1	BEFORE THE NEW YORK STATE SENATE FINANCE AND ASSEMBLY WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEE
2	
3	JOINT LEGISLATIVE HEARING
4	In the Matter of the 2018-2019 EXECUTIVE BUDGET ON
5	HUMAN SERVICES
6	
7	Hearing Room B Legislative Office Building Albany, New York
9	February 6, 2018 10:09 a.m.
LO	
11	PRESIDING:
12	Senator Catharine M. Young Chair, Senate Finance Committee
L3 L4	Assemblywoman Helene E. Weinstein Chair, Assembly Ways & Means Committee
15	PRESENT:
16	Senator Liz Krueger Senate Finance Committee (RM)
L7	Assemblyman Robert Oaks
18	Assembly Ways & Means Committee (RM)
19	Senator Diane Savino Vice Chair, Senate Finance Committee
20	
21	Assemblywoman Ellen Jaffee Chair, Assembly Children and Families Committee
22	Senator James Tedisco
23	Chair, Senate Committee on Social Services
24	Senator Velmanette Montgomery

1	2018-2019 Human Se	9 Executive Budget rvices
2	2-6-18	
3	PRESENT:	(Continued)
4		Assemblyman Andrew Hevesi Chair, Assembly Committee on Social Services
		Services
6		Assemblywoman Donna A. Lupardo Chair, Assembly Committee on Aging
7		Senator Susan Serino
8		Chair, Senate Committee on Aging
9		Assemblyman Michael G. DenDekker Chair, Assembly Committee on Veterans' Affairs
10		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
11		Assemblywoman Michele R. Titus Chair, Assembly Labor Committee
12		Senator Marisol Alcantara Chair, Senate Committee on Labor
13		
14		Assemblywoman Patricia Fahy
15		Senator Timothy Kennedy
16		Assemblyman Harry B. Bronson
17		Senator Roxanne J. Persaud
1 /		Assemblyman David I. Weprin
18		Assemblyman William Colton
19		Senator Simcha Felder
20		
21		Assemblyman Andy Goodell
22		Assemblywoman Earlene Hooper
		Senator James Sanders
23		Assemblywoman Tremaine Wright
24		-

1	2018-2019 Executive Budget Human Services		
2	2-6-18		
3			
4	LIST OF SPEAKERS		
5		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
6	Sheila J. Poole		
7	Acting Commissioner NYS Office of Children		
8	and Family Services	8	3
9	Barbara Guinn Executive Deputy Commissioner		
10	NYS Office of Temporary	127	133
10	and Disability Assistance	127	133
11	Roberta Reardon Commissioner		
12	NYS Department of Labor	188	196
13	Greg Olsen		
14	Acting Director NYS Office for the Aging	270	277
15	Kirby Hannon		
16	Legislative Coordinator Michael Burke		
17	NYS Commander Veterans of Foreign Wars		
1.0	-and-		
18	Linda McKinnis Legislative Coordinator		
19	Disabled American Veterans -and-		
20	Bob Becker		
21	Legislative Coordinator NYS Council of Veterans		
22	Organizations	343	356
22	Captain Art C. Cody		
23	Deputy Director		
24	Veterans Defense Program New York State Defenders		
	Association, Inc.	362	368

1	2018-2019 Executive Budget Human Services		
2	2-6-18		
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS,	Continued	
4		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
5	Susan Antos Senior Attorney		
6	Empire Justice Center	379	385
7	Christine Sadowski Policy Chair		
8	YWCAs of New York State	386	389
9	Shelly Nortz Deputy Executive Director		
10	of Policy Coalition for the Homeless	391	398
11		391	390
12	Kevin Douglas Co-Director of Policy and Advocacy		
13	United Neighborhood Houses	399	407
14	Kari Siddiqui Senior Policy Analyst		
15	Schuyler Center for Analysis & Advocacy	407	414
16	Page Pierce	10 /	111
17	CEO	417	410
18	Families Together in NYS	417	419
19	Ann Marie Maglione Legislative Chair		
20	Association on Aging in NY	420	428
21	Sheila Harrigan Executive Director	401	420
22	NY Public Welfare Association	431	432
23	James F. Purcell CEO		

Council of Family and Child Caring Agencies

1	2018-2019 Executive Budget Human Services		
2	2-6-18		
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS,	Continued	
4		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
5	Mallory Nugent Senior Policy Analyst		
6	Federation of Protestant Welfare Agencies (FPWA)	440	445
7	-	440	443
8	Stephanie Gendell Associate Executive Director, Policy and Advocacy		
9	Citizens' Committee for Children of New York, Inc.	449	
10	Meredith Chimento		
11	Executive Director Early Care & Learning Council	454	
12		10 1	
13	Elizabeth Powers Director of Youth Justice Children's Defense Fund - NY	459	
14	Melanie Blow		
15	C00	4.60	4.0.0
16	Stop Abuse Campaign	463	466
17	Chris Nietzey Policy Director NYS Network for Youth Success	472	476
18		1 / 2	170
19	Reed Vreeland Policy Director Housing Works	479	485
20	-	4/9	403
21	Gerard Wallace Director		
22	NYS Kinship Navigator NYS KinCare Coalition	487	494
23	Anthony Wells President		
24	Social Service Employees Union Local 371	497	504

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Good morning.
2	I'm Helene Weinstein, chair of the
3	New York State Assembly's Ways and Means
4	Committee, and cochair of today's hearing.
5	Today we begin the ninth in a series
6	of hearings conducted by the joint fiscal
7	committees of the Legislature regarding the
8	Governor's proposed budget for fiscal year
9	2018-2019. The hearings are conducted
10	pursuant to the New York State Constitution
11	and the Legislative Law.
12	Today the Assembly Ways and Means
13	Committee and the Senate Finance Committee
14	will hear testimony concerning the Governor's
15	budget proposal for human services.
16	I'll now introduce the members of the
17	Assembly, and Senator Young, chair of the
18	Senate Finance Committee, will introduce
19	members from the Senate. And our ranker on
20	Ways and Means, Bob Oaks, will introduce
21	members from his conference.
22	So we have Assemblywoman Tremaine
23	Wright; Assemblywoman Michele Titus;
24	Assemblywoman Donna Lupardo, chair of our

1	Aging Committee; Assemblyman Hevesi, chair of
2	our Social Services Committee; and
3	Assemblywoman Jaffee, chair of our Children
4	and Families Committee.
5	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you,
6	Chairwoman.
7	Good morning, everyone. I'm Senator
8	Catharine Young. And as was said, I'm chair
9	of the Senate Standing Committee on Finance.
10	And I'm very pleased today that we're joined
11	by the vice chair of Finance, and that's
12	Senator Diane Savino; our ranking member,
13	Senator Liz Krueger; Senator James Tedisco,
14	Senator Tim Kennedy, and Senator Roxanne
15	Persaud, who is ranking member on Social
16	Services and the Committee on Children and
17	Families.
18	And I wanted to extend a very good
19	morning to Commissioner Poole. Thank you for
20	being here.
21	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Thank you.
22	Good morning, Chairwoman Young
23	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Excuse me, we'll
24	just introduce Assemblyman Goodell

1	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Certainly.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: who's also with
3	us. Thank you.
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: And before you
5	start, I just want to remind both the
6	witnesses who will be testifying today, and
7	the members, to keep your eye on the
8	countdown clock.
9	And also a reminder to witnesses that
10	we have your electronic testimony; we'd
11	appreciate as much as possible to summarize
12	your remarks to allow time both for questions
13	and your answers as well as to make sure the
14	people at the very end of the list have an
15	attentive audience by the time we get to you.
16	Now, thank you, Commissioner Poole
17	acting commissioner, I guess, New York State
18	Office of Children and Family Services.
19	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Good
20	morning, Chairwoman Young, Chairwoman
21	Weinstein, Chairwoman Jaffee and
22	distinguished members of the Senate and
23	Assembly. My name is Sheila Poole. I'm the
24	acting commissioner of the Office of Children

1	and Family Services. And I look forward to
2	this opportunity to discuss the highlights of
3	this year's proposed budget for OCFS.
4	Although we're in a challenging fiscal
5	environment, I'm pleased that the Executive

services.

environment, I'm pleased that the Executive

Budget continues to provide a stable base of
funding for our state's court child welfare,
juvenile justice, and childcare programs.

The Executive Budget maintains \$635 million
for child welfare services, which enables us
to continue to provide a substantial
62 percent state-share reimbursement of local
child welfare costs. This funding supports
vital prevention and intervention services
statewide and ranks New York among the top in
state support of local child welfare

The Executive Budget includes funding for the Foster Care Block Grant at \$383.5 million, which will maintain essential funding support for foster care services, including kinship/guardianship programs.

This funding level reflects the dramatic decrease in the foster care

1	population over the past 20 years. The
2	number of children in foster care in New York
3	State has dropped from a high of 56,000 in
4	1995 to under 17,000 at the end of 2017.

Even with some counties experiencing an uptick in foster care placements due to the opioid epidemic, the overall number of children in foster care in New York continues to decline, unlike the upward trend in foster care placements being felt in many states across the country.

In keeping with his pledge to provide

100 percent of costs related to Raise the Age

for counties that are under the tax cap or

are experiencing fiscal hardship, the

Governor has included \$100 million to

reimburse counties for implementation costs.

In addition, the budget proposal includes

funding to support capital projects at both

the state and local level, to grow needed

capacity in the juvenile justice system.

The Executive Budget also provides

OCFS with the authority to close the Ella

McQueen Reception Center in Brooklyn. This

1	facility has been substantially underutilized
2	at a great cost to taxpayers. The
3	administration is committed to avoiding any
4	layoffs for the 58 employees who work there.

The Governor's budget helps ease the cost of childcare for working families by restoring the childcare subsidy program to \$806 million, and New York continues to rank among the highest in the country in using its federal dollars for direct subsidy support for working families.

This year's budget proposes to add an additional \$10 million to the Empire State

After-School Program. With this new round of funding, not-for-profits and school districts will be eligible to apply. And we anticipate being able to create 6200 more slots targeted at homeless children and children living in areas of Long Island impacted by gang activity.

The budget includes the continuation of \$17 million for the Advantage After-School Program. And between the Empire State

After-School Program and the continuation of

1	the Advantage After-School, we will bring
2	New York State to its highest level of
3	after-school programming in the state's
4	history.
5	As you know, the Governor signed the
6	childcare task force bill into law. The
7	formation of this group reflects an
8	understanding that we need to analyze the
9	availability of childcare, assess
10	affordability, and identify potential new
11	solutions for this crucial support for
12	working families. Once the chapter amendment
13	is enacted and the membership is appointed,
14	this important work will get underway.
15	I am proud to be a cochair of that
16	task force. I'm excited about the
17	conversations that we will have. And we're
18	all keenly aware of how important this issue
19	of childcare is to New York's families.
20	So thank you again for the opportunity
21	to address you, and I look forward to your
22	comments and questions.
23	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. And
24	we'll go to our Children and Families chair,

1	Ellen	Jaffee,	for	the	first	round	of
2	quest	ions.					

ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you,

Commissioner. I am so pleased that the

Governor has signed the legislation that I

sponsored, so that we can move forward and

really have a much more in-depth

understanding of childcare issues within our

state so that we can respond appropriately so

that our children and families have a

significant opportunity with childcare. And

that's a conversation that is essential.

On that note, I understand that the childcare market rate survey is currently being conducted and that the analysis of the data will actually begin this month. While, you know, it's obviously too early to have actual market rate data, do you have any sense or do you think it's unlikely that the market rate — or likely that the market rate will increase as it has in prior years?

ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So it's hard to say today, Assemblywoman, as to what the summary of the market rate survey will

1 be.

You know, the one thing that we are sensitive to is that this market rate survey will begin to pick up the impacts of minimum wage on providers. So again, we'll have to wait and see until all those survey results come in and do that analysis.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Well, if -- is there a clear explanation of how the new and expected increase in rates -- will it be -- you know, in terms of being financed, since it will be completed outside of the budget of this year? So how we'll be able to move forward and respond to that financially.

 $\label{eq:acting_commissioner_poole:} \mbox{ That's a} \\ \mbox{great question.}$ 

So the new market rates will take effect in October of this year, so it's not like we will be needing to look at a whole year of funding. But you're right, the current Executive Budget does not contemplate additional market rate money, and we have to take many things one step at a time, given our current fiscal situation, and consider

1	all the options that we have once we know
2	what the market rate survey, you know, brings
3	to us.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: So how will we be able to respond to that in terms of -- if we're not prepared, will that cause a cut in the slots in terms of childcare availability slots? You know, would reducing the market rate -- which, as you know, allows providers to support themselves -- and then also be able to be receiving subsidizing childcare, the ability to access quality care will become an issue as well.

So how can we find a way, a path, towards responding appropriately so that we can assure that there is stability within childcare programs and opportunities to assure that there are childcare slots?

ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: I wish I had a better answer, sitting here this morning, Assemblywoman. As you said, there's limited choices immediately that is, you know, either more funding or decreasing the percentile rate that we pay, which is now

You know, and again I think it's a continual challenge for us, right, in the area of childcare, given that we are not getting any increases from the federal government to support, you know, the cost of increasing market share or CCDBG, for that matter. So it forces states like us to have those tough conversations.

I also think that -- you know,
hopefully the task force which will be
underway, right, sooner rather than later -that I think this is one of those issues
that's before us immediately, and perhaps
there are some creative options and ideas
that might spring forth out of the task
force.

But I do appreciate that it will be a challenge for us.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Maybe within that context we can work together and provide more funding within the budget to be able to consider the response to what may happen in the market rate.

1	Do you think that there is
2	consideration at all being given maybe to
3	take the market rate to the 75th percentile?
4	Which would then actually respond to what the
5	federal government is suggesting it should
6	be.
7	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: That would
8	be a great goal to achieve, Assemblywoman.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Yes.
10	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Again, it
11	will be challenging, given our current
12	fiscal
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Well, we know
14	how essential it is for our families to have
15	access to quality childcare. And this is
16	really economic development as well, in terms
17	of small businesses and jobs, not only for
18	the childcare providers but for families to
19	be able to have the stability in their jobs
20	if their children are safe and in
21	environments that really provide them with
22	social and educational skills as they mature.
23	Several other questions. Last week,
24	the Department of Economic Development, the

1	Commissioner, Howard Zemsky, when he
2	testified there had been childcare projects
3	funded through the Regional Economic
4	Development Councils. And as I've noted on
5	several occasions, childcare is an economic
6	development, actually, reality in terms of
7	small businesses and jobs and stability of
8	jobs.
9	So perhaps we can work together
10	with and the question is, would you
11	consider working with Commissioner Zemsky to
12	find innovative ways to expand funding for
13	childcare through economic development and
14	through the Economic Development Councils and
15	the kind of grants that they provide?
16	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE:
17	Absolutely. We welcome any and all partners
18	to the childcare table as we find, right, new
19	ways to increase affordability and access to
20	childcare.
21	And certainly, Assemblywoman, you
22	have, along with Assemblywoman Lupardo, you
23	know, drawn the connection to childcare and
24	its importance in terms of stability for

	1	working	families
--	---	---------	----------

So I would welcome conversations with ESD and other partners as well. And I think, again, that membership as part of the task force will really help us get there.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: That's great.

And another issue -- as you know, I've been focusing on this as well -- that

83 percent of the children that are actually eligible for subsidies are not receiving them in New York State. And this is something that has really been of very serious concern over the last couple of years, since we've been focusing on the issues of childcare -- much more focused now, given what has been occurring in the federal government as well with the kind of requirements.

Is there any plans to expand the funding so that those families that do qualify for subsidies are given that opportunity so these children are in environments that are safe and productive as well as the -- especially the women have the opportunity to maintain their jobs? And it

1	is so essential for those in poverty to have
2	that access, to be able to be in those
3	positive environments. Is something being
4	considered to respond to that in terms of
5	increasing the subsidy opportunities?
6	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So, you
7	know, that issue of increasing access, right,
8	is something that we have struggled with as a
9	state. It is one of the top two topics for
10	us to be working on together in the task
11	force.
12	You know, the other thing I would also
13	say, Assemblywoman, is that there are other
14	programs along the early children continuum
15	that, again, you know, with all due respect
16	to access to childcare programs, I think do
17	offer additional support to working families.
18	So the investments in the after-school
19	programs, you know, that we have now. I
20	mean, we're building 44,000 slots that will
21	be available, assuming the additional
22	\$10 million is supported, you know, in the
23	budget. There's tax credits that remain.
24	So I think where we have been able to,

1	through other funding streams, sort of fill
2	in some of the blanks to have options
3	available for families, you know, we're
4	trying to do that.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Yeah, we've had roundtables in various areas around the state to be able to address some of these issues and listen to the concerns. And it is something that's very serious and we need to respond to, to assure that the children are in comfortable environments but also the businesses are able to be sustained -- to sustain their businesses as well as people being able to maintain their jobs as well.

We wondered whether -- you know, there have been various counties and towns that actually are maintaining waiting lists. And some are choosing not to do that. But wouldn't that be -- would that be more helpful, if there were those lists available, to be able to assure that we understand what the reality is, what the need is, and maybe we could then be responsive in a more positive way?

1	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: You know,
2	this does come up every year when we're
3	together. And it is true, I mean,
4	maintaining waiting lists is an option, you
5	know, for local Departments of Social
6	Services. There are some districts who do
7	maintain those.
8	But the truth of the matter is that
9	waiting lists are notoriously unreliable.
10	Families may place their names on a waiting
11	list, they may move to another county or out
12	of state and families, they don't have time
13	to go back and take their name off of, you
14	know, a waiting list. Or their child, right,
15	might have signed up for part-day daycare but
16	might have gone to an after-school or
17	school-age childcare program. And again,
18	they are unreliable.
19	I think we do have to find other ways,
20	Assemblywoman. And hopefully we can do this
21	together in the coming months, you know, to
22	really better understand, is that 17 percent
23	or that 22 percent data point that we've
24	talked about for a number of years, is that

1	really right, is it higher, is it lower? And
2	I'm not sure that we could say with absolute
3	certainty, you know, as we sit here today
4	that 17 or 22 is the right number. But I
5	think we have to work together to figure out
6	how do we get better, rich, reliable data
7	that really informs us.
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you.
10	Just two more questions, quickly
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Why don't you
12	come back for
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Come back?
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yes.
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Yes, okay.
16	Thank you, Commissioner.
17	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Thank you.
18	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. We've
19	been joined by Senators Simcha Felder and
20	Velmanette Montgomery.
21	And Commissioner, welcome again. Glad
22	to see you. And I commend Assemblywoman
23	Jaffee for her questions on childcare, and
24	I'd like to follow up on some of those

4	
1	issues.

2 Now, you and I have had discussions in 3 previous years about the new federal childcare requirements, which are very 4 5 concerning. And as you know, the federal Childcare and Development Block Grant Act, 6 7 and it was in 2014, imposes several new requirements on the state and childcare 8 providers, including increased inspections 9 10 and enhanced criminal background checks and training requirements for employees. 11 12 Early estimates for the cost of 13 implementing these changes totaled about 14 \$90 million. However, the full implications 15 of the new federal requirements become more 16 clear as we go, and this estimate has actually ballooned to approximately 17 \$555 million, and I fear that we're not done 18 19 yet. Given the unlikeliness of additional 20 funding from the federal government, I'd like 21 to ask you several questions. So first of all, has OCFS estimated 22 what the potential impact of this will be on 23 the available number of subsidy slots? And I

1	know that Assemblywoman Jaffee asked a little
2	bit about that. But have you done any kind
3	of analysis?
4	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Sure. So
5	if I could, Senator, just to say that, you
6	know, we have been waiting for our
7	\$500 million from the federal government to
8	help us implement all the provisions of
9	CCDBG, and it has not arrived yet. So we
LO	have continued to stay the course, which is
11	the right course for New York, in maintaining
12	our subsidy dollars for working families, now
13	restored to \$806 million.
14	To your specific question, Senator, if
15	we were to go ahead within our existing
16	resources and implement all the provisions of
17	CCDBG, which again, just to be clear, we are
18	philosophically in support of the underlying
19	principles of CCDBG. It's not like there was
20	any disagreement; it's all about health and
21	safety. It's a matter of having money to
22	implement them.

But if we were to proceed and implement CCDBG within our current funding

1	streams, we estimate that the unintended
2	impact of doing so would result in between
3	65,000 and 70,000 families not having access
4	to new childcare slots because of the impacts
5	of the guaranteed eligibility and the
6	graduated phaseout.
7	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you,
8	Commissioner.
9	So what have the conversations with
10	the federal government what have they been
11	like? Because are they just not getting
12	back, they're not sending the money, are you
13	raising concerns about the costs of
14	compliance and the fact that we would lose
15	slots? How is that going.
16	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: We've done
17	all of the above.
18	And as many of you will recall, this
19	federal law allowed states to take advantage
20	of waivers. So we've been taking advantage,
21	as have many states, of those waivers that
22	are available to us. We have continued to
23	watch other states who have taken steps to
24	comply fully, and they're seeing you know,

1	they are experiencing exactly what we have to
2	avoid here in New York State, which is to
3	shut down the front door of access to subsidy
4	for working families. Waiting lists are
5	exploding in other states.

So I think frankly, Senator, the federal government is struggling with what to do next. There are so many states who have not been able to implement all the provisions of CCDBG. And of course we've certainly made our challenges here in New York State very clear to our federal partners.

CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: And as you know so well, there already is a shortage of childcare providers in the state. And then piled on top of that is the fact that we are grappling with a multi-billion-dollar deficit this year. So has OCFS tried to identify additional sources of funding?

ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Well,
we've restored, you know, the \$7 million that
we did have last year. And I think looking
at additional sources of funding leads us
right back to some of the opportunities that

1	may come at	us with,	you know,	the childcare
2	task force.			
3	But,	you know,	, we put v	arious pools o

But, you know, we put various pools of money into our childcare pot. So not only do we have the federal CCDF funding, we use TANF funding, there's Title XX funding. So wherever we can grab and pull from federal funding streams, in addition to the local maintenance effort, that's why we're able to have about a billion-dollar childcare program.

But we're going to have to get pretty creative to think of other sources.

CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Right, and I agree with that, commissioner. But it's pretty worrisome that we have this \$555-million-plus figure to deal with. And my concern is, where is that money going to come from? And if it's put on the providers, I believe that it will price people from being able to afford to work.

So could you give your thoughts on that?

24 ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Well, like

1	I said, we are continuing to take advantage
2	of the waivers with the federal government.
3	To your exact point, we have refused to put,
4	you know, the price of implementation of this
5	bill on the backs of providers or on the
6	backs of New York's working families.

So we're going to have to keep working our way, inch by inch, ahead with the federal government and see what extensions might be able to be provided to us.

As you are probably aware, just -- I think it was last week the new HHS Secretary was appointed. We're going to be reaching out to his office, asking that they undertake a review of the regulations related to CCDBG, which it is our assessment in New York State that the actual regulations that were promulgated two years after the law's enactment actually were an overreach of the federal statute. So we're going to be asking Secretary Azar to really take a look at CCDF and to really deeply understand the impact of that bill, absent federal funding, on a state like New York.

1	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So the fear is that
2	several of the providers will have to close.
3	And what support or assistance does OCFS give
4	to providers if they're in danger of closing?
5	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: That's a
6	great question.
7	You know, through our regional
8	offices, through our own childcare staff as
9	well as through our CCR&Rs, our Child Care
10	Resource and Referral agencies, we can
11	provide a lot of technical assistance to
12	daycare providers, looking at their budgets,
13	looking at their staffing levels again, to
14	provide whatever assistance we can to avoid a
15	closure. None of us want to be losing
16	providers. We need more of them. And I
17	think we do a pretty good job of trying to
18	work with providers to ensure that they're
19	able to stay in business.
20	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: That's really good
21	to hear. And then the flip side of that
22	question is, what do we do to try to
23	encourage new providers? Is there any kind
24	of outreach program or recruitment program

1	that OCFS undertakes?
2	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Well,
3	again, I think we do that largely through our
4	CCR&R programs, which are in communities, so
5	they have a good understanding, because they
6	are localized, about when families are
7	seeking childcare, what kind of providers
8	might be needed.
9	And again, you know, we certainly
10	support a lot of legally exempt and
11	family-based providers across our state as
12	well.
13	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: And as you know, we
14	have vast expanses of rural areas across
15	New York State. And while we have a
16	shortage, I believe, of childcare providers
17	who are qualified everywhere in the state, is
18	the process to try to locate new providers
19	different in rural areas than it is in urban
20	areas?
21	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: I don't
22	believe so, Senator. I think we really try
23	and when we you know, when we know that

there's an unmet need, you know, we try and

1	get out there and do whatever we can to
2	promote it. You know, our website, our
3	regional offices. We have a very hands-on
4	approach with providers.
5	And actually one of the things that
6	we've done in recent years, we took a look at
7	how long it was taking us at OCFS to really
8	process a childcare application. And the
9	truth is, it was taking us far too long. So
10	we went through a business process,
11	reengineering. And we have really
12	dramatically decreased the amount of time
13	that it takes for a provider to become
14	licensed.
15	So we've gotten very involved.
16	There's a lot of technical assistance that we
17	are providing, because we see ourselves
18	having a really key role in helping folks
19	understand the application process and all of
20	the rules and regulations that they'll need
21	to comply with.
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you for that
23	answer.
24	How many families are currently
	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

1	receiving subsidies in New York?
2	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: In 2017,
3	there were about 112,000 families and about
4	186,000 children who received subsidy.
5	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
6	Has the federal government established
7	a time period over which a family subsidy
8	must be phased out? And if they have, what's
9	the time frame?
10	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: I'm not
11	aware of that.
12	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay, thank you.
13	How many counties in the state
14	currently redetermine eligibility on a
15	12-month basis?
16	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: I'm not
17	certain of that, Senator.
18	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Could you try to
19	get that for us?
20	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Certainly.
21	Happy to.
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: That would be very
23	helpful. Because what I'd also like to
24	know is for those counties that don't

1	currently do that, are there any estimates of
2	what costs to the county, if any, would be
3	involved with coming into compliance with the
4	requirement? I think that would be helpful
5	for the Legislature to have that information.
6	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: I'd be
7	happy to get that.
8	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay. Thank you.
9	I'm out of time. I have several other
10	questions regarding other topic areas, but
10	
11	I'll come back.
12	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So before we go
13	to our next member for some questions, we've
14	been joined by Assemblyman Bronson,
15	Assemblywoman Fahy, and Assemblyman
16	DenDekker, our Veterans chair.
17	And now we go to Assemblyman Goodell.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN GOODELL: Thank you very
19	much. I have just a couple of quick
20	questions, I think.
21	One is dealing with the foster care
22	funding. You pointed out that the number of
23	children in foster care is at a 20-year low,
24	which I think is probably good news. Can you

1	give us an idea, in terms of your sense, is
2	that a reduction in the number of foster kids
3	entering the system or an increase in
4	reunification with their families or an
5	increase in adoptions? What is your sense?
6	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: I actually
7	think it's the first one, more so than the
8	other. I mean, obviously we always work
9	toward permanency for those kids who are
10	currently in foster care, and certainly
11	adoptions. But I think the truth is given
12	New York State's long, rich history of having
13	primary prevention services at the local
14	district level, the rich reimbursement we
15	give them, I think that's really been
16	instrumental in really what sets New York
17	State apart from virtually every other state
18	in the country who does not give localities
19	that kind of reimbursement.
20	So that when a family comes to the
21	attention of the child welfare system and
22	again, as you know, it's at a local level
23	here they have it there at their disposal,

an array of preventive services, again to try

Δ,	and keep children salely with their families
2	in the community.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN GOODELL: And are you
4	tracking that data?
5	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes, of
6	course.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN GOODELL: Are you tracking
8	the number of new admissions compared
9	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes, we
10	do. We sure do.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN GOODELL: Presumably you
12	could give me that data?
13	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Happy to.
14	Yes, we can provide you with that data.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN GOODELL: That would be
16	great.
17	We have legislation that's pending
18	that would expand the authority of a Social
19	Services Department to maintain longer-term
20	foster cares. You know, under the current
21	program they're required to bring proceedings
22	to terminate parental rights if the child has
23	been in foster care for 15 out of the last 22
24	months, with certain exceptions. And there's

1	legislation that is pending that would
2	increase the number of exceptions to include
3	longer foster-care placement if the child is
4	not with their parents due to deportation
5	proceedings or immigration proceedings. Has
6	your agency calculated what the potential
7	costs of that would be to the state if we
8	were going to implement extended foster care
9	in those situations?
10	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yeah, I'm
11	not familiar with that legislation that you
12	reference, Assemblyman. But any information
13	that I can provide to your office outside of
14	the hearing, I'd be happy to do that.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN GOODELL: That's great.
16	By the way, it's Assembly A339.
17	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Okay.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN GOODELL: The second
19	question I had, as you know, we made a
20	commitment as part of raising the age to
21	reimburse counties a hundred percent of the
22	cost of implementation, but there was a
23	caveat. And that is that it would only apply
24	to those counties that were under their tax

1			C '	C' 7	1 1 . 1. '
⊥	cap	or	Iacing	Ilscal	hardship.

Can you give me an idea how many
counties are over their tax cap? And what
would be the fiscal implementation if we
eliminated that restriction on reimbursement?

ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So it is my understanding, Assemblyman, that there are very, very few counties, based upon the last county-enacted budget, that are not complying with the tax cap.

And further, you know, it's the intention of the appropriation language in Raise the Age that even if they are, that they can appeal and apply for a hardship waiver, you know, to discuss how implementation of the Raise the Age, among other factors and variables going on at the county level, you know, requires them to need additional state support in order to implement Raise the Age.

So the appropriation language that's in the bill is very specific and detailed with respect to the kinds of incremental Raise the Age costs that we want counties to

Τ	put down in their plan. That plan will be
2	reviewed by the state agencies who are
3	involved in implementing Raise the Age, and
4	that plan will be subject to final approval
5	by the State Division of the Budget director
6	ASSEMBLYMAN GOODELL: My concern, of
7	course is if a county's facing fiscal
8	stress and maybe not at a hardship level,
9	but a fiscal stress and can't stay below
10	the cap, then it's a double whammy to the
11	taxpayers, because not only do the taxpayers
12	have to pay more than the tax cap, but we
13	also cut our funding to them for some of
14	these critical services.
15	So I would appreciate your comments.
16	My questioning is out of time, but I would
17	appreciate your comments on how many counties
18	have applied and received a waiver and what
19	the fiscal impact would be if we eliminated
20	that cap reference.
21	Thank you, by the way, for your
22	testimony.
23	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Of course
24	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.

1	Our next speaker is Senator Felder.
2	SENATOR FELDER: Good morning. I just
3	want I have no question, I just wanted to
4	take the opportunity to thank you. It
5	seems I used to chair Children and
6	Families, and since I no longer chair it, I
7	think the agency has been doing a lot better.
8	(Laughter.)
9	SENATOR FELDER: So I wanted to just
10	take the opportunity to thank you and your
11	staff for some of the issues that we've been
12	working on recently.
13	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: I
14	appreciate your comment, Senator, and we look
15	forward to continuing our work with your
16	office. So thank you.
17	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, Senator.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman
19	Lupardo.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Yes, thank you
21	very much. Commissioner, it's nice to see
22	you.
23	A comment and a quick question. I
24	mean, it's just really great to see our

1	attitudes about childcare evolving so that
2	it's no longer just segmented into this one
3	area, that we really see it as an economic
4	development issue, that we're having a bunch
5	of people at the table, and I trust business
6	folks will be at this task force as well.
7	That was really important to us.
8	And it dovetails so well into our

And it dovetails so well into our antipoverty initiative. And childcare is just so central. Workforce development challenges -- I'm preaching to the choir. So congratulations, and we're really looking forward to being involved with that. And I'm glad you're going to be, as you said, cochairing that.

I have one question about after-school. Last year the Empire State After-School Program allocated a nice amount of money -- unfortunately, a federal poverty standard was used that allowed for the City of Binghamton, for example, to eligible, yet Johnson City and Endicott, which are right on their borders, weren't eligible but for a few dollars' difference in their poverty

1	standards.
2	So it was very tough, because it's an
3	urban center that shares very common
4	challenges and a high level of poverty, so we
5	were surprised.
6	Just curious if you know how this
7	expanded version will impact school districts
8	that weren't eligible last time. It does
9	expand to nonprofits and school districts.
10	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: You know,
11	I appreciate your comments, Assemblywoman.
12	You know, the funding actually comes through
13	the State Education Department and is
14	suballocated to OCFS. So we've worked very
15	closely with the State Education Department.
16	I don't have a specific answer to your
17	question, but I'm happy now that we will have
18	this opportunity, hopefully, to have an
19	additional \$10 million really to explore what
20	other options there are in terms of the
21	poverty index.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Yeah, I would

implore you to look at how that's being

calculated so that some districts that really

23

T	are so well deserving and who are very poor
2	districts would be eligible this time. So
3	that's all.
4	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Appreciate
5	your comments.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Thank you.
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate?
8	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Our next speaker is
9	Senator James Tedisco, who is chair of the
10	Social Services Committee.
11	SENATOR TEDISCO: Thank you,
12	Commissioner, for being here, and thank you
13	for your testimony.
14	Just getting back to foster care,
15	child placement, you mentioned nationally
16	it's up about I don't think you did the
17	statistics about 8 percent over 400,000
18	placements. But one of the things they cite
19	as a reason that has increased from 2012 to
20	2015 about 28 percent, they project, in
21	2012 up to about 32 percent the reasoning
22	was parental opiate addiction.
23	Now, you say we've reduced in terms of
24	child placement in foster care. But do you

1	have any numbers or percentages in terms of
2	the opiate addiction as being a reason for
3	any placements in New York State?

ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes. So it is true that while our foster care numbers are down across the state, that there are certainly some counties in particular who are seeing an uptick in foster care placements, really directly related to the opioid crisis.

We've also seen at the State Central
Registry -- so that's our hotline that we
process about 300,000 calls a year of
suspected abuse or maltreatment -- that the
percentage of allegations related to
substance abuse -- and again, we do not list
every type of drug, but just generally -- we
can see that the percentage of allegations of
maltreatment or neglect related to parental
substance abuse, and I think by extension the
opioid crisis, is also up.

So yes, it is absolutely, as we all know and read in the papers, unfortunately, here every day in New York, that that is being felt among the child protective system

1	in a number of counties across the state.
2	SENATOR TEDISCO: And why would you
3	project that it's also going up nationally,
4	going up in New York State, that there's an
5	increase in that addiction being a reason?
6	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: What I
7	thought you were asking me, Senator, is if
8	counties are experiencing, you know, an
9	impact of opioids in the child welfare
10	system.
11	SENATOR TEDISCO: Yes.
12	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: And the
13	answer to that is yes.
14	SENATOR TEDISCO: And why would that
15	be?
16	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: But even
17	with that, overall the number of children
18	entering foster care is not rising. So that
19	means that counties, when they're when
20	families who are struggling with addiction
21	and who have a child, and there's a concern
22	about how the parent is caring for them, that
23	rather than them just, you know, coming into
24	foster care, that they are bringing services

1	or getting mom or dad into treatment, right,
2	to keep the child out of foster care.
3	Which I think is it speaks to the
4	array of community-based services that we
5	have in New York State that many other
6	states, frankly, do not enjoy.
7	SENATOR TEDISCO: So the addiction is
8	increasing, but the dealing with it is better
9	in terms of
10	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Exactly.
11	Exactly right, Senator.
12	SENATOR TEDISCO: working with it.
13	Well, credit us for that.
14	Just can I get back to the
15	cost-of-living adjustment that the Governor
16	continues and the second floor and the Budget
17	continues not to put in the denies the
18	appropriations.
19	How many direct care workers does this
20	affect, do you think, the lack of
21	<pre>cost-of-living adjustments?</pre>
22	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: I don't
23	have an exact figure, Senator.

SENATOR TEDISCO: You realize that

1	we	're although we've given a very large
2	mi	ddle-class tax cut, we're the
3	th	ird-largest-taxed state in the nation. Our
4	bu	dget is probably going to be beyond
5	\$1	60 billion this year. And one of the
6	ex	ecutive orders that came from the second
7	fl	oor was to give and I have nothing
8	ag	ainst a good minimum wage for fast-food
9	WO	rkers, but in a couple of years it will be
10	up	beyond \$15 or at \$15.

And the unintended consequences of that are difficulties finding direct care workers to stay in employment who are trained very well to take care of some of the clients that we're concerned about. In fact, they're leaving to sit at cash registers at the fast-food organizations. They're getting burnout.

We're not sure some of our clients are being cared for because of the challenge of keeping, finding and having direct care workers. Do you find that to be problem now? And what do we tell young people who may want to get into the human service field in this

1	industry who are looking at the fact that the
2	salaries are where they are and we're doing
3	executive orders to give fast-food workers
4	\$15? I don't know if we're really walking
5	the walk but talking the talk here, it seems
6	to me.

Do you see that impacting us being able to get and retain workers in the field of human services?

mean I think that, you know, there are a number of industries that are impacted. And human services is a very tough -- it's a noble business that we're all in, but it's tough work. And so, you know, absent the direct care raise impacting OCFS, we, through our typical rate structure -- so we pay our providers through what's called an MSAR. So last year -- and it is our plan to continue to do it this year, to provide cost-of-living increases again through that rate to the provider.

So again, you know, I think, Senator, it's one of those situations where we're

1	always doing things, in addition to passing
2	along rate increases or more money, trying to
3	promote a path of staff development, of
4	additional training, of providing trauma
5	supports to staff who find themselves in very
6	difficult situations with families.

So again, there's always more work to be done, unfortunately. You know, Senator, given our current fiscal environment and all the other things we have to do, it's been a challenge to get there.

SENATOR TEDISCO: Yeah. I understand our fiscal environment. A part of that is we're hoisted on our own petard. you know, 45 other states aren't really bothered by what's happening at the federal government, and that's problematic for us. But even when we have a difficult budget year, projected deficits, I think we have an obligation as public servants to start any budget with our most vulnerable. And in the human service area, in many instances, we're dealing with the most vulnerable who need our assistance.

So I think those salaries need to be

1	ennanced. I think we do need a
2	cost-of-living adjustment. And I think it
3	doesn't bode well for us to send a message
4	that although don't get me wrong, any job
5	is an important job. But these people are
6	trained, they're caring for our most
7	vulnerable. I think they love to do the work
8	they do. If they didn't have families, they
9	wouldn't be sitting at cash registers at
10	fast-food places, they'd be staying in the
11	business of serving the people that we should
12	start any budget to take care of and then
13	move from there.
14	So that's just my opinion on the whole
15	thing. Thank you so much for what you do for
16	what you have, though. Appreciate it.
17	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
18	Hevesi.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Good morning,
20	Commissioner.
21	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Good
22	morning.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: How are you?
24	So let me start here. Two years ago

1	we sat in these exact same seats and while at
2	the time I believe I was right on the merits,
3	my tone was way out of line, I was actually
4	nasty when I questioned you. I apologized
5	the next day. I think every time I've seen
6	you since, I've apologized.
7	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: You have.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: This will be the
9	last time I apologize. But on the record, I
10	was wrong and I'm sorry. I just wanted to
11	get that on the record.
12	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Well,
13	that's very gracious of you. Thank you.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: I'm maturing.
15	I'm trying.
16	(Laughter.)
17	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: But what I was
18	doing wrong two years ago I believe is going
19	after you for something that was not your
20	decision.
21	So I am going to make a distinction
22	here today because the problem I have is I
23	feel it's my responsibility, as Social
24	Services chair, to give a macro-picture of

1	the budget and this goes for my friends at
2	OTDA as well; I'm going to have to do this as
3	well for them give a macro-picture of the
4	budget that sort of gets us to where we are
5	with these particular cuts.

And my questions to you will be about preventative services and Close to Home. But I need to get this out, I feel, on the record. It's important for people to understand the general budget. And I would ask you not to defend it. Out of respect, please don't defend this.

budget. So since Governor Cuomo came into office, he has imposed what he calls a 2 percent cap. I believe that cap does three things. Number one, it intentionally hurts the most vulnerable New Yorkers. Number two, it explodes taxpayer spending in the outyears. We're costing taxpayers way more money. And then the third thing it does, it misleads the public about the consequences of the first two.

24 I think Governor Cuomo will be seen,

1	by the time he gets done, as one of the most
2	fiscally irresponsible governors in the
3	history of the State of New York, and let me
4	tell you how I get to that.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So the 65 percent of the state budget that is covered by the 2 percent cap works this way. It's only for people -- it's education, it's healthcare, it's human services and some other things. Education and healthcare, by definition, grow at a rate of 4 percent. Now remember, you have a 2 percent cap. Education and healthcare grow at 4 percent. As a result, something's got to be held at zero or get cut. It's always human services. That includes childcare, that includes the homeless, that includes human trafficking victims, domestic violence victims. It is always those people, the most vulnerable, the people who can't fight for themselves, that get hurt.

Your agency has been cut, since

Governor Cuomo took office, by 16 percent, in

my estimation -- or maybe that's what OTDA

is, and I think you're comparable. The most

- vulnerable New Yorkers are always getting
  hurt.
- Plus -- let me get to this other part
  and then I'll get to your questions. I'm
  sorry, just let me get this out. So that's
  the part about intentionally hurting the most
  vulnerable New Yorkers.

On the flip side, we are spending at 8 rates that are not at 2 percent. If you look 9 10 at the Daily News today, the Citizens Budget 11 Commission actually has an article that says 12 we are spending, in this budget, at 4 percent. And last year we spent at 13 14 5 percent. And the reason we do that is the 15 Governor cost-shifts. So he pretends that 16 we're not spending certain items -- he moves them from the 65 percent that he counts to 17 18 the other 35 percent he doesn't count. It is 19 a budget gimmick. We're actually spending at 20 5 percent.

Plus, Governor Cuomo is the only

Governor in the history of the State of

New York that doesn't count tax expenditures
as spending. He has increased tax

21

22

23

1	expenditures by \$1.5 billion since he came
2	into office.
3	Plus, capital expenditures and this
4	is a judgment that the Governor makes,
5	everybody can make their own opinion about
6	it he's spent more on capital than any
7	Governor in the history of the State of
8	New York. Anyone.
9	So we are intentionally hurting poor
10	people, we are blowing expenditures to
11	taxpayers, and then we're misleading the
12	public saying we have a 2 percent cap when
13	the 2 percent cap, in my estimation, is a
L 4	fraud.
15	Okay. I bring that here as a context
16	for this backdrop for the decisions that we
17	have to make at the human services table,
18	which are very difficult. And they're always
19	cuts.
20	Now let me get to the specific one,
21	and I want to make sure my tone is

appropriate this time. The one that is

scaring me the most is preventative services.

And feel free to jump in now because you know

22

23

1	this much better than I do. But here's where
2	I'm really nervous.
3	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Mm-hmm.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: In 1995, Governor
5	Pataki block-granted what your a similar
6	proposal, he did the same thing in 1995. The
7	result was the United Way of New York City
8	had the citizens committee for children
9	publish a study that actually says in the two
10	years since the implementation of the block
11	grant, reports of abuse and neglect have
12	increased, the number of children entering
13	foster care has begun to climb, children
14	entering care are reported to be more
15	severely disturbed and suffering from more
16	profound emotional and behavioral problems
17	that require more extensive services.
18	So the block-granting, by definition,
19	takes away from money from preventive
20	services that stop kids from getting into
21	foster care. So that policy scares me.
22	The state woke up, and I will point to
23	a study by OCFS, if I can find it there we

are -- that was done in June of 2002 where we

1	woke up and realized that, you know what,
2	block-granting is a mistake, and we changed
3	the policy and implemented landmark
4	legislation. It's called, according to OCFS,
5	the Child Welfare Financing Act. And that
6	allowed uninterrupted expenditures reimbursed
7	at 65 percent to the state. And as a result,
8	the localities have been putting in money to
9	preventive services. And that system has
10	worked. And that's why you were able to say,
11	hey, we've dropped the number of foster care
12	kids. It's a good system.
13	So my guestion to you is and I'll

So my question to you is -- and I'll have a number of questions -- why would we go back to a capped system when we have evidence that it didn't work in the past?

ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So first of all, Assemblyman, I appreciate your comments and your passion for our work in human services. I have to disagree with your characterization of the budget cuts to OCFS and the 17 percent. You know, I think the fact pattern there is that in large part those cuts were due to the fact that your

1	juvenile justice system had downsized quite a
2	bit, so we went through a couple of years
3	where we actually closed a number of
4	facilities across the state and accrued a lot
5	of savings.
6	There's also been changes in terms of
7	ITS and other initiatives where there have
8	been transfers of staff. So I just wanted
9	to
10	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: That's fine.
11	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE:
12	respond, you know, to that.
13	With respect to the preventive service
14	funding, just to be clear, what is proposed
15	being capped in this year's budget pertains
16	only to New York City for preventive service
17	funding. So the rest of the state is not
18	included in that.
19	And quite honestly, and I know you
20	probably won't like this answer, Assemblyman,
21	but the truth of the matter is that the state
22	is facing a multi-billion-dollar deficit
23	while the city is right now enjoying a
24	multi-billion-dollar surplus. And for the

1	past five years, with respect to New York
2	City's preventive services, you know, we have
3	put in and again, this is a state-share
4	increase only in the past five years,
5	\$82 million to New York City alone, to
6	support its 62 percent share.
7	The other thing I would say is that
8	the projected when you look at New York
9	City's claims for preventive services in the
10	past five years, their rate of growth has
11	slowed considerably, so that the \$320 million
12	cap that's proposed in the budget for
13	New York City, based upon all the financial
14	information that we have available, should
15	not result in any cut to New York City's
16	services to kids and families this year.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Okay. So a
18	couple of things. First, I appreciate your
19	defense of the overall budget. We're going
20	to agree to disagree, and I'll move on, for
21	both of our sakes.
22	As for the cut specifically to
23	New York City, a couple of things scare me.

Number one, I'm afraid that it fits a fact

1	pattern of being punitive to New York City.
2	I understand that they are a financial
3	engine. I'm from the city, I get that. And
4	in certain circumstances I appreciate the
5	fact that the city might have to pick up
6	more. But this is an across the board,
7	constant hit. Every year the Governor's
8	trying to make the city pick up more as he
9	gets out of the business of funding programs
10	for the most vulnerable.
11	I'm also afraid of this being the
12	beginning of a trend that you cap New York
13	City this year, and then you move on to
14	upstate counties next year.
15	And then I guess just as a
16	clarification, I understand that your budget
17	language, as far as I can tell, caps
18	preventative services. But what about
19	protective adoption subsidies, after-care
20	subsidies, and independent living?
21	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So that's
22	all included in the 62/38 reimbursement. But
23	again, that cap only pertains to New York
24	City. So there should be no change for the

1	rest of the state.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: But they will be
3	capped, right? These programs will now be
4	capped when they weren't capped before.
5	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: No. No,
6	no, no. No, not rest of state.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Not rest of
8	state, only in the city.
9	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Right.
10	The 62/38 remains open-ended, uncapped, as it
11	has been for a number of years now for
12	everyone else in the state under the proposed
13	budget.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Right. But
15	New York City, all of those five areas will
16	be capped.
17	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: At
18	\$320 million for this year.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Okay. And the
20	reason why the cap in 1995 failed is because
21	of the lack of reimbursement from the state.
22	What we found was the city, in this example,
23	will cut back funds. The providers will try

to pick up the slack as much as they can;

1	they won't be able to. And let me just put a
2	face on this, and you know this way better
3	than I do. The preventative services are the
4	way to get the kids from not going into the
5	foster care system. That's it's smart
6	government. It's how you catch a disease
7	early, and you help people before it
8	metastasizes into a disease.
9	So I just I question the rationale
10	of going back to that system even though I
11	acknowledge a tough financial time. And even
12	though we agree about how we got to the tough
13	financial time, I think it really is scaring

me and the providers, and I just want to be on record. I would caution you, please be cautious about this. If this serves as a mechanism to stop kids getting preventative services in New York State, that's a really

20 And I know I'm out of time, but I'm
21 just going to throw in one more question. If

big problem.

14

15

16

17

18

19

22 you can jump on it, I'd appreciate it.

23 (Inaudible comment from panel.)

24 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: I'm done.

1	(Laughter.)
2	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Thank you,
3	Commissioner.
4	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Thank you,
5	Assemblyman.
6	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. Our
7	next speaker is Senator Roxanne Persaud, who
8	is ranking member on Social Services.
9	SENATOR PERSAUD: Thank you very much.
10	Good morning, Commissioner. It's
11	always great to see you.
12	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Good
13	morning.
L 4	SENATOR PERSAUD: Following up on the
15	Assemblymember's question about the
16	cost-shift from New York City, is it true
17	that the New York City capital results in you
18	saving \$17 million? And where are you
19	shifting the \$17 million?
20	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So I'm not
21	sure of the figure that you're referring to,
22	Senator. But, you know, just to repeat what
23	I just said Assemblyman Hevesi, you know,
24	while the fiscal times were better in New

1	York State, the state upheld its share of the
2	62 percent reimbursement to New York City at
3	a very robust rate for the past five years,
4	as I said, you know, for \$84.5 million.

