	AND ASSEMBLY WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEES	
	JOINT LEGISLATIVE HEARING	
ļ	In the Matter of the 2019-2020 EXECUTIVE BUDGET ON	
	HIGHER EDUCATION	
	Hearing Room B Legislative Office Bui	ilding
	Albany, New York	
	January 28, 2019 11:03 a.m.	
	PRESIDING:	
	Senator Liz Krueger Chair, Senate Finance Committee	
	Assemblywoman Helene Weinstein Chair, Assembly Ways & Means Committee	9
	PRESENT:	
	Senator James L. Seward Senate Finance Committee (RM)	
	Accomblyman William Paralay	
	Assemblyman William Barclay Assembly Ways & Means Committee (RM)	
	Assemblywoman Deborah J. Glick Chair, Assembly Higher Education Commi	ittee
	Senator Toby Stavisky Chair, Senate Higher Education Committ	iee
	Senator John C. Liu	
	Assemblywoman Barbara S. Lifton	
	Assemblywoman Karen McMahon	

1	2019-2020 Higher Ed	Executive Budget Lucation
2	1-28-19	
3	PRESENT:	(Continued)
4		Assemblywoman Jo Anne Simon
5		Senator Kenneth P. LaValle
6		Assemblywoman Patricia Fahy
7		Assemblywoman Rebecca A. Seawright
8		Senator Robert E. Antonacci
9		Assemblyman Al Stirpe
10		Assemblywoman Alicia Hyndman
11		Senator Rachel May
12		Assemblyman Harvey Epstein
13		Assemblyman Doug Smith
14		Senator Andrew Gounardes
15		Assemblyman John Salka
16		Assemblyman David I. Weprin
17		Senator James Gaughran
18		Assemblywoman Rodneyse Bichotte
19		Assemblywoman Marianne Buttenschon
20		Senator Gustavo Rivera
21		Assemblyman Jake Ashby
22		Assemblywoman Monica P. Wallace
23		Senator Julia Salazar

Assemblywoman Judy Griffin

1	PRESENT: (Continued)		
2	Assemblyman Victor M. Pic	hardo	
3	Senator Robert Jackson		
4			
5	LIST OF SPEAKERS		
6		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
7	Kristina M. Johnson Chancellor	8	18
9	Vita C. Rabinowitz Interim Chancellor		
10	City University of New York	134	143
11		222	230
12	NYS Education Department  Dr. Guillermo Linares	222	230
13			
14	Executive Vice President NYS Higher Education		
15	Services Corporation	272	277
16	Carolyn Fast Special Counsel		
17	NYS Office of the Attorney General	323	329
18	Andrew Pallotta		
19			
20	-and- Frederick Kowal		
21	President United University Professions		
22	-and- Barbara Bowen		
23	President PSC/CUNY	356	370
24			

2	2019-2020 Executive Budget Higher Education 1-28-19		
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS,	. Cont.	
4		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
5	Jana Bergere		
6	Intern Blair Horner		
7	Executive Director NYPIRG	386	390
8	Greshawna Clement Vice Chair, International		
9	Student Affairs CUNY University Student Senate	392	398
10	Alex George		
11	_		
12	-and- Langie Cadesca		
13	President University at Albany		
14	Student Association	399	405
15	Mary Beth Labate President		
16	Commission on Independent Colleges and Universities		
17	(CICU)	407	413
18	Donna Stelling-Gurnett President		
19	Association of Proprietary Colleges (APC)		
20	-and- Christopher Barto		
21	VP, Government Relations LIM College	427	433
22	Ramond Curtis	74/	100
23	State Policy Manager	4.4.2	4.4.0
24	Veterans Education Success	443	449

1	2019-2020 Executive Budget Higher Education		
2	1-28-19		
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS,	, Cont.	
4		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
5	Johnson M. Tyler Senior Staff Attorney		
6	Legal Services NYC	453	459
7	Pamela Lanich Attorney		
8	Western New York Law Center	472	474
9	Joseph Berkman-Breen Legal Fellow, Special		
1,0	Litigation Unit NY Legal Assistance Group	480	
11	Michael Hatten		
12	Chairman and CEO New York Automotive and		
13			
14	Technology	483	488
15	Terence M. Zaleski Executive Director		
16	Coalition of New York State Career Schools	497	502
17	Lisa Stifler		
18	Deputy Director of State Policy		
19	Center for Responsible Lending	507	513
20	Yan Cao		
21	Fellow The Century Foundation	515	521
22	Jonathan Teyan	0.10	
22	Chief Operating Officer		

23 Chief Operating Officer New York State Academic

24 Dental Centers

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Good morning.
2	I'm Helene Weinstein, chair of the Assembly
3	Ways and Means Committee and cochair of
4	today's hearing.
5	Today we begin the third in a series
6	of hearings conducted by the joint fiscal
7	committees of the Legislature regarding the
8	Governor's proposed budget for fiscal year
9	2019-2020. The hearings are conducted
10	pursuant to the New York State Constitution
11	and the Legislative Law, and today we will be
12	hearing testimony concerning the Governor's
13	proposal for higher education.
14	I'll introduce members of the
15	Assembly, and Senator Krueger, chair of
16	Senate Finance, will introduce members from
17	the Senate. In addition, our ranking Ways
18	and Means member, Will Barclay, will
19	introduce members from his conference.
20	So I want to acknowledge our chair of
21	Higher Ed, Deborah Glick; Assemblywoman
22	Barbara Lifton; Assemblywoman Rebecca
23	Seawright; and Assemblyman Harvey Epstein.
24	And Karen McMahon, also a new member of the

1	Higher Ed Committee.
2	Assemblyman Barclay.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Thank you,
4	Chairwoman. We're happy to have our ranker
5	on the Higher Ed Committee, Doug Smith. And
6	we also have John Salka down at that end.
7	Thank you.
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senator
9	Krueger.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
11	Good morning, everyone. I am happily
12	joined by the chair of the Higher Ed
13	Committee, Senator Toby Stavisky; a new
L 4	colleague here in the Senate, Senator John
15	Liu; and Senator Seward, the ranker on
16	Finance.
17	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So before
18	introducing our first witness, I'd like to
19	remind all of the witnesses testifying today
20	to keep your statements within your allotted
21	time limit so that everyone can be afforded
22	the opportunity to speak.
23	And the testimony, which has been
24	electronically submitted, will be made a par

1	of the record of this hearing, so therefore
2	there's not a need to read verbatim your
3	testimony. A concise summary would help us
4	have a more focused and productive session,
5	with members questioning if need be.

And the witnesses are reminded -- and members also -- the witnesses are reminded to keep their testimony within the countdown time clocks. We have nice -- for people who have been here before, we have a new system with a green light, a yellow light that goes on when you have one minute, and we all know what the red light means: Time to stop. And members likewise will have a countdown clock.

So with that being said, our first witness, Chancellor Kristina Johnson, of the State University of New York. Chancellor.

SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Good

morning. My name is Kristina Johnson, and I

am the 13th chancellor of the State

University of New York. I'd like to thank

Chairpersons Krueger, Weinstein, Stavisky and

Glick, members of the Senate and Assembly,

and legislative staff for allowing me this

1	opportuni	Lty to	share	our p	erspective	e on
2	Governor	Cuomo	's prop	posed	Executive	Budget.

I'd also like to acknowledge and thank

SUNY Chairman Carl McCall and our entire

Board of Trustees for their leadership and

support. I'd also like to thank my executive

leadership team that is here that helped

prepare many of the remarks that I'm about to

make.

I know that you are aware that SUNY is the largest comprehensive system of postsecondary education in the nation, comprised of 64 unique colleges and university campuses, made up of community colleges, four-year colleges, graduate and doctoral research centers, medical schools, hospitals, a law school, and a national laboratory.

SUNY serves 1.4 million students, in credit-bearing courses, continuing education, and community outreach programs. We stretch from New York City and Long Island up to the North Country, and from the Hudson Valley to Buffalo and Jamestown.

1	However, SUNY is much more than these
2	facts would indicate. And in just one
3	example of what SUNY means to the State of
4	New York, I'd like to draw your attention to
5	a recent piece of work that was done by the
6	Rockefeller Institute of Government, or RIG,
7	on the economic impact of the State
8	University of New York. The total economic
9	impact of our 64 campuses in their
10	communities to the state is \$28 billion.
11	That's a return of \$8.17 for every dollar of
12	invested capital, or more than eight times
13	multiple on invested capital.
14	This is on top of the significant
15	contributions to research and discovery that
16	SUNY students and faculty are making,
17	contributions that resulted in \$1.6 billion
18	in externally sponsored research activity in
19	the State of New York last year.
20	SUNY is looking forward to having a
21	further impact on the state and improving our
22	ability to serve the educational needs of New
23	Yorkers, and we intend to thrive in the
24	online higher education market.

1	Through the support of Governor Cuomo
2	and the Legislature, New York has a
3	long-standing commitment to increasing access
4	to high-quality public higher education for
5	our citizens. More than one-third of the
6	state's college-educated workforce has a
7	degree from the state university, and 55
8	percent of resident undergraduate students
9	attending SUNY and CUNY attend tuition-free,
10	thanks to the Excelsior Scholarship program
11	and the Tuition Assistance Program and
12	institutionally provided scholarships.

Before I continue, I'd like to update you on the initial data from the Excelsior Scholarship Program and our Open Educational Resources programs. Last year 16,678 SUNY students received Excelsior Scholarships.

The retention rates were 10.3 percent higher for Excelsior Scholarship recipients versus non-Excelsior students -- a positive outcome from the program, which is designed to help students attain a college degree on time and with the least amount of debt. Excelsior students are also 8.6 percent more likely to

1	complete their attempted credits than
2	non-Excelsior students across SUNY.
3	Another positive outcome which we
4	noted after the first semester of the program
5	is that freshmen taking 15 credits increased
6	by 11 percent.
7	While the Excelsior Scholarship
8	Program has made tuition-free a possibility
9	for more New Yorkers, New York State has also
10	continued its investment to mitigate other
11	costs of attaining a college education.
12	Since the fall of 2017, the state's
13	investment in Open Educational Resources has
14	lowered the cost of textbooks and other
15	academic materials for 155,000 students
16	across 59 campuses by \$16 million.
17	As in years past, the 2019-2020
18	Executive Budget includes proposals to
19	further educational access with the full
20	implementation of the Excelsior Scholarship
21	Program and the continuation of investment in
22	Open Educational Resources at both SUNY and
23	CUNY.
24	In addition, SUNY is very grateful for

1	the commitment of the Governor and the
2	Legislature to the DREAM Act. We are proud
3	to be in the State of New York where
4	leadership is keeping the American dream
5	alive through passage of the Senator Jose
6	Peralta DREAM Act, to ensure that all of our
7	students have access to an excellent and
8	affordable college education regardless of
9	their citizen status. This legislation
10	expands access to tuition assistance like the
11	historic Excelsior Scholarship to thousands
12	of New Yorkers.
13	More specifically in the proposed
14	Executive Budget, we are extremely grateful
15	for the investments in opportunities provided
16	by the Governor. This includes the
17	assistance for the continuation of the
18	2017-2018 maintenance of effort and
19	predictable tuition program, and full support
20	for campus employee benefits at our
21	state-operated campuses.
22	We also appreciate the indication that
23	the state will significantly increase its
24	contribution to the local match needed to

1	secure the full Disproportionate Share
2	Hospital reimbursement from the federal
3	government for SUNY's teaching hospitals.
1	This support creates a solid foundation from
5	which SUNY can continue to grow and serve the
6	State of New York.

I am pleased to have the opportunity to have a dialogue about areas of need to continue in assisting SUNY in carrying out its mission and its motto: To learn, to search, and to serve.

Let me start briefly with community colleges. The first area of focus is the 30 community colleges. These essential institutions, which exist in 60 percent of New York State counties, are the primary local source of educational opportunity and workforce development. New York State support is based on a per-full time enrollment student formula, which has increased \$725, or 34 percent, since funding year 2012, helping SUNY manage its enrollment mix. We truly appreciate this much-needed support.

1	Moving forward, given the changing
2	state demographics, the increasing cost of
3	operations and the hedge that the community
4	colleges give the state against the cyclical
5	nature of the economy, SUNY seeks to work
6	with you to preserve the stability of these
7	community colleges further by modernizing the
8	state funding formula to a more predictable
9	base level. We are proposing for your
10	consideration a hybrid framework that would
11	set a floor for each campus, providing the
12	colleges a level of predictability when
13	planning for their future costs, and
14	flexibility to create new programs in
15	response to workforce needs.
16	In addition, SUNY would like to call

In addition, SUNY would like to call attention to the increased costs of enrollment and ask that this growth be addressed with a modest increase to the amount currently provided per student FTE.

SUNY's 30 community colleges will continue to do their part to identify efficiencies in their operations, such as consortium purchasing of services with state-operated

1	campuses	and	private	higher	education
2	instituti	lons.			

Our hospitals. We're extremely appreciative that the Executive Budget proposes an increase in the local match provided by the state for the DSH or disproportionate share hospital payments for our hospitals. This is an excellent step towards making sure that our three teaching hospitals, who serve 1.3 million patients annually, and continue to educate the next generation of health, medical and biomedical professionals, can meet the challenges of a continually changing healthcare landscape.

In order to allow SUNY's hospitals to invest in improved services and programs and better quality of care, SUNY is asking the state to continue to explore ways to provide help to these vital institutions.

Procurement. We're asking that SUNY have the flexibility to procure some of the urgent power energy and hospital supplies that sometimes require us to move rather quickly. In a new procurement review, if it

1	is to be adopted, it would be imperative also
2	that the 30-day requirement for review
3	proposed in the Executive Budget be enforced.

Finally, our last two areas of focus involve parts of the SUNY system that you and the state have already invested in, but with limited changes could provide an even bigger return. The first is our capital.

State-operated campuses alone account for 40 percent of state-owned building assets, encompassing nearly 89 million gross square feet of space. Of this, about 40 percent of our academic facilities are greater than 50 years old. Many of these buildings have not had a major renovation since its original construction.

We're very grateful that the Executive Budget has \$550 million for critical maintenance per year for five years, and we ask that we have a dialogue to think about ways that we can provide a little bit more funding to help with the backlog as well as what new STEAM -- science, technology, engineering, arts and math -- buildings would

1	afford.
2	Lastly, the Opportunity community
3	college and university-wide programs. I just
4	want to close by asking for help ensuring
5	that the state's recent years of investment
6	in specific programs, such as the Educational
7	Opportunity Program, the Educational
8	Opportunity Centers, and our Advanced
9	Technology Training and Information
10	Networking labs continue to be funded, along
11	with the \$600,000 for the Mental Health
12	Tele-Counseling network across our systems.
13	It's a privilege to come before you
14	today on behalf of the State University of
15	New York, and I look forward to working with
16	all of you during the upcoming legislative
17	session.
18	Thank you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
20	Good timing there.
21	Since we began, we've been joined by a
22	number of Assembly colleagues: Assemblywoman
23	Fahy, Assemblyman Weprin, Assemblywoman
24	Simon, Assemblywoman Bichotte, and

1	Assemblywoman Buttenschon.
2	So now I'd like to go to our chair of
3	Higher Ed, Deborah Glick, for the first round
4	of questions.
5	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And we've also
6	been joined by Senator Antonacci.
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Deborah.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you.
9	Okay, we're on the air.
10	Thank you very much, Chancellor, for
11	your testimony. I have several questions.
12	Every year when we have an increase in
13	tuition, the cost of the credit that the
14	system is required to provide obviously goes
15	up. Where are we in the current TAP gap, and
16	how much does each tuition increase how
17	much does that result in, in addition to your
18	TAP gap?
19	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Our current
20	average TAP gap, which is the difference
21	between what the Tuition Assistance Program
22	pays and the tuition we charge, is \$950. And
23	across the system, that is a total of \$64
24	million.

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: You indicated
2	that the Excelsior Program provided better
3	retention. This is in the first year or
4	first two years? Is it year over year that
5	it's
6	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Right. So
7	we define retention as the students who come
8	in in the first year, how many come back for
9	the second year. We're now in the second
10	year, with the threshold being increased up
11	to I believe \$115,000, and then next year it
12	will go to the full \$125,000.
13	So when we talk about retention rates,
14	we say that Excelsior students with those
15	scholarships have a 10 percent higher
16	probability of returning than without.
17	And interestingly enough, at the
18	community colleges it's 19 percent.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: What is your
20	current percent of full-time faculty?
21	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: So across
22	the campus and I have the numbers here.
23	It varies across the various sectors. But
24	our doctoral serving institutions where

1	students can get a Ph.D., all the way from
2	bachelor's to Ph.D., 67 percent are
3	full-time. At our comprehensives, which are
4	mainly four-year plus master's, it's
5	50 percent. And then our community colleges,
6	it's 29 percent.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: What would it
8	cost to increase that by another 10 percent?
9	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: So we have
10	about 32,000 faculty. The mix of that is
11	about 15,000 or so in our state-operated, and
12	the same in our community colleges. Let me
13	do the math and get back to you on that.
14	But I do want to talk about two
15	programs that we're very excited about which
16	we think can help bridge the gap. So one of
17	the things that and when I testified last
18	year that I noticed in my first few months as
19	chancellor, is that a number of our faculty

we think can help bridge the gap. So one of
the things that -- and when I testified last
year that I noticed in my first few months as
chancellor, is that a number of our faculty
are nearing retirement age. And so what it
would be great to be able to do is to phase
in the new faculty -- while the existing
faculty know how to be a faculty member, the
giants in the field can be there to mentor

them. And at the same time what we notice is
that the diversity at our leadership and the
diversity in the demographics of our students
are very diverse, but our faculty not so
much.

So I would say that our students are somewhere around 25 percent underrepresented minorities, but our faculty on average -- and it's an average -- are less than 9 percent. So that's why we're very excited about our Prodigy Program, which is promoting diversity, inclusion and excellence growth in our faculty. And we intend to use some of the funds that we're grateful to receive from the Governor's budget to have a hiring program where we can encourage all the campuses to hire underrepresented minority faculty so that they can be on board and the students in the classroom can see it so they can be it.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: On the hospitals, it seems as though over the years we have eliminated the state support for our SUNY hospitals. We have had to fight to add

1	back a smaller and smaller proportion of
2	support. And at this point it looks like
3	there's just some sort of use of DSH funds to
4	make it seem like we're giving money to the
5	SUNY hospitals.
6	What is the projected deficit for the
7	SUNY hospitals?
8	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: So this
9	year, similar to last year, there is no
10	direct tax support for our hospitals. We'd
11	welcome a conversation with you and the
12	Executive branch to think about ways that we
13	might be able to support the hospitals
14	directly in the most efficient manner.
15	And let me get back to you on the
16	individual hospitals on what the deficit
17	would be, because it varies whether it's
18	Stony Brook or Upstate or Brooklyn Hospital.
19	But we will get back for a question
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Obviously these
21	hospitals are teaching hospitals, they
22	produce our medical professionals across the
23	board. It seems that if we believe that we
24	are trying to not just preserve and protect

1	healthcare but actually, going into the
2	future, create our medical professionals, it
3	seems as though it would be reasonable in
4	this day and age of more people should have
5	access to healthcare, we need more healthcare
6	professionals to actually provide that care.
7	So please get back to me on that.

When it comes to medical schools associated with them, are they broken out in any fashion? And how much support do you get for medical schools?

SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: So I wanted to comment on that. So our medical schools, they educate one out of three doctors that actually practice in the State of New York, one out of three nurses, and one out of four dentists. And there's a very integrated and intimate relationship between the medical school and the hospitals that they serve because they're not just for the medical students but also the residents. So they work really well together. I'd like to get back to you and just look at the health of them.

1	Interestingly enough, over the weekend
2	I was for reasons that, you know, really I
3	guess aren't germane to these proceedings
4	but I was looking up who invented the MRI and
5	who invented the pacemaker. And it turns out
6	they were Downstate faculty and upstate, the
7	University of Buffalo.

So we have a very proud tradition in innovative medical instruments that have saved millions of lives. And so I'm very passionate about continuing that for the citizens of the State of New York.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: You talked briefly about capital. I would like to understand -- obviously, there's been a commitment of some dollars for critical maintenance. But the need for actual renovation or new buildings when the buildings have hit their life span, I would like to understand what the total amount is based on the university systems, the comprehensives and community colleges. And if somebody could get back to me with the details on those, we would very much like to

1	have that as we go into our discussions about
2	what we are going to be facing over time.
3	When we are so proud of rebuilding bridges
4	and subways and airports, it would be nice to
5	know how much we should in fact be dedicating
6	to preserving our infrastructure for higher
7	education.

And at some point -- I don't know if
we have time today at this moment, but I need
to understand a little bit better how you
envision community college funding changing
with this hybrid plan. And it would be
helpful to understand -- it seems like there
would be a floor for each campus. What
that's based on, whether it's historic data
of enrollment or what, and how much -- what
the interplay between base aid is and what is
the funding formula you envision going
forward.

SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Absolutely.

That would be great if we can have a

conversation -- fuller. We'll put together

the framework which we have and talk about

the need for it.

1	And I mentioned in my testimony a word
2	that I think would bear further conversation,
3	which is the enrollment mix at our community
4	colleges. Because a little more than a half
5	of our community college students are
6	full-time. A little less than a half are
7	part-time.
8	We also, though, provide a tremendous
9	amount of other sort of continuing education
10	services which do not receive any state
11	funding. And so it's really it also said
12	something about the community colleges being
13	a hedge against the cyclical nature of the
14	economy. This is a natural hedge for the
15	state. So really understanding how that
16	plays into this hybrid framework would take a
17	lot of time to lay out, and I'd be happy to
18	further that conversation after the
19	testimony.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you.
21	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
22	Senate?
23	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
24	Senator Toby Stavisky, chair of Higher Ed.

1	SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you,
2	Chancellor.
3	A number of questions since
4	Assemblywoman Glick covered the Excelsion
5	Scholarship, but following up on her question
6	concerning the medical centers, the three
7	medical centers. Have you requested funding
8	for those centers from the have you
9	contacted the Governor's office concerning
10	aid for the three academic medical centers?
11	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: What we
12	proposed is to have the debt on our capital
13	improvements on our hospitals paid for, kind
L 4	of similar to the other infrastructure that
15	we have in SUNY. And that would be about
16	39.9 million a year.
17	SENATOR STAVISKY: The reason I ask
18	that question is that I believe last year the
19	state subsidy was eliminated. And we're
20	talking I'm talking about the restoration.
21	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Yup, that's
22	correct.
23	SENATOR STAVISKY: Okay. Over the
24	years I've been very concerned with the rate

1	of remediation that's necessary for both SUNY
2	and CUNY. And will you tell us the number of
3	students in the SUNY system who are receiving
4	remediation, the percentage of students and
5	the cost?
6	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: So similar
7	to CUNY's excellent ASAP program, SUNY has a
8	or CUNY's ASAP program. If I said SUNY
9	SENATOR STAVISKY: I'd love to see it
10	as a SUNY program too.
11	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: We have
12	what's called SUNY Achieves, and it's a
13	dual-course requisite model where students
14	come in and instead of going into a
15	remediation course, they actually go into the
16	actual gateway course they need for
17	graduation. At the same time, they receive
18	additional instruction that helps them
19	graduate in actually two times the
20	students graduate in half the time, going
21	through this what we call a requisite model.
22	So we started this with funding from
23	the Gates Foundation, the Lumina Foundation,
24	and the Carnegie Foundation in 2016. And

1	over the last few years we've started it out
2	at one campus, then went to 10 campuses, and
3	now we're piloting it at I believe 22
4	campuses.
5	But I can get the exact numbers and
6	the cost for you after this testimony.
7	SENATOR STAVISKY: What I'm asking for
8	are the number of students who are enrolled,
9	the percentage of the entire student body,
10	and what it costs.
11	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Great, thank
12	you. I'll provide.
13	SENATOR STAVISKY: Another area are
14	the changes coming from Washington in terms
15	of the Title IX requirements. Has that had
16	an impact in any respect?
17	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: So we have
18	spent quite a lot of time reviewing the
19	proposed changes and rulemaking, and we are
20	responding. A great concern really is
21	twofold. One is the
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Chancellor, can
23	I just interrupt you for a moment?
24	If people could put their signs down.

1	Thank you. Thank you. Thank you,
2	Chancellor.
3	(Comments off the record.)
4	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: So the Title
5	IX, we're concerned about two things. One is
6	the narrowing of the scope of the definition
7	of harassment, and the second is the
8	opportunity for someone who is a victim to be
9	cross-examined by either the accuser or a
10	lawyer. In educational settings, usually the
11	alleged victim has a choice to go internally
12	and have it handled within the school or to
13	be external and report to the police.
14	We think that the current changes
15	would actually discourage the reporting. So
16	we're very active and we will be responding
17	with our comments for the record. And happy
18	to share those with you.
19	SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you. In the
20	past there's been a question of the
21	charge-back issue with SUNY. Has that been
22	resolved, the county charge-back?
23	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: I think the
24	county charge-back system is still the same

1	as it has been. And we do have a working
2	group that is examining ways that it could be
3	modified.
4	SENATOR STAVISKY: In what way?
5	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: I don't have
6	any of the recommendations now, but I'll get
7	back to you on that. I think the working
8	group is still working.
9	SENATOR STAVISKY: Otherwise it would
10	be called the nonworking
11	I have thought in the past about as
12	a source of revenue, increasing the tuition
13	costs for out-of-state students. How do you
14	feel about that?
15	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: So right now
16	we have a dual cost, we have an in-state and
17	an out-of-state charge.
18	One of the areas that we're looking at
19	to expand is in our online offerings, which
20	would of course serve out-of-state students
21	as well as international students as well as
22	students within the State of New York. And
23	we think this is a pretty interesting way
24	that we can increase our impact as well as

1	create a financial viability going further.
2	So we will keep you informed on what
3	our findings are with regard to our push into
4	broadening our online presence.
5	SENATOR STAVISKY: We have looked in
6	the past at exempting the border states, the
7	SUNY campuses that are very close to either
8	other states or Canada, because they would
9	obviously be hurt. But have you so in
10	other words, you're looking at the online
11	method where costs would be decreased rather
12	than increasing the because our tuition
13	for out-of-state students is relatively low
14	compared to other states.
15	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: That's
16	correct. Right.
17	SENATOR STAVISKY: That's why I ask
18	the question.
19	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: You bet.
20	And I understand now.
21	We're looking at what is that pricing
22	model that gives a certain elasticity to the
23	tuition on the border states. So we can get

24 back to you on those findings as well.

1	SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you.
2	There's a program called Open
3	Education Resources. Would you describe how
4	SUNY has utilized that program and the
5	results, the outcomes?
6	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Right. So
7	the Open Education Resources program allows
8	faculty members to develop the content for
9	their classes that are equivalent to, say,
10	textbooks but at much reduced cost.
11	So right now we've been able to
12	provide about \$16 million in savings over
13	textbooks using these Open Education
14	Resources, and we intend to continue to
15	expand in that particular area.
16	SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you. And one
17	other area we have discussed with your
18	predecessors in great detail over the years
19	has been the operation of the Research
20	Foundations. And in February Comptroller
21	DiNapoli issued an audit of the campus
22	foundations with a heavy focus on the
23	University of Buffalo and Stony Brook. And I
24	know you filed an attachment or an addendum

1 +	to	that	report	outlining	your	objections
-----	----	------	--------	-----------	------	------------

But in the meantime, have you done any audits in the -- I should explain that to the audience that it was in the area of lack of contracts, lack of oversight, areas that SUNY does have certainly a concern.

So will you tell us what you've done to resolve or respond to the Comptroller?

SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Absolutely. So fortunately I have here today our chief financial officer, Eileen McLoughlin, who's been leading the charge with regard to the foundations. And if I might ask you to join me at the table and make some comments.

SUNY CFO McLOUGHLIN: Thank you. So yes, I'm Eileen McLoughlin. I'm the CFO of the SUNY system.

In spring of 2016, the Board of
Trustees adopted a new policy and new
guidelines for all of our foundations. And
we started this year and we're going to
proceed into '19 of doing a review, a desk
audit of every single one of the foundations
to make sure that they adopted our policies.

