1 BEFORE THE NEW YORK STATE SENATE FINANCE AND ASSEMBLY WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEE 2 \_\_\_\_\_ 3 JOINT LEGISLATIVE HEARING 4 In the Matter of the 2019-2020 EXECUTIVE BUDGET ON 5 HUMAN SERVICES 6 \_\_\_\_\_ 7 Hearing Room B Legislative Office Building Albany, New York 8 9 January 24, 2019 9:42 a.m. 10 11 PRESIDING: 12 Senator Liz Krueger Chair, Senate Finance Committee 13 Assemblywoman Helene E. Weinstein 14 Chair, Assembly Ways & Means Committee 15 PRESENT: 16 Senator James L. Seward Senate Finance Committee (RM) 17 Assemblyman William A. Barclay 18 Assembly Ways & Means Committee (RM) Assemblywoman Ellen Jaffee 19 Chair, Assembly Children and Families Committee 20 Senator Velmanette Montgomery 21 Chair, Senate Committee on Children 22 and Families 23 Assemblyman Andrew Hevesi Chair, Assembly Committee on Social Services 24

1	2019-2020 Executive Budget Human Services	
2	1-24-19	
3	PRESENT:	(Continued)
4		Senator Roxanne Persaud Chair, Senate Committee on Social Services
5		Assemblyman Harry B. Bronson
6		Chair, Assembly Committee on Aging
7		Senator Rachel May
8		Chair, Senate Committee on Aging
9		Assemblywoman Didi Barrett Chair, Assembly Committee on Veterans' Affairs
10		Senator John E. Brooks
11		Chair, Senate Committee on Veterans, Homeland Security and Military Affairs
12		Assemblywoman Nily Rozic
13		Senator Diane J. Savino
14		Assemblyman Simcha Eisenstein
15		Senator Sue Serino
16		Assemblywoman Patricia Fahy
17		Senator Brian A. Benjamin
18		Assemblywoman Tremaine Wright
19		Senator Leroy Comrie
20		Assemblyman Marcos A. Crespo
21		Senator Gustavo Rivera
22		Assemblywoman Inez E. Dickens
23		Senator Robert E. Antonacci
24		Assemblyman Al Taylor

1	2019-2020 Executive Budget Human Services		
2	1-24-19		
3	PRESENT: (Continued)		
4	Assemblywoman Michaelle C.	Solages	
5	Assemblyman Billy Jones		
6			
7	LIST OF SPEAKERS		
8		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
9	Sheila J. Poole Acting Commissioner		
10	NYS Office of Children and Family Services	10	16
11	Barbara Guinn		
12	NYS Office of Temporary		
13	and Disability Assistance	131	140
14	Greg Olsen Acting Director		
15	NYS Office for the Aging	221	228
16	Beth Finkel State Director		
17	AARP New York	297	304
18	Gail Myers Deputy Director		
19	New York StateWide Senior Action Council	308	314
20	Ariel Savransky		011
21	Advocacy and Policy Advisor UJA-Federation of New York	316	322
22	Connie Neal		
23	Executive Director NYS Coalition Against		
24	Domestic Violence	326	333

1 2	2019-2020 Executive Budget Human Services 1-24-19		
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS,	Continued	
4		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
5	Ann Marie Maglione Legislative Chair		
6	Association on Aging in New York	341	
7 8	Laura Mascuch Executive Director		
9	Supportive Housing Network of New York	347	352
10	Dede Hill Policy Director		
11	Schuyler Center for Analysis & Advocacy	356	362
12	Kathleen Brady-Stepien		
13 14	Assoc. Executive Director Council of Family and Child Caring Agencies	367	372
15	David Ng		
16	Government and External Relations Manager Human Services Council		
17	of New York	380	385
18	Kirby Hannon Legislative Coordinator		
19	NYS Veterans Council -and-		
20	Art C. Cody Deputy Director		
21	Veterans Defense Program New York State Defenders		200
22	Association, Inc.	386	392
23 24	Robert Horwitz Cofounder and Trustee Redlich Horwitz Foundation	399	404

1	2019-2020 Executive Budget Human Services		
2	1-24-19		
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS,	Continued	
4		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
5	Meredith Chimento Executive Director		
6	Early Care & Learning Council	405	
7	Kelly Sturgis Executive Director		
8		410	415
9	Gerard Wallace Director		
10	Ryan Johnson Regional Coordinator		
11		416	421
12	Camille Mackler	410	421
13	Director of Immigration Legal Policy		
14		428	434
15	Melissa Sklarz Senior Government Relations		
16		438	
17		430	
18		4.4.0	
19	Prevent Child Abuse New York	442	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So good 2 morning. If we can get started. 3 I'm Helene Weinstein, chair of the 4 New York State Assembly's Ways and Means 5 Committee and cochair of today's hearing. Today we begin the second in a series 6 7 of hearings conducted by the joint fiscal committees of the Legislature regarding the 8 Governor's proposed budget for fiscal year 9 10 2019-2020. The hearings are conducted pursuant to the New York State Constitution 11 12 and the Legislative Law. 13 Today the Assembly Ways and Means 14 Committee and the Senate Finance Committee 15 will hear testimony concerning the Governor's 16 budget proposals for human services. 17 I will now introduce members from the Assembly, and Senator Krueger, chair of the 18 19 Senate Finance Committee, will introduce 20 members from the Senate. And in addition, 21 our ranking Ways and Means member, Will 22 Barclay, will introduce members from his conference. 23 24 So we have with us today, to my left,

1 Assemblywoman Jaffee, chair of our Children 2 and Families Committee; Assemblyman Andrew 3 Hevesi, chair of our Social Services 4 Committee; Assemblywoman Didi Barrett, chair 5 of our Veterans Committee; Assemblyman Bronson, chair of our Aging Committee; 6 7 Assemblywoman Nily Rozic. And then on the lower dais, Assemblyman Al Taylor, 8 Assemblyman Marcos Crespo, and Assemblywoman 9 10 Pat Fahy. 11 I see no minority members, just Will 12 holding up the fort for us. 13 Before introducing our first witness, 14 I just want to remind -- oh, yes, and Senator 15 Krueger to introduce Senators. 16 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Sorry. 17 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: I did say you were going to. 18 19 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I'm sorry. 20 Hi. Good morning, everyone. So I'm 21 Senator Liz Krueger, chair of the Finance 22 Committee. I'm joined by Senator Velmanette 23 Montgomery, the chair of the Children and 24 Families Committee; Senator Roxanne Persaud,

1 the chair of the Social Services Committee; 2 Senator May, chair of the Aging Committee; 3 Senator Brian Benjamin, chair of the Budget 4 and Revenue Committee; Senator Diane Savino, 5 chair of the Committee on Technology and other related things, and I forget the three 6 7 words. Close enough. 8 SENATOR SAVINO: Amazon. 9 (Laughter.) 10 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay. And also I'm joined by Senator Seward, the ranker for 11 12 Finance, and he'll introduce his members. 13 SENATOR SEWARD: Yes. Thank you, 14 Senator Krueger. This morning we're joined by Senator 15 Bob Antonacci, member of our Finance 16 17 Committee. 18 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you all 19 for being here with us. 20 So before introducing the first 21 witness, I'd like to remind all the witnesses 22 testifying today to keep your statement within your allotted time limit so that 23 24 everyone can be afforded the opportunity to

1 speak.

2	And I want to remind witnesses that we
3	do have your submitted testimony that had
4	been emailed to us, and that will be made
5	part of the record, so there's no need to
6	actually read your testimony verbatim. The
7	more time we have for questions, the better.
8	And just to point out for members and
9	for witnesses who may have been here before,
10	we have some new countdown clocks. They have
11	nice green, yellow and red lights. When the
12	yellow light comes on, that means you have
13	one minute left. And when the red light
14	flashes and the bell rings, it's time to
15	leave the stage.
16	So thank you, and we're going to begin
17	our hearing I see that Senator Rivera has
18	joined us.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: And we're going
21	to begin our hearing with the State Office of
22	Children and Family Services, Acting
23	Commissioner Sheila Poole.
24	Commissioner.

1 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Thank you. 2 Good morning, everyone. Chairwoman Krueger, 3 Chairwoman Weinstein, Senate Children and 4 Families Committee Chairwoman Montgomery, 5 Assembly Children and Families Committee Chairwoman Jaffee, and distinguished members 6 7 of the Senate and Assembly, my name is Sheila Poole, and I have the honor of being the 8 acting commissioner of the New York State 9 10 Office of Children and Family Services. And 11 I'm pleased to have this opportunity to 12 discuss this year's proposed state budgets for OCFS. 13 14 This year's Executive Budget continues

15 funding for OCFS's core programs -- child 16 welfare, childcare, and juvenile justice --17 while making strategic investments in new 18 programs to better serve New York's children 19 and families. The Executive Budget continues 20 funding for the Foster Care Block Grant at 21 \$383.5 million. The statewide number of 22 children in foster care continues to decline, 23 and it is at a record low of approximately 24 16,000 youth. The proposed budget maintains

New York's historic investment in uncapped
 child welfare preventive services, which play
 a significant role in contributing to
 New York's declining number of children in
 foster care, despite the devastating opioid
 crisis facing our state and nation.

7 As many of you know, last year the federal government enacted the Family First 8 Prevention Services Act. This new law will 9 10 require local social service districts and 11 foster care agencies to adopt and implement 12 new policies and practices encouraging more 13 placement with relatives and foster parents 14 and reducing reliance on the use of 15 institutional care. This act presents 16 tremendous opportunities, along with a number 17 of challenges for New York to comply with the 18 law.

19An important strategy to assist OCFS20and our partners in preparing for these21changes is the proposed creation of a Family22First Transition Fund, a public-private23partnership that will create a flexible24system of funds for local social service

districts that will help them identify and better support kinship and foster families. The Governor's proposed budget calls for a \$3 million investment in developing these innovative solutions to recruit and better support our foster and kinship families across the state.

8 The Executive Budget also includes a 9 historic \$832 million in funding to access 10 quality childcare, the largest investment to 11 date.

12 The proposed budget includes funding 13 to implement the new market rates and to take 14 additional steps in order to comply with the 15 Child Care and Development Block Grant Act of 16 2014. We need the Legislature's support in 17 passing an Article VII bill that will provide 18 OCFS with the authority to enact required 19 background check and inspection requirements. Failure to have this bill enacted will result 20 21 in a fiscal penalty to the state's federal 22 childcare funding.

As cochair of the Governor's ChildcareAvailability Task Force, I am pleased to

1 report that work is underway in examining new 2 ideas for expanding access to affordable 3 childcare across our state. I am confident 4 that the group of providers, advocates and 5 childcare experts serving on the task force will bring forth new and bold ideas. And I'd 6 7 like to acknowledge Assemblywoman Jaffee for her participation as a member of the task 8 force. 9

10 In that spirit, the Executive Budget proposal calls for the Regional Economic 11 12 Development Councils to develop 13 region-specific strategies to increase access 14 to reliable childcare. The budget also 15 proposes creating a tax credit for businesses 16 that provide child and dependent care for 17 families and their employees. To help 18 childcare workers improve their skills and 19 advance their careers, the Governor's 20 proposed budget proposes offering free 21 tuition in the form of a childcare worker 22 scholarship at SUNY and CUNY schools for individuals who work in state-licensed 23 24 childcare facilities at least 20 hours a

1 week.

2	And to help eliminate childcare as a
3	barrier to advancing education and
4	comprehensive employment, the Governor has
5	proposed a pilot program on two-year SUNY and
6	CUNY campuses for up to 400 parents to
7	receive on-campus childcare and other
8	supports for three years. The program seeks
9	to transition parents to a four-year school
10	and promote college graduation.
11	The Governor's proposal increases
12	funding for after-school programs by
13	\$20 million. This includes \$10 million each
14	for the Advantage After-School Program and
15	the Empire State After-School Program. This
16	is on top of \$45 million invested in those
17	programs last year. The additional funding
18	for the Advantage After-School Program will
19	allow those not-for-profits to increase wages
20	for their after-school program staff. And as
21	we all know, after-school programs give
22	parents peace of mind while providing a safe
23	and nurturing environment for children to
24	spend their time after school.

1 The Governor's budget proposal 2 abolishes the requirement that domestic 3 violence survivors seeking shelter apply for 4 public assistance or pay a fee to receive 5 these services. 6 I am also pleased to report that a 7 group of Executive state agencies has been working hard with stakeholders and advocates 8 on ways to streamline and enhance the state's 9 10 delivery of domestic violence services. 11 The enacted Raise the Age legislation 12 eliminated state funding for persons in need 13 of supervision, or PINS youth, in detention 14 and PINS placements effective January 1, 15 2020. This year's Executive Budget proposes 16 to ban these practices to improve outcomes 17 for children and eliminate an unfunded mandate for counties. No child should be 18 19 placed in detention for noncriminal activity, 20 and it's my hope that this is the year that 21 New York will end this practice. 22 As you know, on October 1st of 2018 New York State achieved the momentous 23

24 accomplishment of removing all 16- and

1 17-year-olds from Rikers Island and 2 implemented Raise the Age for 16-year-olds. 3 The Executive Budget proposal builds upon the 4 successful implementation of the first phase 5 of Raise the Age, and it includes \$200 million in funding to support the 6 7 implementation of Raise the Age for 8 17-year-olds as well as a reappropriation of last year's funding. This funding supports 9 10 the Governor's pledge to provide 100 percent of Raise the Age-related costs for counties 11 12 that are under the tax cap or those with 13 fiscal hardship. 14 Thank you again for the opportunity to address you all today, and I look forward to 15 16 your comments and questions. 17 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you, 18 Commissioner. 19 We're going right away to the Assembly 20 chair of Children and Families, Ellen Jaffee, 21 for 10 minutes of questions and answers. 22 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you. 23 Thank you, Commissioner, for joining 24 us today, for sharing this information. And

1 you've been so open. I'm pleased that you 2 attended our hearing regarding the issues of 3 the federal law that is going into effect, 4 and for sharing information. 5 The Executive has an appropriation of \$130 million for the Child Care and 6 7 Development Block Grant and anticipates receiving at least \$96 million for this 8 grant. How will the Office of Children and 9 10 Family Services utilize these funds, and how much will go into implementation for 11 12 childcare subsidies, background checks, 13 training support? Because the language 14 really only requires no less than \$10 million of these funds to be allocated for subsidized 15 childcare. 16 17 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Sure. 18 So the funding that is included in the 19 budget anticipates us being able to implement 20 the market rates continuing at the 69th 21 percentile, which holds New York among the top, still, in the country. It will allow us 22 to implement the training provisions as part 23 24 of the health and safety requirements that go

1 along with the law.

2	We have funding set aside within that,
3	assuming that our Article VII bill passes
4	this year, that will allow us to take the
5	necessary steps to implement both the
6	background check requirements in addition to
7	the clearance requirements that we must
8	we're out of compliance with now,
9	Assemblywoman, as you are aware of. So that
10	funding stream will set the course for us to
11	implement market rate and to do the early
12	steps of signaling to the feds our sincere
13	commitment to implementing CCDBG.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: And we
15	hopefully will be able to move forward with
16	that legislation. We have attempted to do
17	that in the past. But I'd like to sit down
18	and review that as well.
19	We have been informed also that and
20	there are now conversations that the budget
21	includes an increase
22	(Calls of "mic.")
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: It's not on?
24	Is this better? Can you hear me better?

1 Okay, sorry.

2	The Executive Budget includes an
3	increase, and it's a conversation that we've
4	had, of \$27 million for the childcare
5	subsidies, which as you know for several
6	years we've discussed this concern as to can
7	we provide sufficient funding within the
8	context of the childcare subsidies.
9	Can you provide more details on what
10	this increase supports? Will it go towards
11	increasing slots in childcare or others? And
12	what is the estimate, as well, as to how much
13	it would cost to provide all eligible
14	children with the childcare program?
15	ACTING COMMISSIONER POOLE: So that
16	funding is intended to help us implement the
17	market rate provision. So that will again
18	keep us at 69 percent. We did not want to
19	reduce our eligibility subsidy amount that we
20	provide to providers. And as we've discussed
21	the challenges that we face, Assemblywoman,
22	in here for a number of years now around
23	CCDBG, while the federal government has
24	provided states with initial funding to help

1 do some of the basic health and safety 2 provisions -- the things like the background 3 checks and inspections and the training 4 pieces that are provided -- you know, we have not received the close to half a billion 5 dollars that it would take for New York State 6 7 to fully comply with the 12-month guarantee 8 and the graduated phaseout. And so we know we have sort of an 9

10 infrastructure financing problem, like all 11 states do, in implementing the full 12 provisions of CCDBG. And that is why the Governor recognized that we need a group of 13 14 folks to help us think very boldly and 15 differently about how do we tap into the Regional Economic Development Councils, which 16 17 you yourself and others have raised here for a number of years. 18

19And as you heard me say in my20testimony, that that is now an expectation:21There has to be a nexus between business22startup and recognizing your workers can't23get to work unless they have access to24childcare.

1 So it's actually going to be the work of that task force -- as you know, you're a 2 3 part of -- to really think very creatively 4 about bringing in other partners who can help 5 us. We don't expect that the feds -- we'd welcome it, but we haven't received that kind 6 7 of infusion of the dollars needed to really get us to full scale of meeting all the 8 subsidy need in New York State. 9 10 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: It's so essential that -- the market rate, you know, 11 12 consideration. We need to increase that --13 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Absolutely. 14 I agree. 15 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: -- perhaps to 16 75th percentile, the level recommended by the federal government. But we do need to 17 18 certainly focus on increasing subsidies. 19 There are too many of our youth in 20 particularly difficult situations, and 21 enabling families to work, you know, it's a 22 -- as was noted, it's economic development, assuring that families are working and 23 24 businesses have stability with their workers,

1 and then children are provided the background 2 and social skills and even academic ability 3 to move forward in a positive way. So the 4 childcare and providing the subsidies is so 5 very essential for their future and actually 6 the future of the state in so many ways.

7 And we do need to, you know, continue to expand -- maybe include \$100 million to 8 9 offset the added expenses incurred by the 10 counties in many of the other issues regarding, let's say, Raise the Age. How can 11 12 we provide, you know, more support? How many 13 youths are being transferred from adult to youth facilities? That's another issue of 14 15 concern. So I'm hopeful that we can support 16 our communities with Raise the Age as well, 17 to support that.

18There's a -- within -- the Executive19proposal contains Article VII language20prohibiting the use of detention and21placement for persons in need of supervision,22known as PINS. Is the intent of the PINS23youth in their homes -- what options are24there if the home setting is deemed unsafe

and there's no alternative option from a
 relative? How do we respond to that?
 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: That's a
 great question. So I would sort of first
 start by setting the table about so what is
 the PINS sort of picture across the state
 right now.

So we've seen the number of PINS kids 8 9 fortunately drop, both those kids going into 10 detention as well as those kids penetrating 11 further downstream into placement. And so 12 PINS kids are kids who have not committed a 13 crime, they have not been arrested, and yet 14 our laws still allow them to be put into 15 detention. And detention is just that, it's 16 detention.

And so in the spirit of all the criminal justice and youth justice reform that our state has done, we believe and it was sort of codified in the Raise the Age legislation that there is a better way to provide services to those kids who need services.

24

So PINS kids, there's no doubt, right,

1 they've come to the attention of the system, 2 whether it's truancy or running away or other 3 sort of misbehaviors that teenagers engage 4 in. And so, you know, we have funded a 5 number of PINS reform efforts across the state for a number of years now. 6 7 Also, Assemblywoman, by removing the funding provisions for detention and 8 placement, you know, counties should have the 9 10 49 percent that they are required now -- it's local outlay -- to put into detention, as 11 12 well as 50 percent of their share for placement to potentially reinvest into 13 14 additional diversion services. 15 The other thing that is part of the 16 budget is the STSJP, Supervision and 17 Treatment Services for Juveniles Program. Again, the funding is \$8.5 million, roughly. 18 19 We are opening up STSJP funding. Again, the 20 idea of that funding is you take money that 21 you used to spend on detention and we allow 22 you to reinvest it in detention alternatives and PINS replacement services. So we believe 23 24 there are a lot of opportunities there.

1 I would also say that in the Executive 2 Budget -- not in OCFS's budget but in DCJS's budget, there is a proposed \$10 million 3 4 investment for further youth services across 5 the state. So there is money -- there's money there. Soon we at OCFS will be 6 7 announcing awards of \$5.5 million for a work connection program for young people between 8 the ages of 14 and 24 -- again, to try and 9 10 reach those disconnected youth who haven't achieved their high school diploma. We want 11 12 to get to those children, help them try and 13 create a better path to education and then to 14 jobs. 15 So again, I believe that we have a 16 number of funding streams available for

17 counties to tap into to fill in where there 18 might be gaps in their continuum of 19 alternative and diversion services.

20 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you. I'm 21 really running out of time, but we do want to 22 follow up actually with some questions and 23 discussions about survivors of domestic 24 violence, and also continue to discuss

1 increasing funding for our childcare 2 providers, our subsidies. We need to 3 continue to raise that level so that we can 4 assure that so many families and youth are 5 being cared for appropriately within the context of childcare as well as our foster 6 7 care and kinship programs. And my time is up. But thank you so 8 much, Commissioner. 9 10 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 11 Before I go to the Senate, I just want to 12 introduce two members from the Assembly who 13 have joined us, Assemblywoman Dickens and 14 Assemblyman Eichenstein. CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Hi. We've also 15 16 been joined by Senator John Brooks. 17 And our first questioner will be Senator Velmanette Montgomery. 18 19 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Good morning, 20 Commissioner. Welcome. Thank you very much. 21 And I've been trying to read along with you 22 as you're presenting your comments. And so 23 if I may, I just wanted to ask you a couple 24 of questions related to the issues that you

1 raised.

2	First of all, you mentioned the Family
3	First Transition Fund, and it's \$3 million.
4	That's relatively small. But I'm just
5	curious, how will you handle that fund, and
6	is New York City part of that, will New York
7	City be eligible to receive funding for that
8	too?
9	OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes. Yes.
10	So the intention of the fund is that we would
11	allow all counties to apply to us with a
12	plan. The intention of that fund is to
13	really create a lot of our funding
14	streams, because they are driven by federal
15	dollars, can sometimes be inflexible and not
16	allow sometimes the simplest of supports to
17	be able to be made available to localities
18	and to agencies. So the whole idea is to
19	create a relatively flexible pool of dollars
20	that will allow local departments of social
21	services and as well as foster care agencies
22	to help eligible potential kinship and
23	relative caregivers let's say that they
24	want to become a certified kinship foster

1 home but there's an element of their home 2 setting that doesn't quite meet the 3 requirements that would allow them to become 4 certified. The idea is that a county could 5 apply for a fund to allow them, let's say, to install smoke detectors or fire extinguishers 6 7 or things that could help them cross the threshold into becoming a certified foster 8 9 parent.

10 Because that's the whole idea of 11 Families First, is that we widen the net, 12 right, and that we do a better job of 13 supporting kinship as well as foster families 14 and serving New York's foster care children. 15 So we envision it to be an application 16 process so that counties come to us, right, 17 and say, This is how we plan to do it, and 18 this is how we believe this will help us 19 achieve the fundamental goals of Families 20 First.

21 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: And so you will 22 evaluate those plans, those applications, and 23 based on some standards that you're going to 24 set forth --

2 that's the idea. 3 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: And will we be 4 able to know what the counties are actually 5 proposing to do so that we can evaluate, to some extent, how -- to what extent they are 6 7 absolutely following the guidelines and promoting this program? 8 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes. Yes, 9 10 we will. 11 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: I appreciate 12 that. The other area that I wanted to ask 13 14 you about, you talked about the Childcare 15 Availability Task Force. And of course my 16 assumption is that you will come up with some 17 recommendations, identify some areas and 18 whatnot. 19 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Absolutely. 20 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: When do you 21 anticipate that to be available for us to use

OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes. Yes,

1

22

23 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes. Yes,24 absolutely. So it is the intention, to your

as some guide to what we do from here?

1 very point, for the task force to develop 2 recommendations. We have not set a dead stop 3 for when that work is happening. I can tell 4 you, though, that there are five workgroups 5 underway right now, actually this afternoon. We have a subcommittee meeting, so one of 6 7 them is around access and affordability, another is around the workforce issue 8 affecting childcare providers, the other is 9 10 engaging the business community, another one 11 is on quality of care. So we've got 12 well-populated work groups working really 13 diligently to come up with those ideas and 14 recommendations.

15 There is obviously a sense of urgency, 16 right, knowing that we hear from every corner 17 of the state how important childcare is. So 18 I would expect certainly by this spring or 19 early summer that we have a robust set of 20 recommendations to be sharing with everyone. 21 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Is there any way 22 to know -- I'm always very concerned about the diversity of the representation, and 23

24 there are some pockets of the state --

1 communities, specifically -- that are often 2 not part of these kinds of discussions. 3 So it would be interesting to see to 4 what extent it's diverse and also regionally 5 as well as other -- you know, the areas of the programs that are being served. And it's 6 7 8 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: I think 9 that's an excellent point. And we can 10 certainly share, again, the members of the task force. But there was a lot of care 11 12 taken, Senator, to make sure that childcare 13 in the North Country, right, is as 14 represented as childcare in Rockland County 15 and New York City as well. So I think we 16 have --17 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: And Brooklyn. 18 (Laughter.) 19 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: -- a good 20 representative across the state as well as 21 representing the diversity that we celebrate 22 in New York. 23 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Great, thank you. 24 I appreciate that. And I'm happy that you're doing it, because I have faith and confidence
 in your doing that.

3 There was another area that I'm 4 curious about that the Governor has proposed 5 something -- I forget -- I'm not seeing what 6 he calls it. But it's some sort of pilot 7 program for CUNY and SUNY childcare, is that -- and it's to serve 400 parents. But 8 9 we have SUNY and CUNY childcare. It is an 10 institutional program.

11 So I'm trying to figure out what is 12 this that we don't already have, and why 13 can't we add to what already exists? We know 14 that it's there. I think every SUNY campus 15 has one. I hope every CUNY campus has one. 16 And this goes back many years that the 17 Legislature and the Executive have supported 18 this. Why are we now doing a pilot, and what 19 is that all about? What's the purpose of 20 this? I'm missing something. 21 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: I think,

22 Senator, it's intended to expand the

23 opportunities for those parents on the

24 SUNY/CUNY campuses.

1 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: I see. So this 2 is just a new group of parents who will now 3 be eligible for participation, is that what 4 we're talking --OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: I believe 5 that was the intention, Senator. 6 7 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Okay. I hope so. Because I didn't understand, I didn't think 8 9 we wanted to create a new program that runs 10 beside something that already exists. So 11 thank you for that clarification. 12 And that's 10 million, is that -- do you have a number for that? 13 14 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: You know, I 15 don't want to misspeak, Senator. I'll have 16 to go back and check on the fiscal on that. 17 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Okay. Okay, very 18 well. Thank you. 19 I quess let me just check my time. 20 I'm happy that you emphasize Raise the Age, 21 because that's a really big -- that is a 22 revolutionary transition, I think, or the beginning -- not the beginning, but it's part 23 24 of the change in the way that we treat young

1 people in our state.

2	But Raise the Age is only one part of
3	it. I note that you say that the Governor
4	has proposed \$200 million in funding for
5	Raise the Age. Does New York City receive
6	any of that? Are we eligible for that in New
7	York City? Because I think we have the
8	largest Raise the Age program, do we?
9	OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: So the way
10	the Raise the Age law was enacted, Senator,
11	it has additional provisions that those who
12	are seeking reimbursement, the 100 percent
13	reimbursement that's offered as part of the
14	Raise the Age, those must be counties that
15	comply with the property tax cap or be able
16	to prove a fiscal hardship. So those were
17	agreements that were made as part of the
18	Raise the Age bill that was enacted.
19	And so, you know, to date New York
20	City has not submitted a Raise the Age plan
21	to the state. But there's nothing that would
22	preclude the city from submitting a plan.
23	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Wow. So New York
24	City did not submit a plan. Is that because

1 there's particular problems that we have, or 2 how do they justify that? 3 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Senator, I 4 can't speak for New York City. I can just 5 tell you that --SENATOR MONTGOMERY: You don't know. 6 7 I'll have to ask them. OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yeah. And 8 again, there's no -- you know, they are free 9 10 to, any county who has not yet submitted a plan -- there are a few others who have not 11 12 yet submitted a proposed plan, but they can 13 do that at any time. 14 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Does that mean or 15 are you able to give us any idea where we 16 are, based on Raise the Age --17 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes. SENATOR MONTGOMERY: -- even though 18 19 they haven't submitted a plan? Or at least 20 statewide, you have plans. 21 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Sure. Yeah, I'm happy to --22 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Can you tell us 23 24 anything about New York City at all?

1 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: So I can 2 tell you about New York City and I can also 3 share, you know, just what we're seeing in 4 the early stages of implementation of Raise 5 the Age.

6 So it's only been, right, 90 days or 7 so since October 1st. So the first thing I 8 would say is that the intensive preparatory 9 work that we and counties have done across 10 the state I think really did lay a solid 11 foundation so that we are prepared for 12 16-year-olds who are coming into the system.

13 So we stood up additional specialized 14 secure detention. There are specialized 15 programs for Raise the Age youth. We opened 16 Raise the Age programs at OCFS for kids who are ordered into my care and custody. There 17 are a number of counties who have submitted 18 19 Raise the Age plans that take advantage of 20 the 100 percent state funding to build 21 continuum of diversion services. And we've 22 talked about that, like let's use this 23 opportunity in Raise the Age not to build 24 beds -- I mean, we know we need some, but

1 we're missing the entire point if we don't 2 use the opportunity to strengthen community-based services for young people. 3 4 Which we know are much more successful. 5 Since October 1st, we've had actually about a 25 percent fewer number of 6 7 16-year-olds arrested than we anticipated 8 even when we did the projections and planning for the implementation of Raise the Age. 9 10 Which is pretty amazing, considering that already over the course of the past 10 years, 11 12 the arrest rate for 16- and 17-year-olds across the state is down 70 percent, which is 13 14 phenomenal. And it's the kind of trend and trajectory, you know, we all want to see 15 16 happen. 17 So we have only had two young people actually process down, if they typically 18 19 start in the youth part. And there was a 20 strong presumption, as you will recall in the 21 way that Raise the Age was enacted, for young 22 people, unless they had a very serious felony

charge, was that they would process down

through Family Court. But in that process,

23

24

1 right, the Raise the Age law that you all 2 passed allowed probation for an additional 3 opportunity to engage the young person and 4 their families for diversion services. 5 And so what we are seeing, which is really exciting, is exactly what we had 6 7 hoped, that a large number of those young 8 people who have been arrested -- and again, many of those, you know, 93 percent are 9 10 nonviolent felonies -- but that they are 11 taking advantage of those adjustment services 12 before they come down and get placed. 13 Which is why right now, you know, 14 we've only seen two young people since 15 October penetrate through into an actual 16 placement. So that suggests -- am I out of 17 time? 18 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Finish your 19 sentence. 20 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: So I think 21 early on we're seeing all the kinds of things 22 that we and all of you had hoped to see. You know, we're working on approving the county 23 24 plans and making sure that they are getting

1	their money to pay for the kinds of services
2	that we hope to see.
3	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: A report coming
4	out soon? Soon? Soon?
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
6	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: One word, can she
7	say one word? Report coming out soon that we
8	can evaluate, hold it up
9	OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes, we will
10	be.
11	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: pat ourselves
12	on the back?
13	OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: We will be
14	sharing good news soon.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
16	Assemblyman Alan Alan? Andrew
17	Hevesi.
18	(Laughter.)
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: I served
20	with
21	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: I've been called
22	worse, trust me.
23	(Laughter.)
24	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: I served I

1	know Alan, I know Alan Hevesi
2	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: You served with
3	Alan, it's okay.
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: he's a
5	friend of mine.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: The kid will
7	start now. Good morning.
8	(Laughter.)
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Andrew Hevesi,
10	chair of our Social Services committee.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Thank you. Good
12	morning, Commissioner.
13	OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Good
14	morning.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: So let me
16	start I'm going to have to go pretty fast,
17	because there's a lot of meat in here. But
18	let me start by saying for about two or three
19	minutes you can relax, because I'm going to
20	go on a little bit of a rant, and it's not
21	about you.
22	But let me start by saying that you at
23	the OCFS and your staff are great. You guys
24	do really good work, but you do it in the

context of budget constraints that are just decisions made without you. There is some good stuff in this budget, and I appreciate it. There's also some stuff -- there's some gaps, and I'm going to want to talk to you about those.

7 But to set the stage for this, I've got to go back at the Governor's decision to 8 impose a 2 percent cap on state spending. 9 10 And again, this is not about you. And I've been thinking about how to -- I do this every 11 12 year, and I'm going to do it every year as 13 long as the 2 percent cap is an issue. But I 14 want people to know I've been thinking about 15 how do you talk about this issue in an 16 appropriate way without getting too agitated 17 when the 2 percent cap is a lie? Our federal 18 government, our federal executive 19 administration lies all the time, and our 20 state government administration does the 21 same. The 2 percent cap is a lie. Anybody 22 who tells you that they are spending at 2 percent is lying to you. And I will tell you 23 24 how that happens.

1 So the Governor came into office and 2 he said he's going to spend at 2 percent. 3 Break the budget down into two pieces, 4 there's state operating expenditures at 65 5 percent, and then there's 35 percent for capital expenditures. Let's talk about both, 6 7 because it's very important. And the reason why I bring this up is not out of the blue, 8 but it directly affects how much we spend on 9 10 this budget table.

11 So let me go. The 35 percent that is 12 not counted under the 2 percent cap includes 13 capital expenditures. And we've been 14 spending like a drunken sailor on shore 15 leave, putting debt for our kids and 16 generations and generations. Every time you 17 turn around, the Governor has a new proposal to build something. I'm not against building 18 19 infrastructure, but we are building and 20 building and building. And every year, the 21 next year, we will have to pay for that. 22 That will be baked into next year's budgets. The outliers on that are huge, billions of 23 24 dollars. I have it here that since 2012 to

2017, \$4.9 billion have been added in capital
 expenditures. Okay, I'm for that. It
 doesn't count as spending.

4 Here's the thing that aggravates me 5 the most about the 2 percent cap. In history, every governor in history counted 6 7 tax expenditures -- this is the second issue 8 -- tax expenditures, tax breaks, tax credits and their operational cost. So the 65 9 10 percent that the Governor counts for his 2 percent cap -- every Governor used to count 11 12 tax expenditures. This Governor decided no, not counting them. And then he started 13 14 giving tax breaks at huge amounts, billions 15 and billions of dollars. He just doesn't 16 count them. It's the equivalent of you going 17 home and saying, you know what, I want to rein in my spending, continuing to spend but 18 19 saying you know what, I'm just not going to 20 count my car payment. It's absurd. 21 It leads back.

22 (Laughter.)

ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: And I know thechairwoman wants to rein me in, but I need to

1 go just a little bit more.

2	Then you go back to there's another
3	piece. Look in the Governor's budget for
4	cost shifts. The only reason why we're
5	shifting money is to shift money out of the
6	stuff you count to the money you don't, from
7	the 65 percent that you count to the 65
8	percent you don't. So we've been spending at
9	6, 7, 8 percent in the last couple of years.
10	That's number one.
11	Now, what is the practical impact of
12	the 2 percent cap as it gets to this table?
13	And I will ask the question about how this
14	affects our budgets, because our budgets are
15	tight and sometimes they're shrinking. But
16	the 65 percent that we count as state
17	operations spending works this way.
18	Education and healthcare rise at rates of 4
19	percent automatically. We fight for that in
20	the Legislature every year. If those two,
21	the biggest parts of the budget, are rising
22	at 4 percent and we have a 2 percent cap,
23	guess what either gets zero or underfunded
24	every time? This budget table. The most

1 needy, the people who can't fight for 2 themselves, the kids, the homeless, human 3 trafficking victims, domestic violence 4 victims. That's the stage that we're in. 5 Now, I know there are some small increases in here, but overall this budget 6 7 continues the lie of the 2 percent cap -even though we're not spending at this level 8 -- but then uses that as a cudgel to make 9 10 sure that we don't spend on programs that 11 actually help people. Which leads us to this 12 budget. And thank you for letting me get that out. 13 14 Okay. Here's the question. So first 15 I am glad that the childcare -- there's \$26 16 million more for childcare. I do appreciate 17 that, and I thank you for that. Are they 18 going to be used for subsidies? 19 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes, they 20 will go toward -- you know, the market rate, 21 right, which I mentioned earlier, goes 22 directly to assist with subsidies. ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Good. Thank you. 23 24 Is there any money for Close to Home?

1 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: No. 2 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: No. Is there any 3 money to restore the cuts to the Foster Care 4 Block Grant of two years ago of \$60 million 5 that we cut from this table? OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: No. The 6 7 Foster Care Block Grant remains as I mentioned in my testimony. 8 9 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Okay. In 2008, 10 the state cut its child welfare prevention money back from the statutorily required 11 12 65 percent to 62 percent. That was a bad budget time, I have no problem with that, we 13 14 were all in financial trouble. We're not in 15 financial trouble now. Has the state given 16 any thought to returning that to the 17 statutorily required 65 percent state reimbursement to the localities? 18 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: So the 19 20 current budget maintains it at 62 percent. 21 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Right. So we're 22 in good financial times, yet we're not spending on the most needy New Yorkers. 23 24 That's my opining.

1 And then we now have estimates from 2 the Coalition for the Homeless that 62,333 kids have become homeless since 3 4 Governor Cuomo came into office, since 2011. 5 What are we doing to prevent more kids from falling into homelessness? 6 7 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: I am going to defer that to my colleague at OTDA, whose 8 expertise is in homeless services. 9 10 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Okay. OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: I will say, 11 12 though, Assemblywoman -- Assemblyman, rather, 13 that again the preventive service that we 14 have, at 6230A, I think does go a long way to 15 assisting families who come to the attention of the child welfare system from hopefully 16 17 becoming homeless. ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Which I 18 19 appreciate. And I'll also note that in your 20 testimony it said "the historic uncapped 21 preventative services," except last year you 22 came here and proposed to cap them. Okay? I just have to point that out. 23 24 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: That is not

1 included in this year's budget.

2 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: I know that's not 3 in this budget. But last year we had to 4 fight, as the Legislature, to make sure you 5 didn't cap preventative services for kids, which we knew would have cost taxpayers money 6 7 because those kids would have gone to foster care, plus more kids would have been abused. 8 9 That's just a fact, because historically that 10 happened in the '90s when this happened. 11 Let me now turn to the question that 12 you and I have talked about before, legally 13 exempt providers. You mentioned in your 14 testimony that you need Article VII agreement 15 from us. But the Article VII language you 16 gave for us does two things that I have a 17 real problem with. The first is for legally exempt providers, everybody in the family has 18 19 to have a background check, right? OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Mm-hmm. 20 21 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Okay. And part 22 of the background checks are federal, they're federal investigation, FBI, and there's some 23 24 other federal criminal background checks.

1 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Right. 2 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Because of 3 immigrants being afraid -- immigrants with 4 American citizen children are going to be 5 afraid of having somebody in that family take a federal background check, right -- you've 6 7 got to understand they would be afraid that the federal background check will then lead 8 them to possible deportation if it's referred 9 10 to ICE, which I'm sure it will be under the 11 current federal administration. How many 12 American citizen New York kids are going to 13 not receive childcare because their family is afraid that somebody who has to go under a 14 15 background check is going to get deported? OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: So I would 16

17 agree, Assemblyman, given the current federal 18 environment, which we could spend a lot of 19 time talking about, has a chilling effect on 20 families, right, feeling comfortable 21 accessing a lot of services in our human 22 services spectrum.

You know, the best response I have foryou is that New York State must comply with

1 the federal CCDBG Act.

ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: I agree.
OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: And I can't
change that federal law.
ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: I agree, but they
don't require that every family member get a
background check.
OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Well and
again, we should have offline discussions.
ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: We will.
OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: I can have
my staff come and talk to you.
ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Okay.
OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: I will tell
you that the language that is in our proposed
Article VII bill is the most narrow
interpretation of the federal definition of
relatives. And we did that intentionally,
for the very reasons that you just mentioned.
ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Which I
appreciate. We will argue privately that we
have a more narrow definition that will fit,
so I'd ask you to go with ours and see if the
feds reject it. Because the last thing you

1	and I both want is American New York citizen
2	kids not getting childcare because their
3	family's afraid.
4	So we'll have the conversations
5	offline.
6	And I'm running out of time, so let me
7	go to the second piece of that Article VII,
8	okay, which is due process for legally exempt
9	providers. In your bill and correct me if
10	I'm wrong, but my understanding about legally
11	exempt providers is they're mostly poor
12	people, black and brown communities, people
13	of color primarily. You have set up a system
14	here where their due process rights if
15	OCFS says you can't provide childcare, their
16	due process rights are different from the
17	legally required background not background
18	checks, excuse me, due process for those
19	getting a fair hearing if OCFS says they're
20	not going to be able to provide childcare.
21	Why do we have a system for legally
22	exempt childcare providers that is different,
23	due process-wise, than everybody else?
24	OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: So that

1 system has been in place for a long time. So 2 the enforcement action that we take for regulated providers is different than what we 3 4 take for legally exempt. And in fact because 5 of how much we count on legally exempt providers, you know, when we have to 6 7 disenroll a legally exempt provider from being able to receive subsidy because of very 8 serious health and safety issues, you know, 9 10 we allow them in a very short order, in a shorter time than it would take for them to 11 12 actually go through a due process fair 13 hearing -- assuming that they have mitigated 14 the health and safety issue, we can re-enroll 15 them much more rapidly than we can currently 16 for our regulated providers. 17 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Madam Chairman, 18 one quick second --19 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: You can come back for a second --20 21 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Okay. I will just tell you we're treating people of color 22 and poor people differently. 23 24 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Andrew --

1 Andrew.

2	
	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Yes, ma'am.
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So we've been
4	joined by two other Assemblywomen,
5	Assemblywoman Wright and Assemblywoman
6	Solages.
7	Senate.
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
9	Senator Roxanne Persaud.
10	SENATOR PERSAUD: Thank you.
11	Good morning, Commissioner.
12	OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Good
13	morning.
14	SENATOR PERSAUD: Thanks for being
15	here. It's always interesting listening to
16	you talk about your agency.
17	One quick question. You know, my
18	colleague asked a couple of questions about
19	the Family First Transition Fund. We just
20	wanted to know, is there a minimum that's
21	offered because it's only a \$3 million
22	pot. Is there a minimum that will be offered
23	to an agency?
24	OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: You know, we

1 haven't determined that yet, Senator. I 2 mean, we recognize it's a beginning. We are actually using -- we're reinvesting some 3 4 federal incentive dollars that we have at 5 OCFS to be able to do that. So again, we have two years to work 6 7 with it. We recognize it's a modest beginning. But again, you know, I think we 8 would be more than willing to look within us 9 10 at OCFS to see if there's additional money that we could support, providing that this 11 12 initial \$3 million achieves the kind of outcomes that we'd like to see. 13 14 But no, we have not gotten to the 15 point yet -- this is still a proposal subject 16 to, you know, the passage of the budget. But 17 we would welcome any ideas that you have as 18 we craft a plan to implement it. 19 SENATOR PERSAUD: Thank you. We will get back to you on that, because my 20 21 colleagues and I are going to be meeting with 22 you to have that discussion. You talked about the Raise the Age and 23 24 how great the process was, we moved everyone

1 off. But we're seeing that with that move --2 do you think -- you talked about the 3 planning, we have a solid plan. You know, 4 it's the perfect plan. But we're seeing, 5 with the move, the rise in violence at the centers where the kids are being placed. 6 7 What do you attribute that to? And what can the cities do to mitigate that issue? 8 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: So I'm not 9 10 sure what facility, you know, you're 11 referring to, Senator. What I can speak to, 12 if you're referring to Horizons in New York City --13 14 SENATOR PERSAUD: Yeah. 15 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Okay. So 16 let's talk about that for a little bit. So, 17 you know, just to make sure everyone 18 understands, so Horizons was the facility 19 that the city stood up specifically and only 20 for the purpose right now of taking those 21 kids -- I think there were less than a 22 hundred -- off of Rikers and moving them in. The first couple of weeks were bumpy. 23 24 Right? These were young people who were

being moved from an adult correctional
 setting into a different setting, into a
 juvenile facility. It is not Rikers prison.
 And then the staff, right, the Department of
 Corrections workers, which was the city's
 plan, moved also to staff it from Rikers.

