NEW YORK STATE ASSEMBLY ASSEMBLY STANDING COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE

PUBLIC HEARING

TRANSITION TO A NEW GENERATION OF FARMERS

Hamilton Hearing Room B

Legislative Office Building, 2nd Floor

Albany, New York

Tuesday, December 11, 2012

12:00 p.m. to 2:41 p.m.

ASSEMBLY MEMBERS PRESENT:

ASSEMBLY MEMBER WILLIAM MAGEE, Chair - Committee on

Agriculture

ASSEMBLY MEMBER DIDI BARRETT

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MICHAEL R. BENEDETTO

ASSEMBLY MEMBER KENNETH D. BLANKENBUSH

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MARC W. BUTLER

ASSEMBLY MEMBER GARY D. FINCH

ASSEMBLY MEMBER PETER D. LOPEZ

ASSEMBLY MEMBER ROBERT P. REILLY

ASSEMBLY MEMBER ADDIE RUSSELL

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MICHAEL A. SIMANOWITZ

ASSEMBLY MEMBER CLIFFORD CROUCH

INDEX

	Page
DARREL J. AUBERTINE COMMISSIONER	6
NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND	MARKETS
LINDSEY LUSHER SHUTE DIRECTOR	38
NATIONAL YOUNG FARMERS' COALITION	
DAVID HAIGHT NEW YORK STATE DIRECTOR	52
AMERICAN FARMLAND TRUST	
JULIE SUAREZ DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC POLICY	73
NEW YORK FARM BUREAU	
CORNELL PANEL:	
A. EDWARD STAEHR EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR	94
NEW YORK FARM NET/NEW YORK FARM LINK	
ANUSUYA RANGARAJAN SENIOR EXTENSION ASSOCIATE	102
CORNELL UNIVERSITY SMALL FARM PROGRAM	
JULEAH TOLOSKY NEW YORK AGRICULTURAL OUTREACH	114
AND EDUCATION, CORNELL TEACHER ED NEW YORK FUTURE FARMERS OF AMERICA, CORNELL	
GARY BRADLEY	139
EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT	± J J
EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT FARM CREDIT EAST, ACA	

(The public hearing commenced at 12:00

3 p.m.)

ASSEMBLY MEMBER WILLIAM MAGEE, CHAIR,
ASSEMBLY STANDING COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE:
Thank you all for coming and participating.
Welcome everyone. I'm Assemblyman, Chair of the
Assembly Committee on Agriculture. I am joined
by my colleagues, the ranking member of the
committee, Ken Blankenbush, to my left, Gary
Finch, Marc Butler. Other members on my side,
did I miss any over there? No. Over here on
this side is Assemblyman Mike Benedetto,
Assemblyman Bob Reilly, Assemblywoman Didi
Barrett, Assemblywoman Addie Russell, and
Assemblyman Mike Simanowitz.

Again, we thank you very much. We look forward to a testimony from the commissioner and other experts here today, and expect an informed hearing about the next generation of farmers.

The problem has been identified by the secretary of the USDA, who has announced that we need 100,000 farmers to replace those that are retiring, or leaving their farms.

Here in New York, we just held a yogurt summit where concerns were raised by processors that they need more farms supplying more milk to meet higher demand. Many of the farmers markets in the state struggle to find enough farmers, and many schools and restaurants also have a hard time finding farmers to sell them food.

Farmers starting out have difficult buying farmland, and farmers that are retiring face difficulties passing their farm onto the family members. We have people here today who are working now to help farms pass to a new generation and help new farmers find farmland to work.

We have created programs in the state budget that support farm viability and profitability, and keep and help all farmers, including those who are starting out. But today, we wanted to focus on the needs of the next generation, whether they grew up on a farm or are starting our brand new. Let us know how our state policies and programs are helping new and future farmers and we can do a better job.

Thank you for coming. Do any of my colleagues have remarks before we start with the commissioner? Any remarks? Okay. Commissioner?

MR. DARREL J. AUBERTINE, COMMISSIONER,

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND

MARKETS: Well, good afternoon, and it's a

pleasure to be here again with all of you. Good

afternoon, Chairman Magee, Assemblyman

Blankenbush, and members of the Assembly

Agriculture Committee. On behalf of Governor

Cuomo and myself, thank you for the opportunity

to appear here today.

As a sixth generation diary farmer, I am pleased to discuss the efforts my agency is taking to further promote farming for future generations. A fundamental objective of my work as commissioner is to keep people on the land and the land in agriculture. The business of farming in New York needs to be profitable for this to happen. This requires assisting new and transitioning farmers in the essential building blocks of a profitable farm operation: training and education, protecting farmland, identifying

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

and assisting in marketing opportunities and 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

supporting cutting edge research. Agriculture is rapidly changing, as is

consumer demand. We need to think beyond the normal business practices, traditional markets and longstanding ways of doing business. investments in promotion and marketing programs, like the Wine and Grape Foundation, the New York Apple Association and programs like The Pride of New York have brought industries a long way, this is a new New York under Governor Cuomo's administration and big happens here.

For example, Governor Cuomo recently hosted two summits on growth industries in New York State agriculture: one on yogurt, another on beer, wine, spirits and the cider industry.

The quality of New York-produced milk, hops, barley, grapes and apples provide a key incentive for these industries wishing to locate in New York State. Using the summit discussion as a catalyst to identify needs for the respective agricultural sectors, the department and other state agencies were able to pinpoint

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

2

barriers to business and take action to support

3

the growth of these industries, helping to set

4

the stage for beginning farmers to enter into

5

those industries.

6

products and the state's ideal location are also

The quality of our other New York farm

8

7

promising for other new and emerging markets.

9

Expanding market opportunities and education sets

10

the stage for future generations of farmers. We

11

need to ensure that it is possible for future

12

generations to continue our long and proud

13

heritage of farming.

14

15 Classroom, the FFA organization, formerly known

16

as Future Farmers of America, Farm to School,

17

Pro-Dairy Youth programs, 4H and county fairs

18

engage youth and encourage them to pursue careers $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

Existing programs such as Ag in the

19

in agriculture. FarmNet has programs to match

2021

businesses to transition from one generation to

young farmers with older farmers, allowing farm

22

the next.

23

An example of a successful agriculture

24

educational program is the Vernon Verona Sherill,

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012 2 the VVS, FFA VVS program. It centers on maple production. The students learn how to tap trees, 3 4 boil sap, package and market maple products. 5 They bring their hands-on mobile maple syrup exhibition to thousands of New York City 6 7 elementary and high school students. When you consider things like maple syrup, paper pulp, 8 9 finished hardwoods or biomass energy, New York's

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Another effective FFA program is actually in New York City. Our largest FFA chapter is in Flushing, Queens at John Bowne High School. Students there are learning plant and animal sciences on their four-acre land laboratory, which includes a poultry house, large animal barn, exotic animal laboratory, greenhouse, and orchard and field crops.

forests have great potential to engage our youth.

We also support the New Farmer Development Project, the NFDP. A lot of NFDP farmers sell at the Governor's Fresh Connect markets, which are often youth-staffed and provide an invaluable opportunity for them to learn the skills necessary for entering the

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012
agricultural and food industry.

2.2

I have also heard from market founders, like Carin De Jong [phonetic], from the Lebanon Valley Farmers Market in Columbia County, that she is seeing new farmers in the market, such as Adobe Farms [phonetic], because of the Fresh Connect checks program.

The department also provides technical assistance to these vegetable farmers to get them qualified for good agricultural practices, or GAP, and good handling practices, GHP. By maintaining GAP and GHP food safety plan, new farmers can expand their businesses and meet the demands of supermarket chains, processors and wholesale distributors.

We also must maintain a framework to protect agricultural lands and the activity of farming. First, agricultural districts and agricultural value assessments that assure real property is assessed at its agricultural value. Sound agricultural practice reviews and observance of the right to farm provisions and protections against over-restrictive local

1 Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012 ordinances are but a few of the noteworthy 2 protections that help to ensure our future 3 farmers have the land resources and business 4 5 climate available for success. As of May of 2012, about 25 percent of 6 7 the state's total land area was in an agricultural district, saving farmers over \$100 8 9 million per year on their assessment rolls, 10 because of this program. Second, the institution of a property 11 12 tax cap is helping to keep farmland in 13 production. 14 Third, the availability of over 300 15 trained soil and water conservation district 16 resource professionals statewide ensures that New 17 York farmers have access to free, high quality technical assistance for conservation and land 18 19 management purposes. 20 Fourth, we also have new farmers through

grants and programs to meet regulatory requirements and manage discharge consistent with land use management permits.

21

22

23

24

Finally, we must continue to assist in

2.2

the cost and availability of farmland for young people to either rent or purchase the land. We have worked hard to keep farmland in production. Since our Farmland Protection's program inception, more than \$173 million in matching grants have been awarded to municipalities to

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

preserve more than 72,000 farm acres.

I believe it's important to be ambitious and set our goals high. We need to search for new tools to make farming profitable, keep land in agricultural production, and identify and develop market opportunities. I encourage your continued creative and an active engagement in these issues and look forward to working with you to create a new New York that is truly open for agriculture. The fate of tomorrow's farmers depends on the policies, actions and approaches that we take today.

Again, I want to thank you for the opportunity to testify here today and I look forward to answering any questions you may have.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: Thank you, Commissioner. Do we have any questions?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

ASSEMBLY MEMBER BUTLER, MEMBER, ASSEMBLY STANDING COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE: I have questions.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: All right, Mr. Butler.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER BUTLER: Yes, thank you for your testimony, Commissioner. I'm pleased that you mentioned about the summits about the yogurt industry, but I must tell you, as I've talked to some of the farmers in my community, what they're telling me is that the whole milk marketing system and pricing system -- and I guess that's federally controlled--is really not allowing the laws, the traditional laws of supply and demand to function. Yes, there is a higher demand for milk but that farmers are actually not receiving more profitability as a result of that or they're not making more money because of the increased demand for the milk. Is that accurate? Secondly, if it is accurate, is there something we can do to help our farmers be more active participants in this emerging industry?

MR. AUBERTINE: Well, Assemblyman, I

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

would say that it is accurate. I think to expand
on that a little bit.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER BUTLER: Yeah.

MR. AUBERTINE: I think there are a lot of different things that affect the bottom line, especially for dairy farmers. Not the least of which are input costs. I think you would quickly see that today, with higher feed costs, again if we follow the commodities: corn, soybeans and things like that--

ASSEMBLY MEMBER BUTLER: [interposing]
Right. I presume trucking costs and those
things--

MR. AUBERTINE: [interposing] You're absolutely right. All those input costs, in conjunction with a market pricing system that certainly screams for improvement, and I would certainly hope that in the next farm bill, federal farm bill there is some movement there to address the farm pricing system. But I think beyond that, there are other issues as well, not the least of which are input costs that impact dairy farmers' bottom line.

2.2

ASSEMBLY MEMBER BUTLER: Is there something we as legislators, perhaps through resolution, should try and do to influence this discussion on the--

MR. AUBERTINE: [interposing] Sure. I would advocate strongly for, you know, movement of the federal farm bill, which would impact the way milk pricing is done here and nationally.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER BUTLER: Would there be guaranteed increases in the farm bill as it currently exists for these farmers to get a little more money for the milk they're now providing to the yogurt industry?

MR. AUBERTINE: I don't know as I could say there would be guarantees, but I think there would be improvement in the structure that currently exists for milk pricing, without question.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER BUTLER: Would you be willing or prepared to make us some kind of recommendation or a template resolution that we might want to talk about introducing if that presents itself?

MR. AUBERTINE: Assemblyman, I would relish the opportunity to sit down with you and talk further on the specifics of what we might advocate for.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER BUTLER: All right, thank you. I appreciate it.

MR. AUBERTINE: Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: Assemblywoman Russell?

ASSEMBLY MEMBER RUSSELL, MEMBER,
ASSEMBLY STANDING COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE:
Thank you. Thank you, Commissioner, for your
remarks. I'm particularly happy that you focused
on the wonderful FFA programs that we have
throughout the state. As we enter another
difficult budget year, FFA programs are being cut
by many school districts, in the north country in
particular, but I'm sure all across the state. I
wondered if perhaps, you know, there was anything
that you could do to really make this issue
preeminent as we enter the next session, because
I think it's important that our poor rural school
districts be able to maintain this program.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

Because it's not mandated, it really has come under fire quite recently, since the recession took hold.

MR. AUBERTINE: Again, I think advocating, certainly, to make sure that all school districts, even those that don't currently have FFA programs, all school districts need to recognize that these are very valuable programs. As someone who a long time ago participated in those very programs, I can tell you that it's more than just focused on agricultural practices; there are a lot of life skills that are developed through the FFA. So, I completely agree with you. I think that if there are school districts out there that are making the decision to preempt or not fund FFA that they would reconsider. I would certainly do all I can to advocate and support FFA around the state, for sure.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER RUSSELL: Okay. My last question has to do with transportation costs for our farmers, particularly our dairy farmers getting their product, you know, to the next phase in processing. Are you aware of any models

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

2 3

dairy farmers in offsetting some of those costs?

that perhaps other states have taken to help our

4

It's really, you know, been a longstanding

5

burden, and we know that the federal milk pricing

6

system is quite a bear to try to impact, but is

7

there something going on in other states that we

8

could look at to help our farmers?

9

MR. AUBERTINE: Well, typically,

10

Assemblywoman, the cost of hauling is an issue

11

that's generally negotiated, typically between

12

co-ops and processors, or even into individual

13 14

regulation but more of an issue of negotiation

farms and processors. So that's less an issue of

15

between co-ops, individual farms, processors and

16

people that generally handle raw milk.

17

cite you probably the most glaring example is--

18

not always, because these are negotiations

between separate businesses--but generally

19

20 speaking, if you look at organic milk versus

21

conventional milk, generally organic milk is

22

picked up at the farm and the processor pays the

23

cost of hauling from the farm. Where, in many

24

times, conventional milk doesn't work that way.

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

2

The farmer pays the cost of hauling, almost

3

always, right to the plant, even though his milk

4

is co-mingled on a truck and there's no way to

5

retrieve it. But generally speaking, that issue

6

is an issue that's worked out between a co-op, or

7

as I said, an independent farm with a processor.

8

ASSEMBLY MEMBER RUSSELL: Thank you.

9

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: We've been

10

joined by two more members of the committee,

11

Assemblyman Pete Lopez and Assemblyman Cliff

12

Crouch. Okay, Didi?

