1 BEFORE THE NEW YORK STATE SENATE FINANCE AND ASSEMBLY WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEES 2 _____ 3 JOINT LEGISLATIVE HEARING 4 In the Matter of the 2016-2017 EXECUTIVE BUDGET ON 5 HIGHER EDUCATION 6 _____ 7 Hearing Room B Legislative Office Building 8 Albany, New York 9 February 8, 2016 12:40 p.m. 10 11 PRESIDING: 12 Senator Catharine M. Young Chair, Senate Finance Committee 13 Assemblyman Herman D. Farrell, Jr. 14 Chair, Assembly Ways & Means Committee 15 PRESENT: 16 Senator Liz Krueger Senate Finance Committee (RM) 17 Assemblyman Robert Oaks 18 Assembly Ways & Means Committee (RM) 19 Assemblywoman Deborah J. Glick Chair, Assembly Higher Education Committee 20 Senator Kenneth P. LaValle 21 Chair, Senate Higher Education Committee 22 Assemblyman William Colton 23 Senator Toby Ann Stavisky 24 Assemblywoman Ellen Jaffee

	1	2016-2017 Executive Budget Higher Education	
	2	2-8-16	
	3	PRESENT:	(Continued)
	4		Assemblyman Peter A. Lawrence
	5		Assemblywoman Shelley Mayer
	6		Assemblywoman Nicole Malliotakis
	7		Assemblywoman Jo Anne Simon
	8		Assemblyman Chad A. Lupinacci
	9		Assemblywoman Barbara S. Lifton
-	LO		Assemblywoman Patricia Fahy
-	11		Senator Simcha Felder

Assemblywoman Rebecca Seawright

- Assemblyman David Weprin
- Senator Diane Savino
- Assemblyman Joseph S. Saladino
- Assemblyman Edward Ra
- Assemblyman Al Stirpe
- Assemblywoman Pamela Harris

1 2	2016-2017 Executive Budget Higher Education 2-8-16		
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS		
0			
4		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
5	Nancy L. Zimpher Chancellor		
6	State University of New York Anne M. Kress	7	38
7	President	1.0	20
8	Monroe Community College Robert Jones	18	38
9	President University at Albany	29	39
10	Kristin Esterberg President		
11	SUNY Potsdam Tom Mastro	24	46
ΤT	SUNY Student Assembly	34	53
12	T		
13	James Milliken Chancellor		
	City University of New York	113	131
14	MaryEllen Elia		
15	Commissioner		
1.0	NYS Education Department	184	191
16	Elsa M. Magee		
17	Acting President		
18	NYS Higher Education Services Corporation	239	243
10	Services corporation	239	243
19	Andrew Pallotta Executive Vice President		
20	NYSUT -and-	258	
21	Jamie Dangler Vice President		
22	United University Professions	266	289
23	-and- Barbara Bowen		
24	President PSC/CUNY	276	295

1 2	2016-2017 Executive Budget Higher Education 2-8-16		
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS,	Cont.	
4		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
5	Laura L. Anglin President		
6	Commission on Independent Colleges and Universities	307	318
7	Tiffany Brown		
8	Higher Education Coordinator NYPIRG	332	
9	Michael A. Molina		
10	President Association for Program		
11	Administrators of CSTEP and STEP	338	
12	Dr. Jason Brown		
13	Vice President NYS Chiropractic Association	342	348
14	Virginia Donohue	012	010
15	Executive Director On Point for College	349	355
16	Wanda Williams	019	000
17	Director of Legislation DC 37	363	
18	Thomas Mastro		
19	President Melissa Kathan	367	
20	Vice President Nicholas Simons	371	
21	Dir., Legislative Affairs Marc Cohen	369	
22	Chief of Staff SUNY Student Assembly	374	
23	Sour Seddene HSSenbry		

1 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Good morning. 2 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Good morning. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Good morning. 3 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Good. We're still 4 5 alive; football lives. Today we begin the 12th in the series of hearings conducted by 6 7 the joint fiscal committees of the Legislature regarding the Governor's proposed 8 budget for fiscal year 2016-2017. The 9 10 hearings are conducted pursuant to Article 7, 11 Section 3 of the Constitution, and Article 2, 12 Sections 31 and 32A of the Legislative Law. 13 Today the Assembly Ways and Means 14 Committee and the Senate Finance Committee 15 will hear testimony concerning the budget 16 proposal for higher education. I will now introduce some of the 17 members of the Assembly, and Senator Young, 18 19 chair of the Senate Finance Committee, will introduce members from the Senate. 20 21 We've been joined by Assemblywoman 22 Deborah Glick, chair; Assemblyman Bill Colton; Assemblywoman Ellen Jaffee; 23 24 Assemblywoman Rebecca Seawright;

Assemblywoman Shelley Mayer; Assemblywoman 1 2 Barbara Lifton; Assemblyman Charles Lavine; 3 Assemblywoman Patricia Fahy; Assemblyman 4 David Weprin; and Assemblywoman Jo Anne 5 Simon. And Mr. Oaks? 6 7 ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Yes, we've also been joined by Assemblyman Lupinacci, 8 Assemblyman Ra, and Assemblyman Lawrence. 9 10 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. Senator? 11 12 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, and good morning. And welcome to our distinguished 13 14 guests. 15 I'm Senator Catharine Young, and I'm chair of the Senate Finance Committee. And 16 I've been joined by my colleagues Senator Liz 17 18 Krueger, who is ranking member on the Finance 19 Committee; Senator Ken LaValle, who is chair 20 of the Senate Higher Education Committee; 21 Senator Toby Stavisky, who is ranking member 22 on the Higher Education Committee; and also Senator Simcha Felder. 23 24 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Before introducing

1 the first witness, I would like to remind all 2 of the witnesses testifying today to keep 3 your statements within your allotted time 4 limit so that everyone can afford the 5 opportunity to speak.

6 And our members, the chairs of the 7 committees involved today will get 10 minutes in their calls; everyone else will get five 8 9 minutes. We'd like to keep it going as 10 tightly, as quickly -- I'd like not to break 11 the record of going to 9 o'clock at night. 12 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: That actually is not the record, Mr. Chairman. So we would 13 14 like to avoid the record. 15 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: That's right. All 16 right. State University Chancellor Nancy L. Zimpher. I messed that up. 17 18 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: You got it just 19 right. Good afternoon, everybody. I'm Nancy 20 21 Zimpher, and I'm chancellor of the State 22 University of New York. I want to thank Chairpersons Young, 23

24 Farrell, LaValle and Glick, members of the

Assembly and the Senate, the legislative
 staff, for allowing us this opportunity to
 share our perspective on the Executive
 Budget.

5 I'm pleased to be joined today by President Robert Jones, to my left, 6 7 University at Albany; President Kristin Esterberg of SUNY Potsdam; and once again, 8 9 I'm happy to be joined by President Anne 10 Kress, of Monroe Community College. And it's also my honor today to be joined by Tom 11 Mastro, who is president of our statewide 12 Student Assembly. He is also a SUNY trustee 13 14 and a student at Binghamton University.

15 I'd also like to take this opportunity 16 to acknowledge the other SUNY campus presidents who are with us today, thank them 17 for their time and their continued devotion 18 19 to the SUNY system. And I want to thank the 20 SUNY Board of Trustees Chairman H. Carl McCall and our entire board for their 21 22 leadership and support.

I believe that while requesting moresupport for the State University, I need to

1 make the value proposition crystal-clear. So 2 I want to take this opportunity to tell you 3 about the accomplishments and our goals, to 4 demonstrate the level of performance and 5 accountability we are committed to on behalf 6 of our students.

7 As I shared with you four years ago, 8 we've coined a term, an organizational theory that derives from our collective work at 9 10 SUNY, and we say this word "systemness." For SUNY it means leveraging all of our campuses' 11 12 strengths and acting as one formidable force 13 armed with an ambitious set of goals to 14 create not just a stronger public university 15 system but also a better way of life for all 16 New York.

17And when we say a better way of life,18we mean providing access to a high-quality,19affordable education to every single20New Yorker who wants one, regardless of age,21gender, race, religion, veteran status, or22any obstacle that might keep them from a23college education.

24 It means supporting students through

1 on-time completion. Last year I shared with 2 you SUNY's ambitious completion agenda to 3 increase the number of degrees granted annually from 93,000 to 150,000 by 2020. 4 5 Since then, our provost, Alex Cartwright, sitting in the next row, did the math to 6 7 figure out how we can get there. We still optimize current enrollment by asking our 8 institutions to strive for "best in sector" 9 10 retention and graduation rates, and 11 increasing our workforce-ready credentialing. 12 And we will strategically increase enrollment 13 to approach our historic maximums and to 14 continue to promote online education through 15 Open SUNY. 16 But we don't stop at the completion agenda at SUNY, we also talk about success --17

19 agenda at bonn, we also tark about success
18 where students go, what they do after they
19 graduate, whether embarking on a career or
20 advanced degree work. That is why we are
21 committed to ensuring applied learning
22 opportunities for every student before they
23 graduate, to help put them on a path to a
24 long-term successful career.

1 It has been seven years since I came 2 to SUNY, and only four years since we started 3 talking about systemness. Today I am proud to report that we are working together better 4 5 and doing more for our students than ever before. Let me share a few examples. 6 7 First, seamless transfer. Of our 460,000 students, about 30,000 transfer 8 between our campuses every year. In fact, 9 10 44 percent of our baccalaureate graduates 11 started at one of our community colleges. We 12 now have in place a transfer policy that 13 guarantees that when students transfer, they 14 don't lose time, they don't lose credit or a 15 single hard-earned dollar of what they've 16 invested. They are positioned and empowered better than ever before to complete their 17 18 degree on time. 19 We also created and launched Open

20 SUNY, the world's largest consortium for 21 online learning, with 230,000 students 22 enrolled in 472 degree programs and 20,000 23 course sections, Open SUNY is speeding time 24 to degree and driving access like never

1 before.

2	In 2012, we launched what has become
3	the nation's most proactive, comprehensive
4	financial literacy tool. Since we brand
5	everything, we called this SUNY Smart Track.
6	The program includes financial literacy
7	resources and tools, student engagement
8	activities for at-risk student borrowers, and
9	SUNY-wide default prevention and financial
10	literacy task forces which monitor the
11	success of the program and look for ways to
12	continuously improve it.
13	Thanks to our affordability and our
14	work through Smart Track, SUNY institutions
15	across all sectors see lower student loan
16	default rates than their national
17	counterparts.
18	And in 2011, we committed to sharing
19	services in our system and our regions to
20	save money and then take that savings and put
21	it right back to support our students.
22	Shared services is truly a matter of and a
23	measure of operational excellence. Within
24	just a few years of sharing services in human

resources, IT and procurement, we've exceeded our \$100 million run rate and have created an operational excellence team to ensure that we continue to save.

5 Last year we were honored to be joined by Assemblyman Crespo when we announced our 6 7 new systemwide diversity, equity and inclusion policy. We have welcomed his input 8 and are so appreciate of his leadership in 9 10 this area, and his patience with us as we 11 strive to be the most inclusive university in 12 the country. Our new policies are a good 13 start, but we know we have a lot of work to 14 do to ensure our students reflect the 15 diversity of our state.

16 Our Board of Trustees adopted the policy in September, which calls for each 17 18 campus, and our system headquarters, to put 19 in place this year a diversity and inclusion 20 plan that addresses recruitment, retention, 21 campus climate and more. Central to the 22 plans, every campus will have a chief diversity officer, offer cultural 23 24 competency training, and report annually on

1 their progress, which will be tied to campus
2 leadership evaluation.

3 So this raises the question, with all of these initiatives, some with goals met and 4 5 some ongoing, how do we know what we're doing is actually working? So SUNY is taking 6 7 bolder steps than ever to be transparent 8 about our performance at every level. We created the SUNY Excels performance system. 9 10 Through two years of debate, we settled on 17 metrics across access, completion, success, 11 12 inquiry, and engagement. This helps us see 13 where we need to improve and target our 14 resources to get the outcomes we want and 15 New York State needs. As part of this process, last year we 16 17 put it to our campuses to create 18 individualized performance improvement plans, 19 and they set very ambitious goals. Our

20 presidents led campus teams that delivered 21 great plans with intentions to establish new 22 degree programs in high-demand areas, to grow 23 enrollment and improve retention, and to 24 expand student services. And in our

commitment to transparency, every single one
 of these plans is available to view in full
 online.

I sit here today confident that none
of this could have happened without your
leadership and support. And we are grateful
that the Executive Budget provides a good
start as we look ahead to what's next for
SUNY.

10Regarding the Governor's budget, we11are today asking for your support of ongoing12and new programs included in his proposal, to13restore funding levels that were cut in14critical program areas, and to invest in the15State University by enhancing funding levels.

16 Let me begin with support for items in 17 the Executive Budget: \$15 million for a 18 Clean Energy Workforce Opportunity Program, 19 \$3 million for the Apprenticeship Program, 20 and an additional \$1 million for community 21 college community schools.

In addition, we wanted to share some details on how we used last year's \$18 million Investment Fund and why it is so

1 important to renew. We maximized the state's 2 \$18 million investment by pooling other state 3 funding sources and limited existing resources to create a \$100 million expanded 4 5 Investment and Performance Fund. These presidents can attest we issued our request 6 7 for proposals to each of the campuses to apply for opportunities to scale or replicate 8 or pilot innovative programs and initiatives. 9 10 Given the limited funding, we set up a competitive process to invest only in what we 11 12 know works to drive student success. 13 We received over 200 proposals from 14 nearly every SUNY institution. Last month, 15 we announced the first \$18 million of these 16 awards, 32 proposals directly involving 22 state-operated SUNY campuses and 17 18 collaborations with nine community colleges. 19 The funded projects included implementing 20 early alert systems, enhancing advising, 21 stronger K-12 to college bridges, math 22 competency programs, new opportunities for applied learning, and much, much more. 23 24

We anticipate great things to come

1 from our campuses on these projects, and we 2 know from the proposals we were not able to 3 fund that there is much more that we can do with an additional \$18 million this year. 4 5 We also ask that you consider expanding eligibility for the Investment and 6 7 Performance Fund to our community colleges so that they can apply directly and benefit from 8 9 this innovative approach. 10 Under restoration, as with every year, 11 we ask that you restore the legislatively 12 added funding, a total of \$3.6 million for 13 childcare, the Graduate Achievement Placement 14 or GAP program, and the Career Center 15 programs; \$18.6 million for our hospitals;

and other areas, including our Small Business
Development Centers, the Graduate Diversity
Program, and the Cornell Cooperative
Extension and Veterinary College.

20 And under the category of 21 enhancements, we have some additional asks 22 that we are referring to as an enhancement of 23 our support and restoration requests. Every 24 year we talk about the need for increased

1 base aid for our community colleges and 2 operating support for our state-operated 3 campuses. This year we are requesting 4 \$37.3 million, or an additional \$285 per 5 full-time student, for our community colleges. 6 7 I often hear that base aid isn't a very compelling ask, so I'm glad that 8 President Kress is here to share Monroe 9 10 Community College's perspective as well as some examples of the difference an increase 11 12 in support can make to our community college 13 students and faculty. 14 President Kress. 15 PRESIDENT KRESS: Thank you, 16 Chancellor Zimpher. I'm Anne Kress, president of Monroe 17 Community College, and I am honored to be 18 19 here today. Thank you for this opportunity 20 to represent SUNY's 30 community colleges. 21 We bring you a simple and very 22 straightforward request this year. We ask that you increase our base aid funding by 23 24 \$285 per full-time equivalent student.

1 Our students come to our community 2 colleges for any number of reasons, but at 3 the heart of it, each one of them comes to the community college seeking a pathway to 4 5 prosperity. But because our colleges' funding from New York State has not kept pace 6 7 with our costs, increasingly we struggle to fulfill the promise that we hold out to our 8 9 students.

10 These are students like Cory. Cory 11 came to Jefferson Community College straight 12 from the armed services, and he was struggling with sobriety. And he was also 13 14 struggling with the ability to care for his 15 family. He didn't know where he would find a 16 post-military career with the difficulties that he presented, but he found a home at 17 Jefferson Community College. And because of 18 the outstanding faculty and services at 19 Jefferson, Cory is now on his way to a degree 20 21 in human services, he is on his way to 22 university transfer, and he is on his way to a career as a counselor. 23

24 But 88 percent, 88 percent of

1 Jefferson's budget goes to the costs

associated with those outstanding faculty and
staff, and so serving motivated but
challenged students like Cory is harder every
single day. What I want you to know is that
when New York State stands with SUNY,
Jefferson Community College can stand with
students like Cory.

We can also stand with students like 9 10 Connie. Connie started her career at FIT, and she was a nontraditional student. 11 And 12 she had dreams of a degree in fashion 13 business management, and she saw career 14 opportunities there. But with few resources 15 of her own, she had to use the college's 16 computer labs and software in order to complete her coursework. And it was in that 17 18 computer lab that one of the deans found her, 19 crying inconsolably. And what the dean 20 learned was that Connie couldn't use the 21 computers because they were so outdated, they 22 couldn't run the software programs that she was required to use for her classes. Much 23 24 like the computer lab that surrounded her,

1 Connie's dreams were falling apart.

2 And without access to much needed 3 technology, Connie and many of her fellow 4 students at FIT simply couldn't gain the 5 skill sets that would make them competitive 6 upon graduation.

7 What I want you to hear is that when 8 you stand with SUNY, you stand with students 9 like Connie and you make it possible for FIT 10 to do so as well.

Patricio never thought that he would need a college degree. He got a job right out of high school, he started a family -but he soon found that he maybe didn't have the skill set to remain competitive, and he was downsized from his company in Rochester.

And then he heard in his community 17 18 that MCC, my college, was offering a new 19 accelerated precision machining program, and 20 he thought, wow, in six months, I could get a 21 college credential and I could find a job. And so he dedicated himself to that. And now 22 23 Patricio has not just a job, he has a career. 24 And he also has motivation to ensure that his two daughters follow him to MCC and go to
 college themselves.

3 We know at MCC that there are many Patricios out there in our community. We 4 5 know that Rochester has one of our state's highest poverty levels. We know that it has 6 7 one of the highest unemployment rates for 8 minority males in the State of New York. But 9 we also know that without increased base aid, 10 we can't afford to expand the programs in 11 workforce development, a program that served 12 Patricio so well. On average, those programs 13 cost 40 percent more than a traditional 14 academic program. These are high-tech 15 programs.

16 And know that when New York State 17 stands with SUNY, you stand with Patricio and 18 you make it possible for MCC to stand with 19 him as well.

20 Every one of my colleagues could share 21 similar stories. Our community colleges 22 increasingly lack the resources that they 23 need to stand with our students. Connecting 24 underprepared, financially and emotionally

challenged students to opportunity is hard
 and it is costly work. Students in every
 corner of our state are facing uncertain
 pathways because our base aid has not kept
 pace with our costs.

So I ask the Legislature to stand with 6 7 SUNY. We ask that you increase our base aid by \$285 per full-time equivalent student. 8 That will keep our colleges whole. It will 9 10 help us keep pace, if nothing else, with the rising cost of benefits that we give our 11 12 valued employees and faculty. We want to give our students the education they deserve. 13 14 Help us stand with Cory, with Connie, with 15 Patricio, with the tens of thousands of 16 students who come to our institutions every single year. If you do that, New York will 17 18 stand strong. 19 Thank you so much for this opportunity

to speak on behalf of our students and our
colleges.

22 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Thank you,
23 President Kress.

24

For our 29 state-operated campuses,

1 we're grateful for the Executive Budget's 2 proposed direct support of \$708 million. We 3 ask for your partnership in enhancing this investment. We have not seen a significant 4 5 increase of operational support over the past five years, which presents a growing 6 7 challenge as we face projected increases in 8 costs. We are requesting enhanced support of \$72.7 million for the state-operated 9 10 campuses, \$61.9 million to support the incremental costs of collective bargaining 11 12 salaries, and \$10.8 million to offset the 13 costs campuses incur in internally funded scholarships. 14 15 President Esterberg is going to offer 16 some perspective on the importance of this operating support to Potsdam and our other 17 18 state-operated campuses. 19 PRESIDENT ESTERBERG: Thank you, 20 Chancellor Zimpher. 21 Good afternoon. My name is Kristin 22 Esterberg, and I am the president of SUNY Potsdam. Celebrating our bicentennial this 23

24 year, we are the oldest campus in the SUNY

system and one of the 13 campuses in the
 comprehensive sector.

The comprehensive campuses provide access to a rigorous four-year degree experience to 90,000 students from working families across New York State. We are families across New York State. We are typically the economic and cultural anchors of our communities, and we are careful stewards of the state's investment in us.

10 In Potsdam and Canton, SUNY campuses 11 are the largest employers in our communities, 12 along with the hospital. SUNY Potsdam 13 contributes over \$375 million directly and 14 indirectly to the region's economy, just as 15 the other comprehensive campuses contribute 16 to their regions.

From 2010 to 2014, the State of 17 18 New York invested in a new performing arts 19 center for SUNY Potsdam, one of three designated arts campuses in the SUNY system. 20 21 Over that four-year period, the center 22 brought over 300 jobs to the region -- an important boost to a region with the highest 23 24 unemployment rate in New York, currently at

6 percent. That facility has brought
 cultural performances to thousands of
 community members and schoolchildren,
 enabling SUNY Potsdam to serve as the
 cultural hub in one of the poorest counties
 in New York State.

7 We also match public investment with private funding. For example, through a 8 generous \$1 million gift from an alumna, SUNY 9 10 Potsdam created SUNY's first Center for Applied Learning in 2015. A newly announced 11 12 SUNY Performance Investment Fund grant of \$750,000 to the center is enabling us to 13 14 scale up, along with our partner, SUNY 15 Oneonta, and serve as an incubator for best 16 practices across the system. The ultimate 17 aim is to improve student retention and 18 ensure that every student has the opportunity 19 for a high-quality internship, a study abroad 20 opportunity, service learning, or 21 faculty-student research. 22 We strive to maintain efficiency and

23 keep costs low. Our campus saves

24 approximately \$180,000 each year through

1 shared services with SUNY Canton. Yet we 2 need state support more than ever to serve an 3 increasingly diverse and economically vulnerable student population. 4 5 Approximately 17 percent of SUNY Potsdam's students come from New York City; 6 7 another 32 come from the North Country, one of the poorest regions in the state. Nearly 8 40 percent of our 4,000 students receive Pell 9 10 grants; almost half receive TAP awards. In our freshman class, half had an estimated 11 12 family contribution, according to their FAFSA, of between zero and \$3,000. Our 13 14 campus provides nearly \$2.8 million in 15 financial assistance to meet their needs, 16 including over \$880,000 in privately raised scholarships and awards. 17 18 Continued state investment in our SUNY campuses is critical to meet the costs 19 20 associated with negotiated salary increases. 21 For Potsdam, the cost of unfunded negotiated 22 salary increases is about \$750,000 each year. Projected increases in the minimum wage will 23 24 have substantial impact on our student

1 employment. We are grateful for the state's 2 ongoing commitment to public higher 3 education, yet we know that increased 4 investment is critical for the continued 5 vitality of our students, our campuses, and our regions. 6 7 Thank you. CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Thanks, President 8 9 Esterberg. 10 Also we are asking for \$15 million in 11 additional support for our Educational 12 Opportunity Program, which represents the 13 total amount of requests for funding we got 14 from our campuses for new EOP funds. With 15 the new funding last year, we increased 16 availability of seats, helped offset more costs for students, and expanded summer 17 18 bridge programs. 19 An increase in funding makes an extraordinary difference to the program. 20 21 Last year SUNY received 30,000 applicants for 2500 available EOP seats. So at the 22 University of Albany, which is one of the 23 24 most successful EOP sites, I'm glad that

1 President Jones is here to talk about the 2 success of the program on your campus, and the potential for the program systemwide if 3 4 more funds are available. 5 PRESIDENT JONES: Thank you, Chancellor. And I want to thank the 6 7 committee chairs and members for the opportunity to address you today on this 8 9 critically important topic. 10 EOP currently operates on 43 of the 64 SUNY campuses, including 14 community 11 12 colleges, with two additional programs 13 currently under development. As you know, 14 EOP students receive a range of services and 15 academic support, but they also receive 16 financial support to help with non-tuition costs of college. Most incoming freshmen at 17 18 four-year campuses also participate in a 19 residential summer program that gives them 20 comprehensive preparation for entering the 21 college experience. 22 I cannot overstate the importance of this program, which has been recognized as a 23

national model. As the chancellor mentioned,

24

1 University at Albany's EOP program has been 2 extremely successful. We currently have 750 3 EOP students on our campus, and more than 4 5,000 alums across the nation and around the 5 world.

6 With the increased funding that you 7 included in last year's budget, we were able 8 to admit an additional 50 EOP students, for 9 an incoming class of around 200. I want to 10 thank you for that increase, and I can assure 11 you that it was a very, very sound 12 investment.

13At the University at Albany, EOP's14first to second year retention rate is1592 percent, compared to 81 percent for the16overall student population. And our17sixth-year graduation rate for EOP students18is 78 percent, compared to 68 percent19overall.

20 So you can see EOP is very, very 21 effective -- so effective that we are in the 22 process of replicating critical elements of 23 the program in our university-wide student 24 retention and completion initiatives. And

1

the University at Albany's positive

2 experience with EOP is representative of the 3 success of the program across the entire SUNY 4 system.

5 Because it has been so effective in creating access and success for 6 7 underrepresented and underserved communities, 8 as a system we would like to expand the 9 benefits to even more campuses and more 10 students. There are several campuses that don't have EOP, but they've identified a 11 12 large number of students who would benefit 13 from this program. Seven community colleges 14 have requested funds to launch EOP. And 15 system administration has received requests 16 to find innovative ways to expand the EOP 17 program to enhance support and increase 18 success rates among EOP students. 19 So again, I am absolutely convinced of 20 the efficacy of the EOP model, and I am convinced that an increased investment in 21 22 this program will pay tremendous dividends to SUNY and across New York State. 23

24 I would like to again thank all

1 members of the joint committee on behalf of 2 both SUNY and the University at Albany, and 3 we appreciate your consideration of these 4 requests.

5 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Thank you,6 President Jones.

7 I want to move to close with a very important request around NYSUNY 2020. And to 8 do that, I must not mention at any length the 9 10 \$30 million in state match for our research 11 programs and the enhancement of our capital 12 budget. It means I don't get to tell the 13 story about when Chairman LaValle and 14 Assemblymember Lifton visited our presidents 15 at Empire State College, we had to relocate due to an electrical fire. So there's a lot 16 more I could say about capital, but I simply 17 don't have time. 18

19So I have shared a lot about SUNY's20successes and goals and about our budget asks21and the rationale behind them, but many of22these investments will not be as impactful as23they could be if we don't enact a critical24piece of legislation. In 2011, with your

help and support, we did what many thought
 was impossible -- we instituted a stable,
 predictable, fair tuition policy for our
 state-operated campuses. Thanks to NYSUNY
 2020, for five years SUNY students have been
 able to plan for tuition costs.

7 Since the tuition plan began in 2011, we have been able to hire 919 new faculty 8 members and approved over 350 new academic 9 10 programs. Today SUNY's resident tuition remains the most affordable in the Northeast 11 12 and among the most affordable in the nation. 13 SUNY tuition is less expensive than systems 14 in Pennsylvania, Michigan, Massachusetts, 15 Virginia, Ohio, California, and Texas. These 16 are the states with the best-rated public university systems in the country, and SUNY 17 is undoubtedly among them, while being more 18 19 affordable.

20 And nearly 30 percent of the tuition 21 revenues has been reinvested to cover the gap 22 between tuition and the maximum TAP award, 23 ensuring that the highest-need students will 24 attend tuition-free.

1 There are two core purposes of this 2 critical legislation. One, to protect SUNY 3 students from unpredictable changes in 4 tuition; and two, to provide reliable funding 5 that SUNY can count on so it can provide the world-class education New Yorkers deserve. 6 7 We are glad that the Governor included the extension of rational tuition in the 8 Executive Budget, and to once again have the 9 10 support of our students for the renewal of 11 NYSUNY 2020. And through our Student 12 Assembly, who will testify later this 13 afternoon, we thought it important to show 14 you that when we say we have our students' 15 support, we mean it. 16 President Tom Mastro. MR. MASTRO: Thank you, Chancellor. 17 18 Good afternoon. My name is Tom 19 Mastro, and I have the distinct honor and 20 privilege of representing the 465,000 21 students of SUNY as president of the Student 22 Assembly. The Student Assembly is the single 23 24 recognized voice for our students;

1

essentially, the SUNY-wide student

2 government. In the same way all of you speak 3 for your constituents, I speak for the students of SUNY. Each of our 64 campuses 4 5 elect a certain number of delegates, 6 depending on their enrollment, who represent 7 them to the SUNY Student Assembly. And having been elected by my peers from across 8 the entire system, my testimony in support of 9 10 the renewal of NYSUNY 2020 is being given not on my behalf, but on behalf of all of my 11 12 peers.

13 And it's worth reminding everyone here 14 that the outcome of this discussion and your 15 vote affects me and my student colleagues 16 here today more than anyone else in this room. 17 Some critics have attempted to paint 18 the support as students standing in favor of tuition increases. I am disappointed by this 19 20 interpretation, as it grossly misrepresents 21 our position.

At the Student Assembly Spring
Conference last year, a resolution was put
forth supporting the renewal of a fair,

1 equitable and predictable tuition plan. This
2 plan passed 59-4-1. Let me repeat: 59-4-1,
3 a remarkable majority. Even after five years
4 of tuition increases, SUNY students who were
5 elected by their peers voted overwhelmingly
6 to renew the plan.
7 Our student leaders spoke with a loud,

clear, and unified voice. They said we
cannot afford to be left in the dark. They
said we cannot afford sporadic and
unpredictable tuition hikes. They said we
want our SUNY system to continue on its path
of extraordinary success.

14 The Student Assembly's support of this 15 resolution does not come unconditionally, 16 another point often overlooked. The Student 17 Assembly would not vote in favor of a plan 18 which did not call for the guarantee of a 19 true state maintenance of effort. Yes, I 20 recognize that you have maintained support as 21 it was written five years ago. New York 22 State has lived up its statutory obligations -- sort of. But if the students 23 24 are willing to invest more into their

1 education, so too should their elected 2 leaders. It is time that the state paid its fair share. 3 4 The Student Assembly supports a plan 5 including continued commitment to ensuring 6 that our tuition dollars stay on our 7 campuses. And the Student Assembly supports 8 a plan that keeps the discussion surrounding our tuition within the body that best 9 10 understands the needs of me and my 465,000 11 peers. 12 As a fellow elected representative, I understand how difficult it can be to explain 13 14 certain decisions to your constituents. But a renewal of NYSUNY 2020 is a renewal of 15 16 fairness, a renewal of equity, and a renewal of predictability. It is my sincere hope 17 18 that you take that into consideration when 19 making your final decisions. 20 Thank you. 21 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Thanks, Tom. 22 It's always a privilege to be before you. We welcome your questions. Thank you 23 24 very much.

1	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
2	much.
3	We've been joined by Assemblyman
4	Stirpe and Assemblyperson Harris.
5	And Mr. Oaks?
6	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Yes. Also
7	Assemblywoman Malliotakis.
8	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: First to question,
9	Deborah Glick, chair.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you,
11	Chairman Farrell.
12	Good to see you all.
13	In relation to the SUNY 2020 and the
14	proposal to expand that going forward, has
15	there been a change in the economic
16	demographics of the student body at the
17	various campuses? Are you seeing that
18	there's been a diminution of those who are at
19	different economic strata?
20	CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: You want to say
21	it for our community and then comprehensive?
22	Anne?
23	PRESIDENT KRESS: Sure. I can start
24	first with the community colleges.

1 What we're seeing is actually part of 2 a national trend, which is that many of our 3 students will go to work if they can find employment. And so with the recovery of the 4 5 economy, we see some of our especially lower-income students really forgoing 6 7 education in order to go to work. And so I think across most of our institutions we're 8 seeing fewer low-income students. 9 10 We're also seeing fewer Pell Grant 11 recipients, simply because they've been able, 12 over the past couple of years, to accumulate 13 some financial resources, which does impact 14 that. But otherwise what we're really seeing 15 is not a significant change in our 16 demographics overall, except for honestly we're seeing more underprepared students. 17 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Robert or 18 19 Kristin? 20 PRESIDENT JONES: Yes, Assemblywoman 21 Glick, I'd just like to add that at 22 University at Albany what we have observed is that we're probably running pretty constant 23 24 in terms of the number of our students that

1 are Pell recipients, at about 40 percent. 2 But what's interesting is that we have 3 seen a very dramatic increase of the number of students that are first-generation 4 5 students. Currently it stands at about 40 percent of our students are 6 7 first-generation. And our students of color numbers, 8 underrepresented students, is going up on an 9 10 annual basis. Currently it stands at about 11 34, 35 percent. But with the last three 12 entering classes, about 40 percent of those students have been students of color. 13 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you. 14 15 Now, how much capital needs actually do exist? Obviously, there's -- and how much 16 could the system, across its many different 17 18 campuses, how much could you spend in a year? 19 Because there's obviously contracting 20 requirements and so forth. 21 So I'm just wondering, my estimate is 22 that the capital needs are well underfunded in this budget. But by how much? And how 23 24 fast could you spend -- should we be able to

1	write a blank check, what actually could you
2	spend in the course of a year?
3	CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Bob Haelen?
4	VICE CHANCELLOR HAELEN: Hi, I'm Bob
5	Haelen, vice chancellor for capital
6	facilities.
7	When you look at SUNY in its entirety,
8	as we look at it into components, and if I
9	look at just the state-operated campuses
10	alone, they make up about 60 million square
11	feet. We're looking at a current backlog of
12	needs of about \$3 billion, \$3.2 billion.
13	In our capital budget request, we
14	asked for \$600 million a year over five
15	years. We're looking at our state of decay,
16	so to speak, or depreciation at around that
17	\$600 million mark. And if we wanted to be
18	more transformational with our investment in
19	capital, we had asked for an additional
20	\$200 million per year, for a total of
21	\$800 million per year.
22	At our peak with the program, we had
23	spent a billion dollars, over a billion
24	dollars. So that speaks to our capacity.

1 We think an \$800 million investment is 2 something that is achievable, and we have the 3 people to do it. There's only so much work 4 you can take on at any given campus. But 5 across the board, there is tremendous needs 6 and the ability to meet those needs. 7 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you.

8 This jumps around a little, but the SUNY hospitals have been -- which are, in my 9 10 opinion, very important to the regions in which they exist -- have been cut rather 11 12 dramatically over the last five years. They 13 are cut once again by the \$18.6 million that 14 we added last year. And I'm wondering what 15 kind of impact not just adding -- if we were to add the 18.6 million, that just brings you 16 back to where you were. What could -- what 17 18 is really the projected need in our ability 19 to have you serve the regions in which the 20 hospitals exist?

21 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Eileen,
22 introduce?
23 CFO McLOUGHLIN: Yup.

24 Hello, I'm Eileen McLoughlin, the CFO

1 of SUNY system. Good day to everyone. 2 All three of our hospitals actually 3 operate at a slight operating loss even after state support. I don't know what that exact 4 5 number is, but I will get it to you. The \$18.6 million is just going to aggravate or 6 7 increase that loss. ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Okay. Well, get 8 9 back to us with some greater specificity 10 about that. CFO McLOUGHLIN: Yes. 11 12 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: In this round of SUNY 2020, you've hired 919 professors, I 13 14 believe. If there isn't an increase going 15 forward, if there were no change in the funding from student tuition, what would be 16 the impact on those new hires? 17 18 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Well, we continue 19 to grow. We've developed high-demand 20 programs that meet the economic needs of the 21 state. We don't always have the faculty in 22 place to do that, so we hire new faculty to 23 person these on-demand programs. So we'll 24 slow down, we won't be able to meet the needs

1 of the students.

2	And I want to say, one of the absolute
3	commitments we made when NYSUNY 2020 was
4	passed, is that every dollar would go to
5	support student programming, access to
6	faculty, access to student services. And I
7	daresay that's why the Student Assembly is so
8	supportive of this effort.
9	So all of that falls by the by. And
10	you know we've made this incredible pledge to
11	graduate more students, to get more degree
12	completers. All that capacity will be
13	diminished.
14	And there's one other thing I want to
15	say at this point, which is I think a lot of
16	people are looking at the way New York State
17	has supported public higher education through
18	NYSUNY and NYCUNY 2020. This is a concept as
19	much as it is an investment. And it also
20	speaks to the fact that tuition increases
21	with a cap are less necessary when the state
22	makes more investment.
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: The maintenance
24	of effort that was baked into the original

1 SUNY 2020 turned out to have some 2 shortcomings. The Legislature in both 3 houses, in near unanimous votes, put forward a stronger, more vigorous maintenance of 4 5 effort, which was vetoed by the Governor with the message that it really needed to be 6 7 discussed within the context of the budget. Do you have an estimate for SUNY what 8 a broader, more robust -- what would have 9 10 been the advantage to SUNY had that 11 legislation been passed? Or what do we need 12 to be looking for in this budget relative to the maintenance of effort? 13 14 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Well, I think the 15 critical ask is around negotiated salaries. 16 And Eileen can probably give you an exact number, but it's in the \$70 million range. 17 CFO McLOUGHLIN: Seventy million for 18 our collective bargaining, 134 million that 19 we've absorbed so far. As well as about 8 to 20 21 9 million of utility costs that go up are some of the fixed costs that our 22 23 state-operated campuses absorb. 24 And of course the community colleges

also absorb a lot of their fringe benefit
 costs, does not get that indirect support
 from the state.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you. I'm 4 5 almost out of time. We started last year a STEM scholarship program for a full ride for 6 7 students who are in the top 10 percent of their high school classes. I don't know that 8 it's well known around the state. We've done 9 10 what we could to recommend to our colleagues 11 to get it out there. Has there been -- have 12 you seen an increase in the number of 13 students who are coming to SUNY as a result of this offering? 14 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Kristin? 15 16 PRESIDENT ESTERBERG: In our campus we've seen dramatic growth in students 17 studying biology, chemistry and computer 18

19 science.

