1 BEFORE THE NEW YORK STATE SENATE FINANCE AND ASSEMBLY WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEE 2 _____ 3 JOINT LEGISLATIVE HEARING 4 In the Matter of the 2017-2018 EXECUTIVE BUDGET ON 5 HUMAN SERVICES 6 _____ 7 Hearing Room B Legislative Office Building Albany, New York 8 9 February 8, 2017 9:39 a.m. 10 11 PRESIDING: 12 Senator Catharine M. Young Chair, Senate Finance Committee 13 Assemblyman Herman D. Farrell, Jr. 14 Chair, Assembly Ways & Means Committee 15 PRESENT: 16 Senator Liz Krueger Senate Finance Committee (RM) 17 Assemblyman Robert Oaks 18 Assembly Ways & Means Committee (RM) 19 Assemblywoman Ellen Jaffee Chair, Assembly Children and Families Committee 20 21 Senator Diane Savino Chair, Senate Children and Families 22 Committee 23 Senator James Tedisco Chair, Senate Committee on Social Services 24

1	2017-2018 Executive Budget Human Services
2	
3	PRESENT: (Continued)
4	Assemblywoman Donna A. Lupardo Chair, Assembly Committee on Aging
5	Senator Susan Serino
6	Chair, Senate Committee on Aging
7	Assemblyman Michael G. DenDekker Chair, Assembly Committee on Veterans
8	Assemblyman Mark Johns
9	Assemblywoman Latoya Joyner
10 11	Assemblywoman Nicole Malliotakis
11	Assemblyman Erik M. Dilan
13	Assemblywoman Michele R. Titus
14	Senator Leroy Comrie
15	Assemblyman Félix W. Ortiz
16	Senator Velmanette Montgomery
17	Assemblywoman Shelley Mayer
18	Assemblywoman Patricia Fahy
19	Senator Timothy Kennedy
20	Assemblyman Harry B. Bronson
21	Senator Roxanne J. Persaud
22	Assemblyman David I. Weprin
23	Assemblywoman Didi Barrett
24	Assemblyman William Colton

1 2	2017-2018 Executive Budget Human Services 2-8-17		
3	PRESENT: (Continued)		
4	Assemblywoman Pamela	J. Hunter	
5	Assemblywoman Tremaine	e Wright	
6	Assemblywoman Vivian B	E. Cook	
7	Assemblyman N. Nick Pe	erry	
8			
9			
10			
11	LIST OF SPEAK	ERS	
12		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
13 14	Sheila J. Poole Acting Commissioner NYS Office of Children and Family Services	10	17
15	Michael Perrin		
16	Executive Deputy Commissioner NYS Office of Temporary		
17	and Disability Assistance	91	99
18	Roberta Reardon Commissioner		
19	NYS Department of Labor	185	194
20	Greg Olsen Acting Director		
21	NYS Office for the Aging	287	295
22			
23			

1 2017-2018 Executive Budget Human Services 2 2-8-17 3 LIST OF SPEAKERS, Continued 4 STATEMENT QUESTIONS 5 Kirby Hannon Legislative Coordinator 6 John Lewis Legislative Committee Chair 7 Veterans of Foreign Wars -and-8 Linda McKinnis Legislative Coordinator 9 Disabled American Veterans -and-10 Bob Becker Legislative Coordinator 11 NYS Veterans Council 356 369 12 David McNally Director, Government 13 Affairs & Advocay AARP New York 383 14 Shelly Nortz 15 Deputy Executive Director of Policy 16 Coalition for the Homeless 389 17 Sheila Harrigan Executive Director 18 New York Public Welfare Association 400 19 Dede Hill 20 Director of Policy Schuyler Center for 403 21 Analysis & Advocacy 22 Susan Antos Senior Attorney 23 Empire Justice Center 411

24

	2017-2018 Executive Budget Human Services		
2	2-8-17		
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS,	Continued	
4		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
5	Gerard Wallace Director		
6	Ryan Johnson		
7	Regional Kinship Navigator NYS Kinship Navigator -for-		
8	NYS Kincare Coalition	420	431
9	Karen Hill		
10	Executive Director NYS Children's Alliance	439	446
11			
12	CEO Council of Family &	4 5 0	4.60
13	Child Caring Agencies	450	462
14	Stephanie Gendell Associate Executive Director,		
	Policy and Advocacy		
15	Citizens' Committee for Children of New York, Inc.	464	
16	Jessica Klos Shapiro		
17	Director, Policy and Community Education		
18	Early Care & Learning Council	472	
19	Jenn O'Connor Director, Policy and Advocay		
20	Prevent Child Abuse New York	478	482
21	Tim Nichols		
22	Executive Director Association on Aging in NY	484	
23	Alli Lidie		
24	Associate Executive Director NYS Network for Youth Success	488	496

1 2017-2018 Executive Budget Human Services 2 2-8-17 3 LIST OF SPEAKERS, Continued 4 STATEMENT QUESTIONS 5 Mallory Nugent Senior Policy Analyst 6 FPWA -and-7 Michelle Jackson Deputy Director and 8 General Counsel Human Services Counsel 9 -for-Restore Opportunity Now 499 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

1 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Good morning. 2 (Louder) Good morning. 3 AUDIENCE: Good morning. CHAIRMAN FARRELL: All right now. 4 5 We're practicing. In case anyone comes, we can join them. 6 7 (Laughter.) CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Today we begin the 8 eighth in a series of hearings conducted by 9 10 the joint fiscal committees of the 11 Legislature regarding the Governor's proposed 12 budget for fiscal year 2017-2018. The 13 hearings are conducted pursuant to Article 7, 14 Section 3 of the Constitution, and Article 2, 15 Sections 31 and 32A of the Legislative Law. 16 Today the Assembly Ways and Means Committee and the Senate Finance Committee 17 will hear testimony concerning the budget 18 19 proposal for human services. 20 I will now introduce members from the 21 Assembly, and Senator Young, chair of the Senate Finance Committee, will introduce 22 members from the Senate. 23 24 We have been joined by Assemblywomen

1	Ellen Jaffee, Donna Lupardo, Latoya Joyner,
2	and with Assemblymen Michael DenDekker and
3	Erik Dilan. And, again, Assemblywoman
4	Shelley Mayer.
5	Senator.
6	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you very
7	much, Mr. Chairman.
8	Good morning, everyone, and welcome.
9	We welcome the commissioner.
10	I'm joined by several of my
11	colleagues. We have Senator Liz Krueger,
12	Senator Diane Savino, Senator Tim Kennedy,
13	Senator Jim Tedisco, and Senator Roxanne
14	Persaud.
15	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Before I introduce
16	the first witness, I would like to remind all
17	the witnesses and the members up here on the
18	dais testifying today to keep our statements
19	within the allotted time the clocks are
20	everywhere, so you can see your time, when
21	you start and when you end within the
22	allotted time limit, so that everyone can be
23	afforded the opportunity to speak.
24	I will now call the first witness, the

1	New York State Office of Children and Family
2	Services, Sheila Poole, acting commissioner.
3	Good morning again.
4	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Good
5	morning. Good morning, Chairwoman Young,
6	Chairman Farrell, Senate Children and
7	Families Committee Chairwoman Savino,
8	Assemblywoman Children and Families
9	Chairwoman Jaffee, and distinguished members
10	of the Senate and Assembly. My name is
11	Sheila Poole, and I'm the acting commissioner
12	of the New York State Office of Children and
13	Family Services. And I'm pleased to have
14	this opportunity to discuss this year's
15	proposed budget for OCFS.
16	The Executive Budget maintains a
17	strong investment in OCFS's core programs
18	that serve to protect New York's most
19	vulnerable citizens in the critically
20	important areas of child welfare, childcare,
21	and juvenile justice. It maintains
22	\$635 million for child welfare services,
23	which provides enriched state share
24	reimbursement of 62 cents for every local

1 dollar spent on child protective, preventive, 2 aftercare, independent living and adoption 3 services. This funding helps to support community-based services throughout the state 4 5 and ranks among the highest in the nation. The Executive Budget includes 6 7 \$383.5 million in Foster Care Block Grant 8 funding to support foster care services, including kinship-guardianship programs. A 9 10 \$62 million adjustment is included in the 11 proposed budget, and reflects a proportionate 12 rightsizing in state share funds following the dramatic reduction in New York State's 13 14 foster care population since this fund was 15 first established in 2002. This change 16 aligns the state's share closer to 50 percent, which is consistent with the 17 18 original intent of the fund. 19 The Governor has shown a steadfast 20 commitment to persons experiencing or on the brink of homelessness in New York State. And 21 22 to that end, the budget provides greater flexibility to municipalities receiving 23 24 Runaway and Homeless Youth funds. The

1 proposal raises the upper age limit for 2 programs serving at-risk youth in 3 transitional independent living programs from age 21 to age 24, and it allows programs to 4 5 extend the length of residential services from 18 to 24 months. This is an option for 6 7 municipalities and is in recognition that finding long-term stable housing for homeless 8 youth and young adults may take longer than 9 10 is currently provided for in statute. The proposal also allows counties the 11 12 option of increasing the length of stay for 13 runaway youth in crisis shelters from 30 days 14 to 120 days. 15 The Executive Budget includes 16 authority for OCFS to invest adoption assistance savings of \$7 million, which is an 17 increase of \$2 million, for preventive 18 services and other post-adoption services as 19 20 required by federal law for children at risk 21 of entering foster care. OCFS used savings 22 last year to invest in the establishment of eight new Permanency Resource Centers 23 24 throughout the state to support post-adoptive

1 and kinship families. In addition, we used 2 some of the funds to expand Healthy Families 3 NY, which as you all know is our evidence-based home visiting program. This 4 5 year's additional \$2 million will allow the state to establish Permanency Resource 6 7 Centers in new areas and enable further 8 expansion of our Healthy Families program. Protecting children and keeping kids 9 10 safe are our core mission at OCFS. I am sure you are all aware of recent high-profile 11 12 child fatalities and child abuse cases that have occurred statewide. These cases are 13 14 horrific and always lead us back to the 15 question, What can we do to correct problems within the system, and how can we further 16 protect the children in our state? 17 OCFS's role is to review all of these 18 19 cases, assess the adequacy of any child 20 welfare services involvement with the family, 21 and determine where there are failures, 22 locally and systemically. We employ a wide range of responses and take aggressive action 23 24 to address any failures, including the

1	appointment of an independent monitor, which
2	we have done in several circumstances and,
3	most recently, in New York City.
4	We are committed to continuing our
5	statewide focus on improving front-line
6	supervision of child welfare staff. We will
7	soon unveil a new state-of-the-art project to
8	improve training for child welfare
9	caseworkers that includes live simulations of
10	the complex environments and critical
11	decision-making processes that they are
12	called to navigate each and every day.
13	We also look to adopt best practices
14	to bring forward new ideas for improving our
14 15	to bring forward new ideas for improving our system of child protection in New York State.
15	system of child protection in New York State.
15 16	system of child protection in New York State. We're examining the benefits of new
15 16 17	system of child protection in New York State. We're examining the benefits of new technologies, such as data mining, and
15 16 17 18	system of child protection in New York State. We're examining the benefits of new technologies, such as data mining, and policies that show promise. And we plan to
15 16 17 18 19	system of child protection in New York State. We're examining the benefits of new technologies, such as data mining, and policies that show promise. And we plan to launch a child welfare dashboard, which will
15 16 17 18 19 20	system of child protection in New York State. We're examining the benefits of new technologies, such as data mining, and policies that show promise. And we plan to launch a child welfare dashboard, which will display key safety and permanency data
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	system of child protection in New York State. We're examining the benefits of new technologies, such as data mining, and policies that show promise. And we plan to launch a child welfare dashboard, which will display key safety and permanency data points, which will assist local districts in

1 related proposals to help low-income working 2 families. The Executive Budget maintains our 3 critical investment in the Child Care Subsidy program at \$806 million. New York State is a 4 5 national leader in directing the majority of its entire Child Care Development Fund 6 7 allocation to support childcare subsidies that are crucial to our providers and our 8 families. 9

10The Governor has proposed a11\$35 million expansion of after-school12programs, which is projected to add an13additional 22,000 slots statewide. OCFS14looks forward to administering this program.

In addition, the Governor continues his commitment to pre-K expansion, with an additional \$5 million investment in this year's budget.

19This year's budget proposal also20reflects the Governor's continuing commitment21to raising the age of criminal responsibility22in New York State. It is simply unacceptable23that we have yet to raise the age. OCFS has24spent the past three years significantly

modifying our juvenile residential programs by enhancing security, expanding educational and vocational certification programs, and developing a nationally recognized quality sassurance system.

Youth and staff safety is the first 6 7 priority, and I'm pleased to say we're seeing an overall decline in youth-on-youth and 8 youth-on-staff violence. We are 9 10 ever-vigilant in our efforts to further reduce these incidents, and we exceed the 11 12 national average in staffing our secure facilities. 13

14 Our youth are required to participate 15 in a full day of school, college courses or 16 vocational programming that will increase their chances of successful reentry into 17 18 their communities. We currently provide 19 post-release supervision for juvenile 20 delinguents, and we've created juvenile 21 offender specialists who are working to 22 assist parole officers in supervising youth returned to their communities. 23

24 It is time that we treat all 16- and

1 17-year-olds in a system that is specifically 2 and specially designed to address their 3 unique needs. It is time for New York to 4 finally join the rest of the nation in 5 recognizing the potential of our youth, and OCFS will be ready to provide that system of 6 7 care. Thank you for the opportunity to 8 address all of you today, and I look forward 9 10 to your comments and questions. ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Mr. Chairman, I 11 12 just would like to introduce Assemblyman Mark Johns, who's joined us. 13 14 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Yes. We've been 15 joined by Assemblywoman Fahy. 16 And first to ask questions, Chairwoman Jaffee. 17 18 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you. Thank you very much. 19 20 And thank you, Commissioner, for your 21 conversation the other day -- we had the 22 opportunity to discuss the issues in children and families -- and for your testimony today. 23 24 We have so many issues that really are

1 impacting our communities at this time. 2 Poverty levels in so many areas are really 3 shocking and impacting families and our children in so many ways. And we really need 4 5 to consider a very strong response to the issues in New York State. 6 7 I wanted to just go back for a moment. 8 We had been discussing the situation regarding the federal childcare 9 10 reauthorization issue, and wanted to know if 11 you could -- I know there was a conversation 12 recently. But if you could address what is 13 happening and what the state will have to do 14 if there is no additional financial 15 assistance provided, or waivers provided to 16 the state. ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes, 17 18 certainly. Thank you, Assemblywoman Jaffee. 19 So just to kind of reset from where we 20 were this time last year, having this conversation, toward the end of 2016 the 21 22 federal government finally, in the last days of the administration, promulgated final 23 24 regulations for the Childcare Development

1 Block Grant Act that had passed almost two 2 years previously. And those regulations 3 really codified expectations of states, particularly with respect to 12-month 4 5 guaranteed eligibility and graduated phaseout for families having received subsidy and 6 7 childcare assistance. Unfortunately, when our federal fiscal 8 year began in October of last year, we 9 10 received virtually no additional funds to 11 help us really maintain our state's 12 investment of almost a billion dollars in childcare subsidy -- but I think even more 13 14 importantly, in some respects, paralyzing us 15 from really being able to implement the 16 \$550 million impact that it would take for us to implement that act in all of its 17 18 components in New York State. 19 And so as I sat here and spoke with 20 you last year, our plan was to take advantage 21 of the waivers, the waiver provisions that were contained in the act. In 2016 we 22 applied for 11 waivers, hoping that we would 23 24 be successful with the administration in

1 gathering more money to implement it without 2 having to dip into the \$806 million that, you 3 know, today 192,000 children count on every 4 year.

5 So we were successful in receiving 6 approval for 10 of those 11 waivers. The 7 11th waiver was related to health and safety, 8 which they did not grant to any state. And 9 we're planning to implement the required 10 training for that this year.

11 And so, Assemblywoman, at this 12 particular point our intention is, once the 13 incoming HHS secretary is appointed and 14 confirmed by the full Senate, is to really 15 make a case that if not monetary relief to 16 implement the act, then certainly regulatory relief is essential to our state in being 17 able to maintain our current level of 18 19 subsidies that all of our low-income working 20 families are counting on, and to have them 21 understand that simply crippling states in 22 being able to implement the act is not helping us. 23

24 So that's our intention, is to seek

1 regulatory relief. I also want to be clear 2 as we sit here today, and throughout the 3 remaining year, we will not face any fiscal penalties, so none of our current federal 4 5 funding, CCDF funding, will be at any risk. ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: It is certainly 6 7 a challenge, especially given the need for childcare in our state. 8 ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: 9 10 Absolutely. ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: I know the 11 12 Governor, provided within the context of the 13 budget, a pretty much flat amount, similar to 14 what we had last year, in funding for 15 subsidies for childcare. And that's a major 16 concern, given that, from the numbers that I've seen, that indicates only about 17 18 17 percent of the children who actually 19 qualify for subsidies in our state are being 20 provided the childcare opportunity. 21 ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes. 22 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Which is, you know, devastating for so many of the families 23 24 in New York State, and the children and their

preparedness for the future, and stability in
 allowing families, and mothers in particular,
 to work.

ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So I would say that from OCFS's point of view, the federal government is really now expecting states to essentially self-subsidize their subsidy program. That's the first thing.

The second thing I would also like to 9 10 say is that I think there are a number of 11 proposed initiatives in the Executive Budget 12 that I think hold the promise of relieving 13 some of the pressure, if not all, but some of 14 the pressure on the current subsidy programs. 15 The Governor is proposing an expansion of 16 pre-K, a \$5 million expansion. And then in 17 addition to still having the Earned Income 18 Tax Credit, there is a proposal, which we're really excited about, to expand after-school 19 20 program slots, 22,000 of them. And again, 21 those are families now who might be relying 22 on a subsidy for part of after-school care. And if we open up more of the school-age 23 24 childcare program slots, then we could

potentially relieve some of the pressure at
 least that we're bearing on the subsidy
 program.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Another concern 4 5 for many of our municipalities is the decision to mandate discretionary Title XX 6 7 funds to be used by the counties, to be used towards childcare. But that then creates a 8 continued burden for our municipalities. So 9 10 how will the Office of Children and Family Services then assist the districts to be able 11 12 to eliminate -- let's say, how are they going 13 to respond if there are human services 14 programs like senior centers and other 15 services that they need to provide assistance 16 to as well?

So this becomes a major issue,
especially since our municipalities, with the
2 percent cap, are finding it's very
difficult and challenging to be able to
continue to respond to the needs of the
community.
ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes. So

24 when we looked at the Title XX -- and it's

1 the "all other," it's not the general 2 Title XX which is set aside for domestic 3 violence and adult protective services. But that really remaining \$26 million of the 4 5 Title XX, all other allocation. You know, today 65 percent of local departments of 6 7 social services already devote part or whole of that allocation of childcare. So again, 8 that's not every place. Certainly New York 9 10 City has used a portion of that funding to fund the senior centers. 11

12 And, Assemblywoman Jaffee, I would 13 just kind of explain that I think the concept 14 here in developing this year's Executive 15 Budget was not just looking at one funding 16 stream and its impact, but looking across the 17 spectrum of funding investments that are 18 going to municipalities.

19And so, you know, with respect to the20senior center issue, which is most notable in21New York City, you know, I think when you22look on the Executive Budget as a whole,23there's an additional \$400 million that's24going to the city, who is also not held to

the same tax cap as upstate. So the thinking is that the city will have some flexibility within that additional state funding to, if they choose, to decide to support those programs.

There are other upstate --6 7 Assemblywoman, you know, there are other 8 funding streams that could perhaps be tapped into. If they're using -- for example, using 9 10 it for some child welfare services part of the budget. You know, part of the budget 11 12 today calls for the reauthorization of the open-ended Child Protective 6238 13 14 reimbursement, which you've all supported. 15 And again, you know, that's one funding 16 stream that has some flexibility. That certainly we're more than willing to work 17 18 with the districts in identifying potential 19 other funding streams.

20 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: That would be 21 very helpful moving forward, because I know 22 that this will be very challenging for them. 23 There also was a decision within the 24 context of the budget to eliminate

reimbursement for New York City, the CSE
 placements. Why is that change only focusing
 on New York City and not the whole state?
 Actually, I wouldn't want it to be for
 anyone, but why is it just focused on
 New York City?

7 ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So there's 8 two parts to that. So one, you know, in my testimony that, you know, we're recalibrating 9 10 the Foster Care Block Grant because we've had 11 almost a 60 percent decrease in the past 15 years in the number of children in foster 12 13 care, but we've never rightsized the Foster Care Block Grant allocation. And so part of 14 15 that does pay for the tuition costs for 16 children.

The other piece of it are the CSE 17 18 placements in New York City that, again, in the context of the overall budget, the 19 20 state's current proposal will bring an additional \$300 million in school aid to 21 22 New York City. And so I think the 23 expectation is that given that dramatic 24 increase in state education funding, that the

1 city could pay for tuition and education 2 costs for its youth determined to need placement by the local Committees on Special 3 4 Education as well as children who are in 5 foster care in the city. 6 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 7 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: My time is up. Thank you. We'll continue after a while. 8 9 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: We've been joined 10 by Assemblywoman Pam Hunter and Assemblyman 11 Dave Weprin. 12 ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: We've also been joined by Assemblywoman Malliotakis. 13 14 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Senator? 15 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you very 16 much. And again, thank you, Commissioner, 17 18 for being here. 19 I do want to follow up on 20 Assemblywoman Jaffee's questions regarding 21 childcare. You talked about the childcare 22 subsidy financing change, and as you pointed out, the Executive Budget maintains the 23 24 current \$810 million level of childcare

subsidy funding by requiring the counties to
 allocate the \$27 million they currently
 receive in discretionary federal Title XX
 block grant funds to childcare.

5 So you're changing it so that they are 6 mandated to allocate that towards childcare. 7 And as you pointed out in your answer 8 previously, that a lot of the localities are 9 already using those funds for childcare.

10 So if the funding is the same but all 11 of a sudden you're mandating that they allocate that \$27 million -- you require 12 13 them -- toward childcare, isn't that going to result in a reduction of funding to some of 14 15 the counties if they're already using it for 16 childcare and then you're piling additional costs on top of that? 17

ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: The way I would answer that, Senator, is that typically each year the way that the subsidy is funded is a combination of General Fund, CCDF, TANF funding. And every year there's a decision made about where those funding streams -which contribute most to it.

1 And so I think at the end the 2 commitment remains to the \$806 million. The 3 difference is how those individual funding 4 streams were constructed to add up to the \$806 million. 5 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So I do think, 6 7 however, this could result in an unfunded mandate on some of the counties. So I would 8 9 urge you to take a look at that. 10 ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Certainly. 11 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Also, related to 12 childcare -- and again, I thank my colleague for touching on this -- but there was 13 14 discussion regarding the new regulations 15 coming out of the federal government. And 16 I'm glad to hear that you were able to secure 10 waivers. I think that they could have 17 18 been up to three years, but it's my 19 understanding I just heard that they're only 20 one-year waivers that you were able to 21 secure. 22 ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: That's 23 correct. 24 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So if it's only one

1 year, and you talked about trying to get 2 regulatory or monetary relief out of 3 Washington, what are the updated estimates on the costs of implementing the following 4 5 provisions? If we are aren't able to get any relief out of Washington, I'd like to know, 6 7 what will it cost for the increased provider inspection requirements, for example? 8 ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So the two 9 10 most expensive pieces of the \$555 million 11 total are the 12-month guaranteed eligibility 12 and the second is the graduated phaseout. 13 And those two, combined, account for 14 \$441 million of the \$555 million. 15 And then there are additional costs for the clearances -- I think that's in the 16 ballpark of \$34 million, \$36 million -- the 17 18 background clearance checks, and then the 19 additional inspections, and then staff and 20 provider training. But certainly, Senator, when we look 21 22 at those two provisions in and of themselves that are most subsidy-related, that's where 23

the large cost is. And that's where, getting

1 back to the earlier conversation, it's so 2 problematic for us to really implement the 3 act, given that there's no new federal 4 funding for additional subsidies. That's our 5 challenge. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Will OCFS need 6 7 additional staff to be able to do the training and the inspections? 8 ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes. We 9 10 would absolutely need additional staff to 11 take on the additional requirements. So, you 12 know, the act calls for additional visits to 13 regulated providers, but part of this act 14 also really focuses on the legally exempt 15 community. And currently we do about 16 20 percent inspections of those. And if we were to implement the act, that would be an 17 18 additional expense as well. 19 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Have you done an 20 analysis as to what the cost would be? 21 ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Not in the past year, Senator. 22 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Do you envision 23 24 that the state would take on the

1 responsibility for the background checks, or 2 would that be passed along to the providers? ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: You know, 3 our intention is, to the extent possible, not 4 5 passing along those costs to providers. Certainly wholly. Or, you know, passing them 6 7 along to local departments of social 8 services. We recognize, you know, the 9 challenges that they have. 10 And again, that's part of what's been 11 difficult, is not wanting to cut subsidy and not wanting to implement this bill on the 12 13 backs of childcare providers and on the backs of local departments of social services. 14 15 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 16 So studies have shown that New York is the most expensive state to obtain childcare, 17 18 and the costs are already very high. I've heard from a lot of my providers about the 19 20 increase in the minimum wage and labor costs. 21 So is there anything that OCFS is 22 doing to streamline processes and otherwise reduce the costs for the providers of doing 23 24 business in New York? Because they need some

1 kind of relief.

2 ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yeah. So, 3 you know, I think we have -- at OCFS we've adopted the Toyota business reengineering 4 5 process to try and reduce processes that can delay providers from becoming licensed and 6 7 expedite their ability to draw down subsidy 8 and payment. But with specific respect to the 9 10 incremental costs that are the costs of doing

business in New York City -- every two years, 11 12 we are required, Senator, to do what's called a market rate survey. And so we do 25 13 14 percent of our regulated providers across the 15 state, make sure we cover all of the regions, and we ask them what is the cost, what are 16 they charging to private-pay parents. And 17 18 there's a very complicated calculation that's 19 done. And based upon that, we set new market rates in the state. 20

21 So at least in part, those new market 22 rates do reflect the actual costs of doing 23 business for providers. So that's one way, 24 Senator, that we're trying to help, you know,

1 mitigate those cost-of-living increases for 2 providers by doing that market rate analysis 3 every two years. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 4 5 Just switching gears, you and I have had good conversations about Raise the Age. 6 7 But assuming that the law is passed and takes effect, would 16- and 17-year-olds be placed 8 in existing secure detention facilities, 9 10 along with the current youth population, or would they be segregated out and placed 11 12 separately? ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So there's 13 two types of detention that would be used in 14 15 the new Raise the Age scenario. So one is

16 that 16- and 17-year-olds who are now 17 detained in local jails would be temporarily 18 detained pre-adjudication in locally 19 administered secure detention facilities.

20 So the answer to that is that, you 21 know, we have some underutilization in the 22 state's secure detention facilities that we 23 think is enough to at least get us started 24 with having capacity in January of '19.

1	As to the additional, right, the
2	post-placement secure facilities which we at
3	OCFS would operate, we have some
4	underutilization, but we would, Senator, be
5	bringing online several new secure facilities
6	to specifically serve the newly sentenced 16-
7	and 17-year-olds.
8	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Where would those
9	be located?
10	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: We haven't
11	made that determination yet, Senator.
12	Certainly there are, you know, many
13	facilities across the state. There's
14	facilities that we and other state agencies
15	have decommissioned over the years. So
16	again, I think, you know, when we get to that
17	point, it's really taking a fresh look at
18	where the physical assets exist throughout
19	the state and where we can implement building
20	those facilities in the most responsible and
21	fiscally prudent way.
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: As you know, I've
23	been deeply concerned for a long time over
24	the level of violence in the youth

1 facilities. And we know the workers' comp 2 cases were exploding because of the violence. 3 And I'm very happy to hear you say that the incidence of youth-on-youth violence and 4 5 youth-on-staff violence have declined. But do you have any statistics that you could 6 7 share with the Legislature in that regard? ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Certainly. 8 I don't have them here with me today, but 9 10 we'd be happy to provide any information --CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So you could do 11 12 some kind of comparison of previous years and what the incidents are now? 13 14 ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes. 15 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay, that would be 16 great. ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Of course. 17 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Well, we've always 18 had trouble getting that in the past, just so 19 20 you know. 21 What training do youth development aides in secure detention facilities 22 currently receive with regard to how to 23 24 handle these violent incidents?

1 ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So today 2 in OCFS's system, all newly hired youth development aides receive about 200 hours of 3 4 training. So we call it academy training. 5 So they learn a variety of skills. They certainly learn about youth development, you 6 7 know, developmental phases of young people. They learn about trauma, which we've all 8 talked about. The brain research certainly 9 10 supports that many young people in the juvenile justice system have come from a lot 11 12 of trauma in their lives. We spend a lot of time, Senator, 13 14 training staff on deescalation techniques. 15 Our goal, when young people are having 16 trouble in the facilities, is to the greatest degree possible deescalate them, through 17 18 counseling or through individualized 19 planning, given what an individual youth's 20 triggers might be. But we also certainly 21 employ a wide variety of restraint 22 techniques, and so those are taught as well in our academy. 23 24 And then within -- you know, every

year thereafter, there are a set number of
 additional trainings that we do provide to
 staff as refreshers and further staff
 development.

5 So I think, on whole, we have a pretty robust training program for our staff. In 6 7 fact, I think you all know that DOCCS brought online the Hudson facility last December, and 8 we were very happy to be asked by DOCCS to 9 assist in training their staff, the 10 correction aides and their clinicians, on 11 12 pieces of our model and our juvenile justice 13 system. So we've got a nice relationship 14 with DOCCS.

15 And likewise, Senator, you know, as I 16 said to you last evening, we are always looking at ways within OCFS facilities to 17 18 make them more safe and more secure for staff. And so we've partnered with DOCCS 19 20 where they have demonstrated some techniques, 21 and we've adopted some of those that, again, 22 we are comfortable with, given that we are serving juveniles and young people, right, 23 24 and not adult prisoners.

1	So I think we've struck a really good
2	balance and have a good relationship with
3	DOCCS and DCJS and SCOC as well.
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
6	We've been joined by Assemblywoman
7	Didi Barrett and Assemblyman Bronson.
8	Next to question, Chairwoman Lupardo.
9	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: We've been joined
10	by Senator Sue Serino.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Thank you,
12	Mr. Chair.
13	Good morning, Commissioner. It's nice
14	to see you. It looks like our paths are
15	crossing over the Title XX issue now that I
16	chair the Aging Committee. Obviously there's
17	a lot of concern about this redirecting of
18	these funds, specifically the New York City
19	senior center issue, which puts probably
20	65 centers in jeopardy.
21	Not to go over questions that have
22	already been asked, but do you have a
23	breakdown of how the counties are in fact
24	spending that? Because I know my county uses

1 it on adult protective services and have 2 expressed a concern that with this 3 redirection, it does in fact amount to an 4 unexpected cost on their side, an unfunded 5 mandate. So do we have that breakdown? Because 6 7 I know you pretty much --ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: We 8 certainly do. We can tell from claims 9 10 submitted. And again, I want -- you know, I might have confused folks earlier, but 11 12 there's two -- under Title XX, there's two pots of money. So the total pot is around 13 14 \$96 million, \$97 million. Sixty-six million 15 of it has been and continues, in the 16 Executive Budget, to be set aside for supporting protective services for adults as 17 well as domestic violence services. 18 19 But we can tell, to your question, 20 Assemblywoman, of the Title XX other, from --21 again, we'll have to do a deeper dive into 22 claims, but which counties are using the Title XX other, the \$26 million for other 23 24 reasons.

1 And again, we will work with any 2 county in my finance office to figure out 3 what other potential funding streams there 4 might be to help mitigate some of those local 5 impacts. Maybe there's some FFFS money or some other discretionary pot of money that 6 7 they could claim for in a different way. ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Yeah, it's 8 very hard to analyze the impact without 9 10 seeing those numbers, so that would be 11 appreciated. 12 ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Sure. ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: But I can tell 13 14 you there will be a lot of distress expressed 15 from those seniors, I can tell you that, in 16 New York City. So that will be coming your way, I'm sure. 17 18 I have a question about the 19 after-school additional appropriation. So 20 obviously that's very good news. And we've 21 worked very hard to get the concern for 22 after-school programing and the need for these slots on the radar for quite some time. 23 24 So I'm wondering, what was the

thinking behind allocating the \$35 million through the Anti-Poverty Initiative? There are those 15 or 16 communities that have been established, and mine is one of them, so I'm happy about that. But I'm curious, how was it put together that those funds would go through the poverty initiative?

ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Quite 8 frankly, I think that the thinking is that 9 10 those are our most vulnerable communities and 11 that when we have additional funding, that we 12 want to try and point dollars, as much as we 13 can, to those communities who are in many 14 instances very service-poor and who need 15 services like childcare and after-school.

16 But certainly, Assemblywoman, we recognize that if we were in a different 17 place financially, right, there's always a 18 need for more after-school programs. But the 19 20 intention is to try and be raising up those identified communities across the state who 21 22 have really profound concerns with homelessness and lack of employment and a 23 24 need really for more community-based

1 services. So that's really the intention. 2 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: So how will 3 those funds be administered? Will they be administered through existing programs, like 4 5 Advantage After School, or will we be creating another entity entirely that will be 6 7 directed towards those united ways --ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Our goal 8 is not to create yet another, right, another 9 10 model of program. You know, this money actually will be in the State Ed Department's 11 12 budget, but it will be suballocated to OCFS. 13 So, Assemblywoman, as we typically do, 14 we would issue a request for proposals. 15 Again, targeted to those 16 anti-poverty 16 communities, and they would be able to respond with proposals. 17 18 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Just one other 19 track. If we could go back to the childcare 20 issue. Maybe I'm a skeptic, but I'm not 21 expecting much regulatory or financial relief 22 coming from Washington, especially these days. And you said you were waiting for the 23 24 new Secretary of Human Services to arrive.

1 ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes. 2 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: They seem to be more focused on tax credits. And we have 3 an initiative in the budget this year for tax 4 5 credits. I think some of us have felt that for a long time we've ignored sort of the 6 7 childcare industry as a whole, where workers 8 are underpaid, centers are closing because they haven't gotten the reimbursements. 9 10 We've discussed the market survey. 11 So I'm just curious as to -- what is 12 our ballpark plan? Because you know where 13 we're potentially heading. When we have so 14 many kids on a waiting list or so many who 15 are unserved, how do we turn around and cope 16 with that potential looming crisis? ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Those are 17 the very kinds of questions, Assemblywoman, 18 19 that we ask ourselves, you know, at OCFS. 20 And again, given that this is a new administration that we've heard seems to be 21 22 leaning toward regulatory relief, we are hopeful that we will make some progress. 23 24 And the other thing I would say is

1 that we are carefully watching other states 2 who have gone forward and who have 3 implemented some parts of the Childcare Development Block Grant act. And, you know, 4 5 it's concerning what we're already seeing in other states. It's not surprising. And it's 6 7 in fact the reason why we have chosen the path we have in New York today. States like 8 Connecticut and Vermont and even 9 10 Mississippi -- Mississippi's waiting list --11 they never had a waiting list prior to 12 implementing the CCDBG Act, but now their 13 waiting list, as we understand it, is almost 14 now as high as the active caseload of 15 families who are receiving subsidy. 16 You know, Connecticut has seen waiting lists for families growing exponentially. 17 18 And so our hope is that these other 19 states are now going to join us in really 20 pressing for some federal relief, because at 21 some point, right, the bottom is going to 22 drop out and families are going to be waiting for very, very, very long periods of time to 23 24 be able to access care.

So again, I think that's a very 1 2 legitimate question that you ask. But we 3 have got to give this our very best shot in 4 Washington in helping folks really understand 5 what this means to low-income working families. 6 7 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: I think people are surprised when they learn -- and I want 8 you to correct me if I'm wrong -- that over 9 10 80 percent of children who are eligible for 11 childcare subsidies are not receiving them, 12 that we really aren't getting ahead in this game. We're not able to add new slots, we're 13 14 playing catch up. And with this worry, it 15 just compounds it. 16 Is that correct, that we do have almost 83 percent of children who are 17 eligible for subsidies unserved? 18 19 ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes, I do 20 believe that's an accurate figure. 21 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Yeah. It's 22 very hard to get ahead when we're playing catch up like this. 23 24 ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: It is. It

1	is. And it doesn't help when, again, we are
2	getting almost no additional support from the
3	federal government. And on top of which,
4	good luck, states, with implementing a
5	\$550 million Child Care Development Block
6	Grant Act. We're in a very, very tough
7	place.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Thanks for
9	your work.
10	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
11	Senator?
12	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
13	Senator Persaud.
14	SENATOR PERSAUD: Good morning,
15	Commissioner.
16	Getting back to the childcare
17	financing with the elimination of funding for
18	kids with special needs and payments for
19	tuition, have you spoken with the school
20	districts on how that's going to affect them
21	and how they'll bridge that gap?
22	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: No, we've
23	not had conversations with the local school
24	districts, Senator.

1 But again, you know, as I said 2 earlier, you know, we are -- and the Governor 3 has increased the school aid budgets not only in the New York City area, but certainly 4 5 statewide. And again, that's the belief, that the local school districts, with the 6 7 increased aid, can take responsibility for those costs that to date have been falling to 8 9 the foster care system. 10 SENATOR PERSAUD: We know that foster 11 care has been underfunded, and so now we're 12 cutting more of their funding. How is the municipality going to be able to afford that? 13 14 ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: I don't 15 know what specific aspect of foster care that 16 you're suggesting is underfunded. SENATOR PERSAUD: I think in general 17 18 the foster care population has been 19 underfunded. There are things that we should 20 be funding -- for example, more of tuition or 21 transportation, more of housing. They're not

22 receiving that. And now we're taking away 23 from another vulnerable part of the

24 population, the special needs population, and

1 we're asking those agencies to fund them. So 2 you are telling them to take away the funding 3 from what was already underfunded to take care of them. How should they do that? 4 5 ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Well, the other thing I think that is again 6 7 important -- and I hear you, Senator. You know, we will never, right, in our lifetimes 8 have enough to do everything that we all know 9 10 we want to do and could do for our vulnerable families in this state. And I think that's 11 12 true of every place in the country. 13 But something for us to remember and 14 be proud of is that, you know, we are one of 15 the very few states that funds preventive 16 services in as richly a way as we do in New York State. If you talk to other states, 17 18 you know, there's virtually very little and 19 sometimes no state support for preventive 20 services. That's why their foster care 21 numbers are exploding, and have been. We've

had the exact opposite experience in ourstate, that because we provide 62 cents of

every dollar to local districts, that we've

24

been able to keep down the number of kids in foster care and really create across the state I think a very rich array of services for those families who are vulnerable and whose children may be at risk of coming into care.

SENATOR PERSAUD: Thanks for saying
that New York is, you know, beyond everyone
else, because we want to make sure that
everyone follows our lead. We don't want to
be like the other states.

12 But our foster care population, it's 13 not a population that we should cut funding 14 from. You know, it's a needy population. We 15 have too many foster care kids who are moving 16 to the homeless population. You know, they're moving -- when they're aging out, 17 there are not the services there, and so 18 19 they're not being prepared.

20 So when we're cutting the funding 21 while they're in the pipeline, we're really 22 pushing them out onto the street, into the 23 homeless population. And we were trying not 24 to do this.

1 ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: If I 2 could, Senator, just on that point, just add 3 a couple of things that we are doing. So last year, you know, the Governor 4 5 had the \$2 billion supported housing proposal, so young people leaving foster care 6 7 and leaving the juvenile justice system are 8 in the population to be served in those 9 programs. 10 I think the other thing that we've

11 been very focused on in New York with respect 12 to our youth aging out of foster care is to make sure that those who are in interested in 13 14 postsecondary education and college have the 15 opportunity to go to college and to stay in 16 college. And we've really been very grateful for the partnerships that we've forged with 17 18 the Higher Education Services Corporation to 19 make sure that we in child welfare really 20 understand the types of financial aid that's 21 available for youth exiting care.

And we're doing a lot of work with SUNY and CUNY because unfortunately, while we're doing a better job of having young

people leave care and go to college, there's a lot of drop-off when they're there because they need a lot of wraparound support. And so we're doing a lot with SUNY to try and institutionalize some of those supports that our young people need on the campuses.

7 And just this past December we were able to, working with SUNY and CUNY, we were 8 able at OCFS to allow funding for young 9 10 people who didn't have a place to go home to for the long holiday break. You'd be 11 12 surprised how many young people in 13 colleges -- and not just young people in 14 foster care -- have no place to go during 15 college breaks. And so the schools were 16 great in allowing our young people to stay with them. 17

18 Is that enough? No. We have more to 19 do. But I also think that this is an 20 opportunity for all of you to hear from me 21 about the other pieces of work that we're 22 focused on to try and improve the lives of 23 young people in foster care. And we'll keep 24 at it, Senator.

1 SENATOR PERSAUD: Thank you. I just 2 wanted to mention one thing. You talked 3 about the kids not having a place to go over the long holidays. I was a college 4 5 registrar, and both colleges that I was at, that's something that they did. They were 6 7 private colleges, but that's something they did. And I think we should encourage 8 colleges across the board to do that for more 9 10 of the students. 11 Thank you, Commissioner. 12 ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Thank you, 13 Senator. 14 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblywoman Fahy. 16 ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 17 And thank you, Commissioner. Thank 18 19 you for being here today. 20 I just want to mention a couple of 21 things and a couple of questions, couple of 22 comments. And I just want to piggyback on a question earlier from I think Senator Young, 23 24 regarding the youth violence in prisons. And

1 I'm encouraged to hear that you mentioned 2 that the numbers are coming down. Are there 3 new strategies that have been developed that -- I know you said you would follow up 4 5 with the statistics, and I would welcome those as well. Are there some new strategies 6 7 that you want to mention? I have a couple of other questions 8 too, so I'll just be brief. 9 ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Sure. 10 Yes. So I'm happy to talk about that. So in 11 12 our OCFS juvenile justice facilities, we've 13 done a lot of things to strengthen our 14 overall security. 15 So we've spent a lot of time making 16 sure that we have cameras so that we are watching what's going on both with youth and 17 18 with our staff, making sure that folks are 19 doing the really critically important job 20 that we need them to do. We have made investments in a 21 22 statewide facility security director, and this person goes about several times a year 23 24 actually through each facility in great

1 detail, again, making sure that contraband is 2 not being hidden, that we don't have other 3 potential physical risk factors in the facilities. 4 5 We have formed a statewide search team that goes into facilities unannounced to 6 7 search for contraband. Because again, that is a -- as you all know very well, when 8 contraband starts getting in, that can create 9 a lot of problems in the facility. 10 11 We are equipping our staff with 12 state-of-the-art radios that have personal 13 safety devices on it, it's almost like a GPS, 14 for staff who are in the facility. So if 15 there's an issue, we can tell with great 16 precision not just what building they're in, but actually what room or closet they may be 17 18 in.

19 So we have done -- you know, we have 20 instituted a clear-bag policy, we call it, so 21 that both staff and visitors who are coming 22 into the facility can't bring just their big 23 backpack or duffel bag, that there are clear 24 bags that they must put any possession that

they're bringing into the facility. So
again, we're trying to manage contraband.
Actually DOCCS, you know, consulted with us
on that, and we were happy to share the work
that we've done.

But most importantly, or equally 6 7 importantly, Assemblywoman, is -- and I said this to Senator Young last night -- you know, 8 safety in the facility is paramount to doing 9 10 anything else, right, to running an educational program, to having youth feel 11 12 safe in vocational settings or participating 13 in treatment. And we have spent a lot of time in the past years really building up our 14 15 educational programs for young people. I am 16 really proud of the work that we've done, especially in our secure facilities where of 17 18 course young people stay the longest, right, 19 serving out their sentences with us.

20 So we've had a number of staff obtain 21 their associate's degrees while they are with 22 us, within the walls of a secure facility. 23 We actually have wonderful partnerships with 24 Colombia-Greene Community College. They come into our Brookwood secure facility and bring
 their classroom, professors and students,
 into the facility so that our youth can
 participate.

5 And then of course not every youth, right, has an interest or is able to attend 6 7 college. And we've done a lot of work on creating meaningful certification programs so 8 that when young people leave -- and we're 9 10 trying to promote successful reentry -- that they have a greater likelihood of getting a 11 12 job.

13 So we've got an Energy Warrior Program 14 with Cornell Cooperative Extension where 15 young people can actually be certified in 16 installing weatherization -- what do they call that -- insulation that you blow into 17 18 the walls and that, you know, again, they can 19 get a job. We have a flagger certification 20 that they can use with transportation to 21 assist on road projects. We have an 22 aquaponics certification, which I'd love any of you here to come and visit, particularly 23 24 our industry -- limited secure residential

program. We have got a phenomenal aquaponics program where we grow tilapia and lobsters and very expensive lettuce that they're turning around as an industry and selling to local restaurants.

6 So we're really focused on things that 7 are of interest to young people while they're 8 with us, because when they're engaged, right, 9 they're more successful. When you have 10 engaged youth, either in school all day or in 11 enhancement activities, you are maintaining 12 safety in the facility.

So we, Assemblywoman, have put
tremendous effort into trying to improve
those components.

16 ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Thank you. And I really am pleased to hear you say the focus 17 is on education and training, especially on 18 19 some of the green jobs, where we know those 20 jobs are growing. And I think it is -- you 21 also took a word right out of my mouth, which is engagement. And the more we have engaged 22 youth, the less we have behavioral issues. 23 24 So I am encouraged, and I do look

1 forward to the statistics.

2 I just -- I know I'm out of time, so I 3 just want to mention a couple of other 4 things. The SUNY CUNY childcare subsidy, 5 that cut is very disconcerting, because that is a need. Again, we can't keep students 6 7 engaged if those that need it don't have the access to childcare. 8 And it is the same with the childcare 9 10 subsidy. I know we've heard it already this 11 morning, but I think it is critically 12 important that we not cut the subsidy that is going to our local social service agencies, 13 14 because again that -- it struck me as another unfunded mandate not to continue that 15 16 subsidy. And I worry that something else will be eliminated as a result of that. 17 18 And then, just quickly, I was going to 19 ask the question, but on the after-school 20 program, very pleased to see the Governor's 21 proposal with the additional \$35 million. 22 Very encouraging. As you know, there's about a million youth that need after-school slots, 23 24 not unlike the childcare slots. But it is

1 encouraging. My only question there would be how we would consolidate with the other 2 existing ones so that we don't have the 3 4 nonprofits and the school districts tripping over themselves with the different 5 requirements, because that would bring us up 6 7 to four, as I understand it, among two different agencies. So that's -- it's very 8 difficult on the providers, community 9 10 providers, as well as the school districts to monitor different regs, different application 11 12 procedures, and different regulatory 13 requirements. 14 So I'm out of time, but I just would 15 appreciate you being cognizant of that and 16 open to trying to work together again so that we can serve more and not have additional 17 funds caught up in administrative 18 19 requirements. 20 ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Good 21 points, thank you. 22 ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Thank you so 23 much, Commissioner. 24 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 2 Senator. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 3 Senator Tim Kennedy. 4 5 SENATOR KENNEDY: Thank you, Chairwoman. 6 7 Good morning, Commissioner. ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Good 8 9 morning. 10 SENATOR KENNEDY: Thank you for your 11 service. 12 I want to talk about Erie County. This year Erie County's allocation for the 13 14 New York State Childcare Block Grant was 15 \$24.6 million. Comparatively, Monroe County 16 received \$36.3 million; Nassau County, \$52.6 million. There's a clear disparity in 17 18 funding between counties. 19 Currently in Erie County there's a 20 waiting list of more than 320 families who are eligible to receive childcare assistance, 21 22 but the county doesn't have the funds necessary to serve them. 23 24 So I have concerns about the

1 methodology that your department is using to 2 determine this funding. And right now a 3 county that begins to receive a reduced 4 funding amount will always receive less 5 funding, unless it dedicates a substantial portion of its own budget to filling that 6 7 gap. So I want to know what we can do to 8 distribute childcare dollars in a more 9 10 equitable fashion and how we can update this 11 distribution formula so that it reflects 12 current demand rather than prior usage. ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So I would 13 14 respond in two ways, Senator, that again, if 15 a county has not been spending the totality 16 of its allocation and we look at their claims, you know, if they're not spending it 17 18 on a consistent basis, then presumably over 19 time that means, right, that maybe someone 20 else who's spending all of theirs and who has 21 waiting lists should have it to use. 22 I suspect that that has been part of

23 the issue with Erie County. And I'll 24 certainly confirm that when I'm back at the

office, but I think that there had been a
 period of years, Senator, where Erie was not
 expending all of its childcare allocation.

And as you know -- do you remember 4 5 rollover, right, from years ago? We had tens of millions of dollars of rollover. We 6 7 really cracked down on that and said we can't have rollover, people need to be spending the 8 childcare allocation that we're putting out 9 10 to counties. So that's the first response I would have. 11

12 The second is that this year, in 2017, we are going to be opening up our childcare 13 14 subsidy regulations. So we have not looked 15 at our childcare subsidy regulations in a 16 good number of years. And I know that there have been conversations about methodology, 17 there have been conversations about market 18 19 rate and how rates are set. And so we will 20 have the opportunity this year, in opening 21 that discussion, for obviously public 22 discourse and stakeholder engagement.

And that perhaps, Senator, is anopportunity to have some of your concerns

1 spoken about and discussed more fully. But 2 we will be doing that this year. 3 SENATOR KENNEDY: Well, Commissioner, I appreciate that. But what I will tell you 4 5 is the simple fact is there's 320 families on a waiting list. And that has to be 6 7 rectified. And I think a constant communication, where we can resolve that 8 9 issue, I think is imperative moving forward. 10 So whatever the distribution formula 11 is, I think we have to take into 12 consideration the needs of the community, 13 regardless of its geographic location in the 14 state, whether it's Erie County or Monroe 15 County or anywhere in between. But Erie 16 County, I know there's a massive waiting list of needy families, and that just has to be 17 18 rectified, whatever we have to do to resolve 19 that.