13

ASSEMBLY MEMBER BARRETT, MEMBER,

14

ASSEMBLY STANDING COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE:

15

Thank you, Commissioner, for your leadership on

16

this. This is obviously a critical industry for

17

the state, and I often feel under recognized with

18

all the other important industries we have in the

19

state. I just wanted to get your thoughts on the

20

issue of land. I mean, farmland that's not being

21

farmed is not working. In my district, we have a

22

lot of small and medium sized farms and a lot of

23

young farmers who are very interested in

24

literally putting down roots in the area. But

the cost of land is so high and the arrangements that the state has supported has not necessarily resulted in real money to farmers, you know, in land preservation arrangements, conservation deals or any of that kind of stuff. Can you kind of tell me where you think we're going and we can go with the budget in making sure that the farmers who do want to, or other land owners who want to make their land available to young farmers, where we really can pull that off effectively?

MR. AUBERTINE: Right. And you've hit on a key issue. Many times, as difficult as it is for someone to get into agriculture, into diary farming or any type of agriculture for that matter, it's almost equally difficult to get out. That's really an issue that needs to be dealt with. There are programs out there that can help. I don't think there's any, at this point, any program that I'm aware of that exists that would, you know, alleviate that issue altogether. But one of the things that we've tried to do, for example, is keep agricultural land in

1 Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012 agriculture. We do have programs to buy 2 development rights which helps farmers who are 3 4 looking to transition out of agriculture. 5 source of revenue for them that many times would allow them to transition that property to the 6 7 next generation in a way that allows someone to retire on the assets that they've accumulated 8 9 over a lifetime, typically, on a farm. You know, 10 that would be probably one of the key programs I 11 would point to. 12 ASSEMBLY MEMBER BARRETT: Will there be 13 money, do you believe, in the budget, to sustain 14 that? 15 MR. AUBERTINE: Yes. In other words, I 16 believe last year--don't hold me to the exact 17 number--but I believe we had about \$12 million identified for that program, every year for the 18 19 last several years. 20 ASSEMBLY MEMBER BARRETT: T think 21 there's money that's due to previous purchase, 2.2 rights from deals that were made in the past that 23 are still being paid off.

There are deals, I quess

MR. AUBERTINE:

24

1 Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012 for lack of a better of term that are--2 3 ASSEMBLY MEMBER BARRETT: [interposing] Yeah, I'm sure there's a better term. 4 The 5 arrangements. MR. AUBERTINE: The arrangements that 6 7 have not yet closed that we would be working with 8 that funding to close those properties. 9 ASSEMBLY MEMBER BARRETT: Okav. 10 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: Assemblyman 11 Reilly? 12 ASSEMBLY MEMBER REILLY, MEMBER, ASSEMBLY 13 STANDING COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE: Thank you, 14 Mr. Chairman. Commissioner, I would like you to 15 comment on the governor's new economic, what I'll 16 call slogan--not in a derogatory way, of course--17 of Big Happens Here. It seems to me that we 18 have, perhaps a problem in New York, that the 19 yogurt factory aside, which I think is a big--20 rather somewhat unique, but a very good example 21 of Big Happens Here. That has really, in a big 22 way, helped small dairy farmers. But I get the 23 impression, and I know that much of the farm

community doesn't like this word, but we have a

24

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

lot of niche farming. So I read the other day 2 about a guy that has a couple hundred turkeys and 3 then he has to sell them for \$80 a piece, where 4 5 in the supermarket you can buy turkeys for 59 cents a pound. There seems to be how can we keep 6 7 in business if we're in this niche industry when American agriculture is primarily responsible for 8 9 feeding, I think it's six or seven billion people 10 in the world. So as Big Happens Here, what is 11 New York's role in feeding the world and how do 12 the jobs--and this is the key question--for the 13 new farmers, which I don't think all of our new 14 farmers can be into this small niche farming, 15 whether it's organic or whatever.

MR. AUBERTINE: Sure.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER REILLY: But what are the jobs and how will they fit into "Big Happens Here?"

MR. AUBERTINE: Well, first of all, my interpretation of what the governor, what the administration is saying when they're saying "Big Happens Here," I don't think they're keying in on, you know, specific manufacturers or specific

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

24

industries. I think they're talking a little more globally. When they say "Big Happens Here," I think that's inclusive of the smaller, more artisan niche markets that exist here in New York State. And I think that one of the things that certainly is helping to drive the more artisan, the more niche markets here, in particular New York State, because of the diversity of the state and the size of the state. You know, there are nearly 20 million people that live just here, inside the borders of New York State, and a very diverse population at that. There's a lot of opportunity created by that diversity and the size of the markets here. That's what I think "Big" is referring to. That encompasses the opportunities that exist. Therefore, someone who is growing grass-fed poultry or someone who is making an artisan cheese or someone who has decided to develop a small organic farm, and the list goes on. So I think the jobs that are created are jobs, not necessarily by the hundreds, but more often, you know, one or two jobs here, one or two jobs there, and that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

certainly helps to drive the economy, I think, in a very sustainable way all across the state, but in particular in rural areas of the state. I know that we have issued more processing licenses in the past two years I think that we've probably issued in the last decade. And many of them, the overwhelming majority of them, aren't large new processing plants, many of them are small artisan operations, and it ranges from everything from distilleries to wineries to, as I said, grass-fed poultry, as well as dairy and other industries. So I think "Big Happens Here" in a real fundamental way in that they're not necessarily, I think, alluding to, you know, any one sector or any one business. What they're alluding to is the fact that in our case, all of agriculture is big in New York State, and truly, it is. It's one of the biggest industries in the state.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER REILLY: Finally, I'd like to just give a little praise to--because you mentioned them--the soil and water conservation people, because I personally had some dealings with them recently, and then I was at a

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

relative's large dairy farm where they received tremendous help from them. And I find them to be bureaucrats who really want to help out and do a great job in doing that. So congratulations for having those people who are so responsive. Thank you, Commissioner.

MR. AUBERTINE: If I could just add to that comment. Last year, on the heels of the first storms, I guess, that we experienced in this administration, Irene and Lee, the soil and water districts were the people on the front lines that helped our agricultural community as much, if not more than anybody else, to recover from that event. High praise, indeed, is due to them for the efforts that they put forward, not just there but as you rightly point out, on a more routine basis, day to day.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: Assemblyman Benedetto?

ASSEMBLY MEMBER BENEDETTO, MEMBER,

ASSEMBLY STANDING COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE:

Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. Commissioner,

thank you for being here. And I want you to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

know, I'm taking very good care of your old
office that I kind of--

MR. AUBERTINE: [interposing] Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER BENEDETTO: --moved into. Number one, two quick things, I want to also back up the statements made by my colleague Ms. Russell, in regards to FFA and their I've been up to the VVS Maple Syrup I'm so impressed with the young Festival. people. And I've been the beneficiary of their maple van coming several times to my district, educating the youth in my district about what goes on and how maple syrup is produced and that New York is not just sidewalks and pavement of New York City, that there's far more, you know, So my compliments go out to them, and I to it. hope we are strong supporters in the future for their program.

The second thing I want to mention to you, to pick up what my colleague Mr. Reilly mentioned about the processing plants in the states. I know there's a problem that many of the processes of food processing plants and meat

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012 and poultry have with permits. We have neighboring states where quite often some of our processors will want to go across the border and bring their products across the border, yet they have to apply for a permit, not just in the home state of New York but also, let's say, in Massachusetts or Vermont. Is there anything that we're doing here in New York State to come up with interstate agreements that as long as the requirements are the same in all of these bordering states that one permit can be gotten and these small businesses can relieve themselves of a lot of paperwork and probably an awful lot of fees?

MR. AUBERTINE: I'm not totally aware—
if I understand your question, Assemblyman, is
are there reciprocal agreements between states
or, you know, the movement of commodities. It's
my understanding that if there are federal
inspections done on meat or dairy products or
really any commodity, I believe that, you know,
interstate commerce is certainly allowed. But
are there reciprocal agreements between states

1	Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012
2	themselves? I'll have to get back to you on
3	that.
4	ASSEMBLY MEMBER BENEDETTO: Okay, please
5	do, because I was just atover the weekendat
6	an executive board meeting for the Council of
7	State Governments, the Eastern Regional
8	Conference, which I know you have been extremely
9	active in over the years. And one of the things
10	brought up at that conference was these permits
11	that have to be obtained by the processing plants
12	if they're going to do business in a neighboring
13	state. Yes, I would appreciate you getting back
14	to me and seeing what we can do on that. Thank
15	you.
16	MR. AUBERTINE: Certainly.
17	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: Assemblyman
18	Blankenbush?
19	ASSEMBLY MEMBER BLANKENBUSH, MEMBER,
20	ASSEMBLY STANDING COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE: Yes,
21	thank you. Good afternoon, Commissioner.
22	MR. AUBERTINE: Good afternoon.
23	ASSEMBLY MEMBER BLANKENBUSH: Milk is
24	just one aspect, but many dairy farmers now are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

also shifting to crop farming and grain exports, like corn, soybeans, and a lot of the cost of getting those commodities is very sensitive to the overseas markets. I'm just wondering if there's anything that we could support, or consider supporting to help the transportation of those commodities?

MR. AUBERTINE: Sure. You're absolutely I know in your district, Assemblywoman Russell's district, Assemblyman Magee, Butler, probably just about everybody's district, we're seeing, you know, row crop, cash crop, just an I think there's several reasons for explosion. that. I think the new genetics, for one thing, have opened doors that haven't been opened, by allowing corn and soy to be grown on some more marginal land, marginal land that's now being brought back into production. But I think we certainly in the north country live in a very unique place in that we live on the banks of the St. Lawrence Seaway. And there are opportunities both in Oswego and in Ogdensburg to utilize those ports more, I believe to, you know, open our

1 Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012 2 region up to the rest of the world. I guess you could literally look at that as a doorway to the 3 rest of the world. And I think if I were to try 4 5 to enhance that doorway, I would advocate for, you know, more handling material equipment, 6 7 material handling equipment at those ports, in 8 particular. 9 ASSEMBLY MEMBER BLANKENBUSH: Thank you. 10 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: Assemblyman 11 Finch? 12 ASSEMBLY MEMBER FINCH, MEMBER, ASSEMBLY 13 STANDING COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE: Commissioner, 14 I have two questions. The first one is an easy 15 one and the second one might be a little more 16 difficult. Could you share with us how the method they use to determine the price of milk? 17 18 [Laughter] 19 ASSEMBLY MEMBER FINCH: You don't have 20 to answer that. I've never been able to 21 understand it and I understand even down at 2.2 Cornell, they have classes and they can't quite 23 get it.

MR. AUBERTINE: Well, when you figure it

24

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

out, let me know.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER FINCH: Okay. The other question is if I'm a farming operation and I need assistance in transitioning, a succession plan of sorts, and that's really where I think this hearing is going, can your office provide us with assistance or manpower or some kind—any kind of assistance that would allow that local farmer or the entrepreneur to pass one the—usually it's a member of his family. I think farming has that tradition. Is there something in your organization that can help there?

MR. AUBERTINE: Well, it's a huge issue, passing a business, a farm on to the next generation. As I said earlier, it can be extremely difficult, and many times more difficult than actually getting in the business is getting out. Our agency, if you will, can offer some assistance, but there are other organizations out there that would probably be much more able to give hands-on assistance. For example, FarmNet would be one organization I would look to quite readily. Cornell Cooperative

1 Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012 Extension would be another. Even the Soil and 2 Water Districts can help play a role, as far as 3 4 determining how you may want to transition a 5 farm. As Assemblyman Blankenbush pointed out, there are farms out there that may not be 6 7 transitioning but are certainly expanding into other avenues, from being strictly diary to being 8 9 dairy/crop farms and vice versa. So there are a 10 lot of opportunities out there and there are a 11 lot of organizations out there that I think would 12 support those opportunities. Certainly, at Aq 13 and Markets, we will do whatever we can to 14 support any farm operation in an endeavor, be it 15 transitioning internally within the farm or 16 transitioning from one generation to the next. 17 But I think there are organizations out there whose specific mission would be to help make that 18 19 transition occur. 20 ASSEMBLY MEMBER FINCH: So at least you 21 would end up providing guidance or assistance--2.2 [interposing] Sure. MR. AUBERTINE: 23 ASSEMBLY MEMBER FINCH: 24 recommending some other sources where they can

1 Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012 find some sort of transitioning--2 3 MR. AUBERTINE: [interposing] 4 Absolutely. 5 ASSEMBLY MEMBER FINCH: We'll save that milk question until later on. Thank you. 6 7 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: Assemblyman 8 Lopez? ASSEMBLY MEMBER LOPEZ, MEMBER, ASSEMBLY 9 10 STANDING COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE: Thank you, 11 Chairman. And Darrel, always good to see you and 12 thank you for all your dedication and 13 particularly with your work through the floods, 14 appreciate that help. I just want to share this 15 quickly, and I'm struggling with this myself. Ι 16 just want to lay it out for you to kind of 17 reflect on and maybe offer some thoughtful response. Do a lot of work in the district, and 18 19 last summer I was in Stanford and having a 20 conversation with a farm family. And the mom was 21 there with her daughter, and the daughter

expressed an interest in going into farming.

which point, momma cut her off and said "No, I

don't think so." And we're not going to--she

22

23

24

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

23

24

said to me, "We're not going to ask any of our kids to go into farming; we're discouraging them." And she followed it saying we're on our way to go school shopping and we're heading to the Salvation Army. And so that weighs on me. That's something I carry around with me. you had a couple of questions, and I'll just mention the price of milk in particular, and one of our colleagues brought that up. You know, I look at Chobani, and yes, we focused on yogurt. And yes, milk is being sold. But the question is are we yielding enough revenue back to these farms to encourage investment, to encourage young farmers to engage? I know we point to the federal farm bill, but there's got to be something creatively we can be doing in New York to increase that profitability. And my fear is, and this hearing is absolutely on point, average age of farmers keeps increasing, we're not seeing the investment in many family farms. So, not to go on, I'm just looking for your thought. to that family, what do we say to them?