20 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: This is also a 21 campus that's put the "A" in STEM, so one of 22 the things that the president likes to talk 23 about is STEAM, so that we don't overcorrect 24 for the STEM fields without acknowledgment of

1 the arts and humanities. 2 PRESIDENT ESTERBERG: Thank you, Chancellor. 3 4 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you very much. My time is 10 seconds away from -- so 5 6 I will end now and perhaps there might be a 7 follow-up later. CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Thank you, 8 Chairperson, for allowing Bob Haelen to talk 9 10 about capital. That means I can go home 11 safely. 12 (Laughter.) 13 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Senator? 14 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 15 And I do want to announce that Senator 16 Diane Savino has joined us. And at this time I'd like to introduce 17 Senator Ken LaValle, who is chair of the 18 19 Higher Education Committee in the Senate. 20 SENATOR LaVALLE: Chancellor, thanks for being here. 21 22 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Thank you. 23 SENATOR LaVALLE: Since I get to talk 24 to you all the time, I'm going to hopefully

1 limit my questions.

2	Can you comment on balancing the need
3	to maintain competitive salary levels to
4	retain valued faculty and administrators with
5	reducing overall costs to keep college
6	affordable for students?
7	CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Well, balancing a
8	budget of any sort is challenging. One of
9	the things we've tried to do and I think
10	have done successfully at SUNY is really
11	throw ourselves into shared services. When
12	we say we have a \$100 million run rate, we're
13	talking about savings that we accrue every
14	year at a very high level. Why? So that we
15	can continue to invest in the faculty and
16	staff who serve our students.
17	We live in a very competitive market
18	in higher education. And interestingly
19	enough, the public sector has to compete with
20	the private sector. So when you look at the
21	salaries, particularly those that always
22	interest us the most, the high end, we're
23	looking at doctors, we're looking at coaches,
24	we're looking at top researchers whom we

recruit from around the country. And they
 don't seem to pay as much attention about the
 economics of a state as they do the economics
 of postsecondary education. And that's where
 we compete.

So to give us that margin of 6 7 excellence, you invest in us, but we also 8 invest in ourselves. We save money so that we can recruit the best and brightest in the 9 10 world of physicians, in the world of all of our allied health professions, in the world 11 12 of our top researchers -- and yes, sometimes 13 in the world of competitive collegiate 14 athletics.

But I daresay -- and we're doing a study once again of compensation of our SUNY employees -- that we are competitive but at the lower end of the scale. We do the best we can to attract the best and brightest given the resources that we have.

21 SENATOR LaVALLE: So you feel you're n 22 a competitive market in at least the three 23 areas you talked about, which are doctors, 24 researchers and coaches.

1 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Well, and I will 2 add to that, in my tenure at SUNY I have 3 recruited 47 campus presidents. This is a 4 challenge by any standard, because 5 presidential salaries across the country I believe to be higher than most of ours. And 6 7 the presidents who have been here longer and not experienced any kind of compensation 8 increase now are compressed by those I've 9 10 hired more recently who manage to attract a slightly higher salary. 11 12 So we are trying our best to create a 13 compensation program for our presidents that 14 is competitive -- which I would say we are 15 not as competitive as we would like to be --16 and ensures that the savings we make go to support our leaders. 17 18 SENATOR LaVALLE: The Legislature 19 keeps looking at the higher tuition burden on 20 students, ballooning costs in higher 21 education across the board. But what are we 22 doing to address what is really two-thirds of the public university cost, and that's room 23 24 and board?

1 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Well, I think we 2 try in every other area, the same in our room 3 and board, to be competitive. You have a largely residential campus, Potsdam is a 4 5 residential campus. Do you want to talk about increases in room and board lately? 6 7 PRESIDENT ESTERBERG: We have not constructed new residence halls in the last 8 9 several years, and we do try and maintain the 10 costs for our residence halls. But we know that in addition to 11 12 providing housing, the cost of the 13 out-of-classroom experience for students is extraordinary. We know that out-of-classroom 14 15 experiences, often in the residence halls, 16 contribute to the rising cost of college, but it also contributes greatly to student 17 18 retention and student success, and so we have 19 put major investments in our student 20 programming. 21 SENATOR LaVALLE: Chancellor, can we 22 focus in on that area, maybe take a five-year picture and give -- so we could share it with 23

24 the Senate Higher Ed Committee -- to look at,

1 over five years, what those costs actually
2 are?

3 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Absolutely. We 4 may not have it handy, but we have it 5 available and we'll share it with you and 6 with the committee. We would welcome that 7 opportunity.

SENATOR LaVALLE: Just the last issue, 8 the tuition issue that my partner in the 9 10 Assembly talked about. You know, rational tuition helps families plan for the future. 11 12 And it does keep higher education costs kind of flat at whatever level we choose. 13 14 So why should the Legislature extend 15 SUNY 2020, which again authorizes increases 16 of up to \$300 per student in tuition costs? CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Well, as I 17 mentioned before, and certainly President 18

Mastro mentioned as well, tuition is a balancing act with the state's investment. If the state invests more, then we can charge less in tuition.

23 So there are two things I think are 24 really important here, the first of which is

that NYSUNY 2020 is a safety net. It sets a
 cap, it makes planning for tuition more
 predictable for our students and protects
 them, because we have maintenance of effort,
 from any kind of state sweep to fill other
 over budget gaps.

7 But if the state were to increase its investment, our commitment is to ask our 8 Board of Trustees not to increase the tuition 9 10 either to the max of \$300 or relative to the state's investment. I think that's what the 11 12 Student Assembly has said quite clearly, but 13 I think maybe you ought to repeat why the 14 Legislature would make this investment.

15 MR. MASTRO: And to mirror the 16 chancellor, we see where our tuition dollar 17 has gone the past five years. We've seen the 18 increase in programming, the increase in 19 professors across our entire system.

20 When looking at the numbers and when 21 we worked with our rational tuition task 22 force and legislative affairs team, in 1991 23 tuition went up by \$650. In 1995, tuition 24 went up by \$750. In 2003, tuition went up by 1 \$950.

2	The safety net that the chancellor has
3	developed is ensuring that our tuition does
4	not go over \$300. We have developed a
5	rational tuition task force which will be
6	working with system administration to look at
7	where our tuition dollars go from year to
8	year within the next five years. And just to
9	reiterate, the more state investment, like
10	the chancellor has stated, the lower our
11	tuition will go up.
12	CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: I love the fact
13	that the Student Assembly has actually called
14	on presidents to say: Show me exactly where
15	the rational tuition money went. And you
16	have done so. This task force of the
17	students is helping you do your work by
18	making sure that we spend the money on our
19	students.
20	SENATOR LaVALLE: I'm going to slip in
21	one last question. How does the Legislature
22	deal with community college aid when many of

- 23 the community colleges have declining
- 24 enrollments?

1 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: So let's draw the 2 enrollment picture first because it's very 3 important that we understand that picture. 4 PRESIDENT KRESS: Sure. 5 And I think enrollment is a metric, but I will tell you it is not the metric to 6 7 assess institutions by. And so I'll just 8 take my institution as an example. 9 So in 2010, that was one of our 10 highest years of enrollment. And for every 11 100 students who walked in our front door, 12 over 40 walked out the back door before that 13 semester even concluded. We simply were not 14 funded to address the challenges that they 15 presented us. We put in place a number of 16 policies to really address some of those challenges. We put in place registration 17 18 deadlines. We put in place drops for 19 nonpayment so students wouldn't walk into a 20 classroom with no sense of how they would pay 21 for that course and then walk away before the 22 term was over with bad debt. Many of my 23 colleagues have done the same. 24 It's a difficult decision to make

because it is really changing your funding
 level as an institution. We know we're being
 funded by FTE, so in many ways we have an
 incentive to gather as many FTEs as possible
 regardless of whether or not those students
 will be primed for success.

7 Since that point, I can tell you our enrollment took a hit, without question. But 8 what didn't was our retention rate, which has 9 10 gone up. Our GPAs have gone up. Our course 11 completion rates have gone up. Our 12 graduation rates have gone up. What has gone 13 down is our student loan per average student, 14 and also our cohort default rate. Why? 15 Because we were asking students to think of 16 themselves as students before they came to 17 us. I would hate to think that when we 18 19 take steps like that to ensure more success

20 for our students that in some ways we are
21 penalized for those decisions.
22 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Thank you.

23 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.24 Assemblyman Lupinacci, ranking.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN LUPINACCI: Good
2	afternoon, Chancellor.
3	CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Good afternoon.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN LUPINACCI: The first
5	question I have relates to some of the new
6	programs that you spoke about. And the
7	Executive Budget does provide for a transfer
8	of \$15 million to SUNY for a Clean Energy
9	Workforce Opportunity Program, and \$3 million
10	you said, for the Apprenticeship Program.
11	And I guess my question is, how does
12	SUNY specifically want to use the \$15
13	million? And is this considered one-shot
14	revenue, and how will they sustain the
15	program going forward?
16	CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Well, we have yet
17	to see how this program is going to play out.
18	But I think the first thing you would say
19	is this is a very hot issue. And it's going
20	to be good for the state that we are
21	preparing more professionals to deal with
22	energy management, and especially clean
23	energy management.
24	I think initially it can feed on top

1 of programs that already exist, where we are 2 doing clean energy training and workforce 3 development. And we can do more of it, we can increase the use and creation of 4 5 laboratories, but I'm guessing there's more detail to that. But it is an evolving idea, 6 7 we welcome it, we think it's a hot topic for our students, and we'll distribute 8 9 accordingly. Probably competitively, as we are learning to do, across our campuses. 10 ASSEMBLYMAN LUPINACCI: Great. Thank 11 12 you. 13 My next question has to do with 14 international programs. And I know the past 15 few years many of the campuses have increased 16 the international student population and such with, obviously, partnerships with the 17 18 Dominican Republic and other schools. And it's very competitive obviously, to get into 19 20 many of the SUNY campuses and colleges. Is there ever a choice where sometimes our 21 22 in-state residents are turned away because of the seating for the international students? 23 24 Or are they allotted differently? Or do we

see, for example, our students within-state
 sometimes not being able to succeed to get
 in?

CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: It's interesting, 4 5 New York is not a state that has a quota, as I've worked in other states that do. Our 6 7 international population is under 10 percent. To my knowledge, it has not displaced any 8 New Yorker. You have a pretty mature 9 10 international program, President Jones? PRESIDENT JONES: Yes. At this 11 12 juncture, our international enrollment at 13 University at Albany is running about 14 7 percent, and we've set a very clear goal to 15 try to double that in the years ahead. 16 But I can say unequivocally we give very high priority to New York residents. 17 18 Our efforts to expand our international 19 recruitment is not at the expense of New York 20 residents. But it's a reality of the 21 shifting demographics that we see not only in the State of New York but across the entire 22 region, where the number of 10-year-olds that 23 24 exist today that are dramatically lower than

1 it was 10 years ago, and it will be even 2 lower 10 years from now.

3 So it's a shocking reality that we 4 have to think differently about how we 5 recruit our students, where they're going to 6 come from, if we are going to be able to at 7 least maintain the type of student body, the 8 number of students that we have across our 9 campuses.

10 You know, at University of Albany we have more than enough room to accommodate all 11 12 the students from New York that are 13 interested in the programs that we have, and 14 we work very hard to recruit more of those 15 students, particularly the ones in the top 10 percent of their class. But I think our 16 record clearly shows we are a gateway to 17 18 opportunity for students from low 19 socioeconomic backgrounds. It's reflected in 20 our graduation rates, it's reflected in the 21 growing number of underserved students. But 22 at the same time, we have many opportunities to recruit students from outside of the State 23 24 of New York and internationally as well, and

1 that is part of our strategic plan. ASSEMBLYMAN LUPINACCI: Good. 2 3 Especially as the international and national 4 reputation continues to build, it's 5 important. Very good. CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Exactly. 6 Thank 7 you. ASSEMBLYMAN LUPINACCI: And my last 8 question I guess has to do with seating also, 9 10 because for the past several budgets we've 11 spoken about the DREAM Act. And how has the 12 forecasting been going if it becomes part of 13 the budget process? How many students do you 14 see that will benefit from the program and is 15 it -- you know, will seating or capacity be 16 at play, or how are the schools planning on -- in terms of accommodating numbers? 17 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: First of all, the 18 19 SUNY Board of Trustees was very early in its 20 support for the DREAM Act. And we open our doors widely. We've been working with the 21 22 Hispanic/Latino caucus to really increase our opportunity for Hispanic students especially. 23 24 And I think we have some

1 second-language capacity that's going to 2 really attract a lot of students. And we've 3 got colleges and campuses, many in our community colleges, that are very capable and 4 5 have an extensive second-language program. So I think we are planning to see the 6 7 cultural effects of a more diverse campus and looking to those populations to serve that 8 9 interest. 10 ASSEMBLYMAN LUPINACCI: Has there been any forecasting in terms of a roundabout 11 12 number you think the SUNY system might --CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: I don't think off 13 14 the top, but we -- if we have forecasting. 15 We're doing much more in strategic enrollment 16 management. I know that my good friend the chancellor of CUNY is in the house, and we're 17 18 also recruiting in New York City. We know 19 that diversity -- Kristin's student 20 population this fall was 42 percent diverse, 21 and she was recruiting from New York City. 22 In my view, there are plenty of students and adults to go around. It is not 23 24 competitive in the sense that we're actually

1 taking away from each other; we're actually 2 better serving the State of New York. ASSEMBLYMAN LUPINACCI: Excellent. 3 4 Thank you very much. 5 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. Senator? 6 7 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. I'd like to introduce Senator Stavisky, who is 8 ranking member on Higher Ed. 9 10 SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you, Chancellor. And I particularly appreciated 11 12 your comment that the state is not doing enough in terms of its support for SUNY. And 13 14 as a result, the burden is falling on SUNY 15 but the burden is falling even more 16 significantly on the students. I have a number of questions. Based 17 upon your testimony, on page 2 you talk about 18 19 you had 1.3 million last year, and now 20 there's been a decline in enrollment. Have 21 you found any kind of correlation between the decline in enrollment and the increase in the 22 tuition costs brought about by SUNY 2020? 23 24 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: So I'm going to

ask if any of our state-operated presidents
 have seen that.

3 I think we've answered in the sense that we have as many low-income students as 4 5 ever before. You know that we pay the gap between TAP, which is \$5,000 -- and I just 6 7 want to make sure everybody knows -- and the 8 6570, which is the annual tuition, and we pay that gap and we're proud of it. We wouldn't 9 10 mind a little help from the state in buying 11 down the cost of that gap, because that's a 12 third of the rational tuition that goes 13 directly to close that gap. But any remarkable shift in --14

15 PRESIDENT ESTERBERG: Our proportion 16 of Pell-eligible students, which is around 17 40 percent, has remained pretty stable over 18 the last five years, as is the population of 19 our students receiving TAP.

I think what we're finding is that we're, over the last five years, recruiting more economically vulnerable students or students who may not have the same level of preparation, even though their entering

1 grades are as good or better than previous. 2 So we're finding that in order to increase our retention rates and our 3 4 graduation rates, we're needing to expend 5 much, much more on academic and student 6 support than previously, so that the students 7 that we bring in experience success and 8 graduate. 9 SENATOR STAVISKY: So you're 10 suggesting that the students are not going to 11 college? 12 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: I'm sorry? SENATOR STAVISKY: The reduction in 13 14 the student enrollment, I suspect -- what is 15 happening to those students? 16 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Oh. Well, if you look at our entire enrollment picture for the 17 last 15 years, it's essentially flat. The 18 19 shift came from our community colleges, who 20 experienced a peak in enrollment during a 21 recession. And again --22 SENATOR STAVISKY: Happens all the 23 time. 24 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: So I think that's

1 really the issue here.

2	And I guess it needs to be said,
3	SUNY's tuition is very competitive, the
4	lowest in the Northeast and in the lowest
5	quartile around the country. The debate here
6	is who is going to bear the cost of college
7	in New York? What part of the cost of
8	college must come from the state to reduce
9	the burden on our students?
10	But we all ought to be proud of the
11	effort to keep tuition affordable, given our
12	peers.
13	SENATOR STAVISKY: I asked in the past
14	questions about the costs of remediation.
15	CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Yes?
16	SENATOR STAVISKY: Particularly at
17	obviously the community colleges are bearing
18	the brunt. What has been your trend in terms
19	of the numbers, the cost and so on?
20	CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Let me reiterate
21	that I think now three years ago we told
22	everybody, publicly, that we were bearing an
23	exorbitant cost for remediation, \$70 million,
24	it was costing our students something like

\$94 million to pay for courses that did not
 accrue to degree.

Since that time, we have implemented
myriad programs. First, we worked more
closely with our K-12 colleagues. We now
have 57 early college high schools, which get
students ready and they even allow them to
enroll in college courses.

We are now adopting an intervention in 9 10 math called Quantway-Statway, working with the Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement 11 12 of Teaching, to see this intervention -which I think, Anne, gets students --13 14 PRESIDENT KRESS: Mm-hmm. Mm-hmm. CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: -- out of 15 remediation twice as fast and three times 16 better prepared. We know it works; we're 17 18 taking it to scale.

19So we've been very busy since we went20public, and we need Eileen to figure out just21exactly how the costs are decreasing. But I22think, as we would say, we're on it. We have23multiple pathways. We're using what works24effectively. And of course it's a pipeline

issue, and that's why we're working closely
 with our K-12 colleagues to nip remediation
 in the bud where it occurs and to helpful - not point the finger, but to be helpful to
 our peers.

6 SENATOR STAVISKY: I don't personally 7 think that's the purpose, remediation is not 8 the purpose of a community college, it's the 9 purpose of the pre-K through 12. So I'm not 10 being critical, I'm just asking you -- so 11 you're suggesting that the percentage is 12 going down.

13 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Yes. And I think
14 we ought to be able to prove that over time.
15 So we'll work on that for you.

But I do want to say, as I say every year, we prepare the teachers who teach the students who come to college, ready or not. We can never not own the challenge of getting kids college- and career-ready through their K-12 experience.

22 SENATOR STAVISKY: With regard -- on 23 page 4 of your testimony you speak about the 24 Budget Investment and Performance Fund. From

1 what I understand, the larger campuses do 2 fairly well. How are the smaller campuses affected? Are they competitive? Do they 3 have a better chance? 4 5 CFO McLOUGHLIN: The process was actually -- the reviews were done by external 6 7 reviews, external to SUNY and the chancellor. It was equal amongst all sizes. And we can 8 get you the data, but the spread amongst our 9 10 universities and comps and techs and community colleges were -- all received 11 12 funding. SENATOR STAVISKY: So there is a level 13 14 playing field? 15 CFO McLOUGHLIN: Yes. 16 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: And what's really exciting about this Investment Fund is that 17 18 it really reinforced collaboration. 19 And so in many ways the request to 20 renew that \$18 million is to persist in 21 funding programs that were darn good but we 22 didn't have the money to fund them, and to encourage more Calendar Number contribution 23 24 collaboration across our campuses. That, to

1 me, is how we take what works to scale. 2 SENATOR STAVISKY: Going back to 2020, 3 your prepared statement talks about 919 new 4 faculty. That is a direct result of SUNY 2020? 5 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Yes. 6 7 SENATOR STAVISKY: Are these full-time or adjuncts? 8 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: They're mostly --9 10 they're full-time, mostly. I don't know the ratio. Full-time. We have consensus, 11 12 full-time. SENATOR STAVISKY: So I assume that 13 14 the ratio of full-time faculty is improving? 15 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: We have in our 16 testimony the -- or we can provide for you exactly the ratio of full-time to part-time, 17 18 because I think you should know that. But 19 yes, we're trying keep the balance of 20 full-time to part-time faculty intact. 21 SENATOR STAVISKY: Let me just -- one 22 last question. You spoke about student debt, and yet nationally there's a trend of 23 24 something like 60 percent of the students

1 graduate with debt, which averages a little 2 under \$30,000 a year. 3 Can you be a little more specific about the student debt that the SUNY 4 5 students -- do you track the students? How do you handle that? 6 7 CFO McLOUGHLIN: So I'll give you just some facts, and if you need more than that --8 40 percent of SUNY students have no loan debt 9 10 when they graduate. The average is \$26,000. 11 And basically our focus on completion is the 12 key. If they can graduate faster, then they're going to have less debt. 13 14 And also, just as part of the 15 Performance and Investment Fund, 29 campuses collaborated and asked for Smart Track 16 funding, and they got it. So Smart Track 17 18 funding, which also helps students reduce 19 debt, has got to be systemwide. SENATOR STAVISKY: I understand it's a 20 21 very successful program too. 22 CFO McLOUGHLIN: Yes. SENATOR STAVISKY: All right, good. 23 24 Thank you.

1	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
3	Assemblyman Weprin.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Thank you,
5	Mr. Chairman.
6	Welcome, Chancellor. And I'm glad to
7	see President Jones as well, of my alma mater
8	SUNY Albany many, many years ago. I
9	graduated in the '70s, and I was actually
10	active in student government at the time. I
11	was on the Student Senate and the Central
12	Council, and I don't ever remember the
13	student representation working so closely
14	with the administration, as demonstrated by
15	Mr. Mastro's appearance here today.
16	I think that's a positive thing, by
17	the way. I don't think that's a negative
18	thing.
19	CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: It was the '70s.
20	(Laughter.)
21	ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: That's true.
22	But I'm glad to see you're working
23	closely together.
24	In the Assembly I chair a task force

1 on people with disabilities, and I work very 2 closely with the CUNY LEADS program, which we 3 provided some additional funding last year, which provides extra services for those 4 5 students with disabilities. And we actually changed the TAP rules last year to extend the 6 7 time for students to graduate to receive TAP because a lot of students with disabilities 8 9 take longer to graduate.

10 My question for you is, do you have a 11 similar program dealing with students with 12 disabilities? It may not be as formalized, 13 but I'd like to know what you've been doing 14 as far as accommodating the special needs of 15 some of these students with disabilities and 16 to give them that extra assistance that they may need. 17

18 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: We'll start with19 our community colleges.

20 PRESIDENT KRESS: Yes, absolutely. At 21 the college level I can tell you the 22 community colleges do work actively with 23 students who present with a need with 24 accommodation, whether it's a physical

1 disability or a learning disability. I will 2 also say some of those numbers are rising, 3 especially with returning veteran students, 4 who mainly find their first college 5 experience at our community colleges. We also engage -- I will speak 6 7 specifically about MCC -- with some interesting collaborations in our community 8 around students with intellectual 9 10 disabilities and providing them with workforce-readiness training so that they can 11 12 see a future for themselves. It's an 13 incredibly popular program -- not a huge 14 program, but I can tell you it's life-changing, not just for those students 15 16 but for their families who now know that their son or daughter can have a brighter 17 18 future. 19 ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Well, this is at the community college, though. You're with 20 21 Monroe Community College? PRESIDENT KRESS: I'm with Monroe 22 23 Community College. 24 ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: What about with

1 the major universities?

2	CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: I don't know
3	Eileen, maybe President Jones or Esterberg
4	would answer but whether any of our
5	investment funds went to extend services,
6	disability services. But every campus has
7	them.
8	And I would say that our decision to
9	have a chief diversity officer on every
10	campus includes our services to students with
11	disabilities, to returning veterans, as well
12	as to cultural diversities as well.
13	So maybe we can give you a
14	comprehensive report on our disability
15	services.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: If you could.
17	And if you could also look into a similar
18	program to CUNY LEADS, because that's been
19	very successful. And I know it's been
20	expanding
21	CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: We'll do that.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: and I think
23	that's something that might fit the SUNY
24	model as well, and it might be something you

1 should be looking at.

2	CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: What usually
3	happens to us is that we find 10 of them that
4	we might not have known we had at this
5	moment. But we will do that research for
6	you. Thank you.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Okay, thank you.
8	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
9	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
10	Senator?
11	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you very
12	much.
13	Senator Krueger, and then me.
14	SENATOR KRUEGER: Good morning.
15	CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Good morning. Or
16	afternoon. We're not used to this.
17	SENATOR KRUEGER: Oh, you're right.
18	I'm sorry. I forgot we started in the
19	afternoon. Excuse me.
20	So following up on several of the
21	different questions that were asked about the
22	expenditures of SUNY, there was a letter from
23	the director of state operations, and he
24	cited an article claiming that the

administrative overhead costs at SUNY were
 \$3800 per student.

3 So I'm curious, one, do you agree with 4 that analysis? Two, where does that fall in 5 relationship to perhaps five years ago or 6 10 years ago? And, three, if \$3800 per 7 student is for administration costs, how much 8 per student per year is spent on faculty and 9 teaching staff?

10 CFO McLOUGHLIN: The data that was used was NCES IPEDS data, and they compared 11 12 SUNY, which is a comprehensive system which 13 has 30 community colleges, 29 state-operated 14 colleges, five statutory and three teaching 15 hospitals, against systems that are not that 16 comprehensive. So I think that's a starting 17 point.

18In addition to that, if you look at19some other data that's provided from that20same source, we spend more, we spend21\$10,000-plus per FTE on instruction than22those other systems we are compared to.23So we can give you that comprehensive24data. And, I'm sorry, the jumping up and

1 down has caused me to --

0	
2	CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Take a breath.
3	CFO McLOUGHLIN: not get my air.
4	SENATOR KRUEGER: No problem.
5	CFO McLOUGHLIN: But and under the
6	period of SUNY 2020, that five-year period,
7	we have managed academic instruction
8	costs, along with academic support and
9	student services, grew at a higher rate than
10	our administrative costs.
11	And in more recent years, the last two
12	years, that span was a 5 percent slightly
13	over 5 percent growth on the student support
14	and academic support, versus a 2.5 percent on
15	administrative. So as our shared services
16	and our collaborations have kind of taken
17	hold, we're growing more on the academic side
18	than the administrative side.
19	CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: I think, in
20	short, we would welcome the opportunity to
21	give you the data that Eileen just reported.
22	SENATOR KRUEGER: I'd appreciate it.
23	And can you just repeat that number
24	that you said for faculty costs, 10,000

1 something --

2	CFO McLOUGHLIN: On instructional
3	costs we had \$10,300 per FTE, and we actually
4	had the data for every other institution we
5	were compared to, and it's higher.
6	SENATOR KRUEGER: And then following
7	up on an additional question, just so I make
8	sure I did understand the answer correctly,
9	it is SUNY's position that when your tuition
10	goes up above TAP levels and we don't
11	increase the TAP maximum, you are continuing
12	to meet the earlier commitment to students
13	that you pick up that cost?
14	CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Absolutely.
15	CFO McLOUGHLIN: And collectively,
16	that's about \$60 million at this point.
17	SENATOR KRUEGER: Six million dollars?
18	CFO McLOUGHLIN: Sixty.
19	CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Sixty million.
20	SENATOR KRUEGER: Sixty, excuse me.
21	Thank you.
22	The chancellor mentioned that she's
23	been here seven years, and you've actually
24	had to do a search for 47 college presidents.

1 So how many of your total SUNY system college 2 presidents have turned over in seven years? 3 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Well, we have 59 campuses -- I'm doing this out loud, this 4 5 will be embarrassing -- not counting the statutory campuses. And of those 59, we have 6 7 replaced 47. Now, I can even remember Cliff Wharton 8 saying to me in his tenure he hired 54 9 10 presidents. He was chancellor for nine 11 years. I couldn't believe this. But six 12 times nine -- in other words, we have a 13 turnover rate of about six presidents a year, 14 for retirement, for some, change of position. 15 But I would say more retirement than change 16 of position. We've had some long-standing 17 tenured presidents. 18 But we've been busy, yes. 19 SENATOR KRUEGER: For some reason that 20 number sort of stood out to me. It was like, 21 oh, that's a lot of turnover. 22 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Well, considering -- no, it really isn't. I don't 23 24 view it at all as that. There might be some

1 instances where there's been more rapid 2 turnover. But by and large, our tenure of 3 our presidents is probably somewhere between six and eight years, which is about the 4 5 national average. Don't ask me about the tenure of chancellors of systems, because 6 7 it's frightening. 8 (Laughter.) 9 SENATOR KRUEGER: Then I won't ask you 10 that question. So there's a SUNY Central Board. 11 Then 12 do each of your colleges or community colleges have their own boards? 13 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Our community 14 colleges have their own local boards. And in 15 16 fact the Environmental Science and Forestry campus for some reason has a board, but our 17 18 state-operated campuses have college 19 councils. College councils are appointed by 20 the governor, and community college boards are appointed by governors and local 21 22 counties. PRESIDENT KRESS: Local sponsors. 23 24 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Local sponsors.

1 PRESIDENT KRESS: And with an elected 2 student -- our boards are appointed by the 3 governor, also by our local sponsors, and 4 then there is an elected voting student 5 trustee who serves on the board as well. SENATOR KRUEGER: And what percentage 6 7 are appointed by your local governments, your local sponsors, as you put it? 8 PRESIDENT KRESS: Sure. There are 9 10 five local appointees, there are four gubernatorial -- I may have that reversed, 11 12 though. Wait. No, there are four -- four --13 yeah, that's right. Four by the governor, 14 four gubernatorial appointees; five local 15 sponsor appointees; and then the elected 16 student trustee, who serves a year term. Can run for reelection, but serves a term of a 17 18 year. 19 SENATOR KRUEGER: So it's really not 20 unusual in your system to have appointees at 21 the local government level? 22 PRESIDENT KRESS: No. SENATOR KRUEGER: It's a norm. 23 24 PRESIDENT KRESS: It's typical.

1	CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: It's the law.
2	SENATOR KRUEGER: I just wanted to
3	double-check.
4	And I'm out of time. Thank you very
5	much.
6	CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Thank you.
7	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
8	Assemblywoman Malliotakis.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: Thank you.
10	Welcome, Chancellor
11	CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Thank you.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS:
13	Presidents. It's great to have you here.
14	I just had two quick questions. I
15	think it's a great discussion today, and I
16	appreciate the questions my colleagues
17	already asked.
18	I just wanted to talk a little about
19	the Tuition Assistance Program. In 2010
20	well, first we talked about the issue of
21	debt, and we recognize that there's an
22	average debt of about \$26,000 or \$27,000 per
23	student, on average. But that doesn't
24	include graduate school, that' just sort of

1 includes undergrad. And so, you know, my 2 concern has been in 2010 the state eliminated 3 the Tuition Assistance Program for graduate students. So if you're a graduate student 4 5 here in the State of New York, you get absolutely no assistance. And I haven't yet 6 7 seen the Governor put in his proposal the 8 restoration of the Tuition Assistance Program 9 for graduate students. And it costs about 10 \$3 million a year, so it's certainly 11 affordable, especially when we're proposing 12 something like the DREAM Act that costs \$27 million. 13

14 So I just wanted to get your opinion 15 on how important it is that we do restore the 16 graduate tuition assistance, because I feel 17 that, you know, our students are graduating with \$26,000 debt, we have so many citizens 18 that are taking on multiple jobs to pay for 19 20 graduate school. And so I think it is an 21 issue that needs to be addressed, and I'd 22 like to hear your view as professionals in the higher education field. 23

24 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: We would take all

of the tuition assistance funding this state
 can garner. We have demands for tuition
 relief of students in high school who are
 taking college-level courses; so that exists.
 We have demand for increase in existing TAP
 awards. And yes, of course, we would support
 the reinstatement of graduate TAP.

8 The state has some big decisions to make relative to the investment in talent 9 10 development, which is -- I know seven years 11 ago when I came, we began to talk very 12 directly about our role in economic 13 development. And I think it hinges almost 14 exclusively on the number of talented 15 New Yorkers whom we can educate and educate 16 better.

So I can't answer it any more 17 18 affirmatively than to say yes, and I think 19 the challenge for all of us is to prioritize 20 where is the greatest need. Is it increasing 21 the TAP figure? Is it starting to fund 22 part-time TAP? Is it starting to fund high school TAP and graduate TAP? 23 24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: Okay.

1 Well, I appreciate that.

2 And additionally, I just want to also 3 point out -- I'd like to hear your opinion as well -- on the issue that the Tuition 4 5 Assistance Program, the income eligibility threshold hasn't been increased in this state 6 7 since the year 2000. It's been 16 years. And the last time they increased it, it was 8 from \$50,000 to \$80,000 household income. If 9 10 you're a family of six -- let's say you have three or four children, right, you have to 11 12 put through college and you have to do so on a salary of \$80,000, with the additional 13 rising costs of living in New York State, I 14 15 think it's very difficult to do. 16 And so again, I see the DREAM Act for \$27 million -- and I'm not putting you on the 17

18 spot to say which one is more important than 19 the other. I will say, in my opinion, that 20 we should be taking care of the citizens and 21 legal residents of the state first.

However, I will say that it is, I
think, important that we should be looking to
increase the income eligibility threshold for

1 this state, because everything else is 2 increasing in the state but we're not seeing the TAP awards doing so. And I think our 3 priorities are misplaced in this budget. 4 5 And I would just like to know your opinion, if you agree that we should be 6 7 looking at that income eligibility threshold and perhaps bringing it more up to date. 8 9 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: I think we would 10 participate and contribute in the biggest possible way to additional conversations 11 12 around tuition assistance. I can't say that 13 strongly enough. I have to express a great 14 deal of gratitude that we have what we have, 15 but it can always can be expanded and 16 extended, and we would support that conversation. 17 ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: We would 18 19 love to have the SUNY Board of Trustees pass 20 a resolution supporting these two proposals. 21 And I look forward to working with you on that in the future. 22 23 Thank you. 24 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Thank you.

1 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. I think 2 I'm up. 3 So again, we appreciate all of you being here today to give testimony. 4 5 As you know, Chancellor, I am very blessed in my region to have several 6 7 institutions of higher learning, whether it's SUNY Fredonia, Alfred State College, the 8 Ceramics College at Alfred University -- I'm 9 10 very much on the cusp of SUNY Geneseo, so 11 Senator Gallivan and I work together on those 12 issues. As far as community colleges go, I have JCC in Chautauqua and Cattaraugus 13 14 Counties. And in Livingston I have Genesee 15 Community College. 16 So you would think with a rural area like mine we may have a deficit of higher 17 18 learning, but that absolutely is not the 19 case. And I truly appreciate your attention 20 to all of our SUNY system and our community 21 colleges. 22 I just wanted to ask a couple of things. I was wondering about how things are 23

going with START-UP NY and SUNY. And as you

24

1 may know -- I'm sure you know -- at Alfred 2 State College, for example, we have a great 3 opportunity with the new forest economy to actually transform Alfred State into an 4 5 international center of research, and it has to do with biorefineries and wood products. 6 7 And it's a clean green but also a very exciting opportunity. So I didn't know if 8 you had any kind of update for us on some of 9 10 the different projects that are moving forward. 11 12 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Want to do that, 13 Alex? Alex Cartwright, he's --14 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Hi. 15 PROVOST CARTWRIGHT: Hi, Alex 16 Cartwright. I'm provost and executive vice chancellor for SUNY. 17 18 Right now actually we do have 58 of our campuses that have already submitted 19 20 plans for START-UP NY. We have 157 approved 21 businesses with a projected about \$29 million 22 of investment from those companies. And that will ultimately create about 4,000 jobs. 23 24 Many of these companies are at the early

1 stages, so the job numbers aren't there yet, 2 but they are growing. 3 Alfred State happens to be one of those that is very aggressive in looking at 4 5 how to use all of their expertise in ceramics to actually grow into the areas that you're 6 7 talking about. And we've been --CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Right. And that 8 would be Alfred University, not Alfred State? 9 10 PROVOST CARTWRIGHT: Alfred State --CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Alfred State is 11 12 different than Alfred University. So Alfred 13 University has the Ceramics College. So --14 but they're both --15 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: He knows the 16 difference. He said it differently. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Right. 17 PROVOST CARTWRIGHT: And the Ceramics 18 School, I mean, yes. I know --19 20 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Which is 21 internationally known also, and they have 22 done -- and so we just had, for example, a huge announcement with the Governor and 23 24 Lieutenant Governor in investing in some

1 things there. So that's phenomenal.

2 And then I know in SUNY Fredonia we 3 worked very hard to establish an incubator program. So it's great to hear that there's 4 5 that much progress across the state. So that's good news. 6 7 I wanted to also ask -- you know, we talked a little bit about decreased 8 9 enrollment, both in community colleges -- and 10 that might be economic, to a certain extent, 11 because when the economy is not doing as 12 well, people are going back to school to 13 learn new skills and that sort of thing. But 14 also we have seen a declined enrollment at 15 some of the SUNY schools. For example, 16 Fredonia and Alfred and up in Potsdam, for 17 example, we see an increased competitive 18 effort from other states to attract our students away. And it's really a major 19 20 problem. You can drive through my district 21 and it is filled with advertising billboards, all kinds of different mechanisms that other 22 23 colleges are reaching out to people to 24 attract them away.

And so, as you know, I had put in a 1 2 bill to try to address that to give a 3 somewhat lower tuition rate to people from out of state, but it has to be within a 4 5 geographic distance. And I just was wondering if you could give us an update, 6 7 Chancellor, on how those colleges are doing. And I know you're aware of the competition 8 that we are now facing that is 9 10 extraordinarily heavy that is taking away local students who may typically have gone to 11 12 SUNY Fredonia or gone to Alfred. 13 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Well, we are very 14 pleased that you are interested and 15 supportive of sort of the border-state 16 tuition mechanism that would help us be competitive across our borders. 17 I did want to say, we have this very 18 19 ambitious degree-completion commitment, from 20 93,000 degrees issued a year, which is a big 21 number, to 150,000 degrees issued a year by 22 2020. And I think maybe because Alex is an engineer, he has determined that we can't get 23 24 more degree completions if we don't increase

1 access and enrollment.

