1	BEFORE THE NEW YORK STATE SENATE FINANCE AND ASSEMBLY WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEE
2	
3	JOINT LEGISLATIVE HEARING
4	In the Matter of the 2017-2018 EXECUTIVE BUDGET ON
5	HUMAN SERVICES
6	
7	Hearing Room B Legislative Office Building
8	Albany, New York
9	February 8, 2017 9:39 a.m.
10	
11	PRESIDING:
12	Senator Catharine M. Young Chair, Senate Finance Committee
13	Assemblyman Herman D. Farrell, Jr.
14	Chair, Assembly Ways & Means Committee
15	PRESENT:
16	Senator Liz Krueger Senate Finance Committee (RM)
17	Assemblyman Robert Oaks
18	Assembly Ways & Means Committee (RM)
19	Assemblywoman Ellen Jaffee Chair, Assembly Children and Families
20	Committee
21	Senator Diane Savino Chair, Senate Children and Families
22	Committee
23	Senator James Tedisco Chair, Senate Committee on Social Services
24	,

1		Executive Budget
2	Human Ser 2-8-17	vices
3	PRESENT:	(Continued)
4		Assemblywoman Donna A. Lupardo Chair, Assembly Committee on Aging
5 6		Senator Susan Serino Chair, Senate Committee on Aging
7		Assemblyman Michael G. DenDekker
8		Chair, Assembly Committee on Veterans
9		Assemblyman Mark Johns
10		Assemblywoman Latoya Joyner
11		Assemblywoman Nicole Malliotakis
12		Assemblyman Erik M. Dilan
13		Assemblywoman Michele R. Titus
14		Senator Leroy Comrie
15		Assemblyman Félix W. Ortiz
16		Senator Velmanette Montgomery
17		Assemblywoman Shelley Mayer
18		Assemblywoman Patricia Fahy
19		Senator Timothy Kennedy
		Assemblyman Harry B. Bronson
20		Senator Roxanne J. Persaud
21		Assemblyman David I. Weprin
22		Assemblywoman Didi Barrett
23		Assemblyman William Colton
24		

1	2017-2018 Executive Budget Human Services		
2	2-8-17		
3	PRESENT: (Continued)		
4	Assemblywoman Pamela J.	Hunter	
5	Assemblywoman Tremaine W	<i>T</i> right	
6	Assemblywoman Vivian E.	Cook	
7	Assemblyman N. Nick Perr	сÀ	
8			
9			
10			
11	LIST OF SPEAKERS	5	
12		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
13 14	Sheila J. Poole Acting Commissioner NYS Office of Children and Family Services	10	17
<ul><li>15</li><li>16</li><li>17</li></ul>	Michael Perrin Executive Deputy Commissioner NYS Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance	91	99
18	Roberta Reardon	91	99
19	Commissioner NYS Department of Labor	185	194
20	Greg Olsen		
21	Acting Director NYS Office for the Aging	287	295
22			
23			
24			

1	2017-2018 Executive Budget Human Services		
2	2-8-17		
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS,	Continued	
4		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
5	Kirby Hannon Legislative Coordinator		
6	John Lewis		
7	Legislative Committee Chair Veterans of Foreign Wars -and-		
8	Linda McKinnis		
9	Legislative Coordinator Disabled American Veterans -and-		
10	Bob Becker		
11	Legislative Coordinator NYS Veterans Council	356	369
12	David McNally Director, Government		
13	Affairs & Advocay		
14	AARP New York	383	
1-1	Shelly Nortz		
15	Deputy Executive Director of Policy		
16	Coalition for the Homeless	389	
17	Sheila Harrigan Executive Director		
18	New York Public Welfare Association	400	
19		100	
20	Dede Hill Director of Policy Schuyler Center for		
21	Analysis & Advocacy	403	
22	Susan Antos Senior Attorney		
23	Empire Justice Center	411	
0.4			

1	2017-2018 Executive Budget Human Services		
2	2-8-17		
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS,	Continued	
4		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
5	Gerard Wallace Director		
6	Ryan Johnson		
7	Regional Kinship Navigator NYS Kinship Navigator -for-		
8	NYS Kincare Coalition	420	431
9	Karen Hill		
10	Executive Director NYS Children's Alliance	439	446
11	Jim Purcell CEO		
12	Council of Family &		
13	Child Caring Agencies	450	462
	Stephanie Gendell		
14	Associate Executive Director, Policy and Advocacy		
15	Citizens' Committee for Children of New York, Inc.	464	
16	Tagaiga Mlaa Chamina		
17	Jessica Klos Shapiro Director, Policy and Community Education		
18	Early Care & Learning Council	472	
19	Jenn O'Connor Director, Policy and Advocay		
20	Prevent Child Abuse New York	478	482
21	Tim Nichols Executive Director		
22	Association on Aging in NY	484	
23	Alli Lidie Associate Executive Director		
24	NYS Network for Youth Success	488	496

1	2017-2018 Executive Budget Human Services		
2	2-8-17		
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS,	Continued	
4		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
5	Mallory Nugent Senior Policy Analyst		
6	FPWA -and-		
7	Michelle Jackson Deputy Director and		
8	General Counsel Human Services Counsel		
9	-for-	400	
10	Restore Opportunity Now	499	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Good morning.
2	(Louder) Good morning.
3	AUDIENCE: Good morning.
4	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: All right now.
5	We're practicing. In case anyone comes, we
6	can join them.
7	(Laughter.)
8	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Today we begin the
9	eighth in a series of hearings conducted by
10	the joint fiscal committees of the
11	Legislature regarding the Governor's proposed
12	budget for fiscal year 2017-2018. The
13	hearings are conducted pursuant to Article 7,
14	Section 3 of the Constitution, and Article 2,
15	Sections 31 and 32A of the Legislative Law.
16	Today the Assembly Ways and Means
17	Committee and the Senate Finance Committee
18	will hear testimony concerning the budget
19	proposal for human services.
20	I will now introduce members from the
21	Assembly, and Senator Young, chair of the
22	Senate Finance Committee, will introduce
23	members from the Senate.
24	We have been joined by Assemblywomen

1	Ellen Jaffee, Donna Lupardo, Latoya Joyner,
2	and with Assemblymen Michael DenDekker and
3	Erik Dilan. And, again, Assemblywoman
4	Shelley Mayer.
5	Senator.
6	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you very
7	much, Mr. Chairman.
8	Good morning, everyone, and welcome.
9	We welcome the commissioner.
10	I'm joined by several of my
11	colleagues. We have Senator Liz Krueger,
12	Senator Diane Savino, Senator Tim Kennedy,
13	Senator Jim Tedisco, and Senator Roxanne
14	Persaud.
15	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Before I introduce
16	the first witness, I would like to remind all
17	the witnesses and the members up here on the
18	dais testifying today to keep our statements
19	within the allotted time the clocks are
20	everywhere, so you can see your time, when
21	you start and when you end within the
22	allotted time limit, so that everyone can be
23	afforded the opportunity to speak.
24	I will now call the first witness, the

1	New York State Office of Children and Family
2	Services, Sheila Poole, acting commissioner.
3	Good morning again.
4	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Good
5	morning. Good morning, Chairwoman Young,
6	Chairman Farrell, Senate Children and
7	Families Committee Chairwoman Savino,
8	Assemblywoman Children and Families
9	Chairwoman Jaffee, and distinguished members
10	of the Senate and Assembly. My name is
11	Sheila Poole, and I'm the acting commissioner
12	of the New York State Office of Children and
13	Family Services. And I'm pleased to have
14	this opportunity to discuss this year's
15	proposed budget for OCFS.
16	The Executive Budget maintains a
17	strong investment in OCFS's core programs
18	that serve to protect New York's most
19	vulnerable citizens in the critically
20	important areas of child welfare, childcare,
21	and juvenile justice. It maintains
22	\$635 million for child welfare services,
23	which provides enriched state share
24	reimbursement of 62 cents for every local

1	dollar spent on child protective, preventive,
2	aftercare, independent living and adoption
3	services. This funding helps to support
4	community-based services throughout the state
5	and ranks among the highest in the nation.

\$383.5 million in Foster Care Block Grant funding to support foster care services, including kinship-guardianship programs. A \$62 million adjustment is included in the proposed budget, and reflects a proportionate rightsizing in state share funds following the dramatic reduction in New York State's foster care population since this fund was first established in 2002. This change aligns the state's share closer to 50 percent, which is consistent with the original intent of the fund.

The Governor has shown a steadfast commitment to persons experiencing or on the brink of homelessness in New York State. And to that end, the budget provides greater flexibility to municipalities receiving Runaway and Homeless Youth funds. The

1	proposal raises the upper age limit for
2	programs serving at-risk youth in
3	transitional independent living programs from
4	age 21 to age 24, and it allows programs to
5	extend the length of residential services
6	from 18 to 24 months. This is an option for
7	municipalities and is in recognition that
8	finding long-term stable housing for homeless
9	youth and young adults may take longer than
10	is currently provided for in statute.

The proposal also allows counties the option of increasing the length of stay for runaway youth in crisis shelters from 30 days to 120 days.

The Executive Budget includes
authority for OCFS to invest adoption
assistance savings of \$7 million, which is an
increase of \$2 million, for preventive
services and other post-adoption services as
required by federal law for children at risk
of entering foster care. OCFS used savings
last year to invest in the establishment of
eight new Permanency Resource Centers
throughout the state to support post-adoptive

1	and kinship families. In addition, we used
2	some of the funds to expand Healthy Families
3	NY, which as you all know is our
4	evidence-based home visiting program. This
5	year's additional \$2 million will allow the
6	state to establish Permanency Resource
7	Centers in new areas and enable further
8	expansion of our Healthy Families program.

Protecting children and keeping kids safe are our core mission at OCFS. I am sure you are all aware of recent high-profile child fatalities and child abuse cases that have occurred statewide. These cases are horrific and always lead us back to the question, What can we do to correct problems within the system, and how can we further protect the children in our state?

OCFS's role is to review all of these cases, assess the adequacy of any child welfare services involvement with the family, and determine where there are failures, locally and systemically. We employ a wide range of responses and take aggressive action to address any failures, including the

1	appointment of an independent monitor, which
2	we have done in several circumstances and,
3	most recently, in New York City.

We are committed to continuing our statewide focus on improving front-line supervision of child welfare staff. We will soon unveil a new state-of-the-art project to improve training for child welfare caseworkers that includes live simulations of the complex environments and critical decision-making processes that they are called to navigate each and every day.

We also look to adopt best practices to bring forward new ideas for improving our system of child protection in New York State.

We're examining the benefits of new technologies, such as data mining, and policies that show promise. And we plan to launch a child welfare dashboard, which will display key safety and permanency data points, which will assist local districts in their investigations and the state in its oversight role.

The Governor's budget includes several

1	related proposals to help low-income working
2	families. The Executive Budget maintains our
3	critical investment in the Child Care Subsidy
4	program at \$806 million. New York State is a
5	national leader in directing the majority of
6	its entire Child Care Development Fund
7	allocation to support childcare subsidies
8	that are crucial to our providers and our
9	families.

The Governor has proposed a \$35 million expansion of after-school programs, which is projected to add an additional 22,000 slots statewide. OCFS looks forward to administering this program.

In addition, the Governor continues his commitment to pre-K expansion, with an additional \$5 million investment in this year's budget.

This year's budget proposal also reflects the Governor's continuing commitment to raising the age of criminal responsibility in New York State. It is simply unacceptable that we have yet to raise the age. OCFS has spent the past three years significantly

1	modifying our juvenile residential programs
2	by enhancing security, expanding educational
3	and vocational certification programs, and
4	developing a nationally recognized quality
5	assurance system.

Youth and staff safety is the first priority, and I'm pleased to say we're seeing an overall decline in youth-on-youth and youth-on-staff violence. We are ever-vigilant in our efforts to further reduce these incidents, and we exceed the national average in staffing our secure facilities.

Our youth are required to participate in a full day of school, college courses or vocational programming that will increase their chances of successful reentry into their communities. We currently provide post-release supervision for juvenile delinquents, and we've created juvenile offender specialists who are working to assist parole officers in supervising youth returned to their communities.

It is time that we treat all 16- and

1	17-year-olds in a system that is specifically
2	and specially designed to address their
3	unique needs. It is time for New York to
4	finally join the rest of the nation in
5	recognizing the potential of our youth, and
6	OCFS will be ready to provide that system of
7	care.
8	Thank you for the opportunity to
9	address all of you today, and I look forward
10	to your comments and questions.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Mr. Chairman, I
12	just would like to introduce Assemblyman Mark
13	Johns, who's joined us.
14	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Yes. We've been
15	joined by Assemblywoman Fahy.
16	And first to ask questions, Chairwoman
17	Jaffee.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you.
19	Thank you very much.
20	And thank you, Commissioner, for your
21	conversation the other day we had the
22	opportunity to discuss the issues in children
23	and families and for your testimony today.
24	We have so many issues that really are

1	impacting our communities at this time.
2	Poverty levels in so many areas are really
3	shocking and impacting families and our
4	children in so many ways. And we really need
5	to consider a very strong response to the
6	issues in New York State.
7	I wanted to just go back for a moment.
8	We had been discussing the situation
9	regarding the federal childcare
10	reauthorization issue, and wanted to know if
11	you could I know there was a conversation
12	recently. But if you could address what is
13	happening and what the state will have to do
14	if there is no additional financial
15	assistance provided, or waivers provided to
16	the state.
17	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes,
18	certainly. Thank you, Assemblywoman Jaffee.
19	So just to kind of reset from where we
20	were this time last year, having this
21	conversation, toward the end of 2016 the
22	federal government finally, in the last days
23	of the administration, promulgated final

regulations for the Childcare Development

1	Block Grant Act that had passed almost two
2	years previously. And those regulations
3	really codified expectations of states,
4	particularly with respect to 12-month
5	guaranteed eligibility and graduated phaseout
6	for families having received subsidy and
7	childcare assistance.
8	Unfortunately, when our federal fiscal
9	year began in October of last year, we

year began in October of last year, we received virtually no additional funds to help us really maintain our state's investment of almost a billion dollars in childcare subsidy -- but I think even more importantly, in some respects, paralyzing us from really being able to implement the \$550 million impact that it would take for us to implement that act in all of its components in New York State.

And so as I sat here and spoke with you last year, our plan was to take advantage of the waivers, the waiver provisions that were contained in the act. In 2016 we applied for 11 waivers, hoping that we would be successful with the administration in

1	gathering more money to implement it without
2	having to dip into the \$806 million that, you
3	know, today 192,000 children count on every
4	year.

So we were successful in receiving approval for 10 of those 11 waivers. The 11th waiver was related to health and safety, which they did not grant to any state. And we're planning to implement the required training for that this year.

And so, Assemblywoman, at this particular point our intention is, once the incoming HHS secretary is appointed and confirmed by the full Senate, is to really make a case that if not monetary relief to implement the act, then certainly regulatory relief is essential to our state in being able to maintain our current level of subsidies that all of our low-income working families are counting on, and to have them understand that simply crippling states in being able to implement the act is not helping us.

24 So that's our intention, is to seek

1	regulatory relief. I also want to be clear
2	as we sit here today, and throughout the
3	remaining year, we will not face any fiscal
4	penalties, so none of our current federal
5	funding, CCDF funding, will be at any risk.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: It is certainly
7	a challenge, especially given the need for
8	childcare in our state.
9	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE:
10	Absolutely.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: I know the
12	Governor, provided within the context of the
13	budget, a pretty much flat amount, similar to
14	what we had last year, in funding for
15	subsidies for childcare. And that's a major
16	concern, given that, from the numbers that
17	I've seen, that indicates only about
18	17 percent of the children who actually
19	qualify for subsidies in our state are being
20	provided the childcare opportunity.
21	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Which is, you
23	know, devastating for so many of the families
24	in New York State, and the children and their

preparedness for the future, and stability in allowing families, and mothers in particular, to work.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So I would say that from OCFS's point of view, the federal government is really now expecting states to essentially self-subsidize their subsidy program. That's the first thing.

The second thing I would also like to say is that I think there are a number of proposed initiatives in the Executive Budget that I think hold the promise of relieving some of the pressure, if not all, but some of the pressure on the current subsidy programs. The Governor is proposing an expansion of pre-K, a \$5 million expansion. And then in addition to still having the Earned Income Tax Credit, there is a proposal, which we're really excited about, to expand after-school program slots, 22,000 of them. And again, those are families now who might be relying on a subsidy for part of after-school care. And if we open up more of the school-age childcare program slots, then we could

Т	potentially relieve some of the pressure at
2	least that we're bearing on the subsidy
3	program.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Another concern
5	for many of our municipalities is the
6	decision to mandate discretionary Title XX
7	funds to be used by the counties, to be used
8	towards childcare. But that then creates a
9	continued burden for our municipalities. So
10	how will the Office of Children and Family
11	Services then assist the districts to be able
12	to eliminate let's say, how are they going
13	to respond if there are human services
14	programs like senior centers and other
15	services that they need to provide assistance
16	to as well?
17	So this becomes a major issue,
18	especially since our municipalities, with the
19	2 percent cap, are finding it's very
20	difficult and challenging to be able to
21	continue to respond to the needs of the
22	community.
23	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes. So
24	when we looked at the Title XX and it's

1	the "all other," it's not the general
2	Title XX which is set aside for domestic
3	violence and adult protective services. But
4	that really remaining \$26 million of the
5	Title XX, all other allocation. You know,
6	today 65 percent of local departments of
7	social services already devote part or whole
8	of that allocation of childcare. So again,
9	that's not every place. Certainly New York
10	City has used a portion of that funding to
11	fund the senior centers.

And, Assemblywoman Jaffee, I would just kind of explain that I think the concept here in developing this year's Executive Budget was not just looking at one funding stream and its impact, but looking across the spectrum of funding investments that are going to municipalities.

And so, you know, with respect to the senior center issue, which is most notable in New York City, you know, I think when you look on the Executive Budget as a whole, there's an additional \$400 million that's going to the city, who is also not held to

1	the same tax cap as upstate. So the thinking
2	is that the city will have some flexibility
3	within that additional state funding to, if
4	they choose, to decide to support those
5	programs.
6	There are other upstate
7	Assemblywoman, you know, there are other
8	funding streams that could perhaps be tapped
9	into. If they're using for example, using
10	it for some child welfare services part of
11	the budget. You know, part of the budget
12	today calls for the reauthorization of the
13	open-ended Child Protective 6238
14	reimbursement, which you've all supported.
15	And again, you know, that's one funding
16	stream that has some flexibility. That
17	certainly we're more than willing to work
18	with the districts in identifying potential
19	other funding streams.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: That would be
21	very helpful moving forward, because I know
22	that this will be very challenging for them.
23	There also was a decision within the

context of the budget to eliminate

1	reimbursement for New York City, the CSE
2	placements. Why is that change only focusing
3	on New York City and not the whole state?
4	Actually, I wouldn't want it to be for
5	anyone, but why is it just focused on
6	New York City?
7	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So there's
8	two parts to that. So one, you know, in my
9	testimony that, you know, we're recalibrating
10	the Foster Care Block Grant because we've had
11	almost a 60 percent decrease in the past 15
12	years in the number of children in foster
13	care, but we've never rightsized the Foster
14	Care Block Grant allocation. And so part of
15	that does pay for the tuition costs for
16	children.
17	The other piece of it are the CSE
18	placements in New York City that, again, in
19	the context of the overall budget, the
20	state's current proposal will bring an
21	additional \$300 million in school aid to
22	New York City. And so I think the
23	expectation is that given that dramatic

increase in state education funding, that the

1	city could pay for tuition and education
2	costs for its youth determined to need
3	placement by the local Committees on Special
4	Education as well as children who are in
5	foster care in the city.
6	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: My time is up.
8	Thank you. We'll continue after a while.
9	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: We've been joined
10	by Assemblywoman Pam Hunter and Assemblyman
11	Dave Weprin.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: We've also been
13	joined by Assemblywoman Malliotakis.
14	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Senator?
15	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you very
16	much.
17	And again, thank you, Commissioner,
18	for being here.
19	I do want to follow up on
20	Assemblywoman Jaffee's questions regarding
21	childcare. You talked about the childcare
22	subsidy financing change, and as you pointed
23	out, the Executive Budget maintains the
24	current \$810 million level of childcare

1	subsidy funding by requiring the counties to
2	allocate the \$27 million they currently
3	receive in discretionary federal Title XX
4	block grant funds to childcare.

So you're changing it so that they are mandated to allocate that towards childcare.

And as you pointed out in your answer previously, that a lot of the localities are already using those funds for childcare.

So if the funding is the same but all of a sudden you're mandating that they allocate that \$27 million -- you require them -- toward childcare, isn't that going to result in a reduction of funding to some of the counties if they're already using it for childcare and then you're piling additional costs on top of that?

ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: The way I would answer that, Senator, is that typically each year the way that the subsidy is funded is a combination of General Fund, CCDF, TANF funding. And every year there's a decision made about where those funding streams -- which contribute most to it.

1	And so I think at the end the
2	commitment remains to the \$806 million. The
3	difference is how those individual funding
4	streams were constructed to add up to the
5	\$806 million.
6	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So I do think,
7	however, this could result in an unfunded
8	mandate on some of the counties. So I would
9	urge you to take a look at that.
10	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Certainly.
11	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Also, related to
12	childcare and again, I thank my colleague
13	for touching on this but there was
14	discussion regarding the new regulations
15	coming out of the federal government. And
16	I'm glad to hear that you were able to secure
17	10 waivers. I think that they could have
18	been up to three years, but it's my
19	understanding I just heard that they're only
20	one-year waivers that you were able to
21	secure.
22	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: That's
23	correct.
24	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So if it's only one

1	year, and you talked about trying to get
2	regulatory or monetary relief out of
3	Washington, what are the updated estimates on
4	the costs of implementing the following
5	provisions? If we are aren't able to get any
6	relief out of Washington, I'd like to know,
7	what will it cost for the increased provider
8	inspection requirements, for example?
9	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So the two
10	most expensive pieces of the \$555 million
11	total are the 12-month guaranteed eligibility
12	and the second is the graduated phaseout.
13	And those two, combined, account for
14	\$441 million of the \$555 million.
15	And then there are additional costs
16	for the clearances I think that's in the
17	ballpark of \$34 million, \$36 million the
18	background clearance checks, and then the
19	additional inspections, and then staff and
20	provider training.
21	But certainly, Senator, when we look
22	at those two provisions in and of themselves
23	that are most subsidy-related, that's where
24	the large cost is. And that's where, getting

1	back to the earlier conversation, it's so
2	problematic for us to really implement the
3	act, given that there's no new federal
4	funding for additional subsidies. That's our
5	challenge.
6	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Will OCFS need
7	additional staff to be able to do the
8	training and the inspections?
9	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes. We
10	would absolutely need additional staff to
11	take on the additional requirements. So, you
12	know, the act calls for additional visits to
13	regulated providers, but part of this act
14	also really focuses on the legally exempt
15	community. And currently we do about
16	20 percent inspections of those. And if we
17	were to implement the act, that would be an
18	additional expense as well.
19	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Have you done an
20	analysis as to what the cost would be?
21	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Not in the
22	past year, Senator.
23	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Do you envision
24	that the state would take on the

1	responsibility for the background checks, or
2	would that be passed along to the providers?
3	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: You know,
4	our intention is, to the extent possible, not
5	passing along those costs to providers.
6	Certainly wholly. Or, you know, passing them
7	along to local departments of social
8	services. We recognize, you know, the
9	challenges that they have.
10	And again, that's part of what's been
11	difficult, is not wanting to cut subsidy and
12	not wanting to implement this bill on the
13	backs of childcare providers and on the backs
14	of local departments of social services.
15	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
16	So studies have shown that New York is
17	the most expensive state to obtain childcare,
18	and the costs are already very high. I've
19	heard from a lot of my providers about the
20	increase in the minimum wage and labor costs.
21	So is there anything that OCFS is
22	doing to streamline processes and otherwise
23	reduce the costs for the providers of doing
24	business in New York? Because they need some

1	kind	$\circ$ f	relief.
⊥	KILIU	$O_{\perp}$	TCTTCT.

ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yeah. So, you know, I think we have -- at OCFS we've adopted the Toyota business reengineering process to try and reduce processes that can delay providers from becoming licensed and expedite their ability to draw down subsidy and payment.

But with specific respect to the incremental costs that are the costs of doing business in New York City -- every two years, we are required, Senator, to do what's called a market rate survey. And so we do 25 percent of our regulated providers across the state, make sure we cover all of the regions, and we ask them what is the cost, what are they charging to private-pay parents. And there's a very complicated calculation that's done. And based upon that, we set new market rates in the state.

So at least in part, those new market rates do reflect the actual costs of doing business for providers. So that's one way, Senator, that we're trying to help, you know,

1	mitigate those cost-of-living increases for
2	providers by doing that market rate analysis
3	every two years.
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
5	Just switching gears, you and I have
6	had good conversations about Raise the Age.
7	But assuming that the law is passed and takes
8	effect, would 16- and 17-year-olds be placed
9	in existing secure detention facilities,
10	along with the current youth population, or
11	would they be segregated out and placed
12	separately?
13	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So there's
14	two types of detention that would be used in
15	the new Raise the Age scenario. So one is
16	that 16- and 17-year-olds who are now
17	detained in local jails would be temporarily
18	detained pre-adjudication in locally
19	administered secure detention facilities.
20	So the answer to that is that, you

So the answer to that is that, you know, we have some underutilization in the state's secure detention facilities that we think is enough to at least get us started with having capacity in January of '19.

1	As to the additional, right, the
2	post-placement secure facilities which we at
3	OCFS would operate, we have some
4	underutilization, but we would, Senator, be
5	bringing online several new secure facilities
6	to specifically serve the newly sentenced 16-
7	and 17-year-olds.
8	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Where would those
9	be located?
10	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: We haven't
11	made that determination yet, Senator.
12	Certainly there are, you know, many
13	facilities across the state. There's
14	facilities that we and other state agencies
15	have decommissioned over the years. So
16	again, I think, you know, when we get to that
17	point, it's really taking a fresh look at
18	where the physical assets exist throughout
19	the state and where we can implement building
20	those facilities in the most responsible and
21	fiscally prudent way.
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: As you know, I've
23	been deeply concerned for a long time over
24	the level of violence in the youth

1	facilities. And we know the workers' comp
2	cases were exploding because of the violence.
3	And I'm very happy to hear you say that the
4	incidence of youth-on-youth violence and
5	youth-on-staff violence have declined. But
6	do you have any statistics that you could
7	share with the Legislature in that regard?
8	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Certainly.
9	I don't have them here with me today, but
10	we'd be happy to provide any information
11	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So you could do
12	some kind of comparison of previous years and
13	what the incidents are now?
14	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes.
15	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay, that would be
16	great.
17	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Of course.
18	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Well, we've always
19	had trouble getting that in the past, just so
20	you know.
21	What training do youth development
22	aides in secure detention facilities
23	currently receive with regard to how to
24	handle these violent incidents?

Τ	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So today
2	in OCFS's system, all newly hired youth
3	development aides receive about 200 hours of
4	training. So we call it academy training.
5	So they learn a variety of skills. They
6	certainly learn about youth development, you
7	know, developmental phases of young people.
8	They learn about trauma, which we've all
9	talked about. The brain research certainly
10	supports that many young people in the
11	juvenile justice system have come from a lot
12	of trauma in their lives.
13	We spend a lot of time, Senator,
14	training staff on deescalation techniques.
15	Our goal, when young people are having
16	trouble in the facilities, is to the greatest
17	degree possible deescalate them, through
18	counseling or through individualized
19	planning, given what an individual youth's
20	triggers might be. But we also certainly
21	employ a wide variety of restraint
22	techniques, and so those are taught as well
23	in our academy.
24	And then within you know, every

year thereafter, there are a set number of
additional trainings that we do provide to
staff as refreshers and further staff
development.

So I think, on whole, we have a pretty robust training program for our staff. In fact, I think you all know that DOCCS brought online the Hudson facility last December, and we were very happy to be asked by DOCCS to assist in training their staff, the correction aides and their clinicians, on pieces of our model and our juvenile justice system. So we've got a nice relationship with DOCCS.

And likewise, Senator, you know, as I said to you last evening, we are always looking at ways within OCFS facilities to make them more safe and more secure for staff. And so we've partnered with DOCCS where they have demonstrated some techniques, and we've adopted some of those that, again, we are comfortable with, given that we are serving juveniles and young people, right, and not adult prisoners.

1	So I think we've struck a really good
2	balance and have a good relationship with
3	DOCCS and DCJS and SCOC as well.
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
6	We've been joined by Assemblywoman
7	Didi Barrett and Assemblyman Bronson.
8	Next to question, Chairwoman Lupardo.
9	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: We've been joined
10	by Senator Sue Serino.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Thank you,
12	Mr. Chair.
13	Good morning, Commissioner. It's nice
14	to see you. It looks like our paths are
15	crossing over the Title XX issue now that I
16	chair the Aging Committee. Obviously there's
17	a lot of concern about this redirecting of
18	these funds, specifically the New York City
19	senior center issue, which puts probably
20	65 centers in jeopardy.
21	Not to go over questions that have
22	already been asked, but do you have a
23	breakdown of how the counties are in fact
24	spending that? Because I know my county uses

Τ	it on adult protective services and have
2	expressed a concern that with this
3	redirection, it does in fact amount to an
4	unexpected cost on their side, an unfunded
5	mandate.
6	So do we have that breakdown? Because
7	I know you pretty much
8	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: We
9	certainly do. We can tell from claims
10	submitted. And again, I want you know, I
11	might have confused folks earlier, but
12	there's two under Title XX, there's two
13	pots of money. So the total pot is around
14	\$96 million, \$97 million. Sixty-six million
15	of it has been and continues, in the
16	Executive Budget, to be set aside for
17	supporting protective services for adults as
18	well as domestic violence services.
19	But we can tell, to your question,
20	Assemblywoman, of the Title XX other, from
21	again, we'll have to do a deeper dive into
22	claims, but which counties are using the
23	Title XX other, the \$26 million for other
24	reasons.

1	And again, we will work with any
2	county in my finance office to figure out
3	what other potential funding streams there
4	might be to help mitigate some of those local
5	impacts. Maybe there's some FFFS money or
6	some other discretionary pot of money that
7	they could claim for in a different way.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Yeah, it's
9	very hard to analyze the impact without
10	seeing those numbers, so that would be
11	appreciated.
12	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Sure.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: But I can tell
14	you there will be a lot of distress expressed
15	from those seniors, I can tell you that, in
16	New York City. So that will be coming your
17	way, I'm sure.
18	I have a question about the
19	after-school additional appropriation. So
20	obviously that's very good news. And we've
21	worked very hard to get the concern for
22	after-school programing and the need for
23	these slots on the radar for quite some time.
24	So I'm wondering, what was the

1	thinking behind allocating the \$35 million
2	through the Anti-Poverty Initiative? There
3	are those 15 or 16 communities that have been
4	established, and mine is one of them, so I'm
5	happy about that. But I'm curious, how was
6	it put together that those funds would go
7	through the poverty initiative?
8	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Ouite

ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Quite frankly, I think that the thinking is that those are our most vulnerable communities and that when we have additional funding, that we want to try and point dollars, as much as we can, to those communities who are in many instances very service-poor and who need services like childcare and after-school.

But certainly, Assemblywoman, we recognize that if we were in a different place financially, right, there's always a need for more after-school programs. But the intention is to try and be raising up those identified communities across the state who have really profound concerns with homelessness and lack of employment and a need really for more community-based

1	services. So that's really the intention.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: So how will
3	those funds be administered? Will they be
4	administered through existing programs, like
5	Advantage After School, or will we be
6	creating another entity entirely that will be
7	directed towards those united ways
8	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Our goal
9	is not to create yet another, right, another
10	model of program. You know, this money
11	actually will be in the State Ed Department's
12	budget, but it will be suballocated to OCFS.
13	So, Assemblywoman, as we typically do,
14	we would issue a request for proposals.
15	Again, targeted to those 16 anti-poverty
16	communities, and they would be able to
17	respond with proposals.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Just one other
19	track. If we could go back to the childcare
20	issue. Maybe I'm a skeptic, but I'm not
21	expecting much regulatory or financial relief
22	coming from Washington, especially these
23	days. And you said you were waiting for the

new Secretary of Human Services to arrive.

1	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: They seem to
3	be more focused on tax credits. And we have
4	an initiative in the budget this year for tax
5	credits. I think some of us have felt that
6	for a long time we've ignored sort of the
7	childcare industry as a whole, where workers
8	are underpaid, centers are closing because
9	they haven't gotten the reimbursements.
10	We've discussed the market survey.
11	So I'm just curious as to what is
12	our ballpark plan? Because you know where
13	we're potentially heading. When we have so
14	many kids on a waiting list or so many who
15	are unserved, how do we turn around and cope
16	with that potential looming crisis?
17	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Those are
18	the very kinds of questions, Assemblywoman,
19	that we ask ourselves, you know, at OCFS.
20	And again, given that this is a new
21	administration that we've heard seems to be
22	leaning toward regulatory relief, we are
23	hopeful that we will make some progress.
24	And the other thing I would say is

1	that we are carefully watching other states
2	
	who have gone forward and who have
3	implemented some parts of the Childcare
4	Development Block Grant act. And, you know,
5	it's concerning what we're already seeing in
6	other states. It's not surprising. And it's
7	in fact the reason why we have chosen the
8	path we have in New York today. States like
9	Connecticut and Vermont and even
10	Mississippi Mississippi's waiting list
11	they never had a waiting list prior to
12	implementing the CCDBG Act, but now their
13	waiting list, as we understand it, is almost
14	now as high as the active caseload of
15	families who are receiving subsidy.
16	You know, Connecticut has seen waiting
17	lists for families growing exponentially.
18	And so our hope is that these other
19	states are now going to join us in really
20	pressing for some federal relief, because at
21	some point, right, the bottom is going to
22	drop out and families are going to be waiting
23	for very, very, very long periods of time to
24	be able to access care.

Ţ	so again, I think that's a very
2	legitimate question that you ask. But we
3	have got to give this our very best shot in
4	Washington in helping folks really understand
5	what this means to low-income working
6	families.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: I think people
8	are surprised when they learn and I want
9	you to correct me if I'm wrong that over
10	80 percent of children who are eligible for
11	childcare subsidies are not receiving them,
12	that we really aren't getting ahead in this
13	game. We're not able to add new slots, we're
14	playing catch up. And with this worry, it
15	just compounds it.
16	Is that correct, that we do have
17	almost 83 percent of children who are
18	eligible for subsidies unserved?
19	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes, I do
20	believe that's an accurate figure.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Yeah. It's
22	very hard to get ahead when we're playing
23	catch up like this.
24	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: It is. It

Τ	is. And it doesn't help when, again, we are
2	getting almost no additional support from the
3	federal government. And on top of which,
4	good luck, states, with implementing a
5	\$550 million Child Care Development Block
6	Grant Act. We're in a very, very tough
7	place.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Thanks for
9	your work.
10	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
11	Senator?
12	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
13	Senator Persaud.
14	SENATOR PERSAUD: Good morning,
15	Commissioner.
16	Getting back to the childcare
17	financing with the elimination of funding for
18	kids with special needs and payments for
19	tuition, have you spoken with the school
20	districts on how that's going to affect them
21	and how they'll bridge that gap?
22	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: No, we've
23	not had conversations with the local school
24	districts, Senator.

1	But again, you know, as I said
2	earlier, you know, we are and the Governor
3	has increased the school aid budgets not only
4	in the New York City area, but certainly
5	statewide. And again, that's the belief,
6	that the local school districts, with the
7	increased aid, can take responsibility for
8	those costs that to date have been falling to
9	the foster care system.
10	SENATOR PERSAUD: We know that foster
11	care has been underfunded, and so now we're
12	cutting more of their funding. How is the
13	municipality going to be able to afford that?
14	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: I don't
15	know what specific aspect of foster care that
16	you're suggesting is underfunded.
17	SENATOR PERSAUD: I think in general
18	the foster care population has been
19	underfunded. There are things that we should
20	be funding for example, more of tuition or
21	transportation, more of housing. They're not
22	receiving that. And now we're taking away
23	from another vulnerable part of the
24	population, the special needs population, and

we're asking those agencies to fund them. So
you are telling them to take away the funding
from what was already underfunded to take
care of them. How should they do that?

ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Well, the other thing I think that is again important -- and I hear you, Senator. You know, we will never, right, in our lifetimes have enough to do everything that we all know we want to do and could do for our vulnerable families in this state. And I think that's true of every place in the country.

But something for us to remember and be proud of is that, you know, we are one of the very few states that funds preventive services in as richly a way as we do in

New York State. If you talk to other states, you know, there's virtually very little and sometimes no state support for preventive services. That's why their foster care numbers are exploding, and have been. We've had the exact opposite experience in our state, that because we provide 62 cents of every dollar to local districts, that we've

1	been able to keep down the number of kids in
2	foster care and really create across the
3	state I think a very rich array of services
4	for those families who are vulnerable and
5	whose children may be at risk of coming into
6	care.

SENATOR PERSAUD: Thanks for saying that New York is, you know, beyond everyone else, because we want to make sure that everyone follows our lead. We don't want to be like the other states.

But our foster care population, it's not a population that we should cut funding from. You know, it's a needy population. We have too many foster care kids who are moving to the homeless population. You know, they're moving -- when they're aging out, there are not the services there, and so they're not being prepared.

So when we're cutting the funding while they're in the pipeline, we're really pushing them out onto the street, into the homeless population. And we were trying not to do this.

1	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: If I
2	could, Senator, just on that point, just add
3	a couple of things that we are doing.
4	So last year, you know, the Governor
5	had the \$2 billion supported housing
6	proposal, so young people leaving foster care
7	and leaving the juvenile justice system are
8	in the population to be served in those
9	programs.
10	I think the other thing that we've
11	been very focused on in New York with respect
12	to our youth aging out of foster care is to
13	make sure that those who are in interested in
14	postsecondary education and college have the
15	opportunity to go to college and to stay in
16	college. And we've really been very grateful
17	for the partnerships that we've forged with
18	the Higher Education Services Corporation to
19	make sure that we in child welfare really
20	understand the types of financial aid that's
21	available for youth exiting care.

And we're doing a lot of work with SUNY and CUNY because unfortunately, while we're doing a better job of having young

people leave care and go to college, there's

a lot of drop-off when they're there because

they need a lot of wraparound support. And

so we're doing a lot with SUNY to try and

institutionalize some of those supports that

our young people need on the campuses.

And just this past December we were able to, working with SUNY and CUNY, we were able at OCFS to allow funding for young people who didn't have a place to go home to for the long holiday break. You'd be surprised how many young people in colleges -- and not just young people in foster care -- have no place to go during college breaks. And so the schools were great in allowing our young people to stay with them.

Is that enough? No. We have more to do. But I also think that this is an opportunity for all of you to hear from me about the other pieces of work that we're focused on to try and improve the lives of young people in foster care. And we'll keep at it, Senator.

1	SENATOR PERSAUD: Thank you. I just
2	wanted to mention one thing. You talked
3	about the kids not having a place to go over
4	the long holidays. I was a college
5	registrar, and both colleges that I was at,
6	that's something that they did. They were
7	private colleges, but that's something they
8	did. And I think we should encourage
9	colleges across the board to do that for more
10	of the students.
11	Thank you, Commissioner.
12	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Thank you,
13	Senator.
L 4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblywoman Fahy.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Thank you,
17	Mr. Chairman.
18	And thank you, Commissioner. Thank
19	you for being here today.
20	I just want to mention a couple of
21	things and a couple of questions, couple of
22	comments. And I just want to piggyback on a
23	question earlier from I think Senator Young,
24	regarding the youth violence in prisons. And

1	I'm encouraged to hear that you mentioned
2	that the numbers are coming down. Are there
3	new strategies that have been developed
4	that I know you said you would follow up
5	with the statistics, and I would welcome
6	those as well. Are there some new strategies
7	that you want to mention?
8	I have a couple of other questions
9	too, so I'll just be brief.
10	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Sure.
11	Yes. So I'm happy to talk about that. So in
12	our OCFS juvenile justice facilities, we've
13	done a lot of things to strengthen our
14	overall security.
15	So we've spent a lot of time making
16	sure that we have cameras so that we are
17	watching what's going on both with youth and
18	with our staff, making sure that folks are
19	doing the really critically important job
20	that we need them to do.
21	We have made investments in a
22	statewide facility security director, and
23	this person goes about several times a year
24	actually through each facility in great

1	detail, again, making sure that contraband is
2	not being hidden, that we don't have other
3	potential physical risk factors in the
4	facilities.

We have formed a statewide search team that goes into facilities unannounced to search for contraband. Because again, that is a -- as you all know very well, when contraband starts getting in, that can create a lot of problems in the facility.

We are equipping our staff with state-of-the-art radios that have personal safety devices on it, it's almost like a GPS, for staff who are in the facility. So if there's an issue, we can tell with great precision not just what building they're in, but actually what room or closet they may be in.

So we have done -- you know, we have instituted a clear-bag policy, we call it, so that both staff and visitors who are coming into the facility can't bring just their big backpack or duffel bag, that there are clear bags that they must put any possession that

1	they're bringing into the facility. So
2	again, we're trying to manage contraband.
3	Actually DOCCS, you know, consulted with us
4	on that, and we were happy to share the work
5	that we've done.

But most importantly, or equally importantly, Assemblywoman, is -- and I said this to Senator Young last night -- you know, safety in the facility is paramount to doing anything else, right, to running an educational program, to having youth feel safe in vocational settings or participating in treatment. And we have spent a lot of time in the past years really building up our educational programs for young people. I am really proud of the work that we've done, especially in our secure facilities where of course young people stay the longest, right, serving out their sentences with us.

So we've had a number of staff obtain their associate's degrees while they are with us, within the walls of a secure facility.

We actually have wonderful partnerships with Colombia-Greene Community College. They come

into our Brookwood secure facility and bring
their classroom, professors and students,

into the facility so that our youth can
participate.

And then of course not every youth, right, has an interest or is able to attend college. And we've done a lot of work on creating meaningful certification programs so that when young people leave -- and we're trying to promote successful reentry -- that they have a greater likelihood of getting a job.

So we've got an Energy Warrior Program with Cornell Cooperative Extension where young people can actually be certified in installing weatherization -- what do they call that -- insulation that you blow into the walls and that, you know, again, they can get a job. We have a flagger certification that they can use with transportation to assist on road projects. We have an aquaponics certification, which I'd love any of you here to come and visit, particularly our industry -- limited secure residential

1	program. We have got a phenomenal aquaponics
2	program where we grow tilapia and lobsters
3	and very expensive lettuce that they're
4	turning around as an industry and selling to
5	local restaurants.

So we're really focused on things that are of interest to young people while they're with us, because when they're engaged, right, they're more successful. When you have engaged youth, either in school all day or in enhancement activities, you are maintaining safety in the facility.

So we, Assemblywoman, have put tremendous effort into trying to improve those components.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Thank you. And I really am pleased to hear you say the focus is on education and training, especially on some of the green jobs, where we know those jobs are growing. And I think it is -- you also took a word right out of my mouth, which is engagement. And the more we have engaged youth, the less we have behavioral issues.

So I am encouraged, and I do look

1	Iorward	τO	tne	statistics.

I just -- I know I'm out of time, so I

just want to mention a couple of other

things. The SUNY CUNY childcare subsidy,

that cut is very disconcerting, because that

is a need. Again, we can't keep students

engaged if those that need it don't have the

access to childcare.

And it is the same with the childcare subsidy. I know we've heard it already this morning, but I think it is critically important that we not cut the subsidy that is going to our local social service agencies, because again that -- it struck me as another unfunded mandate not to continue that subsidy. And I worry that something else will be eliminated as a result of that.

And then, just quickly, I was going to ask the question, but on the after-school program, very pleased to see the Governor's proposal with the additional \$35 million.

Very encouraging. As you know, there's about a million youth that need after-school slots, not unlike the childcare slots. But it is

1	encouraging. My only question there would be
2	how we would consolidate with the other
3	existing ones so that we don't have the
4	nonprofits and the school districts tripping
5	over themselves with the different
6	requirements, because that would bring us up
7	to four, as I understand it, among two
8	different agencies. So that's it's very
9	difficult on the providers, community
10	providers, as well as the school districts to
11	monitor different regs, different application
12	procedures, and different regulatory
13	requirements.
14	So I'm out of time, but I just would
15	appreciate you being cognizant of that and
16	open to trying to work together again so that
17	we can serve more and not have additional
18	funds caught up in administrative
19	requirements.
20	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Good
21	points, thank you.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Thank you so
23	much, Commissioner.
24	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
2	Senator.
3	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
4	Senator Tim Kennedy.
5	SENATOR KENNEDY: Thank you,
6	Chairwoman.
7	Good morning, Commissioner.
8	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Good
9	morning.
10	SENATOR KENNEDY: Thank you for your
11	service.
12	I want to talk about Erie County.
13	This year Erie County's allocation for the
L 4	New York State Childcare Block Grant was
15	\$24.6 million. Comparatively, Monroe County
16	received \$36.3 million; Nassau County,
17	\$52.6 million. There's a clear disparity in
18	funding between counties.
19	Currently in Erie County there's a
20	waiting list of more than 320 families who
21	are eligible to receive childcare assistance
22	but the county doesn't have the funds
23	necessary to serve them.
2.4	Co I have concerns about the

1	methodology that your department is using to
2	determine this funding. And right now a
3	county that begins to receive a reduced
4	funding amount will always receive less
5	funding, unless it dedicates a substantial
6	portion of its own budget to filling that
7	gap.

So I want to know what we can do to distribute childcare dollars in a more equitable fashion and how we can update this distribution formula so that it reflects current demand rather than prior usage.

ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So I would respond in two ways, Senator, that again, if a county has not been spending the totality of its allocation and we look at their claims, you know, if they're not spending it on a consistent basis, then presumably over time that means, right, that maybe someone else who's spending all of theirs and who has waiting lists should have it to use.

I suspect that that has been part of the issue with Erie County. And I'll certainly confirm that when I'm back at the

office, but I think that there had been a

period of years, Senator, where Erie was not

expending all of its childcare allocation.

And as you know -- do you remember rollover, right, from years ago? We had tens of millions of dollars of rollover. We really cracked down on that and said we can't have rollover, people need to be spending the childcare allocation that we're putting out to counties. So that's the first response I would have.

The second is that this year, in 2017, we are going to be opening up our childcare subsidy regulations. So we have not looked at our childcare subsidy regulations in a good number of years. And I know that there have been conversations about methodology, there have been conversations about market rate and how rates are set. And so we will have the opportunity this year, in opening that discussion, for obviously public discourse and stakeholder engagement.

And that perhaps, Senator, is an opportunity to have some of your concerns

1	spoken about and discussed more fully. But
2	we will be doing that this year.
3	SENATOR KENNEDY: Well, Commissioner,
4	I appreciate that. But what I will tell you
5	is the simple fact is there's 320 families on
6	a waiting list. And that has to be
7	rectified. And I think a constant
8	communication, where we can resolve that
9	issue, I think is imperative moving forward.
10	So whatever the distribution formula
11	is, I think we have to take into
12	consideration the needs of the community,
13	regardless of its geographic location in the
14	state, whether it's Erie County or Monroe
15	County or anywhere in between. But Erie
16	County, I know there's a massive waiting list
17	of needy families, and that just has to be
18	rectified, whatever we have to do to resolve
19	that.
20	Regarding that same waiting list in
21	Erie County, last year's budget included
22	\$500,000 for a WDI facilitated enrollment in
23	Erie County, which was badly needed but was

just the tip of the iceberg. So due to this

1	high demand for the program, not every family
2	between 200 and 275 percent of the poverty
3	level in Erie County has received assistance.
4	So the proposed budget doesn't add any
5	new appropriations to this program. So I'm
6	just curious what we need to do and how this
7	budget addresses the growing need for
8	childcare costs for families in Erie County,
9	specifically for those making up to
10	275 percent of the poverty level.
11	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So,
12	Senator, as you may know, all legislative
13	adds are removed from the Executive Budget
14	this year, and that is the case. So all of
15	the facilitated enrollment projects that
16	members of the Legislature have put your
17	money toward do not exist in the Executive
18	Budget.
19	To your question about, you know,
20	subsidy, right, it's the question of the day:
21	How, without additional federal funding
22	coming to New York, can we expand capacity
23	for more subsidy? And again, I don't have a

great answer for that.

1	I would also just add that the Earned
2	Income Tax Credit program, as well as the
3	Governor's proposing the middle-class tax
4	credit for childcare, you know, may be other
5	strategies to help some of those families
6	that you know, Senator, I don't have all
7	those details in front of me, but I would be
8	very happy to have a separate conversation
9	with you about that.