7 It was an adjustment period. Things were bumpy and shaky in the early weeks there 8 at Horizons. But I can tell you that OCFS 9 10 and our partners at the State Commission of 11 Corrections, Senator, we've spent a lot of 12 time on the ground at the center, along with 13 the New York City partners of ACS and their 14 Department of Corrections, doing everything 15 that we can to stabilize the program.

And I can tell you that today -- and we have, at OCFS and at the state level, very carefully -- we see all the incident reports that come to us from Horizons, that the number of serious incidents has dropped dramatically. And so --

22 SENATOR PERSAUD: Is that because you
23 had to reinstitute some of the provisions
24 that were taken away, like arming the

1 workers?

2 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Not at all. 3 No, not at all. 4 I think, quite contrary, what we know 5 at OCFS and learned this the hard way ourselves over the years, is what makes a 6 7 good, safe, stable juvenile program are not 8 punitive measures, but it's programming. It's investments in educational programs, 9 10 vocational programs, we have Credible Messenger programs. 11 12 And so I think as the Horizons program has matured in the past couple of months, the 13 14 city has pushed in a number of services that 15 I think have helped stabilize the program, 16 first and foremost. 17 And I think, secondly, the Department of Corrections who have moved into Horizons I 18 19 think have adjusted to the difference in 20 working at Rikers and now work at Horizons. 21 I think you're also aware, Senator, 22 that it is the city's intention and plan, which is underway now, to transition out the 23 24 corrections officers and have youth

1 development -- I believe that's the title --2 youth development specialists who are ACS 3 employees take over the full staffing of the 4 program. And I know that that transition is 5 well underway.

SENATOR PERSAUD: Thank you. Back to 6 7 the youth that we moved, did you see -- you 8 know, you talked about we're reaping more than we really expected. That's I think what 9 10 you said. You know, the benefits are greater than we expected. You said really so. 11

OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: I'm sorry, 13 I'm having a hard time hearing you, Senator. 14 SENATOR PERSAUD: I know, my voice is 15 like -- you said we're reaping more than we 16 expected, the benefits of this Raise the 17 Age and moving --

12

OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: The Raise 18 19 the Age, yeah.

20 SENATOR PERSAUD: Is that really so? 21 And how do we get a comprehensive report 22 showing exactly that? Because some of the things that we're seeing, it's not exactly 23 24 matching up to what you said.

1 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Sure. So I 2 want to clarify. I didn't say it was 3 perfect. Right? SENATOR PERSAUD: No. But close to. 4 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: I think we 5 have to take into consideration the magnitude 6 7 of the shift in policy and practice and 8 everyone having to adjust to applying a new set of laws. And so, you know, I just want 9 10 to be clear that -- you know, say that when bumps have come up, we've tried our best. 11 12 And we've had a good partnership with the Office of Court Administration as well. 13 14 But we will. So the Raise the Age Commission, we continue -- I cochair it with 15 Commissioner Green from DCJS, we've been 16 17 meeting regularly. And it is our intention 18 to be releasing a report soon about the early 19 implementation. We'll have data to share, 20 right? So data I think really puts a fine 21 point on some of the things and the trends 22 that you're hearing me talk about today. So yes, we will be able to share with 23 24 you, in a report format, the early

1	implementation of the Raise the Age. So
2	hopefully that will help fill in some of the
3	blanks for you, Senator.
4	SENATOR PERSAUD: Okay. One quick
5	question for you. Approximately how many
6	enrolled legally exempt childcare providers
7	are there across the state?
8	OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: I believe we
9	have around 21,000 across the state.
10	SENATOR PERSAUD: Twenty-one thousand.
11	And based on that number, how many additional
12	DCJS and FBI background checks do you think
13	we will need to do with the new plans?
14	OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: It will be a
15	lot more. Yeah, I don't have that number,
16	but it's substantial, given the federal law
17	that we will have to implement. So it's, you
18	know, the central register
19	SENATOR PERSAUD: What do you think
20	the cost is going to be for the additional
21	background checks?
22	OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: I believe
23	it's estimated at around \$20 million at full
24	implementation.

1 SENATOR PERSAUD: Are you able to 2 cover that cost? OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes. I 3 4 think that speaks to Assemblyman Hevesi's 5 question to me earlier, that we do have money within our existing budget to be able to pay 6 7 for the implementation of those background 8 clearance checks. SENATOR PERSAUD: And these costs are 9 10 not going to be shifted to providers at all? 11 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: I'm sorry? 12 SENATOR PERSAUD: There are no cost shifts to providers, you are able to cover it 13 14 without --15 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Correct, 16 yes. 17 SENATOR PERSAUD: Could we ask all the 18 other agencies to do the same? Because you 19 seem to be really flush with money. 20 (Laughter.) 21 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: I don't know 22 that we're flush with money, but I'd like to think, Senator, that we do the very best we 23 24 can at OCFS. And I appreciated Assemblyman

1 Hevesi's recognition of the work that we do. 2 We make the best of what we have, and we've 3 got great partners in other state agencies. 4 And I think we've learned, right, as state 5 agencies about the need to really work in a more integrated fashion, and I think we're 6 7 seeing that pay off. 8 SENATOR PERSAUD: Yeah, you should be the model for others to follow. 9 10 So thank you very much. That's it for 11 me. 12 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Thank you, 13 Senator. 14 SENATOR PERSAUD: Thank you. 15 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman 16 Fahy. 17 ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Thank you, Madam 18 Chair. And good morning, Commissioner. 19 20 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Good 21 morning, Assemblywoman. 22 ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Thank you for being here and for joining us. 23 24 I just want to -- I just have a couple

1 of brief questions, but I just want to make a 2 -- just a couple of comments. 3 I do appreciate that the childcare 4 budget looks like there is an increase and 5 that you are trying to live within budget constraints. But I just would be completely 6 7 remiss if I didn't say that that just continues to be really troubling, the 8 extraordinary, extraordinary need. 9 10 And just yesterday we were at a 11 hearing on environment and energy and a whole 12 discussion on water infrastructure and the billions of dollars that we are putting into 13 14 that very, very dire need with our aging 15 pipes and aging infrastructure and the need for drinking water. And one of the 16 17 discussions that we had was that given the 18 investments, that we are actually on track to 19 replace that infrastructure -- it's going to 20 take a number of years, but the 21 multi-billion-dollar investments that we are making there are actually putting us on track 22 to address the serious needs. 23 24 And so I can't help but think, as we

1 talk about water and the billions of dollars 2 that we're investing there -- and granted, 3 those are capital dollars, they're not 4 operating dollars, to Mr. Hevesi's point. 5 But I just wish that given that we know the childcare, we are reaching such a small, 6 7 small percentage of those in need, that if we had kind of the same approach -- we now 8 recognize that water matters, given some of 9 10 the horrific incidences we've had in Hoosick Falls and Flint, Michigan, and 11 12 elsewhere. 13 Anyway, I just wondered, it would be 14 nice to have that same emphasis on childcare, 15 because to me it's also -- it's just a 16 workforce issue. And these are the same 17 families that -- especially women, that are 18 prevented from participating in the workforce 19 when they cannot afford childcare. So I'd 20 love to see us get on a trajectory of what is 21 it going to take to meet the need, similar to 22 what we're laying out on water, drinking 23 water and water infrastructure.

24 So with that, just -- and then another

1 comment. The SUNY/CUNY investment in 2 childcare is -- it sounds very encouraging. 3 I look forward to hearing more. And it's the 4 same issue for me. It allows people, 5 especially women, to stay -- get their education while raising young children and to 6 7 make it accessible, make higher education 8 accessible.

Two questions. The Family First, 9 10 thank you for the note about -- and I see it's one of the top things you talked about, 11 12 the foster care and the Family First Prevention needs. The \$3 million, is that 13 14 enough to get us on track to meet these 15 federal requirements that are being imposed? 16 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: It's a great 17 question. So there's a lot more work that we 18

19 will need to do in New York State in order to 20 comply for Families First. And so that is 21 largely why we have taken advantage of the 22 two-year delay. So it mirrors CCDBG in a 23 number of ways. So Families First, for 24 example, will require that for congregate

1 care -- for children who might be placed in 2 congregate care, that there is an independent assessor outside of the traditional workers 3 4 in the child welfare system to make an 5 independent assessment of whether or not Sheila actually needs congregate care. So 6 7 that's an unfunded cost that we have to 8 figure out between now and then.

There are additional requirements for 9 10 foster care agencies to be accredited and meet that threshold of accreditation in order 11 12 to be able to draw down the Title IV-E 13 funding that they receive now. So we've 14 probably got half of our foster care licensed 15 agencies who have some sort of accreditation, 16 but we have to figure out how do we get the 17 rest there.

18So there are a number of other fiscal19implications which are sort of similar to20where we are situated in trying to comply21with CCDBG. That's why we wanted to take22those two years to figure out how we can do23that.

24 Now, one of the things I do want to

1 mention, Assemblywoman, is that also one of 2 those provisions that we need to comply with 3 sooner rather than later is regarding 4 background checks for workers working in 5 foster care agencies. The good news is that it has nowhere near the kind of ramifications 6 7 as it does on complying with CCDBG. And so there is an Article VII bill that we have 8 proposed that would give us the authority to 9 10 have additional background clearance checks 11 for workers in foster care programs. 12 ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: My time is about 13 to run out. I want to follow up on the 14 arrest rates that you mentioned. It sounds 15 very positive. I'd like to hear more, along 16 with the direct service providers; I'd like 17 to follow up on that as well. 18 Thank you, Madam Chair. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 19 20 Senate. 21 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 22 Senator Seward, ranker on Finance. SENATOR SEWARD: Welcome, 23 24 Commissioner, and good to see you.

OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Good

2 morning.

1

3 I know you have been already discussing the federal legislation, the Child 4 5 Care and Development Block Grant, the changes that we will be required to make here in 6 7 New York. Just for the record, though, what is our actual deadline before actually losing 8 federal aid? And it is important that we 9 10 resolve this issue in this budget, I assume. I'd just like your reaction to that. 11 12 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yeah. Yeah, 13 I'm happy to. 14 So we are today out of compliance with 15 virtually -- or most of the provisions of 16 CCDBG. So we had applied for 19 waivers, so 17 the clock has run down -- remember, this bill was based in 2014, and so that time has 18 19 passed and we have run out of time on those 20 waivers. And so as a result of our 21 noncompliance and the fact that we haven't 22 had an Article VII bill and can't begin to demonstrate activity on the background checks 23 24 and inspections, we are going to face a

1 minimum of an \$11 million penalty this coming 2 federal fiscal year when our CCDF allocation 3 comes to us. That's just the beginning. 4 And so, you know, one of the other 5 challenges -- the bigger challenge, as I mentioned earlier, Senator, is the fact that 6 7 there are other provisions -- the most expensive provisions, frankly -- of CCDBG, 8 which have to do with 12-month guarantee and 9 10 then graduated phaseout. And just what that means is the intention of that law is that we 11 12 want to ease the shift off of subsidized 13 childcare. As families, right, get into the 14 workforce and they have more money, we don't 15 want to cut them off. But -- all of that is 16 great, but it is extremely expensive to do 17 that. And so we have not, as you heard me 18 say earlier, received the federal funding 19 necessary for us to do that. But 20 nonetheless, the federal law is the law, and 21 so we're going to have to find ways to tackle 22 that. SENATOR SEWARD: Thank you. I'm just 23

looking for that clarification, and very

24

1 succinctly appreciate that.

2 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: I would just 3 also say, Senator, it is my sense that if we 4 can demonstrate to the federal government, to 5 ACF, that we have begun to implement the background check and the inspection 6 7 provisions and that they see real action and not just a plan that we're going to introduce 8 an Article VII bill, I have a sense that we 9 10 may be able to make a compelling argument and prevent the application of that \$11 million 11 12 penalty. SENATOR SEWARD: Well, certainly my 13 14 conference has been on record -- we want that issue to be resolved. 15 16 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Thank you. 17 SENATOR SEWARD: Would you say that 18 the \$80 million that's been requested in this 19 budget to cover compliance expenses -- will 20 that in fact cover all of the compliance 21 costs without a shift to childcare providers? 22 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Just to be 23 clear, though, it will help us implement the 24 health and safety provisions, which are the

1 background checks, the inspection, the 2 training, some of the other sort of, you know, low-hanging fruit. The \$80 million 3 4 will not cover the half a billion dollars 5 that it's estimated for us to meet the other provisions of CCDBG. I just want to be 6 7 clear. SENATOR SEWARD: On a separate but 8 9 related issue, do you know offhand how many 10 counties in the state currently redetermine eligibility for childcare subsidies on a 11 12 12-month basis? OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: I don't know 13 the answer to that off the top of my head, 14 15 but I can certainly get that for you. 16 SENATOR SEWARD: Yeah, appreciate 17 that --18 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yeah, of 19 course. SENATOR SEWARD: -- if you would do 20 21 that. 22 Just shifting gears, in the time remaining, to Raise the Age, you know, 23 24 there's a reappropriation of the 100 million

that was put in last year's budget, there's a request for another 200 million. Are you aware of any counties and localities being hit with unfunded mandates as a result of the passage of Raise the Age and that money has not yet flowed?

7 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: So I'm not aware of any unfunded mandates. I mean I 8 think that is the goal, is to make sure that 9 10 when the plans come in -- again, assuming 11 that the plans that come in relate to serving 12 Raise the Age youth and are not supplanting 13 other county expenses and that there's a 14 reasonableness to what they are proposing, it 15 is clearly our intention to pay for those 16 expenses.

17 SENATOR SEWARD: One final question on this point. We did allude to it a bit 18 19 earlier. But could you describe any changes 20 in conditions at the juvenile facilities as a 21 result of absorbing, you know, 16-year-old 22 offenders? I mean, are there any changing conditions there that you and your agency 23 24 have noted?

1 OCES COMMISSIONER POOLE: Sure. So 2 one -- I think I would answer it in two ways. 3 So we had to stand up new specialized secure 4 detention. That was a new element that was 5 part of the Raise the Age legislation. So it's detention for adolescent offenders, 6 7 which was also new as part of Raise the Age, and those have some more additional enhanced 8 staffing. And so we have a number of those 9 10 specialized secure detention facilities across the state. 11 12 We at OCFS had to stand up two programs, one is in Cayuga County, the other 13 14 is in Monroe County. And again, we're 15 continuing our model of care for juveniles 16 there. 17 And then there are a number of 18 specialized programs for those kids coming 19 down through Family Court, and we have an 20 enhanced Raise the Age model for those young 21 people, with enhanced supervision, enhanced 22 clinical service. And we're paying for after-care services for those kids because we 23 24 don't want kids to get stuck in the placement

1 system. Right? Research shows the longer a 2 young person stays in a situation of 3 confinement, right, you have a diminishing 4 return on their progress. So we wanted to 5 put a lot of emphasis on short, intensive length of stays, getting them back into the 6 7 community, connected to their families and schools, as quickly as possible. And that's 8 the model that we have developed. 9 10 It's too soon to say on the outcomes 11 of it, because we haven't had any young 12 people actually go into those programs yet. 13 But by this time next year, I'll have all 14 kinds of information to share. 15 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 16 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman 17 Barclay. 18 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Thank you, 19 Chairwoman. 20 Good morning, Commissioner. 21 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Good 22 morning. ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: I quess I'm 23 24 going to beat a dead horse, but I just want

1 to flesh this out so I understand it. We're pleased, obviously -- a lot of people in my 2 3 conference were concerned about the cost of 4 implementing the Raise the Age, and so we're 5 pleased that 100 million got put in and then 200 million is proposed for this year. 6 7 So has 100 million been spent so far? And every county has to submit a plan? Is 8 that how they get reimbursed for this? 9 10 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes, that's right. That's right. So I think we're 11 12 reappropriating about 54 million of the 100 million that was in last year's 13 14 appropriation. So I think we're looking at 15 having approximately 250 million 16 reappropriated in total for Raise the Age in 17 this year's budget. ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: And how is that 18 19 divvied up? I mean, the county puts a plan 20 in, every county might have a different plan. 21 Or is there a formula-based -- how do they --22 you know, how much do they get? OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yeah. So it 23 24 covers all the Raise the Age expenses, so it

1 covers OCFS's, DCJS's, DOCCS had to stand up 2 specialized programs. So it's not just for 3 counties. But counties, yes, we set forth a 4 framework, again in collaboration with DCJS, 5 looking at the spectrum and continuum of youth services in a community, starting from 6 7 diversion, right, where are those diversion and community-based opportunities, all the 8 way up through placement, legal services, any 9 10 costs to the sheriff for transportation.

And so we this past summer set forth, along with DOB's guidance, a detailed sort of road map to guide the counties in figuring out how they could put their Raise the Age plan together.

I will say, Assemblyman, that this was 16 17 our first time doing this. It was the 18 counties' first time putting a plan together. 19 There's been a lot of back and forth if they 20 submitted the plan, and a lot of refinement. 21 You know, some of the plans didn't exactly 22 line up with the data projections that we had shared with the counties. In some instances 23 24 we thought that counties didn't include some

1 programs and expenses where they should have. 2 In some instances we thought counties included things that perhaps shouldn't have 3 4 been included. And so there's been a lot of back and forth. And I'm sure it's felt a bit 5 slow and frustrating, perhaps, on the part of 6 7 some counties. But it is absolutely our 8 intention to move this process along as quickly as possible. 9 10 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: So how far are you along as far as how many -- I've heard 11 12 obviously the city has not submitted a plan. 13 But how many counties -- are you 50 percent? 14 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: I believe we have about 50 counties who have submitted 15 16 plans. Oh, yeah. 17 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Oh, good. All right. Thank you. Thank you, Chairwoman. 18 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: You're 19 20 welcome. 21 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 22 Senate? 23 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 24 Senator Brian Benjamin.

1 SENATOR BENJAMIN: Thank you, Madam 2 Chair. And thank you, Commissioner. 3 I actually want to follow up on some 4 of the questions that were just asked about 5 Raise the Age, particularly because I believe this year we're going to pass a lot of 6 7 landmark legislation on some incredible 8 criminal justice reform-related bills. And it's important that while we have the values 9 10 reflected in our laws, that the 11 implementation follows so that the community 12 feels what we're doing in Albany. So I'm 13 just going to ask you a few questions to 14 follow up on that front. OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: 15 Sure. 16 SENATOR BENJAMIN: The first question 17 I want to ask you is -- I just want to clarify this. Are there any youth presently 18 in adult facilities in Rikers Island or 19 20 anywhere else in the state, per Raise the 21 Age? 22 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Are there 23 any? 24 SENATOR BENJAMIN: Have all the youth

1 been removed from Rikers Island or any other 2 adult facilities? 3 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes, so any 4 youth under the age of 18 --5 SENATOR BENJAMIN: Exactly. OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: -- are out 6 7 of Rikers. And then before Raise the Age took effect, the Governor, I think a year or 8 so before, had issued an executive order -- I 9 10 can't remember the number -- but the 11 executive order actually directed the State 12 Commission of Corrections to remove young 13 people under the age of 18 out and put them 14 in specialized DOCCS facilities. And they created them. One is in Hudson here in 15 16 Columbia County. 17 SENATOR BENJAMIN: Sure. So on the 18 200 million that's in the budget, how did 19 that number come about? Was that just taken 20 out of the air, was it based upon 21 assumptions, based on all New York State? 22 Just give me a little bit of sense of how you -- and is that -- and so to that question, a 23 24 follow-up to that, is that sufficient, the

1 number itself?

2	OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: I think, you
3	know, right, it's art and science, Senator.
4	I think it was the best estimate at the time,
5	given the data projections, the number of
6	kids served, capital costs, understanding
7	what it might take to stand up detention.
8	You know, we do a lot of work with the
9	Division of the Budget and other state
10	agencies.
11	So I think it was the best
12	approximation that we could have at that
13	time. And again, I think we are feeling
14	pretty confident, given the relatively small
15	number of kids getting arrested, that it
16	should be really sufficient to more than
17	sufficient, perhaps, to be able to meet the
18	needs of those kids.
19	SENATOR BENJAMIN: Now, the and I
20	don't feel like I got this fully. The
21	100 million that has been spent, I guess you
22	spent 55 did I hear that right, 55 of that
23	so far?
24	OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: I believe

1 it's about 54 million that's reappropriated 2 in -- yeah, in this year's budget. 3 SENATOR BENJAMIN: And just 4 specifically, what does that money go 5 towards? Just so I'm clear. OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: So that 6 7 money goes to support the operational 8 expenses of the Raise the Age programs that we at OCFS operate. It goes to support the 9 10 adolescent programs that DOCCS needed to 11 create as part of Raise the Age programs. It 12 supported DCJS in all the work that they are doing on probation. Right? So this Raise 13 14 the Age meant that a lot more kids would have 15 the opportunity to benefit from probation and 16 diversion services. 17 SENATOR BENJAMIN: So is that staffing, like --18 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes, it's 19 20 local probation departments being able to 21 increase, you know, their staffing, which I think --22 23 SENATOR BENJAMIN: Is that the biggest 24 part of the 55 million, staff to implement

1 this program? Or are there other things in
2 there?

OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yeah, it was 3 4 pretty much anything that a county wanted to 5 submit in their plan that they thought was necessary to them being able to implement 6 7 Raise the Age. It was a pretty broad spectrum of programs and concepts that they 8 could submit to the state for consideration. 9 10 SENATOR BENJAMIN: When you look at this 200 million, is there any other thing on 11 12 the wish list that you would say, wow, if we 13 can get extra resources to do -- name the 14 thing, as it relates to a better 15 implementation of Raise the Age, now that 16 17-year-olds are now being added, what would 17 that be? OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: That's a 18 19 really good question. 20 You know, I think that there is a lot 21 of opportunity for partnerships in job 22 creation, I think is -- you know, in running the state's juvenile system, you know, I 23 24 think the tickets for success for young

1	people and also improving our recidivism
2	rates for young people is around education
3	and it's around connections to jobs.
4	So I think, you know, as we begin to
5	evolve the work, I think, Senator, those are
6	areas where we can really sort of strengthen
7	our commitment.
8	SENATOR BENJAMIN: Thank you.
9	OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Of course.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Assembly.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
12	Eichenstein.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN EICHENSTEIN: Thank you,
14	Madam Chair. And good morning, Commissioner.
15	Thank you for being here.
16	I want to talk to you about CCDBG at
17	legally exempt groups. As you know,
18	approximately two years ago the rate was cut
19	by approximately 25 percent. In March 2018
20	the department agreed to approximately 12
21	percent of the 25 percent cut, given that
22	safety and health inspection requirements are
23	implemented.
24	It's now close to 10 months, and it's

1 not implemented, yet my understanding is ACS 2 is waiting for approval from the department. 3 Can you provide a date for when -- I mean, 4 when the cut was implemented, I believe the 5 letter came in mid-January and it was already implemented by February 1st, within two 6 7 weeks. OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yeah. Yeah. 8 ASSEMBLYMAN EICHENSTEIN: I'm not 9 10 understanding why this restoration is taking 11 over 10 months to implement. 12 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: It's been a 13 long time since I've heard this raised, 14 Assemblyman, so I'll have to go back to my 15 team and confer also with Commissioner 16 Hansell from ACS. But we will certainly get 17 back to you. 18 ASSEMBLYMAN EICHENSTEIN: I appreciate 19 it. I really look forward to hearing from 20 you on this, because it is a significant cut 21 and, quite frankly, unsustainable for many of 22 these daycares and schools, these groups. So I appreciate that. 23 24 I yield back my time, thank you.

1 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Thank you. 2 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 3 Senate? 4 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Diane 5 Savino. 6 SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you, Senator 7 Krueger. Good morning, Commissioner. 8 9 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Good 10 morning. 11 SENATOR SAVINO: Nice to see you, as 12 always. So I just want to start out by saying 13 14 briefly that I agree with everything that Andy Hevesi said about the continued 15 16 short-changing of this table. As someone 17 who's worked in this field for many years, it's always distressing to see how we're 18 19 really not funding human services. 20 But I want to focus on a couple of 21 specific points. A lot of it has already 22 been raised, but I want to just say again that I'm deeply disappointed in the failure 23 24 to fund the Close to Home program. You know,

when we started working on Close to Home
 eight years ago, when I chaired the Children
 and Families Committee, we had about 900
 young people at Rikers Island, and that was
 on average.

When we implemented Raise the Age last 6 7 year, there were only a hundred young people at Rikers Island. And that's because Close 8 to Home works. We know that evidence-based 9 10 programs prove that if you turn young people 11 around faster when they're younger and you 12 get them connected in their community, you divert them from a life of crime. And so 13 14 it's disappointing to see that we're not 15 funding it.

16 I'm also disappointed to see that 17 we're continuing this political decision that 18 New York City should not be reimbursed for 19 Raise the Age because they don't conform with 20 the 2 percent tax cap, when we know that 21 they're not covered by the tax cap anyway. 22 But that's a discussion for another day. I want to raise one or two points 23

23 I want to raise one of two points
 24 that -- I saw in the Article VII language

1 there is a proposal for the state to -- for 2 OCFS to take over foster care agencies when 3 the agency's not compliant with the 4 corrective action. So I'm just curious, 5 though, since we cut foster care funding -we took about \$80 million out of the Foster 6 7 Care Block Grant two years ago and we haven't restored it. If we're so concerned about 8 foster care agencies and compliance and their 9 10 ability to provide services, why aren't we funding them appropriately? 11

12 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: So I don't 13 necessarily agree that we're not funding the 14 foster care agencies appropriately. Senator, 15 you know, we over the past several years in 16 particular have been able to make adjustments 17 to their MSAR rates. We've been able to provide approximately 3 1/4 percent cost of 18 19 living adjustment funding to help --20 recognizing the critical importance of their 21 workforce. We were able to also provide some 22 enhanced funding in other parameters of the 23 MSAR rate to really help them. And they 24 deserve it, and they need it. So I

absolutely agree with you, and that's our
 plan, to do so again this year.

3 But to speak specifically to the 4 Article VII bill and my request that we have 5 another tool in our toolbox -- and the intention, and I want to be very clear about 6 7 our intention in pursuing the idea of a temporary operator. And so that would be 8 9 that there are very rare circumstances where 10 we have a foster care agency who is facing 11 the risk of an actual closure. And you all 12 know that when we have an agency who's 13 serving kids who have already been 14 traumatized, who have already experienced a 15 number of moves, it's a bad thing when we're 16 going to have to consider moving them again. 17 It's a bad thing to lay off staff. SENATOR SAVINO: I fully agree. 18 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Right now --19 20 right now my -- the authority that OCFS has 21 in applying a temporary operator -- and we use the word "temporary" because that's our 22 intention -- is limited right now to when 23 24 there's actually -- we're close to a decision

1 to make a closure.

2	I'd like to prevent that from
3	happening and at least have the opportunity
4	to have a temporary operator who will be
5	another foster care agency, right, presumably
6	with capacity to go in to help continue the
7	day-to-day operations of the agency while we
8	do everything we can at OCFS to try and
9	figure out what else might it take to prevent
10	this program from closing.
11	And I'm aware of, you know, COFCCA's
12	concerns. I respect their concerns. I'm
13	sure you will, you know, hear more about them
14	separately. But I want to be clear that we
15	do not see this as a tool that we would be
16	using five or six times a year when there's
17	an agency that's experiencing some distress.
18	SENATOR SAVINO: Okay
19	OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: We have a
20	deep respect and understanding
21	SENATOR SAVINO: Thirty seconds left,
22	Sheila. I have one more question for you.
23	OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Go ahead.
24	SENATOR SAVINO: I got the answer,

1 thank you.

2	I'm happy to hear some of the changes
3	around childcare. I think we need to invest
4	more. And I recently heard the Lieutenant
5	Governor talk about how she wants to focus on
6	childcare, and she talked about it in the way
7	I have for many years, that childcare should
8	really be part of our economic development
9	programs, because it really keeps women in
10	the workforce.
11	Towards that end, in the revenue
12	budget it's not in your budget, but in the
13	revenue budget there's a proposal to create a
14	New York State employer-provided childcare
15	credit for employers to provide up to a
16	hundred percent basically to create
17	childcare facilities at the workforce. And I
18	love that, we should do that. But the one
19	question I have is, are we doing it for our
20	own workforce?
21	OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: It's a great
22	idea.
23	(Laughter.)
24	OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: It's a great

1

idea. Thank you, Senator.

2 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Assembly. 3 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman 4 Wright. 5 ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: Good morning. OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Good 6 7 morning. 8 ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: Thank you, Chairwoman. Thank you, Commissioner. I'm 9 10 actually going to follow up on some of the foster care questions that were just 11 12 presented. I guess I will start off with the 13 14 Article VII information that -- or proposal 15 that's there. I understand that you're 16 saying that this will only kick in in a time 17 when there's -- when you are considering closure of an institution. However, there 18 19 are different bill proposals that have been 20 submitted, and it seems as though we've 21 selected the one that allows for you to apply 22 a temporary operator that's going to come in and take over for a 30-day period, versus the 23 24 10-day period. And I want to know what the

1 rationale and the thinking of that is, 2 especially when this seems to be focused on 3 developing work-arounds. Because there's 4 opening the door where you're removing the 5 established operator, putting in a temporary for 30 days. They still have liability. 6 7 They have no control over day-to-day 8 operations, but that they maintain all of that seems problematic. 9 10 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: So I believe 11 our proposal calls for an initial 90 days, 12 with the opportunity for a 90-day extension. 13 And so again, Assemblywoman, our 14 intention would be that the temporary would 15 be as temporary as it could possibly be so we 16 are able to stabilize the program and figure 17 it out. We would want that agency, the sort 18 of primary agency to reassume full 19 responsibility for the program as soon as 20 they can. I'm not sure that --21 ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: I understand 22 that that might be intentional, but that's not what we're asking for in the bill. So I 23 24 feel like we need to step back and have more

1 of a conversation, because this -- it leaves 2 a little bit of uneasiness of what we're 3 asking for in this moment, because what we're 4 asking for is not what's reflected in the 5 language of our bill that we've selected here. 6 7 And I understand that you're saying close to closure, but what exactly are the 8 conditions that we're seeing that lead us to 9

10 close to closure? Because I imagine that you 11 still have the power to step in when it's in 12 imminent danger. Already, you have that 13 control and that reach.

14 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: We actually15 don't have that reach to go in.

16 What we do at OCFS -- I mean, we have 17 fortunately, I think, a very robust oversight 18 and monitoring of our foster care programs -which we think is good, others might think 19 20 perhaps is too robust. But nonetheless 21 because we have, I think, a really good sense 22 of what's going on on campuses and in 23 programs on a regular basis, we're not 24 surprised when there is something imminent

1 that comes up. And so we do a lot of work to 2 try and go in, offer technical assistance, work with them if it's a rate issue to see 3 4 wherever possible we can help them if there's 5 a fiscal issue. ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: I understand 6 7 that. But what I'm saying, you -- are you 8 saying right now that you do not have the ability to go in and address an issue when 9 10 there's imminent danger? 11 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: No, we 12 absolutely go in and address --13 ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: Okay, so that 14 was my concern. Because then this bill is 15 really about creating work plans. 16 So I just would like to say that I 17 don't think that we have the best solution 18 here. 19 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Okay. ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: And since 20 21 there's no fiscal impact related to this, 22 since this doesn't even define how the 23 temporary is going to be paid, that I would 24 like to see it pulled out so that we can

1 actually have a real conversation about it. 2 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Sure, we can 3 certainly have continued conversations. 4 ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: Oh, sorry. And 5 then we have an estimated budget of about \$6 million for Foster Youth College Success, but 6 7 we've only seen 1.5 added to the budget for 8 that. Do you have any comments related to that? 9 10 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: I don't. I believe that funding is actually in the State 11 12 Education Department budget. 13 ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: Okay, so we 14 don't address that part. 15 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: But it's a 16 fabulous program, I would agree. 17 ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: Do you know 18 currently what's happening with how many kids 19 we have aging out or that we anticipate aging 20 out of foster care this year, and where we 21 think they're being placed or where they're 22 going? OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: So we don't 23 24 have the exact numbers of kids who we expect

1 to be aging out of foster care, but I do know 2 that we have a number of kids and they are 3 one of our most challenging populations, 4 Assemblywoman, to make sure that when they 5 age out of care that they are not entering, right, the homeless system. And so I think 6 7 our districts have done a lot of work to try and create plans for kids. We are a state 8 9 that allows young people, upon consent, to 10 stay in foster care until they're 21, and we 11 really try and encourage them to do so. 12 But I can certainly get you those numbers and --13 14 ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: Okay. 15 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: -- follow up 16 with any conversation. ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: I would also 17 18 ask -- I know you just said that you're going to address the MSAR, so I will leave that. 19 20 And FFPSA, what are -- I think that 21 the review that I -- the synopsis said that 22 they were going to dedicate those, the three -- I think it was \$3 million of the funds --23 24 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yup.

1 ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: -- that they're 2 setting aside for background checks. And I 3 wanted to know if you can give a little more clarity on really what the intention is with 4 5 that money. OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Sure. So I 6 7 think you're referring to the Family First 8 Transition Fund. ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: Yes, Family 9 10 First, mm-hmm. OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: So that's 11 12 the fund that I spoke of a bit earlier. So 13 we're really wanting to provide some supports 14 to kinship and foster boarding home providers 15 that it's not easy for us to do now, given 16 our rather restrictive funding rules and 17 regulations. And so this is a new idea that would 18 19 allow localities to submit a plan to us to 20 say how would they use a portion of funding 21 to use creatively to help better support 22 kinship and foster boarding home providers across the state. Because down the road, 23 24 when Families First takes full effect, we're

1	going to be at risk of losing some of the
2	Title IV-E funding if we don't shift more
3	kids into foster and kinship care.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: I'm sorry, are
5	you saying that you're waiting for
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank thank
7	you. Thank you.
8	Senate?
9	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you,
10	Commissioner.
11	So I actually want to follow right up
12	on Assemblywoman Wright's questions about
13	foster care and funding. So as you
14	explained, we're shifting more and more
15	children into kinship foster care, which I
16	agree is an important model that we should be
17	expanding. But we don't necessarily have an
18	ongoing funding stream for that so that
19	localities are taking money from their Foster
20	Care Block Grant away from their other foster
21	care to pay for the kinship foster care.
22	Are we on a collision path between
23	these two models as far as having adequate
24	funding for the localities to meet both

1 needs?

2	OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: So I don't
3	think so. So the same funding stream, the
4	Foster Care Block Grant that now pays for
5	regular non-relative foster boarding homes is
6	equally available to local districts to use
7	to pay for kinship foster care.
8	You know, what happens a bit too often
9	is that kin are not encouraged to become
10	kinship foster families, where they get the
11	same financial and other support benefits.
12	We hear from the kinship advocates that
13	instead relatives are asked to take custody
14	outside of the foster care system and do not
15	receive, right, the commensurate board and
16	care rate and other services that are the
17	benefits of foster care.
18	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: But have we
19	potentially set up a model where the foster
20	care agencies which are supposed to be
21	encouraging kinship actually see it as a
22	threat to their ongoing funding because there
23	aren't separate dedicated funding streams?
24	So that if they are successful in what we

1 hope will happen, encouraging a kinship 2 foster care placement, they're actually 3 eating up their own potential budget. 4 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Except I 5 would just introduce one other important point, which is that they're also spending a 6 7 lot of money on congregate care in institutional placements in some counties 8 that are quadruple the cost of kinship foster 9 10 care or regular foster care. And that is a 11 fundamental premise of Families First, is 12 that you are reinvesting those dollars that 13 you're now spending on the highest level of 14 placements for kids, and you're using those 15 dollars to support relative foster boarding 16 homes and kinship foster boarding homes. 17 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And you also were 18 asked by another one of my colleagues about 19 -- that we're not in compliance with the 20 federal requirements. But we're also putting 21 in new requirements like Family first 22 background checks for residential providers, and I've been advised that could be a serious 23

24 expense for localities. And is there a

1 funding stream to help with that?

2 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes. So, 3 you know, based upon our oversight of the 4 foster care agencies and our assessment, many 5 of the agencies already do the background clearance checks for most of their employees. 6 7 You know, Families First now requires that all staff working in a program have the 8 9 background checks and clearances. 10 So our estimate of the fiscal is 11 relatively modest; it's around I think 12 \$150,000. And that we at OCFS plan to be 13 able to absorb that through the MSAR rate so 14 that is not passed down to the providers. 15 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 16 So I'm sure someone brought this up, 17 but I'll just say it like it wasn't brought 18 up. So we know that there's not a cost of 19 living adjustment included in your budget for 20 the contract agency workers. How many years 21 since you have made any adjustment for worker 22 costs in these contracts? OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: So I'll 23 24 answer it two ways. So one, for the foster

1 care agencies, absent the COLA, I think for 2 the past three years we have been successful 3 in working with the Division of the Budget on 4 making adjustments to those foster care 5 agency rates, the MSARs, Maximum State Aid Rates. And so we, within our means, have 6 7 tried to provide additional support to those foster care agencies using the MSARs. 8

For other not-for-profits that -- you 9 10 know, we have many of them that we work with at OCFS, we have been able to make 11 12 adjustments to their contracts in one of two ways. Sometimes it's if they are not 13 14 spending the money that they've been 15 allocated as part of their award, then we are 16 able to work with them in allowing them to 17 adjust their annual budgets that they do as 18 part of our contract management work.

19And then the other areas, there have20been investments made in trying to address21the impacts of minimum wage across -- so22we've been able to make investments and23mitigate the impacts of minimum wage.

24 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So you've

1 actually added to their contract allotments 2 based on the growth of the minimum wage? OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: 3 Yes. 4 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So that went up 5 again this month. So you're planning to do that again in their contracts as of what 6 7 date? OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: We do not 8 9 currently have funding, sustainable funding 10 to continue to keep pace with that. But we 11 have applied it where we have been able to, 12 to date. 13 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Are you able to 14 get us some data showing us how these salaries have increased or not in the 15 16 different fields that you contract with? 17 Because we're certainly getting 18 messaging from many in the not-for-profit 19 world that they don't have the money to meet 20 these new requirements we've actually placed 21 on them through the minimum wage increase, 22 and that they're having more and more problems with hiring and retention. 23 24 And I think you and I both agree that

1	that's a huge problem, when you go through
2	the exercise of finding a good person,
3	training that person, investing in that
4	person, and then they pick up and leave
5	because, to be quite blunt, they might be
6	asked to do enormously difficult work for the
7	same amount that McDonald's is now paying
8	under minimum wage.
9	OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: No,
10	absolutely understood.
11	Yes, I'll do my very best to get that
12	data.
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
14	much. Thank you.
15	OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Of course.
16	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman
17	Dickens.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN DICKENS: Thank you.
19	thank you, Madam Chair, and good morning,
20	Commissioner, and thank you for being here
21	for your testimony.
22	I have a couple of quick questions,
23	and I want to piggyback on the Senator's
24	question about the COLA. But mine is

1 specific to is there a line item or a funding 2 stream that allows for when leases expire, 3 where agencies are located, and the rents go 4 up, that allows for that? 5 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: So I want to say yes. I think that when we take into 6 7 account the budgets for both foster care programs as well as for not-for-profits, that 8 we take into consideration in their budgets 9 10 that they submit to us, right, the rising costs of rent or lease. 11 12 But if you have a specific program 13 that you are concerned about, I'd be more 14 than happy to speak with you offline and 15 address any other concerns you have. 16 ASSEMBLYWOMAN DICKENS: Thank you. 17 Also, on the Foster Care Block Grant, 18 in your testimony you indicated that foster 19 care is declining, the number of youth. You 20 said that it's at a record low. 21 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes. Yeah. 22 ASSEMBLYWOMAN DICKENS: All right. As part of that Foster Care Block Grant, can 23 24 some of that funding be allocated towards

1 addressing the opioid crisis that's impacting 2 upon many of our foster care youth? OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: 3 That's 4 a -- that is a great point. 5 So one of the other provisions of the Family First Prevention Services Act that we 6 7 spent a lot of time talking about is the idea 8 that you can take your Title IV-E dollars, which right now you can only use for care and 9 10 maintenance -- so for beds -- that states can 11 potentially reinvest some of that money into 12 using it for preventive services. 13 That sounds great. And it maybe is 14 great. But we're waiting for guidance from 15 the federal government because the definition

16 so far of the kinds of preventive service
17 programs are very, very prescriptive.

And so -- but one of the areas that they are focused on, Assemblywoman, is around substance abuse. And so we are going to be watching carefully for the federal guidance that they issue around the types of models and programs that we in New York State might consider reinvesting Title IV-E dollars into

1 to stretch our prevention service dollars. 2 ASSEMBLYWOMAN DICKENS: And on the 3 kinship foster care, background checks are 4 done as well. Is funding allocated towards 5 the kinship foster care at the same rate and with a COLA, like it is in traditional foster 6 7 care? OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes. So 8 someone who becomes a kinship foster parent 9 10 under an agency should be receiving -- and 11 again, within foster boarding homes there are 12 different levels based upon the needs of the 13 child. There's a special rate, and 14 exceptional. But it's all depending upon the 15 needs of that child. And so those kinship foster families should be able to avail 16 17 themselves of those same level of services. ASSEMBLYWOMAN DICKENS: And lastly, on 18 19 the program, the pilot program on the 20 two-year SUNY and CUNY campuses, is there a 21 minimum number of credits required to be 22 taken in order to qualify for that childcare? OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: I don't know 23 24 the answer to that. I will certainly find

1 that out for you.

2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN DICKENS: Could you get
3	back to me?
4	OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Of course.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN DICKENS: Thank you.
6	And thank you.
7	OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: You're
8	welcome.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate?
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
11	I want to introduce Senator Leroy
12	Comrie, who's joined us; Senator Sue Serino,
13	who's joined us.
14	And it's Senator Serino's turn to
15	question.
16	SENATOR SERINO: Hello, Commissioner,
17	how are you?
18	OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Good
19	morning. I'm good, thank you.
20	SENATOR SERINO: I'm going to switch
21	gears a little bit. I'm just arriving, and I
22	know you've been covering issues with youth,
23	which is great, but I'm going to switch
24	because of having previously been the chair

of Aging, and how we worked on the elder
 abuse hotline.

3 So my questions are with the bill. We 4 tried to make it 24/7, and the Governor 5 vetoed it, with concerns about money. And 6 then we were able to put it into place where 7 it would be extended from 5 o'clock to 8 8 o'clock at night, and I think we allotted 9 over \$300,000 for that.

10 So I was wondering where it stands now, because I think in June of 2018 we were 11 12 told that there wasn't a date of 13 implementation because you were seeking a 14 vendor to contract for the extension of the 15 hours. Even though we were told during the 16 budget process that the OCFS APS, Adult Protective Services, would be used. 17

18So a couple of my questions are, what19is the status of the extended hours? And20what is the status of the \$326 that were21added for the hours of extension?22I have two more. Do you want to

23answer those first, or do you want me to --24OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yeah, of

1 course.

2	Yeah, so our Human Services Call
3	Center, which is something we don't get to
4	talk about a lot, but we are very proud of at
5	OCFS, has been very happy to stand up the
6	Adult Protective Services hotline. And so in
7	2018 I think we received about 5300 calls
8	during daytime hours.
9	And then we did implement the
10	after-hours extension in September. And so I
11	can tell you that between September and I
12	believe the end of December, Senator, that we
13	received I think it's an additional 250
14	calls during the extended hours.
15	And it is our intention to continue to
16	provide that service.
17	SENATOR SERINO: Oh, good, that was my
18	next question, if it was going to be
19	continued.
20	Okay, we have no accurate or reliable
21	statistics on how many cases our state has
22	and how these cases are being addressed. I
23	know you just gave me the number on the calls
24	that you're getting, but then with regard to

1 how they're being addressed -- because I know 2 that we have a real concern that this issue 3 is going to remain something that's not a 4 priority for the Executive and will get lost 5 in the new Legislature, because we've heard that the legislative adds were taken away. 6 7 And as you know, elder abuse is something that is so underreported. 8 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: So anytime, 9 10 we are happy to share data and information. 11 I think you've probably met with Alan Lawitz, 12 our director of protective services, who is 13 as passionate as you are about this 14 population that sometimes is often in the 15 shadows of other populations. And so we're 16 happy to come and meet with you and share any 17 updated data and information. SENATOR SERINO: Great. Thank you 18 19 very much. 20 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: You're very 21 welcome. 22 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 23 Assembly. 24 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: I guess it's my 1 turn, Commissioner.