2	So you've begun to break down our
3	enrollment strategies to help the Fredonias,
4	to help the campuses that have experienced
5	some loss. And there are three or four ways
6	we're going to do that that you might tell
7	quickly.
8	PROVOST CARTWRIGHT: Yes. So what
9	we're trying to do is look at, holistically,
10	the entire enrollment across the whole system
11	and to think about where we would target for
12	increases in enrollment nearby states,
13	increasing you know, attracting students
14	there, making our campuses more competitive.
15	Looking also at the existing 6.9 million
16	people in New York that are uncredentialed
17	right now, can we grow that population.
18	So we really are taking that approach
19	of how can we move enrollment at all of our
20	campuses. And particularly with Fredonia,
21	we've been talking with Fredonia, they are
22	transforming their first-year program,
23	they're thinking about how they can add the
24	things that they're doing in the great

1 things that they're doing in the arts and 2 humanities, along with some of the efforts 3 that they have in STEM to think about 4 capturing those students who might be 5 interested in the STEM fields, which we've seen at least, you know, some amount of 6 7 people more interested in the STEM recently, that then couples that with the arts and 8 9 humanities that are terrific at Fredonia, and 10 put those together and really make programs 11 that are much more compelling. 12 So it is this idea of how do you transform the institution so that they're 13 14 more compelling. 15 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: That's great. 16 That's great news. I did have another question, and so 17 18 switching gears just a bit. Recently SUNY 19 adopted a resolution to install a \$15 minimum 20 wage. So my question is, what will be the 21 cost to the system once that is fully phased 22 in? 23 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: So I always begin 24 with our support for the concept. I have to

1 say that we supported the concept ahead of 2 how we're going to pay for it. That's typically not the way you do things. But 3 4 it's important that we make a statement in 5 support of a living wage for our employees. We have calculated the cost by 2018, 6 7 which is full implementation and then the immediate annual cost. 8 9 Eileen? 10 CFO McLOUGHLIN: So immediately this year, because we're implementing it at SUNY 11 12 starting in January, it's going to be \$2 million. By the end of the next academic 13 14 year, it's going to be \$6 million. By the 15 end of the full phase-in period, it will 16 \$29.7 million. CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: And we would 17 18 welcome state support in that regard. 19 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Well, we've had a 20 lot of discussions today about tuition costs 21 and, you know, the different pressures that 22 are on the system currently. When you look at those figures, does 23 24 that include factors for compression so that 1 -- or is it just the \$15 --

2 CFO McLOUGHLIN: It's state workers, 3 so it does not -- on the SUNY campuses, it's not looking at compression with collective 4 5 bargaining units, nor is it looking at compression amongst other employment on those 6 7 campuses. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay, thank you. 8 9 There is included in the budget a new SUNY 10 Apprenticeship Program. And could you just 11 give us a little bit more information about 12 that effort? CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Well, several 13 14 years ago we made a public commitment to 15 ensure that every student at SUNY had some 16 form of applied learning, learning by doing. That was a big umbrella. We know that even 17 18 our work-study students are having a work 19 experience. And by the way, someone who's 20 flipping hamburgers at McDonald's is having a 21 work experience. 22 So how do we capture all of that and make sure that we can afford it -- because 23

24 our faculty have to be retrained in some

1 instances to make sure they help students 2 find those applied learning opportunities. 3 We've been partnering with the New York State Business Council to create, 4 5 thanks to Accenture, an online matching system for students as interns with business 6 7 and industry that can accommodate a paid internship. And we have a newly endowed 8 Center for Applied Learning at Potsdam that 9 10 we hope will serve -- you don't mind, do you -- the entire SUNY system. 11 12 PRESIDENT ESTERBERG: Not at all. I'm 13 pleased to. 14 At our Center for Applied Learning, 15 the aim is to look at those kinds of programs that can be scalable, and to look at best 16 practices for documenting student learning 17 18 outcomes through their applied learning, 19 looking at a variety of models for 20 compensation of faculty who engage in that, 21 and then export those out through the SUNY 22 system. We're working with Oneonta on several 23 24 different methods to try and document student

1 learning. And the aim is really to ensure 2 that every campus has the ability to figure 3 out how to do it in a way that makes sense 4 for their campus, their students, and their 5 location. 6 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 7 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Yeah, thank you. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: And I just want to 8 add my voice in support of the critical 9 10 maintenance funding. That is absolutely crucial. And also we do need more capital 11 12 somehow for the SUNY system to really 13 continue to bring it forward. So thank you 14 very much. 15 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Thank you. 16 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 17 Assemblywoman Barbara Lifton. 18 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LIFTON: Hello. CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Hello. 19 20 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LIFTON: Welcome. 21 I want to ask a question of 22 Mr. Mastro. I'm sure he's feeling quite neglected here. And I will get to you in a 23 24 moment, actually; I'm just giving you a

1 little warning. And I'm sure that Chancellor 2 Zimpher has warned you perhaps that I'm a 3 little skeptical on the tuition issue and that I'd much rather see the state -- I'm 4 5 sure you probably would too --CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Mm-hmm. 6 7 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LIFTON: -- step up to the plate and do much more than we're doing. 8 So I want to ask you about your role as 9 10 president of the student body -- the Student Assembly -- and a little about how those 11 12 elections happen at the campus level and so 13 on. So I'm just giving you a little bit of a 14 warning there on the topic. 15 But Chancellor, I think I'll start 16 with you on the edTPA. I've talked about this before, I've asked questions about it 17 18 before, and I'm very concerned that I'm still 19 hearing the same sort of litany of problems 20 about the teacher certification process at 21 the SUNY campuses, the edTPA, the other 22 assessments and exams. I'm told that across SUNY, teacher 23 24 education programs have -- enrollment has

1 dropped by about 40 percent, same as at my 2 SUNY campus, SUNY Cortland, that does so 3 much -- the number-one teacher preparation campus in the state. A very serious concern 4 5 about that. I'm told there are serious teacher shortages already across the state in 6 7 areas such as special ed, technology education, early childhood education, and in 8 STEM fields as well. 9

10 There's a concern about diversity, 11 that students of color, students from 12 minority districts are not enrolling in these 13 teacher ed programs or enrolling and then not 14 going through and finishing to the edTPA --15 which they have to do before they graduate, 16 yes? -- and even begin to go out and teach. And that maybe they finish the program but 17 18 like 50 percent are not signing up for that final piece of the assessments in edTPA. 19

20 Very concerned about this. It's --21 you know, I'm not hearing any positive news 22 about this from a year ago and maybe two 23 years ago, when some of these issues were 24 first raised.

1 My last question on this -- and I'd 2 just like to have you speak to these issues -- is the issue of Pearson. They're 3 not in P-12 anymore, but they're still in 4 5 higher ed testing. Is it true that the state does not pay Pearson for their creating and 6 7 administering of the exams, of the four exams and assessments, that all new teachers must 8 9 take, that they make all their profits from 10 the students' taking of tests and their 11 retaking of tests in many cases? I'm told 12 many students are spending a thousand dollars on the first exam and then retakes of these 13 exams, and they're not getting much feedback 14 15 about why they're not doing well. There's just a litany -- I could take more time, but 16 I think I'll let you speak. I could go 17 through a longer list of the details of 18 19 concerns.

20 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Well, I would say 21 I appreciate your list. It is exactly every 22 question plus 50 more that we put on the 23 table a year ago when we formed a panel 24 called Teach NY. We prepare 5,000 teachers a

year across 17 comprehensive campuses, and I
 daresay most of our community colleges give
 the preliminary introduction to teaching as a
 profession, and 40 percent of our teacher
 candidates come from our community colleges.

So we are shortly to publicly present 6 7 the findings of TeachNY. We've spent a year trying to unravel every one of the problems 8 that you've cited. And they are respected 9 10 and appreciated issues, and we really value teacher education at SUNY. So when you see 11 12 our recommendations, I think they will solve or are intended to solve many of the problems 13 14 you've presented and many more that you 15 didn't list but you know, I know you know 16 about.

So it's called TeachNY. We had every important educator in the State of New York at that table, including representation from our CUNY system, our colleagues at CUNY, and our independent colleges. And we hope that this will be a breakthrough for teacher education in New York.

24 So at this point I think, to give Tom

1 time, I just want to say to you we're on it, 2 we know these are issues, we want to change 3 our enrollment strategy. We're overproducing teachers in some areas and underproducing in 4 5 others. So I just want to compliment you on the litany of things that are problematic and 6 7 to tell you that I think TeachNY will address 8 them. ASSEMBLYWOMAN LIFTON: Thank you. I 9 10 look forward to seeing that report. Mr. Mastro, quickly in my last few 11 12 seconds here, so you compared your role to 13 our role as elected representatives. And, 14 you know, many of us are very concerned about 15 low voter turnout. You may know that -- I 16 don't know what your major is, Tom. What's 17 your major? 18 MR. MASTRO: I'm human development and 19 education at Binghamton University. 20 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LIFTON: Education and 21 human development. 22 MR. MASTRO: Yeah. 23 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LIFTON: So you probably 24 follow politics a little bit, you're a leader

1

in the political world.

2 So we're very concerned about voter 3 turnout in our world, and one of the things that I and no doubt many of my colleagues 4 5 have done is that we poll our constituents to get a very accurate -- you know, we think 6 7 sometimes when 20 or 30 percent of the people turn out to vote, maybe we're not getting an 8 accurate view of how people really feel about 9 10 things. It's important to do a valid scientific poll to find out how people feel. 11 12 You probably don't have the ability to do 13 that -- although it might be a great thing 14 for SUNY to think about doing, Chancellor, to 15 get a really accurate picture. But if you haven't -- maybe you've 16 done that, maybe I'm wrong about that. But 17 18 are there ways that you've tried to reach out to what we might call disenfranchised voters, 19 20 kids on campuses that are so busy and 21 commuting and they don't even know what day 22 the election -- I mean, I don't know --MR. MASTRO: No. 23 24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LIFTON: -- what your

1 process looks like, but -- and I'd like to 2 know what that process looks like, actually. 3 I'd love some information. What percentage of the students are voting in elections, and 4 5 what do you do to make sure you're hearing voices that may not otherwise be heard? 6 7 MR. MASTRO: Okay, yeah. So like I said, each campus, based on the total 8 9 enrollment student population, sends 10 delegates to the SUNY Student Assembly 11 conferences. And those representatives that 12 are sent to us, depending on the campus and 13 how their student government is structured, 14 the campus itself either elects the delegates 15 or the student government itself elects the 16 delegates that are then sent to SUNY Student 17 Assembly. 18 Throughout the past two years -- I've been going to the conferences for -- now this 19

is going to be my fourth year, and our
enrollment of -- the number of delegates that
have been sent, the campus's representative,
the campuses that have been represented at
our conference actually has gone up within

the last three years from when I started
 coming to our conferences.

For example, our community colleges,
the majority of them, as they are smaller,
send one or two or three delegates. While
our larger institutions, such as University
at Buffalo, Albany, Binghamton, send four to
five delegates.

9 And prior to those meetings the 10 student governments are given the documents that they'll be voted on at our conference. 11 12 So from there, they'll bring those documents 13 to their campus student government and 14 senate, to look at these types of 15 resolutions. And then from there the 16 delegates come to our conference and vote on behalf of the entire campus. 17 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LIFTON: I'm not sure 18 19 you quite spoke to the question about -- on 20 each individual campus, how does that 21 election of their representatives or delegates happen? 22

23 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Do you know the 24 percentage of students who --

ASSEMBLYWOMAN LIFTON: What kind of 1 2 turnout is there at SUNY Geneseo, for 3 instance, or SUNY Binghamton or SUNY Potsdam? Do you have those numbers? Do you have any 4 5 sense of how many students actually participate? 6 7 MR. MASTRO: We've been actually been looking at that -- yeah, we've actually been 8 looking at that, for the same reason that 9 10 you've brought up, ensuring that what's actually coming forth is accurate. I know 11 12 specifically at our bigger institutions the 13 student governments are pretty prominent and 14 known on campus, while some of our smaller 15 institutions -- I could speak to the 16 community college sector pretty well; I was the president of the student government at 17 18 SUNY Broome Community College two years ago. 19 And with that, the turnover rate of the 20 student government officials is pretty rapid, 21 within one year. With that, the student 22 population as well. So ensuring that the student 23

24 government has a good footing on the campus

is something that our student governments are
 looking at to ensure that when students turn
 over, that the student government president
 stays.

5 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LIFTON: Thank you. Anecdotally, I'm concerned about the 6 7 number of students I hear from that are very concerned about tuition increases. And I'm 8 sure you represent a certain portion of SUNY 9 10 students, and who knows how many really don't even have the time to weigh in and tell us 11 12 about their debt and how concerned they are. 13 But thank you very much. I appreciate 14 your responses. 15 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 16 Senator? CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I think the 17 Senators are done. 18 19 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: All right. We can close with Mr. Ra, to close. 20 21 ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you, Chairman. 22 Good afternoon. I just wanted to see if we could further address an issue that 23

24 Chancellor Zimpher mentioned -- it might be

1	something that's of interest to President
2	Kress also and that's the community
3	college childcare program.
4	I know that obviously this is a budget
5	that hits on a lot of great types of issues
6	like family leave and minimum wage, and we
7	always talk about, when it comes to higher
8	education, you know, the funding side of
9	things in terms of access of all different
10	types of students. But I think
11	obviously this is a very key area as well for
12	students. And you mentioned, you know, 100
13	coming in the door, 40 going out, and I'm
14	sure this is one of the areas that's been
15	identified.
16	So I'm wondering if you can elaborate
17	what the impact of a cut like this would be.
18	Because I'm certainly hearing from, you
19	know I'm in Nassau County. Our community
20	college tells us that this program is very
21	well utilized. And I think that, you know,
22	the impact of a cut like this is going to
23	remove opportunities for people to go and get
24	a higher education.

1 Are the other campuses seeing this 2 program at capacity, or is there something 3 I'm missing in terms of why this is being targeted for a cut by the Executive? 4 5 PRESIDENT KRESS: I'm happy to respond on behalf of the community colleges. 6 7 I can say that we view this as vital funding for our students. Many of our 8 students simply cannot go to college if they 9 10 cannot be assured that someone is there, a 11 high-quality setting, to take care of their 12 own children. I'll just take -- we have 13 multiple campuses and centers within MCC --14 let me take our downtown campus. 15 Seventy-five percent of the students at our 16 downtown campus qualify for Pell. Sixty-six percent of those students are female. The 17 18 vast majority of them are first-generation 19 college. They're also -- they're not just 20 going to college for themselves, they're 21 setting a precedent for their whole family. 22 The reality is without childcare subsidies, most of them cannot go to school. 23

24 So what does that mean in a community

1 like Rochester where child poverty is among 2 the nation's highest? It means those 3 families really will see no pathway out of poverty. So these are essential dollars to 4 5 our campus. I want to thank the Legislature for 6 7 restoring and adding funding over time, but to see it cut year after year I have to say 8 is a bit dispiriting. 9 10 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: It's also part of 11 the legislative agenda of the Student 12 Assembly. 13 MR. MASTRO: Yeah. Yeah, this is an 14 item that has been on our legislative affairs 15 agenda for quite a few years now. And 16 looking at our student populations, especially at some of our community colleges, 17 the nontraditional student rate has been 18 going up. With that, our childcare centers 19 20 are in need of additional funding for proper staffing and the facilities themselves. 21 22 In addition to that, at a great number of our campuses our students that are in our 23 24 early childhood education programs often go

1 in and they do the applied learning 2 experience within those facilities as well. So this item specifically we've been 3 pushing for, I know last year and then also 4 5 this year, for increased funding. 6 CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: It's interesting 7 how this links to teacher preparation, because our early childhood programs really 8 do need to be expanded. And it's all related 9 10 to serving this high-need population. 11 ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Well, thank you. And 12 I think I'm confident that there's going to be a lot of support in the Legislature for 13 14 the restoration of this, and hopefully the 15 expansion of it, as it should be. But thank 16 vou all. And I want to thank Mr. Mastro, who I 17 18 had the opportunity of meeting at a reception 19 a few weeks ago. As I told him, my earliest 20 government experience was student government 21 in college, and I met my wife through student

23 So it's an important thing you're 24 doing, and I'm glad to see you sitting up

government in college.

22

1	there with these distinguished people in
2	higher education advocating for your fellow
3	students.
4	Thank you.
5	MR. MASTRO: Glad to be here.
6	CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Thank you.
7	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Chancellor, thank
8	you very much.
9	CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Thank you. It
10	was a good session. We appreciate the
11	questions.
12	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: It's hard to
13	believe seven years went so quickly.
14	CHANCELLOR ZIMPHER: Time flew by.
15	Thank you.
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you,
17	everyone.
18	(Pause.)
19	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Good afternoon.
20	We continue, City University of
21	New York, James Milliken, chancellor.
22	Good afternoon, and welcome.
23	CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: Good afternoon.
24	Thank you.

1 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: I messed that name 2 up. 3 CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: I commit to you that by myself, I will take less time than 4 5 Chancellor Zimpher and her team did. CHAIRMAN FARRELL: I'm not going to 6 7 say a common word, but thank you. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Welcome. 8 CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: Good afternoon, 9 10 Chairs Young, Farrell, LaValle and Glick, and 11 members of the Finance and Ways and Means 12 Committee. I'm James B. Milliken, the chancellor 13 14 of the City University of New York. And I 15 want to thank you for providing what is my 16 second opportunity to meet and share with you why it's such an honor to lead this unique 17 and vital institution. 18 19 A number of my colleagues, although 20 not sitting with me, are seated behind me, ready to throw me a lifeline if needed. 21 22 I want to begin with a thank you to all of you for your continued substantial 23 24 support for CUNY and for its students,

1 attending in record numbers again this year
2 and graduating in record numbers. This would
3 not be possible if it were not for the
4 investment that the state makes, and we will
5 do everything we can to ensure that we
6 continue to earn your trust and confidence
7 and your support.

For over 150 years, CUNY has been the 8 9 gateway to progress and fulfillment for many 10 New Yorkers who do not begin life with great 11 advantages, particularly those from 12 low-income families, underrepresented groups, 13 and immigrants. The promise this state makes 14 to these talented young New Yorkers is at the heart of CUNY's and, I believe, New York's 15 16 success.

17We're actively strengthening CUNY to18ensure that the university and our graduates19continue to play a leading role for the20benefit of this state. We're doing that by21vigorously executing CUNY's historic mission22through increasingly evidence-based23strategies and innovations.

24 Affordability and access will always

1 be fundamental to CUNY and its irreplaceable 2 role in the life of our city and state, but 3 we are focused more than ever on our obligation to ensure that when our students 4 5 leave our colleges, they do so in much greater numbers with diplomas that will 6 7 change their lives and the competitiveness of New York. We're hard at work now on a new 8 university-wide strategic plan and a master 9 10 plan with this in mind.

11 Every day we're guided by the unique 12 mandate established when this body passed the 13 historic legislation creating the City 14 University of New York, and later when it 15 doubled down, establishing the current 16 governance and structure. The New York State Legislature designated the City University of 17 18 New York as an institution with a distinctive 19 mission -- that we'll be an independent 20 system of higher education that must be 21 responsive to the needs of its urban setting, 22 and we'll operate as an integrated system with close collaboration between the 23 24 community colleges and the senior colleges.

1 That's critical in helping make possible the 2 transfer of so many students from community 3 to senior colleges, a number that doubled in 4 the last decade.

5 The Legislature also declared that 6 "The City University is of vital importance 7 as a vehicle for the upward mobility of the 8 disadvantaged in the City of New York." Like 9 you, we are committed to not just carrying 10 out that mandate, but to constantly finding 11 new ways to strengthen it.

12About 75 percent of the graduates of13New York City's high schools who attend14college come to CUNY. CUNY is home to15three-quarters of all Pell grant recipients16in New York City, a critical form of aid to17our neediest and, I can attest, many of our18most dedicated students.

19Similarly, New York's remarkable20investment in the Tuition Assistance Program21is key to our students' ability to attend22college. We're very grateful for TAP, but we23also believe with some changes it could be24even more effective and the result would be

1 even more college graduates in New York. 2 Now, the emphasis on access and 3 affordability should in no way be seen as overshadowing CUNY's outstanding academic 4 5 credentials. CUNY graduates have won 13 Nobel prizes. They have won more MacArthur 6 7 Genius Awards than any other public university in America other than Berkeley. 8 But I'll note we just passed Berkeley as 9 10 having the highest-ranked public interest law 11 program in the nation. 12

Our students won 39 Fulbrights in the last two years alone. I recently met with a 13 14 Brooklyn College graduate, a young woman who 15 emigrated from Pakistan as a child. After 16 graduating from CUNY she became a Rhodes Scholar. She's now in her second year at the 17 18 Harvard Medical School. This is an example 19 of so many of our students who, when given the opportunity, excel beyond our 20 21 imaginations.

22 And our faculty are as impressive as 23 our students. And we're grateful for your

24 support which has allowed us to hire so many

1 more needed full-time faculty in the past 2 four years. They earn Fulbrights, 3 MacArthurs, and competitive grants in record numbers. They're recognized for their 4 5 excellent teaching as well as their research and creative activity. They are the reason 6 7 our colleges are consistently ranked as the 8 best values in the nation. And this recognition isn't simply a statement about 9 10 cost -- it's a recognition of CUNY's high 11 quality at a reasonable price. And the 12 faculty are, of course, responsible for that 13 outstanding quality. 14 This talented faculty has been working 15 without a contract -- and at salaries 16 significantly lower than their peers -- for more than five years now, and thus far we've 17 18 been unable to reach an agreement with them. Last year when I appeared before you, I said 19 20 we were seeking support from the state and 21 the city for an agreement in line with other 22 state unions. We are unfortunately in that position again a year later. 23

24 I can say without equivocation that my

highest priority, as well as that of the
 Board of Trustees and the college presidents
 of CUNY, is to get this contract settled and
 pay the increases to which our 45,000 faculty
 and staff are entitled.

You know that the knowledge economy 6 7 increasingly offers its most attractive 8 opportunities to those who attain degrees 9 beyond high school. The share of jobs that 10 require postsecondary education has doubled 11 since I, and perhaps some of you, went to 12 college. Bachelor's degree graduates earn 13 annually, on average, more than \$20,000 more 14 than high school graduates, and their 15 unemployment rate is about half of those 16 without college degrees.

And here's why this is so important to 17 18 CUNY. Nationally, half of all people from 19 high-income families have a bachelor's degree by age 25; just one in 10 people from the 20 21 lowest quintile of families in the economic 22 strata earn a degree by 25. But here's the good news: When children born into the 23 24 bottom fifth of income distribution -- many

of CUNY's students -- get a college degree,
 their chances of making it to the top fifth
 nearly quadruple. Their chances of making it
 out of the bottom increase by more than
 50 percent.

6 Intel's Andy Grove called CUNY, where 7 he received his engineering degree, the 8 American Dream Machine. I might also call it 9 the best prescription available to reduce 10 income inequality through opportunity.

A great many of our 275,000 11 12 degree-seeking students face more challenges 13 than most in making it to graduation. But we 14 are tackling this head on. We have put in 15 place and are expanding a number of programs 16 to provide the support that helps thousands more achieve that goal every year. In some 17 18 instances the results are encouraging; in others, revolutionary. 19

Nationwide, the three-year graduation
rate at urban community colleges is
15 percent. That is simply unacceptable. To
address this daunting challenge, CUNY
designed and rolled out a program called

1 ASAP, which is considered one of the most 2 significant outcome improvement initiatives 3 in higher education in the country. 4 Three-year graduation rates among ASAP 5 students have soared to 55 percent in the most recent class, and we feel we may be able 6 7 to reach and exceed 60 percent, more than triple the old graduation rate. 8

9 And with generous support from the 10 city and the state, we're scaling up ASAP from 4,000 students last year to 25,000 11 12 students in three years, including the first full implementation at one of our community 13 14 colleges and a pilot program at a senior 15 college. Eighty-seven percent of these 16 students are African-American, Latino or Asian. ASAP's great success and our rapid 17 18 expansion is why we would like to ask that 19 you restore \$2.5 million in our budget for 20 ASAP.

21 Another item I would like to ask you 22 to consider including in your budget is 23 funding for the newly accredited CUNY School 24 of Medicine at City College, which opens its

1 doors next fall. This new college will build 2 on 40 years of success of the Sophie Davis 3 School of Social Medicine and is uniquely designed to serve the important mission of 4 CUNY. Almost half of our students will be 5 from underrepresented groups -- many times 6 7 the national rate -- and most of our graduates will continue to practice in 8 9 federally designated underserved areas. 10 It's a natural for CUNY and New York, 11 and we're asking only that the school 12 receives the same per student funding now provided for SUNY medical schools. 13 14 I turn now to the items included in 15 the Governor's Executive Budget. The 16 eye-catcher, of course, was a suggested shift in CUNY's funding, proposing that New York 17 18 City assume responsibility for 30 percent, or 19 \$485 million, of operating costs and debt service. The proposal was accompanied by an 20 21 investment of \$240 million to help settle our 22 bargaining agreements, which was a most welcome recognition of the importance of this 23 24 resolution.

1 Determining the appropriate level of 2 state and city support for CUNY is an 3 important responsibility of our elected leaders, especially in this body. My 4 5 obligation, I believe, is to convince you that a strong CUNY is vital to the future of 6 7 the state and that those New Yorkers who need opportunity the most benefit from the 8 investment in CUNY. 9

10 I would argue that there is a need for greater overall investment in an institution 11 12 which is responsible for 275,000 degreeseeking students and an equal number of adult 13 14 and continuing education students every day. 15 To serve them and the state well, it is essential that the investment in CUNY be 16 stable, secure and adequate. That, in my 17 18 mind, should be the discussion we have.

19Of the many investments the state is20asked to make, I am convinced that higher21education produces one of the highest returns22on investment, and it's the one that changes23the trajectory of generations.

24 The Governor recently expressed

1 concern -- and it was mentioned earlier, so I 2 will mention it now -- about costs in higher education at both SUNY and CUNY. Just this 3 year we cut \$50 million in costs through a 4 5 series of steps including hiring freezes, purchasing reductions, reductions in 6 7 temporary employees, and more. And CUNY has been a national leader in consolidating 8 back-office functions and implementing shared 9 10 services in many areas such as information 11 technology, human resources, admissions, 12 financial aid, security and more. But we embrace our role as stewards of public funds; 13 14 we know we can always improve. We will 15 continue to look at ways to shift 16 expenditures to those areas directly affecting the outcomes of our students. 17 18 In his budget, Governor Cuomo provided 19 support for a number of important programs, 20 and we very much appreciate his recognition 21 of the importance of those investments. The 22 Governor proposed a renewal of the predictable tuition policy in his budget, 23 24 which has for the last five years provided an

important opportunity for the university to
 make thoughtful investments and has allowed
 students to be in a position to plan ahead
 for moderate increases, avoiding the kinds of
 spikes we saw before 2011.

No one likes to increase tuition, and 6 7 especially at CUNY. I am sympathetic to our student leaders who oppose tuition increases, 8 but in truth we have one of the lowest 9 10 tuition levels in the country, and today approximately 80 percent of our associate and 11 12 bachelor's degree graduates leave with zero 13 federal debt. I want to emphasize that in 14 the context of the last discussion, where 15 national numbers in the mid-20,000s, on 16 average, for undergraduate debt were mentioned. At CUNY, only 20 percent have 17 18 federal debt when they leave, of any size, 19 and it's considerably lower than the national 20 average.

21 But we must be in a position to invest 22 in new faculty and academic advisors, to 23 support our current faculty, and to offer our 24 students a high-quality education and the

opportunity to graduate on time. Because of
 our policy, during the last four years we
 were able to add about 996 new full-time
 faculty and, at the same time, to increase
 student success significantly.

Access does not seem to have been 6 7 restricted; our enrollment grew during this same period by 5 percent, or 13,000 8 students -- essentially the size of a new 9 10 college -- and this year we have the largest enrollment in history. During this same 11 12 period, graduation rates went up at both 13 senior and community colleges, and 20 percent 14 more degrees were awarded annually. And more 15 credits were earned and skills proficiency 16 achieved during the freshman year than ever before. 17

We've committed to freezing community college tuition this year. So of CUNY's 20 275,000 degree-seeking students, 100,000 of 21 them would see no change. To help us make 22 good on that commitment to our students who 23 need it most, we're seeking an increase in 24 base aid for our community colleges of \$250

1 per student. And for the senior college 2 students, we commit to carefully reviewing 3 our needs each year and proposing to our board thoughtful, required increases, not 4 5 automatically charging the maximum rate authorized. 6

7 The continuation of the Governor's 8 performance funding program is a welcome 9 investment in innovative programs to support 10 our students. Each of our colleges received 11 funding to support new initiatives related to 12 performance measures and student success. And the continuation of funding will position 13 us to make sustainable investments that can 14 15 lead to improved outcomes over time. 16 The Governor's support for the DREAM Act is a priority that CUNY's Board of 17 Trustees endorses. We have been more 18 successful than any university in the country 19 20 in attracting private funds to support 21 scholarships for these students, going from 22 about 30 to over 360 students supported by 23 private scholarships in one year, working

closely with the TheDream.US Foundation.

24

1 Moving to capital, we have a number of 2 important requests, beginning with the need 3 for adequate investment in our critical maintenance. We're grateful for the 4 5 \$103 million in the Executive Budget, but our needs are significantly greater. The average 6 7 CUNY building is more than 50 years old, and some are more than 100 years old. Our aging 8 building stock and a history of deferred 9 10 maintenance are among the most critical issues facing CUNY. Many of our labs are 11 12 dated and need to be modernized with the latest teaching tools our students deserve. 13 14 In 2007, together with SUNY, we 15 conducted a study to see what it would take 16 to bring our campus facilities to a good state of repair. The need was \$3.2 billion 17 18 for CUNY then. We have made progress, but 19 when the study was updated in 2012, we found 20 that the backlog was still at \$2.4 billion. 21 Our campuses are open seven days a 22 week, with classes scheduled throughout the

23 day and most evenings. We have 28 million
24 square feet of space, but we need

considerably more. There are 55,000 more
 CUNY students using our buildings today than
 there were one decade ago. In other words,
 we have added an equivalent about 15 percent
 larger than University of Michigan to CUNY
 during that time, using those same buildings
 that were overstressed at the time.

8 Our request includes important 9 priorities at Baruch, York, Hunter, Medgar 10 Evers, Brooklyn College, Staten Island, 11 Lehman and more. Many of these are science 12 and health professions buildings that are 13 necessary not only to provide opportunities 14 to our students but to meet the medical, 15 science, technology and health needs in New York. 16

I look forward to discussing CUNY's 17 18 budget request and any other issues, and I once again offer my thanks for your continued 19 20 support for public higher education and for 21 the City University of New York in particular. The Legislature has given CUNY a 22 challenging and critical mandate. In 23 24 embracing this role, the university has

1 responded with outcomes that have served this 2 state well. We will continue to do all we 3 can to see that the mission we all share, 4 which means so much to so many, is 5 successful. 6 Thank you very much. 7 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very much. 8 9 Deborah Glick, chair. 10 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you. 11 Good afternoon, Chancellor. Good to 12 see you. You mentioned the cost shift from the 13 14 state to the city for 30 percent of the CUNY 15 budget. What do you think would be the 16 impact if that was to go forward? CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: I'm not quite 17 18 sure what you mean. You mean if, as written 19 in the Executive Budget, there was a shift of 20 cost from the state to the city? 21 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Right. And the 22 city is currently approaching its own budget negotiations. This wasn't something that I 23 24 think was necessarily on their to-do list

1 until the middle of January.

2	Has there been any conversation about
3	whether the city could in fact absorb that,
4	or have they told you to start scaling back
5	now?
6	CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: So I'm probably
7	less equipped to discuss the impact on the
8	city's budget than some other people would be
9	that you could have before you. My
10	impression was, from both public statements
11	and conversations, that it was not an
12	enthusiastically received recommendation at
13	the city. And my understanding was there
14	were going to be additional conversations
15	about cost-effectiveness and efficiencies,
16	which at the time, as well as today, we
17	welcome that discussion.
18	Obviously, as I mentioned in my
19	testimony, the big benefit to CUNY from that
20	transfer would have been the \$240 million in
21	investment in our collective bargaining. But
22	it remains to be seen whether that would
23	still be available.
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: And that's a

1 one-shot.

2	CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: I'm sorry?
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: And that's a
4	one-shot. That would cover the past, nothing
5	anticipated in the future.
6	CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: Oh, yes. Yeah,
7	that's absolutely true. You know, that
8	\$240 million, coincidentally or not, was a
9	figure that I think represented an estimate
10	that retroactive funding for our largest
11	union.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: You talked a bit
13	about the demographics of the average CUNY
14	student and that students graduate with
15	substantially less debt than other students
16	in similarly situated urban campuses in other
17	parts of the county.
18	Over the last five years, with an
19	increase of \$1500 in tuition and now this
20	proposal for another, that would represent a
21	fairly significant increase. Has CUNY seen
22	any shift in the demographics of the student
23	body? Are there students who are, at the
24	lower economic scale, either taking longer to

1 graduate because they have to take time off 2 to work to make up that difference or -- what 3 has been the experience of CUNY regarding the impact on student demographics? 4 5 CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: During the period of predictable tuition, the 6 7 demographics of CUNY have changed. The percentage of our students who are from 8 households with household income of \$20,000 9 10 or less has gone up. Without adjusting for inflation, it's gone from 35 percent to 11 12 38 percent of that lowest group in the economic strata. 13 14 Our student body has also become more 15 diverse during that period. And as I 16 mentioned, it has grown significantly, 5 percent, during the same time, and graduation 17 18 rates are up at both community colleges and 19 senior colleges. 20 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: The ASAP 21 program, which you rightly say has been an 22 enormously successful program -- and has been recognized, certainly by the President, as 23 24 well as many of us -- right now you're

1 serving -- you're currently serving 4,000
2 students?

3 CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: I think that was
4 last year's number. It may be written
5 inartfully, but --

6 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Right. And 7 you're asking for \$2.5 million, which is a 8 restoration. Does that just keep you at 9 serving the students you have in the past, or 10 is there growth anticipated in that? And how 11 many more students would you actually be able 12 to serve?

CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: So this is a 13 14 program that has been shared by the city and 15 the state, and by far the largest investment is coming from the city -- by far. About 16 \$80 million is what it will grow to. And 17 18 that will support us expanding from the 4,000 19 last year to, by 2018, 25,000 students in 20 ASAP, including all of the full-time students 21 at Bronx Community College.

22 This will be our opportunity to prove 23 wrong what some people have said, that this 24 program is expensive and you can't scale it. For one thing, the costs keep coming down as
 we grow the program, because there are
 economies of scale.

And for the second -- and these are 4 5 not our numbers. They come from MDRC, they come from Columbia and other independent 6 7 groups that have looked at this -- the cost 8 per degree in ASAP is considerably less than 9 the cost per degree otherwise, because 10 students graduate at two to three times the 11 rate.

So for the investment we're making, to
get degree production at that level is a
remarkably good investment, I think.

15 Now, I want the state to continue to 16 participate in this because what has worked at the community colleges I believe will also 17 18 work at the senior colleges. And we have a program we're piloting at John Jay now. I 19 20 mean, the ASAP is not rocket science. There 21 are a number of critical components that intuitively would contribute to graduation in 22 a timely manner. And we believe that the 23 24 same kinds of programs and supports for our

1 students can be put in at the senior 2 colleges, and we're going to test that at the first one now. 3 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Currently the 4 5 part-time TAP program is available only to students who have already for a year attended 6 7 full-time. And of course the reason that people attend part-time perhaps are work 8 requirements, family needs. 9 10 Do you think that there could be a change in the way we administer part-time TAP 11 12 that would perhaps be more useful to the 13 student body at CUNY? 14 CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: Yes. Many CUNY 15 students attend part-time. And particularly 16 there was a discussion earlier about graduate TAP. Most of our graduate students attend 17 18 part-time, so when that was eliminated, the 19 impact on CUNY students was far less than on 20 students throughout the state. 21 So there's no question that any program which benefits working students who 22 are attending part-time is a benefit to CUNY. 23 24 I would suggest, to follow up on the

1 discussion earlier, since there was some 2 back-and-forth about the commitment of CUNY 3 and SUNY to pay the -- or credit the differential cost of higher education after 4 5 tuition increases, so that above the TAP maximum we would provide that credit -- that 6 7 accounts for about \$49 million in our budget 8 today.

It's quite a significant investment. 9 10 I think I heard Chancellor Zimpher say it was about \$70 million at SUNY. And I think this 11 12 represents a disproportionately high 13 percentage of TAP-eligible students at CUNY. 14 But it's about a \$50 million hit for us, 15 money that we would not be needing to ask you 16 for to make investments in other programs.

But if TAP fully covered the 17 18 undergraduate tuition at our colleges, we 19 would have that money available to do things 20 like hire more advisors, hire more faculty, 21 pay our faculty and staff, et cetera. So I think it's a significant issue that I hope 22 will get some attention at some point. 23 24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Obviously you

1 mentioned the extreme need for capital for the City University. Many of your buildings 2 are quite old. There are new facilities that 3 4 are planned and hopefully will see continued 5 work. What do you think you could spend in a year? How much more money could you use in 6 7 the next year's budget that you could put into the pipeline? 8 CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: (to staff) How 9 10 much in a year? Half a billion? Thank you. I thought she said, the second time, 11 12 half a million, and then I thought you would give it to me on the spot. 13 14 (Laughter.) 15 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: I'd get out my 16 checkbook. CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: Five hundred 17 18 million. 19 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you. My 20 time is out. I'm going to look over -- I may 21 have one follow-up question. 22 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very much. 23 24 Senator?

1 SENATOR LaVALLE: Chancellor, thank 2 you for being here. I think you really 3 represented what City University is all 4 about. 5 And then on page 5, the first full paragraph, it starts off -- that's all right, 6 7 I'm going to --CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: Okay. I don't 8 have that testimony, so if you'd tell me. 9 SENATOR LaVALLE: You go: "I want to 10 now turn to the items included in the 11 12 Governor's Executive Budget. The eye-catcher 13 was," and then you go on to talk about these 14 numbers of 485 -- both the Assembly chair and 15 I, that number is embossed in our brains, 16 485 -- and then also 240, followed by "million." 17 18 What I thought you should have put in 19 there is "Oh, Lord, why me?" 20 (Laughter.) 21 SENATOR LaVALLE: And I thought that 22 the Assembly chair wanted to show certainly her interest in this, and that this is 23 24 important to her, and I want to show you it's critically important to us. Because you
 can't make things go away.

