1 BEFORE THE NEW YORK STATE SENATE FINANCE AND ASSEMBLY WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEES 2 _____ JOINT LEGISLATIVE HEARING 3 In the Matter of the 2017-2018 EXECUTIVE BUDGET 4 ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS/ GENERAL GOVERNMENT 5 _____ 6 Hearing Room B Legislative Office Building 7 Albany, New York 8 January 30, 2017 10:09 a.m. 9 10 PRESIDING: 11 Senator Catharine M. Young Chair, Senate Finance Committee 12 Assemblyman Herman D. Farrell, Jr. 13 Chair, Assembly Ways & Means Committee 14 PRESENT: 15 Senator Liz Krueger Senate Finance Committee (RM) 16 Assemblyman Robert Oaks Assembly Ways & Means Committee (RM) 17 18 Senator Diane J. Savino Vice Chair, Senate Finance Committee 19 Assemblyman Michael Benedetto 20 Chair, Assembly Cities Committee 21 Senator Simcha Felder Chair, Senate Committee on Cities 22 Senator Kathleen A. Marchione 23 Chair, Senate Committee on Local Government 24

1	2017-2018 Executive Budget Local Government Officials/	
2	General Government 1-30-17	
3		
4	PRESENT: (Continued)	
5	Assemblyman Michael J. Cusick	
6	Senator Roxanne J. Persaud	
7	Assemblyman David Weprin	
8	Assemblywoman Catherine T. Nolan	
9	Assemblyman Jeffrion L. Aubry	
10	Senator Gustavo Rivera	
11	Senator Martin Golden	
12	Assemblywoman Nicole Malliotakis	
13	Senator Daniel Squadron	
14	Assemblywoman Rebecca Seawright	
15	Assemblyman Robert Carroll	
16	Senator Terrence Murphy	
17	Assemblyman Walter T. Mosley	
18	Assemblywoman Nily Rozic	
19	Senator Brad Hoylman	
20	Assemblyman Erik M. Dilan	
21	Senator Timothy Kennedy	
22	Assemblywoman Rodneyse Bichotte	
23	Assemblywoman Earlene Hooper	
24	Assemblywoman Inez E. Dickens	

1	2017-2018 Executive Budget Local Government Officials/
2	General Government 1-30-17
3	
4	PRESENT: (Continued)
5	Senator Andrea Stewart-Cousins
6	Assemblyman David F. Gantt
7	Senator Daniel Squadron
8	Assemblywoman Tremaine Wright
9	Assemblyman Christopher S. Friend
10	Assemblyman John T. McDonald, III
11	Senator Jesse Hamilton
12	Assemblyman Ron Castorina, Jr.
13	Assemblywoman Shelley Mayer
14	Assemblywoman Patricia Fahy
15	Assemblyman Steven Otis
16	Assemblywoman Jo Anne Simon
17	Assemblywoman Diana C. Richardson
18	Senator James Sanders, Jr.
19	Assemblyman Joseph S. Saladino
20	Assemblywoman Yuh-Line Niou
21	Assemblywoman Stacey Pheffer Amato
22	Senator Elaine Phillips
23	Assemblywoman Monica P. Wallace
24	Assemblyman Edward C. Braunstein

1 2017-2018 Executive Budget Local Government Officials/ 2 General Government 1-30-17 3 4 PRESENT: (Continued) 5 Senator John E. Brooks 6 Assemblyman David Buchwald 7 Assemblyman Brian P. Kavanagh 8 Assemblywoman Crystal D. Peoples-Stokes 9 10 11 LIST OF SPEAKERS 12 STATEMENT QUESTIONS 13 Honorable Bill de Blasio Mayor 14 City of New York 9 39 15 Scott M. Stringer Comptroller 16 City of New York 211 223 17 Honorable Byron W. Brown Mayor 18 City of Buffalo 266 278 19 Honorable Kathy M. Sheehan Mayor 310 20 City of Albany 321 21 Honorable Lovely A. Warren Mayor 353 22 City of Rochester 346 23 Honorable Mike Spano Mayor 24 City of Yonkers 366 377

1 2017-2018 Executive Budget Local Government Officials/ 2 General Government 1-30-17 3 LIST OF SPEAKERS, Cont. 4 STATEMENT QUESTIONS 5 Honorable Stephanie A. Miner 6 Mayor 389 City of Syracuse 7 Julissa Ferreras-Copeland 8 Councilmember New York City City Council 400 9 Peter A. Baynes 10 Executive Director Thomas Roach 11 Mayor, City of White Plains NYS Conference of Mayors 411 427 12 and Municipal Officials 13 Stephen J. Acquario Executive Director 14 NYS Association of Counties 451 463 15 Gerry Geist Executive Director 16 Supervisor Bill Moehle Town of Brighton, Monroe County 17 Supervisor Ed Theobald Town of Manlius, Onondaga County 18 Town Clerk Rebecca Haines Town of Ellergy, Chautauqua County 19 Association of Towns of the State of New York 473 496 20 Barbara Bartoletti 21 Legislative Director League of Women Voters 22 507 515 of New York State 23 Elena Sassower Director Center for 24 Judicial Accountability 518

1	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Good morning.
2	Today we begin the third in a series of
3	hearings conducted by the joint fiscal
4	committees of the Legislature regarding the
5	Governor's proposed budget for fiscal year
6	2017-2018. The hearings are conducted
7	pursuant to Article 7, Section 3 of the
8	Constitution and Article 2, Sections 31 and
9	32A of the Legislative Law.
10	Today the Assembly Ways and Means
11	Committee and the Senate Finance Committee
12	will hear testimony concerning the budget
13	proposal for local government officials and
14	general government.
15	In the interests of time and
16	fundamental fairness to the other witnesses
17	who have been scheduled to speak, limits on
18	the questions and overall time period will be
19	implemented for the first witness, Mayor de
20	Blasio. Any committee member in the seat in
21	the room after 11:30 will not be permitted to
22	ask questions. For those of you that are
23	upstairs in the chambers listening on TV, you
24	must be down by 11:30 to ask a question of

1 Mayor de Blasio.

2	All questioning of the mayor will
3	conclude at 1 p.m. Any additional
4	questioning and photo opportunities should
5	then take place outside of the hearing room,
6	to allow us to promptly continue with the
7	next scheduled witness.
8	I will now introduce members from the
9	Assembly and Senator Young, chair of the
10	Senate Finance Committee, will introduce
11	members from the Senate.
12	We have with us I'm going to get as
13	many as I can and then we'll do it as I catch
14	you later Assemblywoman Rozic, Assemblyman
15	Mosley, Assemblyman Aubry, Assemblyman
16	Carroll, Assemblywoman Seawright, Assemblyman
17	Benedetto, Assemblyman Weprin, Assemblywoman
18	Nolan, Assemblyman Cusick, Assemblywoman
19	Simon, and Assemblywoman Hooper. Those that
20	I missed, I'll catch later.
21	Senator.
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you very
23	much, Mr. Chairman.
24	And I'm delighted to be here today,

1 and I welcome everyone to these proceedings. 2 I am joined by several of my colleagues. I 3 have Senator Diane Savino, vice chair of the Senate Finance Committee. Also we are joined 4 5 by Senator Simcha Felder, who serves as chair of the Cities Committee, and also Senator 6 7 Kathy Marchione, who is chair of the Local Governments Committee. In addition to that, 8 9 we also are joined by Senator Elaine 10 Phillips. 11 And I would turn things over to my 12 colleague who is the ranking member on 13 Finance, and that's Senator Liz Krueger, to 14 introduce the members in her conference. 15 SENATOR KRUEGER: Good morning, 16 everyone. I am also joined by my colleague Senator Roxanne Persaud from Brooklyn and 17 18 Senator Daniel Squadron from Brooklyn and 19 Manhattan. 20 Thank you. Welcome. 21 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblyman Oaks. 22 ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Yes, we're also joined by Assemblywoman Malliotakis and 23 24 Assemblyman Castorina.

1	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Good morning.
2	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Good morning.
3	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Welcome.
4	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Ready to go?
5	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Yup, go ahead.
6	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Okay, thank you.
7	Well, I want to thank everyone, and
8	particularly thank the chairs for the
9	opportunity to be here today. Senator Young
10	and Assemblymember Farrell, thank you for
11	your leadership of this joint hearing. And I
12	want to also thank your ranking members,
13	Senator Krueger and Assemblyman Oaks.
14	I want to say, on behalf of the people
15	of the city, a thank you to the legislative
16	leadership across the board. To Speaker
17	Heastie and Majority Leader Flanagan,
18	Democratic Conference Leader Stewart-Cousins,
19	IDC Conference Leader Klein, Assembly
20	Minority Leader Kolb, and all the members of
21	the State Legislature here and across the
22	board, thank you for your partnership and
23	wave average for the people of New York City
	your support for the people of New York City.

who will be joining me and will participate,
 as warranted, in the Q&A. Of course you know
 them both, Dean Fuleihan, our director of New
 York City Office of Management and Budget,
 and Sherif Soliman, the city's director for
 state legislative affairs.

7 I want to say up front that the 8 Legislature has been a crucial partner, and that is important to all the work we do on 9 10 behalf of the people of New York City. And 11 of course we are all in this together: 12 New York City, and all the ways that we 13 attempt to contribute to the larger State of 14 New York and all the ways that the State of 15 New York works with us. This is part of a 16 continuum, and we see our role as continuing 17 to be an economic engine for the state as a 18 whole and for the region. And obviously we 19 are the state's primary gateway to the rest 20 of the world, and we know we have to play that role well. 21

I think it's fair to say that the City
of New York can only reach its full potential
with the support of the State of New York. I

also think it's fair to say that New York
 State succeeds when New York City succeeds,
 and New York City succeed when New York State
 succeeds. It's a truly symbiotic
 relationship.

And at this moment I can say and I'm 6 7 happy to report to you that New York City is succeeding most clearly in the vein of 8 9 economic growth. In the last two full years 10 for which we have full information, 2014 and 11 2015, New York City created more jobs itself 12 than all but four states in the country, and 13 that helped push forward New York State's 14 overall gains in terms of economic growth and 15 job creation. And of course New York City 16 accounts for almost 43 percent of the state's total population, 46 percent of the state's 17 18 jobs, and 60 percent of the state's tax 19 revenue.

20 So we are very happy to play those 21 roles, but we also know we have profound 22 challenges and issues we have to address in 23 terms of our 8.5 million people. Examples 24 are clear: 58 percent of New York State's

Medicaid recipients live in New York City, 53
 percent of the state's free and
 reduced-price-lunch students attend school in
 New York City. So we have some of the most
 powerful ways of contributing to the state;
 we also have some of the biggest challenges
 in the state.

We're investing, in our latest budget, 8 in the people of our city, but at the same 9 10 time we're demanding savings from our city 11 agencies. We're setting aside unprecedented 12 reserves, and we're spending within our 13 means. I'm proud to say that just last week, 14 one of the rating agencies, Moody's, noted 15 that our "diverse, vibrant economy drives 16 growth needed to keep pace with fixed costs," and gave us a very positive review of our 17 18 fiscal standing.

19Now, we have to continue our economic20strength and our economic growth for the good21of all. And we face a variety of challenges,22but we are prepared to address them head-on.23We also know that we have to ensure, for all24of you, that when we ask for state assistance

1 that we can show you we're getting the 2 maximum impact from that state assistance and 3 the maximum bang for the buck. Examples are clear. You, all the 4 5 members of the Legislature, played a crucial role in allowing us to build out our pre-K 6 7 program. Now 70,000 4-year-olds are enrolled in pre-K, and we're making sure that that 8 investment pays off. Again, I want to offer 9 10 my profound thanks on behalf of the parents 11 of the City of New York in allowing us to 12 achieve this success. This is part of a bigger initiative called Equity and 13 14 Excellence to fundamentally alter and improve 15 our school system, and we've already seen results with higher graduation rates and 16 higher test scores. And we believe those 17 trends will continue because of these 18 19 investments. 20 So that's an example of state support 21 that's having a real and tangible impact in a

22 very efficient manner.

I also want to say, in terms ofaffordable housing, we are ahead of schedule

1 in our initiative to build and preserve 2 200,000 affordable apartments, enough for 3 half a million people. Already, over three years of this plan, 62,000 apartments have 4 5 been either financed and built or preserved already. And that immediately is enough 6 7 affordable housing for almost 200,000 New Yorkers. That is the most affordable 8 9 apartments -- particularly in the last year, 10 the most affordable apartments created or 11 preserved in a single year since the time a 12 quarter-century ago, that we have set a 13 record for the last quarter-century for the pace of that production. 14

15 Finally, I want to note, in the vein 16 of impact, that your support has been part of our ongoing effort to make the City of New 17 18 York the safest big city in America. We're proud to say that the numbers have come in 19 20 for 2016, and once again we've seen a reduction in crime across the board in New 21 York City, while we've improved the 22 relationship of police and community through 23 24 our Neighborhood Policing initiative.

Another example of investments that have
 consistently paid off.

3 Now, we're going to continue our efforts to keep our city's economy vibrant 4 5 and strong, and this is consistent in the fiscal '18 preliminary budget that I 6 7 presented last week. A few examples I want 8 to offer you. We obviously know that for our continued efforts on public safety, to 9 10 succeed we need to keep training and equipping our police officers more 11 12 effectively. So we're investing \$10.4 million to install bullet-resistant 13 14 windows in every NYPD patrol car.

15 We know the city succeeds when people 16 can afford to be there, and we know we have a particular obligation to those residents who 17 live in public housing -- over 400,000 New 18 19 Yorkers -- and we have to provide them safe 20 and secure housing. The City of New York has made a commitment to invest \$1 billion over 21 22 the next 10 years to fix the roofs at 729 NYCHA buildings that have been found to have 23 24 leaks that cause health problems for the

residents. This will allow us to reach
 literally all of the buildings that have
 serious roof problems causing health concerns
 for residents.

5 We know we succeed when our students 6 have the right environment to learn in and 7 are not subjected to overcrowding. And we 8 know overcrowding has been a particular 9 concern for members of the Senate and the 10 Assembly from New York City.

11 So we're tackling the problem head-on 12 by investing an additional \$495 million to 13 build 38,000 new school seats, part of the 14 next five-year plan. That total cost will be 15 \$4.6 billion. Again, by creating 38,000 new 16 school seats, we will substantially address 17 overcrowding issues.

Finally, we know that investment in infrastructure is absolutely necessary to sustain economic growth, so we're fully funding \$303 million worth of construction on the Brooklyn and Queens stretch of the 3rd Water Tunnel. Nothing more importantly, obviously, than providing clean and safe

water to our residents and having redundancy
 against all potential challenges.

3 3rd Water Tunnel, the foundation is built, meaning the tunnel itself is in place. 4 5 It will be filled by the end of this year with water, will be operational and 6 7 available, redundancy in the event of an 8 emergency, and then the additional work to add shafts and other elements will be done 9 10 with the \$303 million.

11 So when it comes to what we're able to 12 do with our resources and with your support, 13 we feel confident about the progress we're 14 making. But where we feel real uncertainty 15 is on the federal front. And we know that 16 those uncertainties are sharp and profound.

Already, of course, we've seen new 17 policies that lead us to be very concerned 18 about their impact on the people of New York 19 20 City -- just last week, of course, the 21 executive order on immigration, which has 22 raised a host of concerns, and which I 23 believe fundamentally runs contrary to the 24 values of New York City. We believe we will

be on a firm legal footing to challenge it
 and will do so as needed.

3 But this is only a beginning. The great concern we have ahead, of course, is on 4 5 the budgetary front. What will the impact of proposals from the Trump administration and 6 7 Republican Congress be in terms of potential cuts to services and funding that the federal 8 government currently provides? This is a 9 10 great unknown that will have a huge impact on 11 not only the city budget but of course on the 12 state budget that you're debating right now. 13 One crucial example that we all are 14 concerned about, we do not know what 15 direction efforts to repeal the Affordable Care Act will take. ACA now covers 16 1.6 million New York City residents. Changes 17 to the Affordable Care Act or cuts to 18 19 Medicaid would have an enormous impact on

both the well-being of our people and on our
ability to fund our public hospital system,
our Health and Hospitals Corporation.

23Of the 1.2 million patients who depend24upon our public hospital system, 30 percent

are currently uninsured, and 45 percent are
 on Medicaid. Should the ACA be repealed, we
 believe that up to 200,000 of our public
 hospital patients could lose their insurance,
 not only endangering their health but
 potentially cost our public hospital system
 hundreds of millions of dollars in revenue.

8 So we're quite clear that any actions 9 taken in Washington could create real pain 10 for both state and city government. And 11 that's why it's so important that we -- and 12 we ask your support in making sure that the 13 state budget insulates and protects local 14 governments and our work given these great 15 uncertainties.

16 I want to comment briefly on the State Executive Budget, where we certainly see some 17 18 very good news but also some areas of 19 concern. In terms of education, the City of 20 New York certainly appreciates deeply that 21 the new Excelsior Scholarship Program, when 22 added to existing tuition assistance programs, promises to further ease tuition 23 24 costs for low- and middle-income students in

1 our state.

2	We're also gratified to see continued
3	support for the DREAM Act, which is
4	particularly important at this moment in
5	history.
6	The Executive Budget continues the
7	commitment to cap local Medicaid costs and
8	assumes control of Medicaid administration.
9	We certainly appreciate and support that.
10	This will save the City of New York
11	\$130 million. We appreciate this commitment,
12	and we know that the city can assist the
13	state in Medicaid reimbursements without the
14	specific \$50 million New York City mandate
15	that was added in this budget.
16	There's a three-year extension of
17	mayoral control in the Executive Budget. I
18	think it's fair to say that educators,
19	business leaders, and civic leaders alike
20	have called for a multiyear renewal. There's
21	a strong and bipartisan consensus on this,
22	and let's resolve to address this issue right
23	away through the budget process.
24	I'm obviously pleased to see election

1 reform in the Executive Budget. I want to 2 thank the Assembly for passing an election 3 reform package last year including early voting, which is absolutely necessary given 4 5 the realities of modern lives and people's schedules. Early voting and same-day 6 7 registration are fundamental reforms we need 8 to improve the democratic process.

9 I continue to support Raise the Age 10 and know we'll be a better and fairer state 11 if we get this done. While we wait for 12 legislative reform in this area, my 13 administration has already made a commitment 14 to move 16- and 17-year-olds off of Rikers 15 Island.

I'm also pleased the Governor
announced support for speedy trial reform.
New Yorkers deserve their day in court, and
the principles of fairness and justice demand
that we ensure that day in court as
expeditiously as possible.

I'd like to commend Speaker Heastie
for his leadership on this issue, as well as
members of the Assembly Democratic Conference

1 for championing these issues.

24

2 I also support changes to our bail 3 system that would reduce our reliance on 4 money bail and would allow judges to consider 5 whether a defendant poses a danger to the community when making bail determinations. 6 7 New York City has expanded supervised release so that 3300 individuals a year can 8 be safely supervised in the community instead 9 10 of being detained. Detaining those who 11 actually pose a risk and releasing those who 12 can safely remain in the community, doing both those things is good public policy, and 13 14 I look forward to working with you on these 15 issues during the session. 16 I now want to talk about the larger economic reality of our city and how this 17 18 state budget affects us. We're still dealing 19 with extraordinary challenges in terms of 20 affordability in our city and income 21 inequality that is widespread. This is a 22 problem everywhere. I think everyone was struck by the 23

recent Oxfam report that made clear that

eight individuals possess as much wealth as
one-half of the residents of this globe. And
we also know that the wealthiest among us
have every reason to expect a major new tax
break at the federal level, given the
proposals already put forward by President
Trump and the Congress.

We think, in light of the fact that 8 the wealthiest will be receiving a 9 10 substantial federal tax break, that it's time 11 that they pay their share in state and local 12 taxes. So I join the Assembly majority's 13 push to extend and deepen the millionaire's 14 tax. This is critical to all that we are 15 trying to do to improve our economy and 16 support our people.

For similar reasons, I believe the 17 18 time is now ripe to enact a mansion tax for 19 New York City high-value home sales. That 20 means homes that start with a sales price of 21 \$2 million or higher. The people who would 22 be affected can certainly afford this additional tax, and the revenue would be used 23 24 to keep 25,000 senior citizens in their homes

1 at an affordable level. So this would be an 2 additional 25,000 senior citizens who would 3 get affordable housing as a result of this 4 proposal.

5 Moreover, in this uncertain federal climate, identifying local revenue sources 6 7 for affordable housing preservation is paramount. I think it's fair to say one of 8 9 the areas most threatened by potential 10 federal budget cuts is affordable housing, public housing, Section 8 -- all of the 11 12 things that so many of our residents in New York City and across the state depend on 13 14 to be able to afford to live.

15 Now, a few areas of concern that the 16 City of New York has with the State Executive Budget. First, we're concerned about the 17 18 421-a tax abatement program. We worked hard 19 with so many of you to eliminate the old and 20 broken version of the 421-a program, which 21 subsidized luxury housing without enough 22 affordable housing in return.

23 We advanced a proposal in 2015 that 24 set forth some clear principles for what

1 421-a should look like. One, more

2 affordability per city tax dollar spent. 3 It's a matter of fairness to our taxpayers. 4 Two, that we could no longer subsidize luxury 5 housing. And three, if a developer got a tax exemption anywhere in New York City, they had 6 7 to provide affordable housing in return. Those were the core principles. 8 The state's current proposal 9 10 represents a departure from these principles. To illustrate, if the old, broken 421-a 11 12 program was extended, the per-unit subsidy would have been \$614,000 and likely would 13 14 have produced only 12,400 affordable 15 apartments over 10 years. 16 By contrast, our proposal from 2015 had a per-unit subsidy of \$421,700 and would 17 18 have produced almost twice as much affordable 19 housing: 25,500 affordable apartments --20 enough, on average, for over 75,000 New 21 Yorkers. In other words, it meant fewer 22 taxpayer dollars spent for each apartment and

23 more affordable housing created.

24 Now, in the state's current proposal,

the per-unit subsidy would be \$544,300 and produce 21,750 affordable apartments over 10 years. In other words, more than \$100,000 per unit above the cost of our proposal, with less affordable housing.

6 Now, we're concerned by the additional 7 costs imposed by the state's current 8 proposal. But we obviously look forward to a 9 conversation on how we can work together.

10 That being said, we're even more 11 concerned with the potential expansion of any 12 condominium program as negotiations proceed. 13 And we're concerned that we not creep back in 14 the direction of the old and broken system.

15 We are particularly adamant that we 16 cannot support a plan that reverts back to subsidizing luxury condominiums. Costs will 17 18 balloon in that instance without improving affordability, which is the core objective of 19 20 the program. And we'd have to ask at that 21 point whether we were repeating mistakes of 22 the past and spending taxpayer dollars to subsidize luxury housing, which I think it's 23 24 fair to say our taxpayers would not approve

1 of.

2	A second area of concern is education.
3	There appears to be an effort to eliminate
4	the state's commitment to fully fund the
5	shortfall in aid owed to high-need school
6	districts all over the State of New York.
7	Almost a decade ago, the state charted a
8	course of reform in how school aid was
9	allocated. After lawsuits, a study
10	commission, a financial crisis and many
11	budgets later, this vital commitment has yet
12	to be completely fulfilled.
13	The state must continue its commitment
14	to funding the Campaign for Fiscal Equity
15	settlement. Now, the city is doing its part.
16	Since 2008, the city's share of education
17	spending has increased from 49 percent to 57
18	percent, while the state share has declined
19	from 41 percent to 37 percent. The state
20	shortfall is currently \$1.6 billion.
21	We are doing our part to provide
22	equitable funding to our schools, but we need
23	the state's partnership, and we need the
24	state to do more.

1 When I came into office, many schools 2 were at just 81 percent of the fair student 3 funding level, and the citywide average was 88 percent. Over two years, with your help, 4 5 with the help of state assistance, we increased the citywide average to 91 percent, 6 7 with no school below 87 percent of the formula. 8

9 All, by the way, all of our Community 10 and Renewal Schools are at 100 percent of 11 their fair student funding level.

12 Now, if the state provides a similar increase in education aid as it did over the 13 14 last two years, we intend to go to a citywide 15 average of 92.5 percent, with no school below 16 90 percent next year. And with the state's continuation of the commitment to CFE, the 17 18 city has committed to raising all schools to a minimum of 100 percent by fiscal 2021. 19

20 On another front, the state is 21 proposing a much-needed consolidation of the 22 administration of grant funding for pre-K. 23 We support the goals of streamlining to 24 address the administrative burden on school

districts that come with complying with
 separate funding structures, standards, and
 reporting requirements.

However, the proposal also plans to 4 5 cut the per-seat rate at a cost of \$34 million, or the equivalent of 3400 pre-K 6 7 seats in New York City. The state has made a giant leap forward over the last years in 8 supporting pre-K, but this proposal and this 9 10 proposed cut is a leap backwards and counter 11 to all the progress that we've made, with 12 your partnership, in ensuring that 70,000 4-year-olds had full-day, high-quality pre-K. 13 14 This rate cut should not go forward.

15 Additionally, the state is proposing to increase and shift charter school costs it 16 has been paying previously. The proposal 17 strips state support away from charter 18 schools and transfers this cost to school 19 20 districts abruptly, and to an exorbitant 21 degree. For New York City alone, this would 22 mean \$198 million less funding for our schools. 23

24

If the state chooses to enact this

increase in funding for charter schools, then
 it should cover the costs and fund it
 separately from our basic school aid, as it
 has for the past four years.

5 The Executive Budget also removes the geographic cap on charter schools in New York 6 7 City. The Legislature recently raised the 8 cap, and there are currently 30 charters available for New York City, in addition to 9 10 29 schools already approved to open. This is ample, and there is no need to raise the cap 11 12 at this time.

13 Third, there are several cuts in the State Executive Budget that are going to have 14 15 an effect on thousands and thousands of 16 New Yorkers. These cuts include, first of all, a \$32.5 million cut through fiscal '18 17 18 for public health programs, chipping away at the long history of state partnership in 19 20 health funding in areas including disease 21 control and emergency preparedness, 22 particularly related to our efforts against 23 Zika, maternal and child health programs, 24 such as the Newborn Home Visiting program,

HIV prevention activities, school-based
 health services, Naloxone education and
 distribution to address the opioid crisis,
 and public health campaigns on anti-smoking
 and obesity-related illnesses.

There is a cut of almost \$66 million 6 7 through fiscal '18 with the shift of state costs for the education and care provided to 8 8,900 foster-care youth. There's a cut of 9 10 \$25.5 million through fiscal '18 through the reduction of senior center funding, affecting 11 12 65 neighborhood programs for seniors serving over 6,000 seniors per today. And there's a 13 14 cut of almost \$30 million through fiscal '18 with the elimination of the state share in 15 16 funding services for more than 800 special education students who have highly 17 18 specialized needs. These are key areas of 19 need for the city that the budget does not 20 address.

21 A couple more points. I want to say 22 we must all together note the glaring and 23 inexplicable omission of New York City in the 24 design-build authorization in the budget. The Executive Budget extends and expands
 design-build for state agencies, state
 authorities, and counties outside of New York
 City.

5 According to a 2016 report by the Empire State Development Corporation, which 6 7 evaluated projects in New York State, design-build accelerated dozens of projects 8 and saved taxpayers billions of dollars. If 9 10 the city also had access to this tool, similar benefits would be realized. Our 11 12 capital agencies have identified \$7.3 billion in projects, with around \$450 million in 13 14 immediate savings for our taxpayers.

15 If the rest of New York State has 16 access to design-build, New York City 17 certainly should as well, as a matter of 18 common sense.

19Now I'd like to discuss for just a20moment the homeless crisis facing New York21City, and I want to be clear up-front that my22administration is fundamentally dissatisfied23with the current homelessness situation in24our city. Next month we will be announcing a

1 new, comprehensive vision for addressing it. 2 Homelessness has been a growing 3 problem in our city for decades and only got worse in the years since 2011, when the 4 5 Advantage rental assistance program was canceled. There's much more to do, and we 6 7 have made meaningful progress, however, over the last three years. 8

Without our new initiatives, we 9 10 project, instead of the 60,000-plus people who are in shelters now, that that number 11 12 would be over 70,000. We have evidence that some of our initiatives are working more 13 14 consistently, including the fact that our 15 anti-eviction legal services program has 16 helped to reduce evictions by 24 percent since 2013. 17

18 We know our new HOME-STAT initiative, 19 the most comprehensive program to address 20 street homelessness anywhere in the United 21 States, which began last year, has already 22 brought 690 individuals off the streets and 23 into safer surroundings where they can get 24 support.

1 Of course, the best way to address 2 homelessness is to prevent it from happening 3 in the first place. That's why I'm pleased to support Assemblyman Andrew Hevesi's Home 4 5 Stability Support proposal, which deserves serious consideration in this budget process. 6 7 Home Stability Support would create a statewide, primarily state-and-federally-8 funded rental subsidy program designed to 9 10 keep people in their homes and out of the 11 shelter. It recognizes the state's 12 responsibility in providing rental assistance 13 and provides an option to local governments 14 to go even farther in their own efforts. And 15 I will tell you right now, rest assured that New York City will do its share if this 16 initiative is passed. 17 18 I look forward to working with all of 19 you and Assemblyman Hevesi to ensure that the 20 final product is one that can have an 21 immediate impact on people's lives while not 22 imposing an unfunded mandate on local 23 governments.

24

I want to turn for a moment to the

1 Memorandum of Understanding on housing that 2 would unlock \$2 billion in housing funding 3 authorized in last year's state budget. Housing is the single biggest expense for our 4 5 residents, and we welcome additional affordable homes financed by the state as we 6 7 continue to work together to meet the needs 8 of so many New Yorkers who are one paycheck away from not being able to afford their rent 9 10 or their mortgage.

11 Negotiating and signing the MOU is 12 also critical because it would facilitate the 13 production of supportive housing units that 14 offer residents an apartment and services to 15 keep their lives on track and help us to 16 fundamentally address the problem of 17 homelessness.

18 My administration announced a 19 commitment to fund 15,000 apartments for 20 supportive housing over the next 15 years, 21 the largest commitment ever made by the City 22 of New York. We've budgeted the necessary 23 resources, and our first 550 apartments will 24 be available this year for people in need. Over the next five years, New York City will
 produce 4,000 apartments that will address
 the needs of our most vulnerable residents
 and have a direct impact on reducing our
 shelter population.

But we have a related concern when it 6 7 comes to public housing. While the city has 8 made a very substantial commitment to capital improvements for public housing -- as I 9 10 mentioned, \$1 billion committed to fix the roofs in over 700 buildings -- the current 11 12 total from the state falls short. And we ask 13 your assistance in providing help to the 14 400,000 people who live in public housing. I 15 want to take a moment to thank Speaker 16 Heastie and the Assembly Democratic Conference for fighting to add to that 17 18 funding.

19As I wrap up, I want to underscore the20importance of partnering with you and with21all community leaders to address the housing22crisis. As I said, in February I will23present a comprehensive vision related to24homelessness. And one thing that we will do

1 as part of that vision is address a concern
2 that many of you have raised about community
3 notification. We will include a notification
4 process for you and for community leaders
5 that will be implemented immediately upon the
6 announcement next month.

7 And post-notification, we'll proceed 8 with meaningful community engagement, one that facilitates dialogue between city 9 10 officials, elected officials, and community 11 leaders, ensuring there's a clear framework 12 and timeline for any shelter opening, and 13 making sure that we're connecting shelter 14 residents to the schools and jobs and 15 community supports they need while addressing 16 the concerns of neighborhood residents at the same time. 17

18I want to conclude my testimony by19reflecting on how much of all of our work is20happening under clouds of uncertainty. As a21result of the new administration in22Washington, we all must live with the23possibility that many things will change, and24very much in unexpected ways. I think the

events of the last 48 hours certainly
 illustrate that.

3 I can assure you that no matter what happens in Washington, New York City will do 4 5 its part to remain a growing and vibrant 6 economic anchor for our region and our state. 7 But in the face of this unprecedented 8 uncertainty from the federal level, your partnership is more important than ever. And 9 10 now more than ever, it is important to 11 remember a simple truth in terms of the 12 relationship between New York City and New York State: We are all in this together. 13 14 Thank you, Chairs, for the opportunity 15 to be here. 16 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. We've been joined by Assemblywoman 17 18 Wright, Assemblywoman Wallace, Assemblywoman Pheffer Amato, Assemblywoman Richardson, 19 20 Assemblywoman Bichotte, Assemblyman 21 Braunstein, and Assemblyman Buchwald. 22 ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: We've also been 23 joined by Assemblyman Saladino. 24 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you very

1 much.

-	
2	And we've also been joined by Senator
3	Golden, Senator Hamilton, Senator Sanders,
4	and Senator Hoylman.
5	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: And to question,
6	Michael Benedetto, chair of the Cities
7	Committee.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: Thank you,
9	Denny.
10	Good morning, Mr. Mayor. Thank you
11	for being here. Always a pleasure.
12	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: I have three
14	questions, and I'll try to ask them quickly,
15	and I hope we can get a quick answer so I can
16	get them in.
17	You mentioned that you want
18	design-build to be part of New York City, and
19	I tend to agree with you. However, some of
20	the problems we had with design-build was
21	allaying the fears of women- and
22	minority-owned businesses, labor unions.
23	Could you tell me about your efforts in those
24	areas and what you have done to improve our

1 chances of getting this bill passed?

2 MAYOR DE BLASIO: The simple, fast 3 answer, we've made a clear 30 percent commitment in terms of MWBEs for all 4 5 contracting. We're going to need your help with some of the legislative elements that 6 7 would help us to speed that effort. But the City of New York is now committed to a 30 8 percent goal in all contracting for MWBEs. 9

10 In terms of labor, as I think you've 11 seen, Assemblyman, we have signed PLAs with 12 labor unions, for example, for public housing 13 that are unprecedented and prove that we can 14 create a very positive, cooperative framework 15 for labor having a substantial core role in so much of the work we do. We'd like to see 16 that continue across everything we do. 17

So I think those are examples of the direction we're ready to take. The bottom line on design-build is it will allow for much faster projects and huge savings, which will not only allow for fairness for taxpayers, but it will help us to do even more. And you know how immense our

1 infrastructure problems are in New York City. 2 So while we're waiting to see what 3 happens in Washington -- and as we know, there's a tremendous uncertainty -- one thing 4 5 that would help us right now to use our own resources more effectively is design-build. 6 7 ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: Thank you. Let's hope we get that. 8 9 New York City passed, Mr. Mayor, a 10 tax -- you call it a fee -- on plastic bags. Okay? And while we understand the 11 12 environmental concerns and the reasons for 13 it, we -- at least many of us in the Assembly 14 have concerns with that and the cost it's 15 going to be for some of the people who are 16 rather challenged financially in the City of New York. 17 18 We would like to see possibly a 19 postponement in that bag tax so we can have 20 hearings on it, possibly develop a 21 alternative recycling program -- just for 22 such plastic bags -- that could be good for the environment and also save our citizens in 23 24 the City of New York this nickel tax.

1

Your view, sir.

2 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Assemblyman, you 3 know we share a common understanding that a lot of people in our city are hurting 4 5 economically and struggling to make ends meet. I would never belittle that challenge. 6 7 But at the same time, we all face the immense challenge of an earth in crisis because of 8 9 climate change and environmental degradation. 10 We have to stop taking plastic bags made with 11 petroleum products. So they're right there, 12 fossil fuels, hurting our environment, 13 exaggerating climate change further because 14 it's fossil fuels being used, and then adding to our landfills, which is bad for the earth 15 16 and costs taxpayers money. 17 This is the right thing to do to break 18 with the status quo that we're in right now. Plastic bags no longer have a place in our 19 20 lives. I would not -- I absolutely respect 21 your question, but I would not encourage

delay. We have made clear that we believe,
with the education efforts and with a
concerted effort to provide consumers with

permanent bags that they can bring to markets -- as our ancestors did long before there were plastic bags -- we think that can solve the problem. And the City Council initiated this concept, but I was happy to sign the bill because I think it's about protecting the earth.

8 So we believe we can get to the core 9 of your concern that folks of limited means 10 not end up paying more than they can afford 11 by giving them the tool that will allow them 12 to go about their shopping with no additional 13 cost.

ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: Has anything 14 15 been looked into as a possible recycling 16 program just for such plastic bags? Because bags we get from the dry cleaner's, for 17 18 instance, bags that are given on takeout 19 foods, they're not going to be included on 20 this. So we're still going to have this 21 problem.

But if you do have a recycling plan
for those types, maybe we can suit both ends.
MAYOR DE BLASIO: I appreciate the

1 thought. I've been down this road on other 2 issues like Styrofoam. I think we have to 3 get away from the use of fossil fuels, period. I think we all know -- maybe there 4 5 are some in Washington who deny climate change, but I think in general in the State 6 7 of New York, it's fair to say New York State and New York City have been leaders in 8 9 addressing climate change. And we don't have 10 any time to waste. 11 So any product made that's -- any 12 petroleum-based product is a problem unto itself. We have to get away from it. 13 14 Recycling doesn't solve the problem 15 sufficiently. 16 I think if you knew that all of your constituents would have an opportunity to 17 18 have a bag they could use on a regular basis 19 that did not require plastic bags any longer 20 and they could go about their lives without 21 that additional cost, you'd feel comfortable. That's exactly what we want to achieve. 22 Between public education and providing the 23 24 maximum number of permanent bags, take that

1	economic burden off people but take, most
2	importantly, the burden off the earth that
3	threatens us all.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: Thank you,
5	Mr. Mayor.
6	You have proposed, I believe,
7	\$275 million in the budget for the police
8	firing range down at Rodman's Neck in the
9	Bronx. What have and we thank you for
10	that, because it's certainly well-needed.
11	But what have you done to address the
12	concerns of many of us who are worried about
13	the pollution, lead pollution that's going to
14	go it's going into the water, and has
15	been, and possibly avoiding lawsuits about
16	federal regulations about water pollution?
17	MAYOR DE BLASIO: I'll start and I
18	just will say, as with any answer, if my
19	colleagues want to add some specificity, I'm
20	sure they'll jump in.
21	Bottom line vision for the investment
22	at Rodman's Neck. We have a facility for our
23	police officers that is absolutely essential
24	for their ability to use their firearms

1 properly. It is outmoded. We need to 2 improve firearms training for all reasons, 3 starting with a terror threat -- and this is where we'll do more and more effective 4 5 active-shooter scenarios for our officers, which literally is about stopping any act of 6 7 terror in progress and protecting both our residents and our officers alike. These are 8 scenarios also that will help in everyday 9 10 policing in terms of the ongoing effort to deescalate confrontations and to reduce the 11 12 use of firearms to the maximum extent 13 possible. 14 This training is quintessential to all 15 we have to do for our city. An improved 16 Rodman's Neck facility will allow us to do 17 it. 18 Now, there's been two concerns that 19 have to be addressed. One, the noise concern 20 of City Island and surrounding areas. This 21 will allow us to do that. Two, the pollution 22 concern. As we modernize the facility, it gives us a chance to address that concern 23 24 head-on.

1 So I will be able to have our team get 2 you details of it, but the notion here is that the additional work we'll do at Rodman's 3 4 Neck will allow us to not see any of the 5 residue seep -- from the activity seep into the water. This is an environmental upgrade 6 7 as well as a sound upgrade. ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: There are many 8 in the area who, while they applaud your 9 10 attention, might not be satisfied fully with 11 that. 12 Lastly --13 MAYOR DE BLASIO: That sounds like all 14 New Yorkers, Assemblyman. 15 ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: Yes. Yes. 16 And speaking of not being satisfied, and at the risk of having a few on the panel 17 here rolling their eyes, I must direct your 18 19 attention to the great City of Co-op, Co-op 20 City, with close to 50,000 people in it who 21 have many concerns. 22 I have asked you about numerous concerns in regards to the people in Co-op 23 24 City, and I get basically a Reagan-era motto:

1 "Just say no." Can you give me any hope for 2 the residents of Co-op City? MAYOR DE BLASIO: We don't tend to --3 I don't hear a lot of comparisons to Ronald 4 5 Reagan, so this is a unique moment. I know 6 it's a compliment. 7 We are having a meeting next week with leaders of Co-op City to try and address a 8 number of outstanding issues, the asbestos 9 10 issue and other issues. I know Co-op City is one of the foundations of affordable housing 11 12 in New York City. I've spent a lot of time there. I think the residents' concerns are 13 14 real and honest. We're still trying to find 15 solutions. 16 But there will be a delegation from Co-op City meeting with senior members of the 17 administration -- I'll be a part of that 18 19 meeting as well -- I believe it's next week. 20 ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: Well, let's 21 keep it affordable, Mr. Mayor. 22 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Yes, sir. ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: Thank you, 23 24 sir.

1 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 2 Senator? CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, 3 Mr. Mayor, and welcome to Albany. And we 4 5 certainly are appreciating the fact that 6 you're here and that you're actively engaged 7 with us. As chair of the Finance Committee, I 8 have several questions that I will go over in 9 10 a few minutes. But at this point I'd like to cede my time to Senator Simcha Felder, who is 11 12 the chair of the Cities Committee. 13 SENATOR FELDER: Good morning, 14 Mr. Mayor. 15 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Good morning, 16 Senator. SENATOR FELDER: Thank you for being 17 18 here. 19 Last year, on May 4th, you testified here before the Education Committee. You 20 21 made a commitment then to the parents of 22 special-needs children to finally implement, before the start of this school year, 23 24 three-year IEPs for special-needs students

that require no changes to their IEPs. That
 never occurred.

Although you did provide a letter to my office on May 13th, such policy was not implemented, and children and families are still suffering humiliation and financial hardship to maintain their IEPs by having to pay lawyers over and over and over again despite the fact that there are no changes.

10 So the example I gave last time is if 11 a child has Down syndrome, autism, there's no 12 fix for that, unfortunately, and there's no 13 need to force them to do this over and over 14 again.

15 September 6th of 2016, I requested a 16 meeting in writing to discuss this critical 17 issue and received no response. I called 18 several times after September 6th, received 19 no response. I wrote again on December 6th; 20 still no response.

Mayor, I'm not hurt or disturbed
personally by your lack of response to me.
In fact, many of my colleagues in government
have told me of similar experiences regarding

1 your office's inattentiveness, not being 2 responsive. So I know that you share that 3 same love with many of my colleagues as you 4 do with me in not responding. 5 But New Yorkers, and especially families with special-needs children, are 6 7 suffering, and they demand your response and require you to live up to your commitments. 8 9 And I thank you for the strides and 10 improvements that you've made, no question 11 about that. But that doesn't give me or 12 anyone else a pass on doing what we have to do to help these families. So I sincerely 13 14 hope New Yorkers can count on your 15 commitments in 2017. 16 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Senator --SENATOR FELDER: I didn't finish. 17 Let's start fresh in 2017 and hope for the 18 19 best. 20 Now, shortly after you made those 21 commitments, the City Council passed the 22 controversial bag tax that was referred to by my colleague by I think a close vote, 28 to 23 24 20. Let me be clear. I think New Yorkers

1 are tired of being insulted and lied to. The 2 debate about the plastic bag tax, fee, 3 charge, whatever you want to call it, has nothing to do with whether people care or 4 5 don't care about the environment or whether people care or don't care about climate 6 7 change. That is not the issue. I don't believe there's anyone here that wants to 8 hurt the environment. 9

10 The issue is whether we have to be 11 punitive every time that there is an issue. 12 And that's the way things go regularly. If 13 government doesn't have a way to fix 14 something, no problem: Tax. No problem: 15 Ticket. No problem: Fine.

16 So the bag tax was scheduled to be implemented this past October. There was a 17 18 postponement. It's quite shocking to me, 19 based on what the mayor just mentioned to the 20 Assemblymember, why there would have been 21 even a short postponement. But after -- and 22 it went -- it was supposed to be implemented in October, and instead it was postponed to 23 24 February 15th. An overwhelming outcry of

1

16

New Yorkers opposed to being

2 nickeled-and-dimed and fined, and my 3 colleagues and I introduced legislation to 4 stop it.

5 It was passed in June, then New York City delayed it to February 15th. And it was 6 7 common knowledge at the time that a 8 commitment was made to the Assembly by you and the New York City Council to use the 9 10 five-month intervening delay to make changes 11 to the bag tax and possibly work towards a 12 better solution to protect New Yorkers and 13 the environment. Let's stop saying that the 14 only way you can protect the environment or 15 address climate change is by taxing people.

Neither your office or the City Council tried at all to work out a solution 17 18 or a compromise. Strangely, no media 19 coverage, no publicity on implementation of 20 the bag tax or a compromise to be worked out. 21 Nothing. Until late last fall, when notices 22 were mailed to local retailers telling them, guess what, the tax is going into effect 23 24 February 15th. The commitment made to work

on a solution was yet another commitment
 ignored.

3 We're now about two weeks away from a 4 crisis facing average New Yorkers. And 5 Mr. Mayor, I'm sure you're familiar with the 6 saying "Fool me once, shame on you; fool me 7 twice, shame on me."

So my question, Mr. Mayor, is please 8 set the record straight. What steps have you 9 10 taken to eliminate, reform the onerous tax? 11 And based on some of the comments you made 12 earlier, it sounds like you don't want a 13 postponement because you don't want to make 14 any adjustments. Did you make -- did your 15 administration make any adjustments or 16 attempts to improve this bill during the five-month postponement that existed? 17 I'm finished now. 18 19 (Laughter.) 20 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Senator, we -- I say 21 this with deep respect, and we've known each 22 other a long time and worked together a long time. But we just disagree very 23 24 substantially on these matters. And I think

1 it's respectful to be blunt about that
2 disagreement.

3 I fundamentally disagree that this is an issue that isn't urgent to address in 4 5 terms of climate change. Our entire -- our city, our state, our nation, we are so far 6 7 behind right now where we need to be to protect our earth, and it's going to have 8 devastating impacts if we don't address it on 9 10 all levels. And what we saw with Sandy is 11 just an example of things to come.

12 So we must do this, and we have now 13 even more urgency, because we don't know 14 whether the federal government is going to 15 take a step backwards on addressing climate 16 change.

17So I don't think there's any18contradiction in saying to you that this is19an urgent matter, as are a host of other20things that we are trying to do21simultaneously to address climate change.22Second, as I said to the Assemblyman,23we believe that a combination of public

24 education and providing consumers with bags

1 that they can use addresses this issue. And 2 that was true for generations and 3 generations. Plastic bags as a common part 4 of our society is a few decades old. I do not believe it's a matter of unfairness to 5 people to work us all away from plastic bags. 6 7 And I believe if people have permanent bags, they don't need a plastic bag, they're not 8 paying anything. And those permanent bags 9 10 will be provided for free. A lot of people 11 have them already. 12 So it is about changing -- all of us have to change our behavior in a lot of ways 13 14 to address this new reality or it will 15 endanger us and our children and our 16 grandchildren. So I believe that we said that from 17 the beginning. The City Council initiated 18 19 this, as I said, but we believe they were 20 working in the right direction. The two 21 pillars of educating people and providing 22 them with an alternative are how you address this issue. 23 24 One thing I know for sure is the

status quo is not acceptable. If we continue using petroleum-based products on a mass scale when we don't need them, we're only exacerbating climate change. We're a very big market in New York City, we have a very big impact on the world, and it's our job to do it differently.

On the special education question, 8 Senator, I -- again, we've had this 9 10 conversation before. I will only say my instruction to all of my employees, whether 11 12 in the Albany office or at City Hall, is that 13 when any member of the Senate or the Assembly 14 has a concern, we need to be in dialogue with 15 them immediately, whether it's a sit-down 16 meeting, a phone call, a letter. I make that 17 a priority.

And I said in the beginning of my testimony we appreciate all of the partnership we've had. If you believe there's a widespread belief among your colleagues that they are not given the proper communication, that's what you've heard. I've heard something very different from a

lot of your colleagues. But if anyone here
 or any member of the Senate or Assembly feels
 they did not get the kind of response they
 deserve, I want to fix that.

5 But I -- the instruction has been 6 given, and I have plenty of evidence of the 7 instruction being followed. And I don't know 8 what's happening in the specific case of the 9 communication between your office and my 10 office, and we will work to fix that.

11 But I disagree with you fundamentally 12 on how far we have come on special education. 13 I agreed energetically with the vision of 14 addressing the original problem of our 15 parents having to litigate for services they 16 already deserve. This was something I worked on as public advocate. I appreciate your 17 leadership. I think we all got to a very 18 good place several years ago that actually 19 20 started real change, and I've heard from 21 numerous parents, unsolicited, how much 22 change has occurred in their lives. And then you further -- I think 23

24 appropriately -- raise the issue of locking

in three-year plans when there aren't any
 variations in the IEP or the programing to
 support the IEP. And I agreed to that,
 again, openly, energetically. And that is
 being implemented. Not all of it happens
 overnight, but it is being implemented.

7 But I can tell you that we have 8 specific evidence of moving consistently 9 towards more and more cases being settled 10 without litigation. That's the order I have 11 given to our Department of Education. And 12 we'll get you the chapter and verse on how 13 that is moving.

We also know there are some cases that are complex. That's a given. You said that too, I remember, when you offered the point that you knew not every case was easy and that there would be cases where there would be a change in IEP or a change in the needs of a child year to year.

21 But I agree with the vision you've 22 laid out, and we are energetically 23 implementing it. And I think there should be 24 a battle of facts. I'm happy to have that

battle of facts, and we will give you all of our information, chapter and verse, on what we're doing.

SENATOR FELDER: Well, first of all, I 4 5 just want to address the chair that I know there's a time limit, but obviously the mayor 6 7 didn't hear anything I said. Because the first thing I said was that we're not 8 debating whether to address climate change or 9 10 whether to address the environment. The question is how to do it. That's the issue. 11

12 Mr. Mayor, do you know how much a pound of bread costs today? Do you know how 13 14 much a dozen eggs costs a regular family? 15 Let me tell you, I was in a local shop a week ago and a mother came in with a few children 16 and put down whatever she wanted, and they 17 did not have enough money. And the store 18 19 owner said this was the price, and she had to 20 put back sliced cheese.

21 So again, the issue is not about 22 protecting the environment. That's --23 everybody agrees. The crisis you've 24 mentioned very clearly. I never said it

1 shouldn't be given priority. You know, when 2 I got into this business, somebody said when 3 they ask you questions that you don't want to 4 answer, you answer whatever you want. You 5 don't have to talk about what they asked you. I'm not talking about that. I'm 6 7 talking about regular New Yorkers and why the city has to be punitive all the time. It's 8 just not fair. Every poll has shown that 9

10 most New Yorkers oppose a tax. The question here now is not whether we have to do 11 12 something about the environment. Everyone 13 agrees. No matter how many times I say it, 14 you say it, everybody else, we are not going 15 to make this debate about whether the 16 environment is or is not important. It is, period. 17

How do you get it done? Why can't it be positive? Why don't you give a nickel back to New Yorkers for every bag they don't use? A nickel back, for a change. Reward positive behavior. Implement the law that exists for store owners to do what they're supposed to do for the last 10 years, have

recycling bins in front of the stores so that
 people can recycle it.

What are we doing? We're not taking the nickel and putting it to protect the environment. We're giving the store owners another nickel profit. From who? From poor and middle-class New Yorkers. So that's not protecting.

Recycle. We educate. Give them back 9 10 a nickel. Give them reusable bags. And what 11 about incentivizing businesses? Patagonia 12 uses recycled plastic to make clothes. Trex 13 uses recycled bags to make synthetic lumber. 14 Why can't New York City? You talked about a 15 mansion tax before. This is a mansion credit 16 for the 1 percent. The mayor always talks about the 99 percent? Well, let me tell you, 17 18 this is a 99 percent tax on the 99 percent. 19 It's a credit for the 1 percent. Because the people who have limousines, chauffeurs, 20 21 nannies are not dragging their groceries, 22 they're getting them delivered or brought home by somebody else. 23

24 It's irrational and it's punitive.

1 The issue is not whether we want to do 2 something or not do something, the issue is 3 why New Yorkers have to be overtaxed, 4 overfined, overticketed, and can't be treated 5 like mature adults instead of like children who you decide you can't discipline any other 6 7 way and smack them across the face over and over by charging them -- it doesn't make 8 sense. It doesn't make sense. 9 10 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. Thank 11 you, Senator Felder. 12 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblyman Cusick. 13 ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Mr. Mayor --14 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: I hope everybody is 15 watching the clock. 16 ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: I'm watching it 17 right now, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 18 19 Thank you, Mr. Mayor, for attending 20 today. I'm just going to echo quickly what 21 the two speakers before me said, the chairmen of our Cities Committee. 22 23 As you know, I'm the sponsor of the 24 bill that companions Senator Felder's in the

Assembly. For many of my constituents, it's just that they feel that rather than going to a tax first, that they wanted to see that there are other alternatives that we looked at. Possibly recycling, if recycling was looked at.

7 No one is more sensitive to the 8 environmental issue than I am. I represent the area that used to have the world's 9 10 largest garbage dump. I know the issues of 11 climate change. I know the issues of what 12 plastic bags do to our environment. But I 13 think that many of us feel that there might 14 be another way to do this, and we'd like to 15 work with the city to come up with an alternative that will work for all 16 New Yorkers. 17

18 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Assemblyman, I know 19 you care deeply about the environment and I 20 know you've seen the effects of climate 21 change. No place knows that better than 22 Staten Island. 23 We're always willing to work together.

24 We feel urgency, and we feel that -- you say

recycling. Again, you're still talking about
 a petroleum-based product that will be
 recycled by some people some of the time.
 You and I both know other times it won't be.
 So we're going to keep using something that
 ultimately is bad for the earth.

7 And look, part of why cities and localities around the country turn to this 8 approach is because we have to break out of a 9 10 cycle that was harming us. And again, I'll 11 tell you, when my grandmother came here from 12 Italy, I'm sure she understood that she could 13 go shopping without a plastic bag. And she 14 did that for her whole life. So I'm not 15 trying to be flip, I'm saying some of this is 16 about learning some new habits. We're all learning smaller cars and more fuel-efficient 17 18 cars, all sorts of other things are changing that are making us more able to protect the 19 20 earth.

21 But the notion is not to be punitive 22 in the least. The notion is to just break us 23 out of a habit, provide people with the 24 alternative of a permanent bag, do the

education we need to. I think it's the best way to address the problem. But if there's other good ideas, of course we're always willing to work together.

5 ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Right. And I think what many of us are concerned about is 6 7 that there is state law in effect that 8 mandates supermarkets to have these bins 9 accessible to people to recycle, and it's not 10 being done, whether it's the city or the 11 state. That's something that I know many of 12 the members of the Assembly and Senate are 13 looking into. I think that that's what we 14 want to do, is work together to come up with 15 -- because people are exhausted. They're 16 exhausted by constant fees, the fares, everything. And not that I'm putting that 17 18 all on the city or you, Mr. Mayor. But the 19 issue here is that they just want to know 20 that there are other alternatives looked at 21 before we went to a tax. And that's just what a lot of the folks are relaying to me. 22 But I just -- I see my time is running 23

out. I want to also talk, Mr. Mayor, ask you

24

about the alternative exemption for property
 taxes for veterans the New York State
 Legislature last year passed that would allow
 New York City to opt into what's happening
 throughout the State of New York. It would
 amend and extend the property tax exemption
 for veterans.

