severe
5	impact on our budgetary impact because it
6	will start above us at the federal and state
7	level. In a downturn, your revenues will be
8	reduced, federal revenues will be reduced,
9	and of course there will be less for New York
10	City, and then our own revenues go down.
11	The reason I say this: In these

The reason I say this: In these scenarios there is no safety net for us, there is no ability to turn to you and say, Can you help us out of a jam? We'll know that you're not in a position to do that. So we have to have reserves, and we have to have fiscal stability. What I mentioned to you just between the change from our actuary and the immediate cost that we have to pay directly to address the problem of Health and Hospitals, that's a billion dollars more in the current fiscal year's budget that was absolutely unforeseen a year ago.

24 So I would argue to you that fairness

from our point of view is to continue the state taking on responsibility as it has vis-a-vis Medicaid, to help us ensure our fiscal stability, for the good of all, but also with the recognition that in the event that there is major financial challenge ahead, that we are in a position to handle it.

CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, Mayor, for that answer. But as I pointed out, there's still \$631 million in mandate relief savings under Medicaid for New York City, and that's more than last year.

I'd like to switch to CUNY, because as we know, CUNY stands for the City University of New York. And 71 percent of the students who go to CUNY are from the city, but the entire bill for the CUNY system is picked up by the taxpayers of New York State. That was a temporary arrangement and dates back to a time when New York City was in fiscal crisis and they could not afford CUNY, the state stepped in and saved the CUNY system. Now the city has the resources -- and we'll go

1	over that in a few minutes, about what your
2	surplus is to resume the support of CUNY,
3	and the real question is at what level.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So under the current structure, the city appoints 30 percent of the CUNY Board of Trustees, and under the budget, 30 percent of the cost, we believe, would be reasonable. So even though 70 percent of the CUNY students are from the city, state taxpayers will continue to pay for 70 percent of the operating costs. And in addition, the state expects to spend about \$2.5 billion over the next five years on CUNY capital projects, which I think is very good. CUNY's capital program represents about 20 percent of all New York City construction today, so the state investment is huge for the New York City economy. So, you know, under the CUNY part of the proposal, you still are making out.

Last year the city had such a big surplus that you prepaid \$3.6 billion of this year's expenses and puts billions in reserves. And you just talked about why you

1	want to do that. Each year the city
2	recognizes billions in additional revenue
3	over its four-year financial plan that you
4	did not include when the budget was first
5	adopted. And last year, that number was \$11
6	billion. Right now the city's fiscal
7	watchdogs are predicting upwards of
8	\$2.5 billion in additional revenues for the
9	city's upcoming budget.
10	So you talked about the need to be
11	fiscally prudent and squirrel away money, but
12	the question is you have this enormous
13	surplus. And do you have a PEG program in
14	place, which is a Program to Eliminate the
15	Gap, where New York City agencies look for
16	savings, look for cuts? Do you have that in
17	place?
18	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Okay. Let me speak
19	to you you raised several points. If I
20	may, I'll try and speak to each of them
21	quickly.
22	First of all, we do contribute
23	substantially to CUNY, and we have. And I
24	want, in a moment, Dean Fuleihan to outline

1 that to you. Second, we believe that our 2 contribution is consistent with what is our 3 obligation in terms of the history. And certainly it compares favorably to what's 5 being done in other parts of the state.

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The fact is that we know -- and I'll use a fact from the last economic downturn. When the Great Recession hit, the combined impact of lost federal and state aid and the cuts that were necessary in New York City and the tax increases that were necessary in New York City, totaled about \$12 billion over two years. Against that backdrop, we have laid in very heavy reserves -- again, knowing, with absolute respect, that neither the state nor federal government will be in a position to come to our aid in that kind of scenario.

I think it's a fair statement to say that New York City's ability to continue being an economic engine is of paramount strategic importance to the whole state, so we must maintain that stability. I don't think anyone here wants to see New York City slip backwards economically. And that's why

1	we are so focused on these reserves.
2	Dean Fuleihan will give you a little
3	more of our view of why we think what we're
4	already contributing to CUNY is both
5	substantial and fair.
6	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: So the takeover by
7	the state of CUNY was actually a permanent
8	takeover. It was similar to the senior
9	college operations of the State University.
10	The community colleges, we do contribute a
11	third of everything that goes into the
12	operations, and half of the capital budget of
13	the community colleges, which is actually the
14	exact same model that is used throughout the
15	state.
16	As a matter of fact, we are the only
17	municipality that actually doesn't have a
18	majority of the Board of Trustees of their
19	local community college. We have a third
20	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So that's community
21	colleges versus the CUNY system; right?
22	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: That's correct.

The community college is the model that's

used throughout the state. It is the model

23

1	that was adopted during the fiscal crisis in
2	1976, when the CUNY senior colleges were
3	taken over, and the Board of Trustees also,
4	the
5	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Right.
6	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: of the Board of
7	Trustees also
8	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: And as you point
9	out, that's the same system that's across the
10	entire state for community colleges.
11	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: also controlled
12	the community colleges, which does not exist
13	in your county, where the majority is
14	actually of the local part, even though they
15	also pay the one-third part.
16	I would just also like to go back
17	quickly on the Medicaid part, just worth
18	noting one more additional thing that the
19	mayor pointed out, to emphasize that we
20	actually have no between the 2005 reform
21	on Medicaid and the 2012 action on Medicaid,
22	the state has completely taken over the
23	entire administration and rate setting of
24	Medicaid, including, including additional

1	powers to actually set and control the very
2	percentages that you are talking about.
3	So we have no role in this, other than
4	the \$5 billion to \$6 billion that you're
5	talking about that we contribute in.
6	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: But you're still
7	getting substantial savings under this budget
8	in mandate relief, and you don't have the
9	constraints of the 2 percent property tax cap
10	that all the other counties in the state have
11	to live under; correct?
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: We pay income
13	tax too, though.
14	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Right.
15	So STAR-C MAC bonds, the state is
16	committed to paying a hundred percent of the
17	debt service related to the STAR-C bonds, and
18	that's going forward. And when the bonds
19	were refinanced, there were savings. And
20	also I want to point out state taxpayers will
21	pay 100 percent of the \$4.8 billion for the
22	city's MAC bonds. And state taxpayers
23	deserve every dollar of the savings, that

should be obvious. It's like if your uncle

1	pays the mortgage on your house, when you
2	refinance your house, who deserves the
3	savings?

I do want to go back, though, because we talked about you have nearly \$5 billion in reserves, including \$3.4 billion in retiree health benefits trust, \$1 billion annually in your general reserve, which is an historically high level, \$500 million new capital stabilization reserve. You're benefiting from not having the property tax cap, you have upwards of \$2.5 billion in potential additional revenues for the city's upcoming budget, so you're in a very, very good spot.

But I did want to point out with your spending, because you are outpacing the state's fiscal restraint. As you know, we are under a voluntary 2 percent spending cap through the state budget. However, the city's expenditures at an average annual rate of more than 6 percent. And from 2011-2016, city-funded expenditures, adjusted for surplus transfers, have grown at an average

1	annual rate of 6.33 percent, as a matter of
2	fact and you compare that to the state
3	spending of less than 2 percent.
4	So you're in a great spot financially
5	because you have all these surplus dollars,
6	you're increasing spending over 6 percent.
7	And again, I wanted to ask because I asked
8	it previously, I'm not sure I got the answer
9	to it but are you looking at your
10	spending? Are you doing anything with the
11	Program to Eliminate the Gap, called the PEG
12	program, with your city agencies to try to
13	restrain some of this spending and find some
14	savings for the taxpayer of New York City?
15	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Okay, Senator, let
16	me go over several points that you raise, if
17	I may.
18	First, on that point Mr. Fuleihan
19	can outline the details we had over a
20	billion dollars of savings that we identified
21	in our preliminary budget proposal. We also

have said very clearly we are looking

seriously at a specific PEG strategy for the

executive budget proposal in May. But it's

22

23

1	not a minor matter that we've found a
2	billion dollars in savings already.
3	Second, some of the growth a
4	substantial amount of the growth in the
5	budget is related to Hurricane Sandy matters,
6	meaning we are passing through federal
7	dollars from FEMA, from HUD, for Hurricane
8	Sandy relief efforts. That is a temporary
9	condition. When those federal dollars drop
10	off, we anticipate that our budget will
11	actually contract.
12	We also, as you heard earlier, have
13	brand-new obligations that came on very
14	suddenly that we, by law, must abide by,
15	obviously, in the case of the city actuary
16	adding a \$600 million charge for this year
17	and every year thereafter. We have an
18	immediate challenge related to Health and
19	Hospitals.
20	So that budgetary growth is in large
21	measure because of federal funds that are
22	short term and because of new obligations
23	that we have no choice but to meet.

On the other points you made, on the

1	way our tax system is oriented in the city,
2	obviously one of the few places in the state
3	with a personal income tax at the city level
4	I would say my colleagues here from the city
5	would agree that our property tax rate plus
6	our city income tax is certainly substantial
7	for our taxpayers to have to handle.
8	Finally, on the question of the
9	\$600 million on the MAC refinancing, this
10	issue we believe fundamentally was settled in
11	2004 by the New York State Court of Appeals,
12	which made clear that the state had an
13	obligation through 2034, I believe it was, to
14	continue a regular payment of \$170 million
15	per year to the City of New York. It was an
16	agreement struck between the two levels of
17	government; it was confirmed by the Court of
18	Appeals. So we believe that has to be
19	honored for the long term.
20	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, Mayor,
21	for those answers.
22	You know, the bottom line is that the
23	city is awash in money right now, and
24	localities around the state counties,

1	cicles, small cicles, villages, towns
2	would only dream of having surpluses and have
3	to live under the property tax cap.
4	So I want to thank you for your
5	answers
6	MAYOR DE BLASIO: I'm sorry, Senator,
7	could we just do a quick response to that
8	last point, please?
9	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Sure.
10	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you.
11	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: I just want to
12	quickly add, the mayor talked about over a
13	billion dollars in the preliminary budget,
14	with more savings to be found in the
15	executive budget, and last year we did over a
16	billion-four, also in savings.
17	PEG really is a Plan to Eliminate the
18	Gap, which the city has. And it's worth
19	noting that in 2018 we are projecting a \$2.2
20	billion gap, and in 2019 a \$2.9 billion gap,
21	and in 2020 a \$2.7 billion gap. So we
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you very
23	much.
2.4	DIDECTOR FILLETUAN also and the

1	prepayments happens to be under the
2	accounting methods that the city uses.
3	That's how we actually balanced. And those
4	prepayments you talked about are exactly how
5	we proposed, in the preliminary budget, a
6	balanced budget for fiscal 2017.
7	MAYOR DE BLASIO: And finally, the
8	Medicaid challenges that you raise, let's be
9	clear, our situation with our Health and
10	Hospitals Corporation is only going to get
11	more difficult because of larger things
12	happening in federal and state policy. We
13	would very much like to work with the state
14	and join with the state in going to
15	Washington for some of the fundamental
16	changes we'll need. But in the meantime, the
17	financial impact on the city will grow
18	intensely. And again, we don't have a safety
19	net to turn to; we will simply have to take
20	on those costs. So I would just suggest that
21	that's another challenge that will grow for
22	us.
23	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, Mayor.
24	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very

Τ.	mucn.
2	We've been joined by Assemblyman
3	Buchwald and Assemblyman Skoufis.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: And Assemblyman
5	Friend.
6	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Next to question is
7	Assemblyman Cusick.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Thank you,
9	Mr. Chairman.
10	Thank you, Mr. Mayor, for being up
11	here in Albany. It's always good to see you.
12	And it's good that you brought the guy
13	both guys next to you, Dean Fuleihan and
14	Sherif Soliman, both alums of the State
15	Assembly. It's good to see all of you.
16	Mr. Mayor, I just wanted to follow up
17	on some of the discussions that were
18	happening before. There was talk about the
19	property tax cap and the reference that
20	New York City does not have the property tax
21	cap. What is the feeling of the
22	administration on that? I know there is
23	legislation out there to have a property tax
24	cap. We talked about affordable housing. I

1	come from an area that a majority of the
2	folks are homeowners, and taxes in general
3	are an issue.
4	I know this topic has come up many
5	times. Could you just give us
6	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Yes. I'm a
7	homeowner myself, in Brooklyn, and the
8	district I represented in the City Council
9	was overwhelmingly an outer borough,
10	homeowner district. So I certainly can
11	relate to the concerns that so many of my
12	constituents have.
13	We do not believe in a property tax
14	cap. And it gets right back to the point

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

cap. And it gets right back to the point that there are three levels of government. And maybe in the 1970s when the sense of the relationship between those levels of government was different -- certainly when the federal government had a very different sense of its own obligations and its role -we could assume that in any time of danger we could turn elsewhere. We have no such illusion at this point in history. So we can't put any artificial barrier in place

1	that might undermine our ability to serve our
2	people and protect our economy and protect
3	the safety of our people.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I look at this in a very cold-eyed way. We have to protect the day-to-day life of everyday New Yorkers, the quality of life, the safety, and the investments we're making are about protecting our long-term economic health. We certainly saw what happened in the 1960s and '70s when the city was not fiscally disciplined and did not make the right investments and became less economically viable; we saw the huge domino effect that occurred. We're not going to let that happen. And part of that revolves around making sure that we have the revenue we need to make the investments that will protect everyone's best interests. So we do not believe in a cap.

We also know, as I mentioned, the latest figures, again -- very sadly -- 46 percent of New Yorkers at or near the poverty level. It's an astounding figure. For us to be able to help people be viable

1	and sustainable, we have t	to make	sure	that	we
2	have resources available.				

3 So in the end, I think this is the 4 prudent, long-term path for our city.

and I appreciate what you have to go through in balancing a budget as large as New York City's. But I know in the outer boroughs, particularly in Staten Island, taxes are a very big part of people's lives, and it's probably the number-one complaint that we get as elected officials. And we need to address something when it comes to the property taxes.

On the tax cap, my only suggestion is that the issue with a lot of residents is the predictability, and they know that there is not going to be an increase or anything like that, whereas right now there's no predictability, people have to wonder if there's going to be an increase. And these are things that I think are very important to all residents of New York City.

24 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Well, I would argue

1	I certainly understand the point. I would
2	argue that we're doing even better for
3	homeowners by not only avoiding the cap,
4	which I think would be ultimately
5	counterproductive, but by not having property
6	tax increases. Now, I understand what you
7	say in terms of predictability, whether we're
8	talking about five or 10 years ahead. But
9	what I've said since I came in the door is
10	we're going to avoid property tax increases.
11	I think the number-one concern homeowners
12	would have is no new increases. We
13	understand there are real challenges around;
14	the assessed value and other considerations.
15	But I think job one is to avoid a property
16	tax increase.
17	We have done that by making a series
18	of decisions that both are fiscally
19	disciplined, like our labor contracts, like
20	the healthcare savings that we're achieving,
21	which are unprecedented, but also by laying
22	in the reserves so, God forbid, when we do
23	have a downturn and we know, again, it's

not if but when -- that we can sustain a core

1	level of services and be able to protect, in
2	particular, public safety while not resorting
3	to a property tax increase.
4	So that is why I fully understand
5	anyone on this panel saying, Well, it looks
6	like you have substantial available reserves.
7	We argue those are necessary reserves because
8	they will sadly be eaten up very quickly when
9	the day comes that there's a downturn. Some
10	of them are being eaten up right now because
11	of these new costs we talked about before.
12	But I am adamant about guarding
13	against any further property tax increases.
14	And I don't want to do that via a fixed rule,
15	I want to do that by smart budgeting and
16	using our resources wisely.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: It's definitely a
18	discussion I would love to continue with
19	you
20	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Please.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: because it
22	certainly is the number-one topic in my area
23	and most of the outer boroughs.
24	But I'll move on. Also I want to

Τ	thank you and the administration for the
2	work another topic that is big all
3	throughout the state is the and
4	particularly in Staten Island is the
5	heroin and opioid epidemic.
6	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Yes.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: I know that you
8	have been out there working with us. But
9	could you give us just an overview of what
10	the city is budgeting this fiscal year to
11	combat the heroin and opioid epidemic?
12	MAYOR DE BLASIO: I'll see if Dean
13	Fuleihan or Sherif Soliman have the exact
14	dollar figure, but I'll give you the
15	overview. We're very focused on this issue.
16	It's a growing problem and you may have
17	seen the map in one of the papers the other
18	day of what's happening around the country
19	even worse in many parts of the country.
20	Which is astounding, because I know Staten
21	Island in particular, very hard-hit, and many
22	other parts of the five boroughs.
23	We are making this a priority in terms
24	of NYPD, we're making it a priority in terms

1	of Department of Education and efforts to get
2	young people to understand what these
3	challenges are before they end up in a
4	dangerous situation. We are doing a lot to
5	work with grassroots organizations to reach
6	parents and help them. We certainly have
7	done a lot working with pharmacies to make
8	the antidote drug available to avoid a fatal
9	overdose. And there's a lot more that will
10	be part of our package. It very much
11	connects with our overall new initiative,
12	Thrive NYC, which is a comprehensive citywide
13	mental health initiative. But we consider
14	the challenges of substance abuse to be
15	absolutely interrelated to mental health
16	challenges in many cases, so we have both of
17	these strategies working together.
18	But what we can say is, particularly
19	as we've applied more and more focus and more
20	and more energy, we are seeing an impact.
21	And I think NYPD has been a crucial partner.
22	But much more to do, because we understand
23	how pervasive this problem is.
24	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: We'll get the

1	exact number.
2	MAYOR DE BLASIO: We'll come back to
3	you on those specific numbers.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Thank you. Thank
5	you, Mr. Mayor.
6	Just one more issue is you had
7	mentioned that in the city budget you're
8	providing \$337 million in relief for New York
9	City Hospitals. Just to be a little local
10	and parochial here, Staten Island is the only
11	borough that does not have a New York City
12	hospital, full-service. When you are going
13	through the budgeting for New York City
14	Hospitals, I would just request that we can
15	have a conversation about money provided to
16	the existing hospitals, to make up for the
17	loss that we don't have a hospital.
18	Particularly after Sandy, we have
19	found that there are certain hospitals that
20	are in flood zones and some that are not.
21	And if another disaster hits, the money is

MAYOR DE BLASIO: Yes, thank you,

in other areas in New York City.

22

23

truly needed not only in Staten Island, but

1	Assemblyman. And as you know, we've tried to
2	provide some additional support in terms of
3	preparing for any kind of natural disaster,
4	and that's been one of our focal points, on
5	Staten Island.
6	Second, look, we're going to have to
7	make some very tough choices going forward on
8	Health and Hospitals. We're going to have to
9	make a series of reforms. To be optimistic
10	in that process, we can also look at how we
11	can address some of the long-standing equity
12	issues. There's no question that Staten
13	Island has not been given what it deserves,
14	and we want to see how we can fix that issue.
15	But it will, unfortunately, be against a
16	backdrop of some major changes and reforms
17	that will be very tough to achieve.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Thank you,
19	Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Mayor.
20	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you.
21	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
22	much.
23	We've been joined by Assemblywoman
24	Mayer and Assemblyman Barron.

1	Senator?
2	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Yes. Next will be
3	Senator Liz Krueger, who is the ranking
4	member on the Finance Committee.
5	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you,
6	Mr. Mayor. There are so many of us with so
7	many questions. I'm going to try to do a
8	little lightning round, if it's okay with
9	you.
10	MAYOR DE BLASIO: All right. Ready.
11	SENATOR KRUEGER: So Senator Young
12	brought up the amount of Medicaid money
13	New York City gets compared to the rest of
L 4	the state. Do we get special rules for
15	New York City, or is it just a ratio of the
16	number of poor people drawing down Medicaid
17	throughout the state and the New York City
18	share?
19	MAYOR DE BLASIO: I'd like to ask Dear
20	Fuleihan to answer.
21	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: Exactly. That's
22	the correct answer, we have no and we have
23	no administrative or rate-setting powers over
2.4	Modicaid

1	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
2	And there was also reference to the
3	mandate relief available within this year's
4	budget based on changes in Medicaid law over
5	the last several years. Again, is New York
6	City getting a disproportionate share of
7	mandate relief for Medicaid compared to the
8	rest of the state?
9	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: No.
10	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
11	You raised in your testimony that New
12	York City would be obligated to contribute
13	more per student in charter schools out of
14	your education budget under the Governor's
15	proposal. Is that across the board for all
16	localities, or only New York City would be
17	obligated to put more of its education money
18	into the charter formula?
19	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: Only New York
20	City.
21	SENATOR KRUEGER: Only New York City,
22	thank you.
23	So yes, there's a CUNY system and a
24	SUNY system. But is it the City of

1	New York's position that we should have one
2	higher education system for students who
3	aren't in the City of New York and a
4	different system with less funding for
5	students who happen to be from the City of
6	New York to go to higher education?
7	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: No.
8	SENATOR KRUEGER: And in fact this
9	proposal that the Governor's making, what it
10	does is shift a greater burden to the
11	locality for CUNY students than for SUNY
12	students?
13	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: That's correct.
14	SENATOR KRUEGER: And is it true that
15	CUNY students are already lower-income on
16	average than SUNY students throughout the
17	state?
18	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: Correct.
19	SENATOR KRUEGER: So would it be an
20	equity model to require every county to
21	contribute the same ratio to its SUNY system
22	if this is required of the City of New York
23	for CUNY?
24	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: As you know, we're

1	asking that that proposal not go forward.
2	And as the mayor pointed out, we are taking
3	the Governor at his commitment, and we're
4	working through that.
5	SENATOR KRUEGER: And in your
6	testimony you talked about increased costs
7	for the City of New York on Medicaid because
8	the Governor doesn't it lifts the state
9	cap on localities having to pick up growth in
10	costs. Is that being applied anywhere else
11	in the state, or just in the City of New
12	York?
13	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: Just in the City
L 4	of New York if it were to occur.
15	SENATOR KRUEGER: And then there was
16	discussion about the fact that there's the
L7	\$170 million per year from the old MAC bond
18	deals, and the mayor discussed the fact that
19	there was a court decision on this. And it
20	was raised that why should the state continue
21	to pay this money even though the courts
22	apparently decided we're obligated to and we

How does that number compare to what

23

should.

1	New York City no longer gets in AIM funding?
2	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: It is below what
3	New York City normally gets. It was a
4	commitment in 2005 for 30 years at
5	\$170 million a year. We used to receive over
6	\$300 million in AIM funding every year, which
7	we are no longer getting.
8	SENATOR KRUEGER: And do you happen to
9	remember what the state's argument was when
10	it cut New York City's AIM or revenue-sharing
11	and left every other locality whole, if even
12	still too low?
13	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: No. Actually I
14	remember the city opposing it at that time as
15	being the only municipality that would not be
16	receiving municipal aid.
17	SENATOR KRUEGER: And did we put that
18	money back this year?
19	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: You did not.
20	SENATOR KRUEGER: We did not, thank
21	you.
22	There's also a proposal to change the
23	formula of who pays for expanded or continued
24	services for the homeless through TANF and

1	safety net. Can you project, one, is that
2	only for New York City or other localities?
3	And, two, what that will mean for New York
4	City going forward?
5	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: Well, we actually
6	need more detail on this and more detail on
7	exactly what the homeless plan is, and we're
8	looking forward to those details.
9	The provision you're talking about is
10	a "may," and it allows that state actions in
11	the city can be offset with other safety-net
12	payments that the state makes, basically to
13	single shelters. So there could be an
14	offset. We have asked and we're waiting for
15	the details on that, so I can't give you
16	specific numbers at this point.
17	SENATOR KRUEGER: But there's reason
18	for us to be concerned until we have those
19	details?
20	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: Yes.
21	SENATOR KRUEGER: Yes.
22	There was a discussion about the city
23	not being under a 2 percent property tax cap
24	and the rest of the state doing so

1	Ironically, perhaps just since we're sitting
2	here in this conference room, I don't know
3	where the Senate is today on schedule with
4	its session, but a bill is being voted on in
5	the Senate today that would require a 2
6	percent cap in the New York City property
7	tax. I, for the record, am voting no on that
8	yes, Senator Lanza is the sponsor, but
9	he's here with us. But I think the bill is
10	going forward in his absence. Sometimes we
11	get to debate his bill.
12	But just for the record, if the Senate
13	law were to go into effect, it would
14	radically impact the budget projections for
15	the City of New York; is that correct?
16	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: Yes. You're on a
17	roll.
18	SENATOR KRUEGER: Yes, to have to be
19	under a 2 percent cap.
20	And just finally, do you know how much
21	money the City of New York taxpayers send to
22	Albany in comparison to what they get back
23	from the state budget?
24	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: The city

```
1
            contributes much more -- we'll get you the
 2
            exact figures, but the city contributes much
            more than we receive back.
 3
 4
                   SENATOR KRUEGER: There's a woman with
 5
            a piece of paper behind you that she's trying
 6
            to hand you.
 7
                   DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: Yes, we will get
            it for you in a moment.
 8
 9
                   (Laughter.)
10
                   SENATOR KRUEGER: I'm sorry, it wasn't
            a trick, but it looked like --
11
12
                   MAYOR DE BLASIO: Senator, this
            lightning round is so fast we're trying to
13
14
            keep up with it.
15
                   (Laughter.)
16
                   SENATOR KRUEGER: So, Mr. Mayor, you
            went through --
17
                   DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: Yes, I didn't want
18
19
            to give you the wrong number. I started to
20
            say 60 percent; it's 57 percent.
21
                   SENATOR KRUEGER: Aha.
22
                   MAYOR DE BLASIO: Clarify it. Clarify
23
            it.
```

DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: It's 57 percent

1	that the taxpayers of New York City
2	(Cross-talk.)
3	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Could you say the
4	whole sentence for everyone's edification?
5	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: So we're going to
6	make sure I get it to you.
7	SENATOR KRUEGER: Okay.
8	MAYOR DE BLASIO: We're experiencing
9	technical difficulties, Senator.
10	SENATOR KRUEGER: I appreciate your
11	ability to respond so quickly. I knew that
12	we had so many questioners, I was trying to
13	be fast here.
14	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Very good. We'll
15	get that clarified in just one second here.
16	SENATOR KRUEGER: We're going to get
17	clarified. Okay, I appreciate that.
18	And there was one other section of the
19	budget I believe you talked about in the
20	context of housing. It would require two
21	additional steps that the City of New York
22	would have to go through before it could
23	approve city affordable housing projects.
24	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Correct.

1	SENATOR KRUEGER: Is there any basis
2	to make the argument that the City of
3	New York hasn't been able to handle this
4	effectively up until now
5	MAYOR DE BLASIO: No, Senator.
6	SENATOR KRUEGER: and needs two new
7	levels of oversight?
8	MAYOR DE BLASIO: No. This recalls
9	the famous phrase "If it ain't broke, don't
LO	fix it." We've been producing an immense
11	amount of affordable housing using the bond
12	cap authority. As I said, we had 1200 more
13	units that could have been produced had the
L 4	additional authority been extended to us.
15	I think everyone here wants to see
16	affordable housing built as quickly as
17	possible, and anything that can be done by
18	government done efficiently, and we think
19	adding this additional layers will only slow
20	down the process at a point where thousands
21	and thousands of people are waiting for
22	housing that will allow them and their
23	families to be able to make ends meet.
24	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you very much.

1	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you.
2	SENATOR KRUEGER: And if there's
3	not yes.
4	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: I will answer. It
5	is what I thought, I apologize.
6	approximately 60 percent of the revenues of
7	the state are generated within New York City.
8	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you very much.
9	Thank you, Senator.
10	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, Senator.
11	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
12	Assemblyman Weprin.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Thank you,
14	Mr. Chairman.
15	And thank you, Mr. Mayor, for coming
16	up here in the midst of cleanup, which I know
17	is still taking place. And we're very
18	considering it was the second-largest storm
19	in the history of the City of New York, you
20	know, I don't think you did so badly,
21	although I know there's still some issues
22	outstanding.
23	On another subject, I chair the
24	Assembly Task Force on People with

1	Disabilities, and I was very proud to march
2	with you and former Senator Tom Harkin last
3	summer, with your commitment to ADA and the
4	25th anniversary, with Disability Pride
5	Month.

And your commissioner, Victor Calise, does a great job, and I work very closely with his office. And I know you are very committed personally to ADA compliance and to making New York City accessible to all.

Having said that, though, as you know, the U.S. Attorney, Preet Bharara, came out with the results of a two-year investigation and released that on December 21st, saying that 83 percent of New York City schools are not ADA-compliant. And I know there was supposed to be some response from the city, I believe it was just last week, January 20th. Can you give us what the result of that is and what the fiscal cost might be to bring New York City schools to be ADA-compliant.

MAYOR DE BLASIO: Well, I'll start and Dean Fuleihan may want to join in on the cost issue.

1	First of all, the U.S. Attorney
2	raised, obviously, an important issue. We
3	all care deeply about maximizing
4	accessibility in every way, and we've tried
5	to do that in a host of ways in the city,
6	including, for example, ensuring that more of
7	our taxis are accessible and any number of
8	other areas where we're trying to focus on
9	making the city accessible for all.
10	In terms of the city schools, since
11	approximately 1992, as schools have been
12	built or substantially rehabilitated, that
13	has been done with accessibility standards.
14	In terms of our ongoing efforts to upgrade
15	older schools, we have \$100 million in the
16	current budget focused on additional
17	accessibility efforts.
18	Eight hundred fifty-five of our 1582
19	school buildings so again, 855 out of
20	1,582 school buildings are either fully or
21	partially accessible. So that's a 54 percent
22	level. And with only one exception, every
23	one of our 32 districts has at least eight

24 accessible buildings.

1	So considering we have a school
2	system, many of which the buildings were
3	built 50, 60, 70, 80 years ago, we've managed
4	to make real progress on accessibility.
5	We're absolutely committed to it for the long
6	haul and will certainly work closely with the
7	U.S. Attorney.
8	Just by way of reference, walking
9	distance from my home in Brooklyn is a school
10	it's certainly typical, but it makes the
11	point a school that was built in 1875, PS
12	39. So we're dealing with schools of all
13	different configurations.
14	But it's an ongoing commitment of the
15	city to continue to increase accessibility in
16	our schools.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Okay. And the
18	cost?
19	MAYOR DE BLASIO: The cost of, again,
20	ADA compliance per se, we're in the many
21	billions of dollars. But I don't think we
22	have a
23	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: Right, we don't
24	have a specific cost at this time.

1	MAYOR DE BLASIO: We can try and give
2	you a better reading on that, but certainly
3	many, many billions of dollars.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Okay. Yeah, I
5	know you are personally committed and I would
6	like to work closely with your Office of
7	People with Disabilities and Commissioner
8	Calise on anything we can do at the state
9	level to make sure that accessibility occurs.
10	In answer to Senator Krueger's
11	question, my own personal recollection is
12	that AIM was eliminated for the first time
13	for New York City in Governor Paterson's last
14	year, which was my first year up here, in
15	2010, and it was supposed to be for one year
16	only and restored the following year. But
17	when Governor Cuomo came in in 2011, he
18	stated that there was no cut because it was
19	already zero from last year. So I think
20	they've kind of forgot about what we thought
21	was a commitment between the state in
22	providing AIM the following year.
23	So, you know, I continue to argue that

New York City should still continue to get

1	that AIM and we shouldn't give up on it, that
2	our understanding as legislators was it was
3	only going to be a one-year, one-time cut and
4	it would be restored the following year.
5	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Well, we agree with
6	your interpretation, it's certainly never
7	something we're going to give up on. I think
8	your recollection of history is exactly
9	right.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Thank you,
11	Mr. Chairman.
12	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
13	Mr. Mayor, one of the problems we have
14	is we're going to have elections and people
15	are being moved out of the schools. And
16	Manhattan, the northern end is hills, so what
17	looks like three blocks apart can actually be
18	a hundred-foot drop and walking 10 blocks and
19	then back. And we've had a lot of problems
20	getting the school secure, the reason why we
21	don't have the voting there.
22	I'd appreciate it if you could look
23	into that so that we don't have a problem
24	where people will be going 10 blocks and

1	20 blocks when they didn't have to because a
2	railing is loose on the entrance which could
3	be fixed for \$10.
4	MAYOR DE BLASIO: I couldn't agree
5	more. We will follow up with the Board of
6	Elections. And obviously we're deeply
7	concerned about people being able to vote and
8	not finding any hindrance to vote. So I
9	appreciate you raising this, and we'll follow
10	up right away.
11	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Yeah, because I
12	thought they had problems in Brooklyn, too,
13	with the same thing, losing the schools.
14	Thank you. Senator?
15	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you very
16	much.
17	Our next speaker will be Senator Tony
18	Avella.
19	SENATOR AVELLA: Thank you, Madam
20	Chair.
21	Good morning, Mr. Mayor I think
22	we're still in the morning.
23	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Good morning. Yes.
24	SENATOR AVELLA: I'd like to go back

1	to the property tax cap, the 2 percent cap
2	that the rest of New York State has.
3	You made a comment earlier, in

You made a comment earlier, in reference to a question from one of the Assemblymembers about this, that the city hasn't raised its tax rate in a number of years. But I can assure you, everybody watching your comments on TV is probably having the same reaction that I did, is that everybody's assessment goes up every year. And that is a tremendous burden on many homeowners, many seniors, disabled individuals who we want to keep in their homes.

And I know at the same time you've been asking for the state to restore the Medicaid cuts.

So I and the IDC, the Independent

Democratic Conference, will make this

recommendation to you and to the Governor,

that if you would institute the property tax

cap, including assessments, in New York City,

then we would urge the Governor and the

Legislature to restore the Medicaid funding.

1	Your	thoughts?
---	------	-----------

MAYOR DE BLASIO: With real respect,

Senator, I think that would be a very

dangerous path for New York City. We have to

be clear about the fact that, again, we have

only ourselves to depend on in a moment of

difficulty.

And I do understand fully how many of my constituents are facing a burden which is related to, obviously, strangely enough the success of New York City, the growth and strength of New York City, our property values have gone up. And with that have gone up the assessments. And that has created a challenge and a burden.

But I do -- I have to disagree, first of all, that I think -- again, as a homeowner myself -- there's a big difference between growth of an assessment based on value versus a property tax increase. A property tax increase is another form of negative for a homeowner, another hit on the homeowner, and we're working very, very conscientiously to avoid any scenario where a property tax

Anything that would artificially limit our ability to put together resources would undermine all of our efforts, whether it be at public safety, affordable housing, education, etc, which are necessary for the city's future.

And I would argue -- again, with real respect -- that that tradeoff is an unfair tradeoff on its face, because the state has an obligation to cover these Medicaid expenses. And we're certainly going to remind people the city has that obligation and should consistently hold to that obligation.

Anything -- look, when this proposal first came out -- and again, I want to emphasize the Governor quickly said it would not cost the city a penny, and I appreciate that deeply. But when it came out, what was so interesting was it was criticized by many members of the Senate and Assembly, it was criticized by CUNY students, it was criticized by people in the healthcare field,

1	it was criticized by the Citizens Budget
2	Commission and the Manhattan Institute. Now,
3	you don't usually see that particular
4	coalition. But everyone had the same
5	message: That would be the state supplanting
6	its obligations and putting them on the City
7	of New York.
8	And the City of New York, in the
9	end again, we do not have a safety net, we
10	have to take care of our own no matter
11	what happens levels of government above us,
12	no matter what happens in the global economy,
13	we have to take care of our own people.
14	So I would not agree that that's a
15	fair deal for New York City.
16	SENATOR AVELLA: Well, with all due
17	respect, I'm going to disagree, and I think
18	Senator Young sort of outlined the situation
19	by which the cap was imposed on other
20	municipalities upstate. In return, the state
21	assumed the Medicaid funding. So I think if
22	we should apply the same logic to New York
23	City.

And, Mr. Mayor, you know, I represent

1	a middle-class district. And if we don't
2	help the middle class of this city, we're
3	going to have an exodus like we had years
4	ago. And as property values go up, even
5	though the tax rate is not going up, their
6	assessments are going up dramatically. I
7	heard from a couple of people who got their
8	tax bill just the other day, and they're
9	talking \$800 and \$900 for a one-family home.
10	For a lot of seniors, they cannot afford
11	that.
12	And if you had the 2 percent cap as
13	the rest of the state does, you'd be eligible
14	for a lot of the state relief programs for
15	homeowners.
16	And I would just add and I'll

And I would just add -- and I'll finish with this, because we can obviously follow this up later. But I believe at the end of your statement you said we're all New Yorkers. And I think it's unfair that New York City homeowners, property owners, don't benefit from the same property tax cap that everybody else in the state does.

24 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Senator -- Senator,

1 you yourself pay city income tax and you know 2 that that is not the norm in the rest of the 3 state. So I would urge you to recognize the fact that we have a set of challenges in our 4 5 city that are different, a set of needs that are different. You know that we cover a lot 6 7 of things in the city ourselves that are very costly and very challenging. And that in 8 fact the notion -- I just can't agree with 9 10 you on any notion of an exodus of the middle class when in fact we're seeing the opposite 11 12 phenomenon: The city is gentrifying. And 13 that comes with some good elements and some 14 really problematic elements. Property values 15 are increasing intensely. There's no flight 16 of the middle class in New York City. We're dealing with, in fact, the reverse 17 18 phenomenon, trying to figure out how best to 19 navigate it.

But I'll tell you one thing, what would start to threaten the existence of the middle class in New York City is if we did not invest in public safety, if we did not invest in a better education system.

20

21

22

23

1	Everyone knows we have sustained public
2	safety gains, but that will only continue if
3	we make the right investments protecting both
4	our communities and our officers. Everyone
5	knows our school system is still not where it
6	needs to be for the 21st-century economy, we
7	must make those investments. Our
8	infrastructure, I'm sure you would agree, is
9	far beyond where it needs to be for a major
10	global economic capital. We're far, far
11	behind some of our competitors that made
12	massive infrastructure investments because
13	their federal governments, their national
14	governments believe in that as a priority.
15	Ours doesn't. So we end up having to do it.
16	So I just have to remind you that if
17	we don't have the resources to protect the
18	quality of life in our city, the safety of
19	our city, the infrastructure of our city,
20	that's what will actually threaten the middle
21	class.
22	SENATOR AVELLA: Well, Mr. Mayor,
23	again, I'm not going to debate you on this.
24	I would disagree all those things you

1	mentioned, of course we want to do that. But
2	as you well know, balancing the budget is
3	taking issues and rectifying what are the
4	needs. And I would just think that and
5	again, I disagree with you because I hear
6	from people in my district every day that
7	they're going to leave the City of New York
8	because of the taxes, and the property tax is
9	one of them.
10	Thank you, Mr. Mayor.
11	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
12	Senator?
13	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: We've been joined
14	by Assemblyman Walter.
15	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblyman
16	Brennan.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN BRENNAN: Good morning,
18	Mr. Mayor.
19	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Good morning.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN BRENNAN: You identified
21	as a concern the proposal by the Governor
22	that the city be required to pick up 30
23	percent of the CUNY senior college budget,
24	and you indicated you had learned that the

1	Governor was clarifying this proposal to
2	assure that reforms and efficiencies would
3	achieve those savings, and therefore there
4	wouldn't be imposed this burden on the city
5	government.

Can you imagine how reforms and efficiencies could cover 30 percent of the budget of the City University?

MAYOR DE BLASIO: Let me separate two points within this, if I may.

The Governor put forward the proposal, and then the Governor clarified the proposal. So obviously I would urge the Legislature to get clarity from the Governor on how he intends to proceed.

In terms of the question of looking for reforms and efficiencies, we think that's a smart thing to do in government. And we look forward to sitting down, hopefully as early as next week, city and state officials, to talk about these two areas, Medicaid and CUNY, and see if there are some available options. But that process has not begun, so I can't tell you at this moment what it will

1	yield on what kind of timeline. We take the
2	Governor at his word on the statement that
3	for this budget, it will not cost the city a
4	penny, and that's what I think we should all
5	hold to and hold him to.

But those conversations on the details have not begun.

ASSEMBLYMAN BRENNAN: I guess also, by the same token, forcing the city government to once again cover the full increased cost of the Medicaid program, which you indicated would cost a billion dollars a year by 2021, approximately -- you know, given the fact that, you know, the Medicaid managed care system now underpays our hospitals, both HHC and the voluntaries, by very substantial amounts, does not cover their cost of care, I think it would be very dangerous to force the city to find another billion dollars worth of savings in the Medicaid program just inside the City of New York itself.

MAYOR DE BLASIO: I think there's again two areas here that collide: In effect, the proposed cuts, which again we

1	look forward to being handled in a way that
2	does not affect the city directly, and the
3	challenge we're having with Health and
4	Hospitals. Which absolutely, as you say, is
5	related to a series of policy changes, some
6	that are part of the Affordable Care Act
7	which is I think a fundamental step forward
8	for this country, was the Affordable Care
9	Act. But there were some elements of it that
10	had, I think, unintended consequences for our
11	public health systems. Some of the Medicaid
12	reform that the state achieved again, in
13	many ways a very good policy had some real
14	unintended consequences for our public health
15	system.
16	We're seeing a number of factors
17	collide. They're making the economics of
18	public health systems not only here, but all
19	over the state and all over the country, less

collide. They're making the economics of public health systems not only here, but all over the state and all over the country, less and less viable. So we're going to have to come up with some different solutions, because a huge number of our citizens rely on those public hospitals.

24 ASSEMBLYMAN BRENNAN: Thank you. I

1	just also wanted to mention that I share your
2	view that the state should restore the AIM
3	funding to the City of New York, once known
4	as revenue sharing. Just as an aside, New
5	York City's revenue sharing from the state in
6	1990 was \$500 million. And it was Governor
7	Spitzer who actually started trying to cut
8	revenue sharing. We had restored about half
9	of it when the recession hit, and that's how
10	the remainder got wiped out.
11	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
13	Senator?
14	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you,
15	Assemblyman.
16	Next it would be Senator Kathy
17	Marchione.
18	SENATOR MARCHIONE: Thank you, Madam
19	Chair.
20	Thank you, Mayor, for being here.
21	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you, Senator.
22	SENATOR MARCHIONE: I serve as the
23	chairman for Local Government for the Senate.
24	And I hear it all the time, how we're one

1	state, we need to be one state. I watch the
2	remainder of the state outside of New York
3	City struggling, coming in and appearing
4	before Local Government about how difficult
5	it is to live within the 2 percent tax cap
6	which isn't even 2 percent. This year it's
7	much less.

But I listened to you tell me that we are one state, but I see your spending at 6 percent. I have difficulties stating at one point that we're one state and then in the next mouthful saying it's okay because I have needs. Every single municipality that has come before us has a unique set of circumstances that requires them to do the best they can for their residents, but they're doing their best to live under the 2 percent tax cap.

I wonder, and I'm asking your opinion, if the Executive didn't actually require you to pay a portion of your Medicaid -- even though I know you're saving like \$145 million, because it's only 2 percent this year -- because you're not adhering to a 2

1	percent tax cap that we self-impose and that
2	we have imposed upon every other municipality
3	and government in New York State except for
4	New York City.
5	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Well, again,
6	Senator, I appreciate the point. And I think
7	everyone is struggling in different ways.
8	SENATOR MARCHIONE: They are.
9	MAYOR DE BLASIO: And I don't
10	again, I would never for a moment belittle
11	the challenges being faced by municipalities
12	all over the state.
13	We have an unusual reality because we
14	have an income tax. So that means for the
15	average taxpayer, they are paying both a city
16	property tax and a city income tax, and
17	probably it would be healthy for all of us to
18	look at the overall impact, what that means,
19	and to compare across localities.
20	But I think the bigger point here is
21	we have a host of challenges that I think are
22	particularly large and complicated in our
23	city again, that aging infrastructure. I
24	know it's a problem all over the state. Ours

1	is just extraordinary in its size. For
2	example, bridges over a hundred years old in
3	the City of New York, I believe there's 160
4	such bridges. So we just have the sheer
5	weight of so many years of expenses that were
6	deferred.
7	I mentioned our public housing

I mentioned our public housing authority, home for 400,000 people. That public housing authority alone has a \$17 billion unmet capital need level.

So I'm not trying to, if you will, compare scars. Everyone's got problems and challenges. What I would say is that our taxpayers -- and it's a long-standing tradition -- pay two types of taxes. Our challenges are particular and in many ways growing. Some of the new obligations we're being hit with are different than what's happening in some other places. We're also trying to be honest about the fact that -- and we say this almost in a spirit of collegiality -- we know when trouble hits, and it will, we can't come up here and pretend to say to you, as the state is

1	dealing its own problems and other
2	municipalities are dealing with theirs, we're
3	not going to be able to say to you: Bail us
4	out. We're going to have to do that, to the
5	maximum extent possible, ourselves.
6	So that's why we consider ourselves,
7	in a sense, to have to meet our own
8	obligations in times of trouble, that's one
9	reason why a cap would be counterproductive.
10	But I think the point that Dean
11	Fuleihan made earlier, and I say this in a
12	positive vein, because we're all
13	interconnected if we're paying upwards of
14	60 percent of the revenue that goes into
15	state coffers, I don't begrudge that, I think
16	that is something it's my obligation to keep
17	doing, in the sense of having a strong
18	economy that creates jobs, that grows, that
19	creates more revenue for all. I'm trying to
20	do the things that will allow that to happen.
21	And what I hear from business leaders
22	all the time, number-one concern, public
23	safety, where we're continuing to invest;
24	second concern, education; third concern,

1	affordable housing. We get those pieces
2	right, we will continue to build the New York
3	City economy for the good of the whole state.
4	As I said, we're on our way, I think quite
5	soon in the scheme of things, to a
6	9-million-person population, which is also
7	going to create a whole series of strains,
8	but with many benefits for the entire
9	metropolitan area and the entire state.
10	That's my argument about why I think
11	we should avoid a strategy that actually
12	could limit that growth and undermine our
13	ability to handle the downturns when they do

come.

SENATOR MARCHIONE: Thank you. And I appreciate what you're saying, and I do agree that New York City is critically important to all of us in New York State. And I understand that you have a different set of circumstances. I myself come from a district this week, last week that a water line broke, it was a main line, it was 110 years old. The size and the magnitude of what you have is different, but the problems are pretty

1	muah	+ h o	same.
1	mucn	Lne	Same.

2	MAYOR	DE	BLASIO:	Exactly.	I	agree

3 with that.

SENATOR MARCHIONE: And they're living under a 2 percent tax cap.

So I understand what you're saying,
but the rest of us are doing our very best to
make sure we can save billions of dollars for
people who live here in New York State. And
I believe that New York City, although
larger, although having your unique set of
circumstances, if we're one state, then we're
one state, and we should be under the same
guidelines to save our taxpayers' dollars.

My next question came from something
you said about rent control, that we need to
have more rent control, a one-bedroom
apartment is \$3100 and the state is providing
\$1500. When you increase your budget by
6 percent, aren't those additional monies
being passed on to your taxpayers so that,
although we want more rent control paid for
by the state, when you're adding to your tax
burden then you are adding to the amount that

1	those owners of those properties have to
2	charge to pay the additional tax? Am I
3	missing something here?

MAYOR DE BLASIO: Well, I would just say I think there's a couple of additional pieces to the puzzle. First of all, a lot of that growth over the last few years is a result of Hurricane Sandy, and that's very specific. Remember, our representatives in Washington fought very hard for the kind of relief that New York City, New York State, and New Jersey deserved as a result of Sandy, the kind of relief that other areas of the country had gotten when they experienced such disasters.

There's no question Sandy was the biggest natural disaster in the history of New York City. Massive amount of damage caused. So when those resources came in from FEMA, came in from HUD, they went into our city budget, they positively inflated our city budget. They will be spent on resiliency efforts and recovery efforts, and then that will be gone from the budget. So

again, that budget will contract when this
immediate cycle of federal funding is over.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The other fact, again, major new obligations -- which for us are very striking, the fact that we had a one-two punch of the actuary change and the specific needs of our Health and Hospitals Corporation that meant a billion-dollar additional charge in this fiscal year alone. These situations I think are specific challenges -- again, I agree with you a hundred percent, every place has a challenge, each one is different. These are some of ours, both the negative of the billion dollars that we were suddenly hit with, the positive of the federal aid, but knowing that that federal aid will then be used and no longer appear in the budget. So that I think modifies a little bit some of the points that you're making.

But look, overall, we're simply trying to put the pieces together in a way that is sustainable for this city and for the good of our city economy. I think we'd both agree if we failed to take the right steps and New

1	York City started to falter, everyone would
2	be hurt by that. And that's what happened in
3	the '60s and '70s. Let's face it, we saw it
4	with our own eyes: Government made the wrong
5	choices, government was not fiscally
6	disciplined, did not put reserves in place
7	when the bad times came, there was nothing to
8	fall back on and didn't make the kind of
9	investments that kept the city economically
10	viable.
11	We're trying to learn, unfortunately,
12	the lessons of the past and make the
13	adjustments that will allow us to be strong
14	for the good of all going forward. So that's
15	our core strategy. And it is a
16	different approach. Every place has to
17	tailor the approach to their own needs. This
18	is the one that we think is working. And I
19	hope we can all agree that some of these
20	numbers are really encouraging for all of us.
21	We've got 213,000 new jobs in New York
22	City that's a blessing for this whole
23	state. And it's our job to keep that going.
24	SENATOR MARCHIONE: Thank you, Mayor.

1	And I think I just want to end on this point,
2	to say that you're not on your own as you've
3	been saying you're on your own. Not one of
4	us sitting up here are on our own. When you
5	had problems with Hurricane Sandy, the state
6	came in, the federal government came in.
7	When I had problems with my water line, I
8	went to the Governor's office to ask for some
9	assistance. We're not on our own. We're one
10	state. And when we're in need, as I
11	believe you've got a lot of money in the
12	budget this year, going into transportation,
13	to fix major, important things in the state
14	budget. We're not on our own.
15	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Senator, if I may, I
16	appreciate and I agree with you there are
17	certainly areas where there's been
18	extraordinary commonality. This recent storm
19	is a great example. Tremendously good
20	coordination between the city and the state,
21	the MTA, et cetera, and everyone working in
22	common cause.
23	I would differentiate in an economic

downturn, I really would, and I say this with

1	absolute respect for your point. I think
2	Sandy and other natural disasters are one
3	type of category and you'll remember that
4	the federal government was rather slow to
5	respond in terms of the financial support,
6	and it took a real fight in Washington to get
7	there, different than it would have been 10
8	or 20 years ago. So I think there was a lot
9	of concern about whether the standards were
10	changing in terms of federal obligation in a
11	disaster.

But what I was referring to is in an economic downturn where the revenues of the state will be deeply affected, the revenues of the federal government will be affected.

When I say we're on our own, it's an acknowledgment of the fact that everyone will be hurting and we won't be in a position to ask for additional help. Even if we're hurting, even if we're cutting vital services, we know we can't turn to people who already have had their own revenue cut to ask for help. That's where we believe the prudent thing to do is to save for that rainy

1	day. That's the way we look at it.
2	SENATOR MARCHIONE: And those in
3	upstate New York, we are in a downturn
4	economically. We're not as vibrant as you
5	are in New York City. And even though they
6	are in an economic downturn, they're still
7	staying within the 2 percent tax cap.
8	They're doing the very, very best that they
9	can.
10	My last question, I've listened to you
11	talk about police protection, and I guess I'r
12	looking for an update on where police
13	relations stand in the city, specifically do
14	the courageous men and women of law
15	enforcement have the support, financially,
16	materially, personnel, personally, they need
17	to continue doing the important jobs that
18	they do?
19	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you for the
20	question. Absolutely.
21	First of all, I'm very proud to say I
22	gave them the finest police leader in the

United States of America in Bill Bratton. He

and his leadership team have done an

23

1	extraordinary job. Two years running now, we
2	have driven down major crime across the seven
3	major crime categories. We have a 5.8
4	percent decrease in crime over two years.

We are adding resources for the latest police vests for every one of our officers to protect them. We're adding resources for technology, like the shot protection system that's in our current city budget, so that our officers have immediate alerts when a shot is fired in key areas of the city -- and it also helps them tremendously in the investigation efforts.

We're adding resources so that every single one of our officers has the most up-to-date technology so they can get, for example, a suspect description and even a photo or a sketch of a suspect in their hand on an iPhone instantly. Something that wasn't true just a year ago now is becoming common for every single officer. That's going to greatly intensify their ability to protect both communities and themselves when they have that kind of information.

1	The most training our officers have
2	ever received, including tactical training
3	that helps to keep them safe, every single
4	member of our force being trained.

A 500-plus-person critical response command. I'm very proud of this change we made in June. It is an anti-terror unit, a stand-alone, dedicated anti-terror unit, well armed, well trained, that we can use -- obviously, given that we are, sadly, one of the number-one global terror targets. This unit used to be drawn off -- a similar unit was drawn off of individual police precincts on a temporary basis. We're now going to have 500-plus officers who do only anti-terror operations. We think that's going to help them be ever more effective at preventing terror in the city.