SENATOR KENNEDY: Thank you.

In Erie County I know you've been very involved, your office has been very involved with some horrible, tragic deaths in some situations. The county has rectified many of the issues within Child Protective Services, with the help of your office. Unfortunately, my district still sees a very high rate of child abuse and a high number of CPS caseloads.

So Healthy Families New York works to prevent child abuse, allowing home visitation programs and providing resources and services. Shouldn't we invest more money into this program so we can lower the

1	back-end costs associated with these
2	instances of abuse?
3	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes. I
4	mean we're always, Senator, looking to, you
5	know, expand prevention programs. You know,
6	you mentioned Healthy Families. We had an
7	opportunity to expand our Healthy Families
8	program last year using the \$5 million of
9	adoption assistance that we had. And then
10	again this year, with the additional
11	\$2 million, Senator, we again hope to expand
12	the Healthy Families program.
13	So I think we have some good
14	opportunities on the horizon.
15	SENATOR KENNEDY: Thank you. And just
16	the last question regarding the CPS issues,
17	the progress that has been made in large part
18	due to the focus and efforts on reducing the
19	caseloads in the county again, with the
20	assistance of your office.
21	But what is OCFS doing to ensure, not
22	just in Erie County but statewide, that
23	caseworkers have the resources that they need
24	to reduce these caseloads and properly

1	investigate	these	reports	of	abuse	and
2	neglect?					

ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So I would first of all echo, Senator, your comments about the progress that Erie County Child Protective Services has made. You know, they were the poster child, right, upstate in previous years of high-profile cases and a myriad of issues. And, you know, under the new leadership there, they -- and with a lot of help, frankly, from OCFS -- they have made just tremendous progress, and you should be very proud of that.

So with respect to kind of caseload standards, as you all know, the Governor vetoed the caseload standard bill. However, he issued a pretty strong veto message to us at OCFS. And so this year, as part of that veto message, we will be reconvening bill sponsors, other stakeholders, to come back to the table and to talk about a way to better study caseload standards.

The last report was done in 2006.

Again, that was a different governor, and I

1	was not here. So I think we want to really
2	be very careful and pay attention to you
3	know, not every CPS case is the same, right?
4	There are sex abuse cases, very complicated,
5	there's ed neglect cases, there could be a
6	childcare investigation where there's
7	25 children who have to be investigated. You
8	know, a case is not a case. They're all
9	different, and I think we need to take that
10	into consideration.

The other thing I think that we're also particularly concerned about and we want to have conversations, you know, with the stakeholders in this discussion, is about the issue that we see in our oversight work, that setting caseload standards in and of themselves does not equal quality. In fact, it can sometimes create the opposite, where the workers are feeling tremendous pressure to maintain the 12 or the 15 caseload, and so they are closing cases without a thorough safety and risk assessment, without taking the time to document the work, and without taking the time to have the preventive

1	services. And we've seen that. We have seen
2	that. It was an issue in Erie County,
3	frankly, Senator, several years ago.
4	So as we think again about caseload
5	standards, we want to be having a more
6	inclusive conversation and a more statewide
7	conversation about what that means. And
8	again, we'll be doing that this year.
9	SENATOR KENNEDY: If I could just make
10	one request to that point.
11	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Of course.
12	SENATOR KENNEDY: If we could have a
13	representative from Erie County, given the
14	experience that we've dealt with
15	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE:
16	Absolutely. Absolutely.
17	SENATOR KENNEDY: uniquely in our
18	community, but through the work in your
19	office as well, I think that would be very
20	helpful.
21	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: It's a
22	great idea.
23	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you, Senator.
24	Assemblywoman Jaffee, to close on this

4	
1	side.

2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN	JAFFEE:	Thank	you

3 Thank you very much.

As you can note, the issue of childcare and providing sufficient childcare subsidies for our communities is a major issue, especially given, as I noted earlier, the poverty issues. And certainly another concern is that those families that are already currently receiving subsidized childcare from funding streams that were associated through facilitated enrollment, or even the SUNY/CUNY childcare subsidies -- now, the Governor cut that totally from the budget.

So, you know, how do we at this point provide them assistance? Because these are very essential programs that expand the opportunities for childcare, especially those who are continuing their education so they can move forward in the workforce. So that's a very serious issue. As well as, noted earlier, we do not have enough funding for subsidies for the children in our state.

1	Eighty-three percent do not get subsidies,
2	and these are very needy families.
3	So I just wanted to ask that other
4	question and continue to raise concerns
5	regarding that. So what do we do about the
6	SUNY/CUNY and facilitated enrollment, zero?
7	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: I think
8	those, Assemblywoman, are all part of our
9	bigger challenge and ongoing discussion. And
10	I don't have the answer for you here today.
11	Again, this is, right, this is the beginning
12	of budget discussions. And we know how much
13	both houses are interested in childcare. And
14	we will continue to be in dialogue with both
15	of you throughout the rest of the budget
16	deliberations. But I appreciate your
17	comments.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Well, I
19	appreciate that. And I hope that as we move
20	forward, we'll be able to expand the funding
21	for these essential programs.
22	Thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
24	Senator.

1	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Diane
2	Savino.
3	SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you, Senator
4	Young.
5	Thank you, Commissioner, for your
6	testimony. We had an opportunity the other
7	day to meet, so I'm not going to go over some
8	of the conversations we had or reiterate any
9	of the questions that have already been
10	raised.
11	I do want to talk a bit about two
12	things that are important, certainly to me.
13	One of them is the independent monitor that
14	has been appointed to oversee ACS. And I
15	would just like to state that what I would
16	hope to come out of this independent monitor
17	is less of a less political
18	recommendations and more substantive changes
19	to the agency.
20	And so what I would hope comes out of
21	this is some changes to what goes on in the
22	CPS field offices, because I don't really
23	think the public has a sense of the work that
24	CPS workers really do, and they confuse it

1	with the nonprofit sector that also provides
2	direct service, more direct service to the
3	children and the families than the CPS
4	workers in fact may do.

But there is definitely a need in these field offices for social workers.

Again, there should be social work units, there should be screening units. You and I had a conversation the other day of the number of false cases that are called into the state central registry. Which unfortunately, every one of those cases has to be treated as if it is a serious abuse case or neglect case, which detracts from the work that the CPS workers have to do.

So years ago when I did the work, we had screening units that dealt with the anonymous-anonymous cases, to take those away from the serious cases. So we need to kind of go back to some of that stuff.

Obviously, training is always important, but supporting the staff is equally important. So I would hope that that's what comes out of the appointment of

a discussion the other day about some of the burdens that I believe the Legislature has placed on child welfare. Whenever there's a	1	this independent monitor, as well as
burdens that I believe the Legislature has  placed on child welfare. Whenever there's a  crisis, we think we can solve it with another  requirement. And sometimes we actually make	2	potentially some legislative changes. We had
placed on child welfare. Whenever there's a crisis, we think we can solve it with another requirement. And sometimes we actually make	3	a discussion the other day about some of the
crisis, we think we can solve it with another requirement. And sometimes we actually make	4	burdens that I believe the Legislature has
7 requirement. And sometimes we actually make	5	placed on child welfare. Whenever there's a
1	6	crisis, we think we can solve it with another
it worse, we make it harder to do this job.	7	requirement. And sometimes we actually make
	8	it worse, we make it harder to do this job.

And so I hope that you would be supportive of some of the proposals that I will be moving through the Children and Families Committee to eliminate some of the redundant work that I think does nothing to improve casework practice or to really investigate abuse and neglect.

So I look forward to that. And again,
I hope the independent monitor is helpful as
opposed to purely, you know, looking to
penalize an agency that is already struggling
on a day-to-day basis and handles tens of
thousands of cases.

On runaway homeless youth, I was very happy to see that the Governor included what is essentially my bill and Assemblywoman

1	Weinstein's bill on the runaway homeless
2	youth, improving it, expanding it to age 24.
3	But if you could there's a couple of
4	changes that the Governor made in our
5	proposal that we're a little confused about,
6	where it could be simply drafting, where it
7	appears that they interchange "runaway" and
8	"homeless" from time to time in a way that we
9	don't quite understand.
10	And there's also a requirement so
11	on that, you don't have to answer now.
12	Perhaps someone could look at it and see,
13	does it it might just simply be poorly
14	drafted.
15	But there's something that maybe you
16	can explain to me and some of the advocates;
17	we don't quite understand why this is

can explain to me and some of the advocates;
we don't quite understand why this is
necessary. But under the Governor's
proposal, it would require that the Runaway
Homeless Youth Program contact the local
department of social services if it believes
that the youth is a destitute child. What is
the purpose behind that? What is the value
behind that?

1	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: I think
2	the value behind it is that a destitute child
3	is without, right, any legal guardian or
4	anyone who is providing any care to them.
5	And so, you know, the local department of
6	social services, if the person is under the
7	age of 18, right, has an obligation to try
8	and make certain that that young person is
9	not destitute and out there on their own but
10	can be, you know, connected with a resource.
11	I think that's the intention.
12	SENATOR SAVINO: You seem a little
13	confused too. Maybe we just don't quite
14	understand what the
15	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Okay, we
16	can certainly talk
17	SENATOR SAVINO: Is there a monetary
18	connection to it? Is it a shifting of the
19	funding? Will someone else pay for the
20	services?
21	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: I don't
22	think it's that. But again, I don't want to
23	misspeak. And we can certainly have
24	conversation about the language in the

1	proposed bill.
2	SENATOR SAVINO: Yeah, it just it
3	doesn't seem to make immediate sense. There
4	could be some explanation for it, but it
5	doesn't make immediate sense either to
6	myself I can't speak for Assemblywoman
7	Weinstein now, but I know she and I both
8	remarked upon it. And in discussions with
9	the advocates for runaway homeless youth,
10	they brought it up as well.
11	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Okay.
12	SENATOR SAVINO: So I think as we move
13	forward, we need to clarify the language in
14	what the Governor has proposed in both the
15	Article VII and in the budget portion.
16	So I want to thank you for your
17	attention, and I look forward to working with
18	you on some of these prospective changes.
19	Thank you.
20	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Same here,
21	Senator.
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Liz
23	Krueger.

SENATOR KRUEGER: Good morning,

1	L Commi	ssi	oner	

You and I also had a chance to meet;
there's just a couple of clarifications based
on some of the answers you already gave
today.

being shifted into mandatory spending on childcare versus the various options that different locales have taken. And it would mandate that money that currently in New York City is used to fund senior centers would instead have to be used to fund childcare.

And I asked you in the meeting, but I'm just going to, for the record, say it now and ask you again. Could your agency please analyze the changing demand for childcare slots in the different funding streams because of the impact of universal pre-K?

I can't speak for anywhere else, but in New York City, where this would be a very disturbing impact to take the money away from the seniors to put into childcare, we know for a fact, because we moved over 70,000 4-year-olds into UPK -- and I think that

1	number is expected to go up in September
2	again that there's less demand for daycare
3	slots for 4-year-olds because they're in
4	universal pre-K.

So it actually -- I'm not saying we don't need more money for childcare, but I don't believe the demand is there now, specifically because of the significant change in the movement of how people are getting their childcare.

And I know that I was advised that a number of the major childcare advocacy organizations in New York City have actually signed onto a letter saying please don't take the seniors' Title XX money away. So it's just a public plea, this needs to be reversed in the budget.

But I really do think it's important to get a handle on, because of all the different funding streams in childcare, are there changes that reflect the overall needs in different areas? Because localities use the funds in different ways and have different needs.

1	And following up on that, there's been
2	a proposal for several years that I don't see
3	it in the budget, and I'm curious what you
4	think, there's a mandate for women on TANF to
5	perhaps have to do work activities, requiring
6	that the state pay for childcare for 1- and
7	2-year-olds. My understanding is childcare
8	for 1- and 2-year-olds is the most expensive
9	kind of childcare. And there's been a
10	proposal made that if you allow the
11	flexibility of not requiring these women to
12	participate in the work activities, that you
13	could actually use that money to provide for
14	multiple times the number of childcare slots
15	in the subsidized program for poor families
16	who are desperately seeking the childcare to
17	hold the jobs they have with their slightly
18	older children.
19	So has the agency explored that
20	proposal at all?
21	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: You know,
22	Senator, I'm sorry to disappoint you, but
23	that I'm going to have to confer with my
24	OTDA colleague, Mike Perrin, around the TANF

1	piece. But I took good notes and will
2	absolutely explore that.
3	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
4	And then, finally, on the discussion
5	of reducing the reimbursements to New York
6	City only for services for special needs in
7	foster care, you stated the Governor's
8	position that since New York City is getting
9	300 more in education funding, they can pay
10	for it out of that.
11	Am I correct that all school
12	districts are getting some adjusted increase
13	in education funding, it's not just unique to
L 4	New York City?
15	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Mm-hmm.
16	Mm-hmm.
17	SENATOR KRUEGER: Right? Everybody is
18	getting something?
19	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: (Nodding.)
20	Mm-hmm.
21	SENATOR KRUEGER: And certainly the
22	education advocates would tell us that what
23	they're getting is way below what they each
2.4	baliova they need

1	So how is it that New York City
2	specifically is being told, take the money
3	out of your increasing but still inadequate
4	public education funding stream to pay for
5	this?
6	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: The best
7	answer I can give you now, Senator, is that
8	because the vast not the vast, but a
9	majority of the drop in the number of
10	children in foster care by proportion is
11	attributed to New York City, that that
12	accounted for the greater shift to New York.
13	SENATOR KRUEGER: So that New York
14	City has fewer kids in foster care and in
15	these programs, but it's holding onto other
16	monies from the state that it would
17	otherwise I mean, are we keeping other
18	money in foster care when we reduce the
19	numbers in foster care?
20	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: No.
21	SENATOR KRUEGER: We're not. I didn't
22	think so.
23	And so it just seems to me, on the
24	budget logic that's being offered, New York

1	City should just try not to have anybody in
2	foster care. And you and I might agree in a
3	perfect world we would want that, but I don't
4	think it's either of our position that the
5	City of New York should stop placing children
6	in foster care who truly need to be there.
7	Right?
8	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: That's
9	true.
10	SENATOR KRUEGER: All right. So yet
11	again, I do not find a justification for this
12	budget cut to New York City. Thank you.
13	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Thank you,
14	Senator.
15	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Senator?
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
17	Senator Sue Serino.
18	SENATOR SERINO: Thank you, Senator.
19	And good morning, Commissioner. Thank
20	you very much for taking our questions today.
21	And as chair of the Aging Committee, my
22	question is going to be about adult
23	protective services. And just to give you a
24	little background, I've done quite a few

1	series of elder abuse roundtables, and as we
2	know, 85 percent of those cases go
3	unreported. Because of doing those
4	roundtables, we were able to have Lifespan
5	put in the budget, which are
6	multidisciplinary teams that have been very
7	successful. And even though that doesn't
8	fall under your purview, I'd be remiss if I
9	didn't mention it, because we don't want to
10	lose the funding for that.
11	And it's all kind of hand in hand,
12	because as we know, there's a registry for
13	child abuse victims but there isn't a central
14	registry for elder abuse. So my question
15	regarding that are numbers. Are there any
16	specific statistics that are being documented
17	with respect to the type of cases that are
18	being reported, whether it's physical,
19	financial, sexual or neglect?
20	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: I don't
21	have a full answer for you. But again,
22	Senator, we could go back to the local
23	departments of social services and to my
24	office, that also oversees the adult

1	protective services. I don't know if you've
2	met Alan Lawitz. He's really led our work on
3	financial exploitation and oversees the
4	protective service for adults.
5	So I will go back, Senator, and see
6	what kind of data that we can provide to
7	answer your question.
8	SENATOR SERINO: Okay. And I don't
9	know if you'd have the answer to this
10	question too, if there's any consistent
11	documentation of the cases that APS OCFS
12	receives, and what would be the process for
13	follow-up to ensure that they're resolved.
14	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: I'll add
15	that to the list, Senator.
16	SENATOR SERINO: Okay. And in the
17	case where it's sent to a police department
18	rather than APS, will OCFS have any
19	notification? Like if the police are
20	handling the case, do they report to you,
21	OCFS, as regards to the case? Would they
22	give you any information?
23	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Well, they
24	wouldn't report to OCFS. They would report

1	to the local department of social services,
2	who administers the local protective service
3	for adults program.
4	SENATOR SERINO: Okay. And how many
5	staffers are responsible for tracking the
6	complaints and following up on them with APS?
7	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: I don't
8	have that exact number, Senator.
9	SENATOR SERINO: Okay, thank
10	you.
11	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: You're
12	very welcome.
13	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. I have
14	some follow-up questions that I'd like to
15	ask, and I'd like to follow up on the
16	independent monitor.
17	New York City Mayor de Blasio was here
18	recently, and I asked him about the numerous
19	tragic deaths that have occurred under that
20	agency's watch. And I know that in your
21	proposal there's an independent monitor. You
22	recently, as OCFS, did a study and identified
23	numerous lapses, multiple failures on ACS's
24	part.

1	So when you select the independent
2	monitor and he or she is in place, what
3	exactly would their roles and
4	responsibilities be, number one? And number
5	two. Who actually is going to select the
6	independent monitor?
7	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So OCFS
8	has identified and made the selection of a
9	monitor that's been presented to New York
10	City, so that process has concluded.
11	But more specifically to your
12	question, Senator, about the specific tasks
13	of the monitor, the monitor will be doing an
14	overall diagnostic, first and foremost, of
15	ACS's child protective program, looking at
16	the adequacy of its policies, looking at how
17	robust its own quality assurance process is,
18	looking at its supervisory oversight
19	structure. That was one of our findings in
20	the report, that there were lapses, serious
21	lapses in supervision.
22	They will be looking at data, they
23	will be doing probes into case records. They
24	will be speaking with caseworkers and other

1	stakeholders again, to try and pinpoint
2	with more precision where at the core are
3	some of the institutional issues that led to
4	these lapses in the handling of the cases.

And then Phase Two of the engagement will be the development and implementation of whatever the corrective action is -- whether it's policies, procedures, more staffing. I mean, we just -- we don't know yet. That's the whole point of the diagnostic.

And then the third and final phase will be compliance monitoring. So we want to make absolutely certain this time that whatever changes are made, that they are to scale across that very large and complex agency, and that they are sustainable to the extent possible. So there will be a period of compliance monitoring that will be open-ended, and that monitoring will only end when we at the state are satisfied that there's been compliance and that we won't see the kinds of issues that we unfortunately saw in these high-profile deaths.

24 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I'm very relieved

1	and happy to hear that you'll be doing the
2	diagnostics and coming up with policy
3	changes.

What happens if New York City doesn't comply or if things don't get better?

ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Well, we have a number of options at our disposal at the state.

You know, certainly us directing them to on-board a monitor signals that we mean business, that we are very focused on helping them and requiring them to make those changes. And we don't have any reason to believe today, at least, that they too are not equally committed to fixing their system and to making children more safe. That's the goal all of us bring here every day.

You know, in statute we do have the authority -- which we're very judicious in using, for obvious reasons -- but we could have or would have the authority to withhold funding to the city. Obviously, the other side of that is that they need their funding, right, to have staff and caseworkers and the

1	kinds of services.
2	But, you know, in the event that we
3	don't see commitment to this monitor and
4	commitment to change, that, you know, OCFS
5	and the administration will take whatever
6	steps are necessary in order to make sure the
7	kids are safe.
8	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. We
9	don't need any more fatalities, so thank you
10	very much.
11	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
12	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: We've been joined
14	by Assemblyman Colton.
15	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you,
16	Commissioner, by the way. We appreciate your
17	testimony.
18	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
19	much.
20	Next, Mike Perrin, deputy
21	commissioner, New York State Office of
22	Temporary and Disability Services.
23	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Good
2.4	morning Chairwoman Voung Chairman Farroll

L	and Chairman Tedisco I think I saw him
2	here a moment ago. He's Senate chair of the
3	Social Services Committee.

My name is Michael Perrin. I'm the executive deputy commissioner for the Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance. And I'm first going to convey the regrets of Commissioner Sam Roberts, who could not be here today. He is sick today and so he -- he has asked me to fill in in his stead today, so I'll be providing testimony and addressing questions and answers from the committee.

Our mission here at OTDA is to protect and lift up New York's most vulnerable residents and help them achieve self-sufficiency. Financial independence is a powerful feeling. It gives a person the confidence they need to be able to provide not only for themselves, but for their families. It sets their children on a path of success, helping the next generation to succeed. We want all New Yorkers to experience that feeling.

OTDA administers vital programs for

1	those temporarily unable to work and for many
2	working families who simply aren't able to
3	make ends meet. These programs include
4	providing food and heating assistance to
5	people who need it and creating supportive
6	housing units that will provide the
7	beginnings of a permanent solution to the
8	homelessness issues in the State of New York.
9	We inspect homeless shelters to ensure
10	safety and security. We oversee the state's
11	child support enforcement program. We also
12	assist refugees and immigrants who are in
13	New York in search of the American dream.
14	All of these efforts share a common
15	goal: to help individuals and families meet
16	essential needs and quickly get back on their
17	feet again into self-sufficiency.
18	OTDA has realized remarkable success.
19	Just this past year alone, OTDA opened the
20	doors to over 540 new supportive housing
21	units. SNAP benefits now enable over
22	1.6 million households to purchase healthy

foods at their local grocery store and at

more farmers' markets than ever before.

23

1	Progress is everywhere. But we have more to
2	do, and this budget proposal advances our
3	mission.

The issue of affordable housing is critical for the persons we serve. Both the Governor and the Legislature have made access to housing a top priority, as evidenced by the state's unprecedented \$20 billion investment in affordable housing. Over the next five years, the goal of the program is to provide 100 new units of affordable housing and 6,000 units of supportive housing. The \$20 billion plan includes \$10.4 billion to combat homelessness in New York State.

Along with our partners in Homes and Community Renewal and the Office of Mental Health, we are pleased to announce today that 1200 units of supportive housing have been funded, and those projects are underway in various stages of completion. This addresses the Governor's promise late last year to begin providing supportive housing, and he laid out a goal of 1200 units late last year.

1	And :	I'm	agai	n ha	рру	to	annour	ice '	that	we'	re
2	well	on	our v	way	to a	achi	eving	tha	t goa	al.	

We're well underway in administering
the state's housing plan and overall to
combat homelessness in a sustainable way.

OTDA is committed to ensuring that persons
temporarily relying on emergency shelter have
access to a safe and clean living
environment.

Just this past year, OTDA led an effort to inspect all 916 state-funded homeless shelters. The results were staggering, as well as the response. Nearly 97 percent of the surveyed shelters were cited for violations that jeopardized the health, safety, and the quality of life of residents.

OTDA's response has been aggressive and will become one of ongoing vigilance.

For every violation found, a corrective action plan has been put into place. We continue to work diligently with New York

City and the counties of the state to keep the quality of life in shelters higher, and

1	have be	gun a	a mul	Lti-yea	r	initi	lative	to	get	all
2	shelter	s in	the	State	of	New	York	cert	cifie	ed.

At Governor Cuomo's direction, OTDA implemented new regulations to enhance the state's ability to oversee the conditions of homeless shelters and more closely monitor shelter security measures.

This year the Executive Budget will also improve safety and security at shelters by requiring background checks of shelter workers who are in regular contact with children being served in those facilities.

This budget will help low-income working families attain basic necessities through a number of anti-poverty programs.

For example, the Home Energy Assistance Program, known as HEAP, is one of the state's most important methods to help low-income individuals and low-income families, especially elderly New Yorkers. HEAP helps qualified individuals and qualified households to afford the cost of heating their homes and to reduce energy needs through energy efficiency programs.

1	This year the Executive Budget
2	proposes an additional \$14.4 million in
3	HEAP funding to support weatherization
4	services for low-income households. The goal
5	is to make homes more efficient and reduce
6	energy costs, so lower-income households are
7	better able to achieve financial stability.
8	Likewise, the Supplemental Nutrition
9	Assistance Program, known as SNAP, increases
10	the food purchasing power of eligible
11	low-income households in order to improve
12	their nutrition and alleviate hunger.
13	Despite the program's success, there
14	are still thousands of families who do not
15	access the full amount of assistance for
16	which they may be eligible. To address this
17	situation, the Governor is taking steps to
18	increase SNAP outreach increase outreach
19	to populations who may be eligible for SNAP
20	but who are simply not taking advantage of
21	it.
22	The initiative will engage foundations
23	and the private sector as well as
24	community-based organizations to develop and

1	implement innovative ways to connect
2	households with SNAP benefits. Increased
3	awareness and access to nutritious food will
4	result in a healthier New York, and improve
5	food sales for New York farmers.

The Governor recognizes also that the summer provides an opportunity, especially for low-income youth, with the time to have an enriching experience through the Summer Youth Employment Program. To address this problem, to improve and to ensure that the same number of youth are served this year as were served last year, you'll see an additional \$5 million appropriation for a total of \$36 million to fund this program. This is largely in recognition of the minimum wage increase for which many summer youth will be employed. So we'll continue to fund approximately 19,000 slots, which is the same level as last year.

The Governor has been steadfast in his support for immigrants and refugees and believes New York should remain a safe haven for all, no matter race, religion, country of

1	origin, or economic status. OTDA provides
2	support to local resettlement agencies, and
3	New York State will continue to welcome new
4	arrivals with open arms.
5	The State of New York and OTDA are
6	stronger than in decades. Unemployment is
7	down, from 8.4 percent six years ago to
8	4.9 percent today. New York State has
9	7.9 million private-sector jobs, the most in
10	the history of the state. Together we can
11	all work towards the end goal of building a
12	better, healthier New York for all.
13	Thank you for your time in letting me
14	provide testimony to these committees, and I
15	welcome any questions you may have.
16	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
17	much.
18	Assemblywoman Jaffee.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you,
20	Deputy
21	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Chairman
22	chairwoman.
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you,
24	Deputy Commissioner.

1	I wanted to ask regarding the TANF
2	funding, the Temporary Assistance for Needy
3	Families, initiatives to that. Apparently
4	when reviewing the Executive Budget there was
5	an elimination of the in the amount of
6	\$19.4 million regarding TANF funding for a
7	variety of community programs.
8	First of all, you know, what was the
9	purpose behind eliminating those initiatives
10	for the people who need it most in order to
11	improve their quality of life? Was there
12	expectations that the counties would provide
13	these services for the TANF, in support of
14	the TANF funds, and that they wouldn't be
15	getting at this point and do you have an
16	estimate of the number of individuals and
17	residents and families that would be impacted
18	by the elimination of these programs and
19	initiatives because of the cuts?
20	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Sure.
21	Yeah. Thank you very much for the question,
22	Assemblymember.
23	Just to be clear, the TANF program is
24	a block grant, and it will continue this

1	year, as it has since 1996, at over
2	\$2.4 billion in federal funding. So I think
3	your question and correct me if I'm
4	wrong your question refers to a number of
5	changes in the Governor's Executive Budget
6	this year over last year.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Could you just
8	speak a little louder? Or put the microphone
9	a little closer. It's hard to
10	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I
11	thought it was pretty close.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: That's it.
13	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: So I
14	think you're probably referring to a number
15	of programs that were added in the budget
16	process last year, if I'm not mistaken. I'll
17	stand corrected if I'm wrong.
18	But the Governor's budget is largely
19	consistent from the Executive proposal for
20	this year compared to last year. We're in
21	the early stages of the budget process, so I
22	would expect that some of the changes that
23	were part of the budget process last year
24	might become an issue again this year.

1	I would also just add that the fact
2	that those specific programs do not appear in
3	the Executive's budget do not necessarily
4	reflect the position of the Governor that
5	he's in opposition, given the fact that he in
6	fact approved those programs in last year's
7	budget. So I would just encourage the
8	committees to work with the Division of the
9	Budget and the Governor's Office to address
10	the issue.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Hopefully we
12	can move forward with a more positive
13	response.
14	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I
15	understand the comment. Thank you.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Also, in the
17	discussion about homelessness, something that
18	I've had discussions I've had
19	conversations with community groups that
20	respond to issues regarding, let's say,
21	domestic violence. And what's one of the
22	prime reasons for families entering the
23	shelter system is the issue regarding
24	domestic violence.

1	Is there any action or suggestion or
2	policy to address the rise in domestic
3	violence in our communities?
4	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Well,
5	you're exactly correct, Assemblymember. I
6	think the latest statistics that we've seen
7	show that approximately 28 percent of shelter
8	placements are the result of domestic
9	violence.
10	For one thing, I think the social
11	services community is much more sensitive and
12	there's a greater awareness of domestic
13	violence incidents, so I think they're in
14	some ways they're just they're simply
15	being identified, and those individuals who
16	are subjects of domestic violence are being
17	served at a rate that is probably higher than
18	they were in the past.
19	As to the actual addressing the
20	reduction in domestic violence, that's
21	primarily a matter for law enforcement. OTDA
22	has no specific programs related to that
23	issue.
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: It is certainly

1	something that we need to continue to focus
2	on because of the numbers and the increase.
3	And so we obviously need to work on that.
4	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Yup.
5	Agreed.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: The
7	anti-poverty initiative, what types of
8	poverty-reducing actions are being proposed
9	by various municipalities and not-for-profit
10	partners? Have there been a number of
11	contracts that have been approved regarding
12	this issue?
13	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN:
14	Assemblymember, I think you refer to the
15	Empire State Poverty Reduction Initiative.
16	And as you might recall, it was proposed and
17	designed as a two-phase program. So each of
18	the mayors of each of the jurisdictions of
19	the 16 cities have at this point identified
20	their chosen not-for-profit organizers who
21	will coordinate.
22	So we are in OTDA is in the midst
23	of approving contracts with those
24	not-for-profit providers who will be taking

1	the next step, and in some cases already
2	have even before the contracts have gotter
3	executed, they've already taken the steps to
4	form local task forces and come up with plans
5	that will get to your question. So at this
6	point we can't report on what the results of
7	those task force planning activities are, but
8	I also point out that a very key part of that
9	program is for the state, meaning OTDA, to
10	not be prescriptive in what those plans look
11	like.

as you might be aware from the Rochester initiative, the key component about that program that we recognize in the ESPRI program is really the governance model that emphasizes cooperation, inclusiveness, broad-based membership in the task forces, but also to address the very specific problems that those task forces identify within their community.

So we would expect to be seeing plans from each of the task forces coming in over the course of the springtime and early

1	summer, for review and approval by the
2	agency.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Just going back
4	to the homeless issue that you noted.
5	Throughout the state we have increasing
6	numbers of homeless residents, homeless
7	families, in our communities.
8	As a matter of fact, I had received a
9	call from a local gentleman, he had four
10	children, he had a job \$40,000 a year he
11	was earning and he couldn't maintain the
12	rent he was paying, and he was homeless with
13	four children.
14	And it's a struggle, and we don't have
15	the assistance in our local areas outside of
16	New York City that is necessary to provide
17	the kind of support when you are faced with
18	that kind of situation, and it's growing.
19	And it's becoming a very serious issue.
20	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Yeah.
21	I think you put your finger on it, right on
22	the point of what is probably the underlying

base issue of homelessness in the State of

New York, and that's the lack of affordable

23

1	nousing and the lack of units of affordable
2	housing in the State of New York.
3	You pointed out domestic violence.
4	There's also issues that create
5	homelessness surrounding substance abuse and
6	mental health issues. But the underlying
7	concern, the underlying cause of it is the
8	lack of affordable housing. Which is exactly
9	why the Governor proposed a \$20 billion
10	program that, as I mentioned in my remarks,
11	over five years that will create 100,000
12	units of affordable housing and 6,000 units
13	of supportive housing.
L 4	So and it's the supportive housing
15	component that will address those specific
16	issues such as domestic violence, such as
17	substance abuse, such as mental health
18	issues, so an individual or a family can live
19	in a stable housing situation for a long
20	period of time with the services that they
21	would be receiving through that program.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: And it is very

necessary. That funding that you're

suggesting that's outside of New York City,

23

1	that is for
2	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Yeah,
3	so just very specifically, OTDA has a program
4	called HHAP, it's the Homeless Housing
5	Assistance Program, that in recent years has
6	been funded at about \$63.5 million. While
7	it's not specific to upstate, the majority of
8	those housing units and those projects tend
9	to be developed outside of the City of
10	New York, working in very close partnership
11	with our partner agencies, particularly Homes
12	and Community Renewal.
13	I think Mr. Rubin will be testifying

I think Mr. Rubin will be testifying tomorrow, so he could address the affordable-housing side of it better than I can. But the supportive side of the housing programs and projects is very much within OTDA's lane. I'm happy to report that another \$63.5 million toward HHAP projects and capital funding is included in the Governor's budget.

22 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Well, I'll be
23 looking into that. Thank you very much.
24 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Thank

1	you.
2	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
3	We've been joined by Assemblyman Félix
4	Ortiz.
5	Senator?
6	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
7	And we've been joined by Senator Leroy
8	Comrie.
9	Thank you for being here today. I
10	just wanted to ask a couple of questions.
11	Although the Governor's budget assumes a
12	continued decline in overall public
13	assistance for this coming fiscal year, 2018,
L 4	the Executive proposes a \$59 million increase
15	in appropriation authority for the Safety Net
16	Assistance Program, which is an increase of
17	12 percent.
18	As you know, the Safety Net Program is
19	partially funded by the state, but 71 percent
20	of the burden is put on local governments.
21	And as you also know, this could be a
22	lifetime situation for people, and they're
23	expected to work if they can, but the
2./1	aggistance can so on for an aufully long

1	time. So I wanted to ask what factors
2	increased this amount, and why is it in the
3	budget this way?
4	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Those
5	budget amounts that those proposed
6	appropriations that you see in that program
7	are the result of an econometric study that
8	is conducted by the Division of the Budget.
9	So I can't answer your question directly in
10	detail. So it's, again, appropriation
11	authority going forward.
12	But I'm just reminding the committee
13	that the TANF program is 100 percent fully
14	funded by the state for the first five years
15	that folks are on it.
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So do you see this
17	as an ongoing trend, based on your study? Or
18	is this an isolated incident?
19	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I
20	can't tell you, I can't say that it's an
21	ongoing trend necessarily. But it's a
22	budgeting decision, again, to allow the state
23	the authority, the agency authority to take
24	into account the TANF program, the Safety Net

Program, going forward over the course of this fiscal year.

CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: You hear from local governments all the time about the heavy burden that's placed on them through unfunded mandates and so on. So if this is an ongoing trend that your study may suggest, what steps is the agency going to take to address it?

Because obviously it's better for people to be -- if they're able to work, to be able to go out and get a job, and be able to improve their quality of life.

EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Sure. So a number of programs that the Governor has proposed this year address particularly working families and the middle class. So we've seen the increase in the minimum wage, that was adopted last year; we're seeing an increase in the amount of SNAP benefits that will be available to SNAP-eligible households through an administrative change that we'll be making; and the HEAP program. And we're seeing the childcare tax credit and also the additional funding in high-poverty areas to

1	increase pre-K and after-school care.
2	So each of those programs begin to
3	address and begin to support the temporary
4	assistance the TANF program and the Safety
5	Net Program by providing extra resources
6	to get people to become more self-sufficient,
7	and then ultimately be able to step off of
8	public assistance.
9	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Is this econometric
LO	study that you did, was this just something
11	recent? Or do you plan on doing the same
12	study every year?
13	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: It's
L 4	my understanding that the Division of the
15	Budget does this annually. So I can't
16	address the question specifically.
17	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So I mean but
18	you're talking about increased resources, but
19	yet you think the amount's going to go up.
20	So it will be interesting to come back next
21	year and see whether some of the solutions

23 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: And 24 that's really the importance that the

22

that you just outlined actually work or not.

1	Governor has recognized here. We've seen him
2	talk repeatedly over the course of the last
3	few months about supporting working families,
4	and while that is the real point of that
5	from a financial point of view is to get
6	people self-sufficient and not have a need
7	for safety net and public assistance.
8	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay. Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
10	Assemblywoman Didi Barrett.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: Thank you.
12	Thanks for being here.
13	I just had a question. I listened
14	with interest about your comments about SNAP
15	and the safety net around food, and I am
16	as are many of my constituents in my rural
17	district smarting under the Governor's
18	veto of last year at the end of the year of
19	the Farm To Food Bank tax credit.
20	And I'm just wondering if you all have
21	taken a position on that or are engaged in
22	trying to find a way for our farmers
23	because we also have serious poverty in our
24	rural areas, and these are farmers who are

1	willing to donate travel to get the food to
2	the food banks, make sure that this is fresh
3	off the farm, is made available and this
4	tax credit would go a long way to covering
5	some of those costs for them.
6	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: A
7	couple of ways I think that OTDA and the
8	Executive is addressing that concern is,
9	again, to work we're looking to expand the
10	amount of eligibility. The amount of SNAP
11	dollars that households can receive is a
12	little bit technical, so we're making an
13	administrative tweak to the HEAP program
14	which would allow additional dollars for
15	eligible families to go toward a heating
16	allowance which is part of a SNAP eligibility
17	application.
18	So it's a little bit again, a
19	little bit technical, so we're going to
20	expand the HEAP heating season to be

little bit technical, so we're going to
expand the HEAP heating season to be
yearlong, so individuals who apply for SNAP
at the time of year when they would not
otherwise have been in the SNAP heating
season will be eligible for approximately

1	\$228 million additional statewide.
2	So that's how we're going to really
3	maximize some of the SNAP benefit dollars, no
4	additional cost to the state. That will be,
5	again, an administrative change that has
6	already gotten a favorable response from the
7	United States Department of Agriculture.
8	Secondly, and more directly to your
9	question, OTDA has made a concerted effort in
10	partnership with the Department of Ag and
11	Markets to connect with and expand the
12	availability and the use of SNAP benefits at
13	farmers' markets very, very specifically.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: So I guess I'm
15	a little confused, when you're talking about
16	the SNAP and HEAP but how does that really
17	play out for farmers and getting this fresh
18	food to the food banks?
19	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Sure.
20	Between the two items that I just mentioned
21	there will be, first, additional money
22	available for SNAP recipients as a whole.

24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: So the

Second, our concern --

1	recipients would be able to access that
2	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN:
3	Certainly. Yes.
4	So the effort on our part and
5	again, Ag and Markets is really carrying the
6	load on this in terms of making sure that
7	farmers' markets are identified, making sure
8	they have the technology that will allow them
9	to use the SNAP benefit cards. We see it as
10	addressing your question directly.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: So your
12	control is really only where there's systems
13	like that in place. You're making other
14	making money accessible that doesn't funnel
15	through a SNAP or some other kind of program,
16	is not something that you can influence?
17	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I'm
18	not quite sure I understand the question, but
19	again, we're working in close partnership
20	with Ag and Markets
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: Okay.
22	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN:
23	Because to
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: So that's for

1	the farmers' markets. But for the other side
2	of it, for the farmers who want to donate
3	where there's a gap

EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Yeah.

That's not something that we're closely involved with, that would probably be a question for Ag and Markets. But again, we see the nutrition component of needy families as being kind of a continuum of care, if you will.

So we've worked to establish the

FreshConnect program in conjunction with the

Department of Health, which in part and in

some ways puts fresh vegetables from local

markets in urban settings by providing simply

a refrigeration unit so a small bodega, if

you will, can make available fresh foods in

their areas. Together, again, with expanding

SNAP eligibility through outreach, expanding

and maximizing the benefit that we can draw

down from the federal government, together

with making better connections with farmers'

markets.

24 We think, all together, it goes

1	toward and thank you for that question,
2	because it was right on target. That went
3	off the top of my head
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: Okay. I see
5	I'm just about out of time, but I guess I'm
6	still trying to make the link for the safety
7	net that's part of that's food banks. And
8	that are you know, rather than farmers'
9	markets. So, you know, I would love to
10	continue having that conversation.
11	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN:
12	Certainly will. And we'll contact our
13	colleagues at Ag and Markets to see if we can
14	get you some more detail on that.
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: Okay.
16	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: So
17	thank you for the question.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: Great. Thank
19	you.
20	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
21	Senator.
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Kennedy.
23	SENATOR KENNEDY: Thank you very much.
24	Deputy Commissioner, you've already

1	touched on the upstate anti-poverty
2	initiative. Last year's budget included
3	\$25 million for this initiative. You've
4	discussed how the contracts are being
5	approved as we speak. Can you talk about the
6	funds that the state is looking to commit
7	moving forward to ensure the continuation of
8	these initiatives once they are implemented?
9	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Sure.
10	So you may have noticed that there is no
11	additional \$25 million in new appropriations
12	in this year's budget. But last year's
13	\$25 million is proposed to be reappropriated.
14	So it's simply a timing issue.
15	We think that over the course of this
16	year the lion's share of that \$25 million
17	will be committed to each of the anti-poverty
18	designated cities. And hopefully, you know,
19	our view is that if that is successful across
20	the state that, in my view, is that if it
21	is successful, the Governor will recommend an
22	additional \$25 million in the following
23	fiscal year.
24	SENATOR KENNEDY: And are we talking

1	about an additional \$25 million planned for
2	next year's budget? Is that the
3	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Yeah,
4	and I shouldn't pick a specific number,
5	Senator. So we'll see kind of where the
6	program lands over the course of this year.
7	And I think that will provide some guidance
8	to the Governor and to the Legislature as to
9	what that dollar value should be.
10	SENATOR KENNEDY: And the timing for
11	the approval of these contracts with the
12	various municipalities and the organizations
13	that are going to be running these
14	anti-poverty initiatives? What's your
15	timing?
16	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Well,
17	we're contracting agencies, so we're moving
18	the contracts through our agency together
19	with approvals from the Attorney General's
20	office and the office of the Comptroller. We
21	don't see any holdup in our end at this point
22	in time.
23	SENATOR KENNEDY: Okay. Very good.
24	Thank you.

1	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
2	SENATOR KENNEDY: Yeah, I'm sorry
3	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Keep going.
4	SENATOR KENNEDY: Last fall, the
5	Help Buffalo II Apartments opened with
6	47 low-income apartments, 15 units of which
7	were for former homeless veterans. And I
8	know that you've spoken to the issue of
9	homelessness and the work that OTDA is doing
10	to do away with homelessness statewide. This
11	was a joint project with your agency. Your
12	efforts are certainly applauded to reduce
13	homelessness overall for veterans
14	specifically.
15	But what resources does the proposed
16	budget set aside specifically for homeless
17	veterans?
18	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: So
19	first of all, I was happy to attend that
20	groundbreaking in Buffalo, and I think you
21	and I had a chance to meet at that event, so
22	it was one of the better projects that I've
23	been a part of.
24	But to get to your budget question

1	directly, you know, again, the \$63.5 million
2	that will be appropriated again for OTDA's
3	HHAP program is available for veterans'
4	housing. And I can't I'll have to go back
5	and check with counsel to see if there's a
6	specific set-aside. But again, OTDA was
7	happy to have a part of funding that project.
8	SENATOR KENNEDY: What else do you
9	believe that we can do collectively to
10	address this issue of veterans' homelessness,
11	specifically veterans' homelessness among
12	females?
13	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: You
14	know, I think that might be a better question
15	for our colleagues at OASAS and OMH, as that
16	really lands on the human services/social
17	services and not the capital projects side.
18	So I'll have to defer to our partner agencies
19	for a direct answer to the programmatic
20	question, Senator.
21	SENATOR KENNEDY: And just one more
22	question I'd like to bring up. The budget
23	proposed includes \$35 million to create
24	22,000 new after-school slots. This funding

1	is aimed at cities included in this
2	anti-poverty initiative. We discussed this a
3	little earlier with the previous speaker, so
4	I won't go into all the details, but I'm
5	curious if OTDA will be involved in filling
6	these after-school slots.
7	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: OTDA
8	will not have a direct role in implementing
9	this program, even though they are targeted
10	at the Empire State Poverty Reduction cities.
11	And we think that that's a good investment
12	and will go a long way toward alleviating
13	poverty, but I'll leave it to my colleague
14	Sheila Poole to address the programmatic
15	questions behind that.
16	SENATOR KENNEDY: And I suppose that
17	just leads me to another question. Should
18	your agency be involved or more involved in
19	filling these slots, since you're so involved
20	with the anti-poverty initiative?
21	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN:
22	Again, programmatically, that's a little bit
23	out of our area, except as it may pertain to
24	homeless shelter operations. And we do work

1	closely with OCFS on the financial and
2	management side of it, because some of the
3	money does flow through from OTDA to OCFS.
4	SENATOR KENNEDY: Okay. Thank you.
5	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Thank
6	you.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Deputy
8	Commissioner, a few questions.
9	The Summer Youth Program, just could
10	you give a sense of the approximate numbers
11	served through that
12	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Sure.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: and whether that
14	number is increasing, decreasing?
15	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Sure.
16	So thank you for the question. I addressed
17	it briefly in my opening remarks.
18	The number of youth that were served
19	last year, I think, was 18,750 that comes
20	to mind. So the trick here for making sure
21	that each and every one of those slots will
22	be available and funded is to take into
23	account the minimum wage increase that the
24	Governor and the Legislature adopted last

1	year.
2	So to ensure that that to ensure
3	those same number of slots are available this
4	year as were filled last year, you'll see an
5	additional \$5 million appropriation for that
6	purpose.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: As someone who
8	represents mostly rural areas, the sense of
9	that being you know, I know that's been a
10	successful program. But the sense of that
11	being urban-driven, as opposed to some of
12	our, you know, also low-income unemployed
13	youth, that's certainly something that I
14	think for future policy the state should look
15	at.
16	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I
17	appreciate the comment. We'll take a look at
18	that. Thanks.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you.
20	There is a proposal in the budget to
21	do a Lottery intercept. Do we have any

24 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I

dollars?

22

23

estimate on what that should provide in

1	don't have that dollar value in front of me.
2	We'll get that number to you right away.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Okay.
4	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: But
5	the purpose of the proposal is to bring
6	Lottery intercepts in line with other forms
7	of intercepts that are made to recoup public
8	assistance dollars. So child support
9	payments, for example, and tax returns that
10	would be coming in from the federal
11	government.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you.
13	We have a number of programs in the
14	state that we continue to focus on trying to
15	serve people who are in poverty and whatever.
16	Is there anything in this budget or
17	initiatives that we're looking trying to
18	get people to help people leave poverty?
19	You know, it's kind of that challenge, I
20	think, that we have certainly people need
21	the services who are there, but getting them
22	beyond is the next step.
23	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Well,

overall, that is largely the purpose behind

1	the Public Assistance program that is funded
2	largely by the federal government and, as was
3	pointed out, when we get to the safety net
4	assistance with the local share. So that's
5	why as part of those programs there's an
6	employment training component.

In addition, I mentioned a couple of other programs that the Governor had initiated that gets to exactly that goal, such as raising the minimum wage, increasing the number of childcare slots, increasing the number of pre-K and after-school slots that are available. Not to mention the SNAP increase that I mentioned a minute ago, on top of expanding, you know, the HEAP program across the State of New York.

So we think as a group, together with the minimum TANF requirements, we think as a group that provides a program to get to exactly what you've mentioned.

ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Senator Kennedy mentioned the poverty initiative, and I know the Rochester area and in that general region is focused some on that.

1	I was at a forum recently, Catholic
2	Charities, where we were talking about some
3	of their initiatives and working
4	cooperatively on that. One of the handouts
5	at that event was giving a perspective of
6	what a single mom, two young children, not
7	working what benefits might lead to, and
8	all the different things to support her and
9	her family. If she was at minimum wage, and
10	then the chart went out if she was at
11	minimum wage, and adding all those benefits
12	up.
13	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Yup.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: And then it goes
15	out, as you make \$12, \$15, \$18, \$20-plus an
16	hour. And the issue in there sort of brings
17	up the whole benefits cliff issue in the
18	sense that as you make more money, then you
19	get reduced services.
20	Are we looking at ways to try to
21	address the whole benefits cliff issue in
22	trying to help make it for working
23	individuals who are also trying to support
24	families?

1	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: So at
2	the risk of repeating myself, these are the
3	programs that I just outlined that are part
4	of the Governor's initiative that are aimed
5	at doing exactly that, the smoothing out of
6	that cliff and providing supports for folks
7	who are coming off public assistance, for
3	example.

So SNAP dollars are available for folks who are not necessarily -- the eligibility rates are different than for public assistance. Eligibility is different for homeless -- for the heating assistance programs, and eligibility is different in some respects for the pre-K and after-school programs.

So we are thinking, with these programs, beyond what the basic eligibility levels are for public assistance for that exact purpose, so folks can continue to receive some level of supports in different areas should they -- and hopefully they do -- become more self-sustaining and are no longer on public assistance.