8 The City Council could vote to allow 9 this to happen. I know that it wasn't in 10 your preliminary budget. I know there's a 11 price tag to this. I wanted to see, is there 12 a possibility that this is being discussed to 13 be in your next stage of budget proposals?

MAYOR DE BLASIO: Assemblyman, we're definitely going to discuss it in the next phase. I thank you for supporting this proposal so strongly.

You know, we've worked together on making sure there's property tax relief at times for veterans, and obviously we created in the City of New York our new Department of Veterans Services, and we've expanded a lot of what we're doing to serve our veterans. So I certainly appreciate this proposal.

1 You're right, there are cost 2 implications, other implications we have to 3 look at. But this is something we very much 4 look forward to working with you and the 5 Council on as we look ahead to the Executive Budget. 6 7 ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: And I urge you to -- if you could put it in the budget, it's 8 very important. As you know, there are a 9 10 quarter of a million veterans throughout New 11 York City. This is much needed. Again, it 12 goes to the cost of living in New York City. 13 And it's something that's very important. 14 And again, it now allows the city to 15 opt in. And we would just like to see if in 16 the city budget we could get the funding for 17 it. 18 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you. 19 ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 20 21 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Senator. 22 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you very 23 much. 24 Mayor, I have several questions, as I

1 said. And you know, you unveiled your city's 2 preliminary budget, I believe last week, and 3 it continues to assume significant increases in property taxes. For example, you expect 4 5 to receive \$24.2 billion in the city fiscal year '17, which ends June 30th, from the 6 7 general property tax, and \$25.6 billion in '18, which is an increase of \$1.4 billion, or 8 5.9 percent. 9

10 We talk about affordability and 11 New York City and the fact that homeowners 12 and commercial property owners are 13 suffocating in many ways, whether it's 14 through the bag tax, whether it's through 15 other fees, but also through property taxes. 16 And as you know, on the state level we have limited the growth of property taxes to 2 17 18 percent or less. And I was wondering what 19 you're doing to address this issue. Because 20 obviously it's always all tied together, as 21 far as the economy, as far as people having 22 affordable housing and building owners being able to stay in business and the whole thing. 23 24 So could you please address that for

1 us?

2	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Yes. Madam Chair,
3	first of all, I made very clear now in the
4	fourth budget that there will not be a
5	property tax rate increase in New York City.
6	As you know, this was something that was done
7	under my predecessor, it's something I've
8	been very clear I do not agree with. And we,
9	four budgets running, have not had a property
10	tax rate increase.
11	We're also trying to defray other
12	costs for homeowners, reducing the rate of
13	growth of water bills and in fact trying to
14	get a credit back for homeowners on water
15	bills, and we're in court right now trying to
16	move that program forward.
17	So I'm a homeowner myself, in
18	Brooklyn. I certainly feel deeply the
19	challenges that homeowners face. The rising
20	assessments, as you know, as the value of
21	real estate in New York City has gone up,
22	have caused a number of homeowners to have to
23	pay more.
24	We have to look at the entire system,

1 our entire property tax system. There's a 2 number of issues that have to be addressed. 3 I think that's going to be a very intensive multiyear process. It's one that I'm 4 5 committed to, to create a more transparent and consistent system. 6

7 So in the meantime, my mission is to 8 make sure that the rate doesn't go up. My mission is to see if we can reduce costs 9 10 elsewhere, like the water bills, and reduce some of the unfair fines that homeowners have 11 12 suffered from. We've reduced fines in a 13 number of agencies, many of which were 14 applied very arbitrarily. But I think the 15 property tax dynamic is going to need a very 16 full treatment to be addressed fairly. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Well, thank you for 17 18 that. But as you point out, it's the 19 assessments that are driving the revenues up. And it's a shell game, because you can say 20 21 that I haven't increased the tax rate, and 22 that's true, but the assessments continually go up and go through the roof. 23 24

And you're talking about possibly

1 revamping the tax system, but we had a 2 lengthy conversation in this very room last 3 year, and I was wondering when that's going 4 to happen. Because maybe you could fill us 5 in on anything that you've done in the past year to change the tax system. Because as 6 7 far as I can tell, nothing has happened. MAYOR DE BLASIO: Two points, Madam 8

Chair.

9

10 On the first point, I respect you and we've worked well together. I respectfully 11 12 don't agree with your terminology. I was 13 part of a city where property tax rates went 14 up. I assure you, homeowners felt that 15 deeply. It is a very different issue than 16 assessments going up. Assessments were going up at the same time as that rate increase 17 18 occurred, so people were hit by both. And 19 homeowners will tell you, and I'll say it as 20 one myself, that not having a property tax 21 rate increase is a very important issue for everyday homeowners. 22

But on the question of how we will goforward, I look forward to bringing a

1 specific idea. This is something that is 2 going to have to be very carefully 3 constructed. It's going to have to be an approach that will maximize public input and 4 5 transparency but deal with an exceedingly -as you know better than anyone, an 6 7 exceedingly complicated issue of taxation, and trying to balance all of the different 8 needs of all the different types of property 9 10 owners. Again, a very difficult, intensive 11 12 work. It's something I'm committed to doing, 13 and we'll put forward a specific vision of 14 how to do that. 15 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 16 Switching to education, the state provides the city with more than \$10 billion, 17 18 and most of that is in unrestricted operating 19 aid. How does the city decide what to use 20 these funds for, and which schools are they 21 directed to? And when are we -- I know that 22 we passed some legislation this past year, but you're concerned about mayoral control, 23 24 and we really need this information.

So could you tell us where the funding
 is going?

MAYOR DE BLASIO: Let me defer in 3 terms of the mechanics of how we take in 4 5 state funding and distribute it, to my colleagues, starting with Mr. Fuleihan, but 6 7 just say at the outset, I think if I had to summarize what I believe you would want us to 8 do with the funding, is to continually 9 10 produce better results.

It's a fact -- and it's all based on 11 12 the analysis of the State Department of Education -- it's a fact that our graduation 13 14 rates are the highest they've ever been in 15 the history of New York City, over 70 percent. It's a fact that our test scores 16 have continued to improve. In fact, our 17 18 English test scores improved in all 32 of our 19 school districts in New York City. It's a 20 fact we've provided things that are 21 foundational to the future, like pre-K for all. 22

So in terms of return on investment, Ibelieve we're doing the things you would want

1 us to do, and doing them efficiently. But 2 I'd like Mr. Fuleihan to talk to you about specifically how we've taken the funding and 3 4 determined its use. 5 DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: So we -- the mayor actually -- am I on? Yes. The mayor 6 7 outlined, actually, in the opening comments, in the --8 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Dean, could you 9 10 pull that a little bit closer? DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: I apologize. 11 12 Better? 13 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 14 DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: The mayor outlined 15 in his testimony one of the major uses that 16 we're doing. And when the mayor called for meeting the CFE obligation, he pointed out 17 18 that we began with really no improvement in 19 the fair student funding, how we distribute 20 aid among our schools, and that we are 21 allocating our dollars, the dollars you 22 provide us, to reach 100 percent of fair student funding. 23 24 So as you give us an increase, we are

1 committed, and the mayor made that 2 commitment, to get to a hundred percent. 3 We're already at a hundred percent on our Renewal and Community Schools, and we're 4 5 willing to do it for every single school. And those dollars are teachers, directly 6 7 teachers in those schools that were neglected in years past. 8

We also do other mandates -- and we 9 10 are happy to give you book and verse on this -- but English language learners -- for 11 12 other poverty-related programs. And we're 13 happy to go through and delineate every 14 single one of those dollars, including the 15 mandates that are imposed on us by the state 16 that we're happy to comply with.

17 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you very 18 much. I think that the law says that you 19 should be giving that information to us. 20 It's been very difficult to get the specifics 21 and the breakdown as to where the money is 22 actually going, especially with particular 23 schools.

24 The Governor's budget provides --

1 DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: We will be happy 2 to provide whatever information you need on 3 specific information, and I'm quite sure we 4 do that. 5 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Great. When could we expect that? 6 7 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Senator, I just wanted -- if I may add, that in addition 8 to -- we'll answer any and all of your 9 10 questions, but as Mr. Soliman just reminded 11 me, in May that we're going to be providing a 12 comprehensive report based on last year's discussions here in the Legislature. That's 13 14 going to be coming to you on time. 15 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay, that's great. 16 So we should expect that information by May. MAYOR DE BLASIO: Well, in addition to 17 18 any other specifics you're asking our team to 19 put together, we'll happily do for you. 20 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay, great. Thank 21 you very much. I was wondering, too, if you could 22 include in that -- and maybe it's included in 23 24 your preliminary budget. But how much of the

1 Foundation Aid increase that goes to 2 high-needs districts, how much is that --3 your Department of Education funding in your 4 budget going to the individual high-needs 5 districts? Could you give us an accounting of that? 6 7 DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: We'll give you --I will get back to you on specifically how 8 much the total dollar value. What we did 9 10 say, and the challenge that we made to you, was with any increase you give, we would take 11 12 those funds and put them towards reaching our 13 goal. 14 I will say the mayor also pointed out 15 that of the Executive Budget that provides us 16 \$240 million in additional formula-driven school aid, it then effectively takes away 17 \$200 million by redirecting it to the 18 19 charters. 20 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: How much funding 21 per pupil does the city provide to its 22 high-needs districts? Could you include that in any kind of information that you get to 23 24 us?

1 DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: Yes, we will. No, 2 I understood the question. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: And how does that 3 compare to the city's funding of its other 4 schools? If that could be an element of 5 that. 6 7 And the other question I had was maintenance of effort. So state funding to 8 education in New York City has increased at a 9 10 rate of more than one-third faster than the city's funding increases, even though the 11 12 city's reserves are at historically high levels and the city isn't subject to either 13 14 the 2 percent spending cap or the 2 percent 15 property cap, as I pointed out previously. 16 So I'm wondering why, Mr. Mayor, the city hasn't provided additional money for 17 18 education. 19 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Madam Chair, I think 20 it's very important that we compare specific 21 facts, and we want to do that with you. 22 I would say, as I noted in my testimony, we believe that the city's 23 24 investment in education has continued to grow

1 constantly. It was true in the Bloomberg 2 administration, it's true in my 3 administration with our own city dollars. We appreciate state support. I think I would 4 5 argue that our facts would show you that additional city investment has grown more 6 7 rapidly than additional state investment. So 8 I'd like us to compare statistics and see if 9 we're saying the same thing. 10 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: I would just add 11 12 that during the tenure of this 13 administration, we have done, I believe, over 14 double what the state has committed in actual 15 direct support to our schools. 16 And the mayor cited the share difference. While the state's share of total 17 18 support for New York City has actually 19 declined, the city's share has increased. So 20 we're now 57 percent, where the state is now 21 37, and the state used to be in the 40s. 22 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. And any more information you can get us on that would 23 24 be helpful.

1	I just wanted to touch briefly on
2	failing schools. The State Education
3	Department has determined that JHS 162 failed
4	to show it was making demonstrable
5	improvement since it was identified as a
6	persistently struggling school, which means
7	that it was performing in the lowest
8	5 percent of schools for student achievement
9	for more than 10 years.
10	The state required the placement of an
11	independent receiver, but your
12	administration, Mr. Mayor, determined that
13	the school was not making improvement.
14	However, under the New York City your
15	Renewal Schools Program, the school was
16	considered to be improving in that it was
17	meeting five of its six benchmarks.
18	This will be the fourth school to
19	close under the city's Renewal Schools
20	Program. So the questions are, what accounts
21	for the drastic differences in the
22	determination of the state finding the school
23	is not improving and needing an outside
24	independent receiver to run the school, and

1 your own Department of Education's 2 determination that the school met five of its six benchmarks? 3 Are the city's standards too low? 4 5 Because that's quite a discrepancy. MAYOR DE BLASIO: Again, I respect the 6 7 question deeply, Madam Chair, but I would argue we have a different perspective. A 8 school can meet the benchmarks, but that 9 10 doesn't mean we don't come to the conclusion that it needs to be closed. 11 12 The question of our Renewal School 13 Program is that a school has to constantly 14 make progress to the day that it will no 15 longer be in the program. And there are dozens of schools in our Renewal School 16 initiative that we believe are making that 17 18 progress, and we look forward to the day when 19 they graduate out of that status. 20 There are others that we've already said either needed to be merged or 21 22 consolidated, because they had become ineffective at their small size, or that we 23 24 were already moving to close. And then

coming up at the end of this year, we will announce judgment on the entire remaining group of schools, which ones we believe have graduated out of Renewal Schools, which ones are on the pathway to it, and which ones we believe are not going to make it and need to be closed.

I think the difference here is that we 8 9 felt -- I saw this with my own eyes, I talked 10 to parents all over the city -- that the 11 previous approach, which emphasized the 12 previous administration's strong focus on 13 closing schools, with unfortunately a lot of 14 negative impact on kids who were left behind 15 as the school phased out. That problem needed to be addressed. Schools that could 16 be turned around were not being given 17 18 sufficient opportunity.

19We had to go with those problems. And20what we've found with Renewal Schools is we21have seen a real increase in graduation22rates, we've seen a real increase in test23scores, better attendance, a lot of promising24signs. But we're going to look at each one,

with, as I said, final judgment happening by
 the end of this year.

3 And I said from the moment I announced the Renewal School Program, I have no problem 4 5 closing a school if we've made every effort over these last few years to address its 6 7 needs and turn it around and provide it better leadership, more master teachers, 8 et cetera. If that's not enough, move to 9 10 closure. If it is enough, that's a huge 11 thing for the parents and kids of that 12 community, that a school that was struggling 13 got back on track rather than disruption and 14 many unintended consequences that happen with 15 the closure.

So in this one case, we were very comfortable working with the state on PS 162 to say, you know what, we understand where the state's coming from, we're happy to work with that, we were happy to do a closure and come up with a new plan.

22 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: But it just seems
23 like instead of trying to fix the schools,
24 that you're opting to close them rather than

1 go to a state receivership.

2	And you were very critical of your
3	predecessor for closing schools, and you're
4	talking about how your stance has changed.
5	And this is a direct quote from November 19,
6	2015: "Bloomberg opted to condemn schools
7	rather than fix them, treating closure as a
8	panacea." And so it just seems that you have
9	changed your tune quite a bit
10	MAYOR DE BLASIO: No.
11	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: and why not fix
12	these schools?
13	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Again, Madam Chair,
14	with real respect, I just disagree that there
15	is a change in my belief. There is not.
16	I believe the previous administration
17	moved to close too frequently, without
18	accounting for the unintended consequences
19	caused by the closure and without a honest
20	effort to turn around a lot of schools that
21	could be turned around.
22	The proof will be in the pudding. We
23	started with 94 schools. We're going to show
24	you, by the time we get to the end of this

1 calendar year, we'll have an assessment of 2 which ones have made enough progress and 3 which ones didn't. And we'll act according. But for every school that we turn 4 5 around and get on track without dislocating all the families and all the students, I 6 7 think that's a victory. I think parents 8 would agree with me. We ultimately work for our residents and our parents. They don't 9 10 want to see a school closed if it can be turned around. If it can't be turned around, 11 12 the parents are the first to agree with the 13 closure. 14 I think in the case of PS 162, we got, 15 again, to the point where we agreed with the 16 state's assessment that we could do something better, we could come up with a new approach. 17 And we think it will succeed. 18 19 But I don't think there's anything about the statement you read that I disagree 20

22 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
23 And switching gears, I want to talk
24 about ACS right now. And there have been a

with at this point.

21

1 lot of press questions and stories about the 2 ACS and mismanagement, negligence. And the 3 budget for ACS in 2016 was nearly \$3 billion, and the total state funding of this was about 4 5 \$680 million, which is 23 percent of the budget. We've had several high-profile cases 6 7 of children dying, people not following up when they are supposed to follow up. And, 8 you know, there's really a deep cause for 9 10 concern because what's happening is that people are not only getting hurt, but they're 11 12 actually dying because of this.

13 And there are several things that I would like to point out. Seventy-three 14 15 percent, or 2,360 cases of the closed ACS 16 investigations lacked the required minimum number of manager's reviews. In 68 percent, 17 or 2,516 cases, of both open and closed 18 high-priority ACS investigations, a risk 19 20 assessment profile was not completed within 21 the required 40 days. Thirty-two percent 22 lacked the required number of supervisor's reviews. Fifty-three investigations were 23 24 closed without ACS investigators ever meeting

1 with a child who was allegedly abused. In 22 2 percent of the investigations, ACS 3 investigators did not meet with the child within 24 hours of the abuse allegation. In 4 5 26 percent of the investigations, ACS investigators did not meet with the child the 6 7 required number of times. So obviously there's something wrong 8 9 with the system. And the question is, why 10 shouldn't the state withhold the funding for the Administration for Children's Services 11 12 until you address the situation? 13 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Madam Chair, I want 14 to once again respectfully disagree with some of that assessment. And also even the facts 15 vou cite were based on a look at a small 16 amount of the work of ACS. 17 18 You have a real expert sitting down 19 the row from you in Senator Savino, who knows 20 this work very well. 21 I spent eight years when I was a 22 legislator in our City Council as the chairman of the Oversight Committee; I spent 23 24 a tremendous amount of time and energy

1 working on the issue of protecting our 2 children. And Madam Chair, I can tell you 3 that when I started on this work in 2002, the City of New York was nowhere near as able to 4 5 protect children as it is today. That is a factual statement. The tragic case of 6 7 Nixzmary Brown in the year 2006 pointed out vast gaps in the city's approach to 8 protecting children. 9

10 I commend the Bloomberg administration 11 for making some of the reforms that were 12 needed. We have continued to make additional reforms. But we also know that there was a 13 disinvestment in ACS for years that we've 14 15 been working intensely to correct, hiring 16 more caseworkers, reducing caseworker ratios, 17 providing more training to the caseworkers 18 that they desperately needed, providing them more support from other agencies like NYPD 19 20 and the Department of Education.

21 This is very personal for me. I'm a 22 parent, and I've worked on these issues. And 23 I consider it a moral issue that we have to 24 protect every single child. But I have to

put in context, Madam Chair, there are between 50,000 and 60,000 complaints called in every year from New York City of potential abuse and neglect of children. In the overwhelming majority of those

cases, the Administration for children's 6 7 Services plays a crucial role in stopping 8 abuse against children and stopping that neglect and protecting those children. In 9 10 many cases, ACS asks a court for the right to 11 remove a child from the home, and a court 12 disagrees and stands in the way of that 13 action of ACS, something that I think needs to be looked at more carefully, because ACS 14 15 often is taking a more aggressive stance and 16 is not allowed to follow through, by a judge's decision. 17

18 The vast majority of our caseworkers 19 do their jobs well and protect our children. 20 And if you look at the overall trend over the 21 last few years, thank God, the number of 22 child abuse and neglect cases has gone down, 23 and the number of child abuse deaths has gone 24 down. But one is one too many.

1 So I just have to disagree with the 2 premise. We have real work to do, and when 3 someone in our employ does something wrong, we fire them. As you saw in the recent case, 4 5 the Zymere Perkins case, people were fired who didn't do their job. And we'll do that 6 7 each and every time. There will be new leadership soon at ACS, and we're going to 8 continue to deepen reforms and we'll make 9 10 whatever investment we need to. 11 But I just think a lot of the 12 assumptions that are being made are unfair. 13 They're not based on facts, they're not based 14 on the overall history of what's happened 15 here, and they're certainly not showing 16 respect for the women and men who do this work every day of protecting our children. 17 18 You know, I don't think I've seen a single 19 article talking about the children who are 20 saved every single day. I only see coverage 21 when there's a tragedy.

22 The tragedy grabs at me like every one 23 of us, and we're not going to allow these 24 tragedies. But Madam Chair, I have to tell

you, the vast majority of the work of that agency is life-saving. And I need it to continue, and we're going to keep supporting it.

5 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, Mr. Mayor. And I think that, you know, 6 7 you're saying that you dispute the facts. The facts are the facts. And also the facts 8 are that we have several cases and names of 9 10 children. Like Mikey Guzman, age 5 years old, Sherlin Yeslin Camacho, age 3 months, 11 12 Zymere Perkins, age 6 years.

13And we have to do better. And as I14said, the state is investing a lot of money15into the system, and we have to do better.

And the final question is so your Commissioner Gladys Carrion is still there, and it just came out recently that she was still there. I was just wondering what the status is, and why is she there? If there are so many failures under the system, why is she there?

23 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Again, Madam Chair,24 I respect the question, but I have spent a

1 lot of my life working on these issues. And 2 I think -- I am not saying the facts aren't 3 the facts. I agree. But unfortunately, the facts are not being represented fairly by the 4 5 media, even in the cases that you just delineated. And I happen to have access, of 6 7 course, to a deeper understanding of some of the details than the general public does. 8 In 9 too many cases, the media are suggesting a 10 fact pattern that does not exist -- and of 11 course these cases are subject to very, very 12 careful attention because of confidentiality 13 issues. And a picture is painted for the public that is not fair, because the vast 14 15 majority of the work at ACS is succeeding in 16 protecting children's lives. We're going to continue that work. 17

But in terms of the commissioner, the commissioner has spent 40 years of her life protecting children, including as the state commissioner. She, in the last three years, implemented a series of reforms and improvements at ACS. There were some cases not handled properly, I've said that

1 publicly. And it angers me, and people have 2 been fired. And anyone who doesn't handle a case will be fired, it's as simple as that. 3 Commissioner Carrion announced her 4 5 resignation, and it was clear there would be a transitional period. That transitional 6 7 period will end at end of this week. Her first deputy commissioner will take over for 8 the interim. A new commissioner will be in 9 10 place by the end of February or beginning of March. 11

12 I further want to note we're going to 13 deepen the close working relationship between 14 the NYPD and ACS. Again, going back to the 15 Nixzmary Brown case, one of the most 16 prominent and tragic cases this city ever went through, one of the fault lines, one of 17 18 the problems was there was not that 19 relationship that was needed between NYPD and 20 ACS. We've improved over time. There's more to do. 21

22 Chief Robert Boyce, who is our chief 23 of detectives, one of the most respected 24 leaders in the NYPD, will be working more

closely with ACS -- in fact, will cochair the 1 2 ChildStat process, which is the ACS 3 equivalent of CompStat at the NYPD. He'll be 4 cochairing that with the acting commissioner 5 going forward to intensify our efforts to look deep into each case and look for any 6 7 potential danger. And I think the growing cooperation and partnership between ACS and 8 NYPD will allow us to go much farther in 9 10 protecting children. 11 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 12 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblyman Weprin. 13 ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Thank you, 14 Mr. Chairman. 15 Thank you, Mayor. As the new chair of the Correction Committee, I appreciate your 16 comments on bail reform and on voluntarily 17 raising the age, removing 16- and 18 19 17-year-olds from Rikers. As you know, the 20 Assembly has long supported raising the age, 21 and hopefully with the Governor putting it in 22 his budget, it will be an issue that may finally come this year. 23 24 I know there is a commission taking

1 place now where Judge Lippman is discussing 2 some of the issues, along with some 3 colleagues on the commission, about Rikers Island. And I know they're due to have a 4 5 report soon. One of my first meetings as chair of the Correction Committee was with 6 7 Commissioner Joe Ponte, and I was very 8 impressed with his concern about safety at Rikers. And I know -- I've had a discussion 9 10 with you as well, and I know there have been 11 a number of incidents, and I'm happy to see 12 that you're working on that. But I know there have been some 13 14 proposals to the commission, and possibly 15 from outside the commission, on the 16 possibility of closing Rikers. I think we're talking about close to 10,000 inmates at 17 18 Rikers. What are your thoughts on what 19 should be done at Rikers, and what is your 20 knowledge as to what the commission is going 21 to report, the Lippman commission on Rikers, 22 and what is their timing? 23 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Assemblyman, I'm

24 certainly not going to steal Judge Lippman's

thunder. That commission continues to work;
 my understanding is we'll hear a report from
 them in the spring.

I've said, when I announced the
preliminary budget, that we'll have a lot
more to say on the future of our correction
system at the time of the Executive Budget.

I want to thank you as you assume the 8 chairmanship. We very much look forward to 9 10 working with you and appreciate the partnership we've had already. And I 11 12 appreciate you meeting with our correction 13 officials, and I think you're seeing already 14 that Commissioner Ponte has achieved some 15 pretty extraordinary reforms. And we have 16 some examples of both reduction of violence and improvement in the way that we treat and 17 18 rehabilitate our inmates that are very, very 19 compelling. We want to do a lot more.

In terms of the future of Rikers, again, I'll reserve the broad judgment until the time of the Executive Budget. But I will say this. You know, a very powerful report came out a few weeks ago pointing out that the mass incarceration issue is becoming even more of a debate all over this country -- and a bipartisan one, I want to say, in a good and healthy way. There's a recognition that mass incarceration failed and was inhumane and extraordinarily costly in human and economic terms.

One of the better stories in this 8 9 country is in New York City, where over the 10 last few decades we've reduced the population 11 at Rikers by 55 percent. It's now getting 12 closer to 9,000 than 10,000. Of course there 13 are variations in any given week or month, but we do see a good downward trend and we 14 15 intend to continue that and deepen that.

16 So whatever we do in terms of the 17 specific facilities -- and there's a lot of 18 complexities we have to address, not only at 19 Rikers Island today, but the other correction 20 facilities around the city. And any plan 21 that we would have going forward, we'd have 22 to talk about all of them.

But what I can say is we are reducingthe number of people incarcerated,

1 consistently. We're coming up with 2 alternatives that are working. And more 3 important than anything, we're reducing 4 crime, which reduces the flow of people into 5 the correction system. And I want to give credit to all the men and women in the NYPD 6 7 for a three years running reduction in crime, particularly in serious crime. That's the 8 9 gateway to everything else.

10 So what I can safely say to you is for 11 anyone who wants to see change in our 12 correction system, support us in the changes 13 we're making in policing that are allowing us 14 to drive down crime and get to the root 15 cause.

16ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Well, I look17forward to working with you and your staff18over the next weeks and months for various19reforms at Rikers, and hopefully we're there20to be as helpful as possible working with21you.22MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you very much.

23 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
24 We've been joined by Assemblywoman

1 Yuh-Line Niou and Assemblyman Brian Kavanagh. 2 Senator? CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: We've been joined 3 4 by Senator Terrence Murphy and Senator Gustavo Rivera. 5 6 And our next speaker is Senator 7 Krueger. 8 SENATOR KRUEGER: Good morning, Mayor, 9 and your staff. 10 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Good morning. 11 SENATOR KRUEGER: Let's do some 12 lightning round, if you can. 13 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Okay, I'm ready. I feel like I'm on NY-1. 14 15 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. 16 So there seems to be a lot of information here, I guess coming out of both 17 the Governor's office and in the question you 18 19 got, that New York City has been decreasing 20 its MOE to education. I just want to 21 reiterate what I thought I heard Dean Fuleihan say, that actually it's the 22 opposite. Could you repeat that data? 23 24 MAYOR DE BLASIO: I'll repeat from the

1 testimony, and then Dean will take it from 2 there, because we think the fact is pretty 3 good here. Hold on. Oh, Dean was way ahead 4 of me, okay. 5 Since 2008, the city's share of education spending -- so let me just affirm 6 7 this, the Bloomberg administration and my administration, both of which increased city 8 spending on education. Since 2008, the 9 10 city's share of education spending has increased from 49 percent to 57 percent, 11 12 while the state share has declined from 13 41 percent to 37 percent. 14 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. 15 Senator Young brought up the ACS 16 issues. And she is right, it's a crisis when children die. And it's a crisis when workers 17 18 don't get the support they need to do the 19 right thing, and they should be removed when 20 they don't. 21 But it sounded like I was hearing that 22 the state gives you so much more money for 23 child welfare now than it has in the past.

24 And I didn't think that was correct. I'm

looking at, again, your budget director.

1

2 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Again, I'll let Dean 3 speak to the history. I think the state 4 support for our child welfare efforts issues 5 is crucial. And again, what is too often lost in this discussion, between 50,000 and 6 7 60,000 cases -- because you're talking about every single call to the state registry has 8 9 to be investigated.

10 By definition, and we can give you the 11 chapter and verse on the tragedies that have 12 occurred, we feel every one very humanly. 13 But thank God, they are a very small number 14 compared to the tens of thousands of cases 15 where our ACS workers get to the bottom of 16 the situation, stop problems before they happen, provide preventive services -- which 17 18 is something I've backed for many years, and 19 we've expanded. Which means literally the 20 ability of charitable and nonprofit 21 organizations to intervene with the family 22 and support them and help them deal with their problems. That work has continued and 23 24 has deepened, and that is why so many

1 children have been saved.

2	And further, I've been very blunt
3	about this, there are a number of times,
4	hundreds of times, when ACS has asked for the
5	removal of children from a family only to
6	have a judge refuse. That's unfair to the
7	people who do such hard work at ACS, that if
8	they are requesting removal and a judge will
9	not let them do that. The judge may have a
10	reason in their own mind, but we have to
11	grade fairly here.
12	Just as we would our police or our
13	firefighters or anyone else who's a first
14	responder, our ACS workers are another type
15	of first responders, and they're regularly
16	saving lives. They get precious little
17	credit for it.
18	But when something goes wrong, I feel
19	it personally. And we are not afraid to take
20	the most resolute action to deal with anyone
21	who doesn't do their job.
22	SENATOR KRUEGER: And in other parts
23	of oh, I'm sorry. You said Dean.
24	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: And state funding

1 has not changed.

2	SENATOR KRUEGER: It has not changed.
3	So in other parts of the state, as
	-
4	charters have expanded, the state has made a
5	commitment to not have the local district
6	have to absorb those costs out of its school
7	funding. But I believe your testimony was
8	that New York City would actually have to
9	kick in an additional \$200 million of its
10	school funding for the charter proposal this
11	year?
12	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: Correct. Correct.
13	SENATOR KRUEGER: And that's a new
14	demand on the city.
15	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: Yes.
16	SENATOR KRUEGER: And because there's
17	also a proposal to not lift the charter cap
18	statewide, but to allow whatever charters are
19	unassigned now to all come to New York City,
20	do you have an estimate of additional cost to
21	yourself if that all were to happen,
22	particularly the building costs?
23	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: We'll get you that
24	estimate. I don't have a quick estimate.

1 I'll try and get one now.

2	SENATOR KRUEGER: It's my
3	understanding it was up until now, there
4	was this sort of interesting the city kicks
5	in the first 40 million, but then after that,
6	not. But if we suddenly see a massive
7	increase in charters opening in New York City
8	under the Governor's language, could you
9	potentially see a much bigger cost to
10	yourselves, not just on operating but on
11	capital as well?
12	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: Yes. We would
13	definitely see cost increases.
14	But as the mayor pointed out, there's
15	already room in the existing cap that applies
16	to New York City for charters.
17	SENATOR KRUEGER: Correct. But there
18	could be so many more.
19	DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: Yes, there would
20	be additional costs.
21	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
22	Pre-K, you said there was a cut in
23	funding for pre-K in New York City in the
24	state budget?

1 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Yeah. And again, 2 it's in the context of something good, which 3 is the consolidation of how the grant funding is used. We applaud that. But the poison 4 5 pill that has to be addressed is that the per-seat rate would be cut in the bargain. 6 7 And we think those things should be 8 dealing -- we think consolidation is smart 9 government, but cutting the per-seat rate is 10 not good for our children, obviously. It means 3400 seats that are currently funded 11 12 would not be funded. 13 SENATOR KRUEGER: So to be parochial, 14 my district is one of the two districts in 15 the city that still has incredible wait lists 16 for pre-K. We don't have adequate pre-K

17 seats. Your people know that. And partly 18 it's because our schools are overcrowded and 19 our rental costs for alternative sites have 20 been so high.

I don't want to see any cuts in pre-K money at all, but I also really want the kids in my district to have the same rate to go to pre-K as the other districts.

1 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Senator, as you 2 know, although I am a Brooklynite, I am 3 living currently on the Upper East Side, and 4 I talk to people all the time about this 5 problem. One, we absolutely want to do more to 6 7 solve it. We've made some progress in terms of school seats in general, and pre-K seats 8 in specific, for the Upper East Side. 9 10 But as you indicated, it is literally the hardest territory in the city for us to 11 12 address because, one, it's so thoroughly built out and, two, because of the costs 13 14 involved with the very limited new 15 development that is happening. 16 We are still trying to find creative solutions. But I want to just -- a 17 18 respectful minor correction. Even though it 19 is not what we ideally would want for any district -- which is the maximum seats 20 21 immediately, you know, geographically where 22 parents want them ideally -- every single student is guaranteed a pre-K seat. 23 24 Now, in your district, I think, again,

1 one of the most difficult situations in all 2 of the City of New York. We still work very 3 hard, parent by parent, with a facilitated 4 enrollment system that's literally a person 5 who is a specialist working individually with that parent to find them the closest 6 7 available seat that works for them. For many parents, as you know, that might be closer to 8 their work than it is to their home. 9 That 10 might be better for them. A lot of parents 11 feel good about a seat that's very near their 12 workplace, for a variety of reasons. 13

But we will work with any one of your constituents to find a placement. They will have a placement for free. We'll do our damnedest to make sure it's as convenient as possible while we're trying to build out the capacity.

SENATOR KRUEGER: We continue to
 struggle.

I want to shift to housing. While
some people apparently feel plastic bags is
biggest crisis facing New York City, I would
argue the lack of affordable housing is, and

1 the future environmental damage that will 2 continue until we radically change our 3 consumer production and our production 4 behavior. 5 The 421-a proposal that's been renamed -- although I'm calling it the -- you 6 7 know how the old 421-a was bad; this has a new name, but it's worse. There are some 8 9 people actually proposing that co-ops get 10 brought back into this. You in your testimony testified about the old 421-a, your 11 12 proposal -- which I didn't love either, by 13 the way. 14 MAYOR DE BLASIO: You're equal 15 opportunity, Senator. 16 SENATOR KRUEGER: There you go. 17 The new one, can you project what the 18 loss of city revenue would be if co-ops were 19 brought back into this program the way they 20 were in the old program? 21 MAYOR DE BLASIO: I will give you a 22 broad answer, and then my colleagues may give you something more specific. But here's the 23 24 bottom line. We had a program, which I say

is the old broken 421-a, that cost taxpayers a lot and subsidized luxury housing. We can't let that happen again. It's not in the interest of our people and it's not in the interest of our taxpayers. I think at this moment it would be even more unacceptable than it was years ago.

So right now, as you saw from the 8 numbers we put forward, the current proposal 9 is inching back towards the same cost as the 10 11 previous one, which rewarded luxury housing. 12 And we fear that the door is now open for the 13 inclusion of luxury housing, which could make 14 it as costly or even more costly -- quickly, 15 easily could be more costly than the old 16 421-a. So that would then be the worst of 17 all worlds, where taxpayers are being hit 18 worse than they were in the past, we're not getting the affordability we deserve, and 19 20 we're subsidizing luxury buildings again.

I think a lot of people -- look, there is a particular building on 57th Street, a luxury building, very tall luxury building, that when New Yorkers found out that that got

1 a tax break for purely luxury housing, no 2 affordable housing, there was a massive outcry in New York City. We just cannot go 3 back to those days. 4 5 So we are happy to work with all members of the Legislature and the Governor 6 7 and all other interests on the current proposal, which in our view has merits and 8 has issues we want to address too. But going 9 10 back in the direction of the old proposal 11 would be untenable and would make it a net 12 loss for the people of New York City. 13 SENATOR KRUEGER: I agree, although 14 again, I think it's even worse. Because even 15 without the condos, the new proposal would 16 allow market rate to take advantage of 421-a even if there was no affordable units in a 17 18 specific building. 19 So again, I would urge everyone 20 listening to look carefully at that proposal 21 and the damage it would do. Personally, if I 22 had \$2.4 billion in city taxpayer revenue,

24 affordable housing with it, not maybe \$150

23

I'd actually want to buy \$2.4 billion in

1 million in affordable housing.

2 There was a bill passed last year --3 which we then did a counter bill, but then the Governor vetoed -- on the MTA sort of 4 5 real estate not related to transportation being able to violate New York City zoning, 6 7 actually anyone's zoning in the 12 MTA counties. Disappointingly, the Governor 8 9 vetoed the Legislature's attempt to reverse 10 that.

11 Is the City of New York attempting to 12 do anything about that at this point? And do 13 you see that as causing problems for you in 14 the future?

15 MAYOR DE BLASIO: You know, Senator --16 I'll start, and again my colleagues may want to add -- that we worked very productively 17 18 with our colleagues in suburban counties. By 19 the way, on a bipartisan basis. There was a lot of unity on this point, that it was not 20 21 the place of the State of New York or any 22 entity of the State of New York, like the MTA, to override local zoning. Which is, I 23 24 think, pretty sacrosanct. There's a number

1 of things localities do -- policing, 2 education, zoning -- that all of us can say 3 are decisions best handled locally with maximum citizen input. And the MTA should 4 5 not be overriding that reality. And I think clearly there was 6 7 tremendous support in both the Senate and the 8 Assembly, having heard from local leaders of all different-size jurisdictions and both 9 10 parties, you know, we felt very much appreciative for the support we received in 11 12 the Legislature. We disagreed with the Governor's 13 14 decision, obviously. We think we need to 15 restart that process again and build up even 16 more support from the local level, because I think it's something people feel deeply. 17 18 They do not want to see their local rights 19 trampled on. And it matters a lot in a place 20 like New York City, but I could argue it 21 matters even more in smaller jurisdictions, 22 that it fundamentally changes the trajectory of their cities and towns to have major new 23 24 development that is done without their

1 approval.

2	So we are going to work closely with
3	all of you and with our colleagues in local
4	government to once again try and address
5	this.
6	SENATOR KRUEGER: And then I know
7	I'm at zero, but I'm taking advantage of
8	ranking status for a moment. In your
9	testimony, you proposed that a mansion tax go
10	into effect for apartments or houses selling
11	for over \$2 million and say that the money
12	would be used for senior housing.
13	Can you explain a little bit about how
14	much money you project this to be? And what
15	kind of senior housing program would you be
16	starting with it?
17	MAYOR DE BLASIO: We project it would
18	provide us with \$330 million a year. It
19	would allow us to provide ongoing support for
20	25,000 seniors who are having trouble
21	affording housing. It would mean that for
22	all seniors who are part of this initiative,
23	it would pay no more than 30 percent of
24	whatever income they have. And we know so

1 many of our seniors are on low fixed incomes. 2 That would be 25,000 apartments on top 3 of our current 200,000-apartment plan. So everything we're doing in our housing plan, 4 5 200,000 apartments, reaches half a million people by 2024. This new initiative -- which 6 7 again I think is fair because it only reaches those who have homes of \$2 million or more in 8 value when it's sold, and people who are 9 10 about to get a major tax break from the federal government, as everyone knows -- this 11 12 will allow us to reach 25,000 more seniors on 13 an ongoing basis, lock in affordability for 14 them long-term through preservation. So we would be subsidizing apartments in place for 15 16 the long-term.

SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. And 17 18 thank you for your last sentence, which was reminding everyone that Washington is very 19 20 likely to change our tax structure to lower 21 taxes for the highest-income Americans very, 22 very soon. And that in fact will translate into less money available from the federal 23 24 government for the programs we depend on and

1 actually is a reason to support the 2 Assembly's proposal to increase the 3 millionaire tax, as they put it, and also to recognize that proposing a tax on high-cost 4 5 house sales or apartment sales still will translate, when we do the math, I assume, to 6 7 be a significant reduction in people's federal taxes, even if they see some increase 8 upon the sale of a home or some increase 9 10 because they're in the highest income brackets as a state resident. 11 12 MAYOR DE BLASIO: I would arque, Senator, even if nothing changed on taxation, 13 14 this would be fair to ask those who own homes 15 of \$2 million or more to do a little more to 16 help seniors who built our city, built our state, and now are struggling to have 17 18 housing. I think it would be fair under any 19 circumstance. 20 But given that -- I agree with you --

21 this will unfortunately, from my point of 22 view, when the federal government gets done, 23 when the Congress gets done with its tax 24 plan, unquestionably the tax breaks for

1 wealthy individuals will far outstrip 2 anything we're talking about here, and the 3 wealthy will be paying less in taxes overall 4 than they're paying now, even with the 5 continuation of the millionaire's tax, or extension of it, or improvement of it. And 6 7 with a mansion tax, still, wealthy people are going to end up with more money in their 8 pocket under any scenario, based on what 9 10 we're hearing from Washington. SENATOR KRUEGER: Do you happen to 11 12 know where a lot of those wealthy people live? 13 14 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Again, please? 15 SENATOR KRUEGER: Do you happen to 16 know where a lot of those wealthiest people live? 17 MAYOR DE BLASIO: There's a number of 18 19 districts I could name. One of them might be 20 yours. 21 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. 22 Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. We've 23 24 been joined by Assemblyman Dilan and

1 Assemblyman Otis.

2 Next to question, Assemblywoman Nolan. 3 ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 4 5 Mr. Mayor, welcome to Albany. MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you. 6 7 ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: I do have a question, but I want to point out something 8 that was said earlier. It referenced it as 9 10 someone who's a parent of a child in a city public school. It's actually quite easy to 11 12 find the budget for each individual school, each of the 1600 schools that the city 13 14 administers. You just go to the website and 15 you type in "school budgets," and you can get 16 the budget for every single individual 17 school. 18 And as someone who's complained at times about those budgets, I would point out 19 20 that it's something that is relatively 21 recent. And I congratulate you and 22 Chancellor Fariña for providing that information, which in prior administrations 23 24 was not available by individual school. And

it is very helpful for parents advocating for
 their children, and it is available on a
 school-by-school basis.

I do have a question on trailers. 4 5 I've asked both you and Mayor Bloomberg about it, and you know that it's a continuing sore 6 7 spot for many of us, particularly in the Bronx, Brooklyn, and Queens, where I 8 represent. And I know there is a report that 9 10 we've required to be filed, but I would like 11 to hear from you what the progress is on 12 eliminating trailers.

13 MAYOR DE BLASIO: I'm going to start,14 and I think Sherif will add.

15 But look, the bottom line has been we 16 are committed to a phaseout of all trailers. As we've been honest with you, it takes time 17 18 and is complicated in some specific 19 locations. But in fiscal '13, we had 352 20 trailers in use. We are continuing to decline. Now, in fiscal '17, we are down to 21 22 245. We are projecting the next jump for next year to go down to 176. We're just 23 24 going to continue consistently to move. And

1 we obviously have put substantial resources 2 in the budget to do so. 3 ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Thank you very much. 4 5 I want colleagues to understand that even -- and this is really great progress, 6 7 and you deserve credit for it. But even with 8 this progress, there are still more children attending school in a trailer in the City of 9 10 New York than in some of the largest 11 districts in our state, and that has been the 12 case for over 30 years. 13 So again, the contrast, colleagues, that there are more children attending school 14 15 in a trailer in the City of New York for the 16 last 30 years than the size of most of the districts of the 700 school districts of our 17 18 state. One of the reasons I bring this up is 19 20 that we tried very hard to give you some 21 additional revenue to get rid of these 22 abominations, and the city is due, I believe, \$700 million from the Smart Schools Bond Act. 23

You didn't mention the bond act in your

24

testimony, but I wondered if you would care 1 2 to comment. My understanding is the city's 3 application is there. Do we know why the Executive has not acted on it? 4 MAYOR DE BLASIO: In terms of the bond 5 act, I'm going to defer to Dean. 6 7 DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: So thank you. We have, as you know, completely 8 allocated funding for the removal of the 9 10 trailers. So that is completely in the city budget. It is now going through the process 11 12 that the mayor outlined. 13 And no, we have had our application 14 before the state, and we're waiting for 15 approval. 16 ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Do we have any idea whether the bond act -- have they 17 reached out to you, the people on the board 18 of the state bond act? 19 DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: I believe we 20 21 submitted in November -- I'm going to ask behind me -- in last May, and we have not --22 ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: The Education 23 24 Committee intends to keep some focus on

1 what's happening with the Smart Schools Bond 2 Act, so we will follow up with Chancellor 3 Fariña. DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: We would 4 5 appreciate that. Thank you. ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: And we will 6 7 certainly be following up with the Executive. 8 I would like the mayor just to comment, if you would. In your testimony you 9 10 talked a little bit about the importance of the CFE settlement. Some suggest that public 11 12 school parents like you and I have been duped into thinking that it's been settled or 13 14 resolved. I find that statement shocking. I 15 don't want to get into who said it, but I 16 thought it was very ill-advised. As someone who's son never really --17 18 my son is graduating, your son has already 19 graduated, and your daughter. We were part 20 of this so long ago, we never really saw the 21 true fulfillment of CFE. Do you believe that CFE has been finished or fulfilled and that 22 you and I were duped into thinking that there 23 24 was enough money for the city schools -- and

all the schools in our state, many of whom,
 rural, poor areas as well, would benefit by a
 full CFE?

MAYOR DE BLASIO: Assemblymember, 4 5 first of all, this is an occasion for me to thank you for what you do as chair of the 6 7 Education Committee, which is so crucial and 8 important for the children in New York City. It really is. Because you're asking a 9 10 question that needs to be answered and hasn't 11 been in our public discourse, and it amazes 12 me it has not been.

13 No, there has not been a resolution on 14 CFE. Yes, there has been a consistent effort 15 to dupe people into thinking the issue is 16 resolved and in the past. It's not in the 17 past. It's perfectly alive today, to the 18 tune of \$1.6 billion.

19Every single person here and every20parent in New York City who says, Why is my21school not getting 100 percent of the fair22funding formula, it's because we should be23getting \$1.6 billion more in our budget,24according to a decision of the Court of

Appeals, the highest court in the state, a
 decade ago. And there are some who allege
 that that decision was not binding. It was
 absolutely binding.

5 And further proof is that in the Eliot Spitzer administration, CFE was implemented 6 7 and funding was changed -- not just for New 8 York City, but other high-needs districts in upstate cities and rural areas as well. So 9 10 the State of New York not only recognized a 11 decision by our highest state court, but then 12 proceeded to implement it.

But for a change of administration and one of the greatest economic crises in the history of this country, I suspect we would have seen steady progress and we'd be having a very different discussion today.

But no, it cannot be airbrushed out of history. Our children have suffered for the lack of that funding. And you've seen it and I've seen it as public school parents. We're not going to let it go. And what we are concerned about is we see a change in the State Budget terminology that suggests an

1	attempt to remove the notion of CFE once and
2	for all from the discussion. That's not
3	particularly legal, in our view.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Thank you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you very
6	much.
7	Our next speaker is Senator Marty
8	Golden.
9	SENATOR GOLDEN: Thank you, Madam
10	Chair.
11	Thank you, Mr. Mayor, for being here.
12	I'm sure it's been a long morning, and it's
13	going to be a little longer, I presume.
14	On some of the questions I had to
15	leave for some press conferences, so my
16	questions may have been asked and answered.
17	And one of them I heard when I came in,
18	Senator Krueger was talking about and I
19	know Cathy had spoken about the ACS. I don't
20	think anybody in this room doesn't believe
21	ACS has to be revamped, that they need help
22	and something has to be done, and funding has
23	to be put into them.
24	We passed a bill out last week, we

1 passed it last year and the Governor vetoed 2 it, and that's limiting the number of cases 3 to the ACS workers to 15. You may even be under that number. But there are other 4 5 counties across the state that are not. So we want to limit the number of caseloads to 6 7 each caseworker. Would you be supportive of that bill? 8

MAYOR DE BLASIO: Having not seen the 9 10 language of the bill, I just want to reserve 11 judgment. But let me agree with the concept. 12 We have been trying to drive down caseloads, 13 and we succeeded at that by additional city 14 funding. So our goal, which we've acted on, 15 is reduced caseloads and more training for 16 the caseworkers, who have very, very difficult, complex jobs. 17

I also -- what you didn't hear, and I
want to reiterate, is we announced that the
first deputy commissioner will be taking over
the agency as of next Monday, that a
permanent new commissioner will be in place
at the end of February, beginning of March.
But an additional important point, someone

you probably know well, Chief Bob Boyce, of
 the NYPD --

SENATOR GOLDEN: Excellent.

3

Excellent. 4 5 MAYOR DE BLASIO: -- will be coming over not -- he's going to be doing all his 6 7 regular job too, but in addition he's going to come over to ACS and cochair the ChildStat 8 program, which is based on CompStat, which 9 10 has had so much success in driving down crime. ChildStat was initiated in the 11 12 Bloomberg administration, to their credit. 13 We're going to enhance that approach and make 14 it even closer in its mindset to CompStat and 15 bring over the chief of detectives of NYPD to 16 cochair those meetings and even get deeper into the cases to determine how we can do 17 18 better.

SENATOR GOLDEN: Mr. Mayor, if the question's already been asked and answered, just tell me it's been -- because my members, I'm sure more members want to speak, and I'm sure that we can look it up later and find out what the testimony was.

There's another bill that we had 1 2 last -- two years ago and a year ago, and 3 it's about giving our ACS workers, the 4 protective workers into the home, iPads, and 5 let them do their actual work within the home so that the cross-grids can show that the 6 7 individual is there taking a picture of the inside of the home and taking a picture of 8 the child and a picture of the house itself. 9 10 Hopefully you can be supportive of that bill. And there's a backup piece to this 11 12 that has not yet been put forward, but there 13 is technology out there that today gives you 14 in-time technology of how many times that 15 child has been in and out of foster care, 16 what the frailties of the child are, the abuse of the child, the parents, the parent's 17 18 background, the foster parent's background, 19 and domestic violence. All of those things 20 are there in this technology.

21 It's working in Chemung County, and 22 it's working -- Suffolk County is going to do 23 a pilot program. I don't think it should be 24 a pilot program, I think it should be a

1 statewide program. But if not, at least 2 hopefully the city will take the initiative to turn around and have these interviews with 3 4 these individuals that have this technology 5 and try to get that into your iPads and get the workers in the homes with these iPads so 6 7 that we can get a true picture of what's 8 going on in the true time. I think that's 9 important.

MAYOR DE BLASIO: Senator, a couple of points.

12 One, I now have clarification on your 13 proposed legislation on the caseload cap at 14 15 cases. I want to just formally say we 15 endorse and support your legislation.

16 Second, one of the things we want to do -- the NYPD has had such success with the 17 use of new technology. And the work of ACS 18 19 and NYPD parallel in crucial areas. But as 20 you know -- and this was the tragic truth in 21 the Nixzmary Brown case in 2006 -- the two 22 agencies didn't have a culture of connection the way we needed them to. There's been real 23 24 progress.

1 Under Commissioner O'Neill and Chief 2 Boyce, there is an intensified idea of 3 deepening the interconnection between ACS and 4 NYPD, which will be exemplified by the 5 cochairing of the ChildStat process. Your point is well taken, that we should also 6 7 learn from the positive example of NYPD, which is the smartphones, Bill Bratton's 8 9 great focus on bringing that handheld 10 technology to our officers, which has had a tremendously positive effect. Any number of 11 12 crimes have been stopped with those 13 smartphones that would not have been in the 14 past. 15 I agree with that concept in terms of 16 ACS workers. We have to figure out details in terms of cost, training, et cetera. But 17 18 in principle, I think that's a very 19 productive idea. 20 SENATOR GOLDEN: Another issue, the 21 veterans program. You introduced that 22 beautiful veterans program a year ago, two years ago, tremendously successful. We have 23

24 a tremendous number of homeless in our city,

1I'm sure it's been addressed. But where I2live, in Bay Ridge and Fort Hamilton Army3Base, I have homeless; probably about 404percent of it is military. And one of the5reasons is because the VA hospital is there,6the other reason is because Fort Hamilton is7there.

I was a little distressed to see that 8 9 you cut an employee from that and that you've 10 taken some funding from there. I do believe 11 -- I hope that you look at that and redo --12 it's in the budget, cutting one employee and 13 one -- what was the name of the program? 14 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Just -- Dean and I 15 are not aware of any cut to that program, so 16 we will follow up with you. SENATOR GOLDEN: Do me a favor. I 17 18 read it, so it's out there. So if it is, 19 please put an end to that for sure. 20 MAYOR DE BLASIO: We want to correct it if it's wrong, for sure. 21 22 SENATOR GOLDEN: Okay. The other 23 thing is the 421-a. I know that everybody's 24 talking about these luxury condos. We're not

1	talking about luxury condos, we're talking
2	about condos in the outer boroughs so people
3	can have affordable living.
4	MAYOR DE BLASIO: May I?
5	SENATOR GOLDEN: We want people to be
6	able to yes, sir.
7	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Sorry to interrupt,
8	I want to just you're I want to
9	differentiate, because you're making a fair
10	point.
11	But on the previous, thank you for the
12	acknowledgement. New York City has ended
13	chronic veteran homelessness, and the federal
14	government recognizes that fact. And it was,
15	as you know, a big job. We had thousands of
16	veterans that we had to address, and we were
17	able to.
18	There still are veterans who are not
19	chronically homeless but still at times are
20	homeless. That's still not good enough, from
21	my point of view. I want to reach every
22	single one of them. And the mandate is to
23	find housing for each and every one.

1 area. We've been adding positions to address 2 veteran housing needs. So we'll check on that --3 4 SENATOR GOLDEN: It's a good program. 5 Keep it up. MAYOR DE BLASIO: But I can just jump 6 7 ahead on the condo issue. What I've tried to say in the testimony is right now the 8 9 proposal the state has made, after all the 10 negotiation with the different players, there 11 are some very good elements to that proposal, 12 there are some areas of concern we still want to talk through. It is more expensive to the 13 14 taxpayer than what we originally proposed, 15 and it doesn't achieve as much affordability. 16 But it still has merits, it's still much better -- hold on one second while I make 17 18 this -- much better than what was originally 19 going on a few years ago with 421-a. 20 Our concern is not what you have 21 proposed, which you're right, is outer 22 borough and for folks of -- I wouldn't say limited means, but certainly not luxury 23 24 apartments. Our concern centrally is

1 anything that would take us another step back 2 toward the old broken 421-a --3 SENATOR GOLDEN: We don't want to go there. 4 5 MAYOR DE BLASIO: -- which literally subsidized pure luxury apartments. 6 7 SENATOR GOLDEN: I agree with you. There is a problem, obviously, there's a 8 billion dollars off the street right now. 9 10 That money is someplace else. It's not being invested in housing. It's not being invested 11 12 in low-income housing. It's not giving the 13 city its fair shot. That money is somewhere 14 else. It could be in Philadelphia, it could 15 be offshore, it's anywhere. 16 And the longer it takes us to get that 17 bill done, the longer that money is off the 18 street. We need the people building those units, we need all of the players at the 19 20 table, and we need to close down on this bill 21 ASAP so we can get that money distributed to 22 the city for affordable housing. We cannot afford to leave this out any longer, sir. 23 24 Okay, I know my time is limited, so

1 that's why I'm running through this.