> Your point is very well MR. AUBERTINE:

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

24

taken, and much of it I agree with. I think from the state level, the opportunities that we have to impact a farmer's bottom line, be it a dairy farmer or any other farmer, but in this case a dairy farmer, to impact their bottom line, you know unfortunately we can't mandate a cost of production for milk from the state level. just not something that we have the ability to do, would that we did. However, there are things we can do. And when we look at, as I mentioned earlier, some of the input costs, one of the biggest input costs for somebody that owns several hundred acres of land and sometimes more is the burden of tax. And I think that by adopting programs like the 2 percent tax cap, I think that by adopting programs that recognize how a piece of property is assessed, if it's used as farmland, it should be assessed as farmland and not the potential development that could take place there. So I think that those kinds of things are really where we can be most effective. I agree with you that if we had the ability to, you know, address the milk pricing formula, that

1	Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012
2	that would certainly be advantageous to, you
3	know, the farm community, the producers. But I
4	think to advocate to make some of the changes
5	that are being proposed at the federal level and
6	being able to see that to fruition is something
7	that would have a very positive impact so that,
8	you know, farm families wouldn't be discouraging
9	the next generation from taking over the farm.
10	Quite the contrary, they'd be looking forward to
11	the day when the next generation could pick up
12	that farm and continue to run it.
13	ASSEMBLY MEMBER LOPEZ: Thank you,
14	Commissioner. I'll catch up with you at another
15	time. I have a couple of ideas. Thank you.
16	MR. AUBERTINE: Thanks, Assemblyman.
17	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: Okay. I guess
18	that's it, Commissioner. Thank you very much for
19	coming and your testimony.
エノ	coming and your rescimony.
20	MR. AUBERTINE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
20	MR. AUBERTINE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
20 21	MR. AUBERTINE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: We'll certainly

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Lindsey Lusher Shute, Director of National Young Farmers' Coalition.

[Background noise]

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: Okay. Thank you.

MS. LINDSEY LUSHER SHUTE, DIRECTOR, NATIONAL YOUNG FARMERS' COALITION: Thank you so much for having me. afternoon. name is Lindsey Lusher Shute, and I am the cofounder and executive director of the National Young Farmers' Coalition. And my family farm, my husband is a fulltime farmer, and I help as much as I can, is Hardy Roots Community Farm, which is about an hour south of here, in Claremont. provide non-certified organic vegetables and eggs to about 600 families in the Hudson Valley and in New York City, and we also sell through the local produce link to emergency food providers in New York City, and to some local farm stands. will be our tenth year in production, in 2013.

So, I helped found the National Young
Farmers' Coalition two years ago because of the
acute challenges faced by young people trying to

start a farm business here in New York, and across the nation. It is true that many farmers are close to retirement, as some of you have noted, but here in New York State, we are really lucky because there are also a lot of young people who wish to farm here, wish to start businesses here, wish to employ people here in New York State. At our farm, we actually have ten fulltime employees during the peak of the season and three employees year round.

The question is, of course, is whether they'll have the opportunity to get started and a business environment where they can make a decent living, which is really the question, why people are not encouraging their children to farm.

Well, if they don't think that they're going to be able to make a living, and support themselves and have a family, then certainly they're not going to do that, but there is some real opportunity in this state.

There are several things I'd like to discuss today, areas where I believe New York State can make a big difference for young

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

2

farmers, and help to protect and even grow its

3

agricultural economy. These areas include land

4

access, access to capital, health insurance and

5

just plain information sharing.

6

So on land access, until this year we

8

7

is fairly inexpensive and can work well,

9

especially when a farmer is renting from another

have always rented land at Hardy Roots. Renting

10

farmer. That's key. We got our start using an

11

acre of a former dairy farm rented to us by a

12

diary farmer, Pete Bockley [phonetic], who had

13

discouraged his children from farming. He

14

thought we were a little bit crazy but said,

15

okay, go ahead, we'll see what you can do.

16

to grow the business from that one acre that we

That's where we got our start and have been able

1718

started with.

19

another parcel nearby with excellent soil, at the

Shortly before he passed away, we found

2021

Greg Farm [phonetic], but we could only secure a

22

revolving, rolling five-year lease on that

23

property. This parcel helped us grow our

24

business to its current size. But we could never

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

2

make any capital improvements because we never

3

had the ability to put in permanent

4

infrastructure on that land, which is a big--you

5

know, as our farm is actually considered a large

6

farm by USDA standards and at some point soon,

7

we're probably going to be at that 500,000 mark,

8

where you have to start following new food safety

9

standards, similar to the good agricultural

10

practices. And to be able to do that, you really

11

need to have permanent infrastructure. So this

12

parcel we have is not going to work.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Around our sixth season, we realized that we needed a long-term situation and land where we could build a farm business that would support our family for many years to come.

Unfortunately, land in the Hudson Valley is many times more expensive than a farmer with a farming income can afford. After two years of looking and many failed attempts, we were finally able to purchase land with the help of Scenic Hudson this year. They purchased the development rights of the property and we purchased the land at its conservation value. Without this deal, we would

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

2 still be renting, and without a permanent home

for our farm business.

We are extremely lucky to have found this land. We know many farmers like us who are looking for land to purchase or to negotiate a long-term lease agreement. And without land, these young people will most certainly turn to other careers.

I can just say that the farmers that we have had as employees at Hardy Roots, about 50 percent of--well actually more than half of them have gone on to try and start their own farm businesses. Some have--well, actually most of them have been quite successful, but the biggest barrier that they face and sort of where they determine where they're going to land is in large part based on where they can find a place to farm and where they can get a long-term lease, where they can purchase land. So all of you in your districts, when you thinking about how can we have more farming here, how can we support farmers, thinking about this land access issue and working with partners like AFT and your local

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012
land trusts is absolutely critical.

2.2

agricultural economy, it must be very proactive in finding solutions to land access. Thankfully, there are programs from other states that could serve as models for New York. So these include a tax credit program. This is offered by the states of Nebraska and Iowa, where the situation is a little bit different than here in New York, but these programs have been very successful. They basically offer a tax credit to landowners who lease or sell their land to a beginning farmer. So it incentivizes the transition of land.

In addition, the Massachusetts

Department of Agriculture's Preservation

Restriction Program is very good and it ensures
that farmland stays in the hands of working
farmers.

In addition, more investment needs to be put into the Environmental Protection Fund, as was noted earlier. And land trusts that make use of these funds should be encouraged to put

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

2

easements in place that protect the affordability

The next thing farmers need help with,

3

of a farm in the future.

beginner.

4

and in fact this is -- we did a survey of about

5

1,000 young and beginning farmers across the 6

7

nation, last year in 2011, and we found that

8

access to capital is the first and most pressing

9

need for those farmers. So there are good loan

10

programs offered by Farm Service Agency and Farm

11

Credit, but these are not always accessible to a

12

So, on the legislative front, I

13 14

encourage the committee to consider a program

15

like the Massachusetts's Matching Enterprise

16

Grants for Agriculture Program, which gives one-

17

to-one matching grants for new farm

18 19

these, they're called individual development

entrepreneurs, up to \$10,000. Programs like

20

accounts, have been high successful in

21

California, Iowa and Michigan. Some of the

22

farmers that I've spoken to who have made use of

23

these programs say that this program was why they

24

were able to start farming. That initial just

One

1

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

2

infusion of money, whether it's, you know, to put

3

a hoop house up, to buy some animals, whatever it

The next issue is health insurance.

4

might be, really made a big difference.

5

of the major concerns for farmers here in New

6

7

York is health insurance. As you may know,

8

farming is one of the most dangerous careers, and

9

young farmers are particularly susceptible to

10

work-related injuries. I can say that on our

11

farm, and nothing has happened this year, but,

12

you know, I've been called to the emergency room

13

twice for my husband when, you know, various

14

things have happened on farm. And he was fine,

15

and we have insurance, but it can be very

16

learning to use new equipment and tractors and so

Because of the cost of insurance, I

17

dangerous, especially for beginners who are

18

on.

19

20

21

22

23

24

to get into a situation where they needed to go

not have any coverage at all. And if they were

personally know several farmers right now who do

them out of business, so they're really in a very

to the emergency room, this could literally put

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012
vulnerable place.

We are able to have health insurance on our farm because we participate in the Healthy

New York program. That also enables us to pay 50 percent of health insurance coverage for our employees. But next year, we are moving to a high deductible plan through Healthy New York because our rates went up 12 percent this year, and we just got a letter in the mail that they're going up by another 25 percent next year. I don't know the history of this program completely, but my understanding is that the increase is due to increased demand and no increase in the state subsidy for this program.

So I know this is beyond the jurisdiction of your committee, but given that this is such a pressing issue for new farmers, I ask that you think of ways to help give this program more support. The farmers I mentioned without insurance cannot participate at this point in Healthy New York, because it's simply too expensive. In 2013, I mentioned, we at Hardy Roots are also just giving more limited coverage

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to our employees.

Another thing is I think the Department of Ag and Markets can actually do a lot more for young and beginning farmers. When it comes to regulations, starting a farm in New York is no easy task. Just from our own experience, you know, finding simple information such as how to direct market your eggs can actually be pretty difficult. Instead of creating a guide and sort of, you know, just easy to read, like, you know, this is what you do if you want to sell eggs. want you to sell eggs, we're going to help you figure out, navigate these regulations. of having something like that, you're really literally directed to the law. And you all write beautiful laws, but they're not necessarily that user friendly for a farmer and not necessarily-that's not their purpose, of course, but they're not encouraging documents. So I really think that we need to create more basic guidance on the existing rules and created simplified, potentially simplify some of those rules and just create clarity for new farmers especially.

In addition, I think we should consider legislation that was recently passed in California, which enables farmers to do more processing of products in their home kitchens.

It's called the Cottage Foods bill. And that could be very helpful in creating some more additional added value products at our farmers markets and through direct marketing.

Lastly, other considerations that maybe should be put on the table. You know, someone mentioned transportation costs, which is certainly significant. A lot of the farmers in the Hudson Valley are taking their product to New York City and the tolls on the throughway are not insignificant. So if there would be some way for the legislature to consider doing a reduced toll for farmers who are bringing their product to market, that could be really helpful.

Another idea is, you know I'm on the east side of the river, so to bring our truck to New York City, we have to go all the way to the throughway. And a lot of farmers use the Taconic, even though they're not necessarily

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

supposed to, because it's like another 45 minutes out of their way, and they're already leaving at So thinking about ways like could we open the Taconic for appropriate sized vehicles going to New York City, bringing food to that market? You know, that could be an easy way to help.

Another issue, and this goes hand in hand with the issue of capital, is student loans. Many of the farmers that are young people, who are looking to start farms have gone to college and have significant student debt. Pennsylvania, there is an interesting loan forgiveness program, where if farmers come back, young people come back to Pennsylvania to farm, they're able to get some forgiveness for their student loans, which could be really helpful and encourage people to say, oh yeah, I'll farm in New York State because they're willing to help me out with some of my loan costs.

Thank you so much for having me here today, and I'm happy to answer any questions you may have.

> Thank you for ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE:

1 Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012 2 coming. Is there any questions? 3 ASSEMBLY MEMBER BARRETT: Lindsey, hi. MS. LUSHER SHUTE: Hi. 4 5 ASSEMBLY MEMBER BARRETT: I'm actually honored to have Lindsey in my district and as a 6 7 friend and I am a great admirer of all the leadership that you've shown in the National 8 9 Young Farmers' work and addressing these issues 10 over and over again. There's been, 11 obviously, lots of work to do. 12 When we've talked in the past about 13 transportation issues, you had, I think, 14 mentioned like a special license for farmers. 15 that something that any other state has done, you 16 know, to sort of help either with the cost or 17 recognition on the throughways or things like 18 that? 19 MS. LUSHER SHUTE: I think that's a 20 great idea. We haven't done any sort of analysis 21 of sort of in terms of transportation costs what 2.2 other states have done. But that seems like it 23 could be a great potential.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER BARRETT:

24

Then the other

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

oftentimes when I'm talking to economic

development people in our district, which has so

question that I had in listening to you, you know

much agriculture, they say farmers need to think

more like business people. They need to think of

their farm as a business. Do you feel like that

young people are more open to that mindset or is 8

there sort of an altruism and a romanticism that

they come in that makes them less willing or less

able to sort of look at the business side of

things when they start farming?

13

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. LUSHER SHUTE: I think there are certainly some farmers that fit in that category who--many of the farmers, young people who are getting into farming these days are really inspired by the good food movement, you know, the books by Michael Pollen and just the recent emphasis on feeding people healthy food. sure, there is some of that, but really the folks who stay in the area and really say I want to start a farm are very good business people and take that part of what they do very seriously. In our region, there is also a program called

1	Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012
2	Farm Beginnings that's hosted by Hawthorne Valley
3	right now that is very helpful to new farmers in
4	helping them to develop a business plan and see
5	where they fit in and how much they can grow
6	their business. So, yes, the business side of
7	things is very important and I'm very encouraged
8	by what I've seen from young farmers and the
9	responsibility they have taken to develop plans.
10	ASSEMBLY MEMBER BARRETT: Great. Thank
11	you.
12	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: Any other ones?
13	Well, we thank you very much and
14	MS. LUSHER SHUTE: [interposing] Thank
15	you for having me.
16	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: David Haight,
17	New York State Director of American Farmland
18	Trust.
19	MR. DAVID HAIGHT, NEW YORK STATE
20	DIRECTOR, AMERICAN FARMLAND TRUST: Put a speed
21	bump right here in front of my rolling chair.
22	Well, good afternoon, Chairman Magee, other
23	members of the Assembly Committee on Agriculture.
24	I want to thank you for the opportunity for being

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

here today and for looking at these important issues of the transition of farms to a new generation. I'm the New York State Director for the American Farmland Trust, an organization committed to protecting farmland, promoting sound farming practices, and keeping farmers on the land.

In the fall of 2011, the American Farmland Trust facilitated two forums, one in eastern New York in Hyde Park, one out in western New York, in East Aurora, just outside of Buffalo, with virtually the same title: Transitioning Farms to a New Generation of New York Farmers. And brought together 100 people from 60 different organizations to look very intently at the issues that you are discussing here today. They are complex. I think at the east forums we heard about issues related to access to land, access to capital, access to markets, education programs, infrastructure related to food processing and distribution, regulations, taxes, many of the issues that have been brought before you today.

2.2

In response to these forums and the issues that we heard, the American Farmland Trust has recently launched two new programs. We recently announced a Farmland Advisers program, a training program for professionals working with farm families to help the senior generation of farmers that are thinking about transferring their farm, to help them grapple with those personal, and family and legal issues. Then also to help young people or new farmers, maybe that are on a second, or third or fourth career, to find that farm and to do it in a way that's affordable.

We are investigating the feasibility of creating a greater Hudson Valley Farm Link

Network, a network that would work in the Hudson

Valley to help connect people that are looking

for a farm with people that own farmland. So

this would integrate resources from our statewide

Farm Link program with a network of local

organizations that would essentially act as

matchmakers to connect farmers and landowners in

the Hudson Valley. So my comments here today

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

farmers are over 65.

2

stem from these projects and our other work here

3

in New York.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

In our testimony, you can see that we

roll through some of the statistics about this transition in New York. I think if you look, you'll find that essentially a number of farmers that are under 35, the USDA definition of a younger farmer, has been decreasing. It dropped by about 10 percent between 1997 and 2007, while there is almost a consistent increase in the number of farmers over 65. To the point now, where at least according to the census of agriculture, roughly 25 percent of New York's

The footprint that those farmers over 65 have is very significant. In our landscape, they manage about a million and a half acres of farmland in New York. So when we're thinking about farm families having to make a transition, that's a significant part of our state's It's also a significant part of our landscape. state's agricultural economy. That the farms that were managed by farmers over 65, that they

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

2

in 2007 generated about \$900 million in direct

3

farm sales. So that is a significant part of our

4

state's agricultural economy.