Ţ	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you.
2	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Thank
3	you.
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
5	Senator Krueger.
6	SENATOR KRUEGER: Good morning.
7	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Good
8	morning, Senator.
9	SENATOR KRUEGER: So many different
10	directions. Let's start with Senator
11	Young asked about the Safety Net Program
12	growth. And I'm just curious, is that a
13	statewide growth pattern, or are you seeing
14	that in specific areas?
15	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN:
16	That's a statewide appropriation. I don't
17	have a geographic breakdown.
18	SENATOR KRUEGER: No, the population
19	growth on safety net. Was there an actual
20	population increase on the Safety Net Program
21	between last year and this year?
22	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: We
23	haven't seen a fundamental geographic
24	breakdown of that, Senator. I could take a

1	look and see if we have data that would
2	address that question.
3	SENATOR KRUEGER: Okay. Because one
4	of the things I know about the Safety Net
5	Program is there's disproportionately been a
6	growth in the number of people on it, singles
7	cases and family cases, where an adult or
8	adults are suffering from some kind of
9	disability and they're not really able to go

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Well, I think -- I think, just broadly -- I think that's probably a fair statement, since the TANF program is a five-year limited and safety net picks up after that five-year eligibility period.

to work. Is that your understanding?

go

So I think it would be fair to say that, you know, a component of the safety net population -- but again, we'll see if we have some data specifically on who among the safety net recipients are in the category of disabled. I have to take a look at that.

SENATOR KRUEGER: And then if you could also look into and perhaps get back to

1	all of us on the amount of money we're
2	putting into disability advocacy to help
3	people move on to SSI/SSD, which is actually
4	the more appropriate benefit for them if they
5	are suffering a long-term disability.
6	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN:
7	Mm-hmm.
8	SENATOR KRUEGER: And also, of course,
9	it's federally funded, so it's a win for the
10	counties in not having local share. And at
11	least my experience in New York City is the
12	programs have been underfunded, but they're
13	incredibly effective at helping people move
14	onto the correct benefits.
15	So I just listening to my
16	colleagues from the rest of the state, I hope
17	your agency will take a look at whether we
18	can do more to help with disability advocacy
19	to move people onto the appropriate benefits.
20	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I
21	would say we would broadly agree, Senator.
22	So thank you, and we will take a look at
23	that.
2.4	GENIATOD KDIIECED. Thank wou

1	You also talked about SNAP and the
2	importance of expanding participation among
3	people who are eligible. I'm a big supporter
4	of SNAP, and I used to do that work before I
5	became a Senator, including under contract
6	with the city and state to assist people to
7	get benefits.
8	The research consistently has shown

The research consistently has shown
that an enormous population who are eligible
but don't participate are people who actually
do come in for healthcare benefits. So
they're Medicaid-eligible, they may be
Essential Benefits category now through ACA.
Have we done anything to try to provide SNAP
applications through the sites that are
assisting, in the last couple of years,
millions of additional New Yorkers to
participate in Medicaid or Essential Benefits
through the exchange?

EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Sure.

So it's my understanding that in the most -in the cities and in the counties that, you
know -- SNAP is very much part of an
application process should someone come in

1	and apply for Medicaid. So the outreach with
2	the social services professionals around the
3	State of New York I think is there.
4	Where I think we do see some shortages
5	and some shortfalls and in my own
6	experience, and you might know I spent
7	15 years in county government is among the
8	elderly population and also, in some cases,
9	among the rural populations in the State of
10	New York. That's one reason why you've seen
11	the Governor's proposal to make sure that
12	we're partnering with foundations, the
13	private sector, to leverage SNAP outreach
14	dollars in particular for senior citizens,
15	and I think we'll be looking especially at
16	the City of New York over the course of this
17	year.
18	SENATOR KRUEGER: I would add one to
19	your list.
20	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Sure.
21	SENATOR KRUEGER: In discussions
22	recently with a group of advocates for
23	hunting in New York State, a discussion came
24	out about people hunting to provide food for

1	their families. Why don't we do SNAP
2	applications when we do hunting licenses?
3	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN:
4	That's a great idea.
5	SENATOR KRUEGER: And fishing
6	licenses.
7	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Why
8	don't we talk with DEC about that?
9	SENATOR KRUEGER: Okay, I will. But
10	hunting and fishing licenses people are
11	hunting and fishing
12	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Sure
13	SENATOR KRUEGER: both for sport
14	but also for food, and I think that's
15	probably, particularly in rural New York
16	State, a very good way to reach out to a
17	population that might not ever have been
18	exposed to this program.
19	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I'll
20	be sure someone in our agency reaches out to
21	our counterparts at DEC, so thank you.
22	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
23	Homelessness issues and for my
24	city, the numbers are terrifying. You talk

1	about it in your testimony, work going
2	forward to provide funds for supportive
3	housing and for other services involving the
4	shelters.
5	So in '15-'16 we approved money
6	through a JPMorgan settlement for supportive
7	housing. Last year during this budget
8	hearing, that money had not gone out yet. Do
9	you know if that money's gone out?
10	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: You
11	might be referring to the MOU that was much
12	discussed?
13	SENATOR KRUEGER: No. It was the year
14	before that.
15	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Year
16	before that? I'm sorry, I can't address the
17	specific question, Senator. That was before
18	my time at OTDA. And not to make excuses,
19	but we'll try to get an answer for you.
20	SENATOR KRUEGER: Okay. So I'll ask
21	you to do some follow-up on even earlier than
22	the MOU, which has of course not been signed
23	and so those monies have not been spent.
24	My understanding is the Governor has

1	rolled those unspent monies into this year's
2	budget, with some additional money to go
3	forward. So but technically, we're way
4	behind where we ought to be on providing
5	additional funding for supportive housing and
6	other forms of affordable housing
7	specifically for the homeless population.
8	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Yeah,
9	I would respond then, just coming back to my
10	testimony, that some of the supportive
11	housing units we are moving forward with
12	supportive housing development in the State
13	of New York, as I pointed out. About 1200
14	units have already been funded and are in
15	various stages of progress through monies
16	that had already been approved.
17	So as to the MOU, that has not yet
18	been fully executed. As you know, the
19	Governor directed the budget director to sign
20	the MOU, so how and why the Senate and the
21	Assembly I can't speak to the reasoning
22	behind not to to full execution, but OTDA

and our partners at HCR and OMH are moving

forward pretty expeditiously.

23

1	SENATOR KRUEGER: Next question. And
2	it does tie into all those agencies you just
3	mentioned, but I think I'm going to make OTDA
4	the key agency on this.

So my district has Bellevue Men's

Shelter in it, the entryway to the singles
homeless system in New York City. Bellevue

Men's Shelter's, I believe, has approximately
750 to 800 beds. There's been a skyrocketing
number of people who are released from DOCCS
facilities, State OMH facilities, other state
institutions, with the only discharge plan
being drop them off for entry into the
homeless shelter system in my district.

That can't be the right answer. And it isn't legally the right answer. And based on discussions with the city, the number has been growing. So it's as if the state agencies have decided we don't have to deal with discharge planning. People will hit the day we decided they legally can leave or we want them to leave, and then they just take them down to 29th Street and First Avenue in Manhattan.

1	It's a lousy model. What can we do
2	about it?
3	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Well,
4	thank you for the question, Senator. It's
5	been very much top of mind in the short time
6	that I've been with OTDA, and we're working
7	very closely with our partners at DHS and the
8	city and the other districts around the
9	state. It's a question that came up at the
10	New York Public Welfare Association,
11	primarily attended by the district
12	commissioners from outside of New York City.
13	This matter was brought to our
14	attention when I first walked in the door
15	about a year ago at OTDA. We invited the
16	Department of Corrections to address the
17	association last summer. We began putting
18	forward, together with DOCCS and the Public
19	Welfare Association, a plan to at least
20	notify the counties when a discharge is being
21	planned from the prison system.
22	Moreover, we've been in much more
23	close discussions with DHS and with the
24	Department of Corrections in New York City in

1	particular. OTDA's role here is to work with
2	DHS and, more importantly, make the
3	connections with the providers who operate
4	the facilities to make sure that we're
5	facilitating a relationship with the
6	Department of Corrections.

I would also add that the Governor's

Interagency Council on the Homeless has

formed a task force that over the course of

this year will be addressing this issue in

detail with Corrections, with OTDA, with DHS

and the other district providers around in

the counties around the State of New York.

So it's very much on our radar scope. We don't have any answers yet, but it's a thorny issue. And it's an important one, as you pointed out.

SENATOR KRUEGER: And correlated to that, specifically with discharge from DOCCS, how are we doing with programs so that people can have their Medicaid applications started or even completed before they're discharged so that when they return to their local home district, and particularly if they've been

1	taking meds for both health issues and mental
2	health issues while in prison, that they
3	don't find themselves literally with a 45-day
4	gap before they're eligible for anything?
5	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I
6	understand the issue, but I hesitate to speak
7	for the Department of Corrections or the
8	Department of Health on the matter. But I
9	can tell you that there will very, very
10	likely be an issue specifically on the agenda
11	for the Interagency Council Task Force.
12	SENATOR KRUEGER: But it is OTDA that
13	oversees the application process for
14	Medicaid, right?
15	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN:
16	Medicaid in through the DSS offices,
17	right. We oversee that and we also
18	tangentially handle fair hearings issues, you
19	know, around Medicaid eligibility. Yes.
20	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
21	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
22	We've been joined by Senator
23	Velmanette Montgomery.
24	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.

1	We have been joined by Assemblywoman
2	Tremaine Wright.
3	Next, Assemblywoman Lupardo.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Hello. Just a
5	couple of quick questions.
6	Let's talk about SNAP just for a
7	minute. I suspect that one group that is
8	underutilizing SNAP benefits are older
9	adults. You alluded to that earlier.
10	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN:
11	Mm-hmm.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: I understand
13	that a simplified SNAP application is a
L 4	possibility, because it's fairly complicated
15	and I think it's off-putting to older people
16	in general. Can you give us an update on
17	your progress in moving toward a more
18	simplified approach for older adults?
19	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: You
20	may be referring to the IES online system
21	that will ultimately be available to simplify
22	and combine the application process, in this
23	case between SNAP and Medicaid in particular,
24	since the eligibility information is very,

1	very	sımılar.

So IES, the IES project is progressing very nicely. We have submitted a funding proposal to the federal government, who will provide a significant portion of the funding for the development of that system. But I think more directly, I think part of the solution is ensuring that we have local agencies, such as aging service providers and the districts, who are facilitating the enrollments and undertaking the outreach that's necessary.

We understand the complexity behind it. Frankly, I think the SNAP application is one of the easier ones. But we think that -- you know, again, SNAP is a key antipoverty program, and the greater we can expand it, the greater chance we have of keeping people healthy and off public assistance.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: There's also a built-in reluctance on their part to be a burden and take advantage of something that they really should. And so any assistance we can provide with education and providing them

1	with that comfort level I think would be
2	really appreciated as well.
3	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: You
4	know, it's a priority for the Governor, it's
5	a priority for OTDA. And personally, you
6	know, it's one of, in my time in the county
7	governments, one of my favorites.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Thanks.
9	Could you talk about the HEAP
10	expansion? Because I understand the Governor
11	is suggesting it could be then used for
12	weatherization purposes.
13	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Yes.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Two questions.
15	How much of the HEAP grant is currently used
16	each year? And is it possible that this
17	could detract from its primary use? Just
18	trying to get the overall landscape on the
19	funds and this particular diversion, or this
20	expansion.
21	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: So
22	the thinking behind this proposal is taking
23	\$14.5 million and providing it under contract
24	to NYSERDA. NYSERDA has, you know, an

т	excensive network of conclations who do
2	relatively low-dollar-value weatherizations
3	in homes. It's not the only weatherization
4	program that's out there. Homes and
5	Community Renewal also has a weatherization
6	program, but their model tends to be more of
7	a whole-house approach.
8	So by taking some of the HEAP dollars
9	and putting it into a NYSERDA program, we
10	hope to serve many, many more households than
11	perhaps were in the past. So the thinking is
12	to not only touch more households, but to put
13	the emphasis on energy conservation rather
14	than simply paying a utility bill.
L5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Understood.
16	And I just have one last question
L7	about the Lottery intercept. You said you
L8	were trying to align this with child support
19	payments, for example. Do they have a
20	similar 100 percent recoupment for 10 years?
21	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I
22	believe that's the case, yes.
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: So it's not
24	we understood that the rationale was to cut

1	down on illegal purchases that occur while in
2	receipt of public assistance. So that wasn't
3	the connection?
4	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I
5	think there might be two different issues in
6	play here, Assemblymember. The Lottery
7	intercept is really a matter of giving the
8	state another tool to recoup dollars that
9	should be owed back to the state under the
10	Public Assistance Program.
11	The EBT card system and the misuse of
12	EBT cards in locations that are perhaps
13	suspect and where EBT cards are being used in
14	a way that they shouldn't be used
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: To purchase
16	Lottery tickets sometimes, I think that was
17	the
18	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Oh,
19	I'm sorry, I misunderstood.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: No, I wasn't
21	clear.
22	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I
23	misunderstood, yeah.
24	So our enforcement system behind the

1	use of EBT cards is really aimed at the
2	vendors. So if we find that an EBT card has
3	been misused in any way and we have many,
4	many ways of finding that out our
5	enforcement mechanism directly, on top of the
6	investigations that are done by the local
7	districts, is to work very, very closely with
8	some of our state partners. Including the
9	Gaming Commission, which would address the
10	Lottery issue, and also the State Liquor
11	Authority, which would address inappropriate
12	use of EBT benefits in those locations under
13	their jurisdiction.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Okay. Thank
15	you.
16	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Thank
17	you.
18	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
19	Senator?
20	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
21	Senator Persaud.
22	SENATOR PERSAUD: Thank you, Deputy
23	Commissioner.
24	My first question to you is pertaining

1	to the shelters that you evaluated, and
2	97 percent of them had major issues. Did you
3	have the opportunity to close any shelters
4	while you were doing the survey?
5	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Well,
6	first let me clarify, first of all, that
7	97 percent of the shelters that we inspected
8	had some level of violation. So each and
9	every one of those violations we kind of
10	assigned a ranking or a score to. We used
11	the numbers 1 through 3, Level 3, Level 2,
12	Level 1, Level 3 being those violations which
13	presented a very immediate risk to health and
14	safety of a resident of that shelter unit.
15	So those issues were in some cases
16	we demanded the removal of the family and
17	relocation to another safe location. In some

relocation to another safe location. In some cases, we ordered the immediate remediation, in cases where an issue could be addressed within hours without having to relocate the family. So I think there was a total of approximately 100 units of housing in New York City where one of those two things occurred.

1	So the Level 2 and the Level 1
2	violations, being less of a risk to health
3	and public safety, were all put under what we
4	call a corrective action plan. And a
5	corrective action plan is simply a tool, kind
6	of a term of art that we use to hold the
7	local districts accountable. So it would be,
8	in New York City, DHS, and in the county,
9	departments of social services who are
10	directly responsible for ensuring that those
11	violations get corrected. And we used the
12	ranking system as a matter of triaging what
13	was most important.
14	Enforcement tools that the agency has
15	include suspension of payments to the local
16	district should the violations not be
17	corrected; closure of the facilities; and
18	removal of the operators, for example, who in
19	most cases are not-for-profit operators who
20	operate many, many of the shelters in the
21	State of New York.
22	So we've used those tools. I don't
23	think we've done a suspension of payment.

Keeping in mind that the overall purpose of

1	our enforcement program is one of cooperation
2	and one of we're very, very conscious of
3	not taking shelter units offline, and
4	understanding that there is, you know, a
5	shortage of available units, especially in
6	New York City. I mean, you know
7	SENATOR PERSAUD: Oh, we know.
8	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I'm
9	sure you all heard the term cluster sites,
10	and we've all heard the are concerned
11	about the use of hotel-motels. Nobody at
12	ODTA and I'm sure no one at DHS thinks those
13	are the best uses or the best locations for
14	homeless individuals and families. But
15	nonetheless, we're very conscious about not
16	taking units offline, while being very, very
17	diligent about enforcing the correction of
18	violations.
19	So toward that end, we've taken an
20	approach where we will maintain staffing, we
21	will maintain a regulatory structure, and we
22	will maintain an inspection process and
23	schedule that really, really stays on top of

each of the shelters, each of the

T	jurisdictions in the state that are
2	responsible for these repairs, and ensuring
3	that they do in fact get made.
4	And in most cases I'm happy to report
5	we've had good cooperation, in DHS in
6	particular. We all recognize we're kind of
7	in the same business, we have the same
8	interests in some ways. But OTDA will not
9	hesitate to use the enforcement powers at its
10	disposal.
11	SENATOR PERSAUD: One other thing. It
12	covers the Lottery and the decision that
13	someone winning the Lottery, if they were
14	receiving public assistance, has to repay it
15	a hundred percent. Can you tell us what was
16	the justification for that policy?
17	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN:
18	Again, it's aligning the ability to intercept
19	income from individuals that would, as I
20	think we noted, include income tax refunds
21	and child support payments that might be
22	paid. So it's simply to give us another tool
23	to recoup more money for the taxpayers that
24	should be paid, that the public assistance

1	system should be recouping.
2	SENATOR PERSAUD: Okay. But aren't
3	you afraid that sometimes it will force
4	someone back into a public assistance role,
5	if you
6	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I'm
7	sorry, Senator? I couldn't hear you.
8	SENATOR PERSAUD: If you're taking th
9	hundred percent, you're asking them to repay
10	a hundred percent, aren't you afraid that in
11	some cases you may be pushing someone back t
12	public assistance?
13	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: You
L 4	know what, I don't think that's a serious
15	concern on our part. Keeping in mind that
16	the public assistance programs are
17	eligibility-based, to begin with. So if you
18	have a source of income, either from Lottery
19	winnings or an inheritance or, you know, you
20	sell a car or something like that, all those
21	assets are taken into consideration in the
22	eligibility process.
23	SENATOR PERSAUD: Okay, thank you.

EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Thank

1	you.
2	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblyman
4	DenDekker.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Thank you,
6	Mr. Chairman.
7	I'm going to try to stay on the topic,
8	for a moment, of the Lottery intercept. So
9	over the last 10 years, how many New Yorkers
LO	have received some sort of public assistance?
11	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN:
12	Public assistance caseload I have that
13	here for you. The public assistance caseload
14	is approximately 559,000 at this point.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: And that's
16	over the last 10 years?
17	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Oh,
18	no, that's in the last it was as of
19	July 2016.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Okay, as of
21	July 2016. But this intercept goes back for
22	10 years
23	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN:
2.4	Thorola a 10-year lookhaak poriod yeah

1	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: There could be
2	many people that 10 years ago were on public
3	assistance, have since gotten off and have
4	gotten jobs and are now working and doing
5	very well and maybe play the Lottery. And
6	they go play a lottery ticket, and they beat
7	the odds and they get \$700. And the state
8	wants to take all of that money from that
9	person to recoup the taxpayer money that we
10	spent nine years ago?
11	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I
12	think that's an accurate characterization.
13	If you're looking for a number of
14	people this might impact, we can get you that
15	data.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Okay, I
17	appreciate that.
18	So now what I want to know is, do you
19	have on every Lottery terminal in the State
20	of New York a notice to people saying "Before
21	you purchase a lottery ticket, if you
22	received any type of public assistance in the
23	last 10 years and you win, we're going to be
24	taking back the money that we gave you"?

1	because of all chose hundreds of chousands of
2	people, which we know the chance of winning
3	the Lottery is so minute I would imagine
4	you freely take all of their money, but when
5	it comes time to give it back to them, we
6	don't want to.
7	So don't you think it would be
8	appropriate, then, to put a notice at every
9	Lottery terminal telling residents of the
10	State of New York that if they received any
11	money at all in the last 10 years for any
12	type of public assistance and they win over
13	\$600, then you're going to take all the money
14	from them?
15	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Well,
16	we already have the intercept already
17	applies to 50 percent of Lottery winnings
18	over \$600, so this would simply move it from
19	50 percent to 100 percent.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: I understand,
21	but now you're going to 100.
22	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Sure
23	So but to your suggestion about,
2.4	you know nosting a notice that's semothing

1	that could be addressed with Racing &
2	Wagering and the Gaming Commission. That's
3	not would not be an OTDA responsibility.
4	We do not have any oversight over the Lottery
5	system.

ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Would that also include the video lottery terminals at the racinos? Because they're technically the Lottery.

EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Those

Lottery winnings would -- they would be

subject to this intercept. But again, to

your point about notification, I think that's

an issue that could be explored with the

Gaming Commission.

ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: So would it be maybe safe to say that we should also notify people maybe if they have gambled at all and lost, that they should get their money back, seeing you were going to take it from them anyway? Or should that money be deducted from any of the public assistance money they received 10 years ago, because they have given money back to the state.

1	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I'm
2	not quite sure I understand the scenario
3	you
4	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: So let's say
5	10 years ago I was on public assistance and I
6	received and by the way, I would imagine
7	as people get off of public assistance, we
8	don't give them a bill so they know what that
9	amount would be, because we're going to leave
10	it up to you to someday tell them, after you
11	take their money, how much you gave them.
12	I think if someone gets off public
13	assistance, then you should give them a bill
14	saying, you know, while you were on public
15	assistance, we gave you \$45,000, and someday
16	we're going to try to get it back from you
17	over the next 10 years. We should tell them
18	that.
19	And then what we should also do is if
20	they are playing the lottery for nine years
21	and they've lost a thousand dollars a year
22	for nine years, we should deduct that \$9,000
23	from the \$45,000 that you gave them, because

they gave the money back to the state and

1	they didn't win.
2	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I
3	understand the concern, Assemblyman.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: So do you
5	think we should take that into consideration
6	and follow that data?
7	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: We'll
8	take that under advisement. You know, I'm
9	not prepared to discuss the details of that
10	scenario that you just laid out today.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: I'm just very
12	concerned of the hundreds of thousands of
13	people that are playing lotteries or maybe
14	going to racino that receive some sort of
15	public assistance and have no idea that they
16	could be held liable for it in the future,
17	and that we are not keeping track or not
18	advising them to keep track of anything that
19	they spend back to the state that would be
20	considered giving back the money.
21	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Okay,
22	I understand the concern very well now.
23	Thank you.

ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Okay.

1	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
2	Senator?
3	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Montgomery.
4	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Thank you. Good
5	afternoon, or good morning. I want to ask
6	you there are so many things that I would
7	like to ask, but because of time constraints,
8	I'm going to just stick with the whole issue
9	of the housing.
10	Obviously, one of the most painful
11	situations that we have going on in the city
12	is the large number of homeless families with
13	children. Half the homeless people in the
14	city are actually children.
15	So in your testimony and in the
16	Governor's plan, you talk about the
17	\$20 billion proposed investment in affordable
18	housing, and of the 100,000 units that you
19	anticipate to result from that funding, 6,000
20	of those units will be supportive housing.
21	And you also mention that 540 new supportive
22	housing units have come online. Is that this
23	year, this past year, the 540 units?
24	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: This

1	past year. And that 340 is not included in
2	either the 6,000 or 100,000 units.
3	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Okay, so this is
4	over and above the 6,000.
5	And can you give me at some point
6	maybe not just immediately now, but I would
7	like to know, of those 540 supportive housing
8	units, how many might be in districts like
9	mine in Brooklyn?
10	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN:
11	Certainly. We keep track of that data very
12	carefully. We'll get that to you directly,
13	Senator.
14	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: I would
15	appreciate that.
16	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN:
17	Certainly.
18	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: And you talk
19	about a process that I think is really very,
20	very important and that is that you partner
21	with HCR and OMH, and together you provide
22	housing for people with varying needs in
23	terms of supportive housing.
24	So the Northwell report, which is a

1	report to look at ways and proposed ways in
2	which we can improve healthcare delivery in
3	Brooklyn, they include in their
4	recommendations an area that relates to
5	housing. Because according to them, and I
6	certainly agree, that healthcare delivery
7	includes, to some extent there are
8	hospitals in my district where people remain
9	in the hospital because they have nowhere to
10	go when they leave. So the hospital becomes
11	a homeless shelter, in a sense.

And I know that the model that you talk about really does work. There is an organization that specifically provides that model, and it works very, very well.

So my question to you is, how much of the process in terms of looking forward at the 6,000 units -- you mention in your report that you are looking to support -- to bring on 1200 units. So I'm just wondering how much of that reflects this process that we know works, that makes a lot of sense for both providers of services as well as people who are in need of those services. So I'm

1	just asking you to talk about that a little
2	bit.
3	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Sure.
4	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: And also you
5	should know that some people end up going
6	into homeless shelters because it's required
7	that they be in a shelter in order to be
8	eligible for permanent housing. That, to me,
9	is an absolute disgraceful kind of process.
10	So those two issues I would like for
11	you to respond to, if you will.
12	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Okay.
13	Well, thank you. And I'm going to suggest
14	that perhaps you ask the same question of
15	Mr. Rubin tomorrow, because a lot of what you
16	are addressing here has to do with HCR's
17	programs.
18	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Absolutely. I
19	intend to, yes.
20	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: But I
21	think a key point here is that most of the
22	supportive housing projects in the State of
23	New York that I am familiar with are really
24	part of a large partnership. In some

1	cases I was at a supportive housing
2	groundbreaking in Troy late last year where I
3	think there were 12 separate funding partners
4	tied to it. Together with supportive housing
5	providers, it really must be a
6	community-based effort.

So each of the programs has a slightly different application process. HHAP, for example, the program run by our agency, has a rolling RFP process. So over the course of the year, we'll take applications that meet the criteria for the program, and we score them. And it's not a ranking, it's just a pass/fail. So those programs that, you know, pass our scoring test -- which include complete funding, which include an indication that they can continue to operate the supportive programs going forward -- if they pass that test, they get into sort of a first-come-first-served line for approval by the board.

So HCR has a little bit of a different model. OMH is operating an RFP process called the ESSHI, we call it; it's the Empire

1	State Supportive Housing Initiative, which is
2	their component here. So it's, again, a
3	very, very collaborative process, not just
4	within state agencies but with the
5	communities.
6	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: So will you
7	since this model really works, and we're
8	hopeful that based on the Northwell report
9	and the possibility of us having
10	opportunities for supportive housing to be
11	developed in Brooklyn, and the tremendous
12	need that we have for it, can you streamline
13	that process a little bit more so that it's
14	not so difficult to actually bring online and
15	implement that kind of program?
16	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Well,
17	first of all, I must confess I'm not familiar
18	with the report you referred to.
19	Secondly, due to the funding streams
20	that I just noted, the different
21	requirements particularly where federal
22	money is involved, which is the case with HCR
23	programs I would say that what we've done
24	internally to address the streamlining issue

1	is I know at OTDA we provide very, very
2	hands-on support from our staff to assist
3	with the application process, to assist with
4	the program, assembling the program, and even
5	to keep an eye on the actual project
6	development going forward. So we understand
7	the complexities, we understand the
8	timelines.
9	In OTDA I mentioned the scoring
10	process. If a project applicant comes in and
11	does not meet the minimum criteria, we're
12	more than willing to go work with the
13	not-for-profit for the project sponsor to
14	show them where they fell short, suggest ways
15	that they could put together an application
16	process that could ultimately be funded.
17	So I think the way we address the
18	complexity is in a combination of
19	understanding the complexity and, secondly,
20	doing quite a bit of handholding and
21	advisement to the applicants.
22	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: And I certainly
23	appreciate that.
24	And I'd just like to say that

1	hopefully you are looking at, when it comes
2	to affordable housing in particular,
3	supportive housing and et cetera, that you're
4	looking at the not-for-profit housing
5	community to lead the way to development of
6	these units. Because it just seems that we
7	get more benefit when we remove the profit
8	motive, which is what is, you know, inherent
9	in a private developer's motivation.
10	So hopefully we'll see a lot more of
11	what you just described as your participation
12	in helping people to apply for this, putting
13	together this complicated process as well as
14	relying a lot on the not-for-profit housing
15	community, some of whom are extremely expert
16	in doing this kind of housing and
17	programming.
18	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN:
19	Absolutely, Senator. Thank you for the
20	comment.
21	Most of the projects that are
22	undertaken by HHAP and again, I'm not
23	speaking for my colleague Commissioner
24	Rubin most of them are the project

1	sponsors or the key sponsors are
2	not-for-profits.
3	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: And not to be so
4	parochial, but I'm going to ask you and
5	Commissioner Rubin to come to our district
6	and look at some of the projects that we've
7	been able to get you to do that work so well.
8	And I think it's just important for us to try

s to try 9 to do as much as we can to eliminate this

10 problem of homelessness by doing quality 11 affordable housing programs that really work

12 for people.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I think you're spot on, Senator, so I appreciate that very much. And if Mr. Roberts was here today, he would tell you how many trips, how many miles he's put on his car going to ribbon-cuttings and visiting projects. I wish I could say I've had the time to do the same. But we are very much hands-on at the top levels of our agency, so thank you.

SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Thank you, 23

24 Commissioner.

1	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
3	We've been joined by Kimberly
4	Jean-Pierre.
5	And next to question, Assemblywoman
6	Wright.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: Good afternoon.
8	I wanted to ask a few questions regarding
9	well, I have a few questions that I'm going
10	to lay out for you. So one I didn't fully
11	understand, with the Lottery grab-back, what
12	public benefits are currently recouped at
13	100 percent? And if you could just give us a
14	list of what those benefits are. So if it's
15	HEAP, if it's welfare benefits, if it's SNAP
16	dollars, what just so I can get a clear
17	picture of what exactly the grab-back or the
18	recouping effects.
19	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I'm
20	sorry, Assemblymember, I couldn't quite hear
21	the front end of your question.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: Oh, okay. So
23	this is regarding the Lottery recoupment.
24	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN:

1	Lottery intercept, okay.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: Yes. I wanted
3	to understand what public benefits are
4	currently being recaptured at 50 percent and
5	that are going to be thereafter captured at
6	100 percent.
7	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: I
8	know the Public Assistance Program is
9	included in that. I will have to get some
10	detail from counsel and program staff as to
11	whether it applies to HEAP or SNAP. Or
12	anything else, certainly.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: Okay, just give
14	us the global picture.
15	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Sure,
16	absolutely.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: And while I'm
18	asking for lists, I am in a district that
19	overlaps with Senator Montgomery's, and I
20	would also like to I know that you're
21	preparing a list of supportive housing units

within that district. So if you could please

provide one to me, specifically, under

District 56 as well.

22

23

1	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN:
2	Certainly. Happy to do that.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: Thank you.
4	And I wanted to ask you about the
5	supportive housing for our aging community.
6	I know that we said that we have dollars for
7	the actual structure. Do we also have
8	dollars and are you providing or doing a
9	set-aside so that we can have supportive
10	services within the building, be it
11	caretakers, rehabilitation
12	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Yes.
13	Yeah. So that's a very, very important
L 4	component of the supportive housing program
15	that the Governor has initiated, and there
16	are two main funding sources.
17	OTDA has a source of funding that
18	provides beyond capital, beyond
19	construction services. So we have a program
20	within OTDA.
21	And I mentioned what we kind of
22	short-term call ESSHI, the Empire State
23	Housing Initiative, which was another
24	initiative of the Governor's last year. That

1	is	being	managed	by	the	Office	of	Mental
2	Неа	alth.						

3 ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: And so they're 4 both providing dollars for the --

5 EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN:
6 Actual operating and services within
7 supportive housing, yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: Okay. And I think Senator Krueger was asking you about discharge plans for those being released from prisons and jails. And so I wanted to ask you to speak a little bit more fully about the collaborative work that you said that you're going to be doing with DOC, and -because one of the concerns that was raised in our community was that folks who suffer from mental illness, particularly I believe it was bipolar disease, are no longer given prescriptions upon release because we're no longer allowed to, and that they are -- and they also don't have a home to return to, so they're going into the homeless shelters. So they have a prescription waiting at some pharmacy that may be close to where they used

1	to live; however, they have no home in that
2	district.
3	And so how are we I would like to
4	know, how is that going to be addressed? And
5	what efforts are being made so that we can
6	capture that population and actually keep
7	them on their medications?
8	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Sure.
9	I think that's an important point, and I
10	think much more in the lane of the Department
11	of Health, for example, in terms of
12	medications and how and where they're
13	prescribed.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: But aren't you
15	going to do a and I might be wrong; I
16	thought I saw something that said it's going
17	to be case management for the formerly
18	incarcerated here?
19	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: We
20	will be putting part of that I
21	mentioned the task force that will be formed
22	as part of the Governor's Interagency Council
23	on Homelessness. So they will begin their

24 work over the course of 2017, make

1	recommendations for the Department of
2	Corrections, for the human services agencies,
3	for the shelter systems. So I would expect
4	that the concern that you mention will be
5	very much part of that agenda.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: So who's going
7	to be on this I'm sorry if I missed that,
8	but who's going to be on this task force?
9	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: The
10	Department of Corrections, OTDA, I believe
11	DOH and OASAS and perhaps OMH.
12	That task force is still under
13	formation. You might know that the task
14	force is being led by Fran Barrett, directly
15	from the Governor's office, and is staffed by
16	OTDA. So we'll be happy to, you know, get
17	you more information as that task force
18	develops.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: Thank you.
20	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN:
21	You're welcome. Thank you.
22	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
23	Senator?
24	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Yes, Senator

1	Comrie.
2	SENATOR COMRIE: Thank you.
3	Commissioner, I wanted to align myself
4	with the admonitions from the other members
5	regarding the Lottery winnings. I think it's
6	just unfair and it really needs to be looked
7	at. I think that if and I hope that there
8	will be some changes made before the
9	Executive Budget. So I just want to align
10	myself with those thoughts, and also bring up
11	some other issues.
12	Number one, in my mind, the Summer
13	Youth Employment Program. I do see a
14	\$5 million cost-of-living adjustment, but I
15	haven't seen an increase in the number of
16	slots in the Summer Youth Employment Program
17	for at least 10 years. And I wanted to know
18	why are we still stuck at the same number of
19	slots that we've had for 10 years when the
20	need for summer youth to have job
21	opportunities is consistently increasing?
22	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Well,

I would say that as a matter of balancing the

budget and maintaining the number of youth

23

1	employment slots that we had last year, it
2	was important to the Governor to add
3	\$5 million to the program. So, you know,
4	just in the context of the entire budget
5	SENATOR COMRIE: But it doesn't
6	increase the number of slots.
7	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Well,
8	that's correct. But if the appropriation
9	stayed flat, that number of slots would have
10	fallen. So at the very least, you know, the
11	Governor wants to maintain the same number of
12	slots that we had last year with the
13	additional appropriation.
14	SENATOR COMRIE: But in every year
15	there's at least a three-to-one or
16	four-to-one number regarding the people that
17	applied and the people that actually get
18	jobs. And hopefully you know, I'm a
19	beneficiary of the Summer Youth Employment
20	Program. It gave me my first job experience,
21	my first opportunity to work outside of home.
22	And I just don't understand why we
23	haven't worked to increase the number of
24	slots available, not just to increase to

1	adjust for inflation or adjust to deal with
2	the minimum wage. The opportunity for young
3	people especially, all over the state, to
4	have a first work experience that's real and
5	valid is really becoming more and more
6	difficult, because the number of people that
7	are applying and eligible and are frustrated
8	by this continues to grow.

So I would hope that we could increase the number of actual slots this year. I don't think the actual number of slots have been increased for 10 years, and we need to take a hard look at that. And I hope that that can be done as well.

I'm also concerned about some of the other things in the budget that are looking to be eliminated, actually -- the Wheels for Work program. The ATTAIN program has been eliminated altogether. The Career Pathways program, the childcare opportunities that are in here for both CUNY and SUNY, and the childcare demonstration projects. Could you give us some reasons why those critical programs for especially people that are

1	trying to sustain a minimum income are being
2	eliminated?
3	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Sure.
4	And thank you very much for the question,
5	Senator. We understand.
6	But I think the Governor's budget is
7	fairly consistent from last year to this
8	year, and those items, among others, that you
9	just mentioned were added in as part of the
10	budget process last year.
11	So I would point out that the fact
12	that they're not in the Governor's proposed
13	budget does not necessarily indicate
14	opposition to those programs. Indeed, the
15	Governor did in fact approve the budget last
16	year including those programs. So I would
17	leave it to this committee and the budget
18	process, together with the Division of Budget
19	and the Governor's office, to address the
20	concern.
21	SENATOR COMRIE: Okay, so you're
22	clearly saying that you're leaving it up to
23	us to put it back in. I get that. But I

24 would hope that we'd make sure that those

1	programs are put in at the front end of the
2	budget so that those people don't have to
3	stress from year and year and be concerned
4	about it and are coming to our offices to
5	express their shock and disdain that they
6	have to be concerned about something, you
7	know, that's basic to their quality of life
8	is not eliminated as well. So I hope that we
9	can get past that quickly.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And I just also want to align myself with the issues of trying to make sure that children and family shelters are given better opportunities for a smooth transition in housing. I have a lot of -- unfortunately, one of the highest school districts in terms of foster children and transitional children in southeast Queens, where we have many schools that are actually -- actually, one-third to one-half of the school population every year is transitioning because they're moving from shelter to shelter.

And I would hope that we can improve those statistics, working together to make

1	that happen.
2	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: We
3	very much appreciate the concern, Senator.
4	It's something that's very much on the radar
5	scope of and OTDA, as the regulatory
6	oversight agency, is working with those
7	responsible for running the shelter system
8	in this case, the Division of Homeless
9	Services in New York City and the providers.
10	So it's a matter that we've brought to
11	their attention, and I can tell you that it's
12	also of concern to New York City DHS.
13	SENATOR COMRIE: Thank you.
14	Is my five minutes up already? Was
15	that the full time? Time flies.
16	(Laughter.)
17	SENATOR COMRIE: Thank you. Thank
18	you, Madam Chair.
19	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
20	We've been joined by Assemblywoman
21	Cook and Assemblyman Perry.
22	Next to question, Chairwoman Jaffee,
23	to close on this side.
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you T

1	wanted to follow up on a question regarding
2	the public assistance proposal to create a
3	pilot program that would offer case
4	management services for public assistance
5	recipients who are formerly incarcerated.
6	And I wanted to understand a little
7	more in depth, how will the counties be
8	selected for the pilot programs? What kind
9	of case management services do you anticipate
10	for these programs? And what kind of
11	follow-up will there be, and ways to
12	evaluate?
13	I'll tell you, we have an
14	extraordinary program that began in
15	Rockland County in 2014 called MADE, Making A
16	Difference Everyday. And they, you know,
17	really attempt to provide transitional
18	services for formerly incarcerated residents.
19	Is this a program that would be assisted,
20	that type of program providing assistance,
21	through this funding for this pilot program?
22	And it doesn't say specifically where, but
23	how will the counties be determined?
24	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Let

1	me	just	describ	be the	program	as	best	we	 as
2	bes	st it	exists	right	now.				

So two counties would be selected, and the idea is to select two counties from among those counties that already offer their own rent supplement programs. So the idea here is to focus on the homelessness issue and making sure that folks returning from prison are not left homeless, to some of the concerns that we've heard here today.

so understanding that it's \$200,000 and only two counties, I'd like to just reemphasize that it is a pilot program. So that being the case, we're very much looking for some creative programming. So OTDA does not at this point intend to be prescriptive about what exactly those services are, so we very much look forward to applications and proposals from the counties that will be eligible.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: You know, providing them a place to live is certainly -- taking them out of the homeless environment is important, but also assisting

1	them in terms of guidance, providing
2	assistance in terms of maybe they need
3	continuing education, assistance seeking
4	jobs, that kind of and then counseling as
5	well, and with the family as well, the kind
6	of programs and assistance within the
7	community.
8	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Yeah,
9	I think that package of services that you
10	outline would be well received in an
11	application by the agency.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: And I know that
13	would be very helpful, because we can then
14	take the opportunity to turn their lives
15	around and provide them with a positive
16	future and for our community as well.
17	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN:
18	That's the idea behind the pilot project, so
19	thank you very much.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: And I like the
21	pilot programs, but I think hopefully we
22	can then expand and learn that they are
23	making a difference.
24	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Yeah,

1	and some of the concepts that you just
2	outlined again are very much subject to the
3	conversations between the Department of
4	Corrections and especially New York City DHS

So, you know, with all those areas of service that I think the community and those of us in the human services world understand contribute to homelessness and contribute to the difficulty of reentry for folks from the prison system.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you.

And I just want to go back to something that's so essential. You referred to the middle-class tax credit for childcare, which is important. But I also just want to mention that 83 percent of the children in our communities throughout the state that actually qualify for subsidies live in poverty, very, very low income. They are not being provided support. Only 17 percent.

So it's something we have to focus on, something we need to address. Because they desperately need the support. The families need it because they need to work, the

1	children need it because this will prepare
2	them as they move forward for kindergarten
3	and education in a stable environment. And
4	so I just wanted to mention that because I
5	think it's essential.
6	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: We
7	appreciate the comment, and we understand
8	that it's a key part of the Public Assistance
9	Program, even though it's kind of within the
10	expertise of my colleague Sheila Poole, who
11	you heard from a few minutes ago.
12	But again, we collaborate very, very
13	closely with OCFS on these kind of issues.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: And hopefully
15	we can work together to expand that funding.
16	Thank you. Thank you very much.
17	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN:
18	Certainly. Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
20	Senator?
21	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: We're all set,
22	Chairman.
23	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: That's it. Thank
24	you very much.

1	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: Thank
2	you, members, chairs. Thank you to the
3	chairwoman; thank you, Chairman. I'd like to
4	thank the members of the committee.
5	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Tell Mr. Roberts I
6	hope he feels better.
7	EXEC. DEP. COMMISSIONER PERRIN: We
8	very much appreciate the conversation today.
9	So thanks very much.
10	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you for being
11	here today.
12	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you very much.
13	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Next, Roberta
14	Reardon, commissioner, New York State
15	Department of Labor.
16	Good afternoon.
17	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Good afternoon.
18	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Hi, Commissioner.
19	It's a delight to see you.
20	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you.
21	Nice to be here.
22	Senator Young, Assemblymember Farrell,
23	and distinguished members of the committees,
24	thank you for the opportunity to appear

1	before you today to discuss Governor Andrew
2	Cuomo's proposed 2018 budget and the work of
3	the Department of Labor.

During my first year as commissioner,

I have taken part in events and visited

Department of Labor offices in every region

of the state, and I have seen firsthand the

dramatic economic progress that's been made.

New York State's unemployment rate stands at

4.9 percent, down from 8.4 percent, and there

are more than 7.9 million private-sector jobs

in our state, the most on record.

The number of private-sector jobs is up, and unemployment is down, in every region of the state, but there's still much more that we can accomplish together. A great example is the minimum wage. Last year the Governor and the Legislature took a historic step to jump-start New York's economy and make sure no one works a full-time job and lives in poverty. Raising the minimum wage to \$15 will impact about a quarter of the total workforce, more than 2.3 million New Yorkers. It will lift more than

1	250,000 people and about 110,000 families out
2	of poverty. And it will increase consumer
3	spending power by more than \$15.7 billion,
4	which will return to local economies and help
5	sustain even more job growth across the State
6	of New York. And on December 31st, we
7	climbed that first rung on the ladder towards
8	15.

One of our core responsibilities is to ensure that workers are being paid the proper wage. First, we educate, by reaching out to businesses, workers, organizations, and advocacy grups. We recognize that most businesses want to do the right thing, so we're working with them to make sure that they know what that is.

But there are some bad actors who knowingly profit by taking advantage of their workers. It hurts law-abiding businesses by creating unlevel playing fields and is not what we stand for here in the State of New York.

The Department of Labor is a leading member of the Joint Task Force to Combat

Worker Exploitation, and over the past three years we have recovered nearly \$110 million and returned that money to 84,000 workers who have been victimized by wage theft. To put this in perspective, that total is more than double that of any other state in the nation.

In the months ahead, we will continue these efforts, making sure that any worker who is cheated out of their proper pay is made whole.

We also stand by workers who lost a job through no fault of their own. Since the recession ended, we've continued to see fewer and fewer people needing unemployment insurance, but it is no less important.

Three years ago, as a result of the recession, the state's employers owed the federal Unemployment Insurance Trust Fund \$3.5 billion. But because you acted on the Governor's reform proposal, we were able to save employers an estimated \$200 million in interest costs. The trust fund ended 2016 nearly \$1.3 billion in the black, and that's more than four times higher than in 2015.

1	But more reforms are needed. Current
2	unemployment insurance rules discourage
3	part-time work. For each day a claimant
4	works now, even if for as little as an hour,
5	their weekly benefit is reduced by 25
6	percent. A part-time job serves as a bridge
7	to full-time employment, by allowing an
8	individual to maintain or even improve their
9	skills while they're unemployed.
10	The Governor proposes greater
11	incentives for unemployed workers to work
12	part-time, potentially allowing them to
13	return to work more quickly.
14	Another major part of what we do
15	involves connecting job seekers to jobs and
16	supporting businesses throughout the hiring
17	process. For businesses, we offer a suite of
18	no-cost services, in conjunction with Empire
19	State Development, to both facilitate and
20	incentivize hiring.
21	For job seekers, we offer 96 career
22	centers strategically located in every corner
23	of the state. In each center, we have
24	seasoned career experts that work with job

1	seekers of all experience levels. We offer
2	cutting-edge solutions to help people find
3	work, like virtual career fairs, our Jobs
4	Express job bank, the Career Zone tool to
5	help young people plan their future, and an
6	Employability Score, which allows job seekers
7	to explore career openings, assess their
8	employability, and connect with employers
9	online, without leaving home.

How do we know these tools are working? Just ask the nearly 200,000 people that we helped get a job last year. And that number accounts for 25 percent of the people hired through all career centers nationwide, and that is far above any other state in the nation.

At a time when businesses are demanding workers, we are working to meet that demand. But we are also looking to the future. Middle-skill jobs are those that require more than a post-secondary education, but less than a four-year degree, and they represent a significant share of the labor market in New York and indeed across the

	States.

2	This is one reason why Governor Cuomo
3	has proposed the first-in-the-nation
4	Excelsior Scholarship, making college
5	tuition-free for New York's middle-class
6	families at all SUNY and CUNY colleges, and
7	why he's making sure that it also applies to
8	two-year colleges. This will help thousands
9	of young people realize their dream,
10	alleviate the burden of student debt, and
11	help New York State address the need for more
12	trained middle-skill workers.
13	Let me end on a note of reassurance.
14	In a time when everyone is doing more with
15	less across the board, we are assessing and
16	responding to our changing landscape,
17	prepared for the future. As the technology
18	sector continues to boom across the
19	United States, we are harnessing its many
20	benefits here in New York State. By planning
21	for 21st-century jobs under the New York Tech
22	Workforce Development Task Force, we will
23	ensure a pipeline of skilled workers ready to

grow the businesses and economy of tomorrow.

1	We must identify the baseline for tech
2	education and investigate what basic
3	technological literacy should look like. The
4	\$5 million Tech Workforce Training Fund will
5	support innovative training and education
6	solutions preparing New Yorkers for
7	21st-century jobs.
3	The same technology that's creating so

The same technology that's creating so many great jobs is also changing the workforce as we know it. This change means that millions of people -- those who work as caregivers, independent drivers, programmers, and so on -- don't have reliable access to things like medical and unemployment insurance or worker's compensation. The existing system for supporting workers is simply outdated.

Portable benefits would bind things
like retirement to each individual, instead
of an employer, like in the traditional labor
market. And the Portable Benefit Task Force
will investigate these issues and make
recommendations to make sure all workers,
regardless of their industry, have affordable

_			
	20000	+ ~	benefits.
1	access	1.0	nenetter.

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 The gender pay gap is simply 3 unacceptable. So we are going to continue to lead the nation, studying how to close the 4 5 gender wage gap. One estimate predicted that closing the gap would add an additional 6 7 \$4.3 trillion to the U.S. GDP by 2025, not including the effects this would have on 8 reducing the cost of social safety net 9 10 programs and of course the improvements to family life, economic output, and overall 11 12 productivity. This is a time of great uncertainty, 13 14 yes, but New York has weathered uncertainty 15 before. And our mission is clear. No matter 16 what happens on the federal level, we will maintain our high level of service. We are 17

before. And our mission is clear. No matter what happens on the federal level, we will maintain our high level of service. We are going to make sure all workers are protected. If you lost your job, you will still get unemployment insurance benefits. And if you are looking for a job, we are still going to have the resources to help you find it.