Given the state's fiscal picture and the fact that the city is enjoying a surplus, we have to look at the state as a whole picture. And so we are holding the line on the spending for New York City's preventive services at \$320 million for this year, in the context of the overall state climate.

SENATOR PERSAUD: The city may be holding, you know -- may seem robust that they have a lot of funding in reserves, but the city still has a lot to do. So by taking away, you're asking the city to shift funds because the state sees it fit that New York City, because the economy is booming, the state sees it fit to take away from New York City.

I don't understand that formula.

You're taking away from disadvantaged kids
when you're doing that. Did you have a
discussion with the city when you decided

1	that you were going to shift the cost the
2	funding from the city?
3	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Well,
4	that's really for the city to decide,
5	Senator, given, you know, the fact that in
6	the past the city has put in some of its own
7	additional funds for child welfare services,
8	which we have matched, you know, with the
9	62 percent state share.
10	SENATOR PERSAUD: But they have to
11	take it away from something else
12	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Mm-hmm.
13	Yup.
14	SENATOR PERSAUD: to put it in.
15	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Well, they
16	have a considerable surplus, Senator, with
17	all due respect.
18	SENATOR PERSAUD: You know, we're
19	talking about the state, we're going, We have
20	this, you know, shortfall, but we're spending
21	a lot more money also. So we're spending a
22	lot more money, our budget is going to be
23	larger. Why are we taking away from New York
24	City?

1	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: I think
2	because of the state's fiscal situation being
3	what it is, that we are at a deficit at the
4	state level. And the city is at a
5	significant surplus, and it's a rebalancing
6	of the city-state fiscal relationship in the
7	context of where we are currently.
8	SENATOR PERSAUD: Again, where are you
9	shifting the money that would have been
10	allocated to New York City? Who are you
11	shifting it to?
12	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: It's a
13	cost avoidance. It's not taking that money
14	and putting it into someplace else, it's not
15	spending it in the first instance, where you
16	might have.
17	SENATOR PERSAUD: Okay. Another
18	thing, talking about the COLA. Has the
19	Governor considered increasing the value of
20	the contracts to help the nonprofits with
21	their wages for workers?
22	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: I'm sorry,
23	Senator, can you repeat that?
24	SENATOR PERSAUD: Have you considered

1	increasing the value of the contracts to help
2	nonprofits in their wages?
3	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Well, as I
4	said earlier, at OCFS I can only speak for
5	my agency
6	SENATOR PERSAUD: Yeah, we are
7	speaking of your agency.
8	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: What we've
9	tried to do, Senator, is when we have rates
10	that are coming up for renewal, that we have
11	been able to, in the past year, build into
12	those rates cost-of-living increases that the
13	providers can pass along to their workers.
L 4	And again, that's what we've been able to do
15	and that's what we hope to continue to do.
16	SENATOR PERSAUD: Well, we're really
17	not doing that, because the nonprofits cannot
18	afford to pay their workers adequately. And
19	so you have people they can't keep the
20	staff, because you're not paying them.
21	You're not giving them the funding. How do
22	we correct that?
23	(Applause from audience.)
24	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Excuse me.

Τ	Excuse me. reopie need to restrain
2	themselves. Just smile.
3	(Laughter.)
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Big smiles.
5	We'll see it from here.
6	SENATOR PERSAUD: Again, could you
7	say how do we correct that?
8	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: You know,
9	Senator, you make good points. I mean, you
10	know, we understand the challenges that we
11	have with the workforce.
12	What I can say to you today is within
13	my agency and with our means, we do our very
14	best in recognition of the incredibly hard
15	work that direct care staff do, to pass along
16	the cost-of-living increases to them.
17	SENATOR PERSAUD: So my final
18	question I know my time is running out
19	so the kid is coming out of college now who
20	wants to go into social services. Are you
21	telling them it's okay because we will
22	provide them with an adequate salary? Are
23	we? Can we comfortably say that, telling a
24	kid coming out of college, it is okay, we'll

1	provide a salary?
2	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: My advice
3	would be yes, it is. Because it's noble and
4	important work that we do here in New York
5	State, Senator.
6	SENATOR PERSAUD: Thank you.
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Mr. Oaks.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Yes, we've been
9	joined by Assemblyman Byrne.
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: I have a
11	question, also talking about reimbursements
12	to the City of New York.
13	Five years ago the state authorized
14	that New York City's children currently
15	residing in or entering into court-ordered
16	placements, as adjudicated as JDs statewide,
17	close to their home communities. Why does
18	the Executive Budget eliminate the state's
19	commitment to the Close to Home program in
20	terms of New York City's \$41 million cut,
21	while at the same time continuing to
22	reauthorize Close to Home?
23	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: You know,
24	I think, Assemblywoman, it gets back to the

1	fiscal reality. We are in support of the
2	Close to Home program. We have submitted an
3	extender of the program. But in light of the
4	state-city fiscal issues that we've just
5	spent time talking about, you know, we're
6	unable to continue the same level of fiscal
7	support that we have had to the city in the
8	past.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: It's not just
10	not the same level, it's a total elimination
11	of the funding. And if we start adding up
12	all of these failures these retrenchments
13	of reimbursement, the number starts to get
14	very large, coupled with some of the funding
15	restrictions put on the city that the mayor
16	outlined here yesterday.
17	I think and thank you, is there a
18	Senate
19	SENATOR KRUEGER: Yes.
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Okay, the
21	Senate.
22	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
23	Senator Velmanette Montgomery.

SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Oh, yes. Thank

1	you.
2	Good morning, Commissioner.
3	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Good
4	morning, Senator.
5	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Good to see you
6	again.
7	I'm going to ask you about Raise the
8	Age a little bit and Ella McQueen as well.
9	So it was our hope that as we looked
10	to do Raise the Age, it was really a movement
11	by our state toward looking at young people a
12	little bit differently. That was hopefully
13	what we were aiming to do. And so the change
14	in Raise the Age would have theoretically,
15	should theoretically result in decreasing
16	incarceration of juveniles, young people, 16,
17	17, 18.
18	But in order to do that, it is also my
19	assumption that we need quite a bit of
20	support for the localities, for
21	organizations, to be able to change the way
22	that we deal with young people. And I note
23	in your statement you talk about diversion

and probation and programming.

1	So my question to you is, what is the
2	nexus between Raise the Age as a concept, as
3	a way of looking at young people, Close to
4	Home, as a way of making sure that whatever
5	we do with them, they are able to stay in or
6	close to their communities and their
7	families and how do you coordinate the
8	agencies that will be involved with that to
9	make sure that we're moving in the direction
10	of reducing juvenile incarceration by
11	increasing the opportunities for them to have
12	early intervention, to have much more
13	support, to have the kinds of activities that
14	hopefully divert them from negative
15	involvement and engagement?
16	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: That's a
17	very thoughtful question, Senator. And I
18	think that's exactly what we're endeavoring
19	to do as we are planning for the
20	implementation of phase 1 of Raise the Age.
21	So one of the things that the enacted
22	bill does allow for is that there are
23	adjustment and diversion services that will
24	be available for 16- and 17-year-olds in a

1	way that they are currently not available for
2	young people who are in front of the criminal
3	court system. So part of that \$100 million
4	appropriation is intended to, to your point
5	of local planning, to allow localities among
6	the stakeholders probation, social
7	services, the not-for-profits, the sheriffs,
8	the court system, everyone who it takes,
9	right, to serve these young people, and to
10	create a local plan.

So what diversion or adjustment
services might they need to work with the 16and 17-year-olds so that they are not
penetrating through into detention or into,
you know, one of the placement services? And
that's one of the great things that I think
we have an opportunity to do here in

New York, is it's wonderful that we'll no
longer be putting 16- and 17-year-olds in
jails, right, or in prisons, but that it
opens up a whole new opportunity of not just
building more juvenile beds, but building
more diversion and after-care and community
support opportunities -- which we know, from

1	the data in New York State, you know, the
2	arrest trajectory for 16- and 17-year-olds
3	has been on a steady decline, and we hope
4	that that will continue.

But part of our strategy with the available Raise the Age money is not just building new detention beds or just OCFS facilities, but we know these kids eventually go back to communities, and that's really where the best investments are.

So that's what we're hoping to see as the local county plans start to come forward and we can make those funding decisions.

SENATOR MONTGOMERY: I appreciate that very much, and I hope that we can at some point find out what kinds of organizations, the not-for-profit organizations, you may be looking at and what they're doing and how you can be much more involved in providing support so that they can do more for young people at an earlier point.

Now, you -- the Executive proposes to eliminate \$41.4 million in Close to Home reimbursement. So how is it that we're

1	eliminating funding for the thing that will
2	help us reach the goal of the RTA, Raise the
3	Age program?
4	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So,
5	Senator, my response is similar to what I've
6	said before. It gets really back
7	fundamentally to the fact that the state has
8	a significant
9	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Specifically for
10	New York City.
11	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Right.
12	a significant budget deficit, while
13	the city has a significant surplus. And
14	given that Close to Home serves exclusively
15	New York City's children, that we believe,
16	now that it's no longer a pilot program, that
17	we're putting in, right, an Article VII bill
18	to make it permanent, that the city now has
19	the financial resources and means to wholly
20	own it programmatically and fiscally.
21	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: All right.
22	Obviously I disagree with you. However my
23	time is up? I don't have any time.
24	SENATOR KRUEGER: You can do a second

1	round.

2	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Let me just say
3	this quickly. Ella McQueen is in my
4	district, and I've been talking to people at
5	the city, they're very interested in
6	negotiating with you to be able to utilize
7	Ella McQueen in the same way that you have
8	been doing, that you no longer will be doing,
9	but the city would like to do that.
10	So I'm going to want to know where you
11	are in those negotiations.
12	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So Ella

ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So Ella

McQueen has been an important OCFS asset for

many, many years. But getting back to the

point I made earlier, Senator, just the

number of children coming into the system has

really dropped, and on an average we've had

between 5 and 7 young people in that facility

with 60 staff, almost. So it's really, you

know, a cost to taxpayers -- several million

dollars a year -- that given our deficit, we

need to use for a different purpose.

So our focus is really making sure that we take care of the young people who are

	1	there now as we transition and close the
	2	agency, as well as making sure that we find
	3	landing spots for the 58 staff who work at
	4	Ella McQueen. And we are ready, in
	5	partnership with Civil Service, to activate
	6	all of the options and resources that we have
	7	available to make sure that there are no
	8	layoffs for the staff there.
	9	So that's my consideration right now.
1	LO	I'm focused on the people and the kids; we
1	L1	are not focused on the building that's left.
1	12	And I'm sure those conversations will be
1	13	happening at another time.
1	L 4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
1	15	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: I would like very
1	16	much to know where that goes, the
1	L7	conversation. The transfer of that facility
1	L8	is going to be very important.
1	L 9	Thank you.
2	20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you,
2	21	Senator.
2	22	We've been joined actually a while
2	23	ago, I didn't see her out of the corner of my
2	24	eye, by our deputy speaker, Earlene Hooper.

1	And now for the second round of
2	questions, to Assemblywoman Jaffee.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you.
4	Thank you. There are several questions that
5	I had that I wasn't able to respond earlier
6	to questioning.
7	I just want to follow up on the Ella
8	McQueen Center. They do the evaluations,
9	they do evaluations, evaluating youth,
10	something that I used to do when I was an
11	educator. I did educational evaluations.
12	The question is, since this site is closing
13	down, how will you then transfer the function
14	of actually evaluating the youth once it's
15	closed?
16	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So it's a
17	great question. And, you know, we have I
18	can assure you, Assemblywoman, that we have,
19	within the rest of OCFS's system, certainly
20	adequate capacity to provide those same
21	assessment services that kids, frankly, today
22	are now traveling from Erie County and
23	Buffalo and Monroe County all the way down to

Brooklyn, you know, for a two-week stay to

1	get these assessments before they land in
2	their OCFS placement. So it doesn't really
3	make sense as a practical matter.

So we -- because we have been building up our own state system, we've done a lot of transformation of our own model within OCFS's programs. We have a lot more clinicians and clinical staff, so we have the capacity to really replace those reception services within the greater OCFS system.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Do we have enough -- is there enough staff, those who are educated in evaluation and assessment, actually significant numbers? I mean, they're also counseling and mental health services, is the discussion we've had, that our -- it's problematic because we don't have enough youth going into those careers.

ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: You know, there may be some of the tests that require a licensed psychologist, Assemblywoman. And so I can assure you we are going to maintain doing the same assessments that we've done, where we don't have a licensed psychologist

Ţ	on stair, we have plenty of contracting
2	capacity where we tap into for those
3	specialized services.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you.
5	Now, the families we discussed that
6	receive subsidized care for their children,
7	their funding streams are usually many are
8	associated with the facilitated enrollment,
9	childcare, and also SUNY/CUNY issues in terms
10	of the childcare providers there, which I
11	think are essential.
12	So otherwise, you know, this is going
13	to eliminate, since those programs are
14	eliminated, how are we going to then continue
15	the subsidies for these families?
16	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Well,
17	Assemblywoman, I think as you are aware,
18	those programs have never been in the
19	Executive Budget, those have been adds by the
20	Legislature. And so that's continuing the
21	case in this year's proposed budget.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: One more
23	question, I wanted to follow up on the foster
24	care issue.

1	When I had the hearing regarding
2	foster care and kinship care, and with some
3	of the meetings I've had with members of the
4	communities throughout in various areas in
5	the state, one of the issues that has come up
6	is that there seemed to have been a cut in
7	foster care funding, so our counties really
8	didn't have the funds to be able to then
9	support foster care programs. So there was
10	pushback for families who were seeking foster
11	care. And there was very little information
12	being provided there is very little
13	information being provided by the counties to
14	the communities regarding that. And even
15	those who had were involved with kinship
16	care, wanting to move to foster care, they
17	were getting pushback as well.
18	So that's a problem, both in awareness
19	and it's something that I'm you're to be

and it's something that I'm -- you're to be focusing on, and maybe we can work together in terms of the counties sharing information about foster care and kinship and the services that are provided. And then, of course, provide more funding to be able to

1	assist that, as well as the sharing that
2	families understand what the process is and
3	what they can what kind of support they
4	could get. That is a major issue, especially
5	with the opioid crisis that we've had, in
6	terms of so many the numbers just really
7	are problematic.
8	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So,
9	Assemblywoman, happy to let you know that a
10	focus on kinship and kin care has been a
11	priority of ours at OCFS in the past couple
12	of years. And I'd like to just share some of
13	the good things that we are doing and have
14	done, and some of the results that we're
15	seeing.
16	So we're actually seeing an increase
17	in kin gap, so the subsidized guardianship
18	placements were up about 10 percent across
19	the state last year, so that's good.
20	Obviously the word is getting out.
21	And to your point, Assemblywoman, of
22	families, right, not understanding sort of
23	the plain language of their legal options,

right, when asked -- I mean, it's

complicated, right? When you've been asked potentially to care for a child and you've got a worker talking to you about direct placement, Article 6, 1017, kinship foster care, it's a lot to try and take in.

So one of the things we've done more recently is for potential caregivers and relatives to write down "know your options" in plain language, so folks sort of in that heat of the moment of making a decision at least know, here are some of the options for you.

We also spend a lot of time meeting with the kinship advocates. I know you've met with many of them too. And we spend a lot of time thinking together how we can better inform the process, you know, through data. So last year we made some changes to our data collection system, and we are now able to track more clearly and definitively which counties are using which paths for families to be involved. And so when we have counties who we believe are not appropriately engaging relatives, offering them kinship

1	foster	care,	we	are	able	to	share	that	data
2:	with th	ne com	ntie	25.					

And something that we've also recently done is we are now going to be requiring local Departments of Social Services to actually think about a specific plan related to kinship, to make sure that families who step forward with an interest, right, in caring for a niece or a nephew or a grandchild, you know, that they're just not sent down to Family Court to take an Article 6 placement without understanding, at least, all the other options and choices up to and including kinship foster care and KinGAP.

So we are doing a lot of work in this area. There's always work to be done. We continue to certainly support the Kinship Navigator, the kinship programs, family resource centers. I can sit here before you and say that I feel like we've put a lot of good effort into this area of kinship, and I think good things are going to start to come out of it.

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
2	Senate?
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Well, let me
4	just say thank you, Commissioner, and thank
5	you for the roundtables that you did lead in
6	the last several months with everyone so that
7	we can have the dialogue. Thank you very
8	much.
9	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
10	Our next speaker is Senator Diane
11	Savino.
12	SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you, Senator
13	Young.
L 4	Good morning, Commissioner. Good to
15	see you.
16	I'm going to continue on a theme
17	that's been brought up by several other
18	members. As you know, I started my career in
19	the child welfare system in fact, 28 years
20	ago, at a time when the state was in the
21	midst of another horrible drug crisis. Then
22	it was the crack epidemic, and now it's the
23	opioid epidemic.
24	The difference between then and now,

1	though, is never at any point did the State
2	of New York say that the children that were
3	being served in the City of New York were
4	New York City's children. They're all
5	New York State's children. And there has to
6	be a responsibility on the part of the state
7	to adequately fund the services.

A few years ago we asked counties and localities to develop a new funding stream, you know, to be able to provide adequately for preventive services. And that has, up until now, worked fairly well. So the proposal now to apply this new methodology just to the City of New York just seems somewhat arbitrary and harmful to the children of the State of New York who happen to reside in the City of New York.

So I would respectfully request that the department take a long look at that. You know, it's a budget of a hundred and -- I don't know, 60 billion dollars, if not more -- I lost track the other day. But if we can't find \$40 million for the City of New York to be able to take care of the

1	state's children who happen to reside in the
2	five boroughs, who are suffering under the
3	effects of the opioid abuse epidemic and
4	we've done such great work in the City of
5	New York. Thirty years ago we would have
6	removed all of those children, and they'd be
7	in foster care. Now we understand that
8	preventive services works, keep families
9	together.

I would respectfully request that the Governor's office rethink this idea that the City of New York can fend for itself.

With respect to Close to Home, you know, you know that in 2012 I introduced the legislation that created the Close to Home program, and I was very happy to see the Governor's office then take it and put it in the budget, because it really does work. And how do I know it works? In 2012 when we introduced Close to Home, there were 900 young people incarcerated at Rikers Island, 16- and 17-year-olds. Today there are only 170. Why? Because we've turned them around earlier with direct intervention

in their own community. Close to Home works.

So that's why it's important that we continue to fund it at the local level, which again is where the majority of the kids who are in the program happen to reside. They live in New York City, but they're New York State residents.

And on Raise the Age, I'm hoping you can explain to me how we're going to go forward on implementing Raise the Age. And again, I understand that this is not your -- you know, you're just the person, the messenger sent here to explain this. So we anticipate that you'll go back and explain our concern.

Right now -- you know, we took great pride last year in finally right-sizing our criminal justice system as it pertains to young people, hopefully becoming the last state in the country that incarcerates 16-and 17-year-olds in adult prisons. But as we speak, the City of New York is not prepared to implement that because there's no guarantee that they're going to get any

funding for the implementation of Raise the
Age, where the majority of the young people
who would be affected will be.

So I don't know how we can claim credit for being a progressive state and saying we're doing right by young people in providing them an alternative if we're not going to provide the funding to pay for it.

So there has to be some — hopefully in the 30-day amendments, an acknowledgement that the City of New York should not be on the hook for all of these costs. Because they're not just city residents, they are New York State residents who happen to reside in the City of New York. So we really need to take another look at that.

You and I have spoken also offline about the tendency in counties outside the City of New York, upstate, where you're having a -- as you acknowledge in your own testimony, a 300 percent increase in calls to the State Central Registry, and the majority of them are coming as a result of the opioid abuse crisis.

1	But what we're seeing in counties
2	outside the city is that many of these
3	children who are being removed from their
4	parents are then being dumped on relatives
5	without any resources. I know that later on
6	today we're going to hear from the KinGAP
7	people, and Gerry Wallace is going to talk
8	about it. And this is a real problem.
9	Thirty-five years ago the City of New York
10	was sued for just that practice, and out of
11	that lawsuit came the kinship foster care
12	program.
13	So if we're going to drop children on
14	families in the middle of the night, we have
15	an obligation to see to it that there's some

families in the middle of the night, we have an obligation to see to it that there's some security and some permanency and some services provided to those families. It's critically important that we crack down on counties that are not following the law, that they're supposed to seek a relative and then provide services, and we know that's happening.

And so I know that was a long diatribe. You don't have to answer; you got

Τ	my message, I'm sure. If you have some
2	responses, I'd certainly like to hear them.
3	But again, the City of New York cannot be
4	treated differently. Those children are all
5	of our children.
6	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So I guess
7	I would say two things, Senator.
8	One is that I hope you feel somewhat
9	satisfied with the response
10	SENATOR SAVINO: I do.
11	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: that I
12	gave to Assemblywoman Jaffee in response to
13	the work and the focus on kinship and making
14	sure that there is not diversion and that
15	relatives who do step forward are afforded
16	those opportunities.
17	In point of fact, on that Raise the
18	Age, just so everyone does understand that
19	the appropriation language for the
20	\$100 million does include the option for
21	New York City to also submit and prepare a
22	Raise the Age plan outlining its incremental
23	costs. They can make their case for the
24	hardship waiver, which will then, you know,

1	be determined by the State Division of the
2	Budget. So the city is welcome, like every
3	other county across the state, to submit a
4	Raise the Age implementation plan.
5	SENATOR SAVINO: I appreciate that.
6	And I know my time is up, but I just want to
7	make this point.
8	The statute says that it goes into
9	effect October 1st. The City of New York has
10	clearly said they will not be ready. So
11	something needs to change. Otherwise, we're
12	going to have a real problem come October
13	1st. There's nowhere, right now, to house
14	these young people. If we took them off of
15	Rikers Island, there's nowhere to put them.
16	So we impose this requirement on the
17	City of New York, I think it's critically
18	important that we acknowledge that they
19	should not be left hanging because these are
20	real lives and real young people that we're
21	talking about. Thank you.
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
23	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman

Hevesi, briefly.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: I can make this
2	really brief, because Senator Savino is
3	awesome, she just hit on it. So I just have
4	to get this out. They're all New York State
5	kids.
6	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: I'm sorry?
7	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: They're all
8	New York State kids. They're all New York
9	State kids. It doesn't matter if they live
10	in Chemung or Chautauqua or New York City,
11	they're all New York State kids.
12	And, you know, I was going to bring
13	this up with a serious point until I heard
14	Senator Savino. But Close to Home was the
15	Governor's idea. I mean, it sort of makes me
16	laugh a little that it came from a bill that
17	he took, but he put it in the Executive
18	Budget in 2012. And now his the city
19	wasn't interested in doing it then, they came
20	along for the ride. And now Governor Cuomo,
21	when times get a little tough, walked away.
22	It was his idea. You know, that's there
23	seems something wrong about that.
24	And then I have just one other point.

1	The city surplus? That city surplus is
2	projected to be a deficit. They're not flush
3	with cash. They're not going to be rolling
4	in cash for years. I think the formulation
5	is a little bit off. I understand the logic
6	of it, but I've just got to register my
7	opposition to it.
8	And again, you know, if I had a friend
9	who said, Hey, man, can I come over to your
10	house and we'll watch Pay-Per-View and
11	then when the bill came, left, I'd be pissed.
12	That's what just happened to Cuomo and
13	de Blasio.
14	(Laughter.)
15	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: So that's my two
16	cents. Thanks.
17	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
18	To the Senate now.
19	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
20	Our next speaker is Senator Tim
21	Kennedy.
22	SENATOR KENNEDY: Thank you,
23	Commissioner. Thank you for your service.
24	I'm going to get back into an issue

1	that my colleagues have been talking about
2	today, and that's childcare, childcare
3	subsidies, facilitated enrollment, and the
4	regular New York State Child Care Block
5	Grant.
6	First of all, if you could please
7	explain what investment the state is making
8	in this budget from the what's been
9	proposed into facilitated enrollment?
10	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So as I
11	said earlier, Senator, those facilitated
12	enrollment programs have never been included
13	in the Executive proposal. Those have been
14	investments made by the Legislature, outside
15	of the Executive proposal
16	SENATOR KENNEDY: So every county, and
17	there are eight of them, every county that
18	received funding for facilitated enrollment
19	last year has been zeroed out of what's been
20	proposed.
21	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Mm-hmm.
22	SENATOR KENNEDY: And the expectation
23	is if we want that, we're going to add it.
24	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes. As I

1	said,	Senator,	they	are	not	included	in	the
2	propos	sed budget	Ξ.					

SENATOR KENNEDY: Okay. So let's just get into the New York State Child Care Block Grant. Last February you stated that you'd look into a formula and methodology to see about the distribution and make it more equitable. Can you tell us what's been done thus far in that regard?

ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So our allocation methodology has remained consistent, which I think was one of the goals in the requests of all the local Departments of Social Services. So we have not, Senator, made sweeping changes to our methodology.

SENATOR KENNEDY: So it's consistent, but I would argue that Erie County is consistently underfunded compared to the rest of the state. There is a massive disparity in childcare funding. It is a huge inequity that has to be resolved. Erie County receives \$89.76 for every resident earning below 200 percent of the federal poverty

almost three times as much as we do in  Erie County, at \$256.85. This is just a  massive inequity.	1	line, whereas Monroe, our neighbor to the
Erie County, at \$256.85. This is just a massive inequity.	2	east, receives \$159.67. Nassau receives
5 massive inequity.	3	almost three times as much as we do in
	4	Erie County, at \$256.85. This is just a
And there are people that earn le	5	massive inequity.
	6	And there are people that earn les

And there are people that earn less
than 200 percent of the federal poverty line
that qualify for the original block grant
funding that are on a waiting list, and so
there are families that can't go to work
because they can't afford childcare. And
that is just the tip of the iceberg. Can you
talk about what we're going to do?
Because it's been a year, and it sounds like
nothing's been done.

ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Well,
Senator, I don't have great answers for you
except to say that we have restored the
subsidy, we've made investments in
after-school, we now have a childcare task
force, which I think will help us hopefully
get at some of those issues that you raise
about access and affordability of childcare.
We're hoping that really good things and

_					_	_	_	_
1	innorra+irra	1 0000	$\alpha \alpha m \alpha$	->11+	$\sim$ f	+ h ~ +	+ 1-	force
_	innovative	Tueas	Come	Out	OT	LIIaL	Lask	TOLCE.

SENATOR KENNEDY: Sure, and I appreciate that. What I would ask you for, Commissioner, is a commitment to make it equitable across the state. These disparities, whether it's Erie County or any other county, they shouldn't exist. There should be fair and equitable distribution of the funds from the federal government through New York State so that there are people in any community not on a waiting list because of a disparity.

I mean, it's really unfair and it has to be resolved. It is a huge priority for our community, especially the community I represent, and we are and we have prioritized the state -- Empire State Development, we talked about it earlier, and from an economic development perspective, putting people to work and we're talking about a huge void in the workforce that's upcoming. And I think it's absolutely essential that we have the proper amount of childcare funding in every single community, including Erie County. And

ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Certainly,

Senator, what I will commit to you is I will

work as hard as anyone else on trying to get

at some of these really pervasive issues with

access and affordability of childcare. I

certainly am happy to commit to that with

you.

SENATOR KENNEDY: Along those same lines, can you just talk about how your office is working with Empire State

Development in regard to childcare funding and making sure that folks have that available?

ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So we don't have a formal relationship with Empire State Development now, although they are one of the listed members of the task force that we will begin working on this year. Someone mentioned earlier in the hearing there is one county, it's actually Monroe County, that through Empire State Development and an upstate regional initiative -- actually, this was at the local level -- decided to make a

1	\$3 million investment using those economic
2	dollars to bring additional childcare slots
3	into the county.
4	So again, there's at least one

precedent where there's been a nexus between the need for childcare and tapping into the economic dollars that are available to that region.

with this -- I'm out of time -- but an increase in funding clearly for the regular New York State Child Care Block Grant across the state, and then distributing it in a proper equitable fashion I think is extremely important. I think we have an obligation to families across the state, especially in the community I represent that is being underfunded.

At the same time, I'd like the state to look at focusing on increasing the funds for the facilitated enrollment program through Workforce Development Institute, WDI, where it's been shown to work. And they have helped hundreds of families in their

Τ.	respective communities. I'd like to see it
2	not only in the eight counties where it has
3	been proposed and exists thus far, but it
4	should be a statewide initiative.
5	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
6	So, Commissioner Poole, I had a few
7	questions regarding Raise the Age. And
8	you've talked a little bit about it today.
9	But as Senator Savino was pointing out, it
10	takes effect on October 1st of this year, so
11	the clock is ticking. And I'm looking at
12	more of a macro explanation of it. But could
13	you please provide an overview of the
14	planning and implementation of activities
15	that have taken place to date?
16	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Certainly.
17	There's been a lot of them.
18	So I'll just go back to last summer
19	after the bill passed. A number of us from
20	the Executive chamber and state agencies, so
21	there's a team of us OCFS, DCJS, DOCCS,
22	State Commission on Corrections we went
23	across the state, we did 10 roundtables over
24	the summer, we met with local stakeholders,

1	elected officials, probation, social
2	services, as a way to begin the conversation,
3	going over the enacted bill, sharing data
4	projections, really talking about how the
5	local planning can get underway.

Of course, as you can imagine, we've gotten lots of questions, technical questions, about it. So we have stood up a website, a Raise the Age website that has all kinds of information, answers to all the questions, that we have provided.

We have participated in numerous
meetings and sessions at both the NYSAC as
well as the NYPWA conferences. We have
promulgated regulations to stand up the new
specialized secure detention facilities that
will be needed for the adolescent offenders.
We have gone out to a number of counties as
teams together when they are considering
standing up a detention or using Raise the
Age as a way to replace an aging facility to
accommodate the growing need for secure as
well as the adolescent offender specialized
secure detention facility. So it has been an

1	intensive	effort	to	share	information,	to
2	engage loc	cal stal	ceho	olders.		

3 We also, at the request of localities, developed a Raise the Age local planning 4 5 guide -- again, it was completely voluntary -- but as a way for localities to 6 7 really begin to think about, you know, from the point of arrest or contact, you know, 8 right through after-care services, what does 9 10 Raise the Age implementation mean at a local level. And then from there, helping those 11 12 localities really figure out so what does this mean in terms of additional probation 13 14 staff, what does this mean in terms of 15 additional detention beds, et cetera. So 16 some of those plans are starting to come back in to us. 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And as I said, we've traveled to a number of municipalities, you know, from St. Lawrence all the way down to Nassau and Suffolk and New York City, really trying to help folks think about the beds that will need to be stood up between now and the initial launch in October.

1	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: SO I'M glad to hear
2	that you're having those conversations across
3	the state, but there still seems to be a lot
4	of confusion around Raise the Age. And
5	during the discussions, which I took part in,
6	when the Raise the Age legislation was
7	passed, the Governor's commitment was that
8	the counties would be held harmless and that
9	they would not have any additional costs.
10	Could you please address that?
11	Because I still get a lot of concern from
12	counties all over the state that this is
13	going to cost them a lot of money. And we
14	just had a discussion the other day with
15	Acting Commissioner Annucci from the
16	Department of Corrections, and there was a
17	discussion about even changing how
18	transportation is paid for. Because as you
19	know, several of these youths will have to be
20	transported all over the state.
21	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Right.
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: And in the
23	Executive proposal, there is a provision that
24	would require the funding formula be changed

1	for local law enforcement who transport these
2	youths. So it won't be based on salary
3	anymore, it will be based on mileage. And
4	Commissioner Annucci did concede that it will
5	be a higher cost to local governments.
6	So those are some of the issues that I
7	think are out there. And so how will this
8	actually work, and how will the counties be
9	held harmless?
10	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So again,
11	the appropriation language to tap into the
12	\$100 million includes the development of a
13	local plan. So the appropriation language
14	spells out all of the incremental costs,
15	probation, detention.
16	And just as a point of clarification,
17	Senator, under Raise the Age any
18	transportation costs on the part of sheriffs
19	for 16- and 17-year-olds is considered a
20	100 percent Raise the Age cost, so they
21	should receive full reimbursement for that.
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: That's very good to
23	hear.
24	So in addition to the programs and

1	services, counties are also required to have
2	access to new specialized secure detention
3	facilities for adolescent offenders. And the
4	question is, has OCFS approved any of the
5	operating certificates yet, and where are
6	those approved, if they are?
7	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: To date
8	we've not approved any. As I said earlier,
9	we've had lots of conversations with a number
10	of municipalities who I think are getting
11	close to finalizing decisions about where
12	those new specialized secure detention beds
13	will be, but we've not yet finalized any of
14	those plans as of today.
15	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So what are you
16	telling counties about deferring their costs?
17	I mean, so if they have to build a new
18	facility, what is the message that OCFS is
19	delivering about that?
20	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So the
21	message is that, again, any costs related to
22	Raise the Age, assuming that their plan is
23	approved, will be covered 100 percent by the

24 state.

1	So in addition to the \$100 million,
2	there's also a \$19 million capital
3	appropriation to help jump-start some of the
4	detention capital that might be needed.
5	Again, that will follow current reimbursement
6	streams 49 percent local, 51 percent
7	state. And then anything that's left from
8	that can be applied to the 100 percent, you
9	know, \$100 million allocation that remains in
10	Raise the Age.
11	So again, we have you know, we have
12	said time and time again that the Governor
13	has been committed to providing 100 percent
14	support for Raise the Age-related costs for
15	those counties who fall within the tax cap or
16	who can make the hardship waiver argument.
17	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. I just
18	want to make it very clear, so thank you for
19	that.
20	And this past September the Governor
21	announced bid solicitations for construction
22	projects at two former OCFS facilities and
23	that would be Industry Residential Center,
24	which I know well, in Monroe County, and

1	Harriet Tubman Residential Center in
2	Cayuga County with construction
3	anticipated to begin actually last fall.
4	So has the construction begun on those
5	facilities yet?
6	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes,
7	construction has begun at both of those
8	facilities. I am happy to say that it is on
9	schedule. And both facilities will certainly
10	be open well in advance of needing to be
11	ready for Raise the Age. So both of those
12	projects are going very well, Senator.
13	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So that's great to
14	hear.
15	And in the announcement it was stated
16	that these facilities are expected to add a
17	total of 250 staff positions. So my question
18	is, will these be new hires or will people be
19	transferred around the state?
20	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: No, these
21	will be new hires in those communities. So
22	we will be doing job fairs.
23	But again, you know, we also run the
24	state juvenile justice system, so if we have

1	staff that it creates promotional
2	opportunities for, as we do now, we certainly
3	want to support that as well.
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay, I have some
5	questions about Close to Home, but I'll let
6	Senator Montgomery go.
7	SENATOR KRUEGER: No, it's my turn.
8	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Oh, it's your turn,
9	I apologize. Senator Krueger is next.
10	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
11	Hi. Got skipped. So there's been a
12	lot of discussion about Raise the Age and
13	also communities making sure they meet an
L 4	October 1st deadline. So the City of
15	New York is specifically asking to be able to
16	use the Ella McQueen site that you say you're
17	pulling out of. Is there any reason why that
18	couldn't happen?
19	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: None that
20	I'm aware of, Senator. But again, our focus
21	now is closing down the facility to save
22	money. No decisions that I'm aware of have
23	been made regarding the potential future use
24	of the facility.

1	SENATOR KRUEGER: But following up on
2	points Cathy was Senator Young was just
3	making, we're getting very close to a
4	deadline of October 1st. So hopefully the
5	state can move quickly to arrange this.
6	Because, again, the City of New York needs
7	this facility for exactly the reasons we're
8	talking about.
9	There's also been quite a bit of
10	discussion about inequity in funding, and
11	Senator Savino said they're all our children,
12	and they are. Just for the record, state
13	government also has a reserve and a rainy day
14	fund. The federal government does as well.
15	It's just smart government to have reserves
16	and rainy day funds, as opposed to the view
17	that if somebody does have one, you're going
18	to penalize them in their state process.
19	In your testimony I add up that
20	there's \$10 million more for Empire State
21	After-School, making it \$45 million;
22	\$17 million continuing for Advantage
23	After-School Program. And you say combined,
24	both programs will serve over 44,000

Τ	children. So when I take the \$62 million
2	divided by 44,000 children, I get \$1400 per
3	slot annual, or \$8 per day.
4	Are we actually running after-school
5	programs at \$8 per day?
6	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: You know,
7	I've never done the math that way, Senator.
8	I mean, I'm happy to look into it.
9	But again, you know, those are the
10	number of slots that we are having across the
11	state. So and again, you have groups of
12	kids in the classroom, so it may be able to
13	be done that efficiently. But I can
14	certainly look into that information.
15	SENATOR KRUEGER: So I would really
16	like you to get back to us about how the math
17	works, because that's just that's assuming
18	across the board everyone gets the same. And
19	as we already heard from some of my
20	colleagues, sometimes the amount provided by
21	different parts of the state can vary
22	extremely. But it just doesn't seem like
23	we could possibly be getting the kind of
24	after-school programs we need at \$8 per day.

Ţ	I also just finished an article about
2	the not-for-profit sector around the country
3	and the fact that a disproportionately large
4	number of them are literally in fiscal
5	crisis. Because again, as you've heard
6	today, we raised the wages and I totally
7	support that we raised the wages, because if
8	we don't then nobody could keep staff. But
9	we are the funder of the budgets of the
10	not-for-profits, so we find ourselves in a
11	situation where not-for-profits don't have
12	adequate money to pay their workers, and they
13	literally can't meet any kind of emergency
14	needs. And we have seen, over the last
15	couple of years, some large not-for-profit
16	organizations in the State of New York
17	literally surprising us by going belly up,
18	causing crisis for their clients and also for
19	the state, as the provider of funds to the
20	not-for-profits who are doing this work for
21	us.
22	I asked, I think it was last year
23	and I'm not sure if I asked you, in fairness,
24	because there are many different

commissioners that this overlaps if the
state had any kind of forensic analysis it
was doing to make sure that it's not putting
the organizations we contract with into such
a level of crisis that they actually have the
potential for going belly up, which is a much
bigger problem.

And I ask again this year because when you look at the federal changes in law, both reducing federal money and changing the formula for taxes such that the philanthropic sector is estimating billions of dollars of less money being donated to not-for-profits, are we factoring that all into making smart decisions? And is someone looking at this on the macro level for us?

ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: I am not aware of, Senator, that there's that kind of analysis going on.

I can speak to what we try and do at OCFS is, you know, we also rely on a very robust group of not-for-profits to carry out really important work. And so again, wherever we can through our rate structure or

1	through our contract oversight work, if we
2	see that there's a not-for-profit who's in
3	some fiscal distress, we work very hard at
4	OCFS to dive into the budget to try and
5	adjust the work plan wherever possible so
6	that we are holding up the not-for-profit and
7	that we're not having not-for-profits who we
8	depend on go out of business.
9	And we've done that. We do that, you
10	know, day in and day out at OCFS.
11	I would also just remind everyone that
12	there was a \$100 million infrastructure grant
13	put forward for the not-for-profit community,
14	again recognizing the need for those agencies
15	to have some additional capacity for
16	infrastructure. So that money was made
17	available as well.
18	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
19	Next, Velmanette Montgomery in a
20	follow-up.
21	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Yes, thank you.
22	Commissioner, just to pursue a little
23	bit more. It seems that, you know, there are
24	some programs in our state that work very

1	well, they have a track record. And one of
2	them that I'm specifically familiar with,
3	among many others, is YouthBuild, which
4	really is one of those programs it doesn't
5	fit neatly into your shop or labor or but
6	it works. And these are the youth that we
7	really are trying to target.
8	So my question for you is, what can we
9	do to make sure that those programs that
10	actually work are ones that we really invest
11	in, and not look to reduce funding every
12	year, they have to come to fight for the
13	funding and they're already underfunded.
14	So is there any way that we can look
15	to see what's actually working in our state,
16	those programs that work? And let's invest
17	in them and make sure they become part of the
18	infrastructure of what we do for young
19	people.
20	And I still am not sure about the
21	Ella McQueen, but I think you'll get back to
22	us on that
23	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes.
24	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: New York City is

Τ	desperate to be able to use that facility as
2	part of implementation of Raise the Age.
3	So those two I just want to raise with
4	you.
5	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes. So
6	your point is well taken about the promising
7	or evidence models, right, that are effective
8	for young people.
9	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Yes.
10	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: You know,
11	YouthBuild is one, Credible Messenger is
12	another, right?
13	As there's more and more youth justice
14	work done across the country, and the
15	research that follows, I think the good news
16	is we're having a wider menu of those
17	programs and services that we know are
18	impactful for young people.
19	And again, I think when we are looking
20	toward making investments for diversion and
21	adjustment services as part of Raise the Age,
22	those are exactly the kind of programs that
23	we're looking for.

SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Okay. I

1	appreciate that. And I'll look forward to
2	working with you ongoing to see where you are
3	with those and which ones we really want to
4	continue to make sure are part of the
5	infrastructure, as I said.
6	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Happy to
7	work with you, Senator.
8	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Thank you.
9	SENATOR KRUEGER: And Senator Diane
10	Savino, for a follow-up round.
11	SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you. Thank
12	you, Senator Krueger.
13	I just want to go back to Raise the
14	Age, and I just want to emphasize because
15	in the response to one of the members, it may
16	have been Senator Young, you talked about how
17	there was engagement with localities and
18	various agencies.
19	So there seems to be a disconnect,
20	though, with the City of New York. Packaged
21	here today is some testimony from the New
22	York City Corrections Officers union, COBA.
23	They're not present, but they did submit the
24	testimony. And they have raised some serious

1	issues about conflict between what their job
2	responsibilities are as corrections officers,
3	and how it's defined under civil service law,
4	with conflicts within the City Charter as to
5	where you can house people who are under the
6	jurisdiction of one agency or another. They
7	are prepared to vehemently oppose the
8	responsibility of their members becoming the
9	caretakers of young people who are housed in
10	facilities that are not designated by the
11	Department of Corrections.

So I'm bringing this up because I know also later today we're going to hear from the Social Service Employees Union, who represents the staff who are ACS employees, and they have their own issues.

The point I'm trying to make,

Commissioner, is there has been little

interaction with the workforce on the

implementation of this incredibly important

new program. The people have not been

trained, they don't know what their

responsibilities are, there's conflict in

city and state law with respect to these

1	facilities, who's responsible, who isn't.
2	And I think we need to get that lined up
3	straight before we talk about October 1st
4	implementation.

The mayor yesterday said it more than once -- you know, when I agree with him, I agree with him. When I don't, I don't, and he knows it. But he said it more than once, the city is not prepared to do this, especially without any funding. And if we're going to pull funding out of Child Protective Services and Child Preventive Services and unfund Close to Home, this is not going to work.

But before we move forward, we need to have a comprehensive conversation with the people who are going to be responsible for the care and custody of these 16- and 17-year-olds. Because they're not ready, they don't know what their responsibilities are, and what we're asking them may in fact conflict with city law.

So I just want to leave that with you, because Raise the Age is only going to work

Τ.	ii everybody understands now it s going to
2	work.
3	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Thank you,
4	Senator.
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
6	That's the I think you get a break.
7	(Laughter.)
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So thank you
9	for being here today and
10	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Wait, I get to
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Oh, I'm sorry.
12	Senator Young.
13	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I wanted to delve
14	into Close to Home a little bit more.
15	So the Executive Budget contains
16	legislation to extend for five years the
17	Juvenile Justice Services Close to Home
18	initiative in New York City. And as I'm sure
19	you'll remember, the initial rollout of Close
20	to Home was rather problematic, particularly
21	surrounding the issue of youth escaping or
22	being away, being AWOL. And there were
23	several high-profile incidents regarding
24	these youth and additional crimes,

1 unfortunately, that they committed.

So could you give us some background information? What's the current rate of runaways in New York City, and how does it compare with the programs that are overseen by OCFS? So what I'm looking at is -- what I want to explore are the number of youths who go AWOL, comparing it to OCFS facilities.

ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So I would say overall you're exactly right, Senator, that the early implementation of Close to Home, it was a bit bumpy there. But that's not to be -- right, that's not unexpected in sort of launching such an initiative.

You know, the city has done a very good job over the course of the past several years in holding agencies accountable.

They've done a lot of work to strengthen the core Close to Home program. And in fact a lot of their data metrics, the AWOLs, the assaults, the re-arrests, all of those things have really evened out and are at least on par with runaway/AWOL rates in the rest of the state. So they've done --

1	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Is there anywhere
2	you can get that information?
3	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes.
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay, could you
5	send us and what I'd like to see,
6	Commissioner, is background on Close to Home
7	but also as it compares to state-operated
8	programs. If you have that.
9	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Okay.
10	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: That would be
11	helpful.
12	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes, we'll
13	do our best.
14	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So with the city's
15	juvenile justice system, you said that
16	they've done a very good job in taking
17	official actions to address deficiencies or
18	problems. But could you delve into that a
19	little bit deeper? I mean, what exactly have
20	they done?
21	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Well, I
22	think that they were able to really determine
23	which of their not-for-profits really had an
24	affinity and a strength for doing that work.

Т	And so now the number of not-for-profits that
2	they have, as compared to when they first
3	started Close to Home, is much smaller.
4	Right? So for those folks who were not
5	performing, you know, despite additional
6	technical assistance or support, those folks
7	are no longer Close to Home-approved
8	providers by New York City.
9	And then I think the city's also put
10	in a lot of its own oversight structures for
11	the Close to Home program.
12	So again, strengthening the program,
13	figuring out who's really good at providing
14	those services I think like many
15	initiatives, right is what leads to
16	success.
17	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you for that.
18	But again, so you're going to look into
19	AWOLs. But also, is there any data on
20	outcomes for New York City youth versus for
21	youth in the rest of the state?
22	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: I'm not
23	sure that we have an apples-to-apples
24	comparison, Senator. We just we haven't

1	done it that way before.
2	But, you know, certainly I'm happy to
3	work to try and get any data that would line
4	up in that way.
5	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Well, we want ever
6	youth, as you know, to have a good outcome.
7	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Absolutely
8	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So the question
9	is it would be helpful if there is data
10	available. And it sounds like there is,
11	right
12	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Mm-hmm.
13	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: on outcomes?
1.4	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes. And
15	not only OCFS facilities, but again a lot of
16	other not-for-profits also run residential
17	programs, foster care programs. So I think
18	we you know, we can go back and try and
19	figure out what's a fair comparison, you
20	know, across those programs and see what dat
21	we can provide to you.
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. That
23	would be helpful.

So in addition to extending the law,

1	the Governor's budget proposal also puts
2	forward eliminating the state elimination
3	of state reimbursement to New York City for
4	Close to Home-related expenditures, as you
5	know. So does this constitute the
6	elimination of all state reimbursement for
7	expenses related to youth to these
8	particular youth, or is the city still
9	eligible for reimbursement through other
10	juvenile justice-related funding streams?
11	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So it
12	just for New York City's Close to Home, it
13	pertains exclusively to Close to Home.
14	However, you know, when they raise the age in
15	New York City, the 16- and 17-year-olds who
16	would be part of the Close to Home program,
17	assuming that New York City submits a plan
18	and that it's approved again, those 16-
19	and 17-year-olds from New York City who are
20	in a Close to Home program, assuming that the
21	plan is approved by the Division of the
22	Budget, would be eligible for state
23	reimbursement.
24	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay. So the

1	proposal from the Governor is to eliminate
2	\$41.4 million in appropriation authority for
3	Close to Home expenditures. But what is the
4	actual anticipated savings for the state in
5	this proposal?
6	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: It's the
7	\$41 million.
8	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: It's just the
9	\$41.4 million?
10	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Mm-hmm.
11	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay. Thank you.
12	Thank you for being here today.
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So again, thank
14	you for being here and responding to members'
15	questions.
16	And I think there were there may
17	have been a few follow-ups that you had to
18	get back to us, and we'll share that with all
19	members. Thank you.
20	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: Thank you
21	all.
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Next we have,
23	from
24	(Protestors in audience.)