1	Those policies and guidelines did include
2	procurement guidelines as well. So we'll be
3	doing that over the next and be completed
4	with the exercise in June 2019.
5	SENATOR STAVISKY: In your response to
6	the Comptroller's audits you indicated that
7	you were having problems getting some of the
8	information together from the foundations.
9	Has that been resolved?
10	SUNY CFO McLOUGHLIN: I don't recall
11	that exact statement, I'd have to look at
12	that. But we currently are able to collect
13	all financial data and policy data from the
14	foundations.
15	So that may have been when the
16	Comptroller had done that audit, that was
17	over prior years, like 2015. So I think
18	since that time we have rectified that.
19	SENATOR STAVISKY: Because a good bit
20	of his report was taken up with your
21	responses. And I thank you very much, and
22	I'm seven seconds over. I'll give you my
23	seven seconds.
24	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Everybody's

Τ.	going to get very good grades today for
2	keeping to the time.
3	Assemblywoman McMahon.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN McMAHON: Thank you.
5	Chancellor, just to briefly return to
6	the question of medical school. I understand
7	that the University of Buffalo has proposed
8	measures in the Future of Medicine Act that
9	would allow the Jacobs School of Medicine to
10	fulfill the vision of excellence that was
11	originally driven in SUNY 2020 by the
12	Legislature and the Governor.
13	The Future of Medicine Act includes a
14	request for \$19.5 million for five years and
15	funding from New York State in educational,
16	clinical and research priorities at the
17	Jacobs School of Medicine. The funding will
18	keep the Jacobs School on a path toward being
19	an even larger regional presence that
20	attracts top talent in medicine in New York
21	State.
22	Does SUNY support those goals in the
23	budget request?

SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Thank you

1 very much for the question.

I'm pausing only for -- what comes to mind is that last year, April 13th, it was a Friday, I went into second-degree heart block, and on Saturday I was in third-degree heart block. The reason I'm sitting here today is because I was able to get an implantable pacemaker in time. That implantable pacemaker was actually invented by Wilson Greatbatch, who was a faculty member at UB from 1952 to 1956 and invented the implantable pacemaker.

We also have a faculty member at Stony
Brook who has pioneered -- Kenneth Takeuchi
-- the portable lithium ion battery. So I
feel very grateful to be here because of the
State University of New York. I say that
because I might not be unbiased in my
response, so I just wanted to put that on the
table.

You know, one of my priorities as chancellor is to double the amount of research, entrepreneurship and innovation in the State University of New York. And one

1	way we do that, if we look at the return from
2	the RIG study of \$8 for every dollar
3	invested, it turns out our medical campuses
4	and centers actually put \$13 return for every
5	dollar invested. So I'm delighted to have an
6	ongoing dialogue of how we can support these
7	very important institutions. But I wanted to
8	make sure you knew that I'm not biased in
9	this answer.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN McMAHON: Thank you.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate?
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. I
13	just want to introduce additional Senators:
14	Senator Gounardes, Senator May, and
15	Senator Antonacci.
16	And it's Senator Seward's turn up.
17	SENATOR SEWARD: Thank you, Madam
18	Chair.
19	First of all, Chancellor, I want to
20	say that as one who represents a number of
21	SUNY campuses both at the community college
22	level and other sectors, I have seen you out
23	there at our campuses, and we appreciate your
24	personal attention to each individual campus.

1	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Thank you.
2	SENATOR SEWARD: I wanted to delve
3	into a bit further in terms of the collective
4	bargaining salary increases.
5	I was very pleased that the talks
6	between the state and UUP did in fact result
7	in an agreement. But now comes the question
8	of how we're going to cover those additional
9	expenses, which I understand are close to
10	\$386 million. And the Governor's budget does
11	not specifically include monies to cover
12	those salary increases. I know there's
13	fringe benefit monies there.
14	Could you share with us how will those
15	increases be paid for?
16	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Thank you
17	very much for your question.
18	So it is true that in the 2022-2023
19	budget the incremental increase will be \$386
20	million. And we are fortunate that the
21	benefits will continue to be paid for by the
22	state.
23	Now, the Governor's budget does
24	contemplate and we're very grateful for

1	its support for the retroactive part of this,
2	because this negotiation started before I
3	became chancellor. But there is a it is
4	retroactive. So a good portion of that will
5	be we will get help in the retroactive
6	piece.
7	And we look forward to continuing to
8	have a discussion on how we can put all the
9	pieces together to see how to afford that
10	increase that's going to happen in the next
11	few years.
12	SENATOR SEWARD: Would you envision as
13	part of covering these additional salaries
14	that the individual campuses would receive
15	actual cuts in state support in order to
16	cover these salary increases?
17	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: So, you
18	know, it is a lot of money. I want to say,
19	though, that first of all it's well-deserved
20	on the part of our faculty, as we know so,
21	I'm thrilled about it. I'm thrilled about
22	the Governor's support.
23	I think that we need to look at
24	multiple opportunities to afford this. And

1	so further having a discussion on how the										
2	Legislature and the Governor can be helpful,										
3	sure. I also think that we need to find ways										
1	to support our philanthropic enterprise.										
5	This has been one way that colleges and										
б	universities throughout the U.S. have										
7	responded to the challenge of financing										
3	higher education.										

I think the other opportunity is to further our online presence. Right now the State of New York is 11th in the country in our online. And it's actually a little more dire than that, because there's the top four states, and then it drops and then you have the next six. And then there's the State of New York.

So that's a tremendous opportunity for us to move forward and to help with, again, these well-deserved raises. So it's a complement of opportunities. There's many levers that we need to pull, if you will, to move forward. And I look forward to having dialogue on how we can do that.

24 SENATOR SEWARD: You didn't -- I would

1	like to know specifically in terms of actual
2	having the individual campuses having to
3	absorb this somehow.
4	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Oh, right.
5	SENATOR SEWARD: Is this a last
6	resort, or is it off the table, or just where
7	our individual campuses stand?
8	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Sure. And I
9	appreciate the question. I'm sorry, I didn't
10	mean to not be responsive to your exact
11	question.
12	So many of the campuses have planned
13	for this over time. I mean, we've had three
14	years of the negotiation, from what I
15	understand, and so many of our campuses have
16	planned for it. Still, having said that, it
17	is going to be a challenge. And so we're
18	looking for ways that we can support those
19	particular campuses that are going to
20	experience more of a burden.
21	And that also has to do a bit with
22	enrollment demographics. And so, again, I
23	come back to the online opportunity, because
24	we do have a tremendous opportunity to

1	increase our revenue and to have those
2	campuses participate even more than what
3	they're doing now.
4	I would say just one thing, and I know
5	that the clock is ticking, but it's not yet
6	red. So let's say that most of our online is
7	used to enhance the flexibility of our
8	students that are already on campus. We have
9	a very low what they call totally,
10	exclusively online student. So we have a
11	great room that we can increase there, and I
12	think we're working with all the campuses to
13	participate both in the online it's been a
L 4	great six, seven months we've been working
15	together, and also in philanthropy.
16	SENATOR SEWARD: Thank you.
17	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: We've been joined
18	by Senator Jim Gaughran, Senator Julia
19	Salazar, and Senator Ken LaValle.
20	Assembly.
21	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So we go to
22	Assemblyman Smith.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: Thank you.
24	And thank you, Chancellor, for coming

<pre>here to addres</pre>	s us today.
---------------------------	-------------

My first question, under the final phase of the Excelsior Scholarship, the Executive Budget appropriation has only grown \$100,000, despite the fact that the annual income for eligibility has gone up \$15,000. I think this might speak to the fact that 70 percent of the students who applied for the scholarship were denied. 

So my question is, why has the Excelsior Scholarship to date really failed, and what is SUNY doing to really increase that so that students can take advantage of the important program?

SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Well, thank you very much for that question.

You know, in my mind -- and I think I even said this last year, and I still believe it -- the Excelsior is one tool in our toolbox. So we've got the Tuition Assistance Program, we have Excelsior. And I wouldn't say that it's failed, I would say it's succeeded. And it's succeeded in several tangible ways. It's still early days; we

Τ	have one year or data. So we need to be
2	looking at trends over time.
3	But when you think that for
4	example, in the community colleges,
5	19 percent students with Excelsior,
6	19 percent higher that they will come back,
7	that leads to a higher graduation rate
8	eventually. The fact that overall,
9	10 percent higher students with Excelsior
10	Scholarships are retained within the system.
11	That's a double digit in one year. I think
12	that's a phenomenal success.
13	So, you know, we are going to continue
14	to work together. I would expect to see the
15	numbers even increase as our threshold
16	increases. And this has always been
17	maintained at least from my understanding,
18	the focus of the Excelsior program was always
19	to help the middle class graduate on time and
20	with less debt. And I think we're seeing the
21	inkling that that's working, so.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: Okay, thank you.
23	Now, last year SUNY raised tuition

\$200 for the second straight year, and now

1	we're entering the third year of a four-year
2	extension, authorizing SUNY to increase
3	tuition up to \$200 annually. What are the
4	factors that are driving up the costs of
5	higher education, and what measures are we
6	taking to actually curb the increases so that
7	families and students can afford to attend?
8	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Well, it's a
9	great question. And it's a complicated
10	answer, which I'd love to have more time and

we'll get together and go through it.

I think there are a few things that happen. First of all, technology has been accelerating. So when you think of -- at least when I was in college, it was before the invention of the personal computer.

When you think about our buildings that were designed, that half of them are older than 50 years -- so they were actually designed and built before the invention of the computer, which means they really didn't take into account that everybody would have two or three computers in their office, whether it's a laptop or an iPhone or a

1	desktop. That puts a demand on the heating
2	and cooling, it puts a demand on the whole
3	infrastructure.

The fact that Moore's Law -- I mean,
this to me blows my mind. But when I first
started designing integrated circuits, the
line width was 5 microns. So, you know,
that's about 1/10th or 1/12th the size of a
human hair. Today, it's 5 nanometers.

That's a thousand-times decrease. That means
that the facilities and the clean rooms and
the capability of building those circuits is
just so much more expensive. And that's just
on the technical side.

When you think about the digital humanities and the tools that the arts and the humanities have used -- the fact that our libraries don't have books in them anymore. You know, they're now learning and collaborative spaces with computers and access to the internet, which is something, you know, if you would have told me when I was starting there would be this thing where I would willingly put all my information

1	online,	you	know,	I would	d have	 so	Ι	think
2	that it	's a	compli	cated	answer.			

I think the -- and I think that when you look at the jobs over the last six to eight years, that of 11.6 million jobs created, 11.5 required some kind of higher education. So it now becomes an essential that all of our citizens have access to high-quality and broad access to higher education. So I think that, you know, there's a number of different reasons.

ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: Okay. And my last question, because we are running low on time, and we spoke about it very briefly privately, mental health. What is SUNY doing to increase the mental health services? As you know, we're in a very troubling time in the country. We have a mental health crisis. K through 12 has expressed they want to spend more money that they would be given on mental health services.

What can we do, what are we doing to make sure students that need that can access that?

1	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Right. So
2	we started telecounseling, so that at 10 of
3	our campuses, if you want access 24/7 to
4	mental health counseling, you can access it.
5	We have asked for \$600,000 in the budget to
6	expand that to more campuses.
7	This is a crisis, and we believe
8	strongly that we need to give our students
9	the best chance to learn and that means that
10	they need to be safe and they need to be
11	supported.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: Thank you.
13	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Thank you.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
15	We've been joined by Assemblywoman
16	Monica Wallace.
17	And now to the Senate.
18	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. Our
19	next questioner is Senator Bob Antonacci
20	oh, wait, I'm sorry. Senator John Liu.
21	Excuse me. I'm sorry, John.
22	SENATOR LIU: Thank you, Madam Chair.
23	And thank you for joining us,
24	Chancellor Johnson.

1	Just to start with, I'm proud to be a
2	SUNY graduate myself, SUNY Binghamton, Class
3	of (coughing) '08.
4	(Laughter.)
5	SENATOR LIU: And it was a great,
6	great experience. And I want to thank you
7	and the rest of the SUNY team for your
8	continuing work.
9	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Did you say 1808?
10	What did you just say?
11	(Laughter.)
12	SENATOR LIU: I not that long ago.
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I'm sorry, that
L 4	was inappropriate, excuse me.
15	SENATOR LIU: All right, 1988, Class
16	of '88, SUNY Binghamton, when the tuition was
17	\$675 a semester.
18	My first question hopefully it's a
19	quick answer is I know you got a question
20	about the TAP gap. How much are we talking
21	about if we would increase the maximum TAP
22	award to the SUNY tuition? I'll ask this of
23	CUNY also.
24	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Sure.

1	Sixty-four million dollars.
2	SENATOR LIU: And how much of that
3	would be to students who go to SUNY versus
4	the non-SUNY and non-CUNY schools?
5	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: I think
6	that's just for SUNY students, \$64 million.
7	SENATOR LIU: Oh, really?
8	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Yeah, the
9	average TAP gap is \$950, between what the TAP
10	pays and what the tuition is.
11	SENATOR LIU: But is there a way to
12	increase the maximum TAP award just for SUNY
13	and CUNY students, or we'd have to increase
14	it for students who attend private colleges
15	also?
16	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: I was going
17	to say I think anything's possible.
18	SENATOR LIU: But it would just be
19	more than \$64 million.
20	Okay, my other quick question is I
21	know you also had a question about the
22	adjunct faculty. And my understanding is
23	that that percentage at SUNY has been
24	increasing over the years.

1	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: I can get
2	you the numbers across the sector, and it
3	does vary based on whether it's doctoral and,
4	you know, the state-operateds versus
5	community colleges.
6	I would say that the part-time faculty
7	have been increasing at community colleges,
8	and the full-time faculty have been slightly,
9	slightly increased in the state-operated. We
10	do have the exact data for you.
11	And it makes sense because the
12	students, if we look at trends even just I
13	think well, I have the data here, I don't
14	have to think. Since last year, our
15	enrollment at the state-operated campuses is
16	up almost a percent, but at the community
17	colleges it's down 4.65 percent. And so to
18	try and manage that enrollment, since
19	community colleges are on a full-time-FTE
20	basis being paid, they're hiring more
21	adjuncts so that we can scale up and scale
22	down.
23	The problem with that is that you
24	don't always then have the kind of

1	flexibility to design the new programs that
2	are going to meet the workforce needs in the
3	community.
4	SENATOR LIU: Is there a threshold
5	beyond which we start getting into
6	accreditation issues in terms of
7	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: That is
8	taken into account in accreditation.
9	SENATOR LIU: Okay. Do you think it's
10	having an impact on our SUNY schools?
11	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Well, so far
12	we're fully accredited and we've passed
13	even recently at our community colleges that
14	have undergone accreditation, they have
15	passed. But I think it is, you know, a
16	consideration for sure.
17	SENATOR LIU: And is there any kind of
18	comparison to other public universities?
19	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: We do do
20	benchmarking, and I can provide that
21	information.
22	SENATOR LIU: Are we
23	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: So it
24	impacts certainly accreditation, it impacts

1	rankings, it impacts the ability for us to
2	provide that excellent educational experience
3	for our students. So you're exactly hitting
4	on the right topic.
5	SENATOR LIU: Okay. And I guess my
6	last question and I'd like to explore that
7	
8	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Sure. We'll
9	get you the data.
10	SENATOR LIU: Thank you. You did
11	briefly talk about the amount of student debt
12	out there and we're addressing it in New York
13	through these various programs, including
14	Excelsior.
15	What about students who just don't get
16	any aid at all? They are coming out with
17	very high even students who attend SUNY,
18	they're coming out with tens of thousands of
19	dollars of student loan debt. Is there
20	anything that SUNY or your office is doing to
21	look at the problem and additional ways we
22	can help students and their families?
23	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: So
24	absolutely. There are kind of multiple,

1	again, levers that we can work with. One is
2	that we're really pushing internships and
3	workforce development so that students can
4	be, if they so choose and I think it's
5	always a good idea to work in a company
6	while they're going to school, or maybe as an
7	internship. We also look at workforce
8	training. Again, that helps the companies
9	defray the cost of going to school.
10	Just by the numbers, the average debt
11	a SUNY student graduates with is about
12	between \$23,000 and \$25,000. Fifty-five
13	percent of all SUNY and CUNY students do
14	attend higher ed tuition-free. The national
15	average has grown to \$30,000, so we are below
16	the national average.
17	But if we want to continue to make
18	this affordable, I think looking at things
19	like more scholarship funding, opportunities
20	for internships, workforce development,
21	summer jobs those are all the things that

23 SENATOR LIU: Thank you, Chancellor. 24 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Thank you.

we need to push.

1	SENATOR LIU: Thank you, Madam Chair.
2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
3	Assemblywoman Lifton.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LIFTON: Good morning,
5	Chancellor.
6	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Good
7	morning.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LIFTON: I'm the last
9	person that will be able to say good morning.
10	Welcome. I know you have a strong
11	interest in climate change we spoke about
12	it last year at the hearing as I do, as
13	many legislators do. We're getting ever-more
14	dire warnings, of course, from the IPCC, the
15	International Panel on Climate Change.
16	If I'm not mistaken, you were
17	Undersecretary of Energy in the Obama
18	administration
19	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: That's
20	correct.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LIFTON: the
22	number-two person in our U.S. government on
23	energy. And I assume you've been to a few of
24	the climate accord meetings Paris,

1	perhaps,	other	places.

I was surprised, I was looking at the proposal that's been made by the Governor to create the advisory council for the Green New Deal, and I'm very pleased to see the agency heads listed there, but I didn't see your name as someone who would participate on that advisory council to help New York State make recommendations on a climate action plan and how we're going to move more quickly on that matter.

I hate to be making more work for you, or suggesting you ought to pick up more work, but would you want to be part of that? I would think you might --

SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: It would be a pleasure to serve. It would be a pleasure to serve.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN LIFTON: It seems like it would be great to have your expertise on that panel. So maybe you'll ask the Governor to put you on there. I'll also ask the Governor, maybe others will, see if we can get you on that panel. I think it would be

l great to bring your exp	ertise to that	table
---------------------------	----------------	-------

Especially also, as you noted, and as you've noted before, that 40 percent of all the state buildings are SUNY buildings. And we know that there are tremendous opportunities in the building infrastructure to bring down greenhouse gases.

and I know you know this, but we were so pleased with the critical maintenance over five years -- you know, the 550 a year for five years -- so we can really plan on doing the retrofit. Because the most cost-efficient time to do those energy efficiency upgrades is when you're doing the critical maintenance. So this really allows us to plan. I can't tell you how excited we are about this.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN LIFTON: That's great.

Can you tell me just a little more about that planning? The state is still -- I don't think we have really -- we have goals, but we don't necessarily have a firm plan.

As I said, this advisory council is supposed

1	to give us a plan or give us
2	recommendations for a plan, as I understand
3	it.
4	Does SUNY itself have a plan where
5	they're rolling out these projects using the
6	critical maintenance funding? And are you
7	sort of measuring your progress? And can you
8	update me on that and what that means for
9	SUNY exactly?
10	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Oh,
11	absolutely. So as you know or may know
12	I'm sure you know that New York has been a
13	leader in the mitigation of climate change
14	through the adoption of green technologies.
15	And we have some pretty aggressive goals, I
16	think we might have the most aggressive goals
17	of any state in the union. So I'm really
18	excited to be part of the team and part of
19	the state with those kind of goals.
20	So one thing is, you know, 100 percent
21	renewal energy by 2040. We we're
22	planning, through our large-scale renewable
23	energy purchasing, to try and get there as

quickly as possible. And I say as quickly as

1	possible because sure, I'd like it to be
2	yesterday, but we also are very committed to
3	following the procurement and making sure
4	that we procure properly. And so we did a
5	request for information and then we did an
6	RFP to select a consultant that would guide
7	the appropriate and most efficient power
8	purchase agreements through a consortia of
9	about I think it's 22 or 26 campuses, 16
10	of which were SUNY and some are private
11	campuses as well.

So we think that using SUNY's buying power to buy clean power is a winning strategy. So that's one thing that we're doing, and our goal is to procure 100 percent of our electricity from renewable sources, including hydropower and zero-carbon sources as well.

Eminently doable. And I think that, you know, what's interesting is if you look at the load of New York in the summer, it's about 40 gigawatts. Well, we probably have a little less than half of that zero-carbon from our hydropower and our nuclear and our

1	existing solar and wind. I think the
2	aggressive plans that the Governor
3	appropriately has put in place for offshore
4	wind is where Stony Brook University has
5	stepped up and won a major DOE grant for
6	20 million, to be matched with 20 million, to
7	look at putting thousands of megawatts of
8	wind offshore. So we can easily do this, and
9	I'm pretty excited about doing that. So
10	that's the first thing that we're doing.

The second thing that we're doing is that all new buildings that are designed will be zero net energy buildings. So the first one is at Oneonta, it's going to be the dorm is going to be zero net energy. So we're super excited about that. I would say that every single campus has embraced it, which was -- I didn't expect anything less, but I'm thrilled. Because we have the fantastic Bob Haelen and Karren Bee-Donohoe, individuals in our facilities pushing this, we have such great sustainability officers throughout the system. And NYSERDA has been such a great partner, willing to help us train managers

1	that can act regionally to try and
2	decarbonize our campuses.
3	So I think all that would be great,
4	but even better is that it's an ability to
5	educate our students and do service learning
6	and applied learning with real-world need.
7	So it's a perfect coming together of all
8	entities. So thank you.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LIFTON: Thank you,
10	Chancellor.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
12	Senate?
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you,
14	Assembly.
15	Senator Antonacci.
16	SENATOR ANTONACCI: Thank you.
17	Thank you. Commissioner, if I ask you
18	a question that's already been asked, just
19	tell me to refer to the tape; I don't want to
20	be redundant.
21	But funding for community colleges is
22	based on full-time equivalents. And
23	ironically, it seems like as we lose
24	population, we're also losing students that

1	are going to the community colleges. You
2	mentioned in your written remarks that
3	there's going to be a new formula. Can you
4	tell us exactly how that is proposed to work
5	and what that will do to help keep the
6	funding at a sustainable level?
7	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Sure.
8	Absolutely. Thank you for your question.
9	Let me just step back and say the why
10	of it. So our community colleges this year,
11	there's about 119,000 full-time students.
12	And then there's about 97,000 part-time
13	students. And then we just queried before
14	this testimony today four or five of our
15	larger community colleges and asked the
16	question: How many students come and take a
17	course that it's not going towards a degree
18	or a degree program, but it's enriching or
19	it's necessary for up-skilling? It's about
20	3,000 at each of these campuses, is what we
21	were told anecdotally. And we'll get you
22	that data.
23	So when you look at that and you say,

okay, if you add those together, it's about

1	200,000 students. We get paid for full-time
2	equivalent, but all those other students,
3	whether they take one course or one, you
4	know, necessary or enrichment course, need
5	registration, they need all the institutional
6	support.
7	So what we've discovered is that we've

So what we've discovered is that we've got a business model, for lack of a better -
I used to be in business, so forgive me for going to the business side for a minute.

But, you know, our revenue comes in variable, it's per full-time- equivalent student, but our expenses are both fixed and variable.

And so there's a mismatch there.

And so what it does is it puts strain on the community colleges because they don't have the predictability. So that's risk.

And, you know, in business if you're taking risks, you need to be paid for that. I mean, in general that's what folks would say. I know we're in education here.

So I think that what we've discovered is maybe there's a hybrid, maybe there's a way forward where we could look at a rolling

1	three-year average and say that we establish
2	a floor by which the community colleges know
3	that that will be adjusted because if
4	there's permanently going to be, you know,
5	for the next 50 years, the same enrollment,
6	then that needs to be a major adjustment.
7	But that's not what we're seeing. We're
8	seeing the cyclical nature. And community
9	colleges are countercyclical to the economy.
10	So if you look at exactly when you
11	want you want to have those community
12	colleges just throttling all the time,
13	they're ready to go, they're ready to ramp up
14	with these programs, they've got the
15	facilities. They maybe take 20 students, but
16	as soon as a new company comes into town or
17	there's a startup that needs more, they can
18	quickly ramp up. Because you've planned
19	because you know that you've got that
20	funding.
21	So we're looking for a floor that
22	would be the highest of either an average of
23	the last three years or the current year
24	SENATOR ANTONACCI: Are there I

1	know I only have two minutes left. Are there
2	going to be details to that plan that are
3	going to be able to be fleshed out and
4	forwarded to us?
5	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Right. I'll
6	be mindful of your time, my apologies. We'll
7	get together with you and talk about it.
8	SENATOR ANTONACCI: I just have two
9	more questions I can do
10	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: But we do
11	have a plan.
12	SENATOR ANTONACCI: Good. Good.
13	My understanding is there was no
14	capital matching funds for private schools in
15	this year's Executive Budget. If so, why?
16	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: I apologize,
17	could you repeat that question one more time?
18	SENATOR ANTONACCI: There was no
19	capital matching funds for private schools in
20	the Executive Budget.
21	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Oh, okay.
22	So I haven't really looked at that, I've
23	mostly been focused on the public schools.
24	And like I said, we're very pleased about the

Τ	critical maintenance that we have that s
2	predictable over the next five years. And if
3	we have the opportunity to think about if
4	there's a new build, it would be great to
5	anticipate how we might envision new
6	buildings that will allow us to do, you know,
7	a 21st-century infrastructure play for, you
8	know, STEAM design.
9	We can talk about that as well. I
10	look forward to it.
11	SENATOR ANTONACCI: My last question
12	might be for the individual that was with you
13	regarding SUNY Foundation. I don't believe
14	SUNY Foundation is subject to the FOIL laws,
15	if I'm correct about that. They are not,
16	correct?
17	Is there any initiative in the budget
18	to make SUNY Foundation subject to FOIL?
19	SUNY CFO McLOUGHLIN: So the Research
20	Foundation is subject to FOIL, but the SUNY
21	philanthropic foundations are not subject to
22	FOIL. The sensitivity there is the donor
23	base.
24	But any conversations you want to have

1	about transparency, you know, we're willing
2	to have.
3	SENATOR ANTONACCI: I understand that
4	maybe the donor that's with a donative
5	intent looking for a charitable deduction or
6	a charitable intent might not want their
7	names out there. But what about the expenses
8	and how those expenses are being used to
9	either further the mission of SUNY or, you
10	know, substitute for other expenses?
11	SUNY CFO McLOUGHLIN: Again, we can
12	have continuing conversations, but there are
13	documents out there, such as the 990 filings
14	and other documents that have that
15	information.
16	But we can certainly have further
17	conversations about that.
18	SENATOR ANTONACCI: Thank you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
20	Assemblymember Barclay.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Thank you,
22	Senator.
23	Thank you, Chancellor, for your
24	testimony. I didn't go to SUNY schools, but

1	my son is going to be enrolled as a freshman
2	at SUNY ESF next year, so he's excited about
3	that.

I want to get back to that community college and the maintenance of effort. And were you -- I just didn't quite understand your answer, and maybe we can talk later offline about how it's going to work or what your proposal is. But you're saying in the aggregate, funding for community colleges in the aggregate, not based on the full-time equivalent? Like you said, you know, their population goes up and down.

SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Right.

ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Am I getting that right? So you're not looking at the FTE, you're looking at kind of the overall amount?

SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: So we're looking at when there's a downturn in the economy, then our enrollment in community colleges goes up. Right? And then when the economy is doing well, individuals, either they finish and graduate, have a job, or they

1	can sustain in the existing company. But
2	then when there's a downturn, maybe that
3	company has to lay people off, then you want
4	to have your community colleges ready to ramp
5	up.
6	So what we're looking at is because of
7	its countercyclical nature, we kind of want
8	to draw a line through that cycle and say,
9	look, you can count on this base amount of
10	funding, and then you'll go up and down,
11	based on top of that, based on your FTE.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: I thought that's
13	how the FTE worked. I mean, this year,
14	because we have fewer people in community
15	colleges, presumably state aid is going to go
16	down. I think the concern at least I heard
17	from community colleges is that the third, a
18	third, a third isn't being honored by the
19	state, we're at, I don't know, 27 percent,
20	less than what it has been I guess
21	historically.
22	I mean, do you support getting that
23	amount up? You said "modest increase." Is
24	that the modest increase, upping that

T	percentage so we're doing our maintenance of
2	effort?
3	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Right, so
4	there's two pieces about that, and you're
5	quite right. One is that since the community
6	colleges have gone down 4.6 percent this year
7	over last, we're looking for a base. And
8	then on top of the base we'd ask for an
9	increase of \$125 per FTE.
10	All told, between those two elements,
11	it would be an increase of \$19.8 million to
12	the funding for the community colleges.
13	It's as much about the mechanism for
14	the support as it is about the dollars. And
15	so it's providing that stable base for our
16	community colleges so that they can predict
17	what programs they might scale up to.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Yeah, I would
19	support that, obviously. But also I think we
20	have to do our share at the state, you know,
21	at the FTE point of that.
22	Are you for with the TAP awards,
23	someone mentioned income eligibility. Are
24	you supportive of increasing that income

1	eligibility for a TAP award? I think
2	what's it at? I don't know what it's at,
3	\$80,000 or something?
4	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Eighty
5	thousand, I think, that TAP eligibility is?
6	I think that the Excelsior is
7	something that was put in place to also
8	increase that. So love to have a
9	conversation about what that might look like,
LO	for sure.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Okay. And
12	lastly, about the DREAM Act, currently how
13	many undocumented immigrants do you have on
14	SUNY campuses, do you know?
15	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: So we don't
16	count the DACA students number. I think
17	there was an estimate that the state made
18	that the with the DREAM Act, it would be
19	probably \$27 million, if I've got that right.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: How many, I'm
21	sorry?
22	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Twenty-sever
23	million would be the cost of covering the
2.4	tuition for the DREAM Act students eligible

2	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: And I can't do
3	the math that quickly. How many do you think
4	will be eligible for that, then?
5	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Let us get
6	back to you on that. I'd get out my
7	calculator, but I don't have it handy.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: That's all
9	right, I can't do it either.
LO	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: It also
11	depends, as you know, on what the average
12	might be, and the average might be different
13	for different individuals.
L 4	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Okay. Thank
15	you, Chancellor.
16	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Sure.
17	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate?
18	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
19	Senator May.
20	SENATOR MAY: Chancellor, nice to see
21	you again.
22	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Good to see
23	you too.
24	SENATOR MAY: As a Stumpy, a graduate

1 under the DREAM Act.

1	of SUNY ESF and also a former professor at
2	Stony Brook, I am very interested in all the
3	operations of SUNY. And I'm interested I
4	want to talk about the Excelsior program in
5	two ways. One of them, I'm a parent of a
6	college student and I'm aware of the extent
7	to which colleges push a lot of the expenses
8	and the fees so it doesn't look like they're
9	raising tuition. And I'm wondering if you're
10	tracking how much of the expense of college
11	is not actually covered by the Excelsior
12	Scholarships and what kind of a burden that
13	is on the students.
14	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Sure.
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I'm sorry,
16	Senator May, next question, pull it closer to
17	you because we're having a little trouble
18	hearing.
19	SENATOR MAY: Okay.
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thanks.
21	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: That's
22	great. Thank you, Senator.
23	So the question was are we tracking
24	the all-in costs of getting a higher

1	education degree in comparison with whether
2	it's TAP or Excelsior, the tuition portion.
3	So it's about \$24,000 a year, we estimate,
4	for a student to go to college. And, you
5	know, that could be even if you were
6	commuting to a community college and or
7	living in the community or if you were on
8	campus with room and board.
9	Room and board is somewhere between
10	10,000 and 12,000; fees might be another
11	thousand or two; and tuition is around 6,000
12	or more. So all in, it's about 24,000. So
13	the tuition component is about 25 percent.
14	SENATOR MAY: Okay, thank you.
15	And with the increased enrollments
16	from Excelsior, have you had to rely on more
17	adjunct professors? And what is the policy
18	at SUNY of limiting the number of adjuncts?
19	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: So I'm in
20	favor of more full-time faculty members, and
21	to achieve that we're doing a couple of
22	things.
23	The first thing is I mentioned the
24	Prodigy Program, where we've set a goal to

1	attract a thousand underrepresented faculty
2	to SUNY over the next decade or so, which
3	allows us to start tracking talented students
4	in even junior high and high school and
5	attract them into a career. And
6	underrepresented, also women in STEM fields
7	as well. So I think this is a really
8	interesting program by which we can attract
9	more full-time faculty to SUNY.
10	I think the second piece is one that
11	I'm particularly passionate about also, which
12	is really encouraging more of our faculty to
13	become endowed chairs. There are a couple of
14	reasons to do that. One is it's a retention
15	mechanism for our most outstanding faculty,
16	and it's also a way to attract talent and to
17	reward talent that's currently here within
18	SUNY.
19	So I would also love to set a goal,
20	and we're quietly setting the goal

and we're quietly setting the goal -although I guess I'm just about to say it -of about -- doing a thousand chairs over the
next decade as well.

24 And that -- I think that's something

1	important. Our competitors, when we compare
2	our campuses to their peer institutions, they
3	have many of these opportunities. What it
4	does is it relieves a bit of the cost on the
5	salary side, which would allow us to convert
6	more would allow the leadership, at their
7	discretion, of course, to convert more
8	part-time to full-time faculty.
9	So again, we have kind of multiple
10	thrusts, if you will, trying to get at
11	increasing full-time faculty.
12	SENATOR MAY: Right. Thank you.
13	Two other questions. One is I have
14	one of the teaching hospitals in my district,
15	SUNY Upstate, and there's a kind of vague
16	language in your testimony about you're
17	asking the state to explore additional ways
18	to provide help to these vital institutions.
19	Do you have any specifics in mind behind
20	that?
21	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Well, first
22	of all, we're thrilled with the state and the
23	Governor's budget of the Disproportionate
24	Share Hospital costs so that we can get the

1	maximum match from the federal government.
2	That was crucial, that was huge. Very
3	appreciative.
4	We would like to have a conversation
5	with the Legislature and with the Governor's
6	office to look at picking up the debt service
7	of our hospitals, which is about \$39.9
8	million. So that would help with direct
9	support of these hospitals.
10	SENATOR MAY: Okay, thanks. And then
11	my last question, I know SUNY ESF does a lot
12	to try to foster collaborations with private
13	colleges and universities in the area, and
14	they run into a lot of red tape from the
15	central office.
16	And so I'm wondering what you have
17	have you had conversations with
18	administrators about facilitating these kind
19	of collaborations?
20	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: We have.
21	And we will look to create, you know, even
22	closer ties with both our private as well as
23	our other public universities and colleges.

Speaking specifically of ESF, it is a

1	jewel. And it is in a really neat part of
2	the state as well, with some great private
3	and public universities nearby, and colleges.
4	So we are exploring those connections.
5	SENATOR MAY: Okay. Thank you very
6	much.
7	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: In spite of
8	the central administration.
9	(Laughter.)
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We've been
12	joined by Assemblyman Al Stirpe.
13	And now to Assemblywoman Bichotte for
14	a question.
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BICHOTTE: Good morning,
16	Chancellor.
17	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Hi. Good
18	morning.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BICHOTTE: Thank you for
20	being here.
21	I would like to say that I am a proud
22	alum of Buffalo State College
23	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Excellent.
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BICHOTTE: as well as

1	SUNY Buffalo, for the record.
2	I had a few questions. The first
3	question is around the Excelsior program.
4	Just for clarification, you mentioned
5	that 16,700 students benefit from this
6	program. So out of the 1.4 million students
7	in SUNY, only 16.7. So that's like about 1.1
8	percent; is that correct?
9	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: So we
10	have there's a difference on the numbers.
11	Excelsior, as you know, is for full-time
12	attendance at SUNY. And so you need to
13	compare it to our full-time student body. So
14	we have about 424,000 full-time students, so
15	that's 16,678 would be over the 424,000
16	students.
17	In addition, that was for the first
18	year. So the threshold, as you know, for
19	Excelsior was only at about \$110,000. It was
20	increased this year to 115, and next year it
21	will go up to 125,000, so we would expect
22	those numbers to grow as well.

23 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BICHOTTE: You're
24 talking about in terms of the eligibility of

<pre>household income.</pre>

- 2 SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Correct.
- 3 That's right.
- 4 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BICHOTTE: Okay. It's
- 5 still quite small to be called a free
- 6 tuition. It's just that a lot of parents in
- 7 my community get the sense that they don't
- 8 have to save anymore because there's free
- 9 tuition. And I think it's just misleading.
- 10 We should probably call it something else,
- 11 like Last Dollar Program, Extended TAP, or
- 12 something like that.
- But if you just looked at overall the
- average, the average constituent in the State
- of New York will think that their kids can go
- to college for free. So we might want to
- 17 change the wrapping, because I get that a
- 18 lot.
- 19 The second question I had, or concern,
- it was noted that the opportunity programs
- 21 were being cut, and I wanted to get a sense
- 22 of clarification. Is that -- the Educational
- 23 Opportunity Program and the Educational
- 24 Opportunity Centers, are those the two that

1	are in the Opportunity Program? And one of
2	my concerns is that every year we go through
3	this where they're always I guess the
4	Governor is always proposing to cut these
5	programs and then just, I guess, maintain it
6	at the end of the budget.
7	So what's going on here? And can you
8	clarify if it is those two programs only
9	that's under the Opportunity Program?
10	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Right. So
11	the programs that we're asking to be restored
12	would be the EOP, Educational Opportunity
13	Program; the EOC, the Educational Opportunity
14	Centers; and the ATTAIN, you know, net
15	information technology networking
16	laboratories, of which I believe there's 33
17	throughout the state. Along with the
18	Tele-Health mental health counseling. All
19	in, it's about \$17.7 million.
20	And just one more thing. About 11,000
21	students are served by the EOP program, so
22	it's a crucial part of our ability to provide
23	the broadest access to a quality higher
24	education degree in the state.

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BICHOTTE: I would
2	propose that we do not cut these programs.
3	Because you just mentioned that it's 11,000
4	students that are benefiting from the EOP
5	program. So let's not cut it, let's we
6	have money in the budget, let's look to
7	increase for EOP and EOC.
8	And lastly, I've been hearing a lot of
9	talk about the TAP gap and 64 million. Is
10	there any consideration to have like a
11	dedicated budget line allocated to address
12	the TAP gap? I mean, we're increasing
13	tuition but we're not addressing the TAP gap
14	that, by law, these institutions have to
15	cover. So is there a consideration for a
16	dedicated line?
17	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: I would hope
18	so.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BICHOTTE: Okay. You're
20	the boss.
21	Thank you so much.
22	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Thank you.
23	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
24	Senate?

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
2	Senator Ken LaValle.
3	SENATOR LaVALLE: There we go. Nice
4	to see you, Chancellor.
5	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Good to see
6	you, Senator.
7	SENATOR LaVALLE: Senator Funke had a
8	bill, 4634, that was vetoed by the Governor
9	to allow our University Police the
10	presumption that a heart attack if they
11	had a heart attack, that it's presumed that
12	it was because of their duties as a police
13	officer. Did SUNY take a position on this
14	legislation?
15	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: I don't
16	believe we have. I'll be happy to look at
17	the bill, but I don't think we have a
18	position on that, to my knowledge. But we
19	can get back to you.
20	Oh, we're supportive. Thank you. I
21	wasn't familiar with the bill, but our Chief
22	Operating Officer Robert Megna said we are
23	supportive.

SENATOR LaVALLE: Okay. All right. I

1	think we have to do a little more this time
2	around to see if we can find out what the
3	problem was with the Governor's office.
4	And the SUNY police ask for very
5	little, so it would be helpful if we can get
6	that across the line.
7	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: They do a
8	great job, by the way. We're very grateful
9	to the SUNY police force.
10	SENATOR LaVALLE: In your statement
11	you said in order to allow SUNY hospitals to
12	invest in improved services and programs and
13	better quality of care, SUNY is asking that
14	the state continue to explore additional ways
15	to provide help to these vital institutions.
16	Like what kind what are additional
17	ways you're looking at?
18	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Well, one
19	way that would be helpful is to have the
20	state pay for our debt service on capital
21	improvements for our hospitals. So that
22	would be about \$39.9 million in the 2019-2020
23	budget. So that would be one way. That
24	would be very helpful and very well

Τ	appreciated.
2	SENATOR LaVALLE: Okay. That's it.
3	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Thank you.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
6	Assemblyman Epstein.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Thank you,
8	Chancellor. Good afternoon.
9	So I just want to follow up on a
10	couple of issues I know my colleagues raised
11	One is about the Excelsior. What is your
12	projection to 2020 to the increase from your
13	16,700 students what does your projected
14	enrollment look like by 2020?
15	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: You know,
16	we'll have a little more information about
17	that when we have all the numbers for the
18	fall of 2018-2019. I would expect it to go
19	up for the following reason, you know,
20	obviously. And one is that the threshold fo
21	eligibility is increased. And because as
22	some of the students graduate, more students
23	will be coming in. And the ratio of the
24	because we don't get 100 percent graduation

1	rate yet, that will be a greater number than
2	the students leaving.
3	So we certainly expect it to increase
4	How much, we don't have a projection right
5	now, to my knowledge.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: So my concern i
7	in relationship to the number of students wh
8	are not then eligible for the program. Lots
9	of students, you know, whether they're
10	full-time students who aren't maybe
11	they're not going to be able to be done in
12	five years or a large volume of part-time
13	students who have serious economic needs and
14	are not eligible for the program.
15	Have you thought about expanding the
16	program to include an expanded number of
17	years so people can finish college or to
18	expand it to part-time students?
19	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: You know, I
20	think that from my understanding, the
21	Excelsior program had a particular focus,
22	which is to have students finish on time and

with the least amount of debt possible. I

think that it would be important to figure

23

1	maybe there's another way that we can support
2	nontraditional students, which are students
3	that more and more, I think, given the
4	acceleration in certain fields, the
5	technology will require more opportunities
6	for higher education, and to also take
7	advantage of the new technologies coming in,
8	whether it's artificial intelligence or other
9	sorts of fields.

So we need to look for ways to support those students and make sure that they get access too. I don't have anything right now, but I'd love to, you know, have a conversation afterwards. Maybe we can think about how we can support those students too.

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: The students who are working, either working full or part-time, these are people who are struggling and they can't afford to go to school full-time. And even with Excelsior, if they were eligible for it, maybe they have some income or their parents don't have income, but they're just not going to be able to make a full-time load, and these are the

1	people who we want to graduate, because these
2	are the people who are going to move their
3	economic class. So these are people who
4	we're really pushing to support.
5	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Absolutely.
6	Yup.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: So I'd love to
8	seize the opportunities and be happy to work
9	with your office about opportunities to
10	expand for those students.
11	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: We would be
12	delighted.
13	I think one of the things that we're
14	working pretty hard on right now and
15	taking the lead is our new provost, Tod
16	Laursen, as well as our COO, who I introduced
17	earlier, Bob Megna is our online presence.
18	Because that's also a vehicle to provide the
19	flexibility of just-in-time learning for
20	these individuals that want to get a higher
21	ed degree so that they can improve and move
22	up in social mobility. So I think that's

going to be another tool in our toolbox

that's going to be critical to support, and

23

1	we'll be in touch on how that
2	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: And I appreciate
3	you raising the online issue, but I think
4	there's some you know, that is an
5	opportunity, but we should also be thoughtful
6	and be concerned about students who need
7	support and they're taking online classes.
8	We see lower graduation rates, we see larger
9	increases in people who fail classes, we have
10	less support. So we see some of these online
11	colleges around the country where they're
12	spending money on advertising and not
13	spending money on student support.
14	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Right.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: If we're going
16	to expand online programming for more online
17	students, we want to make sure we create a
18	system and structure that protects students
19	who are more vulnerable.
20	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Absolutely.
21	You bet. Yeah, protects students, puts in
22	place tutor nets and opportunities for them
23	to learn.

I think there's also a -- it's been

L	going on for a while, but if you look at some
2	of the things that companies in the education
3	space have looked at, it's really developing
4	the notion that you teach to what the student
5	doesn't know, not repeat what they do know.
6	That makes it more efficient, more
7	interesting, and they become more successful.
3	So we're starting to explore how we as a
9	system can do that better.

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: I want to turn our attention to students with disabilities, because we've seen a large growth in students with disabilities both at our SUNY and CUNY campuses. And the researches that are being made available are really limited. And we've -- you know, I know the students at SUNY and CUNY have really pushed to try to increase funding for students with disabilities. We are talking about, potentially, you know, trying to get \$15 million in the budget. We know it wasn't in the Governor's budget this year.

I'm wondering what your position is on expanding resources to that population, which

1	once we get them through college, there's
2	much more opportunities for students with
3	disabilities to have economic opportunities
4	moving forward.
5	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Absolutely.
6	I'd love to get together and talk about that.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Great. And
8	finally, I know the issue of mental health,
9	we've had a lot of you know, in December
10	SUNY students came and talked to us about the
11	need for additional mental health services.
12	So I know my time is up, but I want to put
13	that out to you and ensure that that's
14	something we can continue to talk about.
15	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Yes, we'd
16	very much like to see the EOP, EOC, the
17	mental health counseling be restored in the
18	budget. Thank you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
20	Senate.
21	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Hi, thank you. I
22	think it's my turn. Thank you, Chancellor.
23	So it's a follow-up, I think, to a
24	number of related questions. But can we talk

1	about do you know what percentage of
2	students who go to the SUNY campuses have a
3	disability? And have you seen a growth in
4	the percentage of students with disabilities
5	attending SUNY? And have you been able to
6	grow the special programs that make it
7	possible for students with disabilities to
8	succeed in college?
9	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: I would lov

SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: I would love to get back to you with that information, and particularly what we're seeing in terms of growth. I would hope it would be growing.

I'd hope we'd continue to become even more accessible to all students, particularly students with disabilities. So I'll get back to you on that information.

CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.

And I met with some people from CUNY, so it will be a similar question for CUNY, and there seemed to be a large rise in the number of students with disabilities, but unfortunately not a rise in the number of services available, even though they have proven to be very successful.

1	So when we talk about students with
2	different needs starting or succeeding in
3	college, I think we need to be recognizing
4	the issues for the disabled students as well
5	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Absolutely.
6	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So Betsy DeVos
7	has recently proposed new regulations on
8	sexual assaults on college campuses. And
9	many of us do not support what she has
10	proposed. But I'm curious, since New York
11	State is now a few years into a statewide
12	mandate of changed policies towards sexual
13	assaults on campus, what kind of data are you
14	collecting from your campuses, and what's
15	changed since New York changed its policies?
16	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: So we have
17	concerns also with the changes proposed to
18	Title IX, and we'll be responding with
19	comments for the record in that regard. And
20	that's work that our General Counsel Beth
21	Garvey is leading, who's here.
22	I'd like to get back to you with
23	regard to since the "Enough is Enough" and
24	inaction, what have we seen in terms of

1	changes and improvements in that regard. As
2	you know, we also have been very active with
3	the SAVR. We have a \$5 million grant to help
4	with victims of sexual and interpersonal
5	violence. So let me get back to you on those
6	numbers.
7	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Then last week at
8	the budget hearing on Human Services, it was
9	brought up that there was \$5 million for
10	childcare on SUNY and CUNY campuses announced
11	as a new program, but some of my colleagues
12	pointed out there was already a SUNY/CUNY
13	program for childcare on campuses.
14	So this is a new pilot program, at
15	least in the Governor's budget materials.
16	Can you confirm for us that it will not be a
17	it will not replace the existing daycare
18	funds that your schools already have in
19	place? And how will it be different?
20	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Right.
21	We'll get back to you that on that and make
22	sure.
23	I think that we support currently
24	about 1500 parents, single parents with

1	children. And it's very important to us,
2	particularly since one out of five single
3	women in college have children and having
4	childcare is very helpful to them to attain
5	their higher education goals.
6	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I think we would
7	agree. I think there was just some confusion
8	about was the Governor announcing that he was
9	giving you another \$5 million or was he
10	requiring that you put in another \$5 million
11	to grow your program.
12	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: So Bob Megna
13	said that it would not be replacing the
14	existing program.
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So it would be in
16	addition to the existing program. Yes?
17	SUNY COO MEGNA: Yes.
18	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay, just I'm
19	glad. I think we should be investing more in
20	ensuring that mothers with young children
21	and fathers with young children, not to be
22	sexist can continue their educations. So
23	glad to hear that.
24	I've asked in previous years about the

1	online courses, and you referenced that in
2	some of your answers. Has SUNY done any kind
3	of study on the courses that you are giving
4	online is there a growth in students? Are
5	they completing those classes? Are they
6	completing their degrees at the same rate or
7	a lesser rate than students who go to
8	traditional classrooms? Do you have I'm
9	not asking you to spin it out for me now, but
10	have you done research on is this working or
11	not?

SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: We have.

And we're even digging into it more deeply since we started looking at ways we can expand our impact for just-in-time learning for online.

Let me just make a comment, to be specific to your question. Most of our online courses right now are really hybrid. They're students that are on campus and taking it as a different modality, if you will, of being online. So it gives them a flexibility, allows maybe multitasking -- if they have another class at the same time,

1	they might go to that one class, then take
2	the other class through online, and vice
3	versa.

So it's mostly been our on-campus students. We wouldn't probably see a big difference just because it's a question of flexibility. The question will be what kind of support services do we need to put around students online, like additional tutoring and a 24/7 tutor net, as I would call it, so that people can, when they get stuck, reach out and get immediate help, so that we can increase the ability to pass those classes and get a quality education with online. So we have to do it well.

And we're still exploring that and working on how we might craft an online that's scaled to do that. And it will require a significant investment. It's not something, if you do it well, you can do it on the cheap.

22 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.

That's all I need.

24 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.

Τ	Assemblywoman rany.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Thank you. Thank
3	you, Chair.
4	And welcome, Chancellor. Thank you
5	for being here.
6	I've had a number of my questions
7	answered, so I just want to make some quick
8	comments and then I still have a couple of
9	questions, particularly on the childcare
10	issue that was just raised, along with the
11	mental health issues that have been raised.
12	Great news on the retention that is
13	being shown on the Excelsior Program. Very
14	pleased to see that.
15	I continue to have really serious
16	concerns about the size of the capital budget
17	in terms of the building projects. Last
18	summer we went a number of myself and
19	my colleagues went to meet with UAlbany
20	faculty, and there was some crazy number they
21	gave us that I'm not recalling, but it would
22	take dozens upon dozens of years to even
23	begin to renovate their dorms at the pace

that they're going. And I can get you that

number, but I want to say it was in the
seventies, in the '70 year or something, to
just even keep pace.
So capital budget renovation and
upgrades is really critical. And I must put
in a shameless plug for UAlbany's engineering
program as well. That's very important, as
well as STEM programs in general, which are
so critical in our growth.
Also appreciated your comment on the
growth and trying to double the funding on
research funds into entrepreneurship
programs.
Also need to comment on the EOP, the
cut in the EOP programs. I know it's
something we care a lot about here, but I
hope it's just not a game that we see cuts
there and then we have to go back in and
restore it when I'd rather see us all focused

So then a couple of questions. I think back on the community college -- and thank you for all the comments that you have made on that. The charge-back issue, that

-	1	question was already asked. I would be
4	2	remiss if I didn't echo that concern. I know
	3	you said that you wanted to get back on that.
4	4	But Hudson Valley, while I don't represent
į	5	Hudson Valley, I represent most of Albany
(	6	County, and most of the students at Hudson
	7	Valley and Rensselaer are from Albany County.
8	8	The charge-back issue, I think they
(	9	are the single most impacted college, with
10	0	maybe one exception. The floor concept
13	1	sounds very appealing, but I'd love to know
12	2	what the impact of that is. In other words,
13	3	in order to set a floor, does that mean there
14	4	is a loss? And I'm not clear on what you've
15	5	described there. I don't know if you have
1	6	that answer now, but I just want to make sure
1	7	there's no trade-off.
18	8	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Right.
19	9	Would you like me to answer it?
20	0	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Sure, if you know
21	1	that.
22	2	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Oh, yeah,
23	3	absolutely. So what we would consider is
2	1	a the floor would either be last wearls

1	so that there wouldn't be a loss, or it would
2	be a rolling average of three years,
3	whichever is higher.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: So at no point
5	would a community college see less than what
6	they had seen in the previous year, is that
7	what you're saying?
8	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Right.
9	That's correct.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Okay. All right.
11	That sounds very encouraging, because I know,
12	given the volatility in the economy, that
13	certainly has affected Hudson Valley, along
14	with others. So I appreciate your the
15	proposal and the ability to try to stabilize
16	and have those budgets predictable, as you've
17	said, which are essential.
18	Another comment on the community
19	colleges. Really pleased to see this
20	childcare pilot program for the few million
21	dollars. But my understanding is and in
22	looking at some of the early budget drafts

is that there is a million dollars cut from

childcare at SUNY.

23

1	so I'm not clear what the answer that
2	was just given to Senator Krueger I
3	understand we're seeing an increase in one
4	pot of a pilot program for single parents at
5	community colleges, which sounds great, but
6	at the same time we're seeing a cut
7	elsewhere, and how do the two reconcile?
8	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: So to my
9	knowledge, I think you're exactly right, in
10	that the additional funding was a pilot for
11	400 women in particular that would be able to
12	attend higher ed over a three-year period of
13	time, taking into account all costs.
14	At the same time, there is a cut of
15	a million and I think that program is
16	\$3 million; I could be wrong about that.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Yeah, I think 3,
18	yeah.
19	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Yeah. And
20	then it's the Empowerment Program. And
21	then there's a million-dollar cut to the
22	childcare, which is part of a combination of
23	programs that we think really address our
24	most vulnerable students that we'd like to

1	see restored, which is EOP, EOC, mental
2	health, telecounseling, and childcare as
3	well.
4	So you are correct on both of those.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Okay, I just I
6	can't say enough how absolutely imperative it
7	is to have those childcare resources for
8	parents, particularly women. It's what
9	really hurts them with staying out of the
10	workforce, let alone upgrading their skills.
11	So I very much appreciate your support on
12	that.
13	Last question, the mental health
14	telecounseling. It sounds very promising.
15	And the more we can do I just met with
16	over two dozen school superintendents on
17	Saturday, and it was probably the number-one
18	issue that they are really grappling with in
19	elementary, secondary schools. And
20	everywhere we go I'm out of time. I would
21	like to hear more on that program to see if
22	we can replicate or expand it.

SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Sure. Love

to get together to talk about that. Thank

23

1	you.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Okay, thank you.
3	Thank you to the chair.
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
5	We've been joined by Assemblywoman
6	Alicia Hyndman.
7	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And we've been
8	joined by Senator Robert Jackson and Senator
9	Gustavo Rivera, who I think I forgot to
10	introduce a while ago.
11	And the next up is Senator Robert
12	Jackson.
13	SENATOR JACKSON: Good afternoon.
14	Good afternoon, Chancellor.
15	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Good
16	afternoon.
17	SENATOR JACKSON: I'm a newbie. That
18	terminology means that I'm new in the State
19	Senate, so forgive me if I ask a question
20	that's not appropriate. But I wanted to ask
21	a question because I've communicated to my
22	constituents down in Manhattan that I'm going
23	to be very active in the New York State

Senate, and especially when it comes to

1	education.
2	So I want to ask a general question of
3	you as far as how important it is today, in
4	today's world, to receive a college education
5	compared to the 1950s.
6	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Wow. So
7	compared to the 1950s, I'd say even compared
8	to the last century, it's changed in the last
9	generation, so from 2000 forward.
10	You know, I say college is the new
11	high school. When you look at 11.6 million
12	new jobs created in the last, you know,
13	decade 11.5 million that's
14	99 percent went to individuals with a
15	college education.
16	SENATOR JACKSON: So it's very
17	important, then.
18	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: It's not a
19	"nice to" or a "want to," it's a "have to."
20	SENATOR JACKSON: And how important it
21	is for children growing up through the
22	elementary school system to be provided an
23	opportunity to get that college education?

SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Very

process.

3	And I think I knew your answers, and
4	if it was anything other than that, I would
5	be really shocked. And I only raise that
6	because I don't know if you're aware of my
7	history in fighting for the children not only
8	in New York City, but in New York State, as
9	per the highest court in the State of New
10	York, that they be afforded the opportunity
11	to receive an adequate education. And

funding is extremely important in that

SENATOR JACKSON: Very important.