2	I wanted to follow up a little bit on
3	some of the conversation we had had prior to
4	the hearing and shift gears to the issue of
5	domestic violence. And you mentioned briefly
6	in your testimony some changes to the
7	requirement that survivors of domestic
8	violence apply for public assistance as well
9	as the ability of residential and
10	nonresidential programs to charge fees for
11	services.
12	So I was wondering if you could expand
13	upon what is the expected fiscal impact of
14	these changes, how does the Executive
15	proposal address the impacts and costs, are
16	we anticipating additional Title XX money,
17	and are we going to see some actual language
18	to accomplish this goal?
19	OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yeah, happy
20	to.
21	So I just wanted to start with why we
22	are pursuing this change. So we receive
23	about \$4.9 million in a federal grant called
24	the Federal Family Violence Preventive

1 Services Act. And the feds came in and did a 2 review of our program in New York State a 3 couple of years ago. And it took them a 4 while to issue their report, but they took 5 exception to New York State's long-standing statutory practice of requiring survivors of 6 7 domestic violence, when they are seeking shelter services, to require them to also 8 9 apply for public assistance. And as well, 10 for folks who are able, to provide a copay. And so we've been back and forth with 11 12 the feds. They have made it really clear to us and have in fact frozen our federal 13 14 funding now until we comply and make this 15 change. So that's just some additional 16 background to it. 17 I also want to say that while that 18 provision has been in place for a long time, 19 the intention of it was always in recognition 20 that while a survivor might come to the 21 Department of Social Services right in the 22 emergency, seeking shelter, it is not

24 that individual and/or her or his children

23

unlikely and it has often been the case that

need childcare, need heat, need SNAP
 benefits. So the whole idea was to recognize
 that this is a family in crisis and that we
 want to make sure, since they are there
 seeking shelter, that we are making them
 aware of other services.

7 But nonetheless, you know, I want to 8 also be clear that while the proposal is to 9 eliminate their requirement, we still want to 10 make sure that local districts are still 11 offering and encouraging families to apply 12 for those benefits. So I just wanted to 13 clarify that.

14So as to the money piece,15Assemblywoman, there's two pieces. So one,16counties can use their Title XX and they can17use their FFFS funding, which we all know how18complicated, you know, that all gets.

19But I was with all the local social20service commissioners just yesterday21afternoon, and of course they asked me, you22know, this very same question. And the23commitment that I made to them, which is24always supported by the Division of the

1 Budget, is that we will figure out a way to 2 make sure that there are no unfunded impacts 3 as a result of making these changes. 4 You know, we want to make sure we are holding onto that \$4.9 million, we don't want 5 6 to give that funding up. But we also 7 recognize that this change has the potential 8 in some counties to call on other resources that they have, and we intend to find a way 9 10 through that. 11 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 12 And will we see some budget language -- will we see proposed language to 13 14 accomplish that goal? 15 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: We can 16 certainly talk about that. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 17 Also you mentioned, again relating to 18 19 domestic violence, the Governor putting 20 together a task force to look at ways that 21 the agencies can work together to review 22 domestic violence service delivery. Is there a timetable, a process that OCFS will 23 24 undertake regarding the restructuring -- is

1 it the existing domestic violence task force, 2 or is there a new task force being formed? OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: 3 There's 4 actually a new group that we -- we've 5 actually begun to meet, in September of last year. So there are probably six state 6 7 agencies, each who have our own different 8 federal streams coming to us around domestic violence. 9 10 And so they have been really, really 11 productive meetings. And I think everyone is 12 in agreement that it makes sense for us as a 13 collective group of state agencies to be 14 lining up where all these investments are 15 going. So we're working on profiling 16 counties and regions about where are all 17 these various funding streams going. Then a whole other idea is that we 18 19 have traditionally all let our procurements 20 out separately, right, sort of not as aligned 21 in a coordinated way. And so one of the 22 goals of us is before OCFS lets out a procurement using our funding stream, is to 23 24 discuss it with the group to see if there are

1 gaps in other places across the state or if 2 there are ways that we can contribute in a 3 collective way to a broader investment 4 strategy, if you will, for domestic violence. 5 So I think it's been -- it has been really very, very productive so far, and I 6 7 think it's just really the beginning of much better alignment, not only among the state 8 agencies but obviously working closely with 9 10 the advocates, with NYSCADV and the providers across the state. So I feel very good about 11 12 it. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Great. Thank 13 14 you. Look forward to seeing the work that 15 comes out of that process and working to ensure that we don't have a loss of domestic 16 violence funding in the counties or in the 17 state. Thank you, Commissioner. 18 Senate? 19 20 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 21 And second round for Senator 22 Velmanette Montgomery. 23 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Yes, thank you, 24 Commissioner. I may have raised this last

1 year, I'm not sure, but I'm going to raise it 2 again. 3 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes, you 4 can. 5 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: You know, let me just say that I have seen a very informative 6 7 report, I think it was done for the ACS 8 commissioner's office. It's done by the Center for Children's Law and Policy. It is 9 10 a report on the success of Close to Home. 11 It's New York City-focused, but I found it 12 very, very informative. 13 And I'm using that as an example --14 I'm going to ask that the commissioner send 15 us all, because we would like to all see 16 this. But I want to use that as an example 17 of ways in which we could perhaps be more 18 supportive on an ongoing basis of planning 19 going forward. And the commissioner of 20 Labor, I've had conversations with her, she's 21 come to the district to look at programming. The ACS commissioner I've had lots of 22 23 conversations with, DYCD.

24 So what -- it occurs to me that, one,

we have evolving, changing needs that young people present from generation to generation. And now we have a new age group who are coming out of -- or part of the new paradigm in New York State -- in New York City in particular, but statewide.

7 And so there doesn't seem to be a plan that I can see that -- where all of you are 8 able to come together to look at what do we 9 10 need to do now to look at a reinvestment 11 program that allows you to address new needs, 12 the new ages that are coming out -- we can't 13 put them -- they're not necessarily going 14 back to school, so, you know, the education 15 that we talked about two months ago maybe 16 won't be relevant next year or the end of 17 this year. And they're going to need jobs, 18 they're going to need others kinds of 19 supports. What do we do about that? Do we 20 wait for a crisis, or do we make a plan to do 21 it?

And my question to you is, could we consider that you or your agency might be a lead agency to bring those commissioners --

1 I'm thinking Labor, I'm looking for funding 2 for youth in Labor for programs like YouthBuild, that's my big thing. I'm out of 3 4 time already. This is not enough time. 5 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: She's left you a yes or no. 6 7 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes. Yes, we can certainly do that. I think it's a 8 great idea, Senator. 9 10 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Maybe DCJS, you 11 mentioned. 12 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yeah. State 13 Education Department. 14 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: ACS, DYDC. 15 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes, I think it's a good idea. 16 17 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Okay, thank you 18 very much. 19 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 20 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 21 Now for our second, Assemblyman 22 Hevesi. 23 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Thank you. I'm 24 watching the clock, I've got to go fast.

1 I'll try to make it brief.

2 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Microphone. ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: I don't think 3 4 that's on. 5 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Ah, there we go. Thank you, Commissioner. I just want 6 7 to say thank you again for the answers you've 8 been giving to my colleagues and for the work 9 you and your staff do. 10 Just a couple of questions to piggyback off of some of my colleagues. 11 12 So the chairwoman asked about 13 providers no longer being allowed to charge 14 domestic violence victims. We've been doing that since 1994, is that accurate? We've 15 been charging domestic violence victims for 16 17 shelter since 1994? OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: I'm not 18 19 sure. Before my time, but it may be true. 20 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Okay. So I'm 21 glad we're getting rid of that. I'm 22 surprised it's the first time I've ever heard of it. That's good. 23 24 My question is, though, if you

1 eliminate that requirement -- which good for 2 you, I'm with you to do -- aren't the 3 providers going to have to pick up the cost? 4 Because I don't see an additional funding 5 stream to help them make up that deficit? OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: I think it 6 7 gets back to Senator Krueger's question about what do we intend to do -- or it was actually 8 Assemblywoman Weinstein's question about what 9 10 do we intend to do to make sure that that 11 doesn't happen. 12 So it's not as much the providers, 13 it's actually the local departments of social 14 services who pay the providers. And so 15 again, I hope you heard the Assemblyman just 16 say that we are committed to making certain 17 that this change does not result in an unfunded mandate to the counties. 18 19 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Okay. Let me 20 switch to PINS. There's a concern -- and 21 tell me if I'm wrong on the merits, I may be 22 flat-out wrong here. But you're eliminating the requirement -- or the ability for these 23

kids to be put in detention, right? Does

24

1 that mean a judge is not allowed to do it? 2 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: I do not 3 believe that the enacted Raise the Age 4 legislation changed the law for judges. 5 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Okay. The reason why I ask is if a judge is still allowed to 6 7 do it by eliminating the state reimbursement, 8 what you've just done is made the county pick up the full cost. So it's a cost shift to 9 10 every county. That's an unfunded mandate 11 like you wouldn't believe. So I'm concerned 12 about that. 13 And then let me go and leave you with 14 the nonprofit sector. It's my understanding 15 that the Governor's financial plan of this 16 past year, there was a 2.9 percent COLA, \$140 17 million savings built into the financial plan to help the workers, who really need the 18 19 help. What happened? Why are we not doing 20 the COLA? I understand that you're trying to 21 make up for it in other ways, but why was the 22 decision made to not give a COLA to the nonprofit sector? 23 24 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: So I think,

1	Assemblyman, it was just in context of the
2	overall state financial plan, which you
3	commented on
4	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Okay, which I
5	addressed earlier, yeah.
6	OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: earlier.
7	And as I said, we've done what we can at
8	OCFS.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: And I really
10	appreciate what you and your staff do at
11	OCFS. Thank you, Commissioner.
12	OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: You're
13	welcome.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
15	Senate?
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I think Senate is
17	done.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Okay, so
19	Assemblywoman Jaffee, second three minutes.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you.
21	Thank you so much. I wanted to follow up on
22	our discussion. In terms of what we referred
23	to earlier regarding SUNY/CUNY, how will the
24	families who currently receive

1 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Is your mic on? 2 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Pull it closer. ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: That better? 3 4 Okay. 5 How will the families who currently receive subsidized childcare from funding 6 7 streams associated with facilitated enrollment childcare or SUNY/CUNY childcare 8 otherwise obtain the childcare for their 9 10 children, given within the context of this 11 budget the Governor has actually in his 12 proposal eliminated those -- appropriation for those programs? 13 14 And so we mentioned it earlier, but 15 I'm concerned about there being that 16 opportunity financially. 17 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yes, so I 18 think, you know, Assemblywoman, anything that 19 has been a legislative add does not appear in 20 the proposed Executive Budget. So I think, 21 you know, that's part of it. 22 But I think the idea of the proposal in the Executive Budget is in recognition of 23 24 needing to provide additional support to

1	those parents who are attending school and
2	who need help with childcare.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: So we'll have
4	to work together and
5	OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Of course.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: move forward
7	with funding for that.
8	The second question, with Raise the
9	Age. Is there any requirement within the
10	funding of Raise the Age that there be
11	provided mental health services? I would
12	hope that within that context there would be
13	some requirement, because that's essential
14	for assuring that there is some way to be
15	able to assist the DQs
16	OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yeah, I
17	think it's actually a very thoughtful
18	question. And I don't believe that it was a
19	mandatory requirement for us in Raise the
20	Age. But by the same token, we did not
21	preclude mental health services or some kind
22	of intervention for young people to be a
23	proposal raised up by counties.
24	And I don't off the top of my head

honestly know how many county plans, you

1

2 know, had mental health provision of service
3 in it. But we can certainly talk more about
4 that.

5 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Perhaps that's 6 a conversation we can continue to have and 7 see if --

OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yeah. Yup. 8 9 And, you know, I think you also know, all of 10 you, right, that we're in the midst of the 11 transition to managed care for kids and 12 children in New York State. And the whole idea of that investment and transition was to 13 raise up the level of services, mental health 14 15 services, you know, for all children in New 16 York State, but certainly for our most at-risk population. So hopeful --17

ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Well, we need to have it, and my legislation would require every school to have mental health services. But we also need to encourage our youth to go into those careers, because that is another major issue that we have.

24 And I just want to -- I know I don't

have time, but I am very concerned about the 1 2 salaries for our childcare workers. We need 3 to provide more funding to be able to assure 4 that they have the kind of salaries that give 5 them the opportunities to sustain those programs and to be able to keep our workers 6 7 in those programs. 8 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Thank you. 9 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you so 10 much. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 11 12 Assemblyman Barclay. 13 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Thank you, 14 Chairwoman. 15 Commissioner, I just want to get some 16 clarification on the detention for PINS. 17 Currently you split the cost 50/50 of the detention with the counties? 18 19 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Yeah, I think it's 49/50 -- 51, I guess it would be. 20 21 Yeah. 22 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: So is it your contention by getting rid of that, the 23 24 counties obviously won't have to do the

1 50 percent matching, and they're going to use 2 that money for alternative types of 3 programming? 4 OCES COMMISSIONER POOLE: So that's 5 what we allow as part of our STSJP program. We started that, I want to say, maybe three 6 7 or four years ago as an attempt to start 8 driving the PINS reform, because we don't want these kids being in detention. So we 9 10 offered -- it was voluntary -- you know, to 11 counties to be able to project how many kids 12 that they could deter from hopefully being 13 placed in prevention by investing in other 14 services. 15 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Is that going to be a 50/50 -- how is the cost for that 16 17 program -- is it split? OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: So it's an 18 8.4 million investment in the budget that 19 20 allows that to happen. 21 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: So 8.4. So 22 there's no county expense in doing that? Is that going to cover all the costs of the 23 24 county?

1 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: No, I think it allows the counties -- it allows the 2 3 counties to shift the money that they have 4 spent on -- it's not additive, it's a shift. 5 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Okay. All right, thank you. 6 7 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: You're 8 welcome. 9 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you, 10 Commissioner. That is the end of the members' questions. There may be some 11 12 further ones, and I'm sure we'll be having 13 some dialogue as we go on. 14 OCFS COMMISSIONER POOLE: Thank you 15 all for your time. 16 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 17 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So next, the 18 19 New York State Office of Temporary and 20 Disability Assistance. The commissioner is 21 not here, but we have Barbara Guinn, 22 executive deputy commissioner. 23 Just wait a moment till OCFS leaves. 24 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Sure.

1 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Okay. So, 2 Deputy Commissioner, you can proceed. EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Good morning. 3 4 Good morning, Chairs Weinstein, Krueger, 5 Hevesi and Persaud, and other members of the Legislature. I am Barbara Guinn, the 6 7 executive --CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: I'm sorry, can 8 you just wait a moment? 9 10 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Sure. 11 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Do we have the 12 testimony? 13 Just hold one moment. Okay, I'm 14 sorry. We were -- I think people anticipated the other segment going a little longer. So 15 16 please proceed. 17 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Okay, thank you. Good morning, Chairpersons Weinstein, 18 19 Krueger, Hevesi and Persaud, and other 20 members of the Legislature. I am Barbara 21 Guinn, the executive deputy commissioner of 22 the State Office of Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance, and I am honored to be 23 24 here today on behalf of OTDA to discuss the

state 2020 budget and the important work of our agency.

3 OTDA's mission is to help vulnerable 4 New Yorkers meet their essential needs and 5 advance economically by providing opportunities for stable employment, housing 6 7 and nutrition. This work is accomplished in cooperation with local social services 8 districts. Each month our efforts result in 9 10 the issuance of critical benefits to help millions of low-income households meet their 11 12 basic needs, including the issuance of 13 Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Program 14 benefits, public assistance, and state 15 supplement payments for the aged, blind and 16 disabled.

17 Under the Governor's leadership, 18 progress continues in our efforts to provide New York's most vulnerable residents with 19 20 affordable, safe and secure housing. The 21 budget continues the Governor's unprecedented 22 \$20 billion investment in affordable housing, which is creating over 100,000 units of 23 24 affordable housing and 6,000 units of

1 supportive housing over a five-year period. 2 OTDA oversees numerous activities to address homelessness, including homeless 3 4 prevention services, the provision of rent 5 supplements, funding the construction and operating costs for homeless housing, and 6 7 housing retention services. 8 The Executive Budget includes \$64 million for OTDA's Homeless Housing and 9 10 Assistance Program, which provides capital grants and loans for nonprofit corporations 11 12 and municipalities to expand and improve the 13 supply of permanent, transitional, and 14 emergency housing for homeless persons. HHAP 15 projects serve a full range of homeless 16 populations, including but not limited to

victims of domestic violence, youth,veterans, and those suffering from mental

19 illness or substance abuse disorders.

Last year, 22 projects were funded
through HHAP, consisting of nearly 600 units
and more than 1,300 beds of homeless housing.
We expect to invest in a similar number of
projects this year.

1 OTDA has also greatly expanded 2 oversight of emergency homeless shelters in 3 recent years. In 2018, OTDA completed 4 inspections of all publicly funded homeless 5 shelters in New York, nearly 700 in total. OTDA is now working with the districts and 6 7 shelter providers to ensure the needed corrective action has been taken to address 8 any cited deficiencies. As a result, 9 10 improvements are being made in shelter 11 safety, security and habitability, along with 12 improved services to help residents secure 13 permanent housing. 14 Last year OTDA adopted a new 15 requirement for local social services 16 districts to develop comprehensive homeless 17 services plans to improve the coordination 18 and delivery of homeless services, including outreach to homeless individuals. Local 19 20 districts are also required to periodically 21 report on outcomes to gauge the effectiveness 22 of these efforts. This outcome reporting is expected to improve the delivery of homeless 23 24 services and permit us to better assess what

services are most effective. We will be
 monitoring these activities closely.

3 The Governor has continued to make a 4 strong commitment to fighting hunger. The 5 supplemental nutrition assistance program plays a key part in those efforts by helping 6 7 more than 2.7 million low-income working people, older adults and others to feed their 8 families each month. New York has taken 9 10 numerous steps in recent years to extend food assistance to New Yorkers in need. 11

12 While New York has one of the highest 13 SNAP participation rates nationally among 14 older adults and disabled adults, we know 15 that there are likely tens of thousands who 16 are eligible but still do not receive 17 assistance. By simplifying the application 18 process, OTDA can further increase 19 participation among these groups while 20 simultaneously improving nutrition and 21 reducing healthcare costs. 22 OTDA has requested a waiver from the U.S. Department of Agriculture to permit 23

24 New York State to streamline the SNAP

1 application and reduce reporting requirements 2 for those who are elderly and disabled. 3 Additionally, New York will be the 4 first state in the nation to participate in a 5 SNAP online purchasing pilot program that will allow recipients to purchase their 6 7 groceries online using SNAP benefits. This effort will expand access to healthy, 8 affordable food, particularly for those who 9 10 are elderly, homebound or who live in areas where access to healthy, affordable food is 11 12 limited. 13 The state fiscal year 2020 budget 14 provides \$44 million for the Summer Youth 15 Employment Program, which provides low-income 16 youth with constructive workforce experiences 17 that can help expand their education and 18 career goals. This amount represents a 19 \$4 million increase to help account for the 20 most recent minimum wage increase in the 21 state. The program helped more than 19,000 22 youth get a summer job last year, and we 23 expect the same this summer. 24 The Executive Budget also advances

1 legislation permitting public assistance 2 recipients to engage in 90-day job tryouts. 3 If enacted, participants will work with 4 for-profit, nonprofit and public-sector 5 employers, which will enable them to learn about their employer and their job while 6 7 receiving training to learn skills specific 8 to their workforce.

This job opportunity will benefit 9 10 individuals with barriers to employment, 11 young adults, or individuals who have a 12 limited work history, by offering a new 13 pathway to employment. Participating 14 employers will in turn agree to hire those 15 workers who demonstrate the necessary skills 16 following the end of the job tryout period.

17 The Governor's budget invests in a new 18 Refugee and Immigrant Student Welcome Grant, 19 in recognition of the difficulties children 20 of refugees and immigrants face in 21 acclimating to their new communities. These 22 grants will provide \$1.5 million a year for five years to schools with higher refugee and 23 24 immigrant populations to improve the delivery

1 of services, ensuring these children have the 2 support needed to not only handle academic 3 challenges but social, emotional, and health 4 concerns as well. This underscores the 5 Governor's commitment that New York will continue to welcome refugees and immigrants. 6 7 The Governor's budget also continues New York State's commitment to OTDA's other 8 core programs. This budget includes 9 10 resources to support the agency's child support program, which collected more than 11 12 \$1.8 billion on behalf of nearly 820,000 13 families last year. Child support is an 14 important source of income that helps 15 families achieve financial stability, reduces 16 child poverty, and encourages parents to be 17 more active in the lives of their children. Additionally, the Home Energy 18 19 Assistance Program helped more than 20 1.4 million older adults and low- and 21 middle-income New Yorkers to heat their homes 22 last winter and reduce energy needs through energy efficiency investments. OTDA also 23 24 continues to play an active role in the

1 Governor's Low-Income Energy Task Force, a 2 collaborative effort among state agencies to 3 develop new strategies to expand low-income 4 New Yorkers' access to clean energy and 5 ensure they are better served by the state's energy efficiency and assistance programs. 6 7 In the wake of the uncertainty caused by the federal government shutdown, the state 8 acted quickly to issue February SNAP benefits 9 10 to 1.4 million households early, about 11 93 percent of the current caseload, to ensure 12 sufficient federal funding for February 13 benefits. This unprecedented action 14 prevents, at least temporarily, the loss of nutrition assistance for 2.7 million 15 16 New Yorkers, as the federal government fails 17 to live up to its responsibilities.

We are honored to have a critical role in helping low-income households meet their basic needs as they work to achieve financial stability.

22 Thank you for the opportunity to speak 23 with you today. I welcome your comments and 24 questions.

1 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 2 I will go to Assemblyman Hevesi, chair of our Social Services Committee. 3 4 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Hi. Good 5 morning, Ms. Guinn. How are you? 6 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Good morning. 7 Good. 8 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Good. So first I want to thank you and your staff for the 9 10 great work you guys do. I do take issue with 11 the fact that the Executive seems to be 12 stealing staff from the Assembly. You guys 13 took Erin, the OCFS took Naomi. You guys are 14 killing me. If you come after my Jens or 15 Marie, there's going to be trouble. We'll 16 just keeping producing talent for you, 17 though. EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Thank you. 18 We'd appreciate that. 19 20 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: I also want to 21 say thank you for what the Executive has done to deal with the SNAP scare from the federal 22 government. The federal government is 23 24 falling down on the job. People are scared

to death, and they're worried that their SNAP benefit, food stamp benefits, are not coming. And the fact that you got them out early to help alleviate that is much appreciated. I really thank you for that. We hope that doesn't drag on for long.

7 I have a variety of questions on
8 different topics. I'm going to try to go
9 quickly, if I can.

10 And there's a lot of good stuff in the budget. There's stuff I don't like. I don't 11 12 like, I will tell you, the lack of addressing the homelessness crisis. I know that the 13 14 state is building. The HHAP money is good, 15 and I appreciate that. But with 23,000 more 16 people becoming homeless every year, we're 17 not building our way out of the homeless 18 crisis.

19And also I would suggest that next20year I hope there will be more money coming21for supportive housing. I know you've done226,000 units, and I appreciate that, but we23need to fulfill that commitment.

24 Now, let me go to the temporary

1 operator provision, because I'm unclear on --2 it looks to me like you're extending it for 3 three years. Am I correct, to 2021? 4 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Correct. 5 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Okay. Why? Have any counties lost public-assistance 6 7 reimbursements for not submitting a plan to OTDA? I mean --8 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: No, we have not 9 10 needed to rely on that provision yet. But we 11 are looking to extend it just in case there 12 is an emergency need for a temporary 13 operator. 14 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: And has any 15 shelter in the state had to have a temporary 16 operator come in? 17 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: We have not needed to use that provision to date. 18 19 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: I mean -- okay. 20 So -- okay. I didn't like the idea of the 21 temporary operator, for obvious reasons. It scares me a little bit. But the fact that it 22 23 wasn't needed, I will respectfully question 24 the logic of extending it. We can agree to

1 disagree.

2	I want to thank you for the human
3	trafficking money in the budget; there's an
4	extra \$2 million. That's great. What's that
5	going for? And I ask that question in the
6	context of the bill that both houses of the
7	Legislature passed unanimously last year that
8	would provide for culturally competent
9	shelters, mandated shelters for victims of
10	human trafficking. But 2 million doesn't
11	seem like a lot. Where is that money going
12	to go?
13	EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Okay. So yes,
14	the \$2 million that is added to the
15	appropriation to support victims of human
16	trafficking is directly the result of the
17	legislation that was passed last year.
18	It is intended primarily, though, to
19	address the increase in the individuals, the
20	groups of individuals that we are authorized
21	to serve through that program, because there
22	was an expansion in terms of the groups that
23	OTDA's nonprofits would serve.
24	We have also made amendments through

1 our contracts to make it clear that the 2 providers can use those funds that are 3 available to secure safe housing, in the event that that is a need for the victim. 4 Ιt 5 does not -- as you referenced, it does not intend to fully address the need for safe 6 7 houses. That's something that we are continuing to work on and explore, kind of 8 what would be the smartest approach moving 9 10 forward, can we leverage some existing capital construction that's out there and 11 12 make beds available to victims of human 13 trafficking, or what other alternatives are 14 there. So that is something that we intend 15 to work on throughout the year, and we would 16 welcome any input from you or other members 17 of the Legislature. 18 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Okay. So I 19 appreciate the 2 million. But the law 20 mandates that you have to have this housing 21 now. So are we going to have capital money 22 and operating money in this budget to fulfill the law that we all voted for, every member 23 24 of the Legislature?

1 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: So again, what 2 we have done is we've, you know, made it 3 clear in our contracts that those are 4 services that can be provided. But you are 5 correct that the budget does not include specific funding associated with capital 6 7 construction that may be needed for safe housing. 8 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Okay, I just --9 10 we can have conversations offline. I know it's needed. The numbers of human 11 12 trafficking victims are pretty high. 13 I don't know why the chairwoman is 14 harassing me as I'm speaking, but --CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: -- just doing 15 16 housekeeping. 17 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Housekeeping, 18 okay. Next topic. The cost shift for 19 20 New York City's share of family assistance --21 why is it that New York City alone is being asked to contribute to the local cost of 22 family assistance in the form of 10 percent 23 24 of the total cost? Why is -- how did we come

1 up with that?

2	EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: So right now
3	family assistance expenditures throughout the
4	state are reimbursed 100 percent with federal
5	funding. And this increase to require New
6	York City to contribute 10 percent is
7	primarily just due to the fact that their
8	expenditures for that caseload are far out of
9	proportion of their share of the caseload.
10	So the hope is is that by having at
11	least just a nominal share at stake, that
12	perhaps they can seek additional
13	efficiencies. But again, still 90 percent of
14	those expenditures will be reimbursed.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Okay. Just
16	it's a big hit to the city. They're saying
17	it's over \$100 million. And that's federal
18	money, it's a pass-through from the state.
19	So I'm just not clear, if the state saves
20	money off of that, where is that money going
21	to go?
22	EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: So again, it is
23	federal funding, but it's federal funding
24	that comes from a capped block grant. The

1 Temporary Assistance to Needy Families block 2 grant is capped. It has not been increased 3 since it was first implemented in 1996. And 4 that block grant is fully committed, as you 5 can see in OTDA's budget, to meet a range of needs for low-income individuals. 6 7 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: But it will be used -- whatever is left over that the state 8 saves will be used for low-income 9 10 individuals. EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Absolutely. 11 12 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Okay, thank you. 13 Then let me ask you about the 14 additional \$4.5 million for the poverty 15 reduction initiatives. My limited research 16 into the poverty reduction initiatives did 17 not yield great results. As a matter of 18 fact, finding out how the money was spent was 19 pretty difficult. Why are we adding more 20 money to a program that I can't tangibly say 21 has had a positive impact? I'm not against 22 it, because, you know, if you're putting money towards poverty, I'm for it. But I 23 24 don't know the results of those programs, so

1 it makes me wary.

2	EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Okay. So first
3	of all, if you've had difficulty getting
4	access about what's going on with the
5	existing funding, we would be more than happy
6	to sit down with you or your staff and
7	provide information or submit information to
8	you.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Great.
10	EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: In terms of the
11	outcomes, the Empire State Poverty Reduction
12	Initiative was structured in a way that was
13	clearly intended to have broad community
14	input and be very much grassroots-driven. As
15	a result, it has taken, I think, each of the
16	areas much more time than they anticipated,
17	both to establish their workgroups but also
18	for those workgroups to come to
19	recommendations for the local task forces on
20	how they would like to invest those funds.
21	So in most areas, to be honest, they
22	are just getting underway in terms of not the
23	work of the task force but the work of
24	actually investing in opportunities to reduce

1 poverty at the local area.

2	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: So can I
3	recommend something? So first of all, I
4	appreciate the fact that you've put
5	\$4.5 million in. That's great. But I would
6	respectfully suggest that how many task
7	forces were there? There were like 15
8	EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Sixteen.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Sixteen, right.
10	I know that Rochester is doing bang-up job.
11	I visited them. I know some others couldn't
12	even tell me what they've done with the money
13	so far. So instead of putting more money
14	into a program that we don't know the results
15	yet which I'm happy that you have money
16	I would respectfully suggest that we wait to
17	see if those programs yield the results we're
18	looking for and use 4.5 million for some
19	other benefit that we could all come to
20	agreement on, for programs that actually
21	exist childcare subsidies or Raise the Age
22	or Close to Home or something else. I just
23	ask you to consider that.
24	EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Right. So I

1 think that the \$4.5 million, one reason why 2 it is essential is that it will continue to 3 fund the staff at the nonprofits who are 4 overseeing the work of the local task forces. 5 And they are the ones who are responsible for implementing the programs, overseeing them, 6 7 monitoring them, and then being able to tell us, as you've asked, exactly what are the 8 outcomes that we've seen. 9 10 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: That is a very 11 good answer, because I don't want to take 12 money away from nonprofits who are doing a good job. But I don't know the results of 13 14 the programs yet, so just -- I want to have a 15 further conversation offline with you about 16 that. 17 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Sure. 18 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: And then finally, 19 the work experience program that you guys 20 came up with, very interesting. First of 21 all, I like the idea that you have -- in the 22 90-day period it can count as a work activity. That's great. 23 24 But tell me about the program, because

1 to be honest with you, I don't want to 2 misrepresent it.

EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Okay. So the 3 4 program is structured so that individuals who 5 are in receipt of public assistance for a 90-day period would be placed with and 6 7 working with an employer. During that 90-day 8 period, they would continue to receive their public assistance SNAP or any other benefits 9 10 that they are eligible for. 11 During the 90-day period, they would 12 learn more about the workforce and demonstrate their ability to be successful --13 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Can I cut you 14 off? Because I have 25 seconds and I don't 15 16 want to go over. 17 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Okay. 18 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Number one, the 19 Assembly has had a bill for a couple of years 20 to get rid of work experience programs 21 because we have seen them become indentured 22 servitude, where people are getting their benefits which they are legally entitled to, 23 24 they're required to work, and they're not

getting any training. And they never get off
 public assistance. That's number one. So it
 scares me.

And number two, in your proposal, as I 4 5 can tell, there's no requirement that after the 90-day period that the employer keep that 6 7 person in a job for any period of time. So 8 they can give them a job for a day and then, you know, we benefit nothing, because that 9 10 person may or may not have been trained and 11 doesn't have a permanent job. 12 I'm not against the idea, I just think we need to flesh it out some more. 13 14 Sorry for going over my time, 15 Chairwoman. 16 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you, 17 Mr. Hevesi. 18 Senate? 19 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: (Pause.) I'm sorry. Excuse me. So sorry. 20 21 Senator Roxanne Persaud, chair of the 22 Social Services Committee. SENATOR PERSAUD: Thank you, 23 24 Commissioner, it's great to see you again.

I'm just going to continue what
 Assemblymember Hevesi was talking about, the
 public assistance program.

4 Could you tell us -- you know, we were 5 having this conversation last night as to whether, you know, the 90 days is here, and 6 7 the day after, the employer says, you know, we have nothing for you, we'll let you go, or 8 the person who was in the program, three days 9 10 after the 90 days, they decide to show their true colors. There's nothing that's built in 11 12 that says -- a requirement that says what must be done. 13

EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: So again, I do 14 15 want to make it clear that this is very 16 different and distinct from the work 17 experience program that I know some members 18 have concerns with, the primary difference 19 being that it is directly connected to a job 20 placement. And so after that 90-day period, 21 the individual would be expected to be hired 22 in paid employment with that employer. Which is very different from work experience. It 23 24 also is time-limited, which is very different

1 from work experience.

2	SENATOR PERSAUD: Can it say what
3	kinds of employment they must offer? Because
4	they can say they're in a program and then
5	just, you know, what they're training them
6	for, and after the 90 days they
7	EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: So the
8	expectation would be that the social
9	services districts would have agreements with
10	these employers, and the expectation would be
11	that the position that they were brought into
12	for the tryout would be the position that
13	they would then become a full-time paid
14	employee in that position.
15	There are protections put in place
16	with the legislative language as well where
17	if the employer does not retain the employee
18	at the end of the tryout period, they would
19	be barred for periods of time from
20	participating in the program.
21	And also I would say if the districts
22	see and we could certainly enforce this
23	provision. If districts find that at the end
24	of that 90-day period that the person is only

1 hired for a limited time and then let go 2 without good cause, such as plant closure, 3 something like that beyond the employer's 4 control, then, again, we would encourage 5 districts to not engage with that employer. That really would have no benefit to 6 7 either the individual or the social services 8 district. The goal is for individuals to have a new opportunity to private-sector 9 10 employment that's lasting. SENATOR PERSAUD: Do you have a number 11 12 as to how many recipients would be eligible for this program? 13 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: I don't have a 14 15 number, but I would say any public assistance 16 recipient that is capable of working and 17 looking for work would be eligible to 18 participate, to the extent that the social services districts are able to bring 19 20 employers into the program and encourage 21 their participation. 22 SENATOR PERSAUD: Why not ask the employers to make it a mandatory program if 23 24 they're participating, instead of, you know,

1 their having the choice? Why isn't it 2 mandatory, the employment aspect? EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Well, I mean, 3 4 with the current construct with public 5 assistance programs, any individual can be required to participate in any work 6 7 preparation activity, including looking for 8 work, education, training, a various range of 9 programs. 10 And so again, this is just another opportunity that will give districts a work 11 12 opportunity that has a very direct relationship to paid employment. 13 14 SENATOR PERSAUD: Do you have a 15 timeline when you'll put out a report on, you 16 know, whether the program -- the success of 17 the program? EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: I would say 18 19 that if it's enacted, we would move quickly 20 to issue guidance. And hopefully next year, 21 if not sooner, then February of next year we 22 would be able to report back to you on how the program is going. 23 24 SENATOR PERSAUD: Okay. And we put in place, you know -- hoping this is going to go through, how are you going to be putting out the information, letting people know of this program?

5 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Because it 6 would be up to the social services districts 7 to develop these jobs, we would put a 8 directive out to the social services 9 districts governing the program along with 10 regulations governing the provisions of the 11 program.

12 SENATOR PERSAUD: Okay. Thank you. 13 Going back to homelessness, as you 14 know, the homelessness crisis does not seem 15 to be ending. I think every day you look 16 around and there are more people on the 17 streets than ever before. And more people are coming to the State of New York because 18 19 they are told that it's easier to receive 20 services when you come to the State of 21 New York. And so you come, and you're on the 22 street.

How do we prevent this from happening,people just coming to New York because they

1 know it's easier if they are on the street 2 the first day that we're offering them services? 3 4 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: I don't think 5 there's anything we can do to prevent a person from coming to New York. I mean, we 6 7 welcome --SENATOR PERSAUD: No, we're not trying 8 9 to prevent them from coming to New York. 10 It's the social service aspect of it, them 11 coming because they know, day one you're on 12 the street, we'll do something. 13 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Right. I mean, 14 New York does have a rich array of services 15 under the social services umbrella, and 16 anyone who is a resident of New York would be 17 able to apply for those programs and benefits 18 just like anyone else. 19 I think that the only -- you know, in 20 the event we could get other states to have 21 the full range of services that New York has, that could make a difference. But I --22 again, anyone who comes is not only eligible 23 24 but welcome to apply for services they may

1 need.

2	SENATOR PERSAUD: How closely are you
3	working with local governments to prevent the
4	homelessness issues we're experiencing?
5	EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: So we work
6	closely with New York City and other
7	localities with respect to homelessness in a
8	number of ways, both with respect to helping
9	to support funding associated with
10	prevention, to prevent homelessness in the
11	first place.
12	We also work with them in our
13	monitoring of the shelter system to make sure
14	that homeless housing that is out there is
15	safe and, again, providing the services that
16	are needed. And that's really important that
17	residents of homeless shelters are receiving
18	services to help them secure permanent
19	housing.
20	We also work with them in a new
21	provision that's in place now which we are
22	having each social services district
23	throughout the state develop comprehensive
24	homeless services plans. And part of that is

1 really an effort to encourage more 2 collaboration among the range of homeless 3 services providers but also, importantly, to 4 provide us at the state level more 5 comprehensive data about the different homeless services preventions so we're better 6 7 able to assess what's really working. 8 SENATOR PERSAUD: You know, we're doing all of that, but the problem is it's 9 10 increasing. EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: It is. And I 11 12 think that the primary investment that's made 13 in this budget to try to stop the rise in 14 homelessness -- which did increase just 15 slightly last year -- the primary investment 16 to prevent homelessness is really through 17 affordable housing. And so that would both 18 be through affordable housing programs 19 operated by Homes and Community Renewal, and 20 then also the supportive housing programs 21 where OTDA does play a role. 22 Also kind of outside of our budget but very important is also some of the tenant 23 24 protections that the Governor has included in

his budget proposal and State of the State to
 help individuals stay housed.
 SENATOR PERSAUD: My final question,

4 it's the temporary operator authority.
5 Again, I'll ask that question again, we
6 haven't had anyone who needed a temporary
7 operator. Why are we really asking for the
8 extension? You're saying just in case, but
9 we're seeing that over time we really haven't
10 had the need.

EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Again, we --11 12 you know, I think similar to what 13 Commissioner Poole said, we certainly are 14 working with respect to our oversight of the 15 shelter system to address deficiencies and to 16 get them corrected as soon as possible. But 17 again, just in the event that we do need a temporary operator, we want to make sure that 18 there's provision for that. 19

20SENATOR PERSAUD: Do you have any21plans in place for any new temporary22shelters?23EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Does OTDA have

24 plans in place?

1 SENATOR PERSAUD: Yeah. 2 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: We do not 3 control the kind of opening and closing --4 well, sometimes closing, if there are 5 deficiencies. But in terms of the opening of new shelters, that's really a local decision 6 7 about the need. And then the social services districts would then present a plan or a 8 request to us for us to issue a certificate 9 10 of operation. 11 SENATOR PERSAUD: Have you received 12 any of those? EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: We have 13 14 received several of those over the past year. 15 SENATOR PERSAUD: Have you received a 16 number of them from New York City? 17 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: We have 18 received a number from New York City. SENATOR PERSAUD: Would you be able to 19 20 give us areas of the city that you have 21 received the request for? 22 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Sure. I don't 23 have that information with me here today, but 24 we certainly could get back to you on those

1 applications.

2	SENATOR PERSAUD: Okay, thank you very
3	much.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Assembly.
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
6	Assemblywoman Didi Barrett.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: Thank you.
8	Thanks for being here, and thank you. Thank
9	you, Chair.
10	I am the newly appointed chair of
11	Veterans Affairs. And I know this is
12	there's another piece of this testimony
13	that's focused on that. But I'm particularly
14	interested in what your office is focusing on
15	around veterans, and I would say specifically
16	homeless women veterans returning and whether
17	you're keeping track of these numbers,
18	whether you're monitoring this population,
19	whether there's any specific information that
20	you're gathering that could be helpful to us
21	to make sure that we're doing what we should
22	be doing for these people who are serving our
23	country in, you know, the most profound way.
24	EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Right. So of

1 the approximately 92,000 homeless in the 2 State of New York, I believe we have about 3 1,300 homeless who are veterans. 4 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: Who you know 5 are veterans. EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Who we know are 6 7 veterans. Correct, that would be accurate. I guess from a positive standpoint, we 8 have seen a significant reduction in the 9 10 number of veterans who are homeless in the State of New York. I believe we've seen 11 12 about an 80 percent reduction since I believe 2010. 13 14 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: Counties are 15 working hard on that, I know. EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Yes, I was 16 17 going to say and that is primarily -definitely -- thanks to the efforts at the 18 19 local level both by the social services 20 districts, also some federal prioritization 21 in terms of the funds available that are 22 available to address homelessness through the continuum-of-care organizations. And so 23 24 again, the priority that localities have

1 placed on reducing the number of homeless 2 veterans has definitely paid off. 3 With respect to -- you asked about 4 female veterans, and that is something, 5 again, I don't have that information. I would have to check to see if we have a 6 7 breakdown -- which we probably do -- in terms of male versus female. 8 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: And is that 9 10 data that you would normally collect or --EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Again, with the 11 12 homeless services plans, that's another 13 reason that those are important for us, is to 14 get better data on a routine basis about the nature of homelessness in New York State. 15 Most of the information -- while 16 New York City publicly displays a lot of 17 18 information that we have access to, some of 19 the other information is not routinely 20 provided but will be routinely provided once 21 these homeless services' plans are up this 22 spring. We do have access to the information that is collected from the point-in-time 23 24 surveys done each January.

1 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: Let me just 2 ask you another question in this vein here. 3 You know, I'm always kind of stymied by --4 and I've used this example before, that if 5 you're, you know, a Vietnam vet, which means you're probably over 65 or more, you're 6 7 dealing with PTSD, you're dealing with 8 similar issues, you're homeless, you're struggling with substance abuse. That's like 9 10 six -- five different agencies that you have 11 to be accessing and figuring out how to 12 negotiate under very challenging circumstances. 13 14 Is there any effort to be breaking 15 down these silos and you meeting with 16 other -- I mean, I know the federal funding 17 stream suggests that you've got to do this in 18 some certain protocol. It just seems in 19 terms of human services that we're missing a 20 real opportunity here. Is that something 21 that your office has expressed any interest 22 in taking the lead on or looking at or any of that? 23 24 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Right. We

1 recognize that human services is delivered 2 through a number of different agencies, in 3 particular at the state level. And one of 4 the things that we are working on and have 5 been working on over the past year is to improve -- in particular when it comes to the 6 7 homeless situation and addressing the needs of the homeless, is to improve the service 8 9 delivery.

10And we have brought together state11representatives and local representatives12from the various agencies in trying to come13to better approaches to make sure that things14are well-coordinated and that individuals'15needs are being fully addressed.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: Well, I would encourage that. I'm glad to hear that and certainly encourage especially, you know, when you get the mental health issues and the substance abuse issues, which are again also separate, you know, that that is part of the conversation as well.

23 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Right. And24 again I would just add, you know, that again

1 at the Governor's direction, both OASAS and 2 the Office of Mental Health have actually 3 over the past year also spent a good deal of 4 time working with us to help make sure that 5 those services are kind of pushed into the shelter system to make sure that individuals 6 7 who are homeless have access to those necessary services where that clearly is an 8 underlying contributing factor to the fact 9 10 that they are homeless. 11 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: And obviously 12 shelters are not fully robust in every one of our counties either. 13 14 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Right. 15 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: So it's the 16 long-term housing solutions that we need to 17 be looking at as well. 18 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Absolutely. ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: Great. Thank 19 20 you very much. 21 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: You're welcome. 22 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. I 23 just want to acknowledge that Assemblyman 24 Billy Jones has joined us -- a while ago,

1 actually.

2	Senate?
3	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
4	Senator Seward.
5	SENATOR SEWARD: Thank you.
6	Thank you for being with us,
7	Ms. Guinn, and I just had a couple of
8	questions for you. I just wanted to kind of
9	get some clarification.
10	The Executive Budget as I read it
11	projects a 1.1 percent decline in a family
12	assistance caseload, but it also includes an
13	increase in the appropriation authority of
14	\$90 million for this Family Assistance
15	Program. You know, in light of the overall
16	decline in caseload, why is OTDA requesting
17	an additional appropriation authority there?
18	EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Right. So
19	while the caseload is declining slightly,
20	there are a lot of different factors that
21	play into the amount of money that's needed
22	to support those benefit payments and
23	services to that population. It could
24	include special payments that are necessary,

1 potentially due to litigation, or even

2 increased services that are being provided
3 for that population, including services for
4 homeless individuals.

