1	BEFORE THE NEW YORK STATE SENATE FINANCE AND ASSEMBLY WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEES
2	
3	JOINT LEGISLATIVE HEARING
4	In the Matter of the 2017-2018 EXECUTIVE BUDGET
5	ON HOUSING
6	
7	Hearing Room B
8	Legislative Office Building Albany, New York
9	February 17, 2017 9:41 a.m.
10	
11	PRESIDING:
12	Senator Catharine M. Young Chair, Senate Finance Committee
13	
14	Assemblyman Herman D. Farrell, Jr. Chair, Assembly Ways & Means Committee
15	PRESENT:
16	Senator Liz Krueger Senate Finance Committee (RM)
17	Assemblyman Robert C. Oaks
18	Assembly Ways & Means Committee (RM)
19	Assemblyman Steven Cymbrowitz Chair, Assembly Housing Committee
20	
21	Senator Diane J. Savino Vice Chair, Senate Finance Committee
22	Assemblyman Walter T. Mosley
23	Assemblyman Brian P. Kavanagh
24	Senator Jamaal Bailey

1	2017-2018 Executive Budget
2	Housing 2-17-17
3	PRESENT: (Continued)
4	Assemblyman Andrew P. Raia
5	Assemblywoman Yuh-Line Niou
6	Senator James N. Tedisco
7	Assemblywoman Carmen De La Rosa
8	Senator Velmanette Montgomery
9	Assemblyman Charles Barron
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	2017-2018 Executive Budget Housing		
2	-		
3	PRESENT: (Continued)		
4	Assemblyman Joseph S. S	Saladino	
5	Senator Velmanette Mon	tgomery	
6			
7			
8	LIST OF SPEAKE	RS	
9		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
10	James S. Rubin		
11	Commissioner and CEO RuthAnne Visnauskas		
1.0	Executive Deputy Commissioner		
12	New York State Homes and Community Renewal	8	17
13		Ü	- ,
1 1	Duncan Barrett		
14	Executive Board Member NYS Association for		
15	Affordable Housing	184	
16	Paula Gilbert		
17	Executive Director Cara Long Corra		
18	Outreach Manager Neighborhood Preservation		
	Coalition of NYS	191	198
19	Laura Mascuch		
20	Executive Director		
0.1	Supportive Housing Network	0.07	011
21	of New York	207	211
22	Judi Kende		
23	Vice President & New York Market Leader		
۷ ک	Enterprise Community		
24	Partners	221	226

1	2017-2018 Executive Budget Housing		
2	2-17-17		
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS	, Cont.	
4		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
5	Judy Eisgruber President		
6	New York State Rural Advocates		
7	Colin McKnight Acting Executive Director		
8	New York State Rural Housing Coalition	227	
9		221	
10	Rachel Fee Executive Director New York Housing Conference	237	243
11	-	20.	_ 10
12	Christie Peale Executive Director Center for NYC Neighborhoods	245	255
13		240	233
14	Edward Ubiera Director of Policy Local Initiatives Support		
15	Corporation-NYC	258	263
16	John Broderick Senior Analyst		
17	LeadingAge New York	266	
18	Hilary Lamishaw Director		
19	NeighborWorks Alliance of New York State	274	282
20	Benjamin Dulchin		
21	Executive Director		
22	Association for Neighborhood & Housing Development	284	290
23	Stuart Kaplan CEO		
24	Selfhelp Community Services	292	300

1	2017-2018 Executive Budget Housing		
2	2-17-17		
3	LIST OF SPEAR	KERS, Cont.	
4		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
5	Jacquelyn Kilmer CEO		
6	Harlem United Community AIDS Center	304	
7	Charles King		
8	President and CEO Housing Works	309	314
9	Lisa A. Frisch Executive Director	0.1.0	
11	The Legal Project	318	
12	Lara Kasper-Buckareff Director of Foreclosure Prevention		
13	Legal Services of the Hudson Valley	327	
14	Tanisha Bramwell		
15	Attorney Jordan Zeranti		
16	Attorney Western New York		
17	Law Center	332	
18	Susan Cotner Executive Director		
19	Affordable Housing Partnership		
20	Advocacy Cochair HomeSmartNY	341	
21		241	
22	Joseph Shuldiner Executive Director The Municipal Housing		
23	Authority for the City of Yonkers	344	
24	-	-	

1	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Good morning.
2	Today we begin the final hearing
3	conducted by the joint fiscal committees of
4	the Legislature regarding the Governor's
5	proposed budget for fiscal year 2017-2018.
6	The hearings are conducted pursuant to
7	Article VII, Section 3 of the Constitution
8	and Article II, Sections 31 and 32A of the
9	Legislative Law.
10	Today the Assembly Ways and Means
11	Committee and the Senate Finance Committee
12	will hear testimony concerning the budget's
13	proposal for housing.
14	I will now introduce the members from
15	the Assembly, and Senator Young, chair of the
16	Senate Finance Committee, will introduce the
17	members from the Senate.
18	I have been joined by Chairman Steve
19	Cymbrowitz and Assemblymember Mosley.
20	Mr. Oaks?
21	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Yes, we've also
22	been joined by Assemblyman Raia.
23	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Senator?
24	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Good morning. I'm

1	delighted to be here. Thank you,
2	Mr. Chairman. I'm also delighted that today
3	is the last hearing that we will have for
4	this year on the budget.
5	(Laughter.)
6	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: We will be dancing
7	later.
8	(Laughter.)
9	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Yes, we shall.
10	So I'd like to welcome everyone. I'm
11	Senator Catharine Young, and I'm chair of the
12	Senate Standing Committee on Finance. And
13	I'm joined by my colleagues Senator Liz
14	Krueger, who is ranking member on the Finance
15	Committee; also Senator Jamaal Bailey, who is
16	ranking member on the Housing Committee; and
17	Senator Jim Tedisco. And Senator Diane
18	Savino, who's vice chair of the Finance
19	Committee.
20	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: And before the
21	first witness, I would like to remind all of
22	the witnesses testifying today to keep your
23	statements within your allotted time limit so
24	that everyone can be afforded the opportunity

Τ	co speak.
2	I will now ask and welcome New York
3	State Division of Homes and Community Renewal
4	Commissioner James Rubin and RuthAnne
5	Visnauskas did I get that? Sorry.
6	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
7	That's okay.
8	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: I came close, I
9	think.
10	executive deputy commissioner.
11	Good morning, and welcome.
12	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Good morning,
13	sir. I apologize, we should have provided
L 4	you and your colleagues with a pronunciation
15	guide. We've had
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: What is it? How do
17	you say it?
18	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
19	Vis-naus-kiss.
20	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Visnauskas.
21	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
22	It's a good Lithuanian last name.
23	(Laughter.)
24	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Yes. You've had

	s, two syllables.	years,	two	for	easy	it	1
--	-------------------	--------	-----	-----	------	----	---

COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Good morning,

Chairpersons Young and Farrell, Cymbrowitz,

Little and distinguished members of the

Legislature. My name is Jamie Rubin and I am

commissioner and CEO of New York State Homes

and Community Renewal.

I am joined this morning by RuthAnne Visnauskas, who is my executive deputy commissioner for housing development and my soon-to-be successor as the commissioner of HCR. There was a formal announcement by press release this morning that RuthAnne is going to be succeeding me as I move over to the Governor's office to become the state director of operations, effective next week.

For those of you who have not had the opportunity to work with RuthAnne, I'd like to share a little bit of her background for your benefit. Since joining my team,
RuthAnne has been responsible for strategic leadership and oversight of all housing and finance programs for the agency.

1	Prior to joining HCR, RuthAnne was
2	managing director of the Housing Advisory
3	Board for the Robin Hood Foundation, New York
4	City's largest poverty-fighting organization,
5	which spends over \$100 million a year in New
6	York City on efforts including housing and
7	other poverty-fighting activities.

She also held several key positions at the New York City Department of Housing

Preservation and Development, HPD, including the role of commissioner at the end of Mayor Bloomberg's administration.

RuthAnne is an experienced and outstanding public servant who shares the Governor's deep commitment, and yours, to expanding affordable housing opportunities and creating stronger communities across the state. I am confident beyond words that I am leaving the agency in strong, capable hands, and I know that you will enjoy working with her, as I have over the last two years.

I'd like also to say that it has been an honor and a privilege for me in the last two years to serve as commissioner of HCR and

1	before that for two years as the director of
2	the Office of Storm Recovery, which is, as
3	you know, within HCR. I absolutely leave the
4	agency in solid hands with RuthAnne better
5	than solid hands with RuthAnne, but that
6	would not be possible without the men and
7	women of HCR, some of whom are behind me and
8	others, obviously, are stretched throughout
9	the state. They are the finest public
10	servants and professional government workers
11	that I've ever had the opportunity to work
12	with or see, and we're all very fortunate to
13	have them.

With that, it is a pleasure to testify before you on the housing portion of Governor Andrew Cuomo's Executive Budget proposal for state fiscal year 2017-2018. This is the second time that I've had the privilege to appear before you to present the Governor's housing budget.

I said it last year, and I'll say it

again -- as I travel the state, I am

constantly inspired by the work that we have

done together to increase access not to just

1	housing but to high-quality, innovative,
2	mixed-use, green and sustainable homes
3	throughout New York.

As you know, Governor Cuomo has a deep commitment to housing, and I'm thrilled he has once again made housing and community renewal a priority -- because he knows, as you and I do, that access to quality, affordable homes makes life better, communities stronger, and opportunities greater for everyone.

As always, we are thankful for the Legislature's partnership as we've worked to address New York's housing needs, and I ask for your continued support building on this proposal.

Before I note a few highlights of the Executive Budget, I want to give you some examples of what we have achieved this past year on behalf of New York families with the resources you have helped us secure.

In 2016, New York State Homes and Community Renewal made significant progress increasing access to affordable housing and

1	securing the futures of families and
2	individuals across the state. The agency set
3	a record again this past year, financing the
4	creation or preservation of more than 17,000
5	affordable homes and apartments. And we were
6	the number-one housing bond issuer in the
7	nation, with \$2.8 billion issued.

Now, our Unified Funding housing round awarded more than \$122 million for affordable housing in urban, suburban, and rural areas of New York. These awards will build or preserve nearly 2,000 affordable apartments and leverage more than \$450 million in public and private resources.

We also continue to make great progress transforming New York's 8,600 aging Mitchell-Lama apartments in critical need of repairs and upgrades into quality, safe homes. This year, we preserved another 1,360 Mitchell-Lama apartments, bringing the total to 6,660 apartments in 26 locations and keeping this crucial stock of housing for middle-income New Yorkers affordable for another 40 years.

1	And because good homes and strong
2	communities go hand in hand, HCR's New York
3	Main Street program awarded more than
4	\$6 million in 2016 for the renovation of
5	77 residential units and 85 commercial spaces
6	in downtowns and mixed-use business districts
7	throughout the state. These awards will
8	leverage more than \$10 million in additional
9	investment and stimulate reinvestment in
10	properties located within mixed-use
11	commercial districts and adjacent
12	neighborhoods, with a focus on sustainable
13	downtown revitalization.
14	The agency has also put a major
15	emphasis on fighting housing discrimination,
16	with the 2016 launch of HCR's Fair Housing
17	Enforcement Program to uncover discrimination
18	in home rental and sale transactions and

And most recently, we introduced the Affordable New York Housing Program, which expands the production of affordable housing and provides fair wages for the workers who

ensure compliance with all state, federal and

local fair housing laws.

build selected buildings developed under this
program.

This is just a sample of what we have achieved in the past year. And while I'm extraordinarily proud of the work we've done, there remains a very long road ahead. The successes we've had are due in large part to programs and funding sources that were, by their nature, time-limited or temporary. We have been resourceful in our use of these funds, and it is critical to New York families that we maintain our record-breaking production numbers and provide our partners in the affordable housing community a multiyear capital funding plan to continue their work and to provide them with certainty.

That's why the Governor's Executive

Budget continues funding support for his

unprecedented \$20 billion five-year

Affordable and Homeless Housing Plan. We

have the potential with this budget -- and

with your help -- to address our affordable

housing crisis and do more for New Yorkers

facing housing insecurity and homelessness
than we ever have before.

The plan will address New York's affordable, supportive and homeless housing crisis by building or preserving more than 100,000 housing units across the state over five years. The proposal includes a \$2 billion reappropriation of capital and \$526 million in new capital appropriations. The balance of the \$20 billion consists of tax-exempt bonds, equity, and federal program dollars that leverage our capital subsidy.

The Housing Plan will leverage HCR's proven programs to do even more to address the diverse housing needs of the state and create new opportunities for low and moderate-income households. It will include 50,000 units of new construction and the preservation of 50,000 existing units desperately in need of rehabilitation, the majority of which will be targeted to low-and very-low-income households.

The need is there. This plan is in place. And I am confident that with your

1	support we can have a substantial impact on
2	meeting the housing demand in this state.
3	In closing, the Executive Budget
4	housing proposal builds on the Governor's
5	commitment to moving New York families and
6	individuals forward. It joins other bold
7	initiatives from prior times, like increasing
8	the minimum wage, to establish New York as a
9	national leader and provide struggling
10	families and individuals with the stability
11	that they need to succeed.
12	Your partnership has been instrumental
13	in all that we've been able to achieve so
L 4	far, and I am asking for your help again as
15	we move forward on our path to assuring that
16	all New Yorkers have access to decent,
17	affordable homes and the opportunity to
18	thrive.
19	Thank you. RuthAnne and I are happy
20	to address your questions.
21	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
22	much.
23	First to question, Chairman

Cymbrowitz.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Thank you,
2	Chairman. Good morning, everyone.
3	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Good morning,
4	sir.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: And thank you
6	to all of you for being here.
7	To those of you who I've had the
8	pleasure of meeting during the last several
9	weeks as the new chair of the Housing
10	Committee, it's nice to see you again. For
11	those of you who are testifying before us
12	today that I haven't met before, I look
13	forward to hearing your testimony today and
L 4	working with you going forward.
15	We in the Legislature have the
16	responsibility of making sure the budget is
17	done in a way that reflects the needs of our
18	constituents for safe, decent and affordable
19	housing. When people have stable housing, it
20	not only has a positive impact on their
21	lives, but on the economy and their
22	community.
23	Today's hearing is an opportunity for
24	us to get further clarification of the

1	Governor's budget. As many or you know, and
2	as I'm sure some of you will testify, a good
3	spending plan is needed to address issues
4	relating to housing availability and
5	affordability. We in the Assembly majority
6	have always been and remain ready to work
7	with our colleagues in government to meet
8	those housing needs. I thank you in advance
9	for taking the time to speak with us today.
10	I look forward to hearing your testimony and
11	maintaining a dialogue with all of you
12	throughout the budget process.
13	Jamie, RuthAnne, thank you. RuthAnne,
14	first, congratulations and best of luck.
15	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
16	Thank you.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Jamie, I
18	guess we've got to say the same.
19	(Laughter.)
20	ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: But I think
21	the most important question for me, just to
22	begin with, is the fact that the Governor's
23	budget funds it's a \$20 billion, five-year
24	Affordable and Homeless Housing Plan. Can

1	you talk about why it's important to have a
2	five-year plan and what the thought process
3	was about that? And when it comes to
4	supportive housing, you're talking about
5	6,000 units. Is that something that actually
6	can be built in the five years, based on the
7	process that we have all gone through and
8	understood what it's like to build supportive
9	housing?
10	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: So thank you.

COMMISSIONER RUBIN: So thank you,

Mr. Cymbrowitz, for your question. What

we're going to do, if possible, and I

apologize if this is going to seem a little

awkward at times, we're going to try to split

the way we answer. I think hopefully you'll

get one view from two mouths.

Why don't I just address your question about the five years for a moment. It's a terrific question and one that we've discussed with you and your colleagues as well as your staffs over the last year since the Governor made his proposal of the \$20 billion, five-year plan, public in the State of the State and the Executive Budget last

1	year. And principally, the goal of
2	attempting to put in place a five-year
3	capital plan, which the state really has
4	never done before in the housing space, was
5	to mimic what we try to do in other areas of
6	similar infrastructure development, because
7	housing fundamentally is a piece of social
8	infrastructure.

So for example, the MTA capital plan, the Port Authority capital plan, other areas of transportation always have multiyear capital plans. And the reason for that is because -- is primarily one of certainty.

It's critically important to the people of New York to understand that their needs, the needs of the critical infrastructure that they travel over every day, is going to be met for many years to come.

These are multiyear -- the projects
that are put in place are multiyear projects.
They don't get funded themselves one year at
a time, they get funded for multiple years,
and the same is true -- and so that the
multiyear capital plan is appropriate.

1	Similarly, in the area of housing,
2	although it's not the way that this has been
3	approached in the past, issues of certainty
4	and funding are multiple are
5	multiple-year. So for example RuthAnne
6	knows this better than I do we at our
7	agency do everything that we do in
8	partnership with the housing development
9	community, nonprofit and for-profit. They
10	depend on us to provide a certain amount of
11	funds, but they also put themselves at risk
12	when they secure sites or invest ahead of
13	ahead of owning particular sites, they invest
14	in design and build planning for those sites.
15	They need before they put their

They need -- before they put their money out, particularly nonprofits -- you know, it's particularly true for nonprofit developers -- they need to understand that our support is going to be there when they do their work. And they put themselves at risk, and I think it's appropriate for us, where possible, to tell them that the government is going to be there for many years at a time as well, rather than on a year-by-year basis.

1	It's	how	they	do	their	work;	it's	how	we
2	shoul	ld do	our	WOI	ck.				

3 So it's really a question of certainty 4 to the market, without which we wouldn't be 5 able to do our work.

I don't know if RuthAnne wants to add anything, and then maybe you could talk for a second about the 6,000 units.

EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:

Yes, I would echo what Jamie said. And sort

of specifically on the supportive housing

pipeline, I think that rings true where I'm

happy to say that we are on schedule and have

awarded funding for the first 1200 of the

6,000 commitment statewide for -- of

supportive housing.

And I think that the partners that we work with need to know that they can buy sites today and will have financing next year or the year after. Right? Many of those sites might have to be cleaned up, they might have to go through a public approval process that might take over a year. And just the normal course of sort of development is a

1	year-or-two-year-prior-to-construction
2	process.
3	So we want people to be able to buy
4	sites, nonprofits and for-profits, and be
5	able to bring them to us in a year or two
6	years and know that we'll have the funding
7	available to finance them.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: So you've
9	been able to fund the 1200, the thousand
10	within the city and the 200 outside the city?
11	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: The
12	1200 is statewide, it was about that
13	breakdown. But we can provide you with sort
L 4	of detail on those.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: What is the
16	average number of supportive housing units
17	that are built statewide?
18	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
19	Including the city's production on
20	ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Yes.
21	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I
22	don't know the city's production numbers as
23	well anymore. Our production has been about
24	800 units in the past couple of years. We

1	have a fairly robust supportive housing
2	pipeline and are sort of building on that.
3	The city, when I was there,
4	traditionally was doing between 500 and a
5	thousand units as well. And in recent years
6	they have increased that goal, so I think
7	their production has also been ramped up.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: So you'll be
9	funding the city's units as well? So the
10	6,000 includes the city's production?
11	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: The
12	6,000 is just HCR's commitment. We certainly
13	do projects in conjunction with the city.
L 4	But we have many projects in our pipeline
15	that are independent of any other city
16	funding.
17	I mean, as you would expect,
18	projects that we finance in the city have a
19	city tax exemption. So we certainly work
20	with them, on if not the financing in terms
21	of capital, certainly on sort of the tax
22	exemption front, on any affordable housing
23	project that we do.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: The Executive

1	Budget includes \$100 million for NYCHA, for
2	their capital needs. Based on what we're
3	hearing, what we're all hearing from
4	Washington, with the severe cuts that are
5	projected for public housing, not only NYCHA
6	but statewide, are there contingency plans by
7	the Executive to cover those costs? And just
8	to begin, is the \$100 million enough? For
9	the 17 billion obviously, a \$17 billion
10	backlog in NYCHA alone, certainly the
11	\$100 million is not sufficient.
12	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: On the question
13	of the impact of possible federal budget cuts
14	specifically on NYCHA, obviously the new
15	administration is only just in place. The
16	new HUD secretary, who I've not met, is
17	himself only just in place. I have no idea
18	what the status of his team is. There's not
19	been a new budget. I think Congress is still
20	operating under a continuing resolution
21	through April.
22	So obviously we, in housing as in all
23	other areas, are eagerly awaiting the budget
24	proposal that comes out, you know, whenever

1	Congress puts forward the president puts
2	forward really his first budget. So it's
3	really impossible right now, obviously, to
4	speculate on what they may have in store for
5	public housing subsidies or any other area of
6	our budget.

The Governor, I would say -- in his budget this year, as you know, the Governor has a broader -- has reserved broader flexibility across the entire budget to account for any possible federal budget reductions, which I think is appropriate, and that will extend to our piece of the Executive Budget. But until we see the specifics, obviously, it's impossible to make more comments.

You know, obviously NYCHA -- to your second question, NYCHA is largely federally funded, as you know, federal and rent. And is a -- you know, NYCHA is, as you know better than most, a critical source in the city -- really, the principal source -- of safe and secure housing for people of low and moderate incomes.

1	So federal funding for NYCHA,
2	obviously we would strenuously push against
3	any attempts to reduce the subsidies further
4	than where they are today. It's been coming
5	down, obviously, year over year already,
6	Republican and Democrat administrations.
7	But your question is whether our
8	existing \$100 million proposal for NYCHA for
9	the next fives years is I think you said
10	is it adequate. You correctly stated that
11	NYCHA has a very well publicized, very large
12	maintenance backlog. And as you know, the
13	Governor has in the past couple of budgets
14	attempted to has made state contributions
15	to NYCHA's budget: \$100 million from the
16	JPMorgan settlement money a couple of years
17	ago, another \$100 million in this five-year
18	capital plan.
19	And I'm also happy to say that at the
20	end of last year and I'll ask RuthAnne to
21	talk about this we executed a very large

talk about this -- we executed a very large \$400 million financing for one specific, very large NYCHA project in Queens.

So I believe in the context of a 24

22

23

1	budget that isn't, obviously, infinite in
2	size, in the context of an affordable housing
3	crisis statewide, that we have to try to meet
4	with the many, many different tools at our
5	disposal the commitments that the Governor's
6	budget has made to that the Governor has
7	made in his budget in the last couple of
8	years to NYCHA has been substantial.

Why don't I turn it over to RuthAnne.

EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
Yeah, I would just add that we work and
support -- we have a very good, strong
working relationship with NYCHA and we work
with them in multiple ways, so not just on
capital. But as Jamie said, but in December
we refinanced for them a 1400-unit complex
called Ocean Bay out in the Rockaways that
took advantage of HUD's RAD program. And
NYCHA itself is very focused on transitioning
a number of their complexes into RAD as a way
to handle federal cuts, which have been going
on for, you know, 20 years. And they have
been looking at ways to sort of shift
themselves to be able to manage their

properties long-term in the face of a smaller
federal budget.

So we provided about \$213 million of volume cap to that transaction in December, which allowed NYCHA, as Jamie said, to put \$400 million -- which well exceeds any one annual capital budget that they would have for themselves to put into a complex like that. And they're able to do a sort of soup-to-nuts not only a rehab of the entire complex but also a large amount of resiliency measures, since it is sort of surrounded by water on both sides out there in the Rockaways.

So I just think there's other ways that we can be very supportive of NYCHA in addition to capital resources through volume cap.

19 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Senator.

20 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.

that you do for housing.

21 And again, welcome. And first of all,
22 I'd like to give both of you sincere
23 congratulations and gratitude for everything

1	And Commissioner Rubin, not long to be
2	commissioner, but you certainly have made an
3	impact all across the state, because we know
4	how vital affordable quality housing is to
5	everyone's quality of life. And thank you
6	for coming out to the 57th Senate District
7	and your commitment to rural housing. I
8	mean, we know that housing is a critical
9	issue all across the state, and thank you for
10	coming out and experiencing rural housing
11	firsthand, seeing the faces of rural poverty,
12	isolated communities, the oldest housing
13	stock in the entire state and actually the
14	entire country in my district. So thank you
15	for doing that.
16	And also congratulations to soon-to-be
17	Commissioner Visnauk Visnaukas
18	Visnauskas. Did I get that right?
19	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
20	That's good.
21	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay. I'll get it
22	down.
23	But I know that you've you also are
24	interested in statewide housing issues, and

1	thank you for already committing today to
2	come out to my district. And I look forward
3	to hosting you. And I think it will be a
4	very valuable experience for you and for me
5	also.
6	So however, with that being said, I
7	just wanted to ask about some of the staffing
8	at the agency. Now that Commissioner Rubin
9	is going to even higher climates, how will
10	the agency be set up? Are there going to be
11	any other administrative changes other than
12	you becoming commissioner?
13	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: You know,
14	obviously, thank you, Senator Young. By the
15	way, thank you for your kind words. It's
16	been a pleasure working with you. I'm sorry
17	that Senator Little wasn't here, but
18	obviously I'll talk to her separately. But
19	it's been a great pleasure working with you
20	and your colleagues in the last two years.
21	There aren't, that I can think of
22	offhand, any other major administrative

changes. Obviously, every agency the size of

ours goes through a regular process of, you

23

24

1	know, retirements and just a general churning
2	of people. And we always try to attract the
3	best and brightest people to staff the agency
4	at all levels. I think we've made great
5	strides there over the last two years since
6	I've been there.
7	But there aren't any major
8	administrative changes other than what we
9	have in front of us today, with one
10	exception, I guess, which is simply which
11	is really just a recognition of what was
12	already in place, which was that Linda
13	Manley, who is my general counsel, has been
14	acting general counsel for about six months,
15	and we named her today as the permanent
16	general counsel. Which is great for the
17	agency and for the people of New York.
18	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Great.
19	Now, you're gearing up for major
20	multiyear capital investments, and I wanted
21	to ask about the staffing ratios. Because in

multiyear capital investments, and I wanted to ask about the staffing ratios. Because in 2011-2012, HCR reported 757 FTEs. In the 2018 proposed budget, the agency anticipates 682 FTEs, which is a reduction of 77 staff

1	over time. During this same period, the
2	Office of Rent Administration has increased
3	by six positions, which means that the rest
4	of the agency has really lost 81 staff.
5	So were any of these positions
6	transferred to HFA or SONYMA or payrolls, or
7	how is that working? And I guess the
8	follow-up question to that would be if you're
9	gearing up for these major huge projects,
10	will you have the staffing to be able to
11	handle it?
12	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Let me say
13	I'll say a couple of things, and then perhaps
14	RuthAnne can talk a little bit about her
15	perspective on staffing.
16	It's a great question and I appreciate
17	it, because it shows that you recognize that
18	the work is really done obviously by the
19	people of the agency.
20	I don't know those numbers I'd
21	have to look at the numbers specifically and
22	figure out why we wanted those changes. As
23	you know, HCR as it stands today is the
24	product of a merger back in 2011 between the

1	old DHCR and the HFA-SONYMA complex. And
2	those numbers are low, so I suspect that
3	there's some of that is not getting
4	captured in those numbers.

But, you know, as part of the merger, which started before I got there and which we've continued to execute since I arrived, as you would expect -- and it was, I assume, part of the justification of the merger -- there were some redundant activities.

They're two agencies that were doing similar things in different areas with different programs, but there definitely was overlap, which popped up as soon as you put the two agencies under one umbrella. And I suspect that that -- some of that reduction may be the product of a successful merger and a well-thought-out merger.

In other places, you know, we may not be operating entirely to fill.

But I would also say that there have been a number of managerial initiatives, even some predating me, that the agency, like many of our sister state agencies, have adopted at

1	the urging of the Governor under things like
2	the Lean program and other management
3	initiatives that have enabled the agency and
4	our people to work better and smarter. And
5	the work product that comes out as I
6	mentioned, just on the production side, we've
7	had three consecutive record years. In other
8	areas we're just similarly doing, I think,
9	better than we ever have before. You
10	mentioned ORA. Our ORA backlog is way down.
11	Other you know, I can go on.
12	I think it's evidence that the agency,
13	far from missing a beat, is operating exactly
14	at peak level. FTE numbers aside, the
15	activity is very, very high and very
16	effective. And again, I think that's just a
17	testament to the quality the importance of
18	the merger and the quality of the people that
19	we've got, their ability to adapt to changing
20	circumstances.
21	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
22	Yeah, I would add that when we contemplated
23	the additional funding in the budget for
24	housing, we thought about how many more

1	transactions that would be across the agency
2	and made sure that we felt like we had the
3	right organizational structure and staff in
4	place.
5	So we feel that we stand ready to take
6	that on across underwriting, across our
7	lawyers, across our asset management staff.
8	You know, we were sort of thoughtful about
9	it, and we feel we're ready for the task.
10	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: That's good to
11	hear. That's good.
12	Just switching to the Governor's
13	affordable housing plan, in June the Governor
14	and the Legislature signed two MOUs totaling
15	\$150 million. So could you give more details
16	on the results of releasing those funds, such
17	as the projects, locations, and units that
18	they helped create? Could we get more detail
19	on that?
20	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
21	Yes, we'd be absolutely happy to provide the
22	list. We have committed the majority of that
23	150 to date and have more projects that will
24	close at the end of the fiscal year, and we'd

1	be happy to show you the list and all the
2	locations of the projects.
3	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay, that would be
4	helpful. I'm also interested in regional
5	balance and that sort of thing, so that would
6	be great to see the list.
7	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
8	Absolutely.
9	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Certainly.
10	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Can you explain the
11	Governor's current budget proposal for
12	affordable housing, again in a little bit
13	more detail? We've kind of gone over it with
14	a broad brush, but it would be great, before
15	we vote on the actual budget later on, to
16	have some of those details.
17	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Of course.
18	Do you want to talk about
19	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
20	Want me to take a stab?
21	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Yeah, why don't
22	you go ahead and do that.
23	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So
24	we are very focused on the budget and the

1	housing that will be funded by the funds that
2	are in the budget. Although we also do a lot
3	of things outside the budget too, so of
4	course when we think about the work that the
5	agency does, we think about it very
6	holistically. And it's sort of divided, I
7	would say, into a couple of different
8	categories in terms of simplifying it into
9	something that's sort of easy to talk about.

We have a very robust new construction pipeline, so we are doing adaptive reuse, which we sort of think of as new construction because it tends to be extensive. So we have a very robust adaptive reuse and new construction pipeline upstate. We have a very robust supportive housing production.

Switching to preservation, we will do a lot of -- I'll have a lot of emphasis on making sure public housing is preserved across the state, making sure our Mitchell-Lama portfolio, both the UDC portfolio that we inherited as well as the other Mitchell-Lamas that are across the state, have access to dollars for preservation. We're very focused

1	on middle income. We have an enormous amount
2	of appetite across the state for people doing
3	mixed-income projects that want to take
4	advantage of middle-income dollars as well as
5	the CIF, the Urban and Rural Community
6	Investment Fund.

We also, in terms of neighborhood revitalization, think about our Main Street program, which has been very important to upstate communities, and we often have a lot of -- we're very well subscribed in that program. Recently last year we launched, on sort of the homeownership front, a new pilot for manufactured and mobile homes to be fully replaced in upstate communities. And we've had a very strong response to that.

In addition to the mobile homes, on the homeownership we obviously have SONYMA, where we provide access to first-time homeownership for -- I think this year we did almost 2,000 new homeowners in the state.

The DHC program I think was about 1500 units last year of new homeownership. So we think about it as new construction, as

1	preservation, as community development
2	homeownership in addition to a lot of
3	other work that the agency does sort of
4	maintaining the existing stock around the
5	state.
6	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. When
7	you get more information, it would be helpful
8	to have you talk about the pipeline, and
9	I've heard you talk about the pipeline in the
10	past. So it would very helpful to know which
11	projects are in the pipeline also, if we can
12	get that information.
13	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
14	Certainly.
15	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Do you want me to
16	come back, Mr. Chair? Because I have several
17	other questions. Or do you
18	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Yes.
19	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: want me to keep
20	going? Okay, I'll come back.
21	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Mr. Mosley.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN MOSLEY: Thank you,

1	Mr. Chairman. To Chairman Farrell,
2	Chairwoman Young, thank you for your yeoman
3	efforts, to your staffs as well. Thank you
4	for informing me I was on my way back up
5	to Albany when you guys informed me that the
6	hearing was going to be delayed till today,
7	so thank you for allowing me to preserve my
8	body and avoid another trip up to Albany.
9	(Laughter.)
10	ASSEMBLYMAN MOSLEY: To our
11	commissioner, congratulations to you on your
12	elevation
13	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Thank you, sir.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN MOSLEY: To our soon-to-be
15	commissioner, congratulations to you.
16	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
17	Thank you.
18	I'm going to make efficient use of my
19	time, so I'm just going to go right into the
20	questions.
21	My colleague, Chairman Cymbrowitz,
22	talked about the NYCHA capital needs. And I
23	remember in 2015 the Executive allocated
24	\$100 million to New York City. And there

T	were some issues in terms of now that money
2	was going to be used, how it was going to be
3	allocated, how it was going to actually drill
4	down to the actual developments. And it
5	still exists today. So I just want to find
6	out, what have you done to kind of eliminate
7	some of those barriers and those
8	discrepancies that, you know, find us in this
9	current situation now where we still are
10	trying to get that money to our actual sites
11	in our districts?
12	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Sure. Thank you,
13	Mr. Mosley.
14	I HCR so the \$100 million that
15	was committed to NYCHA from the settlement
16	money a couple of years ago was a sort of
17	the construct that was put in place to invest
18	that money in NYCHA projects included our
19	agency, HCR, more on almost a consulting
20	basis. DASNY, the Dormitory Authority of the
21	State of New York, obviously was really the
22	executing agency, which is appropriate,
23	because they're the ones that are most on

point on any number of capital and design and

execution projects for the state. And then,
of course, NYCHA.

You are correct that the process of executing on the list of projects that was developed, partly in partnership with the Legislature, has taken probably longer than it might otherwise have done. I'm happy to say that \$50 million has been transferred over to DASNY and onward to NYCHA. As we sit here now, I don't know the exact numbers, but I think over \$10 million has actually been invested, put to work, in a variety of critical security projects, which is what most of the first \$50 million was designed to address, security projects -- you know, very specific security projects in -- across the NYCHA portfolio.

