1	BEFORE THE NEW YORK STATE SENATE FINANCE AND ASSEMBLY WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEES	
2		
3	JOINT LEGISLATIVE HEARING	
4	In the Matter of the 2018-2019 EXECUTIVE BUDGET ON	
5	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION	
6		
7	Hearing Room B	
8	Legislative Office Build Albany, New York	ıng
9	February 27, 2018 10:06 a.m.	
10	10.00 a.m.	
11	PRESIDING:	
12	Senator Catharine M. Young Chair, Senate Finance Committee	
13		
14	Assemblywoman Helene E. Weinstein Chair, Assembly Ways & Means Committee	
15	PRESENT:	
16	Senator Liz Krueger Senate Finance Committee (RM)	
17		
18	Assemblyman Robert Oaks Assembly Ways & Means Committee (RM)	
19	Senator Thomas F. O'Mara Chair, Senate Committee on	
20	Environmental Conservation	
21	Assemblyman Steve Englebright Chair, Assembly Committee on	
22	Environmental Conservation	
23	Senator Patricia A. Ritchie	
24	Chair, Senate Committee on Agriculture	

1		Executive Budget tal Conservation
2		
3	PRESENT:	(Continued)
4		assemblyman William Magee Chair, Assembly Committee on
5		Agriculture
6		ssemblyman Daniel J. O'Donnell Chair, Assembly Committee on
7	Č	Tourism, Parks, Arts and Sports Development
8	70	
9		ssemblyman Michael J. Cusick Chair, Assembly Committee on Energy
10		enator Diane J. Savino Vice Chair, Senate Finance Committee
11		·
12		enator Elizabeth O'C. Little
13	A	ssemblywoman Patricia Fahy
14	Α	ssemblyman Robert Carroll
15	S	enator Pamela Helming
16	S	enator Brad Hoylman
17	A	ssemblyman Dan Stec
18	S	enator Elaine Phillips
19	А	ssemblyman Steven Otis
20	Α	ssemblywoman Addie Jenne
21	A	ssemblywoman Didi Barrett
	S	enator John E. Brooks
22	A	ssemblywoman Barbara S. Lifton
23	A	ssemblywoman Deborah J. Glick
24		

1	2018-2019 Executive Budget Environmental Conservation 2-27-18
3	PRESENT: (Continued)
4	Senator Todd Kaminsky
5	Assemblywoman Carrie Woerner
6	Assemblyman Fred W. Thiele, Jr.
7	Assemblyman Walter T. Mosley
8	Assemblyman Clifford W. Crouch
9	Assemblywoman Crystal D. Peoples-Stokes
10	Assemblyman William Colton
11	Assemblywoman Christine Pellegrino
12	Assemblywoman Jaime R. Williams
13	Assemblyman Ken Blankenbush
14	
15	
16	
17	LIST OF SPEAKERS
18	STATEMENT QUESTIONS
19	Basil Seggos Acting Commissioner
20	NYS Department of Environmental Conservation 9 19
21	
22	Rose Harvey Commissioner NYS Office of Barks Rosestion
23	NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation 186 193
0.4	

1	2018-2019 Executive Budget Environmental Conservation		
2	2-27-18		
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS,	Continued	
4		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
5	Richard A. Ball Commissioner		
6	NYS Department of Agriculture and Markets	236	241
7		250	211
8	John B. Rhodes Chair NYS Public Service Commission	303	309
9		303	309
10	Alicia Barton President & CEO NYSERDA	398	403
11		330	100
12	Gil Quiniones President & CEO New York Power Authority	452	459
13	-	102	100
14	Samantha Levy Policy Manager American Farmland Trust	499	504
15		100	301
16	Jessica Ottney Mahar Director of Policy The Nature Conservancy		
17	in New York	506	
18	Michael Burger Director of Conservation		
19	and Science Audubon New York	513	
20		010	
21	Robert Carpenter Administrative Director Long Island Farm Bureau	519	525
22	Tong Totana Tarm Daroua	010	020
23			
24			

1	2018-2019 Executive Budget Environmental Conservation 2-27-18		
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS,	Continued	
4		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
5 6	Drew Cavanagh Director Forest Rangers Superior Officers Association		
7	Art Perryman Board Member		
8	Jason DeAngelis Board Member	E 2 1	
9	PBA of New York State Conor Bambrick	531	
11	Director of Air and Energy Program Environmental Advocates		
12	of New York	542	
13 14	Patrick McClellan State Policy Director New York League of Conservation Voters	548	552
15 16	Erin Tobin Vice President for Policy		
17	and Preservation Preservation League of NYS	554	
18	Alison Jenkins Parks Program Director		
19	Parks & Trails New York	559	
20	Kevin Chlad Director, Government Relations The Adirondack Council	565	
22	John Bartow Executive Director		
23	Empire State Forest Products Association	572	

1	2018-2019 Executive Budget Environmental Conservation		
2	2-27-18		
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS,	Continued	
4		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
5	Neil Woodworth Executive Director and		
	Counsel Adirondack Mountain Club	577	
7	James Dukett		
9	Program Manager Adirondack Lake Survey Corp.	582	
10	Erik Kulleseid Executive Director Alliance for NYS Parks		
11	Senior Vice President Open Space Institute	586	
12	John v.H. Halsey President Peconic Land Trust	591	594
14 15	Evelyn Powers Senior Manager Interstate Environmental		
16	Commission	597	
17 18	Mark Dunlea Chairperson Green Education and		
19	Legal Fund	605	
20	Libby Post Executive Director NYS Animal Protection		
21	Federation	612	
22	Geoff Baldwin President		
23	New York Water Environment Association	614	

1	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I can't believe how
2	quiet it is in here.
3	(Laughter.)
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: This has never
5	happened in the history of hearings, so I
6	congratulate everybody.
7	(Laughter.)
8	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Good morning. I'm
9	Senator Catharine Young, and I am chair of
10	the Senate Standing Committee on Finance.
11	I'd like to welcome my colleagues,
12	especially Assemblywoman Helene Weinstein,
13	who is chair of the Ways and Means Committee
14	in the Assembly.
15	And I'll start by introducing some of
16	our colleagues who have joined us today. So
17	we have Senator Liz Krueger, who is ranking
18	member of the Finance Committee. We've got
19	Senator Tom O'Mara, chair of the Senate
20	Standing Committee on Environmental
21	Conservation. Senator Todd Kaminsky, ranking
22	member of EnCon. Senator John Brooks,
23	Senator Brad Hoylman, Senator Elaine
24	Phillips, and Senator Pam Helming.

1	Did I miss anyone?
2	Okay, Assembly?
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We're joined by
4	Assemblyman Englebright, chair of our EnCon
5	Committee; Assemblyman Cusick, chair of our
6	Energy Committee; Assemblyman O'Donnell,
7	chair of our Tourism Committee; Assemblyman
8	Otis, Assemblywoman Lifton, Assemblyman
9	Mosley, Assemblywoman Woerner, Assemblywoman
10	Barrett, Assemblywoman Glick, Assemblyman
11	Carroll, and Assemblyman Thiele.
12	And our ranker, Bob Oaks.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Yes, we've also
14	been joined by Assemblyman Crouch and
15	Assemblyman Stec.
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
17	The length of the hearing typically is
18	related to the number of pages of speakers
19	that we have. Today we have a three-pager.
20	So I would remind the speakers to summarize
21	as best as you can. Don't read your
22	testimony. And we also remind the members to
23	stay within the time limits.
24	Pursuant to the State Constitution and

1	Legislative Law, the fiscal committees of the
2	State Legislature are authorized to hold
3	hearings on the Executive Budget. Today's
4	hearing, the final of 13, will be limited to
5	a discussion of the Governor's proposed
6	budget for the Department of Environmental
	-
7	Conservation, the Office of Parks, Recreation
8	and Historic Preservation, the Department of
9	Agriculture and Markets, the New York State
10	Energy Research and Development Authority,
11	and the New York Power Authority.
12	Following each presentation there will
13	be some time allowed for questions from the
14	chairs of the fiscal committees and other
15	legislators.
16	I'd like to welcome Basil Seggos,
17	commissioner of the Department of
18	Environmental Conservation. And he will be
19	followed by the commissioner of the Office of
20	Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation,
21	Rose Harvey.
22	So welcome, Commissioner. Glad to
23	have you here today.
24	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Great to be here

1	today. Thank you. Good morning, Chairwoman
2	Young, Chairwoman Weinstein
3	(Interruption from protestors in
4	audience.)
5	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Are you on the
6	speakers list?
7	(Protestors continue.)
8	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. Please
9	take a seat. I'd like to let people know, we
10	do have a process where people are allowed to
11	speak, but you need to go through the
12	process.
13	(Protestors continue.)
14	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I apologize,
15	Commissioner, for that rude interruption.
16	And we do have a process where
17	speakers and citizens are allowed to speak.
18	That's what today is about. As I said, we
19	have three pages of people who are speaking
20	today. And I would encourage people to
21	follow the process, because it's a disservice
22	to everyone who is here to speak.
23	So Commissioner?
24	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you,

1	Chairwoman Young, Chairwoman Weinstein and
2	members of the legislative fiscal and
3	environmental conservation committees. I'm
4	Basil Seggos, commissioner of the DEC. And
5	on behalf of DEC's nearly 3,000 dedicated
6	professionals, thank you for the opportunity
7	to discuss the Governor's environmental
8	priorities for states fiscal year 2018-2019.
9	With me this year are Chief of Staff
10	Julie Tighe and Deputy Commissioner for
11	Administration Jeff Stefanko.
12	The past year has seen a dramatic
13	retreat from environmental protection at the
14	federal level. Washington is leading an
15	attack on the environment and science, and it
16	is jeopardizing the great gains we have made
17	as a nation over the last 40 years. New
18	York, by contrast, has set a high bar in
19	environmental leadership. Protecting our air,
20	water, and natural resources is critical not
21	just for safeguarding human health, but for
22	securing New York's economic future.
23	Thanks to the Legislature, our many

partners in advocacy, local government and

T	business, and governor cuomo s unwavering
2	commitment to the environment, New York is
3	providing national leadership on some of the
4	most pressing issues of our time.
5	While Washington works to decimate
6	federal funding for the environment, New York
7	is investing billions to expand renewable
8	energy, to strengthen clean water
9	infrastructure, and complete resiliency
10	projects across the state. While Washington
11	denies the existence of climate change and
12	works to scrap the Clean Power Plan, New York
13	cofounded the bipartisan U.S. Climate
14	Alliance and is committed to meeting our
15	share of the emissions targets. While
16	Washington rolls back water and air
17	protections, New York is regulating emerging
18	contaminants, suing the federal government
19	for upwind ozone emissions, and holding
20	polluters accountable.
21	2017 was another extraordinary year at
22	DEC. We continued our aggressive response to
23	water contamination, most notably in Hoosick
24	Falls, Petersburgh, Newburgh, and across

1	Long Island. In Newburgh alone, we spent
2	nearly \$50 million in response to the
3	Department of Defense's PFOS contamination
4	and have recently completed construction of a
5	massive water filtration plant which will
6	protect the city's drinking water.

Having stepped in when the federal government has failed to do so, it is now their responsibility to reimburse the state for our efforts to protect public health.

And our jointly created Drinking Water

Quality Council is developing drinking water standards for emerging contaminants such as PFCs and 1,4-dioxane.

Our first responders worked to protect life and property across the state and beyond. Forest Rangers conducted 346 rescue missions. Environmental Conservation Officers responded to more than 26,000 calls, issued over 22,000 tickets, and conducted dozens of investigations into environmental crimes. We responded to record flooding on Lake Ontario, and helped those outside our borders impacted by fires and hurricanes,

1	including	in	Puerto	Rico,	Florida,	Texas,
2	Montana, a	and	Califor	rnia.		

Working with you, we secured

generationally significant funding to protect

water through the \$2.5 billion Clean Water

Infrastructure Act. In year one alone, we

provided \$255 million in new grants and

\$527 million in low-cost financing from the

EFC to help local governments fund 169

projects. And these are transformational

projects in communities.

We dedicated another \$87 million just for water quality protection, including land acquisition and salt storage. And we just announced \$15 million for the first year of the septic system replacement program to support projects in 31 counties across the state.

We launched a community air monitoring program in the South End of Albany to identify opportunities to reduce air pollution at the Ezra Prentice Homes. We will soon be expanding this model to other communities across the state.

1	We're fighting for a complete cleanup
2	of GE's PCBs in the Hudson River. EPA must
3	ensure that the dredging project is
4	protective of human health and the
5	environment. Our own data has shown that the
6	job is far from done, and the Governor and
7	Attorney General have pledged to sue the EPA
8	if they deem the dredging project complete.
9	The Governor's 2018-2019 budget
10	continues his strong environmental legacy.
11	This year marks the 25th anniversary of the
12	Environmental Protection Fund, a
13	transformational program. The budget again
14	proposes a \$300 million EPF, the third year
15	in a row at this record level.
16	Investments from the EPF protect water
17	sources, help forests and farms remain
18	resilient, monitor air pollution in urban
19	neighborhoods, provide access to public lands
20	for fishing and hunting, address
21	environmental concerns, help businesses
22	become more sustainable, and battle invasive
23	species all while supporting thousands of
24	jobs and billions of dollars in economic

1	activity.
---	-----------

The budget continues the \$100 million a year Superfund program, which among many things will allow us to continue to address the Grumman plume in Long Island and dozens of other priority sites around the state.

The budget also continues the Clean Water Infrastructure Act to help our communities upgrade aging infrastructure, and it also prioritizes a \$20 million investment for the Niagara Falls wastewater treatment plant.

The budget advances the Governor's \$65 million program to combat harmful algal blooms, or HABs. These are becoming more frequent and intense, so we're launching an initiative to aggressively combat HABs that threaten drinking water and recreation on our upstate lakes and waterways.

New York set the most aggressive climate change goals in the country -- a 40 percent reduction in greenhouse gas emissions by 2030, and an 80 percent reduction by 2050. The Clean Energy Standard requires us to obtain 50 percent of our

1	energy from renewables by 2030. And we're
2	conducting an in-depth study with NYSERDA on
3	how to reach 100 percent renewables. Our
4	sister agencies are making record investments
5	in clean energy programs.

And at DEC, we will be expanding our RGGI to include "peaker" power plants, and shortly we will be proposing regulations to end the use of coal as a power source in New York.

DEC is also working with NYSERDA and DOT on a transformational plan to reduce emissions from the transportation sector, the largest source of greenhouse gas emissions.

Armed with the best ideas from business, local government, and advocates, DEC will be investing \$127 million in the Volkswagen settlement proceeds in ways that will accelerate that transformation.

The budget proposes an organic waste recycling program to keep food scraps out of landfills and divert food to those in need.

And we want to modernize the forest tax law to protect privately owned forestland and

1	open	space	and	promote	the	wood	products
2	indus	stry.					

Adventure NY, a multiyear campaign to connect more New Yorkers and visitors to the great outdoors. In its first year, Adventure NY had notable ribbon cuttings and groundbreakings across the state, such as at the Five Rivers center, the Salmon River fish hatchery, Frontier Town, and many other locations in the Catskills, Adirondacks, and statewide.

To support these initiatives, DEC's budget for the coming year recommends state operations appropriations of \$447.8 million and a capital budget totaling \$796.4 million. The budget maintains DEC staffing levels at 2,945 employees. This commitment will enable DEC to continue building a stronger, more resilient state.

Every day, DEC is on the front lines of protecting public health and the environment. While Washington is polarized on so many issues, here in New York our

1	strong partnerships and our commitment to
2	results are cause for optimism. I know we
3	will succeed because with you, over the last
4	seven years, we have been doing just that.
5	Once more, I'd like to thank the
6	members of the committee for your time today
7	and I'd be happy to answer your questions.
8	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you,
9	Commissioner, for that.
10	So the Executive Budget proposes to
11	maintain spending for the Environmental
12	Protection Fund at \$300 million, but there
13	are some changes. So I'd like to ask for
14	your input on those changes.
15	First of all, within the solid waste
16	account, there is \$300,000 in additional
17	funds for the pesticide database. So what
18	exactly is the purpose of those funds? How
19	would those be used?
20	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: The pesticide
21	database actually is currently six different
22	databases, and we need to transition that
23	into a single database. So we anticipate
24	spending that additional \$300,000 in effect

1	to synchronize the six databases. Keep the
2	program going, but make it more efficient
3	through a better IT system.
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I know there's been
5	an issue in the past about pesticides not
6	being approved quickly by the state, even
7	though they may be safer than ones that
8	already are being used. Would this help
9	streamline that process?
10	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: It will help
11	streamline the process. I think the more
12	time we can spend reviewing applications, the
13	less time we spend managing information
14	between lots of different databases. It will
15	make us more efficient. So I anticipate that
16	will help us, yes.
17	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER TIGHE: I
18	actually want to point out that we've already
19	gone through a process where we've leaned
20	that program, and we have not heard
21	complaints of late at all about registration
22	issues here in New York, because we've

greatly reduced the amount of time it takes

us to undertake those reviews.

23

1	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Good. Thank you.
2	There also is a million dollars in
3	additional funds for environmental justice,
4	community impact and job training grants.
5	Could you tell us about that?
6	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, the
7	Governor last year announced a commitment to
8	enhancing job creation, particularly in the
9	environmental justice sector. The EPF is a
10	powerful tool for that.
11	This year we propose to expand that,
12	adding job training capabilities within
13	environmental justice communities. It's a
14	million extra dollars over where we were last
15	year on this.
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay, thank you.
17	The natural resources damages program
18	is being cut by \$1.2 million. With the
19	significant number of flooding incidents,
20	does that seem like the right thing to do at
21	this time?
22	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, the
23	natural resource damage account really is
24	designed to support natural resource damage

1	assessments, which is a function of
2	contaminated site assessments. We have been
3	spending money out of that account at a
4	pretty healthy clip over the last few years,
5	largely to explore the damages associated
6	with the GE PCBs damages in the Hudson River.
7	We propose a reduction in that line this year
8	because we are nearing the very end of the
9	19-year assessment of damages.
10	NRD funds cannot be spent on damages
11	associated with, you know, severe weather,
12	extreme storms, flooding. It's really
13	dedicated to assessing damages based on
14	contamination.
15	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay, thank you.
16	There also is a and this is a
17	separate account. This is Parks and
18	Recreation. So there's a decrease of
19	\$2.5 billion for zoos, botanical gardens and
20	aquariums. It's pretty huge. Why is that in
21	there?
22	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, the EPF
23	the Governor has made a commitment to the EPF
24	to remain at \$300 million indefinitely. Many

1	of the lines change year to year. The ZBGA
2	line, just for reference, was at I think \$9
3	million back in 2010. We boosted up to 15,
4	we pulled it back to 12. It's not a
5	reflection of our commitment to zoos and
6	botanical gardens, merely that we're moving
7	dollars around to achieve to support
8	various projects within other lines.
9	So I would expect this to change year
10	to year, but our commitment remains strong to
11	zoos.
12	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. I
13	apologize, there was a typo on my sheet, so
14	it's not billion, it's million. I thought
15	that was wrong.
16	Within the Open Space account, there's
17	an additional \$3.6 million for the oceans and
18	Great Lakes initiative. And why do we have
19	this increase in there?
20	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: So we're doing a
21	few things through oceans and Great Lakes.
22	The Governor announced last year a shellfish
23	initiative. We are launching a few new
24	shellfish sites within our coastal waters.

Some of the funds will be used to boost that program.

We're also looking at combating HABs across a wide region. Harmful algal blooms, as you know, as I mentioned in my testimony, has expanded as a problem here in New York, partly because -- well, we're looking now, but the climate may be making conditions worse. Those funds from the oceans and Great Lakes line will enable us to address these problems at a series of waterways across the state.

In addition, we have a 10-year Ocean Action Plan that we set a few years ago, about two years ago, the first 10-year Ocean Action Plan. Some of the projects we've identified under that plan need funding, and that's what these funds would go towards.

CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.

And also I'd like to ask about the climate change account. So there's a \$400,000 allocation for the Cornell Soil Health Program that has been eliminated. And with the current focus on climate resiliency

1	and adapting to extreme climate events, this
2	seems like it may not be the appropriate time
3	to eliminate this line. So what is the
4	justification for the decrease?
5	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: I would
6	recommend humbly that you raise that with the
7	Ag & Markets commissioner, Commissioner Ball,
8	when he is up here. That's obviously an
9	important program, it has been an important
10	program for us, but he's more equipped to
11	answer the change.
12	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay. Thank you.
13	Just switching over to the food waste
14	section. And the Executive proposes language
15	to require high-volume food waste generators
16	to divert excess food to food banks, animal
17	feed operations, anaerobic digesters, or
18	other composting and organics recycling
19	facilities.
20	So we've heard a lot of feedback from
21	different businesses such as supermarkets,
22	restaurants, higher education institutions,
23	hotels, food processors, correctional
24	facilities, sports or entertainment venues,

1	hospitals and other healthcare facilities.
2	And this proposal would be implemented at the
3	same time the minimum wage is being
4	increased. And the Governor in his State of
5	the State proposed public hearings to examine
6	industries and evaluate the possibility of
7	ending minimum-wage credits in the state.
8	Do you have any concern that this may
9	put too much pressure on the food and
10	restaurant industry, especially in the
11	upstate regions of the state?
12	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, the
13	program that we proposed here, the organics
14	recycling program, has several very important
15	benefits. First, we throw away about 40
16	percent of our food. Right? That ends up
17	largely in landfills. Much of that can be
18	and should be diverted towards those who are
19	hungry.
20	When it ends up in landfills, when
21	food ends up in landfills, it not only takes
22	up space in a landfill, it is a powerful

greenhouse gas and can have negative impacts

on our environment.

23

1	The program we proposed, and have been
2	working on this now for several years, takes
3	into account the concerns we heard last year
4	from the regulated industry. We heard that
5	they want more flexibility in the program, we
6	heard that they had concerns about the cost
7	of the program up-front. So what we have put
8	forward is a three-year phase-in, with
9	investments up-front to help the industry get
10	off its feet.

I believe and I think we believe as an agency that if this is done right -- I think the legislation will do it correctly -- if this is done right, we will end up saving businesses money. NYSERDA has done a study detailing the benefits of enhanced organics collection, which demonstrates that once the collection industry is up and running, they can save as much -- the businesses can save as much as half over their current tipping fees.

Mind you, businesses like restaurants, like supermarkets have to dispose of that waste anyway. We want to do it in such a way

1	that their costs are less and there's a
2	benefit to the food that doesn't go eaten.
3	Part of that is through anaerobic digestion,
4	some of it can be diversion towards those in
5	need, those who are hungry, basically keeping
6	as much of it out of landfills and we've
7	proposed several programs to help offset some
8	of the up-front costs of that over the coming
9	years.
10	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: And I agree with

CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: And I agree with the concept to better manage food waste, I'm just concerned about the mandates. And you said this would be phased in over three years?

COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: It would be phased in over three years. So the mandate wouldn't come until 2021.

And we've also created a waiver system. So an institution has to produce greater than two tons per week of waste, so that's the first criteria. The second criteria is they need to be within 40 miles of a collection facility. If they are not within 40 miles of a collection facility, or

1	for whatever reason if the costs of getting
2	that food waste to the collection facility
3	are greater than the benefits to them, then
4	they can apply to us for a waiver, and we can
5	issue those year after year.
6	So we anticipate the program itself
7	ramping up over three years and then
8	providing fail-safes to businesses so that
9	they're not unduly burdened over that time.
10	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay, thank you.
11	Have you talked to other states?
12	Because in Vermont the Legislature there is
13	looking to roll back the 2020 organics
14	diversion mandate that that state put into
15	place. So are you talking to other states
16	about how they've experimented with this and
17	what the outcomes are?
18	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: We have talked
19	to other states. We've talked to Vermont,
20	Massachusetts, and a few other states that
21	have mandates.
22	I'm going to have Julie answer some of
23	the work that we've done on our outreach.
24	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER TIGHE: So with

1	Vermont, Vermont is actually phasing in so
2	that it gets down it started at the
3	2-tons-per-week generator, and it's been
4	gradually ramping down to one ton per week,
5	to 500,000 pounds per week, until ultimately
6	we get to the individuals.
7	So we are not looking at that kind of
8	a phase-in the way Vermont is. So I'm not
9	aware that they're actually looking at
10	phasing out the 2 tons per week. That's been
11	moving along fairly well, from what I
12	understand from our discussions with them.
13	And certainly in Massachusetts we're
14	also seeing great progress on that front.
15	And folks from Massachusetts participated in
16	stakeholder meetings that we held through the

also seeing great progress on that front.

And folks from Massachusetts participated in stakeholder meetings that we held through the fall with folks, as we made some adjustments and talked to stakeholders about how we would be implementing some of these programs, to provide assurances based on their experience there.

22 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.

I'll come back. But we've been joined by Senator Savino and Senator Betty Little.

1	Assembly?
2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We've been
3	joined by our Ag chair, Assemblyman Magee, by
4	Assemblywoman Fahy, and Assemblyman Colton.
5	And to our EnCon chair, Assemblyman
6	Englebright, for some questions.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Thank you
8	very much.
9	Good morning.
10	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Good morning.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: I have a
12	couple of questions.
13	The Conservation Fund, your Executive
L 4	Budget shifts funding for Environmental
15	Conservation Officers out of the Conservation
16	Fund into the General Fund. Why? Why is
17	this necessary?
18	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, we want to
19	keep the Conservation Fund in a good
20	financial place.
21	Maybe, Jeff, do you want to handle
22	some of the concepts behind the shift?
23	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER STEFANKO: Sure.
24	There's a structural deficit currently in the

1	Conservation Fund with revenues not equaling
2	expenditures and meeting the costs of the
3	fund, which increase every year due to
4	contractual increases, fringe benefit
5	increases every year.
6	So we're shifting costs over to make
7	sure the fund stays solvent.
8	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER TIGHE: I want
9	to emphasize it doesn't reflect any reduction
10	in our commitment to our Fish and Wildlife
11	Program. This happens, you know, reasonably
12	commonly.
13	In general, the expenses associated
14	with those programs always have exceeded what
15	the Conservation Fund supports. And it's
16	always been supported by other funds,
17	including federal funds and the General Fund.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Okay, thank
19	you.
20	Regarding your staffing, the staffing
21	level currently is 2,945 full-time
22	equivalents. Just a few years ago, the
23	number was closer to 4,000. Yet the
24	responsibilities of the agency increase each

1	year. So do you need more personnel?
2	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, you're
3	right that we took a cut in the late 2000s.
4	Since the Governor's been in office,
5	staffing levels have slightly increased at
6	DEC. I think if you look at where we are as
7	a state this year from our budgetary
8	perspective, with a nearly \$4.5 billion
9	deficit, the fact that DEC is being given a
10	constant staffing level is encouraging. It's
11	a reflection of the Governor's commitment to
12	the environment, a reflection of the
13	Governor's commitment to my agency.
14	And I will tell you this. Since I've
15	been in this chair now for two and a half
16	years, I have felt our burdens increase.
17	Right? We have over this period experienced
18	an enormous awakening in New York,
19	nationally, on water infrastructure. We are
20	seeing the impacts of climate change. We now
21	have, in my view, a hostile administration in
22	Washington on environmental issues.
23	Everything that is from our core
24	mission to some of these expanding issues, we

1	have been able to meet the challenges that
2	have come to us. And I credit my staff for a
3	few things. First of all, their creativity,
4	their willingness to work hard, and their
5	willingness to break down barriers between
6	divisions, barriers between agencies. That
7	was a big thing when the Governor first took
8	office back in 2011, was breaking down the
9	silos between the agencies. And I think we
10	did that very well. What came next was
11	breaking down the barriers within agencies.

And based on the priorities I've set for the agency, particularly on things like drinking water, contaminated sites and the like, we've found ways to become faster, more efficient, more aggressive, leaning forward, sending the message out that the cop is on the beat, we're holding polluters accountable.

And finding ways to work really well with our partners at the Department of Health and other agencies, frankly. We have a very robust rapid response team on some of the issues that arise in the papers that you read

l about	•

And we're becoming more efficient

internally. We're just -- we're using our IT

infrastructure smarter, we're finding ways to

lean processes.

So as an agency, I feel like we're in a very strong position right now to meet any challenge that comes to us, and I'm confident we can carry that out.

ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: One of the concerns I have relating to staffing is a very specific one. It's my understanding that the Region 1 land specialist, who was so very helpful to our citizens who had been traumatized and had suffered so many property damages due to Superstorm Sandy, as well as our ongoing land acquisition needs in an area where open space is diminishing dramatically, that that position is about to become vacant.

So I would just ask you to make a note of how important that is and attempt to refill it rather than leave it vacant, in order to maintain our maintenance of effort.

24 COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Certainly will.

1	I completely agree with you, it's a very
2	important area to protect land down there,
3	not just for the sake of setting aside land,
4	but also for the resiliency purposes. So I
5	agree.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: The
7	resiliency as well. Thank you for making
8	that notation.
9	One of the concerns I have regarding
10	the maintenance of effort is the spending
11	levels. Last year we were advertised as
12	having \$300 million in the EPF; \$217 million,
13	however, was proposed to be spent. That's in
14	the current fiscal year. And for next year,
15	again, the large type says: Look, see, we
16	still have \$300 million committed but the
17	actual spending level is projected to be
18	\$232 million.
19	Is this a reflection of your ability
20	to maintain effort with fewer and fewer
21	resources? What are we looking at? And is
22	this indeed related to staffing?
23	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Right. I
24	just to be clear, we expect to spend more

than what we've spent so far. We obviously

have a few months left in the fiscal year, a

month and a half left in the fiscal year, so

we anticipate spending a great deal more than

the books currently reflect.

I would say that spending it in some cases takes time. It is a contracting process, particularly when we're making grants. Pushing that money out the door is a top priority for me, that those monies -- a dollar in the EPF is \$7 in the community.

The Governor has encouraged me to do all we can to make those dollars quickly available.

I have no reason to believe that the pace of spending won't continue to grow and meet the \$300 million expectation over the next few years.

ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: We have a concern -- you heard Senator Young speak to the ZBGA reduction of \$2.5 million that's in this proposed budget. Land acquisition is also projected to be cut \$6 million, from \$36 million last year. And I'm led to believe they're not making land anymore, so this is a

4		
1	serious	concern.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 And I just want to mention that on 3 both of these programs, the benefits are dramatic and permanent. The ZBGA program is 4 5 the gateway or the portal for most of the families and children in the state to learn 6 7 about the environment and to gain an appreciation for wildlife in a structured 8 learning environment. So cutting that is to 9 10 essentially cut the constituency for your 11 agency. 12 So I would just caution you, as you 13 14 that you're hearing this from both sides of 15

think about this and we go into negotiations, that you're hearing this from both sides of this podium. And it's a concern that I hope you have a chance to reflect on as we perhaps have an opportunity to modify and improve the budget as it might look in its final form.

COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Can I make a point about land acquisition?

ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Surely.

COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Okay. So I want to assure the body here that we remain steadfastly committed to land acquisition.

1	We match up land acquisition to
2	dollars based on the Open Space Plan, which
3	has statewide focus. And we approach
4	projects in land acquisition based on what's
5	achievable in a given year and what we know
6	we can get through in terms of contracting,
7	OAG and comptroller review.

Those numbers will fluctuate year to year, based on that plan. So \$30 million

EPF, it may look like a cut -- we were at 35,

36 last year -- but we also have this year a

\$10 million Pittman-Robertson money which we intend to push out. And through the Clean

Water Infrastructure Act, last year we spent

\$15 million on land acquisition. So we're actually well over \$50 million in terms of land acquisition, which is much more than what the EPF has provided to us over the last few years.

I just want to reassure you that we remain committed to it. There are different mechanisms to get those dollars into projects. But as a grand total, as a reflection of the agency's commitment, that

1	remains very strong.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Thank you.
3	I have a concern that is a whole
4	ecosystem issue that meets with economic
5	concerns. Recently you received a letter
6	from three of our colleagues Senator
7	LaValle, Assemblyman Thiele, and
8	Assemblyman Palumbo sent you a letter asking
9	regarding the Oysterponds Shellfish Company
10	in Southold asking for an evaluation a
11	reevaluation of what appears to be a closure
12	of an area that has become enormously
13	productive.
14	On a whole ecosystem scale, our
15	shellfish of course is an initiative that the
16	Governor has rightly focused on and begun
17	making discretionary investments into. And
18	it just seems incongruous to me for a shadow
19	to fall over this very successful
20	shellfishing operation.
21	My three colleagues have pointed the
22	way toward a solution for this, which would

be to change the sampling station. I don't

want to get into the weeds on this here

23

1	today, but I do want to mention how important
2	I think their communication to you is and ask
3	that we have an opportunity to reevaluate and
4	perhaps discuss this further, not just you
5	and I but with the other members who signed
6	the letter. Would that be possible?
7	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Absolutely.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Okay, thank
9	you.
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
11	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: But I sorry,
12	I just wanted to make one point on that.
13	We're obviously in terms of the
14	water quality itself, we want the shellfish
15	industry to remain vibrant in New York State.
16	It needs to remain vibrant, obviously, in
17	areas where the water quality can support it.
18	And we have some concerns about the water
19	quality in Orient Harbor, being able to
20	the testing being able to justify keeping the
21	beds open.
22	But I absolutely will continue to work
23	with you and your fellow members on it. We
24	want to find a solution to it. Sometimes

1	getting a new sampling point can take time.
2	The FDA requires a three-year period. But I
3	get your point, and I certainly have
4	understood and appreciate the position that
5	the oyster farmer's in.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Thank you
7	very much.
8	Let me return the microphone to the
9	chair.
10	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
11	Senator O'Mara.
12	SENATOR O'MARA: Thank you,
13	Chairwoman.
14	Good morning, Mr. Seggos,
15	Commissioner.
16	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Senator.
17	SENATOR O'MARA: Thanks for being
18	here. Appreciate your input on this. Got a
19	few issues I'd like to cover here.
20	First, the budget calls for many
21	deferrals of tax credits in a variety of
22	areas, and the environmental conservation
23	budget is not exempted from that. We're
24	proposing to delay tax credits on brownfield

1	projects, on electric vehicle charging
2	stations, just to name a couple.
3	How are we going to move forward with
4	these programs without these credits? I
5	think I have a great deal I know I have a
6	great deal of concern, and I think a lot of
7	my colleagues do, on the impact in general
8	with these deferrals to New York State's
9	credibility as a whole.
10	Whether it's to an economic
11	development project or brownfields cleanup
12	projects, we're hurting our credibility with
13	these deferrals. We did it years ago with
14	the Empire Zone program. It took a long time
15	to recover from that.
16	What's your reaction to that concern?
17	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, I
18	appreciate your input on that.
19	So we obviously have to find a way to
20	balance a significant budget. We have a
21	budget deficit. And the thought on the
22	deferrals is while this is an issue at Tax &
23	Finance, not specifically at DEC, it

obviously touches an important DEC program.

1	The deferrals are meant to be
2	short-term in nature. Over a certain
3	threshold, the tax credits that are
4	attainable by the developer will be deferred
5	for three years.

The brownfields tax credit pool, as a percentage of the total pot of deferments, is about 15 percent, so it is a significant number. And we have to find ways to reduce spending over these three years.

I hear your point. We will ensure that we share that with Tax & Finance and the Division of Budget. But I am -- I'm not in any way concerned that the program itself is somehow weakened. We've done, thanks to your help and the help of this body, great work in reauthorizing the brownfields tax credit program. That's been running very successfully. The reforms have worked, I believe they are working. We're seeing better numbers out of that. We certainly will continue that work on our end and look to address any of the concerns that the Legislature has on that.

1	SENATOR O'MARA: So a project, a
2	current brownfield project that's underway
3	right now, the developer is going to have to
4	wait three years to get their tax credits
5	under this proposal, correct?
6	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Only over the \$2
7	million. If they the \$2 million
8	threshold. So if there are tax credits over
9	\$2 million, then they'd have to wait for
10	three years for those particular credits.
11	Everything under \$2 million is
12	SENATOR O'MARA: After that three
13	years, when will they be paid out?
14	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: They're paid out
15	over I believe it's the course of a two or
16	three-year period, right?
17	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TIGHE: A
18	three-year period.
19	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Yeah, a
20	three-year period. So it's a three-year wait
21	and then a three-year payout. Over
22	\$2 million.
23	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER TIGHE: And
24	that's per taxpayer. Which oftentimes with

Τ	the brownfretus program, it's incs who are
2	participating, so it may not be an individual
3	corporation, but it would be a number of
4	taxpayers who that would be divided amongst.
5	It's \$2 million per taxpayer, is my
6	understanding.
7	SENATOR O'MARA: That's the threshold,
8	\$2 million per taxpayer? So if there's
9	multiple individuals in an LLC
LO	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TIGHE: I believe
11	so.
12	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: That's right, I
13	think so, yes.
L 4	SENATOR O'MARA: If there's 10
15	individuals, it's a \$20 million threshold?
16	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER TIGHE: I
17	believe so. But we'd have to confirm that
18	with Tax & Finance.
19	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: We'll talk to
20	Tax & Finance for that.
21	SENATOR O'MARA: Yeah, could you get
22	back to me on that, please?
23	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TIGHE: Yeah.
24	SENATOR O'MARA: With regards to the

1	electric vehicle chargers, the state put in a
2	pretty aggressive program to install 2,000
3	chargers by 2020. We had a goal in the state
4	to have 3,000 installed by the beginning of
5	this year. It was 1300 chargers short,
6	nearly 50 percent short.
7	How do we achieve our goals in this
8	important area by deferring these credits
9	that will further delay implementation of
10	these charging stations?
11	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Senator, I might
12	ask you to raise that with NYSERDA. I am not
13	familiar with the details on that particular
14	deferral.
15	SENATOR O'MARA: Okay. I will do
16	that.
17	The next area I'd like to get into is
18	the harmful algal blooms and the decision by
19	the department and the administration to
20	choose 12 lakes. Obviously, when you choose
21	12 lakes out of the many lakes that we have,
22	there are many more lakes that are
23	disgruntled and unhappy about not being

chosen.

1	Being a representative from the
2	Finger Lakes, you know, we're very concerned
3	about the work that's been ongoing by groups
4	around every one of the lakes in regard to
5	this. Cayuga Lake was chosen; Seneca Lake,
6	Keuka Lake, Canandaigua Lake were not chosen.
7	We had a conference on this a week ago,
8	myself with Julie and Venetia from the
9	Governor's office.
10	But I'm still unclear on how that
11	selection process went. Why was one body of
12	water chosen over another? Just Seneca as an
13	example, the largest of the Finger Lakes,
14	has you know, Seneca Lake Pure Waters
15	Association has done tremendous work in this
16	regard. There's a Finger Lakes Regional
17	Watershed Alliance. There's a Finger Lakes
18	Institute. There's a Federation of Lake
19	Associations.
20	You know, why was Seneca Lake left off
21	of this?
22	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, I want to
23	disabuse you of the premise that Seneca Lake
24	was left off the radar screen. Okay?

1	The Governor came up with this very
2	ambitious plan to dedicate enhanced resources
3	to 12 priority water bodies. And what we
4	tried to do in selecting these 12 water
5	bodies was look regionally and try to pick 12
6	that are in some way, shape or form different
7	from one another. Because providing a
8	long-term solution to those 12 lakes
9	inherently requires us to go out and do
10	science enhanced testing of the lakes,
11	watershed characterization and develop
12	almost a type of lake with the types of
13	problems that impact it and determine how
14	those kinds of problems are creating blooms
15	on the lake.

Every single lake we have found -
there's about 150 lakes in New York State -
lakes and rivers that have been impacted by

algal blooms, they're all different in a way.

There's some different causes. Some are in

nutrient-rich watersheds. Some have been

whacked by massive storms, like last summer

on Skaneateles Lake. Several lakes, we would

fear for them becoming impacted by HABs, like

1 Lake George.

24

2 So we try to take representative 3 samples of types of lakes, apply enhanced 4 science, and do some sort of no-regrets 5 spending on those lakes to fix problems, while at the same -- and that's the 6 7 \$65 million proposal -- while at the same time remaining as aggressive as we have been 8 9 on all of the other lakes that have had 10 problems, including, in particular, the 11 Finger Lakes, the ones you mentioned. 12 We had created a couple of years ago, 13 right after I started, the Finger Lakes Water 14 Hub, which we launched specifically because 15 of the HABs problem, that looks at all of the 16 Finger Lakes all at once. We have enhanced monitoring now going on in all the Finger 17 18 Lakes as a result of that. We're boosting 19 monitoring stations. And we're helping 20 community groups on those lakes. You 21 mentioned Seneca Lake Pure Waters 22 Association. There are other community groups on other lakes, various land trusts. 23

We're helping them get to the point of

1	developing long-term plans, if long-term
2	plans don't exist, and also compete for
3	funding.

The Clean Water Infrastructure Act that you all helped us enact last year has given us resources that we never had. We've had the EPF, which has been very helpful.

The Clean Water Infrastructure Act on the land acquisition line is enabling us to spend money all across the state on waterways that are impacted, like the ones you mentioned.

So I fully expect that work to continue aggressively. We will not be taking our eyes off the ball on Seneca Lake or Keuka or any of the other ones that are impacted. And if something happens in those lakes, we will parachute in and attempt to fix the problems.

And I will say that lakes that are impacted by HABs are probably going to be in a very good place to compete for the state funding that we have available. But we'll continue to work with your office on anything that comes up.

1	SENATOR O'MARA: What was the source
2	of that 65 million?
3	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: It's a
4	combination of EPF and Clean Water
5	Infrastructure Act funding.
6	SENATOR O'MARA: And so the lakes
7	that well, before I get to that, the
8	12 lakes that you're studying now, what's
9	your timeline on process? When do you think
LO	you're going to be through this at least
11	the planning stage and going to implement
12	things and hopefully see some results of
13	what's worked and what hasn't?
14	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: It's funny you
15	mention that. Right now the Governor is in
16	New Paltz convening a HAB summit that I would
17	otherwise be at but for today's fun here in
18	Albany.
19	SENATOR O'MARA: You'd rather be
20	there.
21	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: I would much
22	rather be there, of course.
23	(Laughter.)
24	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER TIGHE: He of

1	course would rather be here before you,
2	Senator.
3	(Laughter.)
4	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: We're doing four
5	HAB summits in a very short period of time.
6	So the first one today, the next one is in
7	Syracuse focusing on that's the 5th or
8	6th, I believe, focusing on the Finger Lakes,
9	then we go west, Western New York, and then
10	North Country.
11	All of those summits are designed to
12	bring actual experts to the table, not just
13	talk but experts, to give us their
14	perspectives on how to fix problems
15	understanding that each lake is different,
16	how do you fix the lake. We'll convene those
17	summits, get expert reports done and actually
18	projects underway hopefully this year, this
19	summer. So it's designed to be a fast
20	process, and we want to be ready for the
21	summer's HABs problems.
22	SENATOR O'MARA: Okay. Thank you.
23	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.

1	Assembly?
2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman
3	Lifton.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LIFTON: Thank you very
5	much.
6	Good morning, Mr. Seggos.
7	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Good morning.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LIFTON: Do I ask you or
9	NYSERDA about tracking progress on climate
10	goals?
11	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Tracking
12	progress on our emissions targets? I would
13	encourage you to talk to NYSERDA about that.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LIFTON: Okay, I will do
15	that.
16	Let me pick up quickly on the HAB
17	issue. I guess we're going to have to decide
18	on pronunciation. You're saying HABs; out in
19	the boonies out where I live, we're saying
20	H-A-Bs. We're going to have to figure that
21	one out.
22	So you've answered some of my
23	questions. The proposal said that there will
24	be half a million dollars for the study of

1	each lake. Obviously, some lakes are very,
2	very large, some are smaller. Some have very
3	complex, huge watersheds and so on.
4	So presumably, having some set amount
5	is not going to really work in terms of
6	and I presume some lakes already have data.
7	I'm told some lakes, they have a lot of data
8	because of these groups that have been doing
9	work and monitoring soil and water and other
10	things, and some have very little. So how is
11	that money actually going to go in terms of
12	studying is it going to be it doesn't
13	make sense to me to have half a million
14	dollars for each lake.
15	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: It's up to half
16	a million dollars for each lake.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LIFTON: Okay.
18	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: I think you're
19	right to observe some lakes are better
20	positioned than others. We've done enormous
21	testing on some of the Finger Lakes; some of
22	that data has been generated for years.
23	There are parts of Lake Champlain where we
24	see problems where there's not as good an

understanding of causes where we might need to spend more money. We obviously wouldn't spend \$500,000 on the entire Lake Champlain.

But those monies are designed to be available quickly. The testing, sampling and planning being done quickly, gathering information as quickly as possible, so that we have a good scientific picture of the root causes of problems on these lakes.

Again, as you and I have spoken about, there may be -- some of the reasons may range from ag to failing septic systems, failing wastewater treatment plants, and stormwater problems. And some of the storms that, again, we saw last summer -- that one storm that came through on the July 4th weekend that came through the Mohawk Valley probably was the cause for the huge bloom we saw on Skaneateles Lake, which had never seen a bloom like this.

So again, it's, you know, getting the science in the right place, doing the correct testing up-front if necessary, and ultimately having that inform the ultimate plan itself.

Τ	ASSEMBLIWOMAN LIFTON: Everyone seems
2	to agree that it's a very complicated
3	problem.
4	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: It is.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LIFTON: And it's been
6	sort of festering and growing every year. So
7	I think we need to be very careful to make
8	sure we get these studies right, maybe not
9	rush that process, but make sure we do it
10	very well to make sure, as we attack these
11	problems, that we're doing it in the right
12	way and not throwing good money after bad.
13	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Agreed.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LIFTON: I'm assuming,
15	too, that \$65 million is just for a shot at
16	this; this is going to be a much bigger
17	problem over time. Is that a multiyear
18	funding amount? Are we going to be looking
19	at this every year in terms of new funding
20	for this?
21	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, we we
22	certainly anticipate this first year I'd say
23	maybe \$11 million of it going towards science
24	and the bulk of it towards these projects

1	that	are	designed	to	fix	some	of	the
2	probl	ems.	That's	yea	ır or	ne.		

Beyond year one, the Governor has made a commitment through the EPF to keep the EPF at \$300 million. We now have a multiyear commitment on the Clean Water Infrastructure Act. Again, those buckets of money are going to be the tools with which we fix the problems that we see.

So I think you will see an awareness this year, hopefully unlike we've had in the past, and a sense of how we can fix problems, and an ability to replicate that elsewhere.

So a -- Skaneateles Lake is similar to X lake over here, so we have effectively a similar model that we can employ.

And those funds being available in future years will -- you know, we'll have next year a discussion about what the next big proposal is, but I expect that the EPF and Clean Water Infrastructure Act are going to be held constant, and I think those will be sources of funds to fix problems.

24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LIFTON: Another thing I

1	read in the proposal on HABs is that maybe
2	there's an intention to try to do remedies
3	through voluntary best practices. I think
4	there's some concern. Do we have reason to
5	think that voluntary best practices really
6	work and are successful, or are we going to
7	need law and regulation to try to tackle some
8	of these issues.
9	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, all
10	options are on the table for us on this.
11	Creating new laws, new regulations would take
12	time. Obviously, talking about new laws, we
13	would need to work with you on that, figure
14	out what the needs are.
15	I mean, voluntary compliance, in my
16	view, is powerful. I think farmers just
17	taking farmers for an example want to do
18	right by the environment.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LIFTON: It hasn't been
20	working so well so far in terms of soil and
21	water, trying to get farmers to change their
22	habits around
23	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, I think

the resources, frankly, that we've given them

1	sorry.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LIFTON: I'm sorry.
3	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: The resources
4	we've given them over the last few years
5	through the EPF, with the Clean Water
6	Infrastructure Act, helping to reduce runoff,
7	doing land acquisition, buffer streams,
8	stream buffer areas
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LIFTON: We think that's
10	working? We think that's working?
11	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: I believe it is
12	going to work. This is just year one of the
13	Clean Water Infrastructure Act program.
14	It's going to take time. And we want them to
15	come into the system. We want them to see
16	the reasons for entering the system, but also
17	to see that there are funds available for the
18	upkeep of their properties.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LIFTON: Thank you,
20	Commissioner.
21	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
23	Senator Kaminsky.
24	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: And if I could

1	just clarify one thing, just so it's not
2	lost. The \$55 million that we're talking
3	about for projects is not just the 12 water
4	bodies that we're working on, it's all of the
5	water bodies that are impacted by HABs.
6	Sorry. Senator?
7	SENATOR O'MARA: Could you repeat that
8	again?
9	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: The \$55 million
10	that we're proposing for the HABs initiative
11	is not just for the 12 water bodies that are
12	part of this priority program. We're going
13	to spend money, obviously, on studying those
14	12 water bodies up-front. But the ultimate
15	implementation money, \$55 million, is
16	available to HABs-impacted waterways.
17	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
18	Senator Kaminsky.
19	SENATOR KAMINSKY: Thank you.
20	Good morning, Commissioner.
21	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Senator.
22	SENATOR KAMINSKY: Some very
23	interesting news on the 1,4-dioxane front in
24	the last day.

1	Really, first of all, overall, very
2	pleased with how the state has jumped on this
3	problem. I think we see nationwide what
4	happens when contaminants go ignored for far
5	too long. And I certainly think relying on
6	Washington is not an option here.

But there are some challenges posed as well. I just want to show you an article on Page A2 of Newsday today that I'm holding up, it talks about billions of dollars of funding needed in order to get the proper technology where it should go.

we're going to be setting maximum limits.

I'm happy we're getting to a place where we have the technology. But I want to know your thoughts on how we are able to implement this, where the funding may come from, and whether there's a plan to triage it or roll it out in a manner that you think is going to be most efficacious.

COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Senator, the
Drinking Water Council met yesterday. You're
referencing the meeting they had when they

1	discussed a range of possible MCLs for
2	1,4-dioxane. They didn't set the MCL; that
3	will come shortly. As I understand, we will
4	be recommending to the health commissioner a
5	particular level.

The good thing about the council that it involves not just state officials but, in particular, some of the water providers and local officials down in Long Island and elsewhere.

We don't know where we're going to be when they come out with a number, but we know that there are drinking water sources that we would want to protect with this new enhanced technology. And you're referencing some of the potential costs of that. I don't know where we will be on it. We'll be there -- probably in the next couple of months, we'll see a recommended level. And then we're going to have to have a discussion about how we get to installing these AOP systems in communities where they are needed.

Thankfully, it looks like the number of sites that are potentially drinking water

1	sites that are potentially impacted may be
2	lower. But until the number is set, we're
3	not going to know what the full universe is.
4	SENATOR KAMINSKY: Okay. Well, I look
5	forward to continuing that conversation. I
6	know Senator Phillips and I have worked
7	really hard on this issue and certainly think
8	this would be a very good use of state
9	funding down the road, as opposed to having
10	ratepayers have to really be hit with this.
11	Costs on Long Island, of course, are
12	extremely high, and water has been pretty
13	controversial this year in light of what
14	people have been paying. So I look forward
15	to that conversation.
16	I want to shift over to Bay Park,
17	another area that I'm really glad the
18	administration is focused on. It's critical
19	for the Western Bays. And I'd like to know
20	where we are and what else has to happen in
21	order to get Bay Park over the finish line
22	and get Long Beach attached to it.
23	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS. Well, we were at

that great event back in I think it was

1	December down on Long Island where the
2	Governor announced that we had signed a
3	consent order with Nassau County for them to
4	modernize their system by effectively
5	connecting an outfall to an existing plant,
6	the Cedar Creek plant.
7	We're working overtime now with Nassau
8	County officials to develop what is a
9	somewhat complicated plan of construction
10	over the next couple of years, how we get
11	wastewater through rights-of-ways, through
12	the existing tunnel and over to the Cedar
13	Creek outfall. It's an engineering
14	challenge.
15	We have the county on the hook now for
16	it. We have county funding, we've got state
17	funding, we have some federal funding as
18	well. I expect this is going to absorb an
19	enormous amount of our time over the coming
20	years as we get this done, but it will be a
21	game-changer in Long Island for water
22	quality.

SENATOR KAMINSKY: Okay. And you're committed to seeing the funding through to

1	get the project done.
2	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Absolutely, yes.
3	SENATOR KAMINSKY: Well, I look
4	forward to the day I can send my sewage into
5	Senator Brooks's district, so I appreciate
6	it.
7	(Laughter.)
8	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: He looks happy.
9	SENATOR BROOKS: It's nice to get a
10	gift from him every once in a while.
11	(Laughter.)
12	SENATOR KAMINSKY: I too would like to
13	add my voice to Senator O'Mara's, Chairman
14	O'Mara's, on the brownfield credit issue.
15	You know, I think I feel like we're changing
16	the rules mid-game on a lot of people who
17	have signed up to participate in this worthy
18	program. I think certain projects I've
19	heard from developers personally who are
20	going to be in jeopardy day one. So I'd like
21	for us to consider how we can make up for the
22	deficit without endangering any of those
23	projects or the existing program. And I hope

you can take a look at that.

1	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you. I
2	will.
3	SENATOR KAMINSKY: Thank you.
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
6	Assemblywoman Woerner.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: Thank you,
8	Ms. Chairman.
9	And thank you, Commissioner. I have
10	just a couple of questions.
11	So first I want to thank you for your
12	leadership on the PCB cleanup.
13	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: It's great.
15	The testing that you all did and the
16	leadership you're showing on really holding
17	GE's feet to the fire is terrific, and I
18	appreciate that.
19	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you.
20	Likewise. Thank you.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: Thank you.
22	With respect to the diversion of food
23	scraps to landfills in 2021, one of the
24	challenges we have with digesters is that

1	NYSERDA's incentives have expired for the
2	development of additional digesters upstate,
3	and the PSC has up to this point been not
4	willing to set an economic rate for the power
5	produced by the digesters. And from your
6	earlier remarks, it's clear that you
7	recognize that digesters are a linchpin
8	technology in the success of this program.
9	So I'm just wondering if you have been
10	in conversations with NYSERDA and the PSC
11	about rectifying the energy side of this
12	equation to make sure that this program is
13	successful.
14	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: We have been in
15	conversations with our sister agencies on
16	this. We do see an important role for
17	digesters to play, ultimately not just with
18	their food waste but many other issues
19	throughout the state on farms.
20	So we'll be working with them on this.
21	And there are certain things that we can do
22	as well at the DEC to facilitate digesters.

We've proposed some changes through SEQRA to

facilitate the creation of projects that may

1	reduce some of the burden of getting projects
2	through the pipeline.
3	At the same time, we're sensitive to
4	the environmental justice populations who
5	don't want digesters at sewage plants,
6	because that means potentially more trucks
7	through streets, city streets. So it's a bit
8	of a difficult balance.
9	On the SEQRA side, we see a the
10	State Environmental Quality Review Act,
11	SEQRA, we see an opportunity to get something
12	done through that. But we'll be talking to
13	NYSERDA and DPS, certainly.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: Great. Thank
15	you very much.
16	The 480-a change in the forestry
17	regulations, can you articulate a little bit
18	more about how those changes that have been
19	proposed will provide for the small private
20	landowner that does their own, you know,
21	modest amount of forestry and logging?
22	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Sure. And I'll

have Julie pinch-hit for me as well. This is

one of her babies.

23

1	So we've been doing stakeholder
2	outreach on this for the better part of three
3	or maybe four years, talking to the universe
4	of people and individuals that would be
5	impacted by changes in forest tax credit law.
6	A significant number, millions and millions
7	of acres of land I think it's like 19
8	million acres of land is held
9	forestland is held in private hands. And
10	there are extraordinary climate benefits to
11	well-managed forests.
12	We want to keep the current tax credit

We want to keep the current tax credit program, make sure that it remains attractive, but also move to the next phase where it can become slightly more efficient but also more attractive to the landowners themselves by reducing the burdens on them.

This is currently a stumpage tax
that's paid out, a 6 percent stumpage tax.
We would propose to eliminate that. We would
propose to give landowners the opportunity -who may not have large tracts of land -- drop
it from 50 acres currently down to 25. That
would give more participants the chance to

1	come	into	the	pr	rogra	am,	and	also	give	credit
2	where	cred	dit	is	due	to	open	spac	ce.	

Some of the land, forest and open

space land on certain tracts, we frankly

would want to keep both of those types of

habitats vibrant on land, on properties. And

up to 50 percent of a landowner's

participation could be through open space

preservation.

So it's an important -- it would be an important change. The benefits to the landowners would be significant, because you're increasing the ability for the landowner to, from a forest certified perspective, sustainable harvestry, bringing that wood off the land while at the same time keeping local governments whole. That would be something we would work on, because this wouldn't take effect until next year. Local governments we would seek to make whole through our budget next year.

Julie, I want to give her credit for this. Julie and my entire team, and certainly all the stakeholders, have done an

1	enormous job tackling this somewhat complex
2	but really attractive program. And we've
3	been very optimistic about its chances of
1	succeeding here in New York based on some of
5	the feedback we've gotten.

Want to add anything, Julie?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER TIGHE: I think one of the ideas which form the new 480-b, as the program is called, is allowing a straight certification program, which we recognize would now probably be the larger forest owners, your Lyme types of organizations.

And we would recognize all the work they're doing to have their land certified by providing them with a continued large credit of 70 percent.

But we would also make a program
that's less burdensome than 480-a is now,
which requires very proscribed cutting
associated with that by providing a
40 percent reduction in property taxes, but
also offering different avenues for them to
enter the program. You know, it's limited to
a minimum of 10 acres of work that would need

to be done on the property, whether that's a timber cut -- which we think would probably be the most attractive way in, it's the only practice that we allow that would make money for the property owner. Other things could be wetlands restoration or habitat management or a thinning of a property, which you need to do to maintain a healthy forest.

So we've provided a bunch of different avenues for them to come in and make it easier. We're also offering an off-ramp for people who don't want to be in 480 anymore, because we know that that's one of the criticisms of the program. We want to help promote it.

We've coupled that -- I think one of the things that we're really trying to do is we're coupling that with two proposed grant programs. Both are proposed to be funded by the Environmental Protection Fund, one to support forestry management practices on property which are similar to a federal EQIP program, which in the State of New York almost all of those funds go to the

1	agricultural community, which will help
2	really provide the support for the landowners
3	to implement those practices.
4	The other would also be to support
5	forestry by communities. The State of
6	New York has a very active timber management
7	program on our unconstitutionally protected
8	lands, I guess our lands not protected by the
9	constitution. And, you know, we'd like to
10	see that help support local governments who
11	want to do something similar, where you can
12	have a sustainable timber harvest of your
13	property that will help provide jobs for the
14	forest products industry while maintaining
15	forestland.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: Great. Thank
17	you very much.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
19	Senate?
20	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. Our
21	next speaker is Senator Pamela Helming.
22	SENATOR HELMING: Thank you,
23	Senator Young.
24	Commissioner, thank you so much for

1	being here today.
2	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Senator, thank
3	you.
4	SENATOR HELMING: The first thing I
5	want to say is thank you to your office,
6	including Julie, for spending a considerable
7	amount of time with me during the past year.
8	Most of our conversations, our meetings have
9	centered around three issues in the Finger
10	Lakes region. One is solid waste management
11	two is protection of our very precious lakes
12	and the third has to do with Lake Ontario an
13	the implementation of Plan 2014 and the
14	subsequent flooding that occurred.
15	So I just wanted to start by quickly
16	going back to the harmful algal blooms. It
17	seems that every time I do have a
18	conversations with representatives from the
19	DEC, the information changes slightly, so I
20	just want to confirm.
21	There's \$65 million that's been set
22	aside for this most recent program,

\$10 million of that being used to host these

four listening sessions, whatever you want to

23

т	call them, steering committee meetings, the
2	hiring of the experts. Is that correct?
3	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Just to be
4	clear, it's not \$10 million for the summits,
5	it's \$10 million for any science or planning
6	that's needed on all those lakes.
7	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TIGHE: And that
8	actually includes the pilot programs that
9	will be associated with this, which are both
10	for treatment system treatments that can be
11	done as well as monitoring. And that
12	includes the, quote, \$500,000 a lake that's
13	been identified associated with each of thos
L 4	action plans.
15	SENATOR HELMING: Okay, thanks for
16	that clarification. So that leaves
17	\$55 million. And my understanding from your
18	recent testimony is that that money will be
19	available through grants to any and all
20	lakes. Is that correct?
21	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Impacted by
22	HABs.
23	SENATOR HELMING: Impacted by HABs.
2.4	But my quostion is we now have

1	identified 12 priority lakes. Lakes like
2	Canandaigua Lake, Seneca Lake and Keuka Lake
3	that aren't on those priority lists, will
4	they score the same? What is your plan for
5	ranking the grant applications? Will
6	priority lakes be given preference over the
7	other lakes?
8	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, we
9	certainly want to find a way to give added
10	priority to the lakes that are impacted by
11	HABs. I think we will be able to pull it
12	off. We're working on the language right no
13	that would pass muster with the comptroller's
14	and AG's reviews of that program, make sure
15	that it comports with state law.
16	But for us, it is a fairly
17	straightforward objective. We need to get
18	money to fix the problem, the Governor has
19	made that very clear, and we need to make
20	that available as widely as possible.
21	Again, going back to what I had
22	mentioned earlier, we have in an almost
23	uncoordinated way over the last few years, w

have been spending money on HABs problems

1	through grant applications that come in,
2	projects that we undertake, Ag & Markets
3	spending money on farms, EFC funding, various
4	municipal wastewater upgrades, stormwater
5	upgrades. So it was all, in effect, being
6	done.
7	The purpose of the initiative now is
8	to harness all of that effort into one place
9	and give priority on the HAB side, give
10	priority to lakes that have problems
11	currently.
12	SENATOR HELMING: So for instance,
13	Seneca Lake, I think they've had more
14	documented harmful algal blooms, the toxic
15	algal blooms, than any other lake. So if
16	they apply for a grant, are they considered a
17	priority because they have HABs? Or are they
18	not will they not be given
19	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, they would
20	be. I mean, they would be considered a
21	priority lake. If there's a HAB that's
22	impacting recreation or water quality,
23	drinking water quality it would need to be
24	those two things, not a theoretical problem

1	on a lake that isn't, you know, used by a
2	recreational group or a source of drinking
3	water. And those would be the criteria that
4	we think would help the lake score well.
5	Seneca Lake is obviously not only a
6	critical Finger Lake from a tourism
7	perspective, but is also a source of drinking
8	water. So I would think that would score
9	well.
10	SENATOR HELMING: Absolutely. And
11	that sets up my comment about my frustration
12	that Keuka Lake, Canandaigua Lake and Seneca
13	Lake were left off that priority list of 12.
14	If you rank well, I've spoken to
15	your office on numerous occasions about how
16	the lakes were selected and ranked. And in
17	every instance, it's my feeling that
18	especially Canandaigua and Seneca Lake, that
19	do provide drinking water to hundreds of
20	thousands of people, are the economic drivers
21	of those communities, that they should have
22	absolutely, without question, been included
23	in the 12 priority lakes.