With your help, the Department of Labor can continue its legacy of leadership,

Τ	which is the pride of this great state.
2	Thank you so much, and I would appreciate
3	your questions.
4	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
5	Questions?
6	Assemblywoman Jaffee.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: There is a
8	New York Youth Jobs Program.
9	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yes, there is.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Do we have a
11	sense of how many of our youth actually
12	participated in the urban youth program since
13	its inception?
14	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I have the
15	statistics for this past year, and I know
16	that when we increased it with an extra
17	\$20 million for young people who are living
18	outside of urban areas, that the usage of the
19	tax credit increased 50 percent.
20	So we know that there is great
21	interest in this program. The interest is
22	growing. And as more and more employers are
23	made aware of the benefit, we are sure that
24	it will continue to help young people find

1	jobs and employers find good new employees.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: That's
3	certainly do we have any numbers in terms
4	of
5	COMMISSIONER REARDON: The numbers
6	are let me see. In 2016 we had 20,298
7	hires under the program. And in 2015, we had
8	6,808. So it's a really good increase.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: That does.
10	What type of jobs does the program generate?
11	COMMISSIONER REARDON: It's a variety
12	of jobs. Some of them are part-time, some of
13	them are full-time. As you probably know,
14	there's an additional incentive to retain
15	them in a second year of employment. There's
16	another tax benefit for that.
17	It's important to remember that
18	part-time work is also very valuable. I know
19	when I began to work, I certainly had a
20	part-time job, that's where I learned to go
21	into the workforce. So we make sure that
22	whatever is available out there the main
23	thing is we want young people to be connected
24	to work and understand what the world of work

1	is like so they can be successful.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: It's a positive
3	incentive, certainly. And providing them
4	with that opportunity and training, it's very
5	important.
6	Do we have any numbers in terms of how
7	many of them actually are maintained in that
8	employment?
9	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I know that we
10	have statistics which I don't have on this
11	page right now of employers who take
12	advantage of the second-year benefit.
13	But the important thing is
14	remember, think back to what it was like when
15	we all first started working. We often
16	didn't stay in that first job, but it was the
17	portal to beginning to make our way into the
18	world.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: And certainly
20	very helpful. I hope that we can expand
21	that. I know they're increasing it this
22	year, so I'm pleased about that. I think

COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you.

it's important.

23

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Am I correct,
2	from about \$20 million to \$50 million, that
3	change
4	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Mm-hmm.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: to give them
6	more opportunity? Is it only in the urban
7	areas or
8	COMMISSIONER REARDON: No, the 30
9	million is for the urban areas, and then
10	another \$20 million was for anyone anywhere
11	in the state.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Okay. Thank
13	you. Thank you, Commissioner.
14	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Senator.
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
17	Welcome, Commissioner.
18	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: It's always great
20	to see you.
21	COMMISSIONER REARDON: It's great to
22	see you.
23	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I do have several
24	questions. I'm so happy you're here, because

1	there	are	several	things	that	we'd	like	to
2	ask.							

The first one is about a law that was passed, it was Senate Bill 7967 of 2016, Chapter 325. It was sponsored by Senator Martins. And it requires the Department of Labor to use forward-facing employment data and labor market information. And in the past, employment data was by region and it still was set two years behind.

So how is DOL implementing this requirement? Because we need to make sure emerging employment sectors have enough skilled labor to entice businesses to come here. So there was an immediate effective date. I'd like to know where that's at.

COMMISSIONER REARDON: We are working on implementing it. I believe we are working with colleges, two-year and four-year colleges in this process. So it's in the pipeline, we are rolling it out, but it's not fully effective yet.

23 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: When do you think 24 it will be in place?

1	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I do not know,
2	but I can find out for you.
3	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: If you could let us
4	know, that would be helpful.
5	Another question, in the State of the
6	State that the Governor gave around the
7	state, who is on the Portable Benefits Task
8	Force? Because he referenced it. However,
9	it's not included in the budget, there's no
10	language. And I believe it's for independent
11	contractors dealing with Uber, Airbnb, and so
12	on. So who is on this task force, and what
13	are the plans for that?
14	COMMISSIONER REARDON: So I am
15	actually very pleased to be one of the
16	cochairs. Howard Zemsky and I will be
17	cochairing it. And we have not assembled the
18	task force yet, but I know that we will be
19	looking at people from the insurance
20	industries, people from advocacy groups and
21	labor unions, people from current pension and
22	health plans, people who have experience in
23	this area.
24	There are various I know that in

1	New York City there's the Black Car Fund,
2	which is a grouped benefit for drivers in
3	various parts of that industry.

We are already receiving inquiries

from various parts of those communities, and

we are going to have to sit down soon and

talk about who's actually going to -- there

will be people on the task force, and then we

of course will be taking a lot of testimony.

We want to hear across the breadth of this

area what's being done, what's being thought

of.

As an actor, I participated in a multi-employer pension and health fund, very much like the construction industry has, because we worked for lots of different employers and they paid into one fund, and that's how we got our benefits. That's one way -- that was of course overseen by my union. But that's one way to provide these benefits. It was very significant in my work as an actor, and newspeople are also part of my union; they tend to go from employer to employer. And it was a significant benefit

1	to workers in that area that they didn't have
2	16 different pension plans or in and out of
3	insurance plans. It's a great model.
4	But I'm sure there are lots of other
5	models out there. And as we go into in
6	the gig economy world, it becomes more and
7	more important to see what we can do to help
8	people who are caught in that limbo of not
9	having one employer but working steadily for
10	many different employers.
11	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So thank you for
12	that answer.
13	As far as a membership on the Portable
14	Benefits Task Force, I didn't hear two words
15	that we're all fond of here, and that's the
16	Legislature. So would there be
17	representatives from the Assembly and the
18	Senate included on the task force?
19	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I haven't had
20	that conversation. I'm sure we will have
21	that conversation.
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Well, I'm sure that
23	everyone here would like to be represented
24	also. So if that could happen, that would be

I do want to ask too about the Minimum
Wage Task Force. In early January of this
year, the Governor announced a 200-member
task force -- you alluded to it -- dedicated
to enforcing provisions of the new minimum
wage. And you stated that the task force
will only pursue willful and egregious
violations, not violations that are the
result of ignorance or error.
So the first question is, who is

So the first question is, who is staffing the task force? Related to that, are there new FTEs necessary? And will these only be employees of the Department of Labor?

COMMISSIONER REARDON: So I'm happy to say that it is a multi-agency effort. The DOL is of course the driving force, because our inspectors go out every day and enforce wage regulations, and they're highly trained in that area. But we're also working with the Department of State, with Tax and Finance, with Worker Comp. We are working with the DMV. We're putting palm cards and posters in areas where people normally

gather, so that the information is available across the state in various areas.

The people from the other agencies are being trained in wage issues so that they can have those conversations, so it's not just DOL inspectors. We have not hired any new people to do this. But again, our inspectors go out every day and do wage inspections.

We are also working in a lot of different ways to ensure that the business community and workers understand what their proper rate is. So we're doing advertising, we're doing a social media barrage. We have a dedicated page on the New York Gov website. We have trained our call center people specifically about minimum wage issues. Tax and Finance is sending out stuffers in the envelopes that they mail to their customers with information about minimum wage.

I'm very happy to tell you -- I was just down in the call center this morning to talk to them because they have had obviously an uptick in calls, and they're doing a great job. And I took them some doughnuts and said

1	thank you and asked them how it was going.
2	And they said, you know, it's interesting,
3	for many of the people who call, they already
4	know what the wage is. They've seen the
5	advertising, they've read the newspaper
6	articles, wherever they've gotten their
7	information. They know my rate should be X,
8	I'm not sure I'm getting it, what do I do?
9	So that's good. So the workers know.
10	And a lot of the businesses also know. So I
11	feel confident that the information is
12	getting out there. But we are working doing
13	webinars, we do work with the chambers of
14	commerce. We will go one-on-one. There is a
15	place on the DOL website that an employer can
16	go on and say, I would like to have a
17	personal session with someone to explain this
18	wage rule to me, and we will go out and work
19	with them. It's obviously easier to do if
20	there's a collective of like-minded employers
21	that we can reach out to, but we will do
22	one-on-one counseling if that's what they
23	like.
24	Our objective is not to harm the

1	employer, our objective is to make sure that
2	everybody understands what the rights and
3	responsibilities are and, if there's a
4	mistake, we you know, they make the worker
5	whole and no harm, no foul. At some point,
6	you know, maybe in a couple of months when
7	we're going out, if there's a pattern, a
8	repeat pattern of underpaying their workers,
9	or if this employer, frankly, has an
10	extensive history of underpaying their
11	employees, then the outcome will probably be
12	different.

But we are really concerned to make sure that everybody understands the law and that they have an opportunity to do what's the right thing.

CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. And so related to that, but switching a little bit, I wanted to explore employer resources. And the department has been very focused on enforcement. And there are questions that we receive in our offices from employers asking for assistance in compliance with the myriad of laws and regulations that are imposed on

1	them daily. As you know, there's a heavy
2	regulatory burden in New York State on those
3	who provide jobs.
4	So do you have a dedicated office for
5	employers to use as a resource for
6	compliance?
7	COMMISSIONER REARDON: One dedicated
8	office, no. But we do a number of things.
9	So in labor standards we do have the ability
10	to have compliance conferences in certain
11	areas.
12	Again, we want to make sure that we
13	understand regulations can be confusing,
14	often for small businesses. Larger
15	corporations have the wherewithal to
16	understand it, because they have the lawyers
17	to interpret it for them. But for a small
18	business owner, it can be more difficult.
19	And we certainly make ourselves available.
20	We understand that for the overwhelming
21	majority of employers in this state, they
22	want to do the right thing. They don't want
23	to run afoul of the law. They're not looking

24 to cheat their workers. But sometimes it's

2	So we don't have one place. We have a
3	variety of opportunities for people to come
4	to us or for us to go to them.

One of the things that I have looked at since I've been here, a little over a year, I'm very interested in the outward-facing face of the Department of Labor. I think we do a lot of really great work, and probably not enough people know it. So I'm looking to improve that kind of communication, because I think -- I believe the employers really want to do the right thing, and sometimes they just need to be able to ask questions.

CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Anything that you can do to be a resource to them, I think that they would be very appreciative.

I wanted to ask you about the Fast
Food Wage Board order smoothing. And in this
past year's enacted budget, it allowed you,
as commissioner of Labor, to smooth wages for
fast food workers going forward, to keep our
workers on the same minimum wage schedule.

1	As	you	know,	ther	e's	a	lot	of	disparity,	а
2	1 o t	- of	confile	sion	011	+1	nere			

3 So that hasn't happened yet. When is 4 it going to happen?

the legislation that authorized us to look into the fast food wage issue was a year and a half, I believe, before the minimum wage law was enacted. And that was a separate wage board. They took 2,000 written depositions and interviewed multiple hundreds of people on the issue and reached the conclusion that this was a multi-billion-dollar industry that could absorb a higher wage for their workers.

That said, I know that there's language that allows us to do smoothing. We are only five weeks into the minimum wage rollout. We have virtually no data to look at. We are going to continue to monitor the effect not only of the minimum wage, but how it may intersect with the fast food wage as well. And we will look at that and study it as it rolls out. But again, we're barely

1	five weeks into the rollout of the new wage
2	order.
3	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Are you planning on
4	doing the smoothing?
5	COMMISSIONER REARDON: We have not
6	made that decision yet. We are going to
7	continue to look at the data and see what it
8	tells us.
9	And I must say that the information
10	that was gathered for the Fast Food Wage
11	Board, to this point, that information has
12	not changed. Should that begin to change,
13	that would be something for us to consider.
14	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: What will the
15	impact on the economy be to have separate
16	minimum wages for employees doing
17	substantially similar jobs? I mean, that's
18	why the smoothing was included in the budget
19	last year. So what's going to happen? I
20	mean, people are doing the same job and
21	they've got different wages.
22	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I think there
23	are probably many areas in our economy where
24	people do very similar jobs and earn

1	different amounts of money. It's certainly
2	happened in my industry. But we will
3	continue to monitor this and see what the
4	effects are.

I will say that you actually took a great step in helping us begin to deal with this -- what is seen by some people as an inconsistency. Because if we had not managed to raise the minimum wage, the difference between the fast food wage and the lower minimum wage would have been much more stark. So we are in a period, everybody will get to 15. It depends on what city you're in and what region you work in, but everyone gets to 15, some sooner than later. It is a smaller period of time. And I thank you for raising the minimum wage so we're not struggling with an even bigger gap.

CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: How are you helping retailers, convenience stores, places like that who have fast food businesses within their business? So, for example, a Subway in a gas station or a Starbucks in a Target store. Because again, there's a great parity

1	disparity there. So that's the first
2	question.
3	And must all employees of that Target,
4	for example, be paid minimum wage? Or does a
5	Starbucks have to have its own security and
6	cleaning staff because they must make a
7	different wage? It just, again, seems like a
8	lot of confusion.
9	COMMISSIONER REARDON: The wage board
10	was very clear about the definition of the
11	fast food board impact, 30 franchisees or
12	more in the state. And I think the laws are
13	very clear.
L 4	There's always competition for better
15	jobs. I will tell you, as the commissioner
16	of Labor, I'm always happy when workers have
17	more money in their pockets. But, you know,
18	this again is a short period of time, and
19	everyone gets to 15.
20	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
21	I wanted to ask also about the
22	overtime salary threshold, which you also had

referenced. But until recently, New York's

overtime salary threshold was \$35,100

23

1	annually statewide. And on December 29th of
2	2016 so not that long ago the
3	department adopted its proposed rule
4	increasing the threshold beginning on
5	December 31, 2016.
6	So during the seven-week public
7	comment period, how many meetings or hearings
8	were held with the chambers of commerce,
9	business organizations, economists, and local
10	and regional business owners or individual
11	proprietors, to judge their ability to absorb
12	an increase in the statewide minimum wage, a
13	new fast food minimum wage, paid family
14	leave, and an increase to the overtime salary
15	threshold?
16	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I'm not quite
17	sure I so let me answer one thing. The
18	increase in overtime in New York State is
19	labor law. It is pegged to the minimum wage
20	law. There are a number of things that are
21	increased when the minimum wage goes up;
22	overtime is one of them. So it's not
23	anything we did.
24	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: There was a

1	seven-week comment period; correct?
2	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yes.
3	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay. The question
4	is, did you reach out to the employers in
5	New York State to figure out how they were
6	able to absorb all these new changes that
7	were coming down the pike all at once?
8	COMMISSIONER REARDON: We did receive
9	a number of comments during that period. But
10	again, remember that that law is a labor law
11	that we don't have the ability to change.
12	Unless you do something.
13	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: What is the current
14	federal overtime salary threshold?
15	COMMISSIONER REARDON: The current
16	overtime the number for federal?
17	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: The federal
18	overtime salary threshold.
19	COMMISSIONER REARDON: For the federal
20	number, I don't think I have that number.
21	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay. So that
22	actually is \$23,660 annually. Do you know
23	what the large New York City employer, 11 or
24	more employees, what's the current overtime

1	salary threshold?
2	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yes, I have it
3	here. Let me see if I can find it quickly
4	for you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: That's okay, I do
6	have it, Commissioner. So it's \$42,900
7	annually.
8	And the reason I bring this up is that
9	how can New York reasonably attract
10	businesses from states subject to the much
11	lower federal threshold? Because as, again,
12	you can see, there's an enormous disparity
13	there between the two between the three
14	thresholds, actually.
15	COMMISSIONER REARDON: The federal
16	laws in many areas are lesser than a number
17	of state laws, not just New York State.
18	And again, I would just say that the
19	overtime law in New York State has been
20	pegged to the minimum wage labor law for
21	quite a while.
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay, thank you.
23	How many nonprofits just one more
24	question many of which operate on fixed

1	budgets, are subject to this regulation with
2	the overtime salary threshold?
3	COMMISSIONER REARDON: How many
4	nonprofits?
5	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Right. Are there
6	nonprofits, many of which operate on fixed
7	budgets, subject to this regulation?
8	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I don't know
9	how many there are. But again, it's a law
10	that I do not have the ability to
11	unilaterally change.
12	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay. Well, thank
13	you.
14	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
15	Assemblywoman Titus.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN TITUS: Good afternoon,
17	Commissioner.
18	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Good afternoon.
19	It's good to see you.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN TITUS: Good to see you
21	also.
22	I want to thank you, since you've been
23	commissioner, for taking the time off-session
2.4	to git down and most with mo. I look forward

1	to	our	continued	partnership	as	this	session
2	pro	gres	sses.				

I want to go now to the Executive

Budget. The Executive has proposed the

creation of a Division of Central

Administrative Hearings which would be headed

by an administrative law judge appointed by

the Governor. This division would be tasked

with the consolidation of administrative

hearings between 18 agencies, in an attempt

to improve efficiency and access.

I would like for you to discuss -- how do you intend to make this work? And like what agencies in particular will be included in this proposal? What considerations are being made to ensure that those judges will be properly trained in the areas that require more specialized knowledge? And what type hearings do you believe can be shared between agencies? And will this reduce, in fact, the number of ALJs in the system?

COMMISSIONER REARDON: It's a great question. You know, we have two boards, the UI Appeals Board and the IBA. At this point,

1	there is no final decision. This thing is
2	being studied. I don't think it was fully
3	fleshed out in the budget.
4	The idea is there. No decisions have
5	been made, and I think the conversations are
6	just beginning. It is a serious question,
7	but we have not really engaged in the
8	drill-down yet. I can get back to you when
9	that begins, but it hasn't happened yet.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN TITUS: Okay. I'm
11	finished. Thank you.
12	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Senator?
14	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Savino.
15	SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you.
16	Thank you, Commissioner. Good to see
17	you, as always.
18	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Good to see
19	you.
20	SENATOR SAVINO: I want to ask you a
21	bit about the proposal in the budget on
22	expanding the Wage Theft Prevention Act. As
23	you know, it's near and dear to my heart.

24 And I'm just curious, because I'm somewhat

1	confused. In my reading of it, it looks like
2	we're going to expand our efforts to pursue
3	out-of-state LLCs. How will we do that?
4	COMMISSIONER REARDON: So this is
5	really an administrative fix. This was
6	changed a number of years ago for
7	corporations that were formed out of state.
8	Remember that this is for a corporation or an
9	LLC that was formed out-of-state Delaware,
10	whatever but they have businesss in
11	New York. And it allows us to go after
12	this would allow us to go after the top
13	10 members of the LLC. They would be
14	personally liable for those wages.
15	We've already done that for
16	corporations that are formed out of state but
17	have businesses in New York State. And if we
18	don't change it, it actually has the effect
19	of disadvantaging New York LLCs, which is why
20	I believe it originally was changed for
21	corporations.
22	SENATOR SAVINO: But isn't the purpose
23	of establishing an LLC is to protect your
24	personal assets from the action of your

1	business entity? Again, I'm not a lawyer, I
2	don't play one on television
3	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Me either.
4	SENATOR SAVINO: but I would
5	imagine that's what the concept of a limited
6	liability corporation is.
7	I mean, I'm all for it if you can find
8	a way to collect wages that are due to
9	workers. That's the whole purpose behind the
10	Wage Theft Prevention Act. I'm just somewhat
11	confused as to how you're going to accomplish
12	it. I hope you're successful at it, I just
13	question how we're going to accomplish it.
14	But I want to actually talk about the
15	gig economy issue, the portable benefits
16	issue, the tech workforce. You and I have
17	had this discussion. And I have been saying
18	this for years now, the world of work is
19	changing. We can pretend that it's not and
20	do nothing and, you know, abide by the fact
21	that we have two very distinct definitions in

labor law -- which in most respects is

actually very young. You know, labor law is

not that old. Workers are pretty old, but

22

23

1	labor	law	is	not.

But we need -- I believe it's time for

us to find a new definition for the workforce

that is neither an employee or an independent

contractor.

And so I have a bill that I've been working on with Assemblymember Morelle, the Handy bill, it's about the -- but I think we need to do something bigger. Because this is not just one sector of the economy, it's not just the Ubers, it's not just -- you know, it's the platform economies, the gig economies, the freelancers.

We have a hodgepodge, I think over the years, of people attempting to solve this problem, but I think we have an opportunity to get it right. Work is different now. We have a new workforce that likes the flexibility of the independent contractor model, but yearns for the protection of the employer-employee model. And I think we have a responsibility to find what that sweet spot is and get it right.

24 Because if not, we're going to wind up

1	with working people, you know, going in and
2	out of this area and winding up at a later
3	point in their life where they have income
4	insecurity in their later years and they
5	can't make it up, and they become dependent
6	on the state.

So I look forward to that. I've had some discussions with the Executive about this. And, you know, I agree with Senator Young, I think the Legislature needs to be involved in this, because we're going to write the next, you know, major step in labor law, I believe right here in New York State, and I think we need to be part of that.

And finally on the closing the gender pay gap. I will say this as many times as I can. The best way to close the gender pay gap is to put more money into daycare.

Because what keeps women in the workforce is access to quality, affordable childcare.

Gaps in our employment history is what leads to gaps in our economic reality. Because if you have to take time off from work, and then you lose seniority, you lose training, you

1	lose opportunity that's what really hurts
2	us in the long run. It hurts us in our
3	Social Security, in our retirement. That
4	will go a long way towards closing the gender
5	gap a lot faster than doing another study.
6	So I want to thank you for the work
7	you're doing, and I certainly look forward to
8	working with you going forward.
9	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you.
10	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Shelley Mayer.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Good afternoon,
13	Commissioner. Thank you.
14	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Good afternoon.
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Questions on the
16	Wage Theft Act. Do you know how much the
17	Department of Labor has recovered in 2016
18	under the Wage Theft Prevention Act?
19	COMMISSIONER REARDON: We have
20	collected, in the last three years,
21	\$110 million. Let me see if I have the 2016
22	number. It's we have been quite
23	successful, and it's an escalating amount,
24	which is good. I don't know if I actually

Ţ	have the breakdown for the individual years.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Maybe you could
3	get that. I'd be interested.
4	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I will. I'll
5	get that for you.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: How much staff
7	of the department is assigned to work on wage
8	theft issues?
9	COMMISSIONER REARDON: We have the
10	second-largest number of inspectors in the
11	country. These are highly trained, committed
12	professionals. We have, I believe, 125
13	inspectors, and they do an amazing job
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Not to cut you
15	off, I just want to clarify. They inspect on
16	a variety of labor law violations, not
17	exclusively wage theft, is that correct?
18	COMMISSIONER REARDON: How many of
19	them exclusively do wage theft? Let me get
20	you the number. I don't know what that is.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Okay. And some
22	number of these enforcement actions go to the
23	Attorney General, I assume for litigation if
24	they can't get resolved through the

1	department. It would be helpful if you could
2	provide the breakdown of the amount that's
3	recovered through the Attorney General's
4	actions versus the amount that's recovered
5	administratively by the department. If you
6	could give that to me.
7	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Okay.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Second is on
9	this issue of the gender pay gap. And I
10	concur with Senator Savino about the real
11	solution, which is increased investment in
12	childcare.
13	But what do you mean when you say "we
14	are going to study how to close the gender
15	wage gap"? Is there a task force?
16	COMMISSIONER REARDON: We are going to
17	do a study this year. We are committed to
18	doing that. One of the reasons we need to do
19	the study is it's different for different
20	industries and it's different in different
21	regions. And it just seems that it makes
22	sense to understand dive into those
23	industries and regions so we can actually

come up with a better formulaation.

1	You know, when I was a working actor I
2	did a lot of commercials, so I auditioned all
3	the time, and we all took care of each
4	other's children because nobody could afford
5	childcare. And you'd go into an audition
6	room and there would be three baby buggies
7	and one toddler, and they'd go, I'm going in
8	next, and everybody would take care of those
9	children. We were lucky because we had a
10	built-in force of babysitters. That's highly
11	unusual out in the workforce. And I have a
12	great deal of empathy for men and women who
13	struggle everyday to figure out how am I
14	going to take care of my family, and how do I
15	take care of my family. And it is a huge
16	problem.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Right. I just
18	wonder, are the details of the study
19	available yet, or they will be?
20	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Oh, they will
21	be. We've just started, so it's going to be
22	an interesting year.
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: And my last
24	questions are on the Workforce Investment and

1	Opportunity Act. I think in the Executive
2	proposal the Governor would have 15 percent
3	of these funds for discretionary use, and I
4	believe he did last year as well.
5	Do you know how those discretionary
6	amounts were allocated?
7	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I believe last
8	year there was \$3 million dedicated to middle
9	skills. And we've actually put that towards
10	the apprenticeship programs, because that's
11	actually one way to really develop middle
12	skills is through apprenticeships not just
13	in construction trades, in many different
14	industries and sort of encourage
15	businesses and help build those programs.
16	And this year I think \$5 million of it
17	goes to the Tech Training Fund. So he's very
18	you know, we're very aware that the
19	training funds are necessary, particularly
20	for these new areas.
21	The apprenticeship program is very
22	promising. And for those of us who know the
23	building and construction trades, they have
24	done a remarkable job at educating their

workers. And they're multiyear programs that
are supported by the employers. People have
a job, they're paid a wage, they learn on the
job, they have classroom as well. And at the
end of that period, they have a certificate
and they have a career. And it is a really
remarkable program.

We would like to expand that across industries. We are looking into home healthcare, IT. There's some advanced manufacturing, optics. And of course the tech industry is a great opportunity to develop apprenticeships. So we are using some of these funds to help workers and their employers figure out how to do that.

They have to have -- when you establish an apprenticeship, you're saying to these apprentices, You have a job now, you'll be working in this job, but at the end of your work, when you get the certificate, you will also have a job out there waiting. Now, the worst thing you can do is put someone through an apprenticeship when there's no job at the end of it. So we understand that.

1	And it's also there's related
2	instruction, which they go to classrooms and
3	they learn the skills that they need to do
4	the work. It is a marvelous way to educate
5	people for a career.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: I think you would find that there's a joint commitment by many in the Legislature to expanding apprenticeship programs, particularly as they continue to reflect the diversity of the communities we serve, and as a pathway to a middle-class job. And I would just encourage the department to think of that as a collaborative effort rather than the Governor's discretionary judgment.

COMMISSIONER REARDON: I would love to think of it that way. And, you know, as you know, it's an opportunity -- and again, the building trades have been excellent at this recently. The diversity that they have in their apprenticeship programs is remarkable. And it's a way to give opportunity to young people who might not think that that's a job that they could even do.

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Right. Thank
2	you very much.
3	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
5	Senator?
6	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
7	Senator Montgomery is next.
8	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Thank you.
9	Thank you. Good afternoon.
10	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Good afternoon.
11	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Commissioner,
12	thank you so much for being here and
13	answering our questions.
14	I'm going to just try to stick to the
15	one sector, although there's many questions
16	that I could ask about your presentation.
17	But I want to just talk about what happens to
18	young people.
19	So, you know, there's a lot of talking
20	this session and in times past about what do
21	we do with 16- and 17- and 18- and
22	21-year-olds. And I've talked to a lot of
23	young people in that age category in
24	particular, and some a little bit younger,

<b>T</b>	and one of the big issues for them is work.
2	They don't have access to jobs, they are not
3	trained, they have no skills, and so it sort
4	of compounds the problem for them.
5	So now I notice in the Governor's
6	proposal and your presentation you talk about
7	something that is referred to as the Urban
8	Youth Employment program, tax credit program.
9	And it targets private corporations,
10	apparently, that hire at-risk youth.
11	And there's an identification of 13
12	areas where you have targeted for an
13	expenditure of \$50 million in tax credits.
14	And it sounds like you are looking to renew
15	that program.
16	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yes.
17	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: And of course in
18	the process you have changed the name of it
19	from Urban Youth to New York State Youth
20	Employment.
21	So that then appears from this going
22	forward as New York State is investing
23	\$50 million in youth employment programs.
24	However, I have asked, over the years, What

1	are the corporations that actually are
2	participating, and how many youth? Because
3	I'd like to see how many of my young people
4	are actually able to participate in that
5	program.
6	And where have you the largest
7	investments? I notice you have most of the
8	Big 5, so to speak, and then some others as
9	well. But what percent of the funding
10	actually goes to the areas where there's the
11	highest need, largest number of young people,
12	and so forth and so on?
13	So I would really like very much to
14	hear what exactly are we getting in the form
15	of youth employment, numbers of young people,
16	and which corporations are actively
17	participating based on this \$50 million that
18	we've been spending for the last few years
19	and, going forward, expected to spend for the
20	next five years at least.
21	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you.
22	So I can get you some specific
23	breakdowns. I don't have them in the book
24	right now.

1	As I said earlier, the youth tax
2	credit is expanding. We have expanded it
3	last year we didn't, you did expanded
4	from urban youth to \$20 million for youth
5	anywhere in the state, and we've seen the
6	uptick. It is a really good improvement, so
7	we're very pleased with that. I can get you
3	some specifics.

But there's another thing that -- we do a lot of work with young people. We are very painfully aware of the unemployment in young people, both urban and rural. And it is a significant problem.

So our career centers around the state are equipped to work with young people, whether they have a degree or not. If they don't have a degree, we can help them get a GED or whatever they need. If they have a degree but they need training, we can assess what their skills are, what their needs are, what their interests are. And we also assess what the businesses are in that area. Who are they looking for? You know, what kinds of skills do they need? And we try to match

1 the young people with the jobs.

We have the Strike Force, which was started in the Bronx in 2014, where we understood that there was very deep poverty in the Bronx and a lot of it, frankly, was young people. And we went into the Bronx and we did a very focused way of working with the population in the Bronx. We worked intensively with individuals to do that assessment, but really drill down and see what did they need. We also worked with the businesses and did a map of what kinds of jobs were available in the Bronx. And then we began to match them up.

That was a very successful effort, and the unemployment in the Bronx came down significantly. Then we expanded it to the counties with the highest poverty. Again, the same approach. It is a successful approach. Last year, in May, we did the Western New York Strike Force, where we did a slightly different approach. So Western New York's economy is beginning to come up again, and unemployment numbers are falling.

1	But we knew that there were zip codes with
2	very deep poverty, so we focused on those zip
3	codes.

We have five out-stationed centers

outside of our career centers. They are in

the communities. We're working very closely

with the faith leaders in the communities,

because they have very tight relationships

with the communities. They are helping bring

people to us who may -- you know, there are

people who won't think to come into a career

center because they think, I don't have any

hope. Or they think, That's just for people

on unemployment, and I'm not on unemployment

anymore.

We are there for every worker in the state who wants help, at whatever age, at whatever level. And we have worked very hard -- I just spoke to my deputy commissioner for workforce development before I came in, and she said, looking at the strike force numbers -- keeping Western New York aside, because that's a brand-new program -- but for the rest of them, we had

Τ.	75 percent pracement or people who came
2	through the program and completed the
3	process. It is a very, very powerful tool.
4	And I want to assure you that we learn
5	every day from what we do. You know, the
6	strike force in Western New York is a
7	different approach. It's a zip code
8	approach. It's out-stationing. It's working
9	with community leaders to get people in.
LO	It's successful. We're going to be able to
11	take that program and replicate it.
12	At the end of the day not the end
13	of the day, at the beginning of the day we
L 4	want New Yorkers to succeed, we want them to
15	thrive. We want them to be able to feed
16	their families and have comfort in their
17	homes and success on the job. And they
18	should never be held back by where they live,
19	the circumstances of their family, the color
20	of the skin or the people they love. We
21	think that everybody needs that opportunity,
22	because New York can't thrive if the citizens
23	don't thrive.
2.4	CENTEOD MONTCOMEDY. You thank you

1	for that.
2	And listen, I have the Brooklyn Navy
3	Yard, and I also have Southwest Brooklyn
4	Industrial Park and all in between. And so I
5	would like to know if the businesses in those
6	particular areas are able to and are in fact
7	participating. And if that's the case, what
8	do we need to do to help them to do more, to
9	reach out to more young people? Because when
10	we talk about workforce development and
11	developing workers with skills that will have
12	careers in the future, those are the kinds of
13	places that we certainly hopefully want to
14	focus on. So I'm very
15	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I can get you
16	some information. I must say the Brooklyn
17	Navy Yard is an amazing place.
18	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: It is amazing.
19	COMMISSIONER REARDON: There are
20	wonderful innovative industries there
21	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Absolutely.

22

24

work with the community.

COMMISSIONER REARDON: -- and they do

SENATOR MONTGOMERY: And therein is an

1	excellent	opportunity	for	us	to	do	а	lot	of
2	this.								

Lastly, let me just say what about the possibility, through your agency, of doing something like creating a larger expanded opportunity in the cultural institution community for internships, fellowships, and what have you as also a part of your intent to create jobs and opportunities for young people in this category?

COMMISSIONER REARDON: So, you know, I come out of the arts and entertainment sector. I'm very familiar with it. And I have often thought that apprenticeships are actually a unique way for people in that industry to get training.

And I'm having conversations with some people in the industry -- very early days, not ready to have any public conversations -- but there's definitely some interest there.

I know that there are two high schools I believe in New York City that focus on the industry, there's the Ghetto School in the Bronx, and then there's another school I

1	think in Long Island City, next to one of the
2	studios, and they focus on training
3	inner-city youth for that industry.
4	The tax and film credit has really
5	it's a booming industry across the state, and
6	they need more workers. So that's a great
7	opportunity to make sure that everybody has
8	an opportunity.
9	When I did some teaching you know,
10	all actors teach, and I did some teaching at
11	one point, and you always work with young
12	people. And I used to say to them acting is
13	the door you walk through to this industry,
14	because it's the door you know. But once
15	you're through it, you need to pay attention,
16	because it's a huge and varied industry and
17	you can find wonderful careers that are not
18	necessarily the person in front of the
19	camera.
20	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: So the museums
21	and all of those cultural
22	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yes, music
23	and
24	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: they do a lot

1	already, but we would like to see much more
2	support for that sort of thing. Therein is a
3	whole different world for young people, as
4	well as jobs.
5	And let me say I want to thank you.
6	You know, we have a groundswell in the City
7	of New York. Those kinds of programs which
8	offer young people an opportunity to express
9	their talents as well as have a job are
10	extremely important.
11	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I agree.
12	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: And as we move to
13	try and address what happens to keep young
14	people out of the criminal justice system,
15	that's I think where we're going to be most
16	successful in that. So thank you very much
17	for your attention to that.
18	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you very
19	much.
20	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
21	Assemblyman Bronson.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Good afternoon,
23	Commissioner.
24	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Good afternoon.

1	ASSEMLYMAN BRONSON: Very nice seeing
2	you.
3	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you.
4	ASSEMLYMAN BRONSON: So I'm just going
5	to chime in on a couple of things that some
6	of my colleagues said and make a similar
7	request.
8	First of all, on the numbers of
9	inspectors in connection with the wage theft,
10	if you could also supply to us the number of
11	inspectors in connection with enforcing
12	prevailing wage as well, so that
13	COMMISSIONER REARDON: So the public
14	work and the yes.
15	ASSEMLYMAN BRONSON: And I would ask,
16	in that area, if you would also make it
17	well, for all of them, if you could do it
18	geographically, so we know where these folks
19	are going out.
20	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Absolutely.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Second, the
22	Portable Benefits Task Force, I would concur
23	with Senator Young in that we should have
24	legislative representation on that task

1	force, and ask for you and the others to
2	consider that representation.
3	And then the third that has been

brought up regarding -- we have a workforce that's in transition. And as I believe Senator Savino indicated, people don't clearly fit in under the employee category or the independent contractor category. And we know that different agencies have different standards for determining that. And so we really do need to do some work on -- and that's kind of tied in with the benefits area as well.

So on that, I'm going to turn to workforce development. And in particular, the New York Youth Works tax credit, which is called, once again -- that's what it was called back in 2012. It went to Urban Youth. I presume the changes related to the expansion to all of the State of New York.

But do you have any numbers or statistics on the age breakdown of the youth who have been employed under that program?

24 COMMISSIONER REARDON: I'm sure we do.

1	I don't have it right here. You know, it's a
2	pretty narrow it's 16 to 24. We assume
3	that if they're going to be employed
4	full-time, it's 18 to 24. We don't want to
5	encourage 16-year-olds to drop out of school.
6	But I can find that information for you.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: If you could
8	find that out, along with the average length
9	of time and how many jobs are seasonal, that
10	kind of information.
11	And as I understand, under this
12	program the Department of Labor is required
13	to issue a certificate of eligibility for
14	employers on this. So I would presume in
15	your review of that you're looking and
16	then you have to say how much of a tax
17	credit, and that gets sent over to the
18	Department of Taxation. So I presume, under
19	that, we could get more specific data.
20	But also under the statute it requires
21	an annual report from the Department of
22	Labor. And as I can tell, I don't believe

that annual report has been done. It

certainly hasn't been accessible to the

23

1	public. Can you let me know where we stand
2	on that?
3	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Sure. So I'm
4	here a little over a year, and so I'm not
5	sure that I've seen the annual report,
6	because it may have been done before I got
7	here. But I will find out for you.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Yeah, that's
9	vitally important, because it really gets
10	into whether or not this is a successful
11	program.
12	But we do have some information from
13	the Department of Taxation that I think
14	challenges whether or not this program is
15	being successful as it's adopted. And the
16	Department of Taxation indicates that and
17	by the way, there's a three-year delay in
18	getting information from the Department of
19	Taxation, just because of the way the tax
20	credits can be utilized. But in 2012, of
21	the, at that point, the amount allotted, only
22	\$2.3 million was taken advantage of by

24 2013 -- we have numbers for that year

employers for this program.

1	too 700,000. That's it. So we're looking
2	at numbers we don't have 2014, we don't
3	have 2015. 2016, for some reason, the
4	Department of Taxation is estimating
5	\$20 million, yet I don't see where that's
6	historically based. Can you explain that at
7	all?
8	COMMISSIONER REARDON: As I understand
9	it, it is the phenomenon of people qualifying
10	for the tax credit and then not putting it on
11	their taxes. That's part of it.
12	So we overcommit, because people
13	want you know, they put in their paperwork
14	but for some reason they don't do it at the
15	end.
16	My guess is, and this is strictly a
17	guess, if you have a large corporation,
18	you've got tax accountants doing it and they
19	know exactly what it's all about. If you're
20	a smaller industry, you may not be as
21	sophisticated, so they're not putting in for
22	it.
23	But there is a lot of commitment.

ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: All right.

Τ	well, I may be missing that, because the
2	Department of Taxation's report actually does
3	have an estimate of the credit claimed and
4	the credit used, and for the 2012 number the
5	difference there was \$1.3 million versus
6	\$1.2 million. So we're not talking a lot of
7	money there. I'm not sure. We should
8	investigate that.
9	One way we could investigate it,
10	though, is if we could get our hands on the
11	annual reports so we know how many employers
12	are being certified as eligible under the
13	program.
14	That being said, there's also another
15	issue I think we should look at when we're
16	looking at this. Because ultimately the idea
17	here is to get our young people employed,
18	right?
19	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Right.
20	ASSEMLYMAN BRONSON: And so in looking
21	at that, Young Invincibles did a survey at
22	the end of last year and really found that
23	most of the employers didn't feel that a
24	small tax credit was sufficient enough to

1	impact their decision whether or not to hire
2	or to retain young employees. And instead
3	they said having a skilled workforce and
4	folks who are willing to dedicate themselves
5	was a more important or significant
6	indicator, if you will.

So now I'm going to turn to a topic that you know I love, which is the Empire State Apprenticeship Program, which is a bill I carry. We negotiated it in the budget, it didn't survive the budget last year. But the benefit of that program is it has progressive increases in tax credit if a person who is employed is employed as an apprenticeship and they're actually graduating through the apprenticeship program.

And some of my colleagues mentioned hard-to-place youth or people who are trying to work out of poverty. The other benefit of this program is there is an enhanced tax credit if you also have a mentoring program that will help that employee be successful through the apprenticeship program.

24 So what I'm going to ask you to think

Т	about, and ask the administration to think
2	about, is since we have a situation where
3	we're not utilizing all of the tax credit
4	under the now New York Youth Tax Credit
5	Program, and we have some indication that
6	employers are more interested in having an
7	ability to train a skilled workforce, might
8	it not be a good opportunity for us to use
9	some of those tax credit dollars and try to
10	encourage and incentivize employers to hire
11	young people as apprenticeship.
12	COMMISSIONER REARDON: It's an
13	interesting suggestion. I will certainly
14	look into it. Thank you. You know, I love
15	apprenticeships too. We're together on this.
16	ASSEMLYMAN BRONSON: I know we are. I
17	know we are.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: We're out of time.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Okay. Thank you
20	very much.
21	Thank you, Mr. Chair.
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
23	Senator Persaud.
24	SENATOR PERSAUD: Thank you,

1	Commissioner.
2	I just have a couple of questions for
3	you. The first is to do with I'm
4	continuing in the vein of the Urban Youth
5	project, the credit. Do you have any
6	evidence as to how many youth have become
7	advanced in their lot in life after going
8	through this program?
9	COMMISSIONER REARDON: That would be a
10	difficult thing to measure because that's a
11	pretty broad measurement. We do know
12	SENATOR PERSAUD: Well, basically
13	COMMISSIONER REARDON: We do know that
L 4	young people oh, okay, sorry.
15	SENATOR PERSAUD: they move from
16	where they were, really at the poverty level,
17	to higher.
18	COMMISSIONER REARDON: We do know that
19	across the board when young people are
20	exposed to work, whether it's part-time or
21	full-time, that it actually puts them on a
22	better course. And there is a fair amount of
23	study that says if young people are involved,

even in the Summer Youth Works program while

1	they're in high school, that their
2	advancement through life is better. The
3	earlier we can connect young people to
4	training and to jobs, even a part-time job,
5	the more likely it is that they will succeed
6	in later life.

That is some of the thinking behind

the tax credit program, because it encourages

employers to hire them. It may not be the

job they hold forever. It probably won't be.

I certainly didn't stay where I started out.

But it does give them the experience of

getting up and going to work, of having to

perform tasks for somebody else, of having

some money in their pocket that they earned.

It is a self-respect measure as well.

And so anything we can do to help put young people on that path I think is very impactful. The Summer Youth Program itself is a great, great program for young people because, again, it gives them a structured environment, work to report to, self-respect, working in a community of other people -- very few people work alone -- and it sets you

1	up	on	а	good	path	for	life.
	T-			5	T- 0- 0		

So I think that the -- although I don't have the data that you're asking for, I think the larger data says that when you connect young people to work, they have a better prospect.

SENATOR PERSAUD: Do you have any data as to after the tax period for the company, that these youth remain employed? And for how long do they remain employed?

COMMISSIONER REARDON: Chances are, for some of them, they do not stay in that job because they're young. And so they may get a job at local retail store, which helps them understand what that's all about. When they go to get their next job, they've got a resume and they've got some skills and they've got some information to give that employer, and that's very valuable.

One of the things we do at the Career

Center when people come in -- so let's say a

young person comes in and they've been hired

under the tax credit and they worked for a

year for a local retailer, and they come into

1	the Career Center and say, Now what do I do?
2	Our career center person and it's a
3	one-on-one experience will sit down and
4	look at what exactly did they do on the job,
5	what can we list on a resume, do you have any
6	recommendations from your employer? What
7	were your experiences? What else are you
8	interested in? Do you want to stay in the
9	area or do you want to move? And do you need
10	more training? Do you have your high school
11	diploma? Is there some kind of certificate
12	program that would help you advance? And,
13	interestingly, do you know what the world of
14	work offers you?

We have Career Zone, which is an online program at the Career Centers, and young people -- anybody, but mostly young people -- go on, and it has descriptions of jobs and half of them have videos to show this is what you do when you work in a clean room in advanced manufacturing, this is what you do when you work in a pharmacy, this is what you do when you work on a construction site.

1	So they get an idea of the job, it
2	tells them what kind of training they have to
3	have, what the expectation that you might
4	have to pay for that training in some
5	circumstances. It will tell you what the
6	salary ranges are. And are those jobs
7	available in the area they're in, or will
8	they have to move. It is an incredible tool
9	that I only wish every high school student in
10	New York State used, because I think often
11	young people don't know how to make those
12	SENATOR PERSAUD: Maybe we should ask
13	the Department of Education to include it.
14	A question for you. I haven't heard
15	you speak to anything on the Youth Build
16	Program. Can you give us some updates on
17	Youth Build? Are we putting additional money
18	in there? What are we doing with the Youth
19	Build?
20	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I'm sorry,
21	which is the program?
22	SENATOR PERSAUD: Youth Build.
23	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Youth Build? I
24	don't think I know it by that name. I might

1	know it by another name.
2	SENATOR PERSAUD: It's the program
3	where kids who have to go through the court
4	system, they're given an alternative program
5	and they're taught, you know, sciences and
6	jobs.
7	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Would this
8	it might be more of an Education problem, not
9	a Department of Labor program.
10	SENATOR PERSAUD: In the Department of
11	Labor and the Department of Education. You
12	collaborate on the program.
13	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I'm sorry, I
14	don't know about it. I'll have to find out.
15	SENATOR PERSAUD: Yeah. Because we
16	just need to know how is the funding on it.
17	And, you know, is there continued funding,
18	and are there any additions to the program.
19	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I'll find out.
20	SENATOR PERSAUD: And finally, there's
21	a program that was zeroed out that's OTDA
22	covers it. It's the ATTAIN program. And I

see that you have something that's called the

Tech Workforce Task Force. Can you give us

23

1	some information on that?
2	COMMISSIONER REARDON: So it's
3	brand-new. It's in this year's State of the
4	State. And the Tech Workforce Task Force is
5	going to look at what are the basic what's
6	the baseline that young people need if they
7	want to go into the high-tech sector.
8	So I wish I had my cellphone with me;
9	I used to pick it up and go, "This changed
10	everything." And it did.
11	So we are living in a world that's
12	very connected with digital technology, and

So we are living in a world that's very connected with digital technology, and there is a wealth of work out there. People often talk about the high-tech sector like it's one sector. It's everything. Everybody has high-tech in their industry.

But what do young people need to do at the elementary school level, at the middle school level, at the high school level, in order to be ready to go into that industry?

And what's the specialized training that they're going to need? Once they graduate from high school, where do they go? It might be a four-year college program. There are a

1	lot of them. But it might also be a two-year
2	certificate program. We want to find out
3	what those needs are so that we can help
4	prepare our citizens for that work, because
5	that is the work of the future.
6	SENATOR PERSAUD: And are you working
7	with SUNY to cultivate the
8	COMMISSIONER REARDON: We will be
9	working, I'm sure, with everybody in the
10	sector. I mean, it's brand-new. It was just
11	announced in the State of the State, so we're
12	just putting our shoes on. But I'm sure that
13	we are going to be working across the
14	spectrum with everybody in that area, because
15	it's exciting and it's what people want to
16	do. And, you know, in entertainment you can
17	be a CGI illustrator; in medicine, you can do
18	robotic operations. It's not just computer
19	keyboards.
20	SENATOR PERSAUD: In technology now,
21	every kid wants to make an app, to create an
22	app.
23	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yes. And they

should.

1	SENATOR PERSAUD: Thank you very much.
2	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you.
3	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Assemblywoman
5	Lupardo.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Thank you very
7	much.
8	Hello.
9	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Hello.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Nice to see
11	you.
12	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Good to see
13	you.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: I want to talk
15	about the retirement cliff. So we're hearing
16	a lot about the number of people who are
17	leaving the workforce. And that's why your
18	focus on young people and workforce
19	development is so appreciated. But I'm also
20	interested in the retirement cliff for the
21	people who are retiring.
22	So I understand at one time there was
23	a Mature Worker Task Force or a focus on
24	those mature workers who want to stay

1	vibrant, want to stay active, pernaps as
2	mentors, perhaps as new entrepreneurs,
3	because I understand that they're very active
4	in creating new companies probably more
5	than any other sector, it turns out. And
6	they also want to basically be a part of
7	their community for as long as possible.
8	So I was curious if the Department of
9	Labor has any initiatives or interest in
10	working with us on that particular topic.
11	COMMISSIONER REARDON: That is a very
12	good question.
13	We all know the baby boomers are aging
14	and starting to retire. And many of the baby
15	boomers I know have no interest in retiring,
16	or at least fully retiring. So there is a
17	wealth of highly skilled people, very
18	connected people. We're not you know, 70
19	is not the 70 it was 30 years ago. People
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: It's the new
21	50.
22	COMMISSIONER REARDON: age
23	different. Yes.
24	So it's a great resource that frankly

1	is underused. I have had some conversations
2	at the DOL about it. It's something that I
3	want to continue to look into. You know, age
4	discrimination for people the terrible
5	thing is if you lose your job in your
6	mid-fifties, you're probably never going to
7	have the chance you're rarely going to
8	have a chance to replace it with a similar
9	job, and that's just wrong.
10	But we need to look at we talk a
11	lot about how do we help young people, and we
12	should talk about that. But we should also
13	pay attention to the rest of our communities,
14	because people have a lot to offer. Age is
15	just a number.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Okay. Let's
17	work on that together, then, shall we?
18	Thanks. I'm good.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Senator?
20	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Comrie.
21	SENATOR COMRIE: Thank you, Madam
22	Chair.
23	Good afternoon, Commissioner. I
24	wanted to ask you about the workforce

1	initiative and what is being done to linking
2	the workforce initiative with the
3	opportunities at the airports. Can you
4	describe for me what is being done to ensure
5	that there with the expansion of JFK and
6	LaGuardia, what is being done specifically to
7	link and create opportunities to bring people
8	there?
9	COMMISSIONER REARDON: To those jobs.
10	So there's a very thoughtful
11	program we are the first state in the
12	nation to have a state-sponsored
13	preapprenticeship program. That was in last
14	year's budget, I believe. And we have named
15	six training providers for that
16	preapprenticeship program.
17	And the idea is that young people at
18	risk will be put into these preapprenticeship
19	programs, where they receive the kind of
20	training often they have educational
21	deficits for the work that they want to do.
22	So math, reading, whatever it is, they come
23	up to skill level, as well as some basic

skilling. And they are able, in the

1	preapprenticeship program, to preview air or
2	the various trades that are available in
3	apprenticeship programs.
4	When they graduate from the
5	preapprenticeship program, they go into
6	they have a direct entry into an
7	apprenticeship program where they have that
8	wonderful opportunity to have a job, make a
9	living, and learn at the same time.
10	And they will be placed on work sites
11	at those places. So JFK, LaGuardia,
12	Penn-Farley, Javits. We are going to be
13	placing those young people in those jobs so
14	that they have access to that work. It's a
15	really great opportunity to use the resources
16	of the state and help young people at the
17	same time.
18	SENATOR COMRIE: And do you have any
19	numbers on how many people have gone through
20	the apprenticeship program for JFK or
21	LaGuardia?
22	COMMISSIONER REARDON: We just started
23	the preapprenticeship program, so there's
24	nobody nobody has graduated from it yet.