There is a -- there is increased,
thanks to you and others of your colleagues,
as well as the Governor's office and us,
there has been increased focus in the last
little bit on making sure that that money is
moving even faster. And there's a process
underway, I think in partnership with the --

1	certainly, you know, critically between NYCHA
2	and DASNY, to make sure that it's moving
3	quickly. So we're happy to talk further or
4	have our colleagues at DASNY talk to you
5	about that.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN MOSLEY: All right, thank
7	you.
8	The 421-a proposal, I just have just a
9	list of questions. And you can say if you
10	don't have the information, you can say "I
11	can give it to you," because something might
12	be a little bit too detailed.
13	How many affordable units will be
14	built at 40 percent AMI, 70 percent AMI, and
15	130 percent AMI? Do you have those numbers?
16	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Under the entire
17	421-a program?
18	ASSEMBLYMAN MOSLEY: Yeah.
19	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Which I'm just
20	going to keep calling it the Affordable
21	New York Housing Program.
22	(Laughter.)
23	ASSEMBLYMAN MOSLEY: Okay.
24	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: I think we'll

1	have to come back to you with details about
2	specific AMI you know, projections of unit
3	construction at specific AMI levels.
4	Although I would say that really that
5	New York City New York City is better
6	positioned, probably, to give you that
7	specific answer.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN MOSLEY: On the
9	Affordable whatever you want to call it
10	(Laughter.)
11	ASSEMBLYMAN MOSLEY: What percentage
12	of the 421-a. But I know you want to call
13	it something else. What percentage of the
14	registered rent-stabilized units will be
15	preferential rent units.
16	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: What percentage
17	will be preferential rent?
18	ASSEMBLYMAN MOSLEY: Yeah.
19	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: I wouldn't be
20	able to predict. I wouldn't expect much,
21	frankly. I mean, our experience with
22	preferential rent is that you typically find
23	preferential rents in weaker markets you
24	know, weaker rent markets. And 421-a

Τ	buildings, I think Ruthanne noperully will
2	agree with us are generally found, you
3	know, in less-than-weak markets, although
4	that may be changing with the new structure
5	of the you know, the three-option
6	structure.
7	But I think typically I'd be
8	surprised if there were preferential rents in
9	place in 421-a buildings.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN MOSLEY: What percentage
11	of I know that the Governor takes a lot of
12	power away from City Council and from the
13	city in terms of compliance and oversight. I
14	know we talked about this in my office, and I
15	know you said you were going to get back to
16	me.
17	Can you give me a little more detail
18	in terms of compliance and oversight over
19	this project? Because we know it's a
20	statewide initiative, but at the same time we
21	know that the local governments are going to
22	be the ones who are going to be hands-on in
23	terms of compliance and oversight.
24	So can you explain to me what you've

1	gotten	back	since	our	last	conversation?

2 COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Of course. And
3 you and I have had the opportunity to talk
4 about this in some detail.

I share your concern about compliance and oversight. Obviously any time there's a substantial benefit being given to any party, it has to come with a well-thought-out and rigorous compliance and oversight regime attached to it. And I think in this case — in this case we have one in place.

I should say we don't really -- the good news is we don't really, with the Affordable New York Housing Program, need to make too much up because this is a tax benefit that's been in existence since the 1970s. It's -- you know, compliance and oversight with respect to this program is really is New York City's -- is entirely New York City's. It's New York City's tax abatement and it's New York City's oversight responsibility.

And I know my colleagues at HPD -- which RuthAnne is in a far better position

than I am to speak about -- have a robust

mechanism for making sure that developers who

receive the tax abatement are complying with

their obligations.

With respect specifically to the most recent changes in the bill that's before you now, the difference between the 2015 enacted legislation and now, there is a -- as you know, there is a modest additional tax benefit being provided to certain developers for certain very, very large buildings that are constructed in certain restricted geographic areas, in return for which they are providing fair wages according to a very specific wage scale. And you know all about this.

So there is an additional compliance and oversight responsibility that's put on them, because there is this wage obligation. We fully expect, as is specifically called out in the law -- in the legislation, that the city's HPD is going to enact and promulgate rules and regulations around compliance and oversight. They're very good

Δ,	at it. They are already, i'm sure, thinking
2	about what that will look like. And that's
3	really where the rubber is going to meet the
4	road, and that will just add to their already
5	existing rules that are pretty well known.
6	So I think this is I think this
7	is I share your concern, but I'm confident
8	that it will be handled effectively by HPD.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN MOSLEY: Thank you,
10	Commissioner.
11	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
12	Senator?
13	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
14	Senator Liz Krueger.
15	SENATOR KRUEGER: Good morning to both
16	of you, and congratulations.
17	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Thank you.
18	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
19	Thank you.
20	SENATOR KRUEGER: Congratulations or
21	condolences to both of you for your new
22	positions.
23	I'm probably going to have a series of
24	five-minute questions, so we'll start with

1 the operation of the agency.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 So in January of this year, Governor 3 Cuomo announced DHCR was initiating a major initiative to return 50,000 illegally 4 5 deregulated apartments in buildings receiving J-51 benefits back to rent regulation. 6 7 was seven years after a lawsuit saying these units were in violation of the law. Many of 8 us urged you to send letters out to the 9 10 tenants telling them that they should 11 cross-check their right to a lower rent 12 because the apartment had been illegally 13 deregulated. Your agency instead decided to 14 send the letters to the owners. I believe you've reported 22,000 of those 50,000 units 15 16 have reregistered.

So two questions. One, now what are we going to do to get the other 28,000 units back into the program? And two, what are we going to do to make sure that all 50,000 units are registered at the correct rent?

Because the assumption is the vast majority of those units are actually now registered under rent regulation, as they always should

have, but under an illegally high rent.
COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Thank you,
Senator. You asked an elephant of a
question, so I'm going to bite little pieces
of it off at a time, if that's okay.
You are absolutely correct that
earlier this year our agency sent out a large
group of letters to developers and landlords
who had previously received had been the
beneficiaries of the J-51 tax abatement
program in New York City. And as a result of
the benefit, they have certain
rent-stabilization obligations in those
buildings. Much of the reporting, I'm sorry
to say, on the results of that mailing has
been inaccurate. And there's a two-word
phrase that other people are using for
inaccurately reported news that I'm not going
to use here that's sort of come into
popularity recently.
But just so we're all in agreement on
what actually happened, we did do a mass
mailing. The results were exactly what we

had hoped that they would be, in many ways,

1	which is all the landlords well, not all,
2	but the landlords that we mailed to, the
3	developers that we mailed to in many cases
4	responded to us with you know, either by
5	reregistering or by telling us the reasons
6	for which they were not they hadn't been
7	in what we'd consider to be compliance. And
3	then we were also able to do, in the interim,
9	more research of our own.

The result of that is not that 22,000 buildings were reregistered -- or apartments were reregistered --

SENATOR KRUEGER: Apartments.

I'm sorry, were reregistered. Twenty-two thousand apartments have come back onto our registration rolls in that time, which is correct, or something like that. But that is the result of all kinds of other work that we do with the rent-stabilized portfolio, not this particular J-51 initiative. And it's a testament to, you know, the good work that ORA does and TPU and other parts of our agency. That was the entire bolus of

1 apartments that came back on.

2 Apartments that came back on from the 3 J-51 effort was a smaller number. I can find 4 out what that was, if you'd like, at some 5 time later.

But what we've since figured out, due to our original research, is that while we sent out I think 4,000 letters, or letters to 4,000 developers, in fact we think that the number of developers who are not in compliance with the law as we now know it to be -- and I'll come back to that in second -- is something like 200.

And we are now initiating the next -you know, we're taking on the next phase of
our work, which is we're going back out to
those -- right now, going back out to those
200 developers -- a much smaller group,
obviously -- explaining to them -- you know,
explaining, in quotes, to them their
obligations, reminding them of their
obligations, and giving them an opportunity
to remedy themselves, but also telling them
that we are now preparing to execute a much

Τ	more rigorous and aggressive compliance
2	effort.
3	SENATOR KRUEGER: I'm sorry, just let
4	me get the numbers down.
5	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Sure.
6	SENATOR KRUEGER: So you're saying
7	that the 22,000 in fact is the number based
8	on all the different programs in your agency.
9	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Correct.
10	SENATOR KRUEGER: And you credited
11	TPU, and I'll give you that. So then you
12	said so of the 50,000 you said you were going
13	to try to recapture specific to the J-51
14	exemption, Roberts v. Tishman Speyer ruling
15	of seven years ago, how many responded? How
16	many of those 22,000 were from that?
17	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: I'd have to get
18	you I'll come back to you with those
19	numbers, if I can.
20	SENATOR KRUEGER: Okay. So basically,
21	based on my question, so we didn't get
22	anywhere near half of 50,000, we got far, far
23	less from this effort on this specific issue?
24	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: And I guess I

1	would consider that good news/pad news. The
2	good news is that the compliance level, based
3	on the further research that we've been able
4	to do, the compliance level is actually much,
5	much higher than we had anticipated. The
6	50,000 was an estimate that was, you know,
7	partly as a result of our internal you
8	know, sort of our preliminary internal
9	analysis that we did in partnership with the
10	city. And partly, frankly, our data is
11	imperfect, you know, for sure. Fifty
12	thousand was the outside, probably the
13	outside range of how many apartments might
14	have been not registered that ought to have
15	been registered.
16	And the good news is, I think, for the
17	public, the good news is that the landlord
18	community is actually largely in compliance.
19	But there are, as I said, we think now
20	something like 200 developers who are out of
21	compliance, as we consider it, and that's who
22	we're going to talk to next.
23	SENATOR KRUEGER: And what are you
24	going to do to both bring them into

1	compliance and to make sure that the
2	calculations are done to assure these
3	apartments are in fact or these landlords
4	are calculating the correct rent they should
5	be collecting?
6	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: So we're going
7	out with another series of letters, I
8	think I won't actually be sitting in this
9	seat anymore, but RuthAnne will be sending
10	out another series of letters within a very
11	short period of time you know, it's weeks,
12	not months to the 200 we've identified,
13	informing them that they are, we believe, out
14	of compliance you know, some of them will
15	have complied already, and some not. But for
16	whatever reason, we are now this is the
17	group telling them that they've got until
18	a date certain, and it's a very quick date
19	certain, it's not you know, again, it's
20	weeks, not months a date certain to
21	comply, and that we are reserving all of our
22	enforcement rights. And then shortly
23	thereafter we're just going to start
24	exercising those rights. It's a package of

pretty robust rights that we can talk about separately, but that we have under our authority as manager of the -- and oversight authority of the rent-stabilized portfolio.

In terms of, you know, from the tenant perspective, obviously, first and foremost is the question of, Well, how much rent should I have been paying during this period? Which is determined, as in all cases, through an overcharge proceeding. And in that sense, it's no different than any other overcharge proceeding, which our ORA does many thousands of these every year.

I'm sorry to say it is a complicated thing to undertake. There is no sort of one-size-fits-all way to figure out how much rent you should have been paying, over what period of time and from when and all that.

Each one is very much a bespoke, you know, transaction. But obviously we are there and intent on protecting the tenants' rights to engage in that discussion.

And then once the agency, in partnership with the tenants and landlords,

1	has determined what in fact the overcharge
2	amount is, we will enforce through all means
3	necessary to make sure that they get their
4	rebates.
5	SENATOR KRUEGER: And I'm going to
6	switch to the new commissioner, if you don't
7	mind.
8	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Please. That's
9	why she's here.
10	SENATOR KRUEGER: Since you'll be out
11	of the building.
12	So I would, on behalf of the
13	Legislature, very much like not today
14	but more detail about if that universe wasn't
15	50,000, what is that universe; what was the
16	difference between what you thought created
17	the number 50,000 versus the number you are
18	now determining; again, how many of those
19	how many you've actually brought back in,
20	because clearly it's not the 22,000, as the
21	outgoing commissioner just said outgoing,
22	incoming and then also what you're going
23	to do to notify tenants of the possibility
24	that they've been overcharged.

1	Because as we know, under the law, the
2	tenants have to trigger a request for, true,
3	an overly complicated but necessary process
4	in order to get their rent fixed. So there's
5	no reason to assume, even if you find out
6	there's X number and whatever that X number
7	is not 50,000, but some other number
8	unless the tenant knows, nothing is going to
9	go forward.
10	So I'd like to know the going-forward
11	steps from you.
12	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
13	We'd be happy to pull that together and come
14	sit with you and go through it.
15	SENATOR KRUEGER: Great. And I'm just
16	done with Round 1, so I'll be back.
17	Thank you, Senator.
18	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
19	We've been joined by Senator
20	Velmanette Montgomery.
21	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: We've been joined
22	by Brian Kavanagh, who will now ask some
23	questions.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN KAVANAGH: Thank you,

1	Mr. Chairman.
2	And thank you for being here today.
3	I know that there's been some
4	discussion of this already, but I just want
5	to follow up on the with regard to funding
6	for public housing in New York. As you know,
7	two years ago we added \$100 million to the
8	budget, through the JPMorgan settlement
9	funds. And that money, most of it is yet to
10	be spent. And we did it in a pretty unusual
11	way, with rather than doing a direct
12	capital allocation to the housing authority,
13	we set up an arrangement at the suggestion of
14	the Executive that the Division of Budget and
15	DASNY and your agency play some role in
16	determining how that money gets spent. And
17	that rather than NYCHA, the New York City
18	Housing Authority, spending that money, that
19	DASNY be responsible for making the projects
20	happen.
21	Can you talk about the effectiveness

of that approach from your perspective?

COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Thank you,

Mr. Kavanagh. Absolutely. I should say we

1	nad a prier discussion about this with
2	Mr. Mosley, but I'm happy to
3	ASSEMBLYMAN KAVANAGH: No, I
4	understand.
5	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: I'm happy to
6	continue to talk about it.
7	The \$100 million, you're exactly
8	right, the \$100 million was in the budget two
9	years ago. The Governor, I think and I
10	should say I wasn't I'm not sure I was in
11	this seat exactly at that time. But my
12	understanding at the time was that the
13	Executive, in partnership with the
14	Legislature, came up with a set of projects
15	specifically targeting primarily security and
16	other critical matters across as wide of the
17	NYCHA portfolio as they could come to an
18	agreement on with \$100 million. Which is, on
19	the one hand, a lot of money; on the other
20	hand, pales in comparison to the \$17 billion
21	capital backlog that NYCHA has, as
22	Mr. Cymbrowitz said.
23	It was a good project list. I saw the
24	project list. HCR's role in executing that

1	project list was more consultative than
2	anything else. But in partnership with our
3	colleagues at DASNY, who really had the
4	execution responsibility, and NYCHA, we did
5	come up with a plan to invest that money in
6	those projects in the NYCHA portfolio. And
7	the first \$50 million or so had been
8	transmitted over to DASNY for that purpose.
9	And as I said earlier, I think that
10	the number is something like 10 million or a
11	little bit more than 10 million has actually
12	been put to work in NYCHA security projects.
13	The challenges, I agree with you,
14	there have been some challenges.
15	"Challenges" is the word that I think people
16	like me use when you're talking about things
17	like this. It means it hasn't worked all
18	that well.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN KAVANAGH: That's a kind
20	word, yes.
21	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: But there have
22	been challenges in moving that money as
23	quickly as everybody would have hoped. It is
24	an intense focus of, in particular, DASNY

1	and I believe with NYCHA at this point to
2	try and move those projects faster and make
3	those investments because they are
4	absolutely they were sort of focused on
5	quality-of-life issues, security, things like
6	that.

ASSEMBLYMAN KAVANAGH: Partly because the Executive put some restriction on what we could do with the money.

But again, the effectiveness -- you're now proposing effectively to replicate this program for the next couple of years, with some additional restrictions. Is the -- and that's the royal "we" I'm talking about, the Executive. Generally I know this isn't your proposal specifically, and there's another agency involved. But if this -- if it's 23 months later and only 10 percent of the money has been -- is moving on some very straightforward, very modest projects in housing that desperately needs the work, why would we, you know -- maybe that was -- it seemed like a good idea at the time. But why would we continue that approach rather than

1	allocating the money to an agency with a very
2	large capital budget and very substantial
3	needs? Why would we go through this
4	multilayer process again?
5	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: It's a perfectly
6	reasonable question. I guess I would make a
7	couple of observations. One, the
8	\$100 million in this budget proposal for the
9	next five years, I don't know that there's
10	any particular precondition for you know,
11	we don't come in with necessarily a similar
12	set of projects that we would propose to
13	invest it in that I'm aware of. Although,
14	you know, it's
15	ASSEMBLYMAN KAVANAGH: There's not a
16	specific set of projects. But is there not a
17	requirement that the money again go through
18	DASNY, which has no experience doing these
19	kinds of projects in public housing in
20	New York and has failed to do this so far?
21	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Sure. And I
22	guess I based on my familiarity with this
23	process, and also, frankly, some familiarity
24	with both DASNY and NYCHA, from this and

1	other places and RuthAnne may echo this
2	I think we've learned a lot, frankly, over
3	the last 23 months about how to execute this
4	kind of program. And I think that the pace
5	is picking up some for exactly that reason.

By the way, some of your colleagues, staff in the Legislature have helped us, as well as the Division of Budget. So I think going forward we can do much, much better.

I would also say frankly that while

DASNY -- you know, nobody, obviously, is

perfect. I have worked with DASNY in the

past on many, many small projects,

particularly when I was at Storm Recovery,

and they have -- they're very, very good at

getting money out the door pretty quickly --

again, their obligation here is not to get
money out the door, their obligation is to go
into buildings on Avenue C and D in my
district and replace windows. And it's two
years later, and, you know, tenants are
suffering conditions that could be addressed
with money that we allocated for two years,

1	and now we're talking about basically
2	continuing that approach.
3	And I see my time is running short,
4	but and the basic question I haven't
5	gotten an answer to is why would we do it
6	this way. It's unique, it's not the way
7	you're proposing to do public housing capital
8	in other parts of the state.
9	And just I mean, one more just
10	one more question about the level of funding.
11	We did \$100 million two years ago. There was
12	a proposal to do \$100 million last year.
13	Unfortunately, we were unable to come to an
14	agreement in an MOU on how to spend that
15	money. Now the last year's \$100 million is
16	just being rolled into this year's budget.
17	Aren't we due another \$100 million if we want
18	to maintain the level of commitment we agreed
19	to two years ago?
20	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Well, I'm not
21	sure I'm following that question exactly,
22	but

ASSEMBLYMAN KAVANAGH: Well, if we

would have done the -- if we did \$100 million

23

1	two years ago and we were planned to do
2	\$100 million last year but failed to do so,
3	wouldn't we had we not failed to come to
4	an agreement on that \$100 million, wouldn't
5	we be talking about another \$100 million this
6	year?

Are you suggesting that the \$100 million that is proposed now is the entire Executive commitment to NYCHA for the next five years? You just described it that way a moment ago.

COMMISSIONER RUBIN: In terms of capital subsidy, absolutely. But as my colleague RuthAnne talked about earlier, at the end of December of this past year, we had the ability to execute a \$400 million capital project that far exceeds anything that anybody has done -- I think -- any other capital project I'm familiar with in NYCHA, to do a \$400 million capital project in the Rockaways in Ocean Bay. It was the largest such project under this RAD program in the country by magnitudes. And it's going to result in I think it's 1500 -- is it 1500

1	apartments? something like 1400 apartments
2	in Ocean Bay being almost entirely overhauled
3	and, by the way, made far more sustainable
4	and resilient in a project that, as you know,
5	is surrounded by the water on two sides.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN KAVANAGH: Right, and
7	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Not a dollar of
8	capital subsidy from the Executive Budget
9	went into that project. We did it entirely
10	with tax-exempt bonds and other sources.
11	So we would much prefer, frankly, to
12	replicate that project over and over again
13	we've done that in other places outside of
14	New York City than to snip off little
15	pieces, albeit important, of additional
16	capital funding for very, very small or we
17	can do both small quality-of-life. If we
18	did that as large projects, I think we'd find
19	that we could make an enormous dent in
20	ASSEMBLYMAN KAVANAGH: With all due
21	respect, the \$100 million was done on small,
22	what you call quality-of-life projects. I
23	don't think working front doors on your

24 building really count as quality of life in

1	my book, but it was done in small
2	snippets, partly at the insistence of the
3	executive branch two years ago, and we have
4	yet to see any of that money in our
5	communities.
6	And my time is more than out, so I'll
7	leave it there. Thank you.
8	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Thank you, sir.
9	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: The next speaker is
10	Senator Bailey.
11	SENATOR BAILEY: Good morning to you
12	both.
13	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Good morning,
14	sir.
15	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
16	Good morning.
17	SENATOR BAILEY: Thank you for coming.
18	I would be remiss if I did not recognize my
19	predecessor in government, Senator Ruth
20	Hassell-Thompson, former Senator Ruth
21	Hassell-Thompson. Hello, Ruth. How are you?
22	Always a pleasure to see you.
23	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Our colleague.
24	SENATOR BAILEY: A moment of personal

1	privilege, if you so allow me.
2	A couple of questions for you today.
3	The first is concerning Mitchell-Lama. I
4	represent the largest Mitchell-Lama
5	development in the entire country, in
6	Co-op City. I'm going to ask not just for
7	Co-op City but for the rest of the
8	Mitchell-Lamas in the State of New York.
9	You mentioned that 35 Mitchell-Lama
10	projects will be revitalized. Can you
11	provide me with a list of those projects?
12	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
13	Yes, as part of the UDC portfolio coming over
14	to HCR, we have a five-year plan to refinance
15	Mitchell-Lamas. We've done about 26 to date.
16	As Commissioner Rubin said in his testimony,
17	we've done about 6600 of 8600 apartments. So
18	we are on track and on schedule to do the
19	whole portfolio. And we'd be happy to
20	provide you with a list of those.
21	SENATOR BAILEY: Thank you. And
22	another point of personal privilege, is there
23	anything in the outline for Co-op City?
24	EX DEP COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We

1	have funding for Mitchell-Lamas. Co-op City
2	itself has not come to us with a specific
3	ask. We are always happy to talk to any
4	development companies that want to come in
5	and talk to us about their projects.
6	SENATOR BAILEY: Another question,
7	concerning TPU. While not necessarily to
8	what TPU does, we've seen in the City of
9	New York what can happen to tenants when you
10	have bad landlords. And while the laudable
11	mission of TPU is not germane to what those
12	bad landlords are doing, we need to make sure
13	that tenants are always protected. And I'm
14	concerned that there is no specific line set
15	out in the Executive Budget for TPU.
16	Could you talk about why that's taken
17	place and why that's continued to be
18	discretionary?
19	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So
20	there's actually a change in our budget this
21	year from last year, and we do have a
22	specific line called out for \$4.5 million to
23	fund TPU, and that's the same level of
24	funding that it had last year. Although

you're right, certainly, in saying that last
year it was not specifically called out but
was subsumed within other budget lines.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SENATOR BAILEY: Okay. And the final question that I have for you, I think it's --I don't want to keep beating a dead horse, but I have six NYCHA developments in my district. And as you mentioned earlier, it's not just capital, it's other issues. And I guess my major concern is, how are we going to come to solve these other issues, these other non-capital -- these quality-of-life, these fencing issues that we have, the groundwork that needs to get done? What role are we going to -- are you -- is HCR going to play in making sure that these NYCHA developments are going to get what they need, aside from the capital developments and obvious roof repairs that are evident?

EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I think, first and foremost, we have a really good working relationship with NYCHA, so I think that's the start. And as you know, they laid out several years ago a 10-year

1	plan for themselves that lays out their
2	priorities and how they want to work through
3	a process of addressing their backlog and
4	addressing their needs citywide.
5	So we take our cues from them. And
6	where they feel there's priorities, as they
7	did in December around the Ocean Bay project,
8	we, you know, stand at the ready to work with
9	them in ways that we can.
10	SENATOR BAILEY: Okay, excellent.
11	Thank you, that will be all for now.
12	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, Senator.
13	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
14	We've been joined by Assemblywoman
15	Niou, and she will now ask questions.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NIOU: Hi. I was
17	watching, and I know that a lot of questions
18	were covered already that I had. But I
19	wanted to ask along with Assemblymember
20	Kavanagh and other elected officials and
21	advocates, I'm part of a lead task force
22	which has a goal to reduce exposure to lead
23	paint, especially the poisoning, particularly
24	lead exposure created by hazardous

Ţ	construction or demolition around nousing
2	units.
3	The task force is interested in
4	meeting with the state, HCR and DOH to figure
5	out ways to improve the prevention of lead
6	exposure. Can you commit that your agency
7	will meet with our lead task force?
8	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
9	We'd be happy to.
10	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Yes. I'm going
11	to commit, in both my new role, which is
12	irrelevant after today in my existing role
13	and my new role. In my existing role, I'll
14	say absolutely. In my new role, I'll say
15	absolutely. And I'm sure RuthAnne
16	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
17	Yeah, couldn't agree more. We'd be happy to
18	meet.
19	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Yes. Yeah. It's
20	a priority for us and for the Governor.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NIOU: Great. So you
22	heard it. And, you know, also we have you
23	know, the city gets \$5.5 billion annually
24	from the federal government, money that

1	unfortunately the Trump administration is
2	threatening to revoke. We use that money to
3	provide Section 8 vouchers for poor
4	New Yorkers, to building housing for families
5	at 30 percent of AMI and below, to ensure
6	that our housing stock is physically safe.
7	And how can this administration justify a
8	\$2.4 billion annual expense in the pocket of
9	developers in this climate?
10	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: That I guess I
11	should ask which specifically
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NIOU: We're talking
13	about 421-a, I guess. I know that we were
14	talking about it previously.
15	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: 421-a, that's a
16	question I think you're asking about the
17	Affordable New York Housing Program.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NIOU: Mm-hmm.
19	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: I so a couple
20	of things. We share your commitment to
21	Section 8, the importance of Section 8. As
22	you know, the state is also responsible for a
23	big chunk of the section 8 program, largely
24	outside of New York City. We have about, I

1	think,	40,000	vouchers	that	we're	responsible
2	for.					

We share your commitment to it and would be alarmed and push back very hard at any attempts to rein that program in from Washington, although we don't anticipate that at the moment.

As far as the expense of the Affordable New York Housing Program, or what used to be known as 421-a, the \$2.4 billion number, there are numbers -- you know, there are estimates all over the place as to the cost of it. So I -- rather than -- and that could be -- I'm not sure which specifically which piece that attaches to.

But I guess I would say that the principal cost of 421-a, of the Affordable

New York Housing Program, comes from two things: The existing rental base of buildings that were developed under this program going back, you know, at this point decades, because it's a -- well, I guess most of them would have rolled off by this point.

But buildings constructed in past years under

the old program that continue to get the tax

abatement benefit every year, and that builds

up, obviously.

And then more recently, the projected cost -- although because the program was paused, it never took them into effect, really. But the projected cost of the 421-a, what was then called the 421-a program, that was proposed really by the mayor of the city and adopted by the -- passed by the Legislature last year with this MOU, with the MOU requirement attached to it.

And as you know, the mayor came to the Legislature with a very, very substantial, really radical reworking of 421-a, making it citywide -- or citywide requirements, affordability requirements, three different options plus a small condo option. You know, all kinds of other changes. You know, we can have a different-spirited philosophical debate about whether we like it or not, but the fact is it was designed and I think effectively designed to develop much more affordable housing over the next several

1	years, and in return to provide a much more
2	extensive tax benefit to developers who
3	develop that housing as well as the rental
4	housing non-affordable rental housing
5	attached to it.

The estimated cost of that program was, you know, by some accounts in the billions of dollars, and I suspect that's the 2.4 that you're talking about.

But, you know, the program isn't up and running yet. I don't think we yet know how it's going to play out. It is certainly very, very expensive, much more expensive on an annual basis than the program that existed before, but it also develops a lot more affordable housing. And that's the trade that the mayor -- and, you know, we give due deference to him in terms of making his own strategy for the development of affordable housing using city resources. That was the decision that they made.

The program in front of you today has a very, very modest change from what he came forward with, and nothing like -- and that

1	\$2.4 billion really dates back to long
2	preexisting programs and then the mayor's
3	program.

EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I think, if I might just add, I agree we stand concerned about potential cuts at the federal level, certainly, and will work very closely with our congressional leadership in DC to maintain advocating that there aren't any cuts.

And I think I would just say in addition to what Jamie said that, you know, 421-a is critical for production of rental housing across New York City. And New York City, as you know, is a rental city. And to the extent that it provides affordable units between 421-a and the \$2 billion that is in the budget right now for housing, that it's not the time to sort of stop, it's the time to double down and make sure that we have all the tools we have to make sure we can provide as much affordable housing throughout the state as we can.

24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN NIOU: And we have

1	88,000 homeless folks. And, you know, I just
2	think that we're making other people rich
3	when then there's 88,000 homeless people
4	across the state, so
5	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
6	Supportive housing is extremely important to
7	us. We have a really robust supportive
8	housing pipeline. We have a large commitment
9	to it. We couldn't agree more, it's
10	incredibly important to us.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NIOU: I just feel we
12	could just do more there.
13	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Thank you.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NIOU: Thank you, Chair.
15	Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
17	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. Our
18	next speaker is Senator Savino.
19	SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you, Senator
20	Young.
21	I want to go back to the conversation
22	that you were having with Assemblyman
23	Kavanagh about the condition of the New York
24	City Housing Authority.

1	It's guaranteed every year, at some
2	point, some downstate City Council member or
3	citywide elected official is going to have a
4	press conference where they have a list of
5	the worst landlords and slumlords in New York
6	City, and they'll put their picture and all
7	the properties they own. And I'm always
8	surprised that on the top of that list is not
9	the City of New York and the New York City
10	Housing Authority.

The conditions in the NYCHA developments are absolutely deplorable. You know that. And as Assemblyman Kavanagh said, we put \$100 million in two years ago, there was supposed to be \$100 million last year, and I think we're proposing another \$100 million this year.

The concern is, though, that no matter how much money we seem to move through there, we still have dilapidated conditions in the majority of the developments. We have leaky roofs, we have windows that have -- they're on the waiting list for years to replace them. The hallways, the mold conditions -- a

1	few years ago, after Hurricane Sandy and we
2	saw what was happening with people who were
3	taking advantage of affected homeowners whose
4	homes were infested with mold, we decided to
5	create a licensing requirement for mold
6	removal in New York State.
7	Surprisingly, though, the New York
8	City Housing Authority was carved out of it,
9	because they didn't want to be held to that
10	standard. And so all of the mold remediation
11	that seems to take place in NYCHA
12	developments is substandard, and the mold
13	returns before the guy leaves gets off the
14	elevator. And that's usually because the
15	elevators aren't working anyway.
16	(Laughter.)
17	SENATOR SAVINO: So the conditions
18	there are absolutely deplorable.
19	So while I think it's important that
20	we provide the funding, I'm concerned about
21	what happens to the funding we're providing
22	and whether or not it's ever going to get to
23	the repairs and the backlogs that's
24	necessary.

1	So as you know, Jamie, the IDC, under
2	Senator Klein, we've proposed this idea about
3	a year or so ago about creating a new method
4	of doing the repair work at NYCHA, a repair
5	certificate program that would award
6	developers with zoning bonuses in areas
7	subject to upzoning, in exchange for
8	developers conducting the repairs directly at
9	NYCHA buildings, in an effort to move these
10	repairs faster.
11	We know NYCHA can't do it. We know
12	it, whether it's a shortage of staff, a
13	shortage of funding, or just the staff
14	doesn't have the training or the skills or
15	whatever is necessary. But in the meantime,
16	we have 179,000 tenants who are living in the
17	most deplorable conditions that we would
18	normally embarrass a landlord from, and how
19	do we allow this to continue? So why not
20	find an alternative way to do this?
21	So what would your thoughts be on a
22	repair certificate program?
23	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Let me say a
24	couple of things, if I could.

1	First of all, we'd be delighted to
2	look at the program. And, RuthAnne, I don't
3	know that you've had a chance to see it
4	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: No,
5	I have not.
6	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: But if it's given
7	to her, she will respond quickly to it.
8	Offline, obviously.
9	I we obviously share your concerns
10	about the condition of NYCHA housing.
11	It's there's no denying that there is a
12	tremendous capital need. We've already
13	talked about it in other contexts. And the
14	state has a commitment to trying to
15	address within reason, trying to
16	address within its capabilities, trying to
17	address that need.
18	I can't say anything in particular
19	about NYCHA's internal processes, because I
20	don't have, frankly, direct experience.
21	NYCHA's leadership is Shola Olatoye is a
22	colleague and a friend and somebody that we
23	work with closely who has tremendous energy
24	and vision, I think, and has made great

1	strides against all kinds of headwinds. And
2	so, you know, I think they've got tremendous
3	leadership, but they also have tremendous,
4	tremendous problems that way predate her.
5	We are absolutely interested in
6	working on anything with NYCHA that would
7	help her get her job done. And that is I
8	think she views that, what you just
9	addressed, as being job one, frankly, for
10	her.
11	I would say a couple of other things.
12	One, I will keep coming back to this, the
13	single most highest impact, putting aside
14	alternative you mentioned alternatives.
15	So whatever it is that the world has been
16	trying to do to fix NYCHA's problems has not
17	been working all that well. And you have to
18	keep pushing ahead for more federal subsidy,
19	you have to do everything you're doing and

The single smartest alternative program for fixing NYCHA and other public

try to do the blocking and tackling every

day, but alternatives are what is needed

here, I agree with you.

20

21

22

23

1	housing that's been developed and executed in
2	the last several years account is the RAD
3	program coming out of HUD. And it is the
4	it's the basis on which we did this
5	\$400 million deal that I talked about
6	earlier. We've done other similar RAD
7	projects throughout the state. It's
8	applicable to any public housing authority
9	that can apply and be certified.

And we have other projects elsewhere in New York State, public housing projects that we're working with actively, that look much like NYCHA in terms of their capital backlogs and the quality of their housing.

That's how we're going to make the kind of impact that we need to make for the people that live in public housing in this state and across the country.

There are other things we can do, but that's it right there. That's the only way to attract enough capital from all sources in hundreds of millions of dollars, which is the size that we need, to fix public housing in this country. And if we don't do it, if we

1	don't take every advantage of those programs,
2	it's a disgrace. So that's the smart
3	thinking that's already been done. We don't
4	have to make something up; that's what we've
5	got. And our agency, under RuthAnne's
6	leadership, is going to do everything we can
7	to execute more of those RAD projects
8	throughout the state.