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: If people can
2	control themselves if people can control
3	themselves otherwise we're going to have
4	to ask you to leave.
5	(Protestors continue speaking.)
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We need to
7	continue the hearing. We appreciate people
8	coming
9	(Protestors continue.)
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We're going to
11	take a five-minute recess of our hearing.
12	(Brief recess taken.)
13	(Protestors continue; discussion off
14	the record.)
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So we'd like to
16	now, as soon as the room quiets down,
17	continue with the hearing.
18	So our next witness is from the State
19	Office of Temporary and Disability
20	Assistance, Barbara Guinn, executive deputy
21	director.
22	Good morning.
23	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: Good
24	morning, Chairpersons Weinstein, Young,

1	Hevesi and Tedisco, and to other members of
2	the Legislature. I am Barbara Guinn, the
3	executive deputy commissioner of the state
4	Office of Temporary and Disability
5	Assistance. I am honored to appear on behalf
6	of Commissioner Sam Roberts to discuss the
7	2019 Executive Budget and the important work
8	of our agency.

New Yorkers meet their basic needs and advance economically by providing opportunities for stable employment, housing and nutrition, working in partnership with the county social services districts we oversee. We administer a range of activities to reduce homelessness, including homeless prevention services, oversight of emergency shelters, provision of rent supplements, construction and operating costs for homeless housing, and housing retention services.

In 2017, we completed inspections of nearly 900 publicly funded homeless shelters, and as a result, improvements are being made in shelter conditions across New York State.

1	The state's Homeless Housing and
2	Assistance Program awarded funds for nearly
3	550 units of supportive housing for the
4	homeless this year, part of the unprecedented
5	commitment by the Governor and the
6	Legislature of \$10 billion toward creating
7	and preserving more than 100,000 units of
8	affordable housing and 6,000 units of
9	supportive housing.
10	The Executive Budget advances this
11	plan and builds on these efforts by having
12	OTDA work with local social services
13	districts to establish comprehensive homeless
14	services plans, including effective outreach
15	services. Local districts will be required
16	to engage with ongoing efforts, set
17	data-driven goals that are tailored to
18	community needs, and report regularly on
19	progress.
20	Making sure no New Yorker goes hungry
21	is another commitment that the Governor takes
22	to heart. The Supplemental Nutrition
23	Assistance Program helps nearly 3 million
24	low-income working people, older adults, and

1 other New Yorkers feed their families each 2 month. To further strengthen food security 3 with this budget, the Governor is launching a "No Student Goes Hungry" initiative that 5 requires food pantries on all SUNY and CUNY campuses to provide students access to 6 7 healthy locally-sourced meals, and includes expanded outreach efforts by OTDA. 8

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Adequate home heating during times of cold weather is another basic need that OTDA helps to meet. The Home Energy Assistance Program helps over 1.3 million low- and moderate-income households afford heating costs and reduce energy needs through energy-efficiency investments. As a result of the cold weather this season, we've seen a 40 percent increase in requests for emergency heating assistance since the emergency benefit opened early last month.

I'm proud to announce today that OTDA is amending its HEAP state plan to permit the issuance of an additional emergency benefit to better meet the increased need from this season's severe weather. This action will

1	enable additional emergency heating benefits
2	to help about 18,000 households, and the
3	state will dedicate an additional \$14 million
4	in federal HEAP funds to support
5	weatherization services for an additional
6	7,500 low-income households. This is the
7	second year in a row that we have dedicated
8	additional HEAP funds toward weatherization.
9	These efforts will help residents to keep the
10	heat on through the cold winter months and
11	achieve financial independence by lowering
12	future energy costs as a result of
13	weatherization.
14	The Executive Budget also includes
15	resources to support OTDA's child support
16	program, which collected more than
17	\$1.8 billion on behalf of nearly 829,000
18	families last year. Child support is an
19	important income source that helps families
20	achieve financial stability, helps reduce
21	child poverty, and encourages parents to be
22	more active in the lives of their children.
23	The 2019 budget also provides
24	\$40 million to support the Summer Youth

Employment Program, which provides low-income
youth with enriching and constructive work
experiences that can help even the playing
field for future success. This investment
represents a \$4 million increase from last
year's program to account for the recent
minimum-wage increase so that we can continue
to serve over 19,000 youth this summer.

The Governor is committed to New York remaining a welcoming place for all, regardless of race, religion, country of origin, or economic status. OTDA oversees a range of services for refugees in New York State which include help with housing, health, education and employment. OTDA staff were honored recently to welcome individuals arriving from hurricane-ravaged Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands and to provide information about available assistance. New York will continue to welcome new arrivals with open arms.

The Executive Budget supports comprehensive case management for vulnerable young people, especially immigrant youth.

1	inis investment is part of a rarger proposar
2	by the Governor to cut off the recruiting
3	pipeline of violent gangs, in particular
4	MS-13 on Long Island.
5	OTDA is proud of our work with the
6	Governor and the Legislature to support
7	strong families and communities and keep New
8	York a state of progress, opportunity, and
9	hope.
10	Thank you again for this opportunity
11	to speak to you today, and I look forward to
12	any questions you may have.
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
14	We'll go to Assemblyman Hevesi.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Hi. Good
16	afternoon, Ms. Guinn.
17	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: Good
18	afternoon.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: How are you
20	doing?
21	So I have a number of questions.
22	They're a little all over the place, so bear
23	with me.

So right from your testimony, thank

Ţ	you for the Governor's commitment to
2	supportive housing. It's great. And I know
3	that in this year's budget there was a little
4	bit of a hole in supportive housing, and you
5	guys plugged that hole in this year's budget
6	by giving some operating funds, I believe.
7	And so I'm grateful for that.
8	I would just ask that we look out, in
9	the next budget year, to do the next round of
10	funding for the next, I guess, whatever it
11	is, 6,000 units. I need the Governor to keep
12	his commitment on supportive housing. He's
13	done well so far. Nothing in this budget,
14	which is okay, because it's a long-term
15	commitment, but next year I hope he will do
16	that.
17	(Discussion off the record.)
18	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: A question about
19	the in your testimony, the \$14 million for
20	HEAP, that's great. Where did the money come
21	from?
22	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: It's
23	part of our block grant that we receive from
24	the federal government. We had some

1	additional funds that ended up being
2	available primarily based on the lower usage
3	last year, as a result of the mild winter.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: I didn't know
5	about it until this moment. That's great. I
6	like it for weatherization. That's well
7	done.
8	Some of my questions about I'm
9	going to shift to the nonprofit sector. As
10	you know, the state minimum wage is going up.
11	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: Yes.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Which is another
13	victory for the Governor and the Legislature,
14	which we should all be very proud of that
15	one.
16	I'm nervous about our providers not
17	getting made whole, because they're going to
18	have to accommodate for the \$15 minimum wage.
19	Is there anything in this budget that will
20	help the nonprofits deal with the increase in
21	the minimum wage?
22	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: I can
23	only speak to OTDA's portion of the Executive
24	Budget, and with respect to the nonprofits

that we contract with and that many of the
social services districts contract with for
services that we deliver through our agency,
most of those nonprofits, as we've looked at
our contracts, are already paying their
workers above the minimum wage based on the
nature of the services that are delivered for
our agency. So we're less in the direct care
than some other agencies.

ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Okay. But there are other nonprofit workers who are not at the minimum wage, and my understanding from the sector is they're concerned that once we get closer to the minimum wage, they're going to have trouble, you know, keeping up paying those wages.

So I would, you know, respectfully ask that you look in your future contracts to help them out. I mean, they were incredibly supportive in fighting for the minimum wage, which was a big campaign, and I just don't want them to get left behind or get hurt by doing the right thing.

24 EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: I would

1	agree.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: The Nonprofit
3	Infrastructure Capital Investment Program,
4	now forgive me, I normally don't ask
5	questions I don't know the answer to, but was
6	there any increase in that funding this year?
7	I don't think I've seen it. No, there is
8	not.
9	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: I do not
10	believe so. And that is not something that's
11	funded through our agency.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: That's not funded
13	through OTDA? It's a stand-alone, so I'm not
14	going to ask you about it anymore.
15	But let me put in a plug for the
16	Nonprofit Infrastructure Investment Fund.
17	It's a very important thing; the sector needs
18	it. Okay, so I just plugged it.
19	You and I have talked a little bit
20	about the SSP program in a prior hearing.
21	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: Yes.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Just one quick
23	question about that. How are we doing with
24	the SSP program? I still have advocates who

l are very concerned.
-----------------------

EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: We think we're doing very well with the SSP program in terms of our ability to issue very important benefits to disabled individuals. You know, over 690,000 individuals every month are receiving benefits on a timely basis.

In terms of some of the concerns that have been raised in the past, I know that we have been working and staff have been meeting with the advocate community to see how we can perhaps make some changes in terms of the notices that we provide to ensure that individuals understand what information we need from them so that we can make sure everyone is receiving the proper benefits.

ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Okay. That's great, and I appreciate that. I would just -- I think I registered this when we had a hearing on the matter. The \$90 million cost savings to the state, I again will recommend that maybe we even introduce legislation to try to get that cost saving redirected back to that population. I just

1	think '	that's	equitable.	So	just	on	the
2	record	for t	that.				

so homelessness. It continues to rise, and I know the Governor has done well with supportive housing, but that doesn't prevent the increase in homelessness. And I know you have some programs for prevention, but we haven't seen an increase in the funding for either the shelter allowance or another round of rental supplements. Is there any thought given to those ideas? Not in this budget, just generally.

EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: Right.

In terms of prevention services, we do
authorize every county social services
district to help families and individuals out
in the event that they are behind in their
rent and a rent arrears payment needs to be
made in order to enable them to secure that
housing and not become homeless.

With respect to the shelter payments and also shelter supplements, we permit every social services district, again, to submit to us a plan if they would like to provide an

1	increased shelter supplement for a particular
2	population in the event that they are having
3	difficulty accessing housing.

that. And I know that that's the standard practice of the rent supplements. I would just suggest in a crisis that continues to grow, worst since the Great Depression, and has grown on the Governor's watch, that the state may want to consider stepping up with either a shelter allowance raise or, even better, a rental supplement. But that's just for another day.

So the very vocal ladies and gentlemen who spoke just a minute ago about the rent cap, I know that you have acted on that in the budget, and I'd like to thank you for that. One question about that, and then one little -- it's not nitpicking, but an objection.

So the cost of living identified for the HIV rent cap, does that -- how does that compare to the HUD fair market rent standard?

We don't have the specifics on that yet, so

|--|

24

2 EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: So what 3 is included in the budget is to permit, again, each social services district the 4 5 option of providing an enhanced shelter allowance beyond the enhanced shelter 6 7 allowances currently available to any individual with HIV. And the localities can 8 again submit a plan to us if they would like 9 10 to -- if they feel that they need an increase in that supplement, again, to keep that 11 12 vulnerable population stably housed, they're able to do that. 13 14 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Right. Which is 15 a good policy, and I'm glad the Governor's on 16 board. The one flaw, I would respectfully suggest, is that by not making it a mandate 17 and not funding it, there's no way the 18 19 counties are going to do it. They're 20 cash-strapped. So it's a great idea that's 21 just not going to come to fruition. And I 22 would like to see some money behind it. Am I done? 23

CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yes.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Okay. I'm done.
2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
3	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Our first speaker
4	is Senator Velmanette Montgomery.
5	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Thank you, Madam
6	Chair.
7	Good afternoon.
8	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: Good
9	afternoon.
10	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: I want to ask you
11	specifically about the Summer Youth
12	Employment Program.
13	So I note that there is an increase
14	what is it, a \$4 million increase
15	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: Yes.
16	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: for summer
17	youth employment. But that doesn't really
18	reflect an expansion of the program, it just
19	tries to address the issue of an increase in
20	minimum wage, is that what that reflects?
21	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: That is
22	correct. The \$4 million increase is provided
23	specifically to make sure that the youth
24	employed in those programs throughout the

1	summer are provided the minimum wage.
2	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Okay. So are you
3	aware of what the city already matches in
4	order to increase the number of young people
5	that are served by this program?
6	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: I don't
7	have the city numbers with me, but I am well
8	aware that the City of New York contributes
9	significant city funding in addition to the
10	state dollars that are provided for youth in
11	the city.
12	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Okay. So the
13	fact of the matter is that this is really a
14	major piece as it relates to having young
15	people have access at least in the summer
16	months. Is there any planning or any
17	discussion in your agency about the
18	possibility of extending this program? What
19	would it take to actually increase the number
20	of slots, the number of young people we could
21	serve?
22	And especially in light of the fact
23	that we now have the Raise the Age program,
24	where we anticipate hopefully having more

young people be able to be employed with some
sort of a subsidy making that possible, is
there any planning that you have been able to
initiate regarding, one, extending the number
of slots in Summer Youth Employment, and also
creating a year-round employment program for
young people where we can actually count
them?

Now, I know you have another youth employment program at Department of Labor, I believe, and I will ask them. But I've never been able to figure out how many young people actually benefit from that program. And we keep putting more and more money in it, but I don't see any accountability for it.

So -- but the Summer Youth Employment we do at least have some accountability. So I'm just wondering if you have started to talk to the Labor Department, to whatever other departments as it relates to creating an infrastructure, if you will, a social infrastructure that supports employment of young people in particular, in a way that we can see that it's accountable to us as

Т	elected officials, to you as the agency? Is
2	there any planning that's being done around
3	that?
4	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: So, you
5	know, we definitely appreciate the time of
6	the summer is the time when most youth are
7	looking for employment and have the time to
8	dedicate to employment. And so again, we're
9	proud to have the summer youth program to
10	provide those opportunities for youth.
11	With respect to year-round programs,
12	many of the summer youth programs are
13	operated and administered through agencies,
14	local Workforce Investment Boards or and
15	in the city, of course, the Youth Department
16	where there are opportunities for some youth
17	to continue to have employment throughout the
18	year based on services that are provided
19	through that agency.
20	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: So what does it
21	look like going forward in terms of your
22	initiating something, a planning process,
23	especially targeting young people?
24	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: Again,

1	the funding that's available for our agency
2	is for the summer, it is targeted to the
3	summer
4	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: I know. I
5	understand that. That's what we have now.
6	But going forward, I'm just looking for some
7	kind of
8	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: I mean,
9	certainly we would be open to having
10	discussions about what room there may be to
11	be able to expand that program to provide
12	more opportunities for year-round employment.
13	Again, we certainly encourage not only
14	the providers of summer youth but other
15	employment services providers to target
16	youth, in particular older youth who may be
17	through with their high school experience so
18	that they're able to secure full-time
19	employment or expand on their education.
20	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: I appreciate
21	that. We know what's going to be needed,
22	it's just how do we get ahead by planning
23	ahead, rather than waiting until the crisis
24	is there and then we go back to old habits of

1	incarcerating juveniles.
2	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: Right.
3	And again, you know, obviously individuals
4	with a history with criminal justice is one
5	target population for the summer youth
6	program. And also a target population for
7	the services that are provided both through
8	the social services agencies throughout the
9	state, but also the local Workforce
10	Investment Boards.
11	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Okay. Thank you.
12	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: Thank
13	you.
14	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Assembly?
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yes,
16	Assemblyman Goodell.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN GOODELL: Thank you very
18	much, Ms. Guinn. And also, thank you very
19	much for the openness of OTDA, with
20	Commissioner Roberts and others, to meet with
21	us. It's very helpful and very much
22	appreciated.
23	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: You're
24	welcome.

1	ASSEMBLIMAN GOODELL: It seems to me
2	that OTDA actually has several missions.
3	One, of course, is to help those who are in
4	need right now. But a second mission ought
5	to be to help people become successful
6	financially so that it connects various
7	opportunities in life. And sometimes I'm
8	concerned that those two missions may not
9	necessarily mesh, particularly as it relates
10	to benefit cliffs that trap people from
11	leaving welfare because, as their income
12	slowly increases, they reach thresholds where
13	their benefits dramatically drop.
14	Is the department working on that
15	issue? And is that reflected in this budget?
16	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: We
17	certainly are looking at the issue, both at
18	the programs and services that we deliver but
19	also other programs and benefits that are
20	available to low-income households.
21	We know that households are better off
22	when they're able to enter the workforce, and
23	we want to do everything we can to encourage
24	individuals to enter the workforce. As part

1	of that, the way our public assistance
2	programs are structured do permit a
3	significant portion of an individual's
4	earnings to be disregarded or not considered
5	in terms of determining the benefit level
6	that they are eligible for.
7	For households with children, we
8	disregard 50 percent of those wages. Again,
9	a very specific policy targeted to
10	encouraging people to go to work and
11	supporting working families.
12	In addition, we again, working with
13	our county social services agencies, do
14	everything we can do help people to continue
15	to access any benefits that they may be
16	eligible for after they go to work, including
17	the SNAP programs that some incomes at higher
18	levels may be eligible for. And those who go
19	to work are eligible to receive SNAP for at
20	least a five-month period, which is
21	considered, again, to help them transition as
22	they move into the workforce.
23	And then in addition to that, I guess

just obviously the increase in the minimum

1	wage has been particularly helpful for the
2	population that we serve, along with the
3	ability the expanded access to health
4	insurance so that people don't have to make
5	the choice between staying on welfare and
6	going to work and potentially losing health
7	insurance.

ASSEMBLYMAN GOODELL: While I'm certainly appreciative of all those efforts, as you realize, sometimes those benefit cliffs can amount to thousands of dollars in loss of benefits based on a \$1 increase in wage. So I would encourage the department to consider and to think innovatively on how to address that issue.

I wanted to talk a little bit about the homeless service program. Certainly this is a very, very serious issue in New York City, and I support and encourage the efforts that the department is making in that area. The homeless issue, though, varies across the state. I mean, we have a wealth of different situations.

In my county we have a homeless

1	shelter for men; there's no waiting list, and
2	they house roughly 20 people. So it seems
3	that a mandated requirement to the social
4	services departments across New York State,
5	without any funding assistance to come up
6	with a comprehensive plan, might be very
7	appropriate in some areas and not appropriate
8	in other areas.

Is there any discussion about making that more flexible to address the homeless situation that varies dramatically across the state?

EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: We certainly recognize that the issue of homelessness varies tremendously from one area of the state to another. In some areas we're seeing increases in homelessness, and there are areas of the state that are actually seeing some slight declines in the number of homeless.

The comprehensive planning process is really just to make sure that the number of -- the various both levels of government and then also the service delivery agencies

1	that are out there are talking to one
2	another, to make sure that they are
3	adequately planning and targeting resources
4	dedicated to serving individuals who are
5	homeless.
6	Obviously, for an area of the state

that has few homeless individuals, that
planning process will probably be much easier
for them. And if they have the resources
available, you know, they're in a good place,
and it should be fairly simple for them to be
able to not only provide a plan for us in
terms of the coordinated delivery of those
homeless services but also to see if there
are certain pockets, certain target
populations, perhaps, that they need to focus
on. And again, to work with others within
their locality to try to address those
specific needs.

ASSEMBLYMAN GOODELL: One last question, at least in this time frame. I see the Governor has put aside money for a comprehensive case management for vulnerable young people. It's my understanding it's

Τ	about a million dollars that he's put into
2	that. Is that targeted specifically to
3	unaccompanied, undocumented minors? Is that
4	the focus of that program?
5	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: The
6	focus of the program is really vulnerable
7	youth, many who are immigrant youth on Long
8	Island, to make sure that they have access to
9	services and supports that they may need to
10	stay in school and to move along a positive
11	path and not be tempted to be influenced by
12	some of the gang activity on Long Island.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN GOODELL: And do you have
14	an overall budget impact on what we're
15	spending for unaccompanied, undocumented
16	minors?
17	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: I do
18	not.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN GOODELL: Okay. Thank
20	you.
21	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: Okay,
22	thank you.
23	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. And
24	welcome today. Very glad to have you.

1		Ι	have	а	few	questions	that	I'd	like
2	to go	ove	er.						

Although the Executive Budget presents a 1.4 percent decline in family assistance caseload, it contains an increase in appropriation authority of \$99.3 million for family assistance/ emergency assistance for families. So one of the questions that I had has to do with the fact there is a declining overall caseload. So in light of that, to what factors can this increased expenditure be attributed? Because it doesn't really seem to add up.

EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: Right.

You know, as you know, public assistance is
an entitlement program and we wanted to make
sure that there was sufficient budget
authority to meet any need in terms of, you
know, in the event that there is an increase
in costs associated with the program. But
you are correct that in general we are
looking at a pretty flat overall caseload.

CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay. So I think we need a closer look at that particular

1	appropriation.
2	But do you see this increase as the
3	start of an ongoing trend or more of an
4	isolated occurrence?
5	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: Some of
6	it is associated with the increased rental
7	supplement payments in the city that we're
8	projecting. We do not predict that we will
9	have you know, increased caseload is not
10	what we're predicting for the future. We're
11	hopeful that it will continue to be
12	relatively flat.
13	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you for that
14	answer.
15	And with regard to the Safety Net
16	Assistance Program, the Executive Budget
17	proposes an increase an appropriation
18	authority of \$14.7 million or, on a projected
19	caseload basis, an increase of 0.4 percent.
20	So what do you attribute the increase in
21	safety net caseload to?
22	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: And
23	again, you know, similar to my response with
24	respect to the families caseload, we are

1	it's an entitlement program and we just want
2	to make sure that there is sufficient
3	authority so that we're able to meet any
4	needs that may present themselves.
5	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
6	Just switching gears, regarding the
7	homelessness issue that we have here in the
8	state. As you know, there's been a growing
9	problem with increasing levels of
10	homelessness. And that's not just in
11	New York City, it's across the entire state.
12	Recently the Governor announced new pending
13	regulations from OTDA to require that local
14	social services districts develop and
15	implement comprehensive homeless service
16	plans addressing activities related to street
17	outreach and homelessness prevention, rapid
18	rehousing and ongoing housing stability for
19	the formerly homelessness.
20	The Governor's budget also adds new
21	language to the public assistance
22	appropriations allowing OTDA to withhold
23	funding or deny reimbursements to local
24	districts that fail to implement an effective

1	plan.
2	So I have several questions around
3	this issue. First of all, when do you
4	anticipate these new regulations being
5	released?
6	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: We're
7	working now on both the regulations and
8	corresponding guidance that will go out to
9	the social services districts. And I would
10	expect that that would be something that
11	would be available within a few months.
12	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So will these new
13	regulations be filed for publication in the
14	State Register or proposed on an emergency
15	basis?
16	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: I don't
17	know that we've made a decision yet in terms
18	of how those would be filed.
19	But in terms of our guidance that we
20	issue to county social services districts
21	with respect to implementation of the
22	comprehensive homeless services plans, our

guidance always goes to the counties in

draft, giving them the opportunity to comment

23

1	and for us to be able to address those
2	comments before the policy is final.
3	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I appreciate that
4	answer, because I was going to say that we
5	need to get stakeholder input on any new
6	regulations, so I'm glad to hear you say
7	that.
8	How does this new directive differ
9	from what's already required of local social
10	services districts?
11	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: Right
12	now, districts have plans for a number of
13	different program areas, but we do not have
14	any requirement that they submit to the state
15	a plan related to the provision of homeless
16	services. So this is new.
17	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: It is new, okay.
18	Are there or will there be established
19	criteria by which the effectiveness of a
20	local district's plan will be evaluated,
21	especially since OTDA would be able to
22	withhold any and I stress any public
23	assistance reimbursements to counties whose

24 activities are deemed to be ineffective? So

if you could address that, that would be
helpful.

know, again, we have information available about a number of different strategies that are available both for the prevention of homelessness as well as outreach services, which we particularly want to focus on and make sure that there is effective year-round outreach to make sure that anyone who needs housing is aware of those supports that are available.

You know, although the appropriation language clearly gives us the authority to withhold reimbursement, that certainly is not our objective. We will be working closely with every county in the development of their plans, and in the event that they have any difficulty in terms of moving the needle and achieving outcomes, we will work closely with them in order to perhaps amend their plan, to make sure that we see positive outcomes.

And so, you know, while there is authority there, we don't expect that that's

1	something that we will need to rely on,
2	because we know that the counties take the
3	issue of homelessness as seriously as we do
1	CHAIRWOMAN VOIING. I'm somewhat

CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I'm somewhat concerned about the one-size-fits-all approach, particularly as it pertains to street outreach. So for example, in most rural areas of the state there may be some people on the streets, but a lot of times they're hidden in the countryside. They may be living in an abandoned barn or chicken coop. Certainly in -- one experience that I've had is my father actually found someone living in one of his barns. And so we worked together to get that person to the county social services department, got them a place to live.

But there are certainly people who are homeless in rural areas, but they're not necessarily living on the streets. So couldn't it be considered, you know, a problem, especially since we have limited resources, to require rural counties to engage in planning and other activities

1	related to street outreach? Aren't there
2	other things that could be a better use of
3	money and time?
4	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: I assure
5	you it will not be a one-size-fits-all.
6	Again, you know, obviously in rural areas the
7	need for outreach will differ than from urbar
8	areas. In rural areas where there may not be
9	large numbers of individuals who are homeless
10	who are unsheltered, it could be that the
11	county social services agency is simply
12	working both with local law enforcement in
13	terms of making sure that they're aware,
L 4	again, of the services that are available for
15	the homeless, as well as the range of service
16	providers in the county who may come in
17	contact with individuals who may find
18	themselves homeless, again, to work with
19	those service providers to make sure they too
20	understand that the county social services
21	agency can provide help for an individual who
22	is homeless, and to encourage that individual
23	to seek those services.
2.4	CUATRWOMAN VOINC. But you would still

1	require the counties to do street outreach
2	and do those kinds of planning activities?
3	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: We would
4	expect that they have an outreach plan.
5	Again, it doesn't need to look the same way
6	in every area of the state.
7	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay. Thank you.
8	So are there new resources from the
9	state that are being made available to assist
10	the local districts in tackling the growing
11	problem of homelessness?
12	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: Again,
13	the state budget permits any social services
14	agency to put a plan forward in the event
15	that they need additional help in shelter
16	payments, to make sure that individuals are
17	able to be housed. So and the ongoing
18	public assistance funding streams are
19	available to provide those services to
20	individuals.
21	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So it's just the
22	typical ones that already exist is what
23	you're saying.
24	How closely does OTDA work with local

1	governments in implementing anti-homelessness
2	programs and other activities in order to
3	guarantee the most efficient and effective
4	use of funding?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: So we work both with social services districts and then also through our funding of a range of nonprofit providers to provide homeless prevention and rapid rehousing services.

Certainly through our new initiative to develop these comprehensive plans, we're going to also enhance our staffing in terms of dedicating existing staff -- additional staff will be dedicated to this function to make sure that we're getting information to the counties about effective outreach as well as other prevention services for the homeless. And also working with them as they seek to move people out of homelessness and into permanent housing.

CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I just was curious about the different state agencies and how you interact. So one of the factors in the growing homelessness problem has to do with

1	this explosion of people who are addicted to
2	heroin and other opioids. So are you working
3	closely with OASAS and maybe HCR? Because
4	obviously there are supportive housing
5	programs that are out there, there are
6	addiction treatment programs that are out
7	there. And how does that interaction happen
8	at the top on the state level?

EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: Right.

So we are working closely with HCR in terms of the creation of additional affordable housing, and from our lane, with OTDA, specifically with supportive housing.

We also have been working with the Office of Alcohol and Substance Abuse Services. They have dedicated additional resources to bring substance use disorder services into the shelter system -- again, to try to deal with the underlying cause of homelessness, or what is one of the many underlying causes of homelessness. They have provided those services already in New York City, and we are in the process of expanding that to many other areas of the state, again,

1	where those individuals will be pushing those
2	substance use disorder services into the
3	shelter system to try to encourage
4	individuals to access treatment they may need
5	so that they are no longer addicted.
6	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you for that.
7	And what about the Office of Mental Health?
8	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: Again,
9	we also are working with the Office of Mental
10	Health on a similar initiative where those
11	types of mental health services again,
12	kind of going outside of the traditional
13	delivery mechanism for delivering mental
14	health services, but also to try to push
15	those services into the shelter system.
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
17	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: I have two
18	quick questions.
19	You mentioned about the additional
20	resources to HEAP. Is that to provide
21	supplements to people who have already
22	received HEAP grants? Or is it to expand to
23	a larger group?
24	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: So it

1	would enable someone who may have already
	-
2	received an emergency benefit to receive an
3	additional emergency benefit later in the
4	heating season. And then so that's for
5	the emergency benefit component.
6	And then with respect to
7	weatherization, that would be a new number of
8	households that would be eligible to receive
9	weatherization services.
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: And will you be
11	issuing some guidelines on how people can
12	access those funds?
13	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: Yes.
14	Yeah, we will be issuing guidelines to the
15	social services districts who are responsible
16	for delivering those benefits, and then also
17	kind of getting information out there through
18	the press about the availability of the
19	benefits.
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Great. And
21	then a few questions that I was interested in
22	have been asked, but I wanted to know what
23	the status of funding for the refugee
24	resettlement agencies how many people have

1	been	served	and	have	federal	reductions	been
2	resto	red, i	f you	ı're	aware?		

EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: So the funding that's available in our budget to support the resettlement agencies has been issued and made available to them to provide services.

At this point we -- you know, we are kind of on the watch for what's happening at the federal level. So far, with the continuing resolutions, we have not seen reductions but, you know, in communication with the federal government we -- you know, we know that some of that funding is tied obviously to the number of refugees that are coming into and settling in the State of New York. That number is on the decline, and so it is certainly possible that, along with the decline in the number of refugees being placed in the state, that we will see a reduction in federal funds.

Again, at this point, that has not been seen.

24 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.

1	Senate?
2	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Krueger.
3	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
4	Good afternoon. In your testimony you
5	talk about expansion of SNAP outreach and
6	specifically as part of the Governor's No
7	Student Should Go Hungry. So I know from the
8	Higher Ed hearing we discussed food pantries
9	at every campus, which really is perhaps a
10	necessary thing, but not really a solution.
11	So what are you going to be doing to
12	help ensure that students at our campuses are
13	participating in the SNAP program? Because
14	they have to meet school or work requirements
15	under the federal law.
16	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: That's
17	right, Senator. The federal SNAP program
18	does have very specific requirements for when
19	a student in higher education can or cannot
20	receive SNAP benefits.
21	So what we're looking to do is to
22	maximize, within the confines of those
23	federal regulations, participation by
24	individuals in higher education, including

1		college, their access to SNAP benefits. Part
2		of that one of the provisions that would
3		make an individual eligible is if they are
4		participating in any form of work-study. And
5	i	so we are working with some of our outreach
6	;	providers to design specific materials for
7		that population and other ways of
8		communicating with that population for anyone
9	1	who is in work-study, that they know that
10		they may be eligible for SNAP and to
11		encourage them to apply.

We are also again looking at the various provisions within the federal regulation to see where perhaps we could be more flexible and take additional steps to reach out to low-income college students to see if we can do more to get them to access SNAP benefits.

SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. And I would urge you to also take a look at whether there's the flexibility under federal regulations to define assorted forms of student aid as if it was work-study money.

Because sometimes we give students money

1	through a variety of streams to assist them
2	with tuition and books, et cetera, and we do
3	have work and school requirements on them.
4	And I'm just not sure whether there isn't a
5	broader way to define work-study as opposed
6	to just a federal work-study program.
7	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: Okay.
8	That's an excellent suggestion, and certainly
9	we'll look into it to see whether or not
10	there is additional flexibility there within
11	the federal regulation to do so.
12	SENATOR KRUEGER: So there was a lot
13	of discussion with your predecessor here
14	about how we seem to have different rules
15	about giving money depending on where you are
16	in the state.
17	So I represent New York City, which I
18	think does have the highest number of
19	homeless people, singles and families, in the
20	state. And we continue to see that the City
21	of New York alone has to pay 10 percent of
22	the share for emergency assistance for

families as our homeless family population

grows. Is there anything we can be doing

1	about that?
2	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: Again,
3	as you've noted, that was part of last year's
4	enacted budget. And that 10 percent share
5	for New York City, when it comes to services
6	for families, is included in this year's
7	Executive Budget.
8	SENATOR KRUEGER: So it also appears,
9	for a variety of different reasons, in
10	funding formulas that as the city's costs for
11	homeless families and individuals continues
12	to rise, that the state has figured out how
13	to only bear about 5 percent of the cost
14	increases. Do you know what the state bears
15	as the cost increases for services for the
16	homeless other than in New York City?
17	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: I'm not
18	sure about the 5 percent. Again, when it
19	comes to services for families who find them
20	homeless. New York City is fully reimbursed

sure about the 5 percent. Again, when it

comes to services for families who find them

homeless, New York City is fully reimbursed

for those costs. Other than, again, the

emergency assistance component does have a

lo percent city share.

24 And then the safety net cost

1	associated with that population is treated
2	consistently with New York City as well as
3	the rest of state.
4	SENATOR KRUEGER: So it's not all your
5	department, it's many different agencies, but
6	we started about three years late on the
7	commitment for expansion of supportive
8	housing. And at I think the Housing
9	hearing it's several weeks ago now, so
10	I'm I've been in this room for many, many
11	weeks. I believe it was the supportive
12	housing providers
13	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: It seems like
14	years, actually.
15	(Laughter.)
16	SENATOR KRUEGER: We're also suffering
17	vitamin A deficiencies, those of us who come
18	here every day.
19	The supportive housing providers made
20	a plea that if we sped up the timeline for
21	giving money for supportive housing, they
22	actually could move forward with projects
23	faster, that they actually have them in
24	queue, waiting for another round to move.

1	Can we do anything about speeding up
2	that process? Because I don't think you and
3	I and the Governor disagree we need more
4	supportive housing as soon as possible.
5	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: Right.
6	And I guess the good news is is that we are
7	on target with our projections with respect
8	to supportive housing. So again, you know,
9	begun in 2016. And between 2016 and 2017
10	we've been able to newly invest in over 2,500
11	units of supportive housing you know, a
12	portion of that which is funded through the
13	Office of Temporary and Disability
14	Assistance through our Homeless Housing and
15	Assistance Program.
16	So we are on target toward that 6,000.
17	I think that again, you know, we're
18	well-situated. Last year, with our HHAP
19	program, we were able to award the funds that
20	are available, and we look forward to another
21	round of funding being available, that we
22	will certainly reopen our application and get
23	those funds out as quickly as possible.
24	SENATOR KRUEGER: We may be on target,

1	but because of the growth in demand, we're
2	actually it seems like we're sliding
3	further behind from a supply and demand
4	perspective. And I have a stat here and
5	you may know if it's incorrect that New
6	York City dropped to a six-year low of only
7	being able to place 1500 homeless single
8	adults in supportive housing this year.
9	So while I am delighted that the state
10	has made a long-term serious commitment of
11	6,000 additional supportive housing units,
12	moving more quickly I think is imperative.
13	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: Okay.
14	Thank you, Senator.
15	SENATOR KRUEGER: So my ask is can we
16	look at reevaluating that timeline? Because
17	if in fact we have providers who are ready to
18	move forward with new sites, and we know that
19	we've made this multiyear commitment, let's
20	not delay in getting more units online.
21	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: Okay.
22	Thank you, Senator. And again, I think, you
23	know, with respect to the progress that the
24	state is making, certainly we are making good

1	progress. And I can say again with the funds
2	that are available to our agency for
3	supportive housing, that we awarded those
4	funds fully last year, and I suspect that we
5	will quickly award those funds again this
6	year after the budget is passed and we're
7	able to open up again that application
8	process for HHAP.
9	SENATOR KRUEGER: I appreciate that.
10	And I have some fantasy that there's a bigger
11	universe of people listening to our
12	discussion here who may represent other
13	streams of money in the state budget.
14	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN:
15	Understood. Thank you.
16	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
17	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
18	Hevesi for a brief question.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: I guess my
20	question will be brief.
21	(Laughter.)
22	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: It will be. Just
23	a point.

I just wanted to echo the comments of

1	Senator Young. I actually had the privilege
2	of traveling to Olean in the Senator's
3	district to talk homelessness, and I too am
4	nervous about a one-size-fits-all regulation
5	That's problematic. I think of homelessness
6	as a kid of Queens, but we have to take into
7	account the homeless outreach program for
8	other counties.

But I will -- I just want to go on record as saying that if it gets to the stage where you're imposing new regulations, I'd like you to keep that in mind. However, I'm against the principle of this, that if a local social service district doesn't get somebody off the street quick enough, we're going to take away public assistance benefits. It seems punitive to the social services district, it seems punitive to the public assistance recipient, so I'm against that in principle.

There's another way to go about getting homeless people off the street quickly, it's give the counties some extra money so they can have homeless outreach.

1	That's	the	other	way	to	do	ıt.
2		C -	+ b o + l o	2 ±	_	±	~ <del>L</del>

So that's it. I just -- I also want

to end by saying you and the rest of the

staff at OTDA do a magnificent job under

really difficult circumstances, and I wanted

to say thank you for all your work.

7 Thank you.

EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: Thank

you very much. And again, I assure you it

will not be a one-size-fits-all plan. You

know, again, we work very closely and have a

good working relationship with the counties,

and our goal is to make sure that there is a

comprehensive plan and that there is

outreach. And we do not anticipate getting

to the point of fiscal penalties because

again, as I said, we believe that the

counties take the issue as seriously as we

do.

Thank you for your comments.

21 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman
22 Jaffee.

23 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you.

24 Thank you very much.

1	I wanted to just raise awareness, just
2	reiterate what was just said, because I think
3	it's essential. I represent large areas in
4	Rockland County. And you know, I think that
5	most people would make the assumption that
6	there's very little homeless situation. It's
7	suburb, so one would suggest that.

But the numbers are really outrageous and unfortunate and very sad. There are many, many not just individuals but families — I mean, about a year ago I got a call in my district from a gentleman who earns about \$40,000 a year and he has a wife and I think it was two or three children, I don't remember, and he could not afford any more the rent that he was paying. He wasn't paying it quite at the level, and they threw him out of the house, of his home, rental apartment, and he was homeless, with his three children and his wife.

That is happening all too often. And to be able to assist and provide assistance is very challenging when you don't have sites that offer that. But here is someone who is

1	working, and there just wasn't the kind of
2	affordable housing that made it possible for
3	them to sustain that kind of environment with
4	their children.

Rockland County, because the numbers have really gone up, we also have now a homeless shelter. And I'm sure that you're familiar with that, it's in a site that was, from years ago, healthcare facilities.

But we need support and we need the kind of financial support that was just mentioned for our counties, for our communities, so that we can assure that those sites are provided staff, the environment is safe, and that it enables them to provide the services. And I hope counseling services too, which is something that I'm sure that is not all too often provided, but something I believe we should so that we can stabilize their emotional --- provide emotional stability.

But we do need more assistance from the state for these sites and for affordable housing as well.

1	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: Okay.
2	Yes, I mean certainly it's a sad situation
3	when anyone is homeless, but in particular
4	when it's a family with children. Obviously
5	that's a difficult thing and certainly it
6	must be extremely painful for the parent to
7	be in that situation.
0	Tarin with magnest to homeless

Again, with respect to homeless
housing, we do fully reimburse the costs
associated with homeless services for
families. And so we're doing that and
maintaining that because we do want every
social services district to work closely with
the shelter providers to make sure that not
only the places where families may be housed
temporarily as they're searching for
permanent housing, we want to make sure that
those are safe environments for the families
and that also services are available for the
families as needed, including the important
services of getting that family permanently
housed.

Again, when it comes to permanent housing, the Governor's primary -- our

1	primary investment in order to achieve that
2	goal is really through the infusion of funds
3	for affordable and supportive housing
4	throughout the state.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: I also just
6	want to close by saying thank you. I know
7	that this is a very challenging situation,
8	many of them, to be able to be responsive to,
9	and thank you for your service.
10	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: Thank
11	you.
12	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
13	Mr. Goodell.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN GOODELL: Thank you.
15	I wanted to get a little bit more
16	information about the HEAP. You mentioned we
17	have a second round of emergency HEAP that
18	we're processing.
19	From the budget perspective, how much
20	of the HEAP funding goes for regular HEAP and
21	what percent goes for emergency HEAP?
22	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: The vast
23	majority of the HEAP funds go for regular
24	benefits. So about 1.2 million individuals

1	receive a regular benefit, and a very small
2	number of households end up needing an
3	emergency benefit. Last year that was just
4	under 100,000 households.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN GOODELL: And from a
6	budget perspective, what's the ratio?
7	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: I'll
8	have to look and see if I have those numbers
9	with me in terms of the dollars spent.
10	But again, by and far, almost all HEAP
11	funds are dedicated to the regular HEAP
12	benefit program.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN GOODELL: One of the
14	concerns that I have, as you know, is I want
15	to focus our efforts on trying to help people
16	leave welfare and become successful so they
17	can improve their lifestyles, certainly.
18	That includes helping them with soft skills,
19	budgeting skills. My concern on the
20	emergency HEAP is that if you pay your bill
21	on time, you're ineligible, so the only way
22	you get it is if you don't pay your bill on
23	time. If you're just on the threshold, on
24	the pathway to self-sufficiency, a shutoff

1				<i>~</i> '		
	COULD	result	in a	a fina	ncial	crisis.

When you make an emergency HEAP award, do you require that the recipient sign up for balance billing or take other steps to eliminate that type of emergency situation from reoccurring?

EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: Again, you know, as noted earlier, most people who receive a regular HEAP benefit are not in a position of needing the emergency benefit.

But we're glad that the benefits are there for those times when they do need it.

In some instances, that may be -- in most instances, we would say that the need that's there is because the household does not have the resources necessary to meet the high energy cost. We do not require people to sign up for balanced budget planning -- again, which would apply for those who have electric utility service.

But one thing that we do work -- we work closely with the Public Service

Commission, and the Public Service Commission does target households that receive HEAP to

1	automatically enroll with the ability to
2	opt out, but automatically enroll them in the
3	balanced budget plan. And then also to help
4	them make sure that they're accessing any
5	discount programs that may be available for
6	low-income households.

7 ASSEMBLYMAN GOODELL: Thank you very 8 much.

On a different issue, of course the only way you can become -- one of the few ways you can become financially successful is if you have a job. Of course you might inherit money or win the lottery or something extraordinary, but for most people it's getting a high-quality job and improving your income.

If you lose your job, in order to collect unemployment, you have to report to the Labor Department weekly what your job search efforts are. But if you're on social services, we don't have any job search requirement, do we? And my question is, should we require individuals who are on social services to be engaged in active job

1	search efforts similar to what we require
2	someone who's collecting unemployment, to
3	maximize their chance for financial success?
4	Just speaking personally, it's very
5	difficult to get a job without asking for
6	one. What are your thoughts on that?
7	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: We
8	provide a lot we do have a lot of
9	flexibility in terms of social services
10	districts and the extent to which people are
11	engaged. But, you know, in any given point
12	in time we do have a about 90 over
13	90,000 individuals are engaged in various
14	work-related efforts, whether that be, you
15	know, working, looking for a job, or perhaps
16	participating in an education or training
17	program to help them enter employment.
18	Again, strong believer and certainly
19	realize that, you know, living a life on
20	public assistance if you're capable of
21	working is not where we want people to stay.
22	We agree that it is most worthwhile for us to
23	be able to help individuals engage in efforts

24 to obtain a job. And I think that in most

1	areas of the state that county social
2	services districts are fairly aggressive in
3	helping individuals do that.
4	I would just add that in addition to
5	that we also think that the minimum-wage
6	increase is something else that has been very
7	beneficial in terms of making work pay for
8	people who do go to work so that it provides
9	a better opportunity for them.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN GOODELL: I appreciate the
11	fact that we have about 90,000 people engaged
12	in work activities. What's the total number
13	of people that are receiving assistance
14	through OTDA? I mean, you mentioned
15	1.2 million who are receiving HEAP. I mean,
16	is 90,000 like one-tenth
17	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: No.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN GOODELL: of the people
19	who are receiving assistance? What's that
20	ratio?
21	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: We do
22	not have there are no work-related
23	requirements for the HEAP program. That's,
24	you know but for public

1	ASSEMBLYMAN GOODELL: No, so my
2	question is what are the work-related
3	requirements as compared to your total
4	population?
5	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: Right.
6	So for public assistance we have about
7	540,000 individuals, but that does include
8	children who are in households. I have to
9	look at the number. I think we have about
10	200,000 cases receiving public assistance at
11	any point in time.
12	And I would say that about 50 percent
13	of those individuals are engaged in some
14	level of activity associated with employment
15	ASSEMBLYMAN GOODELL: Thank you.
16	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for
17	being here. I think that we have no more
18	questions. If there are any, we will follow
19	up with you at a later time.
20	EX. DEP. COMMISSIONER GUINN: Okay,
21	great. Thank you very much.
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Next we have,
23	from the Department of Labor, Roberta
24	Reardon, commissioner.

1	Roberta, it's nice seeing you on dry
2	land, with all our travels around the state.
3	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you very
4	much. It's nice to see you as well.
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So please feel
6	free to begin.
7	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you very
8	much. Senator Young, Assemblymember
9	Weinstein, and distinguished members of the
10	committees, thank you for the opportunity to
11	discuss Governor Andrew Cuomo's proposed 2019
12	budget and the work of the Labor Department.
13	I am privileged to be directly
14	involved in many of the issues shaping the
15	economic landscape and setting a foundation
16	on which future generations can build. As
17	I've traveled to every corner of the state,
18	one thing is clear: Governor Cuomo and you,
19	the Legislature, have made possible the
20	increased economic opportunity and social
21	progress so many said was not possible.
22	New York State continues to be a
23	national leader and a role model for
24	workforce development. Since Governor Cuomo

came into office, every region of the state
has seen a dramatic drop in its unemployment
rate and has grown thousands of jobs. But
there is still a lot of work that we can do.

As more people find jobs, those remaining in the talent pool have more barriers to employment and need different services. The Governor's groundbreaking Unemployment Strikeforce began to address this head on, starting in 2014 in the Bronx. For businesses, Strikeforce staff work with the local economic development players to package available incentives and no-cost services. Strikeforce staff engage with job seekers more intensively, bringing services directly to them in their own communities.

We saw great success, so we expanded to other areas of the state and then expanded again. And I'm happy to say to date, the Unemployment Strikeforce has engaged more than 100,000 individuals, with 77,000 finding work.

Last March, Governor Cuomo launched Vital Brooklyn, to transform the Central

1	Brooklyn region with strategic investments
2	addressing chronic disparities, systemic
3	violence and entrenched poverty. For our
4	part, and to augment Strikeforce successes,
5	the Governor set a goal of 7,500 hires in
6	target neighborhoods. And I'm happy to say
7	in less than a year, we have exceeded that
8	goal almost threefold, helping more than
9	20,500 individuals get hired.

We're also proud of our efforts to help youth enter the workforce. 2018 marks the sixth year of the New York Youth Jobs Program, connecting at-risk youth to stable jobs. These business tax credits have helped nearly 83,000 youth find work with more than 2,200 businesses. This year, Governor Cuomo wants to build on this success and encourage even more businesses to participate by increasing the maximum tax credit available by 50 percent, meaning businesses could get a credit of up to \$7,500 for a full-time youth worker and \$3,750 for those employed part-time.

24 But these groups are just a small

1	portion of the people we serve in many ways
2	across the state. Over the past year, the
3	New York State Career Center system served
4	nearly 500,000 people. This includes
5	individuals who come into one of our 96
6	Career Centers for career counseling and
7	basic skills courses, and thousands of others
8	who are using our technology solutions like
9	JobZone and resource rooms.

We also pride ourselves on our ability to help businesses of any size find trained and qualified job candidates. Last year, this agency served 23,000 businesses and hosted more than 1,100 recruitment events.

We also listed 1 million jobs on the Governor's no-cost Jobs Express website for 2017, and that saves businesses thousands of dollars on each listing.

But we can't rest on our laurels.

Technology and new generations of consumers

are rapidly changing the nature of work. More

baby boomers are retiring by the hour, and

those retirements are leaving a huge skills

gap. Governor Cuomo believes we can best

1	prepare by coordinating our efforts beyond
2	the confines of just our agency. This year
3	he has proposed creating an Office of
4	Workforce Development and investing
5	\$175 million toward training tomorrow's
6	workforce, with a focus on emerging fields.
7	This is still under development, and we are
3	very excited to see it progress.

Today we celebrate these successes
while living in one of the most progressive
states in the U.S. As we marked the end of
2017, New York State took another step
forward under the nation's first statewide
\$15 minimum wage plan. Today we are on the
path to raising the wages of 2.3 million
workers and have already lifted more than
200,000 New Yorkers out of poverty since the
Governor took office -- all while seeing a
\$15.7 billion infusion into the state's
economy.

