1	BEFORE THE NEW YORK STATE SENATE FINANCE AND ASSEMBLY WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEES
2	
3	JOINT LEGISLATIVE HEARING
4	In the Matter of the 2017-2018 EXECUTIVE BUDGET ON
5	ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION
6	
7	Hearing Room B Legislative Office Building
8	Albany, New York
9	February 14, 2017 9:39 a.m.
10	
11	PRESIDING:
12	Senator Catharine M. Young Chair, Senate Finance Committee
13 14	Assemblyman Herman D. Farrell, Jr. Chair, Assembly Ways & Means Committee
15	PRESENT:
16	Senator Liz Krueger Senate Finance Committee (RM)
17	Assemblyman Bob Oaks
18	Assembly Ways & Means Committee (RM)
19	Assemblywoman Catherine T. Nolan Chair, Assembly Education Committee
20	
21	Senator Carl L. Marcellino Chair, Senate Education Committee
22	Senator Diane J. Savino Vice Chair, Senate Finance Committee
23	Assemblyman Peter D. Lopez
24	Assemblyman reter D. Lopez

2	Elementary & Secondary Education 2-14-17
3	PRESENT: (Continued)
4	Senator George S. Latimer
5	Assemblyman Steven F. McLaughlin
6	Senator Simcha Felder
7	Assemblywoman Shelley Mayer
8	Assemblyman Edward P. Ra
9	Assemblywoman Jo Anne Simon
10	Senator Michael H. Ranzenhofer
11	Assemblyman Al Graf
12	Assemblywoman Ellen Jaffee
13	Assemblyman Matthew Titone
14	Senator Velmanette Montgomery
15	Assemblywoman Barbara Lifton
16	Assemblyman Steven Otis
17	Assemblyman Anthony J. Brindisi
18	Senator Todd Kaminsky
19	Assemblywoman Nicole Malliotakis
20	Senator Gustavo Rivera
21	Assemblyman L. Dean Murray
22	Senator Patrick M. Gallivan
23	Assemblywoman Mary Beth Walsh
24	Senator Elizabeth O'C. Little

1 2017-2018 Executive Budget

1	2017-2018 Executive Budget Elementary & Secondary Education 2-14-17		
3	PRESENT: (Continued)		
4	Assemblywoman Rebecca A.	Seawright	
5	Senator Leroy Comrie		
6	Assemblywoman Patricia Fa	hy	
7	Assemblyman Carmen E. Arr	oyo	
8	Senator Elaine Phillips		
9			
10			
11	LIST OF SPEAKERS		
12		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
13 14	MaryEllen Elia Commissioner NYS Education Department	10	23
15	Carmen Fariña	10	20
16	Chancellor NYC Department of Education	151	173
17	Andy Pallotta Executive Vice President		
18	Christopher Black Director of Legislation		
19	New York State United Teachers -and-		
20	Michael Mulgrew President		
21	Cassie Prugh Assistant to President		
22	United Federation of Teachers	245	261
23			

1 2	2017-2018 Executive Budget Elementary & Secondary Education 2-14-17	n	
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS,	Continued	
4		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
5	Dr. Kriner Cash Superintendent		
6	Buffalo School District		
7	Dr. Edwin M. Quezada Superintendent Vonkora City School District		
8	Yonkers City School District Ms. Barbara Deane-Williams Superintendent		
9	Rochester City School District Mr. Jaime Alicea		
10	Interim Superintendent Syracuse City School District		
11	Mr. Bruce Karam		
12	Superintendent Utica City School District -for-		
13	Conference of Big 5 School Districts	286	311
14	Jasmine Gripper		
15	Legislative Director	324	220
16	Alliance for Quality Education	324	329
17	Robert Lowry Deputy Director		
18	New York State Council of School Superintendents	334	339
19	Mark Cannizzaro Executive Vice President		
20	Council of School Supervisors and Administrators (CSA)	344	
21			
22	Cynthia E. Gallgher Director, Government Relations School Administrators Association	n.	
23	of New York State (SAANYS)	351	
O 4			

1	3		
2	Elementary & Secondary Education 2-14-17		
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS, Co	ntinued	
4		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
5	Bernadette Kappen Executive Director and Chair		
6	4201 Schools Association	356	363
7	Julie Marlette		
8	Director, Governmental Relations NYS School Boards Association	366	
9	Michael Borges		
10	Executive Director NYS Association of School Business Officials	372	377
11	Fred Koelbel		
12	Chair, Legislative Committee NYS School Facilities Assn.	382	388
13	Dan White		
14	District Superintendent Monroe #1 BOCES		
15	-for- BOCES Educational Consortium	391	
16	David A. Little		
17	Executive Director Rural Schools Association		
18	of New York State	394	402
19	James D. Cultrara Director for Education		
20	New York State Catholic Conference	410	416
21	Jake Adler		
22	Director of Government Affairs		
23	Teach NYS Orthodox Union	423	425
24		120	

1	Elementary & Secondary Education	on	
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS,	Continued	
4		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
7		STATEMENT	QOLOTIONS
5	Steven Sanders Executive Director		
6	Agencies for Children's		
7	Therapy Services (ACTS)	430	
•	Michael Martucci		
8	President New York School Bus		
9			
10	-and- Michael Cordiello		
11	President	425	
11	ATU Local 1181	435	
12	Peter F. Mannella Executive Director		
13			
14	Pupil Transportation	444	
	Randi Levine		
15	Policy Director Advocates for Children of NY	450	456
16		100	100
17	Todd Vaarwerk Director, Advocacy &		
	Public Policy		
18	WNY Independent Living New York Association on		
19	Independent Living	459	463
20	Dr. Mary Lagnado Superintendent		
21	Westbury Union Free		
22	School District	469	476
	Kyle McCauley Belokopitsky		
23	Executive Director NYS Congress of Parents &		
2.4	Teachers (NYS PTA)	479	

1	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Good morning. Good
2	morning it's not a good morning.
3	Today we begin the 10th in the series
4	of hearings conducted by the joint fiscal
5	committees of the Legislature regarding the
6	Governor's proposed budget for fiscal year
7	2017-2018. The hearings are conducted
8	pursuant to Article VII, Section 3 of the
9	Constitution, and Article 2, Sections 31 and
10	32A of the Legislative Law.
11	Today the Assembly Ways and Means
12	Committee and the Senate Finance Committee
13	will hear testimony concerning the budget
14	proposals for elementary and secondary
15	education.
16	I will now introduce members from the
17	Assembly, and Senator Young, chair of the
18	Senate Finance Committee, will give us her
19	members.
20	I have with us the chair of the
21	Education Committee, Cathy Nolan, and Rebecca
22	Seawright is with us.
23	Mr. Oaks.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Yes, we've been

1	Joined by Assemblymembers Nicole Maillotakis
2	Al Graf, Steve McLaughlin, and Peter Lopez.
3	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: But before I
4	introduce the first witness, I would like
5	to
6	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Wait, don't forget
7	about the Senate.
8	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Oh, I'm sorry. Oh
9	my God, what did I do?
10	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Don't forget about
11	the Senate.
12	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: The bright red got
13	my mind yes, Senator.
14	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you very
15	much.
16	Good morning, and Happy Valentine's
17	Day. I'm Senator Catharine Young, and I'm
18	chair of the Senate Standing Committee on
19	Finance.
20	And I'm joined by my colleagues from
21	the Senate. We have Senator Diane Savino,
22	who is vice chair of the Senate Finance
23	Committee. We have Senator Liz Krueger, who
24	is ranking member. We have Senator Carl

1	Marcellino, who is chair of the Senate
2	Standing Committee on Education. And we also
3	have Senator George Latimer, who is ranking
4	member on the Education Committee.
5	So thank you, Mr. Chairman.
6	Oh, and Senator Michael Ranzenhofer,
7	who is a great Senator who hails from Western
8	New York. There's a little plug there,
9	Michael.
10	Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: And we've been
12	joined also by Assemblywoman Ellen Jaffee and
13	Assemblyman Otis.
14	But before introducing the first
15	witness, I would like to remind all of the
16	witnesses testifying today to keep your
17	statements within your allotted time limit so
18	that everyone is afforded the opportunity to
19	speak. And the clocks are on the side. And
20	if you can keep your eyes on that, it will
21	help.
22	I will now call the first witness,
23	which is MaryEllen Elia, commissioner of the
24	New York State Education Department.

1	Good morning.
2	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Good morning,
3	Chairs Young, Farrell, Nolan and Marcellino,
4	and members of the Senate and Assembly here
5	today.
6	My name is MaryEllen Elia, and I am
7	the Commissioner of Education. I am joined
8	by Executive Deputy Commissioner Beth Berlin
9	and Senior Deputy Commissioner for Education
10	Policy Jhone Ebert.
11	You have my full testimony before you.
12	I'll speak to a few slides, and then we'll be
13	happy to address your questions.
L 4	Before I begin, I also want to welcome
15	several members of the Board of Regents who
16	are in the audience with us today, including
L7	Chancellor Betty Rosa and Regents Young,
18	Cashin and Mead. Our board members are
19	dedicated people who care deeply about
20	creating and sustaining an education system
21	that works for all New Yorkers. I want to
22	thank them all for the work they do and for
23	being here today.

Our first priority in this budget is

1	to ensure that our schools are fairly funded
2	through significant investments in Foundation
3	Aid. As you can see on Slides 2 and 3, the
4	Regents have proposed a 2.1 billion increase
5	in school aid, consisting of a \$1.4 billion
6	increase in Foundation Aid, \$335 million to
7	cover formula-based expenses, and
8	\$290 million in investments that we believe
9	are critical to address areas where gaps
10	exist and where educational resources need to
11	make a difference for our students.
12	Furthermore, the Regents and I urge
13	you to sustain the Foundation Aid phase-in.
14	We recommend a three year phase-in of the
15	full amount.
16	Slides 4 through 9 highlight the
17	investments we recommend. We urge you to
18	make a significant down payment on universal
19	pre-kindergarten by investing \$100 million
20	for full-day seats.
21	By just about every measure, we know
22	that high-quality early childhood education
23	opportunities put students on a path to

educational success, reduce special education

1	placements, and even increase the chances of
2	a student eventually attending college. This
3	is one of the best investments that you can
4	make in this budget, and I look forward to
5	working with you to make it a reality.
6	We commend the recent investments that
7	have been made in pre-K. However, those
8	investments have resulted in a siloed,
9	fragmented system of seven separate
10	pre-kindergarten programs. If you take a
11	look at Slide 5, you can see the web of pre-K
12	programs and their various requirements and
13	parameters. If we were starting from
14	scratch, this is not the system that we would
15	have built, so let's work together to get
16	this right.
17	We are pleased to see that the
18	Executive Budget proposes a solution to this
19	problem, and we urge you to align the
20	existing state-funded pre-K programs into one
21	allocational streamlined system so that
22	communities do not have to compete against

24 While efforts to expand pre-K to

each other for this funding.

3-year-olds are laudable, the state should
2 first ensure that all 4-year-olds have a
3 high-quality full-day pre-K seat before we
4 further expand to 3-year-olds.

I also urge you to reject a provision in the budget that would cause school districts to lose pre-K funding if they convert full-day seats to half-day seats, or if they lose a full-day seat. This punitive approach benefits no one.

On Slide 7, we describe our request for dedicated funding to support English language learners. New York has a remarkably diverse student population. About 8 percent of the state's public school students are English language learners, and they speak over 200 different languages. While we have made progress serving the unique learning needs of these students, we know that much work remains. "Ever ELLs," a term we use to refer to students who at one point received ELL services, have just about eliminated the achievement gaps with their non-ELL peers on various measures, including meeting the

1	state's	80	percent	graduation	rate	goal.
---	---------	----	---------	------------	------	-------

However, the graduation rate for current English language learners dropped this year to 26.6 percent, and we are focused on helping districts turn around these results. We've laid a good foundation with the modernization of Part 51 regulations that govern requirements for the education of ELLs.

This year we will focus on efforts to better support the inclusion of English language learners into general education and helping districts better support SIFE students -- that is students with interrupted formal education -- as they transition to schools in New York.

The Regents and I are firmly committed to these students, and we seek your support of our budget priorities aimed at making sure districts have the resources they need to help these students succeed.

On Slide 8, the Regents and I once again are requesting significant investments to expand Career and Technical Education

1	pathways. We recommend a \$60 million
2	investment through changes to reimbursements
3	for CTE programs that would support the
4	creation of high-quality pathway
5	opportunities.
6	Several years ago the Regents approved
7	a 4+1 multiple pathways model which allows
8	all students to substitute one of the social
9	studies Regents exams with approved
10	alternatives. Districts and BOCES need
11	support so that their programming can catch
12	up to the demands of the economic development
13	in each community.
14	We have taken administrative actions
15	to support the development of CTE programs
16	by, for example, modifying certification
17	requirements so that experts in fields from
18	various trades can teach these classes.
19	However, efforts to expand these programs
20	will depend on additional support and
21	funding.
22	As I travel the state, I have
23	uniformly heard positive feedback about the
24	multiple pathways model. Your one-house

1	budgets have included versions of those
2	proposals recently, so let's work together to
3	make sure this is the year these investments
4	come to fruition.

As you can see on Slide 9, the Regents state aid proposal also requests \$30 million to create a Professional Development Fund.

So let me point out to you there is no predictor as important to the students success as the quality of the teacher in front of them. As you know, we are moving forward with new learning standards. We need to avoid the mistakes of the past to make sure that teachers have the professional development support and resources to understand the standards so that they know how to deliver them in their classrooms.

During my travels around the state,
many teachers have directly told me that they
would like to have more and better
professional development opportunities.

Slides 10 through 24 describe our agency budget priorities. I'll speak briefly to a few of the priorities and ask that you

1	+ - 1	~ ~ ~ ~	+	+ ~		+ 10 0	
⊥	take	some	time	τo	review	tne	rest.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2	On Slide 11, we highlight a \$2 million
3	budget request to update and modernize two
4	important systems within the department. One
5	is our state aid modeling system that
6	produces the school aid runs and is written
7	in the COBOL language. It is so outdated
8	that only one person in the department is
9	fully trained to operate it.

The second is our DOS platform-based facilities planning system, which we use to receive, track, review and approve all building and facility projects that require department approval -- which now also includes the Smart Schools Bond Act projects.

Both of these systems are outdated and the question is not if they will fail, really the question is when they will fail.

On Slide 12, we are requesting \$700,000 to create a special education provider data system. As you know, the department regulates and sets reimbursement rates for a large system of public and private providers that educate students with

1	the most severe disabilities. While we have
2	several systems collecting data related to
3	these programs, the systems were developed
4	separately, and they cannot share information
5	with each other.

We believe that with a uniform system we will not only be able to better serve the programs, but also enhance reporting about special education services. This is a relatively small investment that would help us improve both the service delivery for students with disabilities and our rate-setting process, which I know is very important to many of you.

As I mentioned earlier, the department is focused on the needs of ELL students, and our state aid proposal includes a funding request to help districts better serve these students. On Slide 16 we request funding to make improvements to our testing program to better serve English language learners.

I'd like to bring to your attention that as part of this budget proposal, we are requesting \$1 million to translate all the

1	required	state	assessme	ents into	the	state's
2	eight mos	st comr	mon home	foreign	langı	lages.

mention relates to the department's lack of operating resources from the state. SED is the most staff-deprived state education agency in the country, based on the broad scope of responsibilities that we have.

Quite simply, the department's funding levels and our inability to fill positions that have been approved by the Legislature is no longer sustainable. We are at a point in too many offices where we simply cannot keep up with the work of serving districts, teachers, and your constituents.

On Slide 20 we request that you implement a 5 percent set-aside for administration and oversight within new programs. This is a common practice across the federal government and would allow us to keep up as our responsibilities grow.

I also request your assistance in helping us fill authorized but unfilled positions within the department. Every year,

1	as part of the state operations budget, you
2	vote and approve an FTE level for state
3	agencies. For several years now, our fill
4	level has been flat at 2692 positions across
5	all program offices and locations.

However, we require approval from the Division of Budget before we can fill positions even though we are well below our authorized FTE level. For several months we did not have approval to fill 280 of those positions, representing over 10 percent of our workforce, and that equates to about 42,000 work hours per month. Although we appreciate the approval of 150 positions late yesterday, this is a problem that is not going away, and we need your assistance to make sure the department has timely access to the resources that you have approved.

We have a very committed staff that have worked diligently to keep up as best they can. Despite their efforts, the lack of staffing is a real problem that affects everyday New Yorkers, including pre-K students, disabled adults, and aspiring

1	teachers. In our Teacher Certification
2	Office, for example, we had eight positions
3	to fill. It takes us longer to review and
4	approve certification requests for teachers,
5	who are your constituents, that are waiting
6	to start their jobs. Even with yesterday's
7	approvals, two waivers were left unapproved,
8	preventing us from bringing the office to
9	full capacity.

Another example, the Office of
Facilities Planning, which has significant
and growing responsibilities related to the
Smart Schools Bond Act. There are two
positions in that office that we have not
been authorized to fill.

In 2010, when our Early Learning
Office oversaw \$399 million of UPK funding,
we had 13 state-funded staff. Today we are
overseeing \$828 million in pre-K programming,
and the number of state-funded staff has
dropped to 10. We need your help. And by
supporting the department, you will be
supporting your constituents in your
communities.

1	In closing, I want to bring your
2	attention to Slides 26 to 30, which puts in
3	focus the urgency of work we do everyday at
4	the department. Our students compete for
5	jobs not only with their peers in New York
6	and in other states, they compete globally.
7	And objective results show that we are
8	falling behind other nations in science,
9	reading and math.

I want to draw your attention to

Slide 29. In reviewing PISA results, there
is a roadmap to address these challenges. As

Amanda Ripley wrote about the results:
"Generally speaking, the smartest countries

tend to be those that have acted to make
teaching more prestigious and selective;
directed more resources to their neediest
children; enrolled most children in
high-quality preschools; helped schools
establish cultures of constant improvement;
and applied rigorous, consistent standards
across all classrooms."

The path forward parallels the agenda we've laid out today and includes supporting

1	and developing teachers and principals,
2	providing resources to our high-needs
3	communities, and investing in early childhood
4	education. I'm very proud of the teaching
5	and learning I see in schools throughout the
6	state. I trust that our educators and
7	students can continue to rise to the
8	challenge. They need your help to do so. As
9	I've mentioned before, our K-12 schools are
10	our most important infrastructure to develop
11	our workforce and workforce pipeline. And
12	ultimately, great schools are our best
13	economic development strategy.
14	So let's work together to make sure
15	this budget provides the resources and
16	supports our students' need to succeed.
17	Thank you, and I look forward to your
18	questions.
19	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
21	much.
22	Mr. Oaks, you have someone?
23	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Just to let you
24	know that we've been joined by Assemblyman Ra

1	and Assemblywoman Walsh.
2	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Senator?
3	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. We've
4	been joined by Senator Simcha Felder, Senator
5	Todd Kaminsky, and Senator Gustavo Rivera.
6	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Now to begin, Cathy
7	Nolan, Assemblywoman and chair of Education.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Thank you,
9	Mr. Farrell and my colleagues. I just want
LO	to say briefly, as a I have some
11	questions, but I just want to thank
12	Commissioner Elia. And I really believe I
13	speak for many members, I hope most members
L 4	if not all the members of the Legislature,
15	that, you know, your accessibility, your high
16	visibility, your going around the state, your
17	listening to teachers and families and
18	students has made such a key difference in I
19	think generating support for education but
20	also taking down the temperature a little.
21	It's been a very contentious couple of years.
22	And the fact that Chancellor Rosa is here
23	today, with a number of members of the Board
24	of Regents Regent Mead, Regent Cashin,

1	Regent Young makes I think a very positive
2	statement to everybody here in the
3	Legislature.

You know, these hearings, my

colleagues, are very unique because the rest

of the Article VII finance hearings review

the executive agencies and the performance of

the executive agencies. Education is very

unique in our state because -- because we

appoint the members of the Board of Regents,

who then appoint the Commissioner of

Education -- you have a slightly different

relationship both with the Legislature, we

need to take more ownership of that, and also

with the executive agencies.

So I just want to say, on behalf I think of many, what a real great high-energy performance you've had and we're glad to have you back again this year.

I do have a question that -- but I
want to talk a little bit about Foundation
Aid first off. I want to make it clear that
any repeal of Foundation Aid in this budget
by the Executive is entirely -- it's just

1	completely unacceptable. we cannot go
2	forward after a court case, after 20 years of
3	work and effort, to have a system of
4	education funding that is about wheeling and
5	dealing and not about aligning funding with
6	need, letting the funding go to the children
7	that have the most needs. And we cannot walk
8	away from that. And I'm sorry to say there
9	are portions of this proposed Executive
10	Budget that very much do that.
11	But I want to focus on what the
12	Regents have proposed, which is the
13	Foundation Aid formula moving forward. The
14	amount you're looking at for this year would
15	be a phase-in of \$1.47 billion, is that
16	correct?
17	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Yes, that's
18	correct.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: All right. And
20	then of that, it would be the formula that
21	we are currently using that the Regents and
22	the State Ed department prior to your
23	tenure, obviously developed focuses on
24	what? Maybe you can just enlighten all of us

1	a little bit about why that formula follows
2	need and just talk a little bit about the
3	component parts.

COMMISSIONER ELIA: So there are several factors included in the formula. And I think the important thing is that in fact as we're doing the formula work, we know that it's important to make sure that the formula itself is relevant. We suggested and the Executive Budget included some shifts in the formula that we think would update it.

Number one, we looked at the way we would determine the students that are at most high need, and so that has been updated based on the requirements of the free and reduced lunch programs and the data that we have related to that. We also have looked at and said that there are other formula pieces that can be shifted, and we're doing that. The Executive Budget also included those, and I think it's noteworthy to know that.

But the basis for the Foundation Aid and that formula itself is included as a portion of the way that we have developed the

1	structure for the Regents budget. So the 1.4
2	that you mentioned that specifically is the
3	Foundation Aid, we made a suggestion and our
4	budget reflects a three-year phase-in of
5	Foundation Aid. And we think that that is an
6	appropriate way to look at the growth as we
7	move forward understanding constraints
8	that might be part of this budget, but as we
9	move forward, a three-year phase-in of full
10	Foundation Aid was what was suggested by the
11	Regents.
12	And the portion that we have is the
13	expense-based aid for \$335 million.
14	Certainly we know expense-based aid gets paid
15	to the districts after the fact. And we
16	believe that it's important to include that
17	as well.
18	And what you heard about the key

And what you heard about the key investments that the Regents and the State Ed Department have identified is very critical in moving education forward here. But we are supportive of maintaining the Foundation Aid, and our suggested budget includes a three-year phase-in of that.

Ţ	ASSEMBLIWOMAN NOLAN: I want to also
2	ask and it's funny, as she's just coming
3	in, Assemblywoman Arroyo but I do want to
4	ask about the Regents' very strong
5	recommendation, which certainly has my
6	support, as do your other Engage NY budget
7	recommendations, for \$100 million for English
8	language learners. I think it's such a
9	critical part of what we're doing. I don't
10	know if every colleague has you know, I
11	have the privilege, as chair of the
12	committee, of reading everything you guys
13	send me, those telephone-directory-sized
14	books that we get in the mail and online.
15	But you might want to talk a little
16	bit about why that's so critical, because I
17	think people have to realize in a global
18	world, and our globalized state, children are
19	coming in from all over the world. And to
20	me, it's such a marvelous, wonderful thing,
21	because it's an opportunity for children to
22	interact together. I always say my own son,
23	who is a student in a public school, has
24	received a wonderful education just in his

T	social maturity, you know, as a person
2	because he knows people from everywhere. And
3	he can when he goes into business one
4	day hopefully he will not go into
5	politics, he'll go into business he will
6	be able to work and deal with everyone. So,
7	you know, to me it's so wonderful.
8	But maybe you can talk a little bit
9	about English language learners.
10	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Absolutely.
11	I think there's a number of data
12	points that are extremely important that are
13	the basis for this recommendation to you as
14	well as to the Executive. So if you look at
15	the 3-8 assessment data that we had,
16	specifically the group of English language
17	learners are those students that need to have
18	the most support.
19	In 2014, the Regents approved changes
20	in the regulations that updated the
21	regulations related to supporting students
22	who were English language learners or SIFE
23	students that is, students with
24	interrupted education who come to this

1	country
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: I don't mean to
3	interrupt, but I also just want like a
4	number. Like we have 3 million students in
5	public schools. How many English language
6	learners do we have in our state?
7	COMMISSIONER ELIA: We have 8 percent
8	of our public school students across the
9	state speaking 200 languages. And so as they
10	come in and they're working with our teachers
11	across the state, it's absolutely critical
12	that we address the needs that they have. We
13	have the 3-8 assessments that show that they
14	need specifically that support in English
15	language as well as in mathematics.

But also I want to point out to you our recent graduation rate. We had wonderful success with students who had been in the English Language Learner Program and exited; that is, the Ever ELLs. They had been in it, but they are out, and they were one of the groups that had the highest graduation rates -- in fact, above 80 percent.

However, those students that are still

1	in the English Language Learner Program
2	across the state have much work to be done to
3	support them. And I think it's absolutely
4	critical. The two data points that we have
5	clearly point to the needs of that particular
6	population, and it's absolutely critical that
7	we work to support them.
8	So our work does include opportunities
9	for the \$100 million to be used for
10	supporting teachers in strategies that will
11	support those students better, to include
12	family engagement programs, to provide
13	services to our ELL students, particularly
14	our new ELL students, and the SIFE students
15	that come that have had interrupted education
16	and need to catch up even further.
17	So we feel that's an extremely
18	important investment.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Thank you very
20	much. I just want to pledge again our
21	support, my support, for trying to get you
22	the resources you need to run the department.
23	I certainly feel, over my tenure, very, very

24 bad that we have not. I said it to

1	Chancellor Tisch, I said it to Chancellor
2	Bennett, I'm saying it now to Chancellor
3	Rosa: We will try to do better by this
4	important department so that you can provide
5	the services that you need. It's been a
6	frustrating thing. We've not been as
7	successful as I would like. But I just want
8	to pledge again our support, my support, to
9	try to get you the resources you need to run
10	the State Education Department, such an
11	important and historic branch of our state
12	government.
13	And you should not be unfairly
14	penalized because you're not an executive
15	agency, the way some others are, which
16	receive, say, 50 percent, 60 percent,
17	80 percent of their funding from state levy
18	dollars. And you're our State Education
19	Department, and what is it, 8 percent of your
20	staff is paid
21	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Yes.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: I mean, it's
23	terrible. Terrible. It shouldn't be. So we
24	have to work to better that.

1	And my last quick thing with my last
2	seconds, I just want to reinforce we
3	didn't get a chance to talk about it today
1	my support for adult education. Sometimes
5	it's a little bit forgotten in terms of all
6	that big elementary/secondary money, but you
7	also have such responsibilities for that and
3	for getting people a high school diploma at
9	any stage of life.

And, you know, we were able to tour some facilities that are literally teaching adults to read. You know, we take it for granted, those of us who read all the time for a living, but there are people that -- and people born in this country who cannot, as adults, read, and it always breaks my heart.

So I appreciate -- I know your deputy is here from that department as well, and we appreciate your leadership on that.

COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, I think it's particularly important when one of the proposals that we have in front of you is for the Bridge program. The bridge program is

1	paralleled off of LaGuardia High School in
2	New York City that has done a magnificent job
3	of supporting students who don't have a high
4	school diploma but want to come back and
5	further their education. And the program
6	that we're suggesting would allow that to
7	occur. We want to put in some pilots around
8	the state and support that population who did
9	not end up with a diploma, whether they were
10	English language learners or whether they
11	were from other states or whether they were
12	from New York State, but they want to become
13	part of our workforce now. And the Bridge
14	program that we've modeled off of LaGuardia
15	is a great program that I think would help
16	whatever communities were able to put that in
17	as a pilot.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Thank you.
19	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
21	We've been joined by Assemblywoman
22	Carmen Arroyo.
23	And Senator?
24	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.

Τ	Senator Carl Marcellino, chair of the
2	Senate Education Committee.
3	SENATOR MARCELLINO: Thank you very
4	much, Senator.
5	Commissioner Elia, I wanted to
6	congratulate you and thank you very much for
7	the cooperation that you and your staff over
8	at State Ed have certainly supplied myself
9	and my staff. Whenever we've asked questions
10	and whenever we've had information that
11	required calling over there, we've always
12	gotten a response and it's been prompt and
13	it's always been accurate.
14	So I thank you for that. You do and
15	are doing an excellent job, in my opinion.
16	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Thank you.
17	SENATOR MARCELLINO: Some years ago
18	some of you may know that Cathy was a former
19	student of mine at Grover Cleveland High
20	School. And our old principal had said to
21	me, you know
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Hard to believe,
23	but true.
24	(Laughter.)

1	SENATOR MARCELLINO: My old principal,
2	who was the longest-serving principal in the
3	history of the city, said to me one day, he
4	says, "You know, that Nolan kid, she's going
5	to go into politics." And I said, "Nah,
6	she's too smart for that."
7	(Laughter.)
8	SENATOR MARCELLINO: I'm not sure
9	which one of us turned out to be correct, but
10	the working relationship has been a good one.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Thank you.
12	Thank you so much. Thank you.
13	SENATOR MARCELLINO: You've made a
14	presentation here and you've made a case for
15	about 2-plus billion dollars in aid to the
16	schools. The Governor is offering, in his
17	budget, about a billion dollars, give or
18	take. Why isn't the Governor's number
19	enough?
20	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, I think, you
21	know, I've tried to point out some of
22	the voids that we have in the particular
23	SENATOR MARCELLINO: Could you hit the
24	really salient points? I know you did. But

1	can you hit the really salient points, the
2	key ones that make the fact that the billion
3	is not enough?

COMMISSIONER ELIA: Okay. So if you look at the budget that we've proposed, we're actually saying that not only is a phase-in of the Foundation Aid a necessary thing -- so we took the amount of Foundation Aid that is -- right now can be calculated, which is done through our systems, and we divided that in three. And that's a portion of what we're talking about for Foundation Aid.

And when you look at the investments that we see in the Executive Budget, there are some very -- I think some major voids that are existing, first of all. If we're going to push for quality pre-K across the state, the Governor's budget calls for a \$5 million investment in that. We have already seen across the state that those children who come into a quality pre-K program and have that, they have experienced more success in our elementary grades, in 1 through 3. And we saw this past year that we had a

substantive growth in our third grade in
their assessments.

So the point of saying that we're going to put \$5 million in is an investment, but it is not enough. And across the state -- if you look at page 5, you can see the vast array of programs -- with the \$5 million, the Executive Budget calls for that to go out in an RFP. Even though there are some shifts that are made to give that initially to those students who are most in need, it's really a critical thing to put funding into that program.

The other thing I want to point out is specifically the Governor's budget doesn't address some of the other key things that we think are extremely important, driven by data that we have, and that is English language learners and the work that we have to do with English language learners. Let me say that that is affecting districts all over the state, the supports and the help that they need to make sure that those students can be successful.

1	It is an important opportunity, and I
2	want to laud Cathy Nolan's point about the
3	fact that we ought to celebrate the fact that
4	we have diversity in this state, and support
5	those students and families to the best
6	extent that we can. And his budget does not
7	address that, and we believe that's a very
8	critical point.

And let me point out one of the other key things -- and you and I, Senator

Marcellino, have had these conversations, individually and in groups that you've put together out on Long Island and here in Albany. Specifically, there were some errors made in the speed with which we moved out new standards and new assessments in New York

State. And one of the key areas that was not addressed in that previous rollout was the work that needs to done with teachers and with principals in training them to be ready to deliver new standards.

You and I were also, with

Assemblywoman Nolan, we were on the

Governor's Task Force on Common Core, with

1	the recommendations that came out of that,
2	and specifically those recommendations called
3	for some changes that we at the State Ed
4	Department are working to develop. But one
5	of the key things in there was the constant
6	discussion that we heard across the state
7	from teachers and principals of the great
8	need to support teachers in their classrooms
9	every day.
10	The Governor's budget does not include

The Governor's budget does not include that. And I think it is an extremely important factor if we are to do the things that are necessary to right this ship of New York State's education and the quality reforms that have been put in place, the supports that we need for separate populations, to help our teachers and principals get to be where they need to be.

And I think you can see that when they are given support, we have in those particular districts seen great supports for students.

So I think those are some key areas that are not included in the Governor's

1	budget	that	are	important	for	all	of	us	in
2	New Yor	îk.							

SENATOR MARCELLINO: The English

language learners, the kids that have been

coming in, over the past three years, the

statistics that I've seen, approximately

200,000 a year over the last three, and I

think about 220,000 in this past year

alone -- how many of those young people

require what I would call significant

remedial work? I can't believe they're all

coming in on grade level with abilities.

COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, I mean,
let's face it, no matter where a student
comes from and no matter what their past
education has been, if they don't speak
English, they're at a disadvantage in
learning in our classrooms. And we need to
support them as they develop their skills in
English but also in their content area.

And so as we're doing this, I think it's critical to make sure that the kinds of regulations -- like Regulation 154 that supports English language learners in many

1	areas relating to teacher certification,
2	relating to teacher supports in the
3	classroom, to connections to their families
4	and their communities making sure and
5	one of our requests is to make sure that we
6	have translations of our exams so that
7	students who have knowledge in their own
8	language can translate that to our systems.
9	All of those things are necessary.
10	And as you pointed out, a number of
11	districts that I've talked to in your area
12	have students that come in with interrupted
13	language and education. Those students need
14	to have intense support in classrooms, but
15	they also need besides learning English,
16	they need to keep they need to move
17	forward in content area subjects.
18	So the kinds of supports that are
19	necessary require us to support districts
20	across the state to do that.
21	SENATOR MARCELLINO: Finally thank
22	you. And if I may jump quickly to a slightly
23	different topic, the Smart Schools Bond Act

funding.

1	It's my understanding there are
2	approximately 168 school districts that have
3	not received an answer to their proposal and
4	are awaiting their funding. I'm assuming,
5	from what I heard before when you opened your
6	statement, manpower or person power
7	I've got to be careful people power is
8	your problem, or one of your problems. What
9	else is a problem there that's holding us
10	back?
11	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, let me point
12	out I just want to give you the numbers as
13	of now.
14	So we have 118 projects that are in
15	State Ed waiting for the reviews to be done
16	to move forward. We have 26 projects that
17	were moved out of State Ed that now are in a
18	position of being reviewed in other agencies.
19	As you well know, the Division of Budget as
20	well as SUNY have a role in a supporting
21	movement forward on the bonds on the
22	approval of the bond program.
23	We have 19 that are ready to be
24	approved by the committee when the next

1	meeting is held by that committee. We do not
2	schedule that, that's scheduled by the
3	Division of Budget. There was a period of
4	time where there were no meetings. They now
5	have started again, and we had a number of
6	that were approved.

So the total number of approved projects is 144, or 47 percent of the projects that have been submitted to us actually have been approved.

So I think it's -- you know, one of the things that we want -- and I know that you can understand this -- we have programs that have been put in place with much thought and support for education. One of them is the Smart Schools Bond Act. There is no other state that I know of that has been thoughtful enough to put funding in place to get schools across their state up to the point where they need to be to deliver instruction using technology to the point that we have.

However, we have a very antiquated facilities tracking system which requires an

enormous amount of opportunities for our
staff to track, as opposed to approve. So if
we were able to get funding to support an
updated system that spoke to each other, that
could give constant feedback on issues that
need to be cleared by the districts before we
can move forward on a project, it would be
very helpful not only for our construction
projects but for the Smart Schools Bond
projects.

So the work that we're doing is moving them forward. And yes, as I gave you those numbers, 47 percent of those that have been submitted to us have been approved and have moved forward; 38 percent are still with us and we're moving them forward, but we have work to do to improve that.

The same department that approves all the construction projects going on in your districts across the state is the same -- that's the same department that does the Smart Schools Bond. So it's important for us to get as efficient as we can. We have decreased by half the time that it took for

1	us to get approvals for all of those
2	projects. But we still have too long of a
3	wait. And we want to get better at that, but
4	it requires your support.
5	SENATOR MARCELLINO: Well, thank you
6	very much for your time. I know my time is
7	up. But I would just state that if my office
8	can be of any assistance in, for lack of a
9	better word, goosing the system to get things
10	out of other agencies that are being held up
11	for whatever reason, give us a call.
12	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
14	much.
15	We've been joined by Assemblywoman
16	Jo Anne Simon.
17	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. And
18	we've been joined by Senator Patrick
19	Gallivan.
20	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Next to question,
21	Mr. Lopez.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN LOPEZ: Thank you,
23	Chairman.
24	Commissioner, welcome. Glad to see

1	you again. And actually we have our
2	Windham students are here. My wife is back,
3	and she's taking in your testimony as well.
4	So glad you're here.
5	Commissioner, a couple of things

quickly. In the Foundation Aid -- and I know we had a conversation about fully funding it and the issue of projecting, carrying on with the multiyear. My honest observation is that even within the formula, there are certain key areas where schools are struggling. And even fully funded, I'm concerned that not all schools will be -- still will be created equal, particularly high need, low wealth, inner city and rural, in particular.

And I see the drivers, cost drivers.

You mentioned English language, second

language learners as a cost driver. I would

add to that poverty and special education

needs.

And my question for you is, do you see, in the budget as proposed, the opportunity for every student to have the same access to a quality education? Open

1	question.
---	-----------

to be done.

2	COMMISSIONER ELIA: So I think that
3	you've hit on something that's very
4	important. The Foundation Aid, it's
5	important to make sure that it's been updated
6	and that we're using data and numbers that
7	are current as it's calculated.
8	And also, I think that as we move
9	forward, we have to look at ways that we can
10	make sure that those districts and schools
11	are getting the resources that they need to
12	be able to support students in their
13	districts.
14	And you have identified, I think,
15	Member Lopez, a critical need to make sure
16	that the distribution of the funding is done
17	based on great need. And at this point in
18	time, I think that the budget has been the
19	proposal for the budget has supported, in
20	fact, doing that. We've updated the budget,
21	and the Executive office and their
22	departments have included some of those
23	suggested changes. I think there's more work

1	And as you've pointed out, we have
2	many places in the state that need more
3	resources, and they are not receiving the
4	resources that they need. The Foundation Aid
5	phase-in will help those districts, but we
6	have to constantly be looking at ways to
7	improve the distribution of the funds.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN LOPEZ: And again,
9	that's my concern is, particularly on
10	those three cost drivers, I'm not sure if
11	we're heading in that direction. And that's
12	why I'm looking for your guidance and
13	experience there.
14	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, there is no
15	question that the Regents have been focused
16	on equity and making sure that those
17	districts and schools within large districts
18	that have great need are receiving the
19	resources that they need. And so that is
20	something that I think, as you pointed out,
21	is very important for us to do. And we are
22	certainly not there yet.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN LOPEZ: I'm going to shift
24	gears quickly. Again, we've had some

1	conversation about the loss of the local
2	diploma and about the issue of getting people
3	to work right from school. And the concern
4	many of us have remains with the issue of not
5	having a diploma if someone is not in the
6	Regents track, having certification. And the
7	real world employability my concern is how
8	do we work towards using the term "diploma"
9	as one aspect.
10	The other aspect is, even within the
11	Regents, is there an opportunity to
12	strengthen the career and technical aspect so
13	that someone can be job-ready and still get
14	through the Regents program if they do pursue
15	the Regents track?
16	COMMISSIONER ELIA: So in our
17	conversations I know you're aware of the
18	changes that the Regents have approved and
19	that we have made to allow students to have
20	access to opportunities towards receiving a
21	diploma.

And let me say that I think that we have opened up the opportunities for students with disabilities to do that. And the

1	suggestions in the proposal that's in front
2	of you that relate specifically to the
3	expansion of CTE programming is very
4	important. And so that will open up
5	opportunities for that 4+1 option that we
6	have.
7	And last year, as we discussed, the
8	Regents opened up the superintendent's
9	determination for students who had taken
10	coursework and were unable to pass a Regent
11	in that coursework specifically, a student
12	with disabilities. However, they had passed
13	the course and they had content knowledge
14	enough that they could sign off as a
15	superintendent that they determined that they
16	should receive a local diploma.
17	Statewide, we had 418 of the students
18	across the state that received a local
19	diploma under a superintendent's
20	determination.
21	So we believe that by looking
22	carefully at the options that we make
23	available to our students with disabilities
24	particularly, or students who want to make

1	sure and maybe they are not focused on the
2	Regents exams as such, but want the
3	opportunities to become certified in a
4	particular career those have also been
5	offered as the 4+1 option.
6	So right now, we have approved
7	assessments that can be used for students to
8	graduate with a Regents diploma and allowing
9	them to have a career and technical external
10	assessment that then puts them right in line
11	to be able to have a job as they leave high
12	school.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN LOPEZ: Thank you,
14	Commissioner.
15	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
16	Senator?
17	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you very
18	much.
19	And Commissioner, it's always great to
20	see you. And I too want to sincerely thank
21	you for everything that you do for the
22	students in New York. And it's great to see
23	you travel about the state. And I know
24	you've been to Western New York many, many

1	times.	In	fact,	you	hail	from	there.	So
2	it's gre	eat.						

3 COMMISSIONER ELIA: That's it.

4 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So I did want to
5 follow up on a couple of things. And we've
6 had quite a discussion today on the ELL
7 students, and you mentioned Part 54 in the
8 regulations that were created by the State Ed
9 Department.

I just want to give you a little bit of feedback that we're getting from some of the districts. This was an unfunded mandate, because it's very difficult, according to the districts, to find teachers who are dual-certified in foreign languages and in a particular topic area. So in many cases, what they're having to do is hire a foreign language teacher and they're having to hire a social studies teacher, a math teacher, whatever it is, so they have two teachers in one classroom. These additional positions are not funded by the state.

You mentioned in your testimony that you're asking for \$100 million. My question

1	is, is that what the school districts are
2	losing right now because of this unfunded
3	mandate? So could you give us a little bit
4	more information on that?
5	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Sure. I think t

COMMISSIONER ELIA: Sure. I think the point that you've made is extremely important. And a number of districts across the state have faced the issue of getting certified teachers into those classrooms to support students who speak another language but are in our classrooms.

One of the things that we've done -and we know that this is an issue, and it
relates to this certification. So there are
places across the state in higher ed that
have opened up opportunities for teachers to
get certified as an add-on to their existing
certification.

So if you take the example that you used, you have a social studies teacher and they have not been certified, but they have students that are ELL students in their classroom. If that social studies teacher goes through the training and does an add-on

1	of a certification, they become certified.
2	So these are some of the activities
3	that I think are absolutely critical for us
4	to expand and make available.
5	We're also looking at putting online
6	programming together so that a portion of
7	their training for that add-on can be not
8	only in a classroom, having to go somewhere,
9	but actually have the availability of it
10	online so that they can get that
11	certification.
12	The thing that I think is important to
13	realize is we've got to make the
14	certifications available for the great
15	teachers we have in New York. And what
16	happens is to think that we only can do a
17	certification for an ELL teacher in one way
18	is not reasonable. We have so many different
19	types of school districts large, small,
20	rural, urban, suburban we have to make

We actually have put programs together that are allowing that certification, and we are encouraging institutions of higher

that programming available.

1	learning and our teacher prep programs to put
2	in place English language learner training
3	programs so that their graduates can graduate
4	dually certified and/or that someone that's
5	coming back can get that certification early.
6	So it has to be in multiple modes and
7	not just one way to get a certification. But
8	it is an issue, and it clearly has been a
9	problem. Some of our BOCES have stepped up
10	and are putting in place training for them as
11	well.
12	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I think it shows a
13	need for the Blended Learning Program that we
14	tried to get off the ground. You're talking
15	about technology and using technology in the
16	classroom, and I'm not sure where that's at
17	either.
18	I wanted to talk about Foundation Aid
19	and the formula. Assemblyman Lopez brought
20	that up. But it was unfrozen in 2011-2012.
21	And the majority of the data factors used in
22	the calculations are updated annually, is

24 COMMISSIONER ELIA: The majority of --

23 that correct?

1	they are updated this year. We put in a
2	proposal to update them. And as we've
3	updated them, we see that that will change
4	the formula somewhat because it will shift on
5	the poverty indicator as one area. And also
6	the base amount of .65, which the Executive
7	Budget has taken out of the formula and
8	dropped to zero, we think that will help.
9	But it has not been, up to this point,
10	included in the runs that we have given to
11	districts in the past years that we have an
12	updated formula particularly for poverty. So
13	we have updated it for this year, and that's
14	included in the runs out of the Executive
15	Budget.
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So you've updated
17	it with the ELLs and that sort of thing,
18	enrollment?
19	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, that data is
20	updated each year, so you know exactly how
21	many students are ELL in a district. And
22	there is a factor in the formula that allows
23	for ELL to be a calculation of that.
24	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I wanted to talk to

1	you because you just touched on it
2	about the direct certification data versus
3	the free and reduced-price lunches. And I
4	wanted to get your thoughts on that, because
5	there are two schools of thought no pun
6	intended. But for example, in my district
7	very rural, as you know, a lot of poor
8	people and there are two factors that
9	sometimes get in the way of people signing up
10	for free and reduced-price lunches for their
11	children. One is maybe some apathy on the
12	part of the parents, they don't return the
13	information back to the school, which is a
14	big problem. Or they may be embarrassed
15	because they see it as a handout and they're
16	proud.
17	So, you know, there are questions out
18	there. The direct certification data, do you
19	think that would be a more accurate way of
20	assessing poverty, or I just want to hear
21	your thoughts on it, Commissioner.
22	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, actually

this used to be a major problem. And as some

of the shifts occurred in the federal

23

1	nutrition programs, we have districts that
2	because of prior years' poverty levels, they
3	were given they were allowed to directly
4	certify that they had students who were in
5	poverty, and therefore parents did not have
6	to return those forms. So in one way, that
7	took away a problem there. But when that
8	happened, then you couldn't use that data as
9	the percentage of a in a formula for
10	certification of funding.
11	The direct certification would be, I
12	believe, a better approach to take in the

believe, a better approach to take in the fact that you would be able to access other factors that include things like social service programs that provide funding to families who are in need. And you'd be able to get that information and share that across agencies. We believe that would be very helpful.

But whatever you do, you'd have to use a two or three year roll-in of that, which is one of the things that we suggested.

CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So this is really designed to get funding to the poorest

1	districts. And you would agree that the
2	Foundation Aid formula is designed to do
3	that, right?
4	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Yes, I think it
5	is. I think there are some factors that you
6	could look at that would require legislation
7	But I think each one of the
8	characteristics of the Foundation Aid formula
9	should be reviewed and regularly updated.
10	And the most important thing is to look at
11	the equity points that have been brought up
12	by other people in questions already, the
13	fact that we know that there are some
14	districts that do not get the same amount of
15	money per child as other districts in
16	New York State.
17	That exists, and I think that's
18	something that constantly needs to be
19	reviewed to see what you could better do to
20	distribute funding across the state for
21	education.
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
23	So I want to talk about a topic that
24	I'd rather not have to talk about, but that

1	has to do with cost reports. And as you
2	know, in the 2012-2013 enacted budget there
3	was an amnesty program that was authorized
4	for school districts that had not yet filed
5	their cost reports for building projects that
6	were approved by SED prior to July 1, 2010.
7	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Right.
8	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Right. So under
9	the legislation districts had until
10	December 31, 2012, to file such final cost
11	reports, and then they were eligible for a
12	prorated amnesty program.
13	So prior to that time, the penalty for
14	a late-filed final cost report was a total
15	loss of state aid on a project, even if all
16	the aid had been previously paid. I just
17	recently learned from a school district that
18	SED will be taking back, in this school year,
19	almost \$19.2 million in state aid from just
20	one school district based on a late-filed
21	final cost report for projects that were
22	approved prior to July 1, 2010. And most of
23	these projects date back to 1995, 1996, 1997.
24	And as you know, I have two separate

1	school districts right now I've had others
2	in the past in my Senate district that are
3	currently having state aid recovered in the
4	amount of approximately half a million
5	dollars a year, which these are little teeny
6	tiny school districts. Their entire budgets
7	are not that large. It's a huge hit. We've
8	worked to try to address that in the
9	State Budget. But this clawback seems to be
10	unfair.

We used to be able to just pass

legislation to grant some kind of amnesty for

these districts. Because I don't believe in

any of these cases this failure to file the

cost reporting is malicious. You know, it

wasn't intended.

So the question I have is, why didn't the department make every effort to notify these and other districts in 2012, when the window was open? Because now these districts apparently didn't realize that they didn't have the cost report filed and now they're getting hit by the department. And I want to really stress that these clawbacks that

1	you're getting, these huge fines that you're
2	taking from the school districts, are not in
3	the financial plan. This is extra money
4	that's coming back. So the budget doesn't
5	contemplate that this money would be coming
6	back to the state.
7	So why didn't the districts get
8	notified by the department? That's my first
9	question.
10	COMMISSIONER ELIA: So let me first
11	of all, let me clear up that. That's a
12	misconception that you have. They were
13	notified. They were notified multiple times.
14	And so we have gone back on several districts
15	that have been part of this and identified
16	the fact that they had been notified. So
17	that is not necessarily the case.
18	But here's where I want to
19	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Commissioner, I
20	will tell you, in Panama they saw it pop up
21	on a website right before it was at the
22	end of the year, past when the window was
23	closed. So I do not believe that they were
24	notified. They don't have any record of

1	being notified. So I don't know what
2	happened there, but I will tell you that my
3	districts and another one was
4	self-reporting. Fredonia, for example,
5	self-reporting. The new superintendent
6	uncovered something that happened 10 years
7	prior, reported it, and they got dinged for a
8	lot of money over that.

COMMISSIONER ELIA: Okay, so I have no problem going back, Senator Young, and verifying when your districts were identified. And we'll get with you and get the exact names, and they'll go back in the file and get that for you.

All I can tell you is every time that I have gone back and done it, every one of the districts that said they hadn't received notification had changes in staff, had people that may have been in there that didn't -- hadn't had enough training to realize the seriousness of some of these issues. And I can tell you that I absolutely understand some of the situations that have occurred, and we in fact are supporting, with some of

1	our partner groups, having training for the
2	financial staff members in districts across
3	the state so they're fully aware of some of
4	the issues that can be raised here.
5	And you're right. I mean, these are
6	things that would be absolutely catastrophic
7	for districts, and that should not occur.
8	SED is not the one that is, in fact,
9	taking the money away. Let me point out that
10	these are legislative decisions that have
11	been made here. And we are following the
12	law, which is a problem in some cases for
13	some districts because of the situations that
14	may have occurred there. But it's not
15	something that we would not want your relief
16	over, because we'd be very happy to not to
17	ding these districts for what may not have
18	been anybody's problem.
19	So any of the districts that you're
20	talking about, we will give you the full
21	response that was made to those districts
22	over the period of time that we're

24 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you,

discussing.

1	Commissioner.
2	And how many districts are left out
3	there? Because, you know, I reference the
4	new one, a \$19.2 million hit on that
5	particular school district. They keep
6	surfacing. So do you have a handle on how
7	many districts are out there that are facing
8	these types of situations?
9	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, I don't have
10	the full number, but I will get that for you
11	and make sure that it's available to you for
12	you to share with anyone else.
13	We have had meetings with other
14	legislators, and specifically talking about a
15	district in a similar situation. And it's
16	not something that in fact we are driven to
17	do. And if legislation in any way was
18	changed or revised where we had the
19	opportunity to address these issues in a more
20	reasonable way, we would certainly want to do
21	it.
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you,

And finally, I referenced the Blended

23 Commissioner.

1	Learning Program. The Legislature passed
2	that legislation
3	COMMISSIONER ELIA: I'm sorry, which
4	program?
5	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: The Blended
6	Learning Program.
7	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Yes.
8	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: The Legislature
9	passed that bill, it was signed by the
10	Governor. We worked to get together a
11	program for the blended learning statewide
12	initiative. And could you give a briefing as
13	to where that's at?
14	COMMISSIONER ELIA: I have to tell
15	you, I'll have to check. I don't know.
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay.
17	COMMISSIONER ELIA: You know that it
18	was one of the things that you and I talked
19	about last year, particularly that we come
20	forward with a way that we could do virtual
21	education across the state for some of the
22	districts, particularly the small rural
23	districts.

And so I can't tell you where it is

1	right now, but I will get it for you.
2	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. Because
3	as you know, there was a task force, they put
4	together a plan, they submitted it to SED,
5	and I believe it was supposed to be
6	implemented by SED. So if you could let me
7	know, that would be great.
8	Thank you.
9	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
11	We've been joined by Assemblywoman
12	Shelley Mayer.
13	Next to question, Assemblyman
14	McLaughlin.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN McLAUGHLIN: Thank you,
16	Chairman.
17	Thanks, Commissioner, for being here.
18	A lot of my colleagues asked what I
19	was going to ask, so I'll be probably brief.
20	But a couple questions that I touched on with
21	you just a little bit earlier. I'm hearing
22	from some school districts about opt-outs
23	that continue on testing. And one school in
24	particular that I got word from, the

1	principal was telling his teachers that any
2	student that opts out is marked a "1." I'm
3	not sure whether that's true, or can you
4	speak to that for me, so we can get some
5	clarity for our school districts out there?
6	COMMISSIONER ELIA: So a student
7	doesn't receive a "1" for a test they didn't
8	take. As I mentioned to you earlier, there
9	may be confusion over the issues.
10	Some of the legislation and/or initial
11	rulemaking that came out of the ESSA, the
12	Every Student Succeeds Act, in Washington had
13	some rules that would be more detrimental to
14	schools that had high opt-out rates. That
15	may be what they're talking about. But
16	obviously that law has we haven't
17	submitted our New York State plan, and we
18	haven't done anything on that, and certainly
19	feel like that would be very problematic.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN McLAUGHLIN: Sure,
21	definitely. And so you're not getting any
22	word from any schools out there as far as

feedback of -- you know, we haven't submitted

the plan. When do we plan on submitting the

23

COMMISSIONER ELIA: The plan -- the due date right now, and this obviously is subject to change, the due date right now is September.

And so we've been working on getting feedback across the state, the Regents have had several sessions with experts from around the country, as well as the feedback that we've received. So our plan is in the development stage, but I can assure you that that was not something that we were interested in, and we're going to work very hard to make sure that those things were not included in New York's plan.

ASSEMBLYMAN McLAUGHLIN: Is there clarity out there, you think, among all of the administrators throughout the state?

Because maybe it seems there isn't, if this is the feedback that I'm getting.

COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, I've communicated with the superintendents. We've also had communications out with principals specifically relating to where we are in the

1	development of plans. So if in fact the
2	principal may have said something to a
3	teacher about what possibly was in the
4	outlines coming from Washington related to
5	the plan, and the teacher thought that's
6	exactly what is true, that's the only thing I
7	can identify. It could have happened, but
8	that is not part of where we're going.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN McLAUGHLIN: Great. Thank
10	you.
11	The Governor is proposing a round of
12	grants totaling \$50 million. And typically
13	grants are used to spur competition, which is
14	not necessarily what we want to do when it
15	comes to funding education. So a lot of our
16	school districts are saying they're not even
17	applying for it because they don't think that
18	they would qualify for that grant anyway.
19	So I just want to get your feedback on
20	that, whether you agree with that proposal by
21	the Governor or not.
22	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, his
23	\$50 million grants include things like
24	after-school programs, Early College High

1	Schools, expanding pre-K that was the
2	\$5 million that I pointed out before AP
3	fees for low-income students, Computer
4	Science Master Teacher awards, the Empire
5	State Excellence in Teaching awards, and the
6	Prevent Cyberbullying Initiative.

I've mentioned this before when I've talked to several of you, I think it's very problematic when we have competitive grants that pit students against students when you have students in multiple places across the state that need to have supports.

In some of these situations -- and let me give you an example with the expanded pre-K program. For \$5 million going out for another opportunity for someone to apply for funding for what would be a relatively small amount of money, \$5 million, and having resources in districts -- and you talk about small districts or you talk about urban districts, they may not have the same level of grant writer that others do, so competitive grants can be problematic.

1	I would say if you're going to have
2	competitive grants, they should be in the
3	fewest areas possible. But we would
4	certainly hope that as we're working with
5	these that we could put in place those things
6	that would allow districts to know ahead of
7	time whether they fit the criteria or not.
8	But let me give you an example. We
9	had, for the after-school 21st Century grant
10	programs, we had over 500 applicants. We're
11	not going to be able to give anywhere near
12	500 applications and give them funding.
13	So people are spending their time writing
14	those grants. It's important for the RFP to
15	be very clear and for people to make a
16	decision about whether that is something that
17	they really feel like they've got an
18	opportunity to get.
19	Clearly the AP fees for low-income
20	students is something, by the percentage of
21	students that they'd have in their district,

they may be able to know that that would be a good thing for them to write for.

ASSEMBLYMAN McLAUGHLIN: Thank you, 24

22

1	Commissioner.
2	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
3	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
4	Our next speaker is Senator Kaminsky.
5	SENATOR KAMINSKY: Good morning,
6	Commissioner.
7	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Good morning.
8	SENATOR KAMINSKY: I just want to
9	acknowledge and thank the presence of
10	Chancellor Rosa. She came out to my district
11	last week for a forum on graduation and
12	diplomas that we heard of a minute ago. Over
13	350 parents were there, and it was a really
14	great dialogue, and we've discussed this.
15	But there was still there was a great
16	appreciation for the steps you have taken
17	last spring. You know, when you talk about
18	400-plus lives being improved by your
19	measures, that's great.
20	I just want to let you know people are
21	now hoping for a next step or a follow-up
22	step this year as a way to recognize the
23	potential of all students, and it was just a
24	really great moment to have our state

1	officials there listening to parents. And I
2	think everyone appreciated it and came out of
3	it feeling hopeful. And we hope that hope
4	will be realized this year with some further
5	steps you could take that will get students
6	to the next level.

COMMISSIONER ELIA: Thank you.

SENATOR KAMINSKY: Sure.

I just want to talk to you about a waiver that we in the state have been asking from Washington for students with special needs from taking some of the state testing that has obviously caused a lot of distress for some of those students.

You know, I hear from special ed

teachers and from parents of students with

special needs that undergoing such testing is

really sometimes tantamount to child abuse,

that knowing that what the results will be

and making them go through it anyway is just

a very tough thing. And I talk to teachers

who look at me and say, "I look at the

students and say 'I'm sorry, there's nothing

I can do for you,' and watch them struggle

1	through	that."

I'm just wondering where we are in that process with the new administration and whether we're going to be pushing for a waiver for such students going forward.

COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, so let me point out that the ESSA, the new law that has been passed, at this point in time it looks as though any of the particular directives, the guidance that came out with that, is not moving forward. So we have, specifically, the law in place — the law does not give exceptions to students with disabilities, and I think that there clearly are some things that we can look at.

We are very committed to make sure that we can provide an appropriate assessment for students no matter who they are and what groups that they're included in. And certainly our students with disabilities is a big part of that.

One of the things that I think will, as we move forward, help us substantially, given the fact that there is no exclusion for

1	them in the law at this point in time, is the
2	opportunity with computer-based assessments
3	where, as the student takes the test after a
4	number of questions that they're not at the
5	instructional level of that particular grade
6	level, the computer automatically drops it to
7	a level where they would be able to answer
8	some of the questions. So the frustration
9	they feel in not having the ability to answer
10	any of the questions may then be able to be
11	taken away as they're using computers to do
12	that.
13	SENATOR KAMINSKY: Are we close to

SENATOR KAMINSKY: Are we close to doing that?

started the investment in having that. As a matter of fact, there are districts across the state right now that are doing computer-based assessments. They did it last year in the field testing, and some this year are actually doing it in the operational testing. We're working very hard and encouraging districts to get themselves ready for that. That really will open up an

1	enormous number of opportunities as we're
2	doing testing with different groups of
3	students.

So let me point out to you, as I have a number of times, the importance that I myself think that providing opportunities for our students who are students with an IEP to be in traditional classrooms, to have access to the kinds of coursework that would allow them to be successful. As they move forward, the importance of a diploma is certainly underscored. We've worked to make sure that those students have options. And as you and I had conversations, and I know it came up at your meeting last week, the opportunities have been opened up.

And I myself was at a meeting at

Staten Island with a student who was given -who was able to take the Regents exam, passed
the algebra after a few tests. However,
ultimately she got the superintendent's
determination in hand, a local diploma, and
she's now going on to art school.

So I think we have opened it up, we

1	are looking for opportunities to obviously
2	have a meaningful approach to giving diplomas
3	in the State of New York, and making sure
4	that when that diploma is given that it means
5	something for all students, and making sure
6	that those agencies that might hire our
7	students are aware of the work that they've
8	done that contributes to the diploma they
9	have in hand.
10	SENATOR KAMINSKY: Thanks.
11	Let me ask one final thing while we're
12	talking about ESSA. I also believe that ESSA
13	is the foundation for sort of a sword that
14	hangs over districts' heads about their
15	opt-out rates. And I have some districts
16	that have 60 percent opt-out rates, and ESSA
17	is used for the "Well, then your school could
18	have its federal funding cut unless a certain
19	percentage of students are there."
20	Is that also a waiver that we're
21	continuing to seek from Washington?
22	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, we never saw
23	the waiver for those students who had opted

out. I can tell you now that still in the

1	law not in regulation, but in the law
2	there are requirements for participation
3	rates.

I've also mentioned to you last year
when I was here, and to many of you
personally at various meetings that I've had
with you and your constituents, I don't
support in any way, nor do the Regents,
punishing children for the determination of
whether or not we're going to have an opt-out
situation or not.

But the law does require participation rate. It's in the law. And I think we have to work with our state ultimately so that we all can have students and parents and teachers understand the importance of giving feedback on how well their students are doing. It is a part of the transparency that we have, and accountability, and I think as we kind of move back and reset where we are in New York with teachers who are integrally involved in the development of the assessments, I think you will have a different approach to the assessments in

1	New	York.

2 SENATOR KAMINSKY: Well, thank you, 3 and I hope so. And Senator Latimer and I 4 are -- I've joined him in writing a letter to 5 the administration in Washington asking them 6 that they change that requirement. I think 7 if, well, he's all about getting government out of our lives, I think this would be a 8 great place to do it. 9 10 You know, we have parents that really make very difficult choices about opting 11 12 their kids out. They believe it's the best for them. 13 14 I hope we all have buy-ins so that 15 16 we have going forward, and I look forward to 17

will change and everyone will agree with what we have going forward, and I look forward to working with you on creating that. But I think this threat scares them. And I'm glad to hear that you agree with it, but I do understand the law in front of us. So thank you.

22 COMMISSIONER ELIA: Thank you.

23 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very

24 much.

18

19

20

1	Assemblywoman Jaffee.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Good morning.
3	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Good morning.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you,
5	Commissioner, for your ongoing commitment,
6	the strength of your work on behalf of our
7	youth and, you know, the education system.
8	I wanted to also just begin by
9	thanking you for your focus on East Ramapo
10	and working with the community to certainly
11	provide the quality education that the
12	students deserve in East Ramapo, in a very
13	high-need district in particular. So I want
14	to thank you and the monitors for providing
15	that kind of enlightenment and support in the
16	district.
17	I wanted to also ask a question
18	regarding the Community Schools, and what
19	progress is being made with these in these
20	areas, in the Community Schools, in
21	implementing the needs of the community and
22	the model that has been created in each of
23	these schools.
24	COMMISSIONER ELIA: So last year in

1	the budget there was \$100 million that was
2	included in Foundation Aid that went to all
3	districts across the state related to
4	Community Schools. And we put out guidance
5	on that funding, and we know that districts
6	that have previously had grants or had
7	instituted Community Schools expanded those
8	options in their communities.

In my experience being across the state, I have seen a number of the Community Schools' expansions and the introductions of the concept of Community Schools, and I can tell you I think that it's making a difference, particularly for our high-needs students. But we have a ways to go.

As you're aware, under the grant that was provided by the Legislature and signed off by the Governor, we established an Office of Community and Parent Involvement. That office is almost staffed, not quite, but we are expanding our work out into the -- across the state to support districts in making sure that they're targeting not only the students that have the greatest need, but their

1	families.	and	connecting	them.
_	ramitities,	ana	Commecting	CIICIII

There is nothing besides the teacher,
the support of family and an involvement of
the family, and the work that's being done at
schools is absolutely critical. And with so
many of our families in need, the Community
School provides great opportunities for them.
And as a strategy to support improvement and
success for students, we feel it's a really
great one, and we've been expanding our
opportunities there across the state.

So the funding has been there for that. We have, for our struggling and persistently struggling schools, an amount of money that was put aside, and we have yet to get that out to those schools. But we are in the process of doing that as well.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Well, thank

you. I think -- I truly believe it is

essential. As a former educator of special

education in East Ramapo, I felt many years

ago that we should have put a Community

School into East Ramapo, and I know that in

various areas they've been seeing quite a bit

1	of	success,	especially	in	engaging	parents

2 That is so essential.

And we have to continue to move forward with that initiative in many areas, and so I thank you for your really focusing on that and assuring that we are moving forward on that.

With special education also, the options that you noted in terms of tests -- I sat for hours with these young junior high school students who sat for several hours to take a test and -- with special needs. And while they were intellectually capable, some of them had dyslexia, some of them really had fallen back in education because of their learning disabilities, and they weren't being provided with the support -- they struggled so, hour after hour, trying to take these tests.

If there are ways to be able to provide options for them, it is so -- I know Massachusetts does some of that as well, provides a variety of options for students with learning special needs, and I believe

1	that it's a way to support them, a way for
2	them to continue feeling success, which then
3	gives them even more self-confidence and an
4	ability to move forward. So it is something
5	that I think we need to focus on, as you
6	continue to focus on it, and I thank you for
7	mentioning that.

Is there anything else that is being done to support them?

noticed, the severely disabled students in the new law, the reflection on assessments for those students, the only group that is excluded from having to take the regular assessment is those students that right now the law reflects a 1 percent cap on the number of students. And we believe that in fact in New York we have to look at that carefully, because we're just not sure that the federal government's singling out one single percentage for every state across the country is a reasonable approach. So we're looking at that carefully as well.

We've had a number of teachers give us

1	feedback on their students with severe
2	disabilities who are, based on the
3	requirements in the federal law, still going
4	to be required to take the assessments.
5	So those are all issues that we have
6	to face.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Yes. It's a
8	major issue.
9	I had a student who I actually was
10	dealing with privately because his mom had
11	reached out to me, and he was quite bright
12	but was really had difficulty with
13	dyslexia, and it included the reading. He
14	we worked together for many years in terms of
15	assisting him. Even in my classroom, I got
16	the Lighthouse for the Blind to tape their
17	textbooks so that they could actually have
18	the opportunity to listen to some of their
19	textbooks rather than struggle when they can
20	do both at the same time, actually. And he
21	actually became a physician.
22	But we need to continue to provide

that kind of support for children with

learning disabilities so that they can

23

1	continue to move forward, have the confidence
2	and the strength to move forward in terms of
3	their academics.
4	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Absolutely.
5	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: And I just I
7	want to oh, my time is up. Okay. I'll
8	continue at another time.
9	But thank you very much for your focus
10	and really intense engagement in our schools.
11	Thank you.
12	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Thank you.
13	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
L 4	Just to give the members a sense of
15	the order, next is George Latimer, Senator
16	Latimer, who is ranking member on Education.
17	Following him will be Senator Ranzenhofer,
18	and after that Senator Krueger.
19	SENATOR LATIMER: Thank you, Senator.
20	And good morning, Commissioner. Thank
21	you for being here.
22	Since time is limited and there's many
23	other speakers, I'll just ask one area of
2.4	questions, and that relates to the new

1	federal administration. The fiscal year in
2	the federal government ends fiscal year
3	2017 ends on September 30th, meaning that the
4	new federal fiscal year will begin
5	October 1st, which is halfway through our
6	state fiscal year. And I'm wondering if you
7	can describe, in general terms, the level of
8	federal support that we receive in New York
9	from the federal government, and on the
10	assumption that we're going to see some major
11	changes in the way the federal government
12	intends to fund education, both the level and
13	the policies of education, if you had an
14	opportunity with your team to look at how
15	New York might respond to those changes if
16	they come as expected.
17	COMMISSIONER ELIA: So you're
18	absolutely right, Senator. We are watching
19	closely some of the things that we think may
20	be affecting decisions that are made
21	regarding education.
22	As you are well aware, I'm sure, there
23	were some statements made during the or
24	prior to the actual election that would

1	indicate that some funding may be taken off
2	of education programs nationally, which would
3	certainly affect New York State. If in fact,
4	for instance, the \$20 billion amount of money
5	was taken off the top to distribute for
6	specific agendas, then that and it
7	certainly would affect New York in the fact
8	that we receive billions of dollars to
9	support our programming in many areas within
10	the state, and that funding is distributed to
11	school districts, so it would ultimately
12	affect the school districts.

At this point in time we don't know where any of those things are going to go.

There's been no indication other than the action that was taken in the house a week and a half ago to no longer have the regulations that have come out of the Obama administration's Department of Education be part of what comes out in directives on the new ESSA. That has not been taken up in the Senate yet, so it may be that those rules in fact are put in place, we're not sure.

24 However, it would seem that they're

1	probably going to get that passed through the
2	Senate as well. And so that would mean that
3	the law that was passed specifically the
4	law only, not any of the regulations relating
5	to it would move forward. And remember,
6	that was a bipartisan law passed to take over
7	from No Child Left Behind.
8	So in that law, it specifically talks

So in that law, it specifically talks about the programs that we -- that I think you're alluding to -- are Title I programs, or Title III and Title IV. Those programs are still in place, and unless a change would be made in how they would be funded, then it wouldn't affect New York unless a determination was made to take funding off the top for another agenda. And at that point it would affect us, and it would be relative to the percentage that we would receive, that we receive now, from the federal dollars spent in education.

And it is a large budget, but it's also a very large budget in New York, so a percentage off the top would affect us.

24 SENATOR LATIMER: I would just -- just

1	in closing ask the obvious, which is as soon
2	as the department has any hard indication of
3	what those changes may be and it may come
4	when the Legislature, our Legislature, is out
5	of session. But I'm sure that the leaders of
6	our respective houses and those of us who
7	track these issues would like to know as much
8	as we can about the direct impact as it
9	affects the state. Obviously it will affect
10	our school districts in particular, because
11	there are policy elements which the Board of
12	Regents will want to analyze, but also our
13	Legislature will want to analyze.
14	And since, again, we do go out of

And since, again, we do go out of session at the end of June, some of these things may play our in the summertime, in which case we I think would all want to know in real time what those impacts would be.

And I appreciate your answer, and hopefully we'll have a chance to dialogue further.

COMMISSIONER ELIA: Absolutely. And I would say I would hope that as any changes are rolling out, that we become partners in

1	addressing what is best for New York State.
2	SENATOR LATIMER: Thank you.
3	Thank you, Madam Senator.
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, Senator.
5	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
6	Next, we've been joined by Assemblyman
7	Matthew Titone.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: And Assemblyman
9	Murray.
10	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: And next, Mr. Ra.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you, Chairman
12	Farrell.
13	Good morning, Commissioner.
14	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Good morning.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Good to see you.
16	Just a couple of questions. One, just
17	going back to Foundation Aid. And just like
18	I guess we saw the last few years when we
19	were talking about the GEA, that there were,
20	you know, districts that were kind of pretty
21	much back to zero on that, and there were
22	districts that were you know, still had
23	huge sums that were outstanding, so to speak,
24	when you looked at that.

1	And it's the same way with Foundation
2	Aid. Obviously we have ones that are up
3	close or at their full phase-in and ones that
4	are well below. So I know that the Governor
5	has his proposal, which there's been
6	opposition to in the Legislature. How would
7	you guys in your proposal prioritize doing
8	that phase-in? I noticed like a handful of
9	districts that are even below 50 percent
10	still at this point. So how would we
11	prioritize doing that phase-in for districts?
12	COMMISSIONER ELIA: So the Regents'
13	proposal calls for one-third each year for
14	the next three years, for a three-year
15	proposal. Now, based on the calculations, of
16	course, that is it's not frozen at the
17	amount that we have calculated right now for
18	what would be the Foundation Aid. So every
19	year it would change, but at this point in
20	time our Regents' proposal of \$1.4 billion
21	reflects one-third of the current amount
22	that's owed to districts.
23	If that were done, then the formula
24	would roll out as to each the amount that

1	each district would receive. And so that
Τ	each district would receive. And so that
2	calculation is available, and certainly we
3	could let you know for any of the specific
4	districts for you we could let you know
5	exactly what that would be.
6	But the bottom line is, as we phase
7	out the Foundation Aid, then ultimately you
8	would have that played out depending on the
9	number of years that was included in law.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Yeah. Because
11	obviously, like any formula, you're always
12	going to have maybe kind of outliers or
13	districts that may reflect, you know, a
14	different reality than necessarily what the
15	numbers may show.
16	So maybe I'll follow up with your
17	staff just to see how that would run through
18	for some of the districts that I represent.
19	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Sure.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: The other thing I
21	wanted to bring up and I'm very supportive
22	of your request for the Excess Teacher
23	Turnover Prevention program, and that
24	funding. I think it's very important in

Τ	particular to Special Act schools and 853s.
2	And I was just wondering what your thoughts
3	are (a) whether the Department would be
4	supportive of trying to really come up
5	with you know, we'd put a little more
6	money in, obviously I know the rates get set
7	outside of the budget, but so what, you
8	know would the Department be supportive of
9	looking at some way of legislatively or
10	otherwise to really make that more
11	predictable for those institutions? And how
12	could the Legislature, or at least those that
13	are interested in doing so, work with you to
14	help make something like that a reality and
15	push for that?
16	COMMISSIONER ELIA: So yes, I have
17	visited a number of the Special Act schools,
18	and these are schools that I think are
19	absolutely critical for us to support. The
20	idea of the Excessive Teacher Turnover
21	Prevention, we've asked for funding to expand
22	that opportunity for those teachers because
23	in reality they don't have the same access to

the increasing funds. And they have not kept

up with that period of time where they didn't

have any increases at all, and what we've

done since then has not brought them back to

whole.

And I think that's an extremely important area for us to address, both the 853 and the Special Act schools. So to make sure that those teachers that are there don't get -- aren't in a position to leave those programs that so desperately need the certified teachers and go somewhere else, we think it's a really important opportunity. The special education providers for those programs can serve either our early childhood programming or our other programs that are serving students that have special needs that actually are attending those schools as opposed to their district schools.

One of the things that we've requested is the provider data system that will allow us to be able to know exactly what providers are providing what services in each area geographically, so that as funding issues come up, we can look at that and from that

1	perspective determine what's the best
2	approach for us to take in supporting those
3	districts.

So I would suggest to you that

Special Act schools are very important. The

board has asked us to look at how we can

support the tuition and school-age and

preschool education for these children to

have a more inclusive approach so that

students, special needs students, are

included in our population of pre-K

programming and they receive supports to do

that inclusion work, because many times that

will help children to quickly move off of

some of the difficulties they may have by

being with their chronological peers in those

programs.

So there's a number of areas that I
think, particularly with Special Acts and
with the pre-K program, that would be
improved by providing the opportunity for us
to have the data at our fingertips. Right
now there are multiple places where
information is kept, and they are not

1	together, and I think that's going to really
2	give us more factual information to make
3	determinations.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Okay. Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
6	Senator?
7	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Our next speaker is
8	Senator Krueger.
9	SENATOR KRUEGER: Good morning. I
10	think it's still morning.
11	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Mm, yes.
12	SENATOR KRUEGER: Yup. Still morning.
13	I also want to thank you for all the
14	hard work and dedication of your department.
15	Such an enormous assignment to get one's arms
16	around, all education for the State of
17	New York. Plus.
18	So, from your testimony today, you
19	referenced both the Governor's proposal to
20	merge the UPK funding stream
21	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Yes.
22	SENATOR KRUEGER: and, I think,
23	your explanation of all the different
24	categories of UPK and the importance, I

1	think you agree with him on merging them.
2	But you also said you want to include the
3	half-day UPK
4	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Yes.
5	SENATOR KRUEGER: in the category.
6	So since we're very focused on making sure
7	UPK guarantees the kind of quality
8	educational childhood development
9	curriculum
10	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Right.
11	SENATOR KRUEGER: like we know from
12	research makes a big difference, how do we
13	make sure that we're building in the same
14	standards in a half-day model if we're going
15	to support half-day as well as full-day?
16	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Okay, so I think
17	my comments specifically on the half-day and
18	taking funding away from districts so let
19	me give you an example. There are some
20	districts where they provide the full-day
21	program, but there are parents that don't
22	want their child in a full-day program, so
23	they provide a half-day program.
2.4	T would suggest to you that the

1	half-day program, if it's focused on the key
2	areas of the instruction or of instruction
3	and activity for early childhood, is as
4	good it's better than not having any
5	program, if you will.
6	So if a parent is deciding that they
7	want to have a half-day program and the
8	district wants to provide that, or if
9	midstream a child leaves the program and they
10	transfer that position that was a full-day
11	into a half-day, in the proposal it calls for
12	taking the funding away from districts. I
13	think that's a mistake. I think that there
14	are opportunities in most of the programs
15	we've talked with providers, there are
16	waiting lists for children to get in,
17	children can be started in those programs at
18	different times in the year. And I think
19	it's a benefit to school districts to have
20	the flexibility to do that and not lose the
21	money if that child leaves for whatever
22	reason.
23	So half-day programs can be helpful.
24	I would suggest to you that a full-day

1	program is the better approach, but I think
2	that's one of those things that in various
3	communities you have numbers of parents who
4	either decide or not to have their child in a
5	full-day.
6	SENATOR KRUEGER: At some private
7	education they have a model of blended 4-
8	and 5-year-olds together
9	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Mm-hmm.
10	SENATOR KRUEGER: so it would be,
11	by our definition, UPK and K. Is that a
12	model that might help some school districts
13	with the limitations of only X number of
14	students, only Y number of classrooms and
15	teachers? Have you looked into that?
16	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Sure. But I think
17	one of the issues really becomes if you
18	have for the most part, districts have
19	waiting lists for kids to go into their pre-K
20	program. If you did have a kindergarten
21	as opposed to pre-K that had spaces,
22	that's a possibility, certainly. But we
23	haven't seen that happening a lot. Most of
24	the kindergarten programs are pretty full and

1	people after school begins, they're ending
2	up opening additional programs. So what
3	we aren't really seeing if they could be
4	blended well.

I think to get back to your point
about the quality, the QUALITYstars program
is a way that we, in our department, are
looking to make sure that children have the
ability and are getting the kinds of
programming that's really supportive of
expanding their vocabulary, et cetera. And
with a combination of both private and public
opportunities, I think it's very important
for us to make sure that that quality is
there in all of the cases.

One of the issues that you brought up -- and this is on page 5 in your deck.

But if you look at the issues relating to different grades or different age levels, when you provide a 3-year-old with a program and then the 4-year-old program gets cut or there's a reason that the child can't get in, you'll end up having a 3-year-old go for a program, then have a void in that 4-year age,

1	and then they go into the kindergarten at 5.
2	So it really can create an issue.
3	Our focus needs to be on one age
4	group, get that done, and then where
5	necessary, and where there's support, put a
6	3-year-old to roll into a 4-year-old program
7	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
8	You talk about the project-based
9	alternative pathways to high school degrees
10	that you put into I guess that rolled out
11	last year this year last current year.
12	COMMISSIONER ELIA: So I think are
13	you talking about the CDOS program?
14	SENATOR KRUEGER: All right, we're
15	back to the model that you described that
16	can be used by children with disabilities
17	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Right.
18	SENATOR KRUEGER: and English
19	language learners to sorry, I thought you
20	described it and I wrote it down as
21	project-based alternative pathways.
22	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Right.
23	Okay, so the program that we have
24	known as the CDOS program it is a program

1	that focuses on the skills level that a
2	student would need to be successful in the
3	workplace. It actually has as an exit
4	criteria, either the assessment of pretty
5	much well-accepted-across-the-board CTE
6	assessments that are standardized across the
7	country so that a student has those skills
8	that they then can take to the workplace.

One of the things that we've done that was initially put in place two or three years ago by the Regents -- and at the time when it first was instituted, it does take time for them, the school districts, to move to that model. We're not sure that we have had as many districts move to the model as perhaps need to.

And so providing the opportunities for career and technical programming is important, and also to know whether or not the students themselves actually end up with a credential that is helping them to get into some workforce. We contacted the Civil Service and we have, from them, the assurance that in fact that CDOS can allow -- or will

1	allow	students	to	go	into	the	beginning
>	levels	s of civil	l se	rvi	ce		

And we also contacted the military,
because a number of our parents said that
that wasn't providing their students with
what they needed there. And there is a
program that does allow for students who have
not -- don't have a regular diploma but have
a certification, to be able to access some
positions in the military. It's not as open
as it would be if they had a diploma, but it
is providing some opportunities. So we're
looking at that as an option.

But I do think that some of the other work that has been done specifically for those students who take Regents-level coursework but are unable to pass the Regents exams, those 418 students that their superintendent determined that they had done the coursework, they had passed the course, and they were unable to pass the Regents exam, they received a local diploma.

So that is, I think, an opening up to see how well we can move forward and provide

1 for them.

really wanted to go with the question, that
there is X number of students who just don't
test well and are never going to test well,
even though they did the work and are
accomplishing -- and you heard a number of my
colleagues point to examples of that. And I
was curious whether the department was going
further down the road of exploring
alternative definitions of success for being
awarded an actual high school degree.

I know that I'm a big fan of portfolio high school programs. I have actually four of those high schools in my district that are phenomenal, and actually have I think over a 90 percent -- over 95 percent graduate on time, and over 90 percent go to college. But these were kids who, based on the 8th-grade tests, were not going to be successful in high school at all, and many of them struggle to pass even the lesser number of Regents.

And I wonder whether SED is exploring expanding on those kinds of models so that we

1	are not putting children through our school
2	system, creating an enormous amount of
3	frustration and panic, when an awful lot of
4	them actually are very talented and educated
5	and accomplished, but they just don't fit in
6	the box of Regents Exams.

COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, I think

it's -- I think the opportunities to look at

different approaches to graduation are

important. And let me say, I think taking

the concept of the portfolio and using that

as a basis for some pilots is something we

are very interested in doing.

That of course takes funding to be able to do that. If we're going to do a tracking of how well students are doing in those programs, we do have the history -- as you pointed out, you have some of those programs in your area of New York. And I think it's important for us to have that data available so we can look at it and also across the state to try to provide as many opportunities for students.

24 For instance, in the science

1	assessment that we have, can we look at other
2	ways to assess students in science rather
3	than the straight assessment that's given
4	every student takes it on the same day
5	everywhere but rather, embedded in the
6	instruction in their programs, opportunities
7	for them to do and prove that they are able
8	to do the science that's necessary.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So opportunities I think are extremely important. One of the ones that we have had some success or we want to continue to track that is the P-TECH program. If you look at the students that are accepted into the P-TECH program, that actually ends up being students who, as you pointed out, might not necessarily be the ones that people would say automatically they're going to do great. And we have some great results that actually gets them a two-year associate's degree and a certification in one of the areas that they're training for.

And as we -- each year we'll get more data on that particular cohort, since it's a long-drawn cohort. But we're seeing that

1	they're passing the Regents exams because
2	much of the content from the Regents exams is
3	actually embedded into their integrated
4	curriculums. So I think there's lots of
5	opportunities for us to look at programs that
6	can provide ways for students to be
7	successful.
8	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
10	Assemblywoman Walsh.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WALSH: Good morning.
12	Thank you very much for being here.
13	I just want to follow up on that
14	conversation that was started. It's been
15	very interesting to me to hear about some of
16	the alternatives for those students for whom
17	the Regents diploma is not really an option
18	for them. I have one of those children. I
19	have a 24-year-old who was able to, through a
20	combination of Regents and RCTs, was able to
21	walk across the stage with his local diploma.
22	Now that the local diploma it
23	sounds like it's not available except for
24	these 418 that you mentioned are done through

1 the sup	perintendent
-----------	--------------

2 COMMISSIONER ELIA: No, there's more
3 students than 418 that have received the
4 local diploma.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN WALSH: Okay. And so -- can you explain to me how that -- under what circumstances that's done?

COMMISSIONER ELIA: Sure. We provided safety nets for students so that if they took the Regents exam but they didn't pass it with a 65, they actually passed it with a 55 or higher, they could request an appeal off of that, and then they would be able to graduate with a local diploma. They could do that for two of their exams.

We also provided an appeals process for students to look at their scores that are up to three points below the 55 in two Regents exams in order to graduate with a local diploma. We have a compensatory safety-net option with students with disabilities who have earned a score between 45 and 54 on one or more of the Regents exams other than math and English to graduate with

1	а	local	diploma.

And then that superintendent's

determination, we also opened up the CDOS

certification now -- it's not a diploma, but

then with that certification we did check

with Civil Service and the services, and

there are options for them with that CDOS.

But I think that it's important for us to look at what kinds of opportunities can we present for those students. Number one, when they're in their coursework starting in elementary school, to make sure that they have access to the content with supports that will help them to be successful.

More and more students -- and we had an increase in our graduation rate this year for students with disabilities, up

3 percentage points. Which was an increase that we think is moving in the right direction, but we still have a long ways to go. And across the state I think we have to start looking at those options as well.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN WALSH: And I'm glad you brought that up, because I was going to ask

1	you about the graduation rate.
2	So my question was, how many students
3	have IEPs in our state?
4	COMMISSIONER ELIA: It's
5	approximately we'll get the exact number
6	for you. But it's approximately 15 percent
7	of our population have IEPs, and they could
8	be anywhere on a range.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WALSH: Oh, understood.
10	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Right.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WALSH: But out of that
12	say, 15 percent, how many have been able,
13	have been successful in achieving a Regents
14	diploma?
15	COMMISSIONER ELIA: So I think the
16	graduation rates show that we went up to a
17	57 percent graduation rate. We'll get that
18	specific number for you. But that was an
19	increase from where we were, and so we're
20	moving in the right direction. But it's
21	certainly not enough.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WALSH: And without a
23	Regents diploma, if they you mentioned
24	CDOS, which is new to me, because I've

Τ	just I have a child that's that much
2	older. But without a local diploma option,
3	or a Regents diploma, are those students who
4	are not able to achieve either one of those
5	able to continue their education, either at
6	community college or in some other setting?
7	COMMISSIONER ELIA: So they can stay
8	with the school districts up through
9	age 21
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WALSH: Right.
11	COMMISSIONER ELIA: and then beyond
12	that, if they go out earlier or not, they can
13	access a GED and/or a TASC certificate at a
14	community college. Once they get that, they
15	can matriculate at the community college.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WALSH: Okay. Thank
17	you.
18	COMMISSIONER ELIA: You're welcome.
19	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
20	Senator?
21	Assemblyman Otis.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: Thank you,
23	Commissioner.
24	I just wanted to follow up on the

1	English language learner question in a little
2	more detail. Appreciate very much what the
3	Regents put in the budget proposal, and
4	appreciate Chairwoman Nolan's comments
5	earlier.

Specifically, could you speak to the issue of why the Regents and SED feel it's important to add English language learner funding outside of the Foundation Aid formula, that the importance of driving this funding to districts more effectively is by doing it outside of the formula?

it's extremely important for us to target
those districts that have high populations,
and as was pointed out, the 154 regulations
were changed. And for many districts the
requirements of doing the 154 has been a
challenge to them. It relates to teacher
training, it relates to teacher
certification -- and Senator Young brought
that up -- it relates to how we can support
them with both instructional materials and an
approach that infuses them in content

l classrooms with supports

So the whole idea of making sure that the districts receive funding -- yes, it's in the formula, but from the perspective of districts that are doing these shifts to a full compliance with 154, it's a challenge for them. And the extra funding will allow us to do supports for the teachers and the families through family/community engagement, through resources for those students. All of those are specific to English language learners.

The other issue that I want to bring up is that issue of the translation of the assessments. I think that's an important point. So understand, we have 200 languages that are spoken by students who are in our schools that are not English, and I think it's important for us to make sure that the eight -- at least the eight languages that are most used by those families as their native languages, that we provide those resources for them.

24 The whole idea of students coming into

1	our state, having interrupted educations,
2	puts another challenge on school districts.
3	Because not only do the students need to
4	learn English and be able to function with
5	content courses, but you have students that
6	are behind because they've not been in school
7	for a very long time. So they might not have
8	the basics of language themselves in their
9	own language, let alone in English. So those
10	students need special, very intense supports.

We really believe that to do it on an equal basis for all students in all districts, it's not getting to where we need to really infuse funding to support them.

And both of our -- both our 3 through 8 assessments as well as the assessment -- you know, the graduation data that we have on students who have had involvement in the ELL program and then exit that program, which means that they have tested out, they have levels of English that are sufficient, those students are really much more successful because they had those interventions. So that's the basis for our request.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: And I think the
2	request also reflects a sense of urgency for
3	these kids, that we get resources to them and
4	to the schools as quickly as possible so that
5	they don't progress in a non-satisfactory way
6	any longer than they have to.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, absolutely. And just now you can see our graduation rate was 26.6 percent for our English language learners who are still in the program statewide. So there is intense need to intervene to support those students and get them in a position where, when they leave our schools with a diploma -- and let me say one other thing that I think is extremely important. We also have data that shows that if students stay in our ELL programs, they have a much higher rate each year that they stay. So we're doing a four-year graduation rate, then we do a five-year graduation rate and a six-year graduation rate, because students who have to learn English and then the content -- if they stay with us in our schools, they have a much higher rate of

1	graduation.
2	So those are all increases that are
3	indicators that if we put the supports into
4	school districts, it really will make a
5	difference.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: Thank you very
7	much. And thank you also I'll add to the
8	compliments about your traveling all over the
9	state and visiting schools. It's much
10	appreciated, your visibility has been great.
11	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Thank you.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: Your access to
13	educators is great.
14	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
15	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblyman Oaks.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Yes. Thank you,
18	Commissioner.
19	Just in the state legislative
20	priorities, I looked through on your handout
21	and I did see the mandate relief and
22	flexibility. So just checking with you, I
23	know you talked some about reporting there.
24	But is this legislation you have or

1	legislation you're looking to have proposed
2	or
3	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, so
4	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: It just said one of
5	your priorities is to have legislation to do
6	that. So just looking at your thoughts.
7	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, let me say I
8	come from a superintendency in a large
9	district, and it's always critical to know
10	from superintendents what's causing them
11	indigestion, if you will, making it difficult
12	for them to function.
13	And I think Senator Young brought up
14	some issues that are really critical. I
15	mean, we have things that are in place that
16	put our schools that have gone through some
17	tremendous shifts and changes and difficult
18	budgets over the years to have to face things
19	that we think there should be some relief
20	from. So I think those are some of the
21	things.
22	We also have an issue related to
23	contracting, so for transportation, for

food service. And some of the contracts

1	districts have, sometimes we make it
2	difficult for them to get a contract that's a
3	good contract for them, that they know
4	they may have to pass up some when they think
5	we've given them a better service and be able
6	to provide more efficiency in that contract.

So we just want to look at what we believe would be best for our districts to be the most efficient and the most supportive of students as they can be. So, you know, you have all sorts of -- as we talked about earlier -- some unfunded mandates, right, that relate to issues all over the page for districts. I think it's important for us to listen to them when they say, you know, "We need some relief on this" or "If you change it this way, it would be better for us."

Let me also mention the issue related to the Smart Growth regulations for construction, renovations, and addition projects. Sometimes the regulations that we put on districts cause additional expenses.

Another thing that helps districts is when they can get their projects through our

1	system for approval, which I think is a good
2	system, but once they can get those through,
3	ultimately they save money.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

If they get held up with us, then the costs that were projected for those projects have probably gone up. So there's just a number of areas where we feel that it would be helpful to have those kinds of reliefs.

ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Well, certainly from my perspective, you know, I hear that a lot in my local district as well. And your perspective from superintendency to commissioner, and the sensitivity -- it's nice to hear from the department that recognition, and certainly I think legislatively I'm very interested, and I'm sure a number of our legislators would be, in working with you on trying to do things that are both frustrating, time-sensitive, but also costly mandates to lift some of those burdens as we go forward and look at tough budget times. But if we can lessen some of those other costs, perhaps that puts us in better stead.

1	But my other thing, I did see within
2	this the transition from school to the
3	workforce issues along that bridge to college
4	careers. I'm glad you highlighted some of
5	those things. I am very interested in that
6	whole issue of giving as many experiences as
7	we can to even to middle school, to high
8	school students, exposure to careers,
9	experiences. I know we had some of our local
10	businesses in our areas trying to challenge
11	others to do up to 5 percent of their
12	workforce, or about 100 employees, try to get
13	five students who are high school or
14	college-age, to bring them in to give them
15	work experiences. I think all of those are
16	very positive.
17	But the more that we can do at the
18	middle and high school level to get kids
19	those experiences, I'm hopeful that the State
20	Education Department can be a force to help
21	move schools along in that way and encourage

COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, I think the opportunities certainly are there. I've had

them so more of those can happen.