5

related to this transition in New York, is the

6

loss of farmland. One of the things that we know

One of the issues that we've seen,

8

7

is that when a farm family is going through this

9

transition process, you have a senior generation

10

that's thinking "okay, how do I retire, how do I

11

pay for retirement?" Or perhaps there's an

12

accident or some issue that happens on the farm

13

and a family is forced to make a decision

14

quickly. Frequently, they feel forced to put

15

this farm up for sale.

16

In New York, the frequent buyer,

17

estate developers. According to the USDA, in New

particularly in eastern New York have been real

19

18

York, we lost over 400,000 acres of farmland to

20 21

over 25 years. That works out to be about 4,000

real estate development between 1982 and 2007, so

2.2

farms were developed in New York over that

23

period. We lost 4,000 farms. So this is a

24

significant issue for that senior generation.

As Lindsey mentioned, if you're a new farmer looking to buy a farm, as farmers are competing with real estate developers, the price of that land goes up substantially. And some of our most economically viable regions of New York have some of the most expensive agricultural real estate in America. Places like Eastern Long Island, the Hudson Valley, the cost of that land is above the purchasing power of many farmers, and it's hard to rationalize growing something legally on that land with the income that you could get from farming.

So, one of the primary responses that Commissioner Aubertine touched on this morning that New York State has acted on is our state's Farmland Protection Program. So this is a program that was started in 1996 and has awarded \$173 million to 300 farms now in New York. You can think about it. If you're a senior generation of a farm family and you're thinking about how do I retire, and your farm is worth, you know, \$2-3 million, what's the way you're going to tap into that asset? You're going to

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

and take that cash out.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

sell it. What this program does is allows farm families to tap into the equity that they have in their land and to sell those development rights

In 2009, our state Department of Agriculture did a survey of all of the farmers that have participated in our Farmland Protection Program and found that two-thirds of those farm families were taking that money from protecting their farm and driving it right back into the They're using it to pay down debt, to set farm. up retirement funds, to build new buildings. They were reinvesting into that operation.

As was touched on earlier, one of the big challenges we face with our Farmland Protection Program has been outstanding commitments to the program. In 2010, the program owed \$70 million to 61 farm families. Now, not all of those projects were ready for closure but there was this backlog of commitments that had I'm very pleased to share with you, been made. and you'll see in our testimony as one of the attachments, we've made substantial progress. In

2.2

2011, there were 20 farms in New York that were protected and taken off that backlog list. Over \$15 million was spent from the Environmental Protection Fund to actually complete these projects. Now the pending list is now roughly \$20 million, about 19 farms. So we've gone from a very extensive list of projects down to something much smaller, and we feel that that program is now ready to start new projects again in 2013. The last time an RFP or request for proposals was submitted by that program was 2008. So it's been five years since the state has sought new applications to this program.

So as we look forward and our suggestions or recommendations for you to consider. The first is looking at our state's Environmental Protection Fund. That that is a primary funding source for a number of programs related to agriculture. We greatly appreciate the Assembly's support for Assembly Bill 10519, which was passed last session, which would bring nickels from the bottle bill into the Environmental Protection Fund. We greatly

2.2

appreciate the Assembly's support for that legislation and we think that growing the Environmental Protection Fund back towards the size where it was in 2008 is a critical step.

We also support funding for our state's Farmland Protection Program at \$19 million for next year. Additionally, I think the time is right, as we are looking at this program not having started any new projects in five years, that the time is right now to actually bring together stakeholders. For our State Department of Agriculture and Markets to bring together farmers and local land trusts and local governments to talk about how do we set up a program that is poised to get projects done in less than two years, rather then the four or five years that have been the case traditionally.

I think it's also very worthwhile to look at the issues that Lindsey brought up related to the affordability of permanently protected farmland. And that other states, most notably Massachusetts and Vermont, have put into place provisions where protected farmland stays

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

2

permanently at its agricultural value, so that it

3

doesn't escalate into an estate market.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

I also want to suggest that the state's investment in programs like the Conservation Partnership Program, which supports land trusts, is a critical way to help farm families grappling with these issues. Similarly, investments in programs like our state's Farm Link and FarmNet program are strategic investments to help farm families grapple with these difficult personal issues.

The last two things I want to touch on are I think other opportunities outside of the budget to look at incentives to keep land in farming and help farm families with this transition. The first is the estate tax. The estate tax at the federal level is due to reset at the end of--well, in a couple of weeks, which is going to significantly change exemption levels. And for farm families, who it's not hard to, you know, get over a million dollars in a farm value and to trigger the federal estate tax, that is a real concern for many farm families in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

New York. And it doesn't really matter, quite frankly, if you're eastern New York, western New York, northern, southern tier. There are a number of pieces of legislation. We highlight in our testimony several that would create incentives for exempting from the estate tax land that is kept in agricultural use, so actually deferring federal estate taxes until somebody converts that land out of agriculture. Or would expand the incentives for permanently protected land and how that is considered for the federal estate tax. And I think that is something that the Assembly very much should be looking at and supporting legislation at the federal level. Т think there's also an opportunity, while the state estate tax is smaller, there's opportunities to create incentives within the state's estate tax, to incentivize people to keep land in agriculture through this transition process.

I think, finally, another opportunity is to look at land that the State of New York owns.

I think we've seen in other states, the state of

1 Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012 2 Connecticut, I know most recently in New York City, have adopted legislation to actually 3 inventory publicly owned land. I think s Lindsey 4 5 highlighted that access to land is a major barrier for new farmers, to actually inventory 6 7 state-owned land and to take steps to make sure 8 that publicly-owned land that's suitable for 9 agriculture that all steps are taken to a great 10 extent practicable to make that land available 11 for agriculture over the long term. So whether 12 that's land that's open or held by the Department 13 of Corrections or other land that's held by other 14 state agencies to really help ensure that 15 publicly-owned assets that the greatest efforts 16 are made to keep that land available and really 17 to help new people get into farming here in New York State. 18 19 So I appreciate your time, I appreciate 20 your attention, and I'd be happy to take any 21 questions you might have today. 2.2 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: Thank you, 23 David. Any questions? 24 ASSEMBLY MEMBER BUTLER: I do, a quick

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012
question.

2.2

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: Mr. Butler?

much for your testimony. I have a quick question, and I've been thinking about this as I listened to our other testifiers today. There seems to exist the notion that farming in New York State is going to transition that move to larger corporate style farms, it gives you economies of scale, which helps you operate more profitably. I can understand for a co-op to deal with one larger farm rather than stop at several along a country road seems to make some economic sense for them.

With that thought in mind, number one, what do you honestly see as a future for the small to mid-sized family farms? Now, it's my understanding again, and I'm not certain about this, but even though we're losing farms or have fewer people involved in the farming industry per se and have fewer farms, our production levels in New York State are staying fairly consistent.

MR. HAIGHT: Yeah.

2.2

ASSEMBLY MEMBER BUTLER: So in other words, we're in a transition or a shift to these larger corporate style farms. What do you see as the future for these small to mid-level farms? What should be our role in trying to help preserve them, if that's the position we're put in? I guess the question is where is all of this leading us in terms of the agriculture industry of New York? That's a broad ended question and you can answer that any way you want.

MR. HAIGHT: So, if I had a crystal ball--

ASSEMBLY MEMBER BUTLER: [interposing]
Yeah.

MR. HAIGHT: --what would I see?

ASSEMBLY MEMBER BUTLER: But my point is should we be encouraging people to go into these smaller farms or small to mid-level farms, knowing they're going to be competing with these-down the road these huge corporate farms, who are going to have, for a variety of reasons, competitive advantages, almost kind of pushing them into a situation that could put them at some

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012
risk or some profit loss.

MR. HAIGHT: So the short answer is yes. You should be encouraging people to enter into new types of agriculture. I think the trend in New York has been, yeah, some farmers are getting larger and they're competing in commodity markets. And, you know, we have access to some of the best markets, arguably the best markets in the world right here in New York. So there are opportunities there, and that is a critical place for New York State to support.

I think if you also look, though, that those farmers that are directly marketing to consumers are competing very well in New York as well. I think if you looked at—the National Agricultural Statistics Service actually did a survey in 2009. The dollar value of what farmers are selling directly to consumers had increased by 60 percent over a nine—year period. So there's been a lot of growth in that part, that smaller niche producer. Quite frankly, many of those producers are not competing with those larger producers, because what they're producing

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

24

is either in a niche market or it's based on the consumer experience. So quite frankly, my wife and I have a small farm outside of Saratoga Springs. So we directly market at three farmers markets, at our home stand. We're flower and plant people. So my wife also provides flowers for, you know, 30 weddings a year. That is a very defined niche marketplace. We are not directly competing with flowers flown in from Israel or other parts of the world. So yes, those people that are at the small end and the large end, I don't believe are competing head to I think the challenging place is for those head. farms that are in the middle. They're not large enough to be that truly low-cost producer, and maybe they produce at a larger volume than that niche producer could. And I think one place of opportunity there are for directly marketing to institutions, colleges, schools, hospitals, buyers that could put a preference on buying from New York and maybe give some certainty to those mid-level producers. I think there is tremendous opportunity there. So I would encourage you to

look at those institutional markets. We just released a report called "Scaling Up" that identifies a series of strategies that New York State should consider to help bring more food grown in New York into those types of institutional settings. And so I think that is a place of opportunity, Assemblyman.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER BUTLER: Very good, thank you very much, appreciate it.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: Yes, Didi.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER BARRETT: David, thank you for that answer, because I agree with you. It think the small and mid-sized farms in New York State are the future and certainly the local movement supports that. And thank you, again, for your leadership in this area. I have a question about soils. Not generally my area expertise but I mean New York State has been known for these great soils in all different parts of the state. In the Hudson Valley, obviously, you know particularly. Are we at a tipping point, are we anywhere near a tipping point where we will lose that opportunity in New

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

2

York State, you know, in the disappearing of our

3

farms and things?

4

point where there's a singular tipping point

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. HAIGHT: I don't know if we're at a

that's going to happen. I think traditionally

what we've seen is as the farm landscape gets

fragmented you tend to see it's harder for

farmers that remain to compete. You tend to lose

some of those service providers. So the people

that sell you the tractor parts or, you know, the

veterinarian or those other folks tend to leave.

And so you're right, it does erode the support

infrastructure for agriculture as you lose farms.

So that is a concern, I think particularly in

places like the southern Hudson Valley. I think

even, quite frankly, out on Eastern Long Island,

where we have arguably our most economically

viable county in New York but the support

infrastructure isn't as strong there as it used

to be years ago. So you have farmers having to

pieces of equipment. So that is a real critical

drive, you know, through New York City to go get

concern for New York. And so I think that's

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

2

another reason why we can't just sit on our

3

laurels, that we actually need to be doing more

4

today than we have in the past.

5

ASSEMBLY MEMBER BARRETT: Do you find, I

6

one of the ways that you're working to sort of

8

7

bring the regions--I heard what you said about

9

the Hudson Valley, which I'm glad to hear. But

mean that sort of speaks to regionalism, is that

10

also in upstate and in other parts in western New

11

York are you--western parts of New York, is that

12

an area where you're trying to sort of galvanize

13

awareness and community building around keeping

the soils and the lands and the farms in

14

15

agriculture?

16

MR. HAIGHT: Absolutely. I mean, these

17

are not issues that are specific to just one part

18

of New York State. That these issues about

19 20 transition and about access to land, that these

are issues that play out; the dynamics are a

21

little different, you know, when you get to

22

western New York and the north country, but

23

certainly they're issues that are very relevant

24

to the future of agriculture. So we are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

absolutely helping to bring those forward.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER BARRETT: Thank you.

MR. HAIGHT: Thanks, Didi.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: Ken?

ASSEMBLY MEMBER BLANKENBUSH: Yes, just a quick thought. You mentioned the changing of the estate tax, and I think that that's real vague for our local farmers, because a farm can be under the old way of doing estate. And now with the increase in the percentage that's going to be tacked onto our farmers, it's not hard to get a farm up to \$5 million or \$6 million when you, you know, you count the head of cattle, the equipment, the barns, the land, you know, all of The value of that farm can go up tremendously. The cash flow isn't there. So to keep farmland and farmers, you know family members that are inheriting those farms so that they don't have to sell the farm to pay that estate tax, that could be an extreme economic problem for our farmers in the State of New York. The question is, now you mentioned that New York State has a smaller estate tax, but is your group

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

2

or any group that you know of pressuring any of

3

our senators and congressmen to maybe take a look

4

at whether there will be some breaks for farmers?

I know the Senate Agricultural Committee was out

5

MR. HAIGHT: It's a very good question.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

in eastern Long Island last year. I know that

was brought up and Senator LaValle talked very

explicitly about that. I'm not aware of any

specific pieces of legislation that would address

those issues that are currently pending.

do think that there certainly are models that we

could look at, at the federal level. I think,

you know, looking at the exemption levels, as you

talked about, it's critical. I do think that the

issue of deferring estate taxes until there's a

conversion of that land not only helps you today

but it encourages people to keep that land in

agricultural use beyond just that singular

transfer. It says all right; keep it in

agriculture because if you take it out of

agriculture then you're going to have to pay that

back estate tax. So I'm not aware of any pieces

24 of legislation that are currently pending that

	Page 7
1	Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012
2	would do that, Assemblyman, but I think it's
3	certainly worthy of a lot of attention.
4	ASSEMBLY MEMBER BLANKENBUSH: Thank you.
5	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: Okay. Thank you
6	very much, David.
7	MR. HAIGHT: Thank you, Assembly Member.
8	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: Julie Suarez,
9	Director of Public Policy, New York Farm Bureau.
10	MS. JULIE SUAREZ, DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC
11	POLICY, NEW YORK FARM BUREAU: Good afternoon.
12	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: Good afternoon.
13	MS. SUAREZ: Again, my name is Julie
14	Suarez, and I serve as the Director of the Public
15	Policy Division for New York Farm Bureau, the
16	state's largest general agricultural advocacy
17	organization. Before I started, I just wanted to
18	say a tremendous thank you, Bill, to you as
19	Assembly Ag Chair and Mr. Blankenbush, as well,
20	for convening this meeting on such an important
21	topic that's very key to our firm families.
22	Our membership is involved in a very
23	diverse array of agricultural production, from
24	dairy, equine, fruit and vegetable production, as

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

well as all sorts of other added value

opportunities, such as farm wineries, farm
distilleries and the soon to be established farm

5 breweries.