I would also say that the quality of housing -- you know, preserving quality of housing is one of the couple of core missions of this agency. Not just construction of new affordable housing, but preservation. And again, RuthAnne comes with this very, very strong background in preservation, as you're going to find over the next few years here.

It's a problem not only in NYCHA but it's a problem throughout the state. I mean, Senator Young referred earlier to the quality of rural housing in this state. I have been, in my last two years, around the state to see rural housing -- USDA projects, for example, some of which she has in her district -- that look every bit as rundown and dilapidated as

anything you would see in NYCHA housing,
believe me, and are more exposed to the
elements in many cases because of where
they're located.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Our agency is committed to not only solving quality-of-life problems in NYCHA, but we have a commitment statewide. And that is not true, for example, of the City of New York's government, which obviously operates only in New York City. And that's why when we talk about NYCHA, we do tend to say, well, it's fundamentally, first and foremost, New York City's responsibility. We have a statewide mandate at this agency, and we don't have resources that are always sized up to meet that statewide mandate, we just have to meet everybody's needs throughout the state, 16 million people, which includes everything from the state of rural housing to the state of NYCHA and other public housing.

So, you know, all of that to say we're doing everything we can. I agree with you about the need for NYCHA, and we're going to try to meet it as best we can moving forward,

1	while	balancing	all	of	our	other
2	respor	nsibilities	3.			

SENATOR SAVINO: Well, I would just hope that we be open to alternative ways of solving this problem, because the current system does not work, as we know. If you speak to a tenant living in one of the NYCHA developments -- I have 14 of them in Coney Island, I have seven of them in Staten Island. If you speak to someone who's been on a waiting list for two years to have a minor repair done on their apartment, after a while it becomes a little bit -- you know, it's degrading to people's humanity.

And I think that we need to find new ways to spend this money -- \$100 million, \$100 million, and \$100 million, that's an incredible amount of money for an institution where it almost appears nothing is changing.

And it's not -- and I'm not suggesting in any way that the people who work there aren't dedicated. It's just a system that no longer works, and I think we need to find different ways to bring our public housing up to the

1	standard that it really should be. We should
2	not be shaming private landlords when we are,
3	in my opinion, probably the worst slumlord in
4	the country.
5	So I would hope that you would take
6	the opportunity to meet with us, take a look
7	at this repair certificate program as an
8	idea, as one of the tools in the box to take
9	NYCHA into the 21st century. And I look
10	forward to working with you on other issues.
11	Thank you.
12	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
13	Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
15	Assemblyman Cymbrowitz, first
16	go-around.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Thank you.
18	I'd like to go back to 421-a. We
19	talked about the fact you mentioned that
20	it's HPD that does the regulations of
21	abatement and exemption programs. Some of us
22	have been involved in that. However, the
23	proposed legislation removes the ability of
24	New York City to restrict, limit, or

1	condition the eligibility, scope, or the
2	amount of 421-a benefits. What's the
3	Executive's rationale for eliminating the
4	authority of New York City to the point where
5	they're not even part of the discussions?
6	And the second part of my question is
7	about the borders drawn for the new
8	affordability areas in Manhattan, Brooklyn,
9	and Queens. The Governor's proposed language
10	refers to eligible buildings within a
11	geographic boundary that refers to the
12	bulkheads of the East River. Can you explain
13	this concept further, why bulkheads, of all
14	things, were used, a mile from the bulkhead?
15	We're laughing about it, but the concern is
16	why would the Governor even propose this.
17	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So
18	to take your second question first, on the
19	bulkheads, the idea was to go back to a
20	geographic reference that had been in the
21	previous 421-a statute for some time. So
22	it's something that is already written and
23	familiar.
24	So in the old program, which you may

have been familiar with, where there was a geographic exclusion area where there was the requirement of affordability, the definition of that had always been off the Queens and Brooklyn bulkhead as a geographic reference.

So we maintained that same geographic reference to be consistent with people who are familiar with the program going back a decade.

The significance of the mile off of that in the Brooklyn-Queens waterfront is really meant to approximate high-opportunity, high-rent areas where it was felt that the fair wage could be accommodated, so as not to burden areas outside of that, where the rents are not high enough to support the wage.

To go to your first question about why

New York City does not have the ability to

override the program with more restrictive

covenants, the thinking on that was the

program that is proposed right now is largely

the program that the city put forward and was

approved by the Legislature in 2015, and that

the modifications that are made now are not

1	intended to be changed to allow the industry
2	to it's a little bit of a pencils-down, if
3	you will, to allow the industry to move
4	forward and know that should they start
5	construction or be buying sites and want to
6	start construction a year from now, that the
7	421-a program as approved is the 421-a
8	program that they will have and they will be
9	able to finance against 12 months from now
10	and 24 months from now.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: So if your
12	concern is that things will change a year
13	from now, the goalposts will be moved, why
14	not bring them to the table now?
15	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: I think it would
16	be inaccurate to say that the city wasn't at
17	the table, to be honest. Obviously, you
18	know, we RuthAnne and I both, and others
19	in our agency, have a close working
20	relationship with the housing agencies in the
21	city: HDC, HPD, and our colleagues all up
22	and down those agencies. They were you
23	know, we, as the discussions went on to try

to restart the program that, as RuthAnne

1	said, they really were responsible for
2	designing this restructured 421-a from 2015,
3	they were aware of the discussions. I mean,
4	I think sometimes, frankly, the whole world
5	was, thanks to the media.

But they certainly were aware of them, they've had the ability to weigh in at various times, their estimate of cost and other aspects of the program. I've heard the mayor comment on it. You know, frankly, I haven't seen too much other comment from the city government. But they've been certainly around at all points of the discussion.

And, you know, obviously this is now in front of the Legislature and they have the opportunity to work with the State

Legislature to effect any changes that you and they think are necessary, obviously, with -- you know, understanding that this was -- the small piece of this, the changes, was the result of a pretty difficult discussion between the parties that were outlined in the MOU that was in the legislation you passed last time. So I think

1	you'd want to be careful about making changes
2	that invalidate that deal because of the
3	importance of this program.

ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Well, we have to do that now since they weren't there to be part of it as part of the MOU. So we have to bring them in in order to understand what their opinion is, if they're going to be drawing the regulations and dealing with it specifically.

Excluded and the fact that -- and incorporating what has occurred at NYCHA, with the capital dollars and getting those dollars spent and now having DASNY involved in the middle of it -- is there a signal that's being sent by the Executive to

New York City? It seems as if anything that has to do with New York City is not going to bring in New York City to the table of what they think might be the best way of getting things done.

COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Assemblyman, I can only comment in the context of the

2	ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Well, that's
3	all I'm talking about. I'm only talking
4	about 421-a and NYCHA capital dollars. It
5	seems as if a signal is being sent by the
6	Executive to the city.
7	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: I would say no,
8	there is absolutely not a signal being sent.
9	It's actually it's quite explicit, I
10	think. In the case of the Affordable
11	New York Housing Program, again, the program
12	that is before you today, the three the
13	three you know, with all the details,
14	obviously but the three options plus a
15	fourth small condo option, the AMI bands that
16	were developed that are all different from
17	what the 421-a was in prior years, but it
18	merged in 2015, the extension of the tax
19	abatement to 25 years from what it had been
20	previously, which is more like eight and
21	10 years, the removal of the geographic
22	the GEA, the exclusion and all that all of
23	those details were developed really by
24	New York City. They were not developed by

1	the state or by the Executive. That program,
2	as you see it today, is basically a New York
3	City-developed and presumably in some
4	consultation with the Legislature, once you
5	were asked to pass it last time in 2015
6	that was a New York City program. The only
7	piece that comes from any other source other
8	than directly New York City is the change
9	that was necessary to restart the program,
10	which is the wages and some modest you
11	know, many years out extension of the
12	abatement, and then some increases in
13	affordability. That's it. And as I said
14	earlier, on those pieces we were certainly in
15	close contact with New York City.
16	So I think to say that that is that
17	this program in particular reflects any
18	message being sent to New York City is really
19	not accurate.
20	With respect to the NYCHA funding,
21	we've talked obviously about NYCHA before.
22	New York City has its own responsibility, its
23	own financial commitment that it's made to

NYCHA. I frankly think that, you know, the

1	Governor, by putting money in the by
2	committing \$100 million in past years, with
3	your cooperation and partnership, and
4	hopefully \$100 million in this year's budget
5	for the next five years, the Governor is
6	sending a signal, which is that the state
7	thinks it has some responsibility to NYCHA
8	and to other public housing.
9	You know, how that money gets spent
10	into NYCHA is an important but second-level
11	issue. The first order of business I think
12	is get some more money to NYCHA, which he is
13	proposing to do.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: But the
15	\$100 million, based on what is really needed
16	at NYCHA, is crumbs. And again, it's a
17	signal that's being sent to the city.
18	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Assemblyman, I
19	really I disagree. And that's that's
20	what we're doing here. But I do disagree.
21	It's not a signal to the city. The signal to
22	the city is the signal to the city in
23	terms of the funding that's being allocated
24	is the state has a commitment to NYCHA. It

1	also has a commitment to other public housing
2	statewide. And as I said earlier, the
3	budget the State Budget and the housing
4	piece of the State Budget, while this is the
5	single largest commitment to public
6	housing to affordable housing that has
7	ever been made in the history of the state
8	over a long period of time, \$20 billion,
9	\$2.5 billion now for five years in capital
10	subsidy, it's enormous it doesn't come
11	close to meeting the affordable housing
12	crisis needs across the state that pop up in
13	every possible form.

You know, we talked about rural housing, we talked about mobile housing, we've talked about homeownership issues, which I'm sure somebody will bring up later, which we'll be delighted to talk about. This state has an affordable housing crisis, and it manifests itself every day in all kinds of different ways, not just in public housing in New York City. There is a -- I agree with you, a tremendous need and a very, very high visibility, very highly visible because of

1	where it is and the depth of the need and the
2	long-term the long the duration of
3	which you know, the failure, I would say,
4	of the world to fix it adequately, but it's
5	not the only need.
6	And what we're doing in this budget,
7	we think, is contributing to a solution as
8	well as we also have a deep commitment to
9	finding other ways outside of the budget
10	for example, our commitment to tax-exempt
11	resources to make even bigger impacts on
12	NYCHA's needs. That's what I would say
13	that's the signal.
14	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
15	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Thank you.
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
17	Our next speaker is Senator
18	Montgomery.
19	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Thank you,
20	Madam Chair.
21	Good morning.
22	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Good morning,
23	ma'am.
24	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: And I must say

Τ	that I have to give a shout-out to someone
2	who's on your staff who would have been
3	sitting here were she not stolen by you,
4	Senator former Senator Hassell-Thompson.
5	So welcome, Senator. Sorry you're not here,
6	but glad you're there. I forgive you.
7	(Laughter.)
8	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Let me just try
9	to go through a couple of the issues that I
10	want to raise with you before my time runs
11	out, because you don't have a time limit but
12	I do.
13	So first of all, you know that my
14	district I don't know if you do know my
15	district.
16	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: I do.
17	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: You do. And
18	we're the epicenter of gentrification, in a
19	very large sense. So the issue of housing,
20	affordable housing, is severe. And we also
21	have, along with that, an issue of supportive
22	affordable housing. So my questions are
23	related to the supportive housing area that

you plan in particular.

1	Can you give us an idea of how many
2	units you intend to bring on, currently and
3	in the next year or so, of supportive housing
4	in New York City in particular? And in
5	well, in the city, but certainly in areas
6	like mine, like I represent.
7	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
8	Sure. So the Governor laid out last January
9	a commitment of 6,000 units of supportive
10	housing over the next five years. We are
11	thrilled to say that we have awarded funds
12	for the first 1200, so the first year's
13	commitment against that 6,000, making us sort
14	of on time and on target for that.
15	And we have behind that a robust
16	pipeline of supportive housing units that we
17	will continue over the course of the next
18	years to meet that 6,000-unit goal.
19	In terms of the split, we would
20	suspect that the you know, the our
21	development tracks the demand. The majority
22	of the demand is in New York City, although
23	there certainly is supportive housing need
24	upstate as well. So we would expect the

1	majority of the 1200 each year to be in
2	New York City. But we have a as I said,
3	we have a very there's a great need
4	upstate too, and we have a lot of wonderful
5	nonprofit and for-profit partners who develop
6	that housing across the state.
7	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: And will the
8	majority of that be done by the
9	not-for-profit community in particular?
10	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
11	Traditionally, the not-for-profit community
12	has been sort of the leader in developing
13	supportive housing. We also have some
14	partners who are for-profits who are very
15	strong who sometimes develop on their own.
16	Oftentimes they're partnering with
17	nonprofits, who may prefer to just provide
18	services and don't necessarily want to own
19	and operate real estate.
20	So it's a mix, but I think you'll find
21	that the majority of the historically, the
22	majority of the development of supportive
23	housing has been nonprofit-driven.
24	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: I'm glad to hear

1 that	. And	hopefully	that	will	continue
--------	-------	-----------	------	------	----------

2 With regard to two other issues that I 3 want to raise with you, I don't know if you're familiar with the Northwell report, 4 5 which is really related to a healthcare delivery system and improving that in north 6 7 Brooklyn. But part of that -- their report and their recommendations have to do with 8 housing as well. And so I've asked the 9 10 former -- I think the OMH commissioner the 11 same question, and I'm asking you, to what 12 extent you're planning to coordinate your 13 efforts and your plans with OMH, OPWDD, 14 Health, to make sure that their plan is 15 complementary to whatever you're planning, 16 especially as it relates to supportive housing. Because that's apparently what --17 18 mostly what they will be doing. 19 EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: 20 did read the Northwell report, although I 21 only actually read the sections that related 22 to housing, not the sections that related to health and -- it was a very long, extensive 23

24

report.

1	I'm happy to say we are working very
2	closely on an interagency task force around
3	housing, related to the Northwell report and
4	the hospital transformation in central
5	Brooklyn. So we're very well coordinated.
6	And where there's housing opportunities, we
7	will jump right into those and provide the
8	appropriate mix and type of housing that's
9	suitable, depending on the different
10	locations.
11	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: So I want to take
12	this opportunity to invite you to my district
13	so that you can see some of the projects that
14	you've done in prior years and how it works.
15	And of course the not-for-profit housing
16	communities have an extremely difficult
17	problem trying to coordinate different
18	agencies' requirements and timelines and
19	regulations, et cetera. So that's going to
20	be very, very important when it comes to

Just one last question on NYCHA. Now,

hopefully that can happen.

21

22

23

utilizing your agency as a lead agency in

helping us to develop that housing. So

1	the last time that we did \$100 million for
2	NYCHA that the state allocated, I consider
3	that having been sprinkled like confetti. So
4	I want to hear from you what of that
5	\$100 million was used for structural
6	improvements. Because I represent for
7	instance, one of the communities that I
8	represent is Red Hook, and the damage from
9	the floods were extensive. But also, just
10	generally speaking, the projects in my
11	district are in great disrepair, as other
12	Senators have pointed out.
13	And so I'm just curious about, one,
14	what happened to the \$100 million, where it
15	went, what did it do to help improve the
16	situation, the structural situations? And
17	what are your plans for the next \$100 million
18	as it relates to addressing the needs
19	structurally and not cosmetically only?
20	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I
21	can take a piece of that and Jamie can fill
22	in what I miss.
23	On the first 100, 50 million that has
24	gone to DASNY of the first 100 is for

T	projects that are underway. And the
2	anticipation is that those projects will be
3	completed within the year. I don't have a
4	list with me of what those actual projects
5	are, but we would be happy to get that
6	information to you.
7	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: I would like to
8	see that. I would like that.
9	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: In
10	terms of the second hundred, there is no
11	predetermined notion yet as to what that
12	funding would go towards. I think that's
13	still open to conversation. And to the
14	extent that it's important to let it be for
15	structural purposes in your community, I
16	think we'd be happy to have that
17	conversation.
18	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Well, I've spent
19	so much time with the NYCHA administration,
20	and they were as shocked as I was to see that
21	we were not putting the major part of that
22	funding on absolutely structural repair
23	needs. And so I'm hopeful that this will be
24	very different as we move forward. You talk

1	about preserving 50,000 units. Obviously,
2	NYCHA is the largest stock of absolutely
3	affordable housing, so we really need to and
4	have to pay special attention to it. So I
5	thank you for that.
6	And with that, I return my time.
7	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, Senator.
8	Mr. Chairman?
9	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
10	Mr. Mosley.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN MOSLEY: Thank you,
12	Mr. Chair.
13	Just two quick follow-up questions.
14	In regards to the 2016 numbers that you
15	talked about in your testimony, Mr. Chair, do
16	you actually know the number that you created
17	and preserved in percentages, in terms of the
18	AMI levels of what you created and what you
19	preserved in 2016?
20	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I
21	don't have that in my head, but we can
22	certainly follow up I'll follow up with
23	you on that.

ASSEMBLYMAN MOSLEY: And then in lieu

1	of the new proposal you have for Affordable
2	New York and the next five years, the number
3	of additional affordable housing units, and
4	in lieu of what Senator Young noted in terms
5	of staffing ratios, will TPU be expanded in
6	an effort to anticipate that growth in
7	housing stock throughout New York State?
8	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: That's a great
9	question.
10	We have no plans to expand TPU
11	staffing at the moment. As I think RuthAnne
12	said earlier, the funding request in this
13	year's budget is equal to funding for TPU in
14	past years.
15	Look, the need is obviously very, very
16	high. Because of the magnitude of the number
17	of apartments that we oversee 900,000 or
18	so rent-stabilized apartments throughout
19	New York City that we're responsible for
20	we could, you know, quadruple the size of any
21	of our pieces of our agency and still not
22	have enough people, in theory.

On the other hand, we work very, very

smart, we work very, very efficiently. TPU,

23

1		just like the rest of the agency, does a
2		tremendous volume of work with very, very few
3		people. They leverage themselves. So, you
4		know, saying that TPU has X number of people,
5		\$4.5 million, is sort of deceptive, because
6	;	TPU is part of, for example, a task force
7		that includes other agencies from New York
8		City, the AG's office and others, with whom
9	1	they work in close partnership all the time.
10		And so they multiply their effort many, many
11		times to do their work.

And then of course there's the rest of our agency -- ORA, the Office of Rent

Administration, in particular, which is on point to the day-to-day responsibilities of overseeing the rent-stabilized portfolio.

They have their own investigative unit, which is not duplicative. It works in somewhat different ways. And then they do other work that ends up often with positive outcomes, if appropriate, for tenants of all kinds.

So, you know, the work -- rather than saying TPU should be larger or smaller or whatever, it's really the outcomes that we're

1	focusing	on.

2	ASSEMBLYMAN MOSLEY: Right. And
3	that's why I wanted because I know you
4	want to talk about it's your manpower, and
5	obviously with technology and innovation we
6	can always find ways to do our job more
7	efficiently.

year during the joint budget hearing, that we need to find better ways to do our job more efficiently. And it doesn't necessarily mean expanding your units. I mean, we have all the tools available to us. I think that we should be looking in a more innovative way in terms of how we can make TPU more efficient. It just doesn't mean just adding manpower.

So the last question I have,

Mitchell-Lama -- I know a couple of my

colleagues had alluded to some of the

questions. Have we created any new incentive

programs to keep Mitchell-Lama programs in

the program? I know that there seems to be,

given the marketplace -- and I overlap

Senator Montgomery's district, where we are

1	+ h ~	aniaantar	\sim f	+ h a	aniaantar
⊥	LHE	ebicenter	OT	the	epicenter.

2	Are there any other new plans in the
3	future in terms of creating further
4	incentives for people to stay in the program?
5	We're talking about preserving real
6	affordable and decent housing for
7	working-class and middle-class men and women.
8	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I
9	would say a couple of things. One, as part
10	of the \$2 billion housing plan, we are
11	continuing funding for outside of just the
12	UDC portfolio for all the other
13	Mitchell-Lamas to have financing tools that's
14	attractive to owners who would come in and
15	utilize our financing tools to preserve their
16	building and make that a smart economic
17	choice for them. So I think having those
18	funds in the budget is critical to that.
19	And I think, secondary to that, we
20	would be happy to sit down and think about
21	strategies that might be relevant to the
22	specific Mitchell-Lamas in your district that
23	we need to get a little more creative, a
24	little more thoughtful about.

Τ	ASSEMBLIMAN MOSLEY: That is I know
2	the Comptroller issued a report in terms of
3	land-banking of New York City. Obviously
4	New York City has the discretion to not be
5	land-banked. We have a number of vacant lots
6	throughout the five boroughs. Obviously
7	there's a discrepancy between the city and
8	what the Comptroller is reporting.
9	But if we can do something in terms of
10	creating a new paradigm in terms of making
11	some amendments to the current rules and
12	regulations as relates to land-banking, in an
13	effort for us to utilize those open lots so
14	that we can create real affordable housing,
15	100 percent affordable housing, I would
16	greatly appreciate that conversation going
17	forward.
18	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
19	We'd be happy to work on that with you.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN MOSLEY: Thank you,
21	soon-to-be-Commissioner.
22	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
23	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
24	I'm up again, so thank you for your

1	passion, Jamie, for upstate rural housing and
2	addressing those needs. And I kind of wanted
3	to follow up on that.
4	As you know, there are affordable
5	housing programs that benefit upstate,
6	there's the Rural Rental Assistance Program,
7	there's some Mitchell-Lama, we have our Rural
8	Preservation and our Neighborhood
9	Preservation Companies. We have HUD,
10	Section 8 for low-income, 202 for seniors, we
11	have USDA, we have low-income tax credits and
12	so on. We have the CIF, which is
13	particularly near and dear to my heart
14	because that's the rural and urban fund.
15	But as you know, the vast majority of
16	our affordable housing upstate is in the form
17	of manufactured housing or mobile homes. And
18	over the years I've dealt with many issues

our affordable housing upstate is in the form
of manufactured housing or mobile homes. And
over the years I've dealt with many issues
related to mobile homes and mobile home
parks. I've walked into a trailer -of o-year-old trailer, 80-year-old woman living
there -- it's rusted out, ceiling caving in.
We've had problems with black mold, we've had
issues with failed septic systems and raw

1	sewage actually surrounding the homes in a
2	mobile home park. We've had issues with
3	landlords that have doubled the assessment on
4	a trailer or mobile home so that they could
5	collect more taxes and keep it themselves.
6	So we've had a lot of issues over the years.
7	And as you know, HCR has the
8	responsibility for ensuring compliance of
9	mobile home parks under the Real Property
10	Law. So I had a few questions about that.
11	What efforts do you undertake to
12	educate and protect the rights of residents
13	in these parks?
14	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So
15	I can speak about a couple of those things.
16	I'm happy to say that we recently,
17	under the leadership of now not acting, our
18	general counsel, Linda Manley, have taken
19	steps to actually do a little better
20	coordination internally. As you mentioned,
21	HCR has oversight of licensing. We also
22	grant and provide funding for more mobile
23	homes and manufactured homes. I'd be happy
24	to talk about our pilot program that we

1	launched last year on that. And there's also
2	been some legislation at the federal level
3	around financing for individual homeowners of
4	manufactured and mobile homes.

So under Linda's leadership, we're looking to combine our what had been somewhat disparate forces internally to make sure that we have a really comprehensive approach to manufactured and mobile home parks across the state. It's obviously a critical, critical resource for housing for so many people.

And if I might for a minute just talk about the pilot program that we launched last year, which we were really thrilled to receive funding for. Under Senator Little's leadership, we received \$2 million to launch the pilot program. We received \$6 million worth of applications against the \$2 million, and we will be announcing those in April and look to roll out that program more consistently. It is in our \$2.5 billion budget proposal to make it a five-year program so that we can give people the ability to come in year after year --

1	additional people to come in each year and
2	take advantage of it.
3	So we are sort of taking a new, fresh,
4	hopefully improved and more coordinated
5	approach to making sure that we address the
6	needs of the mobile and manufactured home
7	communities across the state.
8	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: We're very excited
9	about that program too, so that's great to
10	hear. We would like to see it expanded.
11	But back to the mobile home parks, how
12	many staff do you have within HCR that are
13	devoted to that effort of compliance and
14	enforcement?
15	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I
16	don't know the exact staffing number, but I'd
17	be happy to get back to you with that.
18	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: That would be
19	great. Now, as you know, under the Real
20	Property Law the agency has the ability to
21	bring court actions on enforcement. Do you
22	know how many court actions have been brought
23	over the past like, say, seven years?
24	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I

1	can	get	you	that	numk	er	as	well		Γ	don't	-
2	have	it	with	me,	but	Ι	can	get	that	- -		

CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: And I'd also like to see how much has been collected in fines and penalties and what HCR has actually done with those resources. That would be helpful to know.

But would you characterize it as, you know, you're responsive, that you're kind of reactive to different complaints that are brought? Is that how the system works?

EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We,

I would say, have probably traditionally been responsive. But to the extent that in the last couple of months we have sort of pulled together this more comprehensive approach, I think it would probably give us the opportunity to be more proactive. And I think we would be thrilled to sort of pull sort of all the questions that you just asked, pull together all the answers and come sit and have a sort of comprehensive discussion on that. We would appreciate that opportunity.

1	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So it hasn't really
2	been a proactive system, though; right?
3	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I
4	don't know that I can speak to it so well to
5	answer that. So we will get that answer to
6	you. But suffice to say we will.
7	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Because sometimes,
8	you know and some of these issues cross
9	over to the local departments of health. You
10	know, for example, the raw sewage, I think it
11	would be both. But I think that it's been a
12	more reactive system. And I know that you
13	have a proactive system with the TPU in
14	New York City. So it just seems like there's
15	unequal treatment and protection for tenants
16	across the state, so I just wanted to point
17	that out.
18	Just switching gears to the
19	Neighborhood and Rural Preservation
20	Companies, I had just a couple of questions.
21	Thankfully we don't have to fight over the
22	funding this year, which is good. But have
23	any new Neighborhood or Rural Preservation
24	Companies been created in the last three

1	years?
2	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
3	Been created?
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Created, yeah.
5	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Or put into the
6	program, funded with the program.
7	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Right.
8	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: We would have to
9	come back to you about that. I think that
10	there have been I think we put a few new
11	through with last year's remember, you all
12	increased the funding for the program a
13	couple of years ago with the settlement
14	money, and I think we were able to add some
15	new programs in at that time, sometimes to
16	replace as you know, there were some
17	programs that went out of
18	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I know I saddle you
19	with a laundry list at these hearings, but if
20	you could get me that information, that would
21	be helpful.
22	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Absolutely. No
23	problem.
24	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: And have there been

1	any mergers or have any mergers been
2	requested of NPCs or RPCs? Because there was
3	a discussion over the past several years
4	about mergers. So I was curious about that,
5	anything you
6	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Again, we'll come
7	back to you with an answer on that.
8	As you know, mergers between
9	agencies any kind of merger is always
10	complicated, difficult to pull off. But that
11	was one of the goals, I think, of some of the
12	additional funding. There was an additional,
13	I think, million dollars or so that was in
14	place to try to facilitate that, so we'll
15	find out.
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: It would be helpful
17	to have any information on the disbursement
18	of grants, so that would be great.
19	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Absolutely.
20	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I know that HCR
21	gets revenue from a variety of sources, but
22	part of that includes fees. And could you
23	give a breakdown of fees that you collect and
24	the revenues generated? Do you know those

1	off the top of your head? Because I have a
2	list, but
3	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I
4	don't know them off the top of my head, no.
5	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Neither one of us
6	knows.
7	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: All right. So what
8	I'm talking about, just to be a little bit
9	clearer, so you have mortgage servicing fees,
10	correct? You have application and monitoring
11	fees collected from developers of housing
12	projects, right? You have payments by
13	New York City to finance a portion of the
L 4	division's rent-regulation activities. And
15	you have administrative fees collected from
16	HUD in connection with the state's
17	administration of the federal Section 8
18	rental subsidy program. So those are all
19	fees that HCR collects.
20	And part of them you know, so some
21	are existing and then you have these
22	opportunities now, with this proposal for the
23	\$2 billion in capital funding and capital

projects down the road, and there are fees

1	that	are	ass	sociated	with	those	projects	that
2	HCR v	will	be	collecti	lng.			

So I had a question about how much in fees do you anticipate, and what do you do with the fees once you get those? Because with these new projects especially, there's going to be a real infusion of new revenue to HCR. Do you have any estimate or any kind of details on that?

 ${\tt EX.\ DEP.\ COMMISSIONER\ VISNAUSKAS:\ We}$ can follow up with you with more specifics on that.

I think I would say generally where there are excess revenue that's within -- sort of within HFA as a result of collecting some of the fees that you mentioned, the majority of that goes back out as subsidy into deals. So it's -- you know, it goes back to the same purpose as the rest of the agency is here to serve.

But we'd be happy to follow up with you on specific fees.

23 COMMISSIONER RUBIN: If I could add
24 just one -- that's exactly right. I would

1	add one other comment, which is that, you
2	know, one of the other things that we do
3	with call it excess revenue, whatever you
4	want to call it, is try to maintain our
5	interest rates, whether in a single-family
6	program or a multifamily program, at levels
7	that facilitate the development of truly
8	affordable housing.
9	And we're only able to do that because
10	we have not only capital funding every year
11	and operating funding, but also other revenue
12	from other sources. And we're able to help
13	pump additional subsidy, in effect, which
14	is you could think of as being a forgone
15	fee, effectively, so.
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Is there any kind
17	of difference between the application and
18	monitoring fees for developers of huge
19	projects and small projects? It's all the
20	<pre>same amount; right?</pre>
21	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: I think it's
22	largely the same. I don't think we change it
23	on the basis of we will have to come back

24 to you, I'm sorry.

Ţ	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I believe it is the
2	same, like a \$5,000 fee, for example, for a
3	developer.
4	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
5	Mm-hmm. Right.
6	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: But that's for
7	these enormous projects that we see, and it's
8	also for some of the smaller projects
9	upstate. Has the agency ever considered
10	maybe doing a sliding scale or something like
11	that? Just because sometimes it's very
12	difficult for a small guy to be able to
13	afford all of the fees associated with a
14	project, and it may preclude them from moving
15	forward in some cases.
16	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
17	We'd be happy to look at that a little
18	closer.
19	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: That would be
20	great.
21	I wanted to ask about the value of the
22	tax credits. And as you know, there are a
23	lot of things going on in Washington right
24	now and the speculation alone about

1	whether yes, the low-income tax credits.
2	Yes, thank you for clarifying. But there's a
3	lot of speculation with the low-income tax
4	credits. And actually right now it's driving
5	the value of the tax credits down. And I
6	guess one of the questions I had was, what is
7	the state's strategy for managing potential
8	variations in the tax credit pricing?
9	Because we know that those tax credits are
10	critically important to developing affordable
11	housing.
12	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
13	It's a great question. It's an issue that is
14	of great concern to us and which we talk
15	about very often. In fact, we pull together
16	our partners in this industry and the banks,
17	the syndicators, the developers, and talk
18	about what people are seeing in the market,
19	what we might see in the next three months,
20	what we might see in the next nine months,
21	when people expect tax reform, where they
22	expect it's going to land.

As you know, it's all speculation to date and we're trying to sort of gauge the

1	right way to act in an uncertain market. We
2	know that the pricing will go down, and we
3	are working with our partners to find ways to
4	absorb that, what will basically create gaps
5	in our projects, whether that would be
6	capital from the state or other resources
7	that we might bring to bear into the
8	projects.
9	But it certainly will be a challenging
10	time for us as we go forward, and we're very
11	cognizant of that.
12	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: You know, just to
13	add to that, to make the obvious point, the
14	fact that, as you obviously are aware,
15	fluctuations in the tax credit pricing leave
16	potential gaps in projects, and sometime
17	those gaps are quite large, millions of
18	dollars
19	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Is the state going
20	to finance that if there are
21	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: I'm sorry?
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Would the state
23	finance gaps, Jamie, or how would that work?
24	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Well, what we do

T	is we work with developers to try and either
2	find alternative sources or push them,
3	frankly, to what they call a value engineer,
4	which is basically to cut their costs. But
5	in the end, if there's a gap, the state is
6	committed to developing affordable housing,
7	and we will step in with subsidy. But it
8	highlights the importance of the budget
9	proposal that we're all here to talk about,
10	which is the need for capital subsidy is only
11	going up because of, among other things, the
12	fluctuation, the downward movement in tax
13	credit pricing, which I don't see any change
14	in for a while.
15	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Something we'll
16	have to keep an eye on, for sure.
17	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Exactly, Senator.
18	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Just with senior
19	housing, when you're doing the scoring on
20	affordable housing projects, it seems to me
21	that senior housing is in the same pool as
22	multifamily housing; is that correct?
23	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
24	Yeah, we've not had a dedicated program for

1	senior housing, although we are proposing
2	that in the current budget you have before
3	you.

CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: That would be great. Because right now what happens, it seems to me that there's a scoring process where multifamily -- there's a real focus on multifamily housing, and they automatically get five extra points. And what that has done is maybe reduced the number of senior housing projects. But we know that the population of seniors is exploding. I have a figure here, the Social Security Administration estimates that 10,000 Americans are turning 65 every day. And I'm not there yet but, you know, it's going to happen sometime in the near future.

So I'd like to know more about the senior housing that you plan in the budget, because I think that's really crucial to a lot of New Yorkers. And have you considered reviewing your regulatory and scoring documents to address the need for senior housing? Or do you think that your new

1	program will address those issues?
2	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I
3	mean, our thought had been that once we have
4	sort of a dedicated resource that would sort
5	of thematically, right, would give sort of a
6	five-year consistent source of funding for
7	developers of senior housing to know they can
8	come back year to year and access that
9	subsidy, would sort of provide the industry
10	what it needs.
11	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
12	I'll come back. I have a couple more
13	questions.
14	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
15	You know, 43 years ago I was elected,
16	and one of the reasons I was elected was
17	because of the housing in my neighborhood.
18	And they were the Bronx, as you
19	remember no, you weren't even born.
20	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: No, no. Yes, we
21	were there.
22	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
23	Just.
24	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Just.

1	(T a)
T	(Laughter.)

CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Houses were being
abandoned, landlords were walking away from
projects. And I worked with some other young
men at the time I was young and we did
a lot of working, and then we found out that
there was a problem. The landlords had
agents, the people didn't. And I said, you
know, maybe we can put together a program
that will give them agents to defend
themselves against the landlord.
And that's how the Neighborhood
Preservation Program became what it is today.
Because three years in, I realized I was

Preservation Program became what it is today.

Because three years in, I realized I was spending more time in the city than up here in Albany because of the work. And we put this program together, and the governor then approved it, and it's grown to where it is.

I've been very happy to see the things that have happened. And then you went to the rural program, which made it statewide, which is functioning. But I see here it appears that \$700,000 have been cut out of the program this year. Is there a reason for

1	that? And am I right on the numbers?
2	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: The
3	number I believe for this year is that
4	there's about \$18 million in the budget,
5	thanks to the JPMorgan settlement dollars
6	that's
7	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: It's 18.2 million.
8	But it's still 700,000 short.
9	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
10	Yeah, I'd have to get back to you on the
11	700,000, on the nature of that reduction.
12	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: It would have been
13	nicer if it was in.
14	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
15	Understood.
16	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: All right. Thank
17	you.
18	Let's see. We've been joined by
19	Assemblywoman Carmen De La Rosa.
20	And to close on our side, Assemblyman
21	Kavanagh.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN KAVANAGH: So I guess I'm
23	the only thing between you and your departure
24	after several hours. Just a couple of quick

1	questions to follow up.
2	First of all, toward the end of your
3	comments when we were discussing this before,
4	you said that you would prefer to do
5	approaches like the RAD program that you did
6	quite successfully, jointly with NYCHA and
7	other agencies. You're not asserting that
8	RAD is a substitute for a robust, substantial
9	capital budget for NYCHA; right?
10	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Absolutely not.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN KAVANAGH: Okay. So they
12	need the kind of capital funding that they
13	can direct to modest repairs, major repairs
14	in buildings across their portfolio; right?
15	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: For sure. And
16	that's what they've advocated for in front of
17	New York City's government, I think
18	ASSEMBLYMAN KAVANAGH: Okay. And you
19	said that the state you acknowledge the
20	state has some responsibility for NYCHA, and

Executive's commitment to NYCHA.

COMMISSIONER RUBIN: I would put it a

given to DASNY expresses that, the

21

22

you think that the \$100 million that we've

1	different way. I would say that the Governor
2	recognizes that NYCHA has tremendous needs
3	and decided as a result of that to allocate a
4	certain amount of money to NYCHA for capital.

ASSEMBLYMAN KAVANAGH: Does the state, which created the New York City Housing

Authority by statute and has 2 to 3 percent of the entire state population living there, have some obligation to ensure that these buildings meet minimal standards of decency that Senator Savino was talking about?

COMMISSIONER RUBIN: So, Assemblyman, you know better than I, I'm sure, that the responsibility, financial and otherwise, regulatory, for NYCHA has gone through a number of changes over the last number of years, most recently as a result of the ARRA, the federal stimulus program that was put in place by President Obama.

You know, the state does not have an actual responsibility to provide funding to NYCHA anymore, and there's a reason for that, because of the changes that it's gone through. I'm not suggesting that NYCHA is

1	adequately funded, because I think we would
2	all agree that

ASSEMBLYMAN KAVANAGH: I'm not asking whether you have a legal responsibility. We don't have a legal responsibility to provide, you know, any number of services we provide to the general public.

OUT -- we can -- perhaps we can talk -- I can come back to you with a legal response as to the state's oversight responsibilities, because we have -- I mean, the state as a whole has responsibilities that go well beyond housing. But with respect specifically to the area that I'm here to talk about, housing funding, we don't have any housing funding responsibility to NYCHA.

ASSEMBLYMAN KAVANAGH: Again, I'm -there's a transcript of this hearing that we
can all look at. I believe you said before
that the Governor recognizes he has a
responsibility for NYCHA and that's why we
put \$100 million in. You know, but we'll -we can leave it there for now.

1	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Okay. Well, I am
2	now restating that to say that the Governor
3	recognizes that there is a need for NYCHA,
4	that NYCHA has extensive capital needs, and
5	that in this year's budget and two years
6	ago's budget he attempted to make a
7	contribution to those capital needs, both
8	through the capital and then through the RAD
9	program that we executed earlier last year.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN KAVANAGH: And just we
11	danced around the issue of who should be
12	doing this money. I don't think we dealt
13	with this directly. But the current NYCHA
14	proposal from the Executive includes a
15	provision that would require previously
16	appropriated NYCHA funds to be fully
17	obligated before the new appropriation can be
18	obligated, the new \$100 million you're
19	speaking about.
20	Is there any other program in the
21	Governor's proposal that has that kind of
22	requirement that the last \$100 million be
23	fully obligated before anybody can touch the
24	new \$100 million?

1	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
2	We'd have to check on that. I'm not sure.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN KAVANAGH: Do you
4	acknowledge that that's the that I'm
5	accurately stating the provision with respect
6	to NYCHA?
7	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: I don't have the
8	legislative language in front of me,
9	Assemblyman, but I assume that it is correct.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN KAVANAGH: Okay. So
11	again, we would I'd like to follow up on
12	that. If there's any other program on which
13	your would you agree that that's an
14	unusual requirement in a capital budget for
15	housing?
16	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Not necessarily.
17	I would agree that it we will come back to
18	you as to whether there are any other
19	programs within our ambit that have the same
20	requirement. And that's as far as I would
21	go.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN KAVANAGH: Can you can
23	you you've had a lot of experience with
24	this over the years. You're telling me

1	you're not it's not it would not be
2	you would not consider it unusual to have
3	that kind of requirement in a capital budget,
4	that the last capital budget be fully spent
5	before you touch the new capital dollars?
6	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: I really
7	without understanding whether we have any
8	other because my answer would change
9	completely if there were other programs
10	within our ambit
11	ASSEMBLYMAN KAVANAGH: I'm not asking
12	prospectively or about programs that you're
13	not aware of. I'm asking in your experience,
14	with lots of experience with capital budgets
15	for housing and other things, is this
16	something that you're aware you've done with
17	capital budgets in the past?
18	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Let me say it
19	differently. Capital programs have different
20	requirements based on what they're intended
21	to do, what the capital goes to. They are
22	not cookie cutter. They don't all look the
23	same. They have different requirements.
24	Some as you know, some are you know,

1	have design-build requirement this
2	is not again, this is outside of my ambit
3	I'm just telling you, outside of, you know,
4	some have design-build capabilities, some
5	don't. You know, if you could stamp out a
6	capital program design that was the same for
7	the entire state for every agency and every
8	capital program that the state funds, perhaps
9	we ought to do that. But that's not what we
10	have.
11	So there are differences between them
12	This happens to be one of the differences.

So there are differences between them.

This happens to be one of the differences.

You know, why it's in there, I wouldn't want to speculate about. But it is different from other programs, but it isn't necessarily unusual in that sense, just --

ASSEMBLYMAN KAVANAGH: Okay. Well, I recognize you're speaking on behalf of the full Executive on this topic. But it is the Executive's proposal this time. So I'd like a clear answer of why that is desirable and whether there are other programs. And I recognize that you don't have that answer today.

1	One more quick question. 421-a, as
2	previously legislated and signed into law by
3	the Governor, was part of a comprehensive
4	deal a couple of years ago that included a
5	deal on rent regulation and many other
6	things, in the way that we do business in
7	Albany. The provision was that it would go
8	into effect and then it would be suspended
9	unless the real estate industry
10	represented by REBNY and the trade unions
11	could come to a deal on wages. It was
12	suspended when that MOU was not put in place.
13	Is it your understanding that the law
14	is still the law didn't expire at that
15	point, right? It's merely suspended by the
16	lack of an MOU. Is it not the case that the
17	real estate industry, if they could come to a
18	deal under existing law with their workforce
19	on wages, they could continue the 421-a
20	program would continue as enacted by this
21	Legislature and the Governor?
22	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: I think that
23	we I'd have to get you unfortunately, I
24	stopped being an actual lawyer several years

ago when I stopped paying my bar fees, which

2	was a great relief to me.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN KAVANAGH: Three hundred
4	dollars.
5	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: I was a terrible
6	lawyer. So I would have to come back to you
7	with an actual legal response as opposed to
8	simply saying what I guessed.
9	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. Thank
10	you.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN KAVANAGH: Okay, if you
12	could just because you did testify before
13	that this program was necessary, the program
14	proposed by the Governor is necessary to
15	restart the program. I would assert that
16	it's not necessary to restart the program,
17	it's just that the deal we made was not

1

18

19

20

21

22

But thank you, and I realize I'm way over time.

deemed to be sufficiently generous to the

real estate industry to cover living wages

for -- or prevailing wages for their workers.

23 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.

24 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Krueger.

1	SENATOR KRUEGER: Hi. It's amazing, I
2	only got through one question the first five
3	minutes. So we have several hours.
4	You just answered a question, I
5	believe correctly, that the risk to the
6	low-income housing tax credit means there
7	will be more pressure on the state and other
8	members of government to come up with the
9	capital money and subsidy money needed to
10	build affordable housing. And I agree
11	completely.
12	So if today, in 2017, knowing what we
13	know I came to you and said, for every \$100
14	you give me, I'll give you \$11 of affordable
15	housing, do you think that would be a good
16	deal?
17	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: (No response.)
18	SENATOR KRUEGER: Would that pass
19	muster? That's in fact what the incoming
20	commissioner's expertise has been all these
21	years.
22	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: So our math
23	skills I think my math skills and the
24	incoming commissioner's math skills are

1	probably on a par, and I would say that that
2	would be a the hypothetical deal would not
3	be one that we would think would be
4	appropriate.
5	SENATOR KRUEGER: And if I came to you
6	with a deal and said, Okay, it was \$11 worth
7	of housing for \$100, but now it's going to be
8	less, do you think that would be a better
9	deal? You already said that wouldn't be a
10	good deal.
11	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: It doesn't sound
12	like it.
13	SENATOR KRUEGER: All right. So the
14	Governor's new affordable housing plan, based
15	on several analysts' independent analyses,
16	show that the old 421-a was getting us, for
17	\$1.1 billion a year in lost tax revenue,
18	154,000 residential units, of which only
19	12,700 were affordable. That's \$11 for every
20	hundred dollars given.
21	The new proposed analysis is that it
22	could be costing us over \$2 billion a year in
23	lost tax subsidy for just slightly more or no

more affordable housing. If I am correct

T	chac that's the humbers, why would anyone
2	think it would be a good idea to go forward
3	with this program?
4	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I
5	think those numbers were also numbers that
6	were cited by the mayor of the City of
7	New York when he came and testified here. I
8	think, right, he went through the prior
9	iteration of the program was providing about
10	12,000 units of affordable housing a year,
11	and in the new iteration I believe his number
12	was about 21 or 25,000 units a year?
13	SENATOR KRUEGER: No, that was in the
14	proposal I think that he had hoped to get,
15	but not the Governor's proposal.
16	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I
17	thought that was his reference to the he
18	was proposing 25 and he thought the
19	Governor's proposal was going to be 21. So
20	it's still substantially more than the
21	previous program, but not as many units as
22	they had
23	SENATOR KRUEGER: I actually think the
24	mayor's numbers were wrong also, by the way.

1	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
2	Okay. My understanding, that some of the
3	and I'm not sure if this is the nature of
4	your question they believe that some
5	number of apartments will switch into condos,
6	and that accounted for some of the
7	differential between the 21 and the 25.
8	I guess what I can say, sort of at a
9	macro level, is that the legislation approved
10	last year is largely legislation that is
11	important to the city, and that they feel is
12	important to production of rental housing.
13	It is their tax base, and it was their sort
14	of reconstructed program. So I think they
15	find value in it and think it's obviously
16	a portion of the mayor's overall 10-year
17	affordable housing plan. It's again
18	SENATOR KRUEGER: I'm actually not
19	asking you what the mayor thinks, I was
20	asking what you think.
21	The last year's program is moot. I
22	just that one sunset. So the question is
23	on the proposal before us that the Governor
24	has in the budget, just to clarify, that

1	doesn't allow condominiums, the proposal in
2	the budget, does it?
3	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: The
4	current legislation as proposed has two I
5	would say substantial differences than what
6	was in the past, one around affordability.
7	So one is, right, every building
8	receiving 421-a has an affordable component
9	leading, obviously, to the large increase in
10	affordable units citywide. And then there is
11	a provision, there are four or maybe five
12	programmatic options within the proposed
13	legislation what was both approved last
14	year and as currently proposed one of
15	which allows the tax benefit to go to
16	buildings that are 35 units or less located
17	in the outer boroughs, where the condominiums
18	have an assessed value of \$65,000 or less.
19	SENATOR KRUEGER: It actually has I
20	think seven options in the proposal, when you
21	count that condominium piece, because it's
22	(A) through (G) plus that little condo
23	section.
24	All right, so I represent a part of

2	96th Street the East Side, parts of
3	Midtown. There is a subsection of Option G
4	that any building with 300 rental units,
5	regardless of where it is it doesn't have
6	to be inside or outside the enhanced
7	affordability area any apartment building
8	of 300 or more units can take advantage of
9	this program, a 35-year, 100 percent
10	abatement, as long as 30 percent of the units
11	are affordable, with AMI defined as 130
12	percent. Which most of my colleagues would
13	assure you none of us think meets the
14	definition of AMI affordability, 130 percent
15	of AMI.
16	If I look at my district today, an
17	overheated real estate geography, I am
18	watching developers buy up whole blocks of
19	low-level rent-regulated and rent-controlled
20	apartment buildings in plans for this law to

Manhattan below 96th Street -- I stop at

1

21

22

23 so that they can build over 300 units per 24 building, not hard to do. There will be

go into effect. They are trading air rights

and trading buildings to make their packages

1	30 percent at 130 percent of AMI, with a
2	massive loss of existing affordable units,
3	all over, I would argue, the East Side, the
4	West Side, and downtown Manhattan and north
5	of 96th Street, which is gentrifying at a
6	radically quick rate. And in certain
7	sections of Brooklyn, I suspect Senator
8	Velmanette Montgomery's district and others,
9	and very, very, very quickly. And it will
10	end up being probably the largest-used
11	portion of this law.

As Assemblymember Cymbrowitz talked about before, we've taken away any power by the City of New York to say, Wait a second here, what the hell's going on? When you said this is not a surprise to the city, I will tell you that I have stood with my City Council members, my borough president, any number of housing advocates and housing analysts in the City of New York, to say out loud that this is a terrible plan for the future of affordability of city housing and that it will cost the city far, far more than what's being projected, just specifically

1	because of the problems in this Option G,
2	300-plus rental units. If we pass this law,
3	which will conceivably wipe out affordable
4	housing in Manhattan in a pretty quick time
5	frame, do you have the power to stop any
6	individual deal? Can you say no? Or is it
7	as of right?
8	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I'm
9	going to start, if you want to pick up.
10	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Sure.
11	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I
12	think it sort of divides the issue in my mind
13	into questions, but I want to make sure that
14	I'm getting it right. I mean, the way I
15	would understand the ability for someone to
16	certainly buy up and build a 300-unit or
17	larger building is sort of irrespective of
18	421-a. It's more of a you know, obviously
19	it's a market-based decision for any
20	developer. The only reason they would
21	SENATOR KRUEGER: But when you're
22	getting a 100 percent abatement on your taxes
23	for 35 years, it suddenly looks even better
24	and better, doesn't it?

1	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
2	That may be true, but in exchange for that,
3	right, they have to pay a higher wage than
4	they would otherwise, they have to go from
5	35 years to 40 years of affordability, which
6	is not insignificant, and they have a slight
7	tweak to their
8	SENATOR KRUEGER: Well, since the
9	average hourly construction wage must be \$45
10	an hour, Manhattan buildings going up at
11	300 units or more are already paying that.
12	So this is not a new universe
13	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: That is
14	absolutely incorrect, Senator. I'm sorry,
15	but we did a substantial analysis in advance
16	of this, and that is not the case.
17	SENATOR KRUEGER: You did a
18	substantial analysis of the cost of
19	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Absolutely.
20	SENATOR KRUEGER: of building with
21	labor with union labor?
22	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Mm-hmm, for sure
23	This is not a union labor requirement,
24	Senator, this is a wage requirement.

Τ	SENATOR KRUEGER: NO, I KNOW. BUT
2	basically if I look at the buildings going u
3	in my borough that are 300 or more
4	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Senator, let's b
5	very clear yeah, Senator, I live in your
6	district. Let's be very clear what we're
7	talking about.
8	SENATOR KRUEGER: Yes.
9	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Luxury
10	condominium buildings
11	SENATOR KRUEGER: Yes.
12	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: are different
13	from rental buildings, which is what we're
14	talking about in the case of 421-a. So the
15	labor costs for a luxury rental a luxury
16	condominium building may be different. We
17	never see those. I have absolutely no idea
18	what the costs are. But in the case of
19	rental buildings, labor costs are
20	substantially lower than what they're going
21	to be as a result of this law.
22	SENATOR KRUEGER: Okay. So it's
23	that's a sidebar question. That doesn't
24	change the reality that we'll be knocking

1	down enormous numbers of affordable units to
2	put up luxury rental buildings where
3	30 percent will have to be only 130 percent
4	of AMI. Am I wrong that it only that it's
5	130 percent AMI?
6	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
7	That is one of the options that's been
8	that was passed by the Legislature in
9	January. Right? The 70 percent at 130 has
10	been in the program since last year. That's
11	not a new
12	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Absolutely.
13	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
14	That's not a new option.
15	SENATOR KRUEGER: It was bad then too.
16	I'm not arguing that.
17	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
18	Fair enough.
19	SENATOR KRUEGER: But the good news is
20	that never went into effect, and the City of
21	New York had some role of authorization or
22	having to sign off.
23	The City of New York would have no
2.4	authorization or signing off or saving "Stop"

1	or "We question that" under this new law, as
2	Assemblymember Cymbrowitz pointed out.
3	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Senator, I'm
4	sorry, I may be wrong about this, but I think
5	that in the existing law, while the City of
6	New York may have retained some ability to
7	change the law, this was their proposal that
8	they put forward. So I think there is
9	exactly zero chance
10	SENATOR KRUEGER: As I said, I don't
11	agree with the city of the mayor's
12	position either. But I'm not asking him now,
13	I'm asking you.
14	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Yeah.
15	SENATOR KRUEGER: You're proposing
16	this as a state program within the state
17	budget.
18	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: That is correct.
19	SENATOR KRUEGER: Okay. And so again,
20	I'm asking you, do you I think I got the
21	answer. It's a bad economic deal. This
22	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Absolutely not,
23	Senator.
24	SENATOR KRUEGER: No?

1	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Absolutely not.
2	I agree that
3	SENATOR KRUEGER: You just said
4	getting a hundred dollars worth of getting
5	\$11 worth of real estate for \$100 isn't a
6	good deal.
7	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Yeah, but
8	Senator, those are the real numbers that play
9	out in the real world. The suggestion that
10	you made the hypothetical that you
11	proposed is not the one that we see in the
12	development community every day. So let's
13	just be very, very clear, the numbers as we
14	understand them to play out in the real world
15	are not the \$100 for \$11, they are they
16	are market economics that lead to the
17	development of affordable housing and rental
18	housing in the City of New York.
19	And I would add something else, and
20	RuthAnne can talk about this from her
21	experience at HPD. I would venture to say
22	that there is almost no rental housing of any
23	kind that gets developed across the City of
24	New York, regardless of geographic area,

1	without some tax abatement in place. That is
2	the result of, you know, property tax you
3	know, property tax problems that we can talk
4	about at some separate time. But the fact is
5	you need tax abatements to develop rental
6	housing in New York, period. And, you know,
7	we may all think that that's a bad idea, but
8	it is a fact of the market.

So this is a tax abatement program, one among many that people use to develop rental housing in New York. And it happens to have attached to it the requirement that a developer who gets advantage of it adds in affordable housing, which I think is basically a net good. And we just -- all we've done in this -- all the Governor has done in the Affordable New York Housing Program you now have in front of you is to add one extra requirement, which is that very large buildings that are developed in very, very high opportunity, high-rent areas pay fair wages. I think that's something that we would all agree is a good thing.

24 SENATOR KRUEGER: I would argue that's

1	a discussion for the economic development and
2	labor world, not to use up city taxpayer
3	money that's being arguably used without the
4	approval of the city or their taxpayers, not
5	for housing but for a labor agreement.
6	Right? They're two different questions.
7	And if you're taking away more
8	affordable units than you're putting in under
9	this model, I don't even see how you can
10	argue it's a win geographically for
11	affordable housing.
12	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: But, Senator, I'm
13	not agreeing with your hypothetical. I don't
14	think that is what's happening. I live in
15	the same district, I know what goes on there
16	just like you do, but that is not the result
17	that we think is going to happen as
18	SENATOR KRUEGER: But that's actually
19	happening already. It slowed down a little
20	bit when 421-a stopped, although everybody
21	has got everything but shovel in the ground.
22	So it is happening.
23	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: As has the
24	construction of new affordable housing due

1	specifically under 421-a. So now we're going
2	to restart it and you're going to see, I
3	think by the city's own estimates, several
4	thousand new units developed of affordable
5	housing over the next several years.
6	SENATOR KRUEGER: At a ridiculously
7	high price.
8	Oh, I don't you know what, next
9	round. Thank you.
10	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Thank you.
11	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Bailey.
12	SENATOR BAILEY: Let me be the first
13	to wish you good afternoon.
14	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Good afternoon.
15	SENATOR BAILEY: So a couple of quick
16	questions.
17	First, sometimes when I'm with my
18	daughter I find it to be a minor
19	inconvenience when there's no changing table
20	in the restroom. But there are issues that
21	single homeless fathers are facing throughout
22	the State of New York concerning a lack of
23	accommodation. Can you tell me the steps
24	that HCR is taking to remedy some of the

1	shelter crisis with the single lathers across
2	the state?
3	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Our
4	primary role in homelessness is not around
5	shelters, that's more under the purview of
6	OTDA, who I believe you've heard from.
7	SENATOR BAILEY: I misspoke, I'm
8	sorry.
9	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Our
10	main focus is obviously on supportive
11	housing, and we have a very robust, as I
12	mentioned, commitment to do 6,000 units of
13	supportive housing. And that it's a
14	it's not one type of housing, I think to your
15	question. Right? There is housing for we
16	provide housing for, you know, mentally ill
17	individuals, for families, for veterans, for
18	runaway youth.
19	You know, we recognize that
20	homelessness is a problem that is across a
21	spectrum of housing types and individuals,
22	and we will provide to that need.
23	SENATOR BAILEY: Okay. The second
24	question I have is for I spoke about the

Τ.	northern bronk portion or my district. In
2	the City of Mount Vernon we're facing a
3	crisis around zombie properties. There was
4	some legislation around that last year. But
5	I want to know what is HCR's plan, both
6	short-term and long-term, to, one, help
7	remedy this issue in the City of Mount Vernon
8	and help them get these properties back to
9	the tax rolls or finding some other use for
10	them.
11	Because Mount Vernon is a city
12	it's as the former Senator can attest to,
13	there's a lot of pride and a lot of great
14	things that can be done, but we need to make
15	sure that these zombie properties are taken
16	care of. Can you explain what your role may
17	be in that?
18	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
19	Yeah, I'd be happy to. It's an issue that's
20	of great concern to us, and we're very
21	focused on it. We have a couple of
22	programmatic responses which I'll talk
23	through, and we would also be happy to come
24	sit in Mount Vernon and talk specifically

1	about	the	issues	more	locally	there

2 SENATOR BAILEY: We'd greatly

3 appreciate that.

have -- last year we launched a pilot called the Neighborhood Revitalization Program that provides subsidy to homeowners who will buy zombie homes, along with our SONYMA homebuyer programs. So it's sort of capital money to do repairs for folks who will buy zombie homes, you know, where the economics don't make sense of buying and you need that extra money to make sure it's -- to bring it back into habitability.

We also last year were thrilled to have legislation passed for the Community Revitalization Fund, which is a subsidiary of SONYMA. And we are using that to buy both defaulted notes that entities like HUD, FHA, Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac hold in the State of New York and do both modifications -- so it's people sort of in homes who are maybe subject to foreclosure, to keep them stable -- as well as homes that may be vacant.

1	It's a longer process to work with
2	those entities to buy notes. We are working
3	very feverishly to have something completed
4	within the next couple of months that we
5	would be happy to talk about that. But we
6	are we'd be happy to talk more about that.
7	But we are working, as I said, very
8	diligently to try and address this issue.
9	We have several we have, in
10	addition, a pool of nonprofits around the
11	state. We work with the land banks, we work
12	with folks around foreclosure prevention. We
13	have several counseling programs that we get
14	funding from HUD to provide to keep people in
15	their homes to prevent sort of the stem
16	the growth of zombies.
17	So we would be happy to sit down and
18	talk to you about both what we do and what
19	you think we could do more.
20	SENATOR BAILEY: I would welcome the
21	opportunity.
22	And concerning, again, TPU, I just
23	wanted to I guess make a blanket statement
24	that it is doing great work. And I've been

Τ	made aware that you've recovered over 6,000
2	rental units in my district. And I think i
3	would be helpful, if the other members have
4	not received that data, for you to
5	disseminate that information to each member
6	so that we know exactly what you are doing
7	concerning TPU in our respective districts.
8	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
9	Absolutely.
10	SENATOR BAILEY: Thank you.
11	Thank you, Madam Chair.
12	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
13	People think of Mitchell-Lama as a
14	New York City-only program, but as you know
15	it's a statewide program. And I think
16	there's supposed to be an annual report. I
17	haven't seen it. Do you know if it's been
18	issued?
19	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I
20	don't know, but we can certainly check and
21	get back to you.
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: If you can get to
23	us in the Senate a report detailing the
24	individual projects funded under

1	Mitchell-Lama and also detail on, you know,
2	how these projects were financed, the
3	associated costs, including state and federal
4	resources that were invested. Because as you
5	know, if you'd put together a package on it,
6	that would be really helpful.
7	Sorry to keep bogging you down with
8	all these.
9	I was going to ask about bond
10	allocations, but I think I'll skip that. But
11	I do want to ask about Rural and Urban
12	Community Investment Fund. And I was
13	wondering if you could give us a brief
14	update on funding and awards provided by the
15	program.
16	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
17	Sure. We have a very nice active pipeline of
18	projects that have come in. We have
19	exhausted our allocation, I believe, for this
20	current year, and are as soon as we get
21	our budget, we'll release an RFP for the
22	next I believe it's \$36 million that's

still in the House New York funding that we

had a couple of years ago for that program.

23

1	And so I'd be happy to sit down with
2	you and go through the projects specifically
3	that we funded with that. But suffice to say
4	we have awarded the funds that we have, and
5	it's a great program. Folks around the state
6	are really excited about it, and we have a
7	nice pipeline.
8	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I'd love to get
9	together with you and the Finance and the
10	Housing staff to talk about that.
11	Now, in the Governor's proposal he has
12	additional funding, \$36 million through the
13	MIF, through the Mortgage Insurance Fund, and
14	\$45 million in capital appropriations. And
15	how do you see this funding adding to the
16	affordable housing stock statewide?
17	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
18	Those two funds are specific for something,
19	the 36 and the 45. That's for the Community
20	Investment Fund, or that's a different
21	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: It's through the
22	Community Investment Fund, I believe.
23	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I'm
24	sorry, just ask your question again so I make

1	sure I answer it right?
2	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So you're
3	looking how do you think this will be
4	distributed statewide?
5	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
6	Well, certainly through the Community
7	Investment Fund we will hold to the 60/40
8	split of urban and rural, if that's what you
9	were asking, absolutely.
10	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: And do you are
11	there any impediments in getting the funding
12	out? Is there anything that we need to do
13	differently to make sure it
14	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I
15	can check with the program staff, but I
16	generally think that's a program that folks,
17	you know, feel is very easy to access and
18	use.
19	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay, thank you.
20	That would be great.
21	The Governor also proposes \$5 million
22	for the Recent Graduate Homeownership
23	Program, which sounds intriguing to me. But
24	can you provide any additional information

1	about it?
2	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
3	Sure. So we are using the our SONYMA
4	platform again to provide a deeper interest
5	rate to recent graduates to stay upstate,
6	specifically in upstate towns, to try to give
7	people the option to achieve homeownership at
8	a lower rate and in sort of our various
9	important upstate communities. So we just
10	launched this recently, so we don't have any
11	numbers on the uptake yet, but we look
12	forward to it being a robust program.
13	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I think it's a
14	great idea, because you know our biggest
15	export out of upstate are our young people,
16	and they feel like they don't have a future
17	in New York. So I think this is a wonderful
18	idea. And so any more information you have
19	about it would be great, on top of what you
20	just explained.
21	Finally, on the MIF, there's a
22	year-to-year decrease in the MIF allocation.
23	What has caused the decrease?

EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We

1	had a slight decrease this year where the
2	number, I believe, is 141 million and change.
3	The reason for the difference I think is
4	probably a question better answered by the
5	Department of Budget. I don't think we know
6	the
7	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Do you know,
8	however, if there's an impact to the fund's
9	risk-to-credit ratio?
10	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: No,
11	we feel very comfortable at the moment that
12	the the amount that came out of the MIF
13	this year is somewhat consistent with
14	previous years. You know, it's a little bit
15	off, but we feel that that amount maintains
16	the creditworthiness and accessibility of the
17	MIF.
18	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay, thank you.
19	Senator Krueger.
20	SENATOR KRUEGER: Ah, thank you.
21	So much so much territory. In
22	2014, the State Comptroller did an audit of
23	the administration of tenant complaints by
24	HCR, and he made a series of recommendations.

1	fou wrote a response well, not you,
2	actually, excuse me. It was the prior
3	commissioner. You weren't there yet, right?
4	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: No.
5	SENATOR KRUEGER: Okay. But the
6	response, specific to the enormous concerns
7	about time frame for getting cases resolved,
8	I think really circled around the problems of
9	inadequate computers, which is in fact what
10	you wrote your agency wrote, neither the
11	outgoing commissioner nor the incoming
12	commissioner, previous let's see, saying
13	that we have enormous problems because our
14	computer is a legacy mainframe system and a
15	plan for a much better system in place to
16	ensure compliance I'm not reading the
17	whole letter the design, build and
18	implementation of the new system will start
19	in September 2014 and be completed by
20	February 2015.
21	But we're subject to state
22	appropriations. So how is that new system
23	working for you?
24	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:

Τ	it's not here yet, but I m happy to say
2	well, I can't deliver on those dates. We
3	have signed the contract with the consultant
4	who is doing the modernization, so it is
5	underway and will be completed I believe by
6	the end of this year.
7	And we have also made great strides
8	SENATOR KRUEGER: The computerization
9	will be completed this year?
10	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We
11	are in contract with the consultant who's
12	doing the modernization of our existing
13	system, which is both the internal processing
14	and also the external facing system. So that
15	is underway. The consultant has been
16	engaged, and the work has started.
17	So we're thrilled about that. Albeit
18	late, it is happening.
19	We have also made great strides in the
20	last year at getting our processing times
21	down. I can certainly follow up with more
22	specifics, but for some of our processes that
23	we're having over sort of a 12-month timeline
24	to get resolved, many of those are now under

1	six months, and some even at four months
2	turnaround.
3	So again, I'm happy to I'm sort of
4	new to this area, so I don't have the stuff
5	in my brain, but I'm happy to go through it
6	with you on sort of where we've made
7	improvements. But we, under Jamie's
8	leadership, have done an enormous amount this
9	last year to get those times down.
10	SENATOR KRUEGER: And outgoing
11	Commissioner Rubin, your new title is?
12	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: State director of
13	operations.
L 4	SENATOR KRUEGER: So as state director
15	of operations, can you do everything possible
16	to ensure that there's no more delays in the
17	critical role of computerization for the
18	agency you're leaving?
19	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: I can try, but
20	I'm told the new commissioner is
21	extraordinarily difficult to work with.
22	(Laughter.)
23	SENATOR KRUEGER: I mean, you know,
24	we're laughing, but this was a discussion I

1	had, I believe with Governor Cuomo upon his
2	inauguration, and my frustration with wanting
3	the agency to have the tools it needed to
4	have to do the work it needed to do on behalf
5	of people all over the State of New York.
6	And he had committed at that time that he
7	knew of this, he was a housing expert
8	himself, and this was a top priority for his
9	administration.
10	So now in 2017, I am literally begging
11	you, do something to get this done.
12	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: You don't need to
13	beg. Senator, I share your frustration. So
14	when I showed up two years ago, one of the
15	first visits that I made was out to Queens,
16	where, as you know, the ORA is located. And
17	I'm happy to tell you, by the way, that
18	RuthAnne went out there this week before she
19	even took office. So the continuity of focus
20	on that area is not is going to be
21	maintained and I think accelerated. And it
22	is a terrific thing that we actually have
23	this modernization effort up and running.
24	I will say that it was, at the agency

1	level, the modernization effort was ready to
2	be started a couple of years ago. You have
3	the letter, obviously, from my predecessor
4	Mr. Towns, but at the time, you know, what he
5	wrote in that letter he thought to be
6	accurate. And there was work being done to
7	prepare you know, obviously, it's a large
8	contract with an RFP, it has to be bid on a
9	competitive basis, for all the reasons that
10	you would expect.

