1 BEFORE THE NEW YORK STATE SENATE FINANCE AND ASSEMBLY WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEES 2 _____ 3 JOINT LEGISLATIVE HEARING 4 In the Matter of the 2019-2020 EXECUTIVE BUDGET ON 5 HIGHER EDUCATION 6 _____ 7 Hearing Room B Legislative Office Building 8 Albany, New York 9 January 28, 2019 11:03 a.m. 10 11 PRESIDING: 12 Senator Liz Krueger Chair, Senate Finance Committee 13 Assemblywoman Helene Weinstein 14 Chair, Assembly Ways & Means Committee 15 PRESENT: 16 Senator James L. Seward Senate Finance Committee (RM) 17 Assemblyman William Barclay 18 Assembly Ways & Means Committee (RM) 19 Assemblywoman Deborah J. Glick Chair, Assembly Higher Education Committee 20 Senator Toby Stavisky 21 Chair, Senate Higher Education Committee 22 Senator John C. Liu 23 Assemblywoman Barbara S. Lifton 24 Assemblywoman Karen McMahon

1	2019-2020 Higher Ed	Executive Budget
2		ucation
3	PRESENT:	(Continued)
4	1	Assemblywoman Jo Anne Simon
5		Senator Kenneth P. LaValle
6	1	Assemblywoman Patricia Fahy
7	2	Assemblywoman Rebecca A. Seawright
8		Senator Robert E. Antonacci
9		Assemblyman Al Stirpe
10	-	Assemblywoman Alicia Hyndman
11		Senator Rachel May
12		Assemblyman Harvey Epstein
13	-	Assemblyman Doug Smith
14		Senator Andrew Gounardes
15		Assemblyman John Salka
16		Assemblyman David I. Weprin
17		Senator James Gaughran
18		Assemblywoman Rodneyse Bichotte
19		Assemblywoman Marianne Buttenschon
20		Senator Gustavo Rivera
21		Assemblyman Jake Ashby
22		Assemblywoman Monica P. Wallace
23		Senator Julia Salazar
24	1	Assemblywoman Judy Griffin

1 PRESENT: (Continued) 2 Assemblyman Victor M. Pichardo 3 Senator Robert Jackson 4 5 LIST OF SPEAKERS 6 STATEMENT QUESTIONS 7 Kristina M. Johnson 8 Chancellor 18 8 Vita C. Rabinowitz 9 Interim Chancellor City University of New York 134 143 10 MaryEllen Elia 11 Commissioner NYS Education Department 222 230 12 Dr. Guillermo Linares 13 Acting President Elsa Magee 14 Executive Vice President NYS Higher Education 272 277 15 Services Corporation 16 Carolyn Fast Special Counsel 17 NYS Office of the Attorney General 323 329 18 Andrew Pallotta 19 President NYSUT 20 -and-Frederick Kowal 21 President United University Professions 22 -and-Barbara Bowen 23 President PSC/CUNY 356 370 24

1 2019-2020 Executive Budget Higher Education 2 1-28-19 3 LIST OF SPEAKERS, Cont. 4 STATEMENT QUESTIONS 5 Jana Bergere Intern 6 Blair Horner Executive Director 7 NYPIRG 386 390 8 Greshawna Clement Vice Chair, International 9 Student Affairs CUNY University Student Senate 392 398 10 Alex George 11 Chief of Staff SUNY Student Assembly 12 -and-Langie Cadesca 13 President University at Albany 14 Student Association 399 405 15 Mary Beth Labate President 16 Commission on Independent Colleges and Universities 407 413 17 (CICU) 18 Donna Stelling-Gurnett President 19 Association of Proprietary Colleges (APC) 20 -and-Christopher Barto 21 VP, Government Relations LIM College 427 433 22 Ramond Curtis 23 State Policy Manager Veterans Education Success 443 449 24

1	Higher Education		
2	1-28-19		
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS,	Cont.	
4		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
5	Johnson M. Tyler Senior Staff Attorney		
6	Legal Services NYC	453	459
7	Pamela Lanich Attorney		
8	Western New York Law Center	472	474
9	Joseph Berkman-Breen Legal Fellow, Special		
10	Litigation Unit NY Legal Assistance Group	480	
11	Michael Hatten		
12	Chairman and CEO New York Automotive and		
13	Diesel Institute The College of Transportation		
14	Technology	483	488
15	Terence M. Zaleski Executive Director		
16	Coalition of New York State Career Schools	497	502
17		101	502
18	Lisa Stifler Deputy Director of State Policy		
19	Center for Responsible Lending	507	513
20	-		
21	Yan Cao Fellow The Century Foundation	515	521
22	-		-
23	Jonathan Teyan Chief Operating Officer New York State Academic		
24	Dental Centers	525	529

1 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Good morning. 2 I'm Helene Weinstein, chair of the Assembly 3 Ways and Means Committee and cochair of today's hearing. 4 5 Today we begin the third in a series of hearings conducted by the joint fiscal 6 7 committees of the Legislature regarding the Governor's proposed budget for fiscal year 8 2019-2020. The hearings are conducted 9 10 pursuant to the New York State Constitution 11 and the Legislative Law, and today we will be 12 hearing testimony concerning the Governor's 13 proposal for higher education. 14 I'll introduce members of the 15 Assembly, and Senator Krueger, chair of 16 Senate Finance, will introduce members from the Senate. In addition, our ranking Ways 17 and Means member, Will Barclay, will 18 introduce members from his conference. 19 20 So I want to acknowledge our chair of 21 Higher Ed, Deborah Glick; Assemblywoman 22 Barbara Lifton; Assemblywoman Rebecca Seawright; and Assemblyman Harvey Epstein. 23 24 And Karen McMahon, also a new member of the

1 Higher Ed Committee.

2 Assemblyman Barclay. 3 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Thank you, Chairwoman. We're happy to have our ranker 4 5 on the Higher Ed Committee, Doug Smith. And 6 we also have John Salka down at that end. 7 Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senator 8 9 Krueger. 10 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 11 Good morning, everyone. I am happily 12 joined by the chair of the Higher Ed 13 Committee, Senator Toby Stavisky; a new 14 colleague here in the Senate, Senator John 15 Liu; and Senator Seward, the ranker on 16 Finance. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So before 17 18 introducing our first witness, I'd like to 19 remind all of the witnesses testifying today 20 to keep your statements within your allotted 21 time limit so that everyone can be afforded 22 the opportunity to speak. And the testimony, which has been 23 24 electronically submitted, will be made a part of the record of this hearing, so therefore
 there's not a need to read verbatim your
 testimony. A concise summary would help us
 have a more focused and productive session,
 with members questioning if need be.

And the witnesses are reminded -- and 6 7 members also -- the witnesses are reminded to 8 keep their testimony within the countdown time clocks. We have nice -- for people who 9 10 have been here before, we have a new system with a green light, a yellow light that goes 11 12 on when you have one minute, and we all know 13 what the red light means: Time to stop. And 14 members likewise will have a countdown clock.

15 So with that being said, our first 16 witness, Chancellor Kristina Johnson, of the State University of New York. Chancellor. 17 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Good 18 morning. My name is Kristina Johnson, and I 19 20 am the 13th chancellor of the State 21 University of New York. I'd like to thank 22 Chairpersons Krueger, Weinstein, Stavisky and 23 Glick, members of the Senate and Assembly, 24 and legislative staff for allowing me this

1 opportunity to share our perspective on 2 Governor Cuomo's proposed Executive Budget. I'd also like to acknowledge and thank 3 SUNY Chairman Carl McCall and our entire 4 5 Board of Trustees for their leadership and support. I'd also like to thank my executive 6 7 leadership team that is here that helped 8 prepare many of the remarks that I'm about to 9 make.

10 I know that you are aware that SUNY is 11 the largest comprehensive system of 12 postsecondary education in the nation, 13 comprised of 64 unique colleges and 14 university campuses, made up of community 15 colleges, four-year colleges, graduate and doctoral research centers, medical schools, 16 hospitals, a law school, and a national 17 18 laboratory.

SUNY serves 1.4 million students, in
credit-bearing courses, continuing education,
and community outreach programs. We stretch
from New York City and Long Island up to the
North Country, and from the Hudson Valley to
Buffalo and Jamestown.

1 However, SUNY is much more than these 2 facts would indicate. And in just one 3 example of what SUNY means to the State of New York, I'd like to draw your attention to 4 5 a recent piece of work that was done by the Rockefeller Institute of Government, or RIG, 6 7 on the economic impact of the State University of New York. The total economic 8 impact of our 64 campuses in their 9 10 communities to the state is \$28 billion. That's a return of \$8.17 for every dollar of 11 12 invested capital, or more than eight times 13 multiple on invested capital. 14 This is on top of the significant 15 contributions to research and discovery that 16 SUNY students and faculty are making, contributions that resulted in \$1.6 billion 17 18 in externally sponsored research activity in 19 the State of New York last year. 20 SUNY is looking forward to having a 21 further impact on the state and improving our 22 ability to serve the educational needs of New Yorkers, and we intend to thrive in the 23 24 online higher education market.

1 Through the support of Governor Cuomo 2 and the Legislature, New York has a 3 long-standing commitment to increasing access to high-quality public higher education for 4 5 our citizens. More than one-third of the state's college-educated workforce has a 6 7 degree from the state university, and 55 8 percent of resident undergraduate students 9 attending SUNY and CUNY attend tuition-free, 10 thanks to the Excelsior Scholarship program 11 and the Tuition Assistance Program and 12 institutionally provided scholarships. 13 Before I continue, I'd like to update 14 you on the initial data from the Excelsior 15 Scholarship Program and our Open Educational 16 Resources programs. Last year 16,678 SUNY students received Excelsior Scholarships. 17 18 The retention rates were 10.3 percent higher 19 for Excelsior Scholarship recipients versus

non-Excelsion scholarship recipients versus non-Excelsion students -- a positive outcome from the program, which is designed to help students attain a college degree on time and with the least amount of debt. Excelsion students are also 8.6 percent more likely to

1	complete their attempted credits than
2	non-Excelsior students across SUNY.
3	Another positive outcome which we
4	noted after the first semester of the program
5	is that freshmen taking 15 credits increased
6	by 11 percent.
7	While the Excelsior Scholarship
8	Program has made tuition-free a possibility
9	for more New Yorkers, New York State has also
10	continued its investment to mitigate other
11	costs of attaining a college education.
12	Since the fall of 2017, the state's
13	investment in Open Educational Resources has
14	lowered the cost of textbooks and other
15	academic materials for 155,000 students
16	across 59 campuses by \$16 million.
17	As in years past, the 2019-2020
18	Executive Budget includes proposals to
19	further educational access with the full
20	implementation of the Excelsior Scholarship
21	Program and the continuation of investment in
22	Open Educational Resources at both SUNY and
23	CUNY.
24	In addition, SUNY is very grateful for

1 the commitment of the Governor and the 2 Legislature to the DREAM Act. We are proud to be in the State of New York where 3 leadership is keeping the American dream 4 5 alive through passage of the Senator Jose Peralta DREAM Act, to ensure that all of our 6 7 students have access to an excellent and affordable college education regardless of 8 their citizen status. This legislation 9 10 expands access to tuition assistance like the 11 historic Excelsior Scholarship to thousands 12 of New Yorkers. 13 More specifically in the proposed 14 Executive Budget, we are extremely grateful 15 for the investments in opportunities provided 16 by the Governor. This includes the assistance for the continuation of the 17 2017-2018 maintenance of effort and 18 19 predictable tuition program, and full support for campus employee benefits at our 20 state-operated campuses. 21 We also appreciate the indication that 22 the state will significantly increase its 23 24 contribution to the local match needed to

1 secure the full Disproportionate Share 2 Hospital reimbursement from the federal 3 government for SUNY's teaching hospitals. 4 This support creates a solid foundation from 5 which SUNY can continue to grow and serve the State of New York. 6 7 I am pleased to have the opportunity to have a dialogue about areas of need to 8 continue in assisting SUNY in carrying out 9 10 its mission and its motto: To learn, to search, and to serve. 11 12 Let me start briefly with community colleges. The first area of focus is the 30 13 14 community colleges. These essential 15 institutions, which exist in 60 percent of 16 New York State counties, are the primary local source of educational opportunity and 17 18 workforce development. New York State 19 support is based on a per-full time 20 enrollment student formula, which has 21 increased \$725, or 34 percent, since funding 22 year 2012, helping SUNY manage its enrollment mix. We truly appreciate this much-needed 23 24 support.

1 Moving forward, given the changing 2 state demographics, the increasing cost of 3 operations and the hedge that the community colleges give the state against the cyclical 4 5 nature of the economy, SUNY seeks to work with you to preserve the stability of these 6 7 community colleges further by modernizing the state funding formula to a more predictable 8 base level. We are proposing for your 9 10 consideration a hybrid framework that would 11 set a floor for each campus, providing the 12 colleges a level of predictability when 13 planning for their future costs, and 14 flexibility to create new programs in 15 response to workforce needs. In addition, SUNY would like to call 16 attention to the increased costs of 17 18 enrollment and ask that this growth be 19 addressed with a modest increase to the 20 amount currently provided per student FTE. 21 SUNY's 30 community colleges will continue to 22 do their part to identify efficiencies in their operations, such as consortium 23 24 purchasing of services with state-operated

campuses and private higher education
 institutions.

3 Our hospitals. We're extremely appreciative that the Executive Budget 4 5 proposes an increase in the local match provided by the state for the DSH or 6 7 disproportionate share hospital payments for our hospitals. This is an excellent step 8 towards making sure that our three teaching 9 10 hospitals, who serve 1.3 million patients 11 annually, and continue to educate the next 12 generation of health, medical and biomedical 13 professionals, can meet the challenges of a 14 continually changing healthcare landscape.

15 In order to allow SUNY's hospitals to 16 invest in improved services and programs and 17 better quality of care, SUNY is asking the 18 state to continue to explore ways to provide 19 help to these vital institutions.

20 Procurement. We're asking that SUNY 21 have the flexibility to procure some of the 22 urgent power energy and hospital supplies 23 that sometimes require us to move rather 24 quickly. In a new procurement review, if it

1 is to be adopted, it would be imperative also 2 that the 30-day requirement for review 3 proposed in the Executive Budget be enforced. 4 Finally, our last two areas of focus 5 involve parts of the SUNY system that you and the state have already invested in, but with 6 7 limited changes could provide an even bigger return. The first is our capital. 8 9 State-operated campuses alone account 10 for 40 percent of state-owned building 11 assets, encompassing nearly 89 million gross 12 square feet of space. Of this, about 40 percent of our academic facilities are 13 14 greater than 50 years old. Many of these 15 buildings have not had a major renovation since its original construction. 16 We're very grateful that the Executive 17 18 Budget has \$550 million for critical 19 maintenance per year for five years, and we 20 ask that we have a dialogue to think about 21 ways that we can provide a little bit more 22 funding to help with the backlog as well as what new STEAM -- science, technology, 23 24 engineering, arts and math -- buildings would

1 afford.

2	Lastly, the Opportunity community
3	college and university-wide programs. I just
4	want to close by asking for help ensuring
5	that the state's recent years of investment
6	in specific programs, such as the Educational
7	Opportunity Program, the Educational
8	Opportunity Centers, and our Advanced
9	Technology Training and Information
10	Networking labs continue to be funded, along
11	with the \$600,000 for the Mental Health
12	Tele-Counseling network across our systems.
13	It's a privilege to come before you
14	today on behalf of the State University of
15	New York, and I look forward to working with
16	all of you during the upcoming legislative
17	session.
18	Thank you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
20	Good timing there.
21	Since we began, we've been joined by a
22	number of Assembly colleagues: Assemblywoman
23	Fahy, Assemblyman Weprin, Assemblywoman
24	Simon, Assemblywoman Bichotte, and

1 Assemblywoman Buttenschon.

2 So now I'd like to go to our chair of Higher Ed, Deborah Glick, for the first round 3 4 of questions. 5 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And we've also been joined by Senator Antonacci. 6 7 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Deborah. ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you. 8 Okay, we're on the air. 9 10 Thank you very much, Chancellor, for your testimony. I have several questions. 11 12 Every year when we have an increase in tuition, the cost of the credit that the 13 14 system is required to provide obviously goes 15 up. Where are we in the current TAP gap, and 16 how much does each tuition increase -- how much does that result in, in addition to your 17 18 TAP gap? SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Our current 19 20 average TAP gap, which is the difference 21 between what the Tuition Assistance Program 22 pays and the tuition we charge, is \$950. And across the system, that is a total of \$64 23 24 million.

1 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: You indicated 2 that the Excelsior Program provided better retention. This is in the first year or 3 first two years? Is it year over year that 4 it's --5 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Right. So 6 7 we define retention as the students who come in in the first year, how many come back for 8 the second year. We're now in the second 9 10 year, with the threshold being increased up to I believe \$115,000, and then next year it 11 12 will go to the full \$125,000. So when we talk about retention rates, 13 14 we say that Excelsior students with those 15 scholarships have a 10 percent higher 16 probability of returning than without. And interestingly enough, at the 17 18 community colleges it's 19 percent. 19 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: What is your 20 current percent of full-time faculty? 21 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: So across 22 the campus -- and I have the numbers here. It varies across the various sectors. But 23 24 our doctoral serving institutions where

1 students can get a Ph.D., all the way from 2 bachelor's to Ph.D., 67 percent are 3 full-time. At our comprehensives, which are mainly four-year plus master's, it's 4 5 50 percent. And then our community colleges, it's 29 percent. 6 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: What would it 7 cost to increase that by another 10 percent? 8 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: So we have 9 10 about 32,000 faculty. The mix of that is 11 about 15,000 or so in our state-operated, and 12 the same in our community colleges. Let me 13 do the math and get back to you on that. 14 But I do want to talk about two 15 programs that we're very excited about which 16 we think can help bridge the gap. So one of the things that -- and when I testified last 17 18 year that I noticed in my first few months as 19 chancellor, is that a number of our faculty 20 are nearing retirement age. And so what it 21 would be great to be able to do is to phase 22 in the new faculty -- while the existing 23 faculty know how to be a faculty member, the 24 giants in the field can be there to mentor

1 them. And at the same time what we notice is 2 that the diversity at our leadership and the 3 diversity in the demographics of our students 4 are very diverse, but our faculty not so 5 much.

So I would say that our students are 6 7 somewhere around 25 percent underrepresented 8 minorities, but our faculty on average -- and it's an average -- are less than 9 percent. 9 10 So that's why we're very excited about our 11 Prodigy Program, which is promoting 12 diversity, inclusion and excellence growth in 13 our faculty. And we intend to use some of 14 the funds that we're grateful to receive from 15 the Governor's budget to have a hiring 16 program where we can encourage all the campuses to hire underrepresented minority 17 18 faculty so that they can be on board and the 19 students in the classroom can see it so they 20 can be it.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: On the
hospitals, it seems as though over the years
we have eliminated the state support for our
SUNY hospitals. We have had to fight to add

1 back a smaller and smaller proportion of 2 support. And at this point it looks like 3 there's just some sort of use of DSH funds to make it seem like we're giving money to the 4 5 SUNY hospitals. What is the projected deficit for the 6 7 SUNY hospitals? SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: So this 8 9 year, similar to last year, there is no 10 direct tax support for our hospitals. We'd 11 welcome a conversation with you and the 12 Executive branch to think about ways that we 13 might be able to support the hospitals 14 directly in the most efficient manner. 15 And let me get back to you on the 16 individual hospitals on what the deficit would be, because it varies whether it's 17 18 Stony Brook or Upstate or Brooklyn Hospital. 19 But we will get back for a question --20 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Obviously these 21 hospitals are teaching hospitals, they 22 produce our medical professionals across the board. It seems that if we believe that we 23 24 are trying to not just preserve and protect

healthcare but actually, going into the
 future, create our medical professionals, it
 seems as though it would be reasonable in
 this day and age of more people should have
 access to healthcare, we need more healthcare
 professionals to actually provide that care.
 So please get back to me on that.

8 When it comes to medical schools 9 associated with them, are they broken out in 10 any fashion? And how much support do you get 11 for medical schools?

12 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: So I wanted to comment on that. So our medical schools, 13 they educate one out of three doctors that 14 15 actually practice in the State of New York, 16 one out of three nurses, and one out of four dentists. And there's a very integrated and 17 18 intimate relationship between the medical school and the hospitals that they serve 19 20 because they're not just for the medical 21 students but also the residents. So they 22 work really well together. I'd like to get back to you and just look at the health of 23 24 them.

Interestingly enough, over the weekend I was -- for reasons that, you know, really I guess aren't germane to these proceedings -but I was looking up who invented the MRI and who invented the pacemaker. And it turns out they were Downstate faculty and upstate, the University of Buffalo.

8 So we have a very proud tradition in 9 innovative medical instruments that have 10 saved millions of lives. And so I'm very 11 passionate about continuing that for the 12 citizens of the State of New York.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: You talked 13 briefly about capital. I would like to 14 15 understand -- obviously, there's been a commitment of some dollars for critical 16 maintenance. But the need for actual 17 18 renovation or new buildings when the 19 buildings have hit their life span, I would 20 like to understand what the total amount is 21 based on the university systems, the 22 comprehensives and community colleges. And if somebody could get back to me with the 23 24 details on those, we would very much like to have that as we go into our discussions about what we are going to be facing over time. When we are so proud of rebuilding bridges and subways and airports, it would be nice to know how much we should in fact be dedicating to preserving our infrastructure for higher education.

And at some point -- I don't know if 8 9 we have time today at this moment, but I need 10 to understand a little bit better how you 11 envision community college funding changing 12 with this hybrid plan. And it would be 13 helpful to understand -- it seems like there 14 would be a floor for each campus. What 15 that's based on, whether it's historic data 16 of enrollment or what, and how much -- what the interplay between base aid is and what is 17 the funding formula you envision going 18 19 forward.

20 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Absolutely. 21 That would be great if we can have a 22 conversation -- fuller. We'll put together 23 the framework which we have and talk about 24 the need for it.

1 And I mentioned in my testimony a word 2 that I think would bear further conversation, 3 which is the enrollment mix at our community 4 colleges. Because a little more than a half 5 of our community college students are 6 full-time. A little less than a half are 7 part-time.

We also, though, provide a tremendous 8 amount of other sort of continuing education 9 10 services which do not receive any state funding. And so it's really -- it also said 11 12 something about the community colleges being 13 a hedge against the cyclical nature of the 14 economy. This is a natural hedge for the 15 state. So really understanding how that 16 plays into this hybrid framework would take a lot of time to lay out, and I'd be happy to 17 further that conversation after the 18 19 testimony. 20 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you. 21 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. Senate? 22 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 23 24 Senator Toby Stavisky, chair of Higher Ed.

SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you,
 Chancellor.

3 A number of questions since --Assemblywoman Glick covered the Excelsior 4 5 Scholarship, but following up on her question concerning the medical centers, the three 6 7 medical centers. Have you requested funding for those centers from the -- have you 8 contacted the Governor's office concerning 9 10 aid for the three academic medical centers? SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: What we 11 12 proposed is to have the debt on our capital 13 improvements on our hospitals paid for, kind 14 of similar to the other infrastructure that we have in SUNY. And that would be about 15 39.9 million a year. 16 SENATOR STAVISKY: The reason I ask 17 18 that question is that I believe last year the 19 state subsidy was eliminated. And we're 20 talking -- I'm talking about the restoration. 21 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Yup, that's

22 correct.

23 SENATOR STAVISKY: Okay. Over the24 years I've been very concerned with the rate

1 of remediation that's necessary for both SUNY 2 and CUNY. And will you tell us the number of 3 students in the SUNY system who are receiving remediation, the percentage of students and 4 the cost? 5 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: So similar 6 7 to CUNY's excellent ASAP program, SUNY has a -- or CUNY's ASAP program. If I said SUNY --8 SENATOR STAVISKY: I'd love to see it 9 10 as a SUNY program too. SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: 11 We have 12 what's called SUNY Achieves, and it's a 13 dual-course requisite model where students 14 come in and instead of going into a 15 remediation course, they actually go into the 16 actual gateway course they need for 17 graduation. At the same time, they receive 18 additional instruction that helps them 19 graduate in actually two times -- the 20 students graduate in half the time, going 21 through this what we call a requisite model. 22 So we started this with funding from the Gates Foundation, the Lumina Foundation, 23 24 and the Carnegie Foundation in 2016. And

1 over the last few years we've started it out 2 at one campus, then went to 10 campuses, and 3 now we're piloting it at I believe 22 4 campuses. 5 But I can get the exact numbers and the cost for you after this testimony. 6 7 SENATOR STAVISKY: What I'm asking for are the number of students who are enrolled, 8 the percentage of the entire student body, 9 10 and what it costs. SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Great, thank 11 12 you. I'll provide. SENATOR STAVISKY: Another area are 13 14 the changes coming from Washington in terms 15 of the Title IX requirements. Has that had 16 an impact in any respect? SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: So we have 17 18 spent quite a lot of time reviewing the 19 proposed changes and rulemaking, and we are 20 responding. A great concern really is 21 twofold. One is the --22 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Chancellor, can I just interrupt you for a moment? 23 24 If people could put their signs down.

1 Thank you. Thank you. Thank you,

2 Chancellor.

(Comments off the record.) 3 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: So the Title 4 5 IX, we're concerned about two things. One is the narrowing of the scope of the definition 6 7 of harassment, and the second is the opportunity for someone who is a victim to be 8 9 cross-examined by either the accuser or a 10 lawyer. In educational settings, usually the alleged victim has a choice to go internally 11 12 and have it handled within the school or to 13 be external and report to the police. 14 We think that the current changes 15 would actually discourage the reporting. So 16 we're very active and we will be responding

17 with our comments for the record. And happy18 to share those with you.

SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you. In the past there's been a question of the charge-back issue with SUNY. Has that been resolved, the county charge-back?

23 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: I think the24 county charge-back system is still the same

1 as it has been. And we do have a working 2 group that is examining ways that it could be modified. 3 4 SENATOR STAVISKY: In what way? SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: I don't have 5 any of the recommendations now, but I'll get 6 7 back to you on that. I think the working 8 group is still working. 9 SENATOR STAVISKY: Otherwise it would 10 be called the nonworking ... 11 I have thought in the past about -- as 12 a source of revenue, increasing the tuition 13 costs for out-of-state students. How do you 14 feel about that? 15 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: So right now 16 we have a dual cost, we have an in-state and an out-of-state charge. 17 18 One of the areas that we're looking at 19 to expand is in our online offerings, which 20 would of course serve out-of-state students 21 as well as international students as well as students within the State of New York. And 22 we think this is a pretty interesting way 23 24 that we can increase our impact as well as

1 create a financial viability going further. 2 So we will keep you informed on what 3 our findings are with regard to our push into broadening our online presence. 4 SENATOR STAVISKY: We have looked in 5 the past at exempting the border states, the 6 7 SUNY campuses that are very close to either other states or Canada, because they would 8 obviously be hurt. But have you -- so in 9 10 other words, you're looking at the online method where costs would be decreased rather 11 12 than increasing the -- because our tuition 13 for out-of-state students is relatively low 14 compared to other states. SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: That's 15 16 correct. Right. 17 SENATOR STAVISKY: That's why I ask 18 the question. 19 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: You bet. And I understand now. 20 21 We're looking at what is that pricing 22 model that gives a certain elasticity to the tuition on the border states. So we can get 23

24 back to you on those findings as well.

1	SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you.
2	There's a program called Open
3	Education Resources. Would you describe how
4	SUNY has utilized that program and the
5	results, the outcomes?
6	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Right. So
7	the Open Education Resources program allows
8	faculty members to develop the content for
9	their classes that are equivalent to, say,
10	textbooks but at much reduced cost.
11	So right now we've been able to
12	provide about \$16 million in savings over
13	textbooks using these Open Education
14	Resources, and we intend to continue to
15	expand in that particular area.
16	SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you. And one
17	other area we have discussed with your
18	predecessors in great detail over the years
19	has been the operation of the Research
20	Foundations. And in February Comptroller
21	DiNapoli issued an audit of the campus
22	foundations with a heavy focus on the
23	University of Buffalo and Stony Brook. And I
24	know you filed an attachment or an addendum

1

to that report outlining your objections.

2 But in the meantime, have you done any 3 audits in the -- I should explain that to the audience that it was in the area of lack of 4 5 contracts, lack of oversight, areas that SUNY does have certainly a concern. 6 7 So will you tell us what you've done to resolve or respond to the Comptroller? 8 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Absolutely. 9 10 So fortunately I have here today our chief financial officer, Eileen McLoughlin, who's 11 12 been leading the charge with regard to the 13 foundations. And if I might ask you to join 14 me at the table and make some comments. 15 SUNY CFO McLOUGHLIN: Thank you. So 16 yes, I'm Eileen McLoughlin. I'm the CFO of the SUNY system. 17 In spring of 2016, the Board of 18 Trustees adopted a new policy and new 19 20 quidelines for all of our foundations. And 21 we started this year and we're going to 22 proceed into '19 of doing a review, a desk audit of every single one of the foundations 23 24 to make sure that they adopted our policies.

1Those policies and guidelines did include2procurement guidelines as well. So we'll be3doing that over the next -- and be completed4with the exercise in June 2019.

5 SENATOR STAVISKY: In your response to 6 the Comptroller's audits you indicated that 7 you were having problems getting some of the 8 information together from the foundations. 9 Has that been resolved? 10 SUNY CFO McLOUGHLIN: I don't recall

10 SUNY CFO McLOUGHLIN: I don't recall 11 that exact statement, I'd have to look at 12 that. But we currently are able to collect 13 all financial data and policy data from the 14 foundations.

15 So that may have been -- when the 16 Comptroller had done that audit, that was over prior years, like 2015. So I think 17 since that time we have rectified that. 18 19 SENATOR STAVISKY: Because a good bit 20 of his report was taken up with your 21 responses. And -- I thank you very much, and 22 I'm seven seconds over. I'll give you my seven seconds. 23

24 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Everybody's

1	going to get very good grades today for
2	keeping to the time.
3	Assemblywoman McMahon.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN McMAHON: Thank you.
5	Chancellor, just to briefly return to
6	the question of medical school. I understand
7	that the University of Buffalo has proposed
8	measures in the Future of Medicine Act that
9	would allow the Jacobs School of Medicine to
10	fulfill the vision of excellence that was
11	originally driven in SUNY 2020 by the
12	Legislature and the Governor.
13	The Future of Medicine Act includes a
14	request for \$19.5 million for five years and
15	funding from New York State in educational,
16	clinical and research priorities at the
17	Jacobs School of Medicine. The funding will
18	keep the Jacobs School on a path toward being
19	an even larger regional presence that
20	attracts top talent in medicine in New York
21	State.
22	Does SUNY support those goals in the
23	budget request?

1 very much for the question.

23

24

2 I'm pausing only for -- what comes to 3 mind is that last year, April 13th, it was a Friday, I went into second-degree heart 4 5 block, and on Saturday I was in third-degree heart block. The reason I'm sitting here 6 7 today is because I was able to get an implantable pacemaker in time. That 8 implantable pacemaker was actually invented 9 10 by Wilson Greatbatch, who was a faculty member at UB from 1952 to 1956 and invented 11 12 the implantable pacemaker. 13 We also have a faculty member at Stony 14 Brook who has pioneered -- Kenneth Takeuchi 15 -- the portable lithium ion battery. So I 16 feel very grateful to be here because of the State University of New York. I say that 17 18 because I might not be unbiased in my 19 response, so I just wanted to put that on the 20 table. 21 You know, one of my priorities as 22 chancellor is to double the amount of research, entrepreneurship and innovation in

the State University of New York. And one

1 way we do that, if we look at the return from 2 the RIG study of \$8 for every dollar 3 invested, it turns out our medical campuses and centers actually put \$13 return for every 4 5 dollar invested. So I'm delighted to have an ongoing dialogue of how we can support these 6 7 very important institutions. But I wanted to 8 make sure you knew that I'm not biased in this answer. 9 10 ASSEMBLYWOMAN McMAHON: Thank you. 11 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate? 12 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. I just want to introduce additional Senators: 13 14 Senator Gounardes, Senator May, and Senator Antonacci. 15 16 And it's Senator Seward's turn up. 17 SENATOR SEWARD: Thank you, Madam 18 Chair. 19 First of all, Chancellor, I want to 20 say that as one who represents a number of 21 SUNY campuses both at the community college 22 level and other sectors, I have seen you out 23 there at our campuses, and we appreciate your 24 personal attention to each individual campus.

1 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Thank you. SENATOR SEWARD: I wanted to delve 2 into a bit further in terms of the collective 3 4 bargaining salary increases. 5 I was very pleased that the talks between the state and UUP did in fact result 6 7 in an agreement. But now comes the question of how we're going to cover those additional 8 9 expenses, which I understand are close to 10 \$386 million. And the Governor's budget does 11 not specifically include monies to cover 12 those salary increases. I know there's fringe benefit monies there. 13 14 Could you share with us how will those 15 increases be paid for? 16 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Thank you very much for your question. 17 So it is true that in the 2022-2023 18 19 budget the incremental increase will be \$386 20 million. And we are fortunate that the 21 benefits will continue to be paid for by the 22 state. Now, the Governor's budget does 23 24 contemplate -- and we're very grateful for

1 its support for the retroactive part of this, 2 because this negotiation started before I 3 became chancellor. But there is a -- it is retroactive. So a good portion of that will 4 5 be -- we will get help in the retroactive piece. 6 7 And we look forward to continuing to have a discussion on how we can put all the 8 pieces together to see how to afford that 9 10 increase that's going to happen in the next 11 few years. 12 SENATOR SEWARD: Would you envision as part of covering these additional salaries 13 14 that the individual campuses would receive 15 actual cuts in state support in order to 16 cover these salary increases? SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: So, you 17 18 know, it is a lot of money. I want to say, 19 though, that first of all it's well-deserved 20 on the part of our faculty, as we know so, 21 I'm thrilled about it. I'm thrilled about 22 the Governor's support. I think that we need to look at 23 24 multiple opportunities to afford this. And

1 so further having a discussion on how the 2 Legislature and the Governor can be helpful, 3 sure. I also think that we need to find ways to support our philanthropic enterprise. 4 5 This has been one way that colleges and universities throughout the U.S. have 6 7 responded to the challenge of financing higher education. 8

I think the other opportunity is to 9 10 further our online presence. Right now the State of New York is 11th in the country in 11 12 our online. And it's actually a little more 13 dire than that, because there's the top four 14 states, and then it drops and then you have 15 the next six. And then there's the State of 16 New York.

So that's a tremendous opportunity for us to move forward and to help with, again, these well-deserved raises. So it's a complement of opportunities. There's many levers that we need to pull, if you will, to move forward. And I look forward to having dialogue on how we can do that.

24 SENATOR SEWARD: You didn't -- I would

1 like to know specifically in terms of actual 2 having the individual campuses having to absorb this somehow. 3 4 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Oh, right. SENATOR SEWARD: Is this a last 5 resort, or is it off the table, or just where 6 7 our individual campuses stand? SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Sure. And I 8 appreciate the question. I'm sorry, I didn't 9 10 mean to not be responsive to your exact 11 question. 12 So many of the campuses have planned for this over time. I mean, we've had three 13 14 years of the negotiation, from what I 15 understand, and so many of our campuses have planned for it. Still, having said that, it 16 is going to be a challenge. And so we're 17 18 looking for ways that we can support those 19 particular campuses that are going to 20 experience more of a burden. 21 And that also has to do a bit with 22 enrollment demographics. And so, again, I come back to the online opportunity, because 23 24 we do have a tremendous opportunity to

1 increase our revenue and to have those 2 campuses participate even more than what 3 they're doing now. 4 I would say just one thing, and I know 5 that the clock is ticking, but it's not yet red. So let's say that most of our online is 6 7 used to enhance the flexibility of our students that are already on campus. We have 8 a very low what they call totally, 9 10 exclusively online student. So we have a 11 great room that we can increase there, and I 12 think we're working with all the campuses to 13 participate both in the online -- it's been a 14 great six, seven months we've been working 15 together, and also in philanthropy. 16 SENATOR SEWARD: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: We've been joined 17 18 by Senator Jim Gaughran, Senator Julia 19 Salazar, and Senator Ken LaValle. 20 Assembly. 21 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So we go to 22 Assemblyman Smith. 23 ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: Thank you. 24 And thank you, Chancellor, for coming

1 here to address us today.

2	My first question, under the final
3	phase of the Excelsior Scholarship, the
4	Executive Budget appropriation has only grown
5	\$100,000, despite the fact that the annual
6	income for eligibility has gone up \$15,000.
7	I think this might speak to the fact that 70
8	percent of the students who applied for the
9	scholarship were denied.
10	So my question is, why has the
11	Excelsior Scholarship to date really failed,
12	and what is SUNY doing to really increase
13	that so that students can take advantage of
14	the important program?
15	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Well, thank
16	you very much for that question.
17	You know, in my mind and I think I
18	even said this last year, and I still believe
19	it the Excelsior is one tool in our
20	toolbox. So we've got the Tuition Assistance
21	Program, we have Excelsior. And I wouldn't
22	say that it's failed, I would say it's
23	succeeded. And it's succeeded in several
24	tangible ways. It's still early days; we

1 have one year of data. So we need to be 2 looking at trends over time. 3 But when you think that -- for example, in the community colleges, 4 5 19 percent -- students with Excelsior, 19 percent higher that they will come back, 6 7 that leads to a higher graduation rate eventually. The fact that overall, 8 10 percent higher students with Excelsior 9 10 Scholarships are retained within the system. That's a double digit in one year. I think 11 12 that's a phenomenal success. 13 So, you know, we are going to continue 14 to work together. I would expect to see the

15 numbers even increase as our threshold 16 increases. And this has always been 17 maintained -- at least from my understanding, 18 the focus of the Excelsior program was always 19 to help the middle class graduate on time and 20 with less debt. And I think we're seeing the 21 inkling that that's working, so.

ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: Okay, thank you.
Now, last year SUNY raised tuition
\$200 for the second straight year, and now

1 we're entering the third year of a four-year 2 extension, authorizing SUNY to increase 3 tuition up to \$200 annually. What are the factors that are driving up the costs of 4 5 higher education, and what measures are we taking to actually curb the increases so that 6 7 families and students can afford to attend? SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Well, it's a 8 great question. And it's a complicated 9 10 answer, which I'd love to have more time and 11 we'll get together and go through it. 12 I think there are a few things that happen. First of all, technology has been 13 accelerating. So when you think of -- at 14 15 least when I was in college, it was before 16 the invention of the personal computer. When you think about our buildings 17 that were designed, that half of them are 18 older than 50 years -- so they were actually 19 20 designed and built before the invention of 21 the computer, which means they really didn't take into account that everybody would have 22

24 whether it's a laptop or an iPhone or a

23

two or three computers in their office,

desktop. That puts a demand on the heating
 and cooling, it puts a demand on the whole
 infrastructure.

The fact that Moore's Law -- I mean, 4 5 this to me blows my mind. But when I first 6 started designing integrated circuits, the 7 line width was 5 microns. So, you know, that's about 1/10th or 1/12th the size of a 8 human hair. Today, it's 5 nanometers. 9 That's a thousand-times decrease. That means 10 that the facilities and the clean rooms and 11 12 the capability of building those circuits is 13 just so much more expensive. And that's just on the technical side. 14

15 When you think about the digital 16 humanities and the tools that the arts and the humanities have used -- the fact that our 17 18 libraries don't have books in them anymore. You know, they're now learning and 19 20 collaborative spaces with computers and 21 access to the internet, which is something, 22 you know, if you would have told me when I 23 was starting there would be this thing where 24 I would willingly put all my information

online, you know, I would have -- so I think
 that it's a complicated answer.

3 I think the -- and I think that when you look at the jobs over the last six to 4 5 eight years, that of 11.6 million jobs created, 11.5 required some kind of higher 6 7 education. So it now becomes an essential that all of our citizens have access to 8 high-quality and broad access to higher 9 10 education. So I think that, you know, there's a number of different reasons. 11

12 ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: Okay. And my last 13 question, because we are running low on time, 14 and we spoke about it very briefly privately, 15 mental health. What is SUNY doing to 16 increase the mental health services? As you know, we're in a very troubling time in the 17 18 country. We have a mental health crisis. K 19 through 12 has expressed they want to spend 20 more money that they would be given on mental health services. 21

22 What can we do, what are we doing to 23 make sure students that need that can access 24 that?

1 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Right. So 2 we started telecounseling, so that at 10 of 3 our campuses, if you want access 24/7 to 4 mental health counseling, you can access it. 5 We have asked for \$600,000 in the budget to 6 expand that to more campuses. 7 This is a crisis, and we believe strongly that we need to give our students 8 9 the best chance to learn and that means that 10 they need to be safe and they need to be 11 supported. 12 ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: Thank you. 13 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Thank you. 14 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 15 We've been joined by Assemblywoman 16 Monica Wallace. 17 And now to the Senate. 18 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. Our 19 next questioner is Senator Bob Antonacci --20 oh, wait, I'm sorry. Senator John Liu. 21 Excuse me. I'm sorry, John. 22 SENATOR LIU: Thank you, Madam Chair. 23 And thank you for joining us, 24 Chancellor Johnson.

1	Just to start with, I'm proud to be a
2	SUNY graduate myself, SUNY Binghamton, Class
3	of (coughing) '08.
4	(Laughter.)
5	SENATOR LIU: And it was a great,
6	great experience. And I want to thank you
7	and the rest of the SUNY team for your
8	continuing work.
9	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Did you say 1808?
10	What did you just say?
11	(Laughter.)
12	SENATOR LIU: I not that long ago.
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I'm sorry, that
14	was inappropriate, excuse me.
15	SENATOR LIU: All right, 1988, Class
16	of '88, SUNY Binghamton, when the tuition was
17	\$675 a semester.
18	My first question hopefully it's a
19	quick answer is I know you got a question
20	about the TAP gap. How much are we talking
21	about if we would increase the maximum TAP
22	award to the SUNY tuition? I'll ask this of
23	CUNY also.
24	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Sure.

1 Sixty-four million dollars.

2	SENATOR LIU: And how much of that
3	would be to students who go to SUNY versus
4	the non-SUNY and non-CUNY schools?
5	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: I think
6	that's just for SUNY students, \$64 million.
7	SENATOR LIU: Oh, really?
8	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Yeah, the
9	average TAP gap is \$950, between what the TAP
10	pays and what the tuition is.
11	SENATOR LIU: But is there a way to
12	increase the maximum TAP award just for SUNY
13	and CUNY students, or we'd have to increase
14	it for students who attend private colleges
15	also?
16	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: I was going
17	to say I think anything's possible.
18	SENATOR LIU: But it would just be
19	more than \$64 million.
20	Okay, my other quick question is I
21	know you also had a question about the
22	adjunct faculty. And my understanding is
23	that that percentage at SUNY has been
24	increasing over the years.

1 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: I can get 2 you the numbers across the sector, and it 3 does vary based on whether it's doctoral and, you know, the state-operateds versus 4 5 community colleges. I would say that the part-time faculty 6 7 have been increasing at community colleges, and the full-time faculty have been slightly, 8 9 slightly increased in the state-operated. We 10 do have the exact data for you. And it makes sense because the 11 12 students, if we look at trends even just -- I think -- well, I have the data here, I don't 13 14 have to think. Since last year, our 15 enrollment at the state-operated campuses is 16 up almost a percent, but at the community colleges it's down 4.65 percent. And so to 17 18 try and manage that enrollment, since 19 community colleges are on a full-time-FTE 20 basis being paid, they're hiring more 21 adjuncts so that we can scale up and scale 22 down. The problem with that is that you 23 24 don't always then have the kind of

1 flexibility to design the new programs that 2 are going to meet the workforce needs in the 3 community. 4 SENATOR LIU: Is there a threshold 5 beyond which we start getting into 6 accreditation issues in terms of --7 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: That is taken into account in accreditation. 8 9 SENATOR LIU: Okay. Do you think it's 10 having an impact on our SUNY schools? 11 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Well, so far 12 we're fully accredited and we've passed --13 even recently at our community colleges that 14 have undergone accreditation, they have 15 passed. But I think it is, you know, a 16 consideration for sure. SENATOR LIU: And is there any kind of 17 18 comparison to other public universities? SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: We do do 19 20 benchmarking, and I can provide that 21 information. 22 SENATOR LIU: Are we --SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: So it 23 24 impacts certainly accreditation, it impacts

1	rankings, it impacts the ability for us to
2	provide that excellent educational experience
3	for our students. So you're exactly hitting
4	on the right topic.
5	SENATOR LIU: Okay. And I guess my
6	last question and I'd like to explore that
7	
8	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Sure. We'll
9	get you the data.
10	SENATOR LIU: Thank you. You did
11	briefly talk about the amount of student debt
12	out there and we're addressing it in New York
13	through these various programs, including
14	Excelsior.
15	What about students who just don't get
15 16	What about students who just don't get any aid at all? They are coming out with
-	
16	any aid at all? They are coming out with
16 17	any aid at all? They are coming out with very high even students who attend SUNY,
16 17 18	any aid at all? They are coming out with very high even students who attend SUNY, they're coming out with tens of thousands of
16 17 18 19	any aid at all? They are coming out with very high even students who attend SUNY, they're coming out with tens of thousands of dollars of student loan debt. Is there
16 17 18 19 20	any aid at all? They are coming out with very high even students who attend SUNY, they're coming out with tens of thousands of dollars of student loan debt. Is there anything that SUNY or your office is doing to
16 17 18 19 20 21	any aid at all? They are coming out with very high even students who attend SUNY, they're coming out with tens of thousands of dollars of student loan debt. Is there anything that SUNY or your office is doing to look at the problem and additional ways we

1 again, levers that we can work with. One is 2 that we're really pushing internships and 3 workforce development so that students can be, if they so choose -- and I think it's 4 5 always a good idea -- to work in a company while they're going to school, or maybe as an 6 7 internship. We also look at workforce training. Again, that helps the companies 8 defray the cost of going to school. 9

10Just by the numbers, the average debt11a SUNY student graduates with is about --12between \$23,000 and \$25,000. Fifty-five13percent of all SUNY and CUNY students do14attend higher ed tuition-free. The national15average has grown to \$30,000, so we are below16the national average.

17 But if we want to continue to make 18 this affordable, I think looking at things like more scholarship funding, opportunities 19 20 for internships, workforce development, 21 summer jobs -- those are all the things that 22 we need to push. 23 SENATOR LIU: Thank you, Chancellor. 24 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Thank you.

1 SENATOR LIU: Thank you, Madam Chair. 2 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 3 Assemblywoman Lifton. ASSEMBLYWOMAN LIFTON: Good morning, 4 Chancellor. 5 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Good 6 7 morning. ASSEMBLYWOMAN LIFTON: I'm the last 8 person that will be able to say good morning. 9 10 Welcome. I know you have a strong 11 interest in climate change -- we spoke about 12 it last year at the hearing -- as I do, as many legislators do. We're getting ever-more 13 14 dire warnings, of course, from the IPCC, the 15 International Panel on Climate Change. 16 If I'm not mistaken, you were Undersecretary of Energy in the Obama 17 administration --18 19 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: That's 20 correct. 21 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LIFTON: -- the 22 number-two person in our U.S. government on energy. And I assume you've been to a few of 23 24 the climate accord meetings -- Paris,

1 perhaps, other places.

2 I was surprised, I was looking at the 3 proposal that's been made by the Governor to create the advisory council for the Green New 4 5 Deal, and I'm very pleased to see the agency heads listed there, but I didn't see your 6 7 name as someone who would participate on that advisory council to help New York State make 8 recommendations on a climate action plan and 9 10 how we're going to move more quickly on that 11 matter. 12 I hate to be making more work for you, 13 or suggesting you ought to pick up more work, but would you want to be part of that? I 14 15 would think you might --SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: It would be 16 a pleasure to serve. It would be a pleasure 17 18 to serve. 19 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LIFTON: It seems like 20 it would be great to have your expertise on 21 that panel. So maybe you'll ask the Governor to put you on there. I'll also ask the 22 Governor, maybe others will, see if we can 23 24 get you on that panel. I think it would be

1 great to bring your expertise to that table. 2 Especially also, as you noted, and as 3 you've noted before, that 40 percent of all the state buildings are SUNY buildings. And 4 5 we know that there are tremendous opportunities in the building infrastructure 6 7 to bring down greenhouse gases. SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: In fact --8 and I know you know this, but we were so 9 10 pleased with the critical maintenance over 11 five years -- you know, the 550 a year for 12 five years -- so we can really plan on doing the retrofit. Because the most 13 14 cost-efficient time to do those energy 15 efficiency upgrades is when you're doing the 16 critical maintenance. So this really allows us to plan. I can't tell you how excited we 17 18 are about this. 19 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LIFTON: That's great. 20 Can you tell me just a little more 21 about that planning? The state is still -- I 22 don't think we have really -- we have goals, but we don't necessarily have a firm plan. 23 24 As I said, this advisory council is supposed

1 to give us a plan -- or give us

2 recommendations for a plan, as I understand 3 it.

4 Does SUNY itself have a plan where 5 they're rolling out these projects using the 6 critical maintenance funding? And are you 7 sort of measuring your progress? And can you 8 update me on that and what that means for 9 SUNY exactly?

10 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Oh, 11 absolutely. So as you know or may know --12 I'm sure you know -- that New York has been a 13 leader in the mitigation of climate change 14 through the adoption of green technologies. 15 And we have some pretty aggressive goals, I 16 think we might have the most aggressive goals of any state in the union. So I'm really 17 18 excited to be part of the team and part of the state with those kind of goals. 19

20 So one thing is, you know, 100 percent 21 renewal energy by 2040. We -- we're 22 planning, through our large-scale renewable 23 energy purchasing, to try and get there as 24 quickly as possible. And I say as quickly as

1 possible because sure, I'd like it to be 2 yesterday, but we also are very committed to 3 following the procurement and making sure that we procure properly. And so we did a 4 5 request for information and then we did an RFP to select a consultant that would guide 6 7 the appropriate and most efficient power purchase agreements through a consortia of 8 about -- I think it's 22 or 26 campuses, 16 9 10 of which were SUNY and some are private 11 campuses as well. 12 So we think that using SUNY's buying 13 power to buy clean power is a winning

14 strategy. So that's one thing that we're 15 doing, and our goal is to procure 100 percent 16 of our electricity from renewable sources, 17 including hydropower and zero-carbon sources 18 as well.

Eminently doable. And I think that, you know, what's interesting is if you look at the load of New York in the summer, it's about 40 gigawatts. Well, we probably have a little less than half of that zero-carbon from our hydropower and our nuclear and our

1 existing solar and wind. I think the 2 aggressive plans that the Governor 3 appropriately has put in place for offshore wind is where Stony Brook University has 4 5 stepped up and won a major DOE grant for 20 million, to be matched with 20 million, to 6 7 look at putting thousands of megawatts of wind offshore. So we can easily do this, and 8 I'm pretty excited about doing that. So 9 10 that's the first thing that we're doing.

11 The second thing that we're doing is 12 that all new buildings that are designed will 13 be zero net energy buildings. So the first 14 one is at Oneonta, it's going to be the dorm 15 is going to be zero net energy. So we're 16 super excited about that. I would say that every single campus has embraced it, which 17 18 was -- I didn't expect anything less, but I'm 19 thrilled. Because we have the fantastic Bob 20 Haelen and Karren Bee-Donohoe, individuals in 21 our facilities pushing this, we have such 22 great sustainability officers throughout the system. And NYSERDA has been such a great 23 24 partner, willing to help us train managers

1	that can act regionally to try and
2	decarbonize our campuses.
3	So I think all that would be great,
4	but even better is that it's an ability to
5	educate our students and do service learning
6	and applied learning with real-world need.
7	So it's a perfect coming together of all
8	entities. So thank you.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LIFTON: Thank you,
10	Chancellor.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
12	Senate?
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you,
14	Assembly.
15	Senator Antonacci.
16	SENATOR ANTONACCI: Thank you.
17	Thank you. Commissioner, if I ask you
18	a question that's already been asked, just
19	tell me to refer to the tape; I don't want to
20	be redundant.
21	But funding for community colleges is
22	based on full-time equivalents. And
23	ironically, it seems like as we lose
24	population, we're also losing students that

1 are going to the community colleges. You 2 mentioned in your written remarks that 3 there's going to be a new formula. Can you tell us exactly how that is proposed to work 4 5 and what that will do to help keep the funding at a sustainable level? 6 7 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Sure. Absolutely. Thank you for your question. 8 Let me just step back and say the why 9 10 of it. So our community colleges this year, there's about 119,000 full-time students. 11 12 And then there's about 97,000 part-time 13 students. And then we just queried before 14 this testimony today four or five of our 15 larger community colleges and asked the 16 question: How many students come and take a course that it's not going towards a degree 17 18 or a degree program, but it's enriching or 19 it's necessary for up-skilling? It's about 20 3,000 at each of these campuses, is what we 21 were told anecdotally. And we'll get you that data. 22

23 So when you look at that and you say, 24 okay, if you add those together, it's about

200,000 students. We get paid for full-time
 equivalent, but all those other students,
 whether they take one course or one, you
 know, necessary or enrichment course, need
 registration, they need all the institutional
 support.