An overall increase of 2,000 officers on patrol strength -- again, the first increase since 2001 to help us sustain our safety. And obviously I think police officers would be the first to say one of the best ways to keep them safe is to add to the

1	ranks and give them the backup they deserve,
2	and we're doing that.
3	SENATOR MARCHIONE: Thank you very
4	much.
5	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you.
6	SENATOR MARCHIONE: Thank you for your
7	answers.
8	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
9	Assemblywoman Malliotakis.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: Thank you,
11	Mr. Mayor, and thank you to your staff for
12	keeping me informed during the snowstorm. We
13	appreciate the open communication.
14	I just wanted to talk also about this
15	property tax cap. Last year we supported
16	rent-control legislation for the City of New
17	York as well as we saw the Rent Control
18	Board, of which you appoint all nine members,
19	implement a rent freeze. We really don't see
20	the same type of empathy for the property
21	taxpayers in the City of New York. Or, aside
22	from property taxes, we could even say like a

water bill freeze, which puts many landlords

in a jam here if you're going to freeze the

23

1	rents.
2	But my homeowners I think are in a
3	situation now where if this budget goes
4	through as is, we're going to see them be
5	doubly hit; one, because their property taxes
6	will not be capped, and two, then they also
7	have to take on the burden of the \$650
8	million over the next three years for
9	Medicaid.
10	So I'm very disappointed that you
11	don't support the cap. I'll continue to
12	advocate that with my colleagues. But I hope
13	you really reconsider, because I think that
14	the property taxpayers in the City of New
15	York deserve the same type of relief that
16	you've put forth for the rent payers in the
17	city.
18	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Well,
19	Assemblymember, may I speak to that?
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: Sure.
21	MAYOR DE BLASIO: First of all, I want

21 MAYOR DE BLASIO: First of all, I want
22 to emphasize with the Rent Guidelines Board,
23 the charge that I've given them is to look at
24 the real expenses each year and to adjust the

1	increases in rent according to the real
2	expenses that landlords have. You will
3	remember that the first year was one number,
4	the second year was a different number.
5	Every year you're going to see a different
6	number according to the facts.
7	Now, one thing that did change in the
8	last couple of years, it's well known, is the
9	price of fuel went way down. In the past,
10	honestly, when such a change occurred in
11	favor of the landlord's bottom line, it was
12	not necessarily reflected in the rent
13	increase of tenants. In fact, the rent
14	increase of tenants stayed fairly substantial
15	regardless of whether the cost for landlords
16	went up or down.
17	We have a different view. We believe
18	it should be a very clear process to reflect
19	what those costs are. When costs of
20	landlords go down, tenants should have that
21	savings reflected in their rent situation.
22	When it goes up, we should also pass that
23	along
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: But the

1	water	bills	and	the	property	taxes	have
2	contir	nued to	o ris	se.			

But more specifically, instead of the landlords, I want to focus on my constituents, who are the homeowners and residential homeowners on Staten Island and Bay Ridge, Brooklyn.

I also just -- maybe you can answer this question, because no one seems to be able to give me a straight answer of why those individuals who paid their property taxes in full last year were hit with a notice in September saying that they owed more money.

MAYOR DE BLASIO: Could you be specific about that?

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: Okay. So for instance, I'm a property owner and I paid my taxes in full, and then in September I received a notice saying, oh, by the way, you owe another hundred or \$200 -- I think it was a percentage, a point -- I'm not sure of the exact percentage that increased. But I found that to be very odd, that someone who had

1	paid their property taxes in full received
2	another a bill later on saying that they owed
3	more money. And then I found out that many
4	other constituents in my community were hit
5	with the same type of notice.

MAYOR DE BLASIO: I'll get a clarification to you. Again, as I said, we're adamant about not increasing the rate. We know a number of people's assessment has gone up and that's affected them. But I'll get back to you on the specific point you're raising.

I just want to make one other point on your previous point on the water bills. One of the things I talked about when I was public advocate was ending the practice of the city using water bills as a general revenue source. We've incrementally moved on that, and we're going to be at a point soon when we end that practice entirely. And from that point on, the water bill will only reflect the costs of maintaining the water system.

Now, I do want to emphasize, Lord

1	knows there's nothing more important than
2	water to human life, and our system is
3	extraordinary and it has been it's one of
4	the great virtues of New York City is having
5	such a great water system. It is costly to
6	maintain. But what I feel adamantly, and I'm
7	a homeowner too, is that we should only pay
8	for a water bill for water and nothing else.
9	So we're making those adjustments rapidly.
10	Second, we've added in protections for
11	senior citizens, low-income homeowners to try
12	to lessen the impact of water bill increases
13	on them, and those are available to
14	constituents. So I want you to be aware of
15	that.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: Okay, I
17	appreciate that. Because I remember when you
18	were the public advocate and you called for
19	water bills to be frozen. And now that
20	you're mayor, it seems that there's been a
21	charge of heart.
22	So I appreciate that, and I look
23	forward to working with you on that front.
24	Regarding the \$337 million for the

1	HHC, I want to also add my voice to that of
2	Assemblyman Cusick, who said that we would
3	really like Staten Island to be considered.
4	You mentioned in your remarks, you used the
5	term "fair and proportional." Staten Island
6	would like to receive their funds fair and
7	proportionally as well when it comes to the
8	HHC, because there are 11 public hospitals
9	throughout the City of New York, and none of
10	them are in the borough of Staten Island.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And so not that we are advocating for a public hospital, but we would like to have our proportional funds supporting those two hospitals that we do have and are certainly at capacity. So we appreciate if you would consider that.

MAYOR DE BLASIO: Yes.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: Also, with regard to the city putting forth an \$868 million capital plan to add 11,800 seats in schools throughout the city, I can't stress to you enough how the schools in my district, as I'm sure many others' here, are at capacity, whether it's 100 percent over

1	capacity, 150 percent over capacity.
2	One school in particular, PS 13 in my
3	district in Rosebank, which I share with
4	Senator Savino, they have been advocating for
5	a K-8. With the new development of Mount
6	Manresa and your affordable housing plan to
7	add 200,000 affordable housing units, we
8	really need to address this issue of
9	overcapacity, and we hope you'll be looking
10	at those schools in my district.
11	And I'd like to talk to you further
12	another time about the particular issues that
13	we have in Staten Island and Bay Ridge.
L 4	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Absolutely. I look
15	forward to that.
16	And I would say, you know, as you
17	indicate, in the capital budget we have
18	announced an additional 11,800 seats,
19	bringing us to a grand total of 44,000, I
20	believe it is, for this capital plan. There
21	will be more in the next capital plan, which
22	is after fiscal 2020.

We have a profound problem with

overcrowding. This number we've reached by

23

1	adding the 11,800 is the number we believe
2	not only that we can afford but that is
3	physically reachable in the time frame of the
4	next three years of the capital plan. So our
5	charge to the School Construction Authority
6	was to tell us what was the maximum you could
7	actually build, practically, in that time
8	frame, and this is what they've come back
9	with.
10	No surprise to you that as this city
11	continues growing, finding the space and
12	putting together the lots for a new school

No surprise to you that as this city continues growing, finding the space and putting together the lots for a new school and construction of a new school is more difficult all the time. We're committed to the numbers and the ones after, but this is what we think is the outer limit for the next few years.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: Okay.

With some of the parochial schools closing, I
think we may have opportunities on
Staten Island to expand, so I would like to
speak with you at a later time.

MAYOR DE BLASIO: Absolutely. We're very -- and we have a running dialog with the

1	Archdiocese and also with the Diocese of
2	Brooklyn and Queens that we have an interest
3	in any and all properties that come online,
4	whether for schools or other uses.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: Great.
6	Great.
7	With regards to the 41,000 units that
8	you have achieved in affordable housing and
9	the 200,000 that you have planned for, how
10	much of that is senior housing? We have a
11	terrible crisis in my community of a
12	tremendous amount of seniors without housing
13	that's affordable for them. Can you give me
14	any specifics?
15	MAYOR DE BLASIO: The overall plan
16	calls for a minimum of 10,000 units of senior
17	housing. Now, obviously some of the housing
18	that is being created that will not be
19	phrased as "senior housing" will still go to
20	the seniors. But the minimum that we're
21	allotting specifically to reach seniors is
22	10,000, and we're looking for ways to add
23	upon that.
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLTOTAKIS: Ten

1	thousand out of the 200,000?
2	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Correct.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: That seems
4	like a very low number. But also what about
5	out of the 41,000 that has already been
6	achieved?
7	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Again?
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: The 41,000
9	that has already been achieved, is that
10	included in the 200,000 or is that separate?
11	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Yes, the 41,000 out
12	of the 200,000.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: Okay.
14	Well, I hope, you know, if we could look more
15	at the senior population here, especially
16	I happen to represent one of the largest
17	populations of senior citizens in my
18	district, and so I think that this is
19	something that has come to me time and again
20	out in the community.
21	I have one last question regarding
22	Hurricane Sandy and the Build It Back
23	program. Are we still on schedule to
24	complete the Build It Back construction by

T	the	end	ΟÍ	th	1S	year?
2		N	/AYC)R	DF.	BLAST

MAYOR DE BLASIO: Yes. I asked this question of Amy Peterson, who's the director of that program and I think has done an outstanding job, I ask her every few weeks. We are adamant, for the single-family homes -- we know for some of the multi-families it's a little more complicated -- for the single-family homes, by December 31st of this year. ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: I've also

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: I've also been speaking with Amy Peterson, and I agree that she has been very responsive to my office and has taken our recommendations into consideration, has helped us accomplish some of these goals.

With regards to the abandoned properties on Staten Island, myself and Senator Lanza and our councilman, Steve Matteo, we have written to you and Amy Peterson regarding having the city purchase for acquisition for redevelopment those homes that have been abandoned during Hurricane Sandy. I understand that there's a favorable

1	outcome. I just wanted to if you could
2	share the details.
3	MAYOR DE BLASIO: For this question
4	I appreciate very much the question and
5	appreciate that you and your colleagues have
6	really pushed us to look at this as another
7	good option. I would like to call in a
8	relief pitcher for this question and turn to
9	Sherif Soliman.
10	DIRECTOR SOLIMAN: We are interested
11	in finding a way to use this HUD funding, and
12	we hope to start developing the pilot this
13	February. And so we'll be in touch with you
14	and your colleagues on that.
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: Okay,
16	excellent.
17	The last question, because I ran out
18	of time, is do you anticipate because
19	we've received some constituents in my office
20	that would like to
21	MAYOR DE BLASIO: I'm sorry, say
22	again?
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: We
24	received some constituents over the last few

1	years that have missed the Build It Back
2	deadline and would like to be in the program.
3	Do you anticipate opening up the program
4	again for applicants should you have money
5	left over?
6	MAYOR DE BLASIO: I do not anticipate
7	that. We don't see a scenario at this moment
8	where there will be money left over. But
9	most importantly, we've set this deadline
10	after a very extensive process to many
11	opportunities were given to people to
12	participate. A lot of people chose not to.
13	Some people who thought they were eligible
L 4	were not. But we feel fundamentally the door
15	was open for a long time. It's time to put
16	Sandy behind us, get this job done and move
17	on.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: Okay. And
19	please let my office know how we can be
20	helpful in achieving this goal, making sure
21	we do finish, indeed, the construction by the
22	end of the year. Thank you.
23	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.

1	Senator?
2	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you very
3	much. Our next speaker would be Senator
4	Ruben Diaz.
5	SENATOR DIAZ: Thank you. Thank you.
6	Good morning, good morning
7	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Good morning.
8	SENATOR DIAZ: Good afternoon,
9	Mr. Mayor.
10	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Good afternoon,
11	whatever it is.
12	SENATOR DIAZ: Mr. Mayor, yesterday I
13	wrote in my column, "What You Should Know,"
L 4	and I gave you praise.
15	MAYOR DE BLASIO: I saw that, Senator.
16	I was very appreciative. We monitor your
17	statements closely, Senator.
18	SENATOR DIAZ: Yeah, but today we're
19	going to change. Today we're changing.
20	(Laughter.)
21	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Senator, it was fun
22	while it lasted.
23	SENATOR DIAZ: Today we go back to
2.4	normal

1		MAYOR	DE	BLASIO:	Ιt	was	fun	while	it
2	was	lasted.							

SENATOR DIAZ: Okay. I want to talk about the homeless situation with the City of New York. We have 40,000 families who are in shelters. There are community-based organizations sponsoring these programs, some of them, and they're {inaudible}. So Mr. Scott Stringer, the comptroller of the City of New York, has decided to stop payment and not to sign the contracts. That has forced some organizations to borrow money, community-based organizations to borrow money from the banks to pay interest that the city will not pay them, they will not reimburse them.

And also families that need services are being -- are paying the price and are being discriminated. I think that what Comptroller Stringer is doing is very damaging to the families and to the community-based organizations. Because if a landlord has some kind of violation, they hold the landlord's money but the community

1	organization	continues	providing	the	good
2	services.				

My question to you, how come -- why have you not sued Scott Stringer, the

New York City comptroller, to compel him to register the contracts as the law requires?

MAYOR DE BLASIO: Well, Senator, the goal always in government is to see if we can work things out. And we're in the process of we think productive conversations with the comptroller on that issue. And right now we are not being hindered from doing the work we have to do or making sure that providers who are doing their job get the resources they need.

Look, at the same time we have a lot we have to address in terms of the homelessness crisis. We look forward to working, for example, with the state comptroller, Tom DiNapoli, and with Comptroller Stringer, auditing the shelters, together making sure the repairs happen that are needed. We're doing a host of reforms in terms of homelessness -- our new HomeStat

1	outreach effort, obviously the 15,000 units
2	of supportive housing that we've committed
3	to. We're very, very happy the Governor has
4	committed to additional units. What we're
5	doing all the time to prevent homelessness in
6	the first instance, which has been more and
7	more successful. And the 22,000 people who
8	were in shelter who we got out of shelter and
9	got to better housing. We're going to deepen
10	all those efforts.

When it comes to the question with the city comptroller, we think we're moving productively to resolve that issue and to make sure that the providers who are doing their work the right way do continue to get the resources they need.

SENATOR DIAZ: Because I'm afraid that now with the new power that the Governor gave to the comptroller, the families are going to continue suffering. We have to protect the families.

MAYOR DE BLASIO: Of course.

SENATOR DIAZ: We have to be sure that those people in the shelters get the right

4			
⊥	services,	good	services.

Even though, even though -- I'm going to repeat myself again -- some landlords might have some violations, hold the landlords money but don't punish the community-based organizations and the recipients. MAYOR DE BLASIO: Well, Senator, what I'd say is, first of all, the way the

I'd say is, first of all, the way the
Governor structured his policy, it is an
audit process led by the state comptroller.
And I've talked to the Governor about this
and I've talked to the state comptroller
about it. It is led statewide by the state
comptroller working with the local
comptroller in New York City and in Buffalo.
They are not controlling the shelters. The
shelters continue to be operated by our
nonprofit partners or in some cases by the
city directly. That will continue. The
audit will provide us information about
things that need to be improved.

So we continue to have the same oversight of shelters we've had, the same

1	operations we've had. I agree with you
2	entirely, if we find a shelter with a problem
3	we're not going to penalize the people who
4	are being sheltered. We're going to make
5	sure that shelter continues to be fixed.
6	And I agree with the formulation the
7	Governor put forward in the State of the
8	State: If we find a shelter operator who
9	needs to do better, we'll make sure they do
10	better. If we find one that just isn't up to
11	it and we can replace them with another good
12	operator, we'll do that. In a very small
13	number of cases I think we're going to find a
14	few shelters that need to be closed wholesale
15	and we'll use a different location.
16	But most importantly, we're not going
17	to penalize the people who are already
18	suffering. We're going to make sure
19	SENATOR DIAZ: That's what we're doing
20	now. That's what we are
21	MAYOR DE BLASIO: And there's nothing
22	there's nothing just to clarify,
23	there's nothing in what the Governor has said
24	or that either comptroller has said or done

1	that stops us from continuing to make sure
2	that the shelters operate and that providers
3	get the resources they need.
4	SENATOR DIAZ: I appreciate you agree
5	with me on that, because I believe that
6	that's what the comptroller is doing, he's
7	punishing, he's penalizing the wrong people.
8	And that sounds like discrimination to me.
9	But let me ask you one more question
10	and then I'll finish, because we have to make
11	a long line here to get our 15 minutes of
12	fame. So let me get another question. How
13	come we have so big discrepancies in payment?
14	Some homeless organizations are getting
15	for doing the same kind of work, some are
16	getting paid at a higher rate than others.
17	And that's doesn't sound right. It sounds
18	why?
19	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Senator, I think you
20	raise an important point. Look
21	SENATOR DIAZ: Oh, man, I'm hitting
22	good today.
23	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Yeah, I think the
24	simple I talked about this at length a

1	couple of weeks back. The status quo that's
2	existed in our shelter system is not
3	acceptable to me, nor is the overall status
4	quo of homelessness in the city. It's gone
5	on for decades, it's grown over decades.
6	Between 2011, when the Advantage Program was
7	cut in that budget that year, that state
8	budget and the city budget, and the end of
9	the previous administration that was just
10	a 2-1/2-year time frame the shelter
11	population went up by 13,000 people in just 2
12	1/2 years in the previous administration.
13	So and I've been dealing with additional
14	challenges as well.
15	So my bottom line message to everyone
16	in New York City is that we are not going to
17	accept the status quo on homelessness, which
18	is why we're changing so many of the
19	strategies. But as part of our 90-day review
20	of the operations of the Department of
21	Homeless Services, we're going to look at the
22	contracting process and make sure that there
23	is parity in the way contracts are handled.
24	SENATOR DIAZ: Thank you. Thank you.

1	Because we're talking about raising the
2	minimum wage of people, that people cannot
3	suffer, but then you have throughout the City
4	of New York so many community-based
5	organizations trying to provide services to
6	the needy and then some of them are
7	struggling and another is getting a higher
8	rate, another is getting a low rate. I mean,
9	I have an organization in the Bronx that's
10	getting \$60 per night per person, where
11	others are getting \$143.
12	MAYOR DE BLASIO: No, that is part of
13	the review for sure. And I appreciate it.
14	SENATOR DIAZ: Thank you, Mr. Mayor.
15	And congratulations for the good job on the
16	snow.
17	MAYOR DE BLASIO: You're a good man.
18	Thank you.
19	SENATOR DIAZ: Luis Sepulveda told me
20	to say that.
21	(Laughter.)
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Always lively with
23	Senator Diaz.
24	I do want to point out that we have

Τ	been joined by Senator Jesse Hamilton and
2	Senator Phil Boyle.
3	Assemblyman?
4	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you, Senator.
5	Assemblywoman Nolan.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Thank you,
7	Mr. Chair. Thank you.
8	First, just quickly, a personal note.
9	I want to congratulate Senator Young on
10	really a wonderful achievement for women here
11	in the Legislature, which is to be the lead
12	member, the chair of such an important
13	committee.
14	When I got here, the only woman who
15	served on this committee was the late
16	Assemblywoman from Brooklyn, Eileen Dugan,
17	and she was here all alone. It was her first
18	year on these committees.
19	So though I philosophically don't
20	agree with anything you said, and I certainly
21	share and I completely agree with Liz's
22	comments, I just had to make that personal
23	note to congratulate you and wish you well on
24	such a really important achievement for

1	women
2	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, Cathy,
3	you're a good friend. Thank you.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: And you did a
5	great job for the issues that you represent,
6	we just don't agree.
7	But let me ask the mayor quickly about
8	the Smart Schools Bond Act. We have a lot of
9	education questions, but we'll save some of
10	them for the chancellor tomorrow. But the
11	city was promised \$700 million you know,
12	because I've asked you, this is the third
13	time now, and I certainly asked Mayor
14	Bloomberg and his predecessors, I
15	represent and many of us in Queens have
16	trailers. When we talk about things like
17	overcrowding in our schools or disability
18	accessibility, we're really trailers
19	really crystallize that, because we still
20	have 10,000, 10,000 and more children
21	though I think the number may finally be down
22	to eight that attend classes in a

substandard trailer in the City of New York.

That amount is larger than most of the other

23

1	600-plus school districts.
2	So how are we doing on trailers?
3	That's I guess our first thing.
4	And generally, I thought the Governor
5	promised you \$700 million, and I thought we
6	promised you \$700 million in that bond act.
7	I'm wondering if you've seen any of it yet.
8	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Let me speak to the
9	first question and then ask Dean and Sherif
10	to speak to what we've overall seen from the
11	bond act.
12	Specifically on the question of
13	trailers, so just a recap of recent history.
14	At the end of the previous administration in
15	the city, 352 trailers at 120 sites. And
16	overwhelmingly, as you know, in overcrowded
17	districts, particularly in Queens.
18	We have now eliminated 70, with an
19	additional 100 slated for elimination in the
20	near term. So as this plan proceeds,
21	basically 170 out of the 350 we inherited,
22	we're talking about half of them now that we

will have removed quickly.

And in terms of the funding, our

23

1	capital plan provides \$450 million for
2	trailer removal and for the alternatives
3	needed, \$100 million of which comes from the
4	Smart Schools Bond Act.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Has any Smart
6	Schools Bond Act money been released or
7	authorized or
8	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: No. Our
9	understanding is that the plan will be
10	finalized over the next month I want to
11	make sure of that. Yeah, over the next
12	month. So we have not received that yet.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Okay, thank you.
14	And then just generally on education,
15	the Regents as you know, the Regents'
16	submission is for a 2.9, I think it was,
17	billion dollar increase to finally begin to
18	move forward on the Campaign for Fiscal
19	Equity settlement. The governor came in with
20	a number he feels is reasonable,
21	understandably. He has to balance the
22	budget. But having said that, it's in my
23	opinion not enough. Many of us in the
24	Assembly would like to see more on Foundation

1	Aid, and I wondered if you would care to
2	comment on the city's position on what it
3	needs to run its schools. Not a share, not
4	some formula that goes back into the mists of
5	time, but what do you need from the state to
6	assist our city's schoolchildren.

MAYOR DE BLASIO: I appreciate the question deeply. When you think about the history of this city and what it's led us to, we're now -- only about a third of our kids are reading at third-grade level. And unfortunately, well under half of our kids who graduate are actually college-ready by the state's own standards.

We have a long way to go in bringing our schools up to the level they need to be at, both for the good of our children but also to have a viable workforce for the 21st-century economy.

The commitment made by the Court of

Appeals in the Campaign for Fiscal Equity

suit is as pertinent today as ever because

the demands are greater than ever. We have

made a series of major new investments in our

1	schools, but we know we cannot go as far as
2	we need to until we see that kind of
3	fundamental change.

That kind of funding would allow us, once and for all, to address the equity issues that you know better than anyone have gone unaddressed for many, many years. To really once and for all address the fair school funding formula properly would require that the \$2 billion or so that we would get additionally under Campaign for Fiscal Equity were provided to the city. So we have a lot we could do and a lot of wrongs we could right, but we can't do it on the level necessary for our kids until we see that kind of budgetary fairness.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: And then just one quick question with the remaining minutes; I thank the chairman.

As you know, I represent Long Island
City, and we are a growing community. The
number of residential units has skyrocketed.
We still don't really feel the city planning
people have really come up with an adequate

1	plan for, for example, fire service. We've
2	talked to you about trying to get the
3	reopening of a firehouse. We have all kinds
4	of needs down there, both schools which I
5	know your budget proposes building some
6	there, because we have nothing to offer
7	people but even as basic as the issues
8	with snowplowing or residential pickup. It's
9	still a commercial sanitation pickup zone,
10	for example.

And I would just ask that you consider having some kind of response to us about Long Island City as it grows in all the city services, whether it's EMS, fire -- the precinct down there has got to be over a 110-year-old building in the midst of all these giant high rises. I don't even know if it has adequate bathroom service for the men and women that work there. So we really need somebody to take a look at that. It's a local question, but I have to ask it.

And then just if either your wonderful tablemates there want to respond on the Smart Schools Bond Act, the trailers, or any of

1	these education issues, we're happy to get
2	some more follow-up. We still have a long
3	way to go on the trailers, particularly in
4	the high school level, which I understand has
5	never been properly counted. When you talk
6	about 120 sites, I'm not sure, I think that
7	may just be elementary and middle school.
8	Maybe someone can clarify that for me,
9	because apparently since high school kids
10	only go to trailers for a single class, like
11	a science class, that's not counted. And
12	it's just wrong. So we really need to get a
13	handle on the trailer issue still, moving
14	forward, but it is progress that's 70 of them
15	are gone, and we hope to see more progress,
16	more progress, and get that Smart Schools
17	Bond Act money there.
18	MAYOR DE BLASIO: I appreciate it.
19	And yes, we are hard at work on removing the
20	next hundred. I want to do that as quickly
21	as possible. We'll keep you updated on that.
22	I think we can get you the 120 sites to
23	confirm how high schools are treated. So
24	we'll get you that list.

L	On the Long Island City issue, I
2	agree. Again, a good challenge on one level
3	to have areas that are growing and doing so
4	well, but the infrastructure has to be there
5	This is an area where, as a council member,
6	as public advocate, I was very critical of
7	the city government not adequately
3	recognizing the infrastructure needs.

We have put more and more money into infrastructure. One of the ways we did it was with that capital stabilization reserve, which allowed us to make sure we could keep up our debt service payments. But I said the other day when I did one of my presentations, I think our constituents want infrastructure spending, more than ever in the past, on exactly what you described. As areas are growing, we need to support them with all the different pieces that people expect. We'll keep making that investment on a capital level because we know it's such a priority for our neighborhoods.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: But we do want to see down the road whether the city will

reopen that firehouse or do some other

responses to the explosion of population in

what was once a factory area. So we're going

to continue to follow up with you on that.

And on that same line, there are a number of new housing developments -- you and I attended the groundbreaking for one, and much to both of our mutual surprise, there was an issue there that we were under the assumption when we passed a bill here in Albany, that that was going to be a labor union project, and that seems to have broken down. It's not design/build, it's not a union job. That's just unacceptable, I know, to both of us. And I would ask for some follow-up on that.

When we were there that day, we spoke with people from the building trades who were extremely unhappy about that, as they should be, because that was never what was intended. So just generally, as we grow Long Island City and we grow the waterfront, we need to have a more comprehensive approach, whether it's through city planning or your office, to

1	come up with fire service, make sure that
2	these buildings are being built properly,
3	that these high rises are being built with
4	the right labor force and not just a
5	fly-by-night group, and just generally come
6	up with the schools and the services that
7	people will need in the future.
8	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: And thank you,
10	and congratulations again, Senator. Thank
11	you. And thank you, Chairman Farrell.
12	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you very
13	much. Our next speaker is Senator Andrew
14	Lanza.
15	SENATOR LANZA: Thank you, Madam
16	Chair.
17	Good afternoon, Mayor de Blasio.
18	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Good afternoon.
19	SENATOR LANZA: It's great to see you.
20	I was not going to speak because I
21	enjoy the opportunity of speaking to you and
22	your staff and your administration on a
23	regular basis concerning the needs of our
24	shared constituents. However, this afternoon

1	much discussion has centered around my
2	legislation concerning the property tax cap
3	for New York City, and I thought it important
4	that I speak publicly to some of the comments
5	that have been made and also hopefully
6	clarify some of the aspects of that
7	legislation.
8	In your statement you talked about the
9	affordable housing crisis that exists in New
10	York City and the fact that New Yorkers are
11	concerned about the cost of housing and
12	whether they're going to be able to live in
13	the City of New York. And that is a concern
14	that I share with you, Mayor.
15	The middle class feel that concern as
16	well. In your opening statement you
17	discussed the chasm between the very, very
18	wealthy I think you said for every
19	multi-million-dollar condo that is built in
20	New York City, there are more and more people
21	who don't have a place to live which they can
22	afford. In between that are the middle

24 And in response to Senator Avella you

class, who are being squeezed.

1	talked about the middle class growing in New
2	York City, and I'm not sure that is correct.
3	I think your first statement is correct, that
4	we have more wealthy and more poor. And the
5	middle class I see leaving in leaps and
6	bounds. I see that on Staten Island. And
7	this has been going on long before you became
8	mayor. People are leaving, people I grew up
9	with are leaving to places like Delaware and
10	Maryland and North Carolina and South
11	Carolina and other places.

And when you talk to them about why it is they've decided to leave, with their families, the place that they were either born or have called home, they universally tell me and will say that it is because the cost of living in New York City is too high for a family. And when you look at the components of the cost of living, especially of homeownership -- because as Senator Marchione pointed out, if you are a renter you pay that increase as well, it's passed down to you. But the components that factor into that cost of living are the property tax

1	in New York City, the income tax, the water
2	bill that has been mentioned, the taxes on
3	everything associated with homeownership,
4	like the tax on heating and cooling your
5	home, the electricity, the natural gas, the
6	heating oil, the telephone bill, and it goes
7	on and on. And it's one big basket that a
8	family needs to pay if they are going to be
9	able to afford to live in New York City.

Senator Krueger and I enjoy a healthy debate, usually on the floor of the Senate, over this legislation. I think we missed it today, Senator Krueger. I believe the bill has passed.

Let me tell you why I proposed and authored this legislation a few years ago. It was at that time that Senator Krueger joined me in passing a 2 percent property tax cap for the rest of the state. And I just thought that fairness dictates that the folks in New York City that we serve also enjoy that same protection. And so that's the genesis for this legislation, that New York City should live within its means and that

the homeowners and renters in New York City
should be protected by the same cap that the
rest of the state enjoys. I think not to
get him in trouble but Assemblyman Cusick
is the sponsor of this legislation in the
Assembly.

You pointed out that we need to be able to address emergencies as they arise.

In the wake of September 11th, the single largest increase in New York City property taxes -- I think was 18.5 percent, followed by another 7 percent -- in the history of the City of New York was enacted. I thought that went too far, and so do most of my constituents.

But nevertheless, Mayor, I want you to know that in this legislation there is an emergency pressure release valve, if you will. During an emergency, it can be overridden by a supermajority of the City Council and with the mayor's signature. So this legislation takes into consideration any eventual emergency.

Beyond that, you've talked about the

1	years in which the city did not spend within
2	its means, and that is precisely what this
3	legislation seeks to protect against. I
4	commend you, Mayor, because you have acted to
5	spend within the means that you have
6	available right now. I don't know who the
7	mayor is going to be in the future. We know
8	it has happened in the past. And I think, as
9	you say, and in your memorandum in opposition
10	for folks who don't know, when you propose
11	legislation around here you are inundated by
12	pieces of paper. They are either pink, which
13	means the people who wrote them oppose your
14	legislation, or yellow, meaning they support
15	your legislation. I received a pink piece of
16	paper from the City of New York. And I only
17	tease the mayor because I know we disagree or
18	this point, because the city opposes this
19	legislation.
20	But within that very memorandum it
21	says that over the last 20 years since 1997,

says that over the last 20 years since 1997,
the city has requested that the state enact
an even lower increase into law, generally 2
percent. And you have touted the way in

1	which you have constrained city spending
2	during your administration, and I commend
3	you. All I am saying is that we need to
4	codify that. We need to codify the
5	responsible policy that you espouse and that
6	you have employed so that future generations
7	of people, homeowners and renters in New York
8	City, can have that sense of security to know
9	that in the same way that they need to live
.0	within their means, the city will.

To Assemblyman Cusick's point, the certainty of knowing that you won't wake up in the City of New York one day to find out that property taxes are once again raised by 25 percent. I think we can all agree that the specter of that possibility is something that provides uncertainty to homeowners and new families, and more and more of them are deciding to take their families or start their families outside of New York City, outside of New York State, and we've got to prevent that from happening.

Because I think when you talk about the gentrification, I think yes, we have more

1	million and \$2 million and \$3 million homes,
2	and we have more people who can't afford any
3	home. And in the middle, what that tells
4	you because it's in many respects a
5	zero-sum equation is that the middle class
6	are being squeezed.

7 MAYOR DE BLASIO: So, Senator, just a 8 couple of responses.

I mean, first of all, it's always
difficult to argue with you even when I
disagree because of our long-standing
friendship and because you were a member in
the City Council. We were two of the fiscal
watchdogs in the process there, coming from
different parties and different ideologies,
but we shared a common belief that we had to
be responsible. And I appreciate what's
motivating your legislation.

I would argue that, again, we -- first of all, we are seeing a number of people come into our city who I would certainly not define as rich. I don't think it's quite as black and white as that. Yes, there is a fundamental problem of income inequality. We

1	h
1	both recognize that. There are a lot of
2	people who can afford the very expensive
3	coops; that most of us can't relate to in
4	terms of our personal finances. But we also
5	have a lot of people coming into the city who
6	I would define as middle class who are
7	seeking opportunity in the city in a lot of
8	the areas technology and film and TV and a
9	lot of the healthcare, a lot of the areas
10	that are booming. Which is why we have
11	213,000 new jobs. Which is why our
12	population is growing. We're at the
13	all-time-highest population we've ever had,
14	and it's growing.
15	So I don't think it's fair to say
16	every net new resident is just a wealthy
17	person. I think it's fair to say a lot of
18	people coming in certainly are also
19	middle-class folks. But that doesn't for a
20	moment negate your point. There's a real
21	squeeze on the middle-class residents we
22	have, and we're trying to figure out how to
23	help them in a lot of different ways.
24	The affordable housing plan has a very

1	substantial element to it that is
2	moderate-income and middle-income housing.
3	The classic scenario we often talk about, a
4	family that is let's say two public
5	servants, one's a cop, one's a teacher. A
6	big portion of the affordable housing plan
7	literally focuses on people with that kind of
3	combined income, to give them opportunity for
9	affordable housing as well.

Everything we're doing in terms of public safety, infrastructure, everything that grows the economy also grows opportunity for better-paying jobs for middle-class people. The tech sector, 300,000-plus jobs now in the larger tech sector, much more likely to be the higher-paying middle-class jobs. So I think it's a mixed bag on that front.

But I do get your underlying point. I would argue that you would expect of me not only balanced budgets but to protect the public safety, to improve the schools. I know how passionately you feel about public education. That's what these investments are

- 1 going towards.
- 2 And I do appreciate that there's in
- 3 effect a circuit-breaker in the event of
- 4 problematic times in your bill. But I also
- 5 would say you and I have served in the
- 6 City Council of New York City; a
- 7 supermajority is not easy to come by. And no
- 8 one likes to increase property taxes.
- 9 So what I'm trying to work with,
- 10 practically speaking, is a responsible budget
- 11 wherein I'm telling the people up front, I'm
- working every day to avoid a property tax
- increase. I don't belittle the effect of
- 14 assessments. I know it's hitting people hard
- and we should all work together to think
- about different ways to address that. But
- one thing I'm adamant about is I will keep
- 18 present budgets that do not involve a
- 19 property tax increase, and that's one of the
- 20 ways we're trying to help the middle class in
- New York City.
- 22 SENATOR LANZA: Quickly, two points,
- the first picking up on what Assemblyman
- 24 Cusick brought up, and that is the

1	prescription drug/opioid addiction crisis in
2	America and certainly here at home in New
3	York City. I thank you for coming to Staten
4	Island a short while ago to discuss some of
5	the measures New York City is now going to
6	put in place to combat this issue.

I can tell you, and I think we agree, we are not doing enough as a city, as a state, as a nation. Whenever there is a loss of life due to gun violence, for instance, we see press conferences from the most local of governments all the way to the White House and calls for change in policy. I'm not saying that's not appropriate; we should always look for ways to prevent the loss of life.

I tell you, Mayor, and these are the facts, drug addiction overdose is the number-one preventable cause of death in America right now, and I would like to see the same fervor, I would like to see the same attention. We need to do this. We need to come together. And one of the most noble things we can do as a society is to save

1	lives, and this is an opportunity for us to
2	do that. So I look forward to working with
3	you to build upon some of the measures that
4	you've put in place already. We really need
5	to do more if we're going to turn back the
6	tide of this crisis.
7	And the last point, and I'll just
8	leave it, I'll speak to you privately
9	about I have some legislation I'd like to
10	talk to you about. I know it's a very
11	difficult decision, you've put a lot of
12	thought into it, but the school closure
13	decision on Staten Island, I think we could
14	have used another day for cleanup. I think
15	we should have erred to more caution in terms
16	of whether or not we were ready to put
17	second-graders and third-graders out into
18	that storm. But I will talk to you privately
19	about that. And I do have some ideas about
20	some legislation.
21	But on the opioid addiction issue, if
22	you would.
23	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Well, I certainly

look forward to talking to you on the school

1	closure idea. I believe the way we're going
2	about it is sound and does protect our kids'
3	safety. And obviously we were blessed
4	yesterday to have, thank God, a nice sunny
5	day as part of the cleanup continued. But
6	certainly look forward to talking to you
7	about that.
8	On the opioid question, you know,
9	we've only just begun to fight. I know you
10	feel the same way; there's a lot more we have
11	to do. Outside of your own family, one of
12	your biggest fans in New York City is the
13	First Lady of New York City, Chirlane McCray
L 4	my wife, who believes fundamentally in what
15	you're doing and wants to partner with you o
16	Staten Island and beyond. So we're going to
17	be doing a lot more together.
18	SENATOR LANZA: Thank you, Mayor.
19	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you, Senator
20	Assemblyman Ortiz.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN ORTIZ: Thank you,
22	Mr. Chairman.
23	Good afternoon, Mr. Mayor.

MAYOR DE BLASIO: Good afternoon.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN ORTIZ: First of all, let
2	me just thank your staff during the weekend
3	of the during the heavy snowstorm that we
4	were facing, due to the fact that we had
5	numerous phone calls in my office. My office
6	was open Saturday and Sunday, just for the
7	record.

8 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Good for you. We 9 appreciate that.

ASSEMBLYMAN ORTIZ: As a result that we were getting so many phone calls of people who have no heat and no hot water. And I would like to thank your staff, HPD as well as your staff from the government affairs, Daniel Abramson and Brian Honan, for their persistent and continued talking back and forth with me during the weekend until we solved the problems in Red Hook. We had two buildings in Red Hook completely without heat; they came back in less than two hours. We have people in my district whose landlords was not giving the heat; they managed to talk to NYCHA and agreed, as well as to the landlords themselves, because I have the

1	phone number of the landlords. So we used
2	your agency and your power to scare them and
3	get it done.
4	So I guess I want to put that on the
5	record for the job well done during this
6	storm.
7	I do have a few questions; I'm going
8	back to education. As you probably know,
9	there was a study that was conducted by CDC
10	back in 2013 where it stated that suicide is
11	the third leading cause of death for
12	Hispanics aged 15 to 24, and this includes
13	females and males. In New York City,
14	Hispanic teens attempt suicide at more than
15	twice the rate of white youth.
16	My question to you is whether or
17	not what is the plan that you have in
18	place in order to address the issues of
19	mental health, especially suicide, among
20	teenagers in the school system?
21	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Well, I appreciate
22	the question, Assemblyman. It's a real
23	challenge, and one of the things we believe
24	is that till now we have not had a holistic

mental health strategy for the city. The
effort my wife has been working on, Thrive

NYC, is at least a strong beginning towards a
comprehensive mental health system and
approach for the city.

One of the things we're doing is focusing on children early. That means everything from making more resources available for mental health supports for the youngest children to focusing on the way we teach our teachers to approach mental health issues, straight on through to our community school approach, which puts mental health professionals in the schools directly so we can identify problems and act on them.

We all know and there's many tragic examples of young people identified early on — I sadly point out the example in Newtown, Connecticut, the young man who ended up killing so many schoolchildren and had evident mental health problems for years and years that went untreated. We have a chance to reach young people early, and that could be about stopping suicide or other types of

1	negative outcomes. So we believe the
2	investments we're making are going to lead to
3	a lot more young people being identified
4	early and actually having the services they
5	need

We're also creating something called

NYC Support, which will come online over the

next year, which is literally a service

through which people can call and get

connected to healthcare services, and then

there's an ongoing monitoring effort to

support them in that, to make sure they

actually get what they need on a timely basis
and follow through.

That hasn't existed in the past,
because we all know it's very hard for anyone
to navigate the mental health opportunities
that exist, especially for parents with
children. The system is almost set up in a
way that even if you heard about where
services are, they're very, very hard to
access. So we're trying to break through
that fundamentally, and that I think will be
one of the ways we start to address this

l tragic reality of teen s	sulcide.
----------------------------	----------

ASSEMBLYMAN ORTIZ: Well, one of the things that I -- I have introduced a piece of legislation about mandating that we should do early assessment of mental health in the school system. I do believe, like you, and I do believe strongly that prevention, once we identify the problems that maybe some child might have, that that problem probably was not created by the child, was created by the parents. And we also have to realize that sometimes the parents have been stuck at the age of 6 or 7 or 10 years old.

So I do have a bill mandating that we should have a social worker, mental social worker in every school in the State of New York, a psychologist in the schools of New York as well, as well as define what the role of the psychologist and the guidance counselor is all about.

I would like for you and your staff to look at it and give me your recommendation.

I think that -- again, I do believe in early intervention. I believe that if we can catch

the problem early enough, we will be able to have less of our youth to go to through the criminal justice path. And I hope that I can encourage you to do so.

Now, last year I was invited by default to be part of your announcement at the South Brooklyn Marine Terminal to be built, the port, in Sunset Park. One of the major issues that we are facing is the lack of communication with EDC. And the way that they conducted, the way that they conducted these two hearings to include people -- I was very happy to hear from them to ask for my recommendation, but then my recommendation was not really taken into consideration.

And my recommendation was very simple:

Reach out to the community, talk to the

community, make sure the community gets

engaged. I even offered my office to make

sure that we can do outreach together, the

fact that I have a list of community groups

and community organizations and constituents

that can participate and be part of the

process.

1	So, you know, the lack
2	of communication regarding embracing more
3	people to participate in the process was
4	lacking.
5	One of the biggest questions I have
6	is this is a rumor that is going out in
7	Sunset Park more and more often now and
8	coming more close to my office is that
9	there's a belief that this project and this
10	development will bring about 55,000 more
11	trucks into Sunset Park every year.
12	As you know, I have the BQE that
13	everybody can cross through Sunset Park.
14	They pay the toll in Staten Island and they
15	pay the toll in Manhattan at the end of
16	the at the other side. We don't get no
17	money as a result of that, we just get the
18	environmental impact of the emission that i
19	coming out of the fumes of the cars.

So my question is -- and if you don't have the answer, you know, we can follow up later. But my question is, is there going to be any kind of environmental impact study and also a trucking study, a vehicle study, about

1	how many trucks are going to be coming in and
2	out of this facility once the facility is
3	built?
4	And the last question is regarding the
5	jobs opportunity where the unions,
6	longshoremen, feel that they've been left out
7	of the process. And I've been trying to
8	encourage them to be in the process, because
9	I hope that the people of Sunset Park will
10	benefit from these good-paying jobs that are
11	coming as a result of this development that I
12	will continue to support, I've been a
13	supporter of that. I have no problem with
14	the backlash that I'm getting. But I think
15	it is very critical for me to assure the
16	people in my community that these 5,000
17	trucks that are coming through, it is true,
18	it is not true, and what the city is doing to
19	address that.
20	And thank you, Mr. Mayor, for being
21	here today.
22	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you. Thank
23	you for raising the concerns, Assemblyman.
24	First of all, I'd say I share your

1	enthusiasm for the potential of what we can
2	do in terms of creating jobs in your
3	district. And these in many cases, again,
4	would be solid middle-class jobs in terms of
<u>-</u>	the pay levels and the benefit levels

There's a lot that has to be worked out till we get to that point. So by the time we get to the jobs being created and whatever trucks running, there's a lot of time to make the adjustments and to create the kind of balance we need. We all want the jobs. We all want high-quality jobs, we want them to maximally benefit Sunset Park and Red Hook and the surrounding communities that have a lot of economic need.

If that involves a certain amount of truck traffic, we obviously have to make sure it's a fair amount and it's managed properly and we look at any health or safety issues related. But there is time to do that.

I'll certainly -- I want to make sure you feel that you're being consulted, your community is being consulted. We certainly want to work with our labor partners. So

1	we'll double back with EDC to make sure that
2	that process is consultative going forward.
3	But I think the goal here is one that will
4	greatly benefit your district.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN ORTIZ: Thank you.
6	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you.
7	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
8	much.
9	We're going to take a 10-minute break,
10	and we will come back and continue.
11	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you,
12	Mr. Chair.
13	(A brief recess was taken.)
14	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: We're back after a
15	break, and the next to question is Senator
16	Diane Savino.
17	SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you, Senator
18	Young.
19	Good afternoon, Mayor de Blasio.
20	First I want to thank you for the
21	coordination of your office with my office
22	and others on Staten Island and Brooklyn with
23	respect to the response to the storm. And I

for once can say that I think we on

1	Staten Island overall were quite happy. So
2	thank you for that.
3	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Music to my ears,
4	Senator.
5	SENATOR SAVINO: I know, it's amazing.
6	Not to belabor the point on the
7	property tax issue, I would be remiss if I
8	did not at least chime in on it. And while
9	it is an undisputed fact that the city has
10	not taken steps to raise the property tax
11	rate, property taxes have gone up
12	nevertheless. And homeowners are feeling it,
13	and I just want you to understand the
14	concerns that they have. And also it's the
15	unfair way in which we assess properties in
16	New York. It's a byzantine method.
17	So while we may disagree on the issue
18	of a property tax cap, I think the discussion
19	has to continue so that we can provide some
20	sort of relief for our middle class
21	homeowners. We want them to stay and thrive

in New York City. I just wanted to get that

on the record. So you don't have to respond;

I know your position on it.

22

23

I want to go to a couple of issues in
your presentation, one on your housing plan.
I know you have an ambitious housing plan to
create 200,000 units of affordable housing,
but with the collapse of the 421a and the
tremendous opposition you're getting from
community groups across the city, how are you
going to achieve that goal of 200,000 units?

MAYOR DE BLASIO: Well, I would say a couple of things. First of all, we believe that the 421a reform we put forward made sense, actually represented an extraordinary consensus between City Hall, the real estate community, housing advocates. There was a real sense that this was the kind of balanced reform that was in the taxpayers' interest, would create more affordable housing, would stop subsidizing luxury housing, et cetera.

We believe that idea is alive and well and should be handled here in the Legislature as quickly as possible so we can have the kind of program that's actually fair and will help us to create a substantial amount of affordable housing.

1	But in the meantime, we have a number
2	of efforts already underway that certainly
3	throughout calendar year 2016 will yield a
4	tremendous amount of affordable housing. So
5	a lot of the development that was already
6	moving and a lot of our preservation efforts,
7	which have nothing to do with the 421a
8	program, those will continue and in fact
9	we'll intensify them as we work with the
10	Legislature to find a way forward on a tax
11	incentive program.

In terms of the response to mandatory inclusionary zoning, we believe mandatory inclusionary zoning is more pertinent than ever, given that 421a is now not on the table. And it's one of the best tools we have to get more affordable housing and demand fairness from developers, who obviously want the opportunity to build, and often build higher, in the city.

Yes, community boards have expressed real concern. I say, as a former City Council member, it never surprises me when a community board, in perfectly good faith,

1	expresses concern about any form of
2	development. I think it's, you know, the
3	assumption. But when you look at the City
4	Council members, when you look at the views
5	of other elected officials and major
6	organizations in our city like AARP, which
7	has a huge membership and fully supports our
8	plan, I think the debate is more and more
9	balanced in favor of the plan and I think we
10	will be able to move forward.
11	SENATOR SAVINO: Hopefully so.
12	I want to turn to another issue. As
13	you know, earlier this year Senator Klein and
14	myself, joined by Councilmember Ritchie
15	Torres and Councilman Mark Treyger who is
16	somewhere in the room here, or he's stepped
17	out we released a report on the New York
18	City Housing Authority detailing what we
19	called the worst slumlord in the state. And
20	you know the conditions of NYCHA, the NYCHA
21	developments. And we all know that they have
22	suffered from years of neglect, there's no
23	doubt about that.

So last year the city put up

1	\$100 million towards NYCHA. This year you're
2	proposing the same thing, you're asking the
3	state to match it. We did that last year.
4	But the concern we have is that if we just
5	give the money over the NYCHA, we're going to
6	get the same result we've gotten not just
7	it's not your problem, it's happening with
8	every administration. NYCHA seems to be the
9	giant black hole that money goes to to
10	disappear, and we never see actual
11	improvements in the facilities, in the
12	properties.

So how can we make sure that the money is spent appropriately and goes towards the things that we know we need, whether it's mold remediation or, you know, safety and lighting and security and door locks and, you know, improvements in kitchens and bathrooms?

MAYOR DE BLASIO: I would say that I understand why you feel what you feel about the history of the housing authority. I think you're right about the history of disinvestment. I think you were in the past too often right that money was not used well

1	enough
_	enougi.

We have been very clear that I am taking personal responsibility for the situation at the housing authority. I talk regularly with the leadership. We have provided unprecedented financial support for the housing authority. We literally canceled a city tax on the housing authority, it was a pilot, going back to 1947. We canceled that so the housing authority could take those resources and put them towards repairs.

As you know, for many years the housing authority was forced to pay separately for police coverage of its developments. We canceled that, put that money back towards repairs. Consequently, the amount of repairs has increased intensely.

We changed the policy in terms of the scaffolding in many of the developments that were being left for no apparent reason for months or years on end; we ended that policy.

We ensured that projects are moved on rapidly and then the scaffolding comes down. We're

1 changing a lot of things.

But the biggest thing is the

NextGeneration NYCHA plan. Given the

\$17 billion in capital needs that have gone

unmet, we had to come up with a plan for the

long term that would deal with the capital

challenges, and we had to come up with a

financial restructuring in terms of the

expense side of the budget. We have that now

with the NextGeneration NYCHA plan.

And we will keep doing everything we have to do, including the right kind of development on NYCHA land that focuses on affordable housing and also resources that actually go back to NYCHA for changes. And then we're putting our own city capital dollars in to address the roof issues. And again, a lot of the resources that come out of the other approaches within NextGen NYCHA will go to issues like fixing roofs, fixing mold, safety systems, et cetera.

So I think NYCHA is changing rapidly, and this plan, with each passing year, will have more and more impact. That being said,

1	we need help from the state. That first
2	\$100 million has still not arrived. We're in
3	the fiscal year still; we have not seen the
4	money. We need your support and your
5	colleagues' support in ensuring that money
6	arrives. It will help, obviously, hundreds
7	of thousands of people. And then I think
8	there's a big question, when we look forward
9	to next year, what will be the status of the
10	federal government on the question of
11	housing. And there could be some big changes
12	next year in the composition of the federal
13	government that might lead to a reopening of
14	the housing discussion and, we'd like to
15	believe, a chance to get some of the support
16	that would actually relieve some of this
17	pressure.
18	SENATOR SAVINO: We live in hope on
19	that.
20	I want to move to homeless policy.
21	You and I have been around long enough by now
22	that we remember when the Department of
23	Homeless Services was created, back in 1993
24	by the City Council in response to the

1	lawsuit and also, if I remember, Muzzy
2	Rosenblatt. Do you remember Muzzy
3	Rosenblatt?
4	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Yes. Memorable
5	name.
6	SENATOR SAVINO: Right. He convinced
7	Mayor Dinkins that if we had a separate,
8	free-standing agency to focus on homeless
9	policy, that that would be the best way to
10	deal with the homeless crisis. Which is very
11	similar to the crisis we're seeing now,
12	thousands of people undomiciled, living in
13	the streets, you know, the city not getting
14	the best value from the shelters that they
15	were using or putting people up in hotels.
16	Very similar situation.
17	I would say after 22 years of this
18	experiment, I actually believe that we'd be
19	better off if we put DHS back into HRA, under
20	the auspices of, you know, the commissioner
21	of HRA, Steve Banks. What's your opinion on
22	that?

MAYOR DE BLASIO: We have a 90-day

review that's well underway now, Commissioner

23

1	Banks, working with First Deputy Mayor
2	Shorris and our new deputy mayor,
3	Dr. Palacio, and we're going to look at a
4	number of options of how to improve the work
5	of DHS and the coordination between DHS and
6	HRA.
7	I've certainly heard some and, you
8	know, you and others suggest a potential
9	re-merging. All options will be on the
10	table. I don't want to bias the discussion
11	by saying we have a preference, because we're
12	going through a careful operational review.
13	But one thing I can guarantee is at the end
14	of this process, we are going to have a
15	better operation, a different operation.
16	And I agree with you that Commissioner
17	Banks has done an outstanding job, as part of
18	this review and before, in addressing these
19	very difficult issues.

The changes now, I would say -- and you're an expert, you've paid a lot of attention to this issue for a long time. We needed a whole different level of supportive housing. For the first time, only in the

1	last few months, with the city's announcement
2	of 15,000 units and now the Governor coming
3	forward with 20,000 units, this is the first
4	time literally in our history we've talked
5	about the real numbers we need. That's a
6	great blessing, and I commend the Governor
7	for that. We look forward to following
8	through on that.

We never had the right kind of preventative programs -- or we had them only, maybe more accurately, for a very brief period of time. We never had the right kind of rental subsidy programs for a sustained period of time. We rarely had the right kind of anti-eviction programs.

We've now taken all of those tools and put them on the playing field simultaneously, well resourced and for the long haul. And we've told landlords all over the city that these subsidy programs are -- we're going to keep them for the long haul because we need to do it right.

The preventative programs have reached 91,000 people -- not all of them would end up

1	in shelters, but some of them for sure would
2	have, and that's helped 22,000 folks who have
3	been moved out of shelter into housing. That
4	shows that the subsidy efforts are working.
5	And for the first time ever, we're going to
6	have HomeStat doing consistent outreach,
7	working individual by individual to get
8	people off the streets, 500 new Safe Haven
9	beds, more homeless and runaway youth beds
10	than ever before, growing as needed each
11	year.
12	So I'd like to believe that despite
13	the fact this is a historic problem, for the
14	first time the city is arraying the tools
15	necessary to actually turn this problem
16	around.

SENATOR SAVINO: Hopefully. And, you know, I'm also a big supporter of the Governor's intervention here and his willingness to partner with the city to create what I believe that both of you know very well, it's that continuum of care for the homeless. As you and I know, homelessness doesn't happen overnight to

1	people. It's usually a slow, steady descent
2	into it. It's not just income insecurity,
3	it's a combination of things. There are
4	addiction issues and domestic violence and,
5	you know, mental illness, and all of those
6	things lead to further income insecurity and
7	housing insecurity.
8	So hopefully there will be real
9	coordination between the city and the state
10	to address those issues. And I'm just going
11	to say this again, I think HRA is the agency
12	that really should oversee this, because many
13	of these clients are cross-systemized.
14	They're already being serviced by HRA
15	caseworkers as well. So to the extent that
16	you have broad case management, you're able
17	to really service a family.
18	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Appreciate it.
19	SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
21	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, Senator.
22	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblyman Colton.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN COLTON: Thank you,
24	Mr. Chair.