1	the opportunity to also to speak to the
2	business community in many areas across the
3	state, and I think that the opportunity there
4	is to get businesses, as you pointed out, if
5	they open their doors and let students come
6	in and experience some of the things that it
7	means to be in a business environment how
8	to behave, how to talk, how to dress,
9	experiences that they might not have and
10	take the opportunity to mentor those
11	students, then the opportunity for them to
12	have better employees, I think, is there.

And that all plays into the development of a strong economy in New York.

We have so many different areas that have economics that are really specific to that area, companies and industries that could build themselves. They need to have workers, and the way to build those workers is to develop the people in the high schools -- and, as she pointed out, middle schools -- to say, I want to stay in this place and I want to work for that company, because they took me in and they gave me experiences that I

wouldn't have.

So I think you're right on track to say that those are great opportunities for us to support, and we certainly are interested in doing that. That does play back to our request for additional funding to support career and technical programs, because when you talk to businesses across the state, we need to connect what they need with the schools and develop curricula around that and experiences around that for our students.

mentioned, Assemblywoman Walsh mentioned the issue of those who may have a local diploma, may not reach all of the levels academically that we have, but we may know in high school that there also, though, might be work opportunities — maybe not going on to college, but receiving some specific training for types of jobs, connecting them at an early time, giving a sense of hope that there are jobs out there for them even if they might not be the highest academic achievers. I think is also something that should be part

1	of our focus.
2	So thank you for your efforts and
3	ideas around this area.
4	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Thank you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
6	Senator Gustavo Rivera, please.
7	SENATOR RIVERA: Thank you, Madam
8	Chairwoman.
9	Commissioner, thank you so much for
10	being here. I know it's been a long day. I
11	will be quick.
12	I particularly wanted to just thank
13	you and the department as well as Lester
14	Young for your efforts at making sure that we
15	fund My Brother's Keeper. We are after
16	the program was created, as you know, we're
17	the only state that actually funded it, and
18	we both thank the Governor as well as the
19	department for putting the money in there.
20	I wanted to see if you could give us a
21	little update of where things are. I wanted
22	to make sure that we keep it that we keep

COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, I appreciate

23 it rolling.

1	it. And all of you that are here, thank you
2	very much for your support. We all know that
3	that is if you think about the full scope
4	of the work that we're doing there in
5	providing not only the opportunities for
6	students in our schools to ultimately become
7	teachers in our schools and classrooms, but
8	also the work that we're doing to target
9	schools and support through community
10	engagement, their parents, and what happens
11	in those schools.

I think the agenda of equity is a huge approach that -- a focus that needs to be shared by all of us as partners in this. And I really laud the efforts that have been made by the Legislature and the Governor's office to support My Brother's Keeper here in New York State. More and more of our schools are becoming involved in that, and we really want to focus on it. And I appreciate your support -- as I know the Regents chancellor, vice chancellor, and certainly Regent Young do.

24 SENATOR RIVERA: Thank you so much,

1	Madam Commissioner.
2	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Assemblyman Titone.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN TITONE: Thank you.
5	Good morning or good afternoon,
6	Chancellor. And I just want to publicly
7	you've been to Staten Island so many times
8	and, from my heart, we are so appreciative,
9	the parents are so appreciative. So thank
10	you for coming and listening to us.
11	COMMISSIONER ELIA: You know, I'm
12	sorry, last last week
13	ASSEMBLYMAN TITONE: And of course
14	COMMISSIONER ELIA: when I was
15	there I couldn't mention, but Staten Island
16	has the highest percent of graduation rate of
17	the boroughs. And it would have been a great
18	thing to mention to that family, and the
19	parents
20	ASSEMBLYMAN TITONE: Sure. Sure.
21	COMMISSIONER ELIA: progressed.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN TITONE: And you know, I
23	really just wanted, you know, your
24	reassurances of our safety against bear

1	attacks in our school. It's certainly
2	appreciated as well.
3	(Laughter.)
4	ASSEMBLYMAN TITONE: On page 15 of the
5	report that you handed to us, you speak to
6	Excessive Teacher Turnover Prevention. And
7	one of the things that we know is that, you
8	know, vacancy of special ed teachers as of
9	September is around that 15 percent, whereas
10	with certified teacher assistants it's
11	probably around 18 percent.
12	And that we know with reimbursements,
13	you know, the state reimburses our 853
14	schools approximately a little bit over
15	\$50,000 per year per child. With our
16	New York City District 75 schools, it's
17	nearly \$90,000 per year per child. A charter
18	school, it could be close to \$100,000 per
19	year per child. And if a child is out of
20	state, that reimbursement rate could exceed
21	over \$109,000 per year.
22	So these are the things that kind of
23	impact how an 853 school has the ability to

24 retain its teachers. You know, certainly

1	there's a real competition the 853 school
2	will basically train a teacher and that
3	teacher then has a better opportunity, in
4	particular in New York City, to then go into
5	the District 75 system.
6	I'm heartened that the state is asking
7	for an increase of \$4 million to address
8	these issues, which would bring us to
9	approximately \$8 million. But I'm curious
10	because when I look at the numbers, if we
11	truly want parity and if we really want to
12	keep our special ed teachers in our
13	853 schools, in our 4410 schools, it seems to
14	me that we need closer to \$18 million.
15	So my numbers and State Ed's
16	numbers and again, I'm really grateful
17	that you're asking for the increase. But
18	where does the \$4 million increase come from?
19	How do you reckon that within your budget
20	proposal?
21	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, so let me
22	say that for a period of time, as you are
23	well aware, there was no increases at all.
24	And so in the context of the entire budget,

1	we believe that doubling that amount of
2	money and we've had conversations with
3	those schools, really anecdotally, to say if
4	we double this fund that is specifically for
5	teacher turnover, it will help in that way.
6	But clearly we need to look at the
7	rate setting for those schools, because that
8	also will affect ultimately the salary
9	levels, et cetera, for teachers there. And
10	we had, for four years, it was zero growth.
11	And then, although we've started to come
12	back, those schools have not made up for the
13	period of time when they didn't have growth.
14	And it's been particularly difficult.
15	So that's one of the areas. So can I say
16	that we have asked for what we think would be
17	the full amount of making sure? No, we
18	haven't. But in the context of we really
19	know that we need to address the rates, we
20	felt like the doubling the amount of money
21	that we were supporting, particularly for
22	teacher turnover, would help there.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN TITONE: Sure, and I
24	couldn't agree more with you, and appreciate

you saying that, that we really do need to address the inequities in the rates.

But I also believe that there are certain things that we can be doing at State Ed, with the Legislature as partners, when it comes to policy. For example, you know, if a child shows up -- well, if a -- let's just, for example, say that the occupational therapist shows up to the school but the child for whatever reason doesn't.

Maybe he or she has the flu and doesn't show up. Well, the 853 school still has to pay the occupational therapist for his or her time, but there's no reimbursement.

So then what happens is that the occupational therapist is asked to come back in two days when the child is there. There are anecdotal instances where there's still no reimbursement to the 853 school, because the services were not provided when they were supposed to have been provided or when they said that they were supposed to be provided.

Additionally, you know, let's just say

Monday the child was sick and then is

1	supposed to have OT and did not; there's no
2	reimbursement. Wednesday the child is in,
3	the OT is there, the 853 school has to pay
4	that occupational therapist twice, but is
5	only being reimbursed once.

So I think there are certain policies that we can do if we can't get their rates increased for them, that we can at least come up with policies so that they're saving money. And this is one of those areas that I hope we can explore. If we can't give them money, how do we help make them save money?

And by having them have to fight tooth and nail with us and our lawyers, it seems counterproductive, counterintuitive to what we truly want to do, keeping our 853 and 4410 schools open. And our teachers --

COMMISSIONER ELIA: So we believe that creating a statutory index for establishing a growth in the 853 schools would be extremely helpful, and that is a solution that would have to come from the Legislature.

Also providing a general reserve fund for those schools would also be helpful, and

that would have to come from the Legislature.

and I can tell you that we believe -and I know many of you have called our office
because of specific schools that are facing
these difficulties, and if in fact they were
to close, that is a crisis for those families
and all. We believe that there needs to be
changes in the rate setting and the
approaches that we take in that. That isn't
going to happen overnight, because it has to
be well thought out. And we should be really
developing something like the Foundation
formula for the 853 schools, so that there're
increases, so that we know that the needs are
being met.

And you're correct that there are some challenges particularly for private providers in the 853, and in the fact that if they have specific contracted individuals come to support a child who's ill who's not there, then in fact they get caught in that. But there needs to be the ability to have some kind of a system set up so that it isn't that they are constantly in a serious -- in

1	serious constraints over their budget.
2	We agree with you, and this has been
3	something that the Regents, for a very long
4	time, have
5	ASSEMBLYMAN TITONE: Sure, and I
6	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you. Thank
7	you. I'm sorry, time's up.
8	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
9	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Next is Murray
11	I'm sorry, you were
12	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I was just going to
13	ask one quick thing.
14	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Sure, Senator.
15	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Commissioner, I
16	just had one quick issue to discuss.
17	The State Office of Religious and
18	Independent Schools was funded at \$2 million
19	in the 2016-'17 budget, and out of that money
20	there's approximately \$700,000 that would be
21	used within State Ed itself to staff the
22	office. But the other part is available for
23	initiatives
24	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Right.

1			CHAIRWOMAN	YOUNG:	 that	are	related
2	to	the	office.				

So first of all, what is your timeline for fully staffing and operating the State

Office of Religious and Independent Schools?

COMMISSIONER ELIA: So I believe we have one of the positions fully staffed -- one position is staffed. We have several others that are waiting approval. We have one position that is with Civil Service and, because it was a change in that position, we're anxious to move forward on that. And I have an advisory meeting with individuals who represent the nonpublic schools to see exactly what the issues are and how we can move that funding forward.

As you're well aware, \$2 million was initially awarded to the State Ed office. We had the ongoing cost of the office staff, which was about 700, but the rest of that funding was, in my understanding, not in the Executive Budget. So the funding that you're talking about is a -- at this point was from last year. We are moving forward to have

1	that go out, specifically to the non-publics.
2	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I think it is in
3	the reappropriation language in the
4	Governor's budget proposal. So, I guess,
5	what would your definition or your
6	interpretation of initiatives be for the
7	remaining \$1.3 million?
8	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, as I said, I
9	have an advisory group that is supportive of
10	using those resources to address a number of
11	issues they have. Some of it is training
12	issues, conferences that they want to hold
13	for their teachers, we've had other requests
14	that specifically relate to facilities-type
15	things. And we're in a position I'm
16	anxious to meet with them to make sure that
17	we get that money out, and they are anxious
18	to have our office staffed.
19	So that was one of the points that I
20	brought up earlier. We don't control when we
21	get the approval to put those positions in.
22	I wish they were in today.
23	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay, great. So
24	anything you could do to expedite that

1	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Absolutely. Thank
2	you.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: We have to help
4	her expedite it, that's the issue. She can't
5	do it if she can't fill the spot.
6	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Yeah.
7	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblyman Murray.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN MURRAY: Thank you,
9	Mr. Chairman.
10	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Good morning.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN MURRAY: Good morning,
12	Commissioner.
13	So getting back to the alternative
14	pathways to graduation.
15	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Right.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN MURRAY: So
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Hit your mic.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN MURRAY: The button.
19	Yeah, it did. Let's try a different one.
20	Okay, we'll slide over. There we go.
21	So getting back to the alternative
22	pathways to graduation, it just feels like we
23	may be overcomplicating it. I have a lot of
24	parents that come to me and say, Why don't we

have	the	general	diploma,	or	go	back	to	it?

Now, you mentioned certain things, such as the exceptions, where someone can get a local diploma. Some of those exceptions, again, were based on test scores. It seems we're basing graduation, in many people's opinions, including myself, too much on test scores.

So we've got a situation where we have CDOS, we have P-TECH. Then we talked about the portfolio-based graduations, you said you might want to look into a pilot program -- but that already exists with the consortium schools. But there's a cap on that, they're not letting any other schools participate in that.

I know many schools have approached us and said, Why can't we participate in that consortium? And it's not happening. Why won't we just open that up and get -- and try and allow more of these schools to get to a portfolio-based-type graduation?

COMMISSIONER ELIA: So let's be very open about the fact that we want to have the

1	diploma in New York have standards associated
2	with it.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN MURRAY: Sure.
4	COMMISSIONER ELIA: I think we all
5	want that.
6	We are right now looking at ways that
7	we can open that up to support students who
8	might, for many reasons, have ability but
9	not have been successful in school up to this
10	point, and not be targeted in what we're
11	doing to support them to be successful.
12	The Career and Technical programs, you
13	didn't mention that, but we have opened up,
14	in my short tenure in the department, we've
15	opened up approximately nine or 10 pathways
16	to graduation, up to close to 40.
17	So certification for a student that
18	comes out of school, where they could go
19	immediately into a job market with an
20	external certification, I think is an
21	important thing for us to make sure students

know they have options there and that in fact

that there's equity across the state in

providing those at all different schools,

22

23

ASSEMBLYMAN MURRAY: And I appreciate that as far as the CTE and BOCES and -- but my question is, why wouldn't we then kind of combine that? Because right now, under those pathways you're mentioning, those are not diplomas. Why don't we make a general diploma?

COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, in fact the pathways I'm mentioning are, they lead to a regular Regents diploma. And so opening up opportunities for students to have that involvement is going to allow them to have a regular Regents diploma.

It is -- it's important to have standards in the state so that a diploma in New York State means that in fact a student is ready to do something. We already have too many students leaving our school districts not having the background necessary to go into and matriculate into our colleges and universities. Right now, 40 percent of the students are having to face doing remedial work before they can go in and

1 matriculate towards college.

2 So we are opening up, as we've done 3 over the last two years, we've opened up a number of opportunities, and you have 4 5 students taking advantage of those opportunities. Our graduation rate is going 6 7 up, and so is every student -- they're not 8 all going to pass the Regents exams in all of those courses, I understand that, but we've 9 10 given them a number of options. And one of the things that will hook students into 11 12 working harder and being successful and 13 getting a Regents diploma will be connecting 14 them to something that they think is 15 relevant. And very often, that has to do 16 with what was talked about earlier -businesses being involved with them, 17 18 providing opportunities, and knowing that 19 when they leave us, they have something in 20 their hand that will allow them to move forward. 21 22 And I would say to you, making sure that everyone walks away with a diploma is 23 24 not necessarily preparing them for the next

1			1 1	ı ' C -
1	point	ın	their	Ille.

ASSEMBLYMAN MURRAY: And I agree with that. I was first going on the assumption that the fact that we are allowing them to matriculate and go up from K all the way up through 12 and passing these grades and moving on, that they are already meeting certain standards, that we're not just sending them to the next grade level just because. So I would think that they already do have -- there is a value to the diploma. I'm hoping that we wouldn't be just handing them out.

But with what you were saying with the program, I agree with you completely. I think career readiness is so important right now, which again is why I'm wondering why we don't go with the local diploma option with giving these kids an option of having the special certification or recognition with that diploma in a particular field, such as carpentry or cosmetology or electronics or something like this.

COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, that's what

1	we're doing.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN MURRAY: But it isn't a
3	diploma. It's a possibility of a diploma,
4	but it's still going to be based on test
5	scores, right? I mean, aren't they going to
6	go we could still offer the Regents and
7	give kids an opportunity to graduate with a
8	Regents diploma, which would hold much value.
9	It would show that they've exceeded, as we
10	had done in the past but it seems like
11	we're trying to meld everyone together to fit
12	that one mold, and we're basing a lot of it
13	on test scores, which is very frustrating for
14	those kids who've done everything to go K
15	through 12 but just can't pass those tests.
16	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN MURRAY: And thank you.
18	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: We've been joined
20	by Assemblywoman Barbara Lifton.
21	And to close, Assemblywoman Fahy.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Thank you,

24 Thank you, Commissioner. It's a

Mr. Chairman.

1	pleasure to have you here again. I'm sorry I
2	missed your earlier testimony; too many
3	things going on.

I have one question, and I just want to make one comment as an intro to that. I appreciate your comment the other day when the updated graduation rates were sent out and you noted the lagging, the tremendous lagging of English language learners or the ESL students, or previous ESL students.

And I shared your concern. As you know, right here in Albany, one small, small school district, 8,000 children, and we have over 57 languages taught now in just that small school district. Sorry, over 57 languages spoken, not taught.

So I am concerned. And I was just at an upstate caucus meeting this morning where we talked about the lack of ESL teachers, and I know you've addressed it as well in your testimony. That said, could you -- so knowing that, and knowing the background along with a host of other turnover issues that you've also addressed and raised today,

1	can you give us an update on the teacher
2	preparation program and tell us where we are
3	now?
4	As you know, there was lots of turmoil
5	with that as well, which may have fueled some
6	of the loss of numbers in the profession.
7	But are there any updates in terms of how we
8	are doing there with hanging on to the
9	students entering teaching and then their
10	graduation or completion rates as well?
11	Thank you, Commissioner.
12	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Sure. So I know
13	you're aware we have been working jointly
14	with SUNY, so I think it's exciting that
15	Nancy Zimpher and I, as the SUNY chancellor
16	working together with the commissioner of
17	State Ed, are together looking at these
18	issues. Our Regents and Regent Cashin is
19	here have been very involved in becoming
20	very knowledgeable on what are some of the
21	issues.
22	We have, in fact she was part of
23	the reinstatement of the edTPA workgroup that

came up with initially the recommendations

1	specific to those the exams for that
2	lead to certification. Unfortunately, the
3	weather didn't support yesterday's meeting
4	for us to be together and make some of those
5	changes occur and present them to the public
6	But we have changes that are coming with some
7	of the exams and with looking at how a
8	teacher moves out of becoming a teacher in
9	preparation and a teacher that's in a
10	classroom. We think that there's some work
11	that needs to be done in the teachers'
12	opportunities to learn in schools prior to
13	their leaving their teacher ed program.
14	So those are all things that are on

So those are all things that are on the docket for us, if you will, and some will be happening much more quickly than others.

Related to the issue of why we have concerns about teachers -- if you look specifically, we have two or three areas that we are really behind, and one of them you've mentioned is the English language learners certified teachers as well as our students with disabilities teachers. Both of those are areas that are national problems, not

1	just 1	New Y	ork,	but	it	does	n't	make	it	any
2	better	for	us,	beca	use	we	have	that	is	ssue.

So we are addressing those issues with our teacher ed programs. We're working with them to understand that if they give us teachers that are certified in those areas, they will be in great demand. And in terms of that, we're also looking at ways that we can make sure that districts can support teachers that are currently certified in an area to get that add-on certification and then support those students within their classrooms.

So those are the agendas that we have.

We are expanding the options and opportunities for those certifications.

We've also done some things to allow districts to make us aware of issues that they have specific to certification areas, and provided opportunities and waivers for them for a short period of time until they can get their people certified.

So those are all things that are happening in the department. And there's no

Τ,	question that the issue of having qualified
2	teachers in every classroom is what will mak
3	the difference for our students, so it's got
4	to be a major agenda for the department as
5	well as for SUNY and CUNY and the
6	independents, all of who in fact are
7	preparing their teachers to go into our
8	classrooms.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Thank you,
10	Commissioner. And I also appreciate your
11	comments on pre-K.
12	Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
14	much.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you.
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you,
17	Commissioner. We appreciate the opportunity
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: We did it in
19	less than three hours.
20	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Wasn't that a fast
21	half hour?
22	(Laughter.)
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: That's a record
24	Rick Mills was here for five hours once.

1	COMMISSIONER ELIA: And we will follow
2	up with information. Several of you, I
3	know we'll get the information to you as
4	soon as
5	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Next will be the
6	New York City Department of Education, Carmen
7	Fariña, chancellor.
8	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Thank you.
9	(Pause.)
10	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: If we could have
11	people take their conversations, please, out
12	in the hall so that we can start with our
13	next presenter. If we could have people in
14	discussions, please take them out in the
15	hall.
16	Our next presenter, Carmen Fariña,
17	chancellor, New York City Department of
18	Education.
19	Chancellor.
20	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: Good morning.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Just a big
22	welcome. We're so happy you're here today.
23	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: Well, Happy
24	Valentine's Day.

1	And this is also a great day to
2	announce that New York City is actually
3	introducing today a hashtag,
4	#PSILoveMySchool. And as of last night, we
5	have 400 entries from schools all around the
6	city. So I encourage, if you have a school
7	in your community that you love, join our
8	hashtag and tell us why you love us.
9	Good morning, Senate Finance Committee
10	Chair Young, Assembly Ways and Means
11	Committee Chair Farrell, ranking members
12	Senator Krueger and Assemblyman Oaks,
13	Education Chairs Marcellino and Nolan, and
14	all the members of the State Senate and State
15	Assembly here today.
16	I want to thank you for the
17	opportunity to testify today on behalf of
18	New York City's public school system and its
19	students. I would also like to thank you for
20	your continued partnership.
21	With me is Raymond Orlando, chief
22	financial officer for the New York City
23	Department of Education.
24	As chancellor, I'm not satisfied till

1	every single public school student in
2	New York City is getting a high-quality
3	education. I am proud, however, of what we
4	have accomplished so far on behalf of the
5	city's students. Last year's graduation rate
6	is the highest it has ever been, with
7	72.6 percent of high school students
8	graduating in four years. Our dropout rate
9	is 8.5 percent, the lowest it has ever been.
10	We are also pleased with the results from
11	last year's New York State tests in
12	English ELA and math, where New York
13	City outperformed the rest of the state in
14	ELA results for the first time.
15	This year we have also achieved the
16	highest-ever post-secondary enrollment
17	rate 55 percent of the Class of 2015, with
18	77 percent of graduates enrolling in
19	college the highest-ever number of
20	New York City students taking and passing
21	Advanced Placement exams, with an 8 percent
22	increase in both; the highest-ever college
23	readiness rate which is actually a New
24	York City standard that says it's not about

1	graduating but it's about how you succeed,
2	particularly in your freshman year in
3	college 37 percent of all students, and
4	51 percent of graduates in the Class of 2016
5	graduated high school on time and met CUNY's
6	standards for college readiness in English
7	and math.
8	Our schools are starting earlier, with
9	70,000 free, full-day, high-quality pre-K
10	seats. This would not have been possible
11	without the financial support you've
12	provided, and continue to provide, and I want
13	to thank you for that.
14	While we are pleased with our
15	progress, we know there is more to be done.
16	This is why Mayor de Blasio and I announced
17	the Equity and Excellence for All initiative,
18	with ambitious goals for New York City. By
19	2026, 80 percent of our students will
20	graduate high school on time, and two-thirds
21	of our graduates will be college-ready.
22	This school year marks the first full
23	year of implementation of these Equity and

Excellence initiatives, which will be rolled

1	out to schools citywide over the next several
2	years. There are over 800 schools with at
3	least one Equity and Excellence program this
4	year, a number which will continue to grow
5	over the course of the year.

Literacy Initiative is taking off, with over 100 reading coaches in elementary schools to ensure that, by the end of second grade, all students will be reading on grade level.

These are coaches that work in schools with all the teachers in kindergarten to second grade. They go into classrooms, they do demonstration lessons, they do training for the teachers — because one of the things that we've learned is that it's important, in order to keep your teachers, to keep offering them professional development. And this has been done for four districts and will be rolled out to even more districts next year.

Our Algebra for All initiative is improving math instruction in over 200 elementary, middle, and high schools. We're starting this work in the fifth grade in a

1	departmentalized way which was started in
2	many of our Renewal Schools, because teachers
3	who are trained specifically to teach math
4	tend to be a lot more excited about it than a
5	teacher who teaches an entire grade, of fifth
6	grade. And we have 100 elementary schools
7	that are piloting that this year, and we
8	expect to grow that next year.

Our College Access for All initiative is making college an achievable expectation for our students. We have eliminated the CUNY application fee for our low-income students, and all high school juniors will take the SAT for free during the school day, in their own schools, on April 5th of this year.

This is very important because when we did some research on who took the SATs in the past, many students, because they had to go to a different school, it was always on Saturdays, told us that just leaving their communities was a hassle. Many of our students have part-time jobs. Many students, if they had to travel more than a bus and a

1	train, were not showing up at the SATs. So
2	having it in their schools, all on the same
3	day, with the teachers proctoring, is making
4	it much more accessible.

Twenty thousand middle-schoolers are visiting colleges, and we're giving their families support along the way. I was just at Brooklyn College, where we had some middle-schoolers coming to visit, and their mouths dropped open because they didn't realize that colleges have things like pools. One of the big stars of the visit was cafeterias where you could pick your own food and they could choose what they wanted to eat.

And I think for many of our students, what you don't see, what you can't imagine, you can't believe in for yourself. So being on a campus and seeing what it's like -- and we invite their parents to come with them so we can also talk to parents about having higher expectations for the students. This year we also increased our Parents

Information Centers for middle-school parents

1	on	how	to	start	wor	king	in	middle	school	to
2	get	t the	eir	childı	ren	into	col	llege.		

3 Last year, we saw a record number of students who took at least one AP exam. 4 5 Participation and performance gains were largest for black and Hispanic students, with 6 7 an 18 percent increase in the number of black students passing at least one AP exam, and a 8 10.8 percent increase in the number of 9 10 Hispanic students passing at least one AP. Our AP for All initiative, which is bringing 11 12 new AP courses to 63 high schools this year, 13 will eventually bring every high school 14 student access to at least five AP courses. 15 This is unprecedented in New York City. 16 Expanding access to these courses is critical, and I applaud Governor Cuomo's 17 18 proposal to increase the amount of state 19 funding to assist with exam fees.

20

21

22

23

24

An example of this is also that we have 12 collocated high school campuses which have a total of 54 schools. And on these campuses alone, we have now opened AP classes to students in each others' schools on the

same campus. So if you're attending, for

example, Lehman High School campus, you can

take AP courses in other classes in other

courses.

So for example, in the Bronx, only one of the schools had an AP course. And now one of the students said he's actually going to be graduating by having taken I think nine AP courses, because he took them outside of his own school in the other schools in the same building. And that's something we're doing in all the boroughs.

The Single Shepherd initiative in

District 7 in the Bronx and District 23 in

Brooklyn is showing promise. These are our

neediest districts in terms of demographics,

poverty, and by any measure that you can

imagine. This initiative is going to serve

16,000 students. This initiative very simply

gives each family -- it's not just for the

students -- a dedicated either social worker

or guidance counselor that's going to follow

the student for seven years. And everything

is their job.

1	For example, if a family is being
2	threatened with eviction, if a family has
3	some other kind of crisis a mental health
4	problem this particular Single Shepherd
5	follows the family and helps the family. And
6	this does not supplant existing guidance
7	counselors; this is in addition to the
8	guidance counselors.
9	I'll give you an anecdote. In
10	District 7, a family went to the school to
11	ask the principal to help them assign their
12	child to a residency, they wanted to put
13	their child in a residence because they
14	couldn't handle him anymore at home. And the
15	Single Shepherd was able to work with the
16	family and meets with them once a week now.
17	And because of that, to me, a child's life
18	has been saved.
19	So we're looking at Single Shepherds
20	as a way to help entire families and
21	communities, and we see this as a really
22	important initiative.
23	We are constantly working with
24	nonprofit and private partners to meet the

1	shared goal to provide every single New York
2	City student with a quality education. This
3	is true of our Computer Science for All
4	initiative, made possible by a public-private
5	partnership. This program is intended to
6	develop a plan to work with underserved
7	students, including female, African-American,
8	and Latino students. Our work with
9	elementary schools is intended to expose
10	underrepresented students to computer science
11	at a younger age so they gain the skills and
12	confidence to pursue pathways in computer
13	science and technology.

We also have a very special

partnership with the Rockefeller Foundation

and the musical Hamilton -- and the Miranda

family has been particularly helpful in

supporting this -- where we are enabling

20,000 New York City 11th graders to attend

Hamilton. I was just there this past week,

because the students actually get on the

stage in the morning and perform something

from Hamilton's life. And to have them have

their peers -- which is 1300 students in the

1	audience cheer them on is not just about
2	bringing social studies alive, which is one
3	of my passions for our schools, but building
4	students' self-confidence.

It ties in also with the fact that we this year came out with a social studies program that has just been designated by the United States Defense Department as one that they want in all their schools around the world.

And for all our schools, there's always an opportunity to learn and grow, particularly from each other. Through the District-Charter Partnerships, district and charter schools are coming together -- and about two Saturdays ago I attended professional development that was led by the Uncommon Schools Network for teachers and principals in two of our districts in central Brooklyn.

In addition to the programs that I've mentioned, we've expanded access to physical education and sports programs, particularly for female students and those that attend

1	smaller schools. We created 150 community
2	schools providing access to mental health,
3	health and vision services. We're working
4	towards changing school climate and
5	implementing restorative practices in our
6	schools. For the first time, our summer
7	programs are serving high-need
8	second-graders, and we plan to serve 4,400
9	more second-graders this coming spring. And
10	all of them will have a program that is an
11	enrichment program rather than a remedial
12	program, and we're very excited about that.
13	I am particularly proud of our work to
14	better serve students who are English
15	language learners, our ELLs. As a former ELI
16	student myself, this work is very personal.
17	We currently have 434 bilingual programs in
18	every borough in the city and will continue
19	to open more. We are holding, for the first
20	time ever in New York City, Saturday parent
21	conferences in their native language. We've
22	had them in Spanish, we've had them in
23	Mandarin. I attended one again,
24	recently in Bengali, and we're having one

1	in Arabic. And the entire conference is held
2	in that language; I'm the only one who has to
3	get translated.

Our former ELL students who placed out of being an ELL in the two years prior to graduation posted great gains in graduation rates -- 84.1 percent, up from 78.9 percent last year. We still have a lot more to do in this area, and that's why we've partnered with Dr. Nonie Lesaux from the Harvard Graduate School of Education's Language Diversity and Literacy Development to design an institute to equip schools with tools and knowledge to independently deepen their work with ELLs. I thank the Assembly Education Committee for holding a hearing on ELLs last December, and the leadership exhibited from legislators on this important issue.

Additionally, since I became an educator 51 years ago, involving parents has been a central focus. This continues each and every day across the DOE. We are pleased that our increased emphasis on parent engagement has resulted in significantly more

1	parents attending parent-teacher conferences.
2	We instituted something in New York City
3	called student-led conferences, where
4	students actually attend, with their parents,
5	and lead the conferences. And what we're
6	finding one district in the city in
7	particular had almost 100 percent parent
8	attendance at parent-teacher conferences
9	because their students lead the conference.
10	We have more parents participating and
11	running for local Community Education
12	Councils, our CECs. In fact, CEC elections
13	are happening right now, and to learn more
14	about CEC elections this year and to apply,
15	parents should visit NYCparentleaders.org.
16	And I certainly encourage all of you to get
17	out to your constituencies, those in New York
18	City, to apply for these seats. The last CEC
19	and citywide council elections in 2015 had
20	1,290 parents apply, and that was up from 729
21	in 2013 and 511 in 2011. We are hoping for
22	even greater numbers.
23	And this is a group that I now meet

with on Saturdays to give them more time. I

meet with the CEC presidents one Saturday a month to talk about the issues that we now feel that they have a major role to play in, and one of them is rezoning. And any of you who have kept in touch with what's happening in New York City, City Council District 3 has really played a very important role in what is evolving there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The DOE also continues to focus on increasing diversity through systemwide and localized approaches, and I have asked my senior leadership team to work on these important efforts through operations, programming, instruction and policy. Last year, we implemented our first-ever diversity in admissions pilot, encouraging principals to develop admissions priorities to increase diversity. I am pleased to report that we will expand this program to 19 schools this year, I want to thank the Legislature for the funding in last year's budget to support initiatives to help increase diversity in our specialized high schools. This funding supports several initiatives, including

outreach, teacher training, and tutoring and preparation programs, all targeted toward students underrepresented at our specialized high schools.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I am also grateful for the funding the DOE received last year under the My Brother's Keeper Initiative, and I am pleased to see funding included in the proposed budget for this year as well. We look forward to continuing to work with you to support New York City students with funding. This funding has been done very locally, it has been with the engagement of the superintendents, based on the needs. And one of the major focuses on a lot of this has been in terms of college preparation, college work, and also mentoring, particularly young men of color, partnering them with people who will help them see all the possibilities out there.

I also want to thank the Legislature for the Smart Schools Bond Act. Although our proposal has not yet been approved by the state, that funding will go a long way toward

1	bolstering our efforts to modernize our
2	schools, including supporting our Computer
3	Science for All effort, and to fully remove
4	and replace TCUs. This administration has
5	committed to prioritizing the removal of all
6	352 TCUs in place when the mayor took office.
7	There is a \$405 million ask in the city's
8	capital plan for the removal of TCUs,
9	\$300 million of which is Smart Schools Bond
10	Act funding. Ninety-four have already been
11	removed, and plans are underway to remove 104
12	more. We are actively creating plans to
13	remove the remaining ones. And it goes
14	hand-in-hand with funding for over 44,000 new
15	school seats in the current five-year capital
16	plan. And in January, we committed to
17	funding 38,000 more in the next five-year
18	capital plan.
19	More broadly, I would like to thank
20	the Legislature for always supporting
21	additional funding for our public schools.
22	Additional state funding has allowed us to do
23	more for students, including addressing
24	inequity in funding to our schools through

1	Fair Student Funding. Over two years, we
2	raised the citywide average from 88 percent
3	to 91 percent. We are funding all Renewal
4	and Community Schools at 100 percent of their
5	Fair Student Funding level, and no school in
6	the city is below 87 percent. Next year we
7	intend to raise the citywide average to
8	92.5 percent, with no school below 90 percent
9	of their Fair Student Funding, a plan that is
10	contingent on a similar level of growth in
11	Foundation Aid as we've received in the past
12	two years.
13	We are committed to getting to
14	100 percent for all schools by the year 2021,
15	an accomplishment that can be realized if the
16	state continues its commitment to fulfill the

Campaign for Fiscal Equity settlement. I 17 18 know this is a priority for many of you as 19 well, and I deeply appreciate your 20 commitment. The phase-in of Foundation Aid 21 is critical to ensuring funding levels that 22 will enable the city to provide a constitutionally guaranteed sound, basic 23 24 education to our students. The state's

1	commitment	to	satisfying	the	shortfall	must
2	remain inta	act.	•			

Similarly, I want to voice my support for State Ed's request for additional funding for Career and Technical Education, CTE programs. I know MaryEllen and I are totally in agreement in this. And we need not only your support financially, but also in terms of changing some of the legal language, through the State Legislature, to ensure that we can graduate more students with a CTE diploma.

opportunity to prepare students with the skills and rigorous academics to both graduate with a career skill and be college-ready. We are investing in 40 new high-quality CTE programs over the next three school years and strengthening our numerous existing programs.

For example, I went to visit Co-op

Tech recently, visited the carpentry program.

And one of the discoveries is that the

carpentry program -- I know, Velmanette,

1	you're particularly interested in this
2	that the carpenters union is also the union
3	that represents the divers of New York City.
4	Because divers are considered carpenters
5	underwater. So we're also looking to how we
6	create more CTE programs for maritime,
7	particularly in Brooklyn and Staten Island.
8	So we're working on that.

We want to help improve CTEs through changing regulations around licensing for CTE teachers to allow more individuals with relevant field experience the opportunity to teach some of the harder-to-staff CTE programs, including computer science.

The budget also makes several changes to the charter school landscape that come at the expense of the Department of Education.

It is important that this be balanced with continued improvement in our public schools.

In order to maintain that balance, the state must fund any increased support to charter schools, not New York City.

All of the progress I have described would not have been possible without mayoral

1	control of the New York City school system.
2	I have worked in three different governance
3	systems, in many different capacities
4	teacher, staff developer, principal,
5	superintendent, deputy chancellor and now
6	chancellor and I can say without a doubt
7	that this governance system is the most
8	efficient, the most transparent, and most
9	capable of getting results for students. I
10	might also say the most accountable. What we
11	do is public, it's out there, the press sees
12	it, and the evaluation is done by the public
13	at large.

With hard work and appropriate
resources, New York City's students are
capable of anything. I know you feel the
same way, and I am grateful for your hard
work on behalf of your communities and their
students. We have a lot of work ahead of us.

And I thank you for your time and want to remind everyone that as far as I'm concerned, #PSILoveAllMySchools. And I also know that this is the best way to have a democracy that works for all of this country.

1	So thank you, and I look forward to
2	your questions.
3	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
4	much.
5	First to ask questions, Chair Nolan.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Thank you,
7	Mr. Farrell and my colleagues. I just want
8	to remind everyone again what a lifelong
9	educator Chancellor Fariña is, because I
10	always think I know everything about New York
11	City, and she tells me now that divers are
12	carpenters underwater. So she's always
13	educating, even when she's giving testimony.
14	I just want to say quickly I
15	appreciate your support for Foundation Aid.
16	I appreciate your reminding the committee how
17	important it is, because we do feel that it's
18	under some threat. So I appreciate that.
19	I appreciate your comments about the
20	trailers, which as you know has been a
21	concern of mine for a very, very long time
22	and one of the reasons so many years ago I
23	asked to chair this committee. And we are
24	finally, under your leadership, making some

1	progress. But I want to remind you and my
2	colleagues that the number of trailers means
3	that we still have almost 10,000 children in
4	trailers. And for many of my colleagues from
5	other parts of the state, that would be a
6	very large-sized school district for them.
7	So we are talking about thousands of children
8	still receiving their education in what is
9	essentially a firetrap.

So I want to -- you know, I appreciate the movement, but I want to continue to support pressure for it and want to express my concern that the Smart Schools Bond Act has not yet been released, that money for the city. And I was so glad Senator Marcellino brought it up earlier with Commissioner Elia. So we're going to continue to work on that and give you the support you need to remove trailers.

I appreciate the comments on CTE.

Would like you to perhaps elaborate a little

bit, though, on after-school. The Governor

did put some money, the Executive put some

after-school money in there. The mayor had

1	announced an initiative last year about
2	after-school in all middle schools, and I
3	think I'd like to hear you speak about
4	that.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And then just two other quick things and I'm done. Renewal Schools, as you know, continue to be the subject of a great deal of conversation and scrutiny. We had a hearing well over a year ago now on the Community School concept. I have a Renewal School, PS 111. And I think you know, you know, many vociferous and upset issues and many, many -a lot of angst about it. But I will say, finally, finally there has been some true progress. And I think in my own mind one of the reasons is that you've been able to be flexible about children with IEPs and getting a team-teaching approach, et cetera, et cetera. So I'd like for you to talk a little bit more about what you're doing at Renewal Schools.

So after-school, Renewal Schools, and then I'd like you to elaborate a little bit more about how those charter proposals take

1	money from New York City public school
2	students to give them to other New York City
3	public school students who you have no real
4	authority over. So, you know, that's an
5	issue for us, obviously. You know, I have
6	good working relationships with charter
7	schools in my district, but we have to sort
8	of realize taking money from one student and
9	giving it to another, as the Governor's
10	proposals you know, I guess that's their
11	proposals is not going to be the answer.
12	So after-school, Renewal Schools, and
13	charter schools, maybe you could elaborate a
14	little bit.
15	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: Let me take them
16	in kind of
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: And thanks for
18	telling me about the divers.
19	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: Okay. No, I was
20	very impressed when I learned that too.
21	Well, first of all, I think I want to
22	start with Renewal Schools. Because Senator
23	Comrie, August Martin who would have
24	thought that what was happening there could

1	happen? Senator Montgomery, PS 67,
2	unbelievable stuff happening there. They
3	actually just came out in the papers. And
4	obviously 111.

So very specifically, what is
happening in Renewal Schools is that we have
many of them -- 34 of them, to be exact, and,
I don't remember -- more as we speak. We
have created a new leadership. One of the
first things we know about what makes a
school work is the principal. So we make
sure that the right principal is in charge of
a school.

The other thing, we have community-based organizations in all these schools and the community-based organizations have wraparound programs — but different than in the past, they're embedded in the school during the school day. So they are not coming as an after-school program, they have to be in the classrooms, working along with the teachers, and providing things like mental health, parent supports. Whatever it takes in that specific school, that's what

they're there for. And that's made a major difference, particularly in our high schools.

The other thing about renewal schools, we now have highly trained, what we call district school renewal directors who work in the schools and work alongside all the teachers with professional development. We now have a data system that is working in every single Renewal School, i-Ready, and something called ISSP, which actually starts analyzing everything that's happening in the schools, from the rate of suspensions, attendance, teacher retention — all the benchmarks that we feel are important to make for a successful school, and we evaluate them on a regular basis.

So I think the Renewal Schools we now have -- and the commissioner and I have just discussed this. Only one school that's still very struggling. We have one school that as of last night is going to be closing, the building is closing and reopening. And we have several that are doing really quite well. And this past Friday we hosted, for

1	the rest of the state and I've met several
2	of the superintendents here today an open
3	house for promising practices to visit the
4	schools that have shown the biggest results.

But results are only going to happen over time, it's not going to -- miracles don't happen immediately. But for the first time ever, particularly in the borough of the Bronx, you have teachers that are rated effective and highly effective in schools that never had that. Because we have teachers -- over 200 teachers applied to go teach in these schools rather than come out of these schools.

So I think we're on the move. We have a curriculum that everyone is expected to follow, but more importantly to me, great leadership, teachers with lots of training, and also this sense of energy and hope. It's one thing to close a school; it's another thing to say to a school -- and, you know, Boys and Girls High, another school, new principal, energy in that building is palpable. People are excited. So to me, to

1	do something and to say to them, we believe
2	in you, we're going to give you the
3	resources, I think is crucial.

In terms of after-school programs, all the middle schools in the City of New York have -- all stand-alone middle schools have after-school programs. We've been very clear that we want the after-school programs to encourage the arts, to encourage some kind of academics, and also to have some kind of sports component. But who is in what school was very much left up to the principal and to the CBO. And that means that there is a communication, and there's a need for a CBO to kind of tailor-make their program for whatever the school needs or thinks that, based on their data, they would want more of.

And I think also for a lot of parents, it's a point of relief. And the reason we did it in middle schools is because I think that one of the most difficult grades to teach -- and one of the most difficult ages, period -- are 7th-graders. They have everything on their plate and then some. So

1	by h	aving	a	safe	place	for	them	to	be	after
2	scho	ol is	re	eally,	reall	у сі	rucial			

The CBOs that are working with our

Renewal Schools are also being asked to train

more people so that we can expand in the

future. But I do think after-school programs

by and large have really enriched many of our

communities, and we continue to work with

outside partners to bring them into the fold.

The one thing I want to say about the TCUs, one of the reasons it's hard to move more quickly on this is that when you have TCUs -- I'm thinking of PS 19 in Corona, for example -- you have all these kids you have to put somewhere while you're removing the TCUs and building a school on that location.

Same thing in Brooklyn. We now have TCUs -- PS 32, in Carroll Gardens -- but where are we going to put the kids? So we had to move kids from one building to another to get the kids from the TCUs so the schools can be built.

So that's really the only reason that some of this is being held up.

1	And public charters, the one thing I
2	have to say about working with the charter
3	schools is that there are schools and in
4	many cases you know, it's parent choice.
5	I have been working much more closely with
6	charters and even I just did an art lesson in
7	a charter school, the Ascend Charter Schools,
8	because I had gone to visit them and I loved
9	what they had on the walls, but I wanted to
10	make sure they were using the arts more
11	fully. And we're working to different
12	degrees with different ones of them,
13	depending on what their skills are, but also
14	opening our schools to them for some of the
15	things that they can learn from us.

We have now something called Showcase Schools where we're asking schools that are working well together, where a collocated campus is working well together, and in one particular campus there's a District 75, which is special education, a charter school, and one of our district schools all working together. And we want to show other people how that can be done.

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: But my question
2	was, what would the money aspect be if the
3	Governor's proposals on those charters how
4	does that affect you?
5	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: New York has to
6	get their fair share. And I believe strongly
7	that public education, as I see it, is
8	crucial to this democracy, and we have to
9	make that a priority.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: It's always a
11	pleasure. We kept it to the time. And I
12	really thank you always for the tremendous,
13	tremendous dedication, commitment and just
14	it's always exciting to be around you,
15	Carmen, because you're moving and you're
16	making things happen. I'm sorry my son is
17	graduating, because he really was part of
18	that sort-and-select era and isn't going to
19	be part of all these wonderful initiatives
20	like the computer science
21	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: Be happy he
22	graduated, Cathy. This is a good thing.
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Well, that's
24	true. Yes, yes, yes. And he's doing very

1	beautifully. But I am a little sorry. He
2	was there at the height of the testing mania,
3	and I myself didn't see the damage that it
4	did until much later. It makes kids way too
5	anxious. And I think your approach is you
6	know, you know how I feel, and my colleagues
7	know how I feel. Like, you know, just a big
8	soldier in your army, a big fan. There's
9	always things we could do better, always
10	places that need attention
11	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: Absolutely.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: but, you
13	know, I think you've given such positive
14	leadership. And it's been a pleasure, a
15	pleasure, to work with you these past few
16	years.
17	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: Thank you.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Thank you.
19	Thank you, Chair.
20	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
21	Senator Carl Marcellino, who's chair
22	of the Senate Education Committee.
23	SENATOR MARCELLINO: Good afternoon,
24	Chancellor.

1	CHANCELLOR FARINA:	Good afternoon.
2	SENATOR MARCELLINO:	First. I want

congratulate you. In my mind, you're doing a hell of a job in the city. I taught in the city, as you well know, for 20 years, and the changes that have occurred over there over the years with different mayors and different philosophies, frankly I find your changes and your philosophy more in line with what I believe and what I think is positive and good promotion for the schools and a good aspect to the schools.

So I congratulate you and your staff for doing an excellent job.

Now let me throw a hardball at you.

Some time ago, about maybe a year and a half ago, Chancellor Zimpher of SUNY came to the Education Committee and spoke -- I believe you were there that day as well, and so was Commissioner Elia. She mentioned the fact that she spends about \$70 million annually on remedial classes for incoming freshmen.

That's time that these young people have to spend not taking courses to move ahead but

2	I listened and heard all your
3	statistics, and they sound great, but that
4	number still sticks in the back of my mind.
5	Are we addressing that number? Are we
6	reducing the cost of remedial education?
7	Because frankly I don't like the fact that
8	there is so much in the SUNY system that
9	exists. I don't think there should be
10	anything, frankly. But you've got to do what
11	you've got to do.
12	What are we doing to work on that
13	number and bring it down?
14	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: Well, I absolutely
15	share the same concerns. And I will tell you
16	that several of the initiatives we put in
17	place are really for that specifically.
18	New York City is one of the few places

just to catch up to where they are.

1

that has a college-readiness strategy, and
that is that we put an extra benchmark in
there, what do we think makes kids
college-ready. And that means that they are
able to do rigorous work, that they have
organizational skills -- which is one of the

1	biggest failing thi	ngs tha	ıt kid	ls hav	ze wh	ıer
2	they go to college,	they d	lon't	know	how	to
3	work independently,	they d	lon't	know	how	to
4	write.					