So what we've discovered is that we've 7 got a business model, for lack of a better --8 I used to be in business, so forgive me for 9 10 going to the business side for a minute. 11 But, you know, our revenue comes in variable, 12 it's per full-time- equivalent student, but 13 our expenses are both fixed and variable. 14 And so there's a mismatch there.

And so what it does is it puts strain on the community colleges because they don't have the predictability. So that's risk. And, you know, in business if you're taking risks, you need to be paid for that. I mean, in general that's what folks would say. I know we're in education here.

22 So I think that what we've discovered 23 is maybe there's a hybrid, maybe there's a 24 way forward where we could look at a rolling

1 three-year average and say that we establish 2 a floor by which the community colleges know 3 that that will be adjusted -- because if there's permanently going to be, you know, 4 5 for the next 50 years, the same enrollment, then that needs to be a major adjustment. 6 7 But that's not what we're seeing. We're seeing the cyclical nature. And community 8 colleges are countercyclical to the economy. 9 10 So if you look at exactly when you 11 want -- you want to have those community 12 colleges just throttling all the time, 13 they're ready to go, they're ready to ramp up 14 with these programs, they've got the 15 facilities. They maybe take 20 students, but 16 as soon as a new company comes into town or there's a startup that needs more, they can 17 18 quickly ramp up. Because you've planned 19 because you know that you've got that 20 funding.

21 So we're looking for a floor that 22 would be the highest of either an average of 23 the last three years or the current year --24 SENATOR ANTONACCI: Are there -- I

1	know I only have two minutes left. Are there
2	going to be details to that plan that are
3	going to be able to be fleshed out and
4	forwarded to us?
5	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Right. I'll
6	be mindful of your time, my apologies. We'll
7	get together with you and talk about it.
8	SENATOR ANTONACCI: I just have two
9	more questions I can do
10	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: But we do
11	have a plan.
12	SENATOR ANTONACCI: Good. Good.
13	My understanding is there was no
14	capital matching funds for private schools in
15	this year's Executive Budget. If so, why?
16	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: I apologize,
17	could you repeat that question one more time?
18	SENATOR ANTONACCI: There was no
19	capital matching funds for private schools in
20	the Executive Budget.
21	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Oh, okay.
22	So I haven't really looked at that, I've
23	mostly been focused on the public schools.
24	And like I said, we're very pleased about the

1 critical maintenance that we have that's 2 predictable over the next five years. And if 3 we have the opportunity to think about -- if there's a new build, it would be great to 4 5 anticipate how we might envision new buildings that will allow us to do, you know, 6 7 a 21st-century infrastructure play for, you know, STEAM design. 8 We can talk about that as well. I 9 10 look forward to it. SENATOR ANTONACCI: My last question 11 12 might be for the individual that was with you regarding SUNY Foundation. I don't believe 13 14 SUNY Foundation is subject to the FOIL laws, 15 if I'm correct about that. They are not, 16 correct? Is there any initiative in the budget 17 18 to make SUNY Foundation subject to FOIL? 19 SUNY CFO McLOUGHLIN: So the Research Foundation is subject to FOIL, but the SUNY 20 21 philanthropic foundations are not subject to 22 FOIL. The sensitivity there is the donor base. 23 24 But any conversations you want to have

about transparency, you know, we're willing
 to have.

SENATOR ANTONACCI: I understand that 3 maybe the donor that's -- with a donative 4 5 intent looking for a charitable deduction or a charitable intent might not want their 6 7 names out there. But what about the expenses 8 and how those expenses are being used to either further the mission of SUNY or, you 9 10 know, substitute for other expenses? 11 SUNY CFO McLOUGHLIN: Again, we can 12 have continuing conversations, but there are documents out there, such as the 990 filings 13 14 and other documents that have that 15 information. 16 But we can certainly have further conversations about that. 17 SENATOR ANTONACCI: Thank you. 18 19 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 20 Assemblymember Barclay. 21 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Thank you, 22 Senator. Thank you, Chancellor, for your 23 24 testimony. I didn't go to SUNY schools, but

1 my son is going to be enrolled as a freshman 2 at SUNY ESF next year, so he's excited about 3 that.

I want to get back to that community 4 5 college and the maintenance of effort. And were you -- I just didn't quite understand 6 7 your answer, and maybe we can talk later offline about how it's going to work or what 8 your proposal is. But you're saying in the 9 10 aggregate, funding for community colleges in 11 the aggregate, not based on the full-time 12 equivalent? Like you said, you know, their 13 population goes up and down.

14SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Right.15ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Am I getting16that right? So you're not looking at the17FTE, you're looking at kind of the overall18amount?

19SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: So we're20looking at when there's a downturn in the21economy, then our enrollment in community22colleges goes up. Right? And then when the23economy is doing well, individuals, either24they finish and graduate, have a job, or they

1 can sustain in the existing company. But 2 then when there's a downturn, maybe that 3 company has to lay people off, then you want to have your community colleges ready to ramp 4 5 up. So what we're looking at is because of 6 7 its countercyclical nature, we kind of want to draw a line through that cycle and say, 8 look, you can count on this base amount of 9 10 funding, and then you'll go up and down, based on top of that, based on your FTE. 11 12 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: I thought that's 13 how the FTE worked. I mean, this year, 14 because we have fewer people in community 15 colleges, presumably state aid is going to go 16 down. I think the concern at least I heard from community colleges is that the third, a 17 18 third, a third isn't being honored by the 19 state, we're at, I don't know, 27 percent, 20 less than what it has been I guess 21 historically. 22 I mean, do you support getting that

amount up? You said "modest increase." Is that the modest increase, upping that

23

24

percentage so we're doing our maintenance of effort?

3 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Right, so 4 there's two pieces about that, and you're 5 quite right. One is that since the community 6 colleges have gone down 4.6 percent this year 7 over last, we're looking for a base. And 8 then on top of the base we'd ask for an 9 increase of \$125 per FTE.

All told, between those two elements,
it would be an increase of \$19.8 million to
the funding for the community colleges.

13 It's as much about the mechanism for 14 the support as it is about the dollars. And 15 so it's providing that stable base for our 16 community colleges so that they can predict 17 what programs they might scale up to.

ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Yeah, I would
support that, obviously. But also I think we
have to do our share at the state, you know,
at the FTE point of that.

Are you for -- with the TAP awards,
someone mentioned income eligibility. Are
you supportive of increasing that income

1 eligibility for a TAP award? I think -what's it at? I don't know what it's at, 2 \$80,000 or something? 3 4 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Eighty 5 thousand, I think, that TAP eligibility is? I think that the Excelsior is 6 7 something that was put in place to also increase that. So love to have a 8 conversation about what that might look like, 9 10 for sure. 11 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Okay. And 12 lastly, about the DREAM Act, currently how 13 many undocumented immigrants do you have on 14 SUNY campuses, do you know? 15 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: So we don't 16 count the DACA students number. I think there was an estimate that the state made 17 that the -- with the DREAM Act, it would be 18 19 probably \$27 million, if I've got that right. 20 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: How many, I'm 21 sorry? 22 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Twenty-seven million would be the cost of covering the 23 24 tuition for the DREAM Act students eligible

1 under the DREAM Act.

2	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: And I can't do
3	the math that quickly. How many do you think
4	will be eligible for that, then?
5	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Let us get
6	back to you on that. I'd get out my
7	calculator, but I don't have it handy.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: That's all
9	right, I can't do it either.
10	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: It also
11	depends, as you know, on what the average
12	might be, and the average might be different
13	for different individuals.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Okay. Thank
15	you, Chancellor.
16	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Sure.
17	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate?
18	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
19	Senator May.
20	SENATOR MAY: Chancellor, nice to see
21	you again.
22	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Good to see
23	you too.
24	SENATOR MAY: As a Stumpy, a graduate

1 of SUNY ESF and also a former professor at 2 Stony Brook, I am very interested in all the operations of SUNY. And I'm interested -- I 3 4 want to talk about the Excelsior program in 5 two ways. One of them, I'm a parent of a college student and I'm aware of the extent 6 7 to which colleges push a lot of the expenses and the fees so it doesn't look like they're 8 raising tuition. And I'm wondering if you're 9 10 tracking how much of the expense of college is not actually covered by the Excelsior 11 12 Scholarships and what kind of a burden that is on the students. 13 14 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Sure. 15 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I'm sorry, 16 Senator May, next question, pull it closer to you because we're having a little trouble 17 18 hearing. 19 SENATOR MAY: Okay. 20 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thanks. 21 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: That's great. Thank you, Senator. 22 23 So the question was are we tracking 24 the all-in costs of getting a higher

1 education degree in comparison with whether 2 it's TAP or Excelsior, the tuition portion. 3 So it's about \$24,000 a year, we estimate, for a student to go to college. And, you 4 5 know, that could be even if you were commuting to a community college and or 6 7 living in the community or if you were on campus with room and board. 8 Room and board is somewhere between 9 10 10,000 and 12,000; fees might be another thousand or two; and tuition is around 6,000 11 12 or more. So all in, it's about 24,000. So the tuition component is about 25 percent. 13 14 SENATOR MAY: Okay, thank you. 15 And with the increased enrollments 16 from Excelsior, have you had to rely on more adjunct professors? And what is the policy 17 18 at SUNY of limiting the number of adjuncts? SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: So I'm in 19 20 favor of more full-time faculty members, and to achieve that we're doing a couple of 21 22 things. The first thing is I mentioned the 23 24 Prodigy Program, where we've set a goal to

1 attract a thousand underrepresented faculty 2 to SUNY over the next decade or so, which 3 allows us to start tracking talented students in even junior high and high school and 4 5 attract them into a career. And underrepresented, also women in STEM fields 6 7 as well. So I think this is a really 8 interesting program by which we can attract more full-time faculty to SUNY. 9

10 I think the second piece is one that 11 I'm particularly passionate about also, which 12 is really encouraging more of our faculty to 13 become endowed chairs. There are a couple of reasons to do that. One is it's a retention 14 15 mechanism for our most outstanding faculty, 16 and it's also a way to attract talent and to 17 reward talent that's currently here within 18 SUNY.

So I would also love to set a goal, and we're quietly setting the goal -although I guess I'm just about to say it -of about -- doing a thousand chairs over the next decade as well.

24 And that -- I think that's something

1 important. Our competitors, when we compare 2 our campuses to their peer institutions, they 3 have many of these opportunities. What it does is it relieves a bit of the cost on the 4 5 salary side, which would allow us to convert more -- would allow the leadership, at their 6 7 discretion, of course, to convert more 8 part-time to full-time faculty. So again, we have kind of multiple 9 10 thrusts, if you will, trying to get at increasing full-time faculty. 11 12 SENATOR MAY: Right. Thank you. Two other questions. One is I have 13 14 one of the teaching hospitals in my district, 15 SUNY Upstate, and there's a kind of vague 16 language in your testimony about you're asking the state to explore additional ways 17 18 to provide help to these vital institutions. 19 Do you have any specifics in mind behind 20 that? SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Well, first 21 22 of all, we're thrilled with the state and the

24 Share Hospital costs so that we can get the

23

Governor's budget of the Disproportionate

1 maximum match from the federal government. 2 That was crucial, that was huge. Very 3 appreciative. We would like to have a conversation 4 5 with the Legislature and with the Governor's office to look at picking up the debt service 6 7 of our hospitals, which is about \$39.9 million. So that would help with direct 8 support of these hospitals. 9 10 SENATOR MAY: Okay, thanks. And then my last question, I know SUNY ESF does a lot 11 12 to try to foster collaborations with private 13 colleges and universities in the area, and 14 they run into a lot of red tape from the central office. 15 And so I'm wondering what you have --16 have you had conversations with 17 18 administrators about facilitating these kinds of collaborations? 19 20 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: We have. 21 And we will look to create, you know, even 22 closer ties with both our private as well as our other public universities and colleges. 23 24 Speaking specifically of ESF, it is a

1 jewel. And it is in a really neat part of 2 the state as well, with some great private 3 and public universities nearby, and colleges. 4 So we are exploring those connections. SENATOR MAY: Okay. Thank you very 5 6 much. 7 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: In spite of the central administration. 8 9 (Laughter.) 10 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 11 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We've been 12 joined by Assemblyman Al Stirpe. 13 And now to Assemblywoman Bichotte for 14 a question. 15 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BICHOTTE: Good morning, 16 Chancellor. SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Hi. Good 17 18 morning. 19 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BICHOTTE: Thank you for 20 being here. 21 I would like to say that I am a proud alum of Buffalo State College --22 23 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Excellent. 24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BICHOTTE: -- as well as

1 SUNY Buffalo, for the record.

2 I had a few questions. The first 3 question is around the Excelsior program. 4 Just for clarification, you mentioned 5 that 16,700 students benefit from this program. So out of the 1.4 million students 6 7 in SUNY, only 16.7. So that's like about 1.1 percent; is that correct? 8 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: So we 9 10 have -- there's a difference on the numbers. Excelsior, as you know, is for full-time 11 12 attendance at SUNY. And so you need to compare it to our full-time student body. So 13 14 we have about 424,000 full-time students, so that's 16,678 would be over the 424,000 15 16 students. In addition, that was for the first 17 year. So the threshold, as you know, for 18 19 Excelsior was only at about \$110,000. It was 20 increased this year to 115, and next year it 21 will go up to 125,000, so we would expect 22 those numbers to grow as well. ASSEMBLYWOMAN BICHOTTE: You're 23 24 talking about in terms of the eligibility of

1 household income.

2	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Correct.
3	That's right.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BICHOTTE: Okay. It's
5	still quite small to be called a free
6	tuition. It's just that a lot of parents in
7	my community get the sense that they don't
8	have to save anymore because there's free
9	tuition. And I think it's just misleading.
10	We should probably call it something else,
11	like Last Dollar Program, Extended TAP, or
12	something like that.
13	But if you just looked at overall the
14	average, the average constituent in the State
15	of New York will think that their kids can go
16	to college for free. So we might want to
17	change the wrapping, because I get that a
18	lot.
19	The second question I had, or concern,
20	it was noted that the opportunity programs
21	were being cut, and I wanted to get a sense
22	of clarification. Is that the Educational
23	Opportunity Program and the Educational
24	Opportunity Centers, are those the two that

1 are in the Opportunity Program? And one of 2 my concerns is that every year we go through this where they're always -- I guess the 3 Governor is always proposing to cut these 4 5 programs and then just, I guess, maintain it at the end of the budget. 6 7 So what's going on here? And can you clarify if it is those two programs only 8 that's under the Opportunity Program? 9 10 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Right. So the programs that we're asking to be restored 11 12 would be the EOP, Educational Opportunity 13 Program; the EOC, the Educational Opportunity 14 Centers; and the ATTAIN, you know, net 15 information technology networking 16 laboratories, of which I believe there's 33 throughout the state. Along with the 17 18 Tele-Health mental health counseling. All 19 in, it's about \$17.7 million. 20 And just one more thing. About 11,000 21 students are served by the EOP program, so 22 it's a crucial part of our ability to provide the broadest access to a quality higher 23 24 education degree in the state.

1 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BICHOTTE: I would 2 propose that we do not cut these programs. 3 Because you just mentioned that it's 11,000 4 students that are benefiting from the EOP 5 program. So let's not cut it, let's -- we have money in the budget, let's look to 6 increase for EOP and EOC. 7 And lastly, I've been hearing a lot of 8 talk about the TAP gap and 64 million. Is 9 10 there any consideration to have like a 11 dedicated budget line allocated to address 12 the TAP gap? I mean, we're increasing 13 tuition but we're not addressing the TAP gap 14 that, by law, these institutions have to cover. So is there a consideration for a 15 16 dedicated line? SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: I would hope 17 18 so. 19 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BICHOTTE: Okay. You're 20 the boss. 21 Thank you so much. 22 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 23 24 Senate?

1 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. Senator Ken LaValle. 2 SENATOR LaVALLE: There we go. Nice 3 4 to see you, Chancellor. SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Good to see 5 you, Senator. 6 7 SENATOR LaVALLE: Senator Funke had a bill, 4634, that was vetoed by the Governor 8 9 to allow our University Police the 10 presumption that a heart attack -- if they 11 had a heart attack, that it's presumed that 12 it was because of their duties as a police officer. Did SUNY take a position on this 13 14 legislation? 15 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: I don't 16 believe we have. I'll be happy to look at the bill, but I don't think we have a 17 position on that, to my knowledge. But we 18 19 can get back to you. 20 Oh, we're supportive. Thank you. I 21 wasn't familiar with the bill, but our Chief Operating Officer Robert Megna said we are 22 supportive. 23 24 SENATOR LaVALLE: Okay. All right. I

1	think we have to do a little more this time
2	around to see if we can find out what the
3	problem was with the Governor's office.
4	And the SUNY police ask for very
5	little, so it would be helpful if we can get
6	that across the line.
7	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: They do a
8	great job, by the way. We're very grateful
9	to the SUNY police force.
10	SENATOR LaVALLE: In your statement
11	you said in order to allow SUNY hospitals to
12	invest in improved services and programs and
13	better quality of care, SUNY is asking that
14	the state continue to explore additional ways
15	to provide help to these vital institutions.
16	Like what kind what are additional
17	ways you're looking at?
18	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Well, one
19	way that would be helpful is to have the
20	state pay for our debt service on capital
21	improvements for our hospitals. So that
22	would be about \$39.9 million in the 2019-2020
23	budget. So that would be one way. That
24	would be very helpful and very well

1 appreciated.

2	SENATOR LaVALLE: Okay. That's it.
3	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Thank you.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
6	Assemblyman Epstein.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Thank you,
8	Chancellor. Good afternoon.
9	So I just want to follow up on a
10	couple of issues I know my colleagues raised.
11	One is about the Excelsior. What is your
12	projection to 2020 to the increase from your
13	16,700 students what does your projected
14	enrollment look like by 2020?
15	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: You know,
16	we'll have a little more information about
17	that when we have all the numbers for the
18	fall of 2018-2019. I would expect it to go
19	up for the following reason, you know,
20	obviously. And one is that the threshold for
21	eligibility is increased. And because as
22	some of the students graduate, more students
23	will be coming in. And the ratio of the
24	because we don't get 100 percent graduation

rate yet, that will be a greater number than
 the students leaving.

So we certainly expect it to increase.
How much, we don't have a projection right
now, to my knowledge.

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: So my concern is 6 7 in relationship to the number of students who are not then eligible for the program. Lots 8 of students, you know, whether they're 9 10 full-time students who aren't -- maybe they're not going to be able to be done in 11 12 five years or a large volume of part-time students who have serious economic needs and 13 14 are not eligible for the program.

Have you thought about expanding the program to include an expanded number of years so people can finish college or to expand it to part-time students? SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: You know, I think that -- from my understanding, the

Excelsior program had a particular focus,
which is to have students finish on time and
with the least amount of debt possible. I
think that it would be important to figure

1 maybe there's another way that we can support 2 nontraditional students, which are students 3 that more and more, I think, given the acceleration in certain fields, the 4 5 technology will require more opportunities for higher education, and to also take 6 7 advantage of the new technologies coming in, whether it's artificial intelligence or other 8 sorts of fields. 9

10 So we need to look for ways to support 11 those students and make sure that they get 12 access too. I don't have anything right now, 13 but I'd love to, you know, have a 14 conversation afterwards. Maybe we can think 15 about how we can support those students too. 16 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: The students who 17 are working, either working full or 18 part-time, these are people who are 19 struggling and they can't afford to go to 20 school full-time. And even with Excelsior, 21 if they were eligible for it, maybe they have 22 some income or their parents don't have income, but they're just not going to be able 23 24 to make a full-time load, and these are the

1 people who we want to graduate, because these 2 are the people who are going to move their 3 economic class. So these are people who we're really pushing to support. 4 5 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Absolutely. 6 Yup. 7 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: So I'd love to 8 seize the opportunities and be happy to work with your office about opportunities to 9 10 expand for those students. 11 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: We would be 12 delighted. I think one of the things that we're 13 14 working pretty hard on right now -- and 15 taking the lead is our new provost, Tod 16 Laursen, as well as our COO, who I introduced earlier, Bob Megna -- is our online presence. 17 18 Because that's also a vehicle to provide the 19 flexibility of just-in-time learning for 20 these individuals that want to get a higher 21 ed degree so that they can improve and move up in social mobility. So I think that's 22 going to be another tool in our toolbox 23 24 that's going to be critical to support, and

1 we'll be in touch on how that --

2 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: And I appreciate 3 you raising the online issue, but I think there's some -- you know, that is an 4 5 opportunity, but we should also be thoughtful and be concerned about students who need 6 7 support and they're taking online classes. 8 We see lower graduation rates, we see larger increases in people who fail classes, we have 9 10 less support. So we see some of these online 11 colleges around the country where they're 12 spending money on advertising and not 13 spending money on student support. 14 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Right. 15 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: If we're going 16 to expand online programming for more online students, we want to make sure we create a 17 18 system and structure that protects students 19 who are more vulnerable. 20 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Absolutely. 21 You bet. Yeah, protects students, puts in 22 place tutor nets and opportunities for them

23 to learn.

24 I think there's also a -- it's been

1 going on for a while, but if you look at some 2 of the things that companies in the education 3 space have looked at, it's really developing the notion that you teach to what the student 4 5 doesn't know, not repeat what they do know. That makes it more efficient, more 6 7 interesting, and they become more successful. 8 So we're starting to explore how we as a 9 system can do that better.

10 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: I want to turn 11 our attention to students with disabilities, 12 because we've seen a large growth in students with disabilities both at our SUNY and CUNY 13 14 campuses. And the researches that are being 15 made available are really limited. And we've 16 -- you know, I know the students at SUNY and CUNY have really pushed to try to increase 17 18 funding for students with disabilities. We 19 are talking about, potentially, you know, 20 trying to get \$15 million in the budget. We 21 know it wasn't in the Governor's budget this 22 year.

23 I'm wondering what your position is on
24 expanding resources to that population, which

1 once we get them through college, there's 2 much more opportunities for students with 3 disabilities to have economic opportunities moving forward. 4 5 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Absolutely. I'd love to get together and talk about that. 6 7 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Great. And finally, I know the issue of mental health, 8 we've had a lot of -- you know, in December 9 10 SUNY students came and talked to us about the need for additional mental health services. 11 12 So I know my time is up, but I want to put 13 that out to you and ensure that that's 14 something we can continue to talk about. SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Yes, we'd 15 16 very much like to see the EOP, EOC, the mental health counseling be restored in the 17 budget. Thank you. 18 19 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 20 Senate. CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Hi, thank you. I 21 22 think it's my turn. Thank you, Chancellor. So it's a follow-up, I think, to a 23 24 number of related questions. But can we talk

1 about -- do you know what percentage of 2 students who go to the SUNY campuses have a 3 disability? And have you seen a growth in the percentage of students with disabilities 4 5 attending SUNY? And have you been able to grow the special programs that make it 6 7 possible for students with disabilities to succeed in college? 8

SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: I would love 9 10 to get back to you with that information, and particularly what we're seeing in terms of 11 12 growth. I would hope it would be growing. I'd hope we'd continue to become even more 13 14 accessible to all students, particularly 15 students with disabilities. So I'll get back 16 to you on that information. CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 17 18 And I met with some people from CUNY, so it will be a similar question for CUNY, 19 20 and there seemed to be a large rise in the 21 number of students with disabilities, but

22 unfortunately not a rise in the number of 23 services available, even though they have 24 proven to be very successful.

1 So when we talk about students with 2 different needs starting or succeeding in 3 college, I think we need to be recognizing 4 the issues for the disabled students as well. 5 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Absolutely. CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So Betsy DeVos 6 7 has recently proposed new regulations on sexual assaults on college campuses. And 8 9 many of us do not support what she has 10 proposed. But I'm curious, since New York 11 State is now a few years into a statewide 12 mandate of changed policies towards sexual 13 assaults on campus, what kind of data are you 14 collecting from your campuses, and what's 15 changed since New York changed its policies? 16 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: So we have concerns also with the changes proposed to 17 18 Title IX, and we'll be responding with 19 comments for the record in that regard. And that's work that our General Counsel Beth 20 21 Garvey is leading, who's here. 22 I'd like to get back to you with regard to since the "Enough is Enough" and 23

inaction, what have we seen in terms of

24

changes and improvements in that regard. As
 you know, we also have been very active with
 the SAVR. We have a \$5 million grant to help
 with victims of sexual and interpersonal
 violence. So let me get back to you on those
 numbers.

7 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Then last week at 8 the budget hearing on Human Services, it was 9 brought up that there was \$5 million for 10 childcare on SUNY and CUNY campuses announced 11 as a new program, but some of my colleagues 12 pointed out there was already a SUNY/CUNY 13 program for childcare on campuses.

14 So this is a new pilot program, at 15 least in the Governor's budget materials. 16 Can you confirm for us that it will not be a -- it will not replace the existing daycare 17 18 funds that your schools already have in 19 place? And how will it be different? 20 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Right. 21 We'll get back to you that on that and make 22 sure. 23 I think that we support currently

about 1500 parents, single parents with

24

1 children. And it's very important to us, 2 particularly since one out of five single 3 women in college have children and having 4 childcare is very helpful to them to attain 5 their higher education goals. 6 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I think we would 7 agree. I think there was just some confusion about was the Governor announcing that he was 8 giving you another \$5 million or was he 9 10 requiring that you put in another \$5 million 11 to grow your program. 12 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: So Bob Megna said that it would not be replacing the 13 14 existing program. 15 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So it would be in

addition to the existing program. Yes?
SUNY COO MEGNA: Yes.
CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay, just -- I'm

19 glad. I think we should be investing more in 20 ensuring that mothers with young children --21 and fathers with young children, not to be 22 sexist -- can continue their educations. So 23 glad to hear that.

24 I've asked in previous years about the

1 online courses, and you referenced that in 2 some of your answers. Has SUNY done any kind 3 of study on the courses that you are giving online -- is there a growth in students? Are 4 5 they completing those classes? Are they completing their degrees at the same rate or 6 7 a lesser rate than students who go to traditional classrooms? Do you have -- I'm 8 not asking you to spin it out for me now, but 9 10 have you done research on is this working or 11 not? 12 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: We have. 13 And we're even digging into it more deeply 14 since we started looking at ways we can 15 expand our impact for just-in-time learning 16 for online. Let me just make a comment, to be 17 18 specific to your question. Most of our online courses right now are really hybrid. 19 20 They're students that are on campus and 21 taking it as a different modality, if you 22 will, of being online. So it gives them a flexibility, allows maybe multitasking -- if 23

they have another class at the same time,

24

they might go to that one class, then take
 the other class through online, and vice
 versa.

So it's mostly been our on-campus 4 5 students. We wouldn't probably see a big difference just because it's a question of 6 7 flexibility. The question will be what kind of support services do we need to put around 8 students online, like additional tutoring and 9 10 a 24/7 tutor net, as I would call it, so that people can, when they get stuck, reach out 11 12 and get immediate help, so that we can 13 increase the ability to pass those classes 14 and get a quality education with online. So 15 we have to do it well.

And we're still exploring that and 16 17 working on how we might craft an online that's scaled to do that. And it will 18 19 require a significant investment. It's not 20 something, if you do it well, you can do it 21 on the cheap. 22 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. That's all I need. 23

24 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.

1 Assemblywoman Fahy. 2 ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Thank you. Thank 3 you, Chair. And welcome, Chancellor. Thank you 4 5 for being here. I've had a number of my questions 6 7 answered, so I just want to make some quick comments and then I still have a couple of 8 questions, particularly on the childcare 9 10 issue that was just raised, along with the mental health issues that have been raised. 11 12 Great news on the retention that is 13 being shown on the Excelsior Program. Very 14 pleased to see that. 15 I continue to have really serious 16 concerns about the size of the capital budget in terms of the building projects. Last 17 summer we went -- a number of -- myself and 18 19 my colleagues went to meet with UAlbany 20 faculty, and there was some crazy number they 21 gave us that I'm not recalling, but it would 22 take dozens upon dozens of years to even begin to renovate their dorms at the pace 23 24 that they're going. And I can get you that

1	number, but I want to say it was in the
2	seventies, in the '70 year or something, to
3	just even keep pace.
4	So capital budget renovation and
5	upgrades is really critical. And I must put
6	in a shameless plug for UAlbany's engineering
7	program as well. That's very important, as
8	well as STEM programs in general, which are
9	so critical in our growth.
10	Also appreciated your comment on the
11	growth and trying to double the funding on
12	research funds into entrepreneurship
13	programs.
14	Also need to comment on the EOP, the
15	cut in the EOP programs. I know it's
16	something we care a lot about here, but I
17	hope it's just not a game that we see cuts
18	there and then we have to go back in and
19	restore it when I'd rather see us all focused
20	on growing those programs.
21	So then a couple of questions. I
22	think back on the community college and
23	thank you for all the comments that you have
24	made on that. The charge-back issue, that

1 question was already asked. I would be 2 remiss if I didn't echo that concern. I know 3 you said that you wanted to get back on that. But Hudson Valley, while I don't represent 4 5 Hudson Valley, I represent most of Albany County, and most of the students at Hudson 6 7 Valley and Rensselaer are from Albany County. The charge-back issue, I think they 8 9 are the single most impacted college, with 10 maybe one exception. The floor concept 11 sounds very appealing, but I'd love to know 12 what the impact of that is. In other words, 13 in order to set a floor, does that mean there 14 is a loss? And I'm not clear on what you've 15 described there. I don't know if you have 16 that answer now, but I just want to make sure there's no trade-off. 17 18 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Right. 19 Would you like me to answer it? 20 ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Sure, if you know 21 that. 22 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Oh, yeah, 23 absolutely. So what we would consider is 24 a -- the floor would either be last year's,

1	so that there wouldn't be a loss, or it would
2	be a rolling average of three years,
3	whichever is higher.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: So at no point
5	would a community college see less than what
6	they had seen in the previous year, is that
7	what you're saying?
8	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Right.
9	That's correct.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Okay. All right.
11	That sounds very encouraging, because I know,
12	given the volatility in the economy, that
13	certainly has affected Hudson Valley, along
14	with others. So I appreciate your the
15	proposal and the ability to try to stabilize
16	and have those budgets predictable, as you've
17	said, which are essential.
18	Another comment on the community
19	colleges. Really pleased to see this
20	childcare pilot program for the few million
21	dollars. But my understanding is and in
22	looking at some of the early budget drafts
23	is that there is a million dollars cut from
24	childcare at SUNY.

1 So I'm not clear what the answer that 2 was just given to Senator Krueger -- I 3 understand we're seeing an increase in one pot of a pilot program for single parents at 4 5 community colleges, which sounds great, but at the same time we're seeing a cut 6 7 elsewhere, and how do the two reconcile? SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: So to my 8 9 knowledge, I think you're exactly right, in that the additional funding was a pilot for 10 11 400 women in particular that would be able to attend higher ed over a three-year period of 12 13 time, taking into account all costs. At the same time, there is a cut of 14 15 a million -- and I think that program is 16 \$3 million; I could be wrong about that. 17 ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Yeah, I think 3, 18 yeah. SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Yeah. And 19 20 then -- it's the Empowerment Program. And then there's a million-dollar cut to the 21 22 childcare, which is part of a combination of 23 programs that we think really address our 24 most vulnerable students that we'd like to

see restored, which is EOP, EOC, mental
 health, telecounseling, and childcare as
 well.

So you are correct on both of those. 4 5 ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Okay, I just -- I can't say enough how absolutely imperative it 6 7 is to have those childcare resources for 8 parents, particularly women. It's what 9 really hurts them with staying out of the 10 workforce, let alone upgrading their skills. So I very much appreciate your support on 11 12 that.

13 Last question, the mental health telecounseling. It sounds very promising. 14 15 And the more we can do -- I just met with 16 over two dozen school superintendents on Saturday, and it was probably the number-one 17 18 issue that they are really grappling with in elementary, secondary schools. And 19 20 everywhere we go -- I'm out of time. I would 21 like to hear more on that program to see if 22 we can replicate or expand it.

23SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Sure. Love24to get together to talk about that. Thank

1	you.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Okay, thank you.
3	Thank you to the chair.
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
5	We've been joined by Assemblywoman
6	Alicia Hyndman.
7	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And we've been
8	joined by Senator Robert Jackson and Senator
9	Gustavo Rivera, who I think I forgot to
10	introduce a while ago.
11	And the next up is Senator Robert
12	Jackson.
13	SENATOR JACKSON: Good afternoon.
14	Good afternoon, Chancellor.
15	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Good
16	afternoon.
17	SENATOR JACKSON: I'm a newbie. That
18	terminology means that I'm new in the State
19	Senate, so forgive me if I ask a question
20	that's not appropriate. But I wanted to ask
21	a question because I've communicated to my
22	constituents down in Manhattan that I'm going
23	to be very active in the New York State
24	Senate, and especially when it comes to

1 education.

2	So I want to ask a general question of
3	you as far as how important it is today, in
4	today's world, to receive a college education
5	compared to the 1950s.
6	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Wow. So
7	compared to the 1950s, I'd say even compared
8	to the last century, it's changed in the last
9	generation, so from 2000 forward.
10	You know, I say college is the new
11	high school. When you look at 11.6 million
12	new jobs created in the last, you know,
13	decade 11.5 million that's
14	99 percent went to individuals with a
15	college education.
16	SENATOR JACKSON: So it's very
17	important, then.
18	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: It's not a
19	"nice to" or a "want to," it's a "have to."
20	SENATOR JACKSON: And how important it
21	is for children growing up through the
22	elementary school system to be provided an
23	opportunity to get that college education?
24	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Very

1 important.

2	SENATOR JACKSON: Very important.
3	And I think I knew your answers, and
4	if it was anything other than that, I would
5	be really shocked. And I only raise that
6	because I don't know if you're aware of my
7	history in fighting for the children not only
8	in New York City, but in New York State, as
9	per the highest court in the State of New
10	York, that they be afforded the opportunity
11	to receive an adequate education. And
12	funding is extremely important in that
13	process.
14	And so that's why I asked that
15	question. Because if you're not aware,
16	Chancellor, in my opinion and some may
17	differ with me the State of New York owes
18	New York State's children \$4 billion towards
19	their education, and the state has not lived
20	up to it. And that's why I was asking you
21	those general questions, how important it is
22	to get a college education, how important it
23	is to have the foundation of a good
24	elementary school, pre-K to 12 education, so

children will have the opportunity to go to
 college.

3 So I just raise that with you as the Chancellor. If you're not aware, and I'm 4 5 sure that you are -- and if you're not, I'm 6 sure that your staff will make you aware how 7 important it is that education is -- and I don't need to tell you how important it is, 8 that's why you're the chancellor. But you 9 10 should know that I'm going to fight like hell 11 to make sure that our children receive that 12 good education. And that's going to involve 13 the budgetary process. 14 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Thank you 15 very much, Senator. 16 SENATOR JACKSON: Thank you, Chancellor. 17 18 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 19 Assembly? 20 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman 21 Buttenschon. 22 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: Thank you very much again for being here today. 23 24 I am a proud community college

1 graduate and SUNY graduate, as well as having 2 spent about 20 years working in the system in 3 regards to an adjunct faculty progressing 4 through full-time and then administration. 5 I would like to provide some follow-up from many of my colleagues that have asked 6 7 some of the questions that I am concerned with. 8 I come from the 119th Assembly 9 10 District, which includes Utica and Rome, and 11 it is a very diverse areas as well as many 12 families live within poverty level. So these 13 colleges are very important to us, not only 14 to individuals that are attending our local schools but individuals that would be 15 16 attending across the state. I am very interested in the new 17 formula that includes non-matriculated 18 19 students, so I look forward to that 20 follow-up, as you had stated you would 21 provide. In regards to staffing, does SUNY have 22 an optimal full-time to part-time faculty 23 24 that works well for success of retention and

1 completion?

2	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: You know,
3	the different I mean it's a great
4	question. The different sectors have
5	different ratios of full-time to part-time
6	faculty. I'd have to think a little more
7	carefully and confab with the provost on
8	"optimum."
9	So I think what's important is that
10	our faculty are so dedicated and working so
11	hard to deliver that excellent education, and
12	can we give them the kind of support so that
13	they can be successful. And that includes
14	our adjuncts as well. I think that the more
15	that we can rely on adjuncts with unique
16	perspectives maybe they've worked in

business. I mean, I think that adjuncts are
a very welcome and important part of the
enterprise.

20 The question is really just a matter 21 of balance. And that's what I think we need 22 to look at carefully.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: And I canagree with you. But when you're talking

1 about 29 percent and fluctuating, I think 2 that it is a concern. SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: That is a 3 4 concern. 5 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: In our 6 community, the major concern of the EOP 7 programs and the like that expand upon -- as it was addressed, you said one in five of 8 your female students utilize your childcare. 9 10 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Right. ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: We have 11 12 lost one of our childcare programs at Mohawk 13 Valley, and now at the end of this spring semester we will lose it at Herkimer. I just 14 15 wondered if there's a plan that SUNY has in 16 regards to -- and even at our SUNY school, that could fill that gap. I know there's the 17

pilot program. But is that something that is looked at of what areas will be provided within the pilot and what will be done? SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Right. We look forward to getting -- you know, to working with the Governor's office and also the Legislature to help define that program

1 and to launch it.

2 We'll also be looking at the childcare 3 access on our campuses. So I'll come back to 4 you on that.

5 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: In regards to online learning, I know that's something 6 7 that ensures -- opens an opportunity to so many individuals. But again, I think some of 8 my colleagues talked about retention and 9 10 completion. Are those rates at a level that you feel are sufficient enough to expand on 11 12 this?

SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: I think if 13 14 they're done well and done right, yes. I 15 think that we -- what people hear a lot about 16 are the so-called MOOCs, the massively online open courses, where you might have 100,000 or 17 tens of thousands of individuals taking one 18 19 class and the completion rate is 5 percent. 20 That's not what we're talking about here.

21 We're actually talking about working 22 with students to craft out their path, their 23 program, keeping them on track, providing the 24 tutoring and the just-in-time intervention to

1 help them be successful. And it will be 2 expensive, but it will be rewarding and it 3 will be absolutely essential that we be 4 competitive in that market. 5 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: So as far as right now, there isn't a success rate at 6 7 this point that you have? SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: I think our 8 success rates -- again, because our online is 9 10 mostly just part of the on-campus offering -are very high. 11 12 I think that as we expand to more students and students that aren't on campus 13 14 but are exclusively online, I think that's 15 where we're going to have to pay particular 16 attention to success. ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: And these 17 18 might be just -- as far as your input to SED 19 with the e-licensing, is that something 20 you're working collaboratively with SED to 21 ensure that that's going to work smoothly? 22 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Yes, 23 absolutely. 24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: As we know

1 right now, many of your graduates have some 2 gaps in that area. SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Right. 3 4 We see -- just one other thing. We 5 think that one of the big opportunities, too, 6 for our online opportunities are for also 7 students that already have a degree but they might want to get a master's degree or 8 9 additional certification and accreditation in 10 an area. So it will be an interesting mix going forward. 11 12 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: Thank you, Chair. 13 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 14 15 Senate? 16 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: We're on second 17 round. 18 Senator Toby Stavisky. 19 SENATOR STAVISKY: Yeah, very quickly, 20 because you've been talking about online. 21 A two-part question. How will this 22 impact -- you're talking about online at the various campuses. How will this affect 23 24 Empire State College? And secondly, how will

1 this affect the ratio of full-time faculty to 2 the adjuncts? SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: 3 Great question. 4 5 So Empire State College is one of our leaders in online and I would say alternative 6 7 opportunities for attaining a higher education degree, so they're going to be 8 9 right in the middle of what we do as online. 10 And then the second part of the 11 question was, I'm sorry? 12 SENATOR STAVISKY: The second part was how will the online affect the ratio of 13 14 full-time faculty to adjuncts. 15 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: I think if 16 done well, it can actually improve the ratio of full-time to part-time faculty, the 17 18 online, because it will bring in 19 nontraditional learners, exclusively online 20 learners. And depending on how we develop 21 the offering, there would be the opportunity, 22 for example, to have expert faculty across SUNY collaborate on providing a program 23 24 online. There will be the opportunity to

1 take some of the great programs that at an 2 individual campus like, you know, the Empire 3 State, Buffalo, Mohawk, wherever, and provide 4 them online. 5 It turns out that one of our most popular online courses right now is from a 6 7 community college that students at four-year out-of-state schools take in statistics. So 8 I think that we're going to learn a lot about 9 how to do online well with the resources that 10 we have. 11 12 We'll be mindful of that ratio, though, going forward. 13 14 SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you. 15 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 16 Assembly. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 17 18 Assemblyman Weprin. 19 ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Thank you, Madam Chair. And welcome, Chancellor. 20 21 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Thank you. ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: I want to thank 22 you for continuing SUNY's outreach to SUNY 23 24 alum who are in the Legislature. And I know

1 we've had a number of breakfasts since I'm 2 here the last 10 years. And I'm a SUNY 3 Albany graduate, as you know, and very proud of that. And I appreciate all the work 4 5 you've been doing across the state and getting out and interacting with us in the 6 7 Legislature. So I want to thank you for that, and I want to encourage you to continue 8 that outreach. 9 10 In the Assembly, I chair the Corrections Committee. And there are a 11 12 number of college programs in our 13 correctional facilities. The two largest 14 ones are with Bard College and with John Jay 15 College of Criminal Justice. There is a 16 small program that we have funded in the Assembly with Mohawk Valley Community College 17 18 and the Marcy Correctional Facility. So my question for you is, would you 19 20 encourage more programs with SUNY for people incarcerated in correctional facilities? 21 22 Especially when you're dealing with inmates that are going to be getting out soon and we 23

24 certainly want to encourage them to integrate

1 into society, and certainly attending college 2 and getting college courses and even degrees while they're incarcerated is very helpful 3 4 for their reentry into society. 5 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Absolutely. We'd be very encouraging of that and would 6 7 push to expand our offerings, definitely. ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Okay. Are there 8 any other institutions that have had any kind 9 10 of program other than Mohawk Valley. 11 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: I'm not 12 sure, but I could ask my team. 13 So I'm told that North Country, 14 SUNY Poly and others. Why don't we do a --15 I'll collect the information of what programs 16 are where, and we'll get that to you. ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: That will be 17 helpful. Especially since a lot of the SUNY 18 19 campuses are so close to our state 20 correctional facilities, it would seem to 21 make a lot of sense. And then just briefly, as a follow-up 22 on Senator Stavisky's first round of 23 24 questioning about out-of-state tuition. I

1 too have been an advocate for expanding 2 out-of-state tuition and even increases for 3 out-of-state, which would obviously keep 4 in-state tuition down.

5 Can you just repeat the statistics? Because I know they're very low as far as 6 7 out-of-state tuition, and is that something 8 we could work certainly with some of the 9 neighboring states to advertise that we do 10 have SUNY campuses? And it would be helpful to keep tuition down for in-state residents 11 12 if there was possibly more out-of-state 13 residents paying higher tuition or maybe even 14 an increase for the out-of-state tuition. 15 And how does that compare to other states? 16 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Right. So 17 our out-of-state tuition varies by sector, but it's roughly around, what, \$10,000? And 18 our in-state is roughly around, what, 6,000? 19 Yup. So there is a differential there. 20

21 Some of our surrounding states, their 22 out-of-state tuition is less than some of our 23 in-state, so that they can actually draw some 24 of our students away. So I think we're

1 looking at sort of the enrollment strategy on 2 those particular border states to see what we can propose. And we'll get -- we'll look at 3 4 that. 5 ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: No, I just agree 6 with Senator Stavisky that it is an area that 7 we can look at --CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Excuse me. 8 Assemblyman Weprin --9 10 ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: -- especially when we're talking about modest increases. 11 12 Thank you, Madam Chair. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate. 13 14 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Seward 15 for a quick follow-up. 16 SENATOR SEWARD: Thank you, Madam Chair. 17 18 I just wanted to go back to the 19 SUNY -- the capital appropriation. The 20 Executive Budget provides \$550 million for 21 this year. Now, will this -- I just want clarification. Now, will this cover only the 22 critical maintenance for the various 23 24 campuses?

1 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: That's 2 correct. SENATOR SEWARD: No additional 3 additions or upgrades and that type of thing? 4 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Right. 5 Right. It will only cover critical 6 7 maintenance. SENATOR SEWARD: And what do you 8 envision the impacts this level of funding 9 10 will have on our campuses? I mean, what can 11 you accomplish with this? 12 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Right. Well, first of all, again, 550 a year for 13 14 five years, the predictability of it is 15 tremendous, so we're definitely appreciative 16 of that. Probably would need a little bit more 17 in critical maintenance in order to take care 18 19 of the true backlog that we have on our 20 buildings. I do think we receive some new 21 capital, about \$100 million for Upstate and 22 hospitals in -- was it Stony Brook? Yeah, Stony Brook. So I want to be correct and 23 24 accurate there. So we did receive 100

million for the two hospitals for capital
 investments.

What we'd like to do is to have an 3 increase on the critical maintenance so we 4 5 could take care of the backlog and to look at the opportunity to build new buildings and 6 7 infrastructure for our -- in particular, it 8 might be our engineering schools and our sciences, basic sciences buildings. For 9 10 example, I toured Old Westbury, and they're in desperate need for a new facility there. 11 12 SENATOR SEWARD: You're absolutely right. We've gone several years without a 13 14 comprehensive SUNY capital program, and there 15 is some pent-up demand there for sure. SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Oh, 16 absolutely. And --17 18 SENATOR SEWARD: I just wanted -- in our minute or so left, I just wanted to 19 20 return to the community college funding 21 formula. As I understand your proposal, in effect it would keep the -- those campuses 22 that are actually losing enrollment, to keep 23 24 them at a hold-harmless position; those that

1 are increasing would get the FTE. SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Correct. 2 That is correct. 3 SENATOR SEWARD: Would this model be 4 5 responsive to, you know, sudden large increases in enrollment at a community 6 7 college? Because as you pointed out earlier in your testimony, when -- you know, 8 9 economically when things are bad, you know, 10 more people -- the enrollment goes up, sometimes dramatically, and vice versa. 11 12 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Exactly. 13 Very much so. 14 SENATOR SEWARD: So are you 15 comfortable that it would accommodate a large 16 increase in enrollment? SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: It would be 17 18 a great start. It would be a great start. And I also would be remiss if I didn't 19 20 say with community colleges we did receive a 21 match from the state for the local county capital investment. So I think that was 22 for -- how much, 40? I'm not sure how much, 23 24 but it was -- we're very grateful for that as 1 well.

2 SENATOR SEWARD: Thank you. 3 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 4 Assembly? 5 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman 6 Simon. 7 ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: There it goes. 8 Thank you very much. 9 I would also like to speak to some of 10 the issues regarding students with 11 disabilities on SUNY campuses. I think one 12 of the -- what's critically important is that 13 there are both technological needs, capital 14 needs, as well as service provision needs 15 that we are going to be facing in greater 16 numbers as we go forward. And in a particular situation, I want 17 18 to reflect on your comments with regard to 19 the Title IX proposal from the Department of 20 Education. And obviously based on, if 21 nothing else, the evidentiary standard that's 22 being increased, the narrowness of definitions, as well as the live-hearing 23 24 requirement in higher education, I am very

1 concerned that we will end up retraumatizing 2 victims. It will have a chilling effect on 3 reporting, and those victims will either be remaining on our campuses where those 4 5 incidents occurred or, if it happens in some other school, even a private school, 6 7 whatever, they will arrive at SUNY with greater disability-related needs from 8 posttraumatic stress, depression and anxiety, 9 10 which really will increase the need for 11 counseling. 12 I'm curious whether you have looked at 13 that issue, whether you are planning for 14 increased services that would be the result 15 of the consequences of this. It's already a 16 very big concern. I'm curious how you've assessed those needs. 17 18 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Well, you obviously hit the three major issues square 19 on the head. 20 21 And so the first thing that we're 22 doing is fighting these changes. Right? So that's -- the second thing is that as we move 23 24 forward -- that's one of the reasons we were

1 pleased to receive last year the 600,000 for 2 the tele-mental health counseling, which is 3 really important and crucial. Because it does allow a certain anonymity, too, with 4 5 regard to the counseling. And we take very seriously the safety of our students. 6 7 So we -- through the great work of Joe 8 Storch, who is leading the effort, we received a \$5 million effort to look at the 9 10 prevention of domestic and interpersonal 11 violence on our campuses. 12 So -- very committed to this. You cannot learn if you do not feel you're safe 13 14 and if you're traumatized. So I totally am 15 with you and will continue to work hard for 16 our students. ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Thank you. If 17 18 you end up with numbers on that, I'd 19 appreciate knowing what your thinking is. 20 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Definitely. 21 Will do. 22 ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Thank you. 23 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Thank you. 24 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So the

1 Assembly, for seconds. Now we have 2 Deborah Glick. 3 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you. One request and then a question. 4 5 There are a number of things that we have 6 asked questions that you have indicated you 7 will get back to us on. SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Yes. 8 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: We would like 9 10 that in writing so that we can share it with all of the members. So we want to be certain 11 12 that we get that information in a form that can be disseminated. 13 14 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Okay. Very 15 good. 16 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Secondly, I have felt for some long period of time that higher 17 18 ed has not gotten sufficient resources 19 allocated by the Executive. And if there 20 were a perfect world in which we could 21 suggest to the Governor that we not have to 22 back-fill opportunity programs, et cetera, 23 and there was, you know, an additional \$300 24 million of which SUNY might have access to 1

million to 150 million more, what would you
 do with that other than deal with the TAP
 gap? I'm asking priorities.

4 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: I think that 5 we have laid out the priorities with regard 6 to ensuring our community colleges have 7 predictable funding. The investment in our 8 capital for new build to make our 9 infrastructure a 21st-century infrastructure 10 would be critically important.

11 We would like to see -- you know, one 12 of the priorities that we've set is to double 13 our innovation and entrepreneurship and 14 scholarship. And that's community college --15 that's our P-TECH schools all the way through 16 and our charter schools all the way through to our higher ed. That's innovation all the 17 18 way up and down the education continuum. So 19 there would be opportunities there.

I mean, you know, to -- I probably would like to come back and have a conversation and dialogue about that after thinking through it in more detail. But the priorities that we laid out would be a great 1 start.

2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you.
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
4	Assemblyman Smith.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: Thank you to the
6	chair.
7	Another thing I'd like to ask on this,
8	and we haven't really addressed it. We
9	addressed a number of other questions I would
10	have liked to have asked. Obviously one of
11	our priorities is keeping our students safe
12	in our schools, and that's going to be
13	addressed, I think, in some of the
14	legislation we take up this week.
15	But as the SUNY Chancellor, you along
16	with the Education Commissioner and the
17	Budget Director sit on the Smart Schools
18	Review Board to deal with the \$2 billion that
19	was allocated during the Smart Schools Bond
20	Act in 2014. Last September, \$400 million
21	was disbursed to New York City schools to
22	help improve technology, make sure we're not
23	learning in portable classrooms but
24	there's still \$1.2 billion that's been

sitting there. And I know for my district,
 my schools, that's their number-one priority.
 They're trying to make basic security
 upgrades.

5 Why -- and I'm not trying to put you on the spot, but this committee, of which 6 7 you, the Education commissioner and the Budget Director sit on, why is there not 8 another meeting scheduled to meet? And the 9 10 plans that the school districts have 11 submitted on what they would like to spend 12 the money -- Sachem School District, that I 13 represent, has been approved for \$11 million. 14 They haven't seen a dime of it in four school 15 years. They're really dealing with budget 16 issues because they want to put vestibules in the schools to keep our students safe. And 17 18 it's not a reimbursable grant, so if they lay 19 out the money initially, they can't be repaid 20 on that.

21 Can you speak to that a little bit?
22 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Yeah, give
23 me a minute. I'm just going to confer with
24 my lifeline here.

1 ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: We could stop the 2 clock, maybe? SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Thank you 3 for allowing me to do that. 4 5 So as you may know, I started as chancellor in 2017, so I wasn't around in 6 7 2014. And I'll certainly look into it. I just don't know about it. 8 ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: If you could do 9 10 that, that would be great. Because as you can understand, that's a priority. And as we 11 12 go forward in the budget, our schools are dealing with making up their budgets for next 13 14 year. They have to decide what they're going 15 to be doing in terms of school security. And I think we all agree that for \$1.2 billion to 16 sit there since 2014, that's pretty 17 18 devastating when we do have a -- it's a very 19 scary world we live in. So thank you so much 20 for looking into that. 21 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: You're 22 welcome. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: 23 Thank you. 24 Assemblyman Barclay.

1 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Thank you, 2 Chairwoman. 3 I have just a very quick question. SUNY Oswego is in my district, and we have a 4 5 small business development center there that's provided a lot of success to a lot of 6 7 small businesses in the Oswego area. That budget is proposed to be cut 8 under the Governor's proposal. Can you speak 9 10 to that, and why? It's not that much. 11 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: We're asking 12 for that to be restored as well. 13 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you, 14 Chancellor, for being here. 15 16 And any follow-up questions, responses that you send -- just for people more in the 17 audience to know -- will be made part of our 18 official record. 19 20 Thank you. And I'm sure we'll 21 continue to have conversations as we go 22 through this budget process. SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Thank you 23 24 very much, Chair. Thank you all very much

1 for your time. Appreciate it.