1	But of course these buildouts are years long,
2	so there will be a significant number of
3	people. There may be a number of the
4	number 800 sticks in my mind, but I'm not
5	sure if that's right proposed into this.
6	SENATOR COMRIE: Right. Do you have
7	the location in Queens that it's being done,
8	or is it being done at your existing
9	workforce centers?
10	COMMISSIONER REARDON: The people who
11	are working now at the airports, that's
12	SENATOR COMRIE: No, where do you plan
13	on doing these training apprenticeship
14	programs?
15	COMMISSIONER REARDON: The
16	preapprenticeships, we've just granted the
17	six training providers. I assume they're
18	downstate because the work is downstate. And
19	then the apprenticeship programs for the
20	various unions are located down there as
21	well.
22	SENATOR COMRIE: Okay. Also, as you
23	know, there's still a lot of problems with
24	the issue of minimum wage being provided by

1	subcontractors at the airport, and we still
2	have regular demonstrations from the labor
3	unions that it hasn't trickled down yet.
4	Can you let us know what the
5	Department of Labor has done in monitoring
6	that, or if there's any enforcement from your
7	agency regarding the fact that people at the
8	airports, despite an agreement with the
9	Governor, are still not getting paid proper
10	wages?
11	COMMISSIONER REARDON: If they're not
12	being paid their proper wage and they have
13	lodged a complaint, we will absolutely
14	investigate it. And I know we have been out
15	at the airports. But absolutely, if a worker
16	feels that they've been cheated of their
17	wage, all they have to do is call the contact
18	center and give them their information, and
19	the case will be handed over to our
20	inspectors and we inspect it. Because we
21	take that very seriously.
22	SENATOR COMRIE: But you don't have
23	any specific inspections that you've done out
24	at the airport recently?

COMMISSIONER REARDON: Oh, I'm sure we
do them on an ongoing basis if there's a
problem.
SENATOR COMRIE: Okay, great.
And then just finally, you've
mentioned the Excelsior program in your
testimony. Do you have any details on how
the Excelsior Scholarship Program for the
tuition-free idea from the Governor, is going
to help you in the Department of Labor? And
how would that be exampled? Have you spoke
about it regarding middle-skill workers?
COMMISSIONER REARDON: It actually
offers us a great opportunity. So
middle-skills workers are people with more
than a high school diploma and less than a
four-year college degree. And the Excelsion
scholarship offers free tuition to
middle-class families in the State of
New York at SUNY and CUNY, and that includes
the two-year colleges. So they'll have the
opportunity to go to school, get their degree
without crushing student debt, which we all
realize is just off the charts. We need

1	those skilled workers. So the Excelsion
2	Scholarship Program really enables young
3	young people across the spectrum, across the
4	state you don't have to live in New York
5	City, you can live anywhere. And in fact I
6	think the overwhelming majority of families
7	that will really benefit from this actually
8	live upstate.

But it's a wonderful opportunity for young people to get an education, have a career path, and not be burdened with \$20,000, \$30,000, \$40,000, \$50,000 of debt.

SENATOR COMRIE: So you're going to be advocating for middle-skilled workers that are in two-year programs to get the Excelsior program? Because my understanding, it was geared for four-year graduation. So I would hope that you are advocating for two-year opportunities for especially community colleges to take advantage of the program, because I find that most of the people that are in need are people that are working parents or working adults that are trying to get a leg up. So I hope that is something

1	that is done as well, so that those part-time
2	students can be able to take advantage of the
3	scholarship so
4	COMMISSIONER REARDON: It's a great
5	opportunity.
6	SENATOR COMRIE: it would truly be
7	an opportunity for all students, and not just
8	these students that are already excelling and
9	could get scholarships anywhere else to do
10	any other endeavor.
11	Thank you.
12	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you.
13	SENATOR COMRIE: Thank you, Madam
14	Chair.
15	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
16	Assemblyman Oaks.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you,
18	Commissioner.
19	Actually, just a quick question on the
20	unemployment insurance, the plan to allow
21	individuals to work and not have their
22	benefits totally impacted like they would be
23	today. A number of people I'm interested
24	in seeing that proposal going forward, and

1	hopefully	that	will	make	an	impact.

Do we have any sense, though, of -- an estimate if that's going to have an impact on the fund, whether we're going to then be paying out more because of that or not? Have we done that analysis?

7 COMMISSIONER REARDON: We have done 8 that analysis, I can assure you.

One thing I should point out is that

New York State is the only state in the union

now that does the 25 percent deduction for

one day of work. Every other state does the

income disregard. So it seems to be working

pretty well other places. But we've looked

very closely at our own fund, and the

determination was that it would be neutral,

which was great.

18 ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Okay. Thank you
19 very much.

20 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Senator.

21 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.

22 Senator Montgomery.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

23 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Thank you,

24 Commissioner. Thank you, Madam Chair.

2 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: I did. This is 3 my second time.

I just want to ask -- I want to follow up, if I may, on the Youth Build program. It may not be familiar to you, but I certainly would welcome an opportunity to talk to you more about it. And I just want you to know that it has been around for a very long time, but formerly basically funded through the federal process. It is statewide, they have programs across the state. Not nearly enough, but the ones that do exist are extremely important and effective.

And the thing about this program is that it combines an opportunity for young people to develop skills in certain areas — the one in Brooklyn that I'm aware of, I've visited any number of times, young people are gaining skills in the building trades. So — and they're also in the process of rebuilding their own communities. And I met a young man who went through the Youth Build program who now, based on his experience there, was able

1	to be hired by a housing developer, a
2	for-profit developer, in fact, was mentored
3	by him and is now doing electrical work in
4	his own community, based on the skills that
5	he gained.
6	COMMISSIONER REARDON: That's great.
7	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Yes. And these
8	are young people who, but for the Youth Build
9	experience, would have gone further into the
10	criminal justice, juvenile justice system.
11	And so it is an extremely important
12	program, and several of us have been
13	communicating, we're trying to figure out
14	it doesn't fit neatly in one place or
15	another. It is obviously you know, it's
16	monitoring, it's a diversion program for
17	young people, it's a work program. Young
18	people have a stipend that goes along with
19	their participation in it. Very, very
20	important, because many of them absolutely
21	need it. And it's part of workforce
22	development. So trying to fit it one place
23	or another is sometimes difficult.
24	But it seems that it would be very,

1	very important for your department to really
2	be supportive in spearheading it. We
3	certainly wish that it would. And what has
4	happened in the last few years is that that
5	the Legislature has put in a small amount, it
6	doesn't go nearly far enough. The New York
7	City Council has put funding into it. But we
8	don't have a place where our state actually
9	is preparing to fund and support this
10	important program.
11	So I would really we would ask, and
12	I'm just taking the liberty of including my
13	colleagues up here, because we've put money
14	in, we talk to each other every year,
15	scrounge up a little money for it. But this
16	really is something that we would like to see
17	done.
18	COMMISSIONER REARDON: It sounds
19	fascinating. I'll look into it. Thank you.
20	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Thank you very
21	much.
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Assembly?
23	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Yes. Assemblyman
24	DenDekker.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Thank you very
2	much, Commissioner. I also want to thank you
3	for your service when you served as
4	co-president with Ken Howard. I'm a
5	SAG-AFTRA member myself,
6	COMMISSIONER REARDON: That's right.
7	Thank you.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: and I
9	appreciate the service that you gave us
10	during that time of transition.
11	So I wanted to ask a question
12	specifically about when unionized labor and
13	management in a non-public-employee
14	relationship in the private sector are
15	during a dispute. For example, I know CWA up
16	in Waterford right now is having an issue.
17	Is there any specific period of time when
18	those two parties don't meet that the
19	Department of Labor will get involved and at
20	least try to get management and labor to the
21	table?
22	COMMISSIONER REARDON: That's an
23	interesting question, actually. There are
24	federal mediators, so they may actually be

<u>l</u>	they may ask for a federal mediator. I know
2	that when we had the commercial strike in
3	2000, we had a federal mediator for that
1	strike. So that may happen. Sometimes it's
5	imposed on them; sometimes it's asked for.

The Department of Labor, we do not go into that area. But I do know that -- you know, anything we can do, of course, to facilitate conversations, we would do. But to officially mediate, I don't think that would be a position we could do.

ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Okay. So I'm just going to make a recommendation, rather than put it into a bill format. But maybe you could look at just looking when these actions are taking place between management and labor, and not necessarily mediating, but just requiring them, like after 30 days of no communication, that they have to at least sit down and talk for an hour and then they can leave. But just to force them, both sides, to come to the table and at least have a talk.

24 Because when you're going on strike or

1	having a labor dispute for 90-some-odd days,
2	and in many cases it can be even longer, for
3	someone not to hold one side or the other
4	side accountable to at least sit at the table
5	and have a discussion, I think we're doing a
6	disservice to those employees and those
7	employers. First of all, they need the
8	employees back at work to do their job, and
9	the employees want to go back to work in some
10	cases. But I just think we should look at
11	that. It would be an interesting thing.
12	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: The other
14	thing I wanted to ask is the Executive Budget
15	proposes the creation of a Division of
16	Central Administrative Hearings. Is that
17	under the Department of Labor?
18	COMMISSIONER REARDON: The ALJ
19	that's not under us. That's separate.
20	We have two boards that might
21	potentially be involved in that, but that's
22	in very early stages, the discussion is just
23	starting. I think there's a discussion about
24	doing a test with maybe a selection of the

1	boards,	but	not	all	of	them	at	first.	But
2	we're v	ery,	very	ear	ly	in t	he	conversa	tion.

ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: The only real concern I have about this is that many agencies that have -- and those judges that have expertise in a specific area, whether it be environmental issues or labor issues, that these administrative judges are going to get lost in the system and we're just going to have people with some sort of general knowledge and not have the expertise that is required of those judges.

And that's what I'm afraid we're going to lose, and I think we should leave it the way it is. And if -- I don't see why the consolidation would be so great to now have someone whose expertise in an environmental issue is now going to be maybe hearing cases in labor. I just -- I would be afraid that there wouldn't be proper representation and it wouldn't be there.

So those are my two major concerns.

And my last concern is I'm the chair of

Veterans in the Assembly, and we are working

1	on a program I'm glad you like the
2	apprenticeship programs in the building
3	trades. Both of my children graduated from
4	those programs
5	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Excellent.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: and have
7	jobs that pay more than me.
8	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yes, of course
9	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: So I'm very
10	very happy for them
11	COMMISSIONER REARDON: You're a happy
12	father.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: that my
14	children are making more than me.
15	However, there's a program called
16	Helmets to Hardhats in New York City
17	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yes, excellent
18	program.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: It's a great
20	program. And actually last year we got some
21	funding, in the veterans portion under the
22	Division of Veterans, to support that project
23	to expand outside of New York City. However,
24	this year that so far has been cut.

1	So I would like to know, is the
2	Department of Labor doing anything for
3	veterans specifically that may not have
4	you maybe haven't communicated to me. Or, if
5	not, maybe we could look at taking this
6	project out of the veterans area and putting
7	it into the labor area and somehow creating
3	more opportunities for veterans.
9	And the reason why I am so concerned

about that is the expense that we have or that we could incur when we find out -- our studies and our hearings have shown that veterans that don't get a job when they return run into sometimes other issues, which can cost us, as a state and as a society, much more damage. And anything we can do to help them when they transition back would be the best thing.

And it's all about jobs. Every single group I go to, the first word out of a veteran's mouth is I just want to work. I just want to work.

So I don't know why we have to have cuts like this, but I'd love to know any

1	programs	that	are	specifically	designated	to
2	veterans	under	you	r department.		

commissioner rearron: We have a lot of programs at the DOL for vets. We have -- in our Career Centers, we have dedicated career counselors for returning vets and then for disabled vets. That's two separate programs. And we make sure that they get their individual appointments so they're not coming -- they have first service, they come to the front of the line. We are very focused on that.

I should tell you that before I was here, I did some consulting with the AFL-CIO, and they have the Veterans Council, and a good friend of mine became their executive director when I got this job. And I said, "Tell me what we can do." He said, "New York State DOL does better than most states, period, when it comes to veterans."

So we take it very seriously. There are a lot of programs. There are tax credits for hiring vets, there are training programs for vets. And they do step to the front of

1	the line in any Career Center that they come
2	into, because we all really respect the
3	service they have given us. And it's the
4	least we can do to make sure we help them.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Okay, thank
6	you very much.
7	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you.
8	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
9	Senator Krueger.
10	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
11	Afternoon, Commissioner.
12	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Good afternoon.
13	SENATOR KRUEGER: So many of my
14	colleagues brought up the Urban Youth Jobs
15	Tax Credit, or the newly titled model. But I
16	don't think we've talked about another
17	program, the Minimum Wage Subsidy Program for
18	youth employment, and that's costing the
19	state almost the same amount of money. And I
20	was never really big on that program, I will
21	say that to you now. But I'm curious, since
22	we're running two programs of approximately
23	the same dollar cost to the state, both
24	targeting youth, tell me a little bit about

1	the Minimum Wage Subsidy Program and how it
2	contrasts or compares to the Urban Youth Job
3	Tax Credit.
4	COMMISSIONER REARDON: So they are
5	different. The minimum wage subsidy says
6	that if you hire youth I believe up to I
7	don't know if it's up to 19
8	SENATOR KRUEGER: I think 16 to 20,
9	maybe?
10	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yeah, something
11	like that. And they are paid the minimum
12	wage, you can get \$1.35 an hour in a tax
13	break.
14	So an interesting story, actually,
15	with Commissioner Ball from Ag and Markets;
16	we've had this conversation. The farmers use
17	this, and some of the farmers have come and
18	said, "You know, the problem with that
19	particular tax credit is I can't give them a
20	raise. Because if I give them the raise, I
21	lose the tax credit."
22	SENATOR KRUEGER: Exactly.
23	COMMISSIONER REARDON: So that's one
24	difference.

1	The New York Youth Works is not simply
2	for minimum wage. It's for being hired. So
3	we're not saying you can only make this
4	minimum wage in order to qualify, we just
5	want you to hire the youth, employ them. If
6	they stay a second year, you get a second tax
7	credit. It really is about keeping that
8	youth on the job.
9	So they are very different. They are
10	both useful. And they kind of serve slightly
11	different purposes. For some industries,
12	where they feel that the minimum wage is a
13	burden, they can take advantage of this tax
14	break. The New York Youth Works is a
15	different kind of program, really encouraging
16	people to look at youths that they might not
17	normally think of hiring.
18	SENATOR KRUEGER: And can you tell
19	us so I have the same concern, that this
20	was not the supplemental program for minimum
21	wage but it was a never-can-get-above-
22	minimum-wage model.
23	And second was that it would be used

by the large institutional fast food

1	companies who want to hire youth anyway, and
2	were going to hire youth anyway, and would
3	just be the people of New York giving \$1.35
4	an hour towards the wages that they were
5	paying.
6	So can you tell me what industries
7	besides farmers because I had not thought
8	about farmers are actually using that
9	credit?
10	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I don't have
11	that information. I can find out.
12	I mean, I've had the conversation with
13	Commissioner Ball because we have a lot of
14	conversations, and that was part of it. And
15	I honestly have not read the law, so I don't
16	know if there's any bar to the size of the
17	company that gets to use it. I don't know.
18	SENATOR KRUEGER: So there's also been
19	a number of discussions about annual reports
20	coming or not coming. And I'm not always so
21	big on making enormous demands on annual
22	reports, but it seems to me on both of those

programs the questions we really want to

know, since we're putting more money into

23

1	them, we're continuing the programs, is
2	what's working? Are they continuing to keep
3	these jobs after the credit is used up? Are
4	they is it just a way for some companies
5	to figure out how to use the tax dollar to
6	subsidize the wage they would have paid the
7	exact same people anyway? I think that's
8	actually very important information for us to
9	know.
10	COMMISSIONER REARDON: That's an

COMMISSIONER REARDON: That's an interesting question. Yeah, thank you.

SENATOR KRUEGER: Finally, most of your job training and workforce development money is federal. What happens if and when it gets cut out at the federal level? What are you going to be closing?

COMMISSIONER REARDON: It's a serious question. As you know, a very large part of the DOL budget is the federal budget, because of unemployment insurance and various programs. Of course we're concerned about that. It's hard to plan for a future when you don't know -- you know, we're so early in this new administration we don't know what to

1	expect. So we're thinking about it. But
2	until something more develops, it's hard to
3	really plan anything.
4	I will say if we think about the
5	majority, frankly, of our training programs,
6	the training dollars that we get from the
7	federal government, these are programs that
8	are there to train people in need and lift
9	them into the middle class. And the

people into the middle class. And it is continuing to be a conversation. And I just

conversation in the election was lifting

13 think -- I hope, I pray -- that people will
14 not do something that will actually eliminate

15 those opportunities to lift people into the

16 middle class.

10

20

21

22

23

24

SENATOR KRUEGER: And if the WIA fund,
say, disappeared, how much money is that to
the State of New York each year?

COMMISSIONER REARDON: The WIA funds are a lot of our training dollars. I don't have -- I can look at it, it's in here.

But I would be very surprised if the money disappeared. It would be a huge impact

1	across the country. I mean, every state in
2	the union depends on these funds to train
3	their citizens. So you're the politicians, I
4	think you can assess the risk. But it might
5	get cut. That's a real possibility.
6	SENATOR KRUEGER: I think that it's a
7	real possibility, and it's a very disturbing
8	one for this you're right, every state in
9	the nation, but particularly for us. Because
10	I think that you have been successful in
11	redirecting and using these funds very
12	effectively, and it would be a tragedy for
13	the efforts we're making to lose that federal
14	money at this time.
15	Thank you.
16	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you.
17	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
18	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
19	Assemblywoman Jaffee, to close.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you,
21	Commissioner.
22	I wanted to just briefly thank you for
23	your incentives for jobs for our youth and
24	for our communities. There was a

1	conversation here, or a comment, about gender
2	equity in terms of pay equity, something
3	we've been discussing
4	COMMISSIONER REARDON: For a long
5	time.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: in the
7	Assembly for a long time. But I wanted to
8	just raise awareness of a piece of
9	legislation that I passed several years ago,
10	which it's not just about pay equity, it's
11	about opportunity as well. In too many of
12	our employment sites, they were not providing
13	women with the knowledge about various jobs
L 4	that might be available because they were
15	setting aside those jobs for the men. And
16	primarily women were given information about
17	secretarial jobs and that kind of job status.
18	So the legislation really requires
19	that the state, at these sites, provide women
20	with the same opportunities that are offered
21	to men, as well as making them aware that
22	training is also available. That will expand

our workforce for women as well as providing

the kind of pay equity that is absolutely

23

7.
7

So I wanted to share that with you.

And hopefully we can take a look at whether

or not -- how that has been implemented and

what kind of impact that has had for women

and job availability.

COMMISSIONER REARDON: You know, those are really important opportunities. We know probably personally how important those opportunities are.

I will say in the construction trades in New York City, there's a group called Nontraditional Employment for Women, because the construction trades offer incredible opportunities for a solid career path. But that's a path that many women would not choose for a variety of reasons — their own bias, to start with: I don't want to swing a hammer. But it's a preapprenticeship program that brings them in, they are introduced to the trades, they make a selection, they go into an apprenticeship and they have a career. And the more programs that we can do like that, which doesn't exclude men, it just

1	simply says women should think about being an
2	electrician as well, or a painter or
3	whatever.
4	So I'm very supportive of those
5	programs.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Well, I would
7	have wanted to be an electrician or work like
8	that, but they didn't suggest that happen.
9	So when I got married, my husband bought me a
10	tool kit, because he knew I liked to do that
11	kind of thing, and I started to build things
12	in the house.
13	But thank you. That's an interesting
14	idea. I will certainly take a look at that.
15	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
17	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you,
18	Commissioner. I think we're done. So again,
19	thank you for being here.
20	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
21	much.
22	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you very

CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Good job.

23 much.

1	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Greg Olsen,
2	New York State Office for the Aging.
3	We did a lot of it today.
4	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: I know. I'm
5	impressed. I'm impressed that you guys are
6	still hanging with me here.
7	Well, good morning, Chairpersons Young
8	and Farrell and all the distinguished members
9	of the Senate Finance and Assembly Ways and
10	Means Committees. Chairperson Serino, it's
11	good to see you. And Chairperson Lupardo,
12	welcome to Aging. I'm really excited to be
13	working with you.
14	I am Greg Olsen, I am the acting
15	director of the New York State Office for the
16	Aging, and I'm really honored to testify on
17	the portions of Governor Cuomo's proposed
18	budget that affects older New Yorkers.
19	The New York State Office for the
20	Aging administers programs and services for
21	New Yorkers 60 years of age and older, as
22	well as their families, friends, and
23	neighbors who help them remain as independent
24	as possible, for as long as possible, in

1 their homes and communities of choice.

2 NYSOFA provides leadership and 3 direction to an integrated, coordinated network of 59 county-based area agencies on 4 5 aging and more than 1,200 public and private organizations that serve to help empower 6 7 older adults and their families. Further, Governor Cuomo's priority to de-silo state 8 9 agencies to reduce duplication, strengthen 10 service delivery, increase efficiencies, and 11 improve outcomes has created very strong 12 working partnerships and relationships with the Department of Health, Office of Persons 13 with Developmental Disabilities, Mental 14 15 Health, Office of Children and Family 16 Services, Alcoholism and Substance Abuse Services, Victim Services, Division of 17 Veterans' Affairs, and the Office of Court 18 Administration, among others. 19 20 The 2018 Executive Budget includes a 21 host of initiatives that address primary areas of concern for older New Yorkers and 22 their families. Governor Cuomo's Executive 23

Budget helps older adults and their families

1	by expanding transportation options
2	throughout the state by proposing to expand
3	rideshare; extending the Home Energy
4	Assistance Program season to increase access
5	to the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance
6	Program; leveraging additional federal funds
7	to significantly increase outreach for SNAP
8	to reduce the number of older New Yorkers who
9	are eligible but not receiving the benefit;
10	increasing the number of low-income homes
11	that are weatherized to reduce energy costs,
12	save money, and prevent dangerous home
13	heating situations, such as the use of ovens
14	and stoves carbon monoxide, which can
15	certainly fire hazards and health hazards;
16	advancing a "Health Across all Policies"
17	approach that includes lower prescription
18	drug costs; increasing access to healthy
19	foods and locally-grown commodities;
20	increasing opportunities for physical
21	activity via the Empire State Trail; and
22	encouraging healthy behaviors; taking
23	additional steps to make New York State the
24	first Age Friendly/Livable Community state,

1	as designated by the World Health
2	Organization and AARP, and building the
3	elements of Age Friendly/Livable Communities
4	into the second round of Downtown
5	Revitalization grants; modernizing the
6	workforce by focusing on skills development
7	and training for the jobs of today and
8	tomorrow, which will benefit older workers
9	and workers of all ages; advancing efforts to
10	combat financial exploitation of older
11	adults, modernizing cybercrime and identity
12	theft laws and safeguarding older adults from
13	the risks of reverse mortgages; eliminating
14	the wage disparity, which will have a
15	significant positive impact for future older
16	New Yorkers in terms of retirement income;
17	and implementing a "Silver Line" program
18	modeled after the United Kingdom to reduce
19	social isolation and the negative health
20	outcomes that result from it.
21	The 2018 Executive Budget honors the
22	state's commitment to ensuring that those who
23	are served by NYSOFA across the state have
24	access to cost-effective, high-quality,

1	coordinated services that support
2	independence. The budget preserves key
3	programs including the Expanded In-Home
4	Services for the Elderly Program, which
5	provides non-medical in-home services, case
6	management, respite, and ancillary services
7	to those who are just above the Medicaid
8	limit but can remain in their homes and
9	communities. The Wellness in Nutrition
10	Program funds home-delivered and congregate
11	meals and provides nutrition counseling and
12	education to frail older adults who may be
13	unable to shop or prepare meals for
14	themselves.
15	The Executive Budget includes the
16	\$1 million increase that was added last year
17	to the Community Services for the Elderly
18	Program and consolidates the \$1.121 million
19	transportation appropriation into the CSE to
20	streamline reporting. CSE is designed to

identify priorities, gaps, and needs, and assist older adults who need help to remain in their homes and communities for as long as

improve the ability of communities to

1 possible.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 The Governor's budget proposal also 3 makes a significant investment in NY Connects sustainability, which is our state's No Wrong 4 5 Door, by a partnership with the Department of Health. NY Connects is a statewide, locally 6 7 based no-wrong-door system that provides one-stop access to free, objective, and 8 comprehensive information and assistance on 9 10 accessing long-term services and supports. 11 The partnership with the Health Department 12 will provide an investment of almost \$20 13 million to the 59 county-based area agencies 14 on aging, and an additional almost \$6 million 15 to our five regional disability partner 16 organizations.

The Executive Budget increases the

Health Insurance Information Counseling and

Assistance Program to meet increased demand

for objective, personalized, one-on-one

counseling and assistance. HIICAP is

available to all Medicare beneficiaries of

all ages, providing free, confidential

counseling about Medicare and health

1	insurance benefits, options, paperwork, and
2	resources; programs that can help pay for
3	Medicare and prescription costs; Medicare
4	covered costs, deductibles, and programs;
5	information on insurance products that can
6	help wrap around such as a Medigap; and how
7	to evaluate Medicare plans, Part B
8	prescription drug programs, and so on.
9	These state-certified counselors,
10	along with our MCAP partners, helped
11	low-income Medicare beneficiaries realize
12	\$104 million in savings last year by helping
13	them apply for the Medicare Savings Program
14	and the low-income subsidy. More than 20,000
15	applications were approved by CMS.
16	NYSOFA has and will continue to build
17	partnerships with our sister agencies to
18	increase access to services and meet needs
19	that are across systems. One such
20	partnership and I'd really like to thank
21	Commissioner Cronin is with the Office of
22	Victim Services, to increase statewide
23	funding for our elder abuse mitigation. This

partnership, when fully realized, will

1	utilize \$7.5 million over three years to
2	expand our very successful federal pilot over
3	the last three and a half years to expand the
4	Enhanced Multi-Disciplinary Teams to combat
5	financial exploitation along with other
6	efforts, as I mentioned earlier, with the
7	Department of Financial Services.

Almost 700,000 older adults last year received services through our network. They received home and congregate meals; received care and other services in their homes to help them remain independent and autonomous; accessed transportation services to medical appointments, dialysis, pharmacies and other community outlets; received legal assistance and legal services; received Medicare plan and prescription counseling and assistance; and received support services such as respite and other caregiver support so they can continue to care for a husband, a spouse, or other loved one.

We will continue to work smart by engaging other state agencies, not-for-profits, and community-based

1	organizations to serve all of New York's
2	older population.
3	And with that, as always, I'm thankful
4	for your ongoing support, and I'm happy to
5	answer any questions that you have.
6	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
7	much.
8	We begin with Ms. Lupardo, chair.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Thank you,
10	Mr. Chair.
11	Well, thanks for your testimony. In
12	the 10 minutes that I have, we have together,
13	I want to quickly go through some basic
14	budget questions and then I want to ask you
15	some bigger-picture questions as well.
16	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Sure.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: So let's
18	quickly just try to get through some easy
19	stuff, I hope, which is the rationale for
20	moving that senior transportation operating
21	expense into the Community Service for the
22	Elderly program.
23	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah, so one
24	of the things that we've been engaging in to

1	try to reduce the administrative burden on
2	the counties is making their reporting and
3	responsibility to us a little bit more
4	streamlined and easier.

So one of the requirements that the counties have to fulfill each year is providing us with a plan. It used to be a very, very large, labor-intensive, really thick document talking about how they were going to meet federal and state regulations, laws, their fiduciary responsibility, monitoring, oversight, targeting for specific populations that are included under the elder law. So this move to move the transportation in there is a reporting efficiency.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: I'm a little concerned about the shift of ongoing operations funding for NY Connects, which you just spoke about, from the SOFA budget to a pool of federal funding. I'm nervous about that.

It seems, under current circumstances, a risky move, given how critically important this is, connecting people up, as you

Τ.	mentioned, to long term care services. 30
2	why would we want to potentially jeopardize
3	that funding at this point?
4	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: You know, I
5	think if we looked three years ago, the
6	entire pool of funding to support the
7	operations of NY Connects was \$3.35 million.
8	What's going out the door in this fiscal
9	year, '17-'18, is a combination of BIP funds,
10	the Balancing Incentive Payment program, and
11	funds contained under the global Medicaid
12	cap. That is going to equal about \$26
13	million.
14	So it's not that the money has
15	disappeared. We're using two sources that
16	are contained within the Health Department,
17	because the Health Department is a major
18	partner in designing, developing, and helping
19	implement, along with the Office of Mental
20	Health, the Office of Substance Abuse
21	Services, and OPWDD.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: So but it's
23	possible that that Balancing Incentive
2.4	Drogram fund gould run out in which gage

1	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Well, they
2	will run out. And so we have a
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Sure.
4	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: we have a
5	sustainability plan that was part of the
6	deliverables in receiving the grants to begin
7	with. So we have a multiyear plan that we
8	have put together. This year the BIP funds
9	run out in '18, March of '18, and that's when
10	the global Medicaid cap dollars kick in. And
11	then we have again a sustainability plan
12	further on.
13	I mean, I think what's really
14	important to point out about this system
15	this is really a systems change and not a
16	program is really what it's designed to do
17	and how important it is, I think, for all of
18	you to help us get the word out about it,
19	because it's a really great constituent
20	service for people of all ages to utilize to
21	help with their benefits.
22	As I was listening to some of the
23	other testimony, how do we increase some of
24	the benefits that people are eligible for but

1	aren't participating in? That's one of the
2	core deliverables of what the No Wrong Door's
3	designed to do. And so, you know, we can be
4	very helpful throughout the state, because
5	this system's reform is happening in every
6	county in helping your constituents access
7	some of those services.

So we didn't build something to see it fall apart. This is a major systems change, again, that not only involves five state agencies but regional disability organizations and then a variety of other partners that are delivering services that are underneath the level of the area agencies on aging.

And so, you know, as I had mentioned as part of the sustainability of deliverables, we had to develop a sustainability plan.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: So speaking of sustainability, a lot of us are alarmed about the Title XX shift and the impact that will have not only on New York City's senior centers but on counties that rely on that

1	funding for Adult Protective Services. I
2	know that's not in the budget, but it
3	certainly is going to have a big impact on
4	your constituency. What are your thoughts on
5	this?
6	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Well, I think,
7	you know and listening to Commissioner
8	Poole's response this morning, I have had a
9	conversation with her about this issue. You
10	know, I think that they're willing to take a
11	look at some of the other funding structures
12	and mechanisms to potentially offset that.
13	My understanding is there is a net plus for
14	the city and some counties because of some of
15	the Medicaid admin takeover actions and some
16	other tax. But this is an issue that I think
17	isn't going to be settled today
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: No.
19	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: and it's
20	going to be a subject of conversation over
21	the next month and a half.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: No, we were
23	just highlighting how important it is to the
24	members, that this particular issue be on the

1	front burner.
2	A couple of other quick budget items.
3	On the COLA, I'm a little confused about
4	that. Our understanding is that it was
5	discontinued because it was considered too
6	difficult to administer and get out.
7	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: So you're
8	talking about the direct care worker funding?
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: I'm sorry,
10	yes.
11	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: The direct
13	service workers.
14	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: You know, in
15	the original budget appropriation, the
16	estimate for our agency was about
17	\$7.4 million. And that was an estimate based
18	on the titles that were included within the
19	direct care worker proposal.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Right.
21	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: That was a
22	large overestimate, to say the least. And a
23	lot of our counties opted not to participate,
24	due to title-matching issues and some other

_	
1	issues.
_	Toouco.

So we are in the process now of, you

know, looking at the counties, looking at the

vouchers to gauge what the impact of that is.

But a lot of our counties opted not to take

advantage.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Okay. And one other question about the multidisciplinary teams. So it looks as if that funding was not continued. And I'm wondering, what's your assessment on how it has gone so far? Because it seems, again, I'm just learning about these programs. It seems very important.

ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah, and this is one of the most exciting projects for an area that is frankly horrific. Right? We had been successful in getting the only grant in the country that tested an enhanced multidisciplinary team model.

And, you know, multidisciplinary team is really what it says it is. It's pulling the expertise of a variety of different folks from, you know, the court system to the DA to

1	law enforcement, APS, human services,
2	housing, so on and so forth. What makes the
3	enhancement is the funding of a coordinator
4	for the to keep that group together, a
5	financing forensic accountant, and a
6	geropsychiatrist.
7	The funding that was so we did a
8	three-year pilot in eight upstate counties
9	and then in Manhattan. That was very, very
10	successful.
11	That we're still you know, groups
12	are still meeting and talking and figuring
13	out ways to expand. The \$500,000 that was
14	added last year was really a great first step
15	in the expansion. We weren't able to
16	obviously go statewide with \$500,000, so part
17	of that money was used to sustain the two
18	pilot programs and then begin the process,
19	over a three-to-five-year period, of looking
20	at how we could expand. The partnership that
21	we have with OVS is going to turn this
22	program into a \$7.5 million program.
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: OVS?
24	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Office of
4 4	ACITING DIVECTOR OFFEN. OFFICE OF

1	Victim Services.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Got it.
3	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: So that was
4	really why I was thanking Commissioner
5	Cronin, who is jumping in right with us. I'm
6	sending her a proposal, in fact, this
7	afternoon.
8	But this would be over a three-year
9	period, to really take that next step in
10	expanding some regional hubs and then the
11	EMDTs within the counties throughout the
12	state.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: I would very
14	much like as many details as you can provide
15	us on that. That sounds like a very positive
16	move.
17	I may ask for additional time later,
18	but I'd like to hear your thoughts on two
19	programs that to me seem like hugely
20	important investments, and a big money saver
21	to the state. That would be, of course, the
22	CSE program and EISEP programs.

23

24

So how cost-effective are those? And

surely I'm going to be making a case that we

1	should be	investing	more,	not	less,	in	those
2	programs.						

ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: I think the people that utilize those programs -- they're mostly individuals in their eighties for EISEP, late seventies for CSE. CSE is the most flexible funding stream, so whether you're in your community or anywhere else in the state, you have the opportunity to identify locally determined needs and then fill those gaps. So it's a real important, flexible funding stream.

But I think, you know, the value of the network, the area agencies, and the various entities that they work with, whether they be faith-based organizations, other vendors, community action, town and municipal government agencies that provide similar services, is that the intervention line for many of the people that might need some assistance in their home is earlier. So you can get in and you can stop somebody from declining, you can prevent hospital readmissions.

1	You have a network that's built from
2	the ground up when you're discharged from
3	a hospital or from rehab, that they can
4	succeed when they get back into the
5	community, you know, get out of bed in the
6	morning, make sure they're taking their
7	medications, get to the doctors appointment,
8	those types of low-budget high-yield
9	services.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: How low of a budget? What's the average that you spend or we can see across the state that's spent on someone in this type of setting?

ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: So if you took the average EISEP client, you know, they would have some personal care or home health care in their home to do those tasks that I had mentioned, and they all are provided with case management. You're looking at under \$10,000, which is shared jointly, which is another great way that this partnership is -- it's a federal/state/local partnership, and also a partnership with the customer themselves to share the cost. So it doesn't

Ţ	cost a lot of money.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: That's
3	amazing. Just one more time under
4	\$10,000, as opposed to the high cost of
5	long-term care in a facility?
6	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Well, they
7	haven't triggered that need for that
8	higher-level cost yet.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Sure. Because
10	it's been they've been taken care of in
11	that setting. Thanks.
12	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
13	Senator?
14	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you,
15	Mr. Chairman.
16	Our first speaker will be the chair of
17	the Senate Standing Committee on Aging, and
18	that's Senator Sue Serino.
19	SENATOR SERINO: Thank you, Senator.
20	Hello, Acting Director.
21	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Hi.
22	SENATOR SERINO: Thank you very much
23	for being here today.

As I was appointed to serve as the

1	chair of the Aging Committee in 2015, since
2	that time I have seen the waiting list for
3	the Community Services for the Elderly grow
4	by thousands. In fact, despite adding funds
5	to CSE, we have seen the list grow by at
6	least one-third in one year's time, from
7	9,700 people to an estimated 15,000 this
8	year. And as I understand it, this number
9	could actually be much larger, as not all
10	counties keep and/or report the number of
11	individuals on their waitlist.
12	Given that the waiting lists continue
13	to grow, it astounds me that more money is
14	not allocated to provide these services. And
15	in your estimation, how much do you think
16	would be needed to eliminate having elderly
17	individuals on a waitlist for basic services
18	such as meals, in-home services, and
19	transportation?
20	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: So let me
21	answer that by saying two things, what a
22	waiting list is and what a waiting list is
23	not in our network.
24	So I believe that the data that you

1	have would probably be the most recent data
2	from the counties from December of 2015.
3	Their plans that are submitted to us have
4	estimates based on a snapshot in time of
5	individuals that may or may not be able to be
6	served by the waiting list. So there were
7	additional resources put into CSE last year
8	and some other strategies that we have
9	undertaken both within our network and with
10	some of the other sister agencies, too, that
11	I think will have an impact on trying to
12	reduce any waiting list.
13	What a waiting list doesn't mean,
14	though, in our network is that older adults
15	and their families are not being served. So
16	let me give you an example. If an individual
17	is in need of a home-delivered meal, it goes
18	through the assessment and is deemed
19	eligible. If that community does not have
20	the resources to provide that meal based on
21	that assessment, there are other things that

So, for example, we could have transportation to bring that individual to a

the network can and does do.

Τ	senior center or a congregate meal site to
2	get a meal there and then to, you know,
3	interact with others. There's other
4	programming, there's health promotion and
5	other types of real important evidence-based
6	interventions going on in the centers.
7	If that individual is eligible or
8	appears to be eligible for SNAP, Supplemental
9	Nutrition Assistance Program, we would help
10	that individual apply and receive those
11	benefits. Those benefits could actually be
12	used to pay for the cost of the
13	home-delivered meal. Or, again, you could
14	use a volunteer corps which the counties
15	really rely on the volunteers extensively
16	for service delivery to possibly shop and
17	prepare meals for that individual.
18	So that's just an example of ways
19	that, you know, just because a person may not
20	be able to receive that service, that there's
21	not other ways to do it.
22	Now, what gets reported back to us is
23	probably, in terms of data, maybe a third of

what actually is going on out there. So

1	there are town programs the Town of
2	Colonie, all of Long Island where the
3	whole aging infrastructure is done by towns
4	who are providing similar types of services
5	that we don't get data from them in terms of,
6	you know, what they're able to do or what
7	they're reporting.
8	But those are other avenues that we
9	can refer people to to try to meet their
10	needs.
11	SENATOR SERINO: Because that is what
12	I worry about. You know, I have my area
13	is pretty rural, and I can't even imagine
14	upstate. Transportation is a huge issue. So
15	I think that there are probably a lot that
16	aren't reporting. They might just say "We
17	don't have the dollars for this function for
18	you," and that's what I'm really concerned
19	about.
20	With the Executive Budget removing the
21	25 percent waiver on the CSE program, it
22	places an undue fiscal burden on counties.

This proposal also rolls the transportation

services into the CSE line, also making it

23

1	subject to the local match. And I was very
2	happy that my Assemblywoman Donna Lupardo,
3	who co-chairs the Aging Committee with me,
4	mentioned about the direct care workers. And
5	you said it was \$7.4 million, which was a
6	large overestimate on a lot of the
7	counties didn't participate, but I can see
8	this going forward, that they're not going
9	to participate if they have to make that
10	match, because already they're stretched to
11	the limit.
12	We cannot have this waiver go by the
13	wayside. So I really hope that we're going
14	to reconsider that. And especially
15	transportation is the number-one issue, I
16	think, in all of our counties, and we
17	really we can't afford to do that to our
18	seniors.
19	And then also you spoke about no,
20	this is about the Adult Protective Services.
21	And I don't know if you were in the hearing
22	room earlier today when I asked the
23	commissioner of OCFS a few questions
24	regarding APS and the staff allocated to

1	elder abuse cases. She was unfortunately
2	unable to answer my questions on the data
3	collected or the staffing available in her
1	agency assigned to address the number of
5	elder abuse incidents alleged and
6	investigated statewide. I was very
7	disappointed with that.

I bring this up because, as you know,

I and many others fought hard to include

funding to expand Lifespan, which I know

you've talked about the multidisciplinary

teams going through OVS. Will they be

working with Lifespan too, or how is that

going to work?

ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah, so your first question -- the Offices for the Aging work very closely with local APS, for obvious reasons. I mean, not every individual that touches APS winds up being an APS client.

And then what other resources are there that are -- again, are across systems, that can assist that individual, depending on what it is. Lifespan is our primary partner in all things elder abuse.

Τ	You know, our model in federal grant
2	was a partnership with Lifespan as well as
3	the New York City Elder Abuse Coalition,
4	Weill Cornell Medical Center. There's no
5	reason to not go and support an
6	infrastructure that has experience in this
7	very complicated area and a successful track
8	record. So I would anticipate that Lifespan
9	would continue to be a major partner in that
10	as well.

You know, Commissioner Poole and myself and the Commissioner of DFS have done a couple of regional listening sessions on elder abuse globally, financial exploitation specifically. We have just completed a model MOU not only in how we work with the state APS, but also how the local AAAs can be working and sharing information where — obviously, with HIPAA compliance, standards being met — but how we can tighten those relationships a little bit better so that we're serving individuals holistically.

SENATOR SERINO: Okay. And also, there's no case managers assigned if people

1	are on a waiting list for CSE? That's a
2	question I failed to ask earlier.
3	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: You know, in
4	some counties there are, actually.
5	SENATOR SERINO: Okay.
6	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Case
7	management is a you know, I'm glad you
8	raised that. It's a really, really important
9	service for somebody who can help people not
10	only navigate extremely complex systems but
11	also to help them apply for some of the
12	benefits or entitlements that they are
13	eligible for.
14	So you hear that we have 59 different
15	area agencies throughout the state, including
16	two Native American reservations. While they
17	all meet similar standards, they all do it
18	very differently. And so I don't have at my
19	fingertips which ones do and which ones
20	don't. But some of them absolutely do.
21	And as a former case manager myself,
22	in Monroe County, we did have waiting lists
23	for EISEP 20 years ago when I worked there.

And there were things that we were able to do

1	with the people that might have been on a
2	waiting list to ensure that they were still
3	receiving other types of services.
4	SENATOR SERINO: Okay. And finally,
5	regarding the Long-Term-Care Ombudsman
6	Program, which relies on dedicated
7	volunteers, it remains flat in this year's
8	budget. What is the coordination between the
9	LTC Ombudsman office and the Department of
10	Health?
11	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: So you will
12	remember, Senator, that we completed a
13	regionalization and reorganization of the
14	program. What we were finding at the time
15	was, you know, counties were struggling,
16	vendors were struggling to provide the
17	service based on, you know, the scale. So we
18	went through a regionalization proposal that
19	significantly expanded the resources and
20	allowed us to make some major improvements in
21	terms of dedicated staff that didn't occur
22	prior to, and some of the other tasks that
23	the ombudsmen have to do.
24	We do have a new state ombudsman that

2 But in addition to the funding that 3 was retained this year that was added last year, you know, we are working with the 4 5 Health Department for another two-year commitment to have -- the Assisted Living 6 7 Reform Act of 2005 has funding dedicated from 8 the assisted living licenses in it provided into the budget. There's a couple of other 9 10 discretionary federal pots that we provide additional resources in. So there is a 11 12 couple hundred thousand, up to \$600,000 more 13 than what you might see in the appropriation 14 line. 15 On the second part, in terms of 16 working with the Health Department, you know, 17

On the second part, in terms of
working with the Health Department, you know,
we work very closely with the Health
Department in a lot of different areas,
whether it be the AIDS Institute, on chronic
diseases, lowering sodium intake for our
meals and things of that nature, as well as
all the NY Connects and Medicaid-type
long-term-care-related issues.

24 This one is one that we have regained

18

19

20

21

22

1	speed in terms of what the value is of the
2	program in terms of what the state is trying
3	to accomplish. We have upwards of 800 paid
4	and highly-trained volunteers that are
5	visiting facilities every day during the
6	year, through most of the nursing homes and
7	enriched housing and assisted living
8	facilities. They can be the quality eyes and
9	ears for the state. And so we're in
10	conversations now on how we can kind of ramp
11	that up a little bit more.
12	SENATOR SERINO: Yeah, I know that's
13	such a great program.
14	So the new person that you hired, are
15	they charged with overseeing the long-term
16	care facilities?
17	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: No, they're
18	responsible for overseeing the Long-Term-Care
19	Ombudsman Program, which
20	SENATOR SERINO: Just the ombudsman
21	program?
22	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: which is a
23	federal requirement under the Older Americans
24	Act that is contained within our office.

1	ream.
2	SENATOR SERINO: So who is charged
3	with overseeing the long-term care
4	facilities?
5	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: The Health
6	Department.
7	SENATOR SERINO: The Health
8	Department, okay.
9	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Which is why
10	that partnership is so important.
11	SENATOR SERINO: Okay.
12	Okay. Thank you very much.
13	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Sure. Thank
L 4	you, Senator.
15	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
17	Assemblyman DenDekker.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Thank you,
19	Director. I really appreciate your answering
20	just a couple quick questions.
21	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Sure.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: The money for
23	the NORCs, which is the Naturally Occurring
2.4	Potiroment Communities I noticed the funding

1	is there, but the extra \$700,000 that was put
2	in last year through the Mortgage Insurance
3	Fund has been cut now. And I don't
4	understand why we put in \$700,000 last year
5	to expand services to then just cut it this
6	year. I'm wondering if you can give me some
7	information on that.
8	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: I think that
9	that was a one-time amount that came from the
10	trust fund that you talked about for 375 for
11	each of the pots, the Neighborhood NORCs and
12	the NORCs. So our appropriations remain as
13	they were last year.
14	As you had raised it, I think it's
15	going to be a topic of conversation and
16	something that will play itself out in the
17	next month and a half.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Yeah, because
19	it's going to be difficult. If we gave them
20	that funding to expand the services, and now
21	we're just going to stop the additional
22	services that we provided for one year, I
23	don't think that's a good way to go.

I think it's very dangerous to the

1	elderly community that is using those
2	services now, and who now are going to find
3	out that the services that I just got are not
4	going to be available anymore. I think it
5	was a very bad message to send. I hope we
6	can reach an agreement on that so that we can
7	continue the funding somehow.
8	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah, and I
9	think that's a good conversation to have.
10	I also think that the modernization
11	proposal that was passed in last year's bill
12	will help expand some of the services. So
13	what's happened over the last 20 years with
14	the traditional NORC program, and since 2004
15	with the Neighborhood NORC program, is they
16	were bid once in 1995, where NORC is
17	concerned, and never again until actually the
18	RFA that's out now and with the
19	Neighborhood NORCs in 2004.
20	I think one of the things that we
21	learned and this is certainly not
22	suggesting that any program is not doing what

it was supposed to be doing -- but there were

some areas where I think the intent of what

23

1	the NORC was in terms of a bottom-up
2	community organizing health and social
3	services partnership that leveraged
4	resources, that included older adults in
5	terms of what services are provided, how
6	they're provided got lost in some places.
7	And so this gave us an opportunity to

you know, take the demonstration out of it, because we demonstrated that it worked for 20 years, and memorialize some of the lessons that we learned over time.