Because then you went -- and this is really not your style -- you did a dodge, beautifully, and you said "My obligation, I believe, is to convince you that a strong CUNY is vital" -- bup, bup, bup, bup. No, that's not your obligation.

9 Your obligation is to tell us how are 10 we going to deal with this problem. Four 11 hundred eighty-five million at our table, 12 when we're dealing with the budget, is not 13 nickels and dimes. This is a very, very 14 serious problem. It's a life-changer for 15 your institution.

16 And so I don't know if I need an answer today, but what I am saying to you, 17 this is critically important and it is your 18 19 obligation in some way to protect your institution. Because this, this is a 20 21 game-changer. 22 CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: You -- do you 23 want --

24 SENATOR LaVALLE: Yes.

1 CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: I couldn't agree 2 with you more that it is my obligation to 3 protect my institution. 4 What is proposed in this Executive 5 Budget is a shift in funding that is revenue-neutral for CUNY. Now, what the 6 7 outcome will be after discussion, 8 negotiation, et cetera, I don't know. My point to you, Senator, respectfully, was that 9 10 I believe we should -- the conversation should be about what additional investments 11 12 need to be made in CUNY beyond the baseline of today because of all the students it's 13 14 serving, and whether it's in operating or 15 capital, without sufficient support. So 16 whether it comes from the state or the city, which both now contribute to the budget of 17 18 CUNY, I believe the question is what can be 19 done to make sure that CUNY has adequate 20 funding and has a secure base of funding so 21 that our students can continue to depend on that and we can continue to serve them and 22 New York. 23

24 SENATOR LaVALLE: I know you're not

1	going to answer the question, but let me go
2	now does the 240 million get us a
3	bargaining deal?
4	CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: Maybe you could
5	get a few of us in a room here
6	SENATOR LaVALLE: I'm sorry?
7	CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: No, I'm sorry,
8	that was humor. I was looking over my
9	shoulder at the representatives of the
10	SENATOR LaVALLE: Yes.
11	CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: of the
12	Bargaining unit who are here.
13	SENATOR LaVALLE: So it's certainly
14	helpful.
15	CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: It absolutely
16	would be helpful. Right now, as I've made
17	clear before publicly and in every other way,
18	is that without additional investment, we are
19	trying to, through reallocating, through use
20	of tuition, through use of any resources
21	available to us, try to resolve a contract
22	with our unions representing our 45,000
23	employees. Which would be a significant
24	addition to what we are able to do.

1 SENATOR LaVALLE: I'm going to ask you 2 the same question I asked Chancellor Zimpher. 3 Can you comment on balancing the need to maintain competitive salary levels to retain 4 5 valued faculty and administrators with reducing overall costs to keep college 6 7 affordable for students? CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: Right. Well, 8 you know, I think there's pretty good 9 evidence that CUNY is affordable. It has 10 11 among the lowest tuitions in the country, has 12 the lowest debt rate that I have heard of for 13 graduates. I guess the Empire Center 14 produced a report, just based on the state 15 payroll, that indicated that three out of the 16 50 top highest-paid public employees in the State of New York were from CUNY, three out 17 of 50. 18 19 Interestingly, if you went across the 20 country -- and I think, Senator, you know 21 this well -- if you went across the country 22 to every other state, the most highly paid public employees would be university 23

24 employees. I happened to look at California,

1 Illinois, Florida, Texas, and that is the 2 case with the top 50 there. The only 3 difference that I can see in New York is that in each of those other states, the 4 5 highest-paid employee is a coach. That's not the case in New York State. 6 7 So it's a competitive market, a national and in some cases international 8 market for the most talented faculty -- and 9 10 in many cases, the most talented 11 administrative leadership, whether it's our 12 colleges, as presidents or other senior 13 leaders, or throughout the university. We 14 compete in that market, and in my view, 15 leadership matters. And the investment that 16 we make there, I hope it is always wise -- I will do everything I can to make sure that it 17 18 is -- but I think it's worthwhile. 19 If you look at our costs across --20 compared across the country to other 21 similarly large university systems -- this 22 was a discussion that you had earlier with the SUNY representatives, so I will follow up 23 24 on that -- you can compare in lots of

1 different ways and you can use lots of data 2 sources. One that's used frequently is based 3 on IPEDS data, which is, as you know, self-reported from institutions. So at CUNY 4 5 it's reported by 24 colleges and then it's reported by CUNY central. And there are a 6 7 lot of things in that data that if we had better control over, it wouldn't be included, 8 and they tend to skew the results. 9 10 So I suggest we look at something like 11 independently audited financial statements of

universities, whether it's CUNY and others, 13 and look at a commonly defined institutional 14 overhead that is used the same way 15 everyplace: CUNY compares quite favorably in 16 terms of its administrative costs.

12

SENATOR LaVALLE: Assemblymember Glick 17 18 asked you a great question in terms of the 19 capital dollars, half a billion. Is that critical maintenance or critical maintenance 20 21 plus new endeavors?

22 CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: It's plus. It's the combination. A critical maintenance in 23 24 the Executive Budget of \$103 million, as I

1 mentioned, I think our ask for senior 2 colleges was 284 million. There are some 3 large projects and some small ones across 4 CUNY, and critical maintenance is at the top 5 of the list. 6 SENATOR LaVALLE: Okay. 7 CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: But those are also some of the new facilities, some that 8 are underway, where design is underway, or 9 10 planning -- or even, in one case, construction, where we still need funding to 11 12 be able to complete it. SENATOR LaVALLE: Okay. Thank you. 13 14 CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you, Senator. 16 Assemblywoman Malliotakis. ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: Thank you, 17 Chancellor, for being here. 18 19 I just wanted to ask you the same 20 questions that I've asked the SUNY chancellor 21 earlier, and that is what is your opinion on 22 -- or do you think it should be a priority, rather, of the state to restore the Tuition 23 24 Assistance Program for graduate students that

1 was eliminated back in 2010 when there was a 2 \$13 billion deficit? Since then, I have not 3 seen it being proposed in any of the Governor's budgets. I think it should be a 4 5 priority. And I was wondering what your opinion is as the chancellor of CUNY. 6 7 CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: So if I have --8 so yes, I'm in favor of more support for students, number one. But if I had to 9 10 prioritize where that support would come, 11 graduate TAP would not be at the top of my 12 list. As I mentioned before, only 8 percent

13 of -- CUNY represented only 8 percent of the 14 graduate TAP funds expended before, because 15 many of our students didn't qualify for it.

16 At the top of our list I would put 17 extending the number of semesters for which 18 TAP is available. Right now, less than Pell. 19 And students tend to burn through their TAP 20 funds. So that would be high on my list.

21 Another item high on my list which is 22 not a direct but I believe it's an important 23 indirect support of students is the problem I 24 mentioned before, of a \$50 million cost that

1	we are incurring because TAP does not cover
2	the full cost of tuition. That would be
3	money we could invest in services and
4	programs to support students.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: So perhaps
6	increasing the awards for TAP, so that way
7	the CUNY system wouldn't have to absorb that
8	credit you mentioned earlier, would be a
9	priority?
10	CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: Right, that
11	would be that's high on my list.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: And what
13	about expanding the income eligibility
14	threshold so more of the middle-class
15	families can qualify? Because right now
16	well, it hasn't been increased since the year
17	2000, and that's 16 years ago.
18	I mean, it really, I think, should be
19	modernized, that schedule. And right now the
20	cap is at \$80,000 household income, which
21	when you take the other costs of living in
22	the State of New York that are continuing to
23	rise, you know, I think that perhaps we
24	should be modernizing that number. My

1 proposal would be \$100,000. But, you know, 2 if you're a family of four children to put 3 through college, you can't do so on \$80,000 4 household income, in addition to a mortgage 5 and other expenses. So I just want to know what your 6 7 opinion would be and if we would see more CUNY students be eligible if that threshold 8 was increased. 9 10 CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: You know, I'd have to look at the data to see where that 11 12 would fall on my list of priorities, but I think it's worth looking at if it hasn't been 13 14 changed in 16 years. 15 But I think the first two that I 16 mentioned, increasing the level to pay the full cost of tuition and expanding the number 17 of semesters of TAP availability, would still 18 19 be first and second on my list. 20 ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: Okay, 21 great. Thank you for sharing your opinion. 22 CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: Thank you. 23 SENATOR LaVALLE: Senator Stavisky. 24 SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you,

1 Chancellor.

2	I think I heard you say, in response
3	to the question about whether the
4	\$240 million would be sufficient to cover the
5	collective bargaining requirements, you said
6	that would be sufficient.
7	CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: You can check
8	the record, of course, but I don't think
9	that's what I said.
10	SENATOR STAVISKY: That's why I'm
11	asking the question.
12	CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: I think I said
13	it would certainly be a help.
14	SENATOR STAVISKY: It would be
15	helpful.
16	CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: Yeah.
17	SENATOR STAVISKY: But that
18	\$240 million is contingent upon the city
19	assuming the 30 percent of the cost of the
20	college of CUNY, which initially,
21	obviously, the state took over during the
22	fiscal crises earlier 10, 12 years ago,
23	originally.
24	Would the current downturn in the

1 economy -- we see the stock market not being 2 as vigorous as we would perhaps like -- how 3 is any economic difficulty going to affect this entire program? Is this going to 4 5 present additional problems in terms of providing the services that we want to see? 6 7 CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: Any reduction in CUNY's budget would certainly affect the 8 programs that we offer today. And in fact, 9 10 as I hope I have argued, and even more so hope it's convincing, that an additional 11 12 investment in CUNY is necessary to provide the kinds of services that our students 13 14 need -- who often face greater challenges 15 than the population of college students at large -- to succeed. 16 And so we need to do more things like 17

ASAP, we need to do more things like effective remediation programs like CUNY Start and Summer Start. We need to hire many, many more academic advisors -- which is, by the way, one of the, I think, most important elements of success of ASAP, is the fact that those students, there are far fewer

1 students per advisor in that program. 2 So we need to make more investments to do that kind of thing, I think. Certainly 3 not less. 4 5 SENATOR STAVISKY: And I'm delighted that you spoke about ASAP, because I've asked 6 7 that question to your predecessors, and I'm absolutely convinced it's something that 8 9 needs to be replicated, not eliminated. 10 Two quick questions. You mentioned 11 nearly a thousand new faculty. Are they 12 full-time or adjuncts? CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: Full-time. 13 14 SENATOR STAVISKY: Full-time. 15 And lastly, the critical maintenance 16 issue. I represent two of your facilities, Queens College and Queensborough. They're 17 18 both in my Senate district. But I'm very 19 familiar with the entire CUNY -- all of the CUNY campuses, and many of them are crumbling 20 21 because they were built so many years ago. 22 And critical maintenance is not sufficient to put them into the position where students are 23 24 going to be safe in these buildings.

1 So how do you see the capital money --2 how essential or how critical is the capital money versus the critical maintenance? 3 4 Because critical maintenance obviously cannot 5 be used for new construction. CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: Yeah, it -- this 6 7 would be a very difficult choice to make on choosing one above the other. I think the 8 critical maintenance money gets a little bit 9 10 of an edge because these are facilities that 11 we already own, that we need to make 12 improvements in to keep them functioning. So 13 that is absolutely essential. 14 And as you know, if you don't make progress on a backlog of deferred 15 16 maintenance, it only gets worse. SENATOR STAVISKY: Exactly. 17 CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: And so we've 18 19 been making progress, and I certainly hope that we can continue to. 20 21 But for our students to be graduating 22 in health professions and STEM disciplines and in other areas where some of the best 23 24 opportunities are for them, they have to have

1 the same kinds of facilities, the same kinds 2 of lab space, the same kinds of tools that 3 students at competing universities do. And this can't be done by retrofitting a 4 5 hundred-year-old building, in many cases. And so the kinds of buildings that we've been 6 7 building are often science and technology buildings, and that has to be new 8 construction. 9 10 If I could follow up quickly on two 11 things. One, the full-time faculty. These 12 996 were full-time, and that's a huge, hugely important investment. 13 14 But if you look over time at the ratio 15 of full-time and adjunct faculty, we're still 16 way below where we were earlier in terms of (A) the absolute number of full-time faculty, 17 18 but certainly the percentage of full-time 19 faculty to the total professoriate. So 996 20 is a good start, but we need to continue 21 doing that, especially when, as I mentioned 22 earlier, we added 55,000 students over the last decade to CUNY. 23 24 The second thing I would say is that

1 since you mentioned one of the campuses you 2 represent, that I neglected to mention that 3 my colleague, President Félix Matos Rodríguez, is here, in case there's a special 4 5 question for Queens College. But he's here to support me, because he knows I need it. 6 7 SENATOR STAVISKY: Having gone to graduate school there, I appreciate Queens 8 9 College. 10 Last question. Of the 996 new faculty, presumably none of them have a 11 12 contract. Do they have a contract? 13 Let me rephrase the question. Has it 14 been difficult to recruit faculty because of 15 the collective bargaining issues that have 16 not been resolved in the last five or six 17 years? CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: So I'm not 18 directly involved in recruiting faculty; I've 19 20 hired six presidents, I'm going to hire four 21 more this year. Both CUNY's budget situation 22 and particularly some of the issues discussed with Chair Glick and Chair LaValle earlier 23 24 are certainly -- they are extremely important

1 issues in any discussion.

2	And I would say that equally important
3	is our failure to reach an agreement with our
4	faculty, and certainly something that the
5	people that I'm recruiting are concerned
6	about.
7	SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you.
8	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
9	Assembly.
10	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
11	Mr. Lupinacci.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN LUPINACCI: Good
13	afternoon, Chancellor.
14	CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: Hi.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN LUPINACCI: I know we've
16	mentioned about the thousand new full-time
17	faculty. Could you just give us a little
18	overview in terms of what were the major
19	areas CUNY hired in the past several years?
20	CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: You mean the
21	disciplines for the thousand?
22	ASSEMBLYMAN LUPINACCI: Yes.
23	CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: I can't. I'd be
24	happy to get it to you, but I can't tell you

1 what it is.

2	ASSEMBLYMAN LUPINACCI: Okay. And I
3	guess this was just leading into some
4	programs you guys see in your upcoming budget
5	and how successful some of the remedial
6	programs have been. I don't know if you
7	could just speak a little bit about that,
8	because I just wanted to see if some of the
9	thousand that were hired went to remediation,
10	whether it was in mathematics or writing.
11	And, you know, just see how successful
12	programs have been in that.
13	CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: Again, I'll have
14	to get you the information on where they
15	went, because I can't comment. I don't know
16	if any of my colleagues behind me have that
17	handy, but I'd be happy to get that to you.
18	We have continued to make investments
19	in the programs that address remediation. We
20	are doubling the number of students who
21	participate in CUNY Start, which is a
22	specific program which costs \$75 but in most
23	cases we remit that cost, so it costs the
24	students very little, and try to get their

1

remedial needs out of the way.

2 I can tell you that 408 of those are 3 at community colleges, but I still can't tell you what disciplines. 4 5 So that's an area where we've been making additional investments. Chancellor 6 7 Zimpher mentioned earlier different 8 strategies on trying to overcome what is the most significant challenge that we have with 9 10 developmental needs, and that's in 11 mathematics. And we are similarly 12 experimenting, piloting programs from the 13 Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of 14 Teaching, and Quantways and Statways, to try 15 to find other ways to help our students get 16 the math that they will need in their degree program and to be successful later, but that 17

18 have higher success rates.
19 ASSEMBLYMAN LUPINACCI: And just in

20 terms of -- I know you've seen a significant 21 increase, about 5 percent or about, you said, 22 13,000 students, in terms of the past several 23 years, in terms of an increase. Do you think 24 the students are better prepared coming into

1 CUNY or less prepared or the same as they've 2 been the past few years? CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: Over the last 3 4 few years I would probably say we haven't 5 seen much difference. If you look at the 6 percentage of students who present with 7 remedial education needs, the percentage has not changed that much. 8 9 So given that we've grown, the 10 absolute number has probably gone up, but the percentage seems to be about the same. It's 11 12 about 80 percent of our community colleges. 13 ASSEMBLYMAN LUPINACCI: Thank you very 14 much. 15 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 16 Senator? CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you very 17 18 much. 19 Senator Diane Savino. 20 SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you, Senator 21 Young. 22 Good afternoon, Chancellor. 23 CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: Good afternoon. 24 SENATOR SAVINO: Not to belabor the

1 point, I want to go back to the outstanding 2 contracts, just so I understand. 3 In your testimony you said that they've been working without a contract for 4 5 more than five years and thus far you have been -- the university has been unable to 6 7 reach an agreement. Can you shed some light on why? What is getting in the way of 8 settling these contracts? 9 10 CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: I'm not sure how much I can go into this in this setting --11 12 SENATOR SAVINO: Without revealing trade secrets, of course. 13 14 CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: I'm sorry? 15 SENATOR SAVINO: Without revealing trade secrets, of course. 16 Well, what seems -- is it there's not 17 18 enough money to settle it? I'm assuming the 19 CUNY unions are likely going to achieve something with respect to the citywide 20 21 pattern. You know, there's -- generally, 22 pattern bargaining kind of stretches across all the municipal unions, so I'm assuming 23 24 that would be somewhere around that.

1 So there's two questions I have. One, 2 what seems to getting in the way of settling a contract? And two, is the \$240 million 3 that's referenced in your testimony, would 4 5 that be sufficient to cover the pattern that's already been established, or is that 6 7 insufficient? CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: You know, my 8 recollection is that the 240 represents a 9 10 number that is very close what would be --I'm just going to lay this out. My 11 12 understanding of this, if you took a 4 percent increase in 2010 and moved it 13 14 forward, the total commitment for the 15 retroactive component would be about 240 million for the PSC. It wouldn't be for 16 the total faculty -- or total staff at CUNY. 17 SENATOR SAVINO: Right, because you 18 19 also have DC 37 outstanding. 20 CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: So I think 21 that's a pretty close approximation of that 22 number. 23 SENATOR SAVINO: But do you have a 24 sense of what all of the outstanding

1 contracts could potentially cost? 2 CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: Well, again, if 3 you --SENATOR SAVINO: If we adhere to the 4 5 pattern, the existing pattern that's been established by the other municipal unions. 6 7 CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: Well, which pattern, the state or the city pattern? 8 SENATOR SAVINO: City. Assuming, if 9 10 it was the city pattern. CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: I don't think we 11 12 follow the city pattern. But, I mean, we 13 have in the past followed, I am told --14 obviously I've been here a little over a 15 year, and we haven't had a contract in over 16 five years --SENATOR SAVINO: Somebody's coming. 17 CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: -- but we have 18 19 followed a sort of a combination between the 20 city and the state in the past. 21 And this gentleman who has showed up 22 to my left is the vice chancellor for budget and finance at CUNY. 23 24 SENATOR SAVINO: So I guess I should

1 ask him.

2	CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: I'm not sure if
3	he's going to further my goal of not
4	completely answering your question or whether
5	he's going to answer it.
6	(Laughter.)
7	SENATOR SAVINO: By the way, if you
8	don't know the answer, that's fine. I mean,
9	it's just you're trying to figure out like
10	is the amount of money that you're claiming
11	is sufficient, or even that the Governor is
12	proposing, or CUNY is proposing, is it
13	sufficient to cover retroactivity of all of
14	these bargaining units, assuming you have a
15	ballpark figure that you think it is?
16	VICE CHANCELLOR SAPIENZA: Yeah.
17	During last year's legislative session, our
18	faculty and Professional Staff Congress had
19	quoted a number of \$240 million was needed
20	for retroactive increases. But since then,
21	now a whole year has gone by, so the
22	retroactive increases are actually more,
23	because we have to cover another year.
24	So following that pattern, following

1	that number that was put out there last year
2	by the union, the retroactive costs for all
3	of our unions will now be well over
4	\$300 million.
5	SENATOR SAVINO: That's important for
6	us to know.
7	And finally, I would be remiss if I
8	did not bring up my favorite subject with
9	you, and that's of course the Murphy
10	Institute. As you know, the Governor's
11	budget includes \$500,000 for the maintenance
12	of the Murphy Institute. The Legislature put
13	up a million and a half last year; we are
14	advocating to do the same thing this year.
15	But, you know, I continue to push,
16	along with other members of the
17	Legislature I know Assemblywoman Glick is
18	a big supporter of this for making the
19	Murphy Institute a separate school inside
20	CUNY. You know, it's growing, it is the
21	institution where working people are able to
22	come later on in life, achieve a degree,
23	elevate their education, improve their
24	economic standards. And I really think it's

something we need to continue this discussion to make it a free-standing school inside of CUNY, because every year they shouldn't have to come, hat in hand, to the Legislature to, you know, demand money. We need to find a way to provide stable, secure funding for the Murphy Institute.

8 CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: I -- thank you, 9 Senator. I think our schools would tell you 10 that their budgets are probably no more 11 secure.

12 I welcome the investment that the 13 Legislature has made, and thank you. As you 14 know from our conversations, I support the 15 work of the Murphy Institute. We're making 16 additional investments in hires this year. And we will continue to support and look for 17 18 ways for it continue to do an even better job 19 of what it does. So I welcome the 20 investment, and I thank you for your --21 SENATOR SAVINO: You're welcome. 22 CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: -- support, and we'll consider to take that under advisement. 23 24 SENATOR SAVINO: And hopefully

1	post-budget we can have that meeting of all
2	the stakeholders to figure out what we can do
3	to support the Murphy Institute.
4	Thank you.
5	CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: Thank you.
6	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
7	Assemblywoman Glick.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: I wanted to ask
9	a follow-up.
10	In the last budget there was an
11	inclusion of a STEM scholarship for the
12	students who were in the top 10 percent of
13	their high schools who wanted to pursue a
14	STEM discipline. And I'm wondering whether
15	CUNY has had a substantial increase, some
16	interest, are people coming to you and saying
17	"We had no idea"?
18	I'm just trying to gauge how effective
19	that program is, whether it has driven more
20	of the top 10 percent students to the City
21	University or not.
22	CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: The timing last
23	year made recruitment difficult for the
24	following academic year. I'm told that we

1 were able to get about 80 students last year 2 in the STEM scholarship program, and an 3 additional 30 this year, I think. So I think there's obviously room to grow as it becomes 4 5 better known. 6 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you. 7 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 8 Senator Krueger. SENATOR KRUEGER: Hi. 9 10 I know many of us have asked variations on the question, but I'll just try 11 12 to be as direct as I can. CUNY has what 13 percentage low-income students of color? 14 CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: I may have to 15 unpack this a little bit. Our numbers show 16 that it's about 38 percent of our students report households of less than \$20,000. 17 Students of color, I think there are 18 19 about -- just less than 22 white --20 22 percent white students at CUNY today. And 21 I could give you a breakdown on demographics, but --22 23 SENATOR KRUEGER: That's okay. 24 So if the Governor's proposal to cut

1 \$485 million out of your budget became real, 2 then we would be taking 30 percent of the 3 funding out of the higher education university that's serving disproportionately 4 5 the lowest income, largest population of students of color in the state. Would that 6 7 be correct to say? CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: Well, I have 8 not -- let me just say I have not heard a 9 10 representation that the 485 million would be a cut. The original proposal was a shift in 11 12 the funding source. But just hypothetically, if you were 13 to say a \$485 million cut, it would be an 14 15 existential threat to CUNY. That is such an 16 enormous figure that it would represent many of our colleges. And you couldn't possibly, 17 in any rational way, approach a reduction at 18 19 that level. It would affect so many of our 20 students. And so I don't think that has been 21 22 proposed, and so I'm hesitant to even react to it. But hypothetically, yes, that would 23 24 be -- it would have a huge impact on CUNY and

_

1 on the students we serve.

2	SENATOR KRUEGER: Well, the state
3	hasn't proposed an equivalent cut for the
4	SUNY system, right? Only for CUNY. Correct?
5	CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: The proposal to
6	shift support from the state to the city is
7	only, to my understanding, for CUNY.
8	SENATOR KRUEGER: Does the state have
9	the power to tell the City of New York where
10	it should increase its budget allocation to
11	CUNY?
12	CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: It's not the
13	area of law I practice. I suspect there are
14	people who could answer that question. I
15	think there may be some disagreement about
16	that.
17	SENATOR KRUEGER: My current reading
18	is that the state doesn't have the power to
19	tell the City of New York to shift
20	\$485 million of its budget money to CUNY, so
21	I do interpret this as a proposed cut to
22	CUNY.
23	Let's say I'm right. What do you do
24	on Day 1 of the new budget year when you

1 don't have that \$485 million?

2	CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: That is I
3	haven't laid out what the scenario would be
4	yet, because I can't imagine that that is a
5	possibility. I can't imagine that I don't
6	think that was the proposal, and I can't
7	imagine it is a possibility. It would be
8	it would represent an enormous reduction in
9	the capacity of CUNY.
10	As I said, you could numerous
11	colleges, depending on how you did this,
12	would have to be closed, or you'd take a 30
13	percent decrease across the entire system,
14	which would I can't even imagine how
15	devastating that kind of a reduction would be
16	and how many of our students it would affect
17	adversely. So but again, I don't I
18	don't think that was the proposal, and so
19	I'm I'm certainly not planning for that.
20	SENATOR KRUEGER: And you have 275,000
21	students in the CUNY system?
22	CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: Do we have
23	275,000? Yes, we
24	SENATOR KRUEGER: Is that the right

1 number?

2	CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: have 275,000
3	degree-seeking students this year, and about
4	an equal number of adult and continuing ed
5	students.
6	SENATOR KRUEGER: So I just did a
7	little math. If you did have to take the
8	\$485 million cut over 275,000 students, you
9	would have to potentially raise each of their
10	tuition by \$1800 a year. You think they
11	could do that?
12	CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: I haven't done
13	the math, so I'll have to take your word for
14	it.
15	SENATOR KRUEGER: Can you imagine
16	telling everybody it's \$1800 more per year?
17	CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: No, I don't
18	think with our student body, that would be
19	feasible. And it would have to start by you
20	approving it.
21	SENATOR KRUEGER: And there's been
22	back-and-forth around whether \$240 million
23	gets you how far towards your contract
24	negotiations. Is it your understanding that

1 this was resolved for SUNY with state money 2 in previous years? 3 CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: It is my understanding that in 2010 there was a 4 5 4 percent increase provided to SUNY faculty and staff supported by the state, yes. That 6 7 is my understanding. SENATOR KRUEGER: There was a 8 9 reference to that there was, once upon a 10 time, that the city did pay more towards CUNY, and that was -- I think my colleague 11 12 misspoke, that was more like 40 years ago to 45 years ago now. 13 14 Do you think there's a justification 15 for the state government to have a different 16 set of policies for students going to higher ed that live in five counties of New York 17 versus the other 57 counties of New York? 18 19 CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: You know, I 20 tried to make clear in my testimony, I don't 21 think that this is an issue that I will get to resolve. And so I'm not sure that I'm 22 going to weigh in with an opinion about 23 24 the -- whether the state can have two sets of

1 policies for CUNY and SUNY financing.

2 They have two different makeups now. 3 You were inquiring earlier about governance. SUNY has institutional boards for its 4 5 community college boards, which the majority of the appointees are from local sponsors. 6 7 CUNY has no such provisions, they have no local boards. The majority of the governance 8 of CUNY is from the CUNY Board of Trustees. 9 10 Whether it's the community colleges or the 11 senior colleges, the majority of those 12 appointments are made by the governor --13 10 -- and five by the mayor, with also an 14 elected voting student member and a nonvoting 15 faculty member. 16 So there are significant differences

17 already. As you know better than I, there are differences dating from '79 and earlier 18 in the ways that the funding is distributed 19 20 for CUNY. But I think the legislation is instructive, and I think on its face the 21 22 legislation recognizes the obligation of the 23 state to support public higher education, 24 whether it's upstate New York or whether it's

1	in New York City. And of course the state
2	has done that for many years.
3	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Any more from the
5	Assembly?
6	Okay. So, Chancellor, I appreciate
7	the opportunity to spend some time with you
8	earlier today. I really enjoyed it.
9	CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: Thank you.
10	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I'm glad to hear
11	that you have a farming background and know
12	how to milk a cow, being from Nebraska
13	originally. And so I did have a couple of
14	questions.
15	You talk about the community colleges
16	and the abysmal graduation rate of as low as
17	20 percent. And I'm very happy to see in
18	your testimony that you have undertaken a
19	major initiative to address that fact, called
20	the ASAP program, which provides, from what I
21	can tell, as you outlined, very intensive
22	care and attention to the students that seem
23	to be failing right now. Because as you
24	point out, they're investing in higher

1 education, they have the debt, they have the 2 bills, and then they don't get the education, 3 and so it's a double whammy. I was wondering what the graduation 4 5 rate overall for the 275,000 CUNY students is, because I didn't see it in the testimony. 6 7 So if you could explain to us what that actually is. 8 CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: So on average, 9 10 we typically measure in four- and six-year graduation rates for senior colleges 11 12 nationally, and two- and three-year 13 graduation rates for community colleges. 14 The four-year graduation rate 15 overall -- and I'll get you these numbers 16 specifically in case my memory is a little off -- is about 45 percent; for community 17 colleges, it's around 20, a little less. 18 19 Important to note, though, I think, 20 that the urban community colleges across the 21 country's average graduation rate is about 22 15 percent. So this is a challenge that is not unique to New York, certainly not unique 23 24 to CUNY, and we must find a way to address

1 the needs of those students. Right now, 2 unfortunately, too many of them come with 3 developmental education needs. We need to 4 continue to try to figure out how to address 5 that, both at the middle school and high school level, at the transition, and once 6 7 they get to college. And we have a lot of successful programs in place, and we're 8 9 growing. 10 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So how does the 11 45 percent compare nationally? 12 CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: Well, I don't know what the -- first of all, you can't 13 14 compare it -- you have to compare these 15 figures to like places. I suspect Harvard's 16 graduation rate in four years is over 90 percent, but they start with a student 17 profile that is very different than the 18 19 profile of students who come to CUNY. 20 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So --21 CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: So we compare to 22 similar public institutions. I'd be happy to 23 get you the comparisons that give you a peer 24 comparison, to show how we do.

1 But as I acknowledge in my testimony, 2 this is an area that we see as one of the 3 most important challenges we face. We're in no way alone on this, if you look at public 4 5 higher education across the country, with its urban community college or the large state 6 7 universities all over. They all have graduation rates that are not what you would 8 hope they would be and what I would hope they 9 10 would be.

For many years, public higher 11 12 education in this country focused on affordability and access, I would suggest 13 14 almost to the exclusion of success. It was 15 all about providing affordable access. And more recently we have, at CUNY and everywhere 16 else, I think put considerably more attention 17 18 on timely degree completion and what kinds of 19 things we can do to support that.

20 So if you said to me what could we do 21 that would help you improve your graduation 22 rates more than anything, well, one is the 23 adequate financial support for students -- I 24 mean, these are all components of ASAP --

1 that will allow them to attend full-time, because it just -- you know, it makes sense 2 3 that if you are in a senior college and you're taking 15 credits a semester, your 4 5 chances of graduating in a timely way are much better than if you're going part-time 6 7 because you can't afford it. But then there are investments in 8 full-time faculty, which I think are 9 10 incredibly important and we've just made. And another would be academic advising and 11 12 the other kinds of support. The best funded 13 universities, certainly all elite universities, have considerably more 14 15 resources invested in the support of their 16 students. It's ironic that at CUNY we probably need that investment more than at 17 18 most colleges and universities, but we don't 19 have resources to do it. 20 So I think those are the kinds of 21 things that could make the greatest 22 difference for us. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 23 I was

24 interested to hear you mention middle- and

1 high school students, and I was wondering 2 what your relationship is with the city 3 school system and how does that work, and are there ways that that could be improved? 4 5 CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: First of all, we have a deep and long-standing relationship 6 7 with the city schools, the DOE. We have about 25,000 students in Early College and 8 College Now programs, where either on 9 10 weekends or during the class day we're providing an opportunity to take college 11 12 courses.

13 We have programs throughout the city 14 where we offer college courses and in fact 15 allow an associate degree at the same time 16 you can get a high school degree. So there are many, many programs, it's an impressive 17 18 array of programs and partnerships with the 19 city. And we are working with them all the time to see how we can better address their 20 21 issues with preparation and proficiency of 22 students.

23Ours -- Chancellor Zimpher said this24is a challenge that all of us own. There is

1 no throwing anything over the wall. We 2 produce 30 percent of the teachers for 3 New York City. Six of our colleges are in the top colleges in terms of the number of 4 5 teachers hired each year in New York. So we have a role in both making sure that students 6 7 are prepared through sending great teachers to the high schools and the middle schools, 8 and then also addressing remediation needs 9 10 once they arrive. 11 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you for that. 12 You've made it abundantly clear you 13 don't like the Governor's funding proposal as 14 far as, you know, the structure that he's set 15 up. But I also wanted to ask about the 16 tuition increase, because you're in favor of the tuition increase. And as you know, it 17 18 would be annually for the next four years. 19 So what assurance could the State 20 legislature have that that tuition increase 21 would be used on enhancing student outcomes?

23 were to occur?

22

24 CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: Well, I can tell

What are the plans for that funding if it

1 you -- maybe the best predictor of future 2 behavior is past performance. And with the 3 last four years, the first four years of investment in this, the program -- most of 4 5 the money went to either hiring faculty -- as I mentioned, almost a thousand new full-time 6 7 faculty -- and investing in student support programs, advising and others. 8

We would continue to do the same; in 9 10 fact, we would welcome language that is suggested now that focuses the investment on 11 12 those needs. As I mentioned, we are not 13 planning to raise tuition for community 14 colleges, which is 100,000 of our 275,000 15 students. But the funding that we need going 16 forward is to invest in our students' success. 17

And by the way, I think probably the single most important element of student success is having highly qualified faculty who are working with those students. And so not only do we need to be in a position to hire new faculty, as we have, we need to be in a position to support those faculty we

1 already have.

2	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
3	And you looked a little puzzled, but I
4	meant the Governor's plan that would have
5	because the CUNY Board of Trustees is
6	appointed by the city and they're one-third,
7	they should pay 30 percent of the cost, and
8	that's what I was referencing. And that's
9	something that you said that would be
10	problematic for you. Correct?
11	CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: The I'm
12	sorry, what would be problematic?
13	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: The \$485 million.
14	CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: It would be
15	devastating if it were a reduction in CUNY's
16	budget, I think is what I said.
17	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Right. And so
18	the you know, and so just
19	the Governor's rationale is that because a
20	third of the CUNY Board of Trustees is
21	appointed by the city, the city should take
22	on some financial responsibility for the CUNY
23	system.
24	CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: Yes, I

1 understand that position.

	-
2	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Yup. So thank you.
3	Thank you very much.
4	CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
6	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. I think
7	we're done. So we appreciate your being
8	here.
9	CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: Okay, thank you
10	very much.
11	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
12	much, Chancellor.
13	CHANCELLOR MILLIKEN: Thank you.
14	(Pause.)
15	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: New York State
16	Education Department, Commissioner MaryEllen
17	Elia.
18	Good afternoon.
19	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Good afternoon.
20	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: I'm glad; I thought
21	we'd have gotten to night by now.
22	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Hello. It's a
23	pleasure to be here with you all again.
24	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Again.

1	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Again.
2	COMMISSIONER ELIA: So good afternoon,
3	Chairs Young, Farrell, and Glick, and members
4	of the Senate and Assembly that are here
5	today. As was just pointed out, I'm
6	MaryEllen Elia, the commissioner of
7	education.
8	I am joined by Executive Deputy
9	Commissioner Beth Berlin, Senior Deputy
10	Commissioner Jhone Ebert, Deputy Commissioner
11	for the Office of Higher Education John
12	D'Agati, Deputy Commissioner for the Office
13	of the Professions Doug Lentivech, and we
14	have with us Kevin Smith, who is deputy
15	commissioner for access.
16	You have my full testimony before you.
17	I know you have many people in the room to
18	hear from, I'm sure, so I'll try to be brief
19	so we leave time for any questions you may
20	have.
21	As you can see on Slides 2 through 4,
22	we know from multiple indicators that college
23	access and completion matters. Higher
24	college attainment translates to higher

1 earnings and lower unemployment rates. And 2 we know that jobs that require post-secondary 3 education will grow faster than occupations that require a high school diploma or less. 4 5 That is why a major priority of the Regents' agenda relates to increasing access to higher 6 7 education, particularly for the underrepresented students, and seeing these 8 students through to completion of their 9 10 degrees. 11 As you can see on Slides 5 through 9, 12 the Regents request an increase of 13 \$9.75 million for the Higher Education 14 Opportunity Programs. These programs serve 15 students that are at the highest risk of 16 either not attending college or not completing a degree. And they all have a 17 18 demonstrated record of success, including a 19 92 percent graduation rate for Liberty 20 Partnerships seniors in 2015, 81 percent of 21 whom went on to college. 22 Our focus on access and completion

extended to the Workgroup to Improve Outcomes
for Boys and Young Men of Color led by Regent

1 Lester Young. Among the group's

2	recommendations, highlighted on Slides 10 and
3	11, was a request for \$8 million to support
4	expansion of the Teacher Opportunity Corps,
5	which is focused on recruitment and
6	preparation of teachers of color.
7	I want to thank you for your
8	investments in early college high schools and
9	P-TECH schools. As you can see on Slides 12
10	through 15, these programs have been
11	extremely successful. We urge you, however,
12	to make these programs permanent in state law
13	rather than forcing them to rely on
14	year-to-year funding decisions in the
15	State Budget.
16	The districts, BOCES, colleges, and
17	business partners involved in the
18	partnerships make multiyear commitments to
19	the success of the students, and the state
20	should too. As you know, the Regents have
21	been advocates of the New York State DREAM
22	Act, highlighted on Slide 16. It's time for
23	New York to stop punishing students for
24	decisions that they had no control over, and

give them the opportunities to succeed that
 they have earned.

As you can see on Slide 17, the Regents are also requesting that you make a \$10 million investment in Bridge programs to enable out-of-school youth to obtain essential basic skills.

8 On Slide 18, we highlight the resource 9 needs of the department. We're urgently 10 seeking your support to ensure that the 11 department is funded at a level that allows 12 us to implement the critical laws that you 13 passed.