And so that's why I asked that question. Because if you're not aware, Chancellor, in my opinion -- and some may differ with me -- the State of New York owes New York State's children \$4 billion towards their education, and the state has not lived up to it. And that's why I was asking you those general questions, how important it is to get a college education, how important it is to have the foundation of a good elementary school, pre-K to 12 education, so

1	children will have the opportunity to go to
2	college.
3	So I just raise that with you as the
4	Chancellor. If you're not aware, and I'm
5	sure that you are and if you're not, I'm
6	sure that your staff will make you aware how
7	important it is that education is and I
8	don't need to tell you how important it is,
9	that's why you're the chancellor. But you
10	should know that I'm going to fight like hell
11	to make sure that our children receive that
12	good education. And that's going to involve
13	the budgetary process.
14	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Thank you
15	very much, Senator.
16	SENATOR JACKSON: Thank you,
17	Chancellor.
18	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
19	Assembly?
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman
21	Buttenschon.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: Thank you
23	very much again for being here today.
24	I am a proud community college

1	graduate and SUNY graduate, as well as having
2	spent about 20 years working in the system in
3	regards to an adjunct faculty progressing
4	through full-time and then administration.
5	I would like to provide some follow-up
6	from many of my colleagues that have asked
7	some of the questions that I am concerned
8	with.
9	I come from the 119th Assembly
10	District, which includes Utica and Rome, and
11	it is a very diverse areas as well as many
12	families live within poverty level. So these
13	colleges are very important to us, not only
14	to individuals that are attending our local
15	schools but individuals that would be
16	attending across the state.
17	I am very interested in the new
18	formula that includes non-matriculated
19	students, so I look forward to that
20	follow-up, as you had stated you would
21	provide.
22	In regards to staffing, does SUNY have

an optimal full-time to part-time faculty

that works well for success of retention and

23

1	completion?
2	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: You know,
3	the different I mean it's a great
4	question. The different sectors have
5	different ratios of full-time to part-time
6	faculty. I'd have to think a little more
7	carefully and confab with the provost on
8	"optimum."
9	So I think what's important is that
LO	our faculty are so dedicated and working so
11	hard to deliver that excellent education, and
12	can we give them the kind of support so that
13	they can be successful. And that includes
14	our adjuncts as well. I think that the more
15	that we can rely on adjuncts with unique
16	perspectives maybe they've worked in
17	business. I mean, I think that adjuncts are
18	a very welcome and important part of the
19	enterprise.
20	The question is really just a matter
21	of balance. And that's what I think we need
22	to look at carefully.

23 ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: And I can 24 agree with you. But when you're talking

1	about 29 percent and fluctuating, I think
2	that it is a concern.
3	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: That is a
4	concern.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: In our
6	community, the major concern of the EOP
7	programs and the like that expand upon as
8	it was addressed, you said one in five of
9	your female students utilize your childcare.
10	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Right.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: We have
12	lost one of our childcare programs at Mohawk
13	Valley, and now at the end of this spring
14	semester we will lose it at Herkimer. I just
15	wondered if there's a plan that SUNY has in
16	regards to and even at our SUNY school,
17	that could fill that gap. I know there's the
18	pilot program. But is that something that is
19	looked at of what areas will be provided
20	within the pilot and what will be done?
21	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Right. We
22	look forward to getting you know, to
23	working with the Governor's office and also
24	the Legislature to help define that program

	_		_	_	
7	っっつ	+ ~	1 ~ 1 1 1	-ah	
1	anu	L()	lauı		_ ⊥ し •

We'll also be looking at the childcare

access on our campuses. So I'll come back to

you on that.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: In regards to online learning, I know that's something that ensures -- opens an opportunity to so many individuals. But again, I think some of my colleagues talked about retention and completion. Are those rates at a level that you feel are sufficient enough to expand on this?

SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: I think if they're done well and done right, yes. I think that we -- what people hear a lot about are the so-called MOOCs, the massively online open courses, where you might have 100,000 or tens of thousands of individuals taking one class and the completion rate is 5 percent. That's not what we're talking about here.

We're actually talking about working with students to craft out their path, their program, keeping them on track, providing the tutoring and the just-in-time intervention to

Τ	neip them be successful. And it will be
2	expensive, but it will be rewarding and it
3	will be absolutely essential that we be
4	competitive in that market.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: So as far
6	as right now, there isn't a success rate at
7	this point that you have?
8	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: I think our
9	success rates again, because our online is
10	mostly just part of the on-campus offering
11	are very high.
12	I think that as we expand to more
13	students and students that aren't on campus
14	but are exclusively online, I think that's
15	where we're going to have to pay particular
16	attention to success.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: And these
18	might be just as far as your input to SED
19	with the e-licensing, is that something
20	you're working collaboratively with SED to
21	ensure that that's going to work smoothly?
22	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Yes,
23	absolutely.
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: As we know

1	right now, many of your graduates have some
2	gaps in that area.
3	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Right.
4	We see just one other thing. We
5	think that one of the big opportunities, too,
6	for our online opportunities are for also
7	students that already have a degree but they
8	might want to get a master's degree or
9	additional certification and accreditation in
10	an area. So it will be an interesting mix
11	going forward.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: Thank you,
13	Chair.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
15	Senate?
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: We're on second
17	round.
18	Senator Toby Stavisky.
19	SENATOR STAVISKY: Yeah, very quickly,
20	because you've been talking about online.
21	A two-part question. How will this
22	<pre>impact you're talking about online at the</pre>
23	various campuses. How will this affect

24 Empire State College? And secondly, how will

1	this affect the ratio of full-time faculty to
2	the adjuncts?
3	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Great
4	question.
5	So Empire State College is one of our
6	leaders in online and I would say alternative
7	opportunities for attaining a higher
8	education degree, so they're going to be
9	right in the middle of what we do as online.
10	And then the second part of the
11	question was, I'm sorry?
12	SENATOR STAVISKY: The second part was
13	how will the online affect the ratio of
14	full-time faculty to adjuncts.
15	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: I think if
16	done well, it can actually improve the ratio
17	of full-time to part-time faculty, the
18	online, because it will bring in
19	nontraditional learners, exclusively online
20	learners. And depending on how we develop
21	the offering, there would be the opportunity,
22	for example, to have expert faculty across
23	SUNY collaborate on providing a program
24	online. There will be the opportunity to

1	take some of the great programs that at an
2	individual campus like, you know, the Empire
3	State, Buffalo, Mohawk, wherever, and provide
4	them online.
5	It turns out that one of our most
6	popular online courses right now is from a
7	community college that students at four-year
8	out-of-state schools take in statistics. So
9	I think that we're going to learn a lot about
10	how to do online well with the resources that
11	we have.
12	We'll be mindful of that ratio,
13	though, going forward.
14	SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
16	Assembly.
17	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
18	Assemblyman Weprin.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Thank you, Madam
20	Chair. And welcome, Chancellor.
21	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Thank you.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: I want to thank
23	you for continuing SUNY's outreach to SUNY
24	alum who are in the Legislature. And I know

1	we've had a number of breakfasts since I'm
2	here the last 10 years. And I'm a SUNY
3	Albany graduate, as you know, and very proud
4	of that. And I appreciate all the work
5	you've been doing across the state and
6	getting out and interacting with us in the
7	Legislature. So I want to thank you for
8	that, and I want to encourage you to continue
9	that outreach.
10	In the Assembly, I chair the
11	Corrections Committee. And there are a
12	number of college programs in our
13	correctional facilities. The two largest
14	ones are with Bard College and with John Jay
15	College of Criminal Justice. There is a
16	small program that we have funded in the
17	Assembly with Mohawk Valley Community College
18	and the Marcy Correctional Facility.

So my question for you is, would you encourage more programs with SUNY for people incarcerated in correctional facilities?

Especially when you're dealing with inmates that are going to be getting out soon and we certainly want to encourage them to integrate

Τ	into society, and certainly attending college
2	and getting college courses and even degrees
3	while they're incarcerated is very helpful
4	for their reentry into society.
5	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Absolutely.
6	We'd be very encouraging of that and would
7	push to expand our offerings, definitely.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Okay. Are there
9	any other institutions that have had any kind
10	of program other than Mohawk Valley.
11	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: I'm not
12	sure, but I could ask my team.
13	So I'm told that North Country,
14	SUNY Poly and others. Why don't we do a
15	I'll collect the information of what programs
16	are where, and we'll get that to you.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: That will be
18	helpful. Especially since a lot of the SUNY
19	campuses are so close to our state
20	correctional facilities, it would seem to
21	make a lot of sense.
22	And then just briefly, as a follow-up
23	on Senator Stavisky's first round of
24	questioning about out-of-state tuition. I

1	too have been an advocate for expanding
2	out-of-state tuition and even increases for
3	out-of-state, which would obviously keep
4	in-state tuition down.

Can you just repeat the statistics?

Because I know they're very low as far as out-of-state tuition, and is that something we could work certainly with some of the neighboring states to advertise that we do have SUNY campuses? And it would be helpful to keep tuition down for in-state residents if there was possibly more out-of-state residents paying higher tuition or maybe even an increase for the out-of-state tuition.

And how does that compare to other states?

SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Right. So our out-of-state tuition varies by sector,

our out-of-state tuition varies by sector, but it's roughly around, what, \$10,000? And our in-state is roughly around, what, 6,000? Yup. So there is a differential there.

Some of our surrounding states, their out-of-state tuition is less than some of our in-state, so that they can actually draw some of our students away. So I think we're

1	looking at sort of the enrollment strategy on
2	those particular border states to see what we
3	can propose. And we'll get we'll look at
4	that.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: No, I just agree
6	with Senator Stavisky that it is an area that
7	we can look at
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Excuse me.
9	Assemblyman Weprin
10	ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: especially
11	when we're talking about modest increases.
12	Thank you, Madam Chair.
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate.
14	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Seward
15	for a quick follow-up.
16	SENATOR SEWARD: Thank you, Madam
17	Chair.
18	I just wanted to go back to the
19	SUNY the capital appropriation. The
20	Executive Budget provides \$550 million for
21	this year. Now, will this I just want
22	clarification. Now, will this cover only the
23	critical maintenance for the various
24	campuses?

1	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: That's
2	correct.
3	SENATOR SEWARD: No additional
4	additions or upgrades and that type of thing?
5	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Right.
6	Right. It will only cover critical
7	maintenance.
8	SENATOR SEWARD: And what do you
9	envision the impacts this level of funding
10	will have on our campuses? I mean, what can
11	you accomplish with this?
12	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Right.
13	Well, first of all, again, 550 a year for
14	five years, the predictability of it is
15	tremendous, so we're definitely appreciative
16	of that.
17	Probably would need a little bit more
18	in critical maintenance in order to take care
19	of the true backlog that we have on our
20	buildings. I do think we receive some new
21	capital, about \$100 million for Upstate and
22	hospitals in was it Stony Brook? Yeah,
23	Stony Brook. So I want to be correct and
2.4	aggurate there. So we did receive 100

1	million for the two hospitals for capital
2	investments.
3	What we'd like to do is to have an
4	increase on the critical maintenance so w

increase on the critical maintenance so we could take care of the backlog and to look at the opportunity to build new buildings and infrastructure for our -- in particular, it might be our engineering schools and our sciences, basic sciences buildings. For example, I toured Old Westbury, and they're in desperate need for a new facility there.

SENATOR SEWARD: You're absolutely right. We've gone several years without a comprehensive SUNY capital program, and there is some pent-up demand there for sure.

SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Oh, absolutely. And --

SENATOR SEWARD: I just wanted -- in our minute or so left, I just wanted to return to the community college funding formula. As I understand your proposal, in effect it would keep the -- those campuses that are actually losing enrollment, to keep them at a hold-harmless position; those that

1	are increasing would get the FTE.
2	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Correct.
3	That is correct.
4	SENATOR SEWARD: Would this model be
5	responsive to, you know, sudden large
6	increases in enrollment at a community
7	college? Because as you pointed out earlier
8	in your testimony, when you know,
9	economically when things are bad, you know,
10	more people the enrollment goes up,
11	sometimes dramatically, and vice versa.
12	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Exactly.
13	Very much so.
14	SENATOR SEWARD: So are you
15	comfortable that it would accommodate a large
16	increase in enrollment?
17	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: It would be
18	a great start. It would be a great start.
19	And I also would be remiss if I didn't
20	say with community colleges we did receive a
21	match from the state for the local county
22	capital investment. So I think that was
23	for how much, 40? I'm not sure how much,

but it was -- we're very grateful for that as

1	well.
2	SENATOR SEWARD: Thank you.
3	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
4	Assembly?
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman
6	Simon.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: There it goes.
8	Thank you very much.
9	I would also like to speak to some of
10	the issues regarding students with
11	disabilities on SUNY campuses. I think one
12	of the what's critically important is that
13	there are both technological needs, capital
L 4	needs, as well as service provision needs
15	that we are going to be facing in greater
16	numbers as we go forward.
17	And in a particular situation, I want
18	to reflect on your comments with regard to
19	the Title IX proposal from the Department of
20	Education. And obviously based on, if
21	nothing else, the evidentiary standard that's
22	being increased, the narrowness of
23	definitions, as well as the live-hearing

requirement in higher education, I am very

1	concerned that we will end up retraumatizing
2	victims. It will have a chilling effect on
3	reporting, and those victims will either be
4	remaining on our campuses where those
5	incidents occurred or, if it happens in some
6	other school, even a private school,
7	whatever, they will arrive at SUNY with
8	greater disability-related needs from
9	posttraumatic stress, depression and anxiety,
10	which really will increase the need for
11	counseling.
12	I'm curious whether you have looked at
13	that issue, whether you are planning for
14	increased services that would be the result
15	of the consequences of this. It's already a
16	very big concern. I'm curious how you've
17	assessed those needs.
18	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Well, you
19	obviously hit the three major issues square
20	on the head.
21	And so the first thing that we're
22	doing is fighting these changes. Right? So
23	that's the second thing is that as we move
24	forward that's one of the reasons we were

1	pleased to receive last year the 600,000 for
2	the tele-mental health counseling, which is
3	really important and crucial. Because it
4	does allow a certain anonymity, too, with
5	regard to the counseling. And we take very
6	seriously the safety of our students.
7	So we through the great work of Joe
8	Storch, who is leading the effort, we
9	received a \$5 million effort to look at the
10	prevention of domestic and interpersonal
11	violence on our campuses.
12	So very committed to this. You
13	cannot learn if you do not feel you're safe
14	and if you're traumatized. So I totally am
15	with you and will continue to work hard for
16	our students.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Thank you. If
18	you end up with numbers on that, I'd
19	appreciate knowing what your thinking is.
20	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Definitely.
21	Will do.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Thank you.
23	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Thank you.
24	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So the

1	Assembly, for seconds. Now we have
2	Deborah Glick.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you.
4	One request and then a question.
5	There are a number of things that we have
6	asked questions that you have indicated you
7	will get back to us on.
8	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Yes.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: We would like
10	that in writing so that we can share it with
11	all of the members. So we want to be certain
12	that we get that information in a form that
13	can be disseminated.
14	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Okay. Very
15	good.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Secondly, I have
17	felt for some long period of time that higher
18	ed has not gotten sufficient resources
19	allocated by the Executive. And if there
20	were a perfect world in which we could
21	suggest to the Governor that we not have to
22	back-fill opportunity programs, et cetera,

and there was, you know, an additional \$300

million of which SUNY might have access to 1

23

1	million to 150 million more, what would you
2	do with that other than deal with the TAP
3	gap? I'm asking priorities.

SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: I think that we have laid out the priorities with regard to ensuring our community colleges have predictable funding. The investment in our capital for new build to make our infrastructure a 21st-century infrastructure would be critically important.

We would like to see -- you know, one of the priorities that we've set is to double our innovation and entrepreneurship and scholarship. And that's community college -- that's our P-TECH schools all the way through and our charter schools all the way through to our higher ed. That's innovation all the way up and down the education continuum. So there would be opportunities there.

I mean, you know, to -- I probably would like to come back and have a conversation and dialogue about that after thinking through it in more detail. But the priorities that we laid out would be a great

1	start.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you.
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
4	Assemblyman Smith.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: Thank you to the
6	chair.
7	Another thing I'd like to ask on this,
8	and we haven't really addressed it. We
9	addressed a number of other questions I would
10	have liked to have asked. Obviously one of
11	our priorities is keeping our students safe
12	in our schools, and that's going to be
13	addressed, I think, in some of the
L 4	legislation we take up this week.
15	But as the SUNY Chancellor, you along
16	with the Education Commissioner and the
17	Budget Director sit on the Smart Schools
18	Review Board to deal with the \$2 billion that
19	was allocated during the Smart Schools Bond
20	Act in 2014. Last September, \$400 million
21	was disbursed to New York City schools to
22	help improve technology, make sure we're not
23	learning in portable classrooms but
2.4	thorals still \$1.2 hillion that s hoon

1	sitting there. And I know for my district,
2	my schools, that's their number-one priority.
3	They're trying to make basic security
4	upgrades.
5	Why and I'm not trying to put you
6	on the spot, but this committee, of which
7	you, the Education commissioner and the
8	Budget Director sit on, why is there not
9	another meeting scheduled to meet? And the
10	plans that the school districts have
11	submitted on what they would like to spend
12	the money Sachem School District, that I

submitted on what they would like to spend
the money -- Sachem School District, that I
represent, has been approved for \$11 million.
They haven't seen a dime of it in four school
years. They're really dealing with budget
issues because they want to put vestibules in
the schools to keep our students safe. And
it's not a reimbursable grant, so if they lay
out the money initially, they can't be repaid
on that.

Can you speak to that a little bit?

SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Yeah, give

me a minute. I'm just going to confer with

my lifeline here.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: We could stop the
2	clock, maybe?
3	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Thank you
4	for allowing me to do that.
5	So as you may know, I started as
6	chancellor in 2017, so I wasn't around in
7	2014. And I'll certainly look into it. I
8	just don't know about it.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: If you could do
10	that, that would be great. Because as you
11	can understand, that's a priority. And as we
12	go forward in the budget, our schools are
13	dealing with making up their budgets for next
L 4	year. They have to decide what they're going
15	to be doing in terms of school security. And
16	I think we all agree that for \$1.2 billion to
L7	sit there since 2014, that's pretty
18	devastating when we do have a it's a very
19	scary world we live in. So thank you so much
20	for looking into that.
21	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: You're
22	welcome.
23	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
24	Assemblyman Barclay.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Thank you,
2	Chairwoman.
3	I have just a very quick question.
4	SUNY Oswego is in my district, and we have a
5	small business development center there
6	that's provided a lot of success to a lot of
7	small businesses in the Oswego area.
8	That budget is proposed to be cut
9	under the Governor's proposal. Can you speak
10	to that, and why? It's not that much.
11	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: We're asking
12	for that to be restored as well.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Thank you.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you,
15	Chancellor, for being here.
16	And any follow-up questions, responses
17	that you send just for people more in the
18	audience to know will be made part of our
19	official record.
20	Thank you. And I'm sure we'll
21	continue to have conversations as we go
22	through this budget process.
23	SUNY CHANCELLOR JOHNSON: Thank you
24	very much, Chair. Thank you all very much

1	for your time. Appreciate it.
2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So next we
3	will as people leave, we're going to call
4	down the City University of New York Interim
5	Chancellor Vita Rabinowitz.
6	(Off the record.)
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. So
8	you can proceed. If others at the table are
9	going to be speaking, just introduce
10	yourselves when you speak.
11	Thank you, Chancellor.
12	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Good
13	afternoon. Good afternoon, Chairs Stavisky,
14	Krueger, Glick, Weinstein, members of the
15	Finance, Ways and Means, and Higher Education
16	Committees, staff and guests. I am Vita
17	Rabinowitz, interim chancellor of the
18	City University of New York.
19	I am joined at the table by Matt
20	Sapienza, senior vice chancellor and chief
21	financial officer, Judy Bergtraum, senior
22	vice chancellor for facilities planning,
23	construction and management, and Interim Vice
24	Chancellor for Student Affairs Christopher

1	. Rosa.

I am delighted to share with you today some recent progress at CUNY and discuss priorities and goals for our future. I will deliver an abbreviated version of my written testimony so that we can move to your questions.

I want to begin by thanking Governor

Cuomo and all our funding partners in the

state and city for enabling us to deliver

results for our students and the citizens of

New York. I also want to thank Chair William

Thompson and the CUNY Board of Trustees for

their tireless efforts on behalf of our

students, faculty, and staff.

This is an exciting time in the
University's history, one marked by national
acclaim for our senior and community
colleges, prestigious awards for our students
and faculty, and significant expansion of our
physical plant. The records we have set in
freshman enrollment -- almost 40,000 more new
freshmen in 2018, a rise of 4 percent over
the previous year -- is a testament to the

L	quality of our institutions and the
2	excellence of the outcomes that our graduates
3	can expect.

Last year we awarded over 54,000

degrees, the most in our history, fueled in part by increases in graduation rates for both the bachelor's and the associate's levels, which are now approaching national public university averages. CUNY colleges account for more than a third of the business and finance degrees awarded in New York City, about a third of New York City's public school teachers, and a high percentage of the nurses and the health sciences professionals employed at local medical facilities.

We produce hundreds of computer scientists and engineers every year, and those numbers have risen sharply over the last five years, with growing percentages of women and underrepresented minorities among them. Significantly, more than 80 percent of our graduates remain and work in New York.

The university's vital role as an engine of economic and social mobility in

1	New York takes on added urgency in a
2	knowledge economy. CUNY's 2020 budget
3	request is predicated on the idea that for
4	the City and State of New York to thrive and
5	lead in these times, CUNY must play a key
6	role in producing a diverse, highly educated
7	workforce at scale for the most globally
8	competitive region in the nation.

Our budget request contains two major components. First, mandatory cost increases, which form the core of our request, and second, strategic investments in four areas, three of them centered around student success and the fourth around strengthening the university's infrastructure.

To support our mandatory cost increases, we are pleased that the Governor's Executive budget promises an increase of 3 percent for our senior colleges and includes \$47.7 million in funding for fringe benefits. The modest senior college tuition increase planned under the predictable tuition policy is also included, generating an additional \$31.3 million.

1	I will take this opportunity to
2	underscore our request for a multiyear plan
3	to close the TAP gap, the difference between
4	the maximum TAP award and the tuition CUNY
5	charges. For community colleges, we propose
6	a base aid increase of \$250 per student so
7	that we can keep community college tuition
8	flat.

We are committed to making the university's operations more efficient so that we can redirect funds to strategic investments. Two years into our four-year plan, I am pleased to tell you that CUNY is on track to realize \$75 million in administrative efficiencies.

Our first category of strategic investments expands upon proven approaches that advance degree completion. As the economy rapidly changes, completing degrees of value is ever more essential for our students to thrive in their chosen careers. We propose to expand our signature student success program, Accelerated Study in Associate Programs, or ASAP, and expand its

1	new four-year counterpart, which is very
2	innovative for the State of New York it's
3	called Accelerate, Complete and Engage, or
4	ACE as well as support other academic
5	momentum initiatives that together are
6	accelerating credit accumulation, completion,
7	raising graduation rates and, crucially,
8	closing racial achievement gaps at CUNY.
9	Growing our proven early-college programs
10	will give more high school students college
11	credit while still in high school, improving
12	the chances that they will make good progress
13	through college and graduate on time.
14	Expanding, diversifying and supporting
15	our full-time faculty is essential to all of

Expanding, diversifying and supporting our full-time faculty is essential to all of our academic success initiatives. We are seeking funding for more full-time faculty.

We also ask that you continue full funding of our effective SEEK and CD programs.

Second, we need to make sure that we remove barriers to students' success based on basic needs for food and housing security, mental and physical health, and childcare, among others. We propose to expand to all

1	senior campuses the powerful program Single
2	Stop, which connects students and their
3	families to untapped government benefits and
4	other kinds of assistance for which they are
5	eligible.

We also request resources to increase availability of campus childcare and to address student food insecurity in an innovative new way, by providing swipe cards for use in our campus cafeterias.

Third, we propose to invest in what we call CUNY Works, a set of integrated, bold new steps to ensure that our students are well-positioned to thrive in the changing world of work. We will scale up CUNY's new workforce center to engage employers across 10 high-growth sectors and translate their needs into career opportunities for CUNY students.

Similarly, we plan to grow the availability of paid internships and expand experiential and service learning for our students. We have seen firsthand just how transformative programs like Service Corps

1	Puerto	Rico	can	be	for	our	students.

Support of \$2.8 million for the CUNY School of Medicine, which opened its doors in fall 2016 and has been since its inception one of the most diverse medical schools in the nation. You may have seen a recent article in the New York Daily News chronicling its remarkable ways of operating and its success in attracting and retaining a diverse minority student body.

Finally, we appreciate the commitment to CUNY's infrastructure needs, with \$444.5 million of new capital funding, including a \$284 million investment in critical maintenance for CUNY senior colleges and \$68 million in matching funds for our community colleges. These funds will go a long way in enabling upgrades for aging elements of our buildings.

We're also requesting funding for new buildings, including much-needed science and health professions facilities. Thanks to Governor Cuomo and the Legislature, we were

1	able to meet those needs recently for
2	students at City Tech, where we just opened a
3	\$400 million state-of-the-art academic
4	building with cutting-edge technology to
5	train future STEM and allied health
6	professionals.
7	We are truly grateful to the Governor
8	and the Legislature for your steadfast
9	commitment to keeping public higher education
10	affordable in New York, especially in
11	comparison with other states. CUNY's
12	relatively low tuition, generous financial
13	aid, and the Excelsior scholarship, combined
14	with tax credits, enable 65 percent of our
15	students to attend tuition-free, 65 percent.
16	Last year, nearly 3300 CUNY students earned
17	the Excelsior Scholarship, and with the
18	higher income limit this year, we expect that
19	number to rise.
20	In spring 2018, Excelsior scholars had
21	significantly higher GPAs
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Do you want to
23	just summarize the because we do have your
24	written testimony.

1	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: I will,
2	Assemblywoman, I promise.
3	and higher retention rates than
4	non-Excelsior students.
5	We're delighted with your leadership
6	and the Governor's leadership on the Jose
7	Peralta Dream Act, and at CUNY, as you know,
8	we have thousands of undocumented students
9	who will directly benefit from your actions.
10	To conclude, CUNY's strength comes
11	from the extraordinary richness and variety
12	of our large, diverse community. We're on
13	the cutting edge of implementing academic
14	strategies, technologies and programs that
15	help students better navigate college and
16	achieve their dreams.
17	We thank you for your extraordinary
18	partnership in this work, and I will be happy
19	to address your questions now.
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
21	We're going to go first to our Higher
22	Education chair, Assemblywoman Glick.
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: It's nice to see
24	you.

1	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Thank
2	you.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Welcome. This
4	is, I think, your first trial by fire.
5	(Laughter.)
6	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: It is.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: So we understand
8	that the City University has a different
9	demographic than SUNY. What is the current
10	TAP gap, and how much does that escalate each
11	year as you increase tuition?
12	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: That's a
13	great question, Assemblywoman. I will say
14	that the per-student TAP gap at CUNY is about
15	\$1,565. This year we estimate the TAP gap to
16	be about \$72 million at CUNY. And in the
17	fiscal year that we're talking about, fiscal
18	year 2020, it will go up to about \$85
19	million.
20	I will turn to our Senior Vice
21	Chancellor Sapienza. Matt, did I leave
22	anything out there?
23	SUNY CFO SAPIENZA: No.
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Could you

T	clarify exactly what you're looking for for
2	the medical school when you say \$2.8 million
3	for the school? Presumably the school
4	physically exists.
5	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Yes, it
6	does.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: So is this for
8	tuition support, or what other things are you
9	including in that?
10	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Happy to
11	answer that question, Assemblywoman. The
12	tuition at the medical school right now is
13	\$40,000 a year, which as you well know is
14	below the national average. What we are
15	asking for is \$40,000 per student, or
16	\$2.8 million a year for state investment in
17	the medical school. This would achieve
18	parity with what SUNY medical schools receive
19	on a per-student basis.
20	Just so you know, we accept about
21	70 students per year in the new medical
22	school. And so that's what so it's
23	\$40,000 per student, \$2.8 million a year.
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Okay. When it

1	comes to capital, we have a number of figures
2	here in your testimony, and I want to tease
3	it out. There was of the \$444.5 million
4	in new capital funding, you have 284 in
5	critical maintenance.
6	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Correct,
7	for senior colleges.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: And included in
9	that is the \$68 million. So what is that
10	leaving you for anything else, and what would
11	you be using it for?
12	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: I'm going
13	to turn to Senior Vice Chancellor Bergtraum
14	to address that question.
15	VICE CHANCELLOR BERGTRAUM: So the 284
16	and the 68 are separate. The 284 is totally
17	for critical maintenance. The 68 is a match
18	for what the city provided for the community
19	colleges.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: And then the
21	balance of the
22	VICE CHANCELLOR BERGTRAUM: The
23	balance is 37 million to pay for the staffing
24	at DASNY and at the Construction Fund, which

1	is CUNY.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Okay, so that's
3	all essentially administrative dollars
4	VICE CHANCELLOR BERGTRAUM: Yes.
5	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Yes.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: the balance
7	does not go to doing anything physically.
8	What do you estimate your needs are
9	going forward if you were to have if we
10	were to have the five-year capital plan that
11	the Legislature has repeatedly voted for,
12	what would that look like? Obviously,
13	there's planning, then there's design and
14	construction, and then there's actual
15	construction. What are your needs?
16	VICE CHANCELLOR BERGTRAUM: So our
17	request is we produce this book every year,
18	which you will get a copy of probably this
19	week, and it gives you our needs laid out for
20	every single year and for every single
21	college. So you will get this, and it will
22	give you all the specifics.
23	And our request for this fiscal year
24	is about \$1.4 billion.