1	Good afternoon, Mayor.
2	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Good afternoon.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN COLTON: I would like to
4	ask you a few questions on a topic which is a
5	very high priority to me, and I'd like to go
6	from the earliest to the highest levels of
7	it.
8	I believe that CUNY is an institution
9	which is very important to New York as an
10	economic engine, both to the city and to the
11	state. Up to 1976, the history was that
12	there was a $50/50$ split in terms of the
13	operating expenses of this great institution.
14	And then during the city's fiscal crisis at
15	that point, the state stepped up to the plate
16	and the city's share was reduced to what now
17	I understand is a token of 1 or 2 percent of
18	the operating costs.
19	I believe that CUNY very
20	passionately is extremely important,
21	especially to all of our young adults in
22	terms of giving them what they need in order

to be able to overcome economic difficulties.

Now, in this particular time we have

23

1	the city and the state, both their economic
2	climates are a lot better. Obviously we need
3	to reserve money and we need to make sure
4	that they stay in good condition. But would
5	it not be reasonable for the city to show its
6	willingness to step up to the plate and to
7	increase their share as a symbol of the
8	importance of this institution to young
9	people throughout the city and the state, and
10	as a way, you know, to show its willingness
11	and its priority to making sure that young
12	people continue to have all the benefits of
13	CUNY? Would it not be unreasonable {sic} to
14	raise it more than the 1 or 2 percent of the
15	operating expenses?
16	MAYOR DE BLASIO: I'm going to let
17	Assemblyman, Dean Fuleihan will go over the
18	overall financial reality and the
19	contributions we make.
20	The City of New York contributes in
21	substantial ways to CUNY. In fact, in the
22	last two budgets we've added resources to

CUNY in a strategic manner. We believed

fundamentally in the ASAP program as a tool

23

1	to make sure that young people who went into
2	CUNY succeeded, and we thought that was a
3	good investment on top of any other
4	obligations that we had. We believed
5	fundamentally that STEM programs should be
6	supported, so we put a growing amount of
7	resources into supporting two-year STEM
8	programs because we knew that would help a
9	lot of our young people go right into
10	technology jobs that are now growing in the
11	city, even with a two-year degree.

investments in CUNY. We have already made clear to the state that we will pay our proportionate share in terms of the labor agreement that we hope will be struck. We believe that folks who work, members of PSC CUNY deserve a new labor deal. We obviously, in terms of the city workforce, are very proud of the fact we have 95 percent of our union members now in either a ratified contract or an agreement. We think the PSC CUNY members should be covered by a new contract; we've already made very clear we

will pay our appropriate share of that.

So there's many ways in which we contribute to CUNY. I think, given the other needs that I've outlined, the challenges we face -- pension costs, Health and Hospitals costs, everything we're trying to invest in in terms of public safety, education, et cetera -- and the fact that our reserves could easily be wiped out by an economic downturn, I don't think it's right to take state obligations and increasingly put them on the City of New York.

But I'd like Dean just to summarize the city's ongoing contributions to CUNY.

DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: Right. When the takeover occurred, CUNY's senior colleges were put on the exact same footing as SUNY senior colleges. The community college piece was divided so that the city would pay a third, tuition would do a third, the state would do a third. And that's the exact same arrangement as all community colleges across the state, except in all local community colleges in SUNY, actually the local

1	government controls the local board of
2	trustees, where we have one-third
3	representation on the whole piece.
4	So we do pay actually 34 percent,
5	tuition pays about 40 percent, and the state
6	pays the remainder of the difference. So we
7	do make more than the actual statutory
8	commitment to the community colleges. And
9	then we pay half of the capital on the
10	community colleges.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN COLTON: All right. I
12	just think that in the sense of partnership,
13	and in making it clear the priority that CUNY
14	has to New York City and to New York State,
15	that it would be not unreasonable to think
16	about increasing the percentage, when of the
17	operating costs it's so 1 or 2 percent
18	is you know, it seems very low.
19	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Again I'm sorry
20	to interrupt, Assemblymember, I just want to
21	make sure because I think Dean kind of ran
22	through that. We're paying half the capital
23	costs of the community colleges, and repeat
24	again

1	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: 34 percent of
2	the operating cost of the community colleges,
3	the biggest governmental contribution.
4	Tuition pays 40, and the remainder is paid by
5	the state.
6	MAYOR DE BLASIO: And please just
7	reiterate how that compares to what other
8	localities do around the state with their
9	community colleges.
10	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: We're at the high
11	end of what most localities do around the
12	state in their community college
13	contribution.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN COLTON: I think one of
15	the reasons why New York City does generate
16	so much revenue to the state is because of
17	the importance of CUNY. And, you know, I
18	think but that also means it's important
19	to New York City. So I think as a gesture it
20	is not unreasonable. There has to be
21	negotiation, there has to be discussion. For
22	example, the Governor has said we need to
23	find administrative efficiencies with CUNY
24	and SUNY. You know, is it reasonable that

1	the state funds CUNY 36 million and funds
2	SUNY 14 million? So there's room here for
3	maneuvering. And I just think that, you
4	know, the city has to, you know, engage in
5	that.

On another topic which is also very important, the area of pre-K. Last year the Governor stepped up and he made a commitment to provide state funding to New York City for over \$300 million. And that has continued, he's proposing that be continued again this year.

Also, under the Smart Bonds issue, which the Governor proposed and the Legislature passed and the voters approved, I believe we're going to have -- New York City will be eligible for some \$783 million in funding.

One of the things that I think I want to emphasize, in association with Assemblymember Cathy Nolan, is the importance of the transportable units, those units being, you know, replaced. But also a very big issue in my district is pre-K seating.

1	We have serious problems with that. There
2	isn't enough, for example. And even where
3	it's found, there are problems. In
4	District 20 a location was found for pre-K
5	seating, and it's in the middle of a heavy
6	truck zone and there's a lot of community
7	opposition with parents on that.
8	So what efforts, what plans has the
9	city made to try to get more pre-K seats in
10	the public schools? And how do we do that?
11	MAYOR DE BLASIO: A couple of
12	different things, Assemblyman. So the effort
13	to reach the level that we are at now,
14	68,500-plus young people in pre-K and
15	again, that was 20,000 when I started my
16	administration. So we've added almost 50,000
17	kids per year now.
18	That happened an extraordinary
19	effort, and I commend all of my colleagues
20	who pulled that off, and I thank again
21	everyone here and everyone in the Legislature
22	for providing the funding. That required a
23	heavy emphasis on existing community-based

organizations, existing schools of various

1	types,	including	religious	schools,	and	it's
2	been a	very, ver	y effective	e effort.		

At the same time, we want to develop more capacity. So, for example, in our capital plan there are additional pre-K centers, stand-alone pre-K centers in some neighborhoods. We continue to work closely with the archdiocese and the diocese in terms of buildings that they have available coming into our domain, either by lease or by purchase. And we also make adjustments constantly.

The locations we had this year were the ones that we thought were the best able to provide the best-quality pre-K for our kids. But if we find that a location ended up to not be as good as we want it to be, we can make adjustments for next year because we actually had additional locations being offered. Not always geographically exactly where we wanted them, but we still have choices to work with.

We would love to work with you and your office, if we think there's a location

1	that should be better, to see if there's
2	another site in the community that would be a
3	better alternative.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN COLTON: Yeah, because
5	this is a very important priority, I think
6	from the pre-K level all the way up to higher
7	education. And I really think the city has
8	to put a big effort into that.
9	There are a couple of other areas I
10	had, but I'm going to leave that for now
11	because my time is just about up. So thank
12	you, Mr. Mayor.
13	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Senator.
15	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you,
16	Assemblyman.
17	Our next speaker is Assemblyman
18	Espaillat.
19	SENATOR ESPAILLAT: Good afternoon,
20	Mr. Mayor.
21	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Good afternoon.
22	SENATOR ESPAILLAT: Well, first let me
23	start out by trying to dispel something that
24	has been really alluded to here with regards

1	to CUNY and what seems to be a perception
2	that the rest of the state is going to be
3	saddled disproportionately with the
4	operational costs of CUNY. When in fact, you
5	know, traditionally and I've been here 20
6	years it's the other way around. The city
7	produces far more revenue for the state than
8	it gets back in the budget. That was the
9	basis for the Campaign for Fiscal Equity
10	lawsuit, that many felt that the city was
11	giving more in revenue, that the windfall of
12	revenue from the city, coming from the city,
13	was so much more than we got back for
14	schools.
15	And just recently, when we had the
16	settlement on the Attorney General's
17	office had settlement money distributed here

And just recently, when we had the settlement on -- the Attorney General's office had settlement money distributed here to the state, although the offenses may have been committed in the city, it was really more upstate and outside of the city that benefited from the investment in these close to maybe 5-point-something billions of dollars.

24 So I want to dispel the notion that

1	the city is saddling the rest of the state.
2	First of all, it perpetuates this
3	upstate-versus-downstate scenario which I
4	think is damaging to all of us.
5	But let me also just begin with the
6	CUNY piece and say that in traditional
7	Shakespearean Albany logic, a cut is a cut by
8	any other name. And so every time we see
9	mergers, we know that at the end of the day
10	it may mean a cut. When we see downsizing,
11	we know that at the end of the day, when the
12	rubber meets the road, that may be a cut.
13	When we see administrative efficiencies and
14	reform, we know that at the end of the day
15	this could very well be a cut.
16	So I am concerned and I want to ask
17	you, what in fact will be hurt? Let's say
18	those \$485 million were cut right now. What
19	kind of services, operations will be
20	compromised and hurt within the CUNY system
21	right now if this were to happen today?
22	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Well, let me start

by saying, again, let's acknowledge an

unusual situation here. This item appeared

23

in the budget, was not, you know, part of any previous discussion, and then the next day the Governor offered a clarification, which again I very much appreciate. The clarification said that it would not cost the city a penny, and alternative means would be worked on -- which again will start hopefully as early as next week. And we'll see what that yields.

So what I can say to you is I believe, based on what we are hearing now, that there will not be a cut. But let's take your theoretical question. Clearly we have a CUNY system that is trying to reach a lot of young people and give them opportunity and straining to do that. And if you were to take half billion dollars out, it would mean, you know, any number of potentially negative scenarios. Obviously a lot fewer kids being served in one way or another. And let's face it, the kinds of things we're trying to do, like the investment in STEM education so kids can get, young people can get the education and go straight in the workforce, that would

<pre>be undercut fundamentall</pre>	у.	
-------------------------------------	----	--

Or, you know, if you think about other areas of the city budget, if you tried to move those cuts elsewhere, where would you cut? Would you cut from education? Would you cut from police? So I think it's fair to say that this would be a very, very major impact.

But again, we're going to take the Governor on his word and follow through in a very transparent fashion on that so that these cuts will not be seen and will not have an impact on our young people.

SENATOR ESPAILLAT: Well, let me just share with you, Mr. Mayor, that I will be looking forward to monitor the situation to ensure that these efficiencies or administrative reforms don't actually turn into a cut, because I think that would be very damaging to the CUNY system if that is the case.

And so I will monitor the situation, and if we talk about trimming the fat, as it's often called, or some minor

1	administrative changes, that's acceptable.
2	But I know that I've been here long enough to
3	know that a cut is a cut. And so I am deeply
4	concerned that that will impact, dramatically
5	impact CUNY, which continues to be a beacon
6	of hope and opportunities. CUNY is the
7	Harvard, the Yale, the Cornell of our
8	working-class and middle-class communities.
9	And so to potentially absorb a cut of this
10	magnitude puts a hit on the future of the
11	city and the State of New York.
12	On another matter, Mr. Mayor, let me
13	commend you for your interest in tackling the
14	affordability problem in the city,
15	particularly when it comes to affordable
16	housing. And your administration has
17	proposed mandatory inclusionary zoning as the
18	model to address the issue of affordability
19	in housing in the City of New York. And
20	whereas that may yield some affordable units,
21	many people across the city community
22	boards, myself and others are concerned

that this particular model, whereas it may

yield some affordable units, it could also

23

1	unleash market forces and gentrification to a
2	level that many working-class and
3	middle-class families will be hurt.
4	In my particular district we have
5	already begun to see speculation.
6	Yesterday's New York Times published an
7	article that highlighted the Rockaways and
8	East Harlem as two neighborhoods that have
9	seen how affordable housing can be built.
10	You yourself were at a ribbon-cutting
11	ceremony in my district where Broadway
12	Housing opened up a full 100 percent
13	affordable housing community.
L 4	Why not build affordable housing? Why
15	can we not build 100 percent affordable
16	housing that guarantees poor people, working
17	people, that are really the ones hurting in
18	the housing crunch? Because I think by
19	engaging in the $70/30$, $80/20$ model, we are
20	exposing communities like the one that I
21	serve that have 90 percent of the housing
22	stock, rent-stabilized, privately owned

buildings, subject to the market. And

landlords and owners who come in and buy off

23

1	tenants, it would unleash a whole series of
2	practices that eventually result in losing
3	more affordable housing that you have
4	produced.
5	And so this is the essence of the
6	fear. I don't think it's just like a frenzy
7	in the community boards that, you know, we
8	see maybe regularly on a host of issues.
9	This is a deep-seated concern that
10	communities have across the City of New York
11	It has manifested itself in the Bronx,
12	unanimously in Queens, and even in Manhattan
13	God knows we never agree on anything in
14	Manhattan.
15	But it's deep-seated, and I am
16	concerned that the mandatory inclusionary
17	zone, although well-intended to produce X
18	amount of affordable housing units, that it
19	will in fact result in the displacement of
20	hundreds and thousands of families that are
21	living in working-class communities around

23 dramatic shift in the values of those 24 properties, and subsequently there goes the

22

these developments that will witness a

1	rent.

So I am concerned about this. I would like to see from the city good faith and that you come to these neighborhoods and you build affordable housing. It's been done in the past. The New York Times said yesterday that it was done successfully in the Rockaways and East Harlem. And it's been done in my district. Why not start that way and not with the mandatory inclusionary zoning?

MAYOR DE BLASIO: Well, I appreciate the question, Senator, because you're getting to the heart of the matter on many levels.

First of all, I want to be clear on my view of the community boards' response. I'm not trying to in any way stereotype it. I'm saying I do think community boards have a history of being dubious of all types of development. I don't think that's an unfair statement; I think everyone who's worked with community boards -- I spent eight years working closely with the community boards in my district when I was a councilman -- we know that's a pretty consistent reality.

1	At the same time, I agree with you,
2	whether it's community boards or community
3	activists or clergypeople, they're speaking
4	up out of deep concern and fear about
5	displacement. And I've tried to speak
6	bluntly to it, and I started that process a
7	year ago in my State of the City speech
8	because, honestly, here's what I think is the
9	bigger picture. I don't think the issue of
10	gentrification has been honestly discussed in
11	this city over 10 or 20 years as it has been
12	growing as a phenomenon. I don't think there
13	was a honest debate about what it meant,
14	about the impact it was having, about what we
15	could do about it, what was the role of
16	public policy, what should we do differently.
17	None of that happened.
18	And in the previous administration,
19	with all due respect to them, there was I
20	think a kind of laissez-faire approach
21	wherein market forces dominated the entire
22	playing field. As you know, a lot of this
23	city is in an as-of-right status where
24	developers have the right to work within the

1 existin	g zoning	and	can	do	а	lot	with	it
-----------	----------	-----	-----	----	---	-----	------	----

2 The areas that are now being discussed for

3 rezoning are relatively few in the scheme of

4 things. A lot of rezoning happened in the

5 previous administration already.

And what we found in the previous approach was that there were not particularly stringent demands put on developers in terms of affordable housing, and even some of the plans that were agreed to with communities were not honored. So that has bred a lot of cynicism, rightfully, in communities about any affordable housing plan.

I think it's fair to say I have a very different approach. I think I've proven that on many levels. What I saw -- and maybe it has to do with personal experience -- what I saw happen in my neighborhood in Brooklyn and in surround neighborhoods, I can certainly say this about Bed-Stuy, I can say this about Bushwick, I can say this about a lot of places -- is in the absence of a policy, the market forces simply created displacement. They didn't need rezonings, it just kept

1	happening and happening with no
2	compensating impact in favor of the
3	community. So if you literally say if we
4	just walked away now, we said let the market
5	determine all of this, you would see more and
6	more people displaced, you would not see new
7	affordable housing built in appreciable
8	numbers. Because if we just took the part
9	out of our plan that did not benefit from
10	some type of private-sector activity, it
11	would be a much, much smaller number. We'd
12	never get to 200,000 units.

So we would have a lot less affordable housing being built, we'd still have a lot of people being displaced, we wouldn't have the opportunity to do a lot of good things in communities through rezonings. And, you know, you know as well as I do, rezoning is an opportunity to do a lot of the capital improvements to a community, a lot of the things that have been unaddressed previously can be addressed, and we're very committed to it.

We've put a very substantial allotment

1 in our capital budge	t to address
------------------------	--------------

infrastructure needs with rezonings, and that
was not true in the past. We've tried to be
up-front about the fact. If communities need
new schools, parks, whatever it happens to
be, that's going to be factored into the
rezoning process. We obviously have a heavy

emphasis on creating local jobs.

So I would say it this way. I think it's a pretty stark contrast. Let the market decide, period. You'll get most of the same unfortunate outcomes from our community residents without any of the compensating positive factors. Under our plan, you will get an unprecedented amount of affordable housing, you'll get job creation which will be as much as possible targeted to local residents, you'll get community improvements and capital improvements.

The other part of the equation, which you know very well -- and we all grapple with -- is the units that are going out of rent regulation. And I know and all your colleagues have fought to strengthen rent

1	regulation. I know you've found a certain
2	amount of resistance in that process. But we
3	still lose a lot of units even with the
4	recent improvements, which we appreciate. We
5	lose a lot of units out of rent regulation,
6	we lose a lot of units out of Mitchell-Lama.
7	If we had not stepped in with our housing
8	plan to stop 5,000 units at Stuy Town and
9	Peter Cooper from being privatized, we would
10	have lost 5,000 more almost a thousand
11	units at the Riverton in Harlem we would have
12	lost had we not stepped in.
13	So I argue that there has to be an
14	interventionist approach by the city
15	government to the maximum extent possible,
16	and that's the best, the best strategy we
17	have at this moment to preserve affordable
18	housing.
19	SENATOR ESPAILLAT: You know, I
20	commend your model. I'm not saying that we
21	should not have an intervention of some kind
22	of regulatory practices to get more for the
23	development that's going on in New York City.
24	What I'm saying to you is that in many

1	neighborhoods that may be a blessing, because
2	in East Harlem, where you have the highest
3	concentration of NYCHA buildings, people that
4	are protected for two, three, four
5	generations, maybe that's not so bad they
6	have the ability to cushion the blow. But in
7	a neighborhood with 90 percent plus
8	apartments that are rent-stabilized,
9	privately owned, where 25 percent of the
10	leases are preferential rent leases, that
11	means that the landlord has not been able to
12	get the rent that they want, therefore they
13	issue a lease for less rent that doesn't
14	guarantee any rent stabilization rights or
15	privileges to the tenant. That landlord is
16	going to go out there once you've built
17	those towers through mandatory inclusionary
18	zoning, that landlord is going to go out
19	there and try to get that market rate, and
20	that tenant is out. That's one out of four
21	in that immediate area where you're proposing
22	that in our district.
23	So what I'm saying is city government
24	and state government which proposes

1	\$20 billion for housing, \$10 billion for
2	affordable housing, \$10 billion to deal with
3	the homeless issue should not get out of
4	the affordable housing business as we knew it
5	that really helped communities in the past.
6	You know, Mayor Koch rebuilt the Bronx, you
7	know, when it burnt. You know, Freddie
8	Ferrer, the borough president, did a
9	fantastic job at ushering that in. You know,
10	we need that kind of energy again to save
11	neighborhoods.
12	I think that you're well intended,
13	this is a well I'm not saying that you
14	I think it's better than what we had in the
15	past. But it doesn't cut the mustard, not
16	for neighborhoods where people are having a
17	tough time making ends meet. And I propose
18	that you get back into the affordable housing
19	business as well.
20	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Just a final
21	comment.
22	I believe, again, that a lot of
23	neighborhoods I would not underestimate,

if you look at the neighborhoods that have no

1	rezoning activity but are seeing those
2	preferential rents you point to, that in many
3	neighborhoods it is already being swept away
4	just by market activity alone.
5	If it was a zero-sum, if you were
6	for example, if all those preferential rents,
7	you know, being kept low, if that was all
8	there was to it, don't do rezoning and
9	they're all going to stay low, that would be
10	an interesting discussion. I don't believe
11	that's what's happening. I think the market
12	dynamics are already sweeping past that, and
13	if we don't intervene there'll be nothing
14	left in terms of affordability. And we have
15	to use every tool we have to create it.
16	So I respect deeply your position, I
17	just want you to hear that we believe that,
18	you know, that cow's out of the barn already.
19	SENATOR ESPAILLAT: Well, I thank you,
20	Mr. Mayor. And I hope that we can continue
21	to have this discussion in regards to
22	affordability.
23	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Absolutely.
24	SENATOR ESPAILLAT: Thank you.

1	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you.
2	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
3	SENATOR KRUEGER: Assembly?
4	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
5	Assemblyman McDonald. Is he there?
6	Oh, very good. Oh.
7	(Laughter.)
8	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: In his place,
9	Mr. Titone will make a very quick speech.
10	(Laughter.)
11	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: He's not really
12	doing this.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN TITONE: I know I'm not
14	really permitted to speak, but I just wanted
15	to really thank you publicly for increasing
16	the ferry service on Staten Island. Very
17	much appreciate it, Mayor. Thank you.
18	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you very much
19	It was the right thing to do.
20	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: All right. We
21	close on this, then.
22	MAYOR DE BLASIO: And ferry service
23	kept going all through the storm. We're
24	proud of that fact.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN TITONE: Well, We still
2	have some parking to do there.
3	MAYOR DE BLASIO: We will. We will.
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Assemblyman Farrell
5	was quite accurate about the briefness of
6	Assemblyman Titone's
7	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: I wish the other
8	side would understand that.
9	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: We have a lot of
10	questions.
11	Next it would be Senator Squadron.
12	SENATOR SQUADRON: Thank you. I will
13	take a lesson from Assemblyman Titone, but
L 4	not the one you'd like me to.
15	I would like to join him on thanking
16	you for ferry service expansions throughout
17	the city and the investment the city is doing
18	in that.
19	By the way actually a good segue
20	into my questions is the state budget
21	helping with the ferry expansions throughout
22	New York City? No? Zero dollars from the
23	state for ferry expansions?
24	MAYOR DE BLASIO: That's all us

1	SENATOR SQUADRON: And last year the
2	state put in, as Senator Savino mentioned,
3	\$100 million for NYCHA. This year how much
4	is the city expecting to get from the state
5	for public housing capital?
6	MAYOR DE BLASIO: So again, with the
7	\$100 million that was in the last budget of
8	the state, in the current fiscal year for
9	NYCHA we still have not seen a dime. We
10	would very much like that money to come in so
11	we can do more to fix public housing
12	developments. We welcome a plan from the
13	state. We're ready to get to work doing this
14	work, and we have
15	SENATOR SQUADRON: Once sorry to
16	cut you off. But once you get the hundred
17	million, is NYCHA's fiscal need satisfied
18	then or is it
19	MAYOR DE BLASIO: No, no. Not at all.
20	Not at all.
21	But the point being we made a
22	commitment last year when I was here with you
23	that we would do a hundred million each year,
24	current fiscal year, next two as well. We

1	have that in our new budget. We asked that
2	the state match that, because the need as
3	I said, there's a \$17 billion capital need at
4	NYCHA for the buildings that cover 400,000
5	people. But that first hundred million still
6	has not arrived. And we believe you know,
7	we have some strong views on the best use of
8	that money, but we also obviously respect the
9	Legislature's prerogatives. Whatever the
10	ultimate decision of the state on how best to
11	use it, we're ready to implement it. We're
12	ready to put that money to work immediately.
13	But we'd ask your help in making sure it
14	shows up as soon as possible.
15	SENATOR SQUADRON: Great. And again,
16	the city made a three-year, \$100 million a
17	year commitment
18	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Yes.
19	SENATOR SQUADRON: and so far the
20	state has not this year proposed a hundred
21	million equal.
22	MAYOR DE BLASIO: That's right.
23	SENATOR SQUADRON: At its high-water
24	mark, the Advantage, Work Advantage

1	anti-homelessness program, the state was
2	giving the city I think \$35 million in
3	operating funds for that program?
4	MAYOR DE BLASIO: We'll check that.
5	And again, while Sherif and Dean check
6	that question, let me emphasize I appreciate
7	your sense of history, because not only was
8	the state contribution to Advantage
9	substantial, but Advantage was working.
10	And about the time Advantage ended, we
11	went from approximately 37,000 people in our
12	shelter system just in the following two and
13	a half years to 50,000 plus. So really one
14	of the biggest factors in the increase in our
15	shelter population was the absence of
16	Advantage. And, you know, it's going to take
17	a long time to recapture that ground and
18	reverse that trend. This is why we're
19	concerned now to make sure that homelessness
20	funding for the city is equitable and does
21	address some of the things that were cut in
22	the past so we can actually turn this corner.
23	Do you have an answer on the
24	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: We'll get it.

1	MAYOR DE BLASIO: We're checking that
2	dollar figure.
3	SENATOR SQUADRON: And I think it's an
4	important one. The Advantage Program needed
5	to be fixed, but and the supportive
6	housing capital dollars are absolutely
7	wonderful that are being proposed by the
8	state, by the Governor.
9	But, you know, the total statewide
10	Homeless Prevention Program now I think is
11	less than \$35 million, which means that's a
12	cut for the city.
13	Earlier you mentioned the BQE triple
14	cantilever, which is in my district. So far
15	is there any state capital funding allocated
16	for the fixing of the BQE cantilever so that
17	it doesn't fall into Brooklyn Bridge Park and
18	bounce into the harbor?
19	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: Not that we know
20	of at this point.
21	SENATOR SQUADRON: Okay, none. Thank
22	you.
23	Resiliency, I want to really thank the
2.4	administration again for the commitment

1	you ve made, MI. Mayor, and the
2	administration more broadly, \$115 million,
3	almost, from the city alone, support on the
4	federal application that got us \$176 million
5	from HUD because of the leadership of the
6	city to get a barrier that protects Lower
7	Manhattan, I think the third or fourth
8	largest business district in America, Lower
9	Manhattan. We talk about having tax dollars
10	and having revenue; we need Lower Manhattan
11	to be working to have revenue in every corner
12	of the state from Chautauqua County to
13	Suffolk County.
14	So I would just ask, of the
15	\$301 million we now have to protect from
16	Montgomery Street around to Battery Park
17	City, how much of that has the state
18	allocated?
19	MAYOR DE BLASIO: We'll have to check
20	that one too for you. We'll get that for
21	you.
22	SENATOR SQUADRON: I believe it's
23	8 million.
24	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Okay, we'll

1	double-check	 well,	you	seem	to	be	ahead	of
2	us.							

Island population, obviously Rikers is rife with problems and I urge the administration to continue aggressive reform there, not rest on its laurels. But one of the drivers of that is a broken speedy trial law in New York State that essentially strips the accused -- not the convicted, but the accused -- of their constitutional speedy trial rights.

If we reformed the speedy trial laws, we believe the Rikers population could come down significantly. Just as an example, what would the city save if the state fixed speedy trials and the Rikers population, for example, went down 25 percent?

MAYOR DE BLASIO: Well, you may have the exact estimate yourself; I can see that you're well prepared.

There's no question -- look, I think you're exactly right that that reform would make a big difference both in terms of justice and in terms of the cost at Rikers.

1	We've tried in many ways you know, our way
2	of addressing the mass incarceration crisis
3	has been to try to get at the root of the
4	matter with Rikers to work on efforts to
5	ensure that people don't end up in Rikers who
6	don't need to. That's some of the bail
7	reform efforts that we're working on, for
8	example. And obviously appropriate
9	diversionary programs for folks who have
10	committed low-level, nonviolent offenses. We
11	believe fundamentally in that. And working
12	with the court system to improve the timing
13	of trials so people are not there any longer
14	than they have to be.
15	Unquestionably, everything that will

Unquestionably, everything that will help us drive down the population not only saves costs, it will reduce violence, it will allow us to focus on a deepening of the reforms we've made. So we can get you exact dollar figures that could be projected, but there's no question that's exactly in the direction we need to go to break the back of what's been, you know, many, many years of a cycle of violence at Rikers that's

1	unacceptable.
_	arracopoanero.

SENATOR SQUADRON: Look, just in summary, like each of these issues are critical issues that impact New York City uniquely in the state. They also speak to this idea that because New York City is as fiscally healthy as it is right now, the state doesn't need to worry about it and in fact should start trying to figure out how to, you know, damage it even further.

There have been cuts to the state support over a number of years. We talked about AIM funding earlier; we just talked about these on critical, really city-specific issues -- not unique, but city-specific issues. And so I would just urge that you do continue to be responsible with your budget, you do shore dollars away for a rainy day fund. Goodness knows in the history of New York City we've seen that; we saw that eight years ago, we saw that through the nineties off and on.

But also I want you to know that from the state perspective, this idea that you're

1	a piggy bank can't work. What we need to be
2	doing is figuring out how to save you money
3	so that you can save more money, and also so
4	that the areas where we are providing state
5	aid, we can provide less so that we can send
6	it to other parts of the state that are in
7	desperate need of it and could use the
8	economic development and the support in other
9	ways.

Just briefly, one thing the state did do last year is increase the funding for Nurse-Family Partnership, one of the evidence-based maternal home visiting programs, in combination with Healthy Families New York, the kind of -- a big combination. This year the current proposal is to reduce that funding again.

I just want to be very clear. If we are able to produce the funding in the state, because the city has been a long-time supporter of this program, is the city willing to match us dollar for dollar with city dollars in any amount of money that we increase the Nurse-Family Partnership

1	program?
---	----------

MAYOR DE BLASIO: One, I want to do
two things. I want to give Dean and Sherif
an opportunity to gather themselves for that
answer; I want to go back a step on your
previous question.

You make a very powerful point. There are actions that the state can take that will save the city money, will be in everyone's interests. We had a very, I think, respectful and informed discussion earlier about how the city and state interrelate and what our relationship is. Well, one of the things that is good for everyone, speeding up trials, avoiding longer stays in Rikers, is in everyone's interest. The same as having effective preventative efforts in terms of homelessness.

You know, long ago, when I was the chairman of the General Welfare Committee in the Council, I talked about the fact that when you prevent a family from going into shelter, for example, with a thousand-dollar rental subsidy -- that's \$12,000 a year -- if

1	that family goes into a shelter, it is 36,
2	37, \$40,000 a year. From the taxpayer point
3	of view it's not even close. Nor of course,
4	on the human level, what it means for that
5	family.
6	So the points you raise are ways that
7	the state can help us to save a lot of money,
8	which is in both the state and the city's
9	interest.
10	On the Nurse-Family Partnership,
11	you're right, we believe in the value of that
12	program, to the question of that
13	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: We should continue
14	the conversation with you and see what kind
15	of dollars you're talking about. But you're
16	right, there's
17	SENATOR SQUADRON: Well, it's a
18	minimum commitment. As, up here, Senator
19	Savino, Senator Gallivan and I, in a
20	tripartisan way, fight for more dollars for
21	this program, can we at least be clear that
22	the city will not reduce its own contribution
23	even if the state increases it?
24	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: We're not reducing

1	the contribution.
2	SENATOR SQUADRON: You will not reduce
3	the contribution if the state I appreciate
4	that.
5	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: And just on the
6	Advantage Program, the state contribution was
7	\$75 million, the federal contribution, which
8	flows through the state, was also an
9	additional 75 million, and the city
10	contribution was 75 million.
11	SENATOR SQUADRON: Thank you very
12	much.
13	And the mayor's point, to the budget
14	director I would point out that a proposal I
15	long ago made called Prevention-Based
16	Budgeting would make it a lot easier to
17	balance the budget while also funding
18	evidence-based proven programs to prevent
19	homelessness, to prevent incarceration,
20	through home visiting and other programs. So
21	I would urge you take a look at that as well.
22	Thank you very much.
23	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, Senator.

1	The next speaker is Senator Perkins.
2	SENATOR PERKINS: Thank you very much
3	So I want to first express my
4	appreciation for your presence and your
5	indulgence with us, and also for what you've
6	been doing so far in representing us as the
7	mayor. And I think I did the right thing by
8	supporting you.
9	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you.
10	SENATOR PERKINS: It's always a good
11	thing when you hit the number
12	MAYOR DE BLASIO: You're a visionary.
13	SENATOR PERKINS: when you hit the
14	number, so to speak. I'm not a visionary,
15	but I think I got lucky with this one.
16	But I'm concerned, I'm very concerned
17	because as a native New Yorker, you know, we
18	have a little extra pride about ourselves
19	because of this here great city. And it's
20	not simply because of the bridges, like the
21	Brooklyn Bridge or the statues, like the
22	Statue of Liberty or other iconic features
23	that are world-renowned, but actually, most
24	importantly, because of its public education

1	system.
2	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Amen.
3	SENATOR PERKINS: You know, this was
4	the opportunity that for many of us allowed
5	us to be where we are today, and even for
6	many others, other places are doing great.
7	But what's happening is that which was
8	sort of the crown jewel of all the jewels in
9	our New York City ego has sort of come under
10	hard times in terms of bragging rights, so to
11	speak. Ergo, charter schools you
12	understand? and the infiltration of those
13	schools overwhelming attention from the
14	public schools without even necessarily
15	measuring up in terms of what they're
16	actually providing; nevertheless, being
17	promoted and applauded, most importantly,
18	with more and more money.
19	And my concern is are we abandoning
20	our public education commitment and fooling
21	ourselves into saying that this other
22	arrangement, which is a privatized model, is

MAYOR DE BLASIO: No. I understand

23

24

the way to go?

1	the concern for sure. And maybe there's some
2	voices that believe in that, but not this
3	administration. We believe first of all,
4	look, we want to serve every child in the
5	city. They're all, you know, our collective
6	future. So I'm very proud of the efforts
7	that we've made, together with parochial
8	schools and charter schools, to reach all our
9	kids who need our help. And that's something
10	we believe in.

I'm very comfortable saying the future of
New York City will be determined by its
traditional public schools, because the
traditional public schools are where the vast
majority of our students are. And those
schools have to improve fundamentally. The
status quo that existed when I came into
office wasn't acceptable -- as I mentioned, a
third of our kids reading at third-grade
level, well under half of even our high
school graduates being college-ready. These
are not acceptable realities.

24 So what we believe in is really going

1	at the heart of the matter. To fix our
2	traditional public schools again, the
3	mother lode here of our education system
4	we have to do a lot in the way of new
5	investments: Early childhood, particularly
6	in the vein of pre-K; a very focused effort
7	to bring kids to reading level by third
8	grade that's part of our Equity and
9	Excellence plan after-school programs for
10	every middle school child for free,
11	unlimited; algebra for all for middle school
12	kids; AP classes for all, every high school;
13	computer science for all throughout the
14	curriculum at all grade levels; 130 community
15	schools; renewal schools these are all
16	part of a fundamental change in our approach
17	to our public education system.
18	So I think the answer to your question
19	is in our city we are doubling down on our
20	commitment on our public schools, and we have
21	to transform them for the 21st century. And
22	I believe that model is going to be much more
23	effective than looking to other models which

so far have obviously been, one, limited in

1	terms of the number of people they reach, but
2	two, as you said, different models, some very
3	successful, some not so successful, some very
4	collaborative, some not so collaborative,
5	some that were very inclusive of English
6	language learners, special ed kids, et
7	cetera, some not so.

We like the traditional public school model because it's always inclusive. That's a statement of fact. It is always inclusive of all children. And more and more we believe our traditional public schools are a place where a lot of innovation is occurring and a lot of progress is occurring.

SENATOR PERKINS: So let me say this.

In the state budget for 2014-2015, at the very last moment a number of changes were added with respect to charter schools, particularly as they operate in the city.

These changes, which were executed with little or no public input or transparency, weakened your mayoral control over decisions concerning how to use and allocate precious space in public school buildings; forbade the

1	city for charging rent to charters;
2	grandfathered in old collocations, thus
3	immunizing them from any changes; and
4	essentially forced the city to approve all
5	new collocations or pay for space elsewhere
6	out of pocket. To your credit, your office
7	strongly opposed these changes, as did I, but
8	they were nevertheless approved.
9	So two years later, I'm wondering what
10	has been the impact on the ground of these
11	policies.
12	MAYOR DE BLASIO: I'll start, and
13	maybe Dean or Sherif want to add.
14	Look, we did oppose that change. We
15	have been able to work with the rules to
16	maximize what we think is fair to make sure
17	that if there's a proposal that we think is
18	not going to work or isn't appropriate, that
19	we do have, in effect, due process rights
20	around it. And we certainly want to work,
21	going forward, to rationalize that.
22	But I think the bottom line is that we
23	continue with an overall reform agenda for
24	our public schools. That's where the vast

1	majority of our energy of course has to go.
2	That is moving forward. We ask the support
3	of the Legislature in continuing that
4	progress.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And obviously I believe -- and I think you're right to say -- there's different elements of the mayoral control equation, and sometimes there is a discussion that's not the literal question of mayoral control but still can affect the level of control, like that decision you refer to. I'm a bit of a purist on this point. Mayoral control of education is how we fix the school system. My predecessor and I didn't agree on a lot of things, but on that we fundamentally agree. And it should not be diminished in any way, shape or form; it should be reinforced so we can actually get to the work of doing these fundamental things -- getting our kids to reading level, making sure high school graduation actually means college-ready. That's what we're trying to focus on right 23 now.

24 SENATOR PERKINS: So I know that you

1	opposed some of these changes. But now much
2	control do you have over charter schools,
3	particularly from a budgetary point of view?
4	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Look again, I'll
5	start and would ask Dean and Sherif to fill
6	in any blanks. There are obviously state
7	rules governing what kind of allotment
8	charter schools get, and we respect
9	SENATOR PERKINS: Are any of those
10	rules undermining your control over your
11	schools?
12	MAYOR DE BLASIO: I think what I would
13	say is the basic rules per se are not my
14	central concern. The decision-making about
15	our buildings and the ability to make just
16	decisions about each application we
17	approved plenty of applications from charters
18	that we thought fit in a building
19	appropriately. There's others we thought did
20	not. That has to be the prerogative of the
21	City of New York under a mayoral-control
22	system. That should not be compromised.
23	Additional funding requirements, like
24	I mentioned, in the current budget proposal

Ţ	by the Governor that would add to the
2	obligations of the City of New York and no
3	other locality in the state, clearly are not
4	fair, from our point of view.
5	So the point from my point of view is
6	not to add additional onerous costs or
7	regulations onto the city that would
8	undermine our ability to make the right
9	decisions for our schools.
10	SENATOR PERKINS: My concern is so
11	I guess I'm trying to understand that
12	first, who pays the rent on these charter
13	schools, you or Eva Moskowitz or whoever the
14	operator is? How does
15	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: Under the
16	agreement two years ago, we pay there's a
17	collocation process. If the collocation
18	process fails and the charter is able to find
19	alternative space, then we pay the rent up to
20	I believe it's \$40 million, at which point it
21	is a shared expense with the state. And so
22	far we're about \$20 million so far we've
23	committed about \$20 million.
24	SENATOR PERKINS: So how is that

1	\$20 million commitment being used,
2	explicitly?
3	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: We are paying at
4	this point about \$20 million.
5	SENATOR PERKINS: Towards?
6	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: Towards those
7	charters. We reimburse them for the rent,
8	and it's approximately \$20 million.
9	SENATOR PERKINS: So we pay the rent?
10	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: Yes.
11	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Under the agreement
12	from two years ago. Again, that was not our
13	choice, but that's what was
14	SENATOR PERKINS: That's not your
15	choice.
16	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Yes.
17	SENATOR PERKINS: So it sounds more
18	like you it's not your choice. What would
19	you prefer?
20	MAYOR DE BLASIO: We would go back to
21	the system before that.
22	SENATOR PERKINS: To what?
23	MAYOR DE BLASIO: We would go to the

24 structure before that.

1	SENATOR PERKINS: Would you explain
2	that again so we can see, for the record,
3	what the difference is between what you'd
4	prefer and what's being imposed on you?
5	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: Prior to that, the
6	city had the opportunity to make its own,
7	completely its own decision. This created a
8	process which had, as the mayor pointed out,
9	a due process stage in it where we could
10	negotiate with the charters and then there
11	were if that didn't work, there were other
12	options that could be used.
13	SENATOR PERKINS: So we're happy about
14	this arrangement? You think we should, as a
15	Legislature, reinforce this arrangement by
16	more opportunities for this
17	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Again, we think the
18	previous arrangement, the arrangement before
19	two years ago, was better. I believe in
20	mayoral control
21	SENATOR PERKINS: For the sake of the
22	record, when you say it was better, better
23	means what? Specifically.
24	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Okay, I'll start and

1	Dean	will	fill	in	the	blanks.

rubric. I, like I think any mayor, I would imagine any county executive in this state, believe that we have to have the freedom to make local decisions effectively and use our resources effectively. We are always concerned about mandates that tie our hands.

The structure two years ago and before, gave us the freedom to make these decisions more effectively. The current structure has added additional limitations. Although again, as you heard, we've broadly been able to find ways to work with it, we don't think it's the right way to go. We think things before were better.

Dean can define that.

DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: Right.

But I will just add, Senator, what the mayor identified in his original testimony was the piece that we are focused on in the current budget was the additional obligation that was only falling on New York City, on charter school tuitions, and that's about

1	\$30 million in the current budget. And
2	that's the piece we actually focused on in
3	the testimony.
4	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Right. That's the
5	new problem.
6	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: And that is only
7	in New York City.
8	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Right. That is a
9	new problem that we're very concerned about
10	that's in this budget now.
11	SENATOR PERKINS: And how does the
12	Governor respond to this problem that's being
13	imposed on you?
14	MAYOR DE BLASIO: How has
15	SENATOR PERKINS: The Governor.
16	MAYOR DE BLASIO: He proposed it.
17	SENATOR PERKINS: So he's not
18	cooperating with you, is that what you're
19	saying?
20	MAYOR DE BLASIO: I'm not going to
21	characterize it. It's a proposal
22	SENATOR PERKINS: Forgive my language.
23	I didn't mean to put it that way.
24	MAYOR DE BLASIO: we don't agree

1	with. No, it's a proposal we don't agree
2	with.
3	SENATOR PERKINS: You don't agree with
4	it.
5	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Absolutely not. I
6	said that in my testimony.
7	SENATOR PERKINS: Well, I just want to
8	reiterate, you know, because I might not have
9	heard it. Was it like written?
10	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Yes, it's in the
11	budget proposal.
12	SENATOR PERKINS: Will you send my
13	office a copy of your concerns? And we'll
14	move on.
15	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Yes, absolutely.
16	SENATOR PERKINS: Again, have you as
17	the mayor and the superchancellor, so to
18	speak, had an opportunity to determine, as
19	per this experiment called charter schools
20	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Say again?
21	SENATOR PERKINS: As per this
22	experiment that we've come to know as charter
23	schools, which was designed, intended and

24 proposed at the time to be not a second

1	department of education but laboratories, so
2	to speak, that would provide the opportunity
3	for us to learn how to teach our children
4	better, and those lessons would be replicated
5	throughout the district has any of that
6	part of the proposal that was originally been
7	brought forward been actually fulfilled in
8	terms of what did the charter school movement
9	teach you as the mayor, or other mayors, how
10	to better
11	MAYOR DE BLASIO: I think it's a
12	decidedly mixed bag. I think it's a very
13	fair and important question. Yes, the
14	charter school movement started with the
15	promise of innovation and sharing of best
16	practices and creating good
17	SENATOR PERKINS: Sharing with who, by
18	the way?
19	MAYOR DE BLASIO: With the traditional
20	public schools.
21	SENATOR PERKINS: Okay. Just so we
22	know.
23	MAYOR DE BLASIO: No, very fair point.
24	And so I think the fact is that

One of the things Chancellor Farina has done, and I agree with her entirely, is she's reached out to a number of charter schools that want to have a close working relationship with our traditional public schools, that want to share best practices both ways -- because, by the way, we believe in a lot of our traditional public schools real innovation is happening that can help charters, and vice versa.

I would say there is a mixed bag in the charter movement: Some schools doing great and important work that is yielding innovations that the traditional public schools can use and are being shared very openly and productively with the traditional public schools, often on a district basis.

Others where that's not happening, where the innovation either is not occurring or it's not being shared or the student body is not representative, et cetera.

SENATOR PERKINS: Let me interrupt for a second, because --

1	SENATOR KRUEGER: Senator Perkins
2	Senator Perkins, I'm sorry, you're at zero,
3	so we just have to let the mayor close up and
4	go on to the next
5	SENATOR PERKINS: Just if you don't
6	mind, Madam Chair, Senator Krueger, Senator
7	Krueger, let me finish what I'm saying,
8	because I did wait a while to be here. But
9	so I think
10	SENATOR KRUEGER: No, I know, we've
11	all been here
12	SENATOR PERKINS: I don't and I
13	don't think am I being irrelevant or out
L 4	of order
15	SENATOR KRUEGER: Just close it up
16	here. Just close your sentence, okay?
17	SENATOR PERKINS: Okay, but don't
18	but I just don't want to be rushed through
19	what I've waited so long to share, because
20	it's important. The heart of the movement is
21	in my district, as you know.
22	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Yes.
23	SENATOR PERKINS: So I'm not going
24	to just here to, you know, deal with this.

1	It's a big thing for me. It's the biggest
2	thing that one could imagine when you have a
3	district where the public schools are not
4	doing well and then you bring in another
5	whole entity that is not transparent and
6	accountable.
7	So I'm just trying to understand, have
8	you done a report or has anybody done a
9	report on the successes of the charter
10	schools that have been made available to you
11	or any other city entity that could be
12	replicated in our public schools so that our
13	children could get the benefit of those
14	particular lessons at all?
15	MAYOR DE BLASIO: I think you're
16	raising another important point, and I
17	certainly understand
18	SENATOR PERKINS: Could you I
19	can't could you say that again, please?
20	MAYOR DE BLASIO: I'm sorry. I think
21	you're raising a very important point. And I
22	think, knowing your district well, your
23	district has gone through a particularly
24	tough experience during this last decade or

1	so.
	50.

There is not, to the best of my knowledge, a coherent, consistent approach -- certainly not one that we inherited -- for looking at what the innovations were coming out of the charters and figuring out how they can work with the traditional public schools.

Honestly, I believe in the previous administration that was not the world view. It was not that the traditional public schools are going to be the great core of our school system and where we're really going to make a difference for our children, and that the charters were providing obviously important options for some kids but also they're supposed to be that laboratory, that innovative force that you talk about. I certainly don't know of any effort to bring that concept together and figure out how to systematically help the traditional public schools.

So what we're trying to now, in effect, through the Equity and Excellence plan, is find the charters that do want to be

1	those district partners with traditional
2	public schools and cross-fertilize
3	productively. As I said, some are very
4	willing to do that and are good partners in
5	that, some are more resistant or have a
6	different approach. But where we can work
7	together, we will.
8	So has it been made systematic ever?
9	I would say, from my experience, no.
10	SENATOR PERKINS: Okay.
11	SENATOR KRUEGER: Mr. Mayor, can we
12	ask you if you have a staff person who can
13	follow up with Senator Perkins after the
14	hearing?
15	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Absolutely. I'll
16	follow up personally given our
17	long-standing history, we will set a time to
18	follow up directly.
19	SENATOR PERKINS: I appreciate you
20	doing that. And I hope you will be
21	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, Senator
22	Perkins.
23	SENATOR PERKINS: as detailed as
24	possible. But this is a very, very big issu

1	for us in my district. And I understand,
2	Senator Krueger, how you may feel, and I
3	respect your chairmanship, but I have a right
4	to ask these questions about my district
5	SENATOR KRUEGER: No, I know, Senator
6	Perkins, but unfortunately
7	SENATOR PERKINS: and other people
8	have had more time than I have.
9	SENATOR KRUEGER: No, everybody's
10	stuck with the 10-minute clock. We've been
11	careful about that.
12	SENATOR PERKINS: Well, then I'd ask
13	one final question
14	MAYOR DE BLASIO: We'll follow up.
15	SENATOR KRUEGER: We'll follow up
16	afterwards. Thank you.
17	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Persaud is
18	the next speaker, please.
19	SENATOR PERSAUD: Thank you very much.
20	Thank you, Mr. Mayor, for being here.
21	I just want to first commend your staff for
22	the open lines of communication during the
23	storm that we had.
24	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you, Senator.

1	SENATOR PERSAUD: But looking forward,
2	what are your plans for because it seems
3	as though we're there's a climate change
4	and the more frequent occurrences of these
5	natural disasters are occurring, especially
6	in my community where it's you know, the
7	water is just coming in every time you look
8	around. What are your plans for upgrading
9	the equipment and what plans do you have,
10	long-term plans do you have in place?
11	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Well, Senator, I
12	know your district, obviously, and your
13	district has been vulnerable in the light of
14	climate change. So I'd say when it comes to
15	snow on the one hand versus flooding issues
16	or hurricane issues, I think we have two
17	different realities.
18	On snow, I feel the city's response,
19	of course, was very strong. We're going to
20	learn each time, we're going to make
21	adjustments each time. I think we learned a
22	lot even from the last few blizzards. We
23	certainly learned a lot from the 2010 storm,
24	where I think the city did not have its

1	assets properly in position. You know, I
2	think the MTA, again, I agree with and
3	appreciate the way they handled things,
4	getting the buses in at the right time,
5	et cetera. So in terms of snow response,
6	we're learning a lot and I think we have a
7	very strong capacity to address these kinds
3	of blizzards.

In terms of hurricanes and coastal issues, the resiliency work is increasing all the time. It's been a very good working relationship with the Army Corps of Engineers, obviously our Parks Department and others. That's of course going to happen year by year. A lot of federal money coming in, a lot of city and state efforts as well. That will be ongoing work, meaning in the age of climate change, resiliency work will essentially never end. We will keep at it all the time, improving resiliency.

And we want to figure out, obviously working with you, what other things we can do. I think there's some real DEP issues in your neighborhood that we could work on

Τ	together. So I would look forward to
2	figuring out a plan with you and our
3	DEP commissioner, Emily Lloyd.
4	SENATOR PERSAUD: Okay. But you also
5	need to work with Sanitation to upgrade the
6	equipment that they have. You really need to
7	upgrade some of the equipment.
8	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Just for clarity,
9	what do you mean specifically?
10	SENATOR PERSAUD: The snow movers.
11	They're kind of ancient. You know, they've
12	passed their prime.
13	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Well, we're going to
14	keep investing in them, I assure you.
15	SENATOR PERSAUD: But they did a
16	better job being prepared this time.
17	Another thing, the state has decided
18	to not add additional funding for Summer
19	Youth, because we're looking at the minimum
20	wage increase. So the funding is just flat.
21	What is the city doing? Because we
22	you look at many most of the kids who are
23	applying for Summer Youth are not receiving
24	jobs. And we have a situation in the city,

1	especially in the lower-income areas, where
2	there's a spike in violence. And you can
3	correlate the spike in violence with the kids
4	having nothing to do.
5	What is the city doing to help
6	DYCD, some of the other agencies to fund
7	Summer Youth?
8	MAYOR DE BLASIO: We had an increase
9	in our local funding this last summer, so we
10	had a substantial increase in the number of
11	summer jobs. We just sat down with the
12	Council members when I announced my
13	preliminary budget. We're going to look to
14	the Executive Budget in May for further
15	determinations on summer jobs. Clearly
16	there's immense interest in the Council in
17	building on the number we had last year.
18	So I'm not going to make a formal
19	announcement, but I can simply say we're very
20	focused on it, the Council is very focused on
21	it. Everyone's interested in raising that
22	bar if we can find a way to do it. Obviously
23	as I I want to come back to where I

started the testimony: We're going to be

1	watching the overall economic indicators very
2	closely in the next few months because
3	there's a lot of warning signs that will
4	cause us to have to be very smart about how
5	we spend money. But it is certainly our goal
6	to go farther when it comes to summer jobs.
7	SENATOR PERSAUD: Thank you. I just
8	want to make sure that we understand it. We
9	have to be smart in the way we're spending
10	money, but spending money on our youth is
11	really important. That's the smartest thing
12	that we can
13	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Well, with your
14	help, with your help, you're talking to the
15	guy who focused on pre-K and after-school for
16	middle school kids. So I'm there.
17	SENATOR PERSAUD: My last question is
18	about the rise in crime. What plans do you
19	have in place for this upcoming summer?
20	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Wait, say that
21	again, I'm sorry?
22	SENATOR PERSAUD: The increase in
23	violence, especially the increase in gun
24	violence within districts.

1	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Well, I'd like to
2	reframe that in my view. We always take very
3	seriously violence in any community, and we
4	know there is a scourge of gun availability
5	and gun violence. But I want to emphasize,
6	these numbers I'm about to indicate represent
7	human lives. When I say overall serious
8	crime is down 5.8 percent over two years,
9	shootings were down from 2014 to 2015, gun
10	arrests went up 10 percent, this is all
11	indicative of a city that's getting safer
12	even though there are serious challenges
13	still to be addressed.

