1 BEFORE THE NEW YORK STATE SENATE FINANCE AND ASSEMBLY WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEES 2 _____ JOINT LEGISLATIVE HEARING 3 In the Matter of the 2018-2019 EXECUTIVE BUDGET 4 ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS/ GENERAL GOVERNMENT 5 _____ 6 Hearing Room B Legislative Office Building 7 Albany, New York February 5, 2018 8 10:10 a.m. 9 10 PRESIDING: 11 Senator Catharine M. Young Chair, Senate Finance Committee 12 Assemblywoman Helene E. Weinstein Chair, Assembly Ways & Means Committee 13 14 PRESENT: 15 Senator Liz Krueger Senate Finance Committee (RM) 16 Assemblyman Robert Oaks 17 Assembly Ways & Means Committee (RM) 18 Senator Diane J. Savino Vice Chair, Senate Finance Committee 19 Assemblyman Michael Benedetto 20 Chair, Assembly Cities Committee 21 Assemblyman B. Magnarelli Chair, Assembly Committee on Local Governments 22 Senator Simcha Felder 23 Chair, Senate Committee on Cities 24 Senator Kathleen A. Marchione Chair, Senate Committee on Local Government

1	2018-2019 Executive Budget Local Government Officials/	
2	General Government 2-5-18	
3		
4	PRESENT: (Continued)	
5	Assemblyman Michael J. Cusick	
6	Senator Roxanne J. Persaud	
7	Assemblyman David Weprin	
8	Assemblywoman Catherine T. Nolan	
9	Assemblyman Jeffrion L. Aubry	
10	Senator Gustavo Rivera	
11	Assemblywoman Nicole Malliotakis	
12	Assemblywoman Rebecca Seawright	
13	Assemblyman Robert Carroll	
14	Assemblyman Walter T. Mosley	
15	Assemblywoman Nily Rozic	
16	Senator Brad Hoylman	
17	Assemblyman Erik M. Dilan	
18	Assemblyman David Buchwald	
19	Senator Brian Benjamin	
20	Assemblyman Luis Sepulveda	
21	Assemblyman Félix Ortiz	
22	Senator Kemp Hannon	
23	Assemblyman Sean M. Ryan	
24	Senator James Tedisco	

1		Executive Budget ernment Officials/
2		Government
3	2 0 10	
4	PRESENT:	(Continued)
5		Senator Andrea Stewart-Cousins
6		Assemblyman Christopher S. Friend
7		Assemblyman John T. McDonald, III
8		Assemblyman Ron Castorina, Jr.
9		Assemblywoman Shelley Mayer
10		Senator Thomas D. Croci
11		Assemblywoman Patricia Fahy
12		Assemblyman Steven Otis
13		Senator Elaine Phillips
14		Assemblywoman Monica P. Wallace
15		Assemblyman Edward C. Braunstein
16		Assemblyman James Skoufis
17		Senator Brian Kavanagh
18		Assemblyman Dan Stec
19		Assemblyman Michael Blake
20		Senator John E. Brooks
21		Assemblywoman Crystal D. Peoples-Sto
22		Assemblywoman Carrie Woerner
23		Senator Fred Akshar
24		

- okes

1 2018-2019 Executive Budget Local Government Officials/ 2 General Government 2-5-18 3 4 LIST OF SPEAKERS 5 STATEMENT QUESTIONS 6 Honorable Bill de Blasio Mayor 7 City of New York 9 28 8 Scott M. Stringer Comptroller 9 City of New York 209 221 10 Honorable Kathy M. Sheehan Mayor 11 City of Albany 256 271 12 Honorable Lovely A. Warren Mayor 13 City of Rochester 300 306 14 Honorable Mike Spano Mayor 15 City of Yonkers 317 331 16 Honorable Ben Walsh Mayor 17 City of Syracuse 352 360 18 Honorable Corey Johnson Speaker 371 388 19 New York City Council 20 Stephen J. Acquario Executive Director 21 NYS Association of Counties 429 442 22 Barbara Van Epps Deputy Director 23 NYS Conference of Mayors and Municipal Officials 450 464 24

1 2018-2019 Executive Budget Local Government Officials/ 2 General Government 2-5-18 3 4 LIST OF SPEAKERS, Cont. 5 STATEMENT QUESTIONS 6 Gerry Geist Executive Director 7 Supervisor Dennis M. Powers Town of Elma, Erie County 8 Association of Towns of 466 474 the State of New York 9 George Latimer 10 County Executive Westchester County 485 491 11 Blair Horner 12 Executive Director New York Public Interest 503 13 Research Group (NYPIRG) 509 14 Beth Finkel State Director 15 David McNally Director, Government Affairs 16 AARP New York 512 518 17 Katelyn Wright President 18 New York Land Bank Association 525 531 19 Elena Sassower Director 20 Center for Judicial Accountability 534 21 22 23 24

1 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Good morning, 2 everyone. I'm Helene Weinstein, chair of the 3 New York State Assembly's Ways and Means 4 Committee and cochair of today's hearing. 5 Today we begin the eighth in a series of hearings conducted by the joint fiscal 6 7 committees of the Legislature regarding the Governor's proposed budget for fiscal year 8 2018-2019. 9 10 The hearings are conducted pursuant to 11 the New York State Constitution and the 12 Legislative Law. Today the Assembly Ways and Means Committee and the Senate Finance 13 14 Committee will hear testimony concerning the Governor's budget proposal for local 15 16 governments. 17 I will now introduce the members from the Assembly, and Senator Young in a few 18 moments will introduce the Senate Finance 19 20 Committee members. And our ranker, 21 Assemblyman Bob Oaks, on Ways and Means will introduce the members from his conference. 22 So let me just -- I'm not sure if 23 24 anybody snuck in. But we have, from the

1	Assembly, our Cities chair, Assemblyman Mike
2	Benedetto; our Local Governments chair,
3	Assemblyman Magnarelli. We have
4	Assemblywoman Rozic, Assemblyman Cusick,
5	Assemblyman Carroll, Assemblywoman Nolan,
6	Assemblywoman Seawright, Assemblyman Mosley,
7	Assemblyman Sepulveda, Assemblyman Ortiz,
8	Assemblyman Buchwald and Assemblyman Ryan.
9	Mr. Oaks?
10	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Yes, we also have
11	Assemblywoman Malliotakis and Assemblyman
12	Castorina.
13	SENATOR KRUEGER: Hi. I'm Senator Liz
14	Krueger, on behalf of Cathy Young, who's
15	running a little late.
16	We have with us today the chair of the
17	Local Government Committee, Kathy Marchione;
18	the chair of the Cities Committee, Simcha
19	Felder; Brian Benjamin, Roxanne Persaud,
20	Gustavo Rivera, Kemp Hannon, Elaine Phillips,
21	Diane Savino, and Brad Hoylman.
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Before
23	introducing our first witness, I'd like to
24	remind all of the witnesses testifying today

1 to keep your statement within your allotted 2 time limit so that everyone can be afforded 3 the opportunity to speak. And particularly 4 for the witnesses whose testimony has been 5 submitted in writing, you'll be made a part of the record. And those that emailed it, 6 7 likewise. So there's no reason to read your testimony verbatim. In fact, we'd prefer a 8 9 summary as we move forward.

10 And just a reminder to both members 11 and witnesses to keep your eye on the clock 12 so that everyone has an opportunity to ask 13 questions.

14 We did send a notice around to the 15 Assembly members noting that the mayor needed 16 to leave at 1 p.m., so let's try and get as 17 close to that goal as possible.

Senator Young, Senator Krueger already introduced the members. But do you have some opening remarks?

21 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Good morning.
22 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Good morning.
23 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: The roads were a
24 bit dicey coming from the western regions of

1 the state, so I apologize for being a couple 2 of minutes late. 3 But I do want to welcome you, Mayor. 4 So glad to have you here this morning, bright 5 and early, and very much look forward to your 6 testimony. 7 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So Mayor de 8 9 Blasio, the floor is yours. 10 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you, Chair 11 Weinstein and Chair Young, so much. I want 12 to thank also the ranking minority members 13 Senator Krueger and Assemblyman Oaks, and I 14 want to thank the leaders of this 15 Legislature, Assembly Speaker Heastie, 16 Majority Leader Flanagan, Senate Democratic 17 Conference Leader Stewart-Cousins, IDC Leader 18 Klein, and Assemblymember Minority Leader 19 Kolb. My thanks to all the members here as 20 well today. 21 And I'm joined by two key members of my team, our new director of the Office of 22 Management and Budget, Melanie Hartzog, and 23

our new Director for State Legislative

24

1

Affairs Simonia Brown.

2 I want to begin by thanking the Legislature on behalf of all 8.5 million 3 4 New Yorkers. Over the past four years, 5 New Yorkers have seen change happen quickly, and that is thanks in large measure to our 6 7 work with this Legislature. Together we have 8 given 70,000 children a strong Head Start in life through pre-K for all, together we've 9 10 helped people throughout the five boroughs to 11 stay in the neighborhoods they helped to 12 build, and together we've saved lives and 13 prevented tragedies by expanding Vision Zero. 14 Together we have certainly made New York City 15 safer, stronger and fairer. 16 Now I want to turn to the city's 17 fiscal year 2019 preliminary budget, which I presented last week, and it included some 18 19 important but modest new investments. And 20 these were undertaken with one singular goal 21 in mind, to make New York City the fairest 22 big city in America. These investments include \$200 million 23 24 for heating upgrades to the 20 New York City

Housing Authority developments most in need,
 \$12 million for the rollout of body-worn
 cameras to all NYPD officers on patrol -- one
 year ahead of schedule -- and \$7 million for
 additional staff to implement new tenant
 harassment prevention laws enacted in
 partnership with the City Council.

8 As always, our budget is balanced and 9 based on careful management of our resources, 10 which is particularly important given what we are seeing in Washington. Without further 11 12 action from Washington, federal policies could have a minimum of a \$700 million 13 14 negative impact on our fiscal '19 New York 15 City budget. This includes the cuts to DSH, 16 or Disproportionate Share Hospital payments, 17 that would cost our Health & Hospitals 18 Corporation \$400 million annually; a 19 corporate tax rate cut in the new tax law 20 that will make the Low-Income Housing Tax 21 Credit less valuable -- that will add about 22 \$200 million a year in capital dollars to our bottom line, making affordable housing 23 24 production more expensive. And the new tax

law eliminates a form of bond refinancing we
 use to save money. The estimated cost will
 be \$100 million a year.

4 There are also negative consequences 5 for our residents' bottom line. The new tax law of course caps the state and local tax 6 7 deduction and eliminates the personal exemption. This means hundreds of thousands 8 9 of New Yorkers, most earning less than 10 \$100,000, will pay more taxes. And I want to 11 commend the Governor for trying to find ways 12 to blunt the effects of the new tax law, and 13 I look forward to working with him and all of 14 you on solutions.

15 In addition to the tax law, we are 16 also anticipating a new budget proposal from 17 the Trump administration in the coming weeks, 18 and that will likely be as painful as the 19 last one.

20 Now I want to turn to the Governor's 21 Executive Budget. Last year I made the point 22 that New York succeeds when New York City 23 succeeds, and vice versa -- and that is even 24 more important now. There are key items in

the Executive Budget that are positive for
 our state and our city.

3 I've been speaking for years about the 4 urgent need for election reform. All 5 New Yorkers should be glad that early voting 6 and same-day registration are in the 7 Executive Budget, and I want to applaud the Assembly Democratic Conference's past work on 8 9 this issue. Exercising the fundamental right 10 to vote is just too difficult in our state, and 2 million New Yorkers, 1 million of them 11 12 in the city, aren't even registered. I urge 13 the Legislature to include early voting and 14 same-day registration in the enacted budget, 15 and please also include other critical reforms: No-excuse absentee ballots, 16 17 electronic poll books, and preregistration for 16- and 17-year-olds. 18 19 It's also important that the DREAM Act

is in the Executive Budget, given what's
happening in our country. And New York State
can lead by example by helping all of our
students. And I urge you to include the
DREAM Act in the enacted budget.

1 On criminal justice, we are pleased 2 that the Governor included bail reform and 3 speedy trials in his Executive Budget. This 4 will help reduce the population in city jails 5 and accelerate our efforts to close Rikers 6 Island.

7 For the first time in 85 years, we have made it the city's policy to close 8 9 Rikers Island for good, but to do so we need 10 to reduce the number of people incarcerated. 11 The city has taken prudent steps to reduce 12 our jail population by 21 percent already in 13 the last four years, bringing the number of incarcerated people to under 9,000. We have 14 15 achieved this by driving crime to historic 16 lows, reducing arrests for low-level 17 infractions, and making a \$20 million annual 18 investment in supervised release and 19 case-delay mitigations. And we are now able 20 to close the first of the nine jails on 21 Rikers Island; that will happen in June.

I also support ending money bail, and I think we should go further, letting judges factor risk to public safety into bail

decisions for all cases, while providing them
 the tools to minimize racial biases.

3 I would urge you to pass another 4 helpful legislative change that's not in the 5 Executive Budget. This would allow city prisoners serving less than a year to earn 6 7 reduced sentences for good behavior like they can in state jails. In addition, I would 8 urge the state to move state-supervised 9 10 parolees in city jails who violate parole into available space in state correctional 11 12 facilities.

13The changes I have just outlined would14help reduce our jail population by another151800 people per day, bringing us closer to16our stated goal of 5,000.

17Another key element in the closure18plan is the construction of necessary19borough-based jails. Design-build authority20for the construction of local correctional21facilities would help speed the construction22timeline.23We're also improving the culture

24 inside of the jails. Our corrections

1 officers are receiving new professional 2 development and support, and all inmates in 3 our jails now receive five hours a day of 4 therapeutic vocational and educational 5 programming. We've reduced the number of people in punitive segregation by 80 percent, 6 7 and ended it for women and those under 21 years old. 8 And this spring, through our Jails to 9 10 Jobs program, everyone who leaves jail following a city sentence will be offered a 11 12 transitional job. Now I'd like to talk about another 13

14 critical step in closing Rikers Island which 15 is removing 16- and 17-year-olds. And I want 16 to thank you all for your historic vote to 17 enact Raise the Age. But I'm concerned that the fiscal '19 Executive Budget makes this an 18 19 unfunded mandate by providing zero guaranteed funding. This will cost the city at least 20 21 \$200 million per year.

22 The city is working hard to comply 23 with this mandate. We're renovating two 24 facilities -- Crossroads in Brownsville,

1 Brooklyn, and Horizon in Mott Haven in the 2 Bronx. For additional capacity, we need the 3 Ella McQueen Juvenile Reception Center in 4 Ocean Hill, Brooklyn, transferred to the 5 city. I urge you to help make this happen. We cannot meet the October 1st deadline 6 7 without this center serving as our intake facility. 8

9 The Executive Budget also defunds the 10 city's juvenile justice facilities under the 11 Close to Home program. This cut undermines 12 this signature reform designed to keep kids 13 closer to their families, and it will cost 14 the city \$15.3 million in fiscal '18 and 15 \$31 million in fiscal '19.

Now I'd like to discuss a number of
areas of concern in the Governor's Executive
Budget. I made it clear that the city should
not be required to pay half of the MTA Subway
Action Plan. Our current contribution to the
MTA is one big reason why.

New York City residents, workers,
businesses and government contribute nearly
70 percent of the MTA's total revenues, more

1 than \$10 billion annually. City government 2 alone makes an enormous investment in the MTA already. This is comprised of \$900 million 3 4 annually in direct funding and a further \$900 million in in-kind contributions 5 including services by the NYPD, homeless 6 7 outreach efforts, and debt service. This represents a \$200 million increase from last 8 9 year. 10 And in 2015, the city committed \$2.5 billion to the MTA capital plan -- the 11 12 largest general capital contribution in 13 history. 14 But it's clear the MTA needs a 15 long-term dedicated revenue source. I have 16 proposed a millionaire's tax to pay for 17 infrastructure and for the Fair Fare Initiative, half-priced MetroCards for 18 19 lower-income New Yorkers. I urge that this 20 proposal be included in the enacted budget. 21 A recent panel report commissioned by 22 the Governor proposed a form of congestion pricing that would serve as a dedicated 23 24 revenue source. I was pleased to see East

River Bridge tolls removed and to see the
 inclusion of block-the-box automated
 enforcement.

4 I also support the panel's focus on 5 commercial and for-hire vehicles. I urge the Legislature to consider expediting a 6 7 surcharge on for-hire vehicles and an added surcharge on taxis for the MTA. This would 8 even the levels of surcharge on both types of 9 10 vehicles and address the MTA's immediate budget requests. 11

12 If there were a congestion pricing plan, there are several measures critical for 13 14 New York City residents: One, a requirement 15 that all proceeds are invested in mass 16 transit projects in the five boroughs only, 17 and two, the City of New York needs the ability to sign off on transit projects and 18 19 priorities.

Also, any pricing scheme for passenger vehicles should take the needs of New Yorkers with hardships into account, including low-income New Yorkers and those with disabilities.

1 Now I want to focus on some areas of 2 concern when it comes to the MTA in the 3 Executive Budget. The first is a quote, 4 unquote, value-capture proposal that would 5 grant the MTA the power to raid our property taxes on properties within a one-mile radius 6 7 of certain projects. This proposal would 8 cost billions and blow a hole in the city's budget, forcing us to cut back on essential 9 10 services like police, sanitation and education. This should be a concern for 11 12 every local government. 13 I was encouraged by the Governor's 14 recent comments that portrayed this as a 15 choice for the city, not a mandate. I would 16 urge you to remove this provision from the 17 enacted budget altogether. 18 Another MTA proposal in the Executive 19 Budget that would devastate city finances is the mandate that the city pay all capital 20 costs for the New York City Transit 21 22 Authority. That means tens of billions of dollars in new capital obligations. And it 23

24 is based on the false premise that the city

is legally responsible for paying New York
 City Transit's capital costs. Sixty-five
 years of statutory provisions, lease
 agreements, and funding history prove
 otherwise. I respectfully request that you
 reject this proposal.

7 Now I'd like to turn to the topic of education. Bringing equity and excellence to 8 the city's school system has been the core 9 10 mission of my administration. With your help, we're making sweeping reforms designed 11 12 to ensure every child in every grade in every neighborhood fulfills their potential. These 13 14 include giving our kids a great start with 15 pre-K, working to bring all students to 16 reading level by third grade, and offering 17 advanced placement courses to all high school 18 students.

19The proposed \$248 million increase in20education aid in the Executive Budget falls21short of the increases in previous years, and22short of what the city needs to continue to23bring equity and excellence to our schools.24Since 2008 the city's share of education

1 spending has increased to 56 percent from 2 49 percent, while the state's share has 3 declined to 36 percent from 41 percent. 4 Over two years, with your help, we 5 increased the Fair Student Funding average from 88 percent to 91 percent by raising it 6 7 for 787 schools. Today, all Community and all Renewal Schools are at 100 percent. And 8 I want to note any school that leaves Renewal 9 10 status remains a Community School at the 100 percent level. 11 12 But the state Campaign for Fiscal Equity obligation still has not been 13 14 fulfilled. If the state fulfills its CFE 15 commitment, we will use that funding to get 16 every school to 100 percent by fiscal '22. 17 Especially problematic in the Executive Budget is a provision that gives 18 the State Division of Budget a virtual veto 19 20 on school district spending. This could lead 21 to arbitrary decisions and could jeopardize 22 the Equity and Excellence vision that we know is working. We join the State Education 23 24 Department and school districts statewide who

are concerned about this measure, and we ask
 that you do not tie up much-needed resources
 for our students through added layers of
 bureaucracy.

5 The Executive Budget also shifts a variety of charter school costs to New York 6 7 City alone. In fiscal '19, these costs amount to more than \$144 million currently 8 borne by the state. The costs are comprised 9 10 of \$120 million from the elimination of state 11 reimbursement for supplemental basic tuition to charter schools, and \$24 million from a 12 13 proposed cap in reimbursement for the rental 14 costs paid to charter schools.

15 The state made a commitment to fund 16 the additional tuition and a portion of the 17 rental support in the past. The state should 18 continue to pay those costs rather than 19 placing an unfunded mandate on the city.

20 The Governor's Executive Budget would 21 also make an arbitrary funding cut of 22 \$65 million in fiscal '19 to special 23 education. Last year this funding supported 24 200,000 special ed students. And at a time

1	when the city is making significant
2	investments in our child welfare system, the
3	state proposes to cap reimbursements for
4	state child welfare funding. The cap is
5	aimed just at New York City and has a
6	potential impact of \$64 million in fiscal '18
7	and \$129 million in fiscal '19. And this
8	would harm our efforts to keep kids with
9	their families and out of foster care.
10	Now I'd like to turn to the
11	homelessness crisis. We've made
12	unprecedented efforts to address
13	homelessness, including access to counsel for
14	all New Yorkers in housing court; outreach to
15	street homeless through HOME-STAT, the most
16	comprehensive outreach program of any major
17	city in the country; and working towards a
18	smaller, borough-based shelter system.
19	And we are seeing progress. We have
20	moved 60,000 New Yorkers from shelter to
21	permanent housing. And we know HOME-STAT is
22	working. Since 2015, we have moved nearly
23	1500 people off the streets who have not

24 returned to the streets. Rather than

1 proposing to withhold city shelter funding, 2 the state should support our outreach efforts. 3 4 The state's Executive Budget also cuts 5 \$9 million from the city's rental assistance program for working families, LINC 1. This 6 7 will literally make it harder for 1800 New Yorkers in shelters to find permanent 8 housing, and I urge the Legislature to 9 10 restore this cut. 11 Before I conclude, there are just a 12 few issues not in the budget that we think deserve your attention. One, design-build 13 14 has accelerated dozens of state 15 infrastructure projects and saved taxpayers 16 billions. New York City's taxpayers deserve 17 the same advantage. 18 Here are two examples of projects that 19 we could complete more quickly and 20 efficiently if we had this design-build tool: 21 One, the major rehabilitation of the BQE 22 triple cantilever that 15,000 large trucks pass over on a typical day. And two, the 23 24 installation of crucially needed new boilers

1 in public housing developments.

While we're on the topic of public 2 3 housing, my administration came into office 4 dedicated to reversing decades-long 5 disinvestment, and we have made unprecedented investments, including \$1.9 billion in 6 7 capital spending for roof replacement, benefiting 179,000 residents, facade repair 8 at 364 buildings, and security improvements 9 10 at the 15 most dangerous developments that have yielded a much safer environment. 11 12 This winter we added \$200 million in 13 capital to upgrade heating systems at the 14 20 developments with the most severe heating problems. This will add up to a total 15 16 capital investment by the City of New York of 17 \$2.1 billion, which is unprecedented in the history of the city. Now I'm asking for your 18 support in matching the city's \$200 million 19 20 investment in heating systems.

21 We're also investing \$9 million in 22 capital and \$4 million in expense for rapid 23 response teams and mobile boilers to address 24 the immediate heating crisis and to keep our

1 residents warm. In addition to our capital 2 investment in NYCHA, the city has made 3 \$1.6 billion in investments in operating 4 expenses.

5 One other important issue that is not in the Executive Budget, Civil Rights Law 6 7 Section 50-a, which prohibits the disclosure of personnel records of law enforcement or 8 other uniformed personnel without a court 9 10 order or an employee's written consent. We have introduced a bill that would allow the 11 12 NYPD to post all pertinent disciplinary 13 information, a summary of the judge's 14 decision, and the police commissioner's final determination on the NYPD website at the 15 16 conclusion of an administrative disciplinary 17 process. I urge you to support this bill. 18 Finally, I want to mention the city's 19 critical lifesaving speed camera program that 20 you worked so hard to pass expires this

21 year. Let's not just extend it, let's expand 22 it and loosen location restrictions to cover all the streets surrounding our schools. 23 24

I want to thank you all for what we

1 have done together for the great work that 2 you have done in support of the people of 3 New York City. I want to thank you for the 4 partnership. And as I begin a new term, I 5 want to say I look forward to four more years of working together. 6 7 Thank you, Madams Chair. 8 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you, 9 Mayor. 10 Before we move on to questioning, I just wanted to say we've been joined by 11 12 Assemblymembers Dilan, Braunstein, Blake and 13 Aubry. 14 And now to our Cities chair, 15 Assemblyman Benedetto. ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: Mr. Mayor, 16 17 good morning, and thank you again for being 18 here. 19 I notice in your testimony you address 20 design-build, and you mention in your 21 testimony the BQE project. Which as I 22 understand it -- and confirm for me if I'm wrong -- if it's not done fairly quickly, it 23 24 just has to begin, and you will not have the

1 opportunity to use design-build. Am I

2 correct in that assessment?

3 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Yes, we have to move soon on the BQE. It's one of the most vital 4 5 arteries in the entire city. And that triple cantilever area needs work in the next few 6 7 years. But unless design-build authority is given to the city, we would be doing that 8 work in a much slower and more costly fashion 9 10 than necessary.

11 ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: And according 12 to your testimony, you're talking 15,000 13 large trucks. It's my understanding, without 14 this project getting going, trucks will have 15 to be banned from the BQE and sent into local 16 streets, causing quite a mess in those 17 streets. Am I correct on that one too? MAYOR DE BLASIO: I think you're 18 19 correct. And there's no other way to say it, 20 that there's literally no good alternative to 21 the BQE, given the amount of volume it handles, which is crucial for the whole city 22 and state. And if we can't fix it properly, 23 24 if the work takes a lot longer than it has

1 to, we're talking about all that traffic 2 having to go somewhere. It's either going to 3 congest our highways further, or it's going 4 to end up in some cases on local streets, which is the worst of all situations. 5 ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: It's going to 6 7 take a lot longer than it has to. Can you give me an estimate on without design-build 8 how long it will take and how long you would 9 10 take if you do use design-build? MAYOR DE BLASIO: I want to be 11 12 exacting, and I'll check our budget director. Do you have that handy? Otherwise, we will 13 14 come back with it quickly. 15 We're going to come back with that 16 quickly. We want to make sure we have the 17 accurate number for you. 18 ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: No problem. 19 And also the second part of that will 20 be the cost saving. Do we have an estimate 21 on the cost saving using design-build? 22 MAYOR DE BLASIO: We will get both the cost savings -- I think they're working on it 23 24 as we speak, Assemblymember -- cost savings

1 and the time.

2	ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: Lastly on the
3	design-build issue, some of the problems
4	we've had getting design-build passed stem
5	from objections from trade unions, objections
6	from women- and minority-owned businesses not
7	being included. Can you lastly address that
8	issue? What has the city done to make that
9	more palatable, if you would?
10	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Assemblymember,
11	the first of all, although I'm familiar
12	with some of the objections, I would say
13	considering that the state grants itself this
14	own capacity, as a matter of consistency, our
15	city is 43 percent of the state's population,
16	we're obviously one of the engines of the
17	state economy. So I would immediately say if
18	those issues were resolved enough so that the
19	state could give itself the authority, it
20	seems only fair to give the city the
21	authority as well.
22	Obviously we want to work with the
23	labor community and with minority- and
24	women-owned businesses. We have a 30 percent

1 MWBE procurement goal now in New York City 2 that we are very aggressively pursuing. We've had other instances where we sat down 3 4 with those constituencies to work out ways to make these new approaches work better for 5 6 them. I would happily do that. 7 But we have to save the taxpayers a huge amount of money, and we have to speed up 8 our capital projects. There's tremendous 9 10 frustration when those projects drag on. And I think we can do that while also being fair 11 12 to labor and to MWBEs. 13 Now, I want to make sure I've got this 14 right. We believe, in the case of the BQE 15 cantilever specifically, that design-build 16 authority would shave two years off the 17 construction of that project -- two full 18 years, the difference of having the BQE open 19 and fully functional versus shut down in that 20 area. 21 The savings of this one project would be \$113 million. For the city as a whole, 22

24 billions of dollars, but this one project,

23

design-build authority could mean many

1 \$113 million.

2	ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: I would think
3	that the taxpayers of the City of New York
4	would be very grateful at saving over
5	\$100 million. And in particular, the
6	residents of the areas in Brooklyn that will
7	be inundated with trucks during the course of
8	this construction would be very happy too.
9	Switching to congestion pricing,
10	proposals have been out there about tolling
11	bridges, about doing sensors just to charge
12	fees going south of 60th Street or so. It's
13	my impression that you are against the first
14	but seem to accept the second. Can you
15	clarify on that?
16	MAYOR DE BLASIO: I've said
17	consistently that the two previous major
18	plans on congestion pricing the one put
19	forward by Mayor Bloomberg of give or take a
20	decade ago, and the one by Move NY to me
21	did not address core issues of fairness to
22	the outer boroughs and making sure that
23	resources would be appropriately spent in the
24	city and particularly in the outer borough

areas that would be bearing the brunt of the
 cost.

3 This new proposal by the commission is 4 fundamentally different, and I think better 5 for that reason, because it does not toll the 6 bridges. There are many, many issues that 7 still have to be resolved and lots of detail 8 we don't have yet in terms of this commission 9 proposal.

10 My central concern now is that any 11 action taken, any potential action on 12 congestion pricing must include a lockbox for 13 the money to be spent only on New York City 14 subways and buses. Assemblymember, you would 15 recognize my concern. You know a lot of the 16 history here. That if there is not such a 17 provision, we will not have an assurance of 18 where that money goes, we will not have an 19 assurance it will solve the problem it is 20 theoretically intended to address.

21 We're also deeply concerned about --22 if we're going to support anything like that, 23 that there be city sign-off on the things 24 that are being chosen to be priorities for 1 spending.

2	So right now I have said it's a step
3	in the right direction, it's an improved
4	proposal compared to past proposals, but a
5	lot of unanswered questions, particularly on
6	guarantees on how the money will be spent.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: Points
8	well-taken. Thank you, Mr. Mayor.
9	You mentioned in your testimony in
10	regards to congestion pricing a certain
11	fairness in it and taking the needs of
12	New Yorkers with hardships. One of the items
13	I've been suggesting is possibly a variable
14	rate as opposed to one single charge.
15	So for instance, people from the outer
16	boroughs or even from Upper Manhattan who
17	want to come into the tolled district at
18	night, after 7 o'clock, to go to the theater,
19	to go to the night life, on weekends when
20	they want to apprise themselves of the
21	museums and the cultural benefits of New York
22	City, could possibly be allowed in those
23	off-peak hours to come in at a reduced rate.
24	Your opinion, sir?

1 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Well, I haven't 2 heard that proposal previously. I think it's 3 a commendable one and one that we'd certainly 4 want to talk to you about.

5 I would also say on the issue of hardship that my concern immediately is for 6 7 folks who are lower-income and may need to use their cars for a variety of reasons, 8 9 including for medical appointments. We know 10 how much the medical community is based in Manhattan. I think it's important to be 11 12 sensitive to that fact. Some people have no 13 choice but to go to those appointments. How 14 are we going to accommodate them and also 15 think about the needs of people with disabilities? 16

17 So I think the big picture here is 18 your idea and all these other issues have to 19 be addressed, need to be very carefully 20 looked at. For such a proposal to be viable, 21 in my view, it has to address these issues. 22 And most particularly, we have to know where 23 the money is going.

24 ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: Mr. Mayor, in

1	my closing moments here I would be remiss if
2	I don't pay attention to some of my local
3	issues, two in particular.
4	The great City of Co-op, okay a
5	wonderful place to live and a fine example of
6	Mitchell-Lama housing there is a
7	controversy there about a monopole that is
8	going up.
9	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Excuse me, a what?
10	ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: I'm told that
11	that is the technical term for it, a
12	monopole, a tall structure that holds an
13	outdoor advertising structure.
14	While most of these structures are
15	fairly low, 20 feet or so, this one is going
16	to be 260 feet. How could they do this?
17	Because the zoning has never been changed
18	since the existence of Freedomland, which
19	Co-op City sits on that particular site.
20	And so he's allowed to build a
21	260-foot pole to put this outside neon LED
22	advertising that's going to shine brightly
23	throughout the Bronx, and I guess to notify
24	people flying in planes passing by.

1	If there's anything the city can do to
2	look into that, we would greatly appreciate
3	it, sir.
4	MAYOR DE BLASIO: We will certainly
5	look into it. That is not something I've
6	heard previously, Assemblymember. We'll look
7	into it and get back to you.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: There you go.
9	There you go.
10	And lastly, look into Ferry Point
11	Park, okay? It needs to be taken care of.
12	Thank you, Mr. Mayor. Thank you,
13	Madam Chairman.
14	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you,
16	Assemblyman and Mayor.
17	Senator Young.
18	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you,
19	Assemblywoman.
20	And our next speaker on the Senate
21	side is our chair of the Senate Standing
22	Committee on Cities, and that's Senator
23	Simcha Felder. Senator?
24	SENATOR FELDER: Thank you.

1 Good morning.

2 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Good morning. 3 SENATOR FELDER: As I look at you, 4 Mr. Mayor, and at your staff, they're saying 5 there's no way he can ask a softball question 6 this morning. And where are his props? I 7 don't --8 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Excuse me, Senator 9 Felder. 10 Could you please put 10 minutes on the 11 clock? He is a chairman. He actually gets 12 10 minutes. Thank you. 13 SENATOR FELDER: I'm sorry. Thank 14 you. Thank you for coming to my defense. I 15 appreciate it. 16 (Laughter.) 17 SENATOR FELDER: Well, now that I have another 10 minutes --18 19 (Laughter.) 20 MAYOR DE BLASIO: You can get some 21 props now. 22 (Laughter.) 23 SENATOR FELDER: I really just wanted 24 to say thank you, thank you. An issue that's

1 very personal to me and many of my colleagues 2 as well as to you, the needs of special-needs 3 children and their families -- all I can say 4 is thank you. Because there's a lot of work 5 that still needs to be done, but thanks to you and the angels -- I repeat that word. 6 7 Karin Goldmark doesn't like it, but she as well as Deputy Mayor Laura Anglin, I'd been 8 working with, more recently, Sherif 9 10 Soliman -- I don't want to leave anyone out. But really these are heroes and heroines on 11 12 behalf of the children and families with special needs. So I can't thank you enough. 13 14 And thank you -- the second thank you was for the commitment to build -- work 15 16 together with me to build a playground, they 17 call it a Playground for All Children -there's one in Queens -- which allows 18 children with disabilities to be able to 19 use -- you know, they usually don't go to the 20 21 parks, there's nothing that they can do 22 there. So it's two thank yous. And I would 23

24 request from Senator Young that you owe me 8½

1 minutes.

2	Thank you.
3	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Senator, I want to
4	just say thank you for your kind words about
5	the team, but also thank you for having led
6	the charge. That helped all of us to do a
7	better job in terms of making sure we were
8	addressing the needs of our children and
9	their families.
10	You're right, we've still got more to
11	go, but I've talked to a lot of parents and I
12	know we're making progress. And I've said to
13	everyone this is about serving each child, it
14	should never have been about saving money,
15	which we all know once upon a time it was.
16	It should be about serving each child. You
17	will see that the amount we're spending on
18	special ed continues to rise, but for a good
19	reason.
20	And all I can say is in addition to
21	thank you, we would deeply appreciate your

21 thank you, we would deeply appreciate your
22 help in making sure that the proposed state
23 cuts to special education could be mitigated.
24 Thank you.

1	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
2	And as usual, Senator Felder got to
3	the heart of the problem very quickly. So
4	thank you for that.
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: I'd be remiss
6	if I didn't say ditto to my good friend
7	Simcha Felder's remarks.
8	We've been joined by Crystal
9	Peoples-Stokes, and we've moved to
10	Assemblyman Magnarelli, chair of our Local
11	Governments Committee.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Good morning,
13	Mayor.
13 14	Mayor. MAYOR DE BLASIO: Good morning.
14	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Good morning.
14 15	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Good morning. ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Thank you for
14 15 16	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Good morning. ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Thank you for coming to the hearing.
14 15 16 17	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Good morning. ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Thank you for coming to the hearing. I just have two relatively general
14 15 16 17 18	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Good morning. ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Thank you for coming to the hearing. I just have two relatively general questions, but you've given us in your
14 15 16 17 18 19	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Good morning. ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Thank you for coming to the hearing. I just have two relatively general questions, but you've given us in your remarks how the Executive Budget in some
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Good morning. ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Thank you for coming to the hearing. I just have two relatively general questions, but you've given us in your remarks how the Executive Budget in some respects affects New York City, but what
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Good morning. ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Thank you for coming to the hearing. I just have two relatively general questions, but you've given us in your remarks how the Executive Budget in some respects affects New York City, but what aspects of the Executive Budget have the

sense, Assemblymember?

2	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Well, have
3	they been accounted for in your budget?
4	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Oh, yes. And when
5	we presented our proposal, our preliminary
6	budget, we had the state Executive Budget to
7	work from.
8	Now, obviously we know a lot of these
9	issues remain to be resolved. But we treated
10	the proposal from the state as the binding
11	document to begin, and we did include that in
12	our assumptions.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Can you make
14	any kind of a determination on what would be
15	the
16	MAYOR DE BLASIO: The overall impact?
17	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Yeah.
18	MAYOR DE BLASIO: It's over
19	three-quarters of a billion dollars negative,
20	unfortunately.
21	As I mentioned, on the federal side
22	right now we're looking at, just from what's
23	already happened at the federal level,
24	700 million, because the DSH program has

lapsed and because the tax bill was passed,
 before we even treat the question of the
 continuing resolutions, and who knows what's
 going to happen there.

5 On the state side, when you take the immediate cuts, which are approximately 6 7 400 million, the different programmatic cuts, 8 when you take the unfunded mandate around Raise the Age -- and again, I emphasize how 9 10 much we agree with this Legislature on Raise the Age, but we do belive it's important it 11 12 be funded -- that's about 200 million.

So those, in addition to other areas,
would take us over three-quarters of a
billion dollars in negative impact.

16ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Okay. But17those are federal --

18 MAYOR DE BLASIO: I'm sorry, state. 19 No, I'm saying in terms of state programmatic 20 cuts -- I mentioned the special ed, the child 21 welfare, homelessness. We've got, right 22 there, 400 million. Another 200 million for 23 the cost of having to implement Raise the Age 24 without state support.

1 And again, there are additional items 2 I could bring forward to you, but the working 3 estimate right now based on the Executive 4 Budget from the state is over \$750 million in 5 negative impact on the city budget. ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: And in many 6 7 of these things -- I'm chair of Local Governments, which would mean all of our 8 communities across the state. But many of 9 10 our cities are going to be facing the same things, am I correct, only in a different 11 12 proportion? 13 MAYOR DE BLASIO: In terms of impact 14 on localities? 15 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Yes. 16 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Yes, in some cases 17 absolutely. In other cases, no. Some of the 18 specific cuts are directed only at New York City. Others are for localities all around 19 20 the state. 21 So first of all, I would say, you 22 know, thinking in terms of the needs of my colleagues all over the state, we think we 23 24 would all say every mayor, every town

supervisor would say unfunded mandates are
 dangerous to all of us.

But I would also note that some of
these are very specific to New York City,
even in instances where other jurisdictions
received funding and they were held harmless.
ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: We'll have to
look into that.

9 I have a question on education. The 10 Executive proposes a change to the 11 reimbursement rates for summer special 12 education funding. How will this impact your 13 ability to provide services and programs to 14 the vulnerable youth that utilize that?

15 MAYOR DE BLASIO: You know, the cut to 16 special education worries us tremendously. I 17 mentioned the fact that it reaches a huge number of children in our city. And per the 18 19 previous dialogue with Senator Felder, we 20 have struggled for years to finally catch up 21 and handle appropriately the needs of our 22 special education kids. I think in the past those needs were not given the respect they 23 24 deserved, again, for cost-savings reasons.

1 And I don't believe that was appropriate as a 2 parent, let alone as a public servant. 3 Our point here is the funding that is 4 threatened reaches a huge number of 5 special ed kids, particularly on the services we provide for them during the summer. That 6 7 continuity is very important for a number of 8 special ed children. And I'm confused why this was singled out for a cut, given that 9 10 there I think is a growing consensus that special education is an area where we all 11 12 need to do more. 13 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: I agree. 14 Thank you, Mr. Mayor. 15 MAYOR DE BLASIO: And thank you, 16 Assemblymember. Just one clarification. I 17 want to make sure I'm precise. And Simonia 18 rightfully has handed me a helpful note. 19 When we did our budget presentation, 20 we proposed our budget with a clear public 21 acknowledgement of all the threats coming 22 from Washington, all the issues that we're facing in the state budget. We didn't factor 23 24 in final numbers because of course both of

1 those, the issues have still not been fully 2 rectified. We don't know what's going to 3 happen on those DSH payments ultimately, even though right now they've been canceled. 4 5 Obviously you all and your colleagues are 6 going to adjudicate the Governor's Executive 7 Budget. 8 So we noted what the impact would be, we did not put it as specific line items yet. 9 10 But again, that combined potential negative 11 impact is 1.5 billion. 12 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Something you would have to readdress --13 14 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Unquestionably, at 15 the time of our executive budget, which comes 16 after the state acts. 17 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Okay, thank 18 you, Mr. Mayor. 19 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you. 20 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 21 Okay, I'm going next. So again, 22 welcome, Mr. Mayor. And I have several topic areas that I'd like to go through today, but 23 24 first I'd like to start with the property tax 1 cap.

2	And every local government and school
3	district except New York City has been
4	subject to the property tax cap since 2012.
5	And it's been extraordinarily effective in
6	helping taxpayers all around the state, with
7	the exception of New York City. Since the
8	enactment of the cap, property taxes outside
9	of New York City have grown by an average of
10	just 2.2 percent per year, less than half the
11	average annual growth from 2000 to 2010. So
12	the brakes have been put on those tax hikes.
13	Since the enactment of the cap,
14	New York City's property tax levy has grown
15	at an average of 6.2 percent per year. Given
16	the significant growth in property taxes,
17	wouldn't New York City residents and
18	businesses benefit from the property tax cap?
19	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Madam Chair, I know
20	you believe deeply in what you're saying, and
21	I also believe deeply it's the wrong
22	direction for New York City. Here's my
23	central argument.
24	First of all, the reason that you see

1 that increase is not because of a rate 2 increase. In fact, in the four years of my 3 administration we have not increased property 4 tax rates, nor will we. And this new budget, 5 once again, does not increase property tax rates. And I am a homeowner myself, and I 6 7 remember the property tax rate increases of the past, and they're not happening on my 8 watch. 9

10Part of that increase that you're11talking about is because values have12continued to improve in New York City. And13as a homeowner I can say that's a good thing,14even though I did not for a moment miss the15fact that people have to deal with the16challenge of their taxes.

17 But here is where it, in my view, is 18 necessary for us to set the course that we're 19 on now. I'll give you a key example.

20 Working with the City Council, we 21 added 2,000 more police officers on patrol. 22 That was directly a root cause of the fact 23 and an incentive to make the changes that we 24 have made in public safety. Putting those

1 2,000 more officers on patrol allowed us to 2 implement neighborhood policing and allowed 3 us to create a much stronger counterterrorism 4 capacity. It is one of the reasons we're now 5 the safest big city in America. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: But Mr. Mayor --6 7 MAYOR DE BLASIO: My point --CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: -- I was asking 8 9 about property taxes, so if we could get back 10 to that. You talk about the fact that values have improved. And so basically what's gone 11 12 on in the city is that you have not raised 13 taxes per se, but you have increased property 14 values and assessments. And so since the 15 levy has increased by the levy growth factor 16 under the -- no. So the levy has increased 17 by \$6.8 billion more than it would be if we 18 had imposed a property tax cap. So why 19 wouldn't city residents and businesses want 20 their property taxes to be \$6.8 billion 21 lower?

22 And I will remind you of your own 23 testimony today that says "there are also 24 negative consequences for our residents'

bottom lines." This is your testimony. "The 1 2 new tax law caps the state and local tax 3 deduction and eliminates the personal 4 exemption. That means hundreds of thousands 5 of New Yorkers, most earning less than \$100,000 will pay more taxes. I commend the 6 7 Governor for trying to find ways to blunt the 8 effects of the new tax law, and I look forward to working with him and the 9 10 Legislature on solutions." 11 So you are saying in your testimony 12 that the new federal tax law hurts property taxes in the City of New York, yet you say 13 14 that you cannot put in place a property tax cap. Isn't there a disconnect there? 15 16 MAYOR DE BLASIO: No. We did not 17 choose the new federal tax law. I think it was a huge mistake for the country and for 18 19 this state. We are going to work with the 20 Legislature and the Governor to see how we 21 can blunt the impact. I'm very hopeful we can find a way to do that. 22 But Madam Chair, to my previous point. 23 24 If we were to pursue the course of action

1 that you recommend, we would not have been 2 able to put those 2,000 more officers on 3 patrol. We would not have been able to make 4 the investments that are working in terms of 5 public safety, education, and a number of 6 other areas.

7 I think it's just a fundamental recognition that New York City is working 8 today -- our economy is working, we're safer, 9 10 our schools are getting better -- because we 11 made those investments. If we put a property 12 tax cap on, we would not only not be able to 13 make those investments, we would have to lay 14 off a very large number of public employees 15 who are providing very good service to the people of this city, and we believe that's 16 17 the wrong direction for our city.

18 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: How many billions19 do you have in a surplus or reserves for the20 city?

21 MAYOR DE BLASIO: The surplus right 22 now is approximately \$5.5 billion if you 23 combine our general reserve plus our capital 24 stabilization reserve and our employee health

1 benefit reserve. That last one, I will note, 2 is against much, much bigger liabilities 3 going forward. 4 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So you really do 5 have quite a surplus. But I want to switch now to school 6 7 funding and performance. The Senate Finance Committee has been analyzing the Governor's 8 proposal, and actually it provides more than 9 10 80 percent of the 2018-2019 Foundation Aid 11 increase to high-needs school districts, 12 including New York City. What percentage of 13 the increased Department of Education funding 14 in the city's preliminary budget do you 15 expect would go to individual high-needs districts? 16 17 We're aware that there are 1600 18 individual schools within the New York City 19 system. There are many high-needs school 20 districts. So how much of the budget would 21 you expect to go to those high-needs schools? 22 Because this Legislature and the Governor have prioritized high-needs schools in the 23 24 formula, and I'm very hopeful that the city

1 does the same.

2	MAYOR DE BLASIO: We have been
3	prioritizing high-need schools in a number of
4	ways, Madam Chair. And I can get you a
5	breakout of all of them, beginning with our
6	focus on Renewal Schools and Community
7	Schools, continuing with everything we're
8	doing with the pre-K and 3-K programs, which
9	disproportionately help high-need schools.
10	The Advanced Placement Initiative
11	disproportionately helps high-need schools.
12	We can aggregate all of those and show you
13	how they affect the higher-needs schools.
14	But all of this has been about trying
15	to reach a number of schools that bluntly
16	were not invested in in the past and now are
17	getting much greater investment because of
18	each of these initiatives.
19	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So the state
20	identifies school districts as high-need
21	based on student demographics and local
22	wealth. And how does the city identify
23	individual schools as high-need?
24	MAYOR DE BLASIO: I'm not familiar

1 with the specific formula, but I'm happy to 2 get it for you. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Well, if you could 3 4 get it to us, what factors are used in making the determination. 5 6 And we also would need approximately 7 how many or what percentage of city schools 8 do you currently consider to be high-need. 9 Do you know? 10 MAYOR DE BLASIO: I'll get that. 11 I'll make sure we're using the exact numbers 12 with you. 13 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. Could 14 you provide a list of those schools? 15 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Yes. 16 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: That would be very 17 helpful. 18 So how much funding per pupil does the 19 city currently provide its high-need schools 20 from state and local resources, and how does 21 this compare to its lower-need schools? 22 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Again, Madam Chair, I think the simple way to look at this is 23 24 that we have been working across the entire

1 school system, 1.1 million-plus kids, to 2 improve the schools across the board, every type of school. Which is why we have higher 3 4 graduation rates, higher test scores, 5 et cetera. Our major programmatic thrusts, which 6 7 have been huge areas of investment -although many of them are universal, like 8 pre-K and 3-K, they disproportionately 9 10 support high-need schools. Certainly, again, Community Schools and Renewal Schools do 11 12 that. We will provide you the breakout of 13 each of those investments and how they affect 14 those schools. But unquestionably, because the Equity 15 16 and Excellence vision is about addressing the 17 lack of funding in the past to those very schools, we have spent four years trying to 18 right that wrong of the past. 19 20 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So I have a 21 question about why doesn't the Fair Student 22 Funding formula place more of a weighting on 23 poverty. 24 MAYOR DE BLASIO: I'm sorry, I'm not

1 following.

2	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So it's my
3	understanding the Fair Student Funding
4	formula doesn't place a lot of significance
5	or weighting on poverty. Could you please
6	explain that for us?
7	MAYOR DE BLASIO: I'm not sure on that
8	interpretation, so let me see if my colleague
9	wants to jump in or if we need to come back
10	on that. We'll come back to you on that.
11	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay, thank you.
12	There was recently a Chalkbeat
13	article, and it said that only about
14	one-fifth of the Department of Education's
15	budget is allocated through the Fair Student
16	Funding formula. And if that's the case, why
17	doesn't the city allocate more funding
18	through that formula?
19	MAYOR DE BLASIO: First of all,
20	obviously we have a huge set of mandates that
21	we operate under, and that's one of the first
22	elements of how we fund. And we have
23	contractual obligations to our workforce.
24	There's a whole set of things that go beyond

1 the question of that formula.

2	But again, it's a question, Madam
3	Chair, of how we change our schools for the
4	better. For example, I believe for decades
5	there was not enough focus on early childhood
6	education. I believe this was a structural
7	problem. This is not something the formula
8	would have addressed. We addressed it on a
9	citywide basis.
10	It's quite clear there were vast
11	inequities between schools. So for example,
12	some schools had numerous Advanced Placement
13	courses, others had none whatsoever. This
14	was true for decades. We did not think and I
15	do not believe that's something you leave up
16	to individual schools to resolve. That
17	requires a citywide policy to address. We
18	created those policies and we distributed the
19	resources accordingly.
20	The formula is one piece of how we
21	address equity and improve our schools, but
22	there are other pieces as well. And we've
23	made sure to give them substantial support.

CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.

1 I came across this article recently. 2 It says "Filthy New York City School Cafeterias Rife with Rodents and Bugs," from 3 a report. Could you please address that? 4 5 MAYOR DE BLASIO: I'm sorry, I could not hear that last part. 6 7 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: The headline: "Filthy New York City School Cafeterias Rife 8 with Rodents and Bugs," according to a 9 10 report. Could you please address that 11 situation? 12 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Absolutely. Both my kids went to New York City public schools, it 13 14 wasn't that long ago they left, and they 15 spent a lot of time in those cafeterias, so I 16 take very personally the notion that any 17 cafeteria is not up to the health standards it should be. 18 We looked into that. There's 19 20 definitely work to do. Most of those 21 instances were singular, were addressed 22 immediately. But my mandate to our team is to continue to improve the quality of our 23 24 cafeterias, and that anything that we need to

1 put resources into to make sure they're

2 healthy and safe, we will do.

3 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I assume that a lot 4 of those schools with cafeteria problems are 5 high-needs schools, so I would urge you to 6 make sure that those schools are properly 7 funded.

8 How does the city identify failing or 9 underperforming schools? What metrics do you 10 use?

MAYOR DE BLASIO: A number of 11 12 different metrics -- attendance, graduation 13 rates, standardized testing. A host of 14 metrics are looked at. We believe in 15 multiple measures to determine the status of 16 each school. Obviously we work with the 17 State Education Department in terms of 18 determining some of the schools that need 19 particular help. This is work we do 20 constantly. And the goal, of course, is to 21 improve every school regardless of its 22 status. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: How many failing 23

24 schools do we have in the city?

1 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Again, it depends 2 on -- there are several definitions out 3 there. The Renewal School program has been 4 the central area that we've focused on. I 5 don't -- with deepest respect to you, Madam Chair, I don't look at them as failing, 6 7 I look at them as schools that need to be fixed and were invested in in the past. 8 9 That program began with 94 schools, 10 but that number has been declining each year as we have either closed or consolidated some 11 12 of the schools, or now a number of the 13 schools will graduate out of the program. 14 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 15 A question on the failing schools --16 or the ones that you don't consider to be 17 failing but underperforming. Are they more 18 heavily concentrated in any community, 19 districts or boroughs? Is it heavily 20 concentrated in any particular community or 21 borough? 22 MAYOR DE BLASIO: They are spread across the city. There's clearly some 23 24 correlation to where historically there's

been underinvestment in communities, there's
 no question about that.

3 But in the end, it is an individual 4 matter. We have schools literally within a 5 few blocks of each other where one is doing very well and one still needs more work. We 6 7 have schools that again are coming out of that Renewal School program with great 8 progress, others that we have decided are not 9 10 making enough progress and need to be closed. 11 So yes, of course there's a broad 12 correlation to less advantaged communities, 13 but it is by no means a broad brush. 14 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 15 How many of these schools have been 16 failing for 10 years or more? Because you 17 say you're taking a lot of action steps, but it's my understanding quite a few have been 18 considered to be failing schools for a long 19 20 time.

21 MAYOR DE BLASIO: We can give you the 22 updated figures, given the ones that we've 23 closed or consolidated or are about to close 24 in June. I don't know the measure, because

1 obviously I've only been in office for four 2 years, so I don't know what happened in the 3 previous six years with each of those 4 schools. But we can give you the profile of 5 them easily. 6 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 7 I have a lot of questions about MTA and NYCHA, but I will come back for Round 2. 8 Thank you. 9 10 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. We've been joined on the Assembly side by 11 12 Assemblyman Skoufis and Assemblyman Weprin. 13 Now to Assemblyman Cusick for 14 questions. 15 ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Thank you, 16 Chairwoman. 17 Mr. Mayor, thank you for being here. Thank you for testifying before this 18 committee every year. It's greatly 19 20 appreciated by the members here. 21 I want to ask also about the property 22 tax issue. You know, you and I over the years have had this discussion about the 23 24 property tax cap. You and I disagree on it.

1 But over the years, you have said that there 2 will be a comprehensive tax reform plan set 3 forth by your administration focusing on the 4 assessments.

5 We have a bill in the Assembly that would focus on forming a commission that 6 7 would look at the process of the assessments. I don't think any of us have residents that 8 don't believe we should pay for services. I 9 10 think what the gripe is is that many folks 11 just want to know what the process is and how 12 their money is being taken and used for these 13 services. And right now I can tell you, from 14 personal experience, there isn't that 15 confidence from the residents that they know 16 how the system works, how they're charged for 17 their taxes. 18 And so I just wanted to ask, are we 19 anywhere closer to this tax reform commission

20 or process that you had set forth last year 21 at this budget hearing?

22 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Absolutely. We've 23 obviously been very focused in the last weeks 24 on preparing the preliminary budget and

1 preparing for this testimony. But certainly 2 very soon we'll have a further announcement 3 on the next steps on the property tax reform. 4 It's absolutely needed. I'm committed to it. 5 We're going to move on it. We're going to need the help of the Legislature and the 6 7 City Council to address it. 8 Assemblymember, you know I've had 51 town hall meetings. I think I've been asked 9 10 the question at 51 of them, so --ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Fifty-one times 11 12 at my town hall. 13 MAYOR DE BLASIO: There you go. 14 And what I've said also is in addition 15 to creating more transparency and consistency 16 across all five boroughs and all 17 neighborhoods, we ultimately have to be 18 roughly revenue-neutral to be able to 19 continue providing the support we do. 20 And I think you're right that your 21 constituents and many other constituents 22 don't see yet the kind of consistency and transparency they want. What I hope they are 23 24 seeing more of is what the impact of the

dollars spent is. Certainly crime reduction,
 improvements in schools, repaving -- I know
 it's a personal favorite.

4 ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Yes, repaving's 5 big. And people do see it. But they do get frustrated because -- the assessments just 6 7 went out last month. And I don't know about my colleagues, but the phones in my district 8 office have been all about the increase in 9 10 what they call the property tax. They don't 11 know the difference. And so that's why I 12 think it's important.

13I know -- I don't want you to make14news right here, but maybe you can't for my15sake. But can we get a definite timeline, a16date as to when a report will be issued?17Because that would make a lot of residents18feel a little better about this process.