2 Three-quarter disability with the New York 3 City Police Department. The police department obviously -- it's a negotiation, 4 5 and the last thing you want to do is get in the middle of a negotiation here. But you've 6 7 got 13,000 or 14,000 police officers out 8 there without three-quarter disability. It doesn't make much sense to keep that many 9 10 officers out there without disability. We're 11 keeping them on the job anyway, so it's 12 costing us more money than getting them off 13 on disability.

14 So I would suggest that we try to come 15 to a close on that if we can. I know that 16 that the NYPD was at 4 percent, you were at 2 percent. I'm sure somewhere in the middle we 17 18 can come to some ground. I'm sure that the -- dealing with the -- and I'm not going to 19 ask you to get involved, I'm just asking you, 20 21 as a Senator and as a representative from the 22 City of New York, that you come to some resolution on that as soon as possible. 23 24 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Let me give this

1 very brief response. First of all, Senator, 2 in addition to your current public service, I 3 thank you for the time you spent as a member 4 of the NYPD. 5 SENATOR GOLDEN: Thank you. MAYOR DE BLASIO: And I will just 6 7 state the fact so everyone's clear on it. We have almost every municipal union -- this is 8 a striking reality -- almost every municipal 9 10 union under contract in New York City, 11 including every uniformed service union 12 except the PBA, every other police union -which you know there are four others --13 14 except the PBA. That's the contract side. 15 On the disability side, as you know, 16 we've come to an agreement with our 17 firefighters, our sanitation workers, and our 18 correction officers, on the disability plan, each one tailored to the specific reality of 19 20 their department. All agreed to, all 21 implemented. Again, the only place we have 22 not been able to find an agreement is with the PBA, and we have sought that agreement, 23 24 and we will continue to. There's a mediation

1 process going on right now. And I have said 2 very clearly that I will do anything and 3 everything to work together to see if we can come to a positive result. 4 5 But Senator, I just emphasize, we're very proud that we have all of our other 6 7 uniformed service workers under contract and with the kind of disability plans that are 8 fair to them. We want to continue that 9 10 progress. 11 SENATOR GOLDEN: Last question before 12 my cochairs here kill me. The Brooklyn 13 hospitals, the hospital Brooklyn 1, have you 14 been briefed? Do you understand what's going on at the state level? 15 16 MAYOR DE BLASIO: I'm sorry, the part you said a moment -- the hospital --17 18 SENATOR GOLDEN: In Brooklyn, Brooklyn 19 North, they're going to have a condensing 20 four hospitals into a -- similar to what you 21 have, Health & Hospitals. I'm not sure, but I think there's a deficit there of a 22 billion-plus. I'm not sure we're not moving 23 24 into the same here with the state program.

1	But are you briefed on that with the
2	state fully from the Governor's office?
3	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Not fully. I think,
4	from what I know so far, it's an honest
5	effort to try and deal with the ever-changing
6	realities of healthcare costs, which are
7	already in a very difficult place in terms of
8	handling it, and only going to get worse if
9	the ACA is repealed.
10	So I think, from what I've seen, it's
11	a productive proposal, but I cannot say I've
12	seen all the details of it.
13	SENATOR GOLDEN: I would ask you to
14	delve into that, because it just Kings
15	County is ground zero when it comes to
16	healthcare, not just for the city but for the
17	state and for the nation. As Brooklyn goes,
18	so shall the state, so shall this nation. I
19	don't want them closing University Hospital,
20	I don't want them closing any hospitals,
21	because we need those hospitals open and
22	available to the people of our communities.
23	I'm from Brooklyn South, but if you
24	close a hospital in Brooklyn North, it

1	affects Brooklyn South, it affects New York
2	City, it affects Queens, it affects us all
3	the way around. So if I can, I appreciate
4	your indulgence in that. Thank you.
5	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you.
6	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, Senator
7	Golden.
8	SENATOR GOLDEN: Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
10	Assemblywoman Malliotakis.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: Thank you,
12	Mayor, for being here.
13	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: First let
15	me thank you for investing in the bulletproof
16	windows for our NYPD patrol vehicles. I
17	think that is a wise investment and something
18	unfortunately, in this day and age, that is
19	needed.
20	Additionally, let me say I share your
21	concern about the senior centers and
22	potential loss of funding with the shifting
23	that the Governor is looking to do with the
24	Title 20 funding that perhaps would take

what's discretionary right now to the city
and move it to a mandate for something else,
which would be, in this case, childcare,
which could also be a worthy cause. But
again, we don't want to be taking money away
from our senior citizens.

7 So I want to say that I agree with you 8 on that and I share your voice in saying to 9 the Governor to please leave the Title 20 10 funding alone.

11 However, there's one area where you 12 and I seem to have a disagreement on, and 13 that is with what has been transpiring over 14 the last week, the potential for New York 15 City to be losing billions of dollars in 16 federal funding if they do not comply with federal law. And for the city, this could 17 18 potentially mean \$7 billion.

19Now, as you're aware, the federal20government only issues detainer requests for21individuals who are here illegally under22certain circumstances. It is limited, it's23not just a blanket if someone is here24illegally or if they merely commit a traffic

violation, as you have said in some news
 shows over the weekend. It's strictly for
 individuals who pose a threat to public
 safety or have previously been convicted.

5 Under Local Law 58 and 59, which you signed into law in 2014, the city no longer 6 7 complies, necessarily, with detainer requests 8 in certain circumstances. In fact, over a two-year period from October 1, 2014, to 9 10 September 30, 2016, the city only complied with 32 out of 584. And as I mentioned, the 11 12 federal government only issues those requests 13 in certain circumstances: Someone poses a 14 threat to the public or has previously had a conviction. 15

My issue is why would the mayor of the 16 City of New York, who is entrusted to protect 17 the safety of our citizenry, say that they're 18 19 not going to comply with these detainer 20 requests when they're only in certain 21 circumstances? So for instance, if an individual is here, conducts sexual 22 misconduct, forcible touching, sexual abuse 23 24 in the second or third degree, grand larceny,

welfare fraud, identity theft -- this is just 1 2 a small list of a much larger list in which 3 the city refuses to comply with detainer requests from the federal government. 4 5 Why would you protect individuals who are here illegally, committing these crimes, 6 7 instead of putting your citizenry first and foremost and making -- ensuring that we 8 receive the federal funding we need for our 9 10 law enforcement to do their job? 11 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Assemblymember, I 12 know you are a true believer in your 13 ideology, and I am in mine. And we have very 14 different facts we're working from, so we're 15 just going to have to disagree, I think, on 16 even the premise of the question, in my opinion. But let me go piece by piece real 17 18 quick. 19 There are 170 offenses that as a 20 matter of New York City law, when any of 21 those offenses is committed, we cooperate with ICE. 22 ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: I agree 23 24 with you on that, there are 170. But there's

1 even more that are not included --

2 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Well, but again, I 3 respectfully think that I've seen this 4 attempt to confuse the meaning of what we're 5 doing.

6 If there are some offenses that we 7 should add, we are willing to do that always. But I would say anyone in good conscience who 8 9 reads that list of 170 offenses -- which is 10 essentially any act of violence, anything involving a weapon, anything involving 11 12 terror, any major drug offenses, it's quite comprehensive -- understands the intent is to 13 14 protect the safety of all New Yorkers. And 15 we will cooperate fully with ICE, and we have 16 cooperated fully with ICE.

I would disagree with you -- and again, and I'm willing to add offenses if they're -- when you come up with a list of 170 offenses, if there were several more that should be included, I'm perfectly happy to include them.

But on the point about how ICE makes
its requests, I think it is unfair to say

1 that every one of their requests, even 2 previously, let alone under a new administration, would track that same notion 3 of serious and violent crime. We have no 4 5 such guarantee. So we are concerned that any 6 7 offense -- in the examples I've given, low-level marijuana possession, for example, 8 could, in the eyes of ICE, be considered for 9 10 deportation. The problem is we have 500,000 people 11 12 in our city, a number of whom are parents of children or breadwinners for their families. 13 14 Now, I would argue, on a humane and moral 15 level -- and I can certainly say that 16 Cardinal Dolan has spoken out in the same vein, and many other faith leaders -- but I 17 also will tell you on a practical level, from 18 19 the point of view of taxpayers, is it right 20 to deport someone who did a very minor 21 offense, leave a family without a breadwinner, leave children without their 22 parents? Is that good public policy? No. 23 24 So a final point, on the \$7 billion.

1 Respectfully, by the decision of our -- or 2 the evaluation of our corporation counsel, 3 Zach Carter, former U.S. Attorney, that is not a figure that we see any scenario for 4 5 dealing with. The only -- according to the Supreme Court decision in 2012 written by 6 7 Justice Roberts, the current Chief Justice, 8 any attempt to cut funding must conform to a 9 specific funding stream. In the executive 10 order it mentions only two agencies, Justice and Homeland Security. The grand total of 11 12 their funding to New York City is between \$150 million and \$200 million. And that 13 14 means if they went ahead with that, we 15 believe we can defeat it in court on many 16 levels. But let's say it were to happen. Then the federal government would make a 17 18 decision to take away anti-terror funding from New York City. I believe they're going 19 20 to think twice before doing that, beyond all 21 the questions of legality.

22 So talk to Commissioner O'Neill, talk 23 to police chiefs all around the country, they 24 will tell you that maintaining the working

1 relationship between immigrant communities 2 and police is foundational to public safety. 3 That the minute this type of detainer situation gets to be much more extensive and 4 5 based on much more minor offenses, it will shut down the willingness of undocumented 6 7 folks to speak to police officers for fear of 8 deportation. It will make us all less safe. 9 That's the belief structure we're working 10 from.

11 ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: Well, I 12 think that we can be compassionate and at the 13 same time look at protecting our citizens. And I think there is an issue here. Because 14 15 when violent and serious crimes -- that's the 16 only case in which -- what the city defines violent and serious crime, that's the only 17 18 case in which the city will comply. But there's a whole slew of other crimes. 19

20 And you asked for suggestions, so 21 sexual misconduct, forcible touching, sex 22 abuse in the second and third degree --23 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Your microphone's 24 off.

1 ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: -- grand 2 larceny, welfare fraud, and identity theft, 3 all crimes that currently an individual can commit and the city will refuse to comply 4 5 with a detainer request. I think that's something we need to look at and make sure 6 7 our priorities are focused on ensuring public 8 safety -- and making sure that we're complying with the federal law so we get the 9 10 \$7 billion dollars, because we can't afford 11 to lose that. 12 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Again, I'd just 13 really quickly -- Assemblymember, I think 14 those are two separate concepts. I think we 15 believe that the executive order, on its 16 face, is contradictory and attempts to do 17 things beyond that which the Supreme Court 18 has allowed. And we're going to challenge it 19 if -- if there's an effort to even take away 20 funding. Until that time, it's abstract. 21 But we will challenge it in court, and I know a number of other cities and states will as 22 23 well. 24 The last time we had a scenario like

1

2

3

4

5

that, it was President Obama's executive action on immigration, which you remember was challenged in court by states and ultimately defeated. So we remind people of that history.

But I would caution, you list in good 6 7 faith a number of offenses you believe are not included. I would like our lawyers to 8 9 talk to you to show you how we constructed 10 the 170 offenses, because I think they do 11 overlap with some of the categories you've 12 talked about. I think there are some, and 13 you mentioned, that I believe are not on our 14 list and deserve full consideration, and 15 we'll work with you on that.

I think there are others -- because if 16 you look on all sorts of areas of sexual 17 18 abuse, for example, that clearly delineate a 19 number of offenses, I believe we are 20 addressing those issues. But I will 21 certainly want a dialogue to see if there's 22 areas we should add. ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: I 23

24 appreciate that.

1	First, sexual abuse in the first
2	degree is included on your list, but second
3	and third degree are not, so I appreciate you
4	and we'll continue the dialogue.
5	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you.
6	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
7	Senator?
8	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Our next speaker is
9	Senator Squadron.
10	SENATOR SQUADRON: Thank you very
11	much, Chairs.
12	Thank you, Mr. Mayor. And actually, I
13	extend that with some specific ones in the
14	last year. The city has expended significant
15	funds to make good on the prior
16	administration's promise to make Bushwick
17	Inlet Park a reality, \$160 million.
18	Greenpoint and all of the city really
19	appreciate that. It shows that a promise can
20	be kept; sometimes it takes the next
21	administration, but it can.
22	You referenced design-build earlier,
23	which for the BQE process, which is going
24	to cut like a scar through my district for a

1 number of years. The city, I want to point 2 out, is funding \$1.7 billion of that rehab, 3 which is a hundred percent of the funding. We appreciate that quite a bit. 4 5 And on resiliency in Lower Manhattan -- Assemblymember Niou is also here 6 7 and has already started that fight. The city has put in significant funding, well over 8 \$100 million, towards a continuous storm 9 10 barrier from 23rd Street around the tip of Lower Manhattan and back around. We still 11 12 have a gap, and we want to continue working, 13 but your resilience folks have been strong on 14 that. Last year's budget was an investment. 15 We appreciate all of those things. 16 There's no question, in that, just a number 17 of thank yous. 18 I do, though, want to talk about Rivington House briefly, which is also in my 19 20 district. I think many here have heard of it. In December I sent a letter to the 21 22 corporation counsel suggesting that based on the administration's comments on Rivington 23 24 House, it appeared from the public record

1 that there could potentially be a False

2 Claims Act case to pursue here.

And just to take you through it, in September Deputy Mayor Shorris used the term "deceptive practices." Last March, former Press Secretary Hinton said Allure misled the city. In July of 2016, Deputy Mayor Shorris said that he believed the sellers intended to keep it as a nursing home.

10 I'll continue. Mr. Mayor, you in August said that "They misled us." In 11 12 September, you called them "unscrupulous developers looking to make a buck." And 13 14 we've already spoken about housing here. In 15 April, you said "We are looking at all legal 16 options because there's a lot of evidence they misled us." In April, again, you 17 18 reiterated that.

19Unfortunately, since I sent the letter20on the False Claims Act, the only comment in21response from the administration -- and this22is unfortunately sometimes a pattern -- was23at a press conference where you dismissed the24entire thing out of hand as a simple press

1 release.

2	Well, the letter is here, it's quite
3	substantive. We have no idea what hasn't
4	been shared with the public, because it
5	hasn't been shared with the public. Based on
6	what has, the Lower East Side community has
7	good reason to believe a False Claims Act
8	case, with treble damages, could move
9	forward.
10	We appreciate the moderate investment
11	to replace what happened at Rivington House
12	from the city, but it's moderate. It does
13	not replace Rivington House. Treble damages
14	on a False Claims Act could have a
15	significant impact. Why did you dismiss that
16	out of hand, and why isn't the city pursuing
17	a False Claims Act case on the Rivington
18	House
19	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Senator, look, you
20	and I have worked together for a long time,
21	and I know you put forward the suggestion in
22	good faith. I may have been speaking out of
23	frustration; I didn't mean to make that too
24	personal. I apologize for that.

1 But clearly, all the quotes you read 2 were based on a very heartfelt anger at what the developer did, and a sense that the 3 people were cheated. And you know me, I have 4 5 a lot of concerns about some of the ground rules in our laws related to the free 6 7 enterprise system that I think are overly generous. I would love nothing more than to 8 find a way to recoup what has been done to 9 10 the community. But respectfully, long before your 11 letter, I have asked this question probably 12 13 two dozen times of my corporation counsel, 14 who goes back and looks constantly for a 15 pathway and cannot find it, one that he 16 believes will legally be effective. We're not going to bring an action if we believe 17 18 it's susceptible to immediate defeat. 19 So if you know something we don't 20 know, I will directly arrange for a 21 conversation between you and Zach Carter. If 22 you've found a path we haven't, I will thank you publicly and we will implement it. But 23

24 to date, we have not found a way to recoup

1 that, and it angers me deeply.

2 What I can say is because we have 3 changed our administrative approach, you know now that no such action can be taken without 4 5 my personal signature. And if this had been presented to me, I would have turned it down, 6 7 you know, faster than I can finish this sentence. And the City Council has passed 8 laws to regulate the process going forward. 9 10 There's not going to be another situation like this. But I would love to get the 11 12 resources back; I just don't know how. 13 SENATOR SQUADRON: Mr. Mayor, to be 14 clear, I don't have any information the city 15 doesn't have. That's the problem. All I 16 have is the extensive public record that I 17 read, plus the report of the Attorney 18 General and, you know, executive news reports 19 about multiple ongoing investigations at lots 20 of levels and the report of the City 21 Comptroller on it. 22 But, you know, the False Claims Act is pretty clear. It uses very similar language 23

to the language we've seen out of the

24

1 administration. And what I would urge and 2 request, respectfully, is that if there's 3 something you know that we don't, you share it with us. Because it frankly leads to 4 5 questions when there's this very strong public outcry at the highest levels of the 6 7 administration accusing them of misleading around the amount they were paying for a deed 8 restriction that, you know, in everyone's 9 10 intent would have required a healthcare 11 facility, though a for-profit one. And, you 12 know, to just be told "We agree, but we can't" is not sufficient for a community 13 14 that's still smarting from the loss of a 15 healthcare facility. MAYOR DE BLASIO: Well, Senator, look, 16 I think it's absolutely fair to say it would 17 18 be helpful to your community to delineate our 19 legal understanding. We can certainly do 20 that.

You know, in addition to the reforms
to make sure that no such incident happens
again, that we have made major commitments to
the community to try to in some way make up

1 for what was lost. You know that the 2 Rivington facility had gone through changes 3 and was being used less than it had in the past. But we've committed to a nursing 4 5 facility, as part of our Health and Hospitals system, that will help low-income seniors in 6 7 your community, as one way to try and give back some of what was lost. And that is a 8 good-faith effort. 9

10 But the whole episode -- I've been so 11 angry since this happened, angry for all of 12 us. And as Attorney General Schneiderman pointed out in his report, it's quite clear 13 14 these developers attempted to game the 15 system. And I wish they would pay for that. 16 And you've noted in other situations we have not done business with them. 17

But right now, we will look under every stone. If you can help us find a better approach, if we have a legal leg to stand on, we will go for it.

22 SENATOR SQUADRON: And I do hope that 23 the corporation counsel or the mayor's office 24 does respond with exactly that legal

1	delineation of why a False Claims Act is not
2	appropriate here, sooner rather than later.
3	I think we would really appreciate it.
4	And thank you for the time and for
5	those other items.
6	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you.
7	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, Senator
8	Squadron.
9	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblywoman
10	Hopper Hooper.
11	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hooper.
12	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Hooper, I'm sorry.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HOOPER: I didn't
14	recognize the name.
15	(Laughter; off-the-record comments.)
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HOOPER: I'm sorry,
17	Mr. Chair, I didn't recognize the name. When
18	you said Hopper, I thought we had a new
19	member.
20	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: If I kept going,
21	I'd get the right one.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HOOPER: All right,
23	thank you.
24	Before I ask the esteemed mayor maybe

1	just one question, really, I'd like to
2	acknowledge Dean Fuleihan, whom you had the
3	wisdom to take into your administration.
4	And Dean, remember, I always told you
5	it doesn't matter where you go, I shall
6	always find you. Welcome to Albany.
7	MAYOR DE BLASIO: I think that was
8	heartfelt.
9	(Laughter.)
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HOOPER: It was
11	heartfelt, yes. Dean and I had a strong,
12	wonderful working relationship. And one of
13	the brightest men and one of the brightest
14	persons I've had the privilege to work with.
15	And you're really blessed to have him aboard.
16	My question to Mayor de Blasio relates
17	to something that definitely has an impact on
18	Nassau County, from where I hail. Anything
19	that happens in New York City definitely has
20	an impact throughout the state. And as we
21	have witnessed over the past few hours, the
22	President has taken positions that are quite
23	unusual as it relates to what we do in the
24	United States, generally speaking. I'm

1 waiting for the other shoe to fall.

2 And this question is seeking from you 3 an opinion as it relates to the unaccompanied undocumented illegal youths who arrived in 4 5 this nation and specifically New York State in the school year 2015-2016. In the school 6 7 district in Hempstead, Nassau County, we have probably the highest number of undocumented 8 unaccompanied youth outside of New York City. 9

10 And I would like to know if you would share with me what type of plans would you 11 12 have when the President, from what I 13 understand, will be initiating the removal of 14 these youth from the United States. How 15 would you respond to that, so that we might 16 have some idea of how we should respond when this -- I anticipate very shortly that the 17 18 President will present an executive order to remove these young people. Could you share 19 20 that with me, please?

21 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Yes, absolutely, 22 Assemblymember. I thank you for the 23 question. It's one of the most powerful 24 questions before us as a nation right now.

1 Look, I raised this concern to the 2 President when I met with him, and it was --3 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HOOPER: Excuse me, you said you raised that specific issue? 4 5 MAYOR DE BLASIO: The concern about the --6 7 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HOOPER: About the children? 8 MAYOR DE BLASIO: You can call them 9 10 Dreamers, you can call them DACA children, 11 whatever way you want to call them. 12 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HOOPER: Yes, the DACA 13 children. 14 MAYOR DE BLASIO: The way we talked 15 about it was specifically about children who 16 came here not of their own choice, grew up here, essentially, have only known America, 17 18 and in many instances would have no connection to the country they came from. 19 20 And at that moment in the discussion with the 21 President, then President-Elect, the then 22 Senator, now Attorney General, Mr. Sessions, 23 participated in the conversation. 24 And I spoke very explicitly about the

1 impact that it would make on children and 2 families to have these children deported, 3 what it would mean morally, what it would mean humanly, what it would mean for the 4 5 families left behind, what it would mean again for the relationship with our police in 6 7 communities. We went into great detail. I will not typify the President --8 then President-elect, now President Trump's 9 10 response. But I will say that I think he recognized that this was a different part of 11 12 the reality than the larger immigration debate. And he has made several statements 13 14 since becoming president that suggest he may 15 treat those eligible for DACA differently. 16 Now, I do not want to assume anything, especially given what I see as the extremism 17 18 of the recent executive orders. But I'm 19 going to hold out hope that there will be 20 some understanding in the new administration 21 that those children should be treated, unquestionably, as you would any other 22 American, because that's the only thing 23 they've known, the vast majority of them.

24

And a lot of them are contributing greatly to
 our nation right now and have great promise
 and should be treated as children of promise
 who love this country.

So I'm going to offer that as, I hope,
an indicator of something that may turn out
better than we fear.

8 In the event it does not, we will 9 actively engage in any legal action we can, 10 working with other cities and states -- and I 11 know many will join -- to stop any effort to 12 deport our Dreamers.

13 And we will also, as we've started to 14 do in New York City, provide legal assistance 15 to help families not be torn apart. Again, 16 this is moral, and some of our faith leaders are speaking out deeply on this. In fact, 17 18 the U.S. Conference of Bishops is meeting 19 here on an emergency basis to address this 20 crisis, because they believe as Pope Francis 21 believes, that all immigrants should be 22 respected wherever they are in the world.

But it's also a very practicalchallenge. Because the minute Dreamers are

1 deported in any appreciable numbers, that 2 will be the final straw, again, in the 3 relationship between police and community. It also will leave many families without a 4 5 breadwinner and start to force more and more responsibility for those families onto 6 7 taxpayers. It's a very slippery slope. So our best option, if we do get to 8 that moment, is to, one, fight legally with 9 10 all the tools we have, and, two, provide individual support for those families to try 11 12 and stop those individual deportations. 13 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HOOPER: I really 14 appreciate the position that New York City 15 has taken. As you are aware, we in the 16 Assembly have been working diligently to address the issues of the Dreamers. But 17 18 specifically I'm speaking about what I 19 believe I heard, or maybe read, that the 20 President has indicated that anyone who has come here and has used his or her information 21 22 fraudulently -- in other words, the wrong address or illegal information -- I'm 23 24 thinking now about the youth who came here

who were unaccompanied, without adults, who
 have been here less than two years and are in
 elementary school.

4 In the Village of Hempstead, we have a 5 very large influx of those youth, as well as 6 in the Roosevelt School District. And I 7 assume that you have that representation also 8 in the City of New York.

With the Dreamers and those who have 9 10 been here, they have not -- they do not have 11 a history of any other place except the 12 United States. But these young children who 13 came unaccompanied, undocumented, have been 14 here less than 24 months, how would you 15 address that should your President decide to 16 say that because they used an address that was really not a legal address, or an 17 18 incorrect address, and they are using funds 19 that are somehow or another directed from the 20 federal government into the school district, 21 how would you -- how could you suggest that 22 we address that issue if those youth are being attempted to be removed? I'm talking 23 24 about children under the age of 18.

1 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Right. Yeah. I 2 think it's the same -- I think it's -- you're raising an important piece of the equation. 3 I think it's the same response, that the 4 5 bottom line in whatever technicality is used, it still will mean a family torn apart, which 6 7 none of us who are in public service should be party to, and it means a lot of unintended 8 9 consequences.

10 I think we need to recognize and just 11 broaden the point, there's 11 or 12 million 12 people in this country. And the fact that for years and years, a lot of Republicans, 13 14 including Ronald Reagan, tried to find 15 constructive ways to acknowledge that reality and work with it in a positive, appropriate 16 path forward rather than try mass 17 18 deportation.

19And it is -- you know, we've obviously20heard at moments in the campaign, the --21Candidate Trump said some very shocking22things, including at one point praising a23deportation effort from the 1950s that was a24truly mass deportation initiative.

1 But look, it's important that he had 2 to go all the way back to the 1950s. That if 3 you go from the 1960s to present, Democrats 4 and Republicans alike did not attempt mass 5 deportation. In fact, President Reagan 6 attempted to find some kind of way to address 7 the issue at its root. I think the answer is the same either 8 9 way, to try and use every legal recourse we 10 have and to try and support those individual 11 families -- because, otherwise, a lot of 12 families torn apart, a lot of 13 responsibilities that will then fall on 14 localities that aren't able to handle it as 15 families are torn apart. 16 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HOOPER: Thank you. Lastly --17 18 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 19 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HOOPER: Just one last 20 question? Oh, I'm out of time. I'll ask you 21 later. Thank you. 22 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you. 23 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 24 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.

Senator Persaud.

SENATOR PERSAUD: Thank you. Thank
you, Mr. Mayor, for being here so that we can
discuss issues affecting New York City in
particular.
You mentioned that you will be
unveiling a new comprehensive program to deal
with the homeless population. As we know,
last November our studies showed that
New York City had the highest number of
homeless people ever. Most of the people,
particularly the individuals who are living
on the streets, are there because of mental
illnesses.
In this comprehensive plan that you
will unveil, will you have an aggressive plan
to deal with the mental health issues of the
homeless population?
MAYOR DE BLASIO: Senator, thank you.
And the quick answer is yes, that that piece
of the equation is already in motion. I
think you're pointing out something we have
to discuss more in the discussion on
homelessness.

1 There are two fronts in this battle: 2 Street homelessness, meaning absolutely, 3 permanently, 24-hour permanently homeless folks who, depending on the survey that's 4 5 taken, typically number between 3,000 and 4,000 people. Which is obviously too big a 6 7 number, but is nowhere near the number of folks in a shelter. And when we talk about 8 street homeless, we have to exclude 9 10 panhandlers who have a home, we have to 11 exclude people who have a shelter but go out 12 during the day and hang out on the street. 13 The real core of that problem you indicate is 3,000 to 4,000 people. They need 14 15 mental health services, they need substance 16 misuse services. Overwhelmingly, they are there because of one of those two problems. 17 18 And now through the HOME-STAT initiative, the most intensive outreach 19 20 effort in the history of the city or any 21 city, 700 of them since March have come in 22 and accepted services and not gone back out, which is a very promising sign. 23 24 On the other side, the other battle is

1 on homelessness that takes the form of folks 2 ending up in a shelter. That's, today, just 3 over 60,000 people. It was higher a few months ago, thank God has come down a little. 4 5 Those folks increasingly are families, increasingly because of economic reasons, not 6 7 mental health or substance reasons, increasingly are working people or people who 8 9 recently were working. And that has all to 10 do with the growing cost of housing in the 11 city and the lack of good-paying jobs. 12 That's what we're focused on now. And 13 so that plan that will come out will 14 particularly focus on the shelter population, 15 because the thrust in terms of street homelessness -- we've seen great success with 16 17 HOME-STAT, we're seeing great success with 18 the Safe Haven Program, which is finding smaller facilities that people would come 19 into off the street. 20 21 We're seeing great success with the 22 NYPD playing a leadership role now, 23 supervising and managing safety and security

24 in our shelters. That's having a real

impact. We think that will help get more
 people off the street.

And we now have the biggest mental health initiative we've ever had, the Thrive program that my wife initiated, which means that we have much more available for people who need mental health services, when they do come off the street, we have more options for them now.

10 So I think you'll see in the plan a 11 lot of strength on the street homelessness 12 side, a lot more we have to do and do better 13 on the shelter homelessness side.

14 SENATOR PERSAUD: Okay, another thing 15 on the homeless. I had the opportunity to 16 tour a site, a homeless shelter, a couple of weeks ago. And the underlying complaint that 17 18 many of them had was about getting an 19 apartment. They have vouchers, but the 20 owners and landlords are not willing to 21 accept the vouchers.

22 What is the city doing to stress to 23 the landlords to accept vouchers so that we 24 can remove these people who have the means of 1 getting into an apartment, to get into an
2 apartment?

And landlords are also saying that when they accept vouchers, if the client no longer pays their portion of it, they're left without funding. What is the city doing to let landlords understand that they will not be left high and dry?

MAYOR DE BLASIO: Two crucial 9 10 initiatives. First, I had the honor when I was in the City Council to be the author of 11 12 legislation which banned discrimination against Section 8 holders and other folks who 13 14 got income from affordable housing programs. 15 That was very important because a lot of 16 people were being turned away simply because their own government said, You qualify, 17 here's support -- they were being turned away 18 19 literally because they had that support. 20 That is now illegal in New York City. We've intensified enforcement of that. Our Human 21 22 Rights Commission has been beefed up to be a stronger player in that enforcement. 23

24 Second, I've met personally with some

1 of the leading landlords who provide housing 2 for folks with Section 8 and other programs. 3 I have told them my personal commitment, which we've stayed true to. I've told them 4 5 that unlike the past, when Advantage was canceled -- which I think was the biggest 6 7 single mistake in the history of homelessness in New York City, and that was April 2011. 8 At that point there were about 36,000 people 9 in shelter. By the end of the Bloomberg 10 administration, there were 50,000 people in 11 12 shelter. And the linear connection between 13 the choice to end Advantage and that huge 14 uptick, April 2011 to December 2013, you can 15 see it right there, is because of the loss of 16 Advantage.

That also burned a lot of landlords 17 18 who had been told they could depend on it. When I came in, I met with a lot of them, and 19 20 my senior leaders of the administration have 21 met with them, to say we believe in these 22 subsidy approaches, rental assistance approaches, they have worked, they're much 23 24 better for the taxpayer.

1 The taxpayer saves a huge amount of 2 money with a subsidy rather than somebody 3 ending up in a shelter. Remember, the cost 4 of a family in shelter is now, for a year, 5 pushing \$40,000. A rental subsidy is nowhere 6 near that.

So I've made clear to those landlords
that we are there for the long haul. And I
think they've heard it. But we have more
work to do to convince them.

11 What I can say is that under previous 12 administrations, the follow-through with 13 landlords was very shoddy by the government. 14 They had every right to say that the 15 inspections took too long, the reimbursements took too long. We've made major strides in 16 fixing those problems and showing them that 17 18 we will not let the bureaucracy stand in the 19 way of them getting the resources they 20 deserve. 21 SENATOR PERSAUD: Thank you very much.

22CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.23CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.

24 Assemblyman Castorina.

1 ASSEMBLYMAN CASTORINA: Thank you, 2 Mr. Mayor, for being here. 3 Thank you, Chair. I have two very brief questions. 4 The 5 first is with respect to mayoral control of the schools. We had a vote last year, I 6 7 voted no. Which is unusual, because I am very much in favor of mayoral control. I 8 9 think it's a good plan, it's a good model. 10 But what concerns me is that throughout the time that we've had mayoral control in the 11 12 City of New York, there's been lots of 13 opportunity for people to criticize the way 14 it's been implemented and to talk about the 15 structure, and there's been so many 16 opportunities for the city to hear these concerns. But it doesn't appear as though 17 18 anything has been done. 19 And particularly my concern is that 20 the board itself essentially operates as a 21 rubber stamp for the mayor. There's no real 22 autonomy. And so I'd like for you to speak a 23 little bit about that. 24

And then the second question relates

to the issue that my colleague Assemblymember
 Malliotakis brought up.

MAYOR DE BLASIO: Sure. On the first
point, Assemblyman, I appreciate the
question.

Assemblyman, there is a reason why so 6 7 many business leaders in New York City support mayoral control. People are 8 9 absolutely, adamantly focused on the bottom 10 line and the future of our city and our workforce because of the simple -- I'll give 11 12 you the easiest statistic in the world. The 13 day that Mayor Bloomberg achieved mayoral 14 control, which I praised at the time and have 15 praised ever since, our graduation rate was 16 under 50 percent. Today our graduation rate is over 70 percent. That was achieved in 17 less than 15 years, and we are aiming for 18 19 80 percent over the next eight years. And 20 we're going to get there.

21 Our test scores have continually gone 22 up. We are now essentially equivalent with 23 the rest of the state in terms of where we 24 stand on graduation rate and in many ways are

1 getting close on test scores. These were 2 inconceivable ideas -- I was a school board 3 member in my communities in Brooklyn in the beginning of the 2000s. If you had told me 4 5 that we could make that kind of progress in this kind of time, I would have told you, 6 7 Wow, you're a dreamer, that's impossible. 8 But it happened because it was a better 9 system. And I commend Mayor Bloomberg and I 10 commend my colleagues in the business community who have stood with us every step 11 12 along the way.

13 The bottom-line question you raised about autonomy, I can tell you there have 14 15 been many times, many times when the members 16 of the PEP have rejected a proposal that came forward in the initial discussion, and that 17 it had to be modified to meet their concerns. 18 There have been times when a proposal was 19 20 agreed upon and then, in the debate at the 21 meeting, was rejected.

Now, with all due respect to my
predecessor, you may remember on the question
of social promotion when he had some members

1 vote against his proposal, he removed them 2 the same day. That's not how I have 3 operated. I have said I'm naming good people with diverse views, and I expect them to 4 5 exercise their judgement. And they've pushed back many a time, and they've voted things 6 7 down, and they're still in their seat. So I think we've improved upon the 8 dynamics of the original iteration. 9 10 Remember, and I say this with real respect for my predecessor -- I always had 11 12 disagreements too -- but he had his own brand 13 of mayoral control. I've tried to make it 14 more responsive to checks and balances and 15 more responsive to parents as a public school 16 parent myself, which is obviously something that's very different from my predecessor. I 17 18 lived it with my own kids, I was a school 19 board member. I think we've made progress. 20 But the number-one thing I want to say 21 as you consider your vote this year, I think 22 you rightfully demand of us results. The best measures, literally the very best 23

24 measures, graduation rate and test scores, I

can show you chapter and verse why we keep
 succeeding. And those numbers are going to
 continue to improve. That's helping kids'
 lives.

5 But if I didn't have the ability to 6 make things happen quickly -- this is a story 7 of pre-K too. The only reason, with your 8 support, we moved pre-K in two years from 9 20,000 to 70,000 kids -- we could never have 10 done that without mayoral control. It would 11 have taken a decade or more.

12I just ask that you consider that,13because I know -- and we come from different14parties. That doesn't mean we can't find15common ground. I know you want efficient16government, I know you want the taxpayers'17needs to be respected --18ASSEMBLYMAN CASTORINA: And

19 transparency.

20 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Right. I think we 21 have it with mayoral control in a way we 22 never had in the past.

23 ASSEMBLYMAN CASTORINA: I'm likewise24 concerned about the fiscal implications of

1 the City of New York deciding not to follow
2 an executive order of the President. I think
3 it's wrong, I think it's -- In fact, I think
4 it would be illegal.

5 Instead of going forward and digging in on the notion of sanctuary cities, why not 6 7 reach out to the administration and talk about a real pathway to citizenship? Why not 8 focus resources in that direction as opposed 9 10 to this face-off of sorts? Which is 11 certainly only going to create more acrimony, 12 may put the city at risk for losing billions of dollars in federal aid, and also the fact 13 14 that the notion of a sanctuary city creates 15 really a second-class citizen of sorts, 16 people that have to live in the shadows, people that have to operate in a different 17 18 economy at times. And it would make more 19 sense, I think, from a humane, from a moral perspective, that we work toward a pathway to 20 21 citizenship for these undocumented folks.

And I wonder if you have had the
opportunity to speak with either the
President-elect or the President about this

1 issue, and if you plan on doing so. 2 MAYOR DE BLASIO: I would happily 3 engage in that conversation. I began that conversation when he was President-elect, and 4 5 when it was Senator Sessions, by describing what we are doing in New York City. 6 7 I think the term "sanctuary city" is being used very broadly, and I think we need 8 to reevaluate the understanding of that 9 10 concept because it's different in lots of 11 different places, first of all. And 12 secondly, I don't think it gets to the heart of the matter. 13 14 Back I think as far as Ed Koch, New 15 York City made a decision that for public 16 safety reasons alone, we could not create a dynamic where our undocumented immigrants 17 18 feared talking to the police if they saw a 19 crime or were a victim of crime. 20 This is a reality all over this 21 country when you talk about 11 million or 22 12 million people. We at the local level have to make decisions what to do with the 23 24 reality as we have received it. We didn't

create the immigration reality -- it
 occurred, and now we have to deal with it.

3 What we've seen is consistency by local leaders of both parties. We have seen 4 5 this from police chiefs all over the country, we've seen it with faith leaders all over the 6 7 country. The common thread in all regions of the country has been to recognize that if we 8 are cutting off communication between police 9 10 and immigrants, it has very dangerous 11 ramifications for public safety. And if we 12 end up in a situation where people are 13 deported -- again, breadwinners deported, parents deported, children left behind --14 15 immoral, in my view, but from a practical 16 point of view, of course the taxpayer is going to be left holding the bag, and in a 17 18 situation that creates huge human pain. So this is very practical. "Sanctuary 19

20 cities" as a phrase suggests something
21 ideological. That's why I don't tend to use
22 it. I come to a very practical place. Now,
23 Mayor Koch felt this, Mayor Dinkins felt
24 this. Famously, Mayor Giuliani felt it and

explicitly continued for eight full years
 that concept as a practical matter. And so
 on, Mayor Bloomberg and straight through to
 me.

5 I think we have to look at that and understand -- and this is why I talked to 6 7 President Trump -- that that's a reality. And I urged him to talk to the police chiefs 8 of the nation -- Commissioner O'Neill has 9 10 spoken out, Chief Beck in L.A. has spoken out, many other cases. I said, "Let's take 11 12 it away from the politicians. Talk to the police chiefs and seek their guidance, I 13 14 guarantee you they will tell you this is a 15 dangerous situation that cannot be acted on 16 too precipitously."

Now, as for a path to citizenship, I 17 18 agree with you, that's what we should get the conversation back to. There can be 19 20 differences, but I think again you've noticed 21 a bipartisan trend up through the last few 22 years of both sides looking for a solution that did involve a pathway to citizenship. 23 24 ASSEMBLYMAN CASTORINA: It's

1 pragmatic, I agree.

2	MAYOR DE BLASIO: It's in everyone's
3	interest. And I believe in comprehensive
4	immigration reform with a pathway to
5	citizenship. There are plenty of Republicans
6	who have told me privately they do too
7	different iterations, but they do too.
8	I think we should get the conversation
9	back there. We're going to use everything
10	we've got to work with everyone in Washington
11	to reframe the conversation back to that
12	core, root problem.
13	But I will tell you that I referred
14	explicitly in my conversation with the
15	President-elect and the then-Senator Sessions
16	to the 170 offenses that we fully cooperate
17	with ICE on. And I said I think this might
18	be a helpful model for getting past it,
19	because I don't think anyone can debate that.
20	And I can have a respectful
21	disagreement with your colleague on whether
22	we've gotten exactly the right 170, but I
23	think we all agree on those 170. Forget if
24	you say there's more or you think we should

1 add -- I think if you look at those 170, 2 though, you'll find nothing there you would 3 say to take away. I think if that were the concept all over the country, let's get to 4 5 some unity and bipartisanship. We all should agree on that. And if New York City could do 6 7 it, anywhere could do it. Then we could also open the door to a better discussion around 8 respecting the kids who qualify for DACA who 9 10 really are different than the people who made the decision to come here, and a different 11 12 discussion about what would a pathway to 13 citizenship look like for anyone who's here 14 and what they would have to do to qualify for 15 it. That would be healthier. 16 Finally, to your opening point, we do not see this as an act of defiance for 17 defiance's sake. We see an executive 18 order -- which, as you'll remember again, 19 20 President Obama's immigration action was 21 challenged by dozens of states. Even if I 22 disagree with them, they exercised their rights under the Constitution and they 23 24 prevailed. We saw an executive order that we

1 would thought would make our city less safe, 2 and we also thought it could at maximum be 3 applied very narrowly, according to the 4 Supreme Court decision. That's our right and 5 obligation as a city, to go and defend our 6 interests and assert that. We do not believe 7 that Justice Robert's decision would allow it 8 ever to get near the larger pool of money that the city receives. 9 10 But to say we will accept funding cuts and therefore do something that we think will 11 12 make us less safe in the bargain, I don't 13 think I'd be serving the people of New York 14 City for that to be my position. 15 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 16 ASSEMBLYMAN CASTORINA: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 17 18 Thank you, Mayor. 19 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you. 20 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Murphy. 21 SENATOR MURPHY: Hello, Mayor. 22 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Hello, Senator. CHAIRMAN FARRELL: We have two more 23 24 people, one Senator Murphy and one over here.

1 SENATOR MURPHY: Welcome to Albany. 2 It was great to see you down in Washington at 3 the inauguration. 4 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Yes. 5 Congratulations again. 6 SENATOR MURPHY: Mayor, last year I 7 asked you to convince me of why I should trust you with mayoral control, billions of 8 dollars, the ability to educate over 9 10 1 million kids and give them the opportunity to succeed. 11 12 In my eyes, you failed. I did not. Now you're back here one year later asking 13 14 for three years of mayoral control. And I 15 just find this absolutely, incredibly 16 astonishing, with everything that's going on with you and your administration. Mayor, 17 things have only gotten worse, not -- and I 18 19 repeat, not -- better for you and your administration. 20 21 And what I mean by that, which should 22 be of grave concern to every single person in this room, is the two sitting grand juries, 23 24 is the \$11 million that you're asking the

1 taxpayers to pay for representation for you 2 and your administration. I say we take the 3 \$11 million and we give it to our veterans who represent New York State and the 4 United States. 5 So I'm here again to ask you, convince 6 7 me why I should vote yes for mayoral control and give you billions of dollars, with all 8 the scrutiny that's going on with you and 9 10 your administration. MAYOR DE BLASIO: Senator, 11 12 respectfully, I have asserted consistently that we have handled all matters of 13 14 government appropriately, made every effort 15 to cooperate in investigations, providing 16 information that we believe will show that beyond a shadow of a doubt. 17 18 In terms of the governance of our school system, again, if you're interested in 19 20 results -- I would hope you would be -- for 21 1.1 million children, consistently improved 22 graduation rates, consistently improved test scores should mean something to you. 23 24 In terms of the needs of taxpayers,

1 don't believe me, believe the business 2 leadership of New York City, which across the 3 board supports mayoral control of education. 4 And they have watched the three years of 5 progress that we have added on top of what Mayor Bloomberg started, and they 6 7 wholeheartedly and energetically support mayoral control, and they come here to Albany 8 to say it. And they've contacted a lot of 9 10 you to say it. They believe removal of mayoral control would set New York City back 11 12 deeply and return to a system -- not 13 allegations, Senator, allegations against me 14 that I believe fundamentally --15 SENATOR MURPHY: These aren't my 16 allegations. MAYOR DE BLASIO: Senator, I'm sorry, 17 18 they are allegations --19 SENATOR MURPHY: These are not my 20 allegations. This is at another whole level 21 besides me. MAYOR DE BLASIO: Senator --22 Senator -- allegations are one thing. In the 23 24 previous system of educational governance,

they weren't just allegations, there was proof of corruption and chaos year after year after year. That's what Mayor Bloomberg decided to break us out of, and I commended him at the time. And this is a much, much better system.

7 So you can vote against something that 8 has been proven to work. As I mentioned, in 9 less than 15 years, the graduation rate going 10 from under 50 percent to over 70 percent. You can vote against something the entire 11 12 business establishment believes is good for the children of New York City. You can vote 13 14 against something that philosophically I 15 would hope you would agree with.

16 Because you don't like me, or you don't agree with me, that's your business. 17 18 But this is more than about any of us. This is about a decision on how we're going to 19 20 govern our schools and whether we're going to 21 serve 1.1 million children or not. If you 22 want to vote for the old system of chaos and 23 corruption, that's your choice.

24 SENATOR MURPHY: How many kids go on

1 to college?

2	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Wait a minute. I'm
3	the first to say we had a school system under
4	the previous governance structure that failed
5	our children in terms of graduation, failed
6	our children in terms of getting into
7	college. We have said openly that we need to
8	retool this school system in so many ways to
9	increase not only graduation rates but
10	college readiness, which is unacceptably low.
11	But this entire school system, we have
12	only begun the work of retooling it.
13	Full-day pre-K is part of it. Training all
14	our teachers on a higher level is part of it.
15	If you prefer to vote for a system that was
16	typified by chaos and corruption, that's your
17	choice. I can tell you that people in
18	New York City will tell you, especially our
19	business leadership, that you would be voting
20	to take us backwards.
21	SENATOR MURPHY: Well, I don't believe
22	it has gotten any better
23	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Well, given these
24	facts, I don't know how you can

1 SENATOR MURPHY: -- under your 2 administration. I'd like to know what your 3 graduation rate was, having people go on to 4 graduation --5 MAYOR DE BLASIO: You actually deny --SENATOR MURPHY: -- what kind of 6 7 careers they come out with --MAYOR DE BLASIO: Wait, wait, wait, 8 wait. You deny graduation --9 SENATOR MURPHY: -- are they coming 10 11 back to New York City. 12 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Senator, you would literally --13 14 SENATOR MURPHY: These are some of the 15 simple questions that I'd like answered. 16 MAYOR DE BLASIO: If you're saying the State Department of Education is misreporting 17 18 graduation rates around the state, then you 19 should take that up with the State Department 20 of Education. These are not my numbers, 21 they're their numbers. 22 Graduation rate and test scores, those are things you will learn from the State 23 24 Department of Education. They have made

1 clear the steady progress we've made in 2 New York City under two administrations. Those are matters of fact. 3 SENATOR MURPHY: Well, like I said 4 5 last year, it was a matter of trust. And the 6 trust factor --7 MAYOR DE BLASIO: It's a trust system, 8 Senator. SENATOR MURPHY: -- the -- excuse me, 9 10 I'm talking. The trust factor is just 11 something that everybody in this room and 12 everybody in New York State should be worried about. And you did not, you did not convince 13 14 me last year. And just the little dialogue 15 that we've had this year, it's just still not 16 convincing to me --MAYOR DE BLASIO: Senator, you 17 18 obviously have a bone to pick --19 SENATOR MURPHY: -- okay? 20 MAYOR DE BLASIO: You obviously have a 21 bone to pick. If you're literally going to 22 vote for a system of chaos and corruption, that's your business. And people will 23 24 remember that vote.

1	But I'm telling you, if you are
2	denying an increase in graduation rate,
3	denying an increase in test scores,
4	denying
5	SENATOR MURPHY: I did not say
6	anything of the sort.
7	MAYOR DE BLASIO: mayoral control
8	of education started by a Republican mayor
9	SENATOR MURPHY: All's I wanted was
10	the statistics that you should provide for
11	us. That's all I asked for.
12	MAYOR DE BLASIO: If you think a
13	system started by a Republican mayor and
14	backed up by the business community in a
15	New York City is a bad system, I look forward
16	to you having that conversation with the
17	business community. I think they'll feel
18	differently about your view.
19	SENATOR MURPHY: Thank you, Mayor.
20	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
21	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
22	To close, Mr. Carroll.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: Good afternoon,
24	Mayor de Blasio.

1 First I want to applaud you and the 2 City Council for your leadership on the 3 plastic bag issue. Conservation is very 4 important. And not so coincidentally, I have 5 my reusable bag, because I don't leave home 6 without it. 7 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Well done, Assemblyman. 8 9 ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: My first 10 question is about voting reforms here in New York State. I'm glad that you support 11 12 same-day registration and early voting. But 13 do you support shortening the time period a 14 voter has to wait to change their party 15 enrollment, which in some cases can be 16 11 months? MAYOR DE BLASIO: Assemblyman -- and I 17 just want to note, thank you for your 18 19 service. Because you are my Assemblyman in 20 Brooklyn, and I welcome you to your new role. 21 I think we need same-day registration, 22 early voting, electronic poll books, a series of reforms that are all -- you know, all over 23 24 the nation. We're one of the only states

1

that has none of those.

2 I think that we rightfully have a 3 system that recognizes party membership and respects it in the primary process, but I 4 5 think our deadlines are too long. I would be comfortable with a much shorter time period, 6 7 a few months or whatever might be 8 appropriate, because I think people honestly, especially in a very dynamic political 9 10 environment, make a decision sometimes that they are changing -- I've certainly met 11 12 people who have made decisions personally to 13 change their party affiliation, go from 14 independent to party member or from one party 15 to another one for very real reasons.

16 But enough of a time gap to discourage what exists now in some states, which is in 17 18 the morning you're a Democrat, you sign up to 19 be a Republican for just that day, just that 20 caucus or primary, and by evening you're back 21 to being a Democrat. I don't think that's 22 fair. I think then people are trying to game each election and have an impact that really 23 24 is not about, you know, the broader views of

1 the people, but trying to get inside and 2 manipulate the specific process. 3 General elections, obviously everyone gets to vote equally. But in primaries, I 4 5 think party identifications matter. But we shouldn't create such a barrier that 6 7 people -- like a year away, I think is our current reality. It's just too onerous and 8 doesn't reflect the realities of human 9 10 decision-making. ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: My next question 11 12 is about the mansion tax that you proposed. Is this tax in addition to the current tax on 13 14 \$1 million home sales, or is it taking its 15 place? 16 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Go ahead. DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: It's in addition. 17 ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: It's in 18 addition. And what is the rate? 19 20 DIRECTOR FULEIHAN: The current rate 21 is 2.5 percent. It's a marginal -- the 22 marginal rate at that level will be 2.5 percent. So the marginal rate right now 23 24 is 1.4 percent.

1 ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: My next question 2 is a question that both myself and my 3 colleague Assemblymember Richardson care 4 deeply about, and it's about area median 5 income and whether you've spoken with our federal representatives about seeing if we 6 7 can change the way area median income is computed so that it is more locally based. 8 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Look, yes, I think 9 10 that's a powerful issue. First of all, I think area median income is such a difficult 11 12 thing for average people to understand. I 13 struggle with it, everyone in meetings 14 struggles with their different charts and 15 all. I think it would be better for all of 16 us to, one, start talking about household 17 18 income, which is really the thing people can 19 make sense of. And two, you're right, the federal measure is so broad it doesn't 20 21 reflect local reality. I'm not sure how to go about getting 22 that changed, but it's the kind of thing we'd 23 24 like to see action on. And we need to do a

better job, again, translating the numbers. 1 2 But one of the things that we are 3 going to try and address, because we hear it from -- we've heard it from you and 4 5 Assemblymember Richardson and we've heard it from other members of the Legislature and the 6 7 Council, is we understand there's tremendous frustration that so many folks have lower 8 incomes and are grappling with trying to find 9 10 enough housing. In a number of the affordable housing 11 12 projects we're working on, we think there's ways to improve the mix of units so that more 13 can be lower-income. We're going to have 14 15 more to say on that soon. 16 But second, I've got to do a better job of explaining to the people of New York 17 18 City the underlying theory of the affordable 19 housing program, which is for folks of 20 different income bands on purpose. We could 21 have had a program that was just middle-class 22 affordable housing, just working-class affordable housing, just low-income 23 24 affordable housing. We said we needed to do

some of all of the above to have a strong,
 vibrant city.

3 There are folks -- I told the story the other day of parents who were part of my 4 5 son Dante's -- their kids were on my son's Dante's travel baseball team. You know the 6 7 78th Precinct Youth League very well. ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: I do. 8 MAYOR DE BLASIO: And these two 9 10 parents, literally a New York City 11 firefighter and a nurse at one of our local 12 hospitals -- I remember the day we were 13 sitting in the bleachers together and they 14 said to me they looked all over Brooklyn, 15 they could not find a home they could afford in Brooklyn. This was maybe -- you know, 16 less than 10 years ago, eight years ago, 17 18 perhaps. Quintessential, you know, 19 two-income, hardworking folks doing public 20 service jobs.

21 And my answer is that our housing plan 22 is supposed to serve them too. So you'll see 23 middle-class affordable housing in our plan, 24 in addition to working-class, in addition to

low-income. We're trying to strike that balance. I think what a lot of elected officials have said to us is, Can you find ways to get to some more lower-income, deepen the affordability, and as you say, adjust it more to a specific neighborhood. We're trying to do that.

ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: My last question 8 is, as you know, the city is the economic 9 10 driver of the state. How do you feel that the state only allocates about 5 percent in 11 12 economic development funds towards the city 13 and that we're currently still looking at a 14 \$1.6 billion loss in CFE and we possibly 15 might see budget shortfalls in the capital 16 budget of the MTA for this capital period? MAYOR DE BLASIO: Well, I will give 17 18 you a balanced answer on that because I feel 19 like -- you know, I spent a lot of time 20 working around the State of New York when I 21 had the honor of serving in the federal 22 government at the U.S. Department of Housing

and Urban Development. I worked in all parts
of the state -- in upstate cities, in

1 suburbs, in rural communities. Look, a lot 2 of New York State has deep, deep economic 3 development needs. And so -- and as I said at the beginning of the testimony, what's 4 5 good for New York City, I believe, is good for the state, because we're an economic 6 7 engine. But I think what's good for the state is good for the city. So I certainly 8 don't want to for a moment suggest that there 9 10 aren't a number of areas that need help even 11 more than New York City. They do. 12 But that, I think, should be balanced. 13 If we say there are particular economic 14 development needs in other parts of the state 15 that must be addressed, that should be 16 balanced with a recognition of where some other needs are in a place like New York City 17 18 that are even more profound than some other parts of the state, and education is a great 19

20 example.