2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So next we
3	will as people leave, we're going to call
4	down the City University of New York Interim
5	Chancellor Vita Rabinowitz.
6	(Off the record.)
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. So
8	you can proceed. If others at the table are
9	going to be speaking, just introduce
10	yourselves when you speak.
11	Thank you, Chancellor.
12	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Good
13	afternoon. Good afternoon, Chairs Stavisky,
14	Krueger, Glick, Weinstein, members of the
15	Finance, Ways and Means, and Higher Education
16	Committees, staff and guests. I am Vita
17	Rabinowitz, interim chancellor of the
18	City University of New York.
19	I am joined at the table by Matt
20	Sapienza, senior vice chancellor and chief
21	financial officer, Judy Bergtraum, senior
22	vice chancellor for facilities planning,
23	construction and management, and Interim Vice
24	Chancellor for Student Affairs Christopher

1 Rosa.

I am delighted to share with you today some recent progress at CUNY and discuss priorities and goals for our future. I will deliver an abbreviated version of my written testimony so that we can move to your questions.

I want to begin by thanking Governor 8 9 Cuomo and all our funding partners in the 10 state and city for enabling us to deliver results for our students and the citizens of 11 12 New York. I also want to thank Chair William Thompson and the CUNY Board of Trustees for 13 14 their tireless efforts on behalf of our 15 students, faculty, and staff.

16 This is an exciting time in the University's history, one marked by national 17 18 acclaim for our senior and community colleges, prestigious awards for our students 19 20 and faculty, and significant expansion of our 21 physical plant. The records we have set in 22 freshman enrollment -- almost 40,000 more new freshmen in 2018, a rise of 4 percent over 23 24 the previous year -- is a testament to the

quality of our institutions and the
 excellence of the outcomes that our graduates
 can expect.

Last year we awarded over 54,000 4 5 degrees, the most in our history, fueled in part by increases in graduation rates for 6 7 both the bachelor's and the associate's 8 levels, which are now approaching national public university averages. CUNY colleges 9 10 account for more than a third of the business 11 and finance degrees awarded in New York City, 12 about a third of New York City's public 13 school teachers, and a high percentage of the 14 nurses and the health sciences professionals 15 employed at local medical facilities.

16 We produce hundreds of computer 17 scientists and engineers every year, and 18 those numbers have risen sharply over the last five years, with growing percentages of 19 20 women and underrepresented minorities among 21 them. Significantly, more than 80 percent of 22 our graduates remain and work in New York. The university's vital role as an 23

24 engine of economic and social mobility in

1 New York takes on added urgency in a knowledge economy. CUNY's 2020 budget 2 3 request is predicated on the idea that for the City and State of New York to thrive and 4 5 lead in these times, CUNY must play a key role in producing a diverse, highly educated 6 7 workforce at scale for the most globally 8 competitive region in the nation.

9 Our budget request contains two major 10 components. First, mandatory cost increases, 11 which form the core of our request, and 12 second, strategic investments in four areas, 13 three of them centered around student success 14 and the fourth around strengthening the 15 university's infrastructure.

16 To support our mandatory cost increases, we are pleased that the Governor's 17 18 Executive budget promises an increase of 19 3 percent for our senior colleges and 20 includes \$47.7 million in funding for fringe 21 benefits. The modest senior college tuition increase planned under the predictable 22 tuition policy is also included, generating 23 24 an additional \$31.3 million.

1 I will take this opportunity to 2 underscore our request for a multiyear plan 3 to close the TAP gap, the difference between the maximum TAP award and the tuition CUNY 4 5 charges. For community colleges, we propose a base aid increase of \$250 per student so 6 7 that we can keep community college tuition flat. 8 We are committed to making the 9 10 university's operations more efficient so 11 that we can redirect funds to strategic 12 investments. Two years into our four-year plan, I am pleased to tell you that CUNY is 13 14 on track to realize \$75 million in administrative efficiencies. 15 16 Our first category of strategic 17 investments expands upon proven approaches 18 that advance degree completion. As the 19 economy rapidly changes, completing degrees 20 of value is ever more essential for our students to thrive in their chosen careers. 21 22 We propose to expand our signature student success program, Accelerated Study in 23 24 Associate Programs, or ASAP, and expand its

1 new four-year counterpart, which is very 2 innovative for the State of New York -- it's 3 called Accelerate, Complete and Engage, or ACE -- as well as support other academic 4 5 momentum initiatives that together are accelerating credit accumulation, completion, 6 7 raising graduation rates and, crucially, 8 closing racial achievement gaps at CUNY. Growing our proven early-college programs 9 10 will give more high school students college 11 credit while still in high school, improving 12 the chances that they will make good progress 13 through college and graduate on time. 14 Expanding, diversifying and supporting

our full-time faculty is essential to all of
our academic success initiatives. We are
seeking funding for more full-time faculty.
We also ask that you continue full funding of
our effective SEEK and CD programs.

20 Second, we need to make sure that we 21 remove barriers to students' success based on 22 basic needs for food and housing security, 23 mental and physical health, and childcare, 24 among others. We propose to expand to all

senior campuses the powerful program Single
 Stop, which connects students and their
 families to untapped government benefits and
 other kinds of assistance for which they are
 eligible.

6 We also request resources to increase 7 availability of campus childcare and to 8 address student food insecurity in an 9 innovative new way, by providing swipe cards 10 for use in our campus cafeterias.

11 Third, we propose to invest in what we 12 call CUNY Works, a set of integrated, bold 13 new steps to ensure that our students are 14 well-positioned to thrive in the changing 15 world of work. We will scale up CUNY's new 16 workforce center to engage employers across 10 high-growth sectors and translate their 17 18 needs into career opportunities for CUNY 19 students.

20 Similarly, we plan to grow the 21 availability of paid internships and expand 22 experiential and service learning for our 23 students. We have seen firsthand just how 24 transformative programs like Service Corps

1 Puerto Rico can be for our students.

2 Colleagues, we are seeking essential 3 support of \$2.8 million for the CUNY School of Medicine, which opened its doors in fall 4 5 2016 and has been since its inception one of the most diverse medical schools in the 6 7 nation. You may have seen a recent article in the New York Daily News chronicling its 8 remarkable ways of operating and its success 9 10 in attracting and retaining a diverse minority student body. 11 12 Finally, we appreciate the commitment to CUNY's infrastructure needs, with 13 14 \$444.5 million of new capital funding, 15 including a \$284 million investment in critical maintenance for CUNY senior colleges 16 and \$68 million in matching funds for our 17 community colleges. These funds will go a 18 19 long way in enabling upgrades for aging 20 elements of our buildings. 21 We're also requesting funding for new

buildings, including much-needed science and
health professions facilities. Thanks to
Governor Cuomo and the Legislature, we were

1 able to meet those needs recently for 2 students at City Tech, where we just opened a \$400 million state-of-the-art academic 3 building with cutting-edge technology to 4 train future STEM and allied health 5 professionals. 6 7 We are truly grateful to the Governor and the Legislature for your steadfast 8 commitment to keeping public higher education 9 10 affordable in New York, especially in comparison with other states. CUNY's 11 12 relatively low tuition, generous financial 13 aid, and the Excelsior scholarship, combined 14 with tax credits, enable 65 percent of our 15 students to attend tuition-free, 65 percent. 16 Last year, nearly 3300 CUNY students earned the Excelsior Scholarship, and with the 17 higher income limit this year, we expect that 18 number to rise. 19 20 In spring 2018, Excelsior scholars had significantly higher GPAs --21 22 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Do you want to

just summarize the -- because we do have your written testimony.

1 CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: I will, 2 Assemblywoman, I promise. 3 -- and higher retention rates than 4 non-Excelsior students. 5 We're delighted with your leadership and the Governor's leadership on the Jose 6 7 Peralta Dream Act, and at CUNY, as you know, we have thousands of undocumented students 8 who will directly benefit from your actions. 9 10 To conclude, CUNY's strength comes 11 from the extraordinary richness and variety 12 of our large, diverse community. We're on 13 the cutting edge of implementing academic 14 strategies, technologies and programs that 15 help students better navigate college and achieve their dreams. 16 We thank you for your extraordinary 17 18 partnership in this work, and I will be happy 19 to address your questions now. 20 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. We're going to go first to our Higher 21 Education chair, Assemblywoman Glick. 22 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: It's nice to see 23 24 you.

1 CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Thank 2 you. ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Welcome. This 3 4 is, I think, your first trial by fire. 5 (Laughter.) CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: It is. 6 7 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: So we understand that the City University has a different 8 demographic than SUNY. What is the current 9 10 TAP gap, and how much does that escalate each year as you increase tuition? 11 12 CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: That's a 13 great question, Assemblywoman. I will say 14 that the per-student TAP gap at CUNY is about 15 \$1,565. This year we estimate the TAP gap to 16 be about \$72 million at CUNY. And in the fiscal year that we're talking about, fiscal 17 year 2020, it will go up to about \$85 18 19 million. I will turn to our Senior Vice 20 Chancellor Sapienza. Matt, did I leave 21 22 anything out there? 23 SUNY CFO SAPIENZA: No. 24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Could you

1	clarify exactly what you're looking for for
2	the medical school when you say \$2.8 million
3	for the school? Presumably the school
4	physically exists.
5	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Yes, it
6	does.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: So is this for
8	tuition support, or what other things are you
9	including in that?
10	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Happy to
11	answer that question, Assemblywoman. The
12	tuition at the medical school right now is
13	\$40,000 a year, which as you well know is
14	below the national average. What we are
15	asking for is \$40,000 per student, or
16	\$2.8 million a year for state investment in
17	the medical school. This would achieve
18	parity with what SUNY medical schools receive
19	on a per-student basis.
20	Just so you know, we accept about
21	70 students per year in the new medical
22	school. And so that's what so it's
23	\$40,000 per student, \$2.8 million a year.
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Okay. When it

1 comes to capital, we have a number of figures 2 here in your testimony, and I want to tease it out. There was -- of the \$444.5 million 3 4 in new capital funding, you have 284 in critical maintenance. 5 CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Correct, 6 7 for senior colleges. ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: And included in 8 that is the \$68 million. So what is that 9 10 leaving you for anything else, and what would you be using it for? 11 12 CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: I'm going to turn to Senior Vice Chancellor Bergtraum 13 to address that question. 14 15 VICE CHANCELLOR BERGTRAUM: So the 284 and the 68 are separate. The 284 is totally 16 for critical maintenance. The 68 is a match 17 for what the city provided for the community 18 19 colleges. ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: And then the 20 balance of the --21 VICE CHANCELLOR BERGTRAUM: The 22 23 balance is 37 million to pay for the staffing 24 at DASNY and at the Construction Fund, which

1 is CUNY.

2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Okay, so that's
3	all essentially administrative dollars
4	VICE CHANCELLOR BERGTRAUM: Yes.
5	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Yes.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: the balance
7	does not go to doing anything physically.
8	What do you estimate your needs are
9	going forward if you were to have if we
10	were to have the five-year capital plan that
11	the Legislature has repeatedly voted for,
12	what would that look like? Obviously,
13	there's planning, then there's design and
14	construction, and then there's actual
15	construction. What are your needs?
16	VICE CHANCELLOR BERGTRAUM: So our
17	request is we produce this book every year,
18	which you will get a copy of probably this
19	week, and it gives you our needs laid out for
20	every single year and for every single
21	college. So you will get this, and it will
22	give you all the specifics.
23	And our request for this fiscal year
24	is about \$1.4 billion.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: And that would 1 2 deal with actually new things, not just --VICE CHANCELLOR BERGTRAUM: That would 3 be the beginning of new construction and that 4 5 would be the critical maintenance. With the critical maintenance, the 284, we've gotten 6 7 -- we've received 284 for the last three or 8 four years, and it's made a really big 9 difference because we can plan ahead, and 10 it's had a very big impact. We're different from SUNY in the 11 12 aspect that we are in New York City, we don't 13 have -- we have to work in buildings that are 14 occupied. So when we get capital money, it's 15 a very interesting procedure to figure out 16 what we're going to do and where, because we're renovating in buildings that are being 17 18 used by students. ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: And the 1.4 that 19 20 you're requesting -- and we'll see a very 21 detailed book soon -- includes the 284 plus some additional? 22 VICE CHANCELLOR BERGTRAUM: 23 T+ 24 includes the 284 additional critical

1 maintenance request and additional money for 2 new construction.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Okay. In terms
of where you currently are in your efforts to
increase full-time faculty, where are you
now?

CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: We have
proposed funding for 200 new faculty a year.
Colleagues, you have seen our numbers. CUNY
has about 7,600 full-time faculty. The ranks
of our adjunct faculty have grown to about
12,000 this year, from 11,000-something last
year.

So it is essential for us as a great university to replenish and grow the full-time faculty. We lose to retirement in recent years about -- anywhere from 180 to 200 faculty a year. And about 30 percent of our faculty are age 62 or older. So we see, you know, a time of replenishment.

21 While we don't have a numerical target 22 for new faculty -- that varies by area, by 23 school, by college -- we have a general goal 24 of increasing the number of full-time faculty

1 and increasing the percentage of instruction 2 that is delivered by full-time faculty. 3 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: It sounds, though, as if there is substantial 4 5 retirement, and so the goal of 200 new faculty seems to just keep pace. 6 7 CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Right. Right. So, Assemblywoman, that's 200 faculty 8 9 above replacement levels, yes. 10 CUNY CFO SAPIENZA: And Chair Glick, 11 if I can, I also want to add in our budget 12 request we include our four-year financial 13 plan and we're seeking to add 200 new faculty 14 in each of the four years. So over four 15 years, we would add 800 to that 7600 figure 16 that the chancellor cited. CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Right. 17 18 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Okay. On the 19 issue -- in the Governor's budget the Governor holds steady on the LEADS program, 20 21 but it's my understanding that you continue 22 to grow the number of students with 23 disabilities. What kind of gap does just 24 holding fast generate? And I assume that

1 Chris Rosa will be answering.

CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: He 2 3 certainly will. 4 I know we have at least 10,000 5 students with disabilities at the City University of New York. It's a growing 6 7 number. And I will gladly turn to Vice Chancellor Rosa to answer the question. 8 VICE CHANCELLOR ROSA: Thank you, 9 10 Chancellor. And thank you for the question, Assemblymember. 11 12 Our chancellor is correct, we've exceeded more than 10,000 students with 13 14 disabilities, pushing towards 11,000. And 15 for us, that represents a growth of more than 16 a third in our enrollment of students with disabilities over the last 25 years. And our 17 foundation funding for reasonable 18 19 accommodations and support services was 20 established by the state at that same time 25 21 years ago, and its purchasing power has 22 eroded by almost 40 percent. So we're challenged to serve a third more students 23 24 with funding that purchases roughly

1 40 percent less accommodations and support services for students with disabilities. 2 3 And it's our understanding that our colleagues at SUNY and the private and 4 5 proprietary colleges are experiencing a 6 similar dynamic as college students with 7 disabilities statewide have eclipsed 60,000 in enrollment for the first time in the 8 history of the state. 9 10 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: I know my time is out. I will come back just to get a 11 12 little more clarification on what your needs are in that arena. 13 14 VICE CHANCELLOR ROSA: Sure. Thank 15 you. 16 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. We were joined quite a while ago by 17 Assemblyman Victor Pichardo. 18 19 Senate? 20 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 21 First questioner, Senator Toby 22 Stavisky. 23 SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you. 24 And welcome, my colleagues from CUNY.

1 And to pick up on what Assemblywoman 2 Glick was talking about, I know you have a 3 lot of programs for the disabled community. 4 And I suspect my constituent, Vice Chancellor 5 Rosa, will answer that question.

VICE CHANCELLOR ROSA: Sure. We've 6 7 been very, very fortunate through investment from the state to create some signature 8 programs that promote access and success for 9 10 students with disabilities. Our CUNY LEADS 11 program is probably the strongest, where 12 intensive career-readiness services that 13 begin as students are admitted and continue 14 over the course of their matriculation and 15 development through CUNY, and ultimately 16 working on connecting students to competitive 17 employment opportunities.

And through that investment, And through that investment, 70 percent of our college students with disabilities gain competitive employment within 18 months of graduation. So it's a stunning figure, and it's really all the result of the investment by the state, for which we're really grateful.

1 SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you. I've 2 been to many of the events conducted by the Committee for Disabled Students at 3 Queens College, and they're terrific, 4 5 terrific programs. Let me ask a question about something 6 7 that I'm obviously concerned about, and that's remediation. Can you tell us -- I 8 9 asked Chancellor Johnson the same question. 10 Can you tell me how many students are 11 receiving remediation, the percentage of the 12 student body who are receiving remediation, and what the cost is? 13 14 CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Yes, I 15 can, Senator. This has been a -- as 16 university provost, it was my passion to 17 address this problem. 18 At CUNY, up until a year ago, about 80 percent of all entering community college 19 20 freshmen and over 50 percent of all CUNY 21 freshmen were placed into remedial courses or 22 remediation. Or developmental education --23 mostly in mathematics, but in reading and 24 writing as well. Of that 80 percent, most of

1 those students were students of color.

2 And a year after being placed into 3 remedial courses, fewer than 50 percent of those students had completed both a 4 5 credit-bearing math and English course. Of that 50 percent who didn't complete one or 6 7 another credit-bearing course, most do not finish college. Not at CUNY, not anywhere 8 9 else.

10 Traditional remediation is not highly 11 effective. It is not effective for students 12 involved, it is not a smart bet for students' 13 families or for the taxpayers of the State of 14 New York.

15 So we did three things at CUNY. First 16 of all, we developed better placement algorithms to make sure that students were 17 18 not being overplaced into remediation and that students who could succeed in 19 20 credit-bearing courses if only they were 21 given a chance were placed into credit-bearing courses with extra supports if 22 23 necessary.

24 Second, we developed an entire suite

1 of what is called co-requisite courses, which 2 is students take credit-bearing courses with 3 mandatory hours in extra support. These have been found nationwide to be more effective 4 5 than the zero-credit remediation courses. 6 Thirdly, we ramped up our successful 7 pre-matriculation remediation programs. You may have heard of CUNY Start, Math Start. 8

These are nationally renowned programs much 9 10 like ASAP where students are immersed in 11 either just math and English for a six-month 12 period. They are not enrolled in college. They pay \$75 for the entire course, and then 13 14 they enter college fully college-ready, 15 thereby using their precious financial aid 16 for credit-bearing courses and to make progress toward their degrees. 17

18So just to get back to your question,19it cost us, before, \$55 million a year, the20remediation process. And again, it resulted21in lack of success at least 50 percent of the22time.23Senator, I cannot give you the current

24 cost. And we've also received a great deal

1 of grant support and other funding to make 2 these reforms. But what I can tell you is 3 this. The state's investment is being spent more wisely, and it is certainly no more than 4 5 \$55 million a year. I will get back to you on the current cost of our reforms. 6 7 SENATOR STAVISKY: And this question I asked of SUNY also. How has the changes in 8 Title IX as advocated by Betsy DeVos and her 9 10 colleagues affected CUNY? And are there additional Title IX requirements in terms of 11 12 cost? CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: 13 14 Absolutely. A great question and a very 15 timely one. 16 Responses are due to the federal government on the DeVos proposed changes on 17 Wednesday, Senator, as you know. And CUNY 18 has prepared a strong response that I think 19 20 will stand up to the tens of thousands 21 they're receiving, and that is this. 22 We oppose the proposed changes by the federal Department of Education to Title IX. 23 24 They significantly weaken protections for

claimants of sexual harassment by watering
 down what harassment is.

3 Further, at the same time they water down the definition of harassment, they 4 5 significantly raise the bar for so-called trials where students would need to face 6 7 their accusers, with representation on both sides -- an expensive, costly procedure that 8 we think would discourage complaints 9 10 altogether.

And finally, we oppose the new 11 12 recommendation that all accusations of sexual misconduct that occur off campus premises are 13 14 not the purview of colleges. We know that 15 students who experience sexual harassment or 16 assault or battery anywhere bring their anguish and their problems to their studies. 17 18 They are not receiving an equal access to a 19 quality education.

20 So we stand with Enough is Enough, and 21 we stand against the proposed changes on the 22 federal level.

23 SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you. So24 you're saying that the watered-down version

1	is going to cost you a lot more money.
2	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Yes, it's
3	actually going to cost more money, yes.
4	SENATOR STAVISKY: When I attended
5	graduate school at Queens College, the
6	buildings were sort of old. The buildings
7	are still there
8	(Laughter.)
9	SENATOR STAVISKY: and they're even
10	older. How safe are are there concerns
11	about safety and building code standards, and
12	will this critical maintenance funding
13	alleviate some of our concerns?
14	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Great
15	question. Senator, I'm going to turn to Judy
16	again.
17	VICE CHANCELLOR BERGTRAUM: You know,
18	we comply with all the city and the state
19	regulations. The critical maintenance
20	program will improve what we have, it will
21	upgrade systems. For instance, we're
22	upgrading the fire alarm systems in 100
23	buildings. So the critical maintenance
24	one piece of the critical maintenance funding

1 is to do just what you're talking about. 2 SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you. 3 And one last question in terms of enrollment and the Excelsior program. What 4 5 has been your experience? CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: So 6 7 Senator, as I testified, we have 33 -about -- just a little less than 3300 8 students right now receiving the Excelsior 9 10 Scholarship. Another 22,000 we estimate would have 11 12 been eligible for Excelsior; that is, the students have all the other characteristics 13 14 but for the fact that they were already receiving full tuition and aid through New 15 York State TAP and Pell and other forms of 16 financial aid. 17 SENATOR STAVISKY: And have you found 18 that the students have been notified of their 19 20 eligibility or ineligibility in a timely fashion? 21 22 CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Yes, I believe that is the case, but I'm going to 23 24 ask Senior Vice Chancellor Sapienza to

1 respond more fully.

2	CUNY CFO SAPIENZA: Yes, I think in
3	the first year of the rollout we were all
4	trying to implement the program as quickly as
5	possible.
6	But I really want to recognize and
7	thank Commissioner Linares and his team at
8	HESC. They have been incredibly supportive
9	and incredibly helpful and available to us.
10	And so the program rollout has been
11	successful. And as we implement new cohorts,
12	we know that it will be more seamless.
13	SENATOR STAVISKY: I'm sure they'll be
14	glad to hear that. Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
16	Assembly?
17	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
18	Barclay.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Thank you,
20	Chairwoman.
21	And thank you, Chancellor, for your
22	testimony.
23	I think it was last summer there was
24	an article, kind of a high-profile article

1 about a no-bid contract being let to an advertising firm. Obviously we've heard a 2 lot about -- around the state there were some 3 concerns about not appropriate oversight of 4 5 economic development programs and other things. 6 7 Is CUNY doing anything to maybe change the processes that you had in place so this 8 won't happen again? 9 10 CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Assemblyman, thank you for your question. 11 12 CUNY has spent much of the last two years under the leadership of its Board of 13 14 Trustees and in close collaboration with the 15 Inspector General and the chancellory and the 16 presidents to make sure that all of our processes -- and I'm talking about 17 18 procurement, about use of discretionary 19 funds, of tax levy funds, of our fiscal 20 management and oversight -- is in full 21 compliance. 22 We have established, among other things, a standing audit committee -- again, 23 24 working closely, the board, the Inspector

1 General and the chancellory, we've

established an audit committee that not only reviews all of our contracts -- and I'll turn this over to Matt in a moment -- but also exercises oversight over all internal and external audits and makes sure that we're following all policies, regulations and procedures.

9 Matt, I know that procurement falls 10 under your office's bailiwick. Would you 11 please --

12 CUNY CFO SAPIENZA: Yes, as the chancellor said, we've developed several new 13 policies regarding financial management that 14 15 have been approved by a board, and which we 16 were very grateful for the assistance of the inspector general on developing those 17 18 policies regarding procurement, regarding the 19 use of non-tax levy funds, regarding banking, 20 amongst a few of them.

21 And we also are requiring now all of 22 our presidents to report their annual 23 spending of discretionary non-tax levy funds. 24 So that's a new requirement that we have as

1 well.

2	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: So I appreciate
3	that, and I think it's good reforms to have.
4	Do you have any opinion on having the
5	Attorney General have some oversight on your
6	procurement programs?
7	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Again,
8	I'll turn it over to Matt. I must say we are
9	looking forward to learning more details
10	about that proposal. We are all of these
11	reforms have been undertaken with an eye to
12	increased transparency. And if this new
13	regulation provides that, we are interested
14	in learning more.
15	But Matt, could you add to it?
16	SUNY CFO SAPIENZA: Yeah, I think, as
17	the chancellor said, we certainly support
18	anything that increases transparency. We
19	know that these are public taxpayer dollars,
20	and so we want to make sure that we're using
21	them in the most appropriate way. And
22	anything that supports that, we're supportive
23	of.
24	Again, as the chancellor said, we want

1 to look at the details of this new proposal. 2 And we want to ensure that the equipment that 3 our faculty and students need, that we're 4 able to purchase them in a timely manner. 5 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Thank you. Thank you, Chairwoman. 6 7 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. Senate? 8 9 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 10 Good afternoon. I was going to say morning, and I realized no, that wasn't true. 11 12 So actually I did have some questions about the program for the disabled. But I 13 14 believe that Assemblywoman Glick already said 15 she was going to continue, so I'll skip that 16 part. So you mentioned that you are -- you 17 18 oppose the DeVos changes on sexual harassment 19 reporting. I had asked SUNY, so I'm going to 20 ask you as well, to get me your data on how 21 many cases are going forward under the 22 existing system and what changes you have seen in the two years we've implemented a new 23 24 program in New York.

CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Great.
 And Senator Krueger, we're happy to do that.
 I will give you a preview of those data,
 though.

5 The incidence of sexual harassment at CUNY, the reported incidence in surveys is 6 7 very low. We participate -- we comply fully 8 with the state's requirement that we survey our students every two years. And not only 9 10 do we have low reported incidence -- and I 11 admit these are self-reports, and the 12 response rate is not a hundred percent by any 13 means. But they're very low reports even 14 compared to other schools.

15 And our survey -- and I will ask 16 University Associate Provost David Crook to correct me if I'm wrong -- our surveys 17 18 indicate that 90 percent of our students feel 19 safe at their CUNY college campuses, and over 20 80 percent say they believe they understand 21 our procedures and how to report. Although I will also admit that their applied 22 understanding of who their Title IX officer 23 24 is, where they should go if they have a

1 complaint, is not so great.

2 Also Senator, as you know, this is an 3 evolving story. As high-profile cases within 4 and beyond higher education come to the fore, 5 survey results become less representative, less generalizable. 6 7 But I'm going to ask Associate Provost Crock to add to the information about sexual 8 9 harassment surveys. 10 ASSOCIATE PROVOST CROOK: So the 11 information comes from the mandated Enough is 12 Enough survey that we're required to do every 13 other year. 14 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Can you move the 15 mic a little closer? 16 ASSOCIATE PROVOST CROOK: Oh, sorry. 17 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. ASSOCIATE PROVOST CROOK: And the main 18 19 thing -- one of the things that we learned 20 from it is that there's still an opportunity 21 for us to do a better job of informing our 22 students about reporting procedures and so forth. But they do have a very solid 23 24 understanding of how to handle themselves in

- 1
- different situations they might find

2 themselves in.

3 CUNY is a commuter school, and so many 4 of the incidents that are reported, the great 5 majority happen off-campus. We're concerned 6 about those, but it's not the same situation 7 that we might have in a system with lots of 8 dormitories or fraternities, sororities and 9 so forth.

CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I think there is
 different stories for CUNY and SUNY, because
 it is commuters, by and large.

13 CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Exactly. CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And actually even 14 15 before we changed the policies, the records 16 in New York City were that more students knew 17 to call NYPD if they thought that they were 18 being sexually attacked in any situation. And outside of New York City, college 19 students didn't seem to understand -- and I 20 hope they are learning -- that you should 21 22 call the police. And the police have to be trained on how to handle things. But if you 23 24 are sexually assaulted, you call the police.

1 CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: In fact, 2 Senator, one of our first pieces of advice 3 and guidance to every student who issues a 4 complaint is call NYPD, call the police. 5 Now, obviously not every student chooses to do that. But we offer to escort the student 6 7 to the police department to facilitate that 8 in any way. That is indeed our advice.

9 I also want you to know we are 10 revamping our training, which according to 11 New York State law or Enough is Enough should 12 include not only every faculty member and 13 every staff member, but every CUNY student 14 will now take sexual harassment training as 15 early in his or her time as possible.

And our aim is not just to get policies on a PowerPoint, but to give people useful information about what do you do if you experience or witness something like this.

21 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Good. So Hunter 22 College is in my district. And even reading 23 the newspaper today, there's the continuing 24 saga of is there ever going to be a new

1 Hunter nursing school.

2	So my question is, where are we all?
3	Is it true when I hear reports that the
4	building is falling down on the nursing
5	students down in Brookdale? And yet
6	according to various people, there's never
7	going to be enough money to build the
8	building Hunter hoped to have on East 73rd
9	74th Street. So what's the story, CUNY?
10	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Oh, my
11	goodness. Senator Krueger, I will try as
12	best as I can to address this story, which of
13	course I also read. And it's you said you
14	have Hunter in your district, and I have
15	Hunter in my history, as you know.
16	Here is my best understanding of where
17	we are. And again, this is an evolving story
18	and nothing is settled yet. But as you heard
19	me testify just moments ago, CUNY does need
20	new buildings. We very much need new
21	construction. We have, I believe, seven
22	projects that we consider very high priority;
23	the Hunter building is among them.
24	I don't believe that the state ever

1 committed a certain amount of money for the 2 Hunter project. We know that the first phase 3 will cost approximately \$300 million. It's an expensive building at a challenging time 4 5 for New York State. So but we're -- where I think we are 6 7 is this, and I'll turn to Senior Vice Chancellor Bergtraum in a minute -- is we are 8 9 working with the state productively and with 10 other partners to see if we can make progress 11 on this. Again, it is not our only priority, 12 but it is a priority. It is a priority. 13 That's why it's in our budget. 14 And in terms of the state of repair of the current Brookdale facilities for Hunter's 15 superb school of nursing, I can't comment on 16 that. I honestly don't know. But no one 17 18 disputes that this is a serious need. 19 And I will turn now to Judy.

20 VICE CHANCELLOR BERGTRAUM: First let 21 me answer your second question. The facility 22 now at Brookdale, the issues that have come 23 up are the same critical maintenance issues 24 that come up in other buildings, and we are

1 taking care of them. That's the first part. 2 The second part, I think understanding 3 how in the past 20 years CUNY has built buildings is really important here. In the 4 5 past 10 years, CUNY has built 10 buildings with an average of \$200 million. And the way 6 7 that happens is there's a master plan done, there's a plan created, and we move forward. 8 And we start designing the building and we 9 10 start discussions. And we ask for funding. And it sometimes takes some buildings longer 11 12 than others. So 10 buildings in the last 10 years, 13 many of them science. As the chancellor just 14 15 said, three weeks ago we actually -- we 16 opened a \$400 million building, a New York City Tech building. The discussion about 17 that started three, four or five years ago 18 after we did the master plan. 19 20 We opened another building, the PAC --21 the performing arts center at Brooklyn. And 22 the next one, which is now in design, will be the nursing school at Lehman College. 23 24 So that -- what I'm trying to explain

1 is that there's a process, we go through a 2 process. That's where we have a request. 3 And we're going to work very hard, it's a very important building, it's a very 4 5 important building for CUNY and it's a very important building for Hunter. 6 7 So that's kind of what the process is and that's kind of where we are. 8 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So the Hunter 9 10 building was in process also at least four or five years ago. I feel like I've heard this 11 12 storyline for many, many years. So --VICE CHANCELLOR BERGTRAUM: From what 13 14 I understand, there were discussions for many 15 years on -- you know, New York City, you 16 can't just build a building. There were many plans on what to do. And one, two or three 17 18 of them fell through. This basically came 19 forward, there was a discussion about this. 20 It's just like the other buildings. And this 21 was kind of like a private-public partnership 22 with MSK, which is the first of its kind for CUNY. 23 24 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So I've taken up

1 too much time already. I'm going to ask you 2 if you'll follow up to inform me that 3 somebody went and took a look and whether the 4 physical conditions at Brookdale nursing are 5 actually a serious problem. 6 I'll put it in the context that the 7 City of New York thinks it bought that property and wants to tear it down and has 8 another plan for it -- which they can't do, 9 10 which is creating another community problem because they're leaving sanitation trucks all 11 12 over several districts because they can't 13 build the sanitation garage they were scheduled to build. 14 15 So there's a --16 CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Ripple 17 effect. 18 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: There's a ripple 19 effect or a domino effect that I think 20 somebody needs to ensure a future for Hunter 21 nursing school, and I don't think they can last at Brookdale. 22 So thank you. My time is up. 23 24 Assembly?

1 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 2 Assemblyman Weprin. 3 ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Thank you, Madam 4 Chair. 5 Welcome, Interim Chancellor and your whole team, who I've worked with for many 6 7 years on many issues. And I also want to advocate for an 8 expansion of CUNY LEADS. In my prior 9 10 chairmanship I chaired a task force on people with disabilities, worked very closely with 11 12 Deputy Chancellor Rosa and Judy as well, and your whole team. So I want to advocate for 13 14 that program and an expansion of that program 15 as well. 16 Now I chair the Committee on Corrections and work very closely with John 17 18 Jay College on their correction program where 19 they actually visit state facilities, and 20 especially at Otisville. And they have 21 graduations, there are many, many inmates 22 that receive undergraduate degrees as well as master's degrees from John Jay. It's a great 23 24 program.

1 CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: We're 2 very proud of that program. 3 ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: You probably know 4 some of the people. CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Yes, I 5 6 do. 7 ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: And President Carol Mason has been very supportive of the 8 9 program. 10 CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Yes, she 11 is. ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: So I'd like to 12 13 see an expansion of that program as well, and 14 I hope you'll be supportive of that. 15 Thank you. 16 CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Assemblyman Weprin, I assure you that we are. 17 We see this as exciting, it's mission-driven 18 19 work, and we completely support it. Thank 20 you. 21 ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Great. Thank 22 you. 23 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 24 Senate?

1 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator 2 Gounardes. SENATOR GOUNARDES: Hi. Thank you, 3 Chancellor. And I apologize if some of my 4 5 questions might be repetitive of what my 6 colleagues have asked. 7 I'm a proud CUNY alum. I graduated Hunter College. I have CUNY Kingsboro in my 8 district. And I believe that my district has 9 10 one of the largest percentage of students who 11 attend Brooklyn College. It's also where my 12 parents met. 13 (Laughter.) SENATOR GOUNARDES: So CUNY is -- in 14 15 so many ways CUNY has shaped my life from top 16 to bottom. 17 (Laughter.) SENATOR GOUNARDES: So I come here and 18 19 I ask these questions in the spirit of advocating for an institution that has meant 20 21 a lot to me. 22 And one of the things I really wanted to focus on is -- and I've heard from a lot 23 of people on this -- that we're kind of 24

1 hitting a wall, it seems like, in terms of 2 our ability to meet class instruction slots 3 because we're hitting enrollment caps -- not caps, it's the wrong word. We don't have 4 5 enough teachers to teach the classes that we need, so students are delaying their 6 7 graduation requirements because they can't get access to the core requirements they need 8 or for their electives or their majors or 9 10 things like that. 11 So what are we doing or what efforts 12 or steps can we take to increase the availability of classes and teachers and 13 14 hiring teachers to teach those classes, to 15 make sure that students aren't delaying 16 graduation? CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: You ask a 17 18 terrific question. And it's something we 19 grapple with at CUNY. 20 What we have found in recent years --21 and I'm going to turn to Associate Provost 22 Crook in a moment -- but course availability is a problem in select areas in majors. You 23 24 may have read just this weekend computer

science is becoming a nightmare across the
 country because students want these courses
 and simply cannot get in.

And because many of our students work 4 5 outside of CUNY, they often meet tight schedules. Among the things we're doing --6 7 it's not the only answer, and I don't want you to think that I think it's the only 8 answer -- but we are in the process of 9 10 procuring a technology called Visual Scheduler that will enable students to look 11 12 at different possible schedules with their 13 advisor and find optimal schedules, whether 14 it's within a CUNY college or perhaps find 15 courses to which they can register all throughout CUNY. 16

Course availability I believe is a selective problem, but it's serious. You also, though -- in your question is the question about instructors, can we find enough instructors to teach the courses. And there again, frankly, it depends on area. In certain areas where there is high

24 student demand and relatively few full-time

1 faculty -- computer science, accounting, 2 nursing -- I mean, we can put out 3 advertisements for nurses, accountants and computer scientists and, even in a hot 4 5 New York market, not be able to hire anybody, any full-time people. 6 7 So availability remains an issue, but it's selective. Course availability we're 8 working on. Maximizing the use of our 9 10 facilities we're working on. David, do you have anything to add to 11 12 this, please? ASSOCIATE PROVOST CROOK: As a part of 13 14 our first-year momentum campaign, we are 15 encouraging students to take a full credit 16 load, 15 credits per semester. This is part and parcel of the Excelsior Scholarship as 17 well. But to offer the courses that students 18 19 need to meet those goals, that implies 20 discussions with the departments to make the 21 courses available when students can take 22 them. So that campaign, together with the 23 24 effort to put in place degree maps, a

1 semester-by-semester listing of the courses 2 that each student needs to take to graduate 3 on time, has led to a series of productive discussions at our colleges about making 4 5 courses available when students need them. 6 As Vita mentioned also, the e-permit 7 process, allowing students to take courses elsewhere. Our efforts to expand online 8 9 courses is a another part of this strategy. 10 So we are working on this. We're 11 painfully aware that this can be an issue at 12 some of our schools. 13 SENATOR GOUNARDES: Just asking a follow-up, you mentioned that the inability 14 15 or the difficulty in finding full-time 16 instructors for some of these course areas --CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: In some 17 18 areas, yes. 19 SENATOR GOUNARDES: Is this just a 20 matter of finding qualified individuals who 21 want to teach full-time, or is it also -- I'm 22 concerned about the growing trend nationwide, and I'm sure it's been discussed here as 23 24 well, of moving towards a totally adjunct

1 system.

2	And so there's a balance, there's a
3	tension: We can't find full-time, but maybe
4	we're not looking for full-time I'm not
5	saying that's the case. But I want to get a
6	sense from you as to what we're doing to not
7	be moving to a part-time instructional staff
8	and shortchanging students because of that.
9	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: It's a
10	great question. And again, we want to hire
11	above replacement levels and we'll need
12	help, which is why it's in our budget
13	request: 200 full-time faculty a year,
14	across the university, for the life cycle of
15	this budget request.
16	We greatly value our adjuncts. They
17	bring a dimension that sometimes full-time
18	faculty can't bring because they work in
19	industry, they have a particular form of
20	expertise. So, you know, it's not as if we
21	want you know, we want our core of adjunct
22	faculty that have contributed so much and are
23	committed to CUNY. But we have to accelerate
24	our hiring of full-time faculty.

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
2	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: I know
3	I've run out of time.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
5	Assembly.
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
7	Pichardo.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN PICHARDO: Thank you,
9	Madam Chair.
10	It's always nice to see you guys.
11	Thank you so much for your effort and
12	everything, your time.
13	I just have a couple of questions.
14	First and foremost, I heard I just want to
15	make sure this number is correct. The TAP
16	gap is 72 million, is that correct?
17	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: This year
18	it is. Yes, Assemblyman.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN PICHARDO: And it's going
20	to increase to 85?
21	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ:
22	Eighty-five million next year, yes.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN PICHARDO: Okay. And then
24	I understand that the Executive's proposal,

1 in terms of SEEK, is looking to reduce about \$4.5 million? 2 3 CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Right. And Assemblyman, we want that money restored 4 5 in SEEK and in College Discovery, its 6 associate degree counterpart. 7 ASSEMBLYMAN PICHARDO: Sure. So 8 how -- putting this into stark terms, how would this inspect, how would it reduce the 9 10 number of students you'd be able to service or the services that you are able to offer 11 12 through this program? CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: I 13 14 think -- David, correct me if I'm wrong --15 between SEEK and CD together, that's 11,000 16 together -- about -- something like that. All right, something like 11,000 students. 17 And these are 11,000 students who are 18 19 financially disadvantaged even by the 20 standards of the City University of 21 New York. And Assemblyman, we serve a 22 population of students 42 percent of whom come from families with annual incomes of 23 24 less than \$20,000 a year.

1 So the SEEK and the CD programs are 2 very important to us. ASSEMBLYMAN PICHARDO: And I 3 4 understand as well that the Executive 5 proposes a \$2.5 million reduction in terms of ASAP as well. 6 7 CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Yes. ASSEMBLYMAN PICHARDO: So since the 8 inception of ASAP, how many students have you 9 10 been able to have go through this program till this fiscal year? 11 CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Since its 12 inception, about 45,000 students. 13 14 At the current time we have 22,000 15 students in ASAP, and we have pledged to go 16 to 25,000 in fall of 2019. It's hard to overstate the effects of 17 this. I'm bursting with pride -- I had 18 19 nothing to do with its inception, but we have a program --20 21 ASSEMBLYMAN PICHARDO: You should take 22 credit for it regardless. 23 (Laughter.) 24 CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: I mean, I

1 think this is my one moment. We have a 2 program that has more than doubled reliably, 3 at scale, gets better, gets more effective as we scale it up. More than doubles the 4 5 graduation rate. The students love it. In fact, it warms my heart to know that our 6 7 students are fighting for more ASAP, because 8 it works. Right? It works.

9 It's -- and again, an area where I 10 think New York State could be a leader is an 11 ASAP-like program at the four-year level. 12 CUNY's four-year graduation rate, even with 13 all our improvements, is nowhere near where 14 it should be. We would love to be a national 15 leader in producing great four-year 16 graduation rates for an urban population.

ASSEMBLYMAN PICHARDO: You and I are
of the same mindset, Chancellor. Thank you
for that.

20 And one last question. There's a 21 \$900,000 cut to community college childcare 22 programs across the system. So my question 23 is, is there a reduction of the use of these 24 services for CUNY students across the board

1 or is this --

2	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: No. No,
3	there is not. As a matter of fact, we have
4	16 childcare centers at CUNY right now, and I
5	believe some of them have waiting lists.
6	There is no intention to reduce our
7	commitment. In fact, like the Governor, we
8	want to serve we for the first time in
9	my very long, my more than 40 years at CUNY,
10	I see a budget that puts meeting student's
11	basic needs at the front and center. It's
12	food insecurity, it's homelessness, it's
13	mental health needs, it's all of it. And
14	childcare for single parents, for others who
15	are struggling with getting a degree, is
16	essential.
17	So I think we're all together on this,
18	and we'll get together on it.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN PICHARDO: Thank you.
20	Thank you, Madam Chair.
21	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
22	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
23	Senator John Liu, recently a professor
24	at CUNY, but we stole him.

1	(Laughter.)
2	SENATOR LIU: Not fully yet, Madam
3	Chair. They've got me for one more semester.
4	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Does that
5	make me your boss, Senator?
6	SENATOR LIU: Yes. Yes, it would make
7	you, yes. Welcome, Madam Boss.
8	(Laughter.)
9	SENATOR LIU: Great to see you and
10	these awesome vice chancellors and associate
11	provost, welcome to this chamber.
12	And as our Chairperson Liz Krueger has
13	already said, I've been an adjunct for many
14	years now. And I know I unfortunately,
15	there's multiple meetings that we have to
16	shuttle right now, but I walked in as Senator
17	Gounardes was asking you about the adjunct
18	situation. And so, I mean, if it's been
19	asked and answered, you simply tell me asked
20	and answered and I'll look at the videotape.
21	But I'm just looking to see, you know,
22	are we still trending towards more a
23	higher percentage of adjuncts as opposed to
24	full-time faculty?

1 CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Senator, 2 again, if you look at our statistics over the 3 last few years, an honest person would have to say it looks as if we are, but that is not 4 5 by design. And again, it's not because we don't value adjuncts. Because we hire 6 7 adjunct professors, we're able to hire people like you who otherwise we would not be able 8 to have teaching our CUNY students. And it 9 10 means the world to them to see successful 11 practitioners, policymakers, whatever. 12 But no, we do not intend to trend down in that. And I believe especially in our 13 senior colleges -- I'll be frank. In our 14 15 senior colleges we've gone as far as we can 16 go. We need to hire full-time --SENATOR LIU: When you say "gone as 17 18 far as we can go," does it start affecting accreditation at some point? Is that the 19 20 threshold we're approaching? CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: That's a 21 22 good guestion. It can. It absolutely can. As university provost, I sat in on 23 24 many college accreditations or whatever.

1 Where it really matters is in professional 2 schools, like nursing schools. When your 3 engineering school is being accredited, they want to see faculty-to-student ratios that 4 5 they feel confident in. They don't want to see that you are not committed with, you 6 7 know, full-time lines and all of that. 8 So yes. To answer your question, yes, it can affect accreditation. To my 9 knowledge, it has not threatened it at CUNY, 10 and we don't want it ever to. 11 12 SENATOR LIU: Okay. And you mentioned 13 that having adjunct faculty allows CUNY to 14 bring in people who have experience that may 15 not be along traditional academic lines. But 16 is that the driving factor towards this -the heavier weighting towards adjuncts? Or is 17 there a fiscal matter at hand here? 18 19 CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: I will 20 answer honestly. It's fiscal. Not that 21 we -- I mean, in other words, we --22 SENATOR LIU: Need more money. CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: We need 23 24 more baselined money to do the hiring we want

1 to do.

2 Matt, would you --3 CUNY CFO SAPIENZA: The only thing I want to add to the chancellor's very good 4 5 response is that our colleges have done a really good job in adding more full-time 6 7 faculty lines over the last 10 years. One of the issues we've had is that our enrollment 8 has grown faster than we've been able to add 9 10 full-time faculty lines. So that's a good 11 problem to have, because we want more enrollment, we want to have access and --12 13 SENATOR LIU: Just a few years ago 14 there was a declining enrollment; right? 15 SUNY CFO SAPIENZA: -- but that's one 16 of the issues we haven't been able to catch 17 up. 18 SENATOR LIU: Wasn't there a declining enrollment just a few years ago? 19 20 SUNY CFO SAPIENZA: No, enrollment the 21 last few years -- this year we're up a little 22 bit. The last three years I'd say the enrollment has been stable overall, it's been 23 24 steady or ticking up a little bit. But

1 post-recession, from 2009 to 2012 especially, 2 we had a surge in enrollments. And so 3 although we were able to use some of the revenue from that enrollment to hire new 4 5 full-time faculty, we haven't really been able to make a dent in terms of the 6 7 percentage of instruction taught by full-time faculty. 8 SENATOR LIU: And since adjuncts save 9 10 so much money, right, is there any thought to giving the adjuncts something that they might 11 12 be able to live on? I am not speaking for 13 myself here, okay, but certainly --14 CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Senator, I understand --15 16 SENATOR LIU: I mean, we value the adjuncts. Some of them teach very full 17 18 loads. 19 SUNY CFO SAPIENZA: We greatly value 20 the adjuncts. And I just want to add that 21 we -- in working with the faculty union, the 22 Professional Staff Congress, over the last several years we were able to come to an 23 24 agreement that provided some of our adjuncts

1 with health insurance, which was a first here 2 at the university. We were also able to 3 agree that adjuncts who are teaching six credits over multiple years would get 4 5 multiyear appointments, would get three-year appointments --6 7 SENATOR LIU: I'm out of time. We need more full-time faculty, but we need to 8 treat adjuncts fairly. 9 10 (Applause from the audience.) CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: That's 11 12 exactly right. That's exactly right. I join 13 with my colleagues in front and behind me. 14 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 15 Assemblyman Smith. ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: Well, that was a 16 perfect transition, because I want to talk 17 18 about the adjuncts also. I have some information from our maintenance of effort 19 20 that many of you were in attendance for in 21 December, from the employees that serve as 22 professors and in other capacities. So what they provided to us was that 23 24 about 12,000 CUNY professors are adjuncts.

1 CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: That's 2 correct. 3 ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: That -- as you had just mentioned, that they need to teach a 4 minimum of six credit hours in order to 5 qualify for health insurance. 6 7 CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: That's correct. 8 ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: And, you know, 9 10 it's not to say that every single one is relying on this solely, but that we only have 11 12 about 2100 of them, so one in six that is 13 getting health insurance is an adjunct 14 professor. That's the number that was 15 provided. 16 And even though we've seen since 2000 a 45 percent increase in enrollment, the 17 18 number of adjuncts has doubled. So as 19 someone who is an educator -- certified at 20 the high school level, not at the university 21 level -- but I am concerned about -- when we 22 talk about the people who are filling these roles, I find that a majority of them no 23 24 longer are people that are working during the

day in a certain profession and, you know,
 doing this to add something to the value.
 They're actually cobbling together multiple,
 you know, classes that they're teaching.

5 I think when we talk about the budget, 6 the question comes back to are we balancing 7 the budget on the backs of the employees. 8 And then when the students talk to us, that 9 translates to them, because they want to have 10 that relationship with their professors.

CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Right. I 11 12 appreciate the question, Assemblyman. And 13 again, we rely on our adjuncts, that's clear. We value them greatly. And one of the things 14 15 we've been able to do -- this is small, but it's been continuous -- is when we do find 16 extra funding, because -- and we have done 17 18 that, we convert some lines into what we have 19 called adjunct conversion lines. Two hundred 20 and twenty adjunct professors have become 21 full-time professors because, you know, we 22 were able to essentially put together pots of money to do this. 23

And that is -- that's important to us.

Because again, one of the things we want to do is elevate the adjuncts who have served us already so well. It's not -- but listen, it's a -- as Senator Liu correctly said, it's a financial matter. And we're obviously in a negotiation right now. We can't negotiate in public and --

ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: Of course. 8 CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Right. 9 10 No one is expecting that. We're working -we've settled with most of our unions. We 11 12 followed the state and city patterns more or 13 less. This is -- all I can tell you is this 14 issue is very, very much on our minds. And 15 we want to come to the best place we can possibly --16

ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: Thank you. thank you for the clarity on that, because I think that's something else that's important that you just stated, that we want to maybe promote from within and take people who are already serving.

23 CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Right.24 ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: One of the things

1 that came up in December's hearing from the 2 students of CUNY were talking about campus 3 security, making our campuses more secure. Unlike maybe a typical SUNY campus that's 4 5 kind of defined in a certain way, it might be a little bit different. Can you speak to 6 7 that? I know we only have about a minute and a half. 8 CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Actually, 9 10 may I ask --SUNY CFO SAPIENZA: I want to echo 11 12 what Chancellor Johnson said earlier about 13 SUNY public safety, because I think CUNY 14 public safety just does an amazing job and 15 they're such dedicated employees. 16 And the unique thing that we have at CUNY as well that you don't see throughout 17 18 the country is the partnership with the NYPD. 19 I mean, most of our colleges, if you call the 20 NYPD, they'll be there in literally minutes. 21 So we have a great partnership with the NYPD. 22 But to the point that the students made back in the fall, we know that there's 23 24 always improvements that can be made. And

1 we're happy to work with the students to try 2 to address those concerns. 3 ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: Thank you. CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: That's 4 5 right. I do want to add one thing, Assemblyman, and that is that the sexual 6 7 harassment surveys, while it did focus on sexual misconduct, I'm pleased to say one 8 thing we took from it is our students do feel 9 10 safe on our campuses. 11 And again, I also want to applaud our 12 remarkable public safety peace officer corps. 13 Judy, is there anything you want to 14 add about -- no, okay. Okay, thank you. 15 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Before we go to 16 the Senate, we were joined a little bit earlier by Assemblywoman Griffin, a member of 17 18 the Higher Ed Committee. 19 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And we're on 20 second round. 21 Senator John Liu. 22 SENATOR LIU: Why, thank you, Madam Chair. Nice to have seconds. 23 24 I just -- I wanted to understand how

1	much CUNY's involvement would be in this
2	Amazon deal, because it's been highly touted
3	and CUNY has been kind of a cheerleader for
4	this controversial deal.
5	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Yes.
6	Yes.
7	SENATOR LIU: So, you know, if you
8	prefer to take the fifth, that's okay too.
9	(Laughter.)
10	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: No, I
11	listen no, no. Senator Liu, it's a great
12	question, given recent events.
13	Both Chair Bill Thompson and I have
14	been public supporters of the Amazon deal.
15	And pure and simple, the main reason we are
16	so excited about this is we see it as
17	providing unparalleled opportunities for our
18	students.
19	Now, you may say rightly they're
20	talking about 25,000 jobs in the near future.
21	What is that when you graduate 54,000
22	students a year? And of course CUNY is not
23	relying on Amazon in any way, shape or form.
24	What CUNY wants to do is better connect our

students to the dynamic future world of work,
 of which tech is an important part.

3 In the Amazon deal, we almost lost sight of the fact that Google plans to add 4 5 7,000 jobs in New York City. We've got great partnerships with Verizon, with Microsoft, 6 7 with other great companies. But the Amazon 8 deal is special, and in part because CUNY was part of the pitch to bring Amazon to 9 10 New York. Our chair was in the lead, with our university dean for continuing ed and 11 12 workforce development.

13 And we see it also as a way of 14 improving CUNY's ways of connecting students 15 to meaningful career exploration, 16 understanding what it's like to work in a 17 sector, to prepare great resumes, to prepare for interviews. We see it as a means of 18 19 lifting CUNY up and connecting our students 20 to the world of work. We also see it as an 21 opportunity for our faculty to collaborate with dynamic, cutting-edge leaders. 22

23 So 25,000 of those -- excuse me, half 24 of those 25,000 jobs will be in non-tech areas, by the way: Human resources, legal,
 business processes, sales. So we just see a
 world of opportunity. And Amazon is working
 closely with us. Senator, we're at the table
 with Amazon.