So the things that we did in -- not necessarily in the right order, but the first thing we did -- in fact, it was the first thing I asked my department to do -- was to put together a high school Writing Scope and Sequence, which we now institute in every single high school in the City of New York, because I thought writing was one of the worst subjects that was being taught. And if you can't write and you can't write in different subject areas, then you can't be successful in college.

So I do think that improving our writing -- and when I go to high schools, it's the first thing I look at -- is step number one.

Step number two is part of the reason why we've invested so much time and energy in the AP courses. If you take AP courses in high schools, you are more prepared to do the

1	rigorous work that college demands. It also
2	means, with the AP courses, it's not just
3	about a syllabus or a curriculum, it means
4	that all the teachers who teach AP courses
5	have to go back to school and be retrained.

Last year I went to the summer

training for the teachers who were going to

teach AP courses, and for many of them, they

haven't gone back to do this kind of work in

many, many years. So being able to be in a

school where those courses are being

offered -- and in many of our high-need

neighborhoods there were no AP courses at

all. So what is the expectation that these

kids can't do it?

So I think that's another thing that
we put in place. I think emphasizing
algebra, to me, is one of the things that -I did some research, as did my team,
obviously, on what we found was going to be
the biggest indicator of success in college.
And we found that, again, organizational
skills, the ability to write -- the ability
to do well in algebra, so then you can have a

1	math sequence, was	really one of the
2	important things.	So that those are the
3	things that we put	in place earlier on.

It's also part of the reason why we think you need to read by the end of the second grade. Third grade is not the right benchmark, in my opinion, for reading, because by the time you get into third grade you have much harder content-area work. You have to be able to read at the end of second grade.

I think the other piece for college success is that we have to educate families as well as students of what's expected when you get to college. And we've been doing a lot more work starting in middle school about what college can look like, should look like -- like I said, including parents letting students go on college tours so they have an expectation that this is not just fun and games. Often many parents whose students are qualified, well, then they don't go to college because their parents don't want them to leave home. There's a lot of

l work that has to be done of	on college-ready
-------------------------------	------------------

But also we've been meeting with the colleges themselves and what is the coursework that they also have to offer in a way that's palatable so students, when they go there, they have a wide range of topics, but also taught in a way that also continues the kind of teaching that we're doing in our schools.

So I think there's a lot of work to be done here, but I do think having a college-ready benchmark -- when I visit high schools, I'm not looking to see how many kids are getting As, but how many kids are getting As in very deep work, and I think that's part of the work that we're trying to do.

SENATOR MARCELLINO: The other morning

I was given a -- I know we met recently, but

it was subsequent to that -- I was given a

copy of an article that appeared in the

Daily News, January 29, 2017. I don't read

the Daily News, I read another paper called

Newsday. I don't believe that one either.

_	(Laughter.)
2	SENATOR MARCELLINO: But this
3	particular article talked about "Mayor de
4	Blasio's pre-kindergarten programs match lack
5	of diversity of New York City's highly
6	segregated school system." And the author, a
7	Ben Chapman, talks about data from the
8	2015-2016 school year analyzed by the
9	Daily News shows that 854 of the city's 1,861
10	pre-K programs were dominated the
11	statistic he uses is 70 percent or more by
12	a single race.
13	Now, we went through an awful lot and
14	I was it was major, when I was teaching,
15	about desegregating the schools and making
16	sure that there was diversity and every kid
17	got exposed to as many different groups and
18	individuals as they possibly could.
19	Are we going backwards here? Is
20	something going on here that we can deal
21	with?
22	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: Absolutely
23	absolutely not. Let me get my teacher voice
24	on this a little bit.

1	First and foremost, the pre-K parents,
2	rightly so, want whatever pre-K program is
3	closest to home. They're in a rush to get to
4	work, they have to do whatever they have to
5	do

And the one thing I can say, that all our pre-K programs are of the same quality.

All our teachers are being trained the same way. Every teacher that teaches in a pre-K program has to be retrained over the summer, and all of them have been trained by us with the same curriculum. They have a choice of three curriculums. So whether you're taking a pre-K in Harlem or you're taking a pre-K in Carroll Gardens, you're going to have the exact same curriculum with teachers who have been trained the exact same way.

But I as a parent am not going to be running to another part. So it's a matter of applying. Parents apply -- this is parent choice, the same way you can go to a private public, parochial school, charter school, you can go to any pre-K. You have an application process, you fill it out, and generally --

1	this year I think people got one of their
2	first top choices pretty much across the
3	city. So this is about parent choice.
4	Then what happens after pre-K,
5	depending on the seat availability, if that's
6	your zone school, you stay in that school.
7	But if you want to go to another school, then
8	if there's space available if you want to
9	stay in the school that you're in pre-K but
10	you're not zoned, you can apply for space
11	availability.
12	So I actually do not agree with this.
13	I think if you're counting faces, then it's
14	true. If you're counting parent choice, it's
15	totally different.
16	So I think, to me, the diversity is
17	also we are now taking more students with
18	IEPs in our pre-K programs, we're taking more
19	students who are English language learners in
20	our pre-K programs. Diversity has many
21	faces.
22	SENATOR KRUEGER: I just want to jump

in. This is exactly what I told Carl when he

showed me the article.

23

1	(Laughter.)
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Thank you, Liz.
3	SENATOR MARCELLINO: So we can get rid
4	of this piece of paper.
5	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: No, and Carl just
6	wants to get a rise out of me, I know. So
7	that's it.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: He ran a school
9	with 6,000 kids and not pick up a few
10	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: Well, he was a
11	high school person, so we'll forgive him for
12	that.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: There was 6,000
14	of us there, in one building.
15	SENATOR MARCELLINO: We had 6600 kids
16	in that school, and we ran a program from
17	6:00 in the morning till 6:00 at night. So
18	it was an interesting time frame.
19	But again, I thank you for your
20	efforts. And if you would do me I know
21	your husband is going to get mad at me, but
22	I'm one who would be wishing that you would
23	not be retiring, that you'd be hanging
24	around, because the and I don't mean just

	nanging around. Tou do a great job, and you
2	do a good job. And the parents and the
3	children of the City of New York are better
4	for your attendance and better for your work
5	So thank you very much for what you do.
6	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: Thank you. And m
7	husband thanks you too.
8	(Laughter.)
9	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
10	We've been joined by Senator
11	Velmanette Montgomery and Senator Leroy
12	Comrie.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: Hi,
14	Chancellor. Thank you for coming to Albany.
15	First let me say I'm very pleased to
16	hear about the Career and Technical Educatio
17	programs that you're looking to expand. I'm
18	even happier to hear that you're looking to
19	bring some to Brooklyn and Staten Island.
20	And however I can work with you to make that
21	happen, I'd be glad to, because it's
22	something that I truly believe in. We need
23	to invest in vocational training, and these
24	are great careers that people who may not be

1	fit for college, may not want to attend
2	college, have an option to get a great career
3	in their life based on some of these
4	vocational programs. So thank you again for
5	thinking of Staten Island and Brooklyn for
6	those programs.
7	I have just a few short questions.
8	One is regarding the Contracts for Excellence
9	funding. The 2016-2017 allocation for the
10	City of New York was around \$531 million.
11	The proposal from the City DOE was to
12	allocate about \$7.5 million to Staten Island
13	public schools, which represents only
14	1.42 percent of the funding, despite that the
15	population that Staten Island represents in
16	our schools, with 60,000 students, is
17	6 percent.
18	You know, this is sort of the same
19	fight we have sometimes with the city with
20	the hospitals funding and, you know, trying
21	to get our fair share in terms of making it
22	proportional to the population.
23	Our students in District 31 have some

of the highest percentages of students with

1	Individual Education Plans; it's actually
2	roughly 25 percent.
3	So my question really is, can you

revisit this and see how maybe we can increase the funding for Staten Island and try to get closer to what the population level would be?

CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: Well, I'm going to let -- but I'm also going to preface it just a little bit. We have looked -- I mean, I spend a lot of time in Staten Island, and we have looked at Staten Island from the lenses of some of the specific concerns. So we've increased SAPAS workers out on Staten Island due to some of the specific issues.

One of the other things that Staten

Island is really becoming a trend-setter for,

and I thank the borough president for that,

is your work with your universities is

particularly meaningful. Your three

universities out there are working

extensively in our high schools out there.

And also you have a tremendous amount of

learning partner schools out there, which

1	also increase funding through different
2	sources.
3	So it may not be all Foundation money
4	or categorical money, but there is a lot of
5	money going through other streams. But I'll
6	let
7	DOE CFO ORLANDO: Hi. The C for E
8	money
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Could you, just
10	for the record, reintroduce yourself, the
11	gentleman
12	DOE CFO ORLANDO: Oh, sure, I'm sorry
13	Hi. Good afternoon, everyone. I'm Raymond
14	Orlando. I'm the chief financial officer of
15	the New York City Department of Education.
16	And delighted to be here. Glad it's not
17	snowy.
18	The C for E money you've been asking
19	about, there are requirements on how it gets
20	spent. When we look to distribute it across
21	the system, sometimes Staten Island doesn't
22	appear to get its fair share because the
23	level of need based on the requirements that
24	the funding comes with are greater in other

1	areas of the city. So that limits our
2	ability to super use it flexibly, I guess.
3	So we will of course be happy to
4	continue to look at it and work with you on
5	that, for sure.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: And if you
7	could, I guess, maybe share some of the
8	criteria that you're looking at or that
9	and what the numbers are, or statistics, that
10	would be really helpful.
11	DOE CFO ORLANDO: Yeah, sure. We'd be
12	happy to.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: Because
14	that's one of the main issues that our CEC
15	has brought up to us. And we just had a
16	legislative breakfast with them last week,
17	and the Staten Island PTA. It's one of the
18	biggest issues.
19	The other one was also school safety.
20	They've probably spoken to you directly I
21	know you've visited Staten Island a few times
22	and met with them but regarding having a
23	school safety officer in every at least

every elementary school having two in each

1	elementary that's one of the requests that
2	they've put in. As well as locking school
3	doors during the workday.
4	Does the city have a position on
5	either of those?
6	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: Well, we really
7	work much more independently based on the
8	individual school incidents. So there's
9	obviously some schools that have more
10	incidents than others. But again, I'm happy
11	to discuss individual things on Staten
12	Island.
13	The one thing I have to say is that
L 4	we've also encouraged Staten Island in
15	particular, but also other places, there they
16	can apply for grants that will give them
17	money above and beyond what they would get
18	from us. Because for some things they're
19	really eligible for I'm working with two
20	of your schools right now specifically. But
21	I'm happy to have a meeting just on
22	Staten Island issues, because I really go out
23	there all the time.
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: That would

1	be	great.	Ι	will	follow	up	on	that.

- 2 CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: Yeah, okay. Thank
- 3 you.
- 4 ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: Last year
- 5 I brought up the issue of penmanship and
- 6 cursive.
- 7 CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: Yes.
- 8 ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: And I've
- 9 heard, actually, that you have become very
- 10 supportive -- or maybe have always been
- 11 supportive -- of penmanship and bringing up
- 12 cursive. I think it's something really
- important that our students should be
- learning -- how do they sign a bank check,
- how do they have their own signature, you
- 16 know, in the world. I mean, they need that
- in the -- you know, when they enter the
- career force, when they open up a bank
- 19 account, et cetera.
- 20 I've heard that you did write a letter
- or that you are expressing that you would
- like to see this happen now.
- 23 CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: No, no, no.
- 24 There's no such thing "I'd like to see it

l happen.'	" It	has to	o happen.
------------	------	--------	-----------

2 ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: It has to

3 happen, okay. That's even better.

CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: Let me be very clear. When I went to a town hall meeting I guess a year and a half ago, parents brought up that they would like to see cursive back in schools. And I spent a lot of years in parochial school, so I have the Palmer method down pat. And I don't know why it went out of favor. But I do understand that people felt there were other things that they thought were more important.

But the reality is if you look -- the first thing you look at, if you look at a child's handwriting, and they're inventing their own script -- which is what they are -- then it doesn't look right and I worry that they won't be able to sign their pension checks at some point.

So this year in September we put out a curriculum for how to teach cursive writing in all our third grades in New York City. So it behooves parents and different schools to

1	ensure that this is happening. But the
2	directive is this has to happen.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: So but
4	it is up to the principal, then, to decide if
5	they implement it?
6	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: Well, it's up to
7	principals with strong urging from their
8	superintendents, who are getting strong
9	urgings from me. And when I go to schools, I
10	look for the cursive writing starting in
11	third grade.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: I really
13	appreciate you doing that, and I look forward
14	to making sure that my schools are all doing
15	it. Thank you.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: It's a big
17	positive. It's been very frustrating for me,
18	another thing that Joel Klein never focused
19	on and we're still paying the price for it
20	years later. But Carmen has made a big
21	difference.
22	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: You know, there
23	are certain things we brought back social

studies with a vengeance. I love social

1	studies. I don't know how we have let it go.
2	And in September of this year we put out a
3	social studies curriculum, K through eighth
4	grade the high school is coming and it
5	has a total scope and sequence, with all the
6	materials for teachers. It's in every single
7	school in New York City. And our expectation
8	is that no one will graduate fourth grade
9	without knowing the American Revolution. No
10	one will graduate fifth grade without knowing
11	things about the Civil War. We've done the
12	same thing with the STEM curriculum. It's
13	all in writing so also for teachers, that
14	they have access to what they shouldn't
15	have to reinvent their world. We should be
16	able to help them, give them the materials,
17	and then they can take it off from there.
18	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
19	Chancellor, it's so great to have you
20	here, and I admire your energy and your
21	enthusiasm and your passion, and I know you
22	help so many children every day.
23	I did have a couple of questions,
24	though, and the first one has to do with the

1	city school aid. What is the city's
2	contribution toward the city school aid?
3	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: That's why I
4	brought my financial expert.
5	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: That's why you
6	brought him. He's the number cruncher.
7	DOE CFO ORLANDO: Sure. In the
8	current year, city funding for the Department
9	of Education is \$16.8 billion.
10	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Sixteen-point-eight
11	billion dollars. Does that figure include
12	pensions and debt service?
13	DOE CFO ORLANDO: That includes all
14	expenses such as pension and debt service,
15	yes.
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay, thank you.
17	So what is the city's contribution in
18	operating aid without the pensions and debt
19	service included?
20	(Pause.)
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: I have to think
22	it's more than half.
23	DOE CFO ORLANDO: I'm sorry?
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Off the top of

1	my head, it has to be about half. But it's
2	not for me to answer.
3	SENATOR MARCELLINO: Cut it out,
4	Cathy.
5	DOE CFO ORLANDO: I apologize. Please
6	give me a moment.
7	(Pause.)
8	DOE CFO ORLANDO: Okay, \$17.6 billion,
9	with 11.6 I'm sorry, wrong year
10	\$11.1 billion.
11	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Seventeen-point-six
12	billion with 11.1 billion?
13	DOE CFO ORLANDO: I'm sorry, that was
14	the wrong year. I apologize.
15	In the current year, the city funding
16	is without the pension and the debt
17	service, is \$11.1 billion.
18	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay, I see. So
19	that's quite a difference, of \$5.7 billion,
20	then, for the debt service and the pensions.
21	What is the exact number, if you could
22	give it to us, of the state contribution to

23 the New York City school aid?

DOE CFO ORLANDO: State funding in the

1	current year, \$10.9 billion.
2	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Does that figure
3	include the \$300 million for pre-K from the
4	state?
5	DOE CFO ORLANDO: I believe it
6	includes all state funding.
7	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay. Thank you.
8	The reason I ask that is when the
9	mayor was here, he said that the state aid
10	portion of the New York City aid had declined
11	to 37 percent and the city contribution had
12	actually increased to 57 percent. You know,
13	and he stated that three times.
14	I think that he was including the
15	pensions and the debt service, do you
16	believe, in that figure, not operating aid?
17	DOE CFO ORLANDO: I believe that's the
18	total cost of expenses at the Department of
19	Education.
20	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. Just to
21	really clarify and get to the nuts and bolts.
22	I wanted to ask and, Chancellor,
23	you were talking about the Renewal Schools.
24	It's about \$400 million that the city has

1	invested in the Renewal School program, is
2	that correct?
3	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: Yes.
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: And I know Senator
5	Marcellino had asked about this somewhat.
6	But with Renewal Schools, I know you're very
7	enthusiastic about them. But one of the
8	things that I find concerning and these
9	are based on your own reports that Education
10	has put together, the Education Department.
11	But there are many schools with college
12	readiness in the single digits. And we talk
13	about students being prepared for the
14	workforce, students being prepared to go to
15	college.
16	And for example, your own reports show
17	in 2016 a Brooklyn high school where
18	83 percent of the kids graduate, but less
19	than 2 percent are deemed to be
20	college-ready. There was another school, in
21	the Bronx, where 76 percent of the students
22	received a diploma, even though just
23	4 percent are college-ready.
24	So one of my questions is, why is

1	there such a disparity between the graduation
2	rates and the college-readiness rates in
3	these schools?

CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: Well, first of all, you have to understand that most of the Renewal Schools, the rates when we started were extremely low. But so was, in many cases, the teaching itself.

So what we're trying to do in these schools -- and I can certainly give you specific examples -- is make sure that the classroom instruction is done better, that the expectation is higher, and that we in New York City are one of the few places that actually evaluate our kids based on college-ready.

We could just sit back and say we have an 83 percent graduation rate -- and this was true nationally, but particularly in New York City over the past few years, what we found is we were getting kids into college and they weren't staying after freshman year in college. The drop-out rate, first year of college, was very, very high.

1	So that's the evaluation that we're
2	doing for college-ready. How are we ensuring
3	that we're getting kids not only graduating,
4	but once they get to college, that they're
5	doing much better and that they're staying
6	in?
7	The other thing we've asked people to
8	start doing now is keeping cohorts
9	statistics. I was just in a school that
10	you're talking about, and they're telling us
11	that their tenth-grade cohort and their
12	eleventh-grade cohort is already much higher
13	than their twelfth-year cohort, because all
14	the initiatives that we started three years
15	ago hopefully will start showing results, but
16	they're not going to show results overnight.
17	And we want to make sure that we don't also
18	give false expectations to our students.
19	So there's a lot of work to be done, I
20	totally agree. But we are monitoring the
21	high school grade as well as are they ready
22	for college.
23	And we have now started a program in

New York City, particularly in our Renewal

1	Schools, where we're encouraging all high
2	schools to start having freshmen and
3	sophomores in college come back once a month
4	to their high schools to start talking about
5	how hard college is, what you need to know to
6	be able to succeed in college. We're calling
7	them Mentors in Place, and this is one of the
8	things we hope will do it. But you can't
9	undo many years of things not working
10	overnight, so it's one step at a time. But
11	if you look at our Renewal Schools again,
12	a lot of work to be done. The graduation
13	rate in our Renewal Schools this year is
14	4 percent higher than the rest of the city.
15	And that means they had a long way to go, but
16	we're laser-focused on making sure that
17	what's happening in the classrooms is what's
18	going to get the kids ready for there.
19	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Great. Because we
20	want every child to have that opportunity to
21	be successful.
22	You know, I keep seeing the mayor
23	announcing he's closing more and more of the
24	Renewal Schools. And I'm wondering if you

1	can assess what hasn't worked with the
2	Renewal School program at these schools that
3	are being closed, including the Bronx junior
4	high school that was put on SED's
5	receivership list.
6	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: Look, closing the

school is never done lightly. But there are generally three different reasons for closing the school. Number one, the enrollment is too low.

For example, we have a school with 67 students. There's no way, with 67 students, you're going to be able to offer a program that is good. You're not going to have an arts program, a gym program. It just doesn't do it financially. So I would say the vast majority of school closures have to do with school size.

The other -- and particularly the one that you just mentioned, are there other schools in the immediate vicinity that will offer the kids a better opportunity? And in this particular case, there are at least three middle schools -- because all parents,

1	when we close the school this is not a
2	long, drawn-out phaseout, it's a closure
3	have a choice of three to five schools that
4	they can choose from to go to as parents. We
5	are doing open houses in these schools so
6	parents will then have a choice, as well as
7	students, to go to a place where they will
8	have a better chance of success.
9	So we don't close lightly. But when
10	we close, we close with options for parents
11	and an opportunity for the students to have
12	more choices of what they can do.
13	And I'm never sorry for having given
14	the schools a chance to succeed. But if you
15	don't succeed the way we think you should,
16	then that's what's going to happen.
17	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
18	Just switching to one last topic, the
19	State Education Department in January posted
20	its latest Violent and Disruptive Incident
21	Report. In the key category of serious
22	incidents, it shows a rise of nearly 6

percent, up from 15,934 incidents in 2014-'15

to 16,851 in '15-'16.

23

1	So within that category, forcible sex
2	offenses rose 90 percent; assault with
3	serious physical injury, 48 percent. So I'm
4	just wondering, what is the city doing to
5	address this situation so that it can ensure
6	the safety of the students?

CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: Well, first of all, we're doing several things. We have really instituted restorative practices in many of our schools, and we have done it in a very programmatic way. We started with schools that had the highest number of incidents, the highest number of suspensions.

We are also retraining teachers and administrators on how to ensure that there is a good school climate. It also goes to the issue -- we've hired many more guidance counselors for many of our schools. And also in working very closely with the NYPD, particularly with school safety officers, they are now receiving an additional two weeks of training beyond what they used to get on just how to deescalate issues and create a climate of trust in a building,

1	versus	them	just	being	there	as	quasi-police
2	office	ſS.					

So there's a lot of things. We look at those numbers on a regular basis -- I can almost tell you the top 10 schools off the top of my head. But also we're making sure that all incidences are reported. And I think that's part of what we're doing, and what kind of incidents rise to the top. And I think that is part of our job.

It's certainly something we're very much aware of, and we're working very closely on it.

CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: What happens to these students when they commit something like a sex offense or, you know, forcible touching, serious physical injury? Are they suspended from school? Or how do you handle that?

CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: It depends on the incident, it depends on the issues, and it depends on the age. We do still have suspensions in our schools. We have suspension centers where students go to, they

1	don't stay at home. They have a full
2	curriculum in their suspension centers.
3	But it very much depends on the
4	infraction, the severity of the infraction,
5	and how many incidents they've had prior to
6	that one.
7	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: And what happens to
8	the students who are victims of such
9	incidents? You know, for example, if they
10	are assaulted somehow, what does the school
11	do to address them? Because I would think
12	that they would need some kind of special
13	attention if something bad happens to them.
L 4	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: I mean, we have a
15	whole protocol in place in terms of how
16	guidance counselors are used, social workers,
17	if that's the key. We also work closely,
18	like I said, with NYPD if it's a critical
19	activity.
20	But we're very much aware of the
21	schools that need more support. And in that
22	case, perhaps more safety officers. But we

find what works best for us is more training

of staff on deescalating issues.

23

1	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Good. Thank you.
2	SENATOR MARCELLINO: Can I follow up
3	on your question? I know I'm not in line
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Well, in a minute.
5	It's the Assembly's turn.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN BRINDISI: I just want to
7	note that we've been joined by Assemblywoman
8	Rebecca Seawright and Assemblywoman Shelley
9	Mayer.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: You know, when
11	you see that there some years we've had
12	like every member here screaming. When
13	people aren't here and they're over in
14	session, it's because they're happy, Carmen.
15	So that's good. You know, that's good.
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Let's let the chair
17	of Education follow up on your answer.
18	SENATOR MARCELLINO: Just a quickie.
19	When I was in school, we had the NYPD
20	assigned to the building. We had two patrol
21	officers, one inside and one outside. They
22	rotated. But after a while, everybody knew
23	their name, every kid in the school knew who
24	they were, and they made it their business to

1	know every kid in the school. So if we had a
2	problem, we could deal with the if it
3	required NYPD, there was somebody there.
4	What is the current caseload for your
5	guidance counselors?
6	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: Well, it depends
7	on the two districts I talked about
8	SENATOR MARCELLINO: {Unintelligible}
9	high school.
10	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: I can't tell you
11	that right off the top of my head, but I will
12	get it.
13	But in terms of the NYPD, we work hand
14	in hand with them on almost all issues, so
15	SENATOR MARCELLINO: Are they assigned
16	to the building? Is there someone
17	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: Only if they're
18	called. That becomes a 911 call.
19	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
20	Senator Montgomery.
21	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Yes, thank you.
22	Good afternoon, Chancellor. It's a
23	pleasure to see you, and thank you for your
24	report.

1	I would just like to take a minute of
2	my time to say to you that last Saturday I
3	attended one of the CEC meetings in one of
4	the districts District 13 in my district,
5	and it was the meeting was held at one of
6	the Urban Assembly Middle School sites where
7	they have the most wonderful program of
8	hydroponic gardens. Absolutely amazing. And
9	those young people in that program are hoping
10	to build out to the point where they can
11	provide produce for the entire school. So
12	that's so exciting.
13	And I also visited last week Madiba,
14	which is a middle school in District 16.
15	Again, it was I was so impressed.
16	So I just want you to know that each
17	time I'm in a school and I have such a
18	wonderful experience as I did at Madiba and
19	at the hydroponic programming, the Urban
20	Assembly school, it just makes me so much
21	more hopeful. And so and both of those
22	were middle schools.
23	With the Urban Assembly, as you know,
24	there is a group of those schools, and this

1	one happened to have a relationship with the
2	Harbor School because they're in the same
3	group. And so I'm looking forward,
4	obviously, to the Harbor Middle School
5	perhaps being in District 15. That's the
6	dream that I have.
7	But the one issue that I would raise
8	with you is that we still have not seemingly
9	been able to build a direct pipeline between
10	the schools, especially I guess the CTE
11	schools, as you would say, and the high
12	schools that they would be automatically
13	feeders for. So I'm hopeful that you can
14	work on that particular issue. I've had so
15	many problems, especially related to Harbor
16	High School.
17	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: Well, thank you.
18	Because I'm particularly I'm proud of all
19	our schools, but the Urban Assembly schools
20	have done a really special job. The Law and
21	Justice on Adams Street is spectacular. I
22	feel I'm the godmother of that school. I

SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Yes.

23

helped start it, with Richard Kahan.

1	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: But the other
2	thing you should know, with the hydroponics,
3	that normally there's hydroponics, and a lot
4	of those are affiliated with Cornell
5	University. They also have tilapia
6	hatcheries. They're growing their own
7	tilapia, because it's a good way to make the
8	food available. And some of the hydroponics
9	have already started farm markets for their
10	parents. One of our Renewal Schools in the
11	Bronx, 154, raises chickens, has eggs. And
12	if parents come to Saturday workshops, they
13	get to take vegetables and eggs home.
14	So there's a lot of things that we're
15	doing that are really kind of off the beaten
16	path. But I'm glad you found those schools.
17	And, you know, my first six months as the
18	chancellor, I only visited middle schools.
19	That's all I visited because my belief system
20	truly is that if we can make our middle
21	schools successful, the rest is a piece of
22	cake. Or almost a piece of cake.
23	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Thank you. And
24	just lastly, the Early College Program. I'm

1	just so concerned that very often when
2	something really works well, we take it for
3	granted and then we don't pay attention and
4	it goes away.
5	So I really want to stress my support
6	for that, and hopefully we can make that more
7	part of what young people in our high schools
8	have access to.
9	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: Thank you. Those
10	P-TECHs, okay.
11	SENATOR KRUEGER: Leroy Comrie.
12	SENATOR COMRIE: Good afternoon,
13	Chancellor. Happy Valentine's.
14	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: Good afternoon.
15	SENATOR COMRIE: I want to just concur
16	with all of my colleagues on the praises that
17	they have given you this morning. It's been
18	well deserved. It's been a pleasure to have
19	you as chancellor, and I have enjoyed our
20	working relationship. It's been honest and
21	constructive. And your staff has never
22	hesitated to get back to me. And you've been
23	a breath of fresh air for educators all over
24	this country, and I would hope that you

know, I would second Senator Marcellino in
that I don't really want you to leave, but
you deserve to do whatever you want to do at
this point.

I would hope that you have a strong hand in picking your successor, and I would hope that that happens as well. And it's my personal hope that you find someone that is as dedicated to making sure that a very complicated system is working and better, as you have done with the system here. And I just want to congratulate you for your work and always staying on the ground, always going to meetings, always communicating with the public and knowing directly what happens.

I was honored to go to a history fair this weekend at PS 360, the new school in St. Albans that is in a former -- it's in the former PSAO building. And the school is well done. There was such an excitement from all over the district of participation. And it's truly an impact from your influence in the schools.

24 So I want to congratulate you for the

1	Single Shepherd Initiative. I think that's
2	great. I really want to congratulate you for
3	lowering the acrimony and the vitriol between
4	yourself and the teachers union. I think
5	that's made a strong progress in making
6	things happen. The Renewal School program
7	has been great, not only August Martin, which
8	is doing a lot better than anyone ever
9	thought. Martin Van Buren and I.S. 8, my
10	alma mater, are both schools that were in the
11	Renewal program. I.S. 8 is out of the
12	program now and is in better shape. And
13	Martin Van Buren, as you know, has a
14	principal that is dedicated to making sure
15	that the school is well.
16	So I just wanted to ask you for your

So I just wanted to ask you for your help on one thing before you leave, and that's to congratulate you and the mayor on the TCU program to get rid of TCUs, the temporary units. But there's one temporary unit that houses handicapped students in P.S. 134 in Hollis. And I would hope that you have a strong hand in making sure that that difficult relocation is done or at least

Τ	planned before the end of your tenure. And I
2	just hope that you can make sure that you're
3	involved in it. Lorraine Grillo is great.
4	It's really a space problem. We're trying to
5	find the proper space to house them.
6	But that TCU is just in terrible
7	shape, and it's dealing with severely
8	handicapped, mostly wheelchair-bound students
9	at P.S. 134. So if you could please look
10	into that.
11	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: I will certainly
12	look into that.
13	SENATOR COMRIE: Thank you.
14	And just the final thing that I wanted
15	to talk to you about, the Brother's Keeper
16	program, do you think that we'll be able to
17	keep that as a sustainable program? And how
18	do you see that happening?
19	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: Well, I see it
20	happening in several ways. You have given us
21	funding, and we appreciate it. I think
22	having the decisions made locally is really
23	important because not all superintendents in
24	districts want to use it the same way.

1	I do think the mentoring component to
2	it is crucial. I think also the recruitment
3	of more men of color to work in our schools
4	is very important. We've already done a lot
5	of that this year.

I think also My Brother's Keeper is working to make sure that some of the curriculum goals are met. So I think it's a local decision, there's a committee in each district that has decided how to use it. I know one of the districts in the Bronx, for example, is using it to have internships with some of our cultural institutions.

But I'm happy to share with you in writing what the different districts across the city are doing and how we can do it. And then we're also going to get them together to share it with each other, so they can take ideas from each other's work.

SENATOR COMRIE: Thank you. And I will promise you to do everything I can to work to make sure that you get the full funding that the system needs, and hopefully we can finish the Campaign for Fiscal Equity

1	payment to the schools so programs like
2	Brother's Keeper can be made sustainable.
3	And I just want to again thank you for
4	your service. Thank you for bringing the
5	Department of Education to a better level and
6	a much more amenable level that everyone can
7	impact and be involved in the system.
8	Thank you.
9	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: Boy, you guys
10	really got the Valentine's Day spirit here.
11	I'm feeling very good.
12	(Laughter.)
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: We have like 30
L 4	more people testifying, so
15	ASSEMBLYMAN BRINDISI: Thank you,
16	Chancellor. I believe we have
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: And I should say
18	the chancellor hasn't had Pete Lopez is
19	our new ranking member on the committee and
20	is a very knowledgeable and thoughtful
21	member, so we're happy he's here.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN LOPEZ: Commissioner,
23	thank you. And thank you for your
24	perseverance as well.

1	Just a quick question. And again,
2	much of my region is rural, and I'm trying to
3	draw parallels between rural and inner city.
4	And as I look at demographics, high-need/low
5	wealth cuts across all geographic boundaries.
6	I guess my question for you and I
7	saw in your testimony you spoke briefly about
8	the aid formula. My main concern is around
9	three drivers of cost poverty, second
10	language, and special ed. And I guess my
11	question for you is, with the formula, do you
12	feel that the formula emphasizes those
13	categories sufficiently to assist you with
14	your mission?
15	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: I'm sorry
16	DOE CFO ORLANDO: We're talking about
17	the state Foundation Aid formula?
18	ASSEMBLYMAN LOPEZ: Yes.
19	DOE CFO ORLANDO: Yes, we believe that
20	the state Foundation Aid formula, as
21	currently composed, addressing the
22	substantial needs that different districts
23	have, is important to maintain.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN LOPEZ: And so my

1	question, again, on those three cost
2	drivers special education, second
3	language, poverty does the formula weight
4	need to be changed to be a little more
5	aggressive on those fronts?
6	DOE CFO ORLANDO: I guess it's
7	it's the funding the funding level
8	is it's important that additional funding
9	that comes gets run through the Foundation
10	Aid formula. To the extent that the
11	Foundation Aid formula is better reflective
12	of needs in special education, English
13	language learners, and poverty, we should be
14	using the best data available. So any and
15	all attempts to do that, we would of course
16	be supportive of.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN LOPEZ: Thank you.
18	Second question, quickly and again,
19	this gets back to the issue of poverty in
20	particular. And we heard reference to the
21	Brother's Keeper program, which I support.
22	look at similar programs Liberty
23	Partnership, even Head Start and I guess
24	my question for you and your mission, are we

1	putting enough emphasis financially around
2	wrap with wraparound services, and can we
3	do more or should we be doing more to assist
4	you outside of the classroom as well as
5	inside?

CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: Well, I think, for example -- and it's not an easy answer -- but I would say with poverty in particular, what we have found has made a real difference certainly in New York City, and it would go to any area, is the pre-K program. To the degree that that could be expanded across the state -- because it's not just about students being in a safe place at a younger age, it's about the ability of parents to go back to work.

I mean, one of the unexpected consequences when we've interviewed parents who have students in pre-K, many of them are now going back to work knowing that they have their children in a free situation that's done well.

So I think in terms of one of the things that you might want to handle that

1 way, that's one of them.

I think when you're talking about special education, you know, not everything is equal and some people need more than others. And if you have a special needs child who needs occupational therapy, who needs extra speech services, the formula to ensure that those children have those services I think is important. And I think that's one of the things that we're talking about.

And I think when it comes to English language learners -- and I'm sure that the commissioner mentioned this as well -- that we have more and more students coming into our schools at an older age who may be coming from places where they don't have, for example, prior schooling. So how do you help those children acclimate to school and give them the resources they need?

So I think any formula has to take into account, to some degree, that there are special needs for special students at special times. And, you know, I think that's

1	something that we'll all struggle with.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN LOPEZ: Thank you,
3	Chancellor.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Just quickly, I
5	think we're ready to wrap up. Were there any
6	other questions?
7	SENATOR KRUEGER: No, I have a few
8	more, thank you.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Okay, that's
10	fine.
11	SENATOR KRUEGER: Hi, Chancellor.
12	Actually, most of my questions were answered.
13	So just to clarify, you just answered the
14	Assemblymember that the city would not
15	support the Governor's change in education
16	formula as he proposed it in his budget.
17	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: Right.
18	SENATOR KRUEGER: And it would be a
19	negative for the City of New York, as you
20	understand it.
21	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: Correct.
22	SENATOR KRUEGER: The Governor also
23	proposes a merger of the various UPK funding
24	streams I assume that is a positive for the

1	City of New York, at least in administrative
2	simplicity.
3	DOE CFO ORLANDO: We're all for
4	consolidation, provided that the funding
5	level doesn't go down.
6	SENATOR KRUEGER: And that was my part
7	two of the question, because he's already
8	also proposed, I believe, a reduction for ed.
9	Can you tell me what that impact would be?
10	DOE CFO ORLANDO: Sure. Approximately
11	\$35 million less, potentially, depending on
12	how it's implemented. But yes, you can't
13	consolidate and then reduce. That doesn't
14	work for us.
15	SENATOR KRUEGER: That's what I
16	thought also. Thank you.
17	And you also earlier answered the
18	question that no, you haven't received your
19	Smart Bond money approval yet. Do you get
20	any sense from state SED what the timeline
21	is? Because you have all these goals of what

24 DOE CFO ORLANDO: I am ever hopeful

the money.

22

23

you need to do with the money, but you need

1	that it will be shortly.
2	SENATOR KRUEGER: Do you have a basis
3	in fact for that belief?
4	DOE CFO ORLANDO: I do not have one at
5	this time.
6	SENATOR KRUEGER: We're just all
7	optimists.
8	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: But maybe after
9	today we will.
10	SENATOR KRUEGER: Maybe after today.
11	And how much was the city's money that
12	you had put in a plan for?
13	DOE CFO ORLANDO: Approximately
14	\$783 million.
15	SENATOR KRUEGER: And you would need
16	that money in order to, one, get rid of those
17	mobile classrooms
18	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: Absolutely.
19	SENATOR KRUEGER: that everyone
20	hates.
21	DOE CFO ORLANDO: It supports the
22	capital program of the department, including
23	the elimination of TCUs. The 400-odd million

dollars.

1	SENATOR KRUEGER: So we all want to
2	lobby hard to get every school district the
3	money that they have requested and are no
4	doubt hoping for desperately.
5	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: Yes.
6	DOE CFO ORLANDO: For sure.
7	SENATOR KRUEGER: What's the
8	number-one thing we could do in the budget
9	this year that would help the New York City
10	school system?
11	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: I think really
12	listening carefully to what our priorities
13	were today, and to ensure that we don't go
14	backwards, that we can continue to go
15	forwards. And that the fair school funding
16	that we're entitled to, that we get as much
17	of it as possible.
18	Want to answer that, Ray?
19	DOE CFO ORLANDO: Sure. I would just
20	say that the Foundation Aid formula is vital
21	to us, and that needs to continue. And that
22	the level of resources that the state
23	provides to us needs to return to the levels
24	that it had been at before the recession.

1	Historically, the state had
2	provided before the recession in
3	2007-2008, the state provided about
4	42 percent of the budget of the Department of
5	Education. It's down to 37 percent. The
6	city's share during the same period has grown
7	from 47 percent to 57 percent. So the city
8	is shouldering a much larger burden and a
9	much larger share of the Department of
10	Education's expenses vis-a-vis the state.
11	And the state itself, while we've been
12	grateful for the increases we've seen over
13	the last few years, they haven't made up for
14	the cut years, ultimately. And we're still
15	not where we were before the recession. So
16	ultimately, you know, the city is investing
17	in our students at a much greater level
18	today, and we need the state to step up and
19	join us and do its part. Between fiscal year
20	'15 and '18, the city's contribution has
21	grown by over \$3 billion to the Department of
22	Education's budget, and the state's has only
23	grown by a billion and a half.
24	If I could leave you with one thought,

1	it	would	be	we	need	more	money	to	make	this
2	go.	•								

that in addition to money, since I've gotten into this job three years ago, I've been asking for the movement on the CTE licensing.

And we really need that in order to keep that promise that that be done sooner rather than later. Because we have an opportunity to graduate students into fields that are desperate for people to hire, and that is keeping us from doing that.

So to the degree that we can move that sooner rather than later, that would be very much appreciated.

SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. And thank you for the clarification on the dollar numbers, because there are some people in this town who seem to be under the impression that New York City has been pulling out its share of public education funding in relationship to the state, and I appreciate your clarifying it.

CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: And if you think

1	about all our new Equity and Excellence
2	issues, just those alone, we have had to find
3	money to do it, and yet they're valuable
4	enough, and serve as a model for other cities
5	who may want to follow us, that this is
6	something worth doing. So we almost see
7	ourselves as a research area to try new
8	things, and then we'll share whatever we have
9	with anyone else who needs it or wants it.
10	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
11	(Discussion off the record.)
12	SENATOR KRUEGER: Senator Savino.
13	SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you, Senator
14	Krueger.
15	Thank you, Chancellor Fariña. I'll be
16	brief because I'm sure you have answered just
17	about every question under the sun with
18	respect to the school system. And I
19	apologize for not being here to listen to
20	most of it, being pulled in 15 different
21	directions.
22	But I wanted to address two things
23	that I think your agency is now being pulled
24	into in a more traditional, formal way, which

1	is more of the social service delivery, and
	_
2	in partnership with ACS, as well as
3	providing, I think, more intervention in
4	substance abuse. So I was hoping you could
5	give me a brief update as to what's happening
6	with the new implementation of the policy
7	with ACS with respect to educational neglect
8	and what, if anything, DOE is doing about
9	increasing the number of substance abuse
10	workers, the SAPAS workers. As you know, the
11	opioid abuse crisis is certainly not
12	diminishing in New York City. You know,
13	Staten Island is ground zero. So if you
14	could talk a bit about it.
15	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: First of all, no
16	student is going to be successful in school
17	if the home life isn't really productive. So
18	our work with parents is always out there.
19	But to the degree that we now work more
20	closely with some of our other city agencies,
21	it's crucial. And this is something the
22	mayor has made one of his initiatives. He
23	has created something called the Children's
24	Cabinet, which actually has people serving on

l i	t	from	all	the	agencies	, including	ours.

And this is something that we work

more closely at, what age do we actually

start working with families. We are

certainly -- you know, now that we have the

4-year-olds, how do we bring Mommies and Me

to schools to talk even younger.

So I think there's a lot of work
that's being done with these agencies. I
think in terms of we're working a lot more
closely with the Department of Youth Services
as well, to work with our middle-school kids.
The increase of guidance counselors and
social workers in our schools has been
substantial this year. So I do think across
the board there's a lot more collaboration
that's being done. We're also working with
our commissioner of health, because you can't
do families and students without that. So
with Mary Bassett we've done some new
initiatives. So I think there's a lot more
cooperation across agencies.

DOE CFO ORLANDO: If I can just add, we've also, with your support, been able to

1	increase the number of SAPAS workers, so
2	thank you for that. And as the chancellor is
3	pointing out to me, a lot of that has been
4	focused on Staten Island, where the need is
5	significant.
6	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: Right.
7	SENATOR SAVINO: Yeah, thank you.
8	Last week the Staten Island Federation
9	of PTAs had their annual breakfast, and one
10	of the issues that came up there which I
11	think is somewhat controversial, because you
12	don't have the same opinion across the
13	city their concern is they want more
14	school safety officers and they want more
15	cameras and we had a very open discussion
16	there. The public advocate attended and, you
17	know, she confirmed my opinion that there's
18	not a unanimity of opinion about that as to
19	how we handle security issues in school.
20	But there is a concern about, you
21	know, students getting out of the schools and
22	no one knowing where they are. I mean, is
23	there a way that we can, you know, assuage

the concerns of the parents that children are

1	not safe in the school, that there's not
2	enough security or that they're able to get
3	out of the school and there's no way to find
4	them?
5	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: I'll be honest
6	with you, you have to follow the incidents.
7	And we're not getting the incidents in
8	Staten Island on that issue. We are getting
9	it on other issues, which is why we increased
10	the SAPAS workers. The opiates, those are
11	real issues.
12	The other thing, working much more
13	collaboratively with the Y, for example, on
14	Staten Island, that has done some really good
15	work with teenagers.
16	So I think in terms of students
17	leaving buildings unattended, we haven't
18	really seen that in Staten Island. So I
19	think it's about how do you deal with issues
20	that come up rather than to anticipate ones
21	that as of now haven't really arisen. But
22	I'm you know, anything you want to discuss

specifically on Staten Island, I'm happy to

do so with you.

23

1	SENATOR SAVINO: Yeah, and I only have
2	a minute left, but thank you for sharing
3	that. Because there was a request made by
4	some of the members of the Federation of PTA
5	Presidents that we allocate capital money to
6	DOE for the purchase of additional security
7	cameras. And I would not want to go down
8	that road of allocating funding if in fact
9	there's not data to support the need for
10	that.
11	So if you could if you or anyone in
12	administration could
13	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: Absolutely.
L 4	SENATOR SAVINO: Yeah, that would be
15	very helpful.
16	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: The data-driven,
17	you know, we do it for education, for
18	academics; we should do it for everything.
19	So definitely.
20	SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you,
21	Chancellor.
22	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: I think we're
24	about finished. I really want to thank you

1	for being here again and spending so much
2	time. I just want to point out for the
3	record that as I did last week when Mayor
4	de Blasio was here though I always
5	hesitate to tell people to go to the website,
6	you can go to the website and find out just
7	what is a mandated cost. Some of our
8	colleagues were asking how much you pay in,
9	you know, pensions and how much you pay in
10	salaries, as if we could run the system
11	without the salaries.
12	So I want to make it clear that all
13	that information for 698 districts is
14	available on the website, so that every
15	district can be compared apples to apples to
16	see what you spend on those kinds of mandated
17	costs.
18	And I want to thank you for coming
19	today. Thank you very much.
20	CHANCELLOR FARIÑA: Listen, my
21	pleasure. Have a great day.
22	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: I think next we
24	have people from the labor unions here. I

Τ	guess Michael Mulgrew, Andy Pallotta, maybe
2	you want to come down together and we'll kee
3	moving.
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you,
5	Chancellor.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Why don't you -
7	maybe we can both sit at the table and then
8	we can we have 24 more witnesses. It's
9	1:30. So we're just going to try to if
10	people can bring a couple of people down
11	maybe to every table and then you can decide
12	yourselves how you want to
13	You guys can start.
14	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Why don't we
15	announce who's here, though. We have
16	President Michael Mulgrew, from the United
17	Federation of Teachers; Cassie Prugh,
18	assistant to the president, from UFT; we hav
19	Andrew Pallotta, executive vice president of
20	NYSUT; and Chris Black, legislative director
21	of NYSUT.
22	So welcome. We're so happy to have
23	you here today.
24	MR. PALLOTTA: Thank you, Senator

1	Young, and members of the Assembly and
2	Senate. I want to talk first about the
3	Foundation formula.
4	Last year, working with the Executive,
5	you eliminated the GEA. This was something
6	we celebrated, and we thank you for that.
7	Without having to deal with the GEA this
8	year, the state can and should focus on
9	Foundation Aid.
10	While we look at the Governor's
11	proposal, an increase of \$428 million in
12	Foundation Aid, we believe that we should
13	have a better proposal to counter the
14	elimination of the Foundation formula. The
15	level of funding is rooted in the ability of
16	districts to provide their students with a
17	sound, basic education, as guaranteed by the
18	State Constitution. Quite simply, the repeal
19	of the Foundation Aid formula would leave
20	schools without any plan for additional
21	financial support beyond 2017-'18.
22	NYSUT fully supports fully funding the
23	Foundation Aid formula and phasing it in

within three years so that districts have the

1	state school aid they need to provide the
2	students with the highest possible education,
3	which they deserve.