20 Regarding that same waiting list in 21 Erie County, last year's budget included 22 \$500,000 for a WDI facilitated enrollment in 23 Erie County, which was badly needed but was 24 just the tip of the iceberg. So due to this

1 high demand for the program, not every family 2 between 200 and 275 percent of the poverty 3 level in Erie County has received assistance. So the proposed budget doesn't add any 4 5 new appropriations to this program. So I'm just curious what we need to do and how this 6 7 budget addresses the growing need for childcare costs for families in Erie County, 8 specifically for those making up to 9 10 275 percent of the poverty level. ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So, 11 12 Senator, as you may know, all legislative 13 adds are removed from the Executive Budget 14 this year, and that is the case. So all of 15 the facilitated enrollment projects that 16 members of the Legislature have put your money toward do not exist in the Executive 17 18 Budget. 19 To your question about, you know, 20 subsidy, right, it's the question of the day: 21 How, without additional federal funding coming to New York, can we expand capacity 22 for more subsidy? And again, I don't have a 23

great answer for that.

24

1 I would also just add that the Earned 2 Income Tax Credit program, as well as the 3 Governor's proposing the middle-class tax credit for childcare, you know, may be other 4 5 strategies to help some of those families that -- you know, Senator, I don't have all 6 7 those details in front of me, but I would be 8 very happy to have a separate conversation with you about that. 9 10 SENATOR KENNEDY: Thank you. 11 In Erie County I know you've been very 12 involved, your office has been very involved 13 with some horrible, tragic deaths in some 14 situations. The county has rectified many of 15 the issues within Child Protective Services, 16 with the help of your office. Unfortunately, my district still sees a very high rate of 17 18 child abuse and a high number of CPS 19 caseloads. 20 So Healthy Families New York works to 21 prevent child abuse, allowing home visitation 22 programs and providing resources and

services. Shouldn't we invest more money

24 into this program so we can lower the

1 back-end costs associated with these

2 instances of abuse?

17

ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes. I 3 mean we're always, Senator, looking to, you 4 5 know, expand prevention programs. You know, you mentioned Healthy Families. We had an 6 7 opportunity to expand our Healthy Families program last year using the \$5 million of 8 adoption assistance that we had. And then 9 10 again this year, with the additional \$2 million, Senator, we again hope to expand 11 12 the Healthy Families program. 13 So I think we have some good 14 opportunities on the horizon. 15 SENATOR KENNEDY: Thank you. And just 16 the last question regarding the CPS issues,

the progress that has been made in large part 18 due to the focus and efforts on reducing the 19 caseloads in the county -- again, with the 20 assistance of your office.

21 But what is OCFS doing to ensure, not 22 just in Erie County but statewide, that caseworkers have the resources that they need 23 24 to reduce these caseloads and properly

1 investigate these reports of abuse and 2 neglect?

ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So I would 3 first of all echo, Senator, your comments 4 5 about the progress that Erie County Child Protective Services has made. You know, they 6 7 were the poster child, right, upstate in previous years of high-profile cases and a 8 myriad of issues. And, you know, under the 9 10 new leadership there, they -- and with a lot of help, frankly, from OCFS -- they have made 11 12 just tremendous progress, and you should be 13 very proud of that.

14 So with respect to kind of caseload 15 standards, as you all know, the Governor 16 vetoed the caseload standard bill. However, he issued a pretty strong veto message to us 17 18 at OCFS. And so this year, as part of that 19 veto message, we will be reconvening bill 20 sponsors, other stakeholders, to come back to 21 the table and to talk about a way to better 22 study caseload standards.

23The last report was done in 2006.24Again, that was a different governor, and I

1 was not here. So I think we want to really 2 be very careful and pay attention to -- you 3 know, not every CPS case is the same, right? There are sex abuse cases, very complicated, 4 5 there's ed neglect cases, there could be a 6 childcare investigation where there's 7 25 children who have to be investigated. You know, a case is not a case. They're all 8 different, and I think we need to take that 9 10 into consideration.

11 The other thing I think that we're 12 also particularly concerned about and we want 13 to have conversations, you know, with the stakeholders in this discussion, is about the 14 15 issue that we see in our oversight work, that 16 setting caseload standards in and of themselves does not equal quality. In fact, 17 18 it can sometimes create the opposite, where the workers are feeling tremendous pressure 19 20 to maintain the 12 or the 15 caseload, and so 21 they are closing cases without a thorough safety and risk assessment, without taking 22 23 the time to document the work, and without 24 taking the time to have the preventive

1	services. And we've seen that. We have seen
2	that. It was an issue in Erie County,
3	frankly, Senator, several years ago.
4	So as we think again about caseload
5	standards, we want to be having a more
6	inclusive conversation and a more statewide
7	conversation about what that means. And
8	again, we'll be doing that this year.
9	SENATOR KENNEDY: If I could just make
10	one request to that point.
11	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Of course.
12	SENATOR KENNEDY: If we could have a
13	representative from Erie County, given the
14	experience that we've dealt with
15	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE:
16	Absolutely. Absolutely.
17	SENATOR KENNEDY: uniquely in our
18	community, but through the work in your
19	office as well, I think that would be very
20	helpful.
21	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: It's a
22	great idea.
23	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you, Senator.
24	Assemblywoman Jaffee, to close on this

1 side.

2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you.
3	Thank you very much.
4	As you can note, the issue of
5	childcare and providing sufficient childcare
6	subsidies for our communities is a major
7	issue, especially given, as I noted earlier,
8	the poverty issues. And certainly another
9	concern is that those families that are
10	already currently receiving subsidized
11	childcare from funding streams that were
12	associated through facilitated enrollment, or
13	even the SUNY/CUNY childcare subsidies
14	now, the Governor cut that totally from the
15	budget.
16	So, you know, how do we at this point
17	provide them assistance? Because these are
18	very essential programs that expand the
19	opportunities for childcare, especially those
20	who are continuing their education so they
21	can move forward in the workforce. So that's
22	a very serious issue. As well as, noted
23	earlier, we do not have enough funding for
24	subsidies for the children in our state.

1 Eighty-three percent do not get subsidies, 2 and these are very needy families. 3 So I just wanted to ask that other question and continue to raise concerns 4 regarding that. So what do we do about the 5 6 SUNY/CUNY and facilitated enrollment, zero? 7 ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: I think those, Assemblywoman, are all part of our 8 bigger challenge and ongoing discussion. And 9 10 I don't have the answer for you here today. Again, this is, right, this is the beginning 11 12 of budget discussions. And we know how much both houses are interested in childcare. And 13 14 we will continue to be in dialogue with both 15 of you throughout the rest of the budget 16 deliberations. But I appreciate your 17 comments. 18 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Well, I 19 appreciate that. And I hope that as we move 20 forward, we'll be able to expand the funding 21 for these essential programs. 22 Thank you. 23 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 24 Senator.

1 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Diane 2 Savino. 3 SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you, Senator Young. 4 5 Thank you, Commissioner, for your testimony. We had an opportunity the other 6 7 day to meet, so I'm not going to go over some of the conversations we had or reiterate any 8 9 of the questions that have already been 10 raised. 11 I do want to talk a bit about two 12 things that are important, certainly to me. 13 One of them is the independent monitor that 14 has been appointed to oversee ACS. And I 15 would just like to state that what I would 16 hope to come out of this independent monitor is less of a -- less political 17 18 recommendations and more substantive changes 19 to the agency. 20 And so what I would hope comes out of 21 this is some changes to what goes on in the CPS field offices, because I don't really 22 think the public has a sense of the work that 23 24 CPS workers really do, and they confuse it

1 with the nonprofit sector that also provides direct service, more direct service to the 2 children and the families than the CPS 3 workers in fact may do. 4 5 But there is definitely a need in these field offices for social workers. 6 7 Again, there should be social work units, there should be screening units. You and I 8 had a conversation the other day of the 9 10 number of false cases that are called into the state central registry. Which 11 12 unfortunately, every one of those cases has to be treated as if it is a serious abuse 13 14 case or neglect case, which detracts from the work that the CPS workers have to do. 15 16 So years ago when I did the work, we had screening units that dealt with the 17 18 anonymous-anonymous cases, to take those away 19 from the serious cases. So we need to kind 20 of go back to some of that stuff. 21 Obviously, training is always 22 important, but supporting the staff is equally important. So I would hope that 23 24 that's what comes out of the appointment of

1 this independent monitor, as well as 2 potentially some legislative changes. We had 3 a discussion the other day about some of the burdens that I believe the Legislature has 4 5 placed on child welfare. Whenever there's a crisis, we think we can solve it with another 6 7 requirement. And sometimes we actually make it worse, we make it harder to do this job. 8

9 And so I hope that you would be 10 supportive of some of the proposals that I 11 will be moving through the Children and 12 Families Committee to eliminate some of the 13 redundant work that I think does nothing to 14 improve casework practice or to really 15 investigate abuse and neglect.

16 So I look forward to that. And again, 17 I hope the independent monitor is helpful as 18 opposed to purely, you know, looking to 19 penalize an agency that is already struggling 20 on a day-to-day basis and handles tens of 21 thousands of cases.

On runaway homeless youth, I was very
happy to see that the Governor included what
is essentially my bill and Assemblywoman

1	Weinstein's bill on the runaway homeless
2	youth, improving it, expanding it to age 24.
3	But if you could there's a couple of
4	changes that the Governor made in our
5	proposal that we're a little confused about,
6	where it could be simply drafting, where it
7	appears that they interchange "runaway" and
8	"homeless" from time to time in a way that we
9	don't quite understand.
10	And there's also a requirement so
11	on that, you don't have to answer now.
12	Perhaps someone could look at it and see,
13	does it it might just simply be poorly
14	drafted.
15	But there's something that maybe you
16	can explain to me and some of the advocates;
17	we don't quite understand why this is
18	necessary. But under the Governor's
19	proposal, it would require that the Runaway
20	Homeless Youth Program contact the local
21	department of social services if it believes
22	that the youth is a destitute child. What is
23	the purpose behind that? What is the value
24	behind that?

1 ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: I think 2 the value behind it is that a destitute child 3 is without, right, any legal guardian or anyone who is providing any care to them. 4 5 And so, you know, the local department of social services, if the person is under the 6 7 age of 18, right, has an obligation to try 8 and make certain that that young person is not destitute and out there on their own but 9 10 can be, you know, connected with a resource. I think that's the intention. 11 12 SENATOR SAVINO: You seem a little confused too. Maybe we just don't quite 13 14 understand what the --15 ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Okay, we 16 can certainly talk --17 SENATOR SAVINO: Is there a monetary connection to it? Is it a shifting of the 18 19 funding? Will someone else pay for the 20 services? ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: I don't 21 22 think it's that. But again, I don't want to misspeak. And we can certainly have 23 24 conversation about the language in the

1 proposed bill.

2	SENATOR SAVINO: Yeah, it just it
3	doesn't seem to make immediate sense. There
4	could be some explanation for it, but it
5	doesn't make immediate sense either to
6	myself I can't speak for Assemblywoman
7	Weinstein now, but I know she and I both
8	remarked upon it. And in discussions with
9	the advocates for runaway homeless youth,
10	they brought it up as well.
11	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Okay.
12	SENATOR SAVINO: So I think as we move
13	forward, we need to clarify the language in
14	what the Governor has proposed in both the
15	Article VII and in the budget portion.
16	So I want to thank you for your
17	attention, and I look forward to working with
18	you on some of these prospective changes.
19	Thank you.
20	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Same here,
21	Senator.
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Liz
23	Krueger.
24	SENATOR KRUEGER: Good morning,

1 Commissioner.

2	You and I also had a chance to meet;
3	there's just a couple of clarifications based
4	on some of the answers you already gave
5	today.
6	So the answer of the Title XX money
7	being shifted into mandatory spending on
8	childcare versus the various options that
9	different locales have taken. And it would
10	mandate that money that currently in New York
11	City is used to fund senior centers would
12	instead have to be used to fund childcare.
13	And I asked you in the meeting, but I'm just
14	going to, for the record, say it now and ask
15	you again. Could your agency please analyze
16	the changing demand for childcare slots in
17	the different funding streams because of the
18	<pre>impact of universal pre-K?</pre>
19	I can't speak for anywhere else, but
20	in New York City, where this would be a very
21	disturbing impact to take the money away from
22	the seniors to put into childcare, we know
23	for a fact, because we moved over 70,000
24	4-year-olds into UPK and I think that

number is expected to go up in September 1 2 again -- that there's less demand for daycare 3 slots for 4-year-olds because they're in universal pre-K. 4 So it actually -- I'm not saying we 5 don't need more money for childcare, but I 6 7 don't believe the demand is there now, specifically because of the significant 8 change in the movement of how people are 9 10 getting their childcare. And I know that I was advised that a 11 12 number of the major childcare advocacy 13 organizations in New York City have actually signed onto a letter saying please don't take 14 15 the seniors' Title XX money away. So it's 16 just a public plea, this needs to be reversed 17 in the budget. 18 But I really do think it's important to get a handle on, because of all the 19 20 different funding streams in childcare, are 21 there changes that reflect the overall needs in different areas? Because localities use 22 the funds in different ways and have 23 24 different needs.

1 And following up on that, there's been 2 a proposal for several years that I don't see 3 it in the budget, and I'm curious what you 4 think, there's a mandate for women on TANF to 5 perhaps have to do work activities, requiring that the state pay for childcare for 1- and 6 7 2-year-olds. My understanding is childcare for 1- and 2-year-olds is the most expensive 8 kind of childcare. And there's been a 9 10 proposal made that if you allow the flexibility of not requiring these women to 11 12 participate in the work activities, that you 13 could actually use that money to provide for 14 multiple times the number of childcare slots 15 in the subsidized program for poor families 16 who are desperately seeking the childcare to hold the jobs they have with their slightly 17 older children. 18 19 So has the agency explored that

20 proposal at all?

ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: You know,
 Senator, I'm sorry to disappoint you, but
 that -- I'm going to have to confer with my
 OTDA colleague, Mike Perrin, around the TANF

1	piece. But I took good notes and will
2	absolutely explore that.
3	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
4	And then, finally, on the discussion
5	of reducing the reimbursements to New York
6	City only for services for special needs in
7	foster care, you stated the Governor's
8	position that since New York City is getting
9	300 more in education funding, they can pay
10	for it out of that.
11	Am I correct that all school
12	districts are getting some adjusted increase
13	in education funding, it's not just unique to
14	New York City?
15	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Mm-hmm.
16	Mm-hmm.
17	SENATOR KRUEGER: Right? Everybody is
18	getting something?
19	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: (Nodding.)
20	Mm-hmm.
21	SENATOR KRUEGER: And certainly the
22	education advocates would tell us that what
23	they're getting is way below what they each
24	believe they need.

1 So how is it that New York City 2 specifically is being told, take the money 3 out of your increasing but still inadequate 4 public education funding stream to pay for this? 5 ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: The best 6 7 answer I can give you now, Senator, is that because the vast -- not the vast, but a 8 majority of the drop in the number of 9 10 children in foster care by proportion is attributed to New York City, that that 11 12 accounted for the greater shift to New York. SENATOR KRUEGER: So that New York 13 14 City has fewer kids in foster care and in 15 these programs, but it's holding onto other 16 monies from the state that it would otherwise -- I mean, are we keeping other 17 18 money in foster care when we reduce the numbers in foster care? 19 ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: No. 20 21 SENATOR KRUEGER: We're not. I didn't 22 think so. And so it just seems to me, on the 23 24 budget logic that's being offered, New York

1 City should just try not to have anybody in 2 foster care. And you and I might agree in a 3 perfect world we would want that, but I don't 4 think it's either of our position that the 5 City of New York should stop placing children in foster care who truly need to be there. 6 7 Right? ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: That's 8 9 true. 10 SENATOR KRUEGER: All right. So yet again, I do not find a justification for this 11 12 budget cut to New York City. Thank you. 13 ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Thank you, 14 Senator. 15 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Senator? 16 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. Senator Sue Serino. 17 18 SENATOR SERINO: Thank you, Senator. 19 And good morning, Commissioner. Thank 20 you very much for taking our questions today. 21 And as chair of the Aging Committee, my 22 question is going to be about adult protective services. And just to give you a 23 24 little background, I've done quite a few

1 series of elder abuse roundtables, and as we 2 know, 85 percent of those cases go 3 unreported. Because of doing those roundtables, we were able to have Lifespan 4 5 put in the budget, which are multidisciplinary teams that have been very 6 7 successful. And even though that doesn't fall under your purview, I'd be remiss if I 8 didn't mention it, because we don't want to 9 10 lose the funding for that. 11 And it's all kind of hand in hand, 12 because as we know, there's a registry for child abuse victims but there isn't a central 13 14 registry for elder abuse. So my question 15 regarding that are numbers. Are there any 16 specific statistics that are being documented with respect to the type of cases that are 17 18 being reported, whether it's physical, financial, sexual or neglect? 19 ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: I don't 20 21 have a full answer for you. But again, 22 Senator, we could go back to the local departments of social services and to my 23 24 office, that also oversees the adult

1 protective services. I don't know if you've 2 met Alan Lawitz. He's really led our work on 3 financial exploitation and oversees the protective service for adults. 4 5 So I will go back, Senator, and see what kind of data that we can provide to 6 7 answer your question. SENATOR SERINO: Okay. And I don't 8 know if you'd have the answer to this 9 10 question too, if there's any consistent documentation of the cases that APS OCFS 11 12 receives, and what would be the process for 13 follow-up to ensure that they're resolved. 14 ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: I'll add 15 that to the list, Senator. 16 SENATOR SERINO: Okay. And in the case where it's sent to a police department 17 18 rather than APS, will OCFS have any 19 notification? Like if the police are handling the case, do they report to you, 20 OCFS, as regards to the case? Would they 21 22 give you any information? ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Well, they 23 24 wouldn't report to OCFS. They would report

1	to the local department of social services,
2	who administers the local protective service
3	for adults program.
4	SENATOR SERINO: Okay. And how many
5	staffers are responsible for tracking the
6	complaints and following up on them with APS?
7	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: I don't
8	have that exact number, Senator.
9	SENATOR SERINO: Okay. Okay, thank
10	you.
11	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: You're
12	very welcome.
13	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. I have
14	some follow-up questions that I'd like to
15	ask, and I'd like to follow up on the
16	independent monitor.
17	New York City Mayor de Blasio was here
18	recently, and I asked him about the numerous
19	tragic deaths that have occurred under that
20	agency's watch. And I know that in your
21	proposal there's an independent monitor. You
22	recently, as OCFS, did a study and identified
23	numerous lapses, multiple failures on ACS's
24	part.

1 So when you select the independent 2 monitor and he or she is in place, what exactly would their roles and 3 4 responsibilities be, number one? And number 5 two. Who actually is going to select the independent monitor? 6 7 ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So OCFS has identified and made the selection of a 8 monitor that's been presented to New York 9 10 City, so that process has concluded. But more specifically to your 11 12 question, Senator, about the specific tasks of the monitor, the monitor will be doing an 13 14 overall diagnostic, first and foremost, of 15 ACS's child protective program, looking at 16 the adequacy of its policies, looking at how robust its own quality assurance process is, 17 18 looking at its supervisory oversight 19 structure. That was one of our findings in 20 the report, that there were lapses, serious 21 lapses in supervision. 22 They will be looking at data, they will be doing probes into case records. They 23 24 will be speaking with caseworkers and other

stakeholders -- again, to try and pinpoint 1 2 with more precision where at the core are 3 some of the institutional issues that led to these lapses in the handling of the cases. 4 5 And then Phase Two of the engagement will be the development and implementation of 6 7 whatever the corrective action is -- whether it's policies, procedures, more staffing. I 8 mean, we just -- we don't know yet. That's 9 10 the whole point of the diagnostic. 11 And then the third and final phase 12 will be compliance monitoring. So we want to 13 make absolutely certain this time that 14 whatever changes are made, that they are to 15 scale across that very large and complex 16 agency, and that they are sustainable to the extent possible. So there will be a period 17 18 of compliance monitoring that will be 19 open-ended, and that monitoring will only end 20 when we at the state are satisfied that 21 there's been compliance and that we won't see 22 the kinds of issues that we unfortunately saw in these high-profile deaths. 23

24 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I'm very relieved

and happy to hear that you'll be doing the
 diagnostics and coming up with policy
 changes.

What happens if New York City doesn't
comply or if things don't get better?
ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Well, we
have a number of options at our disposal at
the state.

You know, certainly us directing them 9 10 to on-board a monitor signals that we mean business, that we are very focused on helping 11 12 them and requiring them to make those changes. And we don't have any reason to 13 14 believe today, at least, that they too are 15 not equally committed to fixing their system 16 and to making children more safe. That's the goal all of us bring here every day. 17

You know, in statute we do have the authority -- which we're very judicious in using, for obvious reasons -- but we could have or would have the authority to withhold funding to the city. Obviously, the other side of that is that they need their funding, right, to have staff and caseworkers and the

1 kinds of services.

2	But, you know, in the event that we
3	don't see commitment to this monitor and
4	commitment to change, that, you know, OCFS
5	and the administration will take whatever
6	steps are necessary in order to make sure the
7	kids are safe.
8	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. We
9	don't need any more fatalities, so thank you
10	very much.
11	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
12	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: We've been joined
14	by Assemblyman Colton.
15	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you,
16	Commissioner, by the way. We appreciate your
17	testimony.
18	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
19	much.
20	Next, Mike Perrin, deputy
21	commissioner, New York State Office of
22	Temporary and Disability Services.
23	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Good
24	morning, Chairwoman Young, Chairman Farrell,

and Chairman Tedisco -- I think I saw him
 here a moment ago. He's Senate chair of the
 Social Services Committee.

My name is Michael Perrin. I'm the 4 5 executive deputy commissioner for the Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance. And 6 7 I'm first going to convey the regrets of Commissioner Sam Roberts, who could not be 8 here today. He is sick today and so he -- he 9 10 has asked me to fill in in his stead today, so I'll be providing testimony and addressing 11 12 questions and answers from the committee.

Our mission here at OTDA is to protect 13 and lift up New York's most vulnerable 14 15 residents and help them achieve self-sufficiency. Financial independence is 16 a powerful feeling. It gives a person the 17 18 confidence they need to be able to provide not only for themselves, but for their 19 20 families. It sets their children on a path 21 of success, helping the next generation to succeed. We want all New Yorkers to 22 experience that feeling. 23

24 OTDA administers vital programs for

1 those temporarily unable to work and for many 2 working families who simply aren't able to 3 make ends meet. These programs include providing food and heating assistance to 4 5 people who need it and creating supportive housing units that will provide the 6 7 beginnings of a permanent solution to the homelessness issues in the State of New York. 8 We inspect homeless shelters to ensure 9 10 safety and security. We oversee the state's child support enforcement program. We also 11 12 assist refugees and immigrants who are in New York in search of the American dream. 13 14 All of these efforts share a common 15 goal: to help individuals and families meet 16 essential needs and quickly get back on their feet again into self-sufficiency. 17 OTDA has realized remarkable success. 18 19 Just this past year alone, OTDA opened the 20 doors to over 540 new supportive housing units. SNAP benefits now enable over 21 22 1.6 million households to purchase healthy foods at their local grocery store and at 23 more farmers' markets than ever before.

24

Progress is everywhere. But we have more to
 do, and this budget proposal advances our
 mission.

The issue of affordable housing is 4 5 critical for the persons we serve. Both the Governor and the Legislature have made access 6 7 to housing a top priority, as evidenced by the state's unprecedented \$20 billion 8 investment in affordable housing. Over the 9 10 next five years, the goal of the program is to provide 100 new units of affordable 11 12 housing and 6,000 units of supportive 13 housing. The \$20 billion plan includes 14 \$10.4 billion to combat homelessness in New York State. 15

16 Along with our partners in Homes and Community Renewal and the Office of Mental 17 18 Health, we are pleased to announce today that 19 1200 units of supportive housing have been 20 funded, and those projects are underway in 21 various stages of completion. This addresses 22 the Governor's promise late last year to begin providing supportive housing, and he 23 24 laid out a goal of 1200 units late last year.

1 And I'm again happy to announce that we're 2 well on our way to achieving that goal. 3 We're well underway in administering the state's housing plan and overall to 4 5 combat homelessness in a sustainable way. OTDA is committed to ensuring that persons 6 7 temporarily relying on emergency shelter have 8 access to a safe and clean living environment. 9 10 Just this past year, OTDA led an effort to inspect all 916 state-funded 11 12 homeless shelters. The results were staggering, as well as the response. Nearly 13 14 97 percent of the surveyed shelters were 15 cited for violations that jeopardized the 16 health, safety, and the quality of life of residents. 17 18 OTDA's response has been aggressive and will become one of ongoing vigilance. 19 20 For every violation found, a corrective 21 action plan has been put into place. We 22 continue to work diligently with New York City and the counties of the state to keep 23 24 the quality of life in shelters higher, and

1 have begun a multi-year initiative to get all 2 shelters in the State of New York certified. At Governor Cuomo's direction, OTDA 3 implemented new regulations to enhance the 4 5 state's ability to oversee the conditions of homeless shelters and more closely monitor 6 7 shelter security measures. This year the Executive Budget will 8 also improve safety and security at shelters 9 10 by requiring background checks of shelter workers who are in regular contact with 11 12 children being served in those facilities. 13 This budget will help low-income 14 working families attain basic necessities 15 through a number of anti-poverty programs. 16 For example, the Home Energy Assistance Program, known as HEAP, is one of the state's 17 18 most important methods to help low-income 19 individuals and low-income families, 20 especially elderly New Yorkers. HEAP helps 21 qualified individuals and qualified 22 households to afford the cost of heating their homes and to reduce energy needs 23 24 through energy efficiency programs.

1 This year the Executive Budget 2 proposes an additional \$14.4 million in 3 HEAP funding to support weatherization services for low-income households. The goal 4 5 is to make homes more efficient and reduce energy costs, so lower-income households are 6 7 better able to achieve financial stability. 8 Likewise, the Supplemental Nutrition 9 Assistance Program, known as SNAP, increases 10 the food purchasing power of eligible 11 low-income households in order to improve 12 their nutrition and alleviate hunger. 13 Despite the program's success, there 14 are still thousands of families who do not access the full amount of assistance for 15 which they may be eligible. To address this 16 situation, the Governor is taking steps to 17 increase SNAP outreach -- increase outreach 18 19 to populations who may be eligible for SNAP 20 but who are simply not taking advantage of 21 it. The initiative will engage foundations 22 and the private sector as well as 23

community-based organizations to develop and

24

1

implement innovative ways to connect

2 households with SNAP benefits. Increased 3 awareness and access to nutritious food will 4 result in a healthier New York, and improve 5 food sales for New York farmers.

The Governor recognizes also that the 6 7 summer provides an opportunity, especially for low-income youth, with the time to have 8 an enriching experience through the Summer 9 10 Youth Employment Program. To address this 11 problem, to improve and to ensure that the 12 same number of youth are served this year as 13 were served last year, you'll see an 14 additional \$5 million appropriation for a 15 total of \$36 million to fund this program. 16 This is largely in recognition of the minimum wage increase for which many summer youth 17 will be employed. So we'll continue to fund 18 19 approximately 19,000 slots, which is the same 20 level as last year.

21 The Governor has been steadfast in his 22 support for immigrants and refugees and 23 believes New York should remain a safe haven 24 for all, no matter race, religion, country of

1 origin, or economic status. OTDA provides 2 support to local resettlement agencies, and New York State will continue to welcome new 3 4 arrivals with open arms. 5 The State of New York and OTDA are stronger than in decades. Unemployment is 6 7 down, from 8.4 percent six years ago to 4.9 percent today. New York State has 8 7.9 million private-sector jobs, the most in 9 10 the history of the state. Together we can all work towards the end goal of building a 11 12 better, healthier New York for all. 13 Thank you for your time in letting me 14 provide testimony to these committees, and I 15 welcome any questions you may have. 16 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very 17 much. 18 Assemblywoman Jaffee. 19 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you, Deputy --20 21 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Chairman --22 chairwoman. ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you, 23 24 Deputy Commissioner.

I wanted to ask regarding the TANF funding, the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families, initiatives to that. Apparently when reviewing the Executive Budget there was an elimination of the -- in the amount of \$19.4 million regarding TANF funding for a variety of community programs.

First of all, you know, what was the 8 purpose behind eliminating those initiatives 9 10 for the people who need it most in order to improve their quality of life? Was there 11 12 expectations that the counties would provide 13 these services for the TANF, in support of 14 the TANF funds, and that they wouldn't be 15 getting at this point -- and do you have an estimate of the number of individuals and 16 residents and families that would be impacted 17 18 by the elimination of these programs and initiatives because of the cuts? 19 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Sure. 20 21 Yeah. Thank you very much for the question,

Just to be clear, the TANF program isa block grant, and it will continue this

Assemblymember.

22

1 year, as it has since 1996, at over 2 \$2.4 billion in federal funding. So I think your question -- and correct me if I'm 3 4 wrong -- your question refers to a number of 5 changes in the Governor's Executive Budget this year over last year. 6 7 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Could you just speak a little louder? Or put the microphone 8 a little closer. It's hard to --9 10 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I 11 thought it was pretty close. 12 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: That's it. EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: So I 13 14 think you're probably referring to a number 15 of programs that were added in the budget 16 process last year, if I'm not mistaken. I'll stand corrected if I'm wrong. 17 18 But the Governor's budget is largely 19 consistent from the Executive proposal for 20 this year compared to last year. We're in 21 the early stages of the budget process, so I 22 would expect that some of the changes that were part of the budget process last year 23 24 might become an issue again this year.

1 I would also just add that the fact 2 that those specific programs do not appear in 3 the Executive's budget do not necessarily reflect the position of the Governor that 4 5 he's in opposition, given the fact that he in 6 fact approved those programs in last year's 7 budget. So I would just encourage the committees to work with the Division of the 8 Budget and the Governor's Office to address 9 10 the issue. 11 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Hopefully we 12 can move forward with a more positive 13 response. 14 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I 15 understand the comment. Thank you. 16 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Also, in the 17 discussion about homelessness, something that I've had discussions -- I've had 18 19 conversations with community groups that 20 respond to issues regarding, let's say, domestic violence. And what's one of the 21 prime reasons for families entering the 22 shelter system is the issue regarding 23 24 domestic violence.

1 Is there any action or suggestion or 2 policy to address the rise in domestic violence in our communities? 3 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Well, 4 5 you're exactly correct, Assemblymember. I think the latest statistics that we've seen 6 7 show that approximately 28 percent of shelter 8 placements are the result of domestic violence. 9 10 For one thing, I think the social services community is much more sensitive and 11 12 there's a greater awareness of domestic 13 violence incidents, so I think they're in 14 some ways -- they're just -- they're simply 15 being identified, and those individuals who 16 are subjects of domestic violence are being served at a rate that is probably higher than 17 18 they were in the past. 19 As to the actual addressing the 20 reduction in domestic violence, that's 21 primarily a matter for law enforcement. OTDA 22 has no specific programs related to that issue. 23 24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: It is certainly

1 something that we need to continue to focus 2 on because of the numbers and the increase. 3 And so we obviously need to work on that. EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Yup. 4 5 Agreed. ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: 6 The 7 anti-poverty initiative, what types of 8 poverty-reducing actions are being proposed by various municipalities and not-for-profit 9 10 partners? Have there been a number of contracts that have been approved regarding 11 12 this issue? EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: 13 14 Assemblymember, I think you refer to the 15 Empire State Poverty Reduction Initiative. 16 And as you might recall, it was proposed and designed as a two-phase program. So each of 17 18 the mayors of each of the jurisdictions of 19 the 16 cities have at this point identified 20 their chosen not-for-profit organizers who 21 will coordinate. 22 So we are in -- OTDA is in the midst of approving contracts with those 23 24 not-for-profit providers who will be taking

1 the next step, and in some cases already 2 have -- even before the contracts have gotten 3 executed, they've already taken the steps to form local task forces and come up with plans 4 5 that will get to your question. So at this point we can't report on what the results of 6 7 those task force planning activities are, but I also point out that a very key part of that 8 program is for the state, meaning OTDA, to 9 10 not be prescriptive in what those plans look like. 11 12 So I think what we find as guidance --13 as you might be aware from the Rochester 14 initiative, the key component about that 15 program that we recognize in the ESPRI 16 program is really the governance model that emphasizes cooperation, inclusiveness, 17 18 broad-based membership in the task forces, 19 but also to address the very specific 20 problems that those task forces identify

21 within their community.

22 So we would expect to be seeing plans 23 from each of the task forces coming in over 24 the course of the springtime and early

summer, for review and approval by the
 agency.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Just going back
to the homeless issue that you noted.
Throughout the state we have increasing
numbers of homeless residents, homeless
families, in our communities.

8 As a matter of fact, I had received a 9 call from a local gentleman, he had four 10 children, he had a job -- \$40,000 a year he 11 was earning -- and he couldn't maintain the 12 rent he was paying, and he was homeless with 13 four children.

And it's a struggle, and we don't have the assistance in our local areas outside of New York City that is necessary to provide the kind of support when you are faced with that kind of situation, and it's growing. And it's becoming a very serious issue.

20 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Yeah. 21 I think you put your finger on it, right on 22 the point of what is probably the underlying 23 base issue of homelessness in the State of 24 New York, and that's the lack of affordable

1 housing and the lack of units of affordable 2 housing in the State of New York. 3 You pointed out domestic violence. There's also issues that create 4 5 homelessness surrounding substance abuse and mental health issues. But the underlying 6 7 concern, the underlying cause of it is the lack of affordable housing. Which is exactly 8 9 why the Governor proposed a \$20 billion 10 program that, as I mentioned in my remarks, over five years that will create 100,000 11 12 units of affordable housing and 6,000 units 13 of supportive housing. 14 So -- and it's the supportive housing 15 component that will address those specific 16 issues such as domestic violence, such as substance abuse, such as mental health 17 18 issues, so an individual or a family can live 19 in a stable housing situation for a long 20 period of time with the services that they 21 would be receiving through that program. 22 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: And it is very necessary. That funding that you're 23 24 suggesting that's outside of New York City,

1 that is for --

2	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Yeah,
3	so just very specifically, OTDA has a program
4	called HHAP, it's the Homeless Housing
5	Assistance Program, that in recent years has
6	been funded at about \$63.5 million. While
7	it's not specific to upstate, the majority of
8	those housing units and those projects tend
9	to be developed outside of the City of
10	New York, working in very close partnership
11	with our partner agencies, particularly Homes
12	and Community Renewal.
13	I think Mr. Rubin will be testifying
14	tomorrow, so he could address the
15	affordable-housing side of it better than I
16	can. But the supportive side of the housing
17	programs and projects is very much within
18	OTDA's lane. I'm happy to report that
19	another \$63.5 million toward HHAP projects
20	and capital funding is included in the
21	Governor's budget.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Well, I'll be
23	looking into that. Thank you very much.
24	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Thank

1 you. 2 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. We've been joined by Assemblyman Félix 3 4 Ortiz. 5 Senator? CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 6 7 And we've been joined by Senator Leroy Comrie. 8 Thank you for being here today. I 9 10 just wanted to ask a couple of questions. Although the Governor's budget assumes a 11 12 continued decline in overall public assistance for this coming fiscal year, 2018, 13 14 the Executive proposes a \$59 million increase 15 in appropriation authority for the Safety Net 16 Assistance Program, which is an increase of 17 12 percent. 18 As you know, the Safety Net Program is 19 partially funded by the state, but 71 percent 20 of the burden is put on local governments. 21 And as you also know, this could be a 22 lifetime situation for people, and they're expected to work if they can, but the 23 24 assistance can go on for an awfully long

1 time. So I wanted to ask what factors 2 increased this amount, and why is it in the 3 budget this way? EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: 4 Those 5 budget amounts that -- those proposed 6 appropriations that you see in that program 7 are the result of an econometric study that 8 is conducted by the Division of the Budget. So I can't answer your question directly in 9 10 detail. So it's, again, appropriation authority going forward. 11 12 But I'm just reminding the committee that the TANF program is 100 percent fully 13 funded by the state for the first five years 14 15 that folks are on it. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So do you see this 16 as an ongoing trend, based on your study? Or 17 is this an isolated incident? 18 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: 19 I 20 can't tell you, I can't say that it's an 21 ongoing trend necessarily. But it's a budgeting decision, again, to allow the state 22 the authority, the agency authority to take 23 24 into account the TANF program, the Safety Net Program, going forward over the course of
 this fiscal year.

3 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: You hear from local governments all the time about the heavy 4 5 burden that's placed on them through unfunded mandates and so on. So if this is an ongoing 6 7 trend that your study may suggest, what steps 8 is the agency going to take to address it? Because obviously it's better for people to 9 10 be -- if they're able to work, to be able to 11 go out and get a job, and be able to improve 12 their quality of life.

EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Sure. 13 So a number of programs that the Governor has 14 15 proposed this year address particularly 16 working families and the middle class. So 17 we've seen the increase in the minimum wage, 18 that was adopted last year; we're seeing an 19 increase in the amount of SNAP benefits that 20 will be available to SNAP-eligible households 21 through an administrative change that we'll 22 be making; and the HEAP program. And we're seeing the childcare tax credit and also the 23 24 additional funding in high-poverty areas to

1 increase pre-K and after-school care.

2 So each of those programs begin to 3 address and begin to support the temporary assistance -- the TANF program and the Safety 4 5 Net Program -- by providing extra resources to get people to become more self-sufficient, 6 7 and then ultimately be able to step off of public assistance. 8 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Is this econometric 9 10 study that you did, was this just something recent? Or do you plan on doing the same 11 12 study every year? EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: It's 13 14 my understanding that the Division of the 15 Budget does this annually. So I can't 16 address the question specifically.

CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So I mean -- but 17 18 you're talking about increased resources, but 19 yet you think the amount's going to go up. 20 So it will be interesting to come back next 21 year and see whether some of the solutions 22 that you just outlined actually work or not. EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: And 23 24 that's really the importance that the

1 Governor has recognized here. We've seen him 2 talk repeatedly over the course of the last 3 few months about supporting working families, and while that is -- the real point of that 4 5 from a financial point of view is to get people self-sufficient and not have a need 6 7 for safety net and public assistance. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay. Thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 9 10 Assemblywoman Didi Barrett. ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: Thank you. 11 12 Thanks for being here. 13 I just had a question. I listened with interest about your comments about SNAP 14 15 and the safety net around food, and I am --16 as are many of my constituents in my rural district -- smarting under the Governor's 17 18 veto of last year at the end of the year of 19 the Farm To Food Bank tax credit. 20 And I'm just wondering if you all have 21 taken a position on that or are engaged in 22 trying to find a way for our farmers -because we also have serious poverty in our 23 24 rural areas, and these are farmers who are

1 willing to donate travel to get the food to 2 the food banks, make sure that this is fresh 3 off the farm, is made available -- and this tax credit would go a long way to covering 4 5 some of those costs for them. EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: A 6 7 couple of ways I think that OTDA and the 8 Executive is addressing that concern is, again, to work -- we're looking to expand the 9 10 amount of eligibility. The amount of SNAP dollars that households can receive is a 11 12 little bit technical, so we're making an 13 administrative tweak to the HEAP program 14 which would allow additional dollars for 15 eligible families to go toward a heating 16 allowance which is part of a SNAP eligibility 17 application.

So -- it's a little bit -- again, a little bit technical, so we're going to expand the HEAP heating season to be yearlong, so individuals who apply for SNAP at the time of year when they would not otherwise have been in the SNAP heating season will be eligible for approximately

1 \$228 million additional statewide.

2 So that's how we're going to really 3 maximize some of the SNAP benefit dollars, no additional cost to the state. That will be, 4 5 again, an administrative change that has already gotten a favorable response from the 6 7 United States Department of Agriculture. Secondly, and more directly to your 8 question, OTDA has made a concerted effort in 9 10 partnership with the Department of Ag and 11 Markets to connect with and expand the 12 availability and the use of SNAP benefits at 13 farmers' markets very, very specifically. 14 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: So I guess I'm 15 a little confused, when you're talking about the SNAP and HEAP -- but how does that really 16 play out for farmers and getting this fresh 17 food to the food banks? 18 19 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Sure. 20 Between the two items that I just mentioned 21 there will be, first, additional money 22 available for SNAP recipients as a whole. Second, our concern --23 24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: So the

1 recipients would be able to access that --EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: 2 3 Certainly. Yes. So the effort on our part -- and 4 5 again, Ag and Markets is really carrying the load on this in terms of making sure that 6 7 farmers' markets are identified, making sure they have the technology that will allow them 8 to use the SNAP benefit cards. We see it as 9 10 addressing your question directly. ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: So your 11 12 control is really only where there's systems like that in place. You're making other --13 14 making money accessible that doesn't funnel 15 through a SNAP or some other kind of program, is not something that you can influence? 16 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I'm 17 18 not quite sure I understand the question, but 19 again, we're working in close partnership 20 with Ag and Markets --21 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: Okay. EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: 22 Because to --23 24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: So that's for

the farmers' markets. But for the other side of it, for the farmers who want to donate where there's a gap --

4 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Yeah. 5 That's not something that we're closely 6 involved with, that would probably be a 7 question for Ag and Markets. But again, we 8 see the nutrition component of needy families 9 as being kind of a continuum of care, if you 10 will.

So we've worked to establish the 11 12 FreshConnect program in conjunction with the 13 Department of Health, which in part and in 14 some ways puts fresh vegetables from local 15 markets in urban settings by providing simply 16 a refrigeration unit so a small bodega, if you will, can make available fresh foods in 17 18 their areas. Together, again, with expanding SNAP eligibility through outreach, expanding 19 20 and maximizing the benefit that we can draw 21 down from the federal government, together 22 with making better connections with farmers' 23 markets.

24

We think, all together, it goes

1 toward -- and thank you for that question, 2 because it was right on target. That went 3 off the top of my head --4 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: Okay. I see 5 I'm just about out of time, but I guess I'm 6 still trying to make the link for the safety 7 net that's part of -- that's food banks. And that are -- you know, rather than farmers' 8 markets. So, you know, I would love to 9 10 continue having that conversation. EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: 11 12 Certainly will. And we'll contact our colleagues at Ag and Markets to see if we can 13 14 get you some more detail on that. 15 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: Okay. 16 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: So 17 thank you for the question. 18 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: Great. Thank 19 you. 20 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 21 Senator. 22 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Kennedy. SENATOR KENNEDY: Thank you very much. 23 24 Deputy Commissioner, you've already

1 touched on the upstate anti-poverty 2 initiative. Last year's budget included \$25 million for this initiative. You've 3 discussed how the contracts are being 4 5 approved as we speak. Can you talk about the funds that the state is looking to commit 6 7 moving forward to ensure the continuation of these initiatives once they are implemented? 8 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Sure. 9 10 So you may have noticed that there is no 11 additional \$25 million in new appropriations 12 in this year's budget. But last year's 13 \$25 million is proposed to be reappropriated. 14 So it's simply a timing issue. 15 We think that over the course of this year the lion's share of that \$25 million 16 will be committed to each of the anti-poverty 17 18 designated cities. And hopefully, you know, our view is that if that is successful across 19 20 the state -- that, in my view, is that if it 21 is successful, the Governor will recommend an 22 additional \$25 million in the following 23 fiscal year.

24 SENATOR KENNEDY: And are we talking

1	about an additional \$25 million planned for
2	next year's budget? Is that the
3	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Yeah,
4	and I shouldn't pick a specific number,
5	Senator. So we'll see kind of where the
6	program lands over the course of this year.
7	And I think that will provide some guidance
8	to the Governor and to the Legislature as to
9	what that dollar value should be.
10	SENATOR KENNEDY: And the timing for
11	the approval of these contracts with the
12	various municipalities and the organizations
13	that are going to be running these
14	anti-poverty initiatives? What's your
15	timing?
16	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Well,
17	we're contracting agencies, so we're moving
18	the contracts through our agency together
19	with approvals from the Attorney General's
20	office and the office of the Comptroller. We
21	don't see any holdup in our end at this point
22	in time.
23	SENATOR KENNEDY: Okay. Very good.
24	Thank you.

1	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
2	SENATOR KENNEDY: Yeah, I'm sorry
3	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Keep going.
4	SENATOR KENNEDY: Last fall, the
5	Help Buffalo II Apartments opened with
6	47 low-income apartments, 15 units of which
7	were for former homeless veterans. And I
8	know that you've spoken to the issue of
9	homelessness and the work that OTDA is doing
10	to do away with homelessness statewide. This
11	was a joint project with your agency. Your
12	efforts are certainly applauded to reduce
13	homelessness overall for veterans
13 14	homelessness overall for veterans specifically.
14	specifically.
14 15	specifically. But what resources does the proposed
14 15 16	specifically. But what resources does the proposed budget set aside specifically for homeless
14 15 16 17	<pre>specifically. But what resources does the proposed budget set aside specifically for homeless veterans?</pre>
14 15 16 17 18	<pre>specifically. But what resources does the proposed budget set aside specifically for homeless veterans? EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: So</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>specifically. But what resources does the proposed budget set aside specifically for homeless veterans? EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: So first of all, I was happy to attend that</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>specifically. But what resources does the proposed budget set aside specifically for homeless veterans? EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: So first of all, I was happy to attend that groundbreaking in Buffalo, and I think you</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>specifically. But what resources does the proposed budget set aside specifically for homeless veterans? EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: So first of all, I was happy to attend that groundbreaking in Buffalo, and I think you and I had a chance to meet at that event, so</pre>

directly, you know, again, the \$63.5 million 1 2 that will be appropriated again for OTDA's 3 HHAP program is available for veterans' housing. And I can't -- I'll have to go back 4 5 and check with counsel to see if there's a specific set-aside. But again, OTDA was 6 7 happy to have a part of funding that project. SENATOR KENNEDY: What else do you 8 believe that we can do collectively to 9 10 address this issue of veterans' homelessness, 11 specifically veterans' homelessness among 12 females? EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: You 13 14 know, I think that might be a better question 15 for our colleagues at OASAS and OMH, as that 16 really lands on the human services/social services and not the capital projects side. 17 18 So I'll have to defer to our partner agencies 19 for a direct answer to the programmatic 20 question, Senator. 21 SENATOR KENNEDY: And just one more 22 question I'd like to bring up. The budget proposed includes \$35 million to create 23 24 22,000 new after-school slots. This funding

1

is aimed at cities included in this

2 anti-poverty initiative. We discussed this a
3 little earlier with the previous speaker, so
4 I won't go into all the details, but I'm
5 curious if OTDA will be involved in filling
6 these after-school slots.

7 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: OTDA will not have a direct role in implementing 8 this program, even though they are targeted 9 10 at the Empire State Poverty Reduction cities. 11 And we think that that's a good investment 12 and will go a long way toward alleviating 13 poverty, but I'll leave it to my colleague 14 Sheila Poole to address the programmatic 15 questions behind that.

16 SENATOR KENNEDY: And I suppose that 17 just leads me to another question. Should 18 your agency be involved or more involved in 19 filling these slots, since you're so involved 20 with the anti-poverty initiative?

21 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: 22 Again, programmatically, that's a little bit 23 out of our area, except as it may pertain to 24 homeless shelter operations. And we do work

1	closely with OCFS on the financial and
2	management side of it, because some of the
3	money does flow through from OTDA to OCFS.
4	SENATOR KENNEDY: Okay. Thank you.
5	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Thank
6	you.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Deputy
8	Commissioner, a few questions.
9	The Summer Youth Program, just could
10	you give a sense of the approximate numbers
11	served through that
12	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Sure.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: and whether that
14	number is increasing, decreasing?
15	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Sure.
16	So thank you for the question. I addressed
17	it briefly in my opening remarks.
18	The number of youth that were served
19	last year, I think, was 18,750 that comes
20	to mind. So the trick here for making sure
21	that each and every one of those slots will
22	be available and funded is to take into
23	account the minimum wage increase that the
24	Governor and the Legislature adopted last

1 year.

2	So to ensure that that to ensure
3	those same number of slots are available this
4	year as were filled last year, you'll see an
5	additional \$5 million appropriation for that
6	purpose.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: As someone who
8	represents mostly rural areas, the sense of
9	that being you know, I know that's been a
10	successful program. But the sense of that
11	being urban-driven, as opposed to some of
12	our, you know, also low-income unemployed
13	youth, that's certainly something that I
14	think for future policy the state should look
15	at.
16	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I
17	appreciate the comment. We'll take a look at
18	that. Thanks.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you.
20	There is a proposal in the budget to
21	do a Lottery intercept. Do we have any
22	estimate on what that should provide in
23	dollars?
24	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I

1	don't have that dollar value in front of me.
2	We'll get that number to you right away.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Okay.
4	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: But
5	the purpose of the proposal is to bring
6	Lottery intercepts in line with other forms
7	of intercepts that are made to recoup public
8	assistance dollars. So child support
9	payments, for example, and tax returns that
10	would be coming in from the federal
11	government.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you.
13	We have a number of programs in the
14	state that we continue to focus on trying to
15	serve people who are in poverty and whatever.
16	Is there anything in this budget or
17	initiatives that we're looking trying to
18	get people to help people leave poverty?
19	You know, it's kind of that challenge, I
20	think, that we have certainly people need
21	the services who are there, but getting them
22	beyond is the next step.
23	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Well,
24	overall, that is largely the purpose behind

the Public Assistance program that is funded largely by the federal government and, as was pointed out, when we get to the safety net assistance with the local share. So that's why as part of those programs there's an employment training component.

7 In addition, I mentioned a couple of 8 other programs that the Governor had initiated that gets to exactly that goal, 9 10 such as raising the minimum wage, increasing the number of childcare slots, increasing the 11 12 number of pre-K and after-school slots that are available. Not to mention the SNAP 13 14 increase that I mentioned a minute ago, on 15 top of expanding, you know, the HEAP program 16 across the State of New York.

17 So we think as a group, together with 18 the minimum TANF requirements, we think as a 19 group that provides a program to get to 20 exactly what you've mentioned.

ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Senator Kennedy
mentioned the poverty initiative, and I know
the Rochester area and in that general region
is focused some on that.

1 I was at a forum recently, Catholic 2 Charities, where we were talking about some 3 of their initiatives and working cooperatively on that. One of the handouts 4 5 at that event was giving a perspective of what a single mom, two young children, not 6 7 working -- what benefits might lead to, and all the different things to support her and 8 her family. If she was at minimum wage, and 9 10 then the chart went out -- if she was at minimum wage, and adding all those benefits 11 12 up. 13 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Yup. 14 ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: And then it goes 15 out, as you make \$12, \$15, \$18, \$20-plus an 16 hour. And the issue in there sort of brings up the whole benefits cliff issue in the 17 18 sense that as you make more money, then you 19 get reduced services. 20 Are we looking at ways to try to address the whole benefits cliff issue in 21 22 trying to help make it for working individuals who are also trying to support 23

24 families?