19MAYOR DE BLASIO: I'm going to be20careful, because the day we announce the21vision I want to make sure everything is22ready.

I can tell you when I say very soon, I
mean very soon. It's time to do it. We had

1 to get through the budget process first. So 2 I won't make specific news, but I will tell 3 you it's coming and it's going to be 4 something that addresses these issues that 5 you and I have discussed. And I would remind you, I understand 6 7 the frustrations people feel, I assure you. My constituents talk to me about them too at 8 9 those town hall meetings and many other 10 places. It is because of the increase in 11 values in our homes, which per se is a good 12 thing. I understand the challenge that comes with it. 13 14 At the same time, it's very important 15 that there has not been a rate increase. 16 It's very important that there's no plan for 17 a rate increase. And it's important that 18 compared to the rest of the state, our 19 property tax levels are still much, much 20 lower. The estimate is New York City 21 property tax levels are three to four times 22 lower, proportionally, than in the rest of the state, and that's crucial. 23 24 ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Right. Yeah, I

1 understand that. But most people that we 2 represent don't understand that when the 3 assessment goes up, that it isn't a property 4 tax increase. So that's why it's urgent that 5 we do move forward and get this reform going. And I'm glad to hear that it's happening 6 7 soon. Hopefully "soon" means this year, because --8

MAYOR DE BLASIO: Unquestionably this 9 10 year. And we are going to need -- I want to 11 emphasize we're definitely getting started 12 this year. We're going to need a lot of work 13 and a lot of help here to act on the elements 14 of the plan we put together. And so our 15 job -- I believe my job as mayor is to bring 16 all the strands together into one final plan 17 with all of the legislative proposal attached to bring here. Obviously, that's when a lot 18 19 of hard work happens here to go through it 20 and to get to a final outcome.

21ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Great. I see my22time is up. Thank you.23CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.

24 We've been joined by Senator Tom Croci

1 and Senator James Tedisco.

2	And our next speaker is Senator Kathy
3	Marchione, who is chair of the Senate
4	Standing Committee on Local Government.
5	SENATOR MARCHIONE: Thank you.
6	Thank you for your testimony,
7	Mr. Mayor.
8	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you.
9	SENATOR MARCHIONE: One of the things
10	you talked about was a goal of reducing your
11	jail population to 5,000. My question is
12	taken into consideration for those
13	reductions, I hope, is the public safety of
14	letting out people from jail who are there
15	because they've done wrong
16	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Yes.
17	SENATOR MARCHIONE: and have
18	jeopardized public safety to the rest of your
19	community.
20	So can you tell me about, you know,
21	your goal of letting them out? What about
22	the goal of public safety?
23	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Senator, it's the
24	number-one job of all of us in public life.

1 And I'm very proud of the fact that because 2 of the extraordinarily work of the men and 3 women of the NYPD and their community 4 partners, we are literally the safest big 5 city in America. We have brought down major crime categories to the lowest level since 6 7 the 1950s. The last time we had this few homicides in New York City was 1951. 8

9 Extraordinary progress has been made, 10 and we intend to continue it. Commissioner O'Neill believes fundamentally that we will 11 12 continue to reduce crime, while at the same 13 time with new approaches that we find are working very, very effectively. Compared to 14 15 four years previous, in 2017 we had 100,000 16 fewer arrests, while we had greatly reduced 17 crime at the same time.

18 So we think we can reduce jail 19 population while protecting the people of the 20 city. The vast majority of the problem with 21 reducing jail population is with nonviolent 22 offenders and the sheer time it takes in the 23 state-governed court system for people to 24 move through their trial process while

1 incarcerated on Rikers Island. Also the 2 problem that people end up in there because 3 of a bail matter, even though they've done a 4 nonviolent offense. 5 The legislation before the Legislature -- I know there's different 6 7 versions, but all aiming at the same goals of 8 speedier trials and reducing the burden of bail -- that alone would have a hugely 9 10 positive impact on our efforts to close Rikers Island, but we believe would not 11 12 compromise public safety at all. 13 SENATOR MARCHIONE: Okay. I'm limited 14 to one time, so I have a number of questions 15 for you. 16 If that's the case, you've increased 17 your NYPD by 2,000 --MAYOR DE BLASIO: Two thousand 18 19 officers on patrol, yeah. 20 SENATOR MARCHIONE: -- additional 21 officers, if crime is going down. And our Governor has found it necessary to send many 22 New York State Troopers from their upstate 23 24 posts to New York City. Can you tell me why,

1 if your crime is going down, why these
2 measures are necessary?

3 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Well, obviously, 4 Senator -- I say it with deepest respect to 5 the question and to the Governor -- that is a question for the Governor. Those decisions 6 7 that he has made and the State Police have made are theirs. Sometimes they've been 8 matters that have been discussed with NYPD, 9 10 other times not.

11 I think of course the question is 12 where are there areas of sensitivity, 13 particularly in terms of antiterror efforts. 14 Some of those targets obviously are in the 15 city, and that would be a logical area to 16 reinforce. But I am clear about the fact and 17 respect the fact that there's only so many resources to go around, and there's huge 18 19 areas of the state that need that help and 20 coverage too.

21 So we feel very confident in the 22 efforts of the NYPD to protect our city. 23 We're very sensitive on antiterrorism, and 24 obviously we do need some partnership with

the state. But I can't answer the rationale
 for all of those actions.

3 SENATOR MARCHIONE: So you believe you
4 have enough of your own police force to be
5 able to handle New York City pretty much on
6 your own.

7 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Unquestionably there are, again, some areas where we do not have 8 jurisdiction -- the airports and the railroad 9 10 stations are obvious examples -- that we 11 assist with and we're happy to assist with in 12 every way. Those areas cannot be uncovered, 13 Senator. I know you'd agree with that. They 14 cannot be uncovered, and we don't have 15 jurisdiction to provide the ongoing 16 protection.

17 With those exceptions put aside, and 18 you're talking about New York City as a whole, I have total confidence in NYPD's 19 20 ability to protect New York City. 21 SENATOR MARCHIONE: Thank you. 22 I noticed in your testimony, which I thought was very interesting, there's a 23 24 heroin epidemic throughout the country, yet

1 your testimony is silent on heroin. Do you 2 believe that the monies that you're receiving 3 from the state are wholly adequate for the heroin epidemic, for you to be able to fight 4 5 the heroin epidemic in New York City? MAYOR DE BLASIO: We -- it's a major, 6 7 major priority in New York City. We have absolutely borne the brunt of the opioid 8 epidemic, as have many parts of the country. 9 10 In fact, not only have we made major 11 new investments, whether it's on the policing 12 side or the treatment side or the prevention 13 side of the equation, we announced recently a 14 lawsuit against the opioid makers and 15 distributors, hoping to achieve money damages 16 that will allow us to put more money into 17 prevention and treatment. 18 There's endless need. Very good 19 question, Senator. It's not for lack of 20 interest, it was in the interests of brevity 21 and also, honestly, that we're trying to

focus on the areas that I believe are most problematic in the state budget that we're asking for your help in addressing, where

1 there are specific cuts, and focusing on the 2 area of education, where we see this change in the funding that we think has a huge 3 4 impact. 5 We absolutely could use more state support to address the opioid epidemic. But 6 7 we are going to, in the meantime, do 8 everything we can with city resources to fight the problem. 9 10 SENATOR MARCHIONE: Okay. Good. Because I know upstate that's a very serious 11 12 issue, is funding, relative to being able to help our residents. 13 14 MAYOR DE BLASIO: And one other 15 thing -- I'm sorry, Senator, to interrupt. 16 When we composited recently when we announced 17 the lawsuit, we checked all spending we were doing annually related to opioids. It's over 18 half a billion dollars when you include 19 policing, jails, treatment by our Health + 20 21 Hospitals Corporation, and other matters. 22 Right now the city is spending over half a billion. So you can imagine what a strain 23 24 that is, and obviously we would appreciate

1 more support.

2	SENATOR MARCHIONE: Also you said in
3	your testimony I'm just interested in this
4	myself that you are going to everyone
5	who leaves jail following a city sentence
6	will be offered a transitional job. Where
7	are they offered a job, and for what's the
8	length of time?
9	MAYOR DE BLASIO: My memory is it's a
10	three-month transitional job. And this is
11	for folks who have been sentenced. So on our
12	jail system, a number of people are awaiting
13	trial and then there's a small but meaningful
14	number of people who have been sentenced to
15	less than a year. That's the requirement to
16	be in city jails. If you've been sentenced,
17	it can only be up to a year. After that,
18	you'd have to be in a state prison if it were
19	a higher sentence.
20	For those folks sentenced less than a
21	year by definition they have done lesser
22	offenses and nonviolent offenses we offer

24 organizations, an opportunity to have a work

23

them, working with a number of nonprofit

1 experience immediately out of jail, because 2 we want to get them refocused on work, 3 refocused on being a part of mainstream 4 society. Also the chances of getting a job 5 after that are greatly magnified if someone can apply for a job while having one. 6 7 And we believe this investment will greatly reduce recidivism, helping us to 8 bring down the jail population, also saving 9 10 the taxpayers a lot of money. SENATOR MARCHIONE: It sounds like a 11 12 good program. I just wondered whether they 13 were going on the city payroll afterwards, 14 but you've answered that. You said no --MAYOR DE BLASIO: No. 15 SENATOR MARCHIONE: -- they're at 16 17 not-for-profits. 18 One other thing -- and I have a few 19 minutes left -- you talked about wanting to 20 have early voting. Every statistic that I've 21 seen, and perhaps you could share your 22 statistics with me, state that early voting doesn't really give a lot of -- any 23 24 additional voting, but it does come with a

1	price tag. And I'm surprised that your
2	testimony didn't request that the state
3	provide the additional funds, as that is also
4	an unfunded mandate.
5	And I've heard throughout the
6	testimony and I understand, I'm a local
7	government person myself for over 30 years.
8	I get those unfunded mandates. I'm just
9	surprised, Mayor, that you weren't concerned
10	about this being an unfunded mandate.
11	MAYOR DE BLASIO: That's a very fair
12	point, Senator.
13	And honestly, my fervor to get the
14	reform comes first in this case. I'm very
15	quick to note when there is an unfunded
16	mandate. But I think on a basic matter of
17	democracy, before we even talk about how to
18	pay for it, the most important point is
19	making it easier for people to participate.
20	To think that there's 2 million people
21	in our state who are not participating, who
22	are eligible right this moment, not even
23	registered and we know that is directly
24	connected to how difficult it is to vote. We

1	see much better participation levels in
2	states that have early voting, for example,
3	and same-day registration.
4	So honestly, to me, it's not first and
5	foremost a financial issue, it's more a
6	question of helping to strengthen our
7	democracy.
8	SENATOR MARCHIONE: Thank you. I
9	just I'd appreciate those statistics if
10	you have them, because I have
11	MAYOR DE BLASIO: We'll get those for
12	you.
13	SENATOR MARCHIONE: I have
14	statistics that prove otherwise.
15	But thank you so much for answering my
16	questions.
17	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
19	Assemblyman Castorina.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN CASTORINA: Thank you,
21	Mr. Mayor. Always appreciate you coming up
22	here, and appreciated your testimony today.
23	I have a couple of questions. I'll be
24	brief. The federal Tax Cuts and Jobs Act

created an unanticipated tax-revenue windfall
 for New York if New York state does not
 decouple portions of our tax code from the
 federal tax law. Specifically, taxpayers
 would see a \$1.5 billion tax increase on
 their state personal income taxes.

How does New York State conforming to
federal tax law impact New York City's income
tax receipts? And also, New York City would
see additional income tax revenue if the
state doesn't change the law. What are you
estimating that these receipts will be?

MAYOR DE BLASIO: Well, we actually
looked at this -- I appreciate the question
very much, Assemblymember. We looked at this
a little differently.

17 We believe that that burden placed on 18 our taxpayers by ending the deductibility --19 which as you know has been in place since 20 1913 -- we believe it was a huge mistake and 21 decided not to recognize that new revenue. 22 So you do not see it in our preliminary budget, because it's our intention to work 23 24 with the state to find a way to blunt the

1 impact and hopefully allow people to not pay 2 a higher level than they would have had deductibility still been there. 3 4 So we do not note it as new revenue. 5 We believe fundamentally that if we all work together, we can find a way to protect those 6 7 taxpayers, and then there would not be new 8 revenue. ASSEMBLYMAN CASTORINA: Mr. Mayor, 9 10 just -- I want to make a statement real 11 briefly. In fairness to the millions of 12 people that are now seeing more money in 13 their paycheck, their take-home pay, I don't 14 necessarily believe that they would feel that it's a mistake. 15 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Well, I'm sorry --16 17 just to be clear -- I'm sorry to interrupt. 18 On that one piece, I think it's strongly 19 believed -- this is a bipartisan consensus in this state -- that the loss of deductibility 20 21 was a mistake. I'm just -- we can debate the 22 other fine points of the bill, but I would 23 argue that one has been a bipartisan 24 consensus in New York State.

1 ASSEMBLYMAN CASTORINA: Thank you, 2 Mr. Mayor. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 3 4 Before we move to the Senate, I just 5 wanted to note that Speaker Heastie is 6 keeping an eye on our proceedings from the 7 corner there. 8 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 9 Our next speaker is the ranking 10 member --11 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Good morning, 12 Mr. Speaker. 13 SPEAKER HEASTIE: How are you. 14 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Good to see you. 15 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Oh, okay. Our next 16 Senate speaker is Senator Roxanne Persaud, 17 who is ranking member on the Cities 18 Committee. 19 SENATOR PERSAUD: Thank you. 20 Good morning, Mr. Mayor. It's always 21 great to see you here in Albany --22 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Good morning. 23 SENATOR PERSAUD: -- and in the 24 district. You were in my district a couple

of days ago talking about 3-K for all. I
 appreciate what you're doing for the kids in
 Brownsville in particular.

4 You talked about Crossroads and Ella 5 McQueen. I just want to touch on that. There's a concern in Ocean Hill-Brownsville 6 7 that with your intentions to open Ella McQueen and expand the Crossroads, at the 8 same time you're closing -- DOE is proposing 9 10 to close the only high school in Ocean Hills-Brownsville. The people of Brownsville 11 12 don't feel that should be, you're looking at 13 the criminal element while taking away the 14 only high school.

15 So, you know, we met with your staff 16 last week and we're asking you to look at 17 that. Because I think while you're looking 18 to open criminal justice centers, one is just 19 two blocks away from the only high school, 20 and the other is six blocks away. So I'd 21 just ask you to look into that.

22 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Senator, if I may 23 say, when you're right, you're right. We 24 believe that that plan that we originally put

1 forward related to the school -- which was 2 based on some real solid numerical challenges 3 that we're seeing in that school. But we 4 believe that community leaders, yourself 5 included, raised very good points about ways that we could approach the situation, so 6 7 we're going to put that original plan on pause, restart with the community, and see 8 9 what we can do to strengthen that school and 10 move forward for another year with that school and see if we can give it a deeper 11 12 turnaround.

As you know, the vision of the Renewal School program was to invest heavily for three years. And we've seen some that we do not believe can be turned around, that we are closing, or some that we already closed or merged.

19This school had a mix of results, but20there were real concerns. The communities21raised excellent points that we want to honor22by adding a year and adding some additional23investment and seeing if we can get it to be24sustainable on a long-term basis.

1	SENATOR PERSAUD: Thank you.
2	I also want to commend you and the
3	NYPD for, you know, your emphasis on
4	community policing. And when we're talking
5	about New York City being the safest city
6	it's been in a number of years, I appreciate
7	that. And I hope that the precincts I
8	cover seven precincts will be allocated
9	the resources they need to continue to fight
10	crime, so that we are going to continue
11	talking about a decrease in crime for many,
12	many years to come.
13	I also want to talk about the MTA. As
14	you know, the people of Canarsie have
15	presented you with, you know, a fabulous
16	folder of signatures.
17	MAYOR DE BLASIO: A very large number
18	of signatures. I remember.
19	SENATOR PERSAUD: A very large number
20	of signatures. We are committed to that.
21	With the closure of the Canarsie Tube
22	for the rebuilding, and you know the L train,
23	there will be less L train service for the
24	

hoping that you will look into bringing the
 ferry service to Canarsie. As you know, the
 ferry service has been very successful in the
 areas that you've opened it. You reached the
 1 million rider threshold faster than anyone
 anticipated.

7 Can we count on your commitment to
8 really looking into bringing the ferry
9 service to that area of Brooklyn? Because it
10 will be the only other alternative that we
11 have.

12 MAYOR DE BLASIO: So Senator, just a quick framing on this. The initial ferry 13 14 expansion will go into the summer, when we're 15 going to add a line in Soundview in the 16 Bronx. And we're going to add another line 17 on the East Side of Manhattan. Then once those are all in place, I have said very 18 19 publicly we will make the decisions on the 20 next potential set of lines.

21 Now, we have real budgetary matters we 22 have to look at. It's very much caught up in 23 what happens here with the state budget and 24 what happens in Washington. But there's been

1a number of nominations. Certainly Staten2Island, southern Brooklyn, northeastern3Queens, a number of places have said please4consider ferry service for us. So this5summer we're going to come back with an6answer once we've seen what happens on the7budget front.

8 The second point I'd make is where 9 there's exceptional situations, like the L 10 train closure, I think you make a good point. 11 We need to look at that as a particular need 12 for a particular period of time, and we will do that as well. I'm not going to commit 13 14 yet, but we're going to certainly put that 15 into the calculation as a major factor that 16 has to be considered for the people of 17 Canarsie. 18 SENATOR PERSAUD: Thank you again. Thank you very much. 19 20 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 21 Assemblywoman Malliotakis. 22 ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: Good morning, Mr. Mayor. It's so nice to be able 23

24 to ask you a question without Bo Dietl

1 interrupting.

2 (Laughter.) 3 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Yes, we're so much 4 civiller when it's just us together. ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: With 5 6 regards to the property taxes that we were 7 discussing here today -- and I add my voice 8 to those of my colleagues -- that when we 9 talk about the increase in spending that the 10 city has put forth, from \$70 billion, roughly, in the last year of Mayor Bloomberg 11 12 to now looking at over \$80 billion, that's putting a tremendous burden on property 13 14 taxes. And as you know, a third, roughly, of 15 the city's revenue comes from that. 16 But to highlight some of the 17 inequities, I happened to bring my property tax bill. I happened to bring yours as well. 18 But the point --19 MAYOR DE BLASIO: How convenient. 20 21 (Laughter.) ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: You have 22 to have exhibits, right? Mine, a \$559,000 23 24 home. I pay roughly \$5,400 in property

1	taxes. You have a \$1.6 million home; you pay
2	roughly \$3500 in property taxes. So I am
3	paying, you know, \$2,000 more for a home
4	that's valued at about a third.
5	And that is really what this issue is
6	about. It's the inequity of the effective
7	tax rate. Where, for example, in your
8	district, which you pay the least effective
9	tax rate, it's 1.05 percent. And
10	unfortunately for me in my district, my
11	council district, I pay the I'm sorry, I
12	pay the highest, at 1.05 percent. You pay
13	the least, at 0.33 percent.
14	So that is why the property tax
15	commission is so important. I'm glad that
16	over the summer you've agreed to do that.
17	I've offered my assistance in any way I can
18	to support this, because I think it is
19	something for all of our constituents that is
20	very important.
21	My question is regarding the property
22	tax levy. You've testified here today that
23	the tax rate has not gone up, and it hasn't

1	However, what has gone up is the property tax
2	levy, which is what you as mayor and the City
3	Council members have control over.
4	And so in 2015, that levy went up
5	6.14 percent. In 2016, it went up 6.88
6	percent. In 2017, it went up 6.83 percent.
7	In 2018, it's 7.49 percent.
8	Now, you as the mayor and the City
9	Council members have control over that levy.
10	And why do you keep increasing it every year,
11	and what can we anticipate for the fiscal
12	year 2019? Because cumulatively, it has gone
13	up over the last four years 37.71 percent,
14	which is an additional \$7 billion on property
15	tax homeowners. And that's that is
16	something I think that is upsetting to a lot
17	of people across the city, particularly those
18	who are paying that higher effective tax rate
19	such as those in my district.
20	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Assemblymember, just
21	let me break that into a couple of quick
22	pieces.
23	The inconsistency among neighborhoods
24	makes no sense, has to be addressed. It's

1 something about our current tax law that 2 doesn't account for the reality of our lives 3 today. And that's why we will have a process 4 very soon. And we will need your help, and I 5 appreciated your offer to help. I will certainly take you up on that, because we 6 7 have to get to a more consistent and transparent system. 8

I've tried to be honest with people, 9 10 and I find that New Yorkers appreciate blunt 11 honesty. And again, I've said it at 51 town 12 hall meetings. I've explained, first of all, 13 this is based on rising property values, 14 which none of us like the tax impact, but we 15 do appreciate that our property values go up. 16 And second, that our property tax levels are 17 proportionally much lower than the rest of 18 the state.

But really it comes down to what are we providing people for the money that they are contributing. And I have to tell you, you know, a lot of that, you're right, there's been a substantial increase in the budget. One of the reasons why was at the

1 end of the Bloomberg administration, none of 2 our city workforce, pushing on towards almost 3 400,000 people, none of them were under 4 contract. Some of them had years and years 5 when they weren't under contract previous. All of that had to be accounted for. 6 7 So we were all left to make up for that, which we've done now. But that did 8 9 increase the budget greatly. 10 ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: And I appreciate that. I'm sure that if you and I 11 12 both sat down with the budget, I'm sure there would be differences in what we would like to 13 14 eliminate or we'd like to add. 15 But it doesn't take away from the fact 16 that the city as well as the state needs to 17 live within its means. And the issue here is that the levy, which the city -- without a 18 19 property tax commission, without any action 20 from the state -- you and the City Council 21 alone have control over that property tax 22 levy. And you've chosen to increase it year after year to a point where it's a cumulative 23 24 increase of 37 percent. That's \$7 billion

1 more that we're seeking from the taxpayers. 2 So I ask if you would consider 3 freezing that levy, or at least a cap --4 which had been suggested here, and we of 5 course have legislation, which you've opposed, unfortunately. But I really think 6 7 at least for a year, can you look at perhaps 8 capping that levy to a reasonable rate to give -- until we complete this commission 9 10 study and find a better solution to revise 11 what has been an outdated law? We both 12 agree, 1981, it's outdated, the housing stock 13 has changed, times have changed. 14 But we do need some type of relief 15 until that commission is in place. And I 16 think by freezing or capping the property tax 17 levy, that's the way to do it for now. 18 Thank you. 19 MAYOR DE BLASIO: I respect the 20 question. Obviously I respect the idea 21 you're putting forward. I don't agree. 22 And the reason is that my job is to protect the safety of the people of this 23 24 city, my job is to make sure schools are

1 getting better, roads are being paved,

everything we're doing. People demand those services rightfully. And if we're going to look at the overall dynamics of the property tax, we should do it all of a piece. We should put all the pieces together and do it properly. So that's how I believe is the right way to proceed.

Again, people very much -- when I talk 9 10 to them about the specific things we could 11 not do if we changed our approach to property 12 tax, consistently I hear from my constituents they do not want us to cut back on the 13 14 services we provide to the people of the 15 city. In fact, wherever I go, and I know you both would agree with me, you've been at the 16 17 same town hall meetings, people are demanding 18 more services.

19So I would argue the right way to20approach this is with an overall effort to21reform the property tax system.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: Well,
 certainly --

24 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.

1 ASSEMBLYWOMAN MALLIOTAKIS: Thank you 2 very much. I appreciate it. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 3 4 Our next speaker is Senator Brian 5 Benjamin. 6 SENATOR BENJAMIN: Thank you, 7 Mr. Mayor, for being here this morning. I want to start off with Rikers 8 9 Island. First, I want to thank you for your 10 specificity in your testimony in regards to 11 some of the things that need to be done to 12 reduce the population. I have a few 13 questions. 14 First, how many state parolees are 15 currently housed in Rikers Island for 16 technical violations? 17 MAYOR DE BLASIO: I have to get you the exact number. The state parolees --18 19 wait, I've been handed the exact number, look 20 at that. 21 SENATOR BENJAMIN: Fantastic. 22 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Six hundred thirty 23 on an average day. 24 So let's clarify this, that these

1 are -- because parole is handled by the 2 state, systematically. The parole violation 3 under the state domain still ends up with the 4 individual being in the city jail. 5 We're saying if the state were to take responsibility for those 630 people on an 6 7 average day, relevant to the state's own 8 parole program, that would reduce Rikers' population about 15 percent, just that one 9

10

action.

11 SENATOR BENJAMIN: Gotcha. Okay. 12 A second question is if there's 13 anything missing. I mean, I know sometimes 14 you have to truncate these testimonies. But 15 is there anything missing in terms of ideas 16 that you have that the state can do, in 17 addition to all these other ones that you 18 provided to us this morning? 19 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Well, these, 20 Senator, would be hugely important. I mean, 21 number one would be the bail reform and --22 SENATOR BENJAMIN: Speedy trial. MAYOR DE BLASIO: Yeah, the speedy 23 24 trial. I mean, right there you're talking

1 about shaving off a substantial amount of 2 time in our effort to close Rikers. 3 I don't think there's any one factor 4 more important than that. So literally, for 5 those -- and I know you're one of them -- who passionately want to see the speediest 6 7 possible timeline, I've said -- we've had detailed conversations -- I want the 8 9 speediest possible timeline too, but I'm 10 always going to be blunt and honest with the people about what we see it as at this 11 12 moment. 13 If this Legislature were to pass bail 14 reform and speedy trial, it would be the 15 single biggest factor in reducing the 16 timeline for closing Rikers, unquestionably. 17 But obviously the parolee issue is a very big deal and would be a very helpful piece of the 18 19 equation. 20 And we will continue to delineate -- I 21 mean, right now the state has facilities in 22 the city. We would like to be able to work

24 productively. There's many things we could

23

with the state on those facilities more

1 do. But number one is the legislation. 2 SENATOR BENJAMIN: Sure. So let me just ask -- as you know, you have proposed 3 4 closing Rikers in 10 years, or committed to 5 that. MAYOR DE BLASIO: Yes. 6 7 SENATOR BENJAMIN: I have introduced a piece of legislation to close Rikers in three 8 years. Obviously, there's a time delta 9 10 between the two of us. My question for you is, let's imagine 11 12 a world where we were able to pass every 13 single thing that you've asked for in this 14 testimony, including design-build. What 15 would you say would be a reasonable 16 timetable, assuming all this gets passed, 17 that we can close Rikers? I would imagine it 18 would be less than 10 years. 19 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Unquestionably it 20 would be less than 10 years. So --21 SENATOR BENJAMIN: Okay. Do you have 22 a sense of timing of what you think? MAYOR DE BLASIO: Well, let me give 23 24 you a few points very quickly. I mean,

1 you're talking about now major structural change that we would love and we would 2 3 appreciate and it would make a huge 4 difference. Design-build, that we can't do 5 on our own; the parolees, we can't do on our own; speedy trial, we can't do on our own; 6 7 bail reform, we can't do on our own. 8 If you did the whole package, you're immediately talking about taking years off 9 10 the process. 11 SENATOR BENJAMIN: Any sense of years? 12 MAYOR DE BLASIO: I want to be 13 careful, because I want to do the math with 14 the team and come back with something very 15 specific. Unquestionably, multiple years. 16 And that's huge in this process. 17 We are aggressively moving with the 18 City Council on the land use process to create the four new facilities. Land use 19 20 process, you know plenty about it. Right 21 there, that books in a certain amount of 22 time, and then construction books in a certain amount of time. But those pieces are 23 24 going to move as aggressively as allowed

1 under the law. That alone, we know that's 2 the physical requirement. And honestly, that 3 is more than three years just because we know 4 what it takes to build major buildings in 5 New York City even under the most expedited 6 timelines. 7 But if the state would act on all 8 those pieces, it would be a game changer and at minimum take off several years. And I can 9 10 come back with you on what exactly we would 11 project. 12 SENATOR BENJAMIN: So possibly five. MAYOR DE BLASIO: I don't want to --13 14 again, I want to be careful not to put words 15 in my own mouth. 16 SENATOR BENJAMIN: I understand. I 17 understand. I understand. MAYOR DE BLASIO: Years plural, 18 19 unquestionably. SENATOR BENJAMIN: Gotcha. So I've 20 21 got a quick question on education. So one of the schools in my district, 22 Wadleigh Secondary School, runs from 6 to 12. 23 24 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Which one, sir?

1 SENATOR BENJAMIN: Wadleigh Secondary 2 School. MAYOR DE BLASIO: Wadleigh, yes. Yes, 3 4 I know it. I've been there. 5 SENATOR BENJAMIN: It is a Community Renewal school, and it's getting all the fair 6 7 funding, fair state funding. 8 Unfortunately, in the 6th to 8th grade levels, proficiency in reading and math have 9 10 not improved over the years. So two 11 questions to that. 12 Number one is, if you noticed -- and 13 particularly in these schools that get this extra funding, if you notice that there's not 14 15 performance, you know, who's held 16 accountable, I guess. You know, at what point do you say, listen, this superintendent 17 or this principal is not performing and they 18 need to be removed or terminated or some new 19 20 thing has to come into place? That's number 21 one. 22 The second thing is as you look for a new schools chancellor, how are you taking 23 24 into considering their focus on issues like

1 student performance and making sure that as 2 we do things like Community Renewal 3 schools -- which I totally agree with -- that 4 there is some sort of accountability as it 5 relates to schools that have been chronically underperforming? 6 7 MAYOR DE BLASIO: It's an excellent question. 8 So it certainly will be and has been a 9 10 major factor in how we choose a new chancellor. I'm convinced the Renewal 11 12 initiative was the right thing to do. And we 13 said from the beginning we believe the number 14 of schools could graduate, and we're seeing 15 that now. We believe some other schools, 16 given enough work and investment, might take 17 a little longer but can get there. We 18 believe that's playing out. 19 But from the beginning we also said if 20 you make that kind of investment in a 21 concentrated way and a school doesn't come 22 around, some just don't have capacity to 23 improve past a point, then we'll close them 24 or we'll merge them, which we've been doing.

1 SENATOR BENJAMIN: How do you know if 2 it's an issue between the school or the leadership? I mean, how do you decide --3 4 MAYOR DE BLASIO: It's an excellent 5 point. And I've talked to the chancellor case by case in a number of situations about 6 7 each school and what we assessed. In some cases we did feel that there was a leadership 8 problem. In other cases, we did not. 9 10 Many times you've seen with the Renewal Schools a change of leadership. 11 And 12 many times you've seen also a deep superintendent involvement. A superintendent 13 14 typically has many, many schools they cover 15 in a district, so a single historically 16 troubled school not turning around as quickly 17 as we want, I'm not sure that would be the 18 only way we'd think about that 19 superintendent. But that superintendent 20 clearly bears some responsibility. But most 21 especially we look at the principal. Some 22 principals have done an outstanding job turning around troubled schools. Others have 23 24 not, there's been some major personnel

1 changes.

2	At the end of the day, we're going to
3	look at all of it. We're not afraid to make
4	those personnel changes. We've made a lot of
5	them as you know, right down to the level
6	of teachers. Since I came into office, we've
7	helped over 2,000 teachers to find a
8	different profession because they were not
9	doing as well as we would have liked for our
10	kids. So it's always factored in.
11	In the case of Wadleigh, I know the
12	history. We think pieces of that program are
13	viable long-term, other things need to
14	change. We'll have more to say on that soon.
15	But that's one I've definitely been directly
16	involved with.
17	SENATOR BENJAMIN: Thank you,
18	Mr. Mayor.
19	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you.
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
21	Our Education chair, Cathy Nolan.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: Thank you, Madam
23	Chair and colleagues. It's been a continual
24	struggle in all the years that I'm up here to

1 remind members and the folk that we educate 2 over -- several million children in our 3 state, the vast majority in public school, 4 but over a million of them are in the City of 5 New York. The next largest school district, Buffalo, is a little over 30,000. Most 6 7 school districts of the close to 700 in our state educate about 5,000 -- many of them, 8 about 5,000. I had more people at my high 9 10 school. We had 6,000 in the high school I attended. 11 12 So the scale of the city schools and 13 the -- more children go to schools in 14 trailers in New York City that in many --15 they're, for example, the size of many of the 16 school districts on, say, Long Island. 17 So the challenges for the city are 18 very, very great. And in all the years I'm 19 here, it's only in recent years that the 20 state has really come close to doing its 21 proper share of funding. 22 So what I would like the mayor to talk a little bit about is the role that 23 24 Foundation Aid plays in righting that

1 balance, in addressing those problems.

2 I would also point out some of the 3 comments today -- we've worked very closely 4 on things like a cafeteria bill. The 5 information in some of that story came out of a bill that we worked on under your 6 7 leadership, so that parents would have more information. The same with Renewal Schools, 8 the same with the reauthorization of mayoral 9 10 control last year. 11 There is a vast and overwhelming 12 amount of information available about every 13 city school online, very easily available. 14 One of the advantages of mayoral control in 15 the last 15 years has been the centralization 16 of that information. When I started, you 17 could not get that information. Now that information is available. 18

19But the main thrust of this hearing20for me, and all the hearings that I've21attended under five mayors, is to get --22obviously, as chair of Education, I want to23have a statewide focus. I want the formula24to reflect the neediest kids, the special ed

1 kids. But I also, as someone from Queens --2 we haven't even had anybody from Queens talk 3 yet, so I want to make sure I make the local 4 shout-out. But I think, as someone from the 5 city and a long-time observer of the Albany scene, we have over a million children. 6 We 7 have never really gotten what I believe they 8 should get.

9 So the role of Foundation Aid and the 10 Campaign for Fiscal Equity was critical in starting to reverse that dynamic. But I 11 12 would like you to address it a little more -there's only a sentence in the testimony --13 14 and talk a little bit more about what this 15 budget does that might hurt city schools. 16 Because in the past, we've had a few 17 opportunities -- unfortunately, the 18 compromises that go on up here, and I felt 19 that city schools were unfairly hurt.

20 So I would like to hear you talk about 21 a little bit about that and talk a little bit 22 more about the million students that attend 23 school in New York City. And then remind 24 people that the next largest district is 30,000, and most districts are much smaller
 than that.

3 MAYOR DE BLASIO: You're absolutely 4 right, Assemblymember. And in fact there are 5 more kids in New York City public schools than there are of people in some states of 6 7 the union, that's the sheer magnitude of it. 8 And there was historic underinvestment in our schools. The Campaign for Fiscal 9 10 Equity case was decided by the Court of 11 Appeals. It wasn't gray, it was a clear 12 decision mandating the state -- not just for the City of New York, but for other cities 13 14 around the state and for rural areas as well 15 that were not getting their fair share. 16 I would argue that not only do we have 17 a legal obligation as a state to live up to the CFE decision, but also in terms of 18 19 preparing our kids for the 21st century, it's 20 the best investment we're going to make for 21 the future of our state.

22 What does it mean in terms of the 23 city, as you asked? Well, first of all, 24 you're right, there's a huge amount of

information we put forward. This is an
 advantage of mayoral control, is everything
 is concentrated and focused. There's one
 person held accountable, that's me. And we
 provide a huge amount of information to the
 state and publicly available online about all
 that we're doing.

On what we would do if we were getting 8 the levels of funding mandated under the CFE 9 10 decision, we would address the fair funding 11 formula immediately. That would be one of 12 the most important priorities that we would have. If we saw the kind of increases that 13 14 were mandated under the CFE decision, we 15 would close that gap on fair funding. We'd 16 be able to do that in just a few years and be 17 done forever. Every school would be at the 100 percent level. I know there's a lot of 18 19 passion on that issue amongst your 20 colleagues. Help us to get there.

The gap that you asked about right now is over \$200 million compared to what we expected just based on recent years' funding -- not even compared to what it would

1 be if CFE were fully implemented. So if we 2 could get back to the kind of funding we saw 3 in previous years, we would immediately 4 invest that in addressing fair funding. If 5 we got to the levels mandated by CFE, we could wipe out the gap and have all schools 6 7 funded at the 100 percent level. 8 ASSEMBLYWOMAN NOLAN: And then the proposals on some of the charter school 9 10 funding, how does that hurt the City of New York? 11 12 MAYOR DE BLASIO: It hurts us because 13 it is the epitome of an unfunded mandate. 14 This is money that was mandated by the state 15 that the state used to contribute toward. 16 Now the state is backing away from the 17 contribution while raising the requirements under the mandate. 18 19 So you heard the numbers I referred 20 to. This is becoming very, very substantial 21 money. And this is not -- you know, the city

22 certainly didn't ask for these additional 23 mandates. But if the state wants to put them 24 on, then it's incumbent, in my view, on the

1	state to add the funding to go with it.
2	Hundreds of millions of dollars.
3	By the way, again, if you're talking
4	about a devotion to addressing the fair
5	funding, then get rid of that unfunded
6	mandate, and that's another way that would
7	help us continue to make efforts on fair
8	funding.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
10	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Hannon.
11	SENATOR HANNON: Thank you very much.
12	Thank you very much, Mr. Mayor.
13	Appreciate your patience and your articulate
14	presentation.
15	I noticed in your written testimony
16	you made scant mention of the healthcare
17	system in New York City, mentioning only an
18	Obama-era change in disproportionate share
19	payments. But I would like to know what the
20	city is doing in its commitment to moving
21	forward with now H+H, because those hospitals
22	form a core of healthcare in the city, and it
23	has not been objectively sustained in the
24	past few years not just your

administration, but your obligation really
 now in going forward.

3 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Senator, I 4 appreciate the question very much, and thank 5 you for your kind comment. I would say this. You're absolutely right that we need 6 7 to put our public hospitals on a sustainable footing. Our new president of our public 8 hospitals, of H+H, has immediately taken the 9 10 reins with the goal of taking the transformation plan that's been begun and 11 12 deepening it.

We've said very clearly we'll make major cost reductions through attrition, that we have to update the entire apparatus, we have to make it more appealing to a larger customer base.

18I've been clear about the things we19won't do. We're not going to close major20facilities, we're going to repurpose them,21because we think there's a tremendous amount22of need that we can address in a smarter way.23We're not doing layoffs of members of24labor unions who have been serving the city,

1 but we are transferring people, retraining 2 people. We're doing a number of other things to reduce costs. But it could never --3 4 SENATOR HANNON: Have you made that in 5 terms of the timetable? Is there an announced program to do that? 6 7 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Yes. SENATOR HANNON: I've heard the same 8 thing when you had the prior head of H+H come 9 10 in, and then he left when apparently none of that was able to be done. 11 12 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Well, no, I wouldn't say -- Senator, I would argue that he did 13 14 make -- Mr. Raju made major reforms that 15 helped us to create more of a pathway to 16 sustainability. 17 And, you know, a few years ago the 18 city had to put very substantial resources in just for the solvency of Health + Hospitals. 19 20 We have not had to do that this year. The financial situation has stabilized. 21 22 The danger now, the biggest danger is what will happen with the DSH payments, first 23 24 and foremost, and then any potential danger

1 in terms of Medicaid cuts up ahead from the 2 federal government. But if those problems 3 can be averted, we're in a much more stable 4 place because we did a lot of attrition. I 5 will say under Mr. Raju and then under the interim leader, Stan Brezenoff, we moved out 6 7 a lot of personnel to reduce costs and we began a lot of the restructuring. Mitch Katz 8 is now going to take it to the next level, 9 10 and you're going to see additional 11 restructuring. 12 But we have no illusions, Senator, that the previous way of doing things was 13 14 acceptable. It was not sustainable, your 15 word is the exact right word. We're making 16 major changes. 17 SENATOR HANNON: We agree. 18 Maybe we don't agree on the next 19 topic, which is getting the subways to run. 20 In your testimony you talked about trying to 21 say that you don't have capital obligation 22 commitments from the City of New York, and

23 you go through the long history. Well, I've
24 gone through that history also and I think

the city does have capital obligations as
 well as has obligations to what we're trying
 to do for operating expenses.

And I really have to disagree with
your statement in your testimony that you
don't have the capital obligations.
Mr. Lhota has pointed out you still own the
subway, even though it's operated by the MTA
under lease.

10 But we need to get a commitment out of 11 this going forward. I have constituents --12 we're probably out of the outer boroughs, out 13 near Long Island, but they depend on the 14 subway as much as anybody else who uses it. 15 And you made -- in your own testimony you 16 said 70 percent of the riders are New York 17 City residents. Well, we got the other 18 30 percent, and they count on it too.

19 MAYOR DE BLASIO: I'd absolutely agree 20 with that point. And we want to make sure 21 that there's a fair resolution for the subway 22 situation. Now, that 70 percent I referred 23 to is where all the revenue is coming from, 24 New York City taxpayers and New York City

1 government making contributions right now. 2 And I remind you of the \$2.5 billion that we 3 added in capital -- not because we had a 4 legal mandate, we did not. We made a choice 5 to do that. Look, I would argue, Senator, that we 6 7 saw an evolution over 65 years that regardless of the underlying ownership 8 9 structure, what's happened is the State of 10 New York has come to control the MTA -- the decision-making, the budget, all elements of 11 12 the MTA reality. We are willing to work, as we do very 13 14 productively in some areas -- for example, 15 Select Bus Service has been a collaboration 16 between the city and the MTA. We both fund 17 it, it's been very effective. But that's been where there's been a fair 18 decision-making process and specific goals 19 20 we've agreed to. But we do not agree --21 SENATOR HANNON: My point is when it 22 came to redoing subway stations, you guys were going to veto it, and you got the MTA to 23 24 delay it. That shows that you have a certain

1 amount of power there, like a vote, and you 2 can control these things if you want. 3 But it's not so much the legal 4 obligation -- which I would contend in the 5 middle of it we had to change, because the city almost went bankrupt in the '70s. Had 6 7 to change. So we've continued from there. 8 But I think it's more of the policy obligation that the city and the state faces 9 10 to make sure the system works so we can 11 maintain a great viability in the economy. 12 And I don't find the mutual commitment to 13 that that's necessary. 14 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Senator, let me --15 with all due respect to the point you made 16 about that specific vote, where we were in 17 the minority but we believe that the plan that was offered did not address the 18 19 essential problem, which is the subways not 20 running on time and not being reliable. 21 SENATOR HANNON: I think you had the 22 veto power. Is that not correct? MAYOR DE BLASIO: Again, on that vote 23 24 we were in the minority. We stated a

1 position which I stand by, that the spending 2 by the MTA should focus on the fundamental operations of the subways, not the platforms, 3 4 not other extraneous matters, but getting the 5 subways to work on time. We do not have a controlling vote on that board. 6 7 The fact is, we've made major contributions, we put a lot of money into the 8 MTA right now, directly from the city 9 10 government and also through our taxpayers and 11 our residents. We made that capital 12 contribution. But the notion that the overall 13 14 capital costs would suddenly be foisted on 15 the city and the state continues to control the MTA apparatus -- that is a fact, that 16 17 Mr. Lhota was appointed by the Governor. 18 That's abundantly clear. 19 SENATOR HANNON: Mr. Mayor, we have a 20 \$30 billion capital program. The state's 21 putting in about 30 percent, the city's 22 putting in about 9 percent. I think the difference, the disparity, is enormous. 23 24 I think it's not so much the numbers,

1 though. It's the commitment to do it,

2 improve the system, make it move forward so 3 we don't have a summer of hell.

4 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Senator, again, your 5 arguments are -- I respect them, I understand 6 the logic. I only ask that you hear my 7 logic.

8 One, 65 years of experience tells us 9 the truth. The city is not legally 10 responsible for those capital costs. We're 11 responsible for education, public safety, 12 sanitation, a whole host of things, but we're 13 not responsible for the capital costs of the 14 MTA.

15 Second, we are very concerned about 16 the outcome. We would like to see a good 17 outcome. We have contributed previously voluntarily. That's what that 2.5 billion --18 19 that was not based on any specific legal 20 requirement we did that. We did that because 21 we thought it was a fair situation to 22 contribute to.

But now that we're talking about thelong-term needs of this agency, again, we may

1 disagree but we've put forward -- I've 2 certainly supported, with a number of members 3 of the Assembly and the Senate, have 4 supported the notion of a millionaire's tax 5 with the Fair Fare attached as the best way to move forward. Others disagree. 6 7 We are going to work with this Legislature very collegially toward whatever 8 is the right long-term solution. But there 9 10 must be, I believe, at the end of this 11 session a long-term funding mechanism for the 12 MTA. That's in all of our interests. But 13 that does not answer the fundamental question 14 of how decisions are currently made in the 15 MTA. The City of New York does not -- there 16 may be a few narrow areas where we 17 structurally have some power, but in terms of 18 the MTA board, ultimately we don't. We don't 19 decide the budget, we don't decide the 20 leadership, we don't decide the direction, we 21 don't decide the spending priorities. 22 So I would just caution that I think 23

those realities are quite clear that -- but

of course we want to be at the table with you

24

to determine a solution. We absolutely want
 a solution. And it must be a long-term
 funding mechanism.

4 SENATOR HANNON: Then you're going to 5 need suggestions, and not the millionaire's 6 tax, which probably isn't even alive on 7 arrival, being dead at the beginning. So we 8 need many more suggestions than we've heard.

MAYOR DE BLASIO: And we -- my team 9 10 and I will sit at the table with members of 11 the Legislature throughout this session, 12 because I would argue by June 30 this issue has to be resolved for the long term for all 13 14 of us, and we will work with the Legislature, 15 both houses, Democrat and Republican alike, to help achieve that solution. We're 16 17 absolutely ready to do that.

I remind you we -- in the proposal on the millionaire's tax -- and I understand ideologically there are some real differences, potentially. But I do want to say working with members of the Senate and Assembly, we did structure it just to be directed at New York City residents, to try

1 and respect the fact it's a New York City 2 problem, we're looking for New York City 3 resources to solve the problem, in that case 4 through taxation. But if that is not what 5 ultimately is going to win the day, we will 6 work with this Legislature on other options. 7 SENATOR HANNON: Thank you. 8 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you. 9 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 10 We've been joined by Assemblywoman 11 Wallace and Assemblyman Dan Stec. 12 And to Assemblyman Buchwald for a 13 question. 14 ASSEMBLYMAN BUCHWALD: Thank you, 15 Madam Chairwoman. 16 And thank you, Mr. Mayor, for being 17 here, as always. 18 A week and a half ago at one of these 19 hearings I gave MTA Chairman Joe Lhota an 20 opportunity to interpret some of your 21 remarks, and you'll be happy to learn that he passed on the opportunity. But I'd like to 22 ask --23 24 (Laughter.)

1 MAYOR DE BLASIO: He's a gentleman. 2 ASSEMBLYMAN BUCHWALD: I'm sure. 3 But I'd like to ask you the question directly. Mr. Mayor, you've urged, including 4 5 today, that assurances be given that revenues raised through any Manhattan car-pricing zone 6 7 go to New York City subways and buses. Can 8 you help the State Legislature to understand the implications of that request? 9 10 Are you saying that the money 11 collected from transportation needs to be 12 dedicated to transportation? Which is a 13 principle I very much agree with. Are you 14 saying that you're opposed to even a small 15 percentage of funding going to the roads and 16 bridges of New York City? Or are you saying 17 that you are opposed to any of the new revenue going to the MTA's commuter 18 19 railroads, despite the fact that a 20 significant percentage of the money raised 21 will come from residents of the suburbs of 22 New York City, and the fact that the better the service on Long Island Railroad and 23 24 Metro-North Railroad, the less congestion

1 there will be in New York's central business
2 district?

3 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Assemblymember, I 4 understand the arguments you make, of course. 5 But I would like to refer to a point that the Senator made before, that folks who come into 6 7 the city from the suburban counties -- and we value them, we appreciate them, all they do 8 9 for the city. And we think the city does a 10 lot for the metropolitan area; we're all in this together. But they depend on our buses 11 12 and subways every single day as well.

13 I would argue that the money raised 14 should be singularly focused on buses and 15 subways. And the reason I'd argue that, we 16 have tremendous other needs infrastructure-17 wise. The City of New York is putting a huge 18 commitment into our roads and bridges. We 19 have, I think the fact is, over a hundred 20 bridges that are over a hundred years old in 21 New York City. So we have massive 22 infrastructure needs.

23 Our hope and prayer is to address that24 through eventually some kind of bigger

federal infrastructure plan. I think it's
 the only way viable to do that on a
 sustainable basis.

4 But in terms of the needs of the MTA, 5 which I think is core to the future of the city and the state, we've got to fix this 6 7 system once and for all. And we only do that with a consistent revenue infusion and a 8 focus on the fundamentals -- the signals, the 9 10 electronics, the basics that allow the subway 11 system to run. That's where the money needs 12 to go.

And look, we also know there are parts of the outer boroughs that are still profoundly underserved by mass transit. We can't be a functioning city of, right now, almost 8.6 million, on the way to 9 million as early as 2030. We can't function if we don't spread the mass transit more widely.

20 So I would argue that is the fair way 21 to approach it and that that will also 22 benefit a number of suburbanites as well. 23 ASSEMBLYMAN BUCHWALD: Mr. Mayor, I

24 appreciate those remarks. Obviously I think

1 I'm arguing for it to be spread more widely, 2 of course, as well. And if I were to concede 3 the point, which is no doubt true, that 4 suburbanites make use of the subways and 5 buses in New York City, would you also be willing to concede the point that New York 6 7 City residents make use of the commuter railroads as well, not least to 8 reverse-commute but also to travel within the 9 10 city, but also that New York City businesses, 11 of course, are also reliant on having folks 12 use the commuter railroads to get to their 13 places of employment. 14 MAYOR DE BLASIO: I would concede the 15 fact while noting the matter of degree. I 16 think the number of city residents that use 17 the commuter railroads is obviously much smaller than the number of suburbanites who 18 19 use our subways and buses. But of course 20 there's interchange both ways. We're all in 21 this together. By no means do any of my

23 mass transmit for the entire metropolitan

comments underestimate that we need better

24 region for all of our good.

22

1	But I think if we don't fix the subway
	_
2	system long-term, then our lifestyle and our
3	economy is undermined for all of us. And
4	that's why I think it's job one.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN BUCHWALD: Thank you very
6	much, Mr. Mayor and Madam Chairwoman.
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
8	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
9	Senator Savino.
10	SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you, Senator
11	Young.
12	Thank you, Mayor, for your testimony.
13	And since I only have five minutes,
14	I'm going to try and do this quickly.
15	So first off, I share your concerns
16	about the effects of the child welfare cuts,
17	the loss of Close to Home funding and the
18	effect it will have on the implementation of
19	the Raise the Age. Tomorrow is the Human
20	Service budget hearing, so I assume we will
21	be weighing in very heavily with the state
22	agencies to try and explain how they think it
23	makes sense.
24	There's some discrepancies about Close

to Home, but there's one thing I know. In 2012 when it went into effect, we had 900 3 young people at Rikers Island. Today there's 4 only 170, because we turned a lot of kids 5 around through Close to Home. So I just want 6 to echo my support for that.

7 I'm not going to reiterate the comments about property taxes, although I did 8 get my own bill recently and it says my house 9 10 is worth \$500,000. There is nothing in that house worth \$500,000, including me, 11 12 Mr. Mayor. So this begs -- you know, this 13 commission really does need to come forward. 14 I think Senator Hannon covered a lot

15 of what I wanted to say about the MTA. But I 16 wish that we could come to some resolution. 17 The Subway Action Plan is so critical to the immediate need while we search for the 18 19 long-term solution. Whether it's the Fix NYC 20 proposal or the Move NY plan, which I've been 21 supportive of, we need to find a real 22 solution to it that has city and state 23 working together. Because after all, they 24 are city residents and state residents as

well who are suffering because of the delays
 on these trains.

3 One issue I would like your help with 4 with respect to the MTA -- you may not recall 5 this, but five years ago you stood at a subway station with myself and Assemblyman 6 7 Cusick, and we introduced legislation based on the rise in subway sex crimes. That 8 number continues to go up. As we speak, 9 10 they're up 51 percent since last year. The 11 NYPD does a great job on it, but we passed 12 the legislation -- that you helped me 13 draft -- in the Senate five times. So if you 14 could use your considerable influence with 15 some of the members of the Assembly to try 16 and get it out of the Assembly, it's 17 critically important to safety in the 18 subways. 19 And finally, I want to shift to an 20 authority that you do have full control over, 21 and that is the New York City Housing

22Authority. My staff spends more time talking23to Brian Honan -- I see him back there --

24 than they probably do to their own family

1 members.

2	I represent 20 different housing
3	developments 14 in Coney Island, six in
4	Staten Island, and there are others in Staten
5	Island that are equally represented by
6	Senator Lanza. The conditions of the
7	New York City Housing Authority, as you know,
8	are deplorable, whether it's the lead paint
9	scandal or mold in apartments or broken
10	elevators or the boilers or whatever the case
11	may be.
12	And I've seen the back-and-forth about
13	who's responsible for what. The State of
14	New York may and I'm not going to deny
15	that over the years, there was a
16	disinvestment first on the federal level, the
17	state did not put enough in. But we are
18	trying with \$300 million over the last two
19	years. We need to know what's going to
20	happen with that money and how people are
21	going to begin to see improvements in the
22	conditions that they live in. Whether
23	it's cleaning the hallways would be a
24	great step for some of the developments, to

1 repairing roofs and windows, the conditions, 2 again, that people live in are deplorable. 3 And we would not allow any other landlord in 4 the City of New York to get away with that. 5 So I'm hoping that you can give me some explanation of what we're planning to do 6 7 with the money the city is sending, the money that the city's putting in, and how we're 8 going to see improvements in the lives of the 9 10 people who live there. 11 MAYOR DE BLASIO: So, Senator, thank 12 you very much for all your comments. On this point -- and look, I've spent 13 14 a lot of time talking to residents of public 15 housing over the years in public service. 16 I've spent a lot of time in those buildings. 17 I have no illusions about the extent of the problem. As you know, for quite a while we 18 19 cited the figure that we determined at the 20 beginning of the administration of 21 \$18 billion needed to bring all those 22 buildings up to the quality levels the residents deserve. That number is likely 23 24 going up. Some other estimates have been as

1 high as \$25 billion.

2	We've got to be honest with people,
3	and I do think residents understand and
4	appreciate this point. You cannot make up
5	for that magnitude of disinvestment over many
6	decades with, you know, immediate actions.
7	It's just not going to be everything we want
8	it to be.
9	Now, the fact that the city unlike
10	any time frame in the past, the city has
11	committed now 2.1 billion in capital funding,
12	1.6 billion in expense funding. No one ever
13	saw anything like that before, that kind of
14	level of commitment. We're going to continue
15	that. We're very committed to it, very
16	devoted to it. It is leading to really big
17	changes.
18	The capital commitment means 900 to
19	1,000 roofs will be fixed, and that will
20	address the mold issue in those buildings.
21	Obviously the new commitment we've made on
22	boilers means for the 20 most troubled
23	commitments, they're going to get not just
24	boilers, but whole buildings have to be

redone to allow the heating systems to work.
 It's a massive undertaking.

3 There's an immediate thing that would 4 help, an immediate action that would help. 5 We do have a proposal still before the state, it's now been months, for the \$200 million 6 7 for boilers and elevators. It's still not been moved on by the executive branch. We 8 would really appreciate your help in getting 9 10 those resources to move.

11 But the answer is, you know, when we 12 came in, we tried to jettison a lot of the 13 broken policies of the past. We ended the 14 payments by NYCHA for policing, which made no 15 sense. We ended the tax payments, the PILOT 16 payments that NYCHA made to the City of 17 New York. We put forward a faster repair 18 schedule, which we're adhering to. We 19 obviously improved the public safety dynamic 20 in a number of developments. We're going at 21 the roof problem and the facade problem on a 22 huge level. It's endless, honestly, but we intend to keep doing it and to make it 23 24 better.