So what I'm actually hoping, as the RFA plays itself out, is that we're going to see additional services being able to be provided because of the clarity of the intent of what the program design is supposed to look like.

ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: I just will reiterate that I hope that we find a way to continue those services. I have a NORC in my community, it's extremely successful, it's vibrant, the seniors in that community rely on the services that they get, it actually saves us money because it keeps them in their

1	own home.
2	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Which one's in
3	your district?
4	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Northridge/
5	Southridge.
6	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Okay. Thank
7	you.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: So and I'm
9	just concerned that any cut to the NORC
10	program would affect those seniors directly,
11	and I don't want to see that happen.
12	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Okay. I'll
13	take that back.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: The other
15	the one thing I want to just touch on quick
16	is you mentioned about the transportation
17	issues.
18	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yes.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: So I want to
20	know if I got this right, because I was told
21	by a couple of entities that they're very
22	concerned about something that they said was
23	going to happen, and I want to know if this
24	is coming from that area.

So currently right now they contract with a transportation company that is picking up seniors or clients and bringing them in for their services, whether it be daycare services or whatever, and they've been told that now, starting I believe in October, that there's a Governor's proposal that will now be like a community way of getting services and transportation so they wouldn't have the same company picking them up every day. It might be a different company, it might be a different driver, et cetera, et cetera.

There's real concern, both in the elderly community as well as my veteran community that relies on those services, that they want the same company and the same driver to pick them up every day. That's the way it's always been. They know where they are, they know them by name, and especially seniors as well as my veteran population are extremely concerned about any change that —— I don't know who this person is now, and I don't feel comfortable getting in that vehicle. And also the previous company knew

_	exactly where to pick me up, et tetera,
2	et cetera. Are those concerns
3	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: That proposal,
4	I've got to be honest, I'm not aware of.
5	It's not our proposal.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Okay.
7	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: So I'm not
8	sure exactly what that might be. I really
9	don't know. That is not us.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: I will want to
11	find out more about it
12	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: I think the
13	big thing that has been raised in this
L 4	hearing is the move of our \$1 million of
15	transportation into CSE. That is a drop in
16	the bucket in terms of what's provided around
17	the state in terms of transportation for all
18	older adults, but that's a decision that's
19	made by, in the city, the Department for the
20	Aging, and then in the rest of the state, the
21	county Offices of the Aging.
22	So this the proposal that you
23	described, and the concern, is not
2.4	ACCEMBIYMAN DENDEKKED. Okay That's

1	what I wanted to know. Thank you very much.
2	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
3	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Thank you.
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
5	Thank you for being here, Director
6	Olsen.
7	I have several questions that I just
8	want to drill down a little bit deeper on a
9	couple of the topics that we may have touched
10	on already. And the first has to do with the
11	discontinuation of the direct care worker and
12	service provider cost of living adjustment,
13	COLA.
14	And as you know, the 2015 enacted
15	budget provided for a wage increase for
16	direct care workers and service providers.
17	In the 2018 Executive Budget, it proposes
18	that the elimination of funding for direct
19	care workers and service providers is
20	warranted. According to the Governor, DOH
21	and the State Office for the Aging providers
22	have underutilized this COLA, citing the
23	complexity of identifying eligible workers.

Direct care workers and service

1	providers have low salaries, as you know, and
2	would be negatively impacted by this cut.
3	According to the Governor, elimination of the
4	COLA would save the state \$2 million in this
5	fiscal year of 2018.
6	So first of all, can you explain the
7	primary reasons why the State Office for the
8	Aging providers have not adopted this COLA
9	for direct care workers and service
10	providers? And can you provide the COLA
11	amount and an estimate of how many direct
12	care workers and service providers would be
13	impacted by this discontinuation?
14	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah, so the
15	latter two questions are information we're
16	also gathering, so my staff behind me or
17	watching on TV are jotting that down, we'll
18	get that information to you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: When do you
20	Director, when do you think we can get it?
21	Because as you know, the clock is ticking and

we have to pass a state budget on time. So

today's a hearing, but when can we get that

24 information?

22

1	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: I think that
2	what we're trying to figure out among our
3	counties is why were the titles why didn't
4	the titles match, and why was it difficult to
5	implement. We are reviewing the vouchers
6	that are coming in to see who did and who
7	didn't.
8	Our anecdotal information from our
9	fiscal department, who talks to the counties,
10	is that it's been underutilized. So it's
11	something that I can try to get to you as
12	quick as we have it, but we need to reach out
13	to our counties as we have been doing to try
14	to get what the impact is.
15	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So you're reaching
16	out to the counties now. But this was passed
17	in 2015. So has there been outreach, you
18	know, when you started to see signals that
19	maybe it was being underutilized?
20	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: The direct
21	care worker part for our agency, after the
22	getting out the program instruction, doing a
23	training, answering questions, et cetera,
24	took some time to implement. Not all the

1	countles voucher on a monthly basis or even
2	on a quarterly basis, and so but it's
3	sometimes by the time you get your reports in
4	in terms of where there may be an issue and
5	where there's not an issue, some time has
6	gone by.
7	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. So if
8	there is a time element here, and it sounds
9	like there is, if the direct care worker and
10	the service provider COLA were extended for
11	one year, would that give you ample time to
12	reexamine and approve the process for
13	targeting potential workers?
14	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: I think if we
15	understood, you know, why the titles were
16	difficult to identify and what part of the
17	program was difficult to implement, that
18	could provide some guidance.
19	But again, as I've been listening to
20	the hearings the last week or two and
21	certainly this morning, I know this is an
22	issue that's been raised at multiple areas
23	and, you know, is of concern to
24	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Can I I know you

1	care about seniors deeply, I know the
2	Governor cares deeply about seniors. We want
3	to deliver the best services possible, and we
4	want to help the counties. So if there's a
5	way that you could work this out, I think it
6	would be very beneficial.
7	Can you provide details on the savings
8	for this initiative, specifically for the
9	state and the localities? So where would the
10	savings be around the state?
11	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah. I
12	believe we can.
13	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: You can get that
14	for us too? Okay, that would be great.
15	Switching gears a little bit, I would
16	like to discuss the NY Connects. And as you
17	know, the 2018 fiscal year Executive Budget
18	proposes a funding shift for NY Connects from
19	the General Fund to the Medicaid global cap
20	and the federal Balancing Incentive Program.
21	So it's called BIP funding?
22	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yes.
23	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Yup. NY Connects,
24	as you know and I remember when it was

1	established in 2006 it's an information
2	hub that provides access to comprehensive
3	information and assistance for people of all
4	ages that require long-term services and
5	support.
6	So this funding shift would remove NY
7	Connects from the SOFA budget and place it in
8	the DOH budget. And according to the
9	Governor, the shift would create
10	\$3.35 million in savings for this year.
11	So the questions are these.
12	Approximately how many individuals are
13	annually served in the NY Connects program?
14	And do you see this number increasing in the
15	future?
16	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yes. There
17	are about 200,000
18	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: That's a lot.
19	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: contacts
20	this past year. We do expect the volume to
21	rise. We hope it does rise, and our
22	sustainability the longer-term
23	sustainability plan that we've constructed
24	with the Department of Health and our

1	partners there recognizes that.
2	I don't necessarily look at it
3	necessarily as a shift but as a
4	sustainability effort. So the BIP funds run
5	out, we all knew that those were going to run
6	out in March of '18. One of the deliverables
7	of receiving the \$600 million for BIP was to
8	develop the sustainability plan, which we
9	have.
10	As you mentioned, you know, this
11	program was originally put into place in
12	2006. It's not really a program anymore,
13	it's a major systems change and reform. And
14	in this state fiscal year, the allocation to
15	the counties and our regional disability
16	partners is almost \$26 million.
17	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
18	If there's a decrease in the Medicaid
19	global cap, however, which very well could
20	happen, what happens to NY Connects?
21	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Well, I think
22	that's a larger conversation. That's a big

cap. There's priorities under that cap. I

think that this systems reform is also a

23

1	priority because of what it does, who it does
2	it to, and what it potentially saves. In
3	addition to consumers and family members that
4	utilize the No Wrong Door, about 25 percent
5	of the contacts are from providers who have
6	difficult individuals that they're trying to
7	get served. And, you know, a lot of those
8	happen on Friday when people are being
9	discharged from the hospital.

with the right service at the right time in the right place, helping individuals get screened for SNAP and veterans' benefits or Medicaid to be able to do home visits, to intervene earlier, that that has tremendous cost savings. And that's really what this systems reform is designed to do, is to get people to where they need to get to, to make sure that we have very strong partnerships with our specialized hubs in mental health and substance abuse.

So I would hope, and I don't have any reason to believe otherwise, that this systems reform is a state priority.

1	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: We have a large
2	increase in the aging population in New York.
3	Could you talk about what the projections
4	are?
5	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah. And I'm
6	glad you asked that question, because I think
7	when we're in the helping profession, we have
8	a visual of what we mean by older people.
9	And unfortunately it often takes a negative
10	turn.
11	And that's not necessarily what aging
12	is globally. There are certainly individuals
13	with need, and that's a lot of what our
14	agency does, but there's a lot of very
15	active, healthy people over the age of 60 in
16	the Legislature
17	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Now, watch it here.
18	(Laughter.)
19	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: in
20	Congress, leading businesses and I mean
21	that with all due respect. I've seen
22	positive aging and negative aging in my own
23	immediate family and surrounding family.
24	So at this point we have about

1	3.7 million people over the age of 60. In
2	the next 15 to 20 years, that will go to
3	about 5.2 million. This is a population that
4	is very brings a lot of social,
5	intellectual, and economic capital to their
6	communities, which is why we're so excited
7	about the age-friendly livable community
8	pieces in the Governor's budget that really
9	recognize how communities ought to be
10	structured for positive aging regardless of
11	age.
12	But it's not my point is it's not
13	all doom and gloom. These are individuals
14	that are important, and we should see them as
15	much in the positive instead of in a negative
16	light.
17	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Right. We all want
18	seniors to be able to enjoy healthy
19	longevity, be able to participate in
20	communities, stay at home, everything that is
21	positive for them. But at the same time, the
22	reality is that with many more seniors in the

population, there's going to be a greater

need for long-term care. Would you agree

23

1	2 - L 1L	± 1 ± ∩
1	$W \perp T \cdot \Pi$	that?

2	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: I would agree
3	with that based on the numbers. I think that
4	the efforts of not only our agency but some
5	of our other partners and community partners
6	are trying to help stave some of that off,
7	with preventing hospitalizations and
8	premature discharges, embedding the highest
9	level of evidence-based interventions in the
10	community to help control chronic diseases,
11	fallen injury preventions, ensuring good
12	nutrition.
13	If you're socially isolated, the

If you're socially isolated, the impact that that has on mental health and physical health, how we can engage healthier individuals to volunteer and be civically engaged, all that has a very positive impact on your longevity. And I think that those are important strategies for overall population health.

CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. Now, just a couple more topics.

I wanted to explore the changes in the Community Services for the Elderly, the CSE

1	program. And as you know, this year's budget
2	proposes to combine \$1.1 million in
3	transportation funding into the CSE program.
4	And it was separate this past year, so the
5	action doesn't result in a decrease in
6	funding.
7	However, the Governor also proposes
8	removing 25 percent of the county share
9	exemption of the \$3.5 million in funding that
10	has been invested into the CSE program for
11	the past couple of years. So as you know
12	very well, this program serves approximately
13	122,500 people annually in New York State and
14	supports many service options for the
15	elderly, and I hear about this program all
16	the time locally. These options include
17	transportation and home services, meals, and
18	access to case management.
19	So many problems could result from
20	these proposed changes. Moving the
21	25 percent waiver will shift costs to local
22	municipalities, which already face

significant pressure because of the property

tax cap. This action may prevent additional

23

1	CSE funding enacted in past years from being
2	accepted by local governments, which will
3	negatively affect the elderly who rely on
4	these services.

The Governor's proposal to consolidate the \$1.1 million into distinct transportation funding makes that funding subject to a local match. And so therefore when you have localities already under pressure because of the property tax cap, because of unfunded mandates and other issues that they face every single day, we could have a reduction in services to our most vulnerable population.

So right now there are 15,000 older adults waiting for community-based aging services in New York State. Do you have plans in place to help decrease the number of older adults on this waiting list?

ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Well, I think that was a similar question that Senator Serino had raised in terms of other ways that we assist people who may or may not be on a waiting list.

1	So there's a laundry list of things
2	that may not appear in our budget that help
3	provide additional resources to the county.
4	So for example, we were notified last week
5	that we're going to be receiving almost a
6	2 percent increase in our federal funding,
7	which is great, because our federal partner
8	frankly has been
9	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: That is great. We
10	like to hear that.
11	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: has been
12	the least of our partners in providing
13	services.
14	And I gave a couple of examples of if
15	an individual might be on a waiting list, how
16	we can assist with, you know, getting SNAP
17	benefits, with volunteers getting an
18	individual to a senior center so that we can
19	still provide services.
20	We do a lot of applications and
21	benefits assistance. We serve 12,000
22	veterans over the age of 60 in New York
23	State, so we have a project that we're
24	working on with DVA to identify those

1	veterans and make sure they get to the
2	Veterans Administration, because there's a
3	ton of supports and funding and benefits that
4	they're eligible for.
5	The Health Department last year and
6	the year before put out \$15 million in grants
7	to provide caregiver and respite support, for
8	example, to the Alzheimer's chapters. Those
9	Alzheimer's chapters turned around and
10	contracted with our county Offices for the
11	Aging
12	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Like the
13	Legislature was very supportive of the
14	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Absolutely.
15	Absolutely. We have invested, in my
16	office one of the things I'm really
17	interested in is there's a respite training
18	model called REST out of Chicago. And it's a
19	train-the-trainer type of proposal to train
20	master trainers to train others to provide
21	respite and caregiving support.
22	So in just the last couple of weeks
23	I've met with Commissioner Camara at the
24	Office of Faith-Based Initiatives, the

1	New York State Office of National Community
2	Service, to look at how we can begin to not
3	only reach areas that we potentially haven't
4	before, but to gain some volunteers. Because
5	I'd really like to believe, despite what you
6	hear and watch on TV, that people are
7	inherently good and want to do good for
8	others, for the most part. And I think
9	expanding our relationships with faith
10	communities, faith leaders, where we could
11	tap individuals that are within those
12	structures who want to volunteer, who want to
13	give back, that there's other ways that we
14	can provide service. So we're constantly
15	looking for those opportunities.

The enhanced multidisciplinary teams, part of those dollars will be to be able to fund things like EISEP and transportation and CSE. So we have a litany of those types of things that we are constantly trying to work on, facilitate, and expand, knowing that there are needs across the board no matter who comes up and talks. There's something —there's needs everywhere. But we don't stop

1	based	on	the	amount	of	funding	that	we	get

- 2 We're looking for partnerships, and I think
- 3 that's what we do very well.

necessary.

4 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. So
5 we're hopeful that you'll work with the
6 Legislature to try to mitigate any negative
7 impact on county budgets so that they will
8 continue to provide the services that are

ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah, and I'm glad you raised that as well. You know, you're going to hear more about the shift of the \$1 million, that money's going to be left on the table and counties aren't going to be able to afford it.

You know, Senator, the numbers really just don't bear that out. The counties have been a great partner to the Executive and the Legislature in terms of understanding the value of these programs and putting resources there. The counties are way overmatched at this point to the point where, practically speaking, a million dollars added to CSE would result in a match requirement of about

1	\$350,000. Forty-five percent of that 350
2	would be responsible for New York City, who
3	is overmatched by \$180 million at least. So
4	they already are meeting they're already
5	overmatched. The next 10 big counties would
6	take a large chunk out of that; they're
7	already overmatched. The overwhelming
8	majority of counties, and I would say in the
9	98 percent, are overmatched.
10	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: What about little
11	counties? Poor counties? Rural counties?
12	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Those as well.
13	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay. So Allegany
14	County, for example?
15	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yes. So I
16	really don't anticipate any additional
17	financial hit on the counties through that
18	proposal.
19	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
20	And then just one final thing, and I
21	know it's Department of Health too, but
22	telehealth services. They can be very
23	valuable in helping people age in place, stay
24	at home, avoid costly long-term care, which

1	in many cases people I don't hear people
2	saying "Pick me, pick me, I want to go to the
3	nursing home tomorrow."
4	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Right.
5	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: They only go if
6	they have to.
7	So are you involved in telehealth
8	services? And could you tell us how those
9	things are going as far as improving people's
10	lives?
11	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah, I mean,
12	I can just tell you we're not really all
13	that involved on the health side in putting
14	telehealth in.
15	I can tell you, just philosophically,
16	it's absolutely the future. I've seen some
17	physicians' practices, for example, up in
18	Maine who are using not exactly telehealth
19	parts of it could be telehealth, but also
20	even just being able to monitor somebody over
21	a 24-hour period that doesn't need to be in a
22	nursing home, and you surround those
23	individuals with a core support of what could

be family members or volunteers that are able

1	to keep people at home.
2	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Plus technology.
3	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Right.
4	Absolutely. So, you know, philosophically,
5	absolutely, we're supportive.
6	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
7	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Sure, thank
8	you.
9	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
10	Mr. Weprin.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Thank you,
12	Mr. Chairman.
13	Director Olsen, good afternoon.
14	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: How are you.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: In our great
16	concern about the \$17 million cut,
17	\$17 million to Title XX
18	(Microphone not working.)
19	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: I can actually
20	hear you the best without that.
21	(Laughter; cross-talk.)
22	ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: the
23	\$17 million cut to Title XX money, and I get
24	contacted by a number of seniors' advocates

1	who have indicated that that will result in
2	about a 30 percent closing of senior centers
3	in New York City.
4	I have a large senior population. Can
5	you comment on that cut? And, as you know,
6	the Democratic Assembly majority is majority
7	New York City-based, despite the leadership
8	of our chair, who's north of New York City,
9	but we certainly in our conference are very
10	concerned about that cut.
11	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah, and I
12	know it came up this morning and it came up a
13	little earlier.
14	You know, again, it is an issue that I
15	have spoken with Commissioner Poole about. I
16	think her answer this morning was and I
17	agree with that within her structure I
18	know that they're going to be looking at are
19	there other ways within their funding streams
20	to offline some of their funding or voucher
21	differently.
22	I think that, you know, this isn't the

last time this issue will come up. So I

think it will -- you know, it's going to be a

23

conversation over the next month and a half.

My understanding is, and I'm not an expert on New York City budgeting, is that there are -- there is going to be a net plus for the city in terms of, you know, Medicaid admin, state takeover of Medicaid admin, some of the sales tax changes. But if the city took no action, then certainly those Title XX funds do support the ongoing operations of the centers.

ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Yeah, and kind of as a follow-up on that, I have a very large immigrant population in my Assembly district, particularly a large South Asian population from Bangladesh and India in particular. And we have a lot of culturally sensitive senior centers, and I've supported working very closely with my Councilmember as well as New York City.

For example, we have a senior center in the Jamaica Muslim Center which mostly serves a Bangladeshi population, and special halal food and culturally sensitive activities, and we also work very closely

1	with India Home for a lot of Indian-based
2	services and vegetarian food at that
3	particular senior center. And of course we
4	have, you know, kosher food at our JASA
5	Senior Center.
6	What can the state do in particular to
7	help some of these immigrant seniors who are
8	particularly afraid of some of the
9	pronouncements and executive orders that are
10	coming out of Washington? I know my
11	Bangladeshi population, and particularly that
12	particular senior center I mentioned that
13	it's in Jamaica Muslim Center are very
14	concerned about the anti-immigrant from
15	Muslim countries Bangladesh is not on that
16	list, but I spoke at that senior center
17	recently and, you know, they expect
18	they're fearful that Bangladesh will be next.
19	What can the state do to help out with
20	some of these special immigrant senior
21	centers and activities and programs? Can the
22	state, you know, get involved in that?
23	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: You know,
24	Assemblyman, I think the issue that you're

1	raising is beyond unfortunate. I can't tell
2	you exactly what we can do.
3	What I can promise you, though, is
4	that we should have a follow-up conversation.
5	Because if there is a role for our agency, if
6	there's a role for the Office for
7	New Americans which we've started a
8	partnership with you know, I'd love to be
9	a part of that.
10	So what I can commit to doing is to
11	understanding a little bit deeper what some
12	of the concerns are. I mean, what we're here
13	to do is help, and that's both at the state
14	level and certainly our counterparts at the
15	local level. And that's what we'll do. So
16	I'll commit to following up with you
17	personally and getting some folks together
18	that can express more in terms of when you
19	say what can we do to help, maybe they can
20	articulate a little bit more of that and
21	figure out a way for us to be helpful.

ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Thank you,

Mr. Olsen. I will follow up with you.

24 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

22

1	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Okay. Thank
2	you.
3	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
4	Senator?
5	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
6	Senator Liz Krueger.
7	SENATOR KRUEGER: Hi.
8	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Hi, Senator.
9	SENATOR KRUEGER: Good afternoon.
10	Just following up, now, on a number of
11	questions. You were asked about the shift
12	from the federal BIP, Balancing Incentive
13	Program, to excuse me, shifting from
14	General Fund money to the federal BIP
15	balancing fund. How much money would be
16	shifted?
17	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: So that's
18	let me clarify that. So the General Fund
19	allocation for the No Wrong Door is
20	\$3.35 million. When we received the
21	Balancing Incentive grant, that was a
22	\$500 million almost a \$600 million grant.
23	Not all of it was for the No Wrong Door, but
24	that was one of the three components that had

1	to be implemented.
2	So we've been using BIP money, and a
3	substantial amount of BIP money, to meet the
4	deliverables of BIP, which is to expand and
5	enhance it statewide. We didn't have No
6	Wrong Door in New York City, we do now.
7	There are a couple of upstate counties, but
8	then there's additional tasks and
9	deliverables that have to go along with that.
10	We built a statewide reporting system. We
11	enhanced a statewide resource directory
12	SENATOR KRUEGER: No, that's okay.
13	Skip that. Just what are we not paying
14	for anymore with BIP because we're going to
15	use this BIP money instead of our own money?
16	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: So the BIP
17	money runs out in March '18
18	SENATOR KRUEGER: Yeah.
19	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: which was
20	the plan. Part of our sustainability plan
21	was how do we sustain it after those BIP

dollars go. And so the sustainability

dollars -- so we will continue to use BIP

funding through March 31 of '18, and then

22

23

т	there's dorrars to take us the rest of the
2	fiscal year through the global Medicaid cap.
3	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. Okay.
4	In the Governor's Article VII language
5	there is a requirement for banks to stop
6	financial transactions if it believes that
7	vulnerable adults' assets may be at risk.
8	Explain to me what will happen, exactly, at
9	that point. So a bank determines: We think
10	someone's trying to take this elderly
11	person's money out of their account, so they
12	say, No, we won't do it. Then what do they
13	do?
L 4	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: So I think,
15	Senator, that's a question for our Department
16	of Financial Services friends. That's
17	legislation that's under their jurisdiction.
18	I can tell you, from our perspective,
19	that the conversation on that language has
20	just begun, so I don't have an answer to how
21	that's going to be operationalized or
22	implemented at this point.
23	SENATOR KRUEGER: But would you agree
24	that we need that language operationalized

1	before we complete the budget process?
2	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: I would agree
3	that if we're looking for banks to play a
4	more proactive role in good faith to stop the
5	draining of resources when it potentially is
6	identified, that that's an important policy
7	for New York State.
8	SENATOR KRUEGER: And I think the
9	language is critical, because I've
10	experienced both sides of the story where
11	banks don't, and I think perhaps they should,
12	and times when banks do and they absolutely
13	should not, and they disrespect the rights of
14	senior citizens to make decisions over their
15	own money.
16	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Right. Which
17	is why I don't want to comment on how that's
18	written, because there's lots of
19	SENATOR KRUEGER: But you and I agree
20	it's important how that language is written.
21	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yes. Yes.
22	SENATOR KRUEGER: Okay. And I would
23	argue that needs to be carefully written and
24	seen before vague language is passed in an

1 Article VII bill. Okay
--------------------------

2	The number-one issue I get from
3	seniors that I have no answers for: "I'm
4	looking for a job, and no one will hire me.
5	I need the job because I can't afford to
6	continue to live in my home without a
7	supplemental wage because I'm not making it
8	on Social Security and savings." And I won't
9	say it's the number-one question I get; the
10	number-one question is "Help, I'm being
11	evicted from my home because I can't afford
12	it."
13	So but this Part 2 is, Help me find
14	a job. I can't find any programs in the City
15	of New York that actually operate and try to
16	assist seniors to move back into the labor
17	market. Do you have a secret stash?
18	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: There isn't a
19	secret stash, but there is a program that
20	I'll connect you to. It's called Title V. I
21	know it didn't come up when the Commissioner
22	of Labor was here, but we work very closely
23	with the Department of Labor in terms of

24 we have a certain number of slots in New York

1	State. So there are some national sponsors,
2	like NCOA, AARP, and some others, that
3	operate 80 percent of the state's slots. Our
4	office is responsible for about 20 percent of
5	the slots in the state. And these are for
6	individuals who are age 55 and older who are
7	re-entering or having trouble with jobs, so
8	it's skills development and so on.
9	We have some good relationships with
10	the One-Stop centers, but I can definitely
11	connect you with our city Title V program.
12	SENATOR KRUEGER: Okay. Because in my
13	experience, people who are supposed to have
14	these programs tell you there's nothing they
15	can do and there's no more people they
16	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Okay.
17	SENATOR KRUEGER: can even talk to.
18	All right? Thank you.
19	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yes. Thank
20	you.
21	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
22	That's it.
23	SENATOR KRUEGER: That's it.
24	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Great.

1	Well, hey, thanks for hanging with me
2	I appreciate that.
3	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you,
5	Director, for coming. And thank you for
6	everything you do for seniors.
7	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: New York State
9	Veterans Council, Kirby Hannon, legislative
10	coordinator, the VFW; Linda McKinnis,
11	legislative coordinator, Disabled American
12	Veterans; John Lewis, legislative committee
13	chair, VFW; Bob Becker, legislative
14	coordinator, New York State Veterans Council
15	And they have 10 minutes. Somebody or
16	my staff has a good sense of humor.
17	(Laughter.)
18	MR. BECKER: Good afternoon, sitting
19	chairs and members of the Human Service
20	Budget Subcommittee. I am Bob Becker. I'm a
21	retired Marine and legislative chairman for
22	the New York State Council of Veterans
23	Organizations.
24	I'd like to thank you for the

1	opportunity to bring the veterans issues
2	identified by the New York Veterans Council
3	before you for consideration. The New York
4	Veterans Council consists of about 25
5	veterans associations, and we meet monthly to
6	discuss the key issues of importance to
7	veterans. It is the 25 member organizations
8	and associations that have ultimately
9	developed our budget request today. These
10	requests are to strengthen and sustain
11	critical programs that have proven essential
12	to caring for the sometimes very unique needs
13	of veterans.
14	With me today are fellow veterans
15	Kirby Hannan, John Lewis, Linda McKinnis and
16	Scott Westcott. Kirby will frame the issues.
17	Kirby?
18	MR. HANNAN: Thank you. You probably
19	have our testimony in front of you, so I'm
20	not going to read it to you. I'm going to
21	kind of run through this quickly. I'm the
22	volunteer legislative coordinator for the
23	VFW, as Chairman Farrell, a veteran himself,
24	has said.

1	The veterans Defense Program is for
2	veterans who get themselves into serious
3	trouble with the law, as distinguished from
4	veterans treatment courts, where it tends to
5	be more of a lower level, perhaps a
6	misdemeanor. The program's working. You're
7	going to hear more about it from Scott
8	Westcott. But the program is working, and my
9	job here is to try to show you some
10	interdependency between these four things
11	that we want to talk about, even though they
12	don't appear related. All right?
13	That's a \$1.4 million ask, but it can
14	save a lot of incarceration time at the other
15	end, and we can demonstrate that.
16	The Veterans Service Officer funding.
17	Linda McKinnis is both a veteran service
18	officer and a peer-to-peer mentor, a
19	certified peer-to-peer mentor. What she does
20	is she's an ombudsman, or an ombudswoman.
21	And what she does is basically act as a
22	traffic cop. She fields what's going on at
23	the post level. She interfaces with the
24	Joseph Dwyer Peer-to-Peer Program. There's

1	about 15 of them out there, and we'd like 15
2	more. We'll put them in spots where they're
3	not there now. All right?
4	The Joseph Dwyer Peer-to-Peer, you
5	funded that and by the way, you funded the
6	Veterans Defense Program to the tune of
7	\$500,000 for the last couple of years. So we
8	want to thank you very much for that. That's
9	made a big difference. And thank you.
10	You've also funded the Joseph Dwyer
11	Peer-to-Peer. And I believe that's
12	somewheres in the neighborhood of \$300,000
13	for the last probably five or six years.
14	Highly successful program. And Linda can
15	actually get into a little bit of detail.
16	She's done some back-of-the-envelope figuring
17	on how it's working out, how it's getting
18	distributed. And she can also tell you that
19	at the post level, this is where the
20	mentoring occurs.
21	So when I mention the Veterans
22	Treatment Court and I talk about the million
23	dollars that the Governor has set aside for
24	mentoring in the Peer-to-Peer he calls it

1	peer mentoring that's great. But we want
2	to make sure that that mentoring has a feeder
3	line from the mentoring that's actually going
4	on in an anonymous fashion at the post level.
5	You know, in other words, if it's just tucked
6	away in the court, then we don't know whether
7	we've got people like Linda, John, and
8	everybody around here who's actually talking
9	to the vet, you know, and getting them where
10	they need to be. Sometimes coaching them
11	where they need to be.

All right, so having said that, what are we talking about charitable gaming for in this -- what am I saying here? Well, what I'm saying is that all these programs have been in place for the last 50 years. And charitable gaming in many, many, many posts -- most -- is the sole source of funding for all of these things that we're asking for additional money for now.

So I'm going to suggest to you that -- and by the way, the revenue has dropped \$54 million, the charitable gaming revenue has dropped \$54 million in the last 15 years.

1	And that basically is why we're here asking
2	you for money. We did an RSL and self-funded
3	long before this.
4	So what we want the Governor
5	mentions modernization of charitable gaming.
6	We hope that we can follow up with you folks
7	and give you some specific proposals that are
8	actually already sponsored. Assemblyman
9	Pretlow, Assemblyman Cusick, Senator
10	Bonacic there's things that have been
11	going on in the Legislature for a while.
12	We would hope that you could make that
13	budget process a little more specific with
14	the language that largely comes from those
15	two bills that we talk about. One is an
16	enhanced bell jar machine, which we already
17	have in the posts, and the other is charity
18	poker.
19	So there's my pitch. They all
20	interrelate. And I'd like to turn it over to
21	Scott Westcott for a little bit more about
22	the Veterans Defense Program.
23	MR. WESTCOTT: Good afternoon. My
24	name is Scott Westcott. I'm a Marine

1	l veteran.

I'd also like to tell you that I'm
extremely supportive of the Veterans Defense
Program, or the VDP, as we call it. The VDP
has stepped in and helped a number of our
most vulnerable veterans who have suffered
from PTSD, traumatic stress or stress-related
disorders. Currently 152,000 veterans in
New York State are estimated to have mental
health problems related to their service.
And unfortunately, less than half of those
are getting assistance with that.

I can tell you firsthand that some of the VDP provides a second chance for veterans who have lost their way, either through criminal behavior or what results in some civilian court interaction. I can relate stories of depression so severe that one service member contemplated suicide as he was traveling around with a gun in his car.

The Veterans Defense lawyers and case managers help the courts fully realize that veteran's circumstances and understanding, so that they can provide a more tailored

1	sentence	and a	better	disposition	for	him	and
2	for his f	family	•				

Last year, for the second time, you folks in the Legislature were able to provide \$500,000 of funding for the VDP. Today we're here asking for an additional \$950,000. This additional funding would go toward a downstate office and provide four family court and criminal court defense attorneys and a related support staff member. It would also increase our Batavia office, which is overburdened, with one more attorney and an additional staff member.

I'd like to turn it over now to John Lewis for further comments.

MR. LEWIS: Yes, good afternoon. I am John Lewis. I'm the legislative chair for the VFW Department of New York and a 22-year Navy veteran. I am also a post commander. And I'm here to talk about the role of the accredited Veteran Service Officers and to explain why we are asking for \$1 million in order to bolster our service officers.

24 Too often the Veterans Defense Program

1 is the first point of assistance contact for the returning veteran. Ideally, it should 2 3 come last. The job of the accredited Veteran Service Officer is to act as an ombudsman, 5 creating synergy with the highly successful Veterans Defense Program and with an equally 6 7 successful peer-to-peer mentoring process at the post level. 8

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The Veteran Service Officer submits claims based on the veterans service connection and assists with coordination to other veteran service agency resources in each county. Peer mentoring in the post identifies the needs during anonymous sessions. Anonymous mentoring must continue in the post, because it works.

There are approximately 15 active accredited Veterans Service Officers in place in organizations such as the VFW, Disabled American Veterans, the American Legion, amongst others. Funding for these officers comes from VSOs themselves, and the state. Our request would double that cadre of officers and create ground-level, properly

1	staffed, geographically diverse service
2	officer placement. It would be in a manner
3	completely compatible with existing veteran
4	service agencies in each county. The funds
5	would be budgeted and disbursed by the
6	Division of Veterans Affairs.
7	Linda?
8	MS. McKINNIS: Good afternoon,
9	everyone. My name is Linda McKinnis. I am
10	an Army veteran and, as my comrades have
11	said, I am a peer officer. I am also an
12	advocate for veterans services, and I am an
13	ombudswoman.
14	I'm here today to talk about two
15	issues. Again, my comrade here talked about
16	the VSOs. I am also a VSO in the Rensselaer
17	area, so I take care of all my Troy areas and
18	every city within the Troy area. So I do
19	take care of my veterans.
20	As that individual and that VSO, I go
21	out of my way to be an advocate. So what I
22	mean by that is I actually do the benefits, I

actually try to get whatever DD214 forms that

they need. I make sure that whatever

23

1	resources are in that community, these people
2	get their resources, and also link them up
3	with the nearest VA system. If for any
4	reason they have any problems with the VA
5	system, again, this is where I come in as
6	being an advocate.

As far as the Peer-to-Peer Program is concerned, again, the Peer-to-Peer, which is called now the Joseph Dywer program, is a successful program. How do I know? I've been through the program myself. You understand? When I first came here, I suffered with all the ailments of being out of the military, and I happened to get into the Dwyer program, and they helped me significantly. So I know that the outcome is greater. And a lot of men and women that have gone through this program, they have a successful life. I am one of those successful stories.

At this moment there has been budgeted \$300,000 for this program. Well, at this moment there's 14 counties -- if you do the math, there's 14 counties that money has to

be distributed to. If you look at the math
on that, that's really not much funding for
all 14 of these counties. And I'm asking,
along with this, that \$1 million also be
advocated to these counties as far as the
whole budget, so that these 14 counties can
get these resources that they need.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

These veterans need help. And the only way to get these veterans is a veteran must reach another veteran. Okay? With this money, we not only service the veterans, but we get them out of the house. And you know for sure that one of our problems that we have with veterans is isolation and depression. You know what I'm saying? So with the Peer-to-Peer program, what we do is we actually go in, we talk with the veterans, we see what their needs are, we see how we can service the need, we get them out of the house, we get them to do volunteer services, we get them to do activities that they normally wouldn't do. But that's okay, because it gets them out and doing something instead of being at home.

1	If you haven't noticed lately, the
2	count for suicide has gone down from 22 down
3	to 20. I do give credit to the VA system,
4	but also to the Peer-to-Peer program because
5	we're going out there, reaching these
6	veterans, and making sure that they're not
7	doing committing suicide and also not
8	being a repeat offender in the court systems
9	also.
10	We also, as the Peer-to-Peer program,

We also, as the Peer-to-Peer program,
work with the VDP program. One of my
questions that I have is when the VDP program
comes along and when we do this, one of the
my questions is, where do we get these
mentors? Well, where do we get these
mentors? From the Peer-to-Peer program.
Okay? So the Peer-to-Peer program works
alongside with the VDP program so that we can
get these veterans back into society, we get
the resources that they need and do what we
can over a period of time, and hopefully you
will have better successful stories with
these veterans.

24 ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you.

1	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
2	much.
3	Questions?
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Any questions?
5	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Yes, Mr. DenDekker.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Thank you,
7	Mr. Chairman.
8	First of all, thank you all for your
9	service, and I greatly appreciate that.
10	I have to tell you I am a little
11	ashamed and embarrassed that there is nobody
12	here from the Governor's office for us to
13	talk about the veterans budget. Because as
14	you have identified, there is a great need
15	for increases in funding. However, the
16	proposed budget that the Governor has made
17	has decreased overall funding by 1 percent.
18	It has cut all of the money that you just
19	talked about. The New York State Veterans
20	Defenders Program has been completely cut.
21	Helmets to Hard Hats. The funding to Vietnam
22	Veterans of America. The Veterans Medical
23	Center. Warriors Salute. All those programs

have been completely zeroed out. And I have

nobody to sit here in front of me to ask them
why they did that and why they make proposals
in the budget to do things that we would like
to know how they're being handled.

So for example, we put in \$250,000 for the burial of indigent veterans two years ago. None of that money was ever disbursed. There was no mechanism that was ever put in place for the congressionally chartered organizations to get access to the funds.

And now, in this proposal, he says, oh, but I'm putting \$100,000 into the burial services of indigent veterans. But there was no mechanism on the 250; none of it was ever disbursed. Now he's lowered it to 100. So did he cut \$150,000? Because he's never disbursed a dime of the money.

So I have no idea, because there's no one on the other side of the table sitting there that can answer all these questions that I have about the veterans budget. And when you all come to me on a regular basis and explain to me how those programs are successful and how they're helping veterans

1	and	how	we	need	d more	mone	Y,	unfortunately	Ι
2	don'	t. ha	ave	an a	answer	for	VOI	1.	

3 So on the first hand, I want to apologize that there is nobody here and that 4 5 I can't answer the questions. I can only read all this off a piece of paper that the 6 7 Governor has given us in a proposed budget. And I think it's shameful, shameful that 8 there's nobody here from the Governor's 9 10 office representing this division of the 11 budget. I think it shows disrespect to the 12 approximately 900,000 veterans -- 5 percent 13 of the total population of the State of 14 New York. There's no one here to answer to 15 them, there's no one here to give a question, 16 to explain. There's just no information at all. And I do apologize. And I think it's 17 18 total disrespect of our veterans that there's 19 no one here to answer those questions.

I can tell you the members of the

Veterans Committee are dedicated to trying to

find every penny we can to bring more

services to veterans, direct services to

veterans. And the problem that we have with

20

21

22

23

1	the veterans is they fall over so many
2	different areas of the budget. Some of it is
3	in mental health, some of it is in aging,
4	some of it is in housing. So because it's so
5	spread out, that's why we would require
6	someone from the Governor's office to
7	specifically talk about how all of this is
8	going to work for our veterans and how they
9	can access those services.
10	I would love for you, if you can, just

I would love for you, if you can, just to spend a minute and just explain to me how the Dwyer Peer-to-Peer Program would be expanded if we gave an additional million dollars. And if you could start that, that would be helpful.

MR. HANNAN: Can I just -- I think
Linda's got the -- and I would definitely not
want to steal her thunder. But she has the
grassroots side of this.

From the other side of it, from kind of like your side of it, looking down at how services are delivered, the one thing to remember is that any monies that come into the veteran community, particularly in the

1	Peer-to-Peer Program, save the government
2	money. All right? Because it's counseling
3	that would have to be given by other
4	agencies. It's a Department of Health
5	saving, it's a Labor Department saving, it
6	just goes on and on and on.
7	You know, but Peer-to-Peer
8	specifically? Yes, it should be funded. And
9	the million dollars, I think in the first
10	instance, going into the court system, I
11	don't know how that would work. But if we
12	had a million dollars going into
13	Peer-to-Peer, it should go to the counties,
14	it should be distributed by the Division of
15	Veterans Affairs. Budget it however you
16	want, but I would make it a go into a
17	sensitive agency where they know where the
18	Peer-to-Peer mentoring needs to be bolstered.
19	And I think that's the Division of Veterans
20	Affairs. So I hope I answered your question.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: I don't know
22	how we're going to get the attention of the
23	Governor, and we had talked about this.
24	Maybe it's time for some of the 900,000

1	veterans to come over to the Capitol one day
2	and explain to the Governor that not only are
3	you here, but that you also vote and you
4	would like to have some more services and
5	you'd like to at least have a representative
6	sitting here.
7	I know the Director of Veterans
8	Services has been going around the state
9	doing budget presentations for the Governor.
10	It would be nice if he was here in front of
11	us so we could ask him how we're going to
12	move this budget going forward and how we're
13	going to be able to bring more services
14	directly to our veterans. If you have
15	anything else to add
16	MR. HANNAN: I hope your office is on
17	the third floor, because we'll jam the
18	elevators for you.
19	(Laughter.)
20	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: You know where
21	my office is, because you were there
22	yesterday.
23	(Laughter.)
24	MR. HANNAN: I know. I know where

1	your office is. I'm just kidding.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: And as you ask
3	for more money, I tried to explain to you
4	MR. HANNAN: You did. You did a hell
5	of a job.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: I have no
7	idea how we're going to get it
8	MR. HANNAN: And we appreciate it.
9	Thank you.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: and we're
11	trying. That's all I can tell you.
12	MR. LEWIS: And we thank you for your
13	steadfast advocacy. We really appreciate
14	that, sir.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Well, thank
16	you all for coming. And again
17	MR. LEWIS: And we will continue to
18	fight. It won't be our first fight.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: thank you
20	for your service.
21	Mr. Chairman, I don't know if anybody
22	else has any question, but thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Senator?
24	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Yes.

1	Senator Velmanette Montgomery.
2	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Yes, just very
3	quickly. Thank you for your service to each
4	and every one of you.
5	PANEL MEMBERS: Thank you.
6	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: And my question
7	is I think I've asked you this before, so
8	I just keep asking my same question every
9	time I see you. You know, I have a VSO
10	organization. And it's Black Vets for Social
11	Justice. I don't know how connected you are
12	to them, they are to you. So that's one
13	question.
14	And what how much of this activity
15	could be also part of what they offer
16	veterans in Brooklyn, at least, and in the
17	city. And also a very, very large number of
18	the homeless men, especially, that I've met
19	in my district and I have several very
20	large homeless shelters for men
21	specifically so many of them are veterans.
22	So are you talking to us about doing
23	something targeting housing for veterans as
24	well?

es have

MS. McKINNIS: As far as the

2	homelessness for veterans, upstate do
3	an issue just like demotate dees al

an issue, just like downstate does also. And it's shameful -- don't get me wrong, it is shameful that our veterans, they come home and they don't have a place to live and they end up in the streets. And it's for a long period of time until someone discovers that

9 they are a veteran.

I can tell by experience, because I've been there and done that and I've lived on the streets myself. But because I had people that helped me, which was a good thing, what I would say is that most of these men that are homeless, have them get into a program. The VA system now has a homeless department in the VA system. I know the Albany Stratton one does now. They have a homeless agency in their building, and they will help you right on the spot as soon as you come there. Not only will they help you with some type of housing you for the moment, but they will also help you with the basics. Okay?

They also have a coordinator there

1 tha	ıt car	help	you	if	you	have	legal	problems
-------	--------	------	-----	----	-----	------	-------	----------

2 So if you have whatever legal problems, they

3 have somebody in the VA office, again, that

4 can help you. If you need counseling, either

5 you can go to the VA -- and that's your

6 choice if you choose to, okay, or if not, you

7 can go through the Dwyer program, okay, which

8 will also do that.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

If these veterans end up staying in a certain community or county or such, again, the 14 counties, wherever he or she may go, okay, there's a Dwyer program there. All they would have to do is just link up with them, and the representative will walk them through everything, whether it be unemployment, whether it be housing, whether it be trying to just get around in their community. That's what the Peer-to-Peer Program is. It's not just, you know, we sit around and we talk. No, it's trying to figure out what do you need at this moment. And then not only what do you need, they set goals for you so you can get to that well-being of your life so you don't have to

1	turn back and be homeless again.
2	MR. LEWIS: If I may add
3	MR. HANNAN: Candidly, the 14 counties
4	are mostly upstate.
5	MR. LEWIS: Yeah. You know, if I may
6	add
7	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: That's what I
8	thought, and I wanted to
9	MR. LEWIS: If I may add, the
10	underlying fundamental issue must be
11	addressed of why these folks, why these
12	homeless veterans are homeless. And I can
13	speak for the VFW. We only have two
L 4	accredited Veteran Service Officers, and
15	they're located out of Buffalo. We lost our
16	Veteran Service Officer here in Albany, and
17	she covered all the way down in the New York
18	City area. And that veteran service officer
19	is now not down and available into the city
20	to help and assist with some of those claims.
21	So we're happy to partner with and
22	work with any agency in the State of New York
23	in filing claims and holding hands, if need

24 be, to ensure that these veterans are made

1	whole once again.
2	MR. HANNAN: And right now those VSOs
3	are actually being paid out of our service
4	officer funding. That's self-funded. We do
5	get some help from the state, but it's a
6	complicated application process and we're
7	still kind of waiting on stuff that happened
8	three years ago. So and I'm not
9	complaining, you know
10	MR. LEWIS: I am.
11	(Laughter.)
12	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: I join my
13	colleague in saying this is embarrassing and
14	shameful. And I just wanted you to know that
15	I will be asking to what extent the
16	organization certainly that's in my district
17	and serves a lot of the people down there,
18	how do they are they able to join with you
19	in trying to get more funding. I appreciate
20	the defense project that you're talking
21	about.
22	And just as an aside, I have a brother
23	who is a veteran. He lives in Texas. I sent

him some of your information. He was ever so

1	gracerur, because the information for
2	veterans wherever they are, it's very
3	important that they have the information. So
4	thank you very much for what you do.
5	MR. BECKER: I'll just add one thing.
6	So our veterans don't have time to complain
7	about the state. We have to take care of
8	veterans, the ones that really need it.
9	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: I understand.
10	MR. BECKER: That's our job today.
11	That's what we're doing, we're asking for
12	some help.
13	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Thank you. I
L 4	understand.
15	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblywoman
16	Lupardo.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Yeah, I don't
18	have a question, I just wanted to thank you.
19	Thank you for your service, but also thank
20	you for taking the time to come up and make
21	this very impassioned plea. You have a very
22	strong advocate here. And all of my
23	colleagues are very supportive.
2.4	It's really actorishing what you are

1	proposing to deliver for the amount of money
2	you're asking for. And I'm just thinking
3	what more you could do with even twice that
4	amount. So I'm personally committed to
5	helping the cause, and I'm sure the rest of
6	us will try as well. So thank you very much.
7	PANEL MEMBERS: Thank you, ma'am.
8	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. And I
9	too want to give my personal regards and
10	deepest gratitude to all of you and all of
11	the veterans that you represent across the
12	entire state. You are the best of the best.
13	We owe you just the deepest debt of gratitude
14	for everything that you've done to protect
15	our freedom.
16	And I'm glad that you spoke about very
17	important programs for example, our
18	Peer-to-Peer Program that the Senate started
19	several years ago. I mean, there's really
20	good things going on. But there's a lot more
21	work to do. And in the Senate, in our
22	conference, we're very committed to
23	continuing to support our veterans. So I

just want to let you know that.