5 SENATOR SEWARD: I see. So the 6 remaining caseloads in some cases are more 7 expensive, is that what you're -- to provide 8 services?

9 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Correct. The 10 range of services that are provided, and the 11 benefits, overall there is a slight increase 12 in funding needed to support those.

13 SENATOR SEWARD: Okay. Switching to 14 the Safety Net Assistance Program, which is 15 of course in state dollars, reading there 16 would indicate that the budget proposes an 17 increase in appropriation authority of \$14.7 million on a projected caseload of 18 19 .4 percent. So that's a slight uptick in 20 terms of the safety net caseload.

21 To what do you attribute this uptick 22 in the Safety Net Assistance Program? 23 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: So again, it 24 would be that even though kind of the

1 base-level benefits are staying the same, 2 there are a lot of different factors that 3 play into the overall amount of money that's 4 needed to support that caseload. 5 Again, it could include payments that are necessary as a result of prior 6 7 litigation, which could result in increased payments to some households or increased 8 recipients; and then also, again, related 9 10 services, including the provision of shelter 11 services. 12 SENATOR SEWARD: My question is, to 13 what do you attribute the uptick in terms of 14 the Safety Net Assistance Program caseload? EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Oh, in terms of 15 16 the population, the increase overall? SENATOR SEWARD: Right. 17 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Again, I think 18 19 it's a very small increase. So I think it's 20 just that that population doesn't tend to 21 adjust as quickly to improved economic 22 conditions. So as we see the family caseload is declining a bit, that caseload tends to be 23 24 comprised of individuals who are more able to

work, versus our safety net population tends
 to be prominently comprised of individuals
 who have barriers to employment, and it's not
 as readily able to rejoin the workforce as
 the families.

6 SENATOR SEWARD: Does your agency have 7 any plans for taking additional steps to 8 reverse that trend or to change some of the 9 conditions that you've just outlined?

10 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Right. And 11 again, because that population has such 12 serious needs -- and I think going back to 13 the question that was asked previously, some 14 of those services certainly go beyond the 15 range of services that OTDA oversees.

16 So one thing that we have been doing, 17 again, is working closely with our colleagues 18 at the Office of Mental Health and OASAS, 19 trying to make sure that those needy 20 individuals have access to those services.

Again, their reason for homelessness or their reason simply for relying on public assistance is often not simply due to their inability to find work, but to underlying

1 barriers that really need to be addressed. And so we are trying to -- again, working 2 3 collaboratively with those partners, to bring 4 those services to that population. 5 SENATOR SEWARD: Well, thank you for your responses. No further questions. 6 7 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Okay, thank you. 8 9 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 10 Assembly. 11 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 12 Assemblyman Barclay. 13 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Thank you, 14 Chairwoman. 15 I had just one quick question about 16 the incentive for the businesses to 17 participate in the 90-day tryout. Why would a business, why would they want to do this? 18 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: I think that 19 one reason -- well, businesses need workers. 20 21 And obviously when there are individuals in 22 populations that may be a little bit more risky for them to take on, because someone 23 24 may not have a strong work history or perhaps

1 they've recently left incarceration, or other 2 factors that make it difficult for people to 3 secure employment -- there are businesses 4 that need workers and even businesses that 5 may want to reach out to those populations to try to help them and bring them into the 6 7 workforce. This would enable them to do that with less risk to their business. 8 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: How is it less 9 10 risk? EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Because during 11 12 the 90-day tryout period they would not be on 13 the employer's payroll. 14 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Okay. But they 15 have to hire them at the end of the 90 days. EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: They would need 16 17 to hire them at the end of the 90 days if the individual in fact demonstrated that they 18 19 were able to perform the work. 20 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Have you 21 considered providing tax credits or some sort of incentive for a business to --22 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: There certainly 23 24 are tax credits that provide incentives to

1	employers to hire disadvantaged populations
2	and individuals in need. And, you know,
3	there are other options too, as well as like
4	wage subsidy programs is another option that
5	is helpful to encourage employment among this
6	population. This again would just be simply
7	one other tool that's available to help these
8	individuals secure a job.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Thank you.
10	Thank you, Chairwoman.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
12	Senate.
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
14	Senator Velmanette Montgomery.
15	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Thank you.
16	Thank you, good afternoon is it
17	afternoon yet?
18	EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Yes, it is.
19	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: We we lose
20	sight of
21	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Good afternoon.
22	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Commissioner,
23	hello. How are you?
24	EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Hi, I'm good,

1 thank you.

2	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: I'm going to
3	follow up on one of the issues raised by my
4	colleagues in terms of the homelessness.
5	So I see that the Governor's budget
6	proposes a 30 percent reduction in funding
7	for DHCR, and some of that might be also
8	might impact affordable housing. But could
9	you give me some idea where your housing fund
10	is on HHAP? Because you mentioned the lack
11	of housing. And I know that you have a
12	special fund for housing
13	EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Right.
14	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: and some of it
15	goes for the kind of housing that would
16	address homelessness.
17	EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Correct.
18	Right. Our agency oversees the Homeless
19	Housing and Assistance Program. And that
20	program is there's a \$64 million capital
21	appropriation within our agency to support
22	that. And it does go specifically to helping
23	to create homeless housing, with the vast
24	majority being supportive housing.

1 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Okay, that sounds 2 very good. Is there any plan yet or does 3 this -- do you have a plan yet as to how you 4 intend to address that, especially in those 5 places that are particularly difficult, like our -- like New York City? 6 7 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: HHAP certainly plays a role in the development of supportive 8 housing in New York City as well as other 9 10 areas of the state. In rest of state it 11 tends to be a larger contributor to the 12 overall project, and often it cannot actually 13 be the sole source of support for that 14 project in rest of state. 15 In New York City, often because there 16 are often larger projects with multiple 17 funding streams, HHAP often serves as kind of 18 that critical grant component that enables 19 that supportive housing to really be 20 economically feasible for them to open in the 21 city. 22 Last year with respect to our provision of units of homeless housing 23 24 through HHAP, I believe about 50 percent were

1 opened in New York City.

2	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Okay. When you
3	have that, it would be interesting to see.
4	EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Okay.
5	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: One last related
6	question. The Empire State Poverty Reduction
7	Initiative, do you have any results on just
8	what areas, what the plans have been that
9	have been developed? Are they yielding any
10	success?
11	EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Right.
12	Certainly. That goes back to a question that
13	was raised earlier, Senator. And again,
14	those plans, the way the entire program was
15	structured, expected and required actually a
16	large amount of local collaboration, both in
17	the establishment of the task forces, to make
18	sure that there was broad-based community
19	input into the task forces, as well as
20	decision-making on how those funds would
21	actually be invested.
22	So areas now have plans in place, and
23	I would say many of them are just recently
24	starting to actually implement the programs

1 that the

that the localities decided to fund.

2 You know, there's a broad range of the 3 services that are available in the different 4 areas. Most areas are including a workforce 5 component, in recognition of the important role that employment plays as kind of like 6 7 the surest pathway out of poverty. So there's a lot of workforce initiatives. 8 There are also some initiatives to kind of 9 bring kind of DSS caseworkers and to move 10 11 them out into the community, into community 12 hubs or even in schools, to make sure that low-income families have access to the 13 14 services that they need. 15 And then a large number of other --16 there are a lot of projects within the

different programs. And I would hope that, again, the money that's in the budget is really important to enable those nonprofits to continue to oversee the implementation of those projects. And hopefully next year we will have specific results that we can bring to your attention.

24 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: I certainly hope

1	I I have just a few seconds left. I would
2	like to see what those plans look like.
3	EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Sure.
4	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: And I'm very
5	interested in knowing the weight that those
6	plans place on jobs, as opposed to preparing
7	to think about a job. But a job is very
8	different, and so
9	EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Absolutely. I
10	would completely agree with you.
11	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Thank you.
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
13	Assembly?
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman
15	Jaffee.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you. We
17	discussed that there is a program for the
18	Summer Youth Employment Program. How does
19	that
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Pull your mic
21	closer to you.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Does that work
23	better? Okay. Sorry.
24	You noted that in the budget there's

1 \$44 million for the Summer Youth Employment 2 Program. How does that evolve in certain 3 areas? Is it just in the city, is it certain areas upstate? How does that develop? And 4 5 how do the students or the youth get engaged in that? 6 7 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Okay, thank you for that question, Assemblymember. 8 The Summer Youth Employment Program is 9 10 a program that is available statewide. So 11 every county department of social services 12 receives an annual allocation to support the 13 program. So it is in every county. 14 In many instances the counties 15 actually then will kind of work in 16 partnership with the local workforce 17 investment board to actually administer the 18 program. Often that works best because they 19 have strong connections with employers, so 20 they do a really good job of getting a broad 21 range of employers to participate in the 22 program and a good mix of private sector as well as nonprofit and public positions as 23 24 part of that.

1 So again, each year as soon as the 2 budget's passed, we issue the allocations, 3 along with instructions in terms of kind of 4 the broad program parameters for services, 5 including the fact -- the requirement to pay individuals at least the state minimum wage. 6 7 And then, again, the counties submit a plan 8 to us about how they're implementing that 9 program.

10 In terms of youth and how the youth 11 get enrolled in the program, most areas have 12 very strong connections with the school 13 districts, so they're actually working with 14 the school districts and counselors during 15 the spring to make sure that they're getting 16 referrals to engage in that program.

And we also encourage strong
collaborations to try to also engage in
particular individuals with disabilities,
foster care youth, and other special-needs
populations.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Generally high school, is that where it begins? Or is it --EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Individuals are

1 eligible to participate in the program as young as 14. It's 14 to 20. I think the 2 3 majority of participants are 16-to-17-4 year-olds. But certainly it does start at 14. 5 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Well, it sounds 6 7 like really a helpful program because you have these youth during the summertime. 8 Instead of being in situations that are not 9 10 positive, here they have something positive. 11 So I thank you. That's very interesting. 12 I'll do a little more homework on that. The next question is about the student 13 14 welcome grant regarding schools that have 15 large numbers of immigrant students. How 16 does that evolve? 17 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: So that 18 appropriation for that program is actually in the State Education Department budget, and 19 20 OTDA is identified as an agency that would 21 help to administer the grant. 22 So the funds will be targeted to school districts that have high percentages 23 24 of refugees and immigrants. And we have not

had the opportunity, since the budget was 1 2 released last week, to meet with the State 3 Education Department, but hopefully within 4 the coming week or two we will sit down with 5 them. ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Yeah, because 6 7 these schools certainly need more funding, 8 especially for English language learners, they need more funding to be able to provide 9 10 a wide range of support for them. I have a 11 district like that, East Ramapo, which is 12 certainly of high need. And I would wonder if they have access to that. 13 14 But that's a very important allocation 15 as well, providing additional assistance for 16 those schools. So thank you. 17 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Okay, you're 18 welcome. Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate. 19 20 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 21 Senator Rachel May. Oh, I'm sorry, Senator Rachel May took a walk. 22 Senator Diane Savino. 23 24 SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you. I'll be

1 brief. I just wanted to speak on one issue. 2 In the budget it talks about the authority to 3 appoint a temporary operator to manage 4 emergency homeless shelters. And I know it 5 came out of a serious situation a couple of years ago where they had a bad actor and the 6 7 state wanted to be able to come in quickly and do it. 8

So just quickly, how many emergency 9 10 shelters do we have in the state? Have there 11 been any that have been taken over by the 12 state as a result of this temporary 13 authority? How much -- since we did this 14 three years ago, how many times have we had to do this? And if it hasn't been utilized 15 16 in the past three years, why would we seek an 17 extension? So just give me a brief overview. EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Sure. Okay, 18 19 thank you, Senator.

20 We have over 700 emergency shelters 21 throughout the State of New York. And at 22 this point we have not needed to rely on a 23 temporary operator provision, and I think 24 that is because of the oversight that we

1 provide and then also that the oversight that 2 the social services districts provide. They 3 try to identify deficiencies as early as 4 possible, and then also require corrective 5 action to correct those. In particular, if it's something that rises to the level of a 6 7 health and safety issue, that's something that really needs to be corrected 8 9 immediately.

10 And we have had -- again, not only is 11 OTDA oversight strong, but we believe that 12 each locality, in particular New York City, 13 has also great oversight and they also 14 separately inspect their shelters. So at 15 this point we have not had to rely on the 16 temporary operator provision.

17 SENATOR SAVINO: And one other 18 slightly related question. As you know, the 19 City of New York is experiencing an 20 incredibly high rate of homelessness right 21 now. I know Assemblyman Hevesi has worked on this tirelessly. But what I'm curious about 22 is we're seeing, at the same time, the city 23 24 has decided that the way they're going to

1 deal with their homeless situation is to 2 build 90 homeless shelters. In fact, they're 3 building them in every community board, 4 opening them left and right. In fact, 5 they're siting another 200-bed shelter right down the street from my office as we speak. 6 7 Wouldn't that money be better spent, though, in helping them develop affordable 8 supportive housing for people? I mean, a 9 10 200-bed shelter in every community board -and more, likely -- in my opinion is money 11 12 that -- it's like we're creating the homeless 13 industrial complex in some way. 14 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: So absolutely, 15 we should not be funding shelters unless 16 they're needed. Right now, unfortunately, 17 they are needed. And so it's important that 18 we have shelters that there's space available for individuals who need a homeless shelter 19 for a period of time. 20 21 We agree with you that that is not a 22 solution to the homelessness problem. And again, the primary mechanisms that we have in 23 24 place right now through this budget to

1 address the homeless problem is a combination 2 of capital funding for affordable housing, 3 supportive housing, also services that are 4 necessary to enable people to retain housing 5 when they're in supportive housing. And then also there is a range of shelter supplements 6 7 that are available when that's needed to help individuals secure or retain housing. 8

SENATOR SAVINO: I just have a real 9 10 concern that we're literally building them --11 we're not retrofitting, we're not taking 12 over, we're building new structures. And at 13 some point there's going to become a demand 14 to continue to operate them because, after 15 all, they employ people and they're here in 16 the neighborhood.

17 And then -- that's just money that 18 should really be going into housing people 19 permanently and helping solve the problems. 20 Because as you know, homelessness does not 21 happen overnight. And it's not always just simply about money. It's a slow, steady 22 descent into it. And without the supportive 23 24 services to keep people out of shelter once

1	you get them out of shelter, they'll wind up
2	back in there.
3	It's more of a statement than a
4	question on that one.
5	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
6	Assembly.
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman
8	Wright.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: Good afternoon.
10	I wanted a little bit of clarity on
11	the districts' responsibility to develop
12	comprehensive service plans for the homeless.
13	Has New York City submitted one?
14	EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: We actually
15	just they are not due to OTDA until the
16	end of March.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: Oh, at the end
18	of March. Okay. And has OTDA spent any
19	what have you done or what considerations
20	have you given to schools that have
21	particularly large schools that may be
22	serving particularly large populations of
23	homeless students? What kind of supports
24	and/or systems do you think are necessary to

1 support those schools?

2	EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: So again, in
3	terms of when someone is placed in a homeless
4	shelter, there are a couple of things that
5	are required. One is to make sure that the
6	children have transportation that's needed to
7	get to their school, even if they for
8	whatever reason have moved out of that school
9	district.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: So
11	transportation.
12	EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Transportation
13	is one. And then also each homeless shelter
14	that is providing services to children, they
15	have a range of services that are available
16	for the family as a whole
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: I'm sorry, I
18	asked you about schools, not the service
19	center where they may be staying. So we know
20	that the schools may need additional support
21	for transportation. But have you all
22	discovered or discussed the needs within the
23	school?
24	EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Within the

1 school system itself? I think that that 2 would probably be elements that are funded 3 primarily outside of OTDA's budget. And I do 4 believe that there are certain special 5 initiatives, including some childcare-related and after-school funding that is specifically 6 7 targeted to schools that have a high number of homeless children. 8

ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: So I'm going to 9 10 submit that I think that it might be 11 something that you might want to consider 12 partnering with State Ed on. Because I know I have a district full of schools with 13 14 homeless students in them, and they're doing 15 everything from providing food for weekends 16 to after-school services and other wraparound 17 services -- mental health services -- in the 18 school.

19But all of those things are being20provided from different -- those are funded21from different streams, and they're different22agencies within the city. And I would like23to ask if you can consider giving support24directly to schools that have large homeless

1 populations, because it's being lost. Or 2 those schools are not being supported, I 3 would say, fully. 4 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Okay. 5 ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: Additionally, with the capital projects, a lot of the 6 7 supportive housing -- it says that it's for 8 elders, but it doesn't actually address the 9 needs that the greater needs that they might 10 have. And I noticed that at least in my community, we weren't able to get assisted 11 12 living. 13 Is there anything that your office is 14 doing that actually might be able to provide 15 housing for our seniors that also includes 16 the full support package that usually is 17 accompanied in assisted living programs? EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Right. 18 19 Certainly seniors are one of the target 20 populations for the Homeless Housing and 21 Assistance Program. And then also with that, 22 there are some instances where individuals might be in that supportive housing and 23 24 perhaps have home care. But we do not

1 oversee or really have an interaction with 2 the assisted living facilities. ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: Is that 3 4 something that -- is there something that's 5 prohibiting that collaboration? 6 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: It's just that 7 our agency does not develop those sites or 8 have any regulatory oversight associated with it. 9 10 ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: No, no, I understand you don't. I'm asking in 11 12 partnering to build housing -- so the need exists for seniors, but seniors often need 13 14 assisted living, not just the ability to have 15 a home care service come in. 16 So is there something that prohibits 17 your partnership --EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: No. 18 ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: -- or is that 19 20 something you could explore? 21 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: No, that 22 certainly is something that we could explore. And I would be happy to reach out to the 23 24 Department of Health and see if there's any

1 place where we should have better linkages, 2 in particular for those who are homeless that 3 may need to move in -- but really if you're 4 homeless, you shouldn't be -- a person who 5 requires assisted living really isn't appropriate for a homeless shelter. 6 7 But definitely I hear what you're saying in terms of just the broad spectrum of 8 the range of housing that's needed for 9 10 seniors. We can certainly reach out to the Department of Health --11 12 ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: So I guess what 13 I'm submitting is that I have a population in 14 my community, they're aging, they're ending 15 up in homelessness, but they also need to be -- they need greater service. So -- but 16 17 if we actually built housing that addressed their needs before they became homeless --18 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Understood, 19 20 okay. 21 ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: -- that we 22 would be able to not have them go into homelessness in order to actually get those 23 24 services.

1 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Okay. Again, 2 thank you for bringing that to my attention. 3 We can certainly reach out to the Department 4 of Health and see if there's anything that we 5 can do to kind of be -- have a more seamless transition for those elderly individuals who 6 7 need assisted living. 8 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. Senate? 9 10 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 11 Senator Rachel May has returned. 12 SENATOR MAY: I apologize for being 13 out. And if I'm repeating a question from 14 before, just let me know. But I wanted to go 15 back to the homelessness issue and ask about rural homelessness and LGBTO homelessness and 16 17 whether these are issues that require 18 dedicated funding or -- or how are you 19 dealing with those issues? 20 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Right. So 21 homelessness is certainly not only a New York 22 City issue, although clearly the vast majority of individuals in New York who are 23 24 homeless are in New York City. But again,

you know, many areas of the state are I guess
 faced with the challenge of trying to house
 the homeless.

4 Again, our -- I guess a couple of 5 places with respect to the areas outside of the urban areas, again, would be with our 6 7 homeless services plan, one thing again we 8 want to make sure, because there are so many different service providers who are 9 10 interacting and providing a range of homeless services, we want to make sure that it is 11 12 well-coordinated, again, so that all 13 individuals not only are served but that 14 they're connected with the most appropriate 15 services. And again, we hope that the homeless services plans will improve that 16 17 coordination.

And then also I would say just with HHAP and the development of supportive housing, clearly we recognize the need outside of just the urban centers for supportive housing and continue to move forward with those investments. SENATOR MAY: Thank you.

1 And then I have one other quick 2 question about the Summer Youth Employment 3 Program also. How is that distributed around 4 the state? 5 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Okay. And with the Summer Youth Employment Program, each 6 7 year we issue allocations and every social 8 services district receives an allocation to 9 support the Summer Youth Employment Program. 10 So not long after the budget is enacted, you 11 should see a directive go out from our agency 12 that includes both those allocations as well 13 as general parameters on the implementation 14 of the program. But it is distributed 15 throughout the state. SENATOR MAY: Great. And then just my 16 17 last question is about SNAP and food 18 assistance. 19 Is there any coordination with the 20 efforts to promote more New York-grown food 21 or New York-produced food in that program? 22 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Yes. Yes. 23 We -- actually our SNAP bureau has a very 24 coordinated effort with the Department of Ag

1 & Markets, both through making sure that 2 farmers markets are able to continue to 3 redeem SNAP benefits, and then also I know 4 that Ag & Markets has from time to time 5 special promotions where the purchase of healthy food, locally grown food, they can 6 7 receive kind of additional credits so that they can then, if they're using their SNAP 8 9 dollars to purchase that healthy food, they 10 are then able to purchase even more food. 11 But we do coordinate both with Ag & Markets, as well as other kind of the local 12 13 outreach and education providers, through our 14 SNAP program, also do the same at the local level. 15 16 SENATOR MAY: Great. Thank you. 17 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: You're welcome. 18 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Assembly. 19 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman 20 Inez Dickens. 21 ASSEMBLYWOMAN DICKENS: Thank you so 22 much, Madam Chair. 23 And good afternoon, Commissioner, and 24 thank you for your testimony.

1 Has the U.S. Department of Agriculture 2 allowed, given permission for the 3 streamlining of the SNAP application? Has 4 that been approved? EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: No. We 5 recently submitted that waiver request, and 6 7 as soon as the federal government gets back to work, we hope that they will be able to 8 take a look it and hopefully approve it 9 10 quickly. 11 We're aware that they have approved a 12 somewhat similar waiver application for 13 another state, so we hope that that means 14 that this application can be reviewed and 15 approved pretty quickly. ASSEMBLYWOMAN DICKENS: On the Summer 16 17 Youth Employment Program, is there a 18 preference given to foster children or those 19 aging out of foster care? 20 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Right. So 21 there are a number of populations that we ask 22 each social services district to conduct special outreach for and try to prioritize, 23 24 and that does include foster care

individuals. And it would include anyone
 between 14 and 20.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN DICKENS: Now, does that
include a training program, something similar
to what you do with the public assistance
recipients for the 90-day job tryouts?
EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: It's definitely
a different structure.

9 So with the Summer Youth Employment 10 Program, the youth are actually placed 11 with -- it can really be any employer, and 12 they are paid during their employment fully 13 for the summer.

14 So again, you know, every employment 15 situation is different, but we do have some 16 really impressive models out there where not 17 only is the youth engaged in a productive job where they're earning money, but they're also 18 19 learning really helpful skills that can help 20 them secure future employment but also 21 encourage them to think about their career 22 development and what they might need to do with respect to their ongoing education in 23 24 order to get into a career path that really

1 is fulfilling.

2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN DICKENS: On the HHAP
3	program, I want to thank you because just
4	last year we opened up a homeless unit
5	building woefully small on 118th Street
6	in Harlem for homeless women with children.
7	What about applications, and are there is
8	there a funding stream through the HHAP
9	program for homeless veterans?
10	EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: So the HHAP
11	program prioritizes certain populations and
12	really it's looking at the overall need in
13	terms of the application that is submitted.
14	And some of it includes services to
15	veterans as well as individuals with serious
16	mental illness or other you know, seniors
17	and other really high-need populations.
18	But again, certainly focusing on those
19	who are homeless or chronically homeless, to
20	get them into that necessary supportive
21	housing.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN DICKENS: Have there
23	been many applications for HHAP projects that
24	deal with veterans, homeless veterans?

1 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: We definitely 2 have received a number of projects that 3 include supportive housing units that would 4 be kind of targeted to serve veterans. And 5 if you would like, I could get some specific information to you. 6 7 ASSEMBLYWOMAN DICKENS: Now, I notice that also the HHAP, in your testimony your 8 HHAP provides not only capital grants but 9 10 loans to not-for-profits. Does that include for existing veterans housing that's in bad 11 12 shape and needs renovation? EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: There is a 13 14 provision -- well, obviously if there's an 15 existing HHAP-funded project, we're able to 16 provide some support if they need to make 17 important capital improvements in order to 18 keep that housing viable and online. And then there is also a set-aside 19 20 within the HHAP appropriation that enables us 21 to support specifically repairs, but that's 22 really primarily targeted to homeless shelters outside of New York City. 23 24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN DICKENS: I agree with

1 my Senator there that -- Diane Savino, about 2 it would behoove us to invest more in the 3 construction of projects for homeless 4 families and women and veterans rather than 5 to invest in the construction or the renovation for shelters. Do you agree with 6 7 that? EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: We absolutely 8 9 agree that permanent housing is the 10 ultimately solution to homelessness for most individuals. 11 12 ASSEMBLYWOMAN DICKENS: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate? 13 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 14 Senator Sue Serino. 15 SENATOR SERINO: Thank you, Madam 16 Chair. And hello, Commissioner. 17 18 I want to first of all thank you for 19 all of your work with our veterans, our 20 domestic violence victims, and human 21 trafficking. And I'm new to the Social 22 Services Committee this year as ranker, and I 23 look forward to working with Senator Persaud. 24 But I've really enjoyed listening to all of

the things that you take on, and I just want
 to thank you for your commitment.

3 So my question touches on SSI. And 4 previously being chair of Aging and going to 5 be working with our new chair of Aging, Senator Rachel May, I hope we can continue 6 7 this good work. I know Assemblymember Wright kind of touched on this with assisted living. 8 This is an SSI question. And from what I've 9 10 just learned is that it's a pass-through 11 through you guys. So you might have to get 12 back to me with the answers to my questions.

13 But myself and many of my colleagues 14 have fought every year to try to secure an 15 increase in the state supplement of the SSI 16 payments for individuals in the adult care 17 facilities. These payments are their primary 18 means for paying for housing, care and 19 services. And though the bill has passed multiple years, it has been consistently 20 21 vetoed by the Governor.

So the current rate is \$41 a day.
Because of this abysmal rate, sadly, over a
dozen homes serving SSI individuals have

1 closed in the last two years. The state 2 supplement has only been increased once in 3 25 years. 4 So I was just wondering what you think 5 about that. Do you think the \$41 a day is adequate? 6 7 And also in 2017 we fought for a small increase that would be phased in over a 8 five-year period, but the Governor had vetoed 9 10 it. So this puts the assisted living providers and their patients at risk. 11 12 I know that the Governor pushed hard 13 for the minimum wage increase. And while we 14 understand the need to ensure that these 15 dedicated workers are making a living wage, 16 the fact of the matter is costs are rising 17 exponentially while the supplement is staying the same, and it's resulting in closures that 18 19 are hurting our most vulnerable. 20 You know, when you think about it, 21 often we pay more, like when we go away and 22 we crate our pets, than what we're doing to take care of our most vulnerable population. 23 24 So we'd just ask you that you join us in the

1 fight and to help urge the new leadership in 2 Albany to focus on this important issue and 3 partner with us to increase the state 4 supplement and the personal needs allowance 5 as well. Thank you. And I just was curious what you think 6 7 about the \$41 a day. That was basically the question. And I know you're going to have to 8 get back to me about a lot of this because 9 10 it's a pass-through. EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Okay. Right. 11 12 And I believe that the bill that was vetoed 13 by the Governor -- I believe it was something 14 that was passed outside of the context of the 15 budget. I could be wrong on that, but I 16 think that was one concern with it, is that 17 there wasn't -- the budget didn't contemplate

18 those increases.
19 But you're right, we -- I mean,
20 primarily our role with the SSI program is
21 twofold. One is we play a role in the

22 overall just determination of disability,
23 kind of on behalf of the Social Security
24 Administration. And then as you mentioned,

1 we do administer the supplemental payment 2 that the state makes for individuals who are in receipt of SSI, and including congregate 3 4 care. 5 SENATOR SERINO: Okay. Thank you. 6 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 7 SENATOR SERINO: Oh, and actually the reason I'm bringing it up is because as the 8 budget is approaching, it should be something 9 10 that we are taking care of. If it has to 11 be -- will have to be handled in the budget, 12 then that's what we need to do. 13 So thank you, Madam Chair. Thank you. 14 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Thank you. 15 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 16 Assembly. 17 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Hello. I have 18 two quick questions. First, you were here I believe and 19 20 heard Commissioner Poole's comment about the 21 changes in the domestic violence --22 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Correct. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: -- not 23 24 requiring victims to apply for public

1 assistance, right?

2 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: And she said 3 4 that if there are in fact any county expenses 5 that are increased because of the change in the law, that there's agreement with Budget 6 7 that the counties will be held harmless and 8 the funds will be raised. 9 Do you agree with what she said, that 10 that in fact is an agreement that's --EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: I mean, that 11 12 certainly -- if Commissioner Poole said that 13 was the agreement, I'm certain that that was 14 the agreement. Our agency's role there 15 really is for those individuals who do elect 16 to apply for public assistance, and then 17 those funds flow through our agency. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Once this 18 19 change is made to the law, it would be very 20 helpful to be able to get some data if 21 there's been any decrease in people applying 22 for public assistance and the impact that would have on the budget. 23 24 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Right,

1 absolutely. I think that is the intention,
2 it's just to see it -- you know, we don't
3 really know how many people would then elect
4 to not apply. And so I think that is part of
5 what will be evaluated over the coming year
6 to see does that have any impact on county
7 budgets.

8 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Then I also 9 want to ask, I see in the budget the Governor 10 proposes language to establish a 10 percent local share for New York City for the Family 11 12 Assistance Program, resulting in a current-year shift of \$72 million to New York 13 14 City. Does any other county pay a portion of that? 15

EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: No. 16 The 17 current -- as governed by appropriation 18 language, currently family assistance costs 19 are 100 percent reimbursed for every 20 locality. But you are correct that the 21 Executive Budget does include a change to 22 that, so that New York City would have -instead of 100 percent, they would have a 23 24 90 percent reimbursement.

1 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: And the 2 Emergency Assistance to Needy Families also 3 has a 10 percent local share for New York 4 City. Is that in addition to the local share 5 we just discussed, or is this incorporated within that? 6 7 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: So the emergency assistance for families 10 percent 8 share for New York City was implemented, I 9 10 believe, two years ago. 11 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So that 12 10 percent exists, and then this new 10 13 percent is proposed? 14 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Correct. 15 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 16 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: You're welcome. 17 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate? 18 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 19 I think I'm your last questioner from the Senate. 20 21 So just to -- you already answered 22 that you don't necessarily have a lot of answers yet on this new pilot work program 23 24 for public assistance, but I will urge you --

1 quickly -- not to make the mistakes the 2 localities made around work experience 3 programs for many years, particularly the 4 models where they went into contract with 5 temp agencies, the temp agencies would get paid by the employer, paid by tax credits for 6 7 hiring underserved unemployed people, pocket 8 a lot of money from both, place people for 90 days who didn't get paid for their work, 9 10 figure out how to either not find them 11 eligible to actually get the real job 12 afterwards or a job for so few hours a week, 13 without benefits, that they were actually 14 employed but more poor than before they even 15 were ending public assistance.

16 So I think there's a road map for 17 exactly what not to do. And I would suspect 18 that it will be very hard to do this right, 19 based on experience. But I really urge you 20 to look carefully about all the serious 21 mistakes that were made in the past in this 22 state, exploiting poor people for their labor without minimum wage, and a lot of other 23 24 people getting a lot of money for their not

1 getting paid.

2 That's my lecture, I suppose, as 3 opposed to --4 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Okay. And I 5 can assure you that we completely agree with 6 you that the program model is not intended to 7 primarily benefit employers, but it is 8 intended to primarily benefit those who are 9 placed in the workplace and helping them to 10 secure employment. 11 So we certainly would welcome to talk 12 with you more about that to make sure that we 13 have provisions in place --CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And I would love 14 15 to talk more about that part of my previous 16 life before I was a Senator. 17 So we have a federal government that 18 who knows if they're ever going to reopen, and the State of New York and the City of 19 20 New York did provide maximum food stamps 21 early to try to draw a few more of the 22 remaining federal dollars out of the system before it was sucked dry, to provide for poor 23 24 New Yorkers.

1 What's going to happen next month? If 2 the federal government doesn't reopen, do we 3 have a plan to continue SNAP in some way 4 using funds from the New York State Emergency 5 Fund that's within our budget? EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: So first and 6 7 foremost, obviously, we -- and I'm sure you -- want the federal government to do what 8 9 the federal government needs to do and to 10 fund the SNAP program. 11 As you know, we have over 2.7 million 12 individuals who rely on those benefits in order to feed themselves and their families. 13 14 You know, again, as you mentioned, 15 OTDA and the counties acted quickly to be 16 able to use as much of kind of the continuing 17 resolution funding that was available so that 18 we could ensure that everyone does in fact 19 receive a benefit for February. 20 With respect to March, we are in 21 conversation with those federal workers who 22 are still working to continually emphasize 23 the tremendous importance that SNAP plays for 24 the recipients in New York State, which they

1 are of course well aware of.

2 The Governor has met with the 3 Congressional delegation in order to urge 4 also an agreement so that the federal 5 government continues to fund SNAP. And we continue to work with the Governor's D.C. 6 7 office to continue to emphasize the need for the federal government to support this 8 program. It clearly is just too critical. 9 10 And it would be absolutely unprecedented in 11 the history of the SNAP program for benefits 12 to not be issued. 13 In terms of kind of what are we going 14 to do, we are looking at a number of options 15 and developing I guess a range of options for consideration in the event that the federal 16 17 government doesn't do the right thing. As a 18 default, anyone who is facing a food 19 emergency and has no access to resources to 20 purchase food can go to the social services 21 districts and apply for an emergency cash 22 assistance payment for that need.

23Again, our goal is to also even avoid24that, because we don't want, you know, that

number of, I guess, individuals needing to go to the social services offices. And we also don't want to have something put in terms of something that now is funded that is the responsibility of the federal government, that now is needed to be funded by the state and the localities.

8 But we are looking at various options. 9 You know, again, we are hopeful within the 10 next two weeks that something will change at 11 the federal level, but we are exploring what 12 alternatives the state could take to address 13 that emergency need.

14 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I would urge you 15 not to default to telling everyone on SNAP 16 they have to go to the locality to apply for 17 an additional emergency benefit. We know who 18 these people are, we have all their 19 documents, that's how they're on SNAP.

20 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Yup,

21 understood.

22 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And we know that 23 they're disproportionately children, the 24 elderly, people with disabilities. They don't have a safety net of a bank account.
 They need to eat every day, like the rest of
 us.

4 So I would really urge the state to 5 explore how you can simply do it through a 6 computer system match. Again, I can't 7 imagine anybody who's on SNAP who wouldn't be 8 getting federal SNAP because the federal 9 government is run by an insane man who's 10 doing insane things wouldn't just be eligible 11 by definition. So you and the localities 12 could figure out a computer-match way to do this without picturing millions of people 13 14 trudging into their local social service 15 office.

16 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: And that is 17 part of what we are looking at, to see our 18 options there that would obviously be 19 preferred.

20CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Please do.21Another SNAP question from a Senator22who had to leave. Do we know what percentage23of people on SNAP are veterans?

24 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: I do not have

1 that information. I will see if that's 2 something that we have available. I'm not 3 sure. 4 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay, thank you. 5 And then finally, going back to a topic that many people covered -- again, I 6 7 just have to reemphasize there are many 8 reasons for homelessness. Shelters are the worst answer when you have no other answer. 9 10 Supportive housing needs to be sped up and 11 expanded. 12 But really a right answer is 13 Assemblymember Hevesi's bill, which I proudly 14 now carry with him, to provide a still not 15 adequate but a more adequate supplement for 16 shelter allowances to help people stay in 17 their homes. 18 The worst-case story, at least in 19 New York City, when you see another family 20 become homeless is the recognition that not 21 only did they lose their home because perhaps 22 of a matter of a couple of hundred dollars per month that they couldn't pay, but 23 24 knowing, as we from New York City know, that

1 that apartment automatically will skyrocket
2 in costs for the next tenant, therefore we
3 have lost a unit of affordable housing at the
4 same time that the family has lost their
5 home.

6 So it's a double hit on the state's 7 budget, because you're banged for more money 8 and we're banged for more money to invest in 9 building more affordable housing. We get it, 10 you get it. But really, we get hit twice.

11 And so I know this administration has 12 not been open to this program. I urge you, 13 even in your position as a temporary 14 acting -- is that the correct title? 15 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: I'm the

16 executive deputy commissioner.

17CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: You're the18executive.

19 -- to use the data you already have, 20 and you know, I don't have to test you about 21 what the shelter allowance amounts are, what 22 the market rents are, and to urge somebody to 23 take another look. This should be in the 24 budget.

1 So that's my question, will you 2 revisit this proposal and perhaps try to have 3 a conversation with people who clearly don't 4 understand as well as you must? 5 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: And we are always open to having those conversations and 6 7 certainly would welcome those with anyone who 8 wants to have them. With respect to kind of our solution, 9 10 at this point, as you know, New York City does provide a large number of rent 11 12 supplements to enable people to stay in their home and also to move out of shelter. And 13 14 then in rest of state we also permit any social services district who needs a shelter 15 16 supplement to keep people housed to come in 17 with a plan to us so that we can approve a 18 shelter supplement for them. I mean, they 19 have the ultimate obligation to keep people 20 housed. They too want to keep people out of 21 temporary housing and keep them in permanent 22 housing. And so we are able to approve shelter supplements to any district that 23 24 applies.

1 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So I can only 2 speak for New York City, but I did go and ask 3 And they told me that Assemblymember them. 4 Hevesi's proposal, if it was to be enacted in 5 this year's budget, would be the most 6 important new thing the state could do to 7 help the City of New York keep people in 8 their homes and decrease the number of 9 homeless families entering our shelter 10 system. 11 So I hope that everything will work 12 together, because I know that New York City 13 feels very strongly that they're really quite 14 desperate out there -- we all are -- for 15 this, and we just need to be able to do more. 16 And my time is pretty much up. So 17 thank you very much. EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Thank you, 18 19 Senator. 20 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for 21 being here. I believe we are finished with 22 questions. There may be some follow-ups that 23 we'll send to you. 24 EX. DEP. COMM. GUINN: Thank you very

1 much.

2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So now I would
3	like to ask the New York State Office for
4	Aging, the acting director, Greg Olsen, to
5	come down and he will be our third witness.
6	(Comments off the record.)
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Greg, feel
8	free.
9	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Thanks so
10	much. So far I didn't fall down the stairs,
11	so it's all looking up from here.
12	Well, good afternoon, Chairpersons
13	Krueger, Weinstein, all the distinguished
14	members of the Senate and Assembly standing
15	committees.
16	My name is Greg Olsen, and I'm the
17	acting director of the New York State Office
18	for the Aging.
19	Senator May, Assemblyman Bronson,
20	congratulations on your appointments to the
21	chair. Senator Serino, great to see you as
22	well. I had the pleasure of meeting you both
23	this week, and I very much look forward to
24	working with you.

1 Governor Cuomo's commitment to older 2 New Yorkers really is unprecedented, and 3 New York is leading the nation in our 4 collective approach to serve not only older 5 New Yorkers but families of all ages. The approach is so much broader than 6 7 one agency, our agency -- it's about making 8 New York the healthiest state in the nation through a multi-agency, coordinated effort 9 10 focused on improving physical and behavioral health, implementing healthcare deterrent 11 12 strategies, and much, much more. Utilizing the 2019-2024 State 13 14 Prevention Agenda as the umbrella, and instituting a "Health Across All Policies" 15 16 approach, all New York State agencies are 17 incorporating health considerations into our 18 planning and our programs. We have been 19 charged to work together and to consider how 20 all of our policies further the Governor's 21 commitment as the first age-friendly state, 22 and this approach will have a significant positive impact on our state's older 23 24 population.

1 Under the Governor's leadership, 2 New York has become the first state in the 3 nation to receive the designation as an 4 age-friendly state by AARP and the World 5 Health Organization, because we rank high in the eight domains of age-friendly communities 6 7 but, equally as important, we have a 8 comprehensive plan to systematically build age-friendly and smart-growth principles into 9 10 how government operates and functions. We are truly leading the nation in this 11 12 collaborative and thoughtful approach. 13 The 2020 Executive Budget must be 14 viewed in the context of previous budgets, 15 which have set the foundation for significant 16 improvements in service delivery for older 17 adults across agencies, and each year builds 18 upon the previous year's successes. Governor 19 Cuomo's Executive Budgets for the past 20 several years have enhanced our state's 21 commitment to older New Yorkers and their 22 families, including: Launching a long-term care planning 23 24 council from last year, we're in the

1	implementation phase, to prepare a strategic
2	10-year plan looking forward to meet the
3	needs of our states's older population;
4	Recently issuing age-friendly
5	Executive Order No. 190 that directs state
6	agencies to consider the impact of their
7	policies and procurements on health and
8	healthy aging, aligned with the eight domains
9	of age-friendly communities;
10	Providing funding to the counties to
11	become certified as an age-friendly community
12	under the AARP/World Health Organization
13	process, and having the opportunity to
14	replicate Executive Order 190 at the county
15	level;
16	And creating Regional Age-Friendly
17	Technical Assistance Centers of Excellence.
18	The 2020 Executive Budget not only
19	maintains funding for core programs from
20	previous years but significantly invests in
21	services that are known to be effective and
22	are currently unable to serve eligible
23	individuals due to funding limitations.
24	The Executive Budget invests

1 \$15 million to address personal care, 2 home-delivered meals, case management, and 3 other services as a response to locally 4 identified needs; authorizes the State Office 5 for the Aging to develop a private-pay market to increase our ability to serve more 6 7 individuals, generate revenue, provide an important consumer protection, and reinvest 8 those dollars back into those that target 9 10 lower-income individuals; baselines 11 \$4 million last year for the NORC program; 12 maintains additional CSE funding from last year in the amount of a little over a 13 14 million dollars; increases the state's 15 commitment to the NY Connects system by 16 providing additional resources not only to 17 the county offices for the aging but to our 18 and independent living center regional 19 partners; provides funding to bring in-house 20 our statewide effort to expand evidence-based 21 interventions such as chronic disease 22 self-management, diabetes self-management, 23 and fall prevention programs, among others; 24 and provides additional opportunities to

provide state certification and standardized
 skills-based training to aging services
 network staff across the state.

4 This truly historic Executive Budget 5 proposal will help thousands of older 6 New Yorkers maintain their autonomy and 7 independence, support the loved ones who care 8 for them, reduce future Medicaid costs, and 9 continue to demonstrate why New York is the 10 first age-friendly state in the nation.

11 The State Office for the Aging doesn't 12 stop there, however. We know there's much 13 more to do and that we have to utilize our 14 office, our network of 59 offices for the 15 aging, and 1200 subcontractors to leverage 16 additional resources and supports among 17 various systems.