I just want to go quickly to these

1	listening sessions that are being conducted
2	too. I think it would have been beneficial
3	to all if the meetings were open to the
4	public. That's the morning portion, where
5	the discussions will occur with the experts.
6	By closing out, by shutting out the public
7	and other experts who'd like to be there just
8	to listen in, I think that is a detriment to
9	finding solutions or involving the public.
10	And when we're spending taxpayers'
11	dollars, it's just my general feeling that we
12	should be more transparent and these meetings
13	should be open to everyone.
1.4	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Okay, just so
14	
15	you obviously there's a morning and
	you obviously there's a morning and evening session. The concept of the morning
15	
15 16	evening session. The concept of the morning
15 16 17	evening session. The concept of the morning session is to get expert testimony. For lack
15 16 17 18	evening session. The concept of the morning session is to get expert testimony. For lack of a better word, it's a bit wonkier than the
15 16 17 18 19	evening session. The concept of the morning session is to get expert testimony. For lack of a better word, it's a bit wonkier than the average meeting, discussing loadings and more
15 16 17 18 19 20	evening session. The concept of the morning session is to get expert testimony. For lack of a better word, it's a bit wonkier than the average meeting, discussing loadings and more the scientific, complicated issues.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	evening session. The concept of the morning session is to get expert testimony. For lack of a better word, it's a bit wonkier than the average meeting, discussing loadings and more the scientific, complicated issues. But we wanted to have a public

1	lines, then, Senator O'Mara mentioned several
2	organizations specific just to Seneca Lake
3	that have experts on them that aren't invited
4	or cannot participate or be a listener, just
5	sit in the audience and listen to those
6	discussions. They could learn something from
7	those discussions and take them back to their
8	organizations. So that's a miss. That's a
9	miss, and that's my opinion on that.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER TIGHE: I think there may be some -- I mean, I'm not sure about Seneca Pure Waters, but I definitely know the Finger Lakes Institute and some of these other organizations that were mentioned have been invited, and some are in fact on the steering committees.

So there are steering committees associated with each individual lake. And then on top of that, we've invited other people to participate in these sessions where there's going to be working groups where they really sort of get into the meat and sort of figure out how to best to proceed as they develop those action plans. And then on top

1	of	that,	we	have	the	open-to-t	the-public
2	ses	ssion	that	the	comm	missioner	mentioned.

So there are -- I mean, to my understanding, and I've definitely looked at this, the Finger Lakes Institute, definitely invited. If there's other organizations you want us to make sure are invited to some of these sessions, since obviously the only one we've actually held is happening right now in New Paltz, we would be happy to look at those.

SENATOR HELMING: And Julie, you and I have had this discussion. I think that those meetings should be open to whoever would like to attend. I don't think the public should be limited to 6 to 8 p.m. at night.

But moving on from there and on to solid waste management in the Finger Lakes region. I mean, we all agree, we're all on the same page that it is critically important that we protect our natural resources, especially our water bodies.

In my Senate district, are you aware of the waste incinerator that's been proposed

1	for Romulus, New York?
2	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: I am aware of
3	the proposal, yes. We have not received an
4	application on that, though.
5	SENATOR HELMING: But have you had
6	meetings with the representatives from the
7	incinerator?
8	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: I have not. My
9	office may have. Typically when we get these
10	kinds of applications coming our way, there's
11	a preapplication meeting. And I know that
12	there was a large community hearing, if you
13	will, down in the Romulus area on this.
14	SENATOR HELMING: Right. So the
15	application was submitted locally. It's
16	since been pulled and now has gone to
17	Article 10. And my question is now that the
18	application will be reviewed under the
19	Article 10 requirements, does that negate the
20	need for a solid waste management permit?
21	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: I would have to
22	get back to you with an answer on that. I
23	the interplay between Article 10 and
24	typically, you know, years ago this would

1	have been a SEQRA process. Now that it's an
2	energy-generating station, we'd have to
3	determine I believe DEC's permits would
4	still remain in effect, we would still have
5	to issue permits, to a degree.
6	But we'll get back to you with an
7	answer on that.
8	SENATOR HELMING: Okay. I have
9	received responses from your office, but it's
10	that no, there are no solid waste management
11	permits that would be required. SEQRA will
12	not be required; that's a whole other
13	process. Which is my concern. Again
14	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER TIGHE: But to
15	clarify, to clarify, the general requirements
16	associated with the permits that DEC issues
17	normally, as an individual permit, are
18	generally incorporated into Article 10.
19	So for example, if there are permits
20	associated you know, if there are air
21	permit requirements associated with that,
22	those would be folded into the Article 10
23	component, generally speaking, unless there's
24	a federal permit associated with that. But

1	we can confirm on all of those particular
2	details.
3	SENATOR HELMING: Okay.
4	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TIGHE: But
5	generally speaking, that's handled within the
6	Article 10 process.
7	SENATOR HELMING: And I think it is
8	critically important that the DEC be involved
9	every step of the way. This has the
10	potential to have a huge negative impact on
11	our lakes. This proposal, the waste
12	incinerator is proposed to be 3.5 miles from
13	Seneca Lake.
14	And also, something that I found
15	interesting was that the largest source of
16	greenhouse gases, what I heard from your
17	testimony, Commissioner, comes from
18	transportation.
19	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Correct.
20	SENATOR HELMING: This waste
21	incinerator project alone is proposing an
22	additional 260 trucks on the road. So think
23	of the emissions from that. And it's not
24	solving a local issue. We have two landfills

1	within less than 20 miles of this proposed
2	incinerator, so we don't have a need locally
3	for the incinerator. The waste is going to
4	be trucked in from downstate, from out of
5	state. It's it has it's just wrong.
6	We take more than our fair share of waste in
7	the Finger Lakes area. We don't need a waste
8	incinerator program.
9	But one of the questions I have for
10	you is, does the DEC consider the energy
11	generated from waste incinerators clean
12	energy?
13	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Do we consider
14	the energy generated at waste incinerators
15	clean energy?
16	SENATOR HELMING: Mm-hmm.
17	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Senator, I I
18	mean, it's a theoretical question. What's
19	clean energy, in my mind, is windmills, solar
20	power, hydro. I mean, those are the big
21	categories. Waste energy, in my mind, is
22	you know, is an older technology.
23	We see lots of proposals that come to
24	us, I'm aware of many types of technologies

1	that they claim to be renewable. My biggest
2	concerns, whenever I hear of a project, are
3	is there an appropriate recycling system in
4	place before this type of a system is
5	proposed? Are there no other alternatives?
6	You know, we have a system to review these
7	projects as they come to us. This one hasn't
8	come to us yet. And I know it has generated
9	an enormous amount of public interest and
10	opposition, and we'll give it a fair shake.
11	But our perspective on renewable
12	resources is that, you know, the other
13	technologies is where the state will put
14	emphasis. And we'll fairly and justly
15	process permit applications when they arrive
16	at our agency.
17	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you, Senator
18	Helming. It sounds like you have some very
19	compelling local issues. So if you want to
20	come back for Round Two after the other
21	members have spoken, that would be fine.

And I want to let members know that.

If you have a lot of questions, there is a chance for another round. But we want to get

1	to everybody and let them have a chance to
2	ask their questions during the first round.
3	The Assembly?
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
5	Croci Crouch.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN CROUCH: Thank you,
7	Chairwoman.
8	Commissioner, thank you for being
9	here.
10	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Assemblyman.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN CROUCH: A question, acres
12	of wetland how many acres of wetland does
13	DEC own across the state?
14	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Acres of
15	wetlands? It's got to be tens to hundreds of
16	thousands, I would think.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN CROUCH: You have a
18	program for mosquito management, like to
19	guard against West Nile virus?
20	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: I know that was
21	an issue back in the mid-2000s, the West Nile
22	virus. There was a debate at the time about
23	spraying into wetlands.
24	We have you know, our objective is

1	to with West Nile is to support our
2	officials at DOH. When questions come up
3	about wetlands you know, are there
4	applications that need to be made to us for
5	spraying of insecticides we would take
6	those as they come to us. I have not
7	received those types of questions from the
8	DOH over the last couple of years.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN CROUCH: DEC is proposing
10	that farmers that cover their hold a tarp
11	down on their silage piles, that they have to
12	cut or bore all the tires. And that's,
13	number one, a very labor-intensive, very
14	costly endeavor.
15	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Right.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN CROUCH: It also could be
17	dangerous, because the tires have wires in
18	them and could deem that they're no longer
19	basically effective, could be puncturing
20	holes in the tarp.
21	So in consideration of, you know,
22	numbers of the acres of wetlands across the
23	state that we don't necessarily do anything

24 with unless it's a spotty problem, it seems

1	like this is kind of a small chump change way
2	of trying to attack the West Nile problem and
3	putting the burden of labor and cost on the
4	farmers that use these tires.
5	And I just, you know, wonder if
6	there's some other way that we can look at
7	this with some type of a program and certain
8	times of the year or whatever. Basically,
9	you know, a very little amount of water can
10	be contained in a tire if it's on a pile
11	because it's usually on a slope or whatever.
12	And with three days of good hot, sunny
13	weather, that water is basically evaporated
14	anyway, so the problem seems to dissipate.
15	Just your thoughts on an alternative
16	possibility.
17	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, I will
18	tell you this was a small component of a
19	large undertaking, the Part 360 revisions
20	that we did this year.
21	We've been in contact, heard loud and
22	clear from the farming community of their

concerns. I believe you sent me a letter on

23

24

this as well.

1	So our staff has been in touch with
2	the Farm Bureau to find ways in which to push
3	the requirements off for a period of time to
4	give us the chance to understand how best to
5	perhaps modify the requirement.

I'll give my staff credit for finding ways in which to reduce the threat of mosquito-borne illnesses. But I think we understand the problems associated with tires, that tires are important to the farming community. I see them myself out there on tops of piles holding down plastic.

But the steel-belted nature of them makes boring through them and cutting them difficult and sometimes hazardous. So we intend to continue working on this over the next year with the farming community to see if there's a path forward that makes sense for them and for us.

ASSEMBLYMAN CROUCH: Okay. Thank you for looking into that. And I would encourage you to hold off and look for other alternatives. It seems to be going after the West Nile problem with sort of a fly swatter

approach, if that's -- if you don't have a

lot of other avenues on some of the wetlands

that we've encouraged. And over the years,

you know, DEC has welcomed the opportunity to

create more wetlands, so we've exacerbated

the problem in other ways, I believe.

I'm always asking a question on our state woodland management. I still get comments from some local loggers about trees are dying in some of the state forests, good quality trees. And of course we've got the issue with the ash borer killing the ash trees now. I'm always asking, you know, are we increasing the number of foresters out there that we can -- and are we marketing more aggressively some of our state forest products? And certainly the state at this point in time could use the revenue.

COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Right. I think the answer to those is yes. I mean, we have done good work in the last few years to increase sales of timber from state-owned lands, I think \$7 million a year for the past two years. It's a record. Last three years,

1	right.	It's a reco	ord.	
2		ASSEMBLYMAN	CROUCH:	Good.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: So our lands and 3 forest division that runs these cuts does the 5 assessments, working with the forestry certifying bodies. They've been working very 7 well, they've become more streamlined. And frankly I think the acceptance of the need to 8 do sustainable cuts has become more 9 widespread statewide. And we've shown that 10 we can do this in a way that protects the 11 12 environment but gives the timber industry a chance to survive in the state. 13

> ASSEMBLYMAN CROUCH: I know some of the sale of timber was rather dormant for a few years, so it takes a while to get caught back up. But I do appreciate your efforts and your support on that.

One other thing, in my district or just outside of my district is the Rogers Environmental Center. And I just want to tell you how much I support that. I would like to see DEC more involved in the operation of that. The Friends of Rogers

1	nave done a great job, as you know, or
2	keeping the mission there.
3	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Right.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN CROUCH: My understanding
5	is that just recently DEC put a ton of money
6	into the environmental center not too far
7	from here, and certainly we'd like to be on
8	the slate for a good slug of money into the
9	Rogers Environmental Center in Sherburne. So
10	I just wanted to get that on the record, and
11	hopefully you'll support that.
12	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Okay, thank you.
13	I'll look into it.
L 4	ASSEMBLYMAN CROUCH: Thank you.
15	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Yup.
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
17	Our next speaker is Senator Elaine
18	Phillips.
19	SENATOR PHILLIPS: Good morning.
20	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Good morning.
21	SENATOR PHILLIPS: First,
22	Commissioner, thank you. Thank you for
23	coming today. I also want to thank you and
24	Julie and Senator O'Mara for meeting with me

last week on the Jamaica Wells i:	ıssue.
-----------------------------------	--------

I just would like to reiterate that the Lloyd Aquifer is vulnerable and one of our most valuable resources that we have on Long Island. So in your analysis and thought process of what kind of conditions will be on the repermitting, please, those wells should be excluded from the repermitting process. And any repermitting, needless to say, as we discussed, should factor in USGS study results.

I want to talk a little bit about dioxane also, and I thank Senator Kaminsky for bringing it up. We are pleased that the Department of Health has approved the first use of the technology with the Suffolk County Water District. But I'd like to remind the DEC that the highest levels of dioxane that have been identified so far are in Nassau County, and specifically in my Senate district.

22 COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Right.

23 SENATOR PHILLIPS: So I'm asking you,
24 how will the funding come through, or what is

1	the DEC's thoughts on funding? Will it be
2	direct funding? Will the DEC stay involved?
3	You know, as much as we'd like to know, that
4	what works in Suffolk County doesn't always
5	work in Nassau County. So will the DEC stay
6	involved in what the process will be?
7	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Senator,
8	absolutely, we will remain involved. We are
9	in this with the Department of Health, side
10	by side. Our role would be largely on the
11	track-down side what are the sources of
12	contamination, how do we abate those sources.
13	The Department of Health would then determine
14	what treatment technology is needed, if there
15	is treatment technology needed, and would run
16	much of the funding through their funding
17	schemes. And they have a stake in both the
18	Clean Water Infrastructure Act and the State
19	Revolving Loan Fund.
20	But we work seamlessly on a situation

But we work seamlessly on a situation like this where you have contamination that's impacting the drinking water supply. We will absolutely remain involved in this. This is going to become a big part of what we do as

1	an agency, dioxane, PFCs, emerging
2	contaminants. It will be a core of our
3	mission now for the foreseeable future.
4	SENATOR PHILLIPS: I'd like to just
5	say a competitive situation is not the
6	appropriate way to handle this. It really
7	has to be with your expertise of identifying
8	the most vulnerable spots.
9	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Understand.
10	Understood.
11	SENATOR PHILLIPS: The last question I
12	have is WaterTraq. Due to some efforts and
13	support by my conference, we were able to
L 4	fund WaterTraq. It is being administered by
15	LICAP. And I'd like to know what the DEC's
16	thoughts are on the results. Is there any
17	type of additional or better utilization we
18	should be having that's been useful? And is
19	there ways we can enhance it if necessary?
20	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Senator, perhaps
21	you could talk a bit more about it. I'm not
22	familiar with WaterTraq.
23	SENATOR PHILLIPS: So WaterTraq and
2.4	wo can do this as a follow-up. WaterTrag was

1	a system what we were informed of last
2	year, or what I was informed of last year, is
3	the water districts are collecting data, but
4	there was no way to share the different data
5	with each other. So WaterTraq was a system
6	that again, I want to thank my conference for
7	helping me fund it, that we provided to all
8	the water districts on Long Island in order
9	for them to communicate.
10	In my understanding, the data that's

In my understanding, the data that's coming from it really will help model, you know --

COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Absolutely. I'm sorry, I hadn't heard the name WaterTraq before, but I actually am familiar with the system you're talking about. Stan Carey had briefed us on it, and we're in regular communication with him about this.

They are doing extraordinary work,

too, bringing data together. And ultimately

our goal is to fold that data into the larger

USGS study, get a sense of -- which we're

doing now, thanks to your help on that, we're

doing to paint a picture of the flows,

1	groundwater flow model, basically the major
2	groundwater flow model for all of Long
3	Island. And then layer into that all that we
4	know about Superfund locations and the flows
5	from Superfund locations, and what the water
6	districts know. And they probably know as
7	much as anybody about the conditions down
8	there.
9	So it's been enormously successful.
10	And we're going to continue folding that
11	information into the state's overall studies.
12	SENATOR PHILLIPS: Thank you.
13	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
15	Assemblywoman Fahy.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Thank you. Thank
17	you, Madam Chair.
18	Good to see you here, Commissioner,
19	and your team.
20	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Good to see you
21	as well.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: I've got just a
23	couple of questions, but just a couple of
24	comments in advance. One, I really

1	appreciate your comments about the states
2	really have to lead on so many of these
3	issues given what you said is a hostile
4	administration. And I just want to echo your
5	words, because I couldn't agree more.

I really commend you for some of the work you're doing on water quality, which is -- I think one of the biggest achievements we had last year was increasing those funds, as well as the air quality -- your initiative in South End. I live here in Albany; while that's not my district, I've been following that closely and very much appreciate your efforts to get on top of that. As well as your proposed efforts on the food waste and the composting -- a huge issue here in Albany, where we have a landfill that is set to be done or to be out of use in five years.

Along that same line, just a couple of things I'd like to urge your consideration.

Earlier in this hearing the brownfields tax credits were brought up. And I too share those concerns that Senator O'Mara raised about extending those tax credits and

But I think it's really important that

we maintain those, including on the big

projects, like the Tobin First Prize right

here off Route 90.

Another area I would be remiss in not mentioning is the staffing issue that

Assemblyman Englebright brought up. And I hear you, and again I understand that you are under the same constraints that the rest of the state is, and so I'm pleased that staffing is remaining steady. But I know it is a continuing issue of concern.

The last one to urge your consideration of is we've had a lot of meetings on Sheridan Hollow, had some very productive meetings with the New York Power Authority, and want to make sure that you will be involved and possibly take the lead on the proposals there for what had been the microgrid but moving toward more renewable energy in that Sheridan Hollow area.

So two questions. One I think is just a brief one, and I've talked a few times to I

1	think you and your team. The VW settlement,
2	the \$127 million, I know you've had lots of
3	proposals for that, and that's the one since
4	last summer I've been watching. I personally
5	think if we were to give those to buses, our
6	public transportation buses, buses especially
7	in our inner cities that may go up and down a
8	road 20 times, electrifying converting
9	those into electric vehicles I think would go
10	a long way.

Can you tell us -- you mentioned it in your testimony -- can you tell us what the timing will be there and if you are considering public transit? And then I have one other quick question.

16 COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Sure.

Timing-wise, we started early on public outreach. Some states waited until the special master triggered the program; we started actually last summer on this. So we've gotten enormous feedback. Buses are absolutely in our sights. I mean, there are a number of authorities statewide, from MTA, CDTA, Niagara Frontier, and everything in

1	between. Many of them, if not all of them,
2	want to modernize their fleets. We want to
3	support that. And I want to make sure that
4	the \$127 million that we have here is going
5	to go out to truly transformational projects
6	to reduce the burden of emissions,
7	particularly in urban neighborhoods that's
8	where you see a lot of these buses to
9	reduce NOx emissions in urban neighborhoods.
10	And I want to see us do what we can to
11	leverage those dollars, right, using, you
12	know, Green Bank, other sources of funding

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

know, Green Bank, other sources of funding that we have at the state level, make that money go farther.

So the timing on it, again, because we started early, I expect that within the next couple of months we will be able to make some announcements on that. We're not done with the work and the analysis yet, but I'm optimistic that this will be done fairly shortly.

There's a 10-year spend-out on the money. My view of it is I want to see the money applied as aggressively as possible

1	up-front to make the biggest change possible
2	up-front. But if there are ways in which to
3	leverage more dollars by stretching it out
4	over time, that's also a conversation we have
5	to have.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Okay, thank you.
7	And thanks for your consideration on public
8	transit. I think it will go a long way.
9	Last quick question, plastic bags. I
10	saw the report and the stat that jumped out
11	for me is that just in New York State, that
12	we are using 23 billion plastic bags,
13	single-use plastic bags each year. It's
14	rather stunning to me. I do think we need
15	change here.
16	I know you did the report, I know
17	Chairman Englebright does have a proposal at
18	this point. Can you talk about next steps
19	and where we are going? I know you put a few
20	options on the table, but I'm worried we're
21	not being aggressive enough.
22	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: So I want to
23	thank the task force members, including
24	Assemblyman Englebright and Senator O'Mara

1	for their work over the year. We produced an
2	87-page report which detailed really seven
3	options. I wouldn't count the eighth option,
4	which is a no-action option, as a real
5	option.

task force, was in alignment that something needed to be done. The Governor sent the message last year that there's 23 billion bags, most of them end up in landfills or waterways or streets. You know, you've all seen the bag blowing around on the street scape. Something has to be done about it. I think people are making decisions already on their own, but it clearly isn't making enough of a difference.

So the options that we explored range from bans to fees all the way to education.

To fix the problem, something will need to be done across the board. We'll need to bring in all the potential solutions involving customer awareness as well as looking at bans and fees.

But the report was meant to be

1	objective. We didn't achieve consensus
2	within the task force as to what path should
3	be achieved and what particular
4	recommendations should be sent up, so the
5	report was objective on its face. And I
6	think it will be helpful on its face, because
7	it was exhaustive. I give my staff an
8	enormous amount of credit for plowing through
9	dozens and dozens of instances around the
10	world where various solutions have been put
11	in place. And I think we've, you know,
12	delivered that to both the Governor and the
13	Legislature for their consideration. I know
14	that Senator Krueger has introduced
15	something, and we certainly look forward to
16	working with Senator Krueger and Assemblyman
17	Englebright to address the problem.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Thank you. Thank
19	you, Madam Chair.
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
21	Senate?
22	SENATOR O'MARA: Senator Brooks.
23	SENATOR BROOKS: Thank you.
24	Commissioner, it's good to see you

т	ayaın.
2	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Likewise.
3	SENATOR BROOKS: The Bethpage plume, a
4	couple of questions. I wonder if you can
5	give us an update of where we stand on that,
6	the timeline of where we're at, and how we're
7	doing as far as the construction of the
8	pumping wells, how you feel about the
9	funding, what cooperation or lack of
10	cooperation are we getting from Grumman
11	itself. And then finally, are we still
12	confident that we can contain the plume where
13	it is now and remediate the area?
L 4	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, on that
15	last point, thanks to some great work by some
16	staff on my team and really solid scientific
17	engineering consultancy from one of our
18	outfits on the outside, I think for the first
19	time we believe that containing the plume is
20	feasible.
21	We were in the county last year down
22	in Bethpage near Massapequa, drilling some of
23	the first wells. All of that data that we

generated from the wells we drilled last

1	year, we put together into a model which
2	shows that containment is possible. I think
3	many, many years ago people didn't think we'd
4	be able to contain a plume as big as, you
5	know, four miles by two miles. But I think
6	what we're seeing now is it's scientifically
7	possible. So the next question is how do we
3	do it.

That's what we're in the middle of right now. So we have, you know, a very complicated landscape, literally. I mean, hundreds and hundreds of homes, not much open space, enormous groundwater questions in the entire island that we need to integrate our understanding of how the water is flowing through that area with the objective of keeping saltwater from intruding into the water table.

It's a very delicate balance. But again, we think that it is possible now to do that.

We have been talking with -- and the governor's certainly set the tone on this.

We've been talking with the water districts,

1	we have been talking with the polluters
2	themselves, that's Grumman and the Navy. I
3	would say, to one of your questions, that we
4	are getting responses to questions. I don't
5	believe we have perfect fidelity on where we
6	need them to be on stepping up.
7	But what the Covernor has made clear

But what the Governor has made clear is that the state will step up when the polluters refuse to. In this instance, our objective is to enhance the treatment of the plume, contain it, and turn around a water table that's cleaner for the next generation.

SENATOR BROOKS: Okay. So the -- when we spoke, at least I was left with the impression that we were absolutely confident we could contain that. And in your response, I think it's probable. Where are we?

COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: I think we can do it. I think we can do it. And we will be sinking wells this year on that. The Governor laid that out in his pre-State of the State trip that we did down to Bethpage, and made it clear that we're on an aggressive path to begin putting wells into the ground

1	to enhance that treatment, to enhance the
2	containment possibility.
3	So I think we're optimistic as an
4	agency. And I think the only real question
5	is going to be who we get to pay for it and
6	when. But we're going to push the project
7	forward and ensure that ultimately the
8	polluters are on the hook for it.
9	SENATOR BROOKS: Okay. So right now
10	you are confident, regardless of what you
11	need from a funding standpoint, that's going
12	to be available.
13	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Correct. I am.
14	SENATOR BROOKS: Okay. Thank you.
15	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you.
16	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
17	Assemblyman Stec.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN STEC: Thank you,
19	Chairwoman.
20	Commissioner, thanks for coming here
21	today for your testimony.
22	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you, sir.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN STEC: I'd also like to
24	take this opportunity to thank you and the

1	DEC for all you do across the state on so
2	many issues that are important. And in
3	particular, you and your staff for the great
4	legislative work that we've done together
5	with local government and environmental
6	groups over the past several years,
7	including not limited to a few
8	constitutional amendments.
9	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Right.
10	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TIGHE: That's
11	three.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN STEC: With that, though,
13	I want to make sure we continue to work
14	together in this collaborative method moving
15	forward. I think that a lot of the issues
16	that we face are going to be best dealt with
17	with local government and environmental
18	groups and stakeholders in general all on the
19	same page.
20	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Agreed.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN STEC: And there's a
22	couple of things in the budget that concern
23	me a little bit about rocking that boat or
24	damaging or taking a step back from the

1	forward progress that we're making and two
2	in particular that I'll ask together, because
3	they really go to the heart of keeping local
4	government whole financially, from a fiscal
5	standpoint.

One certainly is the PILOT. Local governments and environmental groups essentially all are gravely concerned with this, and I guess my question would be, you know, where does DEC stand on this? Do you see value in this, knowing that local government has veto over future land purchases in the Adirondacks and the Catskills? And, you know, is this really building on that good faith and collaborative successes that we've had?

And related to the PILOT, I know

Assemblywoman Woerner brought up 480-a and

480-b. And I'm curious -- I'm not sure I

heard the answer to this question and your

response to her, but the cost to local

government and is that going to be addressed

and taken care of? Because I know that local

government is very concerned about that.

1	And I think the good news here is that
2	we're talking about a relatively small
3	financial impact to the state. But these
4	are you know, there's a state value to
5	this program. And so, you know, I think the
6	local government perspective in the
7	Adirondacks is the state and not local
8	government should be the one to bear the
9	costs of that.
10	So those two things for starters,
11	please.
12	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Sure. Well, on
13	the PILOT, this is a proposal of Tax &
14	Finance to change the way in which
15	assessments are made from year to year on
16	state-owned lands. And I've been assured
17	that the proposal itself will not change the
18	amount of money that's going from the state
19	into local government. I know this is an
20	efficiency initiative at Tax & Finance. It's
21	very important for the state, obviously, to
22	remain more efficient and to spend less time
23	on staff time, more time actually, you know,
24	implementing programs.

1	so l've been assured certainly have
2	seen the concerns raised directly to me and
3	in the papers, and I know that the budget
4	director has indicated certainly with this
5	program a willingness to ensure that it
6	doesn't have unintended consequences, and DEC
7	will be at the table for those discussions.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN STEC: And 480-a and -b?
9	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: 480-a and -b,
10	the program itself that we're proposing
11	wouldn't take effect until next year. So the
12	impacts to local government wouldn't take
13	effect until next year and beyond.
L 4	But what we're setting up through this
15	program is a commitment to effectively keep
16	municipalities whole on this. We would do
17	that through our budget, ways in which we'd
18	have to work that out, you know, with the
19	Legislature next year and ultimately work it
20	out through the Executive Budget next year.
21	But the impacts would not be felt until
22	2019 and beyond.
23	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TIGHE: And the
24	proposal includes a reimbursement formula

1	that would be we're proposing to codify
2	that in the State Finance Law for how that
3	would work for municipalities impacted by
4	1 percent or more.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN STEC: Well, again, I

would just reiterate, on behalf of the hundred-some-odd local governments in the Adirondacks, I think to a town there's grave concern over what these formulas may or may not look like and whether or not they will or will not be stuck to going forward. Which is why you're getting the calls and letters and emails from local governments about these two programs.

15 COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Sure. Sure.

ASSEMBLYMAN STEC: The other question that I wanted to ask I think really goes -- I'll build off what Chairman Englebright brought up, is, you know, in my words, getting the funding out the door. Or is there a mismatch between the amount of work you're being asked to do and the funding and staffing that is available to you?

And specifically, ranger staffing is

1	always a question, especially as we acquire
2	more lands. And then infrastructure, the
3	maintenance of effort from an infrastructure
4	standpoint, specifically on our lakes, the
5	properties that the boat launches that DEC
6	owns and maintains on the various lakes,
7	including Lake George and Lake Champlain, and
8	one specific one that I'm going to make an
9	appeal for this is making me go on for far
10	too long but there's a boat launch in
11	Saranac Lake that has a bathroom that really
12	could use a little TLC.

COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Okay.

ASSEMBLYMAN STEC: You know, and there's some lingering issues with the State Land Master Plan update, the numerous unit management plans that have been kicking around in dire need of updates going back years. There's a backlog of UMP work that's holding up good work that everyone wants to do, they recognize it. But the paper trail hasn't caught up, and there's no end in sight as to how quickly that paper trail will catch up.

1	And along those lines, the last part
2	of this, you know, is the SEQR process, a lot
3	of complaints over just the burdensome nature
4	of the SEQR process. And has anything been
5	done, I guess, on SEQR, SLMP and UMPs to put
6	those resources there so that we can catch up
7	and, you know, update our SEQR and certainly
8	our UMPs?
9	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Okay, there's a
10	lot in there.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN STEC: I only have five
12	minutes.
13	(Laughter.)
14	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Let me see if I
15	can do it quickly.
16	So infrastructure, NY Works has been
17	in effect since 2011. And we had a big boost
18	last year in NY Works, which the Governor set
19	forth his Adventure NY. We mixed that up
20	with some of the stewardship monies in the
21	EPF and have launched an initiative to
22	restore some of our infrastructure.
23	I will agree with you that there are
24	many locations around the state, DEC

1	facilities the same thing happened with
2	Parks facilities where there was literally
3	decades of neglect. And when we came in six,
4	seven years ago, we found that we had a real
5	infrastructure burden. We've been slowly
6	chipping away at that. There are some
7	locations around the state, unlike Saranac
8	boat launch and the restroom there
9	ASSEMBLYMAN STEC: Don't forget that
10	one. Saranac Lake and the bathroom.
11	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: That's now
12	seared in my memory.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN STEC: Because the
14	Governor uses that bathroom.
15	(Laughter.)
16	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: All right.
17	That's all you had to say. That's all you
18	say.
19	(Laughter.)
20	UNIDENTIFIED MALE PANELIST: I'm not
21	going to ask you how you know that.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN STEC: I know these
23	things. I have my ways. We'll leave it at
24	that.

1	(Laughter.)
2	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: So we have
3	developed a master list. I brought on
4	somebody to specifically do these projects -
5	basically to hone our list, prioritize it,
6	and begin spending that money accordingly.
7	So that the first year of Adventure NY was
8	designed to do that.
9	We're continuing that this year and
10	we're continuing it for the foreseeable
11	future. We're seeing record tourism now in
12	the Adirondacks and Catskills. We want to
13	see people come and want to come back, and
L 4	part of it is giving them a good experience
15	when they're there. So key to that is
16	infrastructure.
17	So you have my commitment to look at
18	the Saranac Lake bathrooms and certainly pus
19	forth the Adventure NY package.
20	Ranger levels, we hear certainly quit
21	a bit about that. You know, our Rangers hav
22	done, since I've been in state government an

many, many years prior to that, they do

incredible work. I know you have a personal

23

1 connection to the Range	r force.
---------------------------	----------

2 ASSEMBLYMAN STEC: Yes. Yes, I do.

enough about what they do. And since I've been in office, I have made it my priority to give them everything that they need, both the Rangers and the Environmental Conservation Officers. They're on the front lines doing some of the most heroic and dangerous work.

And so I think there's a couple of things. We just graduated the second class, back-to-back classes. That hasn't happened probably in 10 or 15 years that we've had back-to-back classes. There was a large cut in force, the rangers and ECOs, back in the mid-to-late 2000s. So we're starting to restore those numbers. Actually, our ranger numbers are at the highest level in a couple of decades. So we're starting to rebuild that force. Same thing on the ECO side.

Record tourism. We get that people are going more intensively to some of these corridors, like the Route 73 corridor. It's triggering more rescues. We have an

1	obligation to spread the traffic out of it,
2	there's some benefits to that so you're not
3	impacting the resources as much where it is,
4	you're putting people into other areas of the
5	park. It also helps the hamlets in the
6	communities that need that benefit. And
7	using our partners out there to do some of
8	the maintenance work and keep our rangers and
9	ECOs focused on their core mission.
10	So I certainly have a commitment to
11	working with both forces to ensure they have
12	the right numbers, get the next academy going
13	when we can, and continue rebuilding the
14	force.

UMPs, completely agree with you.

There's UMPs that have been sitting on shelves for years. We started to look into those under my predecessor, Commissioner

Martens, have begun to do that in earnest over the last few years as well. Going to put those UMPs into action, because there are real needs in communities, and find ways in which to turn around projects more quickly.

Several of the big projects that we're

Τ	doing up in the Adirondacks right how are
2	gaining lots of attention. All of that
3	relies on being able to look at UMPs and do
4	quick turnaround on UMPs and working with APA
5	on SLMP issues.
6	So I don't know if I got everything in
7	there. SEQR, obviously we've done SEQR
8	amendments, attempting to streamline SEQR
9	through collaborative outreach. And that
10	obviously is its own beast, but we've made
11	some progress on that, and we'll be getting
12	out final regs shortly.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN STEC: Thanks,
14	Commissioner. Thanks, all.
15	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you.
16	SENATOR O'MARA: Senator Krueger.
17	SENATOR KRUEGER: Hi. Is it still
18	morning? I think so.
19	SENATOR O'MARA: Nope.
20	SENATOR KRUEGER: No, good afternoon.
21	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Good afternoon.
22	SENATOR KRUEGER: Good afternoon.
23	So many questions. So you already
24	answered one of my questions about plastic

1	bags with Pat Fahy. But I just want to
2	highlight how important it would be for the
3	Governor to pick a proposal, any proposal
4	yes, perhaps the bill Assemblymember
5	Englebright and I introduced yesterday, or
6	something else. But we need to either come
7	up with a statewide plan or make it clear the
8	localities can go forward specifically,
9	New York City, who we stopped from going
10	forward and said we'll come up with a
11	statewide plan.
12	So the report was very helpful, but we
13	have to actually operationalize somehow. And
14	I think leadership from the Governor's office
15	would make a huge difference in helping us
16	move forward down one of the roads.
17	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Understood.
18	I'll pass it along.
19	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
20	We're behind what New Jersey is
21	announcing it's planning on doing with
22	offshore wind targets. Can we realistically
23	move up our agenda?
24	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, I would

1	say that we're actually way ahead of
2	New Jersey on this. We started this process
3	several years ago to this is again, I'm
4	going to refer you to NYSERDA to answer much
5	of this, because they have the most expertise
6	on it.

But we started this process with offshore wind when I was in the chamber, so 2012-2013, starting the process of characterizing our offshore wind assets, the sensitive resources, you know, working with the federal government to determine what areas were appropriate for offshore wind.

The Governor put in a very aggressive commitment this year. We intend to honor that commitment over the next two years -- 800 megawatts, I believe it is. You may have to get some of the clarification from NYSERDA on this. But we very much see offshore wind as a huge part of certainly our downstate, statewide energy picture over the coming years.

And that's in part why we've been so vocal in our opposition to offshore oil

1	drilling, based on some of the concerns in
2	compatibility with those two programs.
3	SENATOR KRUEGER: So, you know, I will
4	follow up with NYSERDA. Thank you.
5	And you talked about this partly, but
6	if the EPF and the federal government
7	dramatically reduce the monies that we are
8	receiving from them going through your
9	agency, I think particularly around staffing
10	patterns, what are we cutting to make sure
11	that we still have the staff to do your
12	primary functions?
13	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, listen,
14	I'm not going to mince words. I'm very
15	concerned about what the EPA is proposing.
16	We have a potential 23 percent cut to federal
17	budgets to an agency that we rely on here in
18	New York.
19	It's not just that DEC relies on the
20	EPA, it's that the state itself relies on the
21	EPA. We have, you know, years, decades of
22	programs that the EPA has carried out
23	directly in New York State with their own
24	employees doing their own very important

1	work. And we've been working very closely
2	with them over that period. We have not seen
3	a proposed cut like this, with the likelihood
4	of success, frankly ever at least not in
5	my lifetime.

what's happening at the federal level. I know that the Congressional delegation that we're working with is also very concerned. I mean, they're talking about lining out certain programs like the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative or Long Island Sound. I mean, it's sort of an all-out assault on that budget.

We also get money directly from the EPA at DEC. We get EPA money at DEC for the implementation, the carrying out of federal environmental laws -- Clean Air Act, Clean Water Act, and certain other programs. So the prospect of a cut is concerning to us for very obvious reasons, because that would have a ripple effect on our staffing.

You know, thankfully the Governor is pushing back against the overall budget, but

1	certainly on the cuts to the EPA, he's put
2	out some statements about that. And I intend
3	to spend some of my time this spring down in
4	D.C. ensuring that our federal partners
5	understand that cuts from the EPA will be
6	real problems here in New York.
7	SENATOR KRUEGER: But what is our Plan
8	B in our state budget if
9	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, Plan B is
10	to fight back. And Plan A is the Governor
11	has given us a good budget this year. Right?
12	We have a in spite of a very significant
13	\$4.4 billion deficit, the Governor has held
14	DEC to a constant staffing level. He's
15	actually increased some of our resources over
16	the last two years through the EPF, through
17	the Clean Water Infrastructure Act,
18	continuation of the State Superfund. And
19	we've been given resources now to carry out
20	programs and do things that we were never
21	able to do as an agency.
22	So I think as a starting point, we're
23	in very good shape. Plan B is to fight back.

Plan C is I'll talk to you later, we'll

1	figure out what happens if the federal
2	government actually reaches this cut.
3	I will be honest with you, I am
4	optimistic that wisdom will prevail on a
5	potential cut to the EPA. I don't believe
6	it's going to happen because so many people
7	now recognize that the EPA is doing real work
8	that translates into jobs, real economic
9	activity, and protection of natural
10	resources. So hopefully we won't have to
11	have that Plan C conversation. But we're
12	going to spend an enormous amount of work
13	pushing back on a bad idea.
L 4	SENATOR KRUEGER: My time is up. But
15	can you estimate, if you did get the
16	23 percent cut from the EPA, what percentage
17	of your staff would be cut?
18	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: I don't know if
19	I can give you a specific number, but it
20	would be in the dozens at least.
21	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Assemblyman Otis.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: Thank you,
24	Commissioner. I wanted to thank you and DEC

1	and EFC for the great work on the Water
2	Infrastructure Improvement Act and talk a
3	little about some of the dynamics of that
4	going forward. But the implementation has
5	been great. In the three years of the
6	Municipal Water Grant Program, there have
7	been 300 different communities have gotten
8	funding, amounting to over \$500 million,
9	which is huge.

The need -- and I thank you and the Governor for staying steadfast in this budget with the program. The need is enormous. One of the great advocates for the program in the Hudson Valley has been the Construction Industry Council of Westchester and the Hudson River area. And they have done reports along the way tracking the need.

And so their estimate -- and they have submitted written testimony for this hearing today -- their estimate going forward in that the need in the Hudson Valley is going to be somewhere above \$640 million in new projects in the next five years. And I would estimate that that number is probably low, because

1	there are a number of communities that
2	haven't really done up the engineering to
3	know where they need to go.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

So the program is going to be with us at least until 2022, and that represents what a great commitment this has been. But I'm interested in your thoughts about what you're hearing on the demand side statewide. And just asking you to keep with it, and thank you for that, but also to look for opportunities to even continue to grow this in future years even beyond the big numbers that we have now. It's been -- one of the big successes of it has also been that many communities that weren't doing their water projects at all, because of the grants, now with the revolving loan fund piece, can come and do both. And we've seen a lot of new communities come and do projects that were not in the game at all.

So your comments on those questions.

But thank you and thank EFC and everybody at the staff level, both agencies.

24 COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: And thank you

1	for your advocacy on this. I mean, it's been
2	great to work with you on this over the last
3	few years.
4	Without a doubt, we've changed the
5	playing field in the last three years,
6	between the Water Infrastructure Improvement
7	Act and the Clean Water Infrastructure Act.
8	Those two, transformational. I mean, call
9	them generationally transformational, because
10	they are. It's not just the dollars that
11	we're putting into those programs, it's their
12	ability to leverage other dollars.
13	So you mentioned the \$500 million that
L 4	we've spent in three years. That's actually
15	leveraged over \$2 billion in projects.
16	That's a significant number. We've all heard
17	the statewide estimate. I think you put a
18	lot of stock in the exact nature of it,
19	because it is such a large number. But it is
20	a big number, multiple billion dollars,
21	30-some-billion dollars of need.
22	I think we all agree that there's that

need. But what we're finding now is that

these programs are working very well, we're

23

Τ	spending the money, we're getting the money
2	out the door very quickly. The interest in
3	the programs is extraordinary. And now the
4	interest, as you mentioned, in the Clean
5	Water Revolving Fund. Whereas we set much of
6	that money aside for lack of interest over
7	many, many years, now the grant program is
8	encouraging people to go and take those low-
9	or no-interest loans. So that helps us do
10	that leveraging that we were never able to do
11	in the past. It makes the programs more
12	attractive. And I credit all of you,
13	frankly, for pushing that through last year,
14	the Clean Water Infrastructure Act. It will
15	be with us through 2022, as you mentioned.
16	And it gives us a chance to begin addressing
17	that backlog.
18	The problem with it all is
19	obviously you start back in the '70s when
20	the federal government put a huge chunk of
21	money, grant money, in for the construction
22	of these plants. Then they walked away, and
23	it became a maintenance issue for the towns
24	to keep up with the need. And it was always

1	difficult for towns to, in some cases, take
2	these loans out, the municipalities, because
3	the rates were high or they just couldn't
4	afford it in their bottom lines.

I think we're starting to change that.

We're starting to see the implications of

maintaining drinking water, clean water in

the right way, in the sense that it protects

things like tourism assets, Niagara Falls

being a perfect example of that where you had

disinvestment for many years and the state

now having to step in and fix the problem, to

protect a -- not just aquatic resources, but

a real tourism economy.

So we're making good efforts, I think, on that. And I think, you know, the great Ross Pepe and the work that they're doing in the Hudson Valley -- you know, we work very closely with them and rely on their input as well as many other advocates within the state to ensure that there's a -- spending is going on, the public understands what we're doing, it's not just the visible infrastructure, it's critical infrastructure.

1	And by the way, we'll be taking that
2	message to the federal government, because we
3	need to protect the Clean Water and Drinking
4	Water Revolving Funds for the foreseeable
5	future.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: Well, thank you for
7	the good work. And I represent the Long
8	Island Sound portion of Westchester County,
9	those communities are using the program and
10	getting projects done. So thank you very
11	much.
12	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Great. Thank
13	you.
14	SENATOR O'MARA: Senator Betty Little.
15	SENATOR LITTLE: Thank you.
16	And thank you, Commissioner, and also
17	thank your staff and everyone under your
18	leadership who works very well with us in the
19	Legislature, I believe. So I thank you very
20	much for all your efforts.
21	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you,
22	Senator.
23	SENATOR LITTLE: A couple of things.
24	Invasive species has been a program I've

1	worked on since I got here, because of all
2	the lakes in the Adirondacks and the
3	North Country. I think we've made great
4	progress on the preventative side and put a
5	lot of money into that, with both washing
6	stations and the brochures and education
7	programs. Very, very important.

But on actual eradication, we still have a lot of lakes that need help in getting their eradication programs going. Even if it's a partial participation, at one time they could apply for funding, a municipality or a lake association, and get some help to remove the milfoil or the zebra mussels or whatever they had. And I know that -- I just want to bring to your attention and see if there's anything that we're going to be doing with that this year.

And before I leave invasives, of the 12 lakes, two are in my district, Lake George and Lake Champlain. And I think what we do learn on these 12 lakes are going to benefit all the other lakes, and there's thousands in New York State.

1	But it's more than just the HABs. And
2	I went out to the announcement, and I
3	understand that even invasive stormwater
4	runoff, anything that's going to affect the
5	water quality, is something that's going to
6	be looked at. Is that correct?
7	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: That's correct.
8	Every waterway will have different
9	problems associated with it, some of which
10	trigger HABs. So that's why you really need
11	an all-in approach. And it's almost a
12	no-regrets approach, right? If you're
13	controlling a stormwater problem that maybe
14	the source of or the trigger for HABs, well,
15	you're fixing a stormwater problem. Or if
16	it's spending money on a berm at a farm,
17	that's good news no matter what.
18	We need to ensure that we're matching
19	up the science with what we understand about
20	HABs, and it's all it's, again, different
21	on every lake. And sometimes we don't
22	entirely understand up-front what's causing
23	them. But our intent is to go out there and
24	put money towards projects that make sense

1	from a water quality perspective. We want to
2	tie it as closely as possible to HABs,
3	because we want to stop the HABs, but
4	investments in watershed protection pay off
5	for many, many reasons, and we intend to keep
6	that program going aggressively.
7	SENATOR LITTLE: Okay. And are we
8	going to do anything with the money for
9	invasives for eradication, maybe for local
10	governments and lakes associations again?
11	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: So I have always
12	been sympathetic to the request for
13	eradication money. I mean, in a perfect
14	universe, the best money is spent on
15	prevention because it becomes much more
16	expensive after the fact. But when you have
17	a waterway that's been impacted, you have to
18	do something about it.
19	So I am sympathetic to it. And I
20	think last year we had a conversation about
21	this. And I can get back to you with the
22	exact monies that we may have spent towards
23	eradication, unless Julie has that on hand.
24	But I'm comfortable pushing, you know, a

1	balance of money towards eradication efforts
2	while keeping the prevention monies whole.
3	I know we've done some great work this
4	year, there's now a boost in the EPF to help
5	put the boat washing detection station with
6	the DOT, and that should make a big
7	difference to the Adirondacks.
8	SENATOR LITTLE: Absolutely.
9	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thanks for your
10	work on that.
11	SENATOR LITTLE: And I'm looking
12	forward to all that and working on the lake
13	initiative.
14	And the Forest Rangers were
15	mentioned or forest products. But I can't
16	mention forests without talking about the
17	Forest Rangers and thanking them for their
18	extraordinary work, as well as your
19	Environmental Conservation people in the
20	Adirondacks. They've had some huge searches
21	this year overnight searches, cold, nasty
22	conditions and been very, very effective.
23	So thank them.
24	One of the things you know, the

1	480-a program was something that in 2004 I
2	was finally able to get some money for the
3	local governments. And for those who don't
4	understand, when you put your land in this
5	program, you get a reduction in assessment.
6	But therefore the local municipality loses
7	some of their land and tax value.
8	So this we did get that money, and
9	it did go into the AIM eventually, although
10	there's still a separate one for schools.
11	But that's my major concern about this
12	one, is how we work the money to the local
13	governments. And I know you went from
14	80 percent to 70 percent, I assume it's to do
15	less money, make it less costly. But on the
16	25-acre, I assume you're going to get a lot
17	more participants. And the only question
18	is two things there. You call for
19	certified foresters. Is that going to lead
20	to licensing of foresters, or how are you
21	doing that?
22	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: The certified
23	foresters, we would seek to incentivize the

program and ensure that the cuts being done

1	were being done in a sustainable manner. The
2	monies that some of the money we'd be
3	making available would go towards helping
4	landowners with the certifications of the
5	groups of landowners, that they effectively
6	would be able to benefit from pooling
7	resources on this.

But that's the objective. We want to ensure that the cuts are being done sustainably. I have no doubt that the program itself will increase. Because of the changes to the law, there will be more interest in it. The fact that we have a mix of both forest and open space as well will be attractive. And I think the work of the forest products industry to advise us on this to this point shows us that there's some real need and the chance to turn around some real benefits for the state as a whole.

SENATOR LITTLE: Yeah. And the only other question was the DEC approval of any plan, timbering plan. Some of them are down to 10 acres, 25 acres. Do you have enough staff for doing all of that?

1	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: We certainly
2	have enough staff to carry out the program,
3	there's no question about it. And
4	SENATOR LITTLE: Is it going to take
5	time to get those approvals or there's
6	some concern from those involved.
7	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: We certainly
8	want to run a program that is not burdensome
9	to the landowner. We're proposing something
10	because we think it will generate interest in
11	it, and it will generate real benefits for
12	the state. And we wouldn't be putting it
13	forward if we didn't think we could carry it
14	out.
15	This is going to be an important
16	aspect of the overall forestry picture in
17	New York State, given how much land is now in
18	private hands and given how the current
19	program has not fulfilled all the
20	expectations, perhaps, that we would have
21	wanted 10 or 15 years ago.
22	But from a staffing perspective, you
23	know, we're ready to carry this out. It's
24	going to take effect next year. But we've

1	been in the planning phase of this, frankly,
2	for three years.
3	SENATOR LITTLE: Is the approval just
4	an approval to make them eligible to get into
5	the program, or is it for everything going
6	forward?
7	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Want to say
8	something about the approval process?
9	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER TIGHE: So we
10	have two new programs that we're proposing.
11	One is the certification. That's the
12	70 percent that you reference. In those
13	cases, right now we don't have the ability to
L 4	just, okay, you're certified by, say, SFI or
15	FSE, and okay, you've checked the box, we're
16	going to give you this credit for that.
17	Under this new construct, that's what we're
18	proposing, that if you have one of those
19	approved and it's not limited to those
20	two, but it's whatever is approved and set
21	forth in regulations, then those would meet
22	that.
23	So and we're anticipating that

right now, this would be more of those larger

1	owners your Lyme Timber, your Finch Pruyn,
2	your International Paper. Those kind of
3	landowners are already certified, or many of
4	them are DEC-certified, by the way.
5	SENATOR LITTLE: It's the smaller
6	landowners that we're hearing from.
7	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TIGHE: Yeah. And
8	we've left open the opportunity for them to
9	do group certification, which doesn't exist
10	right now but we think that this could help
11	create that.
12	Secondly, there's a program that would
13	allow for a forest management program to come
14	in. That's actually a 40 percent reduction
15	in taxes, as opposed to the 70 percent,
16	recognizing that there would be less
17	obligations on the landowner. Some of it
18	would be, you know, forest timber harvests;
19	others could be different types of activities
20	related to wetlands restoration or invasive
21	species management that has to be done on at
22	least 10 acres of land.
23	And we are trying to do that in a way
24	that's more streamlined than the current

1	480-a program. And as a result of this, the
2	objective of the Division of Lands and
3	Forests is to spend less time on paperwork
4	and more time out in the field working with
5	the landowners to make sure that those
6	forests are being managed sustainably.
7	SENATOR LITTLE: Right. My only
8	concern is I wouldn't want to see, where they
9	have to have this DEC approval, a long
10	waiting period and losing a whole season
11	because of the waiting period.
12	But I look forward to working with you
13	on it.
14	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Us too.
15	SENATOR LITTLE: Thank you.
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
17	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
19	Assemblyman Thiele.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN THIELE: Thank you.
21	And first let me just thank you,
22	Commissioner, for your access and your
23	commitment to your job as commissioner of
24	environmental conservation. Greatly

T	appreciate the outreach that you we had with
2	the Legislature.
3	You know, in fact on Saturday night
4	I'm in the movies with my wife, and I get a
5	text from Julie on something that I had asked
6	her about earlier in the week, and I had to
7	explain for a minute why I was getting a text
8	from a woman named Julie on a Saturday night.
9	(Laughter.)
10	ASSEMBLYMAN THIELE: But I showed her
11	the text.
12	(Laughter.)
13	ASSEMBLYMAN THIELE: And Commissioner,
14	I appreciate you coming out to eastern
15	Long Island. Senator LaValle and I both
16	appreciate you coming out to meet with our
17	commercial fishermen. We have two of the
18	largest commercial fishing ports in the
19	state. And your attention to that issue has
20	been, you know, much appreciated across the
21	East End of Long Island.
22	And that really gets to my first
23	question. You know, out of that meeting, one
24	of the things came out of it, there's a

1	concern about New York State not getting its
2	fair share of the various quotas that are
3	allocated by the federal government. And the
4	Governor I think has recognized that also and
5	basically has directed the state to petition
6	the federal government for a fair share of
7	that quota, or to sue if the federal
8	government does not respond.

You know, since we met in November, can you just update us as to what the status of those efforts are?

COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: So when we met in November -- and thank you for having me out there. Actually, it was a great meeting. I learned quite a bit about the industry.

We were expecting a decision from the federal government in December on quotas.

We've been hammering them for several years about this, and we were expecting them to at least make a determination. I couldn't say that I was optimistic that New York would somehow get a better share; we've been on the receiving end of a fairly hostile allocation that's gone on now for many years. The

What they have done is effectively punted the decision all the way until maybe September or October of this year. So what should have been done in December is now not going to be done until almost a year later. That puts us in a bit of a bind from a litigation perspective, because you need to challenge an agency action that's a final action. So my question to my legal team now is what can we do in the interim, you know, short of litigation, to force the government's hand.

I think we have a fantastic case to make. I mean, the numbers themselves, on their face, are arresting. You know,

New York gets less than 10 percent of the share, and you have states like -- you know, smaller states where fishing isn't as important or isn't as vibrant get a much larger share. And there's a variety of reasons we've arrived at this point, but we have to get out of this point.

24 So if we petition over the next few

1	months based on the existing status, will the
2	federal government turn that around?
3	Possibly. But I think ultimately the force
4	of our arguments are going to be heard in the
5	court of law, as the Governor has said. We
6	intend to see that forward.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN THIELE: Okay. My second
8	question relates to something we've talked
9	about, and it has to do with the \$2.5 billion
10	water infrastructure improvement and a
11	particular application. And I've got the
12	East Hampton Press here; they give out gold
13	stars and dunce caps from time to time.
14	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Uh-oh.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN THIELE: Yeah. And I get
16	both from time to time myself. And so did
17	you. The you got a gold star for all the
18	efforts and the funding on the southern pine
19	beetle. And thank you, it really has made a
20	difference, particularly in the Town of East
21	Hampton.
22	But the dunce cap to the DEC was for
23	rejecting a \$1.75 million grant to replace

the Springs School's ancient septic system

1	solely because of the agency's own spelling
2	mistake. The grant would have cleaned up
3	Accabonac Harbor, but the DEC searched for
4	Accabonac using the old English spelling, as
5	opposed to the modern spelling on the list of
6	compromised water bodies, and failed to find
7	the harbor and rejected the application.

threaten the harbor today. That was one expensive "K." My question -- and I think it relates to whether or not, with all the increased responsibilities, whether you need more staff to implement this program. You know, the DEC -- I think the story about spelling is an apocryphal story. I doubt that that's true. But the fact of the matter is that the Springs School District was told that Accabonac Harbor was not an impaired water body, it was not on the Suffolk County Subwatersheds Plan.

And, you know, one Saturday -- you know, I work on the weekends too, Julie. One Sunday morning I was on the DEC website and quickly found that Accabonac Harbor is an

1	impaired water body, and I went to the
2	Suffolk County website and found out that
3	indeed Accabonac Harbor is on the Suffolk
4	County Subwatersheds Plan.
5	You know, there's great support on
6	Long Island for water quality and water
7	quality updates, but when something like this
8	happens, you know, it creates a concern with
9	regard to whether or not we're going to be
10	setting the right priorities for water
11	quality.
12	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: So you brought
13	us the Springs School issue several weeks
14	ago. We've been talking internally about how
15	that might be addressed. And then we still
16	have a few days until the vote, which I think
17	is the 6th, correct?
18	ASSEMBLYMAN THIELE: Right.
19	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: So I think more
20	to come on that particular point. I mean, I
21	ultimately want to address that problem, I
22	want to fix it, and understand that if we
23	don't get it kicked back into a competitive

process, you know, for the next round -- no

1	question that the Clean Water Infrastructure
2	Act is as important in Long Island as it is
3	anywhere. The septic problems and the
4	nitrogen problems on Long Island, the impacts
5	to the environment down there are
6	significant. We've been down there many
7	times with the Governor where he's seen some
8	of the problems himself, and we've dedicated
9	money towards fixing those.
10	So you have my commitment to at least
11	try to fix the Springs School problem. I
12	told you that, and I definitely will try to
13	do it until the very last minute.
14	And in terms of staffing, I'll say it
15	again, I think we've been given more
16	resources than ever to manage this program to
17	manage clean water. We're doing it
18	extraordinarily well. Are we perfect all the
19	time? No. That's why we have an iterative
20	process. And we want to ensure that the
21	grants that we want to make available towards
22	fixing problems are being made quickly and

being given out as broadly as possible to fix

problems; in particularly, the priority water

23

1	problems like the one you referenced.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN THIELE: And I will be
3	sure to tell the East Hampton Press that your
4	office has been in constant contact with my
5	office and with Senator LaValle's office
6	trying to address the Springs issue. And I
7	do appreciate the attention and the focus
8	that you put into that, and it's greatly
9	appreciated. Thank you.
10	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
12	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
13	Senator O'Mara.
14	SENATOR O'MARA: Yes, thank you,
15	Commissioner. I just would be remiss, as I
16	didn't the first time around, to thank you
17	and your staff, particularly Julie and Ken,
18	for the great relationship that we have and
19	working relationship over the years that I've
20	been chairman and you've been commissioner.
21	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you. I'll
22	echo that. Thank you.
23	SENATOR O'MARA: And now I'll move on
24	to tough questions again.

1	(Laughter.)
2	SENATOR O'MARA: I want to echo the
3	concerns of Assemblyman Englebright and a few
4	others on the staffing issues at DEC and the
5	significant length of time it seems to take
6	the department to make decisions on a
7	multitude of issues. It continues to be a
8	concern over the years, and I don't see the
9	department pushing hard enough to shorten
10	time frames on the decision-making process.
11	What is going on in that regard?
12	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Is there a
13	specific example that you have in mind,
14	Senator?
15	SENATOR O'MARA: Well, there's
16	several, but one pending one that's in its
17	eighth or ninth year now is the underground
18	gas storage in Watkins Glen. And the length
19	of time that process goes on is neither good
20	for the industry or the community. It's
21	extremely problematic, an extremely divisive
22	issue. Dragging it out doesn't help anybody.
23	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: That matter has

been now with our Hearings Division for some

1	time. The administrative law judge in that,
2	as you probably are aware, made a
3	determination back in October, perhaps, that
4	there were no issues for adjudication. I
5	can't get into the details of that, but now
6	that issue is on appeal. Ultimately, I'm the
7	final decisionmaker on that. When the
8	appeals process is exhausted, that comes to
9	me.

So I know we've spoken about this the last two budget hearings prior to this in a row. I would just say on that particular project, it's now nearing the point where a determination can be made because it's gone through the hearing phase, appeals are underway, and we've gotten appeals from over several dozen parties. And then once that's finally on my desk, I'll be making a determination on that.

SENATOR O'MARA: But that particular incident was I think about five years before it went to an issues conference. Which is way too long to determine whether an issues conference is needed. And then the

1	administrative law judge got it and didn't
2	give you a decision for two and a half years
3	That's unconscionable delays in these
4	processes.

What control do you have over the administrative law judge panels that are out there? And can judges like this that take this long be removed from that panel?

COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, listen, I mean on a contentious issue like this, a big issue where you have dozens of parties that are involved and multiple aspects of a project that are under consideration, they take time inherently to understand. You're reviewing not only, you know, complicated submissions from applicants but submissions from within the agency itself.

I can push our staff in many ways, and I am doing that on many other projects. This one is in a different place. I was eager to get this one through hearings and get a decision on this. I think what you saw was a result of an enormous amount of work that went on behind the scenes. And there are

and they go into hearings, and the hearings office is making determinations. I'll tell you that the hearings office is actually pumping out decisions at a much higher level than when I first came in. I've been signing orders on a very frequent basis Some of the more contentious ones take more		
and they go into hearings, and the hearings office is making determinations. I'll tell you that the hearings office is actually pumping out decisions at a much higher level than when I first came in. I've been signing orders on a very frequent basis Some of the more contentious ones take more time. But I've been encouraging them to move	1	many other issues like it where, you know,
office is making determinations. I'll tell you that the hearings office is actually pumping out decisions at a much higher level than when I first came in. I've been signing orders on a very frequent basis Some of the more contentious ones take more time. But I've been encouraging them to move	2	complicated decisions come before the agency
I'll tell you that the hearings office is actually pumping out decisions at a much higher level than when I first came in. I've been signing orders on a very frequent basis Some of the more contentious ones take more time. But I've been encouraging them to move	3	and they go into hearings, and the hearings
is actually pumping out decisions at a much higher level than when I first came in. I've been signing orders on a very frequent basis Some of the more contentious ones take more time. But I've been encouraging them to move	4	office is making determinations.
higher level than when I first came in. I've been signing orders on a very frequent basis Some of the more contentious ones take more time. But I've been encouraging them to move	5	I'll tell you that the hearings office
been signing orders on a very frequent basis Some of the more contentious ones take more time. But I've been encouraging them to move	6	is actually pumping out decisions at a much
9 Some of the more contentious ones take more 10 time. But I've been encouraging them to move	7	higher level than when I first came in. I've
10 time. But I've been encouraging them to move	8	been signing orders on a very frequent basis.
	9	Some of the more contentious ones take more
11 quickly.	10	time. But I've been encouraging them to move
	11	quickly.