14 For example, last year a new law was 15 passed to address the troubling incidents of 16 sexual assaults on college campuses. SED was charged with conducting a new audit process 17 18 which we had no experience with or capacity, 19 really, to implement -- with no new 20 resources. At the same time, the other 21 agencies charged with implementing other 22 provisions of the law were provided \$10 million for implementation. This is not 23 24 a sustainable model.

1 On Slides 19 to 23, we provide you 2 with updates on the work of the Office of 3 Professions. And I want to bring particular attention to the issue of e-licensing on 4 5 Slide 23. This is an issue of great importance to the department. In 2009, the 6 7 Legislature approved a 15 percent 8 registration fee increase so that we could replace a 35-year-old COBOL-based licensing 9 10 system and enhance our customer experience. And we again thank you for your bipartisan 11 12 efforts to make these resources available to 13 the department. 14 However, there was an effort to create 15 a statewide licensing solution, and 16 unfortunately those efforts have resulted in a product that cannot meet the needs of our 17 complex processes. The department requested 18 19 authority to spend \$4.3 million in existing 20 funds we have on hand in the professions 21 account to develop our own system, but 22 unfortunately that authority was not provided in the proposed budget. 23

24 If this is not addressed in the 30-day

budget amendments, we request that your
 one-house budgets provide this authority to
 allow us to build a system to better serve
 our constituents.

5 Before I take your questions, I want to again thank you for the opportunity to 6 7 discuss our priorities with you. As I mentioned at the Education budget hearing, 8 there has been a significant focus on 9 10 economic development and infrastructure in 11 the proposed budget. However, if you do not 12 invest in our education and our workforce 13 pipeline, from pre-K through post-secondary 14 education and the professions, then the 15 investments in economic development and 16 infrastructure will have a limited impact.

17We need to both maintain and further18strengthen our system of higher education,19including the City and State University20systems in New York, as we strive to assure21broad access to affordable and high-quality22opportunities for educational advancement.

23 Thank you, and I look forward to our24 discussion.

1	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
2	much.
3	Assemblywoman Deborah Glick, chair.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Good afternoon.
5	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Good afternoon.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Last year we
7	over the last couple of years we've actually
8	added to the Opportunity Programs. A few
9	years ago it was 3 percent, last year it was
10	20 percent I see that you've asked for
11	additional resources for these very important
12	and very supportive programs for students.
13	I'm wondering, has all of the money
14	gone out? Is there a lag time? And if we
15	were to add additional resources, would that
16	be to expand existing programs or would it be
17	to add new programs at different
18	institutions?
19	COMMISSIONER ELIA: So right now our
20	requests are specific to the programs. For
21	
<u> </u>	the Liberty Partnership program, which I
22	the Liberty Partnership program, which I pointed out has a very high percentage of

1 and then of those 92 percent a very high 2 percentage go right into college -- there specifically is a \$750,000 increase, or 3 4 2.5 percent, to the existing programs. 5 So from the perspective of are we expanding to new programs, we really feel 6 7 like there's much opportunity, in programs where we really see performance is occurring, 8 to expand those programs and open them up to 9 10 more students.

11 In the Science and Technology Entry 12 Program, or the STEP program, we're asking for a \$2.5 million increase to fully fund 13 14 what is one currently partially funded -- to 15 fund an additional five programs. So those 16 programs that are in place, we're asking to be able to expand those. This would serve an 17 18 additional 2,500 students in that program.

19And by the way, both of those programs20address the issues of middle- and high-school21students. So I know that that had come up,22Senator Young, on the issue -- the questions23that you asked Chancellor Milliken. And we24do believe that that is extremely important.

We have great success with those programs and
 want to expand them.

3 The Collegiate Science and Technology 4 Entry Program, or CSTEP, that's -- we're 5 requesting a \$4 million increase to fully 6 fund the current partially funded programs, 7 and to fund an additional 17 programs. And again, that would expand it to 1,900 8 9 additional students. 10 The Higher Education Opportunity

Program, which is a \$2.5 million increase to 11 12 raise support to \$6,500 per student. For current programs -- but for every dollar 13 14 New York spends on the HEOP program, 15 independent colleges have a \$6 or often a 16 higher match. So when we put money into those programs, we're getting more out of 17 that. It serves over 4,600 students in 18 19 53 programs.

20 And just for your information, in that 21 program, 81 percent of the HEOP students 22 graduate college.

Now you heard some of the difficultiesthat both SUNY and CUNY had in raising the

1 number of students that are graduating in 2 either a four-year graduation or a six-year 3 graduation rate. But when you have programs like this, what it's doing is it's actually 4 5 addressing the issues that Chancellor Milliken talked about, which is providing 6 7 supports for students so that they can be successful. 8

9 And then the Teacher Opportunity Corps 10 is the last one that's included there. It's 11 an \$8 million increase to support new and 12 expand current programs, enhancing curriculum 13 and recruitment and retention of teachers of 14 color.

15 This is a huge issue for us. We are 16 going to face in New York severe shortages -in some areas we do now -- but it is going to 17 18 continue to get worse, and particularly for students of color. This particular program, 19 20 it's serving -- now, in six programs, we're 21 serving 87 students, but 95 percent of those 22 students are retained in the high-needs districts after five years of teaching there. 23 24 So programs that have been successful

1 where we haven't expanded, we feel that the 2 best use of the funding, the additional 3 funding, is to take something that's been already successful and expand it. 4 5 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: In the past there have been some lags in getting dollars 6 7 out the door prior to your arrival. So what 8 I would ask now is, do we think we've reached a point where whether it's an RFP or 9 10 whatever, that the timing has now been 11 adjusted so that the programs can retain the 12 talented staff that they have when there's a 13 gap? 14 The programs that are on the ground 15 run out of money in order to retain staff, 16 and then there's this gap, and they are frustrated and upset and they lose people and 17

then -- so this fit-and-start, if you will. There was some understanding that years ago, when our budgets would -- we'd never know when they would actually be passed. We're now on a trajectory where there's a lot of certainty about when the budget will pass, and I'm just wondering whether the agency has

1 been able to adjust to that so that there is 2 in fact no longer going to be -- have the 3 dollars gone out the door? COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, I'm going to 4 5 ask John D'Agati to give you some specific information, but I can tell you the 6 7 fit-and-start deal is a major problem. And I think one of the things -- we've 8 got a couple of other programs we can also 9 10 mention that relate to our students that are in high school still, and both the P-TECH 11 12 program, the Early College High School, where I mentioned in my comments that if we don't 13 14 let them know that that funding is coming 15 every year, they have to wait for the budgets 16 to pass. And that's a major problem when so many people are investing as partners in 17 18 these students, and they're never sure if 19 they're going to be able to finish out the 20 cohorts and make sure that the programs are 21 complete. 22 So John, if you could address the issue of where we are in terms of getting the 23

24 money out.

1 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER D'AGATI: I think 2 all of the Opportunity Programs are now --3 the funding is all flowing properly. I know that we've had delays when 4 5 there's a new RFP and then we're getting all 6 the approvals and getting it all cycled 7 through. We are going to try to start earlier in the process so that we have more 8 time allowed on the back end to have the RFP 9 10 process, you know, go through -- have it go through the proper process but still get the 11 12 money out on time. ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Okay. Last year 13 14 we instituted a new program, the Foster Youth 15 Initiative, and I'm wondering if that is 16 again something that you have participated in and if there -- if money is going out. 17 18 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER D'AGATI: Yeah, 19 it's in process. I believe the money is 20 starting to flow. We're waiting for certain 21 documents -- I think we're waiting for some 22 documentation from SUNY, but the money that was allocated -- there was a specific amount 23 24 of money, and it was a specific percentage of

1 money that would go to SUNY, a percentage to
2 CUNY, and a percentage to the independent
3 sector. And the process is moving forward.
4 And the last I checked, we're just waiting
5 for certain documentation to come back and
6 the money would flow.

7 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Okay. As we're 8 looking at the potential for expanding that program, are there particular pitfalls that 9 10 we should be aware of in that it is new, and 11 everything that's new takes some time to work 12 out the kinks, as it were. If we were to add to that initiative, for a new cohort of young 13 14 people, could that be accommodated?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER D'AGATI: Yes, but 15 16 I would have to get back to you if there were specific pitfalls or things that we found 17 18 along the way, which I can certainly look at. 19 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: I would very 20 much like to know that, because we think it's 21 an important arena and we want to be sure 22 that -- these are young people who age out of foster care. They sort of go off a cliff. 23 24 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER D'AGATI: Yeah.

1 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: They had 2 housing, and they no longer have housing. 3 They, you know, were -- perhaps had some 4 supports to go to school, now they don't. So 5 the whole point of this is to not pull the rug out from under them when every other rug 6 7 has turned into a banana peel. So this is something that's of personal interest and 8 9 concern.

10 I know you're asking for more money for HEOP. But in view of the fact that it is 11 12 so successful as a program -- and I know you 13 want to be reasonable, but what would you 14 view as an outer limit of what could be 15 scaled up in order -- so that if -- right 16 now, I think you're seeking an additional 2.5 million --17

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: And I'm wondering if, from your conversations with different schools that are looking to add programs, in a perfect world where we're trying to address the supports in each of the different public systems and here in the

COMMISSIONER ELIA: Right.

18

1 private institutions, could the agency handle 2 not a \$2.5 million increase but a \$5 million 3 increase? COMMISSIONER ELIA: Yes. 4 5 And let me say, both of the chancellors mentioned the issue of support 6 7 for people who are in their program, guidance, and giving them supports as they're 8 going through the program. And the HEOP 9 10 program is one of those that we've seen a lot 11 of support. I've had the opportunity to talk 12 to both of the chancellors as well as the 13 independents, the deans and presidents, and 14 all of them have said that as we can get the 15 funding to them, then they're in a position 16 to really get and push the agenda for more successful students graduating on time. 17 18 I think it's a huge issue for us. We 19 can handle getting that out. We had, as you 20 pointed out -- it is trying to create a 21 balance of what the Regents are asking for. 22 And we have other successful programs we also think should be advanced. 23 24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you.

1 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 2 Senator? 3 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. And it's always good to see you, 4 Commissioner. 5 6 COMMISSIONER ELIA: Thank you. 7 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: And we really appreciate you being here today. 8 It's interesting to hear you talk 9 10 about college and career readiness and the different efforts underway to enhance those 11 12 programs, which I fully support. I do want to draw to your attention, 13 14 however -- and you -- originally being in 15 Western New York, you understand upstate 16 very, very well. And we certainly appreciate your commitment to the entire state in 17 18 immersing yourself in so many critical 19 issues. 20 I would still say to you, though, that 21 we have a fundamental problem in New York 22 State and especially in upstate areas, and maybe particularly in rural areas such as the 23 24 ones that I represent, where there seems to

be a disconnect between what's being taught in the public schools and what the local job-market needs are.

And there's almost been this cultural 4 5 evolvement over the past several years where now parents believe that in order for their 6 7 children to succeed, they have to leave New York State. And we've seen our young 8 people leaving New York State, but also I 9 10 think that that attitude unfortunately sometimes is in our school districts where 11 12 our educators, teachers, and administrators 13 almost encourage that.

14 And I teach a lot of "participation in 15 government" classes, and so I go in and I'll always ask the kids, you know, how many of 16 you plan on staying after you graduate. And 17 18 it's very alarming to see so many hands that don't go up, and there's just a few that say 19 20 they want to stay in their communities. And 21 so I think we've got to turn that whole thing 22 around.

I have manufacturers in my districtthat say, We are hungry for welders and

1 manufacturing technicians, and other 2 vocational careers and trades careers. We 3 have a tremendous shortage of healthcare professionals across the entire state. And 4 5 those are all great careers that young people can have. And so how do we get over that 6 7 disconnect, that lack of communication, 8 between the business community locally and getting that connection, finally, between the 9 school districts and the jobs that are 10 11 actually existing right now in New York 12 State, and encouraging young people to say, 13 Hey, I can stay here with my family, I can 14 have a successful career, I can be here right 15 in my community and make a difference? How 16 do we change that around? COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, I think 17 18 there's several factors that are really 19 critical for us. 20 One of the things that I brought up in 21 my testimony when I was here last week was 22 the issue of expansion of career and 23 technical programming to not just a few high 24 schools or a few of our BOCES programs. One

1 of the most successful programs that we have 2 in the state that actually is becoming a 3 national model is the P-TECH program, which takes students and really connects them not 4 5 only with training in a particular career but with partners from the business community, 6 7 the manufacturing community, or whatever -- a number of different job opportunities. 8

9 And those businesses are part of the 10 development of the curriculum, the 11 programming that's done there, and then 12 ultimately opportunities for students to go 13 in and be in those business settings. And 14 whether that's training with welders, whether 15 that's going into a manufacturing center and 16 working with people on the floor, all of that is part of the P-TECH program. 17

18 We have 26 right now; we have seven 19 that will be starting. And that's one of the 20 things that we've requested additional 21 funding for.

Now, I also think that it's extremely
important that our local high schools connect
either with BOCES beyond P-TECH, but provide

1 career and technical programs there that 2 connect to their own communities. 3 Right now the City of Buffalo is putting in a number of programs that are 4 5 partnerships with companies who have those jobs, and they're working with the school 6 7 district to develop those programs. That's a big issue. When I've talked 8 to superintendents in the state, they are not 9 10 as aware of what they could use as a means of 11 communicating with parents about these 12 opportunities. So part of it is a 13 communication struggle, if you will. 14 Many parents believe that they don't 15 want their child in a vocational program. 16 However, a vocational program is going to give them a job that has, in many cases, a 17 18 much higher salary than they would receive 19 for some job that they might come out of a 20 four-year college with a degree and get --21 because as you're aware, as you pointed out, 22 the infrastructure needs are so great that the hourly rates for some of these jobs are 23

24 very high.

1	So I think it's a matter of focusing
2	our schools and our communities, expanding
3	the P-TECH-like programs to more
4	opportunities in schools that are not as
5	formalized as the P-TECH but also offer those
6	opportunities, and making sure that across
7	the board we're communicating with the
8	business communities and our school districts
9	to bring in partnerships and to make sure
10	that those work.
11	We're going to be applying for a
12	national grant that's been made available by
13	JPMorgan Chase through the National
14	Opportunities Group in Washington, and
15	hopefully we'll have some opportunities with
16	that, if we receive it, to spread out the
17	word on what we can do across the state to
18	expand those programs and establish those
19	partnerships.
20	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: That's great to
21	hear. And it is, however, an urgent issue,
22	because every single day we're losing people
23	who move to other states
24	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Yeah.

CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: -- when we want to 1 2 keep them home so that they can be successful 3 here in New York. And you touch on a very 4 important thing. 5 I visited my P-TECH program, for example, in Chautauqua County. It's 6 7 extraordinarily impressive, and the students are so jazzed up about it and their parents 8 are thrilled about it and, you know, 9 10 everything is really great as far as that

11 program goes, and they're actually developing 12 it even more.

But the problem, I think -- and I love 13 14 my BOCES programs, don't get me wrong -- is 15 over the years there's been a stigma attached 16 to BOCES. So how do you overcome that? How do we make BOCES really cool and like a great 17 18 career opportunity and get over that stigma? And I think it's better than it used to be 19 20 when I was in high school a million years 21 ago, but it's still there. And I talk to 22 people about it, and they'll say, Well, I don't want my kids to go to a BOCES program 23 24 because that's where all the kids go that

can't do anything else.

2	So how do we overcome those hurdles?
3	How do we get there in a very short period of
4	time? And I know we've got P-TECH, we've got
5	other initiatives, I'm glad to hear about
6	this grant, but I would say to you there's
7	some marketing or branding thing that we need
8	to do with BOCES to make it really cool and
9	connect kids with jobs in the community. And
10	if we can do that, I think we'd just be
11	light-years ahead.
12	COMMISSIONER ELIA: It's one of the
13	agendas that we have in that whole concept of
14	what can we do to expand current technical
15	programs across the state.
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
18	Assemblyman Oaks.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you,
20	Commissioner.
21	Before I begin, we've also been joined
22	by Assemblyman Saladino.
23	Actually, to follow up on Senator
24	Young's comments, I do see in your

1 presentation the focus on P-TECH and a 2 request to expand that from \$11 million to 3 \$18 million so that we have a greater amount of money going there. I know you also just 4 5 talked about a grant program that you're looking at to try to push careers and sort of 6 7 the mid-skills, technical-skills types of 8 job.

I know that, for instance, in the 9 10 Rochester area they've developed what they call the SAME program, Summer Advanced 11 12 Manufacturing Enterprise. It's done with local manufacturers, MCC, the county. And 13 14 actually Monroe County at this point is 15 funding that. I'm in the rural county next 16 door, and individuals are interested there.

I guess my question is, is that money 17 18 you're asking for in the P-TECH area just 19 going to be focused on the P-TECH schools, 20 specifically those programs, or are there 21 some opportunities for demonstration projects that might be duplicated in other areas, some 22 opportunities for state funding to help, 23 24 again, in the summer program?

1 It's a program where you're taking 2 kids who maybe might be in other tracks and 3 putting them into the advanced manufacturing, 4 give them the experience, 10th and 11th 5 graders, and then giving them course credit for what they're doing and maybe changing 6 7 their idea from, oh, I don't want to be an engineer, I want to be a -- you know, 8 something else -- that there are jobs 9 10 available for me in this community -- again, if I take that. 11 12 COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, specifically the issue of career and technical programs, 13 14 we asked for funding through the Regents' 15 request to expand career and technical 16 programs across the state. And the P-TECH program is, as you pointed out, one way to do 17 18 that. It's a model that has been used, and 19 we've seen a lot of success. So the 20 opportunity to expand that beyond where we 21 are now and give it stable funding is, I 22 think, extremely important. But as I said, our proposal also 23 24 talks, in the P-12 arena, for programming

1 that will expand career and technical 2 programs for students in regular high schools 3 and make available the opportunity for certified teachers to get into that program. 4 5 That's also another issue. You have people in the community that could come in as 6 7 experts and be teaching in our schools, but we'd have to review all of the requirements 8 to make sure that that's an available pattern 9 10 or track for them to get in and be employed, 11 working with the students. 12 So we haven't any plan at this point 13 to expand the summer program, but all of the 14 work that's done at P-TECH is in fact an 15 extension all year, so we have programs 16 running all summer with those programs. ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: My hope would just 17 18 be that, you know, we have such a vast 19 state --20 COMMISSIONER ELIA: Yes. 21 ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: -- in many 22 different areas, that allowing and focusing on -- and maybe we should be budgeting for 23 24 some additional demonstration ones, to help

show the way to try to, you know, help as 1 2 many people as we can with that. 3 I would agree also with the Senator on the rebranding issue. I think it's -- and 4 5 hopefully -- you've said that's on the table for you, looking at that. It's interesting 6 7 just for us locally, one of the things is people are trying to do this. We actually 8 have a Career Carnival this summer --9 10 COMMISSIONER ELIA: Yes. ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: -- that we're 11 12 looking at doing for eighth graders to bring 13 them together, bring local manufacturers and 14 businesses and try to, at that point, expose 15 them before they enter their eighth grade 16 year to say, I'm getting ready for high school, what are the things out there 17 available for me. 19 That's just one idea of local areas to 20 do -- but I would hope that as we as a state 21 do that, that we share -- if that's a 22

success, maybe we share that, duplicate that,

or get ideas from around the state because we

have to make some progress in this area.

18

23

24

It's a shame if we have jobs that could be
 filled but we don't have people choosing to
 go in those areas.

COMMISSIONER ELIA: I recently had the 4 5 opportunity to speak to a group of businessmen that were here representing 6 7 chambers of commerce around the state, and 8 they got to that very issue of we have jobs that can be filled if we could let parents 9 10 and students know these jobs are something 11 that you can plan for, and when you walk out 12 of high school you may do some postsecondary 13 work but you'll be much quicker in a job, 14 earning a salary.

And a number of them have models that they are doing in their own communities to support high schools and letting students know that this is something that is available to them.

20 But I think your point about using 21 models that are successful and getting the 22 word out so that others can use that same 23 plan certainly would help.

24 ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Well, you're

1	certainly welcome on August 23rd to come out
2	to Palmyra for the Career Carnival.
3	COMMISSIONER ELIA: I know Palmyra
4	well.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you.
6	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Senator?
7	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
8	Senator Toby Stavisky.
9	SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you.
10	Thank you for your comments about
11	vocational education. I spent two years
12	teaching at Thomas Edison Vocational and
13	Technical High School in Queens, and I
14	couldn't agree with you more.
15	And also I appreciate the
16	responsiveness of your office on a number of
17	issues that I brought to their attention.
18	They've been very forthright and responsive,
19	and I do appreciate it.
20	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Thank you.
21	SENATOR STAVISKY: Let me ask you just
22	a couple of questions.
23	You had this Accela, I believe it was
24	called, the program that was going to handle

1 licensure statewide. And it just wasn't 2 going to work for your department, which is 3 understandable since you have something like 53 or so professions to license. However, I 4 5 was the sponsor of the legislation in 2009 increasing the fees, and I took considerable 6 7 heat for doing that. What has happened -- and I've asked 8 9 this question in the past of your 10 predecessors, and I never once got a 11 response. They promised to get back to me 12 and did not. What happened to the money, 13 what happened to the increased fee money that 14 was generated by the licensure? COMMISSIONER ELIA: It's still there. 15 16 What we need is the approval so that we can use that money and develop our own system for 17 18 e-licensing. 19 So the money that we are requesting is 20 \$4.3 million that is currently in our budget, 21 and I think that is reflected on page 23. 22 SENATOR STAVISKY: I have a copy. COMMISSIONER ELIA: Right. So that 23

24 money is there.

1	SENATOR STAVISKY: It has not been
2	spent?
3	COMMISSIONER ELIA: No.
4	SENATOR STAVISKY: And it's been
5	reallocated every year, presumably?
6	COMMISSIONER ELIA: So this year
7	this is a request for this year's funding,
8	but it would be over a five-year period.
9	But that funding is in the account,
10	and we specifically want to spend it so that
11	we can do the e-licensing.
12	And I want to thank you, to take the
13	opportunity to thank you publicly for that
14	work, because we have almost a million people
15	who receive their licensing through New York.
16	And I think it's a really critical thing for
17	us to be able to make that as
18	customer-friendly as possible, and one of the
19	ways to do that is to put a system in place
20	that allows for that to happen. So your
21	foresight in thinking about making sure that
22	that was available for us is really critical.
23	We tried to work with, of course, the
24	efficiency of a state single system. It

1 isn't going to work for us because of some of 2 the more specifics of this. So we need the 3 approval to move forward on that \$4.3 million and then in subsequent years. 4 5 SENATOR STAVISKY: You mentioned page 23. On page 22, under Enhanced 6 7 Community Service, you say that in 2015, 8 processing time to issue a license was less than two weeks -- on the -- toward the top. 9 10 First or second bullet, the first bullet 11 point. 12 COMMISSIONER ELIA: Yes. 13 SENATOR STAVISKY: Now, the reason 14 I -- and I let your office know ahead of time 15 that I would be asking this question -- a 16 constituent of mine is an immigrant, a nurse from another country with a green card, 17 18 married to an American citizen. Applied in 19 October of 2013. She did everything she was 20 supposed to do on-line, she paid the \$390. 21 Let me just condense what happened to 22 her. There were a series of follow-ups, and it took 11 months for that license to be 23 24 issued because it had to go through a group

1	called CGFNS. They're the ones who verify
2	the credentialing accuracy of the foreign
3	professional school.
4	COMMISSIONER ELIA: That's right.
5	SENATOR STAVISKY: It's called
6	Credential Verification Service for New York
7	State. Is there any other place they can
8	use any other website or organization they
9	can use to verify the credentials?
10	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, I'm going to
11	have Doug answer that specific question.
12	DEP. COMMISSIONER LENTIVECH: Sure.
13	They don't have to use CGFNS at all. They
14	can just go directly to us. CGFNS is the
15	only verification service in nursing that I'm
16	aware of that does what they do, and they are
17	usually a manner of expediting the license
18	because they have relationships with all the
19	states and with numerous foreign countries,
20	so they allow us to capitalize on a large
21	degree of experience. Typically, going the
22	CGFNS route will make their license
23	application process quicker.
24	The problem with foreign applicants

1 for licensing is twofold. One is the 2 verification that the license materials we 3 received are accurate, that they have come from the actual school that they're saying 4 5 they came from. Does the school really exist, do the grades really exist -- the 6 7 verification process. That's true in all foreign license applications, and CGFNS is 8 very good at doing that. And it enables us 9 10 to get that part of the question answered without a lot of back-and-forth, 11 12 international mailing and emailing. 13 The other part of the process is 14 ensuring that the actual courses they took, 15 the substance of those courses actually 16 equals the qualitative needs we have in New York -- so their nursing course in 17 18 Clinical Practice 1 and 2 equals what we 19 expect them to have here. And that can take 20 some going back and forth between our office 21 and the foreign institution as well, to get the information we need to say did they 22 receive all these things so that they're a 23 24 competent practitioner in New York.

1	I know it's kind of a long question,
2	but there's a lot of complexity into
3	licensing a person that doesn't go to one of
4	the New York registered programs.
5	SENATOR STAVISKY: Well, as you know,
6	I represent a large immigrant population.
7	DEP. COMMISSIONER LENTIVECH: I do
8	know.
9	SENATOR STAVISKY: You've been to my
10	office
11	DEP. COMMISSIONER LENTIVECH: Yes.
12	SENATOR STAVISKY: and it's become
13	more so since you visited.
14	That's not what happened. What
15	happened was in June after you know, eight
16	months after the application, she called to
17	see if they had the information from the
18	college, and they had not. She asked if she
19	should call the school in the Philippines,
20	and they informed her not to and that based
21	upon SED rules, if she does, her application
22	could be canceled.
23	Now, it goes on and on, and she
24	finally got it a month before the expiration

period where she would have to start it all
 over again.

I happen to know the individual, I know her well, and I was very troubled. She didn't come to me for help but tried to attempt to do this on her own, and it was a disaster.

8 How can we delay everything with the 9 so-called nursing shortage? We're not 10 credentialing people who are qualified for 11 licensure because this group, CGFNS, is so 12 slow in responding.

13My question is this. They are the14ones that they had to use. Was this a15sole -- was there an RFP offered to select16the college or the organization to do the17credentialing?18DEP. COMMISSIONER LENTIVECH: No,

19 because they don't have to use CGFNS -20 SENATOR STAVISKY: She was told
21 otherwise.

22 DEP. COMMISSIONER LENTIVECH: -- they
23 can just send their stuff directly to us.
24 And perhaps this individual should have

1 communicated directly to us. 2 I'd love to hear the specifics so we 3 can do some things about it. 4 SENATOR STAVISKY: I know. I will get 5 you -- our commissioner the specifics, 6 because I suspect that this is not an 7 isolated situation. DEP. COMMISSIONER LENTIVECH: Yeah. 8 SENATOR STAVISKY: And we certainly 9 10 have to do everything we can to make it easier for the legitimate people to succeed. 11 12 DEP. COMMISSIONER LENTIVECH: Agreed. 13 SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you. 14 COMMISSIONER ELIA: We'd be happy, 15 though, to follow up on that, if you get 16 the --SENATOR STAVISKY: Oh, I'll get you 17 the material. 18 19 COMMISSIONER ELIA: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Mr. Saladino. 20 21 ASSEMBLYMAN SALADINO: Thank you, 22 Chairman. Appreciate your time with us today. I 23 24 came in a little late because we had session.

1 But one of the questions I had -- and 2 perhaps you could shed some light on this --3 on Long Island I represent many of the professors in the CUNY and the SUNY system. 4 5 In CUNY specifically, they've gone some five years-plus without a contract, without 6 7 raises -- many of us in the room understand that pain. 8 The question I have is, what are we 9

10doing to get that resolved from the11standpoint of State Education? We understand12the importance of keeping our top-flight13educators working with us, we understand14they're the best of the best in the country.15What are we doing to get that situation16resolved?

17 COMMISSIONER ELIA: So just for
18 clarification, you're talking about the
19 contracts that SUNY professors -20 ASSEMBLYMAN SALADINO: CUNY.
21 COMMISSIONER ELIA: -- or CUNY
22 professors have? So that doesn't fall under
23 the State Ed Department. And I know

24 specifically it was mentioned with Chancellor

1 Milliken, who was here from CUNY, and I'd 2 have to defer to him to respond to you on 3 where he is with his negotiations on budget -- on salary, rather. 4 5 ASSEMBLYMAN SALADINO: Okay. And the second part was --6 7 COMMISSIONER ELIA: We can make sure that he gets that question. I don't know if 8 9 he's got anybody here. 10 ASSEMBLYMAN SALADINO: Yeah, I missed 11 him. We had session earlier today. 12 The other question, there was a 13 discussion going on about BOCES earlier. And 14 are we also pushing to keep these entities 15 alive when so many of them are lacking financial oxygen? 16 COMMISSIONER ELIA: Yes, and so in our 17 18 budget request there is a specific item that 19 mentions the salaries for BOCES teachers, so 20 that we can raise those salaries and keep the 21 staff members that are there that are so 22 specialized. ASSEMBLYMAN SALADINO: We have one 23 24 particular entity on Long Island, LISA -- the

1	Long Island High School of Performing Arts.
2	Today Billy Joel was speaking at a forum to
3	try to keep that facility open.
4	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Is he associated
5	with that school in any way? I know he's had
6	a lot of interest in the school I was
7	talking to Regent Tilles about it.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN SALADINO: Yes,
9	Regent Tilles has been absolutely wonderful
10	on this issue. We couldn't ask for a better
11	person in terms of his motivation and his
12	assistance.
13	But we need more help from the state.
14	And while our educators certainly deserve to
15	be paid a wage in relationship to their
16	education, their experience, and the
17	importance that they bring to our state,
18	making the system more affordable seems to be
19	one of the big problems. As we travel all
20	over and I speak to and listen, more
21	importantly to those with BOCES on
22	Long Island and other places in the state,
23	home schools have found this too expensive.
24	And as we try to wrestle with a tax cap that

we know the residents want, it makes it very,
 very difficult for those home schools to
 incur those costs.

4 So one of the issues is cooperation 5 with Albany to get the funding that they need 6 to stay open. Another issue is providing 7 perhaps a line in the budget for extended 8 assistance to those school districts so it is 9 more attractive to send students there.

10 And third, one thing that came up in 11 our meetings is a partnership with the 12 private sector so they would inject financial 13 resources into the BOCES programs, which 14 really dovetails what we've heard from some 15 of the members of the Legislature just 16 earlier.

Are you looking at these things? Are 17 18 we getting closer before we lose these 19 important components of our community? 20 COMMISSIONER ELIA: Absolutely. I 21 mean, it's definitely something that I think 22 really would revitalize a number of different areas within the state, in our schools, in 23 24 our K-12 settings, and then provide more

1 opportunities for our students.

2 So it is a major focus for us, career 3 and technical programs. But some of the specific programs -- particularly the arts 4 5 program you're talking about that Regent Tilles is an excellent ambassador for 6 7 that program, particularly -- he in fact 8 chairs a Regents subgroup on the arts. And we're working to make sure that students can 9 10 get a special designation as students that 11 have gone through longer periods of intense 12 art instruction. 13 So all those things are on our page, 14 and BOCES is one of the programs that can 15 support that. It's also an important factor 16 in the work that we want to do in the future. ASSEMBLYMAN SALADINO: Thank you. 17 18 We appreciate that. 19 Just to get a little bit more 20 specifics from you, does that mean you're 21 working on that and we'll see components of

22 this through this budget process? Or is this
23 something that has a target further down the
24 road?

1	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, we've
2	actually we have a state aid proposal that
3	actually gets to the issue of the BOCES
4	increase in funding. So we'll make sure that
5	gets over to you so you know the specifics of
6	it.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN SALADINO: Thank you.
8	COMMISSIONER ELIA: You're welcome.
9	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
10	Senator?
11	SENATOR KRUEGER: Hi. Just a couple
12	of questions, thank you. And I tend to ask
13	these questions every year, but you're new
14	for this.
15	How are we doing on closing down or
16	stopping the higher ed institutions that
17	don't really educate young people, just take
18	their money? So there have been any number
19	of national scandals, state scandals we
20	moved some legislation I guess two years ago,
21	I think it was implemented two years ago.
22	And I'm just curious whether we are finding
23	that we are actually preventing these
24	institutions from continuing to suck money

1	out of our student population and veterans,
2	who seem to disproportionately also get hit
3	by these programs.
4	COMMISSIONER ELIA: So it was in 2012,
5	so that legislation holds those schools more
6	accountable. We're speaking particularly of
7	proprietary schools?
8	SENATOR KRUEGER: Yes, but I've gotten
9	in trouble when I've used the term
10	"proprietary schools," because some of them
11	are perfectly fine programs.
12	But many of them are not. So yes.
13	COMMISSIONER ELIA: So I want to say I
14	appreciate you telling me, I probably just
15	got in trouble.
16	(Laughter.)
17	COMMISSIONER ELIA: But I think it's
18	important for us to know that we should have
19	accountability for all of the schools. And
20	the creation a school is able to continue
21	if they have some issues that they're trying
22	to perfect and get better. But we have
23	certainly strong fiscal reporting
24	requirements and improved SED follow-up to

help minimize sudden school closings to help
 students who are in those schools.

3 The whole issue really is how does SED review and streamline the approval process 4 5 for the renewal of these licensed schools and make sure that they're doing everything 6 7 they're supposed to. So from a perspective of where we are now, we have 399 schools that 8 are licensed. There are 76 that are awaiting 9 10 licensure, 55 are pending new, and 21 are candidates for it. 11

12 We have no way of knowing if there are unlicensed schools, but we believe there are 13 fewer unlicensed schools, based on the 14 15 operation that we've had in decreasing the 16 number of complaints that are coming in. Because we used to receive more complaints, 17 and I have had this conversation with 18 Dr. D'Agati about that. 19 20 The tuition that's collected, it's

about \$600 million annually for those
schools. And it affects about 157,000
students. So the tuition reimbursement
account balance is \$3.6 million, and that is

used to protect students by providing refunds 1 2 for those students who attended licensed schools and filled out the substantiated 3 complaints that we found to be real, that 4 5 then they were given their refunds on tuition. 6 7 And to protect students attending schools which have subsequently closed during 8 their attendance, they get full tuition fees 9 10 and book refunds that are made from that account should the school not be able to 11 12 handle those refunds. It's within different areas of the 13

14 department, so Kevin Smith, with Access, has 15 been working very closely with them. And I 16 pointed out earlier that Dr. D'Agati and I 17 had conversations with some of the deans 18 about those schools as well, because they 19 were getting students who were transferring 20 over.

21 And so it is on our page to address 22 the issue. And as I said, there's fewer 23 complaints coming in. We anticipate, 24 therefore, that there's fewer schools running

1 without certifications.

2 SENATOR KRUEGER: And do you ever have 3 any specific recommendations to the 4 Legislature about what kinds of tools we 5 could assist you with with further legislation? 6 7 I mean, you don't right now have any authority to follow up with unlicensed 8 schools; is that correct? 9 COMMISSIONER ELIA: Kevin? 10 11 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SMITH: Thank you, 12 Senator. 13 Yes, that's correct. We have a very 14 limited resource. We're on a special revenue 15 account. All of the resource that supports 16 our work as the regulatory agency comes from the tuition assessment that we levy upon 17 18 schools based on their gross tuition income, 19 and that is a limited amount of money. 20 The law is pretty specific, in my mind, that it does not direct us to oversee 21 22 unlicensed institutions. Clearly, where there are health and safety issues and 23 24 complaints coming from unlicensed

1 institutions through whatever source, we do 2 all that we can to follow up on those 3 schools. We want to better engage other law enforcement and regulatory agencies from the 4 5 Consumer Protection Agency, Attorney General, et cetera -- ICE, where illegal immigration 6 7 is concerned or Homeland Security is concerned -- to make sure that those 8 unlicensed institutions or licensed 9 10 institutions that might be breaking the law 11 are dealt with strongly. 12 But since the law was passed in late 2012, I've tried to institute, in the Bureau 13 14 of Proprietary School Supervision, stronger 15 technical assistance in support, as the 16 commissioner said; better work in bringing new schools on through candidacy or directly, 17 18 to make sure that they're a viable business and educational institution from the get-go, 19 20 and prove at the coming in rather than afterwards. We have reduced the number of 21 22 schools significantly in that way and the 23 number of applications in that way. 24 SENATOR KRUEGER: And do you think

1 that there has been -- so I'm glad to hear 2 there's reduced complaints. So potentially 3 the work you're doing has resolved some of the problems for, quote, unquote, 4 5 institutions attempting to get licenses or having licenses. 6 7 But do you think there's a growth or a shrinkage in the sort of just -- the black 8 market school model, where they're not even 9 10 trying to go for licensing? DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SMITH: We believe 11 12 they still exist. We believe the bad actors 13 are still out there. We are as vigilant as 14 we can be. And again, engaging all aspects 15 of state and city -- as most schools are in 16 the city -- law enforcement and other agencies that have responsibility in this 17 18 area is an important piece of it; in 19 particular, I think, the Consumer Protection 20 Agency. These are un -- they're illegal 21 businesses operating in their space. So 22 spread the responsibility, spread the oversight as best we can. 23 24 I'm not resting on the reduced numbers

1 of complaints. I think that students in our 2 non-degree post-secondary sector -- that's 3 the preferred language -- are vulnerable. They have been historically vulnerable. We 4 5 want to make sure that the schools are giving them everything that those students paid for. 6 7 Three hundred ninety-nine schools offering an array of allied health, 8 cosmetology, all the way to dog grooming --9 10 which are very, very viable professions in our community -- 177,000 students in the last 11 12 fiscal year. So there's a lot of folks out 13 there benefiting by these programs, and we 14 want to make sure they have integrity. 15 SENATOR KRUEGER: And on a variation 16 on the theme, so New York State has always

17 on the theme, be new form boate has drage 17 been more restrictive than many states about 18 these online universities that don't have a 19 physical entity either in New York State or 20 sometimes not anywhere.

21 What's the research showing us now? 22 Because certainly we live in a world where 23 more and more online everything takes place. 24 I see the TV commercials for these online

1 universities. I'm wondering what's your
2 experience about the patterns of -- I wish it
3 wasn't just exploitation for young people's
4 money, but I fear it is exploitation of young
5 people's money.

COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, one of the 6 7 things that we have really pushed for, as have the chancellors of both SUNY and CUNY, 8 is the State Authorization Reciprocity 9 10 Agreement, SARA, which will allow us to have 11 the opportunities for our programs to work as 12 an online institution in other places, but also those institutions outside of New York 13 14 that want to come and be here to be part of 15 the registration of that.

And it's really an important thing, we believe, to have the ability to know who is out there in the online world and offering programs here, and that we can make sure that we have that involvement with licenses for them to work in New York.

22 SENATOR KRUEGER: So without the SARA 23 legislation, you don't have the ability to 24 say you're bad, you're maybe, you're okay?

1 How does that work?

COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, that's what 2 3 it really is. That we need that legislation, and that's been proposed by both -- as I 4 5 said, both SUNY and CUNY and the independents. 6 7 But, you know, let's face it, if you look and you watch, there are settings for 8 K-12 students that are online, and you also 9 10 see many opportunities online for post-secondary work. So it's important for 11 12 us to have the ability to be part of this 13 organization nationally. 14 SENATOR KRUEGER: Yes, as the internet 15 expands and the opportunities to do different 16 things on the internet expand, there's -- I won't disagree you, there may be 17 18 opportunities for quality education combined with actual classrooms and teachers to be a 19 blended model. I've had lots of 20 21 conversations about that. 22 But it seems that disproportionately you see young people thinking -- or not even 23 24 young people, older people who've never had

the opportunity to get that college degree, don't see themselves going back into an institutional setting now, and watching the commercials and saying, Gee, that sounds like a good way to get licensed for A, B, C -- and they get ripped off for huge amounts of money.

8 So how will we ensure -- if we go 9 further down this road, how will we ensure 10 that we are not somehow just falling into 11 appearing to endorse these models? 12 COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, I think at

13 least through this project we would have the 14 ability to know who it is that is approved 15 through the SARA project, and then we could 16 work with them.

But you're right. I mean, as the 17 18 internet expands and people go on there 19 without checks on what in fact is a great 20 opportunity or not, it opens up. I mean, we have -- much of what we have to do is train 21 22 our students to be very critical as they're going on the internet and think about what it 23 24 is they're saying they're going to produce

1	for them, and is that where we want to be.
2	SENATOR KRUEGER: I think these are
3	tough issues ahead of us as well.
4	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Mm-hmm.
5	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
6	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
7	SENATOR YOUNG: Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Senator?
9	SENATOR YOUNG: I think we're done.
10	So thank you so much.
11	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
12	much.
13	COMMISSIONER ELIA: Thank you. It was
14	a pleasure. Appreciate your support.
15	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Elsa Magee,
16	executive vice president, New York State
17	Higher Education Services Corporation.
18	Good afternoon.
19	EXECUTIVE VP MAGEE: Good afternoon.
20	Chairwoman Young, Chairman Farrell,
21	Chairwoman Glick, and members of the Senate
22	and Assembly, thank you for the opportunity
23	to speak this afternoon about the Governor's
24	2016-2017 Executive Budget recommendations

1 that impact the New York State Higher 2 Education Services Corporation. I'm Elsa 3 Magee, executive vice president and acting president of HESC. 4 5 HESC administers the New York State Tuition Assistance Program and more than 20 6 7 other student financial aid and college 8 access programs that help students obtain and afford a college education. Collectively, 9 10 these programs provide over \$1 billion in awards to support the college costs of more 11 12 than 360,000 students. Governor Cuomo's 2016-2017 Executive 13 14 Budget continues full support for all 15 existing state grant and scholarship programs 16 and proposes the DREAM Act. In addition, the Executive Budget reflects increased 17 efficiencies and reduced administrative costs 18 19 at HESC. The recommendations also reflect 20 the Governor's ongoing commitment to 21 addressing the financial burden of college 22 faced by today's students when entering and 23 upon graduating from college. 24 The Executive Budget continues funding

1 for the innovative Get on Your Feet Loan 2 Forgiveness Program to assist struggling new 3 college graduates by providing federal student loan relief to cover their monthly 4 5 student loan debt for up to two years. Launched on December 31, 2015, over 6500 6 7 New Yorkers have submitted applications in the program's first five weeks, making it one 8 9 of the strongest new program launches. 10 In implementing the program, HESC has partnered with the U.S. Department of 11 12 Education and the National Student Loan Data 13 System to streamline the application process. 14 It is expected that more than 7,000 students 15 will apply for awards during state fiscal year 2015-2016 and that nearly 16,000 16 students will receive awards during state 17 fiscal year 2016-2017. 18 19 Recognizing that the rising cost of

Recognizing that the rising cost of college makes college choice more critical than ever, New York created a standard financial aid award letter for colleges and universities to provide prospective and first-time enrolling students and their

families with uniform financial aid award
 information on the total costs of education,
 how much aid they will receive, how much aid
 they must repay, and the success of other
 students after graduation.

In December 2015, the Department of 6 7 Financial Services released the New York State Financial Aid Award Information Sheet 8 9 for schools responding to prospective 10 students looking to enroll in the 2016-2017 11 academic year or thereafter. The New York 12 State Financial Aid Award Information Sheet 13 incorporates the federal model along with 14 some additional information that is unique to 15 the state.

16 To aid in the implementation of the 17 award letter, schools that are unable to make 18 the full sheet available have been provided 19 with an Interim Period Financial Aid Award 20 Information Sheet for responding to 21 prospective applicants.

The 2016-2017 Executive Budget enables
 HESC to continue administering an array of
 programs and services that support the

1 attainment of a college degree for all 2 New York State students. On behalf of 3 Governor Cuomo, HESC is pleased to play a vital role in providing New York State's 4 5 students with a gateway to a successful college career. 6 7 Thank you, and I would be glad to answer any questions you may have. 8 9 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very 10 much. Questions? 11 12 Assemblywoman Glick. 13 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you. 14 In HESC's experience, what's the most 15 effective way to inform the most vulnerable students -- whether it's foster care kids or 16 students who are immigrants -- about 17 18 financial aid opportunities? And are there 19 things that we could or should be doing to 20 increase the awareness of TAP, the various 21 the scholarship programs, that would help 22 them pay for school as they're trying to figure out if that's something they can do? 23 24 EXECUTIVE VP MAGEE: The most

1 effective way that we found to reach the 2 students is through the groups and 3 individuals who have direct contact with them on a daily basis. So generally speaking, for 4 5 students who are in high school, we reach out primarily through guidance counselors who 6 7 work with those students and assist them with their financial aid application, and 8 9 information for programs such as STEM. We've 10 reached out to the STEP program, where those 11 students are participating in programs 12 already, leading to science, technology, 13 engineering, and math. Or the Math and 14 Science Master Teachers Program through SUNY, 15 we've also worked there. And then, again, 16 through the counselors and the teachers. ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: It's good to 17 18 hear that there's some way that you're trying 19 to reach students on the STEM scholarships. 20 I wonder if there is some thought on the part 21 of the agency to try to reach students at an 22 earlier age. I think when you're 13 or 14, school might not be the first thing -- you 23

might be a decent student, but there are

other things happening in your life. And one
 doesn't necessarily realize early enough the
 importance of doing well.

So if students were to know that --4 5 and their parents, who would be in a position to urge them in the right direction -- that 6 7 some of these scholarships like the STEM scholarship in particular -- because you have 8 to graduate in the top 10 percent of your 9 10 class. In the junior year, that's already 11 half your opportunity to do better is gone. 12 And if you knew you had a goal, and so many 13 young people are interested in technology and 14 so forth, if we could reach them earlier, it 15 might give them the motivation and incentive.

Has the agency thought about that, what vehicles you might have to do that at an earlier age?

19EXECUTIVE VP MAGEE: We have a --20administer the federal GEAR UP grant, which21touches -- right now we have a cohort of226,000 students that we work with, beginning23in the seventh grade, who will be receiving24that kind of information right through their

1 first year of college.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: That's good to
know.
It looked as if there was a
significant jump up in the allocation for
STEM. Is that because you are having success
in reaching students, and will that be
enough?

EXECUTIVE VP MAGEE: We are seeing a 9 10 year-to-year increase from the first year of the program to the second year of the 11 12 program. Primarily students are pursuing 13 largely the science and technology fields, 14 more so than the engineering and the math 15 fields in the program. But we are seeing 16 growth year to year.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: We've had a lot 17 18 of conversations about the difficulty people 19 have in accessing the part-time TAP. They 20 have to go to school full-time for a year in order to qualify. And obviously if your 21 22 issue is that you have to work or you have family concerns, your ability to access 23 24 part-time TAP is almost obviated.

1 So do you have any recommendations 2 about what we might do to change that, or 3 just change the structure of TAP so that 4 it's -- we've heard many instances of 5 youngsters -- the eight semesters don't necessarily fit neatly into people's lives. 6 7 So has the agency had any conversations about what changes they might recommend? 8 EXECUTIVE VP MAGEE: We are -- as you 9 10 know, we're not a policy-making agency, so as to the policy of the program, we don't take a 11 12 position. But we do know that the numbers of 13 14 part-time TAP recipients are relatively low. 15 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Does anybody 16 call and say "Is there some other way I can get access to this?" I mean, you're not 17 18 policymakers, but you're interacting with the 19 public. So what do you tell them, "We're not policymakers"? 20 What recommendations, suggestions, or 21 22 otherwise does HESC ever provide? EXECUTIVE VP MAGEE: Again, we 23 24 administer the program as it's enacted into

1 law. So if we -- we recommend that people do 2 speak with their local legislators if they're 3 looking to have a change in the law. 4 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: You're not a 5 policymaking operation, but you do -- you are 6 an Executive agency. So have there been any 7 instances where someone from the second floor might ask an opinion versus a recommendation? 8 EXECUTIVE VP MAGEE: We have not been 9 10 asked for our opinion, no. But we provide the information, factual information again 11 12 and demographics of the programs. 13 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you very 14 much. 15 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 16 Senator? SENATOR YOUNG: Thank you. 17 Welcome, Acting President Magee. It's 18 19 great to see you. 20 I wanted to touch on something. 21 Several schools have expressed concern 22 regarding the implementation of the standardized financial aid award letter that 23 24 was included in the budget last year. Do you

1 feel that this issue will be addressed, and 2 how?

3 EXECUTIVE VP MAGEE: This is an open, 4 ongoing process right now. So we are in the 5 public comment period. We have met with 6 schools, questions that they have raised. We 7 have worked with the Department of Financial 8 Services to provide FAQs to address any 9 concerns and minimize confusion.

10 But right now, the comments that are 11 going into the Department of Financial 12 Services, they will at the end of that review 13 period look to address any of the concerns 14 that have been raised by the schools.

15 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. When is
16 the review period expected to be completed?
17 EXECUTIVE VP MAGEE: I believe the
18 open comment period expires in early March,
19 around March 5th.

20 SENATOR YOUNG: Early March. Okay. 21 And then do you think that the agencies can 22 move swiftly to address the situation after 23 that?

24 EXECUTIVE VP MAGEE: Yes. I know in

1	working with DFS, they have heard what the
2	schools issues that have been raised that
3	they would seek to address.
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Great. Because as
5	you know, I mean with the calendar and the
6	timeline for students, that's critically
7	important to get resolved. So thank you very
8	much.
9	I think the Senate is complete.
10	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblyman
11	Lupinacci.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN LUPINACCI: Good
13	afternoon.
14	EXECUTIVE VP MAGEE: Good afternoon.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN LUPINACCI: I'm just
16	several questions I have.
17	The first one, I know that in your
18	testimony you did mention in terms of the
19	proposed DREAM Act. And basically how is
20	your organization going to be prepared to
21	make sure that if it goes into a policy that
22	these students are eligible for TAP? Is
23	there a system in place in terms of looking
24	at eligibility requirements? Will it be

1 harder to verify? If you could just explain 2 that a little bit. EXECUTIVE VP MAGEE: Well, if it were 3 implemented, we would be working with the --4 in concert with the administration. And 5 we've had conversations with the Department 6 7 of State, Office of New American Citizens, to identify the best means of verifying 8 eligibility for students. 9 10 ASSEMBLYMAN LUPINACCI: Do you have 11 any specifics yet in terms of how they would 12 do it? EXECUTIVE VP MAGEE: We have not at 13 14 this time, no. 15 ASSEMBLYMAN LUPINACCI: Okay. And 16 we've obviously heard a lot about trying to reduce the debt of recent college graduates, 17 18 and basically the federal government has 19 provided limited resources in this area in 20 terms of refinancing options, in terms of 21 trying to lower the overall student debt. 22 Are there any recommendations that you think that we could help do or make it a 23 24 little bit easier for students in terms of

reducing the debt burden that they have when
 they graduate?

3 EXECUTIVE VP MAGEE: On the debt that they have, we have right now the Get on Your 4 5 Feet Loan Forgiveness program that has been -- again, it's a very popular program, 6 7 and we see that there's a need. We have roughly 1,000 applications a week that have 8 been coming in to help students reduce the 9 10 debt if they take advantage of programs that -- income-driven repayment plans that 11 12 are offered at the federal level.

13 Right now there are three programs 14 that the federal government offers -- the 15 income-based repayment, the pay-as-you-earn, 16 and a new revised pay-as-you-earn which 17 captures more students in that program. And 18 then the state will step in and assist even 19 further by reducing that amount to zero for 20 two years out of college and for up to two 21 years' worth of payments.

ASSEMBLYMAN LUPINACCI: Now, you said that I think about 6500 people have taken advantage so far?

1 EXECUTIVE VP MAGEE: Have applied --2 they have submitted applications to date. 3 ASSEMBLYMAN LUPINACCI: How many are you expecting over the next several weeks? 4 5 Or by the time, you know, the next several months go on, how many people do you think --6 7 EXECUTIVE VP MAGEE: Well, we're 8 expecting, again, that the applications will decline. We haven't seen it at this point, 9 10 but we're assuming that by March/April that 11 we'll see fewer coming in, again, as those 12 who have the ability to apply have already 13 applied. 14 But then it will spike again in June 15 when December grads go into repayment, then 16 spike again next November when May graduates go into repayment. So we see the cycle of 17 18 spikes in the applications. 19 ASSEMBLYMAN LUPINACCI: I just had one 20 more question, and it looks like -- I think

beginning with the 2017-2018 academic year,
FAFSA, which is the Free Application for
Federal Student Aid, is going to have to be
completed utilizing I think the tax data from

1 the year prior to the previous tax year, so 2 it's going to be changing a little bit. But 3 New York, as it stands right now in terms of 4 TAP, is based on only the prior year. 5 Do you think, in terms of your opinion, or would you suggest to us, do you 6 7 think New York law should be changed to comply with FAFSA? Or do you think we 8 should keep it separate at this point? 9 10 EXECUTIVE VP MAGEE: At this point we 11 are working with the administration and the 12 Division of the Budget, who is looking at the 13 impact of a change to prior prior year. 14 ASSEMBLYMAN LUPINACCI: Okay. Thank 15 you very much. 16 EXECUTIVE VP MAGEE: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 17 Senator? 18 19 SENATOR YOUNG: I think we're 20 complete. So thank you very much. 21 EXECUTIVE VP MAGEE: Thank you. 22 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Oh, no, we have one 23 more. 24 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay. On the

1 Senate side, anyway.

2 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblywoman 3 Simmon. 4 ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Yes, thank you. 5 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: I'm sorry. Simon. ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Simon. 6 7 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: I can't read my own writing. I didn't go to the right schools. 8 ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Ms. Magee, I 9 10 have a couple of questions with regard to communications about the availability of 11 12 tuition assistance programs and other 13 financial aid programs. 14 I notice that your website has 15 undergone some change recently, which I think 16 is great. It's much more user-friendly, so I just wanted to thank you for that. It's 17 18 much, much better than it was, so thank you. 19 But I wanted to ask a question about 20 the availability of that information in other 21 languages. It wasn't clear to me whether 22 that was -- that there was a toggle for that, if you needed to have the information on the 23 24 website in Spanish, for example, or Chinese

1 or another commonly spoken other language. 2 EXECUTIVE VP MAGEE: What we have done is we have a form that an individual will 3 fill out if they need to receive the personal 4 5 information in another language. And when they contact us, we will work with 6 7 whatever -- we will identify someone who can 8 interpret in the language that they need, and we will have the conversations with them. 9 10 So to date we've only had several -maybe two or three individuals who have 11 contacted us that way. But we do work with 12 13 the Statewide Language Access Program on how 14 we make that information known and available. ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: So the Statewide 15 16 Language Access Program is the mechanism by which students would find out about the fact 17 18 that they could avail themselves of this

19 service? I'm curious how a student would
20 know --

21 EXECUTIVE VP MAGEE: From our website, 22 when they go to our website, it should be --23 at the bottom of each of our websites should 24 be information on if you need to receive

1 information in another language, to contact
2 us.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Okay. And there 3 are a number of students with disabilities, 4 5 as you know, who have different and more enhanced needs for financial aid. Is this 6 7 new website now accessible to adaptive technology that a lot of these students use? 8 EXECUTIVE VP MAGEE: Our website has 9 10 always been available for those with adaptive technology who need it. 11 12 ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: It works with screen readers and other software? 13 14 EXECUTIVE VP MAGEE: Yes. 15 ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Okay. And you also told us about the Get On Your Feet Loan 16 Forgiveness program and the number of 17 18 applications you've had. Who makes the 19 decision as to whether or not a loan is 20 forgiven? And do you have any idea what the 21 time frame is for the granting of those loan 22 forgiveness decisions? EXECUTIVE VP MAGEE: Everyone who 23

24 meets the program's eligibility requirements,

1 which would be they graduated from a New York 2 State high school, a New York State college, 3 and continue to reside in New York State, if 4 they're working they must be working in New 5 York State and making less than \$50,000. If 6 they meet all of those eligibility 7 requirements and are in one of the three eligible federal programs, they will be 8 eligible. No one would be denied if they 9 10 meet those eligibility requirements. 11 ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Okay. Thank 12 you. 13 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 14 Thank you very much. 15 EXECUTIVE VP MAGEE: Thank you. 16 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Andrew Pallotta, 17 18 NYSUT, executive vice president; Barbara 19 Bowen, president of Professional Staff 20 Congress; and Jamie Dangler, vice president. 21 I think that's what it is. 22 EXEC. VP PALLOTTA: Senator Young, Assemblyman Farrell --23 24 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Excuse me, this

1 ends up in the records (indicating written 2 testimony). And you should talk to the 3 smallest part of it. 4 EXEC. VP PALLOTTA: Oh, yes. 5 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: And you, you've been here so much, you know the long part. 6 7 EXEC. VP PALLOTTA: You will get the abbreviated version. 8 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very 9 10 much. 11 EXEC. VP PALLOTTA: That's my 12 solemn --13 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: I know you do. 14 EXEC. VP PALLOTTA: Okay. So thank 15 you again for having us here today and the 16 opportunity to testify. I sit here today with Dr. Jamie 17 Dangler from UUP and President Barbara 18 19 Bowen from PSC, also Vice President 20 Michael Fabricant from PSC and Chris Black, the director of legislation for 21 22 NYSUT. You have my written testimony, 23 24 I'll give you a quick summary.

1 There is no denying the fact that 2 in order to be career-ready today, we 3 have to be educated and well-educated, and kids need a good college education 4 5 for that. It's abundantly clear from what we've heard today throughout the 6 7 many hours of testimony that each and every one of the families in New York 8 State needs the State University and the 9 10 City University system, and that for 11 most families this is the only 12 affordable option that they have. Therefore, as a state we have a moral 13 14 obligation and an economic incentive to 15 ensure that as many of our of residents are 16 afforded an opportunity to go to college. And it requires a real financial investment 17 into SUNY and CUNY. 18 19 The Executive Budget provides 20 flat funding for core instruction to 21 both CUNY and -- I will also talk about, 22 and Dr. Bowen will also talk about, the situation with CUNY. With respect to 23 24 CUNY, the Executive Budget proposes a

shift -- that's one way of putting it - of 30 percent of operating costs to New
 York City.

I want to make three points with 4 5 respect to funding for SUNY and CUNY four-year campuses. SUNY and CUNY need a 6 7 significant increase in funding this year to enable them to fully carry out their 8 public mission in educating our students 9 10 and our future. Number two, providing the funding is the state's responsibility. And 11 12 number three, a big part of that 13 responsibility is that the state pays its 14 fair share in operating costs and expenses.

15 To ensure this, we urge that a real maintenance of effort be enacted in this 16 year's budget. I want to thank all of you 17 for passing the MOE for SUNY and CUNY last 18 19 year, and I especially want to thank 20 Assemblymember Glick and also Senator 21 LaValle for sponsoring the bill and all 22 the work that they did in continued advocacy that we saw today at the press 23 24 conference.

1 While last year's MOE was vetoed, 2 the Governor indicated in his veto 3 message that it should be dealt with in 4 the context of this year's budget. The 5 time is now, and we hope that you can work this through with the Governor to 6 7 address this important issue in this year's budget. 8

9 With respect to our community 10 colleges, I want to thank the members of 11 the Assembly and the Senate for all the 12 work that you did in supporting these 13 campuses last year, and thank you for the 14 school aid increase that was provided. 15 Obviously you know the importance of these 16 campuses to our state's higher public education systems. Over 1 million degrees 17 18 have been awarded from community colleges 19 in this state.

20 This year the Executive Budget 21 proposes flat funding for community 22 colleges. I can draw your attention to 23 the charts on page 7, which shows that 24 both SUNY and CUNY community college

1 students are paying the lion's share of 2 operating costs in these campuses. In fact, from 2005-2006 to 2015-2016, SUNY 3 community college students went from 4 5 paying 39 percent to 43 percent. And at the same time, the state's contribution 6 7 for these costs went from 29 percent to 26 percent, with the local sponsor's 8 share remaining fairly the same. 9 10 There's also a similar situation for CUNY. The student share went from 11 12 about 37 percent to almost 42 percent, while the state share went from 31 to 26 13 14 percent. And again, the local share 15 remains constant at about 32 percent. 16 Notwithstanding your efforts over the past few years, we are still below the 17 18 2008-2009 state funding levels, and we 19 request that you increase this to \$250 per 20 FTE student in base aid this year and that 21 the state develop a multiyear plan to 22 honor the statutory requirement and commitment to fund 40 percent. This is 23 24 the year that we say that the state has

1 the resources available to do this.

2 On performance-based funding, the 3 Executive Budget again provides \$30 million for performance-based funding: \$18 million 4 for SUNY and \$12 million for CUNY. 5 Performance-based funding is not a new 6 7 idea, and it does not address the SUNY or CUNY funding problems. Other states have 8 tried this and had little success. In 9 10 fact, the results on this show that it is ineffective at best. 11 12 We also disagree with SUNY's initiative to create a \$100 million 13

14 performance-based funding program. The 15 vast majority of the funding for this comes 16 from the SUNY system programs and campus funds. NYSUT urges the Legislature to 17 reallocate the \$30 million for a full-time 18 19 faculty initiative to enhance quality and 20 provide students with the advisement and 21 counseling they most desperately need.

Full-time faculty endowment.
Speaking of this, we must be able to
create a state endowment, which we've

1 talked about for the last couple of 2 years, and be able to fund this. On 3 SUNY hospitals, with respect to what we 4 have been up against for the past couple 5 of years, I want to thank you for coming to their rescue last year, again, and 6 7 providing the funding that was needed. Unfortunately, the State Budget this 8 9 year cuts the SUNY hospitals by 10 \$19 million, the same exact restoration 11 that you made last year. So we ask that 12 you restore this funding and increase funding to the 2011 level of \$128 million. 13 14 Dr. Dangler will also speak about 15 the SUNY Downstate situation in a moment. 16 NYSUT urges the Legislature to continue to invest in the Student 17 18 Opportunity Programs that provide greater 19 access and remediation. We've heard much 20 about this today and the support that this 21 has. We support updating the TAP program, 22 making it more workable for today's students, and also the passage of the 23 24 DREAM Act.

1 In conclusion, I want to point out 2 that the final level of funding for public 3 higher education in this year's enacted 4 budget all depends upon the higher 5 education table target. In recent years, the table target amount for higher ed has 6 7 not afforded you the opportunity to fund SUNY and CUNY at the level these 8 institutions deserve. This year presents a 9 10 real opportunity to change the funding 11 situation at these campuses. NYSUT urges 12 you to set a higher table target that will enable you to make a real and meaningful 13 14 investment in public higher education. 15 Thank you again for all of the work 16 that you've done, and I now turn it over to Dr. Dangler from UUP. 17 VICE PRESIDENT DANGLER: Thank 18 19 you, Andy. 20 Chairwoman Young, Chairman 21 Farrell, distinguished members of the 22 Senate Finance and Assembly Ways and Means Committee, thank you for providing 23 24 United University Professions with the

1	opportunity to testify today. My name
2	is Jamie Dangler, and I'm UUP's vice
3	president for academics. I'm here today
4	on behalf of UUP President Fred Kowal,
5	who could not join us.
6	UUP represents more than 35,000
7	academic and professional faculty and staff
8	at SUNY state-operated academic
9	institutions, health science centers, and
10	teaching hospitals.
11	First I'd like to echo Andy's thanks
12	for your strong bipartisan support for a
13	policy that commits to fully funding base
14	budget needs for our public universities,
15	including our teaching hospitals. And we
16	are especially grateful to the leadership
17	of Higher Ed Committee chairs
18	Assemblymember Glick and Senator LaValle.
19	We hope, as Andy stated, that a
20	maintenance of effort can be negotiated as
21	part of the coming budget. We also
22	appreciate your steadfast support for
23	SUNY's highly successful opportunity
24	programs, EOP and the EOCs, and we urge

1 you to expand their funding by adding \$50 million. UUP also proposes 2 \$47.3 million in additional base funding 3 for SUNY state-operated campuses to cover 4 5 collective bargaining obligations, repayment of deficit reduction monies 6 7 withheld from employee paychecks over a two-year period, and contractual salary 8 increases for 2016 and 2017. 9 10 An additional \$8.2 million is 11 necessary to cover mandatory costs for 12 utilities and building maintenance. We

13 heard this morning that SUNY has requested 14 a higher maintenance of effort figure, and 15 that is probably because they added the 16 cost of implementing their new minimum wage provision, which we did not add into our 17 proposal. We ask that you restore the SUNY 18 19 hospital subsidy to its 2010 level of 20 \$128 million, and we support SUNY's budget 21 request to forgive \$40 million in debt 22 service.

23As you know, the three teaching24hospitals -- Upstate, Downstate, and

1	Stony Brook are economic engines in
2	their communities. They provide
3	essential healthcare services,
4	especially to low-income and underserved
5	residents. They supply the current and
6	future workforce for this critical
7	industry. In addition, SUNY's four
8	academic medical centers generate
9	approximately \$700 million of the
10	state's \$2 billion in federal graduate
11	medical education funding, and that
12	represents 10 percent of the entire federal
13	allocation.
13 14	allocation. We thank you, as Andy has said, for
-	
14	We thank you, as Andy has said, for
14 15	We thank you, as Andy has said, for protecting Downstate's public mission from
14 15 16	We thank you, as Andy has said, for protecting Downstate's public mission from misguided privatization schemes.
14 15 16 17	We thank you, as Andy has said, for protecting Downstate's public mission from misguided privatization schemes. We continue to advocate for a
14 15 16 17 18	We thank you, as Andy has said, for protecting Downstate's public mission from misguided privatization schemes. We continue to advocate for a Brooklyn healthcare plan that would
14 15 16 17 18 19	We thank you, as Andy has said, for protecting Downstate's public mission from misguided privatization schemes. We continue to advocate for a Brooklyn healthcare plan that would dedicate a portion of the \$1.2 billion
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	We thank you, as Andy has said, for protecting Downstate's public mission from misguided privatization schemes. We continue to advocate for a Brooklyn healthcare plan that would dedicate a portion of the \$1.2 billion Healthcare Refinancing Program to develop
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	We thank you, as Andy has said, for protecting Downstate's public mission from misguided privatization schemes. We continue to advocate for a Brooklyn healthcare plan that would dedicate a portion of the \$1.2 billion Healthcare Refinancing Program to develop four SUNY Downstate owned and operated

1 underserved and underinsured patients in 2 the Brooklyn area. In collaboration with 3 other Brooklyn safety net hospitals, our plan calls for comprehensive ambulatory 4 5 care throughout the borough. We also urge you to create a public 6 7 higher education quality endowment initiative to rebuild academic departments 8 depleted by historic underfunding and to 9 10 transition highly qualified part-time faculty and staff to full-time positions. 11 12 Currently, more than 6,000 faculty are 13 part-time teaching faculty at SUNY's 14 state-operated campuses, and more than 15 4,000 are full-time faculty who are not on 16 the tenure track. A little while ago we heard SUNY 17 18 report that more than 900 new faculty were 19 hired since 2011, but the part-time/ 20 full-time ratio has not changed much, if at all, since then. Currently, 22 percent of 21 22 SUNY's faculty are full-time but not eligible for tenure; therefore, they are 23 24 not doing the full complement of research

1 service, teaching work, accreditation 2 work, et cetera, that is required at our 3 institutions. And 34 percent are part-time adjuncts, a significant 4 5 proportion. It's also not clear to us how many 6 7 of the new hires are research faculty as opposed to teaching faculty. So the 8 questions of services to our students are 9 10 certainly very significant. 11 The Executive Budget continues to 12 set aside \$18 million of state Operating 13 Aid for performance-based funding, which 14 would continue this year's level. But what 15 the Executive Budget does not acknowledge 16 is that in addition to the original \$18 million, SUNY diverted an additional 17 18 \$82 million from other funding sources to 19 support performance-based funding this 20 year. 21 Performance-based funding is a 22 market-based accountability scheme that serves to further avoid adequately funding 23 24 public higher ed, and there's a disconnect

1 between New York State's chronic 2 underfunding of our public education system 3 and its expectations of high performance. Performance-based funding is not the way to 4 5 undo years of disinvestment that continues to undermine the ability of SUNY campuses 6 7 to achieve the very goals that 8 performance-based funding aims to achieve. In addition, public medical 9 10 education, as you know, is so critical for our state, and SUNY Buffalo's Health 11 12 Science Center has the difficult challenge 13 of providing high-quality medical training 14 for its residents without having its own 15 clinical hospital. UUP is proposing a 16 brand new program, the Buffalo Healthcare Teaching Fellows Program, that would 17 18 provide the focused and time-intensive 19 teaching and guidance that residents need 20 in order to receive the breadth and depth 21 of experience that residencies should 22 provide. And it also provides accountability needed to ensure a 23 24 high-quality medical education.

1 Now, a while ago you heard the SUNY 2 chancellor say that SUNY's TeachNY Advisory Council's recommendations would address the 3 immediate teacher certification problems 4 5 that an Assemblymember asked her to address. But that group has not been 6 7 focused on the pressing crisis we are currently facing. While enrollments in our 8 state's P-12 schools are actually 9 10 increasing, especially in high-needs and diverse urban and suburban areas, there are 11 12 multiple indicators that teacher shortages 13 are worsening, with particular implications 14 for the creation of a diverse teaching 15 force. Enrollment in the state's teacher 16 17 education programs at public and private 18 institutions is plummeting. It was down

Institutions is plummeting. It was down 40 percent between 2008 and 2013, and we've certainly seen dramatic decreases at SUNY's 17 campuses that have teacher education programs, including Fredonia and many others.

24 The misguided implementation in 2014

of inappropriate and costly high-stakes
 certification requirements, combined with
 punitive and unfair teacher evaluations and
 receivership mandates, is discouraging
 young adults from pursuing teaching careers
 and creating barriers for adult learners to
 enter the field.

To meet the challenge, UUP proposes 8 that the state provide \$15 million to 9 10 support a new SUNY Recruiting and Educating 11 Teachers For All program, modeled after the 12 highly successful EOP program. This would 13 help address the worsening crisis of 14 recruiting and retaining teachers in 15 high-needs districts, and it would increase 16 the participation rate of underrepresented and economically disadvantaged individuals 17 in teaching careers, which is sorely 18 19 needed.

20 We also call for the state to stop 21 outsourcing the quality control and 22 accountability for teacher certification 23 tests to for-profit vendors. The state 24 currently, without cost, can contract with

1 educational vendors to develop and 2 administer tests. The vendor profits 3 directly from students who pay to take and retake tests. The vendor is paid 4 5 regardless of whether the tests are accurate, valid, or fair. And they 6 7 actually profit from faulty exams that students may have to take and retake. 8 This is currently the situation with 9 10 all four of the state's teacher certification exams and assessments. 11 A]] 12 are administered by Pearson. Students are paying up to \$1,000 to take and retake 13 14 faulty exams. 15 We propose that SED take back 16 responsibility for exam administration and fee collection. We also propose that there 17 18 be an immediate and thorough evaluation of 19 the new certification package, since there 20 are so many problems -- content problems, 21 computer test format problems -- and the 22 edTPA, which is really taking over the student teaching experience, is not working 23 24 well in many specialty areas.

1 Finally, we urge you to reject the 2 Executive Budget proposals to reduce the 3 state support for its retirees. That is, please reject tiering of state 4 5 contributions to retiree health insurance premiums based on years of service, capping 6 7 the state's reimbursement of Medicare Part B premiums, and ending state 8 reimbursement of increased Medicare Part B 9 10 costs for higher-income retirees under IRMAA. 11 12 We also urge you to support the maintenance of a strong economic foundation 13 for a high quality and productive life for 14 15 the state's retirees by raising the maximum earning allowance from \$30,000 to \$35,000. 16 Thank you. 17 EXEC. VP PALLOTTA: Now we'll hear 18 19 from Dr. Bowen. 20 PRESIDENT BOWEN: Good afternoon, 21 and thank you so much, Chairs. Thank you 22 Chairpersons Young and Farrell, and also Chairperson LaValle -- who's not here, but 23 24 Chairperson Glick is here, ably

1 representing the Higher Ed wing -- and 2 thank you members. I especially want to thank you for staying through this long day 3 4 and for continuing to ask such powerful 5 questions. I'm very proud to be joined by 6 7 Dr. Mike Fabricant, who is a professor at CUNY, and also by my colleagues here from 8 9 NYSUT and UUP. 10 Thank you very much for giving me the opportunity to speak on behalf of the 11 12 27,000 members of the Professional Staff Congress, CUNY. We've heard a lot about 13 14 CUNY today, and I hope to be able to answer 15 some of the questions that came up and 16 didn't seem to be fully answered earlier. I want to first thank you, 17 18 legislators, for the exceptional work you 19 did in the last round of budget 20 negotiations, especially for your work that 21 others have mentioned on gaining the near 22 unanimous support for the maintenance of effort bill which was sponsored by 23 24 Chairpersons Glick and LaValle. You really

did a splendid job on that, and we are hoping, with your support and your help, to have a structure-maintaining effort, which is really just maintaining the commitment to have that built into the budget in future years.

I have longer testimony; I'm not
going to read it all. There are many other
things to thank you for, and there are many
points that we want to make, some you've
heard by my colleagues already. But I want
to concentrate on two things.

13 I really have two messages. One is 14 that the \$240 million that was line-itemed 15 by the Governor for the first time, with 16 the specific narrative of being used for retroactive raises for fair and affordable 17 18 contracts for the CUNY employees, that that item must stay in the budget and, in fact, 19 20 if it is to cover the need for retroactive 21 raises to keep us merely on a par with 22 other public employees, that that amount in fact even needs to be raised. Because time 23 24 has progressed since we first named that,

1 there's another year of retroactive money 2 needed, and the Governor makes it clear 3 that that amount is for the unions, plural, not just for our union. 4 5 So I want to start by saying that that item -- whatever other negotiations 6 7 you undertake, and however you are able to finish the negotiating -- I certainly 8 support Vice President Pallotta's very 9 10 strong -- very strong call to increase the 11 table target. 12 But one thing, we rarely get a line item for \$240 million. The Governor 13 14 recognizes the need to settle those 15 contracts. Many of you have asked today, 16 Would that settle the contract? No, that amount isn't sufficient. But it would go a 17 18 long way toward making that possible, and 19 we certainly can talk about that in more detail. 20 So that's the first thing that I 21 would like to make sure remains. 22 Second, and a larger issue, is that 23 24 the sweeping and unprecedented so-called

1 cost-sharing proposal by the Governor to, 2 quote, require New York City to cover \$485 million of the -- that's about a 3 third, almost a third of the state 4 5 contribution to the senior colleges at CUNY, that that proposal must not stand. 6 7 And also that it can mask the real issue that I think should be before us, and the 8 real issue is that, under Governor Cuomo, 9 10 there has been a steady decrease in the state's contribution to CUNY. 11 12 So while many of us are talking 13 about this unique and troubling proposal for so-called cost sharing, which if it 14 15 were truly a cut -- I'll answer, I know the 16 chancellor earlier didn't answer -- maybe, you know, I will say more boldly, then, 17 18 that it would be absolutely devastating if

19 that were applied as a cut.

20 But I don't want us to focus so much 21 on the politics and the complexity of that 22 proposal that we miss something very, very 23 important, which is that under Governor 24 Cuomo the contribution to CUNY from state

1 funding has in fact decreased. And I'd 2 like to talk a little bit about that. 3 Our new analysis -- which again is given in more detail and with a graph in 4 5 the supporting material -- our new analysis shows that Governor Cuomo's budgets have 6 7 led to a 3 percent decline in state funding for CUNY's four-year colleges. That's 8 between the beginning of Governor Cuomo's 9 10 period in office until 2015-2016. 11 The Governor's press statements and 12 his budget books proclaim big aggregate 13 investment increases, they cite increases in nominal dollars, but once you factor in 14 15 rising enrollment and you factor in 16 inflation, you will see that the funds for CUNY for the four-year colleges have 17 18 actually been cut during the Governor's 19 term of office. 20 The truth is that after five years 21 of tuition increases, five years of SUNY 22 2020, Governor Cuomo's signature public higher education policy, the result is that 23

the state's investment in each CUNY senior

24

college student is, in real dollars, less
 than it was before the Governor took
 office.