In the course of this year, 2,000 more officers will be on patrol, between the 1300 new that we are bringing on the force and the 700 or so that are being civilianized from desk jobs to patrol.

All of these pieces are going to increase our ability to get at the root of the problem, which as Commissioner Bratton and Chief O'Neill always say, is several thousand particularly violent felons that are still out there, many associated with gangs

	and crews. The rocus, more and more, is on
2	the real violence-doers, that core set of
3	violent criminals, and we're doing better at
4	getting at them you know, getting the
5	evidence, building cases about them, getting
6	the arrests, getting the guns off the
7	streets. That's going to intensify.
8	But I think the simplest way I can say
9	it is 2,000 more cops on the street is going
10	to make a huge difference.
11	SENATOR PERSAUD: Thank you very much.
12	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you.
13	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, Senator.
14	Senator Kennedy.
15	SENATOR KENNEDY: Thank you, Mayor,
16	very much for being here. Thank you for your
17	leadership.
18	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you.
19	SENATOR KENNEDY: And for your
20	patience. I know it's been a long testimony
21	today
22	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Patience is a
23	virtue, Senator.
24	SENATOR KENNEDY: It certainly is.

1	Over	four	hours,	and	Ι	appreciate	all	of	your
2	comme	ents.							

I just had a couple of different thoughts, you know. Going way back several hours in the testimony, there were a couple of colleagues from upstate that touched upon a couple of initiatives I want to ask you about. The first has to do with the property tax cap for New York City.

You know, there's been a lot of positioning, a lot of rhetoric, a lot of conjecture up here, and legislation proposed and whatnot. I just want to hear again, you know, your comments on the property tax cap for the City of New York and, you know, furthermore, if you believe -- because I have a feeling I know what your answer may be -- if you feel that a study to get to the root of this thing to back up our position on -- MAYOR DE BLASIO: The last part of the

SENATOR KENNEDY: Do you believe -are you open to some sort of a study to that
effect on a property tax cap for New York

question, I'm sorry? I believe --

1	city if in fact that is necessary?
2	MAYOR DE BLASIO: I don't agree with
3	it philosophically. And practically as well.
4	I always want to
5	SENATOR KENNEDY: What what is
6	that?
7	MAYOR DE BLASIO: I always want to
8	learn more, but I want to explain the "why"
9	in this.
10	SENATOR KENNEDY: On the property tax
11	cap itself? I'm sorry.
12	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Yes. I just don't
13	believe that it's a productive tool in terms
14	of what we're doing.
15	So again, when you combine the
16	particular challenges that the city faces
17	which I don't think I have to document
18	New York City has a whole host of very, very
19	intense challenges that have developed over
20	decades. That had a lot to do with the
21	quality of life in our city, the safety of
22	our city, fairness and decency in our city.
23	You know, these challenges didn't occur

overnight, but they're ours. Whether it is

1	the affordability crisis, the homelessness
2	crisis, the safety challenges which I'm
3	thrilled at how well NYPD has done on, but
4	there's more to come, obviously. The threat
5	of global terror that is aimed at New York
6	City in a way that's true of only a few
7	places in the United States of America. We
8	have a particular set of challenges.

We also know that in a downturn scenario we're vulnerable in a way that's overwhelming because, again, we know that the federal and state partners will be compromised in such a scenario and we know that our fixed costs don't change. If we're going to keep our streets safe, if we're going to deal with a tremendous amount of human need, we have to keep doing that, downturn or no downturn.

So from my point of view, anything that limits our capacity arbitrarily could undermine the fundamentals of our city but also undermine our ability to do the thing which I think we're doing well now -- and I want to give my predecessors credit and I

1	want to give the people in New York City
2	credit the fact that we're economically
3	stronger, after some decades where we were
4	really in decline, we are strong we have
5	to maintain that. For the good of our
6	people, for the good of the people of the
7	whole state, we have to keep that going.
8	So I don't want anything that inhibits
9	our ability to do that. I am sensitive to
10	the fact I am a homeowner in Brooklyn. I
11	understand people are going through some real
12	challenges in terms of the cost of living and
13	that property taxes are part of it. We're
14	certainly going to always look at ways that
15	we can address the challenges of our
16	homeowners. But a cap I think is a
17	problematic approach.
18	As I mentioned to Senator Lanza, I
19	know he's offering it in very good faith.
20	But even the circuit-breaker, or the override
21	capacity, is one that I think would be an

elected officials have, obviously, when it comes to taxation.

22

imperfect tool given the reluctance that

1	So I think we're going to certainly
2	engage in fiscal discipline, we're going to
3	engage in ways of helping our homeowners, but
4	that would not be the one I'd choose.
5	SENATOR KENNEDY: Do you feel strong
6	enough in that, Mayor, to put a study forward
7	to back up that plan that you have put in
8	place?
9	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Again, I'm being
10	careful in my answer because I think that is
11	very much an eye-of-the-beholder question.
12	I'm always happy to have this conversation
13	with you. But there's no scenario that I can
14	envision where we would want to tie our hands
15	in terms of our ability to maintain the
16	standard of living of the people in New York
17	City and the safety of the people in New York
18	City.
19	If we had a different world, which
20	maybe was true 40 years ago, let's say,
21	50 years ago, where there was a federal
22	government ready, willing and able to come in
23	in the event of crisis and that was very

24 true in that time frame; not true today --

1	maybe I'd have a different world view. But I
2	have no illusion of that fundamental reality
3	changing any time soon, so I have to very
4	carefully protect the interests of my city,
5	and that's why I can't see any scenario where
6	I would agree with that.
7	SENATOR KENNEDY: Understood.
8	What about comparing, if you compare
9	property tax caps so if that's off the
10	table, the potential I know it's been
11	offered, and there's been talk about this
12	the potential for a Medicaid cap. Your
13	thoughts on that as well.
14	MAYOR DE BLASIO: I think we've got
15	two dynamics happening simultaneously that
16	have to be addressed.
17	Again, I've spoken to the Governor's
18	proposal, and I have affirmed that I
19	appreciate the Governor's clarification that
20	it won't cost the city a penny. But we
21	understand there are real challenges in the

Medicaid system in general. As I said, I

came into office, focused on some of the

appreciate that the Governor, when he first

22

23

_	fundamental	reforms	needed.

2 And then we have -- so there's that 3 ongoing issue, but then we have a whole 4 different related issue of our Health and 5 Hospitals Corporation, which will need 6 substantial reform. So we'll absolutely 7 engage the Governor in ways that we can look at reforms and efficiencies vis-a-vis 8 Medicaid in general in the city, but 9 10 specifically we have to engage in a process very quickly of coming up with a reform plan 11 12 for our health and hospitals, our public 13 health and hospitals. That has to happen 14 under any event. The two to some extent 15 interrelate. 16 But what I can tell you is, having just gone through the process with our 17 Housing Authority -- and remember, our 18

just gone through the process with our

Housing Authority -- and remember, our

Housing Authority houses over 400,000 people.

And there was not a reform plan previously to

deal with its financial reality; we put that

in place -- we'll take the situation with

Medicaid, the situation with our Health and

Hospitals Corporation head-on. It's our

19

20

21

22

23

1	obligation to do so. We'll present a plan,
2	certainly I'm sure it will be part of the
3	conversation here, as with our City Council.
4	But we're dealing with a series of changes
5	that really represent a lot of history,
6	unfortunately, coming home and, you know,
7	having a very big impact now \$17 billion,
8	in the case of the Housing Authority, that
9	should have spent over many years. It
10	wasn't. We have to deal with that problem
11	now. Medicaid issues that have only been
12	exacerbated by changes in state and federal
13	policy, no matter how well-intentioned.
14	That's what's happening, and it's happening
15	to public health systems all over the county.
16	So we've got to make a series of big changes.
17	They'll be tough, but it's our obligation to
18	do them.
19	SENATOR KENNEDY: Thanks, Mayor. One
20	other item, and I suppose that this has
21	look, every initiative that comes out of New
22	York City, as you know, has a major impact
23	not just on this state but this country. And
24	one of the issues that I think has had an

1	impact on all of us for generations, and you
2	talk about, you know, where the city has come
3	from over the course of the last several
4	decades. And the city's a much different
5	place than it was back in '03 when the bonds
6	were refinanced.
7	But I want to go back to 1975, before
8	I was born. And we're going to be paying
9	these bonds off well into 2030 to 2034, as it
10	stands today. And as you had mentioned
11	earlier, we're going back hours in your
12	testimony, so forgive me for having
13	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Ancient history.
14	SENATOR KENNEDY: Forgive me for
15	having waited so long to get back to this,
16	but I think it's important to talk about that
17	refinancing. That, you know, it was the
18	state, back again in '03, that picked up the
19	refinancing during a new crisis again,
20	before your administration and these
21	bonds, these STAR-C bonds were refinanced,
22	saving about \$600 million. But as far as I'm
23	concerned, again, as an outsider of the
24	City of New York, as an upstater, these are

1	funds	that	the	state,	including	us	upstate,
2	helped	d to p	pay 1	for.			

And, you know, with a lot of these cash-strapped cities, including my own and other upstate cities, when it comes to AIM funds -- and I know we've discussed that before, and I heard your testimony about AIM funding -- don't you feel that it would be more appropriate to take that funding and reinvest it into other cash-strapped cities and municipalities like the ones in upstate that are really in dire need right now?

MAYOR DE BLASIO: Again, Senator, I know this is -- we're all providing, I hope, a positive lesson here in a responsible, positive public dialog between people who happen to come from different regions, different parties. You know, this is actually what I think the people would like to see more and more of all over the country.

You're raising a perfectly fair question. What is fairness in this equation?

I again have spent a lot of time, I've been very blessed to spend a lot of time in

1 Western New York, w	working in	public	service
-----------------------	------------	--------	---------

2 The profound needs -- profound hope, I

3 believe, great attributes to Western

4 New York, and profound needs. When we hear

5 about initiatives to help upstate, I applaud

6 them, I applaud them, because we're all in

7 this together.

So the question then becomes what's fair in each and every one of the decisions we look at. My point of view is, first of all, when you talk about the AIM money -- so \$300 million-plus at the time it was cut; as Dean reminds me, \$500 million not long before that. We can do the math any way we want. If we take the \$300 million, say it was cut five years ago, that's \$1.5 billion that would have come to New York City. That's gone. If you take the \$500 million figure, it obviously goes to \$2 billion or more.

How about the money that was agreed to by the Court of Appeals, the highest court in the land, a decade ago that would have led to many billions more for my public schools that clearly are not where they need to be? And

1	no one's I know you feel the same about
2	your public schools no one's I don't
3	want to in a bad way compare scars. We're
4	all trying to fix problems that were handed
5	to us by history. We're all trying to serve
6	our people. But if I've got 30 percent of my
7	kids reading at third-grade level, I can't
8	say to you that I don't need the resources to
9	fix that problem fundamentally. By my
10	account, by the highest court in this state,
11	we should have had many billions more to deal
12	with that problem.

So we've lost a lot in these

transactions. On this one, we believe

fundamentally it is a ironclad understanding,

it was affirmed by the Court of Appeals on

the MAC bond issue. Once something is

written in stone like that and we are

depending on it, we need it, and then that

helps us make up for the sudden \$600 million

that our actuary just put on our current

budget out of nowhere, which will go on every

year in the future. The problem with Health

and Hospitals -- which again, no matter how

1	well	inter	ntioned,	did	stem	from,	in	part,
2	state	and	federal	poli	icv ch	nanges.		

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I could go on and on with all the unanticipated problems or the infrastructure challenges, et cetera. It doesn't make any sense for me to say agreed-upon dollars we're just going to give away when we have this level of need.

SENATOR KENNEDY: Well, to your point -- and I know I'm down to zero, so this will be just the last comment, Mayor. Look, there's much that I agree with you on. This one, I disagree with you on. I believe if we're going to focus on those education funds, those AIM funds, those other areas, that we should do so. But, you know, I think a lot of folks get aggravated about the shell game that's played. And, you know, there's \$600 million on the table. And just from my perspective -- again, as an upstater, as a Western New Yorker -- after this refinancing, I believe that that funding could be utilized in our area of the state as much as anywhere else in the state, including the City of

1	$N \cap w$	York.
_	11 C W	TOTK.

2	MAYOR DE BLASIO: I know you're saying
3	that in good faith, and I just want to
4	respond in good faith.

at helping Buffalo, for example, and other upstate cities, if I -- and I say this with absolute respect, if we all could restart that discussion productively, then it might be possible to talk about different trade-offs. But I have to say, over the last couple of years I've been mayor, I've presented the concern, I understand that people have felt like it's something they couldn't really entertain, for better or for worse. But we're not having that conversation, let's be straightforward about that.

If we were having a conversation about restoring the AIM money that was supposed to be a one-year pause, and now it should come back on the table, if that conversation were going on, we would be having -- we could have a more holistic conversation, perhaps. But

1 .	that's	not	what's	happening.
-----	--------	-----	--------	------------

I don't mean that to be negative; I'm

trying to be very constructive and positive.

Somehow, in all the back and forth, some

things come on the table, some things go off

the table. And I think when you tote it all

up, we certainly know some of those have hurt

us quite a bit.

On this one, again, we feel it's straightforward. I understand your frustration. I hope over the years we can all find ways to rationalize some of these things and make sense of them for the long haul. But certainly just taking the narrow question of the AIM money, what does it feel like to, you know, the people of New York City that we were supposed to have a one-year pause and we've never seen it again? I'm sure you can give your own parallel examples.

Until we're going to put all of that on the table, it's hard for us to want to say let's just give away something that we believe is guaranteed to us.

24 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, Senator.

1	Thank you, Mayor. I do have a couple
2	of additional questions, and then we'll
3	close.
4	So as you pointed out, I've been very
5	involved in housing issues, we worked
6	together on housing issues. And I agree with
7	you I want to go to page 6 of your
8	testimony, because I had a question there.
9	You talk about the bond cap allocation being
10	a vital resource for the city, and I fully
11	agree with your assessment regarding that.
12	And you also pointed out that last
13	year the city's Housing Development
14	Corporation efficiently used all of its state
15	bond cap allocation to fund its projects.
16	Which is true, but then you go on to say that
17	HDC couldn't even get started on almost 1200
18	affordable apartments across the five
19	boroughs simply because it did not receive
20	enough in bond cap allocations from the
21	state.
22	And so my question is, isn't the HDC
23	amount an amount that is determined and
24	requested by the city, and so if you ran out

1	of money, did you ask for too little?
2	MAYOR DE BLASIO: No. In fact, we
3	asked for more. I'll start, and my
4	colleagues may have more sense of the
5	details, but I'll give you the overview.
6	We certainly asked for more. And we
7	understand that there's a statutory amount
8	and then, beyond that, a pretty consistent
9	history of additional resources coming in in
10	terms of where the projects could be most
11	effectively achieved.
12	Look, that's again, this is not a
13	Democrat or Republican or upstate or
14	downstate thing. We all want the taxpayers'
15	money used well. So we would rather see
16	resources go to a project ready to go than be
17	held for something that's not ready to go, or
18	a project that is going to yield more
19	affordable housing versus less.
20	In this instance, those units were
21	ready to go, the state was quite aware of it
22	We had requested the additional authority,

and we were told it was not going to be made

available. Even though we think it could

23

1	1	1		
	nave	neen	made	available.

We were obviously working in good

faith to keep moving these efforts forward.

We don't want to add additional layers that

we think will only slow down a structure that

right now needs to move as quickly as

possible because of the desperate need for

affordable housing.

CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: And I agree with you, there's a desperate need for affordable housing. And actually I'd like to applaud you for your goal of developing 200,000 new units of affordable housing.

MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you.

15 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I think it's

16 absolutely necessary for the future of the

17 city.

But I do want to point out a couple of things because as you know -- I think you know this -- that it's extraordinarily expensive to live and to work and to do business in the city, and it's the result of several dynamics, and you've pointed some of them out today. One of them has to do with

1	the tax burden that we have in the city. And
2	I want to applaud Senator Lanza had to go
3	to another hearing, but I want to applaud
4	Senator Lanza for his focus on property tax
5	relief for the middle class in his district,
6	for seniors on fixed incomes.

and you've pointed out that you are opposed to a property tax cap. You just made that very clear to Senator Kennedy during your remarks. But at the same time, when you're saying you're not raising property taxes, as Senator Avella pointed out, maybe that's not happening, but assessments are going up year after year. And as a result of the assessments going up, then we have the net effect of property taxes going up.

That's a real impediment in so many ways to growth in the city.

And as a result, based on that, based on, you know, exorbitant land costs, based on the high cost of doing business, whether it's regulatory, a lot of extra bureaucracy and so on, all those things drive up costs in the city. And so it has exacerbated the housing

l sh	ortage	that	we	have	in	the	city.
------	--------	------	----	------	----	-----	-------

And so as a result of that, I would suggest that there's a structural problem that you're dealing with with regulatory systems, with tax systems, with the cost of land. And have you considered what you could do as mayor to tackle that structural problem that you have? Because that is actually contributing in such a big way to the housing shortage that you face right now.

MAYOR DE BLASIO: I appreciate the question, and I think you're right, that there are some really big factors at play here.

Now, I would argue we have -- I used to use the phrase "A tale of two cities." I still use it sometimes, and I'll use it in this case, because we have it in this sense.

One, there are some exceedingly positive factors that are actually complicating things. Right? The increased value on our land and on our buildings, our real estate values just continuing to grow, is obviously a blessing on so many levels and

1	indicates economic strength. The fact that
2	the city is growing physically in terms of
3	population, growing in terms of job growth,
4	these are wonderful things. But they also
5	put immense pressure on the affordable
6	housing equation. So in that sense the
7	success you want creates some real unintended
8	consequences.

rethink some of the elements of our tax structure, I think that's a fair point, because there's always been a certain amount of inconsistency and lack of clarity in the New York City property tax system. It's something that will have to be done very carefully, very intelligently. It's something that would take a lot of work. But I've said long ago I recognize there are challenges and problems in our tax system that have to be looked at.

At the same time, the thing I can do right now -- and we're all, you know, here to think about how we can practically help people -- what I can do right now is make

1	sure there is not a property tax rate
2	increase, which is one thing I think would
3	unify all the homeowners of New York City, is
4	that that would be an added burden. We've
5	avoided that for now three budgets in a row,
6	and we're going to keep avoiding that.
7	But in terms of coming back at these
8	underlying issues you know, ways that we

underlying issues -- you know, ways that we can help our seniors, ways that we can create more consistency in our tax system and more consistency across the different parts of the city -- these are real issues. I'm certainly going to be looking at them. I've been thinking about ways we could approach them. The one thing you'll appreciate, that will take a big, complicated structural fix, and it will take time to sort that out, for sure.

CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I think you're right for sure on that point, because every time property taxes go up, there is an impact, for example, on rental housing also. And tenants in market-rate apartments, you know, have their costs go up because the property taxes go up because the assessments

1	go up. Tenants who live in rent-regulated
2	apartments, the costs go up for owners and
3	then the owners aren't able to fix their
4	buildings. So there is an impact on tenants
5	there's an impact on homeowners, there's an
6	impact on businesses, there's an impact on
7	the ability to develop more affordable
8	housing. So I think that those are very,
9	very dire issues that need to be looked at.

And so as a result of the heavy costs associated with doing business in New York City, the only economic development tool that we have is the 421-a program, which as you know provides incentives and benefits to develop affordable housing units. And so I'd just say that we need to take a look at that again because right now there's nothing to be able to develop affordable housing, and that is a critical issue that needs to be addressed. And I'm sure you would agree with that.

MAYOR DE BLASIO: I agree, and we would appreciate -- and we know you've worked closely with us, and we'd appreciate deeply

1	your help and your leadership, because we
2	think there is a solution available, given
3	the plan we put forward, which had widespread
4	support. We think there's a way to reach
5	that plan or something like it, and move
6	forward. But the bottom line is we have a
7	need to keep the right kind of development
8	forward so we can create that affordable
9	housing. There's no reason for it to stall
10	if by good decisions here in Albany we can
11	keep it moving.

12 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Yup. So thank you
13 for that.

You also talked about, you know, rent control, rent regulation and units going out of the system. But the reason those units are going out is that the people living in them have actually hit a certain income threshold. So these are people with higher incomes that are going out of the system, and I'm sure you would agree with me that those kinds of assistance through rent regulation really should go to people who truly need it. And so we have programs like the Senior

Citizen Rent Increase Exemption program that actually freezes the rent for people who are seniors on fixed incomes who can't afford to pay their rent because it's so much of their income every year. And, you know, in 2014 the Legislature actually changed the amount from \$29,000 per year up to a threshold of \$50,000 a year, which actually has helped a lot of seniors in New York City.

The same with the similar program for people with disabilities with a freeze on rent. And you probably know that I sponsor legislation to do the same for all tenants who make \$50,000 a year or less and pay a high amount of their income toward rent. And I'd like to see that move forward, because I think that's a much more positive solution than the current system.

With that being said, I noticed after we had passed that in 2014 there was an article in the New York Times, I think it was May 20th, that said that the city needs to do a better job on getting the information out to people who qualify for the SCRIE and the

1	DRIE programs. And then there was a
2	follow-up, I was very interested, right after
3	Christmas, on December 31st of 2015, there
4	was a follow-up article about a senior in a
5	rent-controlled apartment who was concerned
6	because her rent may be going up because she
7	wasn't under the same freeze as the Rent
8	Guidelines Board. But the point was is that
9	she qualified for SCRIE, and I noticed that
10	right away in that article.
11	And I guess the question is, what is
12	the city doing to help those people, and
13	should there be a better focus on making sure
14	the word gets out to those renters?
15	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Well, first of
16	all and I'll turn to Dean and Sherif in a
17	second, but let me first say thank you for
18	your leadership in adjusting that income
19	level. That was absolutely crucial for
20	people all over the state, and certainly in
21	the city as well. And we appreciate that
22	deeply because that reflected the reality of
23	people's lives, to have SCRIE and DRIE

adjusted that way.

1	We do engage in very energetic
2	outreach efforts. We're trying to improve
3	upon them and strengthen them. We know a lot
4	of our colleagues, local elected officials,
5	do as well, and they're key partners in that.
6	So I agree with you, the last thing I want to
7	see is anyone having that right and not
8	taking advantage of it. We've tried to on
9	many fronts do a better job, because I think
10	previously New York City government was not
11	sufficiently communicative with its people.
12	So we've tried to fix that on many, many
13	fronts.
14	In terms of SCRIE and DRIE directly,
15	Dean or Sherif?
16	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: Right, we will
17	come back to you with specifics. We do
18	recognize that, and we are taking the
19	mayor's right, he has directed us to take
20	much more active outreach on SCRIE, on DRIE,
21	and actually on the EITC as well.
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I think you'd be
23	helping a lot of very needy people as a
24	result of that.

1	And as you also know, we fundamentally
2	philosophically disagree about price controls
3	and rent control. And, you know, on our side
4	of the equation we believe that it has
5	exacerbated the housing shortage in New York
6	City. It was supposed to be temporary from
7	1943, and it's still in place. And, you
8	know, better solutions we all want more
9	affordable housing. Better solutions would
10	be a free market system, developing more
11	affordable housing, and actually having a
12	system that addresses the most needy people
13	who can't afford to live. So that's
14	something that we continue to work on
15	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Senator, if I may,
16	just despite there may be some
17	philosophical differences, in addition to
18	thanking you for the partnership on many
19	fronts, I would say we also have put a very
20	clear focus in our affordable housing plan on
21	promoting the creation of market-rate rental
22	housing. We all know the reality of the new,
23	higher-priced condos that have become such a
24	big part of the housing landscape.

1	We think I think this may be a
2	point where there's some agreement that a
3	robust market-rate rental market is very good
4	for the overall availability of affordable
5	housing. So we have a series of actions that
6	are part of our overall plan to support and
7	encourage that development as well for the
8	good of all.
9	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay, thank you for
10	that, Mayor.
11	And just one final question, going
12	back to the questioning at the beginning.
13	You know, so we have the budget in place for
14	New York City through the state right now for
15	this year. And when you compare the budget
16	for this year with the proposed budget from
17	the Governor for 2017, which one is better,
18	which one would you prefer to see, if you had
19	to compare the two?
20	MAYOR DE BLASIO: I have to honestly
21	say to you that there's so many unclear
22	points in the current budget, there's so many
23	unanswered questions, that I can't in good

faith give you a perfect comparison. Maybe

1	when	we've	gotten	all	the	facts	Ι	can	answer
2	t.hat.	better	r.						

Obviously almost a billion dollars that at this moment we're assured will not be manifested as a cut, we need that to be ratified as we go forward. That's an area of tremendous concern. But overall, there's just a lot of elements of this budget that we don't have the full facts on. We deeply appreciate, as I said, the very first words of my testimony, there's some elements of this budget -- on the supportive housing, for example -- that I am exceedingly appreciative for. But there's a lot of other areas where until we get answers, I can't give you an honest comparison.

CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I appreciate that answer. But I will say to you that in this budget, from what I can see, there are significant investments in the city across the board -- you know, transportation, supportive housing, all kinds of things that are very beneficial to the city. So we'll continue to go through the process, but I

1	believe that when we look at things side by
2	side, at the end of the day, you would prefer
3	this budget over last year's because of those
4	significant investments.
5	So thank you very much for your
6	testimony today.
7	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you, Madam
8	Chair.
9	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Really appreciate
10	it.
11	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you very much.
12	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you,
13	Mr. Mayor.
14	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
15	(Pause.)
16	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Next, New York City
17	Comptroller Scott Stringer.
18	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: How're you
19	doing? Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: I just wanted you
21	to remember who you are.
22	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Well, thank
23	you, Chairman Farrell and Senate Republican
24	colleagues, Democrats, Republicans first

1	of all, I want to lay aside my remarks and
2	just simply say, based on the mayor's
3	impressive performance today, a four-hour
4	marathon
5	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Five hours.
6	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: I think that
7	he gets everything he asks for, so
8	(Laughter.)
9	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: So let me try
10	to fill in some of the mayor's talking points
11	and it really is great to be here, because
12	I really do welcome the chance to speak to
13	you today about the Governor's proposed
14	Executive Budget.
15	And as someone who has served in the
16	Legislature for 13 years, I understand that
17	this is not the beginning. This is actually
18	the beginning of a conversation that we're
19	going to have for many, many months, and I
20	want to thank everybody for sitting through
21	this very long hearing. And I look forward
22	to working with all of you to advance a
23	budget that is equitable and sound.
24	The Governor's proposed budget makes a

1	number of critical investments in both human
2	and physical infrastructure that will lay the
3	foundation for continued economic growth
4	across the State.
5	So first, I stand with the Governor in
6	supporting an increase in the minimum wage to
7	\$15 an hour. Raising the minimum wage is one
8	of the most effective tools we have to attack
9	income inequality and will place our state
10	firmly back in a leadership role on this
11	vital issue.
12	As my office has found, a phased-in
13	\$15 minimum wage would put an additional
14	\$10.2 billion into the pockets of
15	1.46 million workers in New York City alone.
16	That's real money that can help provide
17	working families a pathway to the middle
18	class so they can pay for college, make a car
19	payment, or just put food on the table.
20	Likewise, I strongly support the

Likewise, I strongly support the

Governor's proposal for a permanent extension

of the Earned Income Tax Credit to

non-custodial parents. Since 2012, the EITC

has pulled 70,000 New York City households

1	out of poverty, and in 2014 it returned some
2	\$4.1 billion to New Yorkers an average of
3	over \$2,300 per tax filer. That's called
4	making work pay. And I would argue and urge
5	this Legislature to go even further by
6	doubling New York City's EITC, expanding
7	eligibility to childless workers, and
8	lowering the age floor to 21.
9	In addition to raising wages for
10	low-income New Yorkers, I support the
11	Governor's push for paid family leave.
12	According to a survey by my office,
13	80 percent of New Yorkers support a paid
14	family leave system funded by a small
15	employee payroll deduction, such as the Paid
16	Family Leave Insurance Act, which passed the
17	Assembly last year.
18	Governor Cuomo has also issued a
19	series of proposals that support New York's
20	historic legacy as a land of immigrants.
21	From certifying UVisa applications for more
22	immigrant victims of crime, to making the
23	Task Force to Combat Worker Exploitation
24	permanent, we will continue to show that New

1	Vヘント	7 0	α n α n	+ ^	2
1	TOTV	T :	open	1.()	a + + •

Finally, the proposed budget makes key investments to aid one of our most vulnerable groups: our homeless population. Last night 58,000 city residents, including 23,000 children, slept in our homeless shelters, with thousands more choosing to sleep on our streets.

In December, my office completed an audit that found more than half of shelter units for children and families were plagued by peeling paint, roaches, rats, and the chill from broken windows. Earlier this month, we analyzed every single building housing homeless families with children, from shelters and hotels to so-called "scatter site" housing. We found that two-thirds of sites had unaddressed violations that threaten life, health, and the safety of residents.

This is our invisible city, and we will continue to shine a light on these conditions and demand change. That's why we welcome the Governor's increased support for

1	combating homelessness. And we look forward
2	to seeing improvements as we continue to
3	monitor shelters in New York City, just as
4	State Comptroller Tom DiNapoli and Buffalo
5	Comptroller Mark Schroeder do the same in
6	other parts of the State. We may not be able
7	to fix homelessness in a day, but we can
8	ensure that our shelters are safe, clean, and
9	livable, and that's what we intend to do.
10	At the same time, we must also do more
11	to address the underlying causes of
12	homelessness, including the lack of
13	affordable housing in our communities.
14	For decades, the New York City Housing
15	Authority has been a bastion of affordability
16	throughout the five boroughs. But despite
17	the fact that NYCHA is home to over 400,000
18	New Yorkers, it has a capital shortfall of
19	\$17 billion. That's why today I am renewing
20	a call I made two years ago to create a new,
21	dedicated revenue stream for NYCHA, using

24 Battery Park City generates a surplus

Authority.

22

23

surplus funds from the Battery Park City

1	every year. As part of a settlement
2	agreement between the city and the state, a
3	portion of those dollars have been directed
4	to affordable housing and other city capital
5	needs since 2010. We now have an opportunity
6	to direct those dollars explicitly toward
7	NYCHA, our largest source of affordable
8	housing.

I know there is support in the

Legislature for this idea. But we could do

this without legislation. Under the terms of

the existing settlement agreement, all that

is required is a unanimous vote by the mayor,

the governor, and the city comptroller -- and

I vote yes. I hope that you can help us,

talking to our mayor and governor, to get

this done.

NYCHA residents shouldn't have to wait any longer for roofs to be fixed, mold to be eradicated, and doors to be secured. We should make this happen this year. This excess money would total more than \$400 million over 10 years, and provide the first new source of recurring revenue for

1	NYCHA in years. The	at is our way of	saying we
2	can find new source	s of revenue, we	don't
3	only have to be rel	iant on what has	always
4	been.		

Now, recent discussions concerning

CUNY and Medicaid have raised the prospect of efficiencies in each system. I am pleased that Governor Cuomo and Mayor de Blasio have been discussing these vital programs and have committed to making no cuts. Funding CUNY is essential. We should be investing more in higher education if we truly want to keep New York a global center of commerce and innovation.

No place represents those aspirations better than CUNY, where three quarters of undergrads come out of New York City public schools; 42 percent are the first generation of their families to attend college; and where a quarter of students are African American, 29 percent are Latino, and 19 percent are Asian. These are the future leaders of our city and our state, and they deserve our support.

1	In recent years, CUNY has faced a
2	number of challenges from rapidly rising
3	enrollment to annual tuition increases. In
4	addition, their appropriation from Albany has
5	not kept pace with the state's own operating
6	budget. An analysis by my office shows if
7	aid to CUNY had grown at the same rate as the
8	state's operating budget over the last seven
9	years, the system would have an additional
10	\$637 million on hand today. Colleges and
11	universities play a key role in making New
12	York City a vibrant place to live and work.
13	We should be doubling down on the economic
14	engine of CUNY.
15	We also need to recognize that CUNY's
16	staff are the lifeblood of these
17	institutions, and, unlike most other state
18	workers, have been without a contract for
19	five years.
20	We need to put CUNY on a solid,
21	sustainable path forward. Because when we
22	invest in higher education, we win. When we
23	invest in students, we win. And when we

invest in teachers, we definitely win. And I

1	think	we	should	now	work	towards	that	issue
2	in thi	is (coming	budge	et cyc	cle.		

Now, I recognize as a former

legislator that budgets are fluid, and I have
been assured that negotiations are

progressing between the city and the state
over Medicaid efficiencies. But I want to

make it clear: Our Medicaid system covers
one out of every three New Yorkers, many of
whom have no healthcare at all if not for
this system. Any shifting of costs has to be
examined through the prism of these patients.

If New York City had to pay for all the growth in its Medicaid costs, it could add up to \$300 million to fiscal year 2017, it would climb to \$735 million by fiscal year 2020. These additional burdens come at a time when the New York City Health and Hospitals Corporation is already facing significant financial challenges.

As my office found in our "Holes in the Safety Net" report, New York City Health and Hospitals treated over 430,000 uninsured patients in 2014. That included many

1	undocumented residents who are ineligible for
2	Medicaid coverage or subsidies under the
3	Affordable Care Act.

Mayor de Blasio has acted fast. He has recently announced plans for a broad restructuring of HHC and in the meantime has dedicated over \$300 million in dedicated funds to keep the system's doors open to all. Reducing state Medicaid support will make it that much harder for the mayor to continue his efforts to put Health and Hospitals on a stable path. These hospitals are vital pieces of our safety net and deserve to be protected.

Finally, I want to address the STAR-C bond financing. In 2003 the state helped the city, then in the grips of the post 9/11 recession, by assuming the remaining Municipal Assistance Corporation bonds, bonds that helped New York City emerge from the fiscal crisis. Ultimately the city issued so-called STAR-C bonds to pay off the MAC debt. In 2014, the mayor's Office of Management and Budget and my office, the

1	comptroller's office, worked together and
2	took the opportunity to refinance the STAR-C
3	credit with lower interest rates. That
4	allowed the city to achieve savings of over
5	\$600 million, money that was reinvested to
6	support vital city services. This was one of
7	the many fiscally wise decisions made by the
8	city in the aftermath of the Great Recession
9	to refinance debt at lower interest rates.
10	Cities and localities should be
11	encouraged to refinance their debt when
12	opportunities arise. However, the proposed
13	budget does the opposite by diverting
14	\$600 million of savings from the city to the
15	state over the next three state fiscal years.
16	It sends the wrong message to localities: If
17	you do the right thing, if you fix
18	strategically, if you come up with a plan and
19	you invest wisely, you work with the
20	comptroller, treasurer, city manager, mayor,
21	however your government structure is, the
22	first thing that's going to happen is you
23	become a victim of your own success. I urge
24	you to leave our funding alone in this

egard	•
	egard

I look forward to the successful resolution of these budgetary issues, as well as other critical pieces of the Governor's budget. That includes a extension of mayoral control, which I support. Mayors need to be held responsible for the performance of our schools, so we need to give them the tools required to get the job done. It's all part of rebuilding the foundation of New York City.

ago the City faced the prospect of a crippling financial crisis, a burgeoning drug epidemic, and a rapidly declining population.

Many believed that we were in a downward spiral that would culminate in the death of the Great American City. In the years that followed, however, something truly amazing happened. The public and private sectors came together to invest in New York's future, pumping billions of dollars into infrastructure. We laid the foundation for the city's economic revival, and today we've

1	got over 4 million private-sector jobs the
2	most ever record tourism and tax receipts,
3	and a diverse, growing population. And
4	that's good for all of us, because as goes
5	the city, so goes the state.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

But believing in the inevitability of New York's rise today is as foolhardy as believing in our demise 40 years ago. In the past few months alone, we've seen plenty of storm clouds: A slowdown in China's economy that has sent oil and commodity prices crashing down, while raising the risks of a world-wide economic slump; a seven percent drop in the S&P 500 index since the beginning of the year, a fresh reminder that the city's finance industry generates approximately 15 percent of its tax revenue. Jobs have been cut at the Bank of America, Morgan Stanley, Citi, Barclays, and Deutsche Bank. We also must continue to wrestle with some of our most intractable problems: homelessness, affordable housing, and true wage stagnation.

But that's the historic opportunity before us today. Thanks to a brighter

1	economic outlook, we now have a chance to
2	attack those tougher, long-term challenges.
3	For years now, we've had to scramble from one
4	crisis to another: 9/11, the Great
5	Recession, Hurricane Sandy. New York always
6	comes back. But now we as a city and a state
7	have a chance to really pay down our
8	principal and get at the root of our
9	toughest, long-term challenges. We can house
10	the homeless. We can educate every child.
11	We can create good jobs at good salaries.
12	And we can make sure that New York City
13	continues to be the economic engine that
14	powers the Empire State.
15	To do that, we need to come together
16	as a city and a state and invest in our
17	futures. Because when we do that, we all
18	win. You see, it's not about upstate and
19	downstate you have yours, I want mine.
20	This is about a partnership. And for many of
21	us who represent the 8.5 million people in
22	the city, we are here today to tell you,

especially those of you not from New York

City, that we do respect our partnership, we

23

1	do respect the give-and-take, we do respect
2	your priorities. We just ask you to respect
3	ours as well.
4	Thank you for giving me this
5	opportunity, and it truly is great to be back
6	with many of you that I have known and, of
7	course, Chairman Farrell, with you always.
8	So thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
10	much.
11	Assemblyman Benedetto.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: Thank you,
13	Mr. Chairman.
14	And Mr. Comptroller, welcome to
15	Albany. Thank you for your patience as well.
16	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: I enjoyed every
17	minute of it.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: I'm sure you
19	did.
20	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: I know I have
21	issues.
22	(Laughter.)
23	ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: The Mayor,

throughout his comments here today,

1	continually stressed that yes, we're going to
2	have a surplus of money, we're going to put
3	them into reserves, reserves for the bad
4	times in the future. And that, towards the
5	end of your comments here, you kind of
6	recognized that the future is not always
7	bright.

Do you care to comment on the mayor's proposal, the mayor's building up of some reserves? And is the mayor putting aside enough for the future?

of you may think that we have too much of a cushion. I would argue with the mayor that we have to actually put more away. We should put away -- we should look at putting away 12 to 18 percent in terms of our budget outlays. We have to get the cushion up more. And I'll tell you why. After 9/11, any cushion we had was wiped out. Hurricane Sandy -- wiped out.

This is such a unique city and it's so massive and big that it may seem like a lot of cushion, but it's really not. So I think that to protect our city and our state we

1	need more of a cushion. I think we're about
2	1.6 billion short.
3	Obviously, you know, as comptroller I
4	have a certain perspective on the fiscal end.
5	The mayor is grappling with a lot of what he
6	has to do budgetarily and has different
7	priorities, and so we also will have a give
8	and take between the City Council and the
9	mayor on some of these budget priorities.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: Thank you very
11	much for your honesty.
12	Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm fine.
13	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
14	much.
15	Senator?
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you very
17	much.
18	And welcome, Comptroller Stringer.
19	It's good to see you again.
20	As you may recall, because it was so
21	long ago, we did serve together in the
22	State Assembly. So it's always great to see
23	you.

COMPTROLLER STRINGER: We served

1	together on the Housing Committee.
2	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: That's true.
3	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: And I want to
4	congratulate you on your new role.
5	You were always great on the
6	committee, and I always knew that when you
7	voted yes, I had to vote no, and we'd both
8	keep coming back here. So that's great.
9	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Some things never
10	change.
11	(Laughter.)
12	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So I'd like to call
13	on my colleague, Senator Marchione, who has
L 4	some questions.
15	SENATOR MARCHIONE: Hi. Do you run,
16	in the City of New York, youth programs where
17	you hire youth in the summer?
18	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Yes.
19	SENATOR MARCHIONE: And can you tell
20	me how many youth you hire?
21	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: I don't have
22	that available to me right now.
23	I don't do the hiring. You know, as
24	comptroller, I do the oversight. But the

1	city has many programs that hire young
2	people.
3	SENATOR MARCHIONE: So you have a
4	budget, then, for those youth?
5	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Well, there
6	would be a budget line, yes.
7	SENATOR MARCHIONE: So you don't know
8	what that budget line is?
9	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: I didn't bring
10	that information with me.
11	SENATOR MARCHIONE: Okay. I'd like to
12	know that.
13	You're proposing a \$15 an hour minimum
14	wage. Are you prepared to pay the youth in
15	the City of New York \$15 an hour? Do you
16	have those monies in the budget to pay youth
17	\$15 an hour? And do you have an age that you
18	hire throughout the city?
19	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: I don't think
20	we've gotten that far, you know. We're
21	phasing in the \$15 minimum wage.
22	But it certainly would be a great goal
23	so that when young people, whatever their
24	age, spend time at a summer job that they can

Ţ	actually get a real wage. Maybe they can put
2	some of that money away and buy schoolbooks
3	or pay for a lunch while they're going to
4	class.
5	SENATOR MARCHIONE: I'm not saying
6	that the money wouldn't be good for them.
7	What I'm asking is, have you prepared to put
8	those monies in? Because even though it's
9	going to be phased in, you're going to face
10	it if it gets passed this July. And you're
11	phasing in in New York City much faster than
12	what we're phasing in in our
13	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: I believe that
14	we should ensure that people can earn a
15	livable wage, and \$15 an hour is a reasonable
16	wage for the City of New York.
17	And we did a study, because I know
18	people last year asked me this question and
19	now you're asking me again, but I cannot tell
20	you how much a minimum wage increase to \$15
21	would mean to the New York City economy.
22	We would pump \$10 billion into
23	communities all over the city. The economic

24 engine that that would create, not just

Ţ	benefiting individuals but benefiting our
2	local business community, making sure that
3	people have the extra dollars to go into
4	stores, go into restaurants, support local
5	businesses, I think would be a pretty
6	important aspect of our economic strategy.
7	SENATOR MARCHIONE: But you still have
8	to have it in the budget no matter how well
9	it's going to be spent throughout the city.
10	You still have to have it. I can't pay
11	something I don't have in my pocket, if I'm a
12	small business. You don't have it, you can't
13	pay it either.
14	Could you look into your budget and
15	let me know whether you have increased your
16	youth budget, how many youth you do have, and
17	whether you're preparing for a \$15 an hour
18	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: We'll certainly
19	get back to you.
20	SENATOR MARCHIONE: Thank you.
21	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, Senator.
22	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
23	Assemblywoman Malliotakis. I have to
24	read it.

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: Every time
2	he says my name, it's different.
3	(Laughter.)
4	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Hi, Nicole.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: Thank you,
6	Mr. Comptroller, for being here and being
7	patient.
8	I just had two quick questions. You
9	recently came to my district to do a couple
10	of hearings, one on Sandy oversight. You had
11	initially, before the hearing, had issued a
12	report that about \$17 million were paid to
13	consultants and that there was a lot of waste
14	in the system. There were also some double
15	billings to some of the contractors or
16	consultants, rather.
17	I just wanted to get an update on what
18	your findings were and what improvements have
19	been made at the city level to try to make
20	this more efficient and streamlined.
21	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: You know, we
22	did this audit two ways. We actually held
23	hearings I think you were there in each
24	of the impacted areas of Sandy, not just on

1	Staten Island but throughout the city. And
2	we took testimony from New Yorkers in every
3	part of the area South Brooklyn, Coney
4	Island.

And it was amazing to me that people were coming to our hearings testifying to the fact that their paperwork and financial documents had been lost. And we heard this over and over again, if you remember. And we couldn't quite understand how you could come to Build It Back and just have paperwork lost, no intake person that followed your case -- all the basic issues.

So we launched our audit while we were having these hearings, and at the conclusion we said Build It Back was an unmitigated disaster. Thousands of people got hurt in the process. The paperwork was lost because, basically, at the first hint of trouble -- meaning a hurricane coming -- the city's response was go hire the consultants: Go hire PMG, go hire Solix, go hire URS, and they will take care of everything. They will set up the computer system, which they

1	didn't,	they	will	set	up	a	way	for	people	to
2	engage	Build	It B	ack ·		tha	at ne	ever	happene	ed.

And so basically the only people who benefited from setting this up were the actual consultants. It was truly a scandal.

And I think it's a lesson to all big cities that we have to build out -- the lesson is we have to build out OEM and emergency services for the disasters that will definitely come our way. And we have been too lax, because we think we can hire consultants to get us out of the next big storm or the next attack, and what our audit showed was that can't happen.

I will tell you, while we haven't gone back and audited, we are monitoring Sandy and the Build It Back. And while you can look at a better process, I still think we can't account for thousands of people. And until we can account for thousands of people -- Staten Island, Coney Island, throughout our city -- Lower Manhattan -- then I think we are not whole. And I will not say that we are doing better.

1	The other thing that we also did, we
2	just finished an audit that you'd be
3	interested in I know, Diane, you'll be
4	interested in this that even though we
5	were told that NYCHA was coming up with a
6	plan so that the next disaster they would be
7	ready for, I went in and audited NYCHA.
8	There's no plan. If, God forbid,
9	something happened, it would be just like the
10	same.
11	And so I know you're shocked at
12	this but we should also look at our
13	different agencies and make sure that they're
14	actually creating emergency plans that are
15	realistic. I can tell you, and I'll send
16	this to you if you want, our NYCHA audit
17	showed that we're no better today with
18	NYCHA's planning process than we were on the
19	day the storm hit.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: The other
21	question I had is regarding to your Red Tape
22	Commission. You also came to Staten Island
23	and also in Brooklyn in all the boroughs,
24	actually and listened to the concerns of

the small business con	mmunity.
------------------------	----------

2 It seems that small businesses are 3 sort of being hit at every level, whether it's the city, the state, or federal. Some 4 5 of the policies coming out of City Hall, I believe, are hurting the small business 6 7 community in the city. What were some of the results of that 8 Red Tape Commission, and what recommendations 9 10 have you made to the City Council and the administration to make changes? 11 12 COMPTROLLER STRINGER: So we're looking at -- so this commission, as you've 13 14 said, we've had hearings in all five 15 boroughs. And it's not just the comptroller's staff doing this, we've 16 actually put together a very good blue-ribbon 17 18 commission of people with varied backgrounds taking a look at many of the agencies' 19 20 relationships with small businesses -- fines 21 and fees and taxes and, you know, the 22 well-documented history of businesses opening, politicians rushing to the 23

ribbon-cutting, and then the next day the

1	agencies start coming in: You know, you have
2	a fruit fly in the vodka bottle, and we're
3	going to shut you down for three days. You
4	know, there's an ant on the floor two blocks
5	away, it must have been you, and we're going
6	to fine you. I'm making light of this, but
7	everybody's talked to small business owners.

I think we've lost sight of the fact
that many small business owners open their
business with their life savings. They just
can't go to Citibank and say "I need a
million-dollar loan." Most people can't get
that. So they save and save, work three jobs
to have their entrepreneurial dream, and the
day they open, it's not market forces very
often that determine their economic success,
it's the sheer brunt of the agencies that
determine their future.

So the Red Tape Commission, we are looking at all of these agencies, looking at it in a critical way. I don't want to announce the findings today, but I think this is going be one of those bipartisan moments -- Republican/Democrat,

1	liberal/conservative that I hope that we
2	can generate a real consensus that we need to
3	take a sledgehammer to the bureaucracy that
4	is just dragging down so many mom-and-pop
5	stores and killing the entrepreneurial spirit
6	of our millennials, the next generation. All
7	they want to do is create and, you know, they
8	have a whole set of problems in terms of
9	office space and dealing with connectivity
10	and those issues.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: I
12	appreciate that, and I appreciate you doing
13	this commission. When are the findings going
14	to be announced?
15	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: I would like
16	I'm hoping in the spring. We're working on
17	the report now, and it's a collaborative
18	effort, so we're working with all the
19	commission members.
20	We also have an online survey, so in
21	addition to the hearings we held, we actually
22	have reached out to hundreds of businesses
23	with an online survey which is gathering more
24	data and actually, I should make a pitch

1	to get you the link, because we certainly
2	would want to hear from more people on Stater
3	Island. So maybe I can set that up. And we
4	can also show you what we're asking, the
5	questions.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS:
7	Absolutely. Any way we can help in or
8	talk to the chambers of commerce locally, let
9	us know.
10	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: That'd be
11	great. Thank you.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: Thank you.
13	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Questions?
15	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, yes.
16	Senator Krueger.
17	SENATOR KRUEGER: Hello,
18	Mr. Comptroller. It's nice to see you up
19	here instead of in our island of Manhattan.
20	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: And nice to see
21	you there.
22	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
23	So in your testimony, where I don't
24	really have any disagreements, just one

1	clarification
2	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Yeah.
3	SENATOR KRUEGER: you're proposing
4	the Battery Park City money be dedicated to
5	NYCHA, and you estimate \$400 million over 10
6	years, so we'll say \$40 million a year. I
7	agree NYCHA desperately needs the money. But
8	what won't we be spending it on instead?
9	Because what do we use it for now?
10	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Well, it would
11	be for it would take away I shouldn't
12	say take away, but it would redirect money
13	from other housing programs and earmark it to
14	NYCHA. And basically, there's a big pot of
15	money for other housing subsidy programs and
16	capital monies, and they tend to do okay,
17	especially capital.
18	But everyone has talked about helping
19	NYCHA, but no one's willing to direct monies
20	to actually help NYCHA. And I think this
21	would be a way of us saying that we are going
22	to find a new revenue stream. We've never
23	had one in modern history that I'm aware of.
24	And I believe the city can certainly make up

1	th_	difference	\circ f	anv	evisting	nrogram
L	CIIC	attrefere	O_{T}	any	existing	program.

I've come here to tell you our finances are strong, I'm not afraid to say that. This is our one shot where we could take Battery Park City money and put a marker down on NYCHA.

SENATOR KRUEGER: And last year when you testified, you had just recently released or were about to release something you called the Fair Share report, and you went into some details about the state's declining commitment to New York City with its budget monies.

Is there anything that has changed since that time other than the new proposals in the Governor's budget that would decline monies even more?

COMPTROLLER STRINGER: We made the point that when you lose AIM and you lose other programs that we used to have in New York City, whether it's the commuter tax or AIM, that we're not doing well. And considering that we're sending so much more to the state than we're getting back -- I

1	think one of the things, when you stay at a
2	hearing for four hours and you listen much of
3	the time, the one takeaway I've had I did
4	do that the one takeaway I've had through
5	this is that someone asked a question and
6	said, Well, you know, New York City had the
7	benefit of a program in the 1970s or in the
8	1990s, say, and that program never expired.
9	And that's true. And I was listening, but
10	then I wanted to scream out: But there are
11	other programs that we did lose. And some
12	were more beneficial to the city economically
13	than others.

The commuter tax is a wonderful example of the billions and billions of dollars that we used to get, to rely on, that simply disappeared in a midnight deal that we never got back.

So yes, we certainly have gotten funding from the state -- we thank you, we know that this doesn't happen easily -- but we've also lost money through the budget process as well. And so I just ask you to take that into consideration.

1	I'll resend you that report for your
2	deliberations, because it's something that I
3	should probably have brought back this year.
4	SENATOR KRUEGER: I found it online
5	while we were listening to the four and a
6	half hours with Mayor de Blasio.
7	So thank you, and
8	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: We all had a
9	lot of time to
10	SENATOR KRUEGER: We did. We had a
11	lot of time to do research and listen to each
12	other.
13	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: A very good
14	hearing, Senator. Yeah.
15	SENATOR KRUEGER: Because that report
16	shows that the city received \$1.2 billion
17	less in state revenue in fiscal year 2014
18	compared to 2009. And the yearly decline
19	from \$12.1 billion to \$10.9 billion between
20	2009 and 2014 these are fiscal years
21	the state's operating funds grew by almost 16
22	percent, but contributions to the city
23	continued to be reduced.
24	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Right.

1	SENATOR KRUEGER: And so you show that
2	the city would have had an additional \$3.1
3	billion to meet its expenses in fiscal year
4	2014 if we hadn't been reducing state funds
5	to the city so much.

So I appreciated having that on the record, because I did want to go on the record that some people seem to believe

New York City is taking more money than historically it has, or is actually using more state money than it's actually contributing to the state, and I know that your work has shown that is not true.

COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Nothing is farther from the truth. We have always paid a whole lot more. And, you know, I would argue that we're actually happy to do it, because we recognize a strong New York State is also good for New York City. And so when we can be helpful, we don't expect money in, money back. When we come here, it's because we have specific needs that you don't want to -- you don't want to create a tipping point in New York City, because it limits our

1	ability to help the state financially.
2	SENATOR KRUEGER: I agree with you.
3	And I do think, to repeat what I think
4	Senator Marchione said earlier, we are one
5	state and we all rise or fall together.
6	So I do agree that the city actually
7	has been a significant contributor to the
8	upstate economy, and I just didn't want today
9	to end without reflecting that fact.
10	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: And let's put
11	it on the record today and Senator
12	Moynihan rest in peace but you know what
13	we've given to Washington that we don't get
14	back. And maybe Congress will finally
15	recognize that, you know, we can't carry the
16	country and carry the state.
17	SENATOR KRUEGER: And you talked about
18	the CUNY issues within your testimony. Did I
19	miss your touching on education funding?
20	In the past we've spent so much time
21	talking about fair funding through the CFE
22	lawsuit decision, which of course would
23	affect the entire state. Where are we in
24	that realm at this point?