I don't think we're at the point where we need to talk about changing gears within hearings. I think we have, you know, several major cases that are under review. And I want to give my staff the chance to thoroughly review those. I want to give my law judges the chance to thoroughly consider those and not unduly prejudice the outcomes.

But when it's fully in my control, if it's in the control of the staff, that's something I think we can move more swiftly when the legal process isn't in play.

24 SENATOR O'MARA: It's these delays

1	that don't necessarily well, not
2	necessarily, they don't at all lay out the
3	welcome mat for industry in this state.
4	And the Governor's Open for Business
5	slogan is not helped by these types of
6	delays, either within DEC or anywhere else in
7	the state that's out of your control, the
8	department's.
9	But it's everywhere. And I go back
10	to, again, it hurts our credibility of what
11	we're trying to do economically in this state
12	when things can't get done.
13	On just a couple of other smaller
L 4	matters. We talked a couple of years in a
15	row about the status of vehicles for
16	Environmental Conservation Officers. I know
L7	we talked about it at length last year. And
18	I think we ended up getting fewer vehicles
19	last year than the year before.
20	Where are we now, and what are you
21	planning on for this year?
22	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: We got more
23	vehicles last year than we did the year prior

to that. We have about a comparable number

1	this	year	that	we'	re	dedicating	to	the	ECOs
2	and I	Rangei	îs.						

I think we've actually gotten over the hump. There was the years of disinvestment in 2008 to '10, or maybe 2007 to '10, where the state wasn't buying vehicles. Because of that chunk of years where you had a void, you had older vehicles coming into service and being used longer, and we had to catch up to it.

We're not a hundred percent there on the ECOs and Rangers, but we're making a real dent in it. I know it because I go to a lot of the rescues and incidents that they have, and I'm seeing far more newer vehicles than the older ones that sort of typified the agency back in 2010, 2011. And I think it's, you know, something that in two or three years we'll be past that time because the useful life of those old vehicles will frankly be behind us.

But it's been one of my -- one of my commitments was the commitment to the forces when I first came in that I would seek to

1	modernize the fleets as quickly as possible.
2	We've gotten a dispensation from the Budget
3	Division to do so. And we'll continue to do
4	that and get us into sort of a carrying
5	capacity moving forward once we reach that
6	point.
7	SENATOR O'MARA: Thank you. And
8	that's certainly a priority for myself and
9	many others, for the safety and frankly just
10	the appearance of the department.
11	Are there any classes pending for
12	EnCon Officers or Forest Rangers at this
13	point?
14	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Nothing pending.
15	We just finished the second back-to-back
16	class in August, and we're talking right now
17	internally about timing the scheduling of the
18	next class. But it's my intent to push that
19	forward as quickly as possible.
20	SENATOR O'MARA: How many came out of
21	the last back-to-back classes?
22	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: We had about 50
23	graduate last time, maybe 55 the class
24	before. I'd have to look at the exact

1	numbers. It's Ecos and rangers. So what
2	we're trying to do is make up for the years
3	where there wasn't any no classes were
4	being held. Again, the same time period, the
5	late 2000s, during the fiscal crisis. And
6	then, you know, make up and then also match
7	for retirements. The force in certain
8	respects is aging. We want to make sure that
9	we're bringing in enough new classes to cover
10	retirements and attrition. And that
11	hopefully will happen in the next year or so.
12	SENATOR O'MARA: Thank you.
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
14	Assemblyman Colton.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN COLTON: Yes, thank you,
16	Commissioner. I also appreciate your raising
17	in your comments the issue of climate change
18	and the urgency of it, which I think is at a
19	crisis stage and it's going to become even
20	more of a crisis as we deal with policies
21	coming from Washington, D.C.
22	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Agreed.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN COLTON: As you pointed
24	out, New York has a goal currently of

Ţ	50 percent renewable energy by 2030. Can you
2	tell us where we are at this point in that?
3	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Yes, I think one
4	of the maybe Senator Krueger raised a
5	similar question. And I'd encourage you to
6	raise that with NYSERDA today. They track
7	more of the compliance towards those targets
8	than DEC does.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN COLTON: I will,
10	definitely.
11	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN COLTON: There's a study
13	you are conducting with NYSERDA regarding how
14	to reach 100 percent renewable. And a
15	report, I assume, will be coming out. Do we
16	have any idea as to when that report will be
17	released to the public?
18	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: We're in the
19	late stages of that report now, working with
20	NYSERDA. Expect to release it to the public
21	at some point probably this spring.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN COLTON: Okay. The
23	Governor announced that solar power in
24	New York has increased more than

1	1,000 percent from 2011 to 2017, and there
2	was more than \$2.8 billion in private
3	investment in New York's economy.
4	Do we know what is the percentage of
5	the state's electricity currently coming from
6	solar?
7	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: I don't know the
8	percentage. I'm not sure we at DEC know
9	that. Certainly NYSERDA and/or DPS would
10	have a better sense of the percentages.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN COLTON: Because according
12	to U.S. Energy Information Administration
13	Sources, they estimate about 3 percent for
14	wind and solar energy at the current time,
15	which is certainly a lot more needs to be
16	done.
17	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Okay.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN COLTON: Also, the
19	administration has talked about the state
20	generating about a quarter of its electricity
21	from renewables. And a large portion of that
22	comes from hydro-generated electricity. Do
23	you know of any hydro-generated electricity
24	projects that have come online within, say,

1 th∈	e last year?
-------	--------------

2	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: I don't
3	personally know of any new ones that have
4	come online in the last year. I know there's
5	a mix of hydro upstate in the Mohawk and
6	Hudson Valleys, Great Sacandaga. The largest
7	source of hydropower in New York is the
8	Robert Moses Dam, Moses-Saunders Dam up on
9	the St. Lawrence River. It produces an
10	enormous amount of hydro for the state. I
11	believe there's also hydro coming in from
12	or at least proposed to come in from Quebec.
13	So hydro is an important component of it.
14	I think probably NYSERDA would have a
15	better sense of the actual timing or NYPA,
16	for that matter, coming on today as well
17	the actual timing of various projects that
18	are either under development or when they
19	came into effect.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN COLTON: I also would
21	agree with your comments that it is going to
22	be absolutely essential for all state
23	agencies to work together and have a plan

that will generate reaching the goals that we

1	set and the benchmarks to see how we're
2	coming along the line to do that.
3	I think that, you know, that needs to
4	be something that I hope we'll see comes
5	about, because I think this is a crisis that
6	we simply cannot ignore in the future. I
7	think it's going to have disastrous impacts
8	upon us.
9	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: I agree with
10	you.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN COLTON: Also, it was
12	mentioned by the Senator about the Seneca
13	Lake incinerator project. And first of all,
14	I think that certainly, you know, that is not
15	something that would be described as
16	renewable energy. I think that we should not
17	include that in when we consider renewable
18	energy.

Also, I had a project in my own district where an incinerator operated without a permit for some 30 years in the past -- this was in the '70s and the '80s and the '90s -- and with 18 consent orders. And I think DEC in those past administrations

1	miserably failed to protect the people in
2	this regard. And I think we're still,
3	50 years later, feeling the consequences of
4	the disaster of those actions.
5	So I think DEC must be very vigilant
6	in terms of making sure that every permit
7	that is required is issued and that there be
8	a real transparency in terms of the
9	procedures and the proceedings, whether it's
10	SEQRA or some other procedure, that the
11	public must be included. And there must be a
12	real transparency. Because what happened in
13	my community illustrates the disastrous
14	results when DEC fails to do that kind of
15	protection.
16	So I certainly would be interested in,
17	you know, what happens in Seneca Lake. That
18	is I think, you know, has a tremendous
19	impact on our community.
20	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: You have my
21	commitment to a transparent and vigilant
22	process.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN COLTON: Thank you.
24	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Certainly we are

Т	required to do that, and we should be doing
2	that.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN COLTON: Thank you.
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
5	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you.
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate?
7	SENATOR KRUEGER: Senator Pam Helming
8	SENATOR HELMING: Thank you, Senator.
9	Commissioner, thanks again.
10	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Senator.
11	SENATOR HELMING: To the Assemblyman,
12	thank you for your comments and your support
13	regarding Seneca Lake.
14	Just a quick comment on that. So the
15	Article 10 process strips any local
16	decision-making. What it does is it takes it
17	out of the locals' hands and it puts it into
18	the hands of five state agencies, the leaders
19	of those agencies, I believe, and two town
20	representatives.
21	But really what I wanted to get on to
22	was the third topic on my list today, and
23	that is Plan 2014 and the devastating
24	flooding that occurred along the southern

1	shores of Lake Ontario this past year. And I
2	want to thank the DEC because you were there
3	helping us during the flooding doing whatever
4	we could to protect.

But, Commissioner, I go back to your words that prevention is always the best measure. And along those lines, I'm wondering what type of funding or resources are in this year's budget to help develop resiliency plans for those folks who live or own businesses along the southern shore of Lake Ontario.

COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, Senator, I agree with you, it's a major issue. Planning for resiliency and carrying that out, mindful of the likelihood of future flooding events, is really important.

I mean, we're working very closely
with the Department of State, spending monies
largely through the EPF on resiliency
projects, and have been doing that for
several years. There's certain limitations
as to how those monies can be spent. EPF
money, for example, can't be spent on private

1	property. So, you know, when we're talking
2	about resiliency through the EPF, we're
3	talking largely about making municipal or
4	state-owned facilities more resilient. That
5	doesn't help the people in low-lying areas
6	who were subject to flooding.

So one of the things that the Governor did several weeks ago was write a letter to the Army Corps regarding the need to take advanced measures on Lake Ontario. It's a program called the Advance Measure Program -- I know you're familiar with -- so that the Army Corps can use some of its resources and dollars to put up temporary structures in the event of flooding. Things like dams, levies. When I say temporary, it might be slightly longer than a year or two, even three, because it can last for a longer period of time.

So we're encouraging the federal government to step up, spend their money, dedicate their resources to fix those problems. I have a meeting coming up shortly with the colonel in charge of the coastline,

_		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
1	Tako	$\cap n + a r i \cap$	coastline.
T	наке	OHLGITO	COGSTITE.

2	The other projects that we have going
3	on on the lake you know, we have studies
4	underway not just on Lake Ontario but
5	elsewhere in the Hudson Valley and
6	Mohawk River that have fully characterized
7	the watershed and its impacts and how you can
8	then go spend dollars quickly on those.
9	They're meant to fast-track spending. You
10	see that in the Mohawk Valley. I know that's
11	not your district, but, you know, the MMI
12	studies that we did there facilitated very
13	quick investment on the Sauquoit Creek, where
14	you had a big flash flood last summer. So
15	had we not done those studies, we would have
16	been behind the 8 ball.
17	So my intent is to bring that to Lake
18	Ontario as well, the shoreline there, ensure
19	we understand that

SENATOR HELMING: When? Can you give me some timeline? And also because I don't want to get cut off, I'm going to ask a couple more questions and maybe you could answer it all together.

1	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Okay. Okay.
2	SENATOR HELMING: Do the DEC and the
3	Governor have an official position on Plan
4	2014? And how does the DEC feel about the
5	first year of implementation of this plan?
6	Has it been successful, in the DEC's mind, as
7	far as from an environmental impact?
8	Because what I want to just share with
9	you is I stood out there for months, I
10	watched that flooding come up the shore, I
11	watched when it started to recede. And when
12	you talk about environmental impacts and lake
13	quality and protecting lakes, the stuff that
14	was washed back into that lake the oils,
15	the toxins, everything from that flooding
16	it was just devastating. And I'm wondering
17	how the DEC measures the impact to the lake
18	as a result of flooding.
19	Also, when you look at low-lying
20	communities like Sodus Point, I believe in
21	the Edgemere Drive area in Greece, and also
22	in Cayuga County, maybe in the Fair Haven
23	area these low-lying areas, their flood

threshold is say around 240 feet. The

Τ	trigger point for releasing water, according
2	to Plan 2014, is higher than that.
3	So I'm not a scientist, but common
4	sense tells you that they can always
5	anticipate flooding. And the IJC confirmed
6	that during a public hearing that Senator
7	O'Mara, Assemblyman Bob Oaks, and several
8	other of my colleagues, we held this summer.
9	They acknowledged that we're going to have
10	this flooding, and they put the onus back
11	onto the state DEC, saying that you should be
12	responsible for resiliency plans and
13	protecting your communities.
14	So what are we doing to protect our
15	communities? How are we getting the message
16	out there? What's being done right now,
17	since the water levels are so high and we're
18	going to flood again?
19	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Right. Well,
20	I'll tell you this. Water levels are above
21	average right now
22	SENATOR HELMING: Just like last year.
23	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: not just in
24	Lake Ontario, but in the entire basin. In my

1	view, and in the view of our scientists,
2	likely it's the natural water cycle. There
3	may be more water in the basin, for whatever
4	reason. There's been more snow in the last
5	five or 10 years. So we're dealing with a
6	natural condition.
7	Last year I believe most of the

Last year I believe most of the problems we saw were related to that precipitation, some of that runoff.

Nonetheless, when we have that much water in the basin, we need to act quickly to release water. I'm happy to report that not only has NYPA increased -- and they'll be able to testify to this later today. Not only have they increased water levels recently in the last few weeks to accelerate water going over the Moses-Saunders Dam to keep the water levels down in the lake, they may I believe today have just increased water levels again and may do so again in the coming weeks.

This is -- I would call it a slightly more responsive IJC than we saw last year.

My staff met with them three weeks ago. One of the demands that we had was we need you to

1	start releasing water the minute you see a
2	potential problem coming. And what we've
3	seen since that meeting in fact is three
4	commitments, two or three commitments to
5	reduce water levels by increasing water
6	flows.

So today's action is encouraging,
unlike last year, when you had extreme
flooding in Montreal which really prevented
us from letting more water out. That was due
in part to the Ottawa River being also very
high in flows. You don't have that condition
this year. So we have the ability and
there's more capacity downstream for us to
take action now.

And I've been encouraged certainly at least to this point by the IJC's willingness to entertain that and give directives to NYPA to begin increasing those flows. So we don't believe, you know, that this summer will be a repeat of last year's. But right now the data we're seeing is instructing us to do as much as we can to abate that, and I think we are at that point right now.

1	SENATOR HELMING: Commissioner, so is
2	that a yes or a no to do the DEC or Governor
3	have an official position on Plan 2014?
4	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: No. So as you
5	may know, Plan 2014 was a federal decision.
6	SENATOR HELMING: Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: I spoke a number
8	of times with the federal government,
9	including the White House on a couple of
10	occasions, encouraging them that if they did
11	the program, that they had to merge the
12	program with funding for mitigation.
13	They didn't coordinate with us on the
14	release of the plan itself, and ultimately
15	they came out with a plan that had no
16	mitigation funding along with it. That was a
17	major failure of the IJC, it was a major
18	failure of the prior administration. They
19	should have listened to what we were saying.
20	Regardless of whether or not the water levels
21	were going to be as high as they were, it
22	would have been prudent government to just
23	get the money out there for mitigation
24	projects, because we knew that Plan 2014

1	would lead to higher highs and lower lows and
2	more damage, and that more investment would
3	have to happen. Instead, they shifted the
4	burden to the state and all of you to come up
5	with the money for those projects.
6	SENATOR HELMING: But reading through

SENATOR HELMING: But reading through the book that, Julie, you gave me in the elevator, the latest publication from the IJC -- you said it came from Lana Pollack -- in there I was shocked to see that in New York State -- the IJC held 13 listening sessions. Only two of them were in New York State, and they were way over in Buffalo.

And I didn't see in there, but maybe I missed it -- or maybe it is in there -- did

New York State officially take that position that you just explained? Was that ever put in writing to the IJC?

And also, again, does the DEC consider this first year of the implementation of Plan 2014 as a success? I remember last year I think a similar question was asked by Senator O'Mara on Plan 2014, and I thought the answer had something to do with "this is

1	necessary	to	increase	wetlands."

And my concern is, how do you balance the need to increase wetlands against the detriment to destroying people's homes, their property, and small businesses that have been around for decades?

COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Mm-hmm. Well, listen, I mean I -- full disclosure, my in-laws have a couple of properties on the river that were heavily impacted last year, so I'm aware of the damage firsthand that resulted from the high water levels.

You know, we -- we're at the point where we look back over 10 years of how this Plan 2014, which used to be called Plan BB7, how that came to be. I think there were admirable reasons for some of it being introduced, because, you know, the creation of wetlands generates more business for hunting and fishing and boating and everything else.

At the same time, there were and have been concerns raised about the increased damages. And, you know, the meetings that

1	were held, the stakeholder meetings predated
2	me, I don't think I was in the chamber for
3	when some of those meetings were happening.
4	Much of the early work on this, when New York
5	State was heavily involved, predates the
6	Cuomo administration. That took place
7	largely in the past administration.
8	But fast forward, do I think Plan 2014
9	has been a success? It's hard to say
10	Plan 2014 has been a success when you had
11	such a high water level in year one. It's
12	probably almost the worst year that they
13	could have launched Plan 2014, because
14	everyone will have connected the conditions
15	we saw, which were natural conditions, with
16	the plan itself and the inability,
17	frankly, of the IJC to quickly pivot, quickly
18	make decisions and remain transparent and
19	remain sympathetic to the needs of homeowners
20	over shipping interests and other interests.
21	So I don't think year one was a
22	success by any stretch. We've told that to
23	the IJC. I had them in my office telling
24	them that. The letter that we sent to them

1	demanded more accountability and transparency
2	this year, and faster decision-making.
3	Ultimately, it's the federal government
4	decision to proceed with Plan 2014 or not.
5	Until they make a different determination,
6	it's our job as a state to ensure they're
7	letting water out quickly, regularly, and
8	protecting homeowners and the lakeshore.
9	SENATOR HELMING: Right now the
10	Governor is attacking the federal government
11	on every single issue. I'd like to see some
12	pressure put on the federal government about
13	Plan 2014 and getting that changed.
14	I mean, again, it all goes back to
15	those higher highs and those lower lows. We
16	know as a fact even normal weather conditions
17	are going to result in flooding in some of
18	our communities.
19	Thank you.
20	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you.
21	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Hi, Commissioner.
23	One, I want to say thank you very much
24	for the cooperative and immensely helpful

T	efforts by DEC during the flooding last
2	spring, summer, fall of Lake Ontario, because
3	so many people were needing immediate help.
4	And I know your permitting process, you know,
5	you did probably 10 times as many as you
6	would normally do in a season. And so thank
7	you for that.
8	I think once we've gotten beyond that
9	flood stage, though, I would say that we're
10	slower to respond, and obviously you moving
11	more to your normal process of, you know,
12	looking at things. But I do have the concern
13	that we are about where we were a year ago.
14	And there are people trying to do some
15	resiliency projects, but we're not getting
16	fast enough the approvals from DEC.
17	So some to comments perhaps that
18	Senator O'Mara talked to in a general sense,
19	but clearly we're seeing that now as a
20	possibility for this coming year again. And
21	so just that concern of responding to those.
22	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: We certainly
23	learned a great deal last year about our
24	capabilities. And I think we issued

1	something like 3,000 or 4,000 permits within
2	48 hours of receipt, which is an
3	extraordinarily undertaking. We shifted many
4	of our permitting folks from other regions,
5	we actually surged them into lakeshore areas.
6	We had opened up some permitting offices, we
7	were, you know, literally right at the
8	lakeshore proximate to people's homes.

So we learned quite a bit about our capabilities, our ability to turn around permits quickly -- again, 3,000 or 4,000, I don't have the exact number offhand, 3,000 or 4,000 permits that we got out there, and have begun to coordinate internally in preparation for the next summer, for the next potential event. And I'm hoping that we're not going to see another return to last year. But we'll be ready again to run those permits through.

And I think if there are individual resiliency projects that aren't getting the attention they need in this interim period, people should just pick up the phone and call me -- call us. And I'm eager to see these

1	projects move forward as quickly as possible.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: One of the
3	things I know Senator Helming just talked
4	about somewhat Plan 2014, obviously. I guess
5	one of the things that I would look at is one
6	of the weaknesses of it. You just talked
7	about going to greater outflow, which is a
8	positive, I applaud that, whatever. But my
9	understanding is that's about 9 inches below
10	a trigger level which would normally say "get
11	going."
12	And so that seems to be, in my sense,
13	an inherent problem with the plan, is that
14	the way the trigger levels have been done, it
15	anticipates or expects there will be
16	flooding and doesn't anticipate the ability.
17	And so I like what, you know, is being
18	done, but again my concern would be that the
19	plan itself, if we aren't doing, you know,
20	your type of advocacy and others at this
21	point, that the plan would allow us to be
22	back to or at least have the greater threat
23	of the flooding that we

COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, I'll tell

1	you, I mean, the plan may need to be modified
2	in certain ways. I mean, there may need to
3	be greater safeguards for trigger levels.
4	And I think that's a conversation that we
5	would be willing to have. I've got
6	Great Lakes staff who know this issue very
7	well and are willing to get involved and
8	address trigger levels.
9	You know, I agree with you I mean,
10	we're spending an enormous amount of time
11	advocating for action now. I mean, NYPA is
12	very aggressive on this as well. The
13	Governor is aggressive on it. We're all
14	aggressive on it. But I think that
15	ultimately it has to run itself. And after
16	year one, let's see what year two brings us.
17	If we're not seeing the right kinds of
18	responses, then I think we're going to need
19	to at least get some more flexibility from
20	the federal government.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: I'm sure you'll
22	have some eager legislators from that area to
23	work with you on that.

Jumping, actually, to another point

1	that's been brought up, the proposed
2	incinerator in the Finger Lakes. You said
3	that you haven't received it yet. When you
4	get it, you'll give it your normal fair
5	hearing.
6	I know the concern is obviously
7	there's been a lot of opposition at the local
8	level. The company I believe started out
9	going the local route for approval; seeing
10	that that wasn't going to be likely, moved to
11	the Article 10 process. And, you know, the
12	major concern, I know Article 10 allows some
13	input, but decision-making is not is taken
14	out of the hands of the local area.
15	Just hope that, you know, the local
16	input will be taken extremely seriously. And
17	I think others have laid out some of the
18	concerns with that.
19	I guess I just have a general comment.
20	Do we know, since Article 10 became law, how
21	many projects have been approved in the
22	state?
23	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: I couldn't give

you the exact statistic offhand. I know the

1	first wind project is just getting through
2	Article 10 right now. I'm not aware of
3	another incinerator project that has come
4	into Article 10. I'd have to get you
5	statistics on that. Some of my successors
6	today might be able to answer that more
7	specifically. But it's probably a relatively
8	low number.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you,
10	Commissioner.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Commissioner, I
12	know you've addressed some of the components
13	of the Clean Water Infrastructure Act of last
14	year, and I just had a question about one of
15	the components. It also the act includes
16	\$200 million for projects in the New York
17	City watershed. And I want to know if you
18	could share with us what projects are under
19	consideration, and what's the timeline for
20	these projects?
21	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: So we're working
22	very closely with New York City DEP on this.
23	I'd have to give you a follow-up on that as
24	to where each of the projects is in the

1	pipeline.
2	But we have been, frankly, talking
3	with DEP for the better part of 25 years on
4	projects in the watershed, in the memorandum
5	of understanding that really charted out the
6	upstate the protection of the reservoir
7	system and the filtration avoidance
8	determination. We want those projects to
9	ultimately support that effort. There are
10	other major projects within the five boroughs
11	helping them comply with the consent orders
12	that we have on the books on wastewater
13	discharges, green infrastructure.
14	But I can give you a follow-up email
15	as to exactly where all those projects are.
16	I'd be happy to.
17	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
18	Thank you for being here today.
19	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you.
20	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay. I think that
21	we're done with the questioning. So we

24 COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you,

being here today.

22

23

really appreciate you, Commissioner, for

1	Senator.
2	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: And thank you, and
3	look forward to continuing to work with you.
4	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Likewise. Good
5	to see you all. Thanks.
6	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
7	Our next speaker is Commissioner Rose
8	Harvey, from the New York State Office of
9	Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation.
10	(Discussion off the record.)
11	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Careful,
12	Commissioner.
13	Welcome.
14	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Look forward to
16	your testimony.
17	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: It was a quick
18	transition.
19	Good morning. Good morning,
20	Chairwoman Young, Chairwoman Weinstein,
21	Senator Funke and Assemblyman O'Donnell, and
22	all the distinguished members of the State
23	Legislature. Thanks for inviting me to give
24	this testimony.

1	As commissioner of Parks, Recreation
2	and Historic Preservation, I oversee
3	New York's outstanding system of 250
4	individual state parks, historic sites, boat
5	launches and recreational trails. And I'm
6	also very fortunate to lead an incredibly
7	talented, dedicated and hardworking staff who
8	take great pride in caring for their
9	properties and providing meaningful
10	experiences to all our visitors.
11	We New Yorkers are united in the
12	belief that public parkland deeply benefits
13	ourselves, our society, our community, our
14	state. Parks are our common ground. They're
15	the lands, the refuges, the open space, the
16	history that belong to all of us and benefit
17	all of us.
18	The park system had a very robust year
19	in 2017. Despite a very rainy peak season
20	and extensive flooding along Lake Ontario, we
21	welcomed more than 71 million visitors,
22	continuing the system's steady increase in
23	attendance since 2011 14 million, a total
24	23 percent increase. Also a recent economic

1	impact study commissioned by Parks & Trails
2	New York showed that our system annually
3	supports \$5 billion in output and sales,
4	54,000 private-sector jobs, and more than
5	\$2.8 billion in additional state GDP. And
6	that's an increase from previous studies.
7	That is, every dollar spent by or on behalf
3	of State Parks generates \$9 in sales
9	statewide.

The 2018-'19 Executive Budget provides for excellent stewardship for the lands and the historic sites entrusted to our care, while maintaining the fiscal discipline needed in uncertain times. It provides level funding for agency operations and programs, continues our baseline \$90 million annual capital appropriation, and maintains the Environmental Protection Fund at its historic high.

The capital allocation will continue through our NY Parks 2020 initiative, and will continue to reverse decades of decline and neglect in our parks and transform and modernize them for the 21st century. Since

1	the Governor launched this initiative, this
2	\$900 million multiyear investment, with your
3	huge support and we're very thankful for
4	that we have initiated more than 700
5	improvement projects across the state, with
6	more than 60 percent directed at rebuilding
7	deteriorated infrastructure, reopening
8	formerly closed facilities, rehabilitating
9	underused facilities and structures, and
10	weaving in resiliency throughout that and
11	emphasizing stewardship projects.
12	As well, in partnership with the
13	National Park Service and the City of
14	New York, it's our intention to open a new
15	State Park in Jamaica Bay in Brooklyn,
16	hopefully in 2019, as part of the Governor's
17	Vital Brooklyn initiative.
18	In the first preliminary phase, the
19	state will invest up to \$15 million to open
20	the site to the public, creating 3.5 miles of
21	waterfront paths and trails and new places
22	for biking, hiking, kayaking and fishing for
23	communities that have very little parkland.

In fully funding the EPF, the

1	Executive Budget will enhance and is
2	crucially important to the stewardship of our
3	state's natural and cultural resources, and
4	it will help us connect more people to the
5	environment.
6	Schools in every part of the state are
7	taking advantage of the Connect Kids to Parks
8	grant program, which provides particularly
9	Title 1 school districts free educational
10	field trips to our parks, our historic sites,
11	and DEC environmental centers. State Parks
12	now reaches 250,000 schoolchildren annually
13	in its education program, and that's up from
14	75,000 in 2011.
15	Our Division for Historic Preservation
16	continues to lead the nation in its
17	preservation programming. This past year we
18	added over 1,500 properties to the National
19	Register of Historic Places, which in turn
20	brings and is a gateway to protections and
21	preservation initiatives for these sites that
22	are so important to our state history.

24 Preservation Office reviewed 18,000

23

Last year the State Historic

submissions for potential impacts to cultural resources, and we dropped our review time down to an average of 10 days, and that is with our new digital CRIS system.

Governor Cuomo's 2013 enhancements to the State Historic Rehabilitation Tax Credit Program, with really strong support from the Legislature, helped trigger \$3.6 billion in investment in historic commercial properties, with over two-thirds of those upstate. We're also proud to announce that this year alone, New York State once again led the nation with a record \$1.2 billion in tax credit investments in our state.

Despite their success, the state
historic tax credits were diminished in the
overhaul of the federal tax code. With our
program tied directly to the federal program,
we're currently evaluating opportunities to
decouple the state program so it operates
independently, reauthorize the program to
restore investor confidence, and examine
enhancements that would maintain investment
in the state and mitigate against the

1	negative impact the federal tax reform
2	process has created in all states.
3	Last January, Governor Cuomo announced
4	the creation of the Empire State Trail, a
5	750-mile bicycling and walking trail spanning
6	New York State. It will connect to and
7	connect together the state's very special and
8	unique natural and cultural resources. And
9	as well, it will promote safe, healthy
10	outdoor recreation, enhance community
11	vitality, and support tourism-based economic
12	development.
13	Considerable progress has been made,
14	including release of the Empire State Trail
15	Plan and a detailed design guide. Parks is
16	in construction of 30 miles right now that
17	will soon be finished, and engineering
18	designs are in process for more than 60 trail
19	projects.
20	As well, the Governor has announced a
21	\$50 million commitment to complete the
22	Hudson River Park, and that will be in

24 So thank you. Thank you again for

partnership with New York City.

1	your commitment to our magnificent state park
2	system and all our historic preservation
3	programs. Thank you for the dollars invested
4	into this system to help provide a safe place
5	to be active and healthy, and also to learn
6	how to be healthy and active to build mind
7	and muscle, to strengthen social bonds, and
8	to gain a greater understanding of our
9	natural and our cultural and our historic
10	heritage. These dollars are an investment in
11	New York's health, and they're an investment
12	in New York's economy, and they're an
13	investment in our communities.
14	So thank you again very, very much for
15	all that you do, and I welcome your
16	questions. I hope I welcome your questions.
17	(Laughter.)
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you,
20	Commissioner, for that.
21	I do have a couple of questions. So
22	the Executive Budget recommends a net
23	decrease of \$8 million, for a total of
24	\$200.7 million in total capital funding for

1	the parks. And so in recent years the Office
2	of Parks has received a significant amount in
3	capital funding, including \$92.5 million
4	proposed for this coming fiscal year.
5	Can you provide a list of the projects
6	planned over the next fiscal year? And we
7	ask this question every year, and it's very
8	difficult to get the list. So it would be
9	very helpful if you could get that for us.
10	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: We can,
11	absolutely. We're in, you know, the process.
12	It's a multiyear, many-phase program; we're
13	in the third phase. We're working out all of
14	the particulars. And we can and will.
15	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: When will we get
16	the list?
17	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: We're working on
18	it right now, and we'll get it to you as soon
19	as very soon, I'm sure.
20	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: And see, that in
21	all due respect, Commissioner, that's the
22	problem that we have every year, is that
23	we're putting a budget together, we're being
24	asked to vote on a budget, and yet we don't

1	have the detail on how the money would be
2	spent. And when we do get a list, it's far
3	beyond when the budget is passed, typically.
4	So why can't we get a list before the
5	budget is passed on the projects?
6	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: We are I
7	mean, first is we're making sure that, you
8	know, we've got the right list and, you know,
9	some projects are phased and so forth and so
10	on. But then we'll give it to the
11	administration. And we're very close, so I'm
12	very optimistic that you will get it very
13	soon.
14	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Before the budget
15	is passed?
16	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: I I will give
17	it we hope so. We hope so.
18	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay. Can you give
19	us an update, a detailed update on the status
20	of the Empire State Trail?
21	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: It's it's
22	we've got the route and we've had many public
23	meetings about that, talked to a lot of
24	communities. We actually, in creating the

2	terms of choosing it. We have the design.
3	And we are in construction on 30 miles now,
4	that's Parks. And there we have gained
5	control of a 31-mile private property which
6	was really crucial, and we've got 60
7	engineering projects going in design. And as
8	you know, some of it will be by DOT, some of
9	it will be by NYPA, some by the Hudson River
10	Greenway, and some by Parks. So that we can
11	be very efficient and the agencies that own
12	the land can do the construction.
13	So it's moving. It's moving well.
14	And we have great local support.
15	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay, thank you.
16	You and I had a good conversation
17	recently about Zoar Valley, which is in
18	Western New York, and it's a very wild area.
19	And every year we have tragedies that occur
20	there, people get lost, people fall in the
21	gorge and get killed, we've had incidents
22	where, you know, someone at the top has
23	thrown logs over the side, hit someone in the
24	head below and killed them.

1 route, also talked to many communities in

1	And the most recent very tragic
2	incident dealt with a young couple who took
3	their two young children there, and the
4	parents fell to their deaths.
5	The Parks Police has joined in with
6	the DEC, local law enforcement, the
7	State Troopers over the years to patrol
8	sporadically Zoar Valley. But I believe that
9	the state could do many things to make it a
10	safer area. We can't legislate common sense,
11	and sometimes some of the things that happen
12	there seem to lack common sense, and that's
13	the cause. But at the same time I think we
14	need to do more.
15	And as you know, I was looking at
16	putting in legislation to make it a state
17	park. I know that probably isn't realistic.
18	But I want to draw attention to the fact that
19	we have Zoar Valley. So could you comment on
20	that and some of the safety issues that we
21	see there? And what would the parks role be,
22	in addition to the DEC, in helping address
23	those safety issues?
24	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: We're very happy

1	to work with DEC. DEC operates and manages
2	it, but we work very closely together, and we
3	will sit down with Basil and all of his staff
4	and think about how we can support each
5	other. Both agencies are we support each
6	other all the time, in the ways that are most
7	efficient, and we'd be very happy to work
8	with them.
9	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
11	O'Donnell, chair of Tourism.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN O'DONNELL: Good
13	morning good afternoon. I just want to
14	thank you for your responsiveness to me and
15	my office {mic turned on}. Oh, here it
16	goes. I want to thank you for your
17	responsiveness.
18	I have a few short questions. The
19	first one will have to do with staffing.
20	There are 14 additional FTEs in this budget,
21	but there had been a decrease of 500 FTEs
22	over the last decade. And so my question
23	both is is 14 enough, and where will they go,
24	those 14?

1	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: Those 14 are
2	actually on a federal grant, and will
3	primarily work on historic preservation
4	issues.
5	And we could always, everybody could
6	always use more staff, but we are working
7	very efficiently and we have introduced I
8	guess necessity is the mother of invention
9	and efficiency. We're trying to reduce our
10	costs through automation, so that frees up
11	more staff to do other things. We're
12	lowering our energy costs. We're increasing
13	the number of partnerships that we have with
14	many local groups and concessionaires. You
15	know, if we have restaurants, they'll run the
16	restaurants.
17	And we just did a deal with Major
18	League Baseball at Roberto Clemente State
19	Park, where they're going to run, for seven
20	years, an academy of tutoring in baseball and
21	softball for young boys and girls.
22	So we're leveraging our resources.
23	And we are becoming more efficient.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN O'DONNELL: Okay. As you

1	know, I was not a big fan of the trails that
2	we provided \$200 million last year for. My
3	issue is primarily the ones from Albany to
4	Buffalo are primarily state-owned or
5	local-government-owned properties, but the
6	ones between Albany and New York City are
7	not. And so I know that you are acquiring
8	right-of-ways. Do you have to pay for the
9	right-of-way?
10	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: No. In fact,
11	when we looked at last year we spent a lot
12	of time in the field trying to find a route
13	from New York City to Albany that would use
14	existing municipal trails. And with the
15	exception of the one large long linear
16	property that we acquired, almost all of it
17	is publicly owned in one way or the other.
18	We really tried to minimize private
19	ownership. And for the 31 miles that we
20	acquired, we got a donation. We kind of
21	worked on it before we announced the route.
22	So there will be some small
23	connections, but a very small part of the
24	trail. And then the trail is about

1	70 percent off-road, but then where there
2	weren't obvious off-road connections and
3	that's actually more from Albany to Canada
4	it's on-road using existing state bike
5	trails.
6	So we've minimized that. So a very
7	it will be a de minimis amount of the cost
8	for land acquisition.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN O'DONNELL: So if it's
10	possible, I would like you to inform me if
11	any public funds are expended to obtain a
12	right-of-way, and who is the recipient of
13	that funding.
14	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: I would be happy
15	to.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN O'DONNELL: Okay.
17	Let me talk about the Connect for Kids
18	program. As you know, I represent a very
19	urban environment. And kids in New York City
20	tend to be more disconnected from the great
21	outdoors than, you know, people in the
22	North Country like my husband. So the
23	question is is how are you doing outreach in

the urban environments to ensure that both

the schools and the teachers and the people
involved know of this program? And, you
know, it's a lot harder if you live in
Glens Falls, to get access to a state park is
pretty easy. If you live in Harlem, other
than Riverbank State Park, which I'm not
going to address with you, there's not really
that access.
So how is that working and where is it
working in the City of New York?
COMMISSIONER HARVEY: So first of all,
we have targeted inner city communities. And
we've targeted those communities that have
the least amount of open space high
poverty, obesity, asthma, diabetes, health
issues related to either a sedentary
lifestyle or just not getting out into parks.
So with respect to that, we also
improved all our nature centers or our
community centers that are nearer those
communities, and then we launched
Connect Kids. And when we launched
Connect Kids, we made busing available for
those schools that couldn't take public

1	transportation or, you know, it wouldn't
2	work. And in the second year of this, we
3	have 60,000 additional kids now coming to all
4	those improved nature centers or recreational
5	centers. And we also those are the ones
6	that we staff. So that the teachers know
7	that there's somebody there that will give
8	the programming, so they'll be encouraged.
9	And we're now opening it up to summer camps.
10	In New York City, we have the Taconic
11	Outdoor Education Center. Now, that's a long
12	distance; it's probably 35 minutes north,
13	45 minutes north of the city. But we have
14	two overnight programs. And we have about
15	10,000 kids, most of them from New York City,

that go and use that.

We also have Riverbank and Roberto
Clemente that are in the heart of the city.
We're working actually with buses, with the
bus system, to get better bus service. But
actually at Riverbank, the bus stops in
Riverbank now for the kids, which is good and
bad in some ways.

So we are doing everything possible to

1	create the programs, create the atmosphere
2	and then provide the access. And anything
3	you all can do and we do send this to your
4	offices, the applications and the programs
5	would be great, you know, to promote it.
6	Because you all make it happen
7	ASSEMBLYMAN O'DONNELL: Well, the
8	second floor is not really noted for
9	cooperation with Assemblymembers. But I
10	would encourage you to do that, because the
11	local people know where and how and who the
12	people are. And I'm not sure that most of my
13	colleagues are fully aware that this program
14	exists. So I would encourage you to do that.
15	Now let me talk a little bit about the
16	Governor's budget speech, where he allocated
17	\$50 million to finish the Hudson River Park
18	thing. And it was almost laughable, the idea
19	that \$50 million would complete that park.
20	Do you actually believe that?
21	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: I do. But I
22	don't believe it's just the \$50 million. If
23	you look at what is going to start this year
24	at the Hudson River Park, you're going to see

1	close to \$500 million of work that's going
2	on with money that was previously allocated
3	and is now coming forward. You've got the
4	\$50 million, and we are looking for a
5	partnership with New York City as well.
6	And finally, there are some of the
7	places where an RFP and a private developer
8	could work as well.
9	So I think the combination of all of
10	that really will result in the build-out of
11	the Hudson River Park.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN O'DONNELL: Well, many
13	people who live adjacent to it simply think
14	that's not enough. And I'm not in a position
15	to wager or say whether it is or it isn't,
16	but it's a commonly held belief that that
17	statement of the Governor was let's just
18	say hyperbole. Okay?
19	So we're committed, we remain
20	committed to finish that project, and it's
21	very important to the Assembly. I want to
22	make sure that we actually get to do that.
23	My last question has to do with
24	Jamaica Bay State Park. I was a little

1	surprised to learn that this money is being
2	allocated for land that we don't own. And I
3	was informed by you and your staff that
4	that's actually not uncommon, that there are
5	places where the state spends money where we
6	don't actually own the land.

So I would like to know where those places are. And, you know, I can tell you when we try to allocate capital money for things in our districts, if it's not owned, you can't get any money. So I'm trying to wrap my head around the idea, as much as this seems to be a beautiful place, to spend \$15 million on land that we don't own.

COMMISSIONER HARVEY: We will essentially get control of it. And it's owned by the federal government. And we will enter into an agreement whereby we have control over it, and then ultimately a lease.

And we have done this with quite a few -- I mean, Four Freedoms State Park is a, you know, lease over land that's owned by the city. Buffalo Harbor is -- actually the new state park is also a lease; the property is

1	owned and operated by a subsidiary of ESD.
2	So we have we definitely have quite a few
3	case examples of it and
4	ASSEMBLYMAN O'DONNELL: Well, can you
5	get me a list of them so I can read them and
6	understand them a little better?
7	And my real question has to do with
8	the expenditure of money before or after
9	getting control. So if we're going to expend
10	this money, is the time that we expend the
11	money, is that after we've been given control
12	or are we expending the money and then they
13	give us control?
14	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: No. We're
15	working on deals right now to get control.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN O'DONNELL: Okay. Could I
17	please get copies or information about that
18	process?
19	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: Yes.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN O'DONNELL: And once
21	again, I wasn't really on the record; I just
22	want to thank you for being so accessible to
23	me and my staff. I very much appreciate it.
24	Thank you.

1	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: And thank you
2	for all that you've done.
3	SENATOR O'MARA: Senator Krueger.
4	SENATOR KRUEGER: Good afternoon,
5	Commissioner. Nice to see you.
6	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: Good morning
7	afternoon.
8	SENATOR KRUEGER: So you talked about
9	the 14 additional FTEs from the federal
10	grants being used for historic preservation.
11	But our notes from Department of Budget is
12	that they would be used for land and water
13	conservation and the Great Lakes restoration.
L 4	Are there two different 14 FTE assignments?
15	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: No. Actually
16	I don't want to admit this publicly I'm
17	probably wrong. I thought they were. I'll
18	check and I'll get back.
19	SENATOR KRUEGER: Okay, thank you.
20	But following up on the historic
21	properties and you talked about in your
22	testimony the fact that the Governor's budget
23	intends to defer the tax credits on historic
2.4	property robab. I know that goes through the

Τ	rax Department, not you, but you referenced
2	the program in your testimony. So I'm
3	wondering whether you are hearing, as at
4	least some of us are, that this deferral for
5	several years of the tax credit would cause
6	an enormous amount of problems out there in
7	communities.
8	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: The five-year on
9	the federal tax credit would dramatically
10	change also the state program, because we
11	mirror or attach to it.
12	So we are all of the tax credits
13	and tax programs are all rolled together
14	right now, but we have been working with
15	Tax & Finance and, you know, suggesting
16	provisions that would help ameliorate some of
17	the reductions of the benefits caused by the
18	federal program.
19	And also our state tax credits expire
20	at the end of 2019, so it's the right time to
21	start considering all of this to give
22	investors assurance that it will go forward.
23	SENATOR KRUEGER: So you think you
24	have some ideas on how to fix this program?

1	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: Well, the Parks,
2	Recreation and Historic Preservation do. And
3	they again, all the tax provisions are
4	together, but they definitely have our ideas.
5	SENATOR KRUEGER: Jumping to another
6	urban park, the Hudson River Park in
7	Manhattan it's not technically in my
8	district, it's across the road, so to speak.
9	So the Governor talked about \$50 million to
10	help build out the remainder of the park. I
11	believe he talked about that 77 percent is
12	complete, with 23 percent remaining. I think
13	the park's trustees say 30 percent remaining,
14	and they believe they need \$200 million.
15	But can you tell me what you're
16	planning to do with the new \$50 million
17	commitment to the Hudson River Park?
18	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: Pier 97, which
19	is a very high priority for the community
20	board, who has contacted us, will be the
21	single largest expenditure. And then
22	Morton-Clarkston, bulkhead. And 66A, a
23	repair there. Some environmental
24	improvements, reefs and pilings being used as

1	habitat. And then a pedestrian walkway up
2	around 97, 98, which is on the upland portion
3	of it. Those are the first thoughts on how
4	it would be used.
5	SENATOR KRUEGER: And does that go
6	through the process of the trustees deciding
7	what they prioritize or
8	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: Yes. Yes.
9	SENATOR KRUEGER: does the state
10	decide?
11	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: Yes, absolutely,
12	it will and it already has. I mean, we've
13	been working closely with them.
14	SENATOR KRUEGER: What do you see
15	at one point in time there was some giant
16	amount for capital money needed by the parks?
17	Where are we now on that giant amount versus
18	what we're doing?
19	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: We are it's
20	amazingly positive. We the Governor in
21	2012 made \$900 million available, much of
22	that through the baseline of \$90 million per
23	year. And we have spent, or it's in
24	construction, about \$700 million. And you're

1	really feeling it and you're really seeing
2	it.
3	And we are focused on that platform of
4	the infrastructure, but also we had so many
5	boarded-up buildings and closed facilities,
6	and we're opening them up and then also
7	providing new buildings. We're connecting
8	the parks so that we can automate, so we can
9	bring it back into the 21st bring it
10	forward to the 21st century.
11	SENATOR KRUEGER: I'm out of time, but
12	define "automating" parks?
13	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: Well no, no,
14	fear not.
15	(Laughter.)
16	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: It's if you
17	think about Parks 2020, it's to restore its
18	historic and its natural grandeur, but
19	modernize it and make it more relevant.
20	So with respect to automating, we're
21	an all-cash system, so we can't we don't
22	know who's coming, who's not coming. So it's
23	to have point of sale. We're not connected,

you know, just electric. Just to use

1	computers, connecting them, so that we can
2	come into the 21st century. And also attract
3	concessions, you know, along the way.
4	So it's we have pay and display.
5	When you come into our parks, you had to wait
6	in line for cash. So now our Empire
7	Passports that you can acquire have an RFID
8	chip so you can just go insert it and get
9	into our parks. So they're more accessible,
10	they're more easy.
11	So but first and foremost is the
12	land, the oceans, the forests, the history,
13	the architecture, all of that.
14	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you very much.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Assemblyman
16	Englebright.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Good
18	morning, Commissioner.
19	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: Good morning.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Or it's
21	actually afternoon.
22	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: I know, I
23	can't
24	(Laughter.)

1	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: SO I'M Very
2	intrigued about the Jamaica Bay State Park.
3	As you know, when Robert Moses built the
4	circumferential parkway in 1938, he cut off
5	most of the city from this extraordinary
6	resource. And it was only later that first
7	New York City and then the federal government
8	started to get involved. But State Parks has
9	never been involved. How did this come
10	about? Where is it? How large is the
11	parcel? And who initiated this, was it from
12	the feds or was it from your office?
13	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: No, it's really
14	Governor Cuomo in his Vital Brooklyn
15	initiative. And in that initiative we work
16	to provide better healthcare, affordable
17	housing and open space. And we're, through
18	partnerships with the city, providing
19	probably 10 acres of small 1-acre parcels in
20	the neighborhoods where everybody lives,
21	plays and works.
22	But the Governor was really interested
23	in providing a real open space, a real park
24	for Brooklyn, because there really isn't.

1	The amount of vacant space was just small
2	pieces.
3	So it is in the northeast section of
4	Jamaica Bay. And it's right across from
5	Kennedy. It's 408 acres. And it's owned by
6	the federal government because they acquired
7	it as just one of the parcels for Jamaica Bay
8	Recreational Area. It is a landfill that was
9	formally capped and run and operated by
10	New York City. And it was one of the few
11	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Is this the
12	Fountain Avenue landfill?
13	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: Yeah, Penn and
14	Fountain.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Okay.
16	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: And it's
17	beautiful. And it was capped in a visionary
18	way where they anticipated that this could be
19	a park in the future back in the '90s, and so
20	they capped it with a level of fill that's
21	cleaner than any landfill anywhere in the
22	country. And they didn't put the methane

pipes, they have manholes. And it's

extraordinary. It's got 3.5 miles of

23

1	shorefront,	and	it'	S	fenced	off.

And we have a really great partnership with the city, who is thrilled at this thought, as we do with the federal -- with the National Park Service, though they have a, you know, long process. So everybody is for it. There's a community. All of the community had always wanted it to be a park. So the challenge will just be going through the processes to get it going.

And DEC actually regulated the landfill, and they've been at our side with all of that. Department of Health has been at our side, reviewed everything. It's a real clean slate of, you know, health. It would be a -- really one of the first of its kind, and it would contribute mightily to those communities.

ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: I congratulate you for being bold. This is a huge portion of our New York City population that has been denied meaningful access except for a few occasions and circumstances. But we'll follow this with great interest.

1	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: It may take a
2	little time to work it, yeah.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Of course it
4	will take time.
5	But it's a fascinating new chapter in
6	State Parks history, as Jamaica Bay was for
7	the National Parks. When Gateway was
8	invented, there was a great deal of
9	controversy. And we don't need to go over it
10	again now, but given that there had been such
11	controversy, it's complimentary to your
12	agency and to the Governor to be bold enough
13	to step in this direction. So I just want to
14	compliment you there.
15	Speaking of new directions, we had a
16	resident curator program that we've talked
17	about in the past and that you were in the
18	process of implementing with new legislative
19	authorization. How is that doing?
20	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: It's a great
21	program. And it's we still we had
22	three possible candidates for it and put out
23	an RFP. We didn't get any responses,
24	partially because two of the houses were

1	pretty far gone, and so it required quite a
2	bit of investment. And the notion of this
3	program is of a 40-year lease in return for
4	rehabilitating old and historic houses.

So we may try it again. We went out with the Susan B. Anthony. We didn't get any responses. Thanks to Assemblywoman Woerner and Senator Betty Little, they've given us each 150, and we're going to put in 150, and we're going to fix it up and then go back out to see if we get it at a level where somebody would invest to finish it.

ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: So it's a work in progress. That's encouraging also.

Let me just say that the Empire State

Trail is an interesting initiative also on a

grand scale. My concern is it had left out

Long Island. I just want to ask you again to

try to factor Long Island into it as you

flesh out that program. We have a

significant portion of the state's population

that could be served by bringing the trail

into the coastal New York area, not just down

the Hudson Valley.

1	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: And know that we
2	are. And we're busily also creating quite a
3	few bike trials from Jones Beach up to
4	Captree, all the way beyond, working with
5	DOT. And we're going to look. You know,
6	this is phase one. Long Island will be phase
7	two.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Okay. I'll
9	hold you to that.
10	The Zoos, Botanical Gardens and
11	Aquariums Program is basically a partnership
12	program with the private not-for-profit parks
13	of the state. My urgent request is that you
14	use your voice as part of the cabinet to help
15	bring us back to the level that we had at the
16	last in the current year, in the last
17	budget. So we're \$2.5 million shy of that
18	presently. You don't need to respond, but
19	please take that request back and see if you
20	can help, as we go into the negotiations, to
21	open the door toward restoring that level of
22	funding.
23	Finally, the 1500 new listings in the
24	National Register. You're the State Historic

1	Dragonistics Offices were express that
1	Preservation Officer, you oversee that.
2	Congratulations, that's wonderful.
3	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: And I reflect it
4	back to our amazing Historic Preservation
5	Bureau.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Which is a
7	national model. So thank you for the good
8	work that you do.
9	SENATOR O'MARA: Thank you,
10	Commissioner, for being here today, for your
11	testimony, and for the good working
12	relationship that many of us have with your
13	office.
14	A couple of questions of local concern
15	to me. We've worked for several years now on
16	Sampson State Park. Can you give us an
17	update? Is there any money in the budget
18	this year for improvements to that marina
19	facility there?
20	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: Yes. We that
21	marina is, as you know, in a serious state of
22	disrepair. So we did do a second RFP, and

we're going to put up to \$2.5 million in

infrastructure improvements. And we did get

23

1	a bid. And we're noping that that would work
2	out and then that the bidder would finish it
3	and basically completely refurbish it, and
4	also some cabins in the area.
5	And we have not yet taken it through
6	the Comptroller and the Attorney General for
7	their approval, but we're working on it.
8	SENATOR O'MARA: When do you expect
9	that part of the process to start?
10	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: We're trying to
11	move this. So probably I'm hoping by the
12	fall we'll get in, and maybe sooner.
13	SENATOR O'MARA: Well, is that going
14	to require any special legislation for the
15	length of the lease involved there? Have we
16	done that already?
17	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: No. I mean yes
18	The I do believe we need a 40-year lease
19	on the Seneca Lake, because it's two, it's
20	been packaged, Sampson and Seneca. And I do
21	believe that legislation has been introduced
22	or will be introduced. So we do need that
23	for this bid to go forward.
24	SENATOR O'MARA: Okay. I'll look for

1 that and work on that together with you.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 Seemingly a lot of progress at Watkins 3 Glen State Park over the last two years. And our status is supposed to be opening this 4 5 spring. Can you give us a final update on where we are there?

> COMMISSIONER HARVEY: Yes. I'm so excited, we're going to open this spring. We've completely redone the traffic and the circulation with the town, moved all the -bought five houses, tiny little houses, and put the parking across the street. We've entirely greened the entrance. We're going to reopen the old Indian trail that is pretty famous. We are going into partnership with the Tourism Bureau, and they're going to actually have an office there. And we're also going to -- with a little extra money that we're trying to actually fund raise for, we will also fix that concession stand so that we can have better concession.

> So it's magnificent. So I hope all of you are there when we open. It's been a long, long multifaceted project.

1		SE	ENATOF	R O'MARA	<i>:</i>	A r	nulti	year	prod	ess,
2	but	glad	it's	coming	to	an	end	and	it's	on
3	sche	dule								

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

You mentioned in your opening remarks about the rainy season we had, in particular the flooding along Lake Ontario. And that obviously is an area of questioning we were on earlier. But can you summarize for us the impacts to the state parks along the shore of Lake Ontario because of the flooding of last year? In particular, you know, on the eastern end where it affected Fair Haven, Mexico Point, Chimney Bluffs -- which is a very unique area, and I'm not sure what the extent of damage might have been there -- and then, in particular, Sandy Island Beach, on the eastern shore at Sandy Pond, because I personally witnessed the devastation there of about -- of the entire beach being taken out in roughly an 8-foot sheer drop-off from what used to be beach right down to the water level.

So what efforts are being undertaken at the Sandy Island Beach, in addition to

1	those	others?

think about it and you think about how many parks we have along the lake, we're the first line of defense. And so it's good that we take most of the brunt of it, because often we're in front of any of the homes. And we took most of the brunt. And we've invested about \$2 million to fix up, to restore. And also, at Fort Niagara, that too was -- all the break wall was damaged.

And so -- and we will invest more.

And they're, you know, back in shape. We've lost -- you know, it waxes and wanes with the water levels, you know, some of the amount of sand. But we're -- and then we opened all of them or most of them last summer, and we're ready to open this summer.

And as well, we're mindful of where we are in front of some of the communities, so we've built up some dunes to protect them as well if there is, you know, future flooding.

SENATOR O'MARA: The \$2 million you mentioned, was that just at Sandy Island or

_	was chac
2	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: That was both
3	the Fort and Sandy Island. And then small
4	smaller repairs to docks and boat launches
5	and so forth and so on throughout.
6	SENATOR O'MARA: Are there additional
7	repairs or infrastructure repairs or
8	waterfront repairs needed throughout this
9	next season?
10	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: There I mean,
11	you know, a lot we did with our own people,
12	who are pretty good at holding together this
13	park system. But there are probably some
14	small ones, but I think but I will
15	check in terms of, you know, this covers
16	the magnitude of the damage. But I'll check.
17	SENATOR O'MARA: Thank you.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman
19	Woerner.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: Thank you.
21	Thank you, Commissioner, for your
22	testimony here.
23	I'd like to start by just saying thank
24	you so much for the investment in the

1	Peerless Pool and for your continued support
2	of the Susan B. Anthony House, both projects
3	that I think will make a real difference in
4	my district.
5	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: And thank you

for your help on them.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: It's a

ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: It's a partnership, yes.

I want to talk about the historic preservation tax credits. Saratoga Springs, which I represent, is in many ways the city that tax credits and historic preservation really saved. And so I'm -- the credits, as you know, expire next year and there's about, as I understand it, about \$6 billion in projects currently in the pipeline. And there's some concern that the -- that if we don't take the step to assure the continuation of this program in advance of the expiration, that that will introduce uncertainty into the development process and those will -- those projects will start to evaporate.

24 Can you reflect a little bit on that?

1	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: There always is
2	concern. Certainty will, you know, help
3	investors go forward. And so that we all
4	know that, and that's what has to be figured
5	in to any bill that goes forward.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: Terrific.
7	New York is the leader in the nation
8	in attracting outside investment for
9	rehabilitation tax credit programs, which is
10	a tremendous economic development,
11	particularly for upstate. What else could we
12	do with these tax credit programs to make it
13	an even more attractive program for investors
14	to invest in upstate New York rehabilitation
15	projects?
16	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: I think the
17	three biggest is extend for five years.
18	Decouple from the federal program so it just
19	stands on its own.
20	Also is tinkering a bit with the
21	census tracts. Because, you know, the
22	investment's tied to the census tracts, the
23	census just came in. And some will fall out,
24	some won't. And it's to at least give people

1	time if they're going to fall out, and it's
2	based on the median income.
3	Then there's transferability, which is
4	enabling investors to transfer the credits.
5	That adds more flexibility and also could
6	bring not-for-profits that could do the work
7	into the mix.
8	There's also but here you're
9	getting into, you know, costs. But obviously
10	our current state historic tax credits are
11	20 percent, you know, capped at 5 million.
12	Maybe in some of those smaller projects, to
13	encourage people to go forward, you could,
L 4	you know, raise the rate for smaller ones.
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: Right.
16	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: There are a lot
17	of small things that you could do that would
18	enhance it, but obviously also the cost is
19	needs to be figured in.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: Right. So on
21	that point, if we were to extend the tax
22	credits at this point for another five years,

which I think takes it out to 2025, decouple

it from the federal program, tweak the census

23

	1	tracts a little bit, and add transferability,
	2	that doesn't have a fiscal impact in the
	3	current in the coming fiscal year or even
	4	out the next couple fiscal years, does it?
	5	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: It does not have
	6	any significant fiscal impact. Nothing new.
	7	Assuming that the program goes forward, no.
	8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: So this would
	9	be a way to create economic activity,
	10	economic development, particularly in our
	11	older upstate cities without having to add
	12	additional burden to the state budget.
	13	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: Yes. Yes. No
	14	new costs, yeah. No I should say no
	15	you know, I'm sure there's some costs, but no
	16	significant new costs.
	17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: Terrific.
	18	Thank you very much.
	19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman
,	20	Jenne.
	21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Yes, thank you.
	22	How are you this morning afternoon,
	23	sorry.
	24	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: Good, yeah.

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: I just wanted to
2	touch on the flooding that we had last
3	spring. And, you know, while I represent
4	mainly the St. Lawrence River, we were
5	impacted as well. And I just wanted to make
6	sure that all repairs of damage to those
7	parks along the St. Lawrence River had been
8	adequately addressed.
9	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: Yes, they have
10	been.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Okay. And as
12	you know, one of our biggest problems was
13	that our docks were underwater, and I
14	wondered if repairs had been made to raise
15	docks or to put in floating docks in places
16	where, you know, standard docks are expected
17	to be flooded again this year. I expect
18	water levels will be higher than normal for
19	the forever. And that, you know, we'll be
20	making those types of plans as well.
21	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: With all of our
22	capital improvements that's what we're trying
23	to do, is to, you know, look at rising water
24	and any, you know, climate change, whatever

1	it may be, and think about adjusting for the
2	future.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Okay. Has there
4	been any thought put into advertising dollars
5	to try to help bolster those communities that
6	have been impacted by the flooding and maybe
7	we've seen patrons go away? Are we able to
8	tap any of those kind of advertising dollars
9	to try to help the communities that suffered
10	last year and are likely to suffer again this
11	year?
12	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: We tap in
13	mightily to I Love New York. And we should
14	talk to them, that's a good idea
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Yeah, it would
16	be cool if the Thousand Islands region could
17	get a shout-out, because we oftentimes get
18	the short end of the stick in terms of
19	advertising dollars to begin with. While we

23 COMMISSIONER HARVEY: You do, yes.
24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: And so it would

months --

don't have the state's population base, we

certainly do host the state during the summer

20

21

1	be great to make sure everyone knows we're
2	open for business in the Thousand Islands
3	region as well.

In terms of the investment in trails, my area hosts a lot of bikers as well, cyclists. And it would be great if we were included in phase 2 -- certainly, if not phase 2, phase 3 of investments in our trail systems. Our chambers of commerce in our area try to market our existing trail systems, but if we could be included in the state's, you know, I guess trails maps and systems, that would be great as well.

I had some firefighters ask me the other day what was going on with the rumors they heard that as a benefit to try to attract and retain volunteer firefighters, that they would be entitled to some sort of state park pass. I really hadn't heard much about that. And so since you're sitting here -- I don't know if that's on your radar or if anyone has brought that up to your attention, that there seems to be rumors throughout the volunteer firefighter service

1	tnat	tnat	may	рe	ın	tne	works.