1 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: So at 2 the risk of repeating myself, these are the 3 programs that I just outlined that are part 4 of the Governor's initiative that are aimed 5 at doing exactly that, the smoothing out of that cliff and providing supports for folks 6 7 who are coming off public assistance, for example. 8

So SNAP dollars are available for 9 10 folks who are not necessarily -- the eligibility rates are different than for 11 12 public assistance. Eligibility is different for homeless -- for the heating assistance 13 14 programs, and eligibility is different in 15 some respects for the pre-K and after-school 16 programs.

So we are thinking, with these 17 18 programs, beyond what the basic eligibility 19 levels are for public assistance for that 20 exact purpose, so folks can continue to 21 receive some level of supports in different 22 areas should they -- and hopefully they do -become more self-sustaining and are no longer 23 24 on public assistance.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you.
2	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Thank
3	you.
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
5	Senator Krueger.
6	SENATOR KRUEGER: Good morning.
7	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Good
8	morning, Senator.
9	SENATOR KRUEGER: So many different
10	directions. Let's start with Senator
11	Young asked about the Safety Net Program
12	growth. And I'm just curious, is that a
13	statewide growth pattern, or are you seeing
14	that in specific areas?
15	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN:
16	That's a statewide appropriation. I don't
17	have a geographic breakdown.
18	SENATOR KRUEGER: No, the population
19	growth on safety net. Was there an actual
20	population increase on the Safety Net Program
21	between last year and this year?
22	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: We
23	haven't seen a fundamental geographic
24	breakdown of that, Senator. I could take a

look and see if we have data that would
 address that question.

3 SENATOR KRUEGER: Okay. Because one of the things I know about the Safety Net 4 5 Program is there's disproportionately been a growth in the number of people on it, singles 6 7 cases and family cases, where an adult or adults are suffering from some kind of 8 disability and they're not really able to go 9 10 to work. Is that your understanding? EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Well, 11 12 I think -- I think, just broadly -- I think 13 that's probably a fair statement, since the 14 TANF program is a five-year limited and 15 safety net picks up after that five-year 16 eligibility period. So I think it would be fair to say 17 18 that, you know, a component of the safety net

19 population -- but again, we'll see if we have 20 some data specifically on who among the 21 safety net recipients are in the category of 22 disabled. I have to take a look at that. 23 SENATOR KRUEGER: And then if you 24 could also look into and perhaps get back to

1 all of us on the amount of money we're 2 putting into disability advocacy to help 3 people move on to SSI/SSD, which is actually the more appropriate benefit for them if they 4 5 are suffering a long-term disability. EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: 6 7 Mm-hmm. SENATOR KRUEGER: And also, of course, 8 it's federally funded, so it's a win for the 9 10 counties in not having local share. And at 11 least my experience in New York City is the 12 programs have been underfunded, but they're 13 incredibly effective at helping people move 14 onto the correct benefits. 15 So I just -- listening to my 16 colleagues from the rest of the state, I hope your agency will take a look at whether we 17 18 can do more to help with disability advocacy to move people onto the appropriate benefits. 19 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I 20 21 would say we would broadly agree, Senator. So thank you, and we will take a look at 22 that. 23 24 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.

1 You also talked about SNAP and the 2 importance of expanding participation among 3 people who are eligible. I'm a big supporter 4 of SNAP, and I used to do that work before I 5 became a Senator, including under contract 6 with the city and state to assist people to 7 get benefits.

The research consistently has shown 8 9 that an enormous population who are eligible 10 but don't participate are people who actually do come in for healthcare benefits. 11 So 12 they're Medicaid-eligible, they may be 13 Essential Benefits category now through ACA. 14 Have we done anything to try to provide SNAP 15 applications through the sites that are 16 assisting, in the last couple of years, millions of additional New Yorkers to 17 18 participate in Medicaid or Essential Benefits 19 through the exchange? EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Sure. 20 21 So it's my understanding that in the most --22 in the cities and in the counties that, you

24 application process should someone come in

23

know -- SNAP is very much part of an

1 and apply for Medicaid. So the outreach with 2 the social services professionals around the State of New York I think is there. 3 Where I think we do see some shortages 4 5 and some shortfalls -- and in my own 6 experience, and you might know I spent 7 15 years in county government -- is among the 8 elderly population and also, in some cases, 9 among the rural populations in the State of 10 New York. That's one reason why you've seen 11 the Governor's proposal to make sure that 12 we're partnering with foundations, the 13 private sector, to leverage SNAP outreach 14 dollars in particular for senior citizens, 15 and I think we'll be looking especially at 16 the City of New York over the course of this 17 year. 18 SENATOR KRUEGER: I would add one to 19 your list. EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Sure. 20 SENATOR KRUEGER: In discussions 21 22 recently with a group of advocates for hunting in New York State, a discussion came 23 24 out about people hunting to provide food for

1 their families. Why don't we do SNAP 2 applications when we do hunting licenses? EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: 3 4 That's a great idea. 5 SENATOR KRUEGER: And fishing 6 licenses. 7 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Why don't we talk with DEC about that? 8 9 SENATOR KRUEGER: Okay, I will. But 10 hunting and fishing licenses -- people are 11 hunting and fishing --12 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Sure. SENATOR KRUEGER: -- both for sport 13 but also for food, and I think that's 14 15 probably, particularly in rural New York 16 State, a very good way to reach out to a population that might not ever have been 17 18 exposed to this program. EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I'll 19 20 be sure someone in our agency reaches out to 21 our counterparts at DEC, so thank you. 22 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. 23 Homelessness issues -- and for my 24 city, the numbers are terrifying. You talk

1 about it in your testimony, work going 2 forward to provide funds for supportive 3 housing and for other services involving the 4 shelters. 5 So in '15-'16 we approved money through a JPMorgan settlement for supportive 6 7 housing. Last year during this budget hearing, that money had not gone out yet. Do 8 you know if that money's gone out? 9 10 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: You might be referring to the MOU that was much 11 12 discussed? 13 SENATOR KRUEGER: No. It was the year 14 before that. 15 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Year before that? I'm sorry, I can't address the 16 specific question, Senator. That was before 17 18 my time at OTDA. And not to make excuses, 19 but we'll try to get an answer for you. 20 SENATOR KRUEGER: Okay. So I'll ask 21 you to do some follow-up on even earlier than the MOU, which has of course not been signed 22 and so those monies have not been spent. 23 24 My understanding is the Governor has

1 rolled those unspent monies into this year's 2 budget, with some additional money to go 3 forward. So -- but technically, we're way behind where we ought to be on providing 4 5 additional funding for supportive housing and other forms of affordable housing 6 7 specifically for the homeless population. EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Yeah, 8 I would respond then, just coming back to my 9 10 testimony, that some of the supportive housing units -- we are moving forward with 11 12 supportive housing development in the State 13 of New York, as I pointed out. About 1200 14 units have already been funded and are in 15 various stages of progress through monies 16 that had already been approved. So as to the MOU, that has not yet 17 18 been fully executed. As you know, the 19 Governor directed the budget director to sign 20 the MOU, so how and why the Senate and the 21 Assembly -- I can't speak to the reasoning 22 behind not to -- to full execution, but OTDA and our partners at HCR and OMH are moving 23 24 forward pretty expeditiously.

SENATOR KRUEGER: Next question. And
 it does tie into all those agencies you just
 mentioned, but I think I'm going to make OTDA
 the key agency on this.

5 So my district has Bellevue Men's Shelter in it, the entryway to the singles 6 7 homeless system in New York City. Bellevue Men's Shelter's, I believe, has approximately 8 750 to 800 beds. There's been a skyrocketing 9 10 number of people who are released from DOCCS facilities, State OMH facilities, other state 11 12 institutions, with the only discharge plan being drop them off for entry into the 13 14 homeless shelter system in my district.

15 That can't be the right answer. And 16 it isn't legally the right answer. And based on discussions with the city, the number has 17 18 been growing. So it's as if the state 19 agencies have decided we don't have to deal 20 with discharge planning. People will hit the 21 day we decided they legally can leave or we want them to leave, and then they just take 22 them down to 29th Street and First Avenue in 23 24 Manhattan.

1 It's a lousy model. What can we do 2 about it?

EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Well, 3 thank you for the question, Senator. It's 4 5 been very much top of mind in the short time that I've been with OTDA, and we're working 6 7 very closely with our partners at DHS and the city and the other districts around the 8 9 state. It's a question that came up at the 10 New York Public Welfare Association, 11 primarily attended by the district 12 commissioners from outside of New York City. This matter was brought to our 13 14 attention when I first walked in the door 15 about a year ago at OTDA. We invited the Department of Corrections to address the 16 association last summer. We began putting 17 18 forward, together with DOCCS and the Public 19 Welfare Association, a plan to at least 20 notify the counties when a discharge is being 21 planned from the prison system. 22 Moreover, we've been in much more

23 close discussions with DHS and with the24 Department of Corrections in New York City in

particular. OTDA's role here is to work with DHS and, more importantly, make the connections with the providers who operate the facilities to make sure that we're facilitating a relationship with the Department of Corrections.

7 I would also add that the Governor's
8 Interagency Council on the Homeless has
9 formed a task force that over the course of
10 this year will be addressing this issue in
11 detail with Corrections, with OTDA, with DHS
12 and the other district providers around in
13 the counties around the State of New York.

14 So it's very much on our radar scope. 15 We don't have any answers yet, but it's a 16 thorny issue. And it's an important one, as 17 you pointed out.

18 SENATOR KRUEGER: And correlated to 19 that, specifically with discharge from DOCCS, 20 how are we doing with programs so that people 21 can have their Medicaid applications started 22 or even completed before they're discharged 23 so that when they return to their local home 24 district, and particularly if they've been

1 taking meds for both health issues and mental 2 health issues while in prison, that they 3 don't find themselves literally with a 45-day 4 gap before they're eligible for anything? EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I 5 understand the issue, but I hesitate to speak 6 7 for the Department of Corrections or the Department of Health on the matter. But I 8 9 can tell you that there will very, very 10 likely be an issue specifically on the agenda for the Interagency Council Task Force. 11 12 SENATOR KRUEGER: But it is OTDA that 13 oversees the application process for 14 Medicaid, right? 15 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: 16 Medicaid -- in -- through the DSS offices, right. We oversee that and we also 17 18 tangentially handle fair hearings issues, you 19 know, around Medicaid eligibility. Yes. 20 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. 21 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 22 We've been joined by Senator 23 Velmanette Montgomery. 24 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.

1	We have been joined by Assemblywoman
2	Tremaine Wright.
3	Next, Assemblywoman Lupardo.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Hello. Just a
5	couple of quick questions.
6	Let's talk about SNAP just for a
7	minute. I suspect that one group that is
8	underutilizing SNAP benefits are older
9	adults. You alluded to that earlier.
10	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN:
11	Mm-hmm.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: I understand
13	that a simplified SNAP application is a
14	possibility, because it's fairly complicated
15	and I think it's off-putting to older people
16	in general. Can you give us an update on
17	your progress in moving toward a more
18	simplified approach for older adults?
19	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: You
20	may be referring to the IES online system
21	that will ultimately be available to simplify
22	and combine the application process, in this
23	case between SNAP and Medicaid in particular,
24	since the eligibility information is very,

1 very similar.

2	So IES, the IES project is progressing
3	very nicely. We have submitted a funding
4	proposal to the federal government, who will
5	provide a significant portion of the funding
6	for the development of that system. But I
7	think more directly, I think part of the
8	solution is ensuring that we have local
9	agencies, such as aging service providers and
10	the districts, who are facilitating the
11	enrollments and undertaking the outreach
12	that's necessary.
13	We understand the complexity behind
14	it. Frankly, I think the SNAP application is
15	one of the easier ones. But we think that
16	you know, again, SNAP is a key antipoverty
17	program, and the greater we can expand it,
18	the greater chance we have of keeping people
19	healthy and off public assistance.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: There's also a
21	built-in reluctance on their part to be a
22	burden and take advantage of something that
23	they really should. And so any assistance we
24	can provide with education and providing them

with that comfort level I think would be
 really appreciated as well.

EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: You 3 4 know, it's a priority for the Governor, it's 5 a priority for OTDA. And personally, you 6 know, it's one of, in my time in the county 7 governments, one of my favorites. ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Thanks. 8 9 Could you talk about the HEAP 10 expansion? Because I understand the Governor is suggesting it could be then used for 11 12 weatherization purposes. EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Yes. 13 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Two questions. 14 15 How much of the HEAP grant is currently used 16 each year? And is it possible that this could detract from its primary use? Just 17

18 trying to get the overall landscape on the 19 funds and this particular diversion, or this 20 expansion.

21 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: So 22 the thinking behind this proposal is taking 23 \$14.5 million and providing it under contract 24 to NYSERDA. NYSERDA has, you know, an

1 extensive network of contractors who do 2 relatively low-dollar-value weatherizations 3 in homes. It's not the only weatherization 4 program that's out there. Homes and 5 Community Renewal also has a weatherization 6 program, but their model tends to be more of 7 a whole-house approach.

8 So by taking some of the HEAP dollars 9 and putting it into a NYSERDA program, we 10 hope to serve many, many more households than 11 perhaps were in the past. So the thinking is 12 to not only touch more households, but to put 13 the emphasis on energy conservation rather 14 than simply paying a utility bill.

15 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Understood. 16 And I just have one last question about the Lottery intercept. You said you 17 18 were trying to align this with child support 19 payments, for example. Do they have a 20 similar 100 percent recoupment for 10 years? 21 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I believe that's the case, yes. 22 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: So it's not --23

24 we understood that the rationale was to cut

1 down on illegal purchases that occur while in 2 receipt of public assistance. So that wasn't the connection? 3 4 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I 5 think there might be two different issues in play here, Assemblymember. The Lottery 6 7 intercept is really a matter of giving the state another tool to recoup dollars that 8 should be owed back to the state under the 9 10 Public Assistance Program. 11 The EBT card system and the misuse of 12 EBT cards in locations that are perhaps suspect and where EBT cards are being used in 13 14 a way that they shouldn't be used --15 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: To purchase 16 Lottery tickets sometimes, I think that was the --17 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Oh, 18 I'm sorry, I misunderstood. 19 20 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: No, I wasn't 21 clear. EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I 22 23 misunderstood, yeah. 24 So our enforcement system behind the

1 use of EBT cards is really aimed at the 2 vendors. So if we find that an EBT card has 3 been misused in any way -- and we have many, 4 many ways of finding that out -- our 5 enforcement mechanism directly, on top of the investigations that are done by the local 6 7 districts, is to work very, very closely with some of our state partners. Including the 8 Gaming Commission, which would address the 9 10 Lottery issue, and also the State Liquor Authority, which would address inappropriate 11 12 use of EBT benefits in those locations under 13 their jurisdiction. 14 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Okay. Thank 15 you. 16 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Thank 17 you. 18 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 19 Senator? 20 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 21 Senator Persaud. 22 SENATOR PERSAUD: Thank you, Deputy Commissioner. 23 24 My first question to you is pertaining

1 to the shelters that you evaluated, and 2 97 percent of them had major issues. Did you 3 have the opportunity to close any shelters while you were doing the survey? 4 5 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Well, first -- let me clarify, first of all, that 6 7 97 percent of the shelters that we inspected had some level of violation. So each and 8 every one of those violations we kind of 9 10 assigned a ranking or a score to. We used the numbers 1 through 3, Level 3, Level 2, 11 12 Level 1, Level 3 being those violations which 13 presented a very immediate risk to health and 14 safety of a resident of that shelter unit. 15 So those issues were -- in some cases 16 we demanded the removal of the family and

relocation to another safe location. In some 17 18 cases, we ordered the immediate remediation, 19 in cases where an issue could be addressed 20 within hours without having to relocate the 21 family. So I think there was a total of 22 approximately 100 units of housing in New York City where one of those two things 23 24 occurred.

1 So the Level 2 and the Level 1 2 violations, being less of a risk to health 3 and public safety, were all put under what we call a corrective action plan. And a 4 5 corrective action plan is simply a tool, kind of a term of art that we use to hold the 6 7 local districts accountable. So it would be, in New York City, DHS, and in the county, 8 departments of social services who are 9 10 directly responsible for ensuring that those violations get corrected. And we used the 11 12 ranking system as a matter of triaging what 13 was most important. 14 Enforcement tools that the agency has 15 include suspension of payments to the local district should the violations not be 16 corrected; closure of the facilities; and 17 18 removal of the operators, for example, who in most cases are not-for-profit operators who 19 20 operate many, many of the shelters in the State of New York. 21 22 So we've used those tools. I don't

22 So we've used those cools. I don't
23 think we've done a suspension of payment.
24 Keeping in mind that the overall purpose of

1 our enforcement program is one of cooperation 2 and one of -- we're very, very conscious of 3 not taking shelter units offline, and understanding that there is, you know, a 4 5 shortage of available units, especially in New York City. I mean, you know --6 7 SENATOR PERSAUD: Oh, we know. EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I'm 8 9 sure you all heard the term cluster sites, 10 and we've all heard the -- are concerned 11 about the use of hotel-motels. Nobody at 12 ODTA and I'm sure no one at DHS thinks those 13 are the best uses or the best locations for homeless individuals and families. But 14 15 nonetheless, we're very conscious about not 16 taking units offline, while being very, very diligent about enforcing the correction of 17 18 violations. 19

19 So toward that end, we've taken an 20 approach where we will maintain staffing, we 21 will maintain a regulatory structure, and we 22 will maintain an inspection process and 23 schedule that really, really stays on top of 24 each of the shelters, each of the 1 jurisdictions in the state that are

2 responsible for these repairs, and ensuring
3 that they do in fact get made.

And in most cases I'm happy to report we've had good cooperation, in DHS in particular. We all recognize we're kind of in the same business, we have the same interests in some ways. But OTDA will not hesitate to use the enforcement powers at its disposal.

11 SENATOR PERSAUD: One other thing. It 12 covers the Lottery and the decision that 13 someone winning the Lottery, if they were 14 receiving public assistance, has to repay it 15 a hundred percent. Can you tell us what was 16 the justification for that policy? 17 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN:

EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Again, it's aligning the ability to intercept income from individuals that would, as I think we noted, include income tax refunds and child support payments that might be paid. So it's simply to give us another tool to recoup more money for the taxpayers that should be paid, that the public assistance 1 system should be recouping.

2	SENATOR PERSAUD: Okay. But aren't
3	you afraid that sometimes it will force
4	someone back into a public assistance role,
5	if you
6	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I'm
7	sorry, Senator? I couldn't hear you.
8	SENATOR PERSAUD: If you're taking the
9	hundred percent, you're asking them to repay
10	a hundred percent, aren't you afraid that in
11	some cases you may be pushing someone back to
12	public assistance?
13	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: You
14	know what, I don't think that's a serious
15	concern on our part. Keeping in mind that
16	the public assistance programs are
17	eligibility-based, to begin with. So if you
18	have a source of income, either from Lottery
19	winnings or an inheritance or, you know, you
20	sell a car or something like that, all those
21	assets are taken into consideration in the
22	eligibility process.
23	SENATOR PERSAUD: Okay, thank you.
24	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Thank

1 you.

2	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblyman
4	DenDekker.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Thank you,
6	Mr. Chairman.
7	I'm going to try to stay on the topic,
8	for a moment, of the Lottery intercept. So
9	over the last 10 years, how many New Yorkers
10	have received some sort of public assistance?
11	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN:
12	Public assistance caseload I have that
13	here for you. The public assistance caseload
14	is approximately 559,000 at this point.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: And that's
16	over the last 10 years?
17	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Oh,
18	no, that's in the last it was as of
19	July 2016.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Okay, as of
21	July 2016. But this intercept goes back for
22	10 years
23	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN:
24	There's a 10-year lookback period, yeah.

1 ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: There could be 2 many people that 10 years ago were on public 3 assistance, have since gotten off and have 4 gotten jobs and are now working and doing 5 very well and maybe play the Lottery. And they go play a lottery ticket, and they beat 6 7 the odds and they get \$700. And the state wants to take all of that money from that 8 person to recoup the taxpayer money that we 9 10 spent nine years ago? 11 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Т 12 think that's an accurate characterization. 13 If you're looking for a number of 14 people this might impact, we can get you that 15 data. 16 ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Okay, I 17 appreciate that. 18 So now what I want to know is, do you 19 have on every Lottery terminal in the State 20 of New York a notice to people saying "Before 21 you purchase a lottery ticket, if you 22 received any type of public assistance in the last 10 years and you win, we're going to be 23 24 taking back the money that we gave you"?

Because of all those hundreds of thousands of people, which we know the chance of winning the Lottery is so minute -- I would imagine you freely take all of their money, but when it comes time to give it back to them, we don't want to.

7 So don't you think it would be 8 appropriate, then, to put a notice at every Lottery terminal telling residents of the 9 10 State of New York that if they received any 11 money at all in the last 10 years for any 12 type of public assistance and they win over 13 \$600, then you're going to take all the money 14 from them?

EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Well, we already have -- the intercept already applies to 50 percent of Lottery winnings over \$600, so this would simply move it from 50 percent to 100 percent.

20ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: I understand,21but now you're going to 100.

22 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Sure.
23 So -- but to your suggestion about,
24 you know, posting a notice, that's something

1 that could be addressed with Racing & 2 Wagering and the Gaming Commission. That's 3 not -- would not be an OTDA responsibility. We do not have any oversight over the Lottery 4 5 system. ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Would that 6 7 also include the video lottery terminals at the racinos? Because they're technically the 8 9 Lottery. 10 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Those Lottery winnings would -- they would be 11 12 subject to this intercept. But again, to your point about notification, I think that's 13 14 an issue that could be explored with the 15 Gaming Commission. 16 ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: So would it be maybe safe to say that we should also notify 17 18 people maybe if they have gambled at all and 19 lost, that they should get their money back, 20 seeing you were going to take it from them 21 anyway? Or should that money be deducted 22 from any of the public assistance money they received 10 years ago, because they have 23 24 given money back to the state.

1 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I'm
2 not quite sure I understand the scenario
3 you --

ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: So let's say 4 5 10 years ago I was on public assistance and I received -- and by the way, I would imagine 6 7 as people get off of public assistance, we don't give them a bill so they know what that 8 amount would be, because we're going to leave 9 10 it up to you to someday tell them, after you take their money, how much you gave them. 11

I think if someone gets off public I think if someone gets off public assistance, then you should give them a bill saying, you know, while you were on public assistance, we gave you \$45,000, and someday we're going to try to get it back from you over the next 10 years. We should tell them that.

19And then what we should also do is if20they are playing the lottery for nine years21and they've lost a thousand dollars a year22for nine years, we should deduct that \$9,00023from the \$45,000 that you gave them, because24they gave the money back to the state and

1 they didn't win.

2	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I
3	understand the concern, Assemblyman.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: So do you
5	think we should take that into consideration
6	and follow that data?
7	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: We'll
8	take that under advisement. You know, I'm
9	not prepared to discuss the details of that
10	scenario that you just laid out today.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: I'm just very
12	concerned of the hundreds of thousands of
13	people that are playing lotteries or maybe
14	going to racino that receive some sort of
15	public assistance and have no idea that they
16	could be held liable for it in the future,
17	and that we are not keeping track or not
18	advising them to keep track of anything that
19	they spend back to the state that would be
20	considered giving back the money.
21	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Okay,
22	I understand the concern very well now.
23	Thank you.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Okay.

1	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
2	Senator?
3	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Montgomery.
4	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Thank you. Good
5	afternoon, or good morning. I want to ask
6	you there are so many things that I would
7	like to ask, but because of time constraints,
8	I'm going to just stick with the whole issue
9	of the housing.
10	Obviously, one of the most painful
11	situations that we have going on in the city
12	is the large number of homeless families with
13	children. Half the homeless people in the
14	city are actually children.
15	So in your testimony and in the
16	Governor's plan, you talk about the
17	\$20 billion proposed investment in affordable
18	housing, and of the 100,000 units that you
19	anticipate to result from that funding, 6,000
20	of those units will be supportive housing.
21	And you also mention that 540 new supportive
22	housing units have come online. Is that this
23	year, this past year, the 540 units?

24 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: This

1 past year. And that 540 is not included in either the 6,000 or 100,000 units. 2 3 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Okay, so this is over and above the 6,000. 4 5 And can you give me at some point -maybe not just immediately now, but I would 6 7 like to know, of those 540 supportive housing units, how many might be in districts like 8 mine in Brooklyn? 9 10 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: 11 Certainly. We keep track of that data very 12 carefully. We'll get that to you directly, Senator. 13 14 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: I would 15 appreciate that. 16 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: 17 Certainly. SENATOR MONTGOMERY: And you talk 18 19 about a process that I think is really very, 20 very important and that is that you partner 21 with HCR and OMH, and together you provide 22 housing for people with varying needs in terms of supportive housing. 23 24 So the Northwell report, which is a

1 report to look at ways and proposed ways in 2 which we can improve healthcare delivery in 3 Brooklyn, they include in their recommendations an area that relates to 4 5 housing. Because according to them, and I certainly agree, that healthcare delivery 6 7 includes, to some extent -- there are hospitals in my district where people remain 8 in the hospital because they have nowhere to 9 10 go when they leave. So the hospital becomes a homeless shelter, in a sense. 11 12 And I know that the model that you 13 talk about really does work. There is an 14 organization that specifically provides that 15 model, and it works very, very well.

16 So my question to you is, how much of the process in terms of looking forward at 17 the 6,000 units -- you mention in your report 18 19 that you are looking to support -- to bring 20 on 1200 units. So I'm just wondering how 21 much of that reflects this process that we 22 know works, that makes a lot of sense for both providers of services as well as people 23 24 who are in need of those services. So I'm

1 just asking you to talk about that a little 2 bit. EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Sure. 3 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: And also you 4 5 should know that some people end up going into homeless shelters because it's required 6 7 that they be in a shelter in order to be 8 eligible for permanent housing. That, to me, is an absolute disgraceful kind of process. 9 10 So those two issues I would like for you to respond to, if you will. 11 12 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Okay. 13 Well, thank you. And I'm going to suggest 14 that perhaps you ask the same question of 15 Mr. Rubin tomorrow, because a lot of what you 16 are addressing here has to do with HCR's 17 programs. 18 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Absolutely. I 19 intend to, yes. EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: But I 20 21 think a key point here is that most of the 22 supportive housing projects in the State of New York that I am familiar with are really 23 24 part of a large partnership. In some

1

cases -- I was at a supportive housing

2 groundbreaking in Troy late last year where I
3 think there were 12 separate funding partners
4 tied to it. Together with supportive housing
5 providers, it really must be a
6 community-based effort.

7 So each of the programs has a slightly 8 different application process. HHAP, for example, the program run by our agency, has a 9 10 rolling RFP process. So over the course of 11 the year, we'll take applications that meet 12 the criteria for the program, and we score 13 them. And it's not a ranking, it's just a 14 pass/fail. So those programs that, you know, 15 pass our scoring test -- which include 16 complete funding, which include an indication that they can continue to operate the 17 18 supportive programs going forward -- if they 19 pass that test, they get into sort of a 20 first-come-first-served line for approval by the board. 21

22 So HCR has a little bit of a different 23 model. OMH is operating an RFP process 24 called the ESSHI, we call it; it's the Empire

1 State Supportive Housing Initiative, which is 2 their component here. So it's, again, a 3 very, very collaborative process, not just within state agencies but with the 4 5 communities. SENATOR MONTGOMERY: So will you --6 7 since this model really works, and we're hopeful that based on the Northwell report 8 and the possibility of us having 9 10 opportunities for supportive housing to be developed in Brooklyn, and the tremendous 11 12 need that we have for it, can you streamline 13 that process a little bit more so that it's 14 not so difficult to actually bring online and 15 implement that kind of program? 16 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Well, first of all, I must confess I'm not familiar 17 18 with the report you referred to. 19 Secondly, due to the funding streams 20 that I just noted, the different 21 requirements -- particularly where federal 22 money is involved, which is the case with HCR programs -- I would say that what we've done 23 24 internally to address the streamlining issue

1 is -- I know at OTDA we provide very, very 2 hands-on support from our staff to assist 3 with the application process, to assist with 4 the program, assembling the program, and even 5 to keep an eye on the actual project development going forward. So we understand 6 7 the complexities, we understand the timelines. 8 In OTDA -- I mentioned the scoring 9 10 process. If a project applicant comes in and does not meet the minimum criteria, we're 11 12 more than willing to go work with the not-for-profit for the project sponsor to 13 14 show them where they fell short, suggest ways 15 that they could put together an application 16 process that could ultimately be funded. So I think the way we address the 17

18 complexity is in a combination of 19 understanding the complexity and, secondly, 20 doing quite a bit of handholding and 21 advisement to the applicants. 22 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: And I certainly

23 appreciate that.

24 And I'd just like to say that

1 hopefully you are looking at, when it comes 2 to affordable housing in particular, 3 supportive housing and et cetera, that you're looking at the not-for-profit housing 4 5 community to lead the way to development of these units. Because it just seems that we 6 7 get more benefit when we remove the profit motive, which is what is, you know, inherent 8 in a private developer's motivation. 9 10 So hopefully we'll see a lot more of

11 what you just described as your participation 12 in helping people to apply for this, putting 13 together this complicated process as well as 14 relying a lot on the not-for-profit housing 15 community, some of whom are extremely expert 16 in doing this kind of housing and 17 programming.

18 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN:
19 Absolutely, Senator. Thank you for the
20 comment.

21 Most of the projects that are 22 undertaken by HHAP -- and again, I'm not 23 speaking for my colleague Commissioner 24 Rubin -- most of them are -- the project

1 sponsors or the key sponsors are

2 not-for-profits.

SENATOR MONTGOMERY: And not to be so 3 parochial, but I'm going to ask you and 4 Commissioner Rubin to come to our district 5 and look at some of the projects that we've 6 7 been able to get you to do that work so well. And I think it's just important for us to try 8 to do as much as we can to eliminate this 9 10 problem of homelessness by doing quality affordable housing programs that really work 11 12 for people. EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I 13 14 think you're spot on, Senator, so I 15 appreciate that very much. And if Mr. Roberts was here today, he would tell you 16 how many trips, how many miles he's put on 17 18 his car going to ribbon-cuttings and visiting 19 projects. I wish I could say I've had the 20 time to do the same. But we are very much 21 hands-on at the top levels of our agency, so 22 thank you.

23 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Thank you,24 Commissioner.

1 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 3 We've been joined by Kimberly Jean-Pierre. 4 5 And next to question, Assemblywoman Wright. 6 7 ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: Good afternoon. 8 I wanted to ask a few questions regarding -well, I have a few questions that I'm going 9 10 to lay out for you. So one I didn't fully 11 understand, with the Lottery grab-back, what 12 public benefits are currently recouped at 100 percent? And if you could just give us a 13 14 list of what those benefits are. So if it's 15 HEAP, if it's welfare benefits, if it's SNAP dollars, what -- just so I can get a clear 16 picture of what exactly the grab-back or the 17 18 recouping effects. 19 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I'm 20 sorry, Assemblymember, I couldn't quite hear 21 the front end of your question. 22 ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: Oh, okay. So 23 this is regarding the Lottery recoupment. 24 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN:

1 Lottery intercept, okay.

2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: Yes. I wanted
3	to understand what public benefits are
4	currently being recaptured at 50 percent and
5	that are going to be thereafter captured at
6	100 percent.
7	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I
8	know the Public Assistance Program is
9	included in that. I will have to get some
10	detail from counsel and program staff as to
11	whether it applies to HEAP or SNAP. Or
12	anything else, certainly.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: Okay, just give
14	us the global picture.
15	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Sure,
16	absolutely.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: And while I'm
18	asking for lists, I am in a district that
19	overlaps with Senator Montgomery's, and I
20	would also like to I know that you're
21	preparing a list of supportive housing units
22	within that district. So if you could please
23	provide one to me, specifically, under
24	District 56 as well.

1 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: 2 Certainly. Happy to do that. ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: Thank you. 3 And I wanted to ask you about the 4 5 supportive housing for our aging community. 6 I know that we said that we have dollars for 7 the actual structure. Do we also have dollars and are you providing or doing a 8 set-aside so that we can have supportive 9 10 services within the building, be it caretakers, rehabilitation --11 12 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Yes. 13 Yeah. So that's a very, very important 14 component of the supportive housing program 15 that the Governor has initiated, and there 16 are two main funding sources. OTDA has a source of funding that 17 18 provides -- beyond capital, beyond 19 construction services. So we have a program 20 within OTDA. 21 And I mentioned what we kind of 22 short-term call ESSHI, the Empire State Housing Initiative, which was another 23 24 initiative of the Governor's last year. That

1 is being managed by the Office of Mental

Health.
ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: And so they're
both providing dollars for the -EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN:
Actual operating and services within

supportive housing, yes.

7

ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: Okay. And I 8 think Senator Krueger was asking you about 9 10 discharge plans for those being released from 11 prisons and jails. And so I wanted to ask 12 you to speak a little bit more fully about the collaborative work that you said that 13 14 you're going to be doing with DOC, and --15 because one of the concerns that was raised in our community was that folks who suffer 16 from mental illness, particularly I believe 17 it was bipolar disease, are no longer given 18 19 prescriptions upon release because we're no 20 longer allowed to, and that they are -- and 21 they also don't have a home to return to, so 22 they're going into the homeless shelters. So they have a prescription waiting at some 23 24 pharmacy that may be close to where they used

to live; however, they have no home in that
 district.

3 And so how are we -- I would like to know, how is that going to be addressed? And 4 5 what efforts are being made so that we can capture that population and actually keep 6 7 them on their medications? EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Sure. 8 I think that's an important point, and I 9 10 think much more in the lane of the Department 11 of Health, for example, in terms of 12 medications and how and where they're 13 prescribed. 14 ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: But aren't you 15 going to do a -- and I might be wrong; I 16 thought I saw something that said it's going to be case management for the formerly 17 incarcerated here? 18 19 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: We will be putting -- part of that -- I 20 mentioned the task force that will be formed 21 22 as part of the Governor's Interagency Council 23 on Homelessness. So they will begin their

work over the course of 2017, make

24

1 recommendations for the Department of 2 Corrections, for the human services agencies, 3 for the shelter systems. So I would expect 4 that the concern that you mention will be 5 very much part of that agenda. 6 ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: So who's going 7 to be on this -- I'm sorry if I missed that, but who's going to be on this task force? 8 9 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: The 10 Department of Corrections, OTDA, I believe DOH and OASAS and perhaps OMH. 11 12 That task force is still under formation. You might know that the task 13 14 force is being led by Fran Barrett, directly 15 from the Governor's office, and is staffed by 16 OTDA. So we'll be happy to, you know, get you more information as that task force 17 18 develops. 19 ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: Thank you. 20 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: 21 You're welcome. Thank you. 22 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 23 Senator? 24 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Yes, Senator

1 Comrie.

2	SENATOR COMRIE: Thank you.
3	Commissioner, I wanted to align myself
4	with the admonitions from the other members
5	regarding the Lottery winnings. I think it's
6	just unfair and it really needs to be looked
7	at. I think that if and I hope that there
8	will be some changes made before the
9	Executive Budget. So I just want to align
10	myself with those thoughts, and also bring up
11	some other issues.
12	Number one, in my mind, the Summer
13	Youth Employment Program. I do see a
14	\$5 million cost-of-living adjustment, but I
15	haven't seen an increase in the number of
16	slots in the Summer Youth Employment Program
17	for at least 10 years. And I wanted to know
18	why are we still stuck at the same number of
19	slots that we've had for 10 years when the
20	need for summer youth to have job
21	opportunities is consistently increasing?
22	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Well,
23	I would say that as a matter of balancing the
24	budget and maintaining the number of youth

1 employment slots that we had last year, it 2 was important to the Governor to add 3 \$5 million to the program. So, you know, just in the context of the entire budget --4 5 SENATOR COMRIE: But it doesn't increase the number of slots. 6 7 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Well, that's correct. But if the appropriation 8 stayed flat, that number of slots would have 9 10 fallen. So at the very least, you know, the Governor wants to maintain the same number of 11 12 slots that we had last year with the 13 additional appropriation. SENATOR COMRIE: But in every year 14 there's at least a three-to-one or 15 16 four-to-one number regarding the people that applied and the people that actually get 17 jobs. And hopefully -- you know, I'm a 18 19 beneficiary of the Summer Youth Employment 20 Program. It gave me my first job experience, 21 my first opportunity to work outside of home. 22 And I just don't understand why we haven't worked to increase the number of 23 24 slots available, not just to increase to

1 adjust for inflation or adjust to deal with 2 the minimum wage. The opportunity for young people especially, all over the state, to 3 have a first work experience that's real and 4 5 valid is really becoming more and more difficult, because the number of people that 6 7 are applying and eligible and are frustrated 8 by this continues to grow.

9 So I would hope that we could increase 10 the number of actual slots this year. I 11 don't think the actual number of slots have 12 been increased for 10 years, and we need to 13 take a hard look at that. And I hope that 14 that can be done as well.

I'm also concerned about some of the 15 16 other things in the budget that are looking to be eliminated, actually -- the Wheels for 17 18 Work program. The ATTAIN program has been 19 eliminated altogether. The Career Pathways program, the childcare opportunities that are 20 21 in here for both CUNY and SUNY, and the 22 childcare demonstration projects. Could you 23 give us some reasons why those critical 24 programs for especially people that are

1 trying to sustain a minimum income are being 2 eliminated? EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Sure. 3 And thank you very much for the question, 4 5 Senator. We understand. But I think the Governor's budget is 6 7 fairly consistent from last year to this year, and those items, among others, that you 8

9 just mentioned were added in as part of the10 budget process last year.

11 So I would point out that the fact 12 that they're not in the Governor's proposed 13 budget does not necessarily indicate 14 opposition to those programs. Indeed, the 15 Governor did in fact approve the budget last 16 year including those programs. So I would leave it to this committee and the budget 17 18 process, together with the Division of Budget 19 and the Governor's office, to address the 20 concern.

21 SENATOR COMRIE: Okay, so you're 22 clearly saying that you're leaving it up to 23 us to put it back in. I get that. But I 24 would hope that we'd make sure that those

1 programs are put in at the front end of the 2 budget so that those people don't have to 3 stress from year and year and be concerned about it and are coming to our offices to 4 5 express their shock and disdain that they have to be concerned about something, you 6 7 know, that's basic to their quality of life is not eliminated as well. So I hope that we 8 9 can get past that quickly.

10 And I just also want to align myself with the issues of trying to make sure that 11 12 children and family shelters are given better opportunities for a smooth transition in 13 14 housing. I have a lot of -- unfortunately, 15 one of the highest school districts in terms of foster children and transitional children 16 in southeast Queens, where we have many 17 18 schools that are actually -- actually, 19 one-third to one-half of the school 20 population every year is transitioning 21 because they're moving from shelter to 22 shelter.

And I would hope that we can improvethose statistics, working together to make

1 that happen.

2	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: We
3	very much appreciate the concern, Senator.
4	It's something that's very much on the radar
5	scope of and OTDA, as the regulatory
6	oversight agency, is working with those
7	responsible for running the shelter system
8	in this case, the Division of Homeless
9	Services in New York City and the providers.
10	So it's a matter that we've brought to
11	their attention, and I can tell you that it's
12	also of concern to New York City DHS.
13	SENATOR COMRIE: Thank you.
14	Is my five minutes up already? Was
15	that the full time? Time flies.
16	(Laughter.)
17	SENATOR COMRIE: Thank you. Thank
18	you, Madam Chair.
19	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
20	We've been joined by Assemblywoman
21	Cook and Assemblyman Perry.
22	Next to question, Chairwoman Jaffee,
23	to close on this side.
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you. I

1 wanted to follow up on a question regarding 2 the public assistance proposal to create a 3 pilot program that would offer case management services for public assistance 4 5 recipients who are formerly incarcerated. And I wanted to understand a little 6 7 more in depth, how will the counties be selected for the pilot programs? What kind 8 of case management services do you anticipate 9 10 for these programs? And what kind of follow-up will there be, and ways to 11 12 evaluate? I'll tell you, we have an 13 extraordinary program that began in 14 Rockland County in 2014 called MADE, Making A 15 16 Difference Everyday. And they, you know, really attempt to provide transitional 17 18 services for formerly incarcerated residents. Is this a program that would be assisted, 19 20 that type of program providing assistance, 21 through this funding for this pilot program? 22 And it doesn't say specifically where, but how will the counties be determined? 23 24 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Let

me just describe the program as best we -- as
 best it exists right now.

3 So two counties would be selected, and the idea is to select two counties from among 4 5 those counties that already offer their own 6 rent supplement programs. So the idea here 7 is to focus on the homelessness issue and 8 making sure that folks returning from prison 9 are not left homeless, to some of the 10 concerns that we've heard here today.

So understanding that it's \$200,000 11 12 and only two counties, I'd like to just 13 reemphasize that it is a pilot program. So 14 that being the case, we're very much looking 15 for some creative programming. So OTDA does 16 not at this point intend to be prescriptive about what exactly those services are, so we 17 18 very much look forward to applications and 19 proposals from the counties that will be 20 eligible.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: You know,
providing them a place to live is
certainly -- taking them out of the homeless
environment is important, but also assisting

1 them in terms of guidance, providing 2 assistance in terms of maybe they need 3 continuing education, assistance seeking 4 jobs, that kind of -- and then counseling as 5 well, and with the family as well, the kind of programs and assistance within the 6 7 community. EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Yeah, 8 I think that package of services that you 9 10 outline would be well received in an 11 application by the agency. 12 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: And I know that 13 would be very helpful, because we can then 14 take the opportunity to turn their lives 15 around and provide them with a positive 16 future and for our community as well. EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: 17 18 That's the idea behind the pilot project, so thank you very much. 19 20 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: And I like the 21 pilot programs, but I think -- hopefully we 22 can then expand and learn that they are making a difference. 23 24 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Yeah,

1 and some of the concepts that you just 2 outlined again are very much subject to the 3 conversations between the Department of Corrections and especially New York City DHS. 4 5 So, you know, with all those areas of service that I think the community and those 6 7 of us in the human services world understand contribute to homelessness and contribute to 8 the difficulty of reentry for folks from the 9 10 prison system. 11 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you. 12 And I just want to go back to something that's so essential. You referred 13 14 to the middle-class tax credit for childcare, 15 which is important. But I also just want to 16 mention that 83 percent of the children in our communities throughout the state that 17 18 actually qualify for subsidies live in 19 poverty, very, very low income. They are not being provided support. Only 17 percent. 20 21 So it's something we have to focus on, 22 something we need to address. Because they 23 desperately need the support. The families

need it because they need to work, the

24

children need it because this will prepare
 them as they move forward for kindergarten
 and education in a stable environment. And
 so I just wanted to mention that because I
 think it's essential.

6 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: We 7 appreciate the comment, and we understand 8 that it's a key part of the Public Assistance 9 Program, even though it's kind of within the 10 expertise of my colleague Sheila Poole, who 11 you heard from a few minutes ago.

12 But again, we collaborate very, very closely with OCFS on these kind of issues. 13 14 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: And hopefully 15 we can work together to expand that funding. 16 Thank you. Thank you very much. EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: 17 Certainly. Thank you. 18 19 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 20 Senator? 21 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: We're all set, 22 Chairman. CHAIRMAN FARRELL: That's it. 23 Thank 24 you very much.

1 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Thank 2 you, members, chairs. Thank you to the chairwoman; thank you, Chairman. I'd like to 3 4 thank the members of the committee. 5 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Tell Mr. Roberts I hope he feels better. 6 7 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: We 8 very much appreciate the conversation today. So thanks very much. 9 10 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you for being 11 here today. 12 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Next, Roberta 13 14 Reardon, commissioner, New York State 15 Department of Labor. 16 Good afternoon. COMMISSIONER REARDON: Good afternoon. 17 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Hi, Commissioner. 18 19 It's a delight to see you. 20 COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you. 21 Nice to be here. 22 Senator Young, Assemblymember Farrell, and distinguished members of the committees, 23 24 thank you for the opportunity to appear

before you today to discuss Governor Andrew
 Cuomo's proposed 2018 budget and the work of
 the Department of Labor.

During my first year as commissioner, 4 5 I have taken part in events and visited Department of Labor offices in every region 6 7 of the state, and I have seen firsthand the 8 dramatic economic progress that's been made. 9 New York State's unemployment rate stands at 10 4.9 percent, down from 8.4 percent, and there are more than 7.9 million private-sector jobs 11 12 in our state, the most on record.

13 The number of private-sector jobs is 14 up, and unemployment is down, in every region 15 of the state, but there's still much more 16 that we can accomplish together. A great 17 example is the minimum wage. Last year the 18 Governor and the the Legislature took a historic step to jump-start New York's 19 20 economy and make sure no one works a 21 full-time job and lives in poverty. Raising 22 the minimum wage to \$15 will impact about a quarter of the total workforce, more than 2.3 23 24 million New Yorkers. It will lift more than

1 250,000 people and about 110,000 families out 2 of poverty. And it will increase consumer 3 spending power by more than \$15.7 billion, 4 which will return to local economies and help 5 sustain even more job growth across the State of New York. And on December 31st, we 6 7 climbed that first rung on the ladder towards 15. 8

One of our core responsibilities is to 9 10 ensure that workers are being paid the proper 11 wage. First, we educate, by reaching out to 12 businesses, workers, organizations, and 13 advocacy grups. We recognize that most 14 businesses want to do the right thing, so 15 we're working with them to make sure that 16 they know what that is.

17But there are some bad actors who18knowingly profit by taking advantage of their19workers. It hurts law-abiding businesses by20creating unlevel playing fields and is not21what we stand for here in the State of New22York.

23The Department of Labor is a leading24member of the Joint Task Force to Combat

1 Worker Exploitation, and over the past three 2 years we have recovered nearly \$110 million 3 and returned that money to 84,000 workers who have been victimized by wage theft. To put 4 5 this in perspective, that total is more than double that of any other state in the nation. 6 7 In the months ahead, we will continue these efforts, making sure that any worker 8 who is cheated out of their proper pay is 9 10 made whole.

11 We also stand by workers who lost a 12 job through no fault of their own. Since the 13 recession ended, we've continued to see fewer 14 and fewer people needing unemployment 15 insurance, but it is no less important.

16 Three years ago, as a result of the recession, the state's employers owed the 17 18 federal Unemployment Insurance Trust Fund \$3.5 billion. But because you acted on the 19 20 Governor's reform proposal, we were able to 21 save employers an estimated \$200 million in interest costs. The trust fund ended 2016 22 nearly \$1.3 billion in the black, and that's 23 24 more than four times higher than in 2015.

1 But more reforms are needed. Current 2 unemployment insurance rules discourage 3 part-time work. For each day a claimant works now, even if for as little as an hour, 4 5 their weekly benefit is reduced by 25 percent. A part-time job serves as a bridge 6 7 to full-time employment, by allowing an individual to maintain or even improve their 8 skills while they're unemployed. 9 10 The Governor proposes greater incentives for unemployed workers to work 11 12 part-time, potentially allowing them to 13 return to work more quickly. 14 Another major part of what we do 15 involves connecting job seekers to jobs and 16 supporting businesses throughout the hiring process. For businesses, we offer a suite of 17 18 no-cost services, in conjunction with Empire 19 State Development, to both facilitate and 20 incentivize hiring. For job seekers, we offer 96 career 21 22 centers strategically located in every corner of the state. In each center, we have 23 24 seasoned career experts that work with job

1 seekers of all experience levels. We offer 2 cutting-edge solutions to help people find 3 work, like virtual career fairs, our Jobs Express job bank, the Career Zone tool to 4 5 help young people plan their future, and an Employability Score, which allows job seekers 6 7 to explore career openings, assess their employability, and connect with employers 8 online, without leaving home. 9

10 How do we know these tools are 11 working? Just ask the nearly 200,000 people 12 that we helped get a job last year. And that 13 number accounts for 25 percent of the people 14 hired through all career centers nationwide, 15 and that is far above any other state in the 16 nation.

At a time when businesses are 17 demanding workers, we are working to meet 18 19 that demand. But we are also looking to the 20 future. Middle-skill jobs are those that 21 require more than a post-secondary education, 22 but less than a four-year degree, and they represent a significant share of the labor 23 24 market in New York and indeed across the

1 United States.

2	This is one reason why Governor Cuomo
3	has proposed the first-in-the-nation
4	Excelsior Scholarship, making college
5	tuition-free for New York's middle-class
6	families at all SUNY and CUNY colleges, and
7	why he's making sure that it also applies to
8	two-year colleges. This will help thousands
9	of young people realize their dream,
10	alleviate the burden of student debt, and
11	help New York State address the need for more
12	trained middle-skill workers.
13	Let me end on a note of reassurance.
14	In a time when everyone is doing more with
15	less across the board, we are assessing and
16	responding to our changing landscape,
17	prepared for the future. As the technology
18	sector continues to boom across the
19	United States, we are harnessing its many
20	benefits here in New York State. By planning
21	for 21st-century jobs under the New York Tech
22	Workforce Development Task Force, we will
23	ensure a pipeline of skilled workers ready to
24	grow the businesses and economy of tomorrow.

1We must identify the baseline for tech2education and investigate what basic3technological literacy should look like. The4\$5 million Tech Workforce Training Fund will5support innovative training and education6solutions preparing New Yorkers for721st-century jobs.

The same technology that's creating so 8 9 many great jobs is also changing the 10 workforce as we know it. This change means that millions of people -- those who work as 11 12 caregivers, independent drivers, programmers, and so on -- don't have reliable access to 13 14 things like medical and unemployment 15 insurance or worker's compensation. The 16 existing system for supporting workers is simply outdated. 17

Portable benefits would bind things like retirement to each individual, instead of an employer, like in the traditional labor market. And the Portable Benefit Task Force will investigate these issues and make recommendations to make sure all workers, regardless of their industry, have affordable 1 access to benefits.