2.2

We also have a long history in the State of New York, having just this past year celebrated the 100th anniversary. As such, our organization is uniquely concerned about the viability of our state's agricultural lands as well as the people involved in farming. We can have the best soil, we can have favorable access to water resources, increasingly key in today's day and age, and the diversity of production, but without the farmers themselves we will not have farms or food production in New York State.

Our mission as an organization is very simple: to serve and strengthen agriculture. And our goal has really been to work on a favorable economic climate and policies that will keep farmers farming. It's disconcerting to look at the national agricultural statistics, which show that the average age of the farmer keeps increasing. But that concern on our part is also

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

tempered with the knowledge that the statistics are not necessarily an accurate portrayal of the true picture of what is happening on our farms, because the USDA statistics only count the principal operator as the owner of the farm. By necessity, the principal operator is likely to be the older parent and does not necessarily include the adult children who are working their way into the farm.

When our organization looks at our farmers, we see definite signs of optimism that are unrelated to the national statistics about the increasing age of our farmers. We see what will be called as a reference before secondary operators coming into the farm family at an even greater rate. In part thanks to new opportunities which the legislature in fact has authorized, such as allowing the next generation to establish a distillery on the traditional apple farm, because we know it's a lot more fun to produce something like that in certain times of the year, and also, the phenomenal success of the "buy local" movement.

In the dairy sector, the recent growth in dairy processing have yet to transfer, in our opinion, to greater optimism among our dairy farmers, as there are still so many uncertainties in the pricing formula and the overall business climate.

Within our own organization, we also see other signs of optimism in our young farmer program, which increasingly has more and more activities within our county Farm Bureau structure. We partner with our FFA students, and I know Juleah is testifying later today, and we've also been very pleased with the first ever establishment of a collegiate Farm Bureau at Cornell University, comprised, interestingly enough, with a majority of non-farm family background students.

We also see a great future for our farm families in New York, as increasingly our own Farm Bureau leaders, when we look at our county Farm Bureau presidents, who were just elected, are actually younger than I am.

So that optimism, though, is tempered

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

2.2

farms.

with the realization that the statistics also paint a very disturbing picture of a recent trend in agriculture, with the 2011 statistics in New York showing a decline in the number of farms. As the number of farms has declined, so has the number of acres in farming, thus pointing us to the conclusion that this isn't simple consolidation in the marketplace that we're seeing, but an overall trend of decreased farm numbers. We are not just seeing that the small and medium sized farms are being squeezed out anymore. The overall numbers declined by several hundred in all sizes of categories in New York's

While the statistics don't tell us the root cause, it isn't necessary for an economist to document that the most likely reasons behind some of these alarming drops in our farm numbers are overall global market forces of the past couple years and the noncompetitive nature of New York's business climate for agriculture in a couple key sectors, and the need for some of our farms to find the next generation which is

2.2

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

willing to take over the family farm.

With both the reasons for optimism and the reasons for pessimism or concern in mind, the key topic of this hearing, how to encourage the next generation of farmers, is really, again, an excellent question to be asked by the committee, and I thank you for your interest. If we are to keep people involved in the wonderful and sometimes frustrating business of farming, it's clear we have to do a better job at ensuring that our farmers have the ability to stay on the land.

With that in mind, New York Farm Bureau has four recommendations for the committee to consider. First and foremost is the necessity to keep New York's business costs in line with other states. Farm credits data on this topic is sobering, with New York's farmers paying double or even close to triple in property taxes than some of our closest competitors. The agricultural assessment formula has to be tweaked and either a short-term solution of a 2 percent cap or a long-term revaluation of the formula has to be done. It simply isn't sustainable anymore

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

time.

for a farm operation to see 10 percent increases in their valuation rates every year. No farmer, whether old or young can withstand that type of pressure on their tax bills for a long period of

New York also has to recognize the high

2.2

cost of operating a farm business in New York
makes it difficult to maximize what is indeed our
competitive advantage of being reasonably close
to New York City and the consuming public. Our
labor costs in New York are already second in
terms of payroll in the top ten agricultural
states, according, again to a farm credit
analysis, this while New York is technically
ranked 27th in terms of our overall agricultural
ranking. This high labor cost is both a good
thing because it shows we recognize the worth of
our employees, but it also makes this cost
noncompetitive.

Many general business groups have talked about New York's workers compensation rate structure and their unemployment insurance costs, and I won't belabor that point here, other than

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

2 3 to say that no matter where I go in New York

State, I'm increasingly hearing from our farmer

4

members about their concern over health care

5

And that's something that I think Lindsey costs.

6

eloquently spoke to.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Suffice it to say that these costs do matter to farmers, particularly to the smaller ones just starting out. And it's important that New York start to realize that these business climate issues need to be thought about and addressed concretely. The main message is that

Secondly, it's important to thoroughly review the public policy tools that encourage new farmers and adequately fund those programs, even during difficult and challenging budget years.

profitable farms will simply not have a problem

attracting the next generation of farmers.

Our organization thoughtfully worked with a young farmer committee a few years ago to talk about barriers to new farmers. Capital costs, tax barriers and the need for education and training were some of the foremost concerns of these young individuals.

York Farm Bureau successfully advocated for the strong leadership of the Senate and Assembly Agriculture Committees, a state law change that enabled first-time farmers, no matter how old, to take advantage of the agricultural assessment program in their first year of farming, rather than having to wait for two years. This law change is working successfully and New York Farm Bureau, again, thanks you for your leadership for our family farmers.

A bill sponsored several years ago by
Assemblyman Magee and actually at that time
Assemblyman Crouch was a ranker of the committee
at that time, also created a first-time farmer
loan program within the Environmental Facilities
Corporation. This program, however, has never
really been fully implemented, for a number of
reasons, and should be further explored to see if
the legislation can be tweaked to make it viable
for New York's farm community.

One of the reasons why New York Farm

Bureau has not pursued this issue as vigorously

2.2

is the relatively newer Farm Start program, run by the Northeast Farm Credit Association that has assisted several of our first time and young farmers in accessing seed capital. Between land and equipment costs, farming is an extraordinarily high investment, capital-intensive business and that makes it very challenging for young farmers without any family in an existing farm operation.

New York Farm Bureau regularly advocates for important programs funded through the state budget process and in large part through your leadership. New York FarmNet has helped countless farmers remain in farming, by focusing on financial management, transition planning and mental health assistance as well. The Dairy Profit teams, run jointly by Cornell University's Pro Dairy program and the New York Farm Viability Institute, has also helped a lot of our younger dairy farmers stay in business and grow their farm operations. The Farmland Protection program that David talked about and the EPF also provides valuable assistance in the form of allowing

2.2

farmers to obtain conservation easements, which are frequently a means of ensuring that the farm has the cash on hand to make the necessary investments for its future productivity and profitability.

In addition to these programs, I would, however like to recommend that an area that has not been fully explored by New York Farm Bureau but is of increasing interest to us is the state's existing tools relating to minority and women-owned small business development programs. The reality is that the 2007 ten-year agricultural census had encouraging things to say about the growth of women farmers in New York State. It's actually the fastest growing category of principal operators on farms were owned by women.

Additionally, if we do ever see immigration reform at the federal level, the next natural demographic transition for New York's farms will be the Hispanic and Latino middle management on many of our state's farms entering ownership positions. I'm not certainly myself

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

whether or not these programs and potential tools are open to agricultural businesses or that they would be an even greater fit for our farm community. However, it is a topic that should be further explored, particularly in light of the success of the Green Markets, Grow NYC new farmer development project, which works with immigrants to establish their own farm businesses, primarily in the lower Hudson Valley.

While no one likes to talk about their own mortality, the reality is that nothing is certain in this life but death and taxes. And that leads me to my third critical point, which while highly unpopular to discuss or think about, particularly in a family business, is key to this entire committee meeting, and that is estate taxes. The federal estate tax exemption of \$5 million is in serious jeopardy right now because of congressional inaction, and it is a very serious problem for New York's farmers.

And to answer your question earlier, our national organization, American Farm Bureau

Federation has been advocating very strongly at

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

the federal level, with us working in partnership with our congressional representatives about the need for extending the \$5 million exemption.

In 2007, according to the census, New York had over 3,000 farms exceed one million in value. Given land appreciation in the past five years, particularly in Long Island and the Hudson Valley, we anticipate that there are far more farms today that exceed that one million mark. Our own estate tax exemption currently stands at one million and the tax applies to property transferred after the death of the property The value of a farm is usually tied to assets like farmland, buildings and equipment, which can force families to sell these assets in order to pay the tax, thus leading to a decline in our own farm operators.

Farm owners and their families, again, are the epitome of being real estate rich and cash poor. Farm owners typically invest their earnings into their own farm, thus meaning that they have little liquidity to actually pay off the tax burden. So we do think that the state

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

tax exemption in New York State should certainly be addressed and increased for farmers as they seek to transition their land to the next generation.

The states of Pennsylvania and Illinois have actually recently acted to improve their own inheritance taxes accessed specifically on farms for this reason, and we would urge that New York State also do the same with its current real estate tax exemption, specifically for farms.

Fourthly, we do need to improve our policy tools for farmers to allow them to better incorporate their businesses. While I recognize that no one likes to talk about corporate farms, the reality is that in New York State, 99 percent of our farms are actually family-owned, whether or not they're in a corporate structure.

The reality, again, is that there is no better way to pass the farm on to the next generation, no matter what size of the operation you're in, than to have done so as part of a thoughtful transition planning process that includes incorporation into an LLC, a C corp or

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

2 3 an S corp. If we are to continue to have farms

in New York, we have to make it easier for our

4

farmers to form LLCs in particular, which do not

5

require as many attorney hours to form as other

6

mechanism of incorporation.

7

LLCs now are assessed a fee based on

8

gross income, not net, and in farming that

9

difference can be vast. A farm can easily gross

10

over a million but earn less than several

11

thousand in profits; depending upon how expensive

12

the input costs were that particular year, a year

13

like this year with feed costs being so high, or

14

how badly yields were impacted due to weather

15

conditions.

16

Either a flat fee for farm corporations

17

for LLCs, C corps and S corps needs to be

18

established, or a mechanism put in place that

19

allows farms to show a Schedule F, federal income

20

from farming form, to prove net income and pay

Additionally, the publishing

21

the fee on the net should be established.

2.2

requirements for the public notice of the

23 24

formation of an LLC seem in this day and age to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

be somewhat antiquated. This may seem, again, to be a minor change, but it's important to recognize that farm transition can be made a lot easier by some of these minor public policy changes.

In conclusion, I would like to really thank the Assembly Agriculture Committee for hosting this hearing today and listening to our concerns. To reemphasize, keeping land in production will only be done if we adopt policy tools that recognize the importance of the people involved in agriculture. Our farmers are willing to accept tremendous risk to put locally grown foods on your plate, risks of weather, uncertainties over the regulatory and business structure in New York State and increasing uncertainties of the viability of some of the only risk management tools we have: crop insurance and the MILC program, due to federal inaction on the farm bill.

So as a state, we again have to recognize and adopt public policy tools that will make it easier to keep people in agriculture,

1 Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012 2 because without the people, the farms will be 3 lost. So again, thank you. 4 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: Thank you, 5 Julie. Any questions? Mr. Lopez? ASSEMBLY MEMBER LOPEZ: Thank you, my 6 7 friend. Julie, just reflecting on your point 8 about gross income, and just, again, trying to 9 pinpoint some of the areas where we can focus. 10 In your estimation, what would be the top three 11 things driving the cost for farmers, cost of 12 staying in business, cost of production? 13 MS. SUAREZ: Cost of production depends 14 a little bit in terms of what farm operation you 15 actually have. Right now for our dairy and 16 livestock sector, feed is undoubtedly the biggest 17 cost in their business right now. Overall, I would say labor, energy and taxes, taxes probably 18 19 first, in order of the cost of production of New 20 York's farms. 21 ASSEMBLY MEMBER LOPEZ: So, again, 2.2 getting back to the bottom line, it just strikes 23 me that we should be focusing on those really

four key areas.

24

	Page 9
1	Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012
2	MS. SUAREZ: Absolutely.
3	ASSEMBLY MEMBER LOPEZ: All right, thank
4	you.
5	MS. SUAREZ: Thank you.
6	ASSEMBLY MEMBER RUSSELL: I have a
7	question.
8	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: Yes.
9	ASSEMBLY MEMBER RUSSELL: Thank you,
10	Julie, wonderful policy points that you have made
11	in your testimony today. I'm wanting to focus on
12	your discussion about creating a special farm
13	LLC. Do you believe that that is an effective
14	way to get around, you know, the tax issue at the
15	federal level, if that is not solved by Congress,
16	through a series of sales of percentages of the
17	business to the next generation? Do you see that
18	as a possible solution if there's inaction at the
19	federal level?
20	MS. SUAREZ: Unfortunately, if there's
21	inaction at the federal level, I think our best
22	advice to our members is not to die in the next
23	year or two, just because of how

ASSEMBLY MEMBER RUSSELL:

[interposing]

24

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012 2 Right.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MS. SUAREZ: --very dire the situation But from our perspective, allowing farmers is. to have an easier means of forming LLCs would certainly help. What would be of greater help, though, is changes at the state level on the estate tax exemption itself, specifically for farmers. David had talked a little bit about potential deferred action, you know making sure that your estate tax exemption is tied to keeping the land in agriculture.

That's something that our farmers are very familiar with, with a lot of peer leadership over the years. Our agricultural assessment program is tied to an eight-year commitment in farming, and there are conversion penalties within that program.

So from my perspective, LLCs are important, estate tax is probably the most important. And you know, really, again, any help that you could offer in talking to our congressional delegation about the federal estate tax would be key. Not to speak too tongue-in-

as we transition.

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012 cheek, but given that we do have our principal operators getting older, it's very, very important to keep that five million exemption for our farms, particularly in the next couple years

ASSEMBLY MEMBER RUSSELL: On a related question, you know, obviously, you need advice on these types of issues. Recently, I was at an event--you were also there--in Syracuse that talked about that must be the dairy profit teams quite extensively. I think it was the New York Farm Viability Institute. Is that the type of issue that is addressed by these teams, the succession and whether or not to incorporate and the tax implications of these types of things? Is that discussed at these team meetings?