Executive Budget proposal is a large increase, we know that we need an even larger amount of money poured into the public schools. Again this year, school districts will be more reliant on state aid because the tax cap is set at a mere 1.26 percent. Under the year's tax cap, only \$200 million can be raised throughout the entire state to fund schools.

NYSUT concurs with the Regents' call for an overall increase of \$2.1 billion in general purpose school aid. We ask that the additional \$600 million in funding should be targeted towards providing continued support for struggling schools, increasing funding for ELLs, restoring funding for Teacher Centers, expanding pre-kindergarten, expanding access and support for college and career pathways, and assisting districts that have growing enrollment.

1	Also another important issue for many
2	members throughout the state is to fully
3	reimburse school districts that are affected
4	by the closure of power plants, such as
5	Indian Point, or where the full valuation of
6	the tax base has been reduced, such as in
7	North Rockland.

On impounding funds, an issue of great concern to us in the Executive Budget is the proposal to legalize the impoundment of state funds, including school aid, should federal or state revenue fall below projections in the state's financial plan. The action could be taken by the Director of Budget at any time without consultation or approval of the Legislature.

The tax cap. Living under the tax cap for most districts has been very, very difficult, and they have not been able to restore many of the cuts that they have suffered in the past. This tax cap we would like to see changed. We would, at the least, like to see the elimination of the supermajority requirement, eliminating the

1	possibility of a negative tax cap, and
2	changing the tax levy limit to 2 percent or
3	CPI, whichever is greater.

On community schools. First I want to thank you for your leadership in building on community schools. The model is working, it is working in New York City and the other large districts statewide. Second, we urge you to maintain the \$255 million in existing Community School funding, which includes restoration of the \$75 million for continued struggling schools conversion, and add \$100 million in new funding for these schools.

On receivership. This issue has been of great concern to many schools throughout the state, and we support the collaborative Community School model to replace this current punitive statute. Specifically, absent a full repeal of this statute, we are calling for legislation to be enacted that would automatically turn these schools into community schools.

In addition, we call for a moratorium

1	on this punitive law. Students and teachers,
2	rightfully, have a moratorium on tests and
3	evaluations based on the failed
4	implementation of the Common Core, yet
5	schools are still judged on these flawed
6	measures. It's not right, and it's not fair,
7	and we ask for your support in making this
8	happen.

Teacher Centers. At a time when we are asking educators to comply with higher learning standards, we must provide educators with the resources and tools they need. The Statewide Teacher Center Network has already developed and is offering professional learning sessions for educators who work with English language learners. We call on the legislature to restore us to the previous levels of \$40 million.

On charter schools. In the absence of much-needed transparency and accountability measures for charter schools, NYSUT strongly opposes proposed increases in tuition payments, rental aid, and any other costs associated with charter school management

1 operators
1 operators

NYSUT has analyzed the unfreezing of basic tuition formulas, and we have concluded that 156 districts would incur, at a minimum, additional costs of \$120 million. If enacted, this would create a huge unfunded mandate on public school districts, since funding is paid for by public school budgets. Moreover, the property tax cap holds charter schools harmless, but public school districts must pay the increased funding.

On CTE, we must continue to support and expand the access to CTE programs, and we fully support increasing the aidable salary for all CTE programs and increasing BOCES aid and special services aid.

We urge the Legislature to provide regular, predictable increases in tuition rates for the 4201, 4401, 853 and Special Act schools, and assist them in achieving funding percentage parity with surrounding school districts.

On state revenue. So we say, how do we pay for all of this? NYSUT believes that

1	the state should not only extend the
2	so-called millionaire's tax, but should
3	expand it. NYSUT urges the Legislature to
4	pass the Assembly's progressive tax plan for
5	the state's highest earners, which would
6	raise \$5.6 billion in new revenue annually to
7	support public education, healthcare, and
8	infrastructure improvements.
9	We also support closing the
10	carried-interest loophole, which lets
11	partners at private equity firms and hedge
12	funds pay a greatly reduced federal tax rate
13	on much of their income by declaring it as
14	capital gains. It seems only fair that this
15	income should be funded at the proper rate.
16	NYSUT looks forward to partnering with
17	the Legislature to ensure our students
18	receive the best education possible in this
19	coming year.
20	Thank you, and I'll now turn it over
21	to Michael Mulgrew.
22	MR. MULGREW: Thank you, Mr. Pallotta.
23	And I'd like to start by thanking
24	Assemblywoman Nolan, Senator Young, and

Senator Marcellino -- thank you very much for convening -- and all the other members of the Legislature.

I'm very happy to say at this point in time in New York City that our graduation rate is at an all-time high, our dropout rate is at an all-time low, our pre-K program is absolutely thriving. AP for All, we've had the biggest increase in numbers in our history. And it is really because of a focus and a decision to make sure that we are funding education as we are meeting the needs of the students inside of our great city as well as our state.

In New York City, I want to be clear,

New York City has met the call for funding of
education. Our percentage of funding has
gone up to 57 percent in New York City at
this point in time in terms of its education,
where just five years ago it was 49 percent.

So I want to be clear with everyone that New
York City is not only making great strides,
but it is doing it by putting its money where
its mouth is. We are making a difference for

•			
	childran	7 n	education.
L	CHITTALEH		CUUCALTOII.

So when it comes to funding itself, the continuation and expansion of the millionaire's tax is clear, because in the budget proposals we have seen, there is a budget deficit. So we must make sure that we are continuing to fund education as we continue to make our positive gains. The only way to ensure that this is going to happen is by making sure that we are funding it.

So as we also move forward, we have seen that there is a proposal for changing the way the schools right now are being funded. We know that GEA was paid off completely last year, and it is imperative to us that we make sure that we base funding on need. And it's a real number, and we need to make sure that we are being responsive to the changing demographics inside of each school district in our state.

With that said, we do not believe that the formula that is being proposed in the Executive's budget will meet that. We do

1	believe the Foundation Aid formula that was
2	in place last year should continue, and if
3	anyone chooses to change these formulas, that
4	should be done in a collaborative effort
5	where we're looking at how these things
6	affect each and every district. We know we
7	have changing and shifting populations
8	throughout the state. We need to make sure
9	that we have formulas that are based upon
10	meeting that need.
11	In terms of the provisions that we
12	have seen on charters, I understand and I'm
13	very unhappy there is a great fear, and I
14	have been up here a couple of times this year
15	already speaking about it, with our new
16	Secretary of Education. We have brought
17	people from the State of Michigan in fact,
18	the president of the State of Michigan School
19	Board was here and did a presentation.
20	We are adamantly opposed
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: They spoke at
22	our committee. They were very, very they
23	spoke to our committee members, as you

recall, and it was very, very informative.

1 MR. MULGREW: Thar	k you,	, yes.
---------------------	--------	--------

we are adamantly opposed to any expansion or any additional funding until we have clear accountability and transparency.

Specifically, what happened in Michigan was a step-by-step strategic scheme to defund and get rid of neighborhood public schools. And we are looking at New York State to say this is not going to happen here. We believe that all three branches of our government believe that the neighborhood public school is the foundation to everything we do and where we're going to move our state.

And I want to be very clear, the elected officials from Michigan said, "We didn't understand each issue as it rolled out, but cumulatively they then had the effect that they wanted." They destroyed their neighborhood public schools both in rural districts as well as the cities. And we are very, very focused on making sure that we have real transparency and accountability, because the folks who advocated on behalf of the charter schools in Michigan actually

1	would spend more money trying to stop any
2	legislation that held them accountable and
3	made sure they were transparent.

I cannot thank you enough for the special projects that we have done in the past. There is an unintended consequence from a law we passed two years ago on teacher evaluation. Something we all supported was the professional hours that every teacher is now responsible for in the State of New York. The problem is that what we did not intend at that point was for small education corporations to make a lot of money off of that.

So what has happened is you have a lot of small for-profit education companies who are now offering courses to teachers at an average of \$300 each, for three hours. I do not believe it was ever the intention of our Legislature to put that burden upon the teachers.

What we have done in New York City, when we quickly realized what was happening, we had the Teacher Center authorized as a

1	vendor for these hours. We have met all the
2	obligations of the State Education
3	Department. We believe the Teacher Centers
4	should become a vital and pivotal part of
5	supplying this professional development to
6	all of the teachers in New York State. And
7	that will require an increase we are
8	asking to go back to the original, which was
9	\$40 million, especially since this has
10	basically become an unintended tax upon
11	teachers, because they are now responsible at
12	this moment in other parts of the state for
13	paying for these classes out of pocket.
14	And I cannot thank the Teacher Center
15	enough for all that they are doing in terms
16	of taking paraprofessionals to teacher
17	assistants, our district initiatives based on
18	English language learners training all of
19	these things are the valuable services that
20	are being put forth by our Teacher Center.
21	The Positive Learning Collaborative is
22	something we are doing in New York City. It

goes into a school and absolutely trains

everyone on every aspect -- not just

23

1	restorative justice, but on each and every
2	element of a school that will make it a
3	positive culture. And we believe that is
4	something we should continue, and we look to
5	the state to help us to do that.

Career and Technical Education, I was very happy last night to see, on the floor of the Congress of the United States, where everyone got together, all the parties, and said, This is something we need to do. So I am happy to report to you that we are working with the State Education Department to make it a streamlined proposal to the Board of Regents in terms of Career and Technical Education. But once that is done, I would like to say that education has all of its ducks in a row, and it is now time to really look at our economic and workforce development and aligning it with the K-12 education system.

And last but not least, childcare.

Right now we know this is a challenge. New

York City is in a crisis. We are looking for
an increase in childcare funding. We have

1	tens of thousands of families who do not have
2	the ability to get free or affordable
3	childcare, and we believe very strongly if we
4	want everyone to move forward, that this is
5	something we need to offer the families not
6	just of New York City, but across the entire
7	state.
8	Thank you very much.
9	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you,
10	Mr. Mulgrew.
11	Mr. Pallotta and Mr. Black from NYSUT,
12	do you have testimony too?
13	MR. PALLOTTA: We gave it.
14	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Oh, okay. So you
15	don't have anything on top of that. Okay,
16	gotcha.
17	I think Senator Marcellino had a
18	question. I'm sorry, you know what? I'm
19	sorry, you know what, it's the Assembly, so
20	it would be Assemblywoman Nolan.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: No, that's fine.
22	Please go. We always have questions we can
23	ask.

But I know Shelley Mayer had one, so

1	let me just turn to her right away.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Thank you for
3	being here. And a particular thank you to
4	NYSUT for working so collaboratively in
5	Yonkers to try to achieve a resolution of
6	some really extraordinary challenges. Thank
7	you for your efforts on that.
8	I had a question for you, Mr. Mulgrew
9	about the percentage of funding for the
10	school budget that the City of New York
11	contributes. And I know Chancellor Fariña
12	also mentioned this. But you mentioned that
13	the City of New York now contributes
L 4	57 percent. Is that of the total public
15	school budget?
16	MR. MULGREW: Yes.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: And when was it
18	49 percent?
19	MR. MULGREW: I believe five years
20	ago.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: And what do you
22	think is the basis for their increased

participation? Is that just a collaborative

effort, or is it pressure from parents --

23

1	MR. MULGREW: It's a combination of
2	many of the things that you're speaking
3	about. We have many more professional
4	development, we're rolling out programs that
5	are based upon research. All of that
6	obviously requires funding. The expansion of
7	an entire grade in terms of pre-K. Some of
8	that was supplied by the state, but portions
9	of it had to be from the city itself.
10	It really is a combination of a
11	commitment to trying to make sure that every
12	school is the center of its community. So
13	you have outreach to parents in a much more
14	rigorous way than we had four years ago. We
15	have as I said, we have a very rigorous
16	training regimen for all the teachers of
17	New York City that we now have in place, as
18	well as all of these different programs.
19	The literacy for every child, literacy
20	in terms of being on the correct literacy
21	rate by the beginning of third grade, that's
22	required an immense amount of training and
23	coordination between all of the schools.

So you put all of these variables

1	together, we've increased the budget. And
2	the state has picked up some of that, but the
3	city, because of this administration's belief
4	in so many of the programs that they have
5	publicly and privately just been pushing out
6	there, this is why we've had an increase on
7	the city side.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Okay. And the other question was about the receivership schools, to NYSUT. Can you just describe the current state where basically the lawsuit -- the court determined that the \$75 million, the amount should be allocated to those schools that came off the list of struggling and then were denied the money, I think by the Division of Budget. Have you been able to move any of that money out the door?

NYSUT EXECUTIVE VP PALLOTTA: No. But we do believe that that money should go to those schools, because they have been working very hard to improve the student outcomes.

So we're supporting anything that can be done to move that money into the schools.

24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Well, as you

1	know, when we passed the legislation, the
2	intent was that the money go to the schools
3	that were on the list. And the fact that
4	they did well enough to come off the list
5	should never have been a reason to deny them
6	the money. And I think we're going to
7	continue to need your participation in
8	pushing that issue.
9	MR. PALLOTTA: Right, we agree. And
10	we celebrate that they were able to get off
11	that list.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Thank you.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: I just have a
14	brief question.
15	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Sure.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: I want to first
17	thank you both for being here. And thank you
18	for the team, Chris and Cassie. You know,
19	not new faces, but stepping up to leadership
20	roles, both of you, and I appreciate the good
21	working relationship we've had. I knew Steve
22	and Carol so well, I want to sort of give

them both a shout out. But I want to thank

24 the new team for all the work that -- and the

1	cooperation with our office on a really, you
2	know, constant level. And I really, really
3	appreciate, Cassie, you getting in touch with
4	me even this morning. And Chris, we really
5	appreciate that kind of back and forth.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I just want to read something into the record, and then if either of you would like to respond. Michael Rebell, a professor at Columbia University -- who was a cocounsel for plaintiffs in the Campaign for Fiscal Equity vs. The State of New York lawsuit -who we've had at our committee and we intend to have him again at a breakfast to talk to our members who are interested -- but Michael Rebell, in an op-ed in the Daily News, singled out -- it was a response to an op-ed that Paul Francis, a member of the Governor's staff, wrote where he said -- Paul Francis said -- that the Court of Appeals' CFE ruling at this point is merely "symbolism" and has no lasting significance.

And Professor Rebell said that actually that was not the case, that CFE was not a one-time ruling issued solely to remedy

1	inadequate funding levels that the court had
2	found in New York, but that like any other
3	pronouncement about constitutional rights,
4	the opinions were definitive, enduring, and
5	highly significant legal proclamations for
6	the future of the state's children.
7	And as you know, right now we're
8	looking to the Regents recommended a
9	larger increase in Foundation Aid than the
10	Executive, and the Executive has come back
11	with essentially ending Foundation Aid as
12	well as a much smaller amount of money with a
13	different formula overlay.
14	So if either of you would like to
15	comment on that or on Professor Rebell's
16	thoughts on the importance of CFE and or
17	do you think it is a one-time-only thing that
18	has lost its significance, or is it something
19	that we should continue and that should be an
20	enduring ruling?
21	MR. MULGREW: Thank you for bringing
22	this up. It is not a one-time-only. When

that court case was being decided, it was

clear -- and I think it was correctly

23

1	identified and dealt with by the
2	Legislature that this would now lead to
3	additional court cases throughout the state
4	because the funding formula was unequal and
5	it was not being based upon need. And at
6	that point the Legislature adopted a phase-in
7	period of doing the CFE and moving towards a
8	Foundation Aid that would become permanent.
9	So there was never a "it's over." It never

Now, people can argue because we had a severe financial crisis in the middle -- it was my first year as president of the UFT, and I came up here and it was somewhat, as you could imagine, somewhat chaotic, to say the least, because everyone was going back home and listening to all of the horrible cuts that were going to take place.

was ever contemplated at that point in time.

So the Legislature dealt as best it could with each time the CFE thing came into question. But it is clear now, after last year -- how do you fund the GEA and now, when it's finally time to actually start using the Foundation Aid in a more meaningful way, that

there	is	a (discus	ssion	about	not	doing	that
anymor	e a	and	it's	just	wrong	?		

I understand that there are school districts in this state, because of -- you know, could quickly have a shift in student population, the type of student population it has. We need to look at some of that. It's clear that that should be part of the discussion of this Legislature. There should be some fluidity in terms of having to help school districts deal with that in terms of just not having a very rigid formula. But in the end what we were saying in CFE is, this is the way you should adequately fund your schools as a state. And it was never intended to say, all right, this program is over, bye-bye.

So we believe very strongly that the Foundation Aid formula needs to be put in permanently. And if the Legislature believes that there should be a process for changing it at times, based off of shifting demographics or a need that they think would help school districts in a better way, then

1	fine, put that process in. But just to say
2	"Get rid of it, and here's a new one," just
3	does not work.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Thank you.
5	I don't know if Andy wants to and
6	then I'm done, thank you, Senator Young.
7	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Andy, if you
9	want to.
10	MR. PALLOTTA: Just an agreement that
11	the current Foundation Aid formula takes into
12	consideration so many different factors. And
13	this was something that we had supported for
14	so long to make sure that we actually bring
15	the tremendous amount of money that is
16	necessary to run the school system.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Thank you.
18	Thank you, Senator Young.
19	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
20	Senator Marcellino.
21	SENATOR MARCELLINO: Good afternoon,
22	gentlemen and lady. Microphone? Good
23	afternoon, I'll repeat it again.
2.4	The Covernor is proposing about a

1	billion-dollar increase in his budget; that's
2	the state budget overall. You're asking for
3	a 2.1 increase over the last budget.
4	Everything I read tells me that New York
5	State is the highest-taxed state, or one of
6	them, in the country. Perhaps California may
7	be higher; I don't know. But we certainly
8	are up there. We are losing population from
9	our state. I'm told that should we do the
10	census now, we would lose potentially at
11	least one congressional district in
12	Washington. That's not a good thing for us.
13	That's where the money comes from. So we
14	don't want to lose that, but that's a
15	possibility.
16	I need to know, how do we fund this?
17	I'm not opposed to giving you money. I'm not
18	opposed to funding the schools. It's how I
19	made my living, it's how my wife made her

made my living, it's how my wife made her
living. We're education people. We believe
in schools, we believe in public schools.

We're there. But I also have constituents
who are telling me they can't afford their
taxes. Property taxes is how we fund it on

1	Long Island and most of the state. They're
2	out of sight. It could be 70 percent of your
3	property tax is school tax.

4 How do we keep senior citizens, how do 5 we keep young people, how do we get young people to be able to afford to buy a home so 6 7 that you get more youngsters to go to the schools, we put more bodies in the 8 9 classrooms? We need to be able to attract 10 them here. I'm not afraid or ashamed to ask for some help. Talk to me. Tell me some 11 12 thoughts, some ideas, some concerns, some 13 ways to deal with this problem, because 14 it's -- it seems like it is a real issue 15 here. And it's an issue for my constituents. 16 If I go back to my constituents now and I tell them I want to raise property taxes or 17 state taxes or whatever, there will be a 18 19 lynch mob outside my house. It wouldn't take 20 long. And my wife might be leading it, so I 21 don't know. But the nature of the beast is 22 it will be a problem.

What are your thoughts?

MR. PALLOTTA: On the increase in

1	funding, just to keep school aid just where
2	we keep services the same, we've seen the
3	number \$1.7 billion. So the Regents call for
4	\$2.1 billion. They're the experts in
5	education, and we follow their lead on this.
6	The Educational Conference Board has also put
7	forward the number \$2.1 billion as an
8	increase in education funding.
9	When you talk about the taxes that are
10	paid in various parts of the state, we know
11	that that is difficult, especially senior
12	citizens. We did propose a circuit breaker a
13	few years back. People live in districts,
14	they love their public schools, they support

public schools. We have -
SENATOR MARCELLINO: I have just -- I

don't mean to interrupt you, but I have

neighbors who came from Queens. They bought

the house next door to me. It's an Asian

family. They come from Flushing, my old

stomping ground. They bought the house,

their kids are going to the public schools.

them. And the reason that they move to some

of those districts is just because of the

1	They intend to sell as soon as the kids
2	graduate from public schools, they intend to
3	sell to another couple who will do pretty
4	much the same thing.

Now, this is a thing that is happening all over the Island. People are coming into districts, living there for the schools, as soon as the kids no longer have to be in the schools, they go back to wherever they were -- maybe the city, wherever -- where the property tax burden is a lot less. Or wherever they want to move that they feel they can afford. So, you know, it is impacting us in a lot of ways.

MR. PALLOTTA: So our proposal also includes expanding the millionaire's tax, which would bring in billions. And if we look at it that way, we say, Well, it's not adding an additional burden on the real estate tax that folks pay. Also the carried interest. The folks that are the hedge funders that have been getting away without paying their fair share throughout these years, that is where the money would come

1	from.
2	SENATOR MARCELLINO: Thanks.
3	Appreciate your thought.
4	MR. PALLOTTA: Thank you.
5	SENATOR MARCELLINO: And I
6	appreciate and I mean this I appreciate
7	the work you do and the work that your
8	members do. It isn't easy. It is not easy
9	work. You don't always get the thank you
10	that you deserve, nor do your members get the
11	thank yous that they deserve. They're
12	standing there on the front lines, they're
13	dealing with issues, they're dealing with
14	youngsters who come in and they're not always
15	in a good mood. You know, there might have
16	been a night in the family, there could have
17	been something going on that night, the kid's
18	in a bad mood and takes it out on the teacher
19	in the classroom the next day. So they have
20	to maintain calmness and still teach biology.
21	So it's not easy to do. And I congratulate
22	you, and I congratulate your members for the

work they do for us and for our kids.

MR. MULGREW: Thank you, Senator.

23

1	MR. PALLOTTA: Thank you.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Nicely said.
3	We're done. I think we're done.
4	SENATOR KRUEGER: Oh, we're not.
5	SENATOR MARCELLINO: No, Savino
6	wanted go get her, will you?
7	SENATOR KRUEGER: While Diane comes
8	in, I just so, one, thank you.
9	Two, I wanted to just point out
10	because I'm assuming you were up in the back
11	but I don't know that when the State
12	Education Department chancellor testified,
13	she highlighted that countries that
14	prioritize their teachers and appreciate
15	their teachers I think she meant it from
16	both a monetary and a cultural perspective -
17	have the best outcomes for students.
18	And so to follow in on what Senator
19	Marcellino just closed with, it continues to
20	be apparent to many of us that there's not
21	actually enough respect for teachers, or
22	appreciation, and so we're not necessarily
23	drawing people into the profession that we
24	desperately need in the profession. So I'd

1	like both of your opinions on what we could
2	do better to ensure that our best and
3	brightest recognize that as hard as it is,
4	they do want to be teachers.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. PALLOTTA: Well, definitely in the SUNY system, where we have many schools that graduate teachers, there has been a significant drop in the amount of folks that are going into teaching. So throughout the last few years ago with the Common Core and the debacle of that, we've just seen folks that are discouraged from going into the profession. So just hearing what you have said today, encouraging and showing the respect for the profession, that's important. And also making sure that each and every day we go into schools that are fully funded. I mean, that is the key piece here. That's why we're at the budget hearing, to make sure that the funding is sufficient for the schools.

MR. MULGREW: It's appropriate that actually today is Show Your Love for Public Schools Day. That's what we're doing across

1 the	state today.
-------	--------------

2	AS	SEMBLYWOMA	Ν	NOLA	AN:	Ι	saw	those	Э
3	valentine	hearts.	I	saw	thos	е	vale	entine	€
4	hearts								

MR. MULGREW: This is something of really great concern to us. Dr. Linda

Darling-Hammond, in her research think tank out in Stanford, just addressed a group of leaders and she said, "We keep talking about this education teacher shortage that's about to hit," and she is clear that within the next two years, it's going to hit major league. We have shortage areas throughout the City of New York.

Now, we like to think that we can continue to attract people, but right now there are districts in New York City where we do not have all our vacancies full. And everything that we're being told is that's actually going to get worse. And clearly that had a lot to do with the bashing of education for over a decade.

So as we move forward, it's more about celebrating what we're doing inside of the

1	schools. But addressing the actual needs, if
2	you're in a high-needs district, the
3	Community Learning School push has truly
4	proven to be something that's working,
5	solving something that's a very difficult
6	equation in education. That's starting to
7	work. As well as elected officials like
8	yourselves. I mean, in New York City we can
9	tell, because we survey on a yearly basis,
10	you know, they're definitely feeling better
11	at this moment in time. It does make a
12	difference when they hear from elected
13	officials that, you know, we understand what
14	you do is a great thing because they don't
15	hear that all the time. But the recognition
16	of how difficult the job is and then saying
17	you're not going to be able to solve this by
18	yourself, you're going to need help, we'll
19	get you support and help, you have to go for
20	your training, you have to really integrate
21	all the other things, that's how we're going
22	to move education.
23	But we're going to have, at the
24	minimum, we're going to it's almost like

Τ	grobal warming, I guess, at this point.
2	There's certain things that are just going to
3	happen. And there is just not enough
4	teachers in the pipeline. That's why
5	retention becomes a huge issue right now.
6	Because if you can't fill a slot, every slot
7	you lose is even a bigger problem. So this
8	is something we're having a lot of
9	discussions on. We've started a lot of
10	things. But it's a real problem that I am
11	sure we'll be talking about here over the
12	next couple of years.
13	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
14	I know Senator Savino did have some
15	questions, if somebody can find her.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Otherwise I
17	think we need to we have 24 other
18	witnesses.
19	MR. MULGREW: Here she is.
20	SENATOR MARCELLINO: She's coming.
21	SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you. Thank
22	you, Senator Krueger and Senator Young. I'm
23	doing double duty with alumni, a young group

of students from St. John's. I am in fact

1	the only female graduate from St. John's
2	University in the entire legislature, so
3	whenever they bring up students, I have to
4	meet with them.
5	But thank you. It's always good to
6	see you, President Mulgrew, and of course
7	Andy and all of you. You know, President
8	Mulgrew is my constituent, so I have to be
9	nice to him.
10	(Laughter.)
11	MR. MULGREW: It would be
12	inappropriate for me to say your sign was on
13	my lawn, wouldn't it?
14	(Laughter.)
15	SENATOR SAVINO: I want you to speak,
16	though, about something that you briefly
17	touched on in your comments, but I don't
18	think you really explained well enough, and I
19	think that they're very important programs:
20	Community schools and the Positive Learning
21	Collaborative.
22	MR. MULGREW: We came to you six years
23	ago with the idea of Community Learning
24	Schools. I know everybody talks about it

1	now, but six years ago nobody was talking
2	about it. And we came to you, and the state
3	was the first group to actually fund
4	Community Learning Schools in New York City.
5	And I don't think that gets enough credit.
6	And we were able to, at that point in
7	time, put together a program. There is a lot
8	of different ways to do a Community Learning
9	School. And what we felt, especially to the
10	comments made correct comments by
11	Senator Marcellino, is I said what we see out
12	there right now requires huge amounts of
13	funding, so we have to teach schools how to
14	make a lot of these services
15	self-sustainable. We have to teach local
16	municipalities that you're funding a lot of
17	these services, and they're not being
18	utilized correctly. And we can utilize these
19	services in a much more efficient way if you
20	actually start to sit down and plan out with
21	us.
22	You know, it's important that a
23	hospital is connected to the clinic inside of
24	a school, because the hospital has a way to

1	bill for those services for children. It's
2	important that every area of this state has
3	all sorts of social service supports, but why
4	aren't they at the place where you know the
5	community is going to touch every day, which
6	is inside of the school? And if they are at
7	the school, how do you make sure they're
8	actually being utilized in the right way?
9	And then when you have a hospital who's
10	actually where we can tell them that we can
11	guarantee 80 percent of the children in the
12	school will be using your clinic, then we can
13	then go to them and say, We need you now to
14	pay for the after-school tutoring program,
15	which they're more than happy to do.
16	So we did all of that because it was

Just a smarter way, with the hope that we would see the academic achievement move. And community schools can benefit any socioeconomic group. I know it's spoken about mostly in terms of high-need areas, but it can benefit any socioeconomic group, because there's all sorts of services that every area and every school has that really

1 could be better utilized if it was brought in 2 in a much more integrated way into the school 3 itself.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

But in New York City we now have the 28 schools that we originally offered the program I just spoke about in New York City, and those schools have beaten all the odds. They are clearly performing in ways they had not done for generations. So I think it's a very smart way, and I think it -- and right now our resource coordinators -- that's the person we train to be in the school. And everybody's like, well, that costs us more money. Those resource coordinators are individually bringing in an average of over \$3 million of services to the school. So for the one position where we're doing the training, you get \$3 million in additional support inside of the school. You want to talk about smart government and how to make it work? That's where you go. And you also get a better result in terms of the other social services in your area, because now they're being utilized in a much better way.

1	We took that same approach to school
2	culture, and because it became such a hot
3	topic remember No Child Left Behind? I
4	remember testifying up here. Do you know how
5	you make sure your school is a safe school?
6	Don't report the incidents. Yeah, that made
7	a lot of sense. So we had schools who didn't
8	have any incidents, and they were safe
9	schools. But that was called No Child Left
10	Behind. So every school was basically told:
11	Don't do anything until you are forced to do
12	a suspension. By that time, the child is
13	really doing something egregious. And then
14	that led to just think that through
15	children were being suspended in ways they
16	should not have been, they weren't getting
17	the services they were supposed to be. And
18	now people are saying no suspensions,
19	restorative justice and I'm like, that's
20	not the answer either. You have to have an
21	approach where the school is actually being
22	trained on what is a welcoming environment.
23	So we put a lot of funding in terms
24	of these are all union dollars, just as it

1	was in the beginning, it was a combination
2	of union dues money and your money. We did
3	the same thing with the Positive Learning
4	Collaborative. We went in, we had people
5	trained at Cornell, they went in, and we have
6	to get one guarantee from the school: Every
7	single person is trained. If you're in
8	charge of the boiler, you are trained. If
9	you're the security guard at the front,
10	you're trained. And you walk into these
11	schools, and everyone understands it's their
12	responsibility to have a positive place, and
13	they case-conference and they really make
14	sure that things are done in a smart way.
15	I open those programs and schools up
16	to anyone in the Legislature who wants to see
17	them, because I believe they are shining
18	examples of smart, efficient ways to use
19	government and its funding and smart
20	approaches to actually make changes for
21	children.
22	SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you.
23	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you very
24	much.

1	MR. MULGREW: Thank you.
2	MR. PALLOTTA: Thank you.
3	MR. MULGREW: Happy Valentine's Day.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: I like those
5	heart-shaped buttons. Thank you very much.
6	I think we have the Big 5 now. We're
7	going to have all of them come down, right,
8	so that we can
9	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Yes.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Yeah. It's
11	Dr. Edwin Quezada, Dr. Kriner Cash,
12	Ms. Barbara Deane-Williams, Mr. Jaime Alicea
13	Rochester, Syracuse, Yonkers and Buffalo.
14	(Discussion off the record.)
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: As I said, I
16	don't know if you want to flip a coin, go
17	right to left, left to right, seniority
18	SUPERINTENDENT CASH: We're ready.
19	We're ready.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Okay, jump in.
21	SUPERINTENDENT CASH: Okay. Good
22	afternoon, everyone, and I appreciate you
23	taking your time at the end of a long day to
24	hear from your colleagues from the Big 5

1	school	districts.

My name is Kriner Cash, and I'm the

superintendent of the Buffalo public schools.

I'd like to frame my remarks in about six or

seven minutes, as we've been requested to

keep our remarks brief so we can all have a

shared time with you.

I'd like to thank the leaders and those of you who remain for being here to listen to us.

As you know, in Buffalo right now there is an exciting economic renaissance occurring, in the city and for the Western New York region. I'm also pleased to tell you that there is an exciting educational renaissance occurring in the City of Buffalo right now. And that is possible because we have a new vision, we have a new leadership. And that plan is focused on the new education bargain. The new education bargain has six important elements, and they align to what many of your colleagues have been talking about here today, including Commissioner Elia. It starts with a much more rigorous

1	early elementary education. It's focused
2	around strong community schools. We've
3	launched 13 of those in just the last year.
1	It focuses on new innovative high schools,
5	with new CTE programs aligned to emerging
6	industry in Western New York, like software
7	application design and cybersecurity, medical
3	and the allied health fields. Those are the
9	new CTE of the future.

It also has enriched and strong after-school programs, services for our neediest children and families, and a new relationship with our teachers -- very important.

You have before you a synthesis or a summary of the State of the State, so I won't go back through that. But what I can tell you is that the early results are encouraging. We have -- graduation rates are up 6, even 7 points now, we just found out yesterday, over just the last two years. And it's up to 64 percent; we're going to head to 70 percent over the next two years.

24 We have a strategy for every single

1	area of improvement in our work, both on the
2	academic side and on the operational side.
3	We're trying to improve all aspects of
4	operation in Buffalo public schools.
5	So let me summarize, then, for you
6	what the ask is. The ask is a \$65 million
7	ask overall over last year. It is a
8	\$45 million increase in the Foundation Aid
9	aligned to what the commissioner is saying,
10	an increase up to that \$2.1 billion
11	Foundation Aid amount that we'd like to see
12	the state get to. And of that share, we'd
13	like \$45 million. And the Governor has
14	already given us 24 well, \$20 million,
15	\$21 million at this point. So that would be
16	an additional \$25 million that we're asking
17	over and above the Governor's proposal.
18	And then, second, you heard
19	Commissioner Elia talk about the critical
20	investment need in the area of universal
21	pre-K, additional support for our English

for professional development for our teachers

22

23

language learners, support for college and

career pathways, and creating a special fund

1	and principals. We support that
2	wholeheartedly and enthusiastically. We
3	would like \$20 million for those critical
4	investments as our share of that state school
5	aid ask.
6	That's the summary, colleagues. I
7	don't want to go much further. I know that
8	18 minutes is the limit for adult education
9	attention span. You're well past that today.
10	So I thank you for your listening attention,
11	and I conclude my remarks. And I thank you
12	for hearing all of us, and share the needs
13	that I know my colleagues from the other
14	Big 5 school districts will tell you about
15	now. Thank you.
16	SUPERINTENDENT QUEZADA: Thank you,
17	and I will follow Dr. Cash.
18	So distinguished members of the Joint
19	Legislative Fiscal and Education Committee,
20	thank you for the opportunity to address you
21	on behalf of the Yonkers City School
22	District. I am Dr. Edwin Quezada,
23	superintendent of schools. The City of

Yonkers is an amalgamation of diverse

1	citizens from every continent and social
2	socioeconomic strata. It is in this city
3	where over 32,000 children and their families
4	strive to achieve the American dream. We,
5	the City of Yonkers in New York State, are
6	responsible to provide the intellectual,
7	social and emotional foundation for our
8	children to thrive as productive citizens in
9	our great country. It is a responsibility
10	that comes with many challenges.
11	Who are Yonkers students? They are

who are Yonkers students? They are over 32,000 urban students; over 26,000 pre-K to Grade 12 students in the district's 39 public schools, 427 students with disabilities in out-of-district placements, 696 students in the charter school, and over 4,600 children in City of Yonkers parochial and private schools. District enrollment is projected for continuous growth through 2021. Our students reside in Westchester County and deserve to have the same educational experiences and opportunities as their peers throughout the county. And their needs are decisively greater. Many Yonkers students

1	face extreme poverty and require academic,
2	social, and emotional supports. Seventy-nine
3	percent of our students are economically
4	disadvantaged. Seventeen percent are
5	students with disabilities. Twelve percent
6	are English language learners. Fifty-seven
7	percent are Hispanic, and 19 percent are
8	African-American or black.

Last year I came before this body and reported that the state of the Yonkers public schools is strong, and invited you to invest where success is becoming a reality for all children. I asked you to help us move towards compliance, and you did. Together we were able to remove five schools out of eight from the list of persistently struggling and struggling schools, achieve a commanding graduation rate, expand the Community School model, accept the My Brother's Keeper challenge, reduce out-of-school suspensions and the dropout rate, and we championed the Rebuild Yonkers Schools campaign.

Today, Yonkers is poised to becoming a model district for urban education. All of

1	the necessary elements for sustainable
2	solutions for student success are within
3	reach. The essential missing element is
4	recurrent revenues aligned to our pupil
5	demographics. We will continue to plead for
6	Foundation Aid with poverty measures that
7	accurately reflect the populations being
8	served.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Work with us to make Yonkers schools complete. The state, the city and the school district share the responsibility. Schools throughout New York State have full-time counselors, psychologists, librarians, social workers, music and art teachers, reading teachers and more. Unfortunately, this is not the case in Yonkers schools. Our 39 schools servicing over 26,000 students have only 25 psychologists, 13 social workers, 36 school counselors, eight librarians. How are we expected to support the needs of our students and their families?

Partner with Yonkers. Support our work as we create a model district for urban education. Your help is needed to make

1	Yonkers schools complete for the urban
2	students we serve. So I ask you, change the
3	Foundation Aid formula to allow for more
4	recurrent revenues. Increase funding to
5	address the needs of students with
6	disabilities and English language learners.
7	Increase the allocation for Career and
8	Technical Education. Ensure that full-day
9	pre-K is permanently funded for Yonkers
10	through recurrent revenues rather than
11	grants. Increase funding for professional
12	development. Increase funding for extended
13	day and after-school programs.
14	For Yonkers schools to attain
15	sustainable solutions for student success,
16	recurrent revenues must be the norm. Our
17	students require consistent, long-term
18	interventions, and this cannot be
19	accomplished with intermittent one-shot or
20	short multiyear grants.
21	Let me provide you a concrete example
22	Our proposed 2017-2018 budget, which include
23	only a status quo and required sustainable

expenses, presents a gap of \$38 million

1	dollars. To balance the budget, I must
2	identify \$38 million of savings. This is not
3	realistic, nor is it acceptable. Who gets
4	affected by this practice? Our students.
5	Once again, we are forced to eliminate
6	programs that work by cutting needed staff
7	and reducing services.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Finally, I want to say thank you to the State Legislature and Governor. Last year Mayor Spano, his administration, the trustees of the Board of Education, the entire community and I advocated for the Rebuild Yonkers Schools legislation. With your support, this bill -- submitted by our outstanding Yonkers delegation -- is now the law. Rebuilding Yonkers schools can no longer be a conversation, it must become a reality. Our students are learning in spaces that are not suitable for a 21st-century education. This is a travesty that must be corrected. Please consider providing Yonkers support with this work that is aligned to what has been provided to similar communities.

1	With limited funds, we have proven
2	that we are a community on the move, and our
3	magnificent students excel. Last week the
4	State Education Department released the 2016
5	graduation data. Yonkers' August graduation
6	rate went up by 4 percentage points,
7	graduating 82 percent of the Class of 2016.
8	That is higher than the state average of
9	81 percent. And our dropout rate is down to
10	4 percent, half of what it was last year and
11	2 percentage points lower than the statewide
12	average.
13	You can clearly understand why Yonkers
14	is determined to pursue sustainable solutions
15	for student success. Be a part of our
16	history, dream with us, and I can assure you
17	that success will be a reality for every
18	child in the City of Yonkers. Thank you.
19	SUPERINTENDENT DEANE-WILLIAMS: Thank
20	you. My name is Barbara Deane-Williams, and
21	I began serving as the superintendent of the
22	Rochester City Schools last August. As I'm
23	new to the group, I will share that I have
24	35 years of experience as a school and

district administrator, with 11 years as a
superintendent in rural and large districts,
including Greece, New York, and served most
recently as the senior deputy superintendent
for the Boston, Massachusetts, public
schools.

Since August, my team in Rochester is moving aggressively to address systemic issues that contribute to some of the lowest achievement levels in New York State. School chiefs have been redeployed to supervise principals, and they are now accountable to ensure that every student's academic behavior and attendance performance is assessed every five weeks, and that interventions are monitored for quality and results.

To support the new chiefs of schools, we have redeployed, as of one week ago,

40 district office educators on teams that will visit each and every Rochester classroom between now and the end of the semester.

These teams report on a progress tracker to our newly appointed deputy superintendent,

Dr. Kendra March, on specific services,

1	interventions, and training needed to improve
2	student performance, including building
3	strong early education programs, summer
4	learning, and Community School models. And
5	we're doing this specifically to get to the
6	root causes and to support our new budget
7	process, being led by our newly appointed
8	CFO, Dr. Everton Sewell. We are in the
9	process of conducting a line-by-line analysis
10	to build a budget that is based squarely on
11	the needs of our students and on achievement.
12	Our review of staff and student
13	schedule information, Semester 1, revealed a
14	very disturbing fact. Rochester simply does
15	not have the reading and math teachers in
16	place to provide adequate instruction for our

We also need to meet and expand CTE opportunities, and we need to focus squarely on ensuring equitable access to Advanced Placement and Early College opportunities for all of our youth.

students to meet grade-level expectations in

We need to increase the quantity of

reading and math.

teachers as well as improve the quality of
instruction, by funding and focusing squarely
on the professional learning of our school
principals and our teachers. We will fund
requirements and quality instruction first
and then prioritize the other services we
want our students and our schools to have.

Our team is implementing a new academic return-on-investment model so that we can see, using data, which programs and services are working well and which are not yielding the results that we would expect, given the resource allocations. We will redeploy and reinvest in the high-priority and high-return areas.

We intend to significantly lower costs for service contracts that don't benefit students. We will decrease our use of substitute teachers, reduce staff overtime, and seek efficiencies across the operation. By taking these measures, we expect that we can generate about \$15 million to reinvest ourselves in that which is most important.

However, that being said, the district

1	faces a remaining budget gap of \$58 million
2	for the 2017-2018 school year, and there are
3	several areas where the needs of Rochester
4	children cannot be met without additional
5	support from you. Most critical to our need
6	is to secure additional certified teachers,
7	especially in reading and math, so that we
8	can provide the intervention services needed
9	to reach grade-level proficiency under the
10	commissioner's Part 100 and Part 154
11	regulations.

To meet these and other instructional requirements, Rochester City Schools need a Foundation Aid increase to cover our shortfall, over the Governor's proposal.

This will enable the eight schools in our Receivership and Innovation Zone to maintain the resources the State Education Department has directed us to put in place in order to ensure that our student achievement improves immediately.

The Governor's proposal to unfreeze charter school tuition will result in an additional cost of \$14.3 million to our

1	district, which brings our total projected
2	charter school expense to \$87 million next
3	year.
4	Finally, we request \$2 million to
5	maintain our outstanding school nursing
6	services in Rochester schools at the current
7	level.
8	I thank you for allowing me the
9	opportunity to testify today. And in
LO	summary, we deeply appreciate the support of
11	public education and respectfully request a
12	total of \$58 million additional than the
13	Governor has proposed. And the rest of my
L 4	testimony is submitted for review in writing,
15	and I thank you.
16	SUPERINTENDENT ALICEA: Thank you very
17	much.
18	Good afternoon. My name is Jaime
19	Alicea. I am the interim superintendent of
20	the Syracuse City School District. Many
21	years back, I had the opportunity to sit back
22	there with the former superintendents that

came down here, to do the same thing that I'm

doing today, to talk about my district, to

23

1	talk about the kids that we have in the
2	Syracuse City School District, and not only
3	my district, but the needs that we have in
4	the Big 5 school districts in New York State
5	Together, we educate more than 50 percent of
6	the students in the state.

The Syracuse City School District
serves a student population of 21,000
students. We have this year over 1800
students in pre-K programs. And we have a
refugee population and ELL population of
3,500 students. Every day there is a new
kid, a new student coming to the Syracuse
City School District. Sixteen percent of our
students are English language learners, and
20 percent of our students are students that
need and receive special education services.

My colleagues here, we're all asking for money. What is Syracuse asking for?

Syracuse has a need for \$23 million that we're asking this year. After the Governor presented his proposal and after we put our budget together, we have a need that is over the amount of money allocated to us.

1	In Syracuse we want to continue to
2	provide academic intervention services to our
3	students. We want to continue to provide
4	personalized learning, so instead of
5	providing remediation, we want to enrich our
6	kids. We want to continue to provide extra
7	support for our English language learners.
8	We want to increase Career and

Technical Education. Five years ago we had six CTE programs in Syracuse; this past year we had 23 programs. And I believe that that's one of the reasons why the graduation rate in Syracuse went from 55 percent to 61 percent. It is not where it needs to be yet, but we're working hard to make sure that our students are walking across the stage.

And we're also asking for school-based health services. We want to make sure that we have the nurses, the staff that we need to provide the services to our students in the district.

So in Foundation Aid, we're asking for an extra \$12 million. We appreciate the gap elimination that you acted on. And we're

1 looking forward to continuing to provide the
2 support that is needed for our students.

In Syracuse we know that it snowed all over the state, but some of the streets are not safe. And I have a student population that is deemed very vocal and a parent population that is asking me to please reduce the walking distance for a 5-year-old -- instead of having to walk a mile and a half, to at least walk only a mile to school. So we're asking for \$4.6 million for us in order to provide transportation to all the students in kindergarten through 8th grade who are more than one mile from the school.

As I said before, we're increasing
Career and Technical Education. We have
expanded to include cybersecurity, computer
forensics, and the Governor talked about the
Central New York region being a drone
technology area. We did begin the
implementation of a drone technology program
at one of our high schools this past year.

We're also asking for school health services, \$1.2 million so we can continue to

1	provide a nurse in all our schools and
2	provide health attendants so we can assist
3	our students and their families in the
4	district.
5	So in closing, it's a total of
6	\$23.8 million. And I appreciate the support
7	that you have provided to Syracuse and not
8	only to Syracuse, to all the Big 5 school
9	districts.
10	SUPERINTENDENT KARAM: Good afternoon.
11	My name is Bruce Karam, superintendent, Utica
12	City School District. I am grateful for the
13	opportunity to speak to all of you at this
14	very important budget hearing.
15	I am here today to report on a
16	financial situation of the Utica City School
17	District and to respectfully request
18	additional funding as our school district
19	faces yet another significant budget deficit
20	for the 2017-2018 school year in the amount
21	of \$1.4 million.
22	Over the past five years, we have cut
23	approximately \$24 million in spending to
24	close annual multi-million-dollar budget

1	deficits ranging from this year's projected
2	deficit of \$1.4 million up to a budget
3	deficit of over \$10 million during the
4	2013-2014 school year.

The consequences of these spending cuts have been staggering and led to the layoffs of over 350 full-time teachers, administrators and support staff over the past five years. These reductions in personnel significantly drove up class sizes and student-to-support-staff ratios, making it much more difficult to meet the individual needs of our most at-risk students.

Once again, we will be forced to make personnel cuts in order to offset our projected deficit and balance our budget for the 2017-2018 school year unless we receive an appreciable amount of additional state aid funding.

One of the main reasons for next

year's budget deficit is the fact that our

district received a smaller increase in

Foundation Aid than we did last year. As you

know, Foundation Aid was specifically

1	designed years ago to provide much-needed
2	financial relief to high-need public school
3	districts such as ours.