21 But to me that goes far beyond 22 philosophy. I don't understand how we can 23 ignore a decision of the highest court in the 24 state. The Court of Appeals made a decision,

period. No one has ever legally successfully 1 2 challenged that or written a law that overwrote it. It is a decision of the Court 3 of Appeals. It needs to be acted on. 4 5 And we all understood during the Great Recession that the state was on its heels, 6 7 and everyone understood that. But that's not 8 true anymore. It hasn't been true for years. 9 So I would argue I respect that there 10 are parts of the state that have special 11 needs, and we want to see those needs met. 12 And we give more revenue by far, we're 13 60 percent of the state's revenue coming out 14 of New York City. We are happy to 15 participate in helping our brothers and 16 sisters in other parts of the state. But recognize our needs on education are going 17 18 unmet. And that's not going to be good for 19 anyone if a lot of our kids are not properly 20 educated. 21 ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: Thank you. 22 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you. 23 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: One more?

24 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay. Senator

1 Savino, as vice chair.

2 SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you, Senator3 Young.

4 Thank you, Mr. Mayor, for being so 5 patient and putting up with all of us. I'll 6 be actually very brief. The reason I wanted 7 to go last is I wanted to make sure I didn't 8 go over things that people had already asked 9 you.

10 So you know my position on the plastic 11 bag thing; you don't need to hear it. You 12 know my position on some other issues.

I am anxiously awaiting the new policy on community notification that you'll be rolling out in a couple of weeks, because we are all very concerned about the homeless issue and how it affects our communities.

18I do want to speak very briefly about19ACS. And I want to acknowledge publicly the20changes in the administration under your21mayorship. I've been through four mayors22with this agency in the 26½ years that I've23been involved in ACS, and I don't think it24gets stressed publicly enough the commitment

of your administration. When I disagree with
 you, I have no problem saying so. You know
 that. But you are putting real resources and
 efforts into this agency.

5 And I don't think that the media 6 properly portrays what goes on on a 7 day-to-day basis with the front-line CPS staff and the fact that unless you've knocked 8 on someone's door in the middle of the night 9 10 and convinced them to hand you their child, 11 you really don't understand how difficult 12 this job is. So I do think it's important 13 that we get that out there.

14 And I have recently met with your 15 administration at ACS to talk about some 16 changes I'm going to propose here in Albany, legislative changes, to undo what I think 17 18 over the years has been some unnecessary 19 requirements on the CPS staff that take them away from their core mission of identifying 20 21 risks that exist in homes so that they can 22 properly assess more quickly and provide the right type of services. 23

24 So I'm going to pursue that here. I'm

1 going to work very closely with your 2 administration to help make that possible, 3 because I think we all have the same goal, whether it's providing enough funding to the 4 5 administration, whether it's the commitment to protecting children, keeping families 6 7 together where it's appropriate, and 8 separating them where it's appropriate.

I will say, though, I have had several 9 10 conversations with some front-line CPS staffers and I have heard from more than one 11 12 that they have been overruled by judges when 13 they have requested a remand in court. That 14 is a departure from past practice. It is one 15 that concerns me greatly. Because again, the 16 decision should not be to keep families together when all indications are that they 17 18 should not be kept together.

19So I'm going to work very closely, I20think, with the current administration there.21Whoever you bring in, hopefully they will be22someone who understands the complexities of23New York City's child welfare system, its24vast nonprofit structure that supports it,

and, you know, how hard it is to protect
 children in this city.

But I just want to say publicly you have made the commitment that other mayors didn't, and I don't think that that gets said enough.

7 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Senator, I really 8 appreciate that. And I indicated earlier 9 you're one of the people in this state who 10 understands these issues the best -- I know 11 you've devoted your life to it -- and I 12 appreciate your acknowledgment of the 13 investments we've made and the focus.

14I also would say that, you know, my15predecessors came from different backgrounds.16This was a lot of work I did in my career17focused on the issues of children, so I feel18this very personally.

19I think it's important -- you and I20are both frustrated when someone who is21trained to understand the danger to children22asks a judge to remove a child as an active23caution -- it's not forever, but to avoid an24immediate danger -- and a judge says no.

1 You know, I respect, of course, the 2 division of powers in our government. But 3 it's very frustrating, because I'm a believer that you do the safe thing, you do the 4 5 abundance-of-caution thing in every case involving a child's life. And we need to ask 6 7 our judges to think long and hard before turning down a request that professionals say 8 is in the interests of protecting a child. 9 10 But on your earlier point about the 11 work we can do to clarify the role of our 12 protective workers, I'm very open and 13 appreciate your suggestions. Look, I think 14 the historical thing that also does not get 15 noted is that every administration has 16 grappled with these issues. They're some of 17 the most challenging issues in public 18 service. We're talking about broken families, by any definition, and lots of 19 20 social ills that have come into a family. 21 We're talking about things we can't even see 22 sometimes -- you know this from your work -as we've often seen, for example, a quote, 23 24 unquote boyfriend coming into the house that

we don't even know is there who is often the
perpetrator.

3 We are constantly trying to figure out how to improve this work. To the credit of 4 5 my predecessors -- look, I had lots of disagreements with Mayor Giuliani, but after 6 7 the death of Elisa Izquierdo, he brought Nick Scoppetta in, he made major changes. But he 8 had to learn the hard way what we were not 9 10 doing well enough.

11 Mayor Bloomberg, after the death of 12 Nixzmary Brown, made major changes, many of 13 which I agreed with. But he had to learn 14 what we were missing. Good people served as 15 commissioners. No one fully cracked the code 16 on everything we have to do.

And I learned a lot in a parallel reality with NYPD, working with Bill Bratton, working with Jimmy O'Neill, seeing how every day they're trying to figure out how to do things better than they did in the past.

ACS has been going that same route but not getting credit for it. We still have more to do. There's no question we have more to do. But I'll tell you one thing, our new commissioner is going to have full support, have the resources that he or she needs, the deeper involvement of the NYPD. I think that's going to make a world of difference. And we're going to keep working to get it right.

SENATOR SAVINO: I certainly hope so. 8 And also I think it's worth noting 9 10 that the agency that I went to work for 26 11 years ago is not the same agency that exists 12 today. You don't -- I don't think the public and even the media takes the time to 13 14 recognize that the majority of the children 15 and families are not directly supervised by 16 ACS, they are supervised by a nonprofit structure of volunteer agencies who have 17 direct contact with these families on a 18 19 regular basis. And that is a structure that 20 is understaffed, overstretched. The turnover 21 rate in those agencies is, you know, at astronomical levels. And that also hinders 22 the ability to provide for many of these 23 24 families. And we need to strengthen that

1 sector of the service provision as well. 2 And so again, I look forward to 3 working with your administration and with this sector of our very important work that 4 5 we do in government. So I want to thank you. MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you. And 6 7 quick response that we are going to be -- we have been investing more in preventative 8 services than any previous administration. 9 10 But you're making a key point. The 11 investment is great, but we have to make sure 12 the quality is there, we have to make sure 13 the staff are actually retained, we have to 14 figure out the kinds of things that will 15 constantly improve the quality. 16 You're right, we can't do it without -- we have faith-based partners, 17 18 community-based partners, all sorts of 19 organizations that do what government could 20 never do on its own. We have to constantly 21 help improve their work at the same time. 22 And that's going to take real focus and real investment, but we're committed to it. 23 24 Thank you.

1	SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
3	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
4	MAYOR DE BLASIO: That's it?
5	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: That's it.
6	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you, Chairs,
7	appreciate it.
8	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
9	(Pause.)
10	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So Chairman Farrell
11	will be right back, and then we'll start.
12	(Discussion off the record.)
13	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: New York City
14	Comptroller Scott Stringer.
15	Good afternoon.
16	NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Good
17	afternoon.
18	And I want to start out by thanking
19	Chair Young and Chair Farrell and members of
20	the committees and the Legislature for having
21	me here today.
22	You know, in these uncertain times,
23	it's great to be surrounded by old friends
24	and colleagues who share the notion that our

New York values will withstand the turbulence
 of these next four years.

And I'm joined here today by my deputy
comptroller for budget, Preston Niblack, who
many of you know from New York City.

I know from my 13 years of service in 6 7 the Assembly that today marks only the beginning of the budgeting process. I 8 welcome the opportunity to speak about the 9 10 Governor's proposed Executive Budget on behalf of New York City, and I look forward 11 12 to working with all of you toward a budget 13 that will progress New York as a leader in 14 the fight for fairness and opportunity for 15 all our people, and not just some.

16 Last year the Senate and the Assembly worked together to enact meaningful change to 17 18 do just that. New York passed a \$15 an hour 19 minimum wage, which will benefit 1.5 million people and boost wages by more than 20 21 \$10 billion. New York became only the fourth 22 state in the country to guarantee paid family leave, so that no working person will have to 23 24 choose between keeping their job and caring

1 for a loved one.

2	And our state government's Minority
3	and Women-Owned Business Development program
4	has continued to grow, promoting equal
5	opportunity for our businesses and helping to
6	create wealth in all of our neighborhoods.
7	The state's goal of 30 percent procurement
8	with MWBEs is an important one, and we must
9	ensure that the city has tools to match or
10	surpass it.
11	And that's why I support Assemblywoman
12	Bichotte's legislation that would enable city
13	agencies to develop capacity-building
14	programs for MWBEs, expand the city's ability
15	to use prequalification lists when awarding
16	contracts, and create more flexibility to use
17	best value in evaluating bids or proposals
18	for city contracts.
19	I'd also like to commend the Assembly
20	for passing the Reproductive Health Act and
21	the Comprehensive Contraception Coverage Act,
22	which ensure that women in New York can make
23	their own healthcare decisions and have
24	access to affordable services. The Governor

1 has taken the first step towards guaranteeing 2 that important gains in access to women's 3 healthcare and reproductive services are not undone by a potential repeal of the 4 5 Affordable Care Act. The Governor's and the Assembly's 6 7 actions are perfect examples of how we need to respond when policymakers in Washington 8

9 threaten the rights of all New Yorkers. I do
10 encourage the State Senate to follow that
11 lead.

12 And going forward, we must build on 13 these accomplishments to ensure we foster an 14 economy in which every New Yorker has a fair 15 chance to get ahead. But we cannot do so 16 without facing the fact that this President and this Congress are determined to abdicate 17 18 the federal government's responsibility 19 towards our citizens and actively impede our 20 efforts to build a fair and just society.

I know you are all familiar with the risks that face our state's economy under this administration, but I'd like to speak today about potential ramifications for

1 New York City.

2	My office analyzed the potential
3	impact of federal funding cuts and found that
4	aid is concentrated at 11 city agencies that
5	serve our must vulnerable citizens and keep
6	our city safe. Federal funds support
7	60 percent of counterterrorism efforts, half
8	of the budget for child protective services
9	and numerous critical housing and healthcare
10	programs, like Section 8 vouchers, which
11	support 124,000 New York City families.
12	The safety net that we've worked for
13	decades to strengthen in New York is now at
14	risk of collapsing. The city's Housing
15	Authority, which is home to more than 400,000
16	New Yorkers, or 5 percent of the city's
17	population, depends on the federal government
18	for 60 percent of its budget. And our public
19	hospital system requires hundreds of millions
20	of dollars in federal aid to survive.
21	These federal cuts are a jockeying
22	tool between a President and Republican
23	Congress to see who can cut taxes the most
24	for the wealthy. Meanwhile, the President's

1 proposed tax plan would cost the federal 2 government trillions of dollars in lost revenue and leave our most vulnerable 3 citizens without access to the services they 4 5 depend on. The only things that will trickle down to states and localities are spending 6 7 cuts. And with the city's revenues slowing, alongside the state's, we have to be prepared 8 for harder times ahead. 9 10 One tool for saving is the city's

budget cushion. At the beginning of the 11 12 fiscal year 2009, prior to the Great 13 Recession, the city had accrued a cushion 14 equal to 17 percent of expenditures, which 15 was indispensable in helping us weather that downturn. As of now, we will start fiscal 16 year 2018 with a cushion of only 10 percent. 17 18 We're going to do everything we can to 19 identify more savings in the city budget.

20 And meanwhile, our homelessness crisis 21 continues to consume precious budget 22 resources, with total city spending on 23 homelessness rising to \$2.1 billion this 24 year. Assemblymember Hevesi has put forward

1 a bold new plan that deserves your serious 2 consideration. Home Stability Support is a 3 potential long-term solution to this crisis that could offer a real path out of the 4 5 shelter system for thousands of New Yorkers and save the city millions in shelter costs. 6 7 The City and the State must stand together to protect our core values and 8 guarantee that all of our working families, 9 10 homeless or otherwise, have a fair chance to get ahead. This Executive Budget includes 11 12 many initiatives to do just that, and I 13 encourage you to support them. 14 First, with looming threats of 15 deportation, we should all stand behind the Governor's call to defend our immigrant 16 friends and neighbors. 17 18 Second, we must make it easy for all 19 New Yorkers to participate in our democratic process by curbing barriers to voting. 20 21 And third, we have to expand access to 22 higher education. I ask you all to pass the DREAM Act, so that thousands of undocumented 23 24 students in New York can get the financial

support they need to go to college.

1

2 The proposed Excelsior Scholarship is 3 another important tool to help middle-class families afford higher education. College is 4 5 a gateway to a lifetime of financial security, but not if students are left buried 6 7 under mountains of debt on graduation day. The Legislature should accept the Governor's 8 proposal and move to expand upon it. 9

10 First, we should include part-time 11 students, many of whom simply cannot afford 12 to attend full-time. Right now, 80,000 CUNY 13 students attend part-time, primarily because 14 they need to work to support themselves and 15 their families. Those students also deserve 16 to benefit from this program.

17I urge you to fully fund CUNY in the18state budget. Since 2010, CUNY's cumulative19shortfall in state funding is now over20\$700 million. Let's open the gateway to a21high-quality education for all our students.

I also strongly support the Governor's
proposed expansion of the childcare tax
credit. The average statewide cost of

1 center-based infant care is more than \$14,000 2 per year, and about \$12,000 for pre-K. 3 Paying for child care for an infant and a 4 4-year-old is comparable to the cost of 5 renting a \$2,000 per month apartment, and that's just so unrealistic for too many of 6 7 our New Yorkers. I support the proposal to double the existing credit for families 8 earning between \$50,000 and \$150,000. 9 10 Our students are our future, and I 11 cannot emphasize enough the need for 12 continued investment in our public schools 13 and pre-K programs. That's why I support the 14 extension of mayoral control and the 15 Governor's further investments in community 16 schools. I hope the Legislature will keep us moving in the right direction towards 17 18 ensuring the quality education guaranteed by 19 the Campaign for Fiscal Equity decision. 20 This Executive Budget also raises an 21 important issue that my office has been 22 focused on since I became comptroller. Since 2012, the city has failed to collect more 23 24 than \$450 million in Medicaid reimbursements

for supportive health services to our
 students. It's time for the city to fix this
 long-standing problem and bring these funds
 back into the classroom, with the support of
 the State.

But we need to set a realistic 6 7 timetable to ensure our children do not miss out on funding for critical services because 8 9 the city fails to meet the state's targets. 10 So I would urge you to work collaboratively and cooperatively to improve the Department 11 12 of Education's Medicaid reimbursement 13 claiming process, and stop leaving precious 14 dollars on the table.

15 Together we can also lift roughly 16 15,000 New Yorkers out of poverty by allowing the city to triple its contribution to the 17 Earned Income Tax Credit to 15 percent of the 18 19 federal allocation. The EITC is the most 20 effective anti-poverty program in America, 21 and by tripling its input, the city could add 22 more than \$200 million directly back into our businesses, our neighborhoods, and most 23 24 importantly, our families.

1 This Executive Budget does include 2 some provisions that I hope you will reject. 3 First, the appropriations bills contain language that would give the budget 4 5 director unilateral authority to push the burden of federal aid cuts down the food 6 7 chain onto local governments. I would urge you, the Legislature, to reject such an 8 approach that would bypass this legislative 9 10 body and do tremendous harm to cities and 11 counties throughout the state. 12 I would also ask you to reject cost 13 shifts for foster care, public health, and 14 special education that single out New York 15 City. Finally today, I urge you to not just 16 extend the millionaire's tax this session, 17 but to expand it. But let's be clear, this 18 19 is not robbing Peter to pay Paul. I'm not 20 here today to tag on a tax for the rich just 21 for the sake of it. The fact is, even with a 22 tax increase at the state level, New York's

24 \$3 billion in annual savings from Trump's

23

millionaires will still stand to gain

1 proposed tax plan, with an average increase 2 in their after-tax income of more than 3 5 percent. Meanwhile, middle-class taxpayers will get much smaller savings, and in many 4 5 cases could actually pay more than they do under current law. 6 7 Raising the millionaire's tax to help address the impact of federal spending cuts 8 is not just a matter of fairness, it's going 9 10 to be a necessity. So in conclusion, I feel confident in 11 12 this Legislature's ability to advance a fair 13 and balanced budget despite the challenges

14 and uncertainties of new leadership in 15 Washington. As federal leaders are poised to 16 roll back progress of the last eight years, New York must continue to push forward 17 18 without leaving any of our people behind. Let us instead work together -- city, 19 20 counties, and state, legislative and 21 executive branches -- to protect our values 22 and maintain New York as a fair and equitable home to all of our people. 23

24 I want to thank you again for giving

1	me this opportunity, as you do every year, to
2	come and testify. And if you have any
3	questions, I'll be happy to answer them.
4	Thank you very much.
5	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
6	much.
7	We've been joined by Assemblywoman
8	Crystal Peoples-Stokes.
9	First to ask questions, Michael
10	Benedetto, chair of the Cities Committee.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: Thank you,
12	Denny.
13	Welcome, Mr. Comptroller. Always good
14	to see you. Glad you're here.
15	One question. You know Co-op City,
16	and I represent Co-op City, and Co-op City is
17	a great place. Co-op City does a lot of
18	things to help the City of New York. Co-op
19	City, for instance, has installed a garbage
20	compactor. And so the Sanitation Department,
21	instead of going around to all the buildings
22	in Co-op City two times, three times a week,
23	they Co-op City collects their own trash,
24	brings it to the compactor, where the

1 sanitation trucks of the City of New York 2 once a week come in just to pick it up and 3 go. Probably saving the great City of New York millions of dollars. And for this 4 5 service, Co-op City gets nothing. Co-op City has their own police force, 6 7 90 men and women who do a wonderful job within the city. And thus the police 8 department, who we all love and does a 9 10 wonderful job in the 45th Precinct that covers Co-op City, they don't have to patrol 11 12 as much in Co-op City. In fact, they really 13 don't have to patrol at all; Co-op City takes 14 care of it. And for the expenses that Co-op 15 City pays out in their own police force, 16 Co-op City is reimbursed, by the City of New York, nothing. 17 Now, in lieu of this, are there any 18 other places in the City of New York that may 19 20 get some reimbursement for services provided 21 that New York City should be providing but doesn't? 22 NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: So off --23 24 you know, nothing of what you're suggesting

comes to mind. But I do know that Co-op City is truly a city within a city. And my grandmother lived in Co-op City, so as a kid I remember running around the complex. And since I've been comptroller, I've gotten to know much of the leadership there.

7 I would be happy to sit down with you and the leadership of Co-op City to figure 8 out what we can do, to crunch some numbers, 9 10 to look at what you're talking about and sort of figure out, going forward, if there's any 11 12 initiatives that we should be looking at so that we can continue to make Co-op City as 13 14 vibrant as ever for the families and for the 15 seniors who live there. I'd be happy to work 16 with you.

17And as a former chair of the18Cities Committee, I know you care about all19the cities, especially Co-op City.

20 ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: And of course 21 Co-op City is a fine example of affordable 22 housing, affordable middle-class housing, 23 what we greatly need in the great City of 24 New York.

1 NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: No 2 question. No question. 3 ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: No more 4 questions. Thank you. 5 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. Senator? 6 7 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. Senator Sanders. 8 9 SENATOR SANDERS: Thank you. Thank 10 you, Madam Chair. 11 Well, I just want to start by saying I 12 represent the Co-op City of Queens --13 NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: You do. 14 SENATOR SANDERS: -- Rochdale Village, 15 so I am also interested in the conversation 16 that you may have. If there is any way, then we in Queens certainly could use it also. 17 I want to offer you a friendly 18 19 amendment to your conversation earlier, to 20 your testimony. I carry the MWBE in the 21 Senate, and my school bill, if you wish, my community college bill, predates the 22 Governor's proposals. And as a matter of 23 24 fact, most of my proposals end up in the

1 Governor's proposals.

2	But I want to speak to you about MWBE
3	for a moment. And I want to just ask and see
4	if I can't get a better understanding.
5	Assuming the rate of returns are at least
6	even, what prevents you from putting more
7	pension funds or more of your funds, rather,
8	into banks of color, so-called minority
9	banks?
10	NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Well, I
11	mean, part of what we have focused on in our
12	office is a number of things. First, as you
13	know, we hired the city's first chief
14	diversity officer. And we each year evaluate
15	the procurement spending of each city agency.
16	And that has, I think, shone an important
17	transparent light on just the fact that we're
18	not focusing on contracts actual spend for
19	women- and minority-owned businesses.
20	The second thing we've also been
21	having some success with is we've said to the
22	people who manage pension dollars that, Look,
23	at the end of the day, when you have diverse
24	money managers women, people of color,

1 people of all different backgrounds -- the 2 fact is the investments do better. Right? 3 That's true with corporate boards. When you have different people on a board, 4 5 the company tends to be better. If the companies are all male or pale or -- you 6 7 know, those boards suffer from groupthink. So diversity, in addition to being a 8 civil rights issue, as a fiduciary, it's 9 10 critical that we diversify those boards. And 11 we have made it clear to the money managers that we expect to see investors who are 12 diverse. Because that is now, for the first 13 14 time in the history of our pension fund, a 15 criteria for whether we will do business with 16 vou. And in direct answer to your question, 17 18 we have upped the spending in more asset

19 classes in excess of a billion dollars than 20 any comptroller in history. And we continue 21 to look at ways we can create a funding 22 mechanism to give people an opportunity.

23 Part of what we do is we look at this24 through a fiduciary lens, because this is not

1 my money or the city's money, this is really 2 the money of our pensioners, our 3 firefighters, police officers, teachers, city workers. So everything we do, there's a 4 5 caveat which says we have to do it through the lens of our returns and what's best for 6 7 the pension fund. But diversity is a key criteria for 8 enhancing the value of our pension fund. 9 10 SENATOR SANDERS: I look forward to 11 working with you on that. 12 My last question, can I encourage you 13 to look at the sanctuary city movement in terms of its impact on New York City, that we 14 15 should at least understand that if our 16 President does carry through his stated position, we should know what this means for 17 18 New York City in terms of -- and for any other sanctuary city in the state. We should 19 20 at least know what we're talking about so we 21 can have an intelligent conversation. NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: So I've 22 23 issued two reports in the last couple of 24 weeks. The sanctuary city report that we

issued identified \$165 million in money we
 could lose immediately.

3 Incredibly, the money that we would lose is the money that we use to fight 4 terrorism. So that's about \$156 million. 5 That's the money for cameras and for the 6 7 hardware that we use, technology. Everybody knows New York City is the number-one 8 terrorist target. You would think the 9 10 President would understand that. So we have \$156 million there, and 11 12 there's a \$9 million DOJ grant that I think would be wiped out if there would be any 13 14 changes. Long term, we've identified -- and I 15

16 mentioned this in our testimony -- we've identified that the city could lose up to 17 18 \$7 billion in federal aid, aid that primarily 19 goes to the poorest people in the city, 20 through a certain number of agencies that 21 make up at least between 33 percent and 50 percent of an agency. So Department of 22 Homeless Services. You know, you all talked 23 24 a lot with the mayor about ACS.

1 So the stakes have never been higher. 2 And we will continue in this office to crunch 3 the data so that you can look at it as you 4 grapple with adopting a state budget, making 5 sure that we continue to get our fair share of funding. And I'll try to provide with you 6 7 that information on an ongoing basis. And if you or anyone else here needs us to take a 8 look, Preston or our investigative team would 9 10 be happy to work with you. 11 SENATOR SANDERS: Thank you. 12 Thank you, Madam Chair. 13 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, Senator. CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 14 15 Assemblywoman Hooper. 16 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HOOPER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 17 18 Scott, it's always good to see you. 19 And because of you, I remained in my seat to 20 acknowledge you and to ask you just a -- not 21 a question, but to make a statement and ask 22 your support. In reading, looking over the 23 24 presentation that you gave, you did refer to

the looming threats of deportation. And that you are asking us to support the Governor's call to defend our immigrant friends and neighbors. And certainly I believe that we shall, those of us who understand the importance of immigration as it relates to the history of this nation.

So I'm asking, in your very august 8 position as the Comptroller of New York City, 9 10 when you have an opportunity to talk in 11 reference to the deportation and the 12 immigration issue as it relates in New York 13 City, to look at what has happened 14 historically to those attempted immigrants 15 from the country of Haiti.

As you are aware -- perhaps you are aware -- that when the Haitians attempt to access this great nation and they are encountered in the shark-infested waters which they attempt to transverse, they are turned back without an opportunity to even access the rewards of this nation.

23 So would you be kind enough, in your 24 position, to, when this President's executive

1 order expires and it returns to where it was 2 as related to the Haitians, in New York City 3 lead the way that the Haitians should also be considered those persons, those human beings 4 5 who have a right to come to this great nation and access the services and the great 6 7 American life? I would appreciate that very much. Because I don't hear -- I rarely hear 8 9 anyone speaking on behalf of the Haitian 10 community. And I have a sizable community, a 11 sizable representation in my district. And I 12 would appreciate that. 13 NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Well, I 14 would tell you that in New York City we have 15 an incredible Haitian community that 16 contributes mightily to the economy of New York City, as Diana -- Assemblywoman 17 18 Richardson -- well knows. And it's a growing 19 community and an important community. But you know, you raise an interesting 20 21 question, which is people should understand 22 the contributions of immigrants, especially in New York City. And we've put out a 23

24 report -- we've been busy lately -- we put

1 out a report to showcase the contributions of 2 immigrants in New York City. And here's 3 something people have to understand: New York City would not be the great economy 4 5 it is without immigrants. Forty-six percent of our workforce is foreign-born. More than 6 7 half of the business owners today are immigrants, 83,000. In total, immigrants 8 earn \$100 billion in annual income, one-third 9 10 of all income earned in New York City. And the city is home to an estimated 500,000 11 12 undocumented immigrants. 13 The point is that in a city of 14 immigrants, this city has flourished. And by 15 the way, it's not just immigrants coming here 16 and opening up a small business or a small restaurant. Today immigrant communities, 17 18 Haitians included, are in the medical field, entertainment field, financial field. And 19 20 you just can't, you just can't appreciate 21 just how critical immigrants are to our 22 economy. Now, it's really incredible that in 23

24 Washington -- and the President, he has no

understanding of this, considering he's a
 New Yorker and he's done business in New York
 City. So his ideological ranting and raving
 has just no basis in fact or understands the
 contributions of immigrants.

6 I would argue that the report that I 7 did outlining the incredible contributions of the immigrant community, you probably could 8 do that same report for Chicago, L.A., 9 10 counties and towns across America. It's not just New York City. And that's the 11 12 foundation of the United States of America. 13 People from all over the world come here, 14 sometimes with very little money or no money, 15 and their entrepreneurial spirit and the 16 hustling and, you know, the entrepreneurship creates wealth in so many parts of America. 17 18 And we need to keep the focus on that. 19 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HOOPER: I appreciate that. I appreciate that. But in closing, 20 21 also there's some of us immigrants who have 22 contributed very much to the wealth of this great nation, and we came -- we did not 23

24 voluntarily come. So I need to keep that in

1 perspective as well.

2	NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: I lost my
3	voice over the weekend because I've been
4	going to so many rallies to support
5	immigration. And the first thing I say when
6	we're at a rally supporting the Muslin
7	community is I say, "Listen, I'm an American
8	Jew, and I stand with Muslims. Because when
9	they come for the Muslims, they're going to
10	come for the Jews." And they come for the
11	Haitians, they're going to come for the
12	Latinos. And we all are now in the same
13	boat. It's no longer people separated, we
14	are literally in the same boat.
15	And maybe that's a good thing at the
16	end of the day. Because I happen to think
17	not to get political here, but I actually
18	think Trump has been a tremendous unifier in
19	this country. Everyone is coming together
20	against his policies. And you usually don't
21	see that in the first 10 days of a
22	presidency.
23	(Laughter.)
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HOOPER: Thank you very

1 much.

2	NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: And by the
3	way, as comptroller, you know, I do the
4	numbers. You know? And there was nobody at
5	his inauguration. I did that
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HOOPER: I wonder why.
7	Thank you.
8	NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: I don't
9	mean to be ideological.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HOOPER: Thank you very
11	much.
12	NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Senator.
14	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Savino.
15	SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you, Senator
16	Young.
17	Thank you, Comptroller Stringer. I
18	just have one or two questions.
19	First, I want to thank you for
20	pointing out something that doesn't get
21	mentioned enough in the budget. Almost at
22	the end of your testimony, you make the point
23	that the budget language contains some
24	interesting verbiage about how, in the event

-

1 of some cuts -- and there are very 2 significant cuts that could be coming down 3 from Washington, actions that we might not be able to anticipate, that the budget doesn't 4 5 take into consideration -- that in fact they wouldn't have to bring it back to the 6 7 Legislature, that the administration could just act unilaterally, affecting not just the 8 state budget, usurping our authority to 9 10 oversee it, but county and local governments 11 as well. 12 So thank you for pointing that out,

13 because I really don't think people have paid 14 enough attention to that. And that, you 15 know, if there are going to be cuts that come 16 as a result of actions in Washington, those of us who help craft the budget here in 17 18 Albany should be part and parcel of any decisions on how we're going to redirect 19 funding or cut funding to programs that we've 20 21 already made decisions about. So I want to 22 thank you for pointing that out.

I want to ask you, though, about
MWBEs, because I know you're committed to it.

1 And you and I have had this discussion in the 2 past. I think -- last year I did a hearing 3 on it when I shared the Senate Banking Committee. Assemblywoman -- she's not here 4 5 now, she's stepped out -- Bichotte and I cochaired the hearing around access to 6 7 capital and credit and the difficulties that many of the MWBEs face getting access to 8 capital and credit from traditional banking 9 10 sources, including those that have been set 11 up for that express purpose. 12 And later in the year we did a roundtable in the district and one in 13 Brooklyn with The Black Institute, led by 14 15 Bertha Lewis. And she has a proposal that 16 would direct the city's pension system to set aside some funding for that purpose. 17 18 Has there been any further discussion 19 around that, expanding on that, about the 20 possibility of creating a pool of dollars for 21 that purpose? 22 NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Well, yes. And we have been meeting with The Black 23 24 Institute throughout, our financial advisors

1 have been working with The Black Institute 2 staff. And, you know, the talks are ongoing 3 and we're really working hard. That's I think a critical gap. And if we can get 4 5 there from the fiduciary lens, we're going to do everything we can. 6 7 In the meantime, we have been -- this 8 office has been the most aggressive in the history of this office on women- and 9 10 minority-owned businesses, on financial services. I think it really helps having a 11 12 chief diversity officer. 13 And look, we said the city should have 14 a chief diversity officer, and then every 15 agency. I mean, it's pretty incredible that 16 the city spends 15.3 billion on procurement, buying paperclips, paper -- the amount of 17 18 money we spend is larger than some 19 countries -- and only 4.8 percent of that spending goes to women, African-Americans, 20 21 Latinos, Asians. It is just a paltry sum. 22 And so I think we have to shake the system up. And that's what we've done in our 23 24 office. And we've issued forward-thinking

1 reports, we've done the budget analysis. And 2 the reason why I mentioned it here is because 3 the state has made some tremendous progress. And the Bichotte legislation that you've 4 5 highlighted in your hearings will go a long way in unlocking some of the challenges that 6 7 small firms have -- access to capital, bonding authority, all the things that keeps 8 a small business small. 9

10 And I'll continue to work with you and 11 Bertha and everybody to keep working on this. 12 SENATOR SAVINO: And following up with 13 that, the Senate Labor Committee last year, 14 under the previous chairmanship of Senator 15 Martins, did a hearing on prompt payment in 16 the construction industry to some of our MWBEs. It turns out that we have the same 17 problem there where MWBEs are not able to 18 19 compete because once they get a contract, 20 quess who the worst payers are? Government. 21 Particularly the MTA.

22 So we state that we have a stated 23 goal, it's in the public interest to have 24 30 percent of our contracts go to MWBEs,

1 particularly around the construction 2 industry -- and then, in the end, we're not 3 paying promptly enough. And they don't have access to capital and credit from traditional 4 5 lending sources, they then go out of business, they can't meet payroll, they get 6 7 debarred, and they can't compete. So anything that we can do to help 8 support this effort I want to continue 9 10 working on it, because --NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Let's 11 12 continue to collaborate. You're raising some 13 very important issues. You're absolutely 14 right. You know, the big firms can absorb 15 the hit and they have enough revolving 16 revenue to, you know, wait for the paycheck. And you're absolutely right, the small 17 18 struggling firms can't. 19 And look, we also need better 20 public-private partnerships -- we need a 21 better partnership between big firms and 22 small firms, because what you really want to do is you just don't want to keep MWBEs in 23 24 the subcontractor role, you want to start

1 small and then build it up.

2	And I can't stress enough, as we do
3	some of the economic analyses, these small
4	businesses, these MWBEs, are so critical to
5	wealth creation in a community. You know, we
6	think it's just, okay, we're helping the
7	small business. But that small business
8	grows into a large business, hires locally,
9	they operate they don't necessarily
10	operate in the Manhattan business district,
11	they're actually operating throughout our
12	boroughs. And that's a wealth creator in the
13	community.
14	And we need that. Given high rent and
15	some of the cost of living, we need to just,
16	you know, up the amount of revenue in a
17	community.
18	SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you.
19	NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Senator?
21	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. I think
22	we're done.
23	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Oh, no, we're not
24	done.

1	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: On our side.
2	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblyman
3	Carroll.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: Thank you.
5	Thank you for coming here today,
6	Comptroller Stringer. I have two questions.
7	The first is about your EITC program.
8	I agree with you that it's a wonderful
9	anti-poverty program. I want to know if your
10	office has looked into expanding those tax
11	credits, especially for individuals who are
12	single without dependents, because I think
13	the program doesn't do a great job there. I
14	think there are lots of folks who are single
15	without dependents but actually do have
16	children. Or it's a way to also combat youth
17	unemployment, to persuade folks to come into
18	the workforce. And so I'd just like to know
19	your thoughts on that.
20	And the second question is about
21	whether your office has looked into, if

Donald Trump does follow through with
defunding sanctuary cities, ways that the
city can help stop its residents from

1 remitting tax dollars to the federal 2 government. Because we are a tax provider. 3 We actually put more much more into the 4 federal government than we receive. And so 5 if they're going to defund our city, we should just not -- you know, we should be 6 7 capturing as many tax dollars as possible. NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: I'm not 8 going to advocate tax evasion here today. 9 10 So --11 (Laughter.) 12 ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: Okay. We can 13 talk about the EITC then, I guess. 14 NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: We can talk about EITC. 15 16 Look, I think -- and you can be assured that New York City, the mayor's 17 18 office, the comptroller's office, we're going 19 to work very hard to use every tool at our 20 disposal to legally challenge whatever comes 21 down from Washington that puts our city in 22 economic jeopardy or harms our immigrant brothers and sisters in the city. 23 24 As relates to money that we remit to

1 Washington, I do believe that knowledge is 2 power. And when people understand the 3 billions we send to Washington -- that, by the way, we never get back. As much money as 4 5 we get from the federal government, it's not as much as what we give. And, you know, 6 7 New Yorkers know that. You know, we always 8 have given more to this country.

And so I think we have to continue to 9 10 talk about these issues. That's why we're 11 preparing these reports. That's why we did 12 the report on the value of immigrant 13 contributions to the New York City economy. 14 That's why we're exposing the fact of the 15 \$7 billion in potential cuts, the collapse of 16 the safety net.

And I look forward to working with all 17 18 of you on these issues, because the truth is 19 I get a lot of ideas from legislators on 20 these issues. And, you know, not to stray to 21 another topic, but as Assemblywoman Niou 22 knows, you know, part of the reason why we were able to do the Rivington investigation 23 24 was because the community came to us and

1 said, There's something wrong here, would you 2 take a look at it, would you do an analysis? 3 And that's what led to the investigation and exposed the \$72 million that we were losing. 4 5 But, you know, it doesn't only come out of my office. I really do need eyes and 6 7 ears to tell me what's going on. ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: And EITC? 8 NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Listen, I 9 10 think single with children, yes. Also seniors. And we're going to continue to work 11 12 with the Legislature. I hope you consider 13 giving us the authority to do this. 14 ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: Thank you. 15 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Mr. Comptroller, I 16 do have maybe one or two questions that crossed my mind. 17 18 We had a discussion earlier with Mayor 19 de Blasio, very briefly, about the Administration for Children's Services. And 20 in December of 2016, you actually issued a 21 22 letter, following up with a July 2016 report, on the failures at ACS. Could you just very 23 24 briefly go over that? And then I have a

1 follow-up.

2	NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Before that
3	letter, we issued an audit in which we found
4	a lot of issues related to the management of
5	ACS. And part of my audit responsibility is
6	not just to raise issues but also to follow
7	up to see if our recommendations were being
8	implemented. And I do think that the people
9	in ACS, the front-line workers, the
10	overwhelming majority are doing everything
11	they can. There's a lot of heroes in that
12	agency. There's a lot of people who dedicate
13	their lives to saving children. I don't
14	think we talk about that enough.
15	But when you look at some of the
16	management failures at ACS, the high
17	caseloads, the lack of ACS following their
18	own protocols they're not my protocols,
19	they're ACS protocols we find that very
20	troubling. And we have called raised this
21	issue with the agency and the mayor's office.
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So you think that
23	some of the recommendations are being
24	followed; however, there's much further to

1 go? Not to put words into your mouth, but 2 you think that there's a lot of work to do? NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: I think 3 that's a very accurate characterization of 4 5 where we're coming from. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So one of the 6 7 reasons I wanted to ask was -- first of all, 8 I applaud you for taking on this issue. Obviously this is so crucial and we have, 9 10 unfortunately, an abysmal track record of children being severely hurt and killed 11 12 because of mismanagement, as you pointed out. 13 In the executive proposal that's 14 before us right now, there is additional 15 responsibilities under the Raise the Age 16 program that would have to be undertaken by ACS. And I just wanted to get your opinion. 17 18 If they haven't fixed what's wrong already, 19 how would they be able to manage additional 20 responsibilities? 21 NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: I think 22 it's going to be very challenging. But look, we are going to continue to highlight the 23

problems there as a way of moving the city to

24

1 act, you know. And I couldn't tell you -- I
2 couldn't break it down for you today, but I
3 certainly can work with you to get you that
4 information.

5 But look, we have real challenges in our city. We have an exploding homeless 6 7 population. And we have children who are dying that shouldn't be dying. And to the 8 extent that we can work with the city by 9 10 offering analysis and audit, that's part of what I'm supposed to do. At the end of the 11 12 day, transparency is important because it 13 gives us an opportunity to see what's really 14 going on. 15 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 16 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 17 Assemblyman Weprin. 18 ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Good afternoon, 19 Comptroller Stringer. And it's great to see 20 my old friend Preston Niblack, a great 21 acquisition. When I was chair of the City 22 Council Finance Committee and he was our director, he was invaluable and we could not 23 24 have done what we did back in those years

1 without Mr. Niblack.

2 NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: That's what 3 he told me when he interviewed. (Laughter.) 4 5 NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: But he is a great addition. 6 7 ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: I know you've done a couple of audits -- I think you did 8 9 one in 2014, and you did one recently in 10 November 2016 -- involving Rikers Island. And I'm the new chair, as you know, of the 11 12 Corrections Committee. And I know there's a 13 former Judge Lippman Commission report that's 14 supposed to report back on some of the issues 15 in Rikers. Could you kind of summarize -- I think 16 17 you talked about the increased cost per 18 inmate at Rikers recently and also some of 19 the violence involving Rikers. Could you 20 kind of give a little synopsis of your audit 21 and what is being done as a follow-up? NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: As the 22 population in Rikers decreases, the cost per 23 24 inmate is rising, which makes no sense to me.

1 It's now \$137,000. Now, some of that, to be 2 fair, are new programs that are being 3 implemented. 4 But part of why we're focusing on this 5 is that violence is increasing, the cost is going up, and we don't have a long-term 6 7 Rikers plan, and that's something that we have to continue to talk about. One option 8 on the table -- and perhaps the Lippman 9 10 Commission will address it -- is how do we go about closing Rikers, because it is an 11 12 antiquated place. I've been there voluntarily, and it's -- it's -- you know --13 14 ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: I'm glad you clarified that. 15 16 (Laughter.) NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Well, in 17 18 these times. 19 It is a place that is in desperate 20 need of reform, and the physical plant is in 21 just terrible condition. 22 But I'm very excited that you are the new chair, and I do look forward to working 23 24 with you. We are going to continue to look

1 every few months at some of the issues facing 2 Rikers, because it's important for the people 3 there that we do everything we can to make Rikers safe, cost-effective, and efficient. 4 5 ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Do you think closing it is an option? 6 7 NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: I think it absolutely should be studied. I think it's 8 critical that we look at this huge place that 9 10 has just been operating in a way that's just in a different era. And when you think about 11 12 how we can best house our inmates, keep them 13 safe, and keep costs down, I mean I think 14 that all goes hand in hand. 15 ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Okay. Well, if we did close it, where would the -- you know, 16 it's a little bit less than 10,000 inmates 17 18 now; at one point it was 20,000. 19 NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: It's about 9,000, yeah. 20 21 ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Where would you 22 suggest that we house those inmates? NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Well, 23 24 that's going to be up to you. And part of

1 what we'll have to do is work on having a 2 discussion with city officials -- we're 3 certainly not there today. I think we do need to do research and analysis, what is the 4 5 best way to house our inmate population. The Rikers footprint is huge, and it 6 7 is a plant that is slowly falling into disrepair. So the cost of maintaining it, 8 you know, may turn out to be 9 10 cost-prohibitive. And so this is not 11 something that's going to happen overnight. 12 But sometimes you have to start laying the groundwork for different options. And I 13 14 think we have to talk to people, our 15 constituents in New York City, show them it's costing \$137,000 a year just to, you know, 16 incarcerate an inmate when there's so many 17 18 forward-thinking ideas in terms of how we 19 house our inmates in a safe way that's 20 cost-effective. And with you as chair, I 21 think you'll breathe some life into that 22 discussion. ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Thank you very 23 24 much, Comptroller.

1 NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 3 Senator? 4 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Krueger. 5 SENATOR KRUEGER: Hi, how are you, Comptroller Stringer? 6 7 NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: You're back. 8 9 SENATOR KRUEGER: I'm back. I 10 apologize, I had to run off to do something 11 with the Governor and got back. 12 Thank you for being here. I did have 13 a chance to review your testimony quickly, 14 and you cover so many important progressive 15 issues for the City of New York and where we 16 need to go. NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Thank you. 17 18 SENATOR KRUEGER: I was just curious, 19 today on the floor of the Senate there's 20 going to be a bill -- I'll be voting no on 21 it -- that would limit New York City's 22 property tax to the same kind of formula the 23 rest of the state's property tax caps are set 24 at. And I'm curious whether you have any

1 analysis of what that would mean for the 2 city's finances if in fact the bill -- that I 3 believe Senator Lanza sponsors in the 4 Senate -- were to become law. 5 NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: You know, I think that's sort of comparing apples to 6 7 oranges. I don't think, say, a 2 percent cap for the city would work at all. Our 8 expenses are going up by around 5 percent a 9 10 year, given all the issues and things that we deal with. So I think to sort of strangle us 11 12 in that way would not be smart financially, 13 fiscally. 14 I think there's a better conversation 15 to be had, but I don't think that's the way 16 to do it. And I would say, as somebody who watches the finances of the city, I commend 17 18 you for voting no. 19 Thank you. SENATOR KRUEGER: 20 And you spoke of, in your testimony, 21 supporting the continuation of what people 22 euphemistically talk about as the millionaire's tax, and even extending it. 23 24 And I publicly have supported it already

1 earlier today.

2	There's always somebody who argues if
3	you do this, you'll lose all your
4	millionaires and you'll actually end up
5	losing tax revenue for the city. Can you
6	speak from your experience, since we've now
7	had this tax for multiple years?
8	NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Well, as I
9	mention in the testimony, the very wealthy
10	actually stand to benefit greatly from
11	federal tax cuts. And we estimate that
12	New York City residents making more than
13	\$1 million would reap a \$3 billion federal
14	tax cut under the Trump plan. So we think
15	that under the federal tax plan, we're
16	actually going to see millionaires doing very
17	well.
18	The people we're concerned about are
19	in some cases the middle class, who would get
20	a relatively paltry tax cut, and in some
21	cases no tax cut at all. We think that to
22	make up the revenue, that to support the
23	Assembly tax plan makes sense today.
24	Now remember, the millionaire's tax

1 was first proposed in 2009 during the Great 2 Recession. The top bracket raised to \$1 million for single filers and \$2 million 3 for joint filers. The top rate is only 8.97. 4 5 That has been lowered to 8.82 percent, right? So we think that this is a good time 6 7 to generate a little more because of the 8 trillions that are going to be taken off the 9 table. 10 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. 11 And one more question --12 NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: And let me 13 just mention something. SENATOR KRUEGER: Certainly. 14 15 NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Because we 16 did an analysis in our office that found that half of all taxpayers with incomes greater 17 than \$1 million, right, do not actually live 18 19 in New York, and that these taxpayers are 20 less likely to move than lower-income 21 taxpayers. So half the people don't even live here. 22 SENATOR KRUEGER: And I always enjoy 23 24 discussing fiscal issues with you as the city

1 comptroller, so you're the perfect person for 2 me to ask these questions of. So thank you. 3 In the Governor's budget, something I don't think came up yet today, there's a 4 5 proposal to basically expand on Internet fair taxation. So we've had the -- what they 6 7 euphemistically call the Amazon tax, and in the Governor's proposal it expands it, which 8 some people are saying but if they have no 9 10 bricks and mortar in New York, why should we be able to tax them? And of course others 11 12 point out as more and more commerce moves to 13 the Internet, you have fewer people shopping 14 retail and less sales tax coming into local governments. 15 Do you have a position for sales tax 16

17 revenue to the City of New York from this
18 proposal?

19NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: We think20under the proposal we would see \$40 million21for New York City. We already have a process22in place to capture that revenue. This would23just make the provider responsible for24collecting it. So I actually think it would

1 be a benefit to the city.

2	SENATOR KRUEGER: And you don't see a
3	negative for the City of New York if we were
4	to implement this?
5	NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: We're in a
6	new world when it comes to this. But this, I
7	think, is a moderate way to take a look at
8	how we can, you know, look at revenue without
9	hurting businesses, but at the same time
10	making sure that New York City is capturing
11	what it's supposed to in terms of sales tax.
12	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you very much
13	for your testimony.
14	NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Thank you,
15	Senator.
16	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
17	Mr. Lupinacci. Braunstein, I'm sorry.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN BRAUNSTEIN: Can you hear
19	me? Good afternoon, Mr. Comptroller.
20	As you're aware, significant inequity
21	exists within New York City's property tax
22	system. If you look at effective property
23	tax rates for co-op owners in the outer
24	boroughs compared with their neighboring

1 single-family homeowners as well as co-op 2 owners in Manhattan and parts of Brooklyn, 3 you'll see that outer borough co-op owners 4 pay significantly higher effective property 5 taxes than their similarly situated 6 neighbors.

7 The reason co-ops in Manhattan and 8 Brooklyn are assessed differently than the outer boroughs has to deal with comparables 9 10 and sometimes they use rent-regulated 11 comparables, and that's an issue for another 12 time. But one of the reasons that 13 single-family homeowners have relatively 14 lower property taxes is because there's caps 15 on assessments at 6 percent a year, 16 20 percent over five years.

17 In the district I represent, many 18 people can't afford to buy a home. So we 19 have young families, middle-class families, 20 seniors on fixed incomes who live in co-ops, 21 and they're seeing their property taxes rise at an exponential rate. And it's forcing 22 many people to make difficult decisions about 23 24 whether or not they can continue to stay in

1 their home.

2	I introduced legislation in 2013, in
3	coordination with the City Council and
4	Mr. Niblack here helped me work on it as
5	well that would cap assessments on co-ops
6	at 8 percent a year, 30 percent over five
7	years.
8	I've pleaded with the de Blasio
9	administration to help us push this proposal
10	because, while we can unilaterally do it up
11	here, generally as a policy, when we make
12	major tax changes to a locality, we tend to
13	try and get a green light, especially in the
14	Assembly.
15	Would you be open to supporting an
16	8 percent assessment cap per year, 30 percent
17	over five years, for co-ops?
18	NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Listen, I
19	think you've been a leader to make our
20	property tax system more fair and equitable.
21	I do think we should review our property tax
22	system. We haven't had a real discussion
23	about it for a very long time. We do have a
24	system that does not treat everybody equally,

and I think you're right to raise these
 issues, as was the City Council.

As a former chair of the Real Property Taxation Committee in the Assembly some years ago -- more than a decade ago -- we talked about taking a look at this system. And I'll be happy to work with you. I don't want to commit today on your bill, partly because I haven't reviewed it.

11 NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: But I do 12 think that we're long overdue to just simply 13 start talking about the tax system. I think 14 we could make some headway. I'm going to ask 15 Preston just to talk about it from -- because 16 of his experience --

ASSEMBLYMAN BRAUNSTEIN: Sure.

10

17ASSEMBLYMAN BRAUNSTEIN: No, I just18wanted to bring it to your attention because19it's becoming a very serious problem --

20 NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: No, I21 understand.

ASSEMBLYMAN BRAUNSTEIN: Especially
seniors on a fixed income, that property tax
is passed on to their maintenance. And their

1 pension has not gone up like that, their 2 Social Security benefits aren't going up like 3 that, but they're seeing -- I mean, exponential. I've gone to the co-op board 4 5 meetings, and you see the curve of how much the property tax has gone up, and even in the 6 7 next few years it's going to be a big 8 problem. NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: You're 9 10 right, you're absolutely right to raise it. It's time to have that discussion. 11 12 NYC DEPUTY COMP. NIBLACK: I think the 13 problem that we were trying to address with

14 your bill, your proposal, really at its core 15 was about the assessment process and how it 16 treats buildings -- like mine, frankly, on the Upper West Side, that is compared to a 17 18 set of rent-controlled apartment buildings 19 and is underassessed as a result, as opposed 20 to the buildings out in your district, which 21 we looked at very closely, that get assessed 22 at something that's much closer to market value. 23

24

And it creates distortions, that

1 assessment method creates distortions. I 2 spent lots of time with David Weprin on this as well. And that's really the core of this 3 4 problem. 5 ASSEMBLYMAN BRAUNSTEIN: I mean, theoretically that sounds like a good 6 7 proposal, but there's so many winners and losers in that plan that it's almost 8 politically untenable. Whereas a straight 9 10 cap on assessments across the board is something that I think everybody could 11 12 support. So I'm just happy to bring it to 13 your attention. NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: 14 15 Assemblyman, we'll be happy to work with you 16 on this, absolutely. 17 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 18 Senator? 19 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I think we're all 20 set. 21 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very 22 much. 23 NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Thank you 24 very, very much. Best of luck in the

deliberations. Thank you. 1 2 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, 3 4 Mr. Comptroller. 5 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Sophia said to say 6 hello. I told her you would be here. 7 NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Is she here? 8 9 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: No, she isn't. She 10 wanted to be. 11 NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Max and 12 Miles say hello. 13 (Discussion off the record.) 14 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: City of Buffalo, 15 Mayor, the Honorable Byron Brown. 16 This is the long version, right? MAYOR BROWN: Yes. That's a longer 17 18 version than I'm going to give. 19 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: It's as long as 20 this? 21 MAYOR BROWN: No, it's shorter than 22 that. 23 Chairman Denny Farrell, chairman of 24 Ways and Means, Senator Catharine Young, our

Finance chair, and to all of the members of the Legislature, it's a wonderful pleasure to be back here with you in Albany and to have the opportunity to give my perspective on Governor Cuomo's proposed budget.

6 I have with me today City of Buffalo 7 Commissioner of Administration and Finance 8 Donna Estrich. And as per the indirect 9 instructions of Chairman Farrell, I will give 10 an abbreviated presentation of what you have 11 in front of you.

12 I want to just first of all say that my administration has worked continuously to 13 improve Buffalo's fiscal situation, which has 14 15 created a strong business environment and continues to attract investment and create 16 jobs. There has been a remarkable revival in 17 the City of Buffalo. And while there is 18 19 still much that we need to accomplish in 20 Buffalo, our efforts have produced incredible results. 21

For example, we've delivered 11
balanced budgets. It has been five years
since Buffalo's hard control board shifted to

1 a soft advisory panel. Overall, the crime 2 rate has dropped 32 percent, and violent 3 crime is down 27 percent. The City of Buffalo has added over 1,400 affordable 4 5 housing units since 2006. And I know earlier there was much 6 7 discussion with Mayor de Blasio and Comptroller Stringer about minority- and 8 women-owned businesses and concerns about 9 10 immigration and the recent immigration orders. I have hired the first chief 11 12 diversity officer in the City of Buffalo a 13 year ago, to support the growth and 14 development of minority- and women-owned 15 businesses, and we are seeing great success 16 in that area. And I have also created the Office of 17 New Americans to ease the transition of 18 19 immigrants and refugees into the City of 20 Buffalo. 21 The city has also improved its bond 22 ratings with the Big 3 credit rating agencies, receiving an A+ from Standard & 23 24 Poor's, an AA- from Fitch Ratings, and an A1

from Moody's Investor Services. We are
 continuing to keep costs down and create a
 more open and efficient government.

We've reduced our costs by switching
over to a VOIP telecommunications system.
We've implemented a fuel monitoring system
and installed GPS in much of our municipal
fleet to reduce waste and be more efficient.

The City of Buffalo recently acquired 9 10 a downtown federal building to consolidate 11 police and fire administrative offices into 12 one location, and we are fully confident that 13 this will create efficiencies and save money 14 in both operations. This will also enable us 15 to sell the police building, which is 16 considered valuable real estate, in the current downtown market. 17

18We created and implemented the BPD21C19Police Academy Pre-Employment Scholarship20Program, a first of its kind in the nation21program, which has put new police officers on22the streets more quickly and saved the city23millions while developing a community police24force that better reflects the demographics

1 of our diverse city.