2	The gender pay gap is simply
3	unacceptable. So we are going to continue to
4	lead the nation, studying how to close the
5	gender wage gap. One estimate predicted that
6	closing the gap would add an additional
7	\$4.3 trillion to the U.S. GDP by 2025, not
8	including the effects this would have on
9	reducing the cost of social safety net
10	programs and of course the improvements to
11	family life, economic output, and overall
12	productivity.
13	This is a time of great uncertainty,
14	yes, but New York has weathered uncertainty
15	before. And our mission is clear. No matter
16	what happens on the federal level, we will
17	maintain our high level of service. We are
18	going to make sure all workers are protected.
19	If you lost your job, you will still get
20	unemployment insurance benefits. And if you
21	are looking for a job, we are still going to
22	have the resources to help you find it.
23	With your help, the Department of
24	Labor can continue its legacy of leadership,

1	which is the pride of this great state.
2	Thank you so much, and I would appreciate
3	your questions.
4	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
5	Questions?
6	Assemblywoman Jaffee.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: There is a
8	New York Youth Jobs Program.
9	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yes, there is.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Do we have a
11	sense of how many of our youth actually
12	participated in the urban youth program since
13	its inception?
14	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I have the
15	statistics for this past year, and I know
16	that when we increased it with an extra
17	\$20 million for young people who are living
18	outside of urban areas, that the usage of the
19	tax credit increased 50 percent.
20	So we know that there is great
21	interest in this program. The interest is
22	growing. And as more and more employers are
23	made aware of the benefit, we are sure that
24	it will continue to help young people find

jobs and employers find good new employees. 1 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: That's 2 certainly -- do we have any numbers in terms 3 4 of --5 COMMISSIONER REARDON: The numbers are -- let me see. In 2016 we had 20,298 6 7 hires under the program. And in 2015, we had 6,808. So it's a really good increase. 8 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: That does. 9 10 What type of jobs does the program generate? COMMISSIONER REARDON: It's a variety 11 12 of jobs. Some of them are part-time, some of 13 them are full-time. As you probably know, 14 there's an additional incentive to retain 15 them in a second year of employment. There's 16 another tax benefit for that. It's important to remember that 17 18 part-time work is also very valuable. I know when I began to work, I certainly had a 19 20 part-time job, that's where I learned to go into the workforce. So we make sure that 21 whatever is available out there -- the main 22 thing is we want young people to be connected 23 24 to work and understand what the world of work 1 is like so they can be successful.

2 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: It's a positive incentive, certainly. And providing them 3 4 with that opportunity and training, it's very 5 important. 6 Do we have any numbers in terms of how 7 many of them actually are maintained in that employment? 8 9 COMMISSIONER REARDON: I know that we have statistics -- which I don't have on this 10 page right now -- of employers who take 11 12 advantage of the second-year benefit. 13 But the important thing is --14 remember, think back to what it was like when 15 we all first started working. We often 16 didn't stay in that first job, but it was the portal to beginning to make our way into the 17 world. 18 19 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: And certainly very helpful. I hope that we can expand 20 21 that. I know they're increasing it this 22 year, so I'm pleased about that. I think 23 it's important. 24 COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you.

1 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Am I correct, 2 from about \$20 million to \$50 million, that 3 change --4 COMMISSIONER REARDON: Mm-hmm. ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: -- to give them 5 6 more opportunity? Is it only in the urban 7 areas or --COMMISSIONER REARDON: No, the 30 8 million is for the urban areas, and then 9 10 another \$20 million was for anyone anywhere 11 in the state. 12 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Okay. Thank 13 you. Thank you, Commissioner. 14 COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Senator. 16 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 17 Welcome, Commissioner. 18 COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you. 19 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: It's always great 20 to see you. 21 COMMISSIONER REARDON: It's great to 22 see you. 23 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I do have several 24 questions. I'm so happy you're here, because

1 there are several things that we'd like to
2 ask.

The first one is about a law that was 3 4 passed, it was Senate Bill 7967 of 2016, 5 Chapter 325. It was sponsored by Senator Martins. And it requires the Department of 6 7 Labor to use forward-facing employment data and labor market information. And in the 8 9 past, employment data was by region and it 10 still was set two years behind.

11 So how is DOL implementing this 12 requirement? Because we need to make sure 13 emerging employment sectors have enough 14 skilled labor to entice businesses to come 15 here. So there was an immediate effective 16 date. I'd like to know where that's at.

17COMMISSIONER REARDON: We are working18on implementing it. I believe we are working19with colleges, two-year and four-year20colleges in this process. So it's in the21pipeline, we are rolling it out, but it's not22fully effective yet.

23 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: When do you think
24 it will be in place?

1 COMMISSIONER REARDON: I do not know, 2 but I can find out for you. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: If you could let us 3 know, that would be helpful. 4 5 Another question, in the State of the State that the Governor gave around the 6 7 state, who is on the Portable Benefits Task Force? Because he referenced it. However, 8 it's not included in the budget, there's no 9 10 language. And I believe it's for independent contractors dealing with Uber, Airbnb, and so 11 12 on. So who is on this task force, and what 13 are the plans for that? 14 COMMISSIONER REARDON: So I am 15 actually very pleased to be one of the 16 cochairs. Howard Zemsky and I will be cochairing it. And we have not assembled the 17 18 task force yet, but I know that we will be 19 looking at people from the insurance industries, people from advocacy groups and 20 21 labor unions, people from current pension and 22 health plans, people who have experience in this area. 23

24 There are various -- I know that in

New York City there's the Black Car Fund,
 which is a grouped benefit for drivers in
 various parts of that industry.

We are already receiving inquiries 4 5 from various parts of those communities, and we are going to have to sit down soon and 6 7 talk about who's actually going to -- there 8 will be people on the task force, and then we of course will be taking a lot of testimony. 9 10 We want to hear across the breadth of this area what's being done, what's being thought 11 12 of.

13 As an actor, I participated in a multi-employer pension and health fund, very 14 15 much like the construction industry has, because we worked for lots of different 16 employers and they paid into one fund, and 17 18 that's how we got our benefits. That's one 19 way -- that was of course overseen by my 20 union. But that's one way to provide these 21 benefits. It was very significant in my work 22 as an actor, and newspeople are also part of my union; they tend to go from employer to 23 24 employer. And it was a significant benefit

to workers in that area that they didn't have
 16 different pension plans or in and out of
 insurance plans. It's a great model.

4 But I'm sure there are lots of other 5 models out there. And as we go into -- in 6 the gig economy world, it becomes more and 7 more important to see what we can do to help 8 people who are caught in that limbo of not 9 having one employer but working steadily for 10 many different employers.

11 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So thank you for 12 that answer.

13 As far as a membership on the Portable 14 Benefits Task Force, I didn't hear two words that we're all fond of here, and that's the 15 Legislature. So would there be 16 representatives from the Assembly and the 17 Senate included on the task force? 18 19 COMMISSIONER REARDON: I haven't had that conversation. I'm sure we will have 20 that conversation. 21

22 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Well, I'm sure that 23 everyone here would like to be represented 24 also. So if that could happen, that would be

1 great.

2	I do want to ask too about the Minimum
3	Wage Task Force. In early January of this
4	year, the Governor announced a 200-member
5	task force you alluded to it dedicated
6	to enforcing provisions of the new minimum
7	wage. And you stated that the task force
8	will only pursue willful and egregious
9	violations, not violations that are the
10	result of ignorance or error.
11	So the first question is, who is
12	staffing the task force? Related to that,
13	are there new FTEs necessary? And will these
14	only be employees of the Department of Labor?
15	COMMISSIONER REARDON: So I'm happy to
16	say that it is a multi-agency effort. The
17	DOL is of course the driving force, because
18	our inspectors go out every day and enforce
19	wage regulations, and they're highly trained
20	in that area. But we're also working with
21	the Department of State, with Tax and
22	Finance, with Worker Comp. We are working
23	with the DMV. We're putting palm cards and
24	posters in areas where people normally

gather, so that the information is available
 across the state in various areas.

The people from the other agencies are being trained in wage issues so that they can have those conversations, so it's not just DOL inspectors. We have not hired any new people to do this. But again, our inspectors go out every day and do wage inspections.

We are also working in a lot of 9 10 different ways to ensure that the business 11 community and workers understand what their 12 proper rate is. So we're doing advertising, 13 we're doing a social media barrage. We have a dedicated page on the New York Gov website. 14 15 We have trained our call center people 16 specifically about minimum wage issues. Tax and Finance is sending out stuffers in the 17 18 envelopes that they mail to their customers 19 with information about minimum wage.

I'm very happy to tell you -- I was just down in the call center this morning to talk to them because they have had obviously an uptick in calls, and they're doing a great job. And I took them some doughnuts and said

1 thank you and asked them how it was going. 2 And they said, you know, it's interesting, 3 for many of the people who call, they already know what the wage is. They've seen the 4 5 advertising, they've read the newspaper articles, wherever they've gotten their 6 7 information. They know my rate should be X, I'm not sure I'm getting it, what do I do? 8 So that's good. So the workers know. 9 10 And a lot of the businesses also know. So I feel confident that the information is 11 12 getting out there. But we are working doing 13 webinars, we do work with the chambers of 14 commerce. We will go one-on-one. There is a 15 place on the DOL website that an employer can 16 go on and say, I would like to have a personal session with someone to explain this 17 18 wage rule to me, and we will go out and work 19 with them. It's obviously easier to do if 20 there's a collective of like-minded employers 21 that we can reach out to, but we will do 22 one-on-one counseling if that's what they like. 23

24

Our objective is not to harm the

1 employer, our objective is to make sure that 2 everybody understands what the rights and 3 responsibilities are and, if there's a mistake, we -- you know, they make the worker 4 5 whole and no harm, no foul. At some point, you know, maybe in a couple of months when 6 7 we're going out, if there's a pattern, a 8 repeat pattern of underpaying their workers, or if this employer, frankly, has an 9 10 extensive history of underpaying their employees, then the outcome will probably be 11 12 different.

But we are really concerned to make sure that everybody understands the law and that they have an opportunity to do what's the right thing.

CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. And so 17 18 related to that, but switching a little bit, I wanted to explore employer resources. And 19 20 the department has been very focused on 21 enforcement. And there are questions that we 22 receive in our offices from employers asking for assistance in compliance with the myriad 23 24 of laws and regulations that are imposed on

them daily. As you know, there's a heavy 1 2 regulatory burden in New York State on those 3 who provide jobs. So do you have a dedicated office for 4 5 employers to use as a resource for compliance? 6 7 COMMISSIONER REARDON: One dedicated office, no. But we do a number of things. 8 So in labor standards we do have the ability 9 10 to have compliance conferences in certain 11 areas. 12 Again, we want to make sure that -- we 13 understand regulations can be confusing, often for small businesses. Larger 14 15 corporations have the wherewithal to 16 understand it, because they have the lawyers to interpret it for them. But for a small 17 18 business owner, it can be more difficult. 19 And we certainly make ourselves available. 20 We understand that for the overwhelming 21 majority of employers in this state, they 22 want to do the right thing. They don't want 23 to run afoul of the law. They're not looking 24 to cheat their workers. But sometimes it's

1 confusing.

2	So we don't have one place. We have a
3	variety of opportunities for people to come
4	to us or for us to go to them.
5	One of the things that I have looked
6	at since I've been here, a little over a
7	year, I'm very interested in the
8	outward-facing face of the Department of
9	Labor. I think we do a lot of really great
10	work, and probably not enough people know it.
11	So I'm looking to improve that kind of
12	communication, because I think I believe
13	the employers really want to do the right
14	thing, and sometimes they just need to be
15	able to ask questions.
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Anything that you
17	can do to be a resource to them, I think that
18	they would be very appreciative.
19	I wanted to ask you about the Fast
20	Food Wage Board order smoothing. And in this
21	past year's enacted budget, it allowed you,
22	as commissioner of Labor, to smooth wages for
23	fast food workers going forward, to keep our
24	workers on the same minimum wage schedule.

1	As you know, there's a lot of disparity, a
2	lot of confusion out there.
3	So that hasn't happened yet. When is
4	it going to happen?
5	COMMISSIONER REARDON: So as you know,
6	the legislation that authorized us to look
7	into the fast food wage issue was a year and
8	a half, I believe, before the minimum wage
9	law was enacted. And that was a separate
10	wage board. They took 2,000 written
11	depositions and interviewed multiple hundreds
12	of people on the issue and reached the
13	conclusion that this was a multi-billion-
14	dollar industry that could absorb a higher
15	wage for their workers.
16	That said, I know that there's
17	language that allows us to do smoothing. We
18	are only five weeks into the minimum wage
19	rollout. We have virtually no data to look
20	at. We are going to continue to monitor the
21	effect not only of the minimum wage, but how
22	it may intersect with the fast food wage as
23	well. And we will look at that and study it
24	as it rolls out. But again, we're barely

five weeks into the rollout of the new wage
 order.

3 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Are you planning on
4 doing the smoothing?
5 COMMISSIONER REARDON: We have not
6 made that decision yet. We are going to
7 continue to look at the data and see what it
8 tells us.

And I must say that the information 9 10 that was gathered for the Fast Food Wage Board, to this point, that information has 11 12 not changed. Should that begin to change, that would be something for us to consider. 13 14 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: What will the 15 impact on the economy be to have separate 16 minimum wages for employees doing substantially similar jobs? I mean, that's 17 18 why the smoothing was included in the budget 19 last year. So what's going to happen? I 20 mean, people are doing the same job and 21 they've got different wages.

22 COMMISSIONER REARDON: I think there 23 are probably many areas in our economy where 24 people do very similar jobs and earn

different amounts of money. It's certainly
 happened in my industry. But we will
 continue to monitor this and see what the
 effects are.

5 I will say that you actually took a great step in helping us begin to deal with 6 7 this -- what is seen by some people as an inconsistency. Because if we had not managed 8 to raise the minimum wage, the difference 9 10 between the fast food wage and the lower 11 minimum wage would have been much more stark. 12 So we are in a period, everybody will get to 13 15. It depends on what city you're in and 14 what region you work in, but everyone gets to 15 15, some sooner than later. It is a smaller 16 period of time. And I thank you for raising the minimum wage so we're not struggling with 17 18 an even bigger gap.

19CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: How are you helping20retailers, convenience stores, places like21that who have fast food businesses within22their business? So, for example, a Subway in23a gas station or a Starbucks in a Target24store. Because again, there's a great parity

1 disparity there. So that's the first

question.
And must all employees of that Target,
for example, be paid minimum wage? Or does a
Starbucks have to have its own security and
cleaning staff because they must make a
different wage? It just, again, seems like a
lot of confusion.

9 COMMISSIONER REARDON: The wage board 10 was very clear about the definition of the 11 fast food board impact, 30 franchisees or 12 more in the state. And I think the laws are 13 very clear.

14There's always competition for better15jobs. I will tell you, as the commissioner16of Labor, I'm always happy when workers have17more money in their pockets. But, you know,18this again is a short period of time, and19everyone gets to 15.

20 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
21 I wanted to ask also about the
22 overtime salary threshold, which you also had
23 referenced. But until recently, New York's
24 overtime salary threshold was \$35,100

1	annually statewide. And on December 29th of
2	2016 so not that long ago the
3	department adopted its proposed rule
4	increasing the threshold beginning on
5	December 31, 2016.
6	So during the seven-week public
7	comment period, how many meetings or hearings
8	were held with the chambers of commerce,
9	business organizations, economists, and local
10	and regional business owners or individual
11	proprietors, to judge their ability to absorb
12	an increase in the statewide minimum wage, a
13	new fast food minimum wage, paid family
14	leave, and an increase to the overtime salary
15	threshold?
16	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I'm not quite
17	sure I so let me answer one thing. The
18	increase in overtime in New York State is
19	labor law. It is pegged to the minimum wage
20	law. There are a number of things that are
21	increased when the minimum wage goes up;
22	overtime is one of them. So it's not
23	anything we did.
24	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: There was a

1 seven-week comment period; correct? 2 COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay. The question 3 is, did you reach out to the employers in 4 5 New York State to figure out how they were able to absorb all these new changes that 6 7 were coming down the pike all at once? COMMISSIONER REARDON: We did receive 8 a number of comments during that period. But 9 10 again, remember that that law is a labor law that we don't have the ability to change. 11 12 Unless you do something. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: What is the current 13 14 federal overtime salary threshold? 15 COMMISSIONER REARDON: The current overtime -- the number for federal? 16 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: The federal 17 18 overtime salary threshold. 19 COMMISSIONER REARDON: For the federal 20 number, I don't think I have that number. 21 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay. So that 22 actually is \$23,660 annually. Do you know what the large New York City employer, 11 or 23 24 more employees, what's the current overtime

1 salary threshold?

2 COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yes, I have it 3 here. Let me see if I can find it quickly for you. 4 5 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: That's okay, I do have it, Commissioner. So it's \$42,900 6 7 annually. And the reason I bring this up is that 8 how can New York reasonably attract 9 10 businesses from states subject to the much 11 lower federal threshold? Because as, again, 12 you can see, there's an enormous disparity there between the two -- between the three 13 14 thresholds, actually. COMMISSIONER REARDON: The federal 15 16 laws in many areas are lesser than a number of state laws, not just New York State. 17 18 And again, I would just say that the overtime law in New York State has been 19 20 pegged to the minimum wage labor law for 21 quite a while. 22 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay, thank you. How many nonprofits -- just one more 23 24 question -- many of which operate on fixed

1 budgets, are subject to this regulation with 2 the overtime salary threshold? 3 COMMISSIONER REARDON: How many 4 nonprofits? 5 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Right. Are there 6 nonprofits, many of which operate on fixed 7 budgets, subject to this regulation? COMMISSIONER REARDON: I don't know 8 9 how many there are. But again, it's a law 10 that I do not have the ability to 11 unilaterally change. 12 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay. Well, thank 13 you. 14 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 15 Assemblywoman Titus. 16 ASSEMBLYWOMAN TITUS: Good afternoon, 17 Commissioner. 18 COMMISSIONER REARDON: Good afternoon. 19 It's good to see you. 20 ASSEMBLYWOMAN TITUS: Good to see you 21 also. 22 I want to thank you, since you've been 23 commissioner, for taking the time off-session 24 to sit down and meet with me. I look forward 1 to our continued partnership as this session
2 progresses.

3 I want to go now to the Executive Budget. The Executive has proposed the 4 creation of a Division of Central 5 Administrative Hearings which would be headed 6 7 by an administrative law judge appointed by the Governor. This division would be tasked 8 with the consolidation of administrative 9 10 hearings between 18 agencies, in an attempt to improve efficiency and access. 11

12 I would like for you to discuss -- how do you intend to make this work? And like 13 14 what agencies in particular will be included 15 in this proposal? What considerations are 16 being made to ensure that those judges will be properly trained in the areas that require 17 18 more specialized knowledge? And what type 19 hearings do you believe can be shared between 20 agencies? And will this reduce, in fact, the 21 number of ALJs in the system?

22 COMMISSIONER REARDON: It's a great 23 question. You know, we have two boards, the 24 UI Appeals Board and the IBA. At this point,

1	there is no final decision. This thing is
2	being studied. I don't think it was fully
3	fleshed out in the budget.
4	The idea is there. No decisions have
5	been made, and I think the conversations are
6	just beginning. It is a serious question,
7	but we have not really engaged in the
8	drill-down yet. I can get back to you when
9	that begins, but it hasn't happened yet.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN TITUS: Okay. I'm
11	finished. Thank you.
12	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Senator?
14	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Savino.
15	SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you.
16	Thank you, Commissioner. Good to see
17	you, as always.
18	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Good to see
19	you.
20	SENATOR SAVINO: I want to ask you a
21	bit about the proposal in the budget on
22	expanding the Wage Theft Prevention Act. As
23	you know, it's near and dear to my heart.
24	And I'm just curious, because I'm somewhat

1 confused. In my reading of it, it looks like 2 we're going to expand our efforts to pursue out-of-state LLCs. How will we do that? 3 COMMISSIONER REARDON: So this is 4 5 really an administrative fix. This was changed a number of years ago for 6 7 corporations that were formed out of state. Remember that this is for a corporation or an 8 LLC that was formed out-of-state -- Delaware, 9 10 whatever -- but they have businesss in 11 New York. And it allows us to go after --12 this would allow us to go after the top 10 members of the LLC. They would be 13 14 personally liable for those wages. 15 We've already done that for 16 corporations that are formed out of state but have businesses in New York State. And if we 17 don't change it, it actually has the effect 18 19 of disadvantaging New York LLCs, which is why 20 I believe it originally was changed for 21 corporations. 22 SENATOR SAVINO: But isn't the purpose of establishing an LLC is to protect your 23 24 personal assets from the action of your

1 business entity? Again, I'm not a lawyer, I 2 don't play one on television --COMMISSIONER REARDON: Me either. 3 SENATOR SAVINO: -- but I would 4 5 imagine that's what the concept of a limited liability corporation is. 6 7 I mean, I'm all for it if you can find a way to collect wages that are due to 8 workers. That's the whole purpose behind the 9 10 Wage Theft Prevention Act. I'm just somewhat 11 confused as to how you're going to accomplish 12 it. I hope you're successful at it, I just 13 question how we're going to accomplish it. 14 But I want to actually talk about the 15 gig economy issue, the portable benefits 16 issue, the tech workforce. You and I have had this discussion. And I have been saying 17 18 this for years now, the world of work is 19 changing. We can pretend that it's not and 20 do nothing and, you know, abide by the fact 21 that we have two very distinct definitions in 22 labor law -- which in most respects is 23 actually very young. You know, labor law is 24 not that old. Workers are pretty old, but

1 labor law is not.

2	But we need I believe it's time for
3	us to find a new definition for the workforce
4	that is neither an employee or an independent
5	contractor.
6	And so I have a bill that I've been
7	working on with Assemblymember Morelle, the
8	Handy bill, it's about the but I think we
9	need to do something bigger. Because this is
10	not just one sector of the economy, it's not
11	just the Ubers, it's not just you know,
12	it's the platform economies, the gig
13	economies, the freelancers.
14	We have a hodgepodge, I think over the
15	years, of people attempting to solve this
16	problem, but I think we have an opportunity
17	to get it right. Work is different now. We
18	have a new workforce that likes the
19	flexibility of the independent contractor
20	model, but yearns for the protection of the
21	employer-employee model. And I think we have
22	a responsibility to find what that sweet spot
23	is and get it right.
24	Because if not, we're going to wind up

1 with working people, you know, going in and 2 out of this area and winding up at a later 3 point in their life where they have income 4 insecurity in their later years and they 5 can't make it up, and they become dependent 6 on the state.

7 So I look forward to that. I've had some discussions with the Executive about 8 this. And, you know, I agree with Senator 9 10 Young, I think the Legislature needs to be 11 involved in this, because we're going to 12 write the next, you know, major step in labor 13 law, I believe right here in New York State, 14 and I think we need to be part of that.

15 And finally on the closing the gender 16 pay gap. I will say this as many times as I can. The best way to close the gender pay 17 18 gap is to put more money into daycare. Because what keeps women in the workforce is 19 20 access to quality, affordable childcare. 21 Gaps in our employment history is what leads to gaps in our economic reality. Because if 22 you have to take time off from work, and then 23 24 you lose seniority, you lose training, you

1 lose opportunity -- that's what really hurts 2 us in the long run. It hurts us in our 3 Social Security, in our retirement. That will go a long way towards closing the gender 4 5 gap a lot faster than doing another study. So I want to thank you for the work 6 7 you're doing, and I certainly look forward to working with you going forward. 8 9 COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you. 10 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 11 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Shelley Mayer. 12 ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Good afternoon, Commissioner. Thank you. 13 14 COMMISSIONER REARDON: Good afternoon. 15 ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Questions on the 16 Wage Theft Act. Do you know how much the Department of Labor has recovered in 2016 17 18 under the Wage Theft Prevention Act? COMMISSIONER REARDON: We have 19 20 collected, in the last three years, 21 \$110 million. Let me see if I have the 2016 22 number. It's -- we have been quite successful, and it's an escalating amount, 23 24 which is good. I don't know if I actually

1 have the breakdown for the individual years. 2 ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Maybe you could 3 get that. I'd be interested. COMMISSIONER REARDON: I will. I'll 4 5 get that for you. ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: How much staff 6 7 of the department is assigned to work on wage theft issues? 8 COMMISSIONER REARDON: We have the 9 10 second-largest number of inspectors in the 11 country. These are highly trained, committed 12 professionals. We have, I believe, 125 13 inspectors, and they do an amazing job --14 ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Not to cut you 15 off, I just want to clarify. They inspect on 16 a variety of labor law violations, not exclusively wage theft, is that correct? 17 18 COMMISSIONER REARDON: How many of them exclusively do wage theft? Let me get 19 20 you the number. I don't know what that is. 21 ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Okay. And some 22 number of these enforcement actions go to the Attorney General, I assume for litigation if 23 24 they can't get resolved through the

1 department. It would be helpful if you could 2 provide the breakdown of the amount that's 3 recovered through the Attorney General's actions versus the amount that's recovered 4 5 administratively by the department. If you could give that to me. 6 7 COMMISSIONER REARDON: Okay. ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Second is on 8 this issue of the gender pay gap. And I 9 10 concur with Senator Savino about the real solution, which is increased investment in 11 12 childcare. 13 But what do you mean when you say "we 14 are going to study how to close the gender 15 wage gap"? Is there a task force? 16 COMMISSIONER REARDON: We are going to do a study this year. We are committed to 17 18 doing that. One of the reasons we need to do 19 the study is it's different for different industries and it's different in different 20 21 regions. And it just seems that it makes 22 sense to understand -- dive into those industries and regions so we can actually 23 24 come up with a better formulaation.

1 You know, when I was a working actor I 2 did a lot of commercials, so I auditioned all 3 the time, and we all took care of each other's children because nobody could afford 4 5 childcare. And you'd go into an audition room and there would be three baby buggies 6 7 and one toddler, and they'd go, I'm going in next, and everybody would take care of those 8 9 children. We were lucky because we had a 10 built-in force of babysitters. That's highly unusual out in the workforce. And I have a 11 12 great deal of empathy for men and women who 13 struggle everyday to figure out how am I 14 going to take care of my family, and how do I 15 take care of my family. And it is a huge 16 problem. ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Right. I just 17 18 wonder, are the details of the study available yet, or they will be? 19 20 COMMISSIONER REARDON: Oh, they will 21 be. We've just started, so it's going to be 22 an interesting year.

23 ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: And my last24 questions are on the Workforce Investment and

1 Opportunity Act. I think in the Executive 2 proposal the Governor would have 15 percent 3 of these funds for discretionary use, and I believe he did last year as well. 4 5 Do you know how those discretionary amounts were allocated? 6 7 COMMISSIONER REARDON: I believe last year there was \$3 million dedicated to middle 8 skills. And we've actually put that towards 9 10 the apprenticeship programs, because that's 11 actually one way to really develop middle 12 skills is through apprenticeships -- not just 13 in construction trades, in many different 14 industries -- and sort of encourage 15 businesses and help build those programs. 16 And this year I think \$5 million of it goes to the Tech Training Fund. So he's very 17 18 -- you know, we're very aware that the 19 training funds are necessary, particularly for these new areas. 20 21 The apprenticeship program is very 22 promising. And for those of us who know the building and construction trades, they have 23 24 done a remarkable job at educating their

workers. And they're multiyear programs that are supported by the employers. People have a job, they're paid a wage, they learn on the job, they have classroom as well. And at the end of that period, they have a certificate and they have a career. And it is a really remarkable program.

We would like to expand that across 8 9 industries. We are looking into home healthcare, IT. There's some advanced 10 11 manufacturing, optics. And of course the 12 tech industry is a great opportunity to 13 develop apprenticeships. So we are using 14 some of these funds to help workers and their 15 employers figure out how to do that.

16 They have to have -- when you establish an apprenticeship, you're saying to 17 18 these apprentices, You have a job now, you'll 19 be working in this job, but at the end of 20 your work, when you get the certificate, you 21 will also have a job out there waiting. Now, 22 the worst thing you can do is put someone through an apprenticeship when there's no job 23 24 at the end of it. So we understand that.

And it's also -- there's related
 instruction, which they go to classrooms and
 they learn the skills that they need to do
 the work. It is a marvelous way to educate
 people for a career.
 ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: I think you

7 would find that there's a joint commitment by 8 many in the Legislature to expanding apprenticeship programs, particularly as they 9 10 continue to reflect the diversity of the 11 communities we serve, and as a pathway to a 12 middle-class job. And I would just encourage the department to think of that as a 13 14 collaborative effort rather than the 15 Governor's discretionary judgment.

COMMISSIONER REARDON: I would love to 16 think of it that way. And, you know, as you 17 18 know, it's an opportunity -- and again, the 19 building trades have been excellent at this 20 recently. The diversity that they have in 21 their apprenticeship programs is remarkable. 22 And it's a way to give opportunity to young people who might not think that that's a job 23 24 that they could even do.

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Right. Thank
2	you very much.
3	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
5	Senator?
6	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
7	Senator Montgomery is next.
8	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Thank you.
9	Thank you. Good afternoon.
10	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Good afternoon.
11	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Commissioner,
12	thank you so much for being here and
13	answering our questions.
14	I'm going to just try to stick to the
15	one sector, although there's many questions
16	that I could ask about your presentation.
17	But I want to just talk about what happens to
18	young people.
19	So, you know, there's a lot of talking
20	this session and in times past about what do
21	we do with 16- and 17- and 18- and
22	21-year-olds. And I've talked to a lot of
23	young people in that age category in
24	particular, and some a little bit younger,

1	and one of the big issues for them is work.
2	They don't have access to jobs, they are not
3	trained, they have no skills, and so it sort
4	of compounds the problem for them.
5	So now I notice in the Governor's
6	proposal and your presentation you talk about
7	something that is referred to as the Urban
8	Youth Employment program, tax credit program.
9	And it targets private corporations,
10	apparently, that hire at-risk youth.
11	And there's an identification of 13
12	areas where you have targeted for an
13	expenditure of \$50 million in tax credits.
14	And it sounds like you are looking to renew
15	that program.
16	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yes.
17	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: And of course in
18	the process you have changed the name of it
19	from Urban Youth to New York State Youth
20	Employment.
21	So that then appears from this going
22	forward as New York State is investing
23	\$50 million in youth employment programs.
24	However, I have asked, over the years, What

are the corporations that actually are
 participating, and how many youth? Because
 I'd like to see how many of my young people
 are actually able to participate in that
 program.

6 And where have you the largest 7 investments? I notice you have most of the 8 Big 5, so to speak, and then some others as 9 well. But what percent of the funding 10 actually goes to the areas where there's the 11 highest need, largest number of young people, 12 and so forth and so on?

So I would really like very much to 13 14 hear what exactly are we getting in the form 15 of youth employment, numbers of young people, 16 and which corporations are actively participating based on this \$50 million that 17 18 we've been spending for the last few years 19 and, going forward, expected to spend for the 20 next five years at least. 21 COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you.

22 So I can get you some specific 23 breakdowns. I don't have them in the book 24 right now.

1 As I said earlier, the youth tax 2 credit is expanding. We have expanded it 3 last year -- we didn't, you did -- expanded 4 from urban youth to \$20 million for youth 5 anywhere in the state, and we've seen the uptick. It is a really good improvement, so 6 7 we're very pleased with that. I can get you some specifics. 8

9 But there's another thing that -- we 10 do a lot of work with young people. We are 11 very painfully aware of the unemployment in 12 young people, both urban and rural. And it 13 is a significant problem.

14 So our career centers around the state 15 are equipped to work with young people, 16 whether they have a degree or not. If they don't have a degree, we can help them get a 17 18 GED or whatever they need. If they have a 19 degree but they need training, we can assess 20 what their skills are, what their needs are, 21 what their interests are. And we also assess 22 what the businesses are in that area. Who are they looking for? You know, what kinds 23 24 of skills do they need? And we try to match

1 the young people with the jobs.

2 We have the Strike Force, which was 3 started in the Bronx in 2014, where we understood that there was very deep poverty 4 5 in the Bronx and a lot of it, frankly, was young people. And we went into the Bronx and 6 7 we did a very focused way of working with the 8 population in the Bronx. We worked intensively with individuals to do that 9 10 assessment, but really drill down and see 11 what did they need. We also worked with the 12 businesses and did a map of what kinds of 13 jobs were available in the Bronx. And then 14 we began to match them up. 15 That was a very successful effort, and 16 the unemployment in the Bronx came down significantly. Then we expanded it to the 17 18 counties with the highest poverty. Again, the same approach. It is a successful 19 20 approach. Last year, in May, we did the 21 Western New York Strike Force, where we did a slightly different approach. So Western 22 New York's economy is beginning to come up 23

again, and unemployment numbers are falling.

24

But we knew that there were zip codes with
 very deep poverty, so we focused on those zip
 codes.

We have five out-stationed centers 4 5 outside of our career centers. They are in the communities. We're working very closely 6 7 with the faith leaders in the communities, because they have very tight relationships 8 with the communities. They are helping bring 9 10 people to us who may -- you know, there are 11 people who won't think to come into a career 12 center because they think, I don't have any 13 hope. Or they think, That's just for people 14 on unemployment, and I'm not on unemployment 15 anymore.

We are there for every worker in the 16 state who wants help, at whatever age, at 17 18 whatever level. And we have worked very 19 hard -- I just spoke to my deputy 20 commissioner for workforce development before 21 I came in, and she said, looking at the 22 strike force numbers -- keeping Western New York aside, because that's a brand-new 23 24 program -- but for the rest of them, we had

1 75 percent placement of people who came 2 through the program and completed the 3 process. It is a very, very powerful tool. And I want to assure you that we learn 4 5 every day from what we do. You know, the strike force in Western New York is a 6 7 different approach. It's a zip code approach. It's out-stationing. It's working 8 9 with community leaders to get people in. 10 It's successful. We're going to be able to 11 take that program and replicate it. 12 At the end of the day -- not the end 13 of the day, at the beginning of the day -- we 14 want New Yorkers to succeed, we want them to thrive. We want them to be able to feed 15 their families and have comfort in their 16 homes and success on the job. And they 17 18 should never be held back by where they live, the circumstances of their family, the color 19 20 of the skin or the people they love. We 21 think that everybody needs that opportunity, because New York can't thrive if the citizens 22 don't thrive. 23 24 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Yes, thank you

1 for that.

2	And listen, I have the Brooklyn Navy
3	Yard, and I also have Southwest Brooklyn
4	Industrial Park and all in between. And so I
5	would like to know if the businesses in those
6	particular areas are able to and are in fact
7	participating. And if that's the case, what
8	do we need to do to help them to do more, to
9	reach out to more young people? Because when
10	we talk about workforce development and
11	developing workers with skills that will have
12	careers in the future, those are the kinds of
13	places that we certainly hopefully want to
14	focus on. So I'm very
15	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I can get you
16	some information. I must say the Brooklyn
17	Navy Yard is an amazing place.
18	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: It is amazing.
19	COMMISSIONER REARDON: There are
20	wonderful innovative industries there
21	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Absolutely.
22	COMMISSIONER REARDON: and they do
23	work with the community.
24	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: And therein is an

1 excellent opportunity for us to do a lot of
2 this.

3 Lastly, let me just say what about the possibility, through your agency, of doing 4 5 something like creating a larger expanded opportunity in the cultural institution 6 7 community for internships, fellowships, and what have you as also a part of your intent 8 to create jobs and opportunities for young 9 10 people in this category? COMMISSIONER REARDON: So, you know, I 11 12 come out of the arts and entertainment 13 sector. I'm very familiar with it. And I 14 have often thought that apprenticeships are 15 actually a unique way for people in that 16 industry to get training. 17 And I'm having conversations with some

people in the industry -- very early days, not ready to have any public conversations -but there's definitely some interest there. I know that there are two high schools I believe in New York City that focus on the industry, there's the Ghetto School in the Bronx, and then there's another school I

1 think in Long Island City, next to one of the 2 studios, and they focus on training 3 inner-city youth for that industry. The tax and film credit has really --4 5 it's a booming industry across the state, and they need more workers. So that's a great 6 7 opportunity to make sure that everybody has 8 an opportunity. When I did some teaching -- you know, 9 10 all actors teach, and I did some teaching at 11 one point, and you always work with young 12 people. And I used to say to them acting is 13 the door you walk through to this industry, 14 because it's the door you know. But once 15 you're through it, you need to pay attention, 16 because it's a huge and varied industry and you can find wonderful careers that are not 17 18 necessarily the person in front of the 19 camera. SENATOR MONTGOMERY: So the museums 20 and all of those cultural --21 22 COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yes, music and --23 24 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: -- they do a lot

1 already, but we would like to see much more 2 support for that sort of thing. Therein is a 3 whole different world for young people, as well as jobs. 4 5 And let me say -- I want to thank you. You know, we have a groundswell in the City 6 7 of New York. Those kinds of programs which offer young people an opportunity to express 8 their talents as well as have a job are 9 10 extremely important. 11 COMMISSIONER REARDON: I agree. 12 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: And as we move to 13 try and address what happens to keep young 14 people out of the criminal justice system, 15 that's I think where we're going to be most 16 successful in that. So thank you very much 17 for your attention to that. 18 COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you very 19 much. 20 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 21 Assemblyman Bronson. 22 ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Good afternoon, 23 Commissioner. 24 COMMISSIONER REARDON: Good afternoon.

1 ASSEMLYMAN BRONSON: Very nice seeing 2 you. COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you. 3 ASSEMLYMAN BRONSON: So I'm just going 4 5 to chime in on a couple of things that some 6 of my colleagues said and make a similar 7 request. First of all, on the numbers of 8 inspectors in connection with the wage theft, 9 10 if you could also supply to us the number of 11 inspectors in connection with enforcing 12 prevailing wage as well, so that --COMMISSIONER REARDON: So the public 13 14 work and the -- yes. 15 ASSEMLYMAN BRONSON: And I would ask, 16 in that area, if you would also make it -well, for all of them, if you could do it 17 geographically, so we know where these folks 18 19 are going out. 20 COMMISSIONER REARDON: Absolutely. 21 ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Second, the Portable Benefits Task Force, I would concur 22 with Senator Young in that we should have 23 24 legislative representation on that task

force, and ask for you and the others to
 consider that representation.

3 And then the third that has been brought up regarding -- we have a workforce 4 that's in transition. And as I believe 5 Senator Savino indicated, people don't 6 7 clearly fit in under the employee category or 8 the independent contractor category. And we know that different agencies have different 9 10 standards for determining that. And so we really do need to do some work on -- and 11 12 that's kind of tied in with the benefits area as well. 13

14 So on that, I'm going to turn to 15 workforce development. And in particular, 16 the New York Youth Works tax credit, which is called, once again -- that's what it was 17 called back in 2012. It went to Urban Youth. 18 19 I presume the changes related to the 20 expansion to all of the State of New York. 21 But do you have any numbers or

statistics on the age breakdown of the youth
who have been employed under that program?
COMMISSIONER REARDON: I'm sure we do.

1 I don't have it right here. You know, it's a pretty narrow -- it's 16 to 24. We assume 2 3 that if they're going to be employed 4 full-time, it's 18 to 24. We don't want to 5 encourage 16-year-olds to drop out of school. But I can find that information for you. 6 7 ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: If you could find that out, along with the average length 8 of time and how many jobs are seasonal, that 9 10 kind of information. And as I understand, under this 11 12 program the Department of Labor is required 13 to issue a certificate of eligibility for 14 employers on this. So I would presume in 15 your review of that you're looking -- and 16 then you have to say how much of a tax credit, and that gets sent over to the 17 18 Department of Taxation. So I presume, under 19 that, we could get more specific data. 20 But also under the statute it requires 21 an annual report from the Department of Labor. And as I can tell, I don't believe 22 that annual report has been done. It 23 24 certainly hasn't been accessible to the

public. Can you let me know where we stand on that?

COMMISSIONER REARDON: Sure. So I'm 3 here a little over a year, and so I'm not 4 5 sure that I've seen the annual report, because it may have been done before I got 6 7 here. But I will find out for you. ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Yeah, that's 8 vitally important, because it really gets 9 10 into whether or not this is a successful 11 program. 12 But we do have some information from the Department of Taxation that I think 13

14 challenges whether or not this program is 15 being successful as it's adopted. And the 16 Department of Taxation indicates that -- and by the way, there's a three-year delay in 17 18 getting information from the Department of Taxation, just because of the way the tax 19 20 credits can be utilized. But in 2012, of 21 the, at that point, the amount allotted, only \$2.3 million was taken advantage of by 22 23 employers for this program.

24 2013 -- we have numbers for that year

1 too -- 700,000. That's it. So we're looking 2 at numbers -- we don't have 2014, we don't have 2015. 2016, for some reason, the 3 Department of Taxation is estimating 4 5 \$20 million, yet I don't see where that's historically based. Can you explain that at 6 7 all? COMMISSIONER REARDON: As I understand 8 9 it, it is the phenomenon of people qualifying 10 for the tax credit and then not putting it on their taxes. That's part of it. 11 12 So we overcommit, because people 13 want -- you know, they put in their paperwork 14 but for some reason they don't do it at the end. 15 My guess is, and this is strictly a 16 guess, if you have a large corporation, 17 18 you've got tax accountants doing it and they 19 know exactly what it's all about. If you're 20 a smaller industry, you may not be as sophisticated, so they're not putting in for 21 22 it. But there is a lot of commitment. 23 24 ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: All right.

1 Well, I may be missing that, because the 2 Department of Taxation's report actually does have an estimate of the credit claimed and 3 4 the credit used, and for the 2012 number the difference there was \$1.3 million versus 5 6 \$1.2 million. So we're not talking a lot of 7 money there. I'm not sure. We should investigate that. 8

9 One way we could investigate it, 10 though, is if we could get our hands on the 11 annual reports so we know how many employers 12 are being certified as eligible under the 13 program.

14That being said, there's also another15issue I think we should look at when we're16looking at this. Because ultimately the idea17here is to get our young people employed,18right?

19COMMISSIONER REARDON: Right.20ASSEMLYMAN BRONSON: And so in looking21at that, Young Invincibles did a survey at22the end of last year and really found that23most of the employers didn't feel that a24small tax credit was sufficient enough to

impact their decision whether or not to hire or to retain young employees. And instead they said having a skilled workforce and folks who are willing to dedicate themselves was a more important or significant indicator, if you will.

7 So now I'm going to turn to a topic that you know I love, which is the Empire 8 State Apprenticeship Program, which is a bill 9 10 I carry. We negotiated it in the budget, it didn't survive the budget last year. But the 11 12 benefit of that program is it has progressive increases in tax credit if a person who is 13 14 employed is employed as an apprenticeship and they're actually graduating through the 15 16 apprenticeship program.

And some of my colleagues mentioned hard-to-place youth or people who are trying to work out of poverty. The other benefit of this program is there is an enhanced tax credit if you also have a mentoring program that will help that employee be successful through the apprenticeship program.

24 So what I'm going to ask you to think

1 about, and ask the administration to think 2 about, is since we have a situation where we're not utilizing all of the tax credit 3 4 under the now New York Youth Tax Credit 5 Program, and we have some indication that 6 employers are more interested in having an 7 ability to train a skilled workforce, might it not be a good opportunity for us to use 8 9 some of those tax credit dollars and try to 10 encourage and incentivize employers to hire young people as apprenticeship. 11 12 COMMISSIONER REARDON: It's an interesting suggestion. I will certainly 13 14 look into it. Thank you. You know, I love 15 apprenticeships too. We're together on this. 16 ASSEMLYMAN BRONSON: I know we are. I know we are. 17 18 ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: We're out of time. 19 ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Okay. Thank you 20 very much. 21 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 22 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 23 Senator Persaud. 24 SENATOR PERSAUD: Thank you,

1 Commissioner.

2	I just have a couple of questions for
3	you. The first is to do with I'm
4	continuing in the vein of the Urban Youth
5	project, the credit. Do you have any
6	evidence as to how many youth have become
7	advanced in their lot in life after going
8	through this program?
9	COMMISSIONER REARDON: That would be a
10	difficult thing to measure because that's a
11	pretty broad measurement. We do know
12	SENATOR PERSAUD: Well, basically
13	COMMISSIONER REARDON: We do know that
14	young people oh, okay, sorry.
15	SENATOR PERSAUD: they move from
16	where they were, really at the poverty level,
17	to higher.
18	COMMISSIONER REARDON: We do know that
19	across the board when young people are
20	exposed to work, whether it's part-time or
21	full-time, that it actually puts them on a
22	better course. And there is a fair amount of
23	study that says if young people are involved,
24	even in the Summer Youth Works program while

1 they're in high school, that their
2 advancement through life is better. The
3 earlier we can connect young people to
4 training and to jobs, even a part-time job,
5 the more likely it is that they will succeed
6 in later life.

7 That is some of the thinking behind the tax credit program, because it encourages 8 9 employers to hire them. It may not be the 10 job they hold forever. It probably won't be. I certainly didn't stay where I started out. 11 12 But it does give them the experience of 13 getting up and going to work, of having to 14 perform tasks for somebody else, of having 15 some money in their pocket that they earned. 16 It is a self-respect measure as well.

And so anything we can do to help put 17 18 young people on that path I think is very 19 impactful. The Summer Youth Program itself 20 is a great, great program for young people 21 because, again, it gives them a structured 22 environment, work to report to, self-respect, working in a community of other people --23 24 very few people work alone -- and it sets you 1 up on a good path for life.

2	So I think that the although I
3	don't have the data that you're asking for, I
4	think the larger data says that when you
5	connect young people to work, they have a
6	better prospect.
7	SENATOR PERSAUD: Do you have any data
8	as to after the tax period for the company,
9	that these youth remain employed? And for
10	how long do they remain employed?
11	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Chances are,
12	for some of them, they do not stay in that
13	job because they're young. And so they may
14	get a job at local retail store, which helps
15	them understand what that's all about. When
16	they go to get their next job, they've got a
17	resume and they've got some skills and
18	they've got some information to give that
19	employer, and that's very valuable.
20	One of the things we do at the Career
21	Center when people come in so let's say a
22	young person comes in and they've been hired
23	under the tax credit and they worked for a

24 year for a local retailer, and they come into

1 the Career Center and say, Now what do I do? 2 Our career center person -- and it's a 3 one-on-one experience -- will sit down and look at what exactly did they do on the job, 4 5 what can we list on a resume, do you have any recommendations from your employer? What 6 7 were your experiences? What else are you 8 interested in? Do you want to stay in the area or do you want to move? And do you need 9 more training? Do you have your high school 10 11 diploma? Is there some kind of certificate 12 program that would help you advance? And, 13 interestingly, do you know what the world of 14 work offers you?

15 We have Career Zone, which is an 16 online program at the Career Centers, and young people -- anybody, but mostly young 17 18 people -- go on, and it has descriptions of 19 jobs and half of them have videos to show 20 this is what you do when you work in a clean 21 room in advanced manufacturing, this is what 22 you do when you work in a pharmacy, this is what you do when you work on a construction 23 24 site.

1 So they get an idea of the job, it 2 tells them what kind of training they have to 3 have, what -- the expectation that you might 4 have to pay for that training in some 5 circumstances. It will tell you what the salary ranges are. And are those jobs 6 7 available in the area they're in, or will they have to move. It is an incredible tool 8 that I only wish every high school student in 9 10 New York State used, because I think often 11 young people don't know how to make those --12 SENATOR PERSAUD: Maybe we should ask the Department of Education to include it. 13 14 A question for you. I haven't heard 15 you speak to anything on the Youth Build 16 Program. Can you give us some updates on Youth Build? Are we putting additional money 17 18 in there? What are we doing with the Youth 19 Build? 20 COMMISSIONER REARDON: I'm sorry, 21 which is the program? SENATOR PERSAUD: Youth Build. 22 COMMISSIONER REARDON: Youth Build? I 23 24 don't think I know it by that name. I might

1 know it by another name.

2	SENATOR PERSAUD: It's the program
3	where kids who have to go through the court
4	system, they're given an alternative program
5	and they're taught, you know, sciences and
6	jobs.
7	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Would this
8	it might be more of an Education problem, not
9	a Department of Labor program.
10	SENATOR PERSAUD: In the Department of
11	Labor and the Department of Education. You
12	collaborate on the program.
13	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I'm sorry, I
14	don't know about it. I'll have to find out.
15	SENATOR PERSAUD: Yeah. Because we
16	just need to know how is the funding on it.
17	And, you know, is there continued funding,
18	and are there any additions to the program.
19	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I'll find out.
20	SENATOR PERSAUD: And finally, there's
21	a program that was zeroed out that's OTDA
22	covers it. It's the ATTAIN program. And I
23	see that you have something that's called the
24	Tech Workforce Task Force. Can you give us

1

some information on that?

2 COMMISSIONER REARDON: So it's 3 brand-new. It's in this year's State of the State. And the Tech Workforce Task Force is 4 5 going to look at what are the basic -- what's the baseline that young people need if they 6 7 want to go into the high-tech sector. So I wish I had my cellphone with me; 8 I used to pick it up and go, "This changed 9 10 everything." And it did. 11 So we are living in a world that's 12 very connected with digital technology, and there is a wealth of work out there. People 13 14 often talk about the high-tech sector like 15 it's one sector. It's everything. Everybody 16 has high-tech in their industry. But what do young people need to do at 17 18 the elementary school level, at the middle 19 school level, at the high school level, in 20 order to be ready to go into that industry? 21 And what's the specialized training that 22 they're going to need? Once they graduate from high school, where do they go? It might 23 24 be a four-year college program. There are a

1 lot of them. But it might also be a two-year 2 certificate program. We want to find out 3 what those needs are so that we can help 4 prepare our citizens for that work, because 5 that is the work of the future. SENATOR PERSAUD: And are you working 6 7 with SUNY to cultivate the --COMMISSIONER REARDON: We will be 8 9 working, I'm sure, with everybody in the 10 sector. I mean, it's brand-new. It was just announced in the State of the State, so we're 11 12 just putting our shoes on. But I'm sure that 13 we are going to be working across the 14 spectrum with everybody in that area, because 15 it's exciting and it's what people want to 16 do. And, you know, in entertainment you can be a CGI illustrator; in medicine, you can do 17 robotic operations. It's not just computer 18 19 keyboards. 20 SENATOR PERSAUD: In technology now, 21 every kid wants to make an app, to create an 22 app. 23 COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yes. And they

24 should.