1	And look, we have a new general
2	manager. I don't know if you've ever worked
3	with Vito Mustaciuolo, but he is
4	SENATOR SAVINO: I know him well.
5	MAYOR DE BLASIO: He's a living legend
6	in this city government, and we're thrilled
7	that he was ready to step into this role. I
8	think he's going to help us to make some
9	major improvements as well.
10	But this will be every day I'm
11	mayor we're going to be working on this,
12	because we're talking about decades of
13	mistakes that we're trying to make up for.
14	SENATOR SAVINO: I had a long
15	conversation with Vito on Friday. I first
16	questioned his sanity for taking on this
17	role. But he is definitely prepared and
18	ready to do it.
19	Just one final point on NYCHA. I met
20	with the chair two weeks ago in my office,
21	and she expressed to me this concern about
22	senior housing and that NYCHA would like to
23	get out of the business of managing the
24	senior developments. I'm not sure if that's

1 an actual policy proposal or that's just her 2 own thing, but I think it's something worth 3 looking into. Some of the senior 4 developments might benefit from an 5 alternative managing style, perhaps with a social service component. Many of our 6 7 seniors who are living alone quite frankly should no longer be alone. You come into 8 senior housing at 65, but many of them live 9 10 into their late 80s. Many of them are --11 since there's very little social services in 12 these buildings, there's -- some of them are 13 hoarding, they're suffering from dementia, no 14 one visits them. And so I think it's worth a 15 look at perhaps taking care of these 16 properties separately from the overall asset. 17 MAYOR DE BLASIO: It's a very fair 18 point. It's not a policy matter, I think 19 it's a legitimate question and a legitimate 20 concern that you raise and the chair raised 21 about we have to examine different approaches 22 going forward. But I want to emphasize, because I get 23

24 asked this wherever I go, we are adamant

1 about public housing remaining public. We'll 2 never accept any kind of privatization 3 scheme. That doesn't mean we can't work with 4 other management approaches that still could 5 be helpful while maintaining public ownership 6 and control.

7 On the senior point, we have a bigger challenge in New York City -- and the whole 8 state, the whole country -- that we are going 9 10 to have a bigger senior population than ever before. We really don't have the policies in 11 12 place for it. We're going to try in the coming months to start to put together more 13 14 comprehensive planning for a more senior 15 city.

16 But I think the point you make is a 17 really good one, that there's a lot of seniors who would really benefit from being 18 19 more supported and more connected. And 20 smaller things, too, like one of the things 21 we announced in the preliminary budget, a 22 very small initiative but one that we think will help a lot, to help seniors who have 23 24 extra space in their apartment or their home

1 and are willing to rent that space out, to 2 match them with someone appropriate so that 3 they benefit from that income but also 4 someone who can do chores for them. It's a 5 really interesting kind of communal approach to the reality of a more senior city. And 6 7 we're excited about what that might allow us to do. 8 SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you. I'm out 9 10 of time, but thank you for your comment. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 11 12 Assemblymember Ortiz. 13 ASSEMBLYMAN ORTIZ: Thank you, Madam 14 Chair. 15 And good afternoon, Mr. Mayor. And I 16 thank you for being here with us and for your 17 testimony. 18 Before I start my few questions, I 19 just would like to make a quick statement by 20 thanking you for hurricane relief and helping 21 the people of Puerto Rico and having the 22 police department, the fire department, and workers from the City of New York to really 23 24 go to Puerto Rico -- and I do believe they're

1	still there in Puerto Rico, some of them,
2	going back and forth, for
3	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Yes.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN ORTIZ: And on behalf of
5	the people of Puerto Rico, I would like to
6	really say thank you to you. Thank you very
7	much.
8	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you. And we
9	will maintain long-term commitment to the
10	people of Puerto Rico.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN ORTIZ: Thank you.
12	I have a couple of questions regarding
13	the I'm going to go first to the MTA.
14	What percentage of the funding of the MTA is
15	city, state and federal?
16	MAYOR DE BLASIO: I can't speak to the
17	federal or the state. As I told you, in
18	terms of direct funding from the city and our
19	people, it's approximately \$10 billion
20	annually. And again, it's about 70 percent
21	of MTA revenue comes from either the people
22	of New York City or people working in
23	New York City or the city government
24	directly.

1 ASSEMBLYMAN ORTIZ: The City of 2 New York is projecting a surplus of 3 \$5-plus-billion for this year. We are 4 talking about the congestion pricing as we 5 speak. I remember in my days in OMB -- I spent a lot of time in OMB -- when Ed Koch 6 7 would say to us, go there and find out more revenue. And we would do this analysis, and 8 we'd come up with analysis of new ideas, new 9 10 revenue producers for the City of New York, expecting that that will be used for social 11 12 services, for education and so on and so forth. 13

14 One of my biggest fears and concerns 15 about the congestion pricing is that once we 16 do that, I want to make sure that that money 17 will be earmarked for the purpose and the 18 objective of what we are planning to do, and 19 use that money wisely. Would you agree?

20 MAYOR DE BLASIO: I agree a hundred21 percent, Assemblymember.

And, you know, someone said to me the other day there's all sorts of history of the public being asked to do things and promised 1 that the money would be used one way and 2 then, lo and behold, the money gets used a 3 different way.

That would be a situation that would 4 5 be very damaging, if any kind of revenue plan -- whether it's my preferred option, 6 7 which is millionaire's tax, or if people prefer congestion pricing or any other plan, 8 if we all agreed on a revenue vision and then 9 10 woke up to find the money went elsewhere. 11 You know, I've raised the concern in the past 12 that some money that was focused and 13 dedicated for the MTA unfortunately was 14 migrated to other needs. I think that hurt 15 the MTA. We don't want to see that happen 16 again.

17 So my fundamental belief is whatever 18 revenue package is put together for the MTA, 19 that money must be earmarked for New York 20 City subways and buses. The need to fix our 21 subway system and our bus system is massive. 22 And again, it's the core of the regional economy. You can make this argument in very 23 24 human terms. So many of our constituents -- and you and I are Brooklynites, we live very
 near to each other. You know, we know how
 much of the lives of our constituents depend
 on subways and buses that run effectively.

5 But literally, if you want to be more economic in your thinking, the entire 6 7 regional economy hinges on our subway and bus 8 system. So that's where the money needs to be dedicated. It needs to be a lockbox. 9 10 There need to be guarantees, legally binding 11 guarantees against that money migrating out 12 of city mass transit needs and, you know, God 13 forbid it migrated to other budgetary needs 14 elsewhere. Whatever happens here has to come 15 with real guarantees.

ASSEMBLYMAN ORTIZ: I just would like to echo my colleagues on the issue of NYCHA. As you know, I represent Red Hook Houses, which is one of the largest New York City Housing complexes in the City of New York.

21 One of the issues that I have is you 22 mentioned about \$200 million for -- moving 23 forward for 20 developments. Is Red Hook 24 part of that 20 developments?

1MAYOR DE BLASIO: We can get you the2list.

And that is -- I want to emphasize 3 4 that we've already put hundreds of millions 5 of dollars into new heating systems previous to some of the challenges we face this 6 7 winter. You know that a lot of this came to the fore because we had some of the coldest 8 temperatures in decades for a few weeks. 9 10 So the new investment that we put in

10 be the hew investment that we put in 11 the budget is 200 million to focus on those 12 20 big developments with the biggest 13 problems. But previously, we had already put 14 in hundreds of millions, and it's something 15 we'll be committed to for the long haul.

I can get you a list; I don't know specifically about Red Hook Houses, but I can get you a list.

19ASSEMBLYMAN ORTIZ: I'm looking at the20clock very quick. Just very quick, to21finalize. I also would like to ensure that22as we're moving forward with the BQC that we23also pay attention to the BQE.

24 And I also -- I have written a letter

1 to the commissioner asking for air quality 2 monitoring as a result that -- as you know, 3 we have a lot of asthma, bronchitis. And if 4 you go to P.S. 1, P.S. 314, P.S. 506 -- and 5 now we changed the number -- 971, Telecommunication, and P.S. 29 in 6 7 Henry Street, the incidence of asthma in their schools are higher than the people that 8 are on the other side of Fourth Avenue, as a 9 10 result of the emission that is coming out. 11 So I hope that you can direct some of 12 your folks to work with me very close to put 13 air quality monitoring in the Sunset Park 14 area, including the BQE. Thank you. 15 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Appreciate it. 16 Thank you. 17 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 18 Senate? CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Croci. 19 20 SENATOR CROCI: Thank you, Mr. Mayor. 21 Appreciate your testimony here today. 22 I represent the Third Senate District on Long Island, Suffolk County. And as 23 24 you're no doubt aware, we've had tremendous

challenges and very violent killings by
 MS-13. It's only because of the hard work of
 local law enforcement and federal partners
 that we've been able to really turn the tide
 and bring to justice individuals who are
 suspected of committing some of these
 killings.

I'll say, full disclosure, that I've 8 had the opportunity to work with the NYPD 9 10 over the years and recently toured the 11 academy under Commissioner O'Neill. I'm 12 aware of your work, not only counterterrorism 13 and our security here, but also abroad, the 14 NYPD and their work abroad. So I'm extremely 15 satisfied that we have the very, very best I 16 would say models in leadership and in their 17 profession in the NYPD.

I am concerned about the ongoing flow of heroin that comes -- of course it's not produced here in the United States, but it does flow through our state and out to our island. The close cooperation between the federal authorities and our local law enforcement has been, as I said, essential in

1 combating this. The Governor, our Governor 2 in September of last year put forward an 3 executive order which detailed how state law 4 enforcement could cooperate with federal law 5 enforcement. I was wondering if you have any executive orders which limit the ability of 6 7 federal law enforcement and city law enforcement to cooperate. 8

9 MAYOR DE BLASIO: So thank you very 10 much for the comments you made about the 11 NYPD. And we pride ourselves on the kind of 12 cooperation with all law enforcement 13 entities.

14 Part of what has happened in the fight 15 against terror that has been a great success 16 is the deepening relationship between the 17 NYPD and the FBI and all the members of the 18 Joint Terrorism Task Force. Not so long ago, 19 there were some disconnects there. 20 Unfortunately, in other parts of the world we 21 see disconnects between different law 22 enforcement levels and entities, but here we actually have achieved a very high level of 23 24 coordination in fighting terror. It's been

one of the reasons that so many plots have
 been foiled. And we always want to work with
 our colleagues in all counties of the state
 in continuing that work.

5 On the question of cooperation with the federal government, again, if you're 6 7 talking about antiterror, it's exceptional. 8 If you're talking about the obvious question of immigration, we have a city law in fact --9 10 it's not an executive order, it's a city law -- that delineates the form of 11 12 cooperation. There's 170 serious and violent 13 offenses where we do cooperate. And we 14 believe that's the right approach.

15 We obviously -- look, I would 16 differentiate the two pieces very distinctly. 17 When it comes to fighting crime and fighting 18 terror -- and you're exactly right, that that 19 gang is a horrendous affront to the people of 20 this -- of our city, of your county and the 21 whole country. We're going to fight them at 22 every corner.

On the question of some of thenational environment and the specific

1 approach that we've seen ICE take in some 2 cases, we disagree with some of the specific 3 actions they've taken and the approach 4 they've taken. We still will follow our own 5 law, obviously, in terms of cooperation, but there's other areas where we have a 6 7 disagreement, most notably on asking people 8 documentation status. And this is something Commissioner 9 10 O'Neill feels very strongly about, Commissioner Bratton felt strongly about 11 12 before him. And to be fair and to show it's bipartisan, it was a policy that Mayor 13 14 Giuliani had in place, just as I do, that the NYPD will not ask documentation status 15 16 because we must maintain open communication 17 with all immigrant communities, and we have 18 millions of immigrant New Yorkers. 19 So just to say that's something we 20 feel adamantly about, and we do have a difference with the federal government, but 21 22 there are still obviously areas where we cooperate. And particularly in the fight 23 24 against terror, we have close, close

1 cooperation.

2	SENATOR CROCI: So I would categorize
3	what has been happening in my community and
4	the individuals perpetrating it, it's not
5	simply a criminal organization, but the
6	tactics they use are akin to some of the most
7	successful terrorist groups. And we refer
8	to it as narcoterrorism, which I think is a
9	more accurate way to describe it.
10	I would just like to follow up to ask,
11	has the City of New York received from the
12	Department of Justice or Homeland Security or
13	any other federal agency any notices that you
14	could be in jeopardy of losing federal
15	monies? We've seen recently a very public
16	one about road signs, but this is far more
17	important to me. And I'd like to know if the
18	federal government has put you on notice that
19	there could be holes in your budget this year
20	that the Legislature should be aware of.
21	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Oh, absolutely. And
22	this has now been going on for a year. And
23	it refers to the Byrne grant program
24	SENATOR CROCI: And what's that

1 amount, sir?

2 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Say again? SENATOR CROCI: What's the amount that 3 4 could be in jeopardy? 5 MAYOR DE BLASIO: We're going to check right now the exact amount. But the threat 6 7 remains. There has not been a specific 8 budgetary action taken, but the threat has been reiterated many times and our answer 9 10 remains consistent. We believe we are functioning 100 percent within federal law 11 12 and within the recent decision of the Supreme Court in 2012, and we're doing what 13 14 is appropriate to protect our city. 15 You know, again, if this ever ends up 16 coming to loggerheads, we'll go into court to 17 resolve it. But we feel very strongly that 18 our position is in compliance with both 19 federal law and our city law. SENATOR CROCI: So this is 20 21 specifically your reading of 8 USC 1324, 22 which talks about harboring? MAYOR DE BLASIO: I'm not a lawyer, so 23 24 you'll forgive me, I can't quote the chapter

1 and verse. But again, we've --

SENATOR CROCI: But there is some 2 3 recognition that there could be a legal 4 problem if you're citing that you may have to 5 go to court to rectify it. MAYOR DE BLASIO: This emanates from 6 7 the president's executive order early in the 8 administration. SENATOR CROCI: Sir, the federal law 9 10 has been in place for decades. It's still there. 11 12 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Again, forgive me 13 when I say something that's not lawyerly, 14 because I'm not a lawyer, I'm just trying to 15 do the logic pattern. You know, the initial -- the initial 16 17 difference was put down through the executive order. We said at the time we still believe 18 19 that everything we've been doing is 20 consistent with federal law and city law. 21 There's been several letters since with the 22 threats you referred to. We continue to maintain our position. We have not seen any 23 24 funding cut off. The funding is specifically

1 funding for the NYPD. But it has not been 2 cut off to date. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 3 4 SENATOR CROCI: Thank you, sir. 5 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman Carroll. 6 7 ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: Thank you, Madam 8 Chair. 9 Good afternoon, Mayor de Blasio. 10 Thank you for being here and answering all of 11 our many, many questions. 12 I would like to go back to the MTA and I would like to ask you to kind of more 13 14 broadly explain your views on congestion 15 pricing and whether or not you've spoken with 16 the Governor or anyone from the state about a 17 congestion pricing plan, and what things 18 would you need to see in a congestion pricing 19 plan to support it? 20 MAYOR DE BLASIO: I've had numerous conversations with the Governor on this 21 22 topic, both before this proposal came out and since. I've been consistent about that 23 24 lockbox point. It's the single greatest

1 concern I have right now.

2	Previously, I would have said that the
3	older proposals we saw related to congestion
4	pricing immediately put particular demands on
5	the people of Brooklyn and Queens, which is a
6	clear majority of New Yorkers it's 5
7	million people, almost without any
8	guarantees in return of investment in the
9	mass transit needs of the people of Brooklyn
10	and Queens.
11	This new plan is a step forward
12	because by taking the bridges out of the
13	equation, it does a better job of addressing
14	that central concern.
15	We still don't see answers on some of
16	the hardship issues I've raised, which I
17	think are legitimate. You will know from
18	your district, which I have the honor of
19	living in, that there are plenty of people
20	who are not well off who have reasons they
21	have to go into the core of Manhattan, for
22	medical appointments and other matters. We
23	need to think about how we handle that.
24	But the biggest issue right now for me

is the guarantee, and a legally binding
 guarantee, that any money derived from a
 congestion pricing system would be spent on
 subways and buses in New York City.

5 I think you understand my concern, 6 that we've seen money in the past move away 7 from its intended purpose. If government is 8 not obligated to keep that money focused on 9 the needs of the MTA and the people of 10 New York City, I fear the money might be used 11 for other purposes.

12 So that's what I would argue. We all 13 need to work on it together, and we're ready 14 to work closely with this Legislature to 15 figure out something that would be fair. 16 That's the most central concern. There's 17 also a host of details. We don't actually have a full proposal or a full piece of 18 19 legislation.

ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: Well, I mean I think we can agree that yes, we don't have the exact details, but the broad strokes of some form of congestion zone south of 60th Street. And if you cross the East River 1 bridges and don't access either the West Side 2 Highway or the FDR Drive, that you would pay a fee. And then for-hire vehicles would have 3 4 an additional surcharge in that zone, at 5 least during major business hours Monday through Friday, and possibly on weekends. 6 7 That that is the general broad strokes of that plan. And do you support those broad 8 strokes? 9

10 And I think just taking into account 11 that, you know, Comptroller DiNapoli in 12 November of 2017 put out a report that said the 2020-2024 capital plan of the MTA will 13 14 most likely see a larger shortfall than the 15 2015-2019 capital plan, which was over 16 \$15 billion. So we could see a -- and 17 considering that still that capital plan has a \$7 billion shortfall, we could see upwards 18 of a \$20 billion shortfall. We need a direct 19 20 revenue stream.

21 And would you support those broad 22 strokes of 60th Street, coming into the 23 central business district, excluding if you 24 get on to the FDR or West Side Highway?

1 MAYOR DE BLASIO: A couple of things, 2 and I will answer your specific question. 3 But I just have an important frame. 4 I still believe the single most 5 important and equitable approach to raising the resources we need for the long-term MTA 6 7 is the millionaire's tax. I don't --8 respectfully -- and you're the experts, you're the people that do the ultimate 9 10 voting. But I don't buy the conventional wisdom of what is viable here in Albany and 11 12 what's not viable. I'm going by what I think 13 is the best outcome, it's the most 14 progressive form of taxation, it's obviously 15 renewable. I think the Fair Fare is a really 16 important idea in terms of ensuring that 17 lower-income New Yorkers can get around and 18 get access to opportunity. So I still think it's the single best way to address the 19 20 problem.

21 If you say to me, okay, let's look at 22 congestion pricing models, this model is the 23 best I've seen to date. And I think that's 24 progress. I'm much more comfortable with it

1 than I was with the previous proposals.

2 I have not seen enough detail to be fully comfortable, because the devil really 3 4 is in the details. The broad strokes, if you 5 have a limited zone and if you do not include the bridges, that certainly makes some sense 6 7 to me. I think the notion of the for-hire vehicles being treated equally is crucial, 8 taxis and all other forms of for-hire 9 10 vehicles being equally responsible for producing some of the revenue for the MTA. 11 12 And setting that at the right dollar figure is crucial. 13 14 But you will understand that I -- you

15 know, I'm not -- on behalf of 8.5 million 16 people, I'm not going to buy into a plan 17 until it's fully articulated with all of the 18 ramifications and until I see that the money 19 will be devoted -- in a legally binding 20 fashion will be devoted to the subways and 21 buses in New York City.

22 So my answer is: Clearly a step in 23 the right direction. I'm ready to sit at the 24 table with anyone and see if we can work

1	together to get it to be something we can all
2	agree on, but I want to be careful about
3	those details.
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
6	Our next speaker is Senator Hoylman.
7	SENATOR HOYLMAN: Good morning,
8	Mr. Mayor. I know you've been patiently
9	awaiting my question, but
10	MAYOR DE BLASIO: You're a pillar of
11	patience.
12	SENATOR HOYLMAN: Yes, yes. I
13	basically have two quick areas, subways and
14	schools.
15	First, thank you for your
16	open-mindedness on congestion pricing. I
17	represent largely the congestion pricing
18	zone, so you could call me the Senator from
19	the congestion pricing zone if you want.
20	But my constituents and I don't
21	want you to ignore this part, the hardship
22	that might be placed on them. I'm very
23	supportive of congestion pricing, but we have
24	to understand that there might be some folks

1 who will drop their cars off at 60th Street. 2 So we should be looking at things like 3 residential parking, like the last plan a 4 decade ago considered. 5 Also we want to ensure that we look at other vehicles, not just the for-hire 6 7 vehicles but tour buses. There's been a tripling of double-decker tour buses in 8 Manhattan since about 2003. They add to a 9 10 lot of congestion too, so we need to figure out how to deal with them. 11 12 My specific question, though, is just 13 a legal point. Do you think that a home-rule 14 message is required for congestion pricing? 15 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Putting aside, 16 again, that I'm not a lawyer, I think as a 17 general rule, you know, the vast majority of things that affect the City of New York, of 18 19 course we want that kind of process. We've 20 got to be very careful, when actions are 21 taken up here, that they represent the interests of 8.5 million, almost 8.6 million 22 New Yorkers. 23 24 What I'd like to see here is a very

collegial process where we all work together
 fashioning whatever proposals. Again, I
 state my devotion to the millionaire's tax.
 There might be entirely different ideas
 beyond the millionaire's tax and congestion
 pricing that should be looked at.

7 But the very point you make -- and it gets back to what Assemblymember Carroll was 8 saying, part of why I always say the devil is 9 10 in the details is you just raised a crucial 11 point right there: What happens to the folks 12 who live along that border? And then does that have a domino effect that we have to 13 14 address? We don't want to deal with that 15 after the fact, we want to deal with it 16 up-front in a policy.

17 So there has to be close coordination, 18 in my view, between the Legislature and the 19 city in determining whatever policy it is, 20 and a home rule is one of the ways to 21 guarantee that.

22 SENATOR HOYLMAN: And on the schools 23 issue, I really do applaud your leadership --24 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Wait, I'm going to

1 give you a historical point. Our new state 2 affairs director will weigh in. NYC DIRECTOR BROWN: The 2008 bill on 3 4 congestion pricing did need a home rule. SENATOR HOYLMAN: Yes. I didn't know 5 if you had changed your --6 MAYOR DE BLASIO: We think that's a 7 good precedent. 8 SENATOR HOYLMAN: On schools, thank 9 10 you for your leadership over the last four years. As a public school parent, I 11 12 appreciate the direction, the lowering of the 13 temperature in the public schools, which 14 previously were fraught with much more 15 political dissension. 16 What is the impact of this shift that 17 you note in your testimony of \$144 million worth of costs currently borne by the state 18 19 to the city to support charter schools? 20 What's going to be the practical impact on 21 the DOE budget? MAYOR DE BLASIO: I will start and 22 then turn to Melanie. 23 24 Look, it's not only the immediate,

1 it's the slippery slope. When there's an 2 unfunded mandate that grows, you wonder where 3 it's going. So our budget director can talk 4 to you about the pure dollar impact, which is 5 very substantial. But I would also note our concern here is that this is -- you know, the 6 7 charter school community now serves about -almost 10 percent of our kids. It's very 8 substantial if costs continue to be shifted. 9 10 At the same time, I understand why people 11 here are saying let's go farther on fair 12 funding. That's an immediate contradiction, because we'd like to take state resources and 13 14 put them into fair funding, but we can't if 15 we keep receiving additional unfunded 16 mandates. 17 Could you speak to the numbers? 18 SENATOR HOYLMAN: Before you answer, I 19 wanted to ask you about the selection of the

wanted to ask you about the selection of the
new chancellor, which is so important to
obviously parents. The citywide and
Community Education Councils have requested
some sort of role in at least interviewing
the candidates who you're going to be

meeting, and maybe providing some input. Is that something you'd commit to in some fashion?

4 MAYOR DE BLASIO: I respect the 5 concern. You know, I used to be a community school board member in the old structure, and 6 7 I think very highly of the folks who serve on the CECs. We want to work closely with them. 8 I don't think it's appropriate in a personnel 9 10 decision to sort of crowd-source it, you 11 know. I think you have to handle such an 12 important decision -- this is someone going 13 to govern over a \$25 million budget and be 14 responsible for 1.1 million kids. That has 15 to be done very sensitively, very carefully. 16 We'll always welcome suggestions, but 17 a decision like that has to be done --SENATOR HOYLMAN: I don't think 18 19 they're looking to vet your decision, I think 20 they're just looking to provide input and 21 give you their assessment. 22 MAYOR DE BLASIO: I would welcome and I always have welcomed -- it's very personal, 23

24 since I had a similar role myself -- ideas.

1 If there's names that people want to put 2 forward, or specific characteristics, I would 3 always welcome that. And anything that could 4 be done on that. 5 Just on the numbers, hold on one second. Go ahead. 6 BUDGET DIRECTOR HARTZOG: So on the 7 charter school impact, it's \$120 million for 8 tuition, and the balance is for leases. And 9 10 essentially it equates to what would be an 11 unfunded mandate, meaning we have to maintain 12 the tuition and the lease payments for the 13 schools. And it would be very difficult for 14 us to do that and maintain it without hurting instruction. 15 16 SENATOR HOYLMAN: Thank you. 17 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 18 Assemblyman Weprin. 19 ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Thank you, Madam 20 Chair. 21 Good afternoon, Mr. Mayor. Thank you 22 for coming up, as you do each and every year, and spending probably more time than you'd 23 24 like to spend in Albany since you've been in

1 office.

2	As you know, I chair the Corrections
3	Committee in the Assembly and I work very
4	closely with your administration. And I want
5	to commend you on recent accomplishments of
6	reducing the population at Rikers Island to
7	below 9,000 individuals, which you referred
8	to earlier, and also the initiative that
9	you've launched to help keep women out of
10	jail.
11	But I've noticed that there has been
12	some challenges with efforts to reduce
13	violence, specifically slashings and
14	stabbings at Rikers Island. And as you know,
15	I currently have a bill in the Assembly which
16	would allow the use of ionizing body scanners
17	at Rikers, which is supported by the city as
18	well as by a number of advocacy groups,
19	including the Osborne Association and the
20	Fortune Society.
21	Could you comment about, first, why
22	these scanners are needed and also some of
23	the safeguards that the city has committed
24	to, or will commit, in order to prevent the

1 01

overuse of these scanners?

2 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you, 3 Assemblymember. And thank you for your 4 leadership on this issue. 5 Yes, we need these scanners, there's no two ways about it. We have to drive down 6 7 any form of violence, any and all forms of 8 violence in our correction system. Let's be blunt. One of the problems 9 10 is contraband and weapons getting into the 11 facility. Both are related to violence. And 12 we don't have the best modern technology 13 being employed to stop that. We've done a 14 host of other things, and many of them have 15 worked. We have now cameras, internal 16 security cameras throughout the facilities. 17 We have more rigorous screening procedures. 18 We have a lot of investigatory activity. And 19 you know a number of people have been 20 arrested and prosecuted who unfortunately 21 broke the laws and rules. 22 But we don't have modern scanners, and it's hurting our ability to keep people 23 24 safe -- our correction officers and inmates.

1 So we have to have them.

2	Now, there's been valid concerns
3	raised about health. We have worked with our
4	Department of Health to address those. I
5	believe that the protocols we're talking
6	about now fully address the health concerns.
7	But I would argue that not only have we
8	effectively answered those concerns, but the
9	absence of scanners is causing a clear and
10	present danger and a different kind of health
11	concern that's called violence, and it's
12	unconscionable to let it continue.
13	So I beseech you and your colleagues
14	to please help us this session get this bill
15	passed so we can finally put those scanners
16	in.
17	SENATOR HANNON: Mr. Mayor
18	Mr. Mayor Mr. Mayor, I just want to point
19	out the Senate has passed my bill to do
20	exactly that, because we agree with you.
21	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Great minds think
22	alike, Senator. Thank you. Bipartisan
23	cooperation here. Thank you.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: We'll look

forward to working with you, Mr. Mayor, on
 getting it done this year.

3 One other topic along the correction 4 area. I visited Rikers Island several times, 5 but I've also had the opportunity to visit 6 some of the alternatives to incarceration and 7 diversion programs, some actually very close 8 to my Assembly district in Queens, as well as 9 others in Manhattan and other boroughs.

10 When I visited each of these places, I 11 noticed that in addition to an atmosphere of 12 hope, there was a large focus on providing 13 community support, addiction and mental 14 health treatment and other services to give 15 individuals what they needed to stay out of 16 jail.

17As a large proponent of strengthening18family and community ties and supporter of19ATI and diversionary programs, I'm curious to20hear more about some of the city's recent21efforts to expand behavioral health services22and other supports for people looking to stay23out of jail.

MAYOR DE BLASIO: Well, thank you for

1

that, Assemblymember.

2 We believe fundamentally that these 3 are smart investments. We know, first of 4 all, tragically, in our correction system 5 about 40 percent of the folks incarcerated have some identified mental health challenge. 6 7 The big initiative we've undertaken, of course, is the Thrive NYC initiative that my 8 9 wife, Chirlane, leads. And the goal there 10 going forward is to identify these problems as early as possible, even going as early as 11 12 pre-K, and address them so those individuals 13 never end up in the criminal justice system 14 to begin with. But since that will take some time, we 15

16 know there's a lot of mental health 17 challenges, we think that addressing them in 18 the here and now actually will reduce 19 recidivism. It's one of the pieces of our 20 effort to reduce the number of women who end 21 up in our jails and to reduce recidivism 22 among women. We think there are specific 23 mental health supports that would be greatly 24 valuable, and we focused in particular on

1 inmates who have children.

2 But across the board, I think we have 3 missed the opportunity -- you know, whenever 4 government comes in contact with someone and 5 we have a chance to get them the help they need, that should be considered a precious 6 7 opportunity. Unfortunately, the protocol in 8 the past, whether it was for corrections or police or education, was not to seize that 9 10 moment. Right now, Corrections made a sea 11 12 change, NYPD as well, in terms of a lot more training in how to handle mental health 13 14 situations, and then a lot more supports that 15 are provided that they can connect people to 16 right away. And that's the model we're 17 working on. 18 ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Well, I 19 appreciate that, and I look forward to 20 working with you on those programs as well. 21 Thank you. 22 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 23 24 Senator Kavanagh.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thank you, Madam
 Chair.

And thank you, Mr. Mayor, for your
testimony. And also Ms. Hartzog and
Ms. Brown, congratulations on your relatively
new appointments and thank you for being here
today.

So you've spent a lot of time on the 8 MTA today and I'm not going to -- with my 9 10 five minutes, I'm not going to repeat a lot 11 of that, just to say that I share your 12 commitment to ensuring that we find a way to 13 balance the needs of the city and the state 14 in terms of finding a full funding solution, 15 and really ultimately the goal is to fully 16 fund the aspects of the capital plan that are 17 necessary to ensure that the system is in a 18 high state of repair and also that we serve 19 many constituencies that are underserved by 20 the system.

21 Similarly, I know there's been quite a 22 bit of dialogue on the BQE design-build 23 question. It runs through my district. And 24 I was happy to be joined by just about all of

1 the Senators in Staten Island and Brooklyn of 2 both parties in calling for design-build to 3 be part of this budget so that we can get it 4 done and join the city in making sure that 5 the contracting documents that have to go out this spring include -- can factor into 6 7 design-build authorization. And that will be a continuing dialogue here. 8

9 I want to focus on public housing a 10 little more. You had a dialogue a moment ago 11 with Senator Savino, who for the record I 12 think is worth every penny of the \$500,000 13 capital investment in housing her in her 14 district that she mentioned.

15 But just the -- many of us in Albany, 16 including members of the IDC and members of 17 my conference and certainly members of the 18 Assembly Democratic Conference have been 19 calling for a number of years now for a 20 \$500 million investment by the state each 21 year in NYCHA. And yet we've seen 22 \$100 million that was carefully constructed so it couldn't be used for major capital 23 24 needs, and another \$200 million that was

welcome that was primarily for boilers and
 elevators last year -- and no new money at
 all in this year's budget.

4 So I'd like to, if you would, just 5 speak -- you've taken a different path and the city has put a very substantial amount of 6 7 money into NYCHA. I just want to understand, 8 this is a federal authority, a federally created program. The federal government had 9 10 primary responsibility for a long time. Can 11 you just talk about why you think the city 12 and the state should be investing city and state capital dollars in NYCHA? 13 14 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Absolutely. And I'm 15 happy to call you Senator. I don't know if 16 I've had a chance to use the title yet, so 17 congratulations again. 18 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thank you.

19MAYOR DE BLASIO: Yeah, this is the20single strongest element of affordable21housing in New York City. 400,000 people22live in public housing. It's the size of23many major American cities.

24 And look, the model was created to be

1 federally funded, you're exactly right. But 2 the fact that the federal government walked 3 away from that, and the fact that the state 4 government has had a very limited role, does 5 not negate the fact that this is 400,000 6 human beings who are part of the backbone of 7 New York City.

These are working people, these are 8 salt-of-the-earth folks who, you know, many 9 10 of them are public workers and many of them 11 are people who have been in their 12 neighborhoods their whole lives and are part 13 of keeping neighborhoods strong even in the 14 tough times. So we've got to be committed to 15 the long-term needs of public housing.

By the way, many other cities that destroyed their public housing stock, they lived to rue the day, because now they have experienced gentrification and they end up with communities that have no economic balance and there's no place for working people. So we've got to protect it.

23The immediate option, I agree with24your point that the best thing would be an

1 ongoing state commitment, and someday to 2 renew the federal commitment. But in the 3 absence of that, specifically to match the 4 \$200 million capital commitment we've made 5 for new boilers and heating systems, and to finish the previous funding -- as you said, 6 7 that original \$100 million, we still have not seen some of that money. That's three years 8 9 ago, if my memory serves. And then the more 10 recent \$200 million we still have not gotten 11 approval on, even though that proposal has 12 been in the hands of the executive branch for now over two months. 13 14 So right there, getting us the 15 previously allocated funding and matching the 16 \$200 million we're putting into heating would 17 be a very, very helpful step. 18 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Great, thank you. 19 Just on a more parochial issue, 85 20 Bowery, it's a building in my district where

Just on a more parochial issue, 85 Bowery, it's a building in my district where 29 families and 95 people, including 17 children, were vacated by the Buildings Department for safety concerns. Your office

21

22

23 Department for safety concerns. Your official24 and the relevant city agencies, as well as

1 the State HCR, have been involved. I think 2 it's fair to say there was some chaos in the 3 initial vacate process that may have been 4 avoidable with better communication in 5 advance from the city to the tenants. But we've got ongoing concerns, that we need to 6 7 ensure that the landlord is making the 8 repairs that are necessary to get people back into their homes and that the residents have 9 10 their rights protected, and their services. 11 Just a two-part question. First, 12 would you commit to us to work once the, you 13 know, sort of this -- beyond this problem, 14 whether we can talk about what the protocol 15 ought to be when you're doing a vacate order, 16 especially in places where there might be 17 language barriers for people understanding what's going on? 18 19 And secondly, do we have your ongoing 20 commitment that the administration will 21 continue to work with us until all of these 22 people are back in their homes? MAYOR DE BLASIO: Want to start on 23

24 this?

NYC DIRECTOR BROWN: Sure. This
 definitely is an issue that the agencies
 involved have been working on daily. There's
 regular conversations that happen currently
 between the tenant association and the city.
 So this is something that we're definitely on
 top of.

8 The goal is absolutely to return this 9 building to safe and habitable homes for 10 people to live in. And I think yes, we 11 should talk about, you know, after, 12 after people are allowed to get back in their 13 homes, we should talk about tenant harassment 14 and all those different things.

15 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Yeah. And I would 16 say that your point is very well taken, 17 Senator. We need to perfect a model here. 18 Because you're right, this was not handled 19 the way we would ideally want it to, and the 20 left and the right hand weren't coordinated, 21 and it's one we have to do better on. We're 22 going to have these kind of problems because of the actions of some landlords -- not the 23 24 majority of landlords, but some landlords who don't do the right thing. And we have to be
 smarter about how we handle the aftermath of
 that.

4 SENATOR KAVANAGH: And I would just 5 note that the city was very responsive. We had requested that these families be -- they 6 7 had originally been located in a hotel that 8 was quite distant from their community, and they were all relocated. There is now a 9 10 hotel available that's very nearby the current building. So we appreciate the work 11 12 on an ongoing basis.

But, you know, sometimes our priorities change as we go forward. So we just want to join you in staying on top of this.

17 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
18 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you.
19 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Our next speaker is
20 Senator Rivera.

21 SENATOR RIVERA: Hello, Mr. Mayor. I 22 only have five minutes, so I'll get right to 23 it. A few of the questions have been asked 24 already, but I wanted to talk about a couple

1 of things that we have not spoken about. 2 First, as far as education is 3 concerned, I'm sure that you were, as the 4 rest of us were, horrified to read the story 5 about what happened in M.S. 118 last week. It was related to the teacher who was 6 7 teaching a class on slavery and thought it necessary to walk over the back of black 8 9 students. 10 So I wanted you to talk a little bit 11 about maybe that case specifically, but more 12 importantly about the need for culturally competent teachers and some of the training 13 14 that needs to happen. That's one of the 15 things, so let's go with that first. 16 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Yeah, that was a 17 deeply troubling incident. I just want to 18 say it does not reflect the values of this 19 city nor of what we teach our teachers to do. 20 And we're going to investigate that fully, 21 because we need to know how anything like 22 that could have happened and where that got missed. Because if anybody was suggesting to 23 24 do such a thing, it should have been vetoed

1 immediately. But we don't have all those 2 facts yet. But a very troubling and 3 unacceptable situation. 4 SENATOR RIVERA: What is your plan as 5 far as the -- I mean obviously this is not something that happens all the time, 6 7 thankfully. But what is your plan about -as far as training teachers to make sure --8 or just at least setting up something so that 9 10 we can assess whether anybody's even thinking about doing craziness like this, so it does 11 12 not happen? MAYOR DE BLASIO: I do think this is 13 14 an extreme rarity, and I think that's a good 15 sign that it's an extreme rarity. 16 The training that we now do, because 17 we have greatly expanded professional development time, includes cultural 18 19 sensitivity and teaching for a multicultural 20 environment and antibias training. That's 21 part of what we do. It's something that we 22 will continue to deepen over time. 23 But, you know, I think one of the 24 things that really is enunciated -- the

1 chancellor talks about this a lot -- is we 2 have to be a positive model as a school 3 system. In a country that's really grappling 4 with these issues right now, we have to be a 5 very positive model as a school system respecting all kids and backgrounds. And in 6 7 general, I think we're doing that, but we're 8 putting some real emphasis on that in the professional development process. 9 10 SENATOR RIVERA: I'd like to follow up

11 later on some of the specifics as far as what 12 you're trying to do, but I want to move on to 13 another one related to health.

14 Specifically, Senator Marchione 15 earlier talked about the opioid crisis. And 16 I certainly know that it has been a focus of 17 yours, certainly has been a focus of mine in the time that I've been here. But I wanted 18 19 to ask about a specific policy in particular, 20 supervised injection facilities. Just last 21 week there was a bill that was introduced by 22 Assemblymember Rosenthal which seeks to establish them in the State of New York. And 23 24 I'm aware that there is a study by the

1 Department of Health and Mental Hygiene that 2 was looking into the feasibility of this. 3 Can you tell us when that report will be 4 released? 5 MAYOR DE BLASIO: That's still being discussed between the different agencies. 6 7 The Department of Health is involved, NYPD is 8 involved. That's something we will be 9 addressing soon. And I always say to people 10 when I say "soon" I mean soon, or I wouldn't use that word. I don't have a date certain, 11 12 but it's something that, you know, is almost to the point where we can talk about it 13 14 publicly. Very complex matter, as you know. 15 SENATOR RIVERA: Indeed. MAYOR DE BLASIO: Very -- a proposal 16

18 approach utilized in some other places in the 19 world. But we really want to analyze the 20 information we're receiving, both with the 21 Health Department and NYPD, and then come out 22 with some public analysis.

that obviously is a serious one and an

17

23 SENATOR RIVERA: I look forward to the24 release of that report. I, along with many

1 of my colleagues, strongly believe that 2 addiction is a public health issue, not a 3 criminal justice one, and I want to make sure 4 that we go down that road and, as we address 5 addiction concerns, that we do so across the board in all communities, not just with 6 7 doctors' kids, but certainly in every community, particularly those that have been 8 impacted by opioid addiction and overdoses 9 10 before.

Last but not least -- I only have a 11 have a minute -- last week we had Joe Lhota 12 13 here for about five hours, as you heard 14 earlier. One of the questions that I asked 15 him, I termed it a radical rejiggering, that 16 was me trying to be alliterative. But the 17 core question is the percentage -- I was 18 trying to get something out of him that I did 19 not get out of him, and it had to do with how 20 much -- as far as capital costs are 21 concerned, the way that historically -- more 22 specifically, the percentage that the city 23 covers now and, in the new proposal, what is 24 the percentage that the city would be asked

to cover, and he didn't give me one.

1	to cover, and he didh t give me one.
2	I figured that you folks have done the
3	numbers based on what you're seeing in the
4	budget proposal. So if you have any of that,
5	if you could share it with us, I'd appreciate
6	it.
7	And thank you for being here,
8	Mr. Mayor.
9	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Just to make sure
10	thank you. Just to make sure I understand
11	fully what you're saying, if you're referring
12	to the proposal in the Executive Budget
13	SENATOR RIVERA: That is correct. And
14	the way it would change what has been
15	historically the responsibility of the city
16	as it relates to capital costs for the MTA
17	and what this would do to change it.
18	As far as percentage, if we say a
19	hundred right now, say it covers 30 I
20	don't know what it is, right but 30, 70
21	for the state. This would change it to what?
22	If you have that.
23	MAYOR DE BLASIO: I'm going to start
24	broadly and then pass to Melanie.

1 The -- look, it's a sea change to talk 2 about going from what the law -- and I 3 emphasize, the state law itself, state law --4 and everything we've seen in practice for 5 65 years makes abundantly clear that the city is not responsible for the capital costs. 6 7 The capital costs are huge. You know, ultimately -- and given what Mr. Lhota I 8 think has rightfully said about the future of 9 10 the MTA, you're talking about tens of billion 11 of dollars in shifted expenses, which is an 12 insupportable number. That's before you even 13 talk about the value capture. 14 So one thing I'm going to ask our 15 budget director to do is to give you a sense 16 of it. I mean, if we lost tens of billions 17 of dollars, what that would do to all the 18 other areas in our capital budget. 19 BUDGET DIRECTOR HARTZOG: Well, I mean 20 the value capture alone in terms of property 21 taxes is taking away billions of dollars from 22 us. And so just -- it would essentially wipe out many of our critical projects that we 23 24 currently have budgeted, as you said before,

1 Mr. Mayor, in infrastructure.

2 And it also affects our operating 3 revenues in terms of our expense budget as 4 well. It's not just capital, it also affects 5 our expenses. Property taxes support our 6 operating budget. 7 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Right. I'd just add very quickly that I think when the value 8 capture idea was put out, I think it sounded 9 10 an alarm for localities all over. The notion 11 that any action could be taken to reach into 12 their local revenue, into their property tax 13 revenue, is very dangerous for many reasons. 14 That money is the day-to-day expense money 15 for police, fire, sanitation, schools. You take away that money, you're going to see 16 17 cuts in those areas. 18 On the capital side, what does tens of

billions mean? You know, as I've said in all these town hall meetings, and so many of you have been there, we would not be doing new school construction, we would not be doing additional work on roads and bridges, we would be doing repaving, we would not be

1 doing the affordable housing program if we 2 lost that kind of level of money. All of 3 these new initiatives that people have 4 demanded would have to be suspended. There's 5 no way we could pay for them. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 6 7 Mr. Mayor, I want to go back to the MTA funding issue. And as was pointed out by 8 Senator Hannon, the 2015-2019 MTA capital 9 10 plan totals about \$30 billion. And of this total, \$8.64 billion, or 28.9 percent, will 11 12 be contributed by the state, and only \$2.492 billion, or 8.3 percent, will be 13 14 contributed by the city. 15 Now, you today have said several times 16 that the city is not legally responsible for 17 the capital costs for the MTA. I would say 18 to you, Mr. Mayor, that those statements are 19 not correct. Let me refer you to the law. 20 Chapter 200 of the Laws of 1953 originally 21 created the New York City Transit Authority 22 and provided that the operation of the city subway system be provided by the authority 23 24 pursuant to a master lease.

1 Within the original chapter, the city 2 was explicitly responsible for all capital 3 costs for projects having a period of 4 probable usefulness of five years or more, 5 which would include all major capital construction. That funding obligation and 6 7 authority to approve remain in place to this 8 very day.

Subsequently, legislation was passed 9 10 to provide that the city Board of Estimate -which is now you, Mr. Mayor -- needs to 11 12 approve capital costs exceeding \$5 million. This did not diminish the city's 13 14 responsibility, but ensured that the city 15 would be able to approve projects and costs 16 necessary for the system, since you are 17 responsible.

18 So based on the law, would you explain 19 to us why you feel it's appropriate for the 20 state to commit 3.5 times the city's own 21 capital commitment in order to fund primarily 22 city-centered transit investments?

23MAYOR DE BLASIO: Madam Chair, deepest24respect, absolutely disagree with your legal

1 interpretation. This is something our 2 corporation counsel --3 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I'm reading from 4 the law, Mr. Mayor. 5 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Excuse me? CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I'm reading from 6 7 the law. 8 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Again, respectfully, 9 you're reading from the law and then adding 10 your interpretation, which we all do. 11 And I would argue to you, my 12 corporation counsel has looked at the same exact law, and we also looked at 65 years of 13 14 practice, and we come to a very different 15 conclusion. 16 This obviously is an issue that could 17 have come up at any time in the last 65 years. It has not. And I think it hasn't 18 19 for a reason. Because the power to make the 20 decisions devolved to the state. Mr. Lhota 21 was here; Mr. Lhota was named by the Governor. There was no consultation with me 22 in that process. I had no vote in that 23 24 process. The budget was determined without

any involvement of the city. Let's face it,
 the state has been running the MTA for
 decades now.

4 And the reality is that the city is 5 responsible for a whole host of areas that we must provide for the people of the city --6 7 public safety, education, et cetera. There's no safety net. I think we can honestly say 8 at this point in history, if there was a 9 10 crisis in the economy or there was a massive cutoff in federal aid, which is a real 11 conceivable possibility, the state would not 12 13 be in a position to help the city any longer. 14 We are on our own to determine our own fiscal 15 future, which is we're trying to protect the 16 interests of over 8.5 million people and the 17 economy of the whole state by keeping 18 reserves in place.

19But right now the reality is we do not20make the decisions for the MTA and we have21not been held liable, legally or otherwise,22for the capital costs.

23 That notwithstanding, we have24 contributed in a variety of ways. And we

1 look forward to cooperating on a long-term 2 solution to the problem. We think there are 3 long-term solutions we could all agree upon. 4 BUDGET DIRECTOR HARTZOG: And I would 5 just add that the state has not funded as much as the city historically. In terms of 6 7 the MTA's overall capital plan, between 1982 8 and 2014 the state was at 5 percent and the city was at 7 percent, and we're now at 9 10 8.3 percent. 11 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you for that. 12 And I would say that the state has had to 13 step in over the years, I agree with that, 14 due to failures on the city's part. But at 15 the end of the day, the city legally is 16 responsible for capital costs. 17 And, Mr. Mayor, you have raised the 18 tax levy over and over and over again. You 19 said today that you have a \$5 billion 20 surplus. And I don't understand why the city 21 can't contribute more toward the capital costs. You say yourself how much people 22 23 depend on an operating subway and bus system,

24 how crucial it is to people's lives. And I

would ask that the city reconsider and follow
 the law and contribute more toward capital
 costs and operating costs of the subway
 system.

5 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Senator, I've tried to be very consistent about the fact that we 6 7 want to work together on a solution. We 8 believe, with the MTA's existing resources, they can address the immediate problem if 9 10 they would allocate money to the greatest 11 needs. We also believe that the money that 12 was taken out of the MTA budget should be returned to it. It's a substantial amount of 13 14 money. And we believe that we can work 15 together on a long-term funding source. That 16 would be the best solution for all of us. 17 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 18 I just want to switch over to the 19 New York City Housing Authority now. And I 20 thought it might be -- you know, we should 21 look at -- it would be instructive and we should look at a timeline. 22 So just going over it, on December 17, 23

2014, Politico New York reported on an audit

24

1 released by City Comptroller Scott Stringer 2 which accused NYCHA for potentially missing \$692 million in federal dollars due to 3 4 widespread mismanagement of the authority. 5 It failed to meet specific guidelines in the application process -- and by that I mean the 6 7 city -- regarding hot water heaters, upgrading lighting, replacement of outdated 8 heating plants, and so on. 9 10 On November 17, 2017, the New York 11 Daily News reported that two top NYCHA 12 managers were forced to submit their 13 resignations while a third manager was 14 demoted. The resignations and demotions of 15 managers Brian Clarke, Luis Ponce and Jay Krantz, respectively, were in response to 16 17 their knowing that lead paint testing was not 18 being conducted in apartments, and they are 19 lying to HUD officials. 20 On November 19, 2017, the New York 21 Daily News reported that the Department of 22 Investigations slammed NYCHA for systematic

determined NYCHA had failed to perform

mismanagement following a probe which

24

23

1 required lead inspections in these

2 departments and lied.

3 DOI commented, "This is the fourth 4 time in less than two years that DOI has done 5 an investigation that has found systemic 6 mismanagement at NYCHA that put tenants at 7 risk."

8 Previous reports by the DOI found that NYCHA was not properly inspecting smoke 9 10 detectors in apartments, was not performing 11 proper elevator maintenance, and did not have 12 a system in place to prevent violent criminals from living on NYCHA premises. 13 14 On January 8, the New York Post 15 reported on City Comptroller Scott Stringer's 16 response to the NYCHA mismanagement. And 17 according to Mr. Stringer, NYCHA may have used inadequate criteria to select boilers to 18

19 replace those in 2016 as part of a five-year20 capital plan.

21 On January 9, the New York Post 22 reported that \$100 million in capital funding 23 was set aside for NYCHA in 2016 but was not 24 used for boilers.

1 On January 22nd, the New York Daily 2 News reported that NYCHA General Manager 3 Michael Kelly announced his resignation. 4 Kelly was involved in NYCHA's attempts to 5 conceal its lead paint inspection failures, which were done for a year, from both current 6 7 tenants and the public. 8 For more than a year, both Shola 9 Olatoye and the city were aware that 10 department lead testing was not being 11 conducted and, subsequently, both local law 12 and HUD regulations were being violated. 13 On January 27th of this year, the 14 New York Daily News reported that a Bronx 15 jury reached a \$57 million verdict against 16 NYCHA. Tiesha Jones' daughter registered 17 dangerous levels of lead in her blood after 18 living in a lead-tainted NYCHA apartment. 19 Tiesha's daughter Dakota, four years old in 20 January of 2010, registered a level of 21 45 micrograms per deciliter in her blood. 22 The acceptable level is 5 micrograms. Obviously, lead exposure can greatly 23 24 affect the neural development of children and

cause development delays. The results of
 Dakota's individual education plan placed her
 in special education.

4 Comments. Tiesha's comments were: "I 5 was mortified. They, NYCHA, sent me a letter 6 every year stating that there's no lead in 7 the apartment. Here I was thinking I was 8 safe taking care of my children."

9 On January 28th, the New York Daily 10 News reported that the New York City public 11 advocate, Letitia James, had called upon you, 12 Mayor de Blasio, to remove Olatoye as the 13 head of NYCHA because of her lying under 14 oath. Residents have reported that no heat 15 or running hot water has been available this 16 winter. Mayor de Blasio has said that 17 Olatoye will stay as the head of NYCHA 18 because of the good she has done through the 19 improvement of agency finances and quickness 20 of repairs.

21 And in fact, Mr. Mayor, on "Good Day 22 New York" you praised Olatoye, saying: "When 23 you look at the head of an organization that 24 has steadily, consistently improved, a very

1 troubled organization, guess what, she needs 2 to stay for the good of those residents." 3 And finally, on January 31st, the 4 New York Post reported that David Farber, 5 NYCHA's general counsel and executive vice president for legal affairs, was stepping 6 7 The New York City Department of down. Investigation released information that NYCHA 8 certified to the U.S. Department of Housing 9 10 and Urban Development that mandated lead 11 paint testing was completed throughout the 12 4200 NYCHA apartments, and NYCHA knew that 13 was inaccurate. And Shola Olatoye, the 14 authority chairwoman, remains at the head of 15 NYCHA. 16 So a very basic but burning question 17 is, Mr. Mayor, why is Shola Olatoye still at NYCHA? 18 19 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Madam Chair, you 20 listed a number of items, and I want to note 21 that some of them are media accounts, some of 22 them are audits by elected officials. And I respect all elected officials but may not 23 24 agree with all the conclusions of their

1 audits.

2	There are some real issues. We've
3	been very open about those real issues. But
4	those issues, particularly when it comes to
5	the question of lead, predate this
6	administration and predate this chair at
7	NYCHA. When she found out what had happened,
8	she took the steps to address it. Now there
9	have been full inspections, twice, of all
10	appropriate apartments, and there's been full
11	remediation.
12	So the fact is that is a problem we
13	inherited that's now being addressed. The
14	fact is that the agency was on the verge of
15	bankruptcy, and she created a plan to right
16	the ship and put it on a firm financial
17	footing, that she created a plan with her
18	colleagues to make repairs happen much more
19	quickly, to fix roofs better and go down
20	the list to improve public safety. In a
21	number of developments, the increase in
22	public safety is striking.
23	I'm not for a moment going to miss the
24	fact that something went wrong on the lead

1 paint issue. I'm not happy about it. It was 2 not handled the way I would have liked. But 3 the solutions have been put in place and 4 we've been open about the mistakes. So I 5 stand by her because she has achieved a lot for 400,000 people and she will continue to. 6 7 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: But Mr. Mayor, you say that these are problems that predated 8 9 recent history. But the Department of 10 Investigation determined that NYCHA failed to 11 conduct the mandatory safety inspections for 12 lead paint over four years, beginning in 13 2013. And also that there were reports filed 14 to the federal government that falsified the 15 information and said that NYCHA did indeed do 16 those inspections. 17 MAYOR DE BLASIO: Madam Chair, this 18 has been covered time and time again, and 19 we've been working with the United States 20 Attorney on this issue for almost two years. 21 So I'm happy to say what I've said publicly 22 many times. In 2013 there was a different mayor and there was a different chair of 23

24 NYCHA.

1 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: But this is over 2 four years, the past four years. MAYOR DE BLASIO: It's a true 3 4 statement. But I want to affirm the point. 5 It is a true statement that the local law was violated in the previous administration, that 6 7 the inspections were stopped and the remediation effort was stopped. That should 8 9 never have happened. 10 It's also a true statement that when we came into office and the chair came in and 11 12 her staff came in, they did not recognize 13 that that discontinuity had occurred. When 14 it became apparent to them, they alerted the 15 federal government immediately. All this has 16 been documented. The federal regional 17 administrator has said, on record, it's true that as soon as NYCHA understood the 18 situation, they reported it to the federal 19 20 government. 21 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: But don't the 22 people who live in NYCHA apartments deserve

23 better? I mean, we've had these issues that 24 have stacked up over the years. They're in

unsafe conditions, conditions that are
 detrimental to their health and well-being,
 conditions where there's crime in these
 housing units or housing facilities. Don't
 they deserve better than that?

6 And I think that someone ultimately 7 needs to be held accountable for that. So I 8 don't understand why, you know, you seem to 9 be laying the blame on everybody else. But 10 there's an old saying the buck stops here. 11 And why isn't Shola Olatoye being dismissed?

12 MAYOR DE BLASIO: You know, Madam Chair, respectfully, you've evinced some 13 14 information about NYCHA, but I every day 15 serve the people of my city. I've spent a 16 lot of time in public housing. I know a lot 17 of people who live in public housing. I've 18 seen the improvements that she's been able to 19 achieve. And I respectfully think it is very 20 reductionist to add certain facts up and say 21 someone needs to be dismissed even though 22 they're getting a lot of good work done for the people they serve. 23

24 And there have been problems. When

1 you run a facility -- excuse me, a set of 2 facilities as big as NYCHA with decades of 3 disinvestment, of course there's problems. 4 But, you know, you mentioned public safety. 5 Respectfully, Madam Chair, if you looked at the facts, you would see how that public 6 7 safety has greatly improved in NYCHA in the last four years. And the chair has worked 8 closely, Chair Olatoye has worked closely 9 10 with the NYPD to achieve that change, and I 11 believe the NYPD are the leading experts in 12 public safety in this nation. They will 13 affirm to you how much progress has been made 14 in public safety in NYCHA. 15 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Respectfully, 16 Mr. Mayor, I think you're defending the 17 indefensible. 18 But at this point I'll turn it over to 19 Senator Krueger. 20 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. 21 Thank you, Mr. Mayor. 22 So 8.5 million people. I live there. 23 Most people actually think the city is doing 24 quite well -- our economy, our public safety,

our schools and, despite continuing problems
 with housing and public housing, even seeing
 improvements there.