And the agency was ready to go, and there were some external factors that intervened, among them, you know, the Comptroller, with whom we have a very good working relationship, but reviewed the contract — at one point reviewed the RFP and found it to be lacking, for reasons that we completely disagreed with. We pointed out to them the — I did — the irony of the fact that they were — they had done the audit and now were holding up the process by which we would remedy the problems that they identified in the audit. And they did move ahead and gave us the ability to go forward,

1	but it did put a substantial timeline
2	substantially extended the timeline with
3	which we were able to operate and fix the
4	problems that you're focusing on.
5	Again, we have a very good
6	relationship with the Comptroller's office,
7	but it was just a you know, for whatever
8	reason, it just didn't there was not a
9	meeting of minds at that point, which creates
10	an issue.
11	SENATOR KRUEGER: So an uninvited,
12	perhaps, suggestion.
13	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Sure.
14	SENATOR KRUEGER: Yesterday Dennis
15	Rosen, the Medicaid inspector general,
16	testified before whoever of us was here
17	yesterday. And many of us had worked with
18	him when he took over the SLA for the state
19	before that. He's very good at evaluating
20	and improving systems quickly, and he always
21	impresses everyone with what he can
22	accomplish by looking at an agency that's
23	defined as having problems and getting
24	systems in place to both, A, solve problems

1	more efficiently and, B, avoid them in the
2	first place.
3	So I'm not really being facetious when
4	I suggest I'm sure there's other talented
5	people in state government who could come in
6	and help. But I would ask you to go ask him
7	to take a look
8	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: That's a great
9	suggestion. I appreciate it.
10	SENATOR KRUEGER: because he's
11	actually just a damn good administrator.
12	You like him also, Cathy Young, don't
13	you? I saw
14	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Of course I do.
15	SENATOR KRUEGER: Of course you do.
16	Exactly.
17	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: It's a great
18	suggestion, thank you.
19	SENATOR KRUEGER: All right, thank
20	you.
21	Rent control. A small number of
22	people every year, year in, year out, we
23	raise their rents 7.5 percent, even though
24	they come and they beg you to use your

1	authority within the agency to evaluate the
2	realities of expenses and costs, just like is
3	done for rent-regulated apartments. And in
4	the rent-regulated system, year in, year out,
5	I've seen high years, I've seen low years.
6	I've seen everybody argue on both sides about
7	it. But I've never seen a year where it was
8	over 4 percent, even in the worst-case
9	scenarios. In the last couple of years, it's
10	been zero or 1 percent.
11	Could someone please reevaluate why
12	the hell it's 7.5 percent for these elderly
13	poor people in their rent-controlled
14	apartments? And it is within your authority
15	to do something about it.
16	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I'd
17	be happy to. As you know, I'm new this
18	area of the agency is new to me, so I'm I
19	just started this past week sort of jumping
20	in and learning the ins and outs. But I'm
21	happy to put my effort towards that and also
22	come back and sit with you and talk about it.
23	SENATOR KRUEGER: And I have 15 years

worth of testimony before you every year, if

1	you'd like, to just resend.
2	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
3	Fair enough. Okay.
4	SENATOR KRUEGER: All right?
5	Senior housing. My colleague Cathy
6	Young asked some questions about senior
7	housing. And in the City of New York, I
8	think we define our waitlist for low-income
9	senior housing at 200,000 people. I tell
10	people when they hit middle age to apply,
11	because maybe by the time they're a senior
12	senior, something might come up.
13	She asked you the one question about
14	the specialized program within the state
15	budget proposal for capital money for senior
16	housing, and I just want to reiterate I agree
17	with her. We need to make sure we're
18	defining the evaluation for that application
19	process as really matching the models that we
20	know work in the population in need. And
21	it's a statewide issue.
22	Are you fans of the Section 8 program
23	from your housing evaluation? I mean, you

don't have anything technically to do with

1	it, but you know what it is.
2	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Of
3	course, yes. And we and we oversee a
4	large Section 8 program
5	SENATOR KRUEGER: Oh, you do, outside
6	of New York City. Excuse me. So sorry.
7	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
8	Yes, absolutely. So, I mean, Section 8 is a
9	critical resource for very low income
10	individuals now.
11	SENATOR KRUEGER: So the City of
12	New York has actually proposed to have a
13	Section 8 supplemental program that they have
L 4	one plan to fund, which may or may not get
15	through the budget process. But it would
16	create a Section 8 specifically for 25,000
L7	low-income seniors in the city, paid for by
18	the city. Have you had a chance to evaluate
19	that proposal?
20	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I
21	have not. I just saw it came out in the last
22	couple of days, but I haven't seen specifics
23	on it other than what I saw on the news.

SENATOR KRUEGER: I'd appreciate,

1	actually, getting a response back. Because
2	it seems to me it's sort of exactly the kind
3	of program we ought to be looking into, even
4	be looking at beyond New York City and even
5	within the confines of what the state could
6	do.

It's money that subsidizes rent to
help senior citizens stay in their home,
which -- and I think we all know one of the
most tragic situations is a senior citizen
being evicted. And frankly, at least in the
City of New York, if they lose wherever
they're living, you're not going to find them
an apartment that's affordable. And again,
they're not going to live long enough to get
through that waiting list of 200,000.

So I actually think the concept of a state and/or city Section 8 program for elderly poor people at risk of losing their homes is a fabulous idea, and I would urge the state to consider exploring it within your budget proposals as well.

Thank you.

24 COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Thank you,

1	Senator.
2	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
3	Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. I think
6	if we could get the tenant rent increase
7	exemption program passed, that would help
8	needy people, because it's a rent freeze
9	program.
10	But I think we're done on our side.
11	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Are they? I'm just
12	going to let the young lady ask a question
13	down at the end, Ms. Niou. To close.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NIOU: Thank you so
15	much.
16	It was just kind of a follow-up to
17	some of the other questions that you've
18	gotten. Thank you so much for taking the
19	time to answer all of our questions.
20	I know that NYCHA has been raised
21	several times in this hearing, but I just
22	wanted to echo concerns made by my colleagues
23	regarding the state's role with NYCHA. You
24	know, I represent thousands of public housing

1	residents on the Lower East Side, and it is
2	critical that state funding be allocated.
3	And it is also, you know, very critical that
4	we allocate much more of it, and quickly.

NYCHA is in dire need of repairs and security upgrades. And I urge HCR to really think about how to move current and future state funds for NYCHA as quickly as possible. I know that this is especially relevant, given the Assembly's push right now to include about \$500 million in this year's budget.

As many of my colleagues have stated, it is critical that we as legislators are able to push for NYCHA funds at the state level, knowing that they'll be spent efficiently, of course. But at the same time, you know, one of the biggest questions that we've been facing right now is whether or not things will move quickly. Because as you know, DASNY has been holding up the funds for a number of reasons. And you know, we've gotten different answers for different questions. And, you know, we have been

1	pushing and pushing and pushing for a release
2	for some of this funding.
3	But, you know, also we need larger
4	amounts if we're able to you know, the
5	biggest pushback has been that, you know,
6	maybe we're not giving enough funds to DASNY
7	for certain projects. And we heard that from
8	the Governor at the breakfast that we had
9	with him.
10	And so, you know, how do we remedy
11	that unless we get more funding for them?
12	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: So,
13	Assemblywoman, I agree with you that the need
14	for urgency as we've talked about,
15	everybody recognizes the need for funding for
16	NYCHA. I was at that breakfast with you, I
17	heard his commitment, and I know that there
18	is a process in place to accelerate that
19	funding. And I will be monitoring it.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NIOU: Okay.
21	And then, you know, one more just
22	quick thing. Between 2013 and 2015, about
23	359,000 people were evicted from
24	rent-stabilized apartments. This has surely

1	contributed to the homelessness crisis. You
2	know, it's costing the state a lot of money,
3	and how can we strengthen rent stabilization
4	as a whole in order to stand up for tenants
5	and, you know, help the homelessness crisis
6	and also end evictions in New York?
7	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
8	Well, you know, as you know, that process
9	sort comes up through this legislative body
10	every couple of years, and I think we have
11	maybe two or three more years until that
12	comes back up again.
13	But I think in the intervening time,
14	we'd be happy to sit down with you and think
15	about whether you think there's ways just
16	sort of internally on our side, on sort of an
17	administrative side, whether there's things
18	we can be doing outside of that process.
19	We'd be happy to talk through that.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NIOU: So I hope that I
21	can get your commitment to work with you on
22	that.
23	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
24	Absolutely.

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NIOU: Thank you so
2	much.
3	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. Thank
4	you very much.
5	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
6	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Thank you.
7	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
8	Thank you.
9	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Again,
10	congratulations. And we'll be talking.
11	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Thank you so
12	much.
13	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:
14	Thank you. Have a good day.
15	SENATOR KRUEGER: You too.
16	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Duncan Barrett,
17	executive board member, New York State
18	Association for Affordable Housing.
19	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: If we could have
20	some order, please. If you have
21	conversations, please take those outside.
22	It's great to see you, Mr. Barrett.
23	We appreciate your participation today. As
24	soon as we have some order, we can begin.

1	Okay. We look forward to your
2	testimony.
3	MR. BARRETT: Thank you, Chairwoman
4	Young, Chairman Cymbrowitz, and members of
5	the Joint Legislative Budget Committee for
6	this opportunity to participate in today's
7	hearing on the '17-'18 New York State housing
8	budget.
9	My name is Duncan Barrett. I'm the
10	president of Beacon Communities Development
11	in New York and the past president of the
12	New York State Association for Affordable
13	Housing. NYSAFAH's 375 members include
14	not-for-profit and for-profit developers,
15	lenders, investors, attorneys, and architects
16	and others active in the financing and
17	construction and operation of affordable
18	housing across New York State. Together,
19	NYSAFAH's members are responsible for most of
20	the housing built in New York State with
21	federal, state, and local subsidies and

Out of respect for the speakers to follow me, I will try to be brief and just

incentives.

1	hit	а	few	points.	You	have	our	written
2	test	in	nony	•				

We are very proud at NYSAFAH to be a part of a coalition of prominent housing organizations that have worked together to pursue a greater commitment on the part of the government. And we're thankful to you, the Legislature, and the Governor for the evidence of that commitment with the proposed '17-'18 budget.

Like Chairman Farrell, I was around at the beginning of the Neighborhood

Preservation Program and remember he and his staff's great work to bring that program to fruition. And that was foundational,

Chairman Farrell, in my career. So thank you for that.

You all know that New York has a housing crisis, a crisis of affordability.

Over 300 million households -- not people, households -- are rent-burdened across the state, and half of those, a million and a half households, pay a staggering 50 percent or more of their income for housing. On any

1	given night, more than 80,000 people in
2	New York are homeless in New York State, and
3	many of those are children.
4	I am impressed with the thoroughness
5	with which you've conducted this hearing, and
6	I appreciate very clearly your commitment to
7	affordable housing. So I don't think I'm
8	telling you anything that you don't already
9	know, and I won't waste your time repeating
10	platitudes.
11	This crisis is not only limited to
12	very-low- and low-income New Yorkers but now,
13	as we've said earlier, extends to
L 4	middle-income families as well. So the new
15	programs that are proposed for the
16	\$2.5 billion address multiple needs, and it's
17	important that each of these needs from

22 encouraging you to do that.
23 We want you to know this from the

middle-income housing to senior housing,

importantly, supportive housing, multifamily

housing preservation, the CIF, and the RAD

program -- are all funded, and we are

industry's point of view, the housing

18

19

20

21

1	development industry's point of view, that
2	NYSAFAH and its members of the housing
3	coalition are ready to build and rehabilitate
4	the needed housing to the extent that the
5	public subsidies that we are discussing are
6	available.

I know that there is a capacity issue that you've raised underlying several of the questions that were put forth to the agency staff earlier. This state has an admirable and unique track record as a leader of the affordable housing industry for over six generations — over six decades, excuse me, not six generations — and we have the capacity to perform. And the resources that you are envisioning and discussing will make that performance possible.

I also want to address one other issue. There was a question earlier of the commissioner about the need for multiyear commitments, and I can tell you from my personal experience -- and I've developed over 6,000 units of affordable housing in the 40-plus years I've been doing

1	this these projects take years to put
2	together, and that's not just true in the
3	City of New York, it's true in upstate as
4	well. So multiyear commitments on the part
5	of the Legislature and the Executive will
6	actually lead to more cost-efficiencies and
7	more efficient production.

We often work two or three years on a project before we're even in a position to apply for funding, so that extended commitment is necessary.

And my last point, besides to thank
you again for your commitment to affordable
housing in this state, is to point out that
housing authorities across the state are
broken. It is not just the City of New York,
although the City of New York's is the most
significant housing authority in the state,
but our company is partners with six separate
housing authorities, from Rockville Center to
Rome, and we've worked through the
re-creation of close to 1,000 units of public
housing in upstate New York. Upstate housing
authorities are in dire straits as well.

1	Public	housing	in	this	whole	country	is
2	broken						

As the commissioner, the outgoing and the incoming commissioners said earlier, we really need to look at ways to recreate public housing and reinvent public housing, and New York should be the leader in that reinvention. The RAD program is an important component, but it's not the only tool. And just putting money into the broken system is not going to actually yield long-term benefits.

13 Thank you.

14 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, Duncan.

And just to remind you, thank you for summarizing. But your written testimony becomes part of the permanent record, and we do refer to that during budget negotiations and the whole process.

But I want to sincerely thank you and your members for everything that you do to develop affordable housing in the state.

It's just such a crucial mission, so thank you.

Τ.	MR. BARRETT: It's our joy and our
2	mission. Thank you, Senator.
3	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
5	Questions?
6	Have a good day.
7	MR. BARRETT: Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Paul Gilbert
9	Paula Gilbert, executive director,
10	Neighborhood Preservation Coalition of
11	New York State. And they also have Cara Long
12	Corra, outreach manager.
13	MS. GILBERT: I was going to introduce
14	her, but that's okay.
15	Good afternoon, I'm Paula Gilbert
16	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: I'm a slow reader,
17	you know.
18	MS. GILBERT: and I'm joined by
19	Cara Long Corra, and we represent the
20	Neighborhood Preservation Coalition of
21	New York State.
22	Before we begin, we'd like to thank
23	Chairman Farrell, Chairwoman Young, Chairman
24	Cymbrowitz, as well as the members of the

1	Senate Finance and Assembly Ways and Means
2	Committees and the Senate and Assembly
3	Housing Committees, and the distinguished
4	members of the Legislature for this
5	opportunity to present testimony on behalf of
6	the Neighborhood Preservation Coalition and
7	its membership of over 150 MPCs, LDCs, and
8	CHDOs.
9	MS. LONG CORRA: Okay. So a lot has
10	been said about the affordability crisis that
11	we have in New York State, and we certainly
12	don't dispute that. But there's also a
13	crisis of access. And so providing funding
14	for programs such as the N/RPP, Main Street,
15	Low Income Housing Trust Fund, AHC, HOPE
16	RESTORE, Access to Home and others,
17	represents an effective and efficient
18	investment in our state, as the appropriated
19	dollars help not only to answer unmet housing
20	needs but also to spur economic development.
21	So we're happy to see that the
22	NPP-proposed funding for in the Executive
23	Budget has remained consistent with last
24	year, and that's \$8,479,000 from the excess

1	reserves of the MIF. And we're thankful that
2	JPMorgan Chase settlement funds are included
3	in the proposed budget to support the
4	activities of our preservation companies.

Last year, however, there was a legislative add -- which we'd like to again say thank you for -- and we'd like to see that add again this year if possible. The preservation companies have a critical need for stable funding as even small reductions affect and reduce their ability to deliver services and programs.

So those of you on Senate and Assembly Housing Committees and members with NPCs located in their districts have already heard from us this year and have an idea of what housing programs and policies we believe are critical to help revitalize our communities and maximize economic growth in the state.

Neighborhood Preservation Program funding helps to support a wide range of services that articulate solutions to our most critical housing challenges, from repair and rehabilitation to affordable housing

1	development to tenant advocacy and
2	landlord-tenant mediation, Main Street
3	revitalization, and beyond our
4	preservation companies do all of that.
5	Right now, however, many of our
6	neighborhoods and communities are under
7	threat. Residents can't afford their housing
8	costs, and as such their connection to
9	community economic development efforts is
10	strained. If we are to agree that the road
11	to economic prosperity begins in our
12	neighborhoods, then we must ensure that
13	preservation companies have the resources
14	they need to expand this prosperity in their
15	respective communities. We must ensure they
16	have adequate and stable funding that allows
17	them to continue to revitalize our
18	Main Streets, house our families, employ the
19	disconnected, and protect the vulnerable,
20	including children and frail seniors.
21	To demonstrate the power of the
22	network, we're going to share some brief NPC
23	success highlights with you. In Buffalo, our
24	NPCs are working to revitalize downtown

1	neighborhoods by aiding in commercial			
2	revitalization and reducing surrounding			
3	vacancy and blight. In Rochester, they are			
4	expanding access to healthy food while			
5	supporting local farmers and their local			
6	economy. In Brooklyn, NPCs are creating jobs			
7	for low-income women and helping individuals			
8	and families create and maintain economic			
9	self sufficiency. And all of our NPCs, all			
10	143, are working to expand housing			
11	opportunities for low- and moderate-income			
12	residents of our state.			
13	So there's no shortage of examples			
14	from every corner of the state that			
15	demonstrate the ability of preservation			
16	companies to create more vibrant and livable			
17	communities.			
18	I'm going to turn it back over to my			
19	colleague Paula, who's going to talk more			
20	specifically about our budget concerns.			
21	MS. GILBERT: Thanks, Cara.			
22	We are pleased that the Executive			
23	Budget includes a \$10 million increase for			
24	the Housing Trust Fund and keeps funding			

1	stable for the Main Street program. However
2	we believe that programs like AHC, Access to
3	Home, and HOPE RESTORE must be funded at
4	higher levels. These programs are well
5	subscribed and successful vehicles for
6	expanding and preserving home ownership and
7	home ownership opportunities in New York.

This year the coalition is asking for a \$10 million increase to AHC, a \$4 million increase to Access to Home, and a \$1 million increase for HOPE RESTORE. As we have the second-lowest homeownership rate in the nation, we must do more to help create and support sustainable home ownership, and these programs are designed to do that.

The 2017-'18 budget also contains a proposed increase to the Rural and Urban Community Investment Fund of \$1 million over what was proposed but not enacted last year. The CIF is designed to empower locally based nonprofits to address the specific needs of their communities. The most recent award information available for the year 2015 shows that 10 awards, totaling \$10 million, remain.

1	Only one project of under 25 units was funded
2	through the CIF, and nine out of 10 awards
3	also received low-income housing tax credits
4	and eight received Housing Trust Fund awards.
5	Larger developers therefore have these

Larger developers therefore have these programs as vehicles to help leverage CIF funding, but there's no such vehicle for smaller nonprofit community-based developers. As such, we respectfully request that the Legislature consider making a suballocation of \$9 million to the Office of Community Renewal to ensure that nonprofit, community-driven developers can be competitive within the CIF program now and into the future.

Our coalition believes it's imperative for New York State to make strategic investments in our state's housing. Access to stable, safe, affordable housing improves the health of our residents and our communities. It boosts educational outcomes for our children, and it helps spur economic growth. Affordable housing therefore acts as a vaccine in our communities, helping to

1	prevent larger problems that threaten our
2	livelihood and well-being.
3	In business, conventional wisdom says
4	that you should invest resources in current
5	clients because keeping them is invaluable to
6	your success. We believe that this wisdom
7	translates, and that New York State must make
8	strategic investments in housing for its
9	residents because it is crucial to their and
10	to our success.
11	N/RPCs are in a unique position to
12	help the state target these investments in
13	areas where they're most needed and in ways
14	that will help maximize the investments. We
15	must make sure that we are funding the right
16	programs at the right levels.
17	Thank you. Questions?
18	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
19	Questions? Mr. Mosley.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN MOSLEY: Thank you,
21	Mr. Chair.
22	Thank you, ladies, for your testimony.
23	I know that Senator Young had brought

up questions about the Recent Graduate Home

1	Buyer Program. Do you think that's a program
2	that's going in the right direction,
3	particularly in our non-downstate region
4	where we want to make sure that we keep the
5	intellectual property at home as opposed to
6	going elsewhere?
7	MS. LONG CORRA: I have to admit, I
8	had enormous difficulty hearing that.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN MOSLEY: Okay, I'm sorry.
10	MS. LONG CORRA: It came through
11	muffled.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN MOSLEY: Sorry.
13	The Recent Graduation Home Buyer
14	Program Senator Young had talked about it
15	recently, in the last testimony. Can you
16	give us your input in terms of that program
17	as it stands now and what improvement you
18	think should be added to it or be retracted
19	from it?
20	MS. LONG CORRA: I think we have a
21	great need to support existing homeowners and
22	then to work from there out in, say,
23	concentric circles to expand homeownership.
24	The most recent data that we saw was

1	that Gen-Xers and baby boomers are the ones
2	leaving the state due to issues, you know,
3	with high taxes and retirement and whatever.
4	And those houses may not be getting
5	purchased. So we want to do something to
6	keep them here and then generally encourage
7	more homeownership amongst younger people
8	where it has fallen off. So I think, you
9	know, there are many things we can look at
10	that would be strategic, but there has to be
11	a constellation of programs.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN MOSLEY: Okay. And then
13	the 421-a I know you didn't allude to this
14	in your testimony can you give me an
15	assessment as to your analysis as to the big
16	taxable giveaway we're giving in terms of
17	added taxable revenue we're going to lose as
18	a state and what you think, as advocates in
19	this industry? What can be done to improve
20	that program going forward? I know it's
21	called the Affordable New York Act, but I

MS. GILBERT: So I'm the first one to admit that I am no 421-a scholar.

don't think it's too affordable for anyone.

1	MS. LONG CORRA: Or Affordable			
2	New York, whichever			
3	MS. GILBERT: So I would say that it's			
4	obviously very complex. And I think we need			
5	to make sure that we're balancing the needs			
6	of both, you know, tenants that clearly need			
7	to have safe, affordable housing that			
8	they're not going to be under a threat			
9	constantly.			
10	But I do believe that there are			
11	probably we do need to consider the fact			
12	that there probably needs to be some kind of			
13	tax incentive to do that. Again, I'm not an			
14	attorney, so I'm not 100 percent, you know,			
15	sure			
16	MS. LONG CORRA: And many of our			
17	groups aren't at that level. What they're			
18	developing wouldn't be eligible, wouldn't			
19	be formerly 421-a			
20	MS. GILBERT: Yeah, we have a lot of			
21	different-sized NPCs, so some of our smaller			
22	developers like, this is not going to be			
23	an issue for them.			
24	ASSEMBLYMAN MOSLEY: Thank you.			

1	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Questions from this
2	side?
3	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you for all
4	that you do.
5	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Oh, no, one more.
6	Mr. Kavanagh.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN KAVANAGH: Yeah, I won't
8	take up much time. I just wanted to take a
9	moment to thank you for your testimony and
10	for the good work that so many of your member
11	organizations do.
12	I work very closely with the Cooper
13	Square Committee in the Lower East Side, and
14	the Lower East Side Coalition Housing
15	Development and MFY and other stuff. Just
16	I've taken up a lot of time this morning, but
17	I just wanted to say thank you for your
18	testimony and for your advocacy for
19	continuing funding of these programs.
20	MS. GILBERT: I love to hear that.
21	Our groups do really, really good work with
22	not a lot of funding. It's pretty amazing
23	what they're doing across the state.
24	MS. LONG CORRA: And we're happy to

1	represent them.
2	MS. GILBERT: I hope all of you enjoy
3	the housing cards that you all received.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN KAVANAGH: I agree, so
5	thank you.
6	MS. GILBERT: Thank you so much.
7	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Krueger
8	does have one question.
9	SENATOR KRUEGER: You thought you'd
10	get away
11	(Laughter.)
12	SENATOR KRUEGER: I got triggered.
13	MS. LONG CORRA: It's not the weekend
L 4	yet.
15	SENATOR KRUEGER: So at least for the
16	New York City groups, because the mayor has
17	just announced his plan to provide funding to
18	guarantee legal representation for everyone
19	in housing court, I'm curious how you think
20	that might impact both the kinds of work your
21	organizations are asked to do and, perhaps
22	more importantly, the impact on perhaps
23	helping to stabilize some communities where

there is actually enormous effort to evict

1	poor	people.
---	------	---------

MS. GILBERT: The mayor's step is a step in the right direction. A lot of our groups in the city have specific employees that help people in housing court, and they are constantly slammed. I mean, they have hundreds of people that come in every single day asking for help. I know even that a lot of times even tenants who will call the Assembly offices, they'll turn around and say, Hey, I know somebody who can help you with this.

I think that it's important that we even the playing fields, so the idea that there is legal representation for each tenant that's going through an eviction proceeding is super-important. But at the same time, we have members that do this all the time that aren't attorneys, that probably -- that are pretty amazing at this, that they might actually understand the laws more than I guess another person would.

MS. LONG CORRA: And I would say legal representation is of course important, it

1	reduces the number of evictions. And when
2	you look at evictions cost a lot of money.
3	And not just that they cost a lot of money,
4	they disrupt families. But we've also we
5	don't want to just put money on providing
6	legal services, we want to prevent the
7	conditions that force people into having to
8	have those services in the first place.
9	So yes, they need to be there right
10	now because those conditions exist. But we
11	need to look at ways where we can alleviate
12	those conditions. So we're not putting money
13	there, we're actually making investments in

16 agenda.

SENATOR KRUEGER: Right. So I would urge you to sit down as a coalition with members -- with the universe of people who will have expanded funding for legal service, and develop a new model of triage so that you can use your limited resources not to try to rush in to do paralegal representation --

the people to eliminate that problem. We

really want to see housing be on a prevention

MS. GILBERT: Right.

1	SENATOR KRUEGER: but to free up
2	your people to do the longer-term stability
3	preservation, which I think you are better
4	at.
5	And I speak from a little experience,
6	because prior to being a Senator I actually
7	ran a pretty big eviction prevention model
8	program under contract with the City of
9	New York, in five sites in two boroughs, and
10	that's what we did at the time. We were not
11	lawyers, but we did a model of triage with
12	Legal Aid/legal services so that people who
13	came to them who really needed lawyers got
14	lawyers; people who came to them and really
15	needed other kinds of services, perhaps more
16	like what you're doing, got sent to us, and
L7	vice versa.
18	And it actually worked very
19	effectively, so that you were distributing
20	the best-needed resources the right way. But
21	it only works if you sit down and you work it
22	out.
23	MS. LONG CORRA: Yup.

MS. GILBERT: I agree.

1	SENATOR KRUEGER: So I nope that
2	that's what you do.
3	MS. LONG CORRA: Collaboration's the
4	way forward.
5	MS. GILBERT: Yup. Thank you.
6	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
7	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you for all
8	that you do.
9	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
10	MS. GILBERT: Thank you, Senator.
11	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Supportive Housing
12	Network of New York, Maclain Berhaupt, state
13	advocacy director.
14	SENATOR KRUEGER: And yet it's not
15	Maclain.
16	MS. MASCUCH: It's not Maclain. We
17	are Maclain we're just hoping she gets
18	through the hearing without going into labor
19	But thank you so much. Thank you,
20	Senator Young, Assemblymember Farrell. And
21	Assemblymember Cymbrowitz, nice to see you
22	again. Congratulations.
23	My name is Laura Mascuch, and I'm the
24	executive director of the Supportive Housing

1	Network of New York. We're a membership
2	organization of over 200 nonprofit
3	organizations that build and operate
4	supportive housing. We have over
5	50,000 units across the state, just a real
6	incredible investment.
7	I'm not going to read my testimony,
8	you have it in front of you, but just to hit
9	some highlights.
10	As you know, supportive housing is the
11	most cost-effective and humane way to
12	reintegrate homeless individuals and families
13	back into the community. Those individuals
14	and families have disabilities such as mental
15	illness, HIV/AIDS, substance abuse, and
16	they're leaving very expensive systems.
17	They're cycling in and out of shelter,
18	prisons, and emergency rooms. A recent study
19	by the New York City Department of Health and
20	Mental Hygiene showed us that once integrated
21	back into the community in supportive
22	housing, there was a net public savings of
23	\$10,100 per person per year. So that is
24	significant.

1	As we know, the statistics are fairly
2	staggering right now around homelessness. We
3	have roughly 83,000 men, women, and children
4	staying in shelters 70,000 of those are in
5	the City of New York, and another 13,000
6	outside of the city. That includes 24,000
7	children.
8	And really in response to this crisis,
9	and the fact that supportive housing
10	drastically slowed from the past long-term
11	support of housing commitments, the Governor
12	announced last year in the State of the State
13	speech that they would be creating
14	20,000 units of supportive housing over the
15	next 15 years, a really historic commitment.
16	The long-term supportive housing

The long-term supportive housing program was part of the Governor's \$20 billion, five-year affordable housing plan to build 100,000 units of housing as well as 6,000 units of supportive housing.

So unfortunately, I am here today to once again talk about this commitment, because we have not yet crossed the finish line. Last year when the Governor proposed

1	his commitment, as you know, the
2	\$1.97 billion was appropriated but subject to
3	a memorandum of understanding. A year later,
4	homelessness is rising to record levels, and
5	there's an affordable housing crisis. And we
6	still don't have a signed MOU really
7	committing the long-term funding to
8	affordable and supportive housing.

At the very end of the legislative session, \$150 million was appropriated, which enabled us to get started on the first 1,200 units, which we were grateful for. But as you know, supportive housing developers and investors and the nonprofits need a long-term financing commitment for future development.

In the past, the New York/New York agreements in the city and the state attracted investors because they diminished the risk by providing that long-term commitment up front. So a fully funded five-year plan is critical to us moving forward.

What we now need is the Governor and the leaders of the Senate and the Assembly to

1	sign off on the MOU today. That's still a
2	possibility from last year's budget. But we
3	are happy to see that the funding has been
4	reappropriated in this year's budget, with
5	the additional \$526 million.
6	Homelessness among our most vulnerable
7	is a statewide problem, in both urban and
8	rural settings, and by working together we
9	create the housing the community needs for
10	this population. We urge your support.
11	Thank you so much for allowing me to
12	testify today.
13	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
14	Questions? Mr. Cymbrowitz.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Laura, thank
16	you very much. Great seeing you again.
17	MS. MASCUCH: Good to see you.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: I wanted to
19	talk a little bit about the fact that the MOU
20	has dollars appropriated 6,000 units over
21	five years. Why does the Supportive Housing
22	Network feel it's important to have it spread
23	out over five years?
24	MS. MASCUCH: So I think, if I'm

1	understanding you so the five-year
2	commitment for the 6,000 units, that is your
3	question?
4	ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Yeah. Why is
5	it important to do it over five years?
6	MS. MASCUCH: Right. So it's
7	important over five years because the
8	nonprofits in particular need the long-term
9	commitment to actually procure the sites. As
LO	you well know, it takes two to four years to
11	develop supportive housing, and so the siting
12	and the procurement and the purchase of the
13	property as well as the development is
14	multiyear. And so really for the nonprofit
15	boards, they need to know, if they're
16	allowing their executive director to buy a
17	property, that there will be funding over
18	multiple years, so in case you've maybe just
19	missed this application process with the
20	state, you know there will be a next one, and
21	you can feel comfortable that that property
22	will be financed.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Looking at
24	those that can live in the supportive

1	housing, and we've run across many and
2	what I see left out in the most recent RFP
3	are seniors who now are developing dementia
4	and Alzheimer's. As some of us get older,
5	that is something that we're finding more of.
6	Is that something that could be used using
7	the supportive housing model? Is that
8	something that we can expand to?
9	MS. MASCUCH: So currently

actually, individuals over the age of 55 is a growing population in the shelter system, and they come with a lot of chronic needs. We have probably, throughout the year, 7,000 to 10,000 seniors that cycle in and out of the shelter system.

So they do come with a variety of health and mental health needs, and our supportive housing providers have become much more adept at integrating housing and healthcare to really support them. If somebody was very sick with dementia, supportive housing would probably not be the appropriate place, because they might need 24-hour care.

1	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
2	Senator?
3	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: First of all, great
4	to see you. Thank you so much for everything
5	that you do.
6	And I just wanted to ask quickly about
7	a couple of things.
8	One of the issues that is really
9	important to me, but it's important to a lot
10	of people, is untreated mental illness. And
11	I know that you have served people and
12	that is a contributing factor to
13	homelessness. And as you pointed out,
L 4	homelessness is not just an urban problem,
15	it's a statewide problem. We have it in
16	rural areas also.
17	And the other issue that has really
18	emerged over the past several years and
19	it's always been there, but it's an exploding
20	crisis right now, as you know is the
21	opioid and heroin crisis. And we've passed
22	legislation, we've worked with the two
23	houses have worked together, we've worked

24 with the Governor, and we passed a really

1	comprehensive package last year. We'd like
2	to do more. But it's focusing on prevention,
3	treatment, recovery, and then the law
4	enforcement piece.

But where I see a real deficit is in the recovery piece. Because you may be able to get to treatment programs, not always, but you -- oftentimes, you go back to the same setting where you got addicted in the first place. So it's kind of this vicious cycle, and I think that's where supportive housing could be very beneficial.

But when you look at the higher level of need in supportive housing, it seems like the model doesn't always work because people may, in recovery or with mental illness, may need a higher level. And we're trying to establish a program for recovery in my district, and we can't seem to pigeonhole it where the funding works.

Have you run into that around the state, where there's a level in between and people are falling through the cracks and there doesn't seem to be a good solution?