1	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Well, obviously
2	we haven't gotten the full dollars from CFE.
3	We did not get CUNY did not keep
4	pace with state spending, as we revealed
5	today, a \$637 million difference. That's
6	pretty significant. That's over a half a
7	billion dollars that CUNY did not get.
8	Despite the lack of funding, that is an
9	incredible institution. But you have to
10	invest capital money, you have to invest
11	programmatic money, you have to invest in the
12	professors, you have to invest in the
13	students. And when we do that, and I would
14	say that for SUNY and CUNY, we turn out some
15	of the best and the brightest, the most
16	diverse school population that go on to do
17	great things for the world, and I think that
18	we should make that a priority in the budget.
19	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you very much
20	for your testimony.
21	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Thank you.
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, Senator
23	Krueger.
24	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.

1	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Savino.
2	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Oh, no, I have one.
3	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I'm sorry, do you
4	have one? Excuse me. I thought you were
5	done.
6	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: No. I thought so
7	too.
8	Mr. McDonald.
9	(Laughter.)
10	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: You told me you
11	were done.
12	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: We have three
13	and a half hours to go.
14	SENATOR SAVINO: I'll only take up
15	two.
16	(Laughter.)
17	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Mr. McDonald.
18	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I'm sorry, Senator
19	Savino, we have an Assemblymember who is up
20	who we were not aware of. So you're next,
21	after that.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: I'll be quick,
23	Comptroller.
24	And for reference, I replaced your

1	colleague Ron Canestrari, so I represent five
2	cities: Cohoes, Watervliet, Rensselaer,
3	Troy, and the City of Albany. And being a
4	former mayor, I think you'll understand some
5	of the context of my questions.
6	The mayor earlier, when you sat
7	through the five-hour presentation, had
8	referenced a \$600 million increase in our
9	pension costs. And the one question I meant
10	to ask, but stepped out: Is the fund 90
11	percent, 100 percent funded? Where is the
12	pension fund in regards to its
13	responsibilities?
14	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: New York State?
15	New York City pension fund?
16	ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: New York City.
17	Yeah, not New York State.
18	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: So we're
19	roughly we're at \$155 billion. We're the
20	fourth-largest pension fund in the United
21	States. We're actually the 14th largest in
22	the world. We protect the retirement
23	security of 710,000 people, so it really is
24	an incredible responsibility that we have.

1	we'	re	apout	/ <u>T</u>	percent	runaea.

- 2 ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: Okay.
- 3 COMPTROLLER STRINGER: We are in a
- 4 strong position.

5 There's a lot more that we have to do.

6 You know, our pension fund is made up of five

7 boards. We've better aligned our pension

8 fund. Thanks to the hard work of the

9 trustees, we are now going to have one

10 investment meeting -- people should know that

11 today we are releasing a report by an

independent consultant talking about the

Bureau of Asset Management, that I run, and

14 the wholesale reform that's needed to bring

15 the system into the 21st century. And we are

slowly but consistently working with the

trustees to create the opportunity so that

18 when the economy is good we can take

19 advantages, you know, take advantage of the

20 markets and all that we have to invest in,

21 and then when there are tough times we have

22 enough risk officers and a risk plan in place

23 to hedge against a tough economy. And that

is my goal as comptroller.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: You earlier
2	and I agree with that when they were
3	talking about how much reserve should New
4	York City have
5	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: How many what?
6	ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: How much
7	reserves New York City should have. And
8	every wise comptroller will say there's never
9	enough reserves, and you gave a very
10	appropriate answer.
11	What's your goal in regards to the
12	pension? Where do you want to be in three to
13	five years, percentage-wise? Or is that
14	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: In terms of the
15	cushion for the city?
16	ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: In regards to
17	the pension fund. In regards you know,
18	you're at 71 percent right now; the state is
19	running at a little bit higher rate. What's
20	the goal of the city pension fund?
21	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: You know,
22	rather than get to the end game, which of
23	course is to be fully funded in a perfect
24	world, my goal is that we reduce the reliance

1	of t	axpa	ayer	doll	ars	going	into	the	pension
2	func	d to	make	up	shor	rtfalls	S .		

I think it's critical that we hit our actuarial target. It's very critical to us that we get there, and we get there making sure that our asset classes are -- you know, our different asset classes are much more moderate. I wouldn't quite -- I never want to use the word "conservative," but actually I find myself more conservative recognizing that we don't have to hit it big, our goal is to be responsible long-term investors, hit our 7 percent, do it in the way that safeguards these individuals' retirement.

You know pensions, the average pension is under \$40,000. This is people's total retirement. That and Social Security in New York City doesn't even pay the rent. So it's a very crucial part, I think it's actually the biggest of our job, is to think about this every day.

ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: I agree, and I appreciate what you're doing.

The other is more of a comment. I

know you went to great pains to put it in your presentation; obviously the mayor was asked questions about it several times with the STAR-C bond refinancing. And, you know, and I appreciate your comments, and probably the first thing I did when I was the mayor was went through and refinanced all my old debt too -- all we could do -- and it brought about appreciable savings.

By the same token, I think the challenge here -- and it's just a comment, and mostly I'm saying it for the people who are taking notes, not you -- is that many of our cities are struggling financially.

Fortunately, many of them have not gone to that depth of debt that the city has seen.

And at the same token, they're all looking for solutions. They're all looking for ways to avoid going into debt. You know, you'll be hearing from the mayor of Albany, the mayor of Troy very soon, and they're very much on that decline. And unfortunately there's not a lot of resources being directed to prevent that from happening.

Τ,	so I think when Senator Kennedy was
2	grilling the mayor and a few others, they
3	were appropriate. I share that concern. We
4	need all of New York State to be successful.
5	I understand there's always going to be
6	friction between the city and the state we
7	won on this one, we lost on this one. It's a
8	very large scorecard. At the end of the day,
9	I just hope that everybody has an open mind
10	to recognize the fact that you need to make
11	sure that we're all strong financially.
12	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: You know, one
13	of the things I learned in my 13 years in
14	Albany is that the world does not only
15	revolve around your local district. Right?
16	There's a much bigger world out there. You
17	have Magnarelli in Syracuse and people who I
18	serve with who represented big cities with
19	just the same struggles and challenges New
20	York City faces.
21	I actually think these hearings,
22	though there's obviously there's a certain
23	ideology, there's a certain natural
24	upstate/downstate friction at the end of

1	the day, if the state is doing badly and only
2	New York does well, it's not good for New
3	York. People stay away from our state. The
4	tourists don't come. We are so linked
5	together, even though we don't always see it
6	right away and I would hope that in this
7	budget process I come here as comptroller
8	fighting for my city, but it is not at the
9	expense of Syracuse, of Rochester, the Big 5,
10	it's not against any city or town.
11	We've got to do this together, and I
12	think you heard that from the mayor today.
13	He came here today not talking only about us,
14	but making sure that we could figure out a
15	way to get everyone where we need to be.
16	Look, some years there will be a
17	crisis in your community that will allow us
18	to take away from our city. That has
19	happened time and time again. It's going to
20	happen again. We're here to tell you that we
21	do have some real issues in New York City
22	that we have got to deal with to keep the
23	engine going. It's education, it's
24	homelessness these are issues beyond the

1	glittering city. I just want to take you
2	back to our invisible city: 58,000 people,
3	23,000 children, and they're going to be
4	sleeping in shelters. No child should sleep
5	in those situations.

We're going to inspect, we're going to blow the whistle, but we also need the programmatic money and the strategy to clean them up. And if we clean them up, we're going to make taxpayers out of these children, we're going to educate them, they're going to have productive lives, and then we can dismantle -- you know, the goal is to dismantle our shelter system.

Wouldn't it be great if we only needed one shelter? Right? Maybe one shelter per borough, and that's just because we need to, you know, keep -- bad things happen. Right now we've got an absolute mess, years in the making -- the mayor's right, it didn't happen overnight -- and now's the time for us to tackle it for the sake of the whole state.

ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: Thank you for the good work that you're doing. I do have

1	to say, from an upstater perspective, all
2	your efforts, particularly the homeless
3	shelter, was very eye-opening and it really
4	brought the crisis to the forefront.
5	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Thank you.
6	Thank you.
7	Did we serve together?
8	ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: What's that?
9	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Did we serve
10	together?
11	ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: No. I just got
12	here three years ago.
13	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: It's nice to
14	meet you.
15	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
16	Senator?
17	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
18	Senator Savino.
19	SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you, Senator
20	Young.
21	Can I call you Scott? It's easier
22	than "Comptroller Stringer."
23	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Yes. Fine.
24	SENATOR SAVINO: I want to pick up

1	where Assemblyman McDonald left off on the
2	pension issue.
3	I know that part of the problem with
4	the city having to put up another
5	\$600 million is basically the actuarial
6	assumptions are somewhat wrong. You know,
7	we're dealing with a system that was designed
8	when 55 was old and 65 was dead, and
9	unfortunately our retirees are living longer
10	than we assumed they would. So I'm going to
11	assume that's going to be a problem going
12	forward.
13	So what steps
14	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: You kind of
15	hope that's a
16	SENATOR SAVINO: Yeah. I mean, it's
17	likely. You know, people are living longer,
18	and they're going to be continuing to collect
19	pensions, so there has to be some way for the
20	system to adapt to that so that we don't have
21	these shortfalls.
22	Is there something that you guys are
23	working on now that will help deal with that?

I see Tim is writing furiously there.

1	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: So Tim
2	Mulligan who is our excellent deputy
3	comptroller for budget who I probably forgot
4	to introduce, but everyone knows him so well
5	because you call him all the time. And he's
6	served the city, so thank you, Tim.
7	In the new tables that the actuary has
8	issued, a lot of future growth is built into
9	the table, Tim reminds me. So I think that's
10	helpful.
11	But we're going to have to think about
12	retirement beyond the pension
13	SENATOR SAVINO: Sure.
14	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: And we have to
15	figure out retirement not just for people who
16	are city or state workers. And we are
17	collaborating to come up with ways to create
18	retirement plans for every citizen. You
19	know, everybody in this country can have a
20	secure retirement.
21	SENATOR SAVINO: Well, I totally
22	agree. I know the Governor has a proposal on
23	it, I have a piece of legislation that would
24	allow for it. And I've often said that many

1	people would have a pension and many
2	employers would provide pensions if they
3	could afford to maintain a plan. It's
4	incredibly expensive to develop one.

And I do think that we are probably missing out on the opportunity for small employers, or even medium-sized ones, to utilize the New York City or New York State pension system as a vehicle of investments, separating the funds, of course, to protect the taxpayer. But it's something that we really need to look at.

without letting the cat out of the bag, we have been researching and working on this issue with experts from literally around the world on this issue. Give me some time, we need some -- many months. But we are working with a lot of folks -- and obviously you've talked about this -- to come up with a retirement plan that works for everybody.

SENATOR SAVINO: And the other -- on the pension issue, I mean, the New York Times has the report or an article about the report

1	that was released today, the independent one.
2	But their headline is rather alarming to the
3	average individual, it says
4	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Which one, I'm
5	sorry?
6	SENATOR SAVINO: "New York City's
7	Pension System in Danger of Operational
8	Failure." Now, to the average reader that
9	might seem like there's some sort of crisis
10	impending in the pension system, but I don't
11	believe that's the case.
12	What my understanding is it's a
13	couple of things they've uncovered. One,
14	something we've known for a long time, that
15	the money managers that operate within the
16	pension system have been taking millions of
17	dollars in fees and not really producing
18	anything, and that there's also this issue of
19	the Bureau of Asset Management.
20	So I know your predecessor, John Liu,
21	and Mayor Bloomberg at one point talked about
22	restructuring that Bureau of Asset
23	Management, but that plan never went anywhere
24	

1	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Let me let
2	me just
3	SENATOR SAVINO: because of
4	opposition from the funds. So have you been
5	able
6	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: So it's a
7	little
8	SENATOR SAVINO: to overcome that
9	opposition?
10	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: So it's a
11	little different. So it was a Liu/Bloomberg
12	plan to consolidate the pension fund, it was
13	a plan that had very little support up in
L 4	Albany and was never going to happen.
15	We worked for two years with the
16	trustees to create an opportunity to align
17	the five boards in one investment meeting.
18	Before we were able to accomplish this, we
19	used to have 55 investment meetings a year
20	talking about 96 percent of the same
21	investments. It was out of a bad movie. And
22	it grinded down the Bureau of Asset
23	Management to the people who were responsible
24	for looking at deals and investments only

were preparing for the next meeting to have the same discussion all over again.

We worked with our trustees and our union partners, we worked with the mayor, the public advocate, borough presidents, and we now have six meetings a year, not 55, which has now given us a lot more time to tackle the issues related to the Bureau of Asset Management.

When I took office I said, Look, a lot of BAM is hanging by a thread because we haven't invested in infrastructure, we haven't invested in IT, we haven't invested in whole host of things. When I became comptroller, there was no compliance officer in a \$160 billion pension fund. There wasn't a risk management officer, a risk officer to review the investments the CIO was making. We still had pay-to-play in the pension system, we never banned placement agents.

We had a six-point plan, we accomplished it, but then I realized we have to go deeper. And this is where sometimes -- and today's story in the Times, the headline

1	is a little chilling, but I hired an outside
2	consulting firm with the trustees, a \$1.4
3	million report that I think is very telling
4	that says we accomplished a lot in the last
5	two years, but if you want to have
6	best-practice pension funds, you've got to
7	finish the job over the next two to six to 10
8	years.
9	We released that because it's
10	transparent. You get a report, you put it
11	out for public consumption. I want people to
12	feel there's a sense of urgency. I want, as
13	comptroller, to be held to that standard,
14	that urgency, and I want the person who comes
15	after me to feel that the Funston report is
16	what you take to work in the morning when
17	you're dealing with our pension fund.
18	So I am not upset; I thought theirs
19	was a great article that talked about the
20	report we did on Wall Street fees,
21	challenging these money managers to recognize

dollars. And so I think we're in an era of

22

23

it's not just about them, but that they

actually represent retirees and public

1	great reform within the comptroller's office
2	and with the pension fund. And I do want to
3	say on the record because sometime the
4	elected official gets more notoriety about it
5	true, you get more blame but I think
6	people should really thank the trustees of
7	Police, Fire, NYCERS, Teachers they have
8	been as reform-minded as anyone at the
9	pension fund.

10 SENATOR SAVINO: It's very good to
11 hear that.

And finally, on your new responsibility of administering the state's homeless, I don't know, \$20 billion -- do you have a sense of what your role will be separate and apart from what you currently do right now? As city comptroller you have the ability to audit contracts, and you've been very aggressive about that with some of these bad actors in the shelter system, refusing to approve contracts until they clean up their act. So what will be different about what the Governor's budget would grant to you than you're currently doing?

1	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: So this is not
2	an Al Haig moment for me, okay? This is
3	what we're doing is what I've been doing from
4	the very beginning, which is auditing city
5	agencies we've been very aggressive with
6	the Department of Homeless Services. We
7	exposed the weakness in the contracting
8	system, we got blowback from DHS, and it
9	turns out we were right, there were issues.
10	We went into the shelter system, did a
11	hard-hitting audit, and we revealed the
12	terrible conditions people were living in.
13	Imagine living with rodents,
14	infestation, doors that don't lock or close,
15	security nowhere in sight, repairs that were
16	so terrible it's from back in the days of
17	the '70s when there was redlining and you
18	would go to court with tenants in terrible
19	conditions. This is what kids are living
20	with, 23,000 children. It is so outrageous
21	that I sometimes can't stop myself.
22	We are grateful, I am grateful that
23	the Governor, in the State of the State
24	message, said: You know what, I'm willing to

1	give you resources if you need them. I want
2	to coordinate with Comptroller DiNapoli,
3	Comptroller Schroeder, and your office so
4	that if we see dire conditions, that we can
5	take strong action.

In addition to the Governor working with us on this, we are also working with the Mayor, and we're working with Commissioner Banks. Because at the end of the day, my goal as the auditor-in-chief of our city is to make sure that by the time we're done that the shelters are going to be places where a child can stay, still get an education, have room to study, have a place to play. This is the 21st century, people. This should not be that difficult. And we're going to continue to do it.

And listen, we're ruffling feathers.

We're pushing the city administration. This
is obviously something that they've got to
get their arms around. But we're pushing,
and that's what my job is.

I am glad the Governor is committed to this process. It is not a negative. It is

1	important, we should support that effort, and
2	you should also have assurance from me that
3	we are working with Steve Banks, who is
4	someone I respect, as HRA commissioner. We
5	have had multiple meetings, we talk on the
6	phone all the time, and we are collaborating.
7	And I want you to know that as well.
8	SENATOR SAVINO: Well, that's great.
9	I just wanted one final point.
10	I made the point earlier when I spoke
11	to the mayor about the history of DHS, it's a
12	separate agency from HRA. You know, we're
13	all old enough now, we were there I
14	remember Muzzy Rosenblatt, the original
15	commissioner who convinced the previous mayor
16	they claimed then it would make service
17	provision easier or better for the homeless,
18	and in fact it was really just a ploy to
19	privatize the shelter system. And as a
20	result, now you're auditing thousands of
21	contracts for these private shelter operators
22	and many of them, as you pointed out, are
23	running shelters that are not fit for humans.
24	So one of the things I would hope you

1	would focus on is also looking at the number
2	of these private shelters that are contracted
3	by the city that are not abiding by the rules
4	that say sex offenders should not be living
5	in a place where you have children. They do
6	it all the time, they've taken the position
7	that they can't prevent it because if a
8	family comes into a family shelter system and
9	they're part of a family unit, that they
10	can't prevent even a Level 3 sex offender
11	from coming in with his family.

We believe that that's wrong, and we would look -- hopefully your agency and your office will get a handle on that in a way DHS has refused to do up until now.

COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Well, look, we would love to be able to collaborate with you on a lot of these issues. I think -- it's not new power/old power you have. If there's a will to get into these shelters and find out what's going on, hold these operators accountable, look at these cluster sites -- look, one of the things that we exposed that I just find incredible -- with a LINC voucher

1	you can go and get an apartment; right? The
2	LINC voucher program is very significant.
3	There are landlords that won't rent to
4	homeless people.

I mean, it's in violation -- it's a violation of the law, and it shouldn't be allowed, and we should crack down on that.

People who want to find permanent housing ought to have the ability to do that, especially if they have a voucher and a means to pay for affordable housing, but the landlord says "No homeless need apply." I mean, come on. That is something that we have to be very, very tough on.

At the same time, we have to be very tough to make sure that communities are consulted in the siting of facilities. We have to involve communities. We shouldn't be afraid of communities. When I was a borough president I sited homeless facilities, working with different administrations. When you talk to people with respect in a community, when you engage people, you'd be surprised how much people want to help. When

1	you tell people that there are 23,000
2	children in our homeless shelters today, in
3	the greatest city on earth in a time of some,
4	you know, prosperity in the city, people get
5	very emotional.
6	We have the will of the people on our
7	side to clean up the shelters, and that means
8	we should be funding initiatives by the
9	Governor and the Mayor to create permanent
10	housing, supportive housing. We have got to
11	create a revenue stream for NYCHA because, at
12	the end of the day, we have got to make sure
13	that housing is strong or we could tip that
14	housing into disrepair, and we'll be doing
15	those kinds of audits looking for children
16	who are living in dangerous conditions. And
17	I don't want to see that happen at all.
18	SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, Senator.
20	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
21	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Assemblyman?
22	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblyman Oaks.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Hi, Comptroller.
24	I just I know Assemblyman McDonald

1	and Senator Savino both talked some about the
2	retirement system, and I just wanted to add a
3	little bit into the \$600 million, is that
4	all due increase is that all due to the
5	actuarial, or is that somewhat also I know
6	there was a little bit of maybe down
7	performance from the year before, so
8	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: All of that
9	\$600 million is about assumptions. There's
10	no performance factored into that.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: So the total amount
12	of increase, do you know, then, that \$600
13	million is there an increase year to year
14	anticipated too, or don't we have those
15	figures yet?
16	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: That
17	performance piece was phased in in our
18	November plan. I don't have it with me, but
19	I can get it for you.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Okay. I was just
21	trying to get an idea as I mean, over the
22	last several years are we seeing year-to-year
23	going up each year? I know in some of the
24	statewide ERS and some of the systems we've

Т.	seen some decrine in the percentages that are
2	paid due to performance and whatever.
3	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: So in our
4	situation, I wouldn't say we've gone up. We
5	have actually started to flatten out over the
6	last couple of years.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Okay. And I'd
8	appreciate seeing some of those figures.
9	Thank you very much.
10	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: We'd be happy
11	to provide them.
12	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you very
13	much.
14	Senator Rivera.
15	SENATOR RIVERA: Thank you, Madam
16	Chairwoman.
17	Comptroller, good afternoon. I have a
18	couple of questions for you regarding
19	Medicaid.
20	Now, I obviously recognize that we all
21	recognize that the budget that we have before
22	us is the working draft, so obviously these
23	budget hearings are precisely about looking
2.4	for input to figure out the places where we

1	could do some shifts and do things in a
2	smarter way. But I wanted to ask you, at
3	least the numbers that we have right now
4	we covered it yesterday in the Medicaid
5	hearing, but I wanted to as the fiscal
6	watchdog of the City of New York, there are
7	many of us that are concerned with the way
8	that the formula on Medicaid has been, at
9	least currently in the budget proposal, has
10	been reorganized, if you will, for the City
11	of New York and the impact that it's going to
12	have on it.
13	So if you could speak a little bit

So if you could speak a little bit about the fiscal impact that if the budget proposal stays -- oh, here he is. This guy, I love this guy when he appears like a ninja out of nowhere, this guy --

COMPTROLLER STRINGER: The --

SENATOR RIVERA: But if you could then speak about the fiscal impact this could have on the City of New York, certainly in this coming fiscal year and in years to come, and whether you think that that is a sustainable thing considering that some folks have said

1	that the broad shoulders of the State of New
2	York
3	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: I appreciate
4	your leadership on this issue, and you've
5	been championing our cause here.
6	The numbers that we can give you is
7	that, you know, the Head Start's 2017 \$300
8	million goes up to \$500 million in '18, then,
9	you know it just doesn't stop. It gets to
10	2020, and now we're as I mentioned in our
11	testimony, it's a \$735 million hit. You
12	know, that accumulation over the next few
13	years is over \$2 billion.
14	And so this is something that ought to
15	be avoided at all costs. I understand that
16	the Governor and the Mayor talking about
17	efficiencies I always believe that you can
18	save money when you look at ways to, you
19	know, come up with new technology, new ways,
20	but at the end of the day, we cannot that
21	Medicaid cost would hurt us very badly.
22	SENATOR RIVERA: So it is your sense

that -- and certainly you are experienced, as

well, through all the audits that you and

23

1	your office do on how to spot
2	inefficiencies probably not only
3	certainly, in some cases inappropriate
4	uses of money, but certainly in certain
5	places where things could be done more
6	effectively, more efficiently it is your
7	sense, then, that this is not a cost that
8	could be just burdened {sic} by the city?
9	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: I think our
10	health system in New York City is in a
11	precarious situation, and we obviously have a
12	lot of people in the system who are not
13	covered by insurance. We have a lot of
14	undocumented individuals who have the right
15	to get healthcare, they should get
16	healthcare.
17	This is not the time to take a hit,
18	especially, as the mayor said today, that
19	we're dealing with real budget issues related
20	to the Health and Hospitals Corporation.
21	We've put \$300 million in, and I think that's
22	really Tim, you would agree a stopgap
23	for what has to happen there in terms of

figuring out an economic system that works

1	there.
2	SENATOR RIVERA: I'd love to work with
3	your office to get some of the more detailed
4	breakdowns, as many of us are arguing that we
5	should certainly shift this conversation
6	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: You know, maybe
7	Tim we could dig a little deeper, we could
8	get some more numbers to you and talk about
9	the different areas. I think we do have to
10	spend some time thinking about the Health and
11	Hospitals Corporation as well.
12	SENATOR RIVERA: I immensely
13	appreciate it. Thank you.
14	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Thank you,
15	Senator.
16	SENATOR RIVERA: I'm good.
17	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Anybody else?
18	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: That's it.
19	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
21	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Thank you.
22	Thank you very much. Thank you, Chairman
23	Farrell. Thank you, Senator.
24	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Is your block

1	snowed out? Snowed in?
2	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: What?
3	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: 71st Street, is it
4	can I go there on Friday?
5	COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Let me just
6	the mayor took care of my street.
7	(Laughter.)
8	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Oh, okay.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: Be careful how
10	you answer that one.
11	(Laughter.)
12	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Here we go. City
13	of Buffalo, Honorable Byron Brown, mayor.
14	Three and a half hours late. Not by
15	your fault, but mine.
16	MAYOR BROWN: Good afternoon.
17	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Good afternoon.
18	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Good afternoon.
19	MAYOR BROWN: Are you all okay?
20	Anybody need a stretch break? Water?
21	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: We'll be okay in
22	three weeks.
23	MAYOR BROWN: Senate Finance Chair
24	Catharine Young, Assembly Ways and Means

1	Chair Herman D. Farrell, Jr., members of the
2	Legislature, thank you for the opportunity to
3	address you regarding New York State's
4	2016-2017 budget and its impact on New York
5	State's second-largest city, Buffalo, New
6	York.
7	Since becoming mayor, my focus has
8	been on improving the fiscal outlook of
9	Buffalo, creating a stronger business
10	environment that has attracted investment and
11	jobs and has established a more efficient
12	government.

While there are still challenges, our efforts have produced positive results. For example, we created a rainy day fund; we implemented dozens of efficiency measures; we've delivered 10 on-time, balanced budgets. It's been four years since Buffalo's Control Board shifted to an advisory panel. The City of Buffalo has improved its bond ratings with the Big 3 credit rating agencies, receiving an A+ from both Standard & Poor's and Fitch, and an A1 from Moody's.

We are continuing to keep costs down.

1	We are on track to generate about \$3.5
2	million in annual revenue now that our new
3	traffic adjudication system is in place,
4	along with a new plea-by-mail system which
5	will also make the streets of our city safer.
6	I want to thank you, the members of the
7	Legislature, for your support in passing this
8	very important initiative for the City of
9	Buffalo.
10	Collective bargaining agreements are
11	also saving us money as a result of
12	provisions like self-insured healthcare and A
13	new prescription drug plan.
14	We've negotiated residency
15	requirements for firefighters and for police
16	officers. In the past 2 1/2 years, we've
17	successfully negotiated contracts with our
18	major unions, including police and fire.
19	We've created the BPD21C Police
20	Academy Pre-Employment Scholarship Program
21	that's Buffalo Police Department 21st-Century
22	Program which will get new police officers
23	on the streets quicker, save the city money
24	during training, and create a police force

1	that better reflects the demographics of our
2	community. The program is the first of its
3	kind in the nation.
4	Buffalo's new "Green Code" was
5	recently delivered to the Buffalo City
6	Council for approval. The Green Code will
7	overhaul the city's zoning and land use
8	planning for the first time in 63 years. It
9	is designed to simplify the complicated
10	zoning process, making it easier for
11	homeowners, developers, and small-business
12	owners to invest in the city.
13	We have enhanced public services by
14	installing GPS in many city vehicles,
15	including snow plows, garbage trucks, and
16	police cars.
17	The entire Buffalo Fire and Buffalo
18	Police vehicle fleet has been modernized, and
19	we're in the process of installing LED
20	streetlights along many thoroughfares,
21	business districts, and city-owned parking
22	ramps as part of an energy efficiency plan

that will save us money.

Today, the City of Buffalo is in its

23

1	best financial condition in decades.
2	Governor Cuomo and the State Legislature have
3	been true partners in Buffalo's renaissance.
4	This year's Executive Budget proposal makes
5	important investments. I am particularly
6	pleased by the proposed \$2.1 billion school
7	aid increase that will allow the state to
8	eliminate the outstanding \$434 million Gap
9	Elimination Adjustment over two years, and to
10	provide an operating increase to every school
11	district in the state, as well as the
12	Governor's commitment to rebuilding and
13	modernizing bridges, broadband networks,
14	public buildings, and other critical
15	infrastructure in upstate New York.
16	However, we in Buffalo, as I'm sure in
17	the other upstate cities, still have
18	concerns. The City of Buffalo is looking to
19	protect the progress we have made and add
20	tools that will allow our city to reach its
21	full potential.
22	Since I took office in 2006, the City
23	of Buffalo has considerably reduced property

24 taxes. Our steadfast commitment to making

1	the difficult decisions has stimulated
2	growth, but we still have to close a
3	significant budget gap for the upcoming
4	fiscal year which threatens our city's
5	ability to continue its progress.

The city's exploration of alternatives to property tax increases is critical because Buffalo seeks to continue the positive momentum, excitement, and energy which has been amplified by the Governor's Buffalo Billion, Start-Up NY, and other successful development initiatives that the members of the State Legislature have supported.

The city needs some assistance to maintain our fiscally-responsible growth strategy. We have four requests.

One, we are working to build a Public Works Campus. Attracting businesses and residents requires public works that provide for safe and efficient travel, clean streets and reliable services, and an investment in maintaining our infrastructure. Buffalo is now a thriving and vibrant place to live, work and invest. Public works services need

1	to be	cons	istent	and	available	at	all	times
2	and i	n all	weat.he	er co	onditions.			

The City of Buffalo has undertaken a 3 study of six existing Department of Public 4 5 Works facilities with the intent of creating a combined Public Works Campus. The campus 6 7 would house the city's municipal snow plowing, garbage collection, paving and 8 construction vehicles, as well as 9 10 administrative offices, animal shelter, and auto impound. Consolidating these functions 11 12 into one facility will reduce maintenance and 13 staffing costs. The buildings will be 14 LEED-certified and incorporate solar panels 15 and compressed natural gas filling stations. 16 Construction of the Public Works Campus will enable the city to sell six buildings which 17 18 are in prime locations for investment. 19 Phase one of the Public Works Campus is estimated to cost \$30 million. The 20

is estimated to cost \$30 million. The

proposed new Public Works Campus will assist

Buffalo's growth by adding to our City's

resiliency. It will be a tool for improving

the quality of life for our residents, and it

21

22

23

1	will make the city more self-sufficient
2	during major storm events, therefore allowing
3	the state to direct more resources to
4	surrounding municipalities.

Car Sharing Main Street Funding is a project that we have been working on since the beginning of my first term as mayor of the City of Buffalo. Another priority is continuing our plan to return cars to Main Street. Automobiles were removed from Main Street in downtown Buffalo over 33 years ago, when the light rail transit system was built. Metro Rail has been a benefit to Buffalo, but closing our premier downtown street to automobiles resulted in building vacancies, blight, lost property and tax value, and essentially killed retail in downtown Buffalo.

The Cars Sharing Main Street Project has begun to reverse these effects, generating significant economic benefits and transforming the heart of downtown Buffalo back into a thriving and vibrant destination.

I am requested funding for the next

1	phase of the Cars Sharing Main Street
2	Project, which would be two major blocks in
3	our downtown, at a cost of \$30 million. We
4	estimate the total cost of completing this
5	project to be \$80 million. This project is a
6	continuation of successful street projects
7	implemented on the 700, 600, and 500 blocks
8	of Main Street, totaling almost \$60 million
9	in improvements, using a combination of
10	funding from a 2011 federal TIGER grant, New
11	York State, and the City of Buffalo. In
12	addition, \$22.5 million was recently awarded
13	through a U.S. Department of Transportation
14	TIGER grant and funds from the Dormitory
15	Authority for the lower Main Street/Canalside
16	block of our Main Street.
17	Since 2012, significant private-sector

Since 2012, significant private-sector investment of close to \$500 million has been made between the 700 blocks of Main Street and the edge of the waterfront at what we call Canalside in Buffalo. Main Street is also developing into a healthy urban neighborhood that is attracting thousands of people who want to live and work in downtown

2	We also have a need for Homeland
3	Security funding. As you are well aware,
4	emergencies can happen anytime and anywhere.
5	Ensuring that our critical infrastructure and
6	first responders are ready to meet any
7	emergency or disaster is vital.
8	In the past, the bulk of Buffalo's
9	Homeland Security funding came from the
10	federal government, through the Urban Area
11	Security Initiative, or UASI, program. In
12	2011 Buffalo, Albany, Rochester and Syracuse
13	were removed from the UASI Tier 2 program.
14	Even though funding ended, security
15	risks and vulnerabilities remain. Buffalo
16	City Hall, opened in 1932, is the tallest
17	city hall in the nation. About 1,700 people
18	are employed in this building, and we host
19	more than 125,000 visitors annually. This
20	iconic and highly visible government building
21	was evaluated by the U.S. Department of
22	Homeland Security's Protective Security
23	Division and Field Operations Branch

Assessment Group last year. Estimates for

impl	Lement	ing	the	rec	commendations	will	l cost
the	City	of	Buffa	alo	approximately	y \$1	million

And finally, we are seeking to close
the utility services tax loophole. For
decades, the cities of Buffalo, Rochester,
and Yonkers have had the ability to collect a
3 percent tax on the gross receipts of local
services provided by all utility companies
subject to the supervision of the New York
State Public Service Commission, including
phone companies.

Technological improvements have resulted in widespread reliance on wireless communication, and wireless telecommunication service providers have successfully argued that they do not fall within the definition of a utility as prescribed in New York State Tax Law.

New York State subsequently adopted

Tax Law S186-E to impose an excise tax on the sale of telecommunication services by all providers, thereby maintaining this significant revenue stream originally provided by the tax on utility services.

1	However, the telecommunications tax was not
2	expanded to the local level, except in the
3	case of New York City and its surrounding
4	counties.

The City of Buffalo requests that New York State authorize municipalities to tax telecommunication service providers at the same rates municipalities are already permitted to tax the gross receipts of utility companies.

With budget growth consistently under inflation, a significant reduction in our workforce since 2000, and compromises with our unions, the City of Buffalo has made tough choices and tightened its belt. It is critical for local aid, such as AIM funding, to be increased so that the city's fiscal recovery is assured into the future.

Through hard work and careful planning, progress has been made in Buffalo. Together we have worked to build a foundation for future growth and investment. We ask that the State Legislature continues to be a partner in Buffalo's transformation.

1	Thank you for your time, and I
2	certainly wish you success in the budget
3	deliberations ahead. Thank you very much.
4	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you, Mayor.
5	First to speak, Mr. Magnarelli.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Thank you,
7	Mr. Chairman.
8	And Mayor, thank you very much. And
9	on behalf of myself and my colleagues here, I
10	really want to say thank you for waiting all
11	this time to give your testimony this morning
12	this afternoon, now.
13	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Getting close to
14	evening.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Getting close
16	to evening, that's right. And hopefully we
17	can do something about it so it doesn't
18	happen next year. We'll try, I promise you.
19	MAYOR BROWN: Thank you.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: The Governor,
21	in his budget, has basically left AIM, the
22	Aid and Incentives for Municipalities, with
23	no change. It's been that way for a number
24	of years. At the same time, there's a number

1	of other programs that he has put into place
2	that have been there for a number of years:
3	The Local Government Performance and
4	Efficiency Program, the Local Government
5	Efficiency Grants, Financial Restructuring
6	Board, Municipal Restructuring and
7	Transformational Grants, et cetera,
8	et cetera.
9	I'd just like to hear what you think
10	about the AIM financing in the budget and
11	what you think of these other projects.
12	MAYOR BROWN: Well, this is now my
13	11th year appearing before you as mayor of
14	the City of Buffalo. I'm in my fourth
15	three-year term. And every year I have
16	appeared, I have spoken about the importance
17	of AIM funding. AIM funding continues to be
18	important to the City of Buffalo, and I'm
19	sure you will hear the same from the other
20	upstate mayors who are here to testify today.
21	We have implemented a whole host of
22	efficiency measures in the City of Buffalo
23	which have certainly helped us with our
24	bottom line. We do plan on applying for the

1	Governor's Program for Efficiencies. We have
2	some additional ideas, but we believe that we
3	can implement efficiencies and we hope to be
4	able to tap into the resources that are
5	available for those efficiencies.

We also hope to partner with other local governments. One of the ways that we think that we can partner with other local governments, and potentially even the State Department of Transportation, is with this Public Works Campus that we seek to build. We believe that there are a number of efficiencies there and services that Buffalo provides now that it could potentially provide to other local municipalities in our region.

So the programs that I've laid out can certainly be beneficial to us, that the Governor has placed in his budget, but I have to say that I think AIM is important to local governments, to city governments, and certainly is something that the City of Buffalo is asking for.

ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Just one

1	other question. Some of the things that you
2	outlined here that you need additional funds
3	for Public Works Campus, Main Street, \$30
4	million, \$80 million is any of that doable
5	with the Buffalo Billion?
6	MAYOR BROWN: I don't believe any of
7	those items are fully eligible for the
8	Buffalo Billion.
9	We have used some Buffalo Billion
10	funds for the Cars Sharing Main Street
11	Project to reopen Main Street to vehicular
12	traffic. Those would be included in the
13	almost \$60 million that we have already spent
14	on that project.
15	I mentioned that there has been a
16	significant payback in terms of the private
17	sector investment that we're seeing on
18	Main Street as a result of being able to
19	spend those federal, state and local dollars.
20	Some of these other projects that I've
21	mentioned are not eligible for the Buffalo
22	Billion.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Are they
24	eligible for the Regional Economic

1	Development monies?
2	MAYOR BROWN: Again, we have worked
3	with the Regional Economic Development
4	Council in the Western Region to apply for
5	funds for different things. We certainly
6	will pursue funding through that source.
7	It is a competitive process, as you
8	know. And while we think competition is good
9	and competition is a healthy thing, we also
10	believe that these initiatives will give us
11	the ability to be more efficient, to save
12	money, to bring in more private-sector
13	revenue, and potentially to partner with
14	other local governments in our region.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Thank you.
16	MAYOR BROWN: Thank you, sir.
17	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
18	Senator?
19	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
20	And welcome, Mayor Brown. It's always
21	great to see a former colleague.
22	And I first of all want to say how
23	thrilled people are in Western New York on

the renewal, the rebirth of Buffalo. As you

1 know, I get up there quite often. There's a
2 real synergy and feeling in the air, and
3 things are really turning the corner.

So thank you for your leadership in all of those things. And the state certainly has been very happy to support all of your efforts in a very big way, and we're just hoping across all of Western New York that that same prosperity can spread to some of the more rural counties such as the ones that I represent.

I just wanted to quickly say thank you for your focus on getting rid of the Gap Elimination Adjustment that has been a fiscal gimmick that has been very destructive to our schools. As you know, the Senate Republican Conference has led the charge to get rid of it once and for all. So thank you for your focus on that, because we really are pushing to have that happen in this year's budget. It certainly would help the schools in our district, the schools all over New York State, schools in Buffalo -- so thank you for your focus on education.

1	I'd like to turn it over to Senator
2	Kennedy. I believe he has some questions.
3	SENATOR KENNEDY: Yes. Thank you very
4	much.
5	Mayor, thanks for being here. Thank
6	you for your patience today.
7	MAYOR BROWN: Thank you, Senator.
8	SENATOR KENNEDY: Most importantly,
9	thank you for your leadership. And I'd like
10	to echo our colleague Senator Young's
11	statement. You know, Buffalo is on the move
12	and, you know, I believe that the partnership
13	that your administration has created with the
14	state has paid extraordinary dividends. And
15	whether we're talking about the Buffalo
16	Billion program or we're talking about other
17	key strategic investments, including those
18	you bring to the table today, there's no
19	question that the City of Buffalo is better
20	off today than it's ever been, and continuing
21	to build on that momentum. I think that you
22	bring some very strategic points to the table
23	today.
24	Aside from these, I'd like you to just

1	touch on, a little bit, the impact that the
2	state investment and working with your
3	administration has made on key economic
4	drivers like the Buffalo Niagara Medical
5	Campus and SolarCity, and I know you had
6	already touched on Cars Sharing Main Street.
7	But there seems to be a real, strategic,
8	focused momentum on critical mass of
9	development in the core of the city. Can you
10	just touch on that and talk about your
11	expanding efforts on
12	MAYOR BROWN: I sure can, Senator
13	Kennedy.
L 4	First of all, let me just say again to
15	you and to the members of the Legislature
16	that are here, thank you for your support of
17	Buffalo. The Western New York State
18	legislative delegation, the Senate, the
19	Assembly, and the Governor have all been very
20	supportive of the needs of Buffalo. And I
21	think we're seeing the impact of your support
22	with significant economic development in our
23	city.
24	SolarCity is a Buffalo Billion

1	project. SolarCity will be the largest solar
2	panel manufacturing plant in the northeastern
3	hemisphere. That's significant. It's
4	expected to create 3,000 jobs for the
5	residents of Buffalo and Western New York.
6	It started out on a piece of land that was
7	purchased by the city in 2008, a remediated
8	brownfield. When I initially purchased it as
9	mayor, people said I was crazy to do that.
10	Years later the state, through the Governor's
11	office, was able to negotiate to bring
12	SolarCity to Buffalo, and that purchase of
13	that remediated brownfield land will now pay
14	dividends to our community.
15	Again, the state and all of you have
16	participated in significantly investing in
17	the Buffalo Niagara Medical Campus, which is
18	a center for healthcare in our city, in our
19	region, a place where significant medical
20	research is being done, where Roswell Park
21	Cancer Institute is located, the first
22	comprehensive cancer care facility in all of
23	the United States of America.
24	And right now as we speak, there's

1	over \$800 million of investment in the
2	Buffalo Niagara Medical Campus, seeded by
3	significant public-sector investment, but
4	certainly incredibly significant
5	private-sector investment taking place. That
6	facility over the next few years is expected
7	to employ over 21,000 people in Buffalo and
8	Western New York. So it is not only a
9	significant economic engine for Buffalo, it
10	is a significant economic engine for the
11	entire region.
12	So I'm glad you asked that question,
13	Senator, so that I could demonstrate how just
14	two of the investments that all of you have
15	supported are paying dividends in the City of
16	Buffalo.
17	SENATOR KENNEDY: Thank you, Mayor.
18	One of the key initiatives for this
19	administration and my colleagues in the
20	Democratic Conference has been enhancing
21	opportunities for everyone, especially those
22	folks that have been left behind in the past.
23	And if you look at the numbers and the
24	statistics, the facts continue to demonstrate

1	that there's a real disparity in hiring for
2	women and minorities across the entire United
3	States, but in every single community. And
4	the Governor's office has played a key role,
5	really, in bringing this to the forefront.
6	And I know, and again in partnership with
7	your administration, there has been some real
8	key initiatives.

Can you speak to those and what initiatives you're really leading the effort on in this state when it comes to women and minority hiring and enhancing that?

MAYOR BROWN: One major initiative
that we have worked on with you, Senator
Kennedy, and certainly Assemblywoman Crystal
Peoples-Stokes, and Governor Cuomo directly,
and the Empire State Development Corporation,
is again the purchase of industrial land for
economic development on the east side of
Buffalo, which is in the heart of the
African-American community. Roughly 90
percent of the residents that live in that
section of the City of Buffalo are
African-American, and unfortunately that

1	population has experienced a
2	disproportionately high unemployment rate in
3	our community. So that those purchases
4	will address that.

But another major investment that will be made there is a comprehensive workforce training center, which will be built at a cost of about \$40 million and that will provide training for residents not only of the east side of Buffalo, the City of Buffalo, but for residents throughout the region to be able to take advantage of the employment opportunities that are coming online in our community, particularly in the high-technology areas.

Since 2012, there is nearly \$6 billion of economic development activity that is ongoing in the City of Buffalo. And it's estimated that over the next two years, over 12,000 new jobs will be created in the City of Buffalo proper which will benefit not just City of Buffalo residents but residents throughout the Western New York region.

24 SENATOR KENNEDY: Thank you, Mayor.

1	And there's also, I will point out,
2	your Opportunity Pledge, that I have signed
3	and many have signed, and you continue to
4	promote that as well. Did you want to speak
5	to that at all?

MAYOR BROWN: Yes. In February of last year, we initiated what we called the Opportunity Pledge to give individuals in our community -- businesses, organizations -- the ability to demonstrate their commitment to the principles of diversity, equity, and inclusion.

We are seeing significant economic development in Buffalo, economists are saying maybe more development than the city has seen in over 45 years. And as that prosperity is rising in our city, we want to make sure that it reaches all people in our community, all sections of our community, all segments of the population. And to date, we've had over 5500 individuals like yourself and Assemblywoman Crystal Peoples-Stokes sign that Opportunity Pledge. We've had 225 organizations and companies sign the pledge,

1	which represent over 158,000 people in our
2	community.
3	So that sends an important message to
4	those who are creating employment
5	opportunities that we want to respect the
6	diversity of the community, we want to be
7	inclusive, and we want to see equity in the
8	opportunity that is rising in our city.
9	SENATOR KENNEDY: Thank you, Mayor.
10	And one last thing I want to touch on
11	here because look, we're talking about the
12	economic renaissance that's taking place, a
13	real true transformation in the City of
14	Buffalo, making sure everyone has these
15	opportunities and gets a chance to get
16	employment, has an opportunity to stay in
17	Buffalo, live, raise their family, and work.
18	And part of that is also the focusing on a
19	lot of the quality of life issues.
20	And I know that with the state's
21	support and if you can touch on how the
22	state has played a role in some of the
23	PeaceMaker work, in the SNUG work this is

something that has been on the front lines of

1	our conference's agenda that has a real focus
2	on fighting crime in each and every district
3	across the state, but particularly focused on
4	the urban centers that have fallen on harder
5	times in years past, in generations past.
6	We're trying to get out of that.
7	To me, and I know to your
8	administration and to my conference, it's
9	important that we make that strategic
10	investment on public safety. Can you speak
11	to that, please?
12	MAYOR BROWN: Well, certainly quality
13	of life is important in communities all
14	across the State of New York, and I know that
15	the members of the Legislature have been very
16	active in providing funding to support
17	quality-of-life enhancements in communities
18	all across the state.
19	In Buffalo we have focused on being
20	tough on crime as well as being smart on
21	crime. We've added surveillance cameras
22	we now have over 225 surveillance cameras
23	throughout the city and license plate

readers -- we have over 200 license plate

1	readers throughout the city. We've
2	modernized our police fleet; we've added
3	technologies to our police vehicles that our
4	police officers carry.

But in being smarter on crime, we've worked with programs like SNUG, that has been funded through the State Legislature, to work with you to take guns out of the hands of people in communities, to educate them about violence prevention. We funded an organization called PeaceMakers that works with at-risk youth and young adults that actually does street patrol and has helped us to reduce crime in the City of Buffalo.

During my tenure as mayor, we have been able to reduce crime overall by 35 percent, violent crime by 27 percent.

We've been able to do that in partnership, and we've been able to effectively utilize the resources that we have received from you, our partners in state government, to be able to do that.

SENATOR KENNEDY: Thank you, Mayor, and thanks for your testimony. And keep up

1	the great work.
2	MAYOR BROWN: Thank you, Senator.
3	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
4	much.
5	Crystal Peoples-Stokes.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN PEOPLES-STOKES: Thank
7	you, Mr. Chairman, for the opportunity to
8	bring greetings to my mayor and certainly
9	welcome him here in Albany.
10	I suspect you spent a little more time
11	than you expected today. But you're always
12	welcome. You've been around here a little
13	while, so you know how it goes.
14	Just to ask for some specificity as it
15	relates to your budget presentation. On the
16	combined Public Works Campus, how do you
17	anticipate that looking? And what sort of
18	outreach have you had to other governments
19	via state and/or local governments for their
20	participation in that?
21	MAYOR BROWN: So we anticipate a
22	single, unified facility that would house
23	that would locate, collocate facilities for
24	public works that are now spread across six

1	facilities in the City of Buffalo. Some of
2	the areas where these facilities are located
3	are ripe for investment. In a number of
4	them, we've already had private-sector
5	entities express an interest in being able to
6	purchase or bid to purchase the facilities,
7	so we think there could be significant
8	revenue generation there.
9	But we also believe that in
10	collocating these operations, it would make
11	our various public works activities much more
12	efficient. It would create cost savings.
13	We have begun to have dialog with some
14	local governments for example, one of the
15	things that Buffalo has that other local
16	governments don't have with the same level of
17	technology, is that they utilize
18	private-sector entities for sign making.
19	And, you know, all governments have to make
20	street signs and other signs. We found that
21	by us doing it, we could provide a
22	significant savings to other local
23	governments and actually beat private-sector
24	entities that are providing those services

1	now. So that's one service that we think we
2	could help provide to other governments in
3	our region.
4	We've also begun to have some very
5	preliminary conversations with the New York
6	State Department of Transportation, and we
7	believe with this facility there would be a
8	number of services that we could collaborate
9	on with local governments and potentially
10	even state government.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN PEOPLES-STOKES: So any
12	idea or estimate on the amount of savings
13	that could happen for either and/or both
14	governments?
15	MAYOR BROWN: We have worked through
16	some estimates. We believe that savings
17	could be significant, potentially into the
18	millions. And even more significant, we
19	believe that the ability to sell these six
20	properties that we have certainly would run
21	into the millions of dollars.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN PEOPLES-STOKES: Well,
23	I'm sure you know there's often talk about

consolidating government, and sometimes the

1	way to even think more broadly about that is
2	to consolidate services in the delivery of
3	services. So I really actually like that
4	idea, and I hope that we can be helpful in
5	making it a reality for the City of Buffalo.

And I already know, you know, that I really like the idea of the barrenness near the historic Mission Street Quarter to be used for some other purpose than garbage. It's a huge attraction, a huge tourist destination, and the removal of that barren would do leaps and bounds for that area.

MAYOR BROWN: Well, you have been a champion of the African-American Cultural Corridor in the City of Buffalo. You've provided significant resources and leadership on that important initiative that is designed not only to protect African-American history in our city and region, but also to attract more tourists to our city.

We have a public works facility right in the heart of that cultural area where we have plow trucks and garbage trucks and other heavy equipment, as you well know, in and out

1	of there on a daily basis. We would like to
2	remove that, and this Public Works Campus
3	would give us the ability to remove that
4	facility from the African-American Cultural
5	Corridor in the City of Buffalo.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN PEOPLES-STOKES: Okay.
7	So on the Homeland Security assessment that
8	you had done, was that just on City Hall?
9	MAYOR BROWN: We're talking
LO	specifically in terms of the needs of
11	City Hall.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN PEOPLES-STOKES: Well,
13	you know what, I'm really pleased to hear
L 4	that as well, Mr. Mayor. It must be the only
15	city hall left in America where you can just
16	walk in the door. There's no security,
17	there's no one checking to see what you're
18	bringing in there. And I can't even imagine
19	being in that condition in 2016. It's not a
20	good place to put any of our public servants,
21	then. So I'm grateful that you're beginning
22	to take a look at that.
23	On the issue of utility services, the
2.4	collabora wireless services have the

1	wireless companies been collecting these
2	resources and not sharing them with Buffalo,
3	Rochester, Syracuse, et cetera? Or have they
4	not been collecting them in those areas?
5	MAYOR BROWN: Yeah. The cities that I
6	mentioned are not able to assess that
7	3 percent charge on the cellular companies.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN PEOPLES-STOKES: Right,
9	but have the companies been collecting them
10	from their constituents?
11	MAYOR BROWN: We believe that that fee
12	has been collected.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN PEOPLES-STOKES: Okay.
L 4	Well, then I believe they should reimburse
15	the municipalities like they do in New York
16	City. So I would be happy to work with my
L7	colleagues and others to try to make sure
18	that happens for not just Buffalo, but for
19	Rochester, Syracuse, and all other cities
20	that you mentioned as well.
21	MAYOR BROWN: And we can provide you
22	with more specific data on that and how that
23	works.
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN PEOPLES-STOKES: Thank

	you. Inank you. And one last thing,
2	Mr. Mayor actually, two. No, one.
3	Does the City of Buffalo anticipate a
4	budget deficit in your upcoming budget?
5	MAYOR BROWN: We are working now to
6	close an anticipated \$30 million budget
7	deficit. Again, some of these efficiency
8	measures that we're putting in place will
9	help us to do that, but right now at the
10	outset of the process of closing next year's
11	deficit we're looking at about \$30 million.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN PEOPLES-STOKES: Okay.
13	Well, I thank you very much for your
14	comments, and be safe traveling home.
15	MAYOR BROWN: Thank you. Thank you,
16	Assemblymember.
17	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
18	Senator? Assemblyman? Anyone? Okay.
19	SENATOR KRUEGER: Oh, sorry.
20	Senator excuse me. Senator Marchione.
21	SENATOR MARCHIONE: Thank you.
22	Has Buffalo been able to stay under
23	the tax cap?
24	MAYOR BROWN: I'm sorry, Senator, I

1	didn't
2	SENATOR MARCHIONE: Has Buffalo been
3	able to stay under the tax cap, the
4	2 percent?
5	MAYOR BROWN: We have to date stayed
6	under the tax cap, yes.
7	SENATOR MARCHIONE: Congratulations.
8	It's not easy to do.
9	MAYOR BROWN: It's challenging. What
10	we find in being able to stay under the tax
11	cap is, as we're seeing new development, new
12	investment in our community, it's been very
13	difficult to realize the benefit of that new
14	investment because of the way the cap is
15	structured. We have shared some ideas and
16	some concerns with the Governor's office
17	about that.
18	They have been very receptive to some
19	of the ideas that we have presented, but in a
20	community like ours and I'm sure you will
21	hear from my colleagues as well where we
22	are working to stimulate new growth, new
23	development, it is hard because our principal

source of revenue is the property tax. It's

Τ	been hard to generate revenue from the new
2	investments that we're seeing in our city
3	with the way the cap is structured.
4	SENATOR MARCHIONE: Thank you.
5	MAYOR BROWN: Thank you, Senator.
6	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
7	Assemblyman McDonald, to close.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: Thank you,
9	Chairman.
10	Good afternoon, Mayor. Ten years into
11	it, you're holding up pretty well.
12	MAYOR BROWN: Thank you, Assemblyman.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: That's good. I
14	did 13 years, he did 12, and look what
15	happens to you after you've been there a
16	while. So keep that in mind.
17	A couple of things. On your DPW
18	campus, which I think is a great idea and
19	it sounds like you're trying to move along
20	with the agenda of shared services, and it
21	sounds fantastic. And I think you put a
22	one-time price tag of \$30 million on Phase 1.
23	That indicates to me that there might be a
24	Phase 2 or a Phase 3. I'm just curious what

1	thoso	coete	would	ha
1	LHOSE	COSES	WOULG	De.