4 So sometimes I think you come up here 5 and you might get a little bit of a different 6 perception from people who don't spend their 7 lives in the City of New York.

8 But I want to ask you specifically about the changes from the federal tax reform 9 10 and the proposals the Governor's task force 11 made about possible ways to address the new 12 dilemma for people living in high property 13 tax, high local and state tax areas. And 14 certainly many sections of New York City fall 15 into that category.

16 So do you have a position on some of 17 the Governor's task force proposals; i.e., a 18 payroll tax math deduction model or the 19 charitable contribution for school and local 20 income tax proposal?

21 MAYOR DE BLASIO: I'll start and pass
22 to the budget director.
23 You know, one, we're committed to work

with the Governor and work with the

1 Legislature because we think the removal of 2 deductibility was a huge mistake. Two, we do think there's a way -- although we're the 3 4 first to say it, we have not seen -- working 5 together, we haven't found the perfect formula. I would argue the more positive 6 7 option is around payroll tax, but let me have 8 the budget director jump in there. 9 BUDGET DIRECTOR HARTZOG: Thank you. 10 We are very supportive of the payroll tax and of the state's effort to look at an 11 12 unincorporated business tax. As you know, we have one in New York City. We have been in 13 14 communication with the state on how to best 15 to do that and working with them. Lots of 16 complications on how that would actually get 17 implemented and done at the state level. But

18 overall, as the mayor said, we'd be very much 19 supportive and also are very supportive of 20 trying to figure out how we decouple the 21 impact of the personal income tax so that 22 it's not a hit as much on single filers 23 especially.

24 SENATOR KRUEGER: And this was also

1 raised before, and I think you partly 2 answered the question. So the Governor's 3 value capture, I drove across from the 4 Lincoln Tunnel -- I was in New Jersey for a 5 shiva, drove across the Lincoln Tunnel, and my GPS said you're now a mile from Second 6 7 Avenue. And I was basically on 10th Avenue. So in his proposal that any time there 8 would be an MTA major project of \$100 million 9 10 or more -- which is pretty much all MTA 11 projects, as far as I can tell -- that they 12 could capture 75 percent in the increased 13 property tax assessments for anything within 14 a mile. And I'm thinking from Manhattan --BUDGET DIRECTOR HARTZOG: Which would 15 16 essentially cover most of Manhattan, yes. 17 SENATOR KRUEGER: It's pretty much all 18 of Manhattan. And then it's forever, and it's not even dedicated to the area where the 19 20 impacts are being felt. 21 BUDGET DIRECTOR HARTZOG: That's 22 correct. SENATOR KRUEGER: So you said billions 23 24 before. Have you actually tried to do like

1 just one example projection if they -- I 2 don't know, I'm hoping very much in my 3 lifetime we continue the Second Avenue subway 4 beyond 63rd heading south. So even if you're 5 just looking at one or two additional extensions of just one subway line, it seems 6 7 that we could be sucking property tax money away from the city at an incredible rate. 8 BUDGET DIRECTOR HARTZOG: Yes. I 9 10 mean, I don't think we even need to do an 11 estimate on that. If you just looked at 12 Second Avenue subway, I could tell you it 13 would probably rate at least over \$3 billion 14 of impact to us. 15 SENATOR KRUEGER: And has anybody ever 16 done a final tally on the 7 Line model? 17 Because that was an actual agreement to pay

for the 7 Line with increased property value assessment. Although that was an area where we were going to be significantly expanding a new part of the city that didn't have a lot going for it, and even then we ended up cutting out one or two of the stops.

24 So has somebody in the city done an

1 evaluation of who paid what for that project? BUDGET DIRECTOR HARTZOG: Yes. And I 2 3 would have to get back to you on the 4 particulars of that. But I think that's a 5 clear example where we did have an agreement with the state on value capture without 6 7 having it written into actual law that it would happen in this way. 8 MAYOR DE BLASIO: And Senator, just to 9 10 say that I think that's the crucial point, that these are specific agreements that 11 12 should be reached case by case. To legislate them is dangerous. 13 14 And by the way, again, I would say 15 localities all over the state would share my concern that if there's a Pandora's box 16 17 opened here where the state can reach into 18 local revenue or local property tax 19 decisions, it's very dangerous. 20 But if you say here's an option, 21 here's an opportunity, can we find a way to 22 do it together, yeah. But not on the basis of state law, it should be on the basis of 23 24 two jurisdictions trying to find mutual

1 benefit.

2	SENATOR KRUEGER: And I raised when
3	Joe Lhota was here that in fact I was part of
4	the discussions that were completed in the
5	East Midtown rezoning, where there were
6	commitments for money for MTA needs, but a
7	worked-out agreement between the city, the
8	MTA, the community and the recognition that
9	there were going to be wins and losses in
10	rezoning East Midtown, and that many
11	different things needed to be addressed.
12	And I would think that would be true
13	in any significant structural changes.
14	MAYOR DE BLASIO: That's right. And
15	that was a very thorny, complicated reality,
16	but we got there. We all found a way to get
17	to an agreement, and it's the right model.
18	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So pretty close
20	to on time, the time promised, for government
21	work. Mr. Mayor, thank you for all the time
22	you've spent with us and responding to all
23	our questions.
24	MAYOR DE BLASIO: Thank you very much

1 to everyone. Take care.

2	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Next we'll be
4	hearing from New York City Comptroller Scott
5	Stringer.
6	(Discussion off the record.)
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So, Scott, I
8	think people have quietly left the room. I
9	don't think it had anything to do with you.
10	But whenever you're ready, feel free.
11	NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Thank you.
12	For those of you who stayed, you are
13	in for some riveting testimony, so consider
14	yourselves lucky.
15	But I do want to start off and thank
16	you, Chair Young and Chair Weinstein, and
17	members of the committee and the Legislature,
18	for having me here today.
19	And Helene, on a personal level I want
20	to congratulate you for being a history-maker
21	and now being chair of Ways and Means. So
22	congratulations.
23	You know, in these challenging times
24	it's great to be with friends and colleagues

1 who share the belief that our New York values 2 will withstand the headwinds coming from 3 Washington. I'm joined here today by my 4 deputy comptroller for budget, Preston 5 Niblack, and our director of intergovernmental relations, Dylan Hewitt. 6 7 I know from my 13 years of service in Albany that this marks only the beginning of 8 the budgeting process. And I welcome the 9 10 opportunity to speak about the implications of the Governor's proposed Executive Budget 11 12 for New York City. I look forward to working with all of 13 14 you to reach a budget that will advance 15 New York as a leader in the fight for 16 fairness and opportunity for all and not just 17 some. 18 First off, we've seen some important 19 progress from the Governor and the Senate and 20 Assembly working together. At the end of 21 last year, our state minimum wage rose to 22 \$13 per hour in New York City, on its way to \$15 at the end of 2018. This will ultimately 23 24 benefit 1.5 million city residents, boosting

1 wages by more than \$10 billion.

2 New York's paid family leave program 3 took effect on January 1st of this year. 4 This milestone finally eliminates the 5 impossible choice between keeping a job and caring for a loved one. 6 7 Thanks to your achievements last year, New York will no longer treat our adolescents 8 as adults in the criminal justice system and 9 10 subject them to the deplorable conditions in 11 our city jails. 12 Through the Excelsior Scholarship 13 program, more high school students have a 14 real shot at a college degree. And with the 15 expansion of the state's childcare tax 16 credit, more middle-income parents can afford 17 to work and live in New York. 18 These are all important 19 accomplishments for New Yorkers, and you 20 should be very, very proud. Going forward, 21 we must build on these accomplishments to 22 ensure we foster an economy in which every New Yorker has a fair chance to get ahead. 23 24 But we cannot do so without recognizing that

1 this president and this Congress are 2 determined to punish states like ours, by 3 crippling our economic competitiveness and 4 our legacy of progressive leadership. 5 They have, as Governor Cuomo put it, launched a missile at the heart of the 6 7 state's economy with the federal tax bill. I want to reiterate the difficulties of meeting 8 this challenge, and that cannot be 9 10 underestimated. But it's our responsibility 11 to homeowners and taxpayers across the state 12 to ensure state and local governments can 13 fulfill their functions despite this assault 14 by the federal government. Whether you live 15 in a high-tax state or a low-tax state, it's 16 our schools, our enforcement agencies, and 17 our social services that impact lives. And while we wait for the federal tax bill to be 18 repealed, we must focus on protecting our 19 20 own.

You can do that by supporting
decoupling of state income taxes from the
federal cap on deductions. Further, the
state should allow New Yorkers to continue

1 itemizing their state tax deductions, even if 2 they take the standard deduction on their 3 federal return. And if Congress does not fix 4 the carried-interest loophole that allows 5 investment fund managers to pay lower taxes 6 than teachers, well, New York should take the 7 lead.

Now, the federal tax bill was only the 8 9 first clap of thunder in this brewing storm. 10 When President Trump releases his budget, the safety net we've worked for decades to 11 12 strengthen in New York will again be at risk. 13 The city's Housing Authority, which is home 14 to more than 400,000 New Yorkers, or 15 5 percent of the city's population, relies on 16 the federal government for 60 percent of its 17 budget. Our public hospital system requires hundreds of millions of dollars in federal 18 19 aid to continue to serve the uninsured, and 20 that population is likely to grow even larger 21 with the end of the individual mandate.

22 The budget that Trump proposed last 23 year would have blown an \$850 million hole in 24 our city budget -- at least. Now, we're

fortunate that these cuts have not yet
materialized, but the threat has by no means
disappeared. As Republicans in Congress
pledge to cut healthcare and vital services
for our most vulnerable, I ask that you
ensure the state budget protects our core
values.

Now, first, our students are our 8 9 future, and I cannot emphasize enough the 10 need to continue investment in our public 11 schools and pre-K programs. I hope you will 12 keep us moving in the right direction towards 13 ensuring the quality public education that is 14 guaranteed by the Campaign for Fiscal Equity 15 decision. I would also ask you to reject 16 cost shifts for summer school special 17 education programs and child welfare services 18 in New York city.

19And it's time to build upon your20accomplishments with Raise the Age and21continue to fund the Close to Home22initiative. Close to Home has allowed us to23move juveniles from upstate facilities to the24city, surrounded by their families and

communities, where they should be. The
 Executive Budget completely eliminates
 funding for this important program, and I
 urge you to restore the \$30 million for Close
 to Home.

We also must make it easier for all 6 7 New Yorkers to participate in our democratic process by curbing barriers to voting. In 8 2014, only 25 percent of registered New York 9 10 City voters cast a ballot. This is a 11 national embarrassment. The Executive Budget 12 calls for adopting early voting in New York, 13 which many other states have already done, 14 but does not allocate the resources to fund 15 it. I urge you to truly invest in the future 16 of our democratic process by adopting early 17 voting and fully funding it.

18 It's also high time we end the chaos 19 and soaring costs of up to four elections a 20 year, and combine our state and federal 21 primaries.

22 Today I also ask that you support the 23 Governor's bold agenda to overhaul the 24 state's bail laws. I also urge you to join

1 me in calling for a ban on commercial bail 2 bonds. My office issued an analysis that 3 found that these private, for-profit actors 4 are extracting as much as \$27 million every 5 year from those who can least afford it. They are unnecessary for the function of our 6 7 justice system, and they come at a great 8 expense, both to the accused and the public. We found the cost of detaining people who 9 could not afford bail reached \$100 million 10 11 last year.

12 The Governor has also proposed 13 examining the benefits and challenges of 14 legalizing recreational marijuana. Our 15 neighboring states are moving forward on 16 legalization, and so should New York. Not 17 only is marijuana an untapped revenue source 18 for the city and the state, but the 19 prosecution of marijuana-related crimes has 20 had a disproportionate impact on black and 21 Hispanic communities for too long. But I 22 will say, if you're gonna toke it, tax it. And let's also end the lifetime 23 24 disenfranchisement of those with criminal

1 convictions. Once you've served your time, 2 it's time to bring you back into society --3 and that includes the ability to exercise 4 your most fundamental civil right. 5 Let's also end the marginalization of our fellow New Yorkers who live in public 6 7 housing. My office's audits and investigations have uncovered innumerable 8 problems in NYCHA. The decades of federal 9 10 disinvestment in public housing are at the 11 root of the problems. The city must step up 12 financially to help preserve this critical 13 affordable housing from irreversible decline. 14 But we cannot do it alone, and the 15 state must partner with us to protect the 16 over 400,000 residents of NYCHA from leaking 17 roofs, broken elevators, and freezing 18 temperatures. 19 And finally, I want to address the 20 MTA. Our mass transit system is the power 21 grid of New York City's economy, and we 22 cannot afford to continue the dysfunction. My office has documented the economic and 23 24 human costs of the deterioration of our

1 subway system. As delays on the subways 2 reached new highs this summer, we found that 3 nearly three-quarters of riders were late to 4 work because of transit problems. Thirteen 5 percent of riders lost wages. We found that the total cost of subway delays adds up to as 6 7 much as \$389 million per year in lost wages and productivity for our city economy. Our 8 economic success is literally built on top of 9 10 the subways. Let's not throw that investment 11 away.

12 So let me be clear to all of you. I 13 support the emergency action plan put forward 14 by Chairman Lhota, and I believe the city 15 should step up and pay its fair share of the 16 cost. You see, we're not looking for a handout. It's time to look to the future, 17 18 not the past, and it's time to work together. 19 As we move forward, we must employ complete 20 transparency in how emergency funds are used. 21 And then we must come up with new, permanent 22 funding solutions for the MTA. No option should be off the table. 23

24 Now, first, I've suggested a new

\$3.5 billion Transportation Bond Act as part
 of the solution. The last bond act, in 2005,
 allowed the MTA to buy 1,500 new train cars
 and a new fleet of buses. That meant fewer
 breakdowns and fewer delays for riders.

6 Another proposed funding stream is the 7 congestion pricing proposal from the Fix NYC task force. Their plan represents a new 8 approach. See, in the past, leaders have 9 10 looked at congestion pricing with a Manhattan-centric perspective, and only 11 12 considered the cost of congestion to the 13 central business district. This proposal 14 acknowledges that we must first address the transit needs of residents of all five 15 16 boroughs. I agree.

17 My office recently published a 18 comprehensive review of our city's bus 19 system. We found that our buses are the 20 slowest in the nation, and many routes are 21 misaligned with the city's changing 22 commuting patterns. We cannot ask residents of Canarsie or Parkchester or Queens Village 23 24 to face a choice between driving and paying

1 the congestion charge every day, or taking up 2 to three buses that will take them 2 hours to 3 get to work. We need a system that works for 4 everyone.

5 We also can and should explore value capture as a mechanism to build and maintain 6 7 a world-class transportation infrastructure. But I cannot support enabling the MTA to 8 9 unilaterally take city property taxes through 10 so-called special transportation districts. 11 And I feel confident that none of us who have 12 served at the local level can support giving someone else control over our taxes, and I 13 14 urge you to reject this proposal.

15 And let's dispense with the idea that 16 somehow New Yorkers are not paying our fair 17 share of the costs of the subways and buses. Through fares and tolls, taxes and subsidies, 18 19 New York City residents contribute well over 20 \$10 billion annually to the MTA. Now, we're 21 willing to pay our fair share, as long as we 22 get a fair return. I think that's fair and a win for all. 23

24

So in conclusion, I feel confident in

1 this Legislature's ability to advance a fair 2 and balanced budget despite the challenges 3 and uncertainties we face from Washington. 4 New York must continue to push forward 5 without leaving any of our people behind. Let us instead work together -- cities, 6 7 counties, and state, legislative and executive branches -- to protect our values 8 and maintain New York as a fair and equitable 9 10 home to all of our people. Thank you again for the opportunity to 11 12 testify, and I'm happy to answer any 13 questions you may have. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 14 15 And for our first questions, 16 Assemblyman Weprin. 17 ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Thank you, Madam Chair. 18 19 Great to see you, Comptroller Stringer. And great to see my friend Preston 20 21 Niblack, who as you know -- he must be a 22 great asset for you, because I know of his good work when I was chair of the Finance 23 24 Committee in the City Council and he was our

1	director. And, you know, he very
2	innovative and has some great ideas, and I'm
3	glad he's been part of your team for a while
4	now, I guess.
5	NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Did he tell
6	you to say this?
7	(Laughter.)
8	ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Actually, we
9	hadn't discussed it.
10	As chair of the Corrections Committee,
11	I appreciate your comments on bail. No one
12	should have to no decisions should be made
13	on whether somebody can afford bail or not
14	afford bail. And obviously we all know, you
15	know, of the Kalief Browder case, the poster
16	child for bail reform. And I'm hoping that
17	we'll be able to do it this year.
18	As far as the MTA is concerned, I know
19	it's no surprise to you that I'm against
20	congestion pricing. I like the if you can
21	toke, tax it. I think if we can develop a
22	tax revenue stream from legalizing marijuana
23	or certainly with medical marijuana,
24	expanding it, I think that could be another

1

potential revenue stream for the MTA.

What's lacking -- and I know you've 2 3 been a strong advocate in the past, and I 4 don't want to hear the answer, you know, that 5 I've heard from other people that it can't be done. I still think the fairest revenue 6 7 stream would be a nonresident income tax, or what we commonly used to refer to as the 8 commuter tax. And I know you've been up here 9 10 many times over the past four years and 11 urging the adoption of the commuter tax. 12 I would not want to abandon that. And I think as we discuss the MTA crisis, I think 13 14 we should rediscuss putting back a nonresident income tax with a dedicated 15 stream to the MTA, because that of course is 16 17 a progressive tax, it's a fairer tax. People 18 that, you know, live outside of New York City 19 but work in New York City take public 20 transportation on a daily basis, benefit from 21 police, fire, sanitation, et cetera. 22 So I would hope you wouldn't forget about rearguing for that commuter tax or 23 24 nonresident income tax.

1 NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Well, I --2 you know, when I served with many of you in 3 the Assembly, I voted against eliminating the 4 income tax. I do think it's fairer that 5 people beyond New York City who work in New York City who use our services leave 6 7 something in the pot on the way out of the 8 city so we can better fund police and sanitation services. 9 10 I think that's one option. I think we've lost \$5 billion or so since we 11 12 eliminated the commuter tax. Actually, since that time, I think back to 1999, the city's 13 14 never really been whole. I think there were 15 different points where we've really suffered

16 financially because we don't have that 17 revenue stream.

But again, all of these ideas, whether it's a bond act for the MTA, looking at different congestion resources, this is the time now when we really have to structurally change the way we finance the subway system, the way we think long-term about creating new funding streams.

1 One idea that we've put forth has 2 been, as it relates to public housing, a 3 \$400 million pot of money that should come 4 from Battery Park City so that we can fund 5 some of the capital needs at NYCHA. The Governor and I support that; we need the 6 7 mayor to commit to help us on the Battery 8 Park City Authority Board. But this is what legislating, I think, 9 10 is all about. Let's put our ideas on the table and let's come back and make things 11 12 better. 13 ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Okay, thank you. SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. 14 15 Senator Brian Kavanagh. 16 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thank you. 17 NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Senator. 18 SENATOR KAVANAGH: How are you, 19 Mr. Comptroller. Thank you for being here. 20 It's always good to see you on that side of 21 the table. I know you spent a lot of years 22 on this side. And my decision to stay has nothing at 23 24 all to do with the recommendations of my

1 staffer, Mr. Stern, who I think you're also 2 familiar with. NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Is he here? 3 4 SENATOR KAVANAGH: He's not here, but 5 he's monitoring the proceedings online. NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: I look 6 7 forward to seeing him on Twitter. SENATOR KAVANAGH: Yes. 8 So I just wanted to follow up on --9 10 you know, we had a -- I think you were in the 11 room for much of the mayor's testimony and 12 the ongoing dialogue about public housing 13 funding. And we concluded that segment of 14 the hearing with some questions from one of 15 my colleagues on the other side of the aisle 16 about whether NYCHA is managed in a manner 17 that is -- you know, should entitle it to the 18 kind of support that a lot of us think the 19 state ought to be investing. 20 And you and your office -- I've heard 21 it said, actually, that your office has done 22 more audits of NYCHA than any of your 23 predecessors. So as someone who has spent a 24 lot of time trying to understand the

1 management issues at NYCHA and trying to hold 2 that agency accountable, I think your 3 perspective is particularly valuable, and 4 particularly your perspective that 5 notwithstanding those concerns, we ought to be investing additional funding. In your 6 7 testimony you say that the state ought to be 8 a partner in that. Can you just talk us a little bit 9 10 through that? You know, the ongoing management concerns and your conviction that 11 12 nonetheless we should be putting real capital behind NYCHA. 13 14 NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: I'm happy 15 to talk about NYCHA because NYCHA does, as I 16 mentioned, represent 5 percent of our 17 population. 18 You know, going back to 1938 when 19 LaGuardia created public housing, it was not 20 about housing for poor people, it wasn't the 21 poor people housing. NYCHA really 22 represented a ticket to the middle-class. Even back then, an apartment that rented for 23 24 \$100 a month was really the beginning of

getting your family lifted to the middle
 class.

3 Growing up in Washington Heights back in the day, there was no difference between 4 5 playing in Dyckman Houses or around the corner where we lived. NYCHA became part of 6 7 our community. And when you think about the open space and the way NYCHA was constructed, 8 it really got us away from the crunch of 9 10 tenements and into a new form of housing. We know the story. The federal 11 12 government mistakenly divested from NYCHA, 13 the state has not paid its fair share, and 14 the city for the most part has failed the

15 NYCHA residents because of poor management.16 This has gone on for way too long.

17 The reason I've conducted more audits 18 of NYCHA than all the comptrollers combined 19 in modern history is because I want to focus 20 on preserving and protecting the residents of 21 NYCHA.

22 So I think the issue is poor 23 management, less managerial vision. I think 24 that the antiquated way we look at how to

1 manage the services, the repairs, the 2 roofing, the broken windows at NYCHA is all 3 part of poor management, structural 4 mismanagement. And the question for me is 5 less about who to blame, what individual -but rather, we need to almost take NYCHA 6 7 apart in order to rebuild it. So I'm less concerned about playing the "should Shola 8 stay or should Shola go" blame game. 9 The 10 federal investigation will bear out those issues related to some of that. 11

12 The real question is, what do we do to 13 change the management structure to provide 14 the services we need? I mentioned the 15 Battery Park City Authority funding. We have 16 to create new revenue streams, so let's look at that Battery Park City funding. There's 17 three of us who sit on that board right now: 18 19 The mayor, the comptroller, and the governor. 20 If we all three vote for earmarking 21 specifically some money to NYCHA --22 \$400 million, \$40 million over 10 years -- we will fundamentally begin saying to the state 23 24 legislature and everyone who will listen, we

are creating a revenue stream. That hasn't
 happened in such a long time.

Second, we need to professionalize
this agency and think more boldly about how
we rebuild the agency so we can better
service the tenants. NYCHA's got to publicly
tell us about their plan, their long-term
plan, not keep it a secret, and then we all
have to dig in.

10 So there's a lot that we have to do with NYCHA. It's a real challenge because if 11 12 we fail, many of these tenants are going to end up in our homeless shelters. And as you 13 14 know, 62,000 people, or 61,000 plus 24,000 15 children, are now in shelter. And we are 16 finding that many of those come from NYCHA. 17 SENATOR KAVANAGH: So I appreciate

18 your approach. And I would just note that a 19 lot of my tenant leaders in my district join 20 you in not focusing on the personalities, 21 that many of them maintain support for the 22 existing leadership, but obviously while 23 we're facing a lot of these frustrating 24 concerns.

1 NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: But -- and 2 by the way, we as elected officials can't 3 just complain, right? We have to come up 4 with specific ideas so that we can come to 5 the table and solve these problems. And that's why I haven't been out there on that 6 7 whole issue, because I'm like, you know, 8 tenants are more concerned about getting the boilers in place, fixing the problems, 9 10 instead of -- you know, once you're in the blame game, then that kind of absolves you 11 12 from offering specifics. And I want to see 13 us with a real agenda now. 14 SENATOR KAVANAGH: I look forward to 15 working with you on that. 16 And also just note that I may have 17 some thoughts on the Battery Park City 18 question in my new capacity. 19 But I thank you for all your work on 20 keeping the system accountable and in 21 supporting the resources we need. 22 NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 23 24 Assemblyman Carroll.

1ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: Thank you, Madam2Chairwoman.

3 Good afternoon, Comptroller Stringer. 4 It's good to see you here in Albany. 5 First I wanted to commend you and your office on two things that you have done 6 7 recently. The first is to commit to divesting in fossil fuels in our city pension 8 funds over the next five years. I think that 9 10 is so important to our environment. And it 11 leads to my first question, which is has your 12 office done any studies on pollution due to 13 congestion in New York City and how that 14 affects city residents, especially under the 15 guise of the new congestion pricing plans 16 that have come out that could possibly reduce 17 emissions from vehicles by as much as 18 20 percent in the central business district? NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: You know, 19 20 we haven't specifically done that audit, but 21 we're certainly thinking about it. And let 22 me tell you, part of the announcement I made with Mayor de Blasio on beginning the process 23 24 of divestment has been through the lens of

1 being a fiduciary.

2	You know, I just came back from days
3	at the U.N. as we talked and wrestled with
4	climate change, with asset managers and
5	people nationally and globally, about making
6	sure that the investments we have with these
7	companies, we don't we're concerned
8	because a lot of those investments in those
9	companies, we're worried that we're going to
10	see stranded assets, it's going to cost the
11	pension fund. The Paris Accord plays a
12	significant role despite the fact that Trump
13	actually spurred what's happening on the
14	planet.
15	So we are beginning a process, but
16	it's a process through the lens of making
17	sure that first and foremost we protect the
18	retirement security of our teachers,
19	firefighters, city workers.
20	There's an op-ed in the Daily News by
21	the Manhattan Institute that just totally
22	basically mixes up their false analysis of
23	where we are with our pension fund, and I'm
24	here to tell you that the pension fund is

strong, it's managed well. I commend the trustees, who constantly think about our asset allocation. The results speak for themselves. We're actually hitting our actuarial targets over the long run. And I'm very proud of the Bureau of Asset Management and the work that we're doing.

8 We've reformed the pension fund since 9 I've been in office in a way that we haven't 10 seen in a very long time. But we also have 11 to look at how we think about not just the 12 survival of our planet, but also the survival 13 of our pension fund.

14 ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: And then to 15 follow up on that, I just want to commend you 16 for coming out for what I think is just a 17 pragmatic, prudent statement, which is to 18 have the city fund its portion of Chairman 19 Lhota's Subway Action Plan. I think it is so 20 important, as you just said, that we fund 21 this action plan now while we're figuring out 22 amongst ourselves how we're going to find long-term revenue streams so that the MTA can 23 24 be funded into the future.

1 NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Well, look, 2 this is -- I respectfully disagree with the 3 mayor. There's a lot that we can argue about over the last 10, 15, 20 years about who 4 5 didn't fully fund the MTA. You know, I know that the state has had a real divestment plan 6 7 for the MTA. We didn't fund it like we should, and we're paying the cost of that 8 9 now.

10 But I do believe that the city should 11 have skin in the game on the emergency plan, 12 and I do believe that we should share that cost with the state. I think that there 13 14 should be an MOU so that we're sure that the 15 money that the city allocates actually goes 16 to city projects, with a timeline and a 17 transparency. I think this is something that 18 can be negotiated between the mayor and the 19 governor and the legislature.

20 But I will tell you, as the city's 21 chief financial officer, this city is 22 literally built around a subway grid. And to 23 not fully fund a plan that keeps our subway 24 system running is a very, very dangerous

1 game. Part of what we saw back in the '70s 2 and '80s was just how precarious the system 3 was back then. And then the people before us 4 understood that you have to continue to fund 5 it because the stakes have never been higher. And I want to thank you for thinking 6 7 out of the box on these issues. You and I have talked about this. We have got to come 8 9 up with a plan. The city has to help. We 10 have to put politics aside, because this is the one area that we will catch ourselves in 11 12 a very dangerous situation, especially if the 13 economy slows down. Let's fund the Lhota 14 plan and then look long-term as to how we 15 create a financial package to fully fund the 16 system.

ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: Thanks so much.
CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
Senator Diane Savino.
SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you, Senator
Krueger.
Good afternoon, Comptroller.

24 I also want to say thank you for your

1 willingness to support the idea that the city 2 should have some skin in the game on the 3 Subway Action Plan. I agree with you, and I 4 also think that the mayor is somewhat wrong 5 on this issue. I want to turn your attention to two 6 7 things. One, I also am happy to see that you 8 support adult-use marijuana. That's the appropriate term now. We don't call it 9 10 recreational anymore, we're trying to rebrand

11 it.

12 It might be helpful, though, because 13 the Governor has indicated that he's going to 14 create some sort of a commission or a task 15 force. I think it's going to be through the 16 Department of Health. We'll hear more about 17 it next week. What I don't think that they're going to do is they'll really look at 18 19 the issue and see how adult use marijuana has 20 been implemented in other states. So perhaps 21 your office, since you have an interest in 22 it, might want to take a look at it. What we've seen in California is if 23

24 you allow localities to impose their own tax

on top of the state tax, the price goes
 through the roof and it kind of defeats the
 purpose of a legal regulated market. So if
 you could take a look at that and maybe offer
 some opinion, that might be helpful in the
 broader discussion.

But I want to take you back to NYCHA,
because as you've stated publicly, your
office has investigated or audited NYCHA more
than any other comptroller I think in the
city's history, and that's a good thing.

12 So did they share with you, during the 13 course of these audits, the problems that we 14 were facing with lead paint inspections, or 15 was that not brought to your office's 16 attention when you were doing these audits? 17 NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Because of 18 the DOI and federal investigation, I don't 19 want to address those specific issues. 20 Because, you know, when you have the feds and DOI in a situation, we are very cautious, if 21 22 that's the right word, in terms of not getting in the middle of something that could 23 24 potentially be criminal or likewise.

1 So I just want to be respectful to 2 that ongoing investigation. You know, I'm 3 not here to make headlines when something 4 like that is going on. And that's what I 5 said, let's see what the outcome of the 6 federal investigation is before we get ahead 7 of ourselves.

So I can tell you that the reason 8 we've now done nine audits of NYCHA is 9 10 because we need to document and go in and look at these issues. So some of the audits 11 12 we've been able to do, we looked at the backlogged repairs, 55,000 unanswered tickets 13 14 and why that was happening. We went in and 15 looked at the inventory of NYCHA. We found 16 that basically people were walking in, taking 17 anything they want from the storage bins. Some guy named X, we haven't identified him, 18 19 would sign "Mr. X" and take out all the, you 20 know, faucets or the refrigerators or 21 whatever they were taking out of NYCHA.

And the audits go on and on. And what has really struck me is that we as a city have got to go in and figure out a management

1 system based on those audits. And I think 2 NYCHA has been very slow to just come clean 3 and say yes, we're a mess, we're a mess 4 because we're not funded. That's true. But 5 we also are managing NYCHA the same way we've managed it for the last 30, 40 years -- and 6 7 it's not working. And it has to change. 8 SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you for that. I think you may not be -- I don't know 9 10 how closely you guys track state legislation. But June 19th of 2017 the Senate passed 11 12 unanimously a bill that was sponsored by 13 Senator Klein that would institute a monitor, 14 an independent monitor for NYCHA, to address 15 some of these very things that get uncovered 16 in audits that your office does, that in fact 17 there is just tremendous mismanagement. 18 Another piece of legislation that he's 19 been pursuing is to develop a repair 20 certificate program -- I don't know if you're 21 familiar with that --22 NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: I am familiar. 23 24 SENATOR SAVINO: Yes. So do you have

1 an opinion on that legislation or the way it 2 would work? And for those who don't know, it 3 would essentially grant credits to developers 4 who are seeking up-zoning, because the city's 5 up-zoning large sections. And Staten Island, as you know, the North Shore is up for 6 7 up-zoning. And in exchange for the preferential up-zoning, they would have to 8 commit to do major repairs in some of our 9 10 NYCHA developments to kind of fix the 11 backlog.

12 NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: So I understand the Senator's frustration and the 13 need or the want to say, hey, look, we need 14 15 somebody from the outside pushing. But I 16 think really, at the end of the day, the 17 administration, the city, or -- and I don't 18 mean this to focus on anyone personally, 19 because I think the mayor is right to say 20 that, look, this has been a problem for 21 multiple administrations.

22 This is something the city must 23 tackle. And I can only say to you that we're 24 going to continue not to be the monitor, but

1 we will continue to work with the legislature 2 and community leaders to make sure that we 3 continue to go into NYCHA to lay out what the 4 problems are and work closely with you.

5 Also, this year Dylan Hewitt is going to be spending more time working with the 6 7 Legislature, Senators and Assemblymembers, so that as part of our role we can give you the 8 knowledge on a regular basis about some of 9 10 the work we're doing so you can take a look at what you think you need to look at. And 11 12 we'll be happy to work with you.

SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you.

13

14 And I'm out of time, but I just want 15 to make a point. I see up in the audience 16 Mark Halperin with the elevator operators. 17 It might be helpful if the comptroller's office took a look at the number of elevator 18 19 accidents and the danger in installing and 20 maintaining as we pursue legislation to 21 create a license for elevator mechanics and 22 people movers in the State of New York. NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Well, we 23

24 have worked on those audits and those issues.

1	And again, we'd be happy to work with them
2	and with you on these issues.
3	SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you.
4	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
5	Senator Brian Benjamin.
6	SENATOR BENJAMIN: Mr. Comptroller.
7	NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Good to see
8	you up here.
9	SENATOR BENJAMIN: How are you, sir?
10	NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Good, sir.
11	SENATOR BENJAMIN: Thanks for coming.
12	First I want to say that I want to
13	commend you and your staff, you've been an
14	activist comptroller in the good sense. I
15	guess I want to start off by saying that.
16	NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Thank you.
17	SENATOR BENJAMIN: Let me ask you a
18	couple of questions.
19	Number one, as was mentioned earlier,
20	you've led the efforts to divest New York
21	City from the fossil fuel industry, but
22	you've also led the city to divest the
23	comptroller's office to divest from private
24	prisons, is that correct?

1 NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Yes. 2 SENATOR BENJAMIN: And one of the questions I have for you on that is one of 3 4 the things that I've looked to do on this level, I've introduced a bill to require that 5 the state pension fund divest from private 6 7 prisons as well. One of the feedbacks that I've 8 received is that there's a sense that maybe 9 10 trying to sort of initiate that is meddling, 11 it's almost sort of trying to tell the 12 comptroller to do this or do that. 13 What was your rationale for divesting 14 from private prisons with the New York City 15 pension fund, and what would be your 16 recommendation to other pension funds as it 17 relates to that decision? NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: Well, I 18 19 know you care passionately about this issue, 20 and for good reason. 21 You know, when I was a state 22 legislator, I was always struck that people would want to get prisons located in their 23 24 district not as a way of reducing crime, but

because in the '90s prisons were built
 upstate as a way of creating economic
 activity. So you would build a prison in
 18 months and literally you would create a
 whole economy around a prison.

6 There were others, there were those of 7 us who said don't build a prison, build 8 schools and daycare programs and after-school 9 programs, because we don't want our children 10 from downstate shipped upstate as a way of 11 continuing this prison population surge 12 upstate as an economic development tool.

13 We now know that building prisons 14 upstate was a financial disaster upstate and 15 made no sense. But it hasn't stopped. Now 16 we actually have people who invest in private 17 prisons, and these are the new ICE detention facilities. These are the new -- these are 18 19 the new detention centers that actually are 20 turning a profit for investors, and I think 21 that is abhorrent.

And when we set about divesting, we
first and foremost looked at what the
implication would be for our pension fund.

1 And a lot of people said to me, why don't you 2 just get out of the private prisons today? 3 And I had to close my ears to that and begin 4 a process of careful analysis that we could 5 get out of private prisons from the pension fund, but do it with the highest fiduciary 6 7 standards so no harm would come to the people whose money we protect, which is our 8 retirees. It took us two years, and we were 9 10 able to pull out \$48 million. 11 So anytime you have a divestment, you must do it in a careful way. And sometimes 12 13 the data may tell you you can't. 14 There's another philosophy, another 15 tactic that we employ, with the shares we own 16 on behalf of our retirees, is we also engage with companies. So for example, our 17 18 boardroom accountability project, where we 19 have now worked with pension funds around the 20 country, we are now looking at corporate 21 boards that are all male, all pale -- hate to 22 say it, somewhat stale -- and we want more diversity, more people of color, more women 23 24 on those corporate boards.

1 Our engagement has been a national 2 success story because we are now using the 3 power of our shares to go to those companies 4 and say your value will be enhanced if you 5 create more diversity at the corporate board level. And we are having national success. 6 7 And so you have to be nimble in your strategies. And the one thing I would 8 caution with your bill is that you need to 9 10 allow the State Comptroller the ability to look at these issues through the lens of 11 12 being a fiduciary. So the last thing, you know, I need -- and sometimes this happens 13 14 with our City Council -- is you don't want 15 10 bills telling the State Comptroller what 16 to do. I really just want to say that, even 17 though I understand the urgency that you bring to this, because you've been such a 18 19 great leader. You need to make sure that 20 there's the separation of letting the sole 21 trustee of the pension fund, Tom DiNapoli, do 22 his work on behalf of the retirees of the

23 state.

24

SENATOR BENJAMIN: Great. I just want

1 to follow up on that, because I thought I did do this by asking -- giving him a year. But 2 3 it sounds like a year -- do you think there 4 should be timetables set in legislation on 5 these kind of things? Or it should be if you ask for divestment, you should sort of assume 6 7 that the comptroller, state comptroller -- in your case, city comptroller -- that time 8 constraint would be complicating? 9 10 I guess that's my question, are time constraints a good idea or a bad idea, in 11 12 your opinion? NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: You know, I 13 14 think that's a smart question. The way I've 15 approached it -- look, you know, not a week 16 goes by that Liz Krueger hasn't tweeted me on 17 fossil fuel divestment. Right? But I don't tweet back at her. Or somebody will have a 18 19 rally and say, I want you to divest from 20 fossil fuel because politically, you know, 21 they want to get out in front of it. 22 I literally don't look at those tweets. Or I guess I did with Liz Krueger's, 23

24 since I'm mentioning it today.

1

(Laughter.)

2 NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: But we have 3 a fiduciary standard that we have to meet. 4 And sometimes, look, it's hard. Because 5 whatever your personal opinion is, as comptroller, you know, you run a -- for us, 6 7 the fourth largest public pension fund in America, 14th largest in the world. The 8 things that you do have big ramifications on 9 10 the global economy. 11 And so I just wanted to say to you, 12 the work that we're going to do together, I 13 would just ask you to remember our fiduciary 14 standard and just appreciate how we have to 15 comport ourselves. Because at the end of the 16 day, my first responsibility is to that 17 teacher, that city worker. These pensions 18 are not a lot for retirement security. I'm 19 proud to tell you that over the last four or five years, we've hit our actuarial target of 20 21 7 percent. The money, the way we've 22 stabilized the pension fund, the way we've done the back-office work is always about 23 24 pension reform. The trustees have now

1 created one investment meeting. This is all 2 the work that we do. It's not going to get 3 you a headline. No one's ever going to 4 report it. But it's the -- it's our sworn 5 responsibility to look at it this way. SENATOR BENJAMIN: Okay. If you could 6 7 share those standards, thank you. 8 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. And the Assembly's being quiet this 9 10 afternoon, so I'm the last questioner. 11 Thank you very much, Mr. Comptroller, 12 for coming to Albany. 13 And yes, I carry the adult-use 14 legalized marijuana bill as well as the 15 fossil fuel divestment bill. So just for the 16 record, we're not so big on the toking, 17 because actually the burning of it in your lungs is still the problem. So other forms 18 of legal adult-use recreational marijuana. 19 And yes, tax them. 20 21 Do you have a projection on how much 22 the state budget proposal would lose the City of New York? Because all tied into the 23 24 discussion of should the city deliver on the

1 MTA money, should the city do this, it seems 2 to me you have to answer the other half of 3 the equation: What are we cutting from the 4 City of New York's budget in the state 5 budget?

6 And for some reason, no one asked the 7 mayor, so I'm asking whether you know the 8 amount.

9 NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: So what I 10 would say is, you know, a budget document is 11 a set of priorities. So you could argue that 12 every time you fund X, you can't fund Y. And 13 so that is for your deliberations. And 14 certainly the mayor and the City Council will 15 come up with their own set of priorities.

16 What my role is, simply, is to say 17 what happens if we don't fund the MTA? And I 18 think I've made the case that this is very 19 critical.

I do think, given the money in the city's budget, the potential surplus, I think this is a wise investment to immediately work to create that state-city match.

24 But going forward, I think that we

1 have an overall issue, which is once we get 2 the emergency out of the way, or the 3 emergency funding, Senator, we have a whole 4 lot of discussion about what's the best way 5 to sustain our transportation system. And I think through a combination of some of the 6 7 congestion pricing ideas, the bond act that I 8 think would go a long way to get us the money we need if we passed a bond act sooner rather 9 10 than later, we can have that infrastructure money. And that is what I think we should 11 12 work on.

13 I will work with you to get you the 14 data you need in terms of the city costs. 15 But I would also argue that our investment 16 would end up coming back to us in the form of 17 people getting to work on time. One of the 18 reports I did shows that given the mess 19 today, we're losing \$380 million a year 20 because of lost wages, because of transit 21 delays. So if we invested \$400 million in 22 the first year, we're probably going to see a rate of return on that pretty soon and pretty 23 24 quickly.

1 SENATOR KRUEGER: And I wasn't trying 2 to get a gotcha out of you, because I don't 3 disagree on the need to prioritize MTA 4 issues. But I do think it's important for 5 everyone to be aware that if the Governor's budget proposal is going to cut 600 million 6 7 to 750 million out of revenue to the city that it's been receiving, that that has to be 8 factored in when you say should we put 9 10 another 400 million into the MTA. You need 11 to look at, you know, the totality of it. 12 Have you had a chance to look at the 13 various tax reform proposals that the 14 Governor's tax reform task force, or whatever 15 they call themselves, proposed as a way to 16 address the changes in federal tax law and 17 the impact that would have on New Yorkers who 18 pay disproportionately high property, 19 personal and state taxes? Do you have an 20 opinion on any of those proposals? 21 NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: So in the 22 near term, I do believe that we should decouple from federal provisions that would 23

24 have an immediate impact on New York's tax

1 burdens.

2	And I do think that the Governor's
3	proposals require further study, but it's
4	certainly a study that we have to begin.
5	Because I do think, you know, what he is
6	proposing is complex. It could, you know,
7	produce another set of winners and losers in
8	the scheme of the budget. He's talking about
9	legal challenges that are possible.
10	I don't have yet a specific analysis.
11	You know, what we usually do is once the
12	mayor proposes his budget, once we come to
13	Albany, in the next couple of weeks we'll
14	offer our own analysis of the New York City
15	budget and also some of the outstanding
16	issues in Albany. So if you give me a
17	chance, we're going to be doing that in the
18	next couple of weeks.
19	SENATOR KRUEGER: Great. I think that
20	would be very helpful to at least understand
21	the impacts specifically on the
22	residents of
23	NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: And by the
24	way, you know, I come here recognizing that

1 this city, our city, gives billion more to 2 the state and the federal government than we 3 get back. And we should recognize that. And 4 I come here to say, you know, this city 5 contributes so much to the state economy, be very careful what you do to us because at the 6 7 end of the day it causes more harm beyond the 8 city. And I would caution -- that's why I've always come here and said, How dare you take 9 10 away our revenue-sharing. And the hundreds 11 of millions of dollars we lost over the 12 couple of years, that means we generate less 13 tax revenue because you've now cut out our 14 ability to multiply the money that we get to 15 the city. 16 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you very much. 17 Assembly. 18 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for 19 being here today. And look forward to 20 continued conversations on so many of these 21 issues with you. NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: And best of 22 23 luck to you, Madam Chair. 24 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.

1 NYC COMPTROLLER STRINGER: And thank 2 you, colleagues. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Next we'll be 3 4 hearing from Kathy Sheehan, the mayor of the 5 City of Albany. ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Just as we're 6 7 preparing, we've been joined by Assemblyman 8 Chris Friend. MAYOR SHEEHAN: Good afternoon. 9 10 SENATOR KRUEGER: Good afternoon. MAYOR SHEEHAN: Are you ready for me 11 12 to begin? Great. 13 I want to thank Chairpersons Young and 14 Weinstein for inviting me to speak with you 15 about the City of Albany today. I also want to thank the Senate Finance Committee and the 16 17 Assembly Ways and Means Committee and the other members of the Senate and Assembly who 18 19 are present, along with members of our 20 Capital City delegation, our Assemblymembers 21 Pat Fahey and John McDonald as well as 22 Senator Neil Breslin, who work closely with the City of Albany with respect to various 23 24 issues and initiatives, but in particular our

1 financial challenges.

2	I want to thank you again for
3	supporting the \$12.5 million in Capital City
4	funding that was in the Governor's budget
5	last year. This funding provided as new,
6	unrestricted aid, and not as a spinup
7	allowed for the City of Albany to avoid
8	borrowing against our future. This year the
9	City of Albany seeks a permanent
10	\$12.5 million in funding for the
11	Capital City.
12	I would love to come to this body and
13	talk about infrastructure and economic
14	development and many other issues that impact
15	our city, talking about opportunities to
16	create further partnerships with New York
17	State. However, because the inequity in
18	unrestricted aid continues to exist, I am
19	forced to return here every year to request
20	equitable state funding, something that every
21	other municipality in the State of New York
22	is guaranteed from the moment the Governor's
23	budget is released.
24	We are seeking to ensure that the

Capital City can provide services that we
 know are essential for the tens of thousands
 of people who come here to work every day,
 many of them in this building and in this
 plaza.

The cost of maintaining roads and 6 7 sidewalks and of providing police, fire, and emergency services to accommodate and protect 8 these workers and visitors falls to our 9 10 residents. Providing this level of service while being denied our fair share in state 11 12 aid is not fair, and it is not sustainable. 13 No other city in New York State is 14 forced to endure this situation every year. 15 Our residents, our police officers, our 16 firefighters and all of our hardworking

employees deserve better than to deal with this process year after year from their state government. We simply cannot ask our residents, businesses, and taxpayers to carry a larger burden simply because they chose to make the Capital City home.

23 Every year around this time I am asked24 by homeowners and business owners alike

whether they will have to sell their house or
 close their business because they are worried
 that we will not receive the \$12.5 million in
 Capital City funding that we use to balance
 our budget every year.

If the City of Albany state funding is 6 7 cut by \$12.5 million and we are forced to place that burden on taxpayers, every 8 property owner would receive a 22 percent 9 10 property tax increase -- or we would have to 11 make cuts to public safety that would result 12 in fire engines being taken out of service 13 and the ending of our community policing.

14New York State employs thousands of15workers in the City of Albany. Many, many,16many of those workers commute to the city17from our surrounding affluent suburbs. We18also host tens of thousands of visitors who19come here to conduct business with and lobby20state government.

As you will see in Slide 5 of the
handout I provided, our largest employers
other than the state and municipal government
and the school district are Albany Medical

1 Center, St. Peter's Hospital, Albany Stratton 2 VA Medical Center, Albany Memorial Hospital, 3 SUNY University at Albany and the Center for 4 Disability Services. These organizations 5 enjoy tax exemptions that the City of Albany is bound to recognize and is barred by the 6 7 federal and state government from removing. On Slide 6, I have provided a map that 8 9 shows just how widespread the amount of 10 tax-exempt property in the city is, and identified for you the large parcels of land 11 12 across the city that are owned by New York 13 State and its various agency and authorities. 14 As you can tell, the wide variety of colors 15 on this map show a large portion of 16 tax-exempt properties, and the burden on our 17 taxpayers is astounding. As noted on Slide 7, Albany has the 18 19 most tax-exempt property out of any upstate 20 city with at least 50,000 residents --21 64 percent of the property in the city is 22 tax-exempt; 58 percent of that the tax-exempt property is owned by the State of New York. 23 24 And while it is often pointed out to me that

1	we receive a payment from New York State
2	under Section 19A of the Public Lands Law,
3	this payment is just 0.36 percent of the
4	value of the state's property.
5	And I also want to point out that
6	every municipality in New York State is
7	entitled to payments under Section 19A of the
8	Public Lands Law for property taken off the
9	tax rolls by the state.
10	As a city of dozens of unique
11	neighborhoods, our homeowners and residents
12	in those neighborhoods bear a
13	disproportionate burden of our tax levy. As
14	noted on Slide 8, the City of Albany
15	residents, who own approximately 24 percent
16	of the value of the property in the city, pay
17	60 percent of the tax levy. And even more
18	challenging for economic development,
19	businesses that own just 12 percent of the
20	value of property in our city bear the other
21	40 percent of our tax levy. This crushing
22	tax burden is our number-one challenge to
23	economic development.
24	Your state capital truly is treated

1 like no other large city in New York State. 2 As you will see on Slide 9, we have the 3 lowest amount of AIM per capita received of 4 any of the other upstate cities with a 5 population of at least 50,000 residents. Our AIM is \$128.84 per capita, compared with 617 6 7 for Buffalo, 494 for Syracuse, and 419 for Rochester. 8

This inequity is also patently obvious 9 10 when you look at our city and the school tax levy in actual dollars compared to Utica, 11 12 Rochester, Syracuse, and Buffalo on Slide 9. The City of Albany's total city and school 13 14 tax levy is the second largest, and just 15 \$2 million from being the largest -- again, 16 in actual dollars. I'm not talking about 17 per capita. And it's higher than Utica, 18 Rochester, and Syracuse, all cities that receive between two and almost four times as 19 20 much AIM per capita as the City of Albany.

21 This inequity is even further evident 22 when reviewing AIM as a percentage of our 23 total tax levy. As you can see on Slide 11, 24 Albany's AIM as a percentage of our total tax

1 levy is just 7.31 percent, almost four times 2 less than Utica, seven times less than 3 Rochester, 10 times less than Syracuse, and more than 16 times less than Buffalo. 4 5 On Slide 12 we have provided a chart that shows the tax levy per capita versus AIM 6 7 per capita in Albany, Utica, Rochester, 8 Syracuse, and Buffalo. By showing that our 9 residents pay more than three times the 10 property taxes per capita but receive four times less AIM than Buffalo -- or even almost 11 12 twice as much in property taxes per capita but receive half the AIM of Utica -- I 13 14 believe there is no clearer picture of the 15 unfair position the City of Albany 16 taxpayers are put in year after year. 17 This information demonstrates to you that our residents, our businesses, and our 18 19 taxpayers are bearing a significantly 20 disproportionate burden with respect to 21 property taxes, because of the large amount 22 of tax-exempt property in our Capital City and because of the inequity in AIM. 23 24 And I'm not suggesting that these

1 municipalities do not need the AIM they 2 receive. I provide this information because 3 I think it is critically important to 4 understand what grave impact this inequity is 5 having on the City of Albany. The reality for our city is that people living in some of 6 7 the poorest census tracts in the region are bearing the burden for the state's refusal to 8 provide AIM at even half the level that it 9 10 provides to other cities.

11 I want to stress that our ask is to 12 get us to half. We are not asking for AIM at 13 the same level as even the City of Utica. As 14 you will see in New York State Comptroller 15 DiNapoli's fiscal stress score chart, which 16 we provide on Slide 13, Albany is still only 17 one of two cities in the state that is under significant fiscal distress. Comptroller 18 19 DiNapoli, being here in Albany, understands 20 the challenges and how we got to where we 21 are.

22 Prior to my administration, the City
23 of Albany depleted our rainy day fund. The
24 fund, Comptroller DiNapoli recommends, should

1 be equal to approximately 10 percent of our 2 municipality's annual budget. For the City 3 of Albany, that means our rainy day fund 4 should be 17.6 million. However, at the end 5 of 2016, it was less than a third of the recommended size. We should have been in a 6 7 position to grow our fund but not cut our budget to reduce the State of New York's 8 well-deserved aid to our city. 9

10 As you can see on the chart provided on Slide 14, the City of Albany's fund 11 12 balance at the end of 2016 was smaller as a 13 percentage of expenditures compared to the 14 same cities that receive more AIM per capita: 15 Syracuse, Rochester, Buffalo, and Utica. 16 Again, I understand and appreciate the difficult task every city faces in balancing 17 18 their budgets year after year. However, I 19 think it's important to note that the City of Albany is facing a state funding cut and 20 21 being directed to increase our rainy fund at 22 the same time, the only city in New York State facing that predicament. 23

24 And so what does this all mean? This

1 inequity not only impacts our competitiveness 2 across New York State, it impacts our 3 competitiveness right here in the Capital 4 Region. I shared this example with you last 5 year, but I believe it bears repeating. As noted on Slide 15, several places in Albany 6 7 that we see our legislators enjoying dinner are Cafe Capriccio and Jack's Oyster House. 8 Please continue to do so. 9 10 However, many members also go to establishments like Blu Stone Bistro and The 11 12 Cheesecake Factory, located in the Town of 13 Colonie, just across the line from Albany. 14 The tax bill on Wolf Road is 60 percent lower 15 than the tax bill paid here in the City of 16 Albany -- 60 percent. An inequity exists 17 where you can locate just across the city 18 line and significantly reduce your tax 19 burden.

20 When I came to this body four years 21 ago, I promised that with an additional 22 \$12.5 million I would drive efficiency and 23 cost savings for our city budget. I told you 24 at the time that I did not believe that we

1 were doing all that we could to be good 2 stewards of taxpayer dollars. I told you 3 that we needed to invest in technology. I 4 told you that we could do better, and I 5 promised to do better, and I delivered on that promise. From my first budget in 2015 6 7 to my most recent budget in 2018, we have absorbed multi-million-dollar interest 8 arbitration awards, we have settled every 9 10 union contract, we have managed higher healthcare costs, and the growth over those 11 12 four years was just .02 percent. I'll repeat 13 that: .02 percent. 14 If we had followed New York State and 15 capped growth by 2 percent annually since 16 2015, our budget would be almost \$11 million 17 more than it is today. 18 Not only have we held the line on 19 growth since I became mayor -- as you see on 20 Slide 16, we have cut millions of dollars 21 from our city budget over the past two years. 22 My 2018 budget included a \$600,000 decrease over the previous year, marking two 23 24 consecutive years where I have cut the budget

from the previous year for the first time in
 more than 60 years. I am not aware of any
 other municipality of our size in the state
 that has done the same.

5 This is important to note, because over the past two years we have cut to the 6 7 bone. And any additional cuts will have adverse effects on the level of service 8 residents, businesses, and visitors have come 9 10 to expect. Cuts should not reduce the 11 liability of New York State to its Capital 12 City. Cuts should benefit the taxpayers who 13 already bear an unfair and inequitable burden 14 compared to other large cities.

15 It is also important to touch on an 16 additional development since we met last year. As noted on Slide 18, in May of last 17 18 year we received a report of the PFM Group's 19 review of the City of Albany's finances. In 20 2016 and 2017, we worked with PFM Group and 21 the New York State Budget Office to develop a 22 three-year plan for the city. During this review, the need for significant revenue was 23 24 acknowledged and validated. Again, the

budget you passed last year acknowledged this
 reality by including \$12.5 million for the
 City of Albany.

4 We have taken several of PFM Group's 5 recommendations and implemented them in our 2018 budget. These initiatives include 6 7 reorganizing nearly every department, 8 including the Department of Administrative Services; greater centralization; and 9 10 improved internal administrative functions. 11 We have centralized payroll, we have 12 eliminated duplicative processes, and we have 13 continued to work with the county to find 14 opportunities for us to cut further expenses.