1	MS. MASCUCH: Right. I think one
2	thing that was positive in this being a
3	statewide agreement is that the state's plan
4	actually is addressing people that are at
5	risk of homelessness. And so prior to this,
6	we had very structured silos of definitions
7	that people had to fit into, and it was
8	difficult, but that recovery population was
9	included. And now that the state has kind of
10	opened it up to at-risk as well, then it
11	might be the answer to that population.
12	I mean, you're very, very correct that
13	they need the supports to maintain the
14	sobriety, and going back to the same persons,
15	places, and things is not going to give them
16	that support to really continue on the road
17	of recovery.
18	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. I want
19	to continue to work together. There's a lot
20	of important things to do, so thank you.
21	MS. MASCUCH: Thank you. And thank
22	you for your support, Senator.
23	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Krueger.
24	SENATOR KRUEGER: Hi. So you were

1	here earlier when the HCR people were
2	testifying?
3	MS. MASCUCH: Yes.
4	SENATOR KRUEGER: So this is a
5	confusion for me. I agree, the MOU should
6	have been let me start again.
7	I don't like MOUs. I continue to
8	argue that's in violation of state budget
9	law, but we do them anyway. And the reason I
10	don't like them is because there's no
11	guarantee it's actually going to happen or
12	happen the way anyone thinks it should
13	happen. So on the one hand, I'm like, oh, a
14	little bit like I told you so.
15	But never mind that. We didn't get
16	the MOU signed. The Governor has now put the
17	money, line-itemed, into the new budget that
18	we're discussing today, plus an additional
19	\$500 million. This morning HCR talked about
20	that really there's a pipeline and some
21	amount of money started, and people are going
22	forward even though that MOU never got
23	signed, so it's not really a big deal if

we're a year later in the five-year

1	process that's sort of now I was hearing
2	them to get things rolling.
3	So what's true? I mean, are we not a
4	year behind where we needed to be? Are you
5	guys still rolling because you weren't
6	really going to be able to have shovel in the
7	ground, needing the money in the first four
8	months anyway, you know. I'm just curious.
9	MS. MASCUCH: Yeah. No
10	SENATOR KRUEGER: Where's reality?
11	And are we going to get the full amount by
12	Year 5 if we're doing 2.5 billion in Year 2
13	and almost zero in Year 1?
14	That's two questions.
15	MS. MASCUCH: So absolutely, there's
16	repercussions. If we were only doing one
17	year of funding, then it's not going to be
18	the way that we can count on it over the next
19	five years in order to get it done.
20	And even now, the way that the ESSHI
21	RFP came out, it came out right on top of the
22	deadlines for the capital programs, and so a
23	number of groups were not able to move very

quickly because it was kind of a truncated

1	process. So buildings that were in the
2	pipeline kind of benefited, but no one else
3	is in the pipeline.
4	So with the end of New York/New York
5	III, we're really facing a cliff of no
6	development because we've had no new funding
7	committed, really, for the last three years.
8	So this promise has continued to, you
9	know, go on and on with no actual dollars
10	except for the 150 million, which was a very
11	small part, as you know, of the 1.97 billion.
12	SENATOR KRUEGER: And what was your
13	understanding of the five-year commitment for
14	6,000 units? Right, if you're not getting
15	Year 1 money until Year 2, are you under the
16	impression you're going to be able to still
17	meet the 6,000-unit target within five years
18	as long as we are putting the real money in
19	and giving it to you?
20	And would we need more now,
21	granted, it wasn't all for supportive
22	housing, right?
23	MS. MASCUCH: Right.
24	SENATOR KRUEGER: But would we need to

1	put a guarantee of X amount in in Years 2, 3,
2	4, 5 to get to that target?
3	MS. MASCUCH: Right. So about
4	\$1 billion of the 2.5 is for supportive
5	housing. And that is allocated over the five
6	years. And the additional money that they
7	added in, the 526, is actually for money that
8	was supposed to come in in 2020, so now it's
9	actually there.
10	So we feel fairly confident, if we can
11	just get this plan appropriated and going,
12	that we'll have the funding to actually build
13	the 6,000 units.
14	SENATOR KRUEGER: Okay. So we will
15	have been late to get started, but if we
16	could do it right we can still get to the
17	target we had all thought we'd gotten to.
18	MS. MASCUCH: Right. Correct.
19	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
20	MS. MASCUCH: Thank you.
21	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
22	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
23	Next, Enterprise Community Partners.
24	Judi Kende, vice president and New York

1	market	leader.
⊥	III C L	TCUUCT.

MS. KENDE: Good afternoon. I want to thank Chair Farrell, Chair Young, Chair Little, and a special thank you and welcome to the new housing committee chair, Assemblymember Cymbrowitz. We are so excited to work closely with you on affordable housing issues in New York, and laud what you've done to date.

My name is Judi Kende, and I lead
Enterprise New York. Enterprise is a
national nonprofit organization that makes
well-designed homes affordable for low- and
moderate-income people. Since the New York
office opened in 1987, we have built or
preserved more than 57,000 affordable homes
for 150,000 New Yorkers, representing more
than \$3 billion invested.

For the sake of time, I'm going to abbreviate a little bit here, but I am so pleased to be joined by so many organizations representing affordable housing providers and community development groups from across the state.

1	We're not only here on behalf of our
2	own organizations, but also on behalf of the
3	coalition of affordable housing stakeholders
4	who are united in advocating for the release
5	of desperately needed funding for housing.
6	And we're frustrated that we have been
7	promised these funds over and over to no
8	avail. And like the others, I remind you
9	that it is not too late to sign the MOU.
10	You have heard over and over the
11	incredible need for affordable housing in th

You have heard over and over the incredible need for affordable housing in the state. Eighty thousand people in our homeless shelters; 1 million households in this state pay over half of their income on rent. And you hear from your own constituents the dire need and the horrible tradeoffs between paying rent and getting medicine, keeping the lights on, and the struggles of people — that the elderly and parents face.

New Yorkers have a wide array of housing needs, and we are fortunate to have as many programs and experts in the field to help address them. We support all funding

1	for the programs outlined in the 2017-2018
2	Executive Budget because we know that we must
3	provide housing for the most vulnerable
4	people in our state, struggling homeowners
5	and working families alike.
6	I'd like to talk specifically about
7	three programs that are top priorities for
8	Enterprise: Supportive housing, senior
9	housing with services, and public housing.
10	The first program I'd like to
11	highlight is supportive housing, and we
12	applaud you all, the 173 Assemblymembers and
13	26 Senators who signed the letter to
14	Governor Cuomo asking him to commit state
15	resources to create 20,000 units of
16	supportive housing. And in last year's State
17	of the State speech, the Governor did just
18	that. But we are back this year anxiously
19	awaiting the release that would lead to the
20	6,000 units of supportive housing over five
21	years. We feel strongly that the commitment
22	must be honored in the Executive Budget.
23	Next, I'd like to discuss the
24	incredible need for a statewide senior

housing program. Currently 197,000 seniors across the state are paying more than half of their income on rent, and 43 percent of them rely solely on Social Security. We're asking that funding for senior housing be included in the Executive Budget to provide the capital resources needed to build affordable homes for elderly New Yorkers.

And we believe it should be a dedicated affordable senior housing and services program that also includes an additional \$10 million for resident service coordinators, similar to the successful HUD 202 program to allow seniors to remain in their homes and avoid costly and undesirable outcomes like hospitalization or premature nursing home stays.

Finally, I urge the state to provide financial support for public housing. We are pleased to see the commitment in the Executive Budget for both upstate and downstate housing authorities, and we suggest three parameters. First, the \$100 million should go directly to NYCHA, bypassing other

1	bureaucracies. Second, the funding should be
2	allocated in one year instead of spread over
3	multiple years. Third, the funding should go
4	for NYCHA's infrastructure needs, things like
5	roofs, elevators, and boilers. They might
6	not make exciting ribbon-cuttings, but they
7	are essential to resident health and
8	well-being.

In addition to the overwhelming need for affordable housing funding, these programs are smart investments. Affordable housing is financed and operated through proven, effective public-private partnerships, meaning funding from the state leverages other sources, such as private investment. Affordable housing development creates jobs, both in construction and permanent building staff and property management, and generates local tax revenue, all while providing the most basic needs of your constituents -- a safe, quality, affordable home.

For these reasons, Enterprise joins countless groups and communities from every

1	region of the state asking the Legislature to		
2	lead on housing.		
3	Thank you.		
4	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very		
5	much.		
6	Questions?		
7	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.		
8	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Mr. Cymbrowitz.		
9	ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Thank you		
10	very much. Thank you for the great work that		
11	Enterprise does.		
12	I happen to agree with your		
13	priorities. In particular, many of us in the		
14	Assembly agree with the importance of NYCHA		
15	funding and agree that it should be done not		
16	only in one year, but more money and funds be		
17	allocated.		
18	Could you talk a little bit about what		
19	effect you think the new Trump administration		
20	will have not only on your priorities but the		
21	potential devastation to NYCHA if more funds		
22	are cut not only for their operating but for		

their capital as well?

MS. KENDE: This is a huge concern.

23

1	And when we look at the state of public	
2	housing funding, it has at the federal level	
3	decreased over the last several decades. At	
4	the same time quite dramatically at the	
5	same time, that Section 8 has stayed still or	
6	gone up slightly. And I think that there's	
7	really real concern that that trend	
8	continues.	
9	And when you look at NYCHA and realize	
10	that that is a trillion-dollar asset that	
11	New York has, it's old infrastructure and we	
12	absolutely must preserve and maintain it	
13	it's the only long-term source of affordable	
14	housing, and so we are definitely concerned	
15	about it and feel it's a critical	
16	investment before it deteriorates any	
17	further.	
18	ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Thank you.	
19	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.	
20	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. Have a	
21	good day.	
22	New York State Rural Advocates,	
23	Judy Eisgruber, president.	
24	MS. EISGRUBER: Good afternoon.	

1	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Good afternoon.
2	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Good afternoon.
3	MS. EISGRUBER: On behalf of the
4	New York State Rural Advocates and the
5	New York State Rural Housing Coalition, thank
6	you for this opportunity to present our
7	thoughts about the impact of the proposed
8	2017-2018 Executive Housing Budget
9	(Interruption.)
10	MS. EISGRUBER: on small towns
11	and rural places across our state. My name
12	is Judy Eisgruber, I am the president of
13	New York State Rural Advocates, and I am here
14	also to apologize that Blair Sebastian was
15	not able to make it today.
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Tell him hello.
17	MS. EISGRUBER: I am also joined today
18	by Colin McKnight. Colin is the acting
19	executive director of the New York State
20	Rural Housing Coalition, and together we
21	represent private and nonprofit
22	organizations, developers and architects,
23	engineers and accountants, that work to
24	address affordable housing needs in these

-	· -	
1	riiral	communities.

Our interests are focused on the

small-scale, community-based approach to

affordable housing and community development.

At the community level, this approach has

been extremely successful, as you know from

the work our members have accomplished in

your own districts.

Affordable housing in rural regions of our state is in crisis. Nearly 50 percent of rural renters pay more than 30 percent of their income to meet their housing needs, and a startling 14 percent of homeowners pay more than 50 percent of their income for housing costs.

As you know, rural New York's housing stock is old, with over a third having been built in 1939 or earlier. And using U.S.

Census data, the HAC -- the Housing

Assistance Council -- has determined that there are over 288,000, or one-third of occupied housing units in rural New York that are deemed to be inadequate by virtue of condition or affordability.

1	We want to remind everyone that over
2	71 percent of the rural housing stock is
3	occupied by owners, compared to a statewide
4	home ownership rate of 54 percent, and
5	therefore Rural Advocates are sensitive to
6	homeownership issues.
7	Foreclosures continue at very high

levels, and the presence of zombie homes
threaten the safety and values of rural
communities. The Rural Preservation Program
is now approaching 40 years of service and is
still providing leverage and match of well
over \$30 for every dollar in funding
provided.

When the program was created, it included a provision for what was then considered a statewide Super RPC to provide training and technical assistance to the RPCs. That Super RPC is now the New York State Rural Housing Coalition, which has only grown in its importance as a resource for rural communities.

Rural Advocates recommends an increase of \$690,000, to bring RPC funding to \$100,000

1	for each group and to provide \$250,000 for
2	the New York State Rural Housing Coalition.
3	Rural Advocates calls for an additional
4	\$500,000 to support five new RPCs in
5	currently unserved rural areas of the state,
6	for a total request of \$4.729 million from
7	the Mortgage Insurance Fund.
8	At this time I'd like to introduce
9	Colin, and he'll take it from here. Thank
LO	you.
11	MR. McKNIGHT: I appreciate having the
12	opportunity to share with you today some of
13	the exciting things that are going on in the
L 4	world of the Rural Preservation Companies and
15	at the Rural Housing Coalition.
16	If I can share any unifying theme with
17	you about the current state of the Rural
18	Preservation Program, it is creativity. We
19	are seeing radically different programs and
20	projects coming out of RPCs all across the

24 As an example, one of our RPCs has

new and exciting ways.

21

22

23

state, and I am extremely proud of how the

RPCs are responding to market challenges in

1	redeveloped an abandoned factory in a small
2	city neighborhood into apartments and a
3	workspace for lower-income artists' families.
4	The completion of this project has profoundly
5	impacted the surrounding neighborhood,
6	bringing in new businesses and residents.
7	This RPC is not resting on its laurels,
8	though, as it is already working on its next
9	big redevelopment, the county poor house.
10	Also, we are working with a trio of

Also, we are working with a trio of RPCs to develop a collaborative plan to rejuvenate hamlets and villages along Route 20 and Route 30 in Schoharie and Otsego counties. The concept is to bring together the programmatic strengths from each of these agencies to bring transformative change to America's Main Street in New York.

We are also assisting an RPC in the Finger Lakes to develop a homeless housing project in derelict downtown buildings in a small village. This project has secured most of the funding needed to get underway, and we are assisting with the application for Rural and Urban Community Investment Funds to fill

1	the remaining budget gap. This project has
2	already attracted new businesses to the
3	storefronts of the buildings in question.
4	Finally, we have nine RPCs that are
5	currently recruiting additional personnel
6	under the federal VISTA program. The Rural
7	Housing Coalition is the program sponsor, and
8	the nine VISTA members will be placed with
9	RPCs all across the state, from the
10	Hudson River to the shores of Lake Erie.
11	These VISTA members will be working on
12	research and grant-writing projects to allow
13	the host agencies to increase their programs
14	and services. The VISTAs will also be
15	working on improving digital media to improve
16	public relations, develop disaster plans, and
17	create operations manuals to improve the
18	efficiency of the host agencies.
19	This program is valued at \$315,000 in
20	staffing support for the RPCs, and the
21	coalition is very pleased that we can connect
22	the participating RPCs to this resource.
23	As you can imagine, finding new

resources to support the work of the RPCS is

1	never-ending. The Rural Housing Coalition is
2	grateful for the ongoing support of our RPC
3	technical assistance contract each year, but
4	the amount of the funding is simply not
5	enough to meet the need. The coalition has
6	been somewhat successful in securing grants
7	from small foundations to help fill the gap.
8	We want to recognize the John Ben Snow
9	Foundation and the Community Foundation of
10	South Central New York for their help with
11	this important RPC support work. However,
12	foundation funds will never be sufficient to
13	support our work with the RPCs.

Our industry is changing, so there are always new technical assistance needs. Our leadership is graying, so we have to be concerned about succession planning. In the last couple of years, we have lost many of the private consultants that worked in the housing rehab and community development field. This has left many small villages and towns across the state with no access to guidance on how to tap into available federal programs such as CDBG and HOME. Very small

1	communities simply can't afford to hire their
2	own community development staff.
3	The Rural Housing Coalition can help
4	fill that information gap, but we are
5	restricted from doing so under our current
6	technical assistance contract, which is
7	limited to serving the needs of RPCs. We
8	would like to suggest that the coalition is
9	ideally suited to serve the small
10	municipalities across the state, if we can
11	secure a similar TA contract targeted to
12	small municipality needs.
13	In order to help those isolated
14	communities, we are requesting an additional
15	\$100,000 from an appropriate source to
16	support this new service this year.
17	MS. EISGRUBER: As Colin points out,
18	one of the great strengths of the Rural
19	Preservation Program is that RPCs have the
20	freedom and flexibility to tailor their work
21	plans to address pressing and often unique
22	local needs.

One of those local needs that we are urging New York State government to do is to

1	provide \$10 million to support the network of
2	mortgage foreclosure service providers
3	through the balance of this year and to
4	provide an additional \$20 million for the
5	next full year of funding, for a total
6	funding request of \$30 million. As of
7	September 30th, we will no longer have the
8	Home Ownership Protection program funding
9	from the New York State Attorney General, it
10	will be over, and October 1st we will have no
11	funding for the program.
12	So we thank you for your
13	consideration, and thank you for having us
14	here.
15	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
16	much.
17	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. Please
18	give our regards to Blair, number one.
19	MS. EISGRUBER: I will.
20	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Number two, you've
21	heard us ask this morning about some of the
22	programs, but I also want to let you know
23	that Senator Little, who is the chair of the
24	Housing Committee in the Senate, is pushing

1	for additional funding for RPCs and NPCs.
2	So thank you.
3	MS. EISGRUBER: Thank you.
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So we'll see what
5	happens. But just so you know.
6	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
7	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: New York Housing
9	Conference, Rachel Fee, executive director.
10	And the next one will be Christie
11	Peale, Center for NYC Neighborhoods, and then
12	Edward Ubiera, Local Initiatives Support
13	Corporation. Will you come down to the front
14	so you like how I got it out? Come down,
15	so then we can get it a little faster.
16	And from now on, can you give us a
17	quick the document will be given, and it
18	will end up in the records. But it would
19	move a little faster if you just give us a
20	rundown of what you have here.
21	MS. FEE: Sure.
22	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Summarize, as they
23	say.

MS. FEE: Sure, I'd be happy to

summarize.	And	1'1	1 try	not to	repeat	tne
statements	from		other	groups	already	made

My name is Rachel Fee. I am executive director of the New York Housing Conference.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify, to Chairs Little, Farrell, and Cymbrowitz and other members of the Legislature.

New York Housing Conference is a nonprofit affordable housing policy and advocacy organization. As a broad-based coalition, our mission is to advance city, state and federal policies to support the development and preservation of decent and affordable housing for all New Yorkers.

Generally we are supportive of the housing priorities outlined in Governor Cuomo's budget to address statewide housing needs in a five-year affordable housing plan, including \$2 billion of reappropriated funds. Almost a year has passed since the \$2 billion was enacted in the 2017 budget. We estimate that about 10,000 affordable housing units could have been financed during this period.

Given the severity of our affordable

1	housing crisis, we have been deeply
2	disappointed with each passing day that
3	leaders of the Legislature and the Governor
4	have failed to come to an agreement on the
5	housing plan MOU. We now hope that
6	productive negotiations will take place in
7	advance of the April 1st budget deadline to
8	provide New York State's affordable housing
9	community certainty regarding the
10	availability of funds and programmatic
11	priorities, to ensure an adequate development
12	pipeline is available to meet New Yorkers'
13	dire need for affordable housing.
14	I'd also like to state that we urge
15	lawmakers to separate affordable housing
16	appropriations discussions from the 421-a tax
17	abatement program in order to avoid any
18	possible further delays of appropriations,
19	which will only exacerbate our affordable
20	housing crisis.
21	So you have my written testimony, and
22	I speak about the great need for affordable
23	housing in the state, including some
24	statistics on rent-burdened families, median

1	rent growing faster than household income,
2	our extremely low, emergency-level vacancy
3	rate. And I also talk about homelessness.
4	As we've heard already, 80,000 New Yorkers
5	are homeless across the state. We have the
6	second-highest state homelessness rate in the
7	country.

We think that the Governor's plan as outlined provides a good framework for investment to meet many of these housing needs. And specifically I'd like to highlight the need for senior housing as well as homelessness. We're in support of the allocation for supportive housing, for senior housing, in addition to the allocation indicated in the Governor's budget proposal. We'd also like to see \$10 million dedicated to a resident service coordinator program to ensure adequate and appropriate staffing for senior housing.

Another top priority of ours is preservation of public housing. Our public housing stock is deteriorating and in dire need of capital investment. We support the

1	Governor's proposal for housing authorities'
2	capital funding outside of New York City, and
3	we think that the \$100 million commitment for
4	NYCHA is sufficient for an annual commitment.
5	Given the significant capital backlog at the
6	New York City Housing Authority, we'd like to
7	see no less than \$100 million annually in a
8	five-year commitment.

We would also like to point out that additional funds may be dedicated to statewide housing needs from the \$1.7 billion in unallocated settlement funds which are outlined in the Governor's budget. And this could ensure sufficient capital is available to achieve the Governor's affordable housing plan goals while also ensuring decent and healthy living conditions for NYCHA residents.

I'm going to summarize my final remarks here. So in addition to the additional funding for NYCHA that we're seeking, we're also supportive of home stability support, a new rent supplement program to assist families in jeopardy of

1	homelessness, and in addition to this we're
2	supportive of efforts to support
3	homeownership, as indicated in the Governor's
4	budget.
5	I'd like to add that we also want to

I'd like to add that we also want to see continued support for foreclosure prevention programs which are not included in the Governor's budget.

We also recommend reforming the mansion tax to ensure dedicated funding for affordable housing programs. This funding should be targeted towards -- reform should be targeted towards high-end luxury sales, especially for non-primary residences. This new revenue can be used as a safeguard against anticipated federal cuts to housing programs.

Overall, Governor Cuomo's budget

provides a solid framework for investment and
affordable housing across the state with a

strategic vision to tackle a variety of
important housing needs by using state

funding to leverage additional public and
private funding to build and preserve

1	affordable housing. Of course, this effort
2	will create jobs and also spur economic
3	development in communities across the state.
4	Today I have offered recommendations
5	for important additions to this plan that
6	will better position the state to tackle
7	homelessness, plan for our growing senior
8	population, preserve valued public housing,
9	assist homeowners facing foreclosure, and
10	prepare for potential federal cuts. I hope
11	the Assembly and Senate will consider
12	adopting these policy priorities in the
13	2018 budget.
14	Thank you for this opportunity.
15	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
16	Questions?
17	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
18	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Mr. Cymbrowitz.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Thank you,
20	Rachel.
21	Just a little clarification on the
22	mansion tax proposal. Are we talking about
23	the state mansion tax, or are we talking
24	about the new proposal by the mayor in which

1	ne wants to use those runds for rental
2	assistance to seniors?
3	MS. FEE: Yeah, so we would be
4	supportive of the mayor's program for having
5	another tier in the mansion tax. And I would
6	say a third tier could even be proposed to
7	target even higher-end luxury sales to
8	provide a dedicated revenue source for
9	affordable housing.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Okay. Thank
11	you very much.
12	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
13	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
14	SENATOR KRUEGER: Appreciate your
15	work. Thank you.
16	MS. FEE: Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Edward Ubiera,
18	director of policy, Local Initiatives Support
19	Corporation, LISC.
20	No, I jumped one. Yes, come on down
21	and I'll get the other one.
22	Chris Peale is next. I jumped over
23	you.

MS. PEALE: Good afternoon.

1	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Good afternoon.
2	SENATOR KRUEGER: Afternoon.
3	MS. PEALE: You have my written
4	testimony. I guess I should have known it
5	would be afternoon by the time I got up here.
6	So thank you for your time. My name
7	is Christie Peale, executive director at the
8	Center for NYC Neighborhoods. I would like
9	to thank Committee Chairs Young, Farrell,
10	Little, and Cymbrowitz for holding today's
11	hearing on the housing-related proposals in
12	the Governor's Executive Budget.
13	I will be primarily speaking to the
14	need for foreclosure prevention services
15	funding, which you've heard about several
16	times already. But I also stand strongly
17	with our coalition partners that you've heard
18	from already: Enterprise, the New York
19	Housing Conference, SHNNY, and you'll hear
20	from LISC next in calling for the release of
21	the funds promised in the five-year,
22	\$2 billion housing plan.
23	So the Center for NYC Neighborhoods
24	promotes and protects affordable

1	homeownership in New York so that middle- and
2	working-class families are able to build
3	strong, thriving communities. We were
4	established by public and private partners,
5	and we meet the diverse needs of homeowners
6	throughout New York State by offering free,
7	high-quality housing services.

Since our founding we've served over 40,000 homeowners. We have provided approximately \$33 million in direct grants to community-based partners, and we have been able to leverage this funding to oversee another \$30 million in indirect funding support. Major funding sources include not only local sources, HPD and the City Council, but also in the past we've received funding from New York State Homes and Community Renewal, the Office of the Attorney General, and other public and private funders.

Before diving into this year's budget,

I'd like to acknowledge the incredible gains

for New York homeowners realized in last

year's legislative session. The zombie

property reforms, the establishment of the

Community Restoration Fund, improvements to the state settlement conference law, and the creation of the Homeowner Bill of Rights are all a strong testament to the commitment of New York's leadership to homeowners and their communities. We commend the Governor, the Senate and the Assembly for your leadership and dedication to this issue.

We are also greatly appreciative of
the Governor's existing and pending reverse
mortgage proposal, which would extend the
protections of settlement conferences for
senior homeowners in reverse mortgage
foreclosures. This is a very smart move, and
it will ensure that vulnerable homeowners
have the opportunity to learn about their
rights and options, as well as get connected
to legal assistance and other services,

Despite these positive gains, we are disappointed to see that the 2017-'18

Executive Budget does not include funding for foreclosure prevention services. While they're included, they were once funded through the State Budget and administered by

1	HCR. Since 2011, the program has been funded
2	and separately administered through the use
3	of one-time settlement funding. And all of
4	that funding, as you heard earlier, will
5	permanently expire in 2017.

This funding is crucial to ensuring that New Yorkers fighting to keep their homes do not get cut off from attorneys and housing counselors, and that robust services continue to meet the emerging needs of homeowners -- and particularly as there's been a recent spike in reverse mortgage foreclosures.

Therefore, we are proud members of the Protect New York Homes campaign, which we hope you all saw on Monday, giving out keys with hearts on them, explaining the current need for existing foreclosure services and the folks that have been hit very hard by the crisis and helped so far with existing funding.

The Protect New York Homes campaign seeks \$30 million for HCR in foreclosure prevention funding over the next two years.

Current funding expires in September 2017, so

1	we're respectfully requesting \$10 million to
2	maintain services for the 2017-2018 fiscal
3	year, with \$20 million needed to continue
4	services in 2018-2019.

I'd just like to take a moment to thank all the legislators who met with the coalition on Monday and who took part in our press conference, and everybody who has currently expressed support for the funding so far. We are greatly appreciative.

There's some information in my
testimony about the ongoing need of
foreclosure prevention services. I know
those of you who serve homeowners know how
dire the need is. You've heard from your
constituents, and you'll hear from other of
our partners later today. But I wanted to
make sure that I focused on how impactful the
services are, so I'm going to skip to the
section that talks about how our services
work.

As Chair Cymbrowitz observed earlier today, housing services are not just about individuals, but they have a direct impact on

1	communities, and there's a real economic
2	impact as well. And that's certainly the
3	case in homeownership services.

So our service providers are there for homeowners in every county, as you'll see in the materials that we handed out earlier. We serve Western New York, Southern Tier,

Central New York, the Hudson Valley,

Long Island, New York City -- we're everywhere in New York State where there is a need.

we've developed incredibly strong expertise and a track record when it comes to helping homeowners in foreclosure. In 2016, our network helped 26,000 families, at an average cost of \$770 per home. When we talk about all of the housing needs that are out there, I want us to think about foreclosure prevention services as a real opportunity to make a nominal investment that can prevent us having to spend a lot more money further down the line in other much more costly housing.

So this, to me, is an opportunity for us to be penny-wise as opposed to

pound-foolish.	We can	really ke	eep people	in
existing afford	able ho	using now	with this	
nominal investm	ent in	critical :	services.	

Obviously we need to be able to create a continuum of housing opportunities, from NYCHA to senior housing to Mitchell-Lama, but we really need to be able to keep people in their homes right now so we don't increase the burden on other sources of affordable housing that we're trying to develop.

Eight thousand of these families live in New York City; 18,000 live throughout the state. Our call center that we run in partnership with this network took 9,000 calls from homeowners throughout New York who are looking for help with their mortgages, and we helped homeowners obtain more than 10,000 home-saving modifications. That's just a huge impact.

And I wanted to talk about the impact not only on families, but it also helps tenants who might rent units in their homes.

Obviously, we can intuit that the benefits of housing stability have a huge impact on

1	children, and affordable modifications puts
2	money back in our communities, right? These
3	are dollars that can now be spent in our
4	cities and towns across the state.
5	An average loan modification saves the
6	homeowner S600 per month. For the 2,600
7	New York City homeowners who obtained
8	modifications in 2016, that represents a
9	savings of \$1.5 million per month, or
10	\$18.7 million per year and that's in
11	New York City alone. That savings makes a
12	huge difference for the working- and

middle-class families we serve.

The benefits also extend to
neighboring properties. In New York State,
for every foreclosure averted, approximately
\$260,000 in equity is also saved for homes
within 750 feet. That's the aggregate
property value that would have vanished if
the homeowner had gone into foreclosure. The
figure varies around the state. Depending on
the density, in New York City the ripple
effect could be \$740,000; on Long Island,
\$280,000; in the Capital Region, that loss

1	could be \$34,	,000. Sc	o a home go	es into
2	foreclosure,	and the	neighbors	lose equity

I want to make sure that you have a chance to look at the testimony from the Empire Justice Center that's been submitted into the record. They're a very -- they've been leading the fight on our Protect

New York Homes: Foreclosure Prevention

Services Work campaign, and they have a lot of information in their testimony as well about the economic impact of our work.

One other point that's not in my

testimony that I want to make sure to raise,

the state has been fortunate to receive

funding from the Goldman Sachs settlement for

zero-percent foreclosure prevention loans for

homeowners across New York State. So this is

a -- these are for homes that -- they can be

used to save manufactured housing, homeowners

that are at risk of losing their home due to

a reverse mortgage, lots of other causes of

default.

And the distribution of that funding is absolutely dependent on this network of

1	providers. So not only will we lose the
2	impact to the families, we'll lose the impact
3	to the communities, we'll lose the
4	opportunity to leverage tens of millions of
5	dollars in these zero-percent loans that go
6	directly to homeowners across the state.

So I have additional information on that program, it's called the New York State MAP program. And again, it helped homeowners in every part of the state in its previous iteration. We have an opportunity to make a huge impact for New York State, but we really need the network of homeowner advocates in place to be able to make that funding go as far as it can go.

So I just wanted to close by saying thank you. We really appreciate the great progress that we've made in tackling the foreclosure crisis in partnership with the legislators at the state. But without this network, it's very difficult for homeowners and communities to take advantage of any of these resources. For these reasons, we urge the Senate, the Assembly, and the Governor to

1	reach a state budget agreement that includes
2	\$30 million for HCR for foreclosure
3	prevention services.
4	Thank you very much for the
5	opportunity to testify, and I welcome any
6	questions if you have them.
7	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
8	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblywoman Niou
10	to speak.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NIOU: Hi. Thank you.
12	Thank you for coming and testifying.
13	I understand that your organization
14	has been very involved with Hurricane Sandy
15	recovery.
16	MS. PEALE: That's correct.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NIOU: And particularly
18	around assisting low- and middle-income
19	homeowners. I understand that insurance
20	around these issues and natural disasters are
21	really difficult to navigate around, and I
22	commend you for that work.
23	So I represent Lower Manhattan
24	MS. PEALE: Yes.

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NIOU: which was
2	impacted a lot by Hurricane Sandy and has a
3	high concentration of renters as well as
4	homeowners, particularly low-income residents
5	living in NYCHA properties. What do you
6	think should be the state's priorities when
7	it comes to assisting both low-income and
8	middle-income homeowners and renters recover
9	from Hurricane Sandy and other natural
10	disasters?
11	MS. PEALE: Thank you for that
12	question.
13	I would just like to take an
14	opportunity to recognize a program that the
15	Governor's Office of Storm Recovery is
16	administering called the Residential
17	Technical Assistance Pilot Program. Lower
18	Manhattan is one of the pilot neighborhoods.
19	And in partnership with Enterprise Community
20	Partners, we're working with not only
21	multifamily buildings but single-family
22	property owners to understand their future
23	costs around flood insurance.
24	So I greatly encourage any property

1	owners that might be interested in working
2	with that program to get in touch with us or
3	Enterprise and we'll help them get access to
4	an audit that can not only understand how
5	they can lower their flood insurance but
6	maybe offer future green opportunities as
7	well.
8	So that's one way in which the state
9	has shown great leadership in this area, and
10	we're really happy to be partnering with them
11	on that program. I think future costs around
12	flooding are going to be something we all
13	need to work together to monitor,
14	particularly given that the National Flood
15	Insurance Program is up for reauthorization
16	in 2017.
17	So I would just ask for your
18	partnership as we work together to identify
19	new opportunities and also just to leverage
20	the opportunities we have right now to get

ASSEMBLYWOMAN NIOU: Absolutely. One of the things that I have been discussing with a lot of my colleagues is the FEMA

the existing funding out, on the ground.

1	drawdown and the lock-in funding. How do you
2	think that how better can we move forward
3	with that?
4	MS. PEALE: I'm probably not the best
5	person to answer that question on the spot,
6	but I can get back to you with some
7	additional information about the FEMA
8	drawdown.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NIOU: Great. Thank you
10	so much.
11	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
12	Our next speaker is Edward Ubiera,
13	director of policy for the Local Initiatives
14	Support Corporation.
15	Welcome. Thank you for being here.
16	MR. UBIERA: Thank you.
17	Thank you, members of the joint fiscal
18	committees, for the opportunity to testify
19	here today in the matter of the proposed
20	Executive Budget. A special thanks to the
21	committee chairs: Chair Young, Chair
22	Farrell, Chair Cymbrowitz. And good to see
23	you, Senator Krueger.
24	My name is Edward Ubiera. I'm the

policy di	rector for	LISC's New	York City
program,	and I will	be deliveri	ng testimony
on their	behalf.		

LISC is a national nonprofit community development financial institution supporting local champions of equitable development with financing, capacity building, and technical assistance. For almost 40 years, we've been on the ground building affordable housing and improving communities in collaboration with mission-driven organizations, government partners, and corporate leaders. We've helped rebuild neighborhoods across New York City by investing over \$2 billion in capital, resulting in over 40,000 units of housing and 2 million square feet of commercial space.

We also have a vibrant practice in Buffalo where, since 1998, we have deployed over \$100 million in investments resulting in roughly 2,000 units of affordable housing.

At LISC we are keenly aware that there exists a crisis in housing affordability and supply throughout New York State impacting virtually all segments of society, including

1	working families, seniors, homeowners,
2	very-low-income renters in public housing,
3	and households experiencing hazardous living
4	conditions. You've heard many of the
5	statistics from some of the other advocates:
6	50 percent of renters in the state being
7	rent-burdened; 80,000 persons sleeping in
8	shelters on any given night in the state;
9	unacceptably high waiting lists for senior
10	housing; and public housing that has an
11	aggregate unmet capital repair need
12	throughout the state, not only in New York
13	City, that is in the millions if not
14	billions.
15	We were proud to endorse the
16	Governor's \$20 billion five-year plan to
17	create and preserve 100,000 units of
18	affordable housing and 6,000 new units of
19	supportive housing last year. In our view,
20	and relative to the housing needs felt across
21	the state, we felt that this plan was
22	appropriately ambitious in size and scope.
23	And as members of this committee were aware,
24	that enacted budget stipulated that housing

1	funds were to be authorized in accordance
2	with a memorandum of understanding once
3	programmatic guidelines were to be agreed to
4	by the Legislature and the Governor.

Despite strong encouragement by the affordable housing community, that comprehensive MOU was not fully signed. However, we are very heartened this year that the capital commitments reflected in the proposed 2018 budget reflect our priorities, and particularly we are heartened to see that those priorities include supportive housing, new construction, preservation of low-income rental housing, new construction of senior housing, public housing, rehabilitation of public housing, as well as commitments for homeownership.