SENATOR LIU: Well, I hope you can be 6 7 more aggressive with Amazon at the table, because, you know, you mentioned the 7,000 8 Google jobs. They're going to -- that's 9 10 going to be a huge benefit to CUNY graduates as well. And how much is Google asking for? 11 12 Nada, zilch. Meanwhile, Amazon's going to cost us \$3 billion. If we get a fraction of 13 14 that for CUNY, that would be wonderful. 15 (Scattered applause.) CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: That's 16 our hope. We are at the table, I'll tell you 17 18 that. 19 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. Assemblyman Epstein. 20 21 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Thank you. 22 Thank you for taking the time to be 23 with us today. 24 CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Of

1 course.

2	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: And just like my
3	colleague on the Senate side, I think
4	whatever we can do on your side making sure
5	that we keep our dollars, our public dollars
6	for a public purpose, I think we're all on
7	board. And any way we can get that \$3
8	billion back that we haven't spent, that
9	would be great.
10	But first I want to talk to you about
11	students with disabilities. I know we've
12	heard a little bit about it. And with an
13	increase in the student population, what do
14	you think the financial needs are that CUNY
15	has to really serve those students with
16	disabilities to ensure that they can get a
17	high-quality education and get good job
18	placement?
19	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: You raise
20	a great question. Can I yes, Vice
21	Chancellor.
22	VICE CHANCELLOR ROSA: Thanks so much,
23	Assemblymember, for inquiring.
24	We mentioned earlier that the

1 population of students with disabilities has 2 grown by a third in the last 25 years, but 3 the dimensions of that population have also changed. We have an exponentially growing 4 5 number of neurodiverse students that we didn't see 25 years ago who are remarkably 6 7 bright and relying on CUNY more than ever 8 before for opportunities in college and 9 beyond, but are tremendously service 10 intensive in order to level the playing field so they have equal access and opportunity to 11 12 higher education.

CUNY has more than 270 deaf students 13 14 enrolled across its campuses. And it's not 15 uncommon for a full-time deaf student, for 16 sign language interpretation or real-time captioning, to exceed \$50,000 a year per 17 student. Last year, as a university, we 18 19 spent \$1.3 million on services for deaf and 20 hard-of-hearing students alone.

21 So the cost of providing high-quality 22 accommodation and support services has grown 23 dramatically. And the investment that we 24 hope the state will be able to make through

1 the State Education Department proposal 2 across higher ed sectors would help us to 3 meet those costs for those burgeoning student populations. 4 5 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Thank you. VICE CHANCELLOR ROSA: Thank you. 6 7 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: So I wanted to 8 get back to what Senator Krueger was talking about with this site on 74th and York, 9 10 because there was a land swap of a site in my district with that site. And I know you said 11 12 it's a priority, but not the highest 13 priority. But we have basically a hole in 14 the ground there, and we have other projects 15 potentially being held up, or CUNY has the 16 opportunity to use that site that the swap was for. 17 18 So I'm wondering what's the timeline, 19 what's it look like? So we can all be clear, 20 you know, what's happened, what's going to 21 happen. Is this project going forward? And 22 if it isn't going forward, what are the opportunities to deal with the ongoing 23 24 maintenance of existing buildings?

1 CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Right. I 2 will kick off the answer. Again, we are in a 3 -- you know, this is an ongoing challenge. I 4 did not -- if I implied that this was not a 5 top priority, then I apologize, because I was not being clear. It is a top priority. It 6 7 is true --ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: But you said 8 9 Lehman is a higher priority, so --10 CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: No, I --11 actually, I'm going to let Vice Chancellor 12 Bergtraum clear that up. VICE CHANCELLOR BERGTRAUM: The Lehman 13 14 issue is that we are designing Lehman, and 15 that's the next one we're probably going to 16 do construction on. And that has waited quite a few years for that to happen. 17 18 So we weren't comparing -- I was just 19 explaining what the process is and how we 20 built 10 new buildings, we opened two this 21 year, we're going to open another one. And 22 then we have -- basically, in our request, we have seven other buildings. So what I was 23 24 trying to explain is how the process works.

1 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: So where in the 2 landscape does this property --VICE CHANCELLOR BERGTRAUM: It's one 3 4 of -- one of --5 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: It's one of the 6 seven. 7 VICE CHANCELLOR BERGTRAUM: It's one of the seven, yes. 8 9 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: And so what is 10 your timeline, then, to move forward on this 11 versus the other six? 12 VICE CHANCELLOR BERGTRAUM: Well, I 13 think we will see after this budget process 14 what happens, and then we'll make some 15 determinations. 16 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: And are you saying depending on this budget it will be 17 18 within a three-to-five-year plan, or is it a 19 longer time frame? What are you thinking? 20 VICE CHANCELLOR BERGTRAUM: It depends 21 on what happens as far as the funding goes. 22 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Okay. Be great to follow up after the budget, then, to have 23 24 that conversation.

1

CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ:

2 Absolutely.

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: And then the 3 last thing is just on the Excelsior. I know 4 5 you talked about only 3300 students who now have used Excelsior. I'm wondering what you 6 7 think would be necessary to tweak or change 8 the program to make it more accessible to more CUNY students. Clearly my concern is 9 10 the large part-time SUNY student population 11 who has no access to the program. But what 12 other things do you see in Excelsior that 13 could be changed that more students could 14 have access to it?

15 CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Matt,
16 would you like to try to take --

SUNY CFO SAPIENZA: Sure. I think the 17 18 best thing at this point, because it is still a fairly new program, is something that we're 19 20 doing internally and our campuses are really 21 working on, is getting the communication out 22 to students to let them know when the filing dates are, what their requirements are, what 23 24 the eligibility requirements are.

1	So I think, you know, as for CUNY and
2	our colleges, better communications, I think,
3	is something that I would cite.
4	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Right.
5	And we want to continue monitoring those
6	trends that we talked to you about,
7	Assemblyman. For instance, higher GPA
8	actually, much higher. Higher retention
9	rates, does that continue? We can't you
10	know, it is very new.
11	What I'll tell you we're doing right
12	now is we're leveraging Excelsior and the
13	advertising about it and its public face, to
14	change the culture of CUNY to one in which
15	taking 15 credits a semester, taking 30
16	credits a year, becomes normal. Because what
17	we see is when our students do this, they
18	succeed. When they attempt 15 credits a
19	semester, they earn it. I'm not saying a
20	hundred percent of the students; that
21	wouldn't be true. But what we're finding is
22	our students can do more and better, and
23	Excelsior is one of the ways that we are
24	achieving a larger change in

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
2	Assemblywoman Simon.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Thank you.
4	I wanted to sort of follow up on
5	Assemblymember Epstein's question with regard
6	to students with disabilities and the cost.
7	I think you mentioned earlier, Vice
8	Chancellor Rosa, that the formula by which
9	you allocate services or you calculate the
10	costs or the I guess it's our formula that
11	we use to fund those costs is 25 years out
12	of date.
13	Do you have a proposed change of
14	formula for to finance those services?
15	VICE CHANCELLOR ROSA: Thank you for
16	
	asking, Assemblymember.
17	We endorse the State Education
17 18	
	We endorse the State Education
18	We endorse the State Education Department's proposed funding methodology in
18 19	We endorse the State Education Department's proposed funding methodology in its Executive Budget request, which would
18 19 20	We endorse the State Education Department's proposed funding methodology in its Executive Budget request, which would call for a \$15 million investment in
18 19 20 21	We endorse the State Education Department's proposed funding methodology in its Executive Budget request, which would call for a \$15 million investment in post-secondary education services for

would be allocated to each of the sectors
 based on an FTE model.

3 And at CUNY, that would double our existing commitment to students with 4 5 disabilities and would allow us to keep pace with existing needs. And we hear from our 6 7 colleagues from the other sectors that it would have a catalytic effect on their 8 9 efforts to create equal access and 10 opportunity for students with disabilities. ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Thank you. A 11 12 sort of follow-up question to that is this --13 we're talking about the cost for students 14 with disabilities to provide accommodations 15 and support services. There are a number of 16 different programs -- opportunity programs, other programs that also serve students with 17 disabilities. 18

19Have you broken them down to see where20those costs are in terms of what portion,21proportion of those programs are serving22students with disabilities? And also if23there are capital needs particular to24students with disabilities, whether it's

bricks and mortar or it's the technology, for
 example, which is increasingly something that
 is providing access for our neurodiverse
 students.

5 VICE CHANCELLOR ROSA: Sure. And I'll defer to my colleague Senior Vice Chancellor 6 7 Bergtraum, who speaks regularly with our students with disabilities, the CUNY 8 Coalition for Students with Disabilities, 9 10 about their most significant access needs, which have become priorities in our capital 11 12 plan.

In terms of -- we look wherever 13 14 possible for synergies with existing 15 opportunity programs that serve a large number of students with disabilities. So in 16 terms of academic support services, we're 17 18 able to leverage a lot of support for SEEK and College Discovery. So the investment in 19 20 SEEK and College Discovery is important for 21 all students who are historically 22 underrepresented in higher ed, but particularly for students with disabilities. 23 24 We rely heavily on campuses that have

1 TRIO programs -- student support services 2 programs which serve a large number of 3 students with disabilities -- and we rely on those funds as well. 4 5 So you raise a really important point, that CUNY relies on the support of other 6 7 program infrastructures and funding streams 8 in order to create access and opportunity for students with disabilities. 9 10 ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Thank you. VICE CHANCELLOR BERGTRAUM: We use 11 12 critical maintenance money for that, and 13 every renovation that we do of every space, 14 we look at those needs and make the changes that are needed. And we have a massive 15 16 program for renovations of bathrooms. And we meet with what was just described and see if 17 18 there's specific problems. So we have a very 19 large program for that. 20 ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Mentioning TRIO

-- which actually I ran a TRIO program years
ago -- what is that funding looking like now?
I mean, in terms of the federal government,
are they continuing to fund TRIO?

1 VICE CHANCELLOR ROSA: We hold our 2 breath each and every year, and so far not only -- fortunately, not only has it been 3 4 maintained, but we've received increases, 5 particularly for student support services 6 which serve students with disabilities. So 7 fingers crossed. ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Okay. And I 8 just want to say that my school is City Tech, 9 10 and I've been waiting for an invitation to that new building, so thank you. 11 12 CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: When is 13 the ribbon cutting? 14 VICE CHANCELLOR BERGTRAUM: The ribbon 15 cutting is February 14th. 16 CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Assemblywoman, you'll get your invitation. 17 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: We'll bring 18 chocolate and flowers. 19 20 (Laughter.) 21 ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Thank you. 22 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. I wanted to say -- thank Brooklyn 23 24 College for bringing my parents together,

1	because otherwise I probably wouldn't have
2	been here to speak to you.
3	(Laughter.)
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: I had a
5	question. Can you just talk about the
6	community college enrollment, where that is
7	today? Are things getting are we having
8	more students at community college, fewer?
9	And are they leaving community college and
10	going to the four-year colleges?
11	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: It's a
12	great question, Senator, in part because it's
13	a moving target.
14	CUNY has had about 94,000 or 95,000
15	students for a few years. The last three
16	years have shown a very slight trend
17	downward, more at a few colleges than others.
18	Again, nothing precipitous, nothing
19	cataclysmic at all. And the truth is, we
20	think it can be multiply explained, including
21	by good things.
22	Number one, we've seriously increased
23	the graduation rates at community colleges.
24	Second, at CUNY lots of our students start at

1 community colleges not so much to learn a --2 not so much in workforce development programs, but they're looking to transfer to 3 4 CUNY senior colleges. They state this in 5 surveys. Something like 80 percent of them, they want to go to a CUNY senior college. So 6 7 whenever they can, they'll go. It is also the case that the economy, 8 as Matt has already pointed out, has gotten a 9 10 little better. So again, we're monitoring this, 11 12 because before this it had been up and up and 13 up in almost every sector. The sector we're 14 seeing an improvement in right now is 15 graduate schools. The community college, 16 flat to slight decline. So what else can -- I think you asked 17 18 a second part that I'm forgetting? 19 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Well, I think 20 you combined the two. 21 One of the Assembly employees is 22 helping add to that increased graduate school 23 enrollment. 24 I also would just ask at some point

1 following the hearing if you could have someone from CUNY's counsel's office be in 2 3 touch with my office about this particular issue I want to just raise briefly. 4 5 This summer, there was a tour of Jamaica Bay that was going to take place on a 6 7 CUNY research vessel, and I was sent this 8 assumption of risk and waiver of liability, 9 and some of the provisions are pretty 10 concerning. So I don't know if this is a systemwide standard waiver or if this was 11 12 particularly done for this boat trip, which I 13 declined to go on. 14 CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Senator 15 {sic}, thank you. I know nothing about this, 16 but we will follow up. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: But if we could 17 just look into this, because I wouldn't want 18 19 people to be deterred. And also it seems to 20 be really overly broad, sort of like when you 21 pull into the garage and you give them your key and you get that back of the card that 22 says "We're not responsible for anything." 23 24 (Laughter.)

1 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So if we could 2 just follow up with that. 3 Thank you again for the work that CUNY does. 4 5 And we just have one -- Assemblywoman Glick for some follow-up questions for you. 6 7 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Just a couple. 8 I think that Assemblymember Epstein went over 9 some of the things. 10 But could you identify some of the -other than interpreters for the deaf and the 11 12 hard-of-hearing students, what other kinds of services are provided, so that we understand 13 14 why the costs are so high? VICE CHANCELLOR ROSA: Sure. Thank 15 16 you for asking. So 25 years ago the internet was a 17 twinkle in all of our eyes, and now all of 18 our instruction is delivered digitally. And 19 20 so the cost of making sure that all instructional materials are in an accessible 21 22 format is significant. And quite frankly, all higher ed institutions, including ours, 23 24 are -- we're doing due diligence, but we're

1 constantly having to keep up. And so that is 2 an important opportunity for investment for us, in order to create equal access and 3 opportunity. 4 5 For neurodiverse students, in particular for people on the autism spectrum, 6 7 there's a lot of coaching -- not just academic coaching, but managing comportment 8 in the higher ed setting. And it's 9 10 important, and students on the spectrum 11 thrive with that kind of support. But it is 12 very service-intensive. 13 Those are two examples of the type of 14 ways in which the dimensions of the challenge 15 has changed. But readily achievable with 16 kind of targeted investments. CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Chris, is 17 18 transportation part of the answer to this, 19 the costs of transportation? 20 VICE CHANCELLOR ROSA: Transportation 21 is critical for us. That's typically a 22 service of a personal nature. It's a cost for us when we want to make sure that 23 24 students with disabilities can participate

1 richly in co-curricular life, so they have 2 equal opportunity for student life 3 opportunities and student leadership 4 opportunities. 5 So thank you, Chancellor, that is a dimension that wasn't present necessarily. 6 7 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Chancellor, one quick question. You indicated that there was 8 a desire to move some of the adjuncts who 9 10 have been long-serving into some of those 11 full-time lines. 12 CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Yes. ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: It sounded like 13 14 that was a once-in-a-while. I'm wondering 15 what the actual process is for someone to be 16 considered, and how difficult is it for an adjunct to actually be in the queue, as it 17 18 were? 19 CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Right. 20 Right. Assemblywoman, you're right. The 21 adjunct professor needs to qualify, usually 22 by virtue of years of service, strong peer and student evaluations, and a few other --23 24 and even, possibly, need in an area.

1 I believe it's happened about three or 2 four times. Matt, could you --SUNY CFO SAPIENZA: Four, yeah. 3 I think we've done four 4 5 university-wide initiatives, and in each of those we've had agreements with the faculty 6 7 union to move, say, 50 at a time into --8 adjuncts into lecturer positions. 9 So it happens throughout the year. In 10 terms of when campuses are hiring lecturers, 11 it's very often that adjuncts at that campus 12 could qualify, as the chancellor said, for that position. 13 14 But we have had I think it's four 15 rounds in the last 10 years where we've had 16 an agreement with the union where we were going to move a large chunk of the adjuncts 17 18 into lecturer vacancies. 19 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: My time has 20 expired. I would only suggest that if in 21 fact there are adjunct faculty that have 22 served the university, that it shouldn't sound like moving someone through the eye of 23 24 a needle. So I just want to --

CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ:
Understood.
ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: make that
point.
(Scattered applause from audience.)
ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you very
much.
CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
That concludes the interrogation.
(Laughter.)
CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Thank
you.
CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for
being here today.
Next, State Education Department,
Commissioner MaryEllen Elia.
(Off the record.)
CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Commissioner,
thank you for being here. Feel free to
begin.
SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Would you like
me to begin?
CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yes. Yes,
please. Thank you.

1	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Thank you.
2	So good afternoon, Chairs Krueger,
3	Weinstein, Stavisky and Glick, and members of
4	the Senate and Assembly here today. My name
5	is MaryEllen Elia, and I'm the Commissioner
6	of Education for New York State.
7	I'm joined at the table by Senior
8	Deputy Commissioner for P-20 Jhone Ebert,
9	Deputy Commissioner of the Office of Higher
10	Education John D'Agati. On my left is Deputy
11	Commissioner for the Office of Professions
12	Doug Lentivech and Deputy Commissioner for
13	the Office of Adult Career & Continuing
14	Education Services Kevin Smith.
15	You have my full testimony in front of
16	you. The Regents priorities in higher
17	education are laser-focused on equity and
18	access to post-secondary education
19	opportunities, particularly for our
20	underrepresented students, because we know
21	from multiple indicators, as you can see on
22	Slide 2, college completion leads to better
23	employment opportunities and higher income.
24	As you can see on Slides 3 through 7,

1 the Regents recommend an increase of 2 \$10 million for our higher education 3 opportunity programs. These programs provide access to post-secondary education for 4 5 students that are at the highest risk of either not attending college or not 6 7 completing a degree. Over 38,000 students are served by one 8 of these programs, which would reach more 9 10 students across the state with these recommended additional investments. Under 11 12 our STEP and CSTEP programs, for example, we 13 would provide students with opportunities to 14 participate in STEM-based research, 15 internships, or exploratory career 16 opportunities at area facilities. On Slide 8, we emphasize the need for 17 18 our teaching workforce to be as diverse as the student populations being served by our 19 schools. This benefits all students. 20

21 Our Teacher Opportunity Corps, or TOC 22 II program, continues to be funded by the My 23 Brother's Keeper initiative, for which we 24 want to thank all of you again, especially

1 Speaker Heastie. We're asking for an 2 additional \$500,000 in funding to support new 3 programs and expand existing programs. 4 TOC II is designed to increase the 5 participation rate of individuals identified as underrepresented and underserved in the 6 7 teaching profession -- African American, Hispanic American and American Indian or 8 Alaskan natives. This program also aims to 9 10 enhance the preparation of teachers in addressing the learning needs of students in 11 12 high-need districts and to become culturally responsive and sustaining educators. 13 14 Finally, TOC II will assist in the 15 recruitment, retention and certification 16 activities necessary to increase the supply of qualified teachers in schools and 17 18 districts experiencing teacher shortages, and 19 those with a high concentration of students 20 at risk as well as our struggling schools. 21 We appreciate the strong support 22 you've demonstrated for opportunity programs. These programs work, and your support and 23 24 funding has made a difference.

1 Our Access and Opportunity Agenda also 2 includes enactment of the New York State 3 Senator Jose Peralta DREAM Act. We were very excited to finally see this legislation pass 4 5 both houses. The Regents and the department have long advocated for this important 6 7 legislation, which is highlighted on Slide 9. We look forward to the DREAM Act being signed 8 into law so these young New Yorkers are no 9 10 longer punished for decisions that they had no control over. 11

12 As you negotiate this budget, please remember that our workforce and the workforce 13 14 pipeline are the state's most important infrastructure -- and our best economic 15 16 development program. A state-of-the-art workforce pipeline does not depend only on 17 18 traditional college pathways. As you can see 19 on Slide 10, the Regents are requesting a 20 \$3 million investment in bridge programs to 21 enable out-of-school youth and adults to 22 obtain essential basic skills, a high school equivalency diploma, industry-recognized 23 24 credentials, and preparation for

1 post-secondary study and careers.

2 The programs would consist of 3 partnerships between an adult education 4 program and colleges or training providers of 5 demonstrated effectiveness. This proposal could pilot up to 10 bridge programs across 6 7 New York State. One of the best examples of this 8 bridge program model exists at CUNY's 9 10 LaGuardia Community College. Described on Slide 11 is our proposal 11 12 that would enhance supports and services for post-secondary success of our students with 13 14 disabilities. A number of you have mentioned 15 that particular proposal to both our SUNY and 16 our CUNY leaders.

The department has been engaged in 17 18 collaborative efforts with our higher 19 education sectors to establish a legislative 20 framework advocating for critical new funding 21 that would supplement, not supplant, any 22 other funding in existence for such services. This would require funding a \$15 million 23 24 grant program that would be allocated in a

1 proportional manner by each identified 2 student with a disability to eligible 3 degree-granting colleges, pursuant to a plan that I would approve. 4 5 And specifically the program would be designed to supplement funding for support 6 7 and accommodations for students with disabilities; support summer college 8 preparation programs to assist individuals 9 10 with disabilities with the transition to college and prepare them to navigate campus 11 12 facilities and systems; provide full- and 13 part-time college faculty and staff with 14 disability training; and improve the identification process of individuals with 15 16 disabilities and enhance data-collection capabilities. 17 We need to start this critical 18 conversation of how as a state we can better 19 20 support our students with disabilities to be 21 successful in college. On Slides 12 through 17, we provide 22 you with updates on the work of the Office of 23 24 Professions. I want to bring particular

1 attention to the office's modernization and 2 electronic licensing activities on Slide 16. 3 In 2009, the Legislature and Executive approved a 15 percent registration fee 4 5 increase so that we could replace a 35-year-old COBOL-based licensing system and 6 7 enhance our customer service. Unfortunately, many of the goals 8 proposed in the advocacy for this fee 9 10 increase have not yet been realized, due to 11 ever-rising costs, increased 12 statutory-related responsibilities and a 13 tightening of spending controls and waiver 14 approvals, which decreased our staff to unsustainable levels. 15 16 Recently, though, we've started to see some progress in these areas. We want to 17 18 thank the Governor for increasing the Office 19 of Professions general spending authority by \$7.3 million in his proposed budget, and also 20 21 ask for your support so that we can begin to 22 increase our staffing to more appropriate levels in order to improve cycle times of 23 24 both licensure and discipline processes;

provide enhanced customer service to
 licensees, employers and the public; and meet
 our mandated responsibilities of overseeing
 access to competent professional services to
 every citizen in this state.

Additionally, after many years of 6 7 requesting the authority to spend funds we already have on hand in the Office of 8 Professions account to use in our 9 10 modernization efforts, we finally received approval by both the Executive and the 11 12 Legislature last year to move forward with these critical efforts. 13

14 We again thank you for your efforts to 15 make these resources available to the 16 department. We have moved to use the funds 17 to get Phase 1 underway, which includes the 18 development of online applications and many 19 customer service improvements.

20 We want to thank the Governor for 21 including our Regents priority request in his 22 recent budget proposal and ask for your 23 support for \$7.2 million in capital spending 24 authority. This funding is needed to continue development of an electronic
 licensing system and other modernization
 activities.

Before I take your questions, I want 4 5 to thank you for the opportunity to discuss our priorities with you and for all of your 6 7 support last year. We look forward to working with you again on our shared goals, 8 and now I look forward to your questions. 9 10 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you, 11 Commissioner. We're going to go to Deborah

12 Glick, our Higher Ed chair.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you very much, Commissioner, for being here. I want to say at the outset that I know that we'll see you again, I think on February 6th, to deal with the K-12 questions that many of us will have. But we want to focus today on the work that you're doing relative to higher ed.

In your -- it may be in your
testimony; obviously we give you a rather
short time to go through an extensive
portfolio. I'm wondering about what you see
as the capacity we could, if we gave you some

1 additional resources, what the capacity is 2 for STEP and CSTEP. There are sometimes 3 delays in funding, and there have been questions that programs have had about the 4 5 need to expand and how much you estimate some of that would cost. 6 7 SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, we have 8 a \$10 million budget request in specifically for those access and opportunity programs. 9 10 It is Slide 3 in your information. You'll 11 note that in several of the programs together 12 we're serving 36,000 students. And it is 13 across the state in many different school

14 districts as well as many different

15 post-secondary opportunities.

16 These programs really support students who, without that support, have less chance 17 18 to be able to complete a college program. 19 And so we know that if we give extra supports 20 and we provide opportunities for students to 21 be involved in summer programming outside, if 22 they in fact go into programs that are connected to specifically specialized labor 23 24 markets, all of those things support students

1 to help them keep going in their degree or in 2 their program. And these programs are 3 particularly helpful in that way. So we have many institutions and 4 5 students benefiting, and we believe that \$10 million extra in those programs will really 6 make a difference. 7 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Okay. Another 8 9 way to look at it is are there applicants for 10 whom they are rejected, and what that number is. Maybe you don't have that in front of 11 12 you, but that would be --13 SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: So we will get 14 specific information. We do have, in fact, 15 numbers that relate to each of the programs 16 and how many students are being served. So for instance, in the Liberty 17 18 Partnership programs, we have 47 different 19 programs. I go to those meetings, and there 20 are many other districts and/or program areas 21 at universities that we would like to open, 22 and we put them out -- the funding that we have, we make that available. But it does 23 24 not meet the needs of all of the programs

that would like to begin having a Liberty
 Partnership.

So any point for any of these
programs, we believe that there certainly is
a need for growth.

6 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: On a completely 7 different tack, I know that you have been 8 underfunded for a period of time and that 9 there's slowly been the ability to add some 10 personnel.

11 One of the questions that we receive 12 relates to review for program authorization. 13 So a college may want to start -- they've 14 never had a psychology program, they want to 15 offer a B.A., or they have a program but do 16 not have a graduate-level authorization.

What have you been able to do in terms 17 18 of the program review process? 19 SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, we 20 actually have two areas within the department 21 where that question can reside, if you will. 22 In John D'Agati's higher ed, we review programs there for our colleges and 23 24 universities and for any degree-granting

1 institutions.

2	And so there has to be a balance. And
3	so one of the things you've pointed out is
4	the need for staff to be able to do the
5	reviews so that we make sure that the
6	programs, when they open, are providing the
7	kind of high quality that we want in New York
8	State for our students.
9	We have been working with SUNY and
10	SUNY specifically to look at the process to
11	make sure that it is as streamlined and as
12	informative for everyone that's involved in
13	it, so that as applications come in and we
14	work with them and need additional answers,
15	that they immediately know what has to happen
16	at SUNY Central and that they tell the
17	specific university.
18	So I think the streamlining is one of
19	the areas that we have, over the last three
20	years, really made some inroads in. We have
21	another area Doug Lentivech's Department of
22	the Professions has to oversee, and that is
23	very specific to areas within medical

24 professions and specific programs to prepare

1 professionals to be licensed through us.

And again, they are very And again, they are very time-consuming, and it requires coordination between our department and the particular institution that is seeking that. We are, as I said, working very closely to streamline and make sure that we can do that in a timely way.

In both of those areas, we have staff 9 10 that is right now not in place that, if they were identified for us to be able to move 11 12 them on our staff, then we would be able to do things quicker and, in the case of both of 13 14 those, higher ed and in the professions, we need the kind of staff members who have 15 16 background in those things and can then come in and make sure that we're doing what's 17 18 appropriate.

19So it is all of those things, it's the20process that we're streamlining, it's the21staffing that we need to review. And I think22it's a coordination between what we have to23do and an understanding from the perspective24of the institution of what they have to.

1 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: If you had to 2 put a number on the additional personnel, do 3 you have that, how much more staffing would 4 be required? 5 SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, right now in higher ed we have 23 openings in that 6 7 area. And that particular area also does certifications and all of the reviews of 8 9 college requests, et cetera. 10 And in the Office of Professions, we have 22 openings. And all of that -- and 11 12 those in many cases are very specialized. So 13 a person comes in and gets hired, it takes a 14 period of time to develop the skill and the 15 understanding and knowledge that are 16 necessary to make those kinds of determinations and reviews. 17 18 So those are just the two areas that 19 you mentioned. 20 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Let me ask you 21 one -- I can see that the time goes quickly. 22 And I appreciate your thorough response. In the area of specialized 23 24 education -- and I guess that's more of the

1 vocational rehab, what used to be called 2 vocational rehab and now is called, I'm sure, 3 something else -- there are a lot of adults who don't have a high school diploma but want 4 5 to start going to college. I wanted to go to graduate school and I didn't have my 6 7 undergraduate; they were very picky about that, so I had to go back and finish that. 8 SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: There's a 9 10 process. ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: There is a 11 12 process. 13 So perhaps Mr. Smith has some insight? 14 SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, we've 15 asked for the funding for the bridge 16 programs, which I think really addresses that need across the state to allow individuals 17 18 who don't even have a high school diploma to 19 access high school equivalency to get 20 training in a particular area. These are all 21 partnered with colleges that are providing --22 that can provide the training, and also for institutions and in fact some of our 23 24 for-profit schools that can provide training

1 in particular skill areas.

So that is a request that we have to
provide up to 10 programs across the state
that would mirror what's going on at
LaGuardia. Because it's an excellent bridge
program and provides that very specific
opportunity for students that don't have
their high school diploma but could expand.
ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Go ahead.
SED DEPUTY CMR. SMITH: Thank you,
Assemblywoman.
And for individuals with disabilities,
students with disabilities, we are doing
everything we can to improve our
coordination, cooperation with our Office of
Special Education to assist and support
students with disabilities to transition to
higher education opportunities, and we are in
coordination, as you've heard from the last
two testimonies, with our colleagues in the
four higher education sectors to improve the
coordination and cooperation for the
transition of students with disabilities to
higher education institutions and the

1	supports that go along with that,
2	communicating the need for accommodations of
3	those students.
4	We are also spending, through
5	ACCES-VR, which is what it's called now,
6	ACCES-Vocational Rehabilitation, in the
7	neighborhood of \$30 million in support of
8	individual students to attend our higher
9	education institutions.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
12	Senate?
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
14	Senator Toby Stavisky, chair of Higher
15	Ed.
16	SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you.
17	In the past, as you know, I've asked
18	questions about the fees that are received
19	from people looking for professional
20	licensure. And in fact we've discussed the
21	fact that 10 years ago I sponsored the
22	legislation with I believe Assemblywoman
23	Glick to increase the fees by 15 percent.
24	And there have been problems over the years

1 in terms of SED using the money for the 2 professions and to speed up applications, and 3 instead I remember one instance, your predecessor wanted -- in fact did use the fee 4 5 money for Regents exams, because they ran out of money for that. I will never forget that 6 7 discussion. SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: I'm not doing 8 9 that. 10 (Laughter.) SENATOR STAVISKY: My first reaction 11 12 to that was there would be a lot of happy high school students if we --13 14 But are we now on track in terms of 15 speeding up the licensure process in terms of 16 using the money for which it was intended? SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Yes. And 17 Senator Stavisky, I want to thank you, 18 because it really is putting us forward 19 20 now -- not at the speed with which any of us 21 feel comfortable, but I would say that last 22 year we were able to have the Division of Budget support an amount of money that we 23 24 could begin our processing. We have since

moved forward with our staffing and the
 process to start e-licensing.

3 So just for your information, one of the slides showed that we have close to a 4 5 million New Yorkers that get their licensure through our Professions Office. And what 6 7 you're talking about, I think, and I want to thank the Governor specifically for this 8 year's budget, which has in it an amount of 9 10 money, \$7.3 million, to support the staffing necessary to move all of the time periods 11 12 that can cause us all to be anxious about 13 moving things more quickly, but doing that in 14 the context of making sure that we are being 15 very thorough when we make decisions about 16 licenses and what's required there.

And also the Governor this year has put in to allow us another amount of money, \$7.2 million, specifically to support that technology upgrade and all of the functions that will come with our technology upgrade.

22 So I can say we have started it. 23 You'll note -- and if you're talking to 24 people that are putting in licenses and

1 requests for licenses, some of it is online, 2 and we are on a timetable to move that as 3 quickly as possible. So I urge you to support the 4 5 Governor's proposal because both of those amounts of money are in -- are coming from 6 7 the very account that you're talking about. SENATOR STAVISKY: Yes, and in fact I 8 9 have a copy of your budget request. 10 And along those lines, last year -- or maybe it was the year before -- there was an 11 12 issue involving spending or authorization to spend a little over \$4 million. Has that 13 14 been resolved, and did you have access to the four-point --15 16 SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Yes, 4.2. SENATOR STAVISKY: Four-point-three, I 17 18 have. 19 SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: So we have --20 now we are able to spend that money. 21 Understand that although it may have been 22 appropriated, we have to go through another process to be able to move on it. And so 23 24 we're working very closely with the Division

1 of Budget to be able to access those funds. 2 And on this particular budget 3 appropriation that the Governor's put in for 4 both of those, those are very important for 5 us. And then we will follow up to make sure 6 that we have access to the money that you 7 approve. SENATOR STAVISKY: You mentioned the 8 slides. On Slide 12, you opened 260 illegal 9 10 practice cases. What was the carryover from 11 the previous year? Because obviously --SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: 2017? 12 SENATOR STAVISKY: Chart 12. 13 14 SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: So on Slide 12 15 we have the 2018 illegal practice cases were 16 opened here. SENATOR STAVISKY: How many were 17 18 carried over from previous years? The reason 19 I ask that question is obviously you have 523 20 compliance agreements, but it's not all from 21 2018, I assume. 22 What's the backlog? That's really the 23 question. 24 DEPUTY CMR. LENTIVECH: Yeah, I'd have

to get you that exact number, Senator. There
are about -- they're pretty static each year,
so I would expect we're probably seeing a
similar number opened -- I mean, I don't know
off the top of my head, but I'm telling you
it's a static number of how many illegal
practices we see coming to us every year.

8 And we're pretty static in the number we maintain. We're not really backlogged 9 10 much in illegal practice because those cases 11 aren't cases that we take to hearing or 12 adjudicate in that way. Those are cases that 13 then are going to be referred for criminal 14 prosecution to the Attorney General's office. 15 So they don't stay in our office a ton of 16 time.

17SENATOR STAVISKY: That's the question18I'm asking. Because if there's an illegal19practice, it's detrimental to the health and20safety of the people whom they treat.

21 DEPUTY CMR. LENTIVECH: Right. And we 22 would get those over to the Attorney 23 General's office. Because remember, while 24 we'll have misconduct available against a

1 licensee, these are felony prosecutions 2 against the unlicensed people, so they're 3 handled criminally. And they're a matter of referral to that agency, and we do that 4 5 pretty regularly, pretty quickly. SENATOR STAVISKY: One other question, 6 7 on Slide 16. The applicants are applying online. And you and I have had discussions, 8 Commissioner Lentivech, in the 9 10 past concerning nursing, particularly the licensure of foreign nurses and why it takes 11 12 -- it took a year and a half for somebody to 13 receive a license, a totally gualified 14 individual. Has that been resolved, and what is 15 16 the length of time it takes for a license to be approved? 17 SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: So I remember 18 19 last year that we did talk about that. In fact, at that point in time we were requiring 20 21 applicants to go through an agency. 22 SENATOR STAVISKY: Exactly. SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: We have lifted 23 24 that requirement and said to them it's really

1 critical that we have all of the information 2 that's necessary. And I believe -- you know, 3 Doug can respond specifically on the timing, but it has gotten better. 4 5 SENATOR STAVISKY: It's gotten better and there's no longer that requirement that 6 7 ___ SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: No. 8 SENATOR STAVISKY: -- this person 9 10 spend -- it was over a thousand dollars. 11 DEPUTY CMR. LENTIVECH: Agreed. 12 And, you know, we listened to you maybe three or four years ago I think you 13 14 first brought it to us --15 SENATOR STAVISKY: That's when it was. 16 DEPUTY CMR. LENTIVECH: -- and we said this is crazy that we're making people depend 17 18 upon an enterprise that they have no control 19 over and they may be getting no results. 20 That being said, sometimes those 21 enterprises are the best deal for people too, 22 because sometimes they can get information that others can't. But we leave it up to the 23 24 individuals to do that.

1 SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you. 2 DEPUTY CMR. LENTIVECH: What we're 3 trying to do is find more and improved ways to get information from those very tricky 4 5 institutions in parts of the globe it's very difficult for us to get access to. And they, 6 7 sadly, do become the most time-concerning of 8 our applications. We're quicker on the ones where they're coming directly to us, but this 9 10 is an area where we could use more staffing, this is an area that could use more resources 11 12 because it's just difficult to get that information from some isolated schools in 13 14 some places when they have real-deal 15 education, but sometimes the way those 16 schools do business make it very difficult for us to get --17 18 SENATOR STAVISKY: This was a major 19 institution in the Philippines. It involved 20 my chief of staff's wife. I never called you 21 to expedite it, but I said to myself, what 22 happened to her shouldn't happen to anybody 23 else.

24 DEPUTY CMR. LENTIVECH: Right.

1 SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Right. DEPUTY CMR. LENTIVECH: Absolutely 2 3 appreciate it. 4 And the Philippines isn't that bad. I 5 mean, Philippines are --SENATOR STAVISKY: I understand that 6 7 they are very professionally trained and ready to -- their knowledge is certainly 8 9 superior to many other areas. That's why I 10 was concerned, because we used to talk about 11 a nursing shortage, and sometimes it can be 12 self-imposed. DEPUTY CMR. LENTIVECH: Yes, 13 14 absolutely. 15 SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you. 16 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 17 Assembly. 18 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 19 Assemblyman Barclay. 20 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Good afternoon. 21 SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Good 22 afternoon. 23 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: I've got I guess 24 a couple of specific questions, but just one

1 general.

2	On your page 9, you talk about the
3	enactment of the DREAM Act. So I appreciate
4	your support of that, but does SED have any
5	is it just generally you like the policy,
6	or what's SED have to do with the DREAM Act?
7	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: So
8	specifically well, as of now, we so let
9	me clarify your question. Are you asking us
10	what you have passed now and our reaction to
11	that?
12	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Either one. I
13	mean the proposed bill or the either the
14	Governor's proposal or the legislation we
15	passed. I'm just not sure why you opined on
16	the DREAM Act, other than maybe you just want
17	to add that you support it. But does SED
18	have any you don't have oversight of the
19	DREAM Act
20	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: We want to
21	make sure that we can serve the undocumented
22	students that have been in New York for a
23	very long period of time, generally have
24	supported and been supported by our high

1 schools, have received their diplomas, have 2 received their degrees in our schools -- and 3 the fact that they haven't had access to supports would really be a negative for them. 4 5 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: So it's just a general support of the --6 SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Yes. But 7 there are some things that we believe could 8 be supported and shifted in the Governor's 9 10 proposal -- that's the one that we've really 11 had the opportunity to review closely. And 12 we will work with anybody that would like to, 13 to clarify anything that we think would be 14 important. 15 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Okay, thank you. 16 Your Office of Professions -- I'm not overly aware of how this all works, so please 17 bear with me. How many professions do you 18 19 license? 20 SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Fifty-four. 21 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Okay. And then 22 what's the average time -- I mean, I know obviously every profession probably has a 23

different time period for licensing. But

24

1 what's -- do you have like the shortest one 2 versus the longest one, and what's the 3 longest one to receive a license? 4 DEPUTY CMR. LENTIVECH: Well, you're 5 absolutely right, it's going to be very different depending upon the profession. If 6 7 you went to a program that's in New York 8 State and they use a service that reports to us electronically and it's a state school and 9 10 we get the stuff like that, I mean, you could be talking about a few days after we get 11 12 their application from the student. If you go to a school out of the 13 14 United States and you've taken an 15 examination, it's not an examination we're 16 familiar with so we're doing all comparative reviews, those could take a very, very long 17 18 time -- if you ever meet the New York State 19 qualifications. 20 So it goes from very short to --21 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: I know it's 22 tough because obviously every case could be a little different. But is there any way to --23 24 what's your shortest versus what's the

longest? I mean, assuming things aren't jammed up because the licensee hasn't given their documents in time or something like that. But --

5 DEPUTY CMR. LENTIVECH: Yeah, I mean you should be six to eight weeks. You know, 6 7 you should be able to get stuff and get out when they're easily verified. For something 8 that doesn't require a review of experience 9 10 or something like that. When you're talking 11 about making sure they went to an accredited 12 program -- that's not unreasonable -- and 13 things.

14 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: How many people 15 do you have working in that office now? 16 DEPUTY CMR. LENTIVECH: Nowhere near what we should. It's 294 today. 17 18 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: And you said there's eighty -- that was for a school 19 20 curriculum, the 80 that you have openings. 21 How many openings do you have, and --22 SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: We have right now 127 openings across the entire State 23 24 Education Department. And that is

1 approximately seven different departments. 2 We are working closely -- all of the 3 approvals that we have are things that have to go through the Division of Budget. We 4 5 work very closely for them to understand our needs. And it can sometimes be a difficult 6 7 process in the fact that we may get approvals 8 but we have a time period on the approvals, we have to find -- recruit the right person, 9 10 do all of the interviews, and at the end of 11 that time period that is required, if we 12 don't get it completed, then we start again. ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Okay, I presume 13 14 -- or has there been legislation out there to 15 loosen up your ability so you don't have to 16 get approvals from the DOB every time? SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, I would 17 18 say there's two parts to it. It is the approval that comes from the Legislature in 19 20 specific areas. We have -- one of the slides 21 requests that you look at new programming 22 and, as new programs are approved, that we be allowed like the federal government allows 23 24 for a 5 percent set-aside for administrative

1 costs to implement the program.

2 Let me give you an example. We have 3 37 PTECH programs. There's a proposal that the Governor has made -- and we think PTECH 4 5 programs are an excellent model. But there's a proposal for another eight to 10 PTECH 6 7 programs; we never received any staff to stand up any of the 37 we have, and now we're 8 9 going to do more. 10 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Yeah, okay. 11 Thank you. 12 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Hi. Good 13 afternoon. 14 SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Hi there. 15 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Just two 16 questions. So you testified that you thought the HEOP, SEEK programs for disabilities in 17 18 our colleges are all very important and 19 recommended money. I feel like every year --20 I've been here for a lot of years now --21 everybody tells us these are really good 22 programs, the Governor or whoever they are 23 cuts them, we try to put them back and maybe 24 increase them a little -- which always

1 frustrates me, because if everybody's talking 2 about how great these programs are, why do we 3 give them such a small amount of money? But that's not my question. My 4 5 question is, can you go back and then get me the answer, all of us the answer, other 6 7 states with -- you can just do a ratio, how 8 much are they investing in their equivalent programs for their college students? because 9 10 I feel like we're probably not investing 11 enough money in these important programs, and 12 one way to argue that is that in comparison 13 to other states, New York is only putting in 14 X amount. 15 So do you think you'd have the ability 16 to go back and help me do the research on what other states are doing? 17 SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: We can 18 certainly review and see what we can gather 19 20 for you and provide whatever information 21 we're able to get. Because some of this --22 some programs do things more like TAP and it may have -- they may have requirements with 23 24 that that would overlap what we do with our

1 other programs.

2	So I'm not sure it will be a clean
3	review, but we'll see what we can find out
4	for you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I'd appreciate
6	that, thank you.
7	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Sure.
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Then my second
9	question if I haven't lost it. See, the
10	day's not that long but I've already
11	forgotten the second question. I give up the
12	rest of my time. I'll get back to you when I
13	remember it. Thank you.
14	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: It's nothing
16	you said; there's a Ways and Means meeting
17	that has to take place, so the respective
18	members have left and will return.
19	In the meantime, we will hear from
20	Assemblymember Smith.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: Thank you.
22	And thank you, Commissioner, for being
23	here today.
24	I've spent the better part of a year

1 to try to get some answer to this question. 2 I had some other questions but they're actually --3 SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: You're a 4 5 teacher, aren't you? ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: That's right. 6 7 That's right, I am, licensed through State Ed. 8 9 And as far as this goes -- and I asked 10 the SUNY chancellor a little bit earlier, and I was kind of disturbed by her answer -- the 11 12 Smart Schools Review Board, of which you, the 13 Governor's budget director and the SUNY 14 chancellor are members, right now, to date it 15 was approved -- it was \$2 billion approved by 16 the Legislature in 2014, approved by the voters in that subsequent election. Right 17 18 now we're still waiting on \$1.2 billion of funding to be disbursed. 19 20 I reach out in vain, my district, \$42 21 million have been provided to six districts, 22 six school districts. One of my largest

24 And as we debate this week on gun legislation

23

districts, Sachem, is waiting on \$11 million.

-- we live in a very scary time -- that money
 is going to be used to harden the security in
 the school to put vestibules in the schools,
 increase security cameras. Some of these
 buildings are very old, they need technology
 upgrades just to run the wifi.

7 I'm very concerned -- and my districts are watching right now, and curious as to 8 9 what the answer's going to be. When I reach 10 out to your office -- rather, when I reach 11 out to the Governor's budget office, he 12 points to your department and says that 13 without staffing, these plans aren't being 14 reviewed.

15 Your office, and I think I believe 16 this, and my districts do, say that it's the 17 fact that this review board, of which you're 18 a member, along with the SUNY chancellor and 19 the budget director, has not met that 20 frequently. I asked the other day; there's 21 no meeting on the schedule.

As our schools are planning their
budgets for the upcoming year, it's very
difficult -- because this grant, as you know,

1 is not reimbursable. So if my school 2 districts go ahead and put in all these extra 3 security features, the local property taxpayers who are going to be paying for that 4 5 can't be reimbursed under Smart Schools. SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: That's right. 6 7 ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: Can we -- can we do --8 SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, let me 9 10 give you some background on this. 11 ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: Please. 12 SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: First of all, 13 all of the proposals have to come into our 14 office. And over the period of time that 15 I've been there, about three and a half 16 years, this is an area that has been 17 challenged with staffing. 18 We have, in fact, put in some 19 additional changes. We put in a technology 20 system so every one of the school districts 21 who puts a submittal in can get the feedback 22 immediately on where we are, where they are in the process so they know where they are in 23 24 the queue. And then if we do get to their

1 proposal and we have questions or we need 2 additional information, they can see that on 3 that technology system so it will be updated. And you could go to every one of the 4 5 six districts and you could find out exactly where they are in the queue to get approved. 6 7 ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: Now if I could -because I have a limited time. If I could 8 9 speak to that, because my districts have 10 submitted plans and two of them have already 11 been told that the old submission process 12 isn't working anymore, they're now going to 13 be using the new submission process -- that's 14 Middle Country and Sachem -- for this 15 funding. Sachem Schools, as I mentioned, a 16 large district, just submitted a new plan 17 18 under the new proposal system. They literally have to detail every single nut and 19 bolt to the T under current vendor contracts 20 21 that they have. And after submitting that 22 now, it's already been eight months since they've gotten any -- they've gotten no 23

24 feedback whatsoever. So those --

1 SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: So I would 2 suggest to you that we'll provide for you all 3 of the information that has been the numbers, 4 if you will, on where we are in terms of the 5 push-out --ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: I mean, I have 6 7 that, I actually have that. SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: And if you ask 8 us on your specific districts, we can give 9 10 you the information on them --11 ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: Right, which you 12 have done, and I thank you for that. I have 13 that information, your office has --14 SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: So you have 15 that. 16 ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: Yes. However, we don't know when is this review board going to 17 18 be meeting, it was supposed to meet 19 quarterly, there's no date. 20 SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: So let me 21 explain. There are three people that are 22 appointed to the review board, myself, the SUNY chancellor, and the head of the Division 23 24 of Budget. The head of the Division of the

1 Budget is the person in that trio that 2 identifies when the meetings will be held. 3 ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: Okay, that's actually a great answer. 4 5 Now, would it surprise you that the SUNY chancellor, who was here today, was 6 7 unaware that she's even part of this and asked me privately if I was sure that it was 8 the SUNY chancellor and not the Board of 9 10 Regents chancellor. You know, that kind of 11 ___ 12 SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: She has a 13 representative that goes to those meetings, 14 as do I, so -- Beth Berlin, who's in the 15 audience here, is the representative for 16 State Ed. And so she is the senior deputy commissioner, goes to every one of the 17 meetings. But we only go when the meetings 18 19 are held, obviously --ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: That's the 20 21 Governor's budget --22 SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: -- and we are not in charge of holding the meetings and 23 24 scheduling them. But as soon as -- and we do

1 inform the other two members when we have 2 proposals that have been approved and that 3 they're waiting in the queue to be approved. 4 ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: All right, thank 5 you. And I will ask that you join me in 6 asking the Governor to have his office set a 7 new date for a meeting. Thank you. SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: You're 8 9 welcome. 10 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Assemblywoman 11 Glick. 12 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Assemblymember Buttenschon. 13 14 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: Thank you 15 for being here today. I appreciate your 16 time. Again, if this question was asked, I 17 18 apologize, as I was in session. 19 SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: No problem. ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: I come 20 21 from upstate New York, the Utica/Rome area, 22 and a major concern in regards to the -- as other colleagues have talked about, the 23 24 timing of processing an individual's license.

1 And you've stated that there's a wide 2 parameter. These individuals do not have 3 unique situations, it just seems that it is somewhat slow in regards to -- in their 4 5 terms. The funding is there for you. I just wanted to have a --6 7 SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: So I mentioned that earlier, and we have it in the slides 8 that we've presented to you. So one of the 9 10 things that we are identifying for you all is that the Governor has put in funding in his 11 12 budget for staff and for supports for us to move forward --13 14 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: Yes, I'm

ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: Yes, 1'm aware of that. My concern was what type of plan you have specifically to expedite this.

17 SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: So we've been 18 working on a plan to make sure that our 19 technology is able to handle online 20 licensure, which will make all of the license 21 requests move forward. A few of them already 22 are online, but not all of them.

And our plan would be to use thefunding that the Governor has in his budget

1 to complete that process, it's going to be a 2 three-to-four-year process. But the first 3 part of it is the part where we believe that 4 the license -- those that are requesting a 5 license will have the most bang for that by getting it done online, and we believe that 6 7 that will help the situation. ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: And that 8 wouldn't affect the 127 openings you have, 9 10 then? SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: It will. Let 11 12 me point out, the 127 openings are openings 13 that are in fact budgeted, they're in our 14 budget, but we don't have approval to fill 15 them. ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: Okay. And 16 is that like a normal -- the norm for you, 17 18 that you would have for --19 SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: It is somewhat normal for State Ed. 20 21 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: Okay. 22 SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: We are -- for your information --23 24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: And is

there someone looking into why?

2	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: We are not a
3	Governor's agency. We are different.
4	Unique. I like to think the word "unique"
5	fits us well.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: Just one
7	other question regarding the timing of
8	curriculum development in higher ed and that
9	process. I know there's probably a lot of
10	fingers that say but how long is that
11	generally for programs? Is that something
12	you consider a concern, or you feel that
13	you're up to snuff in regards to
14	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: So I did
15	mention that briefly before. One of the
16	things that we found was that the process
17	itself needed to be streamlined. And so
18	there are actually two places within State Ed
19	where we do that. We actually, for higher
20	ed, for all degree granting, it's done in our
21	higher ed department. And if it has to do
22	with professions, it's done in the Office of
23	Professions.
24	And so you have two offices. The

1 process that we had in place I will suggest 2 to you was not the most efficient for 3 everyone, and it didn't let everyone know where they were in the process. And so we've 4 5 streamlined that, working with SUNY and CUNY and the independents to make sure that they 6 7 are aware of where we are in asking for information back so that we can move the 8 process forward. And we think that that will 9 10 help. It also will help as we get more staff 11 12 into those two departments to be able to move 13 things more quickly. 14 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: So another 15 technology update --SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: It will be the 16 technology, but it's also actually the people 17 to do the reviews. 18 19 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: And in 20 your handout on page 5 you talk about STEM, 21 but I also just was questioning -- STEAM also 22 was probably a priority for you also --SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Yes. 23 24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: It's just

1	the area I come from is highly motivated by
2	STEAM.
3	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Where are you
4	from?
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: Upstate.
6	Utica/Rome area.
7	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: That's right.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: So that is
9	a priority; correct?
10	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: That is a
11	priority as well, yeah. The Regents are very
12	focused on making sure that the arts are
13	included in the work that we do across the
14	board.
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: Okay.
16	Thank you very much.
17	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Thank you.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: Thank you,
19	Chair.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: I believe our
21	final questioner will be Assemblymember
22	Griffin.
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GRIFFIN: Greetings.
24	Thank you for being here today.

1 Just two questions. I wanted to 2 reiterate what my colleague to the left of me 3 had said about the Smart School bond money for the school security. I too I think --4 5 I'm in southwestern Nassau County in Long Island, and that is a big issue. A couple of 6 7 schools have gotten other funding for it and have completed upgrading their security, but 8 many of our schools haven't had -- you know, 9 10 haven't had that opportunity. And there's a lot of schools that are lacking in that 11 12 school security. 13 And I was at a school board meeting 14 last year right after Parkland took place, 15 and I would say there was like 300 parents 16 all extremely concerned, crying and just really worried about so many things, but for 17

18 their young elementary schoolchildren 19 especially. They were really worried about 20 all these different security barriers that 21 they could have.