This year also marks the start of the nation's most comprehensive paid family leave policy. I have been very proud to highlight this program to groups across the state and

1	share the benefits not only to workers, but
2	also to businesses who can expect to see an
3	uptick in employee retention and a more
4	predictable structure that allows time off to
5	be with loved ones in their time of need.
6	Last November we advanced proposed
7	regulations for on-call scheduling. These
8	practices, which have become more prevalent
9	in recent years, leave workers scrambling to
10	find childcare and force them to miss
11	appointments, classes, or important family
12	commitments. We proactively consulted key
13	stakeholders and then held four public
14	hearings across the state, including hours of
15	testimony from workers, advocates, industry
16	experts and business owners of all sides.
17	Their message was clear, that
18	unpredictability has a detrimental impact on
19	both employees and employers. We are
20	currently reviewing the comments.
21	We are very proud of our
22	lowest-in-the-nation gender wage gap, but we
23	know there is still more work to be done. I

am very excited about our gender pay gap

1	study, which I conducted last year, with
2	Lieutenant Governor Kathy Hochul, to identify
3	the root causes of the gender wage gap and to
4	make recommendations on how all New Yorkers
5	can continue to help close it. We will be
6	putting those recommendations out soon.

The Department of Labor is a key partner in the Joint Task Force on Worker Exploitation and Employee Misclassification, and since 2011 this task force has recovered a quarter of a billion dollars and returned that money to more than 215,000 workers victimized by wage theft and public work violations.

We also have no-cost programs that help businesses understand the labor laws in their industry and on-site safety and health consultations that can save businesses thousands of dollars in potential fines. We conduct thousands of inspections of amusement devices, ski lifts, commercial boilers and more, and we process thousands of asbestos work certifications and mold contractor licenses, all making sure to protect the

1 safety of all New Yorkers.

One final success I want to mark is related to unemployment insurance, a system that we boldly reformed together in the wake of the Great Recession. Today, thanks to those changes and the improving state economy, the trust fund which pays for workers' benefits is now healthy. As of December 31, 2017, it has a balance of \$1.9 billion. And that is a stark contrast to the \$3.5 billion deficit just five years ago.

Not only have we increased benefits for workers, employers today are paying nearly \$200 less per worker in overall federal and state unemployment insurance contributions compared to just a few years ago, and that is true progress. And again I want to thank you for the way that you enabled us to do this.

I am very proud of the work that's being done here in New York State, but there is an important elephant in the room that I would be remiss if I did not mention. As you

1	know, the Department of Labor is 90 percent
2	federally funded, and there is a storm cloud
3	currently hanging over Washington, D.C. At
4	risk are not only our life-changing workforce
5	development programs, but also unemployment
6	insurance administration funds so out-of-work
7	individuals can feed their families, and many
8	of the safety and health programs that allow
9	us to provide no-cost services to keep all of
10	New York safe.
11	But let me reassure you that despite
12	these many question marks, we are
13	collectively committed, now more than ever,
14	to helping all workers and businesses succeed
15	and thrive and make sure that all New Yorkers
16	are safe and healthy. Under Governor Cuomo,
17	we are running efficiently and, as our motto
18	states, we are looking ever upward.
19	Thank you very much, and I'm happy to
20	answer your questions.
21	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
22	The Assembly Labor chair, Michele
23	Titus.
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN TITUS: Thank you. Thank

1	77011	Assemblywoman.
⊥	you,	Assembly woman.

Commissioner, I want to thank you for your testimony this morning.

4 COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN TITUS: You are doing, I believe, outstanding work as commissioner of the Department of Labor, and there are so many highlights we are still I guess applauding that you've done with us, like our minimum wage, paid family leave, scheduling regulations, the gender pay gap. These are all issues, of course you know, that we've championed here in the Assembly and we're so happy to now have as reality here in our State of New York.

We of course love to identify those programs that are working so that we can invest and support, and you spoke about the youth program, the New York Youth Jobs Program. Could you, just for the record, just expand on your description of that program? I'm still -- the numbers are beautiful, the title is beautiful, New York Youth Jobs Program, but I really want to know

. what	industries	are t	these	positions	in.
--------	------------	-------	-------	-----------	-----

COMMISSIONER REARDON: So I don't have

a full list; I could get that for you. But

it is a myriad of businesses across the

state. It is not located in one area and is

in fact used all the way across New York

State.

We are very proud that 83,000 at-risk youth have been connected to jobs. And the fact that 2200 businesses use this program really speaks highly of it, because it means we have a lot of repeat customers.

I had the opportunity to speak with the manager of Tops Markets in Western

New York recently, and they are a big user of this program. And he was very, very excited to have the program, he acknowledged how helpful it was for him. He said they actually -- with the tax credits, it created 100,000 hours that they could pay for through this tax program, and he said think of what that means in western New York, that amount of employment that they could offer to youth.

So it is a very robust program. And

1	again, 2200 businesses and 83,000 workers
2	really says that there are a lot of
3	businesses who are happy to come back to it
4	over and over again.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN TITUS: And so once an
6	employer has qualified for this tax credit,
7	every year thereafter they will then be able
8	to still participate in this program?
9	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yes. They get
10	an the initial year gets a tax credit.
11	The second year, if that employee stays a
12	second year, there's an additional credit.
13	But they can also hire new youth, and
14	they can apply for the tax credits for those
15	youth.
16	The other thing that's great about it,
17	I just want everybody to think about what
18	your first couple of jobs were like, how you
19	felt when you went out into the workforce and
20	held a job on your own. These are young
21	people who come from disadvantaged
22	backgrounds, they may not have families that
23	go to work every day, they may feel
24	overlooked by their communities, they may

1	feel kicked to the curb. And this is an
2	opportunity to get them going into a path of
3	work that may change their lives.
4	So we are very excited to be able to

So we are very excited to be able to connect these youth with these employers over and over again.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN TITUS: Now, we are increasing the tax credit by 50 percent. Why do you believe that the department has -- what is the department's, I guess, vision in this? And the increased oversight that we are now proposing, why do you believe that there should be this additional oversight? Have there been cases of fraud or --

COMMISSIONER REARDON: We are hoping that this will actually incentivize more businesses to use the tax credit. It's interesting that we -- you know, there are very large employers that use it and we would like to actually encourage more smaller businesses to use it, we actually think it's ideal for small businesses. So perhaps if the tax credit is larger, it will attract them to participating in the program.

1	But again, the success on the front
2	end of connecting young people to these jobs
3	is great, and we are hopeful that this will
4	actually encourage more employers to look
5	into the program and use it.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN TITUS: And as a result
7	of last year's enacted budget, how much
8	funding from the New York Youth Jobs Program
9	has been redirected to the Empire State
10	Apprenticeship Tax Credit Program?
11	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I believe it's
12	\$10 million, but let me get that answer for
13	you.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN TITUS: And the jobs our
15	youth have been connected to, has there been
16	any full-time are they still working in
17	those positions? Have those positions led to
18	like full-time?
19	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Some of them
20	are full-time employees, some of them are
21	part-time employees. And again, for youth
22	who have not really been in the workforce, a
23	part-time job is a good place to start.
24	One of the things that we hope is

1	happening is they get a first job and then
2	they move on to a better job, which is what
3	happened to me when I first started working.
4	So it's a way to begin the process and move
5	them along in their career path. But I can
6	get some harder numbers for you.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN TITUS: Okay, great.
8	And also another program, the Pay for
9	Success Program is also being increased or is
10	going to be \$69 million will be allocated
11	to that program. Again, what kind of
12	outcomes have you seen so far in that
13	program?
14	COMMISSIONER REARDON: The Pay for
15	Success is an interesting program. This
16	works with formerly incarcerated people
17	returning to the workforce. And it is a
18	social bond process.
19	I can get you more detailed
20	information, but it basically works with
21	people who are formerly incarcerated and gets
22	them back into the workforce.
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN TITUS: Okay.
24	And then also I guess my final

1	question would be what is the role you
2	propose for the Department of Labor with the
3	new Office of Workforce Development? Who
4	will see that office, and what role will the
5	department have in that?

COMMISSIONER REARDON: So it is a very exciting new proposal, and I said to some of my fellow -- the other day at the office, the plywood is up and the netting is on, it's under construction. We don't know exactly what it's going to look like because it's just proposed. I don't know who the director is going to be.

But it is a great opportunity to take all of the workforce development programs that we have in the state -- we have a lot of them at the DOL, but obviously you just heard from OTDA today that they also have, and there are lots of them across the state.

It's a way to have an office that coordinates the work of all the workforce development programs and makes it easier for a worker to find out where they can go to be upskilled, where those jobs are located.

1	One of the focuses of this office will
2	be to make sure that the Regional Economic
3	Development priorities are acknowledged and
4	that all of the workforce programs are
5	aligned to support those programs. So I am
6	very eagerly awaiting the development to see
7	how this plays out, because it's a great
8	opportunity to take the resources that we all
9	have in our agencies and really have a robust
10	way to engage with the people of New York who
11	need them.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN TITUS: Okay. Thank
13	you, Commissioner.
14	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you.
15	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
16	Actually, I'm going to go first today,
17	as opposed to usually waiting till last.
18	So the youth employment tax credit,
19	we've got a \$40 million capped program and
20	you're going to expand the credit per
21	employer, so we're actually going to be
22	reducing the number of young people who are
23	getting jobs this way?
24	COMMISSIONER REARDON: No. Actually,

1	it increases the incentive for the employer.
2	There's no limit on the number of I mean,
3	it's credit is by the year that they are
4	in employment, so some of them go into a job
5	and they're there for a couple of months and
6	they move on. Some of them stay for the full
7	year and the employer gets the full year tax
3	credit. There are a lot of different ways
9	that the tax credit works.

So there's -- we're not limiting the number of young people who can enroll, we're actually incentivizing more employers to participate.

SENATOR KRUEGER: So how does that work? Since it's a capped program, when you hit the cap you can't continue to provide tax credits. So did we just have tax credits going unused under the old system this year or the year before?

COMMISSIONER REARDON: I am not clear on that. We -- you know, that is -- I think that's the Department of Tax and Finance that handles the back end of it. We don't handle the back end of it currently, we handle the

4		1
	front	end
_	T T OII C	CIIC.

Under the new proposed increase, we would have some certification duties yearly, so we would know more about how it's playing out at the back end. But I don't know if it's been subscribed or not.

SENATOR KRUEGER: And the Governor also talks about wanting to target this program more to the new tech industries and coordinate with Regional Economic Development sort of, quote, unquote, identified needs. So are you going to be changing the types of jobs that people are approved for in this program?

COMMISSIONER REARDON: No, we don't -
I mean, we don't actually approve the jobs,

we certify that the youth are part of the

demographic that's covered by this tax credit

and the business itself is certified.

I think when we talk about encouraging tech and the REDC industries, it's more of an engagement process. So for instance, our business services workers from the DOL go out to businesses and work with them to talk to

them about what kind of workers they need,
develop a pipeline.

But we also help them with tax credit programs. And a lot of their advantages are out there for businesses, so one thing our business services reps will do is they could target tech industry businesses, go out and talk to them, what are your needs, what are your deficits, what kind of training do you think your workforce needs -- and by the way, do you know that there's this plan that if you hire at-risk youth you can apply for this tax credit?

SENATOR KRUEGER: So even though you explain that Tax & Finance handles, quote, unquote, the back end, in theory Department of Labor could provide us with a master list of how many young people got jobs with this tax credit in a year and how long they kept the jobs and how much they earned on these jobs?

COMMISSIONER REARDON: I don't know if we go all the way into that. I mean, I know how many people we have certified and how

1	many people have been hired. Not sure if we
2	have all the wage records. But I can find
3	out for you.
4	SENATOR KRUEGER: Okay, that would be

SENATOR KRUEGER: Okay, that would be great.

And you raised a very important concern. If the federal government drops another bomb on us, so to speak, because they just seem to like to do that, how many staff would you actually be losing if you lost federal funds that get used to pay for Department of Labor staff?

COMMISSIONER REARDON: It's hard to tell. We've seen a variety of budget proposals. And of course we don't have a budget right now so, you know, we're sort of working in the dark a little bit. And some of those proposals have been very draconian. We have seen proposals that zeroed out Wagner-Peyser. Wagner-Peyser is a program that pays for the career center staff across the state and across the nation. This isn't aimed at New York State; this would affect

every state in the nation. So that would be

1	
1	devastating.

2	I doubt that it would be zeroed out,
3	because the impact on red states would be
4	just as devastating as the impact on blue
5	states, but I think we will see some
6	reductions. There's been talk about reducing
7	the WIOA money, the Workforce Innovation
8	and and that pays a lot for workforce
9	training and the administrative support for
10	that. Again, a national program that would
11	affect every state in the union. And it's
12	hard to see that that would get a whack, but
13	it might get a haircut. So we are looking at
14	ways to handle that, but right now they're
15	contingency plans. We do not want to lay
16	anyone off. These services are critical in
17	the State of New York and the businesses as
18	well. So we will do as much as we possibly
19	can to do more with less if less is what we
20	get.
21	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
22	Assembly.
23	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
24	Bronson.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Good afternoon,
2	Commissioner.
3	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Good afternoon
4	ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Nice seeing you
5	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Nice to see
6	you.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Let me start
8	with the Empire State Apprenticeship Tax
9	Credit. As you know, we worked together to
10	put this in the budget last year, and I know
11	your office launched guidelines at the late
12	part of the year.
13	Has there been any outreach to
14	businesses or marketing to businesses to
15	inform them of this important tax credit and
16	try to encourage them to apply for the tax
17	credit?
18	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yes. So I
19	think I sent you the link when it went live,
20	I hope you got it.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Yup.
22	COMMISSIONER REARDON: And we're very
23	excited about it, because the link is on our
24	website and there's a separate page that

1	explains the whole program. You know Jane
2	Thompson, who is our director of
3	apprenticeships, and she has a wonderful
4	staff and they have been working in every
5	region to make sure that this is a very
6	robust rollout.

Again, the business services people are really important in this connection because they go out and work directly with businesses, and they are the front-line soldiers to bring this information to businesses in a region and offer them an explanation of how it works and offer an explanation of how to apply for apprenticeship grants, an explanation of how apprenticeship itself works. There are, as you know, some misconceptions about what the word "apprenticeship" means.

So we have a lot of tools at our disposal. As you know, I travel all around the state -- and am happy to do it, I must say -- and I meet with a lot of different groups. So I meet with workers' groups, advocates' groups, I meet a lot with chambers

1 c	f	commerce	and	industry	and	roundtables
-----	---	----------	-----	----------	-----	-------------

2 And I always mention whatever programs we

3 have. So we do talk a lot about what

4 apprenticeship means, first of all, and how

5 you can apply for this grant.

The thing that we're really interested in is the New York State Registered

Apprenticeship Program, which is very robust now. Most of the big programs are the union apprenticeship programs, which have been around for quite a while and are the gold standard, quite frankly. They do it better than anybody else. But we want to take the model and attract other industries to begin to pattern on what they've done so that we can expand apprenticeship beyond the building trades.

We know that, for instance, healthcare and tech, IT, are two industries that are really ripe for this, so we make a point of really talking to them. They're also two industries that are growing, and they need a pipeline, so it's useful in all of those ways. But we make sure that we connect with

1	as many employers as we possibly can, and we
2	try to do it in groups rather than just
3	one-on-one because it's an important message

ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: So this is a -I appreciate your enthusiasm about the
program and, you know, really just especially
the way this has developed. You know, there
is an enhanced tax credit if you are going to
employ disadvantaged youth,

16-to-24-year-olds. We're trying to target
it so it's expanding workforces, emerging
workforces, skills gap, and also to link it
to what we're doing with the economic
development programs and the anti-poverty
initiatives. So those objectives are very
valuable.

I think that a piece of the outreach, though, needs to be helping businesses to -you know, as you indicated, very difficult to
get apprenticeship programs through because
we want them to be good. So perhaps helping
businesses to adopt similar models to the
union apprenticeship programs. But since
they don't have employer associations, find

1	those industries that don't have it, like the
2	Manufacturing Association of Central New
3	York

4 COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yes.

ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: -- and see if we can develop models in that area so that we can move industries forward. So I think that's vitally important.

The Governor in his Article VII

language indicated that the proposal is for

business-related tax credit claims for three

years. Do you know if the intent is to

include this program as well as the New York

Youth Jobs Program? Or are they excluded

out? Because I don't think it's really clear

in the Article VII language. And it would be

extremely problematic, as we're working

toward workforce development, if, you know,

we're doubling the tax credit for youth, we

have this new program that's just getting

launched, and then we say we're going to have

a three-year delay on the tax credits for

businesses.

24 COMMISSIONER REARDON: I don't know

1	the answer, but I can get an answer for you.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Okay.
3	Next question before we end our time.
4	So \$175 million for consolidated funding
5	applications, it looks to us like none of
6	this is new money, it's shifting money
7	around. A little bit contrary to what the
8	statement was originally. But you put in
9	there the words in several of the streams
10	of funding the proposal is to add the phrase
11	"workforce development."
12	As you well know, we negotiated a bill
13	that the Governor signed of mine that would
14	catalog all the job training programs
15	throughout New York State, and we had a lot
16	of back-and-forth on what workforce
17	development means.
18	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yes.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Yet now we have
20	language being put into this \$175 million
21	funding stream, but we're not defining it.
22	How do you define "workforce development" for
23	this CFA?
24	COMMISSIONER REARDON: So that

1	would that might be the purview of the
2	office of workforce development. I mean, I
3	know how we define it at the Department of
4	Labor. I'm not sure how they're going to
5	define it. So as I said, it's under
6	construction and we're waiting to see how it
7	rolls out.
8	But again, this is an exciting program
9	to coordinate all the various programs that
10	we currently have. And we have many, as you
11	know. We have been working to put together
12	that list and be able to get it out to the
13	public to use. And as you know, these
14	different programs are scattered throughout
15	all the agencies. So the hope is that this
16	office will be a coordinator of those efforts
17	and simplify some of the simplify the
18	search for the worker: How do I find a
19	training program for X? You know, how do I
20	connect with this kind of industry?
21	And that's the aspiration, and I hope
22	that we I hope that that's what we
23	actually do, because we really need it.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Okay, my time is

1	up, but 1'11 come back and ask you more
2	questions about that office.
3	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Okay.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Thank you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
6	Senate?
7	SENATOR KRUEGER: Senator Jim Tedisco.
8	SENATOR TEDISCO: Thank you,
9	Commissioner Reardon, for your service and
10	for being here today and for taking the time
11	to give us your testimony.
12	I've got some individual questions on
13	individual issues I'd like to ask, but
14	because time is limited, I think I'll ask a
15	whole list of questions.
16	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Okay.
17	SENATOR TEDISCO: You used New York's
18	motto "Ever Upward" also "Still Higher."
19	It was never meant to mean still higher
20	taxes, mandates or regulations, it was meant
21	to be still higher quality of life for the
22	constituents we represent.
23	In terms of what you said about the
24	positive factors in New York State, I want to

1	know how it kind of squares with the fact
2	that we're the third-highest-taxed state in
3	the nation right now. You've probably seen
4	by all the economic indicators we've been
5	labeled as if not the worst, one of the worst
6	environments for small business in job
7	creation. Last year the dubious distinction
8	through some groups evaluating us, one of the
9	worst places to retire in the nation as a
10	state.

And you're probably familiar with Tax

Freedom Day, what that means. Tax Freedom

Day is for everybody that's sitting in this

room, all the people who work in New York

State, that's the day when we finally pay off

our taxes for local, state and federal

government and actually take a dollar home.

In May of this year, early on and into the

middle, that's Tax Freedom Day for New York

State -- close to five months. None of us

take a dollar home from our salaries to pay

our kids' tuition, our mortgage, buy a

Thanksgiving turkey.

In leading on from that, how does it

1	square when, of the 50 states in the nation,
2	there's three or four who lost population
3	over the last decade and over the last year.
4	We lost a million in population who left New
5	York State, migrated out over the last 10
6	years. You know we lost 190,000 who left New
7	York State last year.
2	

What is it we're doing wrong that we have these indicators in terms of keeping people in New York State, attracting people to New York State?

And when we talk about mandates and regulations and piling on, recently the Governor gave out an executive order about scheduling for small businesses. You're familiar with it. We had a hearing on that. And it indicates that small businesses like those who have car washes -- we have Hoffman in this area -- those who do construction, do roofing and things of that nature, you have to schedule two weeks in advance. And if you don't bring those employees in and if you don't follow that schedule, you're penalized.

If I own a car wash, I've got to have

1	a crystal ball to know how those next seven
2	days are going to probably be. If it rains
3	for seven days straight at a car wash, I got
4	31 people sitting there looking at these
5	sponges not moving, because nobody's going to
6	bring their car in when it rains. Nobody's
7	going to send people up on the roof for that
8	second week.

How can we penalize those small businesses for trying to follow through on those guidelines? They don't have a crystal ball, they don't know what the weather is.

So most significantly, I think some of the actions belie the suggestions you're making here. I wish it was the rosy picture, part of which you paint. And I just want to know how that squares with the fact that we've lost 190,000 in population, we lost a million -- why did that happen if there's opportunity in New York State?

COMMISSIONER REARDON: So this is a complicated question to answer because there are lots of pieces. Let me start with the tax part. As you know, every New Yorker

1	today pays lower taxes than they did the day
2	that Andrew Cuomo was elected, every single
3	New Yorker. Manufacturing taxes are the
4	lowest they've been since 1917. The
5	middle-class tax cut is going into effect for
6	the second year.
7	SENATOR TEDISCO: Yeah. I supported
8	that, and that's great.
9	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yes, and I'm
10	sure we're very thankful for that.
11	So every New Yorker pays a lower tax
12	rate now than they did when Andrew Cuomo
13	first came into office. So he has worked
14	very hard to bring those taxes down.
15	I will say I'm sure you're aware of
16	the economic missile aimed at New York from
17	the federal government in the tax cuts, which
18	is actually going to be very devastating for
19	us
20	SENATOR TEDISCO: Well, I've got to
21	interrupt you there. That missile hasn't hit
22	us yet.
23	COMMISSIONER REARDON: It's coming.
2.4	CENATION TENTSCO. All the indicators

1	I've given to you I don't know if we're
2	lower or not in taxes. But when you're that
3	high if we're that much lower and we're
4	why aren't we attracting people to come to
5	New York State rather than losing 190,000 in
6	population?
7	COMMISSIONER REARDON: We are lower.
8	As for the on-call scheduling, we held
9	four public hearings, we collected written
10	testimony from businesses as well as workers,
11	and we have been open for comments, which was
12	why I could not attend your hearing, and we
13	are now going through my staff is going
14	through the comments now. We have I think
15	800 comments in this last round, and I'm
16	going to sit down with them and we're going
17	to come up with a fair and equitable set of
18	regulations.

This is a complicated area. But I want to remind everybody that on-call scheduling is a relatively new way of scheduling in the world of work. Twenty years ago, if you worked in retail, you had a schedule. Twenty years ago, you know,

1	25 years ago when I was probably longer
2	ago than that when I worked in a
3	restaurant when I was a young actor, I had a
4	schedule. And people knew what kind of
5	schedule they had and essentially what their
6	weekly take would be from their job because
7	they could figure it out.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

When Walmart started the as-needed inventory approach, suddenly people realized that workers could be fungible, that they didn't need to have a schedule the way they used to, that they could plug them in like robots or pieces into their work pattern. And that created a great difficulty for workers. It also creates a headache for the employer. There is -- I read a story several years ago about a large retailer on the East Coast, I think it was Ikea, who had the on-call scheduling software, and their sales were falling. And I think it was the stores here in Elizabeth and New York City, they were getting a lot of complaints about their workers, they weren't able to answer questions, they were having a hard time.

1	They decided to try an experiment and
2	do what they do in Sweden, where the mother
3	company is. They got rid of the software and
4	they gave people shifts. Their profits went
5	up, they had fewer employees walking off the
6	job. And they had comments from their
7	customers saying "Your employees are great,
8	they know how to put these things together, I
9	can go and ask them my questions." They got
10	rid of the software and they gave their
11	people shifts.
12	So that is one thing that speaks to
13	the need for regularity, not just for the
14	worker but for the employer as well.
15	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
16	SENATOR TEDISCO: Well, thank you,
17	Commissioner, I appreciate your testimony.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
19	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you.
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
21	Oaks.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Yes, Commissioner,
23	a few questions.
24	I know you had mentioned that you were

1	doing a pay equity study. And just in your
2	comments on working and different things,
3	just had a quick question. Unemployment rate
4	or working rate you know, number of
5	jobs do we separate those by gender to
6	know numbers who are working?
7	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yes.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: So if we look at
9	the figures we can figure those out?
10	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yes. There's
11	an unemployment rate for men, there's an
12	unemployment rate for women.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you.
14	In the issue of the family leave
15	program, you brought that up, is your agency
16	overseeing that? Do you have information now
17	on the number of businesses that have
18	established the program, who should have the
19	number of people who are withholding money
20	and whatever? Do we have any sense of that
21	type of compliance at this point?
22	COMMISSIONER REARDON: So the this
23	is actually overseen by the workers' comp
24	part of the world, and they the Workers'

1	Comp	Board	oversees	the	issues	that	arise
2	with	it.					

But we were very involved at the

Department of Labor in the rollout, and I did
a lot of speaking around the state, on behalf
of the Governor, to explain it to business
groups and workers.

I do not have that information. You know, it just went into effect in January.

But it did require all private employers to begin the deductions unless the worker filed a waiver. And the waiver was substantiated if that worker would never meet the requirements to test into the family program.

It's weeks worked or hours worked, depending on whether they're under 20 hours or over 20 hours. But that was a mandate for every private employer in the state.

ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: And then I just wanted to ask you a little bit on the minimum-wage issue. You talked about it, it was brought up. Do we have any numbers -- in the most affected sectors that were paying at minimum wage before we started increasing it

1	and today, do we have any numbers on job
2	gain, job loss in those sectors at all?
3	COMMISSIONER REARDON: In the minimum
4	wage where it's only a minimum wage, it's
5	a lower ridge.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: So employers that
7	were paying at that rate, are we seeing fewer
8	people, more people?
9	COMMISSIONER REARDON: It's fairly
10	early to have that data. So we're one year
11	in, I know we are gathering the data and
12	we're analyzing it. But, you know, labor
13	stats take a while to put together. So I
14	don't have that.
15	But I don't think there's I would
16	have heard if there was a lot of volatility,
17	and I don't believe there has been.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Okay. And then I
19	know we had talked some about youth
20	employment too, job rates for
21	16-to-18-year-olds, or people with jobs or
22	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Unemployment
23	rates.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: unemployment

1	rate. And I know there's two things, people
2	working or not. Any change have we seen in
3	that area since the increase?
4	COMMISSIONER REARDON: So the figures
5	that I have across the state so across the
6	state, unemployment has fallen in every
7	region. And I'm very happy to say that youth
8	unemployment has fallen in every region. It
9	is still high. Let me point out, it is
LO	higher than we want it to be, but it is
11	definitely lower than it was five years ago,
12	four years ago. So it is incrementally
13	coming down.
L 4	So all the programs that we're using
15	are effective. Youth unemployment is a
16	difficult problem not just in New York State,
17	it is difficult across the country. And
18	there are a variety of reasons for that.
19	Some of it definitely is disadvantaged
20	youth, but it goes beyond that.
21	But I'm happy to say the unemployment
22	rate is coming down. The Strikeforce that we
23	have in the Bronx and Brooklyn and Western

New York, in various parts of the state, that

Τ,	has helped. The Strikeforce is for youth as
2	well as adults.
3	So we have a variety of programs to
4	work with young people, and we are assiduous
5	in applying those programs. And they are
6	working. But I am not claiming success. You
7	know, we have a lot more to do, no question
8	about it.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you.
10	SENATOR KRUEGER: We've been joined by
11	Senator James Sanders.
12	And Senator Diane Savino is the next
13	questioner.
14	SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you, Senator
15	Krueger.
16	Good afternoon, Commissioner. Always
17	happy to see you.
18	I just want to focus on a couple of
19	things. I know you already answered the
20	question about the new \$175 million workforce
21	investment; so that's existing money that's
22	now being pulled together and it's going to

be administered through the REDCs, is that

23

24

correct?

1	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Mm, no. Well,
2	it's a CFA program, so it funds an expanded
3	CFA program. And it's administered the way
4	the CFA programs are right now.
5	So one of the things with the REDCs,
6	we want to make sure that the priorities from
7	the REDCs are identified appropriately, the
8	skill sets that are needed for those priority
9	industries are identified as pipelines that
10	are needing to be filled, and then we want to
11	align all of the various workforce
12	development programs that we have to make
13	sure that we're doing this adequately. And a
14	lot of that is middle-skills jobs.
15	SENATOR SAVINO: Right. And, you
16	know, we've done a lot of work together over
17	the years. As you know, I think 2010,
18	Assemblymember Heastie who was then the
19	chair of the Labor Committee in the
20	Assembly and myself, we passed the Wage
21	Theft Prevention Act. I know we saddled your
22	agency with an awful lot of work as a result
23	of that.
24	But one of the things that happens,

1	even when you do manage to crack down on a
2	bad employer and you get a judgement against
3	them, they don't have any money. Or they've
4	reorganized their corporation into another
5	entity to get out from underneath paying.
6	So last year, as part of the budget
7	discussions and it fell off at the last
8	minute was being able to move the SWEAT
9	bill, which is the I love these acronyms.
10	But it stands for Securing Wages Earned
11	Against Theft. And it would allow the
12	imposition of a mechanic's lien so that all
13	workers would have the right to put a
14	temporary lien on an employer's property when
15	they haven't been paid for their work after
16	there's been a finding and in fact they were
17	the victim.
18	But there was some concern on the part
19	of I guess the administration that the
20	language in the bill was inconsistent with
21	the prior underlying mechanic's lien.
22	So do you have any idea whether or not

So do you have any idea whether or not we've able to address that underlying concern? If you don't know today, that's

L	fine,	but	if	you	could	get	that	to	me.
---	-------	-----	----	-----	-------	-----	------	----	-----

have been had.

doesn't really help.

COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yeah, I don't
know. That's a good question, and we can
find out. I don't know what conversations

know, the Wage Theft Prevention Act is probably one of the most effective bills that's been passed in this state and across the country. But if an employer can easily get out from underneath making good on the wages because they're just reorganizing themselves or they're going out of business, which happens fairly regularly, you know, it

With the two minutes I have left, I want to talk about a program -- and I'm not really sure where it falls under. It's called YouthBuild. So I know in the state budget there's a commitment for \$300,000 for YouthBuild, but it's in -- it's probably one of the most effective evidence-based programs that I've seen on the ground. I can hear Senator Montgomery agreeing with me behind

But what I would like to see is some

of these \$175 million, if some of it could be

23

1	us.
2	COMMISSIONER REARDON: We had a
3	conversation last year about it.
4	SENATOR SAVINO: Because it's not a
5	diversion program and it's not a requirement
6	on young people. These are young people who
7	have finally accepted the fact that they're
8	responsible for their own life. They may
9	have not achieved everything they were
10	supposed to in their primary and secondary
11	education, but it's like they realize, "I'm
12	21, and there's nobody going to hold my hand
13	anymore." So there's a real commitment on
14	the part of these young people.
15	And if you haven't visited a
16	YouthBuild, you really should. You know,
17	they're training them not just for jobs in
18	the building trades, which is important, but
19	kind of training them to understand that, you
20	know, you're an adult now and there's a
21	responsibility to plan for your own future,
22	that the world isn't going to hold your hand.

1	directed towards the YouthBuild programs.
2	They are, again, evidence-based, they are
3	very successful. And I think that we're
4	missing an opportunity. Most of the money
5	comes from the feds.
6	COMMISSIONER REARDON: It's USDOL
7	money. We don't have it, yeah.
8	SENATOR SAVINO: Right. And with the
9	concerns about the way things are going in
10	Washington, we're all worried that that money
11	is going to disappear. Local governments
12	sometimes fill the gap. You know, the City
13	of New York does a commitment to some of the
14	YouthBuild programs. But it would be helpful
15	if the state recognized that this is a
16	program that works and that we should be
17	investing in it directly through the budget.
18	So if you could take a look at that, I
19	would really appreciate it.
20	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you.
21	SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you.
22	COMMISSIONER REARDON: We had that
23	conversation last year.
24	SENATOR SAVINO: Oh, one other thing.

1	And you may not be prepared to answer it yet.
2	I know the Governor has proposed the
3	idea of eliminating the tip credit or the
4	tipped wages across different sectors that
5	are covered. I understand the car wash
6	issue; I don't quite understand the
7	restaurant issue.
8	There seems to be this impression that
9	people who work as waiters and waitresses and
10	bartenders and banquet don't make any money.
11	I think you and I have had this conversation.
12	My first job was a waitress when I was 15, I
13	made \$40 the first day in tips, that was the
14	job for me.
15	So I just think we should be careful
16	about how we apply this issue to the
17	restaurant industry.
18	COMMISSIONER REARDON: So let me say a
19	little bit about the subminimum wage
20	hearings. The Governor has directed us to
21	hold hearings across the state. We will
22	holding them in six localities with seven

hearings; I think we're going to do two in

New York City.

23

1	And as you said, this is a great swath
2	of tipped workers, not strictly hospitality.
3	So I always everybody I'm sure many
4	people here stay in a hotel because you don't
5	live in Albany. When you stay in the hotel,
6	do you tip the room attendant when you leave?
7	Because they are considered tipped workers.
8	And many people don't, or they leave a dollar
9	on the dresser.
10	Same thing with dog walkers. I found
11	out that tow-truck operators get tipped. Who
12	knew? Car washers, obviously. There's a lot
13	of them.
14	So we are going to hold these
15	hearings. We want to hear from all of the
16	industries. We want to hear from all of the
17	regions. And we want to hear from the
18	workers and their advocates. There are
19	concerns. We have heard these concerns
20	often.
21	One thing I want to make
22	crystal-clear, because there is confusion
23	about this. This is not about eliminating

tips. This is about the subminimum wage that

1	is allowed to be paid to tipped workers.
2	Subminimum wage is your salary from your
3	employer. Tips are given to you by the
4	person that you serve, and it is a gratuity.
5	And they are very different. It gets mixed
6	up. We are not talking about tips. I worked
7	for tips; I remember that.
8	But it is a convoluted practice. It
9	requires a tremendous amount of bookkeeping,
10	actually, from the company.
11	SENATOR SAVINO: Right. And as you
12	know, in the restaurant industry if you
13	you get paid the subminimum, but if you don't
14	make the difference in tips, the employer is
15	responsible for making up the difference. So
16	you're always guaranteed that you will be
17	paid, compensated at least the minimum wage,
18	and then of course most people make far
19	beyond that. Let's not talk about who claims
20	it and who doesn't. But that's beside the
21	point.
22	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yeah. It's
23	mostly charged now, so they

SENATOR SAVINO: It is different,

1	though, than the tip credit.
2	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yes.
3	SENATOR SAVINO: Which allows
4	employers to keep money. That's a different
5	that's more in some of the industrial work
6	like car washes. The car wash industry is
7	allowed to claim the tip credit for their
8	employees. Restaurants don't. So you're
9	right, it's a very convoluted issue.
10	COMMISSIONER REARDON: No, actually
11	they're the same. I mean, the subminimum
12	wage is applied the same. It's a different
13	number because of the different wage scale.
14	But it's the same theory. And that's one of
15	the reasons we want to look into it, because
16	we've actually had a fair amount of cases of
17	wage theft, some of it on purpose, some of it
18	inadvertent because of the having to make
19	sure that you're made whole at the end of the
20	week or whatever the period is.
21	So it is a complicated area and we
22	really want to understand it all the way
23	around the board. But again, we are not

the USDOL rule about tip pooling --

1	SENATOR SAVINO: Different issue.
2	COMMISSIONER REARDON: That is not
3	allowed in New York State. We have laws that
4	prevent that. And we are not talking about
5	that. We are simply looking at the
6	subminimum wage.
7	SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you.
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
9	Assemblyman DenDekker.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Yes, hi.
11	Thank you very much, Commissioner, for being
12	here.
13	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Hi. Good to
14	see you.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Nice to see
16	you again.
17	I just basically want to make one
18	quick comment about the Vital Brooklyn
19	program. It sounds great that the Governor
20	set a goal of 7,500 hires and got 300 percent
21	back on his original ask. I would ask that
22	you please consider a Vital Queens. If it
23	worked so well there, I'd like it in Queens,
24	specifically my area of Queens, but I'm sure

1	the chair of Labor, who represents South
2	Queens, would also like to get another 20,000
3	jobs in her area. So we would ask that you'd
4	please bring that back to the Governor that
5	we are asking that you bring Vital Queens and
6	make it a priority.
7	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I will
8	definitely bring that message back.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Thank you.
10	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
11	Hi, Commissioner.
12	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Hi. How are
13	you?
14	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I'm well, how are
15	you?
16	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I'm well, thank
17	you.
18	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: It's always
19	wonderful to see you.
20	And I did have a few questions,
21	though.
22	So in last year's enacted budget we
23	authorized you, as Commissioner of Labor, to
2.4	emonth wages for fast-food workers going

1	forward to keep all workers on the same
2	minimum wage schedule. Hasn't happened yet.
3	So is that promise going to be kept?
4	COMMISSIONER REARDON: We are studying
5	it. You know, it's preliminary. We don't
6	have any conclusions that would move us to do
7	it now, but we are definitely keeping an eye
8	on it and we will address it should that
9	become necessary.
10	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Well, that was an
11	agreement that we had, though. So I do
12	believe it's necessary.
13	But you said you're studying it. Has
14	there been any analysis, like formal analysis
15	conducted on the impact of having separate
16	minimum wages for employees doing
17	substantially similar jobs?
18	COMMISSIONER REARDON: We are watching
19	the industries that are impacted and, you
20	know, tracking them to make sure that there
21	aren't huge disparities.
22	I do know there was a report
23	recently it was New York City only, it
24	wasn't the rest of the state that in fact

1	in fast food where that increase happened,
2	and it happened highest, I believe, in
3	New York City, they have actually expanded
4	and there are 23 percent more fast-food
5	workers in New York City now than there were
6	before the increase.
7	So that seems to indicate that they're
8	doing well. But that again is just New York
9	City.
10	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: You know, I would
11	love to see that, because there's also a lot
12	of information out there about accelerated
13	technology, automated technology in fast-food
14	establishments where many of them are
15	replacing workers with technology.
16	And I've spoken to several businesses,
17	and they have said that millennials
18	especially want to wait on themselves. So we
19	see this in supermarkets, we see it in
20	fast-food places. So has there been any
21	study conducted on the correlation between
22	automated technology and fast-food workers on
23	the minimum wage?
24	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I'll have to

1	look into it. That's a great question. you
2	know, we are very aware of the impact of
3	automation in general on employment. I know
4	in the dairy industry a lot of farmers are
5	now using robotic milkers for a variety of
6	reasons. It's, you know, sometimes easier on
7	the herd, it's sometimes easier on the
8	workers, and it just makes sense.
9	I would you know, these things
10	changed everything. And automation is here
11	to stay. So we are trying to stay ahead of
12	it and see, particularly on the training
13	side, making sure that when we work with
14	young people that we can help them find a
15	path that is going to be productive for them
16	for the long term and not the short term.
17	But that's part of it.
18	But I will look into it, because it's
19	a actually, it's an area that actually
20	fascinates me, so geek.
21	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. I look
22	forward to that.
23	So how are you helping retailers in

convenience stores who have fast-food

1	businesses within and there are many, when
2	you think about it but within their
3	businesses? So for example, a Subway in a
4	gas station, a Starbucks in a Target store
5	and so on. Most all employees of that Target
6	store, for example you know, the question
7	is, I guess, must all the employees in the
8	Target store be paid the fast-food minimum
9	wage? That's question one, because they're
10	all collocated. And does the Starbucks have
11	to have its own security and cleaning staff
12	because they must make a different wage?
13	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I know that
14	we've had conversations. I don't have the
15	information right here in front of me, but I
16	can get back to you on that. Some it
17	depends on the business model. Some
18	businesses, the fast food is a separate
19	entity so they are treated as a separate
20	entity. If it's integrated into the store,
21	it depends on the franchise agreement, I
22	think. So it's not really straightforward
23	and it's not one-size-fits-all.
24	But I'd be very happy to have that

1	conversation. Let me get some more
2	information on it.
3	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: That would be very
4	helpful.
5	So I know Senator Tedisco asked a
6	little bit about call-in pay requirements
7	that are being proposed. So in November, as
8	you know, the department proposed new
9	rule-making regarding employee scheduling
10	that would require employers to provide four
11	hours of call-in pay to any employee whose
12	shift is canceled less than 72 hours before
13	its start, when the employee is required to
14	contact the employer less than 72 hours
15	before the start of a shift to determine
16	whether they must report to work, or when an
17	employee is required to be ready to report
18	for work for an on-call shift.
19	So these new rules would require that
20	employers provide two hours of call-in pay
21	for any shift that is scheduled less than
22	14 days in advance. And these would be the

most restrictive rules in the entire country.

And in January, in early January I

23

1	took part in a Senate public hearing on these
2	proposed regulations, and there's a lot of
3	concern in the community. And I have
4	received a lot of information from employers
5	in my district and actually from across the
6	state that this would be devastating to their
7	businesses.

So for example, we heard from car washes, and it really does not work for them.

Or, you know, oftentimes at nursing homes people call off a shift and they have to fill it. And that's health and safety at risk if you don't have someone on that shift.

So in your regulatory filing in the State Register you state that the department does not anticipate that this regulation will have an adverse economic impact upon small businesses. Can you please describe in detail the analysis that the department conducted in order to reach this conclusion.

COMMISSIONER REARDON: So we are right now in the middle of going through the comments, the over 800 comments that we got to the regulations. And I'm going to be

1	sitting down with my stair and digging
2	through all of it, because it's a very robust
3	comment period, needless to say. And I hope
4	that the people that talked to you wrote to
5	us, because that's what we need to hear.
6	Those are the people we need to hear from.
7	And we will be issuing regulations
8	that we hope will be fair and balanced for
9	everyone when we finish this process, but we
10	are not through it yet, digging.
11	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you,
12	Commissioner, for that answer. But I would
13	point out that you came to that determination
14	in advance, before it was filed.
15	So I guess the question is and I'm
16	glad that you're looking at people's comments
17	now, but you came to that conclusion before
18	you got people's comments. So I would
19	strongly urge you to make sure that
20	everyone's concerns are heard, because I
21	believe that this could be a very, very
22	detrimental mandate not only on small
23	businesses but on, you know, nonprofits,
24	other health providers that have to deal with

1	people calling in sick all the time or taking
2	time off unexpectedly, and there's only so
3	much money to go around.
4	So the extended public comment closed
5	on January 22nd. When do you anticipate
6	you said you're going through it right now
7	and it's very robust, because I believe
8	there's a lot of concern out there. But when
9	do you anticipate releasing a summarization
10	of the comments that were received?
11	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I don't have a
12	date right now. But obviously as soon as we
13	can get through all of the comments and
14	finalize the regulations, we'll do it.
15	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay. Thank you.
16	So again, then, that means the proposed regs,
17	we don't have a timeline on when those will
18	be issued.
19	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Not yet.
20	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you for that.
21	Just to follow up on Senator Savino,
22	she asked about the elimination of wage tip
23	credits. And I had some questions. So
24	you're not talking about getting rid of tips

1	altogether and just increasing people's
2	salaries.
3	COMMISSIONER REARDON: No.
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: But as you know, in
5	December of 2015 the Hospitality Industry
6	Wage order increased the cash wage by 50
7	percent. And since that time there have been
8	many restaurants across the state that have
9	shut their doors and job growth in the
10	full-service restaurant sector has slowed to
11	the lowest levels since the Great Recession.
12	Given these effects and the vital
13	importance of the sector in providing jobs in
14	the state, have you given some thought to the
15	impact that this will have on already
16	struggling businesses? Because it sounds to
17	me, if you're not eliminating tips but you're
18	looking at this, that you're looking to
19	impose another mandatory wage increase.
20	COMMISSIONER REARDON: That's why
21	we're doing the hearings, because we want to
22	hear from all of the affected workers and the
23	businesses. And in fact in New York City,

where there are enormous numbers of

hospitality industries, we're going to have a
hospitality-only hearing for one day and then
all the other tipped workers' industries on
another day, just to make sure we have enough
time.

But we understand that this is a matter of great concern. And I'm actually very curious to hear the testimony, because it is -- we've gotten a lot of input from various areas, but I would really -- I really am looking forward to hearing from the industries and hearing what they have to say. It is -- as you say, it's an important area of employment and we want to hear their concerns from the workers as well as from the businesses.

CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: But I do think, though -- you know, and especially as you look at areas around the state, they have different economic thresholds where they can actually stand an increase in the cost of a meal. And in rural areas, for example, we need to have restaurants available, but at the same time, if they price themselves out

1	of the market, it's a real concern. And
2	obviously we have a lot of job loss that
3	would be centered around that also.
4	The 2017 enacted budget established
5	the cash wage at the greater of \$7.50 per
6	hour or two-thirds of the established minimum
7	wage. So the question is that was
8	included in the budget that was passed by the
9	Legislature, signed by the Governor. So what
10	authority, if any, does DOL have to
11	administratively alter or eliminate the tip
12	credit? I'm curious to hear your answer on
13	that.
14	COMMISSIONER REARDON: It is in the
15	regulations. We've been tasked with
16	overseeing this. And it was given to us by
17	the Legislature because it's in the
18	regulations.
19	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Well, these cash
20	wage figures were actually passed in the
21	enacted budget. So I'd have to take a look
22	at that, but I don't I believe that these
23	are issues that should be passed in law and

not just done by regulation.

1	But I appreciate your answers.
2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman
3	Jaffee.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you,
5	Commissioner.
6	I wanted to just mention the
7	legislation regarding the tipped workers is
8	my legislation as well as Senator Andrea
9	Stewart-Cousins'. We've carried it for a
10	little while. And having a discussion about
11	that is very important.
12	Unfortunately, the other aspect of the
13	tipped workers and then I'll move on is
14	that too many of the women are being sexually
15	assaulted and abused under circumstances that
16	makes them very vulnerable. So I'm sure that
17	you hear the stories.
18	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I am very aware
19	of it.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: I wanted to
21	mention to go back to the Career Centers.
22	And thank you for focusing on the gender pay
23	gap. And that's also a study I think is very
24	important for us to have a sense of. But

1	within the context of the Career Centers, is
2	there also discussion and ongoing movement to
3	assure that the women who go through those
4	Career Centers are given opportunities and
5	really encouraged to reach out and engage in
6	a variety of careers, rather than just going
7	to secretarial not that it's bad, but I
8	did legislation a number of years ago when we
9	found out that in many of the unemployment
10	sites people would go to, the women were
11	given just a few jobs that might be
12	available secretarial jobs, that kind of
13	job, whereas the men were put in front of a
14	computer with a wide range of opportunities.
15	And the law that we passed, with my
16	legislation, requires that women be given all
17	the opportunities that are available and let
18	them make the determination of what their
19	skills are.
20	But as we move forward too, we need to
21	assure that all our youth, young women and
22	men, are given training and opportunities to
23	be able to move forward in jobs that they may
24	find very inspiring and because of the

1	capability, that was never even suggested
2	that was possible. And you and I both know
3	what that world was, and hopefully we can
4	begin those challenges certainly with the
5	minimum-wage issues. But also with the
6	reality of providing opportunities to explore
7	the possibilities of a wide range of jobs.
8	So I was just wondering if that was
9	also something that was being encouraged and
10	focused on in the Career Centers, as well as
11	in the labor opportunities that are provided.
12	COMMISSIONER REARDON: So now you're
13	singing my song. I thank you for that.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: We have a duet,
15	yes.
16	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yes. As a
17	woman I grew up in a family of six, and I
18	was the only girl. So I learned very early
19	about those differences. And I have resisted
20	them all my life.
21	We make an effort, a very special
22	effort at all of our Career Centers to treat
23	each customer who comes in individually and
24	make sure that they know the panoply of

1	opportunities that are available, not
2	determined by your gender.
3	When we release our gender pay gap
4	study, part of the conversation in that study
5	is how do you expose young girls and women to
6	different opportunities, to nontraditional
7	employment opportunities?
8	I often tell young women when I
9	speak to groups, I say, you know, "Watch what
10	the boys are doing. That's where the money
11	is. Go do that job."
12	It's important. And it's important
13	that you encourage girls to think that way.
14	It's important that you encourage them to not
15	have barriers, intellectual barriers about
16	what they can do. But we do that with all of
17	our customers. And we understand how
18	important it is to open those doors of
19	opportunity for everyone who comes in a
20	Career Center.
21	Working with girls and young women is
22	especially close to my heart, and we take it
23	very seriously. I have a niece who's

graduating from the University of Rochester

1	this year, and she's a STEM kid. She has a
2	fabulous future in front of her because she
3	wasn't afraid to go into science and
4	technology and math. And that was a very
5	thoughtful endeavor on the part of her
6	parents, frankly.
7	So we take it very seriously I take
8	it very seriously. And the days of handing
9	the woman the secretarial pad, gone.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: I remember when
11	I was in junior high school, way back in
12	Brooklyn, we were put into either economic
13	development or industrial arts. I didn't
14	want economic development, but they wouldn't
15	let me go into industrial arts. And my
16	father came up to fight for me, but it didn't
17	work out anyway. But my husband, when we got
18	married, bought me a toolkit, so at least
19	he
20	(Laughter.)
21	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I'm the one
22	with the toolkit in our family, so just say
23	it.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: But the

1	unemployment last question. The
2	Unemployment Strikeforce site, where do you
3	have that opportunity that you provide?
4	COMMISSIONER REARDON: The
5	Strikeforce?
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER REARDON: So it
8	originated in the Bronx. Then we spread it
9	out in the 10 counties with the highest
10	unemployment. I have a list I can get for
11	you. It's in Brooklyn.
12	And in Western New York, we tweaked it
13	because in Western New York in Western
14	New York in general, employment was going up
15	but there were zip codes where they had very
16	high rates of unemployment. In fact, it
17	wasn't even the unemployment numbers, they
18	had people who simply didn't work.
19	So we tweaked the Strikeforce in
20	Western New York. We out-stationed our
21	Career Center counselors in the community,
22	because we understand that for many people
23	who have not worked, they feel left out,
24	they're afraid to go sometimes afraid to

1	go into a government office. They don't feel
2	included. So we wanted to have our career
3	counselors in their communities. They work
4	very much with the ministers of faith out
5	there. They were wonderful they gave us
6	churches, they gave us access to their
7	communities, and we made sure that we were in
8	the communities where they needed the help
9	most. And that has been very, very
10	effective.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: How can we
12	access that
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
14	We're going to move on.
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: I'll follow up
16	with you.
17	COMMISSIONER REARDON: To be
18	continued.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you.
20	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Montgomery.
21	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Hi. How are
22	you?
23	COMMISSIONER REARDON: It's good to
24	see you.