And we're also expanding and piloting new innovations and models, including we're going to be launching a pilot based on the successful Vermont Home Share program; continuing our statewide expansion and implementation of the Aging Mastery Program, which is an evidence-based intervention to

1 develop sustainable behaviors across many 2 dimensions that lead to improved health, 3 stronger economic security and enhanced 4 well-being; working with the Department of 5 Health to design a clear pathway for inclusion of aging network service in the 6 7 value-based payment reform; partnering with NYSERDA to target low- and moderate-income 8 households to improve energy efficiency and 9 10 to save money, putting dollars back in individuals' pockets; piloting volunteer 11 12 transportation programs; working with the 13 Village to Village Network to not only seed 14 villages but to create the first-ever 15 regional Village Technical Assistance 16 Resource Center here in the Capital District, 17 working with the Albany Guardian Society; 18 working to expand respite options to provide 19 additional caregiving and respite support for 20 those in need across the state; and 21 partnering with Boston University's Center 22 for Aging and Disability Education and Research to offer over 20 different online 23 24 skills-based, measurable trainings and

1 certification to raise the skill set of all 2 of our staff professionally across the state. 3 My agency will continue to engage 4 other state agencies, not-for-profits, other 5 community-based organizations -philanthropy, businesses -- so that we can 6 7 continue to expand services and meet the 8 needs of the growing older adult population in New York State. 9 10 Thank you. As always, I'm pleased to be here and I'm thrilled to take any 11 12 questions that you might have. 13 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 14 We'll go to the Assembly chair of the 15 Aging Committee, Assemblyman Bronson. 16 ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Acting Director 17 Olsen, thank you very much for being here today and testifying. Also thank you for 18 reaching out to me previously and helping me 19 20 understand more about the area that I'm 21 charged to oversee as chair of the Committee 22 on Aging. I want to ask you a couple of 23 24 questions and expand a little bit on the --

1 let's start with the private-pay model 2 proposal that the Executive Budget includes. 3 And as I understand this, it will authorize 4 counties to have individuals self-pay for 5 community-based services if the individual has an income 400 percent of poverty or more. 6 7 Could you explain to me what the real intent is there? And how do you see that benefiting 8 our older population here in New York State? 9 10 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yes, sure, and 11 thanks for the question, Assemblyman. 12 This is one of the most significant 13 changes in statute for our agency, so I'd 14 like to answer it in two ways and tie it to 15 the \$15 million targeted investment that the 16 Governor has put in to really address unmet need that we're aware of across the state. 17 18 The language in the budget for the 19 \$15 million is important because what we can 20 do -- and we have a very extensive process 21 that has really been around a lot longer than 22 I have to identify trends and needs, 23 et cetera, from the various counties that we 24 administer programs with and work in

1 partnership with.

2	So I think that the additional
3	resources that are going to be able to be
4	targeted and directed to meet that need is
5	going to be very, very important. And it's
6	something that I know the legislative body
7	here and our office and the Executive have
8	been talking about for years. So I'm just
9	really thrilled to be able to, you know,
10	announce that this year.
11	The private pay I think goes along the
12	same lines. You know, the 2006 Older
13	Americans Act amendments, that's kind of the
14	mothership that dictates, you know, what it
15	is, how we do the things that we do. It
16	encourages states to look at either a
17	cost-sharing model, a private-pay model or a
18	combination of the two. New York has had a
19	cost-sharing model in the EISEP program going
20	back to the 1980s. It was designed that way
21	to depending on what your income is, to
22	either cost share, pay the full amount, or
23	not pay anything, depending on your income on
24	a sliding scale.

1 So we took a look at and worked with 2 some of the counties and community-based 3 organizations, looking at a cost-sharing 4 model versus a private-pay model. And why 5 would we do that? You know, the day now is very, very different than it was in the 6 7 sixties, the late sixties, when these 8 programs and the infrastructure was designed. We were able to do a lot more; there were a 9 10 lot less people. 11 The types of programs and services, as 12 you know, that are provided through the 13 office -- administered through the office, 14 provided by the counties and the 15 1200 community-based organizations -- are 16 very, very important in helping people 17 maintain their autonomy, they're very cost-effective. We have a network that is 18 19 really selling objectivity and independence, 20 and working to leverage a variety of other 21 systems, which I think is very unique. 22 So the idea of being able to cost-share or ask individuals that have 23 24 additional resources to contribute towards

1 that is not something I'm embarrassed to do 2 at all. I think our primary goal, by 3 statute, both state and fed, is to focus our 4 resources on those most in need. And that 5 can be, you know, hard-to-serve communities, ethnic and cultural communities, rural areas, 6 7 LGBTQ and low-income make up some of them. 8 So what this is really designed to do is a couple of things. It allows us to serve 9 10 an additional market that we normally don't 11 serve now, a middle-income and higher-income 12 market. We currently have about \$1.5 million 13 older adults that earn 400 percent of poverty 14 or more, which is about \$50,000 a year. 15 That's in line with the Health Exchange and 16 EPIC program. It's well above the 17 cost-sharing requirements for EPIC. So what this will do is allow us not 18 19 only to market and serve more people, but 20 it's a big revenue-generator. If we have

21 counties that opt in, then those dollars can
22 be funneled back in to target those that
23 don't have the resources. So I'm really
24 excited for this.

1 ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: If I may, so --2 and I know that the counties negotiate their 3 unit rates, and that depends on what they can 4 get through providers and things of that 5 nature. Have you been able to estimate how many more people we'll be able to serve as we 6 7 shift the cost to those folks earning 400 percent poverty rate or more? And then 8 9 those dollars, as I understand it, go right 10 back to the counties. So do you have an 11 estimate on how many more older folks we'll 12 be able to serve with those additional 13 dollars going to the county? 14 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yes, let me 15 answer that in a couple of ways. 16 We're not cost-shifting to a different 17 segment of the population. The overwhelming 18 majority of our half-a-billion-dollar budget funds low-income individuals. What we're not 19 20 able to do as a network -- because the 21 funding that we receive from the federal 22 government, et cetera, is fixed, so the providers have to budget for the entire year. 23 It's different from Medicare and Medicare

24

where if you're eligible, you receive the
 service.

3 So what we're trying to do is be able 4 to meet a need that we know exists out there. 5 There are a lot of middle-income individuals that don't know where to turn, don't know 6 7 where to get services, may go to Organization A -- and chances are if I call up 8 Organization A because that's what I think I 9 10 need, I'm going to walk out with Organization A's service. I think what our network is 11 12 able to do is do an objective assessment, 13 talk to the people about what their strengths 14 are, what their needs are, how they want to 15 live their lives, try to leverage a package of resources around that -- do it much 16 earlier, before they're sick, before they 17 18 need to spend down to Medicaid, so that they 19 can maintain their autonomy and be a part of 20 the social fabric of their community. So 21 that's the goal.

22 So basically, if we can determine --23 which we can -- how many people, you know, 24 self-identify ambulation issues, self-care

limitations, cognitive impairment -- which we can, through ACS, if we can serve maybe, I'll just give you an example, 4,000 more people per year, that would generate an additional \$5.2 million that would then be required to go back into service provision for lower-income individuals.

So the way that this would roll out 8 9 would be it's going to take us about a year 10 to develop and implement. It's at county 11 option. We're not requiring anybody to do 12 this, but we hope that they do, because 13 there's a need here and there's a very strong 14 consumer protection angle to this in terms of 15 the objectivity, the training that the 16 providers have, the state oversight, the 17 county oversight, the certifications, 18 et cetera, that we believe this is a really 19 important not only service provision, revenue 20 generator, but also consumer protection. 21 ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: So -- but I'm

not sure I heard the answer, though. The question is I want to know how this is going to benefit the counties who have waiting

1 lists that they're not able to serve. Do
2 we -- can we quantify that? And if you can
3 answer that in a quick way, because I have
4 other questions, but can you quantify that
5 either in numbers or percentages or something
6 of that nature?

7 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah, you can. So if you took your county, Monroe, you can 8 go through ACS data in those six areas that 9 10 they collect data on. I mean, we can get, 11 you know, 60-plus population, we can get 75, 12 85. I think you would need to do a subset of 13 a subset. And if you had a 1.5 percent 14 participation rate, or a 2.5 percent 15 participation rate, you know, that's how many 16 people would choose the private-pay option 17 because they fit in that income category or 18 their family members have the resources and 19 want to finance privately. Those dollars, 20 then, you can absolutely determine how much 21 revenue would be generated, and then that 22 data would be required to go back in.

23 So if that's something that, you know,24 you need me to do or would like me to do for

your county specifically, I'm sure I can
 crunch those numbers.

ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Well, what I'm 3 4 concerned about is we're now asking people to 5 self-pay. And I'll be up-front, I mean most of the agencies involved have talked 6 7 favorably about this, but it gives me a red flag in my gut, if you will, when I'm saying 8 now people have to pay for a service that 9 10 they didn't have to pay for before. And then -- so I would like a little 11 12 bit more, and we can do that after this 13 hearing. 14 The other thing is, explain a little 15 bit more the connection between that proposal and the EISEP adding the additional 16 17 \$15 million. ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Right. So as 18 19 you know, the population is getting older. 20 That doesn't necessarily mean everybody needs 21 services, but, you know, you follow my logic: 22 There's going to be increased need over time because of that fact. 23 24 The support services that people

1 receive through the county, because of the 2 dollars that they receive, at times there 3 are -- there are times that the network 4 cannot serve those individuals. Now, they 5 will try to go to other types of community-based organizations -- it could be 6 7 towns and municipalities that are funding similar-type services outside of, you know, 8 what we administer, to try to make sure that 9 10 those needs are met, or we're working with 11 other agencies or helping people apply for 12 benefits or application assistance. 13 So I think what we're anticipating 14 over time -- and hopefully with a couple of 15 these proposals packaged together, we can reduce unmet need -- is that the \$15 million 16 will go directly to alleviate what we have 17 been able to determine is that unmet need 18 over the last year as reported by the 19 20 counties. 21 Where this comes in is -- the

22 private-pay model for people over 400 23 percent, is that as you had mentioned 24 earlier, the counties and the community-based

1 organizations negotiate how much they're 2 going to pay -- personal care, their case 3 managers, their home-delivered meals, their 4 congregate meals -- and there would be a 10 5 or 20 percent increase to that rate that they are currently paying under ours. Any 6 7 additional revenue that would be generated, just like they do now under the EISEP 8 program, would be required to go back into 9 10 service delivery. A county couldn't supplant the dollars, so it would have to go back in 11 12 to meet the core objectives of the Older 13 Americans Act in state statute, which is to 14 target those who are most at need. 15 ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Okay, thank you. 16 I have more questions; I'll come back another 17 time. 18 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. Senate? 19 20 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 21 Senator Rachel May, chair of Aging. 22 SENATOR MAY: Thank you, Senator 23 Krueger. 24 And Commissioner Olsen, nice to see

1 you again.

2	I did have some questions about the
3	private-pay protocols, but let's go on to
4	some other issues here.
5	So first, the \$15 million additional
6	for EISEP is a good thing. Do you think
7	that's adequate to getting the waiting lists
8	down and, you know, serving the population
9	that needs these?
10	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah, I do. I
11	mean, it's actually amazing it's hard to
12	believe I've been around 25 years, but it's
13	the largest investment in the New York State
14	Office for the Aging in almost two decades.
15	That number was not drawn out of a
16	hat. That was based on reported unmet need
17	numbers by our counties. That's a process
18	that we have undertaken annually to try to
19	determine trends you know, what should we
20	be seeing. I heard a little about
21	homelessness today, so I'm intrigued about
22	that, because that does not get reported from
23	our counties. So I'm very interested in that
24	issue.

1 I can tell you unequivocally, you 2 know, how much it costs annually per program 3 per county per person. And so the 4 \$15 million is an actual assessment to be 5 able to address the data that we have received. 6 7 SENATOR MAY: Great. And how do you see it being allocated regionally around the 8 state? 9 10 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Well, it would 11 be allocated based on the reporting. So the 12 way that our money traditionally is required 13 to be provided is by funding formula. And, 14 you know, there's not an issue with the 15 funding formula, but if you have certain 16 needs in certain areas, putting dollars 17 directly into a certain funding formula isn't 18 going to address what you're trying to 19 accomplish. 20 So what this language allows me to do 21 is to target those dollars directly at where 22 those needs have been reported. And if I could, I'd just give you an example. 23 24 SENATOR MAY: Sure.

ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: You know, I have a small county next to Albany that has, you know, some fairly robust unmet-need numbers due to the size of the county, the size of the budget, the geography, the lack of infrastructure, the lack of density in providers.

The amount that they will receive once 8 9 we run these numbers and make sure that we're 10 where we need to be, we would need a state 11 investment of \$140 million in order to get 12 them to where we're going to be able to 13 target this. So it's very, very important 14 that we have a traditional funding stream to 15 provide the base set of services, but what 16 this allows me to do is really target those 17 dollars to where I'm being told the 18 priorities are.

SENATOR MAY: Great. And then -thank you -- let's get into the cost of
living deferral. I'm very concerned about
the workforce impacts of that, especially in
conjunction with the minimum wage coming into
play.

1 So can you talk about whether -- what 2 you see as the workforce impacts of that? 3 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: You know, I 4 don't think for us there's going to be that 5 much of a workforce impact. I know you heard from the other two commissioners, you'll hear 6 7 from others. That's an Executive decision that spans across the agencies. 8 9 I can just tell you from our 10 perspective, you know, we did have a modest \$4.5 million increase in our Older Americans 11 12 Act budget this year. We had additional 13 dollars that were increased last year in CSE. 14 We've got a \$15 million investment this year. 15 We believe that once we implement the 16 private-pay protocols, it's going to be a job 17 creator. You know, those service dollars 18 also are workforce dollars, because you can't 19 deliver service without the workers. So I 20 don't anticipate there's going to be much of 21 an impact for our agency, frankly. 22 SENATOR MAY: So in my district we have -- I have a rural county where there are 23 24 providers who have to drive an hour between

1 houses that they serve, and they don't get 2 paid for that time or for their gas or any travel cost, so it -- effectively their 3 hourly rate is very low. So do you see any 4 5 way to address that problem? ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah, so 6 7 you're talking about the home care aide issue, and that is certainly an issue that, 8 you know, we're working with the Home Care 9 10 Association, the Governor's office just 11 brought together an interagency group that 12 spans, you know, our agency and many others,

So we'll continue to be part of those conversations, because it's a very valuable workforce role. It's difficult to hire and retain individuals who are in competition with other industries that may be able to offer, you know, a benefit that might be better.

and it's certainly an issue.

13

I think, for us, we have other
opportunities, which is the Consumer-Directed
Program that we have within our home care
program, as well as developing one for our

1 caregiver support. I think that's something 2 that's going to be significantly expanded in 3 the very near future. We have 36 counties 4 that already implement, but I believe the 5 \$15 million investment's going to really help launch that, because there are, honestly, 6 7 pockets of the state where the home care aide shortage is worse than in other parts. 8 So that's something we will continue 9 10 to work with others about, because it is a 11 concern. 12 SENATOR MAY: Great, thank you. 13 I was glad to hear you talk about this 14 initiative to work on volunteer 15 transportation programs. Transportation and 16 isolation of seniors is a problem all around 17 the state. I come out of a sustainability 18 career and am always thinking about how we 19 look holistically at these problems. Is 20 there coordination with the transportation 21 side of the budget and this side of the 22 government on these kinds of issues? Or is this just, you know, in the silo of aging 23 24 that you're working on that?

1ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Well, I think2it crosses multiple areas.

3 So the Governor did propose in the 4 State of the State some increased funding for 5 upstate public transportation. I think that's one option for people of all ages who 6 7 are able to use it. Right? So I mean there's an assumption in public 8 9 transportation that you're physically able to 10 use it. And so I think that that's one option for folks. 11

Most people probably don't know, we have a \$24 million transportation program across the state within our budget. And that's made up of a variety of different things -- some paid drivers, to buses. We have a huge corps of volunteers that provide transportation.

19So I think that there's multiple ways.20I think that the expansion last year in21New York State of Uber and Lyft, while it's22going to take some time to get there, I think23that that not only could be a potential job24creation piece for older people who want to

earn some additional dollars, but it's also going to hopefully open up some additional transportation options for people in areas like yours and many others that I've been to around the state that are very geographically diverse. So I think we have to look at it across the spectrum.

You know, you'll come to learn, as we 8 9 work together, how valuable and reliant we 10 are here on our volunteer corps. We have 495 million hours of volunteer service of 11 12 people who are over the age of 55, about a 13 million of them providing \$13.8 billion of 14 volunteer service a year if it was paid for 15 at the market rate. I think we've got to 16 figure out and continue to work to cultivate 17 those, which is some of the things that we're 18 trying to incentivize. And I think older 19 workers who maybe are looking for a little 20 bit of extra work when they're in their 21 retirement can certainly be part of multiple 22 solutions to some of the workforce issues. SENATOR MAY: Right. But I guess part 23

of my question was, are you in the room when

24

1 they're making the transportation decisions 2 as well? ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Well --3 4 SENATOR MAY: But I want to move on to 5 some other things, so we can talk about that at another time. 6 7 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: All right. SENATOR MAY: But you also mentioned 8 the age-friendly communities issue. And AARP 9 10 is in the house, it's nice to see. Do you 11 feel that your budget reflects these kinds of 12 priorities, and how do you, again -- like I'm 13 getting hundreds of emails from people 14 supporting our libraries, which have taken a 15 big hit in the budget. And there are a lot 16 of indirect supports for elderly people as 17 well as, you know, people -- you know, all of us in New York. 18 19 But I guess I'm wondering, what's your 20 assessment of ways the budget proposal helps 21 or hurts seniors in New York with indirect 22 funding for services? ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah, so I'm 23 24 going to answer your first question, because

1 I'm sure you're out of time, and then I'll 2 answer your second one.

3 One of the things under the rubric of 4 age-friendly, transportation is one of the 5 key domains. And so, you know, is our office in the room when transportation folks are 6 7 making decisions about the federal transportation budget? The answer is no. 8 9 But what has been happening is, you 10 know, the Governor has pulled together a 11 fairly robust interagency group to work on 12 the Prevention Agenda, which transportation 13 is a key to; the Health Across All 14 Policies -- which really is, Agencies, you 15 need to work together to plan and do better 16 in pooling your resources together so that we 17 have better healthcare and other outcomes; and then there's age-friendly, which the 18 Governor released the Executive Order 190 in 19 November. 20

21 And why that's important is because it 22 does three things. And it's going to get to 23 your transportation question, but it's also 24 going to get to some of the other areas of

1 other budgets that also touch Aging that's outside of ours. Executive Order 190 does 2 3 three things. It requires state agencies to 4 address the appropriate domains under their 5 rubric. So on Transportation, it would be transportation in their plans to the federal 6 7 government. It requires local agencies that 8 have to submit a plan to state government to address the eight domains where appropriate 9 10 within their state plans. Probably the most 11 important thing is it requires agencies to 12 start to build some of these smart-growth 13 livability domains into procurement 14 opportunities, such as the downtown revitalization initiatives have done for the 15 16 last three years. 17 So I think what we can start to 18 anticipate is transportation planners at all 19 levels of government are going to start to 20 think about a little bit differently, you 21 know, how their transportation systems work 22 for people of all ages, whether they be older or younger, because that's really what 23

24 age-friendly is all about.

1	SENATOR MAY: Great. Thank you very
2	much.
3	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
4	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: In terms
5	even though her buzzer went off, does that
6	mean my buzzer went off?
7	(Laughter.)
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yes. You share
9	the time.
10	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: I'm sorry.
11	Okay. Sorry, Senator, I didn't get the
12	answer you were
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: You probably
14	weren't here when we began. And just for
15	other witnesses also, the time frame is for
16	both the question and the answer. And when
17	the yellow light flashes, it means you have a
18	minute left. And when the red light flashes,
19	time's up.
20	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: I'm sorry, my
21	bad. I'll do better.
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Not a problem.
23	So I before we go on to some other
24	questions, I have a quick question. I saw in

your prepared remarks -- I guess you read
 them also -- it was listing piloting a home
 sharing program based upon Vermont.

4 Is the -- well, first of all, I assume 5 you're aware that the New York Foundation for Seniors has a very successful home-sharing 6 7 program that was started in the '80s, that 8 I'm glad to say I was part of helping organize, and has been funded since -- pretty 9 10 much since that time by the Assembly, despite 11 each year the Governor X'ing out those 12 dollars and us having to appropriate them 13 again.

14Is the \$15 million that's listed as15additional dollars for SOFA intended to16finance this type of program? Can you17describe what you're looking at when you're18talking about a home-sharing program and19where it would be?

20 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah, sure. 21 Thank you, Assemblywoman. Yeah, Linda's 22 program is amazing. We go down every year to 23 visit it. Very impressed with it. There was 24 also a couple of programs in Suffolk County.

1 I think you know with some of the 2 housing issue, both from the economic 3 standpoint of whether it be older people, 4 younger people with disabilities, or just 5 people in general, there's an opportunity here to look at models that have been 6 7 successful and figure out how to replicate 8 them.

I think one of the things that, you 9 10 know, I've learned over time is that if we wait for -- you know, there's not enough 11 12 resources anywhere to do everything we always 13 want to do. And so my office has really been 14 trying to look at what we can do based on 15 successful models elsewhere. Of course 16 Linda's is one of them.

17 I was very impressed with the 18 home-share model in Vermont. You know, I 19 think what that provides is an opportunity 20 not only on the economic front -- not just 21 for older people, because their model is 22 quite mixed. But what's very interesting is that 40 percent of people that got into a 23 24 home-sharing arrangement did so because of

1 the money. And at the end of the first year, 2 99 percent of them were providing some type of service to each other. Which of course 3 4 you'd know why; you develop a relationship. 5 But they almost become almost like personal care aides, right? You're shoveling snow, 6 7 you're shopping, helping prepare meals. You're combating social isolation. 8

So I really like the way that their 9 10 model works because it's very much volunteer-driven. And of course in limited 11 12 resources, that's always up our alley. I was 13 very impressed with how they were organized. 14 And it was mostly, frankly, retired, very, 15 very committed, articulate older women who 16 were running this program.

The hardest part of the sharing now is
who you voted for, so that was just one
caveat they threw out.

20 (Laughter.)

ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: But I was able to sit through a two-hour meeting where they talked about cases and how to match people, and it's pretty rigorous.

1 So I think one of the options that is 2 available is they are doing an academy this 3 summer. We have quite a few counties who 4 indicated to us -- when we asked them who 5 would be interested in potentially looking at this and trying it out, we had quite a bit of 6 7 counties that came back and said that they were interested. So we're hoping that many 8 of them will go to this to learn how to do 9 10 it. 11 And I think that, you know, there are 12 some opportunities through some discretionary 13 funding that we have in the agency that might 14 be able to help get these started. But it 15 wouldn't be part of the \$15 million. 16 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 17 Senate? 18 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. Senator Serino. 19 20 SENATOR SERINO: Thank you, 21 Madam Chair. 22 And hello, Acting Director Olsen. 23 Nice to see you again. 24 I also want to echo Assemblymember

Bronson's concern, and I really appreciate him voicing it so strongly. I hope that the leadership is going to hear these concerns and consider tabling this and taking a closer look at it. I really appreciate him going into detail, too, because it answered a lot of my questions.

And you know, when we think about it, 8 too, think about the counties. They're 9 10 already stretched so thin. So -- and we 11 think about the waiting lists. And I know 12 it's great about putting that extra money in 13 there, but the Executive has made consistent 14 cuts over the past eight years, I think it 15 is. So are we playing catch-up? You know, there's a lot -- like I always say, the 16 17 devil's in the details.

18So I'm going to go on to my other19question that I wanted to talk to you about,20because earlier you may have heard me ask the21OCFS commissioner about the elder abuse22hotline that we've talked about. And while23logistically it is handled by a different24agency, SOFA is responsible for helping to

raise awareness and to prevent elder abuse
 and make people aware of the resources that
 are available, including the hotline.

We actually passed legislation in prior sessions specifically authorizing the creation of such an awareness campaign. So I was wondering if you can give me an update on that front in terms of what SOFA is doing to specifically raise awareness about preventing elder abuse.

11ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yes, sure.12And thanks for the question. At some point13I'd like to come back to your first question,14if it's even outside of here, because I'm not15quite sure I understood it.

16 As you know, and thanks to a lot of 17 your efforts, both on the investment to 18 address unmet need, which, you know, you have 19 brought up year in and year out, but also 20 your passion around elder abuse -- as you 21 know, we are one of the few states in the 22 country that tested very successfully an 23 enhanced multidisciplinary team model. For 24 those that don't know what that is, again, we

have all these acronyms; it's just basically
 individuals with different skill sets from
 different systems working together to serve
 the whole.

5 Last year there was \$500,000 that was baselined from the previous year that helped 6 7 us generate and draw down, in partnership with the Office of Victim Services, another 8 \$2 million in federal dollars. We have a 9 10 three-year package of \$8.4 million to expand elder abuse EMDTs across the state. We're 11 12 currently in 30-plus, and by the end of the 13 three years we'll be statewide.

14 So there is a lot of activity, a lot 15 of cross-agencies, whether it be with DFS, 16 State Adult Protective Services, the court 17 systems, law enforcement -- at the local 18 level, the county offices, the regional elder 19 abuse coalitions -- where they're not only 20 part of the EMDT family, so to speak, but 21 there's a lot of other resources that go out 22 the door, both through the counties and through Life Span of Greater Rochester, who's 23 24 our contractor, to provide professional

training, you know, banks, service providers,
 as well as training to the general public
 about signs and symptoms.

4 So there's a laundry list that I'd be 5 happy to get you in terms of all of the various things that our agency does as an 6 7 agency, but also in connection with all of 8 the other systems. Because I think one of the really important takeaways on aging is 9 10 it's not just the Office for the Aging. Aging touches so many of the other agencies, 11 12 both at the state level and the local level. 13 And, you know, what's really great about 14 working in this environment, having been now 15 in three different Governor's offices, is how 16 important the charge and command to work 17 together is here, and it really pays 18 dividends that we can leverage the expertise 19 and resources of not only us but other 20 agencies.

21 SENATOR SERINO: Okay. Because my 22 concern was how are we letting people know 23 about the elder abuse hotline so that they 24 even know that they can call between 5

1 o'clock and 8 o'clock at night.

2 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yes, so if the 3 question is targeted towards the hotline, 4 that is something we absolutely get out. We 5 have a web presence, we have a social media presence, we have a local presence of 59 area 6 7 agencies. We have resources that have all of the various resources in the state. We're at 8 a variety of different health fairs, public 9 10 fairs, conferences, et cetera, along with our 59 counterparts that are constantly providing 11 12 information on that hotline. 13 SENATOR SERINO: Thank you, Greq. 14 And I also -- because my concern with 15 the private pay is that ultimately it's going to fall on the backs of the seniors. So 16 17 that's what I worry about with that. 18 And the other thing I wanted to 19 mention was the bill that I had that passed 20 for the pilot program for transportation 21 where seniors could turn in their car and 22 have like chits for transportation. And we've always passed it in the Senate but 23 24 failed to get it through the Assembly.

1 So I'm really looking to working on 2 that again, because transportation is still 3 such an issue. I mean even just recently 4 talking to seniors -- and I know we have 5 money that's out there, but they still have 6 issues with getting a ride. And it's so 7 vitally important. 8 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah, and that 9 independent transportation network you're 10 talking about out of Portland, Maine, has been operational for over two decades. It's 11 12 very successful. That would be something I'd be interested in having further conversations 13 14 about. 15 SENATOR SERINO: Okay, great. Thank 16 you. 17 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Assembly. 18 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman Rozic. 19 20 ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROZIC: Thank you, 21 Madam Chair. 22 So as you might know, I represent a couple of different organizations that are 23 24 deeply involved in NORCs, naturally occurring

1 retirement communities. I've been very 2 concerned in the past about the 3 administration and the sort of murky RFP 4 process that had been going on around NORCs. 5 I represent Commonpoint Queens, which is formerly the Samuel Field Y and also the 6 7 Central Queens Y in Chairman Hevesi's district. Your testimony just says the 8 baseline is \$4 million to the NORC program. 9 10 I'm wondering if you can walk us through the 11 timeline of administering not just the RFP 12 but the grants that go to these 13 organizations, how many NORCs apply and get 14 funded, and how all of that works out. ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Sure. And 15 16 I -- you know, at some point in the future 17 I'd love to talk to you more, because it wasn't really that murky. And I hope that 18 that's not the characterization of the 19 20 process. 21 So there was a long-time effort that

culminated, say, three years ago in
modernizing the NORC statute. The original
in 1995 hadn't been changed in 20-something

years. Over half of the programs that had
 been funded under it for years, and doing a
 tremendous amount of great work, frankly
 weren't eligible anymore to receive the
 funding.

The Neighborhood NORC statute I helped 6 7 draft when I was in the Legislature, with the Senate, Senator Golden, hadn't been updated 8 9 in 11 years, and the same thing happened. 10 And that's just simply because neighborhoods 11 change over time. So it wasn't that these 12 weren't valuable, but it gave us an 13 opportunity to take demonstration language 14 out of it, put in the things that we knew 15 worked well, take out the things that -- or 16 less prioritize the things that weren't, and 17 really modernize the program. And that's 18 exactly what happened.

19 So the agreement from that negotiation 20 was to put out an RFA, which we did. And it 21 would require everybody who wanted to have a 22 program, including the existing ones, to 23 apply. Now, I can tell you in years past --24 I'm not sure that you were here -- NORCs and

1 how do I get one in my community was the 2 number-one issue. I've been to Senator 3 Montgomery's district a couple of times, and 4 others, because it's a valuable program. 5 But then what happened is we had some issues at the federal level and very great 6 7 uncertainty in what was going to happen with federal dollars coming to the state, 8 9 particularly in the healthcare arena, and so 10 we withdrew that RFA. Since the budget 11 passed last year, there was \$4 million added 12 to the NORCs and the Neighborhood NORCs --13 which now we just call the NORCs, but it 14 encompasses both -- we provided funding to 15 all the existing programs at the amount that 16 they had requested under the RFA. So a very 17 significant increase. And the same for the 18 Neighborhood NORCs.

19Then we put out a second round of RFA20this past November. We're expecting that to21finish on February 1st, which will be next22week, that we will announce the new grantees23on April 1st, and go to contract on July 1 or24July of this year. We're expecting six new

1	NORC programs and seven new Neighborhood
2	NORCs, based on the funding that we have.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROZIC: Great. Thank
4	you.
5	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: You're
6	welcome.
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate?
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
9	Senator Velmanette Montgomery.
10	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Thank you.
11	Hello
12	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Hi, Senator.
13	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Commissioner,
14	or Director. I don't know why everybody's
15	acting I have a feeling I do, because it's
16	personal things. But you're really good, and
17	I appreciate your wisdom and your commitment
18	to this.
19	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Thank you,
20	Senator.
21	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: My question was
22	partially answered already, so, you know, I
23	have a minute to throw away.
24	(Laughter.)

SENATOR MONTGOMERY: You mentioned the NORCS. And it seems people really want to stay home -- no one wants to go to a nursing home, and people really don't care to go -senior citizens don't even like other senior citizens, they just want to be in their own home --

ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: That's right. 8 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: -- independent, 9 10 able to do their own thing. And it seems 11 like the NORC is such a logical program to 12 allow that to happen. So I'm just wondering, 13 where are we with that? How can we make that 14 part of what we do, more and more, for people 15 who are especially in communities where 16 there's large enough numbers of people who 17 are aging in place? 18 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah, and

19 thank you, Senator, because I think it's a 20 great question. I think, you know, again the 21 additional resources that were negotiated 22 last year are going to help us expand. It's 23 also going to help us expand to areas we 24 don't traditionally think of a NORC being in. 1 The modernization statute allowed us to go 2 into rural and suburban areas as well, so 3 we're hoping that we'll be able to do that.

4 You know, the NORCs were way ahead of 5 their time. We're finally catching up with the concept of the NORC, which is really to 6 7 integrate and have the health system and the social service system work together. And 8 that's really what the state's priority is 9 10 under DSRIP, the Health Across All Policies, 11 value-based payments. The Health Department 12 has a new Bureau of Social Determinants that we work closely with. So I think that 13 14 there's a couple answers to that.

15 You know, we're doing a lot of work 16 with the Health Department and the counties 17 and the association to really bring together 18 the PPSs, the health systems, managed 19 long-term care and others, to not only 20 recognize the value of what it is that our 21 network does, which is address the social 22 determinants, which account for 60 percent of all healthcare spending, but how we can 23 24 leverage each other, understand what each

other does, so that we can do exactly what
 you're talking about.

3 I think that there's other 4 opportunities to finance NORCs outside of 5 state government. You know, what really NORC funds is the coordinator and the glue to kind 6 7 of bring all the pieces together and some of the healthcare components. And I think that 8 9 there's opportunities, because of the aging 10 in place focus, the age-friendly communities focus, that -- you know, health foundations 11 12 and other local foundations and philanthropy 13 would be really interested in investing in 14 this type of model because it has been proven 15 to work. It was born here, it's being 16 replicated across the country. And I think 17 it's going to be through those partnerships 18 and only those types of partnerships that 19 we're going to have that kind of success. 20 Because the days of siloing have got to be 21 over, and I think we're well on our way to 22 that.

23 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Absolutely. And24 I'm hopeful that you can think about ways in

1 which perhaps we can begin to write this kind 2 of program, this kind of service into 3 reimbursement formulas, because it's very 4 similar to a special needs support service, 5 it's just specifically targeting seniors. 6 So thank you very much. 7 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Thank you. 8 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Assembly. 9 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman Al 10 Taylor. 11 ASSEMBLYMAN TAYLOR: Thank you. 12 Two of my questions were already 13 answered by my colleagues. I think I'll just 14 share the NORC program is vibrant, it adds so 15 much to the community where I'm located. And if there's an opportunity to increase, 16 17 expand, perhaps more dollars, that would be excellent. 18 19 I just wanted clarity on the -- you 20 talked about the home sharing. And I wasn't 21 clear if the \$15 million that's listed here, 22 we're investing \$15 million, was a part of that or it's additional money that will be 23 24 added to that.

1 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: No, the \$15 2 million is to provide services directly for 3 unmet needs that have been reported to us by 4 our county partners. So the home-share 5 pilots would not be part of that 15 million. 6 ASSEMBLYMAN TAYLOR: Different set of 7 monies. ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Correct. 8 9 ASSEMBLYMAN TAYLOR: Okay, thank you. 10 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: And I'm hoping your community did apply. I haven't seen the 11 12 list of who the applicants are for the NORC, but I'm really interested. 13 14 ASSEMBLYMAN TAYLOR: And we only have till next week? 15 16 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: They have till 17 February 1, yes. ASSEMBLYMAN TAYLOR: I'm on it. 18 19 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate? 20 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Seward. 21 SENATOR SEWARD: Yeah, thank you, Director Olsen. 22 23 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Hi, Senator. 24 SENATOR SEWARD: I wanted to drill

1 down a bit on the EISEP program, which is 2 very popular among my constituents, and I'm 3 sure that's true across the state, because it 4 does seek to meet a very important need out 5 there. Can you share with us -- if you know, 6 7 in fact -- approximately how many individuals are served annually through the EISEP 8 9 program? 10 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah, so the 11 EISEP program consists of a couple of 12 components. I just want to make sure I 13 answer this correctly, and then I can verify 14 that in a second. So it's Personal Care 1 15 and Personal Care Level 2. So 1 are things 16 like housekeeping, chore service, help with 17 bill paying, shopping, meal preparation. PC-2 is a little bit more skilled -- help 18 19 with getting up, feeding, ambulating, you 20 know, taking a shower, those types of things. 21 So we serve collectively, between PC-1 22 and PC-2, about 13,000 people a year. All of them are case-managed, which means they have 23 24 an intensive case manager who is looking out

1 for their welfare, connecting to benefits, 2 connecting to legal services, working with 3 the family, leveraging other community-based 4 resources to make sure that that person can 5 stay as independent as possible. SENATOR SEWARD: Now, just to clarify, 6 7 the \$15 million which we've been discussing, is that specifically for the EISEP program? 8 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: It isn't. 9 10 It's put under the EISEP appropriation because the primary areas that we have 11 12 received data on unmet need are EISEP and EISEP-like services. And I say EISEP-like 13 14 because Title III-D of the Older Americans 15 Act funds EISEP services. The CSE program funds them as well. 16 17 So really what the appropriation does 18 is provides the flexibility for me to get those dollars out. It doesn't require a 19 20 county match, which has been important as of 21 years late, and doesn't require to go through

21 years face, and doesn't require to go through
22 the funding formula, because then I wouldn't
23 be able to target the dollars.

24 The majority of the services that will

1 be funded under this approp are going to be 2 the case management, PC-1 and PC-2, but it 3 will also be things like home-delivered 4 meals, personal emergency response system --5 that's the unit where if you fall, you can press it and it alerts 911 or a caregiver --6 7 and home modifications and repair. But it provides that flexibility. 8

9 And, you know, I think that we're 10 anticipating and have to kind of think 11 through in areas where an aide may not be 12 available, you know, the consumer-directed 13 model or other ways that the county or the 14 community-based organization can serve that 15 individual, you know, differently -- it might be a SADS program, it might be enhanced 16 17 caregiver and respite. So we have that 18 flexibility that they can determine how best 19 to serve that person that's not having their 20 needs met.

21 SENATOR SEWARD: I guess what would be
22 of concern is there is a waiting list for
23 EISEP in many counties.

24 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: And that's

what this is designed do, is to target unmet
 need, yes.

3 SENATOR SEWARD: So how many -- with 4 this additional funding, how many more new 5 seniors do you anticipate enrolling in the 6 program?

7 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Well, we're anticipating that the data that was reported 8 to me by the counties will be able to be 9 10 served by this 15 million. So as I was 11 mentioning earlier, the 15 million wasn't a 12 number that I pulled out of a hat. It was 13 based on a county reporting maybe a 14 home-delivered meal unmet need, two people on 15 PC-2 unmet need. And I can literally drill 16 down to the county level and say it's going 17 to cost \$14,535 for you to put those 18 individuals on the service that you're saying 19 that you're not able to do right now. 20 So we believe that this is going to be

21 able to address the unmet need. It's very 22 exciting.

23SENATOR SEWARD: What I was searching24for is an approximate number. You said

1 13,000, approximately, are on the EISEP 2 program. And with these additional funds of 3 \$15 million, how many more seniors will -- a 4 number, in terms of how many more will 5 receive services. 6 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: I think all 7 day, for all of the areas that we have seen 8 unmet need, it's going to be close to 9,000. 9 SENATOR SEWARD: In addition? 10 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yes. 11 SENATOR SEWARD: Thank you. No 12 further questions. ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: You're 13 14 welcome. Thank you, Senator. 15 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman 16 Wright. 17 ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: Good afternoon. ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Hi. 18 ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: I just wanted 19 20 you to explain to me, one of the items you 21 identified was providing funding to bring in-house the statewide efforts to expand 22 evidence-based interventions. So can you 23 24 explain that a little bit more fully to me?

1	Because these look like things that are
2	normally handled, at least in my community,
3	by a lot of our CBOs and health clinics.
4	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yes. So thank
5	you for the question.
6	The State Office for the Aging
7	receives what's called Title III-D funding,
8	and that's for health prevention, wellness,
9	and other types of, you know, proactive
10	get-healthy type.
11	Years ago we mandated that all of our
12	counties using those dollars only provide the
13	highest-level evidence-based interventions,
14	and there's a whole bunch of them. Our
15	network now provides over 40 different EBIs,
16	from tai chi, osteoporosis so we keep the
17	fidelity of these programs and they have
18	certain positive outcomes for the
19	individual's healthcare.
20	Back in 2006 we applied for a federal
21	grant, in partnership with the Health
22	Department but administered by our agency, to
23	build out the statewide infrastructure for
24	chronic disease self-management. I'm not

sure if you're familiar with that particular
 program. And there's diabetes
 self-management, there's a variety, again, of
 these evidence-based interventions.

5 One of our partners was SUNY Albany Research Foundation, who basically became the 6 7 quality technical assistance provider for this effort. So over the last 12 years, we 8 have been building -- again, with Health 9 10 Department providers, within the area agency on aging network -- and those community-based 11 12 organizations that you've talked about have built over 120 sites across the state and 13 14 have served tens of thousands of people, not 15 just older people but people with disabilities, et cetera. 16

17 The SUNY Research Foundation notified us in October that they were folding up shop 18 19 December 31. So we approached the Governor's 20 office and we made a pitch of how important 21 this was not only to MLTC, the health 22 community, but our network as well, because we had built this and have plans to continue 23 24 to expand out this fairly robust

1 infrastructure of EBIs that are beneficial. 2 The funding to continue that as-is was 3 built into our financial plan. And so those 4 things will still happen in the community, 5 but what would have happened is the whole project and the 12 years of work to grow 6 7 these, and to link with health providers and others in a community, would have fallen 8 9 apart. 10 ASSEMBLYWOMAN WRIGHT: Okay. I just 11 wanted to understand. Thank you. 12 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yes, thank 13 you. 14 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate? 15 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. I 16 think I'm the last Senator. Thank you for 17 being with us today. 18 A lot of questions were already asked. 19 Just a revisit of this private-pay protocol. 20 Just to note, has SOFA looked into making it 21 very clear that if you're an organization 22 who's receiving Older Americans Act money, 23 you'd better not be participating in this? 24 Because of course under the Older Americans

1 Act, you're not allowed to charge people. 2 And it's very hard, at a community-based level, to separate out what monies got used 3 4 for what services. 5 Also, any program that is perhaps receiving donated food through their local 6 7 food bank for some of their programs -- I 8 know in New York City most of our senior centers draw down food bank foods as 9 10 supplements -- same law. Cannot charge 11 anybody for anything. 12 So I hope that you're aware of that 13 and making sure you're not walking 14 not-for-profit and other organizations into a legal problem. 15 16 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Well, I 17 appreciate you doing your homework. You're absolutely right. So a couple of things. 18 19 There are exemptions to cost-sharing 20 and inclusions under the Older Americans Act. 21 So I think that's the reason that we chose 22 not to go with a cost-sharing model, because there are things that are exempt and should 23 24 be exempt, frankly.

1 Senator, the way that the network is 2 structured, it's really a great 3 public-private partnership: Fed, state, 4 local, and participant themselves. But to be 5 honest with you, and I think that you know this, the game is at the state. The amount 6 7 of money that we receive from the federal government is this big (indicating), 8 \$77 million -- not insignificant, but we can 9 10 spend that in the first couple of months. 11 Between what the state puts in and 12 what the counties put in -- the counties put in \$260 million, the state funds \$140 13 14 million -- we're way ahead of any other state 15 in the country on the state commitment. And 16 then the participants themselves, through 17 little donations, voluntary contributions, 18 put in another \$35 million. We're looking at 19 state-only services. There already is an 20 accounting process that the counties are 21 well-versed in using to separate federal funds from state funds. That's the EISEP 22 program. And so we will go into this, you 23 24 know, very thoughtfully.

1 I think, you know, you're one of the 2 few people up there that know my history as 3 an advocate and protecting people. And I'm 4 very, very excited about this program because 5 I'm seeing as I'm making breakfast for the kids in the morning of these organizations 6 7 that are for-profit that are popping up all over the state offering all kinds of advice 8 to older adults and selling a service that 9 10 I'm not sure that we should be pleased that they're selling. 11

12 And I think that -- you know, I've 13 been around this network long enough to know what it does, how it does it, how the state 14 15 provides oversight, and how important it is 16 to be as objective as possible and provide 17 the litany of things that wrap around a particular service and looking out for the 18 19 best interest of the older person.

20 So to answer your question a long way, 21 you're absolutely right, and we have taken 22 that into consideration. Which is also why 23 we're going to take a year to really make 24 sure that we implement this correctly. It's

1 at county option. I don't expect 59 counties 2 to jump in on April 1, 2020. But I think 3 that this is a winner proposal, it's going to 4 help expand services that people don't have 5 access to. It's going to generate revenue, and it's going to help direct new revenues 6 7 towards people that do not have access to services. 8

CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And you brought 9 10 up a very important point that I now want to raise. I hope that SOFA will coordinate with 11 12 other state agencies and legislators to 13 finally start serious investigation into the 14 abuses in for-profit nursing homes and the 15 rates at which the complaints have 16 skyrocketed of incredibly disturbing 17 treatment or lack of medical care for people 18 as the for-profit ratio of nursing homes 19 continues to outpace radically the 20 not-for-profit. It's the only sector of 21 healthcare that we seem to allow the 22 for-profits into in New York State, and it's for me an example of why we're very wise not 23 24 to allow for-profit healthcare. Because some

1 of the stories that I get reported to me from 2 people in for-profit nursing homes are 3 terrifying. 4 So I know you don't think you have a 5 role with nursing homes, except you have the role as the advocate for seniors in this 6 7 state, who are disproportionately the people in nursing homes. 8 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yes. And we 9 10 also have the Long Term Care Ombudsman 11 Program, which is extremely important, to be 12 the eyes and ears and communication mechanism 13 back to the Health Department. They work 14 with the Health Department. And so, yeah, 15 thank you for your comment. 16 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And then finally, 17 and I don't expect you to answer now -- I'm 18 always fascinated that the state recognizes 19 we have education funding that correlates to 20 the number of children in our schools and it 21 goes up and down based on that. We have healthcare funding that correlates to the 22

number of people on Medicaid, for example, orMedicare. We have formulas for benefits

1 programs through OTDA.