1	SENATOR PERSAUD: Thank you very much.
2	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you.
3	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Assemblywoman
5	Lupardo.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Thank you very
7	much.
8	Hello.
9	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Hello.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Nice to see
11	you.
12	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Good to see
13	you.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: I want to talk
15	about the retirement cliff. So we're hearing
16	a lot about the number of people who are
17	leaving the workforce. And that's why your
18	focus on young people and workforce
19	development is so appreciated. But I'm also
20	interested in the retirement cliff for the
21	people who are retiring.
22	So I understand at one time there was
23	a Mature Worker Task Force or a focus on
24	those mature workers who want to stay

1 vibrant, want to stay active, perhaps as 2 mentors, perhaps as new entrepreneurs, 3 because I understand that they're very active in creating new companies -- probably more 4 5 than any other sector, it turns out. And they also want to basically be a part of 6 7 their community for as long as possible. So I was curious if the Department of 8 Labor has any initiatives or interest in 9 10 working with us on that particular topic. COMMISSIONER REARDON: That is a very 11 12 good question. 13 We all know the baby boomers are aging 14 and starting to retire. And many of the baby 15 boomers I know have no interest in retiring, 16 or at least fully retiring. So there is a wealth of highly skilled people, very 17 connected people. We're not -- you know, 70 18 19 is not the 70 it was 30 years ago. People --20 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: It's the new 50. 21 22 COMMISSIONER REARDON: -- age different. Yes. 23 24 So it's a great resource that frankly

1 is underused. I have had some conversations 2 at the DOL about it. It's something that I 3 want to continue to look into. You know, age discrimination for people -- the terrible 4 5 thing is if you lose your job in your mid-fifties, you're probably never going to 6 7 have the chance -- you're rarely going to 8 have a chance to replace it with a similar 9 job, and that's just wrong. 10 But we need to look at -- we talk a lot about how do we help young people, and we 11 12 should talk about that. But we should also 13 pay attention to the rest of our communities, 14 because people have a lot to offer. Age is 15 just a number. ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Okay. Let's 16 work on that together, then, shall we? 17 18 Thanks. I'm good. 19 ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Senator? 20 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Comrie. 21 SENATOR COMRIE: Thank you, Madam 22 Chair. Good afternoon, Commissioner. I 23 24 wanted to ask you about the workforce

1	initiative and what is being done to linking
2	the workforce initiative with the
3	opportunities at the airports. Can you
4	describe for me what is being done to ensure
5	that there with the expansion of JFK and
6	LaGuardia, what is being done specifically to
7	link and create opportunities to bring people
8	there?
9	COMMISSIONER REARDON: To those jobs.
10	So there's a very thoughtful
11	program we are the first state in the
12	nation to have a state-sponsored
13	preapprenticeship program. That was in last
14	year's budget, I believe. And we have named
15	six training providers for that
16	preapprenticeship program.
17	And the idea is that young people at
18	risk will be put into these preapprenticeship
19	programs, where they receive the kind of
20	training often they have educational
21	deficits for the work that they want to do.
22	So math, reading, whatever it is, they come
23	up to skill level, as well as some basic
24	skilling. And they are able, in the

preapprenticeship program, to preview all of
 the various trades that are available in
 apprenticeship programs.

When they graduate from the preapprenticeship program, they go into -they have a direct entry into an apprenticeship program where they have that wonderful opportunity to have a job, make a living, and learn at the same time.

10 And they will be placed on work sites at those places. So JFK, LaGuardia, 11 12 Penn-Farley, Javits. We are going to be 13 placing those young people in those jobs so 14 that they have access to that work. It's a 15 really great opportunity to use the resources 16 of the state and help young people at the 17 same time.

18 SENATOR COMRIE: And do you have any 19 numbers on how many people have gone through 20 the apprenticeship program for JFK or 21 LaGuardia?

22 COMMISSIONER REARDON: We just started 23 the preapprenticeship program, so there's 24 nobody -- nobody has graduated from it yet.

1 But of course these buildouts are years long, 2 so there will be a significant number of 3 people. There may be a number of -- the number 800 sticks in my mind, but I'm not 4 5 sure if that's right -- proposed into this. SENATOR COMRIE: Right. Do you have 6 7 the location in Queens that it's being done, or is it being done at your existing 8 workforce centers? 9 10 COMMISSIONER REARDON: The people who 11 are working now at the airports, that's --12 SENATOR COMRIE: No, where do you plan 13 on doing these training apprenticeship 14 programs? COMMISSIONER REARDON: The 15 16 preapprenticeships, we've just granted the six training providers. I assume they're 17 downstate because the work is downstate. And 18 then the apprenticeship programs for the 19 various unions are located down there as 20 well. 21 22 SENATOR COMRIE: Okay. Also, as you know, there's still a lot of problems with 23 24 the issue of minimum wage being provided by

1 subcontractors at the airport, and we still 2 have regular demonstrations from the labor 3 unions that it hasn't trickled down yet. Can you let us know what the 4 5 Department of Labor has done in monitoring that, or if there's any enforcement from your 6 7 agency regarding the fact that people at the airports, despite an agreement with the 8 Governor, are still not getting paid proper 9 10 wages? COMMISSIONER REARDON: If they're not 11 12 being paid their proper wage and they have 13 lodged a complaint, we will absolutely 14 investigate it. And I know we have been out 15 at the airports. But absolutely, if a worker 16 feels that they've been cheated of their wage, all they have to do is call the contact 17 18 center and give them their information, and 19 the case will be handed over to our 20 inspectors and we inspect it. Because we 21 take that very seriously. SENATOR COMRIE: But you don't have 22 any specific inspections that you've done out 23

24 at the airport recently?

1 COMMISSIONER REARDON: Oh, I'm sure we 2 do them on an ongoing basis if there's a 3 problem. SENATOR COMRIE: Okay, great. 4 5 And then just finally, you've mentioned the Excelsior program in your 6 7 testimony. Do you have any details on how 8 the Excelsior Scholarship Program for -- the tuition-free idea from the Governor, is going 9 10 to help you in the Department of Labor? And how would that be exampled? Have you spoke 11 12 about it regarding middle-skill workers? 13 COMMISSIONER REARDON: It actually 14 offers us a great opportunity. So 15 middle-skills workers are people with more 16 than a high school diploma and less than a four-year college degree. And the Excelsior 17 18 scholarship offers free tuition to 19 middle-class families in the State of 20 New York at SUNY and CUNY, and that includes 21 the two-year colleges. So they'll have the 22 opportunity to go to school, get their degree without crushing student debt, which we all 23 24 realize is just off the charts. We need

1 those skilled workers. So the Excelsior 2 Scholarship Program really enables young 3 young people across the spectrum, across the 4 state -- you don't have to live in New York 5 City, you can live anywhere. And in fact I think the overwhelming majority of families 6 7 that will really benefit from this actually live upstate. 8

9 But it's a wonderful opportunity for 10 young people to get an education, have a 11 career path, and not be burdened with 12 \$20,000, \$30,000, \$40,000, \$50,000 of debt.

SENATOR COMRIE: So you're going to be 13 14 advocating for middle-skilled workers that 15 are in two-year programs to get the Excelsior 16 program? Because my understanding, it was geared for four-year graduation. So I would 17 18 hope that you are advocating for two-year 19 opportunities for especially community 20 colleges to take advantage of the program, 21 because I find that most of the people that are in need are people that are working 22 parents or working adults that are trying to 23 24 get a leg up. So I hope that is something

1 that is done as well, so that those part-time 2 students can be able to take advantage of the 3 scholarship so --4 COMMISSIONER REARDON: It's a great 5 opportunity. 6 SENATOR COMRIE: -- it would truly be 7 an opportunity for all students, and not just these students that are already excelling and 8 could get scholarships anywhere else to do 9 10 any other endeavor. 11 Thank you. 12 COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you. 13 SENATOR COMRIE: Thank you, Madam 14 Chair. 15 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 16 Assemblyman Oaks. ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you, 17 18 Commissioner. 19 Actually, just a quick question on the 20 unemployment insurance, the plan to allow 21 individuals to work and not have their 22 benefits totally impacted like they would be today. A number of people -- I'm interested 23 24 in seeing that proposal going forward, and

1 hopefully that will make an impact. 2 Do we have any sense, though, of -- an 3 estimate if that's going to have an impact on 4 the fund, whether we're going to then be 5 paying out more because of that or not? Have 6 we done that analysis? 7 COMMISSIONER REARDON: We have done that analysis, I can assure you. 8 9 One thing I should point out is that 10 New York State is the only state in the union now that does the 25 percent deduction for 11 12 one day of work. Every other state does the income disregard. So it seems to be working 13 14 pretty well other places. But we've looked 15 very closely at our own fund, and the 16 determination was that it would be neutral, which was great. 17 ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Okay. Thank you 18

ASSEMBLIMAN OAKS: Okay. Hank you
 very much.

20 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Senator.

21 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.

22 Senator Montgomery.

23 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Thank you,24 Commissioner. Thank you, Madam Chair.

1

(Inaudible comment.)

2 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: I did. This is3 my second time.

I just want to ask -- I want to follow 4 5 up, if I may, on the Youth Build program. It may not be familiar to you, but I certainly 6 7 would welcome an opportunity to talk to you more about it. And I just want you to know 8 that it has been around for a very long time, 9 10 but formerly basically funded through the 11 federal process. It is statewide, they have 12 programs across the state. Not nearly 13 enough, but the ones that do exist are 14 extremely important and effective.

15 And the thing about this program is 16 that it combines an opportunity for young people to develop skills in certain areas --17 18 the one in Brooklyn that I'm aware of, I've 19 visited any number of times, young people are 20 gaining skills in the building trades. So --21 and they're also in the process of rebuilding 22 their own communities. And I met a young man who went through the Youth Build program who 23 24 now, based on his experience there, was able

1 to be hired by a housing developer, a

2

3

4

5

for-profit developer, in fact, was mentored by him and is now doing electrical work in his own community, based on the skills that he gained.

COMMISSIONER REARDON: That's great. 6 7 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Yes. And these are young people who, but for the Youth Build 8 experience, would have gone further into the 9 10 criminal justice, juvenile justice system.

And so it is an extremely important 11 12 program, and several of us have been 13 communicating, we're trying to figure out --14 it doesn't fit neatly in one place or 15 another. It is obviously -- you know, it's 16 monitoring, it's a diversion program for young people, it's a work program. Young 17 18 people have a stipend that goes along with 19 their participation in it. Very, very 20 important, because many of them absolutely 21 need it. And it's part of workforce 22 development. So trying to fit it one place or another is sometimes difficult. 23 24

But it seems that it would be very,

1 very important for your department to really 2 be supportive in spearheading it. We 3 certainly wish that it would. And what has 4 happened in the last few years is that that 5 the Legislature has put in a small amount, it 6 doesn't go nearly far enough. The New York 7 City Council has put funding into it. But we don't have a place where our state actually 8 is preparing to fund and support this 9 10 important program. 11 So I would really -- we would ask, and 12 I'm just taking the liberty of including my colleagues up here, because we've put money 13 14 in, we talk to each other every year, 15 scrounge up a little money for it. But this 16 really is something that we would like to see done. 17 COMMISSIONER REARDON: It sounds 18 19 fascinating. I'll look into it. Thank you. 20 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Thank you very 21 much. 22 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Assembly? 23 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Yes. Assemblyman 24 DenDekker.

1 ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Thank you very 2 much, Commissioner. I also want to thank you 3 for your service when you served as 4 co-president with Ken Howard. I'm a 5 SAG-AFTRA member myself, --COMMISSIONER REARDON: That's right. 6 7 Thank you. ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: -- and I 8 9 appreciate the service that you gave us 10 during that time of transition. 11 So I wanted to ask a question 12 specifically about when unionized labor and 13 management in a non-public-employee 14 relationship in the private sector are --15 during a dispute. For example, I know CWA up 16 in Waterford right now is having an issue. Is there any specific period of time when 17 18 those two parties don't meet that the 19 Department of Labor will get involved and at 20 least try to get management and labor to the 21 table? 22 COMMISSIONER REARDON: That's an 23 interesting question, actually. There are 24 federal mediators, so they may actually be --

1 they may ask for a federal mediator. I know 2 that when we had the commercial strike in 3 2000, we had a federal mediator for that strike. So that may happen. Sometimes it's 4 5 imposed on them; sometimes it's asked for. The Department of Labor, we do not go 6 7 into that area. But I do know that -- you 8 know, anything we can do, of course, to facilitate conversations, we would do. But 9 10 to officially mediate, I don't think that 11 would be a position we could do. 12 ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Okay. So I'm 13 just going to make a recommendation, rather 14 than put it into a bill format. But maybe 15 you could look at just looking when these 16 actions are taking place between management and labor, and not necessarily mediating, but 17 just requiring them, like after 30 days of no 18 19 communication, that they have to at least sit 20 down and talk for an hour and then they can 21 leave. But just to force them, both sides, 22 to come to the table and at least have a 23 talk.

24

Because when you're going on strike or

1 having a labor dispute for 90-some-odd days, 2 and in many cases it can be even longer, for someone not to hold one side or the other 3 side accountable to at least sit at the table 4 5 and have a discussion, I think we're doing a disservice to those employees and those 6 7 employers. First of all, they need the employees back at work to do their job, and 8 the employees want to go back to work in some 9 10 cases. But I just think we should look at 11 that. It would be an interesting thing. 12 COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you. ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: The other 13 14 thing I wanted to ask is the Executive Budget 15 proposes the creation of a Division of 16 Central Administrative Hearings. Is that 17 under the Department of Labor? COMMISSIONER REARDON: The ALJ --18 19 that's not under us. That's separate. 20 We have two boards that might 21 potentially be involved in that, but that's 22 in very early stages, the discussion is just starting. I think there's a discussion about 23 24 doing a test with maybe a selection of the

1 boards, but not all of them at first. But 2 we're very, very early in the conversation. 3 ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: The only real concern I have about this is that many 4 5 agencies that have -- and those judges that have expertise in a specific area, whether it 6 7 be environmental issues or labor issues, that 8 these administrative judges are going to get 9 lost in the system and we're just going to 10 have people with some sort of general 11 knowledge and not have the expertise that is 12 required of those judges. 13 And that's what I'm afraid we're going 14 to lose, and I think we should leave it the 15 way it is. And if -- I don't see why the 16 consolidation would be so great to now have 17 someone whose expertise in an environmental 18 issue is now going to be maybe hearing cases in labor. I just -- I would be afraid that 19

20 there wouldn't be proper representation and 21 it wouldn't be there.

22 So those are my two major concerns. 23 And my last concern is I'm the chair of 24 Veterans in the Assembly, and we are working

1	on a program I'm glad you like the
2	apprenticeship programs in the building
3	trades. Both of my children graduated from
4	those programs
5	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Excellent.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: and have
7	jobs that pay more than me.
8	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yes, of course.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: So I'm very
10	very happy for them
11	COMMISSIONER REARDON: You're a happy
12	father.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: that my
14	children are making more than me.
15	However, there's a program called
16	Helmets to Hardhats in New York City
17	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yes, excellent
18	program.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: It's a great
20	program. And actually last year we got some
21	funding, in the veterans portion under the
22	Division of Veterans, to support that project
23	to expand outside of New York City. However,
24	this year that so far has been cut.

1 So I would like to know, is the 2 Department of Labor doing anything for 3 veterans specifically that may not have --4 you maybe haven't communicated to me. Or, if 5 not, maybe we could look at taking this 6 project out of the veterans area and putting 7 it into the labor area and somehow creating 8 more opportunities for veterans.

9 And the reason why I am so concerned 10 about that is the expense that we have or that we could incur when we find out -- our 11 12 studies and our hearings have shown that 13 veterans that don't get a job when they 14 return run into sometimes other issues, which 15 can cost us, as a state and as a society, 16 much more damage. And anything we can do to help them when they transition back would be 17 the best thing. 18

19And it's all about jobs. Every single20group I go to, the first word out of a21veteran's mouth is I just want to work. I22just want to work.

23 So I don't know why we have to have 24 cuts like this, but I'd love to know any

programs that are specifically designated to
 veterans under your department.

COMMISSIONER REARDON: We have a lot 3 of programs at the DOL for vets. We have --4 5 in our Career Centers, we have dedicated career counselors for returning vets and then 6 7 for disabled vets. That's two separate 8 programs. And we make sure that they get 9 their individual appointments so they're not 10 coming -- they have first service, they come to the front of the line. We are very 11 12 focused on that.

13 I should tell you that before I was 14 here, I did some consulting with the AFL-CIO, 15 and they have the Veterans Council, and a 16 good friend of mine became their executive director when I got this job. And I said, 17 "Tell me what we can do." He said, "New York 18 19 State DOL does better than most states, 20 period, when it comes to veterans."

21 So we take it very seriously. There 22 are a lot of programs. There are tax credits 23 for hiring vets, there are training programs 24 for vets. And they do step to the front of

1	the line in any Career Center that they come
2	into, because we all really respect the
3	service they have given us. And it's the
4	least we can do to make sure we help them.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Okay, thank
6	you very much.
7	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you.
8	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
9	Senator Krueger.
10	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
11	Afternoon, Commissioner.
12	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Good afternoon.
13	SENATOR KRUEGER: So many of my
14	colleagues brought up the Urban Youth Jobs
15	Tax Credit, or the newly titled model. But I
16	don't think we've talked about another
17	program, the Minimum Wage Subsidy Program for
18	youth employment, and that's costing the
19	state almost the same amount of money. And I
20	was never really big on that program, I will
21	say that to you now. But I'm curious, since
22	we're running two programs of approximately
23	the same dollar cost to the state, both
24	targeting youth, tell me a little bit about

1 the Minimum Wage Subsidy Program and how it 2 contrasts or compares to the Urban Youth Job Tax Credit. 3 COMMISSIONER REARDON: So they are 4 5 different. The minimum wage subsidy says that if you hire youth I believe up to -- I 6 7 don't know if it's up to 19 --SENATOR KRUEGER: I think 16 to 20, 8 9 maybe? 10 COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yeah, something 11 like that. And they are paid the minimum 12 wage, you can get \$1.35 an hour in a tax break. 13 14 So an interesting story, actually, 15 with Commissioner Ball from Ag and Markets; 16 we've had this conversation. The farmers use this, and some of the farmers have come and 17 18 said, "You know, the problem with that 19 particular tax credit is I can't give them a 20 raise. Because if I give them the raise, I lose the tax credit." 21 22 SENATOR KRUEGER: Exactly. COMMISSIONER REARDON: So that's one 23 24 difference.

1 The New York Youth Works is not simply 2 for minimum wage. It's for being hired. So 3 we're not saying you can only make this minimum wage in order to qualify, we just 4 5 want you to hire the youth, employ them. If they stay a second year, you get a second tax 6 7 credit. It really is about keeping that 8 youth on the job.

So they are very different. They are 9 10 both useful. And they kind of serve slightly 11 different purposes. For some industries, 12 where they feel that the minimum wage is a 13 burden, they can take advantage of this tax 14 break. The New York Youth Works is a 15 different kind of program, really encouraging 16 people to look at youths that they might not normally think of hiring. 17

18 SENATOR KRUEGER: And can you tell 19 us -- so I have the same concern, that this 20 was not the supplemental program for minimum 21 wage but it was a never-can-get-above-22 minimum-wage model.

And second was that it would be usedby the large institutional fast food

1 companies who want to hire youth anyway, and 2 were going to hire youth anyway, and would 3 just be the people of New York giving \$1.35 an hour towards the wages that they were 4 5 paying. So can you tell me what industries 6 7 besides farmers -- because I had not thought about farmers -- are actually using that 8 credit? 9 10 COMMISSIONER REARDON: I don't have that information. I can find out. 11 12 I mean, I've had the conversation with Commissioner Ball because we have a lot of 13 14 conversations, and that was part of it. And 15 I honestly have not read the law, so I don't 16 know if there's any bar to the size of the company that gets to use it. I don't know. 17 SENATOR KRUEGER: So there's also been 18 19 a number of discussions about annual reports coming or not coming. And I'm not always so 20 21 big on making enormous demands on annual 22 reports, but it seems to me on both of those programs the questions we really want to 23 24 know, since we're putting more money into

1 them, we're continuing the programs, is 2 what's working? Are they continuing to keep 3 these jobs after the credit is used up? Are they -- is it just a way for some companies 4 5 to figure out how to use the tax dollar to subsidize the wage they would have paid the 6 7 exact same people anyway? I think that's actually very important information for us to 8 9 know.

10COMMISSIONER REARDON: That's an11interesting question. Yeah, thank you.12SENATOR KRUEGER: Finally, most of13your job training and workforce development14money is federal. What happens if and when15it gets cut out at the federal level? What16are you going to be closing?

COMMISSIONER REARDON: It's a serious 17 18 question. As you know, a very large part of 19 the DOL budget is the federal budget, because 20 of unemployment insurance and various 21 programs. Of course we're concerned about 22 that. It's hard to plan for a future when you don't know -- you know, we're so early in 23 24 this new administration we don't know what to

expect. So we're thinking about it. But
 until something more develops, it's hard to
 really plan anything.

I will say if we think about the 4 5 majority, frankly, of our training programs, the training dollars that we get from the 6 7 federal government, these are programs that are there to train people in need and lift 8 them into the middle class. And the 9 10 conversation in the election was lifting 11 people into the middle class. And it is 12 continuing to be a conversation. And I just think -- I hope, I pray -- that people will 13 14 not do something that will actually eliminate 15 those opportunities to lift people into the 16 middle class.

SENATOR KRUEGER: And if the WIA fund, 17 18 say, disappeared, how much money is that to the State of New York each year? 19 20 COMMISSIONER REARDON: The WIA funds 21 are a lot of our training dollars. I don't 22 have -- I can look at it, it's in here. But I would be very surprised if the 23 24 money disappeared. It would be a huge impact

1 across the country. I mean, every state in 2 the union depends on these funds to train 3 their citizens. So you're the politicians, I think you can assess the risk. But it might 4 5 get cut. That's a real possibility. SENATOR KRUEGER: I think that it's a 6 7 real possibility, and it's a very disturbing one for this -- you're right, every state in 8 the nation, but particularly for us. Because 9 10 I think that you have been successful in 11 redirecting and using these funds very 12 effectively, and it would be a tragedy for 13 the efforts we're making to lose that federal 14 money at this time. 15 Thank you. 16 COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you. 17 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 18 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 19 Assemblywoman Jaffee, to close. 20 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you, Commissioner. 21 22 I wanted to just briefly thank you for your incentives for jobs for our youth and 23 24 for our communities. There was a

1	conversation here, or a comment, about gender
2	equity in terms of pay equity, something
3	we've been discussing
4	COMMISSIONER REARDON: For a long
5	time.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: in the
7	Assembly for a long time. But I wanted to
8	just raise awareness of a piece of
9	legislation that I passed several years ago,
10	which it's not just about pay equity, it's
11	about opportunity as well. In too many of
12	our employment sites, they were not providing
13	women with the knowledge about various jobs
14	that might be available because they were
15	setting aside those jobs for the men. And
16	primarily women were given information about
17	secretarial jobs and that kind of job status.
18	So the legislation really requires
19	that the state, at these sites, provide women
20	with the same opportunities that are offered
21	to men, as well as making them aware that
22	training is also available. That will expand
23	our workforce for women as well as providing
24	the kind of pay equity that is absolutely

1 necessary.

2	So I wanted to share that with you.
3	And hopefully we can take a look at whether
4	or not how that has been implemented and
5	what kind of impact that has had for women
6	and job availability.
7	COMMISSIONER REARDON: You know, those
8	are really important opportunities. We know
9	probably personally how important those
10	opportunities are.
11	I will say in the construction trades
12	in New York City, there's a group called
13	Nontraditional Employment for Women, because
14	the construction trades offer incredible
15	opportunities for a solid career path. But
16	that's a path that many women would not
17	choose for a variety of reasons their own
18	bias, to start with: I don't want to swing a
19	hammer. But it's a preapprenticeship program
20	that brings them in, they are introduced to
21	the trades, they make a selection, they go
22	into an apprenticeship and they have a
23	career. And the more programs that we can do
24	like that, which doesn't exclude men, it just

1 simply says women should think about being an 2 electrician as well, or a painter or 3 whatever. 4 So I'm very supportive of those 5 programs. 6 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Well, I would 7 have wanted to be an electrician or work like that, but they didn't suggest that happen. 8 So when I got married, my husband bought me a 9 10 tool kit, because he knew I liked to do that kind of thing, and I started to build things 11 12 in the house. But thank you. That's an interesting 13 14 idea. I will certainly take a look at that. 15 COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you. 16 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, 17 Commissioner. I think we're done. So again, 18 19 thank you for being here. 20 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very 21 much. 22 COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you very much. 23 24 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Good job.

1	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Greg Olsen,
2	New York State Office for the Aging.
3	We did a lot of it today.
4	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: I know. I'm
5	impressed. I'm impressed that you guys are
6	still hanging with me here.
7	Well, good morning, Chairpersons Young
8	and Farrell and all the distinguished members
9	of the Senate Finance and Assembly Ways and
10	Means Committees. Chairperson Serino, it's
11	good to see you. And Chairperson Lupardo,
12	welcome to Aging. I'm really excited to be
13	working with you.
14	I am Greg Olsen, I am the acting
15	director of the New York State Office for the
16	Aging, and I'm really honored to testify on
17	the portions of Governor Cuomo's proposed
18	budget that affects older New Yorkers.
19	The New York State Office for the
20	Aging administers programs and services for
21	New Yorkers 60 years of age and older, as
22	well as their families, friends, and
23	neighbors who help them remain as independent
24	as possible, for as long as possible, in

1 their homes and communities of choice. 2 NYSOFA provides leadership and 3 direction to an integrated, coordinated network of 59 county-based area agencies on 4 5 aging and more than 1,200 public and private organizations that serve to help empower 6 7 older adults and their families. Further, Governor Cuomo's priority to de-silo state 8 9 agencies to reduce duplication, strengthen 10 service delivery, increase efficiencies, and 11 improve outcomes has created very strong 12 working partnerships and relationships with the Department of Health, Office of Persons 13 with Developmental Disabilities, Mental 14 15 Health, Office of Children and Family 16 Services, Alcoholism and Substance Abuse Services, Victim Services, Division of 17 Veterans' Affairs, and the Office of Court 18 Administration, among others. 19 20 The 2018 Executive Budget includes a 21 host of initiatives that address primary

host of initiatives that address primary
areas of concern for older New Yorkers and
their families. Governor Cuomo's Executive
Budget helps older adults and their families

1 by expanding transportation options 2 throughout the state by proposing to expand 3 rideshare; extending the Home Energy Assistance Program season to increase access 4 5 to the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program; leveraging additional federal funds 6 7 to significantly increase outreach for SNAP to reduce the number of older New Yorkers who 8 9 are eligible but not receiving the benefit; 10 increasing the number of low-income homes 11 that are weatherized to reduce energy costs, 12 save money, and prevent dangerous home 13 heating situations, such as the use of ovens 14 and stoves -- carbon monoxide, which can 15 certainly -- fire hazards and health hazards; 16 advancing a "Health Across all Policies" approach that includes lower prescription 17 18 drug costs; increasing access to healthy 19 foods and locally-grown commodities; 20 increasing opportunities for physical 21 activity via the Empire State Trail; and 22 encouraging healthy behaviors; taking additional steps to make New York State the 23 24 first Age Friendly/Livable Community state,

1 as designated by the World Health 2 Organization and AARP, and building the 3 elements of Age Friendly/Livable Communities into the second round of Downtown 4 5 Revitalization grants; modernizing the workforce by focusing on skills development 6 7 and training for the jobs of today and tomorrow, which will benefit older workers 8 and workers of all ages; advancing efforts to 9 combat financial exploitation of older 10 11 adults, modernizing cybercrime and identity 12 theft laws and safeguarding older adults from 13 the risks of reverse mortgages; eliminating the wage disparity, which will have a 14 15 significant positive impact for future older 16 New Yorkers in terms of retirement income; and implementing a "Silver Line" program 17 18 modeled after the United Kingdom to reduce social isolation and the negative health 19 20 outcomes that result from it. 21 The 2018 Executive Budget honors the

22 state's commitment to ensuring that those who
23 are served by NYSOFA across the state have
24 access to cost-effective, high-quality,

1 coordinated services that support

2 independence. The budget preserves key 3 programs including the Expanded In-Home Services for the Elderly Program, which 4 5 provides non-medical in-home services, case management, respite, and ancillary services 6 7 to those who are just above the Medicaid limit but can remain in their homes and 8 communities. The Wellness in Nutrition 9 10 Program funds home-delivered and congregate 11 meals and provides nutrition counseling and 12 education to frail older adults who may be 13 unable to shop or prepare meals for 14 themselves.

15 The Executive Budget includes the 16 \$1 million increase that was added last year to the Community Services for the Elderly 17 18 Program and consolidates the \$1.121 million 19 transportation appropriation into the CSE to 20 streamline reporting. CSE is designed to 21 improve the ability of communities to 22 identify priorities, gaps, and needs, and assist older adults who need help to remain 23 24 in their homes and communities for as long as

1 possible.

2	The Governor's budget proposal also
3	makes a significant investment in NY Connects
4	sustainability, which is our state's No Wrong
5	Door, by a partnership with the Department of
6	Health. NY Connects is a statewide, locally
7	based no-wrong-door system that provides
8	one-stop access to free, objective, and
9	comprehensive information and assistance on
10	accessing long-term services and supports.
11	The partnership with the Health Department
12	will provide an investment of almost \$20
13	million to the 59 county-based area agencies
14	on aging, and an additional almost \$6 million
15	to our five regional disability partner
16	organizations.
17	The Executive Budget increases the
18	Health Insurance Information Counseling and
19	Assistance Program to meet increased demand
20	for objective, personalized, one-on-one
21	counseling and assistance. HIICAP is
22	available to all Medicare beneficiaries of
23	all ages, providing free, confidential

counseling about Medicare and health

24

1 insurance benefits, options, paperwork, and 2 resources; programs that can help pay for 3 Medicare and prescription costs; Medicare covered costs, deductibles, and programs; 4 5 information on insurance products that can help wrap around such as a Medigap; and how 6 7 to evaluate Medicare plans, Part B 8 prescription drug programs, and so on. These state-certified counselors, 9 10 along with our MCAP partners, helped low-income Medicare beneficiaries realize 11 12 \$104 million in savings last year by helping 13 them apply for the Medicare Savings Program 14 and the low-income subsidy. More than 20,000 15 applications were approved by CMS. 16 NYSOFA has and will continue to build partnerships with our sister agencies to 17 18 increase access to services and meet needs 19 that are across systems. One such 20 partnership -- and I'd really like to thank Commissioner Cronin -- is with the Office of 21 Victim Services, to increase statewide 22 funding for our elder abuse mitigation. 23 This 24 partnership, when fully realized, will

utilize \$7.5 million over three years to
 expand our very successful federal pilot over
 the last three and a half years to expand the
 Enhanced Multi-Disciplinary Teams to combat
 financial exploitation along with other
 efforts, as I mentioned earlier, with the
 Department of Financial Services.

Almost 700,000 older adults last year 8 received services through our network. 9 They 10 received home and congregate meals; received care and other services in their homes to 11 12 help them remain independent and autonomous; 13 accessed transportation services to medical 14 appointments, dialysis, pharmacies and other 15 community outlets; received legal assistance 16 and legal services; received Medicare plan and prescription counseling and assistance; 17 18 and received support services such as respite 19 and other caregiver support so they can 20 continue to care for a husband, a spouse, or 21 other loved one.

22 We will continue to work smart by 23 engaging other state agencies, 24 not-for-profits, and community-based

1 organizations to serve all of New York's 2 older population. 3 And with that, as always, I'm thankful for your ongoing support, and I'm happy to 4 5 answer any questions that you have. 6 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very 7 much. We begin with Ms. Lupardo, chair. 8 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Thank you, 9 10 Mr. Chair. 11 Well, thanks for your testimony. In 12 the 10 minutes that I have, we have together, 13 I want to quickly go through some basic 14 budget questions and then I want to ask you 15 some bigger-picture questions as well. 16 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Sure. ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: So let's 17 18 quickly just try to get through some easy 19 stuff, I hope, which is the rationale for 20 moving that senior transportation operating 21 expense into the Community Service for the 22 Elderly program. ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah, so one 23 24 of the things that we've been engaging in to

1 try to reduce the administrative burden on
2 the counties is making their reporting and
3 responsibility to us a little bit more
4 streamlined and easier.

5 So one of the requirements that the counties have to fulfill each year is 6 7 providing us with a plan. It used to be a very, very large, labor-intensive, really 8 thick document talking about how they were 9 10 going to meet federal and state regulations, 11 laws, their fiduciary responsibility, 12 monitoring, oversight, targeting for specific 13 populations that are included under the elder 14 law. So this move to move the transportation 15 in there is a reporting efficiency. ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: I'm a little 16

17 concerned about the shift of ongoing 18 operations funding for NY Connects, which you 19 just spoke about, from the SOFA budget to a 20 pool of federal funding. I'm nervous about 21 that.

It seems, under current circumstances,
a risky move, given how critically important
this is, connecting people up, as you

1 mentioned, to long-term-care services. So 2 why would we want to potentially jeopardize 3 that funding at this point? ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: You know, I 4 5 think if we looked three years ago, the entire pool of funding to support the 6 7 operations of NY Connects was \$3.35 million. What's going out the door in this fiscal 8 year, '17-'18, is a combination of BIP funds, 9 10 the Balancing Incentive Payment program, and funds contained under the global Medicaid 11 12 cap. That is going to equal about \$26 million. 13 14 So it's not that the money has 15 disappeared. We're using two sources that 16 are contained within the Health Department, because the Health Department is a major 17 partner in designing, developing, and helping 18 implement, along with the Office of Mental 19 20 Health, the Office of Substance Abuse 21 Services, and OPWDD. 22 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: So -- but it's possible that that Balancing Incentive 23 24 Program fund could run out, in which case --

1 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Well, they 2 will run out. And so we have a --ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Sure. 3 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: -- we have a 4 5 sustainability plan that was part of the deliverables in receiving the grants to begin 6 7 with. So we have a multiyear plan that we have put together. This year the BIP funds 8 run out in '18, March of '18, and that's when 9 10 the global Medicaid cap dollars kick in. And then we have again a sustainability plan 11 12 further on. I mean, I think what's really 13 14 important to point out about this system --15 this is really a systems change and not a program -- is really what it's designed to do 16 and how important it is, I think, for all of 17 18 you to help us get the word out about it, 19 because it's a really great constituent 20 service for people of all ages to utilize to 21 help with their benefits. 22 As I was listening to some of the other testimony, how do we increase some of 23 24 the benefits that people are eligible for but

1 aren't participating in? That's one of the 2 core deliverables of what the No Wrong Door's 3 designed to do. And so, you know, we can be 4 very helpful throughout the state, because 5 this system's reform is happening in every 6 county in helping your constituents access 7 some of those services.

So we didn't build something to see it 8 9 fall apart. This is a major systems change, 10 again, that not only involves five state 11 agencies but regional disability 12 organizations and then a variety of other 13 partners that are delivering services that 14 are underneath the level of the area agencies 15 on aging. 16 And so, you know, as I had mentioned as part of the sustainability of 17

18 deliverables, we had to develop a

19 sustainability plan.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: So speaking of sustainability, a lot of us are alarmed about the Title XX shift and the impact that will have not only on New York City's senior centers but on counties that rely on that 1 funding for Adult Protective Services. I
2 know that's not in the budget, but it
3 certainly is going to have a big impact on
4 your constituency. What are your thoughts on
5 this?

ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Well, I think, 6 7 you know -- and listening to Commissioner 8 Poole's response this morning, I have had a conversation with her about this issue. You 9 10 know, I think that they're willing to take a 11 look at some of the other funding structures 12 and mechanisms to potentially offset that. 13 My understanding is there is a net plus for the city and some counties because of some of 14 the Medicaid admin takeover actions and some 15 other tax. But this is an issue that I think 16 isn't going to be settled today --17 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: No. 18 19 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: -- and it's 20 going to be a subject of conversation over 21 the next month and a half.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: No, we were just highlighting how important it is to the members, that this particular issue be on the 1 front burner.

2	A couple of other quick budget items.
3	On the COLA, I'm a little confused about
4	that. Our understanding is that it was
5	discontinued because it was considered too
6	difficult to administer and get out.
7	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: So you're
8	talking about the direct care worker funding?
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: I'm sorry,
10	yes.
11	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: The direct
13	service workers.
14	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: You know, in
15	the original budget appropriation, the
16	estimate for our agency was about
17	\$7.4 million. And that was an estimate based
18	on the titles that were included within the
19	direct care worker proposal.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Right.
21	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: That was a
22	large overestimate, to say the least. And a
23	lot of our counties opted not to participate,
24	due to title-matching issues and some other

1 issues.

2	So we are in the process now of, you
3	know, looking at the counties, looking at the
4	vouchers to gauge what the impact of that is.
5	But a lot of our counties opted not to take
6	advantage.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Okay. And one
8	other question about the multidisciplinary
9	teams. So it looks as if that funding was
10	not continued. And I'm wondering, what's
11	your assessment on how it has gone so far?
12	Because it seems, again, I'm just learning
13	about these programs. It seems very
14	important.
15	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah, and this
16	is one of the most exciting projects for an
17	area that is frankly horrific. Right? We
18	had been successful in getting the only grant
19	in the country that tested an enhanced
20	multidisciplinary team model.
21	And, you know, multidisciplinary team
22	is really what it says it is. It's pulling
23	the expertise of a variety of different folks
24	from, you know, the court system to the DA to

1 law enforcement, APS, human services,

2 housing, so on and so forth. What makes the 3 enhancement is the funding of a coordinator for the -- to keep that group together, a 4 5 financing forensic accountant, and a geropsychiatrist. 6 7 The funding that was -- so we did a 8 three-year pilot in eight upstate counties 9 and then in Manhattan. That was very, very 10 successful. That we're still -- you know, groups 11 12 are still meeting and talking and figuring out ways to expand. The \$500,000 that was 13 14 added last year was really a great first step 15 in the expansion. We weren't able to 16 obviously go statewide with \$500,000, so part of that money was used to sustain the two 17 18 pilot programs and then begin the process, over a three-to-five-year period, of looking 19 20 at how we could expand. The partnership that 21 we have with OVS is going to turn this 22 program into a \$7.5 million program. ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: OVS? 23 24 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Office of

1 Victim Services.

2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Got it.
3	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: So that was
4	really why I was thanking Commissioner
5	Cronin, who is jumping in right with us. I'm
6	sending her a proposal, in fact, this
7	afternoon.
8	But this would be over a three-year
9	period, to really take that next step in
10	expanding some regional hubs and then the
11	EMDTs within the counties throughout the
12	state.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: I would very
14	much like as many details as you can provide
15	us on that. That sounds like a very positive
16	move.
17	I may ask for additional time later,
18	but I'd like to hear your thoughts on two
19	programs that to me seem like hugely
20	important investments, and a big money saver
21	to the state. That would be, of course, the
22	CSE program and EISEP programs.
23	So how cost-effective are those? And
24	surely I'm going to be making a case that we

should be investing more, not less, in those
 programs.

ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: I think the 3 people that utilize those programs -- they're 4 5 mostly individuals in their eighties for EISEP, late seventies for CSE. CSE is the 6 7 most flexible funding stream, so whether you're in your community or anywhere else in 8 9 the state, you have the opportunity to 10 identify locally determined needs and then fill those gaps. So it's a real important, 11 12 flexible funding stream.

13 But I think, you know, the value of 14 the network, the area agencies, and the 15 various entities that they work with, whether 16 they be faith-based organizations, other vendors, community action, town and municipal 17 18 government agencies that provide similar 19 services, is that the intervention line for 20 many of the people that might need some 21 assistance in their home is earlier. So you 22 can get in and you can stop somebody from declining, you can prevent hospital 23 24 readmissions.

1 You have a network that's built from 2 the ground up -- when you're discharged from 3 a hospital or from rehab, that they can succeed when they get back into the 4 5 community, you know, get out of bed in the morning, make sure they're taking their 6 7 medications, get to the doctors appointment, those types of low-budget high-yield 8 services. 9 10 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: How low of a 11 budget? What's the average that you spend or 12 we can see across the state that's spent on 13 someone in this type of setting? 14 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: So if you took 15 the average EISEP client, you know, they 16 would have some personal care or home health care in their home to do those tasks that I 17 18 had mentioned, and they all are provided with case management. You're looking at under 19 20 \$10,000, which is shared jointly, which is 21 another great way that this partnership is --22 it's a federal/state/local partnership, and 23 also a partnership with the customer 24 themselves to share the cost. So it doesn't

1 cost a lot of money.

2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: That's
3	amazing. Just one more time under
4	\$10,000, as opposed to the high cost of
5	long-term care in a facility?
6	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Well, they
7	haven't triggered that need for that
8	higher-level cost yet.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Sure. Because
10	it's been they've been taken care of in
11	that setting. Thanks.
12	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
13	Senator?
14	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you,
15	Mr. Chairman.
16	Our first speaker will be the chair of
17	the Senate Standing Committee on Aging, and
18	that's Senator Sue Serino.
19	SENATOR SERINO: Thank you, Senator.
20	Hello, Acting Director.
21	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Hi.
22	SENATOR SERINO: Thank you very much
23	for being here today.
24	As I was appointed to serve as the

chair of the Aging Committee in 2015, since 1 2 that time I have seen the waiting list for 3 the Community Services for the Elderly grow by thousands. In fact, despite adding funds 4 5 to CSE, we have seen the list grow by at least one-third in one year's time, from 6 7 9,700 people to an estimated 15,000 this year. And as I understand it, this number 8 could actually be much larger, as not all 9 10 counties keep and/or report the number of individuals on their waitlist. 11

12 Given that the waiting lists continue 13 to grow, it astounds me that more money is not allocated to provide these services. And 14 15 in your estimation, how much do you think 16 would be needed to eliminate having elderly individuals on a waitlist for basic services 17 such as meals, in-home services, and 18 transportation? 19

ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: So let me answer that by saying two things, what a waiting list is and what a waiting list is not in our network.

24 So I believe that the data that you

1 have would probably be the most recent data 2 from the counties from December of 2015. 3 Their plans that are submitted to us have estimates based on a snapshot in time of 4 5 individuals that may or may not be able to be served by the waiting list. So there were 6 7 additional resources put into CSE last year 8 and some other strategies that we have undertaken both within our network and with 9 10 some of the other sister agencies, too, that 11 I think will have an impact on trying to 12 reduce any waiting list. 13 What a waiting list doesn't mean, 14 though, in our network is that older adults 15 and their families are not being served. So 16 let me give you an example. If an individual is in need of a home-delivered meal, it goes 17 18 through the assessment and is deemed 19 eligible. If that community does not have 20 the resources to provide that meal based on 21 that assessment, there are other things that the network can and does do. 22 So, for example, we could have 23

24 transportation to bring that individual to a

1 senior center or a congregate meal site to 2 get a meal there and then to, you know, interact with others. There's other 3 programming, there's health promotion and 4 5 other types of real important evidence-based interventions going on in the centers. 6 7 If that individual is eligible or appears to be eligible for SNAP, Supplemental 8 Nutrition Assistance Program, we would help 9 10 that individual apply and receive those benefits. Those benefits could actually be 11 12 used to pay for the cost of the 13 home-delivered meal. Or, again, you could 14 use a volunteer corps -- which the counties 15 really rely on the volunteers extensively --16 for service delivery to possibly shop and prepare meals for that individual. 17 18 So that's just an example of ways

19 that, you know, just because a person may not 20 be able to receive that service, that there's 21 not other ways to do it.

Now, what gets reported back to us is
probably, in terms of data, maybe a third of
what actually is going on out there. So

there are town programs -- the Town of Colonie, all of Long Island -- where the whole aging infrastructure is done by towns who are providing similar types of services that we don't get data from them in terms of, you know, what they're able to do or what they're reporting.

8 But those are other avenues that we 9 can refer people to to try to meet their 10 needs.

SENATOR SERINO: Because that is what 11 12 I worry about. You know, I have -- my area is pretty rural, and I can't even imagine 13 14 upstate. Transportation is a huge issue. So 15 I think that there are probably a lot that aren't reporting. They might just say "We 16 don't have the dollars for this function for 17 you," and that's what I'm really concerned 18 19 about.

20 With the Executive Budget removing the 21 25 percent waiver on the CSE program, it 22 places an undue fiscal burden on counties. 23 This proposal also rolls the transportation 24 services into the CSE line, also making it

1 subject to the local match. And I was very 2 happy that my Assemblywoman Donna Lupardo, 3 who co-chairs the Aging Committee with me, 4 mentioned about the direct care workers. And 5 you said it was \$7.4 million, which was a large overestimate on -- a lot of the 6 7 counties didn't participate, but I can see this -- going forward, that they're not going 8 to participate if they have to make that 9 10 match, because already they're stretched to the limit. 11

12 We cannot have this waiver go by the 13 wayside. So I really hope that we're going 14 to reconsider that. And especially 15 transportation is the number-one issue, I 16 think, in all of our counties, and we 17 really -- we can't afford to do that to our 18 seniors.

19And then also you spoke about -- no,20this is about the Adult Protective Services.21And I don't know if you were in the hearing22room earlier today when I asked the23commissioner of OCFS a few questions24regarding APS and the staff allocated to

elder abuse cases. She was unfortunately
unable to answer my questions on the data
collected or the staffing available in her
agency assigned to address the number of
elder abuse incidents alleged and
investigated statewide. I was very
disappointed with that.

8 I bring this up because, as you know, 9 I and many others fought hard to include 10 funding to expand Lifespan, which I know 11 you've talked about the multidisciplinary 12 teams going through OVS. Will they be 13 working with Lifespan too, or how is that 14 going to work?

15 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah, so your first question -- the Offices for the Aging 16 work very closely with local APS, for obvious 17 18 reasons. I mean, not every individual that 19 touches APS winds up being an APS client. 20 And then what other resources are there that 21 are -- again, are across systems, that can 22 assist that individual, depending on what it is. Lifespan is our primary partner in all 23 24 things elder abuse.

1 You know, our model in federal grant 2 was a partnership with Lifespan as well as 3 the New York City Elder Abuse Coalition, Weill Cornell Medical Center. There's no 4 5 reason to not go and support an infrastructure that has experience in this 6 7 very complicated area and a successful track 8 record. So I would anticipate that Lifespan 9 would continue to be a major partner in that 10 as well.

11 You know, Commissioner Poole and myself and the Commissioner of DFS have done 12 13 a couple of regional listening sessions on elder abuse globally, financial exploitation 14 15 specifically. We have just completed a model 16 MOU not only in how we work with the state APS, but also how the local AAAs can be 17 18 working and sharing information where --19 obviously, with HIPAA compliance, standards 20 being met -- but how we can tighten those 21 relationships a little bit better so that 22 we're serving individuals holistically. SENATOR SERINO: Okay. And also, 23 24 there's no case managers assigned if people

1 are on a waiting list for CSE? That's a 2 question I failed to ask earlier. 3 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: You know, in some counties there are, actually. 4 5 SENATOR SERINO: Okay. ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Case 6 7 management is a -- you know, I'm glad you raised that. It's a really, really important 8 service for somebody who can help people not 9 10 only navigate extremely complex systems but also to help them apply for some of the 11 12 benefits or entitlements that they are 13 eligible for. 14 So you hear that we have 59 different 15 area agencies throughout the state, including 16 two Native American reservations. While they all meet similar standards, they all do it 17 18 very differently. And so I don't have at my 19 fingertips which ones do and which ones 20 don't. But some of them absolutely do. 21 And as a former case manager myself, 22 in Monroe County, we did have waiting lists for EISEP 20 years ago when I worked there. 23 24 And there were things that we were able to do

1 with the people that might have been on a 2 waiting list to ensure that they were still 3 receiving other types of services. SENATOR SERINO: Okay. And finally, 4 5 regarding the Long-Term-Care Ombudsman Program, which relies on dedicated 6 7 volunteers, it remains flat in this year's budget. What is the coordination between the 8 9 LTC Ombudsman office and the Department of 10 Health? ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: So you will 11 12 remember, Senator, that we completed a 13 regionalization and reorganization of the 14 program. What we were finding at the time 15 was, you know, counties were struggling, 16 vendors were struggling to provide the service based on, you know, the scale. So we 17 18 went through a regionalization proposal that 19 significantly expanded the resources and 20 allowed us to make some major improvements in terms of dedicated staff that didn't occur 21 22 prior to, and some of the other tasks that the ombudsmen have to do. 23

24 We do have a new state ombudsman that

at some point I'd love for you to meet.

1

2 But in addition to the funding that 3 was retained this year that was added last year, you know, we are working with the 4 5 Health Department for another two-year commitment to have -- the Assisted Living 6 7 Reform Act of 2005 has funding dedicated from 8 the assisted living licenses in it provided into the budget. There's a couple of other 9 10 discretionary federal pots that we provide additional resources in. So there is a 11 12 couple hundred thousand, up to \$600,000 more 13 than what you might see in the appropriation 14 line.

15 On the second part, in terms of 16 working with the Health Department, you know, we work very closely with the Health 17 18 Department in a lot of different areas, 19 whether it be the AIDS Institute, on chronic 20 diseases, lowering sodium intake for our 21 meals and things of that nature, as well as 22 all the NY Connects and Medicaid-type long-term-care-related issues. 23

24 This one is one that we have regained

1 speed in terms of what the value is of the 2 program in terms of what the state is trying 3 to accomplish. We have upwards of 800 paid 4 and highly-trained volunteers that are 5 visiting facilities every day during the year, through most of the nursing homes and 6 7 enriched housing and assisted living facilities. They can be the quality eyes and 8 ears for the state. And so we're in 9 10 conversations now on how we can kind of ramp that up a little bit more. 11 12 SENATOR SERINO: Yeah, I know that's 13 such a great program. 14 So the new person that you hired, are 15 they charged with overseeing the long-term 16 care facilities? ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: No, they're 17 18 responsible for overseeing the Long-Term-Care 19 Ombudsman Program, which --20 SENATOR SERINO: Just the ombudsman 21 program? ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: -- which is a 22 federal requirement under the Older Americans 23 24 Act that is contained within our office.

1	Yeah.
2	SENATOR SERINO: So who is charged
3	with overseeing the long-term care
4	facilities?
5	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: The Health
6	Department.
7	SENATOR SERINO: The Health
8	Department, okay.
9	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Which is why
10	that partnership is so important.
11	SENATOR SERINO: Okay.
12	Okay. Thank you very much.
13	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Sure. Thank
14	you, Senator.
15	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
17	Assemblyman DenDekker.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Thank you,
19	Director. I really appreciate your answering
20	just a couple quick questions.
21	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Sure.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: The money for
23	the NORCs, which is the Naturally Occurring
24	Retirement Communities, I noticed the funding

is there, but the extra \$700,000 that was put in last year through the Mortgage Insurance Fund has been cut now. And I don't understand why we put in \$700,000 last year to expand services to then just cut it this year. I'm wondering if you can give me some information on that.

8 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: I think that 9 that was a one-time amount that came from the 10 trust fund that you talked about for 375 for 11 each of the pots, the Neighborhood NORCs and 12 the NORCs. So our appropriations remain as 13 they were last year.

14As you had raised it, I think it's15going to be a topic of conversation and16something that will play itself out in the17next month and a half.

ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Yeah, because it's going to be difficult. If we gave them that funding to expand the services, and now we're just going to stop the additional services that we provided for one year, I don't think that's a good way to go.

24 I think it's very dangerous to the

elderly community that is using those
services now, and who now are going to find
out that the services that I just got are not
going to be available anymore. I think it
was a very bad message to send. I hope we
can reach an agreement on that so that we can
continue the funding somehow.