And really that's the only way to 4 5 measure investment. You can't leave out the fact that enrollment has grown by 6 7 20 percent in some areas. You can't leave out inflation. If you just look at the 8 9 nominal dollars, you might see an increase. 10 But when we look at where the money counts, 11 which is how much is spent on each student, 12 how much does New York State believe in 13 investing in each student, you will see a 14 drop in investment.

Since the 2008 recession, which is a 15 16 benchmark for many of the things that the Legislature is rightly committed to 17 18 restoring, since the 2008 recession per-FTE state funding for CUNY's senior colleges 19 20 has decreased by a full 17 percent. So we 21 are down 3 percent during the Governor's 22 term, and still 17 percent behind where the state was at the recession, pre-recession. 23 24 And that again includes an adjustment for

1 inflation.

2	The state's economy and budget have
3	rebounded dramatically since the recession,
4	but CUNY has been largely ignored until
5	this year, when the news was not good. In
6	fact, an analysis by New York City
7	Comptroller Scott Stringer that he recently
8	delivered when he testified revealed that
9	if the state contributions to CUNY had
10	grown at the same rate as the state's
11	operating budget over the last seven years,
12	the system would have received an
13	additional \$637 million.
14	So as the budget grew in the state,
15	the proportion of the budget that was
16	dedicated to CUNY senior colleges has
17	actually shrunk. It has not kept up. It's
18	a fairly small proportion, but it has not
19	kept up.
20	So that's why our proposal today
21	will sound a little different, and here we
22	do support absolutely what the chancellor
23	said. The real issue is that there should
24	be more investment in CUNY. I know many of

1 us are preoccupied with how we going to get 2 out from under the proposal of cost-sharing 3 \$485 million and how we must, absolutely must hold on to the \$240 million initiative 4 5 by the Governor to settle these contracts. But I also want to call your 6 7 attention to the fact that what is really needed, if New York State wants to make a 8 serious investment in the students who 9 10 attend CUNY, is the beginning of a recovery 11 from that loss of money that has occurred 12 during Governor Cuomo's term of office. I was reminded, in thinking about 13 14 this, of Cornel West, the philosopher 15 Cornel West, who was asked a question in 16 response to another budgetary decision. He asked legislators, "Just what kind of 17 culture do you really want?" 18 19 And I would ask New York State, Just 20 what kind of university do you really want? If New York wants anything other than a 21 22 university that is constrained to offer students less than the education they 23 24 deserve, then it's time for a change in

1 budgetary policy, and I think we should look at who attends CUNY. 2 This has been mentioned earlier. 3 The students at CUNY are 75 -- the 4 5 undergraduates are 75 percent people of color: Latino, black, and Asian. More 6 7 than half of our students have incomes under \$30,000 a year for the family. Forty 8 percent are new immigrants. More than 9 10 40 percent work. This is a population that has been radically underserved by much of 11 12 the rest of the culture and society and 13 economy. 14 CUNY does something astonishing with 15 these students -- not for, but with these students -- and that's what's at risk 16 through the steady, steady drip, drip, drip 17 18 of underfunding. 19 So that's why our proposal to you is -- not only -- these two things. Right? 20 21 One is to hold on very tight to the fact 22 that the Governor acknowledged there needs to be money for retroactive pay if our 23 24 contracts are going to be settled. And two

is to look beyond the false, diversionary
 narrative about cost sharing to the city
 and look at what's really happening to
 state funding -- which is that it has
 diminished under Governor Cuomo.

That is ironic, to say the least, 6 7 because we have a surplus in the state and also because the Governor has made us his 8 hallmark, really, in trying to address 9 10 inequality. He has named that as something that's essential to him. He has named 11 12 progressive values as essential, and he has 13 named economic development as essential.

14 No institution does more for 15 economic development than the City 16 University of New York. Of course joined by SUNY, and I feel very strongly that SUNY 17 18 also plays a central role there, but I'm 19 focused just for a moment on the city. I 20 mean, higher education plays that central role. And for our Governor to stand for 21 22 progressive values and not see the importance of investing in CUNY, a central 23 24 historical progressive institution, I think

is shortsighted. And we're calling on you
 to make sure that does not stand in the
 final budget.

I want to say one thing about the 4 5 history that leads to this idea of cost sharing, just say a couple of things and 6 7 then quickly wrap up. It's pure revisionist history that it's time for the 8 city to take over a share of the cost for 9 10 the four-year colleges of CUNY. The four-year colleges of CUNY are funded on 11 12 exactly the same basis as the four-year colleges of SUNY in terms of state funding. 13 14 The community colleges of CUNY are funded 15 on the same basis as the community colleges 16 of SUNY.

Moreover, the idea that this shift 17 18 in responsibility took place just at the fiscal crisis is not correct. It was 19 20 really under Governor Rockefeller -- who is 21 such a supporter and expander of the State 22 University -- Governor Rockefeller, seeing the increase in enrollments after the 23 24 G.I. Bill, invested very strongly in both

1 the State and the City University and 2 invested in the City University so that the 3 state, by 1974, before the fiscal crisis move, the state was already covering 4 5 45 percent of the costs of the CUNY four-year colleges. 6 7 And in fact it's the norm elsewhere across the country for four-year colleges 8 to be the responsibility for funding by the 9 10 states, not the localities. So let me just say, in closing, that 11 12 yes, in answer to Senator Stavisky's question, Does the lack of a contract hurt 13 14 recruitment? Absolutely. I can tell you 15 right now about department chairs who say 16 they cannot recruit the faculty and staff they need because of the lack of a raise. 17 18 And we ask you to look at the other 19 proposals we've made throughout our 20 testimony. We join our colleagues in 21 calling for an increase of \$250 in 22 FTE funding for the community colleges. We strongly support a maintenance of effort 23 24 renewal, and we do not believe tuition

1 increases are the way to fund CUNY. That's 2 not a stable basis, it's strategically not 3 the right way to fund CUNY. So I'll just end by saying we urge 4 5 you to look at our testimony and to say that CUNY needs more state funding, not 6 less. CUNY's half-million students deserve 7 a strong, well-funded university. Anything 8 short of a renewal of investment represents 9 10 a political decision to make sure that our students fail. I know that's not the 11 12 decision you want to make, so I ask you to join us in finding an alternative course 13 14 and funding CUNY. 15 Thank you very much. 16 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. Deborah Glick. 17 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Well, let me 18 19 start with the UUP comments. 20 Do you have any idea of the 21 breakdown in SUNY regarding new faculty? 22 Is that something that you are dependent on the systems for or through the union? Do 23 24 you have a sense of the breakdown between

1 full-time in the classroom and full-time 2 researchers? VICE PRESIDENT DANGLER: It's 3 actually difficult for us to discern the 4 difference between in-the-classroom 5 faculty and researchers based on the 6 7 data that we get from SUNY. We 8 certainly can differentiate part-time/full-time, those on the tenure 9 10 track, those not on the tenure track. But 11 we don't know exactly what their 12 professional obligation is based on the 13 data that we get. 14 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: On the issue 15 of the edTPA, my friend Assemblymember 16 Lifton has been very vigorous on this particular issue. In the teaching programs 17 18 they have seen, apparently, reductions in the number of students that are 19 20 participating. 21 Do you think that that is in part because of what students are hearing about 22 the methodology that's being used for the 23 24 certification? Or are there other factors?

1 VICE PRESIDENT DANGLER: I think 2 it's really a perfect storm of a number 3 of things coming together. So as I indicated, I think the declines started 4 5 even before the new certification exams were instituted. And I think that had 6 7 more to do with what was going on in the K-12 world and kind of the more general 8 9 denigration and deprofessionalization of 10 teaching.

We hear from a lot of our students, we hear from a lot of our students, even those who are committed to entering and finishing teacher education programs, "My parents are telling me not to do this, my neighbors" -- I mean, so that's part of what we're fighting against.

But the other thing is that the new 17 18 certification package has just exacerbated that pretty significantly. And in addition 19 20 to declining enrollments, students going 21 into teacher education programs, the other 22 thing we're seeing is the brain drain. The students who are in those programs and will 23 24 finish those programs, a growing proportion

of them are not completing certification in
 New York State.

3 And so one indicator is registration for the edTPA. We were at a meeting last 4 5 week and one of our campus program directors said 50 percent of the students 6 7 who graduated from our teacher education 8 program last year did not register to complete the edTPA, meaning they have 9 10 decided, because probably they feel they cannot complete it for a variety of 11 12 reasons, they've just written off the possibility of getting certified in 13 14 New York State.

15 And on top of that, the recruitment 16 from out-of-state school districts has 17 really been increasing. We have some 18 figures in our report about that. 19 So the teacher certification debacle

in New York State is becoming widely known
across the country, and recruiters are
coming to recruit our students away. So
it's both declining enrollments but, among
those who are in our programs -- and again,

1 public and private programs -- a subset of 2 those students deciding, I can't afford to 3 try to get certified, or they're having problems with particular exams, whether 4 5 it's the edTPA or one of the other three, and they're not planning to stay in 6 7 New York State to teach. ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: If they want 8 to stay in New York and they don't want to 9 10 be certified, they could teach in private schools, correct? 11 12 VICE PRESIDENT DANGLER: Well, I don't -- they could, potentially, at 13 14 least in the short term. I think 15 certainly the requirements for 16 completion of the edTPA are much less rigorous. I'm not really sure how good a 17 18 possibility there is that they could stay 19 in teaching without getting certified in 20 New York State. But certainly that is an 21 initial pathway that some students are 22 likely to try. And we do have a significant number 23 24 of teachers who are not certified in some

1 of those schools.

2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Maybe I can
3	just ask you to sort of opine on the
4	general condition of the facilities that
5	are around the state. We have a rather
6	small amount of capital allocated in this
7	budget, and we've heard from the systems
8	that there are substantially more needs.
9	What are you hearing? And are there
10	areas, for example, if you're teaching in
11	one of the STEM disciplines, then maybe the
12	lab's facilities are antiquated and are not
13	up to if you're trying to do work on
14	your own with your students in engineering,
15	the types of equipment might not be so
16	can you
17	VICE PRESIDENT DANGLER: Yeah, we
18	are certainly hearing some of that. And
19	I think that there's a lot of variation
20	across the campuses. But I think one
21	pattern that needs to be explored is
22	this: That we have seen some
23	significant improvements in capital
24	for example, new science buildings to

1	address the declining infrastructure for
2	labs and those things. So that is
3	happening and has happened under
4	previous capital allocations. There are
5	still many that are not up to speed.
6	But I think what we're also hearing
7	is the less, you know, focused-on
8	disciplines and areas are likely to be
9	neglected. So you can sometimes have
10	outstanding facilities in some areas,
11	literally in some buildings, but then the
12	more basic arts and sciences, humanities,
13	those buildings are often less likely to
14	get to the top of the list. So I think
15	it's a mixed bag.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you very
17	much.
18	Professor Bowen, if you could talk a
19	little bit about the issue of recruiting
20	new professors and how the uncertainty
21	around having a solving the collective
22	bargaining agreement, what impact has that
23	had at the City University?
24	PRESIDENT BOWEN: A tremendous

1 impact, and maybe Mike Fabricant will also 2 say a word about it. But as you know, it's 3 a long process to recruit a full-time faculty member. It takes several months, 4 5 sometimes six months, because it's a national competition. 6 7 So people are looking -- they're in California or they're based in Ohio, 8 wherever else, and they're considering a 9 10 job. I myself have heard from department chairs that they will go to their top 11 12 candidate on the list, and the person will 13 say, I really want to teach at CUNY because 14 I believe in the mission -- and this is why 15 I came to CUNY. I know exactly why people 16 come to CUNY, because it's why I came to CUNY, you believe in the mission, you 17 18 believe in the great intellectually 19 explosive possibility of a really varied 20 student body with a tremendous range of 21 experience that they bring to the 22 classroom, and then you also are reaching students who otherwise wouldn't have the 23 24 chance for college.

1 That's why people want to come to 2 CUNY. Then they hear what the salaries are 3 and then they look at where they might 4 possibly live, and it's those two things 5 that make them say, I'm sorry, I'm going to 6 turn down the job.

7 We have heard from department chairs who will go through several of their top 8 candidates. They'll work very hard to 9 10 recruit people, they'll work very hard to recruit candidates of color in higher ed, 11 12 and those candidates will say -- I mean, 13 I've heard from somebody with tears in her 14 eyes say "I'm turning it down because I 15 can't honestly and responsibly bring my own 16 family into a city where I'm going to have to live literally two hours away in order 17 to afford anything." 18

19And the salary is \$40,000 below20Rutgers or University of Connecticut.21People are willing to make some sacrifice22to come to CUNY, but not to give up their23entire career prospects because there's so24little for research, and also to put their

1	own families in jeopardy. So we are
2	hearing it every day. Every day.
3	I don't know if, Mike, you wanted to
4	say something.
5	DR. FABRICANT: The only thing I
6	would add is that it takes a couple of
7	things. It takes a long, long time to
8	build a department. A very long time, for
9	a quality department. It takes a very,
10	very short time to destroy it.
11	And so some part of what we're also
12	beginning to see is the out-migration of
13	some of our best faculty who have other
14	choices in other parts of the country and
15	other parts of the region. I mean, it's
16	not an accident that when we did our own
17	little survey about five, six years ago
18	not every university, but most of the major
19	universities in the region were included
20	we were third from the bottom. That was
21	five years ago, before this basically
22	impasse regarding contract and
23	negotiations.
24	So we're clearly somewhere near or

1 at the bottom in terms of salaries of 2 faculty in the region. And that puts us in an essentially increasingly uncompetitive 3 4 situation with other folks. 5 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you. My time has expired. 6 7 PRESIDENT BOWEN: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 8 9 SENATOR KRUEGER: Senator Toby 10 Stavisky. 11 SENATOR STAVISKY: Ladies and 12 gentlemen, you've heard the testimony from the two chancellors. Is there anything you 13 14 wish to comment on or agree with or 15 disagree with? 16 VICE PRESIDENT DANGLER: Well, if I may --17 18 PRESIDENT BOWEN: Sure. VICE PRESIDENT DANGLER: --19 20 again, I think that the chancellor, the 21 SUNY chancellor's emphasis on all of the 22 new initiatives is very encouraging on the one hand, but I think that what we 23 24 are seeing is increasing concern that

1 the basic activities that our 2 institutions need to fulfill, their basic missions, are being put on the 3 back burner. 4 5 So we are concerned -- the projections for the increased number of 6 7 students in SUNY, when we hear that, that 8 immediately raises questions across our 9 campuses: How are we going to do that? 10 And certainly how are we going to do that in a high-quality way, particularly when we 11 12 know that our existing students need more 13 services, more attention, more mentoring, 14 more clinical experiences? So that's one 15 important situation. 16 I think the other thing I would also be concerned about has to do with the 17 tuition situation. And aside from what we 18 19 learned from our members and our -- I'm the 20 parent of a SUNY student, so I can tell you 21 that it isn't just the tuition increases. 22 What has also been happening are increases 23 in fees. And when you mention, for 24 example, the STEM fields, my son is in the

sciences. Books, supplies -- he can easily
 spend a \$100 to \$150 for one lab manual.

3 So you know, when we want to recruit students from a variety of backgrounds into 4 5 these kinds of fields, we have to recognize that in addition to the tuition, many of 6 7 the things that the universities can't fund 8 anymore is being funded by the students through increased fees. So I was concerned 9 10 about the lack of attention to that.

PRESIDENT BOWEN: Thank you, Senator 11 12 Stavisky. If I could add in, we certainly 13 agree with the chancellor of CUNY and his 14 statement that CUNY needs more funding. He 15 added that to his statement. I don't think 16 he put a specific number on that, but I think that was an important recognition. 17 18 Obviously we agree strongly that the 19 contract must be settled. He said that 20 that was a top priority for him. I did

21 not, however, hear him insist that the 22 \$240 million must remain in an enacted 23 budget. I hope that that is the position 24 that he has taken. And also that

1 \$240 million needs to be supplemented if we 2 are actually going to get to a contract 3 deal. So we feel that very strongly. And also I know that the legislators 4 5 asked him several times about the proposal to do "cost sharing," and certainly one 6 7 doesn't want to step in between discussions that are already ongoing with the city and 8 the state. I understand that. But let me 9 10 just be frank. What's in the budget is a 11 cut. It's a proposed cut. It appears in 12 parentheses on the page. And it's page 100 13 in the state budget document. 14 And so until that is filled in, that 15 is a cut, and that is a 30 percent cut in 16 the state's allocation to the four-year colleges at CUNY with the, I would say 17 18 respectfully, thin justification that this 19 would align the funding with the governance 20 structure. In fact, it would misalign CUNY 21 funding with SUNY funding, and it's based 22 on a fiction about what city and state shares of the funding for four-year 23 24 colleges should be.

1 In fact, the city does contribute to 2 the four-year colleges in a way that's 3 anomalous around the state. Most cities do not contribute anything to four-year 4 5 colleges. Because there are some associate's programs in our four-year 6 7 schools, the city contributes because the city is responsible for contributions on 8 the associate degrees. That's why that 9 10 small amount is there. So I would make -- I would have a 11 12 different emphasis, let me put it that way, 13 than the emphasis I heard from the 14 chancellor about the urgency of that 15 amount. But I would also say let's not be 16 so caught up in trying to think through a complicated political proposal about city 17 18 and state and lose sight of the fact that what we really should concentrate on is 19 20 that funding for CUNY has gone down, and 21 despite this Governor's presentation of 22 this state as interested in economic development and public higher education and 23 24 having a signature proposal like SUNY 2020,

1 the result has actually been defunding 2 CUNY. 3 And we just have to face, as a state, whether that's our political 4 5 decision, to defund the college that educates working-class people, people of 6 7 color, moderate-income people in the city, or do we want to fund that adequately. 8 And that's why I am very happy to 9 10 have you to work in partnership with. SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you. 11 12 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. 13 Assembly? 14 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 15 Further questions? 16 Good. Any further -- you? SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. Hi. 17 18 Thank you. This is not a question, just a closing comment. I thank you all 19 20 for testifying. I really appreciate 21 Barbara Bowen's testimony about the true 22 reality of the history of funding for CUNY and the difference between rhetoric and 23 24 reality, about whether we treat CUNY or

1 SUNY differently.

2	And I feel quite strongly that it is
3	not acceptable for the state to start down
4	the road of treating CUNY as the, I don't
5	know, the orphan child. Not to imply that
6	SUNY is getting too much, just the
7	opposite. But this is truly a disturbing
8	proposal this year in the budget.
9	I also appreciate all of you
10	highlighting that not investing in our
11	higher education programs is the equivalent
12	of not investing in our infrastructure.
13	And the correlation and your chancellors
14	made the points earlier that it's
15	economic development to invest in quality
16	education.
17	And then the commissioner of the
18	State Education Department was here going
19	into detail about the necessity of ensuring
20	we have an educated workforce. And so the
21	fact that we are spending an enormous
22	amount of money in programs we call
23	economic development we had a hearing
24	last week where I couldn't get any answers

1	on how much of a return we're getting on
2	those and yet there's plenty of research
3	on the return you get from investing in
4	higher education, particularly for
5	low-income students, and how much that
6	turns around the amount of earnings they
7	have and what they can invest back in their
8	communities. So I appreciate your all
9	bringing us back to that reality.
10	And because I'm the wife of a
11	pissed-off CUNY professor, I will not
12	comment on the fact that you don't have a
13	contract.
14	(Laughter.)
15	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
16	PRESIDENT BOWEN: Thank you. That's
17	a technical term.
18	VICE PRESIDENT DANGLER: Thank
19	you.
20	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
21	much.
22	PRESIDENT BOWEN: Thank you.
23	VICE PRESIDENT DANGLER: Thank
24	you, Chairman.

1 DR. FABRICANT: Thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Laura Anglin, 3 president, Commission on Independent 4 Colleges and Universities, CICU. MS. ANGLIN: Good afternoon. 5 SENATOR KRUEGER: Good afternoon. 6 7 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Good afternoon. MS. ANGLIN: Or evening. Almost 8 9 evening. 10 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Oh, it is 11 evening. 12 MS. ANGLIN: Oh, I guess it is 13 evening. 14 I want to thank all of you for a 15 very long day, and I appreciate the fact 16 that there's so many of you still here. I am Laura Anglin, the president of 17 18 the Commission on Independent Colleges and 19 Universities, and thank you for allowing me 20 to testify today on behalf of the 21 independent sector of higher education here 22 in New York State. 23 With me today is my colleague Terri 24 Standish-Kuon, who is my vice president for 1 public affairs.

2	You have my testimony before you,
3	and I do not plan to read it, it is quite
4	lengthy. I'd rather just highlight a few
5	key points and then take any comments or
6	questions that you might have.
7	But first of all, there really are
8	too few opportunities to publicly express
9	the appreciation so first and foremost,
10	on behalf of our students, I thank you for
11	everything you do and I thank you for
12	supporting over 270 colleges and
13	universities in the State of New York and
14	over 1.2 million students. Without the
15	work you have done, our students would not
16	be as strong as they are and our higher
17	education system would not be as strong.
18	The independent sector is deeply
19	grateful for the state's investment in the
20	TAP program, and I know that's been talked
21	about a lot today as well as other
22	opportunity programs such as HEOP, STEP,
23	CSTEP, and LPP. We are especially grateful
24	for the 15 percent funding increase for

each of our opportunity programs that we saw in last year's budget, and we're quite pleased that that increase was carried over into the base this year.

5 One of our proposals this year, to ensure that student aid can continue to 6 7 serve its purpose, would be to increase the TAP maximum to \$6,500. And I talked about 8 this last year. TAP was last increased in 9 10 2014 by \$165, but prior to that it was more 11 than a decade ago when that program was 12 increased.

13More than 5 million New Yorkers have14used TAP to meet their college expenses15since its creation more than 45 years ago,16and I'm proud to say that I am one of that175 million who was a TAP student as I went18through college.

19In addition to increasing the20maximum award, you want to ensure that21applying for TAP continues to be easy for22students and families, and I know that this23was talked about earlier today. But recent24actions by the federal government will now

1 allow New York families applying for TAP to 2 use prior-prior tax year returns. Using 3 two-year-prior tax information for the free application for federal student aid, or 4 5 FAFSA as we know it, as opposed to one prior year as we have right now, will 6 7 increase the form's accuracy and give families an earlier and better idea of 8 9 their anticipated financial aid and college 10 costs.

11 We are doing a little research now 12 as to what the rest of the states are doing 13 with regards to the prior-prior, but it 14 appears now that New York may be the only 15 state that actually requires a statutory 16 amendment to conform. And unfortunately we feel that if we do not conform, families 17 18 will be confused and some may not even 19 realize the difference between the two. So 20 we are working -- we have talked with the Governor's office about that and asked for 21 22 that to be a 30-day amendment. But we would like to see that definitely be part 23 24 of the final enacted budget.

1 And finally, with respect to tuition 2 assistance -- and I know this has been raised a couple of times today -- that I 3 4 would like to start the conversation of 5 reimagining graduate tuition assistance, or TAP. We know that it was eliminated in 6 7 2010 and 2011, and we also know that in the 8 next six years, jobs requiring a master's degree will grow by more than 18 percent, 9 10 and by 16 percent for doctoral professional degrees. Let us help our young 11 12 professionals or adults looking to change 13 career paths to prepare for occupations 14 such as accounting, teaching, physical 15 therapy, and many others. 16 Also, as we see the traditional student as being redefined, the independent 17 18 sector is adapting to provide greater 19 opportunities that meet the personal 20 realities for all those seeking a higher education. Whether it is adult learners 21 22 or veterans or part-time students, many of these students seeking out higher education 23 24 require some special help in programs such

as HEOP, CSTEP, STEP and LPP, which have
 all proven to effectively serve these
 changing student populations.

Therefore, we would love to see 4 5 New York actually double funding for these opportunities by 2020. And if we continue 6 7 with the 15 percent increase to the base that we saw last year, we believe we would 8 be able to do that. So that is something 9 that we would love to talk and work with 10 11 you on.

12 We also talked a little bit earlier about the uniform financial aid award 13 14 letter, so I would like to talk just a 15 minute about that because it's quite 16 timely, in that I received an email from one of my schools today and the email was 17 18 just to let us know that their software 19 provider has no plans on providing an 20 electronic solution to produce New York's financial aid award letters. 21

22 So my fear is that as we go through 23 this and its implementation, that many of 24 the schools -- or most of the schools -- do

1 use software providers to prepare these 2 financial aid award letters, and I'm not sure that we're going to have the resources 3 4 to be able to do that. 5 So that's something that we'd like to continue to work with the Governor's 6 7 office, DFS, HESC, as well as you on, to figure out if there's perhaps some changes 8 that we can make to the statute that was 9 10 adopted last year, so that we can implement it and provide good and important 11 12 information to our students or our families. 13 14 With regards to the STEM Incentive 15 scholarship program, once again we would 16 ask to be included within that program. Our state is projected to have nearly a 17 half-million STEM jobs by 2018, and our 18 19 sector does produce about 56 percent of the bachelor's degrees in the STEM fields and 20 21 72 percent in the graduate degrees. 22 Therefore, I do urge the state to expand that program to include our students 23 24 in the independent sector. Let us tap into

the built-in strength of all our sectors of higher education so that talented students can take their scholarship dollars to any college or university where they might want to attend so that we can continue to make sure that we're a leader in these fields.

7 In addition, another scholarship 8 program that I'd just like to mention is 9 our Master's in Teaching Education Program. 10 In addition to STEM fields, we are leaders 11 in conferring Master of Education degrees. 12 In New York State, about 67 percent of the 13 degrees are conferred by independent-sector 14 schools.

And I think the state has been 15 talked about earlier -- according to the 16 Bureau of Labor Statistics, employment of 17 18 kindergarten and elementary school teachers 19 is expected to grow by 6 percent by 2024. 20 So we feel like there will be a shortage in 21 this area to have teachers, so we should 22 all work together to make sure that the decline we're seeing in the number of 23 24 adults seeking higher education and

1 teaching degrees is reversed.

2 And I'd also like to talk just 3 briefly about another proposal that was 4 adopted last year, and this was the 5 graduate-level teacher education regulations and requirements that were 6 7 adopted last year. And part of this proposal was to try to attract highly 8 competent teachers into our schools and 9 10 into the workforce.

11 Therefore, last year new admission 12 requirements were crafted and adopted for teacher education, specifically a new 13 14 requirement held that institutions with 15 graduate-level teacher education and school 16 leadership programs must adopt rigorous standards which included a GPA of 3.0 or 17 18 higher in the candidate's undergraduate 19 program and a minimum score on the GRE or 20 equivalent test.

21 As we were walking through and going 22 through the implementation and talking with 23 our members about the implementation, we 24 were receiving a lot of feedback from

1 faculty and admissions experts. We would 2 like to talk to you about making some 3 changes to these requirements in order to ensure that our future teacher workforce 4 5 represents the diversity that makes up our classrooms and that those individuals, 6 7 especially those changing careers who might 8 not have had an undergraduate degree for many years, that we don't require them to 9 10 now go back and take the GRE. We have a lot of adult learners that I think --11 12 veterans and other people -- that would be 13 wonderful teachers, and we should encourage 14 those to attend our programs. 15 And finally, let me just talk to --16 a lot was talked about in previous 17 testimony about the impact of higher 18 education on our economy. New York is very 19 fortunate to have a very strong sector of 20 higher education and a very wonderful 21 asset. Our sector alone contributes more 22 than \$74 billion annually to the state's economy, and we generate over 394,000 jobs 23 24 annually, with taxes paid of about

1 \$1.9 billion.

2	There are some wonderful programs
3	that are included within the budget that we
4	would like to continue to see included in
5	the final enacted budget, including our
6	HECap, our matching-grant program. We'd
7	love to see the Faculty Development Program
8	and the Technology Transfer Incentive
9	Program, which last received funding two
10	years ago, to see some funding again.
11	We're supportive of another round of
12	REDC funding, and we've been working to try
13	to enhance participation in the START-UP NY
14	program. We also have wonderful colleges
15	and universities that participate in the
16	Centers of Excellence, in our CATs, in our
17	Hot Spots and Incubators, and of course the
18	Stem Cell Innovation Fund has been a
19	program for many, many years of funding.
20	And finally, the last program that
21	you'll see as one of our priorities is
22	called the Community Solutions Matching
23	Grant Program. Colleges and universities
24	have long been great community partners,

1and we propose a new matching grant program2whereby the state can further leverage the3terrific work that higher education is4doing to improve the lives of all5New Yorkers. So we'll have some more6details of that program as we put out our7priorities.

8 In closing, I would like to thank 9 the Legislature for its support of students 10 and key programs that allow them to have 11 access to higher education. Let us build 12 on the momentum that we've seen last year 13 and over the last few years as we continue 14 to invest in our students.

15 I look forward to working with you 16 this year, and I am happy to take any questions or hear any comments. Thank you. 17 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 18 19 Deborah Glick. 20 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you. 21 Do you have any idea -- you have a 22 lot of member colleges and universities. 23 MS. ANGLIN: I do. 24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Do they have a

1 rough idea of how many teaching students 2 they produce each year? MS. ANGLIN: We have 74 -- about 3 4 70 -- a little over 70 schools, and I can 5 get you the exact number of how many degrees are conferred. 6 7 Terri, do you know that number? MS. STANDISH-KUON: It's about --8 well, 67 percent of the master's degrees, 9 10 about 43 percent overall. But Assemblymember, we will get you the 11 12 details. 13 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Yeah, yeah, 14 that's -- I didn't expect you to have the -- I would have been amazed. 15 16 But in that same vein, the issue that you raise about the threshold that was 17 18 inserted in last year's negotiations that 19 created a little bit of a, in my view, a 20 very arbitrary and perhaps inappropriate 21 line. 22 I've heard from other people that there are folks whose ability to 23 24 communicate -- you have some people who

1 could have a 3.5, very intellectual, very 2 cerebral, and have no ability to actually 3 connect and communicate. So this is a broader discussion that we're having around 4 5 how do you determine who are the best teachers, who are the best candidates, who 6 7 do you suggest might want to shift gears. I think that's an ongoing 8 discussion, and I'm wondering whether any 9 10 of your schools are participating in 11 thinking about figuring it out sooner than 12 at the end of the track, whether there are 13 things they're instituting to determine the 14 suitability of somebody to be a teacher. 15 MS. ANGLIN: I think there's many 16 different -- as you said, there's many different ways that you could look at that, 17 18 and that a test score is one way. But I 19 think looking at their performance as an 20 undergraduate, working with them as they go 21 through -- these are things that even if --22 perhaps if someone enters a program and is not strong in what you're suggesting, 23 24 mentoring and other things can be helpful.

1	And I think that's one of the
2	concerns about these standards that are
3	set, because a lot of students who could
4	wind up being a wonderful teacher and could
5	make a difference in someone's world may
6	actually be shut out of these programs
7	because they don't meet these requirements.
8	And I know that there is a
9	15 percent exception in last year's
10	legislation, so perhaps if we can start
11	even by looking at increasing that, or
12	phasing that in, that may be one option.
13	And I know that the concern is okay,
14	it's the GRE or an equivalent test, we
15	don't really know what that means. So a
16	lot of folks don't like the GRE because
17	they think that it is too narrow, and some
18	of the students that are taking it and some
19	of the results have been that it's probably
20	not the best indicator. So I think there's
21	concern about, well, then what is the right
22	indicator? But we'd love to continue that
23	conversation.
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: There's been

1 some discussion about alternative pathways 2 for reaching a master's, or ways in which 3 you can determine if somebody should be 4 eligible for teaching. (Coughing.) Excuse 5 me, the cold resurges. MS. ANGLIN: Yeah, I understand 6 7 completely. ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: But there are 8 people who are not certified as teachers in 9 10 the State of New York who teach at a college level and yet are barred from 11 12 teaching high school English, but could be 13 teaching. 14 MS. ANGLIN: Sure. ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: And has there 15 been any discussion about -- because most 16 of it is about not having the existing 17 programs be the pathway, but some other 18 19 entity somewhere just being able to, you 20 know, certify. And I'm wondering what your 21 thoughts are about that. MS. ANGLIN: You know, I'd like to 22 come back to you on that. We do have 23 24 schools that have some creative alternative

1 programs, and I would love to reach out to 2 them and get their thoughts on really the 3 strength of what they see works in these 4 programs, but really also about -- maybe 5 there's other changes that we can make to address what you're talking about. So I'd 6 7 like to go back to them and come back and talk to you about some options. 8

9 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: You've made a
10 recommendation for increasing TAP to \$6500.
11 Do you know what that would cost in the
12 budget?

MS. ANGLIN: You know, we asked HESC for an estimate a couple of years ago, and back then it was \$180 million. So it may be a little more than that. We have not requested -- we obviously don't have the capability of doing the estimate, so we can request that from HESC.

20 Where that is a lot of money, we 21 understand. But remember last time when 22 the maximum was increased, it was done over 23 a multiyear period, it wasn't done in one 24 year. So we would love to continue to see

1 progress and something that we can work 2 over a multiyear period. 3 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you. 4 MS. ANGLIN: Thank you. 5 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. Senator? 6 7 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you very much, and thank you for your comments and 8 9 thank you for the fine work that you do. 10 I did want to follow up regarding 11 the issue that we had spoken about 12 regarding the implementation of the standardized financial aid award letter. 13 14 You mentioned that there may be some fixes 15 that you would suggest, and I would look 16 for your input regarding that issue to see if there's something that we can do 17 legislatively to help address the 18 19 situation. That would be most helpful. MS. ANGLIN: Sure. And I'd love to 20 21 follow up more formally, but one thing --22 and we have been talking with DFS and HESC and the Governor's office on this. One 23 24 thing perhaps we might look to do is just

1 to require schools to use the federal

2	shopping sheet, which is available on line,
3	I think. One hundred thirty schools in
4	New York currently use it.
5	We know that these providers, these
6	software providers, have that and are
7	willing to work with us to provide that.
8	And that and we can get you an example
9	of that shopping sheet, but literally I
10	think that would provide a lot of
11	information to students and families and it
12	would perhaps be less confusing too.
13	So right now, they make out the
14	federal shopping sheet, they make out a
15	standardized sheet that a college or
16	university does because they want to
17	provide more information than the federal
18	shopping sheet and now they may also now
19	get a third sheet, which is the state
20	shopping sheet, I'll call it, which is
21	basically the first page is the federal
22	shopping sheet and then some additional
23	information.
24	So families now are going to get a

1 lot of information, so the question being, 2 really, is there a way to consolidate this 3 so that families can get the information but not be overwhelmed with information. 4 5 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: And they are right now. I can vouch for that --6 7 MS. ANGLIN: They are. Absolutely. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: -- personally 8 because of the calls that we receive in my 9 10 district office. It's really amazing, the numbers, because people are confused, they 11 12 call us for help. And we're always happy to help, but it would be nice if we could 13 14 simplify and streamline the information to 15 help be more user-friendly and meet their 16 needs. So thank you for that. I look 17 18 forward to working with you. 19 MS. ANGLIN: Thank you. Absolutely. 20 Appreciate it. 21 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay. Senator 22 Krueger -- no, wait, we have the Assembly. More Assemblymembers, I'm sure. Anyone 23 24 else? No?

1 Okay. So Senator Stavisky also had 2 a question. SENATOR STAVISKY: We've discussed 3 this before. How do you see the HECap 4 5 program as progressing? 6 MS. ANGLIN: As the HECap program --7 SENATOR STAVISKY: How is it 8 progressing? 9 MS. ANGLIN: Well, we just had a 10 HECap board meeting -- and Assemblymember Glick, you can testify to that -- last 11 12 week. So we're pleased, and I think an 13 announcement went out today -- we're 14 pleased that the first round of awards were 15 released. 16 We have asked the Dormitory Authority -- and I was actually going to 17 18 talk to the board members too, the HECap 19 board -- that perhaps if they could provide 20 some feedback to the schools as to, as they 21 looked at the applications, what was the 22 strengths, what helped, what perhaps made one application -- I don't want to say 23 24 better than the other, but applications had

1 to receive a stronger -- maybe that's a 2 better word -- they had to receive a score of 70 out of 100 to receive funding. And I 3 think 29 of the proposals achieved that, 4 and there were over 30 that did not. 5 So I think the schools would love to 6 7 get some feedback. But we're pleased and 8 we'd like to work now to perhaps do a webinar or do something with the schools 9 10 and then have our next round of funding. So once I get that feedback, I might be 11 12 able to provide you with some better 13 insights. 14 But we're extremely pleased at the 15 thoughtfulness that went into the process, 16 and that announcement was made last week. SENATOR STAVISKY: And none of the 17 18 institutions are going to have a problem 19 with the matching grant? You know, the 20 match requirement? 21 MS. ANGLIN: You know, Senator, 22 the --SENATOR STAVISKY: We've discussed 23 24 this before.

MS. ANGLIN: We did. And the one 1 2 thing that -- that's why I need some feedback. 3 The one thing that we tried to make 4 5 sure as we went through this new competitive process -- because, if you 6 7 remember, the last time it was kind of as of right, based on a formula -- is that we 8 9 wanted to make sure that the funding was 10 available to any size institution. So we kind of did tranches or 11 12 buckets so that you could apply competitively for, let's say, a \$150,000 13 14 grant within that, or you could apply for a 15 \$2.5 million grant. So the schools could 16 look at the project that best fit what they could financially afford to match --17 18 because it is, as you said, a three-to-one 19 match -- but really so that they weren't 20 competing, a small nursing school was not 21 competing with a large research university, 22 which probably would have been very difficult. 23 24 So you'll see, if you look at the

1	awards, you'll see awards from roughly
2	\$140,000 up to \$2.5. So it was nice to see
3	a mix of schools in different sizes and
4	geographical locations to be able to
5	benefit from the program.
6	SENATOR STAVISKY: That's why I
7	asked. That was the as you know, we've
8	discussed
9	MS. ANGLIN: We have.
10	SENATOR STAVISKY: perhaps basing
11	the match requirement upon their endowment
12	or some other method.
13	But thank you.
14	MS. ANGLIN: Thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
18	much.
19	SENATOR KRUEGER: No, one more,
20	then.
21	CHAIRMAN FARRELL:
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Krueger
23	had a question.
24	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.