1		SENATOR	MONTGOMERY:	Thank	you.	And
2	same	to you.				

Savino's questioning. You know, there's like a group of young -- young people are very interesting and they are sometimes very difficult to figure out. So that having been said, there's a group of youngsters who are supposedly -- I don't like the term, but it's used, I've heard it used -- disconnected youth. I think they are probably the same as in your statement. They are the at-risk youth. I consider them probably the same.

And whatever group that there is, they're groups that are more likely to be suspended. And they're also probably the Raise the Age. So we're talking really the same children.

So -- and when I look at some of the areas that you've talked about that are really I think just very -- it means that you are focused on the right things. For instance, the Career Centers. I like that very much. The Youth Jobs Program, I don't

1	want to talk about that right now except that
2	I wish that we could take if we could put
3	\$40 million into that, if we could take
4	\$10 million of that to do something for an
5	organization like YouthBuild, the YouthBuilds
6	around the state, the Attain Labs.

The YouthBuild program, I know

Brooklyn is sort of -- they're partnered with

an Attain Lab. So that the state -- you're

able to do something -- we're able to do

something where two agencies come together to

strengthen the program that they offer.

So then I guess what I want to say to reinforce what Senator Savino said, I would hope that we could figure out how do we build an infrastructure -- and I use that because I don't know how else to say it -- in our state that supports young people. And when we're talking about Raise the Age, we're talking about young people who really are basically working age or just preworking age. So all of those things that we do to create a workforce that is going to be able to carry our state in the future, into next

1	genera	tions	s, in	all	of	the	areas	that	we
>	would	like	them	t o	he	able	to do		

If we can invest in that and think about it not as a program but as a policy that our state supports them -- and you figure it out, because they can't. They really are not inclined to go look for your programs. But if they end up and somehow, by word of mouth or however they get to YouthBuild, I've heard them in other programs -- where if something works, they send out their own message, their own word. They have their own process.

So we need to be able to, when they appear, wherever they appear, we need to be prepared to do a comprehensive support system for them so they don't fall through the cracks.

So that's all I want to say. I really appreciate the fact that you even remembered that I mentioned it. And just know that in any way, I think, that we can be supportive of your efforts to beef it up, that I appreciate it.

1	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you very
2	much.
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
4	We've been joined, actually quite a
5	while ago I missed him by Assemblyman
6	Bill Colton.
7	And for a second time, Mr. Bronson.
8	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: We've also been
9	joined by Senator Marisol Alcantara.
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: And
11	Assemblywoman Pat Fahy has been here for some
12	time.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Commissioner,
14	I'm back.
15	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Hello.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: I was going to
17	ask a series of questions regarding the new
18	proposed Office of Workforce Development,
19	simply because it was talked about at the
20	State of the State, but there's nothing in
21	the budget on how we're going to fund it, how
22	we're going to staff it, what agency it's
23	going to be in, and things of that nature.
24	You earlier indicated that the plans

1	are under construction. So I'm not going to
2	ask questions because we've got to get to
3	some other areas. But I would make, if I
4	may, some suggestions of things to think
5	about, and that is what will be the level of
6	control that this director and this new
7	office will have over existing funding,
8	workforce development funding? And the
9	overall workforce development agenda, if you
10	will, or our approach and our strategy.
11	And then the other thing is I'm a

And then the other thing is I'm a little concerned -- you did indicate that, you know, we're acknowledging and wanting to upskill potential employees, that we're going to also recognize or acknowledge the REDC priorities. I'm a little concerned about this office being under the auspices of REDC. It's not an agency, statutorily it's not an agency. It gets funded.

But the other problem is there are many, many employers and businesses that are left out of REDC, just because of the limitations of the parameters. And our workforce development programs are much

1	broader.	So	Ι	just	want	you	to	be	cognizant
2	of that.								

On another issue that is not in the budget but was mentioned at the State of the State, and that is an online one-stop shop to help workers and businesses navigate the workforce development programs.

How is that different than the bill that the Governor has signed and we're going to do a chapter amendment through the negotiations that we just had in connection with the catalog, online cataloging of all the workforce development programs? Do you know?

COMMISSIONER REARDON: That is a good question. And I've actually thought about that myself. And I think that it probably will combine them, because the catalog is what's available. So it gives you an idea across the state where the various training programs are, what they are, all of that information.

The one-stop could also talk about how you access them, what kind of perhaps

1	education level it may add to the catalog.
2	It's so if you have a catalog that has all
3	the information, perhaps the one-stop is how
4	to read the catalog and how to apply it.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Good. I like

ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Good. I like that response, and I would encourage you to go in that direction.

The other aspect of this is how will that program interplay or work with the current one-stop programs that are housed throughout the state?

Commissioner Reardon: So our Career

Centers are called one-stops. And, you know,

it's -- the portal itself, as I understand it

now -- and it could change, because it's

under construction. But as I understand it,

the portal is online, I think. So it would

be additional to the Career Centers. The

Career Centers -- it wouldn't replace the

Career Centers by any stretch of the

imagination, but it's additive. And it may

be a portal that says first step, go to your

Career Center and talk to this counselor

about this program. It wouldn't be one or

1	the	other,	it	would	be	additional.
_	CIIC	O CIICI,		WOULU	200	adarcromar.

ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Okay. Go
-------------------------------

And my last line of questioning also relates to a policy statement made in the State of the State but no budget corresponding language or funding. And it also relates to a bill that you and I have discussed in the past that would authorize the Department of Labor to subcontract out to higher education institutions to expand on and develop a data analysis, in connection with labor and wage data, to determine what are our future workforce needs going to be. 

So the Governor is now saying he's going to develop this. He implies it's going to be similar to the Monroe Community

College's approach that they're doing, which is an excellent approach, right. So my question for you is if you can expand a little bit about the strategy of developing this analysis. Which by the way, really sent us back to 2013 when the Legislature authorized the sharing of information between various agencies.

1	So my concern is this, or my question
2	is this, to see if the strategy comes into
3	play here. So we're going to analyze wage
4	and labor data. I'm hoping that we have
5	input from industries in some way so that we
6	know what it is. I'm hoping that that
7	strategy, that approach has some kind of a
8	regional dimension to it. And that we really
9	look to the experts to analyze this, with the
10	overall objective that it's demand driven.
11	By demand driven, oftentimes I hear,
12	in workforce development, that's
13	employer-needs-demand driven. It can't just
14	be that. It also needs to be
15	potential-employee-demand driven.
16	So if you could just expand on the
17	strategy a little bit.
18	COMMISSIONER REARDON: The last point
19	is really excellent. Because part of what
20	happens sometimes in discussions of workforce
21	development and regional economic development
22	is we forget we're going to develop all of
23	these systems to train people and analyze and
24	do all of that there's still a pipeline

```
issue. If we build it, they will come.
Well, maybe not.
```

3 So we need to think about -- and this is not so much -- this is probably more an 4 5 education issue, because they're in school. It goes back to Assemblymember Jaffee's 6 7 question about how do we incentivize women to 8 do things other than the traditional jobs. We need to think about reaching into the 9 10 school systems and having those conversations with young people early, and I mean early, 11 12 about what work is, what career is, what skill sets are. And how do we incentivize 13 14 them -- you know, in your region you may need 15 a particular kind of welder. Not a sexy 16 career choice. But if you can talk to young 17 people and have them experience what that is, 18 it may light a fire for people who will have 19 -- it's a wonderful career, and women are 20 actually better welders than men in many 21 circumstances because their hands are smaller. Side note. 22 But, you know, it -- so there's a way 23

to build that pipeline appropriately. So you

1	are absolutely correct. It's not just
2	building the training programs and talking to
3	the industries. How do you get young people
4	interested in doing the work? And that is a
5	critical part of it. That's where we're
6	going to have to work with SED and CUNY and
7	SUNY, to make sure that we're all having this
8	conversation.
9	That's the great thing about the
10	Office of Workforce Development: They're
11	part of that. So again, you know, we have
12	agency-by-agency program, we have
13	education-by-education program. Let's have
14	that bigger conversation so we can do it
15	holistically instead of piecemeal.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Thank you,
17	Commissioner.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I think we're all
20	set. So great to see you. Thank you.
21	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Great to see
22	you. Thank you very much.
23	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
24	Now we'll move on to our fourth

Τ	witness for today, Greg Olson, the acting
2	director of the New York State Office for the
3	Aging.
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Welcome.
5	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Thank you.
6	Are you ready for me?
7	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Almost. But I do
8	want to make an announcement.
9	And if you're watching and you're
10	participating in the hearing tomorrow, we are
11	actually postponing it because of the
12	inclement weather. So it will be rescheduled
13	for Tuesday, February 27th. And tomorrow's
14	hearing is the hearing on environmental
15	conservation, agriculture and parks.
16	But we will I do so
17	Assemblywoman Weinstein is reminding me,
18	however, the Taxes hearing on Thursday is
19	still a go. Thank you.
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Greg.
21	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Ready? Great,
22	thank you.
23	And good afternoon. Chairpersons Young

and Weinstein, Chairperson Lupardo and all

1	the distinguished members of the Senate and
2	Assembly committees. My name is Greg Olsen.
3	I'm the acting director of the New York State
4	Office for the Aging. And I'm always honored
5	to be here to testify on the portions of
6	Governor Cuomo's budget that affects older
7	New Yorkers.

As you know, NYSOFA provides

leadership and direction to an integrated,

coordinated network of 59 county-based Area

Agencies on Aging, and almost 1,200 public

and private organizations that serve to help

empower older adults and their families.

Governor Cuomo's priority to strengthen

service delivery, increase efficiencies, and

improve outcomes has created strong working

partnerships between our office and many of

our sister agencies, including Veterans

Affairs, the Health Department, and Office of

Victim Services.

Despite a multi-billion-dollar state deficit and increased uncertainty at the federal level, Governor Cuomo's commitment to the state's older population is unwavering.

1	The 2019 Executive Budget maintains NYSOFA's
2	previous funding levels while including a
3	host of new initiatives that address primary
4	areas of concern for older New Yorkers and
5	their families.
6	Governor Cuomo's Executive Budget
7	helps older adults and their families by:
8	Launching a long-term care planning
9	council to understand the projected and
10	desired needs of older adults in New York.
11	This council will analyze, evaluate, and
12	identify the existing service gaps in
13	New York's long-term care system and
14	determine the most cost-effective,
15	evidence-based interventions and create a
16	10-year strategic plan;
17	Issuing an age-friendly executive
18	order that directs agencies to consider the
19	impact of their policies and procurements on
20	health and healthy aging, aligned with the
21	eight domains of age-friendly communities;
22	Protecting older and disabled veterans
23	from deceitful business targeting practices
24	and scams related to applying for federal

1	benefits;
_	,

Helping better understand, market and
apply for the paid family leave benefits
which will support the role of informal
caregivers; and

Strengthening the rural emergency medical service system, as well as leveraging hospital community benefit investments to support prevention initiatives, just to name a few.

The 2019 Executive Budget honors the state's commitment to ensuring those who are served by NYSOFA have access to cost-effective, high-quality, coordinated services that support autonomy, independence, and access to objective information and assistance regarding options, benefits, application assistance, and interagency and systems coordination and advocacy. The budget maintains all funding for key programs, including EISEP, which you're well aware of; the Wellness in Nutrition Program, which is the nation's leading nutrition program, providing congregate and

1	home-delivered meals as well as nutrition
2	counseling and education; and the Community
3	Services for the Elderly program, the most
4	flexible funding stream we have to meet local
5	priorities.
6	And the budget retains the \$500,000
7	investment from the enacted budget last year
8	for the expansion of enhanced
9	multidisciplinary teams. We have partnered
10	with the Office of Victim Services to use
11	this investment to draw down an additional
12	\$2 million in federal funds annually for the

The Governor's budget proposal also invests in NY Connects sustainability through a partnership with the Department of Health.

NY Connects is statewide, locally based no-wrong-door system that provides one-stop access to free, objective and comprehensive information and assistance on accessing long-term services and supports.

next three years. And I want to publicly

their hard work in working with us.

thank Director Cronin and her staff for all

The state office understands, though,

Τ	that we need to continually do more to meet
2	new and emerging needs, and through various
3	partnerships, we work to meet these needs
4	without requiring additional state funding.
5	Through innovative partnerships with
6	foundations, community partners, and our own
7	innovation, we're expanding services and
8	testing new models of delivery.
9	These include piloting a home-share
10	program based on the successful Home Share
11	Vermont model;
12	Implementing the Aging Mastery
13	Program, in combination with the Health
14	Foundation of Western New York and other
15	foundations and partners, which is an
16	evidence-based intervention to develop
17	sustainable behaviors and behavior change
18	across many dimensions to improve health,
19	create stronger economic security, enhance
20	well-being, and increase societal
21	participation;
22	Working with the Department of Health
23	to design a clear pathway for inclusion of
24	aging network services and value-based

1	payment reform;
2	Piloting volunteer transportation
3	programs in rural areas that support dialysis
4	treatments, cancer screenings, and physician
5	visits;
6	Working with the Albany Guardian
7	Society and the Village-to-Village movement
8	to seed local villages, which organizes
9	neighbors helping neighbors;
10	Working to expand caregiver and
11	respite options through the Respite Education
12	and Support Tools model statewide.
13	Our external partnerships have
14	contributed to a wide variety of services
15	delivered to more than 750,000 older adults
16	and caregivers last year. I'm not going to
17	read each one, but there's a list in the
18	testimony that goes through our core
19	services, which include home-delivered and
20	congregate meals, case management, personal
21	care, nutrition counseling and education,
22	legal services, Medicare counseling,
23	et cetera. And our evidence-based

interventions, which we provide over 40 of,

1	that served over 20,000 people last year.
2	So we'll continue to engage state
3	agencies, not-for-profits, and other
4	community-based organizations to serve
5	New York's older population as effectively as
6	possible. And I want to thank you, and I
7	always enjoy being here and the support that
8	you provide not only to our agency, but your
9	communities and counties and the older
10	residents of the State of New York.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
12	Assemblywoman Lupardo, chair of the
13	Assembly Aging Committee.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Thank you.
15	Well, thanks for being here. I
16	appreciate your testimony.
17	And I have a number of questions.
18	We'll just start off with a couple and see
19	how much we can get through in this first
20	segment.
21	So becoming chair, I am spending a lot
22	of time on the community support services for
23	the elderly and of course the enhanced
24	in-home services as well. And I just think

1	it probably gives us the greatest value for
2	our state investment because it not only
3	keeps people in their homes longer, I think
4	it's better for them, for their mental
5	health, for their outcomes, and it reduces
6	hospitalizations or readmissions, which is
7	very important to the state.

I am struggling to try to assess the unmet need. And advocates are always using the figure of 16,000 are on waiting lists.

But I'm discovering that not all counties keep a waiting list. And those counties who do keep a waiting list, who used to advertise more aggressively, have stopped advertising because they can't meet the need.

So if you could help me think through how are we currently collecting the data, and might there be a way of improving that so we have a more accurate picture of what we're talking about here. Because I really think a strong argument can be made obviously for more investment in this, for a number of cost savings across a variety of platforms in the healthcare and mental health and other areas.

1		AC'	ΓING	DIRECTO	R OLS	SEN:	And	Ι	agree
2	with	you,	Asse	emblywoma	an.				

The first part of your question, I couldn't agree more. I think that, you know, this network over the last 40 years has proven to be extremely effective in not only providing prevention services that are very low budget, high yield, but doing exactly what you're talking about in terms of reducing ER admissions, hospitalizations, having a solid community-based infrastructure when people are released from the hospital.

So I think that there's a lot of partnerships that we have created and are developing, whether it be through value-based payments, managed long-term-care contracts, working more with Medicare and accountable care organizations to really be able to demonstrate that value and have an additional financing mechanism.

I think what's obviously clear, you know, for the 11 to 13 years I've been in this agency and then prior to, is that, you know, we cannot count on the federal

1	government	to	provide	the	additional
2.	resources t	hat	tit sho	ıld.	

For a very long time the Governor, the Legislature and communities and foundations, et cetera, have really picked up the charge, because you're seeing individuals in your communities day in and day out that have a need.

I think one of the great things is with some of the additions that we've had in our budget that have been negotiated over the last couple of years, just over the last two years there's been an additional \$31 million in program spending that, you know, you may not be aware of that we get reported from our communities.

So yeah, I also saw in the waiting list number there's a number floating around, 16,000, 17,000. I'm really not sure where that number comes from. Unmet need is something that I look at every year. I require my staff, when they go and do their annual visits to the area agencies, to gather that information. And the numbers in unmet

1	need	that	I	have	are	well,	, well	below	that.

And I think part of that reason is

some of those partnerships, some of the

foundations, some of the innovations, the

additional resources and then the additional

commitments from communities across the

state.

And so, you know, people don't also just languish on a waiting list. There's a lot of other things that can happen to help connect people to services that may not be directly funded by the AAA.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: So what data are we currently collecting, and how might we do a better job of it, is what I'm trying to get at. And before I go advancing all of my own ideas, I'm looking for some input from you.

ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah. Well, I think for the most part you're right, most of the communities do keep unmet need lists.

There's some that don't. So whether, you know, that's a political issue locally, you know, I'm not really that sure.

1	I think in terms of the core things
2	that our office helps to administer but
3	really is provided by our outstanding
4	counties and their partners across the state,
5	you know, we do ask those questions. They're
6	a snapshot in time. I think that's worth
7	some deeper thought in terms of identifying.
8	But I think the point that I want to
9	leave with is, you know, we do have a fixed
10	budget amount. But as you know now, as chair
11	of this committee, it's the most amazing
12	network of people around, where they go out
13	of their way to make sure, whether there's
14	unmet need or not, that there's linkages to
15	other community providers. Because what
16	doesn't get reported to us, for example, are
17	the towns and municipalities that are funding
18	look-alike services faith-based
19	organizations, United Way, CAP agencies,
20	et cetera.
21	So there's a variety of other ways to
22	receive service while people, you know, may
23	have some unmet need within our service

infrastructure. And we're looking at a

1	variety of other options to really diversify
2	funding so we're not totally reliant on
3	federal and state funds. Because this is a
4	community solution to a community issue of
5	demographic change.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Good. Well,
7	let's continue that conversation.
8	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Would love to.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Because I
10	think the more we can strengthen that data,
11	the better our case will be for improving and
12	expanding on this.
13	So speaking of that network that has
14	done such a great job, we've obviously spent
15	a lot of state resources on a public
16	awareness campaign on the NY Connects. You
17	mentioned it in your testimony. I'm trying
18	to understand what happened last year, where
19	we had a tentative allocation I think of
20	\$33 million, yet at the end of the day there
21	was \$14 million less in the final allocation
22	and counties were assessed and had cuts.
23	Can you explain how that happened and
24	how we were not aware at least I was

1	unaware	of	that	until	just	in	the	last	couple
2	of weeks	3							

ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Sure. Well, 3 there are a couple of different funding 4 5 streams that made up the first tentative allocation schedule. And so I just want to 6 7 backtrack a little, because I think what's 8 really important is when you're starting to 9 build -- you know, we went from a program, a 10 NY Connects program that was funded at a little over \$3 million to a real robust 11 12 systems reform that was inclusive of five 13 state agencies. And then at the local level, 14 as you know, there are the counterparts --15 Mental Health, Substance Abuse, OPWDD, Health 16 Department, and then a lot of other partners, including Independent Living Centers. So we 17 18 really developed a robust system, it's not 19 really a program anymore.

There were a couple of different funding streams. We had BIP dollars, the Balance Incentive Payment, which was really the primary builder of the enhancements. We had state funding within our budget, which

20

21

22

23

1	was the \$3 million. And then there was some
2	global Medicaid cap dollars.
3	So when you're trying to build
4	something like this, you have to do it based
5	on some assessments that seem to make sense
6	to you. And so what are those assumptions?
7	One is how many people do you think
8	are going to touch the system. And, you
9	know, we develop that based on clustering
10	counties into seven different pots based on
11	population and so forth.
12	The second is how much time for an
13	average contact our staff is spending with
14	customers. Because this is very much
15	labor-driven, because there's some very
16	complex individuals that may have a variety
17	of needs.
18	And then the third being, you know, on
19	aggregate, what's the average salary of the
20	worker who's doing that kind of work.
21	So the initial allocation schedule is

really based on those assumptions, and hence

it was tentative. I think after it was

implemented over time, what we learned is

22

23

1	that some of those assumptions didn't
2	necessarily pan out. The numbers that hit
3	the system, while they grew, weren't near
4	where our assumptions were. And based on
5	real data from the counties and vouchering
6	history, the tentative allocation schedule
7	didn't match with reality.
8	And so it was what we have now
9	provided as a two-year, \$45 million
10	allocation to the global Medicaid cap, which
11	we believe is adequate to continue
12	sustainability.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN LUPINACCI: So when the
14	counties talk to us about having to have
15	eliminated 280 jobs that were terminated or
16	unfilled, are you saying that is sort of a
17	response to the fact that they weren't
18	needed?
19	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: I think what
20	I'm saying is is that when a county got the
21	tentative allocation schedule, there was
22	the expectation was there would be a buildup
23	of staff to handle the increase in demand.

There certainly was an increase in demand,

1	but it wasn't at the level that our
2	assumptions provided for that allocation.
3	And so, you know, frankly, most of the
4	counties did not spend the money. And so
5	it's very difficult to come in and ask for
6	you know, I'm just throwing out numbers a
7	\$40 million program when the actual expense
8	was \$20 million.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: I totally get
10	what you're saying. Their understanding of
11	the scenario is vastly different. And we're
12	going to have to try to reconcile those
13	stories, because they are really adamant
14	about the fact that this system is going to
15	fail under these parameters.
16	So we'll revisit this as we go
17	forward, because we want to try to help
18	everybody get on the same page.
19	I think I'll end for the moment and
20	regroup after another session.
21	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Assemblywoman,
22	can I just comment on your last point?
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Sure.
24	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: You know, like

1	I said, this was a major systems reform that
2	we built. And we did not build it to make it
3	fail. This program started in 2006. And we
4	had a real great opportunity with the balance
5	incentive, because that was one of the things
6	that the BIP grant required, was a systemwide
7	no-wrong-door.
8	And I'll tell you, you know, I have an
9	opportunity here publicly to just really
10	thank the five agencies and really my staff,
11	who have working tirelessly for the last four
12	years. This is not going to fail. It can't
13	fail. We didn't build it to fail. People
14	are relying on it. Your constituents are
15	relying on it. And I don't believe that that
16	would be the outcome.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: I'm counting
18	on that. Thanks.
19	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
20	We've been joined by Senator Sue
21	Serino, who does a great job as chair of the
22	Senate Standing Committee on Aging.
23	Senator.

SENATOR SERINO: Thank you,

1	Chairwoman.	And	thank	you,	Greg,	for	being
2	here today.						

Myself and my partner in the Assembly,

Donna Lupardo, have made combating elder

abuse a high priority. And last year we held

a hearing on the topic. And as you know, one

of the things that came out of that hearing

was the need for a 24/7 hotline to report

abuse.

As you know, there's currently no uniform method of reporting and far too many cases are known to go unreported, with the statistics on elder abuse varying wildly. Your office estimates that for every one case of elder abuse, neglect, exploitation or self-neglect reported to authorities, five others go unreported. However, other organizations claim that the number of unreported cases could be upwards of 20 or more for each case actually reported. And at the hearing, an individual from the Attorney General's office testified in reference to financial abuse in particular, and noted that only one in 44 cases are reported to

1	and the second and a second
⊥	authorities.

24

2 So our goal, of course, is to protect vulnerable older New Yorkers and increase 3 reporting by streamlining the process so that 4 5 we have a clear understanding of the scope of the issue. And I'm very concerned that the 6 7 hotline was vetoed last year. However, I was 8 encouraged that in his veto message, the Governor expressed support for the 24/79 10 hotline, which passed unanimously in both houses of the Legislature, but he noted a 11 lack of resources because the bill passed 12 outside the context of the budget. 13 14 So this year I've reintroduced the 15 bill ahead of the budget in hopes that it 16 will be considered during this process. I understand that there are budgetary 17 18 constraints this year, which we are all very 19 sensitive to, but that there are certain 20 things that should take priority, especially 21 those things that will help protect some of the most vulnerable New Yorkers. 22

So since that time, have you been able to determine an estimate for how much you

1	think	the :	hotline	would	cost?	Because	I've
2	heard	vary	ing numb	pers.			

3 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Well, first, 4 great to see you, Senator.

And congratulations to you and
Assemblywoman Lupardo for all your work on
elder abuse. I think that this is an issue
that has been in the dark far too long, but
due to the great work of organizations like
Life Span and some of the studies that have
borne out the data that you're describing,
you know, hopefully we have a new and
different day.

What I think is great about aging is that it's not just necessarily contained within the State Office for the Aging. So you talk about financial exploitation and what the role is of the banks and DFS and how we work with APS and OCFS for adult protective services. And we're working with a lot of community organizations.

So I read the same veto message. That bill that you talked about is in OCFS's budget. They are running adult protective

1	services for the state. I do know that there
2	already is an 800 number within New York
3	State's state borders. So in terms of that
4	veto message, it is something that they're
5	willing to have a conversation about in the
6	context of this year's budget to see what the
7	infrastructure costs would be.

But I think what I'd like to talk about, kind of in response to that, is there's other ways to kind of get at your points. And, you know, I think the bill that you guys passed that was signed last year in terms of identification within healthcare and other settings to be able to screen and identify possible elder abuse, we're working with the Health Department, OCFS and OTDA, based on the bill that you guys drafted and passed last year, to be able to have more sectors within the community to be able to identify and make the right referral so that we can be doing a better job in identifying.

The \$500,000 that was agreed upon last year, as per my testimony, is going to leverage an additional \$2 million a year for

1	the next three years, through OVS partnership
2	with us, to expand statewide the enhanced
3	multidisciplinary team model, which we were
4	one of five states in the country to receive
5	an innovations grant. We're the only ones
6	that tested this particular model, based on
7	California and what was happening in the
8	city.
9	And so I'm thrilled at some of the

And so I'm thrilled at some of the progress that we're making. But this really needs, again, to be a community solution to, you know, making sure people aren't isolated, being able to identify the types of issues and predictors of potential elder abuse and financial exploitation and then calling upon the various agencies and their local infrastructure and partners to work together to do exactly what you're talking about.

So I'm hopeful within the context of this budget, you know, the bill that you're talking about is discussed a little bit further.

SENATOR SERINO: And I love the multidisciplinary teams. I think we're

1	definitely headed in the right direction.
2	But it's really troubling that we don't have
3	a number, and the fact that I'm hearing such
4	a disparity in the numbers.
5	So how did they do the breakdown?
6	Like how did they come up with the number
7	when I got the phone call and said it's going
8	to cost one number, and I was originally tolo
9	another?
LO	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: You know, I'm
11	not sure. That fiscal because that was
12	not in the state office, we wouldn't do a
13	fiscal on that. So I'm not sure that I saw
L 4	the fiscal. I'm not I'm not sure.
15	SENATOR SERINO: Because I think I was
16	told originally that it was going to be
17	\$5 million, and then I was heard it was
18	\$14 million. So that's quite a disparity.
19	And I understand that it is OCFS, but SOFA is
20	responsible for these people. So don't they
21	need to see that?
22	I'm just I'm so concerned about the

elder abuse, that you don't have a specific

place for people to call. It's a hotline.

23

1	You know, if somebody it's 2 o'clock in
2	the morning and they want to report
3	something, they need to be able to do so.
4	So
5	And then I have I know
6	Assemblywoman Lupardo had touched on the
7	NY Connects also. But one of the questions
8	that I had about that is what happens when
9	someone calls and no one answers or they
10	can't get through? Because I know that there
11	was an abundance of phone calls after we did
12	the big program and got the message out
13	there. So what happens
14	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: So under
15	normal business hours so I'm not aware of
16	people calling during business hours and not
17	getting through. If you're aware of
18	something like that, that's something I would
19	be very interested in learning.
20	So during normal business hours,
21	there's a couple of hours you can contact
22	NY Connects. We have a statewide 800 number
23	that was part of the deliverables of the

balance incentive payment. And so if you

1	call the 800 number, you can, you know, ask
2	it if you don't speak English or you do
3	speak English or another language, you can
4	ask for that language and then you can say
5	what county you're from. If the software,
6	which is pretty robust, recognizes the
7	county, it will direct you directly to that
8	county NY Connects program. If for some
9	reason you don't understand or you can't say
10	the county, it would go to one of our staff
11	at the state office. We use Language Line,
12	we have interpretation services, and we can
13	provide the contact to the county. If it's
14	off of business hours, the same process would
15	happen, only there's the ability to leave a
16	message both on our system and the local
17	system.
18	The other way is to contact our
19	through office visits at the county level,
20	and that can also result in a website as well
21	as in-home contact once that contact is made.
22	SENATOR SERINO: Okay. I know we just
23	got an abundance of phone calls, so I wanted

to bring that to your attention.

1	And then Assemblywoman Lupardo touched
2	on the CSE also, because you have an estimate
3	that's quite different from the estimate that
4	we're hearing about the waiting list. I
5	think what is your number that you have?
6	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: So my source
7	is the counties themselves. I'm not quite
8	sure where the other number came from. But I
9	can only get my information from the 59
10	counties that we oversee.
11	And so collectively, again, then these
12	are all the core services. So this isn't one
13	particular service. So years ago, just as an
14	example, there were unmet needs of about
15	7,000 people for transportation. In this
16	last year's snapshot again, an unmet need
17	is a snapshot in time. It doesn't mean that
18	if that was something six months ago, it's
19	today there's 30. And so that's less than
20	one per county.
21	Now, we want to serve everybody, so
22	I'm not minimizing that. But we are a little

bit above 5,000 in probably 12 core services.

And the primary area is in EISEP for personal

23

1	care, due to the home aide shortage. And the
2	way to deal with some of those things are the
3	counties are implementing consumer-directed,
4	where they can hire, you know, other people
5	to provide that care; using volunteers that
6	are trained to provide some of those
7	services. But that's an issue that the state
8	I know is collectively taking a look at, both
9	within Medicaid, Medicare, and within our
10	system.
11	SENATOR SERINO: Do you have a report
12	from SOFA on the numbers by county on the
13	wait lists so that we can have access to
14	that? Because I have numbers of 22,000. I
15	don't know if there are so many people
16	that are involved, of course, taking care of
17	the elderly. Are they not all reporting to
18	the county? We definitely have a huge gap
19	here, and that's a huge concern for me,
20	so
21	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: I do have that
22	information that I can follow up with you.
23	Again, I'd be interested to see the

citations for the 22,000. Like I said, I

1	heard the 17,000. My data comes right from
2	the counties. So I'd be very interested to
3	see if whoever is floating those other
4	numbers can produce the documentation behind
5	them.
6	SENATOR SERINO: Okay. And we hear
7	from various advocates, as you can imagine.
8	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Understood.
9	SENATOR SERINO: When you're the
10	chair, everyone they reach out to us. As
11	they should, because we're the voice for
12	them.
13	But thank you, Greg.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
15	DenDekker.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Thank you,
17	Mr. Olson, for being here.
18	And I just want to talk about one
19	section, specifically, of what you mentioned
20	today.
21	You said that they're launching a
22	long-term planning council to understand some
23	of the needs of the aging population, and I
24	appreciate that. And I actually have a bill

1	that I've been trying to do to create a study
2	from the Office for the Aging and the
3	Department of Health to look at assisted
4	living facilities.

And the reason why I focus on that is a lot of seniors will sell their home when they lose their spouse and reach a certain age and go into an assisted living facility where, when they first walk in the door, they're told -- say, for example, the person is 80 years old, they're told their rent or their cost per month is going to be \$3,000 and it includes all their meals and they have nothing to worry about and they can come and go as they want. And as they get older and their needs change, the rates might change, blah, blah, blah, blah.

And they assume, after selling their home, that they have enough money to be able to stay there and live out their lives in this facility, because maybe they don't want to be a burden to their children, for example.

24 And then what we have found or what we

1	have heard some stories, in my community, at
2	least, and from advocates that have come to
3	me, is that the price structure of that
4	assisted living facility is not controlled at
5	all. So when they went in and they told were
6	told \$2,000 or whatever, they look at how
7	much money they had and realized they had
8	enough to stay. And then lo and behold, you
9	know, they need to start taking medication,
10	and now they go to the nurse's station to get
11	their medication because they're not allowed
12	to take their own medication in that
13	facility, and then there's a disbursing fee
14	to give them their own medication. And
15	that's like \$35.

And then they get their bill at the end of the month and it's the \$2,000 plus all these other fees that they added in, and now it's \$4,000. And they start to worry that they're not going to have enough money to stay. And then the next year the base rate goes up from \$2,000 to \$3,000. And there seems to be no control. Because they're private facilities, they can charge whatever

1 they want	t	t
-------------	---	---

as the state's perspective is we need to hold them accountable. They need to come up with a financial planning at the time that that senior wants to go in there. And if they make some sort of contract with them on how long they're going to be able to stay, they're going to have to guarantee that they can stay there even if they want to change their prices later.

Because what we find some of those seniors, after living in that facility for 10 or 12 years, and now maybe they're in their late 80s or early 90s, now have no more money. Now they have to go and move in with the family that they didn't want to burden them with their -- taking care of them, and now they have no finances at all because they've exhausted it all through the assisted living.

So I'd hope that when you look at this long-term council that you're going to put together, that you will study that and see if

1	we can make recommendations to that area that
2	you may not normally think about, of this
3	is private assisted living facilities that
4	can charge anything they want and increase
5	their prices month to month, year to year,
6	with no notice whatsoever. And we need to
7	kind of be careful here, we need to control
8	the senior population that does have some of
9	their own funding privately, and make sure
10	that they're not being cheated.
11	To me at times it almost seems like
12	it's a financial exploitation, because they
13	have to fully disclose how much money they
14	have before they go in, and it's almost like
15	they know how much money they have and
16	they'll just keep on basing their prices
17	accordingly. And I'm very concerned about
18	it.
19	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: You know,
20	Assemblyman, you bring up a great point. I'd
21	be very interested in your bill number, if
22	you can get that to us afterwards.
23	But, you know, the Assisted Living
24	Reform Act of

1	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: 3061.
2	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: 3061? Okay,
3	thank you.
4	The Assisted Living Reform Act that
5	was passed in 2005 to license and regulate
6	assisted living, including the private pay -
7	that was really what it was about should
8	have had in it, and I will double back,
9	because it's been a while since I've taken a
10	look at that. That's overseen by the
11	Department of Health. But should have those
12	protections in them.
13	And so I guess one of the questions
14	that I would have pertaining to the issue
15	that you raised is are there already
16	regulations on the books that require what
17	you're talking about that maybe people aren'
18	aware of or what have you. So I'd like to
19	get back to you on that, because I think you
20	raise a good point.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Thank you.
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
23	Glad to have you here today.
24	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Thank you.

1	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I just want to
2	follow up on a couple of comments from my
3	colleagues. And I did want to say that the
4	NY Connects program, as you point out, it's
5	become a system now has become invaluable
6	to many people's lives across the state. And
7	recently I had some of the my Office for the
8	Aging directors come to me, and they're very
9	concerned about the fact that there's a
10	\$40 million plus, I believe, allocation for
11	NY Connects, but it's not for one year, it's
12	over two years.
13	And I would say to you that their
14	estimates of \$33 million per year is the
15	right figure. And I know that your agency
16	has gone out and done a very aggressive
17	effort in enrolling people in the program.
18	And so now to pull the rug on the funding I
19	think is a mistake, number one.
20	And number two, you have to look at it
21	in the context of an aging population. And
22	we see an exploding aging population across

So I just want to draw that to your

the state.

1	attention. Because you have made a very good
2	program and system be put in place, and now
3	it seems to me that it's not going to be
4	financed correctly.
5	Could you comment on that?
6	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah, I you
7	know, and I agree with your point. Our
8	three-year financial plan has built-in
9	increases based on volume increases. Of
10	course, the demographics shift. I think a
11	lot of the things that we're working on,
12	whether it be NY Connects, fits in with
13	age-friendly state, Health Across All
14	Policies, trying to really redefine and
15	reeducate folks on the value of the older
16	population, what they bring and what they
17	mean to your community, and so on.
18	I can only tell you that, again, the
19	original tentative allocation was based on
20	assumptions that didn't bear out for the most
21	part. And that's what you do when you're
22	building programs from the beginning. We

expected around this time, based on our

assumptions, contacts in excess of 600,000 a

23

	1	year.	We're	not	quite	there
--	---	-------	-------	-----	-------	-------

That doesn't mean that there's not

support for the systems reform. I would say

it's quite the opposite. But it's very

difficult to advocate for a certain value

when the assumptions that -- based on the

value didn't bear themselves out.

What I have been remiss to say is that, you know, 10 states already -- and we are working on this vigorously, hopefully to be there at this point next year, is there are a lot of tasks that are included in the no-wrong-door that allow us to apply for federal financial participation under Medicaid, which will bring additional resources to the program.

And so that's something that -- you know, 10 states have already applied to CMS for approval and have been approved, including some of our neighbor states,

New Jersey -- and we're working with states like Maryland. But that's an additional piece that reflects spending based on actual work. So that we're not making judgments

1	based on	assumptions,	but	actual,	real	people
2	served.					

CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: And I appreciate that. But with the continued pressures on the Medicaid global cap, how could those pressures potentially impact the funding for NY Connects? Because you're saying that maybe we could expand it through the Medicaid program, but we see changes coming down the pike on the federal level, potentially. And so to rely on those dollars I think could be detrimental going forward.

ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Well, and I actually fully agree with that, which is why I think FFP is the way to go.

So again, the initial assumptions created a system. Those now are being funded out of the global Medicaid cap, which does fluctuate depending on a lot of different variables and actions, at the federal level and others.

The Medicaid FFP are administrative dollars based on actual time spent doing tasks that are reimbursable, which is much

more secure than fluctuations in a huge
program -- you know, a \$60 billion program.

I think that there's also a lot of other opportunities that, you know, we could talk about in terms of value-based payments, accountable care organizations, REDCs. Where when you really look at what it's designed to do -- prevention, get people to the right service at the right place at the right time, reduce hospital readmissions, there's DSRIP and PPSs -- that, you know, I think what we're trying to do is really create a business acumen case around this just shouldn't be financed in one particular area, for exactly the reasons that you're describing.

And that's true of the core services that we provide as well. If we continue to rely on one or two sources of funding, that's a losing proposition. And I think what we've tried to do and what I've tried to do is really tried to establish not only those agency relationships, but the community partner relationships so that we can

Τ	diversity our funding screams and not have to
2	deal with fluctuations in the market every
3	year.
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So what role would
5	the REDCs take?
6	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Well, I think
7	that, you know, in terms of economic
8	development, there's a workforce investment
9	here. Certainly by keeping people in their
10	homes and communities, there's an economic
11	footprint by keeping viable older people,
12	people over 50, et cetera, persons of all
13	ages active in their community, paying taxes,
14	supporting local businesses, supporting
15	schools.
16	Certainly within the DSRIP realm, that
17	whole DSRIP is around preventable hospital
18	readmissions rate by 25 percent. One of the
19	core services of the no-wrong-door is care
20	transitions.
21	So I think that there's a lot of
22	opportunities that we just to need to
23	continue to work to connect the dots on.
24	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I understand that

1	there's an economic footprint, but I'm really
2	not sure what the role of REDCs would be in
3	addressing these senior citizen issues. But,
4	you know, we can talk some more about that.
5	So thank you.
6	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Sure.
7	SENATOR KRUEGER: Hi. How are you,
8	Greg?
9	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Good, Senator.
10	How are you?
11	SENATOR KRUEGER: Good.
12	So a lot of the questions have been
13	around adequate care for seniors as they get
14	older. And a lot of the programs are
15	actually run through DOH, and you've got
16	Medicaid funding, as we just discussed. But
17	it's really your agency's job to project, I
18	think, for us the growth or lack of growth in
19	need and some demographic projections.
20	So I'm reading in the Governor's
21	budget that he wants to change the
22	eligibility for managed long-term-care plans
23	to make it harder to get home care services
24	under Medicaid by changing the I guess the

1	percentage of whatever standard you have to
2	meet before you can get it. And they want to
3	reduce the total number of agencies that
4	they're contracting with.

And I see this all as leading to there will be services for a smaller percentage of the total population in need, as opposed to what I see as a growing demand based on the demographics of our becoming an older society.

And then I hold that up also against -- and again, I know it's regional differences, but at least in my neck of the woods in Manhattan and New York City, the number of nursing home beds are shrinking, and they are shifting from any kind of long-term care to short-term rehab.

Now, nobody wants to be in a nursing home, but sometimes you don't have a choice.

And you were already answering questions about shortages in home care services, and now we're going to be reducing who will be eligible for those in the Medicaid program, at least based on the Governor's budget. But

1	the alternative, which is really needed by a
2	significant number of people, which is an
3	actual nursing home bed, is also shrinking.
4	So it's a planning exercise.
5	Who's looking at the actual counts of
6	what we're going to need, knowing what we
7	know about population demographics in
8	different parts of the state?
9	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: I think it
10	depends on the question. So I think,
11	Senator, you're raising you know, I think
12	those questions in terms of the Health
13	Department's budget and changes in program or
14	policy in Medicaid is really for the
15	Department of Health when they come and
16	testify.
17	What we do is we are not the medical
18	model. We help support the medical model.
19	There's finally a recognition, I think
20	broad-based, in terms of how important social
21	programs are in terms of healthcare spending,
22	that 60 percent of all healthcare spending is
23	doing to social determinants. That's what
24	our network does and does so well.

1	The majority of the folks that we
2	serve are Medicare or dual-eligibles. We
3	have quite a few contracts throughout the
4	state with MLTCs in terms of provision of
5	meals, congregate meals, home
6	delivered-meals, non-emergency
7	transportation. But those questions are
8	really for the Health Department.
9	In terms of projections, I think what
10	we're trying to do, at least our agency, in
11	working with others and our partners, is
12	prevent people from spending down to Medicaid
13	by providing cost-effective services in their
L 4	community that can help that, that can keep
15	people out of the emergency room and out of
16	the hospital and have, again, a
17	community-based infrastructure which is
18	how we were structured with the Older
19	Americans Act in 1965 to be able to make
20	those connections and, you know, kind of knit
21	that service together.

So we have, as you mentioned, there's a little over 100,000 nursing home beds, but we've got 3.7 million people over the age of

2 They're not going to be going into the

3 nursing home. They're going to be needing

4 community-based support services.

think we saw come out of last year's budget that are in this year's budget, and some of the plans that we're looking into, like creating a private-pay market, for example, looking differently about maybe tapping -- how we can figure out how to use home equity a little bit different. I'm not talking about reverse mortgages. But really finding ways to incentivize the kinds of programs and services that our network has been successful at doing for a very, very long time at low cost.

I'm very hopeful that the efforts around creating an age-friendly state really begins that dialogue in terms of planning what these numbers mean. I mean, I have the numbers on what the projections are. I have the rates of self-care limitations, you know, by the state. So we kind of know where we

Τ	need to be. I just think our focus for too
2	long has been clinically driven, medical
3	model driven.
4	And I think what the Governor has done
5	so successfully with the Prevention Agenda,
6	Health Across All Policies, creating an
7	age-friendly state and then this year, in the
8	executive order, to really look at the
9	domains of age-friendly in our planning
10	documents, in our county planning documents,
11	in our procurement efforts, can really start
12	to change the dialogue.
13	Because despite the fact that, you
14	know, we've been duped for a hundred years
15	into believing that this population is all
16	the same old, frail, Alzheimer's
17	disease I could look around this room, I
18	could look at, you know, who's running
19	businesses, who's running nonprofits
20	SENATOR KRUEGER: Be very careful. Be
21	very careful, Greg.
22	(Laughter.)
23	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Well, I put
24	myself in that as somebody that turned 50,

1	right.

2	We look at the longevity economy.
3	This is a very, very important economic,
4	social and intellectual population, as you
5	know, Senator. And we just have yet to grasp
6	and think about it differently and recognize
7	how important these folks are. Other states
8	have recognized that. I think we've turned
9	the corner and are starting to make some real
10	inroads in terms of recognizing the value of
11	these folks to our communities and our
12	families.

And I'm hopeful that as we start to change the way we think, then our interventions start to change along with that.

SENATOR KRUEGER: So I don't disagree that it's not just a medical model. And I don't disagree that looking at it in a different way and recognizing that even talking about "seniors" can be a 35-year span of life. In fact, somebody told me they didn't like to be called seniors or elderly and they wanted a new term, so they're

Ţ	talking about it as enronologically gifted.
2	(Laughter.)
3	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Oh, that's
4	pretty good. That's pretty good.
5	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thirty-five years
6	chronologically gifted.
7	But I also I really worry that
8	we're not actually evaluating what our supply
9	and demand is. And I do think that that is a
10	responsibility that your agency should have.
11	So we just heard the discussion back
12	and forth with Senator Serino about who even
13	keeps track of the waiting lists. But I
14	think it's really important to evaluate what
15	are the demands and how much of that are we
16	filling and where do we have the big gaps.
17	And if we say, Well, that's over there
18	in the Health Department, or that's over
19	there in senior housing in the Housing
20	Department, or that's over there that's
21	not going to work for us. So I'm hoping that
22	SOFA will sort of function as the demographic
23	planning base for us to be able to draw on

when we're trying to think through, you know,

1	the 1	model	ls we	need	lof	what's	working	and
2	where	e we	have	bia	gaps	S .		

Because I personally feel, even if
we're all heading in a right direction, the
gaps are getting bigger, not smaller.

ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: You know, I agree, Senator. I didn't mean to leave with the impression that, you know, that's the Health Department's responsibility. I think that there's a lot going on behind the scenes and publicly to try to connect health and social services a little bit better.

But those specific policy decisions
that you had referenced were not ones that I
was involved in, and so I can't comment on
them. But in terms of the bigger picture,
with changes in the Affordable Care Act that
incentivize Medicare and social services and
being able to share savings, with managed
long-term care, with value-based payments -these are all efforts that the Health
Department are undertaking to really bring in
organizations like ours and our network,
because they see the value of what we do in

Ι,	terms of addressing social determinants that
2	really have a huge impact on healthcare
3	spending.
4	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman
6	Lupardo.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Just two areas
8	that haven't been touched on yet.
9	We are not leaving without talking
10	about NORCs, naturally occurring retirement
11	communities, and neighborhood NORCs. If you
12	could give me the simple version of what
13	happened to the \$2 million that we allocated
14	last year from the Mortgage Insurance Fund,
15	I'd appreciate it.
16	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yes. The
17	simple version is is that our agency did not
18	have the appropriation authority to spend it.
19	So we reached out to OSC, the Division of the
20	Budget, SONYMA, to try to figure out if there
21	was a mechanism to access those funds, and
22	the answer to that is is negative.
23	My understanding is as late as late
24	last week, DOB had been working with Assembly

1	staffers and there's been conversations
2	behind the scenes to see if there can be a
3	solution reached during this budget cycle.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Okay. So
5	we'll pay attention to that.
6	And also there was some confusion over
7	this year's contracts, where some people were
8	complaining that they received a lesser
9	appropriation. What happened with this
10	year's contracts?
11	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: So when the
12	RFA was withdrawn in October, we let all the
13	existing contractors know that they would
14	continue with the base allocation that
15	they've received. All the contract letters
16	have been signed, I do those personally, and
17	they're out the door. So I'm not quite sure
18	what that means, because we have the
19	dollar amounts that they're receiving are
20	exactly the same as they were receiving
21	before.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Exactly the
23	same. Okay. I'll double back on that.
24	I do have a couple of questions about

1	the long-term-care planning councils. The
2	Governor mentioned it in his State of the
3	State, but there's no budget language.
4	What's the plan?
5	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: So it's in the
6	State of the State book. And so, you know,
7	again I smile because sometimes you know,
8	sometimes we do things right the first time
9	around. So I'll give you an example of what
10	I mean by that.
11	In 2006 when we launched NY Connects'
12	no wrong door, we were the only ones in the
13	country that required every county to have a
14	local long-term care council. And I'll
15	explain how that fits into this.
16	So we currently now have 2,000 people
17	that represent the health industry, nursing
18	homes, law enforcement, legal services,
19	community groups, civic groups, disability
20	groups, et cetera, on local long-term-care
21	councils. And what they're really designed
22	to do is do local gaps analysis and

problem-solve. Again, another way to provide

services where there may not be resources.