- 2 COMMISSIONER HARVEY: That what is in
- 3 the works?
- 4 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: That if you're a
- 5 volunteer firefighter, that you might be
- 6 entitled to certain state park passes?
- 7 COMMISSIONER HARVEY: I don't know
- 8 about it. I'll look into it.
- 9 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Well, I just
- heard about it as well, so we're learning
- 11 about it at the same time. And, you know, if
- 12 there was the ability to do something like
- that, that would certainly be wonderful for
- 14 the few fire volunteers that we have left, to
- 15 support them.
- 16 COMMISSIONER HARVEY: Yes.
- 17 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: And it was
- 18 mentioned by one of my colleagues, you know,
- that sometimes we're able to support capital
- 20 projects in our districts. You know, I tried
- 21 to support a local land conservancy that was
- creating a trail system, a multi-use, fully
- 23 accessible trail system. And oftentimes
- 24 those types of organizations are good

1	partners around our state park systems.
2	And I was shocked to find out that
3	even though land conservancies are listed as
4	an entity that, as members of the
5	Legislature, we can try to support with
6	capital dollars that the concept that I
7	would have multicounty land conservancies and
8	them be outside the jurisdiction of a local
9	government are ineligible to receive those
10	capital funds.
11	And so as we're trying to in the
12	Legislature partner with recreational and,
13	you know, green space organizations
14	throughout the state, that if you're not just
15	a little zoo somewhere, that you're not, you
16	know, considered eligible. So
17	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: I think actually
18	land conservancies are eligible for our
19	municipal grants.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Well, DASNY
21	doesn't feel that way. They rejected me like

two weeks ago. So there seems to maybe be

some communication issues. If that could get

resolved -- this is my first opportunity to

22

23

So we run into those problems, and it's quite upsetting that we can't be fuller partners here in the Legislature with our efforts to protect green space and have the public be have access to it. COMMISSIONER HARVEY: So we will get right back to you ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Thank you. Appreciate it. COMMISSIONER HARVEY: because I do know that you are eligible for our or they are, not-for-profits are. ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: The list gets smaller and smaller each year of eligible entities. So it's when you have the rug pulled out from underneath you, it's quite upsetting, so Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. I think that's it for questions from the members.	1	bring it up that they didn't seem to think
it's quite upsetting that we can't be fuller partners here in the Legislature with our efforts to protect green space and have the public be have access to it. COMMISSIONER HARVEY: So we will get right back to you ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Thank you. Appreciate it. COMMISSIONER HARVEY: because I do know that you are eligible for our or they are, not-for-profits are. ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: The list gets smaller and smaller each year of eligible entities. So it's when you have the rug pulled out from underneath you, it's quite upsetting, so Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. I think that's it for questions from the	2	that my land conservancy was eligible.
partners here in the Legislature with our efforts to protect green space and have the public be have access to it. COMMISSIONER HARVEY: So we will get right back to you ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Thank you. Appreciate it. COMMISSIONER HARVEY: because I do know that you are eligible for our or they are, not-for-profits are. ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: The list gets smaller and smaller each year of eligible entities. So it's when you have the rug pulled out from underneath you, it's quite upsetting, so Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. I think that's it for questions from the	3	So we run into those problems, and
efforts to protect green space and have the public be have access to it. COMMISSIONER HARVEY: So we will get right back to you ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Thank you. Appreciate it. COMMISSIONER HARVEY: because I do know that you are eligible for our or they are, not-for-profits are. ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: The list gets smaller and smaller each year of eligible entities. So it's when you have the rug pulled out from underneath you, it's quite upsetting, so Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. I think that's it for questions from the	4	it's quite upsetting that we can't be fuller
public be have access to it. COMMISSIONER HARVEY: So we will get right back to you ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Thank you. Appreciate it. COMMISSIONER HARVEY: because I do know that you are eligible for our or they are, not-for-profits are. ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: The list gets smaller and smaller each year of eligible entities. So it's when you have the rug pulled out from underneath you, it's quite upsetting, so Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. I think that's it for questions from the	5	partners here in the Legislature with our
COMMISSIONER HARVEY: So we will get right back to you ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Thank you. Appreciate it. COMMISSIONER HARVEY: because I do know that you are eligible for our or they are, not-for-profits are. ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: The list gets smaller and smaller each year of eligible entities. So it's when you have the rug pulled out from underneath you, it's quite upsetting, so Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. I think that's it for questions from the	6	efforts to protect green space and have the
right back to you ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Thank you. Appreciate it. COMMISSIONER HARVEY: because I do know that you are eligible for our or they are, not-for-profits are. ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: The list gets smaller and smaller each year of eligible entities. So it's when you have the rug pulled out from underneath you, it's quite upsetting, so Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. I think that's it for questions from the	7	public be have access to it.
ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Thank you. Appreciate it. COMMISSIONER HARVEY: because I do know that you are eligible for our or they are, not-for-profits are. ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: The list gets smaller and smaller each year of eligible entities. So it's when you have the rug pulled out from underneath you, it's quite upsetting, so Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. I think that's it for questions from the	8	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: So we will get
Appreciate it. COMMISSIONER HARVEY: because I do know that you are eligible for our or they are, not-for-profits are. ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: The list gets smaller and smaller each year of eligible entities. So it's when you have the rug pulled out from underneath you, it's quite upsetting, so Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. I think that's it for questions from the	9	right back to you
COMMISSIONER HARVEY: because I do know that you are eligible for our or they are, not-for-profits are. ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: The list gets smaller and smaller each year of eligible entities. So it's when you have the rug pulled out from underneath you, it's quite upsetting, so Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. I think that's it for questions from the	10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Thank you.
know that you are eligible for our or they are, not-for-profits are. ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: The list gets smaller and smaller each year of eligible entities. So it's when you have the rug pulled out from underneath you, it's quite upsetting, so Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. I think that's it for questions from the	11	Appreciate it.
are, not-for-profits are. ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: The list gets smaller and smaller each year of eligible entities. So it's when you have the rug pulled out from underneath you, it's quite upsetting, so Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. I think that's it for questions from the	12	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: because I do
ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: The list gets smaller and smaller each year of eligible entities. So it's when you have the rug pulled out from underneath you, it's quite upsetting, so Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. I think that's it for questions from the	13	know that you are eligible for our or they
smaller and smaller each year of eligible entities. So it's when you have the rug pulled out from underneath you, it's quite upsetting, so Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. I think that's it for questions from the	14	are, not-for-profits are.
entities. So it's when you have the rug pulled out from underneath you, it's quite upsetting, so Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. I think that's it for questions from the	15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: The list gets
pulled out from underneath you, it's quite upsetting, so Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. I think that's it for questions from the	16	smaller and smaller each year of eligible
19 upsetting, so 20 Thank you. 21 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. I 22 think that's it for questions from the	17	entities. So it's when you have the rug
Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. I think that's it for questions from the	18	pulled out from underneath you, it's quite
21 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. I 22 think that's it for questions from the	19	upsetting, so
think that's it for questions from the	20	Thank you.
	21	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. I
23 members.	22	think that's it for questions from the
	23	members.

COMMISSIONER HARVEY: Thank you very

1	much.
2	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thanks for all
4	your support. You can have a slower exit
5	than your entrance.
6	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: Yeah.
7	(Laughter.)
8	SENATOR O'MARA: Next up is our
9	Agriculture and Markets commissioner,
10	Richard Ball.
11	Commissioner?
12	COMMISSIONER BALL: Good afternoon.
13	SENATOR O'MARA: Thank you for being
14	here.
15	COMMISSIONER BALL: It's a pleasure to
16	be here.
17	SENATOR O'MARA: You may proceed.
18	COMMISSIONER BALL: Yes, thank you.
19	Chairwoman Weinstein, Assemblyman
20	Magee, members of the agricultural committees
21	and elected officials, I am happy to offer my
22	testimony on the 2018-2019 Executive Budget
23	for the Department of Agriculture and
24	Markets

1	The Executive Budget recommends
2	\$162 million for the department. This will
3	allow us to maintain our core functions while
4	advancing key initiatives that support the
5	agricultural industry and grow our food and
6	beverage sectors.

Even with federal uncertainty, we have one of the strongest grower communities in the nation. Our nearly 36,000 farms not only increased production in 2017 for several commodities, but also implemented major environmental protections. With the Governor's support and partnerships with all of you, we have a great foundation to build on our progress.

I am very proud of our support of the Governor's No Student Goes Hungry initiative. A big part of that effort is doubling funding for our Farm-to-School program, which ensures healthy local food access to our young people. The Executive Budget provides even greater resources, allowing us to expand our reach to hundreds of thousands of additional students.

1	The Governor has also proposed a
2	significant increase in reimbursements for
3	schools that source at least 30 percent of
4	their food from New York farms. That will
5	have a big impact on our schools, our
6	children, and our farmers.
7	Thanks to the record funding for
8	agricultural education that you approved last
9	year, thousands of students now have access
10	to in-class edible gardens and hands-on
11	agricultural lessons. In addition,
12	84 schools were awarded grants to start or
13	advance agricultural education programs
14	across the state.
15	The New York State Grown & Certified
16	program now has more than 100 producers
17	participating, who together operate nearly
18	50,000 acres. In addition, nine major dairy
19	processors also represent nearly 1,400
20	New York dairy farms. We have expanded the
21	program to include many new commodities and
22	partnered with several large retailers to
23	showcase these products in stores.

The Governor's Taste NY program

1	continues to grow as well. Sales topped
2	\$16 million last year, and we are on track to
3	do even better in 2018. The Executive Budget
4	further expands opportunities to connect
5	local entrepreneurs with consumers and to
6	increase the visibility of their products in
7	major transportation hubs.

Our Industrial Hemp program boasts
over 2,000 acres dedicated to innovative
research. Tomorrow, we are hosting an
Industrial Hemp Research Forum, connecting
researchers, academics, businesses, and
processors to improve and broaden the
program. In addition, a \$2 million
investment in seed certification and breeding
will support the development of unique hemp
varieties best suited for New York's growing
conditions.

We also look forward to hosting two
new summits, one focused on wood products and
the other focused on Concord grapes. The
goal is to bring stakeholders together to
identify challenges and to develop solutions
to support these commodities.

1	Investments in the Great New York
2	State Fair led to new attendance records and
3	more sales of New York milk, maple and
4	potatoes than ever before. Construction of
5	the new 136,000-square-foot Expo Center is
6	underway and on track to be completed for
7	this year's State Fair.
8	Protecting the environment remains a
9	top priority of the Governor's. This year's
10	EPF includes \$20 million for farmland
11	protection, \$17 million for agricultural
12	water quality projects, and \$10 million for
13	our Soil and Water Conservation Districts.
14	From preserving our natural resources
15	to supporting economic development through
16	the promotion of our high-quality
17	agricultural products, the Executive Budget
18	moves our ag industry forward. I understand
19	developing the final state budget is a
20	collaboration with you, the Legislature, and
21	we look forward to hearing your priorities as
22	well.
23	Thank you.
24	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.

1	We're going to go to the Assembly's
2	Agriculture chair, Assemblyman Magee, for
3	some questions.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGEE: Commissioner, good
5	to see you again
6	COMMISSIONER BALL: Good to see you,
7	sir.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGEE: be with you
9	again as we talk about issues important to
10	agriculture in the state, our number-one
11	industry. So welcome this afternoon.
12	Commissioner, I've got a couple of
13	questions here. The concentrated animal
14	feeding operations, CAFOs, the Clean Water
15	Infrastructure Act of 2017 included
16	\$50 million for concentrated animal feeding
17	operations. What is the status of that
18	funding, and what steps has the department
19	taken to ensure that this funding is directed
20	towards financially vulnerable operations?
21	COMMISSIONER BALL: Thank you for the
22	question.
23	We're actually in a very good place
24	there. As you know, we have \$50 million to

1	work with. We're going to roll that out in
2	three different phases. The first phase was
3	rolled out last fall, and we made awards in
4	December. We'll do another rollout in the
5	second round this spring, and we'll do a
6	third round later this summer late summer,
7	early fall.

So I believe in the first round we had a little over almost \$30 million that went out into the program. We did review all the applications that came in. As you know, hardship and financial concerns were a part of the application process. But it was very well-executed, and happy to say a pretty good start to a great program.

ASSEMBLYMAN MAGEE: Another area, the Executive proposal would decrease Aid to Localities funding for the department by \$11.48 million from the 2017-2018 level.

What effect will these reductions have on many programs being cut, like the Farm

Viability Institute, Cornell Veterinary

Diagnostic Lab, and rabies and pro-dairy

programs and the state's apple growers?

1	COMMISSIONER BALL: Thank you for
2	that.
3	Well, as you know, this is a process.
4	I was very pleased to see that in the
5	Governor's proposed budget, it's virtually
6	identical to our budget last year. I fully
7	respect the process, and I understand the
8	budget is the Executive Budget is the
9	Governor's opportunity to lay out his
10	priorities. And then as we go through the
11	process, you as the Legislature, representing
12	constituents with varying degrees of
13	importance in your own community, have an
14	opportunity to weigh in. And we fully look
15	forward to working with you to, you know, do
16	just that.
17	So those dollars that you mentioned
18	represent the legislative adds for last year.
19	Look forward to working with you on that
20	process.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGEE: Okay. And now, ir
22	another area, the market orders. The
23	Executive proposes permanently transferring

the administration of agriculture and dairy

1	marketing orders to Empire State Development
2	Corporation. Has the department received
3	complaints from the marketing order boards
4	related to the administration of their farms?
5	COMMISSIONER BALL: I think there was
6	last year, before we undertook this, there
7	was some apprehension. But I have to say
8	that the transition has been relatively
9	seamless. There's been some conversations
10	with ESD, and President Zemsky and I are
11	talking fairly frequently about this. And he
12	in no way wants to step on our toes. And
13	they're the money folks. We're still
L 4	involved in all the programmatic efforts of
15	all the marketing orders. And I would say
16	it's been a good move.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGEE: Another area was
18	the migrant workers childcare program.
19	Funding for the childcare for migrant workers
20	was reduced by \$1 million last year. What
21	impact will this reduction have on the
22	program?
23	COMMISSIONER BALL: Actually, again,
24	that was a legislative add. I have actually

Τ	met with ABCD migrant daycare center
2	yesterday. I encouraged them to invite our
3	national congress, before they debate the
4	immigration bill or DACA, to come visit one
5	of our centers. And I recommended Batavia.
6	And that it might take the edge off some of
7	the conversations that are going on around
8	the guest worker and immigration challenges.
9	It's a wonderful program, and I
10	certainly hope that you all look at it
11	finally, and I look forward to working with
12	you on that.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGEE: Okay. Now, in
14	another area, the local fairs and animal
15	shelters.
16	The state fiscal year 2017-2018
17	enacted budget included capital funding for
18	local fairs and for animal shelters. Have
19	these funds be been released? Why does the
20	Executive proposal eliminate this funding?
21	COMMISSIONER BALL: Actually, the
22	funds have been released. They're out. The
23	county fairs, this is the second year they'v
24	gotten the funding. And all we're hearing i

1	that it's working very well and they'd like
2	more.
3	We also the animal shelter/
4	companion animal money went out today.
5	Awards have been made. There was \$5 million
6	that we had to disburse, and I think
7	\$13 million worth of requests came into us.
8	So clearly it was a sensitive subject, and
9	one that's much appreciated and
10	oversubscribed.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGEE: By the way of your
12	comments, I recently visited the State Fair,
13	and that is really going to be a great
14	facility there with all this capital work
15	that's being done on the fair.
16	COMMISSIONER BALL: Thank you.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGEE: Food safety, the
18	Executive proposal includes \$2.6 million to
19	modernize the food safety inspection system.
20	Can you please describe how this funding will
21	be utilized? Are revenues from penalties
22	expected to increase?
23	COMMISSIONER BALL: We do not

24 anticipate increasing penalties at all.

1	You know, over the past several years
2	we have been careful with our budget and had
3	flat budgets. So we look to have Lean
4	programs that analyze the way we do things,
5	the way we service the industry.
6	And typically a Lean program brings us
7	to an IT solution. And in this case with our
8	food safety people, this new IT solution will
9	allow us to save one man-hour for one
10	inspector every single day. So it's going to
11	make us a lot more efficient and able to
12	serve our industry in a much better way.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGEE: And one last
14	question about ag educators.
15	The department has stated that it
16	plans to double the number of ag educators.
17	What is the current status of this? And
18	funding for this program was cut by \$113,000.
19	Is the department concerned about its ability
20	to increase the number of ag educators?
21	COMMISSIONER BALL: I feel okay about
22	the funding. We had last year's was a
23	record amount of money, which was quite a bit
24	more than the year before. And this year's

1	funding is still more than two years ago. So
2	I think we're in good shape there.
3	The initial shot of money helped us
4	jump-start that program. We have 28 more ag
5	teachers in place than we did last year, and
6	we trained over 105 people, sent them to
7	advanced learning conferences, et cetera, and
8	another 15 to different kinds of training.
9	So I think we're well on our way to achieving
10	our goal of doubling the number of ag
11	teachers.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGEE: Very good. That's
13	all the questions I have. And again, thank
14	you, Commissioner, for all you do for the
15	agriculture community.
16	COMMISSIONER BALL: It's great to work
17	with you. Thank you, sir.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
19	Senate?
20	SENATOR O'MARA: Senator Ritchie.
21	SENATOR RITCHIE: Thank you.
22	COMMISSIONER BALL: Good to see you.
23	SENATOR RITCHIE: You too,

24 Commissioner.

1	I'd like to just start off by saying
2	how much I appreciate the working
3	relationship that we have and the
4	relationship that we have with your office.
5	It certainly makes discussing issues so much
6	easier. You've been very attentive. So I
7	want to start off by saying that.
8	COMMISSIONER BALL: Thank you.
9	SENATOR RITCHIE: And then I'll get
10	into following up on Assemblyman Magee's
11	question. Every year when the budget comes
12	out, the critical programs for the
13	agriculture industry are continually cut
14	back. And in the eight years that I've been
15	here, it leaves very little room for us to
16	expand on some of the priorities that we have
17	in the Senate. Because by the time we fill
18	back in the local assistance programs, the
19	price tag is so extensive it makes it
20	difficult.
21	That being said, I believe those
22	programs are critically important to the
23	industry. So can you just kind of explain
24	how the Executive gets to the number when it

1	comes to local assistance programs?
2	COMMISSIONER BALL: Sure. Thank you
3	for that. I appreciable your concern on the
4	subject.
5	You know, as I mentioned with

Assemblyman Magee, I've come to recognize that this is a process. You know, this is --since my role as commissioner began, I'm now in my fifth year, the budget -- I have a lot of respect for the budget and the process that we get into. Facing a budget deficit this year like we did with a \$4 billion deficit before we got started -- and who knows what the total bill will be at the end of Washington's implementation of the Tax Cut and Jobs Act. We looked at our budget this year, and the Governor gave us basically a level budget as last year. He has come in with his Executive Budget the same as last year.

You all represent parts of our state, industries, and the needs change out there in the marketplace and in your country, and the opportunity to discuss all the legislative

1	adds is a process. And I respect that, and I
2	certainly look forward to working with you on
3	it, and I understand your concern there.
4	SENATOR RITCHIE: Can you tell me
5	under it's under the ESD budget what
6	the \$27.3 million is for Grown and Certified?
7	COMMISSIONER BALL: Grown and
8	Certified, that really includes an awful lot
9	in there, because that includes an awful lot
10	of soil and water work as well. It's kind of
11	lumped together. It's a conglomeration of
12	several different funding sources that
13	actually lead and help a producer to become
14	grown and certified.
15	So there's funding in there for good
16	agricultural practices training that is
17	helping food safety training on the farm,
18	reimbursements for the costs of doing that.
19	There's funding in there for some of our soil
20	and water work with regards to our
21	agricultural environmental management plans,
22	our AEM plans, and the soil and water work

that gets done across the state in each

county. It's not really marketing money,

23

1	that's really money to support our farmers
2	doing a better job in the environment and a
3	better job with food safety.
4	SENATOR RITCHIE: The 27.3, is that an
5	increase from last year or is that a new
6	allocation?
7	COMMISSIONER BALL: No, that's just
8	the sum total of those projects with soil and
9	water. There is \$1 million more in there for
10	our soil and water districts than there was
11	last year.
12	SENATOR RITCHIE: Then I'll move on to
13	Cornell. You know, one of the things we
L 4	always talk about is how lucky we are in
15	New York State because of Cornell and the
16	critical research that they provide farmers
17	across the state. They're kind of our I
18	would say our shining star or our ace in the
19	hole.
20	So can you tell me if you believe
21	Cornell is getting funded to the level that
22	it should be? I continually hear that the
23	buildings and the labs there need to be

upgraded, and there's an issue with staffing

1	levels. I just want to make sure that we're
2	holding Cornell's funding level to the level
3	where they can continue to help our farmers
4	compete across the country.

COMMISSIONER BALL: Sure. Great question. And I have to say it's great to have the partnership we have with Cornell and our cooperative extension system.

I have to tell you, a few years ago I was asked to do a little homework for the Governor and look at who has the best agricultural extension program in the country and how we might improve. And it came back to New York State has the best cooperative extension system in the country.

Certainly we value that partnership.

And I have to say that you participated -last fall we did some farm bill listening
sessions, and we identified research, ongoing
research for agriculture as a priority in
those sessions. I heard that in every
session we held. The United States is
currently being outspent by two countries
two-to-one in the realm of research in

1	agriculture: Brazil and China. So we need
2	to keep up the work here that we do. And
3	it's been much more challenging for Cornell
4	to compete with those federal dollars as well
5	as all the other dollars that are out there.
6	I think in New York we're doing the
7	best we can. We certainly have I don't
8	think we've ever had a better relationship
9	with our partners at Cornell than we have
10	today, and we value that. Is there more
11	funding to be had for them? There's always a
12	need. We were able to help with the nematode
13	lab for potatoes, we found some funding there
14	through USDA, et cetera, et cetera. So we
15	work just as hard as they do to try to find
16	more funding.
17	SENATOR RITCHIE: And moving on to the
18	capital funding for our agricultural fairs.

SENATOR RITCHIE: And moving on to the capital funding for our agricultural fairs.

I know when you said there's still more need there, that's what I hear pretty much from all of them.

But one of the issues that I have heard repeatedly for a lot of our small county fairs, the resources they were

Τ	requesting were for water and sewer issues.
2	And apparently, however, as the statute was
3	drafted, it excluded bathrooms if they
4	weren't attached to the actual ag building.
5	So can we find a way to address that?
6	COMMISSIONER BALL: I think that's a
7	great suggestion, because water at facilities
8	at our state at all our fairs is pretty
9	important.
10	SENATOR RITCHIE: Right. And then my
11	last question is really a question that has
12	to do with a federal issue, but it's
13	something that's extremely important here in
14	New York State to the industry.
15	Our dairy farmers are really facing
16	some tough times. Year after year, the milk
17	prices are down substantially. A lot of the
18	dairy farmers are just barely hanging on. Is
19	there anything at the state level that can be
20	done to help the dairy industry?
21	COMMISSIONER BALL: Yes, thank you for
22	that. Our dairy industry is really in a very
23	precarious state today. You know, typically
24	in dairy we've seen a cycle, a three-year

1	cycle of ups and downs that everybody kind of
2	got familiar with and comfortable with and
3	learned to work around. But we've had a
4	prolonged rather than a stab, I would say
5	a long scrape over the last three years for
6	the dairy industry. And originally
7	predictions for this year looked a little
8	better, but just in the last couple of months
9	it's looking like more of the same.
10	It is very challenging. We have got a
11	list of things that we're working on. We put
12	resource guides together for our farms. As
13	you know, the challenge here isn't so much
14	something that we're doing wrong in

resource guides together for our farms. As

you know, the challenge here isn't so much

something that we're doing wrong in

New York -- because this is not just a

New York problem, not just a Northeast

problem, not just a United States problem,

it's actually a global challenge as we see

exports drop.

I was at the Ag Outlook Conference in Cornell just a couple of weeks ago, and looking back over the last 30 years, whenever we've seen a drop in dairy exports we've seen a corresponding drop in milk pricing. And

1	over the last almost four years now, we've
2	seen a 3 percent drop in our exports.
3	There's a lot of factors at work here the
4	value of our dollar with regards to other
5	nations, what kind of year Australia and
6	New Zealand and the European Union had, how
7	much milk China is buying.
8	All of these things are factors. And
9	of course it's hard to ignore the fact that
10	25 percent of our dairy exports go to Mexico,
11	and we're now engaged in conversations with
12	Mexico and Canada about trade. All these
13	things are kind of coming together.
L 4	What we can do in New York State
15	and we are doing everything we can. We stay
16	in touch with our co-ops on a regular basis.
17	I pulled together the Milk Marketing Advisory
18	Committee which is our co-ops, our
19	processors, it's our farmers, it's

Farm Bureau, it's Cornell, it's even our 21 customers -- and we talk about the issue. 22 And one of the brightest things that we identified in our last meeting was that we 23 need more capacity in the state, what we call 24

1	a balancing plan, things to take fluid milk
2	and turn it into shelf-stable products like
3	dry powder, the cheeses, et cetera.

So we identified that as our priority,
we went after that, we worked very closely
with our partners at Empire State Development
and we invested last year in a number of new
plants and rehabbing old plants to make them
more modern and help us increase that
capacity.

So there's a lot of things we're working on. I am confident that we're going to be okay in the long term, because we still make the best milk in the country right here in New York. The North Country in particular is famous for the quality of cheese and the quantity and quality of milk that we can produce. And we still have the biggest and the most marvelous marketplace at our doorstep.

So we've got a whole list of things that we need to do to help our farmers. But thank you for that question.

24 SENATOR RITCHIE: Thank you,

1	commissioner.
2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
3	Assemblywoman Jenne.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Thank you. Good
5	afternoon, Commissioner.
6	COMMISSIONER BALL: Good afternoon.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: First, I will
8	begin by saying although like my colleagues,
9	you know, we're always upset to see our local
10	projects get cut out of the ag budget, I will
11	tell you I was thrilled to see the Governor
12	make such a strong commitment to Farm to
13	School in this year's proposal. It is an
14	issue that, as you know, is near and dear to
15	my heart, and I've been working in my region
16	to pilot a Farm to School program. And so
17	I've got a lot of lessons learned that I hope
18	I will be able to share as this program moves
19	along.
20	But I have found that it has had a
21	tremendous impact on our farmers, on their
22	financial bottom line. And while they're
23	certainly not used to doing business,

necessarily, with institutional buyers in my

1	area, they are really rising to the challenge
2	of, you know, figuring out to how sell at
3	just more than a farmer's market. And our
4	children are also literally eating it up.
5	They are eating salads, and they weren't
6	before. I even have folks that raise beef
7	making the hamburgers that are all-beef
8	hamburger patties right from you know, as
9	fresh as can be.
10	So it has been wonderful. And I
11	expect that this Farm to School program, ever
12	this mild investment that's proposed, will
13	have such ripple effects in the rural economy
L 4	that we'll kick ourselves for not doing it
15	sooner.
16	Now I would like to dive a little bit
17	into that program. I believe that the
18	proposal includes the purchase of New York
19	dairy products as eligible for the Farm to
20	School increased reimbursement rate. Is that
21	correct?
22	COMMISSIONER BALL: That's correct.
23	That's correct

ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: I was shocked to

1	learn that school districts in my region are
2	actually getting their cartons of milk from
3	New England dairy producers, that it's being
4	imported into the state. And I didn't know
5	if you folks had any idea how much of the
6	milk that's being served in our New York
7	cafeterias are coming from out of state.
8	COMMISSIONER BALL: Very little.
9	We've actually looked at that pretty closely.
10	We are an ex-exporter of milk into
11	New England. Obviously some of our
12	processing plants for example, Agri-Mark,
13	they have plants in New York, they also have
14	plants in Vermont and Springfield,
15	Massachusetts. And so milk goes back and
16	forth.
17	But the net amount of milk goes out of
18	New York State. It may go to a plant on the
19	border, be processed, packaged, and then come
20	back in. There's a little bit up there on
21	the border. Pennsylvania, the same kind of
22	story going on there. But we're pretty good
23	at milk in New York.
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: I questioned the

1	specific area because I was unsure if the
2	Farm to School program would assist our dairy
3	farmers by creating more demand or if we
4	figured that it wouldn't really change the
5	price of milk, that we wouldn't see that much
6	more New York milk being in demand and kind
7	of sopped up the excess supply that's out
8	there.
9	COMMISSIONER BALL: I certainly think
10	it's going to help, because it's going to
11	make the whole school budget for food in the
12	cafeterias work a lot better.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Oh, yeah. I'm
14	just talking about the price of milk in
15	general the farmers are getting, as you
16	mentioned before, has been just crushing for
17	them. And so it's usually a case of supply
18	and demand. And, you know, I just wasn't
19	sure if we thought that there would be an
20	uptick in New York-processed, you know, milk

So this is a good segue for me to talk in more depth about dairy. And with the SALT cap in place, my biggest concern about the

purchases.

1	SALT cap in my district is the impact it's
2	going to have on my dairy farmers. And not
3	because they're going to have any income,
4	it's going to be because they pay a ton of
5	property taxes that are going to take them
6	over the SALT cap.
7	And I wonder if we have a backup pla
8	for if we aren't able to agree, during this

And I wonder if we have a backup plan for if we aren't able to agree, during this budget process, on a solution for the loss of the SALT deduction for our farmers, who don't have cash hanging around to pay higher property -- or, you know, any higher taxes at all.

COMMISSIONER BALL: Right. We've looked at this pretty closely. There's two things at play here, not just the property tax. Which if they're even a sole proprietorship or an LLC, they would still qualify for that as a business expense.

The bigger concern right now is

Section 199 in the federal code, which has to
do with income flowing through a cooperative.

Which of course, you know, 99 percent of our
dairy farmers backlog belong to a

1	cooperative. That got fixed along the way
2	somewhat. It actually got over-fixed and
3	included some unintended cooperatives, large
4	businesses that weren't dairy farmers paying
5	into a cooperative.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: No, that wasn't
7	unintended, I'm sure.
8	COMMISSIONER BALL: Well, yes, I'm
9	sure it was something. But that's being
10	worked on as we speak, and we weigh in on
11	that, and hopefully it will wind up righted
12	and again in a good place. So I don't think
13	that's going to be the blow to our dairy
14	farmers that's anticipated.
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: And finally, I
16	just you know, as we're looking at options
17	on the table for supporting our dairy
18	industry, I'll just remind you of my thoughts
19	on a premium payment for meeting very high
20	quality measures. When we talk about our
21	exports being down, I think a component of

that is that the United States has failed to

European Union has, and so we are not seen as

adopt higher quality measures like the

22

23

1	attractive compared to those other places
2	that have higher quality standards.
3	And I've briefed you on my proposal to
4	have an up to \$3-per-hundredweight premium
5	payment that would help us with exports and
6	also help to stabilize the dairy industry
7	that is on the brink, which is the basis for
8	most of the economy of upstate New York, and
9	that it's time to think more boldly.
10	Thank you.
11	COMMISSIONER BALL: Oh, gosh, thank
12	you. Appreciate it.
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
14	Senator O'Mara.
15	SENATOR O'MARA: Yes, Commissioner
16	COMMISSIONER BALL: Yes, sir.
17	SENATOR O'MARA: thank you.
18	Just a quick comment on the
19	\$10-plus million of various ag programs that
20	get cut out of the budget this year, and last
21	year was more than that. And we go through
22	this charade every year in the budget of
23	cutting it out, putting it back in. We waste
24	a lot of time and effort for programs that

1	are very valuable to our agriculture
2	community. And I would just stress to the
3	Executive that it frankly is a waste of all
4	of our time, year in and year out, having to
5	go through all that.
6	On the State Fair, \$50 million in
7	capital reappropriations, can you tell us
8	where we are, what remains to be done, and
9	how much is expended of that \$50 million?
10	COMMISSIONER BALL: It's all
11	allocated. The building, they're working on
12	it today as we speak. If you drive by the
13	Syracuse State Fair on 690, you'll see steel
14	going up. They actually worked on it all
15	winter, even on those days when I couldn't
16	see a hundred yards in front of me to the
17	next car, they were out there pounding
18	footings into the ground. And steel is being
19	erected as we speak, and they anticipate
20	being done with the Expo building, 136,000
21	square feet, by the start of this year's
22	State Fair. And this will be the largest
23	Expo Center between Cleveland and Boston,
24	that's north of New York City.

1	So I think that's going to be a great
2	addition to the fair, not just for those 13
3	days we operate, but throughout the season.
4	SENATOR O'MARA: Where is the gondola
5	project?
6	COMMISSIONER BALL: You know, when we
7	put together the transformation of the fair,
8	the first workgroup, and you got to walk
9	around with me and the Governor there and we
10	talked about doing more, and then there was
11	the second transformation project that was
12	underway and the gondola was certainly a
13	part of those discussions. It was a rather
14	extensive wish list. But the funding that we
15	had to do, we decided the best thing to do
16	with it was go after this Expo building.
17	So we currently don't have funding for
18	a gondola. We did put into the fair last
19	year a skyway, which was borne by the company
20	that operates the midway. And so you can
21	take a skyway ride from one end of the fair
22	over to the west end, which has been a big
23	hit.

SENATOR O'MARA: But not over to the

1	amphitheater.
2	COMMISSIONER BALL: Not over to the
3	amphitheater or the orange lot.
4	SENATOR O'MARA: So the gondola plans
5	are off the table for now?
6	COMMISSIONER BALL: Well, they're
7	sitting on the corner there waiting for
8	funding.
9	(Laughter.)
10	SENATOR O'MARA: Thank you. That's
11	where they should stay.
12	On hemp, I want to commend you, the
13	department, the Governor for the incredible
14	work that you've done to build a hemp
15	industry here in New York State. It's been
16	very important to me, and it's been a
17	pleasure to work with you and your department
18	and the Governor's office on that over the
19	past few years, together with
20	Assemblywoman Lupardo.
21	COMMISSIONER BALL: Thank you.
22	SENATOR O'MARA: You mentioned in your
23	opening remarks you now have over 2,000 acres
24	of land. Is it actively being farmed for

1	hemp this coming year, or what's the makeup
2	of that, and status?
3	COMMISSIONER BALL: Okay, the 2,000
4	acres refer to the amount of the research
5	project for hemp that we had planted last
6	year in New York State, literally from
7	Lake Erie across the state down to Long
8	Island. It was the biggest research project
9	I think Cornell and Morrisville had ever
10	stood up, and it was very successful in spite
11	of a very challenging growing season.
12	This year, new year, new
13	opportunity we have somewhere around over
14	106 growers that are interested in
15	participating in the hemp research. It will
16	add up to more than 2,000 acres this year. I
17	don't know exactly, but the sum total is
18	already exceeding 2,000 acres, so. I think
19	we're going to keep that research growing.
20	You learn a lot on a bad year, as you do on a
21	good year. And so excited about the
22	prospects there.
23	I would add that we'll be at Cornell
24	this week for a hemp research forum where we

1	get the best and brightest together and talk
2	about what we've learned and what we have ye
3	to learn as we go forward.
4	So I think between that and the
5	investment in processing capacity by Empire
6	State Development in hemp, that's going to
7	really be the answer.
8	SENATOR O'MARA: Again, on the topic
9	of Cornell, to echo Senator Ritchie's
10	comments, Cornell being in my district, the
11	relationship that we have in the state with
12	Cornell is phenomenal. The work that they
13	do, in conjunction with you and Ag & Markets
14	in so many other areas of the state, is
15	fantastic.
16	So I do want to commend Cornell for
17	their very active involvement in this. I
18	only wish that the forum wasn't the night of
19	a session day when we're here in Albany. Bu
20	I'm sure I'll have somebody there.
21	What is the plan or how many
22	licenses are issued now for growers and/or

processors in the state, and is there any

limit? Or where do you see where we're going

23

1	to end up in the number of licenses being
2	issued?
3	COMMISSIONER BALL: I think the number
4	of permits we have is 106. And Jeff is
5	showing me here that the number of acres that
6	are currently planned for next year is 2700,
7	just to get back to that.
8	For processors, I believe there are 26
9	that are currently registered with the
10	department with an interest in processing
11	hemp in New York State.
12	SENATOR O'MARA: How is the work going
13	to match up the growers with the processors?
L 4	Because we certainly have farmers across the
15	state that are interested in this burgeoning
16	industry, but concerned about making an
17	investment to get into a new crop without
18	really having the industry there to sell to.
19	You know, it's the old chicken-and-egg
20	scenario.
21	COMMISSIONER BALL: Sure.
22	SENATOR O'MARA: So where are we in
23	those efforts?
24	COMMISSIONER BALL: Yeah. It

certainly starts with the customer, and not with what you like to grow.

That's part of our mission, and to do that, we put together a hemp workgroup that came out of the summit you and I were at. We invited all the people that are interested in the business to be a part of that workgroup. They're a very bright, very high-energy, very scientific and very forward-thinking group of people.

But within the first meeting, I realized we needed a second workgroup just to deal with a different type of hemp, because certainly it depends on who your customer is. And matching that processor to the grower who can grow what they need is so important, which is what we're doing with these workgroups.

We have hemp that's grown for fiber, that's grown for manufacturing, that's grown for paper or cloth, and then we have hemp that's probably grown to a much more sophisticated level with regard to CBDs and the seed and the quality of oils that can be

1	extracted, with nutrition and possibly
2	pharmaceutical benefits.
3	So it starts with who the processor is
4	and connecting them with a grower that can
5	grow that specific type, that specific
6	quality of product. Very different from
7	biofiber to pharmaceutical, as you can
8	imagine. But that's what we're matching up
9	now.
10	SENATOR O'MARA: Very good. I again
11	commend you and your staff's efforts and the
12	entire department on these efforts. I've got
13	a few more questions, but I'll come back for
L 4	a second round.
15	COMMISSIONER BALL: Thank you.
16	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
17	Blankenbush.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN BLANKENBUSH: Thank you.
19	Welcome, Commissioner. Assemblyman
20	Magee and Senator Ritchie asked most of the
21	questions that were on my list. I'm not
22	going to repeat those questions. But I just
23	want to reflect a little hit on the Senator's

comments about how every year that I've been

1	down here with her, that the Executive takes
2	that money away from Ag & Markets and ag
3	communities.
4	So I'm not going to repeat any of
5	that, just to tell you that I'm concerned. I
6	think we've talked in my office about that,
7	actually. So hopefully we can get those back
8	in.
9	So the only real question that I have
10	is out in the western United States, the
11	Salmonella Dublin disease for the cattle, the
12	herd, I'm hearing that there's some coming
13	into New York now, that some herds of cattle
14	have had that or are starting to have that
15	disease. Have you heard that, or
16	COMMISSIONER BALL: No, I have not.
17	And we have probably the best state vet in
18	the country here, Dr. David Smith. And
19	usually when I see him walking towards me in
20	the office, I know something's up, and I have
21	not seen him.
22	So I'm not aware of that. I'll
23	certainly flag that for him.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN BLANKENBUSH: Okay. I've

1	just gotten some type of emails and stuff of
2	concern that it's all in the western
3	section of the United States, or a lot of it,
4	but now I'm getting some of our dairy people
5	are concerned about that disease entering
6	into the State of New York, and I just wanted
7	to ask that question if you've heard that.

COMMISSIONER BALL: I would say that when I was in Washington a couple of weeks ago, one of the things we advocated for and would be part of the farm bill at USDA is the ability to have funding set aside for livestock issues as they come up.

As you know, a couple of years ago we had the largest outbreak of avian influenza that the United States has ever seen. It was the most devastating and costly event that USDA had ever dealt with. And the money had to be found to do that. And so as we have in the plant world funding in the government's budget to deal with plant outbreaks, we need to follow through and do the same thing for livestock.

ASSEMBLYMAN BLANKENBUSH: So I guess

1	the farmers that have contacted me, I should
2	wait to see what the department has found ou
3	on that question that I have there?
4	COMMISSIONER BALL: Please contact us
5	and we'll talk about that.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN BLANKENBUSH: Okay.
7	The last thing is that we're going
8	into the maple season, and the next time I
9	see you will be tapping. But a lot of the
10	maple people are talking that we're probably
11	going to be untapping by the time you and I
12	get to VBS down there.
13	COMMISSIONER BALL: Well, it is an
L 4	unusual weather pattern that we're in, but
15	the sap is flowing and we've already begun.
16	I'll have a brace and bit in my hand, and
17	I'll be ready.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN BLANKENBUSH: Thank you
19	very much. That's all the questions I have.
20	COMMISSIONER BALL: Thank you so much
21	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
22	SENATOR O'MARA: Senator Helming.
23	SENATOR HELMING: Thank you.
24	COMMISSIONER BALL: Good to see you,

2		SENATOR	HELM:	ING:	It's	nice	to se	ee
3	you,	Commission	ner.	Thank	you	for 3	being	here.

My first question this morning is in regards to anaerobic digesters. As you know, and I think most everyone here, anaerobic digesters help to reduce methane gas emissions and nutrient contamination of nearby sources, which is so critically important in my region where I represent four of the Finger Lakes areas.

These digesters also help New York

meet greenhouse gas emission reduction goals

under the State Energy Plan. And

furthermore, the state has already

significantly invested in its farm waste

generating equipment, customer generators,

and any closures would undo this substantial

investment.

I've toured a couple of farms with digesters on site, and they seem to be at the stage where the digesters are at the point where they need upgrades. And I'm just wondering what your thoughts are on the

1	future viability of the existing digesters,
2	and what if anything is being done to ensure
3	their financial security.
4	COMMISSIONER BALL: Well, thank you
5	for that.
6	We talk pretty regularly with the
7	methane digester group, largely dairy
8	farmers, although there are some community
9	operations as well. I think it's a valuable
10	source of energy that we need to be aware of
11	and pay attention to.
12	As you know, digesters are very
13	expensive to install, very expensive to
14	maintain. And that return in the price has
15	not really given them enough flexibility to
16	maintain the equipment or consider expanding.
17	This is a conversation that we're
18	having ongoing with the Public Service
19	Commission as well as NYSERDA. And you're
20	going to have the new commissioner at NYSERDA
21	shortly a great individual, and we've
22	talked about this quite a bit.
23	I think we're getting there.

Particularly in my mind as we look forward to

1	becoming energy-independent here, and we look
2	at the possible sources of energy that we
3	have in the state, the CAFO manure storage
4	funding came up a little while ago. And I
5	think if we're creative about that, we will
6	see that, you know, potentially we have a
7	couple of hundred storage facilities for
8	energy around our state. And I think it's
9	something that needs to be included in our
10	thinking, and I certainly appreciate your
11	work in this sphere, and we'll work with you.
12	SENATOR HELMING: Thank you. I will
13	be sure to ask NYSERDA further about the
14	digesters.
15	But, you know, we're the digesters
16	that I was referring to, the ones that I
17	visited, were on dairy farms. And as we just
18	heard from a number of my colleagues, that
19	there is this increasing pressure on dairy
20	farmers, because of the milk prices just
21	and as you said, the global economy is not
22	good.
23	And my concern is what are we doing to

24 help the farmers who are under all of this

1	stress? Is there anything from a mental
2	health perspective or what is New York
3	State doing? And has any money been
4	allocated in the budget for FarmNet?
5	COMMISSIONER BALL: FarmNet's been a
6	great partner in New York agriculture for
7	many years. And actually we've been engaged
8	in conversations with them. One of our
9	larger co-ops in the state actually sent a
10	letter to all its members cautioning about
11	mental stress and even suicide, the word was
12	mentioned.
13	We have seen that happen in the past
14	historically, in rare fortunately
15	situations where farmers have taken their own
16	lives because of the stress on the industry.
17	So we talk regularly with FarmNet. I
18	have their brochure right here in my packet,
19	with a magnet to go on a refrigerator. We're
20	handing it out to all our farmers. We have
21	put together it was destined to be a
22	one-pager; it's actually a three-pager that
23	FarmNet put together for us to give to all

our inspectors, whether they be dairy

1	inspectors or plant or whatever type of
2	inspector, nutrition people who are visiting
3	the dairy farms to sell them feed, so they
4	can read and understand and know what to
5	watch for.

As you know, when a farmer gets to

FarmNet, they've made the right call and
they're going to get the right care. But the
farmers that don't call FarmNet are the ones
that we worry about. And so, again, we've
added this to our list of things we do as the
agency when we visit farms.

We're also working very hard to deal with some of the underlying issues -- the safety net that isn't there for them in the farm bill, the MPP program, which failed them miserably. So there's a fix for that. We're analyzing that very carefully and seeing if it makes sense for our farmers.

We're also on a call this week to talk about price loss coverage protection for our farmers. We're looking at all the options there. And basically, you know, I don't buy milk and I don't sell milk and I don't set

1	the price of milk. We can help make
2	connections, we can help look for new
3	markets. And we've worked very hard with our
4	grown and certified program, for example, to
5	expand that market, to expand the reach of
6	that market, and to get a higher-value dollar
7	for the dairy. So
8	SENATOR HELMING: I'd just like to
9	redirect for a moment here.
10	COMMISSIONER BALL: Yes.
11	SENATOR HELMING: So I applaud you and
12	your agency for sharing the information about
13	FarmNet with the farmers out there. But why
14	is it year after year that there is no
15	funding in the Governor's budget for FarmNet?
16	And as Senator O'Mara talked about
17	earlier, it's kind of like a game we play.
18	The Governor puts nothing in for this
19	critically important program, which you just
20	acknowledged, you know, how important it is,
21	and then the Legislature has to go and battle
22	back for the money.
23	This should be an automatic this is
24	extremely important for the farmers.

1	COMMISSIONER BALL: The Governor put
2	\$384,000 into that budget. And over the
3	years, traditionally, the balance of their
4	funding has come from the Legislature.
5	I agree that it's a critical program,
6	especially in a year like this one.
7	SENATOR HELMING: Can I ask another
8	one?
9	SENATOR O'MARA: Next round, Senator,
LO	please.
11	SENATOR HELMING: Okay. Thank you.
12	COMMISSIONER BALL: Thank you.
13	SENATOR O'MARA: Senator Krueger.
L 4	SENATOR KRUEGER: Good afternoon. Oh,
15	there's no more Assemblymembers? Okay.
16	Hi, Commissioner. When you first took
17	over, we had a number of discussions about
18	expanding ways to bring New York State
19	agriculture into New York City markets,
20	wholesale sales as well as retail and
21	restaurants, and including the need to
22	improve the Hunts Point Market and ensure
23	that there were adequate locations to have
24	direct marketing from New York State farmers

1	to the wholesalers or the trucks that were
2	coming to pick up there.
3	Where are we today?
4	COMMISSIONER BALL: Well, I wish I
5	could say we're done, but we've made a good
6	beginning on that. We've got a lot of things
7	going on, actually.
8	We have regular conversations with the
9	Hunts Point people, and we've come together
10	under the umbrella of food safety and helping
11	them be ready for the Food Safety
12	Modernization Act that congress has passed.
13	But more to your point, with the
L 4	New York Grown & Certified Program, when we
15	rolled that out last year, I was able to be
16	with the Governor announcing the beginning of
17	that program in Hunts Point, in the South
18	Bronx, where we dedicated funding for a new
19	food hub there, to be that loading dock, to
20	be that cross-dock opportunity to get
21	New York Grown & Certified, New York
22	products, to the neighborhoods that need it
23	most.

We allocated \$15 million to begin the

1	work on the building, partnering with the
2	Greenmarket Co. and GrowNYC. The plans are
3	being drawn, the land has been found.
4	They're drawing up the building plans now.
5	New York City is a partner on the land there
6	And we're looking forward to breaking ground
7	later this year and actually getting that
8	building up and operating.

This will be able to facilitate not just getting it into the various marketplaces but specifically getting the food box program supported in some of those neighborhoods that have not traditionally had access to New York food products.

SENATOR KRUEGER: And the state had also supported the creation of a couple of -there's a term, and I'm now blanking, but the farmers would bring their food into a centralized location and then it would get sold to New York City institutional food locations and it would -- that location they brought the food to would put it together and truck it for them the final miles down to the city.

1	COMMISSIONER BALL: Right. Food hub,
2	yeah.
3	SENATOR KRUEGER: And so there was one
4	in the Hudson Valley I visited, and I'm
5	blanking on the name.
6	COMMISSIONER BALL: Yup.
7	SENATOR KRUEGER: So have those been
8	successful, and have we expanded on that at
9	all?
10	COMMISSIONER BALL: Yeah, we are.
11	There's a tremendous interest in food hubs.
12	Again, it really starts with the customer
13	first, and then you locate a food hub.
14	Truly, the Hunts Point Market is a
15	food hub. Terminal markets around the state
16	are food hubs already. But there are some
17	that need tweaking, need improvement, need a
18	new location and new thinking. But in this
19	case, particularly the one in the South Bronx
20	that we're looking at, we're calling it a
21	Greenmarket Food Hub. That is the
22	destination and the gathering point.
23	Corresponding things need to happen
2.4	ungtate as well bessure ekay welve set a

1	distribution point, but how do we have a
2	collection point upstate? And so that work
3	is ongoing, connecting the dots, where do we
4	have the capacity in New York State
5	agricultural communities to produce the stuff
6	that we need for that food hub. So that
7	relationship building is all critical.
8	SENATOR KRUEGER: Changing topics.
9	But parallel to my colleagues' questions
10	about hemp and the potential for a growing
11	hemp market in New York State, the Governor
12	has now announced that he's asking Department
13	of Health to take the lead on a study of the
14	impact of adult-use recreational marijuana in
15	New York, parallel to many states around the
16	country and a growing number of our
17	neighboring states.
18	Have they asked you to look at the
19	impact on our agricultural sector if we had
20	an additional product to be grown and sold in
21	New York?
22	COMMISSIONER BALL: I have not been
23	asked. I have had many conversations with
24	the commissioner of health about some of the

1	technical aspects of and cultural aspects
2	of growing the crop. But that's really
3	Department of Health.
4	And I do know that those institutions,
5	those businesses that we have in the state,
6	very tightly regulated, all indoors, very
7	high-security, and under his purview.
8	SENATOR KRUEGER: Although if we went
9	into a nonmedical model, which is what the
10	Governor has asked him to look at, I think
11	we're really talking more about broader
12	agricultural economics of a new product. And
13	my colleague just asked me to ask about the
14	weather conditions in New York. Is the
15	assumption that it would all need to be an
16	indoor or hydroponic model, or that there
17	would be outdoor farming potential?
18	COMMISSIONER BALL: My understanding
19	in talking with some of my colleagues in
20	other states you know, Colorado, for
21	example is that it's generally an indoor,
22	secured model, greenhouse-grown.
23	But I think we're at the stage the
24	Governor has asked people to look at it,

1	study it, and come back with an answer.
2	Pretty early on in the process, from what I
3	understand.
4	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. Thank
5	you.
6	COMMISSIONER BALL: Thank you. Oh,
7	gosh, thank you.
8	SENATOR O'MARA: Commissioner, just a
9	couple more questions.
10	Commissioner Seggos from the DEC kind
11	of punted on a question regarding the soil
12	health program in New York. And that's one
13	of the many programs that's been I forget
14	whether that was cut completely or just
15	reduced in the Executive Budget.
16	That, to me, has been a very important
17	issue for agriculture in New York. I know
18	I've spoken to you several times about that.
19	And can you explain the rationale for the
20	reduction in that program?
21	COMMISSIONER BALL: Dr. David Wolfe
22	put together that proposal with Cornell.

He's a friend. It's an excellent program.

And soil health, as we've talked about a lot,

1	is the new buzz word in agriculture.
2	And that came into the budget not
3	through the Executive Budget, but through the
4	legislative process at the very tail end of
5	negotiations last year. And I would
6	encourage looking at that again. The
7	Governor did not include that in his budget;
8	it was an add by the Legislature. But I
9	think it's a worthwhile program.
10	SENATOR O'MARA: But the Governor
11	doesn't think it's worthwhile enough to put
12	into his budget?
13	COMMISSIONER BALL: I think he wanted
L 4	to know what you thought about it.
15	SENATOR O'MARA: And I've asked you
16	what you thought about it. It's been a good
17	program, right?
18	COMMISSIONER BALL: It's been a great
19	program.
20	SENATOR O'MARA: The soil health, we
21	deal with a lot of issues with runoff and
22	nonpoint source pollution. Soil health
23	certainly plays a significant role in that,
2.4	doogn!t it?

1	COMMISSIONER BALL: Of course, yeah.
2	SENATOR O'MARA: And in the Finger
3	Lakes, where we have steep slopes running
4	into our lakes and waterways, and the harmful
5	algae blooms that we're dealing with that are
6	contributed to by this runoff, it seems to me
7	that the soil health program would be an
8	integral part of what are extensive efforts
9	going underway by the state right now with
10	regards to HABs, and in fact a summit going
11	on in the Hudson Valley today, and three or
12	four more scheduled around the state.
13	So would you agree that soil health is
14	an important aspect of this whole HAB issue
15	that we're dealing with?
16	COMMISSIONER BALL: I would. And
17	actually I was with the Governor this morning
18	in New Paltz for that very first HAB summit,
19	and I look forward to being at the one in
20	Western New York and central New York as
21	well.
22	Clearly we have to look from 30,000
23	feet down at what the issue is, and there's
24	concerns about the agriculture, but there's

1	concerns with homeowners and septic systems,
2	there's concerns with weather, climate
3	changes, there's concerns with municipal
4	processing systems. There's a lot that goes
5	into this.
6	And clearly I think correctly
7	the Governor has identified that we need a
8	new level of thinking and study and expertise
9	and looking at this issue if we're going to,
10	you know, solve it. Because there isn't just
11	one thing that is the issue here.
12	Certainly on our farms the work that
13	we do with nonpoint, the work that we do with
14	the CAFO storages, the work that we do with
15	all our soil and water programs and again,
16	we've added a million dollars there, and
17	we've added climate resiliency funds all
18	of these together can help improve that
19	situation in our watersheds that are
20	sensitive.
21	So I would encourage you to look
22	closely at it as well, and look forward to
23	personally working with you on it.

SENATOR O'MARA: Thank you. And I

1	agree with you completely about the many
2	aspects involved. And I didn't mean to imply
3	that soil health was the only one of them or
4	even the main one of them. But it's
5	certainly a part of it. So I appreciate your
6	interest in that and continued efforts in
7	that regard.
8	Senator Helming.
9	SENATOR HELMING: Thank you.
10	So talking about soil protection, one
11	of the programs that I think is incredibly
12	valuable to New York State is the FPIG, which
13	is the Farmland Protection Implementation
14	Grant program. I've seen that successfully
15	implemented in many areas across New York
16	State. And what I'm wondering is, are all
17	the funds under the FPIG program approved in
18	last year's budget currently encumbered?
19	COMMISSIONER BALL: I don't believe
20	they're totally encumbered. There's always
21	some that come in under budget, or a little
22	over or something. We have a backlog of
23	funding there. But that goes back to 2009,
24	when there was no funding and lots of

projects. And so we've been dealing with that backlog I think pretty effectively.

But yeah, we're spending the money pretty well. In the last four years, we've had stakeholder meetings, we've brought in all the players there -- the land trusts, the municipalities, our team -- and sat at the same table and talked about the process, how we can facilitate the process and make it work faster.

And we've gotten it down to -- back in those early days, it took over four years to, you know, go from having funding to getting a project done. We've cut the time in half.

I'm going to make my team very nervous now and say last year we did two projects in Saratoga County in a year. That's our goal, is to get it down so that the money comes in and the money goes out as fast as possible.

So I think we're doing a pretty good job. We're gaining on it all the time. The Governor is committed to it, he's put it back in the budget again this year. And this year we've added some grants to help on the land

1	trust side, on the municipalities side, then
2	do inventories on available land and start
3	looking ahead so that the process can be, in
4	fact, much smoother, much faster.

SENATOR HELMING: Can we increase the number of applications that the regional land trust and/or the municipalities can submit?

I think right now are they capped at six per region, or six applications in general?

COMMISSIONER BALL: You know, we can certainly look at that. My response to them about that is that the jam-up there doesn't occur at Ag & Markets, it's largely in the field at the land trust and the municipality level.

These agreements involve conservation easements that are fairly complicated, and it takes a great amount of education on the end of the farmer and the land trust, the municipality. And for us, we've streamlined our process down pretty well. What's been taking a lot of the time is the capacity in the community, get the farmers ready — because things change on a farm. And you can

1	think about if a farm has an idea to have an
2	easement and get that influx, there's if
3	it takes a long time, family dynamics change,
4	et cetera.
5	So we see the real bottleneck
6	happening at that end. But I'm willing to
7	turn the volume up on the machine, or the
8	speed up on the machine, but I think we've
9	spent the last couple of years making sure
10	the machine is running properly and that we
11	get the bugs worked out before we try to turn
12	up the speed on it.
13	SENATOR HELMING: Okay. Another
14	question, as long as no one's watching the
15	time.
16	(Laughter.)
17	SENATOR O'MARA: You've got a minute
18	and 44 seconds.
19	SENATOR HELMING: Farm brewery
20	license. I recently hosted a farm brewery
21	roundtable to discuss the New York Grown
22	thresholds under the program. And I want to
23	thank you for the department's participation.

Along with NYSDAM, we had representatives

1	from the State Liquor Authority, Farm Bureau,
2	Cornell, the Brewers Association, as well as
3	growers and brewers from across New York
4	State. It was an absolutely wonderful,
5	wonderful roundtable, a lot of positive
6	response.
7	But I just wanted to share with you a
8	couple of things that came out of that
9	meeting.
10	COMMISSIONER BALL: Sure.
11	SENATOR HELMING: One, it became very
12	clear to me that in New York State we need to
13	grow a variety of hops that will stand out in
14	the market and also increase the value of our
15	New York hops. And I think that relates back
16	to some of the comments Senators have made
17	about the need to fund different Cornell
18	research and other types of research.
19	Another issue that was addressed, it
20	was stressed over and over again by the
21	participants at the event, was that there's
22	so much excitement at the Governor's
23	level, at the state level about the craft

brewery industry, but that when it comes to

1	putting, you know, money where your mouth is,
2	that the funding is low. That when it comes
3	to funding for hops and barley research, the
4	Governor puts in \$40,000 every year.
5	And one of the producers who was at
6	the roundtable pointed out to us that one of
7	their hop storage bins on their farm alone
8	might cost \$40,000.
9	And it's my understanding that the
10	Legislature is the primary funder of research
11	programs relating to hops and barley that
12	support the farm brewery license program.
13	Why is that? And it seems like this is going
14	back to a question that Senator O'Mara asked
15	earlier. You know, why do we play these
16	games? Why not put the money in up-front for
17	these important programs?
18	COMMISSIONER BALL: Well, again, and
19	I you know, not to belabor the point, but
20	it is a process, the budget. It's not just a
21	one-and-done kind of thing. You know, this
22	input is very helpful and frankly very

But I have to say that, you know, the

beneficial.

1	Governor has held three craft beverage
2	summits, you know. Every one of them has
3	been tremendously successful. And every one
4	of them working with our partners at ESD
5	and our partners at the State Liquor
6	Authority, we've made regulations easier,
7	we've applied a different look, we've added
8	marketing efforts, we have funded, you know,
9	efforts at Cornell to get new varieties that
10	we're going to need. We are investing in
11	virus-free plant stock here; that's a new
12	initiative that we need back, because there
13	was so much excitement that some of the
14	growers got ahead of us and brought in, for
15	example, hop root stock from other areas. Lo
16	and behold, it had some virus into it. And
17	you don't see that until five years, six
18	years down the road.
19	So making sure that we have good stock
20	material. When you make an investment in
21	hops, it's a pretty significant investment
22	and it takes a long time before you get a
23	return on it.

So we're doing a lot of those things.

1	But I think we need to remember it's a joint
2	project here between the two of us. You're
3	out there talking with the growers; we've got
4	a craft beverage workgroup that we work with
5	on a regular basis. And where there's a
6	need, we're ready to step up. So we look
7	forward to that. I'm so glad you put
8	together that roundtable.

SENATOR HELMING: So just a question, then, to follow up quickly. Do you think there's adequate funding in the budget right now for hops and barley research programs?

COMMISSIONER BALL: I think we need to know what we need to know. I think we're going to need to -- and I don't mean to be silly about that -- but, you know, clearly meet with Cornell on a regular basis.

We needed new varieties of rye to go along with the hops. But we've already got things in place, they've got good researchers there. And some of it takes time. For example, with the barley question, I spoke with the Governor about barley not too long ago. We need more barley. We need this

1	quality of barley. Well, it took Cornell
2	three years to really evaluate some of the
3	new varieties they were looking at, and they
4	weren't going to be ready to release a
5	recommendation until they had seen it three
6	times in a row and then were comfortable. So
7	some of this takes walking before we start
8	running.
9	But clearly it's an industry that's
10	grown 150 percent since 2012. I don't think
11	we've seen the end of it. And, you know, I
12	can see more funding needed as we go forward.
13	SENATOR HELMING: Thank you.
14	I have one more.
15	SENATOR O'MARA: You've got one more
16	question?
17	SENATOR HELMING: One more.
18	SENATOR O'MARA: That's the last one.
19	SENATOR HELMING: Okay. Cut me off
20	after this one.
21	Commissioner, have you heard about the
22	waste incinerator that's proposed for
23	Romulus, New York? It's about 3.5 miles off
24	of Seneca Lake. It's in the heart of the

1	ringer takes wine and craft brewery area.
2	COMMISSIONER BALL: I have not.
3	SENATOR HELMING: Okay. Well, maybe
4	at some point you and I can get together and
5	we can talk about it.
6	COMMISSIONER BALL: Sure.
7	SENATOR HELMING: It's my
8	understanding that someone from your agency
9	will sit on the board that will be making a
10	decision on whether or not this incinerator
11	project should be approved for this area. I
12	could go on and on about my feelings of the
13	impact that this incinerator will have on our
L 4	agricultural industry not even to mention
15	on our clean drinking water in Cayuga Lake
16	and Seneca Lake. But maybe that's a
17	discussion that we can have offline.
18	COMMISSIONER BALL: Let's do that.
19	SENATOR HELMING: I just wanted to
20	bring it to your attention.
21	COMMISSIONER BALL: Sure, happy to do
22	that.
23	SENATOR HELMING: Thank you.
24	COMMISSIONER BALL: Thank you.