So I'm glad that we can now check them
in the queue that you've talked about.
SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Yes.

1 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GRIFFIN: But I just 2 wanted to just urge if there's any way to 3 expedite that, because it does take a long 4 time to get complete. 5 SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, we have moved on expediting them, and if you get --6 7 and find out your particular districts, you 8 can go on there and see exactly where they 9 are. 10 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GRIFFIN: Thank you. And I'm really happy to see the 11 12 increase in funding for enhancing support services for students with disabilities. I 13 14 think that's really well needed. One of my 15 sons goes to a school where they had some 16 special services and it really made a big, big difference. 17 18 And I just wondered how do you find 19 out -- like do you go back to the high schools to find out what services are most 20 21 needed for students? Where are you getting 22 information to have programs that could best 23 serve this population of so many students 24 with disabilities?

1 SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: So that all 2 has to do with the student self-identifying 3 at the school site, that, you know, "In fact 4 I did have services when I was in high 5 school, I'm now in college and I need these 6 additional services." Or supports that may 7 be required.

You heard the gentleman who was here 8 with the chancellor from CUNY talk about the 9 10 cost for students who are deaf and hard of hearing and the work that they're doing to 11 12 provide those services. A student who goes 13 into a college at this point in time, we 14 don't have a connection of the data systems 15 from high school into college, and so a 16 student would have to self-report that "I need help." 17 18 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GRIFFIN: Okay, thank 19 you very much. 20 SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: You're 21 welcome. 22 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you very much, Commissioner. Always good to hear from 23 24 you and your team.

1 SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Thank you. 2 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: We will next 3 hear from the Higher Education Services Corporation, Dr. Guillermo Linares. 4 5 Anytime you're ready. ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Good 6 7 afternoon, Chairs Krueger, Weinstein, Stavisky, Glick, and to all my former 8 9 colleagues in the Senate and Assembly, thank 10 you for the opportunity to speak today about the Governor's 2019-2020 Executive Budget 11 12 recommendations that impact the New York 13 State Higher Education Services Corporation, 14 HESC. I am Dr. Guillermo Linares, acting 15 president of HESC, and this morning I am 16 joined by my executive vice president, Elsa 17 Magee. 18 HESC is responsible for administering 19 more than two dozen New York State student 20 financial aid and college access programs 21 that help to ease college costs for New York 22 State students and families, including the first-in-the-nation Excelsior Scholarship. 23 24 Collectively, these programs provide nearly

\$1.2 billion in financial aid awards to
 support the college costs of approximately
 400,000 students attending public and private
 colleges inside our state.

5 New York continues to be a leader in college access. The Excelsior Scholarship 6 7 provided more than 20,000 students with free college tuition in its first year. This is a 8 great number. But it is not about just the 9 10 ability to go to college tuition-free, it is the other promising outcomes. Student 11 12 retention is higher among Excelsior students 13 than non-Excelsior students. This suggests 14 that these students will incur less total 15 costs in completing their degrees and begin 16 their careers sooner.

17As stated earlier, the number of18students taking 15 credits in the first19semester at our public university systems is20increasing. That is a key indicator of21college success and completion. These are22positive developments resulting from23Excelsior.

24 Getting back to the numbers,

1 Excelsior, with TAP and other scholarships, 2 is enabling more than half of all resident 3 full-time students going to a public university or college in New York State to 4 5 attend tuition-free. That is over 200,000 students attending tuition-free. And when 6 7 Excelsior is fully implemented, 55 percent of resident full-time students will be attending 8 college tuition-free, a remarkable 9 10 achievement. But it's not just Excelsior. New York 11 12 has one of the most robust and generous 13 financial aid programs of any other state, 14 providing nearly \$1 billion in grants. TAP 15 is the largest need-based student financial 16 aid program in the country. Governor Cuomo's 2019-2020 Executive 17 18 Budget continues to make college more 19 accessible for all New Yorkers and provide 20 opportunities that prepare all students to 21 thrive in the 21st century. From launching 22 the Excelsior Scholarship and Enhanced Tuition Awards programs to the pioneering Get 23 24 On Your Feet Loan Forgiveness Program and the

New York State Standard Financial Aid Award
 Letter, the Governor has led the way in
 making college affordable for all New York
 State students.

5 This leadership is apparent in the Governor's higher education proposals, which 6 7 year after year pushed for funding for the 8 DREAM Act, an issue that has been near and dear to me. Approval of legislation allowing 9 10 undocumented New York State students, who are 11 deserving of the same advantages our great 12 state gives to their citizen peers -- access to TAP and all other state award and 13 14 scholarship programs through the Senator Jose 15 R. Peralta DREAM Act -- has been a 16 cornerstone of the Governor's higher 17 education proposals. 18 While the DREAM Act has been a

19 long-awaited step forward, the Governor's 20 budget also proposes to expand New York's 21 Excelsior free college scholarship for 22 middle-class families to incorporate those 23 making up to \$125,000 a year, ensuring that 24 nearly 55 percent of full-time SUNY and CUNY

1 in-state students can earn a college degree 2 tuition-free; to ensure that for-profit 3 schools are not overly reliant on public support by placing a threshold on their 4 5 taxpayer-based revenues, and requiring that 6 for-profit schools spend at least 50 percent 7 of their revenues on instruction and learning 8 resources; and to require the licensure of 9 student loan servicers and take steps to 10 ensure that these companies cannot mislead 11 borrowers or engage in practices that may 12 harm student loan borrowers.

13 In closing, Governor Cuomo is a 14 leading voice in protecting access to higher 15 education for everyone. The 2019-2020 16 Executive Budget increases state support for higher education to \$7.6 billion, an increase 17 of \$1.6 billion or 27 percent since fiscal 18 19 year 2012. Under his leadership, New York 20 has implemented an unprecedented number of 21 new and strategic student financial aid 22 programs and initiatives to make college more accessible and encourage the best and 23 24 brightest students to build their future in

1 New York.

2	His recommendations for higher
3	education programs continue to pave a path to
4	an affordable and high-quality college
5	education, and HESC is pleased to play a
6	vital role in providing New York State's
7	students with a gateway to a successful
8	academic and professional career.
9	Thank you, and I will be happy to
10	answer any questions you may have.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you. It's
12	good to see you.
13	Let me ask you a few questions, and
14	then you can because they are kind of
15	similar and get at different aspects.
16	First, how many applicants have you
17	had, and how many have been rejected? Is
18	there a consistent reason for rejection, or
19	are there several different categories for
20	the applicants for Excelsior to be rejected?
21	And are there any who have received a
22	scholarship who have at this point reverted
23	to loans?
24	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Well,

1 first of all, as you are aware, we just got 2 numbers for the first year of implementation 3 for Excelsior. There was an overwhelming response, there was about 108,000 4 5 applications that were received. I'm talking about both fall and spring of the first year, 6 7 which is the numbers that we're reporting on. Of those, close to half were found 8 eligible to receive Excelsior. And when you 9 10 combine both those who already have full tuition plus the 20,000 that received the 11 12 scholarship, that's the total figure that we 13 have up to this point. 14 I think the news is that we have 15 received a significant number, we're very satisfied with the over 20,000 students that 16 are receiving scholarships. 17 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: So there were 18 19 about half that were eligible for other 20 reasons, but their TAP and Pell covered the 21 cost of tuition and then it dropped down to -- from 108 --22 ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: 23 24 Excelsior is the last dollar --

1 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Right. So it went from 108,000 down to 20,000, roughly. 2 ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Well, 3 when you look at the number of students that 4 5 received for the first year, it was over 20,000 students. 6 7 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: And have any already fallen off of receiving Excelsior? 8 ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: We're 9 10 not aware of any that have not received. We're excited that we have on track over 11 12 20,000. ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: For each of 13 14 these 20,000 students, the scholarship 15 provides the top TAP award of \$5165, and the actual cost of tuition is around \$6700. So 16 for each of those students, there's a 17 18 shortfall for the schools. Is there any 19 discussion about an increase in what the 20 scholarship would cover so that the schools 21 are not eating the cost of tuition? ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: 22 23 Whatever the difference between what they 24 receive in full tuition and the actual cost

1 will be covered by the colleges.

-	
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Will be covered
3	by the colleges.
4	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Yes,
5	the way that we have it now.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: So the question
7	remains, has there been any conversation
8	about increasing what that award would be in
9	view of the fact that what we're doing is
10	adding to the TAP gap? So is there any
11	discussion about trying to remedy that at
12	all?
13	HESC EXEC VP MAGEE: With regards to
14	the rational tuition policy, SUNY and CUNY
15	currently receive funding for the tuition
16	credit to close that gap between TAP and the
17	actual SUNY/CUNY tuition. So for students
18	who would get a full TAP award, they're still
19	receiving the total award for to cover
20	tuition at a SUNY or CUNY college or
21	university.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Well, the
23	student isn't impacted, but the schools are.
24	If your tuition is I do not believe, based

1 on what I've read, that Excelsior students --2 if I'm Queens College and I have an Excelsior 3 student, I am getting \$5165 for a fixed period of years for that student. 4 5 HESC EXEC VP MAGEE: For an Excelsior recipient, the tuition is frozen at the 6 7 2017-'18 -- '16-'17 tuition rate, at \$6470, and that is what they would receive in total 8 for their Excelsior award, would cover the 9 10 difference between --11 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: So on Excelsior, schools are actually receiving the full 12 amount of the tuition for each student? 13 14 ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Yes. 15 HESC EXEC VP MAGEE: Yes, that's 16 correct. ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: They're not 17 18 simply receiving \$5165. 19 HESC EXEC VP MAGEE: No, they're not. ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: But if there is 20 21 an increase in the tuition based on each year 22 the tuition going up \$200, is HESC covering, 23 for each of those students, that additional 24 \$200? Or is it frozen at the earlier rate,

1 thereby creating an Excelsior gap? 2 HESC EXEC VP MAGEE: The tuition for 3 an Excelsior recipient is frozen. So the tuition would not increase for that student. 4 5 And the Excelsior Scholarship would cover that full tuition award for the duration of 6 7 their time at the school. 8 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Can you speak up a little bit into the microphone? 9 10 HESC EXEC VP MAGEE: Did you hear --11 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Yes. 12 HESC EXEC VP MAGEE: Okay. 13 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Well, I think 14 what you've said is that -- I think that one 15 is glued. For whatever insane reason, 16 they're not movable. I don't know if the wire is short or what. But perhaps you can 17 lean into it. 18 19 It does seem as though I'm hearing two 20 different things. And I just want to clarify 21 that as tuition goes up, the tuition that is 22 covered by Excelsior is frozen. But students who come in not with Excelsior, at the same 23 24 -- in future years pay an extra \$200, but

1 Excelsior is not increased; is that correct? HESC EXEC VP MAGEE: The tuition for 2 3 an Excelsior recipient is frozen at the 2016-'17 tuition rate level. So there is no 4 5 gap. They're always covered at the \$6470 tuition rate. 6 But for those who are not Excelsior 7 8 recipients, if they're receiving TAP, there is another TAP tuition credit that covers the 9 10 tuition. ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: So the reality 11 12 is that all of the schools that have Excelsior students, as the tuition rises, 13 14 they are -- the schools are not getting additional resources for that student. 15 16 They're getting the fixed amount, even though every other student's tuition goes up, 17 18 thereby creating an Excelsior gap. HESC EXEC VP MAGEE: The tuition 19 20 credit covers the -- that goes to SUNY and CUNY covers the \$200 increase. 21 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Yes, it's not 22 23 covered by the money they receive, it is 24 covered by them squeezing out of their

1 operating funds. That is how it is covered. 2 It's covered, but it's covered by the school, 3 not by the state. Let me just as we -- I'm almost out of 4 5 time. Is there any planning for how the state is going to follow the students for 6 7 their five years after graduation to ensure 8 that they stay in the State of New York? ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Well, 9 one of the stipulations within the program is 10 to, as we invest in Excelsior scholarship --11 12 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: I understand 13 that. Is there a plan for figuring out how 14 you're going to monitor that? ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: We are 15 16 preparing to do that. We're rolling out the second year. So this is very much part of 17 18 what we intend to do moving forward. 19 HESC EXEC VP MAGEE: Yes. And we also 20 monitor right now for programs such as STEM, where there are work requirements, we have 21 22 other programs, we have a longstanding math and science teacher incentive program that 23 24 has similar requirements, so we're just using

1	the same process that we do for those other
2	programs.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Okay, thank you.
4	Senate.
5	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
6	Our first speaker is Senator Toby
7	Stavisky.
8	SENATOR STAVISKY: Got it. Thank you.
9	A couple of questions on Excelsior and
10	also on Enhanced TAP. You mentioned the
11	20,000 eligible applicants. How would you
12	break that down in terms of SUNY, CUNY and
13	the independent colleges? Approximately.
14	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: You're
15	talking about Excelsior?
16	SENATOR STAVISKY: Yes.
17	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES:
18	Excelsior is for SUNY and CUNY.
19	SENATOR STAVISKY: CUNY and SUNY.
20	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Yes.
21	SENATOR STAVISKY: How would you break
22	that down in terms of SUNY versus CUNY?
23	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: It's
24	pretty much proportionate to the enrollment

1 that both systems have. Of course SUNY is a 2 little larger than CUNY. But the breakdown, 3 we can provide you the numbers. But it is not far off from what the breakdown is with 4 5 TAP, for that matter. So proportionately it is quite 6 7 balanced with the number of students that are receiving the scholarship. And it's an open 8 9 process that has been made available to 10 students throughout. So we've seen quite a 11 response for both systems. 12 SENATOR STAVISKY: For the students who have elected to use the Excelsior 13 Scholarship, approximately what percentage 14 15 have failed to complete the -- or did fail to 16 complete the 30-credit requirement? ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Well, 17 18 we right now just received the total number of the 20,000 that are receiving for the 19 20 first year. But from the testimony that we 21 heard earlier today, the -- it's very 22 promising, the fact that retention for, you know, Excelsior students is higher than for 23 24 non-Excelsior. So --

SENATOR STAVISKY: The SUNY chancellor
 said 10 percent, right.

ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: 3 So those are all positive signs. And we fully 4 5 anticipate that the number of 20,000 plus students will continue to increase. This was 6 7 when we had the threshold at \$100,000. This year it's \$110,000. Next year, \$125,000. So 8 we fully expect for that number to increase 9 10 significantly.

11 SENATOR STAVISKY: Let me rephrase the 12 question, then. Have you found that the 13 30-credit requirement -- and I must thank 14 your executive vice president. We had a 15 lengthy discussion on it on the requirement a 16 couple of years ago, and I appreciate your 17 insights.

But what has been the result? Have students failed to fill the 30-credit requirement and therefore are no longer eligible?

ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: We
have -- it's pretty early in the
implementation of the program. But from the

1 numbers that we've seen so far, and from what 2 we heard from both SUNY and CUNY, in terms of 3 retention there are very positive signs. And bear in mind that what drives 4 5 Excelsior is the pursuit of having students complete --6 7 SENATOR STAVISKY: I understand that. ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: --8 their degree on time, to save money and time 9 10 at the same time. So this is the driver that hopefully will send a message, not just to 11 12 Excelsior students but others that will enter 13 the systems. SENATOR STAVISKY: On a totally 14 different issue, on the Enhanced -- I didn't 15 16 mean to mix the two. On Enhanced TAP, there's been a proposal for a \$7 million 17 18 appropriation, I believe, for Enhanced TAP. 19 How many students will that amount 20 enable to be eligible for the Enhanced TAP? 21 Presumably there's going to be a cutoff, not 22 everybody's going to have -- there won't be enough money to fund the entire 20,000 -- the 23 24 entire cohort. But how students do you

1 expect the \$7 million appropriation, if it's 2 included in the budget, will that help? 3 HESC EXEC VP MAGEE: What we're seeing is that the number -- between the 4 5 applications and the number of students who are eligible, we've doubled over two years 6 7 the number of students who are receiving the award. But the volume is lower than what was 8 9 anticipated. 10 There had been \$19 million that was 11 budgeted in the first year of the program, 12 but there were only 1100 students who were receiving the awards. So the \$7 million 13 14 that's budgeted this year would keep on track 15 with what we're seeing with the numbers. So there were about 2,000 eligible recipients 16 for this current year that we're in. 17 ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: And 18 19 I'd just like to add that, you know, again, 20 for the enhanced tuition program, the same criteria as with Excelsior is -- comes into 21 play and again is emphasizing on-time 22 completion for those students as well. 23 24 SENATOR STAVISKY: One other area that

1	you cover is the 529 plans. And how
2	successful has that been? How many families
3	have participated in the 529 plan?
4	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: We'll
5	be happy to provide you with
6	SENATOR STAVISKY: You'll get back to
7	me with that information?
8	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: We'll
9	be happy to provide you with detailed numbers
10	on those.
11	SENATOR STAVISKY: Particularly how
12	much money has been invested, and how does
13	this compare to other states. Because it's
14	my understanding that this plan has some
15	problems.
16	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: We'll
17	get back to you with some of those numbers.
18	SENATOR STAVISKY: And lastly, getting
19	back to Excelsior, I was thinking about the
20	30-credit requirement and the difficulty that
21	a working individual perhaps with childcare
22	responsibilities do you see any way to
23	have a hardship exemption to deal with
24	certain cases that need special attention?

1 ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: We do 2 have, with Excelsior, built-in exceptions for 3 hardship -- when students have either medical 4 circumstances that impact or extenuating 5 circumstances -- that allow for them to either take a break from their studies as 6 7 long as it can be justified. 8 But we also have other types of flexibility. For example, we allow for 9 10 students to take summer courses or winter

11 courses if they need. We also acknowledge 12 all the courses that have been taken in high 13 school, which become part of a bank where 14 students can use those courses that they 15 bring from high school.

SENATOR STAVISKY: You're talking
about AP classes?
ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Yes.

19 Those also very much come into the equation 20 so that they can use it as they move forward 21 with their requirement.

22 SENATOR STAVISKY: I would assume so.
23 But the legislation doesn't say 15 credits,
24 it says 30 credits per year.

1 My question is, let's say there's a --2 I represent a large immigrant population. 3 Many of them are working and going to 4 community college or a four-year college. 5 Some of them have children. Would they be eligible for a hardship or an exemption from 6 7 the 30-credit rule? ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Well, 8 we --9 10 SENATOR STAVISKY: You said 11 extenuating circumstances. I'm trying to 12 flesh out what you mean by -- would a working 13 parent be eligible? 14 ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Well, 15 if you have a death in the family, if you 16 have a critical illness, if you have a health situation. Unexpected circumstances that 17 18 impact. 19 But -- there is some discretion there, 20 but they have to demonstrate extenuating circumstances in order for there to be a 21 22 break in terms of, you know, the process of 23 completing, you know, your degree on time. 24 SENATOR STAVISKY: I must say I too am

1	concerned about the gap between the so-called
2	rational tuition policy, the \$200 tuition
3	increase, and the college losing out.
4	Because we want to encourage the colleges to
5	participate, not make it a financial burden
6	to them.
7	Thank you.
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay, thank you.
9	Assembly.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Assemblymember
11	Epstein.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Thank you.
13	Thank you for coming to testify before us
14	today.
15	I wanted to turn your attention to the
16	conversation we had around the for-profit
17	schools. It was good that the Governor in
18	the budget put some new programs forward,
19	ensuring that lots of the tuition dollars are
20	going towards education. But I want to talk
21	about the public nature of that, because I'm
22	concerned that people are being scammed by
23	some of these for-profit schools with all the
24	advertising they're doing.

1 I wonder if we can add a public 2 announcement requirement to whatever 3 for-profit schools are doing towards advertising, for tuition, for teaching, where 4 5 if we can add a component that allows the public to make an informed decision about 6 7 whether that for-profit college is actually doing the right thing or just taking its 8 resources and putting it into their pockets 9 10 and not putting it into students and tuition. ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Well, 11 12 part of the concern with the budget proposal 13 the Governor has now up for discussion is to make sure that, you know, the mission of 14 15 affording and providing the best possible 16 education is afforded to all students. And that means that, you know, especially in the 17 18 private funds that we make available, those 19 go directly to primarily the youth for those

21 And so what is now being considered 22 and discussed in the budget is precisely to 23 try to make sure that the public funds that 24 go to help fulfill the mission of the

purposes rather than other ways.

20

preparatory schools are used in the best
 possible way to fulfill the mission that we
 are pursuing.

4 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: I'm just really concerned that -- I think it's a huge 5 problem. I don't feel like the Governor's 6 7 proposal goes far enough. It doesn't do anything for the consumer. What it does, it 8 says to the for-profit colleges they have to 9 10 report to the state on whether they're putting enough tuition dollars -- but it 11 12 doesn't let the buyer beware about for-profit 13 colleges that might be using tuition dollars 14 for their profit instead of using it for the 15 school.

16 So I'd really love to see a public component to this to allow all students who 17 18 are applying to schools to understand -they'd be rated, like if you walk into a 19 20 restaurant in New York City now, as you know, 21 you may not walk into a restaurant with a C, 22 because you want to go to the ones that have an A on it. 23

24

So if we can rate for-profit colleges,

1 we can also then deal with the for-profit 2 colleges that are taking advantage of our 3 young people. ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Well, 4 5 I'm sure that the intention that is being 6 pursued by the changes being introduced and 7 proposed now is precisely to make sure that 8 part of the process is greater accountability, but at the same time to 9 10 inform those who will attend the schools, 11 wherever those schools may be, to really hold 12 them accountable to what we're pursuing, 13 which is the best possible education and an 14 informed decision-making for students and families. 15 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Well, great, I'd 16 love to see if we can go further than we've 17 18 been proposed. 19 I want to turn our attention to 20 Excelsior, because of this issue that, you 21 know, the Senator raised around the part-time 22 students. In my district we have a lot of people who attend school part-time because 23 24 they're poor, they don't have the economic

1 opportunities, they have to work full-time. 2 And so if they're working full-time or even 3 working part-time to take care of their 4 family, they can't get Excelsior if they 5 don't go to school full-time. I'd really love to see us deal with 6 7 this population, because those are the students who are the highest-need. If they 8 can finish college, their economic 9 10 opportunities in the future are tremendous. 11 And so is there any way that we can 12 talk about expanding Excelsior for that 13 really high-need part-time population who 14 can't get to the 15 credits a semester? 15 ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: You 16 know, as you are aware, Excelsior is for full-time students and the idea of getting 17 18 them to complete their studies in time 19 without a high percentage that are not doing 20 so. 21 But when it comes to part-time 22 students, we have a brand-new program that is up and running for part-time students, it's a 23

part-time scholarship, it's up and running,

24

which is a response to the concern you just
 expressed.

3 We also have a TAP part-time program. The program I just mentioned to you is for 4 5 CUNY and SUNY public colleges. But we also have a part-time TAP for part-time students 6 7 and a part-time study program as well. So those are three programs all tailored and 8 geared to provide financial aid for part-time 9 10 students.

And I agree with you, you know, the 11 12 numbers are growing in terms of the needs 13 that they have. But those three programs --14 and the one I mentioned first goes directly 15 to respond to the need that they have. 16 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Thanks. CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 17 (Discussion off the record.) 18 19 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Seward. 20 SENATOR SEWARD: Thank you. 21 And Dr. Linares, thank you for being 22 here and good to see you again. I just wanted to try to get a real 23 24 handle on the exact cost of the Excelsior

1 program. I know the 2018-2019 budget 2 included I believe \$118.4 million for the 3 Excelsior scholarship, and it was targeted to serving an estimated 27,000 students. 4 5 In this year's Executive proposal, it only provides, as I read it, about \$200,000 6 7 more than last year, yet with the increase in income level it is anticipated that there 8 would be an additional 3,000 students 9 10 participating, or eligible to participate. Just with a \$200,000 increase in this year's 11 12 budget proposal, is that enough money to 13 cover the increase that we expect in terms of 14 numbers of students? Or is it that there's 15 money left over from last year? We didn't 16 quite get that. ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Yeah, 17 18 the number you referred to initially was a 19 projected number that we had. With the actual numbers that we have for the first 20 21 year of over 20,000, that is within the 22 ballpark figure of the projections that we have. It's a lesser amount. And we do 23 24 expect an increase in students receiving

Excelsior this year, with the threshold going
 to \$110,000. And next year we'll continue to
 increase.

I feel very comfortable that we're 4 5 going to, within the budget that we have projected, that we're going to be able to 6 7 accomplish covering the scholarships for those increases, which will -- in all 8 likelihood will surpass 30,000 by the end of 9 10 the program, the three-year rollout that we 11 have.

12 SENATOR SEWARD: So is it safe to 13 assume, then, that we -- last year's 14 appropriation, all of that was not needed and 15 so that's why we can --

ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: That was a projection that we had. And the number that we report is a little below that, but it is within the scope of what we were projecting. We're very satisfied with the numbers that we have.

22 But moving forward, the number will 23 continue to increase, and I feel comfortable 24 that we're going to be able to cover all the new Excelsior Scholarships there will be this
 year and next year.

3 SENATOR SEWARD: Okay. That is in the Governor's proposal, you're comfortable with 4 5 those numbers. ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Yes. 6 7 SENATOR SEWARD: Going forward with the Excelsior program, has HESC -- do you 8 expect that number to increase or hold steady 9 10 as we go forward? Have there been any 11 projections at all made in terms of -- I know 12 we've had that buildup with raising the 13 income eligibility number. Obviously that 14 will include more students and their families. 15 16 But in terms of going forward, are there any projections in terms of the numbers 17 18 participating in this program? ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: If we 19 20 look at it three years, we fully anticipate that the numbers will increase. 21 22 I want to highlight that we have

23 47 percent -- 48 percent, roughly, of

24 students in both systems receiving full

1 tuition. The number now has increased
2 significantly with the 20,000, the difference
3 being that this 20,000 are on track to
4 graduate on time.

5 And I'd like to highlight a number that really, you know, highlights the 6 7 importance of Excelsior. At the two-year colleges, 9 percent of students graduate on 8 time. That's the rough number that I have 9 10 that drove the creation of this scholarship. 11 At the four-year colleges, there's about 38, 12 39 percent that graduate on time. When you 13 don't graduate on time, you exhaust your TAP 14 and other programs and you run out. And you 15 have to either borrow or, worse, you drop 16 out.

The signal of this initiative is to 17 18 encourage not just Excelsior but other students that are getting full tuition to 19 attend full-time and try to get their degree, 20 21 because they will save money and time into 22 the workforce. So that's the big driver. I'm confident that we will increase in the 23 24 numbers. But it's a game changer from the

1 way that I see it, as a program to send a 2 message. 3 SENATOR SEWARD: Thank you. 4 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 5 Assembly. 6 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Assemblymember 7 Hyndman. 8 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Thank you, 9 Dr. Linares. It's good to see you. 10 I have a couple of questions, and I 11 hope I don't go over my time. 12 I know that HESC has the ability to do 13 TAP audits. Do you know in the last, say 14 three to five years -- I know you've been in the position, I think it's your second year 15 16 now? 17 ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Yes. 18 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Would you 19 happen to know has HESC done any audits of 20 the proprietary colleges? 21 HESC EXEC VP MAGEE: The audits are 22 actually conducted statutorily by the Office 23 of the State Comptroller. They do come up 24 with an audit plan each year, with a segment,

1 cross-segment of the colleges.

2	So I know we have seen the reports on
3	audits that have been done on proprietary
4	colleges. We can get you the information
5	about what the audit plan looked like for the
6	last year and the current year.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Has anything
8	been glaring in any of those reports on the
9	proprietary colleges?
10	HESC EXEC VP MAGEE: I think what we
11	find is that the findings are typical across
12	sectors
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Whether it's
14	proprietary, independent, SUNY, it's usually
15	the same?
16	HESC EXEC VP MAGEE: Yes, the same
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: So that leads
18	me to, you know, looking at the Governor's
19	proposal in this section to essentially if
20	you're making it from 90/10 to 80/20 for the
21	proprietary colleges, essentially that will
22	close a lot of them in the next two to three
23	years. Because he has a mandate in the
24	proposal, which is that if the schools don't

comply with the statutory information, then
 the schools have to cease operating. That's
 what's in the Article 7 language.

ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Well, I will say to you, you know, private schools are highly valued in terms of the mission and the work that they do helping prepare students to get their degrees.

9 So I think that the proposal that the 10 Governor is presenting is one that is now 11 going to be discussed, and I can say to you 12 that we intend to continue to work closely 13 with all sectors that are providing higher 14 education across the State of New York.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: I think that's 15 16 good to hear. When we were talking about Excelsior Scholarships last year, I know the 17 18 independent colleges reached out because they 19 also wanted an increase, and I believe we did 20 increase Bundy Aid. But there was no 21 increase, obviously, to proprietary colleges, 22 because they get whatever the student applies, they get TAP or Pell based on the 23 24 financial need. Right?

1	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: But
2	the Enhanced Tuition Program is now available
3	for them as well.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: I do know just
5	what my colleague, when he asked the question
6	about bad there are bad actors in every
7	industry, we would say. And there was a
8	recent article in October where there was a
9	proprietary college that's now under
10	investigation from New York City for
11	aggressively recruiting students and
12	aggressively recruiting students into their
13	institution, and now that's under
14	investigation by the City of New York. How
15	does that affect, on the state level, if
16	they're found guilty of actually defrauding
17	students, so to speak?
18	HESC EXEC VP MAGEE: Similar to
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Do they have
20	to pay TAP back, other entities?
21	HESC EXEC VP MAGEE: Students are
22	always held harmless regardless of the
23	actions of the school.
24	We would work with the State Education

Department as far as cutting off financial aid for any school, including the proprietary schools that are under review. We work very closely with their offices to identify where a school is in that process and whether the financial aid should be stopped that are going to those schools.

8 And we would also work with the State 9 Education Department as far as identifying 10 schools for these students to transfer to.

11 As far as their federal aid, if they 12 had federal student loans, they would not be 13 required to repay those federal student 14 loans. But the students would have to go 15 through the process to have those loans 16 discharged.

ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: And we 17 18 do have contractual agreements that need to 19 be -- you know, it's an obligation. When you 20 do not fulfill that contractual agreement, 21 then that kicks in to safeguard students and 22 also to address those commitments that are 23 made when the contracts are signed. 24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Thank you.

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
2	Hi. Just two quick questions.
3	One, apparently we're still waiting
4	for your budget request. It wasn't included
5	in the budget materials that were
6	distributed. Do we know when we might get
7	your official budget request?
8	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: It has
9	been submitted.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Today sometime?
11	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: If you
12	haven't received it, it should be with you
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay, we'll
14	follow up, because this is finance behind me
15	and they said they hadn't gotten it.
16	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Yeah.
17	Yeah.
18	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: All right, thank
19	you.
20	So many of my colleagues have asked
21	questions about the proprietary schools and
22	the Governor's proposal. But I'm curious, he
23	also in his budget briefing book talked about
24	dealing with student loan debt from the

1 licensing of loan servicers and bad players 2 there, and yet I can't find anything proposed 3 in any Article 7 language. Do you know what 4 the Governor meant or what he plans to do, or 5 you plan to do? ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Well, 6 7 from my understanding, there's going to be a license requirement, you know, for anyone 8 involved in lending in this state. So that's 9 10 the proposal, from the way I understand it to 11 be. 12 So that and also to make sure that 13 there are protections in place for students 14 not to be defrauded. And that is the impetus 15 of presenting this as an initiative, to 16 address and send a clear message. CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And when are we 17 18 going to see that? Is that going to be a 19 30-day amendment to the budget? Is that 20 going to be a freestanding bill?

21ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: I22think it's the Department of Finance Services23that --

24 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So it will go

1 through as a Department of Financial Services
2 regulation or a program bill?

ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: We'll
have to check with them to see, you know, how
they are approaching it.

CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And does HESC 6 7 have any plans now to try to assist the students who do have these kinds of loans 8 that were inappropriate -- you know, they got 9 10 sucked into a phony servicer or a ridiculous deal? You know, it's kind of like the same 11 12 people who were selling you bad mortgages 13 years ago, decided to go into the student 14 loan business now.

15 Is there any model for HESC to provide 16 assistance and resolution for the students 17 out there who owe millions and millions of 18 dollars to these programs?

19ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Well,20we are a guaranty agency for federal loans.21And in that context, we provide assistance22and guidance for students who are in debt.23And so within that universe, we are already24doing that.

1 HESC EXEC VP MAGEE: And I think right 2 now there is a department within the 3 Department of Financial Services that works with students currently who have defrauded 4 5 activities regarding their student loans. We work with those who we guarantee 6 7 and would assist those who we guarantee their loans. But there are many more students who 8 have loans in the state that we don't 9 10 guarantee, and they would work with the 11 Department of Financial Services. 12 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Can you explain 13 the difference between a guaranteed loan and 14 a not-guaranteed loan? 15 HESC EXEC VP MAGEE: If you have a federal student loan that was issued before 16 2010, it was guaranteed by an agency like 17 18 HESC that guarantees student loans. 19 So we work with each -- there were 34. 20 Students would work with their guaranty 21 agency if they had a federal student loan 22 dating back to that time. But there are many students who have 23 24 private student loans and loans that we do

1	not guarantee who live in New York State.
2	They would work through the Department of
3	Financial Services.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
5	Assembly.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: For a second
7	round, Mr. Epstein.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Thank you, Madam
9	Chair.
10	I just had two quick questions, one
11	just on the for-profit colleges. You
12	mentioned that schools that have been
13	defrauding students, the students will not be
14	the TAP dollars will not be cost against
15	them, they'll be able to be forgiven.
16	Can you give us, in the last two
17	years, how many occurrences has that happened
18	and those stories? It would be great to know
19	how often you're doing that and the
20	implications for how many students does that
21	impact.
22	HESC EXEC. VP MAGEE: In the last year
23	there's one that I'm aware of that closed on
24	Long Island. And again, we worked with

1	SED for those students. I believe a hundred
2	percent of them found another school to
3	attend. Excuse me? Dowling, yes.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Dowling.
5	And the other question thank you,
6	there's one that you know of. It would be
7	great if there are others, we'd love to
8	see what happened in those situations and
9	what happened with those students, to ensure
10	that they were made whole.
11	And the other thing is just you
12	know, we've talked a lot about an increase of
13	students with disabilities. And I'm
14	wondering what you're seeing and how we can
15	ensure that those students have full access
16	to community and I raised this issue about
17	Excelsior earlier because sometimes students
18	with disabilities don't take full caseloads
19	because of life circumstances and things that
20	they need to do. So I wanted to see what
21	you're experiencing on the ground and how we
22	can provide more support for those students
23	with disabilities.
24	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Yeah,

1 one of the good things about the offering of 2 Excelsior, for example, is that it is wide open for all constituencies and from one 3 4 corner of the state to another. And I think the numbers reflect that. 5 6 But we'd be happy to share with you 7 any specific numbers that you'd be interested 8 in. 9 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: It would be 10 great to see what percentage of the 20,000 11 are students who have self-identified with 12 disabilities. It would be great to see those numbers. 13 ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: We'll 14 15 be happy to get them to you. 16 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 17 I think Senate's done. Assembly? ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Assemblymember 18 19 Hyndman. 20 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Just a quick 21 question. Do you know how many of the 22 community colleges or proprietary colleges, independent colleges still offer ATB to 23 24 students in order to get financial aid? Has

1 that number decreased over the years since 2 2007? Or is it in any one area of higher 3 education than others? Ability to benefit exams, yeah. 4 HESC EXEC. VP MAGEE: I think we would 5 have to get back to you on that information. 6 7 I'm not sure that we directly get that 8 information, but we can try to find it for 9 you. 10 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Okay. I know that the Office of College and 11 12 University Evaluation, they do the oversight for -- when it comes to issues of 13 14 accreditation, faculty/student teacher 15 ratios. In your expertise in the time that 16 you've been HESC, do you see that the Office of College and University Evaluation has 17 18 enough oversight over the higher education 19 sector? 20 ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Well, 21 I can share with you that the collaborative 22 efforts between HESC and the other sectors, including both CUNY and SUNY as well as CICU, 23 24 which is --

1 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Yup. ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: -- and 2 3 also even proprietary schools, all of the sectors we have worked aggressively and 4 5 proactively to engage with them in making sure that there is greater collaboration in 6 7 terms of the administration of our program but more importantly, I say for us as an 8 9 agency, to really give information about the 10 offerings that we have, over two dozen 11 different scholarship programs. And we have 12 been doing that to communicate with students 13 and families, we've done it with higher education, but we also have done it with 14 15 K-12, particularly, you know, middle schools 16 and high schools, but not limiting it to 17 them. 18 So there's been tremendous effort that we have undertaken to really address that. 19 You know, I just want to give you a picture 20 21 of how the collaboration has been since I've been on board. 22 23 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Thank you. 24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Just a couple of

1 follow-up questions.

24

2 I will observe that TAP is a 3 completion program, in that you only get eight semesters. So that the notion that it 4 5 is new -- the notion that we want students to graduate on time is actually not a new 6 7 proposal but rather one that is a little more inflexible. 8 On your website, we've had 9 10 instances -- and of course I want to thank Elsa for her attempt to clarify for us how 11 12 students can run afoul of the requirement to 13 be moving through your program. And so a 14 student who needs financial aid may, in their 15 sophomore year, think that they wanted to 16 pursue sociology and now, having been exposed in some way or other to psychology, take a 17 18 course that is not actually within their 19 major and -- although it may turn out to be 20 within their major -- but they run afoul. 21 I've looked on the website; I don't 22 see any clarification of that. When a letter is sent -- because I presume you've now sent 23

out an award letter -- is there any

1 indication included in that that clarifies 2 for students what their real requirements are 3 in relation to proceeding with that program of study? And if there isn't, I would 4 5 suggest to you there ought to be, so that we don't have students who write to the college 6 7 president, who then writes to me, and says, you know, I just found all of a sudden that I 8 really wanted to switch, and now I've lost my 9 10 eligibility and now I'm in the soup. It seems to me we don't want a 11 12 two-tier educational system. So if you are 13 able to pay, you can switch your major 14 willy-nilly three times and it doesn't

15 matter, it's coming out of your pocket. And 16 I understand we want to make certain that the taxpayer dollars are used appropriately. But 17 18 by the same token, we don't want students who 19 need financial aid to not be able to explore 20 another avenue of study. We may be cutting 21 off our nose to spite our face, as my grandmother used to say, because we are not 22 providing those students with sufficient 23 24 flexibility when the reason you go to college

1 is for personal growth and for exploration, 2 educational exploration. We shouldn't be 3 expecting 19-year-olds to know what it is they want to do for the rest of their lives. 4 5 And indeed we have moved into a different place; I understand that the 6 7 program started a long time ago when people did sort of, you know, work at the same 8 company for 30 years. They don't do that 9 10 anymore. And if we talk about life learning (a) you should be informing students in an 11 12 award letter -- which I don't think is 13 currently happening -- and (b) we need your 14 recommendations on how we can change things to make it a little more flexible without 15 opening the flood gates to, you know, making 16 decisions all over the map because we want to 17 18 encourage students to go towards completion. 19 That's an observation. And maybe your website could provide, in your "Frequently 20 Asked Questions," a little bit more, and 21 22 certainly in the award letter.

Now, about the TAP audit, it's myunderstanding that the Office of the

1 Comptroller does that, but there is nothing 2 in a budget request from you all that 3 includes any chargeback, any dollars in your budget to cover the cost of those audits. 4 5 And if they're saving money in your agency, it shouldn't come strictly out of the Office 6 7 of the Comptroller. Is there any thought to making that 8 9 part of your request to the Executive? 10 ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Well, 11 I have saved the communication that you sent 12 recently and I have shared that suggestion, 13 that concern that you expressed in your 14 communication. And so it's -- I passed on 15 what you indicated was --ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: And you've not 16 17 received any feedback. ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: I have 18 not received any feedback, but it's -- the 19 20 Governor's office is aware. 21 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Okay. Just 22 lastly, one of the problems you had with Excelsior was getting information relatively 23 24 to whether or not sufficient credits had been

1 taken. Was that just a startup problem, or 2 is that an ongoing problem? And is there 3 something that is being discussed as a means of ensuring that students have the 30 4 5 credits? I mean, that was one of the issues, 6 7 that students were taking stuff over the summer, they might qualify, they might not. 8 Has that been addressed, resolved? 9 10 ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: This 11 was something that we encountered 12 particularly as we introduced the program. 13 We needed to look, you know, the starting 14 point for students so that they would be on 15 track had they intended previously to 16 graduate on time. But the bulk of the numbers I think we 17 18 had in the first year. Following that, I don't think it's significant numbers that 19 20 we're looking at. HESC EXEC. VP MAGEE: I think it was a 21 first-term issue. But as we moved even into 22 23 the first spring application, it was a much 24 smoother process. And this year for

1 2018-2019, it's gone much smoother as well. 2 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: So since the 3 eligibility has jumped up, will you have students who are in their second year who now 4 5 their family would be eligible because they are -- the family income has now moved up to 6 7 125. So you're going to have another group of students, possibly, who are in their 8 second year and are looking to be eligible 9 10 but might be a few credits short. 11 Are you going to find that -- I mean,

12 are you going to keep having that problem of 13 trying to ascertain where they are in that 14 continuum of having sufficient credits to be 15 eligible?

16 HESC EXEC. VP MAGEE: No, I think the 17 coordination that we have now with the 18 university systems, I think that that has 19 smoothed out tremendously.

Also, the students who are in their second year now -- again, when the program was first rolled out, it was identified what the income ranges would be through each of the three years of the rollout. So I think

1	those students going in that may have not had
2	incomes above \$110,000 or within the \$125,000
3	range knew about the on-time completion. So
4	I think that we're going to find that those
5	students are taking 15 credits, getting their
6	30 credits per year, and that they will be
7	just coming right into the program now that
8	we've moved to the third year.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Okay, thank you.
10	Anyone else? Okay.
11	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
12	much for your time today.
13	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Thank
14	you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
16	And our next testifier will be Carolyn
17	Fast, special counsel to the New York State
18	Office of the Attorney General.
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So feel free to
20	begin.
21	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: Great.
22	Thank you very much.
23	
20	My name is Carolyn Fast. I'm special

1 Bureau of the New York Attorney General's 2 Office. 3 The focus of my remarks today will be about the Governor's proposal to enact 4 5 accountability and transparency measures for for-profit colleges. 6 7 Each year, thousands of New York students enroll in for-profit colleges with 8 the hope that higher education will provide a 9 10 path to economic success. Unfortunately, 11 many for-profit colleges lure students with 12 false promises of employment while offering 13 high-cost, low-quality programs. These 14 for-profit schools leave students with few 15 employment opportunities and insurmountable 16 debt. Although for-profit schools enroll 17 18 only 4 percent of students in New York, or thereabouts, for-profit schools have 19 20 accounted for more than 40 percent of student 21 loan defaults five years after students leave 22 school. Despite these poor outcomes for students, for-profit colleges receive tens of 23 24 millions annually in state funding.

1 The New York Attorney General's Office 2 has extensive experience investigating abuses 3 by predatory for-profit colleges. We have also participated in multiple lawsuits 4 5 challenging the federal government's efforts to dismantle regulations protecting 6 7 for-profit college students. Our enforcement 8 and other experiences have provided our office with considerable expertise and unique 9 10 insight into the need for strong state regulation of for-profit colleges. 11 12 In the past several years, our office's investigations have revealed 13 14 widespread abuses, including 15 misrepresentations of employment and salary 16 outcomes, misrepresentations of graduates' eligibility for professional certifications, 17 18 misrepresentations about students' ability to 19 transfer credits earned, and placement of 20 students in loans that the schools knew their 21 graduates would not be able to pay back. 22 Our office's investigations have resulted in settlements with multiple schools 23 24 and millions of dollars in restitution and

1 debt relief to New York students. Our office 2 regularly hears from for-profit college 3 students who are struggling to repay their student loan debt. Many default on their 4 5 loans, damaging their credit and making it difficult or impossible to pursue further 6 7 education, obtain a car loan or mortgage, or 8 even rent an apartment. Student loan debt, unlike many other 9 10 types of debt, is non-dischargeable in 11 bankruptcy, except where the borrower is able 12 to meet the high standard of demonstrating 13 that repayment would impose an undue 14 hardship. As a result, many 15 for-profit-college students are burdened with 16 insurmountable debt over the course of their entire life. 17 18 New York State provides tens of

19 millions of dollars in financial aid to 20 for-profit schools. When these funds go to 21 low-quality programs that fail to prepare 22 students for employment, taxpayer dollars are 23 wasted. In the last few years the federal 24 government has dismantled federal regulation

1 of for-profit colleges and eviscerated 2 federal protections for victims of 3 for-profit-college misconduct. Under Secretary DeVos, the U.S. Department of 4 5 Education has delayed the implementation of several key federal rules that protect 6 7 students. These actions open the door to more fraud and abuses. 8

9 Although our office has joined with 10 other state attorneys general to successfully 11 challenge the department's rollback of some 12 of these federal protections, our efforts 13 have not succeeded in restoring all of these 14 federal protections.

15 Among the regulations dismantled by 16 Secretary DeVos is one regulation aimed at ensuring that for-profit colleges offer 17 18 quality programs. This is the Gainful 19 Employment Rule. It required for-profit 20 schools to meet benchmarks demonstrating that 21 their programs led to jobs that provided 22 sufficient pay to enable students to pay back loans. This protected students and taxpayers 23 24 by ensuring that federal aid was not spent to

fund programs that failed to prepare students
 for employment.

3 The rule also required schools to
4 disclose key information to prospective
5 students about student outcomes.
6 The U.S. Department of Education

7 delayed the rule and has announced an
8 intention to rescind it in its entirety. Our
9 office has joined with other states in a
10 lawsuit challenging this delay.

Secretary DeVos also delayed another 11 12 important rule that protects students from 13 for-profit college abuses, the Borrower 14 Defense Rule. This rule established a 15 process for students who were the victims of 16 abuses to apply for a discharge of federal loans. Our office, along with 18 other state 17 18 attorneys general, brought a legal action to 19 challenge the delay of this rule. In 20 September of 2018, the federal court hearing 21 our lawsuit ruled that the department's delay 22 was unlawful. As a result, the Borrower Defense Rule is now in effect. However, the 23 24 U.S. Department of Education has begun the

1	process of drafting a rule to replace the
2	rule with a weaker version.
3	The department has also proposed
4	weakening federal rules governing
5	accreditation of higher education
6	institutions, including for-profit colleges.
7	In the wake of these rollbacks of
8	federal protections, state-level action is
9	critically needed to protect students in our
10	state. The Governor's proposed legislation
11	is an important step forward to protecting
12	New York students.
13	Thank you very much.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
15	Senate?
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator John Liu.
17	SENATOR LIU: Thank you, Madam Chair.
18	Thank you for your testimony.
19	I mean, I certainly support any effort
20	that the Attorney General's Office has to
21	protect students against predatory private
22	for-profit colleges predatory anything,
23	really. In your testimony you cite that this
24	is a prevalent problem. Right? I mean

1 OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: Well, there 2 are two issues -- I'm sorry, let me let you 3 finish your question. I apologize. 4 SENATOR LIU: Well, is it really 5 prevalent? I mean, are we talking about a problem with most of the for-profit colleges? 6 7 And number two, my understanding is that the proposed rules would actually bring 8 the vast majority of these for-profit 9 10 colleges into noncompliance. OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: Okay, those 11 12 are both good questions. 13 So the first question is, is it most 14 for-profit colleges that are bad actors? And 15 the answer is -- you know, my perspective is 16 perhaps a little bit biased because I'm on the enforcement side and I'm dealing with 17 just the bad actors. So it's sort of perhaps 18 a little bit hard for me to know whether I'm 19 20 only seeing that tip of the iceberg because 21 all I'm seeing is the bad guys. 22 But I can tell you that we do get consumer complaints and we track patterns of 23 24 consumer complaints, and our consumer

1 complaints are one of the things that lead us 2 to our enforcement actions, and those have 3 been concentrated in for-profit colleges. SENATOR LIU: In a select number of 4 5 for-profit colleges or a vast range? I mean 6 ___ 7 OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: We have 8 seen a lot of different complaints about a 9 lot of different for-profit colleges. So far 10 our office has brought enforcement actions or 11 obtained settlements with respect to four, some of whom operated multiple campuses in 12 New York. So overall, affecting --13 14 SENATOR LIU: All right. I mean, I 15 understand what you're saying, but again --16 and you may not know the answer, because as you said you're looking at it from an 17 18 enforcement perspective. 19 My question, and you can follow up if 20 you like, is number one, are we talking about 21 a problem with most of the for-profit 22 colleges or is it a relatively small number or small percentage of them that are 23 24 having -- that we're seeing these abuses

1 within?

2	And secondly, if well, I guess the
3	second question has everything to do with the
4	first question. Which is my understanding is
5	that with these new rules, most of the
6	for-profit colleges would actually not
7	comply. So if we're trying to ban for-profit
8	colleges, maybe that's one thing we can talk
9	about. But this it seems like a kind
10	of like a back-door way to approach the
11	problem.
12	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: I hear you
13	on that. I think there's two ways of looking
14	at the problem. One is there are schools
15	that violate the law completely, and then
16	there's also the issue of whether they're
17	offering high-quality programs. And there
18	have been studies that show that in New York
19	people are less likely to graduate, graduate
20	with more debt, et cetera.
21	So I think it's a good point if
22	I can continue talking, sorry that you
23	raise if because I don't think the idea is
24	to actually try to put all for-profit

1	colleges out of business. And if that is
2	going to be the result, that could be a
3	reason to look at the proposal and figure out
4	if that's really what it's doing.
5	But I think the idea was to create,
6	through accountability and transparency, very
7	clear incentives for the schools to offer
8	higher-quality programs and to weed out those
9	that are not.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Just because
11	we're done with time, we're going to have to
12	ask you to stop now.
13	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: Sorry.
14	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I think that
15	Mr. Liu will want to continue this
16	conversation with you perhaps beyond the five
17	minutes we give him here today.
18	SENATOR LIU: Yes.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Assembly.
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: There may be
21	other members on the same topic.
22	Assemblywoman Fahy first.
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Yes, thank you,
24	Madam Chair.

1 Thank you to our Assistant AG for 2 being here and for your testimony. 3 I just want to follow up on Senator Liu's questions. Have you analyzed the 4 5 Governor's proposal and do you have any estimate on what the impact of the proposal 6 7 with regard to the proprietary schools would be, to what extent it will impact them? 8 OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: I think 9 10 different parts of the proposal will have different effects on for-profit colleges. 11 12 For example, placing requirements on how much revenues or expenditures need to be used for 13 14 instruction will definitely have an effect on 15 the for-profit schools that are right now not 16 using more than 50 percent of their expenditures for instruction. But it seems 17 18 to me that it would possible for those 19 schools to come into compliance rather than 20 stop offering the programs altogether. So for that one, for example. 21 And then for also other -- some of the 22

other proposals that have to do with justtransparency and reporting of their

expenditures and the salaries of officers, et
 cetera. Those seem to be things that would
 not be likely to put these schools out of
 business.

5 ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Thank you. 6 That's helpful. And obviously any of the bad 7 actors -- we all care about that, and we care 8 about any -- especially the very high levels 9 of loan defaults. So we share your concerns 10 on that.

I just want to make sure we're not throwing out the baby with the bathwater, because certainly I have one of them near my district that seems to have a very good graduation rate, and want to make sure that they're not inadvertently harmed or shut down over it.

18 My understanding is there may be only 19 six schools, six proprietary schools that 20 meet the proposed requirement. And is that 21 what you think is needed here? And how long 22 would they -- how long is your understanding 23 that they would have to meet that -- the 24 required 50 percent then?

1 OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: I think I 2 would need to check on that. But I think 3 that it would go into effect very soon. 4 ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: It would, okay. 5 Are there -- you know, is this -- were you able to work with the Governor's office 6 7 on this proposal? Or is this just -- your testimony is generally just in support from 8 what you've seen on the enforcement side? 9 10 OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: That's right, we are just generally in support of 11 12 the proposal, but we were not involved in the coming up with it. 13 14 ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Okay. So it's 15 not something you have helped with drafting, 16 it's just what you have encountered, okay. 17 All right, thank you. Again, I 18 appreciate your concerns on this. And I just 19 wonder if we've maybe gone a little bit too far, but certainly need to address some 20 21 serious issues that you have raised, and 22 appreciate your testimony. Thank you. 23 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 24 Senate?

1 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Seward 2 has one question. SENATOR SEWARD: Well, I might stretch 3 it into two. 4 5 I just wanted to follow up on your previous discussions regarding the proposed 6 7 new rules on how proprietary colleges earn 8 and spend their money. 9 So do you have an estimate of how many 10 schools would be out of compliance? I know 11 we've been sort of dancing around that issue. 12 But just directly, the question is do you have an estimate in terms of the number of 13 14 schools that would be out of compliance if 15 these proposed rules became law? 16 OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: I'm sorry, I do not have that information. 17 SENATOR SEWARD: And do you have any 18 estimate in terms of how many of our public 19 20 SUNY and CUNY community colleges, for 21 example, which are also involved in a lot of 22 workforce training and so on, how many of our public institutions could not meet the 23 24 standards that are being presented for

proprietary colleges?