To supplement those capital commitments we would like to support our colleagues at LeadingAge and LiveOn and New York Housing Conference in proposing an allocation of \$10 million for a new residence service coordinator program. We agree with their assessment that service coordination is

1	a cost-effective measure to allow seniors to
2	age in place and avoid expensive nursing
3	homes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

State capital funds are vitally important in creating certainty and predictability in the housing finance marketplace. Developers, lenders, and investors cannot effectively set budgets for site acquisition and construction if there is uncertainty on the availability of public subsidies. By some estimates, in 2016 roughly 1,000 new units of affordable housing were not planned or built in each month that the MOU was not signed. Given the size and scope of the housing needs statewide, the certainty of a fully funded, multiyear housing plan is needed in order to develop the robust housing pipelines across the state.

Further, the changing landscape at the federal level has also added additional urgency to clarifying state commitments of housing resources. Likely cuts to non-defense discretionary spending may impact

Τ	HUD'S budget. Potential changes in rederal
2	tax policy may impact the future of housing
3	credits. Committing state resources now will
4	allow developers and lenders to plan ahead
5	and think creatively on how to plug funding
6	gaps resulting from changes in federal
7	policy.
8	In closing, we strongly encourage
9	state leaders to follow through on their
10	commitment and reach an agreement to provide
11	landmark plans for a robust, multiyear
12	statewide housing plan.
13	Thank you.
14	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you,
15	Mr. Ubiera.
16	Any questions from the Assembly?
17	Senator Krueger.
18	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
19	So LISC builds affordable housing. A
20	lot.
21	MR. UBIERA: Yes. Well, we help
22	finance it.
23	SENATOR KRUEGER: You help finance it.
24	I think your testimony is you have

1	helped finance \$2 billion worth of housing in
2	New York City.
3	MR. UBIERA: We facilitated that level
4	of investment.
5	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
6	Do you think it's a good business deal
7	to spend \$100 to get \$11 worth of affordable
8	housing? And do you think we need to do that
9	in 2017?
10	MR. UBIERA: We haven't taken an
11	official position on the Governor's proposal.
12	As some context, the mission-driven
13	developers that have accessed our capital,
14	our technical resources, typically have
15	utilized other tax incentive programs like
16	420-c or locally based tax incentive programs
17	like J-51 or Article XI.
18	I would say that for any tax incentive
19	program, there should be a balance between
20	public benefit and fiscal impact. So to the
21	extent that those two are met in the
22	Governor's proposal, I think that additional
23	discussion is needed.

SENATOR KRUEGER: And do you think if

1	we invited people like you, and Enterprise
2	was here and ANHD, I think, is coming if
3	we put you in a room and said, If you had
4	\$2 billion a year of taxpayer money to build
5	affordable housing in New York City, do you
6	think you could come up with a better plan
7	than the one the Governor's proposed?
8	MR. UBIERA: That's an exciting
9	proposal. Certainly we would like to be
10	invited to have that kind of discussion.
11	So
12	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
13	MR. UBIERA: Thank you.
14	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. I think
15	we're done, so thank you for participating.
16	Now I want people to come in the
17	queue. Because if you're going to speak, if
18	you could come down closer, that would be
19	helpful.
20	But next we have John Broderick,
21	senior policy analyst from LeadingAge
22	New York.
23	Following Mr. Broderick will be
24	NeighborWorks Alliance of New York State.

1	And following Hilary will be the Association
2	for Neighborhood and Housing Development. So
3	if everybody could get ready, that would be
4	helpful.
5	Welcome, Mr. Broderick.
6	MR. BRODERICK: Thank you very much.
7	I appreciate the opportunity to testify
8	today.
9	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: We do too.
10	MR. BRODERICK: LeadingAge New York is
11	part of a national organization of the same
12	name that represents nonprofit providers of
13	long-term care. That includes nursing homes,
14	assisted living, and independent senior
15	housing which I'm here to talk about
16	today and also continuing care retirement
17	communities, home-and-community-based
18	services, provider-sponsored managed
19	long-term care plans.
20	When I wrote my testimony I said that
21	we were very pleased that there is
22	\$125 million over five years in the
23	Governor's proposed budget. But after
24	hearing incoming Commissioner Visnauskas'

1	testimony, we're more than pleased, we're
2	elated and overjoyed that money is there.
3	And we encourage you to work to ensure that
4	that money stays in the adopted budget.

However, we would like to add there's something that's missing in that proposed budget, which is language that would create a new program so that senior housing applications were competing against other senior housing applications and not just in the whole pool.

We have been talking to staff about what that language might look like. There's precedent over the past four or five years.

There have been three or four new sections of the Private Housing Finance Law that have been added to target specific populations.

We hope that you'll agree with us and ensure that that language in some form makes it into your one-house budgets and hopefully into the adopted budget and into law. That's what we really think it will take to get developers back into the business of senior housing with the right kind of supportive services

4						
	necessary	$+ \cap$	SATVA	\cap 11 r	adind	population
_	riccobbary	\sim	DCTVC	Our	aging	populacion

The 10,000 people per day that have been turning 65, that's been happening for five years. So now it's 10,000 people per day turning 70. And we've known this for a long time. We've known this is coming.

Yesterday afternoon we sent an email to all of your inboxes that has a link to a report by the Bipartisan Policy Center called "Healthy Aging Begins at Home." I will refer you to that, because it is chock-full of great information. They did a ton of research, they have great findings that really articulate this problem, the demographic changes that our country is going through, and makes dozen of recommendations in a number of different areas.

The main area is the affordable housing supply. This report talks about there are 11.2 million extremely low income renters competing for 4.3 million affordable apartments; 2.6 million of those people are elderly households without children. So in New York, in a place that is so heavily --

1	the	housing	stock	is	so	heavily	multifamily,
2	that	. is ever	n more	acı	ıte	_	

So it's really time for us to have 3 policy catch up with these demographic 4 5 changes and really invest in senior housing in a bigger way than we have before. The HUD 6 7 Section 202 program, as you know, has been the primary source of financing for 8 9 affordable senior housing for the past 10 40 years in this country. Unfortunately, the program was discontinued in 2011 as a new 11 12 source of capital. We're very thankful that the ongoing rental assistance has been 13 14 continually funded, and that is going to be a 15 big challenge over the next several years in 16 Washington. And we're working very closely with our partner organizations around the 17 18 country to ensure that HUD continues to keep 19 up that commitment.

But as a capital source for development of new housing, 202 is no longer there. You know the importance of 202s because you have them in your districts and you've been there, and you probably have

20

21

22

23

1	friends and relatives who have been in those
2	202s. We think they're not just a great
3	source of housing, but a great source a
4	great place to work with people to keep them
5	healthy and to keep them independent and out
6	of institutional settings, which as you know
7	are much more expensive.

So you've heard the term "housing is healthcare." You've heard that -- you know, you've heard this in the context of supportive housing for many years, and that could not possibly be more true when talking about the elderly population. And while

New York State has made tremendous strides in reducing Medicaid expenses by targeting prevention programs to currently high-cost

Medicaid users, we just don't have such a program yet for people who are headed toward Medicaid-funded nursing homes. We think that that solution is important and right at our fingertips.

Aging in place. I think it's kind of a misused, overused term. I've enjoyed it in this place with you, all morning here, for

1	the past four and a half hours, but
2	(Laughter.)
3	MR. BRODERICK: Senator Young, you
4	described the 80-year-old woman aging in
5	place in a deteriorated mobile home, and I
6	know there are people aging in place in
7	five-floor walkups in the South Bronx and in
8	Brooklyn, and I know that there are people
9	who are aging in place in large houses that
10	they no longer need but don't have a place to
11	move to.
12	So this Bipartisan Policy Center
13	report talks about "aging with options," and
L 4	I think it's a better term. And we need to
15	grow ourselves into that. But in order for
16	our seniors to be able to age in healthy and
17	safe ways with options that really work for
18	them and their families, we have some work to
19	do here in New York and around the country.
20	So I'm glad that several of my
21	colleagues have mentioned already the
22	resident service coordinator program that we
23	have proposed. About half of the 202s around

the country have resident service

coordinators, people who are there on-site to help people make use of social service and healthcare systems so that they can remain independent.

We think it's time that New York State create such a program. Ten million dollars will get us about 140 new residence service coordinators. A Medicaid-funded nursing home bed is between \$30,000 and \$50,000 per year. We're proposing that the resident service coordinator program be \$70,000 grants, and that means if one resident service coordinator keeps two people from going prematurely into a Medicaid-funded nursing home, they've paid for themselves.

We think -- as in supportive housing,
we think independent senior housing with
services has tremendous potential for savings
on the Medicaid and healthcare side of the
budget -- not only in reducing premature or
inappropriate entry into Medicaid-funded
nursing homes, but also more effective use of
primary care, reduction of hospitalizations
and rehospitalizations, which is very common

1 among elders.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 So we're once again very happy about 3 the capital investment; we think there needs to be a program. We think that capital 4 5 program needs to be linked with the supportive services. We think the way this 6 could work is if \$10 million were to be 7 transferred from the housing side of the 8 budget to the New York State Office for the 9 10 Aging so that they could handle doing 11 requests for proposals, for resident service 12 coordinators, and then organizations could do HCR for the capital. That's how it's been 13 14 working very well with the supportive housing 15 model; we think it just needs to be extended 16 to the elderly population.

So New York State has a long history,
a proud history of serving our elderly
population. New York State Homes and
Community Renewal has done a lot for elders
over the years, but with the changing
demographics, with the elimination of 202, we
think it's time to double down here and move
in the direction of creating a real program

1	that will sustain itself over the years.
2	So thank you very much for the
3	opportunity.
4	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
5	much.
6	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
7	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
8	MR. BRODERICK: Thanks.
9	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Hilary Lamishaw,
10	director, NeighborWorks Alliance of New York
11	State.
12	MS. LAMISHAW: Good afternoon.
13	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Good afternoon.
L 4	SENATOR KRUEGER: Good afternoon.
15	MS. LAMISHAW: My name is Hilary
16	Lamishaw, and I'm the director of
17	NeighborWorks Alliance of New York State,
18	which represents 19 community-based housing
19	organizations throughout the state that are
20	affiliated with the national NeighborWorks
21	America company.
22	I want to start by thanking you for
23	both your support and interest in housing as
24	well as your tenacity and endurance in being

1	able	to	sit	through	heari	ings	and	hear	а	lot
2	of t	echr	nical	Linforma	ation	arou	and l	nousir	ng.	

I was going to be joined by one of my member groups, Neighborhood Housing Services of New York City, and unfortunately the CEO was unable to make it at the last minute.

I've attached her testimony here, and of course she's always available for questions and follow-up specifically to her agency.

I'm not going to read the testimony,
I'll spare you that, and I will just sort of
highlight a couple of things.

One is my organizations do a variety of housing services from real estate development, asset management, et cetera, to a lot of housing counseling and, unfortunately, now a lot of foreclosure prevention services as well.

But before I get to that, one of the things that about half my groups do is community-based lending as CDFIs, community development financial institutions. And so even though that budget issue is not at your table, I'm going to take advantage of you

1	here and just sort of urge that there be
2	increased funding to this New York State CDFI
3	fund, because for every dollar that New York
4	State puts into it, you can leverage a
5	federal dollar of it. And it really does
6	fill a huge gap at the community-based level
7	for capital for homeowners, homebuyers,
8	repairs, and that kind of thing.

prevention services. You've heard a lot about it already, and many of you met with folks earlier this week. So we're a member of the statewide campaign that Christie referred to. We're a member of the statewide network which funds 94 agencies, housing counseling, and legal services. Many of the housing counseling agencies are upstate, by the way.

And I do want to mention that there's no other discrete funding for foreclosure prevention services. I think that the incoming commissioner alluded to some funding towards it, and really there's very limited HUD housing counseling, which is sometimes

1	seen as funding. Foreclosure prevention
2	typically doesn't it's really for
3	first-time homebuyers, and so you have to be
4	very careful about who you're counseling on
5	which money.

So the state's foreclosure prevention money that right now -- which is at the Attorney General's office and which is ending as of September 30th, means that there's going to be no other money to have staff on board to be able to do this critical work.

At TRIP, Troy Rehabilitation and
Improvement Program, we're based in Troy,
New York. We have two staff under the HOPP,
the Homeowner Protection Program -- one's a
full-time housing counselor doing just
foreclosure prevention, the other's an intake
specialist -- and a caseload of 140 cases,
some of which linger for years. But our
counsel was successful in getting 49 mortgage
modifications last year -- which is really a
drop in the bucket compared to the need, but
it's probably 49 more than people would have
gotten without having a housing counselor or

legal services that advocate for them.

I do want to mention a little bit the impact on communities, or the impact of foreclosures in general. And I'll point out that at the back of my testimony I have attached a two-page sort of fact sheet about the economic impact. This was put together for the statewide campaign, and it was put together by Empire Justice Center, which has done great work on the campaign in general, and I think you'll find that information pretty interesting.

One of the -- I think the real problem, I guess, of the foreclosure crisis is not only the impact it has on families and disruption in credit and all that kind of stuff, but it really has a huge impact on communities and in some ways undoes decades of work that some of us not-for-profits have been doing in the community. So we've been doing, at TRIP, community revitalization work for some 40 years. Our homeowners have all been counseled, they're pretty stable, but around them we see people, you know, being

1	foreclosed on, leaving, vacancies and all
2	that kind of stuff. And it really does
3	impact the state's investment, the money that
4	we've used that you have appropriated in the
5	past that there's nothing we can really do
6	about that.

And we have been -- we are partnered with the state on the Neighborhood

Revitalization Program. They're depending on us to get homebuyers, owner-occupants, into 30 to 50 vacant buildings in the City of Troy in the next year and a half. That's a challenge, it's going to be difficult, but we're up for the challenge. They have asked us to participate with them in the community restoration programs or to do outreach when they buy notes for those that are in our service area, to do outreach and to counsel people in default.

But one of the things I do want to clarify, because I know it came up earlier -- I think with Senator Bailey, who raised a question about zombie homes and the impact that that has. And I know the Commissioner

1	talked about we're dealing with zombie homes
2	through the Neighborhood Revitalization
3	Program.

I would beg to differ with that. My understanding of zombie homes is that those are homes where people who were foreclosed on got their letter, left, disappeared, can't find them, and the lender hasn't proceeded with the foreclosure. So there's no real owner of that property, hence being a zombie.

So that's decimating some of the communities. In the City of Troy, again, where we have 600 vacant homes on the city's registry, about a third of them are believed to be zombies. Which means that you can't do anything with them until a lender is forced to go through a foreclosure process. If you can't find the owner of record, you can't sell that property, you can't get a buyer to that property no matter how much subsidy, you know, the state is giving us.

So again, the best recourse for that is really prevention in the first place. So to the extent that we can get to people as

soon as they're in arrears, as soon as they get a 90-day pre-foreclosure notice, to the extent that we can try to keep them in their house or, if they need to leave, we can help find subsequent homebuyers, I think that's really key. So Christie's comment was "penny-wise and pound-foolish," and it clearly applies in this case.

So moving on, preservation programs,
we know many of you are very supportive. We,
along with our colleagues -- we support our
colleagues' recommendation to go back to last
year's levels, which are a little bit higher
than this year's levels, as someone pointed
out earlier. We're very interested in seeing
the money involved in the MOU out on the
streets. We have a huge need for capital to
be able to do the things across the state
that my groups want to do.

We understand that there's not a lot of detail in some of the programs, and we want to offer that we're happy to engage you in discussions at any point, at any time, to figure out what the best programs are to meet

1	the needs that we see in our communities.
2	So thank you so much, and appreciate
3	your time.
4	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
6	Senator Krueger.
7	SENATOR KRUEGER: Hi. Just one
8	question, Hilary.
9	MS. LAMISHAW: Sure.
10	SENATOR KRUEGER: So it's been my
11	experience now, I guess over the last 15
12	years, that we play this game, what I'll call
13	whack-a-mole. So the same players who were
14	ripping off people with fake mortgages and
15	then stealing deeds and then this and then
16	that, we keep catching up with them and then
17	we outlaw that or we say "You can't do
18	that" and then they just pop up, literally
19	the same human beings, under a different
20	company name with a new gimmick.
21	What's the newest gimmick to rip off
22	poor homeowners?
23	MS. LAMISHAW: You know, that's a

really good question, and I'm not sure.

1	I would say the scam artists are still
2	out there, and that's the ones who say "For a
3	small investment, I'm going to solve all your
4	credit issues, give me your money." We often
5	see people who come to us saying they've
6	given \$2,000, \$4,000, \$8,000 to someone who
7	was going to save their home, save their
8	life. And so I think that's still a constant
9	one.
10	So I think it's really that people
11	need more basic education, financial
12	education, and need to know that those kind
13	of magical solutions don't work that way.
14	So I would say to the extent that we
15	can get more financial literacy out earlier
16	to people, that would be helpful. Because
17	those the moles are always going to be
18	back, and it's going to look different from
19	time to time.
20	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
21	MS. LAMISHAW: Thanks.
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
24	Benjamin Dulchin, executive director,

1	Association for Neighborhood and Housing
2	Development.
3	Next will be Stuart Kaplan.
4	Good afternoon.
5	MR. DULCHIN: Hi, good afternoon.
6	I will be very brief. I will just
7	summarize my remarks, out of respect for the
8	many hours, attentive hours, you guys have
9	spent this morning. And thank you for that.
10	So good afternoon. My name is
11	Benjamin Dulchin, and I am the executive
12	director of the Association for Neighborhood
13	and Housing Development. I want to thank
14	Chairs Little, Farrell, Young, and Cymbrowitz
15	for holding this hearing and for allowing me
16	to testify this morning on the 2018 budget.
17	ANHD, the Association for Neighborhood
18	and Housing Development, is the umbrella
19	organization of now 102 neighborhood-based,
20	mission-driven affordable housing and
21	economic development organizations across all
22	five boroughs of New York City. Our members

were instrumental in creating the city-backed

affordable housing models that the city has

23

1	used for the last 30 years. ANHD member
2	organizations over the last 30 years have
3	built well over 100,000 units of affordable
4	housing and currently actively manage about
5	30,000 of those units. So we are deeply
6	steeped in the economics of affordable
7	housing development and finance.

Again, I won't take up your time this morning reciting a litany of data to make the case once again that there is an affordable housing crisis in the city and the state.

You know, speaking as an organization that works in all five boroughs, every New Yorker knows that there is an incredible affordable housing crunch at almost all levels of the income spectrum except for the very highest level. And more than a third of New Yorkers are grossly over-rent-burdened and are really experiencing it at a devastating level in their own lives.

It is pretty clear that rents are rising, incomes are not rising, and the effect is pretty much what you'd think it would be. The New York State government has

long supported and consistently supported
affordable housing activities and needs to
continue to expand those activities to
support families across the state. Each new
affordable housing unit isn't just a family
that's brought some stability, that's able to
have a better economic path for themselves;
it's also an opportunity to create stronger
neighborhoods and stronger communities.

We were very heartened with the MOU last year that was to release some \$2 billion in resources for affordable housing. We are further heartened to see in the draft budget announced by the Governor some \$2.5 billion in resources for affordable housing. For the last 12 months, we haven't seen any of those resources actually come to the streets where they can actually build housing because they've been held up in unrelated struggles on other issues in New York State, and that has had a pretty significant impact.

Affordable housing developers need the resources, but they also need consistency of expectations to build the affordable housing

1	that we all agree needs to be built. And so
2	we would simply implore the State
3	Legislature, as we would implore the
4	Executive, to decouple the issue of the MOU,
5	to decouple the issue of the financing
6	resources for the affordable housing programs
7	that pretty much everyone agrees on, to
8	decouple those from other issues,
9	particularly 421-a. For some reason, those
10	two issues have been melded together in the
11	political conversation.
12	There's really no programmatic reason
13	for them to be connected. 421-a is you
14	know, I think you guys know is really,
15	fundamentally, not about affordable housing.
16	It's an abatement that an exemption that
17	is intended to incentivize the private market
18	to build mostly higher-end housing. We think
19	it does so inefficiently.
20	But regardless, it's not fundamental
21	to any of the city's affordable housing
22	plans. It plays a minor role, and it is
23	important to note that although 421-a expired
24	on January 1, 2016, in 2016 the housing

1	production numbers, the affordable housing
2	production numbers just announced by
3	Mayor de Blasio and his administration,
4	demonstrated that they outstripped their
5	housing goals quite significantly.
6	They built some 16,000 affordable
7	units last year, they started some 16,000
8	affordable units last year, surpassing the
9	goals that they had, and going down as the
10	most productive affordable housing
11	development year on record for New York City.
12	And that's pretty impressive, given that
13	we've had some very good affordable housing
14	mayors. And they did that during a year when
15	there was no 421-a.
16	It is pretty irrefutable that 421-a is
17	not essential to the affordable housing
18	conversation, and it should be it is an
19	important conversation, but it really should
20	be separated and the MOU should decouple and
21	go forward without it.
22	So with that, I won't sort of repeat
23	what a lot of my colleagues have said. We

strongly support the essential elements of

1	the program that the Governor has proposed.
2	The allocation for supportive housing, the
3	allocation for funds to create a home
4	stability support new statewide supplement,
5	the \$100 million to NYCHA is obviously
6	essential.

NYCHA has long been underfunded and provides an extraordinary service to the people who most need it without having the resources they really need. And having state support to help to cover the capital needs that are so essential is -- it's an essential service to the New Yorkers who need it most.

We would strongly align ourselves with our colleagues calling for continued programmatic support for anti-foreclosure efforts. And just in closing, we support the concept of a mansion tax, which we think is an effective way of creating the resources that we need.

So again, we think that the essential elements of the housing plan that have been put forward by the Executive make a lot of sense. But none of them makes sense unless

Τ	the funding is there, and we would strongly
2	urge that the funding be expeditiously
3	released and not tied up in other issues.
4	Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
6	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Krueger has
7	a question.
8	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
9	So thank you for your testimony. Your
10	organization does an enormous amount of
11	digging down to the neighborhood level for
12	data, and in fact you gave us some today, so
13	thank you.
14	But were you here when I was having a
15	discussion with outgoing Commissioner
16	Jamie I'm sorry
17	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Rubin.
18	SENATOR KRUEGER: Rubin earlier
19	today, and we were having a little discussion
20	about the reality in our district, of which
21	he is a constituent? And I was laying out
22	that actually we're losing affordable housing
23	at an incredibly rapid rate, and the
24	specifically the Option G scenario would

1	actually, in my opinion, potentially wipe out
2	affordable housing in a very quick time
3	frame, both in my district up and down the
4	East Side, and probably parallel in sections
5	of the West Side.
6	Based on your data, am I crazy to say
7	that's going to happen?
8	MR. DULCHIN: I think that there's a
9	strong reason to think that you're correct.
10	It is pretty profitable to build market-rate
11	housing in the city, especially in
12	higher-income districts like yours, and to do
13	so while paying no real estate taxes for
14	35 years really makes it a slam dunk. It is,
15	you know, in your neighborhood that they have
16	been building mostly higher-end condos for a
17	while, but it is probably the case I mean,
18	housing markets are complicated. It seems to
19	be the case that at least in the outer
20	boroughs that condo markets are getting
21	oversaturated. And so with the creation of
22	this new approach, where you could build a
23	rental and take advantage of a 35-year
24	abatement, an additional 10-year 100 percent

1	exemption, may well turn a number of
2	developers who otherwise wouldn't have
3	acted so I suspect that you have that
4	there is a lot to what you are saying.
5	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
6	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
7	Stuart Kaplan, CEO, Selfhelp Community
8	Services.
9	MR. KAPLAN: Good afternoon, all.
10	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Good afternoon.
11	MR. KAPLAN: Chair Young, Chair
12	Farrell, Chair Cymbrowitz, nice to see you.
13	And Senator Krueger, good afternoon.
14	I am Stuart Kaplan, the CEO of
15	Selfhelp Community Services, and I'm
16	delighted to be able to talk a bit about the
17	Governor's proposed Executive Budget for
18	fiscal 2018, particularly in regard to
19	seniors.
20	I am the first speaker, I believe,
21	that is not representing an association and
22	representing just a particular
23	organization in my case, one that was
24	founded in 1936 to care for Holocaust

1	survivors. We continue to do that today. We
2	now have 27 locations around New York City
3	and Long Island serving some 20,000 elderly
4	people, including almost 5,000 Holocaust
5	survivors. Our primary mission is to ensure
6	that seniors are able to live independently
7	and with dignity and to avoid
8	institutionalization.
9	Our network of services at
10	Selfhelp consists of 10 affordable senior
11	housing complexes; four Naturally Occurring
12	Retirement Communities; three case management
13	programs; five senior centers, including one
14	of the first Innovative Senior Centers in the
15	city; home healthcare programs;
16	client-centered technology, including a
17	Virtual Senior Center that we have created;
18	court-appointed guardianship programs; and
19	Alzheimer's programs for people with
20	early-stage and mid-stage dementia.
21	Selfhelp's housing world began in
22	1964. I've heard a number of references
23	today to the Mitchell-Lama program. Selfhelp
24	was the first not-for-profit in the state to

1	begin a Mitchell-Lama program for seniors in
2	1964, followed by another one in 1970. Today
3	our housing complexes care for approximately
4	1400 people around the city, in Queens, the
5	Bronx, and Nassau County. And we will be
6	adding 10 percent to that number with two
7	projects that we have underway in Brooklyn.

As you know, the Governor's Executive Budget includes substantial new investments in affordable housing. Among these investments is a proposed appropriation of \$125 million of capital funds dedicated to construction and rehabilitation of senior housing. We clearly applaud that initiative over the next five years.

Unfortunately, as has been mentioned, the proposed budget does not include language that would create a distinct senior housing program. Such a program would be strictly for senior housing developers and not co-mingled, if you will, with developers of housing for other populations. This would help to fulfill the Governor's call for New York State to be a leader in age-friendly

4	
1	communities.

2 An affordable housing coalition, many 3 of whom you've heard from today, is drafting legislation that would create a distinct 4 5 affordable senior housing and services program. We urge you to support this 6 7 provision and to ensure that it is included in the final budget. 8 We might ask why an affordable senior 9 10 housing and services program, distinct -well, we have learned that affordable 11 12 housing, with supportive services for the mental health and behavioral health 13 14 populations, saves money. Certainly the 15 New York State Medicaid Redesign Team, the 16 MRT initiative, has made substantial investments in affordable housing, with 17 18 supportive services that help keep these 19 populations stably housed, avoiding costly 20 shelters and other institutional 21 settings and, at the same time, dramatically improving the quality of life for thousands 22

24 Unfortunately, our state has yet to

of formerly homeless seniors.

1	make similar investments in senior housing
2	senior housing being the primary social
3	determinant of health to assist the
4	elderly, many of whom will eventually need
5	and be eligible for Medicaid-funded nursing
6	home care, at great expense to the state.
7	While many seniors are not currently users of
8	Medicaid services because of coverage under
9	Medicare, frail seniors are likely to become
10	high-cost users of Medicaid if they have no
11	other choice than to move to a nursing home
12	and either immediately or eventually receive
13	coverage through Medicaid.

An affordable housing with services program is far less costly than skilled nursing facilities, which cost upwards, certainly downstate, of \$100,000 per person per year and are not always the most appropriate place for people to live as they age. And I believe we all know in our hearts that looking forward to nursing home care is not something that people generally do.

A model of housing and services that we employ at Selfhelp, as an example, offers

1	much more than just an affordable place to
2	live. We have social workers who support
3	residents' independence and quality of life
4	as they age in their homes. Services include
5	information and referral, wellness programs,
6	volunteer opportunities, social events, and
7	connections to community-based resources that
8	then can offer meals, home care services,
9	case management, and more. These services
10	are available, and I underscore, on an
11	if-and-when-needed basis. People are living
12	independently in these homes and apartment
13	buildings, and they may not need these
14	services when they move in when they are 65,
15	75, or 85, yet they may need them ten years
16	hence.
17	I invite committee members to visit
18	and see the apartments and services and
19	interesting technology that we use in
20	Selfhelp senior complexes, and also to see

I might add, as opposed to the

well.

the smiles on the faces of the seniors. I'm

sure that will put smiles on your faces as

21

22

\$100,00	0 (price	tag	for	а	ski	ll1e	ed	nur	rsing	J
facilit	ΣУ	downst	tate,	thi	S	pro	ogra	am	at	Seli	fhelp
runs at	: a	cost	for	serv	7ic	ces	of	\$8	800	per	year

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Our coalition has also proposed adding \$10 million to the budget for a senior housing residence service coordinator program, which would put up to 140 new service coordinators in new and existing senior housing around the state. Service coordinators in senior housing residences have proven to reduce healthcare costs by reducing unnecessary hospitalizations and premature entry into Medicaid-funded nursing homes. And by encouraging health and wellness, and effective use of the primary health system, this investment has enormous cost savings potential. There is currently no funding stream for this service, and an investment would greatly enhance the program.

I've mentioned that we have such a program operating at Selfhelp, and we've done it by cobbling together a disparate array of services that come from either the state or the city, and we then underwrite the balance

1	of that with philanthropy. Certainly you
2	would agree this is not an efficient nor a
3	sustainable model that can be scaled
4	statewide.
5	The Affordable Housing and Services
6	Program including the senior housing resident
7	service coordinator program would put
8	New York State in the forefront of senior
9	housing in the nation and again make us a
10	leader in age-friendly communities.
11	In closing, all members received as
12	my colleague John Broderick mentioned from
13	LeadingAge New York the recommendations of
14	the Bipartisan Policy Commission on the topic
15	of senior housing. It clearly sets forth
16	additional support and rationale for a
17	housing and services model and also provides
18	the empirical data and substantial other
19	material for the rationale for a senior
20	housing with services program.
21	I thank you and would be happy to
22	answer any questions.
23	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
24	much.

1	We've been joined by Assemblyman
2	Barron.
3	Any questions?
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I saw
5	Assemblymember Cymbrowitz.
6	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Yes,
7	Mr. Cymbrowitz.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Thank you,
9	Stuart.
10	MR. KAPLAN: Thank you.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: I can confirm
12	that I did have a smile on my face when I
13	toured your Flushing developments. They
14	truly work with the community that they
15	serve, and it really does make sense to have
16	that program where we can help seniors when
17	they need it. Congratulations on the good
18	work that you and Sandy Myers do. Keep it
19	up.
20	MR. KAPLAN: Thank you so much. I
21	appreciate it, Assemblyman.
22	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Senator?
23	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
24	So I think you've answered my

1	questions, but so you actually have this
2	model already in place in your sites, the
3	senior
4	MR. KAPLAN: That's correct.
5	SENATOR KRUEGER: And so what is the
6	funding stream that you currently use?
7	MR. KAPLAN: So collocating services
8	in senior housing comes from a variety of
9	sources. We have funding for senior centers
10	and in two of our properties which comprise
11	two campuses, so there are several buildings
12	associated. We have senior centers that come
13	from that are funded through the New York
14	City Department for the Aging.
15	Some of the housing properties provide
16	enough excess income to have a social worker
17	on staff. The social worker is key to the
18	organization and access to all of the other
19	services that I've mentioned.
20	The technology that we employ, which
21	includes sensor technologies and other types
22	of technologies that are used with people
23	with early-stage dementia memory games, if

24 you will -- are provided through foundations

1	and	philan	throng
_	and	PIIIIan	chropy.

When a senior -- when and if a senior should require home care services, the social work staff would be able to help guide the tenant who may -- or the family member who needs those services to home care programs in the community so that home care can be brought in. The same goes for meals, and those have separate independent funding sources.

So the types of services that a senior would need to continue to live independently are assessed by staff that are paid for either through philanthropy or by some minimal excess income from the properties, and then we cobble together the rest of the services from there.

SENATOR KRUEGER: So basically you're a large enough and a multi-service-enough kind of senior agency that you can cobble together from different parts of different budgets.

MR. KAPLAN: Correct, but despite -if we were in a rural county of upstate

1	new fork or otherwise, that service
2	coordinator would be able to do the very same
3	thing for a building that had 60 units or
4	SENATOR KRUEGER: I agree completely.
5	My point was that in much of the state, and
6	even in many parts of the city, the providers
7	or the providers we hope to bring in aren't
8	going to be necessarily large enough to be
9	able to do it on their own, as to some degree
10	you've been able to do it on your own as a
11	model because you have such a multi-pronged
12	agency budget I would guess probably, in
13	total, a fairly large agency budget.
14	MR. KAPLAN: Senator, I certainly
15	agree with that as to today.
16	Selfhelp started this model in 1964
17	with our first building for Holocaust
18	survivors. At that time we did not have our
19	senior centers, we did not have the home care
20	programs, we did not have the technology.
21	And yet we recognized, in service to the
22	survivor population, that their needs were

greater than most and that we needed to be

able to help them to access those types of

23

1	supports that would help them to live with
2	dignity and independently. So this does date
3	back now more than 60 years 50 years.
4	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you very much
5	MR. KAPLAN: Thank you very much.
6	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
7	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
8	MR. KAPLAN: Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Jacquelyn Kilmer,
10	CEO, Harlem United Community AIDS Center.
11	MS. KILMER: Good afternoon.
12	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Good afternoon.
13	MS. KILMER: Thank you for the
14	opportunity to testify before you today.
15	My name is Jacquelyn Kilmer, and I'm
16	the chief executive officer at Harlem United
17	Harlem United has 29 years experience in
18	providing critical health, housing, and
19	responsive human service to people with
20	multiple complex needs. With over 700 units
21	of supportive housing, 3,000 primary care
22	visits a year to our three Federally
23	Qualified Health Centers, two AIDS adult day
24	healthcare programs, mental health, substance

1	use treatment, harm reduction, syringe
2	exchange, community-based outreach and
3	preventive screenings, Harlem United is able
4	to "level the playing field" for more than
5	10,000 New Yorkers each year. The vast
6	majority of the New Yorkers who we serve are
7	those who are the most disenfranchised
8	homeless or unstably housed, living with HIV
9	or AIDS, hepatitis C, diabetes, extreme
10	poverty, addiction issues and mental illness
11	issues. Harlem United serves the most
12	vulnerable citizens of New York and we are,
13	for many, the service provider of last
14	resort.
15	Beginning with the successes of our
16	first 60 units of HIV supportive housing in
17	1991, we at Harlem United know firsthand that
18	supportive housing can reduce the number and
19	length of hospital stays, the number of
20	emergency room visits, and the number of
21	psychiatric hospitalizations. Our supportive
22	housing programs allow us to reach HIV
23	positive individuals with routine testing,
24	and link them to and retain them in care in

1	order to achieve suppressed viral load.
2	Achieving a suppressed viral load, in turn,
3	brings health benefits for the individual and
4	drastically reduces the risk of transmission
5	to others.
6	In the spring of 2016, Harlem United,
7	working with the New York City Human
8	Resources Administration and the Department
9	of Homeless Services and Veterans Affairs,
10	began providing permanent congregate housing
11	and supportive services to homeless veterans.
12	Not surprisingly, the majority of these men
13	and women are living with chronic mental
14	health conditions or addiction issues or
15	both, in addition to chronic medical
16	conditions.
17	With these men and women, Harlem
18	United sees the need for more intensive
19	services to be readily available, including
20	comprehensive psychosocial and mental health
21	services, in order to make their transition

We've already heard significant

22

23

from the streets and homeless shelters to

stable, permanent housing successful.