MS. SUAREZ: Yes, absolutely. In fact, that's been one of the reasons why we've been so strongly supportive of the Dairy Profit Team concept, because it not only addresses issues like productivity and profitability but also the future. And at that same meeting, we heard very eloquently from a young farmer who's actually on

	Daga ()
1	Page 9 Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012
2	our Chautauqua County Farm Bureau Board of
3	Directors who was a 40-cow farmer and became a
4	60-cow farmer, thanks in part to the work of
5	those Dairy Profit teams. And so whether you're
6	young or seeking to transition to the next
7	generation, those Dairy Profit teams can really
8	be invaluable.
9	ASSEMBLY MEMBER RUSSELL: Thank you.
10	MS. SUAREZ: I appreciate your support.
11	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: Okay. Thank
12	you, Julie.
13	MS. SUAREZ: Thank you very much. I
14	appreciate it.
15	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: Next, we have a
16	Cornell panel: A. Edward Staehr, Executive
17	Director of New York FarmNet and New York Farm
18	Link; Anusuya RangarajanI don't know how close
19	I came on that oneSenior Extension Associate,
20	Cornell University Small Farm Program; and also
21	Juleah Tolosky, New York Agricultural Outreach
22	Education, Cornell Teacher Ed and New York
23	Farmers of America. Welcome.
24	MR. A. EDWARD STAEHR, EXECUTIVE

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

2.2

DIRECTOR, NEW YORK FARM NET/NEW YORK FARM LINK:
Thank you, and thank you for convening this very critical meeting. I'm excited to discuss the opportunity for where we can go in the future with our next generation of farmers. As you said, my name is Ed Staehr. I'm the Executive Director of the New York Farm Net and Farm Link programs at Cornell University, and a member of the faculty in the Charles H. Dyson School of Applied Economics and Management.

Some of the work that—-I'd first like to talk about the work that we do with Farm Net and Farm Link and what some opportunities are for the future.

Each year of the program that I direct facilitates over 75 farm transfers to the next generation. And a key factor in this is that over 95 percent of these farm transfers involve taking their sole income from the farm to live. So these are mainly full time farms that rely solely on the farm for their source of income. We have 47 consultants who work throughout the state to facilitate farm transfers and other

2.2

issues. And these consultants are either a financial consultant or a personal consultant to work as a team, because farming is a family business and in family businesses sometimes personal issues come up, especially if these businesses are 24/7 businesses.

One of the keys to growing and sustaining New York's farms and ag businesses is facilitating this transfer to the next generation and this can happen within and with outside of farm families. Each is much more complex than just merely finding the right farm; it's matching up individuals and cultivating that next generation of management.

We have talked exclusively about asset transfer, but we found at New York Farm Net that management transfer is equally as critical in the success of that business. Merely having the tools to produce without the management capacity that's been groomed by the senior generation will not produce the results that are needed.

So one of the things that we try and do is mentor people through this process in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

transferring the farm business, and making sure that there's match of personalities within, if this is outside of -- someone from outside coming in. We do a few of those transfers, and a former member of the Assembly worked with us on Long Island to bring in a non-family business member. And as typical, that business has grown substantially with new younger management. this individual was concerned with, first and foremost, finding a profitable business to become part of and then acquiring the assets. The land is very critical and important, but looking at a business that can grow and that the senior generation wants to bring the next generation in is also critical.

Then speaking of that growth, this is mainly what we see after the next generation comes in is that there's significant capital investment, job creation, and just, for example, to support a new farm manager, we're seeing farms investing upward of \$250,000 in that farm to modernize and to generate the income growth that's necessary to support the next generation

or another manager.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A component of how we do this is through our statewide Farm Opportunities database, which matches farmers looking to bring the next generation in with those seeking farms. And we have 130 farms listed, opportunities for farm purchase, rental or working into a management or partnership, and there are 85 individuals registered with the program seeking to get into farming.

But early on, this was the sole component of Farm Link, a subset, a part of Farm Which relying on that alone, we found out Net. was a mistake, because people were brought together which they didn't know what to do, how to--what some options are for organizing that business. And just the database alone, without the support of the Farm Net consultants proved to be ineffective. And it didn't take us long to ramp up the capacity of our consultants with training to go out and assist the farm families through this complex process, which includes securing the financial needs of the retiring

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

generation, developing a business plan for the incoming generation, determining whether that's feasible and what level of farm business growth is necessary. And working through this process and serving as a mentor and suggesting maybe some legal entities that are more conducive to farm business transfer, where the incoming generation can acquire a stake in that business.

And now I can give some examples of different farms that we've worked with and the transfer process. Two are outside of the farm family and one is within the farm family. the first farm is two brothers who purchased an existing retail horticultural business, and neither of those brothers were family members. However, one brother started out in production, worked his way up through management, so a long process, and became competent. And with each level of competency, acquired a greater understanding and promotion, almost as a career development in private industry.

And after a number of years, the owner informed this one brother that he was going to

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

what to do, and contacted his brother, who has an

sell. And so, he wanted to buy but didn't know

MBA and is familiar with running a large-scale

business. And in turn, they put a plan together

and contacted New York Farm Net for assistance

and suggestions to collateralize this business

8 because in a horticultural business, the

structures of greenhouses can't be collateralized

because they're movable.

So we had to be innovative there and help line them up with a lender who was able to make a loan based on their income accounts, and that worked out well. And a result of this is that there's an expansion underway. There's two more greenhouses being built, despite the fact that this business was affected by a severe flood. Again, they called on Farm Net for suggestions on how to rebuild after that flood.

The second farm I'm going to talk about is a multi-generation farm family where a primary partner passed away and there was a son-in-law with no equity who wanted to step up to the plate but was looking for some direction, and wanted to

5

10

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

2 3 4

assume the management responsibilities from this

member of the senior generation. But without

farming experience, he really needed some

guidance and didn't know how to run a business as

6 being a contractor.

7

And we helped him through this process

8 by mentoring him through developing a business

9 plan to ensure that this would be profitable, and

suggesting ways in which to transfer equity. And

after a short amount of time, this has proven to

be successful and this young man, 27-year-old man

is looking at a neighboring farm to add to that

base to grow the farm. So an investment in the

15 type of work that Farm Link and Farm Net does

produces a significant return in the form of the

job creation and capital investment and business

18 growth.

19 And last but not least, we do work with

a significant number of family members within the

21 farm. And the next farm I'm going to describe

22 was medium size but expanded when the next

generation came onboard. But they needed--there

24 were some other issues with communication and

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

234

running the business that needed to be resolved,

and the business was stagnant, at a point where

either it was going to be sold or this new person

5 was going to come in. And it did work out

6 through our consultants who helped this farm

family with running more professional meetings,

set staff meetings with an agenda.

9

15

21

7

8

And with a parent and with multi-

10 generation farms there's often the difficulty of

the parents still treating an incoming management

generation as children as opposed to business

partners and involving them in the decision

making.

And as a result of this farm transfer,

the incoming generation is now poised to--has

purchased 100 acres and this is a 400-cow dairy.

18 So we work with farms of all sizes, all types of

agriculture, perform a unique role across the

20 state.

I was encouraged to listen to the other

22 testimonies to hear the number of times that Farm

Net and Farm Link have been mentioned. I'd be

very glad to answer any questions that any of you

1	Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012
2	may have.
3	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: Are we going to
4	take questions for you or wait until the other?
5	MR. STAEHR: Either way.
6	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: Why don't we do
7	that? You're all together here. Is that all
8	right?
9	MR. STAEHR: Sure.
10	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: Okay, who's
11	next?
12	MS. ANUSUYA RANGARAJAN, SENIOR EXTENSION
13	ASSOCIATE, CORNELL UNIVERSITY SMALL FARM PROGRAM:
14	Okay, I'll go ahead. My name is Anu Rangarajan,
15	and you didn't do too bad of a job with my name.
16	[Laughter]
17	MS. RANGARAJAN: I am the Director of
18	the Cornell Small Farm Program. And the program
19	has a mission to support the viability of
20	emerging small farms as they support real
21	communities and the environment.
22	And so, in our work, what we do mostly
23	as a program is try to foster collaborations,
24	foster research and extension efforts that focus

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

on small farms and engages broader as possible in doing this work. We have several publications that help us share information that we come up with, including the Small Farm Quarterly, which reaches 27,000 families in the Northeast. We publish that along with Country Folks. We also have a very active website, as well as a small farm update, and these resources have all become nationally recognized as sources of information that's relevant to small farms.

So our staff is one full time person as well as two part time associates, and our leadership team includes farmers, Cornell Cooperative Extension representatives and other nonprofit and ag service providers in the state.

So as part of our work, we are always working hard to identify what should be the priorities to enhance the viability of small farms in New York. And to this end, in 2006, we convened the first ever New York Small Farm Summit. And at that summit, we asked our attendees, about 140 individuals, two prioritize where would they invest money, really to enhance

2.2

the viability of small farms and see a measurable difference in the next five years.

The areas in which they identified include supporting beginning farmer development, local markets for small farm products, livestock processing, expanding the use of idle agricultural lands, and renewable energy and conservation directed at small operations.

In 2010, we also added a six team focused on small dairy initiatives. So around these themes and topics, we've created work teams that have developed strategic plans and also implemented different projects based upon those plans.

The area around beginning farmer development is one that we took very seriously. We had been receiving lots of questions from our educators and other individuals trying to figure out how do we support the growing number of questions coming into offices around the state. We started our work in this area in 2005.

And so we applied to and were funded by the New York Farm Viability Institute, and this

2.2

was a very critical initial investment for us because it allowed us to pull together a statewide team of educators and other individuals committed to fostering development of this population. And it also helped us get started and leverage that investment to get larger USDA funding. So we are one of several programs in New York that has been funded by the USDA Beginning Farmer and Rancher Development Program.

We just completed that initial grant from the USDA. And what I would like to do is to be able to share with you some of the outcomes of that that continue to provide support to beginning farmers in the state and in the region.

But one part of our success in getting that grant was really the commitment of Farm Viability. They actually provided cost share to us when we wrote the proposal. And we had to come up with 25 percent cost share. So having creative ways in order to do that allowed us to actually secure that funding.

So one of the first resources I'd like to highlight is the Guide to Farming in New York.

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

And so this is an essential resource for new and existing farmers alike that actually answers questions about taxes, business planning, labor law, zoning regulations and marketing, all focused on producing agricultural commodities and food in New York. We've shared over 5,000 print versions, and this is 33 FAQ sheets. And so each one targets a particular topic and they're all available for download for free, online and we update them annually.

What we have recently done is created a complimentary set of FAQ sheets that are targeting the Guide to Urban Farming in New York, because we see an emerging interest in urban agriculture or farming on the peripheral of urban areas.

And so in order to better serve these populations of individuals, and try to bring them into the fold of the larger support of agriculture services that are offered in the state, we wanted to really make more visible what's available to these particular producers.

We also have a whole series of online

Phone: 212-227-7440 * 800-221-7242 * Fax: 212-227-7524

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

courses that beginning farmers can take. And what we find is that we have courses that are taking by aspiring individuals, and so these tend to be some of those farmers that have an idealized impression of what farming is. They aspire. They have the very idealized version and the courses in some ways, our goal is to make them realistic about what does it take to get into farming.

And so, a success story for us will be someone saying, after really learning what it takes, "I'm not going to do this." Because the last thing we want to do is see people invest too much in getting an agricultural venture started without having good planning in place first.

And so, our courses cover a variety of topics: marketing, financial recordkeeping, pasture poultry is a very popular one, vegetable farming and writing business plans. And then as part of the courses, we have webinars that farmers share information with--experienced farmers share with these students their own success stories and experiences.

In the last two sessions that we've had, so fall of 2011 and winter of 2012, we had 202 students who participated in our online courses. Forty-three percent of those were from New York, 80 percent from the Northeast. We actually do get people who register from all over the world now.

And we're always looking to expand the courses and the interest and try to target into other themes. One thing we have not been able to do yet without funding is to actually make the courses available in Spanish, which we believe would be really critical, given the emerging number on growth of Hispanic farmers in the state and around the area.

So another asset that we have been able to build with this initial funding is a collection of online videos and video clips of successful farmers talking about what they do.

It's actually not that easy when trying to consider farming to visualize and understand some of the complexities of getting started and that's what these video clips do. And so, they cover a

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

issues and personal motivation.

2345

variety of topics again: getting grants, which has had over 46,000 hits in the year that it was posted, a very big area of interest among small farmers and beginning farmers; but also legal

7 8 9

6

to get at all of these resources. We have two websites, the Small Farm Program website, which has a really vast collection of production information and marketing information, and then

Our website is the host and the portal

12

10

11

the Northeast Beginning Farmers Project website,

13

14

which provides access to training opportunities

as well as worksheets to allow you to develop

15

business plans and access to the videos and other

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

online courses.

The one last bit of work that I think is pretty unique that's come through our efforts is the creation of a learning network. And this learning network targets all of those professionals that are currently working with beginning farmers. It was the first of its kind in the country, in which we created a very specific professional development program to help

2.2

the mix of cooperative extension, farmer and nonprofit organizations who are supporting beginning farmers. So it allows us to share curriculum, to improve our evaluation, to understand what's a meaningful measure of success in doing these types of training programs.

And so for all of these different efforts, we're right now currently looking and soliciting other funding to continue the work, as well as to expand our reach into new audiences, working with minority populations, trying to diversify the organizations that are involved in thinking about aquiculture and recruiting new farmers.

One thing that's emerged this work are four issues that I'd like to highlight for the committee to consider and what I feel are some challenges to support the continued growth of new farmers in New York. I also think they're opportunities for future investment and possibly policy action.

Through our surveys in 2010 and 2012, of beginning farmers, we found that the majority of

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

local foods movement.

new entrants are primarily starting small-scale vegetable farms or small to mid-sized livestock operations. And a high percentage of these farmers are interested in organic agriculture.

And this is actually not that surprising given the actual costs for startup and the emerging

What has been missing in the conversation and in this population, though, are a cadre of beginning farmers who have the skills and the interest in actually transitioning into the management and ownership of conventionally managed farms.

And so it's trying to identify what are the pathways or pipelines to bring--some of these individuals have a tremendous wealth of skill--into a conventional agricultural community and to be interacting with farms that are looking for people to move into management and possibly ownership. And so we're very interested in trying to foster, use what we've learned in working with these more--I call them now more traditional beginning farmer audiences and

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

2

transition to that into working with some of our

3

larger agricultural groups.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Many new farmers are going into

livestock production, but a major constraint to their success is the crumbing infrastructure or processing of livestock facilities and the inconsistent, at times, interpretation of regulations that affect small scale processing of livestock. So in our work team that focused on this issue, they identified several areas that need additional work to improve the conditions for livestock processing in the state.

And these include more facilities that can handle small lots of animals, an expanded group of inspectors to service these facilities, a review of the polices that may constrain small scale production and processing, and expansion of a skilled workforce for slaughter as well as meat cutting, and improved planning networks among producers and processors.