23

Folks have been problem-solving for years.

So this long-term-care council, you know, I think that the name we have to be careful of. Language is very important. Most people think of long term care, they think of nursing homes, they think of medical. That's not what I think and that's not what this is about. This is about really looking at the demographics as a whole, understanding what the existing gaps and needs are for older adults, what are the best practices moving forward in terms of what people want.

And so I think that the idea is -- and we've had some preliminary conversations with the Health Department, because it's under our two auspices to run this -- is to really engage not only the long-term-care councils but the EMDTs, other coalitions, certainly groups, you know, that you would recommend, to really get an idea, if you're talking about aging, there's much more to it than just clinical Medicaid services. There's all the other things that happen, from

1	information to training to understanding what
2	aging even means, and the types of
3	evidence-based programming that's already out
4	there that has proven dividends, and then
5	create really a larger plan so that, as the
6	demographics change over time, we're ready as
7	a state, an age-friendly state which again
8	isn't old age, it's age across the
9	spectrum to be able to create communities
10	and support people where they want to be,
11	which is in their homes.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: So do we have
13	a time frame for this? And will there be a
14	need for funding to support this?
15	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: We're going to
16	be able to manage this within our existing
17	funding. It's mostly going to be a staffing
18	issue, both on my side and the Health
19	Department's side, but that's something that
20	we can manage.
21	We've developed a tentative plan, and
22	work plan, and so we're having those
23	preliminary conversations now. But I think
24	the first thing that we want to do is do a

1	real data-gathering effort at the local
2	level. And what I'm personally not
3	interested in as much as the 30,000 foot
4	advice but as I mentioned, we've got 2,000
5	people who are doing this kind of work in a
6	variety of settings every singe day, and
7	those are the people that we want to hear
8	from.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: So I'm
10	assuming, then, that consumer advocates and
11	people on the ground
12	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Absolutely.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: will be
14	involved in this.
15	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Absolutely.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Very good.
17	Thank you.
18	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Sure.
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
20	Assemblyman Oaks.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you,
22	Commissioner. Just following up a little bit
23	with that, with Assemblywoman Lupardo and
24	talking about the communities but also

1	individuals	wanting	to	age	in	place	and
>	issues arou	nd that					

You don't specifically, I don't think, in your remarks speak to that, but clearly with the planning council you think that that's where there will be some addressing of that. I know that most families who have parents that get to an age where they have to make determinations, it's something that everybody ends up going through and trying to figure out how to manage that, how to meet the needs of the individual and their desires, how to meet the needs outside of that, what works for them.

And sometimes you come into conflict with what the healthcare entity might feel.

Someone has a fall, they want to go back home, people are questioning whether the spouse, the family, more of a less formal setup works.

But I think that whole issue is one that kind of grinds at society, because there is no exact answer for that, and it may be very different from, you know, person to

1	person. And if you know your healthcare
2	person well, they may make a different
3	decision than if you know them extremely
4	casually or this is the first event.
5	And so I have, you know, interest in
6	that just because I hear that from
7	constituents. Again, most people go through
8	it at some point in their lives. So my
9	interest and hopefully the agency's is
10	working to hopefully come up with a way that
11	serves individuals and those who are aging as
12	best we can, but also takes the complicated
13	situation of where families are and tries to
14	make this work. Sometimes intrusion of
15	government in the midst of that is difficult.
16	So just I don't know any
17	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: No, I hear
18	you, Assemblyman. And I think, you know,
19	you're hitting on a point that I think a lot
20	of folks gloss over. And this is really,
21	really complicated personal stuff. Older
22	people a lot of times this is not older
23	people, this is all of us we don't ask for

help. We think we can go. You know, pull

1	yourself up by your bootstraps. There's
2	family dynamics that may not be the ones that
3	we think of. There are very trying
4	relationships. There may be nobody there.
5	There are needs and complications. This is
6	not easy stuff.

I think that one of the real positive things, at least about the network that we provide, is that it's really focused in on being person-centered, trying to look at positives, trying to engage family members where appropriate and where they exist -- because it doesn't always exist -- and trying to mediate some of these very difficult conversations to help people the best that you can. But it's not always easy. The results aren't always the way that we want them. But, you know, what you're raising is exactly right, this is difficult stuff.

ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Is the goal of the group that's going to meet, the council, is it their goal to propose legislation or entertain that which might give some greater clarity or give greater latitude in

1	decision-making	around	that	area?

2 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: You know, I'm

3 not sure we're there yet.

But let me give you an example. When you engage a broad group of diverse individuals on what they're seeing -- so if I'm -- for example, we have a really good working relationship with the Office of Court Administration. So issues associated with accessing the courts and hours and are they ADA-compatible, things of that nature are going to be very different than a social worker that visits the home.

So I am hopeful that we're going to get a very, very diverse and thoughtful response to looking at people's lives holistically so that we can come up with whatever the plan may be in order to support people living in their community. And it's much more than medical care and simple social services. Those are major components, but there's more to it than that. You know, there's a law enforcement perspective, there's a legal

1	perspective, there's a nousing perspective,
2	there's a transportation perspective, there's
3	a family perspective, and so on and so forth.
4	And so that's why I think I'm really
5	excited about this State of the State
6	proposal, because I think a lot of the things
7	that we see on a day in and day out basis
8	will wind up being the type of
9	recommendations of how communities can
10	reorganize and support the people that live
11	there independently.
12	So whether it winds up being
13	legislation, I really don't know because I
14	don't think we're that far along in the
15	process. We're really just trying to think
16	through how we can begin the process of
17	gathering that type of information to make a
18	you know, begin to evaluate what's out
19	there and what needs to happen.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you.
21	SENATOR KRUEGER: Senator Velmanette
22	Montgomery.
23	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Yes, hello.
24	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Hi, Senator.

1	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: First of all, I'm
2	just glad to see you again.
3	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: You as well.
4	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Thank you.
5	I know you were in my district a few
6	years back, it's been some time. But you
7	said something very profound, and I never
8	forgot that. And that was the importance of
9	creating, as you said, I think, an
10	age-friendly and supportive environment.
11	And I'm just wondering, do you have an
12	update of just to what extent we are sending
13	funds out of the state and resources are
14	leaving the state because the elderly are not
15	able to really manage the environment in New
16	York State? New York City in particular, I
17	guess.
18	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: You know, we
19	don't have the information in terms of
20	out-migration. What we do know, and I think
21	Senator Tedisco kind of alluded to that
22	earlier I wasn't sure if it was regarding
23	retirees or younger people. You know, we
24	know that nine out of 10 people want to

1	retire in New York State. There's a variety
2	of reasons that they choose to do that or
3	choose not to. I think the common myth is
4	people are moving to Florida. Certainly
5	that's one of them, but that's not where
6	you know, the other top five are Connecticut,
7	New Jersey, and Pennsylvania. So they're
8	right on the border.

I think there's a lot of indicators and studies out there that peg New York as a bad place to retire. But when you look at scales that look at much more than just taxation and cost of living, many New York communities, including the city, Syracuse, Ithaca, Saratoga, Cornell, Corning -- and I could go on and on and on -- actually rank very highly when you look at scales that are in demand by older people and also millennials. You know, mixed use, access to enabling housing, affordable transportation, not having to use a car everywhere, close to amenities, things of that nature.

So I think what I'm most excited about over the last couple of years has been,

1	again, the Governor's commitment on this
2	Prevention Agenda, which we're part of the ad
3	hoc committee. I mean, I think what our
4	entire network does is really prevention in a
5	whole variety of ways. The Health Across All
6	Policies, which is engaging non-health
7	agencies to help improve population health.
8	A lot of that fits in with the domains of
9	age-friendly communities, and then moving
10	New York towards an age-friendly state.
11	You'll be seeing an executive order
12	this year that really directs the state
13	agencies in their planning and procurement
14	documents to start to think through those
15	kinds of things and plan for them.
16	And I think the last couple of years a
17	demonstration of how that actually works is
18	that in the Downtown Revitalization
19	Initiative, the \$200 million that went out,
20	we were able to build into those procurement
21	opportunities the concepts of smart growth
22	and age-friendly communities. So that's kind
23	of an example of how you go about doing that.
24	I know that many communities around

Τ	New fork State have chosen on their own to
2	sign on to AARP and the World Health
3	Organization's age-friendly communities,
4	including the City of New York. I think
5	that's great. Because as we start to think
6	about the population but more importantly,
7	redefine it in terms of the value not that
8	everybody is old, sick, frail, in a nursing
9	home, because that's not who they are then
10	I think we start to you know, when you
11	develop that value proposition, you start to
12	think about the population differently and
13	what they need and what they mean to the
14	community.
15	And that's I'm really excited about
16	that, because I think those kinds of things
17	are policy changers and game changers for
18	communities to support one another.
19	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: So I appreciate
20	your vision and look forward to the outcome
21	of the vision.
22	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Thank you,
23	Senator. Thank you for all your support as
24	well.

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for
2	being here this afternoon. And we look
3	forward to continuing to work with you.
4	SENATOR KRUEGER: Oh, Sue Serino has
5	one more question.
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Oh, I'm sorry.
7	SENATOR KRUEGER: I apologize.
8	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: And I never
9	congratulated you on your new chair, so
10	congratulations.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you,
12	Greg.
13	So Senator Serino.
14	SENATOR SERINO: Thank you very much.
15	And it's very short, Greg. You know,
16	I was very excited when Uber and Lyft, we
17	passed it. And people would think about our
18	young children you know, college-age
19	but I always thought about our seniors. The
20	only issue with that is you have to kind of
21	be able to handle the technology.
22	So I have a bill with Assemblywoman
23	Lupardo that would actually allow people that
24	they can turn in their cars for

Τ.	cransportation credits so that they a be abre
2	to get around. I know it's worked quite well
3	in other states.
4	Is there anything that you guys are
5	working on, like maybe to think outside of
6	the box, or something that we can address the
7	transportation issue? Because it's been
8	probably one of the top three complaints that
9	we hear from seniors.
10	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: I couldn't be
11	more thrilled to hear you say that. Has the
12	bill been introduced already?
13	SENATOR SERINO: Yes.
14	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: All right, so
15	we'll track that down.
16	So I was equally as excited with Uber
17	and Lyft, because not only is that
18	potentially a transportation solution to the
19	million people that give up their keys every
20	year, but it's also a job opportunity for
21	people that want to do that.
22	The credit idea that you describe was
23	part of a larger system that was developed

I think you and I might have talked about it

1	a rew years ago the independent
2	Transportation Network out of Portland,
3	Maine. Years ago I thought this was the
4	greatest model, because again it's a
5	community-organizing model to address the
6	transportation problem from a community
7	perspective. Right? Can't get to the
8	doctor's office or to the mall or to other
9	outlets if you don't have transportation, and
10	that affects the business model of a lot of
11	these folks.
12	And so they had a variety of different
13	ways to fund raise. One was if you can't
14	drive anymore and your car is worth \$7,000,
15	you could donate it to the program and get
16	\$7,000 worth of rides. If you were you
17	know, like the remember the old McDonald's
18	coupons at Christmastime, they gave you a
19	little booklet. You know, you could buy
20	those. Or as a caregiver or a spouse or, you
21	know, a son, you could buy those on behalf of
22	your parents.

23 So there was a variety of those types 24 of ways. And so it sounds a little bit like

1	that's	kind	of	where	you're	going	with	that
---	--------	------	----	-------	--------	-------	------	------

2 And I think that's pretty innovative and good

3 thinking out of the box on your behalf.

The driverless cars scare the heck out of me. I know the technology's good, but again my -- I have to reboot my phone. And so that's just a personal thing. But again, I think that's another great innovation that I know has been tested and people really think it's going to be the next best thing, but we do have to be thinking about those.

We've also been piloting a couple of transportation volunteer models in some rural areas, one particularly in Franklin, where they're really utilizing volunteers to bring people to dialysis and cancer treatments where, without that service, these folks would not be able to remain in their homes and communities.

So I think a combination of these types of things is really what we have to get to. It's not a one-size-fits-all, but it's multiple tools in the toolbox to address transportation issues.

1	SENATOR SERINO: I know another issue
2	that kind of wraps around with that too is
3	some of the home healthcare providers with
4	problems with transportation too. So we need
5	to include everybody in the conversation.
6	But thank you.
7	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Thank you.
8	SENATOR KRUEGER: Jim Tedisco.
9	You thought you were getting away, but
10	you didn't make it.
11	SENATOR TEDISCO: Thank you, Director,
12	for your testimony and for being here. I
13	missed some of it because I had to be at
14	another hearing.
15	Long-term healthcare, long-term
16	healthcare partnership policies in New York
17	State. Are you familiar with those?
18	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: I am.
19	SENATOR TEDISCO: Are you familiar
20	with what's happening with those
21	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: In terms of
22	the costs going up?
23	SENATOR TEDISCO: Yeah.
24	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yes, I am.

1	SENATOR TEDISCO: Sixty-four percent,
2	70 percent, 80 percent.
3	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yup.
4	SENATOR TEDISCO: Is there anything
5	that can be done about that? I mean, a lot
6	of seniors have called me and said, We don't
7	know if we can afford to pay this now. And
8	we've paid our mortgage, it took us 30 years.
9	We're not sure we can afford to pay the taxes
10	on our long-term healthcare policies, and
11	they're thinking about turning it in.
12	I mean, there was a lot of promises
13	made from a lot of individuals when that was
14	courted by us by a governor way back. And
15	I'm wondering, is there anything that can be
16	done to mitigate the cost for these
17	individuals?
18	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: You know, I'm
19	really not sure. I'd love to follow up with
20	you on that. I know that the actuarials were
21	wrong. They thought that people wouldn't
22	live as long, they wouldn't tap them, and
23	that they wouldn't pay the premiums and would
24	drop off, and that obviously didn't happen.

1	We have a lot of folks, even with my agency,
2	who have retired who reported that to us who
3	had bought partnership plans. So
4	SENATOR TEDISCO: But they used the
5	wrong rhetoric with a lot of people. I mean,
6	the rhetoric was they may go up in premiums,
7	but just a little bit, they won't go up much.
8	They just went up last year
9	64 percent. And if you're in the middle of
10	all that, you've already invested a lot of
11	money. And we know what the cost is going to
12	be in another 10, 15 or 20 years for one year
13	in a nursing home it's going to be in the
14	hundreds of thousands of dollars. So we'll
15	have to think about that, I guess, and see
16	what we can do about it.
17	Thank you.
18	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Thank you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Now I think
20	it's really thank you.
21	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: All right.
22	Well, I want to thank you all again for all
23	your support for our agency and your
24	constituents back home. I really appreciate

1	it.
2	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you very
3	much.
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
5	So we've reached a point in our day
6	where our commissioners have all testified.
7	And to refer back to the previous testimony,
8	so we don't all continue to age in place
9	(Laughter.)
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We've adopted a
11	policy, and I think all the witnesses coming,
12	the remaining 20-plus witnesses are aware
13	that we have your testimony and to make sure
14	that people at the end of the list can have
15	an attentive audience and be able to be here,
16	we ask we'll be putting 5 minutes on the
17	clock and ask that you try and summarize your
18	testimony as best as possible, and that way
19	we'll also have opportunities to be able to
20	ask questions.
21	So we're actually going to call two
22	groups together, and they'll have 10 minutes.
23	The New York State Veterans Council: Linda
24	McKinnis, peer specialist, Disabled American

1	Veterans; Jim Ader, legislative committee,
2	Veterans of Foreign Wars. Also the New York
3	State Veterans of Foreign Wars, represented
4	by Kirby Hannan, legislative coordinator, and
5	Bob Becker, legislative chair.
6	And while they're taking their seats,
7	we've been joined by Assemblyman David
8	Weprin.
9	So just as you begin, identify
10	yourselves. And be mindful that you have to
11	share the time, so leave something for the
12	last person.
13	MR. BECKER: Is this on? Are you
14	ready?
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We're set.
16	MR. BECKER: Good afternoon, Chair,
17	and members of the Human Services Budget
18	Subcommittee. My name is Bob Becker; I'm
19	legislative chairman for the New York State
20	Council of Veteran Organizations we call
21	it the Vets Council and I would like to
22	thank you for this opportunity to bring
23	important veterans' budget programs before
24	you for consideration.

1	The Vets Council consists of more than
2	25 veteran service organizations that meet
3	monthly to discuss issues of significant
4	importance to the veterans in the State of
5	New York. The following individuals I have
6	here with me is the state commander of the
7	VFW, Mike Burke; Disabled American Veterans
8	legislative representative Linda McKinnis;
9	and legislative coordinator for the VFW,
10	Kirby Hannan.
11	Our purpose today is to ensure
12	continuance of the 2017 funding, to stress
13	the value of the continuous funding this
14	year, 2018 and 2019, to veterans' activities,
15	and to draw attention to the appreciation
16	that last year's committee appropriated and
17	redirected monies for crucial veterans'
18	programs: Veteran Service Officers, VSOs;
19	Peer-to-Peer Mentoring; Veterans Defense
20	Program; and money for mentoring within the
21	Office of Court Administration for veteran
22	treatment courts.
23	I'll let Mike here take over.
24	MR. BURKE: Yes. A special hello to

<u> </u>	Senator	Serino.	Ι'm	in	her	district

As he said, my name is Michael Burke, and I'm the department commander for the State of New York Veterans of Foreign Wars.

I'm going to address the funding for our veteran service officers, but before I do that, I need to take you back to where we were when I was coming through the system and then fast-forward it.

Okay, this is when the regional office in New York was down on 24th Street and 7th Avenue. When I got hurt in Vietnam, I spent one week in the hospital in Vietnam, a month in a hospital in Japan, and six months in a hospital, the Walter Reed Medical Center. When my sister passed away, I had to catch a flight from Washington to New York. When I got to LaGuardia, I got spit on. I didn't get the memo not to wear your uniform.

When I started going down to the regional office on 24th and 7th Avenue, it was like entering a police station. We had to go up to the 13th floor. When you came through the doors, they had metal detectors.

1	When you went up there on the 13th floor,
2	they had numerous police officers up there.
3	That's because the counselors there did not
4	know how to take care of the Vietnam veteran.
5	And that's why after 41 years, after
6	41 years, I finally got my Agent Orange.
7	Okay? It took me 41 years to do it. There
8	was no one there to guide me. They put in
9	the wrong claim, the claim went in wrong,
10	they just over and over and over.
11	Our VSOs today in Buffalo and in
12	Albany, they do a heck of a job. And they
13	are needed. And they don't just do it for
14	VFW veterans, they do it for all veterans.
15	As I travel around the country Florida,
16	Virginia, North Carolina I have run into
17	veterans, and they say they ask me things,
18	I get into conversations with them, or a
19	parent will ask me, What can I do? I pick up
20	the phone and I call our VSOs here and
21	said Tommy TiDero {ph}, Marlene, John
22	they answer the phone, I said I need a VSO

officer in such-and-such a place in North

Carolina or such-and-such a place in Florida,

23

1	and they get me the information right there
2	on the phone.
3	I'll get a call from these people who
4	I'm helping, thanking me for our VSO
5	officers, okay? I had my kids call me:
6	Daddy, there's a veteran here, they need some
7	help. I pick up the phone and I call our VSO
8	officers.
9	So our VSO officers are very, very
10	vital to our organization. And when they say
11	no one does more for veterans than the VFW,
12	we mean it. Okay?
13	I want to thank you for having us
14	here, and I hope we can continue being
15	funded. Thank you.
16	MS. McKINNIS: Hello, chairs and
17	members. Thank you for listening to me.
18	My name is Linda McKinnis. I am the
19	legislative officer for the Disabled American
20	Veterans. I am the senior vice-commander of
21	my post. I am also a two-time war veteran,
22	and I am also a peer-to-peer specialist.
23	Last year I testified before this
24	committee and told you what a VSO does and

1	how peer-to-peer mentoring works at the post
2	levels and with the courts. We can go over
3	again at the bottom line, veterans assist
4	veterans, that must be true. They counsel
5	anonymously at the post level as veterans,
6	and sometimes seek certification as a career
7	path. But the most important ingredient is
8	the veteran-to-veteran contact.

Dwyer Peer-to-Peer Mentoring is directed at the very important connection of veterans to other veterans at the post level. As of today, the Dwyer program has over 16 counties from when we last met last year. And they had 11, now they're up to 16. So that program in itself is a very successful program.

This is where mentoring begins. Later, as counseling and mentoring progress to more formal settings, such as veteran treatment court, there is frequently a need for additional accreditation, which is why I am a peer specialist today.

New York State has a peer specialist

1	program, but it's not geared for veterans. I
2	can describe the course's content offered by
3	the New York State Office of Mental Health,
4	but I would also like to focus on what I
5	think is needed to make an excellent course
6	which is veteran-friendly.
7	This course should be offered by the
8	OMH for free for veterans. This course
9	should be readily available either online or
10	through a classroom setting, and veterans
11	should be considered as a pre-qualified
12	candidates for this course.
13	This will give the Office of Court
14	Administration the initial training courses
15	and serve as a pipeline for qualified mentors

and serve as a pipeline for qualified mentors to counsel veterans during alternative sentencing.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

As of this moment the NAMI, which is the National Alliance for Mental Health, has offered three classes under their organizations that are geared for veterans. One is called the Peer-to-Peer, one is called Family-to-family, and one is called Home Front. These classes basically talk about

1	mental illness for veterans, how to deal with
2	mental illness as far as the family member,
3	how to go seek out help for the veterans, and
4	all the resources that are available within
5	your county. These classes are free to
6	anyone that chooses to do so.
7	Again, as I stated, New York State
8	does have a peer specialist certification;
9	however, it's not geared to satisfy veterans.
10	We are asking that these courses kind of be
11	redirected or constructed where veterans are
12	able to take these classes to be able to get
13	on a career path so that we can be peer
14	specialists, that we can be mentors better
15	to our veterans, not only on a post level but
16	also in the court level or whatever level
17	that we need to be in.
18	So today I'm asking you and I'm
19	thanking you for giving us this opportunity
20	to be heard.
21	MR. HANNAN: Thanks, Linda.
22	I'm Kirby Hannan
23	MR. BURKE: Kirby, Kirby, Kirby I
24	just got one more thing, please.

2 MR. BURKE: One more thing, yeah, one

3 more thing.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I've heard a lot of speakers before us 4 5 with their testimony. We -- our Buffalo office, the VSOs, which kind of goes 6 7 hand-in-hand with what she was talking about today, our VSO offices in Buffalo, they bring 8 in \$3 million a month getting claims for our 9 10 veterans, which takes the burden off of the State of New York. Okay? So I just wanted 11 12 to put that out there for you to remember 13 that.

And that's just in that one office,
\$3 million a month, we have our veterans
getting claims. Which takes it away from
your homeless program -- which, by the way,
that's my special project as commander, is
the homeless veterans. And it takes a lot of
other things which you may not see affecting
other agencies, where you have the veteran
not going outside the VFW for their finances.
Thank you.

24 MR. HANNAN: I'm Kirby Hannan, the

1	volunteer coordinator for legislation for the
2	Veterans of Foreign Wars. Some of you might
3	remember me as a lobbyist here for 45 years;
4	I'm mostly retired now.

But I just wanted to thank you,
because I'm going to say that this committee
last year, when we spoke to you, all I can
say is you listened. Because the Governor
started out last year with \$1 million to be
placed in the Office of Court Administration
for peer-to-peer mentoring. We didn't oppose
that, but we did want to know, you know,
where are those mentors coming from?

Because you've been funding the peer-to-peer mentoring program at the post level for a while now, and it's matriculating beautifully, all right? But now, okay, the court system has a need for it, and we love that. Veterans' treatment courts and peer-to-peer mentoring really means veteran-to-veteran mentoring.

And so having said that, what we're trying to do is take the million dollars from last year, which this budget -- by the way,

1	we're not asking for more money. This budget
2	has it's all in there, all right? And
3	it's because of what you did.

You recast the language, you put it into the language of veterans' treatment courts, you put it into the language of veteran service officers, you put it into the language of peer-to-peer mentoring, so -- you did it. And so then last year's budget had the language in. And then, lo and behold, this year's budget's got it in. So we don't have to ask for more money. All right? This is great.

And I guess where I wanted to go with this is that -- two things. One, you heard Linda, and she started to talk about an educational process. If veterans treatment court is going to be eminently successful, and we want it to be, then we're going to have to have educational access to mentors, vet-to-vet, and I think that OCA is probably going to ask for accreditation. And so, you know, if they do that, which we get, then we really need to go ahead and -- excuse me.

1	(Celiphone interruption.)
2	MR. HANNAN: My bad.
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We need a
4	musical interlude every so often.
5	MR. HANNAN: Sorry about that.
6	We need to make sure that we've got
7	some type of a career pathway. We want the
8	volunteer activity at the post level because
9	it's anonymous, it's like AA. It works. But
10	there needs to be a career path.
11	So having said that, I would encourage
12	you folks to look at a bill it's the
13	Parker-Ortiz bill, it used to be Senator
14	Larkin who had it for quite a few years.
15	Assemblyman Ortiz is a vet, he understands
16	this. It's urging OMH, OASAS, and the
17	Division of Veterans Affairs to go ahead and
18	look at how they might be able to use
19	existing resources to shape this very program
20	that Linda was talking about.
21	OMH is already light-years there, so
22	this is good. But it does need to be tweaked
23	and it needs to be something where, as Linda
24	said, it needs to be have access, it needs

1 to be basically more veteran-oriented and
2 not, you know, counseling-oriented.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And so having said that, that's my message for today. I'll go a step further. Jim Ader, an Afghan war vet who couldn't be with us today -- you'll see his name in the testimony -- he had two principal points. One is that the Veterans Defense Program -you're going to hear from Art Cody, so I'm not going to belabor this, except to say that it's the last line of defense for veterans who need help beyond veterans treatment court. In other words, the veterans treatment court is largely misdemeanors. when we get to the felony level, you know, you do need that last line of defense, and that's what the Veterans Defense Program, in addition to all the education they do and everything else, that's what it's all about.

So, you know, for the last three years the Legislature -- thank you again -- and it's in this year's budget, has appropriated \$500,000 -- both houses, 250 -- and, you know, we need to keep the critical program

1	going. The money is in there, it's a line
2	item in there, what else can I say. Thank
3	you very much.
4	MR. BECKER: I'd also
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yes.
6	MR. BECKER: We asked for continuous
7	funding in support of veterans and veterans'
8	programs. We need it. And a timely rollout
9	of the million dollar RFP and an educational
10	track for veterans mentors to work on all
11	levels of mentoring and more funds for the
12	VSOs, Veterans Service Officers, and a
13	continuation of the Dwyer Peer-to-Peer mentor
L 4	program and a continued appropriation for the
15	veterans defense program.
16	We thank you very much for listening
17	to us, and if you have any questions we'd be
18	more than happy to answer them.
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We do. And
20	I just on behalf of all the members
21	want to thank you for your service to our
22	country, and call upon our chair of our
23	Veterans Committee, Assemblyman DenDekker.

ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Thank you.

1	Thank you very much for your service.
2	And I've told that you hundreds of times when
3	we've met before. But we're still forever in
4	your debt.
5	So I guess my first question will
6	quickly just be to Mr. Hannan. And if you
7	could just give us an idea, what was the
8	budget last year for the VSOs specifically?
9	MR. HANNAN: Well, the way it worked
10	out and I should have gotten into a little
11	more detail the way it worked out last
12	year, it was a million dollars. And \$500,000
13	of it would go towards an RFP within the
14	Division of Veterans Affairs, and another
15	\$500,000 would go directly to the Office of
16	Court Administration.
17	We didn't find out about this until
18	you had your hearing, Assemblyman.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Right, but
20	that was when we were speaking for the
21	peer-to-peer and the treatment courts,
22	correct?
23	MR. HANNAN: Correct.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: What about the

1	veteran service officers, the VSOs?
2	MR. HANNAN: Well, that was part of
3	that language.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Part of that
5	language.
6	MR. HANNAN: That where this committee
7	really defined veteran-to-veteran activities
8	in four basic areas: Veterans Defense
9	Program was one, treatment courts was
10	another, peer-to-peer was another, and
11	finally the veteran service officers.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: How many
13	veteran service officers are there right now?
14	MR. HANNAN: About
15	MR. BECKER: About 20.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Twenty in the
17	entire State of New York.
18	MR. BECKER: But we've got 800,000
19	veterans in the State of New York.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: And we have
21	estimated that these veteran service officers
22	save the State of New York, or you find
23	benefits for approximately \$3 million a
24	month.

1	MR. HANNAN: Yeah, yeah. Exactly.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: So that's
3	\$36 million a year that we're getting in
4	federal benefits for veterans that they
5	didn't know they were entitled to, and then
6	that takes the burden off the state.
7	MR. HANNAN: It does.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: So it would
9	probably, I would imagine, be in our best
10	interest to have more VSOs so that we'd be
11	able to connect veterans with the benefits
12	that they so rightly deserve.
13	MR. BURKE: Absolutely. And if you
14	I don't know if you have the statistics, but
15	I think it may be worthwhile, since the
16	Vietnam veteran wasn't treated right when
17	they came home. A lot of these claims are
18	going to the Vietnam veteran who didn't have
19	the VSO back during that time.
20	It's like I said, the calls I get
21	and that's what I put out there. And they
22	are very, very vital to this organization.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: And again, I
24	thank you very much. And I'll let any of my

1	other colleagues ask some questions if they
2	still have them.
3	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
4	And I'd like to join the chorus of
5	thanking each and every one of you for your
6	incredible service to our country and also
7	for your continued service to help improve
8	the lives of veterans. That's such an
9	important calling, and you do it very well.
10	And we truly appreciate when you come to
11	speak with us and give us your insights. So
12	thank you for that.
13	Our Senator Sue Serino has some
14	questions.
15	SENATOR SERINO: Good afternoon, and
16	thank you so much for coming here. And thank
17	you all for your service. Mike is part of my
18	Veterans Advisory Committee that I put
19	together and was very helpful with helping us
20	to obtain the Peer-to-Peer Program, the Dwyer
21	program, for Dutchess County. We already had
22	it in Putnam County.

But they're doing an amazing job, and

I can't stress enough for it to spread. Like

1	you said, I think it's II counties that have
2	it right now. It's just such a great
3	program, and it goes through our Mental
4	Health America, they do a wonderful job.
5	Mine are just more comments, not
6	questions, because I know you do a great job
7	And I would be remiss if I didn't mention the
8	Honor Flight when I have veterans in front or
9	me, and I just always ask you as you know,
10	Mike to spread the word. Last year they
11	had three Vietnam people on the plane and out
12	of Newburgh, and it was amazing. A lot of
13	tears and cheers. Great day.
14	MR. HANNAN: The next Honor Flight is
15	for our Vietnam vets only.
16	SENATOR SERINO: Excellent. Great.
17	Thank you.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you, and
19	thank you for being here today.
20	MR. BURKE: Thank you.
21	MR. HANNAN: Thank you.
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Next we have
23	Captain Art Cody, retired U.S. Navy and
24	deputy director of the Veterans Defense

1	Program of the New York State Defenders
2	Association.
3	CAPTAIN CODY: Thank you.
4	Good afternoon. I'm Art Cody, as
5	mentioned. I'm the deputy director of the
6	Veterans Defense Program, sometimes called
7	the VDP, as was mentioned by the last group
8	of speakers. The VDP is part of the New York
9	State Defenders Association, and it's a
10	statewide organization.
11	In addition to being the deputy
12	director, I'm also a retired Navy captain. I
13	was a helicopter pilot with over 30 years of
14	service. My last tour was in Afghanistan
15	from 2011 to 2012, and I mention that because
16	while I was there, I got the opportunity to
17	really see what our troops go through and get
18	some firsthand experience of the root causes
19	of many of the maladies they face when they
20	return home.
21	I thank you for the opportunity to

speak today -- again, not only as a deputy
director but, I think more importantly, as a
veteran. In particular, I'd like to thank

1	the Senate and Assembly Veterans Allairs
2	Committee Chairs Senator Croci and
3	Assemblyman DenDekker for their instrumental
4	support of the VDP for the last three years.
5	They have sponsored a legislative add in the
6	Executive Budget of \$500,000 for the VDP.
7	The state funding for the VDP has
8	allowed us to help hundreds of our wounded
9	warriors suffering from the mental health
10	conditions commonly posttraumatic stress
11	disorder, traumatic brain injury. It helped
12	them to obtain treatment. And I'd like to
13	briefly give you an update of how we used the
14	state's money during 2017.
15	During that year we assisted
16	228 justice-involved veterans. We trained
17	577 public defense attorneys and provided
18	mentoring to 203 veterans and their families.
19	In many of these cases our veteran clients
20	received treatment and probation and avoided
21	incarceration.
22	And I think, as it was pointed out
23	before, most of this treatment, the vast,
24	vast majority of this treatment comes from

1	the VA. And that's important for a couple of
2	reasons. One, the VA is specifically
3	designed to help our combat veterans to
4	understand the posttraumatic issues that
5	they're going through and also, from a fiscal
6	point of view, is at no cost to the State of
7	New York.

In the past two years we have helped veterans be diverted into treatment and probation, avoiding at its maximum 518 years of incarceration. Now, that's a savings to the State of New York of somewhere in the neighborhood of \$31 million, to take veterans out of prison, put them in treatment, and the way we like to think about it is bring them all the way home.

I'm here today to ask you for your support for a legislative add to the Executive Budget of about \$500,000 for the VDP. Our budget request is supported by over 70 veteran, mental health, and public defense organizations, many of whom you just heard speak.

This year we also have two regional

1	strategic budget extension needs. We are
2	inundated with requests for help,
3	particularly in the Southern Tier region,
4	which includes the 14 counties along the
5	Pennsylvania border from Chautauqua to
6	Delaware, and on Long Island as well.
7	We are hopeful that the Southern Tier
8	Senators will consider sponsoring a
9	\$182,000 add to hire an attorney and a case
10	manager, and Long Island Senators consider
11	adding \$220,000 to open a Long Island VDP
12	office with an attorney and case manager.
13	Our proposed work plan there will
14	include substantial assistance to over
15	40 Southern Tier justice-involved veterans,
16	and 40 on Long Island as well. By the second
17	year, we expect those attorneys will be
18	trained up and will be able to do over
19	80 cases per attorney assisting veterans. In
20	addition to that, mentoring support and
21	expert referrals will be provided.
22	Lastly, on that note, we will also
23	develop a veterans criminal justice practice
24	manual, a very hands-on, nuts-and-bolts

1	approach to	rep	oreser	nting	g vet	cerans.	•
2	Literally,	how	does	one	get	DD214	discharge

papers? How do you read what those papers

mean?

I think, importantly, and just to give you in a nutshell what the VDP does, our big job really is to translate what this veteran went through. To tell this veteran's story.

As you may know, only about 7 percent of the population of the United States are veterans.

And having been a reservist -- that is, having a foot in kind of both camps -- I can tell you the cultures are very different.

And sometimes what is -- the skills that we teach our soldiers, our marines in Afghanistan, in Iraq, don't necessarily play

out that well in the United States.

DAs, help judges, help them understand why it is that this veteran is in this particular situation, and tell the whole story about that veteran. Because I think our experience has been when we're able to do that, we can bring about a sea change for that veteran's

1 life.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

While it is important to acknowledge that some of the offenses our veterans are charged with are serious -- certainly there are weapons charges issues, there are driving under the influence issues. But that's not the whole story. And what we want to do is change the narrative to not just one about the crime, but to one about the entirety of these veterans' experience. How did this veteran come to this place? To explain to a district attorney or to a judge, when we say someone is an 11B, an infantryman, okay, what does an infantryman do? The guy -- combat action vet, what does that mean? They were in Kandahar, what does that mean? They were in Anbar Province in Iraq in 2006, what was that experience like? To help that judge, to help that prosecutor understand what that veteran went through.

And what we have found when we're able to do that, we're able to bring about a sea change. Literally our cases commonly go on a Monday, the offer will be at the low end. It

1	will be 3.5 years of a potential 3.5 to
2	15-year C-class felony. But once that
3	mitigation memorandum is read, the mitigation
4	that we produce, once that district
5	attorney and that judge understand how this
6	veteran came to be in this place, the
7	conversation turns not from one of how many
8	years, but how do we get this veteran into
9	treatment, how do we get this veteran into
10	VA, how can we bring this veteran all the way
11	home.
12	I appreciate the help that this
13	committee and members of this committee have
14	given to us in the past, and I ask you to
15	continue your support this year because I
16	think not only do we provide a cost savings,
17	but in many, many cases we provide a life
18	savings.
19	I'm happy to take any questions you
20	may have.
21	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yes, thank you.
22	And Assemblyman DenDekker.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: Thank you,
24	Madam Chair.

1	Thank you, Captain Cody. And on
2	behalf of Senator Croci, who I know isn't
3	here he couldn't make it today, but he
4	also appreciates all the service you've
5	given.

So the ask today is to maintain the add of \$500,000 and possibly look for an increase of \$182,000 from the Southern Tier members that may want to be able to help to get an attorney over in that area, and then for Long Island members to maybe look for the \$220,000 to get an attorney out to help the Long Island area in these veteran support situations.

CAPTAIN CODY: Certainly a renewal of the 250/250.

But also what we have found -- and a lot of that is due to our training. When we go out and do trainings, it's very, very common -- I think we discussed this the last time you and were together in this room -- it's very common at the end of that training. We expect and indeed we encourage it. When we have taught the fundamentals, the basics

1	of this is the discharge papers, these are
2	the kind of questions, when asked, this is
3	what you want to find out very, very
4	commonly an attorney or not just an
5	attorney, sometimes literally moms and dads
6	will call us, the individual veteran will
7	call us. The requests come from all over.
3	Literally from all over.

And we will never -- and as I  $\label{eq:mentioned} % \begin{array}{ll} \text{mentioned the last time I was here, we will} \\ \text{never turn a vet down.} \\ \end{array}$ 

However, the amount of requests we're getting, we're now at the point where we can no longer profile the amount of training that we'd really like to provide to each veteran. To understand what that veteran went through, to reach out, to get those forms records, to interpret those forms records, to reach out to that chain of command, to get the affidavits that talk about what this veteran went through -- because many times veterans can't talk about it themselves -- and be able to present that to a court takes an awful lot of effort.

1	So to get to your point, yes, we'd
2	like a renewal of the previous budget numbers
3	as well as, because of this expanded demand,
4	additional funding for both the Southern Tier
5	as well as Long Island.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: We only have a
7	few minutes left, and I don't know if anyone
8	else has any questions, but if you can
9	there's one particular story, one particular
10	case that you had worked on and you shared
11	with me, and I'd really like to see if you
12	could quickly tell that incident that
13	happened with the gentleman with the weapon
14	in the trunk.
15	CAPTAIN CODY: Yes.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: It's just a
17	powerful message, so my colleagues can
18	understand what exactly we're talking about
19	and the challenges of the people who are out
20	there.
21	CAPTAIN CODY: Certainly, and I think
22	for us that's a very archetypical case.
23	We were dealing with a veteran, and
24	this was in Queens, and when we got involved

1	in the case it was nearing it was just
2	about to start trial. This particular
3	veteran had served in a base called the
4	Detainee Facility in Parwan, which I suppose
5	is the government's euphemism for the Taliban
6	prison, right outside of the huge air base in
7	Afghanistan, Bagram.

And this veteran -- and it's very typical of our clients -- I expected, when I first came on, to see a lot of what I think of as the hard-core active duty -- active duty 101st Airborne, 82nd Airborne, Third Marine Division. But who we see more than anybody else is New York Army National Guard.

And I think there's a couple reasons for that. One, I think when soldiers who are active duty come home, they may not love their first sergeant or their platoon leader or their platoon sergeant, but that person's still there. When our National Guardsmen come home, often they go back to their towns, which may be a hundred miles away from base and a hundred miles away from that first sergeant who looked over them while they were

in Kandahar, Kunduz, or whatever province.

So they have a tendency, while they're trying to get back into society -- they don't have the support system. And that's what was happening with this -- with the soldier that Assemblyman DenDekker is talking about. He was Army National Guard, came back, is wrestling with a lot of what happened to him over in Afghanistan -- literally, his job was a medic. He was ministering, if you will, to Taliban prisoners behind three locked gates with no armed guards. So he's literally -- people who we just pulled off the battlefield because they want to kill Americans, that's who he's with eight to ten hours a day.

He serves two months in that capacity, he comes back, after that he gets transferred back to Kandahar. Kandahar's not normally considered the best place to be transferred to, but coming from Bagram it was. So anyways, he comes back to Kandahar, there he's working in a facility where literally he's seeing soldiers, civilians, et cetera,

1	shot up every day. One of the soldiers he
2	administered to or he worked on in July was
3	killed in August. And so he's seeing all
4	that.

He comes home and literally, from the day he is discharged from the Army, the VA gives a hundred percent PTSD rating. He could not have gotten a higher rating. So he's still dealing with this, he gets himself into treatment, but he still -- he becomes suicidal.

So he's carrying around a weapon, as some of the men are taught that -- he's carrying around a weapon in the back of his car, okay? Because he decides he may reach a point where he wants to kill himself. He gets pulled over, the car gets searched. So then literally where he's at is criminal possession of a weapon second. He's potentially looking at 3.5 to 15 years. And that's the offer on the table when we get involved.

We're able to sit down, talk with that veteran, reach out to his chain of command in

1	the Army National Guard, gather those
2	affidavits, gather that memorandum, and
3	explain his DD214, explain the treatment he's
4	undergoing in the VA. And literally on
5	Monday the offer is 3.5 years, on Wednesday
6	the judge asked the prosecutors is that
7	no, not the prosecutors. I think they're
8	trying to do the right thing, they just
9	didn't understand this guy's circumstances.
10	By Wednesday, the judge from the bench
11	says: Is this the message you want to send
12	to the community that guards our country? By
13	Friday, the message is: I know we were
14	talking about 3.5 years, but what I really
15	meant to say was probation.
16	This veteran has since completed
17	this veteran ended up getting on probation,
18	getting treatment from the VA, now he is
19	finishing his master's degree, I think at
20	Marist. He's going to become a history
21	he's going to become a history teacher. And
22	his life turned out different.
23	If we don't get involved, does that
24	happen? Probably not. And that's why I say,

1	you know, it certainly is a cost savings,
2	absolutely. But is it a life savings for
3	people who deserve to have their lives
4	restored? Absolutely.

ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: So I just think what I also want to stress is so when the police officer pulls over this veteran and finds the weapon, he had no idea at the time -- not that he was even thinking of this, and neither does anyone in the court system. All they know is they pulled over a car, there's a weapon in the trunk -- boom, arrest, boom -- we're going to go into court, 3.5 to 15. And normally you wouldn't have -- and probably the veteran said nothing that he was going to trial until you got involved, where you found out what the purpose of the weapon was --

CAPTAIN CODY: Absolutely.

ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: And that he was contemplating to kill himself and not to hurt anybody else or rob anybody else with the weapon.

24 CAPTAIN CODY: And part of it is,

1	fortunately for us and I should point out,
2	I think we talked about this the last time
3	you and I were together in this room we're
4	doing this currently with two attorneys. The
5	entire state.

Which, you know -- so we really do try to maximize the \$500,000. But your point is well taken, because in many cases, you know, certainly the crime meets the elements of criminal possession of weapon second.

And I think in many cases the DA may think, hey, I'm giving this guy a break. I understand he's a veteran, and I'm going to try to do the right thing. But the right thing in their mind is 3.5. But we try to --no, no, let's change the narrative. This isn't about incarceration, because incarceration is probably not the right answer here. What the right answer is here is treatment, and let's bring this vet all the way back.

ASSEMBLYMAN DENDEKKER: I just want to thank you so much for everything you do,

Captain Cody. And I don't know if any of my

1	other colleagues have any questions, but
2	thanks again.
3	CAPTAIN CODY: Thank you.
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
5	I too want to thank you, and also I
6	had a question about part of your testimony.
7	You talked about the fact that in the
8	14 Southern Tier counties there's quite an
9	issue. Is it because we have a higher
10	density of veterans, or is it because there
11	are lack of services, or is it both?
12	CAPTAIN CODY: More both. You have a
13	high density of veterans. The population
14	itself it's not Manhattan.
15	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I know that.
16	CAPTAIN CODY: However but the
17	density's high, okay? And I think that what
18	you see is the it is somewhat untapped, if
19	you will, in terms of the number of veterans
20	who are there who are not receiving the
21	services. And it is an area where, if we
22	were to go in, I'm firmly of the belief you

will see the same kind of things that we've

been able to do in -- certainly in the Hudson

23

Δ,	valley, in Queens, in Long Island. You'll
2	see the same kind of results, the same kind
3	of cost savings, you'll see the same kind of
4	life savings in the Southern Tier.
5	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay, thank you.
6	Anyone else?
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: No.
8	Thank you for being here.
9	CAPTAIN CODY: Thank you.
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Next we have
11	Empire Justice Center, Susan Antos, senior
12	attorney.
13	MS. ANTOS: I'll be brief. I'll be
14	brief, I promise.
15	Good afternoon.
16	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Good afternoon
17	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Good afternoon.
18	MS. ANTOS: Thank you so much
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Just keep an
20	eye on the clock.
21	MS. ANTOS: I will. Thank you.
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Okay. Thank
23	you.
24	MS. ANTOS: I'm here representing the

1	Empire ouscice center. We re a
2	not-for-profit legal services organization
3	with offices in Rochester, Albany, Central
4	Islip, Long Island, and Westchester County.
5	We're a multi-issue organization. And as you
6	can see from our testimony, we are
7	highlighting seven different areas which I'm
8	just going to briefly touch on because I know
9	I don't have much time. So mostly I'm just
10	going to give you bullet points, and a couple
11	I want to go into a little more depth.
12	We're asking that you restore \$200,000
13	to the Managed Care Consumer Assistance
14	Program, MCAP, which provides seniors and
15	people with disabilities critical assistance
16	in navigating the Medicare system. This
17	would restore it to its level in 2008 and
18	2009. The details of why we so strongly
19	support this program are in our testimony.
20	We're also asking you to restore the
21	Disability Advocacy Program funding over the
22	Governor's budget to an additional \$3 million
23	over let's see if I got this right
24	\$3 million on top of the \$5.26 million which

1	was proposed in the Executive Budget. That
2	would bring statewide funding to
3	\$8.26 million.
4	The Disability Advocacy Program is
5	actually a money maker for the state. We
6	take people off of public assistance
7	benefits and these are people who are
8	disabled and put them into the SSI or
9	sometimes Social Security system where the
10	benefits are higher, their lives are more
11	stable, and the funding for their benefits
12	are paid for with federal, not state,
13	dollars.
14	I also want to say a few words about
15	the Home Stability Support program.
16	Homelessness in this country has decreased
17	about 10 percent nationwide. In New York
18	State, it has increased 36 percent over the
19	last couple of years. There are 150,000
20	homeless children in New York State, and the
21	statistics are staggering.

Part of the problem is that our public assistance benefits are so low that housing for low-income families is not affordable.

1	In the County of Albany, the shelter grant is
2	\$306 \$306 for a family of three. I don't
3	know if any of you have looked at the rental
4	market, but there's nothing you can afford
5	for \$306.

Families become homeless. When we know, from looking at federal health and Human Services data, that the fair market rent for homes in the City of Albany are about \$1,200 a month and -- I'm sorry, \$1,000 a month. But the cost of housing a family in a homeless shelter is double to triple that.

So it almost is a no-brainer to invest in a program that is going to help families maintain their housing, keep children stable in their schools, keep children stable in their families, and not lead to homelessness.