2	After 242 public meetings to assess
3	and consider input from residents across the
4	city, I signed a new unified development
5	ordinance into law earlier this month, the
6	"Green Code." It is the first major overhaul
7	to Buffalo's zoning laws since 1953 and will
8	serve as a blueprint for zoning and
9	development in the 21st century. And Buffalo
10	joins only two other major American cities
11	that have done this, and so we're very proud
12	of that accomplishment.
13	The City of Buffalo is also working
14	with the Sunlight Foundation and the
15	Johns Hopkins University Center for
16	Government Excellence to improve the use of
17	data-driven decisionmaking and analysis. I
18	issued an executive order to create an open
19	data policy, and will soon release an RFP to
20	procure an open data portal that will be a
21	central repository for city data and will
22	enhance transparency in city government.
23	And last year, I want to thank you for
24	the land bank superbid power that you passed

1 for the City of Buffalo and was signed by the 2 Governor. I want to thank Assemblymember 3 Crystal Peoples-Stokes, for being the Assembly sponsor of that legislation, and 4 5 State Senator Patrick Gallivan, for being the Senate sponsor. 6 7 This has enabled us in the City of Buffalo to break the cycle of foreclosed 8 9 properties being purchased by absentee owners 10 who seldom invest in them, and to create homeownership opportunities for residents to 11 12 contribute to the stabilization of our 13 neighborhoods. 14 I am proud to report that the City of 15 Buffalo, thanks to a lot of support that we 16 have received from the Legislature and the Governor, and the hard work that we have done 17 18 locally, is in sound financial condition. 19 You have been very instrumental in our renaissance, and I want to thank you for 20 21 that. 22 This year's Executive Budget proposal makes important investments that will ensure 23 24 Buffalo's continued prosperity. I am

1 grateful for the faith and commitment that 2 Governor Cuomo has shown in the potential of 3 our city, with the Buffalo Billion initially and now the Buffalo Billion 2. The focus on 4 5 job creation and providing support to businesses and entities that stimulate 6 7 development will continue the tremendous 8 progress that has been made under the original Buffalo Billion program. 9

10 I'd also like to thank Governor Cuomo 11 for including \$10 million for the Better 12 Buffalo Fund in this year's budget. The 13 Better Buffalo Fund has supported projects 14 that have boosted the growth of small 15 businesses and increased employment and 16 housing options along corridors served by public transportation. These investments are 17 18 building neighborhoods and improving quality 19 of life.

Fifty years of deindustrialization and disinvestment has impaired the ability for rapid progress on the East Side of Buffalo more than any other neighborhood in our city. The rapid population loss from the 1970s

1 through the 2000s, coupled with the high 2 concentration of poverty, has led to an 3 abundance of abandoned and dilapidated housing. The Governor's proposal to invest 4 5 \$10 million to help eliminate zombie properties and revive and reinvent blighted 6 7 areas on the East Side and in the suburb of Cheektowaga will have a profound impact on 8 the quality of life in these neighborhoods. 9

10 To guide unemployed or underemployed individuals into advanced manufacturing and 11 12 energy industries which are growing in the 13 City of Buffalo, Governor Cuomo has allocated 14 \$10 million for a workforce development plan 15 to support job growth and ensure Buffalo has 16 a workforce with skills to meet the requirements of the jobs of the future, and 17 18 we certainly support that.

19Approximately \$60 million of the20proposed Buffalo Billion 2 funding is21currently targeted for East Side22revitalization projects where the city is23experiencing high poverty. I applaud the24Governor for this much-needed investment.

1 The new funding for the Buffalo 2 Niagara Medical Campus, as part of the 3 Buffalo Billion 2, will support the region's 4 growth of medical startups, another area that 5 is driving record amounts of employment 6 opportunities for residents of the City of 7 Buffalo.

I'm also enthusiastic about the 8 Governor's budget proposal to make college 9 10 tuition free for middle-class families at SUNY and CUNY. Many of Buffalo's 11 12 top-performing high school students never 13 apply to college even though they have 14 displayed the ability to succeed 15 academically. A majority of these students come from low-income households. 16 The City of Buffalo has made 17 18 modernizing our municipal infrastructure a

19 top priority. Buffalo has invested over 20 \$217 million -- \$95 million of that in 21 drinking water, and \$122 million in sewer 22 improvements -- since 2006. I applaud the 23 Governor for including over \$2 billion for 24 clean water infrastructure.

1 Buffalo is continuing to protect the 2 progress it has made and add tools that will 3 allow the City of Buffalo to reach its full potential. We continue to need assistance to 4 5 continue our fiscally responsible growth strategy. For example -- and I am going to 6 7 summarize these, because you have the testimony in front of you -- we're seeking to 8 build a public works campus and consolidate 9 10 the operations of our Public Works 11 Department, consolidating six facilities into 12 one. Phase 1 would be a \$30 million investment. 13 14 Cars Sharing Main Street funding --15 I've been before you before and talked about 16 the fact that 30 years ago our Main Street was closed to vehicular traffic when the 17 18 light-rail rapid transit system was 19 installed. And to date we have been able to 20 invest close to \$60 million in opening up 21 Main Street to vehicular traffic, with 22 federal, state, and City of Buffalo dollars. It has been of major benefit to the City of 23 24 Buffalo, and we would like to continue that

process with an allocation of \$30 million to
 open up another two blocks of our
 Main Street.

I will tell you that the \$60 million
of investment with public resources that we
have been able to make has stimulated over
\$500 million of private-sector investment.

We'd also like to create a unique 8 Tax-Reduction Incentive Program. And we'd 9 10 like Buffalo to be the model for that 11 program, where our state would provide an 12 incentive for those municipalities who 13 actually cut taxes and maintain those tax 14 cuts for at least five years. The details of 15 the program are in our presentation before 16 you.

We would also like to close the 17 18 utility services tax loophole. We've talked 19 about this before. Cities like Buffalo, 20 Rochester, and Yonkers previously have had 21 the ability -- and we still do -- to collect 22 the 3 percent tax on gross receipts of local services provided by utility companies, but 23 24 we are not able to do that on the wireless

companies. The state has adopted legislation to give the state the ability to impose an excise tax on telecommunications, and that is not the case with cities outside of New York City. And that would be very important to Buffalo and other upstate cities to have this ability.

Finally, AIM funding is the lifeblood 8 9 of municipal governments, and any decrease or 10 disruption in this funding jeopardizes essential services. As you know, in 2010 the 11 12 AIM program was cut by 7.6 percent and has 13 not been fully restored since that time. As 14 the operating costs of running a municipality 15 have continued to increase at an almost 16 unsustainable pace over the last seven years, AIM funding has remained flat. My request is 17 18 that AIM funding be increased to coincide 19 with the rate of inflation.

Again, through hard work and careful planning, and with the support of the Governor and this legislative body, we have been able to make significant progress in the City of Buffalo. We have worked hard to

1 build a foundation for future growth and 2 investment. We are grateful to your role in 3 that, and we're asking for your continued 4 support and assistance as you deliberate this 5 year's state budget. 6 Thank you very much. 7 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. Mr. Benedetto? 8 9 ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: Yes. Welcome 10 here, Mayor, and it's a pleasure to have you 11 in the hall. 12 MAYOR BROWN: It's a pleasure to be 13 here. 14 ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: Everything 15 sounds like things are going in the right 16 direction in Buffalo, and you are rather laudatory towards our Governor and for the 17 18 things he has done. 19 MAYOR BROWN: And the Legislature as 20 well. 21 ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: Okay. We'll 22 take that. 23 The Governor has various competitions. 24 The municipal consolidation and efficiency

1 competition -- have you taken advantage of 2 that? Do you have any nearby cities or towns 3 that you can join with, and have you? MAYOR BROWN: We have been able to 4 5 implement a number of efficiencies in the City of Buffalo, some of them I outlined in 6 7 my presentation, that have saved the residents and taxpayers of our city in some 8 cases hundreds of thousands of dollars -- in 9 10 other cases, potentially millions of dollars. 11 Buffalo is the largest municipality in 12 the Western New York region, and many consolidations have been done over a long 13 14 period of time. So, for example, at one time 15 the city and the county both had health 16 departments. Those have been consolidated. There's only a county health department. The 17 18 city and county once had separate library 19 systems. The library systems have been 20 consolidated. 21 So a lot of the major consolidations that could have been implemented in our 22

24 so it would be hard for us to identify other

23

region over the years have been implemented,

1 consolidations with our neighboring suburban 2 municipalities. 3 ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: So this particular one would not really apply to you 4 5 enough really to benefit from. Tell me about the Car Sharing Main 6 7 Street project. I'm not familiar with it, but it sounds like a wonderful program. 8 9 Enlighten me. 10 MAYOR BROWN: So about 30 years ago, a light-rail rapid transit system was installed 11 12 on Main Street in downtown Buffalo. The 13 installation of the light rail was heralded 14 as being a great thing for retail and 15 business in downtown Buffalo, but the project 16 took so long it essentially killed retail in downtown Buffalo and caused the closure of 17 18 many businesses in our downtown. 19 During my entire tenure as mayor, we 20 have been working to assemble funds to reopen 21 Main Street to vehicular traffic and to 22 stimulate private-sector reinvestment in the downtown and the City of Buffalo, and we have 23 24 been very successful in doing that. We've

1 attracted federal funds, we've been able to 2 secure state funds, and we have put City of 3 Buffalo funds into the project, almost totaling about \$60 million, opening three 4 blocks of Main Street. 5 And in opening those three blocks of 6 7 Main Street, we have seen substantial private-sector investment and business 8 9 relocation and startup in those areas where 10 we have been able to reopen the Main Street and downtown to vehicular traffic. 11 12 ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: Very interesting. One of these days I'll have to 13 14 get up and visit Ms. Peoples and take an 15 actual look and see how things are going. 16 MAYOR BROWN: We would love to host 17 you. 18 ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: Thank you for 19 your time. 20 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 21 Senator? 22 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you very 23 much, Mr. Chairman. Thank you. 24 It's always great to see a fellow

1	Western New Yorker here, so I welcome you,
2	Mayor. I'm so happy to see you again.
3	MAYOR BROWN: Great to see you,
4	Senator Young.
5	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: We are just
6	thrilled with the rejuvenation of Buffalo and
7	your good work and what we've been able to do
8	as partners on the state level.
9	I just did have one really quick
10	question. Recently you signed into law
11	Green Code legislation which would affect
12	many aspects of development in the city, from
13	historic preservation to environmentally
14	friendly policies to even walkability. And
15	there have been many articles about what it's
16	going to do as far as impacting the
17	community, and I was wondering about the
18	city's budget. And could you give us an
19	outline as to what you think the impact will
20	be on the city budget, not only this year but
21	prospectively?
22	MAYOR BROWN: Well, what the Green
23	Code will do is really update our land use
24	planning, and it will be a unified

development ordinance for the City of Buffalo. As I mentioned in my testimony, we haven't updated our zoning laws in any substantial way in 63 years. So this has been a major undertaking, a major planning effort for us.

7 We're one of only three major cities in the country to do a complete overhaul of 8 our zoning laws, and we believe it will make 9 10 the development process in the City of Buffalo quicker and more predictable. It 11 12 will make it easier for people who want to 13 build things to be able to do that, and it 14 will give citizens who previously have been 15 concerned about some development the 16 opportunity to weigh in and say what they would like to be built, how they would like 17 18 it to be built, and where they would like it 19 to be built, built into the codes that will 20 address everything, as you mentioned, from 21 walkability to historic preservation and 22 other issues as it relates to development. We think budgetarily it will have the 23

24 impact of stimulating additional economic

1	development. We think it will add to our tax
2	base. We have tried to be extremely
3	efficient in the City of Buffalo. We have
4	really tightened our belts over the years,
5	and we are looking forward to now being able
6	to reap the benefit of that by being able to
7	generate additional tax revenue because we're
8	bringing more businesses and residents into
9	the city.
10	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
12	We've been joined by Assemblywoman
13	Fahy and Assemblyman McDonald.
14	Next to question, Crystal
15	Peoples-Stokes.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN PEOPLES-STOKES: Thank
17	you, Mr. Chairman, for the opportunity to ask
18	a few questions of the mayor of the great
19	City of Buffalo.
20	Mr. Mayor, I want to thank you and
21	your commissioner for being here this morning
22	to share with us your thoughts on the
23	Governor's proposed budget. I did want to
24	ask you one thing that you did not mention in

1 your presentation that the Governor has 2 included in his proposed budget, and that's 3 ride-sharing and Uber. So I wanted to hear -- well, did you -- your thoughts on 4 5 that. Did you support the Governor's position on it? Do you have some concerns 6 7 about local control? Where are you on that issue? 8

9 MAYOR BROWN: We certainly support 10 ride-sharing in the City of Buffalo.

I I have been a very vocal proponent of ride-sharing. We think it provides another option for transportation for residents and visitors in the City of Buffalo.

15 We think also, being a very large 16 college community with a number of colleges and universities, it also provides a measure 17 18 of safety for our young people when they go 19 out on weekends, to make sure that they can 20 call one of the ride-sharing companies and 21 safely be able to get home without the 22 potential of driving and drinking. We think it will reduce that in communities, as has 23 24 been evidenced in other communities where

1 ride-sharing exists.

2	And then we also believe that it is
3	another option to provide employment
4	opportunities for members of our community
5	where we do have high poverty in some
6	segments of the population.
7	So we are very much in favor of the
8	Governor's proposal for ride-sharing. I know
9	that many in the Legislature have also been
10	very strong proponents of ride-sharing, and
11	we believe that it can be done without having
12	a detrimental impact on the traditional
13	taxicab industry.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN PEOPLES-STOKES: Well,
15	can you just explain a little bit about how
16	you think that can be done without having
17	that detrimental impact? Because I'm hopeful
18	that it can be done without that
19	detrimental but I haven't heard the
20	clarity on that yet, from the Governor's
21	perspective.
22	MAYOR BROWN: Well, you know, like
23	you, we're certainly waiting for more
24	details. What we have always done when we

1 have expressed our support for ride-sharing 2 is also express that we support the 3 traditional taxicab industry, and we would like to see a proposal developed that allows 4 5 for healthy business competition but also allows those two types of businesses to exist 6 7 to provide more transportation options for our residents. 8

So I am hopeful that as the proposal 9 10 is more fully fleshed out and developed, we will see that kind of detail and we will see 11 12 a proposal that will allow those 13 transportation options for the community. 14 Because I think the more options we can 15 provide to people and the more business 16 competition that is offered, we will see pricing go down and people having the 17 18 particular transportation option that best 19 suits their needs. 20 ASSEMBLYWOMAN PEOPLES-STOKES: Great.

21 Thank you. I concur, and I think it will be 22 a huge economic benefit to the region as 23 well. So I'm hopeful that through these 24 conversations we will work it out so that

both the new Uber driver and the traditional
 taxi driver can work collaboratively.

The other question I wanted to ask, if you could just expound on it a little bit, is the whole issue of land grant, which as you know was a pretty significant measure to get done. I certainly want to give many thanks to my colleague Mr. Gallivan, and certainly the Governor for signing the bill.

10 But the thought process that your 11 administration has on using those properties 12 to actually allow people to become first-time 13 homeowners. Have you implemented that 14 process yet? And if so, how many people has 15 it positively affected?

16 MAYOR BROWN: So we're in the process of implementing that policy now. We will be 17 18 certainly in communication with your office 19 and other members of the Western New York delegation to give them a preview of the 20 21 details of the program that we will be 22 initiating to assist people in becoming owner/occupants with the properties that we 23 24 have been able to secure in the in rem

1 foreclosure auctions.

2	Thanks to you and your colleagues, in
3	this first foreclosure auction since we got
4	the superbid power, we've been able to
5	acquire 60 properties in the City of Buffalo.
6	And our goal with all of those properties is
7	to assist people who are currently renters in
8	the neighborhoods surrounding the properties
9	to become homeowners.
10	The other thing that is important to
11	note is in being able to do that, we will
12	work with people in various city
13	neighborhoods and establish what is called
14	homebuyers clubs, where we will help people
15	repair their credit, where we will help
16	people become prequalified for mortgages so
17	that they can not only take advantage of
18	these city housing opportunities through the
19	land bank, but other housing opportunities
20	that exist in the neighborhoods in the City
21	of Buffalo.
22	So this is a critically important
23	piece of legislation that not only will
24	promote home ownership but will help to

stabilize the tax base in the City of Buffalo
 and help people improve their personal
 finances.

And I also want to just thank you, 4 5 Assemblymember, for the work that you have been doing with my office in partnership to 6 7 help people strengthen and stabilize their finances in the city. And one example of 8 that is the series of wills and estates 9 10 workshops that we have done in the City of 11 Buffalo that actually help people establish a 12 will for themselves so that when they pass 13 on, and we will all do that, it is determined 14 where their property will go so that we can 15 keep wealth and assets in families.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN PEOPLES-STOKES: Well, thank you for your comments on that, because it is a very important initiative that we're doing.

20 But you know, I just want to add this. 21 I think your work in creating home ownership 22 for people who are now renters in communities 23 that are close to becoming gentrified means 24 they have an opportunity not only to stay in

1 the communities where they have always been, 2 but also to be in a community that is 3 transitioning in terms of the quality of the housing stock, and quite frankly the support 4 5 of the businesses that will come there as well. 6 7 MAYOR BROWN: This is just one tool that we will use to try to prevent 8 gentrification in the community. Also, in 9 10 addition to using this as a tool, we have been meeting in different communities to talk 11 12 about this and how it will work. So it's going to -- there will be extensive community 13 14 outreach so people are aware of this. 15 Because initially when this 16 legislation was passed, people felt that it would give the city the ability to come in 17 18 and take their homes. So we are going to be 19 in intense communication with the residents 20 to let them know that this has been established for their benefit. 21 22 I also want to just finally mention, on this point, the properties that the city 23 24 was able to secure with this superbid power

1 were not -- were taken from absentee owners 2 not living in the City of Buffalo. So we did 3 not take a property from someone that lived in the city, that lived in the home. So no 4 5 one's personal property was taken as a result of this legislation. These were all 6 7 absentee-owned properties that the city acquired. 8 ASSEMBLYWOMAN PEOPLES-STOKES: Right. 9 10 So again, I want to commend your 11 administration for figuring out a strategy, a 12 legislative strategy, albeit, that we were 13 happy to get through for you that will really 14 kind of help deal with this great problem 15 that we have. Our economy is growing, we're excited 16 about that, people are moving in, excited 17 18 about that -- but at the end of the day, we 19 don't want to force the existing constituent 20 into a place where they can't afford to live 21 in the communities that they've traditionally 22 lived in. So I want to thank you for your efforts on that. 23 24 Finally, I will just ask about the

1 Tax-Reduction Incentive Program. Is that a 2 concept that you and your administration have 3 come up with that could be beneficial to the state and other municipalities across the 4 5 state, or is this something that has been in place for some time somewhere else? 6 7 MAYOR BROWN: No, it's a new concept 8 that we are proposing to the Governor and to 9 the Legislature. 10 What we've tried to do is -- we know that there is a tax burden in the state that 11 12 we're all concerned about, and what we've 13 tried to do is actually come up with an 14 incentive to encourage municipalities on 15 their own, in partnership with the state, to 16 find ways that they can reduce their tax burden. And as they find ways to reduce that 17 18 tax burden, the way that the state would 19 partner would be to provide them with an incentive to do that. 20 21 So there is the stick approach and

22 there is the carrot approach. We are 23 proposing a carrot approach for those 24 municipalities that work in partnership with

1 the state to find ways to reduce the tax burden on the local level. We think we have 2 found ways to do that in the City of Buffalo. 3 4 We would like to be a model for how that can 5 be done, and we think that this could become 6 a statewide program, particularly for upstate cities. 7 ASSEMBLYWOMAN PEOPLES-STOKES: Thank 8 9 you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 10 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 11 Senator? 12 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Krueger. SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. 13 14 How are you, Mr. Mayor? 15 MAYOR BROWN: I'm doing well, Senator 16 Krueger. Good to see you. SENATOR KRUEGER: Nice to see you 17 18 again. 19 So you answered the question before 20 that under the Governor's proposed 21 consolidation between cities and counties, 22 that for you, you really believe you've done this before with Buffalo and Erie. 23 24 And yet, as I read the Governor's

1 proposal, if you didn't do something and get 2 it past your local election process, you'd 3 lose money. Is that your understanding? 4 MAYOR BROWN: That is our reading of 5 it. 6 SENATOR KRUEGER: I can't imagine you 7 really want to lose your AIM funding. MAYOR BROWN: We do not want to lose 8 9 money. 10 SENATOR KRUEGER: Me either. I don't want you to lose that AIM funding either. 11 12 Second, in the Governor's budget language, he puts a sentence in several 13 14 different sections of bill language that if 15 we lost federal revenue, or otherwise lost 16 revenue, that he would be able to cut aid to localities. 17 18 Now, you and I were legislators 19 together, so this would actually pull the 20 Legislature out of the process of 21 reevaluating the budget at a time where we 22 might see a reduction in certain kinds of revenue. How do you feel about that? 23 24 MAYOR BROWN: Well, you know, I would

1 say that clearly, as a former legislator, I 2 do believe in the checks and balances in our 3 system of government. And I'm certainly hopeful through these budget deliberations 4 5 and negotiations that this will be resolved in a way that will be beneficial to 6 7 municipalities across the state. 8 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. 9 My final question, so I've been to 10 your lovely city, I know how close it is to 11 this country called Canada, across the 12 bridge. I even met with the Canadian 13 representatives when they were here in town, 14 I guess -- two weeks ago? Two weeks ago. 15 And I was very disturbed to read an article 16 over the weekend that President Trump intends to require a new process for allowing people 17 to cross the border, and there were people 18 19 from I think both sides of the border saying 20 this could increase the time frame for people 21 to cross the Canadian-New York border, you 22 know, by 10 or 20 times what it takes them 23 now. 24 What do you believe the impact would

be on your city if basically people couldn't really cross the border to come from Canada across to Buffalo and vice versa?

MAYOR BROWN: That would be a major 4 5 concern for us. Obviously Canada is one of 6 our largest trading partners. Being a border 7 city, we see the folks on the border as our 8 friends and our neighbors and in some cases 9 family members. We have enjoyed the ability to move pretty quickly back and forth across 10 the Canadian border, and in fact over the 11 12 last few years American and Canadian agencies as well as the State of New York have worked 13 14 very diligently together to make the speed of 15 crossing even faster at the Canadian border 16 with Buffalo and with Niagara Falls. We certainly would not want to see that time 17 18 substantially increase.

19We are concerned about the President's20new immigration executive orders. We have21made it quite clear, I have made it quite22clear, that Buffalo is a welcoming city, that23we welcome immigrants and refugees. We've24also made it clear that we are concerned

1 about some elements of the immigration
2 orders, and we have communicated -- we will
3 be communicating our concerns to the
4 White House, but we certainly would not want
5 to see some of these provisions enacted that
6 would slow the ability to move freely between
7 the U.S. and the Canadian border.

SENATOR KRUEGER: I certainly agree 8 with your position. I would just highlight I 9 think this proposal, unlike some of the other 10 11 disturbing executive orders around 12 immigration and refugees, would serve to keep 13 shoppers and tourists from coming across from Canada to Buffalo and Western New York. And, 14 15 you know, I've talked to other colleagues 16 about the incredible tourism we get into the Adirondacks and the Great Lakes areas and the 17 18 Thousand Islands during the summer. And it seems to me this could shut all of that down, 19 20 with an enormous economic negative impact.

MAYOR BROWN: That could have a
disastrous economic impact for Buffalo and
Western New York. If you go to many of our
areas for shopping in the City of Buffalo, in

1 Western New York, if you go to Buffalo Bills 2 games or Buffalo Sabres games, there are 3 literally thousands and thousands of 4 Canadians that come to shop, that come to 5 sporting events, that are pumping millions of 6 dollars into our economy. 7 So we would be very concerned, and we are very concerned about this proposal. 8 9 SENATOR KRUEGER: I am too. Thank you 10 very much, Mr. Mayor. 11 MAYOR BROWN: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 12 13 Mr. McDonald. 14 ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: Thank you. 15 Mr. Mayor, good to see you. 16 MAYOR BROWN: Good to see you too, 17 Assemblymember. ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: So, glad to see 18 19 your DPW effort is still moving ahead. I 20 think it's great and it's a great effort of 21 consolidating but also making opportunities 22 available. 23 I was interested in your TRIP program, 24 the Tax-Reduction Incentive Program. And in

1 it you mentioned the idea of the state incentivizing by -- I guess it's providing 2 3 \$2 million for every 1 percent of combined real property tax reductions, so reducing the 4 5 levy by 1 percent. 6 So what does that mean? Quantify it 7 in regards to Buffalo. What does that, in dollars and cents, mean? A reduction of X 8 will lead to \$2 million. 9 10 MAYOR BROWN: You know, so for Buffalo and other communities it could mean 11 12 significant resources. ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: No, I 13 14 understand. I mean, is it -- a 1 percent reduction is equal to what, \$300,000, 15 16 \$50,000? Reducing the levy -- what would that 1 percent be? 17 COMMISSIONER ESTRICH: I think we're 18 talking about reducing the tax rate. 19 20 ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: You're talking 21 about reducing the rate or the levy? COMMISSIONER ESTRICH: The tax rate. 22 23 MAYOR BROWN: The tax rate. 24 ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: The tax rate.

1 MAYOR BROWN: The tax rate. 2 ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: Okay. 3 MAYOR BROWN: So rate times levy, as you know, equals the tax bill. 4 5 ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: Right. Okay, 6 okay. 7 I think the idea is great. You know, it's interesting, because I think property 8 taxes have been a challenge for every 9 10 municipal leader. I'm not too far removed from being one myself. And oddly enough, 11 12 probably the only option that hasn't been tried in the last several years is to 13 14 financially incentivize municipalities to get 15 to the desired target. This is actually one 16 of the few times that we do that. I know that we talked about AIM 17 18 funding, and AIM funding is critical, it's 19 important. I personally don't believe it 20 should be on the chopping block right now, 21 trying to arrange these forced marriages 22 that's being discussed with the referendum. But I do believe an opportunity to 23 24 incentivize municipal leaders to reduce their

1 tax rate, if that's what you're saying, is a 2 step in the right direction. Because at the 3 end of the day, Mrs. Jones looks at her tax 4 bill in 2015 and 2016 and doesn't really know 5 about the freeze, doesn't really know about 6 the rebate check that's coming, but when she 7 sees \$3,000 and then sees it at \$2,700, that's actually real, achievable savings. 8 9 So I'm in concert with that idea, and 10 I appreciate your making this suggestion. 11 MAYOR BROWN: Thank you, Assemblyman. 12 ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: Thank you. 13 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you very 14 much. 15 Senator Kennedy? 16 SENATOR KENNEDY: Thank you, Senator Young. 17 18 Mayor, welcome. Welcome back. 19 MAYOR BROWN: Good to see you, 20 Senator Kennedy. 21 SENATOR KENNEDY: It's always great to 22 see you. First of all, thank you for your 23 24 testimony here today. I think you

highlighted some extremely important not only
 numbers and programs but initiatives that
 your administration has put forward.

I think it -- suffice it to say that 4 5 the city is on extremely solid footing under your leadership, and there has been quite a 6 7 transformation over the course of the last decade. As a matter of fact, I was in my 8 office talking to some young folks today 9 10 about the transformation of the last 11 10 years.

12 The last several years we have seen an 13 unprecedented commitment from the state, and with your leadership and the leadership of 14 15 your administration and the leadership of 16 Governor Cuomo, we have enacted the Buffalo Billion initiative of funding from Albany. 17 18 I know you touched on it in your testimony; the second round of the Buffalo 19 20 Billion is upon us in the budget 21 deliberations that are taking place right 22 now. Can you just speak to the importance of this initiative, the second round -- where 23

24 we're at, the momentum that's been created

because of the first Buffalo Billion, what it 1 2 means to Buffalo and how it will be 3 implemented moving forward? MAYOR BROWN: Well, it means a great 4 5 deal to Buffalo. Working very closely with Governor Cuomo, the Western New York 6 7 legislative delegation, and the members of the Legislature both in the Assembly and in 8 the Senate, we have seen transformational 9 10 economic development in the City of Buffalo. Just from 2012 to present, we are now up over 11 12 \$6 billion of economic development projects 13 that have broken ground in the city. 14 A number of those projects, many of 15 those projects are being fueled by the 16 Buffalo Billion, but some of those projects that are being developed and moving forward 17 18 in our community now with the first 19 Buffalo Billion have not even had their 20 impact yet as facilities are being built out. 21 Tonight, for example, I have a meeting 22 where roughly 200 people will be in attendance for the Northland Workforce 23 24 Development Center, a major workforce

1 development center that will focus on green 2 technology, advanced manufacturing, that will 3 train thousands of people in Buffalo and Western New York for the jobs that are coming 4 5 online in our community and pump new life into an area of the city that has been 6 7 incredibly hard-hit with disinvestment for several decades, where there are many 8 residents of that community living in serious 9 10 poverty.

11 The hope and opportunity that people 12 are feeling that live in that surrounding 13 area is just absolutely amazing. I support 14 strongly the Buffalo Billion 2. A number of 15 the items that went into that proposal were 16 recommended by my administration to the 17 Governor's office.

18You know, I thank the Governor for19partnering with us, for listening to us, for20seeing that for many decades Buffalo, the21second largest city in the State of New York22and Western New York, one of the largest23regions in our state, was largely left out of24major state economic development investment

for decades and decades and decades.
 This is creating a real interest - not just locally and regionally, but
 nationally and internationally -- in
 businesses wanting to invest in the City of
 Buffalo. I think that that is important for
 the entire State of New York.

The other things that I like about the 8 Buffalo Billion 2 is it is not just for the 9 10 City of Buffalo in this round. It addresses 11 the City of Niagara Falls, it puts resources 12 into surrounding suburban municipalities, it provides resources for small business, it 13 14 provides resources for the East Side of 15 Buffalo, which is largely an African-American 16 section of the city where there is high 17 poverty.

18 So I think it is very creative in its 19 design. I think it's going to pump more jobs 20 into the region, that it's going to create 21 more opportunity not only for city residents, 22 city residents living in poverty, those who 23 are unemployed and underemployed, but 24 residents throughout the entire region,

1 making that a strong region in the State of 2 New York.

3 SENATOR KENNEDY: Thank you.
4 You know, you touched on training and
5 education, and I know a large piece of that
6 second Buffalo Billion initiative is focused
7 on getting it to the folks that need it the
8 most, as you mentioned -- unemployed,
9 underemployed.

10 I want to touch on education as well. And you have been in the forefront of the 11 12 Say Yes to Education initiative. Part of that Buffalo Billion is focused on bringing a 13 14 portion, about \$10 million in the proposed 15 budget, to Buffalo. Last year Syracuse 16 received a large portion in the budget, Buffalo this year will be receiving -- if all 17 is enacted through the budget -- \$10 million 18 19 to the Say Yes to Education program.

20 Can you speak to that and the 21 importance of that and what it means to the 22 education of our youth, where it's taking our 23 schools, and quite frankly -- in the 24 interests of time I'll leave it at this --

1 what it means to a younger population being 2 educated, staying in the City of Buffalo, and 3 setting up roots, as we're seeing the millennials staying in our community? 4 5 MAYOR BROWN: Well, you know, as you know, education is critically important as a 6 7 building block for people being able to improve their lives and live out the American 8 dream. We are fortunate that about four 9 10 years ago now we were able to be selected for 11 the Say Yes to Education program to come to 12 Buffalo. 13 The first municipality in this state 14 that got that program was the City of 15 Syracuse, and we were able to look at the 16 wonderful lessons learned in that great, great city, and we really came together as an 17 18 entire community to bring this program to 19 Buffalo that promises a college scholarship 20 for every child that graduates from a Buffalo 21 public or charter school.

22 But beyond that, it provides support 23 to children and families to get our children 24 to the point where they can actually qualify

1 to graduate from high school and get into 2 college. At this point, in the private 3 sector over \$25 million has been raised for the scholarship portion of the program, 4 5 showing the significant commitment that the community has to the program. And since the 6 7 program has been in place in our community, high school graduation rates in Buffalo that 8 sadly were abysmally low, hovering around 9 10 48 percent, are now up to 64 percent. 11 So the program has been a major 12 benefit to children in the City of Buffalo, and I think what this \$10 million infusion 13 14 for Say Yes that the Governor has proposed --15 we will see graduation rates continue to 16 rise, we will see college matriculation increase in the City of Buffalo, and we will 17 18 find more hope for families that previously, no matter how bright some of our children 19 20 were, who didn't feel like they would be able 21 to send their children to college to get a 22 higher education. Now those barriers are lifted, and that hope and opportunity for a 23 24 good education and a brighter future exist

1 for every child in the City of Buffalo. SENATOR KENNEDY: That's great. Thank 2 3 you, Mayor. 4 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 5 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. We've been -- David Gantt is here. 6 7 That's it. Thank you very much. MAYOR BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 8 9 Thank you, Madam Chair, legislators. Thank 10 you all very much. 11 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 12 MAYOR BROWN: Good luck with your deliberations. 13 14 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: The Honorable Kathy M. Sheehan, mayor, City of Albany. 15 16 MAYOR SHEEHAN: Good afternoon. 17 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Good afternoon. 18 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Good afternoon. 19 MAYOR SHEEHAN: Are we ready? 20 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Yes, we're ready. 21 MAYOR SHEEHAN: So I'd like to start 22 by thanking Chairpersons Young and Farrell 23 for inviting me here today to speak with you 24 about the City of Albany. And I want to

1 thank the Senate Finance Committee and the 2 Assembly Ways and Means Committee and the 3 other members of the Senate and Assembly who are present, along with members of our 4 5 delegation. I know I saw Assemblymember Pat Fahy, Assemblymember McDonald is here, and 6 7 Senator Breslin, who works so closely with the City of Albany with respect to all sorts 8 of issues, but in particular our financial 9 10 challenges.

11 So I'd like to start by reminding 12 everybody about the City of Albany. We are 13 the capital of the state, as you know, and it 14 is a city that doubles in population nearly 15 every day. And that's great for the economy, 16 but it's actually a bit of a mixed blessing for the City of Albany because those 17 employees, most of them, walk into tax-exempt 18 19 buildings.

20 Our largest employers, other than 21 state government, are Albany Medical Center, 22 St. Peter's Hospital, the VA, Memorial 23 Hospital, UAlbany, SUNY Poly, the Center for 24 Disability Services -- those are the large

employers in this area. And all of them
 enjoy a tax exemption.

And while we would benefit from sales 3 tax revenues that employees generate at 4 5 lunchtime, many of these large institutions -- actually, all of these large 6 7 institutions -- provide on-site dining, and 8 sales taxes are shared countywide. So the 9 sales tax dollars generated in the City of 10 Albany are taken by the county and then distributed countywide based on population. 11 12 So it is a challenge for the City of Albany. 13 And we are a city of neighborhoods. 14 We have 25 unique neighborhoods, and our 15 homeowners and residents in those 16 neighborhoods really bear a disproportionate burden of our tax levy. So residents own 17 18 about 35 percent of the value of all of the 19 property in the City of Albany, yet they pay 20 nearly 60 percent of the tax levy.

21 We are investing in our neighborhoods. 22 We've seen a tremendous amount of investment 23 in market-rate housing in our downtown, in 24 Park South and in other areas of our city,

and we're really proud of that growth and
 seeking to incentivize and encourage that
 type of growth.

I announced in my State of the City 4 5 this year that we have identified HUD funding that we are going to be using \$1 million of 6 7 to encourage investment in vacant buildings, in collaboration with the land bank, so that 8 we can layer that funding on top of funding 9 10 that's available from our land bank and other sources in order to get vacant buildings back 11 12 on-line.

And we're providing a \$300,000 pool 13 for emergency repairs. We have so many of 14 15 our residents who are just above the 16 threshold to receive HUD funding for those emergency repairs -- you know, they're just 17 above that 80 percent of AMI -- and so we've 18 identified a pool of funding from a repayment 19 20 of a HoDAG loan that's going to allow us to 21 help individuals who are in that 80 to 22 125 percent stay in their homes, not lose their home because their furnace breaks down 23 24 or they need to put in new stairs.

1 So we're really working to invest in 2 every neighborhood and to keep people in our 3 homes and to attract people to our city, because that's how we grow our tax base here. 4 5 As you will note, if you look at the fiscal stress test chart that we provided, 6 7 the New York State Comptroller fiscal stress score, Albany is obviously significantly the 8 9 most fiscally stressed city in the state, and 10 you can see that we are in significant fiscal 11 stress. The next closest city is just edging 12 into that significant fiscal stress 13 territory. And the Comptroller, certainly 14 being here in Albany, understands the 15 challenges and how we got to where we were. 16 We've been deficit-spending since at least 2007, and we have depleted our Rainy 17 18 Day Fund, and we've reached really the end of 19 our ability to use that Rainy Day Fund to 20 bridge the gap between what it costs to run 21 the city and what we can reasonably raise in 22 revenue. But we are working to build that 23

24 bridge. Since I took office we have, with a

1 tremendous amount of help from the state, 2 been able to implement Enterprise Resource 3 Planning software -- we have not had new software since a purchase in 1998. And so we 4 5 went to the Financial Restructuring Board, they provided us with funding to be able to 6 7 purchase that software so that we could realize immediate savings and not have to 8 finance that software going forward. 9

10We've implemented an automated11time-keeping system. We've implemented new12tax billing software that has allowed us to13collect more of our taxes and be more14effective and efficient. And we've invested15in additional technology to bring about16efficiencies.

We've rolled out an automated 17 18 recycling pilot program that automates the 19 pickup of recycling that allows us to reduce 20 the number of drivers on our trucks, reduce 21 the number of injuries and our worker's 22 compensation expenses. In a city like Albany. We can't deploy it throughout the 23 24 entire city because we have many narrow

1 streets and townhouses. But everywhere that 2 we can, we're doing it. And we're learning 3 from that program and looking to extend it to all municipal solid waste. 4 5 We have done a tremendous amount of work to eliminate waste and find cost 6 7 reductions and savings, and so in my 2017 8 budget we were able to show a 2 percent reduction, year over year, from '16 to '17. 9 10 That's the largest expenditure decrease in more than 15 years, and only the third 11 12 decrease in 18 years. 13 So it's important because we are 14 working hard to cut our way to the 15 efficiencies that we know we can get from 16 these investments in technology, in consolidation opportunities and efforts, and 17 18 working better with the county and even among 19 our own departments in the City of Albany. And so we've already cut nearly \$9.5 million. 20 21 We are asking again for \$12.5 million. 22 I want to start by thanking you for supporting the spinup that was in the 23 24 Governor's budget last year. We are

1 requesting, though, that we seek a permanent 2 increase in funding for the capital city. 3 The PILOT payment that we currently receive from the state for the Empire State 4 5 Plaza really is equivalent to just about 0.36 percent of the value of all of the state 6 7 property that is in the City of Albany. So that's, I'll say that again, 0.36 percent. 8 So we are really seeking to ensure 9 10 that the capital city can provide services that we know are essential -- police, fire, 11 12 emergency services, street maintenance -- for the nearly 200,000 people who come here to 13 14 work every day, many of them in this building 15 and in this plaza. We are really working to close the 16 revenue gap. The Financial Restructuring 17 18 Board highlighted that the city needs to 19 expand revenue sources. We thoroughly 20 reviewed our finances again with an outside 21 consultant that was provided by the state, 22 and that consultant agrees that notwithstanding our cost-saving efforts, that 23 24 qap still exists.

1 A patchwork of spinups and one-time 2 one-shots really helped to maintain our 3 solvency from year to year, but at this point the structural deficit of \$12.5 million is 4 5 real and it is a significant challenge for the City of Albany. If we were to have to 6 7 place that burden on our taxpayers, every property owner would receive a 22 percent 8 property tax increase, if we were not able to 9 receive the \$12.5 million in capital city 10 11 funding. 12 You know, overall, 63 percent of the 13 property in the city is tax-exempt, and 60 percent of that tax-exempt property is 14 15 owned by the state. And as I said, the current funding that we get under 19A is just 16 0.36 percent of the value of all of that 17 18 property. 19 I provided a map that just shows how

widespread the amount of tax-exempt property
is in the city. And so what does that drive?
Well, it certainly would drive a conversation
with respect to AIM.

24 And I'm often asked, why is AIM for

the City of Albany so low compared to the other upstate cities? If you look at the upstate cities, we have the lowest amount of AIM of any other city with a population of at least 50,000 residents. It's \$128.84 per capita, compared to \$617 for Buffalo, \$494 for Syracuse, \$419 for Rochester.

8 And I'm not suggesting that these 9 municipalities do not need the AIM that they 10 receive. I provide this information because 11 I think it's critically important to 12 understand what impact this is having on the 13 City of Albany, and really how effective 14 we've been with taxpayer dollars and with our 15 AIM money in being able to provide the level 16 of service that we currently provide.

If you look at our actual city and 17 school tax levies in dollars, which is the 18 19 next chart that I provide, AIM as a 20 percentage of our total levy is just 21 7.8 percent. In actual dollars, our city tax 22 levy is higher than Rochester and Syracuse. And the total tax levy of city and 23 24 school is the highest -- almost. Rochester

beats us out by a little bit less than a
 million dollars, or just about a million
 dollars, in a city that is more than twice
 the size.

5 So it demonstrates to you that our residents and our taxpayers and our 6 7 businesses are really bearing a significantly and disproportionately heavy burden with 8 respect to property taxes. And so what does 9 10 that mean? It's not even just across the state, it impacts our competitiveness right 11 12 here in the Capital Region.

13 So, you know, a couple of places that 14 I know where we see our legislators enjoying 15 dinner is at Cafe Capriccio or at Jack's 16 Oyster House, as compared to out on Wolf Road. Many of the members like to go 17 18 out there to places like Blu Stone Bistro --19 the tax bill on Wolf Road for a restaurant is 20 60 percent lower than the tax bill paid by 21 that same establishment in the City of 22 Albany. Sixty percent. So you can locate just across the line and significantly reduce 23 24 your tax burden.

1 That's what I'm talking about with 2 respect to our need for this \$12.5 million in 3 additional capital city funding. 4 So, you know, our proposal is to 5 address the gap with \$12.5 million in capital city funding, amending the Public Land Law to 6 7 provide this as an additional source of revenue, because it is consistent with the 8 9 amount of state property that is owned here 10 and that we are so proud to be a part of, but 11 that we just simply cannot continue down a 12 path -- we have completely depleted our Rainy Day Fund. 13 14 And we are here to say thank you for 15 the support that you've provided for this 16 capital city funding, and to urge you to make this, rather than a spinup, additional aid 17 18 for the capital city. 19 Thank you. 20 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 21 Questions? 22 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Hi, Mayor. MAYOR SHEEHAN: Hi. 23 24 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Welcome today.

We're very happy to have you here as part of
 this discussion, and I just had a couple of
 questions.

Last year you had asked for the 4 5 \$12.5 million spinup, which was granted with the condition that the Financial 6 7 Restructuring Board work with you to come up with ways to solve your problems. The 8 Financial Restructuring Board is supposed to 9 10 help you come up with budgets that don't have the \$12.5 million included. Can you tell us 11 12 what the status of the Financial 13 Restructuring Board is, and where you're at 14 as far as developing budgets that don't include the \$12.5 million? 15

MAYOR SHEEHAN: So we worked with the 16 17 consultant that was hired by the Financial 18 Restructuring Board all throughout the course of last year. We developed budget models 19 20 that looked out three years, seeking to 21 reduce the gap of that \$12.5 million. But in 22 this year, even with the help of that additional resource, we were not able to --23 24 and they were not able to -- identify a way

1 to bridge the \$12.5 million gap.

2 We are exploring opportunities in the 3 outyears for reducing the amount of the 4 12.5 million; even that is particularly 5 challenging. But one of the things that we are 6 7 moving forward with is the possibility of combining our 911 with the county 911. The 8 county has already consolidated some other 9 10 towns into that 911, which would result in 11 some savings. 12 We are also looking at revenue 13 opportunities with respect to the ability of 14 us to look at how we collect municipal solid 15 waste and what revenue opportunities might 16 exist. We do have a fee right now that may have to be expanded. 17 18 And we also are looking at the impact 19 of purchasing our street lights. Based on 20 the study that we conducted with respect to 21 savings, we could see an additional 22 \$2 million in savings if we are able to purchase back our street lights in a way that 23 24 is affordable.

1 So we are looking at and have 2 identified, with that consultant, both 3 revenue opportunities and cost-savings 4 opportunities, but they were not able to 5 close the gap for 2017. And I also just want to be clear, you 6 7 know, we are requesting \$12.5 million not in a spinup, but in an addition to 19A payments 8 9 to the city for the tax-exempt property that 10 is here. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. And 11 12 just a couple more questions. So when you talk about revenues -- and 13 14 we have the presentation here, which is very 15 nice to look at, but it doesn't have very 16 many details in it. But for example, last year and this year, when you talk about 17 revenues and shortfalls, are you talking --18 19 when you're discussing this with us and 20 presenting information, are you including all 21 of the revenues that the city gets and that information? 22 So for example, do you have the 23 24 revenues from the water district and what you

1 make on that, or do you separate those out? 2 MAYOR SHEEHAN: So we have a separate 3 water authority, and the City of Albany does not get the revenue from the water authority. 4 5 We have an operating agreement with our water authority, and that does result in revenue 6 7 for the city. They pay us approximately a half-million dollars a year to provide their 8 9 IT services, we do their personnel services, 10 their payroll -- it wouldn't make any sense 11 for them to create that separately, and so we 12 are reimbursed for providing them with that service. 13

14 But the revenues for the water 15 department remain with the water department. 16 They are a separate authority. So that does 17 not come directly to the City of Albany. So 18 yes, the budget that you see, that 19 includes -- you know, we have to balance the 20 budget, so it's all of our revenues and all 21 of our expenditures.

22 Other than property taxes, our next 23 most significant source of revenue is the 24 sales tax revenue, which, as I said, is

1 shared countywide. It's just a formula, and 2 we project that revenue based on what the 3 county is projecting to happen to sales tax 4 revenue when they put together their budget. 5 We also have been successful in getting some voluntary payments from the 6 7 large not-for-profits in the City of Albany, about a million and a half dollars, but every 8 little bit helps in this. We were successful 9 10 in getting that revenue in 2015 and 2016, and 11 we have commitments for 2017 as well. 12 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 13 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Mr. Otis. 14 ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: Good afternoon, 15 Mayor Sheehan. 16 I just wanted to touch base with you -- and I've heard you testify on this 17 18 topic in recent years -- what your current 19 projection is for short-term or long-term 20 water infrastructure repairs. I know it's a 21 big burden; you had a water main break 22 recently. But for that kind of forecasting, what can you share with us? 23 24 MAYOR SHEEHAN: Well, one of the

benefits of the water authority being
 separate is that this is not a city that has
 raided water funds in order to fund
 operations, and so the revenue that is raised
 by the water authority stays with the water
 authority. And we have been successful in
 receiving grants.

We have been very successful with many 8 9 of the state programs that have been 10 supported by you and others, and that has 11 helped with the investment that we are able 12 to make. We've been able to double the 13 amount of capital expenditures that we spend 14 out of our operating budget every year in 15 infrastructure.

16 We also have a number of projects that are going on -- because we're subject to a 17 consent order with the DEC, and so we have a 18 19 number of projects that we're required to 20 undertake to reduce the amount of flow into 21 the Hudson River. And while we can always 22 use more, I think that this is an area where we've demonstrated some pretty significant 23 24 strength and good sound fiscal management in

1	being able to invest in that infrastructure.
2	That said, it's very old, and we're dealing
3	with a sinkhole right now as we speak for a
4	sewer line that was built in the 1800s.
5	So it is a significant challenge, but
6	it is one that I believe we're managing as
7	best as we possibly can. And again, that's
8	not the reason that we have this
9	\$12.5 million shortfall, because those assets
10	are managed separately.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: So your water
12	authority handles drinking water, sanitary
13	sewers, and stormwater?
14	MAYOR SHEEHAN: That's correct.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: Okay. Thank you
16	very much.
17	MAYOR SHEEHAN: Thanks.
18	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Senator.
19	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Marchione.
20	SENATOR MARCHIONE: Thank you.
21	Thank you, Mayor, for being here.
22	You talked about your AIM funding
23	being the lowest of some of the cities, but I
24	didn't hear why. Why is your AIM so much

1 lower than the rest?

2	MAYOR SHEEHAN: That is a question for
3	the ages. It has been historic that the City
4	of Albany's AIM funding has been low. The
5	formula that is used to determine AIM funding
6	is in other municipalities, there were
7	increases that were done when there was
8	fiscal stress in some of those cities, and so
9	it has resulted in a lot of disparity.
10	I think one of the challenges that we
11	have in Albany is that like other upstate
12	cities, we have the same issues with respect
13	to poverty rates. We have a poverty rate of
14	approximately 26 percent. We have huge
15	challenges with graduation rates at our high
16	school. We have the lowest home ownership
17	rate as a percentage of the other upstate
18	cities, at around 42 percent.
19	So many of those indicia of challenges
20	and stress that drove up AIM in other
21	municipalities have impacted the City of
22	Albany. And I think one of things that made
23	it become as emergent as it is is that when
24	we saw such a huge increase in pension

expenses and other expenses that occurred
 after the recession, we had been able to
 build up a bit of a Rainy Day Fund, but that
 was quickly depleted because of it.

5 And so in looking at the challenges that the city faces, again, you know, if we 6 7 were at half of the AIM, of the average AIM for the other upstate cities, I wouldn't be 8 here. But looking at where we are now in 9 10 that -- actual dollars -- we have to raise 11 more in property taxes in order to balance 12 our budget than these other, much larger 13 upstate cities, demonstrates that we've 14 reached the end of what we could possibly 15 expect our taxpayers to bear, and we need to 16 find a way of finding additional aid for the city. 17

18 And I think one of the things that 19 makes us unique is the fact that we are the 20 capital city and have so much tax-exempt 21 property.

22 SENATOR MARCHIONE: Have you asked 23 your legislators to carry legislation that 24 might make some changes relative to the way 1 the formula is?

2	MAYOR SHEEHAN: You know, we've
3	discussed how to address this gap and what
4	the best way is to address this gap, and I
5	know that AIM funding is an incredibly
6	difficult conversation for any of us to have.
7	I certainly believe
8	SENATOR MARCHIONE: It seems like it
9	needs to be one
10	MAYOR SHEEHAN: that AIM should be
11	increased
12	SENATOR MARCHIONE: it seems like
13	it should be one that you need to have.
14	MAYOR SHEEHAN: Right.
15	But again, I think one of the things
16	about the capital city that provides us with
17	a unique opportunity to, you know, in the
18	long run you know, my understanding is
19	that the reason that the city got spinups in
20	prior years to its 19A money was as a bridge
21	to fix AIM.
22	And that started, as I understand it,
23	I believe back in 2004. It was many, many
24	years ago. And so it still has not been

1 fixed. And notwithstanding the willingness 2 of our representatives to engage in that 3 conversation, it creates challenges across 4 the state. 5 SENATOR MARCHIONE: It sounds to me, though, that you really need to have that 6 7 discussion, because it doesn't seem appropriate that you would be at the bottom 8 of the AIM. 9 10 MAYOR SHEEHAN: As I've said, if we 11 got the same AIM as Utica, I wouldn't have to 12 come here and bother you every year. SENATOR MARCHIONE: Your population 13 and Utica's population, how do they compare? 14 15 MAYOR SHEEHAN: Utica's about 61,000. We're about 98,000. 16 SENATOR MARCHIONE: And you're getting 17 18 that much lower than they? 19 MAYOR SHEEHAN: Yeah. 20 SENATOR MARCHIONE: Okay. Also, do 21 you know of any other cities that have as 22 much tax-exempt property or close to tax-exempt property as Albany does? 23 24 MAYOR SHEEHAN: We are at the top of

1 the large cities outside of New York City, 2 and there are some cities that are -- some municipalities that are a little bit smaller 3 that have, say, a prison or a large presence. 4 5 I think the thing, again, that's unique about Albany is that the 6 7 infrastructure that we have here, the value that we have here of tax-exempt -- it's not a 8 state park, it's not a prison that is sort of 9 10 a unit unto itself. We have to plow the 11 streets, we have to provide police, we 12 respond when somebody dials for 911, you know, for a health -- so we have to provide a 13 14 significant amount of services to all of 15 these tax-exempt entities that are here in 16 the city. SENATOR MARCHIONE: How many 17 18 employees, Mayor, do you have in the city? MAYOR SHEEHAN: We have 19 20 approximately -- just under 1,200 FTEs. 21 SENATOR MARCHIONE: Wow, that's a lot 22 of people. MAYOR SHEEHAN: That includes the 23 24 water department, though. So that's where

1 our -- you know, our operating agreement with 2 the water department comes into play, because 3 again, for efficiency purposes, we -- so that 4 they don't have to deal with hiring, we 5 provide that, and then they just reimburse us for the expenses of those employees. 6 7 SENATOR MARCHIONE: And are you self-insured for health insurance for all 8 9 those employees? 10 MAYOR SHEEHAN: We are to an extent self-insured, but we also have CDPHP and some 11 12 other insurance companies as well for our 13 employees. 14 For our Blue Cross, we're 15 self-insured. For the overwhelming majority of our employees, our union employees, we're 16 self-insured. 17 18 SENATOR MARCHIONE: Have you had to 19 experience any layoffs in the last three or 20 four years? 21 MAYOR SHEEHAN: We have sought to reduce our workforce through attrition, and 22 we've been able to, through 23 24 reorganizations -- when we make changes with

1 respect to the organizational structure, the 2 mantra is that the total dollar, the total 3 spend has to be lower with every reorganization. 4 5 So we've shifted people around, we've been able to create some new positions to 6 7 give us the ability to be more efficient and effective, but that has always resulted in an 8 overall reduction in our personnel spending. 9 10 SENATOR MARCHIONE: And when you talk 11 about you have a lot of sales tax in the city 12 but you have to share it with the county, I'm 13 sure you recognize that as a city you could 14 actually collect it yourself. 15 MAYOR SHEEHAN: Well, except that 16 because our property taxes are so high, the major retailers are located just outside the 17 city. So Colonie Center, Guilderland, you 18 19 know -- I'm not complaining about the 20 sharing, it's just -- it's important to 21 recognize that. 22 And I think it's -- you know, from the

23 standpoint of a dollar spent anywhere in the 24 county benefiting the whole county is overall

1 a positive result with respect to encouraging 2 cooperation and collaboration. 3 SENATOR MARCHIONE: So actually you 4 wouldn't collect as much --5 MAYOR SHEEHAN: We've never looked at the numbers. Some of the car dealerships are 6 7 here, some of them are just across the city line. 8 9 SENATOR MARCHIONE: Thanks very much, 10 Mayor. MAYOR SHEEHAN: Thank you. 11 12 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 13 Mr. McDonald. 14 ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: Thank you. 15 Thanks for being here, Mayor, and 16 thank you for continuing to tell the challenges with the capital city. 17 18 And as much as AIM aid is a -- to me, 19 it's a symptom of the disease. I do agree 20 that looking at the 19A, which kind of gets 21 away from the "what about me" syndrome they 22 made -- that's part of the problem, is that if you do one then you have to do the other, 23 24 and that's part of the challenge here.