8 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah, and I 9 think that's a good conversation to have.

10 I also think that the modernization proposal that was passed in last year's bill 11 12 will help expand some of the services. So 13 what's happened over the last 20 years with 14 the traditional NORC program, and since 2004 15 with the Neighborhood NORC program, is they were bid once -- in 1995, where NORC is 16 concerned, and never again until actually the 17 RFA that's out now -- and with the 18 19 Neighborhood NORCs in 2004.

I think one of the things that we learned -- and this is certainly not suggesting that any program is not doing what it was supposed to be doing -- but there were some areas where I think the intent of what

1 the NORC was -- in terms of a bottom-up 2 community organizing health and social 3 services partnership that leveraged resources, that included older adults in 4 5 terms of what services are provided, how they're provided -- got lost in some places. 6 7 And so this gave us an opportunity to, 8 you know, take the demonstration out of it, because we demonstrated that it worked for 9 10 20 years, and memorialize some of the lessons 11 that we learned over time. 12 So what I'm actually hoping, as the 13 RFA plays itself out, is that we're going to see additional services being able to be 14 15 provided because of the clarity of the intent 16 of what the program design is supposed to 17 look like. 18 ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: I just will reiterate that I hope that we find a way to 19 20 continue those services. I have a NORC in my 21 community, it's extremely successful, it's 22 vibrant, the seniors in that community rely on the services that they get, it actually 23 24 saves us money because it keeps them in their

2	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Which one's in
3	your district?
4	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Northridge/
5	Southridge.
6	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Okay. Thank
7	you.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: So and I'm
9	just concerned that any cut to the NORC
10	program would affect those seniors directly,
11	and I don't want to see that happen.
12	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Okay. I'll
13	take that back.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: The other
15	the one thing I want to just touch on quick
16	is you mentioned about the transportation
17	issues.
18	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yes.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: So I want to
20	know if I got this right, because I was told
21	by a couple of entities that they're very
22	concerned about something that they said was
23	going to happen, and I want to know if this
24	is coming from that area.

1 So currently right now they contract 2 with a transportation company that is picking 3 up seniors or clients and bringing them in for their services, whether it be daycare 4 5 services or whatever, and they've been told that now, starting I believe in October, that 6 7 there's a Governor's proposal that will now be like a community way of getting services 8 and transportation so they wouldn't have the 9 10 same company picking them up every day. It might be a different company, it might be a 11 12 different driver, et cetera, et cetera. There's real concern, both in the 13 14 elderly community as well as my veteran 15 community that relies on those services, that 16 they want the same company and the same driver to pick them up every day. That's the 17 18 way it's always been. They know where they 19 are, they know them by name, and especially 20 seniors as well as my veteran population are 21 extremely concerned about any change that --

I don't know who this person is now, and I don't feel comfortable getting in that vehicle. And also the previous company knew

1 exactly where to pick me up, et cetera, 2 et cetera. Are those concerns --ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: That proposal, 3 I've got to be honest, I'm not aware of. 4 5 It's not our proposal. 6 ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Okay. 7 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: So I'm not 8 sure exactly what that might be. I really don't know. That is not us. 9 10 ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: I will want to find out more about it --11 12 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: I think the 13 big thing that has been raised in this 14 hearing is the move of our \$1 million of 15 transportation into CSE. That is a drop in the bucket in terms of what's provided around 16 the state in terms of transportation for all 17 18 older adults, but that's a decision that's 19 made by, in the city, the Department for the 20 Aging, and then in the rest of the state, the 21 county Offices of the Aging. 22 So this -- the proposal that you described, and the concern, is not --23 24 ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Okay. That's

1	what I wanted to know. Thank you very much.
2	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
3	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Thank you.
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
5	Thank you for being here, Director
6	Olsen.
7	I have several questions that I just
8	want to drill down a little bit deeper on a
9	couple of the topics that we may have touched
10	on already. And the first has to do with the
11	discontinuation of the direct care worker and
12	service provider cost of living adjustment,
13	COLA.
14	And as you know, the 2015 enacted
15	budget provided for a wage increase for
16	direct care workers and service providers.
17	In the 2018 Executive Budget, it proposes
18	that the elimination of funding for direct
19	care workers and service providers is
20	warranted. According to the Governor, DOH
21	and the State Office for the Aging providers
22	have underutilized this COLA, citing the
23	complexity of identifying eligible workers.
24	Direct care workers and service

providers have low salaries, as you know, and
 would be negatively impacted by this cut.
 According to the Governor, elimination of the
 COLA would save the state \$2 million in this
 fiscal year of 2018.

So first of all, can you explain the 6 7 primary reasons why the State Office for the 8 Aging providers have not adopted this COLA for direct care workers and service 9 10 providers? And can you provide the COLA 11 amount and an estimate of how many direct 12 care workers and service providers would be 13 impacted by this discontinuation?

ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah, so the latter two questions are information we're also gathering, so my staff behind me or watching on TV are jotting that down, we'll get that information to you.

19 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: When do you --20 Director, when do you think we can get it? 21 Because as you know, the clock is ticking and 22 we have to pass a state budget on time. So 23 today's a hearing, but when can we get that 24 information? ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: I think that what we're trying to figure out among our counties is why were the titles -- why didn't the titles match, and why was it difficult to implement. We are reviewing the vouchers that are coming in to see who did and who didn't.

8 Our anecdotal information from our 9 fiscal department, who talks to the counties, 10 is that it's been underutilized. So it's 11 something that I can try to get to you as 12 quick as we have it, but we need to reach out 13 to our counties as we have been doing to try 14 to get what the impact is.

15 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So you're reaching 16 out to the counties now. But this was passed 17 in 2015. So has there been outreach, you 18 know, when you started to see signals that 19 maybe it was being underutilized?

ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: The direct care worker part for our agency, after the getting out the program instruction, doing a training, answering questions, et cetera, took some time to implement. Not all the

1 counties voucher on a monthly basis or even 2 on a quarterly basis, and so -- but it's --3 sometimes by the time you get your reports in in terms of where there may be an issue and 4 5 where there's not an issue, some time has 6 gone by.

7 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. So if there is a time element here, and it sounds 8 like there is, if the direct care worker and 9 10 the service provider COLA were extended for 11 one year, would that give you ample time to 12 reexamine and approve the process for 13 targeting potential workers?

14 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: I think if we 15 understood, you know, why the titles were 16 difficult to identify and what part of the 17 program was difficult to implement, that 18 could provide some guidance.

19 But again, as I've been listening to 20 the hearings the last week or two and 21 certainly this morning, I know this is an 22 issue that's been raised at multiple areas and, you know, is of concern to --23 24

CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Can I -- I know you

1 care about seniors deeply, I know the 2 Governor cares deeply about seniors. We want 3 to deliver the best services possible, and we want to help the counties. So if there's a 4 5 way that you could work this out, I think it would be very beneficial. 6 7 Can you provide details on the savings for this initiative, specifically for the 8 state and the localities? So where would the 9 10 savings be around the state? ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah. I 11 12 believe we can. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: You can get that 13 14 for us too? Okay, that would be great. 15 Switching gears a little bit, I would 16 like to discuss the NY Connects. And as you know, the 2018 fiscal year Executive Budget 17 18 proposes a funding shift for NY Connects from 19 the General Fund to the Medicaid global cap 20 and the federal Balancing Incentive Program. 21 So it's called BIP funding? 22 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Yup. NY Connects, 23 24 as you know -- and I remember when it was

1 established in 2006 -- it's an information 2 hub that provides access to comprehensive 3 information and assistance for people of all ages that require long-term services and 4 5 support. So this funding shift would remove NY 6 7 Connects from the SOFA budget and place it in the DOH budget. And according to the 8 Governor, the shift would create 9 10 \$3.35 million in savings for this year. 11 So the questions are these. 12 Approximately how many individuals are 13 annually served in the NY Connects program? And do you see this number increasing in the 14 15 future? 16 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yes. There are about 200,000 --17 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: That's a lot. 18 19 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: -- contacts 20 this past year. We do expect the volume to 21 rise. We hope it does rise, and our 22 sustainability -- the longer-term sustainability plan that we've constructed 23 24 with the Department of Health and our

1 partners there recognizes that.

2 I don't necessarily look at it 3 necessarily as a shift but as a sustainability effort. So the BIP funds run 4 5 out, we all knew that those were going to run out in March of '18. One of the deliverables 6 7 of receiving the \$600 million for BIP was to 8 develop the sustainability plan, which we have. 9 10 As you mentioned, you know, this program was originally put into place in 11 12 2006. It's not really a program anymore, 13 it's a major systems change and reform. And 14 in this state fiscal year, the allocation to 15 the counties and our regional disability 16 partners is almost \$26 million. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 17 If there's a decrease in the Medicaid 18 19 global cap, however, which very well could 20 happen, what happens to NY Connects? 21 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Well, I think 22 that's a larger conversation. That's a big cap. There's priorities under that cap. I 23 24 think that this systems reform is also a

1 priority because of what it does, who it does 2 it to, and what it potentially saves. In 3 addition to consumers and family members that utilize the No Wrong Door, about 25 percent 4 5 of the contacts are from providers who have difficult individuals that they're trying to 6 7 get served. And, you know, a lot of those happen on Friday when people are being 8 discharged from the hospital. 9

10 So I think that by connecting people with the right service at the right time in 11 12 the right place, helping individuals get screened for SNAP and veterans' benefits or 13 14 Medicaid to be able to do home visits, to 15 intervene earlier, that that has tremendous 16 cost savings. And that's really what this systems reform is designed to do, is to get 17 18 people to where they need to get to, to make 19 sure that we have very strong partnerships 20 with our specialized hubs in mental health and substance abuse. 21

22 So I would hope, and I don't have any 23 reason to believe otherwise, that this 24 systems reform is a state priority.

1 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: We have a large 2 increase in the aging population in New York. 3 Could you talk about what the projections 4 are? 5 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah. And I'm glad you asked that question, because I think 6 7 when we're in the helping profession, we have a visual of what we mean by older people. 8 And unfortunately it often takes a negative 9 10 turn. 11 And that's not necessarily what aging 12 is globally. There are certainly individuals with need, and that's a lot of what our 13 14 agency does, but there's a lot of very 15 active, healthy people over the age of 60 in 16 the Legislature --17 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Now, watch it here. 18 (Laughter.) ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: -- in 19 Congress, leading businesses -- and I mean 20 21 that with all due respect. I've seen 22 positive aging and negative aging in my own immediate family and surrounding family. 23 24 So at this point we have about

1 3.7 million people over the age of 60. In the next 15 to 20 years, that will go to 2 3 about 5.2 million. This is a population that 4 is very -- brings a lot of social, 5 intellectual, and economic capital to their communities, which is why we're so excited 6 7 about the age-friendly livable community pieces in the Governor's budget that really 8 recognize how communities ought to be 9 10 structured for positive aging regardless of 11 age. 12 But it's not -- my point is it's not 13 all doom and gloom. These are individuals 14 that are important, and we should see them as 15 much in the positive instead of in a negative 16 light. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Right. We all want 17 18 seniors to be able to enjoy healthy longevity, be able to participate in 19 20 communities, stay at home, everything that is 21 positive for them. But at the same time, the 22 reality is that with many more seniors in the population, there's going to be a greater 23 24 need for long-term care. Would you agree

1 with that?

2	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: I would agree
3	with that based on the numbers. I think that
4	the efforts of not only our agency but some
5	of our other partners and community partners
6	are trying to help stave some of that off,
7	with preventing hospitalizations and
8	premature discharges, embedding the highest
9	level of evidence-based interventions in the
10	community to help control chronic diseases,
11	fallen injury preventions, ensuring good
12	nutrition.
13	If you're socially isolated, the
14	impact that that has on mental health and
15	physical health, how we can engage healthier
16	individuals to volunteer and be civically
17	engaged, all that has a very positive impact
18	on your longevity. And I think that those
19	are important strategies for overall
20	population health.
21	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. Now,
22	just a couple more topics.
23	I wanted to explore the changes in the
24	Community Services for the Elderly, the CSE

program. And as you know, this year's budget proposes to combine \$1.1 million in transportation funding into the CSE program. And it was separate this past year, so the action doesn't result in a decrease in funding.

7 However, the Governor also proposes removing 25 percent of the county share 8 exemption of the \$3.5 million in funding that 9 10 has been invested into the CSE program for 11 the past couple of years. So as you know 12 very well, this program serves approximately 122,500 people annually in New York State and 13 14 supports many service options for the 15 elderly, and I hear about this program all 16 the time locally. These options include transportation and home services, meals, and 17 18 access to case management.

19So many problems could result from20these proposed changes. Moving the2125 percent waiver will shift costs to local22municipalities, which already face23significant pressure because of the property24tax cap. This action may prevent additional

1 CSE funding enacted in past years from being 2 accepted by local governments, which will negatively affect the elderly who rely on 3 these services. 4 5 The Governor's proposal to consolidate the \$1.1 million into distinct transportation 6 7 funding makes that funding subject to a local match. And so therefore when you have 8 localities already under pressure because of 9 10 the property tax cap, because of unfunded mandates and other issues that they face 11 12 every single day, we could have a reduction in services to our most vulnerable 13 14 population. 15 So right now there are 15,000 older 16 adults waiting for community-based aging services in New York State. Do you have 17 18 plans in place to help decrease the number of 19 older adults on this waiting list? ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Well, I think 20 21 that was a similar question that Senator

22 Serino had raised in terms of other ways that 23 we assist people who may or may not be on a 24 waiting list.

1 So there's a laundry list of things 2 that may not appear in our budget that help 3 provide additional resources to the county. So for example, we were notified last week 4 5 that we're going to be receiving almost a 2 percent increase in our federal funding, 6 7 which is great, because our federal partner frankly has been --8 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: That is great. We 9 10 like to hear that. ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: -- has been 11 12 the least of our partners in providing services. 13 14 And I gave a couple of examples of if 15 an individual might be on a waiting list, how 16 we can assist with, you know, getting SNAP benefits, with volunteers getting an 17 individual to a senior center so that we can 18 still provide services. 19 20 We do a lot of applications and 21 benefits assistance. We serve 12,000 22 veterans over the age of 60 in New York State, so we have a project that we're 23 24 working on with DVA to identify those

1 veterans and make sure they get to the 2 Veterans Administration, because there's a 3 ton of supports and funding and benefits that 4 they're eligible for. 5 The Health Department last year and the year before put out \$15 million in grants 6 7 to provide caregiver and respite support, for example, to the Alzheimer's chapters. Those 8 Alzheimer's chapters turned around and 9 10 contracted with our county Offices for the Aging --11 12 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Like the 13 Legislature was very supportive of the --14 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Absolutely. 15 Absolutely. We have invested, in my 16 office -- one of the things I'm really interested in is there's a respite training 17 18 model called REST out of Chicago. And it's a 19 train-the-trainer type of proposal to train 20 master trainers to train others to provide 21 respite and caregiving support. So in just the last couple of weeks 22 I've met with Commissioner Camara at the 23

24 Office of Faith-Based Initiatives, the

1 New York State Office of National Community 2 Service, to look at how we can begin to not 3 only reach areas that we potentially haven't before, but to gain some volunteers. Because 4 5 I'd really like to believe, despite what you hear and watch on TV, that people are 6 7 inherently good and want to do good for others, for the most part. And I think 8 expanding our relationships with faith 9 10 communities, faith leaders, where we could 11 tap individuals that are within those 12 structures who want to volunteer, who want to 13 give back, that there's other ways that we 14 can provide service. So we're constantly 15 looking for those opportunities.

16 The enhanced multidisciplinary teams, part of those dollars will be to be able to 17 18 fund things like EISEP and transportation and 19 CSE. So we have a litany of those types of 20 things that we are constantly trying to work 21 on, facilitate, and expand, knowing that 22 there are needs across the board no matter who comes up and talks. There's something --23 24 there's needs everywhere. But we don't stop

based on the amount of funding that we get.
 We're looking for partnerships, and I think
 that's what we do very well.

4 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. So 5 we're hopeful that you'll work with the 6 Legislature to try to mitigate any negative 7 impact on county budgets so that they will 8 continue to provide the services that are 9 necessary.

10 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah, and I'm 11 glad you raised that as well. You know, 12 you're going to hear more about the shift of 13 the \$1 million, that money's going to be left 14 on the table and counties aren't going to be 15 able to afford it.

You know, Senator, the numbers really 16 just don't bear that out. The counties have 17 18 been a great partner to the Executive and the 19 Legislature in terms of understanding the 20 value of these programs and putting resources 21 there. The counties are way overmatched at 22 this point to the point where, practically speaking, a million dollars added to CSE 23 24 would result in a match requirement of about

1 \$350,000. Forty-five percent of that 350 2 would be responsible for New York City, who is overmatched by \$180 million at least. 3 So 4 they already are meeting -- they're already 5 overmatched. The next 10 big counties would 6 take a large chunk out of that; they're 7 already overmatched. The overwhelming majority of counties, and I would say in the 8 98 percent, are overmatched. 9 10 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: What about little 11 counties? Poor counties? Rural counties? 12 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Those as well. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay. So Allegany 13 14 County, for example? 15 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yes. So I 16 really don't anticipate any additional financial hit on the counties through that 17 18 proposal. 19 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 20 And then just one final thing, and I 21 know it's Department of Health too, but 22 telehealth services. They can be very valuable in helping people age in place, stay 23 24 at home, avoid costly long-term care, which

1 in many cases people -- I don't hear people 2 saying "Pick me, pick me, I want to go to the 3 nursing home tomorrow." 4 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Right. 5 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: They only go if they have to. 6 7 So are you involved in telehealth services? And could you tell us how those 8 things are going as far as improving people's 9 10 lives? ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah, I mean, 11 12 I can just tell you -- we're not really all that involved on the health side in putting 13 14 telehealth in. 15 I can tell you, just philosophically, it's absolutely the future. I've seen some 16 physicians' practices, for example, up in 17 18 Maine who are using not exactly telehealth --19 parts of it could be telehealth, but also 20 even just being able to monitor somebody over 21 a 24-hour period that doesn't need to be in a 22 nursing home, and you surround those individuals with a core support of what could 23 24 be family members or volunteers that are able

1 to keep people at home. 2 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Plus technology. ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Right. 3 4 Absolutely. So, you know, philosophically, 5 absolutely, we're supportive. 6 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 7 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Sure, thank 8 you. 9 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 10 Mr. Weprin. 11 ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Thank you, 12 Mr. Chairman. 13 Director Olsen, good afternoon. 14 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: How are you. 15 ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: In our great 16 concern about the \$17 million cut, \$17 million to Title XX --17 18 (Microphone not working.) 19 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: I can actually 20 hear you the best without that. 21 (Laughter; cross-talk.) 22 ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: -- the 23 \$17 million cut to Title XX money, and I get 24 contacted by a number of seniors' advocates

who have indicated that that will result in
 about a 30 percent closing of senior centers
 in New York City.

I have a large senior population. Can
you comment on that cut? And, as you know,
the Democratic Assembly majority is majority
New York City-based, despite the leadership
of our chair, who's north of New York City,
but we certainly in our conference are very
concerned about that cut.

11 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah, and I 12 know it came up this morning and it came up a 13 little earlier.

You know, again, it is an issue that I 14 15 have spoken with Commissioner Poole about. I 16 think her answer this morning was -- and I agree with -- that within her structure I 17 18 know that they're going to be looking at are there other ways within their funding streams 19 20 to offline some of their funding or voucher 21 differently.

I think that, you know, this isn't the last time this issue will come up. So I think it will -- you know, it's going to be a conversation over the next month and a half.

2 My understanding is, and I'm not an 3 expert on New York City budgeting, is that there are -- there is going to be a net plus 4 5 for the city in terms of, you know, Medicaid admin, state takeover of Medicaid admin, some 6 7 of the sales tax changes. But if the city 8 took no action, then certainly those Title XX funds do support the ongoing operations of 9 10 the centers.

1

11 ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Yeah, and kind of 12 as a follow-up on that, I have a very large 13 immigrant population in my Assembly district, 14 particularly a large South Asian population 15 from Bangladesh and India in particular. And 16 we have a lot of culturally sensitive senior centers, and I've supported working very 17 closely with my Councilmember as well as 18 19 New York City.

For example, we have a senior center in the Jamaica Muslim Center which mostly serves a Bangladeshi population, and special halal food and culturally sensitive activities, and we also work very closely

1 with India Home for a lot of Indian-based 2 services and vegetarian food at that 3 particular senior center. And of course we have, you know, kosher food at our JASA 4 5 Senior Center. What can the state do in particular to 6 7 help some of these immigrant seniors who are 8 particularly afraid of some of the 9 pronouncements and executive orders that are 10 coming out of Washington? I know my 11 Bangladeshi population, and particularly that 12 particular senior center -- I mentioned that it's in Jamaica Muslim Center -- are very 13 14 concerned about the anti-immigrant -- from 15 Muslim countries -- Bangladesh is not on that 16 list, but I spoke at that senior center recently and, you know, they expect --17 18 they're fearful that Bangladesh will be next. 19 What can the state do to help out with 20 some of these special immigrant senior 21 centers and activities and programs? Can the 22 state, you know, get involved in that? ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: You know, 23 24 Assemblyman, I think the issue that you're

raising is beyond unfortunate. I can't tell
 you exactly what we can do.

What I can promise you, though, is
that we should have a follow-up conversation.
Because if there is a role for our agency, if
there's a role for the Office for
New Americans -- which we've started a
partnership with -- you know, I'd love to be
a part of that.

10 So what I can commit to doing is to understanding a little bit deeper what some 11 12 of the concerns are. I mean, what we're here 13 to do is help, and that's both at the state 14 level and certainly our counterparts at the 15 local level. And that's what we'll do. So 16 I'll commit to following up with you personally and getting some folks together 17 18 that can express more in terms of -- when you 19 say what can we do to help, maybe they can articulate a little bit more of that and 20 21 figure out a way for us to be helpful. 22 ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Thank you, Mr. Olsen. I will follow up with you. 23 24 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Okay. Thank
2	you.
3	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
4	Senator?
5	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
6	Senator Liz Krueger.
7	SENATOR KRUEGER: Hi.
8	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Hi, Senator.
9	SENATOR KRUEGER: Good afternoon.
10	Just following up, now, on a number of
11	questions. You were asked about the shift
12	from the federal BIP, Balancing Incentive
13	Program, to excuse me, shifting from
14	General Fund money to the federal BIP
15	balancing fund. How much money would be
16	shifted?
17	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: So that's
18	let me clarify that. So the General Fund
19	allocation for the No Wrong Door is
20	\$3.35 million. When we received the
21	Balancing Incentive grant, that was a
22	\$500 million almost a \$600 million grant.
23	Not all of it was for the No Wrong Door, but
24	that was one of the three components that had

1 to be implemented.

2	So we've been using BIP money, and a
3	substantial amount of BIP money, to meet the
4	deliverables of BIP, which is to expand and
5	enhance it statewide. We didn't have No
6	Wrong Door in New York City, we do now.
7	There are a couple of upstate counties, but
8	then there's additional tasks and
9	deliverables that have to go along with that.
10	We built a statewide reporting system. We
11	enhanced a statewide resource directory
12	SENATOR KRUEGER: No, that's okay.
13	Skip that. Just what are we not paying
14	for anymore with BIP because we're going to
15	use this BIP money instead of our own money?
16	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: So the BIP
17	money runs out in March '18
18	SENATOR KRUEGER: Yeah.
19	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: which was
20	the plan. Part of our sustainability plan
21	was how do we sustain it after those BIP
22	dollars go. And so the sustainability
23	dollars so we will continue to use BIP
24	funding through March 31 of '18, and then

1 there's dollars to take us the rest of the 2 fiscal year through the global Medicaid cap. 3 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. Okay. In the Governor's Article VII language 4 5 there is a requirement for banks to stop financial transactions if it believes that 6 7 vulnerable adults' assets may be at risk. Explain to me what will happen, exactly, at 8 that point. So a bank determines: We think 9 10 someone's trying to take this elderly 11 person's money out of their account, so they 12 say, No, we won't do it. Then what do they 13 do? 14 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: So I think, 15 Senator, that's a question for our Department 16 of Financial Services friends. That's legislation that's under their jurisdiction. 17 18 I can tell you, from our perspective, 19 that the conversation on that language has 20 just begun, so I don't have an answer to how 21 that's going to be operationalized or 22 implemented at this point. SENATOR KRUEGER: But would you agree 23 24 that we need that language operationalized

1 before we complete the budget process?

ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: I would agree that if we're looking for banks to play a more proactive role in good faith to stop the draining of resources when it potentially is identified, that that's an important policy for New York State.

SENATOR KRUEGER: And I think the 8 language is critical, because I've 9 10 experienced both sides of the story -- where 11 banks don't, and I think perhaps they should, 12 and times when banks do and they absolutely 13 should not, and they disrespect the rights of 14 senior citizens to make decisions over their 15 own money.

ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Right. Which is why I don't want to comment on how that's written, because there's lots of --

19SENATOR KRUEGER: But you and I agree20it's important how that language is written.21ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yes. Yes.22SENATOR KRUEGER: Okay. And I would23argue that needs to be carefully written and24seen before vague language is passed in an

1 Article VII bill. Okay.

2 The number-one issue I get from seniors that I have no answers for: "I'm 3 looking for a job, and no one will hire me. 4 5 I need the job because I can't afford to continue to live in my home without a 6 7 supplemental wage because I'm not making it on Social Security and savings." And I won't 8 9 say it's the number-one question I get; the 10 number-one question is "Help, I'm being evicted from my home because I can't afford 11 12 it." So -- but this Part 2 is, Help me find 13 14 a job. I can't find any programs in the City 15 of New York that actually operate and try to 16 assist seniors to move back into the labor market. Do you have a secret stash? 17 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: There isn't a 18 19 secret stash, but there is a program that 20 I'll connect you to. It's called Title V. I 21 know it didn't come up when the Commissioner 22 of Labor was here, but we work very closely with the Department of Labor in terms of --23 24 we have a certain number of slots in New York

1 State. So there are some national sponsors, 2 like NCOA, AARP, and some others, that 3 operate 80 percent of the state's slots. Our 4 office is responsible for about 20 percent of 5 the slots in the state. And these are for individuals who are age 55 and older who are 6 7 re-entering or having trouble with jobs, so it's skills development and so on. 8 9 We have some good relationships with 10 the One-Stop centers, but I can definitely 11 connect you with our city Title V program. 12 SENATOR KRUEGER: Okay. Because in my 13 experience, people who are supposed to have 14 these programs tell you there's nothing they 15 can do and there's no more people they --16 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Okay. SENATOR KRUEGER: -- can even talk to. 17 18 All right? Thank you. 19 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yes. Thank 20 you. 21 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 22 That's it. 23 SENATOR KRUEGER: That's it. 24 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Great.

Well, hey, thanks for hanging with me. 1 2 I appreciate that. 3 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, 4 5 Director, for coming. And thank you for everything you do for seniors. 6 7 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FARRELL: New York State 8 Veterans Council, Kirby Hannon, legislative 9 10 coordinator, the VFW; Linda McKinnis, 11 legislative coordinator, Disabled American 12 Veterans; John Lewis, legislative committee 13 chair, VFW; Bob Becker, legislative 14 coordinator, New York State Veterans Council. 15 And they have 10 minutes. Somebody on 16 my staff has a good sense of humor. 17 (Laughter.) MR. BECKER: Good afternoon, sitting 18 chairs and members of the Human Service 19 20 Budget Subcommittee. I am Bob Becker. I'm a 21 retired Marine and legislative chairman for the New York State Council of Veterans 22 23 Organizations. 24 I'd like to thank you for the

1 opportunity to bring the veterans issues 2 identified by the New York Veterans Council 3 before you for consideration. The New York Veterans Council consists of about 25 4 5 veterans associations, and we meet monthly to discuss the key issues of importance to 6 7 veterans. It is the 25 member organizations and associations that have ultimately 8 9 developed our budget request today. These 10 requests are to strengthen and sustain 11 critical programs that have proven essential 12 to caring for the sometimes very unique needs of veterans. 13 14 With me today are fellow veterans 15 Kirby Hannan, John Lewis, Linda McKinnis and 16 Scott Westcott. Kirby will frame the issues. 17 Kirby? 18 MR. HANNAN: Thank you. You probably 19 have our testimony in front of you, so I'm 20 not going to read it to you. I'm going to 21 kind of run through this quickly. I'm the 22 volunteer legislative coordinator for the VFW, as Chairman Farrell, a veteran himself, 23 24 has said.

1 The Veterans Defense Program is for 2 veterans who get themselves into serious 3 trouble with the law, as distinguished from veterans treatment courts, where it tends to 4 5 be more of a lower level, perhaps a misdemeanor. The program's working. You're 6 7 going to hear more about it from Scott 8 Westcott. But the program is working, and my 9 job here is to try to show you some 10 interdependency between these four things 11 that we want to talk about, even though they 12 don't appear related. All right? That's a \$1.4 million ask, but it can 13 14 save a lot of incarceration time at the other 15 end, and we can demonstrate that. The Veterans Service Officer funding. 16 Linda McKinnis is both a veteran service 17 18 officer and a peer-to-peer mentor, a 19 certified peer-to-peer mentor. What she does 20 is she's an ombudsman, or an ombudswoman. 21 And what she does is basically act as a 22 traffic cop. She fields what's going on at the post level. She interfaces with the 23 24 Joseph Dwyer Peer-to-Peer Program. There's

1 about 15 of them out there, and we'd like 15 2 more. We'll put them in spots where they're 3 not there now. All right? The Joseph Dwyer Peer-to-Peer, you 4 5 funded that -- and by the way, you funded the Veterans Defense Program to the tune of 6 7 \$500,000 for the last couple of years. So we want to thank you very much for that. That's 8 9 made a big difference. And thank you. 10 You've also funded the Joseph Dwyer Peer-to-Peer. And I believe that's 11 somewheres in the neighborhood of \$300,000 12 13 for the last probably five or six years. Highly successful program. And Linda can 14 15 actually get into a little bit of detail. 16 She's done some back-of-the-envelope figuring on how it's working out, how it's getting 17 18 distributed. And she can also tell you that at the post level, this is where the 19 20 mentoring occurs. So when I mention the Veterans 21 Treatment Court and I talk about the million 22 dollars that the Governor has set aside for 23

mentoring in the Peer-to-Peer -- he calls it

24

1 peer mentoring -- that's great. But we want 2 to make sure that that mentoring has a feeder 3 line from the mentoring that's actually going on in an anonymous fashion at the post level. 4 5 You know, in other words, if it's just tucked away in the court, then we don't know whether 6 7 we've got people like Linda, John, and everybody around here who's actually talking 8 to the vet, you know, and getting them where 9 10 they need to be. Sometimes coaching them 11 where they need to be.

12 All right, so having said that, what 13 are we talking about charitable gaming for in 14 this -- what am I saying here? Well, what 15 I'm saying is that all these programs have 16 been in place for the last 50 years. And charitable gaming in many, many, many 17 posts -- most -- is the sole source of 18 19 funding for all of these things that we're 20 asking for additional money for now. 21 So I'm going to suggest to you that --

and by the way, the revenue has dropped
\$54 million, the charitable gaming revenue
has dropped \$54 million in the last 15 years.

And that basically is why we're here asking
 you for money. We did an RSL and self-funded
 long before this.

So what we want -- the Governor 4 5 mentions modernization of charitable gaming. We hope that we can follow up with you folks 6 7 and give you some specific proposals that are actually already sponsored. Assemblyman 8 Pretlow, Assemblyman Cusick, Senator 9 10 Bonacic -- there's things that have been 11 going on in the Legislature for a while.

We would hope that you could make that budget process a little more specific with the language that largely comes from those two bills that we talk about. One is an enhanced bell jar machine, which we already have in the posts, and the other is charity poker.

19So there's my pitch. They all20interrelate. And I'd like to turn it over to21Scott Westcott for a little bit more about22the Veterans Defense Program.

23MR. WESTCOTT: Good afternoon. My24name is Scott Westcott. I'm a Marine

1 veteran.

2	I'd also like to tell you that I'm
3	extremely supportive of the Veterans Defense
4	Program, or the VDP, as we call it. The VDP
5	has stepped in and helped a number of our
6	most vulnerable veterans who have suffered
7	from PTSD, traumatic stress or stress-related
8	disorders. Currently 152,000 veterans in
9	New York State are estimated to have mental
10	health problems related to their service.
11	And unfortunately, less than half of those
12	are getting assistance with that.
13	I can tell you firsthand that some of
14	the VDP provides a second chance for veterans
15	who have lost their way, either through
16	criminal behavior or what results in some
17	civilian court interaction. I can relate
18	stories of depression so severe that one
19	service member contemplated suicide as he was
20	traveling around with a gun in his car.
21	The Veterans Defense lawyers and case
22	managers help the courts fully realize that
23	veteran's circumstances and understanding, so
24	that they can provide a more tailored

sentence and a better disposition for him and
 for his family.

3 Last year, for the second time, you folks in the Legislature were able to provide 4 5 \$500,000 of funding for the VDP. Today we're here asking for an additional \$950,000. This 6 7 additional funding would go toward a downstate office and provide four family 8 court and criminal court defense attorneys 9 10 and a related support staff member. It would also increase our Batavia office, which is 11 12 overburdened, with one more attorney and an additional staff member. 13

14 I'd like to turn it over now to John15 Lewis for further comments.

MR. LEWIS: Yes, good afternoon. I am 16 John Lewis. I'm the legislative chair for 17 18 the VFW Department of New York and a 22-year 19 Navy veteran. I am also a post commander. 20 And I'm here to talk about the role of the accredited Veteran Service Officers and to 21 22 explain why we are asking for \$1 million in order to bolster our service officers. 23

24 Too often the Veterans Defense Program

1 is the first point of assistance contact for the returning veteran. Ideally, it should 2 3 come last. The job of the accredited Veteran Service Officer is to act as an ombudsman, 4 5 creating synergy with the highly successful Veterans Defense Program and with an equally 6 7 successful peer-to-peer mentoring process at the post level. 8

The Veteran Service Officer submits 9 10 claims based on the veterans service connection and assists with coordination to 11 12 other veteran service agency resources in 13 each county. Peer mentoring in the post 14 identifies the needs during anonymous 15 sessions. Anonymous mentoring must continue 16 in the post, because it works. There are approximately 15 active 17 18 accredited Veterans Service Officers in place

in organizations such as the VFW, Disabled
American Veterans, the American Legion,
amongst others. Funding for these officers
comes from VSOs themselves, and the state.
Our request would double that cadre of
officers and create ground-level, properly

1 staffed, geographically diverse service 2 officer placement. It would be in a manner 3 completely compatible with existing veteran service agencies in each county. The funds 4 5 would be budgeted and disbursed by the Division of Veterans Affairs. 6 7 Linda? MS. McKINNIS: Good afternoon, 8 9 everyone. My name is Linda McKinnis. I am 10 an Army veteran and, as my comrades have 11 said, I am a peer officer. I am also an 12 advocate for veterans services, and I am an ombudswoman. 13 14 I'm here today to talk about two 15 issues. Again, my comrade here talked about the VSOs. I am also a VSO in the Rensselaer 16 area, so I take care of all my Troy areas and 17 18 every city within the Troy area. So I do 19 take care of my veterans. As that individual and that VSO, I go 20 21 out of my way to be an advocate. So what I 22 mean by that is I actually do the benefits, I actually try to get whatever DD214 forms that 23 24 they need. I make sure that whatever

resources are in that community, these people
get their resources, and also link them up
with the nearest VA system. If for any
reason they have any problems with the VA
system, again, this is where I come in as
being an advocate.

7 As far as the Peer-to-Peer Program is 8 concerned, again, the Peer-to-Peer, which is called now the Joseph Dywer program, is a 9 10 successful program. How do I know? I've been through the program myself. You 11 12 understand? When I first came here, I suffered with all the ailments of being out 13 of the military, and I happened to get into 14 15 the Dwyer program, and they helped me 16 significantly. So I know that the outcome is greater. And a lot of men and women that 17 18 have gone through this program, they have a successful life. I am one of those 19 successful stories. 20

At this moment there has been budgeted \$300,000 for this program. Well, at this moment there's 14 counties -- if you do the math, there's 14 counties that money has to

be distributed to. If you look at the math on that, that's really not much funding for all 14 of these counties. And I'm asking, along with this, that \$1 million also be advocated to these counties as far as the whole budget, so that these 14 counties can get these resources that they need.

8 These veterans need help. And the 9 only way to get these veterans is a veteran 10 must reach another veteran. Okay? With this 11 money, we not only service the veterans, but 12 we get them out of the house. And you know 13 for sure that one of our problems that we 14 have with veterans is isolation and 15 depression. You know what I'm saying? So 16 with the Peer-to-Peer program, what we do is 17 we actually go in, we talk with the veterans, 18 we see what their needs are, we see how we can service the need, we get them out of the 19 house, we get them to do volunteer services, 20 21 we get them to do activities that they 22 normally wouldn't do. But that's okay, because it gets them out and doing something 23 24 instead of being at home.

1 If you haven't noticed lately, the 2 count for suicide has gone down from 22 down 3 to 20. I do give credit to the VA system, but also to the Peer-to-Peer program because 4 5 we're going out there, reaching these veterans, and making sure that they're not 6 7 doing -- committing suicide and also not 8 being a repeat offender in the court systems 9 also.

10 We also, as the Peer-to-Peer program, 11 work with the VDP program. One of my 12 questions that I have is when the VDP program comes along and when we do this, one of the 13 14 my questions is, where do we get these 15 mentors? Well, where do we get these 16 mentors? From the Peer-to-Peer program. Okay? So the Peer-to-Peer program works 17 18 alongside with the VDP program so that we can 19 get these veterans back into society, we get 20 the resources that they need and do what we 21 can over a period of time, and hopefully you will have better successful stories with 22 these veterans. 23

24 ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you.

1	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
2	much.
3	Questions?
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Any questions?
5	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Yes, Mr. DenDekker.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Thank you,
7	Mr. Chairman.
8	First of all, thank you all for your
9	service, and I greatly appreciate that.
10	I have to tell you I am a little
11	ashamed and embarrassed that there is nobody
12	here from the Governor's office for us to
13	talk about the veterans budget. Because as
14	you have identified, there is a great need
15	for increases in funding. However, the
16	proposed budget that the Governor has made
17	has decreased overall funding by 1 percent.
18	It has cut all of the money that you just
19	talked about. The New York State Veterans
20	Defenders Program has been completely cut.
21	Helmets to Hard Hats. The funding to Vietnam
22	Veterans of America. The Veterans Medical
23	Center. Warriors Salute. All those programs
24	have been completely zeroed out. And I have

nobody to sit here in front of me to ask them
 why they did that and why they make proposals
 in the budget to do things that we would like
 to know how they're being handled.
 So for example, we put in \$250,000 for

6 the burial of indigent veterans two years 7 ago. None of that money was ever disbursed. 8 There was no mechanism that was ever put in 9 place for the congressionally chartered 10 organizations to get access to the funds.

And now, in this proposal, he says, oh, but I'm putting \$100,000 into the burial services of indigent veterans. But there was no mechanism on the 250; none of it was ever disbursed. Now he's lowered it to 100. So did he cut \$150,000? Because he's never disbursed a dime of the money.

18 So I have no idea, because there's no 19 one on the other side of the table sitting 20 there that can answer all these questions 21 that I have about the veterans budget. And 22 when you all come to me on a regular basis 23 and explain to me how those programs are 24 successful and how they're helping veterans

and how we need more money, unfortunately I
 don't have an answer for you.

3 So on the first hand, I want to apologize that there is nobody here and that 4 5 I can't answer the questions. I can only read all this off a piece of paper that the 6 7 Governor has given us in a proposed budget. And I think it's shameful, shameful that 8 there's nobody here from the Governor's 9 10 office representing this division of the 11 budget. I think it shows disrespect to the 12 approximately 900,000 veterans -- 5 percent 13 of the total population of the State of 14 New York. There's no one here to answer to 15 them, there's no one here to give a question, 16 to explain. There's just no information at all. And I do apologize. And I think it's 17 18 total disrespect of our veterans that there's 19 no one here to answer those questions.

I can tell you the members of the Veterans Committee are dedicated to trying to find every penny we can to bring more services to veterans, direct services to veterans. And the problem that we have with

1 the veterans is they fall over so many 2 different areas of the budget. Some of it is 3 in mental health, some of it is in aging, some of it is in housing. So because it's so 4 5 spread out, that's why we would require someone from the Governor's office to 6 7 specifically talk about how all of this is going to work for our veterans and how they 8 can access those services. 9 10 I would love for you, if you can, just 11 to spend a minute and just explain to me how 12 the Dwyer Peer-to-Peer Program would be expanded if we gave an additional million 13 14 dollars. And if you could start that, that 15 would be helpful. MR. HANNAN: Can I just -- I think 16 Linda's got the -- and I would definitely not 17 want to steal her thunder. But she has the 18

19 grassroots side of this.

From the other side of it, from kind of like your side of it, looking down at how services are delivered, the one thing to remember is that any monies that come into the veteran community, particularly in the

1 Peer-to-Peer Program, save the government 2 money. All right? Because it's counseling 3 that would have to be given by other agencies. It's a Department of Health 4 5 saving, it's a Labor Department saving, it just goes on and on and on. 6 7 You know, but Peer-to-Peer specifically? Yes, it should be funded. And 8 the million dollars, I think -- in the first 9 10 instance, going into the court system, I don't know how that would work. But if we 11 12 had a million dollars going into 13 Peer-to-Peer, it should go to the counties, 14 it should be distributed by the Division of 15 Veterans Affairs. Budget it however you want, but I would make it a -- go into a 16 17 sensitive agency where they know where the 18 Peer-to-Peer mentoring needs to be bolstered. 19 And I think that's the Division of Veterans 20 Affairs. So I hope I answered your question. ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: I don't know 21 how we're going to get the attention of the 22 23 Governor, and we had talked about this. 24 Maybe it's time for some of the 900,000

1 veterans to come over to the Capitol one day 2 and explain to the Governor that not only are 3 you here, but that you also vote and you 4 would like to have some more services and 5 you'd like to at least have a representative sitting here. 6 7 I know the Director of Veterans Services has been going around the state 8 doing budget presentations for the Governor. 9 10 It would be nice if he was here in front of 11 us so we could ask him how we're going to 12 move this budget going forward and how we're 13 going to be able to bring more services 14 directly to our veterans. If you have 15 anything else to add --16 MR. HANNAN: I hope your office is on the third floor, because we'll jam the 17 18 elevators for you. 19 (Laughter.) 20 ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: You know where 21 my office is, because you were there 22 yesterday. 23 (Laughter.) 24 MR. HANNAN: I know. I know where

```
1 your office is. I'm just kidding.
```

```
2
                   ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: And as you ask
            for more money, I tried to explain to you --
 3
 4
                  MR. HANNAN: You did. You did a hell
 5
           of a job.
                   ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: -- I have no
 6
 7
            idea how we're going to get it --
                  MR. HANNAN: And we appreciate it.
 8
 9
           Thank you.
                  ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: -- and we're
10
11
            trying. That's all I can tell you.
12
                   MR. LEWIS: And we thank you for your
            steadfast advocacy. We really appreciate
13
14
           that, sir.
15
                  ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Well, thank
16
            you all for coming. And again --
                   MR. LEWIS: And we will continue to
17
18
            fight. It won't be our first fight.
19
                   ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: -- thank you
20
           for your service.
21
                   Mr. Chairman, I don't know if anybody
22
           else has any question, but thank you.
23
                   CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Senator?
24
                   CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Yes.
```

1 Senator Velmanette Montgomery. SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Yes, just very 2 3 quickly. Thank you for your service to each 4 and every one of you. 5 PANEL MEMBERS: Thank you. SENATOR MONTGOMERY: And my question 6 7 is -- I think I've asked you this before, so I just keep asking my same question every 8 time I see you. You know, I have a VSO 9 10 organization. And it's Black Vets for Social 11 Justice. I don't know how connected you are 12 to them, they are to you. So that's one 13 question. 14 And what -- how much of this activity 15 could be also part of what they offer 16 veterans in Brooklyn, at least, and in the city. And also a very, very large number of 17 the homeless men, especially, that I've met 18 19 in my district -- and I have several very 20 large homeless shelters for men 21 specifically -- so many of them are veterans. 22 So are you talking to us about doing something targeting housing for veterans as 23 24 well?

1 MS. McKINNIS: As far as the 2 homelessness for veterans, upstate does have 3 an issue, just like downstate does also. And it's shameful -- don't get me wrong, it is 4 5 shameful that our veterans, they come home and they don't have a place to live and they 6 7 end up in the streets. And it's for a long period of time until someone discovers that 8 9 they are a veteran.

10 I can tell by experience, because I've been there and done that and I've lived on 11 12 the streets myself. But because I had people 13 that helped me, which was a good thing, what 14 I would say is that most of these men that 15 are homeless, have them get into a program. 16 The VA system now has a homeless department 17 in the VA system. I know the Albany Stratton 18 one does now. They have a homeless agency in their building, and they will help you right 19 20 on the spot as soon as you come there. Not 21 only will they help you with some type of 22 housing you for the moment, but they will also help you with the basics. Okay? 23 24 They also have a coordinator there

1 that can help you if you have legal problems. 2 So if you have whatever legal problems, they 3 have somebody in the VA office, again, that 4 can help you. If you need counseling, either 5 you can go to the VA -- and that's your choice if you choose to, okay, or if not, you 6 7 can go through the Dwyer program, okay, which will also do that. 8

If these veterans end up staying in a 9 10 certain community or county or such, again, 11 the 14 counties, wherever he or she may go, 12 okay, there's a Dwyer program there. All they would have to do is just link up with 13 14 them, and the representative will walk them 15 through everything, whether it be 16 unemployment, whether it be housing, whether it be trying to just get around in their 17 18 community. That's what the Peer-to-Peer 19 Program is. It's not just, you know, we sit 20 around and we talk. No, it's trying to 21 figure out what do you need at this moment. 22 And then not only what do you need, they set goals for you so you can get to that 23 24 well-being of your life so you don't have to

1 turn back and be homeless again. 2 MR. LEWIS: If I may add --MR. HANNAN: Candidly, the 14 counties 3 are mostly upstate. 4 5 MR. LEWIS: Yeah. You know, if I may add --6 7 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: That's what I thought, and I wanted to --8 MR. LEWIS: If I may add, the 9 10 underlying fundamental issue must be 11 addressed of why these folks, why these 12 homeless veterans are homeless. And I can 13 speak for the VFW. We only have two 14 accredited Veteran Service Officers, and 15 they're located out of Buffalo. We lost our 16 Veteran Service Officer here in Albany, and she covered all the way down in the New York 17 18 City area. And that veteran service officer 19 is now not down and available into the city 20 to help and assist with some of those claims. 21 So we're happy to partner with and 22 work with any agency in the State of New York 23 in filing claims and holding hands, if need

be, to ensure that these veterans are made

24

1 whole once again.

2	MR. HANNAN: And right now those VSOs
3	are actually being paid out of our service
4	officer funding. That's self-funded. We do
5	get some help from the state, but it's a
6	complicated application process and we're
7	still kind of waiting on stuff that happened
8	three years ago. So and I'm not
9	complaining, you know
10	MR. LEWIS: I am.
11	(Laughter.)
12	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: I join my
13	colleague in saying this is embarrassing and
14	shameful. And I just wanted you to know that
15	I will be asking to what extent the
16	organization certainly that's in my district
17	and serves a lot of the people down there,
18	how do they are they able to join with you
19	in trying to get more funding. I appreciate
20	the defense project that you're talking
21	about.
22	And just as an aside, I have a brother
23	who is a veteran. He lives in Texas. I sent
24	him some of your information. He was ever so

1 grateful, because the information for 2 veterans -- wherever they are, it's very 3 important that they have the information. So 4 thank you very much for what you do. 5 MR. BECKER: I'll just add one thing. So our veterans don't have time to complain 6 7 about the state. We have to take care of veterans, the ones that really need it. 8 9 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: I understand. 10 MR. BECKER: That's our job today. That's what we're doing, we're asking for 11 12 some help. 13 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Thank you. I 14 understand. 15 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblywoman 16 Lupardo. ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Yeah, I don't 17 18 have a question, I just wanted to thank you. 19 Thank you for your service, but also thank 20 you for taking the time to come up and make 21 this very impassioned plea. You have a very 22 strong advocate here. And all of my colleagues are very supportive. 23 24 It's really astonishing what you are

1 proposing to deliver for the amount of money 2 you're asking for. And I'm just thinking 3 what more you could do with even twice that amount. So I'm personally committed to 4 5 helping the cause, and I'm sure the rest of us will try as well. So thank you very much. 6 7 PANEL MEMBERS: Thank you, ma'am. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. And I 8 too want to give my personal regards and 9 10 deepest gratitude to all of you and all of 11 the veterans that you represent across the 12 entire state. You are the best of the best. 13 We owe you just the deepest debt of gratitude 14 for everything that you've done to protect our freedom. 15 16 And I'm glad that you spoke about very important programs -- for example, our 17 18 Peer-to-Peer Program that the Senate started several years ago. I mean, there's really 19 20 good things going on. But there's a lot more 21 work to do. And in the Senate, in our 22 conference, we're very committed to continuing to support our veterans. So I 23 24 just want to let you know that.

1	MR. BECKER: We're very aware of that,
2	Senator.
3	PANEL MEMBERS: Thank you.
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you so much
5	for your testimony.
6	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
7	much.
8	MR. HANNAN: Thank you, sir.
9	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: David McNally,
10	director of governmental relations, AARP.
11	MR. McNALLY: Good afternoon.
12	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Good afternoon.
13	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Good afternoon,
14	sir.
15	MR. McNALLY: I have provided you
16	riveting, lengthy testimony which I'm not
17	reading today, but I'm sure you'll enjoy
18	reading it. I have a brief statement to
19	make, however, summarizing our
20	recommendations and concerns.
21	Good afternoon, Senator Young,
22	Assemblyman Farrell, and members of the
23	committee. My name is David McNally and I'm
24	the director of government affairs and

advocacy for AARP New York. I would like to
 submit the following testimony regarding the
 human services portion of the Executive
 Budget and issues facing older New Yorkers
 and their families.

Unfortunately, the Executive Budget 6 7 would require the discretionary \$27 million 8 to support childcare subsidy costs, enabling the state to maintain the current level of 9 10 childcare subsidies while reducing the 11 General Fund cost for this program. It is 12 our understanding that the hardest hit by 13 this shortsighted budget proposal would be New York City's senior centers. I've heard a 14 15 lot of talk about it today; I'm sure you're 16 well aware of the issue. So I won't go into any further detail, except that our 17 18 recommendation is the Legislature should 19 reject the Governor's change to Title XX and 20 allow discretionary spending to continue and be allowed to fund much-needed senior center 21 22 services.