So we are urging the Legislature to invest \$40 million in the Home Stability

Support program, to create a new statewide rent supplement program for families and individuals facing eviction, homelessness, or loss of housing due to domestic violence -- and I want to point out that domestic

1	violence	is	an	increa	asing	cause	of
2	homelessr	ness	sin	this	state	<b>.</b>	

Since I have less than a minute, I'm going to jump over to my last impassioned -my last passion is childcare assistance. We are asking that the Legislature invest \$100 million in childcare assistance.

Childcare is a cornerstone of people being able to maintain employment.

We were glad to see that the Governor restored the \$7 million cut from last year, but more needs to be done. Families -- and if you look at page 10 of our testimony, counties have lowered eligibility to unconscionable levels. There are other counties who say they are at 200 percent of poverty, but they have closed intake. They don't take applications.

Families who cannot afford childcare either can't keep their jobs or they put their families in places that are not safe. Childcare has to be affordable. If you look at the chart on page 12 of our testimony, you'll see that there are only six counties

Τ	in which families pay less than / percent
2	or less of their income as their copayment.
3	That's the federally recommended amount.
4	You can see that in the majority of
5	counties, families pay between 15 and
6	17.5 percent of their gross income for their
7	childcare copayments. That's their
8	copayment. And they can't afford then to
9	keep their children in high-quality
10	childcare.
11	Just one last thing; I know my time is
12	up. I urge you to look again at
13	Assemblymember Titus' bill, Assembly 4662,
14	which would require districts that do not
15	have enough money to provide childcare for
16	all working families to prioritize their
17	funds by not putting public assistance
18	families in make-work programs but instead
19	using childcare dollars for families who need
20	childcare and have jobs but are on a waiting
21	list or can't get childcare.
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you, and
23	we're going to go to Assemblyman Hevesi.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Hi, Susan.

1	MS. ANTOS: Hello.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: I'm just going
3	to first of all, you're right on
4	everything.
5	MS. ANTOS: What?
6	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: You're right on
7	everything.
8	MS. ANTOS: Oh, thank you.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Thank you no,
10	thank you. Because if you're not right, I'm
11	not right, and that's a big problem. So
12	you're right on everything.
13	I just want to say thank you to you
L 4	and also to Kristin, to you and Decima {ph},
15	and I miss Ray but you guys are doing
16	great
17	MS. ANTOS: We miss Ray too.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: I know, he's the
19	best.
20	Thank you for your guidance and
21	friendship. You guys are doing great work,
22	and I appreciate it. Thank you.
23	MS. ANTOS: Thank you.
2.4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Anybody on the

1	Senate side?
2	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I don't oh,
3	okay. Senator Savino says you're right, so
4	we're done.
5	MS. ANTOS: Thank you.
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you, and
7	thank you for all your help over the years.
8	MS. ANTOS: Thank you.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Next we have
10	Christine Sadowski, policy chair, YWCAs of
11	New York State.
12	MS. SADOWSKI: Good afternoon.
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Good afternoon.
14	MS. SADOWSKI: Thank you so much for
15	your time and particularly for your
16	perseverance in listening to all of us talk
17	to you and ask you for things.
18	I am here on behalf of 16 YWCAs
19	throughout New York State, and we are
20	thrilled that while we have been working
21	together collaboratively for decades, if not
22	generations, we're thrilled that this year we
23	have been able to officially incorporate,
24	which means that we've been able to kind of

formalize the work that we've done together.

YWCAs share a mission, both nationally and across the state, of empowering women, eliminating racism, and promoting peace, justice, freedom, and dignity for all. And one of the two kind of hallmark programs that all of us look at are economic empowerment, particularly working with single moms or female-headed households. This is the population that makes up 90 percent of our constituency and our program participants, whether we are doing housing, providing DV services, childcare -- including both young children as well as after-school -- and workforce development.

And one of the things that we have been working on pretty aggressively for at least five years or more is the issue of workforce development, specifically for this population, and workforce training programs for this population. Because what we find is that while there are many good workforce development training programs out there, they're not effective with this particular

1 constituency.

And that has lots of reasons, but one of the things that we're most focused on are the fact that many of these women are trying to move forward with their workforce goals and at the same time dealing with a lot of other issues -- whether that be leaving a DV situation, whether that is mental health or substance abuse, whether that is housing instability -- and YWCAs specialize in providing multiple programming to get women moving towards economic self-sufficiency.

So what I'm here to ask you for today is to consider carefully and to please join us in carving out a piece of the \$175 million in workforce funding specifically for this population. Because we know that it is only with long-term, targeted — both workforce as well as wrap-around services — that we'll be able to truly get a woman who is trying to raise her kids and reach economic independence really to make that goal and be able to live on her own and get off of any services that she may be receiving.

1	So in the past we have been able to
2	have some good conversations with the
3	Governor's office about this, as well as many
4	legislators. And we just really hope that
5	this year will be the year that we can make
6	sure we carve out attention to this group,
7	because it is too often that they are lost in
8	the larger workforce programming, and then
9	we're not able to have the resources to move
10	forward in a way that is successful.
11	Thank you. I'll take any questions.
12	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Any questions?
13	Senator Velmanette Montgomery.
14	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Well, just
15	very hi. I know some of the Y's also
16	sponsor YouthBuild.
17	MS. SADOWSKI: They do.
18	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: I believe
19	MS. SADOWSKI: Not as many in New York
20	as around the rest of the country.
21	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: So okay
22	MS. SADOWSKI: But certainly we work
23	with young kids too. Particularly kids of
24	color and from underserved communities.

1	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Yes, and of
2	course my office is in a Y in Brooklyn
3	MS. SADOWSKI: Mm-hmm.
4	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: And the question
5	that I have is, what about young women in
6	particular? And what strategy are you
7	looking at specifically?
8	MS. SADOWSKI: Well, I think when you
9	talk about young women who are also facing
10	multiple issues like I'm referring to, I
11	don't think it matters if they're working
12	moms yet or not. The attention is still the
13	same. And that's the reality that for the
14	again, the population we serve, these women
15	are facing a myriad of issues and they need a
16	very holistic approach.
17	So I think probably the shortest
18	answer to you is that we are looking to make
19	sure that we can get them multiple services
20	at the same time, or else they're not able to
21	sustain work. And what happens in a
22	traditional workforce program is that we
23	measure it by gaining employment which is
24	wonderful, and I understand that goal but

1	we don't necessarily look at now long that
2	employment is sustained and how much it
3	really has increased their household income.
4	Whether they're single heads of
5	households or they're on their own, we've got
6	to be able to sustain employment, to teach
7	skills to overcome barriers to sustained
8	employment so that for many future years they
9	really are economically self-sufficient.
10	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Thank you.
11	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
12	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
13	Thank you for being here today.
14	Next we have Shelly Nortz, deputy
15	executive director, Coalition for the
16	Homeless.
17	And just for the people who are in the
18	back of the room, the next few people up is
19	United Neighborhood Houses, Schuyler Center
20	for Analysis, Families Together in New York
21	State, and then the Association on Aging in
22	New York. People might want to move down if
23	you're any of those groups.
24	Thank you.

1	MS. NORTZ: Thank you. And
2	congratulations, Chair Weinstein, on your
3	appointment.
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
5	MS. NORTZ: I'm going to summarize my
6	testimony.
7	All of you spent a good deal of time
8	yesterday and today talking about the issue
9	of homelessness, and we're grateful for the
10	attention that you're paying to it. We do
11	want to recognize that there are a couple of
12	good bits of news in the last year, the most
13	important of which is that these bodies
14	approved and released the first billion
15	dollars for supportive housing, with last
16	year's budget for the first 6,000 units of
17	the state housing to be built.
18	But as you point out, it took us three
19	years to get there, and now we're behind the
20	eight-ball. So the idea of accelerating the
21	production schedule, and getting the rest of
22	the 14,000 units to make the full 20,000

commitment that the Governor made, I think is

vitally important over the next year or two.

1	Secondly, we settled a very important
2	federal class action lawsuit called Butler
3	vs. The City of New York with our colleagues
4	at the Legal Aid Society and the Center for
5	Independence of the Disabled in New York and
6	White & Case which, over the next five
7	years, will result in a thorough planning
8	process and major changes to the New York
9	City shelter system to better accommodate
10	people with disabilities. Long overdue.

Unfortunately, we again had record homelessness in New York City in 2017 -- as you heard, over 63,000 men, women, and children staying each night in the shelters. More people than ever before turned to the shelter system in New York City, 130,000 of them in 2017. Most homeless people in the New York City shelters are members of families, including 23,700 children.

But the number of single adults in the shelters actually approached and then exceeded 16,000 men and women for the first time ever back in December. The average length of stay for all household compositions

1	now exceed 12 months in the New York City
2	shelters we've never seen that before
3	for two years in a row now.

And the percentage of single adults receiving supportive housing placements in 2017 fell to a 12-year low, fewer than four in 100. And as you know, the reason we did the supportive housing investment is because supportive housing is a solution and the most proven solution to address homelessness, particularly for the single adult men and women population in New York.

Unfortunately, political disputes

delayed the agreement to release those funds.

And this obviously contributes to a greater

demand for shelter and record homelessness.

If we're not moving people out of the

shelters, the sense is it's going to continue

to rise. And so we need all hands on deck to

begin to really bring all of the solutions to

scale.

We also have, contributing to greater shelter demands, steeply rising rents, kind of faster than usual; stagnant wages; as

Τ	Susan mentioned, domestic violence a very,
2	very prominent cause of displacement right
3	now and also substantial discharges from
4	hospitals and nursing homes. And as
5	Senator Krueger mentioned, that's partly a
6	result of shifts in how that industry is
7	operating. And also the pressures that
8	should not be dismissed from how Medicaid
9	reform is working in New York. The metrics
10	that have been chosen are good metrics, but
11	hospitals discharging lots of people to
12	homeless shelters is not exactly a great
13	solution.
14	New York State continues to shift the
15	cost of sheltering and housing homeless
16	New Yorkers to localities, including New York
17	City. As was mentioned earlier, I will just
18	say that the answer to the question that was
19	posed to Ms. Guinn earlier was incomplete.
20	The state is reimbursing the cost of
21	sheltering homeless families, she says
22	fully to the extent that they are in
23	receipt of TANF.
24	But the process of the TANF block

1	grant is that families are only eligible for
2	five years, and at the end of five years they
3	are shifted to the safety net caseload, which
4	is 71 percent local share, 29 percent state
5	share. Hence the metric that Senator Krueger
6	mentioned, that 5 percent of the growth in
7	New York City shelter costs, which grew by
8	\$698 million, was borne by the state; the
9	rest was borne by the City of New York and
10	the federal block grant was not borne by the
11	state.

These cost shifts have also affected the localities. I know Assemblyman Hevesi is well aware of that, having talked to the counties. And our budget maintains a status quo. We have no new supportive housing investments, notwithstanding the fact that it's going to cost more to build them later than it would cost to build them now and, as Senator Krueger mentioned, there are projects ready to go in the pipeline.

The fiscal penalty that Senator Young mentioned earlier we think is extremely ill-advised. We see no reason to take public

1	assistance benefit dollars away from
2	localities when the state isn't even funding
3	homeless outreach efforts. That just seems
4	rather preposterous and one more example of
5	the state shirking its responsibility.
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Can you just
7	conclude?
8	MS. NORTZ: Yes, ma'am. I apologize.
9	I will leave the rest of our budget
10	recommendations for your review.
11	But I would say there is one thing
12	that we need in the realm of solutions that
13	we haven't talked about before, and that is a
14	way of regulating this thing that is evolving
15	called medical respite. And it is a place
16	for people to be when they're getting out of
17	hospitals and nursing homes but aren't
18	appropriate for shelters.
19	And it's not regulated in New York
20	right now, but it's happening and it needs to
21	be regulated. Otherwise we're going to have
22	unregulated nursing homes for homeless
23	people.
24	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman

_	nevesi ioi a quescion.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: I will be brief.
3	Shelly, just thank you for everything
4	you do, taking me under your wing and
5	teaching me about the stuff not only how
6	bad it is. And I'm going to encourage not
7	just my colleagues here but all of my
8	colleagues to really read through this and
9	get a sense of the numbers and the magnitude
10	Because I feel like, you know, I say it
11	constantly, it's the worst since the Great
12	Depression. But that doesn't grab it as
13	well.
14	And also the state response. The
15	state response has been to walk away. It's
16	the county's problem, it's the city's
17	problem, it's everybody else's problem th
18	state has walked away from this problem.
19	So thank you for illuminating what a
20	crisis we really are in, and I appreciate it
21	Thanks.
22	MS. NORTZ: And thank you for the Hom
23	Stability Support proposal, because that has

the power to help solve a big part of this

1	problem.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Thank you,
3	Shelly.
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
5	MS. NORTZ: Thank you very much.
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: United
7	Neighborhood Houses, Kevin Douglas,
8	co-director for New York State policy and
9	advocacy.
10	MR. DOUGLAS: Good afternoon. Thank
11	you, Chair Weinstein and Chair Young and
12	members of the Senate and the Assembly. I
13	appreciate the opportunity to testify this
14	afternoon.
15	My name is Kevin Douglas, and I'm
16	co-director of policy and advocacy with
17	United Neighborhood Houses of New York. We
18	are an association of settlement houses. We
19	have 38 members serving 750,000 New Yorkers
20	every year across the five boroughs of
21	New York City. We also have partners working
22	in Syracuse, Rochester, Albany, and Buffalo
23	to provide similar services.

Before I talk about the asks, I just

1	want to talk about our model a little bit so
2	you understand the variety of programmatic
3	areas that I want to present to you.

The idea of a settlement house is that it's a multiservice, multigenerational neighborhood-based facility where someone can walk in and receive any of the services they need. So for instance -- for example, this morning we heard from four different government agencies. We might have a woman walk into one of our settlement houses and enroll her mother in a home-delivered meals program that's funded by SOFA and put her son in the Summer Youth Employment program funded by OTDA and put her daughter in an early childhood program funded by OCFS and put her cousin in a job training program funded through DOL.

The whole idea of the settlement house model is that someone walks in, they get any service or support that they need. So the variety of programmatic areas in the state budget that is important to our network is tremendous and across the board.

1	I just want to mention a couple of
2	things that are not in my testimony, just
3	very quickly, that we strongly support and
4	ask for your support as you're negotiating
5	the budget.

Many of the people who go through our programs have educational challenges, and so we would strongly urge you to support proposals in the budget to extend tuition assistance to young undocumented learners as well as restore funding for adult literacy education. Those are both important components of community-based human services.

We also strongly support the Home Stability Support proposal, which would help make housing more affordable for New Yorkers who go to our settlement houses.

And finally, because many of the individuals we serve deal with the tipped wage issue, they're working hard and coming to our programs for services, they have challenges getting to the polls on Election Day, and so we certainly support early voting and hope you work with the Governor to

support the funding for that proposal.

In terms of the state budget for the agencies that were here before you today, we do have a few priorities. In the Children and Youth section we appreciate that the Governor restored \$7 million for the Childhood program, but as you know, that's a restoration of a cut and didn't actually expand access. We would echo the Empire Justice Center and push for \$100 million for early childhood education.

For the Child Care Block Grant, we're specifically asking for \$24 million beyond the Executive Budget, which would really help us keep pace with inflation relative to investments from a few years ago.

We also heard the REDC mentioned several times today. The Governor is very interested in putting lots of money into that at the expense of other program areas, many of which we heard about today. So we definitely support the use of creative reallocation of economic development funding to support the workers doing that economic

development and make sure there's childcare
for their children.

3 Similarly, after-school is an important support for a parent as well as the 4 5 youth, and we're very glad again that the Governor is proposing to expand the Empire 6 7 After-School Program. At the same time, somewhat curiously, he has proposed reducing 8 the Advantage After-School Program, pulling 9 10 back the legislative add that this body had 11 made. And that really is problematic, 12 because although there's a net increase in 13 funding, if you compare those streams -- as 14 you know, the Empire State After-School 15 Program is not available in all counties, and 16 many jurisdictions throughout the city would not be eligible for after-school there, so 17 18 it's important to retain support for 19 Advantage.

Moving on to SOFA, I was very interested that we got as far as we did before talking about NORCs, naturally occurring retirement communities. We were very disappointed with the way this has

20

21

22

23

1	played out over the last year. We
2	appreciated that an additional \$2 million had
3	been put in to support this important service
4	which keeps older adults healthy and aging
5	with dignity in their homes. We saw that
6	that \$2 million was not renewed in the
7	Executive proposal, and we would ask that
8	this body restore that.

But more importantly, we're also asking for a \$1 million enhancement to begin doing some pilot programs in other regions of the state that weren't able to expand programs in this last round of the RFA that had been put out and then pulled back.

As I come to the closing part of my testimony here, I talked a little bit earlier about the sort of neighborhood-based, multiservice, multigenerational approach of settlement houses. And one of the things that we really are grateful to this body for is their support of the Settlement House Program. This is flexible funding that goes to settlement houses around the state to fill the gaps.

2	categorical. We had four state agencies here
3	today that have dozens of divisions, dozens
4	of programs, this age, this day of the week,
5	this hair color. Settlement house funding
6	goes to those nonprofits and allows them to
7	look at what the need is in their community
8	and come up with creative solutions. Whether
9	it's culturally competent domestic violence
10	services for the Arab-American population,
11	financial support for families dealing with
12	bereavement issues in the high-crime areas,
13	the settlement houses really take that
14	funding and use it to do creative services.
15	And so we'd ask for that to be
16	restored. It was removed by the Governor at
17	\$2.45 million, and we're asking for it to be
18	increased to \$5 million, which doesn't get it
19	quite to where it used to be, but we would
20	appreciate the ability to expand that

program.

So we know that state funding is very

I know I'm over, so I'm going to go into speed-talk mode. Very quickly, we are proud members of the Strong Nonprofits for a

1	Better New York campaign, and you'll hear
2	more about that from my colleagues. I
3	support everything they're about to say. The
4	Nonprofit Infrastructure Capital Investment
5	Program is critical to keep human service
6	spaces in good working order. We know that
7	the BFair2DirectCare effort last year was
8	vitally important and successful. We want
9	that extended to the rest of the nonprofit
10	workforce. We recognize when the state
11	increases the minimum wage, as we support,
12	they need to include that cost in state
13	contracts.
14	And finally, the state has been in
15	noncompliance with federal guidelines for
16	about four years now regarding indirect costs
17	when the state is using federal dollars in
18	pass-through to contracts. We'd urge this
19	body to work with the state to resolve that
20	and make sure that providers are getting the
21	appropriate and direct overhead.
22	Thank you.
23	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
24	Senator Krueger?

1	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you, no I
2	just appreciate everything that you raised in
3	your testimony.
4	And for those of us who come from New
5	York City, I'm not sure we could imagine our
6	communities being what they were if not for
7	the settlement houses and the fact that you
8	offer such an incredibly diverse group of
9	programs. And also, unlike many, you can
10	sort of turn on a dime, so to speak, to
11	respond to new issues in communities. So I'm
12	just glad you're all there.
13	Thank you.
14	MR. DOUGLAS: Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
16	Next is the Schuyler Center for
17	Analysis and Advocacy, Kari Siddiqui.
18	MS. SIDDIQUI: Good afternoon. I
19	would like to thank you all for the
20	opportunity to testify today.
21	I am a senior policy analyst with the
22	Schuyler Center for Analysis and Advocacy.
23	We are a 146-year-old nonprofit organization
24	dedicated to providing analysis and advocacy

1	in support of public systems that focus on
2	people in need.
3	As you have my written testimony
4	before you, I will just try to highlight some
5	of the most urgent pieces.
6	While New York's economic indicators
7	have seen steady improvement since the
8	Great Recession, the state continues to
9	struggle to provide for many of our children
10	the supports they need to grow, learn, and
11	thrive. We can and must do better by our
12	children to provide them the steppingstones
13	they need to set on a path to achieving their
14	full potential.
15	The Executive Budget proposes a few
16	important investments for children, including
17	allocating funds for the development of
18	recommendations of the state's trailblazing
19	First 1,000 Days on Medicaid initiative.
20	However, there remains much to do.
21	I had hoped to sit before you today

requesting additional funding to expand

Instead, I am sitting here asking you to

primary prevention and child welfare.

22

23

1	ensure	that	no	damage	is	done	to	existing
2	service	es.						

The Executive Budget proposes to place a cap on reimbursement to New York City for child welfare services which include preventive services, those services specifically designed to strengthen families and keep children safely at home whenever possible; child protective services; and also adoption administration, independent living, and aftercare services.

This funding stream was intentionally structured to be uncapped and open-ended to incentivize services that keep children safely out of foster care instead of incentivizing foster care. The current structure was enacted in 2002 after the state saw foster care increase when preventive was not financially incentivized. Since that time, we've seen foster care caseloads decrease while more and more families receive preventive services.

In fact, the 2006 OCFS report to the Legislature cited the impact that uncapped

1	preventive reimbursement had had on expanding
2	and strengthening preventive services and
3	driving down foster care numbers.

This proposed cap comes on the heels of a \$62 million cut to foster care in last year's budget. And while the cap is only proposed for New York City, as was said many times today, approximately half of our children in foster care live in New York City, and introducing this path will fundamentally change the way we approach child welfare in New York.

It will also result in a cut to

New York City and remove all incentive for

the city to innovate and expand preventive

services. And, in my opinion, it establishes

a dangerous precedent for capping spending in

counties that have far fewer resources.

So we strongly urge the Legislature to reject the Governor's proposal to cap reimbursement to New York City and ensure that this funding remains uncapped and open-ended.

24 What is more, the Governor's budget

1	proposes to eliminate all funding for
2	Close to Home, New York City's successful
3	juvenile justice program that places youth in
4	small residential facilities near their
5	homes. This comes just as New York is
6	preparing for Raise the Age implementation,
7	which will cause an influx of 16- and
8	17-year-olds into the juvenile justice
9	system.

We urge the Legislature to reject the proposal to completely defund Close to Home, and we also urge the Legislature to ensure that all counties, including New York City, have access to the funding necessary to successfully implement Raise the Age.

While it is essential that the state shore up and strengthen the programs associated with our child welfare system, we cannot forget the hundreds of thousands of New York children living in informal kinship arrangements. The Kinship Navigator and kinship programs offer important supports to kin who care for related children outside of the formal system. These programs are

1	becoming increasingly important as two issues
2	face New York and the nation: The rise in
3	parental opioid use, and the federal
4	administration's focus on detention and
5	deportation. Providing kin caregivers with
6	information and support may enable more of
7	these children to remain safely with kin and
8	speed up reunification with parents when
9	appropriate.
10	We urge the Legislature to restore
11	funding for kinship programs and increase
12	funding for the Kinship Navigator so that
13	they may respond to these instances.
14	And finally, as I mentioned, the
15	budget includes funding for the First 1,000
16	Days on Medicaid, which includes a home
17	visiting component, and we urge the
18	Legislature to support that important
19	component of expanding universal access to
20	home visiting. And we also urge you to
21	expand the state investment in home visiting
22	programs.
23	I said finally; I have one more

24 thing --

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Okay.
2	MS. SIDDIQUI: which is childcare.
3	For many New York families with young
4	children, childcare is their largest monthly
5	bill. New York State ranks among the most
6	expensive states in the nation for childcare.
7	The average cost for full-time center-based
8	care is \$15,000 a year, and more than \$13,000
9	for a toddler or preschooler. I have an
10	18-month-old, I know this is true.
11	Many working families simply cannot
12	afford quality childcare without subsidies.
13	But subsidies, as you've heard, are only
14	available to about 20 percent of eligible
15	families. We appreciate the \$7 million
16	restoration of childcare funds from the
17	Governor's budget, but as you all know, more
18	is needed to ensure that families have the
19	support they need. We urge you to maintain
20	the Governor's restoration and to expand
21	access to childcare funding.
22	Thank you.
23	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
24	SENATOR KRUEGER: I do have one

_	quescion: I know we le not supposed to
2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: No, you get
3	this one.
4	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
5	I think you're the first one to
6	mention it today, so the Governor moves
7	the Nurse-Family Partnership, I think, into
8	the Department of Health. I know you're
9	asking for more money for it. Is there any
10	reason people should be worried or excited
11	about it moving to DOH?
12	MS. SIDDIQUI: So it's always been
13	kind of like the money was in OTDA and then
14	got transferred to DOH, so really it's just
15	sort of streamlining things.
16	I think the thing that folks should be
17	aware of is that it looks like a lot more
18	money than there was last year, but really
19	it's old a good portion of it is old money
20	that is being kind of transferred over.
21	SENATOR KRUEGER: Being
22	reappropriated
23	MS. SIDDIQUI: Right.
24	SENATOR KRUEGER: because it didn't

1	get spent.
2	MS. SIDDIQUI: Right.
3	SENATOR KRUEGER: Do we know why it
4	didn't get spent?
5	MS. SIDDIQUI: I don't know that
6	offhand.
7	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
8	MS. SIDDIQUI: Sure.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman
10	Jaffee, and then Assemblyman Hevesi.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Just briefly, I
12	want to thank you for your continued advocacy
13	for so many of these programs, but what we've
14	been really working on together, the
15	expansion of funding for childcare, so
16	essential for our communities, our children
17	and families, as well as the issues impacting
18	the kinship care and foster care.
19	Thank you.
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
21	Hevesi.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: So, Kari, I just
23	want to say thank you. I didn't understand
24	the magnitude of what the Governor's

1	proposing this year, and one of the dangers
2	of being a politician, the way you're trained
3	is you solve one problem at a time. You only
4	look at one thing at a time, and you can't do
5	that.

And you illuminate in your testimony the fact that, look, last year \$62 million was cut from foster care, it was a big hit for those kids, then this year we're going to cut preventative services in a way that has been proven historically in the last 20 years to be monumentally stupid. It hurts kids and serves as a disincentive for localities to give money. It's just bad public policy.

We zeroed out Close to Home, even though it was the Governor's idea -- one after the other -- bang, bang, bang. And the question has to come at some point, what is the motivation here? This is not financial. There's something else going on here that this population, these kids are taking hits, hits, hits.

And I didn't realize the magnitude of it. I've wanted to get on the record saying

Τ	it, but now that I do, I wanted to thank you
2	for illuminating how bad this really is.
3	Thank you.
4	MS. SIDDIQUI: Thank you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
6	Thank you for your testimony here today.
7	Next, Paige Pierce, CEO, Families
8	Together in New York State.
9	MS. PIERCE: Good evening. Thank you
10	very much for your patience.
11	I'm Paige Pierce. I'm the CEO of
12	Families Together in New York State. We
13	represent families of young people with
14	behavioral health needs. And as you can
15	imagine, our children are involved in
16	multiple systems, including child welfare,
17	juvenile justice, special education, alcohol
18	and substance abuse, mental health.
19	I just want to say first, Assemblyman
20	Hevesi, you've just said everything I was
21	going to say, so I don't need to repeat it.
22	Thank you very much, you were right on.
23	And I thank a lot of you today
24	thank you for asking the right guestions of

the commissioner from OCFS, specifically
about funding for the Raise the Age and
Close to Home initiatives.

As someone who worked very hard on the Raise the Age bill over many, many years, we did that for all the kids in New York State, for the entire state, not just for the upstate kids. And it is critical that the city have the ability to implement Raise the Age. It was promised to everybody along all of the years that we worked on it; that was always a promise from the second floor. So we would just urge you to hold them to that promise.

I just also want to say you have my written testimony, so I don't need to get into it too much, but the main things that Families Together works on is family-peer support. And you've heard throughout today and many times before that those kinds of soft services like family-peer support and peer support in general can save a lot of money and can reduce adverse childhood experiences, which we know, when we look at,

Τ	you know, social determinants and what the
2	long-term effects are, we have the ability,
3	if we invest now we have the ability to
4	cut costs for many decades out.
5	And when we hear about, you know,
6	veterans that have major issues, I think, you
7	know, we can avoid some of the traumas. We
8	can't avoid veteran traumas, but we can avoid
9	the traumas that we know our children
10	sometimes face, and we can avoid a lot of
11	expensive healthcare issues not just
12	mental health and substance abuse issues, but
13	healthcare issues. The ACE study shows that.
14	So I just want to say one other thing,
15	and that is when we think about as
16	Assemblyman Hevesi said, you know, something
17	else is going on. If there's going to be
18	bickering between the state and the city,
19	please do not let it be on the backs of the
20	children and families. That's all I ask.
21	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
22	Hevesi.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Just thank you
24	for your testimony.

1	I would like to connect with you
2	offline to talk more about ACEs. I think
3	that's an area that's ripe for public policy
4	moves on our part, and I'd like your
5	guidance. So thank you.
6	MS. PIERCE: Great. Thanks.
7	And Assemblywoman Jaffee,
8	congratulations, you're going to be our
9	Legislator of the Year at Families Together's
10	Legislative Awareness Day next Tuesday. So
11	you're all invited.
12	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thanks for that
13	promo.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you.
15	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you very much
16	MS. PIERCE: Thank you.
17	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Next, Ann Marie
18	Maglione, Association on Aging in New York.
19	And she'll be followed by Sheila
20	Harrigan, then Jim Purcell, Mallory Nugent,
21	Stephanie Gendell.
22	MS. MAGLIONE: Good afternoon. Thank
23	you for the opportunity to testify on the
24	impact the 2018-2019 Executive Budget

1	proposa	l will	have	e on	New	Yorkers	and	the
2	aging n	etwork	in 1	New '	York	State.		

My name is Ann Marie Maglione, and I am the legislative chair of the Association on Aging in NY, and I'm also the director of the Orange County Office for the Aging.

You have my written testimony, so I'm just going to touch base on a few of the points.

I'd like to thank Senator Young and
Assemblywoman Weinstein for chairing these
hearings, as well as extending our
appreciation to Senator Serino and
Assemblywoman Lupardo for their strong
leadership on aging issues.

The Association on Aging in New York represents the 59 mostly county-based area agencies, AAAs, and they're also known as the Offices for the Aging throughout New York State. The programs, services and supports we provide allow older New Yorkers to live independently in the community and also support their caregivers. The services provided by the aging network address

1	prevention and the social determinants of
2	health that help delay, and possibly prevent,
3	the need for more medically intensive
4	services

As you are all aware, the 60-plus population is exploding and has complex needs which are putting a strain on the aging services network. We fully recognize the fiscal challenges the state is facing, but we need to ensure the supports are there for older New Yorkers.

So our priorities for the budget are certainly NY Connects, and I wanted to just -- before I go into this, I wanted to chat for a minute, because NYSOFA and the association are a little bit on different pages with this. There's a few reasons that we are. Those few reasons -- our wait lists are different, there's a few reasons our NY Connects numbers are different. There were system issues that caused delays, and the delays really then prevented -- poor timing with the contract. So the contract was delayed so that we really couldn't

1	implement in a timely manner, and that really
2	reflected on the numbers. We also the
3	state initiated a statewide reporting system
4	which had a couple of hiccups. So taking all
5	of that into account, that's why we differ a
6	little bit.

You know, finally, an official state report is quite different from the informal local reports that we're standing by. But we're certainly going to be talking with NYSOFA to make sure that we're on the same page. We really value them and we work very closely together, so we're going to be doing that.

NY Connects is a locally coordinated system of specialized information and assistance on long-term services and supports for the age-60-plus individuals with physical disabilities, caregivers, and providers.

New York State has spent years building this multiagency cross-systems approach to service access, and last year more than 230,000 calls came in through NY Connects. And we're seeing a drastic increase since the launching

1	0	f	the	statewide	public	awareness	campaign.
---	---	---	-----	-----------	--------	-----------	-----------

Just to give you an example, Monroe

County alone, in 2016 they had 13,000 calls

that came through NY Connects. In 2017, they

had 21,000. So there's quite a bit of a

difference.

But unfortunately, after years of building this system, it's not adequately funded. In 2016 the tentative allocation was \$33 million. The 2017 final allocation was only \$19.3 million, 14 less than what was promised. The Governor's proposed budget only provides \$44.5 million for two years.

The NY Connects system requires \$41 million annually in order to fully function:

\$33 million for the AAAs, and \$8 million for the Independent Living Center run systems.

So what does that mean? Because of the reduction of funding in 2017, 280 jobs were either terminated or left unfilled, and those in need were having difficulty accessing services. People were falling through the cracks as they were left on hold and ultimately they hung up after they didn't

get a response	1	get	а	response
----------------	---	-----	---	----------

So we respectfully request the

NY Connects allocation in the global cap be

adjusted to provide \$41 million annually, or

\$82 million over a two-year period, in order

to sustain the system.

Community Services for the Elderly,

CSE. The Executive proposes to cut CSE by

\$875,000, which is what the Legislature added

last year. Total funding is proposed at

\$28.9 million. Waiting lists continue to

grow as the population of older New Yorkers

grows, and with increased public awareness of

these services through the promotion of

NY Connects.

In order to fully address the waiting lists and ensure individuals are receiving the services they need, an additional \$24 million in funding is requested.

Elder abuse. While we were pleased the Governor maintained funding for enhanced multidisciplinary teams so they may be sustained and expanded throughout the state, we are concerned with the \$200,000 cut to

1	baseline elder abuse services. Elder abuse
2	affects an estimated 260,000 older adults
3	annually and is consistently underreported.
4	We respectfully request restoration to the
5	\$200,000 as well as a \$10 million investment
6	in community-based efforts to address elder
7	abuse.
8	Home care crisis. This issue has bee

Home care crisis. This issue has been at the forefront of numerous legislative hearings and discussions. The association conducted a survey of our members, and almost every county is facing an inability to fill homecare hours. Without a workforce of homecare providers, the ability of elderly New Yorkers to remain in the community will simply be lost.

Now, we're certainly not coming here tonight and asking you to solve the problem. We realize it's a multifaceted problem, and my colleagues and I across the state continue to look for innovative ways to fill the demand for home healthcare hours.

And I'll give you an example. In my county, Orange, we recognized that we really

1	needed to do something. We are working with
2	Mount Saint Mary College to utilize
3	social-work students as personal care 1 aides
4	as part of their curriculum. This will allow
5	us to move home care aides to the personal
6	care level 2 for the more intensive work to
7	address
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Can you just
9	summarize, very quickly, the remainder?
10	MS. MAGLIONE: Oh, yes.
11	to address the growing need.
12	So I want to talk one more time
13	about last about transportation. Wait
14	lists for transportation have also increased
15	dramatically over the past year. In
16	addition, there are maintenance and
17	operational requests, and we respectfully
18	request \$2.5 million to address these needs
19	across the nation.
20	The association is committed to
21	working with Governor Cuomo and the
22	Legislature to support New York State's
23	designation as the first age-friendly state,
24	and we're so proud of that. By ensuring

1	health across all policies, by requiring all
2	state agencies to consider health and
3	wellness outcomes in their policy
4	decisions as part of this, we need to
5	ensure that the services that keep older
6	New Yorkers in the community are funded.
7	I want to thank you again for these
8	important hearings and for allowing the
9	association the opportunity to testify. We
10	very much look forward to working with you in
11	the coming weeks and months to address all of
12	these issues of critical importance to older
13	New Yorkers and their families.
14	Thank you so much.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
16	Thank you for your work and the work
17	of your colleagues around the state on behalf
18	of our senior populations.
19	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Serino.
20	SENATOR SERINO: Thank you.
21	Hi, Ann Marie. How are you?
22	MS. MAGLIONE: How are you?
23	SENATOR SERINO: I just want to say
24	thank you for all your advocacy.

1	MS. MAGLIONE: Oh, thank you.
2	SENATOR SERINO: You're doing a great
3	job, and it's a pleasure working with you.
4	My question is going to be about CSE,
5	because there's such a discrepancy in the
6	numbers. Now, what number do you have a
7	number? I know you heard the earlier
8	testimony of people on the waiting list.
9	MS. MAGLIONE: Well, we you know,
10	waiting lists are fluid. So it's a snapshot
11	right then.
12	SENATOR SERINO: Okay.
13	MS. MAGLIONE: And since what we do is
14	so diverse, what may be of need right now may
15	not be a need later.
16	But we really do stand by the 16,000,
17	and there's reasons for that. The population
18	is growing by leaps and bounds, we know that.
19	We see this all the time. We report
20	differently, and everybody what the state
21	may be reporting, or what the state may be
22	seeing, we may not be seeing.
23	So if in my county I'm counting the
24	numbers that are waiting for aides but

1	there may be another county that's reporting
2	the people that haven't even been assessed.
3	So there's different numbers.
4	SENATOR SERINO: Okay. Is there a way
5	that we can, like, work together to get a
6	more accurate do you guys have some ideas?
7	Because it's a little frustrating, right?
8	MS. MAGLIONE: Yeah, and I can
9	absolutely understand that. That's what
10	we're going to be working with. We're going
11	to get together with NYSOFA, but we did do an
12	informal we did do an informal one with
13	our association, and it really did show that
14	the need is there.
15	SENATOR SERINO: Yes.
16	MS. MAGLIONE: It continues to grow.
17	So but we're going to get together so we
18	can all have the same number and we can all
19	work together with the same number.
20	SENATOR SERINO: Great. Thank you.
21	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you
22	again.
23	Next, the New York Public Welfare
24	Association, Sheila Harrigan, executive

1	director.
2	MS. HARRIGAN: Thank you so much.
3	I'm here with one message today, and
4	that is we're all in this together. We're
5	all one state. This is not "A Tale of Two
6	Cities," as in Charles Dickens' story about
7	Paris and London; it is I hope not "All
8	My Sons" where the father learns too late
9	that to save money in one place, he hurts
10	everyone. This is very serious.
11	The New York Public Welfare
12	Association represents social services
13	statewide, and we are deeply, deeply
14	concerned about the cuts to New York City
15	child welfare programs. We do believe they
16	could set a precedent statewide, and we're
17	one state. We need to hang in there and keep
18	together.
19	On one other issue of homelessness,
20	our members, grassroots, helping homeless
21	people every day, very important the

outreach language requiring an extra

administrative layer of oversight and

planning is counterproductive. It's hurtful.

22

23

Ţ	It stops us from neiping the people who need
2	help. It takes away funding from people on
3	assistance. In terms of reimbursement to
4	counties, it's the wrong thing to do.
5	Our members are collaborating with
6	OTDA, we feel that we have it covered. The
7	language should be removed.
8	On geriatric parole, we understand the
9	intent. We need the state to step up to the
10	plate and not just cover the medical
11	expenses, but all the related needs for
12	geriatric parolees.
13	And that sums it up. Thank you.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Great.
15	Assemblyman Hevesi for a brief
16	question.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Very brief.
18	Ms. Harrigan, I just want to say thank
19	you for your testimony. And also I agree
20	with you wholeheartedly about the policy to
21	punish local social services districts and
22	public assistance recipients if they don't
23	comply. The state could have just as easily
24	have given you a little money to help for

1	outreach and gotten the same conclusion.
2	So yeah, I'm as well as you are,
3	I'm tired of the state being punitive with
4	the local social services districts.
5	Thank you.
6	SENATOR KRUEGER: I also hi. I
7	also want to thank you for highlighting a
8	concern that some of us raised during the
9	Public Protection hearing, that one can
10	support, philosophically, geriatric parole,
11	but one must make sure that you are not
12	simply paroling elderly, sick people into a
13	homeless shelter system somewhere.
14	So thank you.
15	MS. HARRIGAN: Thank you.
16	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for
17	being here today.
18	Next, Jim Purcell, CEO of the Council
19	of Family and Child Caring Agencies.
20	MR. PURCELL: Thank you very much.
21	I've been here as long as you have, and I'm
22	surprised you're all still awake. Well, most
23	of you are awake
24	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: A presumption

t.	•
	t.

2	(Laughter.)

MR. PURCELL: We set out this year to talk with you about key issues related to the nonprofit foster care agencies, the need that actually Senator Tedisco spoke to earlier about the fact that we have to pay them a wage that allows them to stay in their jobs.

Our turnover rate for these front-line workers is over 40 percent. And when you deal with traumatized people, especially kids, what they need is that constant caregiver who they can come to trust. And we're failing in that as our workers leave for better jobs, constantly.

I would have spoken to that longer.

Last year we asked for a \$1 million from the Legislature to fund a half a million for tuition support for our staff and another half-million for loan forgiveness so that people with high student loans could stay in this field. You were able to add \$100,000 to the budget, which actually allowed HESC to put together the mechanisms to make that

1	work.
_	WOIL.

And what I'm sure is a surprise to all of us, that 100,000 is in the Governor's budget this year. I mean, we all know that the Governor -- any governor very seldom puts legislative adds in his budget, but that was in. And so we're back asking you simply to again try to fund it at a more realistic level of something on the order of \$1 million.

And finally, our residential agencies take quite a beating from a dozen young people who can be hard on walls and carpets and furniture. And given the fact that prior to the last couple of years we've had no rate increases, the deferred maintenance on those buildings is quite serious, and so we need some capital money. And so we would have talked about that.

But instead, I'm going to take the rest of my three minutes and 48 seconds and talk about what you've been talking about all day, which are the absolutely horrendous cuts in this budget for New York City.

1	I've been around a long time in child
2	welfare. I was here in the '90s when
3	Governor Pataki block-granted foster care,
4	protective and preventive altogether, cut
5	\$130 million. I worked for the state, I was
6	not allowed to mention that number anyplace
7	at the time. Within six months, Elisa
8	Izquierdo was killed by her caregivers in
9	New York City. Many of you remember that
10	case. A month later, the Governor had the
11	good sense to pull child protective services
12	out of that block grant, recognizing that he
13	was probably very lucky he didn't get blamed
14	in some way for that death.

And then, as you also heard today -brilliant history that Assemblyman Hevesi
provided on this -- when OCFS looked at what
had happened over the intervening years from
'95 to about 2002, what happened is what you
would have predicted to happen. Foster care,
being a relatively predictable, required
cost, was squeezing child preventive services
out of the funding stream. Maybe less so in
New York City, but certainly in upstate

counties that's what we saw.

And so in 2002 or '03, Governor Pataki pulled the preventive services out of the block grant, put them with protective at 65 percent. Now it's 62. The important thing about that appropriation is that it's open-ended. It's a statement by the state:

You write the laws, you decide which children should be protected, which children should have mandated preventive services, which children need to be in foster care.

And then the state conditions its support for foster care through the block grant -- which I personally abhor -- but now turns its back on the very programs that have successfully lowered the foster care numbers.

And then, on Close to Home, this was an agreement between the city and state five years ago, written into the state budget. It has been more successful than anybody would have dreamed. The ability to keep these kids out of residential care, with their families, safely, witnessed by the continuing drop in the juvenile crime rate -- it hasn't gone up

1	because fewer kids are placed. And the kids
2	who are placed are fewer than anybody would
3	have anticipated.

And after a rocky start in the first year, for some reasons that I won't go into now, but some of them were structural in the way it was implemented -- nobody's fault, we just sort of didn't think it through, I think -- there were a number of AWOLs and other problems. Those numbers are way down.

These programs host -- one of them hosts a Thursday movie night and invites the parents of the kids to come in and watch some movie with their kid.

One agency, when they first said they were going to do an ice cream social in the local park and invite the parents on a Sunday afternoon, they then invited the city and state overseers. And I got a call saying, "But what should we do? The kids will AWOL." And the executive director of the agency said, "Why would they AWOL? They're with their parents, and we're giving them ice cream." There were no AWOLs.

1	And so this program of engaging
2	families in the care of these kids has been a
3	huge success. Now we're going to do it with
4	the 16- and 17-year-olds. Except the state
5	has decided it's not going to pay. I hear
6	the arguments about the shares, it's all
7	about the shares. And as my testimony says,
8	nobody is going to ask in eight or nine years
9	did we balance the shares in 2018. That will
10	not be the question.
11	These are permanent cuts. The one in
12	preventive is deadly. And in years to come,
13	especially if this spreads across the
14	state as you just heard from Sheila
15	Harrigan, it's the fear that all of us
16	have we're going to be asking why there
17	weren't services for some family, why this
18	child got hurt, why this county had child
19	protective caseloads that were way too high.
20	And the answer will be because we balanced
21	the shares in 2018.
22	I think that's an unacceptable answer.

If the state and city need to work out how

much each is going to pay for the big picture

23

Τ	of \$108 billion for the state and \$88 billion
2	or whatever it is for the city, I'm sure you
3	all can help them find other places except or
4	the backs of our most vulnerable kids and
5	families to do that balancing.
6	And I thank you for all your attention
7	to this today. It leaves us a bit hopeful, I
8	will say.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
10	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
11	MR. PURCELL: Thank you, Senator.
12	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for
13	being here.
14	MR. PURCELL: Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Next, Mallory
16	Nugent, senior policy analyst, Federation of
17	Protestant Welfare Agencies.
18	To be followed by Stephanie Gendell,
19	Meredith Chimento, Elizabeth Powers, and Jenr
20	O'Connor. If those people could make their
21	way down.
22	Yes, thank you.
23	MS. NUGENT: Hello. Thank you for the
24	opportunity to testify tonight. And I

1	appreciate	you	all	sitting	through	what	Ι
2	know is a	very	long	day.			

I'm Mallory Nugent. I'm a senior

policy analyst at FPWA. We're a New York

City-based membership organization with about

160 faith- and community-based organizations

as our members. Most of our advocacy work

focuses around issues of economic justice and

alleviating poverty.

We're also the co-lead of the Strong
Nonprofits for a Better New York campaign.
You may have seen our bake sale and our
infrastructure fair in recent weeks, and one
of these fancy buttons probably appeared in
your office yesterday.

Strong Nonprofits is a coalition of about 350 human services nonprofits from across the state that have come together to advocate for increased funding for the human service nonprofits that serve our communities every day, specifically around the issues of workforce and infrastructure.

The human services workforce is highly educated and are highly committed. They are

1	also 81 percent women and 46 percent people
2	of color, making fair wages for them an issue
3	of equity. And when we talk about closing
4	the gender pay gap and fair wages, this is a
5	workforce that the state has a lot of control
6	of because they fund most of these
7	nonprofits.
8	They're vastly underpaid currently.
9	There's a chart in my testimony that shows
10	the average human services salary versus what
11	the United Way says is a basic cost of living
12	in many areas of our state, and then you will
13	see that it is vastly lower than it should
14	be.
15	This leads to, as Jim Purcell
16	mentioned, very high turnover in these
17	organizations, which is very costly to the
18	organizations themselves and very detrimental
19	to the clients that they serve.
20	Last year we applaud the investment
21	that was made in the direct care workforce.
22	That was much needed and much deserved.
23	Unfortunately, that left out a lot of direct

service providers. Workers that do similar

1	jobs but that are contracted through OCFS,
2	OTDA, NYSOFA, did not receive an increase and
3	have not received an increase.

These are the same workers that are eligible for the statutory cost-of-living adjustments that is yearly removed from the Executive Budget. This has led to a "savings" of about half a billion dollars. Our savings for the state should not be coming out of the pockets of these hardworking human services workers.

We are also aware of the reality of budget, and therefore are not here to ask for half a billion dollars. We are advocating for \$65 million to allow for a 3.25 percent increase for these workers for this year, which is the same that was provided to the direct care workers last year.

Additionally in the area of workforce, nonprofits stood for the minimum wage. We believed in it both for our clients and for our own staff. Unfortunately, it remains an unfunded mandate for nonprofits that contract with the state. They're having to make

1	difficult choices about cutting staff hours
2	or cutting programs in order to fill that
3	gap. And as the minimum wage rises, that
4	hole gets bigger in their budget. So we are
5	advocating for \$23 million to be included in
6	this year's budget to help them address that
7	gap.

As my colleague Kevin Douglas
mentioned, overhead and indirect costs for
nonprofits are low to nonexistent, which
means that they're often putting off a lot of
very vital infrastructure needs in terms of
their repairs and their technology. They go
without things that are critical to their
function. We have disability services
organizations that have broken elevators or
heating and cooling centers with broken
HVACs -- things that they need in order to do
their jobs.

We applaud the \$120 million that was allocated over the last three years for the Nonprofit Infrastructure Capital Investment Program, and we are asking for that to continue at \$100 million for this year and

1	make that fund recurring. Six hundred
2	thirty-five organizations applied for the
3	initial \$100 million, and 237 received
4	grants. So while that's a great first step,
5	it's definitely just a first step.
6	And I will note that none of these
7	items were included in the Governor's
8	Executive Budget, despite ongoing
9	conversations for several years on some of
10	these items. So we really need the
11	Legislature's support in order to make sure
12	that these ongoing issues are addressed.
13	In the interests of time, I won't go
14	through all the programmatic items that FPWA
15	supports, but it echoes many of my
16	colleagues' requests in the areas of
17	childcare, child welfare, aging, and housing
18	stability support. The specifics are all in
19	the written testimony. But we strongly
20	support those items with our members in the
21	communities that we serve.
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
23	Assemblywoman Jaffee.
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Just in

1	response to one item in your folder here,
2	your testimony the Governor did sign my
3	legislation regarding the childcare task
4	force.
5	MS. NUGENT: Okay.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: And we did put
7	some amendments in, but that will move
8	forward because we discussed we worked
9	with the Governor on that.
10	MS. NUGENT: Wonderful.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: So it will be
12	moving forward.
13	MS. NUGENT: Thank you.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: I just wanted
15	to let you know.
16	MS. NUGENT: And thank you for your
17	work on that.
18	SENATOR KRUEGER: Senator Montgomery.
19	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Yes, thank you.
20	I know that people who work in
21	childcare are still the worst off of any
22	group of people. And of course, depending of
23	how you view it I view it that they do the
24	most important job.