15 We expect to see further benefits in 16 2018 from our fleet vehicle maintenance plan. 17 We are continuing on a plan to purchase our own streetlights, which would reduce our 18 19 operating expenses, and we are working with 20 Albany County to include a countywide 911 21 dispatch, purchasing, payroll, and records 22 management system.

But these savings will need to absorbincreases in health insurance and personnel

1 costs and to continue to contain our city 2 budget. The premise that these savings should be used to reduce the state's 3 4 equitable payment of unrestricted aid is a 5 standard that no other city in the state is held to. Aid is not being cut for other 6 7 cities as they find opportunities to save 8 money and find other revenue opportunities within those municipalities, and Albany 9 10 should not be asked to do that. Four years ago I could understand 11 12 skepticism about whether the City of Albany 13 was part of the problem. At this point it is 14 inconceivable to me that it is still a 15 question, based on what we have been able to 16 achieve over these last four years. 17 Again, I thank you for the support 18 you've provided to this Capital City funding 19 in the past. I urge you to make this 20 \$12.5 million in Capital City funding 21 permanent, and not force the taxpayers of the 22 City of Albany to bear the burden of a state aid cut to our Capital City. 23 24 Thank you.

1 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 2 We've been joined by Assemblywoman Pat 3 Fahy and Assemblyman John McDonald. 4 And to our Local Government chair, 5 Assemblyman Magnarelli. Questions? Yes. 6 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Welcome 7 again, Mayor. 8 MAYOR SHEEHAN: Thank you. 9 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: It's always 10 good to see you, and I love to hear your 11 passion, I really do. 12 I do have questions -- I really don't want to get into it too much. I think you've 13 14 kind of spelled out your position pretty well 15 this morning, or this afternoon. But in the back of my mind -- and I think it's for some 16 17 questions that I should talk to you about personally in the sense of exactly if we're 18 comparing apples with apples with some of the 19 20 other cities across the state. 21 I don't think there's any question in 22 my mind that our cities across New York State, whether it be Albany, Rochester, 23 24 Buffalo, Syracuse, are all in need of

1 additional funding. But I do want to get 2 into a couple of other things that deal with 3 the Local Governments Committee and what 4 we've been doing over the past year, 5 particularly what you mentioned at the end of your remarks on shared services. 6 7 There was a provision in the budget last year that called for a meeting to take 8 place among all municipalities within the 9 10 county. Did that take place in Albany? And what was the outcome of it? 11 12 MAYOR SHEEHAN: Yes, it did, and we 13 submitted a report that was supposed to be --14 that was handled at the county level. That 15 was the way that the legislation was drafted. 16 So it was the county's responsibility to 17 collect all of that information and provide a report identifying opportunities to the 18 19 state. ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Did you find 20

21 that meeting or deliberations -- did you find 22 that helpful, not helpful? How did it go? 23 MAYOR SHEEHAN: Well, in Albany County 24 we meet on a quarterly basis, even prior to

1 the legislation, to talk about opportunities 2 for cost savings and sharing. And I know that some of the Hill Towns have worked with 3 4 the county to handle DPW issues. And the 5 county has taken over plowing in certain of the towns. We certainly work very closely 6 7 with the county and piggyback on purchasing 8 opportunities.

9 And the big project that we have 10 undertaken with the Albany County sheriff is 11 a consolidated dispatch 911, where the 12 technology now provides a platform that would 13 make it feasible for us to create one 14 dispatch center for the county. And that is 15 the project that we are working on.

16At this point in time, we've been17successful in getting some of the18intermunicipal grant funding to help fund the19work that's being done to we hope make that20happen. We want to really be able, in 2019,21to be operational with that dispatch.22ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: So -- but

23 outside of that, do you feel that the process24 was a good one? Did you feel that it's

something that we should do on an annual
 basis? The Governor is looking for it again
 in this year's budget.

4 MAYOR SHEEHAN: I think that it's 5 helpful when we can work with the county to identify opportunities. And so every county 6 7 has slightly different forms of government. We have a strong county legislature form of 8 government in Albany County, and so as far as 9 10 working with the county executive, it really 11 needs to be not just the county executive in 12 Albany County, but also that legislative body 13 needs to be actively engaged, so that when we 14 arrive at opportunities for savings that 15 there's support within the legislature for 16 that.

17 But certainly this is something that we have to do. For us, the 2 percent tax 18 19 cap -- you know, we can't raise property 20 taxes more than we've raised them. So we're 21 constantly looking at opportunities for us to 22 share services. When we have positions that open up, the first thing we do is go and look 23 24 at the county and say, Does the county have

1 someone that does this job already for the 2 county? And is there a way we can contract 3 with the county to provide this service to 4 the City of Albany when a position becomes 5 open? ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: So you're 6 7 doing that. 8 MAYOR SHEEHAN: Yeah. Absolutely. ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: So just to 9 10 boil this all down, it's not a bad idea. MAYOR SHEEHAN: No. It's never a bad 11 12 idea to talk. ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Okay. It's 13 14 not a bad idea to do it on an annual basis 15 and to sit down and try to see if there's 16 anyplace that shared services could come into 17 play. MAYOR SHEEHAN: Right. I think where 18 19 the challenge comes in is the formulas with respect to where the savings fall, and that's 20 21 an area where I think that allowing the 22 municipalities to really look at this and strategize through it, it allows us to have a 23 24 collaborative conversation.

1 As opposed to the county -- you know, 2 we're all looking to cut, but in some 3 instances for the county to increase its 4 payroll might actually benefit everybody. So 5 we don't want the county to be penalized, for example, for taking on the dispatchers. It 6 7 increases their head count, but it's actually providing a savings countywide and within the 8 9 municipalities that are participating in it. 10 So that's where it would be helpful to 11 have a little more flexibility with respect 12 to where those savings end up falling. 13 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Okay. Thank 14 you. 15 As far as the shared services, you mentioned a little bit about some of the 16 17 barriers to doing it, and we talked about the 18 legislature being more involved on the county 19 side in order to get things done. Is there 20 anything else that you can see forming 21 barriers to sitting down and discussing shared services? 22 MAYOR SHEEHAN: I don't pretend to 23 24 know all the ins and outs of it, but I know

1 that there are still some challenges with 2 respect to looking at a healthcare model, a 3 health insurance model that the county would 4 be the policyholder and the municipalities 5 could purchase their healthcare through the county. And I know that that's been 6 7 something that's been raised at the various meetings with respect to the purchase of 8 healthcare where I still think either there's 9 10 a misunderstanding or there may actually be some barriers that are still in place to 11 12 being able to do that.

ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Correct me if I'm wrong, but I think last year you talked about some of the efficiency grants that you were able to get. I think Albany was one of the only cities, I remember, that actually got some of the grants that had been in the budget for a number of years.

Are you still getting monies, and do
you think that those grants were helpful?
MAYOR SHEEHAN: Absolutely. You know,
it takes a lot to plan the process, for
example, of consolidating 911. We have to

1 ensure that we have access to our 2 information, we have to ensure that we are 3 going to be able to provide the same level of 4 service, we have to negotiate with our unions 5 with respect to a change -- if there's going to be a change in their employer. 6 7 And so that takes planning, and everybody has day jobs. So this grant 8 funding allows us to bring the resources in 9 10 to help us do that planning. ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: One final 11 12 question. Something that came up in the 13 Governor's budget this year is this fiber 14 optic wireless facilities and the state 15 basically taking over the licensing of the --16 what do they call it? The small cells. 17 What's your take on that? MAYOR SHEEHAN: Well, we've entered 18 19 into an agreement with one of the small cell 20 carriers. We think that that is important 21 revenue, so again -- we have to sit down and 22 we have to talk. We have to look at what's happening with the different revenue sources, 23 24 particularly with respect to utilities, so

that as we -- if this technology truly 1 2 becomes the next generation and cable goes 3 away, now you have a cable franchise fee that 4 the cities are collecting that they are 5 not -- if we don't have access to the small cell revenue, then that's a loss of revenue. 6 7 You know, in the City of Albany, that's well 8 over a million dollars. ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: And am I 9 10 correct, the Governor's budget basically says that the state would collect whatever that 11 12 fee would be for the small cells? 13 MAYOR SHEEHAN: It's my understanding 14 that they're -- yeah. 15 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: According to 16 my understanding. 17 MAYOR SHEEHAN: Yeah. 18 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: But -- okay. 19 All right. Well, thank you very much, and 20 it's always good to see you. Thank you for 21 coming. 22 MAYOR SHEEHAN: Thank you. SENATOR KRUEGER: Senator Kathy 23 24 Marchione.

1SENATOR MARCHIONE: Good afternoon,2Mayor.3MAYOR SHEEHAN: Good afternoon.4SENATOR MARCHIONE: Just a little bit5on shared services. Certainly you know that

6

7 shared-service plans removes the state match 8 for any shared-service savings resulting from 9 any approved plan -- that last year, of 10 course, the match was in the budget.

the Governor's plan to permanentize the

11 What effect do you think the removal 12 of the state match has on effectively 13 achieving any shared services under now this 14 proposed permanent program?

MAYOR SHEEHAN: Well, I know that 15 16 there had been some concern expressed on the 17 county level with respect to the impacts of shared services. So if the county is going 18 19 to be taking on more services and that 20 benefits the municipalities, then the way 21 that the formula works within the tax cap, the counties at least perceive it as the 22 23 county being punished -- maybe that's too 24 strong of a word -- but potentially punished

1 for taking on a city service. Or a town
2 service.

3 And so I think that's where it would 4 be helpful to have some more flexibility, and 5 I know that there have been some meetings at the staff levels to sort of walk through some 6 7 examples of that. And one of the areas, because we're looking at this consolidated 8 911 and this consolidated dispatch, I think 9 10 it's going to provide a good opportunity to 11 show what the impact can be if the -- if 12 we're not able to work through that in a way 13 that the county isn't penalized for taking on 14 a service that the city currently takes on.

15You know, we're looking to pay the16county for that service. But again, it's17going to increase the county's expenses and18decrease an expense on the part of the city.

19SENATOR MARCHIONE: Okay. Still in20shared services, the Governor's plan on21shared services also continues the procedure22whereby any member of the shared-services23panel that does not vote for a proposed24shared-services plan must provide a detailed

1 statement justifying their opposition vote. 2 Such a requirement exists nowhere 3 else, that we're aware of, in law, to require 4 an elected official to have to justify why 5 they don't support a proposal. What are your thoughts regarding the continuation of this 6 7 requirement, now that the program would 8 become permanent in law? MAYOR SHEEHAN: Again, I think that 9 10 from the standpoint of shared services, it's 11 really important to look at what we're 12 accomplishing. And it's not just in Albany 13 County; I know that it's happening in 14 Rensselaer County, in Schenectady County. 15 These conversations are ongoing. 16 And with respect to the work that 17 we're doing, you know, I've looked at other 18 cities' budgets when I was putting together 19 my testimony, and if you look at the 20 growth -- or really the lack of growth -- in 21 those budgets, I think you see across the state that municipalities have grown at a 22 much smaller rate than the state because we 23 24 have had to do more with less.

1 And so, you know, of course I'm a 2 local elected official, I want as much 3 independence and autonomy as I can have, and 4 I think that we ultimately have to answer to 5 the people who elect us and ensure that we are advocating for what we think is best for 6 7 the residents who we represent. 8 SENATOR MARCHIONE: Thank you. I 9 agree with you, Mayor. 10 But one of the real concerns, again, 11 on this program that I haven't heard you talk 12 about is it's an unfunded mandate at this 13 point. Last year it was not. Last year 14 there were some real benefits behind the 15 shared-services program, that you could reap 16 benefits on what you're doing. There was 17 also an added benefit encouraging localities to get together. And now, you know, now it's 18 19 permanent, but there's no money. 20 And, you know, if it's a duck, it's a 21 duck. And to me it's an unfunded mandate, 22 that you are now going to be facing one more. And I hear this all the time, so certainly I 23 24 have that concern for you.

1 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. 2 Assembly? 3 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman 4 McDonald. 5 ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: Thank you, Madam Chair. 6 7 Mayor, good to see you. Thank you for your report, very illustrative. 8 A couple different things. I'm 9 10 actually going back to the tax cap question, 11 shared services -- and I've asked this to a 12 lot of elected officials, it's not just you. But it's true, you know, it's very difficult 13 14 for local leaders to actually put a 15 shared-services initiative together. You have to work with your labor staff and the 16 17 whole nine yards. And one of the disincentives that I'm seeing -- I think you 18 19 referenced this -- is that, you know, you 20 transfer a service -- say you transfer 21 dispatch to Albany County. You have your tax 22 cap lowered, you're almost penalized. Now, some people will say, Well, 23 24 listen, they're not providing the service,

1 they should give up something. But do you have a suggestion of -- is there a sweet spot 2 3 in the middle or someplace where we can get 4 to a compromise? Because I find if we're 5 going to be discussing making this shared services initiatives a permanent thing --6 7 which I know, I used to go to the same meetings you used to, and we do meet 8 quarterly -- we don't want to discourage -- I 9 10 think it's becoming a barrier, to be honest with you, the tax cap, I think in that 11 12 aspect. 13 MAYOR SHEEHAN: And again, from the

14 standpoint of the tax cap, the practical 15 answer for the City of Albany is -- I mean, 16 it doesn't matter. Until this \$12.5 million 17 is fixed, I don't have the luxury of even 18 thinking about having an opportunity to raise 19 taxes to the full amount of the cap. Nor do 20 I think that that's the right answer.

21 But I think from the standpoint of, 22 you know, looking at opportunities to save 23 money, that we have to grow within our means. 24 But the means that Albany is provided is like

no other city. And so when I look at what we have accomplished and what we can accomplish if we pursue shared services, none of that negates the need to fix this gap that's been filled with gimmicks and with spinups and with borrowing from the future.

7 And so I'd love to come here next year having this \$12.5 million permanently fixed 8 and then to be able to talk about other 9 10 initiatives that I think can help provide our 11 city -- to be on a track where we're not 12 talking about unrestricted aid anymore, we 13 are talking about how do we grow within our 14 means.

ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: The next part is -- actually, Assemblymember Fahy's asking this question too, but she had to run back to a committee meeting -- the fiscal stress score, you're in the above 65 percent in 20 2017. Where was the city the year or two before?

22 MAYOR SHEEHAN: So this was our second 23 year in a row of being in significant fiscal 24 stress. We were slightly better this year

1 than we were the previous year.

2 ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: Right. MAYOR SHEEHAN: We didn't fall into 3 4 that category until we had spent the last of 5 our fund balance, which happened with the budget that I inherited. 6 7 ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: Which is remarkable considering the contracts that you 8 had to settle. And I know everybody deals 9 10 with them, but they were laboring for a long period of time -- and also you controlled --11 12 you decreased your spending, for lack of a better term. 13 14 The PFM report came out, I think, 15 after the budget last year, or somewhere in 16 between? 17 MAYOR SHEEHAN: It was as we were 18 preparing our budget. ASSEMBLYMAN MCDONALD: So where -- did 19 it call for a continued stream of state aid? 20 MAYOR SHEEHAN: So -- it did. There 21 22 was a table in it that suggested that through increasing our taxes every year the full 23 24 2 percent -- which we all know that the

1 2 percent isn't really 2 percent -- and

2 charging additional fees to our taxpayers,
3 that we could reduce the need from
4 \$12.5 million to a smaller number.

5 And I would submit to you, again, no other city is being asked to do that. Their 6 7 AIM is their AIM. And whether we're able to repurchase our street lights and realize 8 9 savings in our utility expenses, those are 10 dollars that we know are going to have to fund future interest arbitration awards or 11 12 hopefully contract settlements with our 13 unions, as well as the continued increase 14 that we see in healthcare costs.

15 You know, these are all things that we 16 have to manage too. But to somehow say that 17 the City of Albany, for every dollar it 18 saves, it's got to give it to the state --19 and no other city is being asked to do 20 that -- is what I am here saying has to end. 21 ASSEMBLYMAN MCDONALD: I don't 22 disagree with you on that, particularly since most of what you deal with is mandated by us 23 24 here at the state.

1 My last question, and it kind of goes 2 into the apples-and-apples and 3 oranges-and-oranges question, a lot of people 4 say: Well, listen, you're in Albany, this is 5 where state government is, that's where all the jobs are. What's your response to that? 6 7 MAYOR SHEEHAN: You know, I love being the Capital City. I love having all of you 8 here, I love that issues are debated here and 9 10 that you have really, really smart people working in your agencies doing really 11 12 innovative and exciting things. 13 But the reality is that most of the 14 people who work in this complex don't live in 15 the City of Albany. They live in our more 16 affluent suburbs where they pay lower 17 property taxes, where they're not in areas 18 where they have high concentrations of 19 poverty, high concentrations of social 20 services -- and so the burden is falling to 21 the residents of this city. 22 We don't have a commuter tax. We share sales tax countywide based on 23 24 population. So this burden is falling to the

1 people who can least afford to bear that 2 burden. 3 And that is why I again implore you, 4 and I have implored the Governor, to fix 5 this. This was not a problem that he 6 created, this was not a problem that was 7 created -- you know, this is a problem that 8 has existed for years, but it's been dealt with in multiple ways. It's time to just fix 9 10 it. 11 ASSEMBLYMAN McDONALD: Thank you. 12 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. SENATOR KRUEGER: Senator Diane 13 14 Savino. 15 SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you, Mayor 16 Sheehan. 17 I just have one question, because I've 18 always found this perplexing that the host 19 city is essentially not being compensated. 20 Do you have an idea of how much money the 21 State of New York would have to pay you in 22 property taxes if they were a property taxpayer? 23 24 MAYOR SHEEHAN: You know, we've

1 actually looked at if they would just pay us 2 1 percent of the value of all the property, and it would be well over \$12.5 million. It 3 4 would be probably -- I think it's an 5 additional \$24 million. That's just 1 percent. 6 7 I'm sure that my staff has done that calculation, but -- and I'll get it to you. 8 We'll shoot it to you. 9 10 SENATOR SAVINO: I'd be curious to 11 know. 12 MAYOR SHEEHAN: Yeah. SENATOR SAVINO: And the other states 13 where the capital is in a city, do they have 14 the same experience? Or does this happen in 15 16 Trenton, in Sacramento, in --17 MAYOR SHEEHAN: There are a number of 18 states that do provide special capital city 19 funding to their capital cities. 20 You know, there are others -- Columbus 21 is a great -- is a good model. In Columbus, 22 in Ohio, you can annex. So Columbus just annexed its way. Right? Everyone in Colonie 23 24 and Guilderland and Bethlehem loves to hear

me say this -- they really don't, no. But I scare them when I say that.

I mean, if we were to just annex our surrounding suburbs, we would be able to grow our tax base and the problem would be much smaller. But clearly in New York State that's not a realistic option.

8 SENATOR SAVINO: Because I think it's 9 outrageous that the City of Albany gets 10 shortchanged so much, and I'm often surprised 11 that you only ask for \$12 million, because 12 quite honestly it seems like a very unequal 13 relationship.

14 MAYOR SHEEHAN: It does, and I will 15 say that that is probably for me one of the 16 most frustrating and challenging things. 17 Because I love a challenge, and I was willing 18 to challenge our city and my staff and our 19 leadership team to do better, and we did. 20 And we have. But the \$12.5 million is 21 \$12.5 million, and it probably should be 22 more. And if I could be criticized by our residents, it would be that it's only 12.5. 23 24 But I'm confident that with that

1 \$12.5 million, and with the focus that we've 2 had on economic development and on growth, 3 that we can be on a path to sustainable 4 growth, reasonable growth going forward. 5 SENATOR SAVINO: And finally, on that point, I know when I first got here there was 6 7 talk of the new convention center, and at one point it looked like it would never happen, 8 and now it's here. 9 10 MAYOR SHEEHAN: It is. SENATOR SAVINO: So the new convention 11 12 center, new hotels, is that producing the 13 type of economic activity that you had hoped 14 for? 15 MAYOR SHEEHAN: We're starting to see 16 investment, we're starting to see new small 17 businesses pop up. But again, that growth in 18 our tax base is what we're going to need to 19 equalize our tax base so that we don't have 20 this disproportionality that's impacting our 21 business community in particular. 22 And so, you know, there's the Harriman 23 campus, there's often been talk about 24 developing that campus, that's moved nowhere.

1	The 8-acre parcel downtown still has not been
2	developed. The state does seem to be moving
3	forward with freeing that up for development.
4	But again, growing our tax base
5	those are things that, you know, again, as a
6	new hotel goes up in the City of Rochester, I
7	don't see them being asked to subtract from
8	their AIM, on their unrestricted aid, the
9	value of that new hotel.
10	SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you.
11	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
12	I think I'm the last one.
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yeah.
14	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
15	So every year when you testify I ask
16	you, What did Albany do to apparently have no
17	friends in this town? Which is ironic,
18	because you pull out these numbers about how
19	little you get per capita from AIM, and it's
20	sort of breathtaking to me that we continue
21	to have this story every year, and more even
22	that everyone else who's getting AIM doesn't
23	go, Wait a second here, why is Albany being
24	punished?

1	Do you have any idea how,
2	historically, you ended up with such a low
3	AIM formula per capita?
4	MAYOR SHEEHAN: You know, we just
5	celebrated William Kennedy's 90th birthday in
6	a wonderful celebration at City Hall. And he
7	is a wonderful fiction writer, but there is a
8	certain amount of, I don't know, historical
9	fiction that's true about what William
10	Kennedy has written about the City of Albany,
11	a city that he has described as full of
12	political wizards and wonderful scoundrels.
13	But I do know that, you know, the
14	former head of the Democratic Committee used
15	to run some pretty amazing cockfights, and
16	maybe somebody lost some money in one of
17	those.
18	(Laughter.)
19	MAYOR SHEEHAN: But in all
20	seriousness, this dates back to maybe a
21	different time, a time when most of the
22	people who worked here did live here, a time
23	when we didn't see the concentration of
24	poverty in our cities that we see in our

1 cities now.

2	But what I do know is that the past is
3	the past, and it's time for us to fix this
4	inequity permanently.
5	SENATOR KRUEGER: I agree with you,
6	absolutely. I've also I was looking at
7	what some other cities are doing when they
8	have such a large amount of their property
9	owned or controlled by not-for-profits and
10	government. So Boston has PILOTs where they
11	get \$32 million a year from what they call
12	the eds and the meds, the colleges and the
13	medical centers. And Pittsburgh's had a
14	history of that, and a few other cities
15	around the country.
16	I wonder whether you've ever explored
17	that here in Albany.
18	MAYOR SHEEHAN: We have. One of the
19	first things that I did when I became mayor
20	was I brought in the heads of all of our
21	large institutions, our nonprofit
22	institutions and so we do have voluntary
23	PILOT payments, certainly not on the scale as
24	Boston.

1 Our largest university is the 2 University at Albany, which will not pay a 3 PILOT payment. And our smaller universities 4 are struggling, our smaller colleges are 5 struggling financially, but we have been successful in partnering with our hospitals, 6 7 with the Port, and with Fuller Road 8 Management -- although that's not going to continue -- that owns SUNY Poly. We've also 9 10 had one of our hospitals pay for a traffic study that we would otherwise had to pay for. 11 12 So we're working very closely with our not-for-profits to work with them. They know 13 14 that the strength of the city really impacts 15 the strength of their businesses as well, and 16 their employees, so they have been willing to

help us at this point through voluntary PILOT
payments.
SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you very much,

20 Ms. Mayor.

21 MAYOR SHEEHAN: Thank you.

22 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thanks for coming.
23 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for
24 being here.

1	MAYOR SHEEHAN: Thank you.
2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: As a quick
3	question, actually I was just wondering,
4	you know, I know we had some press
5	conferences together calling for the passage
6	of the zombie laws of vacant and abandoned
7	and I'm just wondering how I know it's
8	just a short period of time, but how that is
9	helping the City of Albany.
10	MAYOR SHEEHAN: Again, another issue I
11	would have loved to have come to talk to you
12	about for a much longer period of time. But
13	I will say that we were successful in getting
14	funding for a position again, our
15	challenge is our operating budget through
16	the Attorney General's office to pay for a
17	person whose job it is to identify and have a
18	plan for each and every vacant building in
19	this city.

20 That person has completed their vacant 21 building inventory. We're using the software 22 that the Attorney General's office funded, 23 and we have the ability now -- we've graded 24 each of the buildings, we know the condition

1 that they're in. We were able to use some HUD funding that we have that was a loan 2 repayment, we're providing a million-dollar 3 4 pool for grants for vacant buildings. We're 5 working with the Land Bank, and it is my 6 priority for 2018. I am going to be holding 7 myself accountable. We have the inventory now, it's 1,044. 8 A year from now, it needs to be a number less 9 10 than that and we need to have these buildings 11 back into a plan, either for reuse or in some 12 cases, unfortunately, there will be some 13 demolitions. 14 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for 15 that. 16 MAYOR SHEEHAN: Thank you. 17 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for 18 being here. 19 MAYOR SHEEHAN: Yes. It's going very, 20 very well. 21 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Great. 22 Next we'd like to invite Mayor Lovely Warren, the mayor of the City of Rochester, 23 24 to come address the committee.

1 MAYOR WARREN: Good afternoon, 2 Chairwoman, Chairwoman Weinstein, members of 3 the Ways and Means and Finance Committees, 4 other members of the Senate and Assembly. 5 Thank you for the opportunity to address this panel on behalf of the residents of the City 6 7 of Rochester. I am joined today by my budget director, Chris Wagner. 8 I am proud to lead the City of 9 10 Rochester in a time of growth. We're creating more jobs, safer and more vibrant 11 12 neighborhoods and better educational 13 opportunities. Our downtown is thriving, and 14 our neighborhoods are making a comeback. 15 I have just begun my second term as 16 mayor, and over the next four years I will be 17 focused on providing economic equality for all of Rochester's residents, not just a 18 19 select few. 20 The City of Rochester prides itself on 21 being a good steward of the taxpayer's 22 dollars, so much so that we received two bond 23 rating upgrades in recent years. We support 24 and have consistently complied with the

1 state's property tax cap, and have a strong record of consolidation and shared services. 2 3 As is the case every year, the City of 4 Rochester is beginning its budget process 5 with a significant deficit. This year we begin the budget process with a \$47.6 million 6 7 all-funds gap. As we do every year, we face tough decisions as we strive to protect the 8 services that are a lifeline for so many, 9 10 while also continuing to move our city forward. 11 12 But we simply cannot cut our way to

prosperity. I am well aware that the state 13 14 is facing its own budgetary challenges, so I 15 did not come here today with a long list of 16 requests. Instead, I am here to ask the 17 state to protect our existing revenue 18 streams, particularly when it comes to our 19 aid to municipality funding, the gross 20 receipts tax, and capital funding for key 21 projects.

22 To put my requests into context, I ask 23 you to consider the following. The City of 24 Rochester receives 88.2 million in AIM aid

1 from the state each year. However, we are 2 required to pay 119.1 million as a 3 maintenance of effort to the Rochester City 4 School District. Rochester is the only 5 upstate city whose maintenance of effort exceeds the AIM aid that we receive, and that 6 7 deficit is \$30.9 million. This also means that Rochester gives up 68 percent of its tax 8 levy to the school district, leaving just 9 10 32 percent available to support police, fire, libraries, recreation, and other critical 11 12 city services. Further cuts to Rochester AIM aid 13 14 would drastically impact our ability to 15 provide residents with these services. In 16 addition to maintaining our AIM aid and 17 asking for more, I want to stress why 18 alternative revenue streams, including the 19 gross receipts tax, are so crucial for us. 20 Rochester has seen a 56 percent 21 decline in its annual gross receipts tax 22 revenue, from over \$11 million to just over 5. That is \$6 million that would go a long 23 24 way towards helping the citizens of

Rochester. Even though the New York State
 Department of Taxation and Finance agrees
 that such revenues are subject to the tax,
 many energy service companies, or ESCOs, do
 not pay it.

The state can fix this situation once 6 7 and for all by enacting legislation to clarify that ESCO revenues associated with 8 the sale of gas and electric falls within the 9 10 scope of GRT. And the proposed elimination 11 of the ESCO exemption from the sales tax 12 provides some relief, but does not address 13 the overall receipts tax issue.

14 In addition, I ask that you include 15 cellular services within the scope of this 16 tax. Both New York State in its excise tax 17 and New York City in its gross receipt tax 18 have changed their respective statutes to deem cellular service revenue as taxable. 19 20 Two bills have been introduced that would 21 resolve both issues.

I would also like to voice my support for the proposal to require marketplace providers to collect sales and use taxes on

1 goods sold to New York residents online.

2 Another revenue stream that is being 3 threatened deals with municipalities' ability 4 to manage and monetize wireless facilities in 5 public rights of way. Article VII, Part F of the transportation, economic development, and 6 7 environmental conservation bill would harm our city by giving private companies more 8 control over installation of 9 10 telecommunications equipment in our city. Not only does this bill restrict the recovery 11 12 of fees to far less than the city's actual 13 costs, but it strips the city of its ability 14 to manage the right-of-way and regulate 15 facilities and equipment through its zoning 16 regulations. 17 This bill poses a substantial threat 18 to public safety, the integrity of our 19 neighborhoods, and the public purse. I am 20 asking legislators to stand up for 21 municipalities by opposing this measure. 22 Rochester would not be where it is today if it were not for the support of our 23 24 state legislators, and I would like to thank

1 you, especially Rochester's state delegation, 2 for your continued investment. I would also 3 like to ask for your support of provisions in 4 the Governor's proposed budget that stand to 5 benefit our city. Governor Cuomo's capital spending 6 7 plan, for example, includes much-needed funding that will help us boost tourism, 8 drive our economy, and create jobs. The 9 10 proposed photonics attraction fund will 11 bolster Rochester's reputation as the 12 photonics capital of the world. 13 We also have significant capital 14 needs, including our convention center, the 15 Blue Cross Arena, and other public

16 infrastructure, which we will continue to 17 work with the Governor and our state 18 delegation to advocate for.

19And lastly, the Governor's proposals20to restore childcare funding, help our youth21find meaningful employment, and reduce the22risks of lead paint exposure, among others --23and expand broadband access -- will ensure24that our youngest citizens get off to the

1 very best start in life. 2 Thank you for your time and attention today, and I'd be happy to take any questions 3 4 that you may have. 5 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for being here today. 6 7 Assemblyman Magnarelli. 8 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Thank you, Madam Chair. 9 10 Mayor, thank you very much for being 11 here today. 12 MAYOR WARREN: Thank you. 13 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Always good 14 to see you. 15 MAYOR WARREN: Good to see you as 16 well. 17 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: I'm going to ask basically the same questions I asked the 18 19 mayor of Albany. What about our shared 20 services and what we've been doing over the 21 past year? Have you had the opportunity to 22 meet with Monroe County officials and all of the other municipalities in Monroe County to 23 24 come up with a plan, and how did that go this 1 year?

2	MAYOR WARREN: Yes, we met, and we
3	came up with a plan, and that plan was
4	submitted through the county.
5	Rochester is very unique, because many
6	years ago we did a lot of the consolidations
7	that many other cities are starting to do
8	now. So when you look at our libraries,
9	we've already consolidated our library
10	infrastructure. When you look at our 911,
11	we've already consolidated 911. We
12	consolidated our sewers with the County of
13	Monroe as well.
14	And so there's not much that we can do
15	additionally than what has already been done.
16	Social services, the Department of Health,
17	all of that was consolidated many years ago.
18	There's not much consolidation that we can do
19	today that hasn't already been done as it
20	pertains to cost savings for the city or for
21	the county.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: But you said
23	there was a plan. So there must have been
24	something.

MAYOR WARREN: Well, most of it was
 with the towns which would -- you know,
 benefit some of the towns.

4 But as it pertains to consolidations 5 with the city, one of the things that we've 6 done with one of our local towns, which is 7 the Town of Brighton, is we provide them with 8 our firefighter services, and that has worked 9 pretty well.

10 We can probably do a little bit more 11 of that, but when it comes down to the larger 12 consolidations, we've already done those. 13 And so it wouldn't necessarily benefit us any 14 more to do consolidations.

15 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: So do you 16 believe that this is a good idea that you continue on an annual basis, or do you feel 17 that it's not worthwhile for Rochester? 18 19 MAYOR WARREN: I believe that we have to be at the table, being that we are the 20 21 city of the county -- the center of the 22 County of Monroe and we work with all of our 23 other towns and municipalities. So we 24 definitely have to be at the table.

1 But when it comes down to the larger 2 ability to save dollars, taxpayers' money by 3 consolidating, those consolidations have 4 already been done as it pertains between the 5 city and the county. Now, there are things that the county can do with the towns that 6 7 may -- the plan shows, go a long way. But as 8 it pertains to the City of Rochester in 9 consolidations with the county, there's not 10 much that we can do outside of providing some 11 additional fire services or police services. 12 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Okay. 13 Are you currently within the 2 percent 14 tax cap? 15 MAYOR WARREN: Yes. 16 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Okay. But do 17 you feel that that's a good thing or a bad 18 thing? 19 MAYOR WARREN: Well, we are sort of in 20 a position where we have significant poverty 21 in the City of Rochester, as you heard from 22 the mayor of Albany --23 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Same thing. 24 MAYOR WARREN: And because of that,

1 and the fact that we have to pay

2 \$119.1 million to our school district, 3 \$30 million less than we receive in AIM aid, 4 we get ourselves in a situation where we 5 can't tax our way out of this. Where 68 percent of our tax dollars are 6 7 automatically going to our school district, we're providing for fire, police, 911, DSS 8 services, libraries, recreation -- it's out 9 10 of the rest of those dollars that we're able 11 to receive in grants and other things.

12 And so we're caught between a rock and 13 a hard place. We need the state to put a 14 real formula together as it pertains to AIM 15 aid, or to at least allow us to do -- or do 16 what you do for other cities like Syracuse and Buffalo, which is cover 100 percent of 17 18 their school aid that they provide to their school districts. 19

20ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Not21100 percent, I can tell you that. Okay?22MAYOR WARREN: Well, the amount of AIM23aid that they receive from the state covers24the amount that they provide to their school

1 district, whereas Rochester, the amount of 2 money that we receive in our AIM aid does not 3 cover the amount that we give to our school 4 district. 5 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Probably 6 something that we should discuss later. 7 MAYOR WARREN: Okay. 8 (Laughter.) 9 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Fiber optic 10 wireless facilities. You mentioned it in 11 your remarks. 12 MAYOR WARREN: Yes. 13 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: I take it we 14 don't have to belabor the point. You're not 15 for this idea. 16 MAYOR WARREN: No. This would be very 17 detrimental to our city. 18 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: I got it. 19 Thank you very much. 20 MAYOR WARREN: Thank you. 21 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate? 22 SENATOR KRUEGER: Senator Diane 23 Savino. 24 SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you, Senator

1 Krueger.

2 Good afternoon, Mayor. 3 I want to follow up on that, because I 4 was looking at the Article VII, Part F with 5 respect to the small cell fee. So can you -how would it be detrimental to Rochester? 6 7 Because if you read the Governor's proposal, it sounds pretty good. You establish a 8 statewide model where you don't have these 9 10 wide differences in the costs -- as like in Buffalo, they instituted a \$2,000-per-line 11 12 fee as opposed to what -- I think the Governor's proposing \$200. 13 14 So it's a little confusing, and I 15 think we all agree that we want to expand 16 mobile broadband across the state as much as 17 we can so that we can have 5G everywhere. Why would it be harmful to the City of 18 Rochester? 19 20 MAYOR WARREN: For a couple of 21 reasons. The City of Rochester a long time 22 ago went into an agreement with Fibertech, and so a lot of the fiber has been laid in 23

24 our community that we utilize through that

1agreement. Basically this proposal will be2detrimental to that agreement and supercede3that agreement that we currently already4have.

5 The second thing that is a problem is that we will lose control over public 6 7 infrastructure. The light poles and other -the other amenities that these particular 8 telecommunications companies would use 9 10 utilize what the public infrastructure -- we 11 would not be able to charge for them. We 12 would not be able to make sure that when they 13 go in and they tear up our roads, that they 14 replace them with actual -- the right 15 materials. Or when they go into our 16 sidewalks and tear them up, that they replace 17 them to the standard that is above quality for our citizens. But also the products that 18 19 they actually put on a light post, that they 20 be in compliance with our zoning rules and 21 regulations.

22 We've had a number of -- in the last 23 couple of years, a number of 24 telecommunications companies that have come

1 in that have wanted to put up big boxes on 2 these particular light poles. Neighbors have 3 been very, very upset about it. And through 4 our zoning policies, we've been able to 5 regulate that. And also we've been able to charge a 6 7 fee, and so it's a revenue stream for us that we would not be able to collect in -- if this 8 legislation were to pass. 9 10 SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you for that 11 explanation. 12 Is there any concern on your part though that -- it seems the feds are prepared 13 14 to come in and act to rein in fee structures 15 to prevent these wide swings in fees by various municipalities. Are you concerned at 16 17 all that they may come in and supersede what 18 the state's trying to do anyway? MAYOR WARREN: Well, I think that it's 19 20 always a concern. But to take away local 21 control is a problem. At the end of the day, 22 the city is responsible for the infrastructure. And when you have 23 24 individuals that can come in and cut a line

into your road that you just repaired without any concern or regard for your rules and regulations, that's a problem. When they can tear up your sidewalks and not put in quality new sidewalks, then that's a problem.

As you know with -- as we've also been 6 7 able to see with climate change, in the fluctuation in temperature, what we replace 8 these -- the infrastructure that they tear 9 10 up, it's very important, and we need to be able to charge those telecommunication 11 12 companies for what they're doing in the 13 public right-of-way.

14That right-of-way belongs to the15public, and we have a responsibility to make16sure it's safe and to make sure that they're17replacing the product with good quality18infrastructure that can last us into the19future.

20 SENATOR SAVINO: Have you shared your 21 concerns with the Governor's office? And are 22 the mayors, are they all -- and I know you 23 all talk to each other, you know, when we're 24 not looking. Is this a concern that exists

1 across most of the municipalities? 2 It was interesting, the mayor of the 3 City of New York didn't mention it, but it's 4 possible they never got around to it. 5 MAYOR WARREN: Yes, this is something that NYCOM is going to talk about as well. 6 We have talked to the Governor's office about 7 8 this, and we will continue to talk to the Governor's office and to everyone that will 9 10 listen, that this will be -- as currently written will be detrimental to cities and 11 12 their infrastructure and their ability to, of 13 course, get revenue from these 14 telecommunications departments or businesses 15 that are doing business in our community. 16 SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you. 17 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. Assembly? Any? 18 19 Well, then, thank you so much for 20 coming to testify. 21 MAYOR WARREN: Thank you. 22 SENATOR KRUEGER: And our next speaker up is the Honorable Mike Spano, mayor of the 23 24 City of Yonkers.

1 Good afternoon, and welcome. MAYOR SPANO: Good afternoon. 2 3 Good afternoon, Senator, Chairwoman 4 Young -- I know that I saw Chairwoman 5 Weinstein a moment ago -- Assemblywoman Shelley Mayer, certainly my good friends and 6 7 colleagues, the members of the Yonkers delegation that are here. Now I'd say thank 8 9 you for welcoming me here. 10 Over the last six years, Yonkers has demonstrated that we can meet our challenges 11 12 head on. I believe Governor Andrew Cuomo and 13 the State Legislature are very familiar with 14 the gritty and can-do attitude that is known 15 of Yonkers residents. We have challenges, we know that. We do our best to take them on 16 17 head on, and we usually come out ahead of 18 them. 19 We are tough because, let's face it,

20 we really don't have any other options. I 21 applaud Governor Cuomo and his recent budget 22 proposal that contains creative and 23 progressive initiatives to combat potential 24 devastating effects to the New York taxpayers due to the recent federal tax plan. And
 working together with all of you in the
 Legislature, I am confident New York will
 combat the assault on our hardworking men and
 women in our state.

Despite the obstacles that have been 6 7 placed in front of us, Yonkers will continue to succeed. For example, in 2012 when I 8 first sat before you as a new mayor of our 9 10 city, Yonkers' public schools graduation rate 11 was at 72 percent. Just last week, it was 12 reported that Yonkers public schools are now graduating -- I would say a dramatic 13 14 improvement by double digits, over -- it was 15 a 14 percent increase, still the highest among the Big 5 city school districts, and 16 17 that is remarkable. And we know that our improved numbers can be attributed to the 18 19 support of our Governor and certainly of all 20 of you, and we thank you.

This year in his Executive Budget
Governor Cuomo proposed an increase in
education Foundation Aid, especially to those
high-need school districts, and it's a great

1 start and we are thankful for that. And 2 while we take pride in what we've 3 accomplished, we can't ignore staggering 4 statistics that still confront us. 5 In 2010, the Yonkers public schools had to make devastating cuts to the district 6 7 due to the lack of funding, and over 400 teachers were given pink slips. The 8 district has yet to recover from the blow and 9 10 struggles to properly educate and serve the 11 students of Yonkers to the best of its 12 abilities. 13 Over the years, you've heard me speak 14 of the startling deficiencies that Yonkers 15 schools face due to necessary cuts in 16 services. If you don't mind, I'll run 17 through this all over again: 12 percent of 18 our students are learning English for the 19 first time, and 17 percent of our students 20 have disabilities. These are high numbers, 21 and we need the staff and the services to 22 meet their needs. 23 For starters, we need psychiatrists, 24 social workers, and school counselors in

1 every school. We need art, music, and 2 technology to be part of every student's 3 educational experience. We need modified and 4 junior varsity sports offered to all Yonkers 5 students. We need prekindergarten supported every year by state mandate, not just offered 6 7 when grant funding is available. We are deficient with the current 8 resources provided to us, and yet our 9 10 district's enrollment continues to grow --11 and mind you, the only one of the Big 5 12 outside of New York City that is growing. In 13 fact, in the last year, Yonkers public 14 schools have absorbed the needs of 15 122 additional students who were displaced 16 from other states or their native country due to earthquakes, hurricanes, or other 17 18 life-threatening or life-altering events. 19 These students come traumatized by their 20 experience, and many have disabilities. And 21 most of the students from Puerto Rico and 22 Mexico are English language learners. Our students, staff -- the 23 24 administration and students feel the stresses

1 in our district. My hope today is that 2 you'll continue to understand them as well. 3 Despite the lack of resources, our 4 high school graduation rate is improving, but 5 it pales in comparison to our neighbors that are close by to us, neighbors like Scarsdale 6 7 or Bronxville or Eastchester, who are all graduating their students in the 97 to 8 99 percentile. 9 10 There are two educational systems in 11 our state, one for the haves and one for the 12 have-nots. Why should a student's zip code 13 or what side of the river they live on 14 determine whether or not they have access to 15 a library, to enough guidance counselors, to 16 adequate special education to overcome a 17 learning disability, or to sports and extracurricular activities? It is time that 18 19 we recognize our constitutional duty to 20 provide an adequate education for every child 21 in the state, and that means ending the 22 divide between wealthy and non-wealthy districts. 23

24

A major issue for Yonkers is that we

1 do not receive the full amount of Foundation 2 Aid based on the 2007 formula. Foundation 3 Aid payable, which is what a district 4 actually receives, is less than the 5 Foundation Aid formula prescribed by the legislation. Legislation has been introduced 6 7 each year to reduce the spread between the formula and actual payable. But for Yonkers, 8 9 whose enrollment increases every year, these 10 annual fixes have not kept pace with the shortfall, while other Big 4 cities outside 11 12 of New York City with stable or declining enrollment do not face this problem. 13 14 Let me just illustrate this to you. 15 In 2015, the difference between the formula 16 and what Yonkers will actually get was 17 \$37 million. This year, that has grown to \$43 million. The intention of the 18 19 legislation is to reduce the gap, but the 20 exact opposite is happening. In fact, had 21 the shortfall been reduced by the same 22 percentage in Yonkers as it has in other districts since 2015, our schools would be 23 24 receiving \$14 million more just in this

1 budget.

2	So this year I have as I have done
3	in years past, I am asking for the
4	Legislature and the Governor to consider the
5	extraordinary needs of Yonkers' public
6	schools and provide our district with the
7	additional resources for our kids.
8	Also, the other thing that we've
9	talked about over the last couple of years is
10	our need to revisit the environment in which
11	our students learn. I've come here now three
12	years in a row to speak to you about the
13	physical conditions of our schools. I've
14	outlined for you the deplorable environments
15	in which many of our students are required to
16	learn in. I told you that our schools are
17	4,500 seats over capacity for a district of
18	27,000 students, that nine of our schools are
19	over 100 years old, that our children are
20	forced to learn in converted basements and
21	cafeterias, that many of our libraries no
22	longer function as they were intended to
23	because they are being used as classroom
24	space due to overcrowding.

1 Simply put, there continues to be a 2 capacity and infrastructure crisis in 3 Yonkers. And after many meetings with you, 4 your staff, the State Board of Education, 5 members of the administration, it's clear that you realize the crisis. And last year 6 7 you passed the double MCA bill for the new schools only in last year's legislative 8 session, along with the Yonkers Joint Schools 9 10 Construction Bill that you passed a year before, and I appreciate it. But I have to 11 12 say it's still not enough. 13 The double MCA will only cover part of 14 the construction of the three new schools 15 we've outlined, and Yonkers taxpayers' share 16 remains at \$153 million. On top of that, no 17 law even comes close to addressing the other 38 schools that need to be rebuilt or 18 19 addresses the 15 percent overcapacity of our

20 schools and the deplorable conditions in 21 which our children have to learn. The bottom 22 line is that even with the new schools 23 legislation, Yonkers alone cannot afford to 24 rebuild these schools.

1 The New York State Legislature did the 2 right thing when they decided to start 3 rebuilding the schools of Buffalo, Rochester 4 and Syracuse, and we applaud that. We think 5 that's a good thing. They had desperate needs, and the state rightfully supported 6 7 those needs. I know I may sound redundant, but it's Yonkers' turn. 8

Yonkers receives just \$12.3 million in 9 10 school building aid. That comes out to about 11 \$462 per pupil, while our peer cities are 12 receiving anywhere between \$900 to \$3,000 per 13 student, depending on the district. 14 Meanwhile, Yonkers is the only district that 15 has seen increased enrollment, while other 16 big cities have remained flat or are 17 decreasing. Yonkers clearly is put at a 18 disadvantage. 19 It's also important to note that 20 Yonkers is at 92 percent of its 21 constitutional taxing authority, which means 22 that our taxpayers don't have the ability to

23 cover the debt to borrow for this type of

24 reconstruction.

1 While authorizing a School 2 Construction Board and the double MCA for 3 three new schools was very important in 4 assisting us in rebuilding our schools, it is 5 still completely inadequate considering the overwhelming needs and the costs that are 6 7 required. I will be requesting an increase 8 in our aid ratio, from 70 to 98 percent. This 98 percent ratio is the same ratio that 9 10 has been approved to our peer cities to help upgrade their schools, and we applaud you for 11 12 doing this. And I'm just asking that you consider this for Yonkers students as well. 13 14 We've touched upon the needs of our 15 schools, but we also must not neglect the 16 costs of running the largest city in the 17 Hudson Valley. Despite Yonkers' growing 18 economy and strong revenues, our budget grows 19 exponentially each year to pay for union 20 contracts, pensions and healthcare costs. 21 Yonkers' municipal budget in the fiscal year 22 2018-2019 will grow by \$19 million. That growth does not consider any additional 23 24 personnel, any additional investments, or

addressing our aging infrastructure or even
 any of our capital needs.

3 Additionally, workers' compensation, 4 employee and uniformed retirements are all up 5 100 percent in the last 10 years. Seventy-five percent of our budget pays for 6 7 our workforce. We are happy to provide for them, but we can't afford these costs and at 8 9 the same time stay under the tax cap. Our 10 only option will be to cut services, which will have a negative impact on the quality of 11 12 life of our residents.

13 How do we address this? Well, it 14 starts with Aid to Municipalities. Over the 15 years, municipalities have taken a hit when 16 it comes to AIM funding. In the proposed 17 2019 Executive Budget, Yonkers is expected to 18 receive \$108.2 million in municipal aid --19 the same amount received for the last eight 20 years.

Plus we must remember that the Yonkers
property taxpayers pay more in its
maintenance of effort for their local school
district as compared to other big cities. I

hate to pit us against other cities, I really don't like to do that, but I just think that just so you understand the numbers -- you know, because you look and see Rochester is very similar to Yonkers in terms of size and enrollment.

7 So if you took -- just so you know, Yonkers provides \$246 million to its school 8 district, that's our maintenance of effort. 9 10 Our three sister cities combined -- Buffalo, 11 Rochester, Syracuse combined -- contribute 12 \$255 million to their respective districts. 13 So imagine, Yonkers taxpayers nearly 14 contribute as much to the schools as three of 15 New York's big cities combined. 16 On top of Yonkers contributing more to 17 its schools, it is receiving less from 18 New York to help fund those schools as 19 compared to other cities. AIM, formerly 20 known as revenue sharing, was created by

New York State's Legislature to recognize
that the state has a partnership with its big
cities to address the unique needs of their
urban populations.

1 But if you look at just the last five 2 years, Yonkers taxpayers have sent New York 3 State an additional \$267 million via personal 4 income tax or sales tax. In fact, today 5 Yonkers sends close to 25 percent more to the state than it did five years ago. And during 6 7 that time, AIM has remained flat. 8 And if you were to provide us just the 2 percent growth that you provide the state 9 10 budget, we should have received another 11 \$31 million in cumulative aid over the last 12 five years. 13 So over the last six years of my 14 administration, we have been frugal with our 15 spending. We've instituted hiring freezes, 16 we've increased revenues, we've merged 17 departments -- five different departments, 18 with the Board of Education, with your 19 help -- and we are narrowly approaching our 20 constitutional taxing limit. And I think 21 it's fair to say our taxpayers are at their limits as well. 22 Ultimately, we are doing more with 23

less. I believe this year will be my most

24

1 challenging budget I've ever had as mayor of 2 Yonkers. In the face of flat AIM aid and the 3 rising cost that we are seeing, we really are 4 without options. So now it's time to 5 increase AIM funding and to revive the partnership between the state and the cities. 6 7 You know, Yonkers has come a long way in the last six years. Yonkers is a city on 8 the move. We are a city that people move to 9 10 rather than move from, with hundreds upon hundreds of new homes under construction. We 11 12 are a city that is gaining jobs rather than 13 losing them, as nearly \$2 billion in private 14 investment has resulted in hundreds of new 15 permanent jobs. With the help of our great Governor, 16 17 with the help of all of you in the Legislature, we've improved the fiscal 18

19outlook of our city, and today Yonkers' bond20ratings are the highest it's been in21generations. Graduation rates are up, crime22is down, and the people are starting to23change the way they view of our city.

24 I look forward to continuing to work

1	with you and the Governor as we try and shape
2	a budget that we hope will help address some
3	of the needs of ours and many of the other
4	big cities in New York.
5	Thank you.
6	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
7	Assembly first. Assemblymember
8	Shelley Mayer.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Thank you,
10	Mr. Mayor, and thank you for being here, of
11	course. And congratulations on the
12	significant improvement in graduation rates.
13	And overall in our schools, it's really a
14	great story.
15	I know Dr. Quezada was here last week,
16	and I recognized his it's slightly
17	different. But with respect to school aid,
18	do you know if there was a status quo budget
19	on the school side given the Governor's
20	anticipated what the Governor has in his
21	school aid run as proposed? What would be
22	the shortfall, from your perspective, from a
23	status quo budget on the school side for what
24	you need to continue next year?

1 MAYOR SPANO: We have John Liszewski, 2 who's the finance commissioner. 3 ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Yes, thank you, 4 John. 5 FINANCE CMR. LISZEWSKI: Good afternoon, Assemblywoman. 6 7 MAYOR SPANO: Hi. 8 FINANCE CMR. LISZEWSKI: We're looking now at about a \$40 million shortfall in our 9 10 status quo budget on the Board of Education 11 side. 12 ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Okay. I would ask that -- I know Dr. Ouezada came with the 13 14 beginnings of testimony, and I know the PTA 15 is coming next week. I think it's important 16 that we get the backup documents so we can 17 make the most compelling case for, as you've 18 argued, why we need more than the Governor 19 proposed, which of course we will push for. But we need the documentation to show that 20 21 this shortfall is so significant. FINANCE CMR. LISZEWSKI: Yeah. 22 ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: So that would be 23 24 helpful.

1	FINANCE CMR. LISZEWSKI: We will
2	provide that for you.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Okay.
4	Secondly, on the issue of full-day
5	pre-K, which you highlight in your
6	testimony which I think you'll find strong
7	support here that there should be funding for
8	full-day pre-K throughout the state, and that
9	Yonkers should not be dependent on federal
10	grants one year and state grants another
11	year. Would you know the status of our
12	full-day pre-K funding for next year if we do
13	not get additional state aid?
14	FINANCE CMR. LISZEWSKI: We're in
15	jeopardy, Assemblywoman, for about
16	\$2.5 million.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: That would be to
18	continue our existing full-day pre-K, which,
19	I believe, does not serve every child who
20	seeks it. We don't have the capacity to
21	serve every child, as I understand it.
22	FINANCE CMR. LISZEWSKI: That's
23	correct.
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: So 2.5 million.

1 Okay.

2	With respect to the rebuilding of
3	Yonkers schools and credit to you and my
4	colleagues and the Governor's office for
5	working with us on getting part one and two
6	done, which took a lot of work, as you
7	know I just wondered, what is your
8	intention with respect to the Joint School
9	Construction Board and the beginning of their
10	work? Because it will and I would
11	slightly disagree, I think it would allow
12	them to at least move forward on some of the
13	renovations to existing schools as well as
14	rebuilding the three new schools that are
15	anticipated.
16	MAYOR SPANO: Assemblywoman, I
17	actually think we do agree on this one. I
18	think that we do need to move forward with
19	it. I didn't move forward with it initially
20	because I wanted to make sure the Governor
21	was going to sign the double MCA bill.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Right.
23	MAYOR SPANO: So that held us up a
24	little bit. But I do agree that we have to

move forward with the building of those three
 schools, because it's critically important to
 deal with the infrastructure needs.

4 At the same time, we're going to have 5 to operate on a parallel course where we're going to look to see if we can gain either 6 7 some federal grants or state grants, working with the Governor's office to try and find 8 9 some additional shots in the arm -- maybe 10 even if it's a block grant, that will help offset the local cost, the local cost of --11 12 you know, that would be somewhere around \$154 million. 13

14ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Yeah, for the15city's share.

MAYOR SPANO: Yes. But every time we 16 17 raise -- every time we borrow \$30 million, 18 it's 1 percent of our property tax levy. So 19 as you can imagine, if we're at 92 percent of 20 our constitutional taxing limit and I haven't 21 even borrowed for -- to build a firehouse, to 22 purchase police cars or fire trucks or 23 anything else that we have in our needs, that 24 needs to be met -- we're rubbing up awful

1 close to that constitutional taxing limit. 2 And that's a scary place to be, so that 3 affects everything. 4 So yeah, we're going to -- but we are 5 going to operate, we're going to fill that board very, very shortly. That board has a 6 7 tremendous -- as you know, it has a 8 tremendous amount of power. 9 ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Right. 10 MAYOR SPANO: And so we didn't want to 11 put it in place without really having 12 knowledge that we can move forward with a 13 plan. 14 Phase one, we can move forward with 15 it, but we're still going to need some 16 additional help. We're going to operate as 17 if we have it and then request it as we move forward. 18 ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: I understand 19 that. We'll have some offline 20 21 conversations --22 MAYOR SPANO: Yeah. ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: -- I'm sure as 23 24 we move ahead.