I'd now like to talk a little bitabout help for the middle class. The

1 Governor's fiscal year 2017-2018 Executive 2 Budget does not provide sufficient funding 3 for the New York State Office for the Aging's non-Medicaid-funded home and community based 4 5 programs for older persons and their family caregivers. These programs are vital for 6 7 keeping older persons out of expensive 8 taxpayer-funded institutions and are a great value to the millions of caregivers in our 9 10 state as well as those receiving the care. 11 Unfortunately, there is a statewide 12 waiting list. Today I heard the number 13 15,000; yesterday I heard the number 17,000. 14 It's probably much more than that. These are 15 people seeking non-Medicaid-funded home and 16 community-based services through programs such as the Expanded In-Home Services for the 17 18 Elderly program, senior transportation, and

This may sound familiar to you because we come every year and have this discussion about this issue. There are 500 New Yorkers turning 65 every day. These lists continue to grow, and the costs continue to grow. We

home-delivered meals.

19

1 have to get ahead of this problem. We have 2 to address these needs to keep the middle class in the middle class, in their homes and 3 out of expensive taxpayer-funded 4 5 institutional care. And this year we're asking that you 6 7 find \$25 million to invest in non-Medicaid home and community-based care that would not 8 only assist the older persons but also their 9 10 family caregivers as well. 11 The next topic I'd just like to 12 briefly touch on is senior financial 13 exploitation. The Executive Budget proposal 14 includes an initiative to train and legally 15 authorize bank employees to place a hold on the bank account of a vulnerable adult if 16 there is a reasonable basis to believe that 17 18 the adult is a victim of actual or attempted 19 financial exploitation. We support this 20 initiative, and we strongly recommend that 21 DFS and SOFA chair a task force on elder exploitation to lead a multidisciplinary 22 approach that could bring a more concerted 23 24 approach across service systems and agencies,

as well as creating emphasis on cross-system
 collaboration to ensure that the limited
 resources are used wisely to identify and
 serve elderly abuse victims.

5 Finally, two other quick items that are very important to us. To further empower 6 7 New Yorkers in their retirement, AARP 8 supports a proposal championed by Senator 9 Savino and Assemblyman Rodriguez to create a 10 state-facilitated retirement savings option, 11 known as the Secure Choice Savings Program 12 Act, to help many of the more than 13 3.5 million private-sector workers who have 14 no way to save for retirement through their 15 employer. That leaves more than half the 16 state's 18-to-64-year-old private-sector workforce without access to a retirement 17 18 savings plan at all at the workplace. 19 In addition, workers are 15 times more

20 likely to save for retirement if their
21 employer offers a plan, according to the
22 Employee Benefits Research Institute. That's
23 why it's vital that all workers in the state
24 have access to a payroll deduction savings

1 plan.

2	We strongly recommend the Legislature
3	include language in the final State Budget
4	that includes the Secure Choice Savings
5	Program Act.
6	Kinship care. Kinship care refers to
7	non-parents, grandparents, and other
8	relatives who care for children when parents
9	are unavailable. The major cause of kinship
10	care is parental drug abuse.
11	Many of these families need access to
12	additional resources, especially when they
13	first take on the responsibility of caring
14	for children. Grandmothers usually assume
15	the role of raising their grandchildren.
16	We recommend that in order to maintain
17	the progress the state has made in the last
18	three years in kinship services, and to
19	address the opiate crisis in rural New York,
20	it is important for the state to maintain
21	level funding for kinship support services at
22	\$1.9 million in about 22 programs across the
23	state, and add \$89,500 for Kinship Navigator
24	outreach to rural counties.

1 And I thank you for taking this time 2 to hear our testimony. 3 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you very 4 5 much. 6 MR. McNALLY: Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Shelly Nortz, deputy executive director, Coalition for the 8 Homeless New York City. 9 10 MS. NORTZ: Good afternoon, and thank you for inviting us to testify today. I have 11 12 abbreviated my presentation and will try to 13 respect the time limits here. 14 Thank you again. My name is Shelly 15 Nortz, and since 1987 I have had the 16 privilege of working for the Coalition for the Homeless in Albany to secure state 17 18 support for programs and policies that 19 prevent and address homelessness and the 20 socioeconomic problems that cause 21 homelessness. 22 Last year I reported to you that none of the new JPMorgan settlement funds 23 24 programmed to address homelessness via

1 supportive housing in the 2015-2016 budget 2 year were spent, nor had the New York City 3 plan for rent supplements related to the allocation of youth facility reimbursement 4 5 savings been approved by the state. Therefore, the two largest state budget 6 7 initiatives to address homelessness were not actually available to help homeless 8 New Yorkers move out of shelters into 9 10 housing. 11 Much the same as last year, the great 12 majority of the \$2 billion that you approved in last year's budget to build supportive and 13 14 affordable housing lies idle, due to 15 political disputes about unrelated matters. It is unsurprising, therefore, that the 16 shelter census in New York City has continued 17 to climb. 18 As we have previously warned, city 19 20 investments alone are not sufficient to bring 21 the shelter census down substantially, and 22 greater state investments are required. I want to make sure that you 23 24 understand this fact and that it sinks in.

1 Many of you have heard it before. New York 2 State informed the United States Department 3 of Housing and Urban Development that over 19,000 more people enter homelessness each 4 5 year than exit homelessness each year. Nineteen thousand. That is as if everyone in 6 7 Oswego moved into a homeless shelter last 8 year, and everyone living in Plattsburgh moved into a shelter this year, and 9 10 two-thirds of the population of Glen Cove 11 were to move into a shelter next year. This 12 is simply unsustainable on multiple levels. I'm going to focus on the crisis in 13 14 New York City today, and I'm going to take 15 you through a series of tables and charts. 16 We had another record year of homelessness in New York City: over 62,000 17 18 men, women and children in shelters, equal to 19 the population of Utica. The unduplicated 20 number of people using New York City shelters 21 rose 52 percent between 2002 and 2016, to 22 over 127,000 individuals -- more than the population of Smithtown. 23

24 The single largest subset of the

1 New York City homeless shelter population: 2 the 24,000 children in shelters. That's the 3 population of Rockville Centre. Their 4 companions at school were the 63,000 children 5 living in doubled-up families -- a little more than the population of White Plains. 6 7 Nearly 16,000 homeless families in December; half of them in regulated shelters, 8 the rest in hotels and cluster sites. 9 10 Roughly the population of Binghamton or Niagara Falls. 11 12 We had a record number of single adults in shelters. Some also now in hotels, 13 14 because there are too few shelter beds for 15 the newly homeless population coming in. 16 About half the population of Poughkeepsie. So why new record after new record 17 after new record? I'm going to take you 18 19 through the reasons why. The cumulative deficit in the number 20 21 of federal housing placements, for one. The 22 last multiyear decrease in the New York City shelter census occurred in 2004 and 2005. 23 24 The number of public housing and Section 8

1 placements for homeless families then 2 averaged about 5,000 per year. These are by 3 far the most stable housing placements, and therefore these families rarely return to 4 5 shelters. The shelter census then was about half its present size. In 2016, the number 6 7 of such placements was only 2,612 in New York City. The result is that federal housing 8 placements are still down by half compared 9 10 with 2004-2005.

And we have the lost decade. 11 As we recently reported in our family policy brief, 12 from 1999 to 2005, New York City provided an 13 average of 3,989 federal housing placements 14 15 per year for homeless families. From 2006 to 16 2014, only a few hundred units were provided. On average, 3,548 fewer homeless families 17 18 received stable housing placements over nine years. And that's an accumulated deficit of 19 20 31,935 fewer federal housing placements made 21 over the nine-year period in which that 22 policy was in place with the Bloomberg administration. 23

24

You can see on the next chart the

absolutely devastating effect that that had
 on access to housing. And as a direct result
 of that, the shelter census in New York City
 doubled.

5 We also have, as was discussed 6 earlier, persistently high rates of eviction 7 and rising shelter demand due to domestic 8 violence, as well as institutional 9 discharges, as Senator Krueger was 10 discussing.

We have reduced supportive housing placements because the supportive housing pipeline has been effectively frozen for the last three years. There were 545 fewer people in homeless shelters to receive supportive housing placements last year, compared with 2014.

And then we had, despite the happy talk earlier about employment, we had a very sudden spike in unemployment in New York City this last year -- 35,000 more unemployed in October than in June. The drop in employment left 129,000 out of work, and only a third of those jobs have been recovered as of 1 December.

2	And I would point out that the August
3	unemployment rate for the Bronx, where
4	homelessness among families is concentrated
5	more than any other borough, reached an
6	alarming 7.8 percent, up dramatically from
7	its low of 6.1 percent last May. There's no
8	doubt that that is in part why we've seen
9	such a great increase in the shelter
10	population starting this fall.
11	In addition, rapidly rising income
12	inequality causes housing instability and
13	homelessness. A fundamental of the economics
14	of homelessness is that in cities with low
15	vacancy rates, high housing costs, and
16	extreme income inequality, the people at the
17	lowest end of the income spectrum fall out of
18	the housing market entirely. New York City
19	is such a city.
20	According to the Fiscal Policy
21	Institute's budget analysis, the percentage
22	of all income going to New York City's top
23	1 percent has grown from 12.2 percent in
24	1980, when modern mass homelessness emerged

as a serious problem, to 40.9 percent in
 2015, as the crisis of homelessness again
 became a cause for widespread concern, as you
 can see on the following chart. Income
 inequality in New York City is the worst in
 the nation.

7 And then lastly, the New York City population has reached a level projected for 8 2020 in 2015, five years early, and it could 9 10 surpass the 2025 projection as early as this 11 year. And yet we're not really prepared to 12 build the kind of housing that we need to 13 accommodate a population that is growing that 14 quickly. It grew at nearly 71,500 per year 15 between 2010 and 2015. And so if we keep on 16 that pace, as you can see, we are going to be in very, very dire trouble. 17

18 My last table: With rising 19 homelessness, we also have rising reported 20 deaths among homeless New Yorkers. And that 21 increased recently as a direct result of the 22 increase in homelessness and displacement in 23 New York City.

24 The Governor replaced the expired

1 executive order on Code Blue protections 2 during inclement weather for homeless people 3 with a proposed regulation, an emergency regulation. And we're pleased that there is 4 5 an emergency regulation, although it is pegged to a temperature of 32 degrees, 6 7 including wind chill, which is far too low to 8 protect people from hypothermia, which can hit people in the 50s and 60s. And it also 9 10 does not require that shelters be open 24/7. 11 So to the extent that shelters are just night 12 shelters, it's little protection during the 13 freezing cold days. 14 So we are urging that the regulation 15 be modified to a higher temperature 16 threshold, and that it protect people better 17 during the day. 18 As we indicated last year, the coalition is also very pleased that the 19 20 Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance 21 is taking the issue of shelter conditions 22 seriously. We're glad that the state is seeking to play a greater role, and we have 23 24 no doubt that there will be a need for much

greater investments in the capital needs of
 some of these aging facilities.

3 You can see my budget recommendations at the end. I think I'm going to probably 4 5 skip over the first three, and you can read those at your leisure. And we'll just talk 6 7 about the two big items on the agenda, the first being that we are urging the inclusion 8 of Assemblyman Hevesi's Home Stability 9 10 Support rent subsidy program to help families keep their homes and avoid displacement into 11 12 the shelter system, and also to help them leave shelters. 13

And then also we're really asking that the Governor and the Legislature release the \$2 billion appropriated for affordable and supportive housing last year. We've got to get this housing built. And if my presentation doesn't argue for that, I don't know what does.

21 And lastly, Senator Young, you were 22 asking about the safety net assistance 23 increase. I just wanted to make sure that 24 you understood that the reason for that is

1 really structural and relates to how the 2 funding shift happened a few years ago when 3 the Safety Net Assistance Program went from being a 50/50 state-local split to being the 4 5 71 percent local/29 percent state split. It's structural because the TANF time 6 7 limit is five years. There are no local and state shares on TANF anymore; it's all 8 100 percent federally funded for that 9 10 caseload until we hit Year 5. And then, over 11 time, that means that the TANF population 12 gets shifted to localities. 13 And so the very first time this was 14 done, it was said to be a cost relief to 15 localities that was then replaced by saving 16 the state from having localities have too much of a windfall if you didn't change the 17 18 safety net formula. But the long-term effect 19 of that is to shift more of the caseload cost to the Safety Net Assistance Program, and 20 therefore to localities. 21 22 So that's why that's happening. 23 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 24 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.

1 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 2 MS. NORTZ: Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Just a little 3 information. Tomorrow's program will not be 4 5 on. We are going to do it on the 17th of February, which is next week, Friday. We 6 7 think the weather is not very good for us to be here tomorrow. So that will just be for 8 the record. Thank you. 9 10 Sheila Harrigan, executive director, New York Public Welfare Association. 11 12 MS. HARRIGAN: Good afternoon, and 13 thank you. I'll just make a few points. 14 I represent the 58 local departments of social services across the state. We look 15 16 at every policy issue in terms of its impact 17 on vulnerable people and on taxpayers. 18 I'll bring a few issues to your 19 attention. The first has to do with everyone 20 needs a place to call home. So our written 21 testimony includes our recommendations for what you as legislators can do to further 22 support local efforts to address 23 24 homelessness, prevent homelessness, and

provide emergency placements when they're
 needed. In order to address homelessness, we
 really need better permanent housing options.
 And I know both the Assembly and Senate are
 very dedicated to that goal.

In addition, when there are new 6 7 requirements such as the expansion of SNAP 8 and HEAP, we look to the Legislature and to the Governor to provide the administrative 9 10 dollars for implementation. These programs 11 are not funded by the state in terms of 12 administration, so the federal government 13 pays part of the cost and the rest is all 14 local. So when there's an expansion, it is a new burden. 15

On the child welfare/childcare side, 16 17 the Executive proposal would restrict \$26.1 million of Title XX for childcare. 18 19 Currently this funding is used by counties in many different ways. It was mentioned 20 21 earlier that New York City uses it for senior services. Most counties use it for 22 preventive services and child welfare. So by 23 24 targeting childcare, it is creating cuts in

1 other programs.

2	And certainly we support the state's
3	commitment to childcare subsidies, but that
4	should happen with ongoing state and federal
5	resources, not through a cost shift.
6	In terms of foster care, the Executive
7	proposal would decrease funding by
8	\$62 million statewide. Our position is why
9	mess with success, this program is working.
10	Counties use those savings to fund preventive
11	services to keep kids out of foster care or
12	to reduce the length of time in placement.
13	So by cutting \$62 million, they're certainly
14	hurting our abilities to do that. We'd like
15	to see that funding restored.
16	Raising the age of juvenile
17	jurisdiction to age 18, we simply ask for a
18	commitment, a guarantee of 100 percent state
19	funding. The Executive made that commitment
20	last year; that commitment is not made in his
21	proposal this year. There's lots of loops to
22	go through. None of our suggestions for
23	adding language to secure the financing last
24	year were included in the proposal.

1 So in closing, I appreciate the 2 legislative leadership and the leadership of this committee on child welfare and social 3 4 services. We have our complete testimony for 5 your review. And I'd be happy to answer any 6 questions at another time. I know you're 7 running late. CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very 8 much. Any questions? 9 10 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 11 12 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you very 13 much. 14 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Kate Breslin, 15 president and CEO, Schuyler Center. 16 Hello. MS. HILL: Hello. I am not Kate 17 18 Breslin, although I would be very flattered 19 to be mistaken for her. I'm Dede Hill, and 20 I'm policy director for the Schuyler Center 21 for Analysis and Advocacy. Thank you so much 22 for your fortitude. This has been a long day. And thank you so much for allowing me 23 24 this opportunity to testify.

1 The Schuyler Center is a 145-year-old 2 statewide nonprofit organization that engages 3 in analysis and advocacy in support of policies that improve the lives of all 4 5 New Yorkers, particularly low-income children and families. 6 7 I'm just going to hit few points. You 8 have our written testimony. We're deeply concerned about the child 9 10 poverty rates in New York State. Even as the 11 economy in New York has steadily improved, 12 nearly 22 percent of New York children live 13 below the federal poverty level. New York 14 children of color experience poverty at even 15 greater rates, with 32 percent of 16 African-American children and 34 percent of Latino children living below the federal 17 18 poverty level. And yet the Governor's budget 19 mainly flat-funds or cuts programs that 20 support and strengthen these children and 21 their families. 22 We acknowledge there are a few bright 23 spots, including the new after-care funds and 24 a slight increase in pre-K funding, but

1 largely, this budget flat-funds programs for 2 low-income children and families. And this 3 underinvestment is particularly worrisome given that the new federal administration has 4 5 promised steep cuts in social services and health services that serve low-income 6 7 children and families. So really now more than ever, it's up to the state to protect 8 these families. 9

10 I'm going to just jump to -- one other 11 point I think I want to make is that many of 12 the funding decisions in the Executive Budget are without a real discernible rationale. In 13 14 fact, the budget proposes to flat-fund or cut 15 funds in some of the areas where the need is 16 arguably the greatest. And this is certainly the case in two areas that I'm going to focus 17 18 on in my remarks right now. The first is 19 early care and learning, in particular 20 childcare, and the second is in the area of child welfare. 21

I will not belabor the childcare issue. We've heard about that a lot. But I would just say that in our mind the crisis in

1 childcare is not looming, it is here. This 2 number has been said many times, and it is 3 true: 83 percent of families with incomes at less than 200 percent of the poverty level 4 5 are receiving no subsidies, very little assistance. 6 7 And the Governor's proposed budget does nothing to help these families. In 8 fact, the budget allows for no new subsidies. 9 10 And this will actually likely result in fewer subsidies because of rising costs, and 11 12 particularly if the federal regulations need 13 to be implemented. 14 In fact, the only proposed new funding 15 comes in the form of a very modest 16 enhancement to the Child and Dependent Care Tax Credit, and that does not touch any of 17 18 these families who are eligible for subsidies. That's just for families making 19 20 more than \$50,000 a year. 21 So we urge the Legislature to support 22 an additional investment of \$100 million in 23 childcare subsidy funding. This would 24 restore subsidies lost due to escalating

1 costs and also increase the number of 2 children served. And we also call for the restoration of the \$27 million of General 3 Fund support for childcare, and leave 4 5 counties to use their Title XX discretionary funds to support other vital social services. 6 7 I want to just talk quickly about home 8 visiting. This is another critical support, a proven support for young children and their 9 10 families. It's an intervention that's been 11 recognized to strengthen families, improve 12 children and families' health, well-being, 13 economic security. It's exceptionally 14 cost-effective, but yet it's been 15 continuously underinvested in. This year is 16 no different. The Schuyler Center, along with 17 18 Healthy Families New York, Nurse-Family Partnership, Parents As Teachers, and 19 20 Parent-Child Home, urge the Legislature to 21 increase state investment in home visiting to \$36.3 million. This would allow for the 22 23 maintenance of existing programs and also 24 allow for the expansion of services to more

1 families.

2	Another area of deep concern is the
3	area of child welfare. The Governor's
4	proposed budget would make significant cuts
5	to child welfare services. And these
6	proposed cuts seem particularly unwise given
7	recent tragic fatalities of children under
8	state care and also last month's release of a
9	federal report, the Child and Family Services
10	Review, which finds New York to rank near the
11	bottom nationally on a number of child
12	welfare safety and permanency measures.
13	We would certainly acknowledge there
14	are many strengths in New York State's child
15	welfare system, chief among them the state's
16	commitment and investment in open-ended
17	preventive services. So we urge you to build
18	upon these strengths.
19	First we join many others who have
20	called for who have already spoken, and I
21	know some who follow please maintain
22	funding for the Foster Care Block Grant. The
23	Executive Budget would cut \$62 million from
24	this block grant. We urge that this be

1 restored.

2	We also urge restoration of the state
3	share for preventive services from 62 percent
4	in the Executive Budget to 65 percent.
5	That's as written in statute. And we urge
6	that the additional 3 percent be used for
7	primary prevention. Those are services that
8	help children before there's any formal
9	involvement with the child welfare system.
10	We also ask you to strengthen the
11	housing subsidy for foster families. We urge
12	support of AB259 and SB1291, sponsored by
13	Assemblymember Hevesi and Senator Avella.
14	This would increase the housing subsidy,
15	which hasn't been increased since 1988, to
16	\$600 per month. And the result will be that
17	this will help many of our young people who
18	are aging out of foster care to have stable
19	housing and not fall into homelessness, which
20	is all too common with this population.
21	We also urge a strengthening of the
22	Kinship Guardianship Assistance Program.
23	This is a program that allows kin families to
24	exit the foster care system yet continue to

receive financial support while still caring
 for their relative children.

The Executive Budget continues to fund through the Foster Care Block Grant, and this diverts scarce funds from foster care. This is a permanency option. It should be funded as such.

We'd also, finally, like to call for 8 the restoration of Kinship Caregiver services 9 10 to the funding level of \$2.3 million and 11 increase fundings for the Kinship Navigator 12 Program to \$410,000. These services offer 13 critical supports for kin who step in to care for children, relative children. Many of 14 15 these kin caregivers are grandparents of 16 limited means. They need supports.

And we would just point up two issues 17 18 that are sort of looming on the horizon -well, one is here, right here, which is the 19 20 opioid crisis has led to many children in the 21 care of kin. And there's also the looming threat of the new federal administration's 22 pledge to increase dramatically deportations. 23 24 And we are concerned that there will be more

1 children who need to go into the care of kin 2 because their families are disrupted in these 3 ways. So we urge restoration and an increase 4 in investments in these two important 5 programs. Thank you so much for your time, and 6 7 I'm happy to answer any questions. SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you very much. 8 9 MS. HILL: Thank you. 10 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you. I 11 12 just wanted you to know that we are 13 attempting to be very firm in the advocacy 14 for many of the programs that you have noted 15 that are so essential, and we are pushing to 16 increase the funding. And thank you so much. MS. HILL: Thank you. And we look 17 18 forward to working with you. Thank you. 19 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Empire Justice 20 Center, Susan Antos, senior attorney. 21 MS. ANTOS: Good afternoon, and thank 22 you to the chairpeople, the Assemblymembers and the Senators here today. Thank you for 23 24 the opportunity to testify.

CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.

2 MS. ANTOS: We have submitted 28 pages 3 of testimony, and I'm just going to highlight what each of the categories are so that you 4 5 can read it in depth at your leisure. I'd like to start out with mentioning 6 7 the Disability Advocacy Program, and I want to thank Senator Krueger for raising that in 8 her questioning. I want to point out that 9 10 the Disability Advocacy Program, called DAP, is actually a money saver for the State of 11 12 New York. For every dollar invested in DAP, 13 at least \$3 are generated to the benefit of 14 state and local government, because people on 15 public assistance then go into the federally 16 funded SSI program. The Executive Budget funded DAP at 17 18 \$5.26 million, and we seek restoration to 19 last year's level of \$8.26 million.

20 The next item that I want to 21 highlight -- I'm going to jump around because 22 there's so many topics in our testimony -- is 23 a matter that has been touched on briefly 24 here today, but I want to underscore it,

1 which is the proposed creation of a 2 centralized administrative hearing division. 3 Assemblymember Titus asked a question of I believe it was Commissioner Perrin about 4 5 some details about the program, and his response was, "Well, our conversations are 6 7 continuing. We haven't drilled down yet." Administrative hearings are the 8 touchstone of due process rights for people 9 10 on public assistance benefits, on 11 unemployment benefits, on many, many 12 benefits. And the conduct of these hearings is critical to a correct result for them. 13 14 We believe this proposal is premature. 15 It's very important to us that administrative law -- we're assured that administrative law 16 judges have subject matter expertise, legal 17 18 experience, that there are protections such 19 as developing the record, that the 20 administrative law judges are independent, 21 and that due process requirements are 22 observed. So I wanted to highlight that for 23 you. 24 I want to also jump forward. This was

1 not given a lot of attention today. I wanted 2 to mention the Lottery intercept proposal, 3 because there are a couple of things about that that did not come up today that are 4 5 very, very important for you to know. Number one, the Court of Appeals at 6 7 the end of the 2015 said if you're on public 8 assistance and you win the Lottery, before the state can recover the amount of the 9 10 public assistance debt that you have 11 received, it has to credit the value of your 12 work. That makes sense, right? You went and 13 cleaned the park, you should get the minimum 14 wage times the number of hours you worked 15 credited against your public assistance debt. 16 The Court of Appeals agreed with us. And to date, the Office of Temporary and Disability 17 18 Assistance has not implemented the holding of 19 the Carver case, which I've attached to your 20 testimony so you can see that the decision of 21 the Court of Appeals in Carver was in no 22 uncertain terms.

So that's number one. The stateshould not be allowed to take more in Lottery

1 winnings from former public assistance 2 recipients until it obeys the law of the 3 Court of Appeals in Carver and credits them for the work they did. 4 5 I attached a Lottery intercept statement under the current law, where 6 7 50 percent is taken. And I wanted to remind 8 everybody that Lottery winnings are taxable. 9 Federal, state and local income taxes are 10 taken out. We don't know from this proposal, 11 if the entire amount of the Lottery is 12 intercepted, are people going to be walking 13 around with a tax liability on their head for 14 that amount? 15 The gentleman who received the notice in this case won \$10,000. He hadn't been on 16 17 public assistance for seven years. The state 18 took \$5,000, \$3500 was taken in federal, state, and New York City taxes. His \$10,000 19 20 prize ended up being \$1400. If the entire 21 amount had been taken from him and he had a 22 \$3500 debt, that would be a very, very unfair 23 result. 24 So I think that -- and one other

point. And actually, Assemblymember

2 DenDekker mentioned this. He asked if people 3 had notice of what their debt was. And I have to say that, thanks to the Senate and 4 5 the Assembly, last year an accounting bill was passed for public assistance debt but it 6 7 only applied to people who have public assistance mortgages. If you own a house and 8 9 you go on public assistance, the county can take a lien against your house. And the law 10 11 now requires that these folks get a biennial accounting of their public assistance debt. 12 13 Why is that important? Because 14 districts make mistakes. I tell the story of 15 someone who had a \$40,000 lien against her 16 house and it was supposed to be \$20,000. The executive deputy commissioner couldn't answer 17 18 when the question was posed to him what benefits are recoverable. I can tell you 19 20 that SNAP, HEAP and childcare are not 21 recoverable. But my client in the story had 22 all those benefits charged against her public

23 assistance debt. If she had had an

24 accounting -- I mean, luckily, we caught it

at the last minute. But people aren't going
 to understand it unless they get an
 accounting. And we believe all public
 assistance debtors should get notice of their
 debt.

I also want to mention Home Stability 6 7 Support. Deputy Commissioner Perrin mentioned the lack of affordable housing as a 8 problem. My colleague Shelly Nortz went into 9 10 great detail about that. The Empire Justice Center strongly supports the Home Stability 11 12 Support program. We hope that you will 13 support it as well. The executive deputy 14 commissioner noted that 28 percent of shelter 15 placements are the result of domestic 16 violence, and Home Stability Support would address the needs of those homeless folks as 17 well. So I hope you will consider that 18 19 proposal.

20 One other thing that I -- because it's 21 a budget issue, and I'm just going to touch 22 on it. Briefly there is a bill pending in 23 the Legislature right now, it's Assembly 24 1590, which would eliminate the ability of social services districts to take a mortgage
 against a public assistance recipient. Most
 public assistance recipients that own homes
 are often women who have been divorced and
 the only asset is a home, and the taking of a
 lien is just adding insult to injury.

7 We are one of only two states in the entire country that do this, and we would ask 8 that that be repealed. The bill has been 9 10 drafted in a way so that it does not have a 11 big fiscal impact. It's prospective only. 12 All the liens that are in existence right now 13 will be in place. And there's data about the 14 number of liens that are in existence and that will still be recoverable in our 15 16 testimony.

I want to quickly jump to childcare. 17 18 We have a lot of pages in our childcare. We 19 support the Winning Beginning New York 20 recommendation of \$100 million. I believe it 21 was Senator Krueger who asked the question 22 about maximizing the use of funds by 23 exempting public assistance recipients with 24 children under the age of one from the work

1 rules. In our testimony we have detailed 2 calculations showing how, for every child 3 that's exempted, there would be three slots 4 created, with the freeing up of \$9.34 million 5 of additional dollars for childcare. That's 6 at the end of our testimony on pages 24 7 through 26.

We also think that -- I'm getting 8 close to the end here. I also wanted to 9 10 mention the importance of, particularly in these times, a commitment to children of 11 12 immigrants. I wanted to follow up on what 13 SCAA said about the real danger of U.S. 14 citizen children whose parents are going to 15 be deported.

16 We have a recommendation in our budget testimony that money be allocated for legal 17 18 assistance. We think the Kinship Navigator 19 is well-positioned to provide that for the 20 kind of planning that families need if 21 children are going to be left with other 22 relatives if and when the parents are deported. 23

24 Finally, I would like to point out

1 that a big piece of our testimony is on 2 foreclosure prevention. And again, this ties in with the homelessness crisis. I had some 3 quick data for you, but I can't find it now. 4 5 But I did want to let you know that -- oh, here it is, sorry -- that we're seeking 6 7 \$10 million to replace a program that is effectively ending as of 2017 because of no 8 more funding. We were funded through 9 10 Attorney General services, and these services have been provided since 2008. 11 12 There is still a foreclosure crisis. There were 34,000 new filings in 2016 and 13 14 72,000 pending foreclosure cases. 15 Foreclosures constitute 26 percent of the Supreme Court's caseload. 16 So we would ask that you continue to 17 18 fund foreclosure preventions so that people can stay in the homes that they own. 19 20 Thank you. 21 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you, Susan. 22 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very 23 much. 24 Gerard Wallace, director of Kinship

Navigator, Catholic Family Center, Rochester,
 New York.

3 MR. WALLACE: Chairpersons Young and Farrell, committee members and chairs, thank 4 5 you very much for the opportunity. We are certainly at the end of the day here, and I'm 6 7 under the wire. And I made it this year. Sometimes I'm back there and it doesn't 8 happen. So I appreciate the last-minute 9 10 opportunity.

11 We will encapsulate what we have to 12 say, and hopefully the gist of the matter 13 will be sufficient to have you understand our 14 interest and our concerns.

I am the director of the New York 15 16 State Kinship Navigator. This is a statewide program serving all 62 counties. We're in 17 18 our 11th year of operation. We're a line 19 item in the Governor's budget. We provide 20 information, referral, education and advocacy 21 to the kinship community across the state. That includes the 44 rural counties in the 22 state where there really aren't any other 23 24 services but ourselves. We run a helpline,

an extensive website with many, many legal
 fact sheets, and we do educational forums,
 legal trainings. We're out in the field,
 we're doing presentations in the community
 and intending to reach caregivers across the
 entire state.

7 I'm here with Ryan Johnson, who is our 8 MSW. And he, if he has a minute, will just 9 go over a typical case to give you a sample 10 of what we really go through on a daily 11 basis.

12 Reflecting on kinship care. Kinship care is grandparents and relatives raising 13 14 children. I'm going to highlight some data 15 that's recently been published by OCFS, and some national data, and not go through my 16 usual here are the barriers, oh, woe for us. 17 18 I think this will just highlight enough to maybe give you the interest to go forward and 19 consider our recommendations. 20

21 In May in the New York Times there was 22 a report, "Children of the Heroin Crisis Find 23 Refuge in Grandma's Arms." It points out 24 that there are 2.6 million grandparents who 1 are responsible for grandchildren in this 2 country. Translating that into New York 3 State, 130,000 grandparents are responsible, aunts and uncles, family siblings, and even 4 5 family friends. And then looking at what that means for the number of children, we 6 7 could have as many as 300,000 children in New York State living with relatives. 8

9 Are they in foster care? No. Only 10 4,000 or so of that number are in foster care. These families are on their own. 11 The 12 only specialized services dealing with them 13 are my program and the 22 locally funded 14 programs that, thanks to the added funding in 15 the past two years, has bumped up to what I 16 just said, 22 programs.

17 Now, a couple more facts about kinship 18 families. They are similarly situated to foster families. Obviously the opioid crisis 19 20 is highlighted in that article. We recently, 21 the navigator, had a federal grant, and we 22 had the Research Foundation of New York do 23 the study connected with that grant. And in 24 a cohort of 455 children in five upstate

1 counties, 80 percent of them had Child 2 Protective Services records. There but for the intervention of their family members, 3 these children could be in foster care. 4 5 Going down with the data, the other data that came out recently is that finally, 6 7 after years of advocacy, OCFS released, in July, "Trends in Relative Placements." And 8 the cite is here in the handout. And the 9 10 important thing to note in that "Trends" is that we are a tale of two states: New York 11 12 City and then the rest of the state.

13 In New York City, highlighting the number of children who went into foster care 14 15 with kin, in 2015 in New York City, 3,905 16 children were placed in foster care in New York City. Of that, 1,025 were placed with 17 18 relatives. Twenty-seven percent of foster care in New York City is relative care. In 19 20 the rest of the state, where it was 21 4,866 children went into foster care, in the 22 rest of the state 351 were placed with relatives. Seven percent. Twenty-seven 23 24 percent versus 7 percent. To go to the

1 videotape -- as someone you might recall, 2 okay, if you're old enough -- I'm going to go 3 to individual counties to let you know what that means. 4 5 Let's start here in Albany: 167 children admitted to foster care in 2015, 6 7 zero went into care with their relatives. 8 Zero. Instead, they used something that goes under the rubric "diversion." 9 10 How do we divert kids to be placed 11 with their family members but we don't make them foster parents? One of the key 12 13 techniques is something called direct custody. I could belabor it. It's in the 14 15 notes here, and you can read about it. But 16 direct custody, 35 families. Essentially you can call this foster care on the cheap. We 17 18 don't pay for foster care, but the families get the kids. And they're on their own, and 19 20 we serve them. 21 How many kids went into KinGAP? We 22 don't have time to talk about that here. In

23 Albany County, one.

24 Let's just point out a few other

counties upstate, big counties. Broome, 105
 kids admitted into foster care; three went
 into relative foster care; two went into
 direct custody -- not so bad -- and three
 into KinGAP.

Erie County, 617 children went into
foster care, 15 were placed with relatives,
512 were into foster care on the cheap.

Proceeding, the Article X continues 9 when children go off to live with relatives 10 11 and they don't get any services. I can go 12 on. This is available -- the link is there 13 for you to look at it. We really are a tale 14 of two states in New York. And the only answer for these families who are not in 15 foster care are for them to come to us and 16 get served by them {sic}. 17

Now, what do we do? Ryan will give you a minute on that in a second here. But I'd like to point out that we need to reach them, and reaching them is not easy, particularly in rural New York, where this opioid crisis is causing an increase.

24 So in our budget ask, we're asking you

1 to continue the funding that the Legislature 2 has put in over the past two years, which is 3 essentially to fund at \$2,238,000 the 22 kinship programs that are local entities, 4 5 that serve 22 counties. And then for us, we got \$100,000 more last year. We're asking 6 7 for \$89,500 more to implement a technique that we perfected in our federal 8 demonstration project that resulted in a 9 10 600 percent increase in referrals in five 11 upstate rural counties. 12 We want to reach these counties and 13 get them to the bare minimum services that are available to them that are at least of 14 15 some help. You can help us do that if you 16 will fund our recommendations. There also is Social Services Law 392, 17 18 enacted in 2011, that says that the local counties are to provide information to 19 20 kinship caregivers, to relative caregivers 21 regarding services. But it's to provide information. Most of them don't do it. We 22 are asking that you amend that Social 23 24 Services Law to require them to connect the

1 caregivers to kinship services.

2 The other recommendations are in our draft. And I would like, if there's one 3 minute, if Ryan could give you a typical 4 5 instance of a caregiver family. If not, we'll just say that's it. 6 7 You folks okay? Go ahead, Ryan. MR. JOHNSON: Great, I'll be very 8 brief. In my role as a regional kinship 9 10 specialist for the New York State Kinship Navigator, I get an opportunity to connect 11 12 with caregivers and professionals on a weekly basis. 13 14 Imagine for a moment you get a call 15 from child welfare services letting you know 16 that your grandchildren are going to be taken into state custody unless you come and get 17 18 them. 19 Oftentimes caregivers who are faced with this situation are the ones that are 20 21 calling us. Oftentimes these are elderly 22 caregivers; 56 years old is the average age of kinship caregivers in New York State. 23 24 SENATOR SAVINO: Did you just say

1 elderly is 56? 2 (Laughter.) 3 SENATOR KRUEGER: You lost us. 4 (Laughter.) MR. JOHNSON: Oftentimes these folks 5 are on a fixed income --6 7 (Laughter.) 8 MR. JOHNSON: -- they are living in 9 a --10 SENATOR SAVINO: That just went right 11 over you. 12 MR. JOHNSON: It did not go over me. 13 I totally understand. 14 (Laughter.) 15 MR. JOHNSON: Gerry told me I had one 16 minute. 17 (Laughter.) 18 SENATOR KRUEGER: He was doing better. You probably should have stopped. 19 20 MR. WALLACE: I'm telling you, I'll 21 talk to him afterwards, I promise. 22 (Laughter.) MR. JOHNSON: Very good, thank you. 23 24 Glad my mother's not watching, that's all I

can say about that.

2 (Laughter.) 3 MR. JOHNSON: What I can say is that oftentimes these folks are on fixed incomes, 4 5 they live in apartments that are often too small. And so when they're faced with caring 6 7 for a child that comes into their life unexpectedly, they need services like: Where 8 can I find a bed for this child? This child 9 10 came to me with only the clothes on their 11 back, and I have nothing to feed them. 12 Other services that they might need are, How can I get this child to school? 13 14 It's 10 o'clock at night and this child is 15 supposed to be at school at 7:30 tomorrow 16 morning. These are the types of situations that 17 18 kinship caregivers face when they're given a 19 child. So what our program does is connects 20 them to local services. We partner with 21 local agencies. As Gerry mentioned, there's 22 22 kinship care programs that cover 22 counties. We partner directly with them. 23 24 Those programs are able to serve those

1	families, get them connected to we can
2	find you a bed, we can find you clothes, we
3	can find you a local food pantry.
4	Our program specifically helps
5	caregivers in the 40 counties that don't have
6	any services. We also serve the 22 counties
7	as well. We can help caregivers get
8	connected to financial resources as well as
9	other mental health resources and legal
10	resources in their county available to them.
11	As a kinship system of care, the
12	navigator and local programs are able to help
13	stabilize kinship families and increase
14	positive long-term outcomes for children
15	while operating these services at a fraction
16	of the cost of placing these children in
17	foster care.
18	Thank you. I'm sorry if I offended.
19	(Laughter.)
20	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblywoman
21	Jaffee.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you for
23	the service that you provide. I've heard the
24	stories, and they really are very telling in

1	terms of the need for support. And so thank
2	you. And I am requesting for additional
3	funds so that you can continue your efforts.
4	MR. WALLACE: Thank you. Thank you
5	very, very much, yes.
6	SENATOR KRUEGER: Senator Savino.
7	SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you. Thank
8	you, Gerry and Ryan.
9	MR. WALLACE: The old guy.
10	SENATOR SAVINO: Believe me, you'll be
11	our age before you know it.
12	I'm somewhat confused, though, about
13	the number I shouldn't say confused
14	somewhat concerned about the number of these
15	cases that are being dropped off with
16	families as opposed to a more formal setting.
17	And as you know, Gerry and I see Jim
18	Purcell sitting behind you, and others who
19	have done this work for years you know,
20	there's a landmark lawsuit that was brought
21	against the City of New York 30 years ago
22	around this very issue, you know, in the case
23	of Eugene F. It's what created kinship
24	foster care.

1 And while we definitely don't want to 2 see every family dragged through the family 3 court system -- if you can place children with a relative and provide them the type of 4 5 supports to help stabilize them, I think that's the way to go. I'm wondering, though, 6 7 do we see more placements in kin care or this 8 less formal setting because it's cheaper and then --9

MR. WALLACE: Well --

10

11 SENATOR SAVINO: Yeah, I see, right, 12 you're shaking your head. But then what is 13 the follow-up with the parent? Because the 14 parents don't disappear, right? So you've 15 taken the child, they're with their 16 grandparent, you guys exist to try and 17 provide them some support, some financial 18 stability, maybe help them get through the court system, get a custody arrangement. But 19 20 the parents are still there. What's going on with these families as we move forward? 21 22 MR. WALLACE: The word is diversion.

23 There are many ways in which it's done. And 24 why is it that it's done? Yes, there's a

1 suspicion that there's a financial factor 2 involved: Families will do it on their own, and we couldn't have all these children come 3 into the formal system, it would break the 4 5 system. So yes, families need to be doing it 6 7 on their own, but they also don't need to be abandoned. 8 A typical story beginning with 9 10 diversion is an investigation is made, there's some reason to have a child removed. 11 12 Instead it's asked, Will a relative take the 13 child? Grandma says yes. The kid goes off to grandma's. There never is an Article X, 14 it's in the case records. No one knows the 15 number of instances of this. All right? 16 And I would say that what we hear is: 17 18 "Child Protective Services gave me this child 19 eight years ago, this is the first time I've 20 found out there's help." It's brutal on 21 someone who may be on a fixed income.

22 SENATOR SAVINO: And that's what 23 Eugene F. was all about.

24 MR. WALLACE: Well, yes, but it's a

1 downstate case. And there have been lawsuits
2 upstate, but it's a decentralized county -3 you've got to have a lawsuit in every county
4 to address the practices if you're going to
5 defeat it.

6 There was a case Debra VV v. Johnson 7 in 2006, here in Albany County, in which an 8 aunt was told there's no such thing as 9 kinship foster care in this county. And yes, 10 it went there and that practice was ended and 11 she became a foster parent.

12 But the consequences beyond that have 13 not been to solve the bigger issue. The 14 bigger issue is we remove the child, we start 15 the Article X. Family Court Act 1017 offers 16 you three ways to give that child to a relative: Tell the relative to go out on 17 their own and get custody; let them do foster 18 care on the cheap, which is direct custody; 19 20 or make them a foster parent.

21 In the conversations that occur 22 between Child Protective Services and child 23 welfare agencies, there are many ways to 24 dissuade you. Indeed, the commentary in the

1 McKinney's 1017, written by a Hofstra law 2 professor, uses the word "ruses" the counties 3 do to perpetuate diverting kin from becoming foster parents. Oh, you don't want to become 4 5 a foster parent. We'll be in your home every week. You can't have them now. We won't 6 7 place them until you're certified, and you can't get certified for four months. 8 Give me the kid, I don't care. 9 10 There are many ways -- we are asking not to -- we understand the financial 11 12 constrictions, but the worst thing is that 13 children that should get into foster care may 14 not be getting into foster care. 15 SENATOR SAVINO: And the parent that 16 you remove the child from is not getting any follow-up service either. 17 MR. WALLACE: Well, if the Article X 18 is on, yes, there will be reunification 19 20 efforts. SENATOR SAVINO: But if there's not --21 MR. WALLACE: If there's that other 22 informal situation, it just goes up in the 23 24 air.

1 I'd like to give you one instance in 2 Dutchess County that drives home, because I get to use that lawyerly word "egregious." 3 4 Okay? 5 ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: You have one minute, just to --6 7 MR. WALLACE: Really quick. Dutchess County, four or so years ago. Boyfriend 8 lures girlfriend to motel, beats her to death 9 10 with a baseball bat in front of her 5-year-old. The child is -- you know, CPS is 11 12 in, the cops are in. Grandma gets a call: "Come down to court." She runs down to 13 14 court. Oh, my God, the family is a mess. 15 Right? And she's told by CPS, "Go get 16 custody on your own and take care of the child." Not even offered a chance to be a 17 18 foster parent. 19 They get in touch with us eight months later: "We can't afford the mental health 20 21 needs of this child. What are we going to 22 do?" It became a cause célèbre, and it was back-doored and she got to be a foster 23 24 parent.

1 But it shows you just how egregious it 2 can be in circumstances where a kid may need 3 to go into foster care and may be avoided 4 because of these informal policies. 5 SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you. MR. WALLACE: Thank you very much. 6 7 SENATOR KRUEGER: One more question, 8 sorry. We were on the exact same train, so 9 10 let me just double-check. There's nothing in 11 the work that your organizations do that 12 prevents you from trying to ensure that there 13 is a kinship foster care placed in these --14 that the family is --15 MR. WALLACE: During the first six 16 months, when it is still possible under the federal rule, which says you look back to 17 where the initial removal was in order to be 18 19 qualified for Title IV-E funding. And then you have to look at the AFDC income 20 21 requirements of 1996. 22 We will intervene on a one-to-one basis and ask counties to do this. But the 23 24 resources are pretty limited. And most of

1 the time we're finding out after the fact, 2 you know: "I got this child and now I don't 3 know what to do." And you can call up the county, and the standard answer is it's too 4 5 late. 6 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. Thank 7 you. ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you. 8 9 The next presenter, New York State 10 Children's Alliance, Karen Hill. The Children's Aid Society has 11 12 submitted, so the one after that will be 13 Jim Purcell, the Council of Family and Child 14 Caring Agencies, on deck. 15 Thank you. 16 MS. HILL: Good afternoon, members of the Assembly and Senate. 17 The New York State Children's Alliance 18 is the membership organization for New York 19 20 State's 40 Child Advocacy Centers. It's my 21 privilege to be here on behalf of our 22 membership to share with you and provide you some information regarding the work that we 23 24 do at a Child Advocacy Center.

1 On behalf of our board of directors, I 2 want to thank the Legislature for your 3 history of strong support over the years. Every year you have voted to add legislative 4 5 appropriations to help Child Advocacy Centers, ranging from \$2.2 million to 6 7 \$2.57 million. This has greatly helped our centers provide vital services to the most 8 9 vulnerable population, we believe, in 10 New York State -- children who are abused. 11 We're here once again to ask for your 12 support, because unfortunately the proposed 2017-2018 budget does not include these 13 14 funds, and we are very concerned that this 15 will put us in jeopardy of closing some of 16 our doors. The Child Advocacy Centers in New York 17 18 State serve our most vulnerable population, children who are exposed and victimized by 19 severe physical abuse, sexual abuse, 20 21 commercial sexual exploitation, trafficking, and fatalities. This year we respectfully 22 request a legislative appropriation of 23

\$3.2 million in the state's adopted budget to

1 continue our work.

2	Since 2007, New York State has
3	supported 40 Child Advocacy Centers. That
4	leaves 22 of our counties underserved by a
5	CAC. We understand that there's limitations
6	in available funding, so we have worked with
7	the Office of Children and Family Services to
8	regionalize some of our centers, and we now
9	serve 51 counties within the state. This
10	year we are hoping to add another four
11	counties. We still only have 40 sites,
12	though, 40 programs operating.
13	So we understand that we have a role
14	in this fight to end child abuse. And we
15	also understand that where you decide to put
16	your state dollars, that you need a return on
17	your investment. And so what I want to talk
18	to you about today is the proven, effective
19	response of a Child Advocacy Center. We use
20	that multidisciplinary response that so many
21	people have talked about today. We have
22	incorporated that in our history since 1985,
23	in New York State since 1984. So we work
24	together, as law enforcement, child

protective, prosecution, medical providers,
 mental health providers, victim advocates,
 and center staff, to collect evidence,
 investigate cases, prosecute offenders, and
 bring much-needed treatment to children who
 are victimized by abuse.

7 We do this in a child-friendly 8 environment, so children don't have to go to 9 hospitals, they don't have to go to police 10 stations, and they don't have to go to CPS 11 agencies. We provide a warm environment for 12 them to be able to talk about their abuse and 13 to tell their story.

14 What you may not be aware of, though, 15 and what I want to quickly share with you, is 16 some of the other initiatives that our centers have taken on to end child abuse in 17 New York State. And here's just a sampling. 18 19 The START Child Advocacy Center of 20 Rensselaer County provides additional mental 21 health assessment screenings for children 22 entering foster care.

23The Oswego Child Advocacy Center has24developed a non-offending caregiver group to

1 help support non-offending caregivers and 2 educate them on the dynamics of child abuse, 3 trauma, so that we can better help our non-offending caregivers help their children. 4 5 The Bivona Child Advocacy Center in Monroe County hosts the largest child abuse 6 7 conference in New York State, bringing experts in the field from all over the 8 9 country to train our teams on best-practice 10 response. 11 The McMahon/Ryan Child Advocacy Center 12 of Onondaga County has developed a child abuse prevention curriculum for 13 14 schoolchildren and has currently trained 15 11,000 children in the Syracuse area. 16 In the Southern Tier Child Advocacy Center, we facilitated a statewide committee 17 18 in the development of a first responder 19 curriculum so that we could help law 20 enforcement identify and respond in a way that was trauma-informed and effective. We 21 have worked with the Division of Criminal 22 Justice Services to make this an online 23 24 program, and we have to date trained

approximately 5,000 first responder and law
 enforcement officers.

We have also worked with the Office of Children and Family Services to create a Less is More program, which is an advanced mandated reporter program to help people to understand how to respond and recognize in a way that is trauma-informed.

9 We're making incredible progress. Our 10 programs have made tremendous impacts on our 11 communities. But it's because of your 12 support that we have been able to do that. 13 We need to continue to invest in these 14 efforts, now and into the future.

I served as the director of the
Southern Tier Child Advocacy Center for
10 years, and it was my honor to be in that
role. And Senator Young is a huge supporter
of our center.

Just this week I transitioned to the role of executive director for the New York State Children's Alliance, and as I was packing up my office, I was packing up several pictures and notecards that I received from children over the years. And I
 wanted to share one with you, because I don't
 know if you often have the opportunity to see
 the outcome of your work.

5 But this is a case that we had, and I was the advocate that responded on the case 6 7 that night. We had a 6-year-old girl -- the 8 call came in a 6-year-old female was molested 9 by a 13-year-old young man in her home. And 10 we were called out at 1 o'clock in the 11 morning. Our doctors came, our law 12 enforcement came, child protective came, and 13 we all worked together with this family.

14And about two weeks later I received a15card from this little girl that said: "Dear16Karen, thank you for getting up in the middle17of the night to play games with me and to18help me. I love the blanket and the animal19that I received. I named my bulldog Cutter.20Your friend, Megan."