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: And that would
2	deal with actually new things, not just
3	VICE CHANCELLOR BERGTRAUM: That would
4	be the beginning of new construction and that
5	would be the critical maintenance. With the
6	critical maintenance, the 284, we've gotten
7	we've received 284 for the last three or
8	four years, and it's made a really big
9	difference because we can plan ahead, and
10	it's had a very big impact.
11	We're different from SUNY in the
12	aspect that we are in New York City, we don't
13	have we have to work in buildings that are
14	occupied. So when we get capital money, it's
15	a very interesting procedure to figure out
16	what we're going to do and where, because
17	we're renovating in buildings that are being
18	used by students.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: And the 1.4 that
20	you're requesting and we'll see a very
21	detailed book soon includes the 284 plus
22	some additional?
23	VICE CHANCELLOR BERGTRAUM: It
24	includes the 284 additional critical

1	maintenance request and additional money for
2	new construction.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Okay. In terms
4	of where you currently are in your efforts to
5	increase full-time faculty, where are you
6	now?
7	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: We have
8	proposed funding for 200 new faculty a year.
9	Colleagues, you have seen our numbers. CUNY
10	has about 7,600 full-time faculty. The ranks
11	of our adjunct faculty have grown to about
12	12,000 this year, from 11,000-something last
13	year.
14	So it is essential for us as a great
15	university to replenish and grow the
16	full-time faculty. We lose to retirement in
17	recent years about anywhere from 180 to
18	200 faculty a year. And about 30 percent of
19	our faculty are age 62 or older. So we see,
20	you know, a time of replenishment.
21	While we don't have a numerical target
22	for new faculty that varies by area, by
23	school, by college we have a general goal

of increasing the number of full-time faculty

1	and increasing the percentage of instruction
2	that is delivered by full-time faculty.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: It sounds,
4	though, as if there is substantial
5	retirement, and so the goal of 200 new
6	faculty seems to just keep pace.
7	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Right.
8	Right. So, Assemblywoman, that's 200 faculty
9	above replacement levels, yes.
10	CUNY CFO SAPIENZA: And Chair Glick,
11	if I can, I also want to add in our budget
12	request we include our four-year financial
13	plan and we're seeking to add 200 new faculty
14	in each of the four years. So over four
15	years, we would add 800 to that 7600 figure
16	that the chancellor cited.
17	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Right.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Okay. On the
19	issue in the Governor's budget the
20	Governor holds steady on the LEADS program,
21	but it's my understanding that you continue
22	to grow the number of students with
23	disabilities. What kind of gap does just
24	holding fast generate? And I assume that

1	Chris Rosa will be answering.
2	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: He
3	certainly will.
4	I know we have at least 10,000
5	students with disabilities at the City
6	University of New York. It's a growing
7	number. And I will gladly turn to Vice
8	Chancellor Rosa to answer the question.
9	VICE CHANCELLOR ROSA: Thank you,
10	Chancellor. And thank you for the question,
11	Assemblymember.
12	Our chancellor is correct, we've
13	exceeded more than 10,000 students with
14	disabilities, pushing towards 11,000. And
15	for us, that represents a growth of more than
16	a third in our enrollment of students with
17	disabilities over the last 25 years. And our
18	foundation funding for reasonable
19	accommodations and support services was
20	established by the state at that same time 25
21	years ago, and its purchasing power has
22	eroded by almost 40 percent. So we're

challenged to serve a third more students

with funding that purchases roughly

23

1	40 percent less accommodations and support
2	services for students with disabilities.
3	And it's our understanding that our
4	colleagues at SUNY and the private and
5	proprietary colleges are experiencing a
6	similar dynamic as college students with
7	disabilities statewide have eclipsed 60,000
8	in enrollment for the first time in the
9	history of the state.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: I know my time
11	is out. I will come back just to get a
12	little more clarification on what your needs
13	are in that arena.
L 4	VICE CHANCELLOR ROSA: Sure. Thank
15	you.
16	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
17	We were joined quite a while ago by
18	Assemblyman Victor Pichardo.
19	Senate?
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
21	First questioner, Senator Toby
22	Stavisky.
23	SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you.
24	And welcome, my colleagues from CUNY.

Τ	And to pick up on what Assemblywoman
2	Glick was talking about, I know you have a
3	lot of programs for the disabled community.
4	And I suspect my constituent, Vice Chancellor
5	Rosa, will answer that question.
6	VICE CHANCELLOR ROSA: Sure. We've
7	been very, very fortunate through investment
8	from the state to create some signature
9	programs that promote access and success for
10	students with disabilities. Our CUNY LEADS
11	program is probably the strongest, where
12	intensive career-readiness services that
13	begin as students are admitted and continue
14	over the course of their matriculation and
15	development through CUNY, and ultimately
16	working on connecting students to competitive
17	employment opportunities.
18	And through that investment,
19	70 percent of our college students with
20	disabilities gain competitive employment
21	within 18 months of graduation. So it's a
22	stunning figure, and it's really all the
23	result of the investment by the state, for
24	which we're really grateful.

1	SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you. I've
2	been to many of the events conducted by the
3	Committee for Disabled Students at
4	Queens College, and they're terrific,
5	terrific programs.
6	Let me ask a question about something
7	that I'm obviously concerned about, and
8	that's remediation. Can you tell us I
9	asked Chancellor Johnson the same question.
10	Can you tell me how many students are
11	receiving remediation, the percentage of the
12	student body who are receiving remediation,
13	and what the cost is?
14	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Yes, I
15	can, Senator. This has been a as
16	university provost, it was my passion to
17	address this problem.
18	At CUNY, up until a year ago, about 80
19	percent of all entering community college
20	freshmen and over 50 percent of all CUNY
21	freshmen were placed into remedial courses or
22	remediation. Or developmental education
23	mostly in mathematics, but in reading and
24	writing as well. Of that 80 percent, most of

1	those	students	were	students	of	color.

And a year after being placed into remedial courses, fewer than 50 percent of those students had completed both a credit-bearing math and English course. Of that 50 percent who didn't complete one or another credit-bearing course, most do not finish college. Not at CUNY, not anywhere else.

Traditional remediation is not highly effective. It is not effective for students involved, it is not a smart bet for students' families or for the taxpayers of the State of New York.

So we did three things at CUNY. First of all, we developed better placement algorithms to make sure that students were not being overplaced into remediation and that students who could succeed in credit-bearing courses if only they were given a chance were placed into credit-bearing courses with extra supports if necessary.

Second, we developed an entire suite

1	of what is called co-requisite courses, which
2	is students take credit-bearing courses with
3	mandatory hours in extra support. These have
4	been found nationwide to be more effective
5	than the zero-credit remediation courses.

Thirdly, we ramped up our successful pre-matriculation remediation programs. You may have heard of CUNY Start, Math Start.

These are nationally renowned programs much like ASAP where students are immersed in either just math and English for a six-month period. They are not enrolled in college.

They pay \$75 for the entire course, and then they enter college fully college-ready, thereby using their precious financial aid for credit-bearing courses and to make progress toward their degrees.

So just to get back to your question, it cost us, before, \$55 million a year, the remediation process. And again, it resulted in lack of success at least 50 percent of the time.

Senator, I cannot give you the current cost. And we've also received a great deal

1	of grant support and other funding to make
2	these reforms. But what I can tell you is
3	this. The state's investment is being spent
4	more wisely, and it is certainly no more than
5	\$55 million a year. I will get back to you
6	on the current cost of our reforms.
7	SENATOR STAVISKY: And this question I
8	asked of SUNY also. How has the changes in
9	Title IX as advocated by Betsy DeVos and her
10	colleagues affected CUNY? And are there
11	additional Title IX requirements in terms of
12	cost?
13	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ:
14	Absolutely. A great question and a very
15	timely one.
16	Responses are due to the federal
17	government on the DeVos proposed changes on
18	Wednesday, Senator, as you know. And CUNY
19	has prepared a strong response that I think
20	will stand up to the tens of thousands
21	they're receiving, and that is this.
22	We oppose the proposed changes by the
23	
	federal Department of Education to Title IX.

1	claimants	of	sexual	harassment	bу	watering
2	down what	hai	rassment	is.		

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3 Further, at the same time they water down the definition of harassment, they significantly raise the bar for so-called trials where students would need to face their accusers, with representation on both sides -- an expensive, costly procedure that we think would discourage complaints altogether.

> And finally, we oppose the new recommendation that all accusations of sexual misconduct that occur off campus premises are not the purview of colleges. We know that students who experience sexual harassment or assault or battery anywhere bring their anguish and their problems to their studies. They are not receiving an equal access to a quality education.

> So we stand with Enough is Enough, and we stand against the proposed changes on the federal level.

SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you. you're saying that the watered-down version

1	is going to cost you a lot more money.
2	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Yes, it's
3	actually going to cost more money, yes.
4	SENATOR STAVISKY: When I attended
5	graduate school at Queens College, the
6	buildings were sort of old. The buildings
7	are still there
8	(Laughter.)
9	SENATOR STAVISKY: and they're even
10	older. How safe are are there concerns
11	about safety and building code standards, and
12	will this critical maintenance funding
13	alleviate some of our concerns?
14	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Great
15	question. Senator, I'm going to turn to Judy
16	again.
17	VICE CHANCELLOR BERGTRAUM: You know,
18	we comply with all the city and the state
19	regulations. The critical maintenance
20	program will improve what we have, it will
21	upgrade systems. For instance, we're
22	upgrading the fire alarm systems in 100
23	buildings. So the critical maintenance
24	one piece of the critical maintenance funding

Τ	is to do just what you're talking about.
2	SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you.
3	And one last question in terms of
4	enrollment and the Excelsior program. What
5	has been your experience?
6	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: So
7	Senator, as I testified, we have 33
8	about just a little less than 3300
9	students right now receiving the Excelsior
10	Scholarship.
11	Another 22,000 we estimate would have
12	been eligible for Excelsior; that is, the
13	students have all the other characteristics
14	but for the fact that they were already
15	receiving full tuition and aid through New
16	York State TAP and Pell and other forms of
17	financial aid.
18	SENATOR STAVISKY: And have you found
19	that the students have been notified of their
20	eligibility or ineligibility in a timely
21	fashion?
22	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Yes, I
23	believe that is the case, but I'm going to
24	ask Senior Vice Chancellor Sapienza to

1	respond more fully.
2	CUNY CFO SAPIENZA: Yes, I think in
3	the first year of the rollout we were all
4	trying to implement the program as quickly as
5	possible.
6	But I really want to recognize and
7	thank Commissioner Linares and his team at
8	HESC. They have been incredibly supportive
9	and incredibly helpful and available to us.
10	And so the program rollout has been
11	successful. And as we implement new cohorts,
12	we know that it will be more seamless.
13	SENATOR STAVISKY: I'm sure they'll be
14	glad to hear that. Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
16	Assembly?
17	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
18	Barclay.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Thank you,
20	Chairwoman.
21	And thank you, Chancellor, for your
22	testimony.
23	I think it was last summer there was
24	an article, kind of a high-profile article

1	about a no-bid contract being let to an
2	advertising firm. Obviously we've heard a
3	lot about around the state there were some
4	concerns about not appropriate oversight of
5	economic development programs and other
6	things.
7	Is CUNY doing anything to maybe change
8	the processes that you had in place so this
9	won't happen again?
10	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ:
11	Assemblyman, thank you for your question.
12	CUNY has spent much of the last two
13	years under the leadership of its Board of
14	Trustees and in close collaboration with the
15	Inspector General and the chancellory and the
16	presidents to make sure that all of our
17	processes and I'm talking about
18	procurement, about use of discretionary
19	funds, of tax levy funds, of our fiscal
20	management and oversight is in full
21	compliance.
22	We have established, among other
23	things, a standing audit committee again,
24	working closely, the board, the Inspector

1	General and the chancellory, we've
2	established an audit committee that not only
3	reviews all of our contracts and I'll turn
4	this over to Matt in a moment but also
5	exercises oversight over all internal and
6	external audits and makes sure that we're
7	following all policies, regulations and
8	procedures.
9	Matt, I know that procurement falls
10	under your office's bailiwick. Would you
11	please
12	CUNY CFO SAPIENZA: Yes, as the
13	chancellor said, we've developed several new
14	policies regarding financial management that
15	have been approved by a board, and which we
16	were very grateful for the assistance of the
17	inspector general on developing those
18	policies regarding procurement, regarding the
19	use of non-tax levy funds, regarding banking,
20	amongst a few of them.
21	And we also are requiring now all of
22	our presidents to report their annual
23	spending of discretionary non-tax levy funds.
24	So that's a new requirement that we have as

1	well.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: So I appreciate
3	that, and I think it's good reforms to have.
4	Do you have any opinion on having the
5	Attorney General have some oversight on your
6	procurement programs?
7	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Again,
8	I'll turn it over to Matt. I must say we are
9	looking forward to learning more details
10	about that proposal. We are all of these
11	reforms have been undertaken with an eye to
12	increased transparency. And if this new
13	regulation provides that, we are interested
14	in learning more.
15	But Matt, could you add to it?
16	SUNY CFO SAPIENZA: Yeah, I think, as
17	the chancellor said, we certainly support
18	anything that increases transparency. We
19	know that these are public taxpayer dollars,
20	and so we want to make sure that we're using
21	them in the most appropriate way. And
22	anything that supports that, we're supportive
23	of.

Again, as the chancellor said, we want

1	to look at the details of this new proposal.
2	And we want to ensure that the equipment that
3	our faculty and students need, that we're
4	able to purchase them in a timely manner.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Thank you.
6	Thank you, Chairwoman.
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
8	Senate?
9	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
10	Good afternoon. I was going to say
11	morning, and I realized no, that wasn't true.
12	So actually I did have some questions
13	about the program for the disabled. But I
14	believe that Assemblywoman Glick already said
15	she was going to continue, so I'll skip that
16	part.
17	So you mentioned that you are you
18	oppose the DeVos changes on sexual harassment
19	reporting. I had asked SUNY, so I'm going to
20	ask you as well, to get me your data on how
21	many cases are going forward under the
22	existing system and what changes you have
23	seen in the two years we've implemented a new
24	program in New York.

1	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Great.
2	And Senator Krueger, we're happy to do that.
3	I will give you a preview of those data,
4	though.
5	The incidence of sexual harassment at
6	CUNY, the reported incidence in surveys is
7	very low. We participate we comply fully
8	with the state's requirement that we survey
9	our students every two years. And not only
10	do we have low reported incidence and I
11	admit these are self-reports, and the
12	response rate is not a hundred percent by any
13	means. But they're very low reports even
L 4	compared to other schools.
15	And our survey and I will ask
16	University Associate Provost David Crook to
17	correct me if I'm wrong our surveys
18	indicate that 90 percent of our students feel
19	safe at their CUNY college campuses, and over
20	80 percent say they believe they understand
21	our procedures and how to report. Although I
22	will also admit that their applied
23	understanding of who their Title IX officer

is, where they should go if they have a

1	complaint, is not so great.
2	Also Senator, as you know, this is an
3	evolving story. As high-profile cases within
4	and beyond higher education come to the fore,
5	survey results become less representative,
6	less generalizable.
7	But I'm going to ask Associate Provost
8	Crock to add to the information about sexual
9	harassment surveys.
10	ASSOCIATE PROVOST CROOK: So the
11	information comes from the mandated Enough is
12	Enough survey that we're required to do every
13	other year.
L 4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Can you move the
15	mic a little closer?
16	ASSOCIATE PROVOST CROOK: Oh, sorry.
17	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
18	ASSOCIATE PROVOST CROOK: And the main
19	thing one of the things that we learned
20	from it is that there's still an opportunity
21	for us to do a better job of informing our
22	students about reporting procedures and so
23	forth. But they do have a very solid

24 understanding of how to handle themselves in

1	different	situations	they	might	find
2	themselves	s in.			

of the incidents that are reported, the great majority happen off-campus. We're concerned about those, but it's not the same situation that we might have in a system with lots of dormitories or fraternities, sororities and so forth.

CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I think there is different stories for CUNY and SUNY, because it is commuters, by and large.

CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Exactly.

CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And actually even before we changed the policies, the records in New York City were that more students knew to call NYPD if they thought that they were being sexually attacked in any situation.

And outside of New York City, college students didn't seem to understand -- and I hope they are learning -- that you should call the police. And the police have to be trained on how to handle things. But if you

are sexually assaulted, you call the police.

1	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: In fact,
2	Senator, one of our first pieces of advice
3	and guidance to every student who issues a
4	complaint is call NYPD, call the police.
5	Now, obviously not every student chooses to
6	do that. But we offer to escort the student
7	to the police department to facilitate that
8	in any way. That is indeed our advice.
9	I also want you to know we are
10	revamping our training, which according to
11	New York State law or Enough is Enough should
12	include not only every faculty member and
13	every staff member, but every CUNY student
14	will now take sexual harassment training as
15	early in his or her time as possible.
16	And our aim is not just to get
17	policies on a PowerPoint, but to give people
18	useful information about what do you do if
19	you experience or witness something like
20	this.
21	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Good. So Hunter
22	College is in my district. And even reading
23	the newspaper today, there's the continuing

saga of is there ever going to be a new

1 н	unter nur	sing sc	hool.
-----	-----------	---------	-------

24

2 So my question is, where are we all? 3 Is it true when I hear reports that the building is falling down on the nursing 4 5 students down in Brookdale? And yet according to various people, there's never 6 7 going to be enough money to build the building Hunter hoped to have on East 73rd --8 9 74th Street. So what's the story, CUNY? 10 CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Oh, my 11 goodness. Senator Krueger, I will try as 12 best as I can to address this story, which of course I also read. And it's -- you said you 13 14 have Hunter in your district, and I have 15 Hunter in my history, as you know. 16 Here is my best understanding of where we are. And again, this is an evolving story 17 and nothing is settled yet. But as you heard 18 19 me testify just moments ago, CUNY does need 20 new buildings. We very much need new 21 construction. We have, I believe, seven 22 projects that we consider very high priority; the Hunter building is among them. 23

I don't believe that the state ever

1	committed a certain amount of money for the
2	Hunter project. We know that the first phase
3	will cost approximately \$300 million. It's
4	an expensive building at a challenging time
5	for New York State.
6	So but we're where I think we are
7	is this, and I'll turn to Senior Vice
8	Chancellor Bergtraum in a minute is we are
9	working with the state productively and with
10	other partners to see if we can make progress
11	on this. Again, it is not our only priority,
12	but it is a priority. It is a priority.
13	That's why it's in our budget.
14	And in terms of the state of repair of
15	the current Brookdale facilities for Hunter's
16	superb school of nursing, I can't comment on
17	that. I honestly don't know. But no one
18	disputes that this is a serious need.
19	And I will turn now to Judy.
20	VICE CHANCELLOR BERGTRAUM: First let
21	me answer your second question. The facility
22	now at Brookdale, the issues that have come

up are the same critical maintenance issues

that come up in other buildings, and we are

taking care	of	them.	That's	the	first	part.
-------------	----	-------	--------	-----	-------	-------

The second part, I think understanding how in the past 20 years CUNY has built buildings is really important here. In the past 10 years, CUNY has built 10 buildings with an average of \$200 million. And the way that happens is there's a master plan done, there's a plan created, and we move forward. And we start designing the building and we start discussions. And we ask for funding. And it sometimes takes some buildings longer than others.

So 10 buildings in the last 10 years, many of them science. As the chancellor just said, three weeks ago we actually -- we opened a \$400 million building, a New York City Tech building. The discussion about that started three, four or five years ago after we did the master plan.

We opened another building, the PAC -the performing arts center at Brooklyn. And
the next one, which is now in design, will be
the nursing school at Lehman College.

24 So that -- what I'm trying to explain

1	is that there's a process, we go through a
2	process. That's where we have a request.
3	And we're going to work very hard, it's a
4	very important building, it's a very
5	important building for CUNY and it's a very
6	important building for Hunter.
7	So that's kind of what the process is
8	and that's kind of where we are.
9	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So the Hunter
10	building was in process also at least four or
11	five years ago. I feel like I've heard this
12	storyline for many, many years. So
13	VICE CHANCELLOR BERGTRAUM: From what
14	I understand, there were discussions for many
15	years on you know, New York City, you
16	can't just build a building. There were many
17	plans on what to do. And one, two or three
18	of them fell through. This basically came
19	forward, there was a discussion about this.
20	It's just like the other buildings. And this
21	was kind of like a private-public partnership
22	with MSK, which is the first of its kind for
23	CUNY.

CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So I've taken up

1	too much time already. I'm going to ask you
2	if you'll follow up to inform me that
3	somebody went and took a look and whether the
4	physical conditions at Brookdale nursing are
5	actually a serious problem.
6	I'll put it in the context that the
7	City of New York thinks it bought that
8	property and wants to tear it down and has
9	another plan for it which they can't do,
10	which is creating another community problem
11	because they're leaving sanitation trucks all
12	over several districts because they can't
13	build the sanitation garage they were
14	scheduled to build.
15	So there's a
16	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Ripple
17	effect.
18	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: There's a ripple
19	effect or a domino effect that I think
20	somebody needs to ensure a future for Hunter
21	nursing school, and I don't think they can
22	last at Brookdale.
23	So thank you. My time is up.
24	Assembly?

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
2	Assemblyman Weprin.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Thank you, Madam
4	Chair.
5	Welcome, Interim Chancellor and your
6	whole team, who I've worked with for many
7	years on many issues.
8	And I also want to advocate for an
9	expansion of CUNY LEADS. In my prior
10	chairmanship I chaired a task force on people
11	with disabilities, worked very closely with
12	Deputy Chancellor Rosa and Judy as well, and
13	your whole team. So I want to advocate for
14	that program and an expansion of that program
15	as well.
16	Now I chair the Committee on
17	Corrections and work very closely with John
18	Jay College on their correction program where
19	they actually visit state facilities, and
20	especially at Otisville. And they have
21	graduations, there are many, many inmates
22	that receive undergraduate degrees as well as
23	master's degrees from John Jay. It's a great
24	program.

1	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: We're
2	very proud of that program.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: You probably know
4	some of the people.
5	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Yes, I
6	do.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: And President
8	Carol Mason has been very supportive of the
9	program.
LO	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Yes, she
11	is.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: So I'd like to
13	see an expansion of that program as well, and
L 4	I hope you'll be supportive of that.
15	Thank you.
16	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ:
17	Assemblyman Weprin, I assure you that we are.
18	We see this as exciting, it's mission-driven
19	work, and we completely support it. Thank
20	you.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Great. Thank
22	you.
23	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
2.4	Senate?

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator
2	Gounardes.
3	SENATOR GOUNARDES: Hi. Thank you,
4	Chancellor. And I apologize if some of my
5	questions might be repetitive of what my
6	colleagues have asked.
7	I'm a proud CUNY alum. I graduated
8	Hunter College. I have CUNY Kingsboro in my
9	district. And I believe that my district has
10	one of the largest percentage of students who
11	attend Brooklyn College. It's also where my
12	parents met.
13	(Laughter.)
14	SENATOR GOUNARDES: So CUNY is in
15	so many ways CUNY has shaped my life from top
16	to bottom.
17	(Laughter.)
18	SENATOR GOUNARDES: So I come here and
19	I ask these questions in the spirit of
20	advocating for an institution that has meant
21	a lot to me.
22	And one of the things I really wanted
23	to focus on is and I've heard from a lot
24	of people on this that we're kind of

Ţ	nitting a wall, it seems like, in terms of
2	our ability to meet class instruction slots
3	because we're hitting enrollment caps not
4	caps, it's the wrong word. We don't have
5	enough teachers to teach the classes that we
6	need, so students are delaying their
7	graduation requirements because they can't
8	get access to the core requirements they need
9	or for their electives or their majors or
10	things like that.
11	So what are we doing or what efforts
12	or steps can we take to increase the
13	availability of classes and teachers and
14	hiring teachers to teach those classes, to
15	make sure that students aren't delaying
16	graduation?
17	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: You ask a
18	terrific question. And it's something we
19	grapple with at CUNY.
20	What we have found in recent years
21	and I'm going to turn to Associate Provost
22	Crook in a moment but course availability
23	is a problem in select areas in majors. You

may have read just this weekend computer

1	science is becoming a nightmare across the
2	country because students want these courses
3	and simply cannot get in.

And because many of our students work outside of CUNY, they often meet tight schedules. Among the things we're doing -it's not the only answer, and I don't want you to think that I think it's the only answer -- but we are in the process of procuring a technology called Visual Scheduler that will enable students to look at different possible schedules with their advisor and find optimal schedules, whether it's within a CUNY college or perhaps find courses to which they can register all throughout CUNY.

Course availability I believe is a selective problem, but it's serious. You also, though -- in your question is the question about instructors, can we find enough instructors to teach the courses. And there again, frankly, it depends on area.

In certain areas where there is high student demand and relatively few full-time

1	faculty computer science, accounting,
2	nursing I mean, we can put out
3	advertisements for nurses, accountants and
4	computer scientists and, even in a hot
5	New York market, not be able to hire anybody,
6	any full-time people.
7	So availability remains an issue, but
8	it's selective. Course availability we're
9	working on. Maximizing the use of our
10	facilities we're working on.
11	David, do you have anything to add to
12	this, please?
13	ASSOCIATE PROVOST CROOK: As a part of
14	our first-year momentum campaign, we are
15	encouraging students to take a full credit
16	load, 15 credits per semester. This is part
17	and parcel of the Excelsior Scholarship as
18	well. But to offer the courses that students
19	need to meet those goals, that implies
20	discussions with the departments to make the
21	courses available when students can take
22	them.
23	So that campaign, together with the
24	effort to put in place degree maps, a

1	semester-by-semester listing of the courses
2	that each student needs to take to graduate
3	on time, has led to a series of productive
4	discussions at our colleges about making
5	courses available when students need them.
6	As Vita mentioned also, the e-permit
7	process, allowing students to take courses
8	elsewhere. Our efforts to expand online
9	courses is a another part of this strategy.
10	So we are working on this. We're
11	painfully aware that this can be an issue at
12	some of our schools.
13	SENATOR GOUNARDES: Just asking a
14	follow-up, you mentioned that the inability
15	or the difficulty in finding full-time
16	instructors for some of these course areas
17	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: In some
18	areas, yes.
19	SENATOR GOUNARDES: Is this just a
20	matter of finding qualified individuals who
21	want to teach full-time, or is it also I'm
22	concerned about the growing trend nationwide,
23	and I'm sure it's been discussed here as
24	well, of moving towards a totally adjunct

ystem.

And so there's a balance, there's a tension: We can't find full-time, but maybe we're not looking for full-time -- I'm not saying that's the case. But I want to get a sense from you as to what we're doing to not be moving to a part-time instructional staff and shortchanging students because of that.

CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: It's a great question. And again, we want to hire above replacement levels -- and we'll need help, which is why it's in our budget request: 200 full-time faculty a year, across the university, for the life cycle of this budget request.

We greatly value our adjuncts. They bring a dimension that sometimes full-time faculty can't bring because they work in industry, they have a particular form of expertise. So, you know, it's not as if we want -- you know, we want our core of adjunct faculty that have contributed so much and are committed to CUNY. But we have to accelerate our hiring of full-time faculty.

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
2	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: I know
3	I've run out of time.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
5	Assembly.
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
7	Pichardo.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN PICHARDO: Thank you,
9	Madam Chair.
10	It's always nice to see you guys.
11	Thank you so much for your effort and
12	everything, your time.
13	I just have a couple of questions.
14	First and foremost, I heard I just want to
15	make sure this number is correct. The TAP
16	gap is 72 million, is that correct?
17	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: This year
18	it is. Yes, Assemblyman.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN PICHARDO: And it's going
20	to increase to 85?
21	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ:
22	Eighty-five million next year, yes.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN PICHARDO: Okay. And then
24	I understand that the Executive's proposal,

Ţ	in terms of SEEK, is looking to reduce about
2	\$4.5 million?
3	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Right.
4	And Assemblyman, we want that money restored
5	in SEEK and in College Discovery, its
6	associate degree counterpart.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN PICHARDO: Sure. So
8	how putting this into stark terms, how
9	would this inspect, how would it reduce the
10	number of students you'd be able to service
11	or the services that you are able to offer
12	through this program?
13	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: I
14	think David, correct me if I'm wrong
15	between SEEK and CD together, that's 11,000
16	together about something like that.
17	All right, something like 11,000 students.
18	And these are 11,000 students who are
19	financially disadvantaged even by the
20	standards of the City University of
21	New York. And Assemblyman, we serve a
22	population of students 42 percent of whom
23	come from families with annual incomes of
24	less than \$20,000 a year.