1 Lastly, on your point on the municipal budget, up by 19 percent -- I know I'm 2 3 running out of time, but just it would be 4 helpful if you could provide some of the 5 baseline numbers that drive up the increase by that much without the additions, and I 6 7 appreciate that. But it wouldbe useful for 8 us to see what are the drivers that do that, as you know --9 10 MAYOR SPANO: Absolutely. 11 ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Certainly in the 12 Assembly we have supported increases in AIM, and I'm sure we will continue to do so in our 13 14 one-house. We're going to need the Governor 15 to come along. MAYOR SPANO: If I might -- indulgence 16 17 for your time -- when we came on board, we 18 had a lot of contracts for a couple years 19 out, three, four years out. And eventually 20 it became they were, you know, seven years 21 out. 22 In an effort to keep our contracts as affordable as possible, we asked for some 23 24 concessions from the unions. They gave us

some, they did. I mean, they gave us greater 1 2 payments into their health insurance, at 3 least for newbies. But the one thing they 4 gave us which was really important was zeroes 5 up front. Those three or four zeroes up front saved the local taxpayer about 6 7 \$150 million. And so that's \$150 million we never could have afforded. And so -- but in 8 9 getting those zeroes on the back end -- or on 10 the front end -- on the back end, you know, we're sitting at 4 and 5 percent. 11

12 On budgets that are 10-year budgets, 13 10-year contracts, about 2 percent, right? 14 So now we're at this point, what we have to 15 pay moving forward. That's why we're seeing 16 this increase. And I don't really have the 17 ability to go back to the taxpayers, because 18 we frankly -- we've raised about every tax we 19 can raise. And there's nothing more I can 20 really get from the taxpayer except to come 21 back with a budget that's going to have cuts.

22 The cuts will not be to -- at least I 23 don't believe that we'll see significant cuts 24 in education, because we'll continue our

1 maintenance of effort, as we should. But on 2 the municipal side, you know, we'll have to 3 look at every avenue that we do, whether it 4 be police, fire, sanitation, parks and what 5 have you, trying to make ends meet. 6 I'm not asking -- I know we'll have to 7 make cuts no matter what. But whatever 8 dollars we can get from the Legislature will really help us mitigate those cuts so that 9 10 they're not as devastating as we -- you know, we don't want them obviously to really affect 11 12 the quality of life of the people that are in 13 our city. 14 ASSEMBLYWOMAN MAYER: Thank you. 15 Thank you very much, Mr. Mayor. Thank you 16 all. 17 SENATOR YOUNG: Thank you. 18 Our next speaker is Senator Stewart-Cousins. 19 20 SENATOR STEWART-COUSINS: Good 21 afternoon, Mayor. 22 MAYOR SPANO: Hi, Senator. SENATOR STEWART-COUSINS: It's good to 23 24 see you here.

1I guess just to follow up again,2congratulations on the good work and the3progress that is happening within the school4district. Superintendent Quezada was here5last week and certainly touted some of the6numbers, et cetera.7Assemblymember Mayer asked a couple of

questions that I wanted to ask, but I did 8 want to reiterate to you how supportive 9 10 certainly the Democratic Conference is as it 11 relates to AIM funding, because we had called 12 for doubling AIM funding in the last budget 13 because we know how important it is for 14 municipalities to receive additional funding, 15 especially as we are trying to make sure that 16 the tax cap is adhered to. Additional 17 resources would be helpful, so we will 18 continue to push in that regard.

19I know that in the Governor's last20budget there was a countywide shared services21panel, and I know that you participated in22that. Was there any benefit to that?23MAYOR SPANO: You know, I'm glad you24brought that up. In the shared services at

least proposal -- and at least -- it's good.
 It's a solid effort, it's something that we
 should be doing.

4 The problem we have is that when 5 you're a big city like Yonkers -- like for instance, the e911 system and the dispatch 6 7 system, we would work this out with the 8 county tomorrow if we could. But we have 10 employees. I don't think that they have 9 10 anywhere near that. You know, so it's not really -- so it's a merger. It's us asking 11 12 Westchester County to take on a project 13 that's kind of bigger than what they 14 currently do, and then the infrastructure 15 that goes with it.

16 The infrastructure that we have 17 invested in over the last couple of years, 18 just in the time that I've been here, to keep 19 the dispatch system and our 911 system up and 20 operational -- and frankly, it's gone down a 21 few times -- is in the millions.

I know that Westchester County, for
instance, gets the e911 money. We don't get
any of that. But it goes to Westchester,

1 they help offset their budgets, and we are 2 left with the cost of running a huge system. 3 So we at the very tail end of the 4 Astorino administration talked with them 5 about a merger. They seemed like they want to talk about it. I'm expecting that -- I 6 7 have a meeting with the new administration 8 coming up in the next couple of days, so hopefully we'll revive that and start to talk 9 10 about that again. 11 But there are places that we can merge 12 with the county. 13 SENATOR STEWART-COUSINS: Right. 14 MAYOR SPANO: We can merge with the 15 county with human resources. We can merge --16 you know, there's big-ticket items that 17 nobody wants to talk about. You know, nobody 18 wants to talk about merging their fire 19 department with another fire department. Nobody wants to talk about merging their 20 21 police department, everybody loves their police department. Nobody wants to merge 22 their school district. 23 24 I think if we get -- I think the path

1 is right. If we get to the low-hanging 2 fruit, we get to the places that maybe 3 aren't -- don't scream at you as much, even 4 in terms of DPW pickups, then I think that we 5 can start a process that will have a 6 long-term benefit for us. 7 The county proposal was a great start. I think that there's a lot of work to do 8 there, I think that there's still yet a lot 9 10 of communication that needs to happen, and I think a lot of -- frankly, I think a lot of 11 12 people are still a little nervous about it 13 because they don't want to give up their own 14 identity. 15 But it's the only way we're going to 16 be able to solve budget problems in our 17 future, because Yonkers just cannot afford to 18 sustain what it has. And unless I come up 19 with either additional revenues or you give 20 us additional revenues, if I don't get one of 21 those two, then I have to make cuts. And so 22 I think this is a smart direction to head in. SENATOR STEWART-COUSINS: Great. 23 24 Just going back to the deficit and the 1 Board of Education. So I think

2 Superintendent Quezada was saying it was about 46 or 48 million, you're saying around 3 40. So obviously it's important to, you 4 5 know, figure out what it is and the --6 MAYOR SPANO: Right. Well, 7 considering we have one finance department now. 8 SENATOR STEWART-COUSINS: Yeah. 9 There 10 are already different numbers, and the thing that -- and again, I'm not suggesting that it 11 12 isn't still a huge issue, but I think that a 13 lot of what Superintendent Quezada was 14 speaking about related to the formula as it 15 would have been before it was negated back in 16 2009. So I think our school district, as so 17 many school districts, had the formula 18 continued the way it was put in place in 2007, there would have been this additional 19 20 \$40 million. 21 MAYOR SPANO: \$43 million. 22 SENATOR STEWART-COUSINS: So I'm thinking that's probably part of the 23 24 conversation.

1 Again, we are certainly and always 2 continue to be supportive of, you know, what we're doing in our school districts and 3 4 school districts all over that are really 5 trying to meet the needs and trying to, as 6 Yonkers is doing -- you know, has an 7 extremely diverse population -- and trying to 8 highlight the opportunities that we have to 9 make sure our kids get the education they 10 need if only the resources were there. 11 So, you know, again, if we get the 12 documents, if we agree on a number, and if we 13 all know that we will continue to fight, 14 hopefully we will be able to bridge those 15 gaps. 16 MAYOR SPANO: I know JJ's our acting 17 budget director, he said that it's \$46 million. 18 19 SENATOR STEWART-COUSINS: Forty-six is 20 the -- yeah, that was the number. 21 Okay. So we'll get the documents for 22 that, then. Okay, thank you. 23 MAYOR SPANO: Thank you, Senator. 24 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman

1 Benedetto.

2	ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: Good
3	afternoon, Mayor. Thanks for coming. Always
4	good to see you.
5	MAYOR SPANO: Assemblyman.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: I spent a
7	little bit of time with Mayor DeBlasio
8	talking about design-build, saving the city
9	taxpayers a lot of money by employing that
10	process.
11	The City of Yonkers, number one, do
12	you have the authority to enter into
13	contracts to do a design-build?
14	FINANCE CMR. LISZEWSKI: We do have
15	the authority to do that, but because of the
16	Fiscal Agent Act of 1976, Yonkers is unique
17	in the way that it does have to do their
18	construction. We have to have the money in
19	place before we could start the design-build
20	phase of construction.
21	So it's a little bit different, and it
22	goes back to that Fiscal Agent Act of '76.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: Do you ever
24	have enough money to do design-build, then?

1 FINANCE CMR. LISZEWSKI: We never have 2 enough money, Assemblyman. 3 ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: Okay. So you 4 don't have the ability to do it. 5 Okay, that's interesting. Because gee, maybe some adjustment should be made in 6 7 that to give you that, since it's such a 8 wonderful money-saving device. MAYOR SPANO: Yeah, one of the 9 10 wonderful I guess legacies of me having a control board back in the '70s was that we 11 12 were held to general accounting principles. New York itself is not held to general 13 14 accounting principles, but we are, and it's 15 in our bond covenants. And that has -- it's good, I guess, 16 17 for the taxpayers; of course it holds us to a higher standard. But we don't have the same 18 19 flexibility as most other communities do. 20 And that is -- it just makes our jobs that 21 much more difficult. 22 ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: I understand. MAYOR SPANO: Okay. 23 24 ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: Thank you.

1 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman 2 Magnarelli. 3 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Always good 4 to see you, Mayor. 5 MAYOR SPANO: Always good to see you, 6 Assemblyman. 7 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Again, I'm just asking the same types of questions I've 8 asked earlier today. 9 10 You touched on shared services and the 11 ability of Yonkers and Westchester to come 12 together, and it sounds to me as if there's possibilities but they're in the future. And 13 14 you don't -- so how do you feel about the 15 Governor's proposal? I mean, he's put it in 16 the budget last year, called everybody 17 together -- did you do that? Did you all --MAYOR SPANO: We did. And I think 18 19 that's a good idea. I think that was one of 20 probably one of the best things anybody's 21 ever done, was to force everyone together. You know, when you're talking about a 22 county like Westchester, where you have 23 24 very -- you have some very affluent,

1 influential communities that have very 2 distinct personalities, and -- like distinct 3 and very -- I'm trying to think of the right 4 word. But they like their identity. And, 5 you know, when you start to merge certain departments, identity starts to go away, 6 7 right? 8 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: You know, if you are similar in a lot of places. 9 10 MAYOR SPANO: Yeah. Yeah, right. I 11 would imagine you have that same thing. 12 But like I said before, it's not 13 impossible. Listen, we did it. We were kind 14 of forced to do it with the Board of 15 Education. We had a lot of people, even in 16 our own city, who said no, no, no, you 17 shouldn't merge the finance departments, you 18 shouldn't merge human resources, you 19 shouldn't merge legal, you shouldn't merge 20 some of the other areas. But we did it. And 21 it saved us \$10 million annually. And it was 22 a good thing for the city moving forward. ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: So you think 23 24 it's a good idea to have in the budget,

1 continue to do that on an annual basis? 2 MAYOR SPANO: Absolutely. It's a 3 discussion that must continue. It takes a 4 lot of guts to have this discussion, but it's 5 something that has to continue. We can be very helpful because we're the big dog in the 6 7 county, so we could be very helpful in this matter. 8 I didn't feel that we had the same 9 10 type of cooperation with the previous administration that we'll have with this one, 11 12 but that remains to be seen. ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: There's this 13 14 proposal in the budget about fiber optic 15 wireless facilities and turning that over to 16 the state for basically licensing purposes, 17 and I just want your take on that. MAYOR SPANO: Yeah, I don't really 18 19 have a take right now. I'm still trying to 20 figure out what it all means to us. I know 21 that we have -- I know that we get a lot of money from this area, but what's it really 22 mean to us? I'm really not sure yet. But I 23 24 will get back to you at a time with just --

1 with our opinion --

2	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Okay.
3	MAYOR SPANO: As soon as we can talk
4	with our finance people.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Fair enough.
6	Good to see you. Thank you.
7	MAYOR SPANO: Thank you.
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
9	Thank you for being here, sorry I had to
10	MAYOR SPANO: Thank you, Chairwoman
11	Weinstein. Congratulations, by the way.
12	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
13	Sorry, we had a Ways and Means Committee
14	meeting, but I'll be sure to read up on your
15	remarks.
16	MAYOR SPANO: Thank you very much.
17	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, Mayor,
18	for being here.
19	MAYOR SPANO: Nice to see you.
20	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: We truly, truly
21	appreciate it.
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
23	Next we have Ben Walsh, the mayor of
24	the City of Syracuse.

MAYOR WALSH: Good afternoon. 1 2 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Good afternoon. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Good afternoon. 3 MAYOR WALSH: Ready? 4 5 Well, again, good afternoon. Thank 6 you, Chair Young, Chair Weinstein, members of 7 the legislative fiscal committees for 8 inviting me to these joint hearings to 9 discuss the 2018 New York State Budget. 10 Also hello to my friend Assemblyman 11 Magnarelli. Good to see you again. 12 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Good to see 13 you, Mayor. 14 MAYOR WALSH: My name is Ben Walsh. I 15 am the new mayor of the City of Syracuse. Ι 16 am grateful for this opportunity to speak 17 with you today on behalf of the people of my 18 city. 19 I am also appreciative of the ongoing 20 support Syracuse receives from the State of 21 New York and the Legislature. I look forward 22 to building on past cooperation and creating strong partnerships with our state partners 23 24 as my administration puts in motion the

necessary policies to move our community
 forward.

3 Like many other mayors across 4 New York, some of whom you've heard from 5 today, I delivered my State of the City address last week and I outlined a series of 6 7 initiatives that will strengthen the City of Syracuse. Fundamentally, the people of the 8 City of Syracuse must feel safe and secure, 9 10 which is why I affirmed my commitment to ensuring our police and fire departments have 11 12 the resources they deserve.

We must have infrastructure that is 13 14 reliable, which is why we are investing in 15 innovation while also maximizing capital for 16 long overdue maintenance and upgrades. We 17 must make sure that everyone in our city has 18 access to quality education and the 19 opportunity to participate in economic growth and prosperity, especially in those 20 21 initiatives originating in the public sector. 22 I also talked about how the transformational opportunity Syracuse has in 23

24 the coming years surrounding the I-81 viaduct

project being led by the New York State 1 2 Department of Transportation. As I continue 3 to advocate passionately for the removal of 4 the viaduct in favor of the community grid 5 option, we have begun the process of engaging our partners in an effort to connect this 6 7 multi-billion-dollar project with job-readiness education programs, along with 8 redevelopment strategies that will reunite 9 10 and reinvigorate our city and the region in 11 ways not seen since the Erie Canal. 12 I am here today to ask for your 13 continued support as we embark on a journey 14 to lead our city into its next great era. 15 Syracuse has faced consistent budget 16 deficits in recent years and has relied on 17 its fund balance to close the gap each year. 18 Continuing on this path could lead to 19 insolvency in a little more than two years. Broadly, there are three reasons for this. 20 21 It's a story facing many other cities like 22 Syracuse across the state and throughout the country -- again, as you've heard in previous 23 24 testimonies today.

1 First, the city's ongoing revenue base 2 is stagnant. We have not experienced growth. 3 Next, the city's second largest revenue 4 source -- state Aid and Incentive for 5 Municipalities, or AIM -- which is \$71.8 million, has not increased since 2010. 6 7 Based on the inflation rate in the same time period, city costs have gone up about 8 12 percent. We appreciate the Governor and 9 10 the Legislature keeping AIM steady in recent 11 years, but the challenge remains. And third, 12 the major areas of cost the city incurs are 13 very difficult to control. Labor costs, health care, pensions, utilities, waste and 14 15 trash fees, all continue to go up. 16 When you look ahead, the prospect is daunting. Syracuse is at a crossroads, and I 17 18 am choosing the direction of cooperation and 19 partnership to find solutions to the 20 challenges we face. Of course, we will do 21 our part. My administration is working with 22 our state, county, and local partners to seek cost-cutting shared-service opportunities. 23 24 We will work with our partners in labor to

1 find ways to save money and slow the growth
2 of costs in our union contracts.

I have convened a Fiscal Summit 3 4 Advisory Committee, in partnership with 5 faculty at the Maxwell School at Syracuse University, my alma mater. We will bring the 6 7 best minds and ideas to the table to attack our fiscal woes. And we are working very 8 hard to make Syracuse an even more attractive 9 10 place to do business, so that we can generate 11 growth for greater city revenue and for 12 prosperity for all in our city and our 13 region.

14 I am here today because Syracuse needs 15 your help. More than ever before, we need 16 our partners in state government to stand by 17 our side. I ask that you consider an increase in AIM this year, with a goal of 18 19 returning to 2009 levels over the next few 20 years. That would mean about \$13 million 21 more for the City of Syracuse as we work to 22 stabilize our fiscal shortfalls and provide the core services our community needs. 23

24 As we attempt to grow our way out of

1 our fiscal challenges in the long run, one of 2 the most effective state programs in 3 supporting economic development in recent 4 years has been the New York State Historic 5 Tax Credit Program. When combined with the federal tax credit, the state program has 6 7 supported some of Syracuse's most transformational redevelopment projects, 8 including, most recently, the historic Hotel 9 10 Syracuse. 11 I ask that you reauthorize and extend 12 the program through December 31, 2024, and 13 decouple it from the federal program to 14 ensure maximum predictability and flexibility 15 going forward. We all are aware of the opioid 16 17 epidemic across our country, and Central 18 New York and Syracuse are not immune to this 19 trend. The Syracuse area has the highest 20 rate of opioid overdose deaths in the 21 six-county Central New York region, with more 22 than 27 deaths per 100,000 population. Onondaga County ranks third in the 23 24 state for newborn drug-related-diagnosis

1 rate. Our police, fire, and paramedics stand 2 on the front lines battling this epidemic, 3 and our schools, hospitals, and nonprofit 4 organizations see the tragic results of this 5 scourge on our community every day. I support the effort put forth in this budget 6 7 to institute a surcharge on opioid prescription drugs, making that revenue 8 available to fight the epidemic. 9

10 I also ask that you consider sharing a portion of the opioid epidemic surcharge with 11 12 local municipalities. Revenue from this surcharge would help to offset the costs our 13 14 city incurs everyday as we fight for the 15 lives of our citizens and their well-being. Before closing, I would also like to 16 17 take this opportunity to express my support for NYCOM's 2018 legislative priorities which 18 19 include but are not limited to the following. 20 The property tax cap, as we've heard

21 about today, should be a true 2 percent cap, 22 as opposed to being tied to inflation. It 23 should not include public infrastructure 24 expenditures, and it should not include

1 Business Improvement District special 2 assessments. We also call on the state to increase 3 4 state funding for water and sewer 5 infrastructure. We call for an increase in state 6 7 funding for local highways through the consolidated highway improvement program, or 8 CHIPS. Also PAVE-NY, BRIDGE-NY, and the 9 10 Extreme Winter Recovery programs. Finally, we call to allow local 11 12 governments to initiate legal proceedings to 13 force mortgage holders to complete the 14 foreclosure process or release their mortgage 15 rights for abandoned properties, also known 16 as zombie properties. 17 In closing, the City of Syracuse is in 18 a precarious situation with looming deficits 19 and stagnant revenues, but as I said at my 20 inauguration, the outcome is not 21 predetermined. We will change course and 22 work to put the city on a healthy fiscal path, but we need your help. 23 24 I look forward to working with all of

1	you to ensure the City of Syracuse and the
2	State of New York's best days are still ahead
3	of us. Thank you very much.
4	Questions?
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
6	Mr. Magnarelli.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Well, first
8	of all, Mayor, I want to welcome you for the
9	first time here and thank you very much for
10	taking the time out and coming to talk to us,
11	okay?
12	MAYOR WALSH: Thanks for having me.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: I know that
14	you're in your first probably first
15	30 days yet, right?
16	MAYOR WALSH: It's top.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Okay. And
18	what we've heard all today is basically the
19	plight of cities.
20	MAYOR WALSH: Right.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: And the
22	amounts of monies that are needed. Just for
23	the sake of discussion here, how much of the
24	city's properties are exempt?

1 MAYOR WALSH: Just about 50 percent of 2 the total taxable property is exempt. ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: I think what 3 4 people especially here that are listening to 5 this have to understand is that our cities, because of what they are, are older cities. 6 7 They have the hospitals, the museums, the 8 libraries -- those are located within our cities. And all of those things are exempt. 9 10 MAYOR WALSH: That's right. ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: And so 11 12 whether it be Albany, Rochester, or Buffalo, 13 we're going to find that a great deal of 14 those cities -- half the properties are 15 exempt. 16 MAYOR WALSH: Thank you for pointing 17 that out. ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: The other --18 19 well, I'm just trying to kind of pull things 20 together here, because I don't want to pit 21 one of these cities against the other. 22 MAYOR WALSH: Yeah. ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Because I 23 24 think all of them are in the same boat, so to

1 speak.

2	MAYOR WALSH: Agreed.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: All right.
4	The other problem is, and I think Mayor
5	Sheehan and Mayor Warren both mentioned it,
6	you know, their tax bases are poor. And so
7	that the idea of raising taxes, even if they
8	could, because you're reaching your tax
9	limit, am I correct?
10	MAYOR WALSH: Correct.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: You're
12	reaching your debt limit, too.
13	MAYOR WALSH: Right.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: So in other
15	words, you can't tax, you can't borrow
16	where are you going?
17	All right, so listen. I understand
18	now I'll get into my usual questions, okay?
19	MAYOR WALSH: Sure.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Because I
21	think the Governor has a good idea too. I
22	think it's imperative that municipalities
23	start working together to get through these
24	problems. So even though you weren't mayor

1 last year, okay, do you feel that the 2 Governor's proposals to sit down on a yearly 3 basis, to sit with the municipalities in 4 Onondaga County and work out whatever you can 5 do for shared services is a good idea or a bad idea? 6 7 MAYOR WALSH: It's a good idea. 8 I'd be remiss if I didn't acknowledge my colleague Bob Andrews here, who's our 9 10 director of intergovernmental affairs -- and shared services. We added that on to his 11 12 title. 13 It's something we take very seriously, 14 and we've already met with county 15 representatives about a dozen times in the 16 first month. 17 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: So that that's a good thing, it's something that we 18 19 should keep in the budget --20 MAYOR WALSH: Yes. 21 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: -- on top of 22 asking for more AIM, on top of asking -- by the way, you know, we just heard the mayor of 23 24 Yonkers, and you should go back and see if

1	you can get double MCAs too for our schools.
2	MAYOR WALSH: We'll be back for
3	Phase 3 with that very request.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: That, that
5	forget Phase 3. I'm talking about Phase 2.
6	MAYOR WALSH: Okay.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: What do you
8	think the barriers to shared services are in
9	Onondaga County?
10	MAYOR WALSH: I think it's a matter
11	of we're really just beginning those
12	conversations. I think communication and
13	dialogue will remove many of the barriers,
14	but ultimately for those costs that we are
15	looking to shift from the City of Syracuse to
16	either Onondaga County or other
17	municipalities, ultimately those are costs
18	that are going to be incurred by those
19	municipalities.
20	They have to be accounted for, whether
21	it's through payment by the city or it was
22	brought up earlier that the matching
23	component of the state's initial program was
24	helpful in that regard. But ultimately,

1 it's -- again, for us, it's still early. 2 So we're going to put it all on the 3 table, figure out where we think we can 4 identify opportunities, and we'll pursue 5 them. So we can get back to you with more specific feedback on that. 6 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: The last 7 thing I wanted to ask you about, and I think 8 we touched upon it last week just a little 9 10 bit -- I don't know if you had a chance to 11 look into it. But the fiber optic wireless 12 facilities proposal that the Governor has, 13 how does that affect Syracuse? 14 MAYOR WALSH: Yeah, we are still 15 figuring it out. I actually left -- when I 16 left Syracuse today, our deputy mayor, Sharon 17 Owens, was sitting down with corporation counsel to go through it. We do have 18 19 concerns. 20 You know, it is a revenue-generating 21 opportunity, but we're still getting our head 22 around it. So we'll get back to you with 23 some more specific feedback. 24 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: All right.

1 Well, thank you, and good luck to you. 2 MAYOR WALSH: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 3 4 Senator Savino. 5 SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you, Senator 6 Young. 7 Welcome, Mayor Walsh. 8 Congratulations. 9 I just want to focus on one piece in 10 your testimony, because you're the only one 11 who mentioned it. Twenty-eight years ago I 12 started as a caseworker in the city's child welfare system, the last time we were in the 13 14 midst of a terrible drug crisis in the state. 15 Then it was crack, now it's opioids. 16 One of the things we saw back then, 17 though, was the number of children who were 18 born with a positive toxicity to the cocaine 19 or other drugs in utero, and it led to an 20 explosion of the foster care system, not just 21 in the City of New York but statewide. 22 We're not seeing the same thing now with this crisis, and I've asked repeatedly 23 24 of OCFS and localities: Are we seeing an

1 uptick in the number of positive-tox births? 2 And you actually referenced it, you have that 3 sentence which says Onondaga County rates 4 third in the state for newborn drug-related-5 diagnosis rates. So somebody's tracking it, in spite of me being told over and over that 6 7 there's no uptick in the number of calls to the state's central registry. 8 9 Are you seeing an increase also in 10 those infants and their mothers being brought 11 through the family court system, an uptick in 12 foster care in Onondaga or in Syracuse? MAYOR WALSH: I don't know the answer 13 14 to that, but I can certainly find out. SENATOR SAVINO: I would be 15 16 interested, because it just seems to me -- it 17 strikes me as odd that it's not happening --MAYOR WALSH: Yeah. 18 19 SENATOR SAVINO: -- with the number of 20 overdoses, the number of emergency room 21 visits for people. And it just seems to be a 22 completely different type of drug crisis, and I'm puzzled as to why it is. And I'm just 23 24 curious to whether or not people are calling

1	in these cases at the rate that they probably
2	should. Obviously we don't want to take
3	children away from their parents
4	unnecessarily, but there should be some
5	intervention, particularly preventive
6	services if nothing else.
7	So I'd appreciate if you could get
8	back to me
9	MAYOR WALSH: Absolutely.
10	SENATOR SAVINO: about your
11	experience in Syracuse.
12	And I'm going to ask again tomorrow
13	when Sheila Poole is here from the Office of
14	Children and Family Services.
15	MAYOR WALSH: Very good question.
16	SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you.
17	MAYOR WALSH: We'll get back to you.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you very
19	much, Mayor
20	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I do have
21	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Oh, I'm sorry.
22	Senator Young.
23	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I was just going
24	last.

1 So welcome, Mayor. Congratulations on 2 your new post. 3 MAYOR WALSH: Thank you. 4 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: And how is your dad 5 doing? 6 MAYOR WALSH: He's doing great. 7 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Is he? Good. 8 MAYOR WALSH: He's only giving me advice when I ask for it, thankfully. 9 10 (Laughter.) 11 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Well, that's good 12 to know. Just to follow up on Senator Savino's 13 14 point, the Department of Health is keeping 15 those statistics on infants being born to --16 that are addicted to opioids, and Chautauqua 17 County, unfortunately, is very high on the list too. So I understand exactly what 18 19 you're talking about. 20 And those are only the infants that we 21 know about. 22 MAYOR WALSH: Right. 23 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: And oftentimes what 24 happens is infants -- for example, the

1 mother's addicted to heroin, infants are born 2 in the hospital, but they're sent home 3 because they don't display withdrawal symptoms until a couple of days after they go 4 5 home. Which is very dangerous for the infants, because you have this combination of 6 7 a mother addicted to drugs, you have a 8 screaming baby that actually could die going 9 through withdrawal. 10 So some of the legislation that I've 11 sponsored has to do with the testing of 12 newborns. We test them for 40 other things, and I believe that it would be a good 13 14 intervention to get families into services 15 earlier, detect any medical issue that a baby may be going through -- and not to have in 16 17 mind prosecution, but it's intervention, 18 really. 19 I wanted to get your thoughts about 20 that. I know it's new -- it's something new 21 that I just brought up. But what would your 22 thoughts be on such a program? MAYOR WALSH: I think it's a great 23 24 idea, and I would be happy to lend my support

1 to your efforts.

2 I appreciate it, Senator. 3 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay, thank you. 4 Thank you. 5 Well, again, good luck with everything 6 that you're doing. 7 MAYOR WALSH: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: And we wish you 8 well, and we appreciate you coming today. 9 10 MAYOR WALSH: Thank you. 11 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for 12 being here. 13 And we've been joined by Steve Otis 14 and Carrie Woerner. Did either of you have a 15 question? Okay. 16 Thank you so much, Mayor. 17 MAYOR WALSH: Thank you. 18 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 19 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Next we have 20 Corey Johnson, speaker of the New York City 21 Council. 22 Hi. NYC COUNCIL SPEAKER JOHNSON: Hi. 23 24 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN:

1 Congratulations.

2 NYC COUNCIL SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank 3 you very much. 4 Good afternoon, Chair Young --5 congratulations, Chair Weinstein -- and members of the Finance and Ways and Means 6 7 Committees. I'm Corey Johnson, the newly elected speaker of the New York City Council, 8 and it is an honor to be in our state's 9 10 capitol to discuss Governor Cuomo's Executive Budget for the state fiscal year 2018-2019. 11 12 Since I am new to my office, let me 13 tell you just a little bit about myself. I 14 came to New York at the age of 19 carrying 15 two suitcases and knowing two people, and 16 from the moment I arrived in our great city, 17 I knew that I belonged. I was able to find a 18 cheap place to live until I got on my feet 19 and found my first job. And for me, New York 20 City is a place where a 19-year-old can 21 arrive on a wing and on a prayer and on a 22 dream, and hopefully still make it. I did not come from a rich family. My 23 24 mother, Ann, was my lunch lady at my local

1 school, and cleaned houses on nights and 2 weekends to make ends meet. I was lucky, 3 there were 12 units of public housing in the 4 town that I grew up in, and we lived in one 5 of those 12 units of public housing. So I know that the social safety net works. It 6 7 lifts up families, and it was a major influence in getting me to where I am today. 8

9 I am a progressive. That is no 10 secret. However, I did not seek office to 11 indulge in polarization or political games. 12 I took office to get things done, and I look 13 forward to working pragmatically with the 14 Governor and with both houses of the 15 Legislature in service to the people of my 16 city and this great state.

17 Let me begin with our assessment of 18 the total potential risk of the proposed 19 state budget on New York City. Our tally 20 stands at \$750 million. That is a 21 significant reduction in state spending, and 22 it impacts predominately education and social 23 services. My testimony today will highlight 24 proposals in these areas that I think will

have the most negative impact on New York
 City residents and could set our city back
 profoundly.

4 As an elected official for the past 5 four years, and now as City Council speaker, I know how well the city and state have 6 7 worked together in the past. Through the city's lobbying efforts and through 8 constructive dialogue, we have achieved great 9 10 things. For example, last year the age of criminal responsibility was raised to 17 11 12 starting in October, and 18 the following October. This was a major priority of the 13 14 City Council, and we were pleased to see it 15 enacted.

Additionally, constructive dialogue 16 17 ultimately stopped proposed reductions to the 18 general public health work reimbursements to 19 local health departments that provide core 20 public health services to vulnerable 21 populations. Public health, as you may know, 22 is a key area of concern for me, and an area I have promised to give my undivided 23 24 attention to. I am HIV-positive. I am the

only openly HIV-positive elected official in
 the State of New York.

Lastly, we were thrilled to see the
Excelsior Scholarship program established, a
first-in-the-nation program to increase
college access for thousands of students
across New York.

8 And we know that we can reach mutually 9 positive agreements and make changes for the 10 better through the budget process, and that's 11 why I'm here.

12With respect to the MTA, fixing the13subways is the greatest infrastructure14challenge that New York City faces today.15Make no mistake that the subway is the16lifeblood of our economy, and failure to17address this crisis will be disastrous. It18could be our undoing.

19First, I'd like to thank the Governor20for including funding in his budget that21covers half of the cost of Phase 1 of the22Subway Action Plan. While I agree that New23York City residents benefit greatly from the24subway system, we are far from its sole

1 beneficiaries. Tri-state residents from all 2 over the transit region use the subway when 3 they come to our city for work or for play. 4 Given the significant contribution the City 5 of New York and its residents already make to the transit system, I strongly urge the state 6 7 to provide additional funding for the Subway Action Plan in its final budget. 8

With that said, any new funding stream 9 10 must go directly to the MTA and must be spent efficiently, with clear timelines and 11 12 appropriate oversight. We need new, smart, 13 sustainable revenue streams to fully fund the 14 MTA's needs into the future. One piece of 15 that puzzle is congestion pricing. We need 16 congestion pricing this year, this session.

17 Unfortunately, there are two proposals 18 in the Executive Budget to finance the MTA 19 that are not helpful. One requires New York 20 City to make emergency appropriations to the 21 MTA at the Governor's direction, and asks the city to fully fund the capital program of the 22 New York City Transit Authority. The second 23 24 proposes to fund the MTA through the capture

1 of part of the property taxes in Midtown and 2 the Upper East Side of Manhattan. Nice 3 neighborhoods certainly, Senator Krueger, but 4 they are not the only ones that benefit by 5 projects like the creation of a new tunnel for the Long Island Railroad. The MTA is a 6 7 regional agency that benefits areas far up into the Hudson River Valley as well as out 8 9 east on Long Island.

10 While the city is trying to address its historically large capital needs through 11 12 the New York City capital budget, let me address design-build. During last year's 13 14 state budget process, use of the design-build 15 procurement method was authorized for 16 counties outside of the five boroughs of 17 New York City. I heard the mayor of Yonkers 18 answer a question from Assemblyman Benedetto. 19 New York City faces soaring construction 20 costs for its capital projects and the 21 ability to use design-build procurement would 22 lower these costs as well as dramatically reduce project time frames. One project 23 24 specifically is the cantilever on the BQE,

which is a huge project for the City of New
 York in Brooklyn.

3 Let me take a moment to discuss NYCHA. 4 I know Senator Young was asking questions 5 earlier about this. NYCHA is home to over 400,000 New York State residents who are in 6 7 desperate need of funding to repair the 178,000 apartments in which they live. The 8 city has responded to chronic federal 9 10 underfunding of NYCHA by adding \$262 million in our preliminary capital plan, for a 11 12 five-year total of just under \$1.4 billion. 13 The state has not allocated any funding in 14 the current budget for NYCHA, but has 15 appropriated \$200 million in the 2018 budget. NYCHA's infrastructure needs continue 16 17 to grow as it is aging infrastructure and falls deeper into a state of disrepair. With 18 19 likely federal cuts on the horizon, I urge 20 the state to step up to the plate for our 21 NYCHA families. We have issues of mold and 22 lead paint and boilers.

In addition to long-term funding forNew York City subways and buses and the

1 approval of design-build, here are a few 2 other main areas of concern for the City Council that really hit to the core of our 3 4 robust safety net. 5 No significant actions to address the potential DSH cuts impacting Health + 6 7 Hospitals -- disproportionate share of 8 hospital funding cuts; inadequate support on homelessness prevention; lack of financial 9 10 support for Raise the Age implementation; a 11 reduction in Child Preventative Services 12 funding; and insufficient school funding and unfunded education mandates. 13 14 An astounding 44 percent of 15 New Yorkers are living at or near the poverty 16 level -- 44 percent of New York City 17 residents are living at or below that poverty line. Almost half of New Yorkers. According 18 19 to the Mayor's Office for Economic 20 Opportunity, about 20 percent of New 21 Yorkers -- it's actually 22 percent, 1.7 million New Yorkers -- are living in 22 poverty. One of every five people you see on 23 24 the streets of New York City are living in

1 poverty. However, without SNAP another 2 3.2 percent would be living in poverty. 3 Without housing assistance such as NYCHA, 4 another 5.8 percent would be living in 5 poverty. Without income-tax-based programs such as the Earned Income Tax Credit, another 6 7 3.9 percent would be living in poverty. 8 As bad as poverty is now, it would be far worse without a robust social safety net. 9 10 That is why I have and will continue to champion for funding that will protect those 11 12 who have the least. Because we New Yorkers 13 want to give everyone a chance. We do not 14 leave anyone out in the cold. 15 A significant part of the safety net, 16 which is so important, is New York City 17 Health + Hospitals. H+H is under considerable financial strain. 18 19 Unfortunately, the Executive Budget extends 20 the current Medicaid Disproportionate Share 21 Hospital, or DSH, distribution formula for 22 one year. If the federal government does not delay the cuts to DSH payments and the state 23 24 maintains its current distribution formula,

1 H+H would lose \$329 million this federal 2 fiscal year and \$400 million in the next 3 fiscal year. DSH constitutes H+H's primary 4 source of federal funding. The state must 5 step in to maintain DSH funding if we lose it at the federal level. 6 7 Another significant part of that safety net is supportive housing. 8 While the City Council acknowledges the state's 9 10 \$20 billion five-year plan, which aims to build or preserve 112,000 units of affordable 11 12 housing, this only includes 6,000 units of supportive housing. We need more for the 13 14 chronically homeless. The reality is we need 15 to do a lot more, and supportive housing 16 units with on-site social services go a long 17 way in bringing dignity and upward mobility 18 to those living with mental illness, addiction disorders, and other severe health 19 20 problems, such as HIV and AIDS. I have been 21 sober for 8 1/2 years. This July I will be 22 9 years sober, July 13th. It's my biggest accomplishment in life. I know how important 23 24 it is to get clean and sober. I got sober at

27 years old. We need more supportive
 housing for folks that are struggling right
 now.

4 In our state's history, we have 5 witnessed three New York New York agreements that have been groundbreaking moments where 6 7 New York City and the state came together to build over 10,000 units and prioritized the 8 most vulnerable homeless New Yorkers. 9 10 Studies show that those units contributed to 11 reduced use of shelters, hospitals, 12 psychiatric centers and incarceration. With 13 the last agreement having been 13 years ago, 14 it's time for New York City and the state to 15 do it again. And I know we had a program 16 that was talked about in the last few years. 17 I really want us to increase supportive 18 housing for the folks that need it most. 19 Years ago the city and state supported 20 a short-term rent subsidy program, the 21 Advantage program, that provided rental

subsidies for those coming out of shelter,
and in 2011 the state withdrew its portion.
When that program went away, the city and

1	state remained at odds and the number of
2	homeless New Yorkers increased dramatically
3	during that time frame.
4	Homelessness is a crisis that shows no
5	sign of abating. Every night, over 60,000
6	New Yorkers sleep in our shelter city
7	60,000. And 23,000 of that 60,000 are
8	children. The most successful model for
9	ending chronic homelessness is supportive
10	housing, which pairs affordable housing with
11	on-site social services for people with
12	mental health and substance abuse issues.
13	The plan to enhance supports for existing
14	residential housing is welcome. However, the
15	\$9.3 million cut to Living in Communities
16	LINC rental assistance programs is
17	completely counterproductive, and it will
18	drive homelessness up even further in New
19	York City.
20	The LINC program has allowed
21	approximately 1,465 families and 5,098
22	individuals to move out of shelter since
23	fiscal year 2017. LINC funds the difference
24	between rents that working families in

1 shelters can afford and what the New York 2 City rental market demands. It is a 3 successful model and a critical strategy for 4 ending homelessness, one the state should 5 continue to support. 6 The State Executive Budget also 7 extends the reach of state bureaucracy into the city's street homeless outreach programs. 8 The budget has a clause that allows OTDA to 9 10 withhold funding from counties if 11 homelessness-related programs are not up to 12 their standard. The city already works with 13 OTDA for approval of homeless programs where 14 the state provides support such as rental 15 assistance and rapid rehousing. The state 16 provides no funds for homeless outreach. The 17 proposal is putting the cart before the 18 horse. The state's fiscal 2018-2019 Executive 19 20 Budget includes \$100 million for state and 21 local costs related to implementation of Phase 1 of Raise the Age. The Council 22

24 state. However, funding for subsequent

appreciates the initial support from the

23

1 phases is unclear. As an unfunded mandate, 2 the city could potentially be at a loss of 3 \$200 million annually. This, coupled with 4 the reduction of \$31 million for the Close to 5 Home initiative, would be detrimental to 6 young people in New York City. We need help 7 from the state to support much-needed reforms that include both diversion programs for 16-8 and 17-year-olds and residential placement 9 10 services for juveniles.

11 Lastly in this area, I am also 12 concerned with the proposal to cap the state 13 reimbursement for preventive services for New York City only. Preventive services are 14 15 designed to help families keep their children 16 safely at home and avoid foster care placements. The total loss to the city would 17 reach \$130 million in fiscal 2019. Given the 18 19 progress we've made on reducing child 20 fatalities and keeping families united, it is 21 particularly worrisome that the state would 22 actually cut back on funding. In the area of education, there are a 23

24 number of concerns. I know I only have a

minute left, so I'm going to breeze through 1 2 these. We are still concerned about Campaign 3 for Fiscal Equity funding, and so I ask you 4 to continue to prioritize education funding. 5 The proposed increase of \$247 million in aid for our schools is \$217 million less than the 6 7 projection in our city's budget. We urge the Legislature to fully fund CFE and ensure that 8 all students are offered a sound basic 9 10 education as the Court of Appeals said they should have. 11 12 The shortfall in Foundation Aid will 13 be exacerbated by the plan to slash state 14 support from summer education programs for 15 special education students. The Executive

16Budget would cut the state reimbursement rate17and leave New York City schools with a18\$65 million budget hole next year.

19 I'm going to keep moving on and say 20 that -- I talk about CUNY in my testimony, if 21 you could please look at that.

22 And then lastly, I am concerned as 23 well about the federal tax reform plan, the 24 SALT deduction, how it's going to affect New

York City taxpayers who take the deduction.

2	1.3 million New York City residents took that
3	deduction last year. That's about 31 percent
4	of all city taxpayers. It saves the typical
5	taxpayer close to \$10,000 in federal taxes.
6	Let me conclude by saying that as you
7	approach the 30-day amendment process, it is
8	my hope that there are adjustments that will
9	allow New York City to continue to provide
10	much needed services to New Yorkers who need
11	it most. It must be a partnership to protect
12	the most vulnerable amongst us, and we are
13	here to offer our voice to the conversation
14	on how we get that done.
15	Again, congratulations, Chair
16	Weinstein, on this position. You have done
17	an amazing job for your district with your
18	tenure here in the Assembly.
19	Senator Young, I look forward to
20	working with you.
21	And to everyone else that's here for
22	my testimony, I thank you very much and I'm
23	happy to take your questions. Also I
24	acknowledge I'm joined by the City Council's

1 finance director, Latonia McKinney. 2 Thank you. 3 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 4 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, 5 Mr. Speaker. 6 Senator Savino. 7 SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you, Senator Young. 8 Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Nice to say 9 10 that, Mr. Speaker. Congratulations, first, and welcome to Albany for your first budget 11 12 address. I just want to focus on one or two 13 14 things in the budget. By the way, I agree 15 with you on the cuts to Child Protective Services and Child Preventive Services, and I 16 17 will speak extensively on that tomorrow with 18 OCFS and the partner agencies, because you're right -- it's not just wrong for the City of 19 20 New York, it's just wrong, period, 21 particularly at a time when we know there's a 22 greater demand for preventive services. Raise the Age, same issue. Close to 23 24 Home, we know it works, so we need to address

1 that.

2	I want to talk about NYCHA, though,
3	because one of the biggest issues that you're
4	going to have to deal with now as the speaker
5	of the City Council is what we do about what
6	is the largest housing development in the
7	country. And I know some of your members,
8	particularly Ritchie Torres, he has been like
9	a dog with a bone on this. He's been a great
10	ally to myself and some of my colleagues in
11	the Senate as we prepare legislation to try
12	and address it.
13	One of the things that we have
14	proposed and the Senate passed unanimously on
15	June 19th of this year was a bill that would
16	require an independent monitor for NYCHA,
17	because part of the problem is mismanagement.
18	You know, it doesn't matter who you put in
19	there, it's decades of, you know, this is the
20	way it's always been done, and therefore we
21	don't do anything differently.
22	So I'm just wondering if you have any
23	thoughts about an independent monitor. I
24	know Ritchie Torres stood with us and some of

1 your other colleagues in the City Council as 2 well who represent several developments. NYC COUNCIL SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank 3 4 you, Senator Savino, for welcoming me. 5 And I am deeply concerned about the state of NYCHA as well -- 178,000 apartments, 6 7 400,000 New Yorkers, some of the most vulnerable New Yorkers. And the City of 8 New York needs to do a better job. 9 10 Now, I believe that the issues that 11 plague NYCHA are much bigger than one person. 12 So if the chair left tomorrow, the issues 13 would still stand, whether it be mold, lead, 14 boilers, any of those issues. I know that 15 the federal government, the feds, the U.S. 16 Attorney for the Southern District, are 17 involved in looking at some of these problems 18 that have plagued NYCHA for a very long time. 19 There needs to be better management. Three 20 top, actually four top NYCHA officials have 21 left NYCHA in the last three months. The 22 general manager is leaving sometime soon, the new general manager, Vito Mustaciuolo from 23 24 HPD, is a great guy who I have a lot of

1	confidence in. We need to get NYCHA in the
2	right place. And if that means a federal
3	monitor, that means a federal monitor.
4	Now, ultimately it's about
5	accountability, it's about responsibility and
6	it's about serving the residents who live in
7	NYCHA. So no one should take this
8	personally. This is about getting results on
9	behalf of the New Yorkers that need it most.
10	SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you.
11	And the other question I wanted to ask
12	you about, earlier today the mayor was, you
13	know, aggressively asked about the city's
14	property tax system. And I know that many of
15	the members of the council have signed on to
16	a plan to I guess have a commission to study
17	the way we arrive at property taxes. Just
18	this week, we all received our updated
19	property tax assessments from the Department
20	of Finance.
21	NYC COUNCIL SPEAKER JOHNSON: It's
22	crazy. It's crazy.
23	SENATOR SAVINO: I was quite shocked
24	to find out that my house has increased

1 133 percent since last July. NYC COUNCIL SPEAKER JOHNSON: 2 3 Congratulations. 4 SENATOR SAVINO: And it's now, 5 according to them, it's worth a half a million dollars. I joked with the mayor and 6 7 said there's nothing in that house that's 8 worth a half a million dollars, including me. 9 (Laughter.) 10 SENATOR SAVINO: Ridiculous. 11 But these are the kind of bills that 12 people are getting. So on the one hand when 13 the city says we haven't raised the property 14 tax rate, well, there's some truth to that. 15 But the assessments are killing us. 16 And there's also an inequality in the 17 assessments, in that I pay more on my house 18 than someone pays in Park Slope, where the house is certainly worth four times what my 19 20 property is. 21 So I'm hoping that you will show the 22 leadership to fight this fight. We're not suggesting that the City of New York should 23 24 have to not collect property tax, but let's

1 take a good long look at this. It's crazy 2 that our property taxes are going through the 3 roof, and we're not seeing what we feel we 4 should be getting back from the government. 5 And, you know, for years they've just pushed it back and forth, said it's not our fault, 6 7 we didn't raise the rate, it's this crazy formula that's in state law. 8

9 Well, we're all in this together. 10 We're prepared to work towards coming up with 11 a fairer system so that people have some 12 faith in the money that's taken out of their 13 pocket and utilized for whatever the city 14 needs.

NYC COUNCIL SPEAKER JOHNSON: I agree 15 16 with you a hundred percent. The assessments 17 are crazy. They don't make any sense. It is 18 unequal. I know that former Chief Judge 19 Lippman and former Finance Commissioner 20 Martha Stark have brought forward a potential 21 case to look at the inequity in the property 22 tax system in New York City.

Anything we want to do here, mybelief, some of the guiding principles, we

1 need to fix the system, which is broken, and 2 to hopefully have it be revenue-neutral. So 3 everyone might have to feel a little bit of 4 pain, or some even might feel a little bit of 5 pain more than others, but ultimately what we do -- the council has to vote on this, so the 6 7 mayor can't do this on his own. We will be a 8 full, respected, and equal partner as the 9 mayor looks to tackle this. And the State 10 Legislature has to play a role as well. 11 Anything we have to do, you guys have to 12 authorize. So this conversation needs to be a conversation that is fulsome and inclusive, 13 14 that includes all of you, who are going to 15 have some role in this.

16 I am ready to sit down, have these 17 conversations, look at the inequality in the property tax system. People have called it 18 the third rail. You can't keep kicking the 19 20 can down the road. We have to take a look at 21 it. And I stand ready, willing and able to 22 work with anyone in government to finally 23 make some more sense out of this system. 24 SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you.

NYC COUNCIL SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank 1 2 you, Senator. 3 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 4 Assemblyman Braunstein. 5 ASSEMBLYMAN BRAUNSTEIN: Hello, welcome to Albany. 6 7 My comments are very similar to what Senator Savino said. And all I can say is 8 I'm heartened by your answer that you're 9 10 really willing to take on this challenge. Because you're right, it's been called the 11 12 third rail of politics. 13 But in my district in Northeast 14 Queens, the co-op owners that I represent pay 15 some of the highest effective property tax 16 rates of all of New York City. And something 17 needs to get done, and people have talked 18 about it for years. So I'm hopeful that 19 you'll make good on your word and you and 20 your colleagues will continue to pursue this. 21 NYC COUNCIL SPEAKER JOHNSON: And your 22 local councilmembers who overlap in your district, whether it be Councilmember 23 24 Grodenchik or Councilmember Lancman or

1 Councilmember Vallone, they have been very 2 vociferous about wanting to tackle this. As 3 well as on Staten Island, Councilmember Rose, 4 Minority Leader Matteo, and Councilmember 5 Borelli, they've all talked about this as 6 well. 7 And I want to work with any members of the council, regardless of party or 8 regardless of ideology, to get something done 9 10 on this. I'm serious about it. And I look forward to continuing those conversations 11 12 with members of the State Legislature. 13 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 14 We've been joined by Senator John 15 Brooks. And Senator Krueger has some 16 questions, I do believe. 17 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. 18 Nice to see you, Mr. Speaker. NYC COUNCIL SPEAKER JOHNSON: Good to 19 20 see you, Senator. 21 SENATOR KRUEGER: And nice to see 22 somebody coming to visit Albany who says they're progressive, you'll see you get a 23 24 different response up here sometimes to that

1 terminology.

2 I appreciate your broad testimony on 3 so many issues today. 4 Since you have to deal with the city 5 budget, there's been a lot of back and forth earlier today -- I don't know how much you 6 7 were listening to versus doing other work -that if the city is facing hundreds of 8 millions of dollars in cuts proposed in the 9 10 Executive Budget, but the city is also going 11 to be asked for money for the emergency 12 funding for the subway -- which many of us here from New York City actually think 13 14 everybody needs to pony up; we just have to 15 get the subway fixed -- do you think that 16 it's fair to expect the city to lower the 17 amount of the rainy day fund that it's holding out for itself in the absence of 18 19 knowing what the feds are going to do? Because ultimately this is all about, you 20 know, who's going to pay for what. You 21 22 outlined very well in your testimony so many places the state is reducing commitments to 23 24 the city that it's made in the past. And

1 when the mayor was here testifying earlier, 2 he said that he had \$5 billion, I believe, in 3 a rainy day fund or surplus. 4 I worry that people think that that's 5 a lot of money when that's not necessarily that much money in the face of federal 6 7 changes. I'm just curious whether your staff has been able to help you predict how we have 8 to think about that as well. 9 10 NYC COUNCIL SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you for the question. It's a really 11 12 important question. I know that Senator Young talked about this earlier with the 13 14 mayor. 15 I am not an expert, but I think we 16 should look at what experts have said. So 17 the Citizens Budget Commission -- who has not 18 been easy on the mayor and his budget the 19 last four years -- they have said that our 20 reserves need to be higher than they are. So 21 our \$5 billion approximate amount of 22 reserves, we, I think, have been prudent and responsible -- and the council played a role 23

in this last year. We asked the mayor to set

24

1 aside an additional \$250 million. Some money 2 went to the capital reserve program, another 3 amount of money went to the Retiree Benefits 4 Health Trust Fund. And so we have been 5 trying to be prudent and responsible in 6 socking money away for when that rainy day 7 comes.

Now, I'm not sure we're at the point 8 where we should be raiding the reserves. I 9 10 don't think that's probably smart. The comptroller of the City of New York, who 11 12 testified earlier, the Citizens Budget 13 Commission, other outside groups -- the IBO, 14 the Independent Budget Office -- they've all 15 said that our reserves need to grow even further. I think some of them believe our 16 17 reserves should be \$8 billion, \$9 billion when a real downturn comes and hits us. 18 19 I know the state is facing a 20 \$4.4 billion budget deficit, and I know 21 difficult decisions will need to be made. I 22 don't think us dipping in right now to the reserves is the smartest thing. 23 24 There was an article this morning that

1 talked about how municipal bond rates are 2 going up, and the impact that is going to 3 have on bonds in New York City and on capital 4 projects in New York City. I think we 5 continue to be prudent, not take money out of the reserves -- but again, I have said it, I 6 7 think we should not rule out the city putting more money into the subways. I'm not ready 8 to say no to that. I think it depends on a 9 10 variety of things -- accountability, 11 transparency, the prioritization of projects. 12 The city last week, the mayor's 13 appointees on the MTA were able to reverse 14 the decision related to station enhancements 15 because they thought that wasn't the best way 16 to spend capital dollars. I don't think we

17 should write a blank check to the MTA, or it 18 will disappear somewhere. I support 19 congestion pricing. That money should be put 20 in the famous bad word of a lockbox so that 21 the money is dedicated to the MTA.

22 We need to have a big conversation. 23 And I think just saying no on money to the 24 MTA is not a wise move. The 6 million people

1 who take the MTA every single day do not care 2 who pays for it, they just want results. Six 3 million people in the subways, 2 million 4 people on the buses. No offense to Senator 5 Brooks or anyone else from Long Island, 300,000 people take Long Island Railroad 6 7 every day. That is a fraction of the people that use the MTA in the subways and buses. 8 They just want results. 9

10 So we shouldn't bicker and fight over 11 how we get those results. Let's create a new 12 revenue stream with congestion pricing, let's 13 get the city to potentially put more money 14 in -- if there's accountability, and the city 15 has a say in what the money would go 16 towards -- and let's fix this problem. It's 17 the lifeblood of the New York City economy. 18 It's the most egalitarian thing about 19 New York City is the subway system. 20 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. 21 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman 22 Carroll. 23 ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: Thank you, Madam 24 Chair.

Good afternoon, Speaker. I just want to follow up right on your train of thought you just had about our subway system, about funding a revenue stream for right now as we come up with the solution for a long-term revenue stream that could be congestion pricing.

8 What do you see as the role of the 9 City Council in this process? Are you going 10 to put forward some form of resolution in 11 favor of a congestion pricing plan that we in 12 the State Legislature will have to take up 13 either in the budget or later on in the 14 legislative session?

15 NYC COUNCIL SPEAKER JOHNSON: So I
16 don't -- thank you. Good to see you,
17 Assemblyman. Thank you for being here.
18 Thank you for the question.