1	SENATOR O'MARA: I think we're done,
2	Commissioner. Thank you for your
3	participation. Thank you for your
4	common-sense approach to many of these
5	matters and your passion for the issues that
6	you're involved with. You're really doing a
7	great job; keep up the good work.
8	COMMISSIONER BALL: Well, thank you.
9	I have to thank all of you for your continued
10	interest in agriculture and your support of
11	our food system. It's much appreciated.
12	SENATOR HELMING: Thank you.
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
14	Next we have the New York State Public
15	Service Commission, represented by John B.
16	Rhodes, chair.
17	Feel free to begin.
18	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Am I on?
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: You should be.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Yes.
21	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Okay, thank you.
22	Sorry.
23	Good afternoon, Chair Young, Chair
24	Weinstein, and other distinguished members.

1	My name is John Rhodes. I'm the CEO of the
2	Department of Public Service and chair of the
3	Public Service Commission. And with me today
4	is Executive Deputy Tom Congdon.
5	The department ensures safe, reliable,
6	and affordable access to energy,
7	telecommunications, and private water
8	services, and advises the commission on
9	issues ranging from setting rates and
10	protecting consumers to siting infrastructure
11	and reviewing utility mergers.
12	Our top priorities this year include
13	continuing Governor Cuomo's progressive plan
14	to modernize our utility systems and to
15	ensure affordable energy for our most
16	vulnerable citizens.
17	Some key points. Reforming the Energy
18	Vision. We will drive towards an
19	increasingly clean, reliable, affordable and
20	consumer-oriented energy system, by
21	harnessing markets, innovation, and smarter
22	investment.
23	We have the Clean Energy Standard,
24	which is a key element of REV and the state's

1	commitment to assuring that 50 percent of all
2	electricity consumed comes from renewable
3	energy resources by 2030, with requirements
4	on energy providers to procure increasing
5	amounts of renewable energy and zero-emission
6	resources.
7	We have low-income protections, where
8	the commission will advance its Energy
9	Affordability Policy, which seeks to limit
10	home energy costs energy burden, as we
11	call it for the approximately 2.3 million
12	low-income New Yorkers to no more than
13	6 percent of household income, on average.
14	We have system reliability and
15	resiliency. The reliability of our electric
16	and natural gas systems remains a primary and
17	essential focus. Our staff monitors utility
18	performance closely, investigates reliability
19	concerns, and advances cost-effective
20	investment in new monitoring and control
21	technologies.
22	In safety, this year we will further
23	strengthen our oversight of utility
24	practices, especially those related to

customer safety and to the replacement of leak-prone natural gas pipes.

Our regulatory jurisdiction extends
over investor-owned utilities, including six
major electric/gas utilities, five major gas
utilities, three major water companies, as
well as small telephone companies, hundreds
of water companies, municipal electric
utilities, cable companies, power generators,
and energy service companies. The department
provides regulatory oversight and review of
electric service operation on Long Island -the department, as opposed to the commission.

In this year, the commission expects to decide several major rate cases. This important review will be informed by the cost-benefit analysis framework that the commission established to ensure a clear and transparent assessment of the benefit and cost of utility investments. In addition to rate case reviews, the commission instituted a proceeding to ensure large tax savings for utilities due to federal tax law changes are captured for customer benefit in New York.

1	We will build on the state's success
2	in driving record investment in renewable
3	energy development by supporting Governor
4	Cuomo's call to expand energy efficiency
5	programs and deploy 1,500 megawatts of energy
6	storage the largest commitment per capita
7	by any state by 2025, saving billions of
8	dollars in energy costs.

Further, we will work with NYSERDA to obtain at least 2,400 megawatts of offshore wind power, which includes issuing a procurement for 800 megawatts by the end of this year. In terms of developing new energy resources, there are currently 24 wind and solar proposals, totaling 3,900 megawatts, pending before the Board on Electric Generation Siting and the Environment, also known as the Siting Board, which is a board that I chair.

It's critical that these projects are appropriately sited, and that we account for potential environmental and community impacts. Our thorough siting process ensures local communities are heard and that negative

1	impacts	are	addressed.

In the telecom sector, we will accelerate infrastructure modernization and oversee investment in broadband buildout to help achieve Governor Cuomo's vision for universally available high-speed broadband.

The commission uses its enforcement powers to ensure regulatory success. Last year, we held Charter to its commitment to expand broadband service to 145,000 unserved or underserved homes. When Charter missed its first-year broadband buildout milestone, we obtained a \$13 million settlement to put the company on track.

In the electric sector, the commission investigated the utility response to the March 2017 Rochester-area windstorm, and a penalty proceeding is now underway.

In this fiscal year, reforming the ESCO market remains a priority. We have heard complaints from many consumers and their representatives about ESCOs grossly overcharging and using deceptive marketing practices. We will continue the reform, and

1	we will put an end to bad-acting ESCOS.
2	The fiscal year '18-'19 Executive
3	Budget continues support of \$89.6 million for
4	operations, which includes \$81.1 million in
5	18-a utility funds, \$3 million for cable TV,
6	and \$5.5 million for federal funds.
7	Additionally, \$5.8 million is included for
8	intervenor funding, for a total All-Funds
9	appropriation of \$95.4 million.
10	The full-time equivalent position
11	count remains the same at 520, and we are
12	positioned to deliver our core mission and
13	meet the Governor's ambitious agenda.
14	This concludes my remarks, and I
15	welcome your questions.
16	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
17	We'll go first to the Assembly Energy
18	chair, Assemblyman Cusick.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Thank you. Thank
20	you, Chairwoman.
21	Chairman, thank you for being here. I
22	wanted to ask some questions on the Clean
23	Energy Standard. I know in 2016 the 50
24	percent renewable by 2030 was adopted. Could

1	you tell me in 2018 where we are, where
2	New York State is in the percentage of energy
3	generated in New York State by renewables?
4	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Can I offer
5	estimates for 2017?
6	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Sure. Whatever
7	you have.
8	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: So the NYISO,
9	the New York Independent System Operator,
10	keeps the bible they now call it the Gold
11	Book. And if you look at those figures and
12	update them for known capacity additions,
13	total renewables are about 24 percent,
14	perhaps a little bit higher. The bulk of
15	those are hydro resources.
16	I expect you're asking also
17	specifically about wind and solar.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Yes.
19	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Solar is
20	probably running at about 1 percent, with
21	installed capacity growing at a very nice
22	healthy rate. And wind is at about in the
23	high 3 percents, I would say. Again, with
24	NYSERDA in the midst and you should talk

1	to the president of NYSERDA of really a
2	nation-leading procurement of clean-energy
3	resources, which include both wind and solar.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Now, are these
5	numbers that you are comfortable with? Or
6	are these numbers that we predicted we'd be
7	on target?
8	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: They are on the
9	track that we need to be on in order to get
10	to our goal. I'll confess we're making life
11	a little bit easier for ourselves by moving
12	the finish line in a little closer. We have
13	in the State Energy Plan a very forceful
14	energy-efficiency set of initiatives, and I
15	think you know that the Governor in the State
16	of the State announced that he wanted us and
17	our sister agencies, including NYSERDA and
18	the New York Power Authority, to examine all
19	options to be even stronger on that.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: So there are
21	incremental targets in place that to
22	ensure that we are on target to get to that
23	goal?

PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: That's right.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Okay. And you're
2	comfortable that we're on that track to get
3	there?
4	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: We're not on
5	cruise control, we're paying a lot of
6	attention. But yes, we're confident we'll
7	get there.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Great.
9	I'd like to switch over to the Indian
10	Point Closure Task Force. I have a question
11	on what's the status of the task force study
12	evaluating future reuse of the land? I know
13	that there was a deadline of April 30th.
14	It's fast approaching. So I wanted to see if
15	you could give us a little sneak peek of it.
16	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: So this is one
17	of the few topics where my executive deputy
18	is especially deeply involved. So Tom,
19	perhaps you can answer?
20	EXECUTIVE DEPUTY CONGDON: Hi,
21	Assemblyman. Thank you for the question.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Absolutely.
23	EXECUTIVE DEPUTY CONGDON: The Indian
24	Point Task Force, which I chair, has met

1	three times. We've had very productive
2	meetings in the community, the Town of
3	Cortlandt.
4	And we did bring on a consultant
5	through a NYSERDA RFP to conduct that reuse
6	study that you've mentioned. The
7	consultant's name is D.L. English. They are
8	hard at work to complete the reuse study by
9	the deadline of April 30. They made a public
10	presentation to the task force at a recent
11	meeting and showed a lot of progress.
12	I think that the information that they
13	provide us will be helpful to the task force
14	and the local communities to know what
15	potential future options may exist, short
16	term and long term.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Okay. And how
18	much replacement power is currently in
19	service?
20	EXECUTIVE DEPUTY CONGDON: On the
21	replacement power front, as you may know, the
22	New York Independent System Operator
23	performed a feasibility assessment. They do
24	this for every power plant that closes.

1	And so they did an analysis of
2	Indian Point coming offline on the schedule
3	that was outlined in the settlement
4	agreement. And they look at both what the
5	projected demand is going to be on the system
6	as well as what resources they expect will be
7	online at the date that closure is occurring.
8	And they have a pretty high threshold
9	at the NYISO in terms of which resources to
10	include in the baseline. It's not enough,
11	for example, for a project simply to be
12	permitted. They've got to be showing the
13	NYISO that they're well underway in
14	construction for them to be counted in their
15	modeling analysis.
16	And so based on the resources that are
17	in service, the existing resources, as well
18	as resources that are sufficiently underway
19	in construction, and comparing that against
20	projected demand
21	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: How many are in
22	that second category you just mentioned?
23	EXECUTIVE DEPUTY CONGDON: Over 17,
24	1800 megawatts.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Okay.
2	EXECUTIVE DEPUTY CONGDON: In
3	construction, is what you're referring to?
4	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Yes. Yeah.
5	EXECUTIVE DEPUTY CONGDON: And also we
6	should note that, you know, the Public
7	Service Commission had an Indian Point
8	closure proceeding that it commenced in 2013,
9	and approved transmission upgrades of around
10	600 megawatts, as well as demand response and
11	energy-efficiency improvements in the area to
12	help tamp down demand.
13	And so through a combination of all of
14	those resources, the NYISO concluded that
15	there is no reliability need for Indian Point
16	beyond the closure date in the settlement
17	agreement.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Okay. The task
19	force was also charged with assessing
20	retaining opportunities for the jobs that are
21	affected. How many programs have been
22	identified?
23	EXECUTIVE DEPUTY CONGDON: There are
24	several existing programs. NYSERDA has

1	supported training programs for the renewable
2	energy sector. These will be detailed in the
3	task force report due in April. I know there
4	are several programs that will be included.
5	But more importantly, Department of
6	Labor is meeting with every individual
7	employee of the plant and determining for
8	each employee what the best track will be,
9	whether they want to participate in
10	retraining programs, whether there are other
11	employment opportunities within Entergy to
12	stay at the plant post-closure or at other
13	plants in the state or at other utilities in
14	the state.
15	And so there will be a detailed
16	employment plan for each individual wishing
17	to participate in that process.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: So there are
19	plans to keep some employees through the
20	decommissioning process?
21	EXECUTIVE DEPUTY CONGDON: That will
22	be determined.
23	There will be a number of employees
24	that will have to stay on post-closure even

1	before decommissioning, just for the safe
2	transition of the fuel from the spent fuel
3	pools into dry cask storage.
4	So for the sort of transition between
5	closure and decommissioning, they will need
6	to maintain a certain number of staff both in
7	operations and in security. And that's
8	important even after decommissioning, they
9	will need to maintain a security force on the
10	site.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Now, minus the
12	security force, how many jobs are we talking
13	about?
14	EXECUTIVE DEPUTY CONGDON: The total
15	employees are approximately a thousand. And
16	there will be a gradual phase-out over the
17	years post-closure of those positions. And
18	like I said, a portion of those will be
19	necessary to be on-site for the transition
20	and for security.
21	There's a large percentage of the
22	employees who will be retirement-eligible at
23	that time. So they, I think, at Entergy

expect that there will be -- a certain amount

1	of the reductions will be achieved through
2	retirement.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Okay, thank you.
4	Thank you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
6	SENATOR O'MARA: Chairman, good
7	afternoon.
8	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Senator.
9	SENATOR O'MARA: Thanks for being
10	here.
11	A few questions on the Clean Energy
12	Fund, rather than the Clean Energy Standard.
13	How much has been collected so far under the
14	new rates imposed for the Clean Energy Fund
15	that are being paid by ratepayers on their
16	bills?
17	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Senator, I'll
18	have to get back to you on that. What I can
19	tell you is that the Clean Energy Fund, when
20	it was put in place I believe in February of
21	last year, both immediately started with a
22	collection reduction of I believe \$85 million
23	from the prior year, and instituted basically

a pay-as-you-go model where, you know, the

1	collections would keep pace with the amount
2	needed to make the commitments that NYSERDA
3	was making.
4	So I'd have to get back to you on the
5	numbers, but the design of the Clean Energy
6	Fund was both to reduce collections and to
7	moderate the timing of those collections to
8	when those were actually needed to make
9	commitments.
10	SENATOR O'MARA: And is it correct
11	that the Clean Energy Fund, those fees being
12	collected were a replacement of all the other
13	fees that were on the bills, such as the 18A
14	fees, the RGGI fees, all these things that
15	are on there? Because we've got one line
16	item on there now for all this?
17	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: The Clean Energy
18	Fund collections really are a consolidation
19	of several other collections. There's kind
20	of an alphabet soup of EEPS and SBC and SBC2

But it does not include the items that you mentioned, 18A, which is on the bill, nor does it include RGGI, which is a separate

So it is a consolidation of those.

1	financial path.
2	SENATOR O'MARA: So you really can't
3	tell me how much has been collected in the
4	Clean Energy Fund since last February?
5	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: I'll have to get
6	back to you, because I know what we
7	authorized and I know we told I'm sorry,
8	the Public Service Commission at the time
9	told me, when I was at NYSERDA, to manage the
10	funding so as not to take it before it was
11	needed. And so it's there's a matter of
12	timing. I'll just have to get back to you on
13	where we stand on that.
14	SENATOR O'MARA: How much was in
15	reserves at the time the transition was made
16	to the Clean Energy Fund last February?
17	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: I don't know.
18	I'll get back to you on that.
19	We shared the number with this
20	committee over the years. It was a larger
21	number than we liked, and is part of the
22	rationale behind the pay-as-you-go model we
23	implemented.

SENATOR O'MARA: I'm somewhat at a

1	loss that you don't have answers to these
2	pretty basic questions, coming here to
3	testify at our budget hearing today.
4	Can you tell me where the Clean Energy
5	Fund where are they being expended
6	throughout the last year?
7	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: So the Clean
8	Energy Fund has four and the president of
9	NYSERDA is here. The Clean Energy Fund has
10	four portfolios, I believe they call them.
11	So there is NY-Sun, which is a billion-dollar
12	commitment to achieve 3 gigawatts of solar
13	deployment in the state. So that accounts
14	for about a billion of the Clean Energy
15	Fund's total.
16	There's capitalization of
17	completion of the capitalization of the Green
18	Bank to the tune of about \$840 million or
19	\$850 million that is being drawn down as the
20	Green Bank is making its financial
21	commitments.
22	There's about \$700 million to be spent
23	over 10 years on research and innovation.
24	And that is about the pace that it's going

1	on. And then there's about \$2.7 billion,
2	again over 10 years, on something called
3	market development, which is principally
4	energy efficiency, although that's also the
5	vehicle for funding things that have been
6	talked about here today, like anaerobic
7	digesters and the like. So these energy
8	efficiency plus farm digestion setups account
9	for half of the spend of the Clean Energy
10	Fund.
11	SENATOR O'MARA: Was that market
12	development, was that \$2.7 billion?
13	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Yes, over 10
14	years.
15	SENATOR O'MARA: With a B.
16	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Yes.
17	SENATOR O'MARA: Can you provide us
18	with specific breakdowns of each of those
19	portfolios you mentioned, as to where exactly
20	those resources are going?
21	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: We can. And I
22	know that NYSERDA provides detailed reports
23	to both houses, I believe on a six-monthly
24	basis. But yes, we can certainly get you

Τ.	chac.
2	SENATOR O'MARA: Let me switch to
3	the I believe it's Part F, or the fees for
4	the infrastructure for running cables, fiber
5	optics, along rights-of-way.
6	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: This is a
7	Thruway Authority proposal or
8	SENATOR O'MARA: Oh, is this DOT?
9	Okay, that's transportation. That's not
10	anything that PSC is overlooking?
11	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: No.
12	SENATOR O'MARA: All right. That's it
13	for now.
L 4	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Thank you,
15	Senator.
16	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
L7	Englebright.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Thank you
19	very much, gentlemen.
20	I'm concerned about the issues
21	relating to solar, and most particularly to
22	the way that solar is envisioned for the
23	future in order to meet the state's renewable
2.4	onorgy goals Thoro is a successful program

1	that's been helping to propel the small-scale
2	solar forward to the point where we now have
3	a measurable amount of solar on a statewide
4	basis with the net metering. Much of that is
5	on individual buildings and is located, you
6	know, really in a distributed model, as
7	opposed to a concentrated-site model. It has
8	created thousands of jobs of installers and
9	has been increasing welcomed by the general
10	public.

Now we have new regulations coming down from the Public Service Commission which have caused great concern to many of those who are in this industry because these regulations are confusing and have the functional effect of causing what had been a predictable incentive in the marketplace for unpredictability. That has, in turn, brought about a measurable, palpable disincentivization within the marketplace to continue to go in the direction of solar for small-scale or distributed solar.

And some have suggested that net metering is succeeding to register in the

1	market in such a way that it's a threat to
2	large-scale single-site energy providers, and
3	that they are behind this, this confusing set
4	of new expectations.
5	And so I wonder, what's your
6	perspective? Because it's coming from your
7	agency.
8	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: So my view is
9	that I think solar remains a success. I
10	believe we have got about 1 gigawatt, 1,000
11	megawatts, installed in the state, and we
12	have about that much again in the pipeline
13	that is under development.
14	And for the first time and again, I
15	encourage you to talk to my colleague the
16	president of NYSERDA we have received
17	large the state has received, under their
18	solicitation, it's a very exciting
19	development, large-scale solar proposals,
20	some of which are quite competitive. So the
21	market momentum is continuing.
22	With respect to the issues that you
23	raise, the net metering does remain in place

for residential consumers -- rooftop solar.

1	For the next level up, which until last week
2	was up to 2 megawatts, there's been a
3	transition away from net metering to
4	something called value stack, which is
5	it's a formula with a lot of terms in it, but
6	it's not that complicated for those who are
7	in the business and who can make it their
8	business to understand these things.

And particularly in a shared solar model, it's fairly clear that the projects are coming along unabated. And in the past few months, while this has been going on, we've had over a hundred of these community solar projects move along, pay a hundred percent of their development costs and account for more than a third of the pipeline.

And I made a little point about until last week the size was up to 2 megawatts.

The commission ruled that we would increase the cap for that mechanism to 5 megawatts, which is a move that is welcomed by solar developers. They like the idea. I'll be frank; we did it to protect consumers,

1	because it reduces costs in a way that's
2	beneficial to our strategies and good for
3	ratepayers all around.
4	So there's complexity involved, but
5	we're making progress. The numbers in terms
6	of what's getting built and what's getting
7	invested in are extremely encouraging. And
8	despite the complexity of the process, the
9	developers still consider New York one of the
LO	top states. And when they think about
11	regulatory stability, which is often a factor
12	for them, we compare very favorably to some
13	states in the Southwest where there's been
L 4	quite a bit of turmoil, and that's just not
15	something we have.

So if the objective is to get stuff built, stuff that we want, that's happening.

ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Well, "stuff" needs a little clarification. Getting stuff built really should not be the goal. The goal should be to reduce our carbon footprint and to do it in a way that has the maximum benefit for long-term 24 stability --

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: That's right,
2	sir.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: and
4	maximum utilization of technology.
5	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: That's correct,
6	sir.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: I am very
8	concerned, within that context, that I don't
9	see much coming from your agency regarding
10	the use maybe I just missed it, but I
11	don't see much regarding the marriage between
12	small-scale solar and geothermal on a
13	building-by-building basis, and instead I
14	hear you saying with excitement that
15	large-scale solar is
16	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Sir, we're
17	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: is
18	increasing, when in fact if I could just
19	finish it should, it seems to me, be
20	small-scale solar that should be increasing.
21	And I am concerned that if we come
22	back and look at this emphasis that's coming
23	from your agency toward large-scale solar to
24	essentially replace or be a part of

large-scale corporate investments made in the
past for single-site energy provision, that
this is a misdirection and not the best use
of the technology.

energy footprint statewide is in heating and cooling. Why are we not trying to develop on-site single-building and small-scale for attached buildings, the use of the latent heating groundwater driven by solar pushing a small motor? Rather than to have a substitute power plant that is essentially using solar technology and is being pushed by investors who are really not so interested in the appropriate goals of reducing the reliance and dependency on large single-site investment, but instead to have a distributed investment?

PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Let me correct an impression. When I said that the pipeline that we have this year, that's going to get built within months, is more than double what we already have installed, that pipeline was all smaller-scale. In addition, we're seeing

1	good	news	on	the	lar	ger-scale,	which	Ι	think
2	is go	ood ne	ews	for	the	state.			

So we are absolutely encouraging of,
both in design of our policies and programs
and in the effects that are happening in the
market, of rooftop solar and of solar
on-premises that makes sense for customers.

And we are absolutely convinced that distributed solutions -- and solar is the pioneer of distributed solutions -- are really good for our energy and climate system.

And I will agree with you that geothermal as a solution to -- basically for renewable heating and cooling, is a technology whose time has come, and it is here and now, and it's not too soon.

ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: No, it's not too soon. And it will have a dramatic impact. I'm just looking forward 20 years from now. I hope that we have in fact a distributed model that is the main model -- we can walk and chew gum at the same time. We can have some large-scale sites. As you

1	rightly point out, those are they have a
2	place. But the emphasis that comes from your
3	agency will help drive the long-term
4	percentage of what we see as either
5	distributed or large-scale single-site.
6	And I'm hoping that you will help push
7	and allow what was happening because, quite
8	frankly, the net metering approach wasn't
9	broken, and you're fixing something that
10	wasn't broken with your new complex
11	regulations.
12	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: You can count on
13	our commitment to distributed, sir.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
16	SENATOR O'MARA: Senator Krueger.
17	SENATOR KRUEGER: Good afternoon.
18	So going back to the REV questions, so
19	the state's made a commitment to reach 50
20	percent renewable energy by 2030. California
21	says it can do it by 2020.
22	So two questions. One, are we on
23	target to meet 50 percent renewable energy by
24	2030? And what could we do to get there

oon	er	:
	on	oner

PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: First question, yes, as I -- it's the same answer I gave to Assemblyman Cusick. We are on track to get there.

To get there sooner, we're going to rely on and bet on some technology progress. And the way the world is working these days is that pretty much every aspect of clean energy technology is getting cheaper and more cost-effective. You think you're watching television prices come down, but -- and it's good news across the board.

The two that offer the most promise — and again, I'm going to put my colleague on the spot, but offshore wind has seen a cost decline in the last two years of 50 percent.

Costs have come down by half, which is remarkable and extremely promising. And when coupled with just the fact that New York

State is beautifully located with a terrific resource, offshore downstate, that's very encouraging and should help us get to our goals in some combination of faster, cheaper

1 and higher certainty.

And the other technology -- which is not strictly about renewables, but you can see as I mention it that it is very renewable-enabling -- is storage. And it's an absolute requirement, as you have more renewables come onto the system, that you have a way to store that energy for smarter, later, more helpful to the system, more useful when consumers need it use of that energy.

And that too is seeing cost declines as well as really terrific, you know, advances in software that just make the solutions more useful. And that's coming in all sizes, including the kind of sizes that we care most about for the energy system.

SENATOR KRUEGER: So I'm going to bet with you that we could do it sooner and we could do it cheaper, because I read the reports coming out from around the world and go, oh, it's happening so quickly, and prices are coming down and people are being able to scale up with new sustainable energy.

1	So if that's true and I'm very
2	optimistic that it is why are we at the
3	same time massively expanding our natural gas
4	infrastructure in New York State, when that
5	doesn't fall under the category of clean and
6	sustainable energy?
7	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Well, I'm going
8	to dispute the characterization that we're
9	massively expanding our natural gas
10	infrastructure. We're taking a measured
11	approach to the expansion of the
12	infrastructure that we have a say over, which
13	is really the distribution of an
14	infrastructure, and where it makes economic
15	sense and where it provides environmental
16	benefit by displacing even other fuel, fossil
17	fuels which are worse actors, it's good for
18	New Yorkers, and it can make sense as part of
19	the integrated plan.
20	Our bet with you, which we make with
21	some confidence, is that the renewable
22	technologies, the cleaner technologies are
23	just going to get cheaper and better. And as
24	you create more of those options, then you

1	can steer the portfolio. And so it's a
2	measured approach. We do it in those cases
3	where it makes sense. And I can just see the
4	fundamental economics diminishing in the
5	realms where it's going to make sense over
6	time.

SENATOR KRUEGER: And I said massive expansion because I believe the Albany Times Union today had an editorial talking about a report that came out I think while we were sitting in this room, with 23 new natural gas infrastructure projects on the table by the Cuomo administration. So that sounded like a lot to me.

PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: That may be -those probably are not of the ones that come
before us if they're pipelines and the like.

To the extent that they're generation projects, they will come before the Siting Board. The Siting Board, of course -- I shouldn't say of course -- is very directly concerned with environmental and community impacts as well as cost-effectiveness and our policy alignment.

1	SENATOR KRUEGER: Change of topic. So
2	over the last few months, many of us have
3	been following the story of a very bad FCC
4	decision killing net neutrality standards
5	that were put into effect by the Obama
6	administration. There's lawsuits, the
7	Governor has a commitment to trying to keep
8	us in net neutrality in New York, and has put
9	out an executive order saying agencies and
10	municipalities can't enter internet
11	contracts excuse me, they can't enter
12	contracts with service providers who don't
13	respect net neutrality.
14	But what can we do to protect private
15	consumers and their right to net neutrality
16	within New York State?
17	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Well, I believe
18	that the intent of the executive order is not
19	just to protect those state entity consumers
20	but to use the power of an important and
21	meaningful customer in order to persuade, as
22	you can in our market economy, providers that
23	these are the standards, you know, that they
24	should stick to.

1	so those policies are for all
2	consumers, to the benefit of all consumers,
3	and to protect them against the erosion of
4	net neutrality.
5	SENATOR KRUEGER: And this shows my
6	lack of understanding, but I was in
7	discussions just yesterday morning with city
8	colleagues in New York City government about
9	frustrations around the failure of
10	Charter/Spectrum to deliver on their
11	commitments for internet service through the
12	franchise agreement with the city, and the
13	discussion about what the city couldn't do
14	about it because of the FCC superseding.
15	And I'm just curious, do we think the
16	state, through the PSC or through some other
17	role that the state might have about
18	franchises throughout the state except for
19	New York City, could use their power somehow
20	to ensure that if you don't follow our
21	definition of net neutrality, you're just not
22	getting contracts to franchise or do anything
23	anywhere in our state?
24	And again, it was a badly worded

1 question, but hopefully you unde	ierstand.
------------------------------------	-----------

PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Sure. So when the commission approved Charter's acquisition of Time Warner Cable, which I believe was about two years ago, that approval was conditioned upon some commitments by the company. And although, as a standard regulatory matter, the commission does not have great regulatory jurisdiction over cable and broadband companies, we do have enforcement power over contractual commitments, settlement commitments that companies make to us.

And so we are very mindful of holding in this case Charter -- but honestly, we hold every company to the standard of keeping their commitments. And in this case we're especially focused on the broadband buildout, which is an upstate endeavor. But to the extent that they are falling short on commitments on other dimensions, including fulfillment of their franchise agreement conditions, and to the extent that they are falling short in other parts of the state,

Τ	not just upstate, we are going to be
2	extremely attentive and are going to use
3	we're going to be hawks on making them keep
4	their commitment.
5	SENATOR KRUEGER: So I might do some
6	matchmaking between you and the City of
7	New York on this issue. I think that the
8	Attorney General is also suing on some of the
9	issues, so
10	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: I believe that's
11	the case.
12	SENATOR KRUEGER: Yes. All right.
13	Thank you very much. My time is up.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman
15	Jenne.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Thank you.
17	Good afternoon.
18	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Hi.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: I'm going to
20	probably a little wide-ranging things
21	here.
22	You mentioned that the Governor
23	desires to capture the windfall that the
24	utilities are going to have from the federal

1	tax plan. Is that correct?
2	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: The commission
3	is pursuing a proceeding to capture that
4	windfall for the benefit of New York
5	customers, yes.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Okay, perfect.
7	So that benefit is where I'd like to zero in
8	on. And I just wonder if those specific
9	benefits have been identified or if there
10	will just be an offset on the bill. You
11	know, a or how do we plan to deliver that
12	benefit?
13	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: So there are
14	options available to us. One of the things
15	about my job is that when we open a
16	proceeding, I can't really comment on it in
17	great detail. I'm discovering it. But I
18	think I can listen to suggestions.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Okay. Well, you
20	know, as you know, as important as it is
21	to try to keep our bills low in the short
22	term, I also think it's important for us to
23	maybe try to tackle some issues that have

plagued the state for quite some time. And

1	while I know that it was referenced that in
2	2013 we made some investments in upgrading
3	transmission, I would argue that I still have
4	difficulty getting the energy that's produced
5	in my region of the state to other regions of
6	the state that would really like our power
7	that we generate.

And so I think it would benefit all of the state, both the power-producing, generating parts of the state and those that need more energy, if we would invest more into upgrading transmission so that the energy can flow freely throughout the state.

That also leads me into the siting issues. And, you know, one of my colleagues talked extensively about having these big generating facilities and should we be looking more at distributive types of generation, although the big facilities do have their place.

Well, I have these facilities that have their place, but we're bringing in, proposed in the pipeline, these renewables that because of the problems with

1	transmission are closing down existing
2	generating facilities. Specifically I have
3	biomass facilities that are closing down
4	because they can't make it, even though
5	they're green energy, because they can't sell
6	their power into more lucrative markets
7	because of problems with transmission yet
8	I've got 10 wind power facilities either in
9	the queue or in development at some level.
10	And that doesn't even touch what you're
11	talking about in terms of the solar
12	proliferation and how great those things are.
13	And so, you know, I'm from farm
14	country, so we're putting the cart before the
15	horse because we can't get the power out of
16	my area. And why are we allowing existing
17	sited generation that now is part of our
18	landscape to shut down so that we can throw
19	even more money per megawatt at just a
20	different type of green energy generation?
21	So I just I have concerns that our
22	goal is laudable but we're really screwing up
23	the on-the-ground details.
24	I'll add into this issue I guess a

Τ,	question. I've heard rumors that Fort Drum
2	is going to be given a seat on the siting
3	board for these projects that are in close
4	proximity. What is the status of those
5	discussions, as I understand that they're
6	emanating from the Governor's office has
7	Fort Drum been given a spot on the board for
8	these projects that are near them? And if
9	so, is it a voting position or anything of
10	that nature, or are they just going to be
11	able to nod or go back and forth?
12	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: The proposal to
13	give I believe the Department of Defense a
14	seat on the board is something I read about
15	this morning, and it was news to me. So
16	it was Senator Ritchie's proposal, as I read
17	it. But
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: I'll just say
19	that proposal emanates from something I think
20	that came out of came from the Governor
21	directly. It is something that was told to
22	us as local legislators would happen, it
23	wouldn't necessitate the need for legislative
24	action. You know, we can differ about what

1	needs to happen, but that was something that
2	was communicated to us, as local
3	representatives, that would happen.

4 PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: So I have to
5 defer to you, you're aware of facts that I
6 don't know.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

But let me talk about -- if I can respond a little bit to the larger question, which is, you know, we -- we absolutely believe that Fort Drum, the base, and the Department of Defense -- and however they want to tag-team that between them -- deserve an important hearing when it comes to siting these projects. And we've been in discussion with both -- with senior officials at the Department of Defense and at the base and have done the best we can to explain our Article 10 process, the one that governs the work of the Siting Board that I mentioned. And they have been very clear that they believe that the Siting Board as it is set up gives them the right venue to make their concerns heard and to hear -- to have those concerns be accommodated in a way that makes

1	most sense for all the considerations that
2	have to be balanced.
3	So in the larger picture, the
4	Article 10 process needs to and I think is
5	designed to find a way to include those
6	concerns and get them handled, mitigated,
7	reflected in whatever way that's needed.
8	You mentioned other kinds of
9	renewables, I assume you're talking about
10	you mentioned biomass and
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Yes. And, you
12	know, hydro is near and dear to my heart as
13	well.
14	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Yes. And we've
15	we issued late last fall a maintenance
16	tier order that specifically gives those
17	facilities that are in economic need a
18	pathway to getting the missing money met so
19	they can stay in operation. And it's our
20	understanding that some of the facilities
21	that you're referring to are in fact, you
22	know, moving forward to take advantage of

that. It's our understanding that for their

own business reasons, some of the biomass

23

1	projects are making other decisions.
2	And then you mentioned transmission as
3	a I forget what words you used, but to me
4	it sounded like unbottling this resource.
5	And absolutely that needs to happen, and it
6	needs to happen in a smart and thoughtful
7	way. Again, siting rears its head as an
8	issue with transmission lines. But
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: I'd rather have
10	a power line than a 5-mile line of tankers or
11	barges lined up in the Hudson.
12	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Understood. So
13	not to only to say this is a process that
14	needs to be worked. But the Governor has
15	laid down some markers, and we're near
16	completion of the Energy Highway with the
17	AC transmission when that unfolds later this
18	year. And we're looking ahead.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: All right, I
20	just think
21	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
22	We'll move on to the Senate.
23	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: I'll come back.

1	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay, good.
2	So there was Senator O'Mara started
3	the discussion about the Clean Energy
4	Standard and REV. And as far as the CES
5	goes, the Clean Energy Standard, as you know,
6	this system was put in place without the
7	input of the Legislature. And the CES,
8	however, is largely funded by ratepayers,
9	slash, taxpayers. So can you tell us, give
10	us an accounting of how much has been
11	collected from the taxpayers since the CES
12	was put in place?
13	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: I will get that
14	to you. I believe you're talking both about
15	the Clean Energy Fund, with an F, which I
16	think was Senator O'Mara's focus but we
17	could also talk about the billing tax
18	associated with the Clean Energy Standard.
19	We'll get you an accounting of that
20	information.
21	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay. Could you
22	ballpark it, guesstimate how much?
23	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: The Clean Energy
24	Fund had authorizations last year of 500

1	in the high boos of authorized. As i
2	explained
3	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: 500 million?
4	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Yes. And as I
5	explained to Senator O'Mara, under the
6	pay-as-you-go construct that was adopted,
7	what was actually collected was considerably
8	less, so that's what I have to get to you.
9	And that number is coming down steadily each
10	year. And so we can get you both the
11	authorized numbers as those come down, as
12	well as the collection numbers.
13	And the Clean Energy Standard is
L 4	it's hard to do just now, and I say this
15	because the first major action under the
16	Clean Energy Standard is a significant
17	procurement that is near completion with
18	NYSERDA, and I'm not privy to the numbers
19	that they will ultimately release. But as
20	soon as those become available, and hopefully
21	they'll be completing their work soon, we can
22	certainly share with you the consequences
23	the impact on ratepayers of that as well.
24	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: And so just to

1	clarify, that money came directly out of
2	ratepayers.
3	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: That's right.
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay. Thank you.
5	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Or will come.
6	But yes.
7	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay. And you said
8	it's being worked on right now as far as
9	accounting for it. When will that be ready?
10	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: I'm sorry, we
11	can get you the accounting for the Clean
12	Energy Fund by the end of the week.
13	To the extent that we're talking about
14	the renewable procurement, which is the
15	Clean Energy Standard I'm sorry to be so
16	fussy NYSERDA has work to do to complete
17	its procurement and decide how much of what
18	it's actually going to do. Once that work is
19	complete, we'll be in a position to quickly
20	turn around an estimate of ratepayer impact
21	for you.
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay. So thank you
23	for that answer.

So we're talking about more -- much

1	more than \$500 million in additional costs to
2	ratepayers in New York State. Can you please
3	provide us with an accounting of exactly how
4	that money will be used that the ratepayers,
5	the taxpayers have given the state?
6	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Yes. That is in
7	fact laid out in the Clean Energy Fund, and
8	it is laid out in reports that again the
9	Senate and the Assembly asked for from
10	NYSERDA I believe two years ago and you've
11	been receiving on a six-monthly basis since
12	then. But we can certainly get you the
13	latest accounting.
14	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Now, the Green Bank
15	is part of the Clean Energy Fund, correct?
16	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: That's right.
17	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay. So Part EE
18	of the Governor's proposal authorizes NYSERDA
19	to obtain revenue from a special assessment
20	on gas and electric companies not to exceed
21	\$19.7 million for certain projects, including
22	NYSERDA's Energy Policy and Planning Program,
23	which involves the Green Bank.
24	As you know, the Green Bank is a

1	state-run investment bank funded by NYSERDA
2	using funds from utility customers. And it
3	is one part of the state's 10-year,
4	\$5 billion Clean Energy Fund.
5	So how many people does the Green Bank
6	employ, where are their offices, and how is
7	the staff organized?
8	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: I'm going to
9	suggest that you direct those questions to
10	the president of NYSERDA, who's right behind
11	me.
12	I could give you estimates that I'm
13	familiar with as of I could give you the
14	numbers that I'm familiar with as of seven
15	months ago, but
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay, so you don't
17	have direct knowledge of those, even though
18	they're part of the Clean Energy Fund?
19	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Well, you're
20	asking relative I believe the number of
21	the staff is probably in the 17 or 18 range.
22	The offices are in NYSERDA's offices in New
23	York City. And I'm sorry, I forget the third
24	element you asked about.

1	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay, so I will
2	follow up on that.
3	But back to the Clean Energy Standard,
4	taxpayers were promised that they would see
5	savings in their utility bills, which was
6	even built into the annual investment plan
7	report that was issued on June 30th of 2017.
8	Can you say when they will start to see these
9	savings?
10	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: We're already
11	starting to see savings. A good example of
12	that is the Brooklyn-Queens Demand Management
13	Project in Brooklyn and Queens in the city,
14	where under REV, the commission the
15	department worked with Con Ed to develop an
16	approach to make smarter investments which I
17	believe have already resulted in cost savings
18	of 97 or so million dollars.
19	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So is there a place
20	where this is quantified? Is there some kind
21	of report that the Legislature can look at
22	regarding this?
23	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: We can get that

to you.

1	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: That would be
2	helpful.
3	And then can you point on a consumer's
4	bill, is there a section on the bill where
5	you can show that the consumers are being
6	charged for this cost? Because as you know,
7	there are other taxes and fees and so on,
8	charges on a consumer's bill, that are
9	outlined. But I don't believe that the CES
10	costs are outlined on the bills. Is that the
11	case?
12	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: I'm sorry, Clean
13	Energy Standard or Fund?
14	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I apologize. Fund.
15	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Those I believe
16	are outlined. But I will confirm. But let
17	me get back to you.
18	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Yes, I would like
19	to see that. Because it's my understanding
20	that it's not outlined.
21	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: I'll make sure
22	to get the facts to you.
23	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay, thank you.
24	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman

```
1
            Colton.
 2
                   ASSEMBLYMAN COLTON: (Inaudible.)
                   CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Mic.
 3
 4
                   ASSEMBLYMAN COLTON: I think it's on
 5
            now, yes.
 6
                   Earlier you had indicated that about a
 7
            quarter, or 24 percent, estimated about
            24 percent renewable energy was of the
 8
 9
            electricity in New York, and that -- did you
10
            say solar was about 1 percent?
11
                   PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: I did.
12
                   ASSEMBLYMAN COLTON: And 3 percent
13
            wind.
14
                   What are the other percentages --
15
                   PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: It's principally
16
            hydro.
17
                   ASSEMBLYMAN COLTON: Okay, so
            probably -- basically hydro.
18
19
                   Now, in terms of hydro, do you have
20
            oversight over projects that develop with
21
            hydro-generated electricity?
22
                   PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: It's -- it's a
23
            mixed story. There are larger hydro
24
            facilities that tend to be operated by the
```

1	New York Power Authority. There are smaller
2	facilities that are of the magnitude that
3	Assemblywoman Jenne was speaking about that
4	go up to 10 megawatts or so, that we do have
5	jurisdiction over. And we provide various
6	policy and program supports to.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN COLTON: Have you are
8	you aware of any hydro-generated projects
9	that have been basically come online in
10	the last year or so?
11	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: There are
12	possibly some upgrades that have expanded
13	capacity, but I'm not aware of any I'm not
14	sure what the right word is greenfield.
15	But, you know, from-scratch projects.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN COLTON: So would you
17	believe that most of the increase in
18	renewables which we're going to have to do
19	to reach the goal and even exceed the goal,
20	we would hope would have to come from
21	non-hydro sources? Or what would be your
22	expectation?
23	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: My expectations
24	are that solar and wind, including the

1	offshore flavor of wind, are going to be
2	it's a near certainty that those will be the
3	principal pillars of that achievement.

ASSEMBLYMAN COLTON: And are there any initiatives that we can use in order to increase the amount of wind and solar so that we can continue to move towards the goal and, as I said, hopefully reach it sooner and greater?

PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: We believe that the package of policies and initiatives that we have -- well, we're sure that the package of initiatives that we have is the best that we can come up with as we understand it today.

So those principally are, where appropriate, support funding for certain projects -- the Clean Energy Standard and NY-Sun are examples of that. They are policies that recognize the reality that in some places, distributed solutions like solar actually add value to the grid. And if you add value to the grid, maybe you should get paid for some of that value you add, which

Τ	would make the project more investable.
2	So that's at the heart of REV that
3	we're working on. And locational as well as
4	the idea that if the solar is producing at
5	the time of day when it's most needed
6	facing west, so afternoon peak, that same
7	thing.
8	We are working to get and may keep our
9	siting policies focused on appropriate
10	siting. There are places that are suitable
11	for these renewables. There are places that
12	are less suitable for these renewables.
13	There are places where there's where the
14	community concerns and sentiments are deeply
15	in favor of these renewables, and there are
16	other places where those are not the case.
17	All those considerations need to be
18	accommodated.
19	And then as Congressman Jenne
20	excuse me, Assemblywoman Jenne pointed out
21	I didn't say that.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: (Inaudible.)
23	(Laughter.)
24	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Sorry. Making

1	sure that we have, again, an appropriately
2	sited transmission system that gets the
3	energy from where it makes sense to produce
4	it to where it wants to be consumed, that we
5	have those as well.
6	So those are the main ingredients.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN COLTON: My time is about
8	up, but I just wanted to say that I agree
9	with the chair of the Environmental Committee
10	in terms of the concern about individual
11	solar projects and net metering and so forth
12	as it affects them.
13	Thank you.
14	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator O'Mara.
15	SENATOR O'MARA: Yes, Chairman,
16	following up on the Clean Energy Standard.
17	And you responded to a few questions that we
18	are on target to meet those percentages going
19	forward?
20	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Yes, I did.
21	SENATOR O'MARA: And the investment in
22	the clean energy, where has that been coming
23	from?
24	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Historically

1	it's come from a few buckets, and going
2	forward it's coming from a few buckets.
3	There's a little bit of time shift. But
4	historically there is a Renewable Portfolio
5	Standard, which
6	SENATOR O'MARA: Those I think you
7	misinterpreted my question. Those are
8	sources of funds that you're helping assist
9	these projects with. What industry, what
10	companies are making the investments and
11	undertaking these clean energy projects?
12	They're not state-owned and -developed
13	projects; correct?
14	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Some of them in
15	fact are state-owned companies. All of them,
16	by definition these are construction
17	projects, effectively, and construction is a
18	local activity. And the job generation
19	associated with these projects is local job
20	generation. In some cases, there is
21	meaningful the meaningful job generation
22	is you build it and then it's there; in other
23	cases
24	SENATOR O'MARA: Yeah, but but who

1	owns it when it's built?
2	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: The developer
3	owns it. In some cases
4	SENATOR O'MARA: Can you break down
5	who the types of developers are private
6	industry, private marketplace, government,
7	utilities?
8	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: The developers
9	are all private companies. From time to time
10	the resource is owned by the property owner,
11	because that's a model that exists. To the
12	extent that that property owner is a
13	government entity, such as a school, then
14	they own it. But by and large those property
15	owners with solar, generally, on their
16	premises are private entities as well. Or
17	not-for-profits such as other schools.
18	SENATOR O'MARA: Right, okay.
19	So we're meeting our goals and
20	standards with private investment into
21	these
22	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: That's the
23	design, and that's what's happening.
24	SENATOR O'MARA: And we're doing that.

1	so can you tell me why we need to authorize
2	NYPA to construct their own renewable energy
3	facilities?
4	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: I think that's a
5	question best posed to NYPA.
6	SENATOR O'MARA: Is that you're
7	working with the Clean Energy Standard, and
8	certainly those projects are going to factor
9	into that. You're saying you're not involved
10	with that at all?
11	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: We we
12	administer the Clean Energy Standard, and we
13	have designed and work with NYSERDA to help
L 4	them design aspects of it that, you know,
15	welcome all developers that make sense.
16	SENATOR O'MARA: But if we're meeting
17	our goals with private marketplace
18	investment, then why does a governmental
19	entity have to get involved in the process?
20	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: I think you'd
21	have to ask NYPA what the business case is.
22	And I'm sure they have one if it's their
23	proposal.
24	SENATOR O'MARA: Okay. Of our

1	renewable energy that we have I forgot
2	what percentage you said. What percentage
3	are we at now of our whole renewable
4	portfolio in the state?
5	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: I if you'll
6	accept an estimate, I'd say we're at about 28
7	or 29.
8	SENATOR O'MARA: And how much of that
9	is hydro?
10	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: About 24.
11	SENATOR O'MARA: Okay. So 4 percent
12	is solar and wind at this point?
13	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: And I believe
14	that it's approximately 3 percent wind and 1
15	percent solar.
16	SENATOR O'MARA: Okay. So we've got a
17	large percentage to make up with wind and
18	solar.
19	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: That's correct.
20	SENATOR O'MARA: Because there's
21	really not a whole lot of expandable hydro at
22	this point.
23	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: We believe there

is -- as a matter of geographic potential,

1	there is some hydro. And certainly we're
2	willing to accept all good resources. But
3	you're right, the emphasis the weight, if
4	you will, will be on solar and wind.
5	SENATOR O'MARA: And we're about
6	1 percent solar right now?
7	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: That's right.
8	SENATOR O'MARA: And how much do you
9	think you can expand that with rooftop solar?
10	What's the goal there?
11	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: We're we're
12	more convinced that the economics favor
13	ground-mounted solar than rooftop solar.
14	Ground-mounted as in a field at the facility.
15	The numbers are just better, and
16	that's a more appealing investment, since as
17	you pointed out, we're trying to harness the
18	private investor. And as I mentioned, we
19	have some hopes that there's the emergence of
20	viable, investable large-scale solar as well.
21	SENATOR O'MARA: Okay. One of the
22	state's largest investments in economic
23	development is Solar City in Buffalo. Their
24	focus is rooftop solar, is my understanding.

1	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: I believe that
2	the plant in Buffalo makes panels. The
3	panels I don't know how else to say this
4	don't care where they go. The panels can
5	go ground-mounted or they can go rooftop.
6	I believe that there's a separate
7	division of Solar City not the panel
8	manufacture, but let's make a business of
9	selling these things that is focused on
10	rooftops, and I believe they also have a very
11	active business in ground-mounted.
12	SENATOR O'MARA: Well, I believe
13	they're developing panels that will take the
14	place of shingles on a roof, that would be
15	your entire roof surface.
16	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: That's that's
17	that notion of what they call built-in
18	photovoltaic is an exciting development. I
19	don't think it's quite ready it's not
20	ready for prime time in the market just yet.
21	SENATOR O'MARA: As far as
22	ground-mounted, in your experience and what
23	you're seeing in your work on the Clean
24	Energy Standard, what is the economical size

1	of a solar field, acreage-wise, to be
2	cost-effective?
3	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: It varies, of
4	course. But we've seen I think it's
5	the bottom end is probably a megawatt, which
6	is probably around 7 acres. And then it goes
7	up to 5 now, with our new cap.
8	SENATOR O'MARA: Up to 5?
9	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Excuse me, up to
10	5 megawatts, 35 acres. But I think that's
11	the range for ground-mounted.
12	That said, there clearly are use
13	cases, if you will, where you can do that at
14	a smaller level. For comparison, a megawatt
15	is about 200 rooftops.
16	SENATOR O'MARA: Okay. One thing I've
17	always been perplexed about with the
18	particularly the Solar City project, where
19	it's a business that we've funded largely in
20	New York State to encourage people to put
21	rooftop solar on their homes
22	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: I beg your
23	pardon?
24	SENATOR O'MARA: They want to

1	encourage people to put solar on their
2	rooftops, correct? Wherever, whether it's
3	acreage in a field
4	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Yeah.
5	SENATOR O'MARA: But I'm perplexed by
6	the fact that they built a 23-acre facility
7	with a 23-acre rooftop and they don't have
8	one solar panel on it. Can you explain that?
9	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: I can't, sir.
10	SENATOR O'MARA: Well, neither can I.
11	Thank you.
12	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
13	Assemblyman Carroll.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: Thank you, Chair
15	Weinstein.
16	Good afternoon. I would like to go
17	back to our questioning around switching from
18	net metering to VDER for residential use.
19	I'm highly concerned that as we switch, as we
20	approach the 2020 deadline when the switch
21	would happen, that there would be a net
22	chilling effect by both financiers of
23	residential solar projects and the consumers
24	of that product, because they can't figure

1	out what they will actually get out of their
2	solar panel on their roof, on their
3	residential roof.
4	So I was wondering, is the PSC
5	considering a floor for value put back into
6	the for energy put back into the system?
7	So that when people invest in these projects,
8	when individual consumers invest in these
9	projects, they understand at least at a
10	minimum how much they will get back out of
11	system?
12	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: So I think
13	it's thank you, Assemblyman. I think it's
14	too early to say we're actively considering
15	that specific option or any other option.
16	What we do know is that a rate design,
17	if you will, that's too complicated for
18	people to understand is almost certainly
19	guaranteed to have a chilling effect. So
20	that will not make sense, and that will not
21	be what we do.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: Then what do you
23	say to the folks who have installed these

panels, who have looked at the current VDER

1	model who say that the current VDER model is
2	too hard to understand around a dinner table
3	when someone is thinking of whether to invest
4	in one of these systems?
5	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: We need to come
6	up with you say dinner table, but, you
7	know, our term is kitchen table a kitchen
8	table answer that someone can say yes to
9	with, you know, ordinary intelligent
10	questions and get an answer and say yes or no
11	in 20 minutes. That's I think the model; we
12	need to get there.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: And going back
14	to what you have said to some of my other
15	colleagues, is the PSC interested in fully
16	investing in making sure that residential
17	solar use is a priority? Or is the PSC's
18	priority solely based on large solar projects
19	in open fields?
20	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: The PSC's
21	interest is driving what's right for New
22	Yorkers. And there are it's complicated,

but quite simply, the closer the resource,

the panel, is to the customer, the more value

23

1	it provides. And if you have, you know,
2	panels on a roof, 5 kilowatts at one extreme
3	and 50 megawatts in a field outside Syracuse
4	300 miles away, they provide vastly different
5	amounts of value to the system in terms of
6	how they respond to the local energy needs
7	and the reliance on pipeline and investments.
8	That's the engineer wonk side.

And consumers get to vote. And there's something that's -- that's -- that they say yes to that's panels on their roof. And so yes, we're absolutely attentive to that sector.

ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: So what is the PSC -- what measures is the PSC prepared to make so that that environmental value that I think you're talking about -- you know, in my district in Brooklyn, there are no open fields, but what I do know is that there are solar power panel companies in Brooklyn right now that every set of solar panels that they put on a roof in Brooklyn, they're taking another home off the grid. And if we get smart battery technology and smart policy,

1	that those homes and if we get a
2	collection of homes on a block will not
3	only be able to generate energy for
4	themselves but their neighbors.

And how is the PSC going to make sure that as we go into this VDER model that that kind of capture is valued at the appropriate rate? Because I think that's what folks are afraid of, that we're not going to get the appropriate rate, that net metering currently we at least have a standard that we can wrap our hands around and that if we go into 2020 without understanding that, we're going to chill the kind of ingenuity and momentum that's going on in New York City, where 75 percent of our greenhouse emissions are from buildings.

And we need to take as many of these homes off the grid, I think, as possible over the next number of years so we hit that standard of 50 percent renewable energy by 2030.

PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: So I agree that that needs to be the standard. I commit that

1	that's what we'll be trying to do. I can't
2	commit that we're going to solve the lack of
3	open space in Brooklyn problem, but we have
4	to have our policies that work in the world
5	as we have it. So absolutely.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: Thank you.
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
8	Cusick for a second.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Thank you.
10	Just quickly, I want to just ask a
11	follow-up on Senator Young's questions on the
12	Clean Energy Standard. Could you also
13	provide the Assembly Energy Committee with
14	those numbers on the ratepayers, the impact
15	on the ratepayers?
16	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: I'd assumed that
17	I'd done that. But for avoidance of doubt,
18	yes.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Well, I have
20	found, sir, I never assume anything around
21	here.
22	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Well, then, in
23	the spirit of redundancy, yes, I commit to
24	that.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Yes. And I
2	that was part of my questioning. The other
3	question I had was concerning the ESCOs.
4	You mentioned in your testimony that
5	reforming the ESCO market remains a priority.
6	Could you just give us a little detail on
7	that? Or is there a report on what you have
8	found regarding ESCOs in the last year, and
9	what there have been in clamping down on some
10	of the bad practices and the bad actors in
11	the ESCO field?
12	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: So there was an
13	initial investigation of the impact of the
14	bad practices or the questionable practices,
15	if you will, of the ESCOs. And I believe
16	that that investigation yielded a number that
17	customers in the state had overpaid by a
18	little north of \$800 million. And that
19	specifically low-income, a more vulnerable
20	set of New Yorkers, had overpaid by
21	\$96 million.
22	There is litigation going on in this
23	case which is yielding a fair amount of
24	testimony, which generally on our side tends

1	to amplify and validate those numbers. And
2	we are pursuing that litigation, and it
3	restricts my ability to make comments, but
4	to comment too much. But obviously our
5	mission is to be protective of New York and
6	avoid these practices and avoid those
7	consequences.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Okay. And could
9	you get back to us, though, on what
10	specifically the PSC has implemented to cut
11	down on these bad practices?
12	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: So there's the
13	litigation that's going on as a general
14	matter. And then specifically with respect
15	to I mentioned low-income customers as a
16	set of New Yorkers of special concern. We
17	have issued a prohibition against ESCOs
18	serving low-income customers unless they can
19	demonstrate to our satisfaction that they can
20	guarantee no excess costs in their contract
21	compared to utility service.
22	And I believe that we now have six or
23	seven ESCOs that have come forward through
24	our process and been able to demonstrate the

1	ability to guarantee savings or at least no
2	cost increase. And so they are they're
3	back in business on that basis of guaranteed
4	savings to low-income customers.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Okay. I'll
6	follow up with you on this issue offline.
7	Thank you.
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
9	Englebright for a second.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Thank you.
11	We've been discussing siting matters
12	in varying ways, so I just wanted to follow
13	up with a couple of siting-related questions.
14	As you know, Secretary Ryan Zinke has
15	recently suggested, actually put forward a
16	new policy for offshore oil and gas
17	exploration on all the coasts of our nation
18	except for Florida. This we had a hearing on
19	last Wednesday, and we heard from various
20	testifiers regarding the impact that this
21	would have on our plans for offshore wind.
22	It was made perfectly clear to us from the
23	testimony that there was a direct conflict
24	between these two offshore uses and that the

1	negative impact on our need to be able to
2	rely upon offshore wind as a source of
3	renewables could be compromised.
4	Have your offices weighed in on this
5	at all? And are you planning
6	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: I'd like to
7	defer on this one to my sister agency
8	NYSERDA, which is in the lead on most aspects
9	of offshore wind, and in particular is most
10	engaged with the federal agencies that are
11	central to this, the Bureau of Ocean Energy
12	Management being the principal one, which is,
13	as you point out which is part of the
14	Department of Interior, which is where
15	Secretary Zinke resides. But it's certainly
16	a problematic announcement.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: He's at the
18	Department of Interior, actually.
19	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Yes.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: I believe
21	that NYSERDA has, in conjunction with DEC,
22	submitted their opinion. But it would seem
23	to me be appropriate for your agency to
24	consider weighing in in a rather forceful

1	way, given that your goals and worthy
2	long-term aspirations to carry out the
3	Governor's very thoughtful proposals
4	regarding renewables could be terribly
5	compromised.
6	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: So with no
7	disrespect to the eloquence of DEC and
8	NYSERDA, we'll take that on.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: I appreciate
10	it. Thank you.
11	My second question relating to siting.
12	Senator O'Mara I think made a very thoughtful
13	observation that I think it was a 23-acre
14	site should have had some renewable energy
15	built into it, and that he observed that
16	there was none and was dismayed at that.
17	I would like to weigh in with a
18	request that springboards from the same kind
19	of feeling that I identify with my colleague
20	from the Senate. We have a situation on
21	Long Island now where there is a solar
22	proposal going into the Pine Barrens, to
23	knock down parts of the oldest forest in the

state to put up something between 60 and

1	100	acres	of	ground-mounted	solar
---	-----	-------	----	----------------	-------

And the supervisor of the Town of
Brookhaven has offered to use already scarred
land at the landfill of the town, and the
missing piece here would really, it seems to
me, be the state. We have a state interest
clearly in the Pine Barrens region, and here
we have Supervisor Romaine offering part of
the landfill as an alternate site. It would
be very useful if the State of New York would
support the supervisor and offer some state
siting as an alternative to knocking down
primitive forest.

PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Understood.

ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: So I look around and I see the 800-acre campus at the State University of New York at Stony Brook with zero solar or wind. I see the State Office Building in Hauppauge with zero solar or wind. I see all of the DOT highway yards with zero solar or wind. I see almost a hundred miles of Long Island Railroad-MTA right-of-way with almost zero solar or wind. And I kind of wonder why we're not seeing the

1	Public Service Commission helping to advance
2	the possibility of siting on these state
3	properties and at the very least setting an
4	example of and demonstrating the enthusiasm
5	for renewable energy by making use of the
6	state's own resources.
7	Would your agency be willing to assist
8	in inventorying and helping to advance the
9	use of these state properties?
10	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Yes.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Thank you.
12	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator O'Mara.
13	SENATOR O'MARA: To change the subject
14	a little bit, on the issue of storage,
15	through your programs has the state or has
16	the Public Service Commission been investing
17	in storage projects?
18	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: The Public
19	Service Commission has encouraged a fair
20	amount of solar activity to date. It comes
21	mostly in two forms. There's a set of work
22	that's going on at NYSERDA in order to
23	advance storage and its deployability. And,
24	you know, some of it's really groundbreaking

1	and important work for instance, dealing
2	with safety concerns that the FDNY has about
3	solar in or near buildings.
4	And the other main set of activities
5	to date has been utilities coming forward
6	with proposals, innovative proposals, to
7	deploy solar as a
8	SENATOR O'MARA: Solar?
9	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Excuse me,
10	storage. I beg your pardon.
11	SENATOR O'MARA: Storage, okay.
12	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: as a way of
13	proving that it can work as it should on
14	paper in terms of the energy functionality
15	and the reinforcement of grid systems.
16	And as the Governor announced in his
17	State of the State when he put forward a goal
18	of 1,500 megawatts of storage in the state by
19	2025, we are committed to a process to arrive
20	by the end of the year with an order to
21	figure out the mechanics of how that will
22	happen. And we're doing that jointly
23	SENATOR O'MARA: And that will be
24	funded by the Clean Energy Fund?

1	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Sorry?
2	SENATOR O'MARA: Will that be funded
3	by the Clean Energy Fund?
4	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Well, maybe.
5	The there's our anticipation is that a
6	lot of it will not require what we call
7	missing money. That if we do it right, in
8	the right place, with the right rules, it
9	will be the cost-effective solution and will
10	be investable without Clean Energy Fund
11	monies.
12	So that's path one. And we're going
13	to work that path as hard as possible.
14	SENATOR O'MARA: Will those types of
15	projects I mean, they're ultimately going
16	to be paid by the ratepayers in some
17	fashion
18	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Sure. But if
19	they substitute for copper or substations or
20	some other utility investment that's required
21	for reliability and service, it's exactly the
22	kind of investment that you ought to be
23	demanding that we ensure that the utilities
24	make. If it's a smarter investment, we ought

1 to	go	make	them	do	it.
------	----	------	------	----	-----

2 SENATOR O'MARA: Yes. And the

3 renewable system won't work without that.

4 PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: That's right.

5 It's essential for the renewable system, it's

6 essential for the resiliency challenges that

7 I'm afraid we're going to face, and it's

8 essential for making affordable the grid

9 modernization that we have. Because we've

10 got an aging infrastructure that needs to be

11 updated, and doing it the same old way is a

12 daunting, daunting bill.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SENATOR O'MARA: Who is doing the reviewing of projects, proposals for feasibility, effectiveness, reliability, meeting the needs of the consumers, and making determinations of where you're going to invest the Clean Energy Fund? Are these decisions and reviews all being done in-house by the Public Service Commission? Or are you relying upon outside consultants for some of this work?

PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: The Clean Energy
Fund looks in the first place to NYSERDA to

1	develop its programs to those very standards
2	of feasibility and cost-effectiveness.
3	The Public Service Commission reviews
4	the strategies and reviews the programs for
5	compliance with exactly those points.
6	NYSERDA and also the Department of Public
7	Service have an active stakeholder
8	market-facing set of work that so would
9	this make sense, would you buy this, would
10	you do this? For instance, you know, if you
11	want to do something in a building, you
12	should talk to the real estate industry.
13	That kind of work.
14	We use consultants from time to time
15	in the spirit of getting work done,
16	generating analysis that confirms this
17	cost-effective or that technical feasibility
18	But not not in a decision-making mode.
19	SENATOR O'MARA: Okay. So the
20	decision-making would be made based upon the
21	commission's review or the
22	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Initiated by
23	NYSERDA, yes.
2.4	SENATOD O'MADA: of of what was

1	produced by consultants?
2	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: And informed by
3	stakeholder process.
4	SENATOR O'MARA: Is there available
5	information on the consultants being
6	utilized, how much they're being paid and the
7	availability of their contracts?
8	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: We can get you
9	that. Or NYSERDA can get you that.
10	SENATOR O'MARA: We would like that.
11	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: I understand
12	that.
13	SENATOR O'MARA: I've got a couple
14	more questions. You want to skip to somebody
15	else?
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: No, why don't you
17	finish up, Senator.
18	SENATOR O'MARA: And it was raised a
19	little bit earlier about natural gas
20	infrastructure and the massive amount of
21	infrastructure buildout that the Public
22	Service Commission has been involved in, I
23	believe was what it was called.
24	And I would say it's just the opposite

1	of that, that the commission has worked in
2	fact together with the Department of
3	Environmental Conservation to block every
4	pipeline project that's been proposed
5	recently, effectively cutting off
6	free-flowing, cheaper natural gas both to
7	New York State and to the entire New England
8	region.
9	That's concerning to me because while
10	we talk about the Clean Energy Standard and
11	getting to 50 percent of our electricity
12	demands by 2050, our heating supply is
13	95 percent or more fossil-fuel-based. How
14	are we going to meet those needs going
15	forward without infrastructure improvements
16	for natural gas?
17	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: I don't want to
18	sound like I'm ducking, but the commission
19	has a very limited role in permitting of
20	natural gas transmission, the larger-scale
21	work.
22	That is the Department of
23	Environmental Conservation. I'll observe
24	that it's my observation that they do a

1	serious and careful job of considering
2	economic, community, environmental impacts
3	when they consider those options.

We have infrastructure roles with respect to distribution, kind of the smaller-scale stuff that kind of reaches to a home. And we too have a serious and careful process that evaluates those on the merits.

In general, we recognize as you do
that natural gas has a strong economic
argument for its existence; that's why it's
popular. But we also know that there are
geothermal solutions that can provide heating
and cooling in a more renewable manner. And
I don't think you've heard me use the
expression "non-wires alternatives," but in
electricity that's the expression we use to
say instead of laying copper and building a
substation, can you do something else with
storage or demand management and the like.

Some of our utilities are now approaching us with non-pipe solutions, whereby via energy efficiency or storage or peak shifting, they're able to achieve the

1	supply that they need without necessarily
2	counting on additional transmission.
3	So it's going to take all of the
4	above, and obviously we're paying a lot of
5	attention to it.
6	SENATOR O'MARA: What is the Clean
7	Energy Standard's goals for reducing fossil
8	fuels in our heating, for our heating supply?
9	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: It's only very
10	indirect. The Clean Energy Standard is
11	really about electricity. And there's some
12	but not very much electric heat that goes on.
13	If you're talking about cooling,
L 4	obviously air-conditioning is a significant
15	electric load, and the Clean Energy Standard
16	is about that. But the bulk of heating comes
17	from, if you'll pardon the expression,
18	non-electric fuels. So natural gas and
19	propane and heating oil.
20	SENATOR O'MARA: Which is going to be
21	with us for a very long time, correct?
22	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: I think
23	they're the longevity is different among
24	those different fuels.

1	SENATOR O'MARA: Okay. So we should
2	be buying electric blankets?
3	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: No.
4	SENATOR O'MARA: Thank you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay. Thank you
6	very much.
7	Assembly, do you have any more?
8	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: We have
9	Assemblywoman Addie Jenne. And I think we
10	have also another member who is interested in
11	asking a question.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Thank you again.
13	You know, I think you've heard from us
14	loud and clear concerns about siting and
15	siting of new generation that are greenfield
16	builds instead of, you know, looking at
17	existing state campuses and existing
18	generation assets. You know, we're looking
19	at core forests being developed, contiguous
20	tracts of grasslands that are going to be
21	interrupted, spawning grounds, and our lakes
22	and our oceans.
23	And that we really would like to see a
24	larger focus on minimizing the extent of

1	greenfield builds that would certainly
2	address some of the issues that I have in
3	terms of why are we incentivizing the
4	disruption of core forests near my district,
5	versus investments in the biomass facility
6	that could be used to sustainably manage that
7	forest. You know, we just we don't seem
8	to link up in terms of we want green energy,
9	but we're really not being good stewards of
10	our natural resources.
11	I would like to, you know, point out
12	that while we may not make huge gains if we
13	invest in existing hydro generation, we may
14	not move from 24 percent to 40 percent, but
15	certainly we could increase the hydro
16	generation with some investment there.
17	Do you know when the last time hydro
18	generation was given any financial incentives
19	of any magnitude to upgrade their
20	infrastructure?
21	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: I'm not sure I
22	understand the meaning of "any magnitude,"
23	but
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Like something

1	serious. They were supposed to be in Tier 2,
2	but they were lobbed out at the last second.
3	So
4	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: I believe
5	that we'll take another look, but we
6	believe that Tier 2 is designed to allow
7	to accommodate the economics of upgrades in
8	order to preserve operation and also to do
9	cost-effective expansion. But I'll make sure
10	that I got that right.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Okay. Do you
12	guys know the magnitude of dilapidated hydro
13	facilities throughout the state? I mean, I
14	live between two dams in the Village of
15	Theresa. One generates and one does not. I
16	have a hydro facility in the Village of
17	Antwerp that hasn't generated in my lifetime.
18	I've got two in the Village of Potsdam
19	that you know, one broke down, they fixed
20	it, the other one broke down. So we
21	constantly have hydro assets that are not

23 And so while to the common observers 24 you may not realize, you know, how much

generating.

1	generation we're leaving on the table because
2	you don't live in the communities where our
3	rivers are dammed up every village has at
4	least one if not more dams in my district.
5	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: I believe we
6	have some pretty good estimates of that, but
7	I'm I'd like to do better and have a
8	better read. So thank you. We'll pay
9	attention and maybe come to you.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Okay. And, you
11	know, we had a policy change on the
12	St. Lawrence River in terms of how it's
13	regulated. And, you know, for those folks
14	that don't think there's any way to get more
15	generation, you know, the power dam in
16	Massena, because of the higher water levels,
17	is now generating more power.
18	So to say that hydro is an area that
19	is not ripe for investment so that and
20	then the other thing is is they represent
21	24 percent of our generation, correct, of the
22	and that's 24 percent of 28 percent that's
23	green in this state. You know, if we don't

invest in them, that 24 percent is going to

L	crumble	into	the	water	and	evaporate.
---	---------	------	-----	-------	-----	------------

PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: So I should not have conveyed the impression that hydro is not ripe for investment. It's certainly a terrific resource. And, you know, wherever it's possible, we want to see more.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: All right. And the last point that I wanted to make before was we're putting a lot of state investment into these developments that are many times owned by foreign corporations — so outside of the United States, not just outside of New York. And the developers are coming in, and they're non-New York State developers as well.

And because of your regulatory ability -- and you've stated earlier that we have a favorable regulatory environment for these developments here in New York -- what are we doing to ensure that New Yorkers are actually building them? Do we have project labor agreement requirements within these developments or within all of these incentives?

1	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: We generally do
2	not. But we are mindful and believe we're,
3	you know, getting some awfully good success
4	in terms of making sure that jobs are being
5	generated for New Yorkers and that those jobs
6	are good jobs at good wages.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Okay, because
8	we're having the exact opposite experience in
9	my area. So it would be nice if we could
10	close the loop on that.
11	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: We'll close the
12	loop on that one too.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Thank you.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Assemblyman
15	Stec.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN STEC: Thank you,
17	Chairman.
18	A couple of questions. I attended
19	last night in North Creek a meeting regarding
20	broadband with the Broadband Program office.
21	And the state's initiative and the investment
22	that this Legislature made of a half a
23	billion dollars to bring internet to everyone
24	in the state, high-speed access. And at that

1	meeting and other communications that I've
2	had to my office, there seems to be a lot of
3	complaints surrounding the issue of make
4	ready with National Grid to get on the poles
5	to put in the infrastructure for broadband.
6	The providers, the people that are
7	bidding on the grants that the state is
8	providing are telling us that they've had a
9	tremendous amount of backlog and difficulty
10	with National Grid one, from a cost
11	perspective, and two, from just a time delay,
12	which is dragging out a lot of these
13	projects.
14	Is your office aware of that? And if
15	so, what have you been doing about it?
16	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: We are aware
17	about it. We are we're active.
	about it. We are we le active.
18	And can I turn it over to Tom, whose
18	
	And can I turn it over to Tom, whose
19	And can I turn it over to Tom, whose day-to-day or it's a weekly thing, but
19 20	And can I turn it over to Tom, whose day-to-day or it's a weekly thing, but EXECUTIVE DEPUTY CONGDON: Sure. So
19 20 21	And can I turn it over to Tom, whose day-to-day or it's a weekly thing, but EXECUTIVE DEPUTY CONGDON: Sure. So we are aware of the challenges with the make

the pole owners. We have a pole attachment

process that's outlined in a PSC order from

several years ago. It came to our attention

by the BPO that its grantees were having some

challenges.

So we convened a working group of our staff, the pole owners, and the BPO grantees and the BPO itself, to meet on a weekly basis to ensure those issues were getting addressed in a timely way.

There's no question that we've had a lot of success through that process. But there continue to be emerging issues that we are being made aware of, this being a recent one, in terms of the cost. And we are going to meet at a high level in the near future to start to address that.

ASSEMBLYMAN STEC: The sense that I am getting -- and I don't want to put words in anyone's mouth. But the sense that I'm getting is people smell that there's money on the table, and pole owners are perhaps aware of that, and that's changing the market as far as the cost or what poles need to be

1		
1	improved,	replaced.

You know, and again, anyone that's paying for something who usually doesn't like to complain, they complain about the cost. I understand that. But I've heard enough of these kinds of grumblings where I wanted to pass it on.

Have you seen, you know, that kind of complaint where people are getting a sense that, hey, there's a lot of state money involved now, and so let's get aggressive with what polls need to be replaced or improved or whatnot?

EXECUTIVE DEPUTY CONGDON: I think that there are a lot of safety standards. You're talking about hanging infrastructure on poles that cross highways, that has to be a certain distance from electrical wires. There's the potential for electrical shock to the public.

So there are standards that are important that they be adhered to. And of course in any project of this magnitude, there's going to be differences of opinion

1	about when those standards come into play and
2	whose responsibility it is to comply with
3	them. And we can act as sort of an objective
4	referee, if you will, to make sure that no
5	one on either side is gaming the system. And
6	we can work to effectively address these
7	issues.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN STEC: All right, thank
9	you.
10	And if I could just quickly shift
11	gears to an unrelated matter, National Grid
12	seeking a rate increase. And I was just
13	curious where we are on that process, if you
14	could give us an update on when we might see
15	a timeline or where that is likely heading.
16	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: So we have just
17	received a settlement proposal settlement
18	meaning multiparty agreement on the
19	proposal that is a meaningful improvement
20	over the company's initial ask. We're
21	reviewing it now, and we will have an order
22	that renders a view on it.
23	And so I'm afraid I can't talk about

it all that much, other than to just

Τ	objectively point out that rather than the
2	13, 14 percent one-year increases, we've got
3	first-year increases in the 1.4 and 1.7
4	ranges for electric and gas. We've got
5	support from the business community. We've
6	got support from the three biggest cities.
7	We've got labor support, we've got
8	environmental support. And we and it
9	meaningfully advances some of the policy
10	goals, including municipalities' abilities to
11	do LEDs and more energy efficiency.
12	So it's a well-crafted settlement
13	that's come before us. And again, without
14	binding my fellow commissioners, I would
15	imagine we'd be able to move on it.
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN STEC: Thank you.
18	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you,
19	Chairman. I think we're done.
20	PSC CHAIRMAN RHODES: Thank you.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Thank you.
22	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
23	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Our next speaker is
24	President Gil Quiniones, State Power

1	Authority, and he is replacing on the agenda
2	Justin Driscoll, who is general counsel.
3	(Discussion off the record.)
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Oh, I'm sorry, you
5	know what, I erred on that. So we'll have
6	NYSERDA. Thank you, President. I guess
7	we're skipping ahead.
8	So Alicia Barton, president and CEO of
9	NYSERDA. Welcome.
10	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Thank you.
11	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Look forward to
12	your testimony.
13	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Good
14	afternoon, Chair Young and the other
15	distinguished members of the committees. I
16	am Alicia Barton, president and CEO of the
17	New York State Energy Research and
18	Development Authority, or NYSERDA.
19	I joined NYSERDA a little over six
20	months ago, and I appreciate this opportunity
21	to discuss the critical climate and energy
22	issues facing our state.
23	Governor Cuomo's commitment to
24	fighting climate change can be seen in the

1	nation-leading policies that New York has
2	implemented. Clean energy is a cornerstone
3	of those efforts, and I'll highlight a few of
4	our most important initiatives at NYSERDA.

First, Advanced Energy Storage continues to be an emphasis for NYSERDA.

Consistent with the Governor's announcement of a 1500-megawatt goal for energy storage deployment, and guided by the framework agreed with the Legislature, we will work to establish policies that will enable the energy storage industry to reach the state's goals.

New York's forthcoming energy storage roadmap, being developed by NYSERDA and the Department of Public Service, will consider mechanisms to maximize the benefits of energy storage. A recent study estimated that this sector has the potential to grow to nearly 30,000 jobs, resulting in \$8 billion in revenues for New York firms by the year 2030.

Offshore wind is also a high priority.

The Governor's commitment of 2.4 gigawatts of offshore wind power, and the plan to solicit

1	for 800 megawatts over the next two years,
2	will drive the deployment of offshore wind
3	capacity in New York. NYSERDA recently
4	issued the state's Offshore Wind Master Plan
5	and proposed options for wind procurement,
6	which will lead to the responsible and
7	cost-effective deployment of this important
8	renewable energy resource.

NYSERDA is in the process of finalizing awards of its latest large-scale renewables solicitation, which is the largest purchasing commitment to renewable energy by any state in the country. We have seen a robust response, widely dispersed throughout the state, including wind, solar and hydroelectric proposals, and we are planning to make announcements shortly.

We are a little over a year and a half into the Clean Energy Fund and have launched over 50 CEF investment plans development, which are available on our website. These plans are on track to meet or exceed the energy efficiency, fuel efficiency, and CO2 emissions reductions goals identified for the

2	Under NY-Sun, solar power increased
3	more than 1,000 percent from 2011 to 2017,
4	leveraging more than \$2.7 billion in private
5	investment. Our Solar for All initiative
6	will assist 10,000 New Yorkers joining
7	community solar projects to help low-income
8	consumers realize the benefits of solar
9	power.

The New York Green Bank continues to support the clean energy economy. In 2017, the Green Bank reached self-sufficiency, a full year earlier than projected. The Green Bank has committed over \$440 million, supporting investments of nearly \$1.6 billion in our state.

The Drive Clean Rebate has supported the purchase of more than 4,000 electric vehicles. EV sales increased approximately 70 percent in New York in 2017, outpacing the national average.

All of these efforts are delivering environmental improvements and fueling our clean energy economy. In November, NYSERDA

1	released its first-ever Clean Energy Industry
2	Report, showing that 146,000 New Yorkers are
3	employed in the state's clean energy sector.
4	These results are extremely exciting and
5	provide evidence that investments in clean
6	energy are already paying off for workers and
7	for the state's economy.
8	The Executive Budget recommends
9	\$19.7 million for NYSERDA to continue energy
10	research and development, and statewide
11	energy planning. This supports critical
12	research and development for energy
13	efficiency and renewable resources, to reduce
14	adverse environmental effects. These funds
15	are essential to our Fuel NY
16	responsibilities, which provide critical
17	support to fuel access and fuel reserves
18	during extreme weather events across the
19	state.
20	For nearly four decades, NYSERDA has
21	also protected New York's interests at
22	West Valley in Cattaraugus County. The
23	Executive Budget recommends \$17 million for
24	ongoing nuclear waste cleanup at West Valley,

1	an increase of approximately \$1.4 million
2	over last year's budget, to meet the federal
3	appropriations match.
4	We are proud of New York's leadership
5	in advancing clean energy solutions and what
6	has been achieved with your help. But we are
7	also aware that we have reached a critical
8	moment in our state's and our planet's
9	ability to deal with global climate change.
10	Climate change is not just an energy issue,
11	but one that reaches across all sectors of
12	our economy, and we must continue our work to
13	enable the fast-growing clean energy economy
14	to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, protect
15	our natural resources, and provide long-term
16	economic development opportunities for the
17	state.
18	This concludes my opening remarks, and
19	I'd be happy to take any questions you may
20	have.
21	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you for your

testimony. And I apologize about the mix-up.

wanted to ask. First of all, I wanted to say

But there were some questions that I

22

23

1	thank you to you and the Governor for the
2	additional funding for West Valley
3	Demonstration Project. As you know, that's
4	in my district, and it's been an ongoing
5	issue for many, many years. So we really
6	appreciate the additional funds to match the
7	federal funding.
8	But the Fuel NY program. So in the
9	Governor's budget there's an annual
10	authorization for NYSERDA to finance a
11	portion of its research and development,
12	demonstration, policy and planning programs
13	and Fuel NY program from the assessments on
14	gas and electric corporations pursuant to
15	Section 18A, which the Legislature is
16	painfully aware of 18A of the Public Service
17	Law. This section would authorize collection
18	of an amount not to exceed \$19.7 million in
19	assessments and includes a \$150,000
20	suballocation for NYSDAM for the Fuel NY
21	program.
22	While this is not the temporary state
23	energy and utility service conservation
24	assessment commonly known as the 18A

1	surcharge that was fully phased out on
2	March 31, 2017, these are ongoing
3	assessments, and they're passed on to utility
4	customers.
5	So can you explain the suballocation
6	of \$150,000 worth of 18A assessments to
7	Fuel NY?
8	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: I'm sorry,
9	can you clarify the question? Are you asking
10	in terms of the assessments, what they are
11	budgeted to be spent for under the Fuel NY
12	program?
13	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Yes. So there's a
14	suballocation of \$150,000 worth of 18A
15	assessments to Fuel NY. So could you explain
16	that more thoroughly?
17	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Sure.
18	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
19	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: So the
20	Fuel NY program is intended to provide a
21	couple of services that are critical to
22	supporting the state's ability to respond to
23	fuel shortages, including those caused by
24	extreme weather events.

1	so in particular, there are two
2	primary components of that program. One is
3	the support that NYSERDA provides and works
4	closely with other agencies to provide in
5	allowing fueling stations, gas stations, to
6	have access to backup generation, including
7	access to either temporary generators or, in
8	some cases, support for purchasing permanent
9	backup generators.
10	The other component relates to
11	managing the Strategic Fuel Reserve for
12	New York State, which is intended again to
13	provide a buffer in those instances when fuel
14	markets become tight due to disruption of one
15	type or another.
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. So just
17	to clarify, which 18A are you talking about?
18	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: I believe
19	for NYSERDA's budget there's a single 18A
20	assessment that is the \$19.7 million you
21	referenced.
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: But is that so
23	is that going backward on the 18A that was
24	phased out this past year? Is that

1	reinstituting part of it? Or is that the
2	other 18A program?
3	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: I believe
4	this is going forward. I apologize, I'm not
5	familiar with the one that was phased out
6	previously. But I'm speaking to the proposed
7	Executive Budget for the upcoming fiscal
8	year.
9	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
LO	Are these intended as ongoing costs to
11	be paid for out of this assessment?
12	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: I'm sorry,
13	could you repeat the question?
L 4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Are these intended
15	to be ongoing costs to be paid for out of
16	this assessment?
17	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: I believe
18	that there's not been a determination made as
19	to future-year budgets.
20	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay. So if so
21	you're saying you don't have that
22	information?
23	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Well, I
24	believe that decision is made on an annual

1	basis.
2	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay. So you
3	expect that annually these costs will be paid
4	for for the program out of these assessments
5	going forward.
6	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Well, I
7	believe that will be the level of funding
8	would be determined on an annual basis as to
9	what the dollar amount would be. But yes, in
10	general, to continue the Fuel NY program and
11	provide those fuel resiliency benefits, I
12	believe that would be the source of funding
13	that is contemplated.
14	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
15	So regarding the Regional Greenhouse
16	Gas Initiative funds transfer, in the
17	Article VII language, the sweeps and
18	transfers bill, the Executive Budget once
19	again proposes to transfer \$23 million in
20	off-budget assessed Regional Greenhouse Gas
21	Initiative RGGI funds to the General Fund.

23

24

So there's a \$23 million RGGI transfer

to the General Fund, as I just said. And the

question is, is there a specific purpose

1	intended by this transfer? What would that
2	money be used for?
3	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: That money
4	is intended to be used for environmental tax
5	credits that support clean energy projects
6	administered by the Department of
7	Environmental Conservation.
8	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay, thank you.
9	Going to the Green Bank and we
10	started to discuss this a little while ago
11	Part EE authorizes NYSERDA to obtain revenue
12	from a special assessment on gas and electric
13	companies, not to exceed \$19.7 million for
14	certain projects, including NYSERDA's Energy
15	Policy and Planning Program, which involves
16	the Green Bank. The Green Bank is a
17	state-run investment bank funded by NYSERDA
18	using funds from utility customers, and it is
19	one of the state's 10-year, \$5 billion clean
20	energy funds it's one part of the Clean
21	Energy Fund.
22	So how many people does the Green Bank
23	employ? Where are their offices? And how is
24	the staff organized?

1	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Sure. So
2	the New York Green Bank is a division of
3	NYSERDA, and those employees are NYSERDA
4	employees. I believe the team that staffs
5	the division of NYSERDA that is the New York
6	Green Bank is approximately 25 individuals.
7	They work out of NYSERDA's New York City
8	office in Manhattan. And they are organized
9	according to the mission of the Green Bank,
10	which is to support project finance in
11	renewable energy projects in New York State.
12	I did want to provide one
13	clarification, which is as to the
L 4	\$19.7 million. That does fund energy and
15	environmental research and development
16	activities at NYSERDA, but that is not
17	allocated to the Green Bank, which as you
18	subsequently noted is really authorized
19	separately as a ratepayer collection as part
20	of the Clean Energy Fund.
21	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. I
22	apologize, I'm losing my voice.
23	Does the Green Bank hire consultants?
24	And if so, what is the cost for these

Τ	consultants per year:
2	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: So the
3	Green Bank does hire consultants from time to
4	time to support the work that they do, to
5	ensure that they are living up to best
6	practices, have, you know, the best tools
7	available in terms of outside expert analysis
8	of markets and projects, due diligence on
9	projects and the like.
10	I apologize, I don't have the total
11	for the amount for consultants on an annual
12	basis in front of me now, but we can
13	certainly follow up and provide that.
14	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Yes. When do you
15	think we could see that?
16	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: I think
17	that should be easy to provide.
18	I should note that the New York Green
19	Bank reports quarterly on its activities
20	pursuant to the Public Service Commission's
21	orders under the Clean Energy Fund, and as a
22	result provides fairly robust reporting on a
23	quarterly basis on the monies that they

spend.

1	In addition, again, all of these funds
2	roll up through NYSERDA's budget, and we
3	publicly post that budget on our website and
4	report on that from time to time to our board
5	of directors in public meetings.
6	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you for that.
7	Actually, on June 22nd of 2017, the
8	Governor issued a press release that stated
9	that the Green Bank generated \$2.7 million in
10	positive net income as a result of the
11	\$291.6 million in investment in clean energy
12	transactions.
13	So the question is, what is the
14	current rate of return on the Green Bank
15	assets?
16	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Well, the
17	press release that was issued really related
18	to the interest that was generated exceeding
19	for the first time the operating costs of the
20	Green Bank, which was a milestone that was, I
21	should say, achieved a year ahead of
22	schedule. So that was a very positive
23	development.
24	The return on investment again is

1	specified in the quarterly reports of the
2	New York Green Bank. I believe the updated
3	numbers from where we were in June and the
4	press release you cited to where we are today
5	and the most recent updating is that the
6	Green Bank had deployed approximately
7	\$440 million of capital and has leveraged
8	\$1.5 billion in total investment in those
9	projects.
10	So I think that's certainly one way of
11	looking at the rate of return for the Green
12	Bank's investment.
13	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: And what is the
14	loan loss amount in reserves?
15	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: I don't
16	I don't have the any numbers for that. I
17	believe that, again, such amounts would be
18	reflected in the quarterly reports that the
19	Green Bank files.
20	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay. We'll check
21	on that. Thank you for that.
22	How much private capital has been
23	leveraged against ratepayer funds?
24	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: That was

1	the \$1.5 billion number that I just cited
2	as on top of the Green Bank's \$440 million
3	deployed to date.
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. In
5	Connecticut, the Green Bank was created in
6	statute with funds subject to approval by the
7	General Assembly there and with some
8	oversight provisions, since ratepayer funds
9	are being used by the Green Bank.
10	What do you think about that approach?
11	Is that acceptable?
12	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Well, I'm
13	not familiar with the enabling legislation
14	for the Connecticut Green Bank, I must
15	confess.
16	As I detailed, I believe that there is
17	a fairly robust set of reporting requirements
18	that have been applied to the Green Bank,
19	which allow for quarterly updating and
20	transparency around the work that the
21	Green Bank is doing, what it is achieving in
22	terms of the metrics and goals that have been
23	set out for it. And that is a fairly robust

process that I do believe provides

1	significant opportunity for input on those
2	and transparency around the Green Bank's
3	work.
4	They also hold quarterly webinars to
5	explain each of those quarterly reports.
6	And generally we place a high priority
7	on transparency of operations so that the
8	public can have confidence in the work that
9	we're doing with their funding to achieve the
10	clean energy goals we have set out.
11	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay. I guess, you
12	know, it's apparent where I'm going with
13	this. But in other states, for example
L 4	Connecticut, there is legislative oversight
15	of a Green Bank. And even though there are
16	some reporting mechanisms that you're
L7	pointing out on the website, transparency
18	still continues to be an issue.
19	In 2015, Level Solar came to an
20	agreement with the Green Bank to borrow up to
21	\$25 million. The company went bankrupt, and
22	SUNation Solar Systems took over for
23	Level Solar. What are the anticipated losses

to the Green Bank from this bankruptcy?

1	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Yes, I'm
2	familiar with the Level Solar bankruptcy and
3	the decision by Level Solar's management to
4	abruptly close its doors last fall, which
5	certainly caused concern on behalf of NYSERDA
6	and the New York Green Bank to ensure that
7	two priorities would be met. One is to
8	safeguard the ratepayer investment in those
9	Level Solar projects, and the second would be
10	to ensure that customers were not adversely
11	impacted by that event.
12	As you mentioned, SUNation took over
13	the servicing of the systems. And I believe,
14	to the best of my knowledge, that has been a
15	relatively smooth transition, and that
16	customers have access to subsequent servicing
17	for their projects that are continuing to
18	operate.
19	As to the bankruptcy's impact on the
20	New York Green Bank investment, that's
21	something we're monitoring very closely and
22	participating in the bankruptcy process
23	actively.

It's our -- it's a fundamental feature

1	of the work that the New York Green Bank does
2	to structure its investments in a way that
3	reduces, as much as possible, exposure and
4	risk to the New York Green Bank balance sheet
5	from the deployment of these projects, using
6	structured finance techniques and
7	best-in-class due diligence and other tactics
8	to minimize risk.
9	As a result of that, we believe that
10	those structures will likely be successful in
11	safeguarding the New York Green Bank
12	investment in these assets, which as I
13	mentioned are continuing to operate and which
14	do secure the New York Green Bank investment
15	that was made through that particular loan
16	with Level Solar.
17	Nonetheless, as I said, we are
18	aggressively monitoring that situation and
19	participating through the bankruptcy process
20	to ensure that ratepayers are protected as
21	much as possible.
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
23	Assembly?
24	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT:

1	Assemblywoman Jenne.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Yes, thank you.
3	You mentioned that you're getting ready to
4	make announcements on funding projects. Are
5	those projects that have already gone through
6	the Article 10 siting process?
7	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Yes. I was
8	referring to the first RFP under the Clean
9	Energy Standard for renewable energy
10	solicitation.
11	Those projects, as we previously
12	announced when last fall we did see a very
13	robust response to that particular
14	solicitation I believe there were 88
15	different projects proposed. Which means
16	that there was a wide range of projects
17	proposed as well, some of which would fall
18	below the criteria for triggering Article 10
19	review, and others of which would very much
20	require Article 10 review.
21	So, for example, I believe I
22	believe most of the or all of the wind

projects that would have been proposed would

exceed the size threshold easily to trigger

23

Τ	Article 10 review, whereas some of the solar
2	projects would not.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: I guess my point
4	is, are you allowed to provide funding to
5	projects that have not been their site
6	hasn't been approved? Or do they come to you
7	for the financing first and then go to
8	Article 10 second?
9	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Those
10	processes can work in parallel, or one can
11	lead the other slightly. So there's not
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: So there's it's
13	a requirement to have the siting locked down
L 4	before they would get a financial incentive.
15	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: It's not a
16	requirement to have approval under Article
17	10. There are other requirements as to site
18	control that NYSERDA does specify in the
19	solicitation to really to provide evidence
20	that the projects are real. However, the
21	Article 10 approval is not a necessary
22	condition.
23	What is a necessary condition to
24	getting paid under the contract would be

1	completion	of a	all	required	permits	and
2	approvals s	such	as			

ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: So doesn't providing a promise of funding before the siting has occurred kind of -- well, I used it before -- put the cart before the horse or create a project that is, you know, already so far down the road in terms of investment by everyone involved that it kind of stacks the deck against any local community opposition to a project? Because, I mean, just kind of the weight of the process is stacked against somebody -- you know, a community that opposes a project.

NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Well, the award of a NYSERDA contract again would certainly be contingent on completing all permitting requirements -- state, local, otherwise -- in order to receive the funding. So it's a -- you know, it is an award, but it is necessarily contingent on going through those steps. And there's no circumventing of those steps that occurs by virtue of the NYSERDA award.

Τ	ASSEMBLIWOMAN JENNE: I'M just saying
2	in terms of process in terms you know,
3	I think everybody understands what I'm trying
4	to say.
5	I am aware of projects that have
6	the same projects that have applied for
7	NYSERDA funding and to RFPs by the Power
8	Authority. Are you guys coordinating your
9	awards of financial incentives? Are you
10	competitors out there? I guess I don't
11	understand why we have two organizations
12	essentially getting responses from the same
13	projects.
14	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: NYSERDA and
15	NYPA did issue RFPs at the same time, but
16	those RFPs were structured fairly
17	differently. So NYSERDA, our solicitation
18	was intended to make available contracts for
19	long-term purchase of renewable energy
20	attributes the RECs that projects would
21	generate over a 20-year basis.
22	The NYPA solicitation offered
23	different opportunities for developers in
24	all

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Is it possible
2	to get be successful with both pots of
3	state money?
4	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: No. As I
5	was trying to explain, the proposals were
6	issued side by side but they carried very
7	different offerings. There also was a
8	provision in the solicitation that required
9	at some point in the process the developer
10	essentially to choose which path they would
11	take. They will not be projects will not

be receiving awards from both.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Okay. Now, I have had a very particular problem in my area with small projects in terms of residential projects where a homeowner decides that they're going to do a good thing for the environment and upgrade a piece of equipment in their home to be more energy-efficient.

And NYSERDA has all sorts of strict requirements on -- your engineers approving the system and approved installers and all sorts of -- I mean, essentially they turn over their home to you, to NYSERDA.

1	And NYSERDA engineered the project
2	completely wrong, used the wrong type of
3	system for the location and the application.
4	And instead of a \$30,000 project that we've
5	already invested into, now the solution is to
6	do another reengineered project for like
7	\$60,000, and the homeowner really being on
8	the hook for a series of failures of NYSERDA
9	professionals. And there seems to be no
10	process to stop the bleeding. We're just
11	throwing good money after bad money
12	continuously.
13	And so why is there not a process at
14	NYSERDA to say, We have inflicted enough pain
15	on you, homeowner, who only wanted to do the
16	right thing and help them to get their
17	life back and their home back? Because there
18	seems to be no process.
19	And I only bring this up because I was
20	promised a solution, and it wasn't
21	forthcoming and I was supposed to have a
22	phone call with you folks and you canceled it
23	and then you didn't fulfill your promise.

So I'd really like you folks to look

1	into this horrible situation you've caused
2	for my constituents and to put a process in
3	place that helps people get redress from
4	horrible mistakes by the staff at NYSERDA.
5	And I know you're new. I know you're
6	new to this.
7	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Well, I
8	take our obligations to support homeowners
9	who participate in NYSERDA programs or who
10	adopt clean energy solutions very seriously.
11	We I'm not sure if I, you know, have all
12	the details of the project you're referring
13	to, but I'm absolutely
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: I'm sure your
15	staff will have it for you tomorrow.
16	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: And I'd be
17	happy to speak to you in further detail to
18	assure we can discuss a way to address the
19	concerns that you're raising.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Thank you.
21	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
22	Senator O'Mara.
23	SENATOR O'MARA: Thank you.
24	I don't know where to begin. The

1	Clean Energy Fund, what is what's
2	NYSERDA's role in determining where Clean
3	Energy Fund dollars are spent?
4	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Thank you
5	for the question. The Clean Energy Fund is a
6	substantial effort that we participate in in
7	NYSERDA to meet the requirements that were
8	set out by the Public Service Commission in
9	the order authorizing the Clean Energy Fund.
10	Essentially, NYSERDA administers those funds
11	under the regulation and supervision of the
12	Public Service Commission and the Department
13	of Public Service.
14	As I mentioned in my opening remarks,
15	to date NYSERDA has proposed and received
16	approval for 50 investment plans, all of
17	which are posted on our website. And that
18	really lays out the decisions that have been
19	made to date around how to spend those funds,
20	what initiatives to target them to, and very

24 SENATOR O'MARA: How much is in that

21

22

23

importantly what benefits we would expect to

receive as a result of the investments that

we're making under those investment plans.

1	fund dollarwise under your control right now?
2	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Thank you
3	for the question. I'll try to get at the
4	numbers that you're asking for, although I
5	may not have all of them available.

So to date, the Clean Energy Fund, which was authorized a couple of years ago -- and I'm really referring here to NYSERDA's budget, which again is posted on our website, and speaking to both the current fiscal year that we're in, which we have not quite yet completed under FY 2017-2018, and then looking forward to the next fiscal year.

I believe the amounts that were budgeted around the Clean Energy Fund -- which I want to be clear are the amounts that are transferred to NYSERDA under the bill-as-you-go approach that has been described previously. So in order to avoid the situation of collecting dollars from ratepayers that would not be immediately deployed, NYSERDA now under this approach seeks reimbursement for funds on a more as-needed basis.

1	I believe the numbers under that
2	are anticipated for the year we're about to
3	complete I don't have the year to date
4	actual but anticipated on the FY '18
5	budget year is \$351 million for the Clean
6	Energy Fund, and budgeted to increase next
7	year to \$823 million.
8	SENATOR O'MARA: Okay. And that money
9	is transferred into NYSERDA to pay as you go?
10	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: That's
11	correct. So that's
12	SENATOR O'MARA: So from that fund
13	that I'll call the reserve fund, who holds
14	that and how much is in it?
15	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: The
16	utilities collect the surcharge that is
17	imposed on bills, and I believe they each
18	hold those individually. I don't know the
19	amounts that are in those funds. They're not
20	at NYSERDA.
21	SENATOR O'MARA: They're not at
22	NYSERDA?
23	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: No. The
24	amounts that get transferred under

1	bill-as-you-go are the ones that the
2	numbers that I just referenced. Those are
3	the amounts that come in from the utilities
4	to NYSERDA, pursuant to again the approach
5	that the Public Service Commission has
6	approved. And those are the numbers that I
7	can speak to.
8	SENATOR O'MARA: So you don't know how
9	much the utilities are holding in reserve?
10	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: I don't. I
11	don't know the answer to that question, no.
12	SENATOR O'MARA: Do you know who does
13	know that?
14	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Presumably
15	the utilities know that.
16	SENATOR O'MARA: Do you think the
17	Public Service Commission knows that?
18	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Well, I
19	believe they set out a schedule for
20	collections and that by comparing the
21	schedule versus the amounts transferred to
22	NYSERDA, yes, you could I believe you
23	could identify that information.
24	SENATOR O'MARA: It just seems odd

1	that we don't know that, how much money is
2	out in reserve. And you just make requests
3	and that money shows up in the fund?
4	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Yes.
5	Again, pursuant to a bill-as-you-go approach
6	where we project needs to fund the programs
7	that have been authorized to be expended
8	under the Clean Energy Fund, and then set a
9	schedule for transferring those funds as
10	they're needed.
11	SENATOR O'MARA: Now, in your
12	processes of identifying projects to fund
13	and the next party testifying here today is
14	the New York Power Authority. There's a
15	proposal to have the New York Power Authority
16	invest in renewable generation. Now, as
17	Chairman Rhodes testified, the current
18	process demands are being met by the private
19	marketplace. Why should we have New York
20	Power Authority getting involved in this when
21	the private marketplace is meeting the
22	criteria right now?
23	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Well, as
24	Chair Rhodes did, I would have to defer to

1	the New York Power Authority as to the
2	proposal that they've put forward.
3	But in general I believe it is, you
4	know, incumbent on us, with our renewable
5	energy goals that we have in place as a
6	state, to look hard at a variety of options
7	to how to meet those goals, and to scrutinize
8	in each case the cost-effectiveness and the
9	pros and cons of any particular proposal.
10	SENATOR O'MARA: How many employees
11	are there at NYSERDA?
12	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: There
13	are I believe at year end, December 31,
14	2017, we had 310 employees.
15	SENATOR O'MARA: Does that include the
16	Green Bank?
17	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: It does.
18	SENATOR O'MARA: Do you know how many
19	of those are identified as Green Bank
20	employees?
21	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: As I
22	testified before, I believe it's on the order
23	of 25. It could be plus or minus a few
24	people.

1	SENATOR O'MARA: Okay, I'm sorry, I
2	missed that part of your testimony.
3	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: No, that's
4	okay.
5	SENATOR O'MARA: Now, what is the goal
6	ultimately I know you're getting started
7	in the Green Bank and you said you'd finally
8	made some money on the fund this year, less
9	than 1 percent in the last fiscal year.
10	What is the goal for the rate of
11	return on these investments? Is there a
12	standard? Are other states doing this that
13	we something we can look for? Or what's
L 4	your target for return on investment?
15	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Well, the
16	order authorizing the ratepayer investment in
17	the New York Green Bank set out a number of
18	aggressive targets for return on investment
19	of the ratepayer dollar. And that can be
20	measured in several ways. One would be
21	mobilizing private investment. And I
22	mentioned that we see the New York Green Bank
23	doing that already today.
24	There are specific targets for

1	leveraging private investment that are
2	included in the order authorizing the
3	New York Green Bank. There are return on
4	investment criteria for carbon emissions
5	reductions that would be associated with the
6	projects that get installed, and the like.
7	So there are a number of targets that are set
8	out pretty clearly in the order.
9	As I mentioned, the New York Green
10	Bank reports on a quarterly basis as to
11	progress towards those targets, based on the
12	work that they've done to date.
13	SENATOR O'MARA: Are there any other
14	Green Banks nationally to compare to?
15	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Well, there
16	are a handful of Green Banks in other states.
17	And I confess that I haven't looked at their
18	metrics filings on a side-by-side basis to
19	see how we stack up.
20	But I am pleased to say that based on
21	the reporting that we have been doing, that
22	we believe we are on track to meet or exceed
23	the targets over the life of the fund.
24	SENATOR O'MARA: I asked Chairman

Rhodes about use of outside consultants, and
he indicated NYSERDA uses them as well. Can
you elaborate on to what extent, how much is
spent on consultants per year, and whether
that information is available to us on who
they are, how much they're being paid, and
what the contracts are?

NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Yes. I don't have all of the numbers in front of me, but we do report on those under a couple of different reporting mechanisms. But again, on a quarterly basis to our board of directors in a public meeting, we provide an update to our board both on the -- all the contracts that NYSERDA enters into, which would include contracts with consultants.

So procurement for goods or services, that is a compliance filing that we make on a quarterly basis. And then going farther, we also provide information on all contracts even if they fall outside that definition of -- I believe it's goods or services. I may have the exact wording from the law not quite correct.

1	But these are available, and we can
2	certainly make those available to you at any
3	time.
4	SENATOR O'MARA: Are they publicly
5	available?
6	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Yes, they
7	are.
8	SENATOR O'MARA: On your website?
9	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: I'd have to
10	check whether they're on the website. I want
11	to say yes, but I would have to confirm that.
12	SENATOR O'MARA: Okay. Do you have a
13	rough estimate on how much is spent on
14	consultants annually?
15	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: I'm sorry,
16	I can't hazard a guess, but I'd be happy to
17	update you.
18	SENATOR O'MARA: Thank you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
20	Assembly?
21	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Assemblyman
22	Cusick.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Thank you. Thank
24	you, Mr. Chair.

1	Thank you for being here. I just want
2	to follow up on Senator Young's question on
3	the RGGI proceeds, the transfer of the money.
4	I heard your answer; I just have a follow-up
5	question. How much uncommitted RGGI money
6	remains in NYSERDA's accounts for the
7	authority for the remainder of this fiscal
8	year?
9	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Well, the
10	RGGI auction proceeds, which NYSERDA
11	administers on behalf of the state, are
12	programmed on an annual basis into what we
13	call a RGGI operating plan.
14	This year we recently approved the
15	operating plan for the future fiscal year
16	budget and actually provided a planned
17	projection for a three-year period,
18	essentially to reflect the fact that we have
19	seen a trend where RGGI allowance proceeds
20	have come in lower than were originally
21	estimated by, you know, the outside
22	projections that we relied on and that all
23	the RGGI states relied on.
24	As a result, there is there was

1	reflected an overcommitment of funds, not on
2	a cash basis but on a forward-commitment
3	basis, which was part of the plan that we
4	reflected this year. So as a result, there
5	are no further uncommitted funds.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: So there are no
7	uncommitted funds.
8	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: No, I
9	believe the RGGI funds that we have that
10	we expect to collect are fully committed and
11	programmed.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Okay.
13	To stay on RGGI, what would be
14	required to expand RGGI to cover smaller
15	"peaker" power plants?
16	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: So there
17	was a proposal to I believe to do just
18	that, that the Department of Environmental
19	Conservation is pursuing that would allow
20	smaller peakers to be captured within RGGI.
21	That will go through a regulatory proceeding,
22	where that would be an update to the RGGI
23	regulations.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Is that happening

1	now or
2	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Yes, I
3	believe that is under active development. I
4	apologize, I don't have the exact dates for
5	the regulatory process.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Is there a way we
7	could get that for them?
8	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Sure.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Okay, thank you.
10	Earlier this morning the commissioner
11	of DEC had mentioned that DEC was working
12	with NYSERDA on the Governor's plan that was
13	announced in last year's budget of reaching
14	100 percent renewable. The commissioner did
15	not get into details.
16	Could you get into some details on
L7	where we are on that right now?
18	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Sure.
19	Yes, we are working with DEC and with
20	a consultant and with outside academic
21	stakeholders for the purposes of peer review
22	to complete an analysis around the
23	feasibility of achieving 100 percent
24	renewables That work is ongoing We're

1	really actively in the middle of that and
2	expect that we would be able to provide
3	initial results to stakeholders later this
4	spring.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Later this
6	spring, you said?
7	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Yes.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Okay, great.
9	Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you.
10	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
11	Senator Krueger.
12	SENATOR KRUEGER: Good afternoon.
13	So the state spends approximately
14	\$1.6 billion on tax expenditures that promote
15	fossil fuels. Do we really think this is a
16	good use of taxpayers' money? And given all
17	of our focus on investing in renewables and
18	sustainable energy, might you think that it
19	would be a better use of taxpayers' money to
20	not have all of these tax credits and
21	expenditures for use of the nonsustainable
22	fuels?
23	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Well,
24	NYSERDA is certainly working aggressively

1	every day to support the development of
2	renewable energy sources. That is core to
3	our mission and to our day-to-day work. And
4	as I highlighted in my opening testimony, I
5	think we are starting to see some initial
6	successes that I'm very excited about.
7	I'm not familiar with the specific tax
8	credits that you're referencing, however, so
9	it's difficult to comment on them.
10	SENATOR KRUEGER: So what I would ask
11	you to do is to go take a look at the Tax
12	Expenditure Report that's published every
13	year, and it has a specific chapter on
14	petroleum product tax expenditures.
15	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Okay, thank
16	you.
17	SENATOR KRUEGER: And then we can chat
18	later about that. I would appreciate that.
19	I asked a question of DEC, I guess it
20	was this morning or it could have been the
21	other day it's been a long day and they
22	directed me back towards you, about what we
23	can be doing to potentially speed up and
24	expand our offshore wind targets, given the

1	fact that it appears that it's almost the
2	most promising sustainability model that's
3	out there at the moment.
4	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Well, thank
5	you for the question. And I'm incredibly
6	enthusiastic about the potential for offshore
7	wind in New York State.
8	As you are aware, the Governor has set
9	a goal of achieving 2400 megawatts of
10	offshore wind for New York by the year 2030,
11	which is an extremely aggressive goal. And
12	he's also indicated that we should procure
13	the first 800 megawatts of that over 2018 and
14	2019, effectively jump-starting our activity
15	in this sector. Which is really fundamental
16	to the strategy of being a first mover in the
17	United States in order to capitalize not only

NYSERDA earlier this year, in partnership with many, many other state agencies, released the New York Offshore Wind

on the extremely substantial clean energy and

environmental benefits associated with

offshore wind, but also the economic

development opportunity.

1	Master Plan, which provides what I strongly
2	believe is the most comprehensive vision for
3	offshore wind development by any state in the
4	country. It represented years' worth of work
5	by NYSERDA and other state agencies to look
6	at issues across the board, including
7	responsible siting of offshore wind
8	facilities, procurement and cost-
9	effectiveness of offshore wind and how to
10	design our policies to drive towards
11	cost-effective outcomes as fast as possible,
12	as well as again focusing on the significant
13	potential for economic development and job
14	creation that could result from the
15	development of these projects.
16	So with all of those pieces in place
17	as part of that master plan, we've really
18	laid out a very aggressive schedule and I
19	believe are working quickly to start to bring
20	this resource to the market.
21	It is a long term-effort, however.
22	Offshore wind projects are extremely
23	substantial infrastructure projects. They
24	have multiyear development timelines. But

1	really starting with this procurement that I
2	mentioned that will take place by the end of
3	2018, that will be the first way to really
4	jump-start activity in the sector.
5	SENATOR KRUEGER: So I know it's
6	multiyear for infrastructure. But when we
7	look at how fast Europe has been bringing it
8	online once they got some of the technology
9	down, I have to just say, you know, talking
10	about a target at 2030 just seems so far away
11	when it seems like the Europeans maybe
12	they're 10 years ahead of us already on this,
13	but it seems like we ought to be able to, you
14	know, speed up the process where we get to
15	where they are.
16	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Well, I
17	share your enthusiasm for the potential that
18	offshore wind holds for New York. And I
19	believe that we can learn the lessons from
20	Europe and hopefully do things a lot faster.

SENATOR KRUEGER: Just very quickly also, in my last few minutes, what about the proposals that are out there to use carbon taxes to go after decreasing pollution? Is

1	NYSERDA looking at any of these options at
2	this point?
3	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Well, I
4	believe there are a number of ideas out there
5	around carbon taxes and
6	SENATOR KRUEGER: Carbon pricing is
7	actually what I should have said, sorry.
8	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Yeah,
9	carbon pricing. Again, and they go by
10	different names and they have different
11	variants. And I think all of them share the
12	common factor that they are incredibly
13	complex and bear a great deal of thought and
14	analysis before we can take a definitive
15	position on any particular proposal.
16	But NYSERDA stands ready to
17	participate in conversations or to help
18	analyze specific proposals as they come
19	forward.
20	SENATOR KRUEGER: But you're not
21	studying any of those at this time?
22	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: No, we do
23	not have an effort underway to study that.
24	I'm aware that there are external parties who

1	are making proposals. And again, I think we
2	would look at each of them individually on
3	the merits quite carefully.
4	SENATOR KRUEGER: And my time is up.
5	But a year ago in the State of the State the
6	Governor said that somebody was working on a
7	100 percent renewable study. Is that your
8	department, or is that some other department?
9	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Yes, we are
10	working on that actively now, along with DEC,
11	other state agencies, and with the assistance
12	of consultants and outside academic experts.
13	We are actively working to complete that
14	review and expect to have proposals sorry,
15	the initial results for sharing with
16	stakeholders later this spring.
17	SENATOR KRUEGER: In the spring.
18	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Yes.
19	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
20	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
21	Assembly?
22	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Thank you.
23	Assemblyman Carroll.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: Thank you,

1 Chair, and good afternoon.

I would like to again talk about what NYSERDA's role is in the switching of net metering to VDER for residential solar use. And what NYSERDA's point of view is that there -- many of the current solar installers, local solar installers around the state believe that the current rubric for what will become the VDER system is unworkable for the average person to understand what he or she would get out of putting solar panels on their residential roof.

And so I would want to know if NYSERDA would accept putting some type of floor for the VDER system so that people would know at least that there's a minimum that they would get out of their system every year, or if there's some other form of calculation, either doing some type of parallel system as we integrate VDER into the system, that you could keep net metering for a period of time until you're sure of what your results will be. And if you could just kind of explain

4	1.1
1	that.
_	LIIAL.

2		1	NYSEF	RDA	PRESID	ENT	BARTON	1:	Well,	thank
3	you	for	the	que	estion.					

And, you know, I want to reiterate I think supporting residents who wish to adopt solar energy is something we take very seriously at NYSERDA. Residential solar has been a success story in our state thus far, and we believe it does have a significant role to play going forward, both in the instance of individually installed systems on rooftops and in the form that we see increasing enthusiasm and market appetite for around community-shared solar gardens.

NYSERDA is doing a couple of things to support those efforts today. In particular, under the NY-Sun program NYSERDA provides incentives for both types -- again, residential installations as well as supporting community solar development projects, of which there is a substantial pipeline in our state today.

In particular, NYSERDA is working now to kind of revise or tweak the program rules

1	under NY-Sun to make sure that as a result
2	of, for example, some of the changes to net
3	metering policy through the Value of
4	Distributed Energy Resources proceeding, that
5	we will see continued development and that we
6	can provide some longer-term stability within
7	the NY-Sun program, which has historically
8	been structured as a declining block program
9	to give longer-term visibility to developers
10	so that they can in turn around and have
11	those conversations that you're talking about
12	with solar consumers, and be clear and
13	articulate about what the benefits are.
14	Going forward, as Chair Rhodes said,
15	there hasn't been, you know, a specific

there hasn't been, you know, a specific conversation about changing the policy following a transition in 2020. But we'd certainly be eager and active participants in taking a look at those options to ensure that we do continue to see solar development progress and allow consumers in New York State to adopt solar energy, which we think is an important part of the long-term strategy.

Τ	ASSEMBLIMAN CARROLL: Thank you.
2	And just switching gears, I would just
3	like to echo some of the sentiments of
4	Senator Krueger and what we're doing with our
5	offshore wind policy off the State of
6	New York. I don't think we need to look to
7	Europe, we can just look right to our south,
8	in New Jersey, and I think see a more
9	ambitious plan.
10	And I do think it's so important that
11	if we're committed to meeting our renewable
12	guidelines, that we have a more ambitious
13	offshore wind plan in the coming years and
14	not take till 2030 to build out that
15	infrastructure.
16	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Well, I
17	appreciate the comment. And we are working
18	aggressively every day to ensure that
19	New York is at the forefront of the U.S.
20	offshore wind market. We believe that we are
21	well-positioned today, and we will continue
22	to work to make sure we stay there.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: Thank you.
24	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Senator O'Mara

Τ	assures me ne only has one more question.
2	SENATOR O'MARA: I do.
3	Can you shed any light on why Solar
4	City/Tesla doesn't have any solar panels on
5	its 23-acre roof?
6	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON:
7	Unfortunately, I cannot. I have not spoken
8	to them about that particular facility.
9	But to the degree that NYSERDA
10	programs can help support the company to
11	develop something like that, we certainly
12	would be willing to have that conversation
13	with them.
14	SENATOR O'MARA: Well, I think they
15	make them, so it shouldn't be too difficult
16	to put them on the roof.
17	No further questions.
18	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Thank you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Assembly?
20	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Yes, I'd
21	like to ask a question or two.
22	First, welcome.
23	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Thank you.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Six months

1	into this now, and you're still alive. So
2	that's good.
3	Regarding the RGGI commitment that you
4	spoke of before, the commitment includes a
5	commitment to send about \$23 million to the
6	General Fund. Is that one of the
7	commitments?
8	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: There is
9	\$23 million that is allocated to
10	environmental tax credits that does flow
11	through the General Fund, that's correct.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Okay. That
13	has the feeling of an unnecessary extra step.
14	It seems to me that if the money could be
15	retained and the General Fund not involved,
16	that it could be deployed for use in one of
17	the other programs or one of the directions
18	that might be an innovative new program.
19	So I'm a little concerned that in
20	addition to, you know, the other major
21	programs that the tax credit program is less
22	directly logical for the use of those
23	dollars. I know you inherited this, because

we've been seeing it as part of the budget

1	for a number of years. But it is a matter o
2	concern. It has the feeling of manipulation
3	and see if you can find the pea under the
4	appropriate thimble. I'm just telling you
5	how it feels. It feels like a shuffle.
6	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: I
7	appreciate the comment.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Regarding
9	the new directions to go in, there is an
10	electric panel for heating in homes called
11	far infrared. Are you familiar with it?
12	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: I don't
13	believe I am.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: It's being
15	used in Europe. If you're not familiar with
16	it, I won't ask you further about it. But I
17	would just ask that you try to be aware that
18	this has some potential to help answer some
19	of our energy needs, because it uses a lot
20	less electricity but it is an
21	electricity-driven heating source. Mount on
22	the wall like a flat TV screen. Presently i
23	use in Europe, and starting to be imported.
24	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Well, I

1	certainly would welcome the opportunity to
2	learn more about that technology. And I'll
3	find out the
4	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: I'll see if
5	I can get some information to you.
6	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Terrific.
7	Thank you.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: I'll defer
9	at this time because the day is long. But I
10	hope to have an opportunity to meet with you
11	further when your deck is clear and we have
12	an opportunity to meet and talk about some of
13	these important issues. Thank you for being
14	here today.
15	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Thank you.
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you very
17	much. That concludes your part of the
18	gathering today.
19	NYSERDA PRESIDENT BARTON: Thank you.
20	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: And next I would
21	like to welcome President Gil Quiniones, who
22	is the president of the New York Power
23	Authority.
24	And thank you for being here all day;

1	I know you've been sitting waiting. Go
2	ahead. And if you want to summarize, that
3	would be great.
4	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: Yeah, thank
5	you, Chair. Chairperson Young, Assemblyman
6	Englebright on the Assembly side in fact,
7	in the interests of time and stamina and
8	by the way, I'm talking about me I'm
9	willing to just go directly to Q&A if that's
10	your preference, and just rely on my written
11	testimony.
12	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Sure. If you just
13	want to maybe point out a couple of things
14	that you think are very important, that would
15	be good.
16	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: Okay. I
17	will do so.
18	Once again, I was prepared to say good
19	morning, but I kind of scratched off "good
20	afternoon," and so now good evening to all of
21	you. My name is Gil Quiniones, and I am the
22	president and CEO of the New York Power
23	Authority.

I thank you for the opportunity to

1	talk about what NYPA has been doing during
2	2017 and 2018 and provide testimony today
3	concerning two proposals that, if enacted,
4	would provide benefits to the state, the
5	municipalities and I mean local
6	governments and NYPA's power supply
7	customers.

As you know, you know, we've been talking tonight about renewable energy, about NYPA, 24 percent -- we're 24 percent or 30 percent to our 50 percent goal. Twenty percent of that is from clean renewable low-cost hydropower from the Power Authority. And that supports over 400,000 jobs and over \$33 billion in capital investment in the state.

I just want to mention a couple of things that are related to what NYPA has been doing during the past two years. We have updated our strategic vision -- we call it Strategic Vision 2020 -- and have established a bold new goal for NYPA becoming the first end-to-end digital utility in the United States.

1	We are determined to become even more
2	proficient at using data and digital tools to
3	provide customers with better insight into
4	their energy supply and demand. We are
5	placing our customers at the center of all
6	that we do, providing them with greater
7	choice and control over their energy use.
8	We also want to become more adept at
9	operating in the marketplace, where energy is
10	increasingly distributed, digitized,
11	data-driven and, perhaps most importantly,
12	customer-controlled.

In that regard, NYPA's New York Energy Manager, also known as NYEM, is linking almost 11,000 state-run buildings in New York on a single platform that combines the power of big data, advanced analytics, and is an important part of our asset management effort.

The expansion of NYEM is helping accelerate Governor Cuomo's BuildSmart

New York program. BuildSmart New York requires all state-owned and -managed buildings to cut their energy use 20 percent

1	by 2020. Under BuildSmart New York, state
2	agencies have significantly reduced
3	greenhouse gas emissions and save taxpayers
4	an estimated \$131 million in avoided energy
5	costs

We have also been involved in the solar area. In that regard, New York State Parks hired NYPA to provide solar advisory services. We are essentially the "owner's rep," and we provided all the services required, from the site evaluation to power purchase agreement specs, RFP solicitation, developer evaluations and selection.

In addition, the New York State

Department of Corrections hired NYPA to

provide this same service. This will be for

13.3 megawatts, pending final interconnect

approvals at six locations.

As for our efforts in Puerto Rico, directed by Governor Cuomo, New York public and private utilities led by NYPA responded to the terrible destruction of Hurricanes

Maria and Irma. A contingent of more than

450 utility workers, 350 trucks, tools and

1	equipment,	have b	been	WOI	rking	, in	Puerto	Rico
2	to restore	power	to	the	San	Juan	metro	area
3	since Novem	mber.						

And by the way, I'm pleased to report that we should be complete this week in restoring San Juan, which is 70 percent of the electric load on the island. We expect to be redeployed to other priority regions, such as the Caguas region, and continue our effort in this humanitarian mission.

There are two important proposals for NYPA and the state in this year's budget.

One proposal would amend the definition of energy-related projects, programs and services in NYPA's energy services statute, in order to clarify and enhance NYPA's authority to support projects, programs and services in three areas: Energy management and control; energy supply security, resiliency and reliability; and energy procurement.

This proposal would provide eligible entities opportunities for cost savings, expand tools for addressing climate change

1	impacts, and increase private and
2	public-sector investment in clean energy
3	technologies to the economic benefit of the
4	state.

The second proposal is also a natural fit for NYPA, which already owns and operates extensive green power generation, mostly hydro, throughout the state, and supplies power to numerous public entities and private businesses.

This proposal would enhance NYPA's existing statutory powers by authorizing it to pair up the renewable energy products produced from these projects with those NYPA customers desiring such products. The proposal is expected to have numerous benefits, including favorable pricing for energy products through utilization of NYPA's lower cost of capital; support of the State Energy Plan goals of providing 50 percent electricity from renewable resources by 2030; and promoting economic development through construction of renewable energy projects.

In conclusion, the proposals discussed

1	would deliver real benefits to the state,
2	local governments, and businesses, and would
3	continue to advance the state's leadership in
4	the energy and environmental arenas, and
5	provide new work for the state's businesses
6	and private-sector workforce.
7	This concludes my testimony, and I
8	welcome your questions.
9	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you,
10	President Quiniones.
11	I do have several questions, and
12	specifically regarding the Article VII
13	language, Parts FF and GG. And basically
14	this language of these two parts would work
15	in concert to radically expand NYPA's
16	authority.
17	So currently NYPA provides power to
18	more than a thousand customers local and
19	state government entities, municipal and
20	rural cooperative electric systems and
21	industry, large and small businesses, and
22	nonprofit organizations. And Part FF would
23	authorize NYPA to provide energy-related
24	projects, programs and services to any of its

1	power customers. This part would expand the
2	services provided to include energy
3	management and control projects and services,
4	energy supply security, resiliency or
5	reliability projects and services, energy
6	procurement programs and services for public
7	entities.
8	And then Part GG authorizes NYPA to
9	develop renewable energy projects and to
10	procure and sell renewable products to public
11	entities and existing NYPA customers. This
12	part would authorize NYPA to finance, plan,
13	design, engineer, acquire, construct, operate
14	or manage throughout its area of service such
15	renewable power and energy-generating
16	projects.
17	This part also authorizes NYPA to
18	allocate or sell renewable power produced and
19	recover all costs related to power
20	development from the entities that purchase
21	renewable power.
22	So basically, what it does is that it

allows NYPA to own the power production, sell

it, manage it, and deliver it. Are you

23

1	concerned about creating a monopoly here?
2	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: Not really,
3	Senator.
4	I think we need to start with the
5	recognition that the statutory customers of
6	NYPA comprise a very small slice of the total
7	customer base of our state if you consider
8	all the homes, small businesses, large
9	commercial and industrial customers.
10	So for the Part FF, because of changes
11	in technology, you know, now that digital
12	technology and advanced controls are more in
13	place, and because of all the severe weather

in technology, you know, now that digital technology and advanced controls are more in place, and because of all the severe weather events that we've encountered, the demand and need of our customers for resiliency is much more pronounced, we needed to enhance and clarify our statutory authority.