1 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Rushing, rushing. SENATOR KRUEGER: I know. It'll be 2 3 a short question. 4 So it's been a few years, I think, 5 since I asked this question. Of the TAP 6 students that go to your members' colleges, 7 what are they leaving college with as far 8 as student debt? 9 MS. ANGLIN: You have a -- we 10 brought the average debt numbers, so --11 MS. STANDISH-KUON: But I do not 12 have it, Senator, by TAP recipients. 13 SENATOR KRUEGER: Do you have it by all --14 15 MS. STANDISH-KUON: So I have 16 average --MS. ANGLIN: Average -- statewide 17 18 average. SENATOR KRUEGER: Correct. What's 19 20 the statewide average? 21 MS. STANDISH-KUON: So New York 22 State's independent-sector average, 23 \$29,146. 24 SENATOR KRUEGER: Okay, thanks. So

1 just -- that's not significantly higher 2 than the public colleges. MS. STANDISH-KUON: It is not 3 4 significantly different. SENATOR KRUEGER: Okay. So if at 5 6 some other time, if you could just -- if 7 you can get me the number for TAP students. 8 MS. ANGLIN: Let's see if we have 9 access to that information. 10 SENATOR KRUEGER: No problem. 11 MS. ANGLIN: And we'd love to 12 provide that to you. 13 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you very 14 much. 15 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 16 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you, everybody. 17 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: NYPIRG. Tiffany 18 19 Brown, higher education coordinator. 20 And we'll do the move-down. 21 Michael Molina, president, next. If you come down and sit lower, you can get 22 23 there quicker. Okay? Dr. Jason Brown 24 after that, and Virginia Donohue.

1	MS. BROWN: Good evening. My
2	name is Tiffany Brown, and I am the
3	higher education coordinator for the
4	New York Public Interest Research Group,
5	NYPIRG.
6	Due to scheduling conflicts, I am
7	testifying on behalf of our board chair and
8	submitting his written testimony.
9	As you know, NYPIRG is a statewide,
10	not-for-profit, nonpartisan research and
11	advocacy organization. Its board of
12	directors are college and university
13	students. NYPIRG works on a wide range of
14	issues, including affordability of higher
15	education. I have submitted a copy of our
16	testimony, but given the lateness of the
17	hour I would like to summarize our views on
18	the Executive Budget.
19	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you, thank
20	you, thank you.
21	MS. BROWN: No problem.
22	Five years ago, Governor Cuomo and
23	the State Legislature approved an annual
24	tuition hike for public colleges and

1 universities. At that time, the argument 2 had two central rationales to support the 3 plan. First, it was argued that college students and their families wanted 4 5 predictability in tuition costs so that annual increases could ensure them that 6 7 hikes would never exceed a predictable annual amount. 8 In our opinion, that argument was 9 10 weak. After all, what could be more predictable than having no increases at 11 12 all? 13 The second and more compelling 14 argument was that the state was facing 15 massive deficits and that it had no money 16 to bolster public colleges. The students would just have to pay more. 17 18 Fast-forward five years, the state 19 has jacked up the cost of attending public 20 colleges by a whopping 30 percent. At the 21 same time, the state has frozen its support 22 for State and City University systems. In short, the students are paying a lot more 23 24 and the state is not.

1 Yet the Governor has once again 2 proposed hiking tuition. He offers no new 3 state support and wants students to pay a lot more. The argument is the same, the 4 5 far less compelling argument that students want predictability of annual tuition 6 7 hikes, which is simply not true. In fact, 8 it is the opposite that is true. Students want predictability all right, but they 9 10 want the predictability of no tuition. They want the predictability of a state 11 12 flush with cash adding revenues to enhance higher education. 13 14 The second argument used five years 15 ago is that the state is facing deficits. 16 It's simply not true any more. In fact, according to the State Comptroller, the 17 18 state has a \$1 billion surplus this year. 19 While \$650 million of that surplus is 20 coming from state settlements, \$350 million 21 is coming from enhanced tax revenues.

22 Given that the state is flush with 23 money, why should public higher education 24 cost more? Recognizing this last year, the

1 Legislature, with overwhelming bipartisan 2 support, approved the bill making the state 3 increase its support for higher education. Not only was there near unanimous support 4 5 in the Legislature, but there was support from public universities, the faculties, 6 7 and the students. Yet the Governor vetoed 8 that legislation.

9 We urge you to replace the 10 Governor's proposed tuition hikes with an 11 enhanced maintenance of effort plan.

12 What's more, the Executive Budget 13 seems to cut state funding to CUNY with the 14 expectation that the city will fill the 15 gap. The state already misses the 16 obligations to fund higher education, and 17 they are headed in the wrong direction.

18The Governor took a positive step19towards fixing an outdated financial aid20program by including \$27 million for the21DREAM Act. We urge its support. However,22this year's Executive Budget plan does not23include broad-based increases in state24financial aid programs, leaving either the

1 student or the college short.

2	TAP should cover more of the costs
3	of tuition for those who qualify and should
4	be flexible enough to meet the needs of all
5	types of New Yorkers, not just the
6	traditional straight-from-high-school-to-
7	college full-time student that it was
8	initially designed to serve.
9	Unfortunately, the Executive Budget does
10	not propose these changes to TAP.
11	NYPIRG urges you to freeze tuition
12	rates and institute last year's maintenance
13	of effort legislation in the place of
14	tuition hikes. In addition, we urge you to
15	include the DREAM Act and increase the TAP
16	awards so that the state covers the cost of
17	public tuition for eligible students
18	instead of SUNY and CUNY.
19	Lastly, we urge you to support SUNY
20	and CUNY's budget requests to increase
21	state-based aid to community colleges by
22	\$250 per FTE and strengthen support to
23	opportunity programs that work.
24	Thank you.

1 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Ouestions? 2 Thank you very much. ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you. 3 4 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. 5 MS. BROWN: Thank you. 6 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you for 7 your patience. CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Michael Molina, 8 9 president, Association of Program 10 Administrators of CSTEP and STEP, or APACS. 11 After him will be Jason Brown, then 12 Virginia Donohue. Then Wanda Williams. 13 Good evening. 14 MR. MOLINA: Good evening, everyone. 15 And I shall be brief --16 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Hurray. MR. MOLINA: -- and try to get this 17 18 done before my five minutes are out. 19 First, I want to thank you all for 20 still being here at 6:40 p.m. I will make 21 my comments brief in the interests of time. 22 First, I just want to say thank you very much to all of you for the 15 percent 23 24 increase that you gave our programs last

year. It was the first increase we had had
 in over 11 years, and it was extremely
 helpful in helping our programs to grow and
 develop.

5 Today I ask for your continued 6 support in the form of two specific 7 requests. One is for a 30 percent increase 8 in funding so that we can continue to grow 9 and develop the current STEP and CSTEP 10 programs.

11 So funding right now for STEP and 12 CSTEP is \$23 million, rounded, and we're asking for the programs to be funded at a 13 14 level of at least \$29 million. And mind 15 you, I'm asking for an increase in funding 16 for the current programs, as opposed to the 15 programs or so that were mentioned 17 earlier that were not funded. 18

19But that is our second request. We20would like to see the STEP and CSTEP21programs that were not funded in the last22funding cycle -- because of what our23association believes to be a flawed24proposal process -- to be funded.

1 So we had about 14 programs that 2 were highly effective, highly efficient 3 programs that were denied funding -- mind 4 you, they had successful proposal 5 submittals, but were denied funding because of what we considered to be a flawed 6 7 proposal process. So I know that earlier 8 today that was the request that was made, for funding to restore those programs, but 9 10 that will be done, you know, at the cost of level-funding the current programs, which 11 12 we think is counterproductive. We think 13 that for programs to continue to grow and 14 develop, you don't level-fund them, you 15 give them a modest increase. And that's 16 what we're asking for. So last but not least, mention was 17 given just by the previous speaker about 18 19 the plausibility of doubling the 20 Opportunity Programs, all of the 21 Opportunity Programs in the State of 22 New York, and I just want to say that we support that request. We think it's a good 23 24 idea.

1 The size of the Opportunity Programs 2 has been very consistent. It's been about 3 the same level across the board for a long, long time, and it is time to increase the 4 5 enrollments of all of these programs so that we can provide opportunities to the 6 7 young men and women of New York State who want to get ahead, who want to make a 8 career and a life for themselves in the 9 10 State of New York. And then finally, I would like to 11 12 ask again, as I do every year, that we 13 receive your support in the form of some 14 kind of a legislative mandate for our 15 programs to receive their funding in a 16 timely manner. Every year for the last several years this group has managed to get 17 18 its budget done by April. As of this 19 December, about four of my colleagues had 20 received their actual funding from the State of New York. And there were close to 21 22 a hundred programs. 23 So there are many programs that

still have not received direct funding from

24

1	the state, and we ask for your support and
2	assistance in helping this to occur in a
3	much more timely manner.
4	Thank you very much. That's my
5	testimony, and I'll take any questions if I
6	can.
7	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: And you'll stand
8	by it.
9	MR. MOLINA: I'll stand by it, sir.
10	Yes, sir.
11	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Questions?
12	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
13	MR. MOLINA: Thank you very much.
14	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
15	much.
16	Jason Brown, New York State
17	Chiropractors Association.
18	My neck is hurting me.
19	Next will be Virginia Donohue, and
20	Thomas Mastro after that.
21	DR. BROWN: Chair
22	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Good evening.
23	DR. BROWN: Chairpersons and
24	committee members, I thank you for the

1 opportunity to testify today. I appreciate 2 your presence in this marathon hearing --CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Oh, excuse me. 3 DR. BROWN: Sorry, sir? 4 5 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: This is paper 6 here. 7 DR. BROWN: Yes. CHAIRMAN FARRELL: You're doing the 8 first three pages, right? 9 10 Dr. BROWN: I promise, despite the lengthy written testimony, I'll try to keep 11 12 the verbal portion very brief. 13 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 14 DR. BROWN: My name is Dr. Jason 15 Brown. I'm the vice president of the 16 New York State Chiropractic Association. I'm here today to ask for your inclusion in 17 18 the one-house budget proposal that was 19 included in the Senate one-house budget 20 last year, S215A from Senator Jack Martins 21 and A4391 by Assemblyman Daniel O'Donnell. 22 In short, what this legislation allows is a partnership to be formed 23 24 between a chiropractor and a medical

doctor. Again, you have extensive written
 testimony in front of you, so I'll do my
 best to keep our comments brief and to the
 main points.

5 The historic challenge here stems from the corporate practice of medicine 6 7 doctoring. That's what prohibited partnerships in the past from doctors of 8 chiropractic and medical doctors. As we 9 10 look at the current healthcare environment, 11 it doesn't seem to have the same place 12 today that it did at one point. What we 13 see is vertical integration within hospital 14 systems, we see businesspeople, 15 administrators, et cetera, creating 16 policies and enacting procedures that dictate the way medical care is driven. 17 18 As we look at this trend, it's 19 difficult to see how a business partnership between two doctor-level healthcare 20 21 partners does anything different than that. The other broad trend in healthcare 22 is for integration and collaboration. As 23 24 healthcare has become more and more

1

specialized with more and more

2 specialities, the need to communicate,
3 coordinate, and collaborate in care has
4 become essential. Not only does this
5 integration allow better quality care, it
6 allows streamlining, reduction in
7 redundancy, and eventually saves costs.

8 While New York was on the leading edge of implementing the Affordable Care 9 10 Act and creating the healthcare exchange 11 and modernizing healthcare in New York, 12 what we're asking you to do with this legislation is to make sure the rest of our 13 14 policies match, to allow us to participate 15 in and keep pace with these other efforts. 16 The contemporary healthcare models of patient-centered medical homes and 17 18 accountable care organizations -- and what these are are simply pay-for-performance 19

20 models. What we're finally trying to do in 21 healthcare is reward quality of care rather 22 than quantity. It's a community-based 23 model that pays providers and pays 24 businesses for getting people well and

1	keeping them well, rather than just paying
2	them for quantity of service delivered.
3	As chiropractors have forever been
4	providing cost-effective quality care,
5	we're very well-positioned to be part of
6	this modern healthcare system, with the
7	exception that some of our state laws
8	currently prevent us from being equitable
9	partners in these arrangements. We're
10	looking for the ability to do so.
11	Obviously when we try and change
12	historic policy like the Corporate Practice
13	of Medicine Act, there are some concerns
14	raised. I want to assure you that the
15	legislation and the written testimony
16	includes plenty of safeguards the first
17	being that these arrangements and
18	partnerships are 100 percent voluntary, the
19	second being that there is zero impact on
20	scope of practice. The ethical standards,
21	the patient safety standards, the standards
22	of practice that are currently upheld by
23	our respective state boards will obviously
24	carry forward.

1 These arrangements are already being 2 done in other states: To name a few, 3 Connecticut, Pennsylvania, Florida, California, Missouri, Tennessee, Kentucky, 4 5 Minnesota, Arizona, North Carolina, Virginia, and Alabama. This model works, 6 7 it's working in other places, we just need to find a way to bring it here so our 8 healthcare system can become modern as 9 10 well. Within New York, the Medicare Design 11 12 Team has a regulatory impact subcommittee who we believe will be coming out with a 13 14 recommendation to allow these types of 15 partnerships so they can serve their broader mission. So for these reasons and 16 the extensive reasons outlined in our 17 written testimony, on behalf of the 18 New York State Chiropractic Association and 19 20 the chiropractic profession, and the 21 patients of New York, I strongly urge you to consider inclusion of S215A and A4391 in 22 your one-house budgets. 23 24 Thank you.

1 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very 2 much. Any questions? Yes, Mr. Lupinacci. 3 4 ASSEMBLYMAN LUPINACCI: Good 5 evening. 6 I just had one question. What is 7 the largest argument against the formation? How do you guys overcome it when you speak 8 9 with groups? Because obviously this bill's 10 been around at least 15, 20 years, correct, 11 for this formation? 12 DR. BROWN: Sure. ASSEMBLYMAN LUPINACCI: If you could 13 14 just explain the largest argument against 15 it and, you know, what you usually do to 16 defend it, to make sure that there would be a smooth transition if this was 17 18 implemented. 19 DR. BROWN: Yeah, thank you. 20 The largest argument to date 21 actually has been one of scope of practice, which we don't feel has a whole lot of 22 merit. As you review the legislation, 23 24 you'll see that in response to this concern

1 we went back and added language to the 2 legislation several years ago to ensure 3 that a doctor of chiropractic operates 4 within their scope of practice and a 5 medical doctor operates within their scope 6 of practice, and nothing allows them to 7 leave that. Short of that, there really haven't 8 been any substantive arguments against it. 9 10 So I hope we can find a way to move this 11 forward. 12 ASSEMBLYMAN LUPINACCI: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 13 14 DR. BROWN: Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Virginia Donohue, 16 executive director, One Point for College. On Point for College. 17 18 (Laughter.) 19 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: "One" -- I put an E in there. 20 21 SENATOR KRUEGER: You only get one 22 point. 23 (Laughter.) 24 MS. DONOHUE: Thank you.

1 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: You're right. 2 MS. DONOHUE: Thank you very much 3 for the opportunity to speak here. And I 4 will summarize what it is we've come to ask 5 of you. I'm Ginny Donohue. I'm the founder 6 7 of On Point for College. I started out as a volunteer helping young people from a 8 homeless shelter to get into college for 9 10 eight years. Now, in 1999 it stole my heart and I left my corporate job and 11 12 started On Point for College. It's been 16 years. We've now 13 14 placed 5,800 people in over 200 colleges 15 and universities. Our biggest bump in size 16 came in 2012, when HESC gave us funding. It was College Access Challenge Grants, 17 federal funding, that moved through HESC. 18 19 And because we were a single-source 20 provider and we became a HESC partner, we 21 were able to double in size in Syracuse, 22 open an operation in Utica, and partner in New York City with Goddard Riverside 23 24 Community Center in Manhattan and

New Settlement Apartments in the Bronx, to
 get them both funding and guidance on how
 to do a retention program.

I just want to explain how we're 4 5 different. There's a lot of college access programs in the state. We work with people 6 7 17 to 29. We receive a lot of referrals from the high schools, but we don't work in 8 the high schools, we only work in 9 10 community centers. We work in 27 community 11 centers, Boys and Girls Clubs, settlement 12 houses, homeless shelters, refugee centers, 13 libraries, municipal housing.

14 Sixty percent of the students that 15 we meet are not in high school. Most of 16 them are high school graduates or they got an education somewhere else, so they don't 17 18 have a guidance counselor available to them 19 anywhere else. Thirty percent of them have 20 no parent in their life and so they're very, very vulnerable. 21

22 But despite all of these obstacles 23 that they're facing, 70 percent of them --24 and most of them are community college

students -- return for their sophomore
year, which is clearly 10 percent above the
average community college student, despite
all the obstacles that they're facing.
J just want to tell you a couple

things we do that most programs don't. We 6 7 have an orientation that teaches them the ropes before they go to college, because 8 most of them are the first one in their 9 10 family to go. We give them some college supplies. We provide them transportation 11 12 in New York State all the way through 13 college, either with a bus ticket or 14 180 mentors, including ex-Mayor Tom Young, 15 who drove 190,000 miles last year to take 16 inner city kids to and from college.

At the beginning of every semester 17 18 we go to 78 different colleges and we sit 19 across from them in the cafeteria, we make 20 sure they've gotten their books and their 21 food, and we introduce them to the Campus 22 Angel, a volunteer that helps them on campus. We've done root canals, winter 23 24 coats, eyeglasses, and we had so many

1 students that were homeless that I went to 2 my alma mater of Le Moyne College that 3 allows them for the last 15 years -- not just kids from Le Moyne, and even kids from 4 5 New York City -- to live there for free for the summer if they have nowhere else to 6 7 live. We do job placement, we help people 8

9 with certificate programs, and Police Chief 10 Frank Fowler said that there is no 11 organization in the City of Syracuse that 12 has decreased the violence like On Point 13 for College.

14 I want you to know that we've been 15 good stewards of the money that was given to us through HESC. We brought more than a 16 million dollars from national foundations. 17 18 We were instrumental in teaming up with 19 three community colleges and SUNY Oswego to 20 bring a \$2.8 million First in the World 21 Grant. We're the only community-based 22 organization in the country that got funding for that. 23

24 Since we got the funding from HESC,

1 we placed over 3,000 students in -- we 2 enrolled them in college, which brought \$13 million of tuition to SUNY and CUNY --3 remember, most of these students weren't in 4 5 high school, so they couldn't have gotten there any other way -- and \$17 million 6 7 worth of Pell. Over their lifetime, if they get an 8 associate's degree, it will bring in 9 \$1.2 billion of taxable income, or with a 10 bachelor's, \$1.6 billion. 11 12 The funding for this grant ends in August of '16, and we are asking for your 13 14 support to help us to replace this 15 \$1.2 million, part of which is for Utica -for Syracuse, Utica, and for New York 16 City -- so that we can continue on to do 17 this fine work. 18 19 We also know that we do know how to 20 replicate -- we're reaching people that no 21 one else is reaching, and we are open, 22 given additional funding, to be able to share this with other locations. 23 24 Thank you.

1	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
2	Questions? Yes.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN STIRPE: Hi, Ginny.
4	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Mr. Stirpe.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN STIRPE: I know that in
6	addition to the places that you work right
7	now, you've been called by people all over
8	the country to come and teach them what it
9	is you do, taking dislocated students and
10	addressing that population.
11	Can you talk a little bit about
12	where you've gone and who you've worked
13	with?
13 14	with? MS. DONOHUE: Yes, thank you.
14	MS. DONOHUE: Yes, thank you.
14 15	MS. DONOHUE: Yes, thank you. The Department of Ed has an affinity
14 15 16	MS. DONOHUE: Yes, thank you. The Department of Ed has an affinity group run by Greg Darnieder, and I was
14 15 16 17	MS. DONOHUE: Yes, thank you. The Department of Ed has an affinity group run by Greg Darnieder, and I was asked to do the presentation with one other
14 15 16 17 18	MS. DONOHUE: Yes, thank you. The Department of Ed has an affinity group run by Greg Darnieder, and I was asked to do the presentation with one other person for disconnected youth for the
14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. DONOHUE: Yes, thank you. The Department of Ed has an affinity group run by Greg Darnieder, and I was asked to do the presentation with one other person for disconnected youth for the country, to talk about how to help
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. DONOHUE: Yes, thank you. The Department of Ed has an affinity group run by Greg Darnieder, and I was asked to do the presentation with one other person for disconnected youth for the country, to talk about how to help refugees, court-involved youth, homeless
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. DONOHUE: Yes, thank you. The Department of Ed has an affinity group run by Greg Darnieder, and I was asked to do the presentation with one other person for disconnected youth for the country, to talk about how to help refugees, court-involved youth, homeless youth to be able to not only get into

1 for 14 states through the Southern Regional Education Board -- right now I'm helping to 2 3 develop materials for guidance counselors 4 in 14 states on how to work with 5 disconnected or opportunity youth. And there was an article in the Chronicle of 6 7 Higher Ed this year, and it talked about -the only CBO it talked about in the article 8 was On Point for College, as setting the 9 10 standard for trying to help homeless students be able to make it through 11 12 college. 13 ASSEMBLYMAN STIRPE: Wow. Amazing. 14 One of the other things I know you 15 do is that once someone has gotten in 16 college, you're getting them through and then there's a next step, is finding a job. 17 18 Can you talk a little bit about what you do 19 in that regard? 20 MS. DONOHUE: I'm going to ask Sam 21 Rowser, the deputy executive director, to 22 answer that. MR. ROWSER: Good evening. 23 The

program that you speak about, the On Point

24

1 for Jobs program that we have at On Point, 2 was developed in the last about seven 3 years. And what we've done is we look at our students that have graduated from 4 5 college and looked at how can we help them find employment. 6 7 Being first-generation college students, they haven't in the past had 8 access or anyone in the family that was 9 10 connected and knew how to do resume writing, knew how to do interview skills. 11 12 So we work with them to help them develop 13 their resume writing, their interview 14 skills. We have over 250 companies -- I 15 16 think you guys have a list in your packet -- of companies that we've been 17 18 working with, and we were able to place 19 over 300 students in summer jobs and 20 internships in Syracuse alone. 21 So using our development board for 22 On Point for Jobs, using the companies in the community, we've been able to 23 24 successfully help these students transition

1 not just into college, not just through 2 college, but to employment and finding 3 permanent employment, internships, and 4 summer jobs. 5 ASSEMBLYMAN STIRPE: As you expanded from upstate to New York City, did you find 6 7 any differences? Are there additional challenges, or is it really the same? 8 MS. DONOHUE: Well, Sam took 9 10 New York City and I was in Utica most of the time, so I'll let him take that answer. 11 12 MR. ROWSER: You know, the transportation is different in New York 13 14 City, and that was one of the things 15 that -- you know, one of the services that 16 we provide for students in Syracuse is we have about 160 volunteers that help us 17 18 transport students to and from college. 19 Because we found that some of our students 20 would come home for Thanksgiving and they 21 had no ride to get back to school, so we had volunteers that would drive them. 22 So when we went to New York City, we 23 24 thought we'd have somebody drive, but no

1 one had a license. 2 (Laughter.) 3 MR. ROWSER: So we decided that we'd better get train tickets and bus tickets, 4 5 and we used that. So that was one of the big 6 7 differences that we found in helping the students. But the population and the need 8 9 was the same. 10 And we found, you know, good friends

in Goddard Riverside and in New Settlement 11 12 Apartments that had the same heart, that 13 had the same drive and were willing to help 14 the students in the same way. And it's 15 been a tremendous working relationship, 16 where part of what we do is we visit our students while they're on campus to develop 17 18 that relationship. And for our partners in New York City, they visit all of our 19 20 students from upstate, and for when their 21 students come upstate, we visit all of 22 their students upstate. And so that way, you know, it saves on us having to drive to 23 24 New York City and them having to drive up.

1 If I have a student in New York City 2 that needs a book, I can call our friends 3 in New York City and they'll take care of it. If they have a student at Ithaca 4 5 College, they can call us and we'll help them out. The partnership is working 6 7 really, really well. MS. DONOHUE: And Utica's different, 8 9 in that 61 percent are refugees in the City 10 of Utica, and 90 percent are commuters. Whereas in Syracuse, only 40 percent --11 12 about 50 -- it's about 50/50 between commuters and resident students. But the 13 14 refugees particularly do not want to leave, 15 so we have -- you know, it's always a 16 little bit tougher, I think. We find the students have a 17 18 20 percent increased chance of staying in 19 college if we can get them out of town, 20 usually. Because of the transportation 21 issues, because the families are so 22 vulnerable, that if they're not in town, they're not constantly being asked to stay 23 24 away from school to take care of family

1 issues for families that are very

2 vulnerable.

3 ASSEMBLYMAN STIRPE: Well, I just want to congratulate you on the success you 4 5 have had at this point. And I really 6 support all the Opportunity Programs, but I 7 have never found one that was quite as comprehensive, as complete as what you do. 8 9 And it just proves that students can 10 succeed, no matter what their background or anything else is, as long as they have the 11 12 right supports behind them. 13 Thank you. 14 MS. DONOHUE: Thank you so much. 15 SENATOR KRUEGER: Just one quick 16 question. Thank you, very detailed analysis in 17 18 here. Separating out the cost of tuition 19 for going to school, what is your estimated 20 cost for serving a student from your 21 program? 22 MS. DONOHUE: It tends to be about \$1,250 from the first day we meet them in a 23 24 community center until we get them to the

2 for each year after that. 3 SENATOR KRUEGER: And how many of your students get beyond Year 1 with you? 4 5 MS. DONOHUE: What I can tell you is we've put 5,800 in the pipeline. Most of 6 7 them are still in there; 1100 have come out the other end and are graduates already. 8 There's about 30 percent that 9 10 stop-out. But because we have the jobs 11 program, what we find is when they stop-out 12 it's not usually about the academics, it's

end of their freshman year. And about \$350

1

because of life -- on life's terms.
Somebody in the family loses a job or gets
sick or has a baby.

16 But because we have the jobs portion, we're able to get them into like 17 18 certificate programs for like 19 manufacturing, which is all about 20 computers, where you can make \$16 an hour. 21 Or we can get them into a CNA program where 22 within a year -- the nursing home has teachers on campus for them to get their 23 24 LPN. Because we continue on with them, we

1	can find other ways for them to get a
2	family-supporting job.
3	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you very
4	much for your work.
5	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
6	MS. DONOHUE: Thank you so much.
7	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Questions? Any
8	further?
9	Thank you very much.
10	MS. DONOHUE: Thank you very much.
11	We appreciate it.
12	MR. ROWSER: Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Wanda Williams,
14	DC 37, director of legislation.
15	MS. WILLIAMS: Good evening.
16	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Good evening.
17	MS. WILLIAMS: My name is Wanda
18	Williams. I am testifying on behalf of my
19	executive director, who unfortunately, with
20	the time, had to head back to the city
21	Henry Garrido, executive director of DC 37.
22	You have our testimony, and so I
23	won't spend very much time elaborating on
24	its contents but wanted to highlight a

1 couple of things.

2	First and foremost, I wanted to
3	thank the chairmen and the members of the
4	committee for your stamina, most of all,
5	being here at this late hour.
6	Appreciate I've been here all day with
7	you, and most of you have been here for
8	that time, so I want to say thank you.
9	I also want to say that as it
10	relates to the Governor's proposal to shift
11	the cost of the state's share of funding
12	for the CUNY system, we are opposed to
13	that. We believe that the state should
14	keep its commitment. We also think that
15	there should be a delinkage as it relates
16	to tying the collective bargaining
17	agreement to that.
18	You heard CUNY's fiscal person talk
19	about 300 being the number to settle those
20	contracts. You heard from PSC, their 240
21	is last year's number for them. Our number
22	as DC 37 is 149, so we think it's over
23	that, given their lag of one year, and we
24	think that that should be segregated out

and monies appropriated not conditional on
 receiving those dollars.

3 Also -- that was mentioned earlier today by some -- the Fight for 15 exclusion 4 5 for CUNY employees. We represent 122,000 members, 10,000 of which are in CUNY, 7,000 6 7 of whom don't make \$15 an hour. And so it is important for our members -- who are 8 college assistants, office assistants, 9 10 custodial aides, accountants, architects 11 and engineers, asbestos handlers, data 12 processing personnel, and supervisors and 13 engineers -- it's important for them, many 14 of whom are single females, heads of 15 household. You heard \$30,000 on average 16 for a family. Even as union households, some of these people are working part-time 17 and make less than that in terms of their 18 median income, 17 to 23. 19

20 So we think it's important that they 21 be included. It included SUNY, and I think 22 you mentioned, Senator, being a stepchild. 23 We feel the same, and we think that we 24 should all be treated the same. And we ask if SUNY is getting the 15, we should get
 the same.

3 Maintenance of effort, the bill that was vetoed last year, the Governor 4 5 mentioned that he wanted to deal with that issue in the budget. We advocate that, and 6 7 we think that the time is now. And we ask each the Senate and the Assembly to put 8 that in their one-house bill. It would be 9 10 nice if we could get in a 21-day amendment, 11 but we leave that to you. And we're 12 hopeful that our commitment together will 13 get us where we need to be.

Lastly, I just wanted to mention the five-year tuition increase that ends this year. We think that that should be it for a while. And we don't think that we should extend it, as the Governor has said he wanted to do. We don't think that that should happen.

21 And so with that, I'll end my 22 testimony. If there's any questions, I'm 23 willing to take them. And thank you very 24 much.

1 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 2 Questions? 3 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you for the 4 testimony. 5 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you, as 6 always. 7 MS. WILLIAMS: No problem. Thank all of you. Appreciate it. 8 9 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: SUNY Student 10 Assembly: Thomas Mastro, president; 11 Melissa Kathan, vice president; Nicholas 12 Simons, director, legislative affairs; Marc Cohen, chief of staff. 13 14 All five of you in three minutes? 15 MR. MASTRO: Good evening. My name 16 is Tom Mastro, and I'm a senior at Binghamton University studying human 17 18 development and education. I have the 19 distinct pleasure of serving as the 20 president of the Student Assembly and as 21 student trustee for the SUNY Board. 22 Along with my colleagues today, we will talk about five key issues which have 23 24 a significant impact on students across our

1 system: The absolute need for a fair, 2 equitable, and predictable tuition plan; an 3 increase in base aid for community colleges; more attention paid to disability 4 5 accommodations; an increase in funding for childcare centers; and the reinstatement of 6 7 tuition assistance for graduate students. 8 As you heard this morning from 9 Chancellor Zimpher and myself, a renewal of 10 NYSUNY 2020 is absolutely vital. We need to ensure that our students can plan ahead 11 12 with their families. We need to ensure 13 that their tuition dollars are staying on 14 their respective campuses. We need to 15 ensure that tuition is not consistently 16 raised to the maximum threshold. And we need to ensure that students are consulted 17 on tuition decisions. 18 19 Perhaps most significantly, we need 20 to ensure that the state is paying its fair 21 share, such that the burden of higher

22 education does not fall solely on the

23 shoulders of students.

24 Since the enactment of NYSUNY 2020,

1 SUNY campuses have been able to hire 2 919 new faculty members and approve over 3 350 new academic programs. It is because of this incredible advancements that the 4 5 students voted 59-4-1 in favor of renewing NYSUNY 2020. 6 7 I yield now to Nicholas Simons, our director of legislative affairs, to discuss 8 the importance of increased funding for our 9 10 childcare centers throughout the state. MR. SIMONS: Thank you, President 11 12 Mastro. 13 Good evening, everyone. My name is Nicholas Simons, and I am a junior at the 14 15 University at Albany and I study American 16 politics and Spanish. 17 I would like to begin by saying that 18 on-campus childcare and the funding of such 19 services could be the most important issue 20 to a single parent who's attending one of 21 our SUNY campuses. 22 One of the most significant issues facing our nontraditional students is the 23 24 inadequacies surrounding that childcare

1 funding. And these SUNY childcare centers 2 provide not only an important resource for our students, faculty, staff, and members 3 of the community, they also give our 4 5 students applied-learning experiences. One quarter of undergraduates in the 6 7 SUNY system are raising children, and of those, 43 percent are single mothers and 8 11 percent are single fathers. This 9 10 growing demographic of parents exemplifies the diversity in our system. And with the 11 12 Governor cutting community college 13 childcare again this year, to the tune of 14 \$1.1 million, the Legislature needs to not 15 only reinstate these funds but transcend 16 them as well. With 28 centers at community 17 18 colleges and 22 centers at state-operated 19 campuses, SUNY has done the work to

20 accommodate these nontraditional students. 21 And we ask that the Legislature follow suit 22 and show these parents that the issue is 23 important to the state as well. These 24 students who take time to go back to school

deserve a well-funded and well-staffed
 location to leave their children while they
 work to better themselves and provide for
 their family.

5 The state would be doing a great disservice to our colleagues if they did 6 7 not seriously examine the restoration and the reinvigoration of these underfunded 8 institutions. I myself attended daycare at 9 10 Broome Community College in my infancy, and my sister attended daycare at Binghamton 11 12 University. Our parents, both SUNY 13 students and members of the community, utilized these institutions' services. 14

As I stated, this could be the make-or-break issue for some parents who are debating whether or not to go back to school. And with that, the Legislature should recognize the importance of this issue to our students.

21 And I'll yield to our vice
22 president, Melissa Kathan from the
23 University of Buffalo.

24 MS. KATHAN: Thank you, Nicholas.

1 Good evening.

As stated, my name is Melissa
Kathan, and I am studying political science
and management at the University of
Buffalo.
Newly accepted to SUNY Buffalo Law
School, I would have appreciated the
opportunity to apply for tuition assistance
as a graduate student. Unfortunately, as
Assemblywoman Malliotakis pointed out this
morning, the program has been inactive
since 2010.
Graduate students are often
overlooked, but we are making as strong an
effort as possible to ensure that their
voices are heard. Our nearly 41,000
graduate students should have the same
access to aid that any student pursuing his
or her education has, whether they be
undergraduate or graduate.
During the life of graduate TAP,
7,000 SUNY students took advantage of this
program. Restoring the Tuition Assistance
Program for graduate students will

1 incentivize more students to pursue a 2 graduate degree and mitigate the burdens of 3 debt. Speaking of underrepresented student 4 5 populations, we recognize the gravity of disability accommodations. A student's 6 7 opportunity to obtain an education must not be impeded by a campus's physical or 8 structural insufficiencies. There are 9 10 currently 23,000 students with disabilities enrolled across the SUNY system --11 12 6.2 percent of community college students, 13 and 4.4 of state-operated students -- and 14 these numbers are increasing annually. 15 Our students with physical 16 disabilities, invisible disabilities, and learning disabilities should have the 17 18 resources they need on campus to ensure 19 their success. Burdandi, a Fredonia 20 student and advocate for students with 21 disabilities, is able to seamlessly pursue her education at Fredonia because the 22 school has accommodated her needs regarding 23 24 accessible dorms, accessible classrooms,

1 and snow removal, among others. 2 This should be the norm on all 3 campuses, and we hope that the Legislature 4 will partner with SUNY in bringing 5 additional resources to bear in support of this movement. 6 7 I now yield to the Student Assembly's Chief of Staff Marc Cohen, from 8 9 the University at Albany. 10 MR. COHEN: Thank you, Vice 11 President Kathan, and good evening. My 12 name is Marc Cohen, and I am a senior in the BA/MPA program at UAlbany's Rockefeller 13 14 College studying higher education policy 15 and policy analytics. 16 An issue which both we and SUNY administration have discussed here in the 17 past is that of community college base aid. 18 19 Be it a nontraditional student looking to 20 continue their education or a new high 21 school graduate looking to ease into the 22 transition to college, community colleges provide endless opportunities for our 23 24 students from all walks of life.

1 It is also important to realize the 2 significant economic impact community 3 colleges have on surrounding counties. A 4 recent NYCCAP study shows that community 5 colleges contribute nearly \$15 billion a year to their communities. For all the 6 7 good that our community colleges do, all of the ways the students contribute back to 8 their communities, they are grossly 9 10 underfunded. 11 The most updated information has 12 only one of our 30 community colleges being 13 funded at 33 percent. While the law holds 14 that the state contributes up to 15 40 percent, the average contribution is far 16 less. The proposal in the Executive Budget of a flat Base Operating Aid per FTE of 17 \$2,597 would mean a year-to-year decrease 18 19 in direct state tax support of nearly 20 \$21 million. 21 Attending community colleges is 22 becoming increasingly difficult for our students. The past budgets that have 23 24 allocated \$75 or \$100 in raises have still

1 left schools with deficit funding. This 2 applies to most of the community colleges in our system. The days of students paying 3 4 upwards of 40 percent cannot continue. 5 Restoring sufficient funding to all campuses is not something we expect to be 6 7 achieve in just one session. However, this year needs to be the beginning of a new 8 trend toward less of a burden on our 9 10 students. 11 Thank you. 12 MR. MASTRO: We thank you for your time and attention this evening. 13 14 At this time we would look forward 15 to answering your questions about these 16 issues and others affecting the students of SUNY. 17 18 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 19 Questions? CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Just a comment. 20 21 I actually started out -- my first 22 elected position was that I served in the Student Assembly at SUNY Fredonia. So 23 24 it's really great to see the students

1 here today.

2	And I think the fact that you ran
3	for student government says a lot about
4	you as individuals, about future
5	leaders. You were very well-prepared
6	today, you were very articulate, very
7	well-spoken and thorough. And so we
8	appreciate that you took the time.
9	And I would just say to you, keep
10	up the great work and I know that you'll
11	be successful when you begin your
12	careers. We're very, very proud of you.
13	MR. MASTRO: Thank you.
14	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
16	Now, to close, CUNY Student Senate,
17	the vice chair of legislative affairs
18	I'm not even trying to pronounce his name.
19	I can't do it.
20	Well, are we there? I think we have
21	it. By George, I think it's over. Did we
22	get their paper?
23	UNIDENTIFIED STAFF: No, that's it.
24	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: We never got

1	their paper?
2	Thank you very much. We will
3	adjourn until tomorrow at 9:30, to finish
4	it all.
5	(Whereupon, the budget hearing
6	concluded at 7:11 p.m.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	