1	So are you going to be is FPWA part
2	of the Governor's task force? Or how do you
3	propose that we should address that issue in
4	particular? After all of these hundreds of
5	years that we've been talking about, it's
6	still the same problem.
7	MS. NUGENT: The childcare workforce
8	issue?
9	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Yes.
10	MS. NUGENT: I mean, I think it starts
11	with investing money into these nonprofits so
12	that they can provide appropriate salaries,
13	because we can't continue to raise
14	obviously, you know, we talked about the
15	expense of childcare, putting that on to
16	New York families, people who you know, we
17	end up in a situation where childcare costs
18	more than person makes when they go to their
19	job.
20	But we also need to make sure that
21	these workers are getting paid appropriately.
22	So I think that involves putting significant
23	investment in from the state and localities.
24	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: So are you is

1	FPWA going to be on that task force? Have
2	you been invited to be on it or
3	MS. NUGENT: I would have to get back
4	to you on that. One of our colleagues
5	handles our childcare portfolio. But I can
6	definitely look into that and get back to
7	you.
8	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Oh, okay. I
9	would like to know. Thank you.
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
11	Hevesi.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Hi, Mallory.
13	MS. NUGENT: Hi.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: I just want to
15	say thanks for all of the work you're doing
16	in advocating and not letting us forget that
17	the nonprofit providers in New York State
18	have been systematically underfunded for the
19	last seven years and it's done under phony
20	budget pretenses. But you guys are getting
21	hurt, and you are on the front line. And
22	you're exactly who we should be helping,
23	because the people that you serve are the
24	most vulnerable New Yorkers.

1	So thank you for the work you're doing
2	and for advocacy.
3	MS. NUGENT: Thank you. We appreciate
4	it.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: We'll be fighting
6	with you. Thanks.
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
8	Next, Stephanie Gendell, Citizens'
9	Committee for Children.
10	MS. GENDELL: Good evening. My name
11	is Stephanie Gendell. I am the associate
12	executive director for policy and advocacy at
13	Citizens' Committee for Children.
14	We are deeply, deeply disappointed
15	that this Executive Budget is balanced by
16	cutting the services for the most vulnerable
17	children and their families, particularly
18	those from New York City. Never in a million
19	years did I think that is what this budget
20	was going to look like this year.
21	Albert Einstein said the definition of
22	insanity is to doing the same thing and
23	expecting a different result. That is what
24	we are doing here with this budget. As we've

1	gone through the history already today, it
2	was my organization that did the report in
3	1998, long before my time there, that found
4	that, lo and behold, if you block-granted and
5	cut all of the child welfare services, you
6	see an increase in foster care, a decrease in
7	prevention, and more children getting hurt.

We are headed right back in that direction in New York City, where the most vulnerable children are living, and it -- just to be clear, these are children of color. And it is just very upsetting that this is where we're heading.

There is a discrepancy in how much the cut is to New York City between what the state estimates and what the city estimates.

But at the end of the day, it's less about the money and more about the cap.

This child welfare services funding stream is an incentivized funding stream to incentivize counties to invest in services that produce good outcomes. The city has done that. That is why they are being penalized. They have one of the most

1	extensive arrays of preventive services in
2	the country, and they have lower child
3	protective caseloads. If we remove that
4	incentive, we don't know what exactly they
5	will do.
6	It is important to note that actually
7	a year ago the state required New York City
8	to have a monitor, Kroll, because they were
9	concerned about whether or not the city was
10	actually doing a good enough job for child
11	safety. A year ago, Commissioner Poole said
12	"The monitor's responsibility will be to
13	evaluate all policies, practices, and
14	procedures and determine the reasons for the
15	troubling failures we have seen. OCFS will
16	work with the monitor and ACS to strengthen
17	child protection programs across New York
18	City."
19	Cutting their funding and capping the
20	incentive does not strengthen child
21	protection in New York City.

At the same time, the Administration for Children's Services, the same city agency, also handles juvenile justice. The

1	budget proposes to cut all of the funding
2	they currently receive for the very
3	successful program Close to Home, where the
4	younger children are currently in placement,
5	at the same time that we're expecting the
6	population in Close to Home to triple with
7	the implementation of Raise the Age.
8	In addition, there's \$100 million in
9	the budget to Raise the Age, and our
10	understanding is that New York City will not
11	be able access any of the Raise the Age
12	funding because it requires the county to be
13	under the 2 percent property tax cap, which

understanding is that New York City will not be able access any of the Raise the Age funding because it requires the county to be under the 2 percent property tax cap, which New York City does not have, and to prove financial hardship — which I would argue, after this budget, they will have. But the word on the street is that New York City has a lot of money, so I don't think they're going to be able to prove financial hardship.

We do city advocacy as well. I've looked at the city budget. I do not think they have as much of a budget surplus as is being reported. But even if the city really does have additional funding as has been

1	stated earlier, balancing the budget by
2	cutting services for the most vulnerable
3	children and families is not the way to
4	resolve any sort of budget dispute between
5	the state and the city.
6	In addition, New York City is
7	currently facing a homelessness crisis.
8	There are over 27,000 children living in
9	homeless shelters in New York City. Half of
10	those children are not even living in
11	shelters built to house the homeless, they
12	are in cluster-site apartments and hotels, a
13	growing number in hotels.
14	We were disappointed that the budget
15	didn't do anything to try to address the
16	homelessness crisis in New York City. We had
17	hoped to see some version of
18	Assemblymember Hevesi's Home Stability
19	Support program or any sort of additional
20	rental programs to help prevent homelessness,
21	as well as other innovative ways to address
22	the well-being of the children and families
23	who are currently homeless in New York City.
24	Finally, as has been mentioned before,

1	we also desperately need additional childcare
2	resources, which feels very challenging to
3	ask for in this moment where we're trying to
4	protect the services for the most vulnerable
5	children and families.
6	Thank you.
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you
8	Mr. Hevesi.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Stephanie, I just
10	want to say thank you and Jim and Kari and
11	everybody else for teaching me how bad
12	this really is. Thank you for all your work.
13	MS. GENDELL: Thank you.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you,
15	Stephanie.
16	Meredith Chimento, executive director,
17	Early Care and Learning Council.
18	MS. CHIMENTO: Good evening. As
19	mentioned, I'm Meredith Chimento, I'm the
20	executive director of the Early Care and
21	Learning Council. We are the statewide
22	membership organization for the 34 childcare
23	resource and referral agencies in New York
24	State, covering all 62 counties.

1	You've heard this all before, but I
2	wanted to touch on a few different points
3	that are in my testimony.
4	The first is that we are part of the
5	Winning Beginning NY and the Empire State
6	Campaign for Quality Childcare, and we fully
7	support the proposals set forth in the
8	First 1,000 Days on Medicaid initiative and
9	the Board of Regents' Early Childhood
10	Workgroup blue ribbon committee, which
11	includes funding for locally based strategies
12	that strengthen communities and promote early
13	literacy.
14	Each day our CCR&R agencies help
15	families navigate the barriers to finding
16	high-quality childcare. These include high
17	cost, limited access to childcare subsidies,
18	and limited access to actual centers.
19	I wanted to reference which is not
20	in my testimony that 61 percent of
21	New Yorkers live in a childcare desert, and

that a childcare desert is defined as

community with no childcare or so few

providers that there are more than three

22

23

l children for every licensed childcare slot
--

I've spoken with Assemblymember Jaffee

about this before. I, when I had small

children, drove an hour and 15 minutes to

bring my child to a licensed childcare

center.

Another part in my testimony that I'd like to touch base or point out is testimony from a mom in Erie County. Her name is Christina. She needed to find care that opened before 7 a.m. and closed after 6 p.m., because she had to drive 20 miles a day one way. The cost of care for her, for her preschooler and her school-ager, for just summer camp, was \$11,200 a year. And this is for a single mother that made too much to receive any subsidies.

The second piece in our testimony
talks about the battles a childcare provider
is actually having: High rates of turnover,
paying staff just a little over a minimum
wage. Their staff themselves are on the
brink of financial ruin.

According to the 2017 report issued by

1	Childcare Aware of America entitled "Parents
2	and the High Cost of Care," as mentioned
3	before, New Yorkers pay an average annual
4	cost of \$15,000 a year for infant care, and
5	over \$10,000 for infant care in a family
6	childcare home program. It's unaffordable.

We are appreciative of the work that is being done and do ask for, as referenced before, the \$100 million. This would include the maintenance or the restoration of the \$7 million, which is wonderful but still is not enough. We recognize that counties regularly exhaust their childcare funding allocations and are unable to influence -- or meet the needs of new families.

We ask to reinstate the 75th percentile, to establish reimbursement rates that have been essentially flat-funded since 2015. Anecdotally, 59 percent of families who care for young children participate in some sort of public income support program. Again, this goes to the fact that we aren't able to pay our workforce enough.

We encourage you to look at the use of

1	economic development funding to reduce the
2	number of qualified families who were denied
3	subsidy, and we ask for you to take a look at
4	the child independent care tax credit for
5	maximum benefit. By adjusting this to be
6	allocated on a monthly or quarterly basis,
7	our low-income families who live paycheck to
8	paycheck might be able to receive money
9	throughout the course of the year.
10	As we begin 2018 with the Paid Family
11	Medical Leave Act, it's excellent we're a
12	leader in our nation. Parents are able to
13	stay home and bond with their children for
14	eight weeks. However, when they return to
15	work, the cost of childcare is something that
16	they cannot afford.
17	By supporting local government through
18	subsidies and more substantial tax credits,
19	New York can ensure that parents can stay
20	working while their children are learning and

growing.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide you comments.

CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 24

21

22

1	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for
3	being here.
4	Next we have Elizabeth Powers,
5	Children's Defense Fund, director of youth
6	justice, and then to be followed by Jenn
7	O'Connor, Melanie Blow, and Chris Neitzey.
8	Thank you. Go ahead.
9	MS. POWERS: Hi. Thank you for the
10	opportunity to testify this evening.
11	I want to just reiterate two things
12	that really resonated with me that I've heard
13	today. One is that balancing the budget by
14	cutting services for the most vulnerable kids
15	is unacceptable. And the second is something
16	that Senator Savino said, that kids in
17	New York City are indeed in New York State.
18	So I'm with the Children's Defense
19	Fund, and we provide an independent voice for
20	all children who cannot vote, lobby, or speak
21	for themselves. And we pay particular
22	attention to the needs of poor children,
23	children of color, and those with
24	disabilities. And we are a lead member of

1	the	Raise	the	Age	New	York	campaign.

And I'm going to speak quickly to three points that are of importance to us this year. One is regarding Raise the Age.

So we are pleased with the inclusion of \$100 million to implement Raise the Age in the Executive Budget proposal. However, for Raise the Age to be successfully implemented, it's critical that all counties, including New York City, have access to appropriate funding across the entire continuum for which young people intersect with the justice system.

And Stephanie just clearly identified the reasons that we think New York City might be in jeopardy of not receiving this funding, despite the fact they have nearly half the arrests of 16- and 17-year-olds in the state.

This includes but is not limited to funding for community-based alternatives, probation, court resources, youth facilities, with comprehensive services and programing and training for all stakeholders in the system.

1 And as a result of Raise the Age 2 taking effect, the majority of 16- and 17-year-olds will be processed through the 3 4 juvenile justice system as opposed to the 5 adult justice system. Thus the functioning of the juvenile justice system, or Close to 6 7 Home in New York City, is critical in order to absorb these older youth. 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

We're greatly alarmed at the elimination of state funding for Close to Home, New York City's juvenile justice and aftercare program. The passage of Close to Home marked a significant improvement in the way New York responded to the needs of justice system placed youth. Young people now have access to evidence-based models and programs in small home-like settings in their communities. They're able to engage with family for recreational purposes, such as what Jim mentioned, but also for therapeutic purposes like family therapy. They go to Department of Education schools every day and earn credits and take Regents exams. are things that were not possible in the

4		
1	previous	system.

And Close to Home represents an incredible improvement in the way we respond to young people, and we strongly support reauthorization of Close to Home and urge continued state reimbursement for this program.

And the third thing I'll comment on, in addition to Raise the Age and Close to Home, is the preventive funding that we've heard so much about. So while we're opposed to the cap on the child welfare services and preventative funding stream, and while this is predominantly aimed at child welfare, this stream also includes funding for preventative services for youth at risk of entering the juvenile justice system, such as alternatives to placement, which are critical to ensure that the numbers are not greatly increased when Raise the Age is implemented.

So we urge you to reject the proposed cap on preventative funding for New York City to help ensure that youth can continue to receive preventative services to keep them

1	from ever entering the justice system.
2	So just wrapping up, in conclusion, we
3	urge the adoption of a budget that includes
4	Raise the Age funding for all counties and
5	New York City, removes the proposed cap on
6	funding for prevention, reauthorizes Close to
7	Home, and restores state funding for Close to
8	Home. Thank you.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
10	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you so
12	much for being here today.
13	Is Jenn O'Connor here? I believe not.
14	Melanie Blow, Stop Abuse Campaign.
15	MS. BLOW: Thank you so much,
16	everybody, for having me here.
17	My name is Melanie Blow. I'm the
18	chief operations officer of the Stop Abuse
19	Campaign. My testimony is short, but I will
20	make it shorter.
21	Most of the things we have talked
22	about here today the Medicaid expenses,
23	foster care, homelessness, poverty,

24 et cetera, et cetera -- most of the things we

1	talk about every year are largely caused or
2	at the very least adverse childhood
3	experiences contribute to them. These are
4	10 childhood traumas after which, if somebody
5	experiences them, their life is different.
6	The ACEs affect the way a child's brain,
7	their endocrine system, their immune system,
8	their circulatory system, their DNA develop.
9	These are completely and utterly
10	life-altering traumas.
11	The good news is we can prevent them
12	to a large extent. Maternal home visiting
13	programs are our single best bet when it
14	comes to preventing these traumas. That's
15	the good news. The bad news is they're
16	available to 5 percent of eligible New York
17	families and we've been okay with that for
18	over a decade. These programs have been
19	flat-funded for over a decade.
20	We would never justify denying
21	children something that saves lives,
22	something that saves their potential,
23	something that increases their quality of
24	life throughout their life and that increases

1	their parents' quality of life throughout
2	their life, simply from lack of availability.
3	But we do this every year with maternal home
4	visiting programs.
5	These programs have been
6	flat-funded Healthy Families New York has
7	been flat-funded for more than a decade.
8	That means workers are being paid the same as
9	they were more than a decade ago. The price
10	of gas and everything else in the world is
11	not what it was a decade ago, so this is
12	horrifyingly damaging for these programs.
13	We heard how important it is for
14	traumatized children to have stability in
15	their caregivers. The families who the home
16	visitors are working with, these are those
17	same traumatized children who've grown up a
18	little bit and become parents. They need
19	that stability too.
20	We are asking for an increase in
21	overall maternal home visiting. We are

We are asking for an increase in overall maternal home visiting. We are asking for specific funding for two pilots where everyone in a specific geographic area would be able to -- every eligible parent

1	would have access. We don't have that right
2	now. But if we could get people in specific
3	zip codes, specific counties, et cetera,
4	et cetera every willing and eligible
5	parent, offer them a slot, get them going
6	it would change school districts. You would
7	see what ACE prevention looks like in real
8	time, in a cohort. No one has ever done that
9	before. We need to start.
10	As we've heard for hours and hours
11	today, New York is not doing its children
12	it's not making children priorities right
13	now. This is an incredibly significant
14	chance for us to help, to save taxpayer
15	money, to be efficient, to be intelligent,
16	and to do the right thing.
17	Any questions?
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman
19	Jaffee.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you.
21	This is very interesting and very
22	important. When services that you
23	provide is this working?
24	MS. BLOW: I can hear you.

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: The services
2	that you provide, does that include mental
3	health counseling? Psychologists?
4	MS. BLOW: The home visitor refers the
5	parents to these services. And not just
6	refers like hands a pamphlet, but can say:
7	Okay, you know, you seem like you've got
8	postpartum depression, you really should get
9	treated for it.
10	And then since this person builds a
11	long-term relationship with the parent, they
12	come back the next week and they ask: Okay,
13	how's that therapy going? Oh, you haven't
14	enrolled? Well, what's they can provide
15	the motivation, often even the
16	transportation, often even help with the
17	logistics to get them to these things. They
18	can help with the bureaucracy of insurance or
19	whatever else these families need.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Well, is there
21	also an issue regarding access to mental
22	health services? Do we have my
23	understanding is there are a very limited
24	number of psychologists, those that provide

1	mental health services in our state.
2	MS. BLOW: There are. And that is an
3	issue. And mental health professionals
4	who I mean, we talk about that like
5	they're one-size-fits-all, and it's very,
6	very different.
7	Yes, mental health care is something
8	we need more of, absolutely. And these
9	programs can only, to some extent, can only
10	function as well as the community gives them
11	the opportunity to. If there is absolutely
12	no mental health care services, then these
13	families are going to be suffering because of
14	that.
15	But even when there are services,
16	there are individual barriers to going. And
17	those barriers can often are the
18	manifestation of the mental illness itself.
19	It's the stigma. It's, Oh my gosh, I've
20	never done this before, and I'm scared.
21	Which that sounds patronizing, but that
22	really is an issue, if anyone's ever gone to

24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: What is

therapy.

1	required among those that do go to the homes?
2	What kind of do they have some
3	certification, if any?
4	MS. BLOW: I think with Healthy
5	Families New York I'll get back to you on
6	that. Health Families New York, which is the
7	biggest one, they're paraprofessionals. I
8	think everybody has a four-year degree. And
9	often, if they can, they recruit women who
10	have been through the program themselves,
11	women who have put their lives back together
12	and understand it and then they get specific
13	training in working with these women.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: I'd like to
15	follow up and have a further conversation
16	with you regarding this service, because it
17	is essential. There are so many youth that
18	are really being impacted, and they need the
19	kind of support that you're mentioning.
20	MS. BLOW: Yeah.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: So I'd like to
22	follow up.
23	MS. BLOW: Info@stopabusecampaign.
24	That's me.

1	Anyone else?
2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yes.
3	Mr. Hevesi.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: I too would also
5	like to chat with you to follow up.
6	First, I'm a big believer in the
7	two-generation programs and the
8	two-generation models
9	MS. BLOW: I can't hear you clearly.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Oh, I'm sorry.
11	<pre>I'm a wow is this better?</pre>
12	MS. BLOW: Yes.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: First, I'd like
14	to chat with you offline like Assemblywoman
15	Jaffee.
16	MS. BLOW: Absolutely.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: I'm a big
18	believer in the two-generation programs.
19	Also I have a bill about the intersection of
20	ACEs and particularly executive function
21	skill sets for kids, so we should talk about
22	that.
23	MS. BLOW: Okay. Absolutely.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVEST: And unless I'm

1	missing my guess, the intersection of ACEs
2	and social services policy is the next
3	untapped public policy area that we really
4	need to start exploring. That's the
5	ultimate preventive way to look at social
6	services. So we need to put our heads
7	together.
8	MS. BLOW: Yes. Absolutely.
9	And I agree 100 percent. The ACEs
10	the science behind ACEs has been around for
11	20 years, but our policy structures have been
12	around longer. So it's a matter of who's got
13	the more inertia, you know.
14	But yes, absolutely.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Yeah, I'd like to
16	break that inertia with you. Thank you.
17	MS. BLOW: Thank you.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
19	Thank you for being here.
20	Next we have Chris Neitzey, policy
21	director, New York State Network for Youth
22	Success. Followed by Reed Vreeland, director
23	of policy, Housing Works, followed by Gerard
24	Wallace and Ryan Johnson.

1	MR. NEITZEY: Good evening. Thank
2	you, Chairwoman Weinstein, and thank you,
3	Chairwoman Young, for the opportunity to
4	present testimony this evening.
5	I'm Chris Neitzey, policy director for
6	the Network for Youth Success.
7	I think I want to use my time and
8	I'll try to keep it briefer than five
9	minutes, but I want to use my time to focus
10	on one particular program that is an issue
11	area of ours, and that's the Advantage
12	After-School Program.
13	We are the statewide after-school
14	network, so we focus in on after-school
15	programs and summer programs. I want to, I
16	guess, back up to the commissioner of the
17	Office of Children and Family Services
18	earlier today mentioned that there was a
19	continuation of the Advantage After-School
20	funding in the budget.
21	That's not incredibly accurate. There
22	is continuation of funding; however, there is
23	a cut to that funding level from the previous
24	year's budget. Right now it's projected to

1	be a \$5 million cut from two years ago and a
2	\$2.5 million cut from last year.
3	What we're looking for in this year's
4	budget is a restoration of \$22.3 million,
5	which would be a \$5 million addition, but
6	that keeps us level with what our funding
7	level was two years ago.
8	If there is not a full restoration, we
9	will lose services, we will lose access to
10	high-quality programs in this year's
11	starting next school year.
12	While last year's budget did include
13	an addition of \$35 million for the Empire
14	State After-School program that's the
15	Governor's initiative they put out that is
16	a highly targeted program. It was only
17	available to 35 school districts in the
18	entire state. We know there's around
19	700 school districts total, so it's
20	incredibly targeted.
21	Looking at the numbers of Advantage
22	After-School programs versus the Empire
23	State-eligible areas, there's 103 out of 177

Advantage After-School Programs that are

1	located outside of the Empire State
2	After-School programs target area. That is
3	around 9100 students out of the estimated
4	16,000 that are served. So around 60 percent
5	of those students served are located outside
6	of that area.
7	So we just want to draw attention to
8	that. There are distinct discrepancies
9	between the two programs. It's not the same
10	eligible areas in the Advantage Program
11	versus the Empire State After-School Program,
12	so we just want to draw attention to that.
13	Also, Senator Krueger actually asked a
14	really good question of the OCFS
15	commissioner, looking back to doing some math
16	there around the average per-student rate for
17	those two programs: 1375 per child for
18	Advantage, 1600 for Empire State, averaged
19	out to 14-something and I think it was
20	like \$8 a day that you came up with. And you
21	asked her, Is that enough money to run a
22	high-quality program?
23	No, it's not. We estimate, using the
2.4	Wallaco Foundation it's a loader in the

1	out-of-school-time field the Wallace
2	Foundation's out-of-school-time cost
3	calculator, we come up with around \$2,300 to
4	\$4,000, depending on where you live,
5	depending on a cost-of-living adjustment to
6	those areas, as the median range for a
7	high-quality program.
8	So right now, we're funding those at
9	half the rates. We could certainly use
10	increased addition to the per-student rate.
11	However, we want to caution that if you're
12	going to raise the per-student rate, we need
13	to raise the overall funding level, because
14	what we don't want to do is cut slots for
15	children that are currently in programs.
16	So I think those are the two most
17	distinct points that we want to make, looking
18	to restore the Advantage After-School
19	Program, the \$22.3 million in this year's
20	budget, or else we will be looking at around
21	3,600 to 5,100 students at risk of losing
22	programs. And again, those are areas outside

of the current Empire State After-School

Program where those 35 school districts are

23

1	being targeted.
2	At a time like now, we should not
3	talking about taking away opportunities from
4	our children but, instead, thinking how best
5	we can support all of those children, all
6	across all different regions of our state.
7	We urge you to please restore that funding
8	for Advantage After-School in this year's
9	budget.
10	And thank you for your time. Happy t
11	take any questions.
12	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
13	Assemblywoman Jaffee?
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: You do also
15	discuss childcare subsidies and the increase
16	of subsidies, and thank you for mentioning
17	that.
18	MR. NEITZEY: Absolutely.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: We need to
20	obviously find a way to significantly
21	increase the funding for the subsidies so
22	that we have so many more of the children

being in a placement that is so significant

in terms of social skills and security as

23

1	well. And academic skills. But the parents
2	being enabled to maintain their in jobs.
3	So thank you for
4	MR. NEITZEY: Absolutely. I think
5	it's also important to remember that about a
6	third of those childcare subsidies are used
7	for school-age children. It's not just for
8	younger children.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: But if we're
10	putting them in an environment which is very
11	productive
12	MR. NEITZEY: Absolutely.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: and very
14	helpful. Thank you.
15	MR. NEITZEY: Thank you.
16	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
17	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator Krueger.
18	SENATOR KRUEGER: Hi. Thank you for
19	listening this morning.
20	MR. NEITZEY: Absolutely.
21	SENATOR KRUEGER: We were all here all
22	day the whole week.
23	So you can't really be running
24	after-school programs on an average of \$8 per

1	day. So how are you doing it? Are they
2	subsidizing with other money?
3	MR. NEITZEY: There are programs that
4	are running on that $$1,375$ that is, there
5	are programs. It's not enough.
6	SENATOR KRUEGER: Yeah.
7	MR. NEITZEY: What you are
8	sometimes those are bare-bones programs
9	because you can't afford supplies, you can't
10	afford enrichment activities to come into
11	those programs.
12	Some programs are able to charge a
13	small fee, weekly fee, if they're located in
14	an area where parents can pay. But a lot of
15	these programs are in very underserved
16	communities where the parents can't actually
17	always pay.
18	Sometimes, if you're a very fortunate
19	program, you can blend funding streams. You
20	can have Advantage programs run with
21	21st-Century Community Learning Centers
22	that's the federal funding stream that flows
23	to the state level. Some programs are able
24	to leverage multiple funding opportunities.

Τ	But that's certainly more of an exception to
2	the rule.
3	Programs are doing it I think
4	sometimes they might be subsidizing their
5	staff salaries with other parts of their
6	budgets. Maybe not so much with the
7	Advantage funding, because they blow through
8	that pretty quickly.
9	SENATOR KRUEGER: Because we want
10	after-school programs to be quality,
11	providing supplemental education and arts and
12	other things. We don't just want a bunch of
13	kids to sit in a room and watch the TV for a
14	couple of hours, right?
15	MR. NEITZEY: We agree. Absolutely.
16	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
17	MR. NEITZEY: Thank you.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Next, from
21	Housing Works, Reed Vreeland, director of
22	policy.
23	MR. VREELAND: Hello, Senators and
24	Assemblymembers. Thank you so much for

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 My name is Reed Vreeland. I work in 3 the policy department in Housing Works, and I'm someone whose mother died of AIDS. I'm 5 here today representing Housing Works -which is a provider of medical care and 6 7 services for people with HIV and AIDS, as well as housing and employment services --8 specifically to talk about one issue. And 9 10 that is HIV rental is expanding, HIV rental assistance, and the 30 percent rent cap 11 12 statewide.

> Some of you might be familiar with the fact that we have a statewide plan to dramatically decrease or end the state's HIV/AIDS epidemic by the year 2020. Two years in a row, we have a 9 percent decrease in new HIV diagnoses. But that has been driven by New York City.

> So New York City sees 9 percent, which is a historic, revolutionary thing --9 percent decrease year after year, so two years in a row. But in 2016, the rest of the state outside of New York City only saw a

l	gnoses.
---	---------

And one of the big differences we're
seeing is lack of access to HIV rental
assistance and the 30 percent cap in upstate
New York.

We are pleased to see that there is
language in the Executive Budget that would
expand the HIV rental assistance and
30 percent rent cap outside of New York City,
but there are two very big problems with the
language in the budget. One is that it's not
a mandate. It allows local social service
districts to opt out.

And the second major problem is that it caps the allowable rent at 80 percent of fair market rent, and that's 20 percent lower than anyone who needs this benefit can find an apartment with. So if you're looking for an apartment, you'll likely find a few at FMR, but I think you will have a very hard time finding anything appropriate for 20 percent below FMR.

So I want to really focus on a few things in this testimony, and I do have the

1	written	testimony	to	fill	in	the	blanks	for
2	you.							

But I do want to go back -- so for a person with HIV, housing is one of the strongest determinants of effective treatment, viral load suppression, and mortality. So people are much more likely to die of AIDS if they do not have housing.

Housing is also one of the biggest causes of racial and ethnic disparities in HIV health outcomes. So we see tremendous racial and ethnic disparities with people of color bearing the burden of the HIV/AIDS epidemic, and providing that housing support really does help reset and equalize that burden.

So outside of New York City, 3,700

people with HIV are homeless or unstably

housed. So we have HIV rental assistance in

New York City, and they do offer at fair

market rent, but if you look at the state and

see that there's a lot of space outside of

those five boroughs, and there are homeless

people outside of those five boroughs -- and

1	right now they feel very ignored, they feel
2	very hurt that there's one standard for
3	New York City and another standard for them.
4	And they feel, quite frankly, like their
5	voices are not being heard.

A peer from Rochester, Pedro, told me that being homeless felt to him like being a wild animal. And he couldn't wash, he couldn't take care of his basic needs, and he certainly could not take his HIV meds. He was homeless at 15 different times, and finally did find stable housing and was able to get back on track with his life. But many people in areas like Rochester do not have that same access to stable housing because there's such an unmet need.

Another important thing to realize is that this is actually not an unfunded mandate. So in the budget, the state Medicaid has scored -- through Medicaid savings, they can allocate \$7,000 per person. So because when somebody with HIV has housing, they're less likely to go to the emergency room and receive costly medical

Τ	care, \$7,000 per person can be taken from
2	Medicaid savings toward HIV rental
3	assistance.
4	So this money would go straight to the
5	districts' share. And since this is being
6	provided, we need to make it a mandate.
7	And we also cannot insult people with
8	HIV who are homeless and unstably housed
9	outside of New York City by saying that we're
10	going to put this in the budget, but we're
11	going to make it only 80 percent, to make it
12	basically a useless rental assistance,
13	because they're not going to be able to find
14	anything.
15	So I'm going to urge you to do two
16	things. One is to reach out to the
17	Governor's office and ask him to correct this
18	language in the 30-day amendments. Number
19	two is, if that does not happen, to make it a
20	public issue and you have people upstate
21	who will do that and put it in the
22	Assembly one-house and the Senate budgets to

24 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.

correct those two quick fixes.

1	MR. VREELAND: Thank you.
2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
3	Hevesi.
4	Sit for a moment.
5	MR. VREELAND: Sorry.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: I have one
7	substantive question.
8	MR. VREELAND: Yes, absolutely.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: So first, thank
10	you for the emotional testimony that sort of
11	puts a face on it. I appreciate it.
12	Second, I totally agree with you about
13	the opt-in. No county's going to do it,
14	there's no chance.
15	But here's the substantive question.
16	So I asked the commissioner this morning this
17	specific question about what percentage of
18	the fair market rent standard was this new
19	subsidy, and she danced a little bit. I
20	never got 80 out of her. Did you get that
21	from the budget language? Because I haven't
22	seen that.
23	MR. VREELAND: The budget language,
2.4	was actually

1	So the budget language specifically
2	says caps the allowable rent at 80 percent
3	of fair market rent, and there really is no
4	reason for that.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Yeah, I
6	MR. VREELAND: So especially because
7	the Medicaid savings will cover it, in
8	addition to the existing enhanced shelter
9	allowance.
10	So those two things together reaches
11	fair market rent in every county basically in
12	the whole state. So
13	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: I'm with you.
14	So I appreciate you bringing it to my
15	attention. I missed it, I think my guys
16	missed it, and I don't even think that the
17	fair market rent standard is a good standard.
18	I think it's incredibly low. If you only go
19	to 80 percent of that standard, nobody's
20	finding housing.
21	MR. VREELAND: Right.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: I think we're on
23	the same page. So we'll continue
24	conversations. But thank you for bringing

1	that	to	our	attention	n .
2		N	ΊR.	VREELAND:	Great

2 MR. VREELAND: Great. Thank you so

3 much.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

4 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.

5 Next, New York State Kinship

6 Navigator, Gerard Wallace, director, Ryan

Johnson, program development. I guess you're

8 one of the two.

MR. WALLACE: Committee chairs and Assembly and Senators, thank you very much for the opportunity to talk about kinship care. I'm a one-issue guy. This is the only thing I ever talk about. Some of you know that already.

I am Gerard Wallace. I'm the director of the Kinship Navigator. I've been the director since its inception 11 years ago.

For seven years prior to that, I was at Hunter College running the Grandparent Caregiver Law Center. I've worked for AARP as a consultant on this and with a number of national organizations.

With me today was Ryan Johnson, but I said, Ryan, go home, we have so little time.

1	And he would have been here to tell you some
2	stories about calls to our Navigator, but
3	please reach out to him if you're interested
4	in knowing more.

The Kinship Navigator covers all 62 counties in the state. We provide information, education, and referrals. We did 118 educational presentations last year, mainly upstate; 3,300-plus callers; and over 50,000 web views. We also do advocacy on an individual and on a state level.

Some of the work we do centers on helping families stabilize when they first take on children, and that involves telling them about the public assistance child-only grant, which is a specific grant around since 1950 that doesn't take into account the caregiver's income, it is based only on the income and the resources of children.

According to Chapin Hall, only 15 percent of eligible families in New York State are getting that grant. And we beat the national average.

24 We also help with custodial issues.

1	There are a range of ways in which kin can
2	care for children, and all of it's pretty
3	obscure law. And to try helping them to make
4	the right decision, understanding the
5	difference between their authority, their
6	security, and keeping a child, what benefits
7	there are available to them, both federal and
8	state benefits, is really a mouthful. And we
9	do all that.
10	On our website, we have extensive
11	helping tools. We have over 50 legal fact
12	sheets. Just recently, as Assemblywoman
13	Jaffee knows, we've been working on
14	deportation. Parents who are going to leave

helping tools. We have over 50 legal fact sheets. Just recently, as Assemblywoman Jaffee knows, we've been working on deportation. Parents who are going to leave the country are going to leave their children behind, and those children will become kinship children. And we're working on ways to enable them to leave children behind successfully with the least amount of court interference and the most amount of opportunity to provide for them in a good home environment.

23 Regarding the child-only grant, we
24 have extensive information on how to make out

1	the 29-page application. And there are
2	barriers to getting that grant that are
3	county-specific, and we deal with those
4	barriers and we advocate for folks to get
5	those grants in places where they run into
6	unfortunate circumstances.

Kinship care -- it refers to grandparents, aunts and uncles, family friends raising children. About 65 percent of it is grandparents. How many in this state? More than 200,000 children, according to the census data and Kids Count. How many of those kinship children are in foster care with relatives? Less than 3,400. The simple arithmetic is we may be looking at more than 295,000 children living with relatives, and they're not in foster care.

Particularly today I want to mention the heroin-opioid crisis that is really strangling upstate New York particularly.

And I brought in my testimony, which I'm really looking at pages 3, 4 and 5, some information on it for you. If you turn over to page 4, you'll see a laundry list of

1	recent articles published in national
2	publications, and I'll read you a few of the
3	headers here: Opioid crisis forces
4	grandparents to raise their grandchildren
5	you can see the rest of them. I'm looking at
6	the clock, and I'd better go faster, right?
7	All right. So based on all that, and
8	the fact that the ACE factors, Assemblyman
9	Hevesi, are very high here there's CDC
10	data saying really extraordinarily high ACEs
11	for this population we have three
12	recommendations.
13	One is the Navigator wants to focus in
14	on the 40 rural counties where there is not a
15	kinship program and perform three new duties.
16	We want to collaborate with those
17	counties so that kinship families don't fall
18	through the cracks and we don't hear "CPS
19	gave me my grandson eight years ago, this is
20	the first time I found out there's help."
21	That's a quote.
22	We want to hire an attorney to help
23	with what we can do in consultations and
24	legal presentations, and we need more help to

1 get stronger	online resources
----------------	------------------

So there's dollars in here for our program. We also hope that you would fully fund the 22 local programs covering 22 counties, mostly in municipalities. They do great work. The idea of getting a county program in every county, it's a bigger lift. So we think we're the only game in town for the remaining counties. 

Social Services Law 392. Assemblyman Hevesi, Assemblywoman Jaffee, and Senator Tedisco are looking at this. It requires the county to provide information to caregivers, but they don't do it. I'll give you a couple of facts out of the New York State American Bar Association report for child welfare workers. Only 40 percent of child welfare workers inform the way they're supposed to, and only 30 percent of the judges in Family Court ask the questions they're supposed to regarding telling caregivers about their options when a child is removed.

Regarding the public benefits, we had a recent case in Rensselaer County that went

1	to court where a caregiver received benefits
2	for two years, she received food stamps, and
3	was never informed that she was eligible for
4	this grant. She lost out on \$430 a month for
5	that child, and for folks at a poverty level,
6	that's a lot of money. It's crazy that we're
7	only at 15 percent of eligible families
3	getting that grant.

I have one more statement and I'll be done, okay? All right.

The last thing is diversion. It's a big topic, way beyond the scope of what we can talk about here. In New York State, there is a -- there are statistics from OCFS at the back, in Appendix A, showing the relative placements upstate and showing the amount that are diverted into something called direct custody. You can read about direct custody and the other ways children don't go into foster care with relatives, but sum and substance is that a significant amount of the population is not getting the services they probably qualify for.

24 Here's the last line. Thirty percent

1	of eligible 30 percent of relative in
2	New York City, 30 percent of foster care is
3	relatives. Upstate, it's 8 percent. Why?
4	We can talk about it later. Okay?
5	Thank you.
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for
7	being Senator Savino.
8	SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you. Thank
9	you, Gerry.
10	And you heard me earlier today ask the
11	commissioner about this, and she kind of
12	danced around it, but she's acknowledged in
13	my discussions with her that this is a
14	problem and that OCFS needs to crack down on
15	the counties and really get an accounting of
16	what they're doing with these young families
17	as they separate them. But you and I both
18	know that that's probably not going to happen
19	quick enough.
20	So I'm working on legislation to
21	actually direct caseworkers and social
22	workers to hand to a relative the Kinship
23	Navigator brochure, which will outline for

them any and all information that they're

1	entitled to so that these children that are
2	separated from their parents do get the
3	services that they need.
4	MR. WALLACE: We really would like to
5	see a referral to kinship programming so that
6	kids don't fall through the cracks.
7	SENATOR SAVINO: Yes. Absolutely.
8	MR. WALLACE: Thank you very much.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Hold one moment
10	for Assemblywoman Jaffee has a question.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: I want to thank
12	you, Gerry, for joining us here and for your
13	continuing work on behalf of the youth.
14	And I did also speak with the
15	commissioner today and mentioned the concern
16	about the counties not providing the
17	awareness about the possibilities of kinship
18	care, the support that's available, and also
19	foster care.
20	And so I'm hopeful that we can work
21	together, moving forward at this point with
22	the Senate, to be able to put in place some
23	language in legislation that would require
24	the counties to be more effective

1	MR. WALLACE: We've got to do a better
2	job.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: in terms of
4	the outreach. And I think that would
5	certainly be helpful, along with additional
6	funding that I know would be necessary.
7	MR. WALLACE: Thank you.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: But thank you.
9	And thank you for coming to Rockland to
10	provide that kind of assistance for the
11	families in raising awareness the
12	possibilities of them they're leaving, you
13	know, and then leaving the children here.
14	And we'll be doing more of that.
15	MR. WALLACE: Thank you very much.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you.
17	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
18	And actually a number of people had to
19	leave, so I really appreciate that Anthony
20	Wells, the president of the Social Service
21	Employees Union Local 371, has stayed with
22	us. And you have our full attention.
23	PRESIDENT WELLS: I would never leave
24	Diane, never.

1	(Laughter.)
2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Okay.
3	PRESIDENT WELLS: I've never left in
4	28 years, you know.
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: You are our
6	last speaker, but we are all ears.
7	(Laughter.)
8	PRESIDENT WELLS: I'm the only person
9	standing between myself and dinner?
10	(Laughter; cross-talk.)
11	PRESIDENT WELLS: How are you?
12	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Good.
13	PRESIDENT WELLS: Good. So it's just
14	me, right? I've got you all to myself.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: You've got us
16	all to yourself.
17	PRESIDENT WELLS: Good. I'm not
18	reading that testimony, you all can read it
19	yourself.
20	I'm Anthony Wells. I'm the president
21	of Social Services Union Local 371 18,000
22	strong. And we're Diane Savino's union.
23	Actually, I met Diane 28 years ago. I
24	was an organizer. She was driving me crazy.

```
1
            I said you -- she'd go, "Hey, you, union guy,
 2
            come here."
 3
                   (Laughter.)
                   PRESIDENT WELLS: I said, "Why don't
 4
            you get involved?" And 28 years later, here
 5
            she is with you guys.
 6
 7
                   First, let me commend you guys,
            seriously. I commend you guys for the work
 8
            that you do. We take an awful beating all
 9
10
            the time. But your concern today -- I saw
11
            the whole thing, and your concern and your
12
            real concern came across. So I really thank
13
            all of you.
14
                   Someone told you that all the
15
            stakeholders are being involved. Well,
16
            that's not quite true. Because the workers'
            voice is not being heard. We're involved
17
18
            somewhat, but not to a level that we should
19
            be.
20
                   I represent juvenile counselors,
21
            caseworkers for juvenile justice. I myself
```

started my own career in juvenile justice. I

started with the Juvenile Center back in

1980. I also worked for BCW. We represent

22

23

1	the	counselors.

You, Diane, talked earlier about the correctional officers' position on being involved with Raise the Age. We actually want to do this job. We think that our members are best qualified to do this job working with this population. They have been doing it since the establishment of juvenile justice back in 1980.

There's a couple of factors. First of all, Diane's comments were right on time in terms of these children of New York City are also the children of New York State.

There's a double standard. When we had conversations, they're making more requirements of New York City than any place else. For example, they pushed to move the kids off of Rikers Island -- it's a great push. But they're not having the same push in other facilities. For example, the ones in Valhalla, they're not being forced to move on October 1st.

New York City is not ready for this program. October 1st is not a real deadline.

1	They haven't picked a staff yet. They're
2	going to need special training. The
3	facilities, other than the one they talked
4	about in East New York, they're not ready.
5	There are two juvenile facilities we have
6	advised the city, hopefully you guys will
7	listen too you cannot commingle this
8	population, the juvenile population that you
9	already have. It is not a lot for OCFS, but
10	it's just not a practicality.
11	This population, the older population,
12	have been in a different setting, so they've
13	had different relationships. There are no
1.4	juveniles that are already in secure
15	detention.
16	So the city is working towards this,
17	but I think it's an unreal deadline. And
18	aside from the funding, I think this
19	Legislature and the Governor need to take a
20	look at the date. You don't want to
21	implement a program that's doomed to fail
22	because it is not prepared. There's no
23	programs in place. Once again, there's no

staffing. So you're going to train staff?

1	There'	s a:	n issue	about	who's	going	to
2	superv	rise	•				

Diane showed earlier about civil

service issues. Correctional officers cannot

be in ACS. ACS, when we went to Corrections

two years ago to say we would want to do this

and work with these kids while they were at

Rikers, they didn't pick our -- they said,

Oh, you know, you're not in Rikers.

Well, you know what? We're prepared.

We pride ourselves, in our local union, on
being a conscience. We care about our

members, but we also care about our

communities. Our belief is that our members

live in communities. There's no separation
of the two.

You talked about, earlier, Close to

Home. Let's talk about child welfare.

BCW -- ACS -- went through a traumatic year

and a half a year ago. You could not pick up
a paper without the agency and particularly
the workers being vilified in the paper,
whether it was elected officials, whether it
was the mayor himself.

1	And I said to them, every elected
2	official, ACS is an agency made of people.
3	Who would want that job if every day you go
4	to work, all you hear about is how horrible
5	you are? I've been in this business
6	38 years. No worker has ever killed a child.
7	No worker.
8	And over the last year, the mayor, the
9	new commissioner, the union, and other
10	elected officials some of you in this
11	room have recognized what a difficult job
12	this is. And now ACS has turned itself
13	around.
14	There's two ways to deal with
15	caseloads, folks. One, you do policies that
16	work and get rid of some of that paperwork
17	that's redundant, that was meant to cover
18	your can I say that here?
19	SENATOR SAVINO: No.
20	PRESIDENT WELLS: I can't? I can't
21	say cover your ass here? Good. You got it,
22	right?
23	And you hire more workers. On Friday,
24	I'm going to an orientation. ACS is hiring

1	this month the largest ever, 200 workers.
2	They have a high attrition rate, over
3	23 percent. And now you want to say, as they
4	turn around, cut their budget, cut the
5	services for preventive?
6	We Jim Purcell was right on time.
7	There's not even a dichotomy now between the
8	public and the private sector. We're
9	together on trying to provide the most
10	effective services to this population.
11	ACS. When the merger came to merge
12	ACS I'm done, okay? Real quick. ACS-DJJ
13	should never have happened. It should never
L 4	have happened. But it happened. And one of
15	the successful programs is Close to Home.
16	And now you want to cut that funding.
17	Doesn't even make any sense, as you heard all

So I'll say this to you, a couple of things. You guys, the elected officials, you know your responsibility. I'm not going to tell you how to do your job. You know your job. You care. Everyone here today told you how bad this is. I have faith in you to

day today.

1	understand the issue and to fight for the
2	issue. I listened to you all day. I would
3	stay here until 9 o'clock with you, because
4	it's that important.
5	We actually need to look at this and
6	take the politics out of it. I understand
7	the politics too. We're not part of that
8	game. I told the city that, I told the
9	Governor that, I'll tell you. This union has
10	no interest in being a part of that political
11	game. No one gets helped by that political
12	game. These kids are being hurt enough.
13	Raise the Age is the right thing to
14	do, but you've got to do it the right way.
15	You do not cut child welfare when they're
16	finally getting on their feet and workers are
17	beginning to understand that change is
18	coming. And you don't cut a program that's
19	successful and say that's it.
20	I thank you for your time. I do
21	appreciate it. And thank you.
22	SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you.
23	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senator Savino.
24	SENATOR SAVINO: Yes. Thank you,

1	President Anthony Wells, for sitting here all
2	day. I do appreciate it.
3	And the reason I reached out to you
4	last week is because I knew that the state
5	was on a fast track and that there was a
6	complete disconnect with the locality, after
7	having spoken to you and having spoken to
8	Elias from the corrections officers, and the
9	discussions I also had with the commissioner
10	of ACS, David Hansell.
11	The city is not ready, and
12	PRESIDENT WELLS: No.
13	SENATOR SAVINO: if this program is
L 4	going to work, the workforce has to be
15	engaged and they have to be trained and there
16	has to be a clear delineation of who's
17	responsible for what aspect of the service
18	delivery. And that is clear after listening
19	to people today, that it's just not
20	happening.
21	And then of course, as you pointed
22	out, cutting the legs out from underneath the

programs by eliminating the funding will doom

them to failure. And at the end of the day,

23

1	we're not talking about widgets, we're
2	talking about kids. Whether they're young
3	kids or whether they're teenagers or whether
4	they're young adults, we're still talking
5	about children.
6	PRESIDENT WELLS: And we all agree.
7	Like I said already, we all understand it.
8	You sit in the position to be effective. And
9	that's the real I mean, I'm you know,
10	we provided ourselves on being straight
11	talkers. You know Charles Ensley, you know
12	us. Back to you. We come here, people come
13	here because you have the power and the
14	ability to make a change.
15	And I just hope that you do what you
16	feel is right for these citizens, downstate
17	and all over the state. Because our kids are
18	our future. You can't just say it, you got
19	to show you. And do how you show it? By
20	doing things that you know work.
21	So Diane, I appreciate the
22	opportunity. I'm happy that we're I'm
23	surprised that we are the only union. We to

spend more time up here because we need to

1	put faces to our names and understand who we				
2	are. And we need to understand who you are.				
3	So thank you for the opportunity.				
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for				
5	being here. Thank you for the work that all				
6	of the members of your union do to help				
7	protect the children in our city. Thank you.				
8	PRESIDENT WELLS: We appreciate it.				
9	Thank you very much.				
10	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.				
11	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.				
12	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So this				
13	concludes the joint budget hearing on Human				
14	Services.				
15	A reminder for anybody paying				
16	attention that we have postponed tomorrow's				
17	hearing on EnCon and Agriculture to				
18	February 27th because of the weather. And				
19	we'll be reconvening the joint budget				
20	committee on Thursday, February 8th, on the				
21	subject of taxes.				
22	(Whereupon, the budget hearing				
23	concluded at 6:17 p.m.)				
24					