Because in the past, we would do the normal energy efficiency projects -- for example, retrofit a light, lighting retrofit, HVAC, chillers, boilers -- when now there are services that require energy management and control more in a digital sense and also facilities, especially governmental

1	facilities, mission-critical facilities that
2	require resiliency projects such as battery
3	storage or microgrids, that we felt that we
4	needed that clarification that we can provide
5	those new technologies to our statutory
6	customers.
7	In terms of the Part GG, which is the

renewable energy, again, let's start with the premise -- or with the fact that our statutory customers comprise a very small piece of the total pie. For the past two decades, when we procure electricity supply for our customers, we've always done it through requests for proposals, through partnerships with the private sector. And we believe that that is the right strategy.

That is our first strategy to do.

However, there are situations when there is no viable market solution. I'll Give you an example. Back in the '50s, the Schoellkopf Power Plant came crashing down the Niagara River Gorge. NYPA was asked to step in to build the Niagara Power Project.

In 2000, there was an electricity

1	supply crisis in New York City. NYPA was
2	asked to build 11 small power plants in
3	11 months.

So what we're saying here really is that we need to keep that option, when there is no viable market solution, for NYPA to be able to build and construct renewable energy sources. But in the first instance, as we have done repeatedly, we want to do it through RFP, we want to do it through partnership with the private sector.

CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you for that answer.

And I think there are a lot of issues that are related to this particular proposal, and one of them has to do with the taxpayers, who would be on the hook for increased costs.

So under the current structure any resolutions authorizing NYPA to issue bonds must contain a covenant by NYPA that will at all times maintain rates sufficient to pay the principal of and any interest on any bonds it issues for its projects. So that's the current status.

1	So the new part that's proposed by the
2	Governor would authorize the development of
3	significant new renewable energy projects
4	which would be backed by bonds and notes that
5	NYPA would issue for those projects. And
6	therefore, NYPA's customers would be on the
7	hook for paying off the bonds and notes that
8	NYPA would issue.

And also, I know you just mentioned partnerships, but there is a concern that it would depress the private marketplace and that innovation that's out there privately would be depressed in New York State because the government would be competing with them. And on top of it, that would be a reason maybe for some of these innovators to go to other states.

So could you comment on that?

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{NYPA}}$$ PRESIDENT QUINIONES: Yeah. Let me take the last portion of your question.

We actually see the opposite. We have heard from developers and our customers that they want options. One of the reasons why we issued our RFP is our RFP includes very

1	creative structures. So for example, we are
2	not only asking for the renewable attribute,
3	or the RECs, we are asking products like
4	capacity and energy with the RECs bundled or
5	unbundled. We are proposing prepaid PPA.
6	And by doing so, we reduce the cost of the
7	renewable purchase.

And our customers, who are government buildings, local government buildings and state government buildings, they want the best deal at the lowest cost because their costs are automatically, by definition, a tax burden.

And so -- and we hear from the developers that in fact they want the option to be able to sell the project that they developed, say after six, seven years when the federal tax credits are realized, because they would like to then recycle that capital and develop more projects; hence, spur more economic development and more activity in developing renewable energy in our state.

So our participation, albeit that we're addressing a small slice of the

1	customer sector, in fact catalyzes innovation
2	rather than suppresses it.
3	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay, thank you.
4	What about the taxpayers? So right
5	now they would be held to the same rate of
6	you know. And if this goes forward, wouldn't
7	they be on the hook for paying additional
8	costs?
9	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: Well, the
10	way we structure these contracts is what we
11	call back to back. So because we are
12	obligated to supply electricity to our
13	customers, the contract so if our
L 4	customers buy X amount of power from us, we
15	would only contract X amount also with a
16	private developer. So it's a fully
17	back-to-back contract.
18	And because of our cost of capital and
19	because of, again, these creative financing
20	structures that we can do, like prepaid PPA,
21	we are finding out that we actually extract a
22	tremendous discount, meaning that the

ultimate cost to our customers is much lower

than if it were done the normal way.

23

1	So it's the end result is that it's
2	cheaper rather than costlier. And it's less
3	risky rather than riskier.
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So I notice in the
5	budget memo it claims that savings would
6	result from these two parts. So that's what
7	you're referencing in your comments just now,
8	that those would actually supply savings.
9	And if that's the case, how much are those
10	savings?
11	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: Well, we
12	estimate in the large-scale renewable that
13	savings can be between 10 and 20 percent
14	compared to a normal deal.
15	So for example, if we do a prepaid
16	PPA, a prepaid power purchase agreement, with
17	an option to buy an asset from a developer
18	after the federal tax credits have been
19	realized, that we have been seeing proposals
20	that have savings between 10 and 20 percent.
21	And again, all of those savings accrue
22	to our customers government buildings,
23	state buildings. And as you know, local
24	government and state government budgets are

1	tight, and it's very critical that we provide
2	them the best deal at the lowest cost.
3	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you,
4	Mr. President, for those answers.
5	But how can the savings be claimed
6	when it's not possible to compare NYPA's
7	financial strength and its bonding ability to
8	the market revenues that private developers
9	would get from the competitive wholesale
10	electricity market?
11	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: Well,
12	it's you know, you see it because there's
13	price discovery when you do an RFP. Right?
14	When you do an RFP like ours, we say give us
15	a price without a prepaid PPA and an option
16	to purchase, and give us a price based on
17	taking advantage of a prepaid PPA. And we
18	could see the difference. So the RFP itself
19	is a price discovery.
20	Now, it's important and I must
21	you know, a related issue, some not all,
22	some developers say that because NYPA is
23	participating in the market, it's suppressing

24 competition --

1	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Correct.
2	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: in the
3	private market. But in fact what we're
4	seeing is that it actually enhances the
5	competition because we see you know, we
6	become it's important for all of us to
7	have somebody like a NYPA that serves as a
8	yardstick. You want to know whether, hey,
9	are the private sector also offering a
LO	competitive product. You won't know that if
11	there's nothing to compare with.
L2	By us providing the services to a
L3	sliver or a smaller size of the customer
L 4	base, you could see whether indeed it is a
15	better deal or not a better deal.
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: What happens if
L7	it's not a better deal?
L8	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: Well, if
L9	it's not a better deal, our customers will
20	tell us: Buy it from the market. And that's
21	what we do.
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: How will your
23	customers be able to do that?
24	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: We will buy

1	it for them. Since we are their load-serving
2	entity and we have the obligation to buy
3	power for them, we look for the best deal.
4	Either we buy it from the market or we buy it
5	through partnership with the private
6	developers. Our goal is to give them the
7	best deal.
8	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So you want to
9	build renewables, new renewables. How much
10	will that cost? Have you outlined projects?
11	Have you done estimates, have you done any
12	planning? What are we looking at?
13	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: So, yeah,
14	we currently have an RFP right now, so and
15	it's still part of the evaluation period and
16	I can't really speak to the details of that.
17	But that is part of the process by
18	which we procure renewable energy for our
19	customers to help them comply with the
20	50 percent goal by 2030. And it will be, you
21	know, feathered in over that period of time.
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
23	Now, NYPA currently has debt, correct?
24	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: Very little

1	debt. In fact, NYPA is probably, if not the
2	strongest public power utility in the
3	nation we're rated AA, and our debt
4	service coverage ratio or our fixed-charge
5	coverage ratio is at the top quartile of all
6	of our peers.
7	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: So do you know how
8	much in bonds does NYPA have outstanding?
9	And what are those bonds for?
10	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: I don't
11	know the exact amount right now of what's
12	outstanding in our debt. I can tell you that
13	our equity and debt structure is 65 equity,
14	35 debt. Which is, again, one of the
15	strongest capital structures of any utility,
16	whether it's investor-run or a public utility
17	of the United States.
18	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
19	How much in payments does NYPA make
20	for the bonds? And from where within NYPA
21	does that money come from?
22	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: Well, we
23	pay our bonds through our operating revenues.
24	And as I've mentioned, NYPA has had, for over

1	a decade now, an accelerated debt repayment
2	plan. And that's the reason why NYPA is
3	has been one of the strongest, financially,
4	public power utilities in the United States.
5	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
6	And just one final question. What's
7	the status of the RFP that NYPA issued in
8	2017 to buy renewables as part of its efforts
9	to meet the Clean Energy Fund?
10	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: It's
11	currently under evaluation. So we are
12	working with our customers on the one hand.
13	And we're also trying to get the best deal
14	amongst the developers who responded. We're
15	in the middle of the process. And, you know,
16	it will probably take a couple of months, a
17	few months before we finalize the results.
18	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay, so you don't
19	know when the award dates will occur, then?
20	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: No
21	definitive date at this point. Because as
22	I've mentioned before, we need to match what
23	our customers would prefer to what we can get
24	from the developers.

1	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
2	Assembly?
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
4	Cusick, chair of Energy.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Thank you.
6	Thank you, Mr. President. I look
7	forward to working with you in my new
8	capacity as chair of the Energy Committee of
9	the Assembly.
LO	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: I look
11	forward to working with you as well.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Thank you. Nice
13	to meet you tonight.
L 4	I want to follow up Senator Young's
15	questioning on the Article VII provision, the
16	Part GG. I do have some questions on it.
17	Specifically, you know, we've been asking
18	this question to other panelists who have
19	been here. What can NYPA provide in the
20	renewable space that other existing entities
21	cannot?
22	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: So for our
23	statutory customers you know, we have
24	governmental customers in southeastern

1	New York, we have 51 small municipal and
2	rural cooperative utilities we serve, and we
3	have economic development customers that we
4	serve.

What NYPA can provide to those customers, like I described before, are a set of creative structures that result in the best deal that our customers can get at the lowest cost. Because of our cost of capital, because of the way we could structure the financing and be able to buy multiple types of products -- not just the attributes of the renewables, but we can buy capacity, we can buy energy and we can bundle or unbundle -- that flexibility and that creativity results in better deals at the lowest cost for our customers.

ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: And taking on this new responsibility if this goes through -- Senator Young also asked about debt that NYPA has, and you said very little. But would this affect your current position and your current projects that are out there?

NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: Not

	materially, because of the way we structure
2	our contracts as back to back. Meaning that
3	if we sell X amount of power to a set of
4	customers, we will only buy X amount of power
5	from the developer. So they match perfectly.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: So you're not
7	concerned that it might be too much, that
8	NYPA may be taking on too much.
9	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: No, not at
10	all. Again, it does not materially impact
11	our capital structure.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: And you don't
13	consider it a monopoly also.
14	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: It's not,
15	because we are only addressing a small
16	section of the customer base. We're talking
17	about local governments, state buildings, our
18	municipal customers and our economic
19	development customers. Which again, taken
20	compared to the whole, is a small part of the
21	market.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: When you talk
23	about that specific constituency, do you have
24	specific projects in mind that you would take

1	upon yourself with this legislation going
2	through?
3	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: No. Most
4	of the no specific projects. We would do
5	RFPs. In the first instance, what we would
6	like to do is to partner with the private
7	sector. We will only consider building
8	ourselves if there is no viable
9	private-sector solution. Or if there is an
10	emergency or a compelling public policy
11	reason for us doing the actual designing and
12	building.
13	But in the first instance, our goal is
L 4	to partner with the private sector.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: So you would
16	partner with the private sector on the
17	construction part of this this
18	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: No, it will
19	just be a contractual agreement. We will
20	the winning developer or developers will
21	develop, design, permit, construct, finance,
22	build the project, and they will just sell us
23	electricity that we will then sell to our
24	customers. Under a long-term contract.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: In coming up with
2	this plan, before it was announced was there
3	any talk of including utilities or privately
4	owned companies in going forward with this
5	NYPA plan?
6	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: No. We
7	wanted to make sure that we're only
8	addressing primarily our statutory customers,
9	and not really expand it and
10	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: They don't
11	provide for those statutory customers that
12	you provide to also?
13	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: No. No.
14	We have our own customers, and the utilities
15	have their customers.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: So moving forward
17	on that, would you be open to amending for
18	utilities?
19	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: To?
20	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: To the for the
21	NYPA supporting amending legislation
22	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: Right
23	now I think I understand your issue.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Yeah, hang on. I

1	lost my train of thought. It's been late in
2	the night. I apologize.
3	Let me go back to on the renewable
4	projects, you don't have any specific
5	projects in mind?
6	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: No. To
7	serve our customers, we do it through RFPs.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Okay. And you
9	would I'm just going back, backtracking.
10	So you would provide private companies a hand
11	in those contracts?
12	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: We will
13	sign long-term power purchase agreements to
14	buy the output of their projects, and then
15	sell that to our customers.
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: All set?
17	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: I just have one
18	more, I'm sorry. (Pause.) I'll come back.
19	I'll come back.
20	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay. Senator
21	O'Mara.
22	SENATOR O'MARA: Good evening.
23	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: Good
24	evening, Senator.

1	SENATOR O'MARA: Thanks for hanging
2	around.
3	First of all, now that you're the
4	proud owner of a canal system celebrating its
5	200th anniversary this year
6	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: It was
7	great, we celebrated the canal's
8	bicentennial, and we had a series of events.
9	It's a great history of our state, it's a
10	great metaphor on what we can achieve as the
11	people of this state.
12	SENATOR O'MARA: There's a lot of
13	celebrations going on throughout this year,
14	continued.
15	So I want to thank you for your
16	involvement with the Power Authority in
17	assisting with so many of the events along
18	the canal, and coming up this year, and in
19	particular with the Corning Glass barge
20	that's a big part of that. And that's going
21	to be a big year for that as well. So I
22	appreciate your assistance in that.
23	On the getting into renewables, do you
24	support the opportunity for other utility

1	companies to be able to build their own
2	renewable power facilities?
3	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: That is
4	really for the Public Service Commission to
5	deal with and opine. I would leave this
6	issue to them.
7	SENATOR O'MARA: Okay. Now, we've
8	heard testimony from Chairman Rhodes,
9	NYSERDA, on our Clean Energy goals being met
10	through the private sector and the private
11	marketplace. So why do you feel there's a
12	need for based on that, what is the need
13	for NYPA to get involved in doing these
14	generation projects?
15	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: As I had
16	mentioned, Senator, we also help our
17	customers achieve the Clean Energy Standard
18	through partnerships with the private sector.
19	So it is completely aligned with the goals of
20	NYSERDA and the PSC.
21	SENATOR O'MARA: But you've indicated
22	that you only will need to do these projects
23	when there's no viable market solution.
24	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: So in our

1	first in the first instance, our goal is
2	to do RFPs and work with the private sector.
3	SENATOR O'MARA: Which you can do now.
4	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: Yeah,
5	exactly, to help our customers reach their
6	goals.
7	And we also want to supply all local
8	government and government buildings across
9	the state. Right now we can only supply
10	government buildings in southeast New York,
11	state buildings and local government
12	buildings. And I believe that local
13	governments and state buildings in upstate
14	New York should have that opportunity as
15	well, as they have the same issues in terms
16	of trying to manage their budgets to get the
17	best deal.
18	SENATOR O'MARA: Shouldn't residents
19	and individual consumers be able to do the
20	same?
21	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: No, it will
22	be it will be targeted to government
23	entities.
24	SENATOR O'MARA: So the government

1	will	get	an	unfair	advantage	over	us	in	our
2	homes	3.							

NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: I wouldn't say it's an unfair advantage, because we are all taxpayers and we support -- as we lower the cost of government at the state or local level, that benefits everybody.

SENATOR O'MARA: Well, we live in a very uncompetitive state, as you're well aware -- 48th, 49th or 50th in every economic indicator, trailing in the United States. I would think we'd be worrying about making more competitive power prices for everyone, and not just local governments.

And you indicated that the only projects that you would take on under this thing would be projects where there's no viable market solution. Well, if there's no viable market solution and we're meeting our goals right now with viable market solutions, then perhaps we shouldn't be pursuing projects that aren't viable.

NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: Well, I think that it is not prudent for this state

1	to preclude the option for NYPA to step in
2	when there is no viable market solution or if
3	there is a compelling public policy goal or
4	emergency. Why preclude that option for the
5	state? I don't think that is beneficial to
6	this and by the way, I disagree in terms
7	of the energy markets. New York State is
8	probably one of the most open and competitive
9	marketplaces when it comes to energy. A
10	third of our country is still not deregulated
11	and are fully integrated utilities and do not
12	have any competition.
13	So I disagree with the premise that

So I disagree with the premise that our state is not competitive when it comes to energy.

SENATOR O'MARA: Well, we're not competitive on the price of energy. We're competitive as regards to deregulation, which was done, what, 15 years ago. Which -- I lost my train of thought. But the -- let me just move on to my next points I wanted to make, which is -- I'll think of that in a second.

24 But if you go ahead with this and you

1	get the power to well, I know what I was
2	going to say. I was going to say I agree
3	with you on emergency projects, to be able to
4	do this. You've done it in the past, you've
5	given examples of those, and that to me may
6	make sense. But it doesn't make sense to me
7	to pursue these where there just because
8	it's not a viable market solution.
9	If this authority is given and you
10	don't have any plans right now to pursue any
11	specific projects, correct?
12	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: None.
13	SENATOR O'MARA: If you were going to
14	pursue a project, does the Power Authority
15	have the power of condemnation and eminent
16	domain?
17	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: I don't
18	know exactly the answer to that question, but
19	I can get back to you. I don't know what our
20	legal authority is in that specific area.
21	SENATOR O'MARA: I believe you do.
22	Would projects you intend to pursue, would
23	they be covered by Article 10 or the SEQR
24	process?

1	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINTONES: ATTICLE 10.
2	SENATOR O'MARA: So that would take
3	much local input out of the planning process,
4	Article 10.
5	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: Yeah,
6	Article 10. If we build transmission, we are
7	subject to Article 7. So all the siting law
8	of the state applies to NYPA projects.
9	SENATOR O'MARA: Yeah. And so where
10	you might be looking at locating one of these
11	project facilities, it wouldn't be subject to
12	local SEQR process.
13	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: It will be
L 4	subject depending on the size of the
15	project, it will be subject to either if
16	it's a power plant, it will be Article 10.
17	If it's a transmission line, it will be
18	Article 7.
19	SENATOR O'MARA: Are your projects
20	covered under prevailing wage laws?
21	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: I am not a
22	hundred percent sure. I believe so, but I'll
23	get back to you with that answer.
24	SENATOR O'MARA: Thank you

1	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
2	(Discussion off the record.)
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman
4	Jenne.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Thank you.
6	Thank you. This is very intriguing.
7	Just full disclosure, I live in a muni, so
8	essentially I'm one of your little segment of
9	the I guess the market that you serve.
10	And would it be fair to say that some
11	of these changes are aimed at kind of untying
12	your arms from behind your back and allowing
13	you to modernize and come up to speed with
14	other entities that essentially supply energy
15	to people like the muni I live in?
16	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: Part of it.
17	As I had mentioned, you know, especially
18	nowadays with renewable energy, it's no
19	longer a one-size-fits-all. And it's
20	important to support municipal utilities,
21	state buildings, local governments, that we
22	provide all the options to get the best deal
23	at the lowest cost. Because in the end, cost
24	of government, whether it's state or local,

1	is a tax burden.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Okay. Okay.
3	Yup.
4	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: Now, in
5	times of
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: So you're also
7	talking about school districts as well, I'm
8	assuming.
9	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: Yup, school
10	districts. And as you know, we have a
11	program we call K-Solar, where we are
12	installing solar panels in public schools
13	around the state, offering innovative power
14	purchase agreements. And we have had many of
15	those in our pipeline. Some are already
16	getting installed.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Okay, I only get
18	five minutes, unlike the 10 that everybody
19	else gets, for some reason, because I'm not a
20	chair.
21	So I understand the benefits, and I
22	would like to get to a bunch of other things.
23	So I understand what you do because I live
24	it, I'm one of your customers.

2	because when I bought my house a few years
3	ago, I have electric heat. And in the
4	winter, my bills go through the roof because
5	we go over our allocation.
6	If you're going to be able to acquire,
7	to purchase or build your own, more
8	generation, will you be working with your
9	customers to increase their allocation, even
10	if it's at a blended rate? Because we're
11	paying for high-demand type of energy right
12	now, versus stuff that you can procure in a
13	more cost-effective way. Would you be
14	looking to increase allocations to your
15	customers?
16	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: Before I do
17	that, I would probably ask you to look into
18	either ground-source or air-source heat pumps
19	to replace your electric baseboard heating.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Well, if you
21	want to help finance that but those
22	programs are few and far between, because I
23	don't get NYSERDA type of incentives. And so

those programs within the muni systems are

1	difficult to access, if they even exist. I
2	can get light bulbs and maybe if I replace a
3	wash or dryer or something.

NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: Yeah, the municipal and rural cooperatives have their own energy efficiency program. But we'll be more than happy to work with you to look at options.

assemblywoman Jenne: But we're also unable to expand, you know, from an economic development standpoint, because our allocations are kind of constrained. And so I guess maybe I'm suggesting that that may be a way to garner some more support, is if, well, we have energy efficiency targets we'd like to meet, you know, we're constrained from development within these communities because it's kind of a process to get an increase in allocation even though we're trying to grow.

It's very -- you can make power, say, in Massena very cheaply. But are there instances when you're not really even getting the price for your power that -- you know,

1	what it costs to generate it versus what you
2	can get for it?
3	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: Well, there
4	are times in the year there are hours, I
5	would say, in the year where we have
6	experienced very low or even negative
7	pricing.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Is that because
9	of transmission issues, partly?
10	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: It's
11	because of transmission constraints.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: And it's outside
13	of your territory? You know, I know you're
14	upgrading the Adirondack line. But once you
15	get south of Utica, are those transmission
16	issues being addressed so that you can fully
17	get your power to other parts of the state?
18	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: Well, at
19	NYPA we have a what we call an
20	transmission extension and modernization,
21	life extension and modernization program.
22	We're investing \$730 million over 12 years.
23	As you had mentioned, we are rebuilding our
24	Moses Adirondack transmission line, which

1	starts from Massena and goes all the way to
2	the Adirondacks, 85 miles. That should help.
3	Chairman Rhodes talked about the
4	AC proceeding, the competitive process that
5	will build transmission south of Albany to
6	southeastern New York. The previous
7	competitive process in Western New York was
8	just completed, and a winner has been
9	declared to rebuild a transmission line
10	there.
11	All of those projects are going to
12	help to move power where they're generated to
13	where they're needed.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Okay. I'll come
15	back, unless I'm allowed to continue.
16	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
17	Cusick for another question.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Okay, thank you.
19	Thank you. I just wanted to follow up
20	on the question that escaped me before. And
21	my confusion in that matter was doesn't the
22	definition of public entity isn't it
23	amended in this legislation? And doesn't
24	that expand your statutory customer base?

T	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINTONES: Well, it
2	does allow us to deal with local development
3	corporations and local government in the
4	provision of our electricity supply and
5	energy services.
6	But again, that is as I had
7	mentioned before, we do that in southeast
8	New York right now. We serve state and local
9	government buildings in southeast New York
10	and Westchester County. And we think that it
11	is appropriate that that opportunity be given
12	as well to localities in upstate New York.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: So it doesn't
14	expand your customer base.
15	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: No, it's
16	still within that government segment.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Okay. Thank you.
18	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: That's it. So
19	thank you, President Quiniones, for being
20	here today
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Does that mean I
22	don't get a second pass?
23	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Oh, I'm sorry. I
24	was told you were done.

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: ASSEMDLYWOMAN
2	Jenne.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Thank you.
4	In testimony with other officials
5	there was a lot of emphasis on siting. And
6	you have and obviously if it's over
7	20 megawatts, it's going to go through the
8	Article 10 process. But if it's under,
9	obviously it would go through the normal
10	local process.
11	Do you have any policies in place to
12	try to locate whatever generation you help to
13	bring online closer to your customers?
14	As I mentioned earlier, I live between
15	two dams, one generates and one does not.
16	And in another small village, there's one
17	that hasn't operated in a while. That's just
18	5 miles away from one of your customers. And
19	in the Village of Potsdam, I have dams
20	that it's difficult for those
21	municipalities sometimes to get their arms
22	around how to get them repaired and up and
23	running, and that's what you're proficient
24	in, is hydro.

1	And so are you looking to think about
2	siting as a major component to the
3	development of new generation?
4	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: As I
5	mentioned before, we will do it through a
6	competitive process, so we will not be so
7	specific where those projects will be because
8	we would like to get the most competitive
9	deals from developers when we do those
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: But arguably
11	that could be calculated in, because the
12	closer you are to your end user, the cheaper
13	the rate is going to be. And if you're
14	making decisions based on the rate that
15	you'll be able to get your customer,
16	collocation or close location would be
17	probably it would a component to drive
18	development.
19	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: And that
20	should be reflected in their proposal, in
21	their pricing to us. If indeed there's an
22	advantage, then it should be priced in.
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: And that's
24	all right. And that's I mean, you've set

1	up a rearry interesting competition between
2	yourself and NYSERDA, it seems like. I have
3	developers that have applied to both
4	entities, you know, to see which one they get
5	the money from.
6	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: Competition
7	is great, because it drives prices down.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: So there's this
9	concern that for some reason you're being
10	monopolistic and you're going to have this
11	ability to do something that others can't.
12	But it will be really interesting to see what
13	you're able to deliver in terms of megawatt
14	hour cost of you know, NYSERDA may be
15	28 cents per kilowatt, but what you might
16	well, whatever the measurement is but what
17	you might be able to do based on how you've
18	designed your programs to get this green
19	energy production.
20	Is there was it a conscious
21	decision for you to structure things
22	dramatically different than NYSERDA?
23	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: It was a
24	conscious thing in the sense that we are

1	different in that we are a load-serving
2	entity. So we have customers today where we
3	are obligated to supply them electricity,
4	energy and capacity, and now renewable
5	energy, with the renewable energy attributes
6	that come with that.
7	NYSERDA is not a load-serving entity.
8	They do not have customers that they supply
9	electricity to.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: So they're blind
11	to that whole dynamic of energy generation
12	and supply.
13	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: It's apples
14	and oranges.
15	But I want to make clear that a
16	developer is not going to be able to double
17	dip, meaning get an award from NYSERDA and
18	get an award from NYPA. That's not going to
19	happen.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Well, it will be
21	interesting to see which one they choose.
22	Because I have developers, like I said, with
23	both, and to see if they think that yours is
24	a better deal for them and is also cheaper

1	for the ratepayer, it might lead us to make
2	some changes over at NYSERDA in how they run
3	their programs.

NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: And then sometimes developers will choose just the REC value because that's simpler, that's faster, and they can just go ahead and do a deal.

In our case, we need to have a deal with our customer first before we can sign a deal with them. It's more complicated.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Now, I would also -- I'm also interested in whether these projects have to pay prevailing wage, but probably for the opposite reason than my colleague is concerned about it, because I would really like them to be prevailing wage. And if there is an opportunity for project labor agreements, if it's not going to be -- these projects are not going to have existing NYPA employees. But also I'm cognizant of the fact that you do a lot of outsourcing and consulting, and I would much rather see, if we're going to have NYPA get into this type of activity on a larger scale, that they be

1	actual NYPA employees on the books, not
2	consultants, not private contractors.
3	I think you're aware of my position on
4	these types of things, that we try to do
5	things in-house and build the expertise
6	in-house. I think it probably served us well
7	when you went to Puerto Rico, and thank you
8	for going and assisting in that country.
9	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: And that's
10	what we're doing even with our own existing
11	power plants now, and transmission lines. We
12	work very closely with our union, IBEW, both
13	in Western New York and Central and Northern
14	New York, in the upkeep and the maintenance
15	of our assets.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JENNE: Thank you.
17	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
18	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: Thank you
19	very much.
20	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: We're all set.
21	Thank you so much.
22	NYPA PRESIDENT QUINIONES: Thank you.
23	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
24	So now we are starting the citizen

Τ	portion of the hearing, and we'll start with
2	the Farm Bureau. We have their testimony;
3	they had to leave. So we'll start with
4	Samantha Levy, policy manager from the
5	American Farmland Trust.
6	And I would like to remind everyone
7	that you have five minutes. And if you can
8	summarize your testimony, that's most
9	helpful. We did get it in advance.
10	And we really, really appreciate your
11	patience in being here today. Good to see
12	you.
13	MS. LEVY: Good to see you too,
14	Senator. The last time I saw you was on a
15	farm in Livingston County.
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: One of the greatest
17	places to be.
18	MS. LEVY: So I'd like to thank all
19	the members for still being here. I know
20	that this has been a very long day.
21	So as you know, I'm from American
22	Farmland Trust, a conservation organization
23	looking to save the land that sustains us.
24	And I am going to summarize my testimony

1	today, in the interests of time and out of
2	consideration for my colleagues.
3	I'm here with four requests that I ask
4	for you to consider including in this year's
5	state budget.
6	American Farmland Trust leads a
7	Farmland Protection Coalition of over 30 land
8	trusts and agricultural organizations across
9	New York State. This Farmland Protection
10	Coalition supports the first two requests
11	that I'm going to detail right now, the first
12	being that the Governor, in his Executive
13	Budget, proposed \$20 million for farmland
14	protection in New York as part of a
15	\$300 million EPF. This Farmland Protection
16	Coalition and American Farmland Trust
17	strongly support this level of funding for
18	this important program.
19	Since 1980, in New York State we have
20	lost 5,000 farms to development. This
21	program protects farmland forever, in
22	perpetuity, and helps farmers invest in their

businesses. It's incredibly popular and

oversubscribed.

1	And the second request that I'm going
2	to make is this coalition supports investing
3	\$400,000 asks that the state invest
4	\$400,000 in a Farmland for a New Generation
5	program. This program would address an
6	emerging issue that we have facing our
7	farmland in New York State and our farmers.
8	In New York, 30 percent of our farmers are
9	over the age of 65, nearing retirement, and
10	access to affordable land remains one of the
11	main barriers for new farmers. And as a
12	result, we have fewer new farmers now than we
13	did a decade ago.
14	This program that we're asking the

This program that we're asking the state to fund would create a centralized resource center to help farmers access land anywhere in New York State. It would also create a regional support network housed in Cornell Cooperative Extension offices and land trusts and agricultural organizations, to help farmers access land anywhere in New York and farmers transfer their farmland to the next generation.

24 And I know that this is an important

1	issue across all regions in New York State,
2	so I'd like to thank Cornell for their
3	support of this program. I'd like to thank
4	Senator Helming for her leadership, and the
5	Legislative Commission on Rural Resources.
6	I'd also like to thank Assemblymember Magee
7	for his leadership in supporting this
8	proposal, as well as Assemblymember Woerner,
9	Frank Skartados, Assemblymember Thiele, thank
10	you very much as well.
11	The third request that American
12	Farmland Trust and our coalition has this
13	is a separate coalition called the New York
14	Grown Food for New York Kids coalition.
15	Earlier today, Commissioner Ball mentioned
16	that the Governor proposed \$10 million to go
17	toward a reimbursement incentive for schools
18	to purchase more food from our farms in
19	New York. This is a win/win for our farmers
20	and for our children who will have access to
21	more healthy food in schools.
22	We strongly support the creation of
23	this program and the \$10 million put into the
24	budget, the Executive Budget proposal, to

1	create	this	program,	as	well	as	doubling	the
2	Farm to	Scho	ool grants	5.				

This coalition is made up of over 70 public health, anti-hunger, environmental, food, farm, and school organizations across

New York, and the support for this program is very broad.

We ask for two minor adjustments to this program that the Governor proposed, and those are detailed in my testimony. So I'll let you -- I ask that you strongly consider including these -- if you put this proposal into your budget, that you strongly consider including these adjustments to make sure that this program is as impactful as it possibly can be.

And then finally, the fourth request that we have comes from American Farmland Trust and our Farmland Protection Coalition.

Assemblymember Thiele, I know that you are intimately familiar, as well as

Assemblymember Englebright, with this issue in Suffolk County that our Farmland Preservation Program is currently facing.

Τ.	American Farmiand Trust and our Farmiand
2	Protection Coalition strongly support
3	inclusion of language in the state budget to
4	ensure that our Farmland Protection Program
5	in Suffolk County and across the state
6	maintains its integrity.
7	And I know that Rob Carpenter from
8	Long Island Farm Bureau, as well as
9	John Halsey from Peconic Land Trust, will be
10	speaking more about this later, so I will
11	defer to them.
12	And the language developed with the
13	Department of Agriculture and Markets is also
14	included in my written testimony.
15	And thank you very much for the
16	opportunity, and I welcome any questions that
17	you might have.
18	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. I just
19	have one quick question from the Senate.
20	In your Farming for the Next
21	Generation program and I have visited the
22	training facility in the Hudson Valley, it's
23	very impressive
24	MS. LEVY: Thank you.

1	SENATOR KRUEGER: are you looking
2	at the fact that actually New York State has
3	many immigrants who come to our state who
4	were farmers in their home countries? And
5	it's never clear that we're doing enough to
6	encourage them to continue a set of skills
7	they had in one country now that they have
8	come to New York, and I'm wondering if anyon
9	is building that into their thinking.
10	MS. LEVY: Yes. Absolutely. I thank
11	you very much for that question, it's very
12	important to us.
13	I know that there are people who come
14	to our country with degrees in agricultural
15	engineering, and it would be a missed
16	opportunity not to take full advantage of
17	their knowledge and expertise.
18	One of our partners in the Hudson
19	Valley Farmlink Network is GrowNYC, which
20	administers the Farm Roots program which

helps immigrant populations find land across

New York. They're part of the Hudson Valley

Farmlink network and would potentially serve

as a regional navigator who would help these

1	people access land in New York to be able to
2	grow food.
3	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
4	MS. LEVY: You're welcome.
5	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you very much.
6	MS. LEVY: Thank you very much.
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
8	SENATOR KRUEGER: And our next
9	testifier is Jessica Mahar of the Nature
10	Conservancy.
11	And for people who are getting ready
12	to line up, after that will be the Audubon
13	New York followed by Long Island Farm Bureau,
14	if people want to move towards the front.
15	Good evening, Jessica.
16	MS. MAHAR: Hi. Thank you all for
17	staying. There's a lot of you here, and it's
18	late, so we appreciate that.
19	I'm Jessica Ottney Mahar. I'm the
20	policy director for the Nature Conservancy in
21	New York. I submitted testimony, it's
22	long it's really long I won't read any
23	of it.

Thank you so much for all the work you

1	did in last year's budget to ensure a \$300
2	million EPF. The Environmental Protection
3	Fund is a critically important source of
4	revenue for capital environmental projects in
5	our state as well as some operating support
6	for key institutions around the state.
7	Co carlier in the testiment I believe

So earlier in the testimony, I believe during the testimony given by two of the commissioners, you had heard about the zoos, botanical gardens, and aquaria program which supports our living museums across the state and the Nature Conservancy -- in full disclosure.

That program is slated in the Executive Budget proposal to receive a funding reduction of \$2.5 million. And we would urge you to take a second look at that. Those, as I said, actually provide operating support to those institutions, and it's critically important funding for a lot of organizations, and it's funding that's hard to raise, frankly.

And when the budget is cut, the plants still need to be maintained, the animals

1	still need to eat, and these facilities are
2	critical to not only education in our
3	communities but also really important
4	environmental research that benefits medical
5	and other fields in our society. So I would
6	urge you to take another look at that.
7	Likewise, I did want to note and

I'm looking directly at Assemblyman

Englebright, because he has been a really
incredible champion, as have a number of you,
for the Land Conservation Program in the
Environmental Protection Fund, which is
slated for another cut. This used to be a
\$60-million-a-year program in the EPF when it
was less than \$300 million, and we're now
seeing it cut back again this year.

So that gives us a lot of pause. And there is still a lot of work to do across the state, certainly in places like Long Island and the Hudson Valley, where we have heavy development pressure, but also in other areas where we're seeing organizations really take hold through programs like the Land Trust Alliance Conservation Partnership program,

1	which is strengthening the power of the land
2	trust community. We want to make sure that
3	the state program is there and able to do
4	partnership programs with communities and
5	not-for-profits, then, once those
6	organizations are there.
7	Moving on, I wanted to thank you also
8	for the work you all did to create a
9	\$2.5 billion Clean Water fund last year.
10	That was incredible. New York has a bond act
11	basically for clean water funding, and it's
12	incredible work. And you all added to it.
13	You went over and above what was in the
14	initial budget proposal last year, so thank
15	you.
16	We're starting to see that money
17	already come into communities across the
18	state for things like upgrading
19	infrastructure, protecting the sources of our
20	drinking water supplies. And just last week

infrastructure, protecting the sources of our drinking water supplies. And just last week or the week before was an announcement about a program that will help communities upgrade septic systems, which are a big problem in a lot of areas, including the Finger Lakes and

1	Tug Hill and Long Island. There's a big
2	problem there. It's causing a lot of beach
3	closures and red tide and brown tide and just
4	really serious conditions for our coastal
5	areas.

so that's incredible work. We would urge you to keep that funding in the budget this year, keep it going. It's a pretty aggressive schedule to try and spend \$2.5 billion in five years, so we urge you just to keep an eye on that and keep up the great work.

Another big piece of the budget that
the Nature Conservancy is focused on this
year is a proposal called Empire Forests for
the Future. This is a very-long-awaited
proposal to amend our forest tax abatement
program. And this sounds really boring
because it's a forest tax abatement program,
but actually by making some changes to our
tax laws, we can unleash huge conservation
throughout the state.

Right now, 75 percent of New York

State's forests are actually owned by private

1	land owners. We always think of places like
2	the Adirondacks and Catskills for the
3	publicly owned lands like our forest
4	preserves, but most of New York's forestlands
5	are owned by private owners. And that's
6	great.

What we can be doing is improving our property tax laws, our property tax abatement program, to allow more landowners to enter into a program that emphasizes sustainable forest management, broaden out the goals of this program beyond just timber harvesting to things like habitat management for wildlife, which is what the majority of forest owners are interested in, managing their forests for climate mitigation.

So the Nature Conservancy did a global study recently, last year, and found that 37 percent of the climate mitigation goal -- we have to hit those Paris goals, those Paris reduction goals -- can be met through what we call natural climate solutions. That means the way we manage our lands and our waters to keep carbon in the ground can help us achieve

1	our	goals.
---	-----	--------

And so this program is another way

New York can put our forests to work and help

us achieve climate change goals. And it's

not through -- we have heard a lot about, you

know, renewable energy and windmills and

solar, which is all great. This is another

piece of the solution for climate change in

New York State.

And it also -- this initiative would help fill the tax shift that exists right now from the current program by reimbursing from the state communities who experience a tax shift of 1 percent or more from program enrollment of those property owners who are enrolling. So there's a lot of great advantages to this. There's a lot more information in my testimony.

And then the last thing I'll hit really quickly is there is a proposal to change the way New York State pays ad valorem tax payments on land that it owns throughout the state. There's a lot of attention being paid to this right now in places like the

1	Adirondacks and Catskills, but again, this
2	would be a statewide change, changing from
3	the right of local assessors to tax those
4	lands and assess those lands to a PILOT
5	payment.
6	We have deep concerns about this, and
7	we would ask you to take a hard look at that
8	and perhaps not include it in your budget
9	responses.
10	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you very
11	much.
12	MS. MAHAR: Thank you.
13	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: We appreciate you
14	being here today.
15	MS. MAHAR: Thanks.
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: From Audubon
17	New York, we have Conservation Director
18	Michael Burger.
19	Welcome.
20	MR. BURGER: Thank you. Good evening,
21	everyone. I appreciate the opportunity to
22	offer testimony from Audubon on the budget
23	proposal.

I am Mike Burger, the conservation and

1	science director for Audubon New York, which
2	is a state program of the National Audubon
3	Society. In New York our network includes
4	50,000 members. We have 27 local chapters,
5	and we have seven nature centers scattered
6	across the state. Our mission is to protect
7	birds and their habitats based on science,
8	education, advocacy, and on-the-ground
9	conservation.

Regarding the 2018-2019 Executive
Budget proposal, I do have five things I
would ask you to consider. The first is to
dedicate \$300 million to the EPF and restore
the ZBGA funding, which you heard about a
couple of times today.

The Environmental Protection Fund has proven to be a stable source of funding, essential for environmental programs in robust and challenging economic conditions, and an appropriation of \$300 million for the EPF for this fiscal year will help New York meet its five-year capital improvement plans, and that's something that -- maintaining that level -- that we would ask you to do in the

1 enacted budget

22

2 Within the EPF we urge the Legislature 3 to restore proposed cuts by the Governor of \$2.5 million to the Zoos, Botanical Gardens, 4 5 and Aquaria program and restore that to last year's funding level, which was \$15 million. 6 7 The proposed funding cut would reduce the 8 services that can be provided by organizations that operate living museums, 9 10 and that would include three educational centers operated by Audubon: The Montezuma 11 12 Audubon Center in Savannah, the Constitution 13 Marsh Audubon Center in Oyster Bay, and --I'm sorry, in Cold Spring -- and the Theodore 14 15 Roosevelt Center in Oyster Bay. I noticed 16 somebody actually caught that slip. The second thing I would ask is that 17 18 you establish the Empire Forest for the 19 Future Initiative. As you just heard, 20 75 percent of the forests in New York are

determine the health, the diversity, and the resiliency of our forests as well as the

privately owned. What that means is the

actions of private forest owners will largely

1	public	benefits	that	those	forests	provide	to
2	all of	us.					

So a very high priority for Audubon is 3 the Empire Forest for the Future Initiative. 4 5 It's a proposal in the Executive Budget that includes modifications to the current Forest 6 7 Tax Law, and it creates a new 480-b program that will broaden the types of lands that are 8 eligible for tax incentives. It provides 9 10 grants to help local governments and 11 nonprofits acquire and manage community 12 forests, and it provides grants to help private forest owners implement best 13 14 management practices that improve forest 15 health and diversity and habitat for 16 wildlife.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Audubon is part of a diverse coalition of 24 environmental conservation business and forestry organizations that urges the Senate and the Assembly to support this proposal and include it in the enacted state budget.

The third thing I would ask you to consider is to support capital investments in New York's historic places and outdoors. We

1	strongly support Governor Cuomo's budget
2	proposal to continue significant capital
3	improvements to our world-class state parks,
4	our wildlife management areas, and the
5	Adirondack and Catskill Forest Preserves.
6	The state's sustained attention to
7	preserving and enhancing our historic and

preserving and enhancing our historic and green spaces not only helps connect people with New York's cultural and natural histories and resources, but also strengthens local economies and creates jobs. Each of these environmental capital investments will pay dividends for New York State.

The fourth thing I would ask you to consider is to continue funding for the Clean Water Infrastructure Act. As you know, few issues are as important to our health, our economy, and our environment as clean water. Access to clean water is also essential for the survival of wildlife, and New York's clean waterways provide the foundation for healthy ecosystems.

We are pleased that the Executive
Budget proposes to continue the state's

1	\$2.5 billion investment in clean water
2	pursuant to the Clean Water Infrastructure
3	Act of 2017, for which we thank you. This
4	funding makes an enormous difference to
5	communities that lack the resources to make
6	these investments independently. And the
7	support for clean water infrastructure is
8	critical to protecting public health as well
9	as the environment.

The last thing I would ask you to consider is to protect the Washington County grasslands. Washington County contains the last remaining large grasslands in eastern

New York, and this place provides habitat for a number of threatened and endangered bird species. To protect this area, the state has already acquired 286 acres of critical habitat. It is hoping to preserve and protect additional areas.

We strongly support the state's efforts to protect these grasslands.

However, we also believe that conservation efforts are most successful when they have the support of any affected communities.

1	Audubon believes that it's important for the
2	state to pay reasonable property taxes on
3	land that is put into conservation status in
4	the towns of Argyle, Fort Edward, and
5	Kingsbury.
6	Accordingly, we urge the Legislature
7	to include language in their budget bills to
8	authorize the state payment of property taxes
9	on state-owned lands in these towns as is
10	otherwise proposed in A6759, sponsored by
11	Assemblyman Woerner, and S1672, sponsored by
12	Senator Little, and to provide an
13	appropriation of funds to make those
14	payments.
15	Thank you again for allowing me to
16	testify. My contact information is in the
17	written testimony I provided. If you have
18	any additional questions, please contact me.
19	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you,
20	Mr. Burger. We appreciate you being here
21	today.
22	Our next speaker is from the
23	Long Island Farm Bureau, and that's Robert
24	Carpenter, who is the administrative

1	director. Welcome.
2	MR. CARPENTER: Thank you for having
3	me. I've been sitting since 1 o'clock,
4	watching, and I have to applaud all of you
5	for your dedication for staying here and
6	asking very, very pointed and very
7	informative questions. I salute your
8	dedication.
9	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
10	MR. CARPENTER: My name is Robert
11	Carpenter, administrative director of
12	Long Island Farm Bureau. We're a membership
13	association that represents agriculture on
14	Long Island.
15	I'm here today to respectfully request
16	that you include the attached legislation in
17	your one-house budgets to ensure that
18	buildings and structures for bona fide
19	agricultural production are permitted on
20	privately owned farmland when the
21	municipality acquires farmland development

In the early 1970s, Long Island was rapidly facing a conversion of farmland to

rights.

-	1	housing due to suburban sprawl. Suffolk
2	2	County Executive John Klein worked with the
	3	farm community to develop the first farmland
4	4	preservation program in the United States.
į	5	The basic premise is that farmers who wanted
(6	to preserve their farmland would sell to a
-	7	municipality a portion of their rights, in
8	3	this case the right to develop their land for
(9	houses, and in return the municipality would
1(0	place a restriction on that land to be
1:	1	utilized for farming purposes.

This unique program is truly a public-private partnership where farmers own and maintain the land while the municipality owns a specific right. The intent of this program was to keep working lands available to farmers and preserve farmland for future generations. Please let me be clear. The farmland preservation program is not intended to be an open space program but a working lands program.

Farmers never agreed to open space provisions when they sold rights, nor did they give the municipality or anyone else the

1	right	to o	determi	ne	wha	t happ	ens	on	that	land
2	other	thar	n what	is	in	their	cont	crac	ct.	

Long Island's farm land preservation

program has worked extremely well over the

number of years, preserving 20,000 acres.

But the very existence of our program is now

being threatened by a decision of Justice

Whelan in September of 2016, whose

interpretation of GML247 viewed Suffolk

County's TDR program as an open lands program

and ruled the county could not permit

buildings and structures in this program.

Today's agriculture requires
infrastructure such as barns to house
equipment or animals, which in some cases is
required by law. New food safety regulations
will require measures to ensure our food is
safe, which means structures such as washing
stations or refrigeration units. Greenhouse
production is also a form of agriculture, and
many farmers are considering growing their
food year-round in greenhouses. Fences,
irrigation wells, trellises, and other means
of farm production are considered structures

1	by code, so this decision could essentially
2	render agriculture powerless to farm on
3	preserved land.

Additionally, the uncertainty of what farmers will and will not be able to do with their land in the future will prevent further preservation. This legislation, if passed, will provide the necessary surety to farmers so they know they will be able to continue their farm operations. Currently this decision is being appealed, but we ask you to ensure the future of our program today by including this legislation in the budget.

Respectfully, our farming industry is too important to leave to a decision of non-farming judges.

Long Island's agricultural industry is one of the top agricultural producing areas of New York State, with almost 40,000 acres in production and \$240 million annually in sales. Agriculture remains an economic and social benefit to the region.

However, with increased costs of production, new regulations, and pressure

1	from outside sources, we are at a crossroads.
2	This decision could undo 40 years of effort
3	by many individuals who have worked
4	tirelessly to preserve our industry.
5	New York routinely supports
6	agriculture in the state budget to the tune
7	of hundreds of millions of dollars for
8	economic, environmental protections,
9	promotion of agriculture, and funding for our
10	colleges for research and education. We have
11	many partners that are in support of us and
12	our efforts: Peconic Land Trust, the League
13	of Conservation Voters who I believe will
14	be here later American Farmland Trust, the
15	Wine Council, Farm Credit, in addition to
16	Suffolk County Executive Steve Bellone and
17	the Suffolk County Legislature.
18	Long Island remains the mecca of
19	current happenings, and what happens today
20	will make its way through the rest of

current happenings, and what happens today
will make its way through the rest of
New York State. We ask that you add this
attached language into the budget to ensure
that structures and buildings used for
farming will be allowed in General Municipal

1	Law 247.
2	Thank you.
3	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. We have
4	a question.
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
6	Englebright.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: I just want
8	to say thank you for your very genteel way of
9	asking us to help solve a problem that is
10	dividing two of the most important
11	constituencies on Long Island, which are the
12	farming community and the natural resource
13	community.
14	And we're clearly interested in
15	helping to resolve what, if left going in the
16	direction it's going, will leave a permanent
17	scar in the environmental advocate community.
18	So thank you for asking us to help
19	today. We're hoping to explore the issues
20	that you've raised and hopefully find a
21	solution.
22	MR. CARPENTER: Thank you. With about
23	20,000 acres left to still preserve, we feel
24	this is a very important and critical issue

1	for us. And I remain willing to work with
2	you and hope that you'll be willing to work
3	with us and support us. So thank you very
4	much.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Thank you
6	for your testimony.
7	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
9	Assemblyman Thiele.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN THIELE: Yes, Rob, thank
11	you for first of all making the long journey
12	from Long Island, and second of all for your
13	patience in waiting to testify today.
14	Section 247 of the General Municipal
15	Law has an interesting history. It actually
16	was enacted back in 1976, because back in
17	1976 the idea that government would spend
18	money to buy land and then do nothing with it
19	and let it just sit there was an issue of:
20	What was the public purpose of doing that,
21	you were just buying it and leaving it alone
22	So the Legislature actually had to put
23	a provision in there that that was a
24	legitimate public purpose. And they also had

1	the provision in there that they wanted to
2	make sure that agricultural lands were part
3	of that, not just for open space preservation
4	purposes, but also to provide for a
5	sustainable agricultural future for the
6	state.

And as you know and as you said, you know, Suffolk County was the first county in the nation to utilize those provisions to buy the development rights to farmland so that in the competition between development and agriculture, it was a fair fight. This program allows agricultural lands to be devoted for agriculture without having to fight for what is very expensive land now on Long Island as far as development.

And that program went forward for 40 years with the full understanding of what the program was, what the intent was, which was to keep the vistas in the land open and to allow the land to continue to be farmed.

And this decision that you've referred to has kind of been kind of the aberration away from that.

1	My question for you well, a couple
2	of questions. One is the proposal that
3	you're looking at what happened in Suffolk
4	County obviously could happen anywhere, so
5	the proposal is a statewide proposal. Is
6	that correct?
7	MR. CARPENTER: That is correct. We
8	would like to see this go so that every
9	farmer in the New York State area that has
10	preserved land ultimately will be protected
11	by this.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN THIELE: All right.
13	And secondly, while you know I don't
14	like to pit conservation and agriculture
15	against each other, they've been allies so
16	many times through the years, but as I
17	understand it we'll be hearing from them
18	later, but the New York State League of
19	Conservation Voters also supports this
20	proposal, don't they?
21	MR. CARPENTER: That is correct. I'll
22	let Patrick speak to that, but I believe they
23	did sign on to an amicus brief or friend of
24	the court brief that a number of us submitted

1	to	the	court	to	voice	our	concerns	about
2	Juc	dge V	Whelan'	's o	decisio	on.		

ASSEMBLYMAN THIELE: And my last question is on the ground, literally and figuratively. What impact has this had on the operation of the program, both for farmers that are already in the program as well as trying to attract new farmers and farmland to participate in the program in the future?

MR. CARPENTER: So from the county perspective, I don't believe that there's been very many people that have expressed interest to preserve farmland both within the county and the town programs. Which is very unfortunate, because both do have funding available to purchase farmland.

From anecdotal evidence in talking to the farmers in the community, they're very concerned, both if they're in the program, about what they can do with their operations, or, if their land is not preserved, saying, you know, I'm really thinking -- I don't know if I want to enter this program to preserve

1	my farm because there's so much uncertainty
2	about where the program is going and what I'm
3	going to be able to do in the future.

Which, if I channel back to John Klein in the '70s, was the exact opposite of what he intended. His intent, I believe, was to preserve the land so that farmers would have the ability to farm and do what they need to do in order to survive and to help feed the millions of residents of Long Island, both here and the 8 million in New York City as well.

ASSEMBLYMAN THIELE: Okay. And finally, I just want to thank Assemblyman Englebright, certainly as the chairman of the Environmental Conservation Committee, for his remarks tonight and his willingness to try to work through this as we go through the budget process to try to find a solution that certainly will serve the program well into the future. Thank you.

MR. CARPENTER: Very good.

23 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. I guess
24 we're all set. Thank you for coming all this

1	way.
2	MR. CARPENTER: Thank you very much,
3	and thank you all for your support.
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
5	Our next group of speakers is a panel
6	from the Police Benevolent Association of
7	New York State. And that's Drew Cavanagh,
8	Forest Ranger captain, Jason DeAngelis, vice
9	president, and Arthur Perryman, New York
10	State Forest Ranger director. I'm missing
11	one. Oh, no, I'm not.
12	Okay. Welcome.
13	MR. CAVANAGH: Thank you, and good
14	evening.
15	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: You've got some
16	lengthy testimony here today, so if you could
17	summarize.
18	MR. CAVANAGH: We are going to do our
19	best to abbreviate our testimony and hit the
20	highlights.
21	MR. DeANGELIS: We'll summarize.
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
23	MR. DeANGELIS: Absolutely.
24	MR CAVANAGH: My name is Drew

1	Cavanagn. I'm here in my capacity as the
2	director of the Forest Rangers Superior
3	Officers Association of the PBA of New York
4	State. To my left is Art Perryman; he's here
5	in his capacity as the director of the Forest
6	Ranger Association of the PBA. And to my
7	right is Jason DeAngelis; he's here in his
8	capacity as the director of the Environmental
9	Conservation Officers Association of the PBA.
10	Again, I'll try to be brief. I have
11	been a Forest Ranger for 25 years. I'm
12	currently the Forest Ranger captain assigned
13	to DEC Region 6. My testimony today is
14	really about our staffing situation.
15	The DEC administers approximately
16	5 million acres of public land, and we have
17	137 Forest Rangers. Half a century ago, in
18	1970, we had 3.5 million acres of public land
19	and we had 140 Forest Rangers. So our
20	staffing is at best stagnant, and we've
21	accumulated an additional 1.5 million acres
22	of public land that we need to protect and
23	patrol. That's a problem for us.
24	In addition to that, as I like to put

1	it the good news is the public has
2	discovered public lands and the forest
3	preserve. The bad news is the public has
4	discovered public lands and the Forest
5	Preserve. We have a lot more use, but we
6	don't have a lot more people out there to
7	protect the public and to protect the
8	resource. Again, that is a problem for us.

My written testimony goes into a great degree, you know, extent about comparisons with national, you know, on a national scale, the number of forest rangers they have for Yellowstone and for all the national parks.

And we're well under half of the staffing for what they do, and I'll allow you to just read that, if you will.

I did want to put up what we're looking for is 175 Forest Rangers. That's an appropriate number of staffing force that would reduce the number of acres of land that a forest ranger has to patrol from over 40,000 acres to about 28,000 acres, which is much more — it's much more manageable and possible. It allows us to do our job and not

1	wreck people with overtime and overwork and
2	unsafe what we know is basically we're
3	putting them out when they're way too tired
4	to do their jobs. That's what we're looking
5	for.
6	I do have to thank Assemblyman
7	Englebright, the chair of the EnCon
8	Committee, and Senator Funke, chair of the
9	Cultural Affairs, Tourism, Parks and
10	Recreation Committee, both of whom have
11	acknowledged this, that we need more Forest
12	Rangers, and have both written the Governor
13	and asked for an increase in Forest Ranger
14	staffing. And we urge the Legislature to
15	take action and increase Forest Ranger

staffing.

I also want to mention A1459,

Assemblywoman Jenne's bill, with Senator

Funke, S3987. It's an act to amend the

Environmental Conservation Law in relation to

protecting newly acquired state lands. This

bill would mandate that another Forest Ranger

would be hired for every additional

30,000 acres of land that was acquired. And

1	we just it would just give us breathing
2	space so we could at least continue to do our
3	job.
4	And that's it, I'll stop there. And I
5	will defer to Art Perryman.
6	MR. PERRYMAN: Thank you. I want to
7	just focus on search-and-rescue missions, and
8	I'm going to abbreviate.
9	New York State Forest Rangers are now
10	conducting nearly one search-and-rescue
11	operation for every day in the year. Some of
12	these are lasting a few hours, and some a few
13	weeks.
14	You may have heard in September of
15	2017 of the search for a hiker on Wallface
16	Mountain in the High Peaks Wilderness.
17	Searchers needed to be lowered from
18	helicopters, use chainsaws, stay interior for
19	days at a time, set up communication relays,
20	manage multiple resources, and use advanced
21	land navigation. This was all done in
22	extreme terrain. In short, it was a job for
23	Forest Rangers and Forest Rangers only.

We responded to 19 other

1	search-and-rescue missions during that time.
2	In fact, some rangers had to leave the search
3	to respond to these other missions. Alex
4	died during the search effort, and I believe
5	this outcome would have been different if we
6	had more Forest Rangers.
7	Even recently in the winter months,
8	the Forest Rangers have been busy. During
9	the past month we responded to
10	search-and-rescue missions across the state
11	in really extreme conditions.
12	Most of you were sitting down to enjoy
13	the Super Bowl when a team of 37 rangers,
14	six climbers, and six firemen were 30 hours
15	into a rescue effort for a climber in the
16	High Peaks Wilderness, one of the most remote
17	locations there is. Forest Rangers battled
18	50-mile-per-hour winds and temperatures in
19	the single digits. During this rescue, some

to bring this hiker to safety. 22 Two days later, many of the same 23 Forest Rangers were working night and day on

of my fellow Forest Rangers suffered

fractured bones, hypothermia, and frostbite

20

21

1	Whiteface Mountain for another search for a
2	missing skier that you may have read about.
3	Over this past week, rangers were
4	called upon again to conduct a search in
5	Thatcher State Park right here in Albany
6	County.
7	We've met with many of you to explain
8	these critical issues. We know you all
9	believe the people in New York State deserve
10	the very best. They deserve a ranger force
11	of sufficient size that spends its days in
12	the wildland ceaselessly training in every
13	discipline of search and rescue and large
14	incident management.
15	We have made it our career and our
16	calling to help people in their hour of need,
17	and today we're asking for your help. Please
18	give us the staffing and funding to carry out
19	the mission. The time for decisive action is
20	now. Thank you.
21	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
22	MR. DeANGELIS: I'm Jason DeAngelis.
23	I'm the vice president of the union. I've

been a Conservation Officer for 15 years, and

1	a former New York City police officer for
2	three.
3	So I'm going to talk about the 3/4
4	disability bill: S5594B, Golden, and A7600B
5	Abbate. Some of our members do have the
6	3/4 disability, and some do not. That is a
7	huge disparity.
8	Last year, November I'm sorry,
9	November 29, 2016, Officer James Davey was
10	shot in a field in Columbia County where he
11	suffered a very significant shot in the
12	pelvis with a high-caliber rifle, shattering
13	his pelvis and severing his femoral artery
14	almost completely.
15	He is not afforded a viable
16	retirement, and he worked tirelessly to come
17	back to work because he did not have a viable
18	retirement. Okay? He was very fastidious i
19	his dedication to his rehabilitation.
20	This is a bad fit, this $3/4$
21	disability. We face all the same dangers
22	that any other police officer in New York
23	State faces, okay? And we do not have this

3/4 disability, as well as the Park Police,

4	7			
1	also	ı n	O11r	unit.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2 We would like it to also become 3 statute for the other members of the unit, the 1250 members. This is at a cost of -- a 4 5 \$2.78 million annual cost to New York State for the first year, \$450,000 estimated cost 6 7 every year thereafter to cover the remaining 724 members. 8 I do not think that this is a big ask. 9 10 I feel that this is a modest ask to protect these officers and ensure that they have a 11 12 viable retirement. Next topic -- I said I would be 13 brief -- I want to talk about 2 billion of 14

Next topic -- I said I would be

brief -- I want to talk about 2 billion of

these (indicating). These are -- Thomas

Jefferson? The small one. Not the

two-dollar bill, the nickels. Two billion of

them. That's \$100 million that the Bureau of

Environmental Crimes Investigation, our

investigators with EnCon, estimated that

New York State is losing out on, that is

being defrauded from New York State.

23 It's just nickels, I know it's not 24 very -- it might not be enticing, but they do

1	add up. And what we're short of here is we
2	are down 50 percent of our Environmental
3	Conservation Investigators. These are the
4	persons who focus on all the major crimes,
5	the environmental crimes in New York State,
6	okay? With the cuts to the proposed cuts
7	in the federal government to the EPA, we are
8	absolutely the last stand for New York State
9	to get this done. All right?

And one of the things we do is the very -- is the Bottle Bill, is the returnable containers. And that's why I hold up this nickel and I say there's 2 billion of them floating around that that's \$100 million that we estimate that is not coming back to

New York State. Okay? I want to be clear with that.

I can very easily direct you to all the statistics and everything, I know we are short on time, but we are down -- again, I will reiterate, we are down 50 percent of our conservation investigators, 60 percent down in Region 3, which consists of lower

New York; Region 2, New York City; and

1	Region 1. And these are the and we would
2	like to refill those ranks.
3	This is at a cost of literally,
4	literally because we promote within the
5	ranks, this is only \$50,000 to fill those
6	ranks, to pay these to get these
7	promotions and pay these investigators,
8	because we are promoting within the ranks. A
9	cost of \$50,000 to get the people we need in
10	the right places to do the job.
11	And I focus on the nickels because
12	that's where the money is. Okay?
13	We also the investigators also do
14	the endangered species, and they do all the
15	hazardous waste. And there are not enough of
16	us right now to do that. There are not
17	enough of us right now to do those high-level
18	environmental crimes and do the enforcement
19	on them.
20	That's it. I'm new at this. Don't
21	let my southern accent impact your decision
22	in any way.
23	(Laughter.)