We also have concerns about a proposal to eliminate the phase-in of Foundation Aid beginning next year and what that would mean for districts like Utica. The Utica City School District continues to be one of the poorest high-needs school districts in the State of New York. In fact, we were recently informed that our school district is now tied for the third-poorest school district in the state.

We are also unique in the fact that our school district continues to be one of only a few districts in New York State with a growing population of students. Over the past five years, our student enrollment has increased by 1500 students, or 14 percent.

Many of these new students are high-needs students, including refugee and immigrant students.

This year alone, we have enrolled over 450 new English language learners in the

1	district. English language learners now make
2	up nearly 20 percent of our total student
3	population. We are trying desperately to
4	meet their unique academic needs with small
5	class sizes and intensive instruction to help
6	them achieve academically along their path
7	towards graduation.

The additional enrollment of
high-needs students each year without
adequate aid to support them continues to put
a tremendous amount of pressure on our
district's finances. We would ask that the
state consider a separate funding stream for
districts like Utica who have a high
concentration of English language learners,
in order to account for the higher cost of
educating students with high academic needs.

Even in the face of the overwhelming challenges I have discussed, we are proud of the fact that our school district continues to make significant strides in student performance. We currently have no schools in receivership, with several of our schools upgraded to good standing during the last

1	school year. Our high school graduation rate
2	continues to climb each year and has
3	increased 7 percent over the past several
4	years.

We are currently working on expanding our Career and Technical Education offerings at our high school, which includes offering six articulated pathways that students can access and apply towards their graduation credential. Although we have worked very closely with our regional BOCES and students are bused there for CTE programming, we have the student interest and student population to further expand these programs in-house, especially for our ELL students and students with disabilities who would greatly benefit from these programs.

Allowing the Utica City School

District to expand our programs by providing the necessary funding stream to make these

Career and Technical Education Programs a reality will help support our existing programs.

While we are very proud of our

1	accomplishments, we believe that we could be
2	doing so much more to support the academic
3	and socio-emotional needs of our students.
4	We know this because we received the
5	additional financial resources from the state
6	after a district school was placed into
7	receivership a couple of years ago. We were
8	able to utilize these resources to quickly
9	turn the school around and remove it from
10	receivership. We could only imagine the
11	possibilities for success if we receive the
12	funding we need before a school begins to
13	show any signs of trouble.
14	With this in mind, we would ask that
15	the state consider additional funding so
16	districts like Utica can sustain the
17	improvements made by our schools whose
18	accountability designations have been

Finally, unless the Utica City School
District is fully funded and an equitable
distribution of aid is made a reality,
high-poverty, high-needs school districts
like Utica will never fully recover from the

upgraded.

1	endless cycle of massive budget deficits and
2	the devastating consequences that these
3	deficits have had on our ability to provide a
4	sound basic education to our children.
5	Once again, thank you for affording me
6	this opportunity to address this committee.
7	The Utica City School District is very
8	grateful for any financial support we are
9	able to receive as you work to finalize the
10	state budget.
11	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
12	much.
13	Assemblywoman Nolan.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Thank you very
15	much for all that you do. Very difficult,
16	challenging districts. I hope we have more
17	time to get together when we want to keep
18	the hearing going but I've had that
19	opportunity, so I know Shelley and others
20	have questions. But I just want to thank you
21	for being here and for waiting. It's always
22	a long day. So I hope to come up and get to
23	see you all again soon. Thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Senator?

1	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
2	I do have a couple of questions.
3	Mr. Karam, you talk about an influx or a
4	student population growth of 14 percent,
5	about 1500 students. And probably all of
6	your districts have had an increase in
7	student population, which actually isn't the
8	norm for upstate New York, where we've been
9	losing population over the past several
10	years.
11	Are most of the student growth numbers
12	related because you pointed out 20 percent
13	of your population is refugee or immigrant.
14	You know, would all of you agree, if you have
15	student growth in the population numbers, is
16	it mostly because of refugee or immigrant
17	students?
18	SUPERINTENDENT KARAM: Yes.
19	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay. I had asked
20	Commissioner Elia earlier today about Part
21	154 of the regulations that were created by
22	State Ed in 2014, which resulted in unfunded
23	mandates for the districts. Are you having
24	issues finding teachers that are dually

1	certified?
2	ALL PANELISTS: Yes. Yes, we are.
3	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Yes. So are you
4	having to double up and put two teachers in
5	one classroom in many cases?
6	SUPERINTENDENT KARAM: Sometimes, yes.
7	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Is that true? Does
8	somebody want to speak to that?
9	SUPERINTENDENT ALICEA: We are working
10	with the colleges in the area. We are
11	working closely with Syracuse University and
12	SUNY Oswego to get programs in ESL going in
13	our area. The big need that we have in
14	Syracuse is for the kids that we have to
15	provide bilingual education, and there are no
16	bilingual programs in the Syracuse area. So
17	we're working with Brockport and trying to
18	get programs in the Syracuse area.
19	One of the things that Syracuse is
20	going to be doing in September, we're going
21	to start our own career program in one of the
22	high schools to grow our own teachers. We
23	know that they are already in Syracuse, they

are going to our schools, so we're going to

1	get	our	own	grown	 our	own	teacher	program
2	in S	Septe	embeı	c.				

3 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.

SUPERINTENDENT QUEZADA: And I think it's important to note for all of us that a student that begins in our district as an English language learner, the state requires and the federal government requires that we continue to service that young person for another two years, and no additional services are provided. No additional funding, rather, are provided.

It's important to note that just because the youngster achieves success in the NYSED slot, that in no way, shape or form means that the child is ready to perform at a high level in the general education classroom.

So although our numbers are below
20 percent, I would say that for all of us
the number of English language learners, with
our long-term ELLs -- for which we do not
receive any additional funding either -- plus
those students that have recently been

1	removed from ESL, the percentage of English
2	language learners is much higher than the
3	identified number.
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So if you had to
5	make this up by taking money from other parts
6	of your programming as far as providing
7	double teachers, that sort of thing, how have
8	you dealt with that? Dr. Cash, you're
9	shaking your head.
10	SUPERINTENDENT CASH: I don't think
11	that would be a good solution for us from a
12	cost standpoint. It's a
13	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I'm just wondering
14	how you've dealt with it. Because this is an
15	unfunded mandate on the districts, right?
16	SUPERINTENDENT CASH: We haven't been
17	dealing effectively with it. This is an
18	issue where it's a mandate, but we don't have
19	the numbers of qualified teachers to assist
20	with the demand. So we've been having
21	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Right. So it's
22	just a shortage of teachers who
23	SUPERINTENDENT CASH: We push in
24	qualified teachers where we can, and when we

1	can. We don't I don't have enough. And
2	other colleagues can speak for themselves.
3	But we need a significant huddle around this
4	issue. And that's why we align our requests
5	with what the commissioner asked for earlier.
6	We're very much in alignment with her
7	request. She talks with us all the time, and
8	we concur that this is the need.
9	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Shelley?
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Thank you.
12	Thank you very much, all of you. And
13	it's good to see even in these challenges
14	your performances of your students has
15	continued to get better as we've tried to
16	push more money into these districts.
17	I just Dr. Quezada, you mentioned a
18	\$38 million shortfall basically for a
19	stay-even budget, and that just to
20	clarify, that is after the add that is in the
21	Governor's proposed budget?
22	SUPERINTENDENT QUEZADA: That is
23	correct, Assemblywoman.
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: So if you were

1	to just maintain the status quo and you have
2	this \$38 million shortfall, if you were to be
3	able to fill that shortfall, what are the
4	services that you could actually add? For
5	example, I don't know, can you add JV sports,
6	can you add art and music in every school,
7	can you add guidance counselors? Could you
8	just describe what you could do if you just
9	met this \$38 million shortfall?
10	SUPERINTENDENT QUEZADA: Of course.
11	And, Assemblywoman Meyer, thank you for your
12	relentless commitment to supporting the
13	Yonkers public schools and the Big Five. You
14	are a champion in this work, so thank you so
15	very much.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Thank you.
17	SUPERINTENDENT QUEZADA: So
18	absolutely, \$38 million, it will not give me
19	everything I need in my schools. As you well
20	know, I firmly believe that every school
21	should have a psychologist, social worker,
22	art teacher, music teacher, and so on and so
23	forth. However, the \$38 million will
24	guarantee that I will have at least 10

1	additional psychologists, 10 additional
2	social workers, bring back JV sports,
3	increase the number of guidance counselors in
4	our schools, which are essential, and perhaps
5	provide some extended learning for our
6	students as well.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Okay, thank you.
8	And lastly, on this issue I've raised
9	before about the persistently struggling, we
10	have Roosevelt High School in our district
11	that was on the list, came off the list, and
12	now has an 86 percent graduation rate, which
13	is a great accomplishment. How much money is
14	owed to Roosevelt High School under the
15	statutory scheme that we adopted?
16	SUPERINTENDENT QUEZADA: So the
17	immediate answer is \$1.8 million that was
18	guaranteed to us two years ago, and in two
19	years we transformed the high school. It's a
20	high school that is a model high school in
21	New York State. And it was because there was
22	additional funding and additional support.
23	However, that those dollars were
24	taken away. And that is just incredible that

1	that actually took place. So we need to
2	bring those dollars back.
3	And similarly, as you well know, we
4	have \$75 million out there that was
5	appropriated last year for our persistently
6	struggling and struggling schools. I don't
7	know where those dollars are. I'm still
8	waiting for them. I'm supposed to create
9	three community schools, and we are ready to
10	do that. However, our districts have not
11	received those fundings yet.
12	So we would very much appreciate if we
13	figure out where are those \$75 million. And
14	Yonkers desperately needs its share, as we
15	all do.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Thank you very
17	much. Thank you, Dr. Quezada.
18	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
19	Senator Marcellino.
20	SENATOR MARCELLINO: Thank you for
21	coming. I appreciate your efforts, and I
22	appreciate the work you do.

23

24

I was looking at some numbers of the

ELL students that went into your districts.

1	You seem to have gotten a fairly high
2	percentage. What is the percentage of ELL
3	students in your districts?
4	SUPERINTENDENT CASH: I'm sure it
5	varies district to district. For us, we have
6	between 5,000 and 6,000 students, so that
7	particular population the overall
8	population of 32,000 has remained fairly flat
9	for 10 years, but the ELL population within
10	that population has grown steadily and
11	significantly.
12	It's the percentage issue overall
13	is less the issue for us than in certain
14	schools and in certain communities. We have
15	some schools with as high as 57 percent ELL
16	students, for example. And that is just a
17	school that requires
18	SENATOR MARCELLINO: Are they all on
19	level, or do they need remedial work?
20	SUPERINTENDENT CASH: I'm sorry?
21	SENATOR MARCELLINO: Are they on level
22	or are they
23	SUPERINTENDENT CASH: No.
24	SENATOR MARCELLINO: As I suspect,

SUPERINTENDENT OUEZADA: For us in

1 they need remedial wo	rk.
-------------------------	-----

2 SUPERINTENDENT CASH: These would be 3 priority schools, schools that need 4 significant improvement and support.

Yonkers, the identified student is

12 percent. However, I spoke about the

long-term ELLs, and I also spoke about those

students that were recently declassified as

English language learners. And there's

another population that we are all extremely

concerned, and that is those young people

that are English language learners and are

also students with disabilities. And that

requires a special approach, and funding is

desperately needed to ensure that we are

addressing the needs of those young people.

SUPERINTENDENT ALICEA: For Syracuse, as I said before, it's almost 16 percent of our students, with 3,500. We're getting a lot of students that are coming to school with interrupted instruction or that they have no previous schooling before, so we have to provide services to those students also.

1	SUPERINTENDENT DEANE-WILLIAMS:
2	Rochester is about 14 percent. We're seeing
3	increases as well with the same kinds of
4	challenges that are being seen by my peers
5	across the state.
6	SUPERINTENDENT CASH: The short
7	answer, sir, is 20 percent.
8	SENATOR MARCELLINO: What percentage
9	of your teachers are fully certified in
10	license, in subject area?
11	SUPERINTENDENT ALICEA: In all areas?
12	SENATOR MARCELLINO: The district, the
13	whole district.
14	SUPERINTENDENT ALICEA: The whole
15	district? In Syracuse it's about 96 percent
16	of our teachers are certified.
17	SENATOR MARCELLINO: Certified and
18	licensed in the subject areas they teach?
19	SUPERINTENDENT CASH: I don't think he
20	heard the question.
21	SENATOR MARCELLINO: When I taught in
22	the city schools
23	SUPERINTENDENT CASH: If I heard the
24	question, it's what percentage of our

1	teachers are fully certified to teach in the
2	subject they teach.
3	SENATOR MARCELLINO: Yeah. My next
4	question is going to be are you fully
5	staffed.
6	SUPERINTENDENT CASH: No.
7	SUPERINTENDENT ALICEA: No.
8	SUPERINTENDENT QUEZADA: No.
9	SUPERINTENDENT CASH: But close to a
10	hundred percent fully certified.
11	SENATOR MARCELLINO: Of the teachers
12	that are teaching, they're fully certified.
13	But of the so nobody is teaching out of
14	license, is what you're saying?
15	SUPERINTENDENT CASH: Yes.
16	SENATOR MARCELLINO: Or 90-some
17	percent are teaching in-license.
18	But are you do you have a full
19	complement of teachers?
20	SUPERINTENDENT CASH: We have
21	shortages.
22	SENATOR MARCELLINO: Because I was
23	going to say, when I taught in the city many

years ago, we had a good 10,000 teachers

1	teaching out of license, they just you
2	couldn't get them at that point in time.
3	There was no way. So we were forced to take
4	people in and plug them into classrooms.
5	Thank you very much. Appreciate the
6	work you do.
7	SUPERINTENDENT CASH: Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Further questions?
9	Thank you all very much.
10	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you for all
11	you do.
12	SUPERINTENDENT CASH: Thank you.
13	appreciate your time.
14	SUPERINTENDENT ALICEA: Thank you.
15	SUPERINTENDENT DEANE-WILLIAMS: Thank
16	you.
17	SENATOR MARCELLINO: Safe home.
18	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Alliance for
19	Quality Education, Jasmine Griper,
20	legislative director. "Gripper" I think is
21	better.
22	(Laughter.)
23	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Good afternoon

MS. GRIPPER: Good afternoon.

1	Chairwoman Nolan and Chairwoman Young, thank
2	you, Chairmen Farrell and Marcellino, thank
3	you for giving me the opportunity to testify
4	on behalf of the Alliance for Quality
5	Education regarding the 2017-'18 Executive
6	Budget proposal. My name is Jasmine Gripper
7	I am the legislative director for the
8	Alliance for Quality Education.
9	The Executive proposal is grossly
10	inadequate. The Governor proposes to erase
11	the state's commitment to fully funding its
12	constitutional obligation to a sound basic
13	education by eliminating the Foundation Aid
14	formula starting in the 2018-'19 school year
15	This proposal would only perpetuate
16	systematic racist policies of leaving schools
17	that educate black and brown students
18	woefully underresourced and underfunded.
19	Fifty-eight percent of the unpaid
20	Foundation Aid is owed to black and brown
21	students.
22	In these uncertain times of Donald
23	Trump and Betsy DeVos, they pose a clear and
24	present threat to our public schools in New

1	York State. However, Governor Cuomo's budget
2	proposal actually proposes the greatest harm
3	to our schools. He is proposing to repeal
4	the Foundation Aid formula. The vast
5	majority of this funding is owed to schools
6	with high concentrations of economically
7	disadvantaged students and students with
8	disabilities and black and brown students.
9	Governor Cuomo's proposal to repeal the
10	\$4.3 billion in Foundation Aid commitments
11	exceeds the total of all federal funding to
12	New York schools by \$1.8 billion.
13	Any repeal of the \$4.3 billion in
14	Foundation Aid is entirely unacceptable and
15	would do irreparable damage to the education
16	of New York's 3 million students.
17	This is not an upstate versus
18	downstate, large city versus small city, or
19	rural versus suburban issue. For instance,
20	Jamestown schools are owed \$10.3 million in
21	Foundation Aid; Rochester is owed
22	\$93 million; Utica is owed \$47 million in
23	Foundation Aid; Brentwood is owed
24	\$138 million; Ossining is owed \$16 million;

New	York	City	is	owed	\$1.9	billion.
-----	------	------	----	------	-------	----------

High-need rural schools are owed \$191 million
in Foundation Aid. This is a representative
sampling of students in schools throughout
the state that would be deprived of
educational opportunities they deserve if the
Foundation Aid formula is repealed as

proposed by Governor Cuomo.

Our recommendation is that you deliver a resounding rejection of the Executive proposal to repeal Foundation Aid and instead add an adequate amount of funding in order to get back on track with CFE with a two-year phase-in of the Foundation Aid formula.

For pre-kindergarten outside of

New York City, the overwhelming majority of

4-year-olds, 81 percent are denied access to

full-day pre-K still. In addition, there are
seven different pre-K programs with different
requirements and different standards, with
expiration dates. We recommend that the
enacted budget begins the process of
consolidating these different programs and
increase access by allocating \$150 million.

1	We also welcome the proposal to consolidate
2	the Priority Pre-K and the Universal Pre-K
3	Program.

For the Community Schools, Community

Schools are a proven-to-work strategy. The

Executive proposal does not renew the

\$75 million grant. The state had made the

investment in last year's budget, which was

smart and cost-effective. It would be unwise

and fiscally irresponsible to not continue to

expand access and funding to Community

Schools.

We also want to support solutions and not suspensions, so we support including \$50 million to support safe and healthy schools for New York students through competitive grants to develop or expand school models that reduce the reliance on suspensions and expulsions and school-based arrests and reduce disparities in school discipline and provide a safe and supportive school climate for students.

Thank you for your time and your consideration. Please read my full testimony

1	for more details on these recommendations,
2	and I'm happy to take comments or questions.
3	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
4	Any questions?
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: So how bad would
6	it be if we didn't have Foundation Aid?
7	MS. GRIPPER: If we didn't have
8	Foundation aid, we would lose the
9	predictability in school funding formulas
10	that schools depend on. For our high-needs
11	school districts, who are already dealing
12	with overcrowded classrooms, who don't have
13	access to JV sports, who don't have access to
14	music and art, those students would not get
15	access to the educational opportunities they
16	deserve.
L7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: I asked some of
18	the earlier witnesses if they thought that
19	the article in the Daily News that said CFE
20	was a one-off even though Michael Rebell I
21	thought answered that how would you
22	respond to that, that CFE is finished or it
23	was a one-off or doesn't need to be
24	addressed?

1	MS. GRIPPER: It still needs to be
2	addressed. CFE was the constitutional
3	obligation of the state Governor and the
4	Legislature. The Foundation Aid was enacted
5	in order to satisfy that court case.
6	We have not met the needs of students.
7	When you look at schools around New York
8	State, as you hear from people today, all the
9	things that are missing in our schools, we
10	are still not meeting with the constitutional
11	obligation of providing students with a
12	quality education.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Thank you.
14	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
15	Senator?
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: We're all set.
17	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
18	much.
19	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
20	MS. GRIPPER: Thank you for your time.
21	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Oh, I'm sorry,
22	Assemblyman Lopez. I keep forgetting.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN LOPEZ: Thank you,
24	Chairman. I'll make it brief.

1	Jasmine, thank you for joining us.
2	So again, I know that we are in step
3	with the issue of high need, low wealth. I
4	understand that is part of your mission. I'm
5	also looking at outlying schools in rural
6	areas. I guess my question for you, in your
7	organization's opinion, does Foundation Aid,
8	if fully funded, does it still get at the
9	desperate divergence in our schools, the lack
10	of opportunity, the lack of Advanced
11	Placement, all these other burdens with
12	poverty, special needs does Foundation Aid
13	alone do it, or do we need to come back and
L 4	reconsider the weights for supplemental
15	funding for poverty, for English language,
16	for special ed?
17	Do we need to change the weights, or
18	do we need to look at some supplemental
19	funding to offset and make all schools equal,
20	give every student an equal chance?
21	MS. GRIPPER: So the biggest problem
22	with the Foundation Aid formula is it's not
23	being funded. It was intended to go with at

least a billion dollars going through

1	Foundation Aid each year, which the
2	Legislature has not done in several years,
3	since the two years that they started to
4	fulfill the case.

We do agree that there could be some tweaks and modifications made to the Foundation Aid formula in order to increase weighting for English language learners, there's one example where the weighting could be increased. And there are other modifications that we can consider. But by no means can we repeal the Foundation Aid formula. It is the fairest formula we have on the books. And the biggest problem is not using it and putting enough dollars into the funding formula.

ASSEMBLYMAN LOPEZ: So just to finish my line of questioning, so recognizing our collective challenge of providing full funding -- absent that, my observation is we have schools that may be overfunded and schools that may be underfunded. And so my premise is, are there things we should and could be doing now, absent being fully

1	funded, that can provide meaningful relief to
2	high-need, low-wealth schools?
3	MS GRIPPER. I mean the way to

MS. GRIPPER: I mean, the way to provide adequate relief to high-need low-wealth schools is to put more funding into those schools. And simply the proposal before us is completely inadequate, and we need a significant increase. The Board of Regents is recommending \$2.1 billion, and that's a step in the right direction.

Anything below that is leaving our kids left

Anything below that is leaving our kids left in the dust.

We by no means are supporting an effort to take from wealthy districts to give to low-income districts. The reality is

New York has some of the best schools in the country, but they're only located in certain zip codes. What we want to make sure is that all kids, no matter what zip code they live in, have access to a quality education. And the only way we can do that is if the state increases its responsibility to these schools and provides more funding.

ASSEMBLYMAN LOPEZ: Thank you,

1	oasmine. mank you, chairman.
2	MS. GRIPPER: Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
4	much.
5	Next, New York State Council of School
6	Superintendents, Robert Lowry, deputy
7	director.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Jasmine, keep
9	fighting.
10	MR. LOWRY: Chairwoman Young, Chairman
11	Farrell, other members of the Assembly and
12	Senate, thank you very much for this
13	opportunity, and thank you for your past
14	efforts to support our schools, ending the
15	GEA and preserving Foundation Aid. Your
16	efforts have made a difference.
17	We also appreciate the uncertainties
18	that you'll face and the Governor has faced
19	in trying to put together a budget, given
20	what's happened with state revenues and the
21	prospects of perhaps drastic change at the
22	federal level.
23	Our members are accustomed to dealing

with financial uncertainties as well, and in

1	our exchanges with them we detect as much
2	concern about the long term as about the year
3	immediately ahead.
4	Last November, the Educational
5	Conference Board identified a need for a
6	\$1.5 billion increase in school aid, given a
7	set of moderate assumptions about school
8	costs not a wish list, but assumptions
9	based on independent sources. The Governor's
10	budget would increase school aid by
11	\$961 million, but \$150 million of that would
12	be unallocated in a fiscal stabilization
13	fund, another \$100 million or so would be
14	devoted to categorical initiatives. That
15	leaves about \$718 million right now that
16	districts can identify as available to help
17	them meet this \$1.5 billion need that we
18	see about half that total.
19	We support a number of the
20	programmatic initiatives: Expanding
21	Community Schools, expanding pre-K, providing
22	opportunities to better connect high school
23	and what comes next, whether it's P-TECH or
24	AP fee waivers. We hope that that would also

1	be joined by	increasing	BOCES an	nd special
2	services aid	to support	Career a	and Technical
3	Education			

We're troubled by the proposal to unfreeze charter school tuition and to implement transition aid on a year lag. That would create a significant hit on school district budgets that they'd have to absorb within their tax cap.

A word that we hear over and over again in our exchanges with superintendents is sustainability. We've done surveys each of the last six years about financial questions; we've seen a change in the last couple, more superintendents saying their district's financial position has improved, but still there's a lot of pessimism and those gains are fragile.

We see a need for a four-part agenda to create a more sustainable future. It begins with continuing and updating the Foundation Aid formula. And on that score, I'd say the Governor's proposal is a doubly disappointing retreat. First, because the

1	2007 formula was a significant
2	accomplishment. It generally directed the
3	greatest aid per pupil to the neediest
4	districts, and it still does. It promised
5	all districts greater predictability in aid
6	going forward, and it also used elements that
7	have some basis in facts that makes state
3	funding decisions more transparent and more
9	accountable

But leaving aside questions about what the state owes as a result of the CFE decision, having a formula like Foundation Aid that creates some predictability is a cornerstone in building a more sustainable system. Some other parts to that would be adjustments in the tax cap. It's advertised as a 2 percent tax cap, but it hasn't been for the last path four years. Making the starting point for the tax cap 2 percent, not the lesser of 2 percent or inflation, and couple that with a carryover provision that gives districts an incentive to hold their levy increases below that if they can manage it.

1	we also see a need to help school
2	districts control costs, and my written
3	testimony includes some ideas for that.
4	Frankly, I don't have a lot of optimism that
5	we'll see significant action on mandate
6	relief or change in the tax cap. So part of
7	our message is if the state is not going to
8	change these rules, then it has to fund the
9	rules by continuing to provide strong
10	increases in state aid for example, as the
11	Foundation formula would provide.
12	Finally, we would say give school
13	districts access to reserves like local
14	governments have. We read the Comptroller's
15	audits finding that some schools have more
16	money in reserve than the law allows, but
17	frankly not all the laws make sense. And the
18	Comptroller's own report in 2010, on the
19	first five years of school district audits,
20	recommended some additional ways to allow
21	districts to put money into reserve.
22	Our priority would be a reserve for
23	Teachers Retirement System obligations.
24	Local governments can essentially set aside

Ţ	runds for all their pension obligations;
2	school districts can only do it for the
3	20 percent who are in the Employees
4	Retirement System, not the 80 percent who are
5	in the Teachers Retirement System.
6	Finally, I'd conclude by urging you to
7	reject the proposal to give the Governor's
8	budget director unilateral authority to
9	impose reductions and amounts available to be
10	spent in the event of a shortfall in
11	receipts. The potential impact of drastic
12	federal changes is something that we should
13	all be concerned about, but you and your
14	colleagues should have a hand in making the
15	decisions of how to deal with that
16	eventuality if it comes.
17	And finally, we would hope that you
18	would make every effort to avoid midyear cuts
19	in state aid to schools if budget reductions
20	become necessary.
21	So thank you, and I'd be happy to try
22	and answer any questions.
23	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Questions?
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Just quickly.

T	rou know, we so appreciate, Bob, your
2	expertise. You know that. I rely on you, as
3	many of us do in the Legislature, to make the
4	dry language of these things clear and
5	understandable.
6	If we were to ever obviously I
7	don't want to ever see us do this. But what
8	would be some of the consequences do you
9	think if we were to move away from a
10	Foundation Aid formula that's fair, based on
11	high-needs kids? What would be some of the
12	consequences of that?
13	MR. LOWRY: Well, I think, you know
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: You and I were
15	both around for the old system, let's just
16	say that, so
17	MR. LOWRY: You know, first of all,
18	again, I really think it was a significant
19	accomplishment for all the reasons that I
20	elaborated. And it would be a shame to lose
21	that.
22	And again, part of what we hear from
23	superintendents is a concern about
24	sustainability over the long haul, having

1	predictability. Most other states have and
2	formulas that continue from year to year, and
3	we used to have that in New York State, so
4	losing that predictability. But with the tax
5	cap as tight as it is, and with the
6	consequence that if you don't get your budget
7	approved by the voters you can't increase
8	your tax levy at all, that makes schools even
9	more dependent on state aid. And that's one
10	of the attractions of the Foundation formula.
11	Yes, it would generate large increases, but
12	we would need large increases given the
13	restrictions of the tax cap and some of the
14	cost pressures that schools face.
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
17	Senator?
18	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: We're all set,
19	thank you. Thanks, Bob.
20	SENATOR MARCELLINO: No, we're not.
21	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Oh, I'm sorry.
22	Senator Marcellino.
23	SENATOR MARCELLINO: Just one. Just
24	one.

1	Thanks for coming. Good thing that
2	you're awake at this hour. I'm barely.
3	We have a very high tax state, we have
4	a population decline statewide, upstate much
5	worse than downstate, but it's there. I
6	agree with you the property tax cap number
7	should never be negative, and we have to look
8	at that and make sure that that's fixed.
9	But we are in a position where people
10	can't stay in their homes. They can't afford
11	to stay in their homes. Average citizens,
12	senior citizens on a retirement basis can't
13	live here, so they have to leave. Not
L 4	necessarily because they want to, they just
15	have to. So I'm not too sure that that's
16	what we want to do to people, force them out.
L7	I don't think you need to do that or you want
18	to do that.
19	But give us some thoughts. How can we
20	keep them here?
21	MR. LOWRY: You know, when the tax cap
22	was being debated, we said our position was
23	so simple it could be expressed in 10 words.

Tax caps will hurt our schools, there are

1	better	options.

2 The better options, the state needs to 3 be a reliable partner in funding schools. 4 The Foundation Aid is an attempt to do that.

Second, provide a circuit breaker that can target the greatest help to the people who are most stressed by your taxes.

Finally, help schools with costs. And you know, some of the ideas that would make a meaningful difference just simply aren't on the table right now, like amending the Triborough Law, limiting step increases after an expired contract. My testimony identifies some other steps that could be taken.

Health insurance, in my written

testimony I have a lot of comments from

superintendents, and a lot of them concern

the soaring cost of health insurance for

them. Let's receive a joint committee of

labor and management to identify strategies,

put both sides at the table, identify ways

that we could perhaps save money on health

insurance, reduce costs for both school

districts and their employees and retirees.

1	There are other measures that we've
2	talked about in the past you know, greater
3	reliance on shared services, regional high
4	schools in places where they're just
5	frankly in that case it's more running out
6	of kids to be able to support a comprehensive
7	education. I'd be happy to give you more
8	ideas. But again, I think the fact of the
9	matter is 75 percent of school spending is in
10	personnel; it's hard to save significant sums
11	without looking at different changes in that
12	area.
13	SENATOR MARCELLINO: Thank you.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
16	Further? Thank you very much.
17	Next, Council of School Supervisors
18	and Administrators, CSA, Mark Cannizzaro,
19	executive vice president.
20	MR. CANNIZZARO: Good afternoon,
21	everyone, and Happy Valentine's Day.
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: The same to you.
23	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Yes, thank you.
24	MR. CANNIZZARO: Thank you. Thank

you.

Assemblymember Farrell, Senator Young,

Senator Marcellino and Assemblymember Nolan,

thank you for this opportunity to present

testimony today.

I would also just like to comment -- I know they're no longer here, but it was so nice to see so many members of the Board of Regents here this morning showing a real collaborative effort. And from listening to what I've heard transpire the last several hours, I really do see such a willingness and a want to try to fully fund our schools for the children of New York State. So thank you for that commitment, it is great to see and hear.

My name is Mark Cannizzaro. I'm the executive vice president of the Council of School Supervisors and Administrators. CSA is a labor organization. We represent 6100 active and 10,000 retired principals, assistant principals, educational administrators and other supervisors working in the New York city public schools. We do

1	represent five charter schools, and we're
2	also the collective bargaining unit for 200
3	Early Childhood directors and assistant
4	directors who work in New York City-
5	subsidized Early Childhood Education Centers.
6	Most of my colleagues this morning
7	have touched on the most important parts of
8	my testimony today, number one being
9	Foundation Aid. We understand that there is
10	an increase on the table; it is certainly not
11	an adequate increase. At this time, New York
12	is owed, according to this formula,
13	\$4.3 billion had the phase-in been fully
14	implemented already.
15	We worked on the GEA elimination last
16	year, and we ask you to turn your attention
17	to doing the same with Foundation Aid this
18	year, fully fund our schools within the next
19	three years, and reject the Executive Budget
20	proposal to repeal the Foundation Aid
21	formula. We understand that difficult
22	decisions need to be made. We are certainly
23	not experts in the entire New York State

budget in order to make those decisions, but

1	we do know that the costs will be greater if
2	we do not fully fund the education of our
3	children in New York State.

The only other piece I would like to speak to you about this afternoon is school leader professional development, an area that nationally is sorely lacking from the educational conversations. Recently the federal government has said that 3 percent of funding, Title III funding, could be put aside for professional development for school leaders. We think this is a wonderful idea and certainly a necessary one.

The role and work of our instructional leaders has become even more crucial in recent years as policymakers have focused on supporting student achievement and eliminating college and career readiness gaps among our youth. To ensure that school leaders successfully manage and meet the ongoing challenges as well as succeed as instructional leaders, New York State must provide our principals and assistant principals with access to ongoing

1	professional development. The research-based
2	literature related to effective schools and
3	student achievement has long acknowledged the
4	critical role of principals in providing
5	school leadership that will shape a highly
6	human organization into a cohesive and
7	collaborative community of learners.

A number of years ago, CSA
established, for those very reasons, the
Executive Leadership Institute. It is a
nonprofit organization designed to deliver
practical, relevant and essential
professional development for today's school
leaders. ELI provides standards-based,
results-driven leadership training to help
school leaders successfully fulfill their
responsibilities as instructional leaders.
We need to invest in more of this kind of
high-level training and support. ELI's
programs give school leaders the tools they
need to create true learning communities.

It should be noted that ELI is also a registered provider for CTLE instruction or professional development through the state's

1	new professional development mandates, one of
2	the few already registered in New York State.
3	It should also be mentioned that as
4	challenges come, ELI is at the ready. With
5	changes to English language learners
6	regulations this year, ELI quickly put
7	together some quality professional
8	development and has been working with school
9	leaders throughout New York City and New York
10	State.
11	The Dignity for All Students Act, ELI
12	is a provider and has already done seven or
13	eight workshops with several hundred folks
L 4	attending so that they can be certified in
15	the DASA training.
16	We have met the early requirements of
17	ESSA, and we look forward to continuing, as
18	ESSA fully rolls out, to support our leaders
19	with that.
20	We also have to acknowledge and
21	provide our thanks and let you know how
22	grateful we are that this body has approved

\$475,000 in appropriation for ELI in the

past, with many of your strong support. We

23

Τ	need these professional development services
2	to continue not only in New York City but
3	throughout this state.
4	We have been working with other
5	professional organizations to provide this
6	professional development. We've worked in
7	Long Island as well as upstate New York.
8	With your help and support, ELI's programs
9	can grow, providing standards-based,
10	high-quality and results-driven leadership
11	training to help school administrators in
12	New York City and New York State.
13	We ask for your continued support in
14	that very important work. And we certainly,
15	as we do every year, invite you to come to
16	see some of our trainings. The summertime is
17	probably the best time. We'd love to have
18	you down as we do summer workshops for a
19	couple of weeks in July.
20	I appreciate the time given. If
21	anyone has any questions for me, I would be
22	happy to entertain them now.
23	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Yes. Questions?
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Just principals

1	are always very concise. It was terrific
2	testimony, thank you.
3	MR. CANNIZZARO: Oh, thank you.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: School leaders.
5	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Senator?
6	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: We're all set.
7	Thank you very much.
8	MR. CANNIZZARO: Okay, thank you very
9	much.
10	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Cynthia Gallagher,
11	director, government relations, School
12	Administrators Association of New York State,
13	SAANYS.
14	MS. GALLAGHER: Honorable members of
15	the Senate Finance and Assembly Ways and
16	Means Committees, thank you and good
17	afternoon. I appreciate this opportunity to
18	provide testimony on the Executive Budget for
19	'17-'18.
20	I am Cynthia Gallagher. I'm the
21	director of government relations for the
22	School Administrators Association of New York
23	State. We are the largest professional
24	association of school leaders, with a

1	membership of over 7,000 members. And on
2	behalf of those principals, assistant
3	principals, directors, deans, supervisors,
4	and lots of others titles, we thank you very
5	much for your energy, for your commitment,
6	and for your ongoing support of public
7	education.
8	I'd like to present this testimony
9	through the eyes of the school leader. Their
10	perspective is as unique as there are roles
11	in school districts. Every day it is a race

through the eyes of the school leader. Their perspective is as unique as there are roles in school districts. Every day it is a race to meet the needs of students, parents, teachers, support staff, community leaders, parents, board members, and superintendents. And while being responsive, they are still responsible for the core missions of schools, which is the education and assessment programs, safety, environment, comprehensive reporting, and achievement and improvement as well as 100 -- probably more than 100 -- reporting requirements from all of us.

And their jobs take place in a very dynamic and sometimes volatile environment.

So what would a school leader expect out of a

1	state	budg	get tha	t would	d provide	education
2	funds	for	them?	Three	things:	Stability,
3	sustai	inabi	llity,	and sup	oport.	

In sustainability, we are requesting just two things, and you've heard them before today. A Foundation Aid that is predictable. Help us predict at least part of a position that most times is highly unpredictable. We ask that the Foundation Aid formula be set again and phased in, as have my colleagues in their former testimony -- as well, the property tax to be either the higher of 2 percent of the CPI or at fixed amounts so that we can also predict that piece of state aid.

Sustainability. Governor Cuomo recently stated, and we applaud his statement, that New York State would continue to be a welcoming and open state. That affirmation starts with a public education that meets the needs of every student that arrives at our front door. A Foundation Aid that will support those students is the first line of our responsibility. So we, like our

1	colleag	gues,	support	an	incre	ease	over	last
2	year's	State	Budget	of	\$2.1	bill	Lion.	

We have four priority areas for that funding -- certainly you've heard it again.

Students with English language needs as well as multi-language needs, we suggest starting the phase-in formula again, as well as perhaps an upward-weighting of ELL and MLL students to meet their needs going forward.

We certainly would look for use of that money for Career and Technical programs. We know of their success, you've heard that this morning. In terms of students who participate in schools with CTE programs, the graduation rate for those students tends to be about 93 percent as compared to an applaudable 79 percent, which is an increase of students in schools without the CTE programs. So we would love to see that continue, and certainly CTE will be a priority for our association.

Lastly, universal pre-K. We applaud the \$5 million increase and would like to submit that that would be just a start for

1	this year, as well as we applaud the merging
2	of the seven funds. But at that rate, the
3	fundings will be merged together by 2021.
4	For those of you who have been around, as I
5	have been, in pre-K, we know that that
6	program started in 1967. Those first pre-K
7	students will be ready for retirement by the
8	time the seven funding areas are merged. So
9	we would ask for a quickened timeframe for
10	those programs to be combined into one. Our
11	school districts and our principals and our
12	administrators certainly need it.
13	The last area that we would ask out of
14	a budget as a school leader is to be
15	supportive. And we, like my former
16	colleague, would request that there be money
17	put in for our school leaders for
18	professional development. It certainly has
19	been an area that has been lacking in prior
20	years, and we would be very supportive of the
21	State Education Department's request for
22	\$30 million to support the professional
23	development of our school leaders.
24	So if you like the succinct nature of

Τ	school leaders, I hope that this would
2	suffice for that kind of brevity, but with
3	the idea that we do ask three things:
4	Stability, sustainability, and support.
5	So thank you for this opportunity
6	today, and we thank you for your support in
7	the ongoing years.
8	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
9	Questions?
10	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you very much
11	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you.
12	MS. GALLAGHER: You're welcome.
13	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Dr. Bernadette
14	Kappen, executive director and chair, 4201
15	School Associations.
16	DR. KAPPEN: Good afternoon. Thank
17	you so much for having us here today.
18	As the chair of the 4201 Schools
19	Association, I represent nine schools that
20	are private schools, state-supported, that
21	are serving children that are deaf, blind,
22	and children with severe physical
23	disabilities throughout New York State. Ever
24	though our schools are located maybe in a

1	particular city, we serve a wide range of
2	students, not just in that particular area
3	that we serve our children.

I want to express our appreciation for the opportunity to talk with you today and for information that we received in the Executive Budget.

To begin, I'd like to thank the legislators. They've been our true supporters, each and every year, for the students. We appreciate the 2.4 percent increase that was provided in last year's budget, and this increase resulted in a \$2.3 million increase for our schools. And this was the first time in six years, the past six years, that we received an increase. And this was really welcomed. It probably brought us from 2008 up to what our -- back to 2008, what our current amount is now. So we're really helpful for being able to continue and provide and invest in our teachers.

We talked a lot about that here today, investment in the teachers, and this is

1	really critical for children with special
2	needs. At all of our schools we have highly
3	qualified staff, staff who are certified in
4	their area of specialization that could be
5	deafness, blindness, or in the area of
6	special ed for the physically disabled
7	children and they're also certified in
8	their subject area or the grade level that
9	they service.

What's happening at our schools -- we have highly qualified folks, and because of the struggles that we've had with our finances, these individuals often will leave our schools -- they can walk out the door in New York City and immediately have \$15,000 added to their salary compared to what we can offer. So we really do appreciate what's in the Executive Budget, and this hopefully will continue to help us invest in our teachers and the professional staff that work with our children.

It's really the staff that creates the environment for the children and those relationships, particularly for children who

1	come to us with very special needs. And many
2	of our children come to us and they're way
3	behind in their levels, and the teachers
4	bring them up fairly quickly. It's not just
5	about the school academics that we need for
6	our children, it's really working on
7	communication for those children that are
8	deaf and appearing and socialization for
9	all of our children.

We'd like to, in our proposal, ask for parity with the school aid that would be offered and that any additional investments in public education would be considered for our children as well. I believe, as do the people in the association, that our children are deserving in this area, and they have unique needs that require this level of support.

I was listening today about outcomes, and I'll share with you -- we know that the graduation outcomes in New York City were reported at about 72.6 percent of children graduating. I can tell you at our school, and most of the 4201 schools, we have

1	100 percent graduation rates. And these are
2	children with low-incidence disabilities. In
3	the Bronx, the graduation rate was
4	64.8 percent. So whatever investment is made
5	into the 4201 schools for special needs
6	children, I think we deliver for the children
7	that we do service.
8	Many of the children at our schools
9	are children of color and Hispanic children.
10	They're reported as having lower graduation
11	rates. That does not happen at schools for
12	children that are in the 4201 Association.
13	They receive the same support as other
14	children.
15	The parity would really help us a lot
16	with the specialized equipment that's
17	required for our children, those children
18	that need Braille devices which are very
19	expensive for them to succeed. The deaf
20	children need visual alerting systems. And
21	children with special physical needs have

I was looking today, when thinking

them to participate.

22

23

therapy equipment that's expensive to allow

1	about coming here, at a quote from Abigail
2	Adams. And she says: "Learning is not
3	attained by chance. It must be sought for
4	with ardor and attained with diligence." I
5	would say that's what happens at our schools.
6	And finally, we ask you to think about
7	short-term capital and long-term capital
8	needs of our students. Most of our schools
9	are old. The School for the Deaf, the
10	New York School for the Deaf in White Plains,
11	they're celebrating 200 years this year. The
12	New York Institute has just finished their
13	185th anniversary. So we have wonderful
14	campuses, but campuses that need care. And
15	we're looking to really focus on the health
16	and safety needs of the children. And that
17	could be with capital investments in boilers,
18	roofs, windows, fire alarm systems. So it's
19	not beautification, it's really what's needed
20	to make the environment suitable for the
21	children.

So we're asking you to consider a \$5 million support for us in the area of short-term capital. We also are trying to

1	work together to engage with the Dormitory
2	Authority to provide services for long-term
3	capital needs.
4	So the 4201 schools are proud to be

So the 4201 schools are proud to be part of the education system here in New York State. We feel we partner with the State Education Department. We are working cooperatively with the school districts to provide the best services we can for children with low-incidence disabilities, and we ask that you would try to help support us in those areas.

And finally, JFK once said, "Let us think of education as the means of developing our greatest abilities, because in each of us there is a private hope and dream which, fulfilled, can be translated into a benefit for everyone and greater strength for our nation." And that's what we're all about for our children.

21 Thank you.

22 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very 23 much.

Questions? Yes, Mr. Otis.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: Thank you. Thank
2	you for your testimony and for what you do.
3	One thing I'd like to delve in a
4	little more is if you could give some sort of
5	overview about the level of financial stress
6	for 4201 schools. What are the pressures in
7	the last few years in terms of schools being
8	able to provide services in a tight economic
9	environment with the labor-intensive service
10	that you're providing?
11	DR. KAPPEN: Yes, it is a struggle for
12	many of the schools. As you know, we have a
13	per-pupil charge where we receive tuition
14	from the school districts, and that often
15	presents a challenge. If you're not being
16	paid every month, you can count on that the
17	school districts eventually pay, but they
18	have hardships as well, so that holds us
19	back. We have some schools that have a very
20	difficult time even getting a credit line at

We participate, and we're grateful for that, in the New York State Employee

that we're in.

the banks because of the financial situation

21

1	Retirement System, and that's a fairly large
2	payment that people have to pay out if we
3	want to do it in December to get that
4	discount rate. So it really does challenge
5	folks.
6	Over the years, I would say that at
7	our schools the children have additional
8	disabilities, maybe not just blind but have
9	other needs, so that increases the costs for
10	providing those services to the children.
11	Many of the children have additional
12	social/emotional areas that we deal with. So
13	I think that the type of population and then
14	the way we are funded has created increased
15	demands for us.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: Are there
17	limitations in terms of multiple disabilities
18	and how schools are reimbursed? You don't
19	really get reimbursed fully for multiple
20	DR. KAPPEN: Right, that's correct. I
21	mean, we're reimbursed basically on what your
22	disability is. And many of the children have
23	dual disabilities. Some of the children
24	could be deaf and blind that we have at our

1	school. The schools for the Deaf also have
2	children that have vision problems in
3	addition to that, social/emotional problems.
4	Many of the schools have the children have
5	intellectual disabilities.
6	So you're really not reimbursed on the
7	severity of the disability, it's just
8	whatever your category is.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: Thank you very
10	much.
11	DR. KAPPEN: Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
13	Senators?
14	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I just want to say
15	thank you very much. And do you have a
16	lobbying day coming up?
17	DR. KAPPEN: Yes, we do. We're going
18	to come on March 1st with our great kids, so
19	we hope
20	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Great.
21	DR. KAPPEN: to be able to see you
22	all.
23	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I always look

forward to seeing my friend Julia.

1	DR. KAPPEN: Great.
2	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So thank you so
3	much for all your great work.
4	DR. KAPPEN: Right. Thanks so much.
5	Thank you.
6	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
7	Bye-bye.
8	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
9	New York School Boards Association,
10	Julie Marlette, director of government
11	relations.
12	MS. MARLETTE: Good afternoon.
13	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Good afternoon.
14	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Good afternoon.
15	MS. MARLETTE: I'm joined here today
16	by my colleague Brian Fessler. On the off
17	chance that you might have any specific
18	questions about money, he would be the one I
19	would want to defer them to.
20	I want to start by thanking you so
21	much, both for having us here today but also
22	thank you for the commitment that both houses
23	have shown to serving public education in
24	recent years. The elimination of the GEA and

1	the efforts to continue to try and push
2	additional resources to school districts,
3	even in really the worst of the fiscal
4	crisis, has not gone unnoticed or
5	unappreciated by my members.