1	So the SEEK and the CD programs are
2	very important to us.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN PICHARDO: And I
4	understand as well that the Executive
5	proposes a \$2.5 million reduction in terms of
6	ASAP as well.
7	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Yes.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN PICHARDO: So since the
9	inception of ASAP, how many students have you
10	been able to have go through this program
11	till this fiscal year?
12	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Since its
13	inception, about 45,000 students.
14	At the current time we have 22,000
15	students in ASAP, and we have pledged to go
16	to 25,000 in fall of 2019.
17	It's hard to overstate the effects of
18	this. I'm bursting with pride I had
19	nothing to do with its inception, but we have
20	a program
21	ASSEMBLYMAN PICHARDO: You should take
22	credit for it regardless.
23	(Laughter.)
24	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: I mean, I

Τ	think this is my one moment. We have a
2	program that has more than doubled reliably,
3	at scale, gets better, gets more effective as
4	we scale it up. More than doubles the
5	graduation rate. The students love it. In
6	fact, it warms my heart to know that our
7	students are fighting for more ASAP, because
8	it works. Right? It works.
9	It's and again, an area where I
10	think New York State could be a leader is an
11	ASAP-like program at the four-year level.
12	CUNY's four-year graduation rate, even with
13	all our improvements, is nowhere near where
14	it should be. We would love to be a national
15	leader in producing great four-year
16	graduation rates for an urban population.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN PICHARDO: You and I are
18	of the same mindset, Chancellor. Thank you
19	for that.
20	And one last question. There's a
21	\$900,000 cut to community college childcare
22	programs across the system. So my question
23	is, is there a reduction of the use of these

24 services for CUNY students across the board

1	or is this
2	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: No. No,
3	there is not. As a matter of fact, we have
4	16 childcare centers at CUNY right now, and I
5	believe some of them have waiting lists.
6	There is no intention to reduce our
7	commitment. In fact, like the Governor, we
8	want to serve we for the first time in
9	my very long, my more than 40 years at CUNY,
10	I see a budget that puts meeting student's
11	basic needs at the front and center. It's
12	food insecurity, it's homelessness, it's
13	mental health needs, it's all of it. And
L 4	childcare for single parents, for others who
15	are struggling with getting a degree, is
16	essential.
17	So I think we're all together on this,
18	and we'll get together on it.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN PICHARDO: Thank you.
20	Thank you, Madam Chair.
21	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
22	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
23	Senator John Liu, recently a professor

at CUNY, but we stole him.

1	(Laughter.)
2	SENATOR LIU: Not fully yet, Madam
3	Chair. They've got me for one more semester.
4	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Does that
5	make me your boss, Senator?
6	SENATOR LIU: Yes. Yes, it would make
7	you, yes. Welcome, Madam Boss.
8	(Laughter.)
9	SENATOR LIU: Great to see you and
10	these awesome vice chancellors and associate
11	provost, welcome to this chamber.
12	And as our Chairperson Liz Krueger has
13	already said, I've been an adjunct for many
14	years now. And I know I unfortunately,
15	there's multiple meetings that we have to
16	shuttle right now, but I walked in as Senator
17	Gounardes was asking you about the adjunct
18	situation. And so, I mean, if it's been
19	asked and answered, you simply tell me asked
20	and answered and I'll look at the videotape.
21	But I'm just looking to see, you know,
22	are we still trending towards more a
23	higher percentage of adjuncts as opposed to
24	full-time faculty?

1	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Senator,
2	again, if you look at our statistics over the
3	last few years, an honest person would have
4	to say it looks as if we are, but that is not
5	by design. And again, it's not because we
6	don't value adjuncts. Because we hire
7	adjunct professors, we're able to hire people
8	like you who otherwise we would not be able
9	to have teaching our CUNY students. And it
10	means the world to them to see successful
11	practitioners, policymakers, whatever.
12	But no, we do not intend to trend down
13	in that. And I believe especially in our
14	senior colleges I'll be frank. In our
15	senior colleges we've gone as far as we can
16	go. We need to hire full-time
17	SENATOR LIU: When you say "gone as
18	far as we can go," does it start affecting
19	accreditation at some point? Is that the
20	threshold we're approaching?
21	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: That's a
22	good question. It can. It absolutely can.
23	As university provost, I sat in on
24	many college accreditations or whatever.

1	where it really matters is in professional
2	schools, like nursing schools. When your
3	engineering school is being accredited, they
4	want to see faculty-to-student ratios that
5	they feel confident in. They don't want to
6	see that you are not committed with, you
7	know, full-time lines and all of that.
8	So yes. To answer your question, yes,
9	it can affect accreditation. To my
10	knowledge, it has not threatened it at CUNY,
11	and we don't want it ever to.
12	SENATOR LIU: Okay. And you mentioned
13	that having adjunct faculty allows CUNY to
14	bring in people who have experience that may
15	not be along traditional academic lines. But
16	is that the driving factor towards this
17	the heavier weighting towards adjuncts? Or is
18	there a fiscal matter at hand here?
19	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: I will
20	answer honestly. It's fiscal. Not that
21	we I mean, in other words, we
22	SENATOR LIU: Need more money.
23	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: We need
24	more baselined money to do the hiring we want

1	to do.
2	Matt, would you
3	CUNY CFO SAPIENZA: The only thing I
4	want to add to the chancellor's very good
5	response is that our colleges have done a
6	really good job in adding more full-time
7	faculty lines over the last 10 years. One of
8	the issues we've had is that our enrollment
9	has grown faster than we've been able to add
10	full-time faculty lines. So that's a good
11	problem to have, because we want more
12	enrollment, we want to have access and
13	SENATOR LIU: Just a few years ago
14	there was a declining enrollment; right?
15	SUNY CFO SAPIENZA: but that's one
16	of the issues we haven't been able to catch
17	up.
18	SENATOR LIU: Wasn't there a declining
19	enrollment just a few years ago?
20	SUNY CFO SAPIENZA: No, enrollment the
21	last few years this year we're up a little
22	bit. The last three years I'd say the
23	enrollment has been stable overall, it's been

steady or ticking up a little bit. But

1	post-recession, from 2009 to 2012 especially,
2	we had a surge in enrollments. And so
3	although we were able to use some of the
4	revenue from that enrollment to hire new
5	full-time faculty, we haven't really been
6	able to make a dent in terms of the
7	percentage of instruction taught by full-time
8	faculty.
9	SENATOR LIU: And since adjuncts save
10	so much money, right, is there any thought to
11	giving the adjuncts something that they might
12	be able to live on? I am not speaking for
13	myself here, okay, but certainly
14	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Senator,
15	I understand
16	SENATOR LIU: I mean, we value the
17	adjuncts. Some of them teach very full
18	loads.
19	SUNY CFO SAPIENZA: We greatly value
20	the adjuncts. And I just want to add that
21	we in working with the faculty union, the
22	Professional Staff Congress, over the last
23	several years we were able to come to an
24	agreement that provided some of our adjuncts

1	with health insurance, which was a first here
2	at the university. We were also able to
3	agree that adjuncts who are teaching six
4	credits over multiple years would get
5	multiyear appointments, would get three-year
6	appointments
7	SENATOR LIU: I'm out of time. We
8	need more full-time faculty, but we need to
9	treat adjuncts fairly.
10	(Applause from the audience.)
11	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: That's
12	exactly right. That's exactly right. I join
13	with my colleagues in front and behind me.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
15	Assemblyman Smith.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: Well, that was a
17	perfect transition, because I want to talk
18	about the adjuncts also. I have some
19	information from our maintenance of effort
20	that many of you were in attendance for in
21	December, from the employees that serve as
22	professors and in other capacities.
23	So what they provided to us was that
24	about 12,000 CUNY professors are adjuncts.

1	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: That's
2	correct.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: That as you had
4	just mentioned, that they need to teach a
5	minimum of six credit hours in order to
6	qualify for health insurance.
7	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: That's
8	correct.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: And, you know,
10	it's not to say that every single one is
11	relying on this solely, but that we only have
12	about 2100 of them, so one in six that is
13	getting health insurance is an adjunct
14	professor. That's the number that was
15	provided.
16	And even though we've seen since 2000
17	a 45 percent increase in enrollment, the
18	number of adjuncts has doubled. So as
19	someone who is an educator certified at
20	the high school level, not at the university
21	level but I am concerned about when we
22	talk about the people who are filling these
23	roles, I find that a majority of them no
24	longer are people that are working during the

1	day in a certain profession and, you know,
2	doing this to add something to the value.
3	They're actually cobbling together multiple
4	you know, classes that they're teaching.

I think when we talk about the budget, the question comes back to are we balancing the budget on the backs of the employees.

And then when the students talk to us, that translates to them, because they want to have that relationship with their professors.

appreciate the question, Assemblyman. And again, we rely on our adjuncts, that's clear. We value them greatly. And one of the things we've been able to do -- this is small, but it's been continuous -- is when we do find extra funding, because -- and we have done that, we convert some lines into what we have called adjunct conversion lines. Two hundred and twenty adjunct professors have become full-time professors because, you know, we were able to essentially put together pots of money to do this.

24 And that is -- that's important to us.

1	Because again, one of the things we want to
2	do is elevate the adjuncts who have served us
3	already so well. It's not but listen,
4	it's a as Senator Liu correctly said, it's
5	a financial matter. And we're obviously in a
6	negotiation right now. We can't negotiate in
7	public and
8	ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: Of course.
9	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Right.
10	No one is expecting that. We're working
11	we've settled with most of our unions. We
12	followed the state and city patterns more or
13	less. This is all I can tell you is this
14	issue is very, very much on our minds. And
15	we want to come to the best place we can
16	possibly
17	ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: Thank you. thank
18	you for the clarity on that, because I think
19	that's something else that's important that
20	you just stated, that we want to maybe
21	promote from within and take people who are
22	already serving.
23	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Right.

ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: One of the things

1	that came up in December's hearing from the
2	students of CUNY were talking about campus
3	security, making our campuses more secure.
4	Unlike maybe a typical SUNY campus that's
5	kind of defined in a certain way, it might be
6	a little bit different. Can you speak to
7	that? I know we only have about a minute and
8	a half.
9	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Actually,
10	may I ask
11	SUNY CFO SAPIENZA: I want to echo
12	what Chancellor Johnson said earlier about
13	SUNY public safety, because I think CUNY
14	public safety just does an amazing job and
15	they're such dedicated employees.
16	And the unique thing that we have at
17	CUNY as well that you don't see throughout
18	the country is the partnership with the NYPD.
19	I mean, most of our colleges, if you call the
20	NYPD, they'll be there in literally minutes.
21	So we have a great partnership with the NYPD.
22	But to the point that the students
23	made back in the fall, we know that there's
24	always improvements that can be made. And

1	we're happy to work with the students to try
2	to address those concerns.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: Thank you.
4	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: That's
5	right. I do want to add one thing,
6	Assemblyman, and that is that the sexual
7	harassment surveys, while it did focus on
8	sexual misconduct, I'm pleased to say one
9	thing we took from it is our students do feel
10	safe on our campuses.
11	And again, I also want to applaud our
12	remarkable public safety peace officer corps.
13	Judy, is there anything you want to
14	add about no, okay. Okay, thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Before we go to
16	the Senate, we were joined a little bit
17	earlier by Assemblywoman Griffin, a member of
18	the Higher Ed Committee.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And we're on
20	second round.
21	Senator John Liu.
22	SENATOR LIU: Why, thank you, Madam
23	Chair. Nice to have seconds.
24	I just I wanted to understand how

1	much CUNY's involvement would be in this
2	Amazon deal, because it's been highly touted
3	and CUNY has been kind of a cheerleader for
4	this controversial deal.
5	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Yes.
6	Yes.
7	SENATOR LIU: So, you know, if you
8	prefer to take the fifth, that's okay too.
9	(Laughter.)
10	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: No, I
11	listen no, no. Senator Liu, it's a great
12	question, given recent events.
13	Both Chair Bill Thompson and I have
14	been public supporters of the Amazon deal.
15	And pure and simple, the main reason we are
16	so excited about this is we see it as
17	providing unparalleled opportunities for our
18	students.
19	Now, you may say rightly they're
20	talking about 25,000 jobs in the near future.
21	What is that when you graduate 54,000
22	students a year? And of course CUNY is not
23	relying on Amazon in any way, shape or form.
24	What CUNY wants to do is better connect our

1	sti	ıdents	to	the	9 (	dyna	mic	future	world	d of	work,
2	of	which	tec	:h i	.s	an	impo	ortant	part.		

In the Amazon deal, we almost lost sight of the fact that Google plans to add 7,000 jobs in New York City. We've got great partnerships with Verizon, with Microsoft, with other great companies. But the Amazon deal is special, and in part because CUNY was part of the pitch to bring Amazon to New York. Our chair was in the lead, with our university dean for continuing ed and workforce development.

And we see it also as a way of improving CUNY's ways of connecting students to meaningful career exploration, understanding what it's like to work in a sector, to prepare great resumes, to prepare for interviews. We see it as a means of lifting CUNY up and connecting our students to the world of work. We also see it as an opportunity for our faculty to collaborate with dynamic, cutting-edge leaders.

So 25,000 of those -- excuse me, half of those 25,000 jobs will be in non-tech

T	areas, by the way: Human resources, legal,
2	business processes, sales. So we just see a
3	world of opportunity. And Amazon is working
4	closely with us. Senator, we're at the table
5	with Amazon.
6	SENATOR LIU: Well, I hope you can be
7	more aggressive with Amazon at the table,
8	because, you know, you mentioned the 7,000
9	Google jobs. They're going to that's
10	going to be a huge benefit to CUNY graduates
11	as well. And how much is Google asking for?
12	Nada, zilch. Meanwhile, Amazon's going to
13	cost us \$3 billion. If we get a fraction of
14	that for CUNY, that would be wonderful.
15	(Scattered applause.)
16	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: That's
17	our hope. We are at the table, I'll tell you
18	that.
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
20	Assemblyman Epstein.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Thank you.
22	Thank you for taking the time to be
23	with us today.
24	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Of

1	course.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: And just like my
3	colleague on the Senate side, I think
4	whatever we can do on your side making sure
5	that we keep our dollars, our public dollars
6	for a public purpose, I think we're all on
7	board. And any way we can get that \$3
8	billion back that we haven't spent, that
9	would be great.
10	But first I want to talk to you about
11	students with disabilities. I know we've
12	heard a little bit about it. And with an
13	increase in the student population, what do
14	you think the financial needs are that CUNY
15	has to really serve those students with
16	disabilities to ensure that they can get a
17	high-quality education and get good job
18	placement?
19	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: You raise
20	a great question. Can I yes, Vice
21	Chancellor.
22	VICE CHANCELLOR ROSA: Thanks so much,
23	Assemblymember, for inquiring.

We mentioned earlier that the

1	population of students with disabilities has
2	grown by a third in the last 25 years, but
3	the dimensions of that population have also
4	changed. We have an exponentially growing
5	number of neurodiverse students that we
6	didn't see 25 years ago who are remarkably
7	bright and relying on CUNY more than ever
8	before for opportunities in college and
9	beyond, but are tremendously service
10	intensive in order to level the playing field
11	so they have equal access and opportunity to
12	higher education.

cuny has more than 270 deaf students enrolled across its campuses. And it's not uncommon for a full-time deaf student, for sign language interpretation or real-time captioning, to exceed \$50,000 a year per student. Last year, as a university, we spent \$1.3 million on services for deaf and hard-of-hearing students alone.

So the cost of providing high-quality accommodation and support services has grown dramatically. And the investment that we hope the state will be able to make through

1	the State Education Department proposal
2	across higher ed sectors would help us to
3	meet those costs for those burgeoning student
4	populations.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Thank you.
6	VICE CHANCELLOR ROSA: Thank you.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: So I wanted to
8	get back to what Senator Krueger was talking
9	about with this site on 74th and York,
10	because there was a land swap of a site in my
11	district with that site. And I know you said
12	it's a priority, but not the highest
13	priority. But we have basically a hole in
14	the ground there, and we have other projects
15	potentially being held up, or CUNY has the
16	opportunity to use that site that the swap
17	was for.
18	So I'm wondering what's the timeline,
19	what's it look like? So we can all be clear,
20	you know, what's happened, what's going to
21	happen. Is this project going forward? And
22	if it isn't going forward, what are the
23	opportunities to deal with the ongoing
24	maintenance of existing buildings?

1	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Right. I
2	will kick off the answer. Again, we are in a
3	you know, this is an ongoing challenge. I
4	did not if I implied that this was not a
5	top priority, then I apologize, because I was
6	not being clear. It is a top priority. It
7	is true
8	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: But you said
9	Lehman is a higher priority, so
10	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: No, I
11	actually, I'm going to let Vice Chancellor
12	Bergtraum clear that up.
13	VICE CHANCELLOR BERGTRAUM: The Lehman
14	issue is that we are designing Lehman, and
15	that's the next one we're probably going to
16	do construction on. And that has waited
17	quite a few years for that to happen.
18	So we weren't comparing I was just
19	explaining what the process is and how we
20	built 10 new buildings, we opened two this
21	year, we're going to open another one. And
22	then we have basically, in our request, we
23	have seven other buildings. So what I was
24	trying to explain is how the process works.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: So where in the
2	landscape does this property
3	VICE CHANCELLOR BERGTRAUM: It's one
4	of one of
5	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: It's one of the
6	seven.
7	VICE CHANCELLOR BERGTRAUM: It's one
8	of the seven, yes.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: And so what is
10	your timeline, then, to move forward on this
11	versus the other six?
12	VICE CHANCELLOR BERGTRAUM: Well, I
13	think we will see after this budget process
L 4	what happens, and then we'll make some
15	determinations.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: And are you
17	saying depending on this budget it will be
18	within a three-to-five-year plan, or is it a
19	longer time frame? What are you thinking?
20	VICE CHANCELLOR BERGTRAUM: It depends
21	on what happens as far as the funding goes.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Okay. Be great
23	to follow up after the budget, then, to have
24	that conversation.

1	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ:
2	Absolutely.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: And then the
4	last thing is just on the Excelsior. I know
5	you talked about only 3300 students who now
6	have used Excelsior. I'm wondering what you
7	think would be necessary to tweak or change
8	the program to make it more accessible to
9	more CUNY students. Clearly my concern is
10	the large part-time SUNY student population
11	who has no access to the program. But what
12	other things do you see in Excelsior that
13	could be changed that more students could
14	have access to it?
15	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Matt,
16	would you like to try to take
17	SUNY CFO SAPIENZA: Sure. I think the
18	best thing at this point, because it is still
19	a fairly new program, is something that we're
20	doing internally and our campuses are really
21	working on, is getting the communication out
22	to students to let them know when the filing

dates are, what their requirements are, what

the eligibility requirements are.

23

1	So I think, you know, as for CUNY and
2	our colleges, better communications, I think,
3	is something that I would cite.
4	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Right.
5	And we want to continue monitoring those
6	trends that we talked to you about,
7	Assemblyman. For instance, higher GPA
8	actually, much higher. Higher retention
9	rates, does that continue? We can't you
10	know, it is very new.
11	What I'll tell you we're doing right
12	now is we're leveraging Excelsior and the
13	advertising about it and its public face, to
14	change the culture of CUNY to one in which
15	taking 15 credits a semester, taking 30
16	credits a year, becomes normal. Because what
17	we see is when our students do this, they
18	succeed. When they attempt 15 credits a
19	semester, they earn it. I'm not saying a
20	hundred percent of the students; that
21	wouldn't be true. But what we're finding is
22	our students can do more and better, and
23	Excelsior is one of the ways that we are
24	achieving a larger change in

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
2	Assemblywoman Simon.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Thank you.
4	I wanted to sort of follow up on
5	Assemblymember Epstein's question with regard
6	to students with disabilities and the cost.
7	I think you mentioned earlier, Vice
8	Chancellor Rosa, that the formula by which
9	you allocate services or you calculate the
10	costs or the I guess it's our formula that
11	we use to fund those costs is 25 years out
12	of date.
13	Do you have a proposed change of
14	formula for to finance those services?
15	VICE CHANCELLOR ROSA: Thank you for
16	asking, Assemblymember.
17	We endorse the State Education
18	Department's proposed funding methodology in
19	its Executive Budget request, which would
20	call for a \$15 million investment in
21	post-secondary education services for
22	students with disabilities across all four
23	higher education sectors CUNY, SUNY,
24	private and proprietary colleges. The money

1	would	be	all	Locate	d to	each	of	the	sectors
2	based	on	an	FTE m	odel.	•			

And at CUNY, that would double our existing commitment to students with disabilities and would allow us to keep pace with existing needs. And we hear from our colleagues from the other sectors that it would have a catalytic effect on their efforts to create equal access and opportunity for students with disabilities.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Thank you. A sort of follow-up question to that is this -- we're talking about the cost for students with disabilities to provide accommodations and support services. There are a number of different programs -- opportunity programs, other programs that also serve students with disabilities.

Have you broken them down to see where those costs are in terms of what portion, proportion of those programs are serving students with disabilities? And also if there are capital needs particular to students with disabilities, whether it's

1	bricks and mortar or it's the technology, for
2	example, which is increasingly something that
3	is providing access for our neurodiverse
4	students.

VICE CHANCELLOR ROSA: Sure. And I'll defer to my colleague Senior Vice Chancellor Bergtraum, who speaks regularly with our students with disabilities, the CUNY Coalition for Students with Disabilities, about their most significant access needs, which have become priorities in our capital plan.

In terms of -- we look wherever possible for synergies with existing opportunity programs that serve a large number of students with disabilities. So in terms of academic support services, we're able to leverage a lot of support for SEEK and College Discovery. So the investment in SEEK and College Discovery is important for all students who are historically underrepresented in higher ed, but particularly for students with disabilities.

We rely heavily on campuses that have

1	TRIO programs student support services
2	programs which serve a large number of
3	students with disabilities and we rely on
4	those funds as well.
5	So you raise a really important point,
6	that CUNY relies on the support of other
7	program infrastructures and funding streams
8	in order to create access and opportunity for
9	students with disabilities.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Thank you.
11	VICE CHANCELLOR BERGTRAUM: We use
12	critical maintenance money for that, and
13	every renovation that we do of every space,
L 4	we look at those needs and make the changes
15	that are needed. And we have a massive
16	program for renovations of bathrooms. And we
17	meet with what was just described and see if
18	there's specific problems. So we have a very
19	large program for that.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Mentioning TRIO

-- which actually I ran a TRIO program years

ago -- what is that funding looking like now?

I mean, in terms of the federal government,

are they continuing to fund TRIO?

1	VICE CHANCELLOR ROSA: We hold our
2	breath each and every year, and so far not
3	only fortunately, not only has it been
4	maintained, but we've received increases,
5	particularly for student support services
6	which serve students with disabilities. So
7	fingers crossed.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Okay. And I
9	just want to say that my school is City Tech,
10	and I've been waiting for an invitation to
11	that new building, so thank you.
12	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: When is
13	the ribbon cutting?
14	VICE CHANCELLOR BERGTRAUM: The ribbon
15	cutting is February 14th.
16	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ:
17	Assemblywoman, you'll get your invitation.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: We'll bring
19	chocolate and flowers.
20	(Laughter.)
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Thank you.
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
23	I wanted to say thank Brooklyn
24	College for bringing my parents together,

Τ	because otherwise i probably wouldn't have
2	been here to speak to you.
3	(Laughter.)
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: I had a
5	question. Can you just talk about the
6	community college enrollment, where that is
7	today? Are things getting are we having
8	more students at community college, fewer?
9	And are they leaving community college and
10	going to the four-year colleges?
11	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: It's a
12	great question, Senator, in part because it's
13	a moving target.
14	CUNY has had about 94,000 or 95,000
15	students for a few years. The last three
16	years have shown a very slight trend
17	downward, more at a few colleges than others.
18	Again, nothing precipitous, nothing
19	cataclysmic at all. And the truth is, we
20	think it can be multiply explained, including
21	by good things.
22	Number one, we've seriously increased
23	the graduation rates at community colleges.
24	Second, at CUNY lots of our students start at

1	community colleges not so much to learn a
2	not so much in workforce development
3	programs, but they're looking to transfer to
4	CUNY senior colleges. They state this in
5	surveys. Something like 80 percent of them,
6	they want to go to a CUNY senior college. So
7	whenever they can, they'll go.
8	It is also the case that the economy,
9	as Matt has already pointed out, has gotten a
10	little better.
11	So again, we're monitoring this,
12	because before this it had been up and up and
13	up in almost every sector. The sector we're
14	seeing an improvement in right now is
15	graduate schools. The community college,
16	flat to slight decline.
17	So what else can I think you asked
18	a second part that I'm forgetting?
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Well, I think
20	you combined the two.
21	One of the Assembly employees is
22	helping add to that increased graduate school
23	enrollment.
24	I also would just ask at some point

1	following the hearing if you could have
2	someone from CUNY's counsel's office be in
3	touch with my office about this particular
4	issue I want to just raise briefly.
5	This summer, there was a tour of
6	Jamaica Bay that was going to take place on a
7	CUNY research vessel, and I was sent this
8	assumption of risk and waiver of liability,
9	and some of the provisions are pretty
10	concerning. So I don't know if this is a
11	systemwide standard waiver or if this was
12	particularly done for this boat trip, which I
13	declined to go on.
14	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Senator
15	{sic}, thank you. I know nothing about this,
16	but we will follow up.
17	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: But if we could
18	just look into this, because I wouldn't want
19	people to be deterred. And also it seems to
20	be really overly broad, sort of like when you
21	pull into the garage and you give them your
22	key and you get that back of the card that
23	says "We're not responsible for anything."

(Laughter.)

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So if we could
2	just follow up with that.
3	Thank you again for the work that CUNY
4	does.
5	And we just have one Assemblywoman
6	Glick for some follow-up questions for you.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Just a couple.
8	I think that Assemblymember Epstein went over
9	some of the things.
10	But could you identify some of the
11	other than interpreters for the deaf and the
12	hard-of-hearing students, what other kinds of
13	services are provided, so that we understand
14	why the costs are so high?
15	VICE CHANCELLOR ROSA: Sure. Thank
16	you for asking.
17	So 25 years ago the internet was a
18	twinkle in all of our eyes, and now all of
19	our instruction is delivered digitally. And
20	so the cost of making sure that all
21	instructional materials are in an accessible
22	format is significant. And quite frankly,
23	all higher ed institutions, including ours.

are -- we're doing due diligence, but we're

1	constantly having to keep up. And so that is
2	an important opportunity for investment for
3	us, in order to create equal access and
4	opportunity.
5	For neurodiverse students, in
6	particular for people on the autism spectrum,
7	there's a lot of coaching not just
8	academic coaching, but managing comportment
9	in the higher ed setting. And it's
10	important, and students on the spectrum
11	thrive with that kind of support. But it is
12	very service-intensive.
13	Those are two examples of the type of
14	ways in which the dimensions of the challenge
15	has changed. But readily achievable with
16	kind of targeted investments.
17	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Chris, is
18	transportation part of the answer to this,
19	the costs of transportation?
20	VICE CHANCELLOR ROSA: Transportation
21	is critical for us. That's typically a
22	service of a personal nature. It's a cost
23	for us when we want to make sure that
24	students with disabilities can participate

1	richly in co-curricular life, so they have
2	equal opportunity for student life
3	opportunities and student leadership
4	opportunities.
5	So thank you, Chancellor, that is a
6	dimension that wasn't present necessarily.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Chancellor, one
8	quick question. You indicated that there was
9	a desire to move some of the adjuncts who
10	have been long-serving into some of those
11	full-time lines.
12	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Yes.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: It sounded like
14	that was a once-in-a-while. I'm wondering
15	what the actual process is for someone to be
16	considered, and how difficult is it for an
17	adjunct to actually be in the queue, as it
18	were?
19	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Right.
20	Right. Assemblywoman, you're right. The
21	adjunct professor needs to qualify, usually
22	by virtue of years of service, strong peer
23	and student evaluations, and a few other
24	and even, possibly, need in an area.