So in order to continue -- at this point, there are many livestock producers, especially new entrants, who have to book their processing

2.2

dates a year plus in advance in order to actually be able to get slotted for getting animals slaughtered through a USDA facility. And that really constrains their profitability if in a dry year, if there's a shortage of forage, their animals are not at size. And so they have to make this decision without knowing anything about what they may be constrained by in the production of animals.

Another important area builds upon comments that Lindsey made earlier is that we need an access to alternative financing strategies for new farms. With USDA programs, these target new farmers but often the loan amounts are larger than what some of these new operations need. In addition, they often require a three-year experience period, which some of these farmers don't have. And so a creative loan or grant programs, such as the Individual Development Program could help individuals develop the track record they need in order to qualify for these larger loans.

Then finally, there is such an interest

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

in local foods and a local food movement across the region but it's really challenging for a small operation to move into some of these because of the distribution of transport of It just takes an operation in a lot of different directions if they have to move product long distances.

So making investments in innovation around the area of food hubs and distribution networks that improve the efficiency of local food movement and food distribution but also preserve the integrity of the products as well as the farm reputations is really critical. farmers would be able to then diversify their own marketing approaches, and that would add more financial stability to the work that they do.

So those are my comments.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: Thank you.

MS. JULEAH TOLOSKY, NEW YORK AGRICULTURAL OUTREACH AND EDUCATION, CORNELL TEACHER ED, NEW YORK FUTURE FARMERS OF AMERICA: Good afternoon, and thank you for the opportunity to share about the challenges of engaging young

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

people in agriculture, particularly with farming
in New York State. My name is Juleah Tolosky and
I coordinate the New York State Future Farmers of
America. We're more commonly known as FFA and
we're housed out of Cornell University. But
agricultural education programs are impacting
nearly 10,000 middle and high school students

everyday throughout New York.

The mission of FFA is to make a positive difference in the lives of students by developing their potential for premier leadership, personal growth and career success through agricultural education. And we work closely with the scientific research community, with local agricultural educators in high schools. We work with our stakeholder organizations like the Department of Ag and Markets, the State Education Department, New York Farm Bureau, and I consider my luckiest to be able to work directly with students.

There are many issues that contribute to the challenges of assuring the transition of farming to new generations. Many of these are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

serve.

obvious: financial and regulatory challenges.

Farms must remain profitable in order to keep agriculture economically relevant. sustainability of farms, both economically and ecologically is a priority of this regulatory body and of the students and the teachers that I

But the issues that I'd like to discuss today are addressing an equally fundamental need to assuring the transition of New York agriculture and leadership from one generation to the next: the engagement of young people in agriculture and in particular the connection of young people to New York farms.

Less than two percent of Americans live on farms, and in New York, that number is actually more extreme. Based upon census numbers, one-half of one percent of New Yorkers would live on a farm. The average American is approximately three generations removed from farming, my family included. And when you consider this, very few New Yorkers have access to a personal experience based understanding of

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

where their food comes from, how it's produced

4 economy.

2.2

School based, community based and adult education are essential to preparing an agricultural workforce. But more than simply equipping that workforce, agricultural education is a vehicle for all students to understand an industry that is not only a major economic driver for major portions of New York State but it's a major economic decision maker for all New York households.

and how that impacts them and the New York

School based agricultural education programs teach students agricultural science, business and leadership, but perhaps most relevant to this discussion, it introduces students and supports for diverse types, sizes and styles of farms. And these students will, at a minimum, become active citizens and consumers, and ideally they remain or become engaged in farming or other agricultural careers.

Currently, there are 94 FFA chapters, over 200 school based agricultural education

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

programs and an estimated 10,000 students that are enrolled in formal agricultural education throughout New York. There are programs that I've visited in some of the most impoverished upstate communities, and there are programs in Long Island, in Manhattan and in Queens.

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

Schools are teaching aquaculture, they're teaching environmental science, food science, animal science, and some of these schools, they don't even consider that agriculture because they don't understand the connection to farming. And so we are working to help all of our schools, but these in particular, to understand the diversity of New York farms and the agriculture industry that supports and is supported by them.

These students are engaged in all aspects of agriculture, including farming, and FFA and school based agricultural education can be one of the most effective means of promoting farming as a career among today's youth and tomorrow's leaders. There are significant challenges that exist to agricultural education

There are

1

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

2 3 4 programs in and outside of schools. state school budget cuts that are resulting in the elimination of career and technical education

Now, the interest in offering

programs by local school districts.

6

5

agricultural education throughout New York

8

7

schools is growing. Our programs are

9

experiencing state and national success, with

10

recognition of our students, our programs and our

11

teachers coming on a national level as recently

12

as last month.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The applied comprehensive learning that is taught in agriculture class, it's meeting and delivering the needs of national and state education goals, and it's making sure these students understand and are exposed to But the elective nature of career agriculture. and technical education, coupled with educational cuts that are disproportionately targeting, and maybe not intentionally, but disproportionately targeting rural areas, it's resulting in schools that would like to have agricultural education programs but cannot.

There is a shortage of agriculture teachers throughout New York, make no mistake, and it's due in part to the insufficient funding of state school districts and due to the reduced capacity of our instate institutions to certify those agricultural science teachers.

Without allotting additional spending, this committee can have significant impact by supporting the equitable rural school district funding and endorsing the value of career and technical education programs remaining in local school districts in order to prepare all students for careers, specifically careers in and in support of production agriculture.

And while I recognize that school district funding is not something that you guys really deliver on, as people who are interested in promoting agriculture, the health of our rural communities is essential to the health of our agriculture industry, and that's something that's really driving students out of their communities.

Agricultural education that teaches through experience. A couple of examples,

there's a school in Clinton County that explored the growth of algae for use in biodiesel, as a potential supplement for low dairy farm income in their community. At an Oneida County school, they write grants and partner with research institutions to make their school maple operation more efficient and to diversify their school land lab to include biomass production and research. They also hosted a 1,200-person conference, so those students are very capable.

Wyoming County, students are organizing and running farmers markets within their school to increase awareness of local farms as well as to gain experience in marketing locally grown products.

All throughout New York, students are being exposed to the diversity of production agriculture and learning how to increase efficiency and therefore the sustainability of their potential future operations. While not every student in these programs is going to go on to find a career on the farm, every one of them will understand a career on the farm.

22

23

24

1

2

Exposure and experience provide these students with the tools they need to succeed. These students develop personal projects in the agriculture industry that they're passionate about. New York teenagers are developing their own herds of show and milking cattle. They are handling the marketing of their family's maple syrup operation. I know a young lady who bought out a portion of the family farm so that she could certify her own organic heifer industry. And there's a young man who has already recruited a list of clients from home micro breweries for his hops operation. He has yet to put anything in the ground and he has yet to enter high school.

So these students, they're not only gaining an understanding of agriculture but they're identifying the need for it in their communities and they're establishing themselves professionally and financially, which is a pretty significant accomplishment for young people in this day and age. It's not uncommon either that a student would begin one of these projects and

2.2

agriculturally based college and career track.

then find themselves directed into an

Recently, you are well aware, the ability of young people to acquire agricultural or farm-based experience, which drives them into agricultural careers, was challenged with proposed labor legislation, and that's something that's going to come back again. But the continued protection of the rights of young people to be able to gain necessary and safe work experience is the key to continuing to engage young people as the next generation of farmers and agriculturists.

The United States Department of
Agriculture Secretary Vilsack recently addressed
farm leaders and informed that rural America is
quote "becoming less and less relevant," end
quote. He stated that rural America's biggest
assets, the food supply, recreational areas,
energy, they can be overlooked by the U.S.
population as it moves to live more in cities and
suburbs. Fifty percent of rural counties have
lost population and several counties in New York,

2.2

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

my home county included, cite a greater than 10 percent out migration of the young and the educated. And when I read that, I realized that I had become my first statistic.

In order for New York farms and therefore agriculture to continue to build our economy, our state has to invest in and attract young people to careers in rural, agricultural communities.

"How are you going to encourage young people to want to be involved in rural America or farming if you don't have a proactive message,"

Vilsack said, "because you are competing against the world now?"

And I can say that's true. Two of the most outstanding students I know have spent extended amounts of time this year in Africa and India because they feel like it's glamorous and that they can make a difference there. And thankfully, they're coming back to New York to make a difference here, but the world is at their fingertips. We need to make agricultural communities relevant and interesting.

In order to assure that rural New York and New York ag remain relevant to the next generation, we have to engage young people now, and continue to do so as they pursue their educations and then as they begin their careers. Programs that you are already funding and supporting are having significant visible impact in proactively engaging, developing and retaining these agricultural farm leaders throughout New York.

There is the potential for a booming New York agricultural industry. And those of us who are in this room, we know that. But it is critical that we continue to develop and support programs that are engaging young people in agriculture through education, and making it possible for them to recognize that there are profitable careers in production agriculture and beyond and all of it is right here in New York State.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: Thank you very much.

MS. TOLOSKY: Thank you.

1 Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012 2 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: Any questions? 3 ASSEMBLY MEMBER RUSSELL: T do. 4 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: Okay. 5 ASSEMBLY MEMBER RUSSELL: I was intrigued by the discussion of online courses for 6 7 beginning farmers and I have a couple of questions. Do these courses cover topics such as 8 9 slaughter and meat cutting as well as safe 10 handling of products? 11 MS. RANGARAJAN: In the vegetable 12 course, we do some food safety training. There 13 are other online courses offered at Cornell that 14 cover food safety training, and so we haven't had 15 to do that. The poultry course does cover safety

and we just produced a guide to safe on-farm poultry slaughter to allow people to more clearly understand the regulations, which are a little bit sometimes vaque around people functioning under the thousand-bird exemption. So we actually had that reviewed through Ag and Markets and it's now publicly available.

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Okay. Do any ASSEMBLY MEMBER RUSSELL: of these courses grant credit?

1	Page 12 Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012
2	MS. RANGARAJAN: None of these are
3	receiving Cornell credit, but participation in
4	all the courses give you borrower credit for FSA
5	loans.
6	ASSEMBLY MEMBER RUSSELL: I'm sorry;
7	give you what?
8	MS. RANGARAJAN: Borrower credit for
9	FSA.
10	ASSEMBLY MEMBER RUSSELL: Okay.
11	MS. RANGARAJAN: For Farm Credit loans.
12	ASSEMBLY MEMBER RUSSELL: Do you have
13	any age requirements or prerequisites for these
14	types of courses?
15	MS. RANGARAJAN: No. We've had some
16	young students that take the courses, but
17	primarily the demographic we see is sort of
18	interesting, it's really young people between the
19	ages of 18 and 25 and 30, and then more mature
20	returning to agriculture, second career
21	agriculturists.
22	ASSEMBLY MEMBER RUSSELL: I'm familiar
23	that in many of our schools and growing in our
24	rural schools are distance-learning courses taken

2.2

in lieu of other non-mandated courses because they have been cut. Are any of you familiar with whether or not there is a push to get agriculture-centric courses as distance learning courses into our high schools, particularly in rural New York?

MS. TOLOSKY: There are several schools that are delivering distance-learning courses to other regional programs. The Pioneer Central School, they offer veterinary science and there's more basic level coursework that is offered. Several of our really outstanding teachers have learned to adapt what they're doing because they're focused on getting agriculture into the hands of as many students as possible.

Whether or not it is as easy—it's certainly not as easy as having those students in front of you and being able to do a live lab, but I know that even when they're doing hands—on lab experiences, those distance—learning courses, those students have a chance to actually be doing the project. They do lots of field trips. So agriculture is an option for distance learning.

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

It depends on whether or not a rural

urban school has access to distance

2.2

It depends on whether or not a rural school or an urban school has access to distance learning capabilities.

Then additionally, if you are having a teacher delivering distance learning courses, every course that they're delivering distance learning is a course that they're not necessarily teaching and investing in their students in their home school as effectively.

I had the privilege of sitting in on a course where students were talking about agricultural issues and they were talking about fracking. And so the students at one school would do their presentation then the students at the next school would do their presentation.

They were all very engaged. So it works, it's happening. The question I guess is just whether or not schools have access to that technology.

It's very expensive to start it up if you don't have it.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER RUSSELL: Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER BARRETT: Juleah, I have just some further questions. What are the

1 Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012 programs that are most effective? I mean if one 2 3 were going to focus on what's really working, 4 what would they be? 5 MS. TOLOSKY: Sure. In the FFA, there are a lot of opportunities for students to engage 6 7 in ways that benefit them. It's really an opportunity for a student to discover where they 8 9 thrive. We have these experiences called 10 Supervised Agricultural Experiences. Those would 11 be the things like where the students have their 12 own show herd of cattle and milking herd and they 13 build up their own personal enterprise with the 14 help of an agricultural mentor, their teacher. 15 And we also work really hard to recognize and 16 award students based upon labor and the 17 acquisition of skill and ability, which I think is a pretty unique thing in a high school based 18 19 club. 20 ASSEMBLY MEMBER BARRETT: Labor and what 21 was the other word?

> Ubiqus/Nation-Wide Reporting & Convention Coverage 22 Cortlandt Street - Suite 802, New York, NY 10007 Phone: 212-227-7440 * 800-221-7242 * Fax: 212-227-7524

what was your question?

MS. TOLOSKY: A supervised--I'm sorry;

ASSEMBLY MEMBER BARRETT: I didn't hear.

2.2

23

24

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012
Did you say scalability?

MS. TOLOSKY: Skill and ability.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER BARRETT: Okay.

MS. TOLOSKY: So we're looking for them to develop competency in the ability. We also have a lot of career development events which are basically contests where students can try a new area of agriculture. If they win, they get to go on an all expenses paid trip to glamorous Indianapolis, Indiana. But it teaches them teamwork, communication skills, critical thinking and they get exposed to different areas of ag. And since it's really just a single contest, they might be able to do something that they didn't even get a chance to learn about in class, but they study for that.

When I was in FFA, I was always the most motivated by the opportunity to travel to conventions and conferences. We had a 1,200-person state convention at the VBS High School in Vernon, New York and they did an exceptional job of planning that. But we also made sure that at ever single session, while there were exciting

2.2

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

fun things to engage teenagers and develop them as young people, because we're developing young people at the heart of whatever we're doing, every single session included an emphasis on agriculture, their understanding of it and their support of it.

So every student is different and the program that we offer allows them to engage in different ways. So it's hard for me to say what the most important thing is. I would say it was the conferences. But I know other students gets this irreplaceable benefit from their supervised experience or a contest they tried.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER BARRETT: Thank you.