1 Meanwhile, by the same token, 19A is 2 19A. It's public land. And if it becomes developed, if I remember correctly -- you've 3 4 talked about, in the past, about reducing 5 that number, if I remember correctly. MAYOR SHEEHAN: That's right. There 6 7 was a proposal at one point in time, 8 particularly with respect to the Harriman Campus, that would have resulted in a PILOT 9 10 payment for the Harriman Campus that would be 11 reduced to the extent that it was offset by 12 tax revenue from privatization of any of that 13 property. 14 ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: And the 15 Harriman Campus today is still -- as much as 16 it's had some fits and starts, we haven't 17 gotten anywhere with it. MAYOR SHEEHAN: Right. You know, I 18 19 think when I first started coming here --20 this is my fourth time here -- and we were 21 optimistic about private development at the 22 Harriman Campus, the former Convention Center site, and none of those have seen 23 24 development. There was an RFP that was put

1	out for the Harriman Campus, and it received
2	no bids, and the former Convention Center
3	site still remains undeveloped.
4	So our ability to expand our tax
5	base while we have expanded our tax base
6	over the last three years, and we've seen
7	growth, it has not been enough to offset the
8	challenge that we face.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: You were one of
10	the first to and I think it was almost at
11	the beginning of your term jump right into
12	the fray with the FRB. And I think the state
13	did provide you was it \$5 million?
14	MAYOR SHEEHAN: That's right.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: A one-time
16	one-time \$5 million which allowed you to
17	carry out some of the items that you talked
18	about today.
19	And I think I've forgotten, although
20	we talk almost daily the streetlights,
21	which in the outyears could provide some
22	savings with the conversion. Do we know what
23	the cost would be to actually take over the
24	streetlights, to be in that position to save?

1 MAYOR SHEEHAN: So we're in the 2 process right now of asking National Grid -they have to give us basically an asking 3 4 price. 5 And we are, right alongside that, going to be working to ensure that we have a 6 7 GIS of every streetlight and know the condition of it so that we're doing our due 8 9 diligence before we buy them. 10 ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: My recollection, and it's been a while since 11 12 I've looked at streetlight inventory, is the strain of the investment cost is not 13 14 insignificant. 15 MAYOR SHEEHAN: It's very significant. 16 And so again, looking at opportunities for assistance with funding that -- but even with 17 18 looking at the different options that are 19 available, we believe that we would still 20 have operating savings. And it is far better 21 than either a do-nothing scenario or even 22 a -- working with National Grid to have them change over all the streetlights to LED. 23 24 ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: Thank you.

1 MAYOR SHEEHAN: Thanks.

2 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Senator. 3 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Krueger. SENATOR KRUEGER: Hi, Mayor. 4 5 So last year when you were making your plea for additional money and using the 6 7 example of AIM funding, I pointed out somebody must really not like you. I'll just 8 point out, the one who they dislike more is 9 10 New York City, because New York City hasn't 11 seen AIM now in five, six, seven years. 12 Your examples -- 60 percent of your 13 property is tax-exempt because the State of 14 New York is using it. You're at the highest 15 rate of crisis with your educational funding 16 because property tax pays for schools, but you can't tax most of the property in your 17 18 city. And you can't push it farther for the 19 people who are taxpayers for two reasons: One, we cap you; and two, as you've already 20 21 pointed out, they'll all pick up and move out 22 of Albany. You know, if I were you, I'd be 23 24 screaming. I wouldn't be smiling and being

1 very polite, I'd be saying, like, "You people 2 are killing the City of Albany." And the 3 concept that Albany still denies that we are starving particularly our upstate cities, and 4 5 the revenue-sharing formulas are ridiculous -- Kathy Marchione pointed out 6 7 somebody ought to do something about that. Yes, we should have a formula that's 8 based on population for AIM calculations. 9 That doesn't seem like brain surgery. I 10 11 could probably do a spreadsheet pretty easily 12 for towns and villages and counties. And I 13 really think that everybody needs to get louder in their demands on Albany, that we do 14 a reasonable and fair distribution of AIM. 15 But I have a question. In the 16 Governor's proposal, if you don't somehow do 17 18 some kind of coordination with the county and move it through to consolidate and get a vote 19 20 of the public, you're not even getting that 21 AIM. What's your plan for the consolidation 22 proposal? MAYOR SHEEHAN: We will find a 23 24 consolidation proposal and we will put it

1

before the voters. But we do have

2 opportunity in the City and County of Albany. 3 And as I said, we're actually already down a path. So, you know, I know a lot of my 4 5 fellow mayors have already done a tremendous amount of consolidation. And so the number 6 7 of opportunities that exist remains small. In the City of Albany, in the County 8 9 of Albany, both myself and the county executive have a great working relationship. 10 11 That wasn't always the case in the past. And 12 so we've been able to identify a number of 13 cost-saving opportunities and are working -actually, as we speak, our staffs are working 14 15 on a consolidation proposal to move forward. 16 So, you know, I think that that is an area where -- you know, we remain ready, 17 18 willing, and able. I would have loved nothing more than for the FRB, and then for 19 20 the second set of consultants, to come in and 21 hit me upside the head and say "Why don't you 22 just do this, and you can save all this money or raise all this revenue." That has not 23 24 occurred.

1 Our numbers have been scrubbed, we've 2 opened our books, we've done anything and 3 everything to determine ways for us to bridge this gap and to reduce this gap. It exists 4 5 for a reason. And I think if you look at the history, and the lack of AIM -- I know there 6 7 was a report that was written in 2010 called "Capital Punishment" that really outlined 8 how, as the state capital, it does, to our 9 10 residents, sometimes feel as though we're 11 being punished for being the state capital 12 because of the way this formula works. 13 So it's very challenging. My hair is 14 red, but I have considered setting it on fire 15 to demonstrate the urgency. And, you know, I 16 think that the numbers are so compelling. And I don't want my smile to in any way 17 18 detract from the fact that we are in a 19 crisis. We are in a complete crisis. 20 And this gap is a gap that we should 21 be able to fill. We're not asking for more

22 than any of the other upstate cities that
23 have similar challenges that we have, with
24 vacancies and poverty and challenges with our

1 schools. All we're asking for is not even 2 parity -- again, as I'm saying, give us half, 3 and we can do a lot with it. Because we've demonstrated that we can be effective and 4 5 efficient and that we are very good stewards 6 of taxpayer money. 7 SENATOR KRUEGER: And there are some 8 cities around the country that have actually 9 started to charge nontaxable properties for 10 the city services -- police, fire, garbage collection. 11

12 Obviously that wouldn't be a very 13 popular thing for me to propose as the state. 14 But since we're here and we're not talking to 15 the public, have you explored that option for 16 yourselves?

MAYOR SHEEHAN: So we have looked at a 17 number of models. And, you know, it's 18 incredibly challenging. Right? The 19 20 not-for-profits here are providing -- so the 21 state, we can't do anything to. You're the 22 state. So you can't tax or fee up. So then that means we've got to look 23 24 at who we could provide or ask for fee

1 revenue from, and you're talking about our 2 healthcare institutions. You're talking 3 about hospitals that would then be coming to the state and saying, Wait a minute, our 4 Medicare and Medicaid reimbursements are now 5 going to have to cover these additional fees. 6 7 You know, we're part of a broader system, and so when we -- if you look at 8 UAlbany, for example, and SUNY Poly, we know 9 10 there's a huge affordability issue with 11 respect to higher education. And so while it 12 may be easy to sit back and say, Well, let's 13 just put a fee on every student who comes 14 here, we know that that can really impact a 15 person's ability to be able to attend college 16 and get a degree. So again, I think that it's -- nothing 17 18 is off the table, but as we reviewed revenue 19 opportunities with consultants from the state 20 and with the FRB, it was decided not to go 21 down that path.

22 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
23 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
24 Further questions? Thank you very

1 much.

2	MAYOR SHEEHAN: Thank you.
3	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
4	MAYOR SHEEHAN: Thank you very much.
5	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: We've been joined
6	by Shelley Mayer.
7	Next, the Honorable Lovely Warren,
8	mayor of the City of Rochester. 1:30.
9	MAYOR WARREN: Good afternoon, sir.
10	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Good afternoon.
11	MAYOR WARREN: I am joined here with
12	my budget director, Chris Wagner.
13	Good afternoon again, Chairman
14	Farrell, Chairwoman Young, members of the
15	Assembly Ways and Means and Finance
16	Committees, members of the Senate and
17	Assembly. Thank you for the opportunity to
18	address this panel on behalf of the residents
19	of the City of Rochester.
20	This is my fourth time appearing
21	before this committee, and like always, I
22	will spare you a long testimony and get right
23	to the point.
24	As mayor, my goals are simple to

1 provide each of our citizens access to jobs, 2 safe and vibrant neighborhoods, and quality 3 educational opportunities. While Rochester faces significant challenges, I am proud of 4 5 the progress we've made over the past three years. We are a city built on the premise 6 7 that if you are willing to work hard, you can rise above your circumstances and be 8 successful in all areas of life. 9 10 And to this, we have certainly had some great success, and much of that success 11 12 has been accomplished with the partnership 13 with the State Legislature and 14 Governor Cuomo. 15 Most recently, we have been able to 16 move forward with our job creating and nationally recognized Inner Loop 17 18 Redevelopment Project, in which we have provided the gap funding that we needed to 19 20 complete this project. We also had great 21 success moving ahead with something very near 22 and dear to my heart, my 3-to-3 Initiative to get 3- and 4-year-olds enrolled in quality 23 24 pre-K programs. It currently stands at over

1 90 percent of eligible kids enrolled, and 2 that fantastic accomplishment would not have 3 been possible without state assistance. Your support has allowed us to 4 5 transform programming at our recreation centers and libraries to be more than just 6 7 about play or checking out books. We provide 8 quality STEM and STEAM programs at our libraries and recreation centers year round, 9 10 and other learning programs over the summer

to prevent the learning loss that often

12 occurs during recess.

11

As we continue to move forward with 13 the Rochester/Monroe County Anti-Poverty 14 15 Initiative, our undoubted success would never 16 have happened without the leadership and 17 strong partnership with Albany. Whether it's 18 additional investment in quality housing, or 19 needed repairs or infrastructure like roads 20 and bridges, Albany's ongoing partnership has 21 been the key to our success and I'm grateful for your support through our Restore NY and 22 23 other similar programs.

24 As I have pushed to reorganize the

Rochester Police Department, to bring it back to a more community-based police model, your assistance has helped us to implement the important body-worn camera program, which continues to go exceedingly well and will be fully operational department-wide within a few more months.

8 As I have mentioned, your assistance 9 in investment in job-creating programs has 10 been significant and important, and nowhere 11 has that been more evident than in our 12 ongoing Photonics Initiative.

13 Senators, Assemblymembers, clearly our 14 past and ongoing partnership has been the key 15 to our success, and these in so many other 16 areas of the city government. And that is why I am asking the state this year to 17 18 continue your investment in Rochester and to 19 provide us with \$30.9 million in education 20 funding to fill the gap between the AIM aid 21 we receive and the state-mandated amount we 22 pay to our school district.

23The state mandate requires Rochester24to provide \$119.1 million to our school

1 district, but we only receive \$88.2 million in AIM aid. This \$30.9 million shortfall 2 3 places Rochester at a significant disadvantage. Unlike our sister upstate 4 5 cities, Rochester must allocate more funding to our school district than we receive from 6 7 the state in AIM aid. AIM aid from the state exceeds the school funding mandate of our 8 neighbors to the east and west. 9 10 While ensuring all of our city's children receive a quality education is a top 11 12 priority, this disparity forces us to consider cuts to other critical services to 13 14 balance our budget. You can correct this 15 inequity simply by providing us with 16 municipal education funding in the amount of \$30.9 million to supplement our AIM aid and 17 18 make up the difference that we are required 19 to give our schools. Without this amount, Rochester will 20 21 give 68 percent of our tax levy to the school 22 district and have only 32 percent remaining

23 for critical city services.

24 We will certainly continue to manage

1 the city's finances as prudently as possible. 2 While we have a strong credit rating, we have 3 significant needs. By funding this mandate, the state will free up city tax levy dollars 4 5 for improving life outside of the classroom 6 including investing in public safety, 7 libraries, recreation centers, job training 8 programs, youth intervention services and 9 many more programs and services that some of 10 our poorest residents rely on to gain access 11 to jobs and better opportunities.

Another important matter that I can 12 13 call on you to assist Rochester and other 14 municipalities in is the utility gross 15 receipts tax, the GRT. Rochester has seen a 16 56 percent decline in its annual revenue from over \$11 million to \$5 million because energy 17 18 service companies, ESCOs, are not paying what the city is owed from their gross receipts 19 20 taxes. Gas and electric are commodities and 21 should be subject to the GRT tax.

Even though the New York State
Department of Taxation and Finance agrees
that such revenues are subject to the tax,

1 many ESCOs refuse to pay it. The state can 2 fix this situation once and for all by 3 enacting legislation to clarify that ESCO revenues associated with the sale of gas and 4 5 electric commodities fall within the scope of the GRT. 6 7 In addition, I ask that you include cellular or wireless services within the 8 scope of this tax. The use of wireless 9 10 communications has exploded. Many, many 11 households no longer have landline 12 telephones. To reflect modern-day realities, 13 the revenue from wireless telephone service 14 should no longer be exempt from this tax 15 outside of New York City. 16 Both New York State, in its excise tax, and New York City, in its gross receipts 17 18 tax, have changed their respective statutes 19 to deem cellular services as taxable. I am here today asking the state to give other 20 21 New York municipalities the opportunity to 22 benefit from this much-needed revenue stream. 23 With regard to the AIM aid, let me say 24 how much we appreciate the Governor's and

1	your continued support in our maintaining AIM
2	aid in the Executive Budget.
3	Thank you for listening to this
4	testimony, and I look forward to answering
5	the questions that you may have.
6	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
7	Questions?
8	ASSEMBLYMAN GANTT: Denny, I have
9	questions.
10	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Yes.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN GANTT: Will you explain
12	to us how it is that in the maintenance of
13	effort you're \$30 million \$31 million
14	down?
15	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: They can't hear
16	you.
17	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Your mic isn't on.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN GANTT: Can you explain to
19	us how you are \$30 million down while and
20	this is not an effort to try and attack
21	anybody else, it's simply would you
22	explain how this happened to Rochester versus
23	other cities?
24	MAYOR WARREN: When the maintenance of

1 effort was passed in 2010, what it did was
2 lock in that moment of time.

3 At that point in time, the City of Rochester was providing \$119.1 million to its 4 5 school district, and the maintenance of effort said that you cannot give one dollar 6 7 less than what you were giving at that point in time. So for us, we have to provide that 8 \$119.1 million year after year to our school 9 10 district, while only receiving about 11 \$88.9 million in AIM aid.

12 For our sister cities, those cities 13 are receiving more AIM aid than they are 14 contributing to their school district. And 15 so for us, we have to provide \$30.9 million more than we receive in AIM aid to our school 16 district, which cuts into the essential 17 services that we're able to provide to our 18 19 residents. 20 ASSEMBLYMAN GANTT: Can you explain

21 why that happened?

22 MAYOR WARREN: I was not the mayor at 23 the time, but I did work for you.

24 (Laughter.)

1 MAYOR WARREN: So I think that what 2 happened was that at the time, the State 3 Legislature did not want to reduce funding to education, and they wanted the cities to 4 5 continue to provide the same amount to the school districts that they were providing in 6 7 that year instead of -- when times got tough, the first thing that people might have 8 decided to do was cut the aid to schools. 9 10 For us, that locked us in at that amount of \$119.1 million, and we have been in 11 12 compliance with the MOE since it has been instituted. However, as I said before, it 13 14 cuts into our ability to provide for 15 essential services, because actually it's 16 taking 68 percent of the amount that we charge for taxes, and that automatically goes 17 to our school district, not allowing us to 18 19 utilize those dollars for our police department, our fire department, or our other 20 essential services. 21 ASSEMBLYMAN GANTT: Mr. Chairman and 22

23 Madam Chairman, a lot of us are not quite old 24 enough to understand what went on probably

1 prior to her getting there. But I happen to 2 know that there was a deal made between the 3 county and the city that, in order to not 4 have a -- we talked about a county or city 5 tax on boys who worked in the city at the 6 time.

7 Rather than have that, Lucien Morin 8 and Tom Ryan agreed that we would get more of the county tax dollars, and therefore our 9 10 concern about education would be on the top 11 of the list. So we provided more money for 12 them than is provided from these other areas, 13 I can guarantee you, as you can see from the 14 pamphlet here.

15 The problem we have, though, is when 16 this maintenance of effort came forward, none 17 of us realized what was coming until the 18 night that it happened, and I think that's 19 how we got hung up in this.

20 Somehow I think the state has to try 21 and help this city out. I mean, it's a city 22 that obviously I represent, but it's also 23 somebody who's concerned about what happens 24 to those kids who go to school there. No one 1 is trying to say that we ought not give the 2 schools that, because that's what we were 3 doing when we got caught in what I considered 4 a trick.

5 But now that we understand and know 6 what's happening, I think what must happen is 7 somehow we -- either more AIM aid or, if 8 that's not the case, necessarily, at least 9 understand the amount of money that's being 10 paid by the city.

11 And I would hope that I could talk my 12 colleagues into putting forth that which is 13 fair. Not to take away from anybody else. 14 But when you look at Buffalo, for instance, 15 there's \$161 million; the MOE is only 16 \$70 million. A \$119 million from us, with AIM aid at 88, it's just not fair. And we 17 18 need to do something about it, find a 19 solution to it. 20 So I thank you for your patience. And 21 thank you, Mayor, for coming. 22 MAYOR WARREN: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, 23

24 Assemblyman.

Okay, Senator Marchione.

2	SENATOR MARCHIONE: Mayor, thank you
3	for being here. Just a few questions.
4	You say that your city was asked to
5	offset the cost of schools in your area
6	110 million, I think you said. Was every
7	city in New York at that time asked to give
8	money to their schools? I wasn't here at
9	that time myself, okay.
10	MAYOR WARREN: So every city in
11	New York State at the time was locked into an
12	amount that they were currently giving their
13	school district. Nothing forbid any city
14	from giving more. But what it said was, when
15	this legislation passed in 2010, that what
16	you are contributing to your school district,
17	no matter every dependent well,
18	non-dependent, so that means the larger
19	cities, Buffalo, Syracuse, Rochester, Albany,
20	and I believe Yonkers what you're
21	contributing to your school at that moment in
22	time, you have to continue to contribute in
23	perpetuity. So until the Legislature decides
24	that you don't have to do that.

1 At that point in time, we were giving our school district \$119.1 million. 2 3 Rochester has always been very generous with the amount of money that it was giving the 4 5 school district. And therefore at that point in time, our sister cities were giving, I 6 7 believe, like 80 -- I think it's -- Buffalo was giving \$50 million, and then Syracuse was 8 giving \$64 million at that point in time. So 9 10 we got locked in at this \$119.1 million. SENATOR MARCHIONE: So before 2010 --11 12 I'm just trying to understand -- cities were 13 giving to their schools, it was an ongoing 14 process, you'd give it to your schools --15 MAYOR WARREN: Yes. 16 SENATOR MARCHIONE: -- always? MAYOR WARREN: The amount that we 17 18 determined. 19 SENATOR MARCHIONE: The amount that 20 you determined. So beyond --MAYOR WARREN: Yeah, the amount that 21 22 we determined, we were giving to our --SENATOR MARCHIONE: So beyond giving 23 24 the school aid in the taxes that you raised

1	in the city, the schools do, you also
2	contributed to the schools?
3	MAYOR WARREN: Yes.
4	SENATOR MARCHIONE: Okay.
5	You talk about you having to cut
6	services. Have you had to cut employees, and
7	how many do you have?
8	MAYOR WARREN: Well, a number of years
9	ago we consolidated our neighborhood and
10	business development. Well, we consolidated
11	our neighborhood development and our
12	community development into neighborhood and
13	business development, which was a significant
14	reduction in staff. That was about five
15	years ago? About six years ago. And we
16	continued to reduce that through attrition,
17	our people retiring and things like that.
18	But we're at a point where we are, you
19	know, sort of at our bare minimum to be able
20	to provide the services that we need to
21	provide to our constituents. You know, when
22	people call 911, they expect for a police
23	officer or a firefighter or, you know,
24	someone to come to their aid.

Right now, as you all know, cities are 1 2 being asked to give a lot more or to do a lot more with a lot less, and we just don't have 3 4 the finances to continue to provide the 5 essential services to our residents. We provide recreational services, libraries, we 6 7 also have other employment programs that we try to help because we recognize that 8 Rochester is in a situation where we have a 9 10 significant amount of poverty and our 11 residents need the support and the help, and 12 we want to be able to provide that. But we 13 have to be able to get the support from the 14 state in order to do that. 15 SENATOR MARCHIONE: You talked about

16 your utilities and your gross receipts, and the utility companies aren't escrowing money 17 18 that they owe you. How can that be? 19 MAYOR WARREN: So I'm going to let my 20 budget director explain this, because he can 21 explain it a lot better than I can. 22 SENATOR MARCHIONE: Thank you. BUDGET DIRECTOR WAGNER: Good 23

24 afternoon.

1 SENATOR MARCHIONE: Good afternoon. 2 BUDGET DIRECTOR WAGNER: So back about 3 five, six years ago, the city was getting a little over \$11 million a year in annual 4 5 revenue from a utility gross receipts tax. So Rochester is authorized to tax 3 percent 6 7 of gross receipts for utilities for transactions within the City of Rochester. 8 9 So with deregulation and the rise of 10 energy service companies, the energy service 11 companies -- the way the statute is written, 12 it says that the transaction has to occur 13 wholly within the jurisdictional limits of 14 the city. And they argue that because 15 they're buying the commodity off the grid, 16 that the transaction doesn't occur wholly within the city limits, therefore the tax 17 shouldn't apply. So we've gone and seen, you 18 19 know, \$11 million a year shrink down to 20 \$5 million a year.

21 And as technologies change and we've 22 gone from landlines to cellphone services, 23 we've lost that revenue as well. So we don't 24 get any tax on cell companies. And as the

1 mayor mentioned, you know, New York City 2 does, and the state captures that through the 3 excise tax. 4 SENATOR MARCHIONE: Are you in court 5 over this? 6 MAYOR WARREN: I'm sorry? 7 SENATOR MARCHIONE: Are you in court over this? 8 MAYOR WARREN: No, we are not in 9 10 court. This is something that -- I know that 11 the Taxation and Finance Department released 12 an opinion on it, and they said that they should pay for it. But we are asking the 13 14 Legislature to do something legislatively to 15 ensure that the city -- and this is not just 16 the City of Rochester, it's all the cities in upstate New York have this issue. 17 18 SENATOR MARCHIONE: Thank you. 19 ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Assemblyman Otis. 20 ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: Thank you, 21 Mayor Warren. Nice to see you. 22 Just a question about water 23 infrastructure. Do you have any sense -- and 24 if you don't have numbers with you today,

1 after the hearing is fine. Your short-term 2 and long-term water infrastructure costs that 3 you anticipate the city will have to incur, where does that fit in terms of your 4 5 capital-projects burden going forward? BUDGET DIRECTOR WAGNER: So the city 6 7 has a five-year capital program, and our 8 water department actually has a much longer 9 25-year capital program. 10 So, you know, we -- I don't have exact numbers here, but our cash capital allocation 11 12 is generally in the \$4 million to \$5 million 13 a year, and then we issue a fair amount of 14 debt as well for water infrastructure. 15 One of the things that's coming up, 16 about five or six years out, is LT2 compliance requirements. So we're going to 17 18 have to make investments in our reservoir, 19 and that will be a significant investment. 20 So I can get you exact numbers, but we've got 21 a significant nut to crack there. 22 ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: That's great. You 23 know, after you go home to Rochester, if you 24 could get us some just sort of real numbers

1	or types of projects that you have to do,
2	that would be great. Thank you.
3	MAYOR WARREN: We definitely will,
4	Assemblyman. Thank you.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Hi, Mayor.
6	Just one question. In your handout
7	you laid out a number of things that you are
8	doing, have put together along with
9	Monroe County on shared services or
10	consolidating different things. But along
11	with that, you raise a concern about the
12	Governor's proposal this year that would
13	tie further type of things. Do you think
14	that you've already gone as far as you can
15	go? Or just the fact, the way the Governor
16	has put that together, that would make it
17	very difficult for you to fit within that?
18	MAYOR WARREN: I think that we have,
19	as the City of Rochester and the County of
20	Monroe, have gone as far as we can go with
21	big-ticket items and there would not be a
22	significant amount of savings with the
23	smaller-ticket items.
24	We went through the first process a

1 number of years ago, about three years, where 2 they evaluated the City of Rochester finances 3 and looked at ways in which we could consolidate. And what they determined is 4 5 that the big-ticket items we have already consolidated with the County of Monroe. When 6 7 we look at our parks, when we look at 911, our library system, our water infrastructure, 8 we have already made those consolidations. 9 10 So it wouldn't be a significant savings for us to do any more consolidation with the 11 12 county. 13 ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you. 14 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, Mayor, 15 for joining us today. We appreciate it, and 16 safe travels back to Rochester. MAYOR WARREN: Thank you, Senator. 17 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Our next speaker is 18 19 the Honorable Mike Spano, mayor of the City 20 of Yonkers. 21 Welcome, Mayor. 22 MAYOR SPANO: Chairwoman, Senator, how 23 are you? 24 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Very well.

1	MAYOR SPANO: Our budget director,
2	Andrew Lenney, and our finance commissioner,
3	John Liszewski.
4	And what I'm going to try to do is
5	spare you the read that you all can do.
6	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. We
7	appreciate it.
8	MAYOR SPANO: I'm giving you our
9	testimony, and I'm just going to basically
10	speak about the issues.
11	So let me just say good afternoon,
12	Chairwoman Young I know that the chairman
13	will be back, Assemblyman Farrell members
14	of the Senate and Assembly, particularly my
15	friends and former colleagues that are here
16	today. Good to see you, Assemblyman Gantt.
17	And my Assemblywoman, Shelley Mayer here,
18	and to talk about the issues.
19	Basically, this is what it boils down
20	to, three major issues at least for us and I
21	think for most of the other cities:
22	education aid, capital projects in terms of
23	rebuilding schools and rebuilding our
24	infrastructure, and of course the perennial

conversation we usually have, which is about
 AIM aid.

I applaud the Governor and his efforts to look for an avenue from which to provide free tuition for the young people of our state, and that's a very good direction to head in. But as the mayor of a city, we need a little more help in bridging the gap, bridging the gap between education.

10 In Yonkers some of our students, once they move off to college, are double- and 11 12 triple-remediated. So they're not 13 college-ready yet, and we need to do more in 14 terms of providing for college readiness for 15 the people in our community, especially in 16 the two big areas. The big areas for us are obviously students with disabilities and 17 18 English proficiency.

Poverty continues to be a major reason why young people are not performing the way they should be in our schools, and that is certainly punctuated in our big cities all across New York State. Yonkers is not exempt from that.

1 We'd like to have enough dollars so 2 that we can provide all the things that 3 frankly the kids in our suburbs are getting. In other words, the suburbs to our cities. 4 5 You know, if you're in Yonkers, New York, and we're graduating close to 80 percent of our 6 7 kids, that's very good in terms of the Big Five, but when you're saddled next to 8 Scarsdale and Hastings and Pelham, you know, 9 10 they're graduating 99 percent. 11 Our kids suffer from perennial budgets 12 that show shortfalls and don't allow for us 13 to have a full music program, a full arts 14 program, a full intramural and full sports 15 program. These are very important components 16 to a young person's education that allows them -- gives them the tools they need for 17 them to succeed. 18 19 You know, librarians are 2,500 to 1 in 20 Yonkers, guidance counselors are 700 to 1. 21 Pupil support services have taken a big hit. 22 School psychiatrists are now 1,000 to 1, and the social worker ratio is 2,000 to 1. So 23 24 these are just some of the issues that we

continue to deal with as a big city in
 New York. But, you know, despite that, we've
 been able to get some great things done.

The Governor's \$1 billion increase in 4 5 overall aid to education is a great start. We appreciate that. It doesn't -- in terms 6 7 of formula, it doesn't do a lot for Yonkers, 8 about \$5 million. And again, that formula continues to hurt our city, because we look 9 10 like a wealthy Westchester community but in fact we are a city just like basically the 11 12 other four.

13 So we have some issues that we'd like 14 to revisit, and you know that -- you've heard 15 about the formula over and over again, so I'm 16 not going to bore you. But again, it would 17 be certainly helpful for us if we can really 18 figure out a way to get additional dollars to 19 the city.

20 In capital needs, all of our schools 21 are in need of repair. Right now, Yonkers 22 schools have a capital need of over a half a 23 billion dollars just to bring ourselves up to 24 state standards. We have 4,500 students --

1 we are over capacity by 4,500 students. We 2 need to build three new schools immediately. 3 And last year we came to you in an effort to get you to pass a Rebuild Our 4 5 Schools program. You've been very helpful in putting together the structure that will 6 7 allow us to do that, but we will continue to ask for additional capital support to offset 8 the local share which frankly is going to be 9 10 very difficult to the taxpayers of Yonkers to 11 afford.

12 We're very close to our constitutional 13 taxing limit, so even if we can get the 14 political will to raise those dollars, you 15 hit the cap and so you can't exceed those numbers which would allow us to rebuild our 16 schools. And again, our kids continue to be 17 educated in standards that we'd like to 18 19 change.

20 We have to rebuild a firehouse this 21 year. Our firehouse was condemned, the main 22 firehouse, but that is for sure all across 23 the board with all the cities. Firehouses, 24 you know, police precincts, highways,

1 bridges, our parks -- again, it's the city 2 and the city's taxpayers that have been 3 squeezed to the point where trying to find the funding that's needed to provide for 4 5 safety, quality of life, and community at the same time, to provide for the rebuilding of 6 7 the infrastructure needs, has been very difficult for us. And any type of support 8 that New York State can put into their 9 10 capital budget in terms of schools and capital needs for the municipal side would be 11 12 very appreciated for us.

13 AIM aid, you've heard it from 14 everybody. Our maintenance of effort, as you 15 know, is 257, and the AIM aid is 108. So 16 we're on the flip side of what everyone's been talking about. As a matter of fact, if 17 we could switch that, we would. So while we 18 19 get more AIM aid, you see the numbers we're 20 working against.

21 So since I've been here, five years, 22 the personal income tax has gone up -- to 23 New York State -- by close to 60 percent. 24 That's what we give New York State.

1 We have not seen an increase in AIM 2 aid for now six years. And that is a really 3 important number, because that's the 4 recognition that we get from New York that, 5 you know, we're partners. We're partners in providing for the quality of life of the 6 7 people that we all represent, we're providing for the police, fire, sanitation. It helps. 8 It helps significantly. 9

10 And over the past five years since 11 I've been mayor, not only has AIM aid not 12 gone up, but we also inherited many of our 13 municipal contracts with many years overdue. 14 So we had some contracts that were nine years 15 out. We have done and renegotiated all but 16 one contract. But at the same time, you have to be able to afford to pay for these 17 18 contracts in the context of a 2 percent tax 19 cap.

It's difficult. We've been able to do it for the most part, but it's been a real tough row for us to hoe. So we really would appreciate, again -- I'm trying to make the case, this AIM aid is critically important

for the survival of our city, and it's a
 recognition of that partnership. And we
 really could use your help in that.

And just to give you a couple of other 4 5 numbers -- I know you've heard it before -you know, healthcare, just in five years, 6 7 health, life, and dental insurance is up 54 percent. Employee retirement system is up 8 100 percent. And police and fire retirement 9 10 system is up 54 percent. You take those 11 numbers, you take new contracts that were 12 nine years out, you add it together, and it 13 makes it very difficult for us to make ends 14 meet.

15 We can continue to do more with less. 16 We continue to make our budget work. Our bond rating has gone up, and we appreciate 17 all the help -- and you have been, I mean 18 19 this, New York State has been very helpful to 20 Yonkers in terms of dealing with issues with 21 the Board of Education, in terms of helping 22 us with our economic development program. So I want to say thank you publicly to our great 23 24 Governor and to the State Legislature.

1 We have over \$100 billion -- I'm 2 sorry, \$1 billion in -- I wish we had \$100 billion -- \$1 billion in new economic 3 development happening in the city. There's 4 5 4,000 new units of housing being built on our city's waterfront. We're becoming a 6 7 destination, a true regional destination. Places like iFLY, obviously Empire City is in 8 Yonkers, Legoland. There's a hotel boom, 9 10 there's six different hotels that have been built. So there's a lot of positive things. 11 12 But if you look at the expense line of 13 the ledger and you look at how we're growing 14 in terms of revenues, we're still being 15 outpaced. Our school district grew when most 16 school districts in New York State outside of New York City were in decline. Our school 17 18 district grew. As you know, when things were held harmless, they weren't really held 19 20 harmless for us. Because all the numbers 21 were going up while our numbers were going 22 down, so really what that meant was the overall aid per pupil went down. 23

24 So we need some help and support to

1 help us help ourselves. We realize we can't 2 come to you hat in hand every year, and we 3 realize that there are years that you can do better things for us than others. But we 4 5 know that in terms of education aid, to continue to drive more dollars to education 6 7 is always helpful to us. To continue to increase the AIM aid, which we haven't been 8 able to do, but that's important to us. And 9 10 to provide for some capital investments that 11 localities can use to help us.

12 When we rebuild this waterfront -- and 13 that's a great thing that we're doing -- we 14 still have, you know, old infrastructure that 15 needs to be addressed. Because if not, 16 people are going to be living in that waterfront, but they're not going to be able 17 to go anywhere. And so we need to make sure 18 that we have the help. 19

20 So with that, again, I tried to do a 21 quick runaround. What I wanted was --22 Chairman Farrell didn't want me to speak too 23 long, and then see if you have any questions. 24 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Questions?

Yes.

2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Thank you,
3	Mr. Mayor, and thank you all for being here.
4	And I know my Yonkers colleagues don't
5	seem to be here, so I know Senator Latimer
6	didn't feel well. And I think if they could
7	be here, they would.
8	So, one, thank you for working so
9	collaboratively with us to try to drive more
10	money, at least every year since I've been
11	here and you've been mayor, particularly to
12	our schools as we've had some challenges.
13	And I understand your point about the need
14	for additional funding for education, and
15	obviously we will try.
16	One thing I just want to bring to your
17	attention. You know, the Governor proposed
18	that after this year the Foundation Aid would
19	end, which I believe is not consistent with
20	the requirements of the CFE lawsuit. But
21	obviously, as we push for additional funding,
22	we're going to want to have the partnership
23	to work for long-term improvements in the way
24	funding is driven to the Yonkers public

1 schools. And I hope we can have your 2 commitment to work with us, because I think 3 the end of Foundation Aid would be very 4 damaging for the City of Yonkers public 5 schools. MAYOR SPANO: We -- listen, I think 6 7 that's the only hope we actually had was in terms of trying to deal with that with the 8 funding formula. And so yeah, we'll be 9 10 willing to work with you any way in which we 11 can. 12 The hard-core reality is that while we -- our budget -- and a lot of people look 13 14 at our budget and say, Well, you know, what 15 is really Yonkers spending its money on? Our budget is about 50 percent right now 16 funded -- funding goes through the Board of 17 18 Education. 19 ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Yeah. 20 MAYOR SPANO: And these are coming 21 from you. All the cities outside of -- as 22 you know, all the cities are not even hitting 20 percent on their budget, the rest is going 23 24 to the municipal side.

1 So we -- you know, we get banged on 2 both sides. So we get banged on the 3 education side, because we're Westchester County -- that's a rich community -- but yet 4 5 over 70 percent of our kids live at the rate of poverty. And we have to deal with the 6 7 high cost of living in Westchester. The workforce, as you know, is at a different 8 type of pay scale. And we have, as a result, 9 10 over 50 years, have pretty much hit our constitutional taxing authority. 11 12 So even, like I said before, if we wanted to drive additional dollars back the 13 14 other direction to try and maybe increase 15 those numbers for our kids, which we want to 16 do -- and we have done it other ways in terms of increases in the sales tax and what have 17 you -- we can't, because we're running 18 against a cap that says, okay, well, we think 19 20 taxpayers in Yonkers have had enough. And so 21 we hit that constitutional cap, so -- yes, 22 the causes are different, but the effect is still the same. Kids suffer in the end. 23 24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Right. But we

will need to push back against this effort to
 end Foundation Aid, and I hope we can work
 together on that.

On the finding additional money for 4 5 capital -- and I'm pleased to see you've expanded it beyond just the schools, because 6 7 I think we all agree we need capital financing. In your testimony on page 4 you 8 show, you know, Yonkers is disproportionately 9 10 lower in building aid expenditures and reimbursement. And I just want to clarify 11 12 that in addition to having a lower 13 reimbursement formula, which we all 14 acknowledge we do, and it is a disadvantage 15 for us, one of the reasons we haven't been 16 able to even get more is because we don't have the financing to spend more. 17 18 So because we have a 70 percent reimbursement, I take it that Yonkers doesn't 19 20 have the money to spend the 30 percent on the 21 absolutely essential repairs for our schools 22 that have been left in disrepair before you became mayor, and now we're paying the price. 23

24 So this \$10 million, which looks like

1 we're getting cheated -- which maybe some 2 could say we are, but it's just so -- we 3 don't have the money to put in. 4 MAYOR SPANO: By my estimates, that's 5 right. 6 ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Yeah -- am I 7 correct about that? MAYOR SPANO: That's a very good 8 point, Assemblywoman. 9 10 And, you know, I wasn't trying to be misleading there. It's I guess a symptom of 11 the overall problem, which is we don't have 12 13 the taxable authority on one end to raise 14 those dollars to pay our share of rebuilding 15 those schools, even at the 70 percent rate 16 that the state is coming through with the money, which is a good -- it's a good place 17 18 to start. And that's been why we've been 19 asking for additional support in terms of 20 capital funding, so that we can maybe lower 21 that threshold down a little bit and be able to afford to build new schools. 22 I want to address -- the first thing 23 24 we need to address is the overcrowding in the

1 schools. We need to build two more schools, 2 we need to rebuild Gorton, and that's some of 3 the things that we're working at now. But there are also infrastructure needs that need 4 5 to happen right now in terms of buildings that are just inadequate and need the support 6 7 to bring them up to standards where they should be. 8

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Now, I know -- I 9 10 don't think the council adopts a capital budget until later in the year, but I'm 11 12 assuming you're preparing a capital budget 13 that's going to show anticipated shortfalls, 14 preparing your needs against what anticipated 15 capital revenues you would have. And I think 16 that's something we'll want to see in the drafts, to the extent we can be helpful and 17 18 try to find additional capital funds. 19 MAYOR SPANO: Okay. 20 ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Lastly, I just 21 want to say on AIM, as you know, I think last 22 year the Assembly one-house budget did increase AIM for cities statewide, and I'm 23

24 hopeful that this year the Assembly one-house

1 budget will continue to do that.

One thing -- and I appreciate you 2 mentioned the consolidation activities that 3 Yonkers has undertaken. But as you know, the 4 5 Governor's proposal is really contingent on a very strict consolidation proposal that 6 7 actually has to go to the taxpayers, which, I think we all know in the political reality, 8 could pose some real challenges. 9 10 So while I understand the need for more AIM and we certainly will fight for 11 12 it -- and I'm not asking you to criticize the 13 Governor's proposal, but I just would ask 14 that you recognize that the contingency that 15 he placed on AIM is very troublesome, in my 16 opinion, for cities like Yonkers. MAYOR SPANO: We will work with the 17 legislation as passed. We will -- if we have 18 to work on a proposal to put it to the 19 20 taxpayers for a vote, we'll do that. 21 But I will tell you that given my 22 experience at the city level, where -- and I'm sure the commissioner of finance can tell 23 24 you, we had a fun time in doing -- to a

1 municipal agreement, shared services with the 2 Board of Education -- now, these are trustees 3 that I appointed, and they didn't want to support the shared services that we wanted to 4 5 do. And we took them kicking and screaming. It saved a lot of money for the school 6 7 district, it provided for another \$2 million 8 for teacher support services, but it was a hard task. 9 10 I think -- the mission I like. I think the fact that our tax -- the Governor's 11 12 pushing us to do more in terms of shared 13 services. I get it, I support it, I 14 understand what he's trying to do. It is 15 going to be a monumental lift. 16 In places like human resources, in places like legal, in places like maybe even 17 18 trash pickup, you might be able to get other 19 municipalities to go along with you and do 20 some type of shared services. 21 In Westchester County, as you know, 22 it's very hard to do that with the high-ticket items like the police department, 23 24 the fire department, because everybody wants

1 their own.

2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Right.
3	MAYOR SPANO: Police department, fire
4	department, and they obviously want their own
5	schools.
6	So this is a tall order, there's no
7	doubt about it. But I you know, whatever
8	you give to us to do, we're going to follow
9	through and work hard.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: No, I appreciate
11	that. And I know you well. I just would say
12	that I believe it's the state's obligation to
13	fund cities like Yonkers at a level that they
14	need in order to provide essential services.
15	And while the mission of shared services and
16	reductions is a notable one, I'm concerned
17	about tying these things together, and I
18	think the Assembly will I suspect we'll
19	find that a difficult path to hoe.
20	Thank you very much.
21	MAYOR SPANO: Thank you,
22	Assemblywoman.
23	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Senator?
24	SENATOR MARCHIONE: I'd like to just

1	recognize that the minority leader, Senator
2	Andrea Stewart-Cousins, has joined us.
3	Welcome.
4	And the only comment that I have I
5	don't know if the Senator has any further
6	questions is to tell you how much we enjoy
7	your Legoland.
8	MAYOR SPANO: Ah.
9	SENATOR MARCHIONE: My family very
10	much enjoys your Legoland.
11	MAYOR SPANO: Senator, thank you. We
12	are happy Yonkers has gone through kind of
13	a rebirth, almost a lot like what you've seen
14	in Brooklyn, but in a smaller way. But
15	there's a regional attraction to the city,
16	and that's been helpful to us, it really has
17	been. And we're building on that.
18	And a lot of it has been made
19	possible, frankly, because of the help that
20	came from you, from the State Legislature,
21	from Leader Stewart-Cousins and all, just
22	making and Assemblywoman Shelley Mayer
23	making it possible for us to attract some of
24	these companies in. And then it's kind of

1 like the flood gates open a little bit, you 2 know. When you bring somebody in, you can attract maybe two or three others all by 3 4 themselves. 5 So we get it. We're working hard. 6 But we also know we have a long ways to go. 7 SENATOR MARCHIONE: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Questions? 8 9 Thank you, Mr. Mayor. 10 MAYOR SPANO: Thank you, Chairman Farrell, Leader Cousins. 11 12 SENATOR MARCHIONE: Do you have a 13 question? 14 SENATOR STEWART-COUSINS: No, I didn't. I did actually have a --15 16 STENOGRAPHER: Mic? SENATOR STEWART-COUSINS: Hello? 17 18 Better? Okay. 19 Again, I just wanted to, you know, 20 lend my voice in support with so many of the 21 things that are happening in Yonkers and the revitalization. 22 I know the Assemblywoman went through 23 24 a lot of the things that I was certainly

1 concerned about. And definitely, as big 2 proponents of AIM, and certainly as it 3 relates to doing the things that we need to do and a tax cap, I'm always trying to get 4 5 more AIM funding for municipalities. And so I'm glad that the question was raised as it 6 7 relates to how we will proceed forward if we 8 tie these two things together. So, you know, 9 I'm always concerned about that. 10 But as far as the schools, I'm sure 11 you've got two bites at the apple because you 12 came here on your Local Government Day to 13 talk mostly about rebuilding schools. I'm 14 assuming that the superintendent will come 15 and talk about the same thing. 16 MAYOR SPANO: Yes, he'll talk about the schools too. 17 SENATOR STEWART-COUSINS: Which is 18 19 good, because sometimes there are different 20 messages, and people don't know where exactly 21 to focus. But clearly the future of the 22 city's continued revitalization will really 23 hinge on our ability to educate the young 24 people who are coming into the city with

1 their families. And for that, you know, good 2 buildings and infrastructure, you know, will really be required. 3 4 So, you know, we will continue to work 5 with all of our colleagues, both sides of the 6 aisle, in both houses, to see what we can do 7 to make it happen. 8 MAYOR SPANO: Thank you for your 9 comments. I appreciate that. 10 SENATOR STEWART-COUSINS: You're 11 welcome. 12 MAYOR SPANO: Thank you. 13 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very 14 much. 15 SENATOR MARCHIONE: Thank you. 16 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: The Honorable Stephanie Miner, mayor of the City of 17 Syracuse, 2:30. 18 19 Good afternoon. 20 MAYOR MINER: Good afternoon. 21 Thank you, Chairman Farrell --22 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Good evening. 23 MAYOR MINER: Yes, I guess soon to be 24 evening.

1 -- thank you, Senator Young, and all 2 the members of the Legislature who have 3 vigilantly stayed to listen to my testimony. In keeping with the spirit of my 4 5 colleagues, I'm going to echo what they have said about the tremendous financial 6 7 challenges that cities find themselves under and the need to have more AIM funding. 8 I do want to take this time, though, 9 10 to specifically thank the Assembly and the 11 Senate for your continued steadfast advocacy, 12 successful advocacy, for more funding for 13 education and recently more funding for 14 infrastructure. Your success in those areas 15 has been incredibly important to my city and 16 other municipalities across New York State. As you said, Chairman Farrell, I am 17 18 the mayor of Syracuse, and we are the fifth 19 most populous city in the State of New York. 20 And while we have a terrific city that is 21 growing, particularly with immigrants and 22 people who are refugees, which has been in the news this week and last week, we also 23 24 have tremendous struggles. We are a poor

city, and more than 45 percent of our
 children are born into poverty. And under
 recent studies that have been released, we
 have the highest concentration of black and
 Hispanic poverty of any city in the nation.

6 These are challenges that we, like 7 Buffalo and Rochester, face every day and are 8 very conscious of providing what I like to 9 call the infrastructure of opportunity to 10 people in our city so that they can grow and 11 become successful citizens.

12 It is customary on this day to plead for additional state aid, which I have just 13 done. But last year I broke somewhat with 14 15 that tradition and talked about the desperate 16 need for reform. I spoke about corruption and its corrosive influence on our democracy 17 in New York State. And at the same time I 18 19 also raised issues about how economic 20 development resources were allocated in this 21 state. And unfortunately, since I appeared 22 before you last year, the headlines have been dominated by the intersection of those two 23 24 issues, corruption in our state's economic

development programs and corruption in
 general.

3 Tough questions need to be asked, answers need to be had, and people need to be 4 5 held accountable for performance or a lack of performance. In Central New York we have 6 7 acutely felt the impact of this corruption, and yet at the same time we have showed a 8 9 contrast as to what can happen when you have 10 principled economic development.

11 Under my tenure, and this is my eighth 12 year as mayor, our economic development has resulted in \$1.5 billion of development. And 13 14 at the same time, we have had exciting new 15 developments to make sure that we insured 16 local hiring to put our most marginalized citizens to work when we gave away tax 17 benefits. 18

19We have seen old classic assets in our20city, like the Hotel Syracuse, be21redeveloped, and another cornerstone of22downtown be revitalized, with local jobs23being created and people being put to work.24Since becoming elected to office, I've been a

consistent promoter of what has unfortunately
 become a radical idea, that tax breaks for
 developers must be balanced with a
 commensurate public benefit.

5 Unfortunately, as we have seen in our headlines, corruption in our state's economic 6 7 development programs has become all too common. There is a systemic problem in that 8 9 government has increasingly become insulated 10 from the will of the people. That is being felt by the citizens of my city and our 11 12 community and, indeed, I would say our entire 13 state.

14 While we are limiting voting rights, 15 we are protecting the right of LLCs to 16 influence our laws. We are forcing consolidation on local communities and at the 17 18 same time refusing to provide promised mandate relief. There is a government that 19 20 promotes ribbon cuttings while neglecting a sustained investment in our shared 21 22 infrastructure of opportunities -- our 23 schools, our roads, our water mains. 24 I say this to all of you to say that

1 when we have the basic foundations of our 2 democracy undermined, to come and speak to 3 you and simply just ask for money seems to me 4 to do a disservice. We need to show the 5 people of our state that government provides the best public policy for everyone, not just 6 7 those who are wealthy enough to provide campaign contributions. 8

A couple of weeks ago I joined 9 10 Common Cause in supporting their agenda, and I would urge all of you to look closely at 11 12 this agenda and adopt it. It includes, among 13 other things, closing the anonymous LLC 14 loophole, engaging in comprehensive 15 contracting oversight, and modernizing our 16 elections. I believe by doing all of this we can inject some energy and transparency into 17 18 our government and show the people of our 19 state that we really mean it when we say that 20 we are doing the people's business.

I would also like to take a moment to talk about the countywide shared services property tax plan initiative in the Governor's proposed budget, as well as the

1 municipal consolidation and efficiency 2 competition enclosed in last year's budget. 3 The first point I'd like to make is that most local governments in New York, 4 5 including the City of Syracuse, are already sharing services. And I don't say that just 6 7 as the mayor of the City of Syracuse, but I say that by quoting a study by Dr. Mildred 8 Warner of Cornell University, who has shown 9 10 that New York State is a leader in shared 11 services. 12 We in the City of Syracuse have 13 already taken concrete steps over the past

seven years to consolidate purchasing,
consolidate mail service, implement
PeopleSoft for a shared financial management
platform -- and in fact, all of these things
led to a recognition by Governor Cuomo during
his 2014 State of the State Address for the
city's efforts in attempting consolidation.

21 And he said: "And there is a ray of 22 hope, because there are local leaders who are 23 stepping up to the plate. And I would like 24 to take a moment to recognize the great

1 Onondaga County Executive, Joanie Mahoney, 2 and the Mayor of Syracuse, Stephanie Miner, 3 who are working together. They are working together to see if they can achieve 4 5 consolidation and shared services between Onondaga County and the City of Syracuse. 6 We 7 wish them well and hope other leaders follow 8 that example, because that is exactly the right course." 9

10 I share that with all of you to say that I have a track record, a successful 11 12 track record, of doing shared services and consolidations. And I have seen a number of 13 14 my colleagues in suburban and rural towns and 15 villages alike all struggle under the 16 property tax cap to continue to provide effective services. 17

At the same time, I must remind all of you that when the property tax cap was put into place, we were promised that there would be meaningful mandate relief, and there has not been.

23Dr. Warner, from Cornell University,24has also shown that New York State has the

highest level of state decentralization of
 fiscal responsibility of any state in the
 nation. And in fact, according to her study,
 64 percent of all state and local
 expenditures are handled at the local level
 in New York State. That's the second highest
 in the nation.

The primary drivers of high local 8 property taxes in New York State are indeed 9 10 mandates that come from the state, including 11 pensions, binding interest arbitration, 12 healthcare, and other things that we are 13 ordered and indeed want to provide. If the 14 idea behind consolidation and shared services 15 is to lower property taxes, then I think that 16 that does a disservice to the public to say that that's going to do it. 17

18 If we want to lower property taxes in 19 the State of New York, then we have to look 20 at issues like how we pay for Medicaid, how 21 we pay for education, how we pay for pensions 22 and other mandates that the state puts on the 23 local governments. If we want to talk about 24 efficiencies and how we can more efficiently

provide services, then I would tell you that the way to do it, having done it, is to incent local governments to cooperate, not to bludgeon them over the head with these kinds of mandates.

6 Again, I have been successful and I 7 have been unsuccessful in these efforts. But 8 what I can tell you is that you are most 9 successful when you have a constituency that 10 believes you, thinks you're acting in their 11 best interest, and wants to see the end 12 results.

13 Unfortunately, we are in a time and 14 place where we've seen more and more people 15 not believe what their elected officials are 16 telling them, and they are doubtful when we 17 go to them saying that we are going to give 18 them better government at lower cost. And so I would caution all of you, as you think 19 20 about these proposals, to keep that in mind.

I also want to take this opportunity to strongly endorse Assemblyman Hevesi's Home Stability Support program. As I said, we are a poor city in New York State, and we have

1 done tremendous work in providing housing to 2 people who are housing-vulnerable, but 3 Assemblyman Hevesi's program would help us 4 continue to do that and improve living 5 conditions and provide some stability across the state. And so I have publicly said this 6 7 before, but I would strongly urge the members of the Legislature to support this proposal. 8 And lastly, I want to again add my 9 10 voice to those who strongly support expanding ride-hailing services outside of New York 11 12 City. Doing so will help our economy in Syracuse grow and make all of our streets 13 safer for all. 14 15 So with that, I want to thank you for 16 your time today, and I would welcome any questions that any of you have. Thank you. 17 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very 18 19 much. 20 Ouestions? 21 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 22 MAYOR MINER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Julissa 23 24 Ferreras-Copeland, chair of the Finance

1	Committee, New York City Council.
2	Next will be the New York State
3	Association of Counties. If you came down,
4	it would help a little when we start.
5	Good afternoon.
6	COUNCILMEMBER FERRERAS-COPELAND: Good
7	afternoon.
8	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Did I mess up your
9	name?
10	COUNCILMEMBER FERRERAS-COPELAND: No,
11	you said it perfectly.
12	Good afternoon to Chairwoman Young and
13	Chairman Farrell and the members of the
14	Finance and Ways and Means Committees. I
15	will be testifying on behalf of the New York
16	City Council and Speaker Melissa
17	Mark-Viverito.
18	I am Julissa Ferreras-Copeland, and I
19	am the chairwoman of the New York City
20	Council's Finance Committee. I represent the
21	21st Council District, which includes
22	Elmhurst, East Elmhurst, Corona and
23	Jackson Heights, in the Borough of Queens.
24	It is an honor to be in our state's capital

to discuss Governor Cuomo's Executive Budget
 for state fiscal year 2017-2018.

3 I appear before you not only as an elected representative, but also as the 4 5 daughter of immigrants who live the American dream. I represent some of the most 6 7 ethnically and racially diverse neighborhoods within the most diverse county in the world. 8 My constituents, many of whom are immigrants, 9 10 are hardworking and searching for the 11 American dream just as my parents did.

12 It is my sincere hope that we as a 13 state pass a budget that provides all 14 New Yorkers with the opportunity to achieve 15 the American dream. Let us remember that the 16 American dream is the same for my constituents in Queens as it is for the 17 18 residents of the North Country, Erie County, 19 and all counties across our state. We are 20 one New York.

21 This year's Executive Budget contains 22 familiar proposals that the City Council 23 strongly supports. The budget again 24 recommends the enactment of the DREAM Act to

provide undocumented immigrants access to
 state financial aid for higher education.
 The DREAM Act offers all New York students
 the same opportunity to pursue the American
 dream.