19I don't say this to shirk my20responsibilities or to be vague or play21games. I am not wedded to any particular22details. So if the State Legislature or23individual members like Assemblyman24Braunstein or like someone from Southern

1 Brooklyn or like someone from Central 2 Brooklyn has particular concerns for their 3 constituents about coming into the central 4 business district below 60th Street, over the 5 three East River bridges or through a particular tunnel, and they have concerns, 6 7 whether it be low-income folks, medical appointments, et cetera, I am flexible. 8 Ultimately, I believe congestion 9 10 pricing has to have a variety of principles attached to it: 11 12 One, disincentivize cars from coming 13 into Manhattan. It is choking the city 14 streets, it's bad for the environment, it's 15 bad for quality of life, and it's bad for 16 economic activity in the city as well. 17 Two, I think we need to create a 18 dedicated revenue stream for not just the 19 subways but rapid bus transit and transit 20 deserts in eastern Queens and Southern 21 Brooklyn. So we need to have a variety of 22 principals involved. If individual members of the Senate 23 24 and Assembly and the Council have particular

1 specifics that they're concerned about, I'm 2 flexible. So what that means is I don't 3 think it's my place to come to the 4 Legislature today with a baked plan. You all 5 are still debating the specifics. You all are still listening to members who have 6 7 concerns. I'm sure Senator Golden has concerns. I'm sure Senator Lanza has 8 9 concerns. I'm sure members of the Assembly 10 have concerns. I want to respect those 11 concerns and work with the Legislature on the 12 specifics to come up with a plan that follows 13 the principles that I just laid out. 14 So I do not see passing a home-rule 15 message early on. I think we want to hear 16 from you all and work with you all in a 17 constructive and pragmatic manner to try to 18 get something passed that will create 19 revenue. 20 ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: As for the 21 Subway Action Plan, would you support -- as 22 long as the Governor gave assurances that the

24 the 50 percent from the city -- if there were

money that Commissioner Lhota has asked for,

23

1 assurances that that money would be spent on 2 the New York City subway system, would you be 3 fine with the city funding the 50 percent 4 that Chairman Lhota says they should? 5 NYC COUNCIL SPEAKER JOHNSON: Well, Chair Lhota called me the other day and 6 7 corrected me, because he read something in the paper, and said we have already committed 8 to that. So that commitment is there on that 9 10 money being dedicated just to the subway 11 system and no other part of the MTA -- not 12 Metro-North, not Long Island Railroad or 13 anything else. 14 But even then I can't say yes yet, 15 because there needs to be greater 16 accountability with the MTA. You look at the cost overruns on East Side Access, which is 17 18 going to serve very little people. It's nice 19 for the Long Island Railroad, customers that 20 come in every day. It doesn't serve a lot of 21 people. You look at the Fulton Center 22 downtown, billions of dollars over budget. 23

24 You look at the 7 train in my district; two

1 years behind schedule. You look at a 2 potential L train shutdown, which is going to 3 affect a lot of people in Brooklyn and in 4 Manhattan. Before the city can agree to put 5 money in, there needs to be tighter 6 standards -- deadlines, transparency, the 7 city having a say in the prioritization of 8 projects. And the biggest thing of all is the signal system. I sat down with Ronnie 9 10 Hakim and Joe Lhota. They're great, they're talented, they're smart, they're dedicated 11 12 public servants. They are not putting enough 13 money into the signal repairs. That is the 14 biggest issue that exists, more than subway 15 upgrades.

16 ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: Well, you know, 17 Speaker, that it's going to cost \$20 billion to fix and update all the signals inside the 18 system. You know also that in the fall of 19 20 2019, the MTA is going to release its next 21 capital budget. Currently, the State Comptroller has said that we should expect a 22 budget shortfall in that capital budget 23 24 upwards of \$15 billion. We don't even know

how much money they're going to spend on 1 2 signals, but clearly the system needs more 3 money. I agree with you that it needs more 4 accountability, but I think we all know that 5 it needs more money and it needs it now. NYC COUNCIL SPEAKER JOHNSON: Those 6 7 things are attached, though. If you're going 8 to put more money in, there needs to be more 9 accountability. I think both those things 10 are connected. 11 The current plan on the signal system 12 would not have the signals fixed until 2068. That is insane. We'll all be dead -- not all 13 14 of us, many of us will not be alive to see 15 the signals upgraded. It's unacceptable. 16 ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: I will still be 17 alive. 18 (Laughter.) NYC COUNCIL SPEAKER JOHNSON: You'll 19 20 still be alive. You'll have a good pension 21 by then, hopefully. 22 It doesn't make any sense. We need to do better. I understand the cost is a lot. 23 24 I understand the federal government has cut

1 back. I understand all of these things. 2 It's an issue of priorities. And to me, the 3 signal system itself is a bigger priority 4 than how a station looks when you walk down inside of it. I wish we could do both, but 5 if we're going to do one, it should be 6 7 signals. 8 ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 9 10 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, 11 Mr. Speaker. 12 And first of all, congratulations. And I think you're a breath of fresh air, and 13 14 it's good to have you here today. 15 I wanted to get your take on the 16 school system in New York City. So had 17 discussions with the chancellor, had discussions with the mayor about the 1600 18 19 schools that comprise the New York City school system. And I wanted to get your 20 21 thoughts about funding of high-needs schools, 22 because it's been very, very difficult for state government to get information from the 23 24 city about where the funding is going.

1 This year in the Executive proposal 2 there exists about \$10.4 billion to be 3 allocated to New York City. And the question 4 is, where is that money going exactly? We're 5 not getting the reporting that we have statutorily required from the city. And so 6 7 there's a lot of concern out there about some of the high-needs school districts that are 8 in some of the poorest areas of the city not 9 10 getting the funding that they need. Could you address that? 11 12 NYC COUNCIL SPEAKER JOHNSON: I want 13 answers to those questions as well. So, you 14 know, I don't think it's an unreasonable 15 thing to ask for transparency when it comes 16 to how education dollars are being spent. 17 One of the issues I would ask the 18 State Legislature to look at, which I think 19 you all could play a leading role in because 20 of the amount of state aid that's given every 21 year to the New York City public school 22 system, is the level of segregation. We have the most segregated school system in the 23 24 United States of America. That is shameful

1 and unacceptable.

2	And many of the schools, Senator
3	Young Chair Young, that you are referring
4	to are probably some of the most segregated
5	schools, because they're some of the
6	lowest-income schools with lowest-income
7	families in the entire city pockets of
8	poverty. Your zip code where you're born
9	should not determine the education that you
10	ultimately receive.
11	It's not just about budget dollars,
12	either. It's about parental involvement,
13	it's about a variety of other things like
14	health outcomes and support in a community,
15	integrating these things poverty reduction
16	writ large. So those are the issues, I
17	think, that affect these things.
18	But asking for more transparency to
19	understand how budget dollars are being
20	spent you know, right now our budget is
21	\$88 billion. Almost \$30 billion of our city
22	budget goes to the DOE.
23	So we always need more transparency.
24	One thing I'm going to do as speaker is

1 through our own budget process we have units 2 of appropriation that are very large, 3 \$300 million, \$400 million that are put in 4 our municipal budget without any details. 5 I'm going to ask for details from the mayor and from OMB when it comes to our own budget 6 7 process. I don't know enough exactly about what you're talking about, but it sounds 8 9 reasonable. 10 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, 11 Mr. Speaker. 12 And I think one of the common themes 13 today has been about huge government 14 bureaucracies and problems that exist. So whether it's at NYCHA, whether it's within 15 16 the school districts when you're talking 17 about the lack of transparency -- which I 18 agree with -- whether it's the MTA, which I 19 also agree with you on that, we're talking 20 about massive bureaucracies and it's very 21 difficult to get to the root of the problem 22 sometimes. New York City government itself is a 23 24 massive bureaucracy. And you, as speaker, do

1 you have any plans to do some kind of 2 thorough review and vetting of how money is 3 spent just in general for city government? 4 Because you talk about the fact -- and 5 Senator Savino has been very vocal about this also. But we talk about the fact that while 6 7 the tax rate has not gone up in New York 8 City, the tax levy has gone up over and over and over again. So it's a shell game, it's a 9 10 way to game the system. And so the costs are getting heavier, people are suffocating under 11 12 the burden of taxes in New York. It seems to me that there should be 13 14 some kind of review to see where you can find 15 savings. And I'm not saying you should cut 16 vital programs like education or health or 17 anything like that. But we know that in 18 every huge bureaucracy there exists waste. So I wanted to get your thoughts about 19

20 that.

21 NYC COUNCIL SPEAKER JOHNSON: So I 22 have a few thoughts. Thank you for the 23 question, Chair Young. It's a very, very 24 important question.

1 One thing I immediately did upon 2 becoming speaker is I created a new 3 subcommittee in our Finance Committee on 4 capital projects. The city has a four-year, 5 \$69 billion capital plan. Four-year, \$69 billion capital plan. 6 7 So when we talk about the BQE cantilever wanting design-build, when we talk 8 9 about siting community-based jail facilities 10 in districts that are going to cost a lot of 11 money, we need to have a capital committee 12 that specifically looks at capital projects 13 and has greater transparency, greater 14 accountability, and to ensure projects and 15 the money is being spent wisely. 16 I would not disagree with the premise, 17 but I would reorient a little bit on something you said. CUNY, Health + 18 19 Hospitals, the MTA, NYCHA, and the Department 20 of Education. Those five huge bureaucracies 21 or huge agencies that exist to serve millions 22 of New Yorkers, I look at those five things -- I know there are only four legs on 23 24 a stool, but as the five legs of a stool of

the social safety net in New York City. A
 robust and vital social safety net for the
 poorest New Yorkers.

4 And so for me, I'm here today to say 5 please don't cut the social safety net. We can look at ways -- we can look at 6 7 bureaucracy, we can have greater 8 accountability. The mayor says that he's 9 getting \$900 million in savings through 10 agencies as part of our city budget. We're 11 going to have questions about that in our 12 budget process starting in a month.

But when it comes to CUNY, NYCHA, H+H, 13 14 Department of Education, and the MTA, they're 15 the things that serve the poorest New 16 Yorkers. One in five New Yorkers is in 17 poverty, one in five. Forty percent, two in 18 five, are below or at the poverty line. Please do not harm these vital, vital 19 20 agencies that serve the least among us. 21 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you for that. 22 And I also was very happy to hear that you would consider that the city should meet 23 24 its statutory requirement of providing

1 capital funds to the MTA. I think that's 2 critically important as we move forward. 3 And finally, I know that you said that 4 the problems at NYCHA far exceed just one 5 person, and I agree with that wholeheartedly. However, you need to have trust that the 6 7 leadership in an agency is doing the right thing. And obviously there's an 8 investigation now being conducted by the 9 10 federal government that the head of the NYCHA program actually falsified documents that 11 12 certified that lead paint testing was done within NYCHA. 13 14 So I believe that there has to be 15 accountability and transparency, as you said. 16 I believe that we need to have answers. And 17 a lot of poor people, as you pointed out, are depending on having a safe environment in 18 which to live. So we need to make sure that 19 this bureaucracy is doing the right things. 20 21 And I want to associate myself with Senator 22 Savino's point that there should be a federal monitor to oversee NYCHA. This has gone on 23 24 for decades and decades. It's only getting

1 worse. We need to have something positive 2 happen. 3 So I appreciate your comments too. 4 NYC COUNCIL SPEAKER JOHNSON: Give us 5 some more money. NYCHA needs it. NYCHA 6 needs more money. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Well, you know --7 you know what, we have given --8 NYC COUNCIL SPEAKER JOHNSON: You 9 10 can't call for greater accountability and 11 transparency without the requisite amount of 12 support. 13 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: But here's the 14 problem. So the state has put in 15 \$300 million, right? 16 NYC COUNCIL SPEAKER JOHNSON: In 17 previous fiscal years. 18 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Right. And -- but 19 right now, because it's being mismanaged, how 20 can we be sure that the money is being spent 21 correctly? And so that's why I would suggest 22 a federal monitor could go a long way in making sure that the system is accountable 23 24 and transparent.

1 NYC COUNCIL SPEAKER JOHNSON: I 2 understand. But in the meantime, NYCHA 3 residents should not remain at risk by us not 4 stepping up to the plate. The city has put 5 \$1.9 billion over the last five fiscal years into NYCHA, an additional \$262 million 6 7 commitment in the upcoming fiscal year. I 8 know you all put money in. NYCHA needs more 9 money. Please, we need more money. 10 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: But it needs responsible leadership too. 11 12 NYC COUNCIL SPEAKER JOHNSON: I don't disagree with that. I'm not here to defend 13 14 the chair. She is supposed to come to a 15 hearing tomorrow on boilers in front of the 16 City Council. I will be at that hearing for 17 as long as the hearing lasts. It's supposed to start at 10 a.m., it's supposed to go 18 19 until 4 p.m. Residents are coming, and NYCHA leadership is supposed to be there. She said 20 21 that she has jury duty tomorrow, so she might 22 not be there. That's unacceptable. She has to be there. 23

24

CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: That's good to

1 hear.

2	NYC COUNCIL SPEAKER JOHNSON: So this
3	council, Councilmember Alicka Ampry-Samuel,
4	the new chair of the Public Housing
5	Committee, she has 27 public housing
6	developments in her district. Councilmember
7	Ritchie Torres, who chaired the committee
8	previously, they're going to jointly cochair
9	that hearing tomorrow to look at the boiler
10	problems and to talk about management issues
11	in a broader way.
12	But please, Senator Young, Chair
13	Young, I would ask you not to allow that to
14	get in the way of us stepping up in the way
15	we need to for NYCHA residents. We need more
16	money for these buildings.
17	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Good luck with the
18	hearing tomorrow.
19	NYC COUNCIL SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank
20	you.
21	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: It sounds very
22	important.
23	NYC COUNCIL SPEAKER JOHNSON: Do you
24	want to come?

1 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Unfortunately I have to be -- well, not -- no. 2 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We have another 3 4 hearing. 5 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: It's the most wonderful time of the year, budget time in 6 7 New York State. So fortunately, I have to be 8 here tomorrow. 9 (Laughter.) 10 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. And we'll go to Assemblyman Benedetto, 11 12 chair of our Cities Committee. 13 ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: Thank you, 14 Madam Chair. 15 Speaker, congratulations. And I wish 16 you lots of luck. You have a lot to deal 17 with, especially with some of the members we sent down to you, many of us who contributed 18 19 heavily to get them out of here. 20 NYC COUNCIL SPEAKER JOHNSON: I'm not 21 going to tell Assemblyman Gjonaj you said 22 that. Councilmember Gjonaj, I mean. ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: Listen, I was 23 24 talking briefly with the mayor as far as

congestion pricing. Both of you seem to be
 fairly on the same page with that. I also
 mentioned to him about what type of
 congestion pricing will we have, and
 basically will it be a single payer or will
 it be a variable fee. And I'll be interested
 in your opinion on that.

8 And in particular -- I'm not talking 9 about doctor's appointments and stuff like 10 that, which is very important and must be considered, but I'm also talking about peak 11 12 hours versus off-peak hours, after 7 o'clock 13 for people who want to come for the night 14 life in New York City, who -- weekends when 15 families want to bring their kids into the 16 city to see the museums and all the cultural 17 advantages the city has.

18 It would be interesting hearing your19 opinion on that.

20 NYC COUNCIL SPEAKER JOHNSON: Again, 21 Assemblyman, I'm not saying this to be vague 22 or evasive in any way. I am really open. So 23 I am open to a plan that would pass the State 24 Legislature, I am open to a plan that would

1	address the individual concerns of City
2	Councilmembers related to their own
3	constituents and districts.
4	And so I want to see something get
5	done. I want it to get passed this year,
6	this legislative session. My district, if
7	you'll indulge me for a moment, is fairly
8	large geographically. My district includes
9	the neighborhoods of West Soho, Hudson
10	Square, Greenwich Village and the West
11	Village, Chelsea, Flatiron, Hell's Kitchen, a
12	little bit of the Upper West Side, Columbus
13	Circle, Times Square, the Theater District,
14	the Garment District, Hudson Yards, the
15	High Line, the Hudson River Park, Penn
16	Station, Moynihan Station, Madison Square
17	Garden, the Port Authority Bus Terminal, the
18	Javits Center and the Whitney Museum. The
19	west side of Manhattan from Canal Street to
20	63th Street. Each one of us in the council
21	represent 170,000 constituents. The average
22	daily population of my district is
23	2.2 million people because of what's in my
24	district.

1 Congestion is choking the streets of New York City. Seven days a week, from 2 6 a.m. until 11 o'clock at night. It is bad 3 4 for business, it's bad for the environment, 5 it's bad for quality of life. So anything that can get us to disincentivize cars from 6 7 coming into Manhattan, to create a dedicated 8 revenue stream to the subways and buses in New York City, I am open to working with you 9 10 and your colleagues here in the State Legislature to see a plan get done. 11 12 ASSEMBLYMAN BENEDETTO: Thank you very much, sir. Thank you, Madam Chairman. 13 14 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: I just have a 15 question to follow up on Mr. Benedetto. 16 So what do you attribute the increased 17 congestion within your district or within Manhattan to these past few years? 18 NYC COUNCIL SPEAKER JOHNSON: I think 19 20 it's a variety of things. 21 One, I do believe that the for-hire 22 vehicle industry has contributed significantly. Uber, Lyft, Juno, all the 23 24 other services. If you look at the number of

1 new licenses that have been gained over the 2 last five years, it has been astronomical. 3 They're making a lot of money. I know that 4 they have said they're open to -- and I think 5 this might be actually the easier piece of congestion pricing, is putting an additional 6 7 surcharge on for-hire vehicles that would go into the congestion pricing dedicated revenue 8 stream. So I think that's one piece. 9

10 The second piece is tourism continues 11 to grow in New York City, which is a good 12 thing for our economy. With tourism comes more tour buses. So we have seen a huge 13 14 increase in double-decker buses and tourism 15 buses and interstate buses -- BoltBus, 16 Megabus, these other -- Greyhound Bus, the 17 Chinatown bus companies. We've seen more of 18 those come in.

19You have companies like Amazon, like20Fresh Direct, these other companies that have21been huge and successful as well. So on the22streets of New York City, every day, Fresh23Direct trucks, more UPS trucks, more FedEx24trucks, because of the online retail

1 environment that we're living in now. 2 And then generally, if you do not 3 disincentivize cars from driving into 4 Manhattan, they will drive into Manhattan. 5 So it's a combination of all of those 6 things, population growth. Right now the 7 Department of City Planning in New York City 8 has now said we have just surpassed 8.6 million New Yorkers; as of today, we're 9 10 up to 8.6 million. They're now projecting by 2030, in the next 12 years, we're going to 11 12 pass 9 million New Yorkers. So it's all of those factors that has 13 14 increased congestion and traffic in New York 15 City. And then there are other issues. 16 Dilapidated Port Authority Bus Terminal, so 17 you have buses on the streets who can't fit into the terminal. You have Madison Square 18 19 Garden, Penn Station, the PATH trains --20 everyone coming into the city and wanting to 21 use different ways to get around. And when 22 the subways are failing and not up to snuff, people then hop in a taxi or an Uber or some 23 24 type of ride-share vehicle.

1 So if we create a level of trust in 2 our mass transit system, we will get less 3 people to drive into New York City because 4 they will feel like, oh, we have reliable 5 mass transit. The public doesn't have that 6 right now. So on days when someone says, oh, 7 do I take the train or do I drive, many times people don't want to be in a bad subway 8 system so they'll drive instead. Or I'll hop 9 10 in an Uber instead.

11 All of this comes back to us fully 12 funding the MTA in the short term and having 13 a long-term plan. Which I think doesn't just 14 mean the subways, it means in your district 15 and in other districts in South Brooklyn, 16 more reliable, rapid bus transit service and 17 other express bus service, increasing those 18 to give riders more possibilities.

19 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: You know, just 20 listening to you describe some of the 21 increase in congestion, very little of it 22 seems to be from more of my constituents or 23 others in Southern Brooklyn and parts of 24 Queens coming in, but really the tremendous

increase in for-hire vehicles, the retail - the buses you talked about, the tour buses,
 and the increase of trucks.

4 So I would hope that we'd try and 5 concentrate on some of the causes of 6 congestion rather than something that's a 7 very wide approach that will be difficult for 8 people to agree upon.

9 And you know, one thing that I 10 noticed, my niece just started at Columbia 11 University, so she was able to take -- she 12 was trying to decide whether to take the 13 train or -- it was late at night -- take the 14 train and a bus to go to my mom on the -- who 15 lives in Liz Krueger's district, and the Uber 16 was only \$2, the Uber pool was \$2. So why would she -- you know, and not just her, but 17 18 why would people take the bus -- a subway and 19 a bus when they could pay less money to ride in a car for half the time? 20

21 So I think we really need to focus on 22 that, to make sure that we're not stealing 23 riders from the subway system and revenues 24 from the subway and bus system onto the ride

shares, and have a funding stream that can
 help bring some riders back into the subway
 and buses.

4 NYC COUNCIL SPEAKER JOHNSON: I hear 5 you. And I think that the for-hire vehicle 6 market we need to continue to look at in a 7 series of ways, because it has exploded and 8 been very, very successful in taking a big 9 share of folks that may use alternate means 10 of transportation.

11 But I would respectfully say, Madam 12 Chair -- and I have the deepest respect for 13 you, and I know you are a huge advocate for 14 your district, and I get it -- I would say 15 that we still have to disincentivize cars 16 from coming into Manhattan. And it's not just about delivery trucks and for-hire 17 18 vehicles, it's about cars generally coming into Manhattan. 19

And until you disincentivize in some way -- and again, I'm open. If people need to come in to get to the FDR, don't toll the FDR or the West Side Highway. Make those options on the outer ring free.

1 I'm flexible. I want to come up with something that works. But I don't want us to 2 3 rule out I think a very important part of 4 this in moving the plan forward. 5 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. And thank you for being here today. 6 7 NYC COUNCIL SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank 8 you very much, Madam Chair, Madam Chair. Thank you all very, very much for having me. 9 10 I look forward to working together in 11 partnership and cooperation, with a level of 12 pragmatism, to get things done for the people 13 of New York City. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 14 15 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: As do we. We 16 thank you. 17 NYC COUNCIL SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank 18 you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Next, Steve 19 20 Acquario, the New York State Association of 21 Counties. 22 MR. ACQUARIO: Good afternoon. 23 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Good afternoon. 24 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Good afternoon.

1 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: How are you? 2 MR. ACQUARIO: Thank you. Thank you. 3 Good afternoon, members of the 4 New York State Legislature. My name is 5 Stephen Acquario. I'm the executive director of the New York City Association of Counties. 6 7 I'm here on behalf of a unit of local government in New York State called local 8 9 government. 10 In New York we have towns, villages, 11 cities, and counties. There are 62 counties, 12 five of which are in the New York City area and 57 outside of New York City. Governing 13 14 in all levels of government these days is 15 very challenging, the recent changes in the 16 federal tax code being a prime example of 17 what's happening to states like New York --18 particularly cities like New York, but also to all areas of New York State and local 19 20 government.

21 It's a pleasure to be with you, and I 22 commend you for taking feedback from the 23 communities. I represent some views of our 24 communities. These are regional communities,

the counties -- a regional view, a regional government. You'll hear later this evening from other units of local government, towns and cities and villages. And so it's a pleasure to give the view of the regional governments.

So on page 2 -- I'll be brief, I will
not be reading my testimony, in the interests
of what you've been going through all day
long and in the interests of those that come
behind me. I will be brief and touch on a
few issues.

13 On page 2, on the revenue side of the 14 Executive Budget submitted by Governor Andrew 15 Cuomo, is a proposal to modernize the state's 16 sales tax collections. Counties support the 17 proposal in the Governor's budget, the second 18 time that he has submitted this proposal to 19 update the state's sales tax code in 20 recognition of the changes in the economy and 21 the retail marketplace. The Governor's 22 Internet Fairness Conformity tax proposal 23 appropriately modernizes the state's tax code 24 and will help level the playing field between

1 store owners in our communities and

2 internet-based retailers. 3 On page 3, e-commerce continues to 4 grow at about 15 percent per year, according 5 to the United States Census Bureau. In 2017 it's likely that e-commerce sales will exceed 6 7 \$450 billion nationally and account for nearly 10 percent of all retail sales. 8 According to the state's Division of Budget, 9 10 approximately \$140 million in local sales tax 11 owed under the state law for internet-based 12 purchases are presently not collected. 13 This update is long overdue. It 14 imposes no new taxes. It injects more 15 fairness into the retail marketplace. It 16 protects local jobs and eases the sales tax 17 collection process for vendors. The proposal 18 will help preserve a key pillar of a local 19 tax base, as sales tax is the number-one source of revenue for more than half of the 20 21 57 counties. Overall, counties pass through 22 25 percent of sales tax revenues to other local governments to help them reduce their 23

24 property taxes and pay for local services.

1 We must level the playing field 2 between e-commerce and Main Street and mall brick-and-mortar sales. It's about 3 4 marketplace fairness. 5 Additionally, a second revenue proposal advanced by the Governor, on 6 7 page 3 the Governor proposes to eliminate a 8 sales tax exemption that exists for energy services corporations that was implemented 9 10 nearly 20 years ago. The change is expected to increase county sales tax collections by 11 12 nearly \$80 million if it's enacted by the 13 Legislature. This will help, again, reduce 14 the pressure on local property taxes. We 15 appreciate your consideration of this 16 proposal. 17 On the expense side, counties and 18 New York City continue to spend nearly \$8 billion on Medicaid. The state's Medicaid 19 growth cap has successfully lowered county 20 21 property taxes, but more needs to be done. 22 The Medicaid growth caps have been a huge benefit for property taxpayers. Without the 23 24 3 percent annual growth cap implemented in

1 2005, and the zero percent growth cap implemented in 2015, county property taxes 2 3 would be much, much higher today. 4 Counties have been able to keep 5 property taxes low because of these mandate relief actions which have allowed counties to 6 7 keep the average annual growth rate in county 8 property taxes below the rate of inflation 9 since 2006. 10 We are pleased that members of the Legislature continue today in 2018 to 11 12 continue to show a strong interest in a continued takeover of local Medicaid costs. 13 14 We welcome these legislative proposals, and 15 we look forward to working with our state 16 partners in making this a reality. 17 On page 5, if the state's goal is to 18 keep property taxes low -- and it appears that this is the state's goal -- then it's 19 20 counterproductive to cost-shift to local 21 governments. And on pages 5 through 7 of the 22 testimony we are highlighting either block grants or cuts that are detailed in our 23

24 testimony.

1 On page 7 there is new Executive 2 authority of concern to county governments, 3 to local government. Counties oppose the 4 Governor's proposal to continue his authority 5 to rescind state appropriations if federal Medicaid funding falls by more than 6 7 \$850 million or if other federal funding is cut by a similar amount. 8 Counties believe a more open and 9 10 deliberative process should be utilized when 11 significant midyear funding cuts are enacted. 12 It should be a completely open legislative 13 process so that stakeholders and taxpayers 14 are made aware of the consequences of budget cuts in their communities. 15 16 The Governor is also proposing a 17 second automatic budget-cutting authorization in an instance where the state's revenue 18 projections fall \$500 million or more below 19 20 what was budgeted. In this case, there is no 21 provision at all for the State Legislature to 22 be involved in deciding how these funding cuts would be imposed. 23

24 Also, considering that the state has

1 lowered their own revenue projections six 2 times in the last two years by a cumulative \$4.2 billion dollars, the likelihood of this 3 4 unilateral Executive Budget authority being 5 triggered is more likely than people might think. 6 7 For your information, a 1 percent across-the-board cut to local governments is 8 the equivalent of hundreds of millions of 9 10 dollars. On page 8 and page 9, and also on 11 12 pages 15 to 19, there are certain criminal 13 justice initiatives and public safety 14 initiatives. I'll just highlight a few. 15 On the bottom of page 8 to the top of 16 page 9, for the third year in a row the 17 state's required increase to district attorneys' salaries -- that under state law 18 19 is tied to state judge salaries -- are not 20 included in the state budget. The state has 21 historically paid for this. This mandatory 22 salary level to a county employee will again increase this year. The state inaction costs 23 24 counties \$2 million per year.

1 We strongly encourage the Legislature 2 to fully fund the state-mandated salary 3 increase in the final budget. While this may 4 not seem to be a large amount of money when 5 in comparison to the state's \$160 billion budget, in some counties this increase alone 6 7 is equal to almost one-third of their entire annual allowable tax cap growth last year. 8 On page 16, the Governor proposes 9 10 language to amend the Correction Law that currently provides for reimbursement to 11 12 counties for both travel costs and a portion 13 of salary costs for the transportation of 14 what's called state-ready inmates. This 15 legislation eliminates the reimbursement 16 related to personnel service costs. 17 However, the Department of Corrections 18 and Community Supervision continues to 19 reimburse strict transportation costs, but 20 not personnel costs -- staff. We ask the 21 Legislature to reject this proposal and 22 recommend that the state transfer parole violators held in county jails within 10 days 23 24 to a state facility and pay reimbursement to

counties for any costs they incur for housing
 state parole violators after 10 days in a
 local jail.

4 On page 17, an important statute that 5 you passed last year on Raise the Age -- we have been working very closely with the 6 7 Governor's office and other agencies involved with raising the age. Since this historic 8 law was signed into law, we are supportive of 9 10 the Executive Budget proposal that includes 11 \$100 million to implement Raise the Age. 12 However, we need further clarification on how 13 this money will be appropriated.

14 In addition, the state should de-link 15 the adherence to the state's property tax cap 16 as an eligibility requirement for full state 17 reimbursement of costs incurred to implement this new state mandate. The state should 18 19 also consider, rather than reimbursing 20 counties for their future debt service for 21 newly constructed or renovated specialized 22 secure detention facilities, fully funding the capital cost of these facilities up-front 23 24 through the Dormitory Authority or other

state capital programs, including design and
 construction services.

3 While there has been consistent 4 outreach by the Executive branch, the 5 regulations to implement this statute are still not final, which has hampered the 6 7 construction or the renovation of new facilities. Counties remain highly concerned 8 9 about the ability to have the necessary 10 number of new beds available across the state 11 in the next eight months. The state must 12 seriously consider modifications to the state's procurement law, including the use of 13 14 design-build and other waivers to expedite 15 the construction of these facilities. Back on page 10 -- and I'll get 16 17 through this very quickly -- Election Law. 18 If the the state enacts voting reforms, any 19 resulting costs to counties for early voting, direct or indirect, should be paid for by the 20 21 state to help impact the local property tax 22 base.

23 On page 11, with respect to gaming,24 I'd like to just highlight the pending

1 decision by the United States Supreme Court 2 in Christie v. National Collegiate Athletic 3 Association and the impact should the federal 4 law be overturned. On page 13, with the 5 Supreme Court case pending and its related ramifications, the state should begin to 6 7 consider a framework -- and I know the New York State Senate recently had a hearing 8 on this -- that protects the residents and 9 10 governmental interests. 11 NYSAC would support a system that 12 allows gaming facilities such as OTBs to host sports betting and further distribute this 13 14 revenue to the local tax base.

15 On page 14, NYSAC fully supports the 16 Governor's proposal that links the state and 17 local government judgment interest rates to 18 the federal rates, which are currently below 19 2 percent. The current judgment interest 20 rate in New York State is set in law at 21 9 percent and was established in the 1970s 22 during a period of very high interest rates. On page 20, countywide shared 23 24 services. The state should be required to

1 participate on any service-sharing panels, 2 since they have resources and assets that 3 could be drawn upon to help reduce the costs 4 for the taxpayers and therefore the property tax burden in each of our counties. 5 Shared-services proposals could 6 7 involve the state sharing its prison space, office buildings, equipment, information 8 9 technology, records management systems, 10 publicly-generated electricity, and other 11 resources they may have available in our 12 communities. This would help to achieve more 13 significant property tax savings than local 14 partnerships alone can accomplish. 15 For example, Allegany County recently 16 entered into a multiyear shared services 17 agreement with the State Department of 18 Transportation that provides an avenue for 19 sharing or lending equipment between the 20 county and the state department. This 21 example demonstrates that it can be both 22 cost-effective and mutually beneficial for the state to share services with local 23 24 governments.

1 Finally, on the bottom of page 20, the 2 state should consider modifications to the 3 arcane requirements within the state's 4 property tax cap calculation that places 5 barriers to the most comprehensive shared services reforms when functions are fully 6 7 transferred from one level of government to another. Currently, the one-size-fits-all 8 9 approach of cutting the local property tax 10 base of the entity that loses a function does not consider a local budget circumstance and 11 12 the ability of a smaller jurisdiction to 13 absorb the loss of the tax cap growth. The 14 cap adjustment in these situations should 15 allow entities that have given up a function to retain at least half of the value of the 16 17 function transfer. 18 Finally and lastly, easing 19 restrictions for creating health insurance 20 consortiums. We are presently awaiting 21 guidelines from the Department of Financial 22 Services, new guidelines to foster and help 23 local governments who wish to put together 24 health insurance consortiums.

1 Thank you.

2 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. Senate? 3 4 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. We've 5 been joined by Senator Fred Akshar, who has a 6 question. Or two, maybe. 7 SENATOR AKSHAR: Right. Thank you, 8 Madam Chairwoman. I appreciate it very much. 9 Stephen, always good to be in your 10 company and your team. I want to publicly thank you for always providing me with help, 11 12 of course, on all things relating to county 13 government. 14 One concurring message that I hear often when I am travelling in my Senate 15 16 district in the Southern Tier -- twofold --17 from the everyday New Yorker is that they're 18 seeking property tax relief, and then from municipal leaders they're certainly seeking 19 20 mandate relief. 21 So just a few questions. What is your 22 personal feeling about the property tax cap? 23 Do you think that the cap has been

24 successful?

1 MR. ACQUARIO: I think the state's 2 focus on property taxes was the right one, 3 and the property tax cap has served a very 4 important and useful purpose for New Yorkers. SENATOR AKSHAR: So in 2012 when that 5 was implemented, long before I got here -- as 6 7 you well know, I'm only in my second year --8 during that conversation about the property tax cap, there was a pledge to provide 9 10 mandate relief. Has Albany provided that mandate relief as proposed? Or quite frankly 11 12 as promised, rather. 13 MR. ACQUARIO: No, and things have 14 gotten worse since the state imposed the 15 property tax cap. The pledge was to 16 implement mandate relief to help reduce the 17 cost of mandated programs in the communities. 18 What the state did -- and we appreciate it 19 sincerely, as do the local government 20 officials -- was they capped future costs. 21 So it was cost avoidance -- that the 22 state put in place a Medicaid cap, a new pension tier -- but there was never a law 23 24 that was passed to begin to provide true

1 unfunded mandate relief.

2	SENATOR AKSHAR: So from where you
3	sit, for the people that you the members
4	that you represent, is there any one single
5	issue that the people that you represent
6	would like to see the State Legislature do to
7	provide that true mandate relief?
8	MR. ACQUARIO: Well, Medicaid is the
9	largest mandate. It continues to consume
10	nearly \$8 billion \$2 billion upstate,
11	\$6 billion in New York City. But this is a
12	very diverse state. Wayne County,
13	Cattaraugus County very different than
14	Nassau, Suffolk, New York City.
15	We have very, very rural and aging
16	populations in the Southern Tier, Western
17	New York, all over the state. In the North
18	Country, there's very sparse populations up
19	there. For these communities to sustain the
20	level of Medicaid contribution that they make
21	and provide emergency public safety services,
22	recruit emergency medical personnel for
23	ambulance services, 911 services, is going to
24	prove to be very, very difficult.

1 The state should continue its focus to 2 help relieve the local share of Medicaid. 3 SENATOR AKSHAR: So if I suggested to 4 you that the state legislature, state 5 government as a whole took over the county's share of Medicaid expense, would that sit 6 7 well with the members that you represent? 8 MR. ACQUARIO: Absolutely. The proposal as it was enacted was the wrong one 9 10 in the 1960s. It should never have been the 11 way it was enacted in the 1960s. And in 12 2018, 2020, the fact that we're paying \$8 billion into a federal Medicaid program, 13 14 it's just simply unsustainable. 15 Local programs will continue to 16 suffer. Our senior service programs, our 17 ability to fix our roads and bridges, provide veterans' programs -- community-based 18 programs will all suffer unless we can 19 continue to focus on it. 20 21 It shouldn't be that we just want to 22 cap the growth. It should continue to be a focus on how can the state continue to 23 24 address this at the local level.

SENATOR AKSHAR: Well, I'm a firm
 believer, if we feel so strongly about
 something at the state level, if something is
 so important to us, that we should be paying
 for it.

Now, I would add just one small caveat 6 7 to my previous question. If the State Legislature acted on something like this but 8 then said to all of the county executives and 9 10 the respective chairs, legislators, so on and 11 so forth, that that savings had to be passed 12 on to the taxpayer dollar for dollar, do you think that that would also be received the 13 14 same way?

15 MR. ACQUARIO: It's complicated. The 16 relationship of taxation to service and the 17 mandated function between the two units of 18 governments is very intertwined.

19There are largely two forms of revenue20that we rely on, sales tax and property tax.21But I think if it's reasonable for the state22to assume that they are providing a benefit23to the taxpayer to reduce that local share of24Medicaid, then it is reasonable to expect a

1 return reduction in local taxation to help 2 the taxpayers of this state. I think it's a 3 reasonable thing. 4 SENATOR AKSHAR: So let me just --5 Madam Chairwoman, just one more question, if I may. With a savings like that or an 6 7 initiative like that, from your perspective, how would the SALT deduction, the 8 conversation that everybody's having about 9 10 SALT, how would that be affected, from your 11 point of view? 12 MR. ACQUARIO: Well, first of all, I 13 commend the Governor for protecting the interests of the state, and I commend the 14 15 State Senate in enacting legislation recently 16 in the past few weeks to implement state tax 17 code changes. 18 Whether it's -- we lost the ability to 19 deduct our property taxes. We lost the 20 ability to deduct our property taxes, our 21 income taxes up to 10,000. So the state has begun efforts, putting in place programs to 22 change the state's tax code, to create a 23 24 payroll tax so that employers could deduct it

and employees would not be hurt, on a
 voluntary basis.

3 But what's left out of this discussion 4 is this complex area of the property tax and 5 how can we help the homeowners on Long Island 6 who pay the highest property taxes in the 7 United States. And in Westchester.

8 And on a per capita basis -- Senator, 9 in your district in Broome County and in 10 Western New York, per capita -- and Wayne 11 County is the highest per capita perhaps in 12 the United States -- what's missing from this 13 discussion is the property tax.

14The easiest way to provide true,15straightforward, meaningful, structural16long-term property tax relief is to address17it through unfunded mandates and to pick up a18mandated function that the state is mandating19on the local government.

20 SENATOR AKSHAR: I thank you for your 21 input and your insight on this particular 22 issue. It is my hope that we can advance 23 this issue and provide two things -- provide 24 true mandate relief to the counties, and then

1 real true property tax relief to all 2 New Yorkers. Thank you for your testimony. 3 MR. ACQUARIO: Thank you, Senator. 4 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, Senator. 5 SENATOR KRUEGER: Hi. Listening to your back and forth -- so just to 6 7 double-check, yes, we would like the state to 8 pick up the cost of Medicaid so that the counties do not have to pay it. I've always 9 10 been a supporter of that. But you're not 11 proposing reducing services through Medicaid 12 for people, because I heard you say that 13 particularly in the upstate counties we have 14 a lot of seniors and low-income people who 15 are very dependent on these services. Would 16 that also be correct? 17 MR. ACQUARIO: That's correct. 18 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. 19 MR. ACQUARIO: I'm not proposing that. 20 Thank you. 21 SENATOR AKSHAR: Neither am I. 22 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for 23 24 being here. And we do have your full

1 testimony, and we've actually shared it, as 2 all testimony has been shared with all the members of the relevant committees as well as 3 4 the Ways and Means Committee. 5 MR. ACQUARIO: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you for all 6 7 of your input. 8 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. 9 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 10 Next we have the New York State Conference of Mayors, Barbara Van Epps, 11 12 deputy director. 13 (Discussion off the record.) 14 MS. VAN EPPS: Good afternoon, 15 Chairwoman Young, Chairwoman Weinstein, and 16 members of the committee who have managed to 17 stick it out for this long. I greatly appreciate you being here. I know it's been 18 19 a long day. 20 I too am going to try desperately to 21 summarize. You have copies of the testimony, 22 I won't go through the whole thing, but I'm just going to try to touch upon some of the 23 24 high points.

I do want to start by talking about the shared-services initiative, because it's gotten a lot of attention. Shared services in general has gotten a lot of attention over the last few years, and today there's been a fair amount of focus on it.

7 And we do spend the first couple of pages of our testimony talking about this 8 initiative, and I just want to be clear. 9 10 It's not that we don't think that getting together and talking as groups of local 11 12 government officials is not worthwhile, 13 because clearly it is. What we're trying to 14 say is we think local officials have been 15 doing that for years.

16 We have proof that they have been 17 doing that for years. There's numerous 18 shared-services arrangements that have been 19 in place for decades. So it's not that we 20 don't think the initiative is worthwhile, we 21 just don't think it has to be mandated at 22 this point, especially when the money is going to go away after the first two years. 23 24 That state match money is only for

1 those initiatives that you are able to

implement in the subsequent year, and I would argue that some of those proposals -- and that's all they are right now, are proposals -- you may not have the opportunity to implement in the next two years, and then that money goes away.

So it's not that we don't think it's 8 9 worthy of getting together and talking about 10 share services. But when you look at the 11 results of the 34 plans that were put into 12 place in 2017, and these -- I put the data in the testimony -- \$128 million, or 62 percent 13 14 of the \$208 million estimated savings, is 15 from one proposal. And that's a proposal 16 that has been a long time in the making. 17 And then 74 percent of the total

18 estimated statewide savings comes from just 19 five of the remaining 389 proposals. That 20 doesn't mean these proposals are not 21 worthwhile, because even the smaller 22 proposals are probably something we should be 23 thinking about.

24

All we are saying is that these

1 initiatives are tinkering around the edges of 2 property tax relief. And we don't think that 3 this initiative by itself is a game changer. 4 So it's not that we don't think it's worthy, 5 we just don't think it needs to be mandated at this time. 6 7 So I wanted to address that, and we can talk more about it if you'd like, 8 9 Assemblyman Magnarelli. 10 What we do think is a game changer, though -- well, some things you can be doing 11 12 prior to making this a permanent proposal in relation to shared services. Number one is 13 14 removing some of those barriers, and they are identified in that same Rockefeller Institute 15 16 report. 17 The Governor has already started to 18 talk about getting the Department of Financial Services to look at how to better 19 20 facilitate the sharing of health insurance 21 services, particularly through Article 47 of 22 the Health Insurance Law. We think that is worthy of looking at. It will help a lot of 23 24 our smaller communities.

1 Every community in the state is 2 struggling with health insurance costs, so we 3 do think that is something that is worth 4 looking at. We also strongly suggest that if 5 you're going to push this initiative, that school districts have to be involved. School 6 7 district property taxes are 70 percent, on average, of the tax bill, so why would we not 8 require school districts to take part in this 9 initiative? Whether it's the school 10 districts on their own working together --11 12 because sometimes it doesn't work when school 13 districts and local governments come 14 together -- but somehow the school districts 15 have to be required to participate. We think 16 that makes sense. 17 And then finally, an issue that was brought up earlier by Assemblyman McDonald is 18 19 this problem with the tax cap, that there's a 20 disincentive there when you transfer a

function from one community to another: Your
tax cap actually gets lowered. If you're the
local government that's giving up that
service and giving it to another community to

take that service on for you, you actually
 lose room underneath your tax cap. And we
 think that acts as an disincentive to shared
 services.

5 So I would say those things you can 6 focus on, and that will help make shared 7 services work even better than we think that 8 it already does. But in terms of what we do 9 think is a game changer and what we do think 10 makes a major difference in property taxes is 11 an increase in AIM funding.

As you know, we have not gotten an increase in AIM funding in 10 years. We have data that illustrate that when we do get increases in AIM funding, or when we did get increases in AIM funding, there were reductions in the property tax.

18So we think that cities, towns, and19villages -- and even the City of New York,20who got completely wiped out of the program21several years ago -- need to have a22significant increase in AIM funding. Just as23annual increases in school aid help school24districts comply with the tax cap, we need

annual increases in AIM funding to help us
 comply with the tax cap.

3 Since 2008-2009, since the time we got 4 an increase in AIM funding, school aid has 5 increased by more than \$5 billion, which has well exceeded the rate of inflation. And we 6 7 always like to point out that the amount of the school increase in any given year exceeds 8 9 the entire amount of the AIM program, and we 10 just think that local governments have to be 11 given the same consideration. And this newly 12 imposed cap on the federal deductibility of 13 state and local taxes makes AIM funding even 14 more imperative.

15 So once again, we are asking for your 16 support in this regard. You've heard it from 17 all the big cities today: AIM funding is an 18 essential part of helping us reduce our 19 property taxes.

20 We talk a little bit about the state 21 share of funding municipal infrastructure. 22 There has certainly been a greater emphasis 23 put on infrastructure, everything -- roads, 24 bridges, water, sewer. We absolutely think

1 that's warranted and reasonable. However, 2 you can see in our testimony that our 3 municipal needs far outweigh what has been 4 provided by the state. 5 The \$65 million that we got in addition to the -- for the pothole money, if 6 7 you will, that was added to the CHIPS last year was not put back in the budget this 8 year. We would like to see that \$65 million 9 10 come back, and then even additional CHIPS 11 money. 12 There's a huge amount of money, \$2.5 billion over the next five years, 13 14 dedicated to water and sewer infrastructure. 15 The problem is there are so many programs 16 that that money goes to, and we're not sure 17 if a lot of the local governments that need 18 it are even going to be able to access that 19 money. So we're actually suggesting that you 20 look for something similar to the CHIPS 21 program that you can run money through to 22 give to local governments for their water and sewer infrastructure. 23 24

I make a little plug for our arterial

1 maintenance in my testimony. I won't talk 2 about that now, but that's the program where 3 cities take care of state roads. And they 4 haven't gotten an increase in their 5 reimbursement rate in two decades, and we're looking for an increase for that. 6 7 In terms of non-property tax revenues, this is important to local governments, 8 particularly cities and villages who don't 9 10 have a lot of non-property-tax-revenue 11 options available to them. It's really just 12 the sales tax and the gross receipts tax. So 13 obviously we're very supportive of the 14 Governor's proposal to have the internet 15 sales tax, which would generate about 16 \$140 million in local sales tax revenue. 17 Obviously our cities and villages are 18 sometimes subject to the county sharing 19 agreements, but we think an increase like 20 that will certainly trickle down to our 21 communities. 22 The other initiative that we strongly support is the proposal to eliminate the 23 24 sales tax exemption on the transmission and

distribution of gas and electricity when
 purchased by an ESCO.

3 But I have to say that our bigger 4 issue with the ESCO is the one you heard 5 about today from the mayor of Rochester, which is that the ESCOs are not paying the 6 7 local gross receipts tax. Tax & Finance has issued an opinion saying they should be 8 paying the local gross receipts tax, but they 9 10 are not.

11 And in addition to the communities 12 that are in the NYSEG and RG&E territory, 13 NYSEG and RG&E have now taken the unique 14 position that they aren't going to pay the 15 local GRT if an ESCO is involved. So they're 16 not paying it on the distribution anymore 17 anytime an ESCO is involved.

18So we have seen very significant19declines in our gross receipts tax revenues20across the state, but particularly in those21NYSEG and RG&E areas. We went to the PSC, we22have asked them for help with this. We've23gone to Tax & Finance, they told us it was a24locally administered tax and they couldn't

1 help with this.

2	So now we're asking you. And
3	Assemblyman McDonald has put a bill in that
4	will hopefully address this issue. But this
5	is a real concern. And the same reasons why
6	the Governor rationalizes the proposal that
7	would have them eliminate or have them pay
8	the sales tax, those are the same reasons
9	they should be paying the local gross
10	receipts tax as well, same rationale.
11	I want to spend a little bit of time
12	talking about the small cells language,
13	because this is a huge issue for us. You
14	heard it from some of our city mayors today.
15	This would negatively impact all
16	municipalities by giving wireless providers
17	unfettered access to our municipal
18	right-of-way. It's going to limit our
19	application fees, it's going to limit our
20	rental fees they put a shot clock in the
21	language that we think is we are going to
22	be unable to comply with.
23	We have some real concerns about this
24	language. We think what municipalities are

1

charging is the market value or

2 reflects actual costs. We're not sure if 3 there's a one-size-fits-all solution that 4 will work to resolve this, but clearly the 5 language in this budget is not what we think 6 will help.

7 There's no state financial plan impact as a result of this proposal. So we would 8 strongly recommend, if this is something that 9 10 people are interested in trying to work out, 11 that we pull it out of the budget, maybe get 12 all the relevant parties around the table and 13 hopefully sit down and see what we can work 14 out. But the language that's in the budget 15 right now we think will have significant 16 negative impacts on the revenues for 17 communities across the state.

Just very quickly, the expansion of the state's MWBE requirement -- we are concerned about that. It's not that we are not supportive of our minority- and women-owned businesses, but we think there are certain areas of the state where this will be impossible to comply with. And we

1 also think that this will encourage the 2 awarding of contracts based on criteria other 3 than cost and the quality of the bid, which 4 obviously concerns us. There's a local code enforcement 5 initiative in the Executive Budget which 6 7 would require our local code enforcement officers to periodically inspect high-risk 8 lead paint areas in residential rental 9 10 properties at certain times, and these 11 certain times would include when you have to 12 issue a certificate of occupancy, or in 13 response to complaints. 14 This goes beyond what the local 15 requirements are in state statute right now. 16 We absolutely recognize the importance of 17 dealing with lead paint, but this new requirement, because it would be a 18 19 significant expansion, would also be what we 20 consider to be an unfunded mandate. 21 You talked ad nauseam this morning 22 about the value-capture intercept of local property taxes that is happening with the MTA 23 24 or was proposed to happen with the MTA board,

1 to intercept New York City property tax 2 revenue. I just want to say that we 3 absolutely believe this is a violation of 4 local home rule, and we think it's a very 5 slippery slope to go down with local governments. And so we join the City of 6 7 New York in strongly opposing such an 8 unprecedented granting of power to a state authority at the expense of a local 9 10 government.

One thing I don't think you heard 11 12 about today that we're very happy about is 13 there is a mandate relief proposal in the 14 budget on the interest rate on judgments that 15 would tie the interest rate on judgments to 16 the market rate, as opposed to the fixed rate 17 that it currently is at 9 percent. We think 18 that the requirement to pay interest on 19 judgments is certainly fair and equitable, 20 but having an unchanged fixed rate is not.

This will reduce delays, it will encourage appeals when you think you have a good case, and it also saves money for the state as well as local governments. So that

is one proposal we are very supportive of. 1 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Excuse me. Can 2 3 you summarize the rest of remarks? 4 MS. VAN EPPS: Yeah, yeah, my -- I'm 5 on my last issue. 6 I just want to -- we agree with the 7 mayor of Syracuse's proposal about the opioid surcharge. We would love to see -- I think 8 9 that a portion of that should come to local 10 governments. They're on the front lines 11 fighting this epidemic. If you have to raise 12 the surcharge to do that, we would certainly support that, because I know that's a 13 14 financial plan, in fact, to give a portion of 15 that to us. 16 And that's all I was going to touch 17 upon. 18 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Great. Thank 19 you. 20 Assemblyman Magnarelli, chair of our Local Governments Committee. 21 22 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Well, I just wanted to say thank you for being here. And 23 24 I think that your comments are, you know,

1	self-explanatory at this point in time.
2	You've heard what I've been asking
3	about, especially on shared services.
4	MS. VAN EPPS: Yeah.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: I think it's
6	kind of obvious that the Governor continues
7	to push in that direction. I understand your
8	comments, and we've talked about it before,
9	and I look forward to continue the
10	conversations over the next couple of months.
11	Okay?
12	MS. VAN EPPS: Yes, we do as well.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: All right,
13 14	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: All right, thank you.
14	thank you.
14 15	thank you. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I don't think we
14 15 16	thank you. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I don't think we have any questions, but we appreciate you you
14 15 16 17	thank you. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I don't think we have any questions, but we appreciate you you testifying today.
14 15 16 17 18	thank you. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I don't think we have any questions, but we appreciate you you testifying today. MS. VAN EPPS: Okay.
14 15 16 17 18 19	thank you. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I don't think we have any questions, but we appreciate you you testifying today. MS. VAN EPPS: Okay. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	thank you. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I don't think we have any questions, but we appreciate you you testifying today. MS. VAN EPPS: Okay. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for being here today.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	thank you. CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I don't think we have any questions, but we appreciate you you testifying today. MS. VAN EPPS: Okay. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for being here today. Next we have the Association of Towns

1 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yes, please do. 2 MR. GEIST: Good afternoon. Thank 3 you, Madam Chairwomen. My name is Gerry 4 Geist. I'm the executive director of the 5 Association of Towns. With me on my left is Supervisor Denny Powers, from the Town of 6 7 Elma, County of Erie, our fifth vice 8 president. And our chief counsel, on our 9 right, is Lori Mithen-Demasi. 10 We are not going to read our testimony. You all have it. And I first of 11 12 all want to thank all of you for continuing 13 to understand and respect the importance of 14 local government in New York State. And I 15 want to start off, speaking on behalf of our 16 9 million New Yorkers who live in towns, that 17 our voice is important. And I want to touch 18 on a couple of things. 19 With respect to the shared services, 20 Supervisor Powers will talk about the local 21 impacts, but I want to say two things about where we are. 22 I want to acknowledge that the 23 24 Legislature made some positive changes to the

Governor's proposal last year. We welcome
 that. And it gave the towns a stronger voice
 in the undoing process.

4 However, we don't believe the 5 Governor's new proposal should be accepted by you folks, because we don't believe it should 6 7 be made permanent, because the program isn't 8 finished. You've heard testimony that 23 counties have yet to submit plans, and we 9 10 don't even know the -- the valuations haven't 11 been conducted, the program hasn't been 12 completed. Before we make something 13 permanent, let's study how it works and what 14 we could do to make it better.

However, by saying that, I would say this. We could do a lot better spending this legislative year focusing on removing barriers to shared services instead of adding additional administrative layers -- unfunded mandates -- for this shared services program.

I did testify earlier this year about one example, school crossing guards. We can't do shared-services financial agreements with school districts because of impediments

1 to state laws. So rather than making this a 2 permanent program, we want to come up with 3 finding positive ways to save taxpayers 4 money, and we think removing barriers makes 5 the most sense. CHIPS and AIM. Every year we come 6 7 here and the press reports it as Tin Cup Day. We like to think today it's time for a 8 change. Let's call it Support Local 9 10 Government Day, because we do more for our 11 residents, we're the closest government to 12 the people, and we respond to their essential needs and services. 13 14 We need an increase in AIM funding so 15 we can reduce property taxes, and we need increases in CHIPS. You all drive on our 16 17 roads, you can see the potholes and 18 crumbling. We need real, permanent 19 additional funding. We haven't had it in 20 years. Please help us this year meet those 21 goals. 22 Now, you've heard some comments about 23 this right-of-way that the mini cell infrastructure -- you know, into the 24

1 right-of-ways. We have real concerns about 2 this because, first of all, it flies in the 3 face of home rule because it has limitations 4 with the permits and reasonable fees. Not 5 only that, it bypasses local decision-making. And because of these caps, it will also hurt 6 7 the property taxpayer because we won't get as much revenue as we normally do. 8

9 And I ask you folks to look at how 10 California treated this program last year 11 when the California governor, Jerry Brown, 12 opposed it and said this is not the right way 13 to handle this problem, we should work 14 collectively, not just outright eliminate the 15 ability of local governments to work in this 16 area.

Also something to be considered in this area is the Highway Department, that some of these proposed regulations, you know, do away with the importance of the highway superintendent in controlling what happens in the right-of-way.

23 So that's not -- this is not a good 24 proposal for towns. No pressing need for

1 this statewide policy yet.

2	We do want to thank the Governor for
3	his proposal on the justice court reforms.
4	It will make it easier for shared services
5	between justice and consolidating courts.
6	But there's a caution. In his message
7	he also talked about planning and zoning and
8	giving the counties additional authority in
9	these areas without a lot of detail. We
10	think that that goes too far, it imperils
11	home rule, and we ask you to be cautious when
12	you review those proposals.
13	We would like to be part of the
14	solution and help work out all these issues
15	dealing with shared services in the future.
16	Tax reform, property taxes. We are
17	very supportive and applaud the Governor for
18	taking stands to protect all New Yorkers.
19	But we want to make it a simple system so
20	that it doesn't ask each municipality around
21	the state to create its own charitable entity
22	and having all this. We think it should be a
23	statewide policy rather than having
24	individual towns try to do it alone. We

1 think it can work. We want to be a partner,

2 we want to be at the table.

One last thing and I'm going to turn
it over to Supervisor Powers, in the
interests of time.

6 On the lead paint issue, we just heard 7 from NYCOM about that. We are concerned 8 about imposing additional obligation on code 9 enforcement officers. They don't have the 10 training. To get the extra training, it 11 would cost money.

12 We're also concerned, honestly, about the liability of the town when we send out 13 14 officials when they're working for 15 essentially the State Health Department. We 16 are very concerned about the issue of lead 17 paint, but we think this proposal is flawed and needs a lot of work. We'd like to be 18 19 part of the solution.

20 And lastly, AOT, the Association of 21 Towns, is celebrating its 85th anniversary 22 this year. We continue to train and educate 23 our officials, and thank you for your past 24 support and look forward to working with you 1

in this legislative year.