I received this message from Megan, but it's because of you that I was able to be there, that I was able to be part of this system that helped this little girl. And I

1 cannot thank you enough for all you do. 2 We are here today to ask for your 3 continued support for this very, very 4 important work. And I will take any 5 questions that you have. 6 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Montgomery. 7 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Yes, thank you. Thank you for your testimony. And I 8 just -- I certainly support the concept of 9 10 the Child Advocacy Centers. 11 The question I have for you is child 12 abuse does not happen in a vacuum, so it's 13 not just the child that needs very often 14 support. So how do we, in order to avoid 15 hopefully some of the deaths of children that 16 we've experienced, especially in New York City, how can we utilize your model to 17 18 address the larger issues, often, of domestic 19 violence, of homelessness, of other issues in 20 a family that result in a child being killed, 21 ultimately? 22 MS. HILL: Excellent question. 23 And it's working together. It's 24 talking to --

1 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Together with
2 what other?

MS. HILL: With our team. 3 So that is probably the biggest asset that we have as a 4 5 Child Advocacy Center. We have everyone at the table investigating and knowing every 6 7 step of this process along the way. Our advocates are sitting at the table and they 8 are with that family from the outset of an 9 10 outcry all the way through prosecution and treatment. And they're able to help engage 11 12 caregivers in the system response and help to 13 engage them so that we can then help their 14 children to get into treatment.

15 It's a really difficult process, 16 engaging a caregiver, because sometimes they have so many different barriers that are in 17 18 the way to being able to understand that this 19 has happened to their child, to figure out 20 how do I respond in a way that helps my 21 child. And as a multidisciplinary team, from 22 the onset we are with that caregiver explaining every step of the way. We are 23 24 explaining the process. We are that support

1 for them.

2	And we're working with each other so
3	that law enforcement knows what CPS is doing
4	and the prosecutor knows what all of us are
5	doing. Our medical providers are there
6	saying, yes, we should perform a medical exam
7	just to make sure this child has a healthy
8	body.
9	So it's that communication, that
10	collective collaboration that really makes
11	the difference in these cases.
12	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Well, I certainly
13	look forward to obviously, time is so
14	late, but I look forward to talking to you.
15	I think it's a wonderful model that we can
16	build an even stronger system upon so that we
17	don't continue to have issues where we find
18	2-year-olds and 4-year-olds and 6-year-olds
19	beaten to death by someone in the family
20	because there's just a total disorganization
21	of a family. And many, many of the issues
22	that cause that, that resulted in that
23	child abuse very often is a symptom of much
24	deeper and broader issues.

1	So thank you for your testimony.
2	MS. HILL: Yes, absolutely. Thank
3	you.
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. Thank
5	you, Senator Montgomery.
6	I just would like to say a couple of
7	things. And I know that you also, because of
8	the involvement of CPS and other agencies,
9	you probably do referrals to appropriate
10	other resources that may help with parent
11	education, nutrition, some of the other
12	issues that may arise during an
13	investigation; is that right?
14	MS. HILL: That is correct. And we
15	are conducting assessments with families from
16	the onset. So that's what our advocates do.
17	As they're meeting with the caregivers,
18	they're looking at needs assessments, mental
19	health assessments. And if a child does
20	disclose abuse or if the caregiver identifies
21	that the child is having trauma symptoms,
22	we'll conduct a trauma assessment that day,
23	while the child first comes in for their
24	forensic interview.

1 So it definitely is a holistic 2 approach, and it's ensuring that we are 3 covering all facets of that approach. 4 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Well, you know my 5 involvement with the Child Advocacy Centers over the years. And I was surprised to see 6 7 you here today, and I'm delighted because I'm very happy for you in taking on this new 8 responsibility. But I have to say, we're 9 10 going to miss you a lot in Olean because of all the absolutely wonderful work you've done 11 12 over the years and the lives that you've touched and the children that you've helped. 13 14 And I know you're taking that on at a 15 statewide level now, which is great, and we 16 need your leadership. But don't be a stranger. And congratulations on your new 17 18 position. 19 MS. HILL: Thank you. Thank you very 20 much. 21 ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you. 22 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Jim Purcell, CEO, Council of Family and Child Caring Agencies. 23 24 MR. PURCELL: My staff are betting on

what time I would get to testify, and it 1 2 appears 5 o'clock is going to win after all. 3 Let me just run through a few things that we like in the budget and we want to 4 5 commend to your attention. And it's nice to meet you, even at a 6 7 distance, Chairwoman. First, COFCCA, the Council of Family 8 9 and Child Caring Agencies, we represent about 10 105 child welfare agencies that provide foster care, preventive services, adoption 11 12 across the state. 13 The best thing in the budget this year 14 is the continuation of the open-ended funding 15 for preventive services and child protective 16 services. I am in close touch with my colleagues around the country, and I will 17 18 tell you that it makes New York unique. We 19 could not have the preventive service system 20 we have, particularly in New York City, and 21 to some extent in all the other counties, 22 without that open-ended funding. There's a \$2 million increase in the 23 24 budget for post-adoption services, which is

1 really terrific. I think it will probably 2 allow OCFS to fund post-adoption programs 3 that cover all the counties in the state, to provide supports to those families who have 4 5 taken on kids who have often had a difficult and challenging upbringing until they got to 6 7 those foster homes and then adoption homes. 8 We strongly support Raise the Age, for

9 all the reasons that you've heard. We know
10 there's some questions about the funding
11 between the state and counties, and frankly I
12 defer to the counties and the state to figure
13 that out.

14 And finally, there's Article VII 15 language which will authorize the Department 16 of Health, with OCFS, to create a new licensure class. Our foster care agencies 17 18 have been providing basic health services and certainly mental health services for kids for 19 I believe at least 40 years, frankly without 20 21 any oversight or licensure. And now that 22 we're looking at going into managed care for 23 these programs, the managed care companies 24 cannot fund them without having some kind of

a license they can point to. So the language
 will allow the state to develop standards,
 and we look forward to working with them on
 that.

5 So much for the good news. The cuts to the Foster Care Block 6 7 Grant are troubling. First, it's clearly just a cost shift to the City and the 8 counties. I admit to being particularly 9 10 offended by the complete removal of state 11 support for the tuition costs, the education 12 costs for about 650 or 700 kids who live on a 13 campus because they come from New York City. 14 You're looking at a budget that the Governor 15 says has a billion-dollar increase for 16 education -- except for these kids.

And it's been explained to me, I think 17 18 Commissioner Poole did a nice job this 19 morning of explaining that it's really about 20 balancing the shares in the budget. That's 21 nice. And I'm sure the shares in the budget 22 have to be balanced in some way. But to say that these kids don't get any state 23 24 support -- I simply say that 10 years from

now nobody will remember that it was to
 balance some shares in 2017, and there will
 be some big outcry about why are these kids
 getting any schooling. So I'm concerned
 about that.

The other \$40 million cut in the block 6 7 grant -- I frankly have never been a fan of 8 the block grant. I think it's really damaged the state/county relationship. But the only 9 10 rationale that's ever been given for it is to reduce the use of foster care. So now that 11 12 we've reduced the use of foster care, we're 13 going to cut the funding from the people who 14 reduced the use of foster care, which makes 15 no sense to me at all.

16 And frankly, we're looking at situations across the state of increased 17 18 placements in foster care, specifically 19 related to the opioid use. There's a state 20 monitor now in New York City to look at their 21 practices because there are questions about 22 maybe some kids should be in foster care. So at the very time that the state is asserting 23 24 its concern about the system, it's also

1 removing \$62 million from it. So that is of 2 concern to us.

3 There's a second proposal which some of you asked about today, which relates to 4 5 the room and board costs for handicapped kids being placed in residential settings by 6 7 school districts. And again, it's a cut that's targeted only at New York City. The 8 funding formula is strange to begin with, but 9 10 it removes about 18.474 percent of the 11 funding and will shift it to the city.

12 And the last piece I would call your attention to is the FYSA, which is the 13 14 program which you and your colleagues have 15 been so terrific about supporting over the 16 last few years. And again, we heard this morning that the cut was because it was a 17 18 legislative add and the Executive always cuts 19 legislative adds. Well, that's not 20 necessarily true. A few years ago the first 21 FYSA money was added by the legislature, and 22 the Governor put it in his budget.

23The concern we have about this is that24the money is being used to support cohorts of

kids. So two years ago, you provided money 1 2 for the first time for a group of kids in 3 foster care to get support to go to college. Those kids are still in college, they're 4 5 probably juniors now, or sophomores and juniors. Last year we had money to do 6 7 another million and a half dollars, so a group of freshmen started. 8

And so now the question is if we leave 9 10 it at \$1.5 million, do we defund some of the kids who we've been funding to go to college? 11 12 I don't want to be there when somebody tries to explain that. Or do we say, well, we're 13 14 not going to fund any new kids this year? 15 Even though some of the kids you met 16 yesterday as they were lobbying here in the Legislature are those very seniors in high 17 school who, frankly, took the initiative to 18 19 be able to graduate because they saw a 20 future.

21 So this is one where you worry that 22 we're either in it or we're not. And so I 23 hope that you'll join us in being in it.

24 Our asks in the budget are four at

1 this point. One is that last year the 2 Legislature specifically, through the budget 3 conferences, added some relatively minor funds for overtime costs in the OMH/OPWDD and 4 5 special schools systems, but not in foster care. This year the Executive Budget picks 6 7 up on that and has some funding for minimum wage costs for those sectors, but it doesn't 8 do anything at all for our agencies. 9

10 I will tell you that the impact of the 11 January 1, '16, increase in the minimum wage 12 on our programs is pretty minimal. We were 13 paying minimum wage, we were paying just 14 above that. But by next year, this starts to 15 bite. And we have no money to pay these 16 costs. And what we're being told by the Executive is that in a hardship case, they 17 18 would come in and help fund it. And I've 19 suggested that the definition of a hardship 20 case really realistically is any nonprofit 21 that has a contract or a rate from the state, 22 that those are the hardship ones. Because these are mandated costs that have to be 23 24 covered.

1 By the way, the New York State Labor 2 Department issued new overtime guidelines on December 28th which raised the income level 3 at which people become eligible for overtime. 4 5 The long story short is we believe virtually all of our caseworkers will now be eligible 6 7 for overtime pay. That's a really good thing, except we don't have any money to pay 8 them. So we'll tell them they can't work 9 10 overtime. And now the question will be do I go to the family court hearing at 9 in the 11 12 morning and not do the home visit that I'm supposed to do tonight to make sure the child 13 14 is doing okay in the foster home, or do I do 15 the home visit to make sure the child is 16 okay, because I'm required to do so, or go to the family court session? Which can go on 17 18 for hours and hours in family court. 19 So we're going to have a problem with

19 So we're going to nave a problem with 20 overtime in both preventive services and 21 foster care, and we don't even know what --22 people keep saying, What's it going to cost? 23 And our answer is we don't know because we 24 haven't been there before.

1 The second is that we've had great 2 support from all of you in the last couple of 3 years in signing onto a letter to the Governor after the budget passes asking for 4 5 increases in the rates that we get paid. It's an administrative rate process that 6 7 happens after budget. And we're this year asking you for a 4 percent increase. We know 8 that's a little higher than what we've been 9 10 getting, but we've got these minimum wage and overtime and other issues to deal with. 11 12 We'd like to see a \$15 million capital appropriation for the deferred maintenance 13

14 that agencies couldn't do on their 15 residential programs during the seven years 16 that we had no increases. So the boiler broke, or one of the kids punched a hole in 17 18 the wall, we've got to replace it because that's a reminder to all the kids about the 19 20 trauma. And so we're looking for \$15 million 21 in capital funding.

22 And finally, a million dollars for 23 loan forgiveness and scholarships for people 24 working in the child welfare field so that

they can begin to see this as a career that
 will support them as they go on.

3 I'm almost out of time, but I wanted to spend a little time telling you about our 4 5 biggest problem. I've been working in juvenile justice and child welfare for over 6 7 40 years, which means I'm over 56 years old, which means that Ryan, who said that, that I 8 didn't train him very well when he worked in 9 10 our office to respect his elders, if nothing 11 else.

(Laughter.)

12

MR. PURCELL: I've never seen, I've 13 14 never seen a workforce crisis like our 15 agencies are facing right now in our field. 16 They cannot find staff to hire. There are 500 families waiting for preventive services 17 18 in the City; we can't hire caseworkers. We 19 will see, in the coming year, residential 20 agencies begin to close units because I as 21 the executive director can't sleep at night 22 thinking about the two childcare workers I just hired and their skill level with those 23 24 particular kids.

1 Clarence Sundram, when we wrote the 2 report that created the Justice Center, 3 pointed out that firing people for making 4 simple mistakes means you go back to the same 5 job pool that you faced in the first place 6 and hire the next-best candidate, not the 7 best candidate.

And that's what we're facing now. 8 We 9 are seeing this all across the state, where 10 we are simply unable to find staff who we feel are able to provide the services to --11 12 frankly, a very sophisticated set of services 13 to kids. As the foster care population has 14 shrunk, it's not the random foster child 15 who's no longer placed in care. It's the 16 kids with the most complex problems, the families with the most complex problems. And 17 18 particularly in residential care we see this 19 as a mix of kids without the kids who maybe 20 got with the program better in the past and 21 provided some guidance to their peers.

22 So the system is under huge challenge. 23 I could give you other examples, but I'll 24 pass on that.

1 The last thing I would note, with just one second, is I refer you -- and you'll be 2 3 particularly interested in this, Senator --4 there's a terrific op-ed piece today in the 5 Daily News by Steve Burghardt. 6 SENATOR SAVINO: I've seen it. 7 MR. PURCELL: I'm going to call Steve and say, why didn't you include us in it, 8 but -- I'm sure he would. 9 10 It's a really terrific statement about 11 the real pressures that people are under in 12 this field and the consequences of that. And 13 it's not just about people who don't want to 14 do their job. With that, I'll thank you and of 15 16 course be happy to answer any questions. CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very 17 18 much. 19 Questions? 20 SENATOR KRUEGER: Senator Velmanette 21 Montgomery. 22 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: I just want to make a quick comment. I fully support the 23 24 request for the college career, the college

1 students. This is just so exciting. And of 2 course I met some of the young people yesterday, so it's very fresh in my mind and 3 4 I understand it. 5 And if we're going to really talk about changing the trajectory of young people 6 7 in our state, this is the way that we're going to be able to do that. Because in the 8 past, foster care was part of the pipeline to 9 10 prison. So you've changed that. And I want to compliment you that this really is 11 12 something to be supported. And that you're 13 partnering with the higher ed opportunity 14 programs to make it a more fully supportive 15 experience for young people makes all the 16 sense in the world to me. So thank you very much. 17 18 MR. PURCELL: Thank you. 19 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: And look forward 20 to --21 MR. PURCELL: Continuing. 22 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: -- us being able to get that funding for you. 23 24 MR. PURCELL: Thank you.

1 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Yes. 2 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: I too have met 3 the young men and women last year, as well as 4 this year. They're very impressive and 5 clearly deserve this kind of support, and I also am going to support this. It's 6 7 extraordinary, it really is. MR. PURCELL: These kids have an 8 amazing resiliency to them that if we just 9 10 pay attention to that a little bit, they can 11 do really well. 12 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you. 13 MR. PURCELL: Thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 15 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you very much. 16 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Next, Stephanie Gendell, associate executive director, 17 advocacy and policy, Citizens' Committee for 18 Children. 1:20. 19 20 And Jessica Klos should move closer, Jenn O'Connor closer, Tim Nichols. If you 21 22 come down, you can get there quickly. So if you're all the way upstairs, come on down. 23 24 MS. GENDELL: Good evening, or

1 afternoon. My name is Stephanie Gendell. 2 I'm the associate executive director at Citizens' Committee for Children. 3 I want to first thank you for this 4 5 opportunity to testify and also thank you all so much for staying this long into the 6 7 evening so that we're actually testifying in front of people. It really is nice to do 8 that, and I really appreciate it. And in 9 10 return, I will keep my remarks as brief as 11 possible. Jim Purcell and I often testify 12 one after each other, in either order, both here and in the City, and so I'll say what he 13 14 would say, which is "I support everything Jim 15 said." 16 I did want to start, though, by talking about child welfare. This is my 10th 17 18 time testifying at the Human Services budget 19 hearing about child welfare, and in all 20 previous times I've always asked the state

for an increased investment in child welfare.
And unfortunately, now when we're seeing
child welfare in the news every day and the
Governor has come forward expressing his

1 concerns about child welfare, I'm actually 2 here urging everyone not to take money out of 3 child welfare, which is not the position I'd really want to be in. 4 5 To be very clear, the cut to the Foster Care Block Grant is \$62 million total; 6 7 \$39 million of that is statewide -- about half would be for New York City -- and then 8 another \$23 million just to New York City. 9

Plus the \$19 million cut we've talked about on the Committee on Special Education Placements, which also comes out of the child welfare agency budget. So the city cut is also, on its own, about \$62 million.

15 And foster care is a mandatory 16 service, although it sounds like upstate they're not using it as much as they should 17 18 for kin. But it's technically a mandatory service. And so when ACS is trying to figure 19 20 out what to do with its budget to accommodate 21 a \$62 million cut, they're going to have to 22 cut from somewhere. It could be protection, it could be prevention, it could be 23 24 caseloads, it could be the services that

1 foster parents and foster children get. It's 2 a really deeply disturbing cut. 3 And I realize it's a very large amount to be asking for, but we're asking for it 4 5 anyway. In addition, we've had this 6 7 long-standing issue of the KinGAP program also being funded out of the Foster Care 8 Block Grant. Those are children no longer in 9 10 foster care. It should be funded in the same way as adoption subsidy, so that children no 11 12 longer in foster care are not taking money away from foster children. 13 14 We support the maintaining open-ended 15 on prevention. We urge restoring that 16 3 percent continued cut since 2008 and investing it into primary prevention, which 17 18 would allow preventive services before families came to the attention of child 19 20 welfare. 21 We also support the housing subsidy bill that's now introduced in both houses 22 that would increase the amount as well as the 23 24 age for youth. And we hope that can pass in

1

the legislative session.

2 I'm not going to reiterate everything 3 that we just talked about FYSA, but I appreciate all of your support for that. 4 5 Turning to childcare, we object to the \$27 million essentially swap of childcare 6 7 state money for Title XX federal money. So childcare is not actually getting any 8 increased funding, and senior centers and 9 10 other services are losing funds. I find this 11 actually to be a distraction from the real 12 issue. We are all going to fight to bring back the \$27 million of state funds so that 13 14 TANF can continue to support senior centers. The real issue is that childcare is 15 not funded sufficiently, and we're no longer 16 really talking about that. But there are 17 18 many, many children who are eligible for 19 childcare and not receiving childcare. It is 20 the best preventive service. If we want to 21 help families and prevent child abuse, we need to give parents childcare options. 22 The other thing is that the federal 23 24 government has passed, several years ago now,

1 2014, new child safety regulations that we 2 sought a waiver from so that we didn't have 3 to pay for them. They require background 4 checks on childcare providers. And we got a 5 one-year waiver. If we do not get another 6 waiver, we need funding so that we don't have 7 to cut childcare.

8 Interestingly, the budget requires --9 has an Article VII bill that would have 10 required background checks for those working 11 in homeless shelters working with children, 12 but we're still seeking this waiver for those 13 working in childcare.

14Speaking of homelessness, we support15Assemblymember Hevesi's Housing Stability16Support Program. The homelessness crisis in17New York City is severe, as well as other18parts of the state, and this would help19alleviate some of that.

20 We are in the process of cochairing a 21 family homelessness task force, and due to 22 release recommendations about how to better 23 meet the needs of children and families and 24 their well-being while in the shelter system, and keeping them out, in the next month or
 so, and we can keep you in the loop on those
 recommendations once we have them.

On food and economic security, we 4 5 support increasing the funding for emergency food programs. Last year you passed and the 6 7 Governor signed a great piece of legislation that enables families to directly put a 8 portion of their state tax refund into a 529 9 10 college savings account. So we're now -- the 11 counter piece to that would be to allow those 12 on public assistance to be able to have 529s 13 waived from the asset limit test so they can 14 actually take advantage of that law that 15 passed last year.

16 And speaking of public assistance 17 families, we object to the proposal to take 18 100 percent of their Lottery winnings. And 19 if that is to go forward, there needs to be a 20 real PR campaign so that people on public 21 assistance know that they shouldn't buy 22 Lottery tickets because the state's going to 23 take their winnings.

24 On juvenile justice, we urge you to

1 pass Raise the Age this session in a 2 comprehensive manner. We've included in our 3 testimony seven principles and concepts that we believe are equivalent to true Raise the 4 5 Age legislation. I won't go through all of them now, because we've been talking about 6 7 them now for many years and we haven't changed our position. 8

9 But I will say that every year that we 10 don't pass Raise the Age is another year of 16- and 17-year-olds at Rikers not receiving 11 12 services, receiving permanent criminal 13 records. As you know, we're one of only two 14 states that does this. So a child from 15 New Jersey who did the exact same thing as 16 someone from New York, applying to a job in New York or a school in New York, will have a 17 18 leg up against the child from New York. We 19 should be doing better by our own children.

20 And then finally, I just want to 21 support what Jim talked about with regard to 22 better supporting the human service sector. 23 And, finally, say that we're concerned that 24 there is language all throughout the budget

1 that essentially says that if there are any 2 reductions in federal aid, that the 3 Department of Budget can unilaterally cut the 4 allocations to the counties without your 5 approval or really notice to anybody. That will make it very hard for counties to plan, 6 7 and we're deeply concerned that there could really be federal cuts and that we would want 8 a transparent process for how we handle that. 9 10 Thank you. 11 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 12 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Ouestions? All 13 14 right, moving right ahead. 15 Jessica Klos, director, policy and 16 community education, Early Care & Learning Council. 17 MS. KLOS SHAPIRO: Hi. Thank you for 18 19 the opportunity to provide comments and for 20 staying to listen to them. 21 So the Early Care & Learning Council 22 is a statewide not-for-profit that represents the childcare resource and referral agencies 23 24 that are embedded in the communities

throughout the state. There are 34 of them 1 2 in total. We are also part of Winning 3 Beginning NY, which is the larger early childhood advocacy organization. 4 5 My comments today will mostly focus on childcare. And while some of these stats 6 7 will sound repetitive, they're even things that the commissioner addressed this morning. 8 When we talk about that only 17 percent of 9 10 eligible children and families are served with childcare subsidies, there's a lack of 11 12 money in the system. 13 So some of those things that I will 14 read is I did want to emphasize a study that 15 our organization did earlier last year. And 16 also recently released from our national organization is the reasons why this is so 17 18 important, what happens when parents don't 19 have childcare. And it's alarming the sheer 20 cost of childcare in New York State. The 21 average is \$9100 a year for infants, \$8,341 for a toddler, \$7,629 for a preschooler, 22 \$4,413 for school-age care. If it's a single 23 24 parent, they pay 55 percent of their income

for infant care and nearly 100 percent of their income for center-based care for two children. Married parents of two children living at the poverty line pay 129 percent of their income for center care, which basically a lot of parents can't afford to do and, without assistance, could not.

So we also found in our survey -- it 8 did not include New York City and Long 9 10 Island. It was largely an upstate survey 11 that showed that 93 percent of families 12 earning less than \$50,000 and receiving a 13 subsidy, as well as 80 percent of families 14 earning less than \$50,000 and not receiving a 15 subsidy, faced difficulty paying for 16 childcare. Parents who struggle to pay for childcare are forced to make hard financial 17 18 decisions. And these are things like borrowing money from friends and family, and 19 20 creditors, and compromising basic needs. 21 They cut back on work hours, the hours that 22 they can have their children in care, and they assemble a patchwork of solutions that 23 24 families cobble together. And my colleagues

1 just talked about child welfare and 2 prevention, and we know that these are the 3 situations when parents are scrambling -they're well-intentioned, but these are when 4 5 abuse and neglect happen, because they are stressed. 6 7 So we are fully in support of the \$100 million ask for subsidies. With these 8 facts really backing them up, there's a need. 9 10 In addition to that, our agencies help parents to find childcare. And the industry 11 12 in New York State of childcare is a \$4.7 billion industry, and it provides 13 14 120,000 jobs. So it's an industry that's not 15 going away and one that's very much needed. 16 So strengthening an investment into the CCR&R agencies would provide continued education to 17 18 parents as well allow as the provider 19 community to continue to provide quality 20 care. And this can be anything from what's 21 best for parents -- they could be online, 22 in-person, on the phone. But for providers that could also be training sessions that are 23 24 off-site, on-site at the childcare center,

online, and also methods that are coaching,
 mentoring, and technical assistance.

We want to make sure that in these Vulnerable years -- I did not include the Stuff that we've gone over in the past years, which is the brain development and knowing that in those critical years, if we catch children from zero to 3, we will definitely prepare them better for the future.

10 One of the other budget asks that I 11 would like to emphasize is we are also in 12 full support of restoring the \$27 million to 13 the General Fund and not having that swap of 14 money. We're fearful that in the end, 15 childcare in certain counties will get 16 reduced. Because if it's already required to 17 be there, then they're not really gaining 18 anything and it could be a loss.

19I also just wanted to quickly add that20we are in full support of expanding the21investment in QUALITYStarsNY, because we know22that that really bolsters the full quality of23the program and lets us know that these are24definitely investments that are competitive

1

and are being spent in the right places.

2 And we also would like a continued 3 investment in high-quality after-school and 4 expanded learning. My colleagues that are 5 speaking after me will speak more to this. But specifically, a restoration to Advantage 6 7 After School, which was chopped down to what the Executive level was last year. And we 8 thank the Legislature for the multiple years 9 10 we know you've put funding in, but we're afraid that if that doesn't happen again, we 11 12 actually know that programs will be forced to 13 shut. And while the Governor is putting in 14 \$35 million in certain cities and we're very 15 supportive of that, other programs can't be 16 cut at the same time. It doesn't make sense. So I just would like to thank you for 17 18 allowing me to provide these, and I can 19 answer any questions. 20 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 21 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you very much. ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Just -- I want 22 to thank you and thank early childcare. I 23 24 see this as a very strong economic

1 development initiative for us to be able to 2 move forward with. It provides jobs, it 3 provides an assurance that our children have 4 the kind of support and the opportunity to 5 have the exposure to very strong social 6 skills, academic skills, and a safe 7 environment. So it's something that is very 8 9 essential and important. As a matter of 10 fact, in this room several years ago, we had a huge hearing that I ran for QUALITYstarsNY, 11 12 and I heard parents talk about what that did for their children. So we need to support 13 14 it. And thank you very much. 15 MS. KLOS SHAPIRO: Thank you for your 16 support. CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Jenn O'Connor, 17 director of policy and advocacy, Prevent 18 Child Abuse New York. 19 20 MS. O'CONNOR: Hello. Thank you for having me this afternoon. I also want to say 21 22 that I am cochair of Winning Beginning NY as 23 well; Jessica mentioned that coalition. 24 Prevent Child Abuse New York recently

put out a series of position papers on everything from increasing economic stability to protecting reproductive health. Those are attached to my testimony; you have those in front of you. But I will focus on just two programs today that are germane to this group.

One program is maternal, infant, and 8 early childhood home visiting. There's 9 10 65,000 children in our state who are abused 11 or neglected each year. We know that 12 research-based home visiting could cut that 13 number in half. That's why we're asking you 14 to maintain \$26.8 million in funding and 15 increase funding for programs by \$9.5 16 million. If that budget ask sounds familiar, that's because it's very similar to last 17 18 year's request.

19In short, New York State funding for20those programs has been flat for nearly a21decade, since 2008. Which means that22programs have cut essential services and are23able to serve fewer children.

24 I won't describe in detail what that

1 investment would mean for specific programs. 2 You can see that in my lengthier testimony. 3 I will ask that you consider those 65,000 children when you're making your funding 4 5 decisions. The second program that I want to 6 7 discuss is childcare. The Executive Budget does not include any new funding for 8 childcare subsidies for low-income working 9 10 families. It also does not include new funding to implement the requirements of the 11 12 federal Childcare and Development Block 13 Grant, which you know as CCDBG. 14 In fact, the Governor's budget 15 actually reduces General Fund support for 16 childcare, replacing it with \$27 million in Title XX funding. This funding is used by 17 local social services districts for critical 18 19 programming. You've heard today over and 20 over again about the senior services that 21 would be impacted by that transfer of funds. This pits one vital service against 22 another and would result in a net loss for 23 24 counties. We oppose this transfer of funds

and urge you to find money for subsidies
 elsewhere. As Stephanie said, we believe
 this is just a distraction from the fact that
 childcare is woefully underfunded.

5 We also ask that you increase funding 6 for subsidies by \$100 million. Currently 7 only 17 percent of eligible children receive 8 a subsidy, leaving 83 percent of low-income 9 parents to struggle to pay out of pocket or 10 leave their child in unsafe, possibly illegal 11 care.

12 We feel strongly that it's time to 13 create an Early Learning Fund with a dedicated revenue stream for childcare 14 15 subsidies. We are in a crisis that is not 16 only going to negatively impact children's development, but result in job loss. You 17 18 know this. Working parents cannot work without childcare. And when parents are 19 20 stressed about their childcare, when they 21 don't have childcare or the care that they do 22 have is unreliable, they sometimes take that stress out on their children. That's why 23 24 it's a priority for Prevent Child Abuse

1 New York.

2	I will, as cochair of Winning
3	Beginning NY, just mention one last program.
4	While the Executive Budget adds \$35 million
5	for new after-school programs in high-poverty
6	cities, it also cuts Advantage After School
7	by \$5 million. We need to restore that in
8	order to keep existing programs open.
9	I thank you for your time, and I'm
10	happy to take any questions.
11	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Senator Montgomery.
13	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Just very
14	quickly, certainly, you know, we have a lot
15	of discussion around the issue of child
16	abuse. And as I said before, earlier,
17	obviously child abuse is not, in and of
18	itself, the issue solely.
19	MS. O'CONNOR: Correct.
20	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: So treating the
21	child or treating that particular aspect of a
22	child's life is not necessarily
23	So I just want to say that I'm hopeful
24	that we can begin as a network of

1 organizations like PCANY to identify what the 2 symptoms represent and to go deeper and to 3 begin to create a community-strengthening 4 response to this issue. It's a very, very 5 difficult and terrible one. 6 And I'm not sure that -- I know

7 everybody talks about home visiting, and this
8 is a throwback to a time when the social
9 worker appeared at your home and you were
10 punished or penalized for whatever was
11 happening in your home. That is a problem in
12 some cultures.

13 So I'm not sure how to really support 14 that, and if I should or -- I'm not totally 15 comfortable, necessarily, with it as an 16 answer to the fact that there are such dire, stressful situations that families encounter. 17 18 And we're not addressing these issues, we're 19 just addressing a symptom. And it's not 20 really working. It hasn't worked, especially 21 in New York City.

22 MS. O'CONNOR: Right. Yeah, thank 23 you, Senator. We're very focused in my 24 organization now on the root causes of

1 prevention, so getting away from that, you 2 know, one piece and getting more into 3 economic instability and housing and those sorts of things. 4 5 I will say that home visiting as it stands in the state now is a voluntary 6 7 program. It's not for everyone. We can certainly understand that some families are 8 anxious about having people come into their 9 10 homes. But I do think, you know, there are huge gaps around the state where it could be 11 12 a valuable tool. But it is just one of several services available. 13 14 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Thank you. 15 MS. O'CONNOR: Sure. 16 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. Tim Nichols, executive director, 17 18 Association on Aging in New York. MR. NICHOLS: Good afternoon. 19 20 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Good afternoon. 21 MR. NICHOLS: Thank you, Assemblyman 22 Farrell, and Senator Young, and all the members of the committee for your work today. 23 24 I know the hour is late, so I will keep my

1 comments brief.

2 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 3 MR. NICHOLS: My name is Tim Nichols. I am the executive director of the 4 5 Association on Aging in New York. Our association represents the 59 mostly 6 7 county-based area agencies on aging statewide. 8 In addition to our written testimony 9 10 that we are submitting to you now, yesterday 11 our association joined with Lifespan and 12 LiveOn NY in an advocacy day in which we met with many members in both the Senate and 13 14 Assembly, including Senator Serino, the chair 15 of the Aging Committee in the Senate, and 16 Assemblywoman Lupardo, the chair of the Aging Committee in the Assembly. And we will 17 18 continue our advocacy efforts moving forward. 19 But what I'd like to do right now is 20 just bring to your attention to some of the ' 21 key areas of the budget that we are most 22 concerned with. And the first part is the recommendation to remove the 25 percent match 23 24 exemption in the community services for the

aging part of the budget. We are extremely
 opposed to that, very worried that that
 exemption language was not included. And we
 urge the Senate and Assembly to consider
 restoring that language in your budget
 resolutions.

7 We also are worried and concerned 8 about the transportation funding, the \$1.1 million that was recommended by the 9 10 Executive to be included in the CSE part of the budget. We would prefer to see that as a 11 12 stand-alone line item. We think when we see line items in, in this case, the Office for 13 14 the Aging budget, it's important, it's an 15 important statement that there's state 16 support for these types of programs. The second part of the budget that 17 18 we're very worried about is the NY Connects,

19 shifting the \$3.5 million to the global 20 Medicaid cap and the BIP pot of revenue. 21 What we feel if we -- by doing that, that 22 really jeopardizes that very important 23 program that serves about 230,000 New Yorkers 24 every year. It has been operational for

almost 10 years now. And having that funding separated from the budget and put into the Department of Health's global cap really puts that program at grave risk. And, you know, that is something that we're clearly opposed to. We ask that you not accept that in your budget resolutions.

With respect to the \$700,000 proposed 8 cut for the elderly abuse portion of the 9 10 budget, we too would request that that be restored. This is a program that has been 11 12 shown to be effective as well. Elder abuse is a serious concern to us. It affects an 13 14 estimated 260,000 older New Yorkers annually. 15 It's estimated that this type of crime costs 16 New Yorkers statewide \$1.5 billion annually.

17We like seeing the ideas that were18suggested by Governor in the budget to expand19programs to identify and prevent financial20exploitation. But again, it doesn't really21make sense when at the same time there's a22proposal to cut \$700,000 in funding.

And the last item that we want to makesure that we emphasize that we oppose is the

1 shifting of the Title XX funding. In 2 New York City alone, that would result in the closure of 65 senior centers, a \$17 million 3 cut in support for senior centers in New York 4 5 City. We think that's a shortsighted 6 proposal, and we urge you to reject that as 7 well. I kind of went through this quickly. 8 If you have any questions, my contact 9 10 information is on the testimony that was 11 provided for you. 12 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 13 MR. NICHOLS: Thank you. 14 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Alli Lidie, 16 associate executive director, New York State Network for Youth Success. 17 MS. LIDIE: Thank you for the 18 19 opportunity to present testimony to you 20 today. I am Alli Lidie. I'm the associate 21 executive director at the New York State Network for Youth Success, formerly known as 22 the New York State After-School Network. 23 24 I am joined by today by Emily

1 Hofelich-Bowler, the executive director at 2 the Addison Youth Center, and by Quinn 3 Bowler, a student at Corning High School. First I would like to thank you for 4 5 your recent investments in the Advantage After School program. That resulted in 6 7 \$5 million in increases over the last two 8 years, and these increases have helped to serve an additional 3600 students across the 9 10 state. 11 We would also like to bring attention 12 to the fact that the Governor's proposed budget includes a \$5 million reduction to 13 14 Advantage over last year's final budget. 15 Unfortunately, if the final budget maintains 16 this cut, it will leave the Office of Children and Family Services without enough 17 uncommitted funds to release a new 18 competition for funding this year. And 56 of 19 20 the programs that are served under Advantage, 21 with an estimated 5,000 students, are set to expire this coming November. And so those 22 programs would end without something else to 23 24 apply for.

1 One note of clarification. We've 2 heard today about the Governor's proposal for 3 the Empire State After-School Program. Which 4 is \$35 million in the education budget. And 5 this would expand access to approximately 22,000 students across the state in 6 7 high-needs areas in the 16 Empire State 8 Poverty Reduction Initiative areas. We're fully supportive of investing in 9 10 and growing this proposal, but as proposed, it's not a replacement for the Advantage 11 12 After School programs that will be cut if 13 funding is not restored. There are over 14 3,000 students served in programs outside of 15 those 16 ESPRI cities that are ending this 16 year. And so those programs and those students would not have anything else to 17 18 apply for, even if this new proposal for 19 after-school programming goes through. 20 So we are requesting that the 21 Legislature appropriate \$32.8 million to the

Legislature appropriate \$32.8 million to the Advantage After School Program. This would restore the \$5 million that was cut and then add an additional \$10.5 million to expand the

1 number of students served back to the pre-recession level of 20,000 students, and 2 3 also provide enough funding for the Office of Children and Family Services to raise the 4 5 per-student allotment rate for students from \$1,375 to \$1,600. And that would align this 6 7 funding stream with the other after-school 8 funding streams currently in the state as 9 well as the proposed funding stream for 10 Empire State After-School, and it would allow 11 programs to meet the demands of the rising 12 minimum wage without cutting back on program 13 hours or supplies or curriculum. 14 And to talk more about these programs, 15 I'd like to introduce Emily. 16 MS. HOFELICH-BOWLER: Hello, my name is Emily Hofelich. Thank you for having me. 17 I run after-school programs for Advantage in 18 19 Addison, New York. It's a small rural 20 community in Steuben County. We currently 21 serve about 140 students a day. That's about 22 21 percent of our targeted population. And we do have waiting lists, so we would take 23 24 more kids if we had more funding.

1 Addison has a population of 2 approximately 2500 people. Sixty-two percent 3 of students are considered economically disadvantaged, and a lot of our parents work, 4 5 multiparent families with -- multiparent working inside the home {sic}. And 6 7 after-school programs provide a safe place for their children after school. 8 One example I can give is we have a 9 10 mother of four. All of her children are 11 school-age. One is in high school; he works 12 for me at Advantage. And then three of her 13 children are also part of our Advantage 14 program. Her husband is an on-the-road 15 trucker. She's trying to go to school and 16 work at the same time to help support her family. And without Advantage, she would not 17 be able to do that. 18 We also have a lot of high school 19

20 students. We have a bit of a drop-out rate.
21 One example I can give you is a student that
22 we had at our program, and she did not
23 graduate. We were really trying to get her
24 to go back for her fifth year and graduate

1 and pass those Regents exams. We as an 2 organization, I personally met with the 3 principal, her mother, and the student and 4 made an agreement that if she went to school, 5 she could work for me at Advantage. I am happy to say she has graduated and she is 6 7 still working for the program, and hopefully she will be continuing her education. 8

So that's just a couple of examples. 9 10 Another issue is the impact of the minimum wage increases. We every year are cutting 11 12 our program shorter. There are weeks we're 13 having to close because we can't afford it. 14 Right now we have a teen center, which it's 15 hard enough to attract 13-and-overs to come 16 to an after-school program, but we have about a \$90 supply budget for a month. And with 17 18 the increases in the minimum wage, we're 19 going to struggle with that budget again 20 trying to find these supplies to keep these 21 kids engaged and having a good time.

22 So I know that \$35 million has gone 23 into after-school; that will not affect our 24 program. And I just would encourage you to

1 not overlook our small rural communities. We 2 don't have a lot of access to resources, and 3 these resources are very important. So now I'll introduce my son. This is 4 5 Ouinn Bowler. MR. BOWLER: My name is Quinn Bowler, 6 7 and I'm a freshman at Corning High School. I'm here today to share why after-school 8 9 programs matter and why these programs should 10 be available to more students across the 11 state, because I benefited directly from 12 participating in one myself. I participated in after-school 13 14 programs every year up until this year, and 15 even attended an Advantage program throughout 16 middle school. At my program I received a healthy snack when I arrived, had an 17 18 opportunity to complete my homework or 19 participate in an activity, and then, 20 depending on the weather, of course, would 21 have a chance to go outside and play. 22 While I'm definitely a fan of gym and sports, especially hockey, I found that I 23 24 benefited most from homework help I received

1after school. I struggled with math quite a2bit and sometime found it hard to follow what3my teacher was explaining during class. My4after-school program provided time to5complete any homework assigned during school6and also offered tutoring help for anyone7that needed it.

Because of this, I was able to work 8 with a staff member one-on-one and receive a 9 10 different perspective on math than my teacher 11 provided, which helped me better understand 12 what I was doing. The homework and tutoring 13 help I received during this program was a big 14 reason why I received an A-plus in math this 15 past school year.

16 My after-school program provided a relaxed setting where students and staff had 17 18 a chance to talk about schools, sports, hobbies and life. One program staff member 19 20 that I really connected with was Brian. 21 Brian was someone who was very easy for me to 22 talk to. This connection is something that 23 students in programs everywhere benefited 24 from. Program staff can act as mentors or

can simply provide someone to talk to to help
 students like myself understand how to deal
 with school or life.

4 My story is not unique. And I know 5 I'm one of the lucky kids that got to participate in these programs, when so many 6 7 other kids still do not have access to one. We need more funding for these programs so 8 that more students have the opportunity to go 9 10 somewhere safe and productive after school 11 and have the chance to build relationships 12 with staff members who can help them deal with life as a middle school or high school 13 14 student. 15 Thank you guys, and I hope my global teacher will give me extra credit. 16 (Laughter.) 17 MS. LIDIE: Thank you. We're happy to 18 19 answer any questions. 20 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 21 ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Actually, I just 22 have one quick one. I had one of the local after-school 23 24 programs come and say that some of the

1 regulatory issues of -- teachers, for 2 instance, you know, might not be able to 3 interact with students if they're not approved to be in the after-school program, 4 5 even though it might be held at the school, it might be in a different part. 6 7 I'm not sure if that's specifically the Advantage ones or maybe some of the other 8 9 after-school programs. But I'm just 10 wondering quickly if there are some 11 regulatory issues or concerns that you have 12 that we might be able to respond to. 13 MS. LIDIE: So the regulations apply 14 to almost all of the after-school programs. 15 Any one that's run by a community-based 16 organization like Emily's would have a school-aged childcare registration through 17 18 the Office of Children and Family Services. 19 We actually were able to work with the 20 Assembly and with the Office of Children and 21 Family Services and State Education Department over the last year, through a 22 series of roundtables, to deal with some of 23 24 the issues for the physical plant

requirements for programs operating in school
 buildings.

And so that really opened a dialogue with providers they hosted across the state, and that was largely due to a lot of the work from the Legislature in getting that to the attention of, and to the work from OCFS and SED.

And so we're hoping to further those 9 10 conversations around some of the other issues, what you raise around the staff 11 12 member background checks and some duplicative 13 background checks and fingerprinting are 14 still issues on the table that are being 15 discussed. 16 ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Okay. Thank you for your work on that. And hopefully we can 17 be helpful as well. 18

19 MS. LIDIE: Thank you.

20 MS. HOFELICH-BOWLER: Thank you.

21 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you very much.

22 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.

23 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you for

24 coming. I hope you get extra credit.

(Laughter.)

-	(,
2	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Allison Sesso,
3	executive director, Human Services Council,
4	for Restore Opportunity Now. Oh, yes, and
5	you have with you Mallory Nugent, senior
6	policy analyst, and Ron Deutsch
7	MS. NUGENT: I've been deserted, so
8	it's just me. I'm Mallory Nugent, from FPWA.
9	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Which one are you,
10	are you Allison?
11	MS. NUGENT: Mallory Nugent, senior
12	policy analyst at FPWA.
13	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Oh, Mallory, okay.
14	MS. NUGENT: Thank you so much for the
15	opportunity to testify tonight. I know it's
16	towards the end of the evening, so I'll try
17	and keep it short. I provided you with
18	lengthy testimony that I will not read from
19	directly.
20	As I mentioned, I'm a senior policy
21	analyst at FPWA. FPWA is an antipoverty
22	policy and advocacy membership organization
23	based in New York City. FPWA, along with
24	Human Services Council and the Fiscal Policy

1 Institute, are cochairs of the Restore 2 Opportunity Now campaign. Restore 3 Opportunity Now is a statewide campaign to ensure the strength and stability of the 4 5 human services sector, supported by more than 350 nonprofits from across the state. 6 7 In talking with providers across the 8 state, we've seen the tremendous impact a lack of resources in the sector has had on 9 10 the ability to provide crucial services --11 everything from childcare to senior services, 12 and all the other programs that we've heard 13 about today. Underfunding in this sector has 14 always been an issue, but we've reached a 15 critical point. From 2007 to 2011, funding for human 16 services grew at a modest 3 percent average 17 per year. Since 2011, it has steadily 18 19 declined at an average of 1.3 percent per 20 year adjusted for inflation. This means that the sector had \$500 million less last year 21 22 than it would have if the growth pattern had continued. We see the impacts of this 23 24 divestment play out in the workforce, in

1 contracts, and in programs.

2	As a first step to shore up the
3	workforce, we ask that the state provide
4	funding in both direct contracts and Medicaid
5	reimbursements to cover the minimum wage
6	increase. This unfunded mandate is a major
7	burden to organizations already stretched
8	thin. One member of our coalition stated
9	that even if they got rid of their entire
10	executive team, it would only cover a quarter
11	of the cost of the minimum wage to their
12	organization.
13	We estimate that for direct contracts,
14	the cost for this fiscal year would be
15	approximately \$12 million. This does not
16	include Medicaid reimbursements, which we do
17	recommend be covered, and some of that
18	funding has already been allocated. But
19	there has been no funding allocated for
20	direct contracts. Ah, my sidekick is joining
21	me.
22	In terms of workforce, we see chronic
23	underpayment beyond the minimum wage,
24	compounded by a steady decrease in quality

1 and affordability of benefits. Many of these 2 positions should not be minimum wage work. 3 Approximately two-thirds of this workforce has some level of college education. This 4 5 has let to a crisis in recruitment and retention. Experienced staff leave to 6 7 increase their earning potential to 8 industries like government, hospitals, and universities, and vacancies go unfilled. 9 10 Turnover is particularly problematic 11 for populations served by these 12 organizations, who often experience trauma or 13 have specific needs. 14 The Executive Budget does little to 15 alleviate the workforce struggles facing 16 nonprofits. In addition to absence of 17 funding for the minimum wage, the 18 Executive Budget eliminates the planned 19 0.8 percent COLA, the human services 20 cost-of-living adjustment, and discontinues the COLA enacted in 2015 for certain direct 21 22 care workers. Changes to the DOL overtime exemptions are also unanswered in terms of 23 24 funding.

1 And I'll turn it over to Michelle to 2 talk about how this plays out in contracts. MS. JACKSON: Great. 3 And thank you so much. Thank you 4 5 especially for making the long haul, for staying this late, and for letting me scoot 6 7 in back at the last second. I'm Michelle Jackson. I'm the 8 associate director of the Human Services 9 10 Council. We're a human services organization of about 170 New York City-based human 11 12 service providers, and we're also part of the 13 Restore Opportunity Now coalition. 14 I'm going to talk about really the nuts and bolts of the contracts that 15 16 nonprofits have with the state, and some of the underfunding. So the workforce tends to 17 be the sexier issue. When we talk about 18 19 infrastructure and indirect rates, it gets a 20 little bit more dry. But I will be brief and 21 make sure that I keep your attention. 22 As other agencies -- and you've seen the state agencies when they testified today. 23 24 The state relies heavily on nonprofits to

1 carry out vital services, and yet drastically 2 underfunds these contracts, so that 3 nonprofits are left to fill the gaps with private dollars. And what we've found is 4 5 that the gaps have become too great. Eighteen percent of New York 6 7 City-based human service providers are insolvent, based on their 990 data. Which 8 means that their deficits outsize their 9 10 revenues, meaning that they're not making ends meet. And one of the reasons that 11 12 that's happening is because these contracts 13 are underfunded -- not just for the program, 14 but they don't provide an appropriate 15 overhead rate and they don't allow for 16 funding like necessary repairs. So one of the things that we're really 17 18 asking for is for the state to really come 19 together with nonprofits and start funding 20 the indirect rate at an appropriate level. 21 There's federal OMB guidance -- I will 22 not bore you with the 300-page details of that -- but I will just say that there's a 23 24 federal directive that all contracts that

1 have federal dollars in them are supposed to 2 pay 10 percent indirect rate. The State of 3 New York, along with all other 49 states, are all out of compliance with this as of a year 4 5 ago. If nonprofits were paid at least a 10 percent rate, they'd be able to make some 6 7 of the necessary repairs and also make investments. There's more and more evidence 8 9 that nonprofits who have appropriate overhead 10 rates are actually better organizations. 11 They are able to invest in security and 12 metrics and CFOs and accountants who can 13 catch fraud and make things more safe and 14 make sure their programs are working.

15 So we're asking for the state to 16 adopt, similar to Governor Cuomo's Executive Order 38, a 15 percent indirect rate so that 17 18 our providers can kind of get back where they 19 need to go and start funding these contracts 20 at an appropriate level and funding the real 21 organizations that are making a difference in 22 communities.

23The other thing that we're asking24for -- and if these contracts were fully

1 funded in the first place, we wouldn't need 2 it -- is a nonprofit infrastructure fund. It 3 was funded two years at \$50 million, again last year at another \$50 million, and the 4 5 awards were bundled into one set of \$100 million awards. 6 7 This is a really great capital 8 investment program for nonprofits. A lot of them have leaky roofs, they need 9 10 generators -- especially in New York City, after Sandy, a lot of groups realized they 11 12 either needed generators or had to replace 13 them. We're talking about senior centers 14 that are heating and cooling centers, that 15 they don't -- they need to upgrade their 16 HVAC. We have a homeless service provider who provides services to people with 17 18 disabilities; it only has one of their two 19 elevators that work. 20 These are really important investments. And this investment of 21 22 \$100 million -- over 600 organizations put in applications for over \$300 million worth of 23 24 projects, and there was only \$100 million to

1 go around. So obviously there's a greater 2 need out there, so we'd like to see that 3 capital infrastructure fund put back at 4 \$100 million.

5 In closing, especially in the current federal environment, there's been a lot of 6 7 talk about New York as a sanctuary. There's been a lot of talk about social justice and 8 our need to help different communities. 9 10 Nonprofits are in the front lines of doing 11 that work. When you talk about helping 12 immigrants, when you talk about helping low-income communities, when we want to stand 13 14 together and we have a social justice agenda, 15 it's nonprofits who are on the front lines. 16 And we need to take care of them.

And by funding our workforce so that we can do the work that we need to do and pay it at an appropriate level. And when we fund these contracts fully, we're able to do our work and we're able to step up and meet the agenda that we need to.

23 Thank you.

24 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. Questions, comments? SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you for staying so long. CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. MS. NUGENT: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. And we are finished. And we will be back here Monday, not tomorrow. Tomorrow is canceled. (Whereupon, at 5:57 p.m., the Senate adjourned.)