2	Do we ever evaluate and do you have
3	any numbers of the growth of seniors in the
4	state compared to the growth in investments
5	in programs specifically for seniors?
6	Because I'm suspecting we're really behind
7	the curve of the fact that we are just
8	getting older and older.
9	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah, and I
10	think that, you know, for a long time, as you
11	know, being in this legislative body, there's
12	modest increases year in and year out. I
13	think that over the last couple of years
14	under Governor Cuomo, whether it be directly
15	in our agency or, an example, a \$25 million
16	increase in caregiving and respite services
17	that wind up in the Health Department
18	there's a lot of investments like that. I
19	think that the population trends are
20	something we definitely need to take a look
21	at.
22	And I am hopeful and think that we
23	will get to a point where this multi-agency

24 collaborative under the Prevention Agenda,

1	HHAP, and age-friendly, is going to raise the
2	awareness of not only what the value of the
3	older population is in terms of what it
4	brings socially, intellectually and
5	economically to communities, but maybe
6	provides us a different way to provide
7	services because of that value.
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
9	Assembly.
10	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Thank you.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
12	Assemblyman Bronson for a second
13	3 minutes.
-	
14	ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Thank you,
14	ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Thank you,
14 15	ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Thank you, Madam Chair, for 3 minutes.
14 15 16	ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Thank you, Madam Chair, for 3 minutes. So first I'm going to make a couple of
14 15 16 17	ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Thank you, Madam Chair, for 3 minutes. So first I'm going to make a couple of observations and comments. I'm very pleased
14 15 16 17 18	ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Thank you, Madam Chair, for 3 minutes. So first I'm going to make a couple of observations and comments. I'm very pleased to know that the agency has a directive to
14 15 16 17 18 19	ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Thank you, Madam Chair, for 3 minutes. So first I'm going to make a couple of observations and comments. I'm very pleased to know that the agency has a directive to train and certify the workers within the
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Thank you, Madam Chair, for 3 minutes. So first I'm going to make a couple of observations and comments. I'm very pleased to know that the agency has a directive to train and certify the workers within the agency. It's a little perplexing, however,
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Thank you, Madam Chair, for 3 minutes. So first I'm going to make a couple of observations and comments. I'm very pleased to know that the agency has a directive to train and certify the workers within the agency. It's a little perplexing, however, then to see in the budget that the

1 inconsistency there, and I think you should 2 relook at that.

3 Second, the COLA issue. You know, the 4 reality is COLA was instituted in the State 5 2006-2007 Budget. Since that time, only two years -- two years -- has there been a COLA 6 7 increase. We have also changed the dynamic 8 out in the field, not only for SOFA but for OMH, OPWDD, et cetera. That dynamic is, 9 10 rightfully so, we have a policy in this state of making sure workers get paid a fair and 11 12 good wage. We increased the minimum wage. 13 That has created so much pressure all through 14 the human services agencies on keeping, 15 retaining and being able to pay the number of 16 staff members they need. 17 This state has an obligation, an obligation -- if we're going to be serious 18

19about human services, and in this case about20serving our older New Yorkers, we need to21have that COLA in. It's a price tag of22\$700 million that has not been funded. So I23think you need to relook at that.

24 Thirdly, this isn't really in your

1 wheelhouse, but it's kind of, because the 2 housing and foreclosure funding, we need to 3 replace that funding. The funding stream is 4 going to expire in March of this year. And 5 our older New Yorkers are facing scam for-rescue foreclosures, they're facing 6 7 attempts for deed theft. And we need to make sure that legal service agencies out there 8 are funded so that they can protect our 9 10 seniors and keep them in their homes. 11 Remember, one of our number-one priorities is 12 to keep our seniors in their homes. 13 So lastly, I'll just ask you quickly, 14 the LGBT population, what has the agency done 15 to help the population which I am part of? ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Sure. And I'm 16 17 going to answer number one first, if you don't mind. The \$250,000 training contract 18 19 was a Governor's priority several years ago. 20 That has not been eliminated from my budget, 21 it has been moved into the NPS budget so I 22 can administer it directly for efficiency's 23 sake.

24

The project that we have working with

the association right now is a very, very 1 2 intensive two-year business acumen project 3 with health and non-health to bring folks 4 together to have them get paid for services. 5 So it is there, it's not going away. And so we're planning on blowing out the ability to 6 7 provide state certifications and statewide training that's measurable and 8 outcomes-based. 9 10 Your comments on 2 and 3, I appreciate 11 your comments. 12 Number 4, we've done a lot. You know, 13 I think we really have been a leader in the 14 state in terms of how we approach LGBT communities. 15 We have a really strong working 16 17 relationship with SAGE. They've done a variety of trainings for us directly at the 18 state level, but also our staff at the local 19 20 level. We have built questions into our 21 COMPASS, our comprehensive assessment tool, 22 to make sure that we're identifying individuals. We have at our June conference 23 24 every year at least three or four workshops

1 that address these particular issues.

We are working -- and I have a laundry list of what counties are doing directly in this area, and I think this is something we have to continue to combat, because there's a lot of stereotypes and misinformation and frankly fear that just needs to be constantly overcome.

I also have the power within the --9 10 being the director, to do a couple of things, and we're going to address that. Our 11 12 four-year plan is going to be resubmitted 13 next year, 2019-2024, where I'm going to 14 address this exact issue specifically and 15 then require the counties to submit to us, in 16 their annual plans and their four-year plans, 17 a lot more detail on exactly what they're 18 doing to combat that as well. 19 So I think it's a work in progress 20 that we're going to continue to work on. 21 ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Thank you. 22 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Sure. 23 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.

24 Senator May.

1 SENATOR MAY: This is just really 2 quick, but again, about the private-pay 3 protocols. 4 Do you envision a sliding scale so 5 that people at 400 percent of poverty will pay less, or it just kicks in at a 6 7 hundred percent at that point? 8 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: No, we're not. I think that it starts to get really 9 10 complicated when you do that. 11 Again, I think that, you know, most 12 families and individuals that are dealing 13 with elder care issues, whether it be my 14 parents or others, are looking for an 15 objective place to go to get services that 16 are cost-effective and leverage a whole bunch 17 of other things, not be sold a particular product per se. And I think that we're able 18 to do that. 19 20 I just want to give you an example of 21 how cost-effective our services are. And I'll give you an example of our average EISEP 22

23 person. So not only are we able to intervene24 earlier before people get to the example that

1 I'm going to give you, and maybe offer some 2 services for a couple of hundred dollars a 3 year, but when you get to the point where you 4 really have some high needs, CMS at the 5 federal level targets, under Medicaid and Medicare, people that have two or more 6 7 chronic conditions, people that have functional limitations. 8

Our average EISEP client is an 9 10 83-year-old woman who lives alone, who's low 11 income, doesn't have any caregivers, has four 12 or more chronic conditions, three or more needs at ADLs and 5.9 IADL needs. So here's 13 14 what that means. That means they have 15 trouble getting up, getting dressed, bathing, 16 eating, shopping, transporting, et cetera. 17 And we're able to do that for \$7,000 a year. 18 I'm not sure where the bigger bang for the 19 buck you can get. And that's where you get 20 to a point where you've almost got a foot in 21 the door to Medicaid. Right? So can you 22 imagine what we'd be able to do if we were able to get to folks earlier, help them 23 24 understand the tens of plans of Medicare

1 Part D, to offer -- one of the most important 2 services out there is case management. 3 That's \$700 a year for a case manager. 4 So I think that, you know, these are 5 things that if I had the ability to pay for that on behalf of my mom, I would do that in 6 7 a second. But I don't have the authority to 8 do that now. The counties don't have the authority to offer that option right now. 9 10 And that's where I think we're going with this. It's not about a cost-shift, it's 11 12 being able to open it up so that we can help 13 people maintain themselves, not devolve to a 14 point where they wind up in the ER and then 15 have to spend down to Medicaid. And I think 16 it's a winner, I really do. 17 SENATOR MAY: Thank you. ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Thanks. 18 19 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman 20 Dickens, did you have a question? 21 ASSEMBLYWOMAN DICKENS: Thank you so 22 much, Madam Chair. 23 And thank you, Mr. Olsen, for your 24 testimony.

1	I agree with my chair that the
2	New York Foundation has done a phenomenal
3	job. But can you tell me what the cost is
4	per two-bedroom unit in New York City for
5	home-sharing?
6	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: I can't. Is
7	that something you'd like me to get for you?
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN DICKENS: I'd like that.
9	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Sure.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN DICKENS: Because they
11	are interested in expanding their
12	home-sharing program.
13	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: The New York
14	Foundation is?
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN DICKENS: Yes, the
16	New York Foundation is.
17	The second question I have is on the
18	NORCs. What is the cost for administering
19	and maybe you don't know today, but the cost
20	for administering a NORC down in the city?
21	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Well, I can
22	tell you what the NORCs receive down in the
23	city, if that would be helpful. And then if
24	there are specifics in terms of like, you

know, what the complement of staff look like,
 I'd be happy to get that for you.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN DICKENS: I'd like that. 3 4 Because in Assemblymember Taylor's district, 5 the Esplanade was a NORC, and they lost that. I had a Neighborhood NORC with Abyssinian 6 7 Development Corp., and I lost that. So I'm 8 very concerned about my constituent base 9 having a program such as NORC available to 10 them.

11 ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Okay. So I 12 can get you some specifics. The majority are 13 receiving between 150 and 200. But many of 14 the state-funded NORCs are also being funded 15 by the city, so it could be 3, 4, 500,000 16 depending on the particular one.

I'd be more than happy to get you a
list of the NORCs and the Neighborhood NORCs
and what the staffing complement looks like.
ASSEMBLYWOMAN DICKENS: Also, you
partner with NYSERDA. Is that the best
partnering agency to target low- and

23 moderate-income households for energy

24 efficiency? And the reason I'm saying that

is because maybe you might do better if you
 went into the senior centers or went to - looked at DHCR's filings. Is NYSERDA the
 best -- and I'm not knocking them, they do a
 phenomenal job.

ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah, and I 6 7 don't think it's one or the other. I think that that was an example of things that we're 8 9 trying to do to do a couple of things -- make 10 the house safer for people to live in, reduce 11 the carbon footprint where possible, reduce 12 the amount of money you're spending 13 out-of-pocket, which then can go to other 14 things. We certainly want to reduce people 15 using their ovens to heat and so on.

16 So NYSERDA has a variety of programs 17 that are targeted to low- and moderate-income 18 people to make those types of purchases and 19 changes, whether they be appliances or some 20 small changes for the house to do those types 21 of things. But are they the only ones, to 22 your point? Absolutely not.

23 ASSEMBLYWOMAN DICKENS: All right.24 Because I meant to target those households.

1	NYSERDA provides the ability for them
2	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: To finance it.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN DICKENS: to get the
4	outdated equipment out.
5	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: That's right.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN DICKENS: So I just
7	wanted to know, you know, about that.
8	Thank you so much for your testimony.
9	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: You're
10	welcome.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. So
12	I think that is the end of your time here.
13	There may be some follow-up questions that
14	members have, and we will
15	ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Well, it's
16	always great to be here. Thank you all. I
17	appreciate it.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for
19	your help here, Greg.
20	So now we begin the sort of
21	stakeholder advocates' comments portion of
22	the hearing that we will have witnesses come.
23	Just by way of information, both with
24	the next witnesses and members, there's five

1 minutes for the witness to present their 2 testimony. Remember, you've all submitted it by -- or hopefully you have all submitted it 3 4 by email already. It's been circulated to 5 the members. So to the extent that you can summarize your positions, that is great. And 6 7 by sending it in advance, it was able to help 8 prepare us for dealing with the commissioners here. 9 10 And then members, if they choose to ask questions, will have a three-minute 11 12 timetable. 13 So we will begin with Beth Finkel of 14 AARP, who has brought a backup team with her. 15 (Laughter.) 16 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Welcome to the 17 hearing. Welcome, AARP members. 18 (Applause.) MS. FINKEL: Hi. Good afternoon. 19 So 20 nice to see all of you again, and in these 21 roles. So congratulations, it's really cool. 22 So I guess, first of all, good afternoon to Senator Krueger and 23 24 Assemblymember Weinstein and members of the

committee. I'm Beth Finkel, AARP. I'm
 looking forward to getting to know all of you
 shortly. And those who are old advocates for
 aging in New York, I appreciate seeing you
 here today.

AARP has 2.6 million members in 6 7 New York State. We've got 38 million across the country. And our membership is 50-plus, 8 and the 50-plus have a huge impact on the 9 10 economy of New York. And I think Greg 11 started to tell that story, but maybe I can 12 just tell it real quickly, because it is 13 incredibly important.

14 It's called the Longevity Economy, and 15 the 50-plus in New York supply 50 percent of the GDP of New York State, 50 percent of the 16 17 GDP. And it equals \$704 billion. That's B, 18 billion dollars. And it not only feeds into 19 the coffers of New York State, but it's 20 essential to local county and town economies. 21 The people are able to age in place in their 22 own homes and communities, those 23 neighborhoods that they built up, that they 24 are very vested in. And they don't want to

1 leave them, and we believe that they

2 shouldn't have to leave them.

And so all of the things that I'm going to lay out to you today really build up to that thought of keeping people in their community because, number one, it's what people want, and then, number two, it really is the fiscally responsible thing to do.

9 So the other part of that is that 10 older New Yorkers are the largest growing 11 contingent. You know, across the country, 12 10,000 people a day turn 65. And so we do 13 have to be prepared for them, and it's --14 we're all aging rapidly. I'm feeling it 15 myself, actually.

16 So number one I want to say that we 17 support Governor Cuomo's State Prevention Agenda and his Health Across All Policies 18 19 approach which Greg laid out so clearly. It 20 requires all the state agencies to 21 incorporate health considerations into their 22 planning, programs, initiatives. And that goes back to the fact that Governor Cuomo 23 24 named New York State as the first

1 age-friendly state in the country, and I
2 think that's really distinctive and
3 important. And by the way, a number of
4 states have already followed in our footsteps
5 and they're watching what we're doing,
6 because we're number one and they're going to
7 follow how we do it.

8 So for AARP nationally, there's a lot 9 of eyes on New York to make sure that we 10 really do it right. So we're excited about 11 this because now all the agencies will be 12 actually examining what they're doing for 13 older adults and then putting in plans of how 14 they're going to even improve it and --

15 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: I think you may 16 want to go through the rest of your testimony 17 and summarize it, Beth. I don't want you to 18 be running out of time before you hit your 19 main points.

20 MS. FINKEL: I'm a fast talker, too. 21 Okay, so number one, we're very 22 anxious that unpaid family caregivers who 23 care for older relatives, spouses and other 24 loved ones are able to be taken care of and

1 kept in place. There are 3 million adult 2 family caregivers in New York State, and they 3 are supplying unpaid care valued at a 4 staggering \$32 billion. Which if we had to 5 pay it out of pocket, is \$32 billion worth of services. 6 7 And these people are sacrificing themselves and their own earnings. As a 8 matter of fact, the average is 20 percent out 9 10 of pocket of their earnings -- and if it's a 11 person of color, it's even higher. For 12 Hispanics, it's 44 percent of their earnings 13 go to care for their family members in the 14 home. Thousands of New Yorkers are stuck on 15 16 waiting lists in non-Medicaid home- and 17 community-based services for the elderly. 18 And we feel it's important that we eliminate 19 those waiting lists and we go even a step 20 further. So we're really pleased that the 21 Governor put in \$15 million into his budget 22 to take care of those waiting lists, but it is our contention that in fact that's not 23 24 really -- the waiting list numbers are not

1 totally what they should be represented at, 2 because counties don't always count everybody 3 who comes in and wants those services. So it 4 is our belief it's much more. 5 But more importantly, it will pay for so much more in services, because we know 6 7 that all adults need these vital services to keep them in their homes and communities. 8 9 Next part is the caregiver tax credit, 10 which goes back to the point I made before 11 about the out-of-pocket costs that caregivers 12 are giving. We are asking for \$1.9 million for 13 14 local kinship programs and another \$100,000 15 for New York State Kinship Navigator. Kin 16 care is very important in the state, but on 17 top of that with the opioid crisis I know you're all looking at, even more people are 18 19 ending up in the homes of their family 20 members. The Governor only put \$38,000 in --21 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: You can take a 22 minute more to just summarize. MS. FINKEL: Okay, so it's the kin 23 24 care money that I just mentioned. Elder

1 abuse money, which is \$500,000 for enhanced 2 multidisciplinary teams to fight senior 3 financial exploitation. We also want to --4 we're happy about the issue around -- to make 5 it illegal for landlords to discriminate against potential tenants based on lawful 6 7 sources of rental income, including Social Security and housing choice vouchers. 8 Strengthening rent laws is very important, 9 10 ending that vacancy decontrol. And finally I just want to quickly 11 12 mention that we would like a million dollars to establish an office for an independent 13 14 utility consumer advocate. Forty-four other 15 states in the country have it right now. If 16 you go to the PSC, there is nobody 17 representing consumers. When they want to put an increase in, nobody can speak up for 18 19 consumers, which is absolutely incredible. 20 And the 44 other states can do it and 21 New York hasn't been able to do it? A 22 million dollars, I think we should be able to find that. 23 24 And finally, \$20 million to continue

1 vital housing counseling for legal services. 2 So many people are faced with foreclosure, 3 and the money for Communities First runs out 4 March 31, 2019. So we're asking for 5 \$20 million to help people fight foreclosure. Thank you very much. Oh, Secure 6 7 Choice. We're glad you put \$4 million in. You put \$4 million in, we're very happy about 8 9 that. 10 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senator May? 11 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator May. 12 SENATOR MAY: We talked a lot about 13 this private-pay protocol with the 14 commissioner, and I'm wondering what your 15 position is on that. 16 MS. FINKEL: We're very supportive of 17 it. We think it's really important that all 18 consumers can get objective services. You 19 know, you can go pick off one by one and go 20 into private care and you don't know what 21 you're getting. But if you get a case 22 manager from a SOFA agency, you know you're going to get directed both to the best 23 24 quality, the most efficient, and have

1	everything coordinated, which is so important
2	when you're planning for how someone who
3	needs care gets their care. It must be
4	totally coordinated.
5	SENATOR MAY: Great. Thank you very
6	much.
7	MS. FINKEL: Yeah, I think it's great.
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
9	Assemblyman Bronson for a quick
10	question.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Yeah, this is a
12	very quick question. So the Governor is
13	putting 15 million additional dollars in the
14	EISEP program. And you're calling for
15	\$25 million and I'm reading your
16	language for community-based services to
17	keep pace with need.
18	The director indicated that he was
19	using data that would help us close the
20	waiting lists, and that's how he came up with
21	the \$15 million. How did you come up with
22	the dollars that you're requesting?
23	MS. FINKEL: The number has fluctuated

1 is calculated, right? If you are maintaining 2 a waiting list and encouraging people to go on the waiting list, you would have one set 3 4 of data. If you are fulfilling what you 5 have, you might not have as robust a waiting 6 list as really might be called for. And so 7 that's the --8 ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: In my understanding -- and correct me if I'm wrong, 9 10 I'm new to this -- not all counties keep data 11 relating to their waiting lists. Is that 12 correct? Or if they even have a waiting list. 13 14 MS. FINKEL: I'm not sure that they 15 all keep it in the same way. 16 ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Okay. All 17 right, thank you. 18 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 19 Senator Savino for a question. 20 SENATOR SAVINO: Just one quick 21 question. First of all, Beth, wonderful to see 22 you, and of course everybody in your red 23 24 shirts.

1 So we worked so hard in getting Secure 2 Choice Retirement finally across the finish line. We did such a good job that the 3 4 Governor stole the idea and put it in the 5 budget last year. 6 (Laughter.) 7 SENATOR SAVINO: Right? All good ideas go to the budget. 8 9 To your knowledge, has the Department 10 of Financial Services developed the rules and promulgated the rules for the plan so that it 11 12 can actually be implemented? 13 MS. FINKEL: My understanding is that 14 they're putting together a commission. It 15 will have seven members, according to the legislation that was passed. They're 16 17 completing appointing those members. The 18 \$4 million I think for the program, to get it up and running, will make it available to get 19 all those pieces in place and get it going. 20 21 So I'm very encouraged and I know that 22 some people have already been reached out to be part of that commission, so they are 23 24 moving forward.

1 SENATOR SAVINO: Good. Thank you. 2 MS. FINKEL: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 3 4 Thank you for being here. 5 Next, New York StateWide Senior Action 6 Council, Gail Myers, deputy director --7 (Scattered applause.) CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: I don't know if 8 that's for Gail or Beth, but they're both 9 10 accepted. 11 And to be followed by UJA Federation 12 of New York. 13 MS. MYERS: Hi, all. So thank you for 14 the opportunity to testify today --15 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Gail, hold it. 16 Can we just keep the noise down? 17 Thank you. 18 I'm sorry. MS. MYERS: The StateWide Senior 19 20 Action Council is a membership organization 21 from throughout the state. For more than 22 45 years we have been advocating for seniors, and through two state contracts -- which I 23 24 will talk about, of course -- we get more

1 information and are able to bring those 2 system changes that are needed back to you. 3 Today I can tell you that of the many 4 issues that we hear from our helplines, there 5 are issues related to poverty and the need for more benefits, and the need to understand 6 7 how to access benefits. And in addition to that, the other 8 9 major issue is the home care worker shortage. 10 You're going to hear more about that in a 11 moment, but we have testified at length for 12 the last several years about the home care 13 worker shortage in much more than the 14 20 seconds I have just left for that. 15 Patients' rights helpline. We started our program in 1987 with \$180,000 of state 16 17 funding. That has been cut down to \$31,500. 18 The Assembly and the Senate have been very 19 helpful in helping us restore some of that 20 funding. Last year there was a 21 much-appreciated \$100,000 of legislative 22 funding added to the Governor's submitted \$31,500, for a total of \$131,500. 23 24 We respectfully ask you to add that

\$100,000 back in. In the last year since
 that appropriation has occurred, in this
 fiscal year, we have added a Buffalo office
 and staff and been able to more fully address
 the needs in Western New York. We have an
 office in the Southern Tier, in Albany and in
 New York City as well.

The Managed-Care Consumer Assistance 8 9 Program is run by six not-for-profit 10 agencies. We supplement the work that the 11 HIICAP agencies in the offices for aging do 12 for information and assistance enrolling 13 people in Medicare, helping them understand 14 their benefits, enrolling them in EPIC, and 15 in the premium assistance programs that are 16 available that really are federally funded.

17 But we understand that, on average, New Yorkers leave over \$5,000 of these 18 19 benefits on the table, that they are not 20 enrolled in. Our network of not-for-profit 21 providers is asking for an increase in our 22 budget lines so that we can move forward and reach more of the underserved populations and 23 24 get people enrolled in benefits, most of

which are federal, that they are entitled to.
 Our recommendation for that is on page 3 of
 the testimony.

We are pleased to see that the Governor has included in the baseline funding for Community Services for the Elderly the money that the Legislature has previously added. That is a welcome addition to the budget, since not always do the legislative items get added right in. That's good.

But we have two major concerns, some 11 12 of which you have already touched on this 13 morning, so I've provided you with a thousand 14 pages of questions related to the two new 15 models that are being offered. One is the 16 private-pay model that we'll talk about 17 shortly -- but again I'm leaving you with lots of questions in writing -- and the other 18 is the \$15 million in EISEP. 19

20 We appreciate and we like the addition 21 of funds into programs, and we like 22 innovation. So you may be surprised to hear 23 us have major concerns about the private-pay 24 model. You've already expressed some of

1 those this morning -- how will that work, who 2 will pay, how will they pay. I'm 3 particularly concerned in hearing about 4 monies being returned into the network to 5 expand services to other people. The state should be expanding services to other people. 6 7 If money is being returned into the network, does that mean that they are being sold at a 8 profit? So that is a concern, and we suggest 9 10 that you do that perhaps more in a demonstration base with more legislative 11 12 oversight. The second is money in the EISEP 13 14 program. We have previously always asked 15 that money be added into the CSE line that can be used for EISEP and other services 16

because it gives more flexibility where it's needed to the local commissioners of aging to determine where is the most need locally.

In addition, we are particularly concerned that in the language that is presented for you for the \$15 million in EISEP, it is a very open-ended ability for the Office for Aging to transfer, between

1 lines, funding. And so the language says "Up 2 to \$15 million hereby appropriated may be 3 increased or decreased by interchange or 4 transfer with any other General Fund 5 appropriation within the Office for Aging to address the unmet needs of the elderly." 6 7 I would suggest that we would prefer to have legislative and local offices for 8 9 aging oversight into that. 10 If I can just beg 30 more seconds, I wanted to also point out that the EPIC 11 12 program is facing a cut in the budget. The 13 EPIC line shows about a 9 percent reduction, 14 and that is a cut of \$11,223 that I certainly 15 urge you to look into. The Governor's 16 justification is that there is more filling 17 of the Medicare Part D donut hole, the 18 coverage gap, because of the Affordable Care 19 Act. While that is true, if it should 20 continue to prevail as the ACA, which we 21 hope, there is also more people coming into 22 the program, every year more people aging into 65 and over. And if there's going to be 23 24 a savings attributed to the federal dollars,

1	we'd rather see you invest it in expanding
2	EPIC for Medicaid, medical marijuana, as well
3	as to the populations younger than 65 who are
4	on Medicare. Thank you.
5	(Laughter.)
6	MS. MYERS: I'm going to get some
7	oxygen.
8	(Laughter.)
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We'll provide
10	that later.
11	(Laughter.)
12	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Fine. And as
13	you mentioned and we've said, we have the
14	testimony. The written testimony is part of
15	the formal record of these hearings, and they
16	will be posted. Also, additional testimony
17	can be submitted up to seven days after the
18	close of this hearing if people heard things
19	that they want to amend or comment on.
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I have one
21	Senator. Senator May. Oh, also Senator
22	Serino.
23	SENATOR MAY: That's okay. Go ahead.
24	SENATOR SERINO: Hi, Gail, nice to see

1 you.

2	MS. MYERS: Hi, Senator.
3	SENATOR SERINO: I just want to
4	commend you on your work that you're doing at
5	Marist College with the students in social
6	work and helping our seniors. I'm wondering
7	if you have any thoughts on how we can expand
8	that program in other areas, because you're
9	doing such an amazing job. And I think we
10	need to be doing everything we can to
11	encourage young people to get involved and do
12	work with our seniors.
13	MS. MYERS: Thank you.
14	The Marist undergraduate social work
15	class invited me to participate in
16	teaching I say that very lightly, since I
17	have no background in teaching but to
18	share about how aging services work and to
19	encourage those students to go into the
20	field. And we brought had them do some
21	actual community organizing around it.
22	I think the answer is cloning. Don't
23	think that that's an option for us now. But
24	we would add certainly more staff if we had

1 the resources to be able to fulfill that 2 function. It's not part of the contracted 3 work that we do for the state, and we rely on 4 our membership and grants and other things in 5 order to have some extra time to get that type of work done. 6 7 But more significantly, I think, is that we have members from throughout the 8 state. And I was just special supplementing 9 10 our local membership there, and our members are happy to have that type of relationship 11 12 with schools and students and talk about 13 aging. And we have speakers bureaus, so we 14 are really trying to pump that up. 15 But thanks for the recognition. 16 SENATOR SERINO: Thank you. 17 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you, 18 Gail. UJA-Federation of New York, Ariel 19 20 Savransky, to be followed by the New York 21 State Coalition against Domestic Violence. 22 MS. SAVRANSKY: Good afternoon. My name is Ariel Savransky, and I'm a policy and 23 24 advocacy advisor at UJA-Federation. I thank

1 you for the opportunity to testify today. 2 Established more than 100 years ago, UJA federation is one of the nation's largest 3 4 local philanthropies. Our mission is to 5 fight poverty, connect people to their communities, and respond to crises both 6 7 locally and around the world. We work with a network of about 100 nonprofit organizations 8 9 to provide services throughout the state. 10 You have my testimony, so I'm just going to take the next few minutes to 11 12 highlight three areas. First I'd like to talk about Holocaust survivors. So New York 13 14 State is home to about 45,000 Holocaust survivors, which is almost half of the total 15 16 population of survivors in the country. In 17 the 2016-2017 fiscal year, there was \$200,000 invested to help four organizations provide 18 19 services to this population. We are 20 requesting an extra \$1 million to be included 21 in this year's budget so that we can respond 22 to the increased needs of this population. Very often these individuals 23 24 experience complications beyond the scope of

just normal aging. Many survivors also live in poverty, subsisting on fixed incomes that do not allow them to be able to afford things such as housing, food, utilities or medication. Specialized programs and services are really needed to respond to the needs of this population.

8 Assistance for aging survivors in our 9 state is critical so that we may help enhance 10 the quality of life for Holocaust survivors 11 as they live out their remaining years. So 12 this \$1 million is really critical.

I'd also like to touch on some of the 13 14 investments that need to be made for our 15 nonprofits to be able to continue to provide 16 the services that our constituents rely on. 17 So we talked a lot about this throughout the testimony today, so I won't spend a lot of 18 19 time on it. But our nonprofit partners 20 deliver programs, services and resources such 21 as access to food, education and after-school 22 programs, mental health services, workforce development, and a whole host of others that 23 24 support the well-being of New Yorkers all

1 throughout their lives.

2	Static or reduced funding has forced
3	nonprofit program budgets to adjust, leaving
4	them unable to expand service delivery to
5	meet the needs of New Yorkers. Over the long
6	term, expanded investment will allow for
7	contracts that cover the real cost of
8	services and will allow our nonprofits to pay
9	their workers with competitive and efficient
10	salaries, and invest in core programs that
11	help bring communities from crisis to
12	stability.
13	So the state can make the following
14	investments to really assist our nonprofits
15	in doing that. First of all, cover the
16	minimum wage increase so that our nonprofits
17	are not forced to provide yet another
18	unfunded mandate. And this will cost
19	\$25 million for FY2020.
20	Second, provide salary increases for
21	some human services workers that have not had
22	a salary increase for over nine years. A

24 cost \$140 million this fiscal year and would

23

statutory cost-of-living adjustment would

1 encompass the entire human services sector. 2 This would allow our agencies to provide 3 essential services and that their programs 4 can be sustained throughout the state. 5 Last, allocate \$100 million for nonprofit infrastructure and technological 6 7 improvements, which would enable targeted 8 investments in projects to improve the quality, efficiency, accessibility and reach 9 10 of nonprofit human services organizations 11 throughout the state. 12 And these three investments will 13 really promote a healthy, stable nonprofit 14 sector capable of delivering high-quality, 15 efficient and effective services to our 16 clients. 17 And the last area I want to touch on 18 is adult literacy education, which while not 19 a hundred percent related to human services, 20 really does fuel some of the workers that 21 will go into these jobs. So in New York State there are over 22 3.5 million New Yorkers who lack their high 23 24 school diploma or English-language

1 proficiency or both. And in New York City 2 alone, a lot of our programs have wait lists. 3 A total of about 15,000 individuals are on 4 these wait lists -- and that's just programs 5 that actually keep wait lists. The Executive Budget proposal cuts the 6 7 \$1.5 million that was added by the Legislature last year, so it funds the 8 program at \$6.3 million. So we're requesting 9 10 the restoration of that \$1.5 million and then an additional 7.5 to bring the adult literacy 11 12 education funding to \$15.3 million. 13 It's especially concerning that this 14 program was cut because changes to the 15 federal Workforce Innovation and Opportunity 16 Act really emphasized employment and 17 post-secondary outcomes for some of our adult learners. So really the adult literacy 18 19 education funding stream is the only funding 20 stream that can respond to these lower-level 21 learners and fill the gaps that New York 22 State will continue to see because of these 23 changes. 24 So we would respectfully request your

1 support for this restoration for the 2 increase. 3 Thank you for the opportunity to 4 testify, and we look forward to working with 5 you in this session to make sure that our 6 nonprofits have the resources they need to 7 provide essential services. 8 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. And thank you for keeping within the time limit. 9 10 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I do have one 11 question.

CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate.
 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Oh, Diane go
 first, please. Senator Savino.

15 SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you. Thank you16 for the testimony.

17 I want to focus on one section of your 18 proposal. It talks about the funding for Holocaust survivors, the initiative in 19 20 New York. In the testimony you reflect the 21 number of Holocaust survivors that are left 22 in New York State, about 45,000. I would say more than half of them probably live in my 23 24 district, between Coney Island, Sheepshead

Bay, and Brighton Beach. And they are
 getting increasingly frail and elderly.

3 And a lot of them are living in public 4 housing, and the conditions that they're 5 living in in public housing are absolutely deplorable. So are we looking to increase 6 7 the funding that we're putting in there in 8 more intensive social services? What is the plan for it, and how can we help make that 9 10 happen?

11 MS. SAVRANSKY: Yeah, essentially we 12 are looking to increase funding for programs 13 that do serve Holocaust survivors currently. 14 Also with an increase in funding there are 15 some organizations that might further be able 16 to serve Holocaust survivors and then just 17 provide an increased level of service.

As you mentioned, Holocaust survivors are getting older and more frail, their needs are becoming a lot more complex. So this increased funding will help to serve those needs.

23 SENATOR SAVINO: I think two years ago24 the JCC of Greater Coney Island, they ran a

1 meal delivery service for many of them. It 2 wasn't through Meals on Wheels, it was a 3 separate funding program, and somehow or 4 other the city pulled the funding. So 5 they've been struggling to find a way to fill that gap, because so many of these seniors 6 7 now are, as I said, they're frail, they're 8 not mobile, and they're living in areas where, you know, they're in high rises and 9 10 it's much more difficult for them to come down just even to participate in senior 11 12 centers in the buildings. MS. SAVRANSKY: Well, we definitely 13 14 would really love your support and would love 15 to talk to you more about your specific 16 questions as well. 17 SENATOR SAVINO: Great. Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senator 18 19 Krueger. 20 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Well, Senator 21 Savino and I had similar questions. Of the 22 45,000 Holocaust survivors who are still 23 alive, you said mostly in New York City, I'm 24 going to take the leap that the -- almost all

of them are continuing to receive reparation
 payments from their country of origin if it
 involved the Holocaust.

4 So is it the actual poverty of the 5 people -- because again, it's tax-free reparations -- or is it actually a service 6 7 issue? Because I got a little confused about 8 whether you're asking for money that will then go to the people, or you're asking for 9 10 money for administration of social service 11 programs.

12 MS. SAVRANSKY: It's more for the 13 administration for social service programs. 14 But we can get specific numbers to you about 15 what the funding would really be used for.

16 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Because I'm very 17 curious, if there are people not getting 18 reparation payments, my question as a Jew to 19 the Jewish community, what did we do wrong 20 that this many years later we still have 21 people who have not been able to be found 22 eligible for reparations?

23 MS. SAVRANSKY: Right. So I don't 24 have that number with me, but I can

1 definitely look into that. 2 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 3 4 Thank you for being here. 5 Next, New York State Coalition Against Domestic Violence, Connie Neal. 6 7 Connie, I assume you're going to 8 summarize your --9 MS. NEAL: Yes, I am. 10 Good afternoon, everyone. I'm Connie Neal, executive director of the New York 11 12 State Coalition Against Domestic Violence. I 13 want to thank you for the opportunity to 14 discuss highlights from our written 15 testimony. 16 My organization was established 17 40 years ago as a statewide nonprofit 18 organization. Our purpose is to serve as an information clearinghouse, primary point of 19 20 contact, and resource center on domestic 21 violence for the State of New York. We also 22 provide training and technical assistance for domestic violence service providers and 23 24 support development of policies and protocols 1 that enhance domestic violence intervention
2 and prevention.

Here in New York we have the highest
demand for domestic violence services in the
country, now for three years in a row. Also
over the past three years, 273 domestic
program positions across the state were
reduced or eliminated. Simply put, we are
losing ground.

10 During this legislative session we 11 have the opportunity that comes around once 12 in a generation to look at our domestic violence services and do something incredibly 13 14 innovative and forward-moving for survivors 15 of domestic violence. In my comments I want 16 to summarize four proposals that are at the 17 top of our list as far as priorities with 18 this opportunity that we have in front of us. The first is that we can create the 19 20 strongest statewide network of domestic 21 violence services in the country by

22 implementing a new funding and regulatory 23 structure for domestic violence programs.

24

In the Governor's Justice Agenda in

the State of the State, he has included a
 plan to create a new model for domestic
 violence services. This proposal recognizes
 that the state's system of funding and
 oversight of domestic violence programs has
 not been updated since it was first
 established 30 years ago.

8 This proposal includes eliminating the requirements that survivors seeking shelter 9 10 apply for TANF and eliminating fees for 11 services that in New York are statutorily 12 required to be charged to survivors. And as 13 you heard this morning, the federal 14 government has advised the state that these requirements contradict federal rules for the 15 16 Family Violence Prevention Services Act. In 17 order to comply with federal rules, the 18 state's laws and regulations addressing 19 domestic violence service delivery must be 20 updated. This process of reform is long 21 overdue.

But in the meantime, we have
longstanding gaps in funding for domestic
violence programs as a result of years of

1 flat or reduced funding. The proposed state
2 budget includes \$3 million for nonresidential
3 domestic violence services. However, this is
4 the same exact amount of funding in the state
5 budget 19 years ago. Thus we are urging the
6 Legislature to increase state funding for
7 nonresidential services to 6 million.

8 Also, because funds for domestic 9 violence residential programs have been flat 10 for years, domestic violence shelters are in 11 critical need of additional support as well.

12 Our second priority is to establish a 13 state fund to support the critical work of 14 New York's domestic violence service 15 providers. We know that far too many 16 domestic violence program advocates are 17 living on minimum wage and just a little bit above minimum wage, so it's time to create 18 19 living wages and benefits for the staff.

20 And we also know that domestic 21 violence advocacy work is rooted in the 22 women's movement and remains work that is 23 still primarily provided by women. It's 24 clear that we must do more to value these

1	advocates who provide critical lifesaving
2	services for survivors and their children.
3	State funding will also permit
4	domestic violence providers to implement
5	primary prevention programs, which focus on
6	stopping violence before it starts.
7	Our third priority is to provide
8	critical funding for statewide coordination
9	efforts to ensure that the voices of domestic
10	violence survivors and advocates are heard.
11	Domestic violence is a serious widespread
12	public health issue in fact, for every
13	20 New Yorkers, five women and three men will
14	experience or have already experienced
15	domestic violence.
16	The Legislature can ensure a better,
17	more efficient system by hearing directly
18	from domestic violence survivors and
19	advocates, and as the coalition serves as a
20	voice for more than 100 domestic violence
21	programs throughout the state, we are in a
22	prime position to assist you throughout this
23	reform process.
24	Unlike many of our peer domestic

1 violence coalitions across the country, we do 2 not receive any state-originating funding for the work that we do on behalf of New Yorkers. 3 4 Thus we are asking the Legislature to provide 5 \$250,000 in the state budget to enable the coalition to represent domestic violence 6 7 providers during this critical time of assessment and revisioning. 8

9 And our fourth priority is to ensure 10 stable funding for domestic violence 11 services. The state budget relies very 12 heavily on federal funding for domestic violence services, and as we can see that 13 14 federal funding is not nearly enough. We 15 know that the partial federal government 16 shutdown has had incredible impacts on many 17 domestic violence programs across the state. 18 Many programs are draining reserve accounts, 19 maxing out their lines of credit, cutting 20 back on critical services, or furloughing or 21 laying off staff.

The situation is amplified in
New York, where federal funding flows through
state agencies to domestic violence programs

1 only four times a year, aggravating an 2 already challenging fiscal environment. In 3 light of this perfect storm of system 4 breakdowns, we urge the state to revert to 5 the system of monthly vouchering and payment instead of the current quarterly process, so 6 7 that domestic violence programs can maintain the cash flow they need in order to retain 8 9 staff and keep the doors open.

10 Just 20 seconds more. We still have much work in front of us to not only be in 11 12 compliance with federal laws and regulations, but also do the best we can for survivors and 13 14 advocates. No one who is asking for shelter 15 or domestic violence services should ever be 16 turned away, and I urge you to value the 17 lifesaving work of advocates in a way that the state has not done before. 18

19This opportunity is really once in a20generation. And in the midst of all the21challenges that we're facing, I know together22we can do the right thing for survivors and23advocates. The coalition looks forward to24working with you on these issues, and I want

1 to thank you again for the opportunity to 2 highlight our testimony. 3 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you, 4 Connie. 5 Assemblyman Hevesi. ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Yes. Hi, 6 7 Ms. Neal. How are you? 8 I'd say about a year ago -- can you guys hear me? But a year ago it was brought 9 10 to my attention that domestic violence 11 surpassed the rising rents as the number-one 12 driver of homelessness. But I was unaware 13 that we were charging domestic violence 14 survivors rent to stay in shelters. How long 15 have we been doing that? 16 MS. NEAL: This is part of the 17 original system which created domestic 18 violence shelters and regulatory oversight back in 1987. I believe at that point in 19 20 time that was the best effort and the best 21 choice available in order to provide funding 22 and support for a newly developed network of domestic violence shelters and programs 23 24 around the state. So it's looking at

1 available funding.

2	Since that point in time, that has
3	carried forward to this present day. It is
4	certainly very much on the radar of the FVPS
5	office, and they are really encouraging the
6	State of New York to really take a look at
7	this and make some changes on behalf of
8	survivors.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: And I'm happy
10	that they're doing that, and I support that
11	effort. My only concern is once that money
12	is taken away, if the state doesn't step in
13	to try to help the providers, the providers
14	will be on the hook, and some of the
15	providers will be forced to go out of
16	business is my fear.
17	So what happens now that we get rid of
18	this requirement?
19	MS. NEAL: I believe that we continue
20	to work with Commissioner Poole at OCFS and
21	other state agency representatives, including
22	the Governor's Office staff, to make sure
23	that domestic violence programs are whole.
24	And what that means is not only that they are

1	whole with the shift in the funding, but even
2	then it's not nearly enough for what we need.
3	We know the incredible demand that we
4	are facing in our state. We are losing
5	advocates now with the current level of
6	funding, so a dollar-for-dollar shift is not
7	going to go anywhere near where we need to go
8	in order to support and value domestic
9	violence services.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: I'm excited about
11	the potential reforms here, and I'd like to
12	talk to you offline about them.
13	But thank you for all your work.
14	Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate?
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Rivera.
17	SENATOR RIVERA: Thank you. Thank
18	you, Madam Chair.
19	I was going to play the game of like
20	turning on and turning off, turning on and
21	turning off, but
22	MS. NEAL: You've got the green light
23	now.
24	SENATOR RIVERA: I'm not going to

1 do that.

2	So you are a coalition of 250
3	residential and nonresidential programs
4	around the state. I wanted you to talk a
5	little bit more about the breakdown as far as
6	the federal funding shortfalls that you're
7	seeing now that there's the I figure that
8	the shutdown has meant that there might have
9	been some grants that need to be paid to
10	different agencies across the state that are
11	not coming.
12	I want to get a sense of that, because
13	I know that you're asking for some increase
14	in stabilizing funds, et cetera. So I just
15	want to get a sense of the type of impact,
16	because even if we do everything that you
17	folks would like, it wouldn't happen until
18	the beginning of our fiscal year. So
19	obviously there's God knows when this is
20	going to end in Washington, so I just want
21	to know a little bit more about the impact
22	that it's having on agencies that you
23	MS. NEAL: There are actually about a
24	hundred agencies, domestic violence agencies

that provide services at 250 locations around
 the state, and many of them also rely on
 direct federal grants.

4 We know with the partial government 5 shutdown certainly there are impacts that are very clear in the Office on Violence Against 6 7 Women. HUD grants that have to do with the housing services is also another area where 8 programs are really feeling an incredibly 9 10 difficult situation brewing if they didn't -had not already addressed this. 11

12 I know of programs that have already furloughed staff. They've reduced their 13 14 office hours, they've cut back on what they 15 can do. And for those they're projecting, 16 maybe we'll be okay for another payroll, 17 maybe we'll be okay for two more payrolls. There's also the issue -- one program 18 19 mentioned that they also provide a food 20 pantry. And they're seeing federal workers 21 come in and ask for food. So we're seeing this issue where the stop and the start -- is 22 the federal government open, is it closing, 23 24 if you have this many days to do a drawdown

or not. It's incredibly difficult to run a
 program and an organization, to maintain
 staff that are deeply committed to doing this
 work.