2	Which who my exposure to them
3	has been they serve a great number of
4	low-income students, giving them a skill and
5	an occupation so they can go out and earn a
6	living.
7	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: I don't
8	unfortunately have information on that as
9	well. I think there have been some studies
10	that compared for-profits on average for
11	example, their expenditures on instruction
12	with not-for-profits. But I'm not sure that
13	that would directly answer your question.
14	And I don't have those numbers at my
15	fingertips, but I can get them.
16	SENATOR SEWARD: One final question
17	I guess I've stretched it to three questions
18	here you say your unit is dealing with bad
19	actors, so to speak. Do you currently, as
20	the AG's office, lack the legal tools to go
21	after bad actors
22	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: That's a
23	great question.
24	SENATOR SEWARD: and then, you

1

know, leave the good actors alone?

OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: That is a 2 3 really good question. I feel that we have 4 great tools for enforcement. But these 5 proposals will complement it, and especially get at the things that enforcement can't get 6 7 at, which is program quality. So I think all of these things that 8 are in the Governor's budget are aimed at 9 10 really trying to put pressure on the schools to provide a quality program that is worth 11 12 the investment of students and taxpayers, and 13 that's something that unfortunately we can't, 14 as just enforcement attorneys, accomplish on 15 our own. We need that complementary work. 16 SENATOR SEWARD: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 17 18 Assembly. 19 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman 20 Barclay. 21 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Thank you. 22 I'll be very quick, and maybe just a little bit what the Senator was hitting on 23 24 with the -- I mean, obviously, the Attorney

1 General is opposed to the pullback on the 2 Gainful Employment Rule. So the inverse of 3 that, does the AG support extending that rule to public schools and public colleges? 4 OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: I don't 5 think we have a position on whether those 6 7 rules should be extended to not-for-profit colleges. I think that there are reasons 8 that they were -- that the federal government 9 10 limited them to -- well, actually it's not entirely limited to for-profit colleges, it's 11 12 limited primarily to for-profit colleges with 13 a few not-for-profit programs that don't 14 offer degrees that kind of got also -- those 15 that are more focused on vocational programs, 16 for example, that are -- where nonprofits can get occasionally kind of under the Gainful 17 18 Employment Rule. 19 But I think that we also understand 20 and agree with the idea that there are 21 special requirements and special needs in the sector of the for-profits, that there are 22

reasons to treat them differently.

23

24 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: More reason that

1	the Gainful Employment Rule would apply to
2	private colleges versus public, is that what
3	you're saying?
4	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: Right, that
5	there are reasons for having additional
6	restrictions such as the Gainful Employment
7	Rule that apply to for-profit colleges
8	primarily.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: What would those
10	reasons be?
11	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: The reasons
12	are that they have different financial
13	incentives and that they have a concentration
14	of uses and worse outcomes in terms of
15	graduation rate, debt burden, debt default,
16	and job outcomes.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Right. I mean,
18	it just seems to me I guess what I
19	understand from the federal administration is
20	that the reason they pulled it back, they
21	want to apply it everyone, not just I
22	guess they haven't done it yet, so time will
23	tell. So I'm somewhat sympathetic to the
24	fact that we want to fill in that void.

But, I mean, why -- whenever -- I'm 1 sorry, I'll just finish pontificating --2 whenever is additional information a bad 3 4 thing? I mean, greater disclosure, whether 5 it's public or private, seems to me to be a great idea. So I look forward to seeing what 6 7 the federal rules come out and if they do include public institutions. 8 9 Thank you. 10 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Toby 11 Stavisky. 12 SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you. I commend your office for doing a 13 14 thorough investigation a number of years ago 15 and suing in court and ultimately closing a 16 quasi-university, which received a lot of media attention. 17 18 However, I'm looking at your testimony 19 in this case -- you did a very thorough 20 investigation in that other so-called 21 university, and they were put out of 22 business, as well they should be. However, you have three footnotes, and I'm trying to 23 24 figure out what kind of investigation you

conducted that brought you to deliver this
 testimony today. I see there's a court case,
 there's a report and an article, but none of
 it seems to be primary source.

So did you, you know, conduct an
investigation or interview individuals or
whatever?

OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: That is a 8 good question. So my window into this has 9 been somewhat limited in that I have been an 10 11 enforcement attorney. So part of being an 12 enforcement attorney means they have had the 13 opportunity to speak with consumers who are directly affected by problems with their 14 15 for-profits. But again, I have this lens 16 that I'm only talking to the people who are, you know, defrauded and victimized, so that 17 18 could certainly give me a perspective.

19So one of the cases that's cited here20was a case that our office brought, we were21one of the plaintiffs in the lawsuit, that22was the challenge to the federal rule. And23we've also had the opportunity to participate24in some of the federal rulemaking, which has

1 given us a little bit more background in sort 2 of the policy issues. I was able to serve on 3 a negotiated rulemaking committee for the rule that had to do with state authorization 4 5 of for-profit colleges offering distance education when it was made under the Obama 6 7 administration, so I have a little bit of 8 background in it. But to your point in terms of the --9 10 some of the other --SENATOR STAVISKY: Well, let me get to 11 12 the point, because I'm almost out of time. 13 You say you interviewed people. Did 14 you bring a lawsuit or in any way investigate 15 or formally try to put them out of business 16 or -- I mean, what is the base? That's the 17 question. 18 OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: Sorry, say that again? I missed the last part, the very 19 20 last thing. 21 SENATOR STAVISKY: On what ground --22 what is your statement, what facts did you determine to be correct, what investigations 23 24 did you conduct in preparation for your

1 testimony today?

2	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: Okay, thank
3	you. We as the office, we have
4	investigated a number of for-profits leading
5	to four settlements that I can think of off
6	the top of my head with for-profit colleges.
7	In each case we spoke with consumers
8	SENATOR STAVISKY: Can you tell us the
9	names?
10	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: Sure. One
11	of them was a settlement with Career
12	Education Corporation, which
13	SENATOR STAVISKY: Are they in
14	business now?
15	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: They offer
16	online education in our state but they I'm
17	sorry. Another one was DeVry, another one
18	was a company that gave loans to Corinthian
19	students, and the last one was a coding
20	school.
21	SENATOR STAVISKY: But they're not in
22	business, that's
23	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: Well,
24	DeVry's in business still.

1 SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you. 2 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 3 Assembly. 4 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 5 Assemblywoman Hyndman. ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Thank you, 6 7 Ms. Fast. How many proprietary colleges, 8 for-profit colleges are in the State of 9 10 New York? 11 OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: I don't 12 have that information, but I could get back 13 to you. 14 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Okay. I 15 believe the number, last time I checked, was 16 25 colleges, which isn't a lot. I just recently received a degree-granting 17 18 proprietary college in my district, and I 19 happen to have a long history because I used 20 to be an employee with the State Education 21 Department, and one of the things with this 22 school, there's no other school, and correct 23 -- I know the audience will shake their heads 24 yes or no, but I don't know of any other

automotive training school, degree granting,
 in the State of New York.

3 And this school is now in my district, and most of the students there are black 4 5 males, Latino males. And this school has a long record, before they became 6 7 degree-granting, of graduating individuals 8 and getting them into the trade of auto 9 repairs. Because I know when we all go to an 10 auto shop, we want to make sure that someone knows how to fix our cars. And the amount of 11 12 computer technology that's required now in 13 that field is much more than it was 20 years 14 ago.

15 So when you say you've investigated 16 these -- from your report and the citations you've cited, it doesn't seem like that 17 18 there's that much of a -- we may have a few 19 bad actors in the State of New York, but not 20 to the point where we're really, with the 21 Governor's legislation or Governor's budget 22 language to really cut those schools off -there are always bad actors. But I just 23 24 wonder if there was a department as to

1 proprietary schools, the non-degree- granting 2 sector, that dealt with the oversight, would 3 that alleviate or lessen the responsibilities of the Attorney General's Office? 4 5 Because there's an office that does the -- reviews the curriculum, reviews the 6 7 teachers and so forth, within the State Education Department. The Office of College 8 9 and University Evaluation doesn't get to go 10 to schools that much and do investigations 11 and make sure the teachers and faculty 12 facilities are up to code. But if there was an office like that, then I think that 13 14 industry would have the oversight they 15 needed. I think the legislation is very --16 the budget language is very harsh to 25 schools in the State of New York that 17 individually may -- one or two may have --18 like you said DeVry and CIG, which is no 19 20 longer in business, or offers online -- may 21 have done wrong by students.

22 But on the whole, when you talk about 23 education, there's a lot of nurses who now 24 have come out of proprietary -- the

1 for-profit sector. There's a lot of 2 nurses that in two years they can get a 3 degree, whereas if they're going to some of our community colleges, it takes longer. 4 5 So -- oh, my time is up. But thank you for your testimony. 6 7 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. Senate? 8 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 9 10 Hi, Senator Krueger here. So I'm sort 11 of torn because I hear my colleagues talking 12 about that the Governor's proposal will 13 translate into a very large number of the 14 programs having to close down. I don't know 15 if that's true, but I do know it's more than 16 a few bad apples out there. And certainly down in New York City, where I'm from, the 17 storylines of exploitation, particularly of 18 19 new Americans being the first students in 20 their families going to colleges and the 21 really aggressive marketing by some of these 22 companies where all the money is put into signing you up, getting you to sign on the 23 24 dotted line, you end up maxing out every

1 possible student loan or grant you could ever 2 get -- and then you discover somebody who 3 says they're a computer training school and doesn't have computers. A program that says 4 5 they are training you for licensure in X, you learn you're not qualified to take the exam 6 7 that New York State calls on you to take when 8 you've completed your program.

So I don't know what the right answer 9 10 is and whether the Governor's answer is 11 right, but I think what you're hearing today 12 is, at least from me, let's figure out how to 13 get this right. Because I really do believe 14 it is incumbent on New York State to make 15 sure that if you are not a legitimate educational facility, you should not be 16 17 draining both public monies and private 18 monies primarily from low-income people. That not only doesn't get them the education 19 they need to compete in our society, they've 20 21 then used up all their ability to use their 22 grants and loans for a quality education the second time out of the chute when the first 23 24 time didn't work.

1	So perhaps this would be an ideal
2	roundtable for Assembly and Senate and the
3	Governor's people and your people and SED to
4	sit at a table and actually figure out how do
5	we make sure we get this right. So I think
6	that's my recommendation to us all.
7	Thank you.
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
9	Assemblyman Epstein.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Thank you.
11	And thank you for coming here today.
12	I'm wondering if you've seen any
13	problems with some of the online colleges,
14	especially the online colleges that are
15	operating out of New York, and seeing fraud
16	happening within the state.
17	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: Certainly
18	on our radar screen is to look at whether
19	there are issues in that sector, definitely.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: And have you
21	come across students who've complained about
22	online colleges and feeling defrauded by I
23	mean, they could be advertising in our
24	jurisdiction but they're not from New York.

1 OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: I think 2 that we have some complaints from people who 3 fit that description. 4 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: If we could have 5 that information, it would be good to know what you're seeing in relationship to online 6 7 colleges. We have the SARA agreement with the interstate pact. I just wanted to see if 8 there are -- we've seen kind of issues 9 10 raising up on that issue. 11 The second thing is I know that you 12 expressed support of what the Governor's 13 proposals are. I'm just wondering if you 14 think the Governor's gone far enough. 15 Because as I mentioned earlier, so we're 16 going to be able to have some oversight and make sure that money is going to the 17 18 appropriate places, but it isn't a system that educates the public. 19 20 So I'm wondering what you think about

21 a much more open and robust system where we 22 learn about schools, that information's now 23 on their websites -- like you go to a 24 restaurant in New York City and they get

graded, or tobacco warnings that are on the
 package so there's kind of a buyer beware.
 I'm wondering how about expanding into that
 field.

OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: We 5 definitely think there could be additional 6 7 room for improvement in this area. And 8 looking at sort of what is missing, one thing that has changed now that the Gainful 9 10 Employment Rule has rolled back has also been disclosures to students about outcomes. 11 And 12 certainly that's another thing that the state 13 could consider as a way to address that void 14 at the federal level.

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Great. And so 15 16 also because we're expanding TAP to Dreamers, hopefully when the Governor signs, and so we 17 18 have a real vulnerable population who might 19 be at risk of being scammed by any of these 20 for-profit institutions, whether online or in 21 the jurisdiction, I'm wondering if you're 22 putting any -- is the Attorney General's Office doing any additional protections for 23 24 those Dreamers?

1 OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: That's a 2 really good point. And I'm not really sure about that, but I can get back to you on that 3 4 as well. 5 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: That would be 6 great. 7 And just finally, this issue of for-profit colleges, it's not just obviously 8 happening in New York. A recent Times 9 10 article around people who went to -- working in the hair industry and spending, you know, 11 12 tens of thousands of dollars to get a minimum 13 wage job. 14 So I'm wondering if there's a way to 15 figure out opportunities for people to be 16 able to go into careers that may not need as much education as possible and opening up 17 18 that system a little to allow more 19 opportunities without the huge burden of some 20 of these universities. I'm wondering if 21 you've looked into that at all. Thank you. 22 OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: Thank you. 23 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: You can get back 24 to him later.

1	(Laughter.)
2	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: Yes. Will
3	do.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I think the
5	Senate is done.
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So the Assembly
7	is finished also.
8	Thank you for being here and hearing
9	people's questions.
10	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: Thank you.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Next we have a
12	panel of Andrew Pallotta, NYSUT president;
13	Frederick Kowal, president of United
14	University Professions; and Barbara Bowen,
15	president, Professional Staff Congress/CUNY.
16	So we just have a question, because we
17	just need to figure out about the time clock.
18	So I know you have 15 minutes total. Do you
19	each want five minutes or do you want to
20	share the time?
21	MR. PALLOTTA: I'll be about three
22	minutes.
23	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Why don't we
24	put 10 on the clock and then we'll do another

1 five if we need it. How does that sound? MR. PALLOTTA: Well, thank you. And 2 3 good evening. I thought I would have been 4 saying good morning or maybe good afternoon, but good evening. 5 6 (Laughter.) 7 MR. PALLOTTA: Chairperson Krueger, Chairperson Weinstein, Chair Stavisky, Chair 8 9 Glick, we thank you for this opportunity to 10 testify before you today. I am joined with 11 Dr. Kowal from UUP and Dr. Bowen from PSC. 12 I will not read my testimony, I will be summarizing --13 14 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Good. 15 MR. PALLOTTA: And that is very good. 16 -- the need for state investment for four-year SUNY and CUNY campuses, community 17 college funding, and the SUNY hospitals. 18 First let me start with the state 19 20 investment. Since 2011, operating aid to 21 SUNY and CUNY's core instructional budgets 22 has remained relatively flat. This Executive Budget continues this trend. The impact of 23 24 flat funding is being felt by many students

across the state. It is also felt by our
 membership.

3 In the case of SUNY, campuses are facing difficult decisions concerning the 4 5 potential elimination of programs, declining student services due to inadequate resources. 6 7 At CUNY, the PSC is currently in 8 contract negotiations and the university has been unwilling to put a financial offer on 9 10 the table because they do not have the funding to make this offer. Nor do they have 11 12 the financial commitment from the state to fund the cost of a new contract. Meanwhile, 13

14 adjunct faculty, who make up the vast 15 majority, as we've heard today, of the 16 instructional staff are not being paid a 17 living wage. The situation at CUNY has 18 reached a crisis point and must be addressed.

19The financial situations at SUNY and20CUNY are a direct result of the budgets, the21austerity budgets that we've seen over the22past few years, and I call on the Legislature23to make operating aid for public higher24education a priority in this year's budget

1 negotiations.

2	On community colleges, I would like to
3	thank you for your continued efforts
4	throughout the years to support these
5	campuses. Also, the Executive Budget
6	proposes flat funding for full-time
7	equivalent student for community colleges,
8	which will likely force these campuses to
9	raise tuition and/or eliminate programs and
10	services.
11	Both SUNY and CUNY community college
12	students are paying the lion's share of
13	operating costs on these campuses, and just a
14	couple of small statistics here I think are
15	very important. Over the 10-year period from
16	2008 to 2018, SUNY community college students
17	went from paying 40.8 percent of the
18	operating costs to approximately 41.4
19	percent. That might not seem a lot to some
20	people, but we also look at the state's
21	contribution for the same period decreased
22	from 30.8 to 25 percent. We see a similar
23	trend for CUNY community colleges during the
24	same time period, where student share

1 increased from 32.3 to 40.6, while the 2 state's share decreased from 28.9 to 23.7. 3 NYSUT urges the Legislature to increase base aid by \$250 per FTE. This 4 5 would raise the level of spending to 3,097 and would greatly mitigate the impact of loss 6 7 of state aid due to enrollment fluctuations. At the SUNY hospitals, with respect to 8 the SUNY health science centers and 9 10 hospitals, NYSUT wants to thank you for the 11 support you've given them over the past few 12 years. We have done this year after year, 13 we've come to you when the budgets have been 14 cut. Under the proposed Executive Budget, 15 the hospitals have lost their state subsidy, 16 and this is a vital funding source. 17 While we are pleased that the 18 Executive Budget provides the hospitals with additional DSH funding, they are still owed 19 20 approximately \$83 million for services 21 provided for patients in prior years. We 22 once again ask for you to look into this. In conclusion -- and I think I'm 23 24 really under the wire here -- the Legislature

1 spends a lot of time on these budget 2 negotiations, and we appreciate that. This 3 is also an opportunity to give aid to the students that need it the most. While these 4 5 restorations are important, the table targets assigned to higher ed during the course of 6 7 state budget negotiations is almost always 8 taken up by these restorations. This leaves little if any funding for investments in the 9 10 instructional core at CUNY and SUNY. 11 As you head into these negotiations, 12 we call upon the Legislature to make funding 13 higher education a priority. This is a high 14 priority for NYSUT, and it is desperately 15 needed to address the budgets that have come 16 to the SUNY and CUNY campuses over the past 17 few years. 18 Again, thank you for this opportunity. 19 And I now turn it over to Dr. Kowal. 20 DR. KOWAL: Thank you. 21 Chairperson Krueger, Chairperson 22 Weinstein, distinguished members of the Senate Finance Committee, Assembly Ways and

Means Committee and Higher Education

23

24

1 Committees, thank you for providing the 2 opportunity for United University Professions 3 to testify on the 2019-2020 Executive Budget for higher education. 4 5 My name is Dr. Frederick Kowal, and I'm president of United University 6 7 Professions, which is the largest higher education union in the United States. 8 You have my written testimony, and in 9 10 order to ensure that my colleague Dr. Bowen can speak as well before we turn to 11 12 questions, I just want to draw your attention 13 to several points that I raise in that 14 testimony as it pertains to some issues you 15 have already heard a great deal about. First and foremost, in terms of 16 funding itself for SUNY, you are aware of the 17 cuts that have been made. And I believe that 18 19 it is most dramatic to show how that has 20 impacted the hiring of full-time faculty and 21 staff. It is why we welcome the Governor's 22 proposal for 1,000 new full-time faculty over 23 the next 10 years. However, there are no 24 funds included in the Executive Budget

proposal. Those funds are necessary,
 obviously.

3 In fact, it is a priority for us in UUP because the goal that needs to be 4 5 achieved is bringing diversity to the faculty and staff of SUNY, because it is lacking. 6 7 Second, on the TAP gap. Again, you've heard a great deal about this. I just want 8 to point out a couple of important factors. 9 10 First and foremost, right now the maximum TAP 11 award only covers 75 percent of tuition. The 12 second fact, which may be even more 13 important, is that tuition today covers only 14 25 percent of the cost of higher education in 15 SUNY. The rest is made up of fees and other

On the hospitals, Andy mentioned the 17 18 subsidy that is so crucial. Bear in mind that the subsidy was intended from the start 19 20 to cover the cost of fringe benefits and debt 21 servicing at the hospitals. SUNY has 22 proposed and the Governor has included funding for debt servicing -- but not for the 23 24 fringe benefit costs. Those costs right now

costs associated with higher education.

16

1 are reaching nearly \$500 million annually at 2 the three hospitals. And so the subsidy and 3 restoring that subsidy becomes crucial. On Opportunity Programs, you have 4 5 heard a great deal. A couple of pieces of information that were not mentioned in SUNY's 6 7 testimony. First and foremost, if the restoration does not occur, the incoming 8 freshman class would be reduced by 70 9 10 percent. That's seven-zero. This would be a 11 dramatic impact on an incredibly successful 12 program. We urge you to once again restore 13 and hopefully expand that program. 14 In closing, once again I want to thank 15 you for the opportunity to testify and look forward to answering questions that you may 16 17 have. 18 DR. BOWEN: Thank you. Thank you very 19 much. And it's an honor to be here with my 20 colleagues Fred and Andy and also with so 21 many supporters. I'm looking at supporters 22 right now of public higher education. And I'm also very proud to have 23 24 several members, faculty and staff, of the

Professional Staff Congress behind me. It
 was so important to us, the funding this
 year. We think this is a pivotal year, and
 that's why we came out in force to be with
 you.

I also want to thank you and
congratulate you on passage of the DREAM Act.
With the Governor's support, that will become
law, and he has said he would support it.
That is a much-needed provision that many of
us have fought for for a long time.

12 And just as passage of the DREAM Act 13 this year signals that New York is taking a 14 different course from the administration in 15 Washington and is doing its utmost to end the 16 criminalization of young immigrants and to invest in their education, we're asking you 17 18 this year to make this a year in which New 19 York sends an equally strong message about 20 public higher education.

21 So I won't speak about everything in 22 the testimony, and please don't think that 23 anything not mentioned is not important. But 24 I do want to pull out a few things.

1 It's been a very long day, but I'm 2 going to ask you even at the near end -- not 3 even -- of this day to work with me to reframe the debate about public higher 4 5 education. Many, many hardworking and well-intentioned people in the state, 6 7 including advocates and legislators included, have framed the debate primarily in terms of 8 access and affordability. And New York does 9 invest in access, it does invest strongly in 10 11 the TAP program, which goes to private and 12 for-profit colleges as well as the public 13 sector. And New York has invested strongly 14 there.

15 Where New York lags is in the 16 investment in the operating budgets of the 17 public higher education systems. And because 18 there's been so much debate, and rightfully, about the need for investment, there's been 19 20 somewhat of an obfuscation of the other need, 21 which is at least as important, and that is 22 the need for investment in education itself, 23 in the ability to promote educational quality 24 and to deliver an education for students that

1

is worthy of their goals.

2 So I would like to ask the Legislature 3 this year -- we would, from the PSC -- to frame the debate also in terms of the quality 4 5 of education. Because access without a meaningful quality of education actually 6 7 equals denial. It is not access if what you come to in college is a college where the 8 buildings are falling down, where you do not 9 10 have -- where there are not even enough desks 11 or chairs for you in the classroom, where the libraries have cut back their hours, where 12 the Writing Center has closed -- that, in my 13 14 view, is not true access. It's cynical 15 access. 16 So we are asking you to invest and 17 make this a year in which the rising energy 18 carries us to make investment in the 19 operating funds of public higher education a

20 top priority, gets into your one-house bills,21 and is carried all the way to the end.

You heard this morning from Interim
Chancellor Rabinowitz about CUNY having a
sustainable financial model. I would have to

1 say the union disagrees with that. And my 2 colleague Fred spoke about this earlier, and 3 also Andy. When the previously agreed-to contracts are not funded by the state, when 4 5 the state's portion is not funded, the result has been that the CUNY four-year colleges 6 7 have been told for two, three years to carve 8 out 2 percent of their operating funds and hold them back to cover the costs of 9 10 collective bargaining. That's not fair. That is not a sustainable model if that keeps 11 12 going on and on. 13 And in fact this year's budget, in the 14 Executive's proposed budget for public higher 15 education or for CUNY, I'll say, is 16 essentially a flat budget. There is an increase in the fringe benefits number, about 17 18 48 million, but the rest of the budget is essentially flat. And yet the number of 19 20 students rises, we've had -- if you take the 21 actual enrollments and inflation increase, 22 we've had a 18 percent decrease in per-student funding between 2008 and 2018. 23 24 So that's where it matters, how much

money is there for each student to get a good education. That has been going down. So while we're hearing about New York's increasing investment, I'm asking you to peel away some of the layers of that and look at what's going down.

7 So we are calling on you this year for 8 an increased investment at the per-student 9 level so that the operating budget can reach 10 the size it should be for the number of students we have. And that's so that CUNY 11 12 doesn't have to cannibalize its existing 13 academic programs to pay to keep the lights 14 on. And so that student tuition is not 15 raised every year to cover basic operating 16 expenses. Student tuition increases were supposed to be for enhancements, not for 17 18 basic expenses like rent.

19 I'd also join my colleagues in saying
20 let's take a hard look at the TAP gap, which
21 is increasing every year. That should not be
22 absorbed by the universities.

23And I'll just try to finish up, but I24do want to speak about a couple of other

1 things which are important.

2	
2	Andy mentioned the fact that we are in
3	contract negotiations and that
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: How about a
5	quick summary?
6	DR. BOWEN: I'll do a quick summary.
7	It's the penalty of being the third one.
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: No, you had a
9	full five minutes.
10	DR. BOWEN: Okay. But okay.
11	Well, we're in contract negotiations,
12	and as Andy said, there's been no offer on
13	the table. One of the key reasons is that
14	the CUNY administration has not had an
15	allocation of funding from the state in order
16	to make an offer.
17	You have heard today from some of the
18	very powerful questions that CUNY runs on
19	adjunct labor. More than half the courses at
20	CUNY are taught by people who are being paid
21	at a piecework rate, they're being paid
22	\$3,500 a class, they are doing all the work
23	for that class, and they are having to run
24	from that job to some other job, because you

1	cannot live on the amount you earn by being
2	an adjunct. Which means that our students
3	are necessarily shortchanged.
4	So we are urgently seeking your
5	attention and your support to address that
6	issue in this budget, in helping us conclude
7	our negotiations. New York has taken a very
8	strong stance on the minimum wage for most
9	workers. We've got to do it for these
10	workers.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. We
12	do have some questions.
13	Assemblywoman Glick.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you.
15	Earlier today we heard the chancellor,
16	the interim chancellor talk about the fact
17	that there was some attempt, some program to
18	try to move adjuncts into full-time
19	positions. It sounded like it was a small
20	number. And then there was a I'm not sure
21	if it was a clarification, but another
22	statement that there is a plan to move 50 a
23	year, or something like that.
24	I'm just wondering, since you would

know who's moving from adjunct to full-time,
 what your perception of reality is in this
 regard.

4 DR. BOWEN: Okay. Well, thank you. Chancellor Rabinowitz said -- I had it 5 written down -- something about a queue, 6 7 there is a queue. There is no queue for adjuncts to move into full-time positions. 8 There should be, and the union has pressed 9 10 for one, but there isn't. There is no 11 systematic movement. Through discussions 12 with the university concurrent with contract 13 negotiations, we have on three different 14 occasions reached a point where the 15 university has added 100 new positions for --16 exclusively for people who are currently adjuncts at a certain level of seniority to 17 18 move into lecturer positions. That has 19 happened in three different negotiations with 20 about 200 in one, 100 in another, 25 most 21 recently.

But the idea that there is a steady
stream of people moving is not correct.
There is no queue. There's no ladder. There

1 should be. And certainly one of the things 2 that PSC is looking for in increasing the 3 number of full-time faculty positions overall is the opportunity for some of the people who 4 5 have dedicated years and years to CUNY as adjuncts to move into those full-time 6 7 positions. ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: If you could --8 I agree that there is insufficient support 9 10 for operating aid, whether it's SUNY or CUNY. 11 And since the time is short, if there is a 12 specific amount that you are recommending, it 13 would be helpful to have that as we go 14 forward for each of the systems. 15 Clearly there is the looming TAP gap, 16 which we've talked about, but that's only a part of it. 17 DR. BOWEN: It's only a part of it. 18 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: And so 19 20 understanding what is a, from your 21 perspective, a more adequate or real number 22 in order to get to quality (air quotes) or maintain what quality there is would be very 23 24 helpful for us to have.

DR. BOWEN: I think we all have that in our testimony. It's 332 million for CUNY, which includes 86 million for the TAP gap, money to sustain collective bargaining, and some of the other pieces that are necessary. And we support the request on the community colleges.

DR. KOWAL: On the SUNY side, we can 8 provide you with more information. In my 9 10 testimony basically the largest sum has to do with the hospitals, but then also on the TAP 11 12 gap. Which would assist those campuses that 13 are struggling the most right now. 14 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you. 15 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 16 To the Senate now. CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Toby 17 18 Stavisky. 19 SENATOR STAVISKY: Very briefly, thank 20 you for your testimony and for your comments, 21 particularly about the maintenance of effort issue, which I'm not going to ask about but I 22 was thinking about it. 23 24 I don't know if you heard Chancellor

1 Johnson earlier talk about the online classes 2 that she's recommending. DR. KOWAL: Yes. 3 SENATOR STAVISKY: I asked -- we all 4 5 asked a number of questions about the online classes. And I'm wondering what your 6 7 response would be to relying on the online 8 classes. DR. KOWAL: Well, two points that I 9 10 would make. One is I found her response 11 interesting in that she said most of the 12 courses are hybrid courses right now, and 13 those are not what they're looking at. As 14 one who has taught online courses, the online 15 courses that are the most effective are

16 hybrid courses where there is an in-place 17 classroom meeting that takes place along with 18 an online component.

19Which points to the second thing, is20that online is not going to generate massive21amounts of revenue. The most recent study22that was published in Inside Higher Ed23pointed out that in fact online education is24more expensive. We've known that because

1 it's not just an instructor, it's also the 2 support staff that is required to make the 3 courses work. 4 SENATOR STAVISKY: The technical. 5 DR. KOWAL: The technical side, that is correct. 6 SENATOR STAVISKY: Because I had the 7 same reaction. Thank you. 8 9 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Seward. 10 SENATOR SEWARD: Thank you. I had one question for Dr. Kowal. We 11 12 had a discussion earlier in the day with 13 Chancellor Johnson in terms of the paying for 14 the covering the expenses associated with the 15 recent contract that was approved. And by 16 the way, I'm very, very pleased that we finally got there --17 18 DR. KOWAL: So am I. 19 SENATOR SEWARD: -- to an approved 20 contract. But with an increase in expenses of about 386 million, as I recall. And even 21 22 though there's new money in the Governor's proposal for fringe benefits, not the money 23 24 to cover these increases --

1	DR. KOWAL: Right.
2	SENATOR SEWARD: That and I note
3	that, you know, you are supporting
4	\$30 million for additional full-time
5	tenure-track professors, something that I
6	agree should be a top priority.
7	But my question is, do you have
8	concerns about how this \$386 million is going
9	to be covered? And when I say do you have
10	concerns, in terms of taking it out of the
11	hides of the local campuses, or even a
12	portion of it, at a time when, you know, we
13	are looking to increase the state support of
14	our local campuses.
15	DR. KOWAL: Certainly. And I think
16	what the chancellor indicated is important,
17	and that is when we look at the campuses
18	right now that I indicated in my testimony,
19	for the most part, whether it be Fredonia,
20	Buffalo State, Plattsburgh, Potsdam, those
21	campuses have seen a real drop-off in terms
22	of enrollment which has really exacerbated
23	their financial crisis.
24	And secondly, when you look at the TAP

1 numbers, the percentage of students who are 2 TAP-eligible and beneficiaries are very high 3 at those campuses as well, which means they're getting that hit at the same time. 4 5 I think, as the chancellor pointed out, the most expensive parts of this 6 7 contract are in the outyears. The contract runs through '22. And I agree, we had 8 conversations about this as the contract was 9 10 wrapping up. She said, she volunteered, that she would be willing to advocate with me to 11 12 get funding for the university, which I welcome. It's overdue, because I think SUNY 13 14 has not in the past been as aggressive in 15 advocating for itself as it should be. And 16 certainly that's the case with the hospitals, but now with this financial challenge. 17 18 And so I welcome the opportunity to advocate with her, it's going to be 19 necessary, but particularly in those 20 21 outyears. In my conversations with the 22 chancellor and with campus leaders, it's clear that except for one or two campuses 23

24 where enrollment has been a real problem, the

1	campuses are going to be fine in this first
2	couple of years of the contract; it's in the
3	outyears.
4	SENATOR SEWARD: Thank you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
6	Senator John Liu.
7	SENATOR LIU: Thank you, Madam Chair.
8	Thank you for your wonderful
9	testimony.
10	A couple of questions. One relates to
11	the adjuncts and the effort, I guess the
12	administration's effort or claims that
13	there's an effort to make them full-time
14	faculty or tenure-track faculty.
15	My question, you know, is apart from
16	fiscal constraints, are there any other
17	constraints that make it hard for some of
18	these adjunct faculty to become full-time?
19	For example I'll cut right to the chase
20	is it possible that at every school there's a
21	faculty senate, there's some faculty involved
22	in making those decisions? Is there any
23	impediment there, or is it just purely
24	fiscal?

1 DR. BOWEN: The issue is money. It's 2 100 percent money. 3 SENATOR LIU: That's great to hear. DR. BOWEN: It's 100 percent money. 4 5 When CUNY last had a student body approaching the size that it has now, there were 11,500 6 7 faculty, full-time. Now CUNY has about 7,600 full-time faculty. We're 4,000 8 full-time faculty positions short. 9 10 CUNY should start filling those 4,000 positions, full-time positions right now. 11 12 And it's a question of money. They can start 13 by looking internally at the adjuncts we 14 have. We heard over and over again this 15 morning "We value our adjuncts." We value 16 them, but we don't pay them properly. I mean, where is the measure of value there? 17 18 And I would go a step further and say if we value the students, then you must value 19 the people who teach the students. It is a 20 21 gesture --22 SENATOR LIU: Your whole point about 23 quality. 24 DR. BOWEN: -- of disrespect not to

value the people who deliver education to the
 students.

3 SENATOR LIU: Thank you very much.4 That's very helpful.

5 And then my other question is, you 6 know, to what extent do you think maybe 7 there's not enough money in the entire CUNY 8 budget and SUNY budget that goes to the 9 individual campuses, as opposed to remaining 10 in central administration?

DR. BOWEN: I'm sure we could look at things and find things. But I think the chief issue is the basic level of funding. It's not really the distribution of funds, it's the fact that the per-student funding has declined almost 20 percent in the last 10 years.

I mean, when you take a 20 percent decline of an already inadequate budget -- it wasn't so great 10 years ago, and then to see a decline in that, that has led to the effects that we talked about. For example, the number of adjuncts has nearly doubled since 2000 as the number of students has gone 1 up.

	-
2	SENATOR LIU: So the administration is
3	running pretty lean?
4	DR. BOWEN: I would not say that, no.
5	I mean, I'm sure that there are criticisms we
6	have. But what I really would want to ask us
7	to focus on it is not the case, I would
8	say, that there is an adequate overall budget
9	and it's being wrongly distributed. The
10	issue is that there is an inadequate budget.
11	And I'd just add that for us that's an
12	issue not just of economic justice but also
13	racial justice. It's a racial injustice to
14	underfund the university where the student
15	population is 77 percent people of color.
16	SENATOR LIU: Thank you, Dr. Bowen.
17	Madam Chair, I've been a proud member
18	of PSC.
19	(Applause from the audience.)
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
21	DR. BOWEN: We're very proud of you.
22	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Robert
23	Jackson.
24	SENATOR JACKSON: Thank you, Madam

1 Cochair.

2	And let me thank President Bowen and
3	President Pallotta and Dr. Kowal for coming
4	forward and giving testimony. I apologize
5	for not being here earlier; we were in
6	session, where we passed the Child Victims
7	Act, which
8	(Scattered applause.)
9	SENATOR JACKSON: And so that was
10	important to many, many people.
11	But I wanted just to touch base. I
12	heard you talk about the deterioration of
13	funds and the deterioration of CUNY with
14	respect to because of the lack of funds.
15	Knowing the population of CUNY and SUNY, what
16	is the average time it's taking for
17	individuals to graduate? It is it four
18	years, is it five years, is it six years?
19	And how has the lack of funds and the
20	increase in adjuncts compared to full-time
21	professors? What type of impact has that had
22	on the students and increasing the time it
23	takes to graduate?
24	If all of you can comment on that, I'd

1 appreciate it very much.

2 DR. KOWAL: Yeah, absolutely there has 3 been an impact. And we have seen it where -with underfunding, courses aren't offered in 4 the sequence where students can take 5 advantage of them. I saw that firsthand at 6 7 my home campus at Cobleskill, which is a tech 8 campus. And again, those are the ones that receive the least funding. And in doing so, 9 10 it forces students that now on average take 11 five years to graduate. 12 And so when you consider, as you were 13 asking questions about student debt, it 14 increases that burden significantly. And so 15 absolutely, it is a case where without the 16 funding, students -- the time period for them to graduate is extended out, and that creates 17 a further burden as well. 18 19 MR. PALLOTTA: And I just have a story of a friend of mine who was a 20 21 paraprofessional in the Bronx, and to get the last course he needed to become a science 22 teacher, it took at least five years to be 23 24 able to get to that course on a time that he

could actually take it during his work
 schedule.

3 So yes, he has graduated and he is going to become a science teacher, but how 4 5 many years it took because they just did not -- were not able to offer that at Lehman? 6 7 DR. BOWEN: CUNY's own survey of their students showed that 22 percent of students 8 report they can't get a course they need in 9 10 order to graduate. And beyond that, I would say that the 11 12 constant presence of a hollowed-out and 13 stripped-down budget hurts every student. 14 Every student. So a student could have an 15 adjunct who is absolutely dedicated and their 16 favorite professor, and then they go back next semester to find that adjunct to be 17 their mentor, to write a recommendation --18 19 that person has been moved to another college 20 or didn't get a course that term.

21 That hurts the continuity. That also 22 says to the student you don't matter, you 23 don't matter enough for us to make sure that 24 your professor is here. That's what it says

1 to the student. And on top of that, the 2 students are paying more and more tuition and more fees. So all of that communicates to 3 4 the students -- whatever the official 5 message, the funding message communicates and 6 effectively communicates that you don't 7 matter and that shows. And the students who do persist and graduate are heroes. 8 9 SENATOR JACKSON: Thank you. 10 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. Thank 11 you very much. 12 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for 13 being here. 14 MR. PALLOTTA: Thank you. 15 DR. BOWEN: Thank you. 16 DR. KOWAL: Thank you. (Applause from audience.) 17 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Next we have 18 19 the New York Public Interest Research Group, 20 NYPIRG, Jana Bergere and Blair Horner. 21 MR. HORNER: Ready whenever you are. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Can the -- can 22 we have quiet in the cafeteria, please. 23 24 (Laughter.)

1 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: If people are 2 leaving, please be quiet. We have other 3 witnesses and your voices carry. Yes. Let's go. 4 5 MS. BERGERE: Good evening. My name is Jana Bergere. I am here to deliver the 6 7 testimony of Smitha Varghese. Smitha sends her apologies, she was unable to make it 8 today due to an academic conflict. 9 10 With me today is Blair Horner, 11 NYPIRG's executive director. 12 As I mentioned, my name is Jana 13 Bergere, and I'm a student at SUNY New Paltz. 14 And I'm also interning with NYPIRG this 15 semester. Our comments summarize some of the key points found in Smitha's written 16 testimony and highlight NYPIRG's biggest 17 18 areas of concern. The written testimony also 19 includes personal stories from students 20 impacted by the state budget. 21 Before I start, a quick introduction 22 to NYPIRG. Established in 1973, NYPIRG is a 23 statewide nonpartisan research and advocacy 24 organization based on college campuses at

1 some of the state university, SUNY 2 university, and independent institutions of 3 higher education. NYPIRG's mission is to offer interested college students an 4 5 opportunity to gain hands-on experience in 6 policy decision-making while learning about 7 civic life at the same time. NYPIRG's board of directors are 8 9 college students elected from the campuses in 10 which students have chosen to join. Smitha 11 is a college student attending Queens College 12 and is a chairperson of NYPIRG's board of directors. 13 14 Now to summarize our testimony. 15 NYPIRG thanks the Legislature for its recent 16 approval of the DREAM Act. Approval has been long overdue. As you know, undocumented 17 18 college students have been eligible for 19 in-state tuition as a result of an agreement 20 between then-Governor George Pataki and the 21 Legislature well over 10 years ago. Since 22 then, those students have been ineligible for 23 state financial aid programs, which has 24 created needless obstacles to attending

college. Passage of the Dream Act, and
 hopefully approval by the Governor, fixes
 that problem.

NYPIRG supports the Governor's plan to 4 5 expand financial aid through the Excelsior 6 Program. The Excelsior Program should also 7 be expanded to allow greater participation by 8 needy students. In our testimony we make specific recommendations as to how, but in 9 10 sum we believe that the 30-credit-per-year limit should be lowered. It should be 11 12 available during summer and winter sessions, and that students who fail to meet the 13 14 criteria should not be burdened with more 15 debt.

16 NYPIRG urges you to bolster the Governor's funding levels for state support 17 of SUNY and CUNY. As you know, when the 18 19 so-called rational tuition plan was put in 20 place, a bargain was struck: Tuition increases will be used to enhance the 21 22 universities, not fill budget gaps. Yet it 23 sure looks to us that the pledge is being 24 broken and tuition is being used to plug

budget shortfalls, and the Legislature has
 been well aware of the problem.

Both houses have approved an enhanced maintenance of effort to supplement state support for SUNY and CUNY to allow greater freedom to use tuition dollars for student service enhancement. We urge you to approve an enhanced maintenance of effort in your budget plan.

10Also the fact that tuition charges now11exceed the maximum TAP award leave SUNY and12CUNY having to provide support to needy13students instead of the state. We urge you14to close the TAP gap.

15 Lastly, we urge you to reject cuts 16 included in the Governor's budget that target aid programs for college students from 17 18 educationally and economically disadvantaged 19 backgrounds, the Opportunity Programs. These have been models for the nation. 20 Ιn 21 particular, the Accelerated Study in 22 Associate Programs was highlighted by the Obama administration as a model for the 23 24 nation.

1 Yet the Governor's budget zeroes out 2 state support for ASAP, a reduction of 3 \$2.8 million, and his proposed cuts don't 4 stop there. For example, the Governor's 5 budget calls for cuts to Opportunity Programs that total \$28 million. All of those cuts 6 7 must be restored. In fact, the programs should be expanded. 8 9 Our testimony identifies other 10 recommendations as well, including community 11 college funding and protections for student 12 borrowers and food insecure students. 13 We appreciate the opportunity to 14 testify. Thank you. 15 MR. HORNER: We finished in less than 16 five minutes. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Oh. I didn't 17 know, Blair, if you were going to --18 19 MR. HORNER: Nope. 20 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Oh, okay. 21 MR. HORNER: Any questions? 22 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Does any --23 Assemblyman Barclay. 24 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: I don't know --

1 thank you -- thanks for your testimony.

2 I don't know if you were here when the 3 assistant attorney general testified, but she 4 talked about -- you know, we were talking 5 about private colleges and the rules have 6 been pulled back, and the federal government 7 with them, with the Gainful Employment Rule, which essentially said we have to kind of 8 9 tell students how much they can possibly earn 10 after they get out of the program. 11 Do you have any position or does 12 NYPIRG have any position on that as it applies to public universities? 13 14 MR. HORNER: We do not. 15 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Fair enough. 16 Why not? 17 MR. HORNER: We can't do everything. 18 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Fair enough. 19 (Laughter.) 20 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Well, would you formulate an opinion and get back to me, 21 22 then? 23 MR. HORNER: Well, we'll certainly 24 take a look at it.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: All right, thank
2	you.
3	MR. HORNER: It's a good question.
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
5	MR. HORNER: Thank you.
6	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senate's good.
7	Thank you very much. Thank you for
8	your testimony and fuller testimony.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So now we'd
10	like to ask the we're going to invite the
11	CUNY University Student Senate, Greshawna
12	Clement, vice chair for international
13	affairs, and the SUNY Student Assembly, Alex
14	George, chief of staff of the SUNY Student
15	Assembly, to come down together, and you'll
16	each have a five-minute time period.
17	MS. CLEMENT: Thank you.
18	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I do not see your
19	other so you should start, and if they get
20	here we'll let them know.
21	MS. CLEMENT: Okay. Thank you.
22	Good afternoon, members of the
23	New York State Legislature. My name is
24	Greshawna Clement, and I am a senior at

Medgar Evers College serving as the evening
 and weekend vice president and the vice chair
 for international student affairs for the
 University Student Senate. I am here today
 to advocate for the 500,000 students in CUNY
 this academic year and beyond.

7 Since the creation of the rational tuition plan in 2011, there has been a 8 culture of tuition hikes created from the 9 10 lack of funding at CUNY from the state to 11 cover the mandatory operating costs. A 12 predictable tuition hike of \$200 has been and 13 continues to be an injustice and 14 disappointment to the students, as CUNY is 15 being encouraged to operate on the backs of 16 students by counting on tuition hikes to cover the costs. The recently approved 17 tuition hike is the 16th tuition increase at 18 19 CUNY senior colleges since the academic year 1990-1991, 30 years. 20

21 What we would like to highlight is the 22 consistent rise of tuition directly increases 23 the TAP gap. In turn, CUNY has to request 24 funds from the state to provide parity. It

is not fiscally responsible to raise tuition
 and provide -- and then provide funds to make
 up for the TAP gap.

As of now, the maximum amount of TAP that can be awarded is \$5,165, while the cost of attendance at a four-year college is \$6,730, leaving a gap of \$1,565 that students will need to cover.

It has been evident over the years 9 10 that the priorities of the state budget are not education. We are calling on this 11 12 democratic, progressive legislature to start 13 prioritizing education. It is time to fund 14 CUNY now, stop tuition hikes, close the TAP 15 gap, and provide financial aid to graduate 16 students again, which was eliminated in 2010.

Every year since 2015, the budget of 17 18 senior colleges has taken a cut by 1 to 19 2 percent. This continuous decision severely 20 impacts the student experience. These cuts 21 have impacted a number of staff, faculty, 22 programming, and opportunities on the local campuses, which plays a vital role in student 23 24 success and school pride.

1 Opportunity Programs have 2 statistically been proven to help thousands 3 of students annually graduate on time. Every year we have to advocate why we need them 4 5 restored. The budget reflects a decrease of 23.4 million from SEEK and 2.4 million from 6 7 the ASAP program, but references investing in 8 new pilot programs. We are concerned about 9 this when there are working programs like 10 ASAP, SEEK, ACE, and CUNY LEADS receiving cuts to fund new pilot programs. Why not use 11 12 these same funds to restore and enhance these 13 successful Opportunity Programs? 14 Mental health services is an 15 additional area where state funding is 16 lacking, and has now become a priority of CUNY, as it is reflected in their budget 17 18 requests. 19 According to the report by the CUNY 20 School of Public Health, more than 18 percent 21 of our undergraduates reported symptoms of clinical depression, and more than 20 percent 22 suffer from anxiety. Nearly 10 percent lack 23

24 any form of health insurance, and more than

15 percent lack any relationship with a
 healthcare provider.

3 Currently, the CUNY student-tocounselor ratio of 2400 students per 4 5 counselor is well above the level of 1500 students per counselor that is recommended by 6 7 the International Association of Counseling Services. The needs for these services are 8 9 immense, and the needs necessary for students 10 to avoid the crisis.

11 There is also a disparity in disability services. The number of students 12 13 enrolled with disabilities has increased by more than 30 percent in the last 25 years. 14 15 However, the funding has remained flat at \$1.5 million. There is a need for more 16 funding to the services that this growing 17 18 population of students needs, and currently 19 the state is not addressing them.

20 We are also concerned about our 21 childcare centers that were created to assist 22 our student parents aiming to achieve a CUNY 23 degree. Currently, because of the lack of 24 funding, some campuses such as Queens College

are raising their student activity fees to
 keep open childcare centers on campus.
 Again, we say student activity fees should
 not be used to make up for the lack of
 funding, they should be used for student
 activities.

7 Women make up 56.6 percent of the student population in CUNY senior colleges 8 and 57 percent of the student population in 9 10 CUNY community colleges. We are also 11 requesting funding for feminine hygiene 12 products, as CUNY senior and community 13 campuses are predominantly female. Female 14 hygiene products should be free and 15 accessible for college students, not just 16 mandatory through K-12. Women do not stop being women when they go to college. We 17 still have needs that need to be addressed. 18 19 We are calling on the State 20 Legislature to hear our concerns and 21 requests. Fully fund the operating cost of 22 CUNY, restore the funding for Opportunity Programs, and invest in student services such 23 24 as mental health counseling, feminine hygiene

1 products, and services for students with 2 disabilities. 3 We are calling for a new deal that provides justice for students. Enough is 4 5 enough. Fund CUNY now. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 6 7 Thank you for being here. I'm not sure if we have any questions. 8 9 Senator Liu has a question. 10 SENATOR LIU: Thank you, Madam Chair. I don't have questions as much as I 11 12 have heard your testimony very clearly and I 13 want to thank you for taking the time. You 14 heard everybody else speak today, and we hear 15 you speak. And it's very important that we 16 hear from the students as well, so thank you. 17 MS. CLEMENT: Thank you. 18 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 19 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Is Alex George 20 of SUNY Student Assembly -- obviously you're 21 both not Alex George, so --22 MR. GEORGE: I'm Alex. Good evening. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: If you're going 23 24 to speak, hopefully you'll identify yourself.

1 MS. CADESCA: Oh, good evening. My 2 name is Langie Cadesca. I'm the president of 3 the University at Albany Student Association. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Okay. Thank 4 5 you. Are you going to be speaking also? 6 MS. CADESCA: What? 7 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Are you going to speak also? 8 9 MS. CADESCA: Yes, ma'am. 10 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Okay. So you have five minutes between the two of you. 11 12 MR. GEORGE: Great. Thank you. 13 On behalf of the SUNY Student Assembly 14 and SUNY's 1.4 million students, I would like 15 to thank Chairpersons Weinstein, Krueger, 16 Glick, Stavisky, and the members of the committee presiding over this hearing for 17 18 allowing us to testify today. 19 My name is Alex George. I'm a 20 graduate student at the University at Albany, and I serve as the chief of staff for the 21 SUNY Student Assembly. I'm joined by Langie 22 Cadesca, president of the Student Association 23 24 at the University at Albany, one of the four

1 university centers in the SUNY system.

2 SUNYSA President and Trustee Michael 3 Braun, Vice President Austin Ostro, and our government relations team wish they could be 4 5 here. However, they are currently in Washington, D.C., with student leaders from 6 7 across the country, speaking with members of Congress and the Department of Education on 8 the importance of federal financial aid, 9 10 DACA, and Title IX. 11 The Student Assembly is the recognized 12 overarching student government for the SUNY 13 system. The Student Assembly advocates on 14 the local, state, and federal levels on 15 behalf of the collective student interest on 16 matters of higher education policy. Today we will be discussing the importance of 17 18 furthering the investment of public higher 19 education across our state, including the 20 need for a new funding formula for SUNY's 30 21 community colleges, investing in mental 22 health, capital funding support, retention grants through the emergency fund program, 23 24 and expanding EOP and EOCs.

1 MS. CADESCA: Strengthening the 2 Educational Opportunity Program is key for 3 ensuring that all New Yorkers have access to 4 SUNY. EOP has empowered students like me to 5 succeed in college and beyond.

As a proud EOP student, I have seen 6 7 firsthand the power of this program and what it has done to change lives. I have been 8 9 able to have one-on-one advisory mentorship, 10 professional development programs, and been 11 exposed to different career pathways. Coming 12 from Brooklyn, New York, many of these 13 programs are not provided locally, and EOP 14 has given me hope and perseverance to excel 15 socially, academically, and professionally. 16 Unfortunately, the Governor's budget once again calls for cuts to Educational 17 18 Opportunity Programs and Educational 19 Opportunity Centers. We urge the Legislature 20 to restore these cuts and to expand funding 21 for both EOP and our EOC. Doing so will put a SUNY education within reach for more 22 New Yorkers. 23

24 Additionally, when students enroll on

1 a SUNY campus, we must do all that we can to 2 ensure their completion. Sometimes students 3 are forced to take academic leave or even drop out of school due to unforeseen personal 4 5 financial difficulties. It could be a broken-down car, an unexpected medical bill, 6 7 or being a victim of a crime, and sometimes just as little as \$100 can be the difference 8 between a student staying in school and 9 10 dropping out.

11 Multiple campuses have created 12 emergency funds which give students 13 micro-grants to manage through these 14 circumstances, and these grants -- which are 15 never more than \$2,000 -- are a blessing for 16 students who have nowhere else to turn. Investment from the state would allow SUNY to 17 18 take on this initiative to scale.