Given that it's nearly 4 o'clock and you've managed to refrain from asking us all to limit to a few minutes, I'll save you the effort and try to do so because I know you have my written testimony in front of you, and we're certainly happy to answer any questions now or in the future. But I did just want to take a few moments to touch on a few of the top priorities for our association and our members.

Like many of my colleagues, we certainly come here both grateful for the investments of the past, but we're regrettably having to continue to ask for more of an investment, specifically an additional billion dollars in Foundation Aid above and beyond what's been proposed by the Executive. We would hope to see this financial commitment go hand in hand with a

firm three-year commitment to fully phase in
the Foundation Aid formula, now that we've

moved past the economic problems in recent

years, and see that all districts get to the

point that they deserve and need to be funded

at.

One of the most troubling aspects related to the proposal to repeal the statutory Foundation Aid formula is not just that it leaves so many questions about how schools would be funded in the future, but that it has the potential to effectively lock in our most underfunded districts at that present level of underfunding, perhaps in perpetuity. These districts are those who need the most assistance and who have been allowed to stay as far behind as they have for far too long, and we'd really want to see them brought forward to the funding levels they need.

In addition, we would hope to see a more significant investment this year in the paying down of our prior-year claims. Each year we see a very small investment made,

1	about \$18 million, to make good on these
2	approved expenses. And what we've learned in
3	looking at the growth of the list is that at
4	this point an expense incurred when a child
5	enters kindergarten this year likely will not
6	be paid off for the district until that child
7	has graduated high school. Again, this is
8	another pot of funding which is
9	disproportionately owed to our highest-needs
10	districts, and they would be incredibly
11	grateful for the paying down of that
12	\$350 million obligation owed to them.
13	Beyond that, and slightly off-topic
14	from my written testimony, I would say I
15	found myself thinking increasingly, even
16	today when Chancellor Fariña, you know, was
17	talking about the importance of social
18	studies education and how our government
19	works and the importance of checks and
20	balances and to that end, I hope that you
21	going forward knowing that you would have the
22	full support of our association in rejecting
23	any proposal that would eliminate the
24	Legislature as part of the decision-making

1	process for any necessary midyear budget
2	adjustments that are based on allocations
3	from the federal government.

We think that it's incredibly important that all three parties continue to come together and, in the unpalatable situation that something would have to change midyear, that that should be done with the full participation of you and all of your colleagues on behalf of your constituencies.

I'd like to also just reference quickly our shared support with our colleagues at NYSCOSS for embracing some changes to the tax cap. Part of the reason we feel so compelled to come and ask for such a large number each year at the state level is that we have a limited ability to generate the funds needed at the local level.

And if we could make some comments and suggestions based on the lessons we have learned in recent years, eliminating negative caps and in fact maybe just moving forward to actualize the changes that the Legislature and Governor already agreed to, specifically

1	around including PILOT properties in our tax
2	base and, equally importantly, allowing our
3	BOCES capital projects to be treated
4	equitably with the way we treat school
5	district capital projects.
6	There's a little cognitive dissonance
7	in the way we treat those programs, because
8	we are on the one hand increasingly looking
9	toward our BOCES to provide services that
10	districts may be unable to provide, but at
11	the same time are effectively limiting their
12	ability to grow without cutting other
13	programs at the district level.
14	I certainly have many more priorities,
15	but again, owing to the lateness of the hour,
16	I want to be respectful of your time, and
17	I'll end my comments there, unless you have
18	any questions.
19	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
20	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
21	And thank you for traveling around the
22	state; it was good to see you the other day.
23	MS. MARLETTE: Nice to see you again,
24	Chairwoman. Thank you.

1	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Yes. Next, Michael
2	Borges, executive director, New York State
3	Association of School Business Officials.
4	MR. BORGES: Good afternoon. Thank
5	you for having me today.
6	With me is Deborah Cunningham, my
7	director of education and research, who's
8	here to help answer any complicated
9	questions.
10	Again, I want to echo my colleagues
11	from Superintendents and School Boards; we
12	want to express our gratitude for all the
13	support the Legislature has provided the
14	public schools over the last couple of
15	years the increases, the 6 percents, doing
16	away with the GEA last year. We really
17	appreciate all your efforts and your ongoing
18	efforts to help schools.
19	You have our testimony in front of
20	you, and I'll just give you some highlights
21	from that.
22	Obviously, we join with our colleagues
23	to urge you to reject changes to the
24	Foundation Aid formula phase-in. We believe

1	that's important to maintain that commitment
2	to provide equity, adequacy, and stability to
3	school aid.

We welcome the changes in calculating poverty that were recommended by our own

Foundation Aid task force back in September, including using more accurate census data to calculate poverty, removing the cap on income wealth, how that recognizes the depth of poverty in communities, as well as transitioning to direct certification data.

So we welcome those changes.

While recent graduation rates show improvement, more needs to be done to address the disparity between high- and low-need districts. Our report that we released yesterday that's included in our testimony details some of the issues that are afflicting some of our highest-needs school districts. It shows graduation rates in low-need districts were 30 percent higher than high-need districts.

Looking at combined wealth ratio, the achievement gap is even greater. School

1	districts in the lowest-wealth decile
2	graduated 70 percent of their students, while
3	those in the wealthiest graduated 94 percent.
4	On 8th-grade standardized tests, districts
5	with the least wealth only had 7 percent that
6	scored proficient or better in math, and
7	20 percent scored proficient or better in
8	English, while for students in the wealthiest
9	districts, 55 percent scored proficient or
10	better in math and 67 percent scored
11	proficient or better in English.
12	These dramatic achievement gaps are
13	closely linked to Foundation Aid due.
14	Low-need districts are owed \$508, and
15	high-need urban/suburban are owned 3,109.
16	There is actually a chart attached to
17	our testimony which shows the disparity and
18	highlights the importance of phasing in the
19	Foundation Aid formula. On page 12 there's a
20	Foundation Aid due per pupil in 8th-grade
21	math proficiency, and that really
22	demonstrates really well those school
23	districts that are owed the most are doing
24	the worst in terms of proficiency, both in

1	math	and	in	English.

And for the fourth year also, in terms of the Foundation Aid amount, I think my colleagues talked about the inadequacy of it. Truly there's only \$428 million in new Foundation Aid, and of that, \$150 million is also set aside for Community Schools. We believe that amount is definitely inadequate, given the needs demonstrated in our report and in the testimony of others.

Also, for the fourth year in a row, the tax cap is below 2 percent. We believe the cap should be made a straight 2 percent, and at the very least the Legislature should enact the changes that were made back in 2015 dealing with PILOT properties included in the tax-base growth factor as well as providing an exclusion to the tax cap for school districts' share of the costs of BOCES capital projects.

Mandate relief, the Governor has included some mandate relief in the budget for last three or four years. We believe it's lacking and limited in scope. We have

Τ	many recommendations, as well as our
2	colleagues from other associations, about how
3	to make incremental mandate relief. Every
4	little bit helps, whether it's cost-sharing
5	for transportation costs, whether it's
6	removing the duplicate the needs for
7	fingerprinting of bus drivers. We also
8	recommend doing away with a lot of the
9	internal audit requirements that were imposed
10	on us.
11	So there's lots of different things
12	that the Legislature and state policymakers
13	can do to help school districts besides
14	increases in the Foundation Aid formula
15	that's mostly dealing with the tax cap and
16	mandate relief. We also support the
17	consolidation of the pre-K programs, and we
18	welcome the expansion of the grant program
19	for Farm-to-School programs, although it
20	falls short of our request to increase the
21	school reimbursement rate for school lunches
22	from 6 cents per meal to 25 cents, which
23	hasn't been changed in over 40 years.
24	So to end my testimony, I'd just like

1	to do a quote from my own op-ed piece in the
2	Times Union that was two weeks ago: "One
3	year can make an enormous difference in a
4	student's academic success. Every year that
5	goes by without fully funding Foundation Aid
6	is a lost year for tens of thousands of
7	students."
8	Thank you for your time. If you have
9	any questions, I'd be happy to answer.
10	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Questions?
11	Yes, Mr. Lopez.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN LOPEZ: Thank you,
13	Chairman.
14	Just quickly, I know your organization
15	had put out a report which called for changes
16	in Foundation Aid.
17	MR. BORGES: Right.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN LOPEZ: And I know you
19	made a positive reference to the changes that
20	the Governor made in his proposed budget.
21	When you talk about funding the
22	Foundation Aid more robustly, is that
23	including those changes, or are you using the
24	existing Foundation Aid?

1	MR. BORGES: It includes those
2	changes.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN LOPEZ: Okay. And I've
4	asked this of a couple of other folks my
5	region that I represent is largely rural,
6	it's northern Appalachia. By definition.
7	And as I look at the mantra of having all
8	students equally educated, in my view our
9	small rural districts fall behind with
10	Advanced Placement, lack of distance learning
11	facilities, you name it. High costs per
12	pupil, but very, very limited resources.
13	Is there more adjustment needed to
14	address I see your high need and low
15	wealth, but I have trouble equating the urban
16	and rural. The rural piece seems to be
17	understated, and I'm having trouble equating
18	what I know to be happening in rural America
19	with the need that you're describing. So my
20	question for you is, is there more tweaking
21	that needs to be done, particularly for high
22	need/low wealth and particularly rural
23	schools?

MR. BORGES: Yes. I mean, the changes

1	that are being proposed by the Governor in
2	his Executive Budget are welcome changes in
3	terms of again, addressing poverty,
4	addressing using new census data. The
5	Governor's proposal, as well as our proposal
6	and many others, also maintaind
7	hold-harmless, which prevents rural school
8	districts from losing state aid if the
9	Foundation Aid formula was fully operational
10	so to speak.
11	So can other things be done to help
12	particularly rural schools? Yes. That's in
13	terms of cost savings. In terms of allowing
14	school districts to share transportation,
15	doing away with some of the mandates.
16	There are other things that the
17	Legislature and state policymakers can do to
18	in particular, help rural schools deal with
19	their costs. Because it's very difficult
20	as you know, coming from a rural area, it's
21	hard to merge those school districts. You
22	just can't consolidate school districts that
23	cover hundreds of square miles.
24	So how can you provide a better

1	education, a more quality education to kids
2	in rural schools? I think Bob Lowry
3	mentioned regional high schools as one way of
4	doing it. Using the Internet more. There
5	are ways to bring AP classes, honors classes,
6	through regional high schools, through the
7	Internet, using technology there are ways
3	to get around the remoteness of rural schools
9	and the lack of resources.

ASSEMBLYMAN LOPEZ: Thank you.

The reason -- I did a quick analysis of schools in my district, and we've had per-pupil costs in the mid-to-high \$30,000 range, which is staggering. But still, they are not receiving the services they need.

And we haven't this economy of scale in the rural areas. So those comments are very welcome.

I was just wondering, too, if there was anything from an aid category standpoint. So the mandate relief, the allowing schools to share more services. I think we can do more with BOCES in statute. But is there anything else from an aid category standpoint

1	that we should do?
2	MS. CUNNINGHAM: I think our biggest
3	concern is with Foundation Aid and having it
4	fully funded. Another way of saying this is
5	that a funding formula is like a garden, you
6	have to constantly tend it. Inequities crop
7	up, and you have to review that over time and
8	see the consequences of the funding formula.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN LOPEZ: Pull a few weeds,
10	right.
11	MS. CUNNINGHAM: And our paper on the
12	resource and achievement gap points out an
13	opportunity gap in every region of the state,
14	including the rural areas, that needs to be
15	addressed. And right now the funding that is
16	not provided under the Foundation formula is
17	exactly where the problems are.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN LOPEZ: So rather than a
19	wholesale reduction of the Foundation Aid as
20	proposed by the Governor, you're suggesting

MS. CUNNINGHAM: Yes. Yes.

21

22

24 ASSEMBLYMAN LOPEZ: Thank you.

funding it more robustly.

taking the changes that he's proposed and

1	MS. CUNNINGHAM: The other important
2	point is that the full amount of
3	Foundation Aid is based on a cost study of
4	actual school districts and actual students,
5	so that's a research-based amount that we're
6	trying to get to. And so just to throw that
7	away and say, Well, we'll just kind of do a
8	little better each year, that's not going
9	that's a partial solution to a big problem.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN LOPEZ: I understand.
11	MS. CUNNINGHAM: We need to have a
12	full solution.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN LOPEZ: Thank you so much.
L 4	Thank you, Chairman.
15	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN LOPEZ: Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
18	New York State School Facilities
19	Association, Fred Koelbel, chair, legislative
20	committee.
21	MR. KOELBEL: I thank you all, and I
22	congratulate you on your stamina. I will be
23	brief. I'm sure you can all read, so you
24	have my testimony. Let me just hit a couple

1 of highlights.

24

2 You know, one of the things I want to 3 just mention, school buildings today aren't where children learn, they're actually part 4 5 of how children learn. And that's why what we do is so important. You know, we're faced 6 7 with challenges in this era of TAP. We are the stepchild, okay? I often speak of having 8 a school board member look at me at one 9 10 meeting and say, "Fred, money we give you for the buildings is money we take away from 11 12 education." And I could think there could be 13 nothing farther from the truth. Healthy, 14 well-lit, well-maintained facilities are the 15 place where children learn. They're the 16 table that is set for children to learn at. So a couple of items we'd like you to 17 consider. You know, it was really 18 heartening, and it's something I haven't 19 20 heard before, but to have the Commissioner of 21 Education highlight the School Facilities 22 Planning Department and their needs -- it's something we've been talking about for 23 several years, and to have the commissioner

1	speak	about	it	was	really	heartening
---	-------	-------	----	-----	--------	------------

But, you know, she spoke about the software that Facilities Planning uses to track our projects, to approve our projects.

I'll just summarize it this way: It runs on DOS, okay? Not Windows 7, DOS. It's something that, you know, if that should go down and not be repairable, we're in chaos.

Building aid. We continue to endorse building aid. It's what keeps -- it's our lifeline, in many cases, because getting money within our budget for maintenance is becoming tougher and tougher. Building aid becomes the thing that lets us fix things after they do down.

School safety funds. And this is an important thing. We support the continuation of the New York SAFE Act proposed in the 2017-'18 Executive Budget, but one of the things we'd like to point out, you know, we've all been able to do many very wonderful things in New York State that BOCES schools can't. And our BOCES schools, the population in many of our BOCES programs are some of our

1	most vulnerable population. The BOCES
2	schools are not eligible for that New York
3	SAFE Act funding, and I think we can all work
4	together to figure out a way to get some of
5	that funding to them.

Maintenance funding. As I mentioned in this era of the property tax cap, dollars are becoming very dear for us. And we support and are grateful to Senator Valesky and Assemblymember Englebright for sponsoring legislation to establish a minimum standard for maintenance funding and allow school districts to make these investments outside the restrictions of the property tax cap.

You know, school facilities are many communities' biggest investment and biggest asset. We need to have the funds to maintain them.

BOCES capital projects. I'm sure many of you are familiar -- and we again thank

Assemblymember Galef and Senator Marcellino for bringing this to the forefront. We thought we had this licked. You know, like, it hasn't come about. We need to figure out

1	a way to get BOCES capital expenditures
2	outside the cap. Because again, to get
3	component districts to vote for a capital
4	project that fits within the cap is not going
5	to happen in many areas.
6	And then the last would be second
7	to last an increase to \$250,000 to the
8	threshold for capital projects. That would
9	be a capital project that if we fund it in
10	one year, we get our aid back the next year.
11	It's very helpful to many school districts.
12	Right now it's at \$100,000. We were trying

the other day to figure out how long it's

been at \$100,000. That's over 30 years, I

know that, because that was the oldest guy on
the call said, "Well, since I've gotten into
this, it's been at that."

But the other thing to realize is that \$100,000 after you take away the architectural fees, the legal fees, the borrowing -- the advertising fees, is more like \$75,000, \$80,000 of actual project work. There's not a lot you can get done for that in this day and age.

1	And last but not least and it's
2	interesting, I've heard a number of groups
3	today speak about reserve funds. And we know
4	they've for many years been a nasty word,
5	reserves. But we've been for years now
6	endorsing and Assemblymember Cahill and
7	Senator Funke have been carrying this
8	legislation for us. It establishes an energy
9	reserve fund. And I think you know, right
10	now energy costs are relatively low. Two or
11	three years ago, they weren't. I think given
12	the climate we're in now, we're starting to
13	see natural gas start to creep back up. You
14	know, I'm from Long Island, I can tell you
15	about electric costs.
16	But what we're looking for is
17	something that allows us I and my
18	colleagues can tell our school boards how
19	much of the commodity we're going to use, we
20	just can't tell you what it's going to cost

the Middle East, whatever -- that causes
energy to soar, I don't know where that money

from year to year. And in the era of the

cap, if we have something -- a disruption in

1	is coming from. It's going to come from some
2	other program.
3	Having that reserve fund as the
4	circuit breaker for those problems would be
5	greatly appreciated.
6	And I thank you for your time, and I
7	congratulate you once again for doing this.
8	And if you have any questions, I'd happy to
9	answer them.
10	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
11	Shelley Mayer.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Thank you very
13	much.
14	I have a question for you. I don't
15	see in your testimony and I can understand
16	why not any sort of structural
17	recommendations to allowing districts to fund
18	to restore or rebuild old schools. And it's
19	a statewide problem.
20	And I wonder if your group has come up
21	with suggestions on how ultimately we are
22	going to be able to fund either the repair or
23	the rebuilding of over-100-year-old schools

like we have in my district.

1	MR. KOELBEL: You know, one of the
2	things we've talked about is that the thing
3	we really need to address is maintenance.
4	Because these buildings get in the condition
5	they are because maintenance is neglected.
6	The last time I had a discussion with
7	SED, and this was a few years ago, because it
8	was a discussion with Chuck Szuberla, he
9	indicated to me the average building aid in
10	New York State is 72 percent.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Right.
12	MR. KOELBEL: Okay? So that means if
13	I put a roof on my building and I'm the
14	average school district, the state is going
15	to give me 72 cents on the dollar to pay for
16	that roof.
17	Now, if I send my men up there on a
18	regular basis and I maintain that roof and I
19	clear the drains and I patch the flashing, I
20	do everything I can, and I make that 15-year
21	roof last 20 years, all the expenditure for
22	that came from the budget, the local budget

within the cap. Or if I do nothing and I go

back in 10 years and put another new roof on,

23

1	the	sta	ate'	S	going	to	give	me	72	cents	on	the
2	doll	Lar	to	рu	t anot	ther	roof	E or	n.			

So there's this disincentive to do good maintenance. There's this disincentive to spend the local dollar out of the annual budget to do these things.

about in the past, and still continue to talk about, is incentivizing maintenance, saying,
Okay, here's the minimum level of maintenance you need to spend to maintain your school.
Industry says that's 3 percent of the replacement cost of the building. I don't know that we can afford that as schools. But whatever number we agree on, we say if you spend that on the maintenance of your building, we'll give you building aid on that annually.

Now, that's the carrot. The stick would be, however, if you didn't do that and you come in to me in 10 years instead of 15 years for the new roof, I'm going to say, Well, you only spent half of that, so I'm going to give you half of the building aid

1	for the new roof.
2	Encourage people to maintain the
3	assets they have, you know, and it will work
4	out well it will work out much better, I
5	think.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Thank you.
7	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
8	MR. KOELBEL: Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
10	much.
11	Dan White, district superintendent,
12	BOCES of New York State.
13	DISTRICT SUPT. WHITE: My thanks to
14	Chairpersons Farrell and Young, and
15	Chairperson Nolan for the opportunity to very
16	briefly give you a few remarks today. I know
17	it's been a long day.
18	I'm joined by my colleague Lynda
19	Quick, who's district superintendent at
20	Cattaraugus-Allegany BOCES and currently
21	chair of the New York State District
22	Superintendents.
23	A couple of things of items that

24 I'll notice. Career and Technical Education

1	has been mentioned often today. BOCES serve
2	over 36,000 11th-and-12th-grade students on
3	yearly basis in Career and Technical
4	Education programs. These students graduate
5	at a rate of 94 percent over four years.
6	It's a very important piece.
7	Chairperson Nolan, you brought up
8	adult education. We serve over 60,000 adult
9	learners on a yearly basis as well, and many
10	of you know we provide programming for some
11	of the neediest students in New York State
12	that can't be served in traditional
13	educational settings, as well as a number of
14	other things.
15	So to that end, I'd like to raise a
16	couple of salient points and then pause to
17	see if you have any questions for us, in
18	respect of time.
19	First of all, the BOCES capital issue
20	has been raised by a number of groups
21	previous to us. The fact of the matter is
22	BOCES capital debt is not considered like

school district debt that is outside of the

tax cap calculation. This is beginning to

23

1	pose	а	number	of	concerns	for	us

First and foremost, if we're to upgrade facilities to meet industry needs for Career and Technical Education, that requires some facility investment. In addition, with the special education services we provide, there are a number of students on wait lists around this state. To adequately provide facilities for some of our highest-need students is an imperative. The inability to do this under the current tax cap structure for BOCES capital is a significant barrier to us fulfilling our mission.

Number two, and without rehashing what's in our testimony, we do have a specific recommendation for increasing the financial support or aidability, if you will, for Career and Technical Education. That's been echoed by a number of groups, including the State Education Department. So we would propose that as well.

And I would add just in general there's been discussion on Foundation Aid and Foundation Aid formulas. We're in support of

Τ	the Regents recommendations as well as their
2	ask for additional support for ELL services
3	and Career and Technical Education.
4	So that's really ours in a nutshell.
5	I'm going to pause and see if any of you have
6	any questions.
7	(Pause.)
8	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
9	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you very
10	much. Thank you, Lynda.
11	DISTRICT SUPT. WHITE: Thank you.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: We do look
13	forward to following up on adult ed with you.
14	Thanks.
15	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: David Little,
16	executive director, Rural Schools Association
17	of New York State.
18	MR. LITTLE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
19	Thank you, members of the joint committee.
20	I want to thank you first of all for
21	your past support. You've been stalwart and
22	dedicated in your support of public
23	education.
24	I've spent my day much as you have; I

1	listened to New York City talk about how
2	they're doing better and with additional
3	resources they would continue that progress.
4	We listened to the Big 5 say much the same
5	thing. We heard the suburbs talk about how
6	well they're doing, but they have additional
7	needs.

And I'm here to tell you that in the rural schools, there is no looming crisis either. And the reason that there's no looming crisis is you're smack dab in the middle of that crisis.

Rural schools have a completely different look than the rest of your schools right now. If you look at the population loss in the state, it doesn't take a lot to figure out that if the City is gaining population, the upstate cities are gaining population, the suburbs are gaining population, and the state is losing 150,000 people each year for the last five years, you've got a rural population loss -- maybe a third of the students.

24 So we have this bizarre construct

1	where EXCEL aid gave us new facilities, it
2	gave us expanded facilities, and now we don't
3	have kids or the money to put teachers in
4	them. It's an odd dynamic. Because I think
5	if you look even at the last national
6	election, you can see that people in rural
7	areas are tired of being ignored. And those
8	rural individuals have seen job loss, they've
9	seen their kids have to leave their
10	communities in order to be employed.
11	And I can tell you the Cornell
12	Research half of our association has just
13	completed a study on teacher recruitment and
14	retention in New York State, and I can tell
15	you that Mr. Mulgrew has informed you that
16	within a couple of years you've got an issue
17	with New York City schools being able to
18	correctly get certifiable teachers for the
19	appropriate classes. I can tell you that
20	right now, you can't get them in rural areas.
21	They don't have them. Okay? What used to be
22	50 applications per position, they now go
23	left unfilled.
24	The things that we expect in our

1	suburban schools as a matter of course, we
2	don't even begin to provide in our rural
3	areas. Advanced Placement courses and I'm
4	speaking generally; there are exceptions, of
5	course. But Advanced Placement courses,
6	Community Schools, After School Program,
7	preschool, transportation for things back and
8	forth they don't exist there.
9	And I'll give you the example of my

And I'll give you the example of my own son, who wanted to go to Ithaca College for a photography degree and was told, "Danny, you're okay, but your school's not. You don't have the coursework to be able to put the information we're going to give you into context, and as a result you won't be able to compete with your peers in this program." And so he went to RIT, and RIT kicked his tail for four years. It took him every bit of every bit of time, with no extracurricular activities, in order to complete that program, because Ithaca College was right. And it's directly the result of our approach to rural areas.

In the 1970s, the upstate rural

1	economy was strong enough to be able to bail
2	out New York City. Now the exact opposite is
3	happening. I talked about the population
4	loss. I can also tell you that in the 1970s
5	New York State had 17 million acres in
6	agricultural production, and now it has 7.
7	We don't have the ability to generate the
8	jobs. And we have a state jobs program for
9	our rural areas that apparently is simply to
10	build casinos.

We've starved our rural schools. And by starving our rural schools, we aren't providing those children the opportunity to generate the revenue, and thus for New York State to have anything but a second-rate future.

I've laid out in the testimony that's been printed there for you the things within the Governor's Executive Budget proposal that will help, those things that will hurt, and the things that we need. I won't reiterate those things specifically, because they're right there in front of you and I'm always available to be able to go over any of those

1	things	with	you.

24

2	But I will tell you that over the past
3	few years, as we've focused on the last
4	efforts of trying to restore the GEA, those
5	last efforts largely benefited those
6	districts that needed it the least. When the
7	Governor first took the GEA, it was like
8	John Dillinger with banks we'll take it
9	from who gets Foundation Aid. And our rural
10	schools are the ones that get the
11	Foundation Aid.
12	I disagree with my colleagues slightly
13	about the tax cap. And I'm all for
14	particularly making the changes to the tax
15	cap that you all offered last year and the
16	Division of the Budget has seen fit to
17	ignore changing BOCES capital projects and
18	pilot programs to adjust for the cap.
19	But I will tell you this. Rural
20	schools don't have anything to tax. So the
21	rate of the tax cap is not a legitimate
22	approach to how we're going to fix our rural

schools. So if we have a 1.5 percent tax cap

or we have a complete freeze on local taxes,

1	if you're only raising \$30,000 under the tax
2	cap to begin with, it doesn't make one bit of
3	difference

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

We need a legitimate and sustainable means of trying to fund public education in this state if we're going to move forward. And I wish Senator Marcellino were here, because he asked everybody else -- you know, he talked about everybody being able to stay in their homes and not be able to be taxed, and I couldn't agree more. Local taxes are not the way to do this. And we spend an extraordinary amount of money on public education already -- more than a quarter of the countries on the planet make as a gross domestic product. More than 10 percent of all the money in the United States of America spent on public education is already spent in New York State. Our issue is not how much we spend, it's how we distribute it.

And the way we distribute it is criminal. It needs to be fixed, it needs to be sustained. And we need to have, as my colleagues have mentioned, we need to have

1	the mandate relief that was promised when we
2	instituted the tax cap. But more importantly
3	than simple mandate relief is allowing the
4	kind of things that have made sense in other
5	places for a generation.

Regional high school. I went to a regional high school in the early 1970s in Connecticut, and another one in Illinois.

This is not a new concept. It allows communities to keep their identity, and it allows their children to get a proper education that's not being provided now, and which merger and consolidation don't come close to being able to provide.

And I'm not worried, as some of the folks in the union sector of our profession are, that the regional high schools or magnet schools or mergers and consolidation would result in fewer jobs. I don't want one teacher to lose their job over this, because we are so dramatically constricted in the kind of curriculum that we can provide in rural schools that we simply need all of those people to be able to broaden the things

1	that we teach to be able to provide our kids
2	an adequate education to be competitive
3	either in college or in their career.

Rural America, they say, feeds, fuels and fights for this country. That's where you get the people who fight for the country, the fuel that fuels us, and the products and produce that feeds us. And yet we've chosen to ignore them. We've chosen to ignore them for nearly a generation now. And we do it at our peril, both politically and economically.

So I'll leave you with what I hope is a concise statement about what's needed and what's harmful within the Governor's budget, and leave it to you to do the things that you've done for us in the past, and hope that we can change course in a direction that's workable for you, knowing that you have to buy everything back that he puts in there. I know how difficult that is, but I also know how vital it is.

22 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very 23 much.

Questions? Thank you.

1	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Yes. David, good
2	to see you. And I want to sincerely thank
3	you and Bob Lowry and several of the speakers
4	today, because you've brought up regional
5	schools. And as you know, they would be a
6	tremendous opportunity for rural students who
7	cannot who are in schools that cannot
8	provide all of the classwork that they need
9	in order to have a high-quality education.
10	I agree with you that we would not
11	lose jobs if we had regional schools. In
12	fact, I believe we would save jobs if we had
13	regional schools. So I'm hopeful that the
14	Assembly will pass the legislation that we
15	have passed several times in the State
16	Senate, because it certainly would help our
17	rural children all over the state.
18	So I want to thank you for your
19	advocacy on a lot of issues, and all the
20	speakers who have brought those very
21	important things forward today. So thank
22	you.
23	MR. LITTLE: Thank you, Senator.
24	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Mr. Lopez.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN LOPEZ: Yes, thank you,
2	Chairman.
3	And David, just so you know, I'm
4	channeling Charlie Cook, if that means
5	anything to you. So I worked for Charlie for
6	15 years with his Rural Resources Commission
7	I know Senator Young has helped take on that
8	mantle in many ways.
9	So I'm very keenly interested in your
10	comments on the rural, and we're going to
11	look at this very closely and just, myself
12	and my colleagues working with the chairwoman
13	here, have some frank conversation, because
14	my premise is inner city and rural are mirror
15	images of each other. The percentages are
16	the same lack of educational attainment,
17	percentage of children in poverty just the
18	numbers are different. And we're hidden with
19	trees and pastoral fields and mountains, but
20	we're there.
21	MR. LITTLE: The more you study the
22	difference between our urban needs and our

rural needs, the more you find out that

they're virtually identical. They have them

23

1	for different reasons, but they have the
2	exact same problems.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN LOPEZ: I'm reflecting on
4	Paul Ebert's socioeconomic studies that were
5	done back in the '80s that showed the
6	percentages to be mirror images. So I think
7	those numbers still hold. And again, we'd
8	like to explore and maybe if you have more
9	suggestions, we can carry them back to our
10	colleagues here. So thank you.
11	MR. LITTLE: Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
13	much
14	SENATOR KRUEGER: Just one second, I'm
15	sorry.
16	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Oh, I'm sorry.
17	Senator.
18	SENATOR KRUEGER: Hi. I come from
19	Manhattan island, so about as far as you can
20	imagine in comparison to rural New York. But
21	I want to comment that, one, your testimony
22	and your report is amazingly excellent.
23	Two, I think you're absolutely right,

1	smallest school districts in the state are
2	exactly parallel to what big cities are
3	seeing. You're highlighting
4	MR. LITTLE: And some of the solutions
5	are the same.
6	SENATOR KRUEGER: Exactly.
7	MR. LITTLE: The Community Schools,
8	the whole community approach, the
9	after-school programming, transportation to
10	get kids to things, trying to deal with their
11	medical issues in a way that doesn't take
12	them out of class the entire day all of
13	those things are identical.
14	SENATOR KRUEGER: And it ties in so
15	perfectly to your statement about we have the
16	buildings but we don't actually have the
17	people in them to do the things the children
18	need. And I agree completely that the
19	Community School model for rural areas has
20	the potential to have a huge win, I think on
21	your entire municipal budgets, when you can
22	bring everything into one location and
23	provide the services and ensure that people

are getting the things they need.

1	I did have a question in addition to
2	appreciating your testimony. What are other
3	states with big rural areas doing better than
4	we are for their schools? Have you looked
5	into additional recommendations we really
6	ought to be following?

MR. LITTLE: Yeah, the other states start off with a more equitable funding model. That's really where they have an advantage over us. In virtually every other state, the state provides for two-thirds of the funding for public education and they leave the final third, usually for extras, to the local community. They provide the state's minimum requirements at the least, and then they leave the extras to the local community.

We do exactly the opposite here. We expect locals to pay for about two-thirds the cost of public education, and that means that for many communities that don't have the resources to provide sometimes even the state minimum requirements, you start from a deficit in all of those things. So that's

really the most profound thing that happens in other states.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Other states have other models. don't know that people are doing things that are any more innovative, except that they've progressed along a different line. I mentioned how long other states have had regional high schools. And it's a solution to an issue that -- the Governor keeps calling for mergers and consolidations, and in fact I can tell you that this has been interesting for me, as we've partnered with Cornell Research, to find out there is no place on the planet that shares services, municipal and school services, more than upstate New York does. And so we're leading. You know, we're leading everybody else by example, and yet our circumstances are so severe, because of the way that we fund public education in our state, that we're not able to overcome it through efficiency alone. And so there are other states that have long ago said we're going to educate

kids up until the eighth grade in our little

1	community schools, and we're going to keep
2	our identity and we're going to even have the
3	economic support that schools provide as
4	sometimes the largest employer in small
5	communities. But by the time they get to
6	high school, there's a real need to have a
7	broad enough curriculum to let these kids do
8	what they were meant to do. And if we keep
9	them in those little schools all the way
10	through we know it's a tradeoff, but if we
11	keep them in those little rural schools, we
12	know that they're not going to be able to
13	reach their potential.

And so what happens is to get the kind of jobs that they're able to keep, once they get out of high school, they leave. Every state has their kids leave, but they come home someday. Our kids can't come home.

We've set up a construct, in our rural areas in particular, where our kids can't come home. And that has profound social consequences as well as economic consequences for rural areas being able to support the economy of the state and allow us to regain

1	our preeminent position.
2	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you very much
3	for your work.
4	MR. LITTLE: Sure.
5	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
6	James D. Cultrara, director of
7	education, New York Catholic Conference.
8	MR. CULTRARA: Honorable chairpersons,
9	honorable members, thank you for your time.
10	I apologize on behalf of Bishop
11	Scharfenberger, who could not be here much
12	beyond his anticipated testimony time. But
13	you have his testimony for the record. I'm
14	going to dispense with reading it and focus
15	on four points.
16	And I'm going to lead off with
17	thanking Assemblyman Lopez for channeling
18	Senator Charlie Cook. As an employee of
19	Charlie Cook, a former employee, I want to
20	point out how much I think you would
21	recognize how much concern he had for
22	families. And I want to talk about the
23	families that we serve and that we could

serve and who want to be served by our

1 schools.

20

21

22

23

24

2 And we are facing a continuing tuition 3 crisis that morphs the tuition crisis that the Governor is focusing on in higher 4 5 education. And so many members are rightfully looking at providing increased 6 7 tuition assistance at higher education, when 8 there exists no similar program at elementary 9 and secondary schools. So you have families, 10 whether or not they are immigrants from the 80 countries that we serve in our schools, 11 12 low-income families, middle-income families, 13 or even higher-income families -- families 14 want options for their children. That's why 15 immigrants come to this country. And they 16 are being denied those options simply because of an inability to afford those options. 17 18 Your counterparts in 26 other states 19

Your counterparts in 26 other states and the District of Columbia have seen fit to enact a variety of mechanisms to assist tuition-paying families at the elementary and secondary school level. And despite what we hear from the opposition, from those invested only in public schools, that it will destroy

1	public schools, if you look at the public
2	education system in those 26 other states,
3	spending has grown and enrollment has not
4	dropped in those other states.

I think if Bishop Scharfenberger were here, he would ask you to examine some of the recent articles and reports from the Board of Regents and news articles about graduation rates in public schools and how graduation rates are on the rise. Right?

However, if you disaggregate that data and look at graduation rates compared to children of color in public school versus children of color who have been given access to our schools through a scholarship, you'll see that the families of children of color have graduation rates above 95 percent in the Catholic schools, the historically black independent schools, the Lutheran schools of this state.

And if you were to examine that, you should ask yourself: Why aren't we giving those families the same options that they have in 26 other states?

1	The Education Affordability Act,
2	sponsored by Assemblyman Mike Cusick and
3	Senator Marty Golden, needs to be enacted.
4	If you're going to enact a program of tuition
5	assistance at the higher education level,
6	don't do it without helping those families
7	that are burdened with tuition at the
8	elementary and secondary school level.
9	Secondly, I want to talk about the
10	Smart Schools Bond Act, which as you know was
11	enacted in 2014 and is just beginning to be
12	implemented. There are three rounds of
13	grants that have been approved, and
14	unfortunately the implementation of that
15	program has resulted in religious and
16	independent schools being denied their
17	equitable share, that to which they have been
18	entitled, we believe, under the statute. And
19	in many cases, there are school districts
20	that are spending money on classroom
21	technology but only for connectivity, which
22	benefits only the public schools. And
23	because of how it's being implemented, the

religious and independent school students are

4			1 6 .
1	receiving	no	benefit.

The Governor has provided \$25 million in his Executive Budget to begin to address these inequities. That is far below what we believe is necessary. It can also be fixed within the existing \$2 billion bond issue.

We will be submitting language to do both, rectify the inequities for existing, already approved grants as well as those grants that have yet to be approved.

The second-from-last item is mandated services and cap reimbursement. We are grateful for the \$250 million that has been finally disbursed to our schools over the last two years to try to resolve the prior year obligations to our schools, but there are more than 100 schools that have not received their reimbursement. Those are schools that did not have the vendor IDs through which they can get paid.

So there's more than 100 schools that are still owed, and \$250 million is exhausted. So we will be asking for access to the appropriation that's already there for

1	\$60 million for supplemental cap to be used
2	to satisfy those prior year obligations to
3	those schools that did not have vendor IDs.

Further, the Education Department in this program is arbitrarily reducing reimbursement to schools by moving away from a long-established standard of reimbursing schools on the basis of instructional time.

And by moving away from that standard, our schools are receiving less reimbursement.

The irony there is, as teachers and principals put in more work, the more time that's recorded, the less the reimbursement to the school. Which is not, we think, what was the intent of lawmakers in establishing that program.

And the last item is the State Office of Religious and Independent Schools. As you know, we've been fighting to have the department restore that office. You, for which we are grateful, provided \$2 million in funds to support that office and initiatives for schools through that office. The Governor reappropriates the \$2 million but

1	advances only \$800,000 for the next fiscal
2	year going forward.
3	That office is crucial to helping the
4	department overcome the tremendous backlog in
5	work, including disbursing funds that have
6	already been appropriated many years ago that
7	are still sitting there at the Education
8	Department waiting to get out the door.
9	Hiring staff is absolutely critical to
10	getting those dollars out to our schools to
11	serve teachers and students, and we urge you
12	to restore the full appropriation to
13	\$2 million.
14	Thank you very much.
15	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Questions?
18	Shelley Mayer.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Jim, thank you.
20	On this issue of the Smart Schools
21	Bond Act, is it your testimony that SED is
22	interpreting the statute to deny applications
23	by religious schools?
24	MR. CULTRARA: The Smart Schools

1	Review Board consists of the State Education
2	Department, the Governor's office, and the
3	State University of New York.

The State Education Department has been the principal entity behind the regulations as well as the guidance in guiding school districts on how to determine the nonpublic school share.

We believe that it's clear in the statute that any expenditure for classroom technology needs to be shared with the nonpublic schools on an equitable basis. We understand that school districts can spend money on high-tech security as well as building needs, to which we do not have access, but any expenditure on classroom technology needs to be shared on an equitable basis.

The State Education Department, and technically the review board, has divided classroom technology into two pots -- connectivity, which is wiring, and loanable devices, resulting in the determination that the calculation of the nonpublic school share

1	is only what is spent on loanable devices
2	divided by enrollment. Right?
3	So you have school districts spending
4	money in many cases on only connectivity, and
5	we believe lawmakers did not intend school
6	districts to spend money on classroom
7	technology without sharing it with the
8	nonpublic schools.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: I understand.
10	MR. CULTRARA: So we have families
11	that parents who voted for that, expecting
12	their students and their schools to get
13	equitable access and that's being denied.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Okay. Thank you
15	for the clarification.
16	Thank you.
17	MR. CULTRARA: Thank you very much.
18	SENATOR KRUEGER: I have a question.
19	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Senator?
20	SENATOR KRUEGER: I'm sorry, Jim. One
21	question, or maybe two.
22	So you give some testimony about how
23	many schools have closed in the last six
24	years, in the last 20 years.

1	MR. CULTRARA: Right.
2	SENATOR KRUEGER: Do you know how many
3	children are going to Catholic schools in the
4	State of New York now, compared to 10 years
5	ago?
6	MR. CULTRARA: Approximately 200,000
7	now, compared to 325,000, roughly, 21 years
8	ago.
9	SENATOR KRUEGER: And even though it's
10	not fair for you as a question, but I'll try
11	it anyway, do you know in total how many
12	children go to the parochial and independent
13	schools, 10 years ago versus today? I'm
14	wondering whether they shifted to other
15	schools or they shifted back into the public
16	schools.
17	MR. CULTRARA: As of last year, there
18	are just over 411,000 students in all
19	independent and religious schools, and about
20	20 years ago there was about 485,000. So
21	there has been growth among the Jewish
22	schools, some Christian schools, the Islamic
23	schools, and losses in primarily Catholic

24 schools but also Lutheran schools,

1	historically	black	independent	schools,	some
2	Christian scl	nools			

SENATOR KRUEGER: Got it. And when you were talking about the formula not reflecting the number of days as opposed to the number of instructional hours, you sort of lost me there. So can you explain that?

MR. CULTRARA: Mandated services, when it was established in 1974, was very rigorous and was looking at and requiring schools to maintain records on actual expenditures — what a school paid for for this graphing calculator, what the teacher's salary was in this school versus another teacher in the same school. And trying to be so exacting in ensuring that schools were not reimbursed more than that to which they're entitled, it created an enormous burden on both the schools and the State Education Department in implementing it.

Then in the early eighties, they
developed a series of parameters saying let's
come up with some actual averages for which
we can reimburse schools to simplify without

1	overreimbursing our schools. So I'll give
2	you a simple example. All schools that
3	administer the science exams that require
4	science kits get reimbursed for an average
5	cost of that science kit, an average cost of
6	a graphing calculator. Even though it might
7	cost one school more, another school less,
8	the state has determined those averages.

Among those averages was a determination of the amount of time teachers were spending not only on the task at hand, for which they're getting reimbursed, but how do you determine their average hourly rate? Private school calendars and schedules are all over the place.

And so the State Education Department said -- and this goes back 30 years -- let's base the school day and the school year on what the state requires for instruction. And that's five and five and a half hours -- five hours for elementary schools, five and a half for high schools -- and the average school year, which ranges from 177-180 days.

Because that's the basis for public school

1	aid. And it was public school aid, the
2	equitable reimbursement based on public
3	school aid, for which the U.S. Supreme Court
4	upheld this program.
5	The State Education Department and the
6	Comptroller's office, to some degree, in
7	their most recent audit about 10 years ago,
8	started to go back and say, We need to be
9	more exacting, we need to dig deeper into
10	these expenses. So they're reverting back to
11	what was back in 1974. So in effect, in
12	doing that, they are reducing because
13	they're expanding our school day and school
14	hours, they're reducing the numerator and
15	therefore the reimbursement is less.
16	So ironically, as public school aid
17	increases for the same amount of time, as you
18	require our schools to report a greater
19	amount of time, we actually get less
20	reimbursement.
21	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you for the
22	explanation. I feel better about not
23	understanding it in writing.
24	(Laughter.)

1	SENATOR KRUEGER: So thank you.
2	MR. CULTRARA: You're welcome.
3	Thank you all.
4	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
5	much.
6	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
7	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Jake Adler,
8	director, government affairs, Orthodox Union.
9	MR. ADLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
10	Chair Young, Chair Nolan, members, I
11	appreciate your time.
12	I submitted my written testimony, so I
13	don't want to read it word for word. I just
L 4	wanted to highlight a few key points of what
15	I'm asking for this year.
16	There are 412,000 nonpublic school
17	students Jim says a little bit over 411,
18	so I'll go with Jim's number. Of those,
19	150,000 are Jewish; about 200 or so, I
20	believe are Catholic, as per Jim. It's
21	13 percent of the K-12 population in this
22	state.
23	My focus this year, and I think a
24	focus going forward for the state, is what

1	can we do to make sure that all of our
2	students, be they in public school or be they
3	in nonpublic school, succeed and have the
4	skills they need to enter the job market.
5	That's what I'm asking you to take a look at
6	this budget cycle.
7	One of the things that we focused on

One of the things that we focused on this year is STEM education. I think that a proper STEM education for all students is essential, be they in rural counties, be they in urban centers, be they in public schools or private schools. I think that's a central focus that we need to have in order to compete in the new economy.

Just one thing I wanted to point out about the nonpublic schools overall: There are \$11.4 billion annually contributed to

New York State because of the roughly 1900 hundred nonpublic schools. There's no question that the nonpublic schools remain an integral part of the overall state educational infrastructure. I think my main point, if I can make one today, is that to leave out 13 percent of the K-12 population

Τ	from these important skills would be bad
2	public policy long-term for the state.
3	That's the short and sweet version.
4	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Any comments?
5	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. Thank
6	you for being here, Director Adler.
7	I just had a quick question. Do your
8	schools currently provide STEM programs?
9	MR. ADLER: You know, I think there's
10	a large mix across the board of nonpublic
11	schools. Some nonpublic schools have very
12	good STEM education programs, some have
13	rather weak STEM education programs.
L 4	And I think, across the board, what
15	the main issue is is that there is a
16	fundamental problem retaining and keeping
17	these teachers as public school wages go up.
18	If I'm a teacher and I'm a STEM-certified
19	teacher, I want to be in a public school
20	where I'm going to be getting a full
21	allotment of NYSUT benefits or UFT benefits
22	in that full package.
23	So there's definitely a vacuum taking
24	talent out of those schools

1	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Right. And so what
2	percentage of your students are benefiting
3	from scholarships? Because you provide
4	scholarships, right?
5	MR. ADLER: You know, it's hard to get
6	an overall number, because a lot of people
7	don't want to talk about it. But I know that
8	I was at a school on the Upper East Side two
9	or three weeks ago, and 40 percent of their
10	students are on scholarship. And that's
11	considered one of the more affluent schools.
12	So it's interesting to see even in
13	what are considered the vanguard schools,
14	there is still a substantial population that
15	are actually receiving some financial
16	assistance.
17	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I agree with you
18	that every student deserves to get the
19	benefit of a STEM education. So thank you so
20	much.
21	SENATOR KRUEGER: I have a question.
22	MR. ADLER: Senator.
23	SENATOR KRUEGER: I think I know that
24	school in my district. And it's not unlike

1	the private colleges where, because of the
2	structure of the finances of how they
3	calculate up to \$30,000 a year annual
4	tuition, many students are in fact eligible
5	for some percentage of scholarship.
6	MR. ADLER: Right.
7	SENATOR KRUEGER: So I don't disagree.
8	But it's perhaps a little bit different than
9	Cathy understood her question to be.
10	The Catholic Conference people talked
11	about the academic success of the graduates
12	of their schools, and in fact I know that
13	that's statistically true. How many of the
14	students from the Orthodox school system end
15	up going on to college?
16	MR. ADLER: I'm sorry, can you repeat
17	that? I didn't hear
18	SENATOR KRUEGER: What percentage of
19	your graduates go to college?
20	MR. ADLER: I don't have the
21	percentage on the top of my head. I can get
22	that to you. I think there's obviously going
23	to be a difference between which segment of
24	the population you're looking at.