2	MAYOR BROWN: The total costs that we
3	anticipate for Phase 2 is another
4	\$30 million. The whole structure would be
5	about \$60 million. And we're hoping that
6	through the sale of those six parcels of land
7	and facilities that we would consolidate into
8	one, that we would be able to generate
9	substantial revenues towards building out
10	Phase 2.

11 ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: Great. That's
12 very smart.

And the other question in regards
utilities services' taxable -- with the gross
receipts tax that you talked about, do you
have any idea what the value would be of
that? Do you have any idea -- I know this is
probably taking a shot away from --

MAYOR BROWN: For the City of Buffalo, we have lost about \$2 million as we have seen the revenue from landlines decrease. So with more and more people using cellular phones and not having landlines in their home, we have lost about \$2 million of revenue in the

1	City of Buffalo.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: Over what
3	period of time?
4	FINANCE CMR. ESTRICH: Per year. Per
5	year.
6	MAYOR BROWN: Per year over
7	ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: Per year?
8	MAYOR BROWN: How long?
9	FINANCE CMR. ESTRICH: Probably about
10	five years. Every year it's gone down a
11	little bit more.
12	MAYOR BROWN: Yeah. Over a five-year
13	period.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: And that also
15	speaks to another issue that I don't think
16	directly affects Buffalo, but maybe it does.
17	When the 911 surcharge, which has always been
18	so much for land, a little bit less for the
19	cellphones and we've seen this variation
20	change. And the reality is most of the
21	challenges for investment for the 911 centers
22	are to deal with the next generation, with
23	the texting and the whole nine yards. So

it's all money, at the end of the day, and

1	obviously we're all competing for it. But
2	it's important that we try to make sure that
3	the residents have every opportunity to help
4	support the services they provide.
5	Thank you.
6	MAYOR BROWN: Thank you, sir.
7	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
8	Any further questions?
9	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Yes, I do have one
10	final question, Mayor.
11	I know you know the Southern Tier very
12	well, and you know Cattaraugus County. And
13	located in Cattaraugus County is the former
14	J.N. Adam Developmental Center site, 35 miles
15	south of Buffalo. And I believe you also are
16	aware of the 20-year-plus problem that we've
17	had with the site. The history on it is that
18	it originally was started as a tuberculosis
19	hospital in the early 1900s, was actually
20	donated to the City of Buffalo, and the city
21	has basically kind of given up ownership but
22	has reversionary rights to the property.
23	And so this is an ongoing issue. The

state has control of it, and it's been a real

problem because of vandalism and the lack of
economic development on the site, the lack of
tax base on the site. The Town of Perrysburg
has been waiting and waiting and waiting for
a resolution on this, and we've been trying
to help with passing legislation for a study
on what to do with the property. But as you
know, the City of Buffalo still maintains the
right to sign off on anything done with the
land.

And I'm just asking for your help to get a resolution to this. We've been working with the Governor's office on this, been working with the State Assembly on this, but also I need your help, you as the leader of the City of Buffalo to come to a final positive outcome on it. And I didn't know if you have any comments on the situation.

MAYOR BROWN: Yes, Senator. We're aware of the challenge that that facility presents in Cattaraugus County and are certainly deeply concerned about that.

You know, as a municipality that has had vacant, blighted, decaying structures, we

certainly don't want to see that for any

other community in the state. We have been

successful in removing a lot of such

structures in the City of Buffalo. During my

tenure, we have demolished over 6,000 vacant,

unsalvageable structures.

And I don't know what necessarily the plan would be for the facility, but as you indicated, the city does -- while it has been transferred to the control of the state some time ago, the city does maintain reversionary rights to the site. We would certainly give up those rights; we have had conversations with the state about it. I can report to you that as recently as about a week ago, I think, my law department was in discussion with the state agency that was talking about potentially securing or getting us to sign off on that reversionary right at some cost, which we certainly would be willing to do.

CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: That would be great, to finally have that resolved. So I would ask that we continue to work together on this. If you could keep me informed about

1	it, because it does have such a strong impact
2	in my community and the people who live
3	there. And it's been such a terrible problem
4	for so many years, and it's good to hear that
5	there is some progress regarding the issue.
6	So I look forward to working with you.
7	MAYOR BROWN: We certainly will keep
8	you informed of what we're hearing and what
9	we're doing. With respect to that, Senator,
10	I know that it's a real frustration to the
11	people that live in that community. We
12	certainly don't want to see that continue,
13	and anything that we can do in Buffalo to try
14	to help address that and expedite that, we
15	certainly will do.
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, Mayor.
17	MAYOR BROWN: Thank you.
18	And folks, I apologize, I was
19	negligent. I did not introduce my
20	commissioner of finance, Donna Estrich. And
21	I just want to thank her for the work that
22	she does with us every day to help manage the
23	finances of the City of Buffalo.
24	Thank you all very much.

1	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
2	much.
3	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: The Honorable Kathy
5	M. Sheehan, mayor, City of Albany.
6	MAYOR SHEEHAN: Good afternoon.
7	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Good afternoon.
8	You heard about being late. We've set
9	the record there.
10	MAYOR SHEEHAN: That's okay. I just
11	have to come across the street.
12	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: You're the lucky
13	one.
14	MAYOR SHEEHAN: I feel for my fellow
15	mayors who came from further away.
16	So if you would like me to begin, I
17	want to thank you for inviting me here today,
18	Chairwoman Young and Chairman Farrell,
19	members of the Assembly and the Senate. I
20	want to thank Senator Breslin and
21	Assemblymembers McDonald and Fahy, who I have
22	worked with over the last two years on many
23	of the challenges and great opportunities
24	that we have here in the Capital Region.

1	And, you know, we are a regional
2	center, a center for government and for
3	healthcare and for education, but we are also
4	a city with diverse neighborhoods and with
5	many of the challenges and opportunities that
6	all of our cities face and that we share in
7	common and that we have had the opportunity
8	to discuss with mayors and one another.
9	Because with what you've heard today from
10	Mayor Brown and from the other mayors, I
11	think we are doing extraordinary things
12	within our cities to make sure that we are
13	being fiscally responsible and serving the
14	needs of our residents.

And the City of Albany has some wonderful treasures. It is home to historic homes, to museums, we have a rich Dutch heritage, we have wonderful traditions here in the City of Albany. And even though, as the Governor said in his State of the State, upstate New York must remain an economic priority, and he talked about the fact that upstate has been shortchanged for many years, I think that what we have been able to do in

1	the City of Albany is quite remarkable with
2	the resources that have been made available
3	to us.
4	And we are very grateful that the

And we are very grateful that the Governor has in this budget created a fund that is focused on reducing poverty, and Albany is fortunate as being identified as a city to receive those funds. But it's also a list that you don't necessarily want to be on, to be identified as one of the poorest cities in upstate New York.

Under that program the city will receive funding so we can look at anti-poverty efforts that are working in our city and other cities and make sure that we are focused in Albany on workforce development, which is one of our biggest challenges, or people being able to access jobs that are available here in the region.

There are other proposals in the budget with respect to upstate: Water infrastructure funds, funding for the Thruway Authority, roads and bridges and broadband.

I'm pleased to say that we started

1	last year looking at a broadband initiative
2	in the City of Albany because we have heard
3	from businesses that have been looking to
4	locate here, and from developers who are
5	developing downtown residential, that this is
6	a real challenge. And so we need to make
7	sure that we have access, that we have high
8	speed, and that we are bridging the digital
9	divide. Because it's important, particularly
10	when you look at the need for broadband and
11	the reliance we have on the internet, that
12	this is right along with any other utility
13	it's something that needs to be affordable
14	and accessible to all of our residents.
15	So we are well on the path to coming

So we are well on the path to coming up with a really great plan for how we can spend these dollars wisely so that we can provide high-speed internet in the City of Albany.

But we also have significant challenges. In September we were identified as a city in significant fiscal distress. We are the only city in upstate New York that is on that list, and we are one of only two

cities in the state that meet the criteria
for significant fiscal distress.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

We opened our books when I became mayor in January of 2014. One of the first things that we did was to submit our books to the Financial Restructuring Board. We opened ourselves up to that process. We went through and reviewed with representatives on the restructuring board the challenges that we were facing, and as a result of that for 2015 we were able to receive some gap funding to close our budget gap. We started planning for our 2016 budget year -- we're on a calendar budget -- we started planning for that almost immediately, and again worked very closely with the state and the State Budget Office to review the challenges that we were facing, knowing that we were entering that with a gap because of the one-time funding that closed the budget gap that we had in 2015.

Our 2015 and 2016 budgets capped costs, we capped growth at under the 2 percent target that the Governor has set.

1	In 2015, we stayed within the property tax
2	cap, and our 2016 budget proposes no tax
3	increase. And that is because our residents
4	pay by far the highest tax rates in the
5	region, and our tax rates are uncompetitive.
6	We cannot tax our way to a balanced budget.
7	It would cause, I think, further challenges
3	for economic development and growth in our
9	city.

So we have been able -- even though we have had a deficit budget in Albany since at least 2007, we have been able, through a patchwork of spinups and other one-time funding streams, to maintain solvency. But we have reached the end of that solvency.

We, in our 2016 budget that was passed by our Common Council, are relying on an additional \$12.5 million that we would need to obtain from the state in order to close that budget gap.

The state, as many of you know, owns more than 30 percent of the property in the City of Albany, and more than 60 percent of the property in the City of Albany is not

1	taxable. So the result of that is that
2	people who own homeowners who own
3	25 percent of the value of the property in
4	the City of Albany pay 60 percent of the tax
5	levy. And commercial business owners, who
6	own just 13 percent of the value of taxable
7	property in the City of Albany, pay
8	40 percent of the property tax levy.
9	And I included in your materials a ma

And I included in your materials a map that shows just how much nontaxable property we have in the City of Albany. And when you look at this map -- and this darker gray is also property that is exempt from taxation -- you can see very visually the challenge that we face in raising revenue.

Our property tax levy is the largest source of revenue for the City of Albany.

Sales tax is shared countywide, so the sales tax that is raised in the City of Albany is shared countywide in Albany County. So the only source of revenue, tax revenue, that we control and we directly receive is our property tax levy.

And as I said, Albany is not

1	competitive with our immediately adjacent
2	suburbs. So when you look at the actual tax
3	bill, a resident in the City of Albany,
4	factoring in school taxes, is paying about 20
5	to 30 percent higher than the surrounding
6	suburbs. And a commercial business in the
7	City of Albany is paying \$49 per thousand,
8	and we are at full assessed value in the City
9	of Albany.

So when we look at that challenge, again, to increase taxes in order to close our budget gap, I think we would continue to have a challenge in attracting residents to our city and businesses to the City of Albany.

I know that many people, when they

come to this region -- and one of the things

that I'm really focused on is to think of

ourselves as a region and act as a region -
but many people compliment me on how

wonderful Colonie Center is or Crossgates

Mall or what a great stay they had at a hotel

out on Wolf Road. And I thank them and then

I tell them that I'll pass those compliments

1	along to Paula Manan, who's the town
2	supervisor in Colonie, or the town supervisor
3	in Guilderland, because none of those
4	places are located within the City of Albany.
5	We are landlocked, and we have significant
6	challenges in growing our tax base.
7	But that said, we are growing our tax
8	base, and we've seen a tremendous amount of
9	investment in our downtown. By the end of
10	2016 we will have 450 new units of housing,
11	market-rate housing in our newest
12	neighborhood downtown. We are working
13	rapidly to redevelop our warehouse district,
14	and we recently passed a zoning overlay so
15	that we can move quickly and entice
16	businesses to come into and invest in our
17	warehouse district.
18	And we also have been talking to the
19	state the state is still considering
20	potentially allowing some private development
21	on the Harriman Campus site, which again will
22	give us an opportunity to grow our tax base.
23	But the challenge for Albany is one of

24 parity. And we receive \$128 per capita in

1	AIM less than Schenectady, less than Troy,
2	less than Utica and far less than other
3	larger upstate cities. And so that has been
4	the biggest challenge in looking at our
5	ability to put together a balanced budget.
6	When you look at the property tax levy
7	in the City of Albany, the levy in actual
8	dollars, both city and school, is higher than
9	the levy in actual dollars in cities that are
10	significantly larger than Albany not per
11	capita, in actual dollars.
12	So we have a gap. It's 12.5 million.
13	When I came here in 2014, I said it was
14	12.5 million. In 2015, the state was able to
15	provide us with \$10 million, and we used
16	\$2 million, the last \$2 million of our
17	reserves. And I come to you again today
18	saying it's \$12.5 million, and that's in
19	light of the fact and notwithstanding the
20	fact that we have continued to cut
21	significant costs from our budget.
22	Our costs of running city government
23	are rising much more quickly than this. Our

health insurance costs alone, we're targeted

1	to go up 9.4 percent this year. But even
2	with those increases, we've been able to
3	implement cost-saving measures, make
4	decisions about streamlining city government,
5	work with the county and work with other
6	governments so that we can drive cost
7	efficiencies.

But this structural gap that exists, again, is about \$12.5 million. It's what we would receive if we received the same AIM per capita as the City of Utica.

So if we were to try to raise that \$12.5 million by increasing our property tax levy, we're looking at a 20 percent property tax increase for our residents, a 22 percent tax increase for our homeowners.

We, as I said, held spending to less than 2 percent in each budget since I took office in 2014. We have gone through the Financial Restructuring Board, which acknowledged that the City of Albany has a revenue problem. We have instituted not only cuts but new fees, and those fees, while not popular, are measures that we need to put in

place so that we can continue to provide services to our residents.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

We need to grow our tax base, and I believe that we are making the right decisions and the right investments to do that. But we also need state funding that recognizes the unique and compelling position that we have as the capital of New York State. We recognize that being home to state government and hospitals and universities means that we're a center for jobs. The challenge for the City of Albany is that we don't have a municipal income tax, we don't have any way of generating a tax base from those people, many of whom -- most of whom are coming into the city to work. Our city about doubles in population every day. So residents are choosing to live right outside the city and commute into the city, and they're not walking into buildings that are paying us property taxes. So that is the challenge.

But we are getting there. We've seen a complete facelift of our Wellington Row at

L	the top of State Street. I think many of you
2	have had the opportunity to walk across the
3	street and see the new Renaissance Hotel,
1	which is a spectacular new addition to the
5	city. We've worked really closely with that
б	hotel to ensure that the people who are
7	getting jobs there are residents in the City
3	of Albany.

One of the things that we've done over the last two years, and I think we've been successful, is helping the business community focus on the fact that we need to provide jobs for our residents, and they are responding.

And the Capital Center is under construction and will be completed, and we are undertaking a number of initiatives so that we can really capture the economic value of that by having new businesses start in Albany, by ensuring that entrepreneurs and that residents of the City of Albany understand the opportunities that are available because of that.

So as I said, the challenge is AIM.

1	One of the proposals that we have made with
2	respect to the \$12.5 million is that, given
3	our unique status as the capital city, that
4	we can amend Section 19A of the Public Lands
5	Law to provide for additional aid to the City
6	of Albany so that we can build a bridge to
7	the future that we have in front of us, which
8	I do believe is a bright future.

And we are open to other mechanisms for delivering this additional aid to the City of Albany. But we do believe, when the city receives less than 0.5 percent as the payment that we receive for all of the state land in the City of Albany, that 19A is a mechanism for delivering this aid to a city that is proud to be your state capital and is proud of the services that we provide and the infrastructure that we provide, but that is need of finally closing this structural gap so that we can move forward and have a bridge to a more permanent solution.

Thank you.

23 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very 24 much.

1	Mr. McDonough McDonald.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: It happens all
3	the time, McDonough to McDonald.
4	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: I'm having trouble.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: I know, I know.
6	So, Mayor, thank you. And it's a long
7	road, and we're not going to get there
8	overnight, but we're trying to move things
9	along.
10	You know, you're doing all the right
11	things, you've I know you've streamlined a
12	lot within City Hall, with efficiencies.
13	Reaching out I know there's a shared
14	services effort going on with communications
15	with Troy and Albany County, Rensselaer
16	County, which is important.
17	You're one of the first to go flying
18	into the Fiscal Restructuring Board, which,
19	oddly enough it was good, it provided some
20	money, some gap money. But at the same
21	token, after reading the report I had to
22	read it two or three times it's almost as
23	if they put their hands up, saying, You've

got a gap and you've got to figure it out,

1	you need to generate more income.
2	And unlike our five-hour guest this
3	morning, which has their own way of
4	generating revenue through the personal
5	income tax, that's not an option in Albany,
6	nor would I recommend that we consider that
7	either.
8	The tax-exempt property status is a
9	large concern. And as you know, I represent
LO	five cities I'm fortunate enough to share
11	Albany with Pat and, you know, Troy,
12	Rensselaer, and Albany are 1, 2, and 4, I
13	think, in regards to percentage of tax-exempt
L 4	property overall.
15	You mentioned 60 percent. And I'm
16	curious, because we are the home of state
17	government, what is the percent of that
18	property that is tax-exempt that's used for
19	state purposes, to conduct state government?
20	MAYOR SHEEHAN: Roughly 30 percent of
21	the property in the city is owned by the

ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: Okay. You talked about a lot of the developments that 24

22

23

state.

1	are moving forward in the city. Some, of
2	course, are another tax-exempt entity like
3	the Capital Center, but we know we'll see
4	great growth with a bed tax and with sales
5	tax and life in downtown Albany. And I know
6	there's a lot, I think there's over 400
7	residential units coming online in the
8	downtown areas.
9	Well, do you have any idea what the
10	projection is for what that revenue might be?
11	MAYOR SHEEHAN: You know, we're
12	looking out three and five years, and we
13	anticipate that we're going to have to enter
14	into PILOT agreements for the foreseeable
15	future. But that's a new revenue stream for
16	the city, so we need to build a bridge. This
17	isn't a one-time ask, and that's something
18	that I want to stress. I don't want people
19	to be surprised. This is a structural
20	deficit, and we are asking for five years to
21	grow our way out of it.
22	But when we look at what the
23	opportunities are both at the Harriman Campus
2.4	and in our warehouse district and at the

1	former Convention Center site, we think that
2	through a combination of cost-cutting
3	measures, fees for services, and growth in
4	our tax base, that we will be able to create
5	that bridge and get to a sustainable city
6	budget. But it will take time. It will
7	absolutely take time.

ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: Speaking of the bridge, you speak of the bridge, a \$12.5 million bridge. And, you know, you have included that in this year's budget. And at the same token, right now we're going through the process of -- at least we're trying to shepherd it through to make sure that it's there.

And I agree with you, and I want to say this. I commend you on the fact that you found something unique that reflects, really, the capital city of Albany -- or really the capital of the capital of the world, as we say around here. And at the same token, it's something that you recognize that as greater growth goes on, on the 19A property, as more property comes on the tax rolls, that you

1	respo	nsi	bly	would	l say	, the	state	should	be
2	able	to	ease	off	its	burde	en.		

Most mayors don't usually do that. I

don't know, I've been here for 13 years, I

was groveling all the time. So I commend you

for at least saying here, we want to be part

of the -- we need a solution, and we also

realize that we can't be on your aid the rest

of our lives.

12 MAYOR SHEEHAN: As I've said --

are exhausted.

ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: And I will say this. It's part of your presentation, but it's worth repeating. You know, I only live eight miles up the road. I'm in Albany just as much as I'm in Cohoes, and I'm in the other communities as well. And I've been in a lot of houses here in the community. And you're absolutely right, putting the burden on the backs of the taxpayers is not going to work. I am -- I've come up with the conclusion that the taxpayers here in Albany

1	MAYOR SHEEHAN: Well, the challenge is
2	that what it's really created is, you know,
3	we have neighborhoods where we have people
4	who are able to afford the property tax bill
5	and we have neighborhoods that are inundated
6	with low-income housing. And there is really
7	not a lot of room for people who are in the
8	middle here. And so that's a real challenge
9	for the city.

If we are not able to bridge this gap, then, you know, the challenge for the City of Albany is that our entire non-union payroll is less than \$12 million. So I'll say that again: Our entire nonunion payroll is less than \$12 million. So we're talking about significant cuts. It's about 7.5 percent. If we were to go 7.5 percent across the board, it means that we would probably, in effect, have to disband our neighborhood engagement units because we would only have enough police officers left to respond to 911 calls.

We would, in all likelihood, have to disband EMT services. And we are the front

1	line of healthcare for the poorest people
2	living in our community. Notwithstanding the
3	healthcare reforms that have occurred, we are
4	still the front line. We are the people that
5	the poorest people in our community call
6	first to deliver those EMT services. And our
7	firefighters are out there when somebody has
8	an earache, and we are assisting families in
9	getting to the emergency room because they
10	can't afford the cab fare.

So that's just the reality. And so we're looking at potentially having to disband those EMT services because of the cuts that we would have to make.

And the other, you know, travesty of that is that we will leave money on the table. We received a SAFER grant from the federal government that's paying for 15 firefighters for the next two years. So not only would we have to cut those 15 and leave that SAFER grant money on the table, we'd have to go deeper in order to actually realize savings.

The same thing with our police

1 officers. We'd have to lay off more police 2 officers, because we received a COPS grant 3 for some of those police officers, so we'd have to pay the feds back \$3 million for the 4 5 first one that we lay off. So after we pay back that 3 million, you know, we then would 6 7 have to go even deeper and lay off more 8 personnel.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

We're talking about eliminating a summer youth employment program that employs 1,200 of our lowest-income children and provides them with extraordinary job experience and opportunity. Under my administration, we have completely transformed that program so that on the fifth day of the week, young people transition -they either spend time learning about career pathways and financial planning, they learn about how to get into college and they visit a college campus, they spend a day with our police officers and learn about knowing their rights from a outside party, our Center for Social Justice that provides them with that training. So it's really been a very

1	substantive program aimed at giving young
2	people the skills that they need to succeed.
3	We would not be able to provide that.
4	We would not be able to hire
5	lifeguards, so we would have to close our
6	pools and our parks.
7	We have gone through and looked at
8	what we would have to do to get to
9	\$12.5 million. And what you're left with is
10	not a place where people would want to invest
11	and where people would want to live. And,
12	you know, we are laser-focused on being as
13	effective and efficient as we can be, but it
14	would be a very devastating impact to the
15	City of Albany.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: Not only the
17	City of Albany, but the state Capitol as
18	well.
19	MAYOR SHEEHAN: Well, I think that it
20	would really hurt the region. And, you know,
21	we do have so much that is going for us. And
22	I view this as an investment in the success

that is here and a recognition that, you

know, for reasons that predate all of us, and

23

1	maybe for a lack of a sense of urgency on the
2	part of the city because in past years
3	when the pension bill was a million, a
4	million-five, we were able to, you know, be
5	able to have years where even with a spinup,
6	you know, with a spinup we were able to have
7	surpluses. With a pension bill now that is
8	16 to \$17 million and has been since 2008,
9	2009, we're no longer able to do that.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: My final
11	comment and you don't need to respond if
12	you don't want is in the Governor's
13	proposal he rightfully proposes a \$25 million
14	investment in the Poverty Initiative, and he
15	chose 10 cities throughout the State of New
16	York. I'm sure it wasn't chosen for any
17	reason but merit and it's not a merit we
18	all subscribe to, but it's a merit
19	nonetheless. It's unique that the City of
20	Troy and the City of Albany both have been
21	chosen. Which is ironic, because I know as

long as Member Fahy and I have been here for

the three short years -- it seems like 20 --

that we've always been told, well, Albany

22

23

1	gets	all	the	jok	s,	Albany	gets	all	the	jobs,
2	Albar	ny de	ets a	all	the	jobs.				

Well, I find it challenging, if we're getting all the jobs, you would think we wouldn't have to worry about having a high percentage of poverty. And we're in that select group of 10. I just find that to be something that actually speaks more to the issue that we're facing here in the city.

MAYOR SHEEHAN: I think people are surprised to learn that the poverty rate in Albany is approaching 26 percent, that more than a third of our children live in poverty, and that fewer than 50 percent of our children are graduating from high school. That number went up, it went up across the state, so we're having more success. I believe that actually last year we were above that 50 percent mark.

But we face the same challenges as other struggling cities. But we, like those cities, are tough. We're working on it, we have a deep commitment to it, and we need to focus on advocating for the resources that we

1 need in order to accomplish it.

And, you know, again, I believe that all of the mayors that I work with and that I talk to across upstate New York have done a remarkable job and are doing remarkable things. And we shouldn't be pitted against -- you know, and arguing, you know, about fairness. I think we need to just take a stark look at what the challenges are and, you know, make a commitment and having an urban agenda.

Because these urban centers really are the future of our state. Young people are deciding where they're going to live, and then they figure out what they're going to do. When we talk to employers, and I'm sure other mayors say it, they are looking for a place where they can imagine the best and the brightest wanting to come and live. And the cities of upstate New York, whether it be Albany or Syracuse or Rochester or Troy, we have the bones. We have beautiful architecture. We have all of the things that upstate cities need -- great universities,

1	institutions of higher learning, great
2	healthcare.
3	And so we need to invest and build on
4	that. I think this is a really exciting and
5	optimistic time in New York State.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: Thank you.
7	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you,
8	Assemblyman McDonald.
9	And now we will ask Assemblywoman Fahy
10	to see if you
11	SENATOR KRUEGER: I'm sorry, we have a
12	Senator. Sorry.
13	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Oh, I'm sorry.
14	Senator.
15	SENATOR KRUEGER: Sorry. Senator John
16	DeFrancisco, from Syracuse.
17	SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: First, I was
18	watching you on TV last night with the clips
19	from the hearing or whatever it was. I've
20	got to commend you for maintaining your
21	patience. Because if it was me, I know I
22	wouldn't have. That was a very difficult

One quick question. You said that you

thing to do.

т	nad 1200 summer Jobs that you employ people
2	in the city; correct? What do you pay them
3	now?
4	MAYOR SHEEHAN: We pay the 14- and
5	15-year olds I believe it's \$6.50 an hour.
6	And then if they're 16, we pay the minimum
7	wage.
8	SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Okay. And the
9	minimum wage now is \$9?
10	MAYOR SHEEHAN: I believe that that's
11	what we budgeted yes, it's gone up to 9.
12	SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Okay. In view
13	of your dire financial situation, as with all
14	the mayors, does that mean if the minimum
15	wage goes up you'll have to employ less
16	people?
17	MAYOR SHEEHAN: We've been able,
18	through federal funding that helps to fund
19	some of those positions, particularly for the
20	older children, to maintain the numbers. The
21	numbers go up and down every year.
22	Our goal actually is to really focus
23	on the 14- and 15-year-olds and be doing such
24	a good job in the experience that they get

1	that our 16-year-olds get hired by the
2	private sector. And we're working really
3	hard with private-sector employers in the
4	area to make sure that we're providing the
5	training that they expect.
6	SENATOR DeFRANCISCO Mayor, let me
7	just cut you off.
8	MAYOR SHEEHAN: Yeah.
9	SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: You know, I see
10	what you're saying, but whether it's the
11	private-sector employers or the public
12	employers, if there's so many dollars that
13	are set for summer jobs, entry-level jobs
14	where people can learn how to work, how do
15	you get around not eliminating some jobs,
16	private or public, if you've got the same
17	amount of money to deal with?
18	MAYOR SHEEHAN: Well, we have, in the
19	projections that we have created, built in
20	the escalation to the \$15-an-hour minimum
21	wage that the Governor has proposed, and, yo
22	know, the total cost to the City of Albany i
23	in the neighborhood of I hope I'm not

misquoting this -- I think it's about \$2

1	million, ultimately. And again, that assumes
2	that we keep the numbers the same.
3	So we have to look at opportunities to
4	reduce that cost. Our summer youth
5	employment program only lasts six weeks.
6	That's not the largest driver of it, but I
7	think that, you know, if we can see an
8	increase in minimum wage and move more of our
9	young people into private-sector jobs, you
10	know, that would be ideal. We have, as I
11	said, an almost 26 percent poverty rate. So
12	as a mayor, I know we can debate whether the
13	minimum wage will
14	SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: No, I'm not
15	MAYOR SHEEHAN: have the results we
16	asking for, but I think that that would be a
17	positive impact on the city overall.
18	SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: I'm about ready
19	to take back my compliment
20	(Laughter.)
21	SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: because this
22	doesn't make too much sense.
23	But the fact of the matter is you've
24	built it in, so instead of \$12.5 million

1	deficit, you may have a little higher
2	deficit.
3	MAYOR SHEEHAN: We know that that's a
4	bogey that we have to hit. We've got to find
5	cost savings to be able to offset that
6	increase.
7	SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Okay. Last
8	point. What cost savings other than less
9	employees can you do?
LO	MAYOR SHEEHAN: Well, we have some
11	work to do on our workers' compensation
12	costs. We can do a better job of monitoring
13	that within the City of Albany and set some
14	targets for our employees.
15	We can also do better in our health
16	insurance costs. And we were successful in
17	interest arbitration to now have all of our
18	firefighters contributing towards health
19	insurance. And so we've created a union
20	management committee to look at how we can

drive down those insurance costs, and our

can drive down premiums and look at cost

savings measures, they're going to benefit

firefighters now have a stake in that. If we

21

22

23

	from that. So I think that we ve got foom on
2	health insurance.
3	We have room with respect to using and
4	implementing changes in technology. I have
5	an employee who we are being funded
6	through the Bloomberg Philanthropies to
7	attend the Denver Peak Academy, which is a
8	program that was funded by Bloomberg
9	Philanthropies in Denver where employees have
10	identified \$23 million in savings since 2013.
11	So those are the types of efforts we
12	need to undertake in order to be able to find
13	savings and efficiencies.
14	SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: That sounds
15	great. And it will reduce the \$12.5 million
16	you need from Albany then as well.
17	MAYOR SHEEHAN: We know that we're
18	going to need to find ways, and as I said,
19	we're looking for a bridge. And I agree.
20	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
21	Assemblywoman Fahy.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Thank you,
23	Mr. Chairman.
24	And thank you, Mayor, for your always

1	compelling	testimony.

Obviously it's very, very sobering,

but I also appreciate that you have a number

of encouraging, encouraging initiatives

underway, including the Capital Center or the

Convention Center, along with a host of other

programs underway.

And I just want to echo some of the comments you made earlier and that my colleague Mr. McDonald made, and that is that -- about the region and the impact that Albany has on the region.

I represent three terrific towns as well as the City of Albany -- in fact, a few that you highlighted here in your testimony -- and in each of those towns, I'm often comparing property tax rates, because I live here in Albany and I pay those property taxes. And I think the only one that I ever find that gives me anything close to a match is the City of Schenectady.

And even among a number of folks in the towns that I represent, they do recognize that if the core, the core of this region,

1	along with the core throughout upstate of our
2	key cities upstate when the core suffers,
3	the entire region suffers.

So I do recognize that while there's much encouraging news, there are tremendous, tremendous challenges. And I appreciate the work that you have done. But as Assemblyman McDonald said, we recognize this is quite a challenge on the \$12 million.

Can we talk a little bit about where you see some of that turnaround, as you've said, over the next few years, and when you see it? For instance, you made reference to Harriman. I know this body agreed with the Governor's proposal last year to put tens of millions of dollars into development up at Harriman, and that an estimated 30 acres is supposed to come online to be privately developed.

Do you have a better sense, any updates on that timeline on when we might begin to see some revenues into the city as that is privatized?

24 MAYOR SHEEHAN: We've been working

1	with the state to make sure that they have
2	the information that they need about the
3	infrastructure underneath the Harriman Campus
4	and what the needs would be in order to build
5	it out. We were successful in getting
6	planning funding through the REDC to really
7	focus on that western part of the city so
8	that we can support and have the
9	infrastructure to support growth both at the
10	University at Albany, at SUNY Poly, and at
11	the Harriman Campus.
12	So they, you know, have been
13	requesting information, and it's my
14	understanding that they are developing an
15	RFP.
16	And when you talk about the investment
17	up there, it's a really great example.
18	Because if that investment had occurred
19	anywhere else but in the City of Albany, it
20	would have generated a PILOT payment. So
21	under 19A, if you take property in a
22	municipality and use it for state purposes,
23	there is a PILOT that is paid based on a
24	percentage of the work that was done, the

_	l construct:	ion costs	associated	with	that

And so that is why I think that 19A

provides an opportunity for the state and for

this body to really look at what the impact

is in the City of Albany of this investment,

because of the amount of land in the city

that the state owns.

appreciate you pointing that out on the Harriman Campus. And please correct me if I'm wrong, but I think there have been long-standing bills that my predecessor had and that I've carried for PILOT payments on Harriman, which is hundreds of acres — there's about 30 that are to be developed privately. But my recollection is that would generate about \$11 million were we to get a 19A payment or PILOT payment on that.

MAYOR SHEEHAN: Well, actually that number would go up a little bit because of the recent investment that has occurred out there. So that has increased the value of that property.

24 Again, the elegance of doing this in

1	19A is that we have agreed and I think
2	that it does make us unique in saying that as
3	the Harriman Campus or as other property
4	that's owned by the state becomes privately
5	developed, that should be subtracted from
6	anything that the state should be responsible
7	for. If we're able to get that revenue
8	through private development, then we are ther
9	more aligned in our interests with the state.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Okay, very
11	helpful. And any other revenue generators
12	that you could note would also be of help.
13	With regard to the previous question
14	from Senator DeFrancisco, I may have
15	misunderstood, or it might be helpful the
16	\$2 million that you mentioned would be a
17	shortfall, I guess, if you will, with the
18	minimum-wage proposals, is there a
19	breakout
20	MAYOR SHEEHAN: No, what we've done is
21	cost out what there's an escalator that
22	the Governor has proposed with respect to the
23	minimum wage. So out at the end, 2021, when
24	the full \$15-an-hour minimum wage would be

Τ	put in the prace over that period we would
2	need to be offsetting up to the maximum
3	would be \$2 million. As I recall, I think
4	it's about \$2 million.
5	So when we looked at it in the initial
6	years, with the increases, it would not have
7	that large of an impact, again because we
8	have so many employees now who already make
9	above what that minimum would be. So we're
10	really just looking at our full-time
11	workforce that's not at minimum wage. And
12	then our summer youth employment program.
13	And again, in looking at where there
14	are federal dollars that are available for
15	that, we are able to offset more of that
16	increase with the federal dollars.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Okay. Thank you
18	for clarifying that.
19	MAYOR SHEEHAN: These are for
20	income-eligible young people.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Okay. Thank you
22	for clarifying. That was a big number.
23	MAYOR SHEEHAN: Yeah, it's not
24	\$2 million in the first year, no, no, no.

1	That's by 2021.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: I know we have a
3	long night ahead of us, so I will stop there.
4	I just want to say there's not a day
5	that goes by that we don't have conversations
6	up here regarding this need for these bridge
7	dollars and the predicament that Albany is
8	in. I appreciate that you pointed out that
9	this is decades in the making and we are
10	trying to get on top of it.
11	I look forward to working with you on
12	both sides of the revenue you know, the
13	creative solutions on the revenue, and on any
14	other reductions and savings that you can
15	propose.
16	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
17	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you, Senator.
18	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Marchione.
19	SENATOR MARCHIONE: Thank you.
20	Mayor, just a couple of follow-up
21	questions. You said that poverty is
22	26 percent in Albany.
23	MAYOR SHEEHAN: It's approaching

26 percent. We're at, I think, 24.5 percent.

1	SENATOR MARCHIONE: Do you have any
2	idea what the poverty level is with single
3	mothers?
4	MAYOR SHEEHAN: A large portion of our
5	people who are living in poverty are single
6	head of households. You know, I don't have
7	that exactly number. I do believe that the
8	Census Bureau does have that information.
9	SENATOR MARCHIONE: The reason I ask
10	is that I got some information on my own
11	district the other day, and the percentages
12	are staggering. Washington County is almost
13	up to 50 percent poverty of single households
14	with children. So much so that I'm
15	calling I've called a meeting of a variety
16	of stakeholders from throughout my district
17	to look at that to see if there isn't
18	something that can be done to help head of
19	households mostly moms that have
20	children isn't there something that we can
21	do special to assist them.
22	So I just wondered if you knew. I
23	didn't know what your number was, but in my
24	districts in Saratoga, Troy, Rensselaer

1	County,	the	numbers	are	very,	very	high.

2 Columbia as well.

So I think we can zero in a little

bit, perhaps we can try to help that group of

people -- and I don't mean with handouts, I

mean with hand-ups to assist them.

MAYOR SHEEHAN: And I think that one of the things, and I've talked to the hospitals about that in the City of Albany, and other large employers, is looking at their shifts and looking at transportation issues to see whether or not there are adjustments that can be made to accommodate what are, you know, a lot of single heads of household who are juggling childcare issues and schedules that really preclude them from being able to maybe work full-time, as many hours as they want to work, or access the workplace at all.

And I think there is an interest in doing that if we can do that on a regional level. I think that it's another great example of how this region is coming together.

1	SENATOR MARCHIONE: Also, you
2	mentioned that you have 13- and 14-year-olds
3	that you pay
4	MAYOR SHEEHAN: Fourteen and 15.
5	Sorry.
6	SENATOR MARCHIONE: \$6.75
7	MAYOR SHEEHAN: I believe it's \$6.75.
8	We raised it last year.
9	SENATOR MARCHIONE: How do you pay
10	under the minimum?
11	MAYOR SHEEHAN: Because they are under
12	the age of 16 and because of the type of
13	program that it is. So they don't have to be
14	paid minimum wage.
15	And we've debated that. It's a
16	challenge.
17	SENATOR MARCHIONE: It's child labor.
18	MAYOR SHEEHAN: But they are most
19	of them are assigned to work sites where they
20	really are learning about working and
21	learning about what is expected of them in
22	the workplace. Many of the opportunities
23	that we provide to young people are really
24	educational opportunities in the form of a

4	' 1
1	job.
_	. می

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2	So for some of our young people, we're
3	really encouraging them and incentivizing
4	them to focus on their academic skills, focus
5	on seeing themselves as successful. And I
6	think it's critically important to make that
7	investment, particularly in young people who
8	don't have the opportunities that maybe exist
9	in other wealthier communities.

SENATOR MARCHIONE: I agree with you. I come from Halfmoon; we've had a youth program for 13- and 14-year-olds for years --I mean, when I was supervisor 30 years ago. I agree with you, I think it's a tremendous start for youth, but we always paid minimum wage. That's why I wondered how you were doing that.

> But it is true, and I look at minimum wage as that's the beginning of their career, as they're unskilled and learning the right things to do in the workplace. And, you know, that's why I see the \$9 going to \$15 almost, to me, would preclude your program.

24 As you said earlier, you're looking to

1	see whether you can afford it now. Add that
2	extra \$6 an hour onto that program, and I
3	worry that programs that are so good will not
4	be in existence anymore.
5	MAYOR SHEEHAN: Certainly if we had to
6	pay that for 14- and 15-year-olds, it would
7	be very difficult for us to continue to serve
8	the number of children that we serve.
9	SENATOR MARCHIONE: Thanks. Thank
10	you.
11	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, Senator.
12	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
13	Any others? Thank you very much.
14	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, Mayor.
15	MAYOR SHEEHAN: Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Next, the Honorable
17	Lovely Warren, mayor of the City of
18	Rochester.
19	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Welcome, Mayor.
20	MAYOR WARREN: Thank you. Good
21	evening.
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: It's so good to
23	have you here.
24	MAYOR WARREN: Thank you for having

1	us. Ready to State:
2	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Yes.
3	MAYOR WARREN: Chairwoman Young,
4	Chairman Farrell, members of the Ways and
5	Means and Finance Committees, Rochester's
6	great Assemblyman Gantt, Majority Leader Joe
7	Morelle, and other members of the Senate and
8	Assembly, thank you for this opportunity to
9	address the panel on behalf of the residents
10	of the City of Rochester.
11	I'm joined here today with my budget
12	director, Chris Wagner.
13	In our city, we are pressing on with
14	our agenda to bring more jobs, safer streets
15	and better schools to every corner of
16	Rochester. In order to do this, I'm asking
17	the state to write a requirement that only
18	affects the City of Rochester. So I'm
19	keeping my testimony short and making just
20	one request of the state today: Equity in
21	municipal education funding to cities.
22	Specifically, in lieu of AIM
23	increases, and to meet our state-mandated
24	maintenance of effort to fund our school

1	district, I am asking for a \$30.9 million in
2	municipal education funding as required by
3	state law. This funding will be given to the
4	city and allocated by the city directly to
5	our school district for local educational
6	priorities.

Rochester began planning for the 2016 budget with an estimated \$41.2 million all-funds gap. Through fine tuning, some estimates, and making some preliminary decisions, including reductions to needed capital spending, we have narrowed that gap to \$28.2 million. This means we will have to make difficult choices when it comes to keeping the lights on and the doors open to important city services.

Unlike our sister cities upstate,

Rochester does not receive adequate AIM

funding to cover our contributions to our

schools. In every other upstate city, the

state aid exceeds the funding that they are

mandated to provide to their schools.

Buffalo receives \$91 million more in state

aid than it is required to give to its school

1	district. Syracuse receives \$7.4 million
2	more than it provides to its district.
3	Rochester stands in stark contrast, receiving
4	\$30.9 million less in state aid than we are
5	required to give to our school district.
6	The bottom line, the state requires
7	that Rochester gives 69 percent of its tax
8	levy to its school district. That means that
9	31 percent is left for critical city
10	services, and, to be frank, it's just not
11	fair.
12	A new funding stream called Municipal
13	Education Funding will enable the city to
14	maintain its commitment to fund the city's
15	school district without cutting critical
16	municipal services that the concentration of
17	poverty in our city demands.
18	As you may know, the single biggest
19	barrier our residents face is poverty. Among
20	comparatively sized cities, Rochester is

barrier our residents face is poverty. Among comparatively sized cities, Rochester is second in overall poverty and first in both childhood poverty and extreme poverty. The Rochester-Monroe Anti-Poverty Initiative has a goal to reduce poverty by 50 percent in 15

1	years. I fully support this goal, and as we
2	work toward it, it will be important not to
3	reduce critical municipal services to this
4	same population.
5	The 2016-2017 Executive Budget
6	includes programs and legislation proposed by
7	the Governor that I believe would benefit all
8	of our residents, but particularly our most
9	vulnerable residents. The Clean Water
LO	Infrastructure and Pave-NY proposals offer
11	much-needed funding for our city's
12	infrastructure. The proposed minimum wage
13	increase and paid family leave would uplift
L 4	our families and improve access to good jobs.
15	But I will note that in the State of
16	the State address, Governor Cuomo called for
17	an increase in educational funding and plans
18	to address failing schools, saying: "For
19	generations of New Yorkers, education has
20	been the ladder to climb out of poverty.

23 And that's true. Education is a key 24 that unlocks all doors. So I remain

than it has ever been."

21

22

Today, the need for that ladder is greater

1	committed to working with all of you to
2	provide high-quality educational
3	opportunities to families in every corner of
4	our city.
5	The requested \$30.9 million in
6	Municipal Education Funding will come to the

Municipal Education Funding will come to the city, and we will send it directly to the classrooms and to the school districts for their educational priorities. By funding this educational mandate, you will free up much-needed tax dollars that the city can use for the other side of the classroom door. The tax dollars will be used to fund libraries, recreation centers, hard-to-place workers, job training, youth intervention services, and infrastructure that we would need to support police body cameras.

I ask that you right this wrong that only affects the taxpayers in the City of Rochester and fully fund our request for Municipal Education Funding. By considering this one request, you have the opportunity to change the lives of 210,000 residents in the City of Rochester.

1	Thank you for agreeing to hear my
2	testimony today, and I look forward to taking
3	any questions that you may have.
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Assembly?
5	Questions?
6	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
7	much.
8	Assemblyman Gantt.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN GANTT: Thank you very
10	much, Chairman.
11	Mayor Warren, you talked about the
12	fact that there's a big difference between
13	what you receive from state government and
14	what other cities upstate receive. Can you
15	tell me how that happened? Or do you know
16	how that happened?
17	MAYOR WARREN: Mr. Assemblyman, in
18	2007 the state mandated that cities across
19	the state pay their school districts going
20	forward it's called the maintenance of
21	effort not one dollar less than they were
22	paying at that time. At that time, the City
23	of Rochester was paying our city school
24	district \$119.1 million. We have always

1	generously given to our school district, and
2	it was at a time when we had the tax base to
3	do so.

But as you know, Kodak and Xerox and Bausch & Lomb are not paying the city the taxes that they used to pay. And so when this was mandated in 2007, Rochester had to continue to pay \$119.1 million, even though our tax base had significantly begun to decline. At that point in time Buffalo was paying its school district, I think, about \$87 million or \$89 million; in Syracuse, about 73. And that mandate that you have, with this maintenance of effort — the AIM aid that you give — provided to those cities actually can be transferred or covers the costs that they have to pay to the school district.

For the City of Rochester, the AIM aid that we receive does not cover that cost that we have to pay to our school district. And so we're asking for this Municipal Education Funding to cover that.

24 ASSEMBLYMAN GANTT: Can you tell me

1	what your cost per student is or, no, let
2	me go a different way. Can you tell me how
3	many students Rochester had back when the
4	maintenance of effort was in place?
5	MAYOR WARREN: I'm sorry?
6	ASSEMBLYMAN GANTT: Can you tell me
7	what the number of students that Rochester
8	had when the maintenance of effort was put in
9	place?
10	MAYOR WARREN: I'm sorry, I don't know
11	that number.
12	But I know that with the increase in
13	the number of charter schools, that the
14	significant enrollment in the city school
15	district has declined.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN GANTT: So but you
17	don't know the total number.
18	MAYOR WARREN: No, I do not.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN GANTT: It's my
20	understanding that at the time we did the
21	maintenance of effort agreement of which I
22	was not here when we voted on it, because I
23	thought it was the wrong thing to do then,
24	and I still think it was the wrong thing to

1	do nowever, I believe that it was
2	somewhere around 32,000 students that we had.
3	Do you have any idea how many students
4	we have today?
5	MAYOR WARREN: No, I think that we
6	have about 26,000 that are enrolled in the
7	city school district. But that does not mean
8	that those students even though they have
9	a decline in the city school district, many
10	of those students are in other schools like
11	charter schools or private schools.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN GANTT: Would you suggest
13	to me that or suggest to this committee that
14	maybe we ought to take a look at our cost per
15	student and, even if the student is going to
16	a charter school, in many cases they don't
17	get the same amount of money for the charter
18	student as they do for that student who may
19	be there in the regular school?
20	MAYOR WARREN: Mr. Assemblyman, I
21	would not advocate for a decrease in the
22	amount of funding to I'm not here to
23	advocate a decrease in the amount of funding

24 to our schools. I'm just asking for the

1	state to equal the mandate that they put on
2	the City of Rochester and provide us with the
3	same amount of funding that we have to
4	provide to our school districts as other
5	cities have.
6	When you look at the City of Rochester
7	

and that 70 percent of our tax base is actually going to the school district, whereas in other cities that is not necessarily the case, then it creates a problem for our residents and only our residents alone. This is an issue that just impacts the City of Rochester.

ASSEMBLYMAN GANTT: I'm not suggesting that we necessarily ought to decrease the amount either. I'm simply talking -- I simply want to go to a different method, possibly. And that's to do it by the cost per student.

Because one of the things I quite

often hear -- I heard the past superintendent

talk about going out into the community and

knocking on doors and getting students to go

to class. In many cases, those students are

1	missing from class some 19 days before
2	they're gone after. And I think there are a
3	number of things we've done wrong, and I'm
4	simply trying to get some way to correct
5	that, on behalf of your administration and/or
6	the school district.

About Bausch & Lomb and you talked about

Gleason -- we can talk about Gleason's -- and

I remember Arthur Eve saying to me, years

ago, "The problem with you guys, you got too

many jobs." And that was back when Buffalo

was going through its downturn in the

economy. And I'd like to see Arthur now,

because I'd like to say the same thing to

him. We're now going through that. Kodak at

one time had probably 70,000 or 80,000 people

there in the City of Rochester; now they've

got less than 10, as I understand it.

So you've got an additional problem, plus they've taken down many of those facilities that's out there. So it's important that we understand that you need some major help that we ought to be giving

1	you. And I think we (cellphone
2	interruption) as I said, I think we ought
3	to be looking to them now to turn off my
4	phone.
5	So what are you saying, is there any
6	other ways that we can try and help you?
7	MAYOR WARREN: As I indicated, this
8	would be the one request that we have, is
9	that the state give us the \$30.9 million in
10	Municipal Education Funding that would comply
11	with unless the state changes the rules,
12	the maintenance of effort that will
13	comply with us being able to provide that
14	money to the school district and that will
15	free up the money that we need to provide for
16	essential services in our city.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN GANTT: And Mrs. Miner
18	talked about the kids that worked during the
19	summer, or young people I assume that most
20	of them are young people. Do you have such a
21	program?
22	MAYOR WARREN: Yes, we have the Summer
23	of Opportunity program where we have about
24	900 young people that are working not only in

1	the City of Rochester, but also in private
2	companies as well, to get a great experience
3	during those summers. And they are paid a
4	stipend.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN GANTT: They're paid a
6	stipend? Or not minimum wage?
7	MAYOR WARREN: No, they are paid a
8	stipend, and our interns are also paid a
9	stipend, many of them. Our summer interns,
10	college interns.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN GANTT: Are those summer
12	programs funded by the state?
13	MAYOR WARREN: We have received some
14	money from the state for those programs. And
15	let me ask my budget director where I
16	believe that we utilize some of our tax
17	dollars for that program as well.
18	BUDGET DIR. WAGNER: The bulk of the
19	funding comes from the state. While some of
20	our youth workers earn the minimum wage,
21	younger workers get a stipend, and it can be
22	less than minimum wage.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN GANTT: One other question
24	I'd like to ask is many of us have watched

1	the news and heard over the past few weeks
2	about Flint, Michigan, and the water supply.
3	I can remember I've been here long enough
4	to remember that the state gave some monies
5	to the City of Rochester and other cities to
6	correct what they called the lead leads
7	that's the lead pipe that comes off the main
8	and goes towards the property.
9	I'd like to know whether or not vou

I'd like to know whether or not you know how much -- how many of those properties still have lead leads in them, since we had probably -- we had some of the same problems that Flint had with kids not learning, kids going to jail, and many of those things. And what I'm suggesting and what I think is that these kids may have the same problem that people in Flint may have.

MAYOR WARREN: I can assure you,
Mr. Assemblyman, that the water in Rochester
is very safe. Our water has been voted the
best water in New York State for many, many
years.

ASSEMBLYMAN GANTT: And you don't think the leads are doing anything to affect

1	our kids?
2	MAYOR WARREN: No, we actually have a
3	
4	ASSEMBLYMAN GANTT: How do you know?
5	MAYOR WARREN: a very good water
6	system for the people in the City of
7	Rochester. It comes from Canadice and
8	Hemlock Lake. It's on a gravity system, so
9	we don't have a pump. We continuously invest
10	in our water system, and though we could
11	always use additional dollars to invest in
12	our infrastructure because of some of the old
13	pipes that we have but as it pertains to
14	the quality of the water, it is some of the
15	it is the best water in the State of New
16	York.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN GANTT: So you're telling
18	me that we don't have any problems at all
19	with lead in our system?
20	MAYOR WARREN: We have
21	MR. WARREN: So we've actually
22	replaced some of the lead services that
23	you're talking about going from the
24	ASSEMBLYMAN GANTT: I agree with you,

1	but those lead services were replaced in the
2	neighborhoods that the poor doesn't live in,
3	necessarily.
4	BUDGET DIR. WAGNER: Yes, so
5	ASSEMBLYMAN GANTT: I remember the
6	program.
7	BUDGET DIR. WAGNER: So we would need
8	to look at and talk to our water department
9	about it, you know, how many remain that we
10	would look at replacing.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN GANTT: I'd like to have
12	that I'd like to have that information,
13	because I think there is a correlation
14	between that and kids not learning and kids
15	ending up in the penal institutions of the
16	community.
17	MAYOR WARREN: I actually spoke to
18	ASSEMBLYMAN GANTT: I think the state
19	ought to fund that, but I think it ought to
20	be done.
21	MAYOR WARREN: I spoke to our
22	commissioner of DES about this issue, and he
23	indicated to me on Monday that this is not a
24	problem in the City of Rochester.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN GANTT: Okay. I'd still
2	like to have the information.
3	MAYOR WARREN: I definitely will get
4	it for you, sir.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN GANTT: Thank you very
6	much.
7	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
8	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
9	Assemblyman Morelle.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN MORELLE: Thank you very
11	much, Mr. Chairman.
12	Your Honor, thank you for being here.
13	First let me start by thanking you for
14	your partnership on the Monroe Anti-Poverty
15	Initiative. It's been great to work with you
16	on it, and I think we're finally making some
17	progress, so I want to thank you for your
18	leadership.
19	It's interesting, during the budget
20	we're all subjected to countless numbers of
21	statistics. And as you can imagine, everyone
22	who appeals to us appeals to us on a set of
23	metrics that they sort of come up with. But
24	I do think and I do want to underscore

	1	this, because I think you've identified it
	2	pretty well the three numbers here that
	3	you've suggested that relate to the
	4	relationship between the MOE, which was
	5	established in 2007 over the objections of a
	6	number of us, and the Aid to Municipalities
	7	for the dependent school districts, which
	8	Rochester is one that we're the only city,
	9	the only community whose AIM resources are
1	0	significantly less than our requirement under
1	1	the MOE.