Now to the issue of raising the age 6 7 for criminal liability. New York is one of 8 only two states that prosecute teenagers as 9 adults. The Governor proposes raising the 10 age for juvenile jurisdiction from age 16 to 18 by January 2020. The budget also contains 11 12 additional beneficial reforms such as 13 diversion, probation, and programming 14 services for 16- and 17-year olds involved in 15 the juvenile justice system. We urge the 16 Legislature to raise the age of criminal 17 responsibility.

18I want to express the New York City19Council's support of extending the personal20income tax top bracket. The budget extends21the personal income tax top bracket,22otherwise known as the millionaire's tax, for23three years. This rate applies to taxpayers24with taxable incomes over \$2.1 million. This

action raises needed state revenue while
 shifting the tax burden to those best able to
 pay for it.

The Governor has also made new 4 5 proposals that the council commends. The budget permits New Yorkers with family 6 7 incomes under \$100,000 to attend SUNY or CUNY tuition-free beginning next school year. 8 The income threshold increases to \$125,000 by 9 10 school year 2019-2020. We applaud this 11 proposal and urge the Legislature to consider 12 enhancing financial aid available for 13 expenses such as books, transportation, and 14 housing to the lowest-income students.

15 A new proposal creates a partial 16 unemployment insurance benefit which allows 17 an employee to earn \$100 or 40 percent of 18 their weekly benefits, whichever is greater, 19 when a worker is partially unemployed.

20 While this year's Executive Budget 21 excludes exceedingly problematic cost shifts 22 like the ones we saw last year, there remain 23 areas of serious concern. The New York City 24 Council is pleased that the Governor proposes

to again increase school aid, including a \$428 million increase in Foundation Aid, and we support the Governor's calls to fund after-school programs, community schools, pre-kindergarten, and early-college high schools.

However, we again urge the Legislature
to fully fund the Campaign for Fiscal Equity
decision, and eliminate funding set-asides
proposed for Foundation Aid. Fully funding
the CFE decision will provide our public
schools the necessary resources to provide
all students with a sound, basic education.

14 The budget recommends removing the 15 regional cap on charter school growth in 16 New York City, enhancing the reimbursement for charter school facilities costs, and 17 18 boosting the charter school per-student 19 payment rate. The significant funding 20 increases proposed for charter schools will 21 leave less of the proposed Foundation Aid 22 increase available for district schools, making compliance with the CFE ruling 23 24 critical. The council has concerns with

lifting the charter cap without a further
 increase in Foundation Aid and support for
 charter school facility costs.

Additionally, the budget proposes to 4 5 restructure funding for residential placements of children with special needs to 6 7 require New York City to pay tuition costs 8 associated with the New York City Foster Care placements. While the state anticipates that 9 10 this proposal impacts New York City by \$23 million, costs could exceed this amount 11 12 as expenditures are dependent on the number 13 of foster care placements and associated 14 tuition costs. We oppose this proposed cost 15 shift to the New York City school system.

16 Finally, the Executive Budget proposes
17 to extend mayoral control of city schools for
18 three years. We are in full support of this
19 proposal.

20 While most of the budget continues 21 existing policies with respect to Medicaid, 22 such as adhering to the spending cap, there 23 are two main programs that cause concern. In 24 an effort to lower state and New York City

1 Medicaid costs, the budget requires the city 2 to improve the Medicaid claiming of School 3 Supportive Health Services and to identify opportunities to enhance federal funding for 4 5 SSHS. Should the plan fail to generate the required savings, DOH will have the authority 6 7 to reduce Medicaid payments to the city by \$50 million. 8

We are also very concerned over the 9 10 uncertainty at the federal level with the 11 possible repeal of the Affordable Care Act and how that will impact the Medicaid 12 13 program. Most concerning is whether the 14 federal government will impose a block grant 15 program for Medicaid. The New York City 16 Council hopes that our partners in the state will continue to work with us to protect the 17 18 people that utilize Medicaid in the event 19 that the federal government repeals the 20 Affordable Care Act to prevent any gaps in services. 21

22 This budget also reduces the General 23 Public Health Work Program reimbursement to 24 New York City, which supports many public

1 health services for vulnerable populations. 2 It reduces the reimbursement rate for the 3 non-emergency claims above the base grant from 36 percent to 29 percent, resulting in a 4 5 loss of \$32.5 million that supports Ending the Epidemic, Nurse-Family Partnership, 6 7 Newborn Home Visiting Program, and other essential services. 8

Few things are as important to the 9 10 New York City Council as affordable housing. Here we have mixed feelings about the 11 12 budget's proposals. The 2018 Executive Budget introduces the tax incentive program 13 14 Affordable New York Housing Program, which 15 replaces the tax break known as 421-a. I 16 want to start off by saying we support the general goal of this program, which is to 17 18 develop affordable housing in mixed-income 19 buildings.

20 We are still reviewing the proposal. 21 However, there are a couple of items that are 22 of grave concern to the council. The first 23 of these is the complete elimination of the 24 City Council's authority to restrict or

tighten eligibility of the program. This
 authority has long been part of the 421-a,
 but the recent change completely cuts out the
 council.

5 Related to this concern is the cost of this proposal. The 421-a program already 6 7 represents an annual cost of \$1.2 billion, 8 by far the most expensive tax expenditure in New York City's budget. With the changes 9 10 contained in this proposal and those that were part of the 421-a renewal in 2015, the 11 12 cost is expected to grow dramatically. It is 13 worrisome to have such a large expense on our 14 budget with no real control over it.

15 New York City does not shy away from 16 committing significant resources to major priorities. However, we believe it is 17 18 critical that we are as responsible and 19 efficient with our spending as we can be. Ι think that this goal of fiscal responsibility 20 21 is one that everyone here in Albany shares as well. 22

For the reasons mentioned above, weare greatly concerned about this proposal.

1 It represents an extremely expensive program 2 that is borne solely on the city's budget 3 without a mechanism for the city to adjust or 4 limit the program. As it currently stands, 5 the Affordable New York Housing Program 6 undermines the city's ability to be a good 7 fiscal steward.

8 And now with the housing for our 9 seniors, the budget also appropriates \$125 million for developing or rehabilitating 10 11 affordable housing for low-income seniors. 12 This is beneficial for New York City and 13 aligns with the mayor's affordable housing 14 plan, which will create 4,000 affordable 15 housing units for seniors. However, it is 16 unclear how much of this funding will trickle down to New York City and how many affordable 17 18 housing units for seniors it will create. 19 The state is investing \$20 billion in 20 a comprehensive, five-year plan for

21 affordable and homeless housing. This
22 infrastructure plan is funded from multiple
23 sources, out of which the private sector and
24 local governments will account for

1 21 percent. It is unclear if and how much 2 the city will have to contribute to this 3 capital plan and what proportion of the 4 affordable and supportive housing units will 5 actually be in New York City. 6 In addition, the Governor's budget 7 proposal expands the authorization for design-build procurement to include counties 8 9 outside of New York City. Providing this 10 powerful procurement tool to include the City of New York would help us streamline 11 12 construction timelines and reduce costs. In conclusion, the council continues 13 14 its analysis of the Executive Budget, and we 15 look forward to reviewing the response of the 16 Legislature to these proposals. We hope to continue a constructive dialogue with you 17 over the next few months. 18 19 Thank you. 20 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 21 Questions? COUNCILMEMBER FERRERAS-COPELAND: 22 23 Thank you. 24 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Stephen J.

1 Acquario, executive director, New York State Association of Counties. 2 3 Peter Baynes? 4 EXEC. DIRECTOR BAYNES: Yes, sir. 5 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Let's try that 6 again. 7 This is the New York State Conference of Mayors, Peter Baynes, executive director. 8 9 And Thomas Roach, is that him with you? Did 10 I pronounce -- is that --11 MAYOR ROACH: Yes. 12 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Mayor of White Plains. 13 14 MAYOR ROACH: Yes. 15 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: You've got my 16 daughter. Okay. EXEC. DIRECTOR BAYNES: Thank you. 17 18 The Association of Towns --19 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you for 20 coming. 21 EXEC. DIRECTOR BAYNES: The Association of Counties, they're on their way 22 23 over from their conference, and they said we 24 could jump ahead of them. And thank you for

1 allowing us to do that.

2	As you mentioned, I have Mayor Roach,
3	Tom Roach, from the City of White Plains with
4	me. He's the president of the Conference of
5	Mayors this year. I also have with us
6	Barbara Van Epps, who is NYCOM's deputy
7	director and legislative coordinator.
8	Before I turn it over to we are not
9	going to read our testimony which we have
10	submitted, nine pages worth. We are going to
11	summarize the key aspects of our testimony
12	and try to stay under the 15-minute time
13	limit.
14	Before I turn it over to Mayor Roach
15	to talk about, in real terms as a mayor of a
16	city, what the state budget means for him and
17	could mean with some important modifications,
18	I just want to take a moment to try to set
19	the record straight for our members.
20	As I'm sure you're aware, the Governor
21	has repeatedly pointed to local officials as
22	the cause of high real property taxes in
23	New York, and I think the Governor either
24	doesn't know of local government or he's just

failing to recognize how local government
 really works.

Just a few facts to set the record straight. The Governor cites the number of local governments in New York as an excessive number of 10,500 local governments. There are really only 1,599 general-purpose local governments in New York's counties, cities, towns, and villages.

10 The Governor has said that local officials need to display the fiscal 11 12 restraint his administration and the 13 Legislature have shown over the past several 14 years. But if you look at cities -- even 15 going back to before the tax cap, when it came into being -- over the past 10 years, 16 cities have increased their taxes on average 17 by 2.4 percent a year -- not the 5, 6, 18 19 7 percent that was cited prior to the property tax cap coming into being. 20

21 And for the most current year, for 22 2017, in our city budget survey we found that 23 the average tax increase for our cities was 24 1.3 percent. So they're making the effort, our members, on the tax side. On the
 spending side, they're doing the same since
 2005.

Cities' average spending increase from 4 5 year to year has been 1.8 percent. That's below the state's self-imposed spending cap 6 7 of 2 percent. And also, very importantly, the spending calculation for local 8 9 governments includes capital spending, 10 whereas the state spending cap does not include capital or infrastructure spending. 11 12 And then the biggest fallacy that we

13 feel has been touted by the Governor -- and it's to the detriment, I think, of local 14 15 government and the willingness of officials 16 to serve or the public to serve in local government -- is the claim that local 17 18 governments don't work together and don't 19 want to work together to share services, that they really don't care about their taxpayers. 20

I think -- as many of you come out of local government service, I think anyone with a knowledge of local government or who worked in local government knows that that claim is

false. We have tried as an association to
 document the fact that our people, our
 members, are working together.

We did a survey back in 2014, when the 4 5 Government Efficiency Plan requirement came to be, to see the degree to which our members 6 7 were sharing services. And of 225 responses we got from our members, they cited 1,600 8 separate examples of shared services, an 9 10 average of seven shared service arrangements 11 per local government.

12Mayor Miner cited the Cornell study13that documents basically the same thing, that14New York is actually a leader at the15local-government level in sharing services.

16 So now, the latest iteration of trying to force local governments to do something 17 18 they're already doing is the Governor's 19 consolidation mandate proposal that has been 20 discussed by many speakers today. It would 21 mandate a county-designed consolidation plan 22 that would then go to a countywide referendum. 23

24 Notably, it ignores schools and school

1 taxes, which in the average municipality 2 amounts -- or in the average community 3 amounts to approximately 70 percent of the property tax bill. And I think, as an 4 5 affront to the Legislature, frankly it -- the AIM appropriation, which we will discuss, is 6 7 linked to and is dependent upon the State Legislature approving the consolidation 8 mandate proposal that the Governor has 9 10 proposed.

11 You know, ironically and most 12 frustrating, as I mentioned at the beginning 13 of my remarks, the consolidation that the 14 Governor is working so hard to find a way to 15 force local governments to do is something 16 they're already doing. In this proposal he has specified specific areas where he'd like 17 18 to see local governments work together. And 19 if you look at it, it's the most -- the types 20 of services he wants to see local governments 21 work together on are the ones that are most 22 prevalent in terms of being shared right now among local governments. 23

24 Highway equipment sharing, storage and

1 other facilities sharing, the sharing of 2 plowing services, those are all routinely 3 done in virtually every local government in the state. Cooperative purchasing is also 4 5 listed in the consolidation mandate proposal. In fact, local governments do a large degree 6 7 of their purchasing off the state's OGS 8 contract or through county or national municipal programs. 9 A third area of focus the Governor 10 would like to see is local governments start 11 12 to buy their different insurances 13 cooperatively. The fact of the matter is in 14 New York, in the worker's compensation arena, 15 80 percent of municipalities buy their 16 worker's comp insurance from a statewide or countywide municipal cooperative. Almost 17 18 60 percent of local governments buy their 19 property and liability insurance from NYMIR, 20 the New York Municipal Insurance Reciprocal, 21 which is truly an intermunicipal cooperation 22 agreement among almost 900 local governments. And then in the health insurance area, 23 24 there are members of ours that purchase their

1 health insurance through countywide 2 cooperatives. Unfortunately, in this area --3 and you'll see this in other areas where there's a barrier to working together -- when 4 5 it comes to health insurance, our smaller members that have fewer than 100 employees, 6 7 they are not able to buy into -- buy health insurance out of cooperatives. So there 8 9 could be even more sharing in that realm, but 10 we'd have to get the law amended to get rid of that barrier. 11

12 So the bottom line is local government 13 is doing what the Governor wants them to do. 14 We ask the State Legislature today to put an 15 end to another unnecessary and unfruitful 16 exercise in consolidation.

17With that, I'm going to turn it over18to Mayor Roach to talk more specifically19about things going on in his community20relative to the state budget and how the21state budget can help him serve his community22better and all of our members can serve their23communities better.

24 Mayor?

1 MAYOR ROACH: Thank you. Thank you, 2 Mr. Chairman, and members of the committee. 3 My name is Tom Roach. I'm the mayor of the City of White Plains. We're a 4 5 population of about 60,000, the fastest-growing city in the State of 6 7 New York. Thirty-four minutes to Midtown from 8 9 our train station, and we're at the point now 10 where as many people get off the train to 11 come to work in White Plains in the morning 12 as get on the train to go to work in Manhattan. We're the number-one destination 13 14 out of Manhattan in the morning. So we have 15 an interesting community where our resident population of 60,000 expands to about 250,000 16 17 during the day. 18 We have three hospitals, three courthouses, and therefore we have a very 19 20 large police force, a very large fire 21 department for our population size. But I'm 22 here today -- I can't help but pitch for my city. I'm a mayor, and I know we have at 23 24 least one former mayor up there, Assemblyman

Otis, but I can't help but pitch a little for
 my city. But I'm here today as president of
 the New York Conference of Mayors.

I meet and talk with mayors from 4 5 around the state. I have been present for the testimony you've heard today from mayors, 6 7 and it's striking how the issues remain the 8 same throughout this very diverse state. I went to college in Albany, I went to law 9 10 school in Buffalo, my parents live in 11 Canandaigua, my sister lives in Irondequoit. 12 For a guy from Westchester, I pronounce 13 Canandaigua and Irondequoit; I should get some credibility there. But I know the state 14 15 very well and enjoy meeting with the mayors, 16 and we all face similar challenges.

One of the things that we've all been 17 18 struck by is the suggestion that there is not a lot of shared services already taking 19 20 place. And I can tell you that it does take place. It's sometimes as simple as a phone 21 22 call. You'll get a call from another mayor 23 saying, Hey, listen, can we borrow your leaf 24 claw? They had a storm that didn't really

impact us. The answer is "Sure." There's
 not a lot of paperwork involved, it's just
 helping out.

But I have some concern that in a lot 4 5 of grant situations and a lot of situations where demonstrating that you've done 6 7 something is part of your ability to get the funding -- which is some of what's happening 8 with the AIM funding -- I have a real concern 9 10 with it being tied in, but also whether or 11 not we get credit for what we're already 12 doing.

13 It always seems unfair to me when we 14 put in for a grant and they say "You don't 15 get credit for those electric charges because 16 you put them in too soon." So I would think we'd be wanting to reward the people who have 17 already done the work. And so I actually had 18 19 to ask around, because some of what we do is 20 so engrained in the city today that people 21 forget that it's really shared services.

22 One of the things that I did when I 23 became mayor was our school district is 24 entirely dependent on the city, so we have

1 contiguous borders, we serve the same 2 population, but they are a separate branch of 3 government, they receive -- they have their own taxing authority, and they receive their 4 5 own funding from the state. And I'll just point out that the schools -- and I don't 6 7 want to stop any funding for the schools -but their increase exceeds our total 8 9 unrestricted aid package.

10 But we, on our own, we offered and now 11 do, we maintain their vehicles. We have a 12 big shop, we have ASE-certified mechanics. 13 And they actually contract for their buses, 14 so it's more like trucks, fleet cars, things 15 like that. But we save them a lot of money 16 by doing the work ourselves. All they do is 17 buy the parts.

We receive nothing from them for that. My feeling was that it benefits the taxpayers as a whole, because the cost to us is lower than the savings to them. So therefore it works out for the taxpayer, but it doesn't make my books look any better.

24 And the irony is that if I lost AIM

funding, this is one of the first things I'd
 have to stop doing. And it's actually shared
 services.

We are right now working with them on a program -- we use all of their athletic fields. We have very limited parks in the City of White Plains, so their athletic fields are basically what we use for our recreation programs.

10 We're a very diverse community. We 11 have children coming from all economic 12 backgrounds, and our recreation programs are essential. One of the issues that has come 13 14 up over the years is you have, you know, 200 15 9-year-olds playing soccer, and there's no 16 access to a bathroom. And for the schools to open the door to the school is very expensive 17 18 because they have to then staff the school. 19 So we worked with the schools and we're going 20 to be installing outdoor restrooms at all of 21 these fields. They're going to buy the 22 actual restroom, we're going to do the plumbing and site work to install it. So 23 24 we're both going to benefit from it, and

1 we're going to share the cost.

2 We have an interesting thing that we 3 do with the school district, and have done for 18 years, is we provide basically 4 5 personnel expertise to them. We administer and enforce and interpret the Civil Service 6 7 Law, the local civil service rules, everything from holding the school's civil 8 service exams to reviewing applicants to 9 10 classifying new positions, job audits, and 11 things like that. 12 They would have to have retained an 13 expert for that or -- and they pay us a very 14 small amount of money. I'm not even going to 15 read it because it might not look -- maybe 16 I'd -- you know, whatever. But I'm happy because we serve the same taxpayers. 17 18 As I said, we do things with other 19 governments all the time. Mutual aid -- so 20 there was discussion about fire trucks and 21 police vehicles. Probably older than local 22 government is mutual aid, that when there is a fire in one community, the other 23 24 communities -- or a police activity that

1 requires support -- will come.

2 Today there was a big fire in Yonkers, 3 and I was just talking with Mayor Spano about 4 it. And mutual aid gets involved when you 5 have a fire like that because you have to 6 have staffing in the other houses. And 7 that's done routinely.

We have in White Plains a New Year's 8 Eve ball drop. It started many years ago 9 10 from the back of a fire truck. We now have a big crane, and we get about 25,000 people 11 that come out. So it's a huge event for us. 12 13 We have so much support from other police 14 departments -- and not just local, but also 15 federal, state, county, and our fellow cities 16 in our area. So that is again another example of mutual aid. 17

18 So we are working together every day, 19 and we're happy to look at new ways to do it. 20 There may be the potential to work with the 21 Legislature about maybe some changes in 22 regulations that would permit us to do even 23 more together, but I think -- we talk all the 24 time about trying to help each other. I want to throw in one more thing, the design-build. So right now I drove across the old Tappan Zee Bridge and looked at the new Tappan Zee Bridge today on my way up to Albany. And it looks beautiful, and it's coming along so well, and it is the product of design-build.

And, you know, we had to build a new 8 9 parking garage in our entertainment district 10 which, thank goodness, is surging and 11 therefore we needed the parking, and we were 12 grateful we had this assistance from the 13 state in doing that. But we had to contract 14 it out under the traditional method. And 15 what generally happens is somewhere along the 16 construction phase, your construction contractor tells you, "This design is wrong, 17 18 you can't build it like this, we have to 19 change this." Now I have what's called a 20 change order, which you cannot put out to bid 21 because it's just this contractor, so I don't 22 have a good negotiating position.

23And it is so much preferable, on a24large project, to be able to hand it to a

1 general contractor who will then be

2 responsible for bringing it in on time and on 3 budget, and not have us bear the cost if 4 there is some difficulty between the person 5 who designed it and the person who's 6 constructing it or even having to arbitrate 7 who is at fault.

So I throw that in as one thing, if we 8 could do a little more with the -- I know 9 10 it's going to drop down to the counties, but perhaps some of the larger cities might also 11 12 be able to take advantage of that. I think 13 you will see more construction and more jobs 14 as a result, of course. You know, and that 15 would be of great benefit to everyone.

16EXEC. DIRECTOR BAYNES: We have much17more to say, but we will stop at that point.18CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very

19 much.

20

Assemblywoman Hooper.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HOOPER: Thank you so very much for bearing with us and taking the time to be with us all of this evening.

24 I'm going to attempt to be very, very

1 quick because I only have a few minutes. But 2 I'm going to make a humble request of the 3 Conference of Mayors. I represent the Village of Hempstead, where I have my mayor, 4 5 Wayne Hall, and I'm having difficulty in accessing or helping him to overcome his 6 7 confusions as it relates to Assembly input. 8 I was hoping that perhaps your conference could provide training for some of 9 10 your members, because the budget process 11 sometimes is elusive if you're not really a 12 part of it. It seems that my mayor is not 13 quite clear as to how AIM, ESPRI, Roads and 14 Streets, PILOTs and CHIPs -- it's confused in 15 that he's not aware or seems not to be --16 confused and not aware that these things emanate from the budget process, and that the 17 18 State Assembly as well as the Senate is 19 involved, that we are involved in this 20 process.

I would like to also see if you would be able to help not just my mayor but other mayors who might also have this confusion in utilizing local talent in their local

communities, because it also would be a savings as well as an inspiration for the local community and also provide to those local -- in this case, my mayor, and in my village -- that there are programs that can help the community, such as Sustainable Community Development.

8 We have a project that's ongoing now 9 that we could have used Sustainable Community 10 Development without having an outside 11 contractor come and put in a PILOT and take 12 so much away from the local communities. 13 So I was wondering would you be

14amenable to providing that kind of training,15not just to the mayor of Hempstead but to16other villages and other localities who17really do not have the access to how a bill18becomes a law, the budget process, and how19funds are really going from the state to the20localities?

21 Unfortunately, the mayor is confused. 22 It's not his fault, because this is an OJT 23 type of job, you have to learn as you're on 24 the job. But he's not aware that when those

1	funds go into the village, that they do
2	emanate from the state process. Would you
3	consider that?
4	MAYOR ROACH: Sure.
5	And Assemblywoman, I would say when I
6	became a councilman 16 years ago, I drove up
7	to Albany for the newly elected officials
8	training that NYCOM offers, and I have been
9	actively participating in training ever
10	since, and I've found it extremely valuable.
11	I even got to teach a class last year.
12	I will personally call the mayor
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HOOPER: I appreciate
14	that.
15	MAYOR ROACH: and get to the bottom
16	of that part of it. Just by coincidence, he
17	is working actually with our Youth Bureau
18	now. I know he wants to establish a Youth
19	Bureau. We have a very successful Youth
20	Bureau in White Plains, we're already working
21	with
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HOOPER: If you're aware
23	that if you are to get funds for the Youth
24	Bureau, that

1 MAYOR ROACH: Well, we're going to 2 help, we're going to work on that, and I'm 3 going to call --

4 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HOOPER: -- that we are 5 involved. Because he's not aware that the state is involved in any funds that go into 6 7 the village. He says that the state is not 8 involved, that the Governor sends the money, and straight to him. It's not his fault, I'm 9 10 not beating him up, it's just that I would appreciate it, if you would, help him to 11 12 understand the process.

MAYOR ROACH: Okay, and we're working 13 14 with him on the Youth Bureau. And I also met 15 a couple of the council members at the fall 16 training school, and we had a great conversation, so --17 18 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HOOPER: I'd appreciate it if you would help him to --19 20 MAYOR ROACH: -- so happy to help out.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HOOPER: -- to dispel the confusion, because it has caused a civil dispute in the community with two very large, very important organizations that are

1 fighting because the mayor unwittingly has given information that is incorrect -- not 2 because he intended to, but he has caused a 3 4 serious problem. 5 I would appreciate your input. 6 MAYOR ROACH: I will do everything 7 except wade into middle of whatever this dispute is. Because I've got my own. 8 9 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HOOPER: No, no, I don't 10 want --11 MAYOR ROACH: I will be happy --ASSEMBLYWOMAN HOOPER: -- I'm not 12 going to wade into the dispute --13 14 MAYOR ROACH: No, I'm not --15 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HOOPER: Please, please, 16 please. I'm not going to wade into the dispute either. 17 MAYOR ROACH: Yeah. 18 19 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HOOPER: I'm just asking 20 that you would help --21 MAYOR ROACH: Absolutely. 22 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HOOPER: -- through training, through your conference, so that 23 24 these type of things -- I'm sure it's not

1	just in the Village of Hempstead.
2	MAYOR ROACH: Yup.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HOOPER: I'm sure there
4	are other mayors and other executives who
5	don't understand the budget process and how
6	funds are funneled into the localities. So
7	your assistance here is greatly appreciated
8	and very much needed.
9	But I'm not asking you to get into a
10	civil dispute.
11	MAYOR ROACH: I'll make the call
12	tomorrow.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HOOPER: I'd appreciate
14	it very much. You can indicate that it was
15	brought up here at the budget hearing.
16	MAYOR ROACH: Absolutely.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HOOPER: Thank you
18	kindly.
19	MAYOR ROACH: Thank you very much.
20	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
21	Senator?
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Krueger.
22 23	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Krueger. SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you so much.

year. I appreciate hearing from you. And 1 2 you talk about a number of things that if 3 only we could get this done at the state 4 level. One of the examples today was the --5 what are they called, the G -- the utility 6 share. 7 MR. BAYNES: Gross receipts. Gross 8 receipts. 9 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you, the GRT. 10 Who's stopping us from doing this? It doesn't seem like it should be that hard for 11 12 us to fix it for you. Why don't we get it done? 13 14 MR. BAYNES: Well, you know, we have 15 tried -- we've tried different means of 16 trying to fix the problem. We are pursuing regulatory efforts. We have committed, 17 18 though, this year to trying to seek a 19 legislative solution. We do know that it's 20 always a challenge to get -- even closing tax 21 loopholes can be misrepresented by some 22 politically as a tax increase. And that makes it a difficult thing to get through 23 24 legislative bodies.

1 But it is something, as you've heard 2 today from several of the city mayors, the 3 GRT, the local gross receipts tax, the noncompliance by the ESCOs by several of the 4 5 utility companies in Western and Central New York are leading to millions of dollars 6 7 of unpaid taxes. So it's an important issue, and we're certainly committed to working with 8 the Legislature to see if they can be the 9 10 answer to the problem. 11 SENATOR KRUEGER: Because in your 12 testimony, it was a two-parter, a two-part 13 issue. But personally, like I think the 14 ESCOs are a scandal, and the Public Service 15 Commission attempted to rein them in and 16 there are suits and countersuits. But, you know, they actually end up costing the 17 18 consumers who live in your districts more 19 money than whatever they were paying before.

As you point out, you can never get taxes
from them because nobody can ever, ever
really figure out who the hell they are.
So I think, one, you should support

23 So I think, one, you should support 24 the state efforts to try to do away with

1 these scam ESCOs. But also, if you come 2 together as one negotiating entity, can you 3 in some way force the utilities to have to pay their fair share of taxes to you? 4 5 MAYOR ROACH: Well, Senator, an interesting point. In Westchester we were 6 7 the first to do community choice aggregation, which the Public Service Commission pushed 8 forward because of the problems with the 9 10 ESCOs, and we formed Sustainable Westchester. 11 And in White Plans, that is now the 12 default power provider. It is cheaper than 13 the ConEd-provided power, and it's green. 14 You could opt between green or brown, and the 15 green was just a fraction of a penny -- you 16 know, just a couple of pennies more, so we 17 went for the green. 18 But the nice thing about it is -- and it was NYCOM's suggestion -- when we put out 19 20 the RFP, you had to agree that you were going 21 to pay the taxes you're supposed to pay. And

so now we have a county that the default ESCO is going to be paying the taxes. And -- and the residents are saving money.

1 Senator, you're exactly right, because 2 I am shocked by the number of people who --3 it must be 20-some-odd years since we had the deregulation. And, you know, when you first 4 5 sign up, you look up who's the best price. Then you forget about it. Two years later, 6 7 you check your bill and you realize, I'm paying more money than anybody would. 8 The nice thing about the community 9 10 choice aggregation is you have an entity that is constantly -- the next time the contract 11 12 comes up, they're going to negotiate it again 13 for you, it's not something that you have to 14 be a part of. So I think as that rolls out across 15 16 the state, that could be a very beneficiary program in that regard. 17 18 MR. BAYNES: We also had come together as an association several years ago -- Mayor 19 20 Warren alluded to this, but we went to Tax 21 and Finance to get them to issue an advisory opinion -- unfortunately, it's just advisory, 22

24 obligations of the ESCOs and the utilities

23

not binding -- but clarifying what the

are. Unfortunately, it's just an advisory
 opinion. Compliance hasn't been what we
 thought it would be.

We've now gone and met with the chair of the PSC to talk about how on the ESCO front we can use the current deliberations the PSC is having with the ESCOs to try to leverage compliance on the GRT. And hopefully that bears fruit.

10 SENATOR KRUEGER: And you didn't 11 testify on this, but I had asked the City of 12 New York earlier. The proposed change in the 13 Internet tax collection, do you see that as a 14 revenue generator for you at the local level? 15 Or not significant enough to really --

16 MR. BAYNES: We're supportive of expanding -- you know, being able to capture 17 as much of the Internet-based sales as 18 19 possible. Sales -- as we allude to in our 20 testimony, sales tax collections have really 21 flattened out over the past several years and 22 are -- especially for our cities, are a key -- almost as much revenue as the property 23 24 tax. So when they're losing sales tax, it

usually means it's got to be made up for with
 the property tax. So we are supportive of
 that.

SENATOR KRUEGER: And you're going to 4 5 lose sales tax because we're losing bricks-and-mortar stores. I mean, I know 6 7 White Plains, I don't know everybody's 8 communities, but the statistics are an unbelievable share of American consumerism is 9 10 shifting out of stores and into Internet sales. Which means, you know, there's a lot 11 12 of action for truck deliveries and 13 warehouses, but your communities are losing jobs at the retail level, you're losing taxes 14 15 from the retail stores. And I think we have 16 to recognize collecting those taxes for you 17 through Internet sales systems.

18 MR. BAYNES: As the world changes, the
19 tax laws have to modernize with them, for
20 sure.

21 MAYOR ROACH: I mean, I have people 22 ask me why are so many restaurants on 23 Mamaroneck Avenue now, and I explain to them, 24 you know, the days when my mother would take

1 me shopping before school and we'd go to 2 Buster Brown, and then we'd walk up the block 3 to Thom McAn, are over. So you're having specialized retail, 4 5 you're having experiential retail, and you need people living in your downtown, because 6 7 then it is more convenient than the Internet if they can walk up the block. But you're 8 going to see more and more service-oriented 9 10 facilities -- restaurants and things like that. 11 12 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you very much 13 for your work, all of you. 14 MAYOR ROACH: Thank you. Thank you 15 for your work as well. 16 MR. BAYNES: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblyman Oaks. 17 ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Yes, just a couple 18 19 of questions. 20 Executive Director Baynes, you 21 mentioned, on the health issue, the barrier 22 of not allowing the cooperative or the joint effort if you're under 100 employees. So 23 24 that is something certainly if there isn't

legislation now, whether that's a budget fix or separate from that -- certainly I know a lot of municipalities I represent might be able to benefit and could be interested in that.

Mayor Miner talked about 6 7 incentivizing, not mandating cooperation. I know you gave examples, as various mayors 8 have here today, of cooperatively working 9 10 together. So it seems like we've made 11 progress. Certainly there's always room to 12 grow, but we've gotten there. But it's like 13 taxes continue to be, you know, impacted by 14 continuing costs that we have, and so we 15 continue to nip around the edges but not do a whole lot on the mandate relief side. 16 Certainly from my perspective, you 17 18 know, you mentioned one thing, you know, that 19 could work just in tweaking the law, but 20 working with NYCOM to come up with other 21 mandate relief things that could make greater

22 strides at that. I know the bigger the 23 mandate, the tougher the ability to get it 24 done. But as Senator Krueger said "Well, why

1 don't we do it," well, you know, why don't we 2 do more on the mandates? 3 MR. BAYNES: Right. I mean, that's --I agree with everything you said. 4 5 There is one bit of mandate relief in the budget which isn't world-changing, I 6 7 wouldn't say, but would mean important revenue to local governments, and that's the 8 interest rate on judgments, which in New York 9 10 State has been a flat 9 percent statutorily 11 for years and years. It was first put at 12 9 percent back during the, I guess, late '70s 13 when interest rates went through the roof. 14 But interest rates have been very low for 15 years and years, and local governments and 16 the state are still paying 9 percent, a flat 9 percent interest rate on judgments. 17 18 To make that a market rate to float, 19 you know, with what you could make on that 20 money if you invested it, would help local 21 governments quite a bit. I think it would also accelerate the resolution of lawsuits 22 and legal actions against municipalities, 23 24 because right now it's in the plaintiff's

1	interest to stall because they're making
2	9 percent on their money when they ultimately
3	get their claim.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you.
5	MAYOR ROACH: I would just note that
6	often cited as mandate relief is the Medicaid
7	being picked up at a greater share by the
8	state. But of course that benefits the
9	counties. And we love the counties, but
10	that's not mandate relief for the localities.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Understood.
12	Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
14	Senator?
15	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I believe the
16	Senators are done. So we truly appreciate
17	your participation today and wish you well,
18	and we'll continue to work with you.
19	MR. BAYNES: Thank you, Senator.
20	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblyman Otis to
21	close.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: Thank you,
23	Mr. Chairman.
24	Thank you for your testimony. I just

noted first, Mayor Roach, you fulfilled the
 most important responsibility of a mayor at
 the beginning of your statement, which is you
 are a tremendous booster for your community,
 which is what every mayor should do. You do
 it very well.
 MAYOR ROACH: Thank you.

8 ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: So thank you for9 all the facts about White Plains.

10 A couple of questions. Just to make it clear -- well, first, thank you in your 11 12 testimony for NYCOM's strong support for the 13 water infrastructure funding in past years 14 and the Governor's proposal. And NYCOM is 15 strongly in support of that proposal, which 16 is of assistance to local governments. So kudos on that. 17

But one of the things that both Peter and Tom, your testimony touched upon, is that there's a lot of shared service activity that the state actually really doesn't know about. Because if nobody applies for a grant or puts it in some other submission to the state, it's going on via what you do with a neighboring community, what you do with a
 school district.

3 So any further elaboration on that 4 phenomenon? How prevalent is the shared 5 service activity that the state doesn't 6 necessarily hear about?

7 MAYOR ROACH: I do have another example that relates to water. So we're in a 8 water district that serves Yonkers, 9 10 Mount Vernon, Scarsdale and White Plains, and 11 there's two shafts that bring water from the 12 City of New York. One shaft the city treats with UV; the other shaft is not treated with 13 14 UV. And the EPA now requires UV treatment.

15 The county runs the water district. 16 They did not comply as quickly as they were supposed to, and we don't really have a say. 17 But we met about it, and they proposed a 18 different solution that would accelerate the 19 20 process in which we can get the UV treatment 21 started, but it would require sites in White Plains. And I said fine. 22

23 So they have now located -- this year 24 they should both be completed, two plants, on

1 our pump station sites, that will UV-treat 2 the water. And that UV treatment is going to 3 benefit the whole district. Because even the cities that were on the shaft that was 4 5 getting UV treatment, had we not done this, they would have had to take some of that 6 7 water and push it back up the other shaft. 8 It's more complicated than you want to know. But it was an example of, I think, we 9 10 saw an opportunity to accelerate the process that would benefit all the communities, 11 12 benefit all the residents, by making 13 available space in White Plains. 14 ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: And you are 15 supportive of efforts to try and take down 16 additional barriers for doing shared services where we can find them, or where you have 17 18 obstacles in the law. I mean, you're doing a 19 good thing. Sometimes it's hard for school 20 districts to work with municipalities, but 21 you found a way to do it. 22 In some other parts of the state,

23 we've heard some municipalities say, well,
24 it's a little harder and maybe just school

1 districts don't want to participate for one 2 reason, and different bookkeeping and stuff. 3 But I'd say that's one thing NYCOM is in support of, and I think we would enjoy, if 4 5 there are little pieces of state law that we should be changing through this process to 6 7 facilitate more shared services, your partnership would be a great thing. 8 MAYOR ROACH: We're lucky -- I mean, 9 10 when you're in a city, so your school district has the same boundaries as the city, 11 12 so it's all the same taxpayers. Where it 13 gets complicated is if you're Lakeland School 14 District, which is in Yorktown, and in 15 Put Valley, and so you have different towns, 16 it gets a little more complicated. I'm sure it can still be achieved. 17 18 But certainly we would welcome anything that would make it easier to share 19 20 services. We're definitely always looking 21 for ways to save money and improve service. 22 ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: And you point out the obstacle in a lot of these is nonmatching 23 24 jurisdictions and how to fairly account for

1 cost savings so that all taxpayers are 2 treated fairly in that situation. So maybe 3 we could come up with some ways to facilitate 4 that, but --5 MAYOR ROACH: You can even have communities where, by geography, there's a 6 7 portion of the town or the village or the city that is kind of disconnected from the 8 rest and yet adjacent to a neighboring 9 10 community that could probably provide the 11 services more easily. And that's the type of 12 thing that you really can't do today. Maybe 13 there's a way to work on that. 14 ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: Great. Thank you 15 very much. Appreciate it. 16 MAYOR ROACH: Thank you, Assemblyman. CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 17 18 Further questions? 19 Yes. Assemblyman Friend. 20 ASSEMBLYMAN FRIEND: Thank you, 21 Chairman. 22 And thank you for your testimony this afternoon, really appreciate it. I haven't 23 24 heard about this, and I noticed in your

testimony the idea that the property tax
installment may be another unfunded mandate.
Could you elaborate on that?

MR. BAYNES: Yes. In the budget there 4 5 is a proposal that would mandate every local government, including every school district, 6 7 allow installment payment of property taxes. It doesn't sound that harmful initially, but 8 9 the way it's structured is basically if a 10 local government doesn't do anything to 11 either opt out or alter the structure of that 12 new installment program, it would allow any 13 adequate delinquent property taxpayer to use 14 a local government school district as a 15 bank -- come in and pay a hundred this week, 16 two weeks later pay 50, and just keep paying it over time. Whereas the general rule with 17 18 local government property taxes is you have to pay them all or you can't pay anything. 19

20 So we don't think it was a really 21 well-thought-out proposal. There are already 22 in statute options for local governments to 23 do installment payments of -- to offer 24 installment payments of property taxes.

1 Villages have their own provisions. Cities 2 can do it via their charter. Schools, I 3 believe, have the option already. So there are the options and the means 4 5 to do it. And where it makes sense financially and from an administrative point 6 7 of view, local governments are doing it. But 8 for the state to mandate it and then put the 9 onus on local governments to opt out of this 10 new, very loose installment payment program we don't think is appropriate. And it really 11 12 is a mandate. MAYOR ROACH: And we collect on behalf 13 14 of the school district and on behalf of the 15 county, and in Westchester we guarantee 16 collection to the county as well, the localities. So that might be another wrinkle 17 18 in there. 19 ASSEMBLYMAN FRIEND: And then also in 20 your testimony here you also point out that 21 you're not allowed to do proportional -- or

23 proportionally. Also which would also be 24 more complicated and add another layer

the different fees, they have to be done

22

1 of confusion to the --

2	MR. BAYNES: Yes, with each
3	installment payment you'd have to allocate
4	the payment, the delinquency, among all the
5	jurisdictions you collect on behalf of.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN FRIEND: So instead of
7	streamlining the process, it's just made it
8	more convoluted and put more of a burden on
9	the localities again to have to take the
10	be proactive and opt out in the first place
11	if they're not doing it.
12	MR. BAYNES: Exactly. Yes.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN FRIEND: Okay. Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Questions?
15	Finished?
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Finished.
17	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
18	much.
19	MR. BAYNES: Thank you, Chairman.
20	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Stephen J.
21	Acquario, executive director, New York State
22	Association of Counties, 3:15.
23	MR. ACQUARIO: Senator Young, good
24	evening

1	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Good evening.
2	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Good evening.
3	Welcome.
4	MR. ACQUARIO: Good evening,
5	Assemblyman Farrell, members of the
6	committee, Senators, members of the Assembly.
7	My name is Stephen Acquario, and I'm
8	the executive director of the New York
9	Association of Counties. The association has
10	been in existence for nearly 100 years,
11	serving and representing county interests in
12	the State of New York.
13	It is my pleasure to be with you here
14	this evening to offer some county perspective
15	on a state budget proposed by our chief
16	executive which is presently before you.
17	I will condense my testimony, in the
18	interests of time. And in the testimony
19	that's before you, there's a section that
20	refers to it, where it's "Comments on the
21	Pending 2017/18 State Budget."
22	I'll start by presenting to you some
23	net positive actions for county government
24	submitted by our governor, Andrew Cuomo. The

1 first area of positive policy, from our 2 perspective, is the option to use 3 design-build. NYSAC supports the Governor's proposal for granting counties the ability to 4 5 use design-build contracts. This proposal, in our opinion, makes common sense and can 6 7 lead to enhanced procurement savings but, 8 more importantly, efficiencies. To maximize this proposal, we strongly encourage you to 9 10 extended this authority to the City of New York. 11 The second benefit I would like to 12 present to you is to update the state's 13 14 Internet sales tax collection. 15 Some economists are estimating that 16 e-commerce will reach nearly 10 percent of all retail sales nationwide by the end of 17 18 2017. The state desperately needs to update 19 its sales tax collection system to keep up 20 with the changes in the retail marketplace. 21 Counties in New York support the Governor's 22 proposal to improve the sales tax collection 23 system. The budget proposal will help 24 streamline sales tax collection practices,

reduce the burdens on small vendors, and
 improve compliance.

These taxes are already owed under state law, and sales tax is the number-one source of revenue for nearly half of New York's county governments, with more than one-quarter of all local sales tax being shared with other municipalities in the county.

10 These improvements recognize the 11 changing retail marketplace and will provide 12 more stability in sales tax collections for 13 the state and its local governments while 14 enhancing fairness in the overall retail 15 market that supports locally owned and 16 operated New York businesses.

The third provision of net positive 17 benefit to New York's counties is under the 18 911 communications section. The Executive 19 20 Budget proposal includes legislation to 21 extend the 911 public safety surcharge from 22 contracted, which are postpaid, cellphone devices to prepaid devices. Often when you 23 24 walk into a retail store today, you can no

longer get a contract cellphone. It is
 largely prepaid cellphone contracts. Our
 system simply hasn't kept up -- our laws
 haven't kept up with the changes in
 technology.

This is absolutely essential to ensure 6 7 all those who benefit from the e-911 system contribute its appropriate share. The 8 legislation would require sellers to collect 9 10 a surcharge on the sale of each prepaid 11 wireless communication service or device sold 12 within the state. The market shift from contract plans to prepaid wireless, which is 13 14 currently not subject to the surcharge, is 15 leading to a steady decline of revenues.

16 The surcharge currently imposed on postpaid wireless service is \$1.20 on each 17 18 device per month. Under Governor Andrew 19 Cuomo's proposal, the surcharge would be 20 extended to the sale of each prepaid service 21 or device at the rate of 60 cents per retail sale that is \$30 or less, and \$1.20 per 22 retail sale over \$30. 23

24 I'd like to thank Assemblyman John

McDonald for spearheading this issue in the
 New York State Assembly during the 2016
 legislative session. And we are grateful for
 the Governor to include this proposal in this
 year's budget.

The next benefit I'd like to express 6 7 our support for is reforms to Early 8 Intervention. Counties strongly support 9 needed reforms to the Early Intervention 10 program. Counties support reforms requiring 11 insurers to pay for benefits covered by the 12 child's health insurance policy, to abide by 13 existing prompt pay requirements, and to 14 increase reimbursement from third-party 15 insurers. These issues have been ongoing for 16 years, and the state's counties and their taxpayers will benefit from these reforms. 17 18 Counties greatly support the 19 additional \$30 million in funding to address 20 the heroin and opioid abuse crisis that 21 plagues our state. 22 I'd like to now turn your attention to cost shifts in the state budget. 23 The 24 Governor's proposed budget calls for a

1 reduction of \$21.8 million, or a 4.6 percent 2 reduction in community college base aid from 3 the state. With the enrollment growth of the recession and the enrollment decline 4 5 post-recession, community colleges have basically returned to 2008 enrollment, and 6 7 state aid levels are forcing us to operate as though we are still in 2008. 8

With respect to free college tuition, 9 10 we are expressing a concern with the proposal that mainly applies or focuses on state 11 12 four-year free college tuition. And the 13 impact on counties and community colleges as 14 a consequence of this action could penalize 15 the state's counties and the community 16 college system.

17 With respect to indigent defense, I'd 18 like to commend the State Legislature for taking the bold action in a bipartisan 19 20 unanimous vote during the 2016 legislative 21 session to begin a multiyear phaseout of the county contribution in funding and 22 administering indigent defense in the State 23 24 of New York. This is the state's

1 constitutional responsibility. You stood up 2 for the people of this state. That 3 legislation was vetoed, and the legislation that's before you is simply inadequate. 4 5 The Governor's proposed budget provides no new funding for the 52 counties 6 7 not subject to the Hurrell-Harring settlement. Instead, the budget requires the 8 Office of Indigent Legal Services to submit a 9 10 plan by the end of 2017 that lays out a strategy for expanding the terms of the state 11 12 settlement for the rest of the counties 13 across the state. Under this proposal the 14 counties, not the state, must up-front any 15 expansion costs, and the state may reimburse 16 those costs they deem necessary to extend these reforms. 17 18 It is important to note that this 19 proposed reimbursement model is only 20 applicable to expanded services, and nothing 21 is allocated for the current base cost counties are funding on this program, 22 \$380 million annually. We can and should do 23 24 better.

1 Finally, the Governor's budget does 2 not address the recently enacted state-issued 3 eligibility standard guidelines which commence on April 1, 2017. Even if we did 4 5 nothing this session with reforming indigent defense, the expanded guidelines will blow up 6 7 our programs all across this state, given the 8 costs necessary to fund these expanded guidelines, which now will cover families 9 10 below 250 percent of the federal income level 11 for poverty. Some counties have reported 12 that almost every resident in their county 13 will become eligible once this standard is 14 effective. 15 Because of these shortcomings, 16 counties do not support the Governor's indigent defense proposal. We strongly 17 18 encourage the Legislature to revisit the 19 unanimously passed indigent defense 20 legislation from last year. And if the 21 Governor's proposed expansions stay in the 22 budget, we ask that at the very least you prefund the county costs of any new expansion 23 24 in services.

1 With respect to human services cost 2 shifts, under the Foster Care Block Grant the 3 pending budget before you includes some 4 significant cost shifts which we have not 5 seen from this State Legislature or this Governor in years. The Governor is proposing 6 7 cost shifts from the state to the counties under the Foster Care Block Grant. The state 8 9 is proposing to lower its current funding 10 percentage from the 54 percent presently to 50 percent. When asked why the change, the 11 12 answer was simply: "We think it should be equal, 50/50." We are opposed to these cost 13 14 shifts.

Under Title XX allocation, the state 15 16 budget proposes a shift in how counties can use Title XX funding by mandating that 17 \$23 million must be used for childcare 18 19 services. NYSAC also opposed this proposal. 20 With respect to raising the age of 21 criminal responsibility, counties have 22 supported the public policy goals of raising 23

the age of criminal responsibility from 16 to

18 for nonviolent offenses, but we have also

24

consistently raised concerns about the cost of these actions. And the county district attorneys have also raised concerns about their responsibility with this policy change as well.

The Governor's proposed budget again 6 7 calls for the Raise the Age, but it has been 8 modified from prior years. The Governor's latest proposal no longer provides 9 10 100 percent state reimbursement of all new 11 costs incurred by the counties to implement 12 this proposal. Therefore, NYSAC cannot 13 support this proposal with the removal of 14 this 100 percent reimbursement guarantee in 15 earlier versions.

Two other items of concern. 16 Under public health, counties oppose the 17 18 Executive's recommendation to consolidate 39 19 public health appropriations into four pools and to reduce the funding for each pool by 20 21 20 percent. These are the Article 6 public health streams that counties use on behalf of 22 the state. 23

24 Other items of concern are also

contained in the budget testimony that you
 have before you, but I would like to conclude
 by discussing the shared services referendum
 proposal.

5 Counties have implemented shared services and governmental efficiency programs 6 7 for decades, and we will continue to do so. As we highlighted earlier, counties have 8 constrained spending significantly to ensure 9 10 taxpayers receive effective and efficient service delivery. Governor Cuomo has long 11 12 crusaded to promote greater intergovernmental 13 partnerships between and amongst the local 14 governments.

15 We support this crusade. In fact, counties submitted \$882 million in shared 16 services and government efficiencies plans 17 under the legislation championed by this 18 19 Governor and approved by this Legislature 20 just a couple of years ago. These 21 initiatives are currently being implemented for county budget years 2017, 2018, and 2019. 22 While we continue to aggressively 23 24 pursue shared services and improved

1 government operations, we do believe that 2 more can always be done and we should never 3 settle for the status quo. To this end, we 4 look forward to working with Governor Cuomo 5 and the State Legislature to identify the 6 appropriate mechanism to foster greater 7 cooperation amongst the local governmental jurisdictions and our school districts. 8 9 So with that said, I'll stop the 10 prepared testimony. Thank you very much for 11 this opportunity as well. CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 12 Ouestions? Mr. McDonald. 13 14 ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: Thank you, 15 Mr. Chairman. 16 And Steve, it's good to see you once again. 17 18 MR. ACQUARIO: Thank you. ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: I have various 19 questions, so just hang onto the wheel here. 20 21 I think last year there was, at least 22 from some of the county executives I work with, concerns about a change that we had 23 24 made in the Legislature about the salary of

1 district attorneys, that we increased it and 2 there was no funding to follow it. I think 3 we've had a pretty good track record the last several years of trying not to increase 4 unfunded mandates. 5 Is that still a concern? I know you 6 7 have hundreds and hundreds of concerns, but is that still a concern of your membership? 8 And what's the value of that? 9 10 MR. ACQUARIO: There are many concerns 11 from the counties' perspective. But to 12 answer your direct question, it's about a 13 million dollars, just slightly over, 14 \$1.6 million. It's not even a rounding 15 error, as has been thrown around recently in this town. But it's a million dollars. 16 It's a matter of principle. Look, for 17 18 the past 50 years the State of New York, when 19 it has adjusted the salary, which is 20 enforcing the state's Penal Law on behalf of the people of the State of New York -- that's 21

21 the people of the State of New York -- that's 22 the charge of the district attorney. There's 23 no greater case for when the state mandates a 24 local government salary to go up, that it pay

for it. And for the past 50 years, you have
 done that.

3 We don't understand why you have not done that -- but another increase is coming 4 in 2018 as well for the same district 5 attorney salary. So we do ask that the state 6 7 act now. The Senate has passed this 8 legislation in the past. We hope that the Assembly will follow suit, incorporate it 9 10 into the terms of the State Budget. While it 11 is nominal in cost, its important is great. 12 ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: Let's jump on to the 911 funding. You talked about the 13 14 move towards prepaid cards, which obviously 15 we all agree with. And obviously there's a 16 reasonableness to what the Governor has proposed in regards to the value of the card 17 18 and therefore the tax.

19In regards to the actual revenue20that's raised, there's been a lot of21discussion in the past about what percentage22actually flips over, for lack of a better23term, to the counties, particularly for Next24Gen 911. What is the position of the

1 counties on that one?

2 MR. ACQUARIO: Well, I'd like to call 3 on David Lucas in a minute to help me with some of the numbers. But presently I believe 4 5 that counties are receiving about \$75 million, plus \$10 million for the public 6 7 safety answering points consolidation. But I 8 think that phases out and we're really looking at a \$75 million pot presently, under 9 10 the current collection of the \$1.20 by the 11 state. 12 Most of that fund should be coming back to us. I don't know how much is 13 14 collected in total. Dave? MR. LUCAS: Close to \$180 million is 15 collected statewide, and we end up with about 16 \$85 million of it. 17 18 MR. ACQUARIO: Presently. 19 ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: So \$180 million 20 is collected, \$85 million goes back to the 21 counties. 22 MR. LUCAS: And we do support the Governor's current proposal to extend this to 23 24 prepaid. Because just as in the sales tax

Internet process, we're losing because the
 marketplace has shifted and our tax base
 doesn't keep up.

As we read the proposal -- we're 4 5 double-checking -- it appears that the state is keeping all of the money from the shift to 6 7 prepaid. They do allow us at the local level to extend our surcharge to the prepaid, but 8 it appears that they're not raising the grant 9 10 levels that are coming back for 911 services. 11 So the state will ultimately keep even more 12 money than they are currently today. So 13 we're trying to get clarification from the 14 Executive on that.

ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: I would just
make a comment in regards to the college
tuition, particularly community colleges.

You know, I think everyone likes the concept of free college, although there's a lot of wrinkles that go along with that. But I do philosophically have an issue with the fact that the state hasn't maintained its commitment for a long period of time. We proposed a cut here on the FTE aid, and still we're talk about moving off in a different venture. One would argue that if we actually kept up our commitment, maybe we wouldn't be having to have this discussion about free college and our students wouldn't be seeing such exorbitant tuition.

7 And the only other thing I wanted to 8 mention on the shared services initiative, I don't know if I really understood your 9 10 position. I mean, I understand a position 11 that, hey, we've been doing this, we've been 12 doing shared services for a period of time, 13 we continue to work with our municipal 14 partners -- but I guess the way I see this is 15 being set up is that the chief administrative officer of the county is basically us, and is 16 in charge. And I don't know if I agree with 17 18 that philosophy. Do your county executives really 19

20 relish this opportunity to be kind of leading 21 this charge on what could be a little bit of 22 a fractionalized process? 23 MR. ACQUARIO: Well, we welcome the

24 opportunity to work with our local

governments. We are totally supportive of
 enhanced shared services. We completely
 support government efficiencies.