1	I think in some of the schools in your
2	neighborhood, they're to be significantly
3	higher than some of the other neighborhoods.
4	I don't want to single any one neighborhood
5	out.
6	Overall, I think the most important
7	thing here is to give the opportunity to all
8	the schools, as many schools as possible, to
9	raise that skill level up and that training
10	level up for all those kids. And I think
11	even the schools that traditionally have
12	lower or smaller programs, if you provide
13	this sort of opportunity, they will start
14	implementing it more.
15	SENATOR KRUEGER: I don't necessarily
16	disagree, but I would love to see some data
17	perhaps broken down by gender or the schools
18	to show me
19	MR. ADLER: I'd be happy to get that
20	for you.
21	SENATOR KRUEGER: because I have a
22	great concern that, at least in the City of
23	New York, too many of these schools that you
24	represent are not actually providing the

1	kinds of education that their children need
2	to compete in our economy when they finish.
3	MR. ADLER: I just want to say I agree
4	that every school should be providing a very
5	high quality education, especially in the
6	core subjects. And I'm looking forward to
7	work with you and everyone up here to
8	implement that.
9	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
10	MR. ADLER: Thank you, Senator.
11	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
12	MR. ADLER: Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
14	Steve Sanders, executive director,
15	Agencies for Children's Therapy Services,
16	ACTS.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: We're so happy
18	to see you here. And I just want to to
19	some of the people who testified just prior,
20	you know, we always want to ask questions,
21	but I've been trying to let others and let
22	the hearing go on. So I don't want Jim
23	Cultrara and the other gentleman to think we

don't care. We're just trying to get the

1	hearing moving, and you're always available
2	to us for questions when we reach out for
3	you. And some of the others, David Little
4	and some of the others. I just think it's
5	good to keep moving.
6	But I also just want to say how glad I
7	am to see Steve Sanders here today. Thank
8	you so much for coming.
9	MR. SANDERS: Well, thank you very
10	much, Chairwoman, and Chairman Farrell,
11	Chairwoman Young, friends, former colleagues.
12	Just as a very brief aside, I want to
13	thank Assemblyman Lopez for invoking the name
14	of Charlie Cook, especially at an Education
15	Committee budget hearing. His name isn't
16	used often enough, and his example as a
17	public servant for so many years in this
18	body, I think perhaps has been
19	underappreciated. He was one of a kind, and
20	I was privileged to work with him for a
21	number of years on education issues.
22	As Chairman Farrell said, my name is
23	Steve Sanders, I'm the director of Agencies
24	for Children's Therapy Services. This is a

1	statewide association of agencies that
2	provide Early Intervention and preschool
3	special education around the state.
4	I'm not following to read my brie

I'm not following to read my brief statement. I just want to make a couple of very quick observations and one recommendation.

My first observation is that big issues don't fall through the cracks. They don't always get resolved, they don't always get addressed, but they don't fall through cracks. They are taken note of.

Small but important issues, however, in thousands of pages of budget language can get lost in the shuffle. And I'm here to talk about one of those small but important issues. And you'll be happy to know I am not here to ask for any money, and I'm certainly not going to try to take up much of your time either. But I do want to bring your attention to one issue in the budget, which as I say could be easily overlooked, and it deals with a program called Preschool Integrated Special Class Programs.

1	So what is that? This is a program
2	that blends children with special education
3	IEPs these are kids who have special needs
4	in the pre-K setting with other kids who
5	don't have IEPs. So it is a merging of
6	youngsters with learning disabilities or
7	challenges with youngsters who don't have any
8	identifiable problems.

And it's a great thing to have kids of that age interacting together. It's really terrific for the socialization of both of them. So what's the issue? It's a very simple issue. The Governor wants to authorize the State Education Department to come up with a new funding methodology, a new rate and a new rate methodology. And that's okay. That's fine. That's fair. From time to time, we have to look at our funding sources and our funding mechanisms and rework them, and that's okay.

What I would ask of this joint committee is for you to do the same thing that you did a few years ago when the State Education Department was authorized also by

1	the Governor, approved by the Legislature, to
2	revamp the SEIT. That's Special Education
3	Itinerant Teaching program. This is the
4	program where the teachers come to the home
5	and actually provide instruction in the home.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And at that time, that rate and that methodology was ordered to be revamped. And what the Legislature did -- very wisely and very effectively, I would add -- is to insert a little bit of language to say that before the State Education Department came up with this new methodology and this new rate, that they consult in some manner the stakeholders. And that's very important, because as smart as the folks at SED are, as brilliant as this new commissioner is -- and I listened to her for all three and a half hours this morning, I think she's excellent -- very often providers of agencies, the ones who are on the front lines providing the services, know something about how those rates and how that methodology ought to evolve and develop.

So when this happened for the SEIT program a couple of years ago, it resulted in

1	a very good product, a fair I didn't agree
2	with every change, but it was a fair and
3	effective new methodology and new rate. And
4	I would ask you to do the same thing with the
5	Preschool Integrated Special Class Programs.
6	I assure you that through this
7	collaboration between SED and providers, it
8	can only benefit SED and the providers in
9	creating a product that takes into
10	consideration all the exigencies that neither
11	side may have an understanding of in its
12	totality, but when they collaborate, the
13	product is ultimately a very good one.
14	And that is it. That is my testimony.
15	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. It's
16	always great to see you again
17	MR. SANDERS: So is my pleasure.
18	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: and as always,
19	very articulate.
20	MR. SANDERS: Good luck with the rest
21	of this day, and the next two months. Thank
22	you very much.
23	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
24	much.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you, Steve.
2	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Michael Martucci,
3	New York School Bus Contractors Association,
4	and Jimmy Hedge, vice president, ATU Local
5	1181, New York School Bus Contractors
6	Association.
7	MR. CORDIELLO: Good afternoon.
8	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Good afternoon.
9	MR. CORDIELLO: Actually, I'm Michael
10	Cordiello, president of ATU Local 1181, and I
11	will try to I'm not going to read my
12	entire statement. I've highlighted it, and
13	try and get through this quickly.
14	I'm president of Local 1181,
15	Amalgamated Transit union, and vice president
16	of New York State AFL-CIO. I am joined with
17	Jimmy Hedge, recording secretary and
18	political director of Local 1181 of the
19	Amalgamated Transit Union, and vice chair of
20	the ATU-NY State Legislative Conference
21	Board.
22	Local 1181 represents some 12,000
23	school bus drivers, matrons, and mechanics
24	who every day provide safe, efficient

1	transportation	to	about	160,000	New	York	City
2	schoolchildren.	•					

I am here today for two reasons. First, I want to thank both the Assembly and the Senate for your support of our industry and for passing legislation sponsored by Assemblyman Daniel O'Donnell and Senator Marty Golden that would achieve stability in the school bus transportation industry, ensure the safe and reliable transportation for millions of schoolchildren, and provide a living wage and decent benefits for hardworking, skilled and experienced workers.

This legislation was sent to the

Governor for his review at the end of

November. After his review, the Governor

vetoed this legislation primarily, he said,

because it needed to be included in the

budget process.

This leads me to the second reason for being here today, to ask both houses to include this school bus industry proposal in their one-house budgets. I have included a copy of the bill draft with my testimony as

1	Attachment 1. I believe that this draft will
2	give us a path forward to finally stabilize
3	this industry, provide decent wages and
4	benefits to the workforce and, of course,
5	provide safe and reliable transportation to
6	New York City schoolchildren.

I am happy to go into any history or background you would like, but given that the hour is late and time is short, I will refer you to my written testimony for the specifics, and I just want to highlight these main points.

The Employee Protection Provision,
which I'll refer to as the EPP, had been
included in all transportation contracts
issued by the City of New York since 1979,
and in forms of the EPP even earlier than
that. Under the EPP, private bus company
employees, whether they are members of Local
1181, another union, or no union at all, who
are laid off due to a termination of a
contract between their employer and the
Department of Education, are, on the basis of
their seniority in the industry, given

priority in hiring by the new contractors who are retained by the Department of Education.

The EPPs ensure that workers in the industry retain their wages, medical and pension benefits, which stabilizes the pension fund. Currently, there is a \$250 million withdrawal liability, which New York City might be on the hook for. And with the inclusion of the EPPs back in the bids, we would get an exemption to the withdrawal liability. With the EPPs in place, the Department of Education is able to retain the most experienced, skilled drivers and matrons to best serve the children and give the parents peace of mind.

As a result of the ill-advised decision of the Bloomberg Administration to remove the EPPs, the entire school bus transportation industry in the City of New York has been destabilized. With the inclusion of EPPs in school bus contracts for so many years, there was a stabilizing effect on the workforce. Turnover was low, job actions and strikes were nonexistent.

1 Without the EPPs, this is no longer the case.

For drivers and matrons in the industry in those days, it was a career for those who chose to do that type of work. Now it has become a transient job which pays low wages, no medical, and has currently caused a shortage of drivers in New York City.

The administration of Mayor Bill de
Blasio supports restoring the EPP to the RFPs
and RFBs of the City of New York. In
addition to the support of the mayor, we also
have the support of the AFL-CIO, the
Teamsters, the Central Labor Council, the
Long Island Federation of Labor, and the
New York State Contractors Association, which
includes many of the bus companies that bid
on these RFBs or RFPs. And parent advocacy
groups, including Parents to Improve School
Transportation.

In addition to supporting legislation to require that inclusion of the EPPs, we also support a proposal by the New York State School Bus Contractors Association that would change how extensions of existing

1	transportation contracts are priced. And I
2	will let them cover the specifics in their
3	proposal.
4	In conclusion, Local 1181 strongly
5	encourages you to include this package of
6	proposals in your proposed budgets. We know
7	that these proposals will once gain stabilize
8	the school bus transportation industry in the
9	City of New York and return to a time when
10	there was a stable and reliable workforce
11	available to transport our most vulnerable
12	schoolchildren. After all, safety is our
13	ultimate goal.
14	Thank you.
15	MR. MARTUCCI: Thank you, Mike.
16	Good evening, Chairman Farrell and
17	Chairwoman Young and the entire committee.
18	Thank you for staying to this late hour on
19	Valentine's Day with us. I too will be
20	brief.
21	My name is Michael Martucci. I'm the
22	owner of quality bus service and the

president of the New York School Bus

Contractors Association, and to my right is

23

Bree Allen, association vice president.

As Mr. Cordiello said, we come with a

package of reforms, three items that are

critical to the school bus industry here in

New York. He spoke to the first one,

Employee Protection Provisions for New York

City school bus workers, and I'll be speaking

briefly to the next two.

Again, thank you to both houses of our Legislature that supported legislation last year with regard to these items.

First, the Employment Cost Index. The Employment Cost Index -- we proposed to have the Employment Cost Index replace the CPI, or the Consumer Price Index, for contract extensions here in New York State school transportation contract extensions. At present the CPI is simply an index that does not make sense for school transportation, because most of our costs are employment costs. And in order for us to forward our budgets responsibly and in order to help our school districts forward their budgets responsibly, it simply would be an index

1	that's	more	indicative	of	transportation
2	costs.				

Second, the ECI is a relatively static or steady number. Over the past 10 years,

ECI has hovered just around 2 percent,

whereas CPI has increased and decreased, even just in the last year, as you see. We're expecting nearly a 2 percent increase in CPI.

So this assists our districts partners in managing their budgets well.

Third and finally is a provision that we've been talking about for several years and we were very happy to have your support on last year, which is the exemption of sales tax on school buses. Very simply, the school buses in New York State presently are subject to sales tax, as are the parts and the fuel associated with those school buses. And that's a cost that we're passing directly along to our school district customers each and every day here in the state.

There's an estimated \$14 million of savings. By exempting buses from sales tax, we would be able to pass that savings along

1	to our districts. Essentially now it's
2	simply a budget merry-go-round where the cost
3	of sales tax is included in our invoice, our
4	cost of services to the district, and the
5	school districts with your help in terms
6	of state aid and the local taxpayers are
7	essentially bearing the cost of that sales
8	tax.
9	So in sum, these three items again
10	really are critical to maintaining the safety
11	of the students that we transport here in
12	New York, some 2.3 million students each day.
13	And certainly I think one thing that we all
14	share in common here at the table,
15	representing both management and labor in
16	this industry, is that the most important
17	thing that we do and the thing that we're
18	entrusted with is the safety of our kids.
19	And we really want to preserve that moving
20	forward.
21	We thank you for your help and your
22	support in doing so.
23	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So it's great when

1	labor and management can get together on
2	important issues. So thank you so much for
3	being here today.
4	MR. CORDIELLO: Thank you for letting
5	us testify.
6	MR. MARTUCCI: Thank you. Thank you
7	all for your time.
8	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Peter Mannella,
9	executive director, New York Association of
10	Pupil Transportation.
11	Randi Levine will be next, and then
12	Todd Vaarwerk. And if you come down, you can
13	get in quicker. Come on down if you're going
L 4	to be testifying.
15	MR. MANNELLA: Good evening or good
16	afternoon.
17	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Good afternoon.
18	MR. MANNELLA: Happy Valentine's Day.
19	In order to gain myself some points, I
20	would share my new grandfatherhood with all
21	of you. And it's mellowed me, so I won't be
22	the contentious person I normally am.
23	My name is Peter Mannella, executive
2.4	director of the New York Association for

1	Pupil Transportation. Our members are
2	dedicated to the safe and efficient
3	transportation of the 2.3 million children
4	who ride school buses every day. We share
5	that mission of safety and efficiency with
6	our friends from the Contractors Association
7	New York, you should know, has one of
8	the best safety records in the nation for
9	school bus transportation, and that's due to
10	the consistent excellence of the
11	professionals who manage the programs,
12	maintain the buses, train the drivers and
13	attendants, do the dispatching and routing,
14	and actually sit behind the wheel of the
15	school bus and drive. They're among the most
16	dedicated people you'd ever want to meet.
17	We come here today with several
18	requests, and I'll try to keep them brief
19	because you have our written statement, which
20	has some documentation contained.
21	First, we thank the Governor and the
22	Legislature for continued support of

transportation aid as an expense-based aid

and for fully funding that year in and year

23

1	out. It's a very important service for our
2	school districts and for our students.
3	Without that yellow bus, those 2.5 million
4	children would not get to the education to
5	which they're entitled.

So we support the Governor's budget proposal which fully funds school transportation.

Second, we are looking for help in an area that continues to grow and that this

Legislature has talked about expanding, and that's in prekindergarten programs. Whether there are one or seven, those children need transportation and we'd like to expand transportation services to cover them.

The problem right now is that school districts are precluded from requesting transportation aid to reimburse them for the costs of that transportation. Chapter 241 that was passed in 2012 allowed districts to do the transportation, but very specifically carved them out in terms of reimbursement for those costs. And we'd request that that be rectified in this budget. We've brought this

1	to your attention the past couple of years,
2	and we hope that this is the year that some
3	attention can be paid to it.

We know that several advocacy groups as well as the other education lobbies are supportive of this, and we hope that they'll voice that support in the coming weeks.

Three, an area that is not talked about often, but becomes increasingly important in light of the prekindergarten transportation which puts littler kids on our buses that need more attention; security concerns in terms of some acts of violence, even potentially terrorism or just student violence on the bus; and, three, the issue of bullying and harassment and disputes between children on the bus.

And the issue is monitors. Currently, schools cannot be reimbursed for the cost of school bus monitors. They can be reimbursed for the cost of a school bus attendant that's identified in the student's Individual Education plan, according to special ed laws in the state. But that monitor that's put on

1	there for an additional set of eyes and hands
2	for the school bus driver or to help maintain
3	order on the bus or to keep the kids safe
4	getting on and off the bus, that cost is not
5	in fact allowable for transportation aid.

We think with the kind of mounting number of issues for which that second adult might be useful and beneficial, that we should revisit the issue of monitors being eligible for transportation aid.

Fourth would be the issue of our school bus driver training fund. We currently spend and have spent consistently, since 1997, \$400,000 a year on school bus driver training programs. That's still only \$400,000. I don't do math well, but I think its value right now is about \$300,000 in inflation.

We're requesting an increase to \$500,000, and we've identified in our testimony four areas that we think that the Education Department would do well to invest that. We're not asking you to carve it out specifically, but at a minimum we would like

1	to work with the Education Department on
2	pre-K transportation, some special ed
3	transportation issues, bullying-related
4	transportation issues, and giving drivers the
5	skills they need in case there is an act of
6	violence on their school bus. Again, the
7	increase would be to \$500,000, the first in
8	20 years.

And lastly, we're asking for funding to help -- and this could be built into

Transportation Aid or Building Aid, but we need to have security around the school buses in the form of fencing, lighting, cameras, surveillance equipment. About 40 percent of the 50,000 buses in this state are in lots now that are open, and those are just bright yellow invitations to trouble.

And we've had a number of incidents that we've recorded in our testimony around the state, many in rural areas and suburban areas. But I think this is something we need to find a way to address, particularly with some of the instances that are going on in our society.

Τ.	we le ploud of the fecold we ve
2	attained, we're grateful for the support
3	you've shown for transportation over the
4	years, and we look forward to working with
5	you in the coming weeks.
6	And I can answer any questions you
7	have. Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
9	MR. MANNELLA: Thank you.
10	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, Peter,
11	for everything. Look forward to talking with
12	you soon. So thank you.
13	MR. MANNELLA: Thank you very much.
L 4	Have a good evening.
15	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Randi Levine,
17	policy coordinator, Advocates for Children of
18	New York.
19	MS. LEVINE: Thank you for the
20	opportunity to speak with you today. My name
21	is Randi Levine, and I am policy director of
22	Advocates for Children of New York.
23	For 45 years, Advocates for Children
2.4	has worked to ensure a high-quality education

1	for students who face barriers to academic
2	success, with a focus on students from
3	low-income backgrounds. Every year we help
4	thousands of students and families navigate
5	the education system, and based on this
6	experience we have a number of
7	recommendations.

First, we were glad today to see
attention paid to the need for multiple
pathways to a high school diploma. In 2016,
about 20 percent of New York students failed
to graduate from high school in four years.
For English language learners, only
27 percent graduated within four years, and
only 52 percent of students with disabilities
graduated in this timeline.

Career and Technical Education

programs can help close the high school

graduation gap. These programs can be

particularly helpful for students with

disabilities and English language learners,

who often struggle in traditional classroom

settings. Unfortunately, however, these

students often encounter barriers to

l accessing	CTE	programs.

2	We are very pleased that the Executive
3	Budget renews the state's \$1 million
4	investment to provide CTE programs with
5	support and resources to help eliminate such
6	barriers for ELLs and students with
7	disabilities, and we urge you to include this
8	funding in the budget.
9	Currently, students who have mastered
10	state standards but who struggle on
11	high-stakes Regents exams are unable to
12	graduate from high school in New York State.
13	Performance-based assessments would provide
14	these students with an opportunity to
15	demonstrate their knowledge and skills so
16	they can receive a high school diploma. In
17	its State Budget priorities, the Board of
18	Regents requested \$8 million to develop
19	performance-based assessments, but this
20	funding is not in the Executive Budget. And

24 Second, students in temporary housing.

21

22

23

we urge the Legislature to include \$8 million

to pilot performance-based assessments as

alternatives to high school Regents exams.

1	We are very pleased that the Executive Budget
2	includes changes to state law to align with
3	the recent federal changes to the
4	McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act. The
5	important changes include things such as
6	extending protections for students in
7	temporary housing to preschool students and
8	addressing barriers to participation in
9	summer school and after-school activities.
10	We fully support the proposed McKinney-Vento
11	Act amendments in the Executive Budget.
12	We do have one additional
13	recommendation that we have in more detail in
14	our written testimony. We're requesting that
15	in cases where public transportation would
16	not be a viable option for a student in
16 17	not be a viable option for a student in temporary housing to get to their original
17	temporary housing to get to their original
17	temporary housing to get to their original school, that school districts be required to
17 18 19	temporary housing to get to their original school, that school districts be required to provide an alternative form of
17 18 19 20	temporary housing to get to their original school, that school districts be required to provide an alternative form of transportation. This applies largely in
17 18 19 20 21	temporary housing to get to their original school, that school districts be required to provide an alternative form of transportation. This applies largely in New York City, where many students in

1	students such as those with a parent who has
2	a physical disability and can't transport
3	their young child on multiple subways in
4	order to keep their child in their original
5	school when they become homeless.

Next, school climate. Schools need to create safe school environments, but suspensions can create more problems than they solve because they force students to miss valuable instructional time and fail to address issues that underlie the student's behavior.

We recommend that the budget include \$50 million for a new competitive grant program that allows schools to implement or expand positive approaches to discipline such as restorative practices training for school staff and administrators, peer mediation training and facilitation, and additional guidance counselors, social workers, and school psychologists.

Next, pre-K. We are grateful to Governor Cuomo and to the Legislature for increasing funding for pre-K, allowing

1	New York City to reach the milestone of
2	having a full-day pre-K seat available for
3	every 4-year-old. However, we know that the
4	state has more work to do in order to provide
5	universal access to all children across the
6	state. We appreciate the additional \$5
7	million in the Executive Budget, but it
8	doesn't go far enough. We would recommend an
9	additional \$125 million in order to help meet
10	the need.

We also support the consolidation of pre-K programs but do want to make sure that all school districts are able to sustain the funding that they've had in prior years so they can continue the pre-K programs that already exist.

English language learners. We support the Board of Regents' request for an additional \$100 million in order to support English language learners. And our written testimony echoes the Board of Regents in terms of how that funding could be spent effectively to help this population that really does need additional support right

now.

2	Once again, as in past years, the
3	Executive Budget includes a special education
4	waiver. We are very concerned about the fact
5	that this would allow school districts to
6	seek waivers from important protections for
7	students with disabilities. We appreciate
8	that the Legislature has rejected this
9	proposal in the past and ask you to ensure
10	that the final budget does not include this
11	proposal this year.

And just quickly, we echo the call for increased Foundation Aid. We think that Foundation Aid needs to be increased by at least \$2 billion over last year, and to reject the elimination of the Foundation Aid formula in future years.

Our written testimony contains more information on these recommendations as well as a few others. Thank you for your time, and I'm happy to answer any questions that you have.

23 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.

24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: I have a

1	question,	just a	brief	one.	Ι	know	we'	re
2	running so	late,	but	. .				

You know, there was an article -- you guys are some of the leading proponents about multiple pathways to graduation. We would love working with you. We've known you guys a long time. But if you notice, the New York Times yesterday wrote that State Ed "did they lower the bar for graduation, or did they provide multiple pathways for students?"

And I have to say, you know, advocates come in here every day -- we're here on our tenth hour -- but nobody helps us clarify what went on. So what do you think went on? Did we lower the bar, or did we provide multiple pathways for students? Would you comment on that?

MS. LEVINE: Advocates for Children certainly fully supports the need to develop additional pathways in order to reach a high school diploma. We do believe that there are multiple ways for students to demonstrate that they've mastered rigorous standards, and we think that the state has taken important

1	steps	toward	doing	that,	and	that	it	should
2	contir	nue to d	do that	<u>.</u>				

We also worry in certain cases about
4
not --

to have it both ways, but sometimes you can't. So what I would suggest for a group like yours is when you read an article like that, you consider writing a letter to the editor to talk about your work, which has influenced State Ed's decisions, but then when the decisions are made that help those students and there's criticism, we don't get the support. And it's very, very frustrating.

So no disrespect, love the group, not trying to seize on you. Lots of groups. But read the papers. You know, if you feel that they've done something that's valuable, say it. Because otherwise we've had 10 years of back-and-forth on that question. And I really don't believe we've lowered the bar. I think we've made it easier for students who have challenges to not be held accountable by

1	only a Regents test. We've said there are
2	some other pathways.
3	But we're going to need people like
4	you to support that when other people take
5	shots and then it's in the newspaper. That's
6	all I'm saying.
7	MS. LEVINE: We would definitely be
8	happy to work with you on that. Advocates
9	for children leads the coalition for multiple
10	pathways to a diploma, and we would love to
11	work with you and others on that.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Thank you.
13	Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Yes?
15	SENATOR KRUEGER: You know, it was a
16	parallel question, so we're done. Thank you.
17	MS. LEVINE: Thank you.
18	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
19	much.
20	Todd Vaarwerk, director, advocacy and
21	public policy, WNY Independent Living, for
22	New York Association of Independent Living.
23	MR. VAARWERK: Thank you so much. I
24	know at this part of the day my last name is

1	extremely	hard t	o pronounce

2	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: So what is it?
3	MR. VAARWERK: Var-work. Vaarwerk is
4	my name. And I work for the Independent
5	Living Center in Buffalo, and I also have the
6	pleasure of delivering the testimony for the
7	New York Association on Independent Living,
8	which are 41 locations that assist people
9	with disabilities from birth to death in
10	actualizing integrated and empowered lives.

I'm not going to read my testimony. I really want to get to the high point. And I'm going to be honest, this is the third year in a row I've done this and I'm really looking for some help.

We need the budgets to support the

Regents' recommendation to move the New York

State Independent Living allocation to

\$18 million, and here's why. Back in

Buffalo, where I'm from, Medicaid redesign

has been both a blessing and a curse. And
when we're dealing with schoolchildren and
young adults in transition, which is a

required service paradigm for Independent

1	Living Centers, and adults who are done with
2	the education system that we are serving day
3	to day, we are the last line of defense in ar
4	area where silos are deepening.

Where Medicaid redesign was meant to make things easier for people to access the services that they need to stay healthy, we're finding that consumers of all ages are having increased difficulty getting those services, in school and out of school.

Independent Living are the only people that can cross those silos.

For example, if you're classified by your school district as having a developmental disability, you'll get services from OPWDD, if you know enough to apply and get eligible. But you won't get mental health services if you need those services under an OPWDD waiver, because OMH won't accept them.

Consequently, on the other side, if you're classified as emotionally disturbed, which is a really bad classification for a mental health disability, you can get

1	counseling services and services from the
2	Office of Mental Health. But if you have a
3	co-occurring disability that requires
4	additional services, that becomes really,
5	really difficult to get.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And the Centers for Independent Living in the state have been fighting that battle and going into those silos and doing it year after year. And every year I come and I say, please invest in the Independent Living Networks, and last year you did. You gave us a million dollars, which is basically a cost of living that -- because we haven't been covered in 12 years. And then, somewhere in state government, the language became "it shouldn't be used to support the network of centers, it should be used to create new ones." Now, while I'm not going to begrudge communities that need an Independent Living Center to have one, what that basically did was gut the entire support for the rest of the network.

We really need to show that these services are critical, from the child who

1	goes to Early Intervention the first day, to
2	the high schooler who's in transition to try
3	and find work in a technical trade, all the
4	way to the adults who may not have been
5	appropriately served by their district but
6	now they need help in getting a job or
7	getting support so that they can live
8	independently. Because if they don't, if we
9	don't give them the supports, where they're
10	going to end up is nursing homes and
11	psychiatric institutions where the average
12	cost is, according to my region of the state
13	alone, \$124,000 per person per year, most of
14	which is just paid for out of Medicaid
15	dollars.
16	That's pretty much all I have. I'm
17	available to answer any questions that you
18	might have. I'm just it's a long day.
19	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
20	Questions?
21	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
22	It is a long day, and I appreciate
23	your coming up here every year. And I think
24	the navigator role I think you used the

1	word in the testimony is critically
2	important.
3	MR. VAARWERK: Yes.
4	SENATOR KRUEGER: I'm a little
5	confused why we've sort of put you in the
6	education budget, because I think maybe you
7	get lost because you're doing so many
8	important things but they all seem to impact
9	many other state funding streams.
10	MR. VAARWERK: Well, I'm going to say
11	that that was a classic legislative decision.
12	That was back when the Independent Living
13	movement was first founded.
14	We've tried to create a State Office
15	for Community Living where independent living
16	and those related community services could
17	move under there and kind of be represented
18	by themselves. But while we continue to
19	support that proposal and would like that
20	proposal to move forward, there was
21	significant resistance, primarily from the
22	aging community, which we're still working

Yes, and in the Education Department

through.

1	we are sometimes kind of forgotten. This
2	year, however, we have the Regents' support
3	to move the allocation to \$18 million and
4	were surprised to discover that we were
5	level-funded at 13.2.
6	SENATOR KRUEGER: And is there another
7	agency that would potentially be more
8	logical, OCFS
9	MR. VAARWERK: We have that
10	conversation every few years.
11	SENATOR KRUEGER: Health?
12	MR. VAARWERK: It's a thing where
13	independent living doesn't fit a particular
14	mold for anything. For example, if you moved
15	us out of Education and you moved us to
16	Labor, Labor would want us to concentrate on
17	jobs. And not everything that we do is
18	always concentrating on jobs.
19	So it's that question of where the
20	appropriate place is, which is why the
21	administrative direction was to go to a State
22	Office on Community Living, to follow the
23	very successful federal example. And I was
24	shocked with the level of resistance that the

1	aging community had to joining and bringing
2	that efficiency to New York.
3	SENATOR KRUEGER: Because you even
4	in your testimony, you reference Medicaid
5	redesign.
6	MR. VAARWERK: Yes.
7	SENATOR KRUEGER: And as we all know,
8	having been living through it for multiple
9	years now, when you make the argument that by
10	providing some basic community-level services
11	you actually help people stay in their own
12	homes, be independent, move on with their
13	lives and save the state funding streams an
14	enormous amount of money, disproportionately
15	through the health/mental health/OPWDD
16	categories, which are all somehow always
17	Medicaid-interrelated
18	MR. VAARWERK: In Medicaid redesign,
19	they call those social determinants. I was
20	shocked to find out, when I gave testimony
21	recently to the Department of Health, that
22	only a very small amount of the Medicaid
23	redesign money that was eligible for billing

last year went to agencies like mine that

1	dealt with social determinants. It was
2	\$12 million out of an estimated pool of
3	\$1.8 billion.

But again, the problem with that is that funding comes with restrictions. There are things that we are not allowed to do with that money. I can't help a person with multiple sclerosis with OPWDD money. I can't help a developmentally disabled person with OMH money I receive. And DOH money comes with restrictions. You can only help people that meet the criteria for the program DOH is funding. And basically what that does is that creates a lot of mini-silos that we have to figure out a way to navigate so that we can help the consumers navigate them and be more efficient.

SENATOR KRUEGER: So even though you talked about the example of having issues when you were exploring a certain model because of concerns in the aging community -- I come from New York City, so I don't know if this term means anything upstate, but we have one-stop-shop sites for seniors to come to to

1	explore getting access and help with a whole
2	range of different services and benefits a
3	senior might need.

And it seems to me that's not so different than a Center for Independent --

MR. VAARWERK: No, actually it isn't.

And we locally in upstate have a very good reputation with our Offices on Aging and the Aging Disability Resource Centers. Aging is doing things like expanding the NY Connects program, and centers are becoming very big parts of that. I think it was the idea of combining them under the department that met the most resistance.

SENATOR KRUEGER: I hope that we can be helpful, because I know for a fact that these are incredibly valuable and important programs throughout the state. I've worked with the centers in New York City, know how irreplaceable they are, and frankly the concept that we just keep seeing you being reduced in dollars -- because your testimony points out we added two more sites, which I'm sure is wonderful and we needed them, but it

1	just ended up reducing your budgets per
2	center even more.
3	MR. VAARWERK: Yes, and I want to
4	highlight that that came to us in the middle
5	of a budget year. After State Ed had
6	informed us to do a budget mod to cover the
7	raise for cost of living, they subsequently
8	looked at the language and then retroactively
9	sent out something that demanded we reduce.
10	At my location, we put all of that
11	into salaries so that we could hold on to
12	people that have been very valuably trained
13	in some pretty obscure issues of disability,
14	and we needed not to fill positions that were
15	vacant in order to meet the demand to take
16	the cut in midyear.
17	SENATOR KRUEGER: I'm sorry you're
18	going through so much trouble. Thank you
19	very much.
20	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
21	MR. VAARWERK: My pleasure.
22	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
23	Westbury Union Free School District,

Mary A. Lagnado, superintendent.

Τ,	SUPERINTENDENT LAGNADO: GOOd
2	afternoon, members of the Senate and the
3	Assembly. Thank you so much for allowing me
4	this testimony. We have been waiting for a
5	long time to talk to you and tell you our
6	story, what is happening in Westbury.
7	As you can see from the testimony, we
8	are a school district that has been focused
9	only on the educational achievement of our
10	students. And one of our goals was achieved
11	this year, as read in the testimony, that
12	89 percent of our African-American students
13	graduated in the four-year cohort, as
14	compared to 68 percent statewide.
15	Additionally, 84 percent of our graduating
16	students were college and career ready.
17	Now we have a dilemma that is New York
18	State-induced. The funding formula is
19	ill-suited to declining wealth and
20	high-growth enrollment districts like
21	Westbury. Alone in Westbury we've increased,
22	from 2007, when we had 4,037 students, to our
23	present 5,388. That is an enrollment growth
24	of 30 percent over these 10 years, with

1	diverse groups and with the impact of
2	multiple fiscal variables.
3	How do you maintain a high rate of
4	achievement and go forward with a
5	constraining Foundation Aid base?
6	Historically, as you all know, free and
7	reduced-price lunch applications has been the
8	basis for extraordinary needs funding. In
9	some measure, extraordinary needs
10	calculations were the proxy for poverty. One
11	reason that we are severely underfunded is
12	because Westbury schools are located in a
13	pocket of poverty in the extremely wealthy
14	county of Nassau.
15	And let me give you the rates that
16	portray Westbury's dilemma. We have
17	86 percent per the free and reduced lunch
18	applications, 19.3 percent per the Title I
19	federal Census, and 8.4 percent with the
20	Census data of SAIPE.
21	How are these above rates equalized
22	for state aid purposes? Last year the New
23	York State Education Department State Aid

Committee began the process to redefine state

1	and because of now the Community Eligibility
2	Provision, CEP, of the School Breakfast and
3	Lunch Program displaced the free and reduced
4	lunch applications as a methodology for state
5	aid distribution. Westbury is 100 percent
6	CEP. In other words, our direct
7	certification rate a combination of SNAP,
8	which is food stamps, recipients and Medicaid
9	eligibility residents define that status.
10	It is the new definition of poverty. The
11	State Education subcommittee reported to you,
12	and subsequently the Regents made a
13	recommendation to the Governor. The most
14	discriminatory aspects of the recommendations
15	are in the present Governor's proposal.
16	The present state aid runs do not
17	reflect the dramatically increasing fiscal
18	requirements for the economically
19	disadvantaged student population of the
20	Westbury School District. Now the Governor
21	will use the Census Small Area Income Poverty
22	Estimator, SAIPE, for calculating state aid
23	distribution and freeze the base again. The
24	Census SAIPE calculation for Nassau County is

1	8.4 percent. That means that Westbury's data
2	set is averaged with the rest of
3	Nassau County for fixing the Foundation Aid
4	formula. In terms of Title I funding,
5	Westbury and New York State distribution for
6	state aid is not equally distributed.
7	Westbury will not be fighting the
8	federal government, nor will Westbury be
9	suing New York State, even though others have
10	suggested this path. So what are our
11	options?
12	And I wanted to talk about this,
13	because one factor when state aid is
14	distributed, everyone looks at the fund
15	balance that a school district has. Yes,
16	those are the reserves, those are the
17	distributions that we save for a rainy day.
18	Well, in Westbury it's pouring. The fund
19	balance reserves are diminishing.
20	Westbury has been well-managed. In
21	fact, right now we have zero fiscal stress.
22	I myself have received the Eagle Award, the
23	ASBO national achievement for educational
24	leadership. My team of administrators and

1	teachers has developed a culture of learning.
2	We have a professional learning community.
3	We have an after-school program where over
4	1,000 middle school students are attending
5	every day. And my middle school is a focus
6	school. And three years in a row, we have
7	applied for funding for extended day without
8	any success. Funding has been denied for zip
9	code 11568, Old Westbury, where only 58 of
10	our 6,127 students reside that is 25
11	students per square mile. But neither the
12	state nor the federal funding sources sees
13	the census tracts for Westbury's New Cassel,
14	where there are 4,000 students per square
15	mile. Ninety-nine percent of our in-district
16	students are African-American and Hispanic.
17	But let me get back to the fund
18	balance a little bit, and I'll finish

balance a little bit, and I'll finish

quickly. Because of Part 154 and other

mandates, we cannot downsize. We don't have

that luxury. Westbury is precluded from

cutting our budget. We even have a directive

from the Attorney General to provide

specialized services. We need a plan for the

1	long-term.	We need an	equitable	funding	base
2	to plan for	the future			

Our wealthy neighbors Jericho and Carle Place, their Foundation Aid formulas are 152.6 percent and 71.7 percent, respectively. Westbury has been funded at 39.7 percent of phase-in Foundation Aid for the last several years. And subsequently we will be out of unrestricted reserves by June of 2019.

As my testimony shows, we have been appropriated. We've been appropriated for the unrestricted fund balance and our restricted reserves. And by the measures and by our calculations, by June of 2019 we will be out of those reserves.

The only other option for Westbury
will be if it is made whole by placing a
floor of 55 percent of the phase-in
Foundation Aid formula. That would help
32 districts statewide, creating a better
fiscal base at the cost of \$48.4 million. If
you implemented a phase-in of, let's say,
only 50 percent, it would impact 14 districts

1	at a cost of \$22.8 million.
2	Our options are defined by mandates
3	and lack of funding. Help us to request an
4	equitable Foundation Aid formula that does
5	not include such a low baseline. If a
6	baseline is to go into effect, we ask that
7	you make the basis at least 55 percent of the
8	phase-in percentage.
9	I thank you for the opportunity and
10	for the time, and I do want to thank you all
11	for all of the support we have previously
12	received, and we hope that that will
13	continue.
14	I have my trustee, Karin Campbell,
15	here, former board president, and the
16	president of our school board, Robin Bolling,
17	who traveled from Westbury with me today.
18	So thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
20	Assemblywoman Simon has just joined
21	us.
22	Assemblyman Ra.

ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you, Denny.

And thank you guys for being here.

23

1	you know, I represent a portion of this
2	district, and we had a pretty good
3	conversation a few weeks ago with some of the
4	other Assembly representatives and our
5	Senator, Elaine Phillips, who's here as well.
6	And one of the things I asked you that day,
7	but I just wanted to ask it again so you can
8	put it on the record for my colleagues here,
9	is regarding our Part 154 in terms of, you
10	know, how many teachers you've had to hire,
11	so what the staff impact is both in terms of
12	actual employees and fiscally, because this
13	is a district that is unique in that so many
14	districts are either flat enrollment or
15	having reduced enrollment. And as you show
16	in the chart there, this is a district that
17	continues to grow in enrollment.
18	SUPERINTENDENT LAGNADO: Well, thank
19	you. In trying to answer that question, I'd
20	like to say that as of July 1st of 2016, our
21	high school has enrolled 200 additional
22	students. Right now, in the high school that
23	was built for 1200 students, we have 1600.
24	And most of them are children of other

1	countries	comina	in	1.7 i + h	limitad	languago
T	Countries	COMITING	\perp II	$W \perp U $	TIMITUEA	Tallquage.

So as you mentioned, we have had to hire about 38 new teachers this year. And 12 of them were, of course, retirees, but the most is for the ELL teachers, for our special education teachers.

And all of the districts are now having to hire because of Part 154. So we're all competing from the same pool. And right now it almost took us since September, we've been trying to hire a bilingual reading teacher, and just right now we were able to secure one.

So it's becoming more difficult because we don't know who's coming through the door. Although we prepare and we do budgets and we do projections, and we know how many we're going to hire, when it comes from July, it's unending, we are getting more and more children enrolling in our district. Therefore, we don't know what specialized licenses we need. It's an ongoing basis.

And recruitment is becoming a problem, not only because of the certifications but

1	also because of the fiscal constraints and
2	our money constraints that we have.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you.
4	SUPERINTENDENT LAGNADO: Thank you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. We
6	appreciate your testimony very much, and we
7	wish you safe travels as you go back. So
8	thank you.
9	SUPERINTENDENT LAGNADO: Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
11	much.
12	Now, New York State PTA, the executive
13	director, Kyle I'm not going there.
14	MS. BELOKOPITSKY: You don't have to.
15	I understand, chairman. It's a tough last
16	name.
17	SENATOR KRUEGER: We wanted you to
18	pronounce it. None of us thought we could.
19	MS. BELOKOPITSKY: Belokopitsky.
20	SENATOR KRUEGER: Of course.
21	MS. BELOKOPITSKY: Yes. I went from a
22	nice Irish-Catholic Kyle McCauley to Kyle
23	Belokopitsky when I married.
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: We're so happy

1	that parents are represented in the great job
2	that you're doing, Kyle. Very great job.
3	MS. BELOKOPITSKY: Thank you so much,
4	Assemblywoman.
5	I am Kyle McCauley Belokopitsky, the
6	executive director of the New York State
7	Congress of Parents and Teachers, and am
8	extremely proud to represent 300,000 members
9	of the New York State PTA and the families of
10	2.46 million schoolchildren.
11	Thank you to Chairman Farrell, Senator
12	Young, Assemblywoman Nolan, Senator
13	Marcellino, and other members of the
14	Legislature for your interest and attendance
15	today.
16	I will not read our testimony but
17	briefly summarize a few points.
18	New York schools have amazing
19	successes, and I am a proud public school
20	parent. We have more students taking
21	advanced placement examinations, more Intel
22	Science and Siemens award winners than most
23	states. Our students excel in Career and
24	Technical Education, they perform and create

1 amazing works of art.

23

24

2 However, our challenges are real too. 3 While some school districts have declining enrollment, more than 200 school districts 4 5 show growth in New York. We have some of the biggest city school districts and some of the 6 7 smallest rural schools. More than 50 percent of our students in New York now live in some 8 level of poverty, half of them qualifying for 9 10 free or reduced-price lunch, and many 11 students have special learning or education 12 needs. Our schools welcome thousands of ELL 13 students, some with interrupted formal 14 education, some as unaccompanied minors who 15 speak more than 200 languages in our schools. More than 100,000 students are homeless or 16 living in temporary housing. And our schools 17 18 continue to do more with less, trying each and every day to move a child on a pathway to 19 20 good citizenship and graduation. 21 The need to provide students and 22 schools with resources has never been more

important, and the New York State PTA calls

for a \$2.0 billion increase in school aid, to

1	include \$1.5 billion for current school
2	services and \$500 million to address priority
3	programs.

We are greatly concerned with proposed changes to the school aid formula which eliminates the predictability necessary to plan for future school aid calculations. We do not support the proposal to use the previous year's Foundation Aid as a base, especially because it does not include the previous fiscal shortfalls of more than \$4 billion, with a "b."

We also join in calls for tax cap reforms, common-sense exemptions, and at least a real 2 percent levy limit.

On English language learners and their families, near and dear to PTA's heart,

New York State has long been the gateway for immigrant success and the door to the

American dream. Adequately supporting our ELL students and their families is most important, and we ask that you consider additional dedicated funding for ELL students and unaccompanied minor students, as well as

Ţ	review of the cost of Part 154, accelerated
2	teacher training for all educators in
3	supporting ELL students, and expanded
4	capacity to provide translation services for
5	key parent documents and other materials.
6	New York State PTA proudly has begun
7	to translate our own documents in Spanish,
8	three dialects of Chinese, and Arabic, with
9	more languages to come.
10	We also continue to call for more
11	fiscal support and programmatic services for
12	our students with disabilities and their
13	families, and look forward to doing this work
14	with you together.
15	On family engagement, parent and
16	family engagement is a key indicator for
17	success of a child, and thankfully the new
18	federal Every Student Succeeds Act mandates
19	parental involvement in education. New York
20	State PTA strongly supports continued
21	investments in services, programs and
22	policies that lead to effective family
23	engagement in our schools.
24	On Community Schools, we know that

Ţ	they are an effective strategy for student
2	success. While there is a \$50 million
3	set-aside included in the Foundation Aid
4	increase, we support sustained funding for
5	struggling and persistently struggling
6	schools, and we continue to be concerned
7	about the punitive nature of the current
8	receivership model. Importantly, all schools
9	should be afforded the opportunity to
10	transform their schools into community hubs.
11	On after-school and pre-K, nearly half
12	a million school-aged children in New York
13	are without a safe and/or educational
14	after-school program. We support the
15	\$35 million allocation to fund the Empire
16	State After-School Program. However, support
17	is also needed across the state in all areas.
18	And we know that high-quality free and
19	low-cost prekindergarten for both
20	3-and-4-year-old children is critically
21	important. We support both the consolidation
22	of pre-K funding streams and increases in
23	this funding.
24	On Early College High Schools and

1	Career and Technical Education, this is an
2	investment in both the economic future of our
3	state and our children, as 90 percent of CTE
4	students graduate with a Regents diploma.
5	New York State PTA fully supports expansion
6	of Early College High School programs. We
7	also support expansion for CTE and relevant
8	legislation, including the Nolan/Ritchie bill
9	amending the current aid formula for BOCES
10	CTE programs and supports for special
11	services aid.
12	And lastly, on the "whole child,"

And lastly, on the "whole child,"
which is not at the education table, we fully
support the proposal which requires
regulation of electronic cigarettes in the
same manner as tobacco products. We look
forward to working with the Legislature to
fully ban the marketing, advertising and sale
of electronic cigarettes to our children.

We also support the \$30 million increase to combat the heroin epidemic, and recommend an additional \$15 million over the Executive Budget. As we all know, heroin and prescription opiates continue to devastate

1	families and communities.
2	In conclusion, we need to continue to
3	build on the success of our communities
4	through investments and support for our key
5	resources, our children. Every parent has a
6	dream for their child I know I have a
7	dream for Jackson and it's our job to be
8	dream-makers. We humbly ask that you
9	continue to infuse schools and families with
10	the tools and resources necessary to
11	accelerate the success of our children and
12	our families. Together we can make every
13	child's potential a reality, and there is no
14	other more important work.
15	Thank you so much.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Thanks very
17	much. Very well said.
18	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: That's it.
20	MS. BELOKOPITSKY: Thank you so much.
21	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. We are
22	finished until tomorrow at 9:30.

23

24 at 5:39 p.m.)

(Whereupon, the budget hearing concluded