MS. RANGARAJAN: Could I add to that a little bit? As part of our USDA grant, we also worked closely with the programs that are in the high schools. And one thing that we did do is actually provide small grants to schools that would bring a group of students to a regional conference here in New York. And actually, that allowed—what was really exciting about that was to create that sort of mini conference buzz,

1 Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012 2 where students were not only interacting with each other from multiple schools but also hey 3 went to all of the different session that were 4 5 hosted on training and beginning farms. the New York Conference in January--6 7 ASSEMBLY MEMBER BARRETT: [interposing] 8 So you would actually fund the, what the 9 conference--10 MS. RANGARAJAN: [interposing] Well, we 11 actually paid for their travel to come. We were 12 able to do that with our grant, and it was not 13 that much money. I think it only cost us \$8,000 14 and we were able to bring 38 students together. 15 That experience actually has fostered a lot of 16 continued conversation. 17 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: Okay. I just 18 have just a quick one. You did say there's a 19 shortage of FFA teachers. 20 MS. TOLOSKY: Yes, if you look at the 21 schools that really would like to have a program 2.2 and can't for one reason or another, sometimes 23 it's a shortage because the school can't afford

to get a teacher. But additionally, there is, I

24

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

2

foresee, a shortage in the ability to meet the

3

needs of retirements in the next couple of years

4

due to the fact that we are downsizing how we're

But every school that has interest in an

5

certifying teachers in New York State.

6

agricultural program does not have a certified ag

7

8 teacher available to them right now. If there

9

was no block to be able to provide every school

10

that wanted one a teacher, we would have to start

11

looking outside of New York. And there's plenty

12

of great teachers outside of New York, but gosh,

13

we've been raising these kids from the ground up

14

and I would sure like to have them serving the

15

next generation of New York students.

16

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: So how does that

17

fit with the recent decision to eliminate the

18

19

degree at Cornell?

MS. TOLOSKY: There are other

20

opportunities that the university is looking at

21

for providing certification at Pathways. I'm

22

certainly not an expert in that. I do know that

23

there is a need for agriculture teachers who are

24

certified and well educated and that need is not

1	Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012
2	disappearing. SUNY Oswego does offer an
3	opportunity for teachers to be certified in
4	agricultural education, and we're very grateful
5	for that, and there are a lot of other out of
6	state institutions that will provide that
7	opportunity to our students if they wanted to
8	pursue it elsewhere.
9	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: Thank you.
10	MS. TOLOSKY: Thank you.
11	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: Yes.
12	ASSEMBLY MEMBER FINCH: Actually, this
13	will be for Mr. Staehr. You mentioned you have
14	like 75 potential transfers in any given year.
15	MR. STAEHR: That's correct.
16	ASSEMBLY MEMBER FINCH: How many do you
17	thinkthose are the ones you're actually working
18	on now
19	MR. STAEHR: [interposing] Right, those
20	are in progress.
21	ASSEMBLY MEMBER FINCH:even that, are
22	you limited to working within that particular
23	number?
24	MR. STAEHR: We are by the resources

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

2 that we have. But within that number, it is very 3 intense work to ensure that this goes correctly, 4 by having both generations be realistic. And 5 we've found that when there's a barrier, maybe the senior generation is a little more reluctant 6 7 to give up control or the junior generation wants to acquire equity right away, it takes a process 8 9 for that to meet in the middle. That's what 10 we're effective in doing. We could ramp that up. 11 We're please to see the outcomes that we generate 12 presently but feel that through maybe mentoring 13 and coaching more, we can increase the number of 14 successful farm transfers with the resources. 15

ASSEMBLY MEMBER FINCH: You mention the resources. Is there some way we can assist with that?

MR. STAEHR: Well, financial resources would be appreciated. We very much appreciate the support of the Assembly for what we do and would welcome an opportunity to discuss how we could increase the number of farm transfers in the future by expanding on the proven network that we have with consultants, many of whom have

Page 13
Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012
farmed themselves and been successful at that and
see some realistic opportunities to bring the
next generation into farming.
ASSEMBLY MEMBER FINCH: I'm kind of
curious in one sense of there are enormous
amounts of transfers of farm and I think in terms
of maybe Yates County is one, parts of Seneca
County were we have large communities are coming
in and they're acquiring small farms.
MR. STAEHR: Right.
ASSEMBLY MEMBER FINCH: Which is kind of
unusual in the sense of there is a tendency to
grow these farms. You know, parts of Cayuga
County have 8,000 cows on a dairy farm.
MR. STAEHR: Right.
ASSEMBLY MEMBER FINCH: But yet there
are small Mennonite Amish farms that are moving
in with herds that are 50 cows and less.
MR. STAEHR: Yeah.
ASSEMBLY MEMBER FINCH: Are you involved
in any of that in those transfers?
MR. STAEHR: We do work with the
Mennonite population to a limited extent. And

But there must

1

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

2

just to preface that, the work we do is free and

3

confidential and occurs at the farm, so I can

4

only talk in generalities.

5

be a lot of transfers from more traditional

ASSEMBLY MEMBER FINCH:

6 7

second generation farms to the Mennonite and the

8

Amish ones that are coming through there. So you

9

must be assisting somewhere in--

10

MR. STAEHR: [interposing] We are.

11

of the farms that are non-Mennonite owned that

12

13 remain--the farm that they built remain and not

14

be consolidated, and so we can help them in their

may wish to sell, they'd like to see the farm

15

retirement planning process and making sure that

16

it's profitable for the incoming farm to operate

ASSEMBLY MEMBER FINCH: One other last

17

as well.

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

question, although I have many in my brain, but I don't want to drag the afternoon on. How often do you get involved in a transfer and all of the sudden find out that there may be some--and it's done, however it becomes problematic a second

year into it? Do you get involved in that

1 Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012 2 process to--MR. STAEHR: [interposing] We do. They 3 4 will call us back because our Farm Net 5 consultants are very effective is building trust in that capacity. So there are a fair number of 6 7 callbacks, based on the results that the families experience with the program. 8 9 ASSEMBLY MEMBER FINCH: Thank you very 10 I think we do a great job here in New York 11 State of growing great food and safe food and a lot of it, and it's all because of the 12 13 agricultural community and what a great job 14 they're doing. It's fantastic. Thanks. Thank 15 you very much. 16 MR. STAEHR: Thank you for this 17 opportunity. 18 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: Thank you very 19 Next is Gary Bradley, Executive Vice 20 President of Farm Credit East, ACA. 21 MR. GARY BRADLEY, EXECUTIVE VICE 2.2 PRESIDENT, FARM CREDIT EAST, ACA: Chairman Magee 23 and members of the Assembly Agriculture 24 Committee, good afternoon. My name is Gary

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

Bradley and I am Executive Vice President for Farm Credit East. Our responsibilities include lending and financial services in central and northern New York and I oversee Farm Credit East Young, Beginning and Small Farmer program.

> Farm Credit East is a financial co-op owned by 12,000 farmers in the Northeast. York accounts for the largest part of our portfolio. We have 8,500 customer members in New York with about \$2.5 billion in loans. provide loans and financial services to all types and sizes of farms in the area that we serve. have 13 offices in New York, and approximately 70 percent of the private sector to New York agriculture is extended by Farm Credit East.

> We are committed to the success of New York agriculture and have the financial capacity and desire to provide more loans and services as farm businesses and agriculture processors expand and as new entrepreneurs enter agriculture.

In addition to lending, we also have made real investments in agricultural economic development projects including a \$5 million

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

commitment in 2008 to the Genesee Agriculture

Farm Worker Housing Loan Program.

Park, where two facilities are now based. We also are a very active participate in New York
Link Deposit program and an administrator of the

At the current time, 19 percent of our credit, or \$697 million is provided to young farmers that are 35 years or younger. If you look at it from the perspective of beginning farmers that have started within the past ten years, it is 26 percent of our credit, or \$921 million.

Farm Credit East shares the committee's interest in assuring that the success of young and beginning farmers. We are optimistic about the economic opportunities in agriculture and look forward to working with the committee to ensure that we have the best possible programs and policies in place to encourage agriculture for the long term.

We find ourselves at an interesting nexus in American agriculture. We have expanding international markets, especially in developing

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

3

are improving, and we have greater interest by

4

consumers for local production. We also have renewed interest in New York for locating new

countries where population is growing and diets

6

5

dairy processing plants, many of which we also

7

finance.

8

9 entering agriculture and we see others of all

10

ages that have decided to exit agriculture for a

In our work, we see young people

11

wide variety of reasons. We see farms that are

12

financially strong but have no interest in

13

expanding, and we see others that are expanding

14

or seeking to expand but find it difficult to

15

obtain adequate land resources for a larger farm

16

operation in their area.

operations.

17

18

transferring to the next generation, and we see

We see many farms successful

19

non-related young people who start as employees,

20

graduate into middle management then becoming

21

partial owners in successful commercial farming

22

23

As we consider the issues today, we look

24

at four critical factors that impact farm

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

2 vi 3 ri 4 no 5 re 6 ea 7 fo 8 wi 9 ar 10 Ne

viability. The first: profitability, and the risk exposure in farming. Whether you agree or not, our free enterprise system has continually reduced the number of farms by keeping margins earned relatively slim. This is reality and the focus needs to be on what policies and programs will help improve profitability for a long-term and especially what we can do to better position New York farms in a very competitive local market.

Second: concern over stable supply of farm labor. Of all our necessary farm resources, maintaining a stable labor supply in the face of immigration enforcement is by far the most limiting factor for many New York farms.

Third: lack of profitable marketing channels for new production. There are many farm products where we could readily expand production but sustainable markets can be very limiting and costly to develop.

And fourth, for people interested in a career in agriculture, the lack of practical experience, good business skills and a realistic

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

can gain as a young adult.

business plan are the most limiting. The vision that a 22-year-old is going to purchase a farm, capitalize it and successful operate it without some career development is neither realistic nor particularly practical. Working and succeeding as an employee in another farm business is the best practical development that a would-be farmer

To support young farmers and those interested into coming into agriculture, Farm Credit East has developed a number of programs. Let me briefly mention five efforts.

 First, we have established a Young,
Beginning and Small Farmer Program that provides
special incentives to help young and beginning
farmers. These special incentives for New York
young farmers totaled \$113,567 in 2011.

Second, in 2005, Farm Credit East started a unique first of its kind program called Farm Start LLP, to assist individuals entering agriculture by providing investments of working capital. In establishing Farm Start, we found that many startup farms lack the working capital

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

345

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

some operations were relying on credit card

financing. We also found that many startups need

necessary to bring their crops to market and that

5 assistance with business planning and financial

6 management. Farm Start provides startup farms

with unsecured investments similar to a loan of

8 up to \$50,000.

In addition, each Farm Start participant has a Farm Start adviser that assists with financial management. Startup operations can be in the Farm Start program for up to five years to help them build a successful credit history and good business discipline to qualify for a conventional line of credit. We are pleased that we recently made our 100th Farm Start investment to Greystone Farm, the CSA operation Casanovia [phonetic] in Madison County.

Third, to assist individuals that are part of a farm operation but not yet in the leadership role on the farm, we have developed a management leadership development program called Generation Next. This program involves three seminar sessions conducted by specifically

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

trained staff. The sessions are structured for individuals between 20 to 35 years of age and are focused on developing the overall business knowledge and management ability of seminar participants.

Fourth, Farm Start has developed a workshop and guide titled Harvesting a Profit. This program is targeted for students or individuals beginning a career in production agriculture that has minimal exposure to financial analysis, farm management, marketing and economics.

And fifth, our service programs include consulting services for estate planning and profitability analysis. Our consultants work one-on-one with farms to develop estate plans to allow for transfer of the farm from one generation to the next or to improve profitability and position the farm for future success. We have provided these services for over two decades and have assisted with the successful generational transfers of thousands of farms.

As we consider limiting factors for agriculture development and the appropriate public sector role, we believe priority policy consideration should be given to the following. First, ensure the public sector related costs and regulatory requirements in New York are not greater than competing states. Farmers are very resilient but they compete in an international marketplace and cost of production can be critical.

For example, New York farmers pay on average \$26.21 per acre for property taxes compared to a national average for farmland of \$6.75 per acre, or for example, the nearby state of Ohio, at \$11.80 per acre. We strongly encourage the state legislature to cap agriculture values to allow no more than a 2 percent increase annually.

Our concern also extends to costly requirements and to such issues as throughway tolls and the fees required for registering a limited liability company.

Phone: 212-227-7440 * 800-221-7242 * Fax: 212-227-7524

Second, FSA, Farm Service Agency loan

Ubiqus/Nation-Wide Reporting & Convention Coverage
22 Cortlandt Street – Suite 802, New York, NY 10007

Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012

2345

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

able to provide credit to startup farms. Of all

guarantees are very important for lenders to be

the government programs relating to startup farms

5 and credit, we believe that this is the most

6 important to maintain a strong loan guarantee

7 program. At times, funding is limited for FSA

guarantees, which may impact our ability to

9 finance startup operations.

We believe that FSA lending programs will be reauthorized in the farm bill but this is an issue that we will be watching closely as deliberations continue. And if Congress cuts funding for FSA guarantees or the program is not reauthorized, this may be an area for the state to consider action.

Third, applied research on specific
limiting production or marketing issues to
current problems is very important. Farm Credit
East is in strong support of the New York Farm
Viability Institute, Cornell Pro Dairy, because
these programs work directly with producers to
address current production challenges.

Third, our Farm Start is a one of a kind

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

program nationwide. Partners in the program, Farm Credit East, Co Bank and Yankee Farm Credit have now made 100 investments with over \$4 million. We're open to discussion with the State of New York on making investment in the program or some other state incentive to help Farm Start participants.

And last, in addition to farm directed applied research, we certainly support financial assistance for on-farm environmental projects including CAFO related projects and funding for farmland preservation which can be very important to a maintained agriculture in areas with significant development pressures.

Thank you for your consideration of our Farm Credit East looks forward to working views. with the committee as we develop ideas for the We have 250 employees in New York that future. are working to support agriculture every day and always are committed to the success and growth of Empire State agriculture. Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: Thank you very Any questions? Thank you for your time much.

	Page 150
1	Committee on Agriculture, 12-11-2012
2	and all the information you provided us.
3	MR. BRADLEY: Thank you for your
4	attention and time.
5	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MAGEE: Okay. I guess
6	that concludes our hearing. Thank all the
7	members for coming and have a great holiday if I
8	don't see you.
9	(The public hearing concluded at 2:41
10	p.m.)

$\texttt{C} \ \texttt{E} \ \texttt{R} \ \texttt{T} \ \texttt{I} \ \texttt{F} \ \texttt{I} \ \texttt{C} \ \texttt{A} \ \texttt{T} \ \texttt{E}$

I, Donna Hintze, do hereby certify that the foregoing typewritten transcription, consisting of pages number 1 to 151, inclusive, is a true record prepared by me and completed from materials provided to me.

Donna Hintze, Transcriptionist

___January 10, 2013_____ Date