1	MR. BECKER: We're very aware of that,
2	Senator.
3	PANEL MEMBERS: Thank you.
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you so much
5	for your testimony.
6	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
7	much.
8	MR. HANNAN: Thank you, sir.
9	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: David McNally,
10	director of governmental relations, AARP.
11	MR. McNALLY: Good afternoon.
12	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Good afternoon.
13	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Good afternoon,
14	sir.
15	MR. McNALLY: I have provided you
16	riveting, lengthy testimony which I'm not
17	reading today, but I'm sure you'll enjoy
18	reading it. I have a brief statement to
19	make, however, summarizing our
20	recommendations and concerns.
21	Good afternoon, Senator Young,
22	Assemblyman Farrell, and members of the
23	committee. My name is David McNally and I'm
24	the director of government affairs and

1	advocacy for AARP New York. I would like to
2	submit the following testimony regarding the
3	human services portion of the Executive
4	Budget and issues facing older New Yorkers
5	and their families.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Unfortunately, the Executive Budget would require the discretionary \$27 million to support childcare subsidy costs, enabling the state to maintain the current level of childcare subsidies while reducing the General Fund cost for this program. It is our understanding that the hardest hit by this shortsighted budget proposal would be New York City's senior centers. I've heard a lot of talk about it today; I'm sure you're well aware of the issue. So I won't go into any further detail, except that our recommendation is the Legislature should reject the Governor's change to Title XX and allow discretionary spending to continue and be allowed to fund much-needed senior center services.

I'd now like to talk a little bit about help for the middle class. The

Governor's fiscal year 2017-2018 Executive
Budget does not provide sufficient funding
for the New York State Office for the Aging's
non-Medicaid-funded home and community based
programs for older persons and their family
caregivers. These programs are vital for
keeping older persons out of expensive
taxpayer-funded institutions and are a great
value to the millions of caregivers in our
state as well as those receiving the care.

Unfortunately, there is a statewide waiting list. Today I heard the number 15,000; yesterday I heard the number 17,000. It's probably much more than that. These are people seeking non-Medicaid-funded home and community-based services through programs such as the Expanded In-Home Services for the Elderly program, senior transportation, and home-delivered meals.

This may sound familiar to you because we come every year and have this discussion about this issue. There are 500 New Yorkers turning 65 every day. These lists continue to grow, and the costs continue to grow. We

1	have to get ahead of this problem. We have
2	to address these needs to keep the middle
3	class in the middle class, in their homes and
4	out of expensive taxpayer-funded
5	institutional care.

And this year we're asking that you find \$25 million to invest in non-Medicaid home and community-based care that would not only assist the older persons but also their family caregivers as well.

The next topic I'd just like to briefly touch on is senior financial exploitation. The Executive Budget proposal includes an initiative to train and legally authorize bank employees to place a hold on the bank account of a vulnerable adult if there is a reasonable basis to believe that the adult is a victim of actual or attempted financial exploitation. We support this initiative, and we strongly recommend that DFS and SOFA chair a task force on elder exploitation to lead a multidisciplinary approach that could bring a more concerted approach across service systems and agencies,

1	as well as creating emphasis on cross-system
2	collaboration to ensure that the limited
3	resources are used wisely to identify and
4	serve elderly abuse victims.

Finally, two other quick items that are very important to us. To further empower New Yorkers in their retirement, AARP supports a proposal championed by Senator Savino and Assemblyman Rodriguez to create a state-facilitated retirement savings option, known as the Secure Choice Savings Program Act, to help many of the more than 3.5 million private-sector workers who have no way to save for retirement through their employer. That leaves more than half the state's 18-to-64-year-old private-sector workforce without access to a retirement savings plan at all at the workplace.

In addition, workers are 15 times more likely to save for retirement if their employer offers a plan, according to the Employee Benefits Research Institute. That's why it's vital that all workers in the state have access to a payroll deduction savings

We strongly recommend the Legislature include language in the final State Budget that includes the Secure Choice Savings

Program Act.

Kinship care. Kinship care refers to non-parents, grandparents, and other relatives who care for children when parents are unavailable. The major cause of kinship care is parental drug abuse.

Many of these families need access to additional resources, especially when they first take on the responsibility of caring for children. Grandmothers usually assume the role of raising their grandchildren.

We recommend that in order to maintain the progress the state has made in the last three years in kinship services, and to address the opiate crisis in rural New York, it is important for the state to maintain level funding for kinship support services at \$1.9 million in about 22 programs across the state, and add \$89,500 for Kinship Navigator outreach to rural counties.

1	And I thank you for taking this time
2	to hear our testimony.
3	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you very
5	much.
6	MR. McNALLY: Thank you.
7	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Shelly Nortz,
8	deputy executive director, Coalition for the
9	Homeless New York City.
LO	MS. NORTZ: Good afternoon, and thank
11	you for inviting us to testify today. I have
12	abbreviated my presentation and will try to
13	respect the time limits here.
L 4	Thank you again. My name is Shelly
15	Nortz, and since 1987 I have had the
16	privilege of working for the Coalition for
17	the Homeless in Albany to secure state
18	support for programs and policies that
19	prevent and address homelessness and the
20	socioeconomic problems that cause
21	homelessness.
22	Last year I reported to you that none
23	of the new JPMorgan settlement funds
24	programmed to address homelessness via

1	supportive housing in the 2015-2016 budget
2	year were spent, nor had the New York City
3	plan for rent supplements related to the
4	allocation of youth facility reimbursement
5	savings been approved by the state.
6	Therefore, the two largest state budget
7	initiatives to address homelessness were not
8	actually available to help homeless
9	New Yorkers move out of shelters into
10	housing.
11	Much the same as last year, the great
12	majority of the \$2 billion that you approved
13	in last year's budget to build supportive and
14	affordable housing lies idle, due to
15	political disputes about unrelated matters.
16	It is unsurprising, therefore, that the
17	shelter census in New York City has continued
18	to climb.
19	As we have previously warned, city
20	investments alone are not sufficient to bring
21	the shelter census down substantially, and
22	greater state investments are required.
23	I want to make sure that you
24	understand this fact and that it sinks in.

1	Many of you have heard it before. New York
2	State informed the United States Department
3	of Housing and Urban Development that over
4	19,000 more people enter homelessness each
5	year than exit homelessness each year.
6	Nineteen thousand. That is as if everyone in
7	Oswego moved into a homeless shelter last
8	year, and everyone living in Plattsburgh
9	moved into a shelter this year, and
10	two-thirds of the population of Glen Cove
11	were to move into a shelter next year. This
12	is simply unsustainable on multiple levels.
13	I'm going to focus on the crisis in
14	New York City today, and I'm going to take
15	you through a series of tables and charts.
16	We had another record year of
17	homelessness in New York City: over 62,000
18	men, women and children in shelters, equal to
19	the population of Utica. The unduplicated
20	number of people using New York City shelters
21	rose 52 percent between 2002 and 2016, to
22	over 127,000 individuals more than the
23	population of Smithtown.
24	The single largest subset of the

1	New York City homeless shelter population:
2	the 24,000 children in shelters. That's the
3	population of Rockville Centre. Their
4	companions at school were the 63,000 children
5	living in doubled-up families a little
6	more than the population of White Plains.
7	Nearly 16,000 homeless families in
8	December; half of them in regulated shelters,
9	the rest in hotels and cluster sites.
10	Roughly the population of Binghamton or
11	Niagara Falls.
12	We had a record number of single
13	adults in shelters. Some also now in hotels,
14	because there are too few shelter beds for
15	the newly homeless population coming in.
16	About half the population of Poughkeepsie.
17	So why new record after new record
18	after new record? I'm going to take you
19	through the reasons why.
20	The cumulative deficit in the number
21	of federal housing placements, for one. The
22	last multiyear decrease in the New York City
23	shelter census occurred in 2004 and 2005.
24	The number of public housing and Section 8

1	placements for homeless families then
2	averaged about 5,000 per year. These are by
3	far the most stable housing placements, and
4	therefore these families rarely return to
5	shelters. The shelter census then was about
6	half its present size. In 2016, the number
7	of such placements was only 2,612 in New York
8	City. The result is that federal housing
9	placements are still down by half compared
10	with 2004-2005.

And we have the lost decade. As we recently reported in our family policy brief, from 1999 to 2005, New York City provided an average of 3,989 federal housing placements per year for homeless families. From 2006 to 2014, only a few hundred units were provided. On average, 3,548 fewer homeless families received stable housing placements over nine years. And that's an accumulated deficit of 31,935 fewer federal housing placements made over the nine-year period in which that policy was in place with the Bloomberg administration.

24 You can see on the next chart the

1	absolutely devastating effect that that had
2	on access to housing. And as a direct result
3	of that, the shelter census in New York City
4	doubled.

We also have, as was discussed
earlier, persistently high rates of eviction
and rising shelter demand due to domestic
violence, as well as institutional
discharges, as Senator Krueger was
discussing.

We have reduced supportive housing placements because the supportive housing pipeline has been effectively frozen for the last three years. There were 545 fewer people in homeless shelters to receive supportive housing placements last year, compared with 2014.

And then we had, despite the happy talk earlier about employment, we had a very sudden spike in unemployment in New York City this last year -- 35,000 more unemployed in October than in June. The drop in employment left 129,000 out of work, and only a third of those jobs have been recovered as of

2	And I would point out that the August
3	unemployment rate for the Bronx, where
4	homelessness among families is concentrated
5	more than any other borough, reached an
6	alarming 7.8 percent, up dramatically from
7	its low of 6.1 percent last May. There's no
8	doubt that that is in part why we've seen
9	such a great increase in the shelter
10	population starting this fall.
11	In addition, rapidly rising income
12	inequality causes housing instability and
13	homelessness. A fundamental of the economics
14	of homelessness is that in cities with low
15	vacancy rates, high housing costs, and
16	extreme income inequality, the people at the
17	lowest end of the income spectrum fall out of
18	the housing market entirely. New York City
19	is such a city.
20	According to the Fiscal Policy

Institute's budget analysis, the percentage of all income going to New York City's top 1 percent has grown from 12.2 percent in 1980, when modern mass homelessness emerged

1	as a serious problem, to 40.9 percent in
2	2015, as the crisis of homelessness again
3	became a cause for widespread concern, as you
4	can see on the following chart. Income
5	inequality in New York City is the worst in
6	the nation.
7	And then lastly, the New York City
8	population has reached a level projected for
9	2020 in 2015, five years early, and it could
10	surpass the 2025 projection as early as this
11	year. And yet we're not really prepared to
12	build the kind of housing that we need to
13	accommodate a population that is growing that
14	quickly. It grew at nearly 71,500 per year
15	between 2010 and 2015. And so if we keep on
16	that pace, as you can see, we are going to be
17	in very, very dire trouble.
18	My last table: With rising
19	homelessness, we also have rising reported
20	deaths among homeless New Yorkers. And that
21	increased recently as a direct result of the

24 The Governor replaced the expired

New York City.

22

23

increase in homelessness and displacement in

1	executive order on Code Blue protections
2	during inclement weather for homeless people
3	with a proposed regulation, an emergency
4	regulation. And we're pleased that there is
5	an emergency regulation, although it is
6	pegged to a temperature of 32 degrees,
7	including wind chill, which is far too low to
8	protect people from hypothermia, which can
9	hit people in the 50s and 60s. And it also
10	does not require that shelters be open 24/7.
11	So to the extent that shelters are just night
12	shelters, it's little protection during the
13	freezing cold days.
14	So we are urging that the regulation
15	be modified to a higher temperature
16	threshold, and that it protect people better
17	during the day.
18	As we indicated last year, the
19	coalition is also very pleased that the
20	Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance
21	is taking the issue of shelter conditions
22	seriously. We're glad that the state is

seeking to play a greater role, and we have

no doubt that there will be a need for much

23

greater investments in the capital needs of some of these aging facilities.

You can see my budget recommendations at the end. I think I'm going to probably skip over the first three, and you can read those at your leisure. And we'll just talk about the two big items on the agenda, the first being that we are urging the inclusion of Assemblyman Hevesi's Home Stability

Support rent subsidy program to help families keep their homes and avoid displacement into the shelter system, and also to help them leave shelters.

And then also we're really asking that the Governor and the Legislature release the \$2 billion appropriated for affordable and supportive housing last year. We've got to get this housing built. And if my presentation doesn't argue for that, I don't know what does.

And lastly, Senator Young, you were asking about the safety net assistance increase. I just wanted to make sure that you understood that the reason for that is

1	really structural and relates to how the
2	funding shift happened a few years ago when
3	the Safety Net Assistance Program went from
4	being a 50/50 state-local split to being the
5	71 percent local/29 percent state split.
6	It's structural because the TANF time
7	limit is five years. There are no local and
8	state shares on TANF anymore; it's all
9	100 percent federally funded for that
10	caseload until we hit Year 5. And then, over
11	time, that means that the TANF population
12	gets shifted to localities.
13	And so the very first time this was
14	done, it was said to be a cost relief to
15	localities that was then replaced by saving
16	the state from having localities have too
17	much of a windfall if you didn't change the
18	safety net formula. But the long-term effect
19	of that is to shift more of the caseload cost
20	to the Safety Net Assistance Program, and
21	therefore to localities.
22	So that's why that's happening.
23	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
24	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.

1	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
2	MS. NORTZ: Thank you very much.
3	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Just a little
4	information. Tomorrow's program will not be
5	on. We are going to do it on the 17th of
6	February, which is next week, Friday. We
7	think the weather is not very good for us to
8	be here tomorrow. So that will just be for
9	the record. Thank you.
10	Sheila Harrigan, executive director,
11	New York Public Welfare Association.
12	MS. HARRIGAN: Good afternoon, and
13	thank you. I'll just make a few points.
14	I represent the 58 local departments
15	of social services across the state. We look
16	at every policy issue in terms of its impact
17	on vulnerable people and on taxpayers.
18	I'll bring a few issues to your
19	attention. The first has to do with everyone
20	needs a place to call home. So our written
21	testimony includes our recommendations for
22	what you as legislators can do to further
23	support local efforts to address
24	homelessness, prevent homelessness, and

1	provide emergency placements when they're
2	needed. In order to address homelessness, we
3	really need better permanent housing options.
4	And I know both the Assembly and Senate are
5	very dedicated to that goal.

In addition, when there are new requirements such as the expansion of SNAP and HEAP, we look to the Legislature and to the Governor to provide the administrative dollars for implementation. These programs are not funded by the state in terms of administration, so the federal government pays part of the cost and the rest is all local. So when there's an expansion, it is a new burden.

On the child welfare/childcare side,
the Executive proposal would restrict
\$26.1 million of Title XX for childcare.
Currently this funding is used by counties in
many different ways. It was mentioned
earlier that New York City uses it for senior
services. Most counties use it for
preventive services and child welfare. So by
targeting childcare, it is creating cuts in

1 other pro	grams.	•
-------------	--------	---

And certainly we support the state's commitment to childcare subsidies, but that should happen with ongoing state and federal resources, not through a cost shift.

In terms of foster care, the Executive proposal would decrease funding by \$62 million statewide. Our position is why mess with success, this program is working. Counties use those savings to fund preventive services to keep kids out of foster care or to reduce the length of time in placement. So by cutting \$62 million, they're certainly hurting our abilities to do that. We'd like to see that funding restored.

Raising the age of juvenile
jurisdiction to age 18, we simply ask for a
commitment, a guarantee of 100 percent state
funding. The Executive made that commitment
last year; that commitment is not made in his
proposal this year. There's lots of loops to
go through. None of our suggestions for
adding language to secure the financing last
year were included in the proposal.

1	so in closing, I appreciate the
2	legislative leadership and the leadership of
3	this committee on child welfare and social
4	services. We have our complete testimony for
5	your review. And I'd be happy to answer any
6	questions at another time. I know you're
7	running late.
8	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
9	much. Any questions?
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
12	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you very
13	much.
14	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Kate Breslin,
15	president and CEO, Schuyler Center.
16	Hello.
17	MS. HILL: Hello. I am not Kate
18	Breslin, although I would be very flattered
19	to be mistaken for her. I'm Dede Hill, and
20	I'm policy director for the Schuyler Center
21	for Analysis and Advocacy. Thank you so much
22	for your fortitude. This has been a long
23	day. And thank you so much for allowing me
24	this opportunity to testify.

1	The Schuyler Center is a 145-year-old
2	statewide nonprofit organization that engages
3	in analysis and advocacy in support of
4	policies that improve the lives of all
5	New Yorkers, particularly low-income children
6	and families.
7	I'm just going to hit few points. You
8	have our written testimony.
9	We're deeply concerned about the child
10	poverty rates in New York State. Even as the
11	economy in New York has steadily improved,
12	nearly 22 percent of New York children live
13	below the federal poverty level. New York
14	children of color experience poverty at even
15	greater rates, with 32 percent of
16	African-American children and 34 percent of
17	Latino children living below the federal
18	poverty level. And yet the Governor's budget
19	mainly flat-funds or cuts programs that
20	support and strengthen these children and
21	their families.
22	We acknowledge there are a few bright
23	spots, including the new after-care funds and

a slight increase in pre-K funding, but

1	largely, this budget flat-funds programs for
2	low-income children and families. And this
3	underinvestment is particularly worrisome
4	given that the new federal administration has
5	promised steep cuts in social services and
6	health services that serve low-income
7	children and families. So really now more
8	than ever, it's up to the state to protect
9	these families.

I'm going to just jump to -- one other point I think I want to make is that many of the funding decisions in the Executive Budget are without a real discernible rationale. In fact, the budget proposes to flat-fund or cut funds in some of the areas where the need is arguably the greatest. And this is certainly the case in two areas that I'm going to focus on in my remarks right now. The first is early care and learning, in particular childcare, and the second is in the area of child welfare.

I will not belabor the childcare issue. We've heard about that a lot. But I would just say that in our mind the crisis in

1	childcare is not looming, it is here. This
2	number has been said many times, and it is
3	true: 83 percent of families with incomes at
4	less than 200 percent of the poverty level
5	are receiving no subsidies, very little
6	assistance.
7	And the Governor's proposed budget
8	does nothing to help these families. In
9	fact, the budget allows for no new subsidies.
10	And this will actually likely result in fewer
11	subsidies because of rising costs, and
12	particularly if the federal regulations need
13	to be implemented.
14	In fact, the only proposed new funding
15	comes in the form of a very modest
16	enhancement to the Child and Dependent Care
17	Tax Credit, and that does not touch any of
18	these families who are eligible for
19	subsidies. That's just for families making
20	more than \$50,000 a year.
21	So we urge the Legislature to support
22	an additional investment of \$100 million in
23	childcare subsidy funding. This would

restore subsidies lost due to escalating

1	costs and also increase the number of
2	children served. And we also call for the
3	restoration of the \$27 million of General
4	Fund support for childcare, and leave
5	counties to use their Title XX discretionary
6	funds to support other vital social services.
7	I want to just talk quickly about home
8	visiting. This is another critical support,
9	a proven support for young children and their
10	families. It's an intervention that's been
11	recognized to strengthen families, improve
12	children and families' health, well-being,
13	economic security. It's exceptionally
14	cost-effective, but yet it's been
15	continuously underinvested in. This year is
16	no different.
17	The Schuyler Center, along with
18	Healthy Families New York, Nurse-Family
19	Partnership, Parents As Teachers, and
20	Parent-Child Home, urge the Legislature to
21	increase state investment in home visiting to

\$36.3 million. This would allow for the

maintenance of existing programs and also

allow for the expansion of services to more

22

23

4	_		_		
1	iam	l	Τ	1es	3.

Another area of deep concern is the area of child welfare. The Governor's proposed budget would make significant cuts to child welfare services. And these proposed cuts seem particularly unwise given recent tragic fatalities of children under state care and also last month's release of a federal report, the Child and Family Services Review, which finds New York to rank near the bottom nationally on a number of child welfare safety and permanency measures.

We would certainly acknowledge there are many strengths in New York State's child welfare system, chief among them the state's commitment and investment in open-ended preventive services. So we urge you to build upon these strengths.

First we join many others who have called for -- who have already spoken, and I know some who follow -- please maintain funding for the Foster Care Block Grant. The Executive Budget would cut \$62 million from this block grant. We urge that this be

1	restored.

We also urge restoration of the state
share for preventive services from 62 percent
in the Executive Budget to 65 percent.
That's as written in statute. And we urge
that the additional 3 percent be used for
primary prevention. Those are services that
help children before there's any formal
involvement with the child welfare system.

We also ask you to strengthen the housing subsidy for foster families. We urge support of AB259 and SB1291, sponsored by Assemblymember Hevesi and Senator Avella. This would increase the housing subsidy, which hasn't been increased since 1988, to \$600 per month. And the result will be that this will help many of our young people who are aging out of foster care to have stable housing and not fall into homelessness, which is all too common with this population.

We also urge a strengthening of the Kinship Guardianship Assistance Program.

This is a program that allows kin families to exit the foster care system yet continue to

1 receive financial support while still caring
2 for their relative children.

The Executive Budget continues to fund through the Foster Care Block Grant, and this diverts scarce funds from foster care. This is a permanency option. It should be funded as such.

We'd also, finally, like to call for the restoration of Kinship Caregiver services to the funding level of \$2.3 million and increase fundings for the Kinship Navigator Program to \$410,000. These services offer critical supports for kin who step in to care for children, relative children. Many of these kin caregivers are grandparents of limited means. They need supports.

And we would just point up two issues that are sort of looming on the horizon -- well, one is here, right here, which is the opioid crisis has led to many children in the care of kin. And there's also the looming threat of the new federal administration's pledge to increase dramatically deportations. And we are concerned that there will be more

1	children who need to go into the care of kin
2	because their families are disrupted in these
3	ways. So we urge restoration and an increase
4	in investments in these two important
5	programs.
6	Thank you so much for your time, and
7	I'm happy to answer any questions.
8	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you very much.
9	MS. HILL: Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you. I
12	just wanted you to know that we are
13	attempting to be very firm in the advocacy
14	for many of the programs that you have noted
15	that are so essential, and we are pushing to
16	increase the funding. And thank you so much.
17	MS. HILL: Thank you. And we look
18	forward to working with you. Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Empire Justice
20	Center, Susan Antos, senior attorney.
21	MS. ANTOS: Good afternoon, and thank
22	you to the chairpeople, the Assemblymembers
23	and the Senators here today. Thank you for
24	the opportunity to testify.

1	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
2	MS. ANTOS: We have submitted 28 pages
3	of testimony, and I'm just going to highlight
4	what each of the categories are so that you
5	can read it in depth at your leisure.
6	I'd like to start out with mentioning
7	the Disability Advocacy Program, and I want
8	to thank Senator Krueger for raising that in
9	her questioning. I want to point out that
10	the Disability Advocacy Program, called DAP,
11	is actually a money saver for the State of
12	New York. For every dollar invested in DAP,
13	at least \$3 are generated to the benefit of
14	state and local government, because people or
15	public assistance then go into the federally
16	funded SSI program.
17	The Executive Budget funded DAP at
18	\$5.26 million, and we seek restoration to
19	last year's level of \$8.26 million.
20	The next item that I want to
21	highlight I'm going to jump around because
22	there's so many topics in our testimony is

a matter that has been touched on briefly

here today, but I want to underscore it,

23

1	which is the proposed creation of a
2	centralized administrative hearing division.
3	Assemblymember Titus asked a question
4	of I believe it was Commissioner Perrin about
5	some details about the program, and his
6	response was, "Well, our conversations are
7	continuing. We haven't drilled down yet."
8	Administrative hearings are the
9	touchstone of due process rights for people
10	on public assistance benefits, on
11	unemployment benefits, on many, many
12	benefits. And the conduct of these hearings
13	is critical to a correct result for them.
14	We believe this proposal is premature.
15	It's very important to us that administrative
16	law we're assured that administrative law
17	judges have subject matter expertise, legal
18	experience, that there are protections such
19	as developing the record, that the
20	administrative law judges are independent,
21	and that due process requirements are
22	observed. So I wanted to highlight that for
23	you.
24	I want to also jump forward. This was

1	not given a lot of attention today. I wanted
2	to mention the Lottery intercept proposal,
3	because there are a couple of things about
4	that that did not come up today that are
5	very, very important for you to know.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Number one, the Court of Appeals at the end of the 2015 said if you're on public assistance and you win the Lottery, before the state can recover the amount of the public assistance debt that you have received, it has to credit the value of your work. That makes sense, right? You went and cleaned the park, you should get the minimum wage times the number of hours you worked credited against your public assistance debt. The Court of Appeals agreed with us. And to date, the Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance has not implemented the holding of the Carver case, which I've attached to your testimony so you can see that the decision of the Court of Appeals in Carver was in no uncertain terms.

So that's number one. The state should not be allowed to take more in Lottery

1	winnings from former public assistance
2	recipients until it obeys the law of the
3	Court of Appeals in Carver and credits them
4	for the work they did.
5	I attached a Lottery intercept
6	statement under the current law, where
7	50 percent is taken. And I wanted to remind
8	everybody that Lottery winnings are taxable.
9	Federal, state and local income taxes are
10	taken out. We don't know from this proposal,
11	if the entire amount of the Lottery is
12	intercepted, are people going to be walking
13	around with a tax liability on their head for

The gentleman who received the notice in this case won \$10,000. He hadn't been on public assistance for seven years. The state took \$5,000, \$3500 was taken in federal, state, and New York City taxes. His \$10,000 prize ended up being \$1400. If the entire amount had been taken from him and he had a \$3500 debt, that would be a very, very unfair result.

24 So I think that -- and one other

that amount?

1	point. And actually, Assemblymember
2	DenDekker mentioned this. He asked if people
3	had notice of what their debt was. And I
4	have to say that, thanks to the Senate and
5	the Assembly, last year an accounting bill
6	was passed for public assistance debt but it
7	only applied to people who have public
8	assistance mortgages. If you own a house and
9	you go on public assistance, the county can
10	take a lien against your house. And the law
11	now requires that these folks get a biennial
12	accounting of their public assistance debt.
13	Why is that important? Because
14	districts make mistakes. I tell the story of
15	someone who had a \$40,000 lien against her
16	house and it was supposed to be \$20,000. The
17	executive deputy commissioner couldn't answer

districts make mistakes. I tell the story of someone who had a \$40,000 lien against her house and it was supposed to be \$20,000. The executive deputy commissioner couldn't answer when the question was posed to him what benefits are recoverable. I can tell you that SNAP, HEAP and childcare are not recoverable. But my client in the story had all those benefits charged against her public assistance debt. If she had had an accounting -- I mean, luckily, we caught it

1	at the last minute. But people aren't going
2	to understand it unless they get an
3	accounting. And we believe all public
4	assistance debtors should get notice of their
5	debt.

I also want to mention Home Stability
Support. Deputy Commissioner Perrin
mentioned the lack of affordable housing as a
problem. My colleague Shelly Nortz went into
great detail about that. The Empire Justice
Center strongly supports the Home Stability
Support program. We hope that you will
support it as well. The executive deputy
commissioner noted that 28 percent of shelter
placements are the result of domestic
violence, and Home Stability Support would
address the needs of those homeless folks as
well. So I hope you will consider that
proposal.

One other thing that I -- because it's a budget issue, and I'm just going to touch on it. Briefly there is a bill pending in the Legislature right now, it's Assembly 1590, which would eliminate the ability of

1	social services districts to take a mortgage
2	against a public assistance recipient. Most
3	public assistance recipients that own homes
4	are often women who have been divorced and
5	the only asset is a home, and the taking of a
6	lien is just adding insult to injury.

We are one of only two states in the entire country that do this, and we would ask that that be repealed. The bill has been drafted in a way so that it does not have a big fiscal impact. It's prospective only.

All the liens that are in existence right now will be in place. And there's data about the number of liens that are in existence and that will still be recoverable in our testimony.

I want to quickly jump to childcare.

We have a lot of pages in our childcare. We support the Winning Beginning New York recommendation of \$100 million. I believe it was Senator Krueger who asked the question about maximizing the use of funds by exempting public assistance recipients with children under the age of one from the work

1	rules. In our testimony we have detailed
2	calculations showing how, for every child
3	that's exempted, there would be three slots
4	created, with the freeing up of \$9.34 million
5	of additional dollars for childcare. That's
6	at the end of our testimony on pages 24
7	through 26.

We also think that -- I'm getting close to the end here. I also wanted to mention the importance of, particularly in these times, a commitment to children of immigrants. I wanted to follow up on what SCAA said about the real danger of U.S. citizen children whose parents are going to be deported.

We have a recommendation in our budget testimony that money be allocated for legal assistance. We think the Kinship Navigator is well-positioned to provide that for the kind of planning that families need if children are going to be left with other relatives if and when the parents are deported.

Finally, I would like to point out

1	that a big piece of our testimony is on
2	foreclosure prevention. And again, this ties
3	in with the homelessness crisis. I had some
4	quick data for you, but I can't find it now.
5	But I did want to let you know that oh,
6	here it is, sorry that we're seeking
7	\$10 million to replace a program that is
8	effectively ending as of 2017 because of no
9	more funding. We were funded through
10	Attorney General services, and these services
11	have been provided since 2008.
12	There is still a foreclosure crisis.
13	There were 34,000 new filings in 2016 and
14	72,000 pending foreclosure cases.
15	Foreclosures constitute 26 percent of the
16	Supreme Court's caseload.
17	So we would ask that you continue to
18	fund foreclosure preventions so that people
19	can stay in the homes that they own.
20	Thank you.
21	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you, Susan.
22	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
23	much.
24	Gerard Wallace, director of Kinship

1	Navigator,	Catholic	Family	Center,	Rochester
2	New York.				

MR. WALLACE: Chairpersons Young and Farrell, committee members and chairs, thank you very much for the opportunity. We are certainly at the end of the day here, and I'm under the wire. And I made it this year. Sometimes I'm back there and it doesn't happen. So I appreciate the last-minute opportunity.

We will encapsulate what we have to say, and hopefully the gist of the matter will be sufficient to have you understand our interest and our concerns.

I am the director of the New York

State Kinship Navigator. This is a statewide

program serving all 62 counties. We're in

our 11th year of operation. We're a line

item in the Governor's budget. We provide

information, referral, education and advocacy

to the kinship community across the state.

That includes the 44 rural counties in the

state where there really aren't any other

services but ourselves. We run a helpline,

1	an extensive website with many, many legal
2	fact sheets, and we do educational forums,
3	legal trainings. We're out in the field,
4	we're doing presentations in the community
5	and intending to reach caregivers across the
6	entire state.

I'm here with Ryan Johnson, who is our MSW. And he, if he has a minute, will just go over a typical case to give you a sample of what we really go through on a daily basis.

Reflecting on kinship care. Kinship care is grandparents and relatives raising children. I'm going to highlight some data that's recently been published by OCFS, and some national data, and not go through my usual here are the barriers, oh, woe for us. I think this will just highlight enough to maybe give you the interest to go forward and consider our recommendations.

In May in the New York Times there was a report, "Children of the Heroin Crisis Find Refuge in Grandma's Arms." It points out that there are 2.6 million grandparents who

1	are responsible for grandchildren in this
2	country. Translating that into New York
3	State, 130,000 grandparents are responsible
4	aunts and uncles, family siblings, and even
5	family friends. And then looking at what
6	that means for the number of children, we
7	could have as many as 300,000 children in
8	New York State living with relatives.

Are they in foster care? No. Only

4,000 or so of that number are in foster

care. These families are on their own. The

only specialized services dealing with them

are my program and the 22 locally funded

programs that, thanks to the added funding in

the past two years, has bumped up to what I

just said, 22 programs.

Now, a couple more facts about kinship families. They are similarly situated to foster families. Obviously the opioid crisis is highlighted in that article. We recently, the navigator, had a federal grant, and we had the Research Foundation of New York do the study connected with that grant. And in a cohort of 455 children in five upstate

1	counties, 80 percent of them had Child
2	Protective Services records. There but for
3	the intervention of their family members,
4	these children could be in foster care.

Going down with the data, the other data that came out recently is that finally, after years of advocacy, OCFS released, in July, "Trends in Relative Placements." And the cite is here in the handout. And the important thing to note in that "Trends" is that we are a tale of two states: New York City and then the rest of the state.

In New York City, highlighting the number of children who went into foster care with kin, in 2015 in New York City, 3,905 children were placed in foster care in New York City. Of that, 1,025 were placed with relatives. Twenty-seven percent of foster care in New York City is relative care. In the rest of the state, where it was 4,866 children went into foster care, in the rest of the state 351 were placed with relatives. Seven percent. Twenty-seven percent versus 7 percent. To go to the

1	videotape as someone you might recall,
2	okay, if you're old enough I'm going to go
3	to individual counties to let you know what
4	that means.
5	Let's start here in Albany: 167
6	children admitted to foster care in 2015,
7	zero went into care with their relatives.
8	Zero. Instead, they used something that goes
9	under the rubric "diversion."
10	How do we divert kids to be placed
11	with their family members but we don't make
12	them foster parents? One of the key
13	techniques is something called direct
14	custody. I could belabor it. It's in the
15	notes here, and you can read about it. But
16	direct custody, 35 families. Essentially you
17	can call this foster care on the cheap. We
18	don't pay for foster care, but the families
19	get the kids. And they're on their own, and
20	we serve them.
21	How many kids went into KinGAP? We
22	don't have time to talk about that here. In
23	Albany County, one.

Let's just point out a few other

1	counties upstate, big counties. Broome, 105
2	kids admitted into foster care; three went
3	into relative foster care; two went into
4	direct custody not so bad and three
5	into KinGAP.
6	Erie County, 617 children went into
7	foster care, 15 were placed with relatives,
8	512 were into foster care on the cheap.
9	Proceeding, the Article X continues
10	when children go off to live with relatives
11	and they don't get any services. I can go
12	on. This is available the link is there
13	for you to look at it. We really are a tale
14	of two states in New York. And the only
15	answer for these families who are not in
16	foster care are for them to come to us and
17	get served by them {sic}.
18	Now, what do we do? Ryan will give
19	you a minute on that in a second here. But
20	I'd like to point out that we need to reach
21	them, and reaching them is not easy,
22	particularly in rural New York, where this
23	opioid crisis is causing an increase.

So in our budget ask, we're asking you

1	to continue the funding that the Legislature
2	has put in over the past two years, which is
3	essentially to fund at \$2,238,000 the
4	22 kinship programs that are local entities,
5	that serve 22 counties. And then for us, we
6	got \$100,000 more last year. We're asking
7	for \$89,500 more to implement a technique
8	that we perfected in our federal
9	demonstration project that resulted in a
10	600 percent increase in referrals in five
11	upstate rural counties.

We want to reach these counties and get them to the bare minimum services that are available to them that are at least of some help. You can help us do that if you will fund our recommendations.

There also is Social Services Law 392, enacted in 2011, that says that the local counties are to provide information to kinship caregivers, to relative caregivers regarding services. But it's to provide information. Most of them don't do it. We are asking that you amend that Social Services Law to require them to connect the

1	caregivers to kinship services.
2	The other recommendations are in our
3	draft. And I would like, if there's one
4	minute, if Ryan could give you a typical
5	instance of a caregiver family. If not,
6	we'll just say that's it.
7	You folks okay? Go ahead, Ryan.
8	MR. JOHNSON: Great, I'll be very
9	brief. In my role as a regional kinship
10	specialist for the New York State Kinship
11	Navigator, I get an opportunity to connect
12	with caregivers and professionals on a weekl
13	basis.
L 4	Imagine for a moment you get a call
15	from child welfare services letting you know
16	that your grandchildren are going to be take
17	into state custody unless you come and get
18	them.
19	Oftentimes caregivers who are faced
20	with this situation are the ones that are

of kinship caregivers in New York State.

SENATOR SAVINO: Did you just say

21

22

calling us. Oftentimes these are elderly

caregivers; 56 years old is the average age

```
1
            elderly is 56?
 2
                   (Laughter.)
 3
                   SENATOR KRUEGER: You lost us.
 4
                   (Laughter.)
                   MR. JOHNSON: Oftentimes these folks
 5
            are on a fixed income --
 6
 7
                   (Laughter.)
 8
                   MR. JOHNSON: -- they are living in
 9
            a --
10
                   SENATOR SAVINO: That just went right
11
            over you.
12
                   MR. JOHNSON: It did not go over me.
13
            I totally understand.
14
                   (Laughter.)
15
                   MR. JOHNSON: Gerry told me I had one
16
            minute.
17
                   (Laughter.)
18
                   SENATOR KRUEGER: He was doing better.
            You probably should have stopped.
19
20
                   MR. WALLACE: I'm telling you, I'll
21
            talk to him afterwards, I promise.
22
                   (Laughter.)
                   MR. JOHNSON: Very good, thank you.
23
24
            Glad my mother's not watching, that's all I
```

1	can say about that.
2	(Laughter.)
3	MR. JOHNSON: What I can say is that
4	oftentimes these folks are on fixed incomes,
5	they live in apartments that are often too
6	small. And so when they're faced with caring
7	for a child that comes into their life
8	unexpectedly, they need services like: Where
9	can I find a bed for this child? This child
10	came to me with only the clothes on their
11	back, and I have nothing to feed them.
12	Other services that they might need
13	are, How can I get this child to school?
14	It's 10 o'clock at night and this child is
15	supposed to be at school at 7:30 tomorrow
16	morning.
17	These are the types of situations that
18	kinship caregivers face when they're given a
19	child. So what our program does is connects
20	them to local services. We partner with
21	local agencies. As Gerry mentioned, there's

22 kinship care programs that cover 22

Those programs are able to serve those

counties. We partner directly with them.

22

23

1	families, get them connected to we can
2	find you a bed, we can find you clothes, we
3	can find you a local food pantry.
4	Our program specifically helps
5	caregivers in the 40 counties that don't have
6	any services. We also serve the 22 counties
7	as well. We can help caregivers get
8	connected to financial resources as well as
9	other mental health resources and legal
10	resources in their county available to them.
11	As a kinship system of care, the
12	navigator and local programs are able to help
13	stabilize kinship families and increase
14	positive long-term outcomes for children
15	while operating these services at a fraction
16	of the cost of placing these children in
17	foster care.
18	Thank you. I'm sorry if I offended.
19	(Laughter.)
20	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblywoman
21	Jaffee.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you for
23	the service that you provide. I've heard the
24	stories, and they really are very telling in

1	terms of the need for support. And so thank
2	you. And I am requesting for additional
3	funds so that you can continue your efforts.
4	MR. WALLACE: Thank you. Thank you
5	very, very much, yes.
6	SENATOR KRUEGER: Senator Savino.
7	SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you. Thank
8	you, Gerry and Ryan.
9	MR. WALLACE: The old guy.
10	SENATOR SAVINO: Believe me, you'll be
11	our age before you know it.
12	I'm somewhat confused, though, about
13	the number I shouldn't say confused
14	somewhat concerned about the number of these
15	cases that are being dropped off with
16	families as opposed to a more formal setting.
17	And as you know, Gerry and I see Jim
18	Purcell sitting behind you, and others who
19	have done this work for years you know,
20	there's a landmark lawsuit that was brought
21	against the City of New York 30 years ago
22	around this very issue, you know, in the case
23	of Eugene F. It's what created kinship
24	foster care.

1	And while we definitely don't want to
2	see every family dragged through the family
3	court system if you can place children
4	with a relative and provide them the type of
5	supports to help stabilize them, I think
6	that's the way to go. I'm wondering, though,
7	do we see more placements in kin care or this
8	less formal setting because it's cheaper and
9	then
10	MR. WALLACE: Well
11	SENATOR SAVINO: Yeah, I see, right,
12	you're shaking your head. But then what is
13	the follow-up with the parent? Because the
14	parents don't disappear, right? So you've
15	taken the child, they're with their
16	grandparent, you guys exist to try and
17	provide them some support, some financial
18	stability, maybe help them get through the
19	court system, get a custody arrangement. But
20	the parents are still there. What's going on
21	with these families as we move forward?
22	MR. WALLACE: The word is diversion.
23	There are many ways in which it's done. And

why is it that it's done? Yes, there's a

1	suspicion that there's a financial factor
2	involved: Families will do it on their own,
3	and we couldn't have all these children come
4	into the formal system, it would break the
5	system.
6	So yes, families need to be doing it
7	on their own, but they also don't need to be
8	abandoned.
9	A typical story beginning with
10	diversion is an investigation is made,
11	there's some reason to have a child removed.
12	Instead it's asked, Will a relative take the
13	child? Grandma says yes. The kid goes off
14	to grandma's. There never is an Article X,
15	it's in the case records. No one knows the
16	number of instances of this. All right?
17	And I would say that what we hear is:
18	"Child Protective Services gave me this child
19	eight years ago, this is the first time I've
20	found out there's help." It's brutal on
21	someone who may be on a fixed income.
22	SENATOR SAVINO: And that's what
23	Eugene F. was all about.
24	MR. WALLACE: Well, yes, but it's a

1	downstate case. And there have been lawsuits
2	upstate, but it's a decentralized county
3	you've got to have a lawsuit in every county
4	to address the practices if you're going to
5	defeat it.

There was a case Debra VV v. Johnson in 2006, here in Albany County, in which an aunt was told there's no such thing as kinship foster care in this county. And yes, it went there and that practice was ended and she became a foster parent.

But the consequences beyond that have not been to solve the bigger issue. The bigger issue is we remove the child, we start the Article X. Family Court Act 1017 offers you three ways to give that child to a relative: Tell the relative to go out on their own and get custody; let them do foster care on the cheap, which is direct custody; or make them a foster parent.

In the conversations that occur between Child Protective Services and child welfare agencies, there are many ways to dissuade you. Indeed, the commentary in the

Т	McKinney's 1017, written by a Horstra law
2	professor, uses the word "ruses" the counties
3	do to perpetuate diverting kin from becoming
4	foster parents. Oh, you don't want to become
5	a foster parent. We'll be in your home every
6	week. You can't have them now. We won't
7	place them until you're certified, and you
8	can't get certified for four months.
9	Give me the kid, I don't care.
10	There are many ways we are asking
11	not to we understand the financial
12	constrictions, but the worst thing is that
13	children that should get into foster care may
14	not be getting into foster care.
15	SENATOR SAVINO: And the parent that
16	you remove the child from is not getting any
17	follow-up service either.
18	MR. WALLACE: Well, if the Article X
19	is on, yes, there will be reunification
20	efforts.
21	SENATOR SAVINO: But if there's not
22	MR. WALLACE: If there's that other
23	informal situation, it just goes up in the
24	air.

1	I'd like to give you one instance in
2	Dutchess County that drives home, because I
3	get to use that lawyerly word "egregious."
4	Okay?
5	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: You have one
6	minute, just to
7	MR. WALLACE: Really quick. Dutchess
8	County, four or so years ago. Boyfriend
9	lures girlfriend to motel, beats her to death
10	with a baseball bat in front of her
11	5-year-old. The child is you know, CPS is
12	in, the cops are in. Grandma gets a call:
13	"Come down to court." She runs down to
14	court. Oh, my God, the family is a mess.
15	Right? And she's told by CPS, "Go get
16	custody on your own and take care of the
17	child." Not even offered a chance to be a
18	foster parent.
19	They get in touch with us eight months
20	later: "We can't afford the mental health
21	needs of this child. What are we going to
22	do?" It became a cause célèbre, and it was
23	back-doored and she got to be a foster
24	parent.

1	But it shows you just how egregious it
2	can be in circumstances where a kid may need
3	to go into foster care and may be avoided
4	because of these informal policies.
5	SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you.
6	MR. WALLACE: Thank you very much.
7	SENATOR KRUEGER: One more question,
8	sorry.
9	We were on the exact same train, so
10	let me just double-check. There's nothing in
11	the work that your organizations do that
12	prevents you from trying to ensure that there
13	is a kinship foster care placed in these
14	that the family is
15	MR. WALLACE: During the first six
16	months, when it is still possible under the
17	federal rule, which says you look back to
18	where the initial removal was in order to be
19	qualified for Title IV-E funding. And then
20	you have to look at the AFDC income
21	requirements of 1996.
22	We will intervene on a one-to-one
23	basis and ask counties to do this. But the
24	resources are pretty limited. And most of

T	the time we're finding out after the fact,
2	you know: "I got this child and now I don't
3	know what to do." And you can call up the
4	county, and the standard answer is it's too
5	late.
6	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. Thank
7	you.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you.
9	The next presenter, New York State
10	Children's Alliance, Karen Hill.
11	The Children's Aid Society has
12	submitted, so the one after that will be
13	Jim Purcell, the Council of Family and Child
14	Caring Agencies, on deck.
15	Thank you.
16	MS. HILL: Good afternoon, members of
17	the Assembly and Senate.
18	The New York State Children's Alliance
19	is the membership organization for New York
20	State's 40 Child Advocacy Centers. It's my
21	privilege to be here on behalf of our
22	membership to share with you and provide you
23	some information regarding the work that we
24	do at a Child Advocacy Center.

1	On behalf of our board of directors, I
2	want to thank the Legislature for your
3	history of strong support over the years.
4	Every year you have voted to add legislative
5	appropriations to help Child Advocacy
6	Centers, ranging from \$2.2 million to
7	\$2.57 million. This has greatly helped our
8	centers provide vital services to the most
9	vulnerable population, we believe, in
10	New York State children who are abused.
11	We're here once again to ask for your
12	support, because unfortunately the proposed
13	2017-2018 budget does not include these
14	funds, and we are very concerned that this
15	will put us in jeopardy of closing some of
16	our doors.
17	The Child Advocacy Centers in New York
18	State serve our most vulnerable population,
19	children who are exposed and victimized by
20	severe physical abuse, sexual abuse,
21	commercial sexual exploitation, trafficking,
22	and fatalities. This year we respectfully
23	request a legislative appropriation of

\$3.2 million in the state's adopted budget to

1 continue our work.

Since 2007, New York State has
supported 40 Child Advocacy Centers. That
leaves 22 of our counties underserved by a
CAC. We understand that there's limitations
in available funding, so we have worked with
the Office of Children and Family Services to
regionalize some of our centers, and we now
serve 51 counties within the state. This
year we are hoping to add another four
counties. We still only have 40 sites,
though, 40 programs operating.

in this fight to end child abuse. And we also understand that where you decide to put your state dollars, that you need a return on your investment. And so what I want to talk to you about today is the proven, effective response of a Child Advocacy Center. We use that multidisciplinary response that so many people have talked about today. We have incorporated that in our history since 1985, in New York State since 1984. So we work together, as law enforcement, child

1	protective, prosecution, medical providers,
2	mental health providers, victim advocates,
3	and center staff, to collect evidence,
4	investigate cases, prosecute offenders, and
5	bring much-needed treatment to children who
6	are victimized by abuse.
7	We do this in a child-friendly
8	environment, so children don't have to go to
9	hospitals, they don't have to go to police
10	stations, and they don't have to go to CPS
11	agencies. We provide a warm environment for
12	them to be able to talk about their abuse and
13	to tell their story.
14	What you may not be aware of, though,
15	and what I want to quickly share with you, is
16	some of the other initiatives that our
17	centers have taken on to end child abuse in
18	New York State. And here's just a sampling.
19	The START Child Advocacy Center of
20	Rensselaer County provides additional mental

The Oswego Child Advocacy Center has developed a non-offending caregiver group to

health assessment screenings for children

entering foster care.

1	help support non-offending caregivers and
2	educate them on the dynamics of child abuse,
3	trauma, so that we can better help our
4	non-offending caregivers help their children.
5	The Bivona Child Advocacy Center in
6	Monroe County hosts the largest child abuse
7	conference in New York State, bringing
8	experts in the field from all over the
9	country to train our teams on best-practice
10	response.
11	The McMahon/Ryan Child Advocacy Center
12	of Onondaga County has developed a child

The McMahon/Ryan Child Advocacy Center of Onondaga County has developed a child abuse prevention curriculum for schoolchildren and has currently trained 11,000 children in the Syracuse area.

In the Southern Tier Child Advocacy

Center, we facilitated a statewide committee
in the development of a first responder
curriculum so that we could help law
enforcement identify and respond in a way
that was trauma-informed and effective. We
have worked with the Division of Criminal
Justice Services to make this an online
program, and we have to date trained

1	approximately	5,000	first	responder	and	law
2	enforcement of	fficers	5.			

We have also worked with the Office of Children and Family Services to create a Less is More program, which is an advanced mandated reporter program to help people to understand how to respond and recognize in a way that is trauma-informed.

We're making incredible progress. Our programs have made tremendous impacts on our communities. But it's because of your support that we have been able to do that.

We need to continue to invest in these efforts, now and into the future.

I served as the director of the Southern Tier Child Advocacy Center for 10 years, and it was my honor to be in that role. And Senator Young is a huge supporter of our center.

Just this week I transitioned to the role of executive director for the New York State Children's Alliance, and as I was packing up my office, I was packing up several pictures and notecards that I

1	received from children over the years. And I
2	wanted to share one with you, because I don't
3	know if you often have the opportunity to see
4	the outcome of your work.

But this is a case that we had, and I was the advocate that responded on the case that night. We had a 6-year-old girl -- the call came in a 6-year-old female was molested by a 13-year-old young man in her home. And we were called out at 1 o'clock in the morning. Our doctors came, our law enforcement came, child protective came, and we all worked together with this family.

And about two weeks later I received a card from this little girl that said: "Dear Karen, thank you for getting up in the middle of the night to play games with me and to help me. I love the blanket and the animal that I received. I named my bulldog Cutter. Your friend, Megan."