5 And also, how can this not impact survivors as well? Also wondering, are these 6 7 services going to continue to be available? So we're seeing this ripple effect in 8 conjunction with the process of state grants 9 10 that are a quarterly vouchering process, 11 which many times nonprofits have to front the 12 money, oftentimes six months, waiting for reimbursement. 13

14 So it's a combination. That's the 15 perfect storm that's happening for many 16 programs right now, the delay in access of 17 funds from the federal government and the 18 delay that they are going through when they 19 have a quarterly vouchering process for the 20 grants that go through state agencies. 21 SENATOR RIVERA: Thank you, ma'am. 22 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. Assemblywoman Jaffee. 23 24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: I just wanted

1 to -- can you hear me? I just wanted to 2 question -- there is a very difficult addiction situation in New York State and 3 4 throughout this country. Is that something 5 that has impacted this and created and increased the domestic violence situation? 6 7 And the second question I'll ask, what happens with the children in circumstances 8 such as this? And is there sufficient 9 10 support and assistance to be able to respond 11 to this issue with the children? 12 MS. NEAL: That certainly is quite an 13 emerging area, that we're seeing more 14 survivors who are dealing with opioid issues. 15 And certainly, historically, alcohol and drug 16 abuse issues of other kinds due to the trauma 17 of being abused, to the trauma of going through really horrific, horrific life 18 situations with people who say they love 19 20 them. 21 So we know that this issue is present, 22 it's growing, and I think domestic violence

23 programs are looking for additional24 opportunities and support, ways that we can

1 build coordinated community responses in 2 collaborations with other programs in their 3 communities so they can provide not only 4 better and stronger services for adults, but 5 also make sure that the kids are going to be okay through this process as well. 6 7 But that's one of the areas where advocates are struggling to do what they can 8 9 within shelters and with programs that 10 oftentimes they don't have the ability to be 11 out and about in the community to build those 12 kinds of relationships and connections. 13 So that's where prevention funds can 14 really support that work, can really 15 strengthen responses to these emerging 16 issues, that we can really get out in front of it the best we can. 17 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: One other --18 19 and is there opportunity for counseling? Is 20 that something that's also provided? 21 MS. NEAL: Most definitely, and a 22 whole range of counseling -- it goes anywhere from support groups -- if there are 23 24 situations where a survivor might ask for

1 additional support, definitely looking at 2 strong connections with mental health 3 professionals within communities nearby and 4 to be able to help bridge that gap for 5 survivors who are asking for help and assistance. That's all part of the process. 6 7 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. We look forward to continuing our work 8 9 together over this budget session. 10 Next we have the Association on Aging 11 in New York, Ann Marie Maglione, to be 12 followed by Supportive Housing Network of 13 New York, then the Schuyler Center for 14 Analysis and Advocacy. 15 MS. MAGLIONE: Thank you for the 16 opportunity to testify regarding the impact 17 of the '19-'20 Executive Budget proposal for 18 older New Yorkers and the aging services 19 network in New York State. My name is Ann 20 Marie Maglione, and I'm the legislative chair 21 for the Association on Aging in New York. 22 And I'm also the director of the Orange County Office for the Aging. 23 24 I'd like to thank Assemblywoman

Weinstein and Senator Krueger for chairing
 these hearings, as well as send our
 appreciation to our new legislative Aging
 Committee chairs Senator May and Assemblyman
 Bronson, and of course our ranking member,
 Sue Serino, for their leadership on aging
 issues.

You have my written testimony before 8 you, so I'm just going to touch base on a few 9 10 topics. The Association on Aging in New 11 York, also known as Aging New York, 12 represents the 59 mostly county-based area 13 agencies on aging, the triple As, which are 14 local offices for the aging. Overall, the Governor's 2019-2020 proposed budget for 15 16 aging service networks under the State Office 17 for the Aging is really a great positive budget. And it has an historic investment in 18 19 programs that allow older New Yorkers to age 20 independently in their homes, and we are 21 absolutely thrilled and very, very grateful. Specifically, the Governor has 22 included an additional \$15 million 23 24 appropriation dollars for community supports

1 for EISEP, Expanded In-Home Services for the 2 Elderly Program, and this funding will allow 3 AAAs to address the unmet needs in their 4 communities to provide additional support for 5 older New Yorkers to live independently in their homes and delay or even prevent the 6 7 need for more medically intensive or costlier services. 8

9 This increase is unprecedented, and 10 the results are going to be felt through 11 thousands of homes across this state. And we 12 respectfully request your support of this 13 \$15 million increase.

14 In addition, we're really pleased the 15 Executive Budget maintains the additional 16 Community Services for the Elderly or CSE 17 funding that the Legislature secured under 18 the 2018-2019 enacted budget. And as you are 19 aware, as the population continues to age, 20 the unmet need for agency services continues 21 to grow. As such, we are requesting an 22 additional \$19 million in funding in the '19-'20 budget, for a total of \$50 million 23 24 without the local match for CSE.

1 There are a few things that were 2 eliminated in the Governor's budget which I 3 wanted to chat with you about. And I'm 4 really delighted that Acting Director Greg 5 Olsen had talked about the \$250,000 Education 6 and Technical Assistance Fund, that it wasn't 7 eliminated.

However, we're concerned that the 8 dedicated line item was eliminated and that 9 10 the funds are used to provide specific training and educational needs not just for 11 12 the business acumen but also for case 13 management and HIICAP training. And as 14 Assemblyman Bronson said, it's really 15 important to stay current with all of our 16 certifications. So we are going to not only 17 talk to our acting director, Greg Olsen, who 18 we have a really wonderful relationship with 19 and we really value his support and guidance, 20 but we're going to respectfully request that 21 this line item be reinstated.

Lifespan's elder abuse and outreach
funding was cut by \$200,000, and these funds
are essential to insuring elder abuse

outreach programs are continued throughout
 the state. We also hope the Legislature will
 restore the funding of this important
 program, as we know elder abuse is an
 epidemic and we must eradicate it.

In addition, the home-care worker 6 7 shortage has impacted the aging services network and is leaving thousands of hours of 8 home care unfilled due to the lack of 9 10 individuals working in home care. And while 11 we're working on innovative programs in our 12 counties, we also request the state to take a 13 look at career pathways and solutions to this 14 problem.

15 One final proposal. We support the 16 Article VII language that allows for private 17 pay for aging services at a local level. We 18 respectfully disagree with StateWide. They 19 don't represent the 59 AAAs, so they don't 20 really understand, but we're very supportive 21 of it.

Aging New York is committed to working with Governor Cuomo and the Legislature, who has designated New York State as the first

1 age-friendly state in the nation, and we're 2 going to be doing our part by ensuring 3 New Yorkers have the supports that they need 4 and independence in their homes, and that 5 they live healthy, quality-based lives. 6 We continue to need your help, and we 7 sincerely thank you for your support. These 8 important hearings allow us to illustrate the issues facing older New Yorkers and their 9 10 families. And thank you so much for the 11 opportunity for having me appear today. 12 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for 13 being here, and thank you for summarizing 14 your testimony. Hopefully you'll be a good 15 model for those to follow you. 16 (Laughter.) 17 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: And we do, all 18 of us up here, appreciate the public thank 19 you. But we would hope you use your time 20 wisely so that we get the meat of your 21 substance. So I appreciate you for doing 22 that. MS. MAGLIONE: Thank you. 23 24 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: I have the

1 feeling that I don't believe we have any 2 questions for you. 3 MS. MAGLIONE: Thank you. 4 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So we may call 5 upon you and the other AAAs for information 6 follow-up. 7 MS. MAGLIONE: That would be fine. 8 Thank you so much. 9 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 10 Next, Supportive Housing Network of 11 New York, Laura Mascuch. 12 Hopefully I didn't totally destroy 13 your name. 14 MS. MASCUCH: Hi, good afternoon. My 15 name is Laura Mascuch. I am with the 16 Supportive Housing Network of New York. 17 Thank you to Senator Krueger and 18 Assemblymember Weinstein for holding this 19 hearing and for the Legislature for their 20 rapt attention to these many important 21 issues. 22 We are a membership organization of over 200 nonprofits statewide that own and 23 24 operate 50,000 units of supportive housing.

1 Supportive housing, as you know, is extremely 2 affordable housing with on-site support 3 services for people who are chronically 4 homeless or homeless being able to 5 reintegrate into the community, into an affordable housing setting -- people who 6 7 suffer with mental illness, substance abuse, HIV/AIDS, very successful with veterans, 8 youth aging out of care, families and 9 10 individuals alike. It removes people from very expensive systems that we've talked 11 12 about today in this discussion and this 13 hearing like shelters, hospitals, prisons, 14 psychiatric centers. 15 So I too am going to try to summarize. 16 We have two issues today we'd love your 17 attention on from the OTDA budget. One is 18 the Homeless Housing and Assistance Program, 19 which we talked about, and also the New York 20 State Supportive Housing Program. 21 First one -- for HHAP, as you know, it 22 provides capital grants and loans for acquisition and construction and rehab of 23

24 housing for persons who are homeless and

1 unable to secure adequate housing. HHAP is 2 the main source of capital for homeless 3 housing outside of New York City with 4 50 percent of its funding dedicated to those 5 communities. To date, it has built over 16,000 units of supportive housing throughout 6 7 the state. There's two examples in my testimony 8 9 with beautiful pictures: WIN Stone House 10 residence in Brooklyn, which is 161 affordable and formerly homeless families 11 12 that used \$5.2 million of HHAP, as well as 13 the Albany Damien Center, which was 20 14 supportive housing apartments for people with 15 HIV and AIDS, which used \$4.3 million. Because HHAP is particularly focused 16 on homelessness, it is one of the state's 17 18 ready sources for the capital deal. We have 19 continuously -- and I have been here every 20 year to say it is oversubscribed and 21 underfunded. This year it was three times 22 oversubscribed, to the tune of \$190 million in applications for the available 23 24 \$64 million, and we closed within four months

of the RFP. So there was a total of 19
 projects funded, 491 units, but that could
 have easily been 1500 units and probably
 still closing within six months.

5 So I think it's only appropriate that we ask that the HHAP budget, as we have every 6 7 year, is doubled to \$128 million. And I 8 think listening to today's discussion, how we've highlighted the need for answers to 9 10 homelessness, this program is a well-oiled machine. If you give it more money, it's 11 12 going to use it efficiently, it's going to 13 build more supportive and affordable housing 14 and help us to end the homelessness crisis.

15 My second program just to speak about 16 briefly is the New York State Supportive 17 Housing program. It pays for critical 18 on-site support services. It has been the 19 only program for supportive housing rest of 20 state until the Empire State Supportive Housing Initiative came, so it's been around 21 many years. 22

23It's like the Little Engine that24Could. It is very minimally funded. It's

1 funded at \$200 a month for a single homeless individual and \$275 a month for a family. So 2 3 currently it's working at five times less the 4 current ESSHI rates, and there's a chart to 5 kind of show you where it stands. There's 8,000 units that benefit from this funding, 6 7 and it has never received an increase nor a 8 COLA for its history.

So we are currently suggesting or 9 10 asking that it be increased to a \$20 million 11 budget increase over two years, so 12 \$10 million for each year. It did receive a 13 \$3 million increase this year. We would love 14 for that to be \$10 million, and we'd love for 15 some consideration to do a 2 percent annual 16 cost-of-living increase moving forward that 17 would really help sustain the program.

18Again, these 8,000 units are19struggling. This is the only thing that20they're operating on, and we'd love to be21able to offer them some more support.22Thank you so much for your time.23CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.24Assemblymember Hevesi for a question.

1 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Hey, Laura. How are you? 2 MS. MASCUCH: Good. 3 4 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: I'm going to keep 5 this brief. You guys are great, thank you 6 for all the work you do. 7 So the \$275 a month for a family, 8 that's regardless of family size? 9 MS. MASCUCH: It is. 10 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Okay. That's 11 terrible. 12 MS. MASCUCH: Yeah. 13 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: How did you get 14 to the \$20 million over two years, the 15 \$10 million each? 16 MS. MASCUCH: So we -- we tried to be 17 measured. I think that if the program saw an infusion of \$10 million this year and 18 19 \$10 million next year and we doubled the 20 rates, it would not nearly be anywhere close 21 to what the current supportive housing rates are. But it would at least be a 22 23 significant bump up. 24 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: So just to be

1 clear, this is what you need to survive. 2 MS. MASCUCH: Kind of survival mode, 3 yes. 4 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Okay. And I hear 5 you on the HHAP program as well. Thank you. 6 MS. MASCUCH: Yes. 7 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: And my only 8 recommendation for you is to rest up, because 9 next year I hope we're going after more 10 supportive housing units, not just the 6,000, 11 to get up to the 20,000 the Governor 12 recommended. 13 MS. MASCUCH: Yes. Absolutely. 14 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: But thank you for 15 everything you do. 16 MS. MASCUCH: Absolutely. Thank you. 17 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate. CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. Thank 18 you for your work. We've had lots of time to 19 20 talk. 21 MS. MASCUCH: Yes. 22 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: But I'm always confused. Help me. So the Governor puts 23 24 capital money for building supportive housing

1	in the DHCR budget, and I think he's claiming
2	we'll be at the 1.9 billion or 2.5 billion by
3	this year's budget.
4	But HHAP within OTDA existed long
5	before that. How was that different money
6	spent in a different way than the capital
7	money in DHCR?
8	MS. MASCUCH: Right.
9	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Or HCR. We got
10	rid of the D once.
11	MS. MASCUCH: Right. Right.
12	So HHAP will actually, on its own,
13	fund supportive housing without any resources
14	from HCR or from the city. So that's one way
15	that it's very effective, particularly rest
16	of state, for smaller projects.
17	When the city and the state are
18	funding projects together, there is often a
19	gap as they're negotiating a development
20	budget, and HHAP does a beautiful job of
21	filling in for a couple million dollars to
22	kind of close a deal. So it's been kind of
23	an integral part of the development landscape
24	for a very long time, and it continues to be

1 with the Governor's commitment and the 2 Mayor's commitment to kind of fill that need. 3 And again, I think a lot of projects, 4 if the money was doubled, there would be a 5 lot more projects that were just HHAP that would be added to -- particularly the rest of 6 7 the state's housing stock. CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And if this was 8 the Housing hearing instead of the Human 9 10 Services hearing, would you be advocating for a speed up of release of state money through 11 12 the Supportive Housing NY/NY funding stream? 13 That also might help address the 14 shortage of funds, because it's my 15 understanding that there are perhaps not 16 quite shovel-ready but close to shovel-ready 17 projects in line that just can't get the money out of the state fast enough. Is that 18 still true? 19 20 MS. MASCUCH: I think -- so it was a 21 new program two years ago. I think it is 22 definitely increasing in speed. It takes us a while when you get this funding, and this 23

is why we were so desperate to get it,

24

1 because it really does take two years-plus to 2 kind of get everybody up and going. 3 So we are seeing it increasing and 4 look forward to the additional funding for 5 the next 15 years and, you know, are pleased 6 with how the 6,000 units are going. But HHAP 7 really is just the small part of it that 8 really does increase it across the finish line. 9 10 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 11 MS. MASCUCH: Thank you. 12 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for 13 being here. 14 Next we have the Schuyler Center for 15 Analysis and Advocacy, to be followed by the 16 Council of Family and Child Caring Agencies 17 and then the Human Services Council. So actually, those of you hiding in 18 19 the back, you might as well come on down so 20 you'll have a shorter walk when it's your 21 turn to testify. 22 I'm sorry, Dede Hill. I didn't 23 actually introduce you. 24 MS. HILL: Thank you. Thank you so

1 much for this opportunity to testify. 2 For more than 145 years the Schuyler 3 Center for Analysis and Advocacy has been 4 advancing state policies that strengthen 5 New York families and improve child well-being. 6 7 I want to ground my testimony today in a few terrible facts. More than one in five 8 New York children live in poverty, and that 9 10 rate rises to nearly one in three children when we're looking at children of color. And 11 12 what this means is that more than 13 853,000 New York children are living lives 14 defined by deprivation. They live in homes 15 where food and heat are scarce, where housing 16 is unstable and transportation is unreliable. 17 And we know that childhood poverty can 18 contribute to numerous negative outcomes for 19 children in all areas of lives, including 20 physical and mental health, educational 21 outcomes, child welfare involvement, and these impacts can last well into adulthood. 22 And yet missing from this Executive 23 24 Budget proposal are any bold investments in

services proven to improve family economic
 security. And particularly there are no bold
 investments around expanding access to
 quality affordable childcare, to
 evidence-based home visitation programs, to
 primary prevention programs, or working
 family tax credits.

8 There are a few bright spots in the Executive Budget, and I will touch on some of 9 10 them for sure. But overall the Executive Budget underinvests in children and families. 11 12 And this is coming on the heels of nearly a decade of underinvestment so even flat 13 14 funding is really a step backwards. So we're 15 therefore looking to you, the Legislature, to 16 fill in really significant gaps, and we 17 recognize that. 18 I'm going to focus my remarks on two 19 areas, child welfare and childcare. 20 So first, with respect to child 21 welfare, there are some real opportunities 22 this year, and Commissioner Poole really focused on some of those in the area of 23

24 family strengthening and prevention. And

1 there we're talking about services that keep 2 families together safely. The 2018 passage 3 of the Family First Prevention Services Act, 4 this presents a real opportunity for New York 5 State to bolster our preventive services, but only if New York State affirmatively opts 6 7 into the prevention provisions of the Family First Act. 8

9 So our first ask of you is that we're 10 urging New York State to opt into the Family 11 First Prevention provisions. The state has 12 gotten a two-year delay, so we're asking that 13 the state use this two-year period to prepare 14 for implementation.

15 With respect to state investment in 16 preventive services -- and this has already 17 been mentioned today -- the Executive Budget 18 once again reduces the state's share to 19 62 percent from the statutorily required 20 share of 65 percent. We're asking that the 21 state reassume the 65 percent state share --22 again, this is provided in statute -- and use that increased funding and direct that to 23 24 community-based primary prevention services.

These are services that reach families before
 there is any risk of removal to foster care,
 so further upstream.

4 In the area of foster care, we applaud 5 the Executive Budget's proposal to create a new Family First Transition Fund. This will 6 7 support counties' efforts around recruiting and supporting foster and kinship families 8 and will help with preparation for the Family 9 10 First Prevention Services Act. The Schuyler Center, along with CHAMPS New York, which is 11 12 a statewide coalition of child advocates and 13 providers, we urge the Legislature to support 14 this proposal and to ensure that there is a clean funding line of at least \$3 million in 15 16 state investment.

17I also just want to point out that18this fund is structured to be a19public-private partnership, so we'll be20leveraging private dollars as well.

21 Oh, my goodness, I'm almost out of 22 time. All right, I'm going to shift quickly 23 to childcare.

24 The cost of quality childcare in

1 New York is among the most expensive in the 2 nation, and yet New York State at this point 3 is providing subsidies to fewer than 4 20 percent of New York families who need 5 these services. We appreciate that the Executive Budget contains a small investment 6 7 of \$26 million, but this really just keeps us treading water. This does nothing to expand 8 access to the tens of thousands of families 9 10 who do not have access to care.

11 And just one other point. I do want 12 to recognize, and this is very much under the 13 leadership of Assemblymember Jaffee, that the 14 state has just convened a Childcare 15 Availability Task Force, and we're engaged 16 and really excited about that task force.

17 But I want to note that it only has met once, in December, which was a month 18 19 after the first report was supposed to have 20 been issued. New York families can't wait 21 for a year or two years for recommendations. 22 We need investment in childcare now. 23 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 24 And I actually read your submitted

1 testimony last night after our 11-hour 2 hearing ended. MS. HILL: Wow. 3 4 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: It was actually 5 a good break. 6 Assemblyman Hevesi had a question. 7 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: I didn't read it last night, so --8 9 (Laughter.) 10 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Very, very 11 quickly. 12 First, I also want to thank Ellen Jaffee for putting in the legislation 13 14 that created that task force, that's a huge 15 step forward for us. 16 The only -- there's a bunch of stuff 17 we should talk about offline. The only question I have for you is I didn't know that 18 19 New York State had to affirmatively adopt 20 into the Families First Prevention portion. 21 MS. HILL: Just to the prevention 22 portion of it. 23 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: So hypothetically 24 we can say yes, we're not going to put kids

1 in congregate care?

T	III congregate care:
2	MS. HILL: No, I'm sorry, I don't mean
3	to interrupt
4	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: No, no, no, no, I
5	want you please
6	MS. HILL: So the first part, which we
7	are underway you know, right now we are
8	working on complying is with respect to
9	reducing our congregate care numbers
10	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Right.
11	MS. HILL: and strengthening our
12	family-based foster care.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: But you can do
14	that in compliance with the federal
15	government's new rules and not opt into doing
16	more for prevention?
17	MS. HILL: That's my understanding,
18	yes.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Because that
20	would be insane. That would be horrific
21	public policy. I'll leave it at that.
22	MS. HILL: Yeah, and to be clear, the
23	state has gotten a two-year waiver, and
24	which, you know

1 ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: I know, I just --2 MS. HILL: You know, there's a lot to 3 do to prepare for this, and so there's plenty 4 of time and we have no indication that the 5 state isn't going to. ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: A hundred 6 7 percent. And Ms. Poole made it very clear that they're actively engaged with their 8 partners to try and do it the right way. I 9 10 just didn't even know that that was a possibility. Now that I know, I'm a little 11 12 bit more frightened. 13 But thank you. I appreciate it. 14 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 15 Assemblywoman Jaffee. 16 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you so 17 much. Sorry. Can you hear this now? Okay. So thank you for your support and 18 quidance. Very often the work that you do is 19 so essential. 20 21 With the Families First, there's so much that needs to be done. We have to work 22 with our counties to be able to move forward 23 24 with giving them guidance. And I know that

1 the state has asked for the two years, and 2 hopefully within that context we'll be able 3 to create a system that will work as 4 requested but certainly that would work on 5 behalf of our children and families. The congregate care is an issue 6 7 that -- certainly within Families First that actually suggests that that would limit that. 8 But can we move forward with doing that 9 10 unless we have a structure in place to be able to provide support for the families and 11 12 then assure that, if there is foster care or 13 kinship care, that it's done in the way 14 that's being suggested. 15 What did you -- how would you respond to that? 16 17 MS. HILL: So I do think that the 18 state is taking a number of steps to prepare, 19 and I think the most significant step that is 20 contained in the Executive Budget is this 21 Family First Transition Fund. And it's, you 22 know, a terrific initiative and it's going to drive investment to counties to assist them 23 24 in their preparation for Family First to

expand their pool of family-based providers
 and kin providers and to provide them with
 the supports that they need to care for
 children in their homes.

5 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: We certainly have to provide awareness to families, 6 7 because with that awareness there would be their opportunity to share with them the 8 9 opportunity for mental health services and 10 other services that are actually being -funding is being provided for -- that they 11 12 need to know that that is something that they 13 must do, but also that there is support. So 14 there has to be that awareness.

15 So we do need to assure that there is 16 a -- our sense of really raising the bar in 17 terms of awareness so that they can continue to move forward within the context of the 18 19 rules. We had that hearing, so we all know that it's going to take a while to get into 20 21 that place where they can then control the 22 foster care and then -- as well as congregate -- but provide more support for 23 24 the families to provide more stability as

1 well.

2	But thank you so much for your
3	always for your assistance in really
4	providing such intellectual capacity in terms
5	of the work that you do. Thank you.
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
7	MS. HILL: Thank you very much.
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Next, Council
10	of Family and Child Caring Agencies, Kathleen
11	Brady-Stepien, followed by the Human Services
12	Council, David Ng.
13	MS. BRADY-STEPIEN: Thank you. Good
14	afternoon. My name is Kathleen
15	Brady-Stepien, and I'm the associate
16	executive director of the Council of Family
17	and Child Caring Agencies or COFCCA. We are
18	a statewide membership organization, and we
19	have as our members the more than 100
20	not-for-profit child welfare agencies around
21	the state that provide foster care, juvenile
22	justice, preventive services, postadoption
23	services, and more services beyond that.
24	We all know that a budget is a

statement of priorities, and unfortunately
 what is largely not present in this Executive
 Budget proposal is funding for the human
 services workforce. And we hope that we can
 all work together to change that before the
 final budget is passed.

7 What is in the Executive Budget proposal, which you heard about earlier from 8 Commissioner Poole a bit, is this proposal in 9 10 the ELFA bill where OCFS would have the 11 ability to be able to appoint a temporary 12 operator for a foster care agency when OCFS 13 deems that the existing foster care agency is 14 not able to provide for the health and safety 15 of the children in its care.

16 We have very serious concerns with 17 this proposal. It's right there in its name, "temporary operator," a Band-Aid fix on 18 19 frankly very serious systemic underlying 20 issues which have to do with underfunding of 21 these agencies which has created a workforce 22 crisis that I'll talk about in just a few minutes. 23

24 We've also had a capital funding

1 request over each of the last couple of years 2 for the residential campuses around the state 3 to be able to make capital improvements on 4 their campuses that have to do, frankly, with 5 health and safety -- replacing boilers, replacing roofs, all of those things. Our 6 7 foster care rate was held flat for many years, and we did not have the resources to 8 make these needed maintenance investments. 9

10 And so we are asking the Legislature 11 to reject this proposal in the ELFA bill. 12 Frankly, Assemblywoman Wright, I really 13 appreciated the solution that you presented 14 earlier, which is that we all meet to have a 15 conversation together about workforce crisis, 16 about funding for these foster care programs, 17 and make sure that no agency is ever in a position where OCFS would think that it would 18 19 need a temporary operator to come in.

20 Workforce. We have a 47 percent 21 workforce turnover in our direct care 22 front-line staff working in our foster care 23 programs. We have a 41 percent turnover in 24 the caseworkers in our foster care programs

1

around the state. These numbers are

2	unacceptable, and they should stop us all in
3	our tracks. And the reason for that,
4	frankly, is that it's not simply about
5	workforce in a vacuum.

6 When you have that level of workforce 7 turnover, it impacts your outcomes negatively. Our work is driven by 8 relationships. It's all about the trust that 9 10 we are able to create between the worker, the family, the children, foster parents, to make 11 12 sure that kids get to their permanency 13 outcome as quickly as possible. And frankly 14 we know that there is research in the field 15 that every time a worker turns over, it adds 16 up to six months to a child's length of time 17 in foster care. That's not acceptable. So we have a couple of proposed 18

19 solutions for workforce. First of all, we 20 support the larger Human Services Council 21 advocacy for a 2.9 percent human services 22 COLA. We have truly appreciated the 23 Legislature's support and advocacy, 24 particularly speaking on behalf of direct

1 care staff over each of the last few years. 2 They've started to get some of those raises, 3 and it's a real signal to them that the state 4 values the work that they do and there's real 5 dignity in the work that they do. So we support the Human Services COLA. 6 7 We also always come around to talk to you about our foster care rate, which is set 8 administratively post-budget. The 9 10 Legislature has truly supported us over each of the past few years in driving growth to 11 12 that rate so that we can give salary increases. 13 14 And then there are a couple of 15 programs that the Legislature helped us to 16 create a few years ago under HESC, which 17 would support child welfare investment for 18 higher education aspirations of our 19 workforce. There is funding for loan 20 forgiveness and then there is funding for 21 tuition support for those staff that want to 22 be able to go back to school. The Governor has proposed \$50,000 for each one of these 23

programs. We're asking for \$1 million for

24

each one. We want to really be able to get
 at the recruitment and retention challenges
 that we have.

4 Then, lastly, some of the members 5 spoke earlier about the Foster Youth College Success Initiative. This is funding that New 6 7 York State has invested over each of the past couple of years to help youth in foster care 8 in our state go to college. The Governor has 9 10 proposed a \$1.5 million in his budget for that. We need \$4.5 million additionally to 11 12 be able to support the around 500 kids in 13 foster care that are right now utilizing that 14 program, because we have to make sure that we 15 support them through to graduation. 16 Thank you for your time. 17 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senator Savino. 18 SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you. 19 Thank you for your testimony, and 20 thank you for reflecting on the challenges of 21 recruitment and retention for the workforce.

22 So, you know, I am a little old now, 23 but 28 years ago I was a caseworker. We 24 would routinely get staff in the public

1 sector who had previously worked in the 2 nonprofit sector -- as soon as an exam was 3 held, then they would move over. And the 4 salary disparity between the public and 5 private sector was not nearly as bad then as it is now. 6 7 Do you have a -- like what does a starting caseworker earn in a foster care 8 9 agency? 10 MS. BRADY-STEPIEN: So a starting caseworker earns just about \$31,000 or 11 12 \$32,000 around the state. SENATOR SAVINO: So just to keep that 13 14 in perspective, when I was hired September 15 17th of 1990 -- I still remember it -- my 16 starting salary was \$24,575. And a month 17 later I got a raise because we had a new contract that kicked in, so it went up to 18 \$26,000. 19 20 So in almost 30 years, the salary for 21 the nonprofit sector has only exceeded \$5,000 22 in 28 years. MS. BRADY-STEPIEN: That's right. 23 24 Unfortunately, that's right.

1 SENATOR SAVINO: That's a shocking 2 statistic. 3 MS. BRADY-STEPIEN: Yup. 4 SENATOR SAVINO: Now, I know in the 5 public sector, I know in ACS they start a little bit higher, I think they start at 38. 6 7 MS. BRADY-STEPIEN: Yup. 8 SENATOR SAVINO: And they rapidly get to about 42, and the Child Protective 9 10 Services staff is a little bit higher. But even still, it's not what it should be. 11 12 This is a really stark contrast now. 13 MS. BRADY-STEPIEN: That's right. 14 SENATOR SAVINO: And I just hope that 15 people understand that. And we really do need to do something about this, because even 16 17 if we were to provide tuition assistance or the loan forgiveness, no one is going to stay 18 19 in these agencies. So we'll wind up 20 investing money and training people, maybe 21 helping them get a social work degree, with 22 skills that will really help this population, and then they're just going to leave because 23 24 they have no choice.

1 MS. BRADY-STEPIEN: Thank you so much 2 for saying that, Senator. You know, frankly, 3 the public sector loves us because we are the 4 training ground for them. 5 SENATOR SAVINO: You're the training 6 ground. 7 MS. BRADY-STEPIEN: They learn all about trauma-informed care, you know, and 8 9 they learn about therapeutic approaches, and 10 then they're able to go and earn thousands of dollars more and to get a better benefits 11 12 package also. SENATOR SAVINO: And also remind --13 14 you know, when you speak to the 15 budget-makers, remind them that every time 16 you change a worker it is a whole new trauma 17 in a child's life. 18 MS. BRADY-STEPIEN: Yup. 19 SENATOR SAVINO: Particularly those 20 that have been separated from their parents, 21 and you become the person that they hold 22 onto, and then you change. And there's never 23 any explanation, it's as if they just 24 disappear from their life. It's really

horrible, and we really need to do something 1 2 about it. 3 Thank you. 4 MS. BRADY-STEPIEN: Thank you. I 5 couldn't have said it any better. I appreciate that. 6 7 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Rivera. 8 SENATOR RIVERA: Thank you. I wanted to dig a little bit deeper 9 10 into the temporary operator that you mentioned earlier. So they're concerned that 11 12 you have with the -- what is the current procedure, if there is an -- I mean, this 13 14 would -- to back up, this would authorize --15 if I understand it correctly, your concern is 16 that it would authorize the agency to put in 17 a temporary operator for an entity that is 18 doing something wrong. 19 But you feel that the standards that 20 are currently included in the language of the 21 bill do not -- they're just kind of vague and 22 leave it open to interpretation. Give me a little bit more about what your concern is on 23 24 that.

MS. BRADY-STEPIEN: Sure, thank you
 for asking that.

3 Our concern really is that we don't 4 understand how one financially stressed 5 foster care agency could come into another financially stressed foster care agency and 6 7 in a period of 90 days, which is what the bill language says, be able to address very 8 underlying challenges with the workforce 9 10 crisis, if there are capital improvements, without any additional funding being 11 12 provided.

And the way that the language is written it says that the established operator is responsible for all of the costs that the temporary operator bears. And so they're turning over funding, you know, certainly to the temporary operator when they come in.

19But the question is, you know, what20were the circumstances that would lead the21agency to that point? And you heard22Commissioner Poole say earlier they don't23envision using this very often, but I think24our point is simply, you know, we're here

1 every year talking to all of you about the 2 challenges that we have in the system. And 3 so why not have that conversation rather than 4 go to this proposal, which is just really a 5 temporary fix?

SENATOR RIVERA: I'm always concerned 6 7 when there's nothing that clearly delineates -- I think you can probably agree if there is 8 an entity that is not being responsible with 9 10 the money that they're using, if they're providing a service to a particular 11 12 population -- particularly one that is as much in need as this -- and they're not doing 13 14 the right thing, then certainly they should 15 be held accountable.

MS. BRADY-STEPIEN: Of course. Ofcourse.

And OCFS, you know, provides a lot of oversight to these agencies. And through their regional office, staff would come in -and they do come in all the time right now, if there are even problems as minimal as a torn rug. You know, they're in conversation there, and they are having that exchange 1 right now.

2	So I think I'm understanding what
3	you're saying
4	SENATOR RIVERA: Since my time is
5	running out, is there a sense do you or
6	the coalition that you represent have any
7	sense of where this came from? Like has this
8	been proposed in the past?
9	MS. BRADY-STEPIEN: It was proposed in
10	the past, a couple of years ago, as a
11	standalone bill. And it was in the Senate
12	really at that time, under different
13	leadership. And so the language that we see
14	in this proposal is different than the
15	language in that bill, slightly
16	SENATOR RIVERA: Is different as in
17	broader, or more
18	MS. BRADY-STEPIEN: No, it's just a
19	little different in terms of the time period
20	that the temporary operator would take over
21	and things like that.
22	But I'd be very happy to follow up
23	with you and discuss more
24	SENATOR RIVERA: Yes. Since my time

1 is running out, if we could talk offline --2 MS. BRADY-STEPIEN: I know, yup. SENATOR RIVERA: -- just because I'd 3 4 like to know a little bit more about that 5 proposal that existed before. 6 MS. BRADY-STEPIEN: Sure. 7 SENATOR RIVERA: I was just recently assigned to this committee, it's not a 8 committee that I've served on before, so I do 9 10 not recall seeing this or hearing about it before. So I certainly would like to talk to 11 12 you offline about it. MS. BRADY-STEPIEN: Great. Let's do 13 14 that. Thank you, Senator. 15 SENATOR RIVERA: Thank you. 16 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 17 MS. BRADY-STEPIEN: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: David Ng, Human 18 Services Council, to be followed by New York 19 State Veterans Council. 20 21 MR. NG: Good afternoon. Thank you 22 for the opportunity to testify. My name is David Ng; I'm from the 23 24 Human Services Council. We are an umbrella

organization of over 160 human services
 providers throughout New York, and we're a
 co-lead of the Strong Nonprofits for a Better
 New York campaign, which has over 350
 campaign members throughout the State of
 New York.

7 And our campaign is here to advocate for investments in the human services sector, 8 in particular two buckets, workforce and 9 10 infrastructure. You've heard a lot of 11 conversations today about the human services 12 COLA. Our workforce is a very skilled 13 workforce, a talented workforce, and also a 14 very diverse workforce. It's over 40 percent 15 women of color and over 80 percent female. 16 And we are advocating for this 17 investment because as you have heard from

18 those who have testified before me, the 19 importance of having strong organizations 20 that can retain a talented workforce is very 21 critical to making sure we deliver quality 22 services. And without investment from the 23 state, it's very difficult for us to continue 24 to do so.

1 So we're hoping that as the 2 Legislature enters into the budget 3 negotiations and considers really supporting 4 the human services COLA that has been taken 5 out year after year for our providers so that we can continue to have a very strong and 6 7 talented workforce to provide those services. 8 The second thing around the workforce is around the funding for the \$15 minimum 9 10 wage. It was a very important first step to 11 have the \$15 minimum wage, and we really do 12 appreciate the Legislature putting in funding 13 for it last year. Unfortunately, it was only 14 one-year funding, and of course the minimum 15 wage didn't stop and we need to continue 16 funding that for nonprofit organizations. 17 The impact it has on nonprofits 18 without the funding is that we are forced to 19 make difficult choices. Right? We don't 20 charge for our programs and services, and so 21 in order for us to fund the minimum wage we 22 would have to make cuts elsewhere, either to employee benefits or to the programming 23 24 itself. And those are decisions that we

1 don't want to make.

2	And that's why it's very important
3	that the state continues to follow through on
4	its promise of supporting the \$15 minimum
5	wage and continues to put in funding for that
6	for next year.
7	And the last piece that we're really
8	advocating for is nonprofit infrastructure.
9	As you know, a lot of our contracts are
10	really focused on the programming. But the
11	infrastructure is also part of the tools that
12	we need to continue to provide services.
13	Without the building's facilities and the
14	technology to help track client data and to
15	provide those resources and programming, we
16	wouldn't be able to continue to deliver
17	quality services to constituents in your
18	districts.
19	And so we do appreciate the
20	legislature being advocates and putting
21	investments in the budget for infrastructure
22	year after year. I know the Nonprofit
23	Infrastructure Capital Investment Program is
24	very critical, and the Legislature was very

key to that. I'm not sure we were in the
 State and Municipalities Funding program. So
 we're hoping to see, again, a reoccurring
 investment in infrastructure.

5 So to sum it all up, we're asking for 6 \$140 million to fund the human services COLA, 7 \$25 million to fund the minimum wage for 8 nonprofit contracts, and \$100 million for the 9 Nonprofit Infrastructure Capital Investment 10 Program.

11 The Legislature, I know all of you 12 here -- and I don't want to do a roll call, 13 but you have all been very supportive of our 14 issues and our asks, and we really do 15 appreciate that you continue advocating for 16 us in the budget. And I really want to thank 17 Assemblyman Hevesi, who's been one of our 18 longest allies on the Strong Nonprofits 19 campaign. But I do want to thank all of you 20 for all the work that you've done, and we 21 hope that we can see these investments that are critical to human services in the 22 upcoming year. 23

24 Thank you.

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. And
2	you win so far for having most time remaining
3	and being able to summarize.
4	(Laughter.)
5	MR. NG: Thank you.
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
7	Hevesi has a question.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN HEVESI: Well, just very
9	briefly. David, thank you for all your work.
10	We hear you. We understand that the starving
11	out of the nonprofit sector which has been
12	going on for the past eight years is
13	unacceptable, and the human consequences of
14	it. We're going to fight alongside you to
15	make sure that you get as close to whole as
16	humanly possible.
17	But thank you for your work.
18	MR. NG: Thank you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
21	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for
22	being here.
23	Next, the New York State Veterans
24	Council, Kirby Hannon, legislative

coordinator -- is Linda here? I don't see
 Linda. So Arthur Cody, coordinator for
 Veterans Defense Program.

4 MR. HANNON: Thank you for that 5 introduction. My name is Kirby Hannon. I'm an Air Force veteran, '65 to '69. I'm here 6 7 to represent the New York State Veterans Council. It's a group of 30-some-odd veteran 8 service organizations. I'm here with Art 9 10 Cody, Afghan War vet and Navy captain. And 11 by the way, a Navy captain is actually a 12 colonel in the Air Force. He was a big-deal 13 pilot, and I was a desk jockey. But we all 14 served.

15 I wanted to point out something in the 16 Governor's budget that is remiss. And I 17 wanted to thank Joanne Martin for giving us a 18 heads-up on this. Because in the Governor's 19 budget message, he talked about funding 20 Dwyer, Joseph F. Dwyer Peer-to-Peer Program, 21 which has been funded for about the last six years or so -- he talked about funding it in 22 23 all 63 counties. Fantastic. We rejoiced. 24 Well, when we looked at the numbers yesterday when the budget numbers came out, there isn't
 any money in there.

3 So what we want to do is we want to 4 point out to you that hopefully this becomes 5 a budget negotiation process. It sounds like he's predisposed in that direction, so that's 6 7 nice. And to fund it properly in 63 counties, it would need to go from \$3.5 8 million in the 23 counties it's in now to I'm 9 10 going to say about \$10 million to go down the Hudson Valley, turn the corner through the 11 12 city and go out to Long Island.

13And Senator Montgomery, who isn't14here, and Linda McKinnis, who testifies with15us normally -- Senator Montgomery has always16asked why is the Joseph Dwyer program not in17her district. And we'd love to be able to18say to her this is the year.

19So let me tell you a little bit about20what it does, though. The program embraces21immediate vet needs in peer-to-peer anonymous22counseling settings. So it's kind of like in23AA. And it gets the vet to where he or she24needs to be in terms of federal benefits and

in terms of community outreach that's
 available.

3 And having said that, what you want to 4 do is you want to get that incoming vet to a Veteran Service Officer. And the Veteran 5 Service Officers are kind of like the traffic 6 7 cop that basically takes the vet and finds the federal benefits for the veteran that 8 they need in order to get the type of 9 10 underpinning necessary, be it substance abuse counseling -- whatever it might be, that's 11 12 what that Veteran Service Officer does. There's about 15 of them now. The 13 14 VFW's Veteran Service Officer, for example,

15 in the Buffalo office, is able to generate \$2 16 million a month in federal benefits to New York State veterans. And if you take that 17 18 number, you take that times 15, it starts to 19 pick up exponentially. And finally, if we're 20 able to add five Veteran Service Officers to 21 fill in the gaps in the state, then we've got, I think, a matrix that can serve 22 23 veterans in a very, very cost-effective way, 24 and the return on investment is clearly

1 there.

2	I think the VFW, who I also coordinate
3	for their legislative program they had
4	been funded for the last six years to the
5	tune of \$125,000 for two Veteran Service
6	Officers. Not apiece, but total. And that's
7	how we were able to do the Buffalo office,
8	the Albany office is rapidly getting to that
9	point. And so we're asking you to look at
10	that as a solid return on investment.
11	Now, I mentioned Art. Our most
12	successful program at this moment in time is
13	kind of at the end, where the veteran gets in
14	serious trouble with the law. But frankly,
15	that's where we feel we need to do the most
16	to prevent
17	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Kirby, if
18	Arthur is going to speak
19	MR. HANNON: Yeah, he is.
20	Go.
21	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: he needs to.
22	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Give him a
23	minute.
24	MR. CODY: Sure. Thanks very much.

First of all, as has been for the last several budget cycles, it's an honor for me to come and speak before you on behalf of my sisters and brothers in arms.

5 What the VDP does, what the Veterans Defense Program does, it provides training 6 7 and support and legal assistance to promote trauma-informed representation. To a great 8 degree, we deal with the T in PTSD. We talk 9 10 about what the veteran has gone through and 11 the trauma that they have experienced and the 12 way that trauma can be treated.

13 Today I'm asking for your support for 14 a legislative add of \$900,000. Previous 15 state funding has allowed us to help 16 justice-involved veterans, wounded warriors 17 suffering from mental health conditions. And we've been able -- by diverting veterans from 18 19 incarceration, we've been able to save the 20 state over \$30 million. But more importantly 21 to us, we have been able to save literally 22 hundreds of veterans from years of incarceration and instead have got them the 23 24 months of treatment that they need and

deserve. We think of that as bringing our
 veterans all the way home.

3 This year we're requesting a \$500,000 4 renewal, \$250,000 from each house, for the 5 statewide VDP. We're going to ask the Senate for a 220,000 renewal for our Long Island 6 7 office, which they granted last year. In addition, our increased request is for 8 \$180,000 -- \$90,000 for an additional 9 10 attorney, because I suppose fortunately for us, the demand -- people know of our services 11 12 and ask for them, so we're serving more and more veterans, so we need an additional 13 14 attorney for that. And lastly, to develop a 15 legal practice manual that will help counsel -- bang for the buck, help counsel 16 17 across the state understand some of the things that we do when we're talking about a 18 19 veterans military occupation specialty where 20 they served, et cetera.

21 I thank you for considering our 22 request and I'm happy to take any questions 23 you may have.

24 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: There will be

1 some questions.

2	Our Veterans chair, Didi Barrett.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: Thank you both
4	for being here.
5	Because we've had this conversation
6	just two days ago, I think it was, I don't
7	and my colleagues have been here for a long
8	time today, I don't want to get into the
9	weeds here, but I do want to ask you about
10	the Dwyer funds and the funding there.
11	Do you feel like all of the counties
12	are ready to make those funds if it you
13	know, if the level that you're requesting and
14	the Governor's suggestion that it should be
15	in all of the counties
16	MR. HANNON: Well, to answer your
17	question briefly, the program is actually
18	kind of managed in a very effective way by
19	OMH.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: Right, I know,
21	it's community-based service organizations.
22	MR. HANNON: Yeah. So are the
23	counties ready? Well, I think that
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: But not

1 everybody, every county has a program like 2 that, so --

MR. HANNON: No, no, definitely not. MR. HANNON: No, no, definitely not. MH is ready. I think that they would relish the opportunity to be able to reach out into those counties. And I think the veterans at the post level will embrace it in a heartbeat.