MR. DeANGELIS: So that's all I have

1	to say, ladies and gentlemen. I thank you
2	for your time. Is there anything else?
3	MR. CAVANAGH: No, you did good.
4	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. I just
5	want to say thank you to you. And we've met
6	before on different issues. And thank you
7	for talking about the rescues that you do.
8	And also thank you for bringing up
9	Officer Davey, and we wish him well.
10	And as you know, one of my friends for
11	a long time, Lieutenant Liza Bobseine
12	MR. DeANGELIS: Yes.
13	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: was instrumental
14	in saving his life out in the field.
15	So certainly all the officers deserve
16	our accolades for their service and for their
17	bravery. So thank you so much for being here
18	today.
19	MR. CAVANAGH: Okay. Thank you.
20	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Next we have Conor
21	Bambrick, director of the Air and Energy
22	Program for the Environmental Advocates of
23	New York.
24	I'd like to remind everyone, five

1	minutes on the clock. Please summarize.
2	Welcome.
3	MR. BAMBRICK: Good evening. First
4	off, I want to thank all the members and all
5	the staff, not only for all the hard work
6	you've put in today, but throughout the
7	entire process. And I know it's a long
8	month, but we really appreciate it.
9	My name is Conor Bambrick. I'm with
10	Environmental Advocates of New York. And on
11	behalf of the organization and the 50,000
12	New Yorkers who are supporters and fellow
13	advocates, I thank you for the opportunity to
14	testify today.
15	We've already submitted lengthy
16	testimony, so I'm just going to hit on some
17	of the key points.
18	Since it does not appear as though
19	either the president or congressional leaders
20	share the values that New Yorkers place on
21	environmental safeguards, states have an
22	obligation to lead. The nation needs

New York to return to being a true

environmental leader, to show the pathway

23

1	forward where environmental protection once
2	again releases the innovation and
3	entrepreneurial spirit that New York is known
4	for.
5	Here are a few key areas that we'd
6	like to see the Legislature address and amend
7	from what was proposed in the Executive
8	Budget or not proposed.
9	One area is congestion pricing. We
10	support congestion pricing and call on the
11	Legislature to adopt policies that set fees
12	on vehicles entering the central business
13	district of Manhattan and to ensure that the
L 4	revenue collected supports the MTA.
15	The 2018-2019 budget must also contain
16	an agreement on a sustainable, long-term and
17	statewide mass transit support strategy. In
18	the Environmental Protection Fund, the
19	Governor has proposed a \$300 million
20	authorization for this year's fund. However,
21	the financial plan does not have the full
22	cash to back it. We urge the Legislature to
23	develop a sound EPF financing plan.

On plastic bags, since the Governor

Τ	railed to live up to his promise of proposing
2	a statewide solution, we call on the
3	Legislature to adopt a bag ban be a
4	proposal in the budget and to take those
5	fees and use them to support the EPF.
6	On climate change, Governor Cuomo
7	would like New York to be considered a
8	climate leader. His budget proposal does not
9	contain the type of comprehensive planning
10	and energy policy that other states have
11	embraced. The Legislature should act on
12	climate and include a bipartisan climate and
13	community protection act as a component of
14	the 2018-2019 budget. This is an opportunity
15	for the Legislature to really assert its
16	authority over energy policy here once again
17	in New York State.
18	On the Regional Greenhouse Gas
19	Initiative, for the fourth straight year the
20	Governor has proposed transferring
21	\$23 million in RGGI funds to the General Fund
22	to cover costs associated with certain
23	energy-related tax credits. This comes at a
24	time when the RGGI operating budget is

1 running	deep	deficits.
-----------	------	-----------

New Yorkers, as I think was suggested by Chairman Englebright earlier, would be better served if these funds were repurposed to provide communities on the front lines of climate change with access to energy efficiency opportunities that are not currently being offered up in NYSERDA or other state programs.

Renewable power for the Empire State

Plaza. We're calling on the Legislature to

modify the proposed Executive Budget to allow

the Office of General Services to spend

previously appropriated funds for renewables

and to prohibit funds from being expended on

fossil-fuel-fired technologies for the Empire

Plaza microgrid. The energy supplied for the

central nervous system of state government

should be 100 percent clean, renewable power

and not dirty fossil fuels.

On tax credit deferrals, Part S of Article VII, the revenue bill, is an ill-advised deferral tax balloon credit payment. Among these are credits for

1	electric vehicle charging infrastructure,
2	green buildings, brownfield remediation, and
3	brownfield redevelopment. The Legislature
4	should reject these deferrals.
5	On water quality improvements,
6	New York urgently needs to make more
7	substantial investments in water
8	infrastructure across the state. We urge the
9	Legislature to make that a priority again in
10	the '18-'19 budget by moving the Water
11	Infrastructure Improvement Grant Program to
12	the \$800 million level for this year and
13	next.
14	We're also asking that the budget
15	provide \$25 million from the Clean Water
16	Infrastructure Act for Hoosick Falls to hook
17	up to a new, clean water source as soon as
18	possible.
19	On the Diesel Emissions Reduction Act,
20	we are urging that there be no more delays to
21	this now 10-year-old law. The Executive
22	Budget does not include any further delay in

implementing. We urge the Legislature to

pass the budget without any further delays.

23

1	And then finally, on DEC staffing, the
2	budget to restore DEC staff levels to address
3	the losses sustained at the agency during the
4	recession from the last decade. Too many
5	laws are being inadequately implemented and
6	enforced for one simple reason: There aren't
7	enough cops on the beat.
8	In closing, Environmental Advocates
9	looks forward to working with the Legislature
10	to advance a final budget that is protective
11	of New Yorkers' health and environment. And
12	thank you very much for your time.
13	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I think we're all
14	set. Thank you for participating today.
15	Thank you for being here.
16	MR. BAMBRICK: Thank you.
17	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Our next speaker is
18	Patrick McClellan, New York State policy
19	director of the New York League of
20	Conservation Voters.
21	MR. McCLELLAN: Hi.
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Hi.
23	MR. McCLELLAN: Thank you very much.
24	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.

1	MR. McCLELLAN: So I want to thank you
2	for your patience and also your leadership on
3	environmental issues for the last few years,
4	in particular your support of \$2.5 million
5	for clean water in last year's budget.
6	We're pleased to see that the
7	Executive Budget funds the EPF at a record
8	\$300 million for a third consecutive year.
9	We're also pleased to see a proposed doubling
10	of the Farm to School program, \$1.5 million,
11	and new funds to increase the per-meal
12	reimbursement rate to 25 cents for school
13	lunch programs that purchase at least
14	30 percent of their food products from
15	New York State farmers and producers.
16	The Executive Budget proposal to
17	require large generators of food waste to
18	donate excess fulsome food and recycle food
19	scraps would help the state reach its climate
20	goals while also providing many millions more
21	meals per year to food-insecure New Yorkers.
22	The proposal's 2021 implementation
23	date, \$4 million in support funds, and
24	hardship exemption for generators who can

1	prove that recycling their food waste would
2	be more expensive than what they currently
3	pay for waste disposal, combine to make this
4	a reasonable proposal that proactively
5	addresses a lot of concerns that were raised
6	earlier this morning.

NYLCV supports a thoughtful expansion of the Pine Barrens, and we believe that a compromise is possible that allows for both the expansion of the Pine Barrens and the development of solar projects on Long Island. We think that compromise is possible in this session. We're encouraged that the Governor included a compromise proposal in his Executive Budget, and we look forward to the Legislature working with the Governor to reach that compromise.

NYLCV has long supported congestion pricing in Manhattan's central business district in order to reduce harmful automobile emissions and raise much-needed revenue for the MTA. We strongly urge the Governor, the Assembly, and the Senate to include a congestion pricing framework

1	similar to what the Fix NYC Advisory Panel
2	recommended in this year's budget. We can't
3	afford to put this off for another year.

Last year, New York City's 5-cent fee on single-use bags was preempted by an act of the Legislature before it could take effect, and Governor Cuomo promised a statewide solution to the crisis of plastic bag waste. There are only two effective solutions here: a fee on all single-use bags, or a ban on plastic bags and a fee on all other single-use bags. We strongly urge you to include one of these options, the proceeds of any fee to go towards an environmental purpose, in the final adopted budget.

And we're very encouraged to see the Legislature taking positive steps on this issue this week. So thank you for that.

Finally, we urge the Governor and the Legislature to include in the final adopted budget legislation clarifying that farmland preserved under General Municipal Law Section 247 may construct buildings or structures necessary for bona fide agricultural

1	production
2	Rec

Recent litigation threatens the ability of farmers on preserved land in Suffolk County to construct improvements that may be necessary to their farms' continued viability. This is contrary to how the farmland preservation program has been operated for many years and how farmers understood the program to operate when they sold their development rights, and NYLCV believes that a legislative clarification here is both urgent and warranted in this year's budget.

14 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Okay.

MR. McCLELLAN: Thank you.

16 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Any questions?

17 Assemblyman.

ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Thank you for your testimony, thank you for waiting through so many hours. The veto that you asked for on the legislation that Senator LaValle and I sponsored last year for a Pine Barrens amendment -- you got your wish. You got your veto.

1	It really doesn't bode well at the
2	moment; the developer got his permits this
3	week. So thank you for suggesting that a
4	compromise might be possible.
5	Are you willing to call up the
6	developer and ask him if he would now that
7	he has his permits, if he would defer
8	bringing the bulldozers in until we have had
9	an opportunity to at least see if there are
10	some suggested alternatives to scarifying
11	that piece of land and using properties that
12	are already scarred? Is NYLCV willing to
13	make that call?
14	MR. McCLELLAN: I can't commit to
15	specific actions this evening without
16	consulting our organization's executive
17	director, which I would be more than happy to
18	do.
19	We would very much like to play a
20	constructive role here, though, and so I
21	think that that's certainly within the realm
22	of possibility of something that we could do,

play a constructive role in evincing a

compromise.

23

1	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Historically
2	your organization has played a very
3	constructive role in the environment, so I
4	would ask that you continue that tradition
5	and explore making the call. You have a lot
6	of credibility with the developer, for
7	obvious reason.
8	But again, I see the green-on-green
9	divisions becoming very harsh, and I think we
10	need to break through that and try to find
11	ways to get back to basics, because we're
12	really all on the same team.
13	MR. McCLELLAN: I agree 100 percent.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Thank you
15	for your testimony.
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
17	MR. McCLELLAN: Thank you.
18	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Our next speaker is
19	Erin Tobin, vice president for policy and
20	preservation from the Preservation League of
21	New York State.
22	Thank you for being here.
23	MS. TOBIN: Thank you.
24	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: If you could just

T	summarize, that would be helpful.
2	MS. TOBIN: What was that?
3	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: If you could just
4	summarize, that would be helpful.
5	MS. TOBIN: Absolutely.
6	And thank you all for allowing me this
7	opportunity to speak with you today. We
8	really appreciate this chance to talk about
9	the budget for the New York State Office of
LO	Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation.
11	We testified on February 8 regarding
12	the New York State Historic Tax Credit. I
13	want to just add to that our appreciation for
14	the support for bills S7648 and A9882, and
15	again to ask that the language in those bills
16	be included in the one-house budget bills.
17	And I also wanted to note that since
18	that testimony, we've received feedback from
19	the historic tax credit developers and
20	investors about the Governor's proposed
21	deferral of tax credits over \$2 million.
22	Because we've heard that that's already
23	slowing investment in historic rehabilitation

24 projects, and developers are getting --

1	having uncertainty from their investors, who
2	are hesitant to contribute the necessary
3	capital for those tax credit projects. And
4	that's creating a funding gap, and that's of
5	great concern.

I also wanted to note in the budget
that the Executive proposed for the New York
State Office of Parks, Recreation and
Historic Preservation, we were pleased to see
continued support for the backlog of
maintenance and restoration for the hundreds
of historic buildings stewarded by OPRHP. We
appreciate that continued support.

And then for the Environmental

Protection Fund, as stated by colleagues of
other organizations, we applaud the
commitment to fully funding the EPF at
\$300 million. What I want to note is that
the EPF funds crucial historic preservation
projects, and that's outlined, but this is
one of the very few sources of state funding
for historic preservation projects for
buildings owned by nonprofits or
municipalities.

1	And we have a grant program that's a
2	partnership program with the New York State
3	Council on the Arts; it's Preserve New York.
4	And in a study that we did of Preserve
5	New York outcomes between 2005 and 2012, our
6	NYSCA partnership funds historic structure
7	reports, which is an architecture study of
8	buildings owned by nonprofits or
9	municipalities. And our 26 historic
10	structure report grants leveraged \$10 million
11	in project implementation funding. And of
12	that \$10 million of restoration projects done
13	by nonprofits and municipalities on historic
14	buildings, \$4 million came from the EPF and
15	\$6 million was privately raised.
16	So the Environmental Protection Fund
17	does such an excellent job of leveraging
18	private donations and leveraging additional
19	state support, and I don't want its role in
20	historic preservation to be overlooked
21	amongst the other very important roles that
22	the EPF plays.
23	Thank you very much for your time.
24	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Any questions?

1	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: I WOULD JUST
2	like to say thank you for the work you do.
3	I'm bilingual; I can speak historic
4	preservation and natural area preservation.
5	And your work is of critical importance.
6	We're certainly going to study your request
7	here today going into the budget process.
8	Thank you.
9	MS. TOBIN: Thank you, Assemblyman.
10	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
11	Oh, no, I was just going to say thank
12	you and move on. But I don't want to cut off
13	anyone else.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you, but
15	in case there are some people who are
16	we're finished, thank you.
17	MS. TOBIN: Okay. Thank you.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: But before we
19	call the next speaker, if there are some
20	people who are we understand some people
21	left the building who are going to be
22	testifying later. If there's a way for you
23	to make yourself known to one of our offices
24	hy email. we'll make arrangements to get you

1	back into the building.
2	SENATOR KRUEGER: I'm going to keep
3	going.
4	Ted Marks and Chad Hendrickson, from
5	the New York Wine and Grape Foundation.
6	Anybody here? I see movement in the back,
7	but I don't think they're heading this way.
8	Okay, we're keeping going. Alison
9	Jenkins, Parks & Trails New York.
10	And if the wine people come back,
11	we'll let them come on.
12	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Liz, why don't
13	I just announce the
14	SENATOR KRUEGER: Please.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So if in fact
16	someone who is slated to testify is
17	listening, you can come in through the
18	Vietnam War Memorial entrance, or you can
19	call the State Police for entrance, and that
20	number is 518-474-5330.
21	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
22	Okay. Alison Jenkins, Parks & Trails

MS. JENKINS: Yes. Hi. Thank you for

New York. Hi.

1 the opportunity to testify today.

My name is Alison Jenkins, I'm the parks program director for Parks & Trails

New York. And our organization supports and advocates for the New York State Park system, state parks, historic sites, trails, and green spaces for all New Yorkers across the state.

I'm just going to hit on a couple of key points to keep this moving. The first thing is I want to say thank you for all of your past support for state parks, for capital funding for the Environmental Protection Fund, for everything you've done so far.

I want to say that we really like what's in the Governor's budget for state parks, and we want to thank the Governor and we would encourage your support for some of the things that are in there. The first thing being the \$90 million for state parks capital funding, and then the other big thing being the \$300 million for the Environmental Protection Fund. And within the

1	Environmental Protection Fund, included is
2	the \$500,000 for the Park and Trail
3	Partnership Program, which is a grant program
1	that we administer through our organization.

For the past seven years or so, the budget has included significant funding for parks capital, which has been really important and has taken parks a really long way from where they were -- being dilapidated, not having the funds to just keep up regular building maintenance.

A couple of examples of how this has affected parks is this past year Niagara
Falls State Park has received a \$7 million upgrade for the Cave of the Winds, which is part of a total investment of \$70 million for that park. The new facility will provide a year-round attraction at the park and will extend the tourism season. It's also going to improve visitor experiences by updating exhibits and by eliminating long waits through timed ticketing. So just some modernizations, making things a little better for visitors.

1	Also, at Jones Beach State Park, as
2	part of the \$65 million investment, upgrades
3	in 2017 included \$8.8 million for reopening
4	the Marine Dining Room and opening a new
5	East Games Area. Other improvements included
6	restoring bus services to the park and
7	expanding cyclist and pedestrian access
8	through the Jones Beach shared-use path.
9	These are just a couple of examples.

These are just a couple of examples.

There are a lot more out there. And we can tell it's working, because there were

71 million visitors to New York State parks last year, and this is up about 23 percent since 2011, which is amazing. That's a lot of people.

As part of the \$90 million this year, we would ask you to support the \$15 million for the new state park in Brooklyn. And additional to the \$90 million, there's \$50 million for the Hudson River Park, which we would also ask you to support. And we know these investments are paying dividends. We can see by a report that was put out this past fall that shows that state parks put

1	\$5 billion dollars back into New York State's
2	economy. So with a nine-to-one return on
3	investment and something that's
4	interesting about that is that \$1.6 billion
5	of those dollars, or 21 percent, is people
6	from outside of those state park areas. So
7	people that are coming from more than
8	50 miles away are coming to these parks and
9	spending their money, which is fantastic.
10	I do have to mention that the Office
11	of Parks, Recreation and Historic
12	Preservation's operating budget is not as
13	robust as we would like to see it. They were
14	cut disproportionately years ago during the
15	recession by previous administrations, and
16	they've had flat budgets since. So at some
17	point it would be nice to get some more
18	operations funding so they can continue to do
19	their good work.
20	As for the Environmental Protection
21	Fund, thank you for putting it at
22	\$300 million this is my first time
23	testifying for a few years ago now, and the
24	last time I'm pretty sure we were at like

1	\$143 million, and it was just not a good
2	time. So I'm really excited to be able to
3	say thank you, the \$300 million for the EPF
4	has been amazing.

And like I said, our grant program in the EPF, the \$500,000 for the Park and Trail Partnership program, our grants are for grassroots organizations, or what we call friends groups. And they are really just volunteers who volunteer at the park and want to do good things — they lead tours, they do educational programming and repair things and do a lot of good work.

A couple of really great examples of that grant program are at the Friends of Chenango Valley State Park. They purchased 14 pairs of snowshoes with their grant and created a program for kids to get moving, even in the winter. In the Friends of Schodack Island State Park, they used their grant funds to install informational kiosks that have information about park activities, park amenities, and also local businesses if someone wants to go to a local restaurant or

1	a laundromat or something like that.
2	SENATOR KRUEGER: You're at zero, so
3	you have one last
4	MS. JENKINS: I have one last thing.
5	I just wanted to mention the Connected to
6	Parks program that's also in the EPF. It's a
7	million dollars this year again, and we think
8	it's a great program and it's working really
9	well. And if you don't know what it is, it's
10	transportation for kids to get to parks, and
11	we'd like to see that supported again.
12	And that's all. Thank you for this
13	opportunity.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
15	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you so much.
16	Any questions?
17	Our next speaker is Kevin Chlad of the
18	Adirondack Council.
19	And then if you want to get in line,
20	our next will be Empire State Forest Products
21	Association, John Bartow.
22	MR. CHLAD: It's good to be with you
23	all. My name is Kevin Chlad. I'm the

24 director of government relations for the

4	- 1'	, ,	~	
1	7/4120	ndack	Collr	001
1	$\Delta U + U$	muat.r.	COLL	$1 \cup 1 \perp 1 = 1$

The Adirondack Council is a
not-for-profit that is dedicated to insuring
the ecological integrity and wild character
of our Adirondack Park. We envision an
Adirondack Park with clean air and water,
healthy and abundant wildlife, and large
wilderness areas surrounded by working farms
and forests and vibrant communities. We do
not accept any public funding.

I'll just touch on a couple of things very briefly. I understand we're trying to move along quickly here, and I appreciate the opportunity to testify given how much you've taken in today.

First, I just want to thank you for
the \$300 million EPF that was approved in
last year's budget, and also for the
\$2.5 billion in capital funding for clean
water. Last fall the Adirondack Council
released its second report on clean water
infrastructure needs in the Adirondack Park,
and in that report we celebrated \$38 million
in clean water grants received by Adirondack

1	communities since 2015, when the Legislature
2	really took it upon themselves to start
3	investing in these grants for communities.
4	It also highlights roughly \$85 million
5	in currently identified clean water
6	infrastructure needs in Adirondack Park
7	alone. And I'll just mention that five major
8	watersheds, including the Hudson River, began
9	in the Adirondacks, making the needs of
10	upstream communities important.
11	We're really thrilled that the
12	Governor has proposed a \$300 million EPF in
13	this year's budget in his Executive Budget
14	proposal, and we strongly encourage the
15	Legislature to put the \$300 million in their
16	own one-house budgets and in the final
17	enacted budget.
18	And there are so many there are
19	really many items within the EPF that we're
20	thrilled about that are in the Governor's
21	proposal, too many to cite, so I'll just
22	touch on a couple of items.
23	One of those items is called the
24	Adirondack Diversity Initiative, and it's

1	really to ensure the longevity and
2	sustainability of the park and make the
3	Adirondacks a more welcoming and inclusive
4	place. The Adirondack Council is advocating
5	for a \$100,000 appropriation in the EPF for
6	the \$250,000 Adirondack Diversity Initiative.
7	Following a spate of unfortunate events in
8	the Adirondacks where derogatory remarks were
9	made towards visitors, the ADI intends to
10	provide quantifiable results in fostering a
11	more welcoming community in the park.
12	Another item that we wish to see
13	funded in the EPF this year is State Land
14	Stewardship, for which the Governor has
15	proposed an increase, and we support that.
16	Recently Governor Cuomo's Adirondack Park
17	Agency approved the classification of the
18	Boreas Ponds Tract, and it was a good
19	compromise between motorized advocates and
20	wilderness advocates. And not everyone got
21	everything they wanted, but that's the
22	essence of a good compromise.
23	The Boreas Ponds Tract is really

The Boreas Ponds Tract is really referred to as the jewel in the Adirondack

1	crown. At more than 20,000 acres, this tract
2	is similar in size to the island of
3	Manhattan, and it hosts one of the highest
4	it actually hosts the highest-elevation
5	Class 1 wetland in our state that helps
6	comprise the headwaters of the Hudson River.
7	I just want to take a moment to thank
8	you for your role in supporting the
9	acquisition using EPF monies. You have
10	really given quite a gift to future
11	generations, and you should be really proud
12	of that. But now there's a subsequent
13	process that must occur, and what is needed
14	is a wild lands complex planning effort to
15	bring stakeholders together to really think
16	big when it comes to finding concepts for
17	visiting usage and resource protection, with
18	resource protection always being the
19	paramount consideration. And dedicated
20	funding in the state land stewardship
21	category could be quite a boon to that
22	effort.
23	Shifting gears, the Executive Budget
24	has proposed to shift the state's tax

1	payments on Forest Preserve lands in the
2	Adirondacks and Catskills from an ad valorem
3	tax to payment in lieu of taxes, and we're
4	deeply concerned with this proposal to break
5	with more than 125 years of history. The
6	state has long paid taxes on the Forest
7	Preserve to reflect the greater benefits to
8	its citizenry, and the change in tax payments
9	would threaten the viability of future land
10	purchases with the ability of the local
11	governments to veto land acquisitions. And
12	it also fails to acknowledge the important
13	contributions of our communities as hosts to
14	these spectacular Adirondack resources.

And communities and environmental groups, you may take note when you read the papers, we don't always see eye to eye on things in the Adirondack Park. But you can rest assured that this is one item we are, you know, standing together with locked arms, asking that this change not occur.

I also just want to take a moment to flag -- a number of my colleagues have been before you and will be before you a little

1	bit later to talk about the Empire Forests
2	for the Future initiative. And I just want
3	to make it very clear that the Adirondack
4	Council has been calling for forest tax
5	reforms for decades, and we think that that
6	should be passed in this year's budget. We
7	support that very much.
8	We have more detailed thoughts on
9	that, and we'd be happy to follow up with you
10	folks later on in session.
11	Also, I just want to quickly touch on
12	all-terrain vehicles, and that will be my
13	last point. In the 2016 New York State
14	Ranger Report, they identified ATV
15	trespass and you heard these compelling
16	stories from the Police Benevolent
17	Association earlier. Given all that they're
18	doing, those incredible stories we hear, they
19	still lead off their annual Ranger Report by
20	citing ATV trespass on state lands as the
21	most problematic activity that they face on a
22	daily basis.
23	I think that speaks volumes to the
24	task we have before us. We need to enact a

1	general ban on Forest Preserve and other
2	state lands, that needs to happen, and we'd
3	be happy to talk with anybody how to get that
4	done.
5	You'll also hear very soon from one of
6	my colleagues at the Adirondack Lake Survey
7	Corp., and I'll leave it at that and let you
8	know that we support that.
9	Thank you very much for your time.
10	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you very much.
11	Any questions? Thank you.
12	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
13	SENATOR KRUEGER: Our next speaker is
14	John Bartow, executive director, Empire State
15	Forest Products Association.
16	And then Adirondack Mountain Club, if
17	you want to move front.
18	MR. BARTOW: Yes, good evening, and
19	thank you for your perseverance and your
20	leadership on these issues.
21	I have two issues that I will just
22	bring to your attention. We've given you
23	detailed testimony as well as very in-depth
24	position papers in our packet with you. I

1	just want to say as you're looking to the
2	agricultural budget and restorations that are
3	there, we encourage you to support the
4	Wood Products Development Council, which is
5	the only real marketing-driven thing for
6	supporting wood products development and new
7	wood products in New York State.

I'm going to devote the rest of my couple of minutes on the Empire Forest for the Future initiative. We're very excited that the Governor has included this after several years of discussion about how property tax reform and other provisions could begin to benefit New York's private forests. We have a long legacy of sustaining and advancing our public forests and our wilderness forests in New York State, and we think it's only apropos to start looking to the 14 million acres of private forest in New York.

The Governor's proposal includes six major provisions; you will hear highlights of those. We've detailed our comments in those position papers. I just want to talk about

1 really two or three of those right now.

The first being the Forest Tax Law reform, and we're extremely supportive of that. In particular, it leaves the 480 proposals under the Real Property Tax Law the same as they've always been. 480-a would be grandfathered, and then new enrollments — the only thing we have a concern about is the hard deadline of March 1, 2019. We'd like the new 480-b program up and running so that owners have a real chance to analyze and decide which program they want to go in.

And secondly, under the 480-a program, there's some transfer under grandfathered properties that we're suggesting be treated just like they were under 480 in 1974. That really will be a decision of the landowners moving forward.

In terms of the property tax shift, we think this is incredibly important that the Governor has put in a formula under state finance law to address the tax shift that will occur here. This has been a huge impediment for local taxing jurisdictions and

1	local	governments	. As we've	been discussing
2	this,	we think th	e formula is	s fair. It may
3	need s	some adjustm	ents going f	Forward.

We have additional information we can give you about where that occurs and how it would benefit, which I'm happy to go into with you or your staff at any time as we go forward.

There are a couple of amendments to the Right to Practice Forestry law. One in particular is a new regulatory approach for the notification of a commercial timber harvest. We have some concerns on that.

We've articulated in our comments -we offer an alternative that we think would
give as good if not better information moving
forward for the concerns the department is
looking for, and it would be a nonregulatory
approach of getting that information. So
we're happy to get into the weeds on that
detail. We do want to work with you, the
Governor, and our stakeholders on a mutually
beneficial arrangement as this is going
forward here.

1	There are two grant programs under the
2	program, one for community forest and one
3	for they're calling it the Empire Forest
4	Incentive Program, but it's really geared at
5	private forestland stewardship. We support
6	both of those programs as being demonstrable
7	of the way forest practices can go forward
8	protecting extreme ecological and
9	environmental resources associated with our
10	forests that are out there, and for the first
11	time really giving some benefit to private
12	forestland owners on the costs that they
13	incur on an annual basis of keeping forests
14	sustainably managed and protecting incredible
15	ecological and environmental resources across
16	the state.

And lastly, the Governor has included a state procurement preference for state agencies. This has been tried on other local foods, agricultural products -- we're curious to see how that would work in New York.

Markets, at the end of the day, are really a big factor in ensuring our private forests remain private and productive, so if that can

_	help us in ensuling that at all, we if go
2	forward with it.
3	And the last thing I want to say is we
4	have an incredible coalition you're going
5	to hear from a number of folks tonight, but a
6	coalition that represents industry, business,
7	agriculture, land trusts, environmental
8	groups from every corner of the state, that
9	have really signed on and want to work with
10	you to push this across the goal line. So
11	we're pleased at that kind of collaboration
12	we've been able to get and the demonstration
13	of support that shows for you.
14	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
15	Appreciate it.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you. Good
17	information.
18	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Next we have Neil
19	Woodworth, executive director and counsel for
20	the Adirondack Mountain Club.
21	Thank you for being here.
22	MR. WOODWORTH: Thank you very much
23	for hanging in there. I really appreciate

it.

1	Tonight you have heard starting
2	with Dan Stec and a few other people, you've
3	heard briefly some discussion about a tax cap
4	and PILOTs. And I'm going to focus on that,
5	because it really is a paradigm shift in the
6	way New York State has treated local
7	government with regard to state lands.
8	One hundred thirty-one years ago, in
9	1886, New York State government, the
10	legislature and the governor, made an
11	agreement with local government as the state
12	began to acquire what today is 5,300,000
13	acres of state land that's a huge amount
L 4	of land. I have maps of everybody's Senate
15	and Assembly district to show you
16	particularly Senator Young, your district has
17	a lot of state land that's taxable.
18	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Yes, it does.
19	MR. WOODWORTH: And what this would do
20	is, in a complete reversal of the agreement
21	back in 1886 the agreement was that local
22	government would value the land and determine
23	it based on the needs of the community. And
24	what revenue bill Item F does is it

1	completely changes that. Three governors in
2	recent times have tried to do that, and the
3	legislature has basically rejected that
4	attempt each time to give the control,
5	evaluation, and assessment to the state.

The Court of Appeals -- this was challenged in court, the state challenged the local assessment of state lands in what became a landmark decision across the nation in the Town of Shandaken vs. State. The Court of Appeals reaffirmed that local government should value, when the state made that agreement in 1886, that state land would be taxed in the same manner as any of us as private individuals.

That agreement has paved the way for local government support for the wonderful -- and this covers everything from the Long Island Pine Barrens to the Forest Preserve in the Adirondacks and Catskills.

And less known is the 750,000 acres of state forest really from the Massachusetts border down to Putnam County and all the way out to Cattaraugus County and Lake Erie.

1	And these valuable forestlands are
2	basically put demands on local
3	communities' first responders. And frankly,
4	because they are undeveloped, they do cost
5	communities some revenue in the tax base.
6	And the payments made by the state are
7	critical.
8	And it's critical and I am asking both
9	houses to reject revenue Item F in the
10	Executive Budget. It's the fair thing to do,
11	it reaffirms and believe me, it affects
12	hundreds and hundreds of communities from
13	Long Island to Western New York.
14	So it is not just about the
15	Adirondacks and Catskills. It covers the
16	largest state parks Allegany, Harriman,
17	Storm King. All the great large state parks
18	are also taxable.
19	So this is a very important issue, and
20	I hope you will make it a priority not to
21	agree to the Governor's proposal for a tax
22	cap and for exchanging those regular tax
23	payments into payments in lieu of taxes.
24	I want to also endorse the Forest Tax

1	Law, 480, 480-b. This is a very inexpensive
2	way to really sequester a lot of carbon in
3	our forest and also extend the program down
4	to small forest owners, so all the way down
5	to people who own as little as 25 acres of
6	forest. When you aggregate all that land
7	that's why 70 percent of the private forests
8	in New York State are owned by private
9	individuals.

I also want to put in a plug for the Empire Forest Initiative. It's another good way for us to not only preserve our forest products industry, but keep an awful lot of jobs in upstate New York.

Finally, I will echo what my colleague Kevin Chlad said: All-terrain vehicles have become a plague in New York State. And there will be proposals that will seek to expand all-terrain vehicles from one-person vehicles to two-, four- and six-person vehicles and actually create a program that would force either Parks or DEC to create a program to put all-terrain vehicles -- the Trail Fund Program on all state lands, which frankly

1	would be a disaster.
2	The state, when its DEC studied this
3	issue, found that all-terrain vehicle trails
4	were not sustainable and had to after
5	experiments in six state forests, had to
6	completely cancel the program. And it has
7	not renewed that program.
8	So with that, I'll stop and see if
9	anybody has any questions for me. All right,
10	thank you very much.
11	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: I think we're good.
12	Thank you so much.
13	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
14	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thanks for being
15	here.
16	Next we have James Dukett, program
17	manager from the Adirondack Lake Survey
18	Corporation.
19	MR. DUKETT: Thank you, everybody, for
20	the opportunity to be here.
21	My name is James Dukett, I am program
22	manager for the Adirondack Lake
23	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Sorry I
24	mispronounced it.

1	MR. DUKETT: That's okay. I'm from
2	the Adirondacks. French-Canadian name.
3	I've been working for the Adirondack
4	Lake Survey Corporation for nearly 25 years.
5	The Adirondack Lake Survey Corporation was
6	formed in 1983 with a charge to help
7	understand this critical issue of acid rain
8	in the Adirondacks that was ravaging our
9	forests, our lakes, our fish, our brook
10	trout.
11	We set out in 1984 to do what was at
12	that time and still is the largest acid rain
13	study of its time, the Adirondack Lake
14	Survey. We collected and analyzed nearly
15	1500 small brook trout waters in the
16	Adirondack Park. That data has been used by
17	legions of researchers and policy makers. It
18	was used to help support the 1990 Clean Air
19	Amendment Acts. New York State policymakers
20	have used it for emission control policies.
21	And after 1990, we continued to do
22	long-term monitoring work for several years
23	to show the improvements from associated

with the Clean Air Act as well as New York

1	State emission policies. We have been the
2	the work has been successful, and we're proud
3	of it.

Ironically, we currently have an 4 administration that in -- a federal 5 administration that's discussing subsidizing 6 7 coal to compete with natural gas. And I assure you that if that does happen and acid 8 rain comes back to the Adirondacks, that 9 10 brook trout will -- mortality rates will 11 increase, aluminum will come out of the soil, 12 it will precipitate on the gills of brook trout, and they will start to die again. And 13 14 the fishery is returning. Our friends in DEC 15 fisheries are telling us, we know this from our own work as well too. We see it in our 16 data. 17

So we feel we're still relevant. 18 Although we've been successful, we feel we're 19 20 still relevant, especially now.

> We also, with the success that we've seen, we wanted to continue to help New York State -- again, in particular DEC fisheries.

24 We feel we can help with a more proactive

21

22

T	opportunity. Our skill set can be used to
2	get out into the woods, to collect samples,
3	analyze those waters, provide data to
4	New York State DEC and other anglers to help
5	with brook trout restoration efforts.
6	I don't need to remind anybody here
7	that the brook trout is our state fish. And
8	we feel that our skill set is still relevant,
9	and we're asking that you continue to support
10	this work. We don't want to go backwards
11	with acid rain, and we think that we can
12	offer a proactive, positive opportunity for
13	the Adirondacks as well as that North Country
14	communities could also look at as a tourism
15	opportunity.
16	So I'll stop there, I know it's been a
17	long day. And if anybody has any questions,
18	I'll take them.
19	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. And
20	thank you for being so patient.
21	MR. DUKETT: Thank you.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you.
23	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Next we have
24	Marilyn DuBois, board of directors of Protect

1	the Adirondacks. Is Marilyn here?
2	Okay, then moving right along, from
3	the Sierra Club, Roger Downs, conservation
4	director for Sierra Club in the Atlantic
5	Chapter. No takers?
6	Erik Kulleseid, senior vice president
7	of the Open Space Institute. Forgive me if I
8	mispronounced your name.
9	MR. KULLESEID: It's like Kool-Aid.
10	Kool-Aid and an "s". Kulleseid.
11	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Kulleseid.
12	MR. KULLESEID: You know, I wouldn't
13	miss this moment. I always feel like we're
14	the last ones standing, still slinging it
15	out, and I appreciate all of you making it
16	through the day. I know it's long for you,
17	and really I'm stunned at your stamina. And
18	you're all very good to stay.
19	I am not going to read my testimony,
20	you'll be happy to know
21	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
22	MR. KULLESEID: You all have copies.
23	So my name is Erik Kulleseid, and I'm
24	a senior vice president at the Open Space

1	institute, and I also run our Alliance for
2	New York State Parks program.
3	Thank you, Chairman Young
4	Chairwoman Young. Thank you, Chairwoman
5	Weinstein.
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: It's late.
7	MR. KULLESEID: I give people grief
8	about messing up my name, and I'm doing it in
9	spades right now.
10	Anyway, thank you for letting me
11	appear today.
12	OSI is a 40-year-old land and park
13	conservation nonprofit in New York State. We
14	also have grant programs and programs up and
15	down the Eastern seaboard. I'm going to keep
16	it very brief and just really highlight the
17	things we support.
18	By and large, we are very supportive
19	of the Governor's budget, and we are here
20	just to sort of say thank you to you for
21	really going hand-in-hand on one of the most
22	remarkable runs for state parks that we've
23	seen in decades. What's happening in the

state park system is something we should not

1	take for granted it's extraordinary, and
2	wouldn't happen if you all weren't right
3	there.
4	And I hope you get a chance to get out
5	to a state park soon. Thatcher's very close
6	by; I'll take you on tours of the new
7	Thatcher Park Center anytime you'd like.
8	I think that also the DEC capital is
9	doing well. I'm also very happy about
10	OSI, about a third year of \$300 million for
11	EPF. We very much appreciate the constrained
12	fiscal environment the state is in and lots
13	of risk coming at you from Washington, and
14	the commitment to that fund is truly
15	remarkable and transcendent. And I think it
16	just sets New York apart from so many other
17	places in this country.
18	I do want to say and I think I've
19	had conversations with Assemblyman

I do want to say -- and I think I've

had conversations with Assemblyman

Englebright about this -- there is some

concern, I think, about the proposed drop in

the land conservation account under the

Environmental Protection Fund. It's a fund

that we use heavily to help the state to

1 expand and buffer its park holdings.

You know, I think the way the official position seems to be is that the pipeline is being satisfied with the funds at hand. And I guess at some level we should be thinking about where the gaps are in the conservation sector today. And I think that with the town governments and local governments having dropped out to some degree because of the 2 percent property tax cap, I think that we may need to have the state step up and do more to promote some local conservation measures as well.

And there are grant programs, but maybe it's something worth thinking about for the future. Because really the volume of land conservation -- the need has not dropped, and we need to keep that volume up.

I also, following my colleague John

Bartow, we are big -- usually supportive of

Empire Forests for the Future. You only need
to look at a map of New York and see how much
of this state's forestland is in private
hands. And if we can set up and make that

1	incentive program more effective so that more
2	lands are enrolled, it means more
3	conservation is being done at the state
4	privately without state resources needing to
5	go into buying the land.
6	So it's really it's a very
7	creative, updated program, and we truly urge
8	you to support that going forward. I think
9	it's really a very good bill.
10	That's really my main points. Again,
11	thank you for your partnership. This
12	chamber the Assembly and the Senate have a
13	lot to be proud of in this environmental
14	record, and we really appreciate it.
15	Any questions?
16	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Well, thank you.
17	Any questions? Yes, Assemblyman.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: I know that
19	if Carrie Woerner was still here, that both
20	of us would want to say certainly she
21	would want to say thank you for the historic
22	role that OSI has played in the Hudson River,
23	and in particular in the Sword Surrender Site
24	which was made possible by the foresight and

1	vision of your organization to help protect
2	our national heritage in so many ways.
3	Thank you for the work you do.
4	MR. KULLESEID: Thank you, Steve.
5	It's a pleasure and a privilege.
6	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
7	Our next speaker is John Halsey,
8	president of Peconic Land Trust.
9	MR. HALSEY: Good evening.
10	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Good evening.
11	MR. HALSEY: I have to echo Erik's
12	tremendous appreciation for your stamina and
13	dedication. It's also been interesting to
14	watch the proceedings today. So I'm going to
15	really hit the high points of my testimony,
16	not read through it all.
17	Again, my name is John Halsey,
18	president of the Peconic Land Trust. I'm
19	here today representing over 45 organizations
20	from Long Island and across the state. The
21	full list of organizations are listed in the
22	written testimony. Thank you for the
23	opportunity to be here.
24	In the 1970s, thanks to General

1	Municipal Law 247, New York was the first in
2	the country to have a farmland preservation
3	program through which a municipality could
4	purchase partial interest in the rights and
5	rights in land to protect privately owned
6	farmland from development. Many of the first
7	farms in this program were among the first
8	farms in New York State, dating back to the
9	1600s.

Unfortunately, a 2016 State Supreme

Court decision has effectively undermined the credibility of the nation's first farm and farmland preservation program and put at risk bona fide agricultural production in

Suffolk County, with the potential of doing so elsewhere in the state and beyond. Its impact is far-reaching.

First, this decision nullifies rights for agricultural buildings and structures that farmland owners retained. As such, it constitutes a breach of contract, with the potential to unleash a flood of lawsuits. The county could find itself renegotiating each and every contract -- nearly 400 -- a

1	potentially long, arduous process with
2	uncertain results. This is what keeps me up
3	at night.

The last thing we want to see is the unraveling of over 40 years of farmland preservation and 20,000 acres of protected farmland in Suffolk County -- not a good way for the first PDR program in the country to end.

Second, this decision constitutes a breach of trust that undermines the integrity of all purchase-of-development-rights programs here and elsewhere. The longer it takes to resolve, the greater the uncertainty and the damage done.

Third, this decision hampers

agriculture by limiting the infrastructure

that it needs going forward. Agriculture is

constantly evolving as a function of what the

public wants and what the market will bear.

By prohibiting agricultural buildings and

structures, efforts to produce fresh,

healthy, locally grown food and other

products for New Yorkers, including our

1	children and families, are compromised.
2	So today we respectfully request that
3	the Senate and Assembly address the
4	situation. Please include statewide
5	legislation which was developed in
6	conjunction with the Department of Ag &
7	Markets in your one-house budgets. This
8	legislation makes it clear that agricultural
9	buildings and structures are essential to
10	bona fide agricultural production under
11	General Municipal Law 247.
12	This language will have no fiscal
13	impact on the budget, but it will support the
14	state's agricultural economy and economic
15	development goals that produce jobs, tourism,
16	and locally grown food and other products for
17	New Yorkers. It is our heritage, and it
18	began on Long Island. And we want to see it
19	continue.
20	Thank you very much for the
21	opportunity to testify.
22	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you very
23	much.
24	Any questions?

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Steve
2	Englebright.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Yes, John.
4	Thank you for your 40 years of work. I
5	remember being in your office shortly after
6	you organized this extraordinary land trust
7	back in the early 1980s.
8	We hear your message, and I hope that
9	we are able to help. I find it ironic that
10	the Pine Barrens Society brought the lawsuit,
11	because they stand on your shoulders. Land
12	preservation was something that the Pine
13	Barrens Society made possible for obviously
14	the Pine Barrens, based in many ways upon the
15	model of respect for the land that your
16	initiatives began. But they are different,
17	and that difference should not divide. We'll
18	see what we can do.
19	Thank you for bringing the message
20	today. This is a priority. I know
21	Mr. Thiele was here before, but I know each
22	of us is hopeful that we can bring the two

kin together. You're both part of the same

family of conservation on Long Island. Both

23

1	the natural areas and the farmland areas
2	should not be divided. We'll see what we can
3	do.
4	MR. HALSEY: Well, I appreciate your
5	comments, Assemblyman Englebright. And we
6	share many passions and have lots of work to
7	do together, and anything that you can do to
8	keep our farms in farming and to keep
9	Long Island the great place it is the
10	agriculture, the natural resources that we
11	love so much. So thank you for your
12	dedication.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: We are the
14	crucible for much of the rest of the state.
15	When I go to Washington County, I see Teri
16	Ptacek and I realize that, my goodness, she
17	too is standing on the shoulders of your
18	work.
19	MR. HALSEY: Thank you.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: And the
21	great work they're doing in that upstate
22	county as well as elsewhere across the state.

We hear your message, we're going to go to

work on it. I hope we can find a way to

23

1	resolve these issues.
2	MR. HALSEY: Thank you.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Thank you
4	for your testimony.
5	MR. HALSEY: Yeah. Thank you.
6	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
7	Our next speaker is Evelyn Powers,
8	executive director of the Interstate
9	Environmental Commission.
10	MS. POWERS: Thank you. Thank you,
11	Senator Young, Assemblywoman Weinstein,
12	Senator O'Mara, Assemblyman Englebright, and
13	members of the legislative fiscal and
L 4	environmental committees.
15	Thank you for this opportunity to
16	offer testimony on behalf of the Interstate
17	Environmental Commission in regards to the
18	Governor's proposed budget for state fiscal
19	year 2018-2019. Thank you for your stamina
20	and staying here late into the night.
21	Firstly, the Interstate Environmental
22	Commission would like to commend Governor
23	Cuomo for continuing to demonstrate, through
2.4	the Executive Budget, and in particular

1	through the \$300 million continued funding of
2	the Environmental Protection Fund, his
3	commitment to protecting New York's
4	environment.
5	For those of you who don't know, the
6	Interstate Environmental Commission is a
7	tri-state water and air pollution control
8	agency primarily focused on water issues
9	committed to protecting, conserving, and
10	restoring New York's environment as I
11	said, particularly in the area of water
12	quality and conservation.
13	IEC's jurisdictional waters, as it
L 4	relates to New York, includes not only the
15	waters of New York Harbor, all the waters
16	abutting the five boroughs of New York City,
17	but extends up the Hudson River to the
18	Bear Mountain Bridge, includes the
19	Westchester County shoreline, along the
20	North Shore of Long Island, out to
21	Fort Jefferson and along the South Shore of
22	Long Island to Fire Island Inlet.

Our entire district is either New York or New York waters that are shared by

1	New York and neighboring states to New York
2	and New Jersey. Just as water knows no sea
3	boundaries, IEC is committed to taking a
4	regional approach to water quality management
5	and water quality projects, yet recognizes
6	that water quality protection needs vary
7	across our member states.

enhance environmental quality in the
Interstate Environmental District through
monitoring, cooperation, regulation,
coordination and mutual dialogue between
government agencies. The IEC aims to fulfill
this mission by strategically filling
geographical, temporal, and local gaps in
regional monitoring programs in coordination
with the states, the EPA, and our regional
partners.

I have a lot more details of our monitoring programs in the testimony, but I just want to highlight some programs and resources that I think are particularly of value to New York State. IEC has performed water quality monitoring in western

1	Long Island Sound every summer since 1991.
2	We monitor dissolved oxygen and other
3	water-quality parameters relevant to hypoxia
4	to assess the onset and duration of hypoxia
5	in western Long Island Sound.
6	In addition, IEC has the capability to
7	perform short-notice monitoring work. This
8	is an area that has been expanding recently.
9	IEC performs sampling, monitoring, and
10	analyses in response to regional
11	environmental emergencies, concerns, and
12	environmental disasters. In the recent past,
13	IEC has assisted local environmental agencies

hurricanes, extreme storms, et cetera.

In 2017, the summer of 2017, IEC

partnered with EPA, USGS, and New York City

Parks on a short-notice water-quality line

program along the Harlem River to assess

water quality in the vicinity of a proposed

public access site after a storm event.

IEC's ability to mobilize quickly and perform

and state agencies to determine environmental

impacts after natural or manmade events --

bypasses of wastewater treatment plants,

1	sampling and associated analyses quickly at
2	the request of state, local, and regional
3	partners makes IEC a valuable resource in
4	New York State.
5	We couldn't do this without our
6	laboratory. Since 1994, the commission has
7	had a laboratory located on the campus of the
8	College of Staten Island. Since 2000, the
9	laboratory has been accredited through the
10	National Environmental Laboratory Approval
11	Program. The IEC holds primary NELAP
12	accreditation through the New York State
13	Department of Health's ELAP program. The
14	commission's laboratory is dedicated to
15	producing technically defensible
16	environmental data through sound science and
17	a comprehensive quality control and quality
18	assurance program.
19	IEC performs inspections at
20	SPDES-permitted wastewater treatment
21	plants also known as water resource
22	recovery facilities industrial
23	dischargers, pump stations, and combined
24	sewer systems throughout our district.

2 coordination with the New York State 3 Department of Environmental Conservation 4 staff, include sampling and an inspection of 5 processes and equipment and a review of plan 6 records. IEC is frequently the only agency 7 to perform effluent compliance monitoring at
staff, include sampling and an inspection of processes and equipment and a review of plan records. IEC is frequently the only agency to perform effluent compliance monitoring at
processes and equipment and a review of plan records. IEC is frequently the only agency to perform effluent compliance monitoring at
records. IEC is frequently the only agency to perform effluent compliance monitoring at
7 to perform effluent compliance monitoring at
8 these permitted discharges. Thus IEC's
9 compliance monitoring is, in many cases, the
10 only independent verification of the data
11 reported by permittees on their discharge
12 monitoring reports.
One of the fastest expanding areas of
14 IEC is our Citizen Science program. This is
an area that has had great interest in and
16 around our jurisdiction. IEC promotes a

an area that has had great interest in and around our jurisdiction. IEC promotes a target area, such as the near-shore areas of Staten Island, that are not routinely monitored by regulatory agencies or other established monitoring programs, and focuses on parameters such as pathogens, which are of particular concern to the public as they relate to recreational water quality.

24 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Could you

1	summarize?
2	MS. POWERS: Yes. Will do.
3	Okay, sorry. So while IEC funding
4	primarily comes from other sources, primarily
5	the federal Clean Water Act funds, state
6	funding is critical in order to enable IEC to
7	meet the federal match requirement of
8	\$214,000. IEC also receives federal funding
9	from the Long Island Sound site through EPA
10	Region 1.
11	New York had a long history of
12	supporting the work of IEC until 2009, when
13	financial stresses caused the state to reduce
14	the funding to \$15,000. We're appreciative
15	that beginning last year, our funding was
16	increased to \$41,006, where it remains. But
17	what we're asking for is for all of our
18	states to fund IEC and the match required to
19	receive our federal 106 funds, in accordance
20	with the Tri-State Compact of 1936, which set
21	forth a funding structure of 45 percent from
22	New York, New Jersey, and 10 percent from
23	Connecticut. This amounts to \$96,323

CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Could you please

1	finish up? We have the testimony, we got it
2	in advance. So if you could please finish
3	up, that would be great.
4	MS. POWERS: So that is what we're
5	asking, for the funding to come out to be
6	increased to \$96,323, which would be
7	45 percent of the required match to meet our
8	federal match requirements to receive our
9	Clean Water Act funding.
10	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
11	MS. POWERS: Thank you.
12	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you.
13	MS. POWERS: Sorry, I'm a little
14	thank you for your patience.
15	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Our next speaker is
16	Brian Shapiro oh.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: You're
18	probably feeling like you're getting the
19	bum's rush. Let me just say that you're not.
20	I just want to invite you to stop by
21	my office, and hopefully I'll be able to have
22	a couple of my colleagues listen to these
23	concerns. I know that there's a war taking
24	place on science generally in the EPA.

1	Scientists are being fired because it's
2	somehow chic to do that. I'd like to talk
3	with you in a little more detail about ways
4	that the state can help continue your legacy
5	of service as an interstate agency.
6	MS. POWERS: I would be happy to.
7	I'll contact your office.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Thank you
9	very much.
10	MS. POWERS: Thank you very much.
11	Thank you.
12	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Our next speaker is
13	Brian Shapiro, New York State director of the
14	Humane Society of the United States. Not
15	here.
16	Adrienne Esposito, executive director,
17	Citizens Campaign for the Environment.
18	Adrienne here? Not here.
19	Mark Dunlea, chairperson of Green
20	Education and Legal Fund. And he is here.
21	Thank you for being here.
22	MR. DUNLEA: Thank you very much for
23	staying for so late. My name is Mark Dunlea,
24	and I'm chairperson of the Green Education

1 and Legal Fund.

I bring you somewhat good news in that the next speaker, Blair Horner, was unable to access the building, and therefore will not be speaking. So you free up some time.

I do know that Blair would have liked us to have mentioned we support the work on trying to deal with the issue of food waste, which I know Mr. Englebright has been quite active on. He certainly urges the state to look at the issue of stopping or abandoning plastic bags, which I know Senator Krueger just introduced some legislation on. And he has some additional information with respect to water.

I'm going to primarily focus my comments on energy. It was kind of hard to stomach some of the testimony we heard from the state officials. When we heard from the Public Service Commission that they were on track on renewable energy, when they claim that they have managed to add 4 percent from solar and wind to the state electricity system -- many of us actually think it's

1	3 percent. But even at 4 percent, we're
2	talking about 15 years ago, Governor Pataki
3	set a goal of adding 11 percent so we'd get
4	to 30 percent renewable energy by 2015. So
5	that was the goal, and we've managed
6	actually, seven years into the Cuomo
7	administration, to add three years.
8	So we appreciated a lot of the
9	questions that Mr. Englebright, Senator
10	Krueger added. You know, California is going
11	to hit 50 percent by 2020, 10 years faster
12	than we're doing. Texas is at 18 percent of
13	its energy right now is coming from
14	renewable, 17 percent coming from wind.
15	New Jersey, the governor of
16	New Jersey, in his first two weeks, issued
17	executive orders that surpassed what New York
18	State had done on offshore wind and renewable
19	energy in two weeks. That doesn't give us
20	much faith that New York State is really
21	taking the steps that are needed to move us
22	to a goal of 100 percent clean energy.
23	We're a little bit disappointed to
24	hear once again the study that my

1	organization requested a year and a half ago,
2	and which the Assembly supported in its
3	budget resolution two years ago, on how fast
4	that we're going to get to 100 percent clean
5	energy. We were told by the end of last year
6	that it would be by January, February, and
7	now it's by late spring. And I know that the
8	state constantly misses all its targets for
9	studies on renewable energy, but we do hope
10	that the Legislature will hold hearings on
11	this.
12	One concern is that our poor
13	performance on renewable energy really
14	hinders job growth in New York State.
15	Right now, clean energy jobs produce 13 times
16	more jobs than the fossil fuel industry.
17	That's according to the United States
18	Department of Energy. And a recent study
19	showed that New York was to be with what
20	the Governor was talking about, 50 percent of
21	the state electricity from renewables by
22	2030, we would be adding 145,000 to

160,000 jobs per year. We cannot continue to

deprive our state of so many jobs.

23

1	I was a little bit concerned to hear
2	that they think we're going to solve our
3	problem by offshore wind, an issue that we've
4	been pushing for years. We support
5	40 percent of the state's energy coming from
6	offshore wind. A two-year power purchase
7	agreement for 400 megawatts of offshore wind
8	is not going to cut it.
9	And in Europe, you know, their last
10	RFP for offshore wind came in at 5.5 cents
11	per kilowatt hour. They didn't even need any
12	subsidy any longer. And the little project
13	we're doing in Long Island is coming in at
14	16.5 cents per kilowatt hour, after we look
15	at the subsidies.
16	And what Statoil was going to build
17	off Long Island, they're going to build it in
18	Europe, because we have not invested in the
19	infrastructure to build these type of
20	facilities. So we are transporting our jobs,
21	once again, to Europe.
22	One other local issue is you guys last

year allocated \$88 million to build two

fracked-gas turbines in the Sheridan Avenue

23

1	neighborhood, which is about three blocks
2	from here. This neighborhood for 100 years
3	has been getting air pollution in order to
4	power this building, or the State Capitol and
5	now this building.
6	If the state is committed to
7	100 percent clean energy, wouldn't this be a
8	good idea to make this a model program? Not
9	put this neighborhood for another 30 years of
10	dealing with burning fracked gas from
11	Pennsylvania I don't understand how
12	fracked gas from Pennsylvania is a local
13	energy solution but instead, explore
14	things like geothermal and solar and really
15	make this a model.
16	You know, we support 100 percent clean
17	energy by 2030. As I said, we do hope when
18	the long-delayed preliminary study from
19	NYSERDA comes out, that you in fact do a
20	hearing on it.
21	I will note that in 2009, where most
22	of the Governor's present policies come from,

an executive order by David Paterson, it was

required that the state establish a climate

23

1	action plan to set real benchmarks and
2	guidelines on how we're going to move to
3	clean energy. The Governor reissued that
4	executive order, there is a draft climate
5	action plan on the DEC website. We think
6	it's time to actually finish the work that we
7	started in 2009 and not have places like
8	Texas have six times as much action on
9	renewable energy than we are.
10	And I think I don't know if I
11	mentioned Senator Krueger's bill, which we
12	hope you put in the budget that it is time
13	to divest the state pension plan from fossil
14	fuels. It would be very nice to see
15	Governor Cuomo come out in support of that in
16	December. However, it's not his decision.
17	We wish he had put it into his Executive
18	Budget, but we hope you will each of your
19	one-house budget resolutions include it.
20	New York City has done it; it would be great
21	if New York State would do it.
22	Thank you very much for your time, and
23	thank you for staying so long for this.
24	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. Thank

- 1 you for staying.
- 2 Any questions? Okay, thank you very
- 3 much.
- 4 Our next speaker is Executive Director
- 5 Libby Post, New York State Animal Protection
- 6 Federation. Welcome.
- 7 MS. POST: Thank you. I'm going to be
- 8 really brief. I know that sounds funny
- 9 coming from me, because you're going on to,
- 10 what, hour 11, I guess? So it's time to go
- 11 home.
- 12 So thank you all for staying. I
- 13 represent all the animal shelters in the
- 14 State of New York, all the dogs and cats and
- 15 puppies and kittens. And today we had a
- 16 great announcement by the Governor and by
- 17 Deborah Glick and Phil Boyle and the
- 18 commissioner of Agriculture -- the \$5 million
- 19 Companion Animal Capital Fund that was
- included in last year's budget has been
- 21 awarded. And Senator Young, you'll be happy
- 22 to know that the SPCA in Cattaraugus County
- got some money.
- 24 CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Yes, they did.

1	MS. POST: There are shelters from all
2	over the state that are getting money, but
3	this is the deal. There were 29 applications
4	for \$13 million. There was only \$5 million
5	in the fund. The reappropriation of that
6	money is in this year's budget, but we need
7	another \$5 million put into the budget for
8	next year. So the money that's in there now
9	just got awarded, and now we need to do
10	another \$5 million. I'm hoping that you all
11	will work to make that happen.
12	There have been letters that have been
13	circulated again by Deborah Glick in the
14	Assembly and Phil Boyle in the Senate. A
15	number of you have signed onto those letters,
16	and we're going to continue to work over the
17	next month to make sure that that
18	\$5 million and hopefully you will do that
19	as well gets put into the budget. Because
20	there is a need, there's a tremendous need
21	for capital improvement projects at animal
22	shelters.
23	And I invite you all in your spare

time to go down to Menands and see the new

1	shelter the Mohawk-Hudson Humane Society has
2	done. It's a beautiful facility, and it's an
3	example of what can be done with this kind of
4	funding.
5	Everything else in here, you can read
6	about it. The rest of our legislative
7	agenda, our folks will be here on Tuesday
8	walking around talking to you guys.
9	And so I appreciate your time. Now
10	it's time to almost go home. So thank you
11	very much, and have a great evening.
12	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Any questions?
13	MS. POST: Anybody got any questions?
14	Good. Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Thank you. Great.
16	And our final speaker of the evening
17	is President Geoff Baldwin, New York Water
18	Environment Association.
19	MR. BALDWIN: And thank you all for
20	staying and for having me. I promise to
21	finish this strong, because I'm going to
22	touch on many of the topics that you've heard
23	on, and I'm going to do it much faster than
24	five minutes.

1	So first off, I want to thank you all
2	and really commend you for making New York
3	the national leader in clean water
4	infrastructure funding. Starting with the
5	Water Infrastructure Investment Act of 2015,
6	the \$400 million in grants over three years,
7	and the \$2.5 million over seven years, it's
8	amazing it really shows the commitment of
9	the Legislature and the Governor to clean
10	water.

What I'd like to do, though, is reinforce a little bit that the need doesn't stop there. That is going to make a great dent in the \$80 billion of need for state of good repair and known regulations that we currently operate under, but what it doesn't do is invest in other smart investments such as human capital resource recovery, waste to energy, net zero greenhouse gas reduction, and resiliency of our facilities.

So we're going to need to do more.

The need is still there. We don't expect the

New York State Legislature to do it all. You

know, the communities are definitely willing

1	to put up their fair share. But we really
2	want to work with you and with your
3	counterparts in Washington on these issues
4	because, like I said, these needs are great
5	and there is a lot of benefit from doing many
6	of the things that we haven't really been
7	able to do yet.

All my normal facts and figures are in the written testimony, so I won't go into any of those. But I would just like to say there are some few things that I'd like to touch on that maybe we didn't write down too clearly.

There are some creative solutions that go beyond just funding financing -- things like easier access to public-private partnerships, changes to some civil service regulations and rules, the flexibility to do contracting in more creative ways such as design-build, reducing the need to follow the Wicks Law, and other things of that nature.

And the ability to sell biogas from treatment plants and other anaerobic digestion facilities, you know, potentially bringing in and mixing with some of these

1	clean energy needs as well as some of the
2	needs of our farmers.
3	So with that, I think I'll leave it,
4	unless there are any questions.
5	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: Any questions?
6	Well, thank you so much.
7	MR. BALDWIN: Thank you very much.
8	Thanks for staying.
9	CHAIRWOMAN YOUNG: That concludes our
10	hearing today.
11	I want to thank all the members and
12	all the speakers for staying so long, and
13	this concludes the hearings for 2018-2019.
L 4	So thanks, everyone.
15	(Whereupon, the budget hearing
16	concluded at 9:01 p.m.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	