I received this message from Megan, but it's because of you that I was able to be there, that I was able to be part of this system that helped this little girl. And I

1	cannot thank you enough for all you do.
2	We are here today to ask for your
3	continued support for this very, very
4	important work. And I will take any
5	questions that you have.
6	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Montgomery.
7	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Yes, thank you.
8	Thank you for your testimony. And I
9	just I certainly support the concept of
10	the Child Advocacy Centers.
11	The question I have for you is child
12	abuse does not happen in a vacuum, so it's
13	not just the child that needs very often
14	support. So how do we, in order to avoid
15	hopefully some of the deaths of children that
16	we've experienced, especially in New York
17	City, how can we utilize your model to
18	address the larger issues, often, of domestic
19	violence, of homelessness, of other issues in
20	a family that result in a child being killed,
21	ultimately?
22	MS. HILL: Excellent question.
23	And it's working together. It's
24	talking to

1	SENATOR	MONTGOMERY:	Together	with
0	1			
')	what other?			

MS. HILL: With our team. So that is probably the biggest asset that we have as a Child Advocacy Center. We have everyone at the table investigating and knowing every step of this process along the way. Our advocates are sitting at the table and they are with that family from the outset of an outcry all the way through prosecution and treatment. And they're able to help engage caregivers in the system response and help to engage them so that we can then help their children to get into treatment.

It's a really difficult process, engaging a caregiver, because sometimes they have so many different barriers that are in the way to being able to understand that this has happened to their child, to figure out how do I respond in a way that helps my child. And as a multidisciplinary team, from the onset we are with that caregiver explaining every step of the way. We are explaining the process. We are that support

1 for them.

And we're working with each other so

that law enforcement knows what CPS is doing

and the prosecutor knows what all of us are

doing. Our medical providers are there

saying, yes, we should perform a medical exam

just to make sure this child has a healthy

body.

So it's that communication, that collective collaboration that really makes the difference in these cases.

SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Well, I certainly look forward to -- obviously, time is so late, but I look forward to talking to you. I think it's a wonderful model that we can build an even stronger system upon so that we don't continue to have issues where we find 2-year-olds and 4-year-olds and 6-year-olds beaten to death by someone in the family because there's just a total disorganization of a family. And many, many of the issues that cause that, that resulted in that -- child abuse very often is a symptom of much deeper and broader issues.

1	So thank you for your testimony.
2	MS. HILL: Yes, absolutely. Thank
3	you.
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. Thank
5	you, Senator Montgomery.
6	I just would like to say a couple of
7	things. And I know that you also, because of
8	the involvement of CPS and other agencies,
9	you probably do referrals to appropriate
10	other resources that may help with parent
11	education, nutrition, some of the other
12	issues that may arise during an
13	investigation; is that right?
14	MS. HILL: That is correct. And we
15	are conducting assessments with families from
16	the onset. So that's what our advocates do.
17	As they're meeting with the caregivers,
18	they're looking at needs assessments, mental
19	health assessments. And if a child does
20	disclose abuse or if the caregiver identifies
21	that the child is having trauma symptoms,
22	we'll conduct a trauma assessment that day,
23	while the child first comes in for their
24	forensic interview.

1	So it definitely is a holistic
2	approach, and it's ensuring that we are
3	covering all facets of that approach.
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Well, you know my
5	involvement with the Child Advocacy Centers
6	over the years. And I was surprised to see
7	you here today, and I'm delighted because I'm
8	very happy for you in taking on this new
9	responsibility. But I have to say, we're
10	going to miss you a lot in Olean because of
11	all the absolutely wonderful work you've done
12	over the years and the lives that you've
13	touched and the children that you've helped.
14	And I know you're taking that on at a
15	statewide level now, which is great, and we
16	need your leadership. But don't be a
17	stranger. And congratulations on your new
18	position.
19	MS. HILL: Thank you. Thank you very
20	much.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you.
22	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Jim Purcell, CEO,
23	Council of Family and Child Caring Agencies.
24	MR. PURCELL: My staff are betting on

1	what time I would get to testify, and it
2	appears 5 o'clock is going to win after all.
3	Let me just run through a few things
4	that we like in the budget and we want to
5	commend to your attention.
6	And it's nice to meet you, even at a
7	distance, Chairwoman.
8	First, COFCCA, the Council of Family
9	and Child Caring Agencies, we represent about
10	105 child welfare agencies that provide
11	foster care, preventive services, adoption
12	across the state.
13	The best thing in the budget this year
14	is the continuation of the open-ended funding
15	for preventive services and child protective
16	services. I am in close touch with my
17	colleagues around the country, and I will
18	tell you that it makes New York unique. We
19	could not have the preventive service system
20	we have, particularly in New York City, and
21	to some extent in all the other counties,
22	without that open-ended funding.

There's a \$2 million increase in the budget for post-adoption services, which is

really terrific. I think it will probably allow OCFS to fund post-adoption programs that cover all the counties in the state, to provide supports to those families who have taken on kids who have often had a difficult and challenging upbringing until they got to those foster homes and then adoption homes.

We strongly support Raise the Age, for all the reasons that you've heard. We know there's some questions about the funding between the state and counties, and frankly I defer to the counties and the state to figure that out.

And finally, there's Article VII

language which will authorize the Department

of Health, with OCFS, to create a new

licensure class. Our foster care agencies

have been providing basic health services and

certainly mental health services for kids for

I believe at least 40 years, frankly without

any oversight or licensure. And now that

we're looking at going into managed care for

these programs, the managed care companies

cannot fund them without having some kind of

1	a license they can point to. So the language
2	will allow the state to develop standards,
3	and we look forward to working with them on
4	that.

5 So much for the good news.

The cuts to the Foster Care Block

Grant are troubling. First, it's clearly

just a cost shift to the City and the

counties. I admit to being particularly

offended by the complete removal of state

support for the tuition costs, the education

costs for about 650 or 700 kids who live on a

campus because they come from New York City.

You're looking at a budget that the Governor

says has a billion-dollar increase for

education -- except for these kids.

And it's been explained to me, I think

Commissioner Poole did a nice job this

morning of explaining that it's really about

balancing the shares in the budget. That's

nice. And I'm sure the shares in the budget

have to be balanced in some way. But to say

that these kids don't get any state

support -- I simply say that 10 years from

1	now nobody will remember that it was to
2	balance some shares in 2017, and there will
3	be some big outcry about why are these kids
4	getting any schooling. So I'm concerned
5	about that.

The other \$40 million cut in the block grant -- I frankly have never been a fan of the block grant. I think it's really damaged the state/county relationship. But the only rationale that's ever been given for it is to reduce the use of foster care. So now that we've reduced the use of foster care, we're going to cut the funding from the people who reduced the use of foster care, which makes no sense to me at all.

And frankly, we're looking at situations across the state of increased placements in foster care, specifically related to the opioid use. There's a state monitor now in New York City to look at their practices because there are questions about maybe some kids should be in foster care. So at the very time that the state is asserting its concern about the system, it's also

1	removing	\$62	million	from	it.	So	that	is	of
2	concern t	.0 115	5.						

There's a second proposal which some of you asked about today, which relates to the room and board costs for handicapped kids being placed in residential settings by school districts. And again, it's a cut that's targeted only at New York City. The funding formula is strange to begin with, but it removes about 18.474 percent of the funding and will shift it to the city.

And the last piece I would call your attention to is the FYSA, which is the program which you and your colleagues have been so terrific about supporting over the last few years. And again, we heard this morning that the cut was because it was a legislative add and the Executive always cuts legislative adds. Well, that's not necessarily true. A few years ago the first FYSA money was added by the legislature, and the Governor put it in his budget.

The concern we have about this is that the money is being used to support cohorts of

1	kids. So two years ago, you provided money
2	for the first time for a group of kids in
3	foster care to get support to go to college.
4	Those kids are still in college, they're
5	probably juniors now, or sophomores and
6	juniors. Last year we had money to do
7	another million and a half dollars, so a
8	group of freshmen started.
9	And so now the question is if we leave
10	it at \$1.5 million, do we defund some of the
11	kids who we've been funding to go to college?
12	I don't want to be there when somebody tries
13	to explain that. Or do we say, well, we're
L 4	not going to fund any new kids this year?
15	Even though some of the kids you met
16	yesterday as they were lobbying here in the
17	Legislature are those very seniors in high
18	school who, frankly, took the initiative to
19	be able to graduate because they saw a

So this is one where you worry that we're either in it or we're not. And so I hope that you'll join us in being in it.

Our asks in the budget are four at

future.

1	this point. One is that last year the
2	Legislature specifically, through the budget
3	conferences, added some relatively minor
4	funds for overtime costs in the OMH/OPWDD and
5	special schools systems, but not in foster
6	care. This year the Executive Budget picks
7	up on that and has some funding for minimum
8	wage costs for those sectors, but it doesn't
9	do anything at all for our agencies.

I will tell you that the impact of the January 1, '16, increase in the minimum wage on our programs is pretty minimal. We were paying minimum wage, we were paying just above that. But by next year, this starts to bite. And we have no money to pay these costs. And what we're being told by the Executive is that in a hardship case, they would come in and help fund it. And I've suggested that the definition of a hardship case really realistically is any nonprofit that has a contract or a rate from the state, that those are the hardship ones. Because these are mandated costs that have to be covered.

1	By the way, the New York State Labor
2	Department issued new overtime guidelines on
3	December 28th which raised the income level
4	at which people become eligible for overtime.
5	The long story short is we believe virtually
6	all of our caseworkers will now be eligible
7	for overtime pay. That's a really good
8	thing, except we don't have any money to pay
9	them. So we'll tell them they can't work
10	overtime. And now the question will be do I
11	go to the family court hearing at 9 in the
12	morning and not do the home visit that I'm
13	supposed to do tonight to make sure the child
14	is doing okay in the foster home, or do I do
15	the home visit to make sure the child is
16	okay, because I'm required to do so, or go to
17	the family court session? Which can go on
18	for hours and hours in family court.
19	So we're going to have a problem with
20	overtime in both preventive services and
21	foster care, and we don't even know what
22	people keep saying, What's it going to cost?

And our answer is we don't know because we

haven't been there before.

23

1	The second is that we've had great
2	support from all of you in the last couple of
3	years in signing onto a letter to the
4	Governor after the budget passes asking for
5	increases in the rates that we get paid.
6	It's an administrative rate process that
7	happens after budget. And we're this year
8	asking you for a 4 percent increase. We know
9	that's a little higher than what we've been
10	getting, but we've got these minimum wage and
11	overtime and other issues to deal with.
12	We'd like to see a \$15 million capital
13	appropriation for the deferred maintenance
14	that agencies couldn't do on their
15	residential programs during the seven years
16	that we had no increases. So the boiler
17	broke, or one of the kids punched a hole in
18	the wall, we've got to replace it because
19	that's a reminder to all the kids about the
20	trauma. And so we're looking for \$15 million
21	in capital funding.
22	And finally, a million dollars for

loan forgiveness and scholarships for people

working in the child welfare field so that

23

they can begin to see this as a career that
will support them as they go on.

I'm almost out of time, but I wanted to spend a little time telling you about our biggest problem. I've been working in juvenile justice and child welfare for over 40 years, which means I'm over 56 years old, which means that Ryan, who said that, that I didn't train him very well when he worked in our office to respect his elders, if nothing else.

(Laughter.)

MR. PURCELL: I've never seen, I've never seen a workforce crisis like our agencies are facing right now in our field. They cannot find staff to hire. There are 500 families waiting for preventive services in the City; we can't hire caseworkers. We will see, in the coming year, residential agencies begin to close units because I as the executive director can't sleep at night thinking about the two childcare workers I just hired and their skill level with those particular kids.

1	Clarence Sundram, when we wrote the
2	report that created the Justice Center,
3	pointed out that firing people for making
4	simple mistakes means you go back to the same
5	job pool that you faced in the first place
6	and hire the next-best candidate, not the
7	best candidate.

And that's what we're facing now. We are seeing this all across the state, where we are simply unable to find staff who we feel are able to provide the services to -- frankly, a very sophisticated set of services to kids. As the foster care population has shrunk, it's not the random foster child who's no longer placed in care. It's the kids with the most complex problems, the families with the most complex problems. And particularly in residential care we see this as a mix of kids without the kids who maybe got with the program better in the past and provided some guidance to their peers.

So the system is under huge challenge. I could give you other examples, but I'll pass on that.

1	The last thing I would note, with just
2	one second, is I refer you and you'll be
3	particularly interested in this, Senator
4	there's a terrific op-ed piece today in the
5	Daily News by Steve Burghardt.
6	SENATOR SAVINO: I've seen it.
7	MR. PURCELL: I'm going to call Steve
8	and say, why didn't you include us in it,
9	but I'm sure he would.
10	It's a really terrific statement about
11	the real pressures that people are under in
12	this field and the consequences of that. And
13	it's not just about people who don't want to
14	do their job.
15	With that, I'll thank you and of
16	course be happy to answer any questions.
17	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
18	much.
19	Questions?
20	SENATOR KRUEGER: Senator Velmanette
21	Montgomery.
22	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: I just want to
23	make a quick comment. I fully support the
24	request for the college career, the college

1	students. This is just so exciting. And of
2	course I met some of the young people
3	yesterday, so it's very fresh in my mind and
4	I understand it.
5	And if we're going to really talk
6	about changing the trajectory of young people
7	in our state, this is the way that we're
8	going to be able to do that. Because in the
9	past, foster care was part of the pipeline to
10	prison. So you've changed that. And I want
11	to compliment you that this really is
12	something to be supported. And that you're
13	partnering with the higher ed opportunity
L 4	programs to make it a more fully supportive
15	experience for young people makes all the
16	sense in the world to me. So thank you very
17	much.
18	MR. PURCELL: Thank you.
19	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: And look forward

- 20 to --
- MR. PURCELL: Continuing. 21
- SENATOR MONTGOMERY: -- us being able 22
- to get that funding for you. 23
- MR. PURCELL: Thank you. 24

1	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Yes.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: I too have met
3	the young men and women last year, as well as
4	this year. They're very impressive and
5	clearly deserve this kind of support, and I
6	also am going to support this. It's
7	extraordinary, it really is.
8	MR. PURCELL: These kids have an
9	amazing resiliency to them that if we just
10	pay attention to that a little bit, they can
11	do really well.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you.
13	MR. PURCELL: Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
15	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you very much.
16	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Next, Stephanie
17	Gendell, associate executive director,
18	advocacy and policy, Citizens' Committee for
19	Children. 1:20.
20	And Jessica Klos should move closer,
21	Jenn O'Connor closer, Tim Nichols. If you
22	come down, you can get there quickly. So if
23	you're all the way upstairs, come on down.
24	MS. GENDELL: Good evening, or

1 afternoon.	Му	name	is	Stephanie	Gendell
--------------	----	------	----	-----------	---------

- 2 I'm the associate executive director at
- Citizens' Committee for Children. 3

I want to first thank you for this 4

5 opportunity to testify and also thank you all

so much for staying this long into the 6

7 evening so that we're actually testifying in

front of people. It really is nice to do 8

that, and I really appreciate it. And in 9

10 return, I will keep my remarks as brief as

possible. Jim Purcell and I often testify 11

12 one after each other, in either order, both

here and in the City, and so I'll say what he 13

14 would say, which is "I support everything Jim

15 said."

16

I did want to start, though, by talking about child welfare. This is my 10th 17 18 time testifying at the Human Services budget

19 hearing about child welfare, and in all

20 previous times I've always asked the state

21 for an increased investment in child welfare.

22 And unfortunately, now when we're seeing

child welfare in the news every day and the 23

24 Governor has come forward expressing his

1	concerns about child welfare, I'm actually
2	here urging everyone not to take money out of
3	child welfare, which is not the position I'd
4	really want to be in.

To be very clear, the cut to the

Foster Care Block Grant is \$62 million total;

\$39 million of that is statewide -- about

half would be for New York City -- and then

another \$23 million just to New York City.

Plus the \$19 million cut we've talked about

on the Committee on Special Education

Placements, which also comes out of the child

welfare agency budget. So the city cut is

also, on its own, about \$62 million.

And foster care is a mandatory service, although it sounds like upstate they're not using it as much as they should for kin. But it's technically a mandatory service. And so when ACS is trying to figure out what to do with its budget to accommodate a \$62 million cut, they're going to have to cut from somewhere. It could be protection, it could be prevention, it could be caseloads, it could be the services that

1	foster parents and foster children get. It's
2	a really deeply disturbing cut.
3	And I realize it's a very large amount
4	to be asking for, but we're asking for it
5	anyway.
6	In addition, we've had this
7	long-standing issue of the KinGAP program
8	also being funded out of the Foster Care
9	Block Grant. Those are children no longer in
10	foster care. It should be funded in the same
11	way as adoption subsidy, so that children no
12	longer in foster care are not taking money
13	away from foster children.
14	We support the maintaining open-ended
15	on prevention. We urge restoring that
16	3 percent continued cut since 2008 and
17	investing it into primary prevention, which
18	would allow preventive services before
19	families came to the attention of child
20	welfare.

We also support the housing subsidy
bill that's now introduced in both houses
that would increase the amount as well as the
age for youth. And we hope that can pass in

1	the	legislative	session.

I'm not going to reiterate everything
that we just talked about FYSA, but I
appreciate all of your support for that.

Turning to childcare, we object to the \$27 million essentially swap of childcare state money for Title XX federal money. So childcare is not actually getting any increased funding, and senior centers and other services are losing funds. I find this actually to be a distraction from the real issue. We are all going to fight to bring back the \$27 million of state funds so that TANF can continue to support senior centers.

The real issue is that childcare is not funded sufficiently, and we're no longer really talking about that. But there are many, many children who are eligible for childcare and not receiving childcare. It is the best preventive service. If we want to help families and prevent child abuse, we need to give parents childcare options.

The other thing is that the federal government has passed, several years ago now,

1	2014, new child safety regulations that we
2	sought a waiver from so that we didn't have
3	to pay for them. They require background
4	checks on childcare providers. And we got a
5	one-year waiver. If we do not get another
6	waiver, we need funding so that we don't have
7	to cut childcare.

Interestingly, the budget requires -has an Article VII bill that would have
required background checks for those working
in homeless shelters working with children,
but we're still seeking this waiver for those
working in childcare.

Speaking of homelessness, we support
Assemblymember Hevesi's Housing Stability
Support Program. The homelessness crisis in
New York City is severe, as well as other
parts of the state, and this would help
alleviate some of that.

We are in the process of cochairing a family homelessness task force, and due to release recommendations about how to better meet the needs of children and families and their well-being while in the shelter system,

and keeping them out, in the next month or
so, and we can keep you in the loop on those
recommendations once we have them.

On food and economic security, we support increasing the funding for emergency food programs. Last year you passed and the Governor signed a great piece of legislation that enables families to directly put a portion of their state tax refund into a 529 college savings account. So we're now -- the counter piece to that would be to allow those on public assistance to be able to have 529s waived from the asset limit test so they can actually take advantage of that law that passed last year.

And speaking of public assistance families, we object to the proposal to take 100 percent of their Lottery winnings. And if that is to go forward, there needs to be a real PR campaign so that people on public assistance know that they shouldn't buy Lottery tickets because the state's going to take their winnings.

On juvenile justice, we urge you to

1	pass Raise the Age this session in a
2	comprehensive manner. We've included in our
3	testimony seven principles and concepts that
4	we believe are equivalent to true Raise the
5	Age legislation. I won't go through all of
6	them now, because we've been talking about
7	them now for many years and we haven't
8	changed our position.

But I will say that every year that we don't pass Raise the Age is another year of 16- and 17-year-olds at Rikers not receiving services, receiving permanent criminal records. As you know, we're one of only two states that does this. So a child from New Jersey who did the exact same thing as someone from New York, applying to a job in New York or a school in New York, will have a leg up against the child from New York. We should be doing better by our own children.

And then finally, I just want to support what Jim talked about with regard to better supporting the human service sector.

And, finally, say that we're concerned that there is language all throughout the budget

1	that essentially says that if there are any
2	reductions in federal aid, that the
3	Department of Budget can unilaterally cut the
4	allocations to the counties without your
5	approval or really notice to anybody. That
6	will make it very hard for counties to plan,
7	and we're deeply concerned that there could
8	really be federal cuts and that we would want
9	a transparent process for how we handle that.
10	Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
12	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Questions? All
14	right, moving right ahead.
15	Jessica Klos, director, policy and
16	community education, Early Care & Learning
17	Council.
18	MS. KLOS SHAPIRO: Hi. Thank you for
19	the opportunity to provide comments and for
20	staying to listen to them.
21	So the Early Care & Learning Council
22	is a statewide not-for-profit that represents
23	the childcare resource and referral agencies
24	that are embedded in the communities

1	throughout the state. There are 34 of them
2	in total. We are also part of Winning
3	Beginning NY, which is the larger early
4	childhood advocacy organization.

My comments today will mostly focus on childcare. And while some of these stats will sound repetitive, they're even things that the commissioner addressed this morning. When we talk about that only 17 percent of eligible children and families are served with childcare subsidies, there's a lack of money in the system.

so some of those things that I will read is I did want to emphasize a study that our organization did earlier last year. And also recently released from our national organization is the reasons why this is so important, what happens when parents don't have childcare. And it's alarming the sheer cost of childcare in New York State. The average is \$9100 a year for infants, \$8,341 for a toddler, \$7,629 for a preschooler, \$4,413 for school-age care. If it's a single parent, they pay 55 percent of their income

1	for infant care and nearly 100 percent of
2	their income for center-based care for two
3	children. Married parents of two children
4	living at the poverty line pay 129 percent of
5	their income for center care, which basically
6	a lot of parents can't afford to do and,
7	without assistance, could not.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So we also found in our survey -- it did not include New York City and Long Island. It was largely an upstate survey that showed that 93 percent of families earning less than \$50,000 and receiving a subsidy, as well as 80 percent of families earning less than \$50,000 and not receiving a subsidy, faced difficulty paying for childcare. Parents who struggle to pay for childcare are forced to make hard financial decisions. And these are things like borrowing money from friends and family, and creditors, and compromising basic needs. They cut back on work hours, the hours that they can have their children in care, and they assemble a patchwork of solutions that families cobble together. And my colleagues

1	just talked about child welfare and
2	prevention, and we know that these are the
3	situations when parents are scrambling
4	they're well-intentioned, but these are when
5	abuse and neglect happen, because they are
6	stressed.
7	So we are fully in support of the
8	\$100 million ask for subsidies. With these
9	facts really backing them up, there's a need.
10	In addition to that, our agencies help
11	parents to find childcare. And the industry
12	in New York State of childcare is a
13	\$4.7 billion industry, and it provides
14	120,000 jobs. So it's an industry that's not
15	going away and one that's very much needed.
16	So strengthening an investment into the CCR&F
17	agencies would provide continued education to
18	parents as well allow as the provider
19	community to continue to provide quality
20	care. And this can be anything from what's
21	best for parents they could be online,
22	in-person, on the phone. But for providers
23	that could also be training sessions that are
24	off-site, on-site at the childcare center,

online, and also methods that are coaching,
mentoring, and technical assistance.

We want to make sure that in these vulnerable years -- I did not include the stuff that we've gone over in the past years, which is the brain development and knowing that in those critical years, if we catch children from zero to 3, we will definitely prepare them better for the future.

One of the other budget asks that I would like to emphasize is we are also in full support of restoring the \$27 million to the General Fund and not having that swap of money. We're fearful that in the end, childcare in certain counties will get reduced. Because if it's already required to be there, then they're not really gaining anything and it could be a loss.

I also just wanted to quickly add that we are in full support of expanding the investment in QUALITYstarsNY, because we know that that really bolsters the full quality of the program and lets us know that these are definitely investments that are competitive

1	and	are	being	spent	in	the	right	places.

2 And we also would like a continued 3 investment in high-quality after-school and 4 expanded learning. My colleagues that are 5 speaking after me will speak more to this. But specifically, a restoration to Advantage 6 7 After School, which was chopped down to what the Executive level was last year. And we 8 thank the Legislature for the multiple years 9 10 we know you've put funding in, but we're 11 afraid that if that doesn't happen again, we 12 actually know that programs will be forced to 13 shut. And while the Governor is putting in 14 \$35 million in certain cities and we're very 15 supportive of that, other programs can't be 16 cut at the same time. It doesn't make sense. So I just would like to thank you for 17 18 allowing me to provide these, and I can 19 answer any questions. 20 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 21 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you very much. ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Just -- I want 22 to thank you and thank early childcare. I 23

see this as a very strong economic

1	development initiative for us to be able to
2	move forward with. It provides jobs, it
3	provides an assurance that our children have
4	the kind of support and the opportunity to
5	have the exposure to very strong social
6	skills, academic skills, and a safe
7	environment.
8	So it's something that is very
9	essential and important. As a matter of
10	fact, in this room several years ago, we had
11	a huge hearing that I ran for QUALITYstarsNY,
12	and I heard parents talk about what that did
13	for their children. So we need to support
14	it. And thank you very much.
15	MS. KLOS SHAPIRO: Thank you for your
16	support.
17	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Jenn O'Connor,
18	director of policy and advocacy, Prevent
19	Child Abuse New York.
20	MS. O'CONNOR: Hello. Thank you for
21	having me this afternoon. I also want to say
22	that I am cochair of Winning Beginning NY as
23	well; Jessica mentioned that coalition.
24	Prevent Child Abuse New York recently

1	put out a series of position papers on
2	everything from increasing economic stability
3	to protecting reproductive health. Those are
4	attached to my testimony; you have those in
5	front of you. But I will focus on just two
6	programs today that are germane to this
7	group.
8	One program is maternal, infant, and
9	early childhood home visiting. There's
10	65,000 children in our state who are abused
11	or neglected each year. We know that
12	research-based home visiting could cut that
13	number in half. That's why we're asking you
14	to maintain \$26.8 million in funding and
15	increase funding for programs by \$9.5
16	million. If that budget ask sounds familiar,
17	that's because it's very similar to last
18	year's request.
19	In short, New York State funding for
20	those programs has been flat for nearly a
21	decade, since 2008. Which means that
22	programs have cut essential services and are
23	able to serve fewer children.

I won't describe in detail what that

1	investment would mean for specific programs
2	You can see that in my lengthier testimony.
3	I will ask that you consider those 65,000
4	children when you're making your funding

decisions.

The second program that I want to
discuss is childcare. The Executive Budget
does not include any new funding for
childcare subsidies for low-income working
families. It also does not include new
funding to implement the requirements of the
federal Childcare and Development Block

Grant, which you know as CCDBG.

In fact, the Governor's budget actually reduces General Fund support for childcare, replacing it with \$27 million in Title XX funding. This funding is used by local social services districts for critical programming. You've heard today over and over again about the senior services that would be impacted by that transfer of funds.

This pits one vital service against another and would result in a net loss for counties. We oppose this transfer of funds

1	and urge you to find money for subsidies
2	elsewhere. As Stephanie said, we believe
3	this is just a distraction from the fact that
4	childcare is woefully underfunded.

We also ask that you increase funding for subsidies by \$100 million. Currently only 17 percent of eligible children receive a subsidy, leaving 83 percent of low-income parents to struggle to pay out of pocket or leave their child in unsafe, possibly illegal care.

We feel strongly that it's time to create an Early Learning Fund with a dedicated revenue stream for childcare subsidies. We are in a crisis that is not only going to negatively impact children's development, but result in job loss. You know this. Working parents cannot work without childcare. And when parents are stressed about their childcare, when they don't have childcare or the care that they do have is unreliable, they sometimes take that stress out on their children. That's why it's a priority for Prevent Child Abuse

1	New York.
2	I will, as cochair of Winning
3	Beginning NY, just mention one last program.
4	While the Executive Budget adds \$35 million
5	for new after-school programs in high-poverty
6	cities, it also cuts Advantage After School
7	by \$5 million. We need to restore that in
8	order to keep existing programs open.
9	I thank you for your time, and I'm
10	happy to take any questions.
11	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Senator Montgomery.
13	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Just very
14	quickly, certainly, you know, we have a lot
15	of discussion around the issue of child
16	abuse. And as I said before, earlier,
17	obviously child abuse is not, in and of
18	itself, the issue solely.
19	MS. O'CONNOR: Correct.
20	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: So treating the
21	child or treating that particular aspect of a
22	child's life is not necessarily
23	So I just want to say that I'm hopeful

that we can begin as a network of

1	organizations like PCANY to identify what the
2	symptoms represent and to go deeper and to
3	begin to create a community-strengthening
4	response to this issue. It's a very, very
5	difficult and terrible one.
6	And I'm not sure that I know
7	everybody talks about home visiting, and this
8	is a throwback to a time when the social
9	worker appeared at your home and you were
10	punished or penalized for whatever was
11	happening in your home. That is a problem in
12	some cultures.
13	So I'm not sure how to really support
14	that, and if I should or I'm not totally
15	comfortable, necessarily, with it as an
16	answer to the fact that there are such dire,
17	stressful situations that families encounter.
18	And we're not addressing these issues, we're
19	just addressing a symptom. And it's not
20	really working. It hasn't worked, especially
21	in New York City.
22	MS. O'CONNOR: Right. Yeah, thank
23	you, Senator. We're very focused in my

organization now on the root causes of

Τ	prevención, so getting away from that, you
2	know, one piece and getting more into
3	economic instability and housing and those
4	sorts of things.
5	I will say that home visiting as it
6	stands in the state now is a voluntary
7	program. It's not for everyone. We can
8	certainly understand that some families are
9	anxious about having people come into their
10	homes. But I do think, you know, there are
11	huge gaps around the state where it could be
12	a valuable tool. But it is just one of
13	several services available.
14	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Thank you.
15	MS. O'CONNOR: Sure.
16	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
17	Tim Nichols, executive director,
18	Association on Aging in New York.
19	MR. NICHOLS: Good afternoon.
20	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Good afternoon.
21	MR. NICHOLS: Thank you, Assemblyman
22	Farrell, and Senator Young, and all the
23	members of the committee for your work today
24	I know the hour is late, so I will keep my

1	comments brief.
2	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
3	MR. NICHOLS: My name is Tim Nichols.
4	I am the executive director of the
5	Association on Aging in New York. Our
6	association represents the 59 mostly
7	county-based area agencies on aging
8	statewide.
9	In addition to our written testimony
10	that we are submitting to you now, yesterday
11	our association joined with Lifespan and
12	LiveOn NY in an advocacy day in which we met
13	with many members in both the Senate and
14	Assembly, including Senator Serino, the chair
15	of the Aging Committee in the Senate, and
16	Assemblywoman Lupardo, the chair of the Aging

But what I'd like to do right now is just bring to your attention to some of the 'key areas of the budget that we are most concerned with. And the first part is the recommendation to remove the 25 percent match exemption in the community services for the

continue our advocacy efforts moving forward.

Committee in the Assembly. And we will

1	aging part of the budget. We are extremely
2	opposed to that, very worried that that
3	exemption language was not included. And we
4	urge the Senate and Assembly to consider
5	restoring that language in your budget
6	resolutions.

We also are worried and concerned about the transportation funding, the \$1.1 million that was recommended by the Executive to be included in the CSE part of the budget. We would prefer to see that as a stand-alone line item. We think when we see line items in, in this case, the Office for the Aging budget, it's important, it's an important statement that there's state support for these types of programs.

The second part of the budget that

we're very worried about is the NY Connects,

shifting the \$3.5 million to the global

Medicaid cap and the BIP pot of revenue.

What we feel if we -- by doing that, that

really jeopardizes that very important

program that serves about 230,000 New Yorkers

every year. It has been operational for

1	almost 10 years now. And having that funding
2	separated from the budget and put into the
3	Department of Health's global cap really puts
4	that program at grave risk. And, you know,
5	that is something that we're clearly opposed
6	to. We ask that you not accept that in your
7	budget resolutions.

With respect to the \$700,000 proposed cut for the elderly abuse portion of the budget, we too would request that that be restored. This is a program that has been shown to be effective as well. Elder abuse is a serious concern to us. It affects an estimated 260,000 older New Yorkers annually. It's estimated that this type of crime costs New Yorkers statewide \$1.5 billion annually.

We like seeing the ideas that were suggested by Governor in the budget to expand programs to identify and prevent financial exploitation. But again, it doesn't really make sense when at the same time there's a proposal to cut \$700,000 in funding.

And the last item that we want to make sure that we emphasize that we oppose is the

1	shifting of the Title XX funding. In
2	New York City alone, that would result in the
3	closure of 65 senior centers, a \$17 million
4	cut in support for senior centers in New York
5	City. We think that's a shortsighted
6	proposal, and we urge you to reject that as
7	well.
8	I kind of went through this quickly.
9	If you have any questions, my contact
10	information is on the testimony that was
11	provided for you.
12	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
13	MR. NICHOLS: Thank you.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Alli Lidie,
16	associate executive director, New York State
17	Network for Youth Success.
18	MS. LIDIE: Thank you for the
19	opportunity to present testimony to you
20	today. I am Alli Lidie. I'm the associate
21	executive director at the New York State
22	Network for Youth Success, formerly known as
23	the New York State After-School Network.
24	I am joined by today by Emily

1	Hofelich-Bowler, the executive director at
2	the Addison Youth Center, and by Quinn
3	Bowler, a student at Corning High School.
4	First I would like to thank you for
5	your recent investments in the Advantage
6	After School program. That resulted in
7	\$5 million in increases over the last two
8	years, and these increases have helped to
9	serve an additional 3600 students across the
10	state.
11	We would also like to bring attention
12	to the fact that the Governor's proposed
13	budget includes a \$5 million reduction to
L 4	Advantage over last year's final budget.
15	Unfortunately, if the final budget maintains
16	this cut, it will leave the Office of

Advantage over last year's final budget.

Unfortunately, if the final budget maintains this cut, it will leave the Office of

Children and Family Services without enough uncommitted funds to release a new competition for funding this year. And 56 of the programs that are served under Advantage, with an estimated 5,000 students, are set to expire this coming November. And so those programs would end without something else to apply for.

1	One note of clarification. We've
2	heard today about the Governor's proposal for
3	the Empire State After-School Program. Which
4	is \$35 million in the education budget. And
5	this would expand access to approximately
6	22,000 students across the state in
7	high-needs areas in the 16 Empire State
8	Poverty Reduction Initiative areas.
9	We're fully supportive of investing in
10	and growing this proposal, but as proposed,
11	it's not a replacement for the Advantage
12	After School programs that will be cut if
13	funding is not restored. There are over
14	3,000 students served in programs outside of
15	those 16 ESPRI cities that are ending this
16	year. And so those programs and those
17	students would not have anything else to
18	apply for, even if this new proposal for
19	after-school programming goes through.
20	So we are requesting that the
21	Legislature appropriate \$32.8 million to the
22	Advantage After School Program. This would

restore the \$5 million that was cut and then

add an additional \$10.5 million to expand the

23

1	number of students served back to the
2	pre-recession level of 20,000 students, and
3	also provide enough funding for the Office of
4	Children and Family Services to raise the
5	per-student allotment rate for students from
6	\$1,375 to \$1,600. And that would align this
7	funding stream with the other after-school
8	funding streams currently in the state as
9	well as the proposed funding stream for
10	Empire State After-School, and it would allow
11	programs to meet the demands of the rising
12	minimum wage without cutting back on program
13	hours or supplies or curriculum.
14	And to talk more about these programs,
15	I'd like to introduce Emily.
16	MS. HOFELICH-BOWLER: Hello, my name
17	is Emily Hofelich. Thank you for having me.
18	I run after-school programs for Advantage in
19	Addison, New York. It's a small rural
20	community in Steuben County. We currently
21	serve about 140 students a day. That's about
22	21 percent of our targeted population. And
23	we do have waiting lists, so we would take
24	more kids if we had more funding.

1	Addison has a population of
2	approximately 2500 people. Sixty-two percent
3	of students are considered economically
4	disadvantaged, and a lot of our parents work,
5	multiparent families with multiparent
6	working inside the home {sic}. And
7	after-school programs provide a safe place
8	for their children after school.
9	One example I can give is we have a
10	mother of four. All of her children are
11	school-age. One is in high school; he works
12	for me at Advantage. And then three of her
13	children are also part of our Advantage
14	program. Her husband is an on-the-road
15	trucker. She's trying to go to school and
16	work at the same time to help support her
17	family. And without Advantage, she would not
18	be able to do that.
19	We also have a lot of high school
20	students. We have a bit of a drop-out rate.

We also have a lot of high school students. We have a bit of a drop-out rate.

One example I can give you is a student that we had at our program, and she did not graduate. We were really trying to get her to go back for her fifth year and graduate

1	and pass those Regents exams. We as an
2	organization, I personally met with the
3	principal, her mother, and the student and
4	made an agreement that if she went to school
5	she could work for me at Advantage. I am
6	happy to say she has graduated and she is
7	still working for the program, and hopefully
8	she will be continuing her education.

Another issue is the impact of the minimum wage increases. We every year are cutting our program shorter. There are weeks we're having to close because we can't afford it.

Right now we have a teen center, which it's hard enough to attract 13-and-overs to come to an after-school program, but we have about a \$90 supply budget for a month. And with the increases in the minimum wage, we're going to struggle with that budget again trying to find these supplies to keep these kids engaged and having a good time.

So I know that \$35 million has gone into after-school; that will not affect our program. And I just would encourage you to

1	not overlook our small rural communities. We
2	don't have a lot of access to resources, and
3	these resources are very important.
4	So now I'll introduce my son. This is
5	Quinn Bowler.
6	MR. BOWLER: My name is Quinn Bowler,
7	and I'm a freshman at Corning High School.
8	I'm here today to share why after-school
9	programs matter and why these programs should
10	be available to more students across the
11	state, because I benefited directly from
12	participating in one myself.
13	I participated in after-school
14	programs every year up until this year, and
15	even attended an Advantage program throughout
16	middle school. At my program I received a
17	healthy snack when I arrived, had an
18	opportunity to complete my homework or
19	participate in an activity, and then,
20	depending on the weather, of course, would
21	have a chance to go outside and play.
22	While I'm definitely a fan of gym and
23	sports, especially hockey, I found that I

24 benefited most from homework help I received

1	after school. I struggled with math quite a
2	bit and sometime found it hard to follow what
3	my teacher was explaining during class. My
4	after-school program provided time to
5	complete any homework assigned during school
6	and also offered tutoring help for anyone
7	that needed it.

Because of this, I was able to work with a staff member one-on-one and receive a different perspective on math than my teacher provided, which helped me better understand what I was doing. The homework and tutoring help I received during this program was a big reason why I received an A-plus in math this past school year.

My after-school program provided a relaxed setting where students and staff had a chance to talk about schools, sports, hobbies and life. One program staff member that I really connected with was Brian.

Brian was someone who was very easy for me to talk to. This connection is something that students in programs everywhere benefited from. Program staff can act as mentors or

1	can simply provide someone to talk to to help
2	students like myself understand how to deal
3	with school or life.
4	My story is not unique. And I know
5	I'm one of the lucky kids that got to
6	participate in these programs, when so many
7	other kids still do not have access to one.
8	We need more funding for these programs so
9	that more students have the opportunity to go
LO	somewhere safe and productive after school
11	and have the chance to build relationships
12	with staff members who can help them deal
13	with life as a middle school or high school
14	student.
15	Thank you guys, and I hope my global
16	teacher will give me extra credit.
17	(Laughter.)
18	MS. LIDIE: Thank you. We're happy to
19	answer any questions.
20	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Actually, I just
22	have one quick one.
23	I had one of the local after-school
24	programs come and say that some of the

1	regulatory issues of teachers, for
2	instance, you know, might not be able to
3	interact with students if they're not
4	approved to be in the after-school program,
5	even though it might be held at the school,
6	it might be in a different part.
7	I'm not sure if that's specifically
8	the Advantage ones or maybe some of the other
9	after-school programs. But I'm just
10	wondering quickly if there are some
11	regulatory issues or concerns that you have
12	that we might be able to respond to.
13	MS. LIDIE: So the regulations apply
14	to almost all of the after-school programs.
15	Any one that's run by a community-based
16	organization like Emily's would have a
17	school-aged childcare registration through
18	the Office of Children and Family Services.
19	We actually were able to work with the
20	Assembly and with the Office of Children and
21	Family Services and State Education
22	Department over the last year, through a
23	series of roundtables, to deal with some of

the issues for the physical plant

1	requirements for programs operating in school
2	buildings.
3	And so that really opened a dialogue
4	with providers they hosted across the state,
5	and that was largely due to a lot of the work
6	from the Legislature in getting that to the
7	attention of, and to the work from OCFS and
8	SED.
9	And so we're hoping to further those
10	conversations around some of the other
11	issues, what you raise around the staff
12	member background checks and some duplicative
13	background checks and fingerprinting are
14	still issues on the table that are being
15	discussed.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Okay. Thank you
17	for your work on that. And hopefully we can
18	be helpful as well.
19	MS. LIDIE: Thank you.
20	MS. HOFELICH-BOWLER: Thank you.
21	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you very much.
22	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you for

coming. I hope you get extra credit.

23

1	(Laughter.)
2	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Allison Sesso,
3	executive director, Human Services Council,
4	for Restore Opportunity Now. Oh, yes, and
5	you have with you Mallory Nugent, senior
6	policy analyst, and Ron Deutsch
7	MS. NUGENT: I've been deserted, so
8	it's just me. I'm Mallory Nugent, from FPWA.
9	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Which one are you,
10	are you Allison?
11	MS. NUGENT: Mallory Nugent, senior
12	policy analyst at FPWA.
13	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Oh, Mallory, okay.
14	MS. NUGENT: Thank you so much for the
15	opportunity to testify tonight. I know it's
16	towards the end of the evening, so I'll try
17	and keep it short. I provided you with
18	lengthy testimony that I will not read from
19	directly.
20	As I mentioned, I'm a senior policy
21	analyst at FPWA. FPWA is an antipoverty
22	policy and advocacy membership organization
23	based in New York City. FPWA, along with
24	Human Services Council and the Fiscal Policy

1	Institute, are cochairs of the Restore
2	Opportunity Now campaign. Restore
3	Opportunity Now is a statewide campaign to
4	ensure the strength and stability of the
5	human services sector, supported by more than
6	350 nonprofits from across the state.

In talking with providers across the state, we've seen the tremendous impact a lack of resources in the sector has had on the ability to provide crucial services — everything from childcare to senior services, and all the other programs that we've heard about today. Underfunding in this sector has always been an issue, but we've reached a critical point.

From 2007 to 2011, funding for human services grew at a modest 3 percent average per year. Since 2011, it has steadily declined at an average of 1.3 percent per year adjusted for inflation. This means that the sector had \$500 million less last year than it would have if the growth pattern had continued. We see the impacts of this divestment play out in the workforce, in

L contra	cts, and	in	programs.
----------	----------	----	-----------

As a first step to shore up the workforce, we ask that the state provide funding in both direct contracts and Medicaid reimbursements to cover the minimum wage increase. This unfunded mandate is a major burden to organizations already stretched thin. One member of our coalition stated that even if they got rid of their entire executive team, it would only cover a quarter of the cost of the minimum wage to their organization.

We estimate that for direct contracts, the cost for this fiscal year would be approximately \$12 million. This does not include Medicaid reimbursements, which we do recommend be covered, and some of that funding has already been allocated. But there has been no funding allocated for direct contracts. Ah, my sidekick is joining me.

In terms of workforce, we see chronic underpayment beyond the minimum wage, compounded by a steady decrease in quality

1	and affordability of benefits. Many of these
2	positions should not be minimum wage work.
3	Approximately two-thirds of this workforce
4	has some level of college education. This
5	has let to a crisis in recruitment and
6	retention. Experienced staff leave to
7	increase their earning potential to
8	industries like government, hospitals, and
9	universities, and vacancies go unfilled.
10	Turnover is particularly problematic
11	for populations served by these
12	organizations, who often experience trauma or
13	have specific needs.
14	The Executive Budget does little to
15	alleviate the workforce struggles facing
16	nonprofits. In addition to absence of
17	funding for the minimum wage, the
18	Executive Budget eliminates the planned
19	0.8 percent COLA, the human services
20	cost-of-living adjustment, and discontinues
21	the COLA enacted in 2015 for certain direct
22	care workers. Changes to the DOL overtime
23	exemptions are also unanswered in terms of
24	funding.

1	And I'll turn it over to Michelle to
2	talk about how this plays out in contracts.
3	MS. JACKSON: Great.
4	And thank you so much. Thank you
5	especially for making the long haul, for
6	staying this late, and for letting me scoot
7	in back at the last second.
8	I'm Michelle Jackson. I'm the
9	associate director of the Human Services
10	Council. We're a human services organization
11	of about 170 New York City-based human
12	service providers, and we're also part of the
13	Restore Opportunity Now coalition.
14	I'm going to talk about really the
15	nuts and bolts of the contracts that
16	nonprofits have with the state, and some of
17	the underfunding. So the workforce tends to
18	be the sexier issue. When we talk about
19	infrastructure and indirect rates, it gets a
20	little bit more dry. But I will be brief and
21	make sure that I keep your attention.
22	As other agencies and you've seen
23	the state agencies when they testified today.

The state relies heavily on nonprofits to

1	carry out vital services, and yet drastically
2	underfunds these contracts, so that
3	nonprofits are left to fill the gaps with
4	private dollars. And what we've found is
5	that the gaps have become too great.
6	Eighteen percent of New York
7	City-based human service providers are
8	insolvent, based on their 990 data. Which
9	means that their deficits outsize their
10	revenues, meaning that they're not making
11	ends meet. And one of the reasons that
12	that's happening is because these contracts
13	are underfunded not just for the program,
14	but they don't provide an appropriate
15	overhead rate and they don't allow for
16	funding like necessary repairs.
17	So one of the things that we're really
18	asking for is for the state to really come
19	together with nonprofits and start funding
20	the indirect rate at an appropriate level.
21	There's federal OMB guidance I will
22	not bore you with the 300-page details of
23	that but I will just say that there's a

federal directive that all contracts that

1	have federal dollars in them are supposed to
2	pay 10 percent indirect rate. The State of
3	New York, along with all other 49 states, are
4	all out of compliance with this as of a year
5	ago. If nonprofits were paid at least a
6	10 percent rate, they'd be able to make some
7	of the necessary repairs and also make
8	investments. There's more and more evidence
9	that nonprofits who have appropriate overhead
10	rates are actually better organizations.
11	They are able to invest in security and
12	metrics and CFOs and accountants who can
13	catch fraud and make things more safe and
14	make sure their programs are working.
15	So we're asking for the state to
16	adopt, similar to Governor Cuomo's Executive
17	Order 38, a 15 percent indirect rate so that
18	our providers can kind of get back where they
19	need to go and start funding these contracts
20	at an appropriate level and funding the real
21	organizations that are making a difference in

The other thing that we're asking for -- and if these contracts were fully

communities.

1	funded in the first place, we wouldn't need
2	it is a nonprofit infrastructure fund. It
3	was funded two years at \$50 million, again
4	last year at another \$50 million, and the
5	awards were bundled into one set of
6	\$100 million awards.
7	This is a really great capital
8	investment program for nonprofits. A lot of
9	them have leaky roofs, they need
10	generators especially in New York City,
11	after Sandy, a lot of groups realized they
12	either needed generators or had to replace
13	them. We're talking about senior centers
14	that are heating and cooling centers, that
15	they don't they need to upgrade their
16	HVAC. We have a homeless service provider
17	who provides services to people with
18	disabilities; it only has one of their two
19	elevators that work.
20	These are really important
21	investments. And this investment of
22	\$100 million over 600 organizations put in
23	applications for over \$300 million worth of
24	projects, and there was only \$100 million to

1	go around. So obviously there's a greater
2	need out there, so we'd like to see that
3	capital infrastructure fund put back at
4	\$100 million.

In closing, especially in the current federal environment, there's been a lot of talk about New York as a sanctuary. There's been a lot of talk about social justice and our need to help different communities.

Nonprofits are in the front lines of doing that work. When you talk about helping immigrants, when you talk about helping low-income communities, when we want to stand together and we have a social justice agenda, it's nonprofits who are on the front lines.

And we need to take care of them.

And by funding our workforce so that we can do the work that we need to do and pay it at an appropriate level. And when we fund these contracts fully, we're able to do our work and we're able to step up and meet the agenda that we need to.

Thank you.

24 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.

1	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
2	Questions, comments?
3	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you for
4	staying so long.
5	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
6	MS. NUGENT: Thank you.
7	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. And we
8	are finished. And we will be back here
9	Monday, not tomorrow. Tomorrow is canceled.
LO	(Whereupon, at 5:57 p.m., the Senate
11	adjourned.)
12	
13	
L 4	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	