2 And now, Supervisor Powers. 3 SUPERVISOR POWERS: Thank you. Thank 4 you, Gerry. And thank you for allowing me to 5 address the Legislature today. Briefly, just a couple of comments and 6 7 then I would certainly like to have some questions from the legislators as it pertains 8 to a local government, the Town of Elma in 9 10 Erie County, population of roughly 11,300. We've been sharing services for the 11 12 last 50, 60 years. We have an agreement with 13 neighboring towns, whether it is a written 14 agreement or just a handshake agreement, that 15 we do recreation, we do the roads in the 16 three municipalities adjacent to our town. 17 Sharing services we initiated about --18 I think it was 2009 we started sharing an 19 assessor with the neighboring town of 20 West Seneca. And since that point, several 21 other towns in Erie County have taken it upon 22 themselves to do just that, sharing assessing. And it's a savings for both towns 23 24 of West Seneca and Elma of about \$50,000 a

1 year.

2	The Legislature would like to see, I
3	understand, a collaborative and inclusive
4	process. And I think we do that at this
5	point. We have a monthly meeting of the
6	Association of Erie County Governments that
7	meets, and we nonpartisan. We discuss
8	shared services and have implemented several
9	of those.
10	We also have a supervisor summit
11	meeting held monthly in the Town of Elma with
12	15 to 18 supervisors that attend that
13	meeting. And we, you know, banter back and
14	forth about how we can help each other.
15	So we are doing everything we can to
16	share services. It is important. I don't
17	believe it should be made permanent, though,
18	until it's fully evaluated. As was
19	previously stated, I think that there are
20	initiatives, there's proposals out there that
21	towns and villages have said they're going to
22	do. We'd like to see that those come to
23	fruition before the Governor says making this
24	thing permanent.

1	So with that, I would entertain any
2	questions from the Legislature.
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
4	Assemblyman Magnarelli.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Thank you
6	both, or thank you all of you for being here.
7	I appreciate your testifying here today.
8	Mr. Geist, the one thing that I want
9	to reiterate and you have testified before
10	this year, so a lot of these things we've
11	talked about already.
12	MR. GEIST: Yes, sir.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: However,
14	you've mentioned it twice, and it's something
15	that I would like to hear from you on, and
16	that's what regulations are impediments to
17	shared services, whatever there is out there.
18	You know, a number of years ago I sat
19	on a mandate relief committee or council that
20	the Governor had put together, and I remember
21	businesses telling me that there were so many
22	regulations that were impeding their growth.
23	And if we could get rid of them, that would
24	be fine. And I remember going all across the

1 state sitting at meeting after meeting after 2 meeting, and what I heard from was the school 3 districts. And I got almost nothing in terms 4 of what are the regulations that are impeding 5 what we were trying to do or that were creating the mandates at that point in time. 6 7 So what I would like to hear from you is, show me what it is that you need relief 8 from and let's take a look at it. I'm not 9 10 going to promise you that we're going to do it, but on the other hand, I'd like to at 11 12 least be able to know what are the impediments to shared services that you see. 13 14 MR. GEIST: Assemblyman, thank you for 15 your question. I know for years you've been 16 talking about this, and we appreciate your 17 commitment to trying to understand the process and trying to ameliorate these 18 19 issues. I mentioned before about the school 20 21 crossing guards. 22 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Right. That's one. 23 24 MR. GEIST: And there's a great report

1 by Cornell University, "Barriers to 2 Intermunicipal Service." I can leave that 3 with you --4 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Okay. That 5 will be a start. 6 MR. GEIST: -- from Professor Warner. 7 The other thing I just want to share with you, just -- if I can, it'll be real 8 quick. In my town, we had a problem with the 9 10 school district. When I was a town board 11 member, we wanted to create a shared wash bay 12 facility that would take care of trucks for 13 the highway department and for the school 14 buses, to get the salt and other elements off of them. 15 16 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Right. 17 MR. GEIST: It required a majority vote of the town board, which we did 18 19 unanimously, but it required a separate 20 plebiscite vote of the school board, and it 21 didn't make it during -- on those budgetary 22 years. So we think that's an example where if 23 24 the school board could have just voted

1 without a plebiscite, then that might have 2 made it easier and we could have saved all 3 the taxpayers money. 4 So that's another example that I would 5 like to give to the Assemblyman and the 6 committee to, you know -- we'll be more than 7 happy to share these ideas with you. 8 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: I wish you would, because these are things I'd like to 9 10 really take a look at and see what we can do. 11 MR. GEIST: There are other ones, of 12 course. But we think that a lot of our folks would really -- this is what we hear from our 13 14 members, that they really want these barriers 15 removed, make it easy so we can do more. 16 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Okay. Thank 17 you. 18 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 19 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman 20 Buchwald. 21 ASSEMBLYMAN BUCHWALD: Thank you, 22 Madam Chairwoman, and my constituent Gerry Geist, who I --23 24 ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: The mic is not --

1 ASSEMBLYMAN BUCHWALD: It's still off? 2 All right, we'll give that one a try. Thank 3 you, everyone, for your patience. 4 I'd like to welcome everyone for your 5 testimony, but especially my constituent Gerry Geist, who I had to walk out on a 6 7 meeting of the Local Governments Committee earlier this year just before he was going to 8 testify. So I'm glad I'm not making the same 9 10 mistake twice. 11 My questions first relate to shared 12 services. First, the written testimony 13 refers to the legislative proposals to allow 14 counties to open up their self-insured 15 insurance plans to local towns and 16 municipalities to participate. I seem to 17 recall that perhaps the Governor's included 18 some version of this sort of proposal in his -- what he's put out there so far this 19 20 year. 21 To what extent does the Governor's 22 proposal match, in your understanding, the

23 needs of towns?

24 MR. GEIST: Thank you, Assemblyman.

It's a pleasure to appear with my Assemblyman
 today as well. And thank you for your great
 service to our district and for the state.

4 To answer your question, yes, we are 5 very supportive of the Governor's initiative in the healthcare -- anything we can do to 6 7 save money in a reasonable, pragmatic, functional way, this is what our member towns 8 want to do. And we're very supportive of 9 10 those efforts. So we would like to have that 11 ability to do that.

12 ASSEMBLYMAN BUCHWALD: If I could also 13 ask a big-picture generic question. One of 14 the challenges that occasionally I've met, 15 and I'm sure a number of my colleagues have 16 who are -- either because they have 17 backgrounds in local government or just a 18 passion for empowering local governments --19 when it comes to unfunded mandates, obviously 20 there are things that organizations like 21 yours and the Conference of Mayors and others 22 request, but we {inaudible} at individual towns. And sometimes the feedback that we'll 23 24 get from folks in individual towns focused on

1 reducing mandates is that, well, it's not 2 enough to just focus on the things that the 3 associations are pushing for, because what 4 matters to them on some level is what saves 5 money in their own town.

What work does your association do to 6 7 try to convey to your constituent member towns that this is a collective endeavor, at 8 9 least on your part, let alone those of us who 10 try to work on your behalf, to meet the needs 11 of towns around the state so that -- you 12 know, to provide legislators a feedback of 13 "what have you done for me lately" isn't necessarily -- let's put it this way. If 14 15 each town is in it for its own self, you're 16 not as likely to accomplish things as you would collectively, which of course is the 17 18 purpose of your own organization.

19MR. GEIST: That's a great question.20One of the great things about my job as21executive director, I can travel around the22state. And we do many, many training and23education conferences a year. Our largest24one is coming up in two weeks. And what we

do is we use that as an opportunity to hear
 from our members about how we can work
 together to present to you as a legislator
 our platform and ideas to help.

5 You've been quite helpful in the area of election reform, I just want to mention 6 7 this. The Governor has talked about the early voting process. The only thing that I 8 want to -- it's in our testimony -- we're 9 10 very supportive of your efforts. We would 11 like the state to start considering lowering 12 the cost to localities for early voting by 13 considering the adoption of things like the 14 Oregon absentee ballot. They don't have 15 polls, and they just get a 92 percent voter 16 turnout. I think it's something that we have 17 to be really -- to think outside the box. 18 And I know you always have been looking for ways to save costs in special elections. 19 We 20 thank you for that.

21 The other thing we've been hearing 22 about from our members collectively is the 23 justice court fees for processing tickets. 24 That fee hasn't been raised in years. We

really do the state's work. We're not asking for the state to give us money back from their surcharge, but we're asking for the right to impose a small fee because we're -the cost of running those courts, the extra requirements, the workload. That's something that we hear.

8 But to answer your question, we 9 carefully craft our message to the State 10 Legislature based upon input from a very 11 diverse membership. You heard from 12 Supervisor Powers; he's one of our team. But 13 we hear from all our constituents, and that's 14 what we strive to do every day.

15 ASSEMBLYMAN BUCHWALD: If I could, for 16 my last question, just with regards to the 17 impact of federal tax reform and the loss or 18 capping of state and local tax deductions. 19 To what extent are your member towns open to 20 being authorized to, in some form or another, 21 collect property taxes through a new 22 mechanism, say a charitable contribution or otherwise, in a way that would save your 23 24 residents significant federal income taxes?

1 MR. GEIST: I thank you for that 2 question too.

3 As a resident of Westchester County, 4 according to the comptroller's report, the 5 average SALT deduction for a Westchester resident is \$51,000. So this is a 6 7 significant issue not only for downstaters 8 but all people around the state. And we're very supportive of any effort to help people 9 10 preserve their deductions. Because honestly, if we don't do something, we're going to lose 11 12 people out of the state, and property values 13 are going to decrease, which would negatively 14 impact our town budgets and schools.

15 So in specific answer to your 16 question, we would be very supportive of 17 creating an entity to -- whether it's a charitable fund -- to help people with their 18 19 property taxes. The only thing we ask for 20 with that is it should be a statewide system 21 so we don't have to create this on 932 towns 22 and 500 villages. We want it to be statewide and have the state be, as part of that 23 24 legislation, be required within, say, 30 days to remit the money to the appropriate school
 district or municipal function.

3 I think it can be done. If you 4 already look at the New York State income tax 5 form, you have to put your school district on 6 that form. You know, there's a code. Farm 7 Hills is 023 or whatever the number is. So it's a system in place, it's workable. We 8 9 want to be a partner. We want to work, we 10 want to help. And we really need to protect 11 New Yorkers. This -- changes in the tax law 12 negatively impacts the entire State of New York, and we want to work to help 13 14 ameliorate those risks. 15 ASSEMBLYMAN BUCHWALD: Thank you all. 16 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: I think that's 17 it. Thank you so much for your testimony 18 here today. 19 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you for 20 coming. 21 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you very much. 22 MR. GEIST: Thank you. SUPERVISOR POWERS: Thank you very 23 24 much for your time and consideration.

1 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So the next --2 for the next witnesses, we begin our policy 3 of asking people to summarize, in five 4 minutes, their testimony. We have it. We've 5 had it emailed to all of the members of the Ways and Means Committee and the relevant 6 7 committees. And that would also leave us time to be able to ask questions. 8 County Exec George Latimer, from 9 10 Westchester County. Welcome. SENATOR KRUEGER: Welcome back. 11 12 COUNTY EXECUTIVE LATIMER: Good 13 evening. It's a pleasure to be back, at 14 least for one session. And as both 15 Assemblymember Weinstein and Senator Young 16 are conducting a very long and drawn-out 17 process, I will bullet the report that you have in front of you, answer any questions if 18 19 you have them, and then certainly am 20 available at any future point in time to 21 discuss any of these things. 22 I do appreciate you taking the time. And again, I'm very happy to be back with 23 24 friends and colleagues.

1 Westchester County is representative 2 of many of the 57 counties outside of 3 New York City. I have a number of issues 4 that are addressed in this budget, to touch 5 on them very quickly. I think the one that's been -- and all of these have been discussed 6 7 already in the testimony of Steve Acquario, and in many ways comparable to the 8 testimonies of the NYCOM and Association of 9 10 Towns testimony. 11 We're very interested, on the bottom 12 of page 2, in whatever strategies are 13 developed at the state to deal with the SALT 14 deduction exemption. Obviously, our county 15 is going to be hit very hard, residents of 16 our county are going to be hit very hard by 17 this. We understand that the state is looking at a variety of different creative 18 19 ways to deal with this. I do think it makes 20 sense to do it on an as-broad-as-possible 21 basis so that those of us in the county and 22 any other government can plug into whatever that strategy is. We look forward to what 23 24 the Legislature might settle on in that area.

1 We wanted to highlight that in 2 Westchester County, our mass transit system, 3 our bus system, is the largest in New York 4 State outside of the MTA-New York City 5 transit system. We have almost 30 million riders each year, and 103,000 people every 6 7 weekday, and it does interface with the New York City subway system and it does connect 8 into neighboring counties of Rockland and 9 10 Putnam County.

We have an annual STOA aid allocation 11 12 of \$56 million. When we compare it to Nassau 13 County, theirs is \$67 million with a smaller 14 system. It puts us in the position of asking 15 to try to make that allocation equal over the 16 course of the next few years. And our ask 17 this year is an increase in STOA aid to Westchester of \$5 million. That does not 18 19 make it directly equal, but we think it's 20 justified by the ridership and the fact that our riders feed into the New York subway 21 22 system and the Metro-North train system, both 23 of which are important revenue streams in 24 order to fund the greater MTA system.

1 On Raise the Age, obviously we're 2 working to implement the program that I had 3 the pleasure of voting with you for last 4 year. We think it's very important. If 5 DASNY has the ability to bond money and lay money out up front, that would be very 6 7 helpful, because cash flow is an issue and it's a little more difficult for us to be 8 able to do some of the things we need to do. 9 10 We have initiated conversations with Rockland County. We intend to do the same 11 12 with Putnam County, in this concept of shared services, to see if whatever we do on a 13 14 capital basis would allow for equivalent facilities at other counties around us to 15 also benefit from. So it would be a 16 17 shared-services approach. We've yet to do 18 that.

We agree with NYSAC, and I understand there's some other alternative advice you've been given from other associations. But we do think that the shared services panel program should be made permanent.

24 Westchester did not aggressively go

1 into this program a year ago. We intend to do so this year. And we think it's a matter 2 3 of necessity. We really don't have the 4 ability to continue to fund things the way we 5 do. And when we look with our towns and our cities and our villages to try to find those 6 7 areas of shared services, we will try to be as thorough and as aggressive as we can. 8

9 But we want to be able to operate on a 10 multiple-year projection. So that if we 11 think it's going to take a few years to find 12 these savings, that we know that we have the 13 reliability of the program being in place.

14 The next bullet we highlight is the 15 Joseph P. Dwyer Peer-to-Peer Mentoring 16 Program for former servicemen and -women who 17 suffer from posttraumatic stress disorder. 18 The budget does not include funding for this 19 critical initiative, and we ask that you 20 restore the 2018 funding level for that 21 program.

There's much discussion about voting.
Obviously early voting is a position that I
have supported as an individual legislator

1 previously. I support it in our current 2 capacity. And our ask here is that if this 3 does in fact move forward, that there be 4 resources to fund it because it will make the 5 process of funding elections more expensive, 6 and that will not be able to be borne by the 7 county government on our own.

8 We support the closing of the internet 9 sales tax loophole, for reasons that were 10 outlined already by prior speakers. And it's 11 not merely because we need the revenue. We 12 also think it creates a level playing field with the bricks-and-mortar businesses that 13 14 are important contributors already to the 15 sales tax, which is our number-two revenue 16 behind property taxes. And it also defines 17 our downtowns and the viability of our communities to have bricks-and-mortar 18 19 businesses operate. And obviously on the 20 internet you have a tremendous advantage of 21 convenience that's built into it, but then 22 the lack of taxation on that gives it a second advantage, so that we're seeing a 23 24 significant shift.

1 Not in my report, but just to bullet, 2 we would support some of the testimony that 3 you heard from NYSAC. We oppose the 4 redefinition of the "dark store" theory of 5 big box stores. We would like to see you 6 restore the funding cuts to the community 7 college system, both the state and in our 8 case, our county are cofunders along with 9 tuition revenues for our community college. 10 The public health grant funding we 11 hope will be restored as part of this 12 process. Restoration of funds for the 13 transfer of state-ready inmates. And also we 14 support the Governor's reforms to Early 15 Intervention programs. 16 That is as fast a summary as I can 17 give you, given the fact that you've been 18 here all day and I have been through this 19 with you before. So if there are any 20 questions, I'm happy to address them. 21 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 22 Assemblyman Buchwald. 23 ASSEMBLYMAN BUCHWALD: Thank you. 24 And thank you, Mr. County Executive.

1 It's a pleasure to have you here on the other 2 side of the dais.

You referenced in your comments the
shared-services initiative. Can you go into
a little bit more what would give our fellow
residents of Westchester County confidence
that more savings will be found this year
than last year?

COUNTY EXECUTIVE LATIMER: Well, I 9 10 think the first thing is attitudinal. We think it's essential that we have those 11 12 shared services. And I've met with Suffolk County Executive Steve Bellone, who put out 13 14 perhaps the most robust program for any of 15 the large counties. So we're trying to see 16 exactly how they structured theirs and 17 pattern on it.

18 What I would hope, at 100 miles an 19 hour, is that we can create, to use a very 20 bad analogy, a menu which would allow our 21 local governments to look at the ability to 22 connect with the county or with each other on 23 a particular service, be it police services 24 or back of the house accounting assessment services, and have a price tag attached to
it. And that price tag in theory would be
less than what they're paying now to do the
service on their own. So in theory, it would
be a financial incentive to do this if we can
create that, analyze that and create that in
an intelligent fashion.

I'm not particularly worried if at the 8 9 end of the day the county tax levy increases, 10 if the aggregate between the town, village or 11 city tax levy decreases because of this and 12 the net result is less paid for by the 13 taxpayer. Then I'm not going to hold out 14 just one level of government's tax levy as 15 being the important one.

16 But to be honest with you, 17 Assemblyman, we have a long way to go. This is our 36th day in office. And we know what 18 19 our philosophy is, and now we have to try to 20 make it real enough so that it has substance 21 for the local governments to look at. And 22 then as we prepare, in essence, an amendment to the report that was done last year by 23 24 Westchester County, then the state executive

1 branch will look at that and hopefully 2 they'll find it to be a more realistic 3 attempt, and hopefully that will allow us to 4 have the ability to tap into some of the 5 money that's been set aside in this budget to support these initiatives. 6 7 ASSEMBLYMAN BUCHWALD: I certainly think -- I probably speak for my Westchester 8 state legislative colleagues saying that if 9 10 there are things that the county and its constituent municipalities would like to do 11 12 that current law doesn't allow, we're more 13 than willing to have that dialogue with other 14 constituent groups to make sure that our 15 government is run as efficiently and 16 productively as possible for the assistance 17 of our county. 18 With that, thank you again for your time. And Chairwoman, I yield back my extra 19 20 time. 21 COUNTY EXECUTIVE LATIMER: Thank you. 22 I appreciate that. And I just wanted to say that I think 23 24 what Assemblyman Magnarelli asked previously

1 is really the task of all of us who are in 2 local government now, to be extremely 3 specific when we come before the state 4 government about what we're asking for, that 5 that specificity be something that makes logical sense and actually has dollars and 6 7 cents benefit to it. I think that's a realistic ask, and that's what our task is, 8 to try to do that for you and for my other 9 10 colleagues who represent Westchester here in 11 the State Legislature. 12 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. Senator John Brooks. 13 14 SENATOR BROOKS: Thank you, Madam 15 Chairman. 16 First, congratulations and welcome 17 back. 18 COUNTY EXECUTIVE LATIMER: Thank you. 19 SENATOR BROOKS: Throughout the 20 presentations, there's a great deal of 21 concerns that have been expressed about the 22 new tax laws and the limitations on the SALT deductions. You, Nassau and Suffolk in 23 24 particular are in the unique position that

you're in the pot. You're in a situation
 where your taxpayers will be taking taxes on
 their taxes.

As you're looking at what you're doing right now, recognizing where you are and looking at changes you may feel are necessary or services that must be improved in the county, how is your situation now, knowing you're already over the tax limit, impacting what you're doing?

COUNTY EXECUTIVE LATIMER: We think 11 12 it's going to be devastating on us if nothing happens between here and when the full 13 14 implementation of it is felt by individuals. 15 I assume that will happen in April of next 16 year when people file. By then, their 2018 17 tax situation is already locked in. If 18 nothing were to happen between now and then, I've heard estimates that it means a 25 19 20 percent increase.

21 Now, it's hard to say. Every
22 individual is in a different tax set of
23 circumstances. And, you know, we do look at
24 the very high level and there are plenty of

1 high-level earners in Westchester County that 2 may find that this plan helps them. 3 But Westchester, despite the general 4 thinking of it being as wealthy as it is, we 5 have many, many middle class property taxpayers who will be hurt by this. 6 7 The ability to change tax policy resides here in Albany. And I don't 8 underestimate how complicated a process it 9 10 is. And I don't think it -- I mean, it's going to have the natural debate between 11 12 houses and political parties and 13 philosophies. So what I have to project, 14 Senator, is a willingness to plug into a 15 system that you all think is best that you 16 would create, and to try to be effective on 17 that. 18 I took office on January 1st, which 19 was the day of the new year, so we were not 20 in a position to do anything about trying to 21 structure ourselves to have early tax 22 payments made by residents and so forth. And the number of residents in 23 24 Westchester County that turned out to pay

town taxes -- and different places allowed for different other things to be paid; in some cases, a school or a village partial payment. The amount of people that came out to do that was a sign that a significant number of our people understand how devastating this is going to be.

8 I have spoken with the county executives Steve Bellone and Laura Curran, 9 10 and I think, you know, given the size of our respective counties, everyone's going to be 11 12 affected. But it's going to hit us very 13 hard. As I think you can appreciate because, 14 you know, you, like I, are out there talking 15 to people every day, property taxes is 16 overwhelmingly the number-one issue. They 17 don't complain as much about any other tax as 18 they do their property taxes.

SENATOR BROOKS: I think, you know -I agree with you that we're absolutely going
to have to make some fundamental changes in
the way we're funding things.

One of the proposals that's afoot now,as a kind of example, we are pushing for the

ability of fire departments and ambulance
 companies to bill back for their services on
 a direct response basis, with the objective
 of then reducing the tax obligation to the
 district to fund that. And it will be a
 pay-as-you-use-type situation.

7 Do you agree that that kind of 8 concept, is that what we would be looking at 9 with some of these programs, to find ways to 10 change the way we fund them based on who's 11 using them?

12 COUNTY EXECUTIVE LATIMER: I mean, I 13 think it would be very helpful. The problem 14 that we have is that we have, from community 15 to community, a very different structure of 16 how fire services are provided. We have some 17 all-volunteer fire departments which have 18 very low tax impact. We have some fire 19 districts. We have some professional fire departments that are agencies of municipal 20 21 government, primarily in our larger cities.

And, you know, even though Suffolk
County and Nassau are both larger counties in
population than Westchester is, Westchester

has six incorporated cities, four of which
 are fairly sizable in population, with
 professional fire departments.

4 So I think what you suggest is helpful 5 and can be used to help, you know, where it is helpful. But what we need is going to be 6 7 more across the board. And whatever can be done on the education side -- you know, 8 obviously we're not involved, as a county 9 10 government, in K-12 education -- which I must 11 say I miss because I enjoyed that policy area 12 when I was here. But the more broad-based 13 areas of education, taxation and perhaps some 14 of the services that we know we all have to 15 provide equally well -- sewer treatment and 16 things of that nature, you know,

17 And we're willing to work with you. I 18 have indicated locally that our county 19 attorney and any of our individuals are more 20 than happy to meet in Albany with the people 21 that you have tasked with trying to determine 22 this, and they will be in a position to help interpret how it would affect our county as 23 24 an individual county.

1 So we're willing to work with you on 2 it. It's an interesting initiative. 3 Ultimately, between now and when your 4 Legislature goes out of session, you know, we 5 look forward to trying to find that right answer to the Rubik's Cube. 6 7 SENATOR BROOKS: I share your concern. Certainly on Long Island they're estimating 8 there's already 300,000 people that are over 9 10 the limit on the \$10,000 deductible for the 11 property taxes. I think for the fire service 12 we've got to make changes, and billing is one 13 of the ways. 14 And I think as we look at school 15 funding and the percentage of funding that 16 you get in Westchester, what we see in Nassau 17 and Suffolk, we're really going to have to 18 reexamine what we're doing. 19 So I certainly, again, George, 20 congratulate you and wish you well with this, 21 but it's a challenge. 22 COUNTY EXECUTIVE LATIMER: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 23 24 Assemblyman Magnarelli.

1 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: This has got 2 to be quick, George. 3 I just want to say thank you very much 4 for coming back. And congratulations. I 5 wish you the very best and appreciate the 6 work that you do. And get me that 7 information and we'll work on it together, as 8 we always have. Thank you. 9 COUNTY EXECUTIVE LATIMER: Thank you, 10 Bill. 11 I can't tell you how happy I am to see 12 you all here. I have great respect for the work that you've done. I've worked with each 13 14 of you in some way, shape or form, with Bob 15 across the aisle, and others. And I have to 16 go home and do other things for the next few 17 months, but in many ways my heart's still here with all of you. 18 19 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. 20 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. 21 COUNTY EXECUTIVE LATIMER: Thank you 22 very much. 23 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Congratulations 24 again.

1 Next we have New York Public Interest 2 Research Group, Blair Horner, executive director. 3 4 MR. HORNER: I quess it's good 5 evening, I'm sorry to say. 6 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yes. 7 MR. HORNER: Good evening. My name is Blair Horner. I'm the executive director 8 9 with NYPIRG. With me is Brittanie Johnson, a 10 policy associate and a senior at Brooklyn 11 College. 12 What you have in front of you is a long testimony, detailed. Given the length 13 of the testimony and the lateness of the day, 14 15 we will briefly summarize some of the key 16 points and gladly answer any questions. 17 The way we've divvied it up is that I'll talk about some of -- we're reacting to 18 the Governor's good government proposals in 19 20 his budget. I'll be talking about some of 21 the ethics-related issues. Brittanie will 22 touch on some of the voting issues. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Blair, just --23 24 it's five minutes for both of you, so --

1 MR. HORNER: Yes. Yes. I'm cranking 2 along. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Okay. 3 4 MR. HORNER: For the past two weeks, a 5 former top aide to the Governor has been on trial for corruption. According to federal 6 7 prosecutors, he was a key figure in a bribery 8 scheme that included shaking down those 9 seeking government contracts for special 10 treatment in exchange for campaign contributions of money for him and his 11 12 associates. The trial continues and the 13 individual, of course, is presumed innocent. 14 But his trial, combined with others in 15 recent years, offers unique insights into 16 what ails Albany. And when we look at it, we 17 see four overarching problems that emerge 18 when you review the totality of the 19 corruption cases brought in New York. So 20 I'll go through each of the four and then 21 turn it over to Brittanie. Problem one. New York's limited 22 liability company campaign finance loophole 23

raises the risk of corruption. The LLC

24

loophole, which treats each LLC as an
 individual person for the purposes of how
 much can be donated, has allowed some donors
 to give well over a million dollars. And
 those donors often have business before
 government.

7 For example, in a trial against the former Senate majority leader, one real 8 estate developer spent more than \$10 million 9 10 in campaign donations from 2005 to 2015, funneled through 26 different LLCs, LLCs that 11 12 he controlled. In return, the developer received tens of millions of dollars in tax 13 14 benefits from the state.

What should be done? LLCs should be 15 16 treated like any other business entity and be 17 subject to the \$5,000 corporate campaign contribution limit. All business entities 18 19 should be required to disclose their 20 controlling interests, and all subsidiaries' 21 contributions should be aggregated into one 22 overall limit. That's in the budget.

23 Problem two, allowing outside income24 for elected officials raises the risk of

1 corruption. In many of the cases, the 2 opportunity to use one's public office for private gain -- cashing in -- emerges as a 3 4 serious problem. In the case of the former 5 Assembly speaker, it was well documented that he was able to use his power to amass 6 7 millions of dollars in outside fees for little work other than applying his power as 8 9 speaker.

10 Unfortunately, the speaker's case is not unique. What should be done? After the 11 12 Watergate scandal, Congress reformed its system and placed limits on outside income 13 14 for lawmakers. In its report it concluded substantial outside income creates at least 15 16 the appearance of impropriety and undermines 17 public confidence.

18 That's sort of in the budget, but it19 only applies to the legislative branch.

20 Problem three. There's too great a 21 risk of corruption in how New York awards 22 government contracts. That's part of the 23 current investigation that's on trial now, 24 where a Buffalo construction company was

1 alleged to have simultaneously paid for a 2 lobbyist \$100,000 and then kicked in \$250,000 3 in campaign contributions. As a result, according to the U.S. attorney, a huge 4 5 Buffalo Billion contract was steered to him. What should be done? The first step 6 7 would be to eliminate campaign contributions from those receiving government contracts. 8 9 New Jersey has a law to do that, we think it 10 would be a good model, we think the 11 comptroller's powers should be expanded, as 12 found in the DeFrancisco-Peoples legislation. 13 Lastly, the lack of independent 14 oversight. We think the current ethics 15 watchdog should be removed and a new one 16 created. New York was recently given an F in 17 its ethics enforcement by a national think 18 tank. 19 Now I'll turn it over to Brittanie, 20 who will offer some comments on voting. 21 Sorry, Brittanie. 22 MS. JOHNSON: As you know, New York 23 State has consistently ranked near the bottom 24 of the barrel in voter participation. Of

course there are many reasons for that. But
 one that is correctly raised by the Governor
 is the voting process.

4 One, we urge you to support a 5 constitutional amendment to allow New Yorkers to register and vote on Election Day. As the 6 7 National Conference of State Legislators describes it, there is strong evidence that 8 same-day and Election Day registration 9 10 increases voter turnout. Same-day 11 registration states also tend to outperform 12 other states in terms of turnout percentages. 13 Many states that have implemented 14 Election Day registrations have historically 15 produced higher voter numbers, making changes

hard to gauge. Multiple studies place the
effect between an increase of 3 to 7 percent,
with an average of a 5 percent increase.

19Two, make it easier for New Yorkers to20obtain absentee ballots. Increased access to21absentee ballots would likely mean increased22voter participation from voters with work,23school or childcare commitments who wouldn't24currently qualify under the current law.

1 Three, adopt early voting for New York 2 State. We are hopeful about the potential to 3 increase the opportunities for voters to 4 participate in elections and the possibility 5 of reducing lines and congestion on Election Day through early voting. 6 7 And four, we support imposing automatic voter registration upon receiving a 8 New York State driver's license, but it must 9 10 be expanded to all other agencies. 11 MR. HORNER: Thank you for the 12 opportunity to testify. 13 Any questions? 14 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senator Savino. 15 SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you, Blair, for hanging in there all day. I was teasing you 16 17 this morning and said, "Who are you here to frown upon today?" Apparently all of us, but 18 that's besides the point. 19 20 I have a question about the voting 21 reform stuff, because there's been some 22 debate about if we adopt early voting, that there's a cost associated with it. And no 23 24 one can tell me what that cost would be,

1 because quite frankly the Boards of Elections 2 are open anyway, aren't they? 3 So what could we anticipate would be 4 in those increased costs? 5 MR. HORNER: Well, the Governor's budget I think estimates that it would be a 6 7 cost to localities of I think about \$6.5 million. And it's primarily just the 8 mechanics of having the sites open so that 9 10 people would go to the places and register to 11 vote. 12 SENATOR SAVINO: Is he suggesting that the various polling sites be open? I voted 13 at P.S. 36 --14 MR. HORNER: No, it's more limited 15 16 than that. But there would be places that 17 you could go, and I don't remember off the 18 top of my head how he structures it. But 19 there would be a limited number, I believe by 20 population size -- it could be by county --21 in terms of a place that you could go to 22 early vote. SENATOR SAVINO: And if we were to 23 24 reform the absentee balloting process --

1 MR. HORNER: That would help too. 2 SENATOR SAVINO: I absolutely think 3 it's absurd that we actually press people 4 almost to become felons by using absentee 5 balloting, because -- and I'll just briefly -- a few years ago we had a special 6 7 election in Staten Island for a congressional race. So it was a session day, myself and 8 9 all the other members, we voted by absentee. 10 We just happened to get done early that 11 night, and we were prepared to go down and be 12 there for the results when we realized that 13 if we did so, we might actually get ourselves 14 in a lot of trouble because we signed an 15 affidavit saying that we could not be present 16 in the county on that day. 17 So certainly we need to reform this 18 absentee balloting thing if we want to 19 increase it. But is there a way to actually 20 accomplish early voting with just duty-free 21 absentee balloting? MR. HORNER: Well, I mean that was 22 the -- I believe the change for absentee 23 24 ballots requires a constitutional change, so

1	that takes a little bit longer time. You
2	have an election coming up pretty soon. You
3	also have potential special elections.
4	So yeah, I mean, you know, if you ask
5	me to rank priorities, I would say making it
6	easier for people to get absentee ballots
7	would be the best way to go of the two. But
8	I don't think necessarily one precludes the
9	other.
10	SENATOR SAVINO: Thanks.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
12	Thank you both for being here.
13	MS. JOHNSON: Thank you.
14	MR. HORNER: Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Next we have,
16	from AARP, Beth Finkel, state director, and
17	Bill Ferris, state legislative rep.
18	MS. FINKEL: We have a substitution
19	for Bill Ferris. David McNally is our
20	director of government affairs. So we're
21	still well-outfitted, I think.
22	Anyway, thank you so much. Good
23	evening. I appreciate your patience. So
24	we're going to do ours real quick for you, I

1 promise.

2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
3	MS. FINKEL: So first of all, thank
4	you, Senator Young I know who was here for
5	quite a while and Assemblymember Weinstein
6	and members of the committee. So obviously
7	I'm Beth Finkel, state director for AARP. We
8	are a social mission organization, and here
9	in New York State we have 2.6 million
10	members. Nationally, we have 38 million.
11	And a sampling of our volunteers are
12	sitting behind me, and they've been pretty
13	patient all day too, so I salute them.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Hi. Thank you
15	for being here all day, watching.
16	MS. FINKEL: Yeah. Yeah. I'm here
17	today for one main reason, and that's to urge
18	your support for the Governor's Executive
19	Budget proposal to create the New York State
20	Secure Choice Savings program yay which
21	would help working New Yorkers save for their
22	financial futures.
23	Secure Choice is a voluntary
24	enrollment payroll deduction Roth IRA. It

would be available to private businesses that don't currently offer a retirement savings option to their workers. But if they would like to do so, they could voluntarily join this program. It would be administered by the Deferred Compensation Board.

7 The Governor's proposal is similar to
8 legislation of the same name sponsored by
9 Senator Savino and Assemblymember Rodriguez,
10 which has received very broad bipartisan
11 support in both houses.

12 This is about helping millions of 13 working New Yorkers save their own money so 14 that they can take control of their own 15 financial futures and have a choice as they 16 live and as they age. It's also about 17 offering small businesses a valuable benefit 18 to attract and retain employees. I'm going 19 to say this later, but I'm going to say it 20 again right now, it is voluntary for 21 companies. That's really important. And 22 there's negligible cost to companies, and I'll get to that in a second. 23

24 In the surveys, we found that over

1 three-quarters of New Yorkers 50 and over 2 support this idea, and that nearly 3 three-quarters of small businesses in 4 New York State support this idea. 5 So we would really like to see New York State take advantage of this 6 7 program. Employer-provided pensions and 8 401(k)s are becoming a thing of the past. I think we can all acknowledge that. Many 9 10 small businesses in particular simply just 11 can't afford to provide them anymore. And 12 they also were concerned about the fiduciary responsibility of creating their own plans 13 14 and also the financial side of it. This bill 15 would do away with both of those pieces for 16 them. 17 Now, currently, over half of 18 New York's private-sector workforce lacks 19 access to retirement savings accounts through 20 their employer. That's over 3.5 million 21 New Yorkers. I think that's staggering. So 22 there's 3.5 million New Yorkers who go to work and they aren't able to save 23 24 automatically in the workplace.

1 And this is especially a big problem 2 for New Yorkers from communities of color. 3 More than two-thirds of Hispanic workers, 4 over half of African-Americans, and 5 60 percent of Asian-Americans in New York 6 lack access to an employer-provided 7 retirement plan.

Retirement incomes of these 8 9 communities are particularly lagging, with 10 most retiring with incomes near the poverty threshold. Lack of access to workplace 11 12 retirement savings options is a prime example 13 of disparities that not only AARP but the 14 Hispanic Federation, the Asian-American 15 Federation, the NAACP of New York, and the 16 Urban League of New York have recently all 17 joined together with us to try to address. 18 And we've actually started a new initiative 19 that we have called Disrupt Disparities. And 20 at the top of our list of recommendations is 21 this workplace savings account.

22 So I want to emphasize that Secure 23 Choice, again, would be voluntary for the 24 employer and the employee, requires no

employee match -- actually, they're not 1 2 allowed to match, according to the way the 3 bill is written -- and minimal employer cost. 4 It's just adding another line to the pay 5 stub. And that would be -- we've done some research on it, and the average would be, no 6 7 matter how many employees you have, around 8 \$500 a year. So we're really talking about negligible costs here. 9 10 It would not rely on any ongoing state costs and would be portable for employees who 11 12 would like to take it with them to other 13 jobs. 14 And one more point here. People who 15 go to work every day and have it 16 automatically deducted are 15 times more 17 likely to save for their retirement. So it's 18 kind of like mom and apple pie. So 19 addressing this issue is especially 20 important, especially as our population is 21 aging. 22 Two more very quick things. We are also asking for increased funding for 23 24 Medicaid home and community-based services,

1	because these help the middle class stay in
2	their own homes and communities, and we need
3	to do that.
4	And lastly, the Governor's Executive
5	Budget provides expanding the use of
6	telehealth. We really applaud that, and we
7	hope that you could also add to that.
8	Thank you so much.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
10	Assemblyman Magnarelli.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Only because
12	this I really haven't looked into this
13	that much, this secure savings. And I don't
14	know exactly how it works. But the monies
15	end up coming to the state?
16	MS. FINKEL: No. The state
17	facilitates this retirement fund, then they
18	choose a financial institution, much like
19	529s work. It kind of works the same way.
20	You know, so people
21	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Where does
22	the money go? The employer pays the money
23	MS. FINKEL: No, the employer pays
24	nothing. I'm sorry. Sorry.

1 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: No, listen, 2 out of the paycheck you're taking somebody's 3 money. Where does that money go? 4 MS. FINKEL: It goes into the state 5 retirement savings program. But that --6 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Okay. So the 7 state is administering the funds. 8 MS. FINKEL: Through the --9 MR. McNALLY: They're facilitating the 10 setup of the program. It's going to act just like the deferred compensation plan. Money 11 12 goes to whoever is managing. The money does 13 not go to the state. They are just setting 14 up -- we're using the Deferred Compensation 15 Board as the mechanism so we don't have to 16 recreate it all. But just like deferred 17 compensation goes to -- T. Rowe Price, I 18 think, manages their money, they'll be 19 managing. The state will not be managing the 20 money.

ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Okay. So I guess the only question I have is, then whose responsibility is it to make sure that that money is managed properly?

1	MS. FINKEL: I guess through the
2	Deferred Compensation Board and the normal
3	responsibilities that they have held.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: All right.
5	So that would fall on the state.
6	MR. McNALLY: No. It's the
7	individual's responsibility to work with
8	it's just like the 529. It's an IRA. Right?
9	I mean, it's your responsibility to work with
10	the money manager that's been selected to
11	pick what you want to do. There's no
12	fiduciary responsibility by the state or the
13	employer.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Okay. It
15	just got a little
16	MR. McNALLY: Yeah. No, it's a good
17	bill. It's exactly
18	MS. FINKEL: Thank you for letting us
19	clarify.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: It sounds
21	like a great idea, though. I love to hear
22	that
23	MR. McNALLY: We really appreciate
	MR. MERALLI, we rearry appreciate

1 Assemblyman. We appreciate it very much. 2 MS. FINKEL: Very much so. ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: We're still 3 4 trying to stay, you know -- look sharp, 5 anyway. 6 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senator Savino. 7 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: Thank you 8 very much for waiting all this time. 9 SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you. And thank 10 you, Beth and Bill, for all your work on 11 this. I know everyone's been here all day. 12 You guys have been pushing this. 13 I've been carrying this bill for a 14 couple of years now, along with Assemblyman 15 Rodriguez. We're happy to see the Governor 16 adopt it. And I think the question that the 17 Assemblyman was trying to make clear was whether or not the state would be on the hook 18 19 if the plans went under. Just as we're not 20 on the hook for the deferred compensation 21 plan. And that's an important point, unlike 22 the pension system. 23 But Assemblyman Magnarelli, if you'd 24 like, I will be more than happy to come and

1 talk to you until -- about this issue, 2 anytime. 3 Thank you again. 4 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman 5 Oaks. 6 ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Yeah, just a couple 7 of questions. 8 Are there, though, administrative costs? Would they come out at the deferred 9 10 comp? And again, maybe -- I see Senator 11 Savino -- I don't know if you know the answer 12 or she does. 13 In other words, there's got to be some 14 administrative cost to doing this somewhere. 15 MR. McNALLY: Well, that's why we set 16 it up with the Deferred Compensation Board. 17 So all the administrative cost involved with setting of the program up -- it's already set 18 19 up. Just like deferred compensation, the 20 fees and the -- from managing will come from 21 the employee's contributions. ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Okay. All right. 22 23 Thank you. 24 The sense -- you raised some of the

questions of why -- or the number of people 1 2 not covered or the number of people that have 3 difficulty putting aside resources, people 4 living paycheck to paycheck, et cetera. 5 This in essence -- it may provide something more accessible. It doesn't 6 7 necessarily solve the problem of people not having those dollars. 8 MS. FINKEL: True. But I think the 9 10 important point here is that if it's 11 automatically taken out of your paycheck, 12 you're 15 times more likely to save for your retirement. 13 14 So yes, we know it's very difficult 15 for people of lower income to be able to 16 save. But even in those cases, they can save 17 as little as 1 percent, there's no -- you 18 know, there's no minimum in there that they 19 can take out, so --ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: And is there a 20 21 maximum, or no? 22 MS. FINKEL: It goes according to the Roth IRA rules. It's the same thing. So I 23 24 think it's \$5500 a year is what the Roth IRA

1 states right now as the max.

2	MR. McNALLY: But all the income
3	limits and the amounts are basically it's
4	setting up a Roth IRA for an individual. Or
5	an individual setting it up through the
6	mechanism of the Deferred Compensation Board.
7	Much like employees of any taxing authority
8	in the state could do when that taxing
9	authority agrees to enter into a contract
10	with the Deferred Compensation Board.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: So many of these
12	things are available today through different
13	options, but not in this manner. One sense
14	would be making it more one way to solve
15	this would be doing what you're talking
16	about. And another way to do it would be
17	perhaps to make existing efforts and IRAs,
18	et cetera, in different plans that are
19	available currently in the market more
20	accessible to people as well.
21	But I appreciate your testimony.
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
23	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you very much.
24	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you very

1 much for being here.

2	Next, New York Land Bank Association,
3	Katelyn Wright, president.
4	MS. WRIGHT: Thank you for your time
5	and for having us here this evening. We're
6	really honored to be here, and we'll try to
7	keep it as brief as possible.
8	I'm Katelyn Wright. I'm the president
9	of the New York Land Bank Association and
10	also the executive director of the Greater
11	Syracuse Land Bank. And I'm joined by
12	Madeline Fletcher, who is the secretary of
13	the New York Land Bank Association and the
14	executive director of the Newburgh Community
15	Land Bank.
16	And we represent the association, and
17	there are 23 land banks throughout New York
18	State now at this point.
19	So I'm going to we'll keep our
20	remarks to five minutes. Madeline is going
21	to make her remarks, and then I'm just going
22	to tie it into some of the testimony we heard
23	earlier today.
24	MS. FLETCHER: So I'm going to just

1 sort of give you a little background of where 2 we are and how we got here, and then talk a 3 little bit about the requests that we're 4 making to you. 5 Knowing that the vacant property problem far exceeds the capacity of any local 6 7 government or local real estate market 8 absorption, in 2011 the New York State Legislature passed the Land Bank Act. This 9 10 bipartisan supported legislation enabled communities across the state to make 11 12 strategic decisions about abandoned 13 properties and to ameliorate the 14 well-documented harms to public health, 15 property values, economic development, and public safety created by this epidemic. 16 17 Since that time, 23 land banks from 18 every corner of the state have made progress that exceeds even the most ambitious 19 20 expectations in the areas of affordable 21 housing, homeownership, open space 22 preservation, and blight remediation. То date, this work includes the acquisition of 23 24 over 2,000 properties, the attraction of over

1 \$75 million in private investment, and more than \$30 million in assessed value returned 2 3 to local property tax rolls. 4 While some of the economic development 5 and housing dollars available through this state initiative, like the consolidated 6 7 funding application, overlap with the mission of land banks, often they are not directly 8 useful to land banks because of the type and 9 10 scope of work that land banks undertake. 11 For example, our land bank in Newburgh 12 does not typically act as a developer. 13 Instead, we acquire title to vacant 14 properties, hold that property while we raise 15 capital to make targeted investments that

16 ultimately yield developable, marketable17 property.

18We invest heavily in things like19environmental abatement, which are key20deterrents for potential investors and21homeowners alike.22This has yielded a waterfall of

23 improvements in our community. Record24 building permits are being filed. There have

been multiple local jobs created, and high-quality housing opportunities have resulted for residents that have been economically and socially marginalized for decades. And for the \$4.5 million we have invested to date, we have a return of about five-to-one for each dollar.

8 Land banking allows municipalities to 9 make systematic improvements to the way that 10 they approach and purchase vacancies, including and especially zombie properties 11 12 that linger in the wake of the foreclosure crisis. As a result, land banks have been 13 14 the beneficiaries of funds allocated by the 15 New York State Office of the Attorney General 16 from penalty dollars collected from the worst 17 offending banks. This early infusion of capital has allowed us to show results from 18 19 our early start-up days. 20 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Are you both 21 going to be speaking? 22 MS. FLETCHER: Just a quick -- yeah. MS. WRIGHT: And we're both trying to 23

keep it to five minutes combined.

24

1	MS. FLETCHER: Yeah, we're trying to
2	keep it, together, to five minutes. We're
3	watching the clock.
4	This early infusion of capital has led
5	us to show results from our early start-up
6	days, but these funds are dwindling and
7	there's no indication that additional funds
8	will be available.
9	The New York State Land Bank
10	association requests that the fiscal year
11	2019 budget include \$60 million for land
12	banks, \$50 million for capital funds and
13	\$10 million for predevelopment costs, to be
14	apportioned by capacity and need.
15	This will yield a large return for
16	each dollar and will enhance ongoing
17	transformative state work, like downtown and
18	upstate revitalization initiatives. And this
19	will also ensure that New York's vision of
20	residents with opportunities in vibrant
21	communities.
22	I'll turn it to Katelyn.
23	MS. WRIGHT: So just to reiterate
24	what's in our written testimony and what

1 Madeline just said, over the past five years 2 23 land banks across the state have been 3 deployed to address vacant and abandoned 4 properties and have really proven to be 5 powerful tools to deal with these eyesores in our communities. Every public dollar that's 6 7 gone into land banks has generated a return. Generally, the model is working. But we've 8 relied on funding from the Attorney General 9 10 for the past couple of years, and there is no additional money committed to land banks 11 12 beyond the end of 2018. 13 We are asking that the Assembly and 14 Senate add funding into the next state budget 15 to support land banks so that this tool can continue to work for our communities across 16 17 the state, because there is no other 18 long-term source of public funding to 19 continue to support this. 20 You heard mayors talk earlier today 21 about how cash-strapped our local 22 governments are. Blighted properties like this, they are an expenditure for local 23 24 governments. You'll see cities, counties and

1 towns spending money mowing lawns, picking up 2 trash, boarding them up. So it's hurting 3 them on the expense side, and it's hurting 4 them on the revenue side as well, because the 5 presence of these blighted properties drags down surrounding property values in 6 7 communities where, as Assemblyman Magnarelli 8 pointed out earlier, they already have very few properties that are taxable in our 9 10 cities. And then those that are taxable, 11 many of them are just not performing. 12 So we think this is an intervention 13 that pays dividends for local and state 14 government, and we want to see it keep going. 15 MS. FLETCHER: Thank you. 16 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So Assemblyman 17 Magnarelli. ASSEMBLYMAN MAGNARELLI: First of all, 18 19 I just want to say to both of you, thank you 20 very much. I know that you've been here the 21 whole day. So, you know, I really commend you for doing that. 22 Katelyn, you and I have talked many 23 24 times. I'm very much aware of what the land

1 banks do. The only thing -- and we went 2 through this in Syracuse last year. And, you 3 know, you're come to the state asking for 4 funds to be there, and yet the localities 5 need to step up as well. Okay? Because they are benefiting from these. And in the short 6 7 run and definitely in the long run, they will make more money as these properties go back 8 on the tax rolls. And that's what the whole 9 10 land bank was supposed to be, eventually becoming self-sufficient, if I remember 11 12 correctly -- not initially, and nobody ever 13 said that. But as you go down the line, that 14 would be what the goal is.

15 So first of all, I want to commend you 16 and the association and what you're doing in 17 all of these communities. I think it's vital 18 for our cities. And so, you know, we will do 19 the best we can for you going forward. And 20 thank you again for being here.

21I don't have a question, okay. So22thank you.

MS. WRIGHT: Thank you. And I thinkyou're absolutely correct that land banks are

1 most successful when there's local buy-in. 2 So if this is something that's being debated 3 during the budget process, maybe some sort of 4 local match would be a logical thing to do. 5 But you know how to find me, and I'm available for questions after this. I won't 6 7 keep you any longer. 8 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Mr. Oaks. ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Through the 2018 9 10 calendar year, you are -- there is some money 11 funded. 12 MS. FLETCHER: Yes. ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: And you have some 13 14 new land banks coming on, correct? 15 MS. FLETCHER: Correct. 16 ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: You listed 17. 17 There's more that were created, right, in last year's --18 MS. FLETCHER: Right. We're up to 23, 19 20 at this point, of the 25 that we're allowed 21 by the legislation. 22 And a lot of those are just coming online, so all of those don't even have the 23 24 benefit of the funding that luckily Katelyn

1 and I in our organizations have benefited 2 from. 3 So we're also looking at not just the 4 continuation of our work, but also sort of 5 funding for some of the newer ones that 6 aren't benefiting from the Attorney General's 7 community revitalization initiative dollars. 8 ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you. 9 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 10 MS. FLETCHER: Thanks. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Final witness, 11 12 Elena Sassower. MS. SASSOWER: Before I begin, I would 13 14 like to hand up relevant portions of the 15 New York State Constitution and the 16 Senate-Assembly Legislative/Judiciary Budget 17 Bill. May I? There's plenty. 18 Did you wish to set the clock? I have 10 minutes; correct? 19 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Five minutes. 20 21 SENATOR SAVINO: Everyone has been 22 getting five. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: After the 23 24 Public Protection hearing went over 13 hours,

1	there was a decision made by the joint
2	committees that the
3	MS. SASSOWER: I was notified that
4	there would be 10 minutes. I've prepared
5	10 minutes. I would appreciate
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: No.
7	MS. SASSOWER: Since I'm the last
8	witness, I would appreciate
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: No. I assume
10	you heard the announcement that all of the
11	MS. SASSOWER: It's an arbitrary
12	announcement.
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: all of the
14	people who preceded you had
15	MS. SASSOWER: My name is Elena
16	Sassower, and I'm director and cofounder of
17	the nonpartisan, nonprofit citizens'
18	organization Center for Judicial
19	Accountability that documents the corruption
20	in the judiciary, facilitated and enabled by
21	the legislative and judicial branches, which,
22	when they are sued for their corruption, the
23	judiciary then protects by throwing the
24	lawsuits, by fraudulent judicial decisions.

1 This is the sound-bite synopsis of 2 what the record shows in the Center for 3 Judicial Accountability citizen-taxpayer 4 actions suing you for your grand larceny of 5 the public fisc and other corruption with 6 respect to the State Budget.

7 We purport to be a government of laws, and our foremost law in New York State is the 8 New York State Constitution, which in its 9 10 Article VII lays out the fashion in which the 11 budget is to be fashioned and enacted. 12 Because the Legislature and the Judiciary are 13 separate government branches, they are given 14 the independence to propose their own 15 budgets, with the simple condition to that 16 independence that their itemized estimates of 17 their financial needs be certified. Here are the Judiciary's 18 certifications of its itemized estimates in 19 20 two parts, one for its operating expenses, 21 the other for its general state charges. 22 Not included in the budgets, the operating funds budget and the general state 23 24 funds budget, were reappropriations. Those

1 reappropriations appeared only in their single budget bill, which became Sections II 2 and III of the Governor's 3 4 Legislative/Judiciary Budget Bill. 5 The pages of appropriations that amount to \$57 million -- \$57,300,000 -- do 6 7 not appear to be certified and must be stricken by you pursuant to the 8 constitutional directive. You have just 9 found \$57,300,000. But that's not all, and I 10 11 will help you recover more monies. 12 The big money embedded in the 13 Judiciary Budget, and concealed, are the 14 judicial pay raises, for which there is no 15 line item nor identification that you have 16 the prerogative to abrogate and modify those increases. But the evidence that you have 17 had since 2011, and successively, is that the 18 19 two state commission reports on which those 20 judicial salary increases rest are 21 statutorily violative, fraudulent, 22 unconstitutional, and you must override them 23 now. 24 This year, in this Legislative/

1 Judiciary Budget Bill, the salary increases 2 embedded are on the order of about \$60 million. That includes the \$14 million 3 4 or so for the current increase, which will --5 unless you override, will jack up the judicial salaries for Supreme Court justices 6 7 to \$207,000 a year. That's not counting nonsalary benefits -- pensions, health 8 insurance, the whole package that brings it 9 10 up another \$40,000. 11 So I have now saved you a very 12 substantial amount of money, but there's even 13 more. 14 Once you override those judicial pay 15 raises, you also can secure a clawback of 16 \$300 million that were expended by those pay 17 raises. This is a huge amount of money. Now let's flip to the legislative 18 19 budget. The legislative budget is not 20 publicly accessible; I had to FOIL for it. 21 And I requested specifically the 22 certifications. I have the FOIL inquiry and what came out. There is no certification. 23 24 The entire legislative budget is not

1 certified.

2	Now, the reason it is not certified is
3	because it obviously is not an accurate
4	itemization of the financial needs of the
5	Legislature.
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
7	MS. SASSOWER: It contains no general
8	state charges
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
10	Thank thank
11	MS. SASSOWER: it contains no
12	appropriations. However
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. We
14	have your written we have your
15	MS. SASSOWER: One final statement,
16	please.
17	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Okay.
18	MS. SASSOWER: One final statement,
19	please.
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Sure.
21	MS. SASSOWER: In the Legislative/
22	Judiciary Budget Bill, there has been
23	inserted in some mysterious way, in an
24	out-of-sequence section in the back not

1

properly titled "Legislative

2 Reappropriations," 28 pages of legislative 3 reappropriations. They appear to be many 4 tens and tens of millions of dollars. Your 5 duty -- again, you have a legislative budget that is not certified. You have no general 6 7 state charges. Where are they? Have they 8 been certified? You have reappropriations here that have popped in without any 9 10 certification. Where do they come from? 11 They must be stricken. 12 What you have is a budget bill that is 13 replete with fraud, larceny of taxpayer 14 monies. Your duty is to take steps to 15 investigate, to --16 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank --17 thank --MS. SASSOWER: Okay. These are penal 18 law violations. 19 20 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We do have your 21 -- the written submissions that you both mailed us and now have distributed. Thank 22 you for being here. 23 24 This concludes our hearing.

1	MS. SASSOWER: Thank you. And
2	needless needless to say
3	(Inaudible; microphone off.)
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: You're welcome
5	to submit some additional material.
6	Thank you. This concludes the Local
7	Government Officials and General Government
8	Hearing. Thank you.
9	(Whereupon, the budget hearing
10	concluded at 6:53 p.m.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	