19Furthermore, in order to guarantee20student success, our campuses must have21modern infrastructure. SUNY accounts for2240 percent of all public infrastructure in23New York State, and most of it was24constructed in the 1960s. The \$550 million

1 in critical maintenance capital funding 2 proposed in the Executive Budget is not 3 enough to keep up with necessary renovations. Underfunding maintenance has created a 4 5 backlog of projects, forcing students to learn in antiquated and occasionally 6 7 dangerous facilities. We urge the Legislature to expand funding for SUNY 8 infrastructure and to ensure that our 9 10 campuses maintain the world-class facilities that students deserve. 11 12 MR. GEORGE: We also want to draw your attention to an important program being 13 14 utilized by hundreds of SUNY students. 15 SUNY's telecounseling initiative 16 offers psychiatric services to students in medically underserved regions of the state. 17 18 Students can remotely connect with a mental 19 health provider and seek treatment, and 20 without this program students may be forced 21 to go without the care they need to maintain 22 their mental and physical well being. Unfortunately, the Governor's budget 23 24 proposes eliminating this vital program. We

1 urge the Legislature to restore the \$600,000 2 in funding the program had last year. 3 SUNY students are also concerned by the deficiencies in the community college 4 5 formula. Twenty-seven out of 30 SUNY community colleges are on track for reduced 6 7 state support year. This means cuts to academic programs and student support 8 services that are essential to student 9 10 success. 11 Fluctuating enrollment should not 12 jeopardize the experience of students on our 13 community college campuses. Establishing a 14 funding floor would give security to 15 community college students that their institutions will continue to be able to 16 offer high-quality programs. 17 18 Once again, we would like to thank the 19 assembled committees for hearing our concerns on behalf of SUNY's 1.4 million students. We 20 21 look forward to answering your questions. 22 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 23 No Assembly. 24 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Excuse me.

Senator Jackson.

2	SENATOR JACKSON: Thank you. I was
3	going to say good afternoon. Good evening.
4	So let me just I want to thank you,
5	as John thanked the other students. You're
6	young people, you're involved in your
7	education and the education of others.
8	And I say to you I am a SUNY alum,
9	SUNY New Paltz, an EOP student. My wife, who
10	was a foreign student, came in at SUNY New
11	Paltz and my daughter, who's an M.D., came in
12	as a regular admission student.
13	And my message to all of you, and
14	students all over the world, is there's
15	different ways to get in. The most important
16	thing is you have to have the willingness to
17	get in there and do your best. And hopefully
18	we as legislators will help you by giving you
19	access to funds that you need in order to get
20	a good education so that you can, you know,
21	achieve your goals and objective as an adult
22	and be a positive influence to others and to
23	our great city and our state.
24	So let me thank you for coming in,

1 understanding that I'm going to fight to make 2 sure that -- as much as I can, to make sure 3 that you get the funding that you need, and 4 also at the elementary and intermediate 5 school level, so that people will have the opportunity to go to college just like the 6 7 two of you. Thank you on behalf of all of the 8 people of New York State. Thank you. 9 10 MS. CADESCA: Thank you, Senator. 11 MR. GEORGE: Thank you. 12 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Liu, 13 14 sorry. 15 SENATOR LIU: Thank you, Madam Chair. 16 Very briefly, I certainly want to echo what Senator Jackson already said, to thank these 17 18 student leaders for coming here. 19 Way back when I was a member of what 20 was then called SASU, which was the Student 21 Association of the State University, we had 22 our conferences everywhere in the state, including Plattsburgh, New York. I drove my 23 24 horse-drawn carriage there --

1 (Laughter.) 2 SENATOR LIU: And I thank you for 3 continuing the advocacy for our SUNY students 4 and trying to keep tuition low, as well as 5 many of the other issues that you have 6 brought up. Thank you. 7 MR. GEORGE: Thank you. MS. CADESCA: Thank you. 8 9 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very 10 much. 11 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 12 Next we have the Commission on Independent Colleges and Universities, Mary 13 14 Beth Labate. 15 MS. LABATE: Good evening. 16 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Good evening. 17 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Good evening. MS. LABATE: Thank you, Chairpersons 18 19 Krueger, Weinstein, Senator Stavisky, Assemblymember Glick. Thank you for your 20 21 leadership and continued support for 22 New York's college students. 23 I'm Mary Beth Labate; I'm the 24 president of the Commission on Independent

1 Colleges and Universities. For those of you 2 who don't know CICU, we represent more than 3 100 private, not-for-profit colleges in the 4 state, including the 500,000 students that 5 they educate.

I will make my abbreviated comments 6 7 even more abbreviated, given the time of evening, but first I'd like to thank you for 8 moving so quickly on the DREAM Act. And I 9 10 want to thank the Governor for including it 11 in his Executive Budget. Like the 12 New Yorkers they are, Dreamers deserve 13 financial aid, and we do hope it sparks a 14 broader discussion of TAP.

15 Let me touch on just a few key points 16 from my testimony. The Governor and the Legislature should be proud that the state 17 18 provides close to \$7.4 billion a year to its 19 public higher education systems. It's 20 critical, however, that New York also invest 21 in its independent sector of higher ed, 22 supporting all of New York's students in the institutions that attract investment and 23 24 talent from across the globe. It is only

1 with public and private sectors working 2 together that New York can educate 3 1.2 million students each year. Investment in private, not-for-profit 4 5 colleges provides tremendous taxpayer value. With just 4 percent of the state's higher 6 7 education budget, private colleges confer 60 percent of the bachelor and graduate 8 degrees in the state. We educate nearly 9 10 500,000 students, including almost 300,000 New Yorkers, and count more than 1.3 million 11 12 New Yorkers as our alums. We are the number-one destination for 13 14 students leaving their home states to go to 15 college and the number-two state for international students. More black and 16 Hispanic students earn bachelor's and 17 18 graduate degrees at private colleges than 19 anywhere else in New York. 20 Approximately 72,000 of our students 21 qualify for TAP because their families earn less than \$80,000 a year. New York's private 22 colleges contribute \$5.7 billion from their 23 24 own resources to student aid each year.

1 Eighty-eight cents of every dollar that's 2 provided for financial aid comes directly 3 from our colleges. In 2017 New York's private 4 5 not-for-profit colleges contributed \$88.8 billion to our state's economy and 6 7 supported almost 416,000 jobs. Given the scale of our role in the higher education 8 landscape, I think our requests in this 9 10 year's budget are quite modest. 11 First, funding for the Opportunity 12 Programs like HEOP that improve access to higher education for high-needs students 13 14 suffered a 17 percent cut in the budget even 15 as Dreamers became eligible to benefit from transformative initiatives. Our students are 16 grateful to the Legislature for safeguarding 17 18 these programs, and we ask that you do so 19 once again and increase opportunity funding in total to \$98 million. 20 21 Second, TAP. TAP serves more than 336,000 New Yorkers, including 72,000 22

23 attending private not-for-profit colleges.

24 However, the program is helping fewer

1 students because the eligibility parameters 2 have remained stagnant. Now is the year to modernize TAP so that it reaches more 3 New Yorkers. We ask that the state begin a 4 5 three-year phase-in to increase the maximum TAP award to 6,000, the minimum TAP award to 6 7 1,000, and the maximum income eligibility to 95,000. 8

We were very pleased to see Senators 9 10 Stavisky and Skoufis begin this important discussion by introducing a bill that would 11 12 increase income eligibility to \$95,000 and increase the minimum award to \$750. And with 13 14 a lot of discussion this afternoon about the 15 TAP gap I will also point out that an 16 increase in TAP will go a long way towards helping SUNY and CUNY to close their TAP gap. 17 18 The Higher Education Capital 19 Assistance Program has been used in every 20 corner of the state to spur much-needed 21 construction at our private college campuses. 22 Regrettably, the Executive Budget proposes to eliminate funding for the program. We ask 23 24 that you restore funding to HECap,

historically at \$30 million per year, and
 enhance it to \$35 million. For every dollar
 that you invest, our campuses invest at least
 another \$3.

5 The STEM Incentives Program. Last 6 year's budget opened the state's STEM 7 Incentive Scholarship to students at private 8 colleges only to have that door shut in the 9 proposed budget, and we ask that you include 10 funding for a second cohort of students.

Thank you all for fighting to restore 11 12 Bundy Aid in last year's budget. We are very 13 grateful to you and the Governor that 14 Bundy Aid was included this year's budget, 15 and we ask that you consider increasing 16 Bundy Aid funding to \$40 million in the state budget by reinvesting some of \$15.6 million 17 18 cut from the Enhanced Tuition Award Program. 19 This would be the first increase in Bundy in 20 more than a decade.

21 Without data from HESC on the amount 22 of money or the number of scholarships 23 awarded under the Enhanced Tuition Award 24 Program, it's impossible to know if the

1 \$7 million proposed in the Executive Budget 2 is sufficient. We urge you to fully meet all 3 demands for that program. With that, my time is up. The only 4 5 thing I had left to say is thank you and I appreciate all of your support over the 6 7 years, and I'd be glad to answer any questions. 8 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: I think you're 9 10 up first. ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Are the colleges 11 12 that are part of CICU, currently do they have DACA students? And are they providing the 13 14 funding privately? 15 MS. LABATE: Yes. Yes, we do have 16 DACA students. I don't have a specific count. We very purposefully do not ask, but 17 18 most of our schools will make any financial 19 aid that they have available -- will make it 20 available regardless of citizenship. 21 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Okay. And aside from -- now, since HESC did not have a number 22 of details, on your enhanced tuition, how 23 24 many schools are actually participating?

1 MS. LABATE: There are about 30 2 schools who are participating. ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: And what will be 3 4 the impact of cutting that out? 5 MS. LABATE: We don't know. We know 6 that this -- what we've been told is that the 7 \$7 million that has been appropriated is sufficient to fully fund the first two 8 9 cohorts and to fund a third cohort. But what 10 we don't know is how much is needed for those 11 first two cohorts and therefore how much will 12 be available for the third. 13 We clearly don't want to encourage a 14 lot of students to apply if there's not going 15 to be money for them, that's just dashing 16 their hopes. That's why I'm hoping we can work that out and we can fully fund realistic 17 18 demands for the program. 19 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Could you just 20 pull the microphone up a little closer? 21 MS. LABATE: Certainly. 22 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I think we've just --23 24 MS. LABATE: Is that better?

1 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Yes. 2 MS. LABATE: Okay. 3 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: On the HECap 4 5 funding, was there any explanation, was there an attempt to suggest that there are projects 6 7 that are already funded but some leftover resources? 8 MS. LABATE: No. We are in the middle 9 10 of a funding round now. That should be awarded this year. Once that is awarded, 11 12 there will be no more funding. So it would 13 completely zero out the program for any 14 future rounds. And no, I don't know the 15 explanation. 16 I do know, though, when you look at the SUNY and CUNY system -- and again, we 17 18 understand the tremendous amount of support 19 for the SUNY and CUNY system -- but when you 20 look at their capital plan they are 21 proposing, the state is proposing almost 22 \$7 billion in capital spending for those two systems over the next five years. I think a 23

worthy investment, but when you think about

24

1 our -- what we do in that education 2 landscape, I think a \$30 million, \$35 million investment is well warranted. 3 4 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Okay. Thank 5 you. 6 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Toby 7 Stavisky. SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you. 8 9 For the record, I asked Dr. Linares 10 about the full funding -- the cost of the 11 entire funding for that. 12 MS. LABATE: Thank you, Senator. 13 SENATOR STAVISKY: Getting back to --14 oops -- there we go. I should have taken a 15 technical course. I've been assaulted --16 (Laughter.) 17 SENATOR STAVISKY: Thanks. 18 Getting back to the HECap, what's 19 going to happen to the construction projects 20 that have been started? 21 MS. LABATE: For those that have been 22 started, I have every indication that the 23 state will honor their commitment. 24 Unfortunately, you will not have any new

1 projects starting under the program unless 2 funding is restored. SENATOR STAVISKY: Yeah, I think 3 that's unfortunately -- the cut I think was 4 5 not well-thought-out. MS. LABATE: I think it's a very 6 7 modest investment for what the state gets in return. In almost every community you can 8 find HECap-funded projects. 9 10 SENATOR STAVISKY: Two other 11 questions. 12 Your independent colleges do not get the STEM scholarship. What do you recommend 13 14 in terms of providing the equality of 15 opportunity for the independent college 16 students? MS. LABATE: Well, we did get -- this 17 18 year was the first year that we were eligible 19 for the STEM scholarship. Unfortunately, in 20 the proposed budget for next year it's 21 recommended for elimination. 22 We strongly encourage that our students be eligible for it. We need all the 23 24 STEM degrees in this state that we can get.

1 We confer 70 percent of them, and we want to 2 keep as many students in the state studying 3 STEM and studying STEAM as possible, and 4 having our schools be part of that helps that 5 to happen. 6 SENATOR STAVISKY: I'm sure you saw 7 the story in the Times Union by Rick Karlin: "Facing Financial Headwinds, Region Colleges 8 9 Adapt, Innovate." 10 MS. LABATE: Yes. Yes, I did. 11 SENATOR STAVISKY: And I commend the 12 innovation of these private and public 13 colleges. 14 Last question. I asked it of the CUNY 15 and SUNY chancellors: What's the effect of 16 Betsy DeVos' watering down of regulations involving Title IX? 17 MS. LABATE: We have real concerns 18 19 about it. And I heard what my colleagues at 20 SUNY and CUNY said, and I would mirror many 21 of those. 22 We have concerns about the narrowing of the definition of sexual harassment, about 23 24 the need for live hearings, and particularly

1	about limiting the scope of claims under
2	sexual harassment, that they have to happen
3	on the campus. We know that the campus
4	community often extends well beyond the
5	campus borders.
6	So we have commented. I think there
7	will be an unprecedented number of comments,
8	and we're hoping to see significant changes
9	in those proposals.
10	SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you.
11	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
12	Members?
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Will Barclay.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Thank you.
15	Good evening. And this may be I
16	have a son who's a senior, so we're looking
17	at colleges. So this issue is particularly
18	acute to me, and it's regarding obviously the
19	tuition increases. I think next to health
20	care, higher ed costs are maybe the highest
21	increases or they may be higher now, as
22	far as I know. And when I look at the
23	private colleges, going around, when I see
24	beautiful campuses, there's no doubt that

some of the improvements put on campuses are
 really incredible. And when I talk to the
 admissions people and sometimes college
 presidents, they all indicate that they're in
 an arms race with this.

What are your member colleges doing, 6 7 other than looking for more government aid -which I don't blame them, I would be doing 8 the same -- to try to stabilize tuition or 9 10 actually even lower tuition so more people 11 can afford it rather than have a very few 12 afford it and everybody else on financial aid? 13

MS. LABATE: Sure. I think what the important point to look at, the important statistic to look at there is really the net price that students pay. And 90 percent of all students get financial aid at our schools, so very, very few are paying the actual sticker price.

ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Is that a
healthy way to have it, though? I mean,
seriously, we're eventually going to get to
99 percent and maybe 100 percent. Why not

1 have it so more people can afford it rather 2 than having less people -- only a few being able to afford it? Or at least advertise --3 I guess you have got to get the fees somehow 4 5 from the people that can afford it. But it seems like it's a balance -- I 6 7 know I went to St. Lawrence, and that's almost 80 percent of the students are on 8 financial aid. That seems unsustainable to 9 10 me. MS. LABATE: Well, I kind of look at 11 12 it as similar to our progressive tax structure. You need a certain amount of 13 14 money to run your institution. What our 15 schools have done -- but it does certainly have limitations, and that's why we encourage 16 more support from government. What our 17 schools have done is for those few who can 18 afford to pay a higher tuition price, our 19 schools charge that higher tuition price. 20 21 And that allows them to subsidize to a large extent the number of students who can't. 22 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Aren't you 23 24 concerned --

1 MS. LABATE: The costs are -- the cost 2 structure is not terribly dissimilar, 3 Assemblymember, between publics and private 4 institutions. The biggest differential is 5 the amount of subsidy that the state provides its public systems to keep that tuition low. 6 7 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: I'm sympathetic to that. But aren't you concerned -- I even 8 had a college president tell me they should 9 10 be raising -- do a means test for SUNY, because they're losing too many higher-wealth 11 12 students to SUNY because they don't want to 13 pay the tuition anymore. 14 Again, eventually you're going to have 15 100 percent of people on financial aid. 16 That's the problem with the progressive tuition, I guess -- eventually people are 17 18 going to say "I'm not going to pay this 19 anymore." You're going to price yourself 20 out. 21 MS. LABATE: I think our schools look 22 for every alternative to tuition to help support the students who want to go there. 23 24 One of those alternatives that I encourage

1	this body to consider are increases in TAP
2	and other financial aid programs.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Thank you.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
5	Senator Bob Antonacci.
6	SENATOR ANTONACCI: Thank you. Madam
7	President, thanks for your time today.
8	I'm new to this committee, so I may be
9	a little inartful, but I did go to a private
10	school, I went to Le Moyne and Syracuse
11	University, as well as my wife going to
12	Le Moyne, and my son graduates from Cazenovia
13	in May. So we're very fond of the private
14	university.
15	You've had testimony here today, so I
16	think you've answered my questions to some
17	degree, that you are treated differently.
18	And I grant that we're in Albany, we're
19	talking about the SUNY schools all day, and
20	CUNY, I get it that's what government
21	does, and that's our jewel. And don't get me
22	wrong, the SUNY system is phenomenal, and I'm
23	a big supporter of OCC and the like.
24	But you are treated differently. My

question is this -- and by the way, you're all not-for-profit. I mean, there's nobody really getting rich or making money, there's no stockholders -- I mean, yeah, there's a private school here or there, but for the most part the schools I mentioned are all not-for-profits.

What can we do for you and your member 8 organizations that doesn't involve tax 9 10 dollars? What rules, what laws, what 11 regulations can we change that don't involve 12 tax dollars that would allow you to be more 13 competitive, operate more efficiently? 14 I did reach out to one of your members 15 and I got a little laundry list of restoring 16 authority of private colleges that participate in enhanced tuition awards to set 17 18 their own tuition rates, something as simple 19 as allowing students to access specific

20 travel and accident insurance policies by 21 providing an exemption to insurance law 22 mandates.

I know that we've only got about aminute and a half left, and you could always

1 get this to me offline, but what can we do
2 that doesn't involve tax dollars that helps
3 you be more competitive?

MS. LABATE: Well, I think you just named two of them. I think some flexibility in how we operate the enhanced tuition award helps. There are several of our schools that have concerns about trying to procure insurance for their students.

10 I would say that a big issue that I 11 encourage this body to look at, and we're 12 certainly engaging with SED on it, is the 13 whole program approval process. We are only 14 one of six states in the nation that requires 15 such an extensive process. We certainly understand the need for certain elements of 16 17 that to ensure program integrity and program 18 quality, but I think as with all regulations 19 that have built up over time, there's always 20 some opportunity to look at how those can be 21 streamlined so that our schools can be more 22 nimble in responding to the needs of the 23 marketplace.

24 SENATOR ANTONACCI: And you're

1 participating with DACA kids, you've congratulated the Governor on the 2 3 DREAM Act --4 MS. LABATE: Absolutely. SENATOR ANTONACCI: I mean, you're 5 6 playing ball with all of the other 7 regulations and rules that are coming out of 8 Albany. 9 MS. LABATE: Absolutely. Every 10 regulation that affects a small business 11 affects us, and we play with them -- we 12 comply with all of them. 13 SENATOR ANTONACCI: Thank you. 14 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 15 Is there any other Assemblymember? 16 Senator John Liu. 17 SENATOR LIU: Thank you, Madam Chair. 18 My questions have generally been 19 answered already. I want to thank you for 20 your testimony, and I also want to thank you 21 for the work of your wonderful staff, 22 especially a young man by the name of Tom Schnurr. 23 24 Thank you.

1 MS. LABATE: He's okay. 2 (Laughter.) SENATOR LIU: He's relentless, I tell 3 4 you. MS. LABATE: I know. 5 6 SENATOR LIU: You just call him off a 7 little bit. 8 MS. LABATE: He keeps me on my toes. 9 SENATOR STAVISKY: And his father. 10 (Laughter.) 11 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very 12 much for your time today. 13 MS. LABATE: Very good. Thank you. 14 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 15 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: The Association 16 of Proprietary Colleges. 17 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Anytime you're ready. You have your five minutes. 18 MS. STELLING-GURNETT: Chairwoman 19 20 Stavisky, Chairwoman Krueger, Chairwoman 21 Glick, members of the Legislature, thank you 22 for this opportunity to present this 23 testimony on behalf of the Association of 24 Proprietary Colleges.

1 My name is Donna Gurnett, and I am the 2 president of the association. And I am 3 joined here today by Christopher Barto, who 4 is the vice president of government affairs 5 for LIM College.

I would start my testimony today by 6 7 asking you to turn to the last page of my testimony, to the APC Fast Facts that we've 8 put together for you. I've had the 9 10 opportunity to participate in this hearing for several years now, and so I know that 11 12 you've heard me talk in the past about who 13 our members are, who our students are, where 14 they come from, the demographics, and most 15 importantly the strong student outcomes that 16 they have.

It's important to keep these facts in 17 18 mind today as I would like to talk to you 19 today about the Governor's proposed 20 For-Profit College Accountability Act. In 21 many ways the goals of APC align with the 22 Governor's goals of expanding access to higher education and combating student debt. 23 24 However, we believe that the Governor's

proposal, which was conceived due to reports touting inaccurate and misleading data, is flawed -- not only because it targets just the proprietary sector, but because it also ignores the strong, long history of strong student outcomes and success demonstrated by this sector.

To be clear, if this act goes into 8 9 play as it currently stands, it will decimate 10 the entire proprietary sector, both the non-degree schools and degree-granting 11 12 colleges entirely. This is not a proposal 13 that measures accountability as suggested but 14 a proposal that will eliminate the entire 15 sector of higher education in New York, a 16 sector that educates thousands of students with strong graduation and job placement 17 18 rates and provides a direct pipeline to 19 employers across the state in critical 20 industries.

21 Now, the Governor's proposal has five 22 components to it, but Christopher and I are 23 going to limit our remarks, due to time, to 24 just two. And the first is I'd like to talk

1 about the 80/20 rule. This requires that 2 for-profit colleges demonstrate that they are 3 not receiving more than 80 percent of their tuition revenue from public sources, 4 5 including Pell, TAP, ETA, and federally backed student loans. 6 7 At the federal level there is a 8 similar regulation called the 90/10 rule that states that for-profit colleges cannot 9 10 receive more than 90 percent of their tuition revenues from federal sources. All APC 11 member colleges meet the federal metric with 12 13 no issue. 14 The Governor's 80/20 proposal, 15 however, would not only increase the amount 16 of revenue that must come from private 17 sources, it also significantly expands the 18 definition of public funding. Because proprietary colleges receive no direct state 19 20 or federal aid, this tuition revenue accounts 21 for over 90 percent of our overall revenue. 22 Therefore any institutions that serve a high number of low-income students, minority or 23 24 first-generation students that are eligible

1 for these financial aid programs will have 2 difficulty meeting this metric. This metric amounts to little more than a reflection of 3 the demographics of the students these 4 institutions serve. 5 The second metric is the requirement 6 7 that for-profit colleges spend at least 50 percent of their expenses on student 8 instruction, and I'm going to turn it over to 9 10 Christopher to address that. 11 MR. BARTO: Thank you, Donna. 12 Again, I represent LIM College, which 13 will be celebrating our 80th anniversary and 14 is an institution with a rich and unique 15 history focused on educating students in the business of fashion, located in Midtown 16 Manhattan, one of the global homes of the 17 18 fashion industry. 19 This proposal would have a direct 20 impact on our institution, imposing an 21 unreasonable requirement that we and other 22 proprietary colleges must spend at least 50 percent of our expenditures on student 23 24 instruction, which in the Governor's budget

is defined primarily as faculty salary and
 benefits.

3 This completely disregards the substantial investment we have made in 4 5 student academic support programs, in personnel, with comprehensive math and 6 7 writing centers, other wraparound services such as advising, career management, 8 counseling and accessibility services, 9 10 student affairs, co-curricular programming, student financial services counseling -- all 11 12 of those without the benefit of any direct 13 public dollars -- and other capital 14 investments and improvements made to ensure 15 that our students successfully graduate on 16 time and find jobs in their fields of study. We've looked at the data, it's 17 18 publicly available on the Department of 19 Education's IPEDS website. In fact, even with a broad definition of what constitutes 20 21 institutional instructional expenditures, 22 over 65 percent of all four-year colleges, regardless of sector of higher ed in 23 24 New York, would not meet this measure. That

1 includes institutions such as NYU, Cornell, 2 Juilliard, and many other prestigious 3 institutions in this state. In fact, a very 4 narrow definition of just salary and wages as 5 implied in the Governor's budget -- when you 6 take that look, you'll find that less than 7 10 colleges in the state actually meet that metric. 8 9 MS. STELLING-GURNETT: So what 10 happens -- can we just finish this one 11 more -- yup? Okay. 12 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: It's all right. 13 (Laughter.) 14 MS. STELLING-GURNETT: That's okay. 15 That's all right. I know it's been a long 16 day. ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: We're into 17 18 questions now. 19 MS. STELLING-GURNETT: Okay. 20 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: If this is too 21 narrow a definition on the revenue side of 22 expenses that you attribute the 50 percent, what other -- perhaps you could give it to us 23 24 at some other time -- what other markers and

what percent they would -- so that we sort of
 look at a different definition.

Right now you're saying the definition
is too narrow. So come back and tell us what
would be a more appropriate definition and
what that looks like.

MR. BARTO: We can share that the
average percent of instructional expenses for
faculty salary and wages in the state across
all sectors is about 22.4 percent. That's
average -- public, private, nonprofit,
for-profit. And that's publicly available
information.

14ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: What other15categories would you include in making what16you believe the definition would be more17reflective of what is appropriate?18MS. STELLING-GURNETT: If I can just19answer that. I think what we need to do is20kind of get to the heart of what it is that

21 the regulation is trying to do, and I guess
22 the whole deal was that they didn't want us
23 spending too much money on advertising, which
24 is fine. I completely get that.

1 But I do think that you need to take a 2 look at what it is that the regulation is 3 trying to get at the heart of. And, you 4 know, we know that these colleges are 5 spending a significant amount of money on 6 student support services, wraparound 7 services, on capital investments. So we can 8 certainly take a look at that and get back to 9 you. 10 But I assure you that we're actually spending a very small amount on advertising 11 12 and recruiting and that kind of thing, which I believe is what they were really concerned 13 14 about. 15 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senate? 16 Toby Stavisky. SENATOR STAVISKY: How many of your 17 18 member institutions are in compliance today? 19 MS. STELLING-GURNETT: Well, where 20 there --21 SENATOR STAVISKY: If these 22 regulations were enacted. 23 MS. STELLING-GURNETT: Right. So 24 there's the two components. There's the

1 80/20 rule -- I've surveyed our members, and 2 about 50 to 60 percent of them --3 SENATOR STAVISKY: Really? MS. STELLING-GURNETT: -- can meet 4 5 that. Yes, I was -- that was very good news to hear. 6 7 It's really the very narrow definition of the instructional expense. The budget 8 language is clear, it is just salaries and 9 10 benefits paid to your instructors for classroom time. So that's really our main 11 12 key, and quite honestly none of our members would meet that metric. 13 14 SENATOR STAVISKY: That's my point. 15 Thank you. 16 MS. STELLING-GURNETT: Yes. SENATOR STAVISKY: A couple other real 17 18 quick questions. 19 What is the default rate on student 20 loans for the proprietary colleges? 21 MS. STELLING-GURNETT: I could tell 22 you for our sector, and again I think that we need to kind of make the distinction between 23 24 the non-degree schools and the

1 degree-granting colleges --

2 SENATOR STAVISKY: Degree-granting. 3 MS. STELLING-GURNETT: We represent 4 the degree-granting colleges, so I'm going to 5 speak from that perspective. And our average for the APC members is about 10.9 percent at 6 7 three years out. And then including the entire degree-granting proprietary sector, I 8 9 believe it goes up about another percent, 10 maybe 11 percent. 11 SENATOR STAVISKY: And what is the 12 national average? 13 MS. STELLING-GURNETT: For the 14 proprietary sector? 15 SENATOR STAVISKY: Yes. 16 MS. STELLING-GURNETT: 15.5 percent. SENATOR STAVISKY: In other words, 17 18 you're --19 MS. STELLING-GURNETT: We're well 20 below. 21 SENATOR STAVISKY: Well below. Thank 22 you. 23 A couple of other questions. What 24 provision is there in the proposal for cities

1 where expenses are high -- rent, for example, 2 in New York City. I'm sure your rent is 3 astronomical unless you own the building. MR. BARTO: Yes. 4 5 SENATOR STAVISKY: What provisions are there for these --6 7 MR. BARTO: There's no provisions in 8 the Governor's proposal, and in our case you're right, Senator. Being in Midtown 9 10 Manhattan, much of our space -- while we do 11 own our historic landmark townhouse, much of the rest of our instructional space is leased 12 13 space, and it's some of the most expensive 14 real estate certainly in the country, if not 15 in the world. SENATOR STAVISKY: And lastly, what 16 17 will happen if these regulations are enacted? 18 MS. STELLING-GURNETT: So what happens 19 is the penalties are very severe. Our 20 colleges would be prohibited from enrolling 21 new students, and our current students could 22 potentially lose access to TAP and ETA. So 23 that's essentially the death knell for our 24 students -- or for ourselves. For our

1 students, essentially, but for our 2 institutions. And they would be forced into immediate teach-out situations. 3 And so as devastating as that would be 4 5 for us, it would truly be devastating to the 26,000 students who attend our institutions 6 7 and would have to scramble to transfer and finance and complete their degrees. So it 8 would be very disruptive to our students. 9 10 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator 11 Antonacci. 12 SENATOR ANTONACCI: Thank you, Madam Chair. 13 14 I'm a proud former professor at Bryant 15 & Stratton. And if you think being called 16 Senator inflates your eqo, when you get called "Professor," that's equally 17 18 prestigious and an awesome responsibility. I 19 loved teaching at Bryant & Stratton -- and full disclosure, I haven't been there in a 20 21 bunch of years. 22 I think you're being targeted unfairly. I think it has a lot to do with a 23 24 certain resident of Washington, D.C., that

had his own university that had its issues.
 I think targeting just because you're making
 a profit is unreasonable.

I don't think I'm going to be able to
support this act, but I think what you need
to let me know, and others, is what is it
that you're maybe willing to hold yourselves
accountable for, how can we measure you, how
can we keep you accountable.

10You are receiving federal funds and11state funds and are responsible for making12sure that these kids are educated. I will13tell you the students that I had at Bryant &14Stratton were phenomenal. Some of them to15this day still keep in contact with me.

16 So I'm more than willing to help. It 17 is the environment that we're in. We're in a 18 town that has been reeking {ph} about being 19 fair and treating people equally, but yet 20 you're seeing disparate treatment in -- in 21 just in education.

22 So anything I can do to help, please 23 let me know. You're more than happy to 24 contact my office with what you think we

1 should be advocating for. 2 MS. STELLING-GURNETT: Okay. Can I 3 respond to that? 4 SENATOR ANTONACCI: Please. And you 5 can finish what you were going to say earlier, too. 6 7 MS. STELLING-GURNETT: Right. Absolutely. Thank you very much. 8 9 So our request for today really is to 10 reject the proposal outright. There is no 11 fiscal attached to it, so there's no rush to 12 get this done throughout this budget proposal 13 system and process. 14 What we'd really like to do is have 15 the conversation about where the issues 16 really lie. So let's talk about strategies and metrics that can be used for on-time 17 18 graduation. Let's talk about strategies and 19 metrics that can be used to judge student loan debt. Let's talk about cohort default 20 21 rates. 22 I know we heard earlier one of the Senators say something about creating a task 23 24 force perhaps to take a look at some of those

1	things, and I think that that would be a
2	wonderful idea. Right now APC participates
3	on many state task forces, so we'd love to be
4	a part of that.
5	SENATOR ANTONACCI: Thank you.
6	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So your
7	association represents 12 degree-granting
8	proprietary colleges.
9	MS. STELLING-GURNETT: Correct.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: How many
11	non-degree-granting proprietary schools do
12	you think there are in New York State?
13	MS. STELLING-GURNETT: It's my
14	understanding there's about 350 to 400.
15	That's what the Governor said in his
16	proposal.
17	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So the Governor
18	is talking about a proposal that would impact
19	everyone.
20	MS. STELLING-GURNETT: Correct.
21	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: But you are only
22	here making the arguments based on the facts
23	of your institutions, just to clarify.
24	MS. STELLING-GURNETT: That is

1 correct.

2	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
3	much. Thank you for your time tonight.
4	MS. STELLING-GURNETT: You're welcome.
5	Thank you.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Veterans
7	Education Success.
8	MR. CURTIS: Good evening, how are you
9	doing this evening?
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Good evening.
11	MR. CURTIS: I'd just like to first
12	pour some water, actually. And also thank
13	you for taking this time this late in the
14	evening to hear our testimony.
15	My name is Ramond Curtis. I am the
16	state policy manager for Veterans Education
17	Success. I am also a combat veteran of the
18	United States Army. I served from 2003 to
19	2006 and in Iraq during 2005, 2006 excuse
20	me, 2003 to 2009. Six years.
21	We are thrilled that New York is
22	focusing on protecting students and also
23	protecting veterans through this policy,
24	through this specific budget that's coming.

1	I will be reading some from my
2	testimony, but mostly I'll try to skip
3	through it because I imagine you'll have some
4	questions.
5	I'm sure the committee is aware that
6	not all colleges are created equal.
7	Unfortunately, because of a loophole in the
8	federal law, one that was referenced earlier
9	this evening called the 90/10 rule, the GI
10	bill usage is currently dominated by
11	low-quality on-line colleges that soaked up
12	about 39 percent of the GI bill tuition and
13	fees between fiscal years 2009 and 2017 and
14	that routinely overpromise and underdeliver
15	as well.
16	This leads many students to drop out
17	and to not complete college. If I may read
18	some quotes from veterans that have come to
19	us at VES and described some of the issues
20	that they face. I will be leaving out names
21	for privacy purposes.
22	From Timothy in Kirkville, New York:
23	"The curriculum was deceiving, all the core

24 courses were an intro course. When I

1 graduated, they sent me to interviews with 2 companies who said that if they were really 3 in need, they might bring me in on a entry level. With most of my courses being intro 4 5 courses, I was unable to find a job in the IT field. They had the nerve to ask me to come 6 7 back as a success story. To see the commercials they put on television, I felt it 8 9 was all a smoke screen."

10 The next quote, from Michelle out of New York: "When I dealt with the financial 11 12 aid advisor I was told that since I was a 13 veteran, that my GI bill should cover the cost of my tuition, and all I had to do would 14 15 be to apply through the VA website. While 16 waiting for my GI Bill application to be 17 approved, the financial advisor stated that I 18 was eligible for a stipend which would cover the cost of books, supplies and living costs. 19 20 I filled out the required application to 21 receive this stipend, and I was never told 22 how or where the funding was coming from. I soon discovered that it was student loans 23 24 that I was being -- that I was taking out for

1

this so-called stipend."

2	The for-profit college accounts for
3	and I think this is a very important
4	statistic, because we were speaking earlier
5	about how many students go into default from
6	these schools. But of how many students in
7	New York that are going into default are
8	coming from these schools I think is a more
9	important statistic.
10	The for-profit college sector accounts
11	for just 4 percent of all undergraduate
12	students in State of New York but
13	40 percent of the borrowers who defaulted
14	after five years of graduating. According to
15	new data recently released by the Center for
16	an Urban Future, at 73 percent of all
17	for-profit programs, graduates earn less than
18	\$25,000 a year, which is equivalent to the
19	average wage of a high school graduate
20	between 25 and 32.
21	In 38 percent of for-profit colleges,
22	graduates' student loan repayments totaled
23	more than 8 percent of their annual earnings,

24 which places an enormous burden on students

1 as they re-enter the workforce.

2 With such poor results, it is even 3 more concerning that the worst-performing for-profit schools receive tens of millions 4 5 annually in federal and state subsidies. In 2015 alone, more than \$37 million from 6 7 New York's Tuition Assistance Program went to 8 colleges with at least one program that failed or nearly failed the U.S. Department 9 10 of Education's Gainful Employment standard. 11 Thirty-one million went to colleges at which 12 no more than 30 percent of the former 13 students made any payments to their loan's 14 principal within three years of entering 15 repayment. 16 One of the primary demographics for-profit schools target aggressively and 17 18 deceptively is the veteran community. 19 Federal law prohibits for-profit colleges 20 from receiving more than 90 percent of their 21 revenues from federal education aid. The 22 purpose of this revenue cap is to provide a market test ensuring that federal student aid 23 24 funds aren't used to prop up low-quality

1 schools that are unable to attract at least 2 10 percent of the revenue from private 3 sources, including employers or private scholarships or families. 4 5 However, the post 9/11 GI bill and Defense Department Tuition Assistance are not 6 7 listed in the policy as federal education aid. Additionally, New York State tuition 8 assistance programs like TAP and ETAP are not 9 10 included in the federal policy and are 11 counted as private money. So that means that 12 for-profit colleges can legally receive 100 percent of their revenues from state and 13 14 federal education aid. If the state doesn't take action, 15 16 for-profit college salesmen will continue to recruit on military bases, inside VA 17 18 hospitals, and even at the homes of veterans and servicemembers. 19 I will skip to the end really quickly 20 21 also, because I think this is maybe the most 22 important part because it's the direction that we are coming from -- not an attack on 23 24 for-profit schools, but an opportunity to

1 improve.

2	While these enforcement actions are
3	necessary to root out bad practices, the
4	greater hope in New York is that they can
5	prevent predatory practices and bad outcomes
6	by implementing strong policies that hold
7	for-profit colleges accountable to the
8	students and taxpayers they have an
9	obligation to serve, and in doing so New York
10	will be able to identify the schools that
11	rely on deceptive and aggressive marketing
12	tactics to attract and enroll students.
13	And I'll just end there, because it
14	says it pretty well.
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: First of all, I
16	want to thank you for your service and for
17	the service of those with whom you have
18	worked.
19	When you indicated it was a little
20	bit surprising when you said that there's
21	actually recruitment on military bases.
22	MR. CURTIS: Yes. And in VA
23	hospitals.
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: How does one

1 gain access to a military base in order to --2 MR. CURTIS: You can go on a military 3 base as a civilian and they can go into 4 different areas that are communal spaces. 5 Military bases -- you know, speaking largely -- have you visited some of the 6 7 bigger ones? They're quite large. Stores, opportunities for them -- I mean, salespeople 8 9 will go to the PX. I encountered it 10 frequently, you know, even back in the early 11 2000s. 12 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Well, it's been many years. I thought that they had gone a 13 14 little bit more restrictive in terms of 15 security, that's all. 16 MR. CURTIS: Restrictive in security, but there's not really a security clearance 17 18 issue with recruiters going to the base. 19 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you. 20 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I also want to 21 thank you for your testimony tonight. It's 22 very powerful. I'm glad you were here representing a 23 24 concern that has gone national, the

1 exploitation of veterans by sucking GI bill 2 money dry, giving you no other opportunities. And I just -- we've all been in this hearing 3 4 room all day, but the Albany Times Union 5 today has a front-page story about the 6 student loan debt, and the one example was a 7 78-year-old woman who now owes \$20,000 in loans for a breach of a student loan from 8 9 40 years ago. 10 So that's another -- I thought it was important to remind everybody student loan 11 12 debt is the one kind of debt that follows you forever, I believe even into death -- so onto 13 14 military bases and post-life. 15 So thank you very much for coming and 16 testifying tonight. 17 MR. CURTIS: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Do you have 18 19 anyone else? Toby? Nope, we're done. 20 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Mr. Curtis, 21 thank you for your service and testimony. 22 You said that your association has received more than 4,000 complaints from 23 24 veterans?

1 MR. CURTIS: That's correct. 2 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: How many of 3 them are from New York? And what do you do when a complaint comes in? Do you refer it 4 5 to a state agency? 6 How many are from New York, and what 7 do you do when a complaint comes in? MR. CURTIS: I apologize, I do not 8 have the exact number that we have from 9 10 New York at this moment. It is one of the largest, if not the largest -- most of them 11 12 that we have are coming from New York, more 13 than any other state, I would say. But I can 14 get you that number, without a doubt. 15 And the second part of the question, 16 I'm sorry? 17 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: What do you do 18 when the complaints come in? I mean, you're 19 not -- I don't know if you're equipped to 20 handle them. Or do you refer them to a state 21 agency? 22 MR. CURTIS: We work with veterans. The organization started out with mostly 23 24 attorneys who will offer their services to

1 veterans who are in need of bringing a case 2 against a for-profit school so they can get their benefits back. It's a much more 3 difficult process for a veteran to get their 4 5 earned benefits back than, say, a Pell grant or to get student loans forgiven. And so 6 7 we'll work with them if we can. And also collect stories and 8 information, whistleblowers as well telling 9 10 us about tactics from inside, and use that so we can help change policy, shift the needle, 11 12 and stop these for-profit predatory schools from robbing GIs blind of the benefits that 13 14 they earned in service to this country. 15 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very 16 much. ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you. 17 18 MR. CURTIS: Thank you. 19 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Legal Services 20 New York City. 21 MR. TYLER: Good evening. Thank you 22 for asking me to testify today. My name is Johnson Tyler. I'm an attorney at Brooklyn 23 24 Legal Services in Brooklyn, New York. I work

at Legal Services NYC. We are the largest
 provider of free civil legal services in the
 country.

I specialize in student loan law and
see borrowers every day. Some of those
borrowers go to private schools, some of
those borrowers go to SUNY schools, and a lot
go to for-profit schools.

The repeating fact pattern that I see 9 10 with the borrowers who go to for-profit schools is they're basically working the same 11 12 jobs that they worked prior to going to 13 school. They're working as home attendants, 14 they're working as security guards, they're 15 working as waitresses. They basically have 16 made no progress in their education.

17And you might say, as some people have18said to Ms. Fast, who testified earlier,19Well, maybe you're just seeing a snapshot.20But the data shows that that is a fact.21If you look at the Department of22Education's own data -- and this is why23for-profit schools are in the news all the

24 time -- 43 percent of the for-profit

students, seven years after they are repaying
 their loans, are able to pay down a dollar a
 day.

4 So how does this work? You have an 5 amount of debt and you have -- which is your 6 student loan -- and your income, and there's 7 basically formulas that the federal 8 government has provided where if you're not 9 making much money, you're not going to pay 10 much down on that debt.

11 And so for the majority of the people 12 who go to for-profit schools, they are actually paying a debt that will never 13 14 disappear. As long as they stay -- they can 15 keep recertifying their income, they are 16 never going to repay that debt. They are never going to move into middle-class life, 17 essentially, which is what the goal is of 18 19 education.

Now, not all of these schools are
terrible, not all of them, because some
people are actually repaying their debt and
making progress. Some of these nursing
schools are terrific nursing schools. But

1 the question really is how do we sort out 2 these people, the good apples from the bad

apples?

3

16

And right now there is no metric. 4 5 There's no metric out there. The CDR metric that the federal government has has long been 6 7 moribund, and it's moribund because there are completely legal ways to manipulate that 8 9 data.

10 I put it in my testimony that GAO's -the General Accounting Office's study -- that 11 12 they actually pay people gift cards to get them to forbear their debt during that review 13 14 period so that they don't show up as a bad 15 statistic. So it's a meaningless statistic.

So who's going to come up with the criteria? The federal government certainly 17 18 is not. And Governor Cuomo here has come up 19 with two criteria. Are they perfect? 20 Perhaps not. Will they wipe out some of the 21 schools? They may have difficulty reaching 22 them, but they are legitimate criteria. So I want to talk specifically about 23

24 the 50 percent rule, which requires

50 percent of tuition dollars to go towards
 education. Now, 29 percent of for-profit
 schools are already doing that. So those
 schools are doing okay, and they have good
 outcomes for their students.

The other schools are not doing it. 6 7 Well, some of them can do, some of them can't do it. It really relates to where they put 8 their priorities. With respect to -- you 9 10 know, sometimes what they do is take a lot of money as profit. There's a school in Queens, 11 12 Lincoln Tech, where the CEO paid himself two and a half times the amount of the 13 14 president of Harvard in 2010. That all came 15 out in a report that the U.S. Senate 16 investigated, and of course he cut his salary after that. But this is part of the reason 17 that you're not making -- they're not making 18 19 money.

The last point I want to make is where some of this money goes. So we've heard a lot about advertising and how that's really not what the problem is here. But recruitment is a huge portion of where the

1 money goes in terms of the tuition dollars paid for for-profits. It's at least 2 3 20 percent, some people say 23 percent. 4 But as an example, I tried to get some 5 information off of a for-profit website. You can't actually get into it very far without a 6 7 pop-up coming in front of you and saying "Do you want more information about our 8 programs?" So I got a little fed up and 9 10 actually filled it out and gave them my cellphone number. I got a phone call every 11 12 day for three weeks from them. I thought, hmm, what's a CUNY school 13 14 going to do? So I did the same thing with a 15 CUNY school. I did not get a single phone 16 call. All I got was an email saying thanks for registering, we'll be with you shortly. 17 So we're stuck with this debt. 18 19 Taxpayers are stuck with this debt. If 20 people can't pay -- and a majority of 21 for-profit students seven years out are not 22 paying down their debt -- that is a debt that they will take with them all the way to the 23 24 end of their lives. And we as taxpayers are

1 subsidizing that debt.

2 So I think the Governor's program is a 3 sound program, it's a bold program. It's 4 worthy of more discussion if people want to 5 have it, but there is data supporting the benchmarks that he's created. 6 7 Thank you. I'm sorry I went over my time. 8 9 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Assemblyman 10 Hyndman. 11 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: That's okay. 12 Questions? Toby Stavisky. 13 Oh, sorry. Hold on. Assembly first. 14 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Assemblywoman 15 Hyndman. 16 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Thank you for 17 your testimony. 18 MR. TYLER: Sure. ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: I noticed that 19 20 you -- in your report and listening to you, 21 you mixed proprietary degree-granting with 22 non-degree-granting proprietary schools also. 23 Some of the examples -- Lincoln Tech 24 is not just in New York State, they're around

1 the country too, right? So the owner of 2 Lincoln Tech schools around the country, that's what you're referring to? 3 4 MR. TYLER: Yes. But Harvard 5 University is the premier university in the 6 nation, and the quy there pays himself a 7 third less. ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: I'm not 8 doubting that. I don't know if they --9 10 housing is provided, or allowances, so I don't know if all of that is factored into 11 12 it. But I think -- while I do think there 13 14 is some oversight needed, I just think that 15 this level is -- and I don't see the examples 16 given in your argument. I know that arbitration is not allowed in the proprietary 17 18 non-degree-granting sector because the State 19 Education Department makes sure of that. Did 20 you know that? 21 MR. TYLER: Well, with all due 22 respect, I'll put aside that arbitration agreement. That is not what is hurting 23 24 low-income people. But it's hurting --

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: No, I'm just
2	saying these are all arguments you're using.
3	MR. TYLER: Excuse me?
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: You're using
5	arguments to say the proprietary sector is
6	defrauding students left, right, and center.
7	MR. TYLER: I'm saying that a large
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: And you're
9	saying that
10	MR. TYLER: segment of low-income
11	students are not properly served by
12	for-profit schools. Yes.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: And these are
13	ASSEMBLIWOMAN HINDMAN. AND CHESE are
13	students that come to Brooklyn Legal
14	students that come to Brooklyn Legal
14 15	students that come to Brooklyn Legal Services, right?
14 15 16	students that come to Brooklyn Legal Services, right? MR. TYLER: Definitely, yes.
14 15 16 17	students that come to Brooklyn Legal Services, right? MR. TYLER: Definitely, yes. ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: And in some of
14 15 16 17 18	<pre>students that come to Brooklyn Legal Services, right? MR. TYLER: Definitely, yes. ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: And in some of the examples you put in here is a woman who</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>students that come to Brooklyn Legal Services, right? MR. TYLER: Definitely, yes. ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: And in some of the examples you put in here is a woman who went to school in 1985 when the proprietary</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>students that come to Brooklyn Legal Services, right? MR. TYLER: Definitely, yes. ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: And in some of the examples you put in here is a woman who went to school in 1985 when the proprietary school sector back then was rampant</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>students that come to Brooklyn Legal Services, right? MR. TYLER: Definitely, yes. ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: And in some of the examples you put in here is a woman who went to school in 1985 when the proprietary school sector back then was rampant MR. TYLER: Excuse me?</pre>

1 say that?

2	MR. TYLER: It was Wilfred Beauty
3	School. They were ripping off everyone at
4	welfare centers.
5	But I will say this. I've seen ASA
6	College, which is a for-profit school, parked
7	right outside the subway in a low-income part
8	of Brooklyn. So I think that the techniques
9	that they're using are no different than
10	before.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Okay. Thank
12	you.
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Toby
14	Stavisky.
15	SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you.
16	Have you ever visited Lincoln Tech?
17	MR. TYLER: I have not. I've never
18	SENATOR STAVISKY: I have. I have.
19	It's right outside my district.
20	And I had no idea what the president
21	was making, but to me what I saw were a large
22	number of students working on cars. And I
23	know that they get jobs. And to me, a lot of
24	this has to do with educating a sector and

1 educating young people for jobs that exist. 2 So as I said, I've been there on 3 several occasions and I was impressed. And I taught at a vocational high school at one 4 5 time which taught auto repair, auto mechanics. I saw these kids working, and I 6 7 must tell you I was impressed. MR. TYLER: If I may respond, I have a 8 client -- I had to research Lincoln Tech --9 10 he had an internship at Pep Boys changing oil, which he knew how to do before he got 11 12 there. 13 I actually looked up the data when I 14 heard about an auto school in Queens that I 15 thought maybe you were talking about: Only 37 percent of Lincoln Tech students are able 16 to repay their loans seven years after 17 18 leaving the institution. Therefore, the 19 majority of them are basically not making 20 enough money to repay the debts that they 21 took out from Lincoln Tech. It's data that 22 the Department of Education is collecting, it's not stuff that we're making up. 23 24 So there are lots of the anecdotes

1 here and there, and there are certainly some students who did benefit from Lincoln Tech. 2 But there are a lot of students who are not 3 benefiting, and taxpayers and New Yorkers are 4 5 supporting that. 6 SENATOR STAVISKY: This is a 7 non-degree-granting institution, though. MR. TYLER: They have all the data 8 there, it's right on the IPEDS form. I'm 9 10 happy to share it with you. 11 SENATOR STAVISKY: Presumably they're 12 not getting TAP or anything. 13 Thank you. 14 MR. TYLER: Sure. 15 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So the 16 proprietary colleges that testified, there are 12 of them, and they're degree granting. 17 18 Do you see them as a different universe than 19 the issues you're seeing for your clients? 20 MR. TYLER: Well, I don't really. I 21 mean, I'm not -- I'll be honest, I don't 22 really make a distinction between degree granting or not degree granting. I make a 23 24 distinction between whether people are

1 repaying their debts and able to make a 2 living off of what they're doing. 3 So I know some of the people who come from that group do a pretty good job of 4 5 educating people, some of the institutions. But I would say -- so I guess that's my 6 7 answer. Some are better than others. But I'm familiar with all the groups in there. 8 I know one of the groups in there --9 10 and no offense to the Senator here, but

Bryant & Stratton has a 27 percent repayment rate after seven years of college. That's a really low rate of people who are actually able to pay that debt down. Now, I'm sure they help some students, but there are a lot of students where that education was a lost opportunity.

18I think that's one other thing that I19see from my clients. You don't get a do-over20on your education. You basically use the21capital of your family to have someone take22care of your child while you go to school or23to have someone put up with you working late24at night to do your homework, and if it

1 doesn't work out, you don't get to do it
2 again. So it's a real loss when people go in
3 the wrong direction.

I mean, the last thing I'll say is I 4 5 heard about community college enrollment going down. And when you think of the 6 7 competition of trying to get enrolled in a school where -- getting into community 8 college is not an easy thing. They're not 9 10 providing all the bells and whistles to sign 11 up for your student loans. They're not 12 calling you on the phone. But those are really places of value, and that's what we 13 14 should be supporting people to do, is go to those schools. 15

And if there's no criteria -- if there's no accountability for the schools that are making money off of this, they're just going to keep doing it again. There needs to be some metric here.

21 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So you would 22 recommend that the metrics that are used are 23 whether or not people are getting jobs that 24 they are supposedly getting educated for that

1	pay them more than before they went to
2	school, and also the rate of default?
3	MR. TYLER: I would actually I
4	mean, if I were to do it I once was on the
5	Gainful Employment Negotiating Rule
6	Committee, and we spent a lot of time
7	thinking about this. And most of the policy
8	wonks said look at repayment rates at an
9	extended period of time. You're dealing with
10	the same people whether they dropped out from
11	a CUNY school, you're dealing with the same
12	person whether they dropped out of a
13	for-profit, you're dealing with the same
14	person whether they graduated it's the
15	best metric, and it informs whether you're
16	getting value for the subsidy that's being
17	provided by the taxpayers.
18	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
19	much.
20	Oh, I'm sorry. Senator Bob Antonacci.
21	SENATOR ANTONACCI: Thank you.
22	You know, I appreciate the comments
23	and your written testimony. I didn't say
24	Bryant & Stratton was Harvard, right? So

1

2

there's always an element of personal responsibility.

3 What about the flip of this now, would this accountability act -- and again, you 4 5 heard the testimony earlier, nobody's saying we don't want any accountability, nobody's 6 7 saying we don't want any metrics. But what about the inverse where an institution 8 decides not to take that chance on a student, 9 10 whether it be low-income or somebody who struggled in school, decides not to take that 11 12 chance because they're worried about getting 13 judged or sued by your organization or judged 14 by other organizations? How do we balance 15 all of those interests?

And there's -- you're not saying that 16 there's not a student loan problem in private 17 education at the higher level. The SUNY 18 19 system has loan defaults. I get it, there's 20 probably a larger balance coming out of, to 21 your point, these proprietary schools. But I 22 quess my question is, where do we draw that balance on kids that maybe this is one of 23 24 their only avenues?