The plan that's presently before you 4 5 is technically flawed and should be rejected. Do county officials see themselves as a 6 7 convener of this process? They are open to doing that, in partnership with their local 8 9 governments, in cooperation with their local 10 governments. The need for a referendum of this magnitude, in our estimation, in some 11 12 instances is a ministerial action. That's an 13 MOU executed between two local government 14 chief elected officials. That's why they're elected. So whether or not there's a need 15 16 for a referendum to disturb the people with their precious time, I don't know if that's 17 18 the case yet. 19 ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: Thank you. 20 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 21 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Further questions? 22 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you very

23 much. Senator Marchione would like to ask 24 some questions.

1	SENATOR MARCHIONE: Thank you.
2	First of all, I'd like to say thank
3	you for your concise testimony. To have it
4	broken down the way you did made it very
5	understandable where the counties stand.
6	Although the county executives have never
7	been shy in telling us how much of their
8	budget is because of the mandates that we at
9	this level have sent down to them.
10	I know and you didn't discuss it
11	today, but I know you've asked for a state
12	911 board. What do you envision the mission
13	of that board to be?
14	MR. ACQUARIO: I'm very glad that you
15	raised that question. We have discussed this
16	with Assemblyman McDonald in the past about
17	the need, when we were discussing the
18	surcharge. And we believe that the present
19	mechanism that the state distributes the
20	cellular surcharge is contrary to federal
21	intention.
22	Therefore, we believe that there's a
23	better way to distribute the funds from the
24	state that are collected on cellular devices.

1 And a way to do that would be to create a 2 state e-911 board, which would be a better 3 mechanism to distribute it to the counties, 4 to retain some for the state operations if 5 they need it for public safety purposes. But 6 the cellular surcharge, by federal law, is 7 supposed to go for e-911 services, and that has not been the case. So we do think a 8 board would help with the distribution of the 9 10 funding. 11 SENATOR MARCHIONE: Thank you. That's 12 all I have. 13 MR. ACQUARIO: Thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. Thank 15 you very much. 16 SENATOR KRUEGER: Do you have 17 questions? CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Oh, sorry, we've 18 19 been joined by John Brooks. 20 SENATOR BROOKS: Hi, I just want to ask a question on the shared services 21 22 agreement. You've been doing that for an extended period of time, and that's 23 24 anticipated in the '17 and '18 budgets going

1 forward.

2	MR. ACQUARIO: Yes.
3	SENATOR BROOKS: Can you think of any
4	huge area that's been overlooked in that
5	process, or something that the state should
6	help and assist in a consolidation effort?
7	MR. ACQUARIO: I think the ability for
8	the local governments to come together and
9	retiree health insurance, in health
10	insurance, is we are precluded from doing
11	so under Article 47 of the Insurance Law.
12	The amount of capitalization that is
13	required the statute was put in place
14	30 years ago. And during its tenure of
15	existence, one county and its municipalities
16	came together, a few years ago in Tompkins
17	County.
18	The present statute is outdated,
19	antiquated. With the new health insurance
20	options that are available, local governments
21	in Western New York, local governments in the
22	Capital District, right here in Schenectady,
23	are interested in working together with their
24	counties in health insurance.

1 And the other area is in workers' 2 compensation and the ability to have 3 deductible plans provided so we can have a 4 public-private partnership with the workers' 5 comp carriers and taxpayers to help fund the workers' compensation liability. 6 7 So workers' compensation and health insurance would be two areas where I think we 8 could use help. 9 10 SENATOR BROOKS: Thank you. 11 MR. ACQUARIO: Thank you again. 12 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I think we're all 13 set, so thank you for the great testimony. 14 Look forward to working with you. 15 MR. ACQUARIO: Thank you, Senator. 16 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. Gerry Geist, executive director, 17 Association of Towns of the State of 18 19 New York; Bill Moehle, supervisor, Town of 20 Brighton, Monroe County; Ed Theobald, 21 supervisor, Town of Manlius, Onondaga County; and Rebecca Haines, town clerk, Town of 22 Ellery, Chattanooga -- how do you pronounce 23 24 it?

1	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Chautauqua.
2	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you,
3	Chautauqua.
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Chautauqua County.
5	MR. GEIST: Mr. Chairman, Madam
6	Chairwoman, thank you for inviting our
7	association tonight to present our
8	perspective on the Governor's 2017 Executive
9	Budget. My name is Gerry Geist. I'm the
10	executive director of the Association of
11	Towns. And with me to my far left, as you
12	said, is Supervisor Bill Moehle, Town of
13	Brighton, Monroe County. Next to me on my
14	immediate left is Rebecca Haines, town clerk,
15	Town of Ellery, Chautauqua County. And on my
16	right, Ed Theobald, supervisor, Town of
17	Manlius, Onondaga County.
18	We want to express our sincere
19	gratitude to the State Legislature for the
20	monies towns received through the PAVE NY and
21	BRIDGE NY programs. We especially are
22	grateful for your continued support for
23	infrastructure improvements and looking at
24	clean water and our aging water system.

1 I would like to strongly urge you to 2 increase AIM funding and to increase CHIPS. 3 Particularly with AIM, we have not had an increase in eight years, and now we are faced 4 with its elimination. 5 6 Rather than commenting on the budget 7 in detail, which we did in our written 8 remarks, I want to focus on the importance of 9 town government and the efficiency with which 10 towns provide services and manage their affairs. I have three elected town officials 11 12 with me who will give you specific examples 13 of just that. 14 But before I turn the microphone over 15 to them, I want to comment on the way towns 16 have been unfairly and incorrectly singled out as a major factor in rising property 17 18 taxes. 19 The Governor's repeated misstatements 20 and characterizations that towns cause high 21 property taxes are unfair and inaccurate. The fact of the matter is towns constitute 22 only 11 percent of the property tax levy. 23 24 Furthermore, the Governor's proposal

1

to make AIM funding contingent on

2 consolidation and shared services is not only 3 an affront to towns, but is also an attempt to usurp authority from the State 4 5 Legislature. Introducing a plan that ties AIM funding to a voter referendum on shared 6 7 services creates a lacuna which ensnares 8 everyone.

This stark and unrealistic approach 9 10 fosters a miasmic feeling among many 11 New Yorkers, especially when the record is 12 clear: Towns are great stewards of the public tax dollars, and sharing services is 13 14 what we do best and we'll continue to do so.

15 If the Governor's plan is adopted, the 16 partnership between local government and the 17 state will be irreparably harmed. However, I 18 think we can work together to come up with a 19 better solution. For example, creating 20 incentive programs that reward municipalities 21 for adopting true savings and other 22 efficiencies with getting additional funding would better serve everyone in this great 23 24 state.

1 Working together requires everyone 2 involved to understand the role that towns play in the fabric of New York. I am asking 3 4 the Governor and the members of the State 5 Legislature to join me in visiting our towns and their officials. Let's learn firsthand 6 7 how we've been doing shared service programs and saving taxpayer dollars. 8 And with that, I'd like to turn it 9 10 over to our people who are on the ground, our elected officials, to share some of their 11 12 thoughts. First, Town Clerk Rebecca Haines. 13 TOWN CLERK HAINES: Thank you, Gerry. 14 15 First off, I would like to say thank 16 you so much for your time and your attention. You're fellow elected officials in the State 17 18 of New York, and I know how hard you work. I 19 know my own Senator, my own Assemblyman, are 20 examples of the time you put in, and I can't 21 even imagine being in your shoes. 22 So with that, I would say my name is

23 Rebecca Haines and I am the town clerk and24 tax collector for the Town of Ellery, and

that's in Chautauqua County. I also serve as
 the fourth vice president for our Association
 of Towns.

Now, approximately two weeks ago the 4 5 Governor introduced the Countywide Shared Services Property Tax Savings Plan as part of 6 7 his 2017-2018 Executive Budget proposal, which among other things requires each county 8 to develop a property tax savings plan for 9 10 shared and efficient services among local 11 governments within the county.

12 And I know we all can agree that this is a great idea. We're all for saving money 13 14 and sharing, and I think you'd be 15 hard-pressed to find a locality that wouldn't 16 agree with that. But the Governor's suggestion that a county plan is needed to 17 18 solve the real property tax problem, that the work that I do with the residents of the town 19 20 can be done at a county level, is just not 21 so.

Towns are already streamlined and
efficient. My office is a perfect example.
I'm not only the town clerk, I'm the tax

1 collector. I'm very busy this time of year, 2 as I'm sure all of you who come from local 3 government know -- but some things are 4 important enough to call me away from my 5 office, and this is one of them. I am -- under default, the town clerk 6 7 is the tax collector in many areas, but in fact in some areas it's not. But really, 8 65 percent of town clerks collect taxes. And 9 10 many also collect school taxes. 11 When it comes to my residents, I'm a 12 clearinghouse. I'm there for my residents. 13 If they need me, they call me. They have my 14 home phone number. They know where to reach 15 me. I'm a member of my community. I make 16 every effort to meet with them if I can't be in the office for some reason. And at this 17 time of year, there's only one thing that 18 19 would call me out of my office, and that would be to come over here. 20 21 When it comes to tax savings at our 22 level, that's not what we need. We need tax

24 our level are efficient, and they're working

relief from higher up. The governments at

23

together. Let's be frank. The towns are not the problem. The real drivers behind high property taxes in New York are cuts to aid to municipalities, real property tax exemptions, and state and federal policies that are required to be implemented and financed at the local level.

8 Now, to illustrate, my own town tax 9 bill. I have a number of properties; I chose 10 one of them. My town tax bill, including a 11 fire district tax, is only 10 percent of my 12 overall tax bill. So you can see that my 13 town tax is not an issue for me. And it's 14 not for most people.

A major contributing factor to higher property taxes is the number of exempt properties in the state, the value of which is astounding. As highlighted in the recent Gannett News series, 31 percent of New York's land value is tax-exempt. I couldn't believe that number. To me, that's just incredible.

22 This takes \$866 billion off the tax 23 rolls. And I said it correctly, billion with 24 a B. That's huge. In less than 20 years, religious exemptions have nearly doubled,
 from \$14 billion in 1999 to \$26 billion in
 2015.

Even though tax-exempt properties do
not pay taxes, they still receive services.
And if they're not paying for those services,
it falls to Joe and Jane Taxpayer, it falls
to all of us in this room to pay for that.

9 As a result of the number of exempt 10 properties throughout the state, local governments are forced to shift the tax 11 12 burden. We recognize that some exemptions 13 are constitutionally derived, but the courts 14 have expanded those ideals to the detriment 15 of homeowners, small businesses, and farmers. 16 We know you have looked into this in the past, and we would like to work with you to 17 18 address this and begin to solve the real 19 property tax problem in the state.

20 And as far as my testimony goes, that 21 sounds kind of negative, and I don't mean it 22 as such. I just want to highlight some of 23 our issues at our local level.

24 But one thing that I have to say is

1 that I am totally in favor of the Part F of 2 the revenue Article 7 legislation authorizing 3 partial payments of taxes. My area has a lot 4 of senior citizens and quite a few low-income 5 people, and they could really use this relief. It would be great for them. I know 6 7 it has to be tweaked in different areas, but I think listening to town residents and 8 people countywide, at least over in 9 10 Chautauqua County, they would welcome this because they could pay their taxes in partial 11 12 payments and we could add a surcharge. We could also, at a local level, limit the 13 14 number of payments. And it would all work 15 together to help us help our residents, and 16 everybody would benefit from that. So in closing, I would like to say 17 18 thank you very much for your time. I 19 appreciate it very much, and it's a pleasure to be here. 20 21 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 22 MR. GEIST: Supervisor Theobald. SUPERVISOR THEOBALD: Yes. Good 23 24 evening. And yes it is, it is evening.

1 First I would like to thank the Senate 2 Finance Committee, Madam Chair; Assembly Ways 3 and Means Committee, Chairman Farrell; and distinguished legislators for giving me the 4 5 opportunity to speak with you today on behalf of the Association of Towns. 6 7 As Executive Director Geist stated, I 8 am town supervisor for the Town of Manlius, 9 and we are the third largest town by 10 population in Onondaga County, out of 19 towns. We have around 32,000 residents, with 11 12 which we have three villages: Minoa, not to 13 be confused with Mineola, we have 14 Fayetteville and Manlius. We also have two 15 school districts and one town police 16 department -- which, by the way, was consolidated with the three villages and town 17 18 in 1985, under then Town Supervisor Richard 19 Lowenberg.

20 My concerns, which I would like to 21 address today, is Part 4 of the budget named 22 Countywide Shared Services Property Tax 23 Savings Plan, which I believe the title is a 24 misnomer. There's no real proof that this

1 directive will give any tax savings to our 2 residents the way it is structured. 3 As opposed to this top-down approach, I recommend that we continue our bottom-up 4 5 approach which we have been providing to our residents -- tax savings consolidation proven 6 7 over many years, such as the townwide police consolidation I mentioned earlier. 8 We also work closely with the 9 10 sheriff's department in the county. The sheriff was at one time the DeWitt chief of 11 12 police, a neighboring municipality. His undersheriff we work closely with was a 13 14 captain on our police department. When he 15 retired, he became one of our board members, 16 and now he's undersheriff with the county. We have a very good working 17 18 relationship with him and our police chief, 19 Marlowe, and they've been working together 20 for shared services in such things as special 21 forces and other things we're looking into. 22 Six years ago, we successfully renegotiated a snowplow contract between 23

Onondaga County and the towns, working

24

closely with our county legislators and
 county executive's office, and which is
 coming up for renewal this year.

Five years ago, with the combined 4 5 efforts between our four fire departments -there are three protection districts and one 6 7 district -- we successfully implemented an organizational consolidation program where 8 9 they would work together, in cooperation with 10 the fire chiefs, with joint purchasing, a shared equipment program, and training for 11 12 firefighters, just to name a few.

13 A few weeks ago, when we had a major 14 snowstorm, I happened to look out my window 15 in Minoa, and a town snowplow went flying by. So I asked our highway superintendent, "What 16 was that all about?" And he says, "Oh, yeah, 17 their DPW supervisor, Tom, called me because 18 19 one of their plows broke down, so they needed 20 one. We loaned them ours for the day."

21 Now, try not to be shocked when I
22 mention this. Do they stay stop the presses
23 anymore? I don't even know if that's
24 popular. But just reaching out to our

1 neighboring municipality to help, there 2 was -- there was -- where am I here? Sorry. 3 Reaching out to our neighboring municipality for help, I cannot imagine this type of 4 5 process in helping -- there were no lawyers involved, no contracts involved, and this 6 7 was -- I can't imagine the county doing this 8 as efficiently as we did with the highway department or any DPW, for that matter. 9

10 To wrap this up, I just wanted to mention the Town of Manlius's fiscal 11 12 concerns. There really aren't any. We, 13 along with all of the other 18 towns in the 14 county, have the lowest ratings with the New 15 York State Comptroller's fiscal stress 16 monitoring system. Our town's average growth in tax rate has been under 2 percent for the 17 18 last 15 years, and in fact in the last two 19 years we've actually reduced our tax rate. 20 And this with the unfunded mandates that we 21 have to deal with each budget year.

22 We were also under the 2 percent tax 23 cap -- again, a misnomer, as we all know --24 which was actually 0.68 percent last year.

1 And in 2016, our budget was also under the 2 tax cap but, due to the infrastructure work 3 in one of our drainage districts, that put us 4 over the top. Again, that's why I think we 5 should look at major infrastructure work like 6 school districts do; they should not be 7 included in the Governor's current tax 8 program. 9 So I really think that Albany needs to 10 get, you know, their house in order before they continue to control towns' and villages' 11 12 fiscal responsibilities by forcing 13 consolidation programs with the county. 14 Thank you. 15 MR. GEIST: Supervisor Moehle, to wrap 16 this up. SUPERVISOR MOEHLE: Thank you. 17 18 Good evening. Thank you, Chairman 19 Farrell, Chairwoman Young, all of the 20 Assemblymembers and Senators that are here. 21 Thanks for sticking it out. I know it's been a long day. 22 I'm Bill Moehle. I'm the supervisor 23 24 of the Town of Brighton in Monroe County.

1 Brighton is a suburb of Rochester which has a 2 population of about 37,000. I will take Assemblymember Otis's advice and cheerlead a 3 little bit for my town as well. That 4 5 population of 37,000 grows to about 100,000 every day, or at least every weekday. We are 6 7 the home of Monroe Community College, and we are increasingly, as the University of 8 Rochester expands, the home of more and more 9 10 of the university. Between those two institutions, there are over 30,000 students, 11 12 and those are two of the very largest 13 employers in Monroe County. 14 Brighton is a thriving and a diverse 15 community. But we're an inner-ring suburb, 16 and we have an infrastructure that in many cases dates back to the 19th century. And we 17 18 also have residents that expect a high level

19 of government services, as I think all of our 20 constituents do. We certainly appreciate the 21 support and the assistance that we've 22 received from the state over the years in 23 providing that level of service.

24 I applaud the Governor for his goal of

1 ensuring that local governments operate in an 2 efficient manner. I think everyone in local 3 government, and frankly in state government, 4 believe that's their goal every day when we 5 go into work. However, because local government truly is the government that is 6 7 closest to the people, it is also the 8 government that is most responsive to local needs. 9

10 In Brighton, at least, we look every day for ways to provide services more 11 12 efficiently. And by using state-authorized 13 special improvement districts, we're doing so 14 in a way that ensures that only those 15 residents that receive certain governmental 16 services are being charged for those services. 17

Now, we've all heard about the 19 10,000-plus local governments. Mr. Baynes 20 and NYCOM debunked that notion earlier. But 21 by that count, Brighton would have 121 local 22 governments in addition to the Town of 23 Brighton itself. But I want to put a face to 24 those local governments, because we are

1 using -- as I mentioned before, we are 2 using -- those are special improvement 3 districts, and we are using them to provide services efficiently. But again, to make 4 5 sure that only the people that receive services are paying for them. 6 7 Our 121 districts include 53 refuse districts, 26 street lighting districts, 8 18 sidewalk snow-removal districts, seven 9 10 sidewalk districts, four pure water 11 districts, four sewer districts, two park 12 districts, two neighborhood improvement districts -- a creature unique to the town of 13 14 Brighton -- and a business improvement 15 district, an ambulance district, and a fire 16 protection district. 17 Now, that sounds like a lot, but the 18 reality -- and it is, but the reality is 19 those are not separate governmental entities. 20 None of those entities have their own 21 separate administrative staff. They're all engaged in providing services traditionally 22 provided by local government. But again, 23 24 because we use special improvement districts

1 to provide those services, they're being paid 2 for by the people that receive them -- in 3 some cases townwide, but in most cases by a very much smaller portion of the population. 4 5 And in fact I believe that the formation and the existence of those special 6 7 improvement districts is actually the purest form of grassroots democracy. Nearly all of 8 those districts have been formed under 9 10 Article 12 of the Town Law of the State of New York, which allows residents of the town 11 12 to petition their town board to establish a 13 district. The petition must be notarized, 14 must be signed by property owners owning at 15 least 50 percent of the assessed value of 16 property in the proposed district, and 50 percent of the resident owners. 17 18 I see Senator Marchione smiling. 19 You've lived that, I know, in your term, and 20 there may be others of you. But before that district can be 21 22 formed, we must also hold a public hearing to give residents, not just those who live in 23 24 the district, but any resident the

1 opportunity to weigh in. 2 But these are community residents, neighborhood residents that have come to us 3 4 and said "Please, tax us." 5 (Laughter.) SUPERVISOR MOEHLE: And I know that's 6 7 hard to believe. "Please, provide this service. I want you to charge me for that 8 service." 9 10 And why do they do that? Because they're coming to us asking us to provide a 11 12 service that frankly they can't easily provide for themselves. 13 I talked about 53 refuse districts a 14 15 moment ago. Well, let me explain how that 16 came to be and what that means for us. Those 53 refuse districts have nearly 4,000 17 18 homeowners belonging to them. Each one of 19 those homeowners is paying a district charge, 20 to have their refuse picked up for a year, of 21 just over \$200 a year. Why do we have so many of them? They've been formed over time, 22 over many years, long -- I've been supervisor 23 24 for five years, so for many years before I

1 became supervisor.

2	But neighborhoods have come to us
3	asking for those refuse districts, as many as
4	400 in a neighborhood and one as small as
5	seven. We've kept those individual
6	neighborhood districts that have been
7	petitioned for. We've not consolidated them,
8	frankly so that smaller refuse haulers that
9	may not be able to serve the entire town
10	would still feel they can bid and keep the
11	bidding competitive.
12	Now, currently Waste Management does
13	have the contract for the entire for all
14	of those districts, all 53 districts. At
15	just over \$200 a year, that's a savings per
16	property owner of \$150 over the rack rate, if
17	you will, the Waste Management list price for
18	individual homeowners that don't live in a
19	district that get on the phone, call Waste
20	Management, "How much would it cost to
21	provide the service?"
22	Four thousand homes, \$150 a year
23	savings, \$600,000 a year that the Town of
24	Brighton is saving our taxpayers, our

property owners, just by using these refuse
 districts.

3 And I would note that every time our residents come to us asking us to form 4 5 another refuse district -- and they continue to do that -- we spread the word. Other 6 7 types of districts, sidewalk snow-removal districts, 25 bucks a year. A lot cheaper 8 than getting the high school student down the 9 10 street to shovel your walk, if they remember 11 to show up.

But we still encourage residents to form these districts, even though every time we do, it counts against our tax cap. So at some level you could say it's against our interest. Well, that's not why we're in office. We're there to serve our residents. We're saving them money.

19I do want to touch on one other20example. And again, I'll thank21Assemblymember Otis, because you asked about22other types of cooperation. And in Brighton23and in Monroe County, one of the ways we've24been operating cooperatively for many years,

1 long before the more recent talk about consolidating services -- and we may be the 2 3 exception. I know we're the exception. Not 4 a lot of counties do this. But Brighton and 5 the other towns in Monroe County plow state highways in Monroe County, county highways in 6 7 Monroe County. We have intermunicipal agreements. In Brighton we plow 41 lane 8 miles of state highway, many more lane miles 9 10 of county highway.

11 The Governor has suggested that not 12 every town needs a \$300,000 truck. I will 13 say that we bought those trucks for a lot 14 less than \$300,000. But we do need them. 15 And not only do we use them on our streets, 16 but when we're driving down those county highways, those state highways on our way to 17 18 our neighborhood, it would be inefficient to 19 raise the plow. We plow those streets. We 20 keep them clean. And we save the state 21 having to buy those snowplows of their own.

22 That's just one example of how we are 23 working in Monroe County and in the Town of 24 Brighton to operate efficiently and I believe

1 effectively. I appreciate your taking the 2 time to listen to us. And again, thank you 3 for your support over the years. 4 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 5 Senator? CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I want to thank 6 7 everyone for your testimony today. And it's great to hear from people on the front lines. 8 9 And I especially want to thank Rebecca 10 Haines, who is the great town clerk in the Town of Ellery. And she gets the prize, 11 12 because I do believe she is the person who 13 came from the furthest away to testify during 14 the entire day of hearings. So I want to 15 thank you for that. And I want to thank you 16 for your commitment to the taxpayers and to the community. And I want you to give my 17 regards, please, to Supervisor Johnson and 18 19 all of the town board and everybody back 20 home. 21 You know, it's very interesting to

hear people talk about shared services,
consolidations, and that sort of thing. And
I think what we know is that, upstate

especially, those efforts have been ongoing
 for many, many years. And it's been out of
 necessity.

I represent, as Rebecca knows, a lot 4 5 of smaller towns, very rural towns, and they do not have large tax bases. So the point 6 7 about not having enough equipment maybe to do 8 a road project, these towns have gotten together over the years to be able to get the 9 10 job done, work together efficiently. And I 11 know that they meet on a regular basis too, 12 also to find out creative ways that we can address this issue. 13

14 So I appreciate, you know, some of our 15 suburban towns too coming today too, because 16 you've been doing the same sorts of things. And I think what we've got to focus on, as 17 18 Rebecca I believe brought up, is more of a 19 carrot rather than a stick incentive for 20 localities to be able to come up with even 21 more creative ways to save the taxpayers 22 money. Because I think that's what it's all about, obviously, is that we want to save the 23 24 taxpayers money. We want to be efficient.

1 We want to be lean and mean. But at the same 2 time, we need to be able to deliver those services on the local level in the best 3 manner possible. 4 5 So if people have ideas, and we've talked about these, about further things we 6 7 can do to incentivize localities to be able to achieve this, I think we should. 8 You know, I think it's -- we don't 9 10 have a lot of dissolutions. But I will tell 11 you that in Chautauqua County right now, in 12 four days there will be a vote in Cherry 13 Creek, New York, as to whether to dissolve 14 the village there. And it will go to the 15 voters, which is appropriate. But I can 16 think of many different localities over the years -- East Randolph, for example, 17 others -- that have decided it made sense not 18 to continue operating, it made sense to the 19 20 local community not to continue with another 21 layer of government. And so the people decided. 22 And I think really that's what this 23 24 should be about, is letting the people decide

what's best for their communities, what
 works, and how we can continue to deliver the
 best services possible.

So I would encourage all of you, and 4 5 the association especially, to continue to stay in touch with the Legislature. And if 6 7 you have ideas, especially as we go through this budget process, to come up with new ways 8 that we should look at saving taxpayer money 9 10 but at the same time maintaining services, 11 please, please, please let us know. 12 MR. GEIST: Thank you, Senator. 13 SUPERVISOR MOEHLE: Thank you. 14 SUPERVISOR THEOBALD: Thank you. 15 TOWN CLERK HAINES: We appreciate it. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I think Senator 16 Marchione has a question. 17 18 SENATOR MARCHIONE: Thank you, 19 Senator. 20 I don't have a question, I just want 21 to say thank you. You know, listening to 22 both of the town supervisors, and the town

23 clerk, because I was both at one point -- at
24 different times, of course -- but it just

1 brings back such memories on how towns work 2 and how efficient they try to be and the 3 cost-saving measures that they implement. 4 And when asked about the Governor's 5 proposal, my first reaction was they've been sharing services for years. And it's a 6 7 handshake, and you bring the equipment over, and you're helping the fire company. You 8 just -- everybody works together. And you 9 10 are the government closest to the people, I think with the most scrutiny on everything 11 12 that you do, and you work diligently, both at home and at the office. 13 14 I just want to say thank you, and we 15 are here to assist you in any way that we 16 can. 17 MR. GEIST: Thank you, Senator. 18 SUPERVISOR THEOBALD: Thank you. 19 TOWN CLERK HAINES: We appreciate it. 20 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. Yes? 21 ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: I just want to 22 announce that John McDonald and I are sharing services with questions. We've been doing 23 24 this, it's more efficient for everybody.

1 (Laughter.)

\perp	(Laughter.)
2	ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: But I want to thank
3	all four of you for the texture that you've
4	given, each of you in your testimony, to how
5	the shared-service activities are going on
6	just on an ongoing basis, on a long-term
7	basis, and on an evolving basis as different
8	things occur.
9	Bill, a question for you about the
10	plowing. So are the county and the state
11	reimbursing you for any of that?
12	SUPERVISOR MOEHLE: Oh, yes.
13	(Laughter.)
14	ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: Okay, glad to hear
15	it.
16	SUPERVISOR MOEHLE: It's an
17	interesting it's a formula that takes into
18	account even factors topography, and those
19	parts of the county that are closer to the
20	lake that receive more snow.
21	So it's a formula that we have worked
22	out for many, many years. And it's a classic
23	example. And it's a long range, but at the
24	same time we also have much more informal

1 lending agreements, as have been talked 2 about, where the different departments share 3 equipment back and forth. And most recently, someone talked about a fire earlier. The 4 5 Town of Irondequoit just had a fire, but it was a fire in their own highway barn. And 6 7 the towns, Brighton included, stepped up and 8 offered equipment to the Town of Irondequoit to be able to continue plowing their streets. 9 10 But yes, it's a good working 11 relationship with the state and the county, 12 and it truly makes all the sense in the 13 world. And by the way, we have a similar arrangement -- one of our main streets starts 14 15 in the Town of Brighton, then goes to the 16 Town of Pittsford for about a mile, and then returns to the Town of Brighton. It would 17 18 obviously make no sense for Pittsford to take 19 their truck to the middle, so on a much 20 smaller basis, we do the same thing with the

21 Town of Pittsford -- plow that mile, and they 22 reimburse us as well.

ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: I'm most impressedthat there's a lake effect factor in the

1 reimbursement formula. That's very 2 important. So thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank 3 4 all of you. 5 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 6 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 7 SPEAKERS: Thank you very much. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Oh, Senator Krueger 8 9 has a question. 10 SENATOR KRUEGER: I'm sorry. I do 11 appreciate everyone's time and testimony. 12 So I'm a big-city girl, from New York City. So you finally gave me an 13 14 understanding of why all these special 15 districts. But I still want to test out one 16 theory with you. Economy of scale. Couldn't you get 17 the better deal even if you just had one 18 negotiating entity to deal with the garbage 19 20 haulers? 21 SUPERVISOR MOEHLE: Perhaps. And 22 Senator, thank you, because you -- I recall 23 last year when we were here, you were most 24 engaged with us. And having lived in

1 Manhattan going to law school myself, towns 2 were not necessarily on my mind when I was 3 there, so I appreciate that. 4 It's something we've discussed. But 5 we did -- there are a number of local family-owned, women-owned, independent refuse 6 7 haulers in the Rochester area. And our 8 concern has always been that those smaller haulers might not have the scale to be able 9 10 to bid on the whole town, but they might be 11 willing to bid on the districts in the 12 eastern part of town, for example. It is something we've discussed. 13 We 14 might change to a -- you know, although it's sort of a quadrant type. But over time they 15 16 truly have formed as individual neighborhoods. They hear about the savings. 17 18 And of course we would have to go 19 through the state law consolidation process 20 to reduce the number of districts. So there 21 would certainly be time and effort engaged in 22 reducing them. So it's been a, you know, comme ci, 23 24 comme ça.

1 SENATOR KRUEGER: And how many staff 2 are there per district? Is there a way to --3 SUPERVISOR MOEHLE: Oh, there's no staff. 4 5 SENATOR KRUEGER: No staff. SUPERVISOR MOEHLE: The 6 7 administration -- you know, the district, all of the work -- I shouldn't -- no 8 administrative staff. I mean, obviously we 9 10 have drivers of the sidewalk snowplows that 11 are plowing not only our arterial streets, 12 which are paid by all of our taxpayers, but 13 our individual neighborhood. 14 But our -- the administrative staff 15 who gets on the phone and, you know, jangles 16 Waste Management if they fail to pick up a house, or the sidewalk contractor if they 17 18 haven't fixed up -- those are existing Public Works Department staff. 19 20 SENATOR KRUEGER: All right. Thanks. 21 MR. GEIST: Senator, thank you for 22 your continuing interest in these areas. I just want to give you a quick little story 23 24 about shared services which has an

1 interesting story.

2	I was a town councilman in North
3	Castle, Westchester County, for 24 years.
4	And one of the things that I took great pride
5	in, we have volunteer fire departments, and a
6	group of citizens came to us and said, "We
7	want advanced life support." Well, our
8	current volunteer firefighters couldn't do
9	it. And we got a proposal to do that service
10	in our town; very prohibitive.
11	So we went and talked to our six
12	neighboring town supervisors, and we sat down
13	with them and said, "What if we do this
14	together with the local hospital?" We came
15	up with a plan that was 80 percent less from
16	the one plan if we did it ourselves.
17	And so we thought it was a great
18	benefit for our residents. And you know what
19	we had to do in order to do this? We had to
20	create a district, an ambulance advanced life
21	support district.
22	So to us, it's not just about programs
23	that save taxpayer dollars, it's also
24	providing services and sharing the cost so

your residents get a quality service at a
 reduced rate. And sometimes you have to
 create these districts to achieve those goals
 under state law. And once again, it didn't
 have any administrative staff, as Supervisor
 Moehle pointed out.
 We feel towns could be very creative

8 just like that, and just as the supervisors and the town clerk have mentioned, in coming 9 10 up with strategies that really benefit the 11 residents and taxpayers of the State of 12 New York. And we believe our story just hasn't been heard, and so it could be told 13 14 better and understood. But we believe we 15 really do a great job.

16 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you all very
17 much.

18 MR. GEIST: Thank you.

19 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.

20 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. Safe

21 travels.

22 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: League of Women
23 Voters, Barbara Bartoletti, legislative
24 director.

1 MS. BARTOLETTI: They're a hard group 2 to follow. Very well done. 3 Good evening. My name is Barbara Bartoletti, and I am legislative director for 4 5 the New York State League of Women Voters. I'm here tonight to talk to you about 6 7 an issue that I have been talking to you about now for probably -- except for Senator 8 9 Brooks, and welcome, and the fiscal 10 committees -- for probably three decades. The hour is very late, and you've all heard 11 12 me do this before. I will go over just a few highlights. I'm going to be talking 13 14 specifically about the Article 7 bills 15 proposed in the Governor's budget on ethics. 16 I do want to just make you all aware that the League would prefer that the 17 18 language was not, on this issue and several 19 others, was not in Article 7 bills in the 20 budget. We'd prefer that these issues be 21 taken up standing alone, in front of the 22 Legislature. And we do understand that the -- both houses have addressed this in 23 24 some ways. The Assembly has passed many of

these measures. We do look perhaps to have
 the Senate address them in the near future.
 But I will highlight just a few of these
 issues.

5 For your benefit, Senator, I will tell 6 you that the League is a multi-issue, 7 nonpartisan but political organization. We 8 have 51 leagues across New York State. And 9 if you have participated at your local level, 10 you have probably impacted our local leagues 11 in your area.

12 The first issue I'm going to just highlight is that of outside income. We have 13 14 long urged that all outside income of state 15 legislators be subject to strict disclosure 16 requirements. All clients and services should be disclosed if the matter involves 17 business before the state, whether or not the 18 19 legislator personally performed the services or referred the client. 20

The League also now, we do have member agreement and a new position dealing with and supporting a percentage limit on outside income, much like some of our other

1 good-government groups as well as how 2 Congress does address this issue. 3 We also have supported financial disclosure, which is in the Article 7 bill, 4 disclosures for local elected officials. 5 Ensuring transparency at all levels of 6 7 government is, as you all know, crucial to restoring the public's trust in its 8 government. Without strong safeguards to 9 10 limit the influence of money in the political process, voter turnout -- something of course 11 12 that we care passionately about -- voter turnout is diminished and the representative 13 14 character of our democracy is undermined. 15 The League supports this proposal but

also urges the Legislature to consider adding
strengthening procedures to JCOPE, the Joint
Commission on Ethics.

19The other issue, of course, you've all20heard from me for a very, very long time is21the issue of campaign finance. Primarily one22of the things we've certainly worked hard to23accomplish is closure of the LLC loophole,24making it subject to existing contribution

1 limits for corporations and publicly

2 attributing these contributions back to their 3 source.

4 This one measure is an important step 5 toward reducing the influence of money in politics in our state. We have long 6 7 supported stricter limits on contributions to, and the use of, and in fact have called 8 for, over several decades, the banning of 9 10 what we consider housekeeping accounts. You've all heard that from me also. 11

12 We consider that this housekeeping 13 account has been misused from its original 14 usage, and we hope that this can be 15 accomplished in the very near future.

16 Contribution limits. We've been 17 saying for a very long time that contribution 18 limits need to be lowered. Of all the 19 37 states in this nation that have 20 contribution limits, ours are by far the 21 highest.

The Governor's proposal of \$25,000 in
housekeeping accounts we think is too high.
We, as I said, would prefer to ban it, but we

1 certainly would consider more reasonable

limits. But 25,000 is still too high.

2

3 We have advocated for public financing of elections for a very, very long time. 4 We 5 did -- as you know, the Governor a couple of budgets ago -- I've been here for so long, it 6 7 may have even been last session, but I think it was the session before -- where he did put 8 9 public financing in the budget. However, it 10 was for the single position of Comptroller. 11 And the Comptroller said, No, thank you, 12 which we supported, because we want it for 13 all elected officials. And it never, as you 14 know, did come to fruition at all.

15 We have recently gotten into, 16 following the latest scandal that has happened here in New York, gotten into the 17 area of procurement, the bid rigging scandal 18 19 that all of you are very familiar with. We 20 do think that -- unlike the Governor -- the 21 auditing power should be returned to the 22 State Comptroller. That is where it belongs and where it was for considerable time. 23 We 24 think it would enhance the independent

1 oversight that is needed in that issue. 2 We support reforms that would 3 strengthen the Freedom of Information Law and would help citizens have greater access to 4 5 pertinent government documents. While we think the Governor's proposal is perhaps a 6 7 first step, it fails to include the Governor's office and does not address other 8 barriers citizens face when they seek 9 10 information from our government. So if you're going to include the legislative 11 12 branch, please do include the executive branch. 13 14 I think the last thing I will just 15 make mention of is as all of you, I'm sure, 16 are aware, this is the year when we will all vote in November on a constitutional 17 18 convention. In the 2016-2017 budget, the 19 Governor did put \$1 million into the budget for a constitutional convention commission. 20 21 He has failed to do so in this budget. We 22 have not actually -- we do intend to ask him

513

23

24

why. Because I go back to not only the 1997

convention, but back to Mario Cuomo, Governor

1 Cuomo's time, when he actually put forward 2 proposals dealing with the issues, a 3 commission dealing with specific issues that 4 might be addressed in a constitutional 5 convention.

Given the environment we currently 6 7 find ourselves in, we think it would be 8 remiss if we did not bring to your attention the fact that we do need to have a 9 10 constitutional convention commission that will set forward areas that it is felt need 11 12 to be addressed, whether it's -- we really don't think the whole State Constitution 13 needs to be reopened. We would like to see 14 15 particular pillars, which is I think the word that former Governor Mario Cuomo used. 16

17But certainly we need to see enough18money to do education around that19constitutional convention question. And we20do think that there should be a preconvention21commission that would look at some of the22issues that might need to be looked at within23the Constitution.

24 So with that, it's a very late hour, I

1	would thank you for your attention. I know
2	this issue is an issue we've all talked about
3	for a very long time, but again I thank you
4	for listening to us yet one more time.
5	Thank you.
6	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
7	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
8	Any questions? Mr. McDonald.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: Barbara, thank
10	you, as always. And you're a constant
11	fixture here at the Legislature, and when I'm
12	out in my district, so two for one.
13	MS. BARTOLETTI: Yes, you do. You do
14	represent me.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: That's right.
16	Exactly.
1 -	
17	You know, when you talk about
17 18	You know, when you talk about contribution limits, I'm just curious. The
18	contribution limits, I'm just curious. The
18 19	contribution limits, I'm just curious. The discussion has been primarily at the
18 19 20	contribution limits, I'm just curious. The discussion has been primarily at the statewide official position. Is there a
18 19 20 21	contribution limits, I'm just curious. The discussion has been primarily at the statewide official position. Is there a position on maybe legislators, on what

1 MS. BARTOLETTI: No, we actually have 2 said for a very long time that contribution 3 limits for legislators should be commensurate with what the Congress holds as -- and I 4 5 think -- I believe it's \$2,300, I think. We do, however, recognize that under 6 7 Citizens United, the spiraling of campaign finance has gotten totally out of control and 8 that it -- I think maybe Senator Brooks could 9 10 comment about how much his campaign cost him. 11 And it does vary in the different areas or 12 the state. But sooner or later, you're going to have to be very, very wealthy in order to 13 14 serve the public. 15 And we think that would be 16 unconscionable for our democracy. We want the pharmacists. We want the dairy farmer. 17 18 We want -- and I will tell you the 19 constitutional amendments, both of which the 20 Governor has included, we don't actually 21 agree with what -- in some of the 22 constitutional amendments -- we do not 23 support term limits for legislators. We do 24 support a four-year legislative span so that

you don't have to govern one year and run for
 election the next year. We think giving you
 about three years to govern would be a far
 better and more efficient way to do state
 government. And we do think that the ballot
 box is the best term limits.

7 We are aware, of course, of what our voter turnout has been in this state. We 8 think there are some barriers to voting that 9 10 if we broke down those barriers, we would have a better voter turnout. But we do also 11 12 feel that people can't run for office anymore 13 unless you can guarantee that you can either 14 raise it from your private funds -- and that 15 excludes 90 percent of the New York 16 population.

So we would like public financing so 17 18 that people could run for office. But 19 barring that, which is a heavy lift, we would 20 certainly want to see contribution limits 21 come way down. 22 ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: Thank you. 23 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 24 MS. BARTOLETTI: I just would like to

1 comment too that Senator Marchione represents 2 me also. CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Anyone else? 3 4 SENATOR KRUEGER: Always appreciate 5 your work, Barbara. Thank you. 6 MS. BARTOLETTI: Thank you so much. 7 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, Barbara, for coming in. 8 9 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: I liked that last 10 answer. Somebody finally understood. Term 11 limits are called the poll. Every two years 12 you get a limit. 13 MS. BARTOLETTI: Yes. You're 14 absolutely correct. 15 Thank you again. 16 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. To close, Elena Sassower, director, 17 Center for Judicial Accountability. 18 19 MS. SASSOWER: Thank you. Thank you. 20 Just a moment to set up, please. 21 My name is Elena Sassower. I'm director and cofounder of the Center for 22 Judicial Accountability, which is a 23 24 nonpartisan, nonprofit citizens organization

that documents corruption in the judiciary - and in particular, because we are based in
 New York, corruption in the New York State
 judiciary.

5 The situation is as bad as it is, and it is because there has been no oversight by 6 7 the committees of the Legislature that are 8 charged with oversight responsibilities. 9 We're speaking now of the Assembly Judiciary 10 Committee and the Senate Judiciary Committee. Obviously the primary policing mechanism in 11 12 this state is the Commission on Judicial 13 Conduct. The Assembly Judiciary Committee 14 has not held an oversight hearing of the 15 operations of the Commission on Judicial Conduct since, I believe, 1987. The Senate 16 Judiciary Committee has not held an oversight 17 18 hearing of the Commission on Judicial Conduct 19 since 2009.

20 That was when Senator John Sampson 21 showed leadership that had been lacking from 22 all his predecessors and began what he 23 announced was going to be a series of 24 hearings on the Commission on Judicial

1 Conduct and the attorney discipline 2 disciplinary system. He held two, scheduled the third, and then aborted the third and 3 4 continued no further. There were no -- there 5 was no investigation of the testimony that he was presented with, the evidence supporting 6 7 it. There were no findings of fact. There were no conclusions of law. The hearings 8 were aborted because the testimony and the 9 10 evidence supporting it was devastating of the corruption of the state commission. 11 12 Now, likewise, there has been no 13 oversight by any committees of the 14 Legislature of judicial compensation, which 15 this Legislature vested in two commissions, a 16 commission on judicial compensation in 2011, and thereafter in 2015, in a commission on 17 legislative, judicial and executive 18 19 compensation. 20 I'll go one step further. This

Legislature refused to oversee what was going on and to examine the evidence presented to it that these commissions had rendered reports that were statutorily violative, 1 fraudulent, unconstitutional.

2 As a result, salary increases took 3 effect automatically by force of law. And with it, district attorney salary increases, 4 5 because approximately 45 years ago, this Legislature took from the counties their 6 7 authority to set the salaries of their district attorneys, who are county officers 8 elected by the people of the counties. It 9 10 was vested in the state, the state took over 11 the setting of salaries, and the pretext 12 was -- or one of the justifications was that enforcement of the Penal Law is a state 13 14 interest and therefore the state was going to 15 fix the salaries, going to correlate them 16 with judicial salaries so that they would be high, they would attract the best and the 17 18 brightest.

19And needless to say, there has not20been any oversight in all these years. Not21by the Judiciary Committees, not by the Codes22Committees, not by the Committees on Local23Governance of the Senate and Assembly, as to24how, if, the district attorneys are

discharging their function of enforcing the
 Penal Law.

3 And there has been no examination of the provision in the County Law that was 4 5 enacted to provide the counties with reimbursements. Since the state was taking 6 7 over the setting of salaries, the counties 8 wanted some reimbursement. And so you have County Law 700.8, 700.10, 700.11, as to which 9 10 there has been no oversight.

11 Now, this mess, this mess created by 12 the failure of the Legislature, with all 13 respect, to discharge its duties, is 14 presented in a lawsuit, a citizen taxpayer action which I authored and of which I am the 15 individual plaintiff. It sets forth 10 16 causes of action. And the thread throughout 17 is the inaction, the dereliction, the 18 19 malfeasance of the committees of the 20 Legislature.

21 Why? Well, in part it's because the 22 committees have no budget. And that's 23 concealed by the Legislature's budget, which 24 only identifies funding for the Ways and

1 Means Committee and in past years, and 2 inferentially today, for the Senate Finance 3 Committee. But the reality is that there is no funding for the committees. They have no 4 5 resources, just like the member offices. When I call -- and I have called I think all 6 7 of your offices, and I've had conversations, and I know that your staff doesn't have staff 8 9 to really do the heavy lifting, the 10 substantive work that needs to be done to serve constituents. 11 12 Okay. There is a citizen taxpayer action, 10 causes of action. It is addressed 13 14 to the unconstitutionality of the Legislative 15 Budget, Judiciary Budget, Executive Budget, 16 and a raft of statutory violations, legislative rule violations that go into the 17 18 mess that becomes the budget. The budget is off the constitutional 19 20 rails. And I have called your offices, and 21 I -- three weeks ago, I implored leadership 22 and the committee heads to meet with me, 23 because I saw what was happening in this 24 fiscal year. That all the violations, the

constitutional, the statutory, the rule
 violations were repeating themselves in a
 Groundhog Day fashion.

Why should that be? It's all laid out 4 5 in the lawsuit. There are lawyers galore in the Senate and Assembly, including on the 6 7 committees. They know how to read a pleading, the verified complaint, and to 8 examine the record. And the record 9 10 establishes an entitlement to summary 11 judgment to the plaintiffs. Again, the 12 budget is off the constitutional rails.

13 Now, what brings me here today to 14 testify at this hearing -- and I thank you. 15 And it's very late, and I recognize that you want to get on with it. But this is a lot of 16 money. This is our government. The reason 17 18 why Albany is dysfunctional, the Legislature 19 is dysfunctional, has nothing to do with 20 whether there are full-time legislators or 21 part-time legislators, whether you earn 22 outside income or not, whether we have public campaign financing or not. That is bogus. 23 24 Because there is no difference,

1 empirically -- I've interacted with members 2 of the Legislature for 25 years, and I can 3 tell you there's no difference between a legislator who purports to be full-time or 4 5 one who has outside work. There's no difference. The problem is that you don't 6 7 have the resources and you are emasculated by rules. 8

9 And Senator Krueger, who was one of 10 the champions of rule reform going way back, 11 essentially abandoned that issue. Of course 12 she waves the flag now and then. But she 13 knows how to be forceful and to show 14 leadership. It's the rules, it's the budget.

Okay, I want to conclude. And I am
available always to meet with you, to examine
how we move forward.

18The tenth cause of action -- because19you have a problem now. You have a very20significant problem. The district attorneys21now, by reason of the statutory link, are by22\$50,000, \$60,000, \$70,000, \$80,000 -- maybe23even more -- the highest paid officers in the24counties, electeds in the counties. Other

1 than the judges, of course. In some counties 2 the package, with the pensions and health 3 insurance and all the rest of it, is about \$220,000 a year. This is upstate, Western 4 5 New York, where the median household income is \$40,000, \$50,000, \$60,000? 6 7 And this year, concealed in the Judiciary Budget, although recognized by the 8 majority Yellow Book of the Assembly and 9 10 White Book of the Senate, there's going to be an increase in judicial salaries. That's 11 12 going to go into increases this year for DAs' salaries. 13 14 Your appropriation this year that 15 grants for district attorney salaries is 16 identical to the appropriation last year and the year before. It's \$4,212,000. You know 17 18 what happened last year. The counties were clamoring for you to pass separate 19 20 legislation. You shouldn't be passing 21 separate legislation to funnel additional 22 salary reimbursement to the counties. You should be severing the link. You should be 23 24 revisiting Judiciary Law 183-A to sever the

1 link.

2	And you should examine what is going
3	on with the appropriation item. Because you
4	have a law that defines the giving of
5	reimbursement. That's 700.10, 700.11. If it
6	needs to be amended, then you should be
7	amending it. Instead, in the appropriation
8	item, it identifies, notwithstanding, and
9	proceeds to violate. Not only does it
10	violate the provision giving reimbursement to
11	the counties, but it adds counties which
12	under Judiciary Law 183-A are not entitled to
13	compensation. And those are the counties
14	with under 40,000 people, excepting Essex
15	County, which is for some reason obviously
16	connections excluded in the statute.
17	The bottom line is that this is not a
18	judiciary or legislative appropriation. And
19	your duty under the Constitution and I
20	read from Article VII, Section 4: "The
21	Legislature may not alter an appropriation
22	bill submitted by the Governor except to
23	strike out or reduce items therein."
24	So you can't add if you want to add

on the increases this year as a result of the
 judicial increases that are going to effect,
 you can't add it here. You can only strike
 or reduce.

5 Now, you already are on notice of the tenth cause of action laying out the multiple 6 7 grounds on which the appropriation item last year -- that is replicated identically 8 here -- suffers from all the same 9 10 constitutional, legal infirmities. What I respectfully suggest -- because the only way 11 12 you can -- you can't add to it, you can't -because of the court case, and I'm sure 13 14 you're familiar with the court case, a 15 consolidation of two cases, Silver against 16 Pataki and Pataki against Assembly and Senate, you are constrained. You cannot 17 18 remove the language and keep the monetary 19 appropriation.

20 So the only thing that you can do is 21 strike that entire -- because what happens 22 under the Constitution, you know, is that 23 such an appropriation bill shall, when passed 24 by both houses, be a law immediately without

1 further action by the Governor.

2 Your duty with respect to the Aid to 3 Localities bill is to reduce appropriations, strike appropriations, and then between the 4 5 Senate and Assembly, reconcile your bill and it never goes back to the Governor. 6 7 There is no -- you have no business 8 with your one-house budget resolutions. They're completely off the constitutional 9 10 rails. The Governor is out of it. 11 What you can do, I respectfully 12 submit -- I have a solution for you on this issue. Under the Constitution, Article VII, 13 14 Section 3, you can have the Governor -- you 15 can request the Governor or heads of the 16 departments to appear before you, and you can -- and the Governor additionally has 17 18 30 days within which to amend his bills. You 19 can give him notice that you want his 30-day amendments to include a revision of this DA 20 21 salary reimbursement provision. He has 22 30 days he can do it as of right. After that, he needs your leave. 23 24 And once he delivers something

1 acceptable to you, that is compliant with the 2 law -- because what you have here is not 3 compliant -- then you can include it in whatever appropriation bill you're going to 4 5 enact. And as I said, under the Constitution, it takes effect immediately. 6 7 It never goes back to the Governor. He's out of the picture. All your to-do about we've 8 got to get an on-time budget -- it never goes 9 10 back to the Governor. The timeliness is in 11 your hands. 12 Thank you very much. I am of course 13 available to answer any questions. 14 There is one additional matter that I 15 feel constrained to bring up, and that is the 16 three FOIL requests that were part of the tenth cause of action. If you notice, the 17 18 Aid to Localities budget bill begins with --19 of course it announces at the top that the 20 bill is submitted by the Governor pursuant to 21 Article VII of the Constitution. Okay? 22 So -- but if you look at D, it says "No monies appropriated by this chapter shall 23 24 be available for payment until a certificate

1 of approval has been issued by the Director 2 of the Budget, who shall file such 3 certificate with the Department of Audit and Control, the chairperson of the Senate 4 5 Finance Committee, and the chairperson of the Assembly Ways and Means Committee." 6 7 On September 1st, I filed a FOIL request for such certificate by the director 8 of the Division of the Budget, filed with 9 10 you. The response came back from the Senate 11 and Assembly that you have no certificate of 12 authorization, of approval. And my FOIL request to the Division of Budget and to the 13 14 Comptroller, well, they haven't produced 15 anything yet. Now, as to the other two FOIL 16 requests, if you look at County Law 700.11, 17 18 it vests in the Comptroller the 19 responsibility to determine the 20 appropriations for each county by way of the 21 reimbursement. Guess what? When I asked for the 22 Comptroller's determinations as to the 23 24 appropriations to be made to each county,

over the past -- I think I asked for the past
 seven, eight, 10 years, the response came
 back that the Comptroller -- the Comptroller,
 whose responsibility it is to fix these sums,
 he has no records.

6 The last FOIL request was for the plan 7 for counties that do not otherwise qualify 8 for reimbursement pursuant to Judicial Law 9 183-A, the plan that is supposed to be done 10 by the Criminal Justice Services and approved 11 by the budget director.

12 They delayed, they delayed, they 13 delayed. This is from July. I just got the 14 responses on Friday, and the responses show 15 that all they have done was to give to the counties under 40,000 -- that do not qualify 16 17 for salary reimbursement, that are free to 18 set their DAs' salaries however way they choose -- if they want to have a full-time 19 DA, they can have a full-time DA, and they 20 21 can fix the salary according to the local 22 conditions. There is no reimbursement to which they are entitled. 23

24 Nonetheless, they have been given a

1 free ride. You're talking about five 2 counties that have, it would seem now for the 3 past 10 years or so, been -- okay, last thing. I know. Last thing. Okay? 4 5 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very much. 6 7 MS. SASSOWER: One last thing. NYSAC testified, and they passed a resolution. And 8 their resolution contains two 9 10 misrepresentations of a material nature. One 11 is "Whereas, for over 50 years, the state has 12 funded all salary increases that they imposed on the counties." No. They have -- the 13 14 state has not paid -- if you look at the most 15 recent emendation of County Law 700.11, and 16 it's recited in the tenth cause of action, it reimbursed the counties not fully, not a 17 18 hundred percent -- which is what they want, 19 and what has been snuck into the budget --20 but the reimbursement statutorily was fixed 21 at between 36 and 42 percent. 22 The second misrepresentation. That is material, because it affects how you view 23

this situation and your obligations. They

24

1 say, "Whereas, DAs are entitled to the 2 compensation they are owed pursuant to state 3 law for fulfilling the state constitutional and statutory duties related to the 4 5 enforcement of the State Penal Law." What committee of the Legislature has 6 7 ever held a hearing as to whether in fact the 8 DAs are discharging their duty? They are not. And they are not discharging it 9 10 specifically with respect to the information 11 that was presented to them, each of them, 12 that the commission reports raising judicial 13 compensation, salaries, on which their DA 14 salary raises rest, are false instruments, 15 false filings, violative of a succession of 16 Penal Law provisions. They were all presented with the information, with the 17 18 evidence, with the documentation, and --19 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Good night. 20 MS. SASSOWER: -- they've been sitting 21 on them. 22 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Excuse me. 23 MS. SASSOWER: Thank you. Thank you. 24 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Excuse me. You are

```
1
       done.
 2
                 MS. SASSOWER: Thank you, Chairman
 3
           Farrell.
 4
                  CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
 5
           much.
 6
                 MS. SASSOWER: Thank you. Thank you.
 7
           I look forward to being of service to this
 8
           committee.
 9
                  SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
10
                  (Whereupon, the budget hearing
11
          concluded at 7:22 p.m.)
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```