9 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: And do you 10 think there is a demand in every county at 11 this point -- I mean if we worked through the 12 New York mental health associations or -- I 13 mean, I'm just trying to get your read on the 14 demand and the need to really go from 16, is 15 it, or 23 counties to 64. It seems --

MR. HANNON: It's in 23 counties now,
upstate mostly. If we went to all 62, as I
had mentioned, you know, including New York
City, that's about a \$10 million investment.
ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: Right. No, I

21 understand that, I just want to be --

22 MR. HANNON: Now, what's the return on 23 investment? I think it would be that you'd 24 find more effective peer-to-peer counselors within -- at the post level, who in turn
within -- at the post level, who in turn
reach out in the community and effectively
identify the veterans in need, whether it's
with a homeless sign, you know, on the street
corner or whatever.

And then, under those circumstances --6 7 and this is how it's working in some of the counties, in most of the counties -- identify 8 the veteran and then make sure that that 9 10 veteran is finding where he or she needs to go. In other words, if it's for federal 11 12 benefits and VA counseling or whatever, if it's substance treatment. That's what a 13 14 peer-to-peer counselor does. And the OMH is 15 a wonderful --

ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: Right. Excuse me. I know we're running out of time, and I thank you for being here. I just wanted to really get a sense of whether you thought there was an infrastructure in place for -in every one of the counties.

22MR. HANNON: I do. I do, yeah. Every23post has a Veteran Service Officer.

24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: And I support

1 the program. I think it's a fantastic 2 program, and I thank you both for the work 3 that you're doing and for your service to our 4 country. And I know the Defenders Program is 5 -- we talked a lot about that. So I just -- but, you know, I want to 6 7 be sure that if we're looking to expand this, that we have an infrastructure for how to do 8 9 that. So thank you. 10 MR. HANNON: Thank you for being there 11 for us. 12 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Hold on. The Senate has some questions. 13 14 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Seward. 15 SENATOR SEWARD: Yeah, just -- I think 16 I know the answer to this question, but I 17 would just like to get it on the record and hear your comments on a couple of Article VII 18 19 items that are in the budget impacting 20 veterans. 21 And the first being in Part W of the 22 ELFA portion, it's a proposal that would prohibit any entity from receiving 23 24 compensation for helping veterans and their

1 dependents prepare a claim, except as 2 permitted under the United States Department of Veterans Affairs standards, and also it 3 4 would require them to inform their customers 5 that the New York State Division of Veterans Affairs and the county veteran service 6 7 agencies can provide them free services 8 regarding veterans benefits.

9 As you point out, our state Veteran 10 Service Officers of course in the various 11 counties do a tremendous job in terms of 12 assisting veterans. And also, of course, we 13 all benefit when all these additional federal 14 benefits come to our state.

15 And the second item is the extension 16 of the Service Disabled Veteran Owned 17 Business Act that we passed back in 2014. 18 That needs to be reupped; otherwise, it will 19 expire.

20 MR. HANNON: Thank you for pointing 21 that out. I think we missed that, yeah. 22 SENATOR SEWARD: I assume both of 23 these measures are something you could --24 MR. HANNON: Yeah, we think that

1 there's confusion and we don't want people to 2 think that the services offered by Veteran 3 Service Officers could in any way be 4 compensated for in any other fashion. And it 5 doesn't happen a lot, but to preclude it is a good thing, I think. 6 7 SENATOR SEWARD: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Oh, I'm sorry, I 8 do just have one question. Thank you, 9 10 gentlemen. 11 So just even the other day there were 12 stories about the federal government trying 13 to push for more privatization of VA 14 healthcare, even possibly in parts of New 15 York State. So I'm wondering, do you and the 16 people you represent have a position here? 17 MR. HANNON: Well, I can tell you what 18 my gut reaction is as regards New York State 19 and the VA hospitals in New York State. And 20 it is -- and I go to the VA Hospital here in 21 Albany. The care is excellent. 22 You know, I think that privatization is always a complex issue and I'm not going 23 24 to speak to it except to say that I

1	personally, going to the VA on a regular
2	basis, I don't see the need for privatization
3	on a personal basis at all.

4 But, you know -- now, what they are doing is in terms of women vet outreach, 5 6 they're bringing in people who I think are 7 much needed that have special expertise and 8 are able to, even with peer-to-peer, they're 9 able to actually connect women in the service 10 with other women who are actually able to --11 and Linda McKinnis was going to talk about 12 that today.

But -- so to answer your question, yeah, I think that it depends on what program and what privatization they're talking about in terms of my gut reaction.

17 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very18 much for presenting today.

19 MR. HANNON: Thank you.

20 MR. CODY: Thank you.

21 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.

22 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: Thank you.

23 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Next we have

24 the Redlich Horwitz Foundation, Robert

1 Horwitz, Sarah Chiles. Or maybe just Robert 2 Horwitz without Sarah. 3 MR. HORWITZ: Yeah, Sarah wasn't able 4 to come today. 5 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Okay. And then the Early Care and Learning Council, followed 6 7 by the New York State Network for Youth 8 Success. MR. HORWITZ: Good afternoon. My name 9 10 is Rob Horwitz, from Redlich Horwitz 11 Foundation. I want to thank you for the 12 opportunity to testify today before you. 13 And I'm here today to ask the 14 Legislature to provide funding for the Family First Transition Fund that Commissioner Poole 15 16 mentioned in her opening remarks. 17 The proposed transition fund is designed to be a private-public partnership 18 19 that can really change the course of foster 20 care here in New York. It's a proven, 21 effective program that has the potential to 22 improve the lives of foster youth across the state and save money at the same time. It's 23 24 truly a unique proposition, and I hope we can

1 gain your support.

2	I'm the cofounder and a trustee of the
3	Redlich Horwitz Foundation, along with my
4	wife Cathy. The foundation's mission is to
5	ensure every child entering foster care is
6	raised in a permanent loving family. We're a
7	family foundation. We don't provide direct
8	services. What we do provide, from OCFS to
9	the counties to agencies and other service
10	providers, is strategic advice, targeted
11	funding, and consultative supports. The
12	foundation spends over \$5 million a year here
13	in New York on foster care, and we're the
14	only nonservice provider with the sole
15	mission of doing so.
16	We moved to the Hudson Valley in 2010
17	and decided on foster care as a single
18	mission. And I think it's important to
19	understand why New York spends more money per
20	child in foster care than any other state in
21	the country, yet gets the 48th best results
22	in terms of time to permanency and a
23	permanent family.
24	We immediately tried to identify what

1 those reasons were for that shocking outcome. 2 In our research we found that outside of 3 New York City, the rest of the state 4 institutionalized foster children at twice 5 the national rate. Why is that a concern? The research is unequivocal that outcomes for 6 7 foster youth in prolonged institutional care are far worse in all measures of 8 well-being -- number of placements, 9 10 education, mental health, employment -- than foster youth who are placed in family-based 11 12 care. Furthermore, institutional care is 13 three to eight times more expensive than the 14 preferred mode of family-based care. 15 We started searching for strategies to 16 alleviate this situation and found many 17 jurisdictions -- Allegany County in 18 Pennsylvania is a prime example of this --19 where they prioritize kin-based placements 20 first, foster parent placements second, and 21 institutionalization only in extreme cases of 22 severe emotional distress. They also 23 provided community-based mental health care 24 and other supports to ensure

1 family-based-placement stability and success. 2 Why a kin-first policy? Well, again, 3 research demonstrates that children placed in 4 foster care with a family and with known 5 parties do better, they encounter less trauma, they return to their birth parents 6 7 more frequently and successfully and generally achieve better outcomes than any 8 9 other placements. 10 In 2016, we issued an RFP to try a 11 kin-first policy here in New York. Several 12 counties applied; we accepted three counties. 13 The results have been really incredible. In 14 the first year, all three counties 15 dramatically increased their supported 16 kin-based foster care and significantly 17 reduced their institutional care. Onondaga, as an example, doubled their 18 19 kin-based care in the first year and they 20 reduced their institutional placements in 21 that first year by 25 percent. All the other 22 counties did similarly. Westchester went from the number one -- the highest rate of 23 24 institutional care, over 40 percent, down --

1 they reduced it by 10 points.

All the counties reinvested savings into this program and still resulted in substantial savings to their counties. We recommend that 50 percent of the savings be reinvested in order to ensure that the proper supports are in place for sustainability of this program.

In the beginning of 2018, the Family 9 10 First Act was passed, and that initiates a fundamental shift in foster care practice, 11 12 incentivizing family-based care and placing severe funding limitations on use of 13 14 unnecessary institutional care. New York will lose tens of millions of dollars in 15 16 federal funding under the current system. 17 We've developed a proven, effective program 18 for increasing kin-based supports and 19 reducing the trauma of separation from birth 20 parents, as well as reducing unnecessary 21 institutional care, and we would urge you to 22 support this program. One other point --23

24 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Just quickly.

1	MR. HORWITZ: Yes. We want to thank
2	the Governor for including this transition
3	fund in his budget, but we're concerned about
4	the source of this funding and want to make
5	sure that this funding is clean funding and
6	does not distract from any other foster care
7	services.
8	Thank you.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
10	Assemblywoman Barrett.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: Yeah, I just
12	wanted to thank Rob and Cathy, who are
13	constituents and friends, for their
14	leadership in this and shining the spotlight
15	on how to really maximize funds for a really
16	important part of our communities and the
17	youth of our state. So I just really want
18	to, on the record, thank you for your work
19	and your leadership and your support.
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
21	Assemblywoman Jaffee.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: I too want to
23	thank you for this work.
24	And I think that this supporting

1 the counties to meet this challenge 2 especially with the Family First preparing for what is about to occur, I think that it's 3 4 essential. And as you have discussed this 5 and led the counties in so many to be able to be moving forward is essential. Because in 6 7 order for them to be able to do what is 8 appropriate for our children within the context of foster care, they need to have the 9 10 kind of guidance that you are suggesting. 11 So I want to thank you very much for 12 the work that you're doing. Very insightful. 13 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 14 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 15 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Meredith Chimento, Early Care & Learning Council. 16 17 MS. CHIMENTO: Hi, good afternoon. I haven't spoken in a few hours. 18 19 (Laughter.) 20 MS. CHIMENTO: Thank you for the 21 opportunity to provide testimony today. My name is Meredith Chimento. I'm the executive 22 director of the Early Care & Learning 23 24 Council. We represent the 35 childcare

1 resource referral agencies located in the 2 62 counties in New York State. The CCR&Rs 3 and ECLC provide direct assistance and 4 support to parents, childcare providers, and 5 employers. I am pleased -- multiple times 6 7 today -- to hear childcare referenced as a critical support for New York's workforce. 8 As New York continues to build on its 9 10 investments, focused on improving education 11 and programs that help prepare children to 12 enter kindergarten ready to learn, there must 13 also be a commitment to increasing access to 14 quality childcare. This will be realized 15 through increased financial support of ECLC 16 and the CCR&R contracts through the Office of 17 Children & Families, which have remained flat-funded for over a decade and in fact in 18 2012 received a cut. 19

20 CCR&Rs have been forced to hold 21 already low wages stagnant and have not 22 received a COLA, which was referenced 23 multiple times today. Like childcare staff 24 and educators themselves, the overwhelming 1 majority of CCR&R staff are female. In order 2 to reach real pay equality, the specialized 3 and necessary work of the CCR&R agencies must 4 be compensated. CCR&Rs provide technical 5 assistance to childcare providers through trainings, intensive interventions, and 6 7 support to both programs and individual childcare providers. 8

As a partner and resource to OCFS, and 9 10 a critical mass responsible for ensuring the delivery of quality care, our workforce must 11 12 not be overlooked. It is for that reason that we ask for \$2.5 million to support the 13 14 Early Care & Learning Council and the Child Care Resource and Referral network as 15 we deliver essential services to boost the 16 17 quality of childcare in New York State.

In addition to these quality issues, in much of the state the available supply of providers of care for infants and toddlers is near capacity, at 90 percent. In urban areas, wait lists occur, and in rural areas, where I live in Greene County, for example, simply stated, there is no childcare.

1 Therefore, we are requesting an additional 2 \$2.5 million to provide business development, 3 implementation and project oversight to 4 establish new childcare businesses. 5 Childcare businesses are predominantly minority and women-owned businesses. This 6 7 increase will allow for CCR&Rs to hire additional staff and recruit and support new 8 providers to serve infants and toddlers. 9 10 This increase will ensure that these MWBEs will be guided through purposeful planning, 11 12 site location, program and budget development to ensure their success. Also, it will allow 13 14 us to look at ways to develop strategies 15 around nontraditional hours of care, 16 specifically for shift workers, casino 17 workers, and hospital workers. One in four low-income Americans work in nonstandard-hour 18 19 jobs and they cannot find care. Just today, 20 our national organization released a report 21 highlighting the childcare challenges workers 22 in those nontraditional hours face, as well as the role CCR&Rs can play in improving that 23 24 access.

1 I am a member of the Childcare 2 Availability Task force convened by Assemblymember Jaffee -- thank you very much. 3 4 And as we work on a systemic solution to the 5 childcare crisis, the state must increase investment now to restore short-term 6 7 stability to both the childcare providers and subsidy systems and to expand subsidies for 8 low-income working families whose ability to 9 10 participate in the workforce depends on securing quality, affordable, accessible 11 12 care. 13 Thank you. 14 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 15 thank you very much. I think you were 16 succinct. 17 Assemblywoman Jaffee. 18 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you for 19 what you do. The Early Care & Learning Council does extraordinary work in my county. 20 21 It really provides such support for our 22 childcare providers that it really has put them in a much more positive position, 23 24 providing all kinds of assistance and

guidance. So I really appreciate what you've 1 2 done for them and throughout the state. And I look forward to working with you as we 3 4 continue on the task force. MS. CHIMENTO: I do as well. 5 Absolutely. Thank you. 6 7 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 8 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 9 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We too thank 10 you for your work. 11 New York State Network for Youth 12 Success, Kelly Sturgis, to be followed by the New York State Kinship Navigator. 13 14 MS. STURGIS: Hi, good evening. Thank 15 you for allowing me to testify today --16 (Laughter.) 17 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: It's afternoon! MS. STURGIS: It kind of is. It's 18 19 getting there. 20 (Laughter; overtalk.) 21 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: You haven't seen 22 the light for a while. It's still 4:15. 23 MS. STURGIS: My name is Kelly 24 Sturgis. I'm the executive director for the

1 New York State Network for Youth Success. We 2 are the statewide network for after-school, 3 summer and expanded learning programs. 4 Evidence shows that after-school 5 programs play a critical role in improving student outcomes and that summer programs 6 7 halt summer learning loss. For students who are fortunate enough to be enrolled in 8 9 high-quality after-school and summer 10 programs, these opportunities contribute to 11 the development of social-emotional skills 12 that employers look for in future employees. 13 They also provide protective factors such as 14 access to and relationships with nurturing adults that we know result in healthier 15 children who make better decisions and are 16 able to build skills that last a lifetime. 17 18 After-school programs are crucial for 19 working families who need to know that their 20 children are safe between the end of the 21 school day and the end of the work day. 22 While we are pleased that the state has shown 23 an interest in after-school by supporting the 24 Governor's Empire State After-School Program,

1 New York still needs to increase investment 2 in the Advantage After-School Program, which 3 serves 16,000 children and youth statewide. 4 Of the 177 Advantage programs 5 operating across the state, 52 are located in areas not currently served through the Empire 6 7 grant and serve nearly 4,000 students. 8 While we support additional 9 investments in after-school programs from the 10 state, we must ensure that existing funding 11 streams that support currently operating 12 after-school programs are adequately funded 13 as well. We urge the Legislature to restore 14 funding for the Advantage After-School 15 Program. The Governor's budget proposal of \$17.2 million is a reduction from last year's 16 17 enacted budget. We thank the Legislature for 18 restoring the \$5 million cut last year and 19 ask you to do so again. 20 If funding is not restored to 21 \$22.3 million, at least 3600 students 22 currently enrolled in Advantage across the

24 their programs, starting in the 2019-2020

23

state will be at risk of losing access to

1 school year.

2	We thank the Executive for including a
3	\$10.7 million increase for the after-school
4	workforce and ask that you maintain this
5	much-needed cost of living adjustment and
6	extend it for at least five years to fiscal
7	year '23-'24. This would bring the base
8	funding for Advantage to \$32.9 million if the
9	Legislature restores the \$5 million cut.
10	Yet even with the increases just
11	mentioned, programs are underfunded and
12	struggle to provide the highest-quality
13	services to children and youth. Our research
14	shows that funding is spent predominantly on
15	salaries, with little left over for materials
16	or activities.
17	While the true cost of providing a
18	high-quality experience is just under \$3,000
19	in Buffalo and an estimated \$6,000 in
20	New York City, Advantage programs subsist on
21	\$1375 per pupil. Even the Empire State
22	After-School Program, with a \$1600 per-pupil
23	rate, does not reflect the true cost. This
24	year we are requesting that the per-pupil

1 rate increase to \$2320 to least accommodate 2 the increase in minimum wage. When the rate 3 was set at \$1375 back in 2009, the minimum 4 wage was \$7.25. This \$2320 rate would allow 5 for the same level of services originally provided under this program, while accounting 6 7 for the minimum wage increases. 8 At the same time, we must continue to serve the same number of children, which will 9 10 require an additional \$15.2 million 11 investment in the program. 12 To support other services that 13 contribute to high-quality programs, we urge 14 the state to increase funding for the 15 childcare subsidies by \$51 million, restore 16 1.698 million in funding for the Youth 17 Development Program that was included in the fiscal year '16-'17 budget, increase 18 19 investment in the Summer Youth Employment 20 program by an additional \$4 million, and 21 finally, invest 25 percent of any revenues secured through the legalization of adult-use 22 marijuana in after-school programs. 23 24 In closing, I want to note that I am

1	on the steering committee of Winning
2	Beginning NY, and the network supports the
3	coalition's agenda.
4	Thank you for your time.
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
6	Senator Krueger.
7	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Hi. Thank you
8	for your testimony. And you raised something
9	that I think two or three people before you
10	also raised, that there was a reduction in
11	the after-school the Advantage
12	After-School Program. But some of my notes
13	showed that we just picked it up and took it
14	from OCFS into OTDA.
15	So are you actually convinced there's
16	a drop in the money for after-school in the
17	Advantage After-School, or is it possible you
18	didn't see that it got moved to a different
19	agency? Although I have no idea why they
20	would move it.
21	MS. STURGIS: We did. We're still
22	waiting on clarification as to how it will
23	actually roll out. And that's only for the
24	first year; there's still subsequent years in

```
1
           the RFP that will not be reflected in.
                   CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Got it. Thank
 2
 3
           you for the clarification.
 4
                   MS. STURGIS: You're welcome.
 5
                   CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And if you find
 6
           out why, let me know. Because I can't figure
 7
           out why they're moving it either.
                   MS. STURGIS: Absolutely.
 8
 9
                   CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
10
                   CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
           Thank you for your testimony here today.
11
12
                   MS. STURGIS: Thank you.
13
                   CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Next we have
14
           the New York State Kinship Navigator, Gerard
15
           Wallace, director, and Ryan Johnson, a
16
            regional coordinator.
17
                   So, gentlemen, we have 5 minutes on
            the clock. We do have your -- obviously, in
18
           our reading, it's a rather long and
19
20
            interesting testimony --
21
                   MR. WALLACE: Okay. I want to get
22
           going, okay?
23
                   Chairpersons, Senators,
24
           Assemblypersons, thank you very, very much
```

1 for giving us the chance to speak about 2 kinship care. We are focused on the private 3 kinship community, not those who are in 4 foster care. 5 You have before you in the testimony a description of all this and the issues, so 6 7 we're not going to go into it, we're just going to hit the high points. 8 There are two child welfare systems 9 10 in New York State. There is the public foster care system, which serves 16,000 11 12 children in foster care -- of which 3,700 are 13 kinship foster children -- and has over a 14 billion dollars in funding. 15 And then there is the private kinship 16 foster care system, the private kinship 17 system, which has 195,000 children in care 18 and is served by grandparents, aunts and 19 uncles and family friends who are doing the 20 job on their own. Myself and Ryan Johnson, 21 with the Navigator, and the 22 local kinship 22 programs that serve them are the only dedicated resources for those 195,000 23 24 children.

1 Those children are in care with 2 relatives for pretty much the same reasons 3 that children go into foster care. They're 4 primarily parental drug addiction, abuse, 5 neglect, abandonment, incarceration, and the list goes on. 6 7 We are asking you to continue full funding for those 22 programs in the 8 Navigator. The Governor always puts in 9 10 \$220,000 for the Kinship Navigator and \$338,000 for the local programs. Through the 11 12 good graces of Assemblywoman Jaffee and 13 Assemblyman Hevesi and many years ago Senator 14 Montgomery, we've been able to get that up to 15 about \$2.5 million. The numbers are there. 16 We'd like to note, on top of that, 17 that the Family First Prevention Services Act 18 doesn't just try and open the door wider for 19 kin to be a resource for foster care, there 20 is a funding stream available for kinship 21 navigators to serve children in kinship care 22 so that they do not go into foster care. That funding stream unfortunately was 23

24 hampered because it demands, requires that

1 the programs be successfully evaluated for 2 certain outcomes. The federal government in 3 its wisdom then put up money to try and get 4 those evaluations done. OCFS has 5 sole-sourced our program to do the evaluations to show successful outcomes to 6 7 get the matching funds available from the feds for kinship navigators. We're asking 8 you to add \$120,000 to our budget to help us 9 10 facilitate some of the actions that we need around getting those evaluations successfully 11 12 done.

13 Ryan Johnson is going to talk a little
14 about the cost-benefit, and hopefully we're
15 going to get there. Okay? Thank you.

16 MR. JOHNSON: Thanks for your time. As Gerry mentioned, there are 16,000 children 17 18 in foster care and the state spends hundreds of millions of dollars to make sure that 19 20 those children remain safe. Again, at the 21 same time, the state spends \$2.5 million for 22 the private kinship community, as Gerry mentioned. Last year the 22 local programs 23 24 served over 2,000 children, keeping them out

1 of foster care, saving the state over

2 \$50 million if those children had come into 3 foster care.

And so the investment into kinship programs, the Kinship Navigator and the local programs, really does have a return on the investment, keeping children out of foster care.

As Gerry mentioned, the Families First 9 10 Act provides funding, matching funds from the 11 federal government that we will be able to 12 draw down on in the future. And keeping kids 13 out of foster care really is the primary 14 purpose of that. The Navigator is well 15 situated to provide leadership and guidance 16 to the state on how those funds are 17 appropriated, and we've asked the Legislature to make this small investment into the 18 19 kinship community that are not making it into 20 foster care.

21 MR. WALLACE: One other item. We 22 talked about the transition fund, and we 23 applaud that. And Ryan sits on the CHAMPS 24 committee that drafted that request.

1 The transition fund would attempt to 2 increase the number of children in kinship foster care. Since the total number of 3 4 children in foster care is 16,000, if you 5 move from 33 percent to 50 percent in New York City of all foster care being kin, and 6 7 if you move from 12 percent to 50 percent of 8 upstate all children in foster care being with kin, you would have a grand total of 9 10 8,000 kinship children in foster care. 11 That's great. We want to see more kids in 12 kinship foster care. But the number again is 13 dwarfed by this informal community, the 14 Auntie Ems, the Dorothies of New York that 15 have no supports. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you so 16 17 very much for being here and for the work 18 that you do for New Yorkers. Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I have a 19 20 question. 21 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Oh. Senator 22 Krueger. CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Might the Auntie 23 24 Ems of New York be better off if they applied

1 for kinship funding to take care of the 2 children --MR. WALLACE: By kinship funding you 3 4 mean foster care? 5 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Yes, sir. MR. WALLACE: Certainly. We have 6 7 supported more children going into foster 8 care for 15 years. And the original studies on diversion of how children are misdirected 9 10 from foster care opportunities were done by 11 us. 12 But the numbers are there. We've got 195,000 children. Do you want them all going 13 14 into foster care? There are many reasons 15 they wouldn't qualify, there are many reasons 16 they wouldn't want to. They have to come in 17 contact with the system at the get-go so that there's an Article X in order to be eligible. 18 19 No, I'm sorry to say the majority are 20 going to remain outside that system, and 21 New York needs to do more to support them. 22 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So perhaps I 23 worded it wrong, because no -- I mean, from 24 the state perspective, every extended family

1

who takes care of nieces, nephews,

2 grandchildren does what we call a mitzvah in 3 my family, but also saves the state a lot of 4 money.

5 But you also worry -- I worry -- that these families who are doing good deeds and 6 7 trying to do the best for the children they 8 bring in from their extended family may be in trouble themselves because now they're trying 9 10 to take on responsibilities that they never 11 imagined planning for. They may be 12 grandmothers who are trying to raise their 13 grandchildren without adequate money for rent 14 and food or may be overwhelmed themselves, 15 given their own age and perhaps physical 16 limitations.

17 So I'm just curious, in your work and 18 your navigators is there a way to try to make 19 sure that all of these wonderful people out 20 there aren't actually suffering while trying 21 to take care of children that they don't have 22 the resources to take care of?

23 MR. WALLACE: I think that's a24 wonderful question, and we appreciate their

1 being described as wonderful, okay.

2 That's what we do. There is financial 3 funding to help them through the TANF system, 4 the child-only nonparent grant. Fifteen 5 percent of eligible families in New York 6 State are getting it, according to 7 Chapin Hall.

8 There are case management services 9 provided by these programs. They have 10 emergency funds at these programs. The 11 problem is they are not funded. As Ryan 12 said, if you fund the informal kinship network of care, the savings is there and the 13 14 families are getting less supports but enough 15 supports to stabilize them and enable them to 16 do what they want to do.

17Again, most of them do not come in18contact with the CPS system. You're going to19have to invent a way to take children out of20their home and make them eligible for Title21IV-E funding. There isn't such a way to do22that.23CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.

24 MR. WALLACE: Thank you very much.

CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman
 Jaffee.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you for
your constant guidance and our conversations
together.

6 In terms of the federal law, how do 7 you see this moving forward in terms of, you 8 know, the families and the children, in terms 9 of maintaining them in kinship rather than 10 moving forward much more -- many more of them 11 within the foster care system?

MR. WALLACE: Well, the federal law 12 provides a matching dollars for kinship 13 14 navigators. Kinship navigators is a kind of 15 a term of art. It's any, really, system of kinship services. It's not -- we're called 16 17 Kinship Navigator, but the local programs on 18 the ground are kinship navigators also. So there's matching dollars there. 19

This is the first time, after 20 years of advocacy, that the feds have finally put up some bucks to fund the private kinship care system. Who knows where it's going to go next? Everyone is recognizing the

1 importance of private kinship care and public 2 kinship care and that children do better when 3 they're with relatives. It is pretty much 4 going to be a done deal that over the next 5 decade more and more will be invested in the private kinship community, given the numbers 6 7 and the opioid crisis and all the reasons 8 that children are forced into the arms of their relatives. 9 10 This is a small step, but it's the first step. And I'm telling you, it's been a 11 12 long time coming. ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: How do we raise 13 14 awareness for families so that they 15 understand that they're going to be getting 16 support for mental health services --17 MR. WALLACE: One of the things we've asked is an amendment to Social Services Law 18 19 392, which indicates that counties must 20 inform kinship caregivers about certain 21 benefits. But -- and we can give you rife 22 stories about the failure to inform. And I've worked -- and I appreciate the 23 24 commissioner's work on this, Commissioner

Poole's work on this. But there's still a
lot of gaps. Some of it has to do with
turnover in staff, some of it has to do with
misinformation.

5 Our amendment would say that they must 6 refer any kinship family they come to, either 7 when they come for public benefits or the CPS 8 system is in touch with them. Whether or not 9 they get to an Article X, refer them to us 10 and our comrade programs so that nobody falls 11 through the cracks.

12 I'll sum it up. Bronx grandmother, a few years ago: "CPS gave me my grandchild 13 14 eight years ago. This is the first time I've found out there's help." We don't want that. 15 ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: That's what is 16 17 concerning me. If you have language, that 18 would be helpful. 19 MR. WALLACE: Yes, ma'am.

20 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.

 21
 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very

 22
 much.

23 MR. WALLACE: You're welcome, folks.24 Thank you very much too.

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAFFEE: Thank you.
2	MR. WALLACE: Go home soon, hmm?
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: The next
4	witness is Camille Mackler, New York
5	Immigration Coalition, then Melissa Sklarz,
6	SAGE, and to be followed by Timothy Hathaway,
7	executive director, Prevent Child Abuse
8	New York.
9	MS. MACKLER: Thank you for the
10	opportunity to testify today. I hope not to
11	take too much time; I know I'm one of the
12	last people standing on your way home.
13	I'm here because I wanted to talk
14	about legal services funding for immigrants,
15	which is a relatively new funding stream for
16	New York State. I want to be very clear,
17	though, when I say legal services funding,
18	I'm not only speaking of lawyer positions but
19	I'm also talking about supporting our
20	community-based organizations and all of the
21	other groups that really come into play when
22	we're trying to get immigrants access to
23	counsel and access to justice.
24	I work for the New York Immigration

1 Coalition. We're a statewide coalition of 2 over 200 nonprofit organizations that work 3 with immigrants in a variety of ways 4 throughout the state. We're from Long Island 5 to Buffalo. We have staff all over the state. 6 7 And I am asking today to have the \$10 million that was invested in the last two 8 budget cycles again invested this year and 9 10 increased to \$20 million, because frankly 11 that doesn't even come close to approaching 12 the need. 13 The reality is that in the last two 14 years alone we have seen lawyers and 15 individuals working on providing access to 16 counsel to immigrants become the best 17 protectors and defenders of our communities 18 that are currently under constant attacks 19 from Washington. This new funding stream 20 from New York State, the Liberty Defense 21 Project, which is run through the Department 22 of State Office for New Americans, is the first time New York State has made a 23 24 significant investment in legal services

protecting individuals from deportation, from arrest by Immigration Customs Enforcement, ensuring that we're doing "Know Your Rights" presentations and getting individuals alerted and oriented to their rights.

As we have surveyed our community 6 7 members and our stakeholders, we know that the biggest barriers to accessing legal 8 counsel for immigrants is financial. There's 9 10 no right to guaranteed counsel in immigration 11 law, even if you're incarcerated and facing 12 deportation in a language you don't 13 understand. They're geographical, 14 particularly upstate in Western and Central 15 New York and in the Southern Tier and in the 16 North Country and even here in the Capital 17 Region.

18Immigrants, as you know, do not have19access to driver's licenses, at least20undocumented immigrants don't, so they can't21travel to see lawyers. They can't travel to22areas where they can receive more assistance.23Even in New York City, sometimes the outer24boroughs can be real geographical hurdles,

and even on Long Island, where we have a
 better infrastructure.

3 But I also want to talk about the 4 impact that all of this has had on lawyers. 5 I myself am an immigration lawyer, and I can tell you that the challenges over the last 6 7 two years alone have been tremendous. I have 8 run legal efforts out of diners in airports, out of visitation rooms in county jails. I 9 10 have watched my colleagues cancel vacation 11 plans, work nights and weekends for years 12 now. But especially over the last two years, they must pivot and go into crisis response 13 14 mode in a second. They must constantly learn 15 and relearn the law and the policies as they 16 change, as courts uplift them or strike them 17 down. And the toll this summer alone of 18 working with parents and children who were 19 separated at the border by our U.S. 20 government has had an effect like I've never 21 seen, on both our communities and on the 22 lawyers that try to serve them. You can imagine how demoralizing all of this is. 23 24 The burnout in our lawyers is

1 extraordinary. You know, I know we've spoken 2 at times this afternoon of the impact on the 3 nonprofit sector of wages and high work 4 volumes, and this is absolutely true for this 5 community. We have very few nonprofit immigration lawyers with over five years' 6 7 experience, even in New York City, where 8 75 percent of providers are located. 9 Because of the lack of funding, 10 there's also lack of flexibility in the types of cases, which doesn't allow lawyers to 11 12 pivot as they need. And it means that they must turn away from services individuals they 13 14 know qualify for relief under our current 15 laws. The biggest issue we have is 16 17 supervising positions. Right now 76 percent of organizations expect their supervisors to 18 19 carry full or nearly full caseloads, which 20 means that we can't bring in junior attorneys 21 and junior staff because there's no one to supervise them. We can't leverage pro bono 22 help because there's no one to supervise 23 24 them. And in upstate, that is dramatic.

1 We also need more funding for things 2 like ESL classes, for mental health 3 providers, for social workers, case managers, 4 all those who can work with the lawyers to 5 take clients out of that moment of crisis. In 2013, New York City started a 6 7 public defender model that was later adopted 8 by New York State for all detained 9 immigrants, and the studies of that, which 10 are the best studies we have on the impact of 11 representation in immigration court, show 12 that with a lawyer you have a 1100 percent 13 greater chance of winning your case and 14 exerting your rights under the laws than if 15 you don't have one. So for that reason, I hope that 16 17 New York will step up and that we will be able to continue to fund this critical 18 19 lifeline for immigrant New Yorkers. 20 Ten seconds left. 21 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. And 22 thank you for the work you do. 23 And, you know, I think you're aware 24 that for the last several -- going back

1 several years, the Assembly has added to the 2 budget funding for immigrant legal services. And I know that some of the member 3 4 organizations have received that funding. 5 But clearly there's a need for much more. MS. MACKLER: Yes, absolutely. And I 6 7 don't mean to discredit those discretionary grants, but we -- I'm talking about the 8 Liberty Defense Project, which is a statewide 9 10 network that has been created, and that needs 11 to continue. 12 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Rivera. SENATOR RIVERA: Good afternoon. 13 14 MS. MACKLER: Good afternoon. 15 SENATOR RIVERA: Thank you for the 16 work that you do, certainly. 17 I need for you to break down for me a 18 little bit more -- I went to the last page, 19 which has the asks, right? And No. 3 says 20 "Ensure that funding is not tied to high case 21 numbers." Could you explain what that means 22 and why that's important? MS. MACKLER: Yes. Oftentimes funding 23 24 comes with high case numbers, which means

1 that the cost per case is lower. Which means 2 that the organizations aren't able to cover, 3 for example, a more complicated case because 4 they're only going to get paid \$750 versus --5 SENATOR RIVERA: I'm sorry to interrupt, just because the time is short. 6 7 So you're saying that the way that the 8 current funding is structured, it is allocated based on the amount of cases that a 9 10 particular attorney has? 11 THE SPEAKER: When you require 12 attorneys to take on a high number of cases 13 in order to meet those funding numbers, 14 they're going to take the easier, simpler 15 cases to meet their numbers. If you lower 16 the numbers, they're more likely to be 17 flexible in how they're able to take in that work, and they'll actually end up helping a 18 19 greater number of people. 20 SENATOR RIVERA: So just to be clear, 21 the current funding that you've received from 22 years past does have this requirement? MS. MACKLER: The reason I'm having 23

24 difficulty answering that question is that

1 actually the Governor's office and ONA has 2 reconfigured how they want to spend that 3 money, and the RFAs for last year's 4 \$10 million just went out in December. So 5 it's a little hard for me to --6 SENATOR RIVERA: And that's requests 7 for --8 MS. MACKLER: Requests for applications, I'm sorry. So it's a little 9 10 hard for me to say how that's actually going 11 to play out in the field now, because it's 12 all new. But in the past that has been our 13 experience, yes. 14 SENATOR RIVERA: So in years past 15 there has been -- it has been attached to 16 that. 17 MS. MACKLER: Yes. 18 SENATOR RIVERA: And you're saying 19 that that's a problem because the more 20 complex cases take obviously more time, and 21 if the money that you are getting as either 22 an individual or an entity is going to depend on the amount of cases that you get as 23 24 opposed to just the work that you do -- but

individual cases, then you're just going pick
 the easier ones - MS. MACKLER: Right. Yes. In other

words, you want to give lawyers the most
flexibility possible, because they're going
to try to serve the most number of people
possible instead of having them pick based on
their funding deliverables.

9 SENATOR RIVERA: Gotcha. Thank you so10 much.

11CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.12Thank you so much for being here and for the13work you do.14CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.

15 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Next, Melissa16 Sklarz, SAGE.

17MS. SKLARZ: You are a patient bunch18of people.19(Laughter.)

20 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: You should have
21 been here last night. It was a 10.5-hour
22 hearing.
23 MS. SKLARZ: So I heard. I panicked a
24 little.

1	(Laughter.)
2	MS. SKLARZ: My name is Melissa
3	Sklarz. I'm the SAGE senior government
4	relations strategist. So thank you, mem

strategist. So thank you, members 4 5 of the joint committee, for holding this and allowing me to speak on behalf of SAGE. 6

7 So SAGE is the country's first and largest organization dedicated to improving 8 the lives of LGBT elders. Right now our 9 10 organization is 40 years old. We started with one place; we've now branched out across 11 12 the country.

According to LiveOn New York, by 2030, 13 14 20 percent of New Yorkers will be over the 15 age of 60. I don't think that will surprise 16 anyone. LGBT elders are a significant part 17 of a rapidly growing older population. Because of their gay background, though, 18 19 they're disconnected from services, sometimes 20 invisible, struggling to connect with family. 21 And so as a result, they have thin support 22 networks and they rely more heavily on community service providers. For many LGBT 23 24 elders, SAGE is their safety net.

1 So very briefly I just want to discuss 2 two programs. One is obvious: Housing. Housing, as one ages, goes from difficult to 3 4 impossible. As a senior, it's more 5 difficult. As an LGBT senior, it gets very, very difficult. 6 7 And so I can tell you today that SAGE is creating housing for LGBT seniors in 8 New York. Our first and larger place will be 9 10 the Ingersoll Senior Residences, 145 units. 11 That will be in Senator Montgomery's 12 neighborhood in Brooklyn. And second we have Crotona Senior Residences in Senator Rivera's 13 14 district. That will be a smaller unit. 15 They're not LGBT, they're LGBT-welcoming. LGBT-only would be illegal, and we wouldn't 16 17 want to do that. So these will be 18 LGBT-welcoming. 19 Why I'm here today is that SAGE is 20 seeking \$400,000 in funding from the New York 21 State Legislature to provide care management 22 and support services to the formerly homeless and low-income building residents and elders 23 24 in the surrounding communities. We're not

building these buildings, we're going to be providing services. Each of these facilities will have state-of-the-art care centers. And we've had great success in reaching out and creating programs. And if I wasn't so busy coming here and talking to you, I would go to their class and learn Spanish.

The other program that I want to speak 8 9 to you about is our veterans program. The 10 testimony has a lot of data and information. 11 New York is home to many, many veterans. 12 New York State and New York City are among 13 the highest concentration of gay and lesbian 14 vets. Seventy-six percent of the vets live 15 upstate, 56 percent are over the age of 50, 16 and one-third identify as transgender.

17 When you take a look at the way the 18 government's been treating its veterans, its 19 LGBT veterans and now, of course, its trans 20 veterans, the work that we're doing with our 21 new SAGEVets Program is even more important. 22 Vets have faced a history of discrimination that continues to today. We've added some 23 24 data for you to review late at night after

1 these hearings are done.

2	We have been offering much-needed
3	support for our LGBT older vets when they
4	have nowhere else to turn. What we are
5	asking today is that the Legislature continue
6	to provide \$100,000 in support for this
7	important statewide program that connects
8	LGBT veterans with the services that they
9	need.
10	We're looking at support with
11	benefits, for advocacy, legal counsel and
12	case management. We've been providing that.
13	The Legislature has been gracious and
14	generous in their support of this program,
15	and we continue that hope.
16	That's the end of my testimony.
17	Although this is my third day at SAGE, I will
18	be happy to answer any of your questions.
19	(Laughter.)
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Well, it's hard
21	to believe, because you were quite expressive
22	and conveyed the issues and concerns facing
23	the community.
24	Does anybody have any questions? No?

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So many of us
2	have known you much longer than three days,
3	so welcome to your new position. And thank
4	you for testifying today.
5	MS. SKLARZ: And informally I want to
6	thank you all for your vote on GENDA.
7	Seventeen years. Well worth the wait. Thank
8	you all for that work.
9	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
11	So our no pressure, Mr. Hathaway.
12	But our final speaker for today is Timothy
13	Hathaway, executive director, Prevent Child
14	Abuse New York.
15	MR. HATHAWAY: Thank you so much for
16	the opportunity to testify before this group.
17	And thank you for staying. I know it's been
18	a long day, although I do think this is a
19	record in briefness. Most of the time I'm
20	speaking at 7 o'clock.
21	So you have my testimony before you.
22	I'm not going to read that. But a couple of
23	things. Prevent Child Abuse New York is a
24	statewide organization really focused on

training and technical assistance around
 community-based prevention efforts as well as
 policy and advocacy work.

4 Over the last year, we've trained over
5 4,000 individuals who in turn have gone back
6 to their communities and trained tens of
7 thousands of individuals, including parents,
8 law enforcement officers, teachers, childcare
9 workers, faith-based providers.

10 One of the things that's really 11 important to us -- and batting cleanup right 12 now, I have the ability to talk about this whole web of prevention services that are 13 14 really represented. So just -- I would like 15 to acknowledge the work that you folks are 16 doing to support that web, whether it's 17 childcare services, whether it's things like kinship navigator, parent education systems 18 19 represented through family resource centers 20 and the Children's Trust Fund -- we're doing 21 some work around that; hopefully you'll hear 22 a bill about that later in the session. The child advocacy centers are an enormous 23 24 partner in prevention work that happens

across the state. Domestic violence centers,
 the Network for Youth Success, Boys & Girls
 Clubs. All of these organizations partner
 with us to get this prevention work done so
 we have less need for child welfare services
 in the state.

7 Three budget asks that we're providing you with today. The first is around home 8 visiting. Early children home visiting 9 10 works. We know that the research on this 11 program says that we're reducing child 12 maltreatment by about 50 percent. So we want to increase the level of funding that is 13 14 there. The Governor did provide for a 15 \$3 million cost of living for Healthy 16 Families New York programs, and that is 17 fantastic. Those programs have gone for years and years without a cost of living. So 18 19 that certainly is helpful. 20 There is continued need -- and so 21 you'll see in that ask -- for Parents as

22 Teachers, \$3 million; Parent-Child Home

23 Program, \$2 million; Healthy Families

24 New York, 2.5; and Nurse-Family Partnership,

1 \$6 million.

2	What that gets us is a much more
3	comprehensive system across the state. Right
4	now we have home visiting services, but
5	they're spotty and they need to be more
6	available, more accessible in all areas of
7	the state for families that need those
8	services.
9	Also we're supporting the 1,000 Days
10	initiative around home visiting pilots, as
11	well as the Home Visiting Coordinating
12	Initiative, which was supported last year and
13	continues to help these programs coordinate
14	more effectively with local partners, state
15	agencies, federal agencies.
16	Relative to childcare services, this
17	is the big opportunity, right? If the state
18	invests in childcare subsidies, there's the
19	opportunity to lift families out of poverty.
20	That is that simple. So if we're interested
21	in economic development, if we're interested
22	in reducing that number of kids in poverty,
23	childcare subsidies gets us there very
24	quickly. Thank you, Assemblywoman Jaffee,

1 for your work on that.

2	Finally, around the issue of housing,
3	the Home Stability Support work that's being
4	done by Member Hevesi, \$40 million for each
5	of the next five years, \$200 million to
6	bridge current shelter work and ensure that
7	families get adequate coverage there.
8	And then finally, I just want to say
9	that Prevent Child Abuse New York also
10	supports the Winning Beginnings New York
11	agenda.
12	Thank you very much. Questions?
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: No, no
14	questions. Not no to what you said.
15	(Laughter.)
16	MR. HATHAWAY: No, I got it. I was
17	following you, yes.
18	(Laughter; overtalk.)
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We have no
20	questions, but we do appreciate the work and
21	the issues that you raised. And I know that
22	as we go on to debate the put together
23	negotiations on the budget, some of your
24	information will help guide us.

1	So this concludes the Human Services
2	budget hearing. On Monday we will be
3	reconvening the joint budget hearings for the
4	Higher Education budget hearing, starting at
5	11 a.m. Thank you all for your
6	participation.
7	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
8	(Whereupon, the budget hearing concluded
9	at 4:49 p.m.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	