1 MR. TYLER: That's a great question. 2 I think one of the things I've always been struck with is tuition doesn't 3 necessarily correlate to the risk that the 4 5 school is taking. So, you know, why is it that ASA charges \$28,000 to be a nursing 6 7 assistant, which is -- there is no such job 8 as a nursing assistant. It's basically a 9 feel-good job, you get to wear a stethoscope 10 and wear a smock, but there is no market for 11 that. And you can go to community college 12 and actually learn something, to be a phlebotomist, for a much lesser amount. 13 14 So if you want to meet these metrics, 15 the way you meet the metrics, part of it 16 would have to do with tuition, how much you're charging for. I don't think it has so 17 18 much to do with selectivity. I think it has to do with where you put your money in terms 19 20 of instruction. 21 SENATOR ANTONACCI: But again, where 22 is the personal responsibility? If I'm doing

some research on my career and I want to give

an institution \$28,000 to be a nursing aide

24

23

1 that doesn't exist, isn't there some 2 culpability on the individual that didn't do 3 the -- our community colleges are unbelievable. I mean, they're fantastic. 4 5 So how -- but if we can't advertise 6 any more, we can't do any more, I believe, to 7 tell these young people that these are 8 beautiful institutions that are very low-cost 9 and you get a great education. 10 So I don't know where the disconnect 11 is. But do we blame the private institution, 12 the for-profit institution for offering a 13 product that somebody avails themselves of? 14 Unless there's fraud or duress, what do we 15 do? MR. TYLER: Well, there's rarely 16 duress, but there often is puffery, in the 17 18 word of law, that happens. Like the woman who has that -- who actually has that \$28,00019 20 debt for the nursing assistant, she was told 21 she was going to make essentially twice the 22 wage she was making at the time. And it 23 didn't happen. 24 There's -- I have a client who

actually was -- kind of went with eyes open 1 2 to a school called The Art Institute with her 3 mom, and her mom's like, How is my daughter 4 going to make money as a fashion designer? I 5 mean, you have to catch lightning in a bottle to do that. And they convinced her that they 6 7 had relationships with all the big fashion places and she'd have the job. She's working 8 9 now at Newark Airport in a restaurant. 10 So, you know, there's salesmanship that goes along with some of these things. 11 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 12 13 SENATOR STAVISKY: They closed The Art 14 Institute. 15 MR. TYLER: Thank you. 16 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 17 They did close The Art Institute, yes. 18 Right. 19 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Western New York 20 Law Center, followed by the New York Legal 21 Assistance Group. 22 So after Western New York Law Center, if the New York Legal Assistance Group could 23 24 come down close so we don't have to wait for

1 you to make the appearance at the --2 (Laughter.) 3 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: You're on, five minutes. 4 5 MS. LANICH: Hi, good evening. My name is Pam Lanich. I am an attorney at the 6 7 Western New York Law Center, which is in Buffalo. 8 9 Our office represents consumers in a 10 variety of matters. We're not-for-profit, we have a CLARO clinic in four counties in 11 12 Western New York, where we represent 13 consumers with primarily consumer debt 14 issues. 15 So my testimony is primarily concerned with the student debt issue, a lot of which 16 was talked about in the last testimony, a lot 17 of the same kind of statistics about the 18 19 default rates of these proprietary colleges. 20 And I don't really want to offend the 21 Senator who taught at Bryant & Stratton, but 22 unfortunately I have some statistics about Bryant & Stratton's Buffalo college campus in 23 24 comparison to Erie Community College, for

1 example. Actually, the Buffalo campus has a 2 little bit even of a worse statistic than the 3 overall Bryant & Stratton, which the statistic was given earlier. Only 16 percent 4 5 of the Buffalo campus's students are able to 6 repay any of their debt after three years, 7 which means most of them are making very low 8 incomes, even not being able to pay anything on their loans. 9

10 ECC costs less than half of Bryant & 11 Stratton in Buffalo but spends double the 12 money on teaching. Bryant & Stratton has 13 students graduate with three times the amount 14 of student loans versus ECC, but they make 15 30 percent less money. They actually make 16 less than if they just graduated high school after 10 years. 17

18 The problem with this is while there 19 might be some good schools out there, if 20 you're going to school and taking out huge 21 amounts of debt that are going to ruin your 22 life and never be able to pay back, can't 23 discharge in bankruptcy -- some are even 24 taking private loans on top of their federal loans which they're getting sued for, they're
getting garnished for. They're coming to our
clinics with \$100,000 in debt, already being
garnished or being sued, and they're no
better off than if they didn't go to school
at all.

I just can't reconcile that -- those
kind of statistics and not think that
something needs to be done. So I do support
the 80/20 proposal and the 50 percent being
used for actual teaching costs.

12 Maybe there are better ways of doing this. A lot of it was talked about last time 13 14 as well, with the measures of actual gainful 15 employment. Maybe that would be better. But 16 I think that a step needs to be taken in our state to do something and get out of the 17 18 horrible statistics that we're seeing with 19 the debt, student debt. I don't really have anything else. 20 21 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: A quick 22 question. 23 MS. LANICH: Yes.

24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: When you've

1 dealt with students who have come to you out 2 of desperation, how did they wind up going to these schools? 3 4 MS. LANICH: I think a lot of it is --5 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: If ECC is there --6 7 MS. LANICH: Right. I think a lot of it, like we talked about with the other 8 9 people that have been up here, is the 10 advertising. There's -- I see advertising on TV for Bryant & Stratton and different 11 12 things. 13 I think if even -- you know, the 14 Senator talked a lot about accountability of 15 the student earlier, but we're talking about 16 18-year-olds who are looking on the internet and seeing a lot of flashy advertisements. 17 18 They're getting recruiters calling them if 19 they put their phone number in. We can't 20 expect they're going to make an informed 21 decision about which school really is best 22 when they have that kind of tactic being thrown at them. 23 24 The other thing that's concerning to

1	me is that in Buffalo we have a very huge
2	refugee population, refugees that are
3	obviously new to the country. I deal with
4	them in consumer scams a lot, and I'm also
5	concerned about the advertising that they
6	would see and buy into versus doing a really
7	great, informed decision-making about which
8	college to go to.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Anyone?
11	Senator Antonacci.
12	SENATOR ANTONACCI: Thank you. I just
13	have a comment rather than really a question.
14	I appreciate your passion, as well as
15	the speaker before you. And I can tell you
16	those 18-to 21-year-olds, this Legislature's
17	going to make sure they can't smoke a
18	cigarette in a couple of weeks. But again, I
19	believe in people being able to make up their
20	own minds, being able to do their own
21	research.
22	But isn't this really a bigger
23	problem? And maybe there's an article you
24	can send me, or topic isn't higher

1 education in general just overinflated? And 2 didn't the student loan industry enable it to 3 some degree, especially the federal guarantee? I mean, what came first, the 4 5 higher education bills creeping up or the fact that they were able to be financed with 6 7 loans? 8 I mean, wouldn't it be a lot cheaper, wouldn't everybody kind of -- salaries and 9 10 costs come down if the student loan market wasn't so prevalent and the federal guarantee 11 12 as well? So I don't know if that's a question 13 or a comment, but I appreciate what you are 14 15 doing. I'm sure there's been those that have 16 been misled, and those individuals should be sued in a court of law and, if found liable, 17 18 they have to pay back the money. 19 But -- I have a student going to

20 college, I have student loan debt that I 21 actually took out for my son, so I'm not 22 unsympathetic to the plight of middle-class 23 families. But it just seems like -- and 24 you've got to see the sticker shock on some

1 of these universities, as I'm sure you're 2 aware. So I guess that's just my general 3 comment. What do we do about it in general in terms of the price of college to begin 4 5 with? MS. LANICH: I do agree with you. I 6 7 think that student debt is an issue outside of just proprietary colleges. Student debt 8 is a huge issue -- for myself, even. 9 10 The difference is the statistics still show that a lot of the students at the 11 12 proprietary colleges have higher debt loads, 13 lower wages, lower job rates. And that's 14 just there. 15 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So I want to 16 thank you for your testimony. 17 And I suppose in response to my 18 colleague, so half of today has been around 19 the state colleges and Excelsior and TAP and 20 showing that you can actually get through one 21 of our state universities and community 22 colleges with a very, very low rate of student debt, if none at all. 23 24 And I think what we're hearing this

1 evening are a group of people testifying 2 about exploitation of young people. And I don't agree with you, Senator, I think 3 consumer protection is right there in our job 4 5 description for New Yorkers. And certainly when they're using up 6 7 Pell grants, private money -- I mean, I don't know if you were here when the veteran was 8 testifying about people saying, Oh, we'll 9 10 give you a stipend, and then you learn they signed you up for a student loan you didn't 11 12 know about to pay you your stipend. So I think that there is serious consumer 13 14 protection and fraud problems that we need to 15 address. 16 Thank you very much. MS. LANICH: Thanks. 17 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: We will now hear 18 from the New York Legal Assistance Group, 19 20 followed by New York Automotive and Diesel 21 Institute, the College of Transportation 22 Technology. So if you can move yourself up. And followed by the Coalition of New York 23 24 State Career Colleges.

1

Anytime you're ready.

2 MR. BERKMAN-BREEN: Thank you. My 3 name is Joseph Berkman-Breen, and I am a 4 legal fellow at the New York Legal Assistance 5 Group, where I primarily represent low-income veterans who have been defrauded by 6 7 for-profit schools. I would like to start by telling you 8 about two of our clients who were harmed by 9 10 high-cost, low-value for-profit schools. The 11 first client I'll refer to as Carter. 12 Carter is a veteran who attended 13 Sanford-Brown, a for-profit school in 14 White Plains, New York, which was so costly 15 that he needed to take out thousands of 16 dollars in loans in addition to exhausting his veterans benefits. But he enrolled 17 18 despite that fact because of a promise from 19 the school that if he graduated, he was 20 almost guaranteed a job in his field that 21 would be high-paying, and he was promised a 22 lifetime of career services support. 23 Carter graduated with the highest 24 grades in his class and applied to dozens of

jobs in his field, but to no avail. The
 school provided no help in his career search
 and soon closed, leaving Carter with nothing
 but debt, and he is now living on the brink
 of default.

The second client I'll refer to as 6 7 Anthony. Anthony was disabled as a result of his military service. In an effort to 8 retrain for a civilian career, he enrolled in 9 10 DeVry, a for-profit school in New York City. 11 He was explicit with DeVry that he did not 12 want to take out loans. He only wanted to enroll if his VA benefits would cover all of 13 his costs. He was reassured by the school, 14 15 but after graduating was frankly horrified to 16 learn that the school had signed him up for loans without his knowledge. Anthony is now 17 in financial crisis because he cannot afford 18 to pay back those loans. 19

20 These are typical stories of our 21 clients who attended for-profit schools in 22 New York. Further, veterans like Carter and 23 Anthony are disproportionately impacted by 24 for-profit schools because current federal laws incentivize low-value for-profit schools
 to target veterans for their education
 benefits.

The current law prevents for-profit 4 5 schools from receiving more than 90 percent of their revenue from federal financial aid. 6 7 This is the 90/10 rule, as it's referred to, 8 and it helps prevent taxpayer funding from propping up low-value schools that can't find 9 10 private sources of revenue. But 11 unfortunately this rule is too weak as it is, 12 in part because of a loophole in which there 13 is no limit on for-profit school revenue that 14 can come from veteran education benefits. 15 This leads schools to target veterans to fill 16 the 10 percent revenue gap. 17 With the proposed For-Profit College Accountability Act, New York has the 18 19 opportunity to step up where the federal 20 government has failed to strengthen the 90/10 21 rule. However, for the proposal to achieve 22 its goal of holding for-profit schools more accountable, it must close the loophole that 23 24 incentivizes the targeting of veterans, and

1 it can do this by ensuring that veteran 2 education benefits are included among the limited revenue sources in the act. If it 3 does not do this, the consequence would be an 4 5 increase in the incentive for schools to target veterans. 6 7 In sum, we see the proposed act as an opportunity to hold low-value for-profit 8 schools to a higher standard to protect 9 10 students and to stop the targeting of 11 veterans. Thank you. 12 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you very 13 much. 14 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very 15 much. 16 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Next is the New York Automotive and Diesel Institute, 17 18 The College of Transportation Technology. 19 MR. HATTEN: Thank you all for this 20 opportunity to appear before this committee 21 this evening, even at this late hour. I 22 thought it would be appropriate to stay all day and put a face to the for-profit college 23 24 sector.

1 I am the chairman and CEO of 2 Automotive and Diesel Institute, the company that owns New York Automotive and Diesel 3 Institute, the College of Transportation 4 5 Technology. I've been employed in this sector 6 7 since 1970. I've been admissions director, financial aid director, teacher, director, 8 vice president, president, and chairman and 9 10 CEO of a for-profit college in the State of New York. 11 12 New York Automotive and Diesel Institute recently, in 2017, made history in 13 this state, becoming the first non-degree 14 15 school in 13 years to be offered the ability 16 to offer a degree to its students, an ALS in Automotive and Automotive and Diesel 17 18 Technology. 19 The school has been visited by Deputy 20 Commissioner Kevin Smith, Deputy Commissioner 21 John D'Agati, Regent Judy Chin in Queens, 22 Regent Kathleen Cashin -- excuse me, Christine Cea from Staten Island, and finally 23 24 Regent Dr. Kathleen Cashin, who also is the

1 cochair of the Higher Education Committee in 2 the Regents. All of these individuals have 3 visited our institution over the past 12 months. They loved the school. They thought 4 it was a terrific educational institution. 5 I know it's a terrific educational 6 7 institution. We educate the non-traditional student in the State of New York. And we 8 educate the individuals who come to us who 9 10 are at the highest level in automotive 11 technology in our state. 12 Since becoming degree-granting in September 2017, our institution has reached 13 14 out to manufacturers throughout this country, 15 from one end of the country to the other, to 16 bring the latest techniques to our graduates. We've reached out to CTE programs in the City 17 18 of New York that are desperate for quality 19 educators, and we've established partnerships with them. 20 This evening -- well, it's already 21

happened -- we had an in-service afternoon
for six teachers from Alfred E. Smith Career
and Technical Education Institution to bring

1 them up to speed on industry certifications 2 that they could bring -- that they could get 3 in their professional development and that they can bring to their students. 4 5 Next week we're going to host Pete Dalton, who is the principal at Nassau 6 7 County BOCES Barry Tech. And we already have established a partnership to build their --8 9 to help them build their diesel program. 10 Our students are 95 percent men, black 11 and Hispanic men, a demographic that we 12 oftentimes talk about as having not an 13 ability to achieve at a high level. They're 14 all disadvantaged, basically, economically. 15 They come to our institution, we graduate 16 them at over 50 percent. Probably when you go to the three-year statistic, it'll reach 17 18 up to 70 percent. At night, 80 to 90 percent 19 of our students find employment. 20 We've done everything that you have 21 wanted from us in education. The average 22 student loan debt that comes out of our institution: \$20,000, for a college degree

24 which they can turn into some real gold out

23

1 there.

2	Our 90/10 is 75/25. If you start
3	messing around with the formula, you'll
4	probably end up putting us out of business.
5	I don't know of any institution that
6	almost anywhere in this country that can say
7	that they're going to devote 50 percent of
8	their expenses to faculty salaries. I don't
9	think that's going to happen. And it
10	certainly would eliminate every for-profit
11	college in our sector and others as well.
12	We have achieved the outcomes you have
13	wanted us to achieve. We've served the
14	population that you want us to serve. It's
15	in the state plan, we read it all the time.
16	Access to individuals who are nontraditional,
17	underserved in higher education.
18	Our students take an incredible amount
19	of pride in the degrees that they get.
20	Dr. Kathleen Cashin, cochair of the Regents
21	Higher Education Committee, spoke at our
22	first cohort graduation this past
23	December 13th. In that graduation ceremony
24	we had three students, we had three

students -- and I know there was more -- we had three students that went from -- we have an eligible career pathway program where we teach ATB students. We bring them in, we do this, we do the bridge program, we've been doing it for three years with an incredible amount of success.

We have over 50 students who have got 8 9 their high school equivalence diploma from 10 being associated with our institution while 11 they took the program. They went from a 12 certificate, coming in with no high school 13 credential, entering our certificate program, 14 getting their high school equivalency, 15 passing the TASC test, and then going into a 16 degree program and coming out at the end with a college degree from the State of New York. 17 That's extraordinary. That's exactly 18 19 what we want, and that's a story that should 20 be told over and over again. 21 I'll stop here and let you do the next 22 thing. ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you. 23 24 Assemblymember Hyndman.

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Now, I've
2	known Mr. Hatten I think maybe 20 years
3	now
4	MR. HATTEN: Twenty years.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Is this on?
6	How many in your previous schools
7	that you had, non-degree-granting proprietary
8	schools, how many of your students got loans?
9	MR. HATTEN: Got loans?
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Yeah.
11	MR. HATTEN: As a non-degree school?
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Yup.
13	MR. HATTEN: Just about everybody.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Okay. Now how
15	many students in your
16	MR. HATTEN: Excuse me, except for the
17	veterans. I have 50 veterans in my school
18	that didn't get student loans.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Okay. And how
20	much did you invest in job placement for
21	those students? Internships
22	MR. HATTEN: I'm sorry, can you
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: How much did
24	you invest in job placements for your

1 students? Internships, working with the job 2 placement department, career education. How 3 much did you invest in that way? 4 MR. HATTEN: A significant amount of 5 money came out of the institution, because we want to have -- I can't tell you the exact 6 7 amount. If you want, I'll get it for you. 8 All I know is that we appropriated the necessary dollars to meet our mission and go 9 10 beyond, and to get the student outcomes that we've been able to achieve should be evidence 11 12 of the dedication of the Board of Trustees. I'm a trustee. We have a board, 13 14 13 members, they're the governing body of our 15 college, and everybody is on the same page. 16 Ownership, president, Board of Trustees, and all of us want the best outcomes for our 17 18 students. 19 So I don't know exactly how to answer 20 that question. 21 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: How long did 22 you own -- how long did you own New York Automotive and Diesel before it became 23 24 degree-granting?

1 MR. HATTEN: I bought New York 2 Automotive and Diesel Institute in 2008. The 3 school had been terminated from participation 4 in the Title IV programs at that point in 5 time. The federal government had a two-year wrangle with the previous owner, and they 6 7 were teaching out at the time when I bought the school. 8

I went there in 2008. There were a 9 10 few students in the school, it was taught out, and it was going out of business. But I 11 12 was encouraged by the State Education 13 Department, our national accreditor. And 14 even the Department of Education that was 15 putting them out of business said, you know 16 what, go do it.

17Our first certification with the18Department of Education was a thing called a19temporary provisional certification. We went20over that, we went to provisional, we had the21four-year certification, and now we enjoy a22full six-year certification with the U.S.23Department of Education.

24 A national accreditor just came in and

1 gave us -- and did a visit. They did a 2 survey of our students: 98 percent of our 3 students would recommend the education to their relatives, 98 percent were very 4 5 satisfied with their education -- 98 percent. And that wasn't a survey we did, it was the 6 7 accrediting council. ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: I've known him 8 a long time. I know he loves his school. 9 10 So you bought the school in 2008 and 11 it became degree-granting in 2017. Did 12 you -- was it ever about profit for you? Was 13 it about profit or making money, being in the 14 proprietary degree-granting business? 15 MR. HATTEN: Thanks for asking the 16 question. I really wasn't going to go down this avenue, but for the last four years I 17 18 have taken not one penny out of the 19 institution. 20 As we transitioned from a non-degree 21 school into a degree-granting college, every 22 penny went towards that goal, towards that end. Does that get factored in anywhere? I 23 24 cashed in my IRA, I cashed in my life

1 insurance policy, I mortgaged my home to make 2 sure that the institution would survive, 3 because I knew there was incredible quality there. And it's being borne out every day, 4 5 day in and day out. THE WITNESS: And if I run out of time 6 7 and you tell me to leave, I'd like to invite you to the institution. If you want to see a 8 9 degree-granting institution that you can be 10 proud of, that the for-profit label can be worn with 11 distinction, come to my institution. 12 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you very 13 much. CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 14 Senator Antonacci. 15 16 SENATOR ANTONACCI: I'm not against making a profit, and that's certainly your 17 choice. I am against, as to my colleague's 18 19 point, Senator Krueger, against ripping off 20 students. 21 Have you ever been -- I take it you do 22 get federal loans or your students do get federal loans, and you help administer that? 23 24 MR. HATTEN: Yes.

1 SENATOR ANTONACCI: Have you ever been 2 found to have defrauded a student or lied to 3 a student in terms of acquisition or 4 acquiring of a student loan? 5 MR. HATTEN: Never once. You haven't heard a single complaint when we transitioned 6 7 from non-degree to degree -- you would think maybe a couple of students would get a little 8 sort of -- not one complaint to the State 9 10 Education Department. No. I've been --11 sorry. 12 SENATOR ANTONACCI: No, that's okay. Because I don't know who talks quicker, me or 13 14 you. But you've really given me some energy 15 here while I'm watching you. I know you're 16 passionate. But let me ask you this -- there is 17 18 accountability in -- again, I'm new here, 19 right? So there is accountability in the for-profit schools, you have to answer to at 20 21 least the Department of Education -- I would 22 assume the federal Department of Education as well as the State Education Department; 23 24 correct?

1	MR. HATTEN: Yes.
2	SENATOR ANTONACCI: Okay. What
3	happens to your school if you go out of
4	business, to these young men and women that
5	want to be automotive and diesel mechanics?
6	Where do they go?
7	MR. HATTEN: Okay, so so
8	nowhere, basically. Where are they going to
9	go? The community colleges are not serving
10	them an ALS degree. We're the only ALS
11	degree-granting institution south of Albany.
12	Our outcomes should stand for
13	themselves, that students get to the finish
14	line, get jobs, and are repaying their
15	student loan debt.
16	Okay, I'm a veteran, I served in the
17	'60s. I have 50 veterans who are in my
18	institution, right? They came not from us
19	camping out at some military base, they came
20	from referrals from counselors in the VA and
21	from past students who are incredibly
22	satisfied with the education they're
23	receiving.
24	I would never I've been doing this

1 for 49 years. I have a reputation in this 2 state. Twenty-five years I served on the 3 Advisory Council for Licensed Private Career Schools, appointed by Mario Cuomo in 1993, 4 5 reappointed by his son on numerous occasions as the chairman. I have 49 years in this 6 7 sector, and never ever has there been anyone who has ever accused me or the institution I 8 serve of ripping off a student. 9 10 There may be out there -- and I 11 believe there are some institutions out 12 there -- but it's not my job to do that. You 13 want to know how to fix the problem? I'll 14 tell you how to fix the problem. I think I 15 heard some testimony earlier today. Give the 16 State Education Department professionals more money, let them have more associates out 17 18 there, and then some of the problems that are 19 perceived to be problems can be adjudicated. 20 Build up the Education Department. I want

21 that oversight, because that oversight will
22 protect my institution.

23 SENATOR ANTONACCI: Well, thank you24 for your service, and thank you for what you

1 do for the veterans. And best of luck. 2 MR. HATTEN: Thank you. 3 SENATOR ANTONACCI: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 4 5 Next, the Coalition of New York State Career Schools. 6 7 MR. ZALESKI: Thanks. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Our former 8 colleague, Terence Zaleski. 9 10 MR. ZALESKI: It's more fun sitting on that side. Try it on this side. 11 12 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: I don't know. Try it for nine or 10 hours, you might have a 13 different --14 15 MR. ZALESKI: It's much more fun on 16 that side. Trust me. I admire the fact that you guys have 17 been there for 10 hours. I heard there was 18 19 one in here the other day for --20 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Eleven hours. 21 MR. ZALESKI: We're getting close. 22 But we're going to be wrapping up soon, too. Well, thank you very much, first of 23 24 all, for giving me the opportunity to speak.

1 I've sat down, so the light is on.

2 I'm not given to hyperbole. But let 3 me just tell you, I'm here regarding Part E, and the 20 years since I've been executive 4 5 director and counsel for the Coalition of New York State Career schools, I've never 6 7 appeared before this committee before. I 8 never had any occasion to do so. Occasionally I come before higher 9 10 education people, their offices, or I'd see 11 some other people in their offices about one 12 thing or another, but I've never had an 13 occasion to come to a joint hearing like 14 this. 15 The reason I'm here today is because 16 I'm extremely concerned -- as I said, I'm not given to hyperbole, but if you look at my 17 18 testimony, the first paragraph says it all. 19 This is why I'm here. This Part E -- and I 20 hope the Governor does not intend for this to 21 be the purpose, but this will shut the 22 doors -- I'm not kidding -- shut the doors to the approximately 400 non-degree-granting 23 24 for-profit career schools in New York State.

1 You want a headline? A half-billion-2 dollar sector of the economy will be crushed. 3 It will end the jobs of over 5,000 licensed teachers. And most importantly, it will 4 5 eliminate paths to opportunity for over 180,000 students in a typical year. That's 6 7 what we're talking about here. 8 If you read on a little bit in my 9 testimony, our demographic data, our 10 students -- black, Hispanic, Asian, 11 countless nationalities, origins. Many women 12 returning to the workforce seeking a first 13 career. Others, dislocated unemployed workers pursuing a new and different path. 14 15 Others, returning veterans, those with 16 disabilities, many recovering from alcohol or substance abuse, others formerly incarcerated 17 18 who are seeking a new shot at life. Large 19 numbers of our students try their hand at 20 community college in despair. Some are not 21 high school graduates.

I read this to a school owner the other day to get her take on my testimony of characterizing who we serve. She said,

1 "You're not telling them." Right? "Here's 2 what I want you to say." And these are notes 3 I took from a school owner in the Bronx who has had a school, very successful for 4 5 20 years, in the allied health field. She said: You tell those legislators 6 7 that this proposal impacts the marginalized. 8 It impacts those who are in the crevices of 9 this world. It impacts those who are at the 10 fringes, who are hidden in society. These 11 are people, they said, who are intimidated by 12 the traditional college atmosphere, who can't 13 navigate the environment of community 14 colleges. They're not friendly like we are. 15 We help them get a job. 16 Quite frankly, that's the truth. What she said is really the truth. And now who 17 18 are our schools, what are we? I mean, we are not TAP receiving, okay? There are no state 19 20 funds that come to our schools. The budget 21 for our oversight is provided by our schools.

22 There are three people in this room 23 who helped to pass legislation in 1990 -- and 24 it may have been one of the first bills, may

1 have been in the first year of Assemblywoman 2 Glick's tenure, perhaps. But there was the 3 Brodsky bill, you may recall, that we passed in 1990 which put in place a comprehensive 4 5 scheme for oversight and regulation of the non-degree-granting for-profit sector. And 6 7 all aspects of our schools are regulated. If this piece of legislation were to 8 9 pass, I'll tell you what the impact is going 10 to be, because I see time runs fast. One of the big impacts will be a proliferation of 11 12 unlicensed, unregulated schools. We're licensed, we're regulated. 13 14 Another impact will be unbalanced 15 balance sheets for these schools. I mean, we 16 have to present a balance sheet to the State Education Department every year which 17 shows how our expenditures are allocated, 18 where our resources are coming from. Our 19 20 schools would not be able to pass the tests 21 necessary for financial viability if this 22 were to go into effect. 23 And by the way, who are our school

24 owners anyway? Let's understand. Our school

1 owners are part of the community. Our school 2 owners are black, Hispanic, women, Arab, 3 Iranian, Bangladeshi, from Poland, from 4 Jamaica, from Pakistan, from India, from 5 China, from Russia, from Puerto Rico, from Haiti -- I can go on and on and on. 6 7 They represent the communities, that's why they're so successful in many ways. They 8 are training people in their communities who 9 10 feel comfortable coming to them and getting training at their schools. 11 12 You can read the rest of the stuff 13 after. It's a bad piece of legislation. 14 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 15 Any questions? 16 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator, you got your hand up? 17 18 SENATOR ANTONACCI: I'm sorry, yes. 19 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator 20 Antonacci. 21 SENATOR ANTONACCI: Thank you very 22 much, Madam Chair. It's been a long day, but thank you very much for all your courtesy. 23 24 And thank you, everyone, for staying.

1 Is it fair to say that National 2 Tractor Trailer School is one of your clients? 3 4 MR. ZALESKI: They're not one of our 5 members. They're regulated through the 6 Department of Motor Vehicles, not the State 7 Department of Education. SENATOR ANTONACCI: They're not an 8 educational institution? 9 10 MR. ZALESKI: They are an educational 11 institution. 12 SENATOR ANTONACCI: Are they similar to --13 14 MR. ZALESKI: They're part of a 15 coalition of New York State career schools. 16 They don't fall under the State Education Department's umbrella through the Bureau of 17 18 Proprietary School Supervision. 19 SENATOR ANTONACCI: Okay. So are they 20 similar to your organization or -- because 21 I'm told that they take -- they get federal 22 student loans for their students. 23 MR. ZALESKI: They do receive federal 24 student loans, but I'm not directly aware of

1 the tractor trailer school that you're

2

referring to. SENATOR ANTONACCI: Okay. I must have 3 gotten that information. I apologize. 4 5 Well, let me say this to you. The National Tractor Trailer School -- and in 6 7 full disclosure, the owner of that company did donate to my campaign, I want to make 8 sure that that's out there. But I'm very 9 10 aware of their organization. They're in my 11 community, they are in my district. 12 They can't find students right now to become tractor trailer drivers. They have 13 14 job openings -- \$65,000 a year, four days on, 15 four days off, sleep in your own bed. And 16 they're an educational institution, their training facility is unbelievable. 17

Will this bill hurt those kind of 18 19 institutions from being able to provide the 20 services you --

21 MR. ZALESKI: I haven't spoken to any 22 of the owners over there. I would imagine yes, it certainly would. And the provision 23 24 that's most damaging and most hurtful as we

1 see it is the 50 percent of overall

2 expenditures going towards teacher salaries. 3 It's just something that totally skews the entire way a school operates. 4 5 And when -- the question was raised earlier, I believe by Senator Stavisky, 6 7 regarding the rents in New York City and what people have to pay. Many of our schools are 8 located in New York City and in high-rent 9 10 areas. Much of the fixed costs of the schools are what really drive the expense 11 12 equations for schools. 13 And it's very difficult to meet that 14 50 percent -- nobody is going to meet that 15 50 percent number. That 50 percent number --

16 and you heard some testimony before. I mean, 17 no one is anywhere close to that number or 18 could be close to that number. It would 19 close everybody down.

20 And there's also another provision in 21 this bill -- this is one of the most poorly 22 written pieces of legislation I've seen, and 23 I've tried to pull this thing apart. There's 24 a section over here that talks about any

1 other local, state, or federal government 2 loan grant or scholarship program utilized to 3 pay tuition as part of this limited --4 limited -- what do they call it? Limited 5 revenue source. What this would put out of business 6 7 are schools that are in our organization and in our sector that provide targeted, 8 specialized services to students with 9 10 disabilities who are receiving ACCES-VR dollars under contract with the State 11 12 Education Department and who have basically 13 dedicated their entire portfolio to working 14 with those students. 15 This legislation, the way it's 16 written, actually closes that school down. And other schools like this -- not just one, 17 18 but several schools that depend on it. 19 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for 20 answering the question. 21 I don't believe there's -- there are 22 any more. Thank you. MR. ZALESKI: Aw, shucks. I wanted to 23 24 be here for the rest of the night.

1 (Laughter.) 2 MR. ZALESKI: Thank you for your time, 3 and it's a pleasure to see you again, 4 Helene. 5 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. Thank you, Terry. 6 7 MR. ZALESKI: And Assemblywoman Glick, 8 nice to see you too. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Next, Lisa 9 10 Stifler, deputy director of state policy, the Center for Responsible Lending. 11 12 Proceed. MS. STIFLER: Good evening. Thank 13 14 you, Chairs Krueger, Weinstein, Glick, and 15 Stavisky and members of the committee, and 16 thank you for allowing me to testify this 17 evening. 18 My name is Lisa Stifler. I am deputy 19 director of state policy at the Center for 20 Responsible Lending. CRL is a nonprofit, 21 nonpartisan research and policy organization 22 dedicated to protecting homeownership and family assets by working to eliminate abusive 23 24 financial practices.

1 CRL is an affiliate of Self-Help 2 Credit Union, the nation's largest community 3 development financial institution. We strive 4 to promote responsible lending and access to fair credit for low-wealth families. 5 I'm going to use most of my time to 6 7 share some of our new research on for-profit colleges with a particular focus on how 8 for-profit schools deepen the racial wealth 9 10 gap and disproportionately harm low-income 11 students and women. 12 In short, New York students who attend for-profit schools are more likely to have 13 14 higher debt loads, lower graduation rates, 15 and higher loan default rates than other students in the state. An inordinate number 16 of students of color, low-income students, 17 18 and women in New York are left with large 19 loans that they cannot repay, and often have 20 very little to no educational benefit in 21 return. And with multiple rollbacks at the 22 federal level, the state can and must act to fill the void, and New York has this 23 24 opportunity.

1 You've already heard a lot of the 2 concerns about for-profit schools, and I'm 3 not going to repeat them, but I do echo many 4 of those concerns. And a report that we're 5 going to be releasing tomorrow supports these 6 concerns.

7 Using the most recent College Scorecard data from the federal government, 8 the report that we're releasing provides a 9 10 snapshot of for-profit colleges in New York 11 by comparing demographics, costs, and 12 financial burdens for students. To make an 13 apples-to-apples comparison, we focused our 14 research on four-year degree programs, 15 comparing those at the state's for-profit, 16 non-profit, and public schools. Our research found a few things. 17 18 New York's for-profit schools are expensive.

19The median debt level for students of the20state's for-profit schools is more than21\$28,000, compared to under \$17,000 for the22state's public schools. And almost2366 percent of New York's for-profit students24borrowed to attend school, compared to

1 41 percent of the state's public schools. 2 At New York's for-profit schools, the 3 students are less likely to graduate. Less than 41 percent of for-profit students 4 5 graduate within six years, compared to 55 percent of the state's public students. 6 7 And you have also heard about how some 8 students are left worse off, sometimes earning less than a typical high school 9 10 graduate. And in large part because of that, 11 New York students who attend for-profit 12 schools are more than two times more likely to default on their student loans within 13 three years of leaving school than those who 14 15 attend the state's public and nonprofit 16 schools. And that rate increases over time. 17 These outcomes are particularly 18 troubling given the well-documented targeting that we've heard about today. CRL's research 19 20 bears this out. New York's for-profit 21 schools disproportionately enroll low-income 22 students, students of color, and female students. The student body of New York's 23 24 for-profit schools is 29 percent black,

1 61 percent low-income, and almost 68 percent 2 women. All of these groups are represented 3 in greater numbers among the for-profit 4 student body than in the state population as 5 a whole, and these students bear the brunt of 6 the harms and financial consequences due to 7 for-profit school abuses.

These students also face additional 8 barriers in repaying their student debt due 9 10 to structural inequalities in family wealth, education, and employment. And far from 11 12 helping students advance, many for-profit schools instead contribute to the racial 13 14 wealth gap and other structural inequities that exist in New York and around the 15 16 country.

17 These same debt loads prevent progress 18 in closing the racial wealth gap as they 19 hamper opportunities for home ownership, 20 starting a business, and saving for 21 retirement. Defaulting on student loans leaves borrowers even further behind with 22 damaged credit scores, creating even more 23 24 barriers for jobs, housing, and affordable

1 credit in the future.

2 Just one last point, and my time --3 despite the well-documented concerns that we've heard and that have existed and been 4 5 borne out over the years, the U.S. Department of Education is currently rolling back 6 7 existing protections against for-profit school abuses. And in light of these 8 rollbacks, states can and should take action 9 10 to fill the void. State oversight is 11 critical, and it would ensure not just 12 quality of education in schools but also 13 protect students against abusive practices 14 that have the consequence of burdening these 15 students with large debt loads and often 16 little to no educational benefits in return. And with the For-Profit College 17 Accountability Act, New York has the 18 19 opportunity to stem the tide of for-profit 20 school abuses and student loan debt, and we 21 hope you take that opportunity. 22 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. Thank you for the work that the Center for 23 24 Responsible Lending does in this area and

1 others.

2	MS. STIFLER: Thank you.
3	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Seward.
4	SENATOR SEWARD: Just a quick
5	question.
6	In your testimony you cut kind of what
7	I would call a very wide swath in describing
8	the for-profit schools.
9	MS. STIFLER: Okay.
10	SENATOR SEWARD: With your
11	generalizations are there any good ones
12	that do meet the needs of the students in
13	your estimation? Or, I mean, are there
14	MS. STIFLER: Well, there are
15	certainly
16	SENATOR SEWARD: That's my question,
17	in terms of you kind of generalize
18	MS. STIFLER: Right.
19	SENATOR SEWARD: But I'm wondering if
20	you have some we talked earlier with a
21	previous witness about good actors, bad
22	actors. Is that the phenomenon that you see
23	out there?
24	MS. STIFLER: There's always that

1 argument that there are good apples and bad 2 apples. I think one of the concerns we have 3 is just general oversight to make sure whatever degree or whatever education is 4 5 pursued, that then it will lead to professional opportunities down the line. 6 7 And so I -- you know, there are 8 certain schools that have good programs and 9 bad programs or not as helpful programs, and 10 I -- you know, I don't -- I'm taking the wide -- the overall swath because there 11 12 are -- you can't say there's one good school, one bad school. 13 14 I think there are -- we believe there 15 are programs that do end up educating for 16 certain careers and others that don't. And so it's about making sure that the promises 17 18 that are offered and made then actually do 19 come to fruition in helping students attain 20 employment and get ahead in their careers. 21 SENATOR SEWARD: Thank you. 22 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very 23 much. 24 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yes, thank you.

1 Next, Yan Cao, fellow, The Century 2 Foundation. 3 MS. CAO: Good evening. Thank you for your sustained attention today over the 4 5 course of the day to the critical matters of higher education funding and accountability. 6 7 My name is Yan Cao. I'm a fellow focusing on higher education research at 8 The Century Foundation, a New York-based 9 10 think tank that for 100 years has focused on fostering opportunity, reducing inequality, 11 12 and promoting security. It is my honor to close out today's 13 14 hearing. I have to say I am deeply impressed by your stamina, and in my book I think the 15 16 higher education funding and accountability -- taxpayers and students --17 is worth it. 18 19 Funding higher education is such a 20 priority because it is critical that students 21 from all backgrounds can make their way through college, strive for a better life for 22 themselves and for their families. But 23 24 unfortunately, it doesn't always work out

1 that way.

2	I promised to share the story of Zach
3	Hastie, a Poughkeepsie firefighter and
4	ex-Marine whose life was nearly derailed by a
5	for-profit college. He wanted to join today
6	but was unable to stay given the timing of
7	the testimony. His written testimony is
8	before you, and I'll pull out a few
9	highlights.
10	After returning from service, Zach
11	searched for schools that would accept his GI
12	benefits. He plugged in "GI benefits" into
13	his computer and was directed through the use
14	of a lead generator paid for by for-profit
15	colleges that target military veterans. A
16	recruiter who was paid by DeVry University
17	showed up at his house the next day.
18	The recruiter promised that his
19	benefits would cover the costs and that DeVry
20	would set him up for good jobs at great pay.
21	The recruiter also said that should Zach sign
22	up his name, the recruiter would be able to
23	hold his spot, as seats were going fast.
24	Each statement was a lie. The

signature enrolled Zach for DeVry. In two years, DeVry drained Zach's GI bill benefits and put him in \$90,000 of student loan debt that he did not know about. At any public college, the GI bill would have covered Zach's program and gotten him to a degree without debt.

8 Worse, DeVry discontinued Zach's 9 program before he could get the degree, and 10 that's when Zach realized his credits could 11 not be transferred to reputable colleges and 12 universities.

13 Zach had trusted the DeVry recruiter. After all, the recruiter was representing a 14 15 college, one that participated in the GI Bill program, one that participated in your TAP 16 program that was licensed by the State of 17 18 New York. Zach had been taught to believe 19 that colleges were a good thing, and how bad 20 could a college be?

21 Unfortunately, there is a deep record 22 of stories like Zach's in the for-profit 23 sector. You've heard that although these 24 schools enroll 4 percent of New York

students, they account for 41 percent of the
 student loan defaults after five years, more
 student loan defaults than all the CUNY and
 SUNY schools put together.

5 In addition to that, these schools 6 represent 98 percent of student fraud 7 complaints submitted across the country by 8 students like Zach. And the problem isn't 9 going away. In fact, it's going to get 10 worse.

DeVry University stock shot up 11 12 31 percent in one quarter when Trump 13 announced plans to deregulate for-profit 14 colleges. The federal government is rolling 15 back every protection that has been put in 16 place to prevent abuse like what happened to Zach. For example, Education Secretary DeVos 17 18 has stopped investigating fraud complaints 19 and won't share incidence of fraud complaints 20 with the state attorneys general. That will 21 allow, without a cop on the beat, for-profit 22 colleges that engage in bad practices to 23 grow.

24

The Secretary of Education has stopped

1 processing student borrower defense 2 complaints so that every time a for-profit 3 like DeVry defrauds students, it gets to keep the money and students are left with their 4 5 federal student loan debt. And the department has proposed to eliminate the 6 7 Gainful Employment Rule so that federal aid dollars can fuel rapid growth at schools that 8 lead to high debt and low earnings, a recipe 9 10 for default.

11 Not every for-profit college uses the 12 same playbook. But when the rules of the 13 game are written to reward predatory 14 practices, bad actors will grow -- and we've 15 seen this happen in the past. New York 16 offers generous aid to help students access and succeed through higher education. I say 17 18 with great student aid should come great 19 responsibility, specifically responsibility 20 not just to owners and investors, but to 21 students and taxpayers who end up bearing the burden of the costs and the debt. 22

23 With federal oversight being stripped24 away, New York's generous aid without

1 additional oversight and accountability to 2 step in to fill the void will mean that 3 student aid is used to prop up predatory colleges. There is an opportunity before you 4 5 to protect students with commonsense quardrails that promote high-quality and 6 7 affordable degrees: An 80 percent TAP cap on reliance on federal benefits, 50 percent of 8 revenue being used on teaching. 9 10 These commonsense accountability 11 metrics are needed to make sure that aid goes 12 to helping students learn to succeed rather 13 than going to profit and marketing while 14 students get buried in debt that they cannot repay and with little educational benefit to 15 16 show for it. Passing the Accountability Act will 17 18 help protect students and help ensure better 19 quality and value for students in New York's 20 for-profit college sector. 21 Thank you. 22 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 23 Thank you for the other statistics that you 24 include in your written testimony.

1	Assemblyman Barclay has a question.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Thank you for
3	your testimony.
4	The one thing I'm getting confused on
5	is all these different statistics that every
6	side has been offering.
7	And I guess the question I have I
8	mean, because the for-profit the private,
9	for-profit you broke down I thought I
10	saw maybe it was someone before that, but
11	I think you probably would agree with the
12	testimonies that the people who attend
13	for-profit colleges tend to be lower-income
14	minority-type students, and for the classes
15	they're teaching wouldn't you expect them
16	not them particularly as a class, but just
17	generally to have a higher default rate than
18	I guess some of them with a different body, a
19	student body?
20	I mean, it's not really surprising,
21	one with the education that they're trying
22	to receive, their socioeconomic background,
23	is it surprising that they have a bigger
24	default rate?

1 And then the default rate, which isn't insubstantial, but it's 20-26 percent. Is 2 3 that -- and then how did you get -- the last question I kind of had, maybe it's a bunch, 4 5 and maybe you can agree with it -- how do you come up with a five-year period? I mean, 6 7 where did that five-year period come? Sometimes you -- I've seen statistics also, 8 and they do 10 years, and that changes the 9 10 numbers substantially. Or two years. So maybe you could just answer my 11 12 question. MS. CAO: I'll take those one at a 13 14 time if you don't mind, Assemblymember. 15 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Thank you. 16 MS. CAO: So on the population, how that might impact default rate, that's why we 17 18 try to look at metrics where we could compare 19 more apples to apples. 20 So one of the data points we found is 21 that when you look at enrollment of 22 African-American students, a population that is overrepresented in the for-profit college 23 24 community, you can see that over 12 years,

1 75 percent of them -- nearly 75 percent are 2 defaulting on student loans. Which means 3 that three out of four students who are 4 starting at a college are going to end up in 5 default rather than being able to advance in 6 their careers, when you look out over a long 7 time span.

Now, if you look at that same 8 9 population and you look at them attending 10 not-for-profit and public schools in New York 11 without attending for-profit colleges, you 12 see a 25 percent default rate. And so even 13 when you compare within a demographic 14 population, when you look at low-income 15 students, when you look just at middle-income 16 students, when you look at any ethnographic 17 group, you see much higher default rates in 18 the for-profit college sector.

19Going to the question of the time span20of default rates, as one of the prior21witnesses testified, the three-year default22rate is gameable. Because within a23three-year period, for-profit colleges and24also student loan servicing companies can

1 convince students to just take a forbearance, 2 which means their loans will grow and they 3 won't go away, but the schools avoid the 4 oversight of the current federal rule, which 5 only looks to a three-year period. And that's why we've looked at a 6 7 five-year period, to see what happens after 8 those tools for gaming the federal system go away. And the 12-year period is even more 9 10 useful, but it can't be broken down at a school-by-school basis. You can only look on 11 12 a sector basis. ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: All right, thank 13 14 you. That's helpful. 15 So how long are these loans usually? 16 What's the length of an average loan at a private for-profit school? 17 18 MS. CAO: That's a great question. 19 ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: You'll have to 20 say your answer before we run out of time. 21 MS. CAO: Standard repayment is 22 supposed to be 10 years on a student loan. But when you have a loan debt that you cannot 23 24 repay, as the gentleman from Legal Services

1 mentioned, the repayment rate for many of 2 these schools is below 50 percent. 3 So you have a student, Zach, the veteran I mentioned, he's currently paying 4 5 \$800 a month towards the \$90,000 debt that he never signed up for. But we have a \$100,000 6 7 debt even at a 6 percent rate -- he can pay \$800 for the rest of his life, and that loan 8 9 won't go away. 10 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 11 We have an added speaker who wasn't on 12 the list but who had responded, so our final 13 speaker tonight is Jonathan Teyan, New York 14 State Academic Dental Centers. 15 MR. TEYAN: Good evening to the chairs 16 and members. Thank you for accommodating me at the very end of the agenda. I appreciate 17 18 it. 19 So my testimony is going to be a 20 slight departure from what we've been 21 discussing, but student loan debt does figure 22 prominently in the story. So my name is Jonathan Teyan. I'm the 23 24 chief operating officer for the New York

1 State Academic Dental Centers. We're the 2 consortium of the six dental schools in the 3 state. They're at Columbia University, NYU, Stony Brook University, Touro College, 4 5 University of Buffalo, and University of Rochester. 6 7 And I'll just take a moment and say that our dental schools educate and train 8 nearly 3,000 students and residents every 9 10 year, and those graduates go on to practice all across the state and are a vital part of 11 12 oral health care and also primary care. 13 In addition to that, our dental 14 schools conduct research that results in new 15 treatments and cures. 16 And finally, and very importantly, our dental schools are safety net providers for 17 18 vulnerable populations. And so annually we have about 400,000 Medicaid visits at our 19 20 dental schools, and about 35,000 of those 21 visits are developmentally disabled and 22 medically compromised patients who really 23 can't seek oral health treatment anywhere 24 else because the facilities simply can't

1 accommodate them.

2	So the issue I actually wanted to
3	bring to your attention this evening has to
4	do with a chronic shortage of dental faculty.
5	This has been something that's plagued our
6	schools for at least a decade. And currently
7	we have 66 budgeted but unfilled faculty
8	positions at our dental schools. We are
9	concerned also because nationally and this
10	is a national problem those numbers seem
11	to be ticking upwards.
12	And the genesis of the problem is sort
13	of very complex, but one of the things that
14	really does constrict the pipeline for future
15	dental faculty is simply is a financial
16	one. The average indebtedness coming out of
17	dental school nationwide is nearly a quarter
18	of a million dollars. So when you are coming
19	out of dental school with that sort of debt
20	and you sort of look at the potential career
21	landscape, you can make three times as much
22	or more in private practice as in academia.
23	And so it's not surprising that less than
24	one-half of 1 percent of dental graduates in

the U.S. indicate an interest in a career in
 academia. And so we really have constricted
 the pipeline, then, because of this.

And in New York we have a bit more of 4 5 a challenge because we have -- our licensure rules don't allow for or haven't allowed for 6 7 dentists who have done their training and education outside the U.S. to get licensure. 8 Since 2008 we have had a narrow, restricted 9 10 category of licensure for dentists who did 11 train and educate outside the U.S. As long 12 as they're serving on faculty at a New York 13 dental school, they are eligible for this 14 restricted licensure.

Unfortunately, this is due to sunset 15 16 again in another year and a half, and we have had to come back every two years and ask for 17 18 an extender on this. We are actually asking 19 the Legislature to make this permanent. We 20 would love to see this licensure made 21 permanent. It really works quite well and we 22 have many very, very talented faculty members who are in this category of licensure. 23 24 And quickly -- I see my time is

1 running short -- another thing we are looking 2 for the Legislature's support on is a loan 3 forgiveness program. And we have started 4 talking about this two years ago, also in the 5 context of being able to recruit and retain 6 faculty.

7 This program would be supported by the State of New York, and it would provide for 8 up to \$40,000 per year for up to four years. 9 And these are for U.S.-trained dentists. 10 While they are serving on faculty, they'd be 11 12 eligible for this loan forgiveness and they would have a service commitment to at least 13 14 two years and up to four years, depending on 15 how much loan repayment they got, to serve on 16 faculty at a New York State dental school. So with that, I will conclude my 17 testimony and answer any questions if there 18 19 are any. 20 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator 21 Antonacci. 22 SENATOR ANTONACCI: Thank you very much. I promise the last question of the 23

24

evening.

1 Thank you for staying or coming in 2 late.

I'm a big fan of competition in my
profession, even though I'm a certified
public accountant. There are some
limitations of people that can't compete with
me doing my licensure, but like doing a basic
tax return, somebody can do it out of their
house. So I'm a big fan of competition.

How do we -- would more dentists drive down the cost of dentists and make it more accessible? And how do we get more dentists? I would assume that the next 20 kids that don't get accepted to a dental school in the State of New York are pretty bright kids, I would guess.

So how do we -- if we were to help with this and help with faculty retention, would that allow us to expand dental schools and then drive down the cost of dentistry and make it more accessible and more available across the state, especially in low-income areas?

24 MR. TEYAN: So the cost issue is

1 certainly a much more complex one. I 2 think -- to address your primary question, 3 yes, I think if we were to enact both of these, we would have more of an ability to 4 5 enroll more students and educate and train more dentists for New York State. 6 7 I think the flip side of it is that 8 if -- particularly on the licensure, if that were to sunset, we would suddenly have about 9 10 50 faculty members who are no longer able to 11 teach, and as a result we would have many 12 fewer dentists being educated in New York 13 State. 14 So -- but to answer your question, 15 yes, we would like to train more dentists to 16 serve in New York and particularly to serve 17 in shortage areas. There are many shortage 18 areas, both rural and urban, where folks just don't have access to dentists, and we would 19 20 like to help address that problem as well. 21 SENATOR ANTONACCI: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So the final 22 23 question will actually be by 24 Assemblywoman Hyndman.

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Sorry,
2	Senator. I have the last question.
3	Hi, Jonathan. How are you?
4	MR. TEYAN: Good.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: I thank you
6	for waiting this out.
7	Which of the practitioner dentists can
8	make up to \$322,000? Is that like an
9	orthodontist or endodontist?
10	MR. TEYAN: That's right. Those are
11	the specialities. Yes.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: All right. So
13	why would anyone teach, right? If I can go
14	out and make \$322,000, why would I why
15	would I teach at a why would I get on
16	faculty for a dental school, right?
17	MR. TEYAN: Well, you know, for many
18	people it's simply a calling. I mean,
19	academia is something that they really want
20	to do. And what we would like to do is
21	remove some of the financial barriers.
22	Certainly not all of it.
23	But for those who really want to do it
24	and just feel that they can't because of

their student loan debt, we want to help make their decision a little bit easier. We won't convince the people who are in it just for the money, but certainly for those who do have academia as a calling, we want to make that possible.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: I just want
to -- I fully support this. I think that if
we want to keep dental services -- you know,
a lot of insurance companies don't always
offer good dental programs. So a lot of my
constituents I know -- especially seniors -are looking for free services.

14 So if we can keep dental faculty in 15 our schools instead of leaving the state or 16 going into private practice, and I think --17 we spend a lot of money on a lot of things in 18 New York State, but I think keeping our faculty here in our dental schools -- if you 19 20 grow up in New York, go to dental school in 21 New York, you should be able to say I want to 22 teach in New York and give back to the State of New York. 23

24

So thank you. Last question, I'm

1	done.
2	(Laughter.)
3	MR. TEYAN: Thank you.
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
5	This concludes our Higher Ed budget
6	hearing. Come back tomorrow at 9:30 when we
7	will have our hearing on Public Protection.
8	(Whereupon, the budget hearing concluded
9	at 8:07 p.m.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	