And as Mr. Gantt had suggested back in 2007, the City of Rochester in a sense, depending on your perspective, was penalized because for perpetuity, or at least as long as this law will be in effect -- and so far that's been without change -- the City of Rochester's obligation to its dependent school districts is \$119 million.

The City of Buffalo, which actually has more students -- it's a bigger city -- only has to contribute \$70 million to its school district. At the same time, the City of Buffalo receives \$161 million or, as you

1	identify, essentially if you compare those
2	two numbers I mean, \$90 million after
3	the City of Buffalo takes in its AIM and pays
4	out under the MOE, they end up with a
5	difference of \$90 million to the good.
6	The City of Rochester, once it
7	receives its AIM aid, has not only all of its
8	AIM aid going back into its MOE, but has to
9	come up with another \$31 million just to meet
10	its obligation under the state law.
11	MAYOR WARREN: Yes.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN MORELLE: So, you know,
13	again, we have metrics all over the place.
14	That's a pretty significant one.
15	And my dear friend Crystal
16	Peoples-Stokes is right here, so I have no
17	objection to the City of Buffalo receiving
18	its funding under AIM, or the decision they
19	made relative to what their contribution
20	ought to be to the city school district. But
21	to have a disparity of that size is really
22	unconscionable.
23	For the City of Rochester to
24	essentially be held now accountable for not

1	only using all of its AIM money, but then
2	having to come up with another \$30 million to
3	meet an obligation that was set frankly, a
4	penalty because we decided to invest a pretty
5	significant amount of our resources into our
6	young people. So that's good; I think we
7	would probably and you would probably
8	agree continue to do that.

But obviously, whether we did it the way you've suggested or whether through

AIM -- and I assume -- this is a really bad question, I apologize, but it's been a long day. But I wanted to make the point that I'm assuming that if the AIM aid went up, you would have no objection to that. I mean, you're looking for a vehicle in which the amount you receive from the state is at least equal to what your obligation is under the MOE. Is that correct?

MAYOR WARREN: Yes, but we wanted to present to the state a specific issue that just impacts Rochester, that does not impact any other municipality in upstate New York.

And that's the reason why we talked about

1	specifically the educational funding that our
2	municipality has to provide to our school
3	district.
4	However the state wants to provide the
5	dollars to us, we would appreciate it.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN MORELLE: And as I
7	understand it, too, you're not suggesting
8	that you want to be relieved of your
9	obligation under the MOE, that you're
10	prepared to continue to make that
11	contribution to the city school district, but
12	you'd like the AIM aid or however or
13	whatever other aid package to at least equal
14	that obligation so that we're treated like
15	the other upstate cities where they have,
16	actually, effectively a surplus.
17	MAYOR WARREN: Yes.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN MORELLE: Thank you very
19	much.
20	MAYOR WARREN: Thank you.
21	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
22	Senator? Thank you very oh, excuse
23	me. Assemblyman Aubry.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN AUBRY: Mayor, how are

1	you?
2	MAYOR WARREN: I'm good. How are you?
3	ASSEMBLYMAN AUBRY: And it's just
4	interesting, the conversation you had
5	relative to potential lead in your water and
6	where that is today. So were you were you
7	indicating the question was, was there
8	ever an issue of lead in the water in
9	Syracuse in the past?
10	MAYOR WARREN: And this is Rochester.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN AUBRY: I mean Rochester.
12	MAYOR WARREN: I believe that there
13	was some lead piping that had to be removed
14	and replaced, and the state was able to help
15	us with replacing that lead piping. And what
16	the Assemblyman wants to know is do we have
17	any additional lead piping that is impacting
18	our water.
19	We have a water filtration system that
20	really cleans the water and is a robust
21	system that we utilize today. And I had a
22	discussion with my DES commissioner about
23	that, and he indicated that we do not have

the problems as it pertains to lead that

1	would be a challenge to our community. We	е
2	have the best-tasting water in New York	
3	State.	

ASSEMBLYMAN AUBRY: Right. And as -so at what point in time were you assured
that the water was fine? Was there a date
certain they said "We've finished this work,
it no longer is a problem," and beyond that
it may have been a problem? My point is it
doesn't have to be mutually exclusive. You
could have had a lead problem in the water -we see that things have happened recently in
Flint, where at one point it wasn't, at one
point it was -- and that the impact on
children might go back some time relative to,
you know, what they were receiving in the
water system.

And without testing or tracking it by time, one might not know whether or not it had that kind of impact relative to learning. Because we know that lead in the systems causes that; we see it all over the country.

MAYOR WARREN: Yes.

24 ASSEMBLYMAN AUBRY: So my question is,

1	is it
2	MAYOR WARREN: Do we know the I
3	could not give you the exact date when those
4	pipes were changed and if at that point in
5	time we had a problem with lead in our water.
6	I can tell you that the problem with
7	lead in the City of Rochester has been
8	because of lead paint that was in our homes.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN AUBRY: No
10	MAYOR WARREN: And we have been
11	working with our landlords, and we have a
12	lead policy, one of the best policies in the
13	State of New York, that deals with that
14	issue, where we actually go in and, in order
15	to get a certificate of occupancy for
16	renters, they have to actually make sure that
17	the lead is dealt with and the home is
18	lead-safe. And we have been for a number of
19	years, you know, enforcing that at a level
20	that other municipalities have not been.
21	But specifically as it pertains to the
22	water, I would have to get you that
23	information about the date.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN AUBRY: And I'm not

⊥	suggesting that I need to look at it. But
2	I'm only suggesting that there might be if
3	there are connections, that they're worth
4	looking at and knowing and that they're
5	capable of being found out based on
6	scientific investigation.
7	Because if there needs to be
8	remediation for people who have had that kind
9	of impact in their lives, you know, it's also
10	something the state should bear the cost for.
11	All in an attempt to help you resolve the
12	issues that, you know, the city may be
13	facing.
14	MAYOR WARREN: Thank you.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN AUBRY: You're welcome.
16	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
17	Further questions?
18	ASSEMBLYMAN GANTT: Just for your
19	information, I happen to be a landlord in the
20	City of Rochester. I can tell you they do a
21	tremendous job in terms of the lead-paint
22	stuff. They really do do a great job, and I
23	think that's working.
24	I still have the question in my mind

1	about the lead leads that come into the
2	house, and I'd still like to see whether or
3	not we can get some dollars to double-check
4	that one.
5	MAYOR WARREN: We will get that
6	information to you, Assemblyman.
7	Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
9	much. Thank you, Mayor.
10	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, Mayor.
11	Thanks for being here.
12	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Next, the Honorable
13	Mike Spano, mayor of the City of Yonkers.
14	MAYOR SPANO: Let me say good evening.
15	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: You came in first.
16	You came in with us.
17	MAYOR SPANO: Good evening, Senator
18	Young, Chairwoman Young; Chairman Denny
19	Farrell; Leader Stewart-Cousins;
20	Assemblywoman Shelley Mayer and Assemblyman
21	Gary Pretlow, my members of the Assembly; to
22	all the friends that are here today.
23	I'm going to actually deviate a little
24	bit, because I know that the night has been

1	long for you. And what I'm going to try
2	to do is go off script, give you my four
3	priorities, and be able to open it up
4	for questions. I think you've heard
5	enough, and we probably don't need another
6	scripted speech, at least at this point,
7	because there's a lot happening.
8	Let me first start off by saying
9	thank you. You know, the last two years,
10	three years I came to you with the Yonkers
11	Board of Education in crisis, a \$55
12	million mistake, and a need for some
13	type of action. And it was under the
14	leadership of our great Governor, Andrew
15	Cuomo, working with us, especially under
16	the leadership of our local delegation,
17	where we were able to put in place a
18	two-year plan, a two-year plan that
19	allowed for additional state resources,
20	that allowed for the city to raise some
21	of its resources, that allowed for the
22	city to embark on a consolidation
23	program between the Board of Education
24	and the City of Yonkers, where we merged

1	six different departments within the
2	City of Yonkers, saving the Board of
3	Education close to \$10 million. And it
4	has been going very well, and I think
5	could be a model that could be used
6	across the state. But certainly
7	something that we're very happy about.
8	Just to tell you a little about
9	what I heard before, with the Mayor of
10	Rochester, I like her plan, just so you
11	know. That's going to give us about
12	\$115 million in additional aid, so if
13	that works for you guys, you won't have
14	a problem with us.
15	But just let me run into a couple
16	of things here. The legislature is
17	actually putting forth I'm sorry, the
18	Governor in his proposed budget has put
19	forth additional dollars for education,
20	and that's a good thing. That's going
21	to help us. You know, Yonkers is a
22	school district that still has 16
23	percent of its enrollment are children
24	with disabilities. We have 12 percent

1	of our enrollment are children who
2	limited English proficiency. We have
3	been still suffering over the years of cuts
4	that took back during the last recession.
5	So as we move forward, we've been trying to
6	restore programs. And we are still at one
7	art teacher for every 623 students, one
8	music teacher for every 744 students. We
9	have a library ratio you'll like this
10	one of 3,347 to 1. And guidance
11	counselors, 787 to 1.
12	Despite this, the school district
13	has a graduation rate last year of
14	78 percent, the highest of the Big 5.
15	That is a testament to the good hard
16	work that the teachers do each and every
17	year but, as you know, pales in
18	comparison when you look at our
19	neighbors. The neighbors of Scarsdale,
20	Eastchester, and Bronxville are
21	graduating at 97, 98, and 99 percent.
22	So whatever additional aid we can
23	get to our schools to help us again
24	continue the journey of restoring music,

1	art and t	the different	programs	that	we	have
2	started t	to restore	but not	fully		will
3	certainly	y help us.				

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So again, I say thank you in advance, because I know that this body generally fights for additional aid to education.

The partnership. I know I heard a lot of people speak about AIM aid. AIM aid is critically important to us, especially in the City of Yonkers. When you look at the fact that our AIM aid has not been increased since 2009, it would be actually very good for us to have a 2 percent cap on that, where we would just get a 2 percent increase. That would help us out, especially if you look at just in the past 10 years: Health insurance, up 51 percent; workers' compensation, up 160 percent; Employee Retirement System, up 66 percent; police and fire retirement, up 41 percent. These are very significant numbers. And when you look at what revenues we'll be able to

1	claim	this	year	under	the	tax	cap,	we'll
2	be abl	e to	clain	n about	\$40	9,00	00.	

union contracts were three years out. And so we have been solving contracts that are six years old. We've gotten most municipal contracts done, but it has cost us about \$30 million to do so. Again, we've been able to make it work, we've stayed within the property tax cap for the past four years. But it's been very, very difficult.

And when you have to cut at the city level, our cuts obviously hurt. Our cuts mean police officers, firefighters, teachers and so forth and so on. So if there's a push to increase AIM aid, I'm all for it, and I just would ask that you consider that.

The other two areas obviously are our aging infrastructure -- it's streets, it's bridges, but it's not just streets and bridges, we have to rebuild a firehouse this year. We have a firehouse that is just completely fallen

1	apart. It's the fire headquarters. We
2	have to rebuild that this year. It's
3	estimated to cost \$10 million.
4	But if you look across the city,
5	you look at our firehouses, you look at
6	our precincts, you look at our city
7	pool, you'll see that there's a
8	tremendous amount of need for those
9	infrastructures and for upgrades to
10	those infrastructures.
11	The last big ask I'm going to put
12	forward is going to be to rebuild our
13	schools. This is the one thing I really
L 4	would like to just talk about a little bit
15	Yonkers has 39 schools. We have close to
16	27,000 students in our school district.
17	We are 4100 students over capacity. We
18	have 4100 more students than what these
19	39 schools can hold.
20	Our schools, the average age of a
21	school in Yonkers is 75 years old. We
22	have two schools that are over 100 years
23	old. Our children are being taught in

24 converted basements and converted

1	gymnasiums. They're being taught in
2	auditoriums where they've taken the
3	section out so they can put a wall up.
4	There are areas of our district where
5	the walls are crumbling, where the roofs
6	are leaking. The City of Yonkers has an
7	immediate need to start to rebuild the
3	schools.

You rebuilt Buffalo schools; that
was smart, that was the right thing to do.
You've started Phases 1 and maybe -- I'm
assuming at least Phase 1 in Rochester and
Syracuse. That was the right thing to do.
The kids need these schools. We are now in
a situation where Yonkers needs to rebuild
its schools.

People will say, But jeez, you're in Westchester County, how is it you can't rebuild your own schools? We are, in Yonkers, at 90 percent of our constitutional taxing authority. We have -- and we all know, we all have, you know, an income tax surcharge, we have a transfer tax, we have an income

1	tax, we have a higher sales tax, we have
2	everything that you might want if you're
3	trying to run the city. But we don't
4	have the deep pockets that are needed to
5	rebuild these schools and put our kids
6	in a proper environment.
7	So what I'm going to be coming to

you with this year is legislation, we're going to work with the delegation, we're going to work with the committees that would have this, to try and come up with a plan that will allow us to rebuild our schools over the next 13 years in four phases. Obviously it would take a significant push and help from the state.

We're going to push for this at the local level and push for additional dollars from the local level as well, but it's not going to be easy.

But I think the time has come for us to rebuild these schools. This is really the legacy for our kids. And with your help, I anticipate that this will be done. Again, you've been

1	extremely nelpiul over the years in
2	terms of economic aid, in terms of
3	coming to our rescue when it's been
4	needed. You've been just a great
5	partner. This is the next step. We want
6	to be able to rebuild our schools.
7	Yonkers, as you know, if you watch "Show Me
8	a Hero," the HBO special, they talked about
9	desegregation in Yonkers schools. It was a
10	lot to do with the quality of the schools
11	and the fact that there not enough
12	buildings on the east side of town. And we
13	still are in the situation where we have
14	old schools, where we have children of
15	color from the west side being bused to
16	schools that are 75 to 100 years old, and
17	still not getting what they should or what
18	they need.
19	So that's why I'm asking for your
20	help and support on this, because I
21	really think this is the next step if we
22	want to free Yonkers of those problems.
23	With that, I'll just open it up
24	for questions. And again, just thank

1	you.
2	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
3	much, Mr. Mayor.
4	Assemblyman Magnarelli.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: I just want
6	to say again, Mr. Mayor, thank you very
7	much for being here and for waiting all
8	this time again, too.
9	My questions again revolve around
10	AIM and all the other programs that the
11	Governor has put into the budget for the
12	last few years, and whether or not you feel
13	that those programs are something you can
14	take advantage of, or are you just simply
15	pushing on the AIM side of things? Which I
16	can understand.
17	MAYOR SPANO: Let me first start off
18	by just telling you who's with me: Andrew
19	Lenney, who's the budget director; John
20	Liszewski, who's the finance
21	commissioner. So let me just because
22	they might jump in.
23	Many of the programs that the
24	Governor has put forth are good

т	programs, they help us. But the
2	numbers, the numbers that are there, the
3	dollars that are available to us are not
4	dollars that are rationed significant
5	enough, at least in the big city world,
6	to solve the problems. And so I think
7	that the ideas are right, the approach
8	is right; the dollars need to be
9	increased for these programs to work for
10	us.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Okay, thank
12	you.
13	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Senator?
14	SENATOR YOUNG: Thank you. And I
15	want to give a special welcome to my former
16	colleague in the State Assembly. It's been
17	like Old Home Day in many ways, because
18	some of our former colleagues from the
19	Assembly and the Senate have been here. So
20	I just want to say welcome to you, we're
21	so glad to have you here to testify.
22	And at this point I would like to
23	turn it over to Senator Andrea
24	Stewart-Cousins.

1	SENATOR STEWART-COUSINS: Thank you.
2	Thank you so much, Senator Young.
3	Welcome. I know it's been a long
4	day, and so I appreciate your condensing
5	the presentation. And I know that
6	Education Day is going to be tomorrow, so I
7	suspect that we will hear very similar
8	things from our superintendent. But I am
9	glad that you brought up the need to
10	rebuild the infrastructure in not only our
11	bridges and roads, but also the schools.
12	It's certainly something that our
13	conference has been putting forward over
L 4	the past couple of years. Especially as we
15	get dollars into the state that are
16	one-shots and we look at where we can put
17	that money, clearly also investing in the
18	infrastructure of our schools and our
19	children's education makes a difference and
20	means something.
21	So I am looking forward to seeing
22	whatever the proposal is. I think the
23	reality is that Yonkers is in an
24	incredibly difficult position, with

1	about 37 schools that need to be
2	rebuilt. But quite frankly, throughout
3	the state there is a need to start
4	looking at where we're asking our
5	children to learn so that they can
6	actually be competitive in this global
7	society. We have to really make sure that
8	they have all that they need in order to
9	get out there.
10	So I'm hoping that not only will
11	this make sense in terms of the Legislature
12	putting forward, but again it causes a
13	conversation which is a statewide
14	conversation certainly within the
15	district that I represent, and I'm so sure
16	so many others of how are we going to
17	help municipalities, especially in a tax
18	cap world, to do just that type of
19	rebuilding. So I'm looking forward to it.
20	You did mention that there were
21	47,000 students
22	MAYOR SPANO: No, no, 27,
23	Senator.
24	SENATOR STEWART-COUSINS: Did you

1	say 27? I'm sorry, because I thought I
2	heard 47,000, and I was wondering where the
3	other 20,000 came from. Okay.
4	(Laughter.)
5	SENATOR STEWART-COUSINS: I said, I
6	know I've only been up here for a day and a
7	half.
8	(Laughter.)
9	MAYOR SPANO: Sorry about that,
10	Senator. You know, we're known to
11	exaggerate that number a little bit, but I
12	didn't want to exaggerate that much.
13	SENATOR STEWART-COUSINS: Yeah, I
14	was going to say that's a big leap. So
15	that was my question that I had.
16	But I also want to let you know that
17	we in my conference have talked about this
18	increase in AIM, because we understand that
19	Aid to Municipalities is just that. And we
20	understood also that during the recession
21	it was frozen. But at this point we are
22	moving along in terms of the economy of
23	the state, and I think it's really time

to start revisiting what we do for our

1	localities as it relates to AIM. So
2	again, I'm looking forward to knowing
3	more about the proposal and continuing
4	to not you know, we did the bailouts
5	and we did and I really want to thank
6	my colleagues, too, for being as
7	responsive, and obviously the Governor
8	for what was a critical time in Yonkers
9	where there was a \$55 million
10	mathematical error that led to what would
11	have been a terrible crisis. So I really
12	want to thank my colleagues for responding
13	so well.
14	But I'm glad that you're being
15	proactive and looking at the next level.
16	MAYOR SPANO: And Leader Cousins,
17	let me just start off by saying thank you
18	to you, because you've been a great leader
19	for us and you've stood up for us time and
20	time again, and I don't know what we would
21	have done in the last two years if we
22	didn't have your leadership here. Thank
23	you.
24	SENATOR STEWART-COUSINS: It's a

1	pleasure.
2	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblyman
3	Pretlow.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN PRETLOW: Mr. Mayor,
5	welcome back to Albany. I know you spent
6	many a year here, off and on.
7	Before I start, I want to give you
8	congratulations on an excellent job of snow
9	removal in the City of Yonkers on Sunday.
10	I happened to find myself by the St. John's
11	Hospital hill, and I know you know it well,
12	and it's a dangerous road anytime. And it
13	was perfectly done, and I was impressed.
14	A few years ago I had asked you if
15	you felt like Sisyphus. You remember that?
16	MAYOR SPANO: Yes.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN PRETLOW: And I think
18	from your testimony today, you've finally
19	gotten that stone over the hill. The curse
20	has been lifted, and I think Yonkers is on
21	the way to greater things.
22	I think that, you know, the
23	leadership that you've been providing in

operating under the constraints that the

1	city and most cities have been required to
2	operate under has worked out. You know, I
3	mean I've sat on this panel for at least
4	20 years, and every year speaking to your
5	predecessors as well as you, it was always:
6	Next year we're going to be great, if X
7	will just happen, we'll be great, if we get
8	this, we'll be great, bail us out, bail us
9	out. And, you know, looking through your
10	testimony, you're not asking for a bailout
11	this year. You're asking for capital,
12	you're asking to help get the
13	infrastructure together, and that's
14	something that's usually doable. That's
15	long term, that's not repeating. And I
16	think that, you know, because of, you know,
17	management of the city and getting, you
18	know, your contracts and things in order,
19	that it is happening. So I think that's
20	good.
21	I just want to focus, though, on the
22	Board of Education. Now, we did some
23	financial things last year to increase
24	dollars to education. We have the sales

1	tax, maybe half a percent or a quarter of a
2	percent of sales tax that's supposed to go
3	directly to education. The estimates were
4	a certain number. How on target are we
5	with those numbers?
6	BUDGET DIR. LENNEY: We're on target
7	for the half-percent sales tax that's
8	dedicated for education. It's
9	\$11.4 million.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN PRETLOW: So we're on
11	target, so I can expect to see an
12	additional \$11.4 million going to education
13	in the next year?
14	BUDGET DIR. LENNEY: I'm talking
15	about in the current year we're on target.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN PRETLOW: Well, the tax
17	just kicked in in December, you're six
18	months your fiscal year is in June;
19	right?
20	BUDGET DIR. LENNEY: Yes.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN PRETLOW: So you've got
22	to get six months out of it. So the total
23	projection was I think \$14 million; half
24	a year would be \$7 million. You're

1	telling me you can get \$14 million in
2	six months?
3	BUDGET DIR. LENNEY: It was
4	\$11.4 million, it was nine months. It
5	started in September.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN PRETLOW: Oh, September,
7	okay.
8	BUDGET DIR. LENNEY: And the
9	maintenance of effort, concurrent with
10	that, was an \$11.4 million increase to the
11	Board of Ed. So in fiscal year 2016, we
12	are on target.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN PRETLOW: Okay, sounds
14	good.
15	Mr. Mayor, you know, I've never
16	believed in the way that the
17	administrations, all of them, have always
18	phrased the Yonkers budget as city side
19	versus school side. I've always said
20	Yonkers has one budget. But through all
21	the years, I've always heard about the
22	school side was always out of whack and the
23	city side was in great shape. And the same

taxpayers, same dollars, same

1	everything.
2	Right now, is there a proposed
3	shortage in what you would term the Board
4	of Education budget?
5	MAYOR SPANO: Based on the
6	Governor's numbers, we're about 7 million,
7	if you want to stay status quo. So 7
8	million short if you want to be status quo
9	to last year. Which is, in a \$550 million
10	budget, it's
11	ASSEMBLYMAN PRETLOW: You said
12	\$7 million? Not \$70 million, like the last
13	year, and not \$110 million?
14	MAYOR SPANO: Seven.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN PRETLOW: Seven?
16	MAYOR SPANO: Yeah. Now, now
17	now, just a minute. I like painting the
18	rosy picture, but I'd also like to point
19	out if you don't mind, I just want to
20	point out something too.
21	While I think we've done a lot
22	better, our bond rating's going up, we're
23	managing within our finances, we're also
24	using a bunch of tools that have helped us,

1	you know. For instance, the pension
2	smoothing just trying to think of what
3	the others were. Pension smoothing and the
4	bonding for certiorari and the use of our
5	surplus has kept us there as well. Which
6	is not the greatest thing in the world, but
7	certainly what the city has used before,
8	and we've continued that.
9	But we'd like to start to move away
10	from that in time, because I think that
11	would really help us out.
12	But on the overall budget I'm
13	sorry, if you go just to the education
14	side, we're 7 million for status quo.
15	Dr. Quezada will come here tomorrow, the
16	superintendent of our schools, will
17	probably talk to you about additional
18	things or additional areas that he would
19	like to inject some money into, like
20	special ed or English proficiency, where he
21	feels that he can bring us even further
22	along in terms of having the school
23	district that we all envision we want.
24	So you'll probably so before you

1	think there's two different numbers,
2	there's not. He'll tell you that. But
3	he's also going to point out which is
4	what the delegation has asked for you
5	know, point out what are some additional
6	dollars you need and what would you use
7	them for and how would the city use those
8	dollars. And that's
9	ASSEMBLYMAN PRETLOW: So everything
10	stays exactly the way it is, you're
11	7 million short, but the new superintendent
12	is probably going to ask for more music
13	teachers and art teachers and
14	infrastructure repairs and things of that
15	nature, so he will be looking for an
16	additional X million dollars.
17	But as far as the city functioning
18	and the school district moving on, we're
19	not going to have a crisis as we've had in
20	the past several years.
21	MAYOR SPANO: No. And that's
22	because of the partnership we have. And I
23	want to say thank you.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN PRETLOW: Thank you.

1	Thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. Any
3	other Senators?
4	SENATOR YOUNG: No Senate questions.
5	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
6	much, Mr. Mayor.
7	SENATOR YOUNG: Thank you,
8	Mr. Mayor
9	(Cross-talk.)
10	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Oh, yes, sorry,
11	Shelley. I'll wake up. Shelley Mayer.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Thank you.
13	Thank you, Mr. Chairman. It's a long day.
14	Thank you, Mayor. I do want to
15	commend you on the snow removal. I do
16	think, you know, under incredibly difficult
17	circumstances, this was the best Yonkers
18	has done.
19	And I would commend you also on
20	having the snow emergency and prohibiting
21	street traffic. I think it was a very wise
22	decision, and it led to us being able to
23	come back. Although leaving the schools
24	closed on Monday was unfortunate.

1	MAYOR SPANO: Yeah. Thank you,
2	Assemblywoman.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: I have a
4	question. Last year, in addition to the
5	sales tax, the dedicated half-percent sales
6	tax we got for education which I think
7	was unique in sales tax history, and I
8	appreciate the partnership we had on
9	that we also got a hotel occupancy tax
10	that you had wanted for a long time.
11	What is projected revenue for the
12	City of Yonkers hotel occupancy tax?
13	BUDGET DIR. LENNEY: Six to \$700,000
14	this fiscal year. And it was also a short
15	year, so it was started in September.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: What's the
17	full-year projection for it?
18	BUDGET DIR. LENNEY: Probably closer
19	to eight, eight to 900,000.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: And are we on
21	target on that?
22	BUDGET DIR. LENNEY: Well, we
23	actually did not it came after the
24	budget was released. And it's going to

1	fall to fund balance. But we are on target
2	for our projections in our multiyear plan,
3	yes.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Okay.
5	Secondly, in terms of infrastructure,
6	non-school infrastructure that you
7	mentioned in your testimony, do you have a
8	projected dollar number that you're not
9	a wish list, but, you know, a realistic
10	number that you're thinking of that you
11	would seek for capital infrastructure?
12	MAYOR SPANO: I don't want to say
13	something that would sound at all
14	disrespectful. It's kind of limitless.
15	(Laughter.)
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: I know that.
17	I'm asking for a limit.
18	MAYOR SPANO: But I will tell you
19	this. We have an immediate need to build a
20	firehouse. We put it out to what they call
21	an RFP just a couple of weeks ago. We
22	project it to about \$10 million.
23	And so what we've done, because the
24	firehouse had fallen into such disrepair

1	previous administrations had planned on
2	closing it, so no one put money into it,
3	the facade started falling away. We were
4	afraid about what could happen to the
5	firefighters; we moved them out and put
6	them in another firehouse. They're kind of
7	crammed in. So we have to build another
8	firehouse pretty quickly.
9	And so, yeah, I think that would be
10	our immediate need, is a firehouse. And we
11	are going to move forward with that locally
12	even though it's going to be a strain, but
13	we'll probably bond the money and move
14	forward with it. But any additional help
15	we can get from Albany here, the State
16	Capitol, would help us out tremendously.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Well, if you
18	could share the projected costs of the
19	replacement of the firehouse. And also if
20	I know your capital budget anticipated
21	shortfall in numerical in a chart, that
22	would be helpful.
23	MAYOR SPANO: Okay.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Lastly, on the

1	rebuilding of the schools project, which I
2	know we're going to get briefed on later
3	this week, and so I don't know the answer
4	to this, within that proposal is there a
5	portion of the capital costs that's going
6	to be borne by the City of Yonkers?
7	MAYOR SPANO: Yes, there is.
8	And what we were asking for, our
9	numbers are similar to what Rochester,
10	Buffalo and Syracuse are paying. We know
11	that that may not pan out. We're willing
12	to have a discussion. We're willing to put
13	what we can into it. But we're realistic
14	to the fact that, you know, even if at
15	90 percent of our taxing limit and I
16	realize that those dollars are exempt, but
17	we're still there that if we were to put
18	under today's number, today's number would
19	be about \$600 million to the local property
20	taxpayer, which would be pretty near
21	impossible for the taxpayers to afford.
22	So I think there's a political will
23	to rebuild the schools, but the dollars are
24	not there. And because we're in

1	Westchester County, I think that there's a
2	belief that Yonkers is this wealthy
3	community. And while we're doing much
4	better than we've done in the past and we
5	seem to be moving up and economic
6	development is happening, we're still
7	you know, our expenses are still exceeding
8	our revenues by this much (gesturing).
9	It's beyond anybody's control.
10	But like I said, I like to see the
11	glass as half-full. We're getting there,
12	and we're just going to keep on fighting.
13	But anything that we can get in terms of
14	additional state aid to help us close that
15	gap will be something that I think will
16	make it real or make happen. If it's based
17	on today's formula, those schools will not
18	get built because the local property
19	taxpayers don't have the money to do it.
20	But if the State of New York is able to be
21	a little more creative with us in terms of
22	how we can finance this and do this over
23	the next decade, I think it's realistic it
24	can happen.

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: And last
2	question, I know certainly since I've been
3	here, Yonkers has stayed under the property
4	tax cap. This year the cap is so low that
5	it's very much a challenge for all
6	municipalities, and it's going to be a huge
7	challenge for Yonkers. And I know you're
8	not at the budget yet, but is it your
9	anticipation you can stay under the tax
10	cap?
11	MAYOR SPANO: Yes, it is.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Okay, that's
13	it.
L 4	MAYOR SPANO: It's going to be hard,
15	I get it. But we committed to that and
16	we're going to stick with it.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Thank you.
18	Thank you, Mayor. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
19	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
20	much.
21	SENATOR YOUNG: Thank you,
22	Mr. Mayor.
23	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
24	MAYOR SPANO: Thank you, members of

1	the Legislature.
2	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: The Honorable
3	Stephanie Miner, mayor of the City of
4	Syracuse.
5	MAYOR MINER: Thank you, Chairman
6	Farrell. I also want to thank you,
7	Senator Young, for welcoming me here
8	tonight although I didn't anticipate
9	saying "tonight" when I arrived. But I do
10	want to genuinely thank you for this
11	opportunity to come and talk to you today.
12	And I want to say, first off, that
13	having had the opportunity to hear all of my
14	fellow mayors, that I join in most if not all
15	of everything that they talked to you about
16	today.
17	I'd like to take a moment to offer
18	some different thoughts than they did, and
19	some thoughts about the state of our
20	democracy here in New York, with a
21	particular emphasis on the growing

disconnect between the general public and

the political class and what that growing

disconnect means for our ability to govern

22

23

1	and,	yes,	budget	effectively,	which	after
2	all :	is jus	st setti	ing prioritie	s.	

3 Our state's recent past, and especially this past year, has been notably 4 5 marked by a dark storm cloud of public corruption and self-dealing, and this cloud 6 7 darkens the door of every elected official, the honest and the dishonest, the state and 8 the local officials, cheapening public 9 10 service and discouraging good people from entering into politics. And as that 11 12 cloud's shadow grows, it helps feed a corrosive sense of "us versus them" that 13 14 drives down voter participation, stifles 15 growth and people's sense of equitable public policy. Corruption, in a word, is 16 17 toxic to democracy.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And sadly, even as the appalling toll of that storm's damage to both individuals and institutions continues to mount, its fundamental root causes remain unaddressed. And one of those root causes is the insidious role that money currently plays in our state's politics.

1	Because of the "LLC loophole" and
2	other chasms in our campaign finance laws,
3	we have a system where an individual or a
4	corporation can pour virtually unlimited
5	amounts of money into candidates and causes
6	and do so in a way that effectively masks the
7	true identity of the contributor. I have
8	taken the step of voluntarily refusing to
9	accept contributions from LLCs, and I would
10	urge you to take action to eliminate that
11	loophole statutorily.
12	Another root cause is the barriers
13	that have been erected to prevent greater
14	voter participation. If voter
15	participation rates are an indication of
16	the body politic's health, then we have a
17	grave illness that needs our attention. In
18	the 2014 elections, less than one-third of
19	New York State's eligible voting population
20	participated. We can and must do better.
21	And to that end, I urge you to look at
22	three proposals that have been proven to
23	increase participation rates.
24	First, to allow a 20-day early voting

1	period,	as	exists	in	37	states	in	our
2	country.							

Second, to allow "no excuse" permanent absentee voting. Currently, there are 27 states that permit this type of absentee voting, which allows eligible voters to request a ballot that can submitted by mail without mandating the voter declare a reason. This reform is a steppingstone to explore a vote-by-mail policy that has been implemented with great success in Washington, Oregon, and Colorado.

And third, as Oregon has recently

done, enact universal voter registration,

whereby individuals are automatically

registered to vote at 18 unless they choose

to opt out. This groundbreaking proposal

will almost certainly boost Oregon's

best-in-the nation turnout rates that

exceed 80 percent in presidential years. I

say that to you again: 80 percent in

presidential years. Imagine what our

democracy would look like here in New York if

1	we	could	reach	those	voters.

2	It is worth noting that voter
	-
3	participation is closely tied to
4	demographics. Racial and ethnic
5	minorities, the young and the poor, have
6	the lowest participation rates and,
7	ironically, are most impacted by government
8	policies. And as a result, some of our
9	most vulnerable populations are left with a
10	diminished voice in their government.
11	In Syracuse, we live this. As
12	you've heard, many of the mayors before me
13	talked about their overwhelming poverty
14	rates. Our numbers are stark. We have one
15	of the highest poverty rates in the
16	United States, exceeding 35 percent. And
17	we have the highest level of poverty
18	concentrations among blacks and Hispanics
19	in the 100 largest metropolitan areas. The
20	Syracuse City School District has the
21	fourth highest poverty rate in New York
22	State, with more than three-quarters of the
23	students qualifying for free lunch.

If we are going to replace poverty

1	with true opportunity, New York State must
2	stand up for these children from birth
3	through college. It begins with prenatal
4	and early childhood home visits by nurses
5	and family outreach workers, as I heard
6	today was asked of Mayor de Blasio to
7	commit to Nurse-Family Partnerships. These
8	programs and others show that we can
9	improve high school graduation rates, the
10	health of students and families. We need
11	to make sure that quality, affordable
12	childcare is available to every family who
13	needs it, and New York State needs to
14	fulfill its promise to provide every
15	4-year-old with access to full-day
16	prekindergarten.
17	Based on the Campaign for Fiscal
18	Equity court ruling, New York State was
19	ordered to pay our neediest schools more.
20	And under the ruling, the state currently
21	owes New York State schools \$4.8 billion.

And of this, the Syracuse schools alone are

owed \$63 million. Our students need and

24 deserve this smart investment.

22

1	New York can afford to invest in
2	creating opportunities for all children to
3	make sure that they succeed from birth
4	through college, and we must do that.
5	Another topic I'd like to address in
6	general terms is our approach to economic
7	development. I say "our" in the sense that
8	economic development is often a
9	collaborative process between various
10	private entities and levels of government,
11	each with its own set of tools.
12	My concern is that our current
13	approach to economic development is
14	uncoordinated and essentially a
15	"trickle-down," relying too heavily on big
16	tax breaks for big developers in exchange
17	for promises of dubious benefits for
18	taxpayers that often don't pan out.
19	Earlier you heard me speak of the
20	corrosive effect of corruption, and I would
21	argue that trickle-down economic
22	development also plays a role in
23	undermining people's faith in government. At
24	a time when income inequality and economic

1	insecurity is palpable for many New Yorkers,
2	including Syracusans, we continue to pursue a
3	failed top-down strategy that only exacerbate
4	the problem.

We need to begin to rethink our approach to place emphasis on economic development that serves the public good, where taxpayers can see and feel a real return on the investment of their tax dollars, and gives people a true sense of opportunity.

One of those places, as you've heard me speak before about, is infrastructure.

You've heard many of the mayors speak about infrastructure. It is vital to the core mission of government, and it transcends political parties. The signs of a functioning government to the average citizen are paved roads and clean waters, and the ability for trash to be collected. And as New Yorkers, we have a history of understanding that investing in infrastructure spurs economic growth for everyone.

1	A Federal Reserve study found that
2	infrastructure expenditures have a huge
3	multiplier effect, and every dollar yielded
4	an outcome of more than \$2.
5	Competing needs for operations and
6	infrastructure are putting local
7	governments at a breaking point. And we
8	have seen that and you have heard that
9	firsthand from many of the other mayors who
10	have spoken to you today.
11	There is little question that local
12	governments are in desperate need of
13	partners to ensure that the infrastructure
14	we were once so proud of is fixed, updated,
15	and maintained. We only need to turn on
16	the television or read the newspaper to see
17	the dangers of ignoring critical
18	infrastructure. This is playing out
19	tragically before our eyes in Flint,
20	Michigan; we saw it in Troy; we are
21	learning about it in Hoosick Falls as well.
22	One would be hard-pressed to find a
23	greater cautionary tale of the toll that
24	budget neglect can wreak on a community. What

1	is happening across the country is a stunning
2	indictment of the "Age of Deferred
3	Maintenance" and its disproportionate
4	impacts on communities of color.
5	I urge you to do everything in your
6	power to ensure that the necessary
7	investments are made to help ensure that no
8	New York community suffers the same fate.
9	Thank you for allowing me the time
10	and the opportunity to testify before you
11	today, and I look forward to working with
12	you to make sure the promise of opportunity
13	is attainable for all New Yorkers.
14	Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
17	much. Any questions?
18	Mr. Magnarelli.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Yes.
20	Mayor, thank you for waiting.
21	MAYOR MINER: It's my job,
22	Assemblyman.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Mine too.

It's been a long day.

1	Again, I've been reading some of the
2	things that you've just said and also your
3	comments I think in the Times Union. I'm
4	not sure if I got that one right or not;
5	there have been a lot of things I've been
6	reading lately.

But the idea that we can -- I guess
I don't see the plan. That's what I want
to ask you. I don't disagree with you in
terms of the things that you have set forth
here and that we should be striving to
do -- all of them. There isn't anything
that I disagree with.

On the other hand, the amounts of money that it would take to do those things across New York State are a lot more than increasing taxes by 1 percent on the rich.

It would be humongous amounts of money.

So all I guess I'm saying is I understand what you're saying -- so this is kind of a statement to at least say to you "I hear what you're saying." I think it's very important that we get into these issues and that they're talked about. But at the

1	same time, I've got to deal with the budget
2	for this year for New York State, for the
3	cities across New York State, and how do I
4	deal with that?
5	So I'm going to ask you, just for
6	Syracuse now, the same questions that I've
7	asked basically every other mayor that's
8	come up here. Is AIM enough? And how do
9	the other programs that the Governor has
10	put in place, how do they work? And with
11	Syracuse, because of the report that came
12	out also, some of the things that he has
13	been the consensus report, I'm alluding
14	to some of the things that the
15	Governor's programs put in place would help
16	that.
17	So with that in mind I know this
18	is kind of a rambling question, but I hope
19	I'm getting my point across
20	MAYOR MINER: You are.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: what do
22	you think?
23	MAYOR MINER: AIM is not enough, as
24	you've heard each of the mayors say, the

1	mayor of New York City, AIM is not enough.
2	It's been flat. And we have seen our
3	pension costs, some go up, they're starting
4	to ratchet down for us here in Syracuse.
5	But as we see the market underperform, we
6	worry that in December, you know, the
7	pension costs are going to go up again.
8	Healthcare goes up. Binding interest
9	arbitration awards come down from police
10	and fire, and they really hamstring us.
11	So we are dealing with a situation
12	where our revenues are flat, and yet our
13	expenses continue to grow in large leaps
L 4	and bounds.
15	I would tell you that in terms
16	every budget, having to do Syracuse's
17	budget as mayor during very challenging
18	times for the last seven years, I
19	understand it's about setting priorities.
20	And there are lots of needs and not enough
21	resources. But I would share with you that

the more we ignore infrastructure, the more

poverty is having on the people of this

we ignore the kind of pernicious effects that

22

23

1	state,	we	will	no	longer	be	seen	as	a	place
2	of oppo	orti	unity							

One out of every two children born 3 in the City of Syracuse, the City of 4 5 Rochester, the City of Buffalo, is born into poverty. And because of the programs 6 7 that have been systematically and quietly eliminated by the federal government and 8 some by the states, those children and 9 10 those families will not have an opportunity to escape poverty. And they will become 11 12 burdens for our criminal justice system, for our social services system. But most 13 14 importantly, all of us I think who are in 15 public service, we believe that those 16 children and families can be an asset to our economy. 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And until we start looking at economic development in a way that includes everyone and doesn't just depend on giving tax breaks, we're going to continue to see the futures of those families and children across our state -- and obviously I'm very concerned about Syracuse in particular --

1			
⊥	continue	τO	grow.

When you look at what the Governor admirably did in his State of the State and budget proposal talking about the poverty initiatives, but you look at that map of where those numbers are, that, I think, is a telling sign that we need to approach economic development differently. That we have that many children, that many people in our state living in those kind of circumstances.

ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Now I'm going to just make one more statement to you, okay? As a friend, which we have been for many, many years, we disagree on some things. And my concerns are that we create jobs. So we'll continue to do that.

But I definitely want to thank you for your comments and your thoughtfulness in terms of putting these in the forefront of this committee and what we should be looking at when we're looking at a budget. Thank you very much for being here.

24 MAYOR MINER: And, Assemblyman

1	Magnarelli, I appreciate your comments.
2	And I also want to take this
3	opportunity to thank you for the support
4	and the resources that you have given the
5	City of Syracuse so we have been able to
6	put them towards our planning for
7	infrastructure. Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
9	Senator?
10	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Krueger.
11	SENATOR KRUEGER: Hi. Thank you,
12	Mayor Miner. I know it's late. So we
13	haven't been friends for many years, but I
14	find myself agreeing with you on almost
15	everything every time you do come up to
16	testify before us. So I want to thank you
17	for your testimony and the globalness of
18	your thinking as a city mayor.
19	And just to highlight, perhaps not a
20	question, but pointing out, reinforcing
21	what you were talking about with are we
22	putting our money into infrastructure where
23	we should be, are we putting our money into

economic development that might not actually

1	gain us what we need, I just want to point
2	out at the Transportation hearing last week
3	people came to testify about upstate rail,
4	both passenger rail and mass transit and
5	freight rail, and I asked both sets of
6	testifiers were they eligible for state
7	economic development money, and I was told
8	no.

And I have to say, even if you have disagreements about the concept of what you're doing with economic development money, it's hard to believe that we in the State of New York spend so much money on economic development but we don't recognize that urban infrastructure and rail and mass transit north of Westchester is actually relevant to the future of the State of New York.

So I do just want to say thank you for staying so long, and not just speaking on behalf of the people of Syracuse, but I think the issues are there for the people all over New York State. Thank you.

24 MAYOR MINER: Thank you, Senator

1	Krueger. I just would like to I
2	appreciate very much your comments, and
3	just say that, as I have said here before,
4	and you've opened the door, so I'm going to
5	say it again, you know, the City of
6	Syracuse was put on the map because of the
7	Erie Canal, which is the first major piece
8	of infrastructure that opened up the west
9	and transformed our economy.

So infrastructure is part of our history, but it's also part of our history as a state. When you look at the MTA and the Tappan Zee Bridge and the Long Island Railroad and what -- you know, when you're looking at also what is going on with people talking about the quality of water across the country, and also drought, we in New York State are blessed with lots and lots of water. So water and water infrastructure is going to be a tool and a way to do real economic development. It's going to be the oil of the 21st century. And if we can take advantage of it, then we will be the beneficiaries. And when I say

1	"we," it will be all New Yorkers, not just
2	a small segment of the lucky few.
3	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
4	Thank you, Senator.
5	SENATOR YOUNG: Thank you very much.
6	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Further
7	questions? Thank you very much.
8	MAYOR MINER: Thank you very much.
9	Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Patrick Madden,
11	mayor, City of Troy.
12	MAYOR MADDEN: Good evening,
13	Chairman Farrell, Chairwoman Young,
14	distinguished members of the Joint Senate
15	and Assembly Budget Committee. Thank you
16	for allowing me to speak this evening.
17	I know it's been a long day for you,
18	so I'll be brief and I'll just skim through
19	some of my written comments.
20	My name is Patrick Madden. I am the
21	mayor of Troy. I believe I represent the
22	smallest community to come before you
23	today. I try to speak for all of them. And

I'm sure I'm the newest member to come before

1	you	today	as	well;	I've	been	in	office	26
2	days	s now.							

And if you read the local papers or watch the local media, you already know that I'm here to speak in regard to the dire need for more investment in our aging municipality water infrastructure.

Out of sight, out of mind is a good phrase to describe public awareness surrounding the issue of water infrastructure, an essential yet seemingly invisible component of not only economic prosperity but improved quality of life across our communities in upstate New York.

As a brief background, Troy, also known as the Collar City, is a small city of 50,000 people located just a few short miles north of Albany. During the last five years, the City of Troy has experienced an incredible rebirth. Over 100 new business and development projects have chosen Troy as their home. The New York Times recently called our city "the new Brooklyn." This renaissance has renewed the

1	promise	of a	brig	ht fi	uture f	for	the	residents
2	and bus:	iness	es of	the	Collar	c Ci	ty.	

Troy is also the principal supplier of treated water to nine nearby communities, representing approximately 135,000 customers in three counties. Each day, roughly 21 million gallons of potable water is processed by the Troy Water Plant and distributed to our customers in surrounding municipalities. These towns and cities choose to receive their water from the City of Troy because of its high quality, our system's reliability, its rapid availability, and our cost. It is also one of the largest sources of revenue for our city.

Just over one week ago, on Sunday,

January 17th, I was informed of a major

water main break in the Lansingburgh

neighborhood of our city. Our city had

experienced water main breaks in the past;

all were quickly identified and isolated.

However, this was not your average break. It

was not like anything we had experienced

before.

Ţ	upon arriving on the scene, I was
2	shocked at the magnitude of what I saw.
3	Water sprayed six feet into the air from a
4	massive crater which had torn open the
5	street. Pieces of shale and shattered
6	concrete were pushed by rapidly moving
7	streams pouring down Fifth Avenue.
8	The break was a result of a
9	catastrophic failure of a 110-year-old
10	33-inch water main, and it poured over
11	8 million gallons of water into our streets
12	before it could be shut off. Roads were
13	closed, neighborhoods were flooded,
14	businesses were closed. A state of
15	emergency was declared.
16	Thanks to the quick response of our
17	public utilities crews, we were able to
18	isolate the ruptured main, stop the flow of
19	water, and restore service back to our
20	city.
21	The ramifications of this incident
22	were quite significant. All communities
23	served by our system were asked to
24	voluntarily restrict water usage. Two

1	communities, the towns of Halfmoon and
2	Waterford, because of their location on our
3	system, were severely impacted. In an
4	effort to keep a flow of water to their
5	residents, schools were closed for two days
6	and businesses were affected. We were
7	fortunate that no hospitals or senior
8	living facilities needed to be vacated.
9	The water main break was more than a
10	mere inconvenience. It impacted commerce
11	and could have had serious health and
12	safety concerns. While final costs have
13	yet to be calculated, there is no doubt
14	that the cost of this event will negatively
15	impact our city's services and our ability
16	to make future infrastructure improvements.
17	Further, Troy's recent growth and
18	economic gains could be at risk.
19	Reliability of infrastructure is a key
20	determinant of a business's decision to
21	locate or grow. A failure to invest further
22	in our aging water infrastructure system

poses a risk not only to our quality of life,

but also to our economic prosperity. Without

23

1	reliable sources of water, we may see a
2	reduction in our upstate cities' ability to
3	retain key partners who bring investments and
4	jobs to legacy cities like Troy.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Approximately 145 miles of water lines run beneath the city of Troy. Some of these pipes date back to the 1860s. A recent estimate put replacement costs for these lines at approximately \$1 million per half-mile. While it is not necessary to replace all of the water lines running through our city, there are critical sections, such as the one that let go last week, whose age, size and importance keep us awake at night. The estimated cost to replace just the recently damaged water main is close to \$2.7 million. That covers just 3700 feet of supply line, a mere 4/10ths of 1 percent of our distribution system.

It is important to stress that Troy is not alone in facing issues of aging water infrastructure. Cities across New York State are looking for avenues to address the very

1	same concerns. This is a statewide problem,
2	and it requires a comprehensive approach from
3	our local municipalities and state leaders to
4	take these steps to ensure the future
5	prosperity of small cities like Troy.
6	My administration has remained in
7	constant contact with Governor Cuomo's
8	office, and through additional help from
9	our local elected representatives
10	Assemblyman McDonald, Senator Kathy
11	Marchione we have continued to pursue
12	financial assistance for these projects.
13	The problem cannot be handled solely on a
14	local level. Our upstate cities need this
15	critical investment in the invisible
16	network that exists beneath our feet.
17	To that end, I respectfully request
18	the Senate and Assembly fully fund Governor
19	Cuomo's proposal for \$250 million in
20	increased water infrastructure funding in the
21	2016-2017 budget. The stakes have never been
22	higher for upstate communities like Troy.
23	Thank you for your time.

1	much
2	
3	

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Assemblyman McDonald.

ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: Thank you, 4

Mr. Chairman.

And Mayor, welcome. You're much dryer now than the last time I saw you about a week and a half ago when we were standing over the grave of that broken pipe. And as I kind of kiddingly said, but it truly was a baptism into being a mayor by having about 8 million gallons of water going flowing out of your community.

You've kind of laid out -- I mean, if you look at the numbers, it's impossible to replace every water line in every community. I know you're only 26 days on the job right now. But have you gotten a sense from your department head or utility department, when you look at something like this, which was unexpected, how much more needs to be done? Do you have troubled areas right now? When you're budgeting a million dollars per half-mile, do you have areas that they know that probably were in worse shape

This was an area that they said,

Well, maybe it could happen; maybe not.

Are there other areas you know that are

troubled that keep you awake at night?

Other than the other parts of the job that

keep you awake at night.

MAYOR MADDEN: Oddly enough, before

I came into office, about five weeks ago I

met with our director of our DPU, and he

indicated to me that this very line, the

one that ruptured, was the one that kept

him awake at night. As I indicated, it's

110 years old. It's riveted steel, very

difficult to repair, a very fragile line.

And lo and behold, three weeks into my

administration, it broke.

So that probably is the worst line.

But I will also note that the line that runs

from the reservoir to our water plant over

several miles is also a riveted steel line of
a similar age. We know that that needs to be

repaired. We have begun acquiring property

rights to do that project. We estimate that

1	that will cost, replacement of the line from
2	the reservoir to the water plant will cost
3	about \$40 million. That's on top of the
4	roughly \$3 million it will take to replace
5	this line that ruptured.

ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: I know that you're here primarily to speak about the Water Infrastructure Grant Fund, which we appreciate. That's something I know all members of the Legislature supported last year, with the Governor. And Steve Otis, who's a former mayor, he and I approached our Speaker, who doubled down and said, "Let's go for \$200 million," which we were pleased about. And obviously we're going to advocate for even more this year. And we're glad that the Governor is supporting it.

Same token, as you know, Mayor Sheehan from Albany was here a little while ago speaking about the issues in the City of Albany. It's been well chronicled that there's a draft order report from the Comptroller's Office on the overall fiscal

1	health of the City of Troy. And I know you
2	really can't discuss it in great detail,
3	but I think it should be known to all the
4	entities that Troy is also in probably what
5	I would consider a precarious financial
6	state as well, which is consistent with
7	many of our cities throughout the State of
8	New York.
9	I don't know if you have any
10	comments you'd like to make at this time or
11	expand upon that, but now would be a good
12	time if you chose to.
13	MAYOR MADDEN: Yes, Troy is in a
14	very precarious fiscal state. The budget
15	that I was given from the last council is
16	structurally imbalanced, perhaps by as much
17	as 2 or 3 percent at this point.
18	I am reviewing our internal operation
19	at this point in time to look for the
20	efficiencies that might close that gap a

at this point in time to look for the
efficiencies that might close that gap a
little. But it leaves us virtually no money
to invest in infrastructure needs such as
this.

Troy's financial problems are 20 or 30

Τ	years in the making. I'm not going to fix it
2	in Year One. It's going to take some time to
3	dig ourselves out of that hole. But, you
4	know, I am confident that we can get there.
5	I have assembled a terrific team with great
6	municipal financial experience, and we will
7	be developing a roadmap to move us out of
8	this position. But it is going to take us
9	some years, and we will need some assistance
10	to get us there.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: Thank you.
12	Thank you for being here.
13	MAYOR MADDEN: Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
15	Any further?
16	SENATOR MARCHIONE: Yes, I do have.
17	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Senator?
18	SENATOR KRUEGER: Senator Marchione.
19	SENATOR MARCHIONE: Mayor, thanks for
20	being here. You really have had a very
21	eventful 26 days in office. And that's
22	difficult, and I think you've done a very
23	good job in meeting
24	MAYOR MADDEN: Thank you.