1	testimony today regarding capital funding
2	that's in the budget and the need to release
3	the \$1.82 billion that is yet to be released
4	from last year, so I won't focus on that.
5	And there's no question that capital funding
6	is very important in order to increase the
7	supply of available supportive housing units.
8	However, it must also come with ongoing
9	commitments to provide for the services and
10	operating funds that are necessary to make
11	the housing truly supportive and successful.
12	In addition, to be a viable resource,
13	scattered-site supportive housing has to have
14	adequate funds to keep pace with the
15	ever-increasing market rents. In order to
16	address these issues, we are asking that the
17	Legislature support the \$30 million in

adequate funds to keep pace with the

ever-increasing market rents. In order to

address these issues, we are asking that the

Legislature support the \$30 million in

safety-net public assistance funding provided

in the Executive Budget to cover expanded

participation in HIV/AIDS housing resulting

from the policy change allowing

income-eligible but non-symptomatic people

living with HIV/AIDS in New York City to

access services.

1	We're also asking for an additional
2	\$20 million in funding to initiate a
3	demonstration project to leverage the
4	existing HIV emergency shelter allowance to
5	expand access to HIV rental assistance to
6	income-eligible people with HIV who reside in
7	New York State communities outside of
8	New York City. To date, state HIV housing
9	assistance has not been made available to
10	low-income New Yorkers living outside of
11	New York City, which leaves an estimated
12	4,000 to 6,000 individuals with HIV living in
13	upstate New York and in Long Island with
14	unmet housing needs.
15	This \$20 million pilot project would
16	be structured to ensure expanded access by
17	increasing approved rents available under the
18	existing program and providing an affordable
19	housing protection for households with HIV

be structured to ensure expanded access by increasing approved rents available under the existing program and providing an affordable housing protection for households with HIV who receive disability income. We would also urge that the statewide HIV rental assistance program be implemented in a way that limits contribution to rent from income to 30 percent, thus mirroring the 30 percent

1	rent cap protections in New York City.
2	We would ask for an increase in the
3	\$10 million provided in the Executive Budget
4	for existing OMH-supported housing and single
5	residency occupancy programs, to ensure
6	sufficient funding for all existing
7	scattered-site units statewide at acceptable
8	levels for both rent and services.
9	And finally, we would ask for
10	increased funding for OTDA's New York State
11	Supportive Housing Program by \$4.2 million,
12	to restore last year's cuts to existing
13	programs and fund existing unfunded programs.
14	Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
16	Any questions?
17	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
18	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
19	Charles King, Housing Works.
20	MR. KING: Good afternoon, honorable
21	chairs and other members of the Senate and
22	Assembly. I'm Charles King. I'm the
23	president and CEO of Housing Works. I'd like
24	to also take this opportunity to introduce

1	Housing Works' new director of New York State
2	government relations, Jillian Faison, who
3	some of you may know from her work here in
4	prior periods.

I want to be very frank with you about ending the epidemic here in New York State.

There's good news, which I will share, but there's also bad news. The good news is that, first of all, in 2014 New York State made a remarkable commitment to become the first jurisdiction anywhere in the world to end AIDS as an epidemic. And we have followed through on that commitment. I commend both of the houses for their commitment of resources to this effort, as well as the Governor.

As it pertains to housing in New York
City, we now have a 30 percent cap on tenant
income as a contribution to their ability to
access supportive housing and enhanced rental
assistance. And last June the New York State
AIDS Institute changed the definition of HIV
disease for purposes of accessing the
enhanced rental assistance to include all

income-eligible people infected with HIV.

2 As a consequence of these changes, we 3 now have 28,000 households in New York City receiving HIV enhanced rental assistance, and 4 5 it shows in the data. In the 2015 surveillance report for New York City, 6 7 83 percent of people who are HIV-positive are in care, and a remarkable 75 percent of all 8 people in New York City who are HIV-positive 9 10 are completely virally suppressed. What that means is they have stopped disease 11 12 progression in their own bodies and it is 13 impossible for them to transmit the virus to 14 another person. That is a remarkable success 15 story. And as a consequence, for the first 16 time we see a more than 10 percent decrease in new diagnoses among young men who have sex 17 18 with men, including young men of color. This 19 is a remarkable achievement. 20 That's the good news. The state 21 surveillance report for the same period will 22 be coming out in the next few weeks, and

preliminary data indicate that while in

New York City, which has 80 percent of the

23

1	entire epidemic, viral suppression is at
2	75 percent, statewide viral suppression is
3	only at 69 percent.

assistance.

What that means is that in the rest of the state, viral suppression is only about 50 percent. And my warning to you is that if we don't address this, we will achieve ending the epidemic by 2020 in New York City alone, and not in the rest of our state.

Now, what accounts for this difference? It is not the quality of prevention, it is not the quality of treatment and care that takes place in all of the other localities in New York State.

There is one major differential. In New York City, 28,000 households access the enhanced rental assistance program and achieve housing stability. In the rest of our entire state, with 20 percent of the epidemic, only 107 households in all of Long Island, Albany, Onondaga, Erie -- all of these other counties heavily impacted by HIV, only 107 households have access to the enhanced rental

1	Why is this? In part because many
2	localities don't make it widely available,
3	but also because there's not a 30 percent
4	rent cap. As a consequence, if you have any
5	income outside of public assistance, you are
6	deemed ineligible for the enhanced rental
7	assistance. Not only that, but if you are or
8	public assistance, your rent cannot be more
9	than \$480 a month. Please tell me, in what
10	county in New York State can one find rent
11	for less than \$480 a month?
12	That is why we are calling for this
13	year an appropriation of \$20 million to
14	supplement the \$480 rental assistance,
15	coupled with a cap that tenants should not

year an appropriation of \$20 million to supplement the \$480 rental assistance, coupled with a cap that tenants should not contribute more than 30 percent of their income to their housing statewide, coupled with a change in formula that allows this additional supplemental rent subsidy without counting towards a public assistance recipient's income.

If we can achieve this, this will at minimum allow us to ensure stable housing to some 3,600 households around the rest of

1	New fork State, meeting the minimum estimated
2	need burden for the entire state, and thereby
3	helping us truly achieve ending the AIDS
4	epidemic throughout New York State and not
5	just in New York City.
6	Thank you very much.
7	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
8	Questions?
9	SENATOR KRUEGER: Velmanette
10	Montgomery.
11	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Thank you.
12	I just wanted to ask you and this
13	is not a housing issue, but it's an AIDS
14	issue in particular. And I just wanted to
15	ask you if you have any sense of how we're
16	doing with people who are incarcerated.
17	Because at one point for us in the city in
18	particular, and I guess statewide, that was a
19	huge problem in terms of
20	MR. KING: So actually, for people who
21	are incarcerated who have disclosed their
22	status to DOCCS, we are doing exceptionally
23	well. Viral suppression is around
24	95 percent.

1	There is a small percentage of people
2	who go into DOCCS who do not disclose their
3	HIV status. And thanks to the Ending the
4	Epidemic Initiative, the AIDS Institute is
5	now matching, with DOCCS data, the
6	surveillance data and not notifying DOCCS,
7	but actually sending AIDS Institute staff
8	into Corrections to work with those people
9	who haven't disclosed to ensure that they can
10	disclose safely. And if they choose not to,
11	that they're linked to care as soon as
12	they're discharged.

The fundamental barrier with DOCCS always -- and there are a lot of steps to address it -- is what happens when somebody is released. Are we ensuring that they are immediately linked to care, to housing, and the services they need in the community so that they can continue their viral suppression?

And the other thing that we're working towards is being able to deliver pre-exposure prophylaxis to folk who are at highest risk who are in Corrections so that when they come

1	out and the first thing they do is look for a
2	partner, that they don't run the risk of
3	getting infected as well.
4	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: And you know that
5	the chair of our Health Committee, Dick
6	Gottfried, has legislation, and I'm
7	sponsoring it in the Senate, that would do
8	just exactly what you're talking about.
9	MR. KING: Yes.
10	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: So hopefully we
11	can hear more support from you on that, or
12	more thoughts from you on that legislation.
13	MR. KING: Yes, we strongly support
14	that.
15	And since you've given me the
16	opportunity, I would also note that while the
17	Governor has raised the age for homeless and
18	runaway youth to stay in housing until age
19	24, I believe it is, there have not been any
20	additional funds provided. That's a system
21	that's already clogged up. So we want to
22	celebrate raising the age, but we also need
23	more housing resource for young people who
24	fall in that age cohort.

1	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Thank you.
2	MR. KING: Sure.
3	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblyman Barron.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN BARRON: Yes, I just
5	wanted to simply commend you for the work
6	that you're doing, and it's a real minimal
7	ask for a major problem, in viral
8	suppression.
9	But I also wanted to congratulate you
10	on your wisdom for selecting Ms. Faison to be
11	a part of your staff. She is an excellent
12	worker. We've done work in the past.
13	So I want to highly commend you for
14	your position, and I will continue to be very
15	supportive.
16	MR. KING: Assemblyman, she's already
17	proved to be a brilliant decision, and I take
18	total credit myself for that.
19	(Laughter.)
20	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Very nice. Good.
21	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
22	much.
23	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you very much.
24	MR. KING: Thank you.

1	MS. FAISON: Thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Lisa Frisch,
3	executive director, The Legal Project.
4	MS. FRISCH: Thank you very much. My
5	name is Lisa Frisch. I'm the executive
6	director of The Legal Project here in Albany.
7	The Legal Project was created over
8	21 years ago by the Capital District Women's
9	Bar Association as an independent nonprofit
10	with the mission to provide civil legal
11	services to domestic violence victims and the
12	working poor in the Capital Region. We fill
13	a gap of services which are not provided by
14	other programs in the area, and have
15	developed programs to meet the needs in the
16	community, including a foreclosure program
17	that I'm here to talk about.
18	We initially received funding through
19	the New York State Homes & Community Renewal,
20	which was then the New York State Division of
21	Housing and Community Renewal, and we became
22	part of New York's network of foreclosure
23	prevention services, which by now you know is
24	comprised of 94 nonprofit service providers

1	providing no-cost legal services to
2	homeowners in varying stages of the
3	foreclosure process.

Upstate, our programs have worked under the leadership of the Empire Justice Center. Locally, The Legal Project has been a member of a coalition in the Capital Region called HOMESAVE, which includes housing counselors, legal services providers, and other local organizations working together to provide the most effective and streamlined homeowner assistance.

In 2012, we received funding through a competitive grant process which was administered by the Office of the Attorney General, after the agency was provided funds in the National Mortgage Settlement. This funding allowed us to hire four staff attorneys, a paralegal, and an administrative assistant.

The majority of clients served through our current foreclosure program have had an unexpected event in their life that caused major financial disaster, including illness,

1	job loss, and loss of income. These clients
2	are new to legal services. They are
3	essentially the new poor. This is the first
4	time they've reached out for help from any
5	service, generally, and now they often have a
6	multitude of legal issues that have come up
7	as a result of financial or medical issues.

We're in the fifth year of this critical funding, and as you know, there's no future settlement funds being allocated to the Office of the Attorney General, due to the change in state rules which now requires all funds to go to the State Budget. Without this core funding again being placed in the State Budget specifically for foreclosure prevention, these critical legal and housing counseling services are surely going to come to an end, and the excellent statewide infrastructure of these services would be lost.

In providing these services for the past seven years, it's become really evident that it's absolutely essential for homeowners to have legal representation when going

1	through a foreclosure action. Homeowners who
2	have legal counsel get quicker resolution of
3	these cases, and less time is spent in the
4	state court system.

Lenders are always represented by legal counsel. So if a homeowner doesn't have legal representation, they are at a great disadvantage. Lenders' attorneys often rely on the homeowner's lack of knowledge of their rights and options available to them.

Our foreclosure program works in tandem with our local housing programs and other legal services programs in the area in order for us to be able to triage these cases and make sure that people don't fall through the cracks. Working within this foreclosure prevention services network has provided homeowners the ability to receive services from housing counselors and legal services early in the process, which greatly increases the likelihood of saving their home. What we know is early intervention makes a huge difference.

Currently we have over 200 active

cases, most of which we anticipate will be
ongoing in September when this current
funding ends. We also have new clients
seeking our help every single day. This area
of law is incredibly specialized, with rules
and regulations which are constantly changing
and would make it extremely difficult for pro
bono attorneys or members of the private bar
to pick up any of these cases should we have
to discontinue this program.

We work with over 200 pro bono attorneys in the Capital Region, and we have tried to come up with mechanisms to have them be able to assist us on this project, and it's really impossible. It requires too much time and expertise to do this. They're very complicated and time-consuming cases, and they sometimes last several years.

Our clients include homeowners that are at various stages of the foreclosure process, and the cases are absolutely heartbreaking. We had a single mom whose child became gravely ill, and the child has to travel out of state for time-consuming

1	medical treatments. And the mom spent quite
2	a bit of time away from work, so her salary
3	was reduced and she fell behind on her
4	mortgage payments.

We had a veteran who had a VA loan and applied for disability but was denied. While he was fighting his appeal, he fell behind in his mortgage payments. Eventually, he was granted the disability benefits, and he's now able to afford his monthly mortgage payments. But he's so far behind that he couldn't possibly catch up, nor will his lender even speak with him if he cannot pay the entire amount of arrears, including the fees.

There's also the elderly woman whose name was not on the reverse mortgage that her husband took out before his death. She faced eviction from her home of 40 years until we were able to reach a settlement with her bank.

These are homeowners who are in a position to avoid foreclosure, but who needed the assistance of housing counseling and legal representation to work with the lender,

1	negotiate	а	settlement,	and	save	their	homes.

Since the inception of our foreclosure 2 3 program, The Legal Project has provided legal assistance to over 2,750 homeowners and 4 5 prevented 217 foreclosures, ultimately 6 preserving homeownership and preventing 7 vacant and abandoned housing in our communities. In 2016 alone, 26,000 New York 8 9 families across the state were served through 10 the Foreclosure Prevention Services Network. 11 This network provided representation to more 12 than 60 percent of homeowners in settlement 13 conferences, obtaining loan modifications for 14 30 percent of clients. There's some discussion of the fact 15 16 that foreclosure issues may be declining. What we have seen in this state and certainly 17 18 in this community is that is not the case. 19

in this community is that is not the case.

We have seen, since the inception of our program, an amazing 900 percent increase in the number of homeowners seeking our assistance.

20

21

22

23

24

We know as well that the issue of reverse mortgages has also grown. The

1	Governor did place some protections to
2	include reverse mortgages in the settlement
3	conferences, but if these individuals don't
4	have the assistance of an attorney and
5	housing counselor, these protections and
6	protections such as the MAP program that was
7	discussed earlier will not be meaningful.
8	They need that assistance. They cannot
9	navigate this on their own.
10	New York State has been in the
11	forefront in the area of foreclosure
12	prevention since 2008, when the then New York
13	State Division of Housing and Community
14	Renewal administered the program. Just this
15	past year, a trained and very experienced
16	network of providers provided assistance in
17	every single county and borough in New York
18	State. Statewide, we've helped more than
19	26,000 homeowners at the very reasonable cost
20	of \$770 per family, which is amazingly
21	reasonable.
22	In terms of economic impact in
23	New York State, in 2011 the Empire Justice
24	Center conducted an in-depth study and

1	estimated that every foreclosure averted
2	saves the state, on average, \$41,134 in
3	direct costs. And if you include indirect
4	costs, the average savings goes up to
5	\$186,695. Just using the average for direct
6	costs, based on the 26,351 modifications
7	obtained through the network since 2012,
8	these foreclosure services have saved
9	\$1.084 billion in New York State, which is
10	astounding.
11	We really must keep this network of
12	experienced attorneys and housing counselors
13	in order to avoid a disaster in our courts
14	and in our communities. We are respectfully
15	requesting that the New York State Homes and
16	Community Renewal again be tasked to
17	administer this very successful program with
18	an allocation of \$10 million for the
19	2017-2018 fiscal year and \$20 million for the
20	2018-2019 year, to allow for the continuity
21	of services.
22	Our state must continue to be in the
23	forefront. We've set a national standard for

foreclosure prevention because of our

1	farsighted legislation and dedication to
2	providing homeowners with a strong network of
3	professional housing counselors and legal
4	services attorneys. It's vital that we
5	continue to be there for our neighbors in
6	need, not only to help avoid the loss of
7	homes but also to avoid the plague of empty
8	zombie properties that can foster crime and
9	impact property values and local economies.
10	This investment into our existing network of
11	services is absolutely invaluable to our
12	citizens and our communities.
13	Thank you for your kind attention and
14	consideration.
15	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
16	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
17	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
18	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
19	much.
20	Lara Kasper-Buckareff, director of
21	foreclosure prevention, Legal Services of
22	Hudson Valley.
23	MS. KASPER-BUCKAREFF: Good afternoon,
24	Your Honors. Thank you very much for the

1	opportunity to testify.
2	(Unintelligible interruption.)
3	MS. KASPER-BUCKAREFF: Excuse me?
4	SENATOR KRUEGER: We're not that
5	honorable.
6	(Laughter.)
7	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Speak for yourself.
8	(Laughter.)
9	ASSEMBLYMAN BARRON: I'll take the
10	honor.
11	(Laughter.)
12	MS. KASPER-BUCKAREFF: My name is Lara
13	Kasper-Buckareff. I am an attorney and the
14	director of foreclosure prevention at Legal
15	Services of the Hudson Valley. Legal
16	Services is a nonprofit law firm that
17	provides free, high-quality civil legal
18	services in the mid-to-lower Hudson Valley.
19	We cover seven counties and we have a
20	foreclosure prevention unit currently
21	funded by the Homeowner Protection Program
22	that consists of nine attorneys and four
23	paralegals.
24	You do have my written testimony,

1	which I will not be reading today. I will be
2	briefly summarizing my testimony.
3	And I do want to point out that the
4	Hudson Valley has been hit particularly hard,
5	and the foreclosure crisis continues in the
6	Hudson Valley. Three of the five worst
7	counties in terms of the foreclosure rate in
8	New York are located in the Hudson Valley.
9	Those counties are Orange, Putnam, and
10	Rockland.
11	Right now there are 72,000 pending
12	foreclosure cases in New York State's courts.
13	That is 26 percent of the civil caseload.
14	Last year there were 34,000 foreclosure
15	filings across New York State. This far
16	exceeds the pre-crisis foreclosure level of
17	26,706 foreclosures. It's 27 percent higher
18	right now than the pre-crisis level. We
19	still have a crisis.
20	As mentioned by some of the other
21	speakers, our principal funding for

foreclosure prevention is going to be ending

in September. We are asking you to include

funding in the budget to continue the vital

22

23

1	services that we provide. Legal Services of
2	the Hudson Valley is one of the 31 legal
3	services across the state to provide these
4	free, high-quality services. We work
5	collaboratively with the 63 housing
6	counseling agencies in this state, and we
7	provide these services, this network provides
8	these services, in every county in this
9	state.
10	Having worked on foreclosure cases at
11	the beginning of the crisis up to now, I can
12	tell you that with the implementation of the
13	HOPP funding, it has made a world of
14	difference for homeowners. It is still
15	nearly impossible for the average homeowner

difference for homeowners. It is still nearly impossible for the average homeowner to be able to submit a complete loan modification application and get through that process successfully without the assistance of a housing counselor and a legal services provider. And as mentioned, we do work collaboratively. And we work pre-foreclosure to try to prevent a foreclosure from occurring in the first place.

24 Loan modifications save families

1	around \$5,000 annually. That enables people
2	to stay in their homes and for those people
3	who are able to stay in their homes because
4	of the loan modification, that gives them
5	extra money in their budget to now go out and
6	spend as consumers in this state, which is
7	good for the state.
8	In addition to mortgage foreclosure,
9	we're also avoiding tax foreclosure, and we
10	do that by entering into repayment plans with
11	the county that pay back the taxes with
12	interest. So that's a boon to taxpayers.
13	So I would echo what the other
14	speakers have asked for. I would
15	respectfully request that you would continue
16	these vital services by allocating \$30
17	million in the budget for foreclosure
18	prevention services.
19	Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
21	Any questions?
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
23	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
24	MS. KASPER-BUCKAREFF: Thank you.

1	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Jordan Zerantı,
2	attorney, Tanisha Bramwell, staff attorney,
3	Western New York Law Center.
4	MS. BRAMWELL: Thank you for giving me
5	the opportunity to address you today on the
6	important subject of residential
7	foreclosures. My name is Tanisha Bramwell,
8	and I'm an attorney at the Western New York
9	Law Center, a not-for-profit law and
10	technology firm. I represent homeowners in
11	Western New York whose homes are in
12	foreclosure.
13	Before I began working at the
14	Law Center, I was an associate attorney and
15	then the lead attorney in the loss mitigation
16	department at a major law firm that
17	represented banks who were foreclosing on
18	homes.
19	Today I will address the impact that
20	foreclosure has on individual homeowners and
21	communities. Jordan Zeranti will address why
22	now, more than ever, we need to ensure the
23	continued representation of homeowners in
24	foreclosure.

2	of the foreclosure issue, I'm very familiar
3	with the depth of the foreclosure problem in
4	New York State. There were nearly 34,000 new
5	foreclosure filings in 2016, and there are
6	72,000 pending foreclosure cases in our
7	courts. In fact, foreclosures comprise
8	26 percent of the Supreme Court's civil
9	caseload. In Erie County alone, through
10	September of last year, there were 1,008 new
11	filings against residences, making
12	Erie County the seventh highest county in the
13	state with foreclosure filings. Most of
14	other counties ahead of Erie are downstate
15	and more densely populated.
16	In the five counties we serve
17	namely, Erie, Niagara, Genesee, Orleans and
18	Wyoming there were 1,517 new foreclosure
19	filings against homeowners through the first
20	nine months of 2016, and there are thousands
21	of older cases still making their way through

Because of my experience on both sides

Our office currently receives funding administered through the Attorney General's

the judicial process.

1	office, and we subcontract funds to the
2	Center for Elder Law and Justice in Buffalo
3	and the Legal Aid Bureau of Buffalo. From
4	September 2012 to November 30th of 2016, the
5	three offices handled 2,820 cases and
6	prevented foreclosures in nearly half the
7	cases. In the cases where we could not
8	prevent foreclosures, we helped homeowners
9	transition to other housing, often through
10	short sales or private sales.

The people we help are not people who have overextended themselves by buying expensive homes they cannot afford. The median listing price of a home in the Buffalo area is \$110,000, and home prices were lower in previous years when the homes that are being foreclosed upon were purchased.

According to statistics we keep on our cases, people fall behind on their mortgages for three main reasons: illness, divorce, and unemployment. Illnesses and unemployment are often temporary, and divorce settlements get worked out. But when a homeowner falls

90 days behind in mortgage payments, banks

have the right, which they exercise in the
majority of cases, to refuse any partial
payments. The homeowner must pay the entire
amount of arrears, plus any additional fees,
including any legal fees. This is something
that most homeowners cannot do, and they then
get caught up in the spiral of foreclosure.

I know from my experience on both sides of this issue that homeowners cannot get themselves out of this spiral without assistance. When a foreclosure case is filed, they need an attorney, but most homeowners cannot afford one. An attorney makes a dramatic difference.

In testimony offered before a hearing held by then-Chief Judge Lippman, one of our clients, a Vietnam veteran from Corfu,

New York, in Genesee County, testified that after he was injured on a construction job, his bank refused to negotiate with him while he was waiting for disability payments.

After his home was put into foreclosure, our office helped him negotiate a new payment rate on his home loan at a lower interest

1	rate. He was able to remain in his home, and
2	his bank was able to continue to receive
3	payments on his mortgage.
4	This is a typical case for us.
5	Homeowners fall behind in their payments,
6	cannot come up with the huge sum of past
7	mortgage payments, interest, and fees in one
8	check, and have no success in negotiating

with the lender or servicer. Our representation makes a difference in these

11 cases. We truly keep people in their homes.

This representation is important to communities as well as to individual homeowners. Foreclosed homes vary in appearance. Many are not able to be returned to the market quickly. If a lender has a large inventory of foreclosed homes, the home may sit for years and not receive attention. A foreclosed home might be boarded up and have large signs advertising it as bank-owned. One property in a neighborhood

that is going through the foreclosure process can negatively affect the entire

24 neighborhood, because properties in

1	foreclosure bring property values down.
2	Keeping homeowners in their homes
3	avoids these problems. Families who have
4	raised their children in a neighborhood are
5	able to stay, family life is not disrupted,
6	and homeowners who care about the property
7	they own are able to keep their property and
8	maintain it. Representation during the
9	foreclosure process is crucial for homeowners
10	and for the stability of neighborhoods.
11	I hope that you can help ensure that
12	our representation of homeowners can
13	continue. Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
15	much.
16	MS. ZERANTI: All right, good
17	afternoon or should I say good evening
18	yet?
19	SENATOR KRUEGER: No, no, it's
20	afternoon.
21	(Laughter.)
22	MS. ZERANTI: Chairwoman Young,
23	Chairman Farrell, Chairman Cymbrowitz, and
24	distinguished members of the Legislature,

1	thank you for giving me this opportunity to
2	address you. I promise I'll be short. My
3	name is Jordan Zeranti, and I am an attorney
4	at the Western New York Law Center.
5	As you have heard from my colleague,
6	we have represented thousands of homeowners
7	facing foreclosure in New York, and the
8	crisis is far from over.
9	All provisions of New York's Zombie
10	Property and Foreclosure Prevention Act went
11	into effect on December 20th. Among the
12	changes, Chapter 73 strengthens
13	pre-foreclosure notices, which are sent at
14	least 90 days before a foreclosure lawsuit.
15	Now the notices must include a list of at
16	least five nonprofit housing counseling
17	agencies serving that homeowner's county.
18	However, there may no longer be
19	housing counseling agencies to put on that
20	list. As you have heard, foreclosure funding
21	is set to expire in September of this year.
22	Without additional funding, several housing
23	counseling agencies will no longer be able to

offer their services. The law strengthening

1 the	pre-foreclosure	notice	will	become	moot
-------	-----------------	--------	------	--------	------

Furthermore, homeowners will lose the protection of counsel. If funds are not allocated, several legal services agencies will no longer be able to operate. And as distressed loan sales continue and more and more nonbank servicers are entering the market, cases are becoming more complicated.

We, as legal services attorneys, help homeowners navigate complex foreclosure matters, and we hold banks accountable when they disregard mortgage servicing regulations. This helps to balance the scales while also improving the efficiency of our court system.

Under CPLR 3408, courts are required to hold mandatory settlement conferences.

The new law gives homeowners the opportunity to file a late answer within 30 days of their first conference. Without funding, we would not be able to help homeowners draft answers nor would we be able to help them negotiate during the settlement conferences.

24 As many of our partners have stated,

1	there is already a fantastic foreclosure
2	prevention team in place, with 94 agencies.
3	This well-oiled network has kept thousands of
4	people in their homes and avoided the
5	creation of zombie properties, which drive
6	down property values and increase crime in
7	communities.

It is especially important that this network stay in place now that federal modification programs have ended. With the ending of the HAMP program, a homeowner's ability to get a modification has significantly lessened. This could lead to an influx of zombie foreclosures. Funding is needed now more than ever to support foreclosure prevention services. Without these services, there will be a void left for scammers to fill, and they'll be able to take advantage of desperate homeowners, as Senator Krueger pointed out earlier.

We urge the Senate and Assembly to pass a budget that will dedicate \$10 million for the remainder of this fiscal year, and \$20 million through March of 2019. We look

Τ	forward to working with you to serve our
2	communities. Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
4	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Susan Cotner,
6	executive director, HomeSmartNY.
7	MS. COTNER: Thank you very much for
8	having me today. Susan Cotner. Again, I'm
9	executive director of the Affordable Housing
10	Partnership, to clarify, which is a member of
11	HomeSmartNY, which is a coalition of housing
12	counseling agencies. And we've been
13	collaborating with legal services now for the
14	past seems like 10 years, working together to
15	fight foreclosures. And we collaborate so
16	well that our testimony is starting to sound
17	an awful lot alike.
18	So I'm going to just bat cleanup here,
19	I think, and summarize a couple of the main
20	points that we want to make.
21	First and primarily, the foreclosure
22	situation is still in crisis. Here in the
23	Capital Region, we get information on
24	families that are receiving notices of intent

1	for foreclose, and that number spiked this
2	past month. It was the highest number of
3	foreclose notices that have gone out in the
4	past two years. So it's an ongoing issue and
5	concern. We're not out of a crisis mode at
6	all.

Every day we're working with families who have lost income because they've lost their job, they've lost their health, they've lost a loved one, and now they're facing the loss of their home. And it's a situation where foreclosure prevention services and trying to negotiate with their bank is a lot more complicated than ever before. Families repeatedly tell us that they try to work things out with their bank and make no progress. It wasn't really until they obtained services from legal service providers, experienced housing counselors, that they can really make any kind of progress and save their home.

So the second issue is again on neighborhoods. I know you've got several municipalities coming hat in hand. We've got

1	a major deficit, property values are low.
2	The City of Albany has a thousand vacant
3	units as a result of foreclosures tax
4	foreclosure, bank foreclosure. Again, with
5	services not in place, those will continue to
6	grow, continue to lose property taxes. There
7	will be a need for increased city services to
8	deal with vacant housing as a result.
9	There is no other federal funding for
10	our services. As you can imagine, this
11	administration in Washington is not at all
12	sympathetic to the needs of families in our
13	communities. We cannot charge fees to
14	customers who are facing such a financial
15	distress. So we're asking New York State
16	again to continue their leadership role in
17	providing funding for foreclosure prevention
18	services again, \$10 million for this
19	upcoming fiscal year and \$20 million for the

21 And we thank you for your 22 consideration on that. Thank you.

23 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very

24 much.

next.

1	Now, Joseph Shuldiner, Municipal
2	Housing Authority, City of Yonkers.
3	MR. SHULDINER: Thank you. Good
4	afternoon.
5	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Should we get
6	papers from this young man?
7	MR. SHULDINER: Thank you for calling
8	me young.
9	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Everybody's young.
10	SENATOR KRUEGER: You are the last.
11	MR. SHULDINER: Yes, you saved the
12	best for last.
13	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: This is it, to
L 4	close.
15	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: This is it.
16	MR. SHULDINER: Okay. Chairwoman
17	Young, Chairman Farrell, Chairman Cymbrowitz
18	members of the Assembly and State Senate,
19	thank you for staying and for giving me this
20	opportunity to speak.
21	My name is Joe Shuldiner, and I'm the
22	executive director of the Municipal Housing
23	Authority for the City of Yonkers. I'm
24	joined here by two of my board members, the

1	chairman of our board, Judge Doran, and
2	Jim Landy, another board member, as well as
3	Carlos Laboy, our director of development.

I was pleased to hear other organizations talk about the need to help housing authorities in what they're facing, and I just want to give you an idea of what it is. There are two things.

One, like many housing authorities, we attempted to improve our housing by replacing it, by basically demolition and new construction. That proved to be so expensive and time-consuming that we really had to look for something else. Except for the 200 units of our housing that were built in response to the deseg lawsuit, all of our housing is 40 years old or much older. So what we had to do is really look at rehab.

We had an independent engineer come in, look at our stock, and they basically said we needed to make \$175 million in capital improvements over the next 10 years. Under the present formula, we get about \$3 million a year from HUD towards that. So

1	in 10 years, we'd have \$30 million to do
2	\$175 million worth of work. So clearly that
3	isn't going to work, and our buildings are in
4	real jeopardy.

HUD's rental assistance demonstration program and try to leverage private funds. But as you know, that means use of tax credits and bonds. Even in the beginning, even when we applied last year, the tax credit and bonds were not sufficient; we needed gap financing. As you are probably aware, since the election the tax credit market has collapsed. While investors are coming back into the tax credit market now, the prices are much lower. So the need for gap financing is even greater than it was.

When HCR issued the RFP, they allowed for up to \$40,000 a unit in gap funding, so we're seeking \$70 million. And again, that was before the value of tax credits fell.

So for us it's really absolutely necessary, critical, that the Legislature include additional funds in the budget for

1	this year and subsequent years for housing
2	authorities outside New York City to be able
3	to do this work. In the unfinalized MOU,
4	there was \$125 million over five years.
5	We're asking that you include this amount or
6	even more to support these kinds of efforts.

Frankly, without this kind of funding, we're totally stuck. I mean, we have no idea what we would do to preserve the housing.

And our residents would suffer immeasurably, and we believe the authority and the state will have failed in their obligation to provide our residents with safe, decent and affordable housing.

In the information we gave you, we gave you some of the real statistics that go along with this. From my perspective, if we can actually pull this off, it's actually fantastic. I mean, conceptually, to get the kind of money that we're talking about into our buildings, I tell the residents it's not once in a lifetime, it's a once in forever. Since these buildings were built, there's never been the opportunity to provide this

1	kind of funding. But we desperately need the
2	gap financing to make it a reality.
3	Thank you, and I'll take any questions
4	you have.
5	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
6	much.
7	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Questions?
8	Thank you.
9	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. Thank
10	you for coming up.
11	MR. SHULDINER: Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
13	This is it. This is the end of the
14	last joint legislative budget hearing. There
15	were 13 of them, and they ended today. Free
16	at last.
17	(Laughter.)
18	(Whereupon, the budget hearing
19	concluded at 3:16 p.m.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	