1	I believe it's happened about three or
2	four times. Matt, could you
3	SUNY CFO SAPIENZA: Four, yeah.
4	I think we've done four
5	university-wide initiatives, and in each of
6	those we've had agreements with the faculty
7	union to move, say, 50 at a time into
8	adjuncts into lecturer positions.
9	So it happens throughout the year. In
10	terms of when campuses are hiring lecturers,
11	it's very often that adjuncts at that campus
12	could qualify, as the chancellor said, for
13	that position.
1.4	But we have had I think it's four
15	rounds in the last 10 years where we've had
16	an agreement with the union where we were
17	going to move a large chunk of the adjuncts
18	into lecturer vacancies.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: My time has
20	expired. I would only suggest that if in
21	fact there are adjunct faculty that have
22	served the university, that it shouldn't
23	sound like moving someone through the eye of

24 a needle. So I just want to --

1	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ:
2	Understood.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: make that
4	point.
5	(Scattered applause from audience.)
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you very
7	much.
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
9	That concludes the interrogation.
10	(Laughter.)
11	CUNY CHANCELLOR RABINOWITZ: Thank
12	you.
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for
14	being here today.
15	Next, State Education Department,
16	Commissioner MaryEllen Elia.
17	(Off the record.)
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Commissioner,
19	thank you for being here. Feel free to
20	begin.
21	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Would you like
22	me to begin?
23	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yes. Yes,
24	please. Thank you.

1	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Thank you.
2	So good afternoon, Chairs Krueger,
3	Weinstein, Stavisky and Glick, and members of
4	the Senate and Assembly here today. My name
5	is MaryEllen Elia, and I'm the Commissioner
6	of Education for New York State.
7	I'm joined at the table by Senior
8	Deputy Commissioner for P-20 Jhone Ebert,
9	Deputy Commissioner of the Office of Higher
10	Education John D'Agati. On my left is Deputy
11	Commissioner for the Office of Professions
12	Doug Lentivech and Deputy Commissioner for
13	the Office of Adult Career & Continuing
14	Education Services Kevin Smith.
15	You have my full testimony in front of
16	you. The Regents priorities in higher
17	education are laser-focused on equity and
18	access to post-secondary education
19	opportunities, particularly for our
20	underrepresented students, because we know
21	from multiple indicators, as you can see on
22	Slide 2, college completion leads to better
23	employment opportunities and higher income.
24	As you can see on Slides 3 through 7,

1	the Regents recommend an increase of
2	\$10 million for our higher education
3	opportunity programs. These programs provide
4	access to post-secondary education for
5	students that are at the highest risk of
6	either not attending college or not
7	completing a degree.
8	Over 38,000 students are served by one
9	of these programs, which would reach more
10	students across the state with these
11	recommended additional investments. Under
12	our STEP and CSTEP programs, for example, we
13	would provide students with opportunities to
14	participate in STEM-based research,
15	internships, or exploratory career
16	opportunities at area facilities.
17	On Slide 8, we emphasize the need for
18	our teaching workforce to be as diverse as
19	the student populations being served by our
20	schools. This benefits all students.
21	Our Teacher Opportunity Corps, or TOC
22	II program, continues to be funded by the My
23	Brother's Keeper initiative, for which we

want to thank all of you again, especially

1	Speaker Heastie. We're asking for an
2	additional \$500,000 in funding to support new
3	programs and expand existing programs.

TOC II is designed to increase the participation rate of individuals identified as underrepresented and underserved in the teaching profession -- African American, Hispanic American and American Indian or Alaskan natives. This program also aims to enhance the preparation of teachers in addressing the learning needs of students in high-need districts and to become culturally responsive and sustaining educators.

Finally, TOC II will assist in the recruitment, retention and certification activities necessary to increase the supply of qualified teachers in schools and districts experiencing teacher shortages, and those with a high concentration of students at risk as well as our struggling schools.

We appreciate the strong support you've demonstrated for opportunity programs. These programs work, and your support and funding has made a difference.

1	Our Access and Opportunity Agenda also
2	includes enactment of the New York State
3	Senator Jose Peralta DREAM Act. We were very
4	excited to finally see this legislation pass
5	both houses. The Regents and the department
6	have long advocated for this important
7	legislation, which is highlighted on Slide 9.
8	We look forward to the DREAM Act being signed
9	into law so these young New Yorkers are no
10	longer punished for decisions that they had
11	no control over.

As you negotiate this budget, please remember that our workforce and the workforce pipeline are the state's most important infrastructure -- and our best economic development program. A state-of-the-art workforce pipeline does not depend only on traditional college pathways. As you can see on Slide 10, the Regents are requesting a \$3 million investment in bridge programs to enable out-of-school youth and adults to obtain essential basic skills, a high school equivalency diploma, industry-recognized credentials, and preparation for

1	post-	-secondary	study	and	careers.
---	-------	------------	-------	-----	----------

The programs would consist of

partnerships between an adult education

program and colleges or training providers of

demonstrated effectiveness. This proposal

could pilot up to 10 bridge programs across

New York State.

One of the best examples of this bridge program model exists at CUNY's LaGuardia Community College.

Described on Slide 11 is our proposal that would enhance supports and services for post-secondary success of our students with disabilities. A number of you have mentioned that particular proposal to both our SUNY and our CUNY leaders.

The department has been engaged in collaborative efforts with our higher education sectors to establish a legislative framework advocating for critical new funding that would supplement, not supplant, any other funding in existence for such services. This would require funding a \$15 million grant program that would be allocated in a

1	proportional manner by each identified
2	student with a disability to eligible
3	degree-granting colleges, pursuant to a plan
4	that I would approve.
5	And specifically the program would be
6	designed to supplement funding for support
7	and accommodations for students with
8	disabilities; support summer college
9	preparation programs to assist individuals
10	with disabilities with the transition to
11	college and prepare them to navigate campus
12	facilities and systems; provide full- and
13	part-time college faculty and staff with
14	disability training; and improve the
15	identification process of individuals with
16	disabilities and enhance data-collection
17	capabilities.
18	We need to start this critical
19	conversation of how as a state we can better
20	support our students with disabilities to be
21	successful in college.
22	On Slides 12 through 17, we provide
23	you with updates on the work of the Office of

24 Professions. I want to bring particular

1	attention to the office's modernization and
2	electronic licensing activities on Slide 16.
3	In 2009, the Legislature and Executive
4	approved a 15 percent registration fee
5	increase so that we could replace a
6	35-year-old COBOL-based licensing system and
7	enhance our customer service.

Unfortunately, many of the goals proposed in the advocacy for this fee increase have not yet been realized, due to ever-rising costs, increased statutory-related responsibilities and a tightening of spending controls and waiver approvals, which decreased our staff to unsustainable levels.

Recently, though, we've started to see some progress in these areas. We want to thank the Governor for increasing the Office of Professions general spending authority by \$7.3 million in his proposed budget, and also ask for your support so that we can begin to increase our staffing to more appropriate levels in order to improve cycle times of both licensure and discipline processes;

1	provide enhanced customer service to
2	licensees, employers and the public; and meet
3	our mandated responsibilities of overseeing
4	access to competent professional services to
5	every citizen in this state.

Additionally, after many years of requesting the authority to spend funds we already have on hand in the Office of Professions account to use in our modernization efforts, we finally received approval by both the Executive and the Legislature last year to move forward with these critical efforts.

We again thank you for your efforts to make these resources available to the department. We have moved to use the funds to get Phase 1 underway, which includes the development of online applications and many customer service improvements.

We want to thank the Governor for including our Regents priority request in his recent budget proposal and ask for your support for \$7.2 million in capital spending authority. This funding is needed to

1	continue development of an electronic
2	licensing system and other modernization
3	activities.
4	Before I take your questions, I want
5	to thank you for the opportunity to discuss
6	our priorities with you and for all of your
7	support last year. We look forward to
8	working with you again on our shared goals,
9	and now I look forward to your questions.
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you,
11	Commissioner. We're going to go to Deborah
12	Glick, our Higher Ed chair.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you very
14	much, Commissioner, for being here. I want
15	to say at the outset that I know that we'll
16	see you again, I think on February 6th, to
17	deal with the K-12 questions that many of us
18	will have. But we want to focus today on the
19	work that you're doing relative to higher ed
20	In your it may be in your
21	testimony; obviously we give you a rather
22	short time to go through an extensive

portfolio. I'm wondering about what you see

as the capacity we could, if we gave you some

23

1	additional resources, what the capacity is
2	for STEP and CSTEP. There are sometimes
3	delays in funding, and there have been
4	questions that programs have had about the
5	need to expand and how much you estimate some
6	of that would cost.

a \$10 million budget request in specifically for those access and opportunity programs.

It is Slide 3 in your information. You'll note that in several of the programs together we're serving 36,000 students. And it is across the state in many different school districts as well as many different post-secondary opportunities.

These programs really support students who, without that support, have less chance to be able to complete a college program.

And so we know that if we give extra supports and we provide opportunities for students to be involved in summer programming outside, if they in fact go into programs that are connected to specifically specialized labor markets, all of those things support students

1	to help them keep going in their degree or in
2	their program. And these programs are
3	particularly helpful in that way.
4	So we have many institutions and

So we have many institutions and students benefiting, and we believe that \$10 million extra in those programs will really make a difference.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Okay. Another way to look at it is are there applicants for whom they are rejected, and what that number is. Maybe you don't have that in front of you, but that would be --

SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: So we will get specific information. We do have, in fact, numbers that relate to each of the programs and how many students are being served.

So for instance, in the Liberty

Partnership programs, we have 47 different

programs. I go to those meetings, and there

are many other districts and/or program areas

at universities that we would like to open,

and we put them out -- the funding that we

have, we make that available. But it does

not meet the needs of all of the programs

1	that would like to begin having a Liberty
2	Partnership.
3	So any point for any of these
4	programs, we believe that there certainly is
5	a need for growth.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: On a completely
7	different tack, I know that you have been
8	underfunded for a period of time and that
9	there's slowly been the ability to add some
10	personnel.
11	One of the questions that we receive
12	relates to review for program authorization.
13	So a college may want to start they've
14	never had a psychology program, they want to
15	offer a B.A., or they have a program but do
16	not have a graduate-level authorization.
17	What have you been able to do in terms
18	of the program review process?
19	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, we
20	actually have two areas within the department
21	where that question can reside, if you will.
22	In John D'Agati's higher ed, we review
23	programs there for our colleges and

universities and for any degree-granting

	1	and the second of the second
		 nstitutions.
_	<u>L</u>	 

And so there has to be a balance. And so one of the things you've pointed out is the need for staff to be able to do the reviews so that we make sure that the programs, when they open, are providing the kind of high quality that we want in New York State for our students.

We have been working with SUNY and SUNY specifically to look at the process to make sure that it is as streamlined and as informative for everyone that's involved in it, so that as applications come in and we work with them and need additional answers, that they immediately know what has to happen at SUNY Central and that they tell the specific university.

So I think the streamlining is one of the areas that we have, over the last three years, really made some inroads in. We have another area Doug Lentivech's Department of the Professions has to oversee, and that is very specific to areas within medical professions and specific programs to prepare

1	professionals	to be	licensed	through	us

And again, they are very

time-consuming, and it requires coordination

between our department and the particular

institution that is seeking that. We are, as

I said, working very closely to streamline

and make sure that we can do that in a timely

way.

In both of those areas, we have staff
that is right now not in place that, if they
were identified for us to be able to move
them on our staff, then we would be able to
do things quicker and, in the case of both of
those, higher ed and in the professions, we
need the kind of staff members who have
background in those things and can then come
in and make sure that we're doing what's
appropriate.

So it is all of those things, it's the process that we're streamlining, it's the staffing that we need to review. And I think it's a coordination between what we have to do and an understanding from the perspective of the institution of what they have to.

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: If you had to
2	put a number on the additional personnel, do
3	you have that, how much more staffing would
4	be required?
5	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, right
6	now in higher ed we have 23 openings in that
7	area. And that particular area also does
8	certifications and all of the reviews of
9	college requests, et cetera.
10	And in the Office of Professions, we
11	have 22 openings. And all of that and
12	those in many cases are very specialized. So
13	a person comes in and gets hired, it takes a
14	period of time to develop the skill and the
15	understanding and knowledge that are
16	necessary to make those kinds of
17	determinations and reviews.
18	So those are just the two areas that
19	you mentioned.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Let me ask you
21	one I can see that the time goes quickly.
22	And I appreciate your thorough response.
23	In the area of specialized
24	education and I guess that's more of the

1	vocational rehab, what used to be called
2	vocational rehab and now is called, I'm sure,
3	something else there are a lot of adults
4	who don't have a high school diploma but want
5	to start going to college. I wanted to go to
6	graduate school and I didn't have my
7	undergraduate; they were very picky about
8	that, so I had to go back and finish that.
9	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: There's a
10	process.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: There is a
12	process.
13	So perhaps Mr. Smith has some insight?
14	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, we've
15	asked for the funding for the bridge
16	programs, which I think really addresses that
17	need across the state to allow individuals
18	who don't even have a high school diploma to
19	access high school equivalency to get
20	training in a particular area. These are all
21	partnered with colleges that are providing
22	that can provide the training, and also for
23	institutions and in fact some of our
24	for-profit schools that can provide training

1	in	particular	skill	areas.

So that is a request that we have to provide up to 10 programs across the state that would mirror what's going on at LaGuardia. Because it's an excellent bridge program and provides that very specific opportunity for students that don't have their high school diploma but could expand. ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Go ahead. SED DEPUTY CMR. SMITH: Thank you, Assemblywoman. And for individuals with disabilities, students with disabilities, we are doing everything we can to improve our 

students with disabilities, we are doing everything we can to improve our coordination, cooperation with our Office of Special Education to assist and support students with disabilities to transition to higher education opportunities, and we are in coordination, as you've heard from the last two testimonies, with our colleagues in the four higher education sectors to improve the coordination and cooperation for the transition of students with disabilities to higher education institutions and the

Τ	supports that go along with that,
2	communicating the need for accommodations of
3	those students.
4	We are also spending, through
5	ACCES-VR, which is what it's called now,
6	ACCES-Vocational Rehabilitation, in the
7	neighborhood of \$30 million in support of
8	individual students to attend our higher
9	education institutions.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
12	Senate?
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
14	Senator Toby Stavisky, chair of Higher
15	Ed.
16	SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you.
17	In the past, as you know, I've asked
18	questions about the fees that are received
19	from people looking for professional
20	licensure. And in fact we've discussed the
21	fact that 10 years ago I sponsored the
22	legislation with I believe Assemblywoman
23	Glick to increase the fees by 15 percent.
24	And there have been problems over the years

1	in terms of SED using the money for the
2	professions and to speed up applications, and
3	instead I remember one instance, your
4	predecessor wanted in fact did use the fee
5	money for Regents exams, because they ran out
6	of money for that. I will never forget that
7	discussion.
8	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: I'm not doing
9	that.
10	(Laughter.)
11	SENATOR STAVISKY: My first reaction
12	to that was there would be a lot of happy
13	high school students if we
14	But are we now on track in terms of
15	speeding up the licensure process in terms of
16	using the money for which it was intended?
17	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Yes. And
18	Senator Stavisky, I want to thank you,
19	because it really is putting us forward
20	now not at the speed with which any of us
21	feel comfortable, but I would say that last
22	year we were able to have the Division of
23	Budget support an amount of money that we
24	could begin our processing. We have since

1	moved	forwa	ard wi	th	our	staffing	and	the
2	proces	s to	start	e-	lice	ensing.		

So just for your information, one of the slides showed that we have close to a million New Yorkers that get their licensure through our Professions Office. And what you're talking about, I think, and I want to thank the Governor specifically for this year's budget, which has in it an amount of money, \$7.3 million, to support the staffing necessary to move all of the time periods that can cause us all to be anxious about moving things more quickly, but doing that in the context of making sure that we are being very thorough when we make decisions about licenses and what's required there.

And also the Governor this year has put in to allow us another amount of money, \$7.2 million, specifically to support that technology upgrade and all of the functions that will come with our technology upgrade.

So I can say we have started it.

You'll note -- and if you're talking to
people that are putting in licenses and

1	requests for licenses, some of it is online,
2	and we are on a timetable to move that as
3	quickly as possible.
4	So I urge you to support the
5	Governor's proposal because both of those
6	amounts of money are in are coming from
7	the very account that you're talking about.
8	SENATOR STAVISKY: Yes, and in fact I
9	have a copy of your budget request.
10	And along those lines, last year or
11	maybe it was the year before there was an
12	issue involving spending or authorization to
13	spend a little over \$4 million. Has that
14	been resolved, and did you have access to the
15	four-point
16	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Yes, 4.2.
17	SENATOR STAVISKY: Four-point-three, I
18	have.
19	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: So we have
20	now we are able to spend that money.
21	Understand that although it may have been
22	appropriated, we have to go through another
23	process to be able to move on it. And so
24	we're working very closely with the Division

1	of Budget to be able to access those funds.
2	And on this particular budget
3	appropriation that the Governor's put in for
4	both of those, those are very important for
5	us. And then we will follow up to make sure
6	that we have access to the money that you
7	approve.
8	SENATOR STAVISKY: You mentioned the
9	slides. On Slide 12, you opened 260 illegal
10	practice cases. What was the carryover from
11	the previous year? Because obviously
12	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: 2017?
13	SENATOR STAVISKY: Chart 12.
14	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: So on Slide 12
15	we have the 2018 illegal practice cases were
16	opened here.
17	SENATOR STAVISKY: How many were
18	carried over from previous years? The reason
19	I ask that question is obviously you have 523
20	compliance agreements, but it's not all from
21	2018, I assume.
22	What's the backlog? That's really the
23	question.
24	DEPUTY CMR. LENTIVECH: Yeah, I'd have

1	to get you that exact number, Senator. There
2	are about they're pretty static each year,
3	so I would expect we're probably seeing a
4	similar number opened I mean, I don't know
5	off the top of my head, but I'm telling you
6	it's a static number of how many illegal
7	practices we see coming to us every year.
8	And we're pretty static in the number
9	we maintain. We're not really backlogged
10	much in illegal practice because those cases
11	aren't cases that we take to hearing or
12	adjudicate in that way. Those are cases that
13	then are going to be referred for criminal
14	prosecution to the Attorney General's office.
15	So they don't stay in our office a ton of
16	time.
17	SENATOR STAVISKY: That's the question
18	I'm asking. Because if there's an illegal
19	practice, it's detrimental to the health and
20	safety of the people whom they treat.
21	DEPUTY CMR. LENTIVECH: Right. And we
22	would get those over to the Attorney
23	General's office. Because remember, while

we'll have misconduct available against a

Ţ	licensee, these are felony prosecutions
2	against the unlicensed people, so they're
3	handled criminally. And they're a matter of
4	referral to that agency, and we do that
5	pretty regularly, pretty quickly.
6	SENATOR STAVISKY: One other question,
7	on Slide 16. The applicants are applying
8	online. And you and I have had discussions,
9	Commissioner Lentivech, in the
10	past concerning nursing, particularly the
11	licensure of foreign nurses and why it takes
12	it took a year and a half for somebody to
13	receive a license, a totally qualified
14	individual.
15	Has that been resolved, and what is
16	the length of time it takes for a license to
17	be approved?
18	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: So I remember
19	last year that we did talk about that. In
20	fact, at that point in time we were requiring
21	applicants to go through an agency.
22	SENATOR STAVISKY: Exactly.
23	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: We have lifted
24	that requirement and said to them it's really

1	critical that we have all of the information
2	that's necessary. And I believe you know,
3	Doug can respond specifically on the timing,
4	but it has gotten better.
5	SENATOR STAVISKY: It's gotten better
6	and there's no longer that requirement that
7	
8	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: No.
9	SENATOR STAVISKY: this person
10	spend it was over a thousand dollars.
11	DEPUTY CMR. LENTIVECH: Agreed.
12	And, you know, we listened to you
13	maybe three or four years ago I think you
14	first brought it to us
15	SENATOR STAVISKY: That's when it was.
16	DEPUTY CMR. LENTIVECH: and we said
17	this is crazy that we're making people depend
18	upon an enterprise that they have no control
19	over and they may be getting no results.
20	That being said, sometimes those
21	enterprises are the best deal for people too,
22	because sometimes they can get information
23	that others can't. But we leave it up to the
24	individuals to do that.

1	SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you.
2	DEPUTY CMR. LENTIVECH: What we're
3	trying to do is find more and improved ways
4	to get information from those very tricky
5	institutions in parts of the globe it's very
6	difficult for us to get access to. And they,
7	sadly, do become the most time-concerning of
8	our applications. We're quicker on the ones
9	where they're coming directly to us, but this
10	is an area where we could use more staffing,
11	this is an area that could use more resources
12	because it's just difficult to get that
13	information from some isolated schools in
14	some places when they have real-deal
15	education, but sometimes the way those
16	schools do business make it very difficult
17	for us to get
18	SENATOR STAVISKY: This was a major
19	institution in the Philippines. It involved
20	my chief of staff's wife. I never called you
21	to expedite it, but I said to myself, what
22	happened to her shouldn't happen to anybody
23	else.

DEPUTY CMR. LENTIVECH: Right.

1	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: RIGHT.
2	DEPUTY CMR. LENTIVECH: Absolutely
3	appreciate it.
4	And the Philippines isn't that bad. I
5	mean, Philippines are
6	SENATOR STAVISKY: I understand that
7	they are very professionally trained and
8	ready to their knowledge is certainly
9	superior to many other areas. That's why I
10	was concerned, because we used to talk about
11	a nursing shortage, and sometimes it can be
12	self-imposed.
13	DEPUTY CMR. LENTIVECH: Yes,
14	absolutely.
15	SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you.
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
17	Assembly.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
19	Assemblyman Barclay.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Good afternoon.
21	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Good
22	afternoon.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: I've got I guess
24	a couple of specific questions, but just one

1	general.
---	----------

2	On your page 9, you talk about the
3	enactment of the DREAM Act. So I appreciate
4	your support of that, but does SED have any
5	is it just generally you like the policy,
6	or what's SED have to do with the DREAM Act?
7	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: So
8	specifically well, as of now, we so let
9	me clarify your question. Are you asking us
10	what you have passed now and our reaction to
11	that?
12	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Either one. I
13	mean the proposed bill or the either the
14	Governor's proposal or the legislation we
15	passed. I'm just not sure why you opined on
16	the DREAM Act, other than maybe you just want
17	to add that you support it. But does SED
18	have any you don't have oversight of the
19	DREAM Act
20	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: We want to
21	make sure that we can serve the undocumented

make sure that we can serve the undocumented students that have been in New York for a very long period of time, generally have supported and been supported by our high

1	schools, have received their diplomas, have
2	received their degrees in our schools and
3	the fact that they haven't had access to
4	supports would really be a negative for them.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: So it's just a
6	general support of the
7	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Yes. But
8	there are some things that we believe could
9	be supported and shifted in the Governor's
10	proposal that's the one that we've really
11	had the opportunity to review closely. And
12	we will work with anybody that would like to,
13	to clarify anything that we think would be
14	important.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Okay, thank you.
16	Your Office of Professions I'm not
17	overly aware of how this all works, so please
18	bear with me. How many professions do you
19	license?
20	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Fifty-four.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Okay. And then
22	what's the average time I mean, I know
23	obviously every profession probably has a
24	different time period for licensing. But

1	what's do you have like the shortest one
2	versus the longest one, and what's the
3	longest one to receive a license?
4	DEPUTY CMR. LENTIVECH: Well, you're
5	absolutely right, it's going to be very
6	different depending upon the profession. If
7	you went to a program that's in New York
8	State and they use a service that reports to
9	us electronically and it's a state school and
10	we get the stuff like that, I mean, you could
11	be talking about a few days after we get
12	their application from the student.
13	If you go to a school out of the
14	United States and you've taken an
15	examination, it's not an examination we're
16	familiar with so we're doing all comparative
17	reviews, those could take a very, very long
18	time if you ever meet the New York State
19	qualifications.
20	So it goes from very short to
21	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: I know it's
22	tough because obviously every case could be a
23	little different. But is there any way to

24 what's your shortest versus what's the

1	longest? I mean, assuming things aren't
2	jammed up because the licensee hasn't given
3	their documents in time or something like
4	that. But
5	DEPUTY CMR. LENTIVECH: Yeah, I mean
6	you should be six to eight weeks. You know,
7	you should be able to get stuff and get out
8	when they're easily verified. For something
9	that doesn't require a review of experience
10	or something like that. When you're talking
11	about making sure they went to an accredited
12	program that's not unreasonable and
13	things.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: How many people
15	do you have working in that office now?
16	DEPUTY CMR. LENTIVECH: Nowhere near
17	what we should. It's 294 today.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: And you said
19	there's eighty that was for a school
20	curriculum, the 80 that you have openings.
21	How many openings do you have, and
22	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: We have right
23	now 127 openings across the entire State
24	Education Department. And that is

approximately seven different departments.

We are working closely -- all of the approvals that we have are things that have to go through the Division of Budget. We work very closely for them to understand our needs. And it can sometimes be a difficult process in the fact that we may get approvals but we have a time period on the approvals, we have to find -- recruit the right person, do all of the interviews, and at the end of that time period that is required, if we don't get it completed, then we start again.

ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Okay, I presume

-- or has there been legislation out there to
loosen up your ability so you don't have to
get approvals from the DOB every time?

SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, I would say there's two parts to it. It is the approval that comes from the Legislature in specific areas. We have -- one of the slides requests that you look at new programming and, as new programs are approved, that we be allowed like the federal government allows for a 5 percent set-aside for administrative

1	costs to implement the program.
2	Let me give you an example. We have
3	37 PTECH programs. There's a proposal that
4	the Governor has made and we think PTECH
5	programs are an excellent model. But there's
6	a proposal for another eight to 10 PTECH
7	programs; we never received any staff to
8	stand up any of the 37 we have, and now we're
9	going to do more.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Yeah, okay.
11	Thank you.
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Hi. Good
13	afternoon.
14	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Hi there.
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Just two
16	questions. So you testified that you thought
17	the HEOP, SEEK programs for disabilities in
18	our colleges are all very important and
19	recommended money. I feel like every year
20	I've been here for a lot of years now
21	everybody tells us these are really good

programs, the Governor or whoever they are

increase them a little -- which always

cuts them, we try to put them back and maybe

22

23

1	frustrates me, because if everybody's talking
2	about how great these programs are, why do we
3	give them such a small amount of money?
4	Rut that's not my question My

But that's not my question. My
question is, can you go back and then get me
the answer, all of us the answer, other
states with -- you can just do a ratio, how
much are they investing in their equivalent
programs for their college students? because
I feel like we're probably not investing
enough money in these important programs, and
one way to argue that is that in comparison
to other states, New York is only putting in
X amount.

So do you think you'd have the ability to go back and help me do the research on what other states are doing?

SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: We can certainly review and see what we can gather for you and provide whatever information we're able to get. Because some of this -- some programs do things more like TAP and it may have -- they may have requirements with that that would overlap what we do with our

Τ	other programs.
2	So I'm not sure it will be a clean
3	review, but we'll see what we can find out
4	for you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I'd appreciate
6	that, thank you.
7	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Sure.
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Then my second
9	question if I haven't lost it. See, the
10	day's not that long but I've already
11	forgotten the second question. I give up the
12	rest of my time. I'll get back to you when I
13	remember it. Thank you.
14	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: It's nothing
16	you said; there's a Ways and Means meeting
17	that has to take place, so the respective
18	members have left and will return.
19	In the meantime, we will hear from
20	Assemblymember Smith.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: Thank you.
22	And thank you, Commissioner, for being
23	here today.

I've spent the better part of a year

1	to try to get some answer to this question.
2	I had some other questions but they're
3	actually
4	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: You're a
5	teacher, aren't you?
6	ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: That's right.
7	That's right, I am, licensed through State
8	Ed.
9	And as far as this goes and I asked
10	the SUNY chancellor a little bit earlier, and
11	I was kind of disturbed by her answer the
12	Smart Schools Review Board, of which you, the
13	Governor's budget director and the SUNY
14	chancellor are members, right now, to date it
15	was approved it was \$2 billion approved by
16	the Legislature in 2014, approved by the
17	voters in that subsequent election. Right
18	now we're still waiting on \$1.2 billion of
19	funding to be disbursed.
20	I reach out in vain, my district, \$42
21	million have been provided to six districts,
22	six school districts. One of my largest

districts, Sachem, is waiting on \$11 million.