1	SENATOR MARCHIONE: the real
2	serious challenges that have come before
3	the City of Troy in just these 26 days. So
4	hopefully things will get easier as time
5	goes on, because
6	MAYOR MADDEN: I hope so.
7	SENATOR MARCHIONE: yeah as a
8	previous supervisor, I recognize what keeps
9	you up at night. And that is absolutely
10	something that keeps you up at night.
11	Water is not as important as parks are,
12	water is not a park. Water is something
13	that our residents absolutely need. It's a
14	necessity to be able to turn on your
15	faucet, to be able to have water come out
16	to wash your children, to cook your food.
17	And I agree with you, this is critical in the
18	budget.
19	I'm thrilled, I think it was one of
20	the times in the Governor's speech that
21	actually he received an applause from people
22	that were there, I believe it was relative to
23	these monies that are being put into the
24	budget.

1	So certainly we are here to work
2	with you. As Local Government chair, this
3	is very important to me that these monies
4	stay in the budget and that they are
5	available for use in cities and towns
6	throughout New York State. So as one of
7	those Halfmoon residents that was on a
8	"don't use very much water," I absolutely
9	recognize the need to make sure that our
10	water lines are in good shape.
11	So thank you so much for all you've
12	done in your short 26 days here, and I
13	certainly stand ready to assist you, as
14	what I said the other day, in any way that
15	you might feel the need.
16	MAYOR MADDEN: Thank you, Senator. I
17	appreciate your help.
18	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
19	MAYOR MADDEN: Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
21	Blair Horner, executive director, New
22	York
23	SENATOR KRUEGER: He's not here. He
24	had to leave.

1	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: He had to leave?
2	He didn't have to leave. He didn't want
3	to
4	SENATOR KRUEGER: Fair enough. He
5	didn't have to leave, but I double-checked
6	and he has left.
7	(Laughter; discussion off the
8	record.)
9	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Steven J.
10	Acquario, executive director, Robert
11	Astorino, Westchester County executive,
12	New York State Association of Counties.
13	And next is Peter Baynes and Gerry
14	Geist. If you come down lower, you save us
15	one or two minutes. And I really need
16	those one or two minutes now.
17	(Laughter.)
18	MR. ACQUARIO: Good evening.
19	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Good evening.
20	MR. ACQUARIO: Thank you, Chairman
21	Farrell, members of the Legislature. It's a
22	pleasure to be with you here this evening.
23	My name is Steven Acquario. With me
24	here today is David Lucas, who is our

1	director of finance for the Association of
2	Counties. And I appear today on behalf of
3	our president, William Cherry of Schoharie
4	County, and Rob Astorino, the president of
5	the County Executives Association.

The voice of the counties of

New York is consolidated through the

New York Association of Counties, bringing

you the voice of the counties instead of

having everyone come testify before you

here this evening.

I appreciate that your committees
have provided this opportunity to gather
feedback on the state budget. The State
Legislature has inherent authority and
influence under Article 7 of the state's
constitution with respect to the
appropriation of state revenue, and we value
the opportunity to present the local
government perspective.

In recognition of the time constraints, I've submitted some written testimony to you, but I will depart from the written testimony in the interest of time.

1	Before I do so, I want to continue to
2	urge this body on a matter of quite
3	importance to the citizens, to the people
4	of this state, and that is organ donation.
5	I continue to urge this body to pass laws
6	that help raise the profile of organ
7	donation. We are last in the country.
8	There's no explanation for it other than
9	the wrong policies are in place in this
10	state.
11	We implore you to continue to fix
12	these problems, these barriers for people
13	to sign up. As you continue to modernize
L 4	the election system, making it easier for
15	people to register to vote, give them the
16	opportunity to become an organ donor as well
17	We have a license renewal in this state that
18	takes eight years. Well, that's eight years
19	of lost opportunity for people to sign up to
20	be an organ donor. That's wrong. It's the

The state has imposed a property tax cap. We've heard much about it today when

urge you to do that this session.

wrong policies. We need to address it, and I

1	the New York City mayor has testified.
2	It's been in place for five years for
3	counties. On average, over the past five
4	years, 85 percent of counties have met the
5	tax cap each year, ranging from 81 percent
6	to 89 percent compliance. This was
7	achieved with some assistance from the
8	state through mandate relief, but also
9	through tough decisions at the county
10	level, including reducing the workforce,
11	privatizing numerous operations, and the
12	selling of public assets to the private
13	sector. We've significantly reduced our
14	fiscal and emergency reserves, delayed
15	maintenance and replacement of critical
16	infrastructure, reduced or eliminated local
17	service levels for discretionary programs
18	under our direct control.
19	In 2015, 28 percent of the counties
20	reduced their levy or held it flat.
21	Forty-seven percent of the counties reduced
22	their tax rate or held it flat. Counties
23	continue to work very hard to meet the tax
24	cap, but it presents many challenges and

1	difficult choices. For 2017, we expect the
2	county tax cap inflation factor to be in
3	the range of 0.3 percent.

With this in mind, we continue to urge the Legislature to consider minor modifications to the tax cap, including an exclusion for certain capital expenditures and extraordinary costs relating to responding to natural disasters or other emergencies, which we just heard from the Troy mayor; and, two, ensuring the commissioner of Tax & Finance implements reforms to the quantity change factor to address the problem of tax-exempt properties.

One last observation impacting the property tax base. There is a proposal for energy plant closures in the Governor's budget. There is transitional assistance in there. We ask that that language be modified slightly from the, quote, permanent closure to include temporary closures.

The state impact on the county property taxes. Over the past several years, the Governor and the Legislature have been

1	careful not to impose new mandates or shift
2	costs on counties and other local
3	governments. When new mandates are created
4	or there is an increase in the cost of
5	existing mandates, or a shift to local
6	governments without any flexibility to
7	mitigate these higher costs, we all recognize
8	that this will hurt local taxpayers.

In recognition of this, the State

Legislature and the Governor have passed two
significant local government mandate relief
reforms in recent years, capping the local
share of Medicaid and enacting major pension
reform. We appreciate these reforms and
continue to work with you to build on these
successes.

To this end, we do not support the Governor's proposal to shift significant higher education costs to New York City and to further dismantle the Medicaid cap for the city. Both would be counterproductive to the positive work we have done in recent years to improve accountability to the local taxpayers by reducing the growth of costs for local

governments imposed by state mandates.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

As a reminder about what are mandates, when the state enacted a property tax cap, the Legislature and the Governor pledged that mandate relief would be forthcoming. While we wait, the counties and New York City must raise more than \$12 billion each year in local taxes to support just nine major state mandates: Medicaid, public assistance, safety net, child welfare, preschool special education, early intervention, indigent defense, probation, youth detention, and pension costs. Put another way, every penny collected by the New York counties outside of New York City goes to Albany to pay for just these nine programs -- every penny collected on the tax levy.

Complying with each uses up local resources. Last year alone, the Office of Children and Family Services, the Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance, the Department of Health issued more than 50 administrative directives or guidance letters to counties that had to be implemented.

1	With respect to government
2	consolidation, the Governor continues to
3	make consolidation a top priority.
4	Counties have long advocated for greater
5	efficiencies and innovation in government,
6	and we continue to do so. There's no doubt
7	that communities can benefit from
8	streamlining government operations, whether
9	through consolidation of functions, through
10	shared services or taxing jurisdictions with
11	voter approval. Our goal is the same as the
12	state's: Stabilize and reduce the tax burden
13	while continuing to provide excellent public
L 4	services to those in need.
15	The state's position continues to
16	evolve. This year the state budget contains
17	a \$20 million prize for a consolidation
18	competition at the local level. Last year
19	you appropriated \$150 million for a Local
20	Government Restructuring Grant program, but
21	that application process was never announced
22	and that funding has now been repurposed for
23	anti-poverty programs and downtown

revitalization efforts.

1	The budget zeroes out funding for
2	the ongoing \$40 million Local Government
3	and Performance Efficiency program, but
4	provides \$80 million in reappropriations
5	for the Financial Restructuring Board.
6	If it sounds confusing, it is.
7	Changing policies and incentives year to year
8	is confounding to local officials who
9	consider these efforts. Instead, let's go
10	back to the consolidation idea and start with
11	consolidations that also provide mandate
12	relief permanently and reduce the cost of
13	government at the county level. It has
14	proven to be successful to the taxpayers of
15	New York.
16	Indigent defense is a state program
17	that counties are required to provide. Let's
18	build on the state settlement of the
19	Hurrell-Harring lawsuit. We should be
20	consolidating the services and funding for
21	indigent defense services across the state.

We should include in this budget before you a

uniform indigent defense program and have the

state gradually assume the cost of indigent

22

23

criminal defense services, as set forth in
legislation introduced by many of you on this
panel.

This chart here is named "Fix the 52." It shows you the State of New York, where five counties are operating under a different indigent defense system than the rest of the state. New York City, operating similar to the five counties that are in a consent decree with the State of New York. We need to fix the 52 counties and have one system of justice in New York State.

A few years ago the state increased the counties' share of safety net funding to 71 percent and reduced the state's share to 29 percent. Initially this was offset by fully federalizing both state and local TANF costs. Counties strongly objected at this time, and it's becoming a significant challenge for many counties. We are urging the Legislature to gradually restore the historic 50/50 funding shift. Many counties have seen their cost and caseload increase by double digits in more than one year since the

1	state	cost	shift	occurred.

An additional issue is the cost of affordable housing -- and we've heard a lot about affordable housing through executive orders and the mayor's testimony this morning -- and shelter options in some counties, which is significantly increasing costs in counties. This leaves counties paying 71 percent of the fastest-growing program that is controlled by the state.

The safety net funding shift is part of a long string of decisions by the state to gradually walk away from its constitutional responsibility to care for the needy and place most of the funding responsibility on the local property taxpayers. Let's consolidate our efforts for safety net and homeless and housing efforts. It makes sense, and it will save the local taxpayer dollars.

Increasing community college FTE aid.

We all know families for whom higher

education would be beyond their reach if

their kids couldn't attend community colleges

1	in their first couple of years. Increasing
2	FTE aid is property tax relief. The societal
3	benefit of community colleges is enormous.
4	They allow people without great wealth to
5	move up the economic and educational ladder.
6	If the state is serious about its
7	commitment to the poor, working class and the
8	middle class, and serious about providing
9	property tax relief, it should make a
10	meaningful \$250 increase in FTE aid for
11	community colleges.
12	Public safety, two quick issues. We
13	operate 911 functions. Several counties have
L 4	consolidated these functions with other
15	municipalities in the past several decades.
16	The funding mechanism used to operate,
17	maintain and upgrade these 911 systems is
18	insufficient. We believe the state should
19	allow counties to impose a \$1.20 local public
20	safety surcharge equal to the state's

would allow counties to upgrade, operate and maintain their 911 centers.

21

22

surcharge on all wireless devices that are

capable of accessing 911 services. This

1	Navigation law. Currently, sheriff's
2	departments in over 40 counties outside of
3	New York operate marine patrol units on
4	navigable waterways in their counties. The
5	budget before you reduces the reimbursement
6	from 50 percent to 25 percent. We urge the
7	Legislature to reject this proposed funding
8	cut.

On the use of bank settlement funds, we remain concerned about the allocation of \$2.3 billion in bank settlement funds that remain for your appropriation. We believe more funding of these needs to be targeted to locally owned and maintained infrastructure, unlike the way the last round of the bank settlement funds was appropriated.

PAVE-NY and BRIDGE-NY. This body
heard testimony from the contractors and the
public works superintendents from across the
state. There remains details, it is
confusing, the competition that's there. We
ask you to return it to the formula that's
worked for decades. Drive this funding
formula through CHIPS so everyone can benefit

1	the cities,	the towns,	the villages,	and
2	the counties.			

The Governor's proposal to provide an additional \$100 million for water quality, we urge your continued support for this program which you created last year.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Finally, in electronic waste recycling, counties would like to see a small fund set aside from the use of the bank settlement funds allocated to the Environmental Protection Fund, to be dedicated specifically to local governments for electronic waste disposal. The New York State Electronic Equipment, Recycling and Reuse Act was implemented to manage the recycling costs of electronic waste by requiring manufacturers to collect and dispose of their products. However, manufacturers have continually fallen short of this requirement. As a result, disposal of this waste has shifted unexpected costs to local governments for the collection and disposal of electronic waste.

Thank you very much for that rapid

1	testimony. I rearry appreciate the time
2	that you've given us.
3	SENATOR YOUNG: Thank you. Thank you
4	very much, Executive Director.
5	Do you have any Assemblymembers?
6	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: I just want
7	to thank the executive director for his
8	testimony and for being here and for I
9	don't know how long you were sitting up
10	there, but I think it was a long time.
11	And the only thing I have to say about
12	this and your testimony is there's a lot
13	there. And I'm going to go through it. A
L 4	lot we've talked about all day today. The
15	one thing that I can assure you is I'm going
16	to be in touch with your office over the next
17	couple of weeks to go over a few things.
18	MR. ACQUARIO: Thank you, Chairman
19	Magnarelli. We'd be pleased to present to
20	your Local Government Committee as well.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Okay, thank
22	you.
23	SENATOR YOUNG: So, Executive
2.4	Director I am impressed because that was a

1	speed round. You did extraordinarily well.
2	(Laughter.)
3	SENATOR YOUNG: And as Assemblyman
4	Magnarelli pointed out, there is a lot of
5	information here. As you know, the Senate
6	wants to work very closely with the counties
7	to be as helpful as possible, and we
8	appreciate the input very, very much. And
9	we'll take it very seriously as we go through
10	the budget process. You know, we always want
11	to give more relief to the counties, and we
12	want our communities to grow, and we all want
13	more jobs and more opportunities across New
14	York State.
15	So I want to thank you for all of your
16	advocacy. I think you do a great job.
17	MR. ACQUARIO: Chairwoman, thank you.
18	You had stepped out of the room. Just real
19	quickly, we are calling for the energy plant
20	closure transitional aid. There's a
21	provision in there for \$19 million for
22	closure on a permanent basis for municipal
23	property tax losses.
24	We'd ask that you consider including

1	sort of a temporary closure of a minimum of
2	six months as well as you consider this
3	budget for it would affect NRG in your
4	district.
5	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Right. As you
6	know, that's a point of serious concern to me
7	and something that is detrimental to
8	Chautauqua County. And so we're looking at
9	solutions in order to address the situation.
10	So you're right, and I will pay close, close
11	attention to that issue. So thank you.
12	I know Senator Marchione had a
13	question.
14	SENATOR MARCHIONE: Just one question.
15	In 2011, the Mandate Relief Council was
16	established in order review mandate relief
17	proposals from particular local governments
18	and then of course make registrations to the
19	Legislature associated with these proposals.
20	In 2013, the council received only
21	four requests from municipal governments, and
22	it was eventually dissolved. Why do you
23	believe local governments were unwilling to
24	submit requests to have the state review

1	mandates through the council?
2	MR. ACQUARIO: Well, I feel like the
3	Medicaid Inspector General. It's very
4	difficult to hear down here. I should come
5	up and sit next to you and answer questions.
6	No, I'm not going to do that
7	SENATOR MARCHIONE: Do you want me to
8	repeat it?
9	MR. ACQUARIO: No, I did hear it, but
10	it is difficult to hear.
11	SENATOR YOUNG: You can come up if you
12	want.
13	MR. ACQUARIO: No, that's okay.
L 4	SENATOR MARCHIONE: I found the same
15	thing yesterday. I couldn't hear the front
16	row.
17	MR. ACQUARIO: I don't know the
18	answer. I think the rules of engagement with
19	that commission were not the correct rules
20	and that we were given guidance not to submit
21	programs that I've discussed with you today,
22	that the types of things that were to be
23	submitted for those things were more rules

24 and regulatory issues. Matters that

1	pertained to	budget-related	issues	were	not
2	to go before	that commission	n.		

So I think that we -- we need to just focus on a couple of things with respect to mandates. As Mr. Magnarelli talked about, there's a lot in this testimony. There's a lot that this state requires its local governments to do on your behalf. You make these choices, you're requiring the local taxpayers to send billions of dollars to Albany to pay for programs in the past.

But I'm not here about the past. I'm here about what can be done in the future.

Let's try to focus in on one thing or two things. We can't just say, We've capped Medicaid, and move on. You've capped our revenue and property taxes. It's going to be a problem. It's going to be painful. So we need to continue to work together on trying to identify some of these deep-rooted mandated expenditures and try to help offset some of those local costs as they grow.

SENATOR MARCHIONE: I absolutely agree with you and believe that local governments

1	are spending a great deal of money on
2	mandated programs. I just didn't
3	understand because you don't seem to have
4	a problem sharing what the problems are, and
5	they're very important concerns that local
6	governments have. I just wondered why it
7	didn't go through the council at the time.
8	So thank you for your response.
9	MR. ACQUARIO: My pleasure, Senator.
10	Thank you.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Assemblyman
12	McDonald.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: Thank you,
14	Mr. Chairman.
15	And, Steve, thank you for condensing
16	your multipage discussion. Sadly, I think
17	many of these things are very familiar. I
18	think you've been talking about them for
19	years, and some of us have been listening to
20	them for years. And hopefully we can make
21	some headway. I just have a couple of
22	comments and then a question at the end.
23	Comment on the FTE aid for community
24	colleges. And I actually met with many

1	community colleges today. I just want to
2	remind people, last year that was the same
3	request. And at the end of the budget
4	process, our message that we had gotten
5	back from the college community was because
6	of the declining enrollment, the FTE aid
7	doesn't really help them to that degree.
8	Now, hopefully enrollment is
9	starting to increase. I fully understand
10	the relationship with the counties and
11	their fiscal responsibilities. But it
12	probably would be helpful if everybody was
13	singing from the same sheet of music as we
14	go through the process. Because we do try
15	to
16	MR. ACQUARIO: You do.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: address
18	the concerns that are brought forward to
19	us. And last year it was made clear to us
20	that the capital money that they had received
21	was much more appreciated and necessary and
22	fruitful.
23	The 911 surcharge, you know, I've

gotten a little more engaged in that the last

1	couple of years. As you know, Albany and
2	Rensselaer and Saratoga counties are trying
3	to do some coordinated efforts.
4	MR. ACQUARIO: Yes.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: And I fully
6	agree with you that all mobile devices
7	should be subjected to the surcharge, and
8	that's something that many of us are going
9	to be committed to during this process.
10	I also think that and it was
11	mentioned earlier today, as you probably
12	heard, that the days of the landlines are
13	becoming kind of they won't say
14	obsolete, but the reality is it's probably
15	a time to really take a more comprehensive
16	look statewide at the surcharge on
17	landlines versus the surcharge on
18	cellphones, because we are a much more
19	mobile society than we've ever been before.
20	And the reality is usually those calls are
21	used for emergencies, and a lot of our
22	emergencies also happen outside the
23	household. They happen inside, but they also

happen outside.

1	So I think that's something that I'm
2	glad you continue to bring that up, and I'm
3	also very cognizant of the fact that
4	sometimes the state isn't as kind sharing
5	its resources that it collects with those
6	revenues and that we need to do a better
7	job of that.
8	MR. ACQUARIO: That's right.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: I noticed you
10	didn't mention that directly, but I want to
11	make sure it's stated for the record.
12	My final comment, and then you can
13	comment on anything you want if you'd like,
14	you mentioned the tax cap next year, I
15	think it will be 0.31 percent. We're
16	hearing last week I had a roundabout
17	with all the different BOCES in the region.
18	From the dozens of school districts:
19	0.12 percent. I think the Conference of
20	Mayors will be in that 0.12 percent range as
21	well, because they're following you on your
22	tail.
23	What does 0.31 percent mean in total
24	revenue across the state for the counties

1	that you represent? It doesn't sound like
2	it's an awful lot of money.
3	MR. ACQUARIO: Okay. So let me just
4	address the first point you made and then
5	pick up on that second point.
6	We need to address 911 funding.
7	It's very important. We're all concerned
8	about increasing taxes and fees and charges
9	or what you want to call them. Fine.
10	Let's lower the rate. Let's lower the
11	rate, but appropriately spread it out and
12	charge the devices that are accessing the
13	911 system. How are we supposed to upgrade

And you're right, Assemblyman, the landlines are going precipitously down and the use of wireless devices and tablets and every other sort of personal device that's out there right now that can access 911,

our facilities to next-generation 911 to

collect texting, to collect texts? It's a

different generation out there now, they're

used to texting. I think we can actually

lower the fee but broaden the base so that

we're adequately collecting the revenues.

1	we're not collecting a surcharge. And also
2	on prepaid plans that are being purchased
3	now in the stores, not subject to 911 and
4	we're losing revenue on all those plans.
5	So thank you very much for bringing
6	that up. I do appreciate those
7	observations. You are on top of it, and I
8	appreciate it.
9	To answer your other question, the
10	growth in just the nine mandates is
11	probably I don't have a figure in front
12	of me, but it's substantial. Hundreds of
13	millions of dollars; I'm not sure exactly
14	what it would be. But the growth levy that
15	we're allowed is about \$29 million.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: That's not a
17	lot.
18	MR. ACQUARIO: Twenty-nine million
19	in the growth. Dave is suggesting here that
20	0.3 percent equals 15 million.
21	So this is what the state has imposed
22	on us. We're not objecting to a property tax
23	cap, it's done, it's five years in the
24	making, the people of New York had too high

1	property taxes. We appreciate the Governor's
2	emphasis like a laser, your emphasis like a
3	laser on a very wildly popular program. But
4	it's going to have sacrifices, and it's going
5	to pay its price at some point in time
6	without additional relief.

ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: There's no doubt -- and I agree with the Governor, you know, property taxes are too high. And I think we need to -- we need to continue the cap. I think the challenge is going to be how do we make sure we don't lose our municipalities along the way. It sounds as if we need, particularly in these times when you have such a low growth rate and we still have stagnant tax bases in many communities, and even -- you listen to some of these mayors, the tax bases they're talking about, even if you put a \$10 million project in your downtown, it's not going to bring a bountiful amount of revenue.

We need to really find at least something for this period to cover the gap, in some way to find revenue that we can at

1	least make sure our communities still
2	provide the basic elemental services.
3	We're not talking painting the streets with
4	gold, we're talking about making sure that
5	the streets, you know, get paved.
6	Thank you.
7	MR. ACQUARIO: Thank you very much.
8	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
9	MR. ACQUARIO: Thank you.
10	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I'm sorry,
11	Senator Krueger has a question.
12	SENATOR KRUEGER: That's okay.
13	Hi. Thank you. So first I want to
14	thank you and the other two associations who
15	are still waiting for spending the whole day
16	hopefully listening to some of it.
17	MR. ACQUARIO: We were.
18	SENATOR KRUEGER: And I would just
19	make an argument, as much as I respect and
20	enjoyed all the mayors, we should let the
21	associations go first next year, because you
22	actually speak for a very broad universe of
23	localities.

MR. ACQUARIO: Thank you.

1	SENATOR KRUEGER: And I actually
2	think some of the back and forth between us
3	would go more smoothly, even for the
4	mayors, if we let all of your associations
5	go first. So lobby us for that for next
6	year, is my opinion.
7	MR. ACQUARIO: Thank you for that
8	observation. Thank you.
9	SENATOR KRUEGER: I also just want
10	to read one thing and let everyone else,
11	when they come up, respond.
12	I don't know why you're not madder
13	at us. When I try to add up the numbers,
14	it looks like over the last five, six
15	years, as we've attempted to deal with
16	budget gaps at the state level to the tune of
17	about \$28 billion in gap closing, we've made
18	the localities pick up half of that. We've
19	cut Aid to Localities by I think and maybe
20	someone will challenge me by over \$14
21	billion.
22	And so you come and you testify, and I
23	might agree or not agree with every proposal
24	you made. Thank you for calling out on organ

1	donation; you're absolutely right. We might
2	have disagreements about individual proposals
3	you're making, but the fact that the State of
4	New York each year continues to decide to
5	balance our budget problems by cutting your
6	local funds to counties, cities, and towns is
7	something frankly you all ought to be much
8	more upset about. And you need to be telling
9	us that. That's just my advocacy pitch to
10	you all.
11	So I want to thank you for your
12	testimony.
13	MR. ACQUARIO: Thank you, Senator.
14	Thank you very much.
15	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblyman Otis.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: I'll pass.
17	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: You're okay?
18	SENATOR YOUNG: Okay. I think we're
19	okay, then. Thank you, Steve. Thank you.
20	MR. ACQUARIO: Thank you.
21	SENATOR MARCHIONE: Steve. I
22	just leaned over to the Senator and I said,
23	"I've known Steve for years. That was his
24	mad."

1	MR. ACQUARIO: Thanks, Senator.
2	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Peter Baynes,
3	executive director, New York State
4	Conference of Mayors.
5	MR. BAYNES: Thank you, Chairwoman
6	Young, Chairman Farrell, and all the
7	members who are still here, the diehards.
8	We certainly appreciate it.
9	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: It's the chain on
10	our leg.
11	MR. BAYNES: I'm Peter Baynes. I'm
12	the executive director of the Conference of
13	Mayors. We represent the cities and
L 4	villages of the State of New York. I have
15	with me Barbara VanEpps. She's our deputy
16	director. She handles all of our
17	finance-related budget issues and coordinates
18	our legislative advocacy.
19	I can't speak as fast as Steve
20	Acquario, so I'm going to have to try to say
21	less, is the only way I'm going to get
22	through this. So I'm going focus on two main
23	issues in the budget. You know, I think the
24	Executive Budget does make some important

1	strides in partnering with rather than making
2	scapegoats of local governments, and I'm
3	going to address some of those positive
4	things and also some suggestions we have to
5	make the budget even better for communities
6	across the state, whether they're upstate or
7	downstate, large or small, urban or rural.

So I said two categories I'm going to speak about. One is unrestricted state aid, the other is infrastructure funding, both of which you've heard about today. Hopefully we can add a little bit to that discussion in our allotted time here.

Every state in the union has a program of unrestricted state aid for its municipalities and schools. The idea is fairly basic, that the schools and municipalities help generate revenue for the state and then the state reinvests that money back into their local governments.

Unfortunately, New York's track record of late is very weak in this regard. And I actually should say it's inconsistent in that regard. We oftentimes -- we just say "local"

1	governments" a lot, rather than
2	differentiating between schools and
3	municipalities. And in New York, when it
4	comes to the state budget, there is quite a
5	distinction made between schools and
6	municipalities.
7	If you look at the school segment of
8	local governments and how they're treated
9	in the state budget, over the last 10 years
10	school aid has increased by \$7.3 billion.
11	That's a 26 percent increase. And that
12	money is every penny of that, we we
13	do not question the value of it, it goes to
14	fund education for our children and it
15	helps limit the growth in real property
16	taxes. But it's gotten to the point now,
17	after years and years of no increase in aid
18	for municipalities, that school aid is
19	actually 30 times larger than unrestricted
20	state aid to municipalities.
21	So how do we treat the municipal
22	segment of our local governments? As I think
23	you've heard today from some of the mayors,

there has not been an increase in AIM funding

1	since 2008. It's actually down 15 percent in
2	real dollars over the past 10 years, and the
3	total amount of AIM funding is \$715 million
4	in total. The school aid increase in each of
5	the last three state budgets, just the
6	increase in school aid in each of those
7	years, was larger than the total amount of
8	the AIM program.

So the question we have is why the different treatment. We think there's a clear nexus between schools and the services they provide and municipalities and the services they provide. As we say, communities that aren't succeeding are going to lead to schools that aren't succeeding. We need to make sure not only that we're investing in our schools but we're investing in our municipalities.

You heard, I think from every mayor today, about the AIM program, probably the most we've heard about it in years. The AIM program, which is what used to be called revenue sharing, which is unrestricted state aid, it's critically important to our

1	members, especially the larger cities who get
2	the vast majority of AIM funding. So we
3	firmly believe that AIM funding should be
4	revisited to see if it can be increased to
5	help the larger cities who in many cases have
6	the most needs.

We do think New York City needs to be part of that program. They are one of our constituent cities in this state and should be included in that program.

But we also think the larger group of local governments -- cities, towns and villages, no matter what their size is, there are things we can -- there is a way to help them in a significant way without really costing the state relatively that much money, and at the same time address this oppressive property tax cap we've talked about, that is 0.12 percent for villages in June, for school districts in July, for large cities in July. For the towns, counties, and cities that adopted their budgets for January of this year, their limit was 0.73 percent.

Our cap, it's referred to as a

1	2 percent tax cap it's not a 2 percent
2	tax cap. The state has a state-imposed
3	spending cap of 2 percent. It's a flat
4	2 percent. Ours floats downward; it's
5	virtually zero now. Our cap does not
6	exclude capital expenditures. The state
7	spending cap does. If you looked at state
8	spending last year and you included the
9	capital in, like we have to include it in,
10	it would be over a 5 percent increase.
11	So we're told by some to do as the
12	state does, but it's not a fair comparison
13	when you really look at how the tax cap
14	operates. It's taking its toll on our
15	members. Fund balance is dwindling of our
16	cities and villages. There's a steady
17	reduction in the size of the workforce. And
18	the capital investment that we've all
19	acknowledged today is so important has been
20	basically halted in our municipalities
21	because of a lack of a steady revenue stream
22	from the state.
23	So our suggested solution to this

So our suggested solution to this problem with the tax cap -- we know the tax

1	cap is here to stay. We know nobody wants
2	to revisit the tax cap and open up the
3	statute and maybe make it a hard 2 percent
4	cap. But what we're suggesting is a sort
5	of tax cap equalization aid, where every
6	local government in the state, every city,
7	town and village, if they stay under their
8	cap, whatever it be whether it's 0.73
9	percent, 0.12 percent if they continue
10	to make the efforts, like most of them have
11	been, to stay under that cap, that the
12	state would make a payment to them equal to
13	the difference between how much their levy
14	would be able to go up under a 2 percent cap
15	versus how much it's legally allowed to go up
16	under the real tax cap.
17	Statewide, if every city, town and

Statewide, if every city, town and village complied with the tax cap so that they could qualify for this aid, it would be approximately \$100 million in funding. But we think the advantages of doing that are worth the \$100 million investment.

I think there are four main advantages that would demonstrate the state's commitment

1	to partnering with municipal governments in
2	helping to achieve full tax cap compliance.
3	It would replace a portion of what we call
4	the killer property tax with the state's
5	progressive revenues. So there would be a
6	portion of the property tax being offset. It
7	would generate real property tax relief and
8	support essential local services, just as the
9	\$23 billion that goes to schools helps
10	control school taxes and support school
11	services. And finally, it would create a
12	hard 2 percent tax cap, in essence, without
13	having to go into the tax cap statute.
14	We do think, though, there does need
15	to be, in addition to that, a look at those
16	municipalities that, under the State
17	Comptroller's fiscal stress monitoring
18	system, those municipalities that have a high
19	fiscal stress score, that they receive some
20	additional aid on top of that tax cap
21	equalization aid.
22	Secondly, infrastructure funding.
23	Mayor Madden from Troy really said it better
24	than I could ever say, what the challenges

1	are confronting cities and villages large and
2	small. We're very thankful for the
3	initiative the Legislature, Assembly and
4	Senate, and the Governor took last year in
5	creating the Water and Wastewater Grant
6	Program, and we're very excited that the
7	Governor has upped the ante by \$100 million
8	in the Executive Budget. But as you may
9	know, the subscription it was
10	oversubscribed, I should say. The
11	application the funding round of Round 1
12	for that water/wastewater money, there were
13	approximately \$800 million worth of grant
14	applications submitted. So as you can see,
15	there's still a tremendous need.
16	So we do encourage you to concur with
17	the Governor's request and hopefully find
18	within the bank settlement monies some more
19	significant funding to add to that program.
20	Transportation-wise, the Governor's
21	budget is very positive, we think. From what
22	we can tell so far, it approximates that
23	parity that we think is important between
24	upstate and downstate, between roads and

1 bridges and the MTA.

2	We do have some concern because we
3	don't really know how the \$2.5 million in
4	PAVE-NY, BRIDGE-NY and extreme weather
5	money, how it's going to be allocated
6	between local governments. Are only a few
7	going to be eligible? We'd like to see
8	something more like what the counties said,
9	where all of that money goes through the
10	CHIPS formula, which is a proven formula,
11	and we get money to all local governments.
12	Lastly in the infrastructure realm, I
13	just want to mention the Downtown
14	Revitalization Program. We have said for
15	years that we think downtowns and Main
16	Streets have not been given the attention
17	from the state they deserve. We are happy to
18	see that the Governor has proposed
19	\$100 million for downtown revitalization. We
20	are very concerned, though, that it would
21	only go to ten downtowns and each REDC would
22	be able to select just one downtown. I think
23	that's not even a fair task to put before the
24	REDCs, to have to pick between all the

1	downtowns that are on the cusp of succeeding
2	within their regions if only they could get
3	the state investment that they need.
4	So we would rather see the hundred
5	million for the downtown revitalization to
6	be spread out among a larger group of
7	downtowns rather than just the 10 that are
8	under the Governor's proposal.
9	So I apologize for going over by a
10	minute or two. Those are our key priorities
11	this year. Thank you for your patience and
12	your stamina. And we, as always, are ready
13	to come and talk to you and outline our
14	proposals in further detail if you'd like to
15	see that.
16	Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
18	Assemblyman Otis.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: Thank you,
20	Mr. Chairman.
21	Peter, Barbara, thank you for your
22	great work and advocacy.
23	One question about water
24	infrastructure projects, and you gave the

1	numbers there about how much interest there
2	is from municipalities in that. Do you
3	have some feedback or can you get some
4	feedback post this about how much of how
5	many of those requests are coming from
6	communities that are under consent decrees
7	or EPA/DEC enforcement actions which drive
8	some of the numbers for the fact that we
9	need more money? And great credit to the
10	Legislature and to the Governor for
11	supporting this program and the Governor
12	increasing it.

But if we could get some sense of the magnitude of the need based upon enforcement, that would give some valuable information to the Legislature.

MR. BAYNES: That's a great point. We do not have that data, but hopefully, working with your offices, we can get that from DEC.

Because I would suspect just the municipalities that are under consent orders from the DEC or EPA could eat up that money pretty quickly themselves. Which shows you that, you know, even the most urgent need

Τ	would consume a large chunk of it.
2	But we would really like to work with
3	you to identify that overwhelming need.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: Thank you, Peter.
5	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
6	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Any Senator?
7	SENATOR KRUEGER: We appreciate your
8	testimony. Thank you very much.
9	MR. BAYNES: Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Mr. McDonald.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: Thank you,
12	Mr. Chairman.
13	Peter, on the tax equalization aid,
14	which basically is dealing with this
15	abnormally low tax cap, the you know,
16	2 percent is 2 percent, but it's actually
L7	0.12 percent right now.
18	MR. BAYNES: Point one-two percent.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: Point one-two,
20	right.
21	So I just want to make sure I
22	understand this. So you're basically
23	proposing that the state provide that revenue
24	for that delta, that whatever that

1	difference is, with the caveat, of course,
2	that the community doesn't vote to exceed the
3	tax cap. So they can actually budget that
4	money as firm revenue for their budget. And
5	you're not saying it's in permanency, you're
6	just saying, you know, as the tax cap
7	maybe it will go up to 0.85 percent next
8	year that that would be a lesser amount of
9	money the state would have to contribute for
10	that year.

MR. BAYNES: Yes. It's really meant as sort of an emergency effort to help local governments deal with -- I don't think anybody in the Legislature or even the Governor's office saw inflation going down to near zero when they enacted the tax cap and said it was 2 percent or inflation, whichever is lower.

So I think inflation as it drifts back up toward 2 percent, the amount of that aid on an annual basis would shrink. But, you know, in the short term, you know, this year I think without that kind of assistance, notwithstanding the greatest efforts of local

1	governments and school districts, the
2	percentage of noncompliance with the tax cap
3	is going to go way, way up from where it has
4	been.

ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: I'm trying to picture this, because essentially, you know, one of the challenges of the tax cap is because we have this tax rebate and the freeze rebate -- these rebate checks that are coming out, you know, if you'd asked the average taxpayer when they look at their taxes in 2014 and 2015, they look at their bills, they went up. So they're not always seeing the difference. Some people tend to forget the check that shows up after the fact.

In this situation, in a sense -unless I'm reading this wrong -- I wouldn't
see a difference in the increase, provided we
met the tax cap, local government. And this
could apply to school districts as well,
which I've heard this more prominently lately
with school districts. But basically,
looking at my tax bill for Year 1 and Year 2,

1	provided they met all the requirements, I
2	wouldn't see an increase. Which is really
3	true taxpayer relief up-front. Would you
4	agree?
5	MR. BAYNES: Right. It would
6	short-circuit this circus of they pay
7	higher taxes, local residents, they pay
8	higher taxes, then they wait for a rebate
9	check to come back, hope it's the right
10	amount. Whereas this would say, local
11	government, you've made the effort to stay
12	under the cap, we acknowledge that the cap is
13	extremely low, and too low for you to provide
14	the services you need to provide, but if you
15	can make the effort to stay under it, we the
16	state will make this payment this year to
17	make you whole so that it is, in effect, a 2
18	percent tax cap.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: It's very
20	interesting. I'd be interested in following
21	up on that. Thank you.
22	MR. BAYNES: Okay. Thank you.
23	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I did have one
24	question.

1	Welcome. And so happy to have you
2	here.
3	MR. BAYNES: Thank you.
4	SENATOR YOUNG: I had a question.
5	As you know, the Executive proposes a
6	\$20 million fund for municipal
7	consolidation and restructuring under
8	infrastructure capital, and the funds would
9	be awarded to a county or other local
10	government that pursues shared services and
11	consolidation which results in the greatest
12	permanent reduction in the property tax
13	burden.
14	And I wanted to I know you may have
15	touched upon it a little bit, but I wanted to
16	get your thoughts on that. What do you think
17	about the Executive's \$20 million
18	consolidation contest? And also, as a second
19	part to that question, do you believe that
20	this will incentivize local governments to
21	consolidate?
22	MR. BAYNES: Well, on the first part,
23	you know, we have consulted and worked with

our members on efforts to consolidate,

1	whether it's services or even their local
2	governments. But when you think about the
3	fact that AIM funding has not gone up in
4	eight years and yet we're willing to spend
5	\$20 million of state resources on one local
6	government to consolidate in some way, with
7	the hope that maybe it will generate some
8	savings, that \$20 million could go so much
9	farther toward property tax relief and
10	helping our communities by going into the AIM
11	program or going into this program we just
12	outlined.

We just don't think -- you know, I know Assemblyman Magnarelli has asked the question to some of the people who have testified, what do you think of that program and all the different incentive programs that have been out there. It's no coincidence that they've been underutilized, because they either aren't working or they're too hard to access.

I mean, if you compiled those all together, you'd have your hundred million to do the aid program we just talked about. We

1	just think it's a better way to recognize
2	what local governments do. It only rewards
3	them if they maximize their efficiencies and
4	stay under the cap. We just think that's a
5	more progressive, holistic statewide approach
6	to helping our local governments.

7 SENATOR YOUNG: Thank you for that.

ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Peter, just a quick question.

I know that the concept of the cap
works well if resources keep coming in from
the different ways that municipalities have.
But if the state doesn't keep up with its
part of the bargain -- and you're talking
about AIM and other types of resources that
may not keep up with that -- then it makes it
very difficult, because that's one more place
that you can't keep up with it.

So, you know, from this perspective on what you were just talking about, I know there are some legislative proposals outside the budget that are out there. Actually, Leader Kolb has one that would do kind of the formula that you put forth today which would,

1	if you kept within it, would provide those
2	resources in state aid to make up the
3	difference.
4	And so certainly we would be very
5	interested in talking more as we go forward
6	here in the budget discussions and
7	negotiations, to make something like what
8	you're talking about a reality. Because then
9	I think it could be something you can depend
10	on, you know you stay where you are, you're
11	going to get those monies back and it doesn't
12	have to be taxed and then rebated to people.
13	MR. BAYNES: Right. And it also helps
14	those municipalities that are under a 0.73
15	percent cap. They don't get as much help as
16	the ones that are under a 0.12 percent cap.
17	So it treats everybody fairly based on the
18	pressure that the cap is putting on them.
19	So we're certainly, you know, excited
20	to talk to any of you about the idea and try
21	to flesh out how it might ultimately work.
22	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
23	much.

MR. BAYNES: Thank you.

1	SENATOR YOUNG: Thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Now, to close,
3	Association of Towns of the State of
4	New York, Gerry Geist, executive, Andrea
5	Nilon, president, and Bill Moehle, executive
6	committee.
7	MR. GEIST: Thank you, Chairman
8	Farrell. Thank you, Chairwoman Young. Thank
9	you for affording the Association of Towns
10	the opportunity to come here tonight on
11	behalf of our 932 towns regarding the budget.
12	My name is Gerry Geist. I'm the
13	executive director of the Association of
14	Towns. And with me, on my left, is our
15	president, Andrea Nilon, the assessor from
16	the Town of Hamptonburgh in Orange County.
17	And to my right is Supervisor Bill Moehle,
18	from the Town of Brighton in Monroe County.
19	Due to the late nature of the evening,
20	and so much of it you've heard today, I'm
21	going to short-circuit our written statement.
22	And I just want to talk about a few points,
23	and we're going to divide our time as best we
24	can.

1	With regard to the budget, we believe
2	it fails to utilize existing revenue-sharing
3	programs to lower property taxes. Revenue
4	sharing works for the National Football
5	League, and it can work for us. It's now
6	Super Bowl time, so permit me to use my
7	football analogy. There are 32 teams in the
8	NFL. Depending on the size of their fan base
9	and their media markets, each team has the
10	potential to earn more or less revenue. Each
11	team contributes to the common pot, which the
12	NFL distributes amongst its member teams.
13	Unlike other major sports, this model affords
14	small-market teams that earn less revenue an
15	equal opportunity to succeed and better serve
16	their fans and communities.
17	Revenue sharing is a successful
18	business model for the NFL and its members.
19	Through robust revenue sharing, the State of
20	New York, its local governments and
21	taxpayers, can enjoy the same success as the
22	NFL, its member teams and communities.
23	Towns and villages will again share
24	\$67.6 million in AIM funding and cities will

1	share \$647.1 million in AIM funding. While
2	this might sound like a lot of money in the
3	aggregate, individually most towns receive
4	very little. As a class of local government
5	towns receive 6.8 percent of their total
6	revenues from state aid. If you factor in
7	inflation, unrestricted state aid to towns
8	has been reduced by nearly 10 percent.

Starting in 2010, the year of the current flat funding paradigm came into effect. Adjusting the amount of AIM given to towns for inflation would have meant an additional \$30 million. Despite authority for a 2 percent annual spending increase, the state has kept AIM levels flat.

Although the state has evinced a clear desire to reduce the burden on property taxpayers through the tax cap and tax-free programs, the budget again fails to utilize an existing program that was originally established by Governor Rockefeller to reduce local reliance on property taxes to fund local services and expenses.

24 We can do better. We should do

1	better. And we ask you to consider
2	increasing the general purpose revenue
3	sharing.
4	The Association of Towns stands
5	ready to work as a partner with you to help
6	address these urgent needs. I will also
7	say that we join with Steve Acquario of
8	Counties and Peter Baynes from NYCOM on
9	their opinions about how we should do the
10	infrastructure funding. We don't need to
11	recreate the wheel; we have a proper
12	formula program. Let's use it. It's fair.
13	Everybody should have an opportunity to
14	succeed here.
15	At this time I'd like to turn over
16	our time to Andrea Nilon, our president, an
17	assessor in the Town of Hamptonburgh,
18	Orange County, to address STAR and property
19	tax exemptions.
20	ASSESSOR NILON: Good evening, I do
21	believe. Thank you very much for your
22	dedication to our cause and for listening

to I guess the last but hopefully not the

23

24

least.

1	I just want you to know I've worked
2	for local governments, several towns over
3	40 years. Half of that time has been spent
4	administering STAR. So I don't know what
5	that says exactly, but there have been many
6	iterations.

And this year Part A of the revenue

Article 7 bill of the 2016-2017 Executive

Budget converts the STAR benefit from a

real property tax exemption to a personal
income tax credit program. It's applicable
to any property purchased or transferred
after the 2016 taxable status date.

The personal income tax credit program would be administered by the Department of Tax and Finance, and the Association of Towns appreciates the attempt to reduce the administrative burden faced by local governments. However, the consequence of this bill is higher real property tax bills. And we've heard a lot about that today. This would subject town officials to additional administrative hurdles and the increased ire of residents.

1	Additionally, while on paper this
2	program shifts the administrative
3	processing to the Department of Taxation
4	and Finance, the fact remains that it would
5	take decades before assessors see any sort
6	of administrative relief. Under this new
7	program, town officials are still required
8	to process STAR exemptions to all
9	qualifying properties, which is essentially
10	any property where ownership remains
11	constant prior to 2016. Until the
12	Department of Tax and Finance completely
13	takes over STAR administration, local
14	governments will continue to expend
15	significant time and resources
16	administering the state program.
17	Local governments are currently
18	serving in an economic climate that
19	presents a multitude of financial pressures
20	through unfunded mandates and taxation
21	limitations. It's virtually impossible for
22	towns to continue to bear the financial
23	burden of administering the state program
24	while also reducing taxes. Real property

1	taxes serve as the primary source of
2	revenue for towns throughout the state.
3	Such revenue is necessary to comply with
4	these unfunded mandates.

And in this era of tax freeze, we are hopeful that the state recognizes that the STAR program enhancements enacted over the years have had a direct impact on the towns responsible for its administration.

And, while the STAR personal income tax credit is a step in the right direction towards relieving towns of its administrative burden, such burden will remain for decades.

We therefore request that the state reinstate funding to towns to offset the costs of administering the STAR program.

And I might add that if you read it, our seniors would be affected even greater because a lot of the seniors that do not file or aren't required to file New York State income taxes, it would be very difficult to locate those people and give them the relief that they so desperately

I'd also like to talk about a bill for retroactive nonprofit exemptions. It's Assembly Bill 6011 and Senate Bill 605. And it would seek to amend the Real Property Tax Law 420-a to allow, by local option, eligible nonprofit organizations to receive retroactive exemptions when they've purchased real property after our taxable status dates.

The taxable status date exists to provide taxing jurisdictions with a uniform date upon which to base the assessments.

The taxable status date currently functions so that while the value of properties may change due to events occurring after tax status date, the assessed value of the property is not impacted for that tax year.

A uniform taxable status date provides stability and certainty in the real property tax cycle, and it's necessary so that local governments can develop their budgets based upon the assessment roll. The taxable status date cannot and should not be

1	construed to encompass a shifting period of
2	time. To do so would create instability,
3	uncertainty, and unfairness in the real
4	property tax structure.

Shifting the tax status date from a date certain to any date in time will serve to further erode the tax base from which local governments can derive revenue to provide essential services to the residents. This erosion of the tax base unfairly shifts the tax burden to non-exempt owners and presents substantial fiscal problems for local taxing units.

In addition to further extending the disparity between exempt and non-exempt owners, eliminating the taxable status date for certain owners eliminates the guarantee that all property owners within the taxing jurisdiction would be treated equally, as it grants certain owners preferential treatment to the detriment of non-exempt owners.

While this bill is available by local option only, the fact remains it would become

1	a political necessity forced upon town
2	officials. Given the administrative and
3	financial difficulties presented by the
4	legislation, the Association of Towns opposes
5	this proposal.
6	Thank you very much.
7	MR. GEIST: Thank you, Andrea.
8	I'd now like to turn it over to
9	Supervisor Moehle from the town of
10	Brighton, Monroe County, to talk a few
11	minutes about local roads, bridges and
12	drinking water.
13	SUPERVISOR MOEHLE: Thank you,
14	Gerry.
15	Thank you, Chairman Farrell,
16	Chairman Young. We appreciate the
17	opportunity to be here. I will try to be
18	brief; I'm bringing up the caboose in this
19	long day for all of you.
20	Senator Krueger, I appreciate your
21	urging us to be all more perhaps strident
22	in our advocacy, particularly on some of
23	the issues that have been vexing and you've
24	heard from all of us on.

1	I have been the supervisor of the
2	Town of Brighton for four years, so this
3	tax cap world is the only world that I
4	know. But I must say that it is a
5	challenging one, and we do appreciate your
6	efforts to support local government. And
7	the fact that several of you have
8	yourselves been members of local
9	government, I know you have an
10	appreciation.
11	We do appreciate the proposed
12	five-year capital plan for 2016 through
13	2020. That does provide significant
14	support for local municipalities. I know
15	at the Transportation Committee testimony
16	you heard from the Associated General
17	Contractors that there is as much as a
18	\$6 billion disparity between the DOT plan
19	and the MTA plan. I know we heard
20	something slightly different earlier.
21	But I think in this time,
22	particularly with the still tenuous
23	economic recovery upstate and the impact of
24	the tax cap and the increasing likelihood

1	that the tax cap will force local
2	municipalities to skimp on those
3	infrastructure items that are sometimes not
4	very visible, it is very important,
5	particularly given the history of parity,
6	that that parity between DOT and MTA be
7	maintained. That will help make sure that
8	all New Yorkers have safe transportation
9	options.
10	I would note that in this era where
11	"Complete Streets" are increasingly
12	becoming a philosophy that local
13	municipalities like my own Town of Brighton
14	are using in highway development, that DOT
15	money will ensure not only that traditional
16	highway transportation but also other forms
17	of active transportation pedestrian,
18	cycle transportation will be provided
19	for residents in all parts of the state.
20	Certainly the PAVE-NY proposal would
21	be a positive for local municipalities. I
22	would note that local governments own and
23	maintain nearly 100,000 center-line miles of
24	highways. Towns own and maintain over 60,000

1	of those center-line miles. Over the five
2	years of this \$1 billion program, 1300 lane
3	miles would be addressed. So it's a good
4	thing, but appreciate that it is still a
5	relatively small number given the overall
6	need.
7	As has been noted, CHIPS funding,
8	Marchiselli funding remaining static again
9	in this Executive Budget poses challenges.
10	It just makes it very difficult for local
11	government to continue to maintain the
12	streets, the bridges, the highways.
13	The BRIDGE-NY program again will
14	help local governments. I believe
15	\$500 million of that \$1 billion would be
16	directed to local bridges over the
17	five-year period.
18	Again, if a hundred needs, a hundred
19	local bridges can be addressed, that's
20	good. But we towns maintain about
21	8600 bridges in the State of New York.
22	Local taxpayers pay 75 percent of
23	the costs of maintaining, repairing, and
24	upgrading local roads. So I do encourage

1	that we continue to use the CHIPS formula
2	for the increased bridge aid, for the
3	PAVE-NY aid. It's a tried and true, tested
4	formula. It has worked, and I think we
5	should continue to use it.
6	We've heard about Flint, we've heard
7	about Troy. I'm not going to spend a lot
8	of time talking about water infrastructure.
9	But again, Hoosick Falls as well, these are
10	all indicia of how important water and
11	wastewater infrastructure is.
12	I would note drainage as well. In a
13	town like Brighton, we are nestled between
14	the Erie Canal and the Genesee River. It's
15	a low-lying community. Stormwater can be a
16	real issue. Over 50 percent of our storm
17	drainage system is clay pipes from the
18	19th century. We are also living on
19	borrowed time. That is a situation that we
20	have to continue to maintain and upgrade.
21	I won't go into a lot of time about
22	some of the things we have faced in
23	Brighton, but I'll just give you one example.

We have a neighborhood right on the border of

1	the City of Rochester. The University of
2	Rochester's growth trajectory is coming right
3	into that neighborhood. We're a significant,
4	a fairly large but we're an inner-ring
5	suburb. You would think that a community
6	like ours would have sewer systems in place.
7	However, this particular neighborhood, about
8	a hundred households and there are others
9	in Brighton continues to operate on septic
10	systems.
11	They would like us to review
12	installing extending an existing sewer
13	line and installing a sewer system in their
14	neighborhood, creating a sewer district for
15	that neighborhood. Even with EFC funding,

line and installing a sewer system in their neighborhood, creating a sewer district for that neighborhood. Even with EFC funding, which has been used by the Town of Brighton, has been very positive and we support continued efforts in that area, just that one project with the tax cap numbers that we see now, whether it's 0.12 or slightly higher or slightly lower for towns, just that one project would eat up the entire tax cap for the Town of Brighton -- to

support a need that residents, 100

1	households,	are	asking	us:	"We	want	this.

We want to pay you for it."

So those are the challenges of the tax cap. And I don't dispute for a minute the philosophy, the discipline that it places on us in local government. But it prevents us from using the kind of return-on-investment analysis that we would also like to use, for example, to look at buying our street lighting system from RG&E.

RG&E is not tariffed to use LED lighting systems. We would like to buy that system, used LED lighting, save our taxpayers significant operating costs. But because of the up-front capital cost, we can't, like a business would, look at, do that return-on-investment kind of analysis. We see that a project like that would force us to override the tax cap and therefore prevent us from taking advantage of not only the cost savings but the environmental savings.

24 Again, thank you for taking the day.

1	It's been valuable to listen, frankly, to
2	the testimony of the mayors and the other
3	groups, and we appreciate the opportunity
4	to be here.
5	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
6	Any questions?
7	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you very
8	much for your testimony.
9	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Right. I just
10	want to say thank you so much for your
11	testimony. Thank you for coming from
12	Rochester on the Thruway. We appreciate
13	you being here today. Sorry it was such a
14	long day. Obviously a lot of interest this
15	year in local government issues, which I
16	think is a very healthy thing.
17	So we appreciate your input, and
18	we'll take it to heart as we move forward
19	through the budget process.
20	SUPERVISOR MOEHLE: Thank you very
21	much.
22	ASSESSOR NILON: Thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
24	much.

1	MR. GEIST: Thank you very much.
2	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: We're adjourned
3	until tomorrow at 9:30, Elementary
4	Education.
5	
6	(Whereupon, the budget hearing
7	concluded at 7:59 p.m.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	