And as we debate this week on gun legislation

23

1	we live in a very scary time that money
2	is going to be used to harden the security in
3	the school to put vestibules in the schools,
4	increase security cameras. Some of these
5	buildings are very old, they need technology
6	upgrades just to run the wifi.

I'm very concerned -- and my districts are watching right now, and curious as to what the answer's going to be. When I reach out to your office -- rather, when I reach out to the Governor's budget office, he points to your department and says that without staffing, these plans aren't being reviewed.

Your office, and I think I believe this, and my districts do, say that it's the fact that this review board, of which you're a member, along with the SUNY chancellor and the budget director, has not met that frequently. I asked the other day; there's no meeting on the schedule.

As our schools are planning their budgets for the upcoming year, it's very difficult -- because this grant, as you know,

Τ	is not reimbursable. So if my school
2	districts go ahead and put in all these extra
3	security features, the local property
4	taxpayers who are going to be paying for that
5	can't be reimbursed under Smart Schools.
6	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: That's right.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: Can we can we
8	do
9	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, let me
10	give you some background on this.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: Please.
12	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: First of all,
13	all of the proposals have to come into our
14	office. And over the period of time that
15	I've been there, about three and a half
16	years, this is an area that has been
17	challenged with staffing.
18	We have, in fact, put in some
19	additional changes. We put in a technology
20	system so every one of the school districts
21	who puts a submittal in can get the feedback
22	immediately on where we are, where they are
23	in the process so they know where they are in
24	the queue. And then if we do get to their

1	proposal and we have questions or we need
2	additional information, they can see that on
3	that technology system so it will be updated
1	And you could go to overy one of the

And you could go to every one of the six districts and you could find out exactly where they are in the queue to get approved.

ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: Now if I could -because I have a limited time. If I could
speak to that, because my districts have
submitted plans and two of them have already
been told that the old submission process
isn't working anymore, they're now going to
be using the new submission process -- that's
Middle Country and Sachem -- for this
funding.

Sachem Schools, as I mentioned, a large district, just submitted a new plan under the new proposal system. They literally have to detail every single nut and bolt to the T under current vendor contracts that they have. And after submitting that now, it's already been eight months since they've gotten any -- they've gotten no feedback whatsoever. So those --

1	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: So I would
2	suggest to you that we'll provide for you all
3	of the information that has been the numbers,
4	if you will, on where we are in terms of the
5	push-out
6	ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: I mean, I have
7	that, I actually have that.
8	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: And if you ask
9	us on your specific districts, we can give
10	you the information on them
11	ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: Right, which you
12	have done, and I thank you for that. I have
13	that information, your office has
14	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: So you have
15	that.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: Yes. However, we
17	don't know when is this review board going to
18	be meeting, it was supposed to meet
19	quarterly, there's no date.
20	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: So let me
21	explain. There are three people that are
22	appointed to the review board, myself, the
23	SUNY chancellor, and the head of the Division
24	of Budget. The head of the Division of the

1	Budget is the person in that trio that
2	identifies when the meetings will be held.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: Okay, that's
4	actually a great answer.
5	Now, would it surprise you that the
6	SUNY chancellor, who was here today, was
7	unaware that she's even part of this and
8	asked me privately if I was sure that it was
9	the SUNY chancellor and not the Board of
10	Regents chancellor. You know, that kind of
11	
12	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: She has a
13	representative that goes to those meetings,
14	as do I, so Beth Berlin, who's in the
15	audience here, is the representative for
16	State Ed. And so she is the senior deputy
17	commissioner, goes to every one of the
18	meetings. But we only go when the meetings
19	are held, obviously
20	ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: That's the
21	Governor's budget
22	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: and we are
23	not in charge of holding the meetings and
24	scheduling them. But as soon as and we d

1	inform the other two members when we have
2	proposals that have been approved and that
3	they're waiting in the queue to be approved.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: All right, thank
5	you. And I will ask that you join me in
6	asking the Governor to have his office set a
7	new date for a meeting. Thank you.
8	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: You're
9	welcome.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Assemblywoman
11	Glick.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Assemblymember
13	Buttenschon.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: Thank you
15	for being here today. I appreciate your
16	time.
17	Again, if this question was asked, I
18	apologize, as I was in session.
19	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: No problem.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: I come
21	from upstate New York, the Utica/Rome area,
22	and a major concern in regards to the as
23	other colleagues have talked about, the
24	timing of processing an individual's license.

1	And you we stated that there's a wide
2	parameter. These individuals do not have
3	unique situations, it just seems that it is
4	somewhat slow in regards to in their
5	terms. The funding is there for you. I just
6	wanted to have a
7	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: So I mentioned
8	that earlier, and we have it in the slides
9	that we've presented to you. So one of the
10	things that we are identifying for you all is
11	that the Governor has put in funding in his
12	budget for staff and for supports for us to
13	move forward
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: Yes, I'm
15	aware of that. My concern was what type of
16	plan you have specifically to expedite this.
17	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: So we've been
18	working on a plan to make sure that our
19	technology is able to handle online
20	licensure, which will make all of the license
21	requests move forward. A few of them already
22	are online, but not all of them.
23	And our plan would be to use the
24	funding that the Governor has in his budget

1	to complete that process itle sains to be
1	to complete that process, it's going to be a
2	three-to-four-year process. But the first
3	part of it is the part where we believe that
4	the license those that are requesting a
5	license will have the most bang for that by
6	getting it done online, and we believe that
7	that will help the situation.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: And that
9	wouldn't affect the 127 openings you have,
10	then?
11	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: It will. Let
12	me point out, the 127 openings are openings
13	that are in fact budgeted, they're in our
14	budget, but we don't have approval to fill
15	them.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: Okay. And
17	is that like a normal the norm for you,
18	that you would have for
19	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: It is somewhat
20	normal for State Ed.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: Okay.
22	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: We are for
23	your information
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: And is

1	there someone looking into why?
2	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: We are not a
3	Governor's agency. We are different.
4	Unique. I like to think the word "unique"
5	fits us well.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: Just one
7	other question regarding the timing of
8	curriculum development in higher ed and that
9	process. I know there's probably a lot of
10	fingers that say but how long is that
11	generally for programs? Is that something
12	you consider a concern, or you feel that
13	you're up to snuff in regards to
14	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: So I did
15	mention that briefly before. One of the
16	things that we found was that the process
17	itself needed to be streamlined. And so
18	there are actually two places within State Ed
19	where we do that. We actually, for higher
20	ed, for all degree granting, it's done in our
21	higher ed department. And if it has to do
22	with professions, it's done in the Office of
23	Professions.

And so you have two offices. The

Τ	process that we had in place I will suggest
2	to you was not the most efficient for
3	everyone, and it didn't let everyone know
4	where they were in the process. And so we've
5	streamlined that, working with SUNY and CUNY
6	and the independents to make sure that they
7	are aware of where we are in asking for
8	information back so that we can move the
9	process forward. And we think that that will
10	help.
11	It also will help as we get more staff
12	into those two departments to be able to move
13	things more quickly.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: So another
15	technology update
16	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: It will be the
17	technology, but it's also actually the people
18	to do the reviews.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: And in
20	your handout on page 5 you talk about STEM,
21	but I also just was questioning STEAM also
22	was probably a priority for you also
23	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Yes.
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: It's just

1	the area I come from is highly motivated by
2	STEAM.
3	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Where are you
4	from?
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: Upstate.
6	Utica/Rome area.
7	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: That's right.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: So that is
9	a priority; correct?
10	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: That is a
11	priority as well, yeah. The Regents are very
12	focused on making sure that the arts are
13	included in the work that we do across the
14	board.
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: Okay.
16	Thank you very much.
17	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Thank you.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: Thank you,
19	Chair.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: I believe our
21	final questioner will be Assemblymember
22	Griffin.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GRIFFIN: Greetings.

Thank you for being here today.

23

1	Just two questions. I wanted to
2	reiterate what my colleague to the left of me
3	had said about the Smart School bond money
4	for the school security. I too I think
5	I'm in southwestern Nassau County in Long
6	Island, and that is a big issue. A couple of
7	schools have gotten other funding for it and
8	have completed upgrading their security, but
9	many of our schools haven't had you know,
10	haven't had that opportunity. And there's a
11	lot of schools that are lacking in that
12	school security.
13	And I was at a school board meeting
14	last year right after Parkland took place,
15	and I would say there was like 300 parents
16	all extremely concerned, crying and just
17	really worried about so many things, but for
18	their young elementary schoolchildren
19	especially. They were really worried about
20	all these different security barriers that
21	they could have.
22	So I'm glad that we can now check then
23	in the queue that you've talked about.
24	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Yes.

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GRIFFIN: But I just
2	wanted to just urge if there's any way to
3	expedite that, because it does take a long
4	time to get complete.
5	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Well, we have
6	moved on expediting them, and if you get
7	and find out your particular districts, you
8	can go on there and see exactly where they
9	are.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GRIFFIN: Thank you.
11	And I'm really happy to see the
12	increase in funding for enhancing support
13	services for students with disabilities. I
L 4	think that's really well needed. One of my
15	sons goes to a school where they had some
16	special services and it really made a big,
17	big difference.
18	And I just wondered how do you find
19	out like do you go back to the high
20	schools to find out what services are most
21	needed for students? Where are you getting
22	information to have programs that could best

serve this population of so many students

24 with disabilities?

1	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: So that all
2	has to do with the student self-identifying
3	at the school site, that, you know, "In fact
4	I did have services when I was in high
5	school, I'm now in college and I need these
6	additional services." Or supports that may
7	be required.
8	You heard the gentleman who was here
9	with the chancellor from CUNY talk about the
10	cost for students who are deaf and hard of
11	hearing and the work that they're doing to
12	provide those services. A student who goes
13	into a college at this point in time, we
14	don't have a connection of the data systems
15	from high school into college, and so a
16	student would have to self-report that "I
17	need help."
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GRIFFIN: Okay, thank
19	you very much.
20	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: You're
21	welcome.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you very
23	much, Commissioner. Always good to hear from
24	you and your team.

1	SED COMMISSIONER ELIA: Thank you.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: We will next
3	hear from the Higher Education Services
4	Corporation, Dr. Guillermo Linares.
5	Anytime you're ready.
6	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Good
7	afternoon, Chairs Krueger, Weinstein,
8	Stavisky, Glick, and to all my former
9	colleagues in the Senate and Assembly, thank
10	you for the opportunity to speak today about
11	the Governor's 2019-2020 Executive Budget
12	recommendations that impact the New York
13	State Higher Education Services Corporation,
14	HESC. I am Dr. Guillermo Linares, acting
15	president of HESC, and this morning I am
16	joined by my executive vice president, Elsa
17	Magee.
18	HESC is responsible for administering
19	more than two dozen New York State student
20	financial aid and college access programs
21	that help to ease college costs for New York
22	State students and families, including the
23	first-in-the-nation Excelsior Scholarship.
24	Collectively, these programs provide nearly

1	\$1.2 billion in financial aid awards to
2	support the college costs of approximately
3	400,000 students attending public and private
4	colleges inside our state.

New York continues to be a leader in college access. The Excelsior Scholarship provided more than 20,000 students with free college tuition in its first year. This is a great number. But it is not about just the ability to go to college tuition-free, it is the other promising outcomes. Student retention is higher among Excelsior students than non-Excelsior students. This suggests that these students will incur less total costs in completing their degrees and begin their careers sooner.

As stated earlier, the number of students taking 15 credits in the first semester at our public university systems is increasing. That is a key indicator of college success and completion. These are positive developments resulting from Excelsior.

24 Getting back to the numbers,

1	Excelsior, with TAP and other scholarships,
2	is enabling more than half of all resident
3	full-time students going to a public
4	university or college in New York State to
5	attend tuition-free. That is over 200,000
6	students attending tuition-free. And when
7	Excelsior is fully implemented, 55 percent of
8	resident full-time students will be attending
9	college tuition-free, a remarkable
10	achievement.
11	But it's not just Excelsior. New York
12	has one of the most robust and generous
13	financial aid programs of any other state,
14	providing nearly \$1 billion in grants. TAP
15	is the largest need-based student financial
16	aid program in the country.
17	Governor Cuomo's 2019-2020 Executive
18	Budget continues to make college more
19	accessible for all New Yorkers and provide
20	opportunities that prepare all students to
21	thrive in the 21st century. From launching
22	the Excelsior Scholarship and Enhanced

Tuition Awards programs to the pioneering Get

On Your Feet Loan Forgiveness Program and the

23

1	New York State Standard Financial Aid Award
2	Letter, the Governor has led the way in
3	making college affordable for all New York
4	State students.

This leadership is apparent in the Governor's higher education proposals, which year after year pushed for funding for the DREAM Act, an issue that has been near and dear to me. Approval of legislation allowing undocumented New York State students, who are deserving of the same advantages our great state gives to their citizen peers -- access to TAP and all other state award and scholarship programs through the Senator Jose R. Peralta DREAM Act -- has been a cornerstone of the Governor's higher education proposals.

While the DREAM Act has been a long-awaited step forward, the Governor's budget also proposes to expand New York's Excelsior free college scholarship for middle-class families to incorporate those making up to \$125,000 a year, ensuring that nearly 55 percent of full-time SUNY and CUNY

1	in-state students can earn a college degree
2	tuition-free; to ensure that for-profit
3	schools are not overly reliant on public
4	support by placing a threshold on their
5	taxpayer-based revenues, and requiring that
6	for-profit schools spend at least 50 percent
7	of their revenues on instruction and learning
8	resources; and to require the licensure of
9	student loan servicers and take steps to
10	ensure that these companies cannot mislead
11	borrowers or engage in practices that may
12	harm student loan borrowers.

In closing, Governor Cuomo is a leading voice in protecting access to higher education for everyone. The 2019-2020 Executive Budget increases state support for higher education to \$7.6 billion, an increase of \$1.6 billion or 27 percent since fiscal year 2012. Under his leadership, New York has implemented an unprecedented number of new and strategic student financial aid programs and initiatives to make college more accessible and encourage the best and brightest students to build their future in

1	New York.
2	His recommendations for higher
3	education programs continue to pave a path to
4	an affordable and high-quality college
5	education, and HESC is pleased to play a
6	vital role in providing New York State's
7	students with a gateway to a successful
8	academic and professional career.
9	Thank you, and I will be happy to
10	answer any questions you may have.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you. It's
12	good to see you.
13	Let me ask you a few questions, and
14	then you can because they are kind of
15	similar and get at different aspects.
16	First, how many applicants have you
17	had, and how many have been rejected? Is
18	there a consistent reason for rejection, or
19	are there several different categories for
20	the applicants for Excelsior to be rejected?
21	And are there any who have received a
22	scholarship who have at this point reverted

ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Well,

23 to loans?

1	first of all, as you are aware, we just got
2	numbers for the first year of implementation
3	for Excelsior. There was an overwhelming
4	response, there was about 108,000
5	applications that were received. I'm talking
6	about both fall and spring of the first year,
7	which is the numbers that we're reporting on.
8	Of those, close to half were found
9	eligible to receive Excelsior. And when you
10	combine both those who already have full
11	tuition plus the 20,000 that received the
12	scholarship, that's the total figure that we
13	have up to this point.
14	I think the news is that we have
15	received a significant number, we're very
16	satisfied with the over 20,000 students that
17	are receiving scholarships.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: So there were
19	about half that were eligible for other
20	reasons, but their TAP and Pell covered the
21	cost of tuition and then it dropped down
22	to from 108
23	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES:
24	Excelsior is the last dollar

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Right. So it
2	went from 108,000 down to 20,000, roughly.
3	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Well,
4	when you look at the number of students that
5	received for the first year, it was over
6	20,000 students.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: And have any
8	already fallen off of receiving Excelsior?
9	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: We're
10	not aware of any that have not received.
11	We're excited that we have on track over
12	20,000.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: For each of
14	these 20,000 students, the scholarship
15	provides the top TAP award of \$5165, and the
16	actual cost of tuition is around \$6700. So
17	for each of those students, there's a
18	shortfall for the schools. Is there any
19	discussion about an increase in what the
20	scholarship would cover so that the schools
21	are not eating the cost of tuition?
22	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES:
23	Whatever the difference between what they
24	receive in full tuition and the actual cost

1	will be covered by the colleges.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Will be covered
3	by the colleges.
4	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Yes,
5	the way that we have it now.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: So the question
7	remains, has there been any conversation
8	about increasing what that award would be in
9	view of the fact that what we're doing is
10	adding to the TAP gap? So is there any
11	discussion about trying to remedy that at
12	all?
13	HESC EXEC VP MAGEE: With regards to
14	the rational tuition policy, SUNY and CUNY
15	currently receive funding for the tuition
16	credit to close that gap between TAP and the
17	actual SUNY/CUNY tuition. So for students
18	who would get a full TAP award, they're still
19	receiving the total award for to cover
20	tuition at a SUNY or CUNY college or
21	university.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Well, the

student isn't impacted, but the schools are.

If your tuition is -- I do not believe, based

23

1	on what I've read, that Excelsior students
2	if I'm Queens College and I have an Excelsior
3	student, I am getting \$5165 for a fixed
4	period of years for that student.
5	HESC EXEC VP MAGEE: For an Excelsior
6	recipient, the tuition is frozen at the
7	2017-'18 '16-'17 tuition rate, at \$6470,
8	and that is what they would receive in total
9	for their Excelsior award, would cover the
10	difference between
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: So on Excelsior,
12	schools are actually receiving the full
13	amount of the tuition for each student?
14	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Yes.
15	HESC EXEC VP MAGEE: Yes, that's
16	correct.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: They're not
18	simply receiving \$5165.
19	HESC EXEC VP MAGEE: No, they're not.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: But if there is
21	an increase in the tuition based on each year
22	the tuition going up \$200, is HESC covering,
23	for each of those students, that additional
24	\$200? Or is it frozen at the earlier rate,

1	thereby creating an Exceision gap?
2	HESC EXEC VP MAGEE: The tuition for
3	an Excelsior recipient is frozen. So the
4	tuition would not increase for that student.
5	And the Excelsior Scholarship would cover
6	that full tuition award for the duration of
7	their time at the school.
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Can you speak up
9	a little bit into the microphone?
10	HESC EXEC VP MAGEE: Did you hear
11	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Yes.
12	HESC EXEC VP MAGEE: Okay.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Well, I think
14	what you've said is that I think that one
15	is glued. For whatever insane reason,
16	they're not movable. I don't know if the
17	wire is short or what. But perhaps you can
18	lean into it.
19	It does seem as though I'm hearing two
20	different things. And I just want to clarify
21	that as tuition goes up, the tuition that is
22	covered by Excelsior is frozen. But students
23	who come in not with Excelsior, at the same
24	in future years pay an extra \$200, but

1	Excelsior is not increased; is that correct?
2	HESC EXEC VP MAGEE: The tuition for
3	an Excelsior recipient is frozen at the
4	2016-'17 tuition rate level. So there is no
5	gap. They're always covered at the \$6470
6	tuition rate.
7	But for those who are not Excelsior
8	recipients, if they're receiving TAP, there
9	is another TAP tuition credit that covers the
10	tuition.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: So the reality
12	is that all of the schools that have
13	Excelsior students, as the tuition rises,
14	they are the schools are not getting
15	additional resources for that student.
16	They're getting the fixed amount, even though
17	every other student's tuition goes up,
18	thereby creating an Excelsior gap.
19	HESC EXEC VP MAGEE: The tuition
20	credit covers the that goes to SUNY and
21	CUNY covers the \$200 increase.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Yes, it's not
23	covered by the money they receive, it is
24	covered by them squeezing out of their

1	operating funds. That is how it is covered.
2	It's covered, but it's covered by the school,
3	not by the state.
4	Let me just as we I'm almost out of
5	time. Is there any planning for how the
6	state is going to follow the students for
7	their five years after graduation to ensure
8	that they stay in the State of New York?
9	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Well,
10	one of the stipulations within the program is
11	to, as we invest in Excelsior scholarship
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: I understand
13	that. Is there a plan for figuring out how
14	you're going to monitor that?
15	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: We are
16	preparing to do that. We're rolling out the
17	second year. So this is very much part of
18	what we intend to do moving forward.
19	HESC EXEC VP MAGEE: Yes. And we also
20	monitor right now for programs such as STEM,
21	where there are work requirements, we have
22	other programs, we have a longstanding math
23	and science teacher incentive program that

has similar requirements, so we're just using

1	the same process that we do for those other
2	programs.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Okay, thank you.
4	Senate.
5	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
6	Our first speaker is Senator Toby
7	Stavisky.
8	SENATOR STAVISKY: Got it. Thank you.
9	A couple of questions on Excelsior and
LO	also on Enhanced TAP. You mentioned the
11	20,000 eligible applicants. How would you
12	break that down in terms of SUNY, CUNY and
13	the independent colleges? Approximately.
L 4	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: You're
15	talking about Excelsior?
16	SENATOR STAVISKY: Yes.
17	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES:
18	Excelsior is for SUNY and CUNY.
19	SENATOR STAVISKY: CUNY and SUNY.
20	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Yes.
21	SENATOR STAVISKY: How would you break
22	that down in terms of SUNY versus CUNY?
23	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: It's
24	pretty much proportionate to the enrollment

1	that both systems have. Of course SUNY is a
2	little larger than CUNY. But the breakdown,
3	we can provide you the numbers. But it is
4	not far off from what the breakdown is with
5	TAP, for that matter.
6	So proportionately it is quite
7	balanced with the number of students that are
8	receiving the scholarship. And it's an open
9	process that has been made available to
10	students throughout. So we've seen quite a
11	response for both systems.
12	SENATOR STAVISKY: For the students
13	who have elected to use the Excelsior
14	Scholarship, approximately what percentage
15	have failed to complete the or did fail to
16	complete the 30-credit requirement?
17	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Well,
18	we right now just received the total number
19	of the 20,000 that are receiving for the
20	first year. But from the testimony that we
21	heard earlier today, the it's very
22	promising, the fact that retention for, you
23	know, Excelsior students is higher than for

24 non-Excelsior. So --

1	SENATOR STAVISKY: The SUNY chancellor
2	said 10 percent, right.
3	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: So
4	those are all positive signs. And we fully
5	anticipate that the number of 20,000 plus
6	students will continue to increase. This was
7	when we had the threshold at \$100,000. This
8	year it's \$110,000. Next year, \$125,000. So
9	we fully expect for that number to increase
10	significantly.
11	SENATOR STAVISKY: Let me rephrase the
12	question, then. Have you found that the
13	30-credit requirement and I must thank
L 4	your executive vice president. We had a
15	lengthy discussion on it on the requirement a
16	couple of years ago, and I appreciate your
17	insights.
18	But what has been the result? Have
19	students failed to fill the 30-credit
20	requirement and therefore are no longer
21	eligible?
22	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: We
23	have it's pretty early in the
24	implementation of the program. But from the

1	numbers that we've seen so far, and from what
2	we heard from both SUNY and CUNY, in terms of
3	retention there are very positive signs.
4	And bear in mind that what drives
5	Excelsior is the pursuit of having students
6	complete
7	SENATOR STAVISKY: I understand that.
8	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES:
9	their degree on time, to save money and time
10	at the same time. So this is the driver that
11	hopefully will send a message, not just to
12	Excelsior students but others that will enter
13	the systems.
14	SENATOR STAVISKY: On a totally
15	different issue, on the Enhanced I didn't
16	mean to mix the two. On Enhanced TAP,
17	there's been a proposal for a \$7 million
18	appropriation, I believe, for Enhanced TAP.
19	How many students will that amount
20	enable to be eligible for the Enhanced TAP?
21	Presumably there's going to be a cutoff, not
22	everybody's going to have there won't be
23	enough money to fund the entire 20,000 the
24	entire cohort. But how students do you

1	expect the \$7 million appropriation, if it's
2	included in the budget, will that help?
3	HESC EXEC VP MAGEE: What we're seeing
4	is that the number between the
5	applications and the number of students who
6	are eligible, we've doubled over two years
7	the number of students who are receiving the
8	award. But the volume is lower than what was
9	anticipated.
10	There had been \$19 million that was
11	budgeted in the first year of the program,
12	but there were only 1100 students who were
13	receiving the awards. So the \$7 million
14	that's budgeted this year would keep on track
15	with what we're seeing with the numbers. So
16	there were about 2,000 eligible recipients
17	for this current year that we're in.
18	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: And
19	I'd just like to add that, you know, again,
20	for the enhanced tuition program, the same
21	criteria as with Excelsior is comes into
22	play and again is emphasizing on-time
23	completion for those students as well.

SENATOR STAVISKY: One other area that

1	you cover is the 529 plans. And how
2	successful has that been? How many families
3	have participated in the 529 plan?
4	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: We'll
5	be happy to provide you with
6	SENATOR STAVISKY: You'll get back to
7	me with that information?
8	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: We'll
9	be happy to provide you with detailed numbers
10	on those.
11	SENATOR STAVISKY: Particularly how
12	much money has been invested, and how does
13	this compare to other states. Because it's
14	my understanding that this plan has some
15	problems.
16	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: We'll
17	get back to you with some of those numbers.
18	SENATOR STAVISKY: And lastly, getting
19	back to Excelsior, I was thinking about the
20	30-credit requirement and the difficulty that
21	a working individual perhaps with childcare
22	responsibilities do you see any way to
23	have a hardship exemption to deal with
24	certain cases that need special attention?

1	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: We do
2	have, with Excelsior, built-in exceptions for
3	hardship when students have either medical
4	circumstances that impact or extenuating
5	circumstances that allow for them to
6	either take a break from their studies as
7	long as it can be justified.
8	But we also have other types of
9	flexibility. For example, we allow for
10	students to take summer courses or winter
11	courses if they need. We also acknowledge
12	all the courses that have been taken in high
13	school, which become part of a bank where
14	students can use those courses that they
15	bring from high school.
16	SENATOR STAVISKY: You're talking
17	about AP classes?
18	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Yes.
19	Those also very much come into the equation
20	so that they can use it as they move forward
21	with their requirement.
22	SENATOR STAVISKY: I would assume so.
23	But the legislation doesn't say 15 credits,
24	it says 30 credits per year.

1	My question is, let's say there's a
2	I represent a large immigrant population.
3	Many of them are working and going to
4	community college or a four-year college.
5	Some of them have children. Would they be
6	eligible for a hardship or an exemption from
7	the 30-credit rule?
8	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Well,
9	we
10	SENATOR STAVISKY: You said
11	extenuating circumstances. I'm trying to
12	flesh out what you mean by would a working
13	parent be eligible?
14	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Well,
15	if you have a death in the family, if you
16	have a critical illness, if you have a health
17	situation. Unexpected circumstances that
18	impact.
19	But there is some discretion there,
20	but they have to demonstrate extenuating
21	circumstances in order for there to be a
22	break in terms of, you know, the process of
23	completing, you know, your degree on time.
24	SENATOR STAVISKY: I must say I too am

1	concerned about the gap between the so-called
2	rational tuition policy, the \$200 tuition
3	increase, and the college losing out.
4	Because we want to encourage the colleges to
5	participate, not make it a financial burden
6	to them.
7	Thank you.
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay, thank you.
9	Assembly.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Assemblymember
11	Epstein.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Thank you.
13	Thank you for coming to testify before us
14	today.
15	I wanted to turn your attention to the
16	conversation we had around the for-profit
17	schools. It was good that the Governor in
18	the budget put some new programs forward,
19	ensuring that lots of the tuition dollars are
20	going towards education. But I want to talk
21	about the public nature of that, because I'm
22	concerned that people are being scammed by
23	some of these for-profit schools with all the

advertising they're doing.

1	I wonder if we can add a public
2	announcement requirement to whatever
3	for-profit schools are doing towards
4	advertising, for tuition, for teaching, where
5	if we can add a component that allows the
6	public to make an informed decision about
7	whether that for-profit college is actually
8	doing the right thing or just taking its
9	resources and putting it into their pockets
10	and not putting it into students and tuition.

ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Well, part of the concern with the budget proposal the Governor has now up for discussion is to make sure that, you know, the mission of affording and providing the best possible education is afforded to all students. And that means that, you know, especially in the private funds that we make available, those go directly to primarily the youth for those purposes rather than other ways.

And so what is now being considered and discussed in the budget is precisely to try to make sure that the public funds that go to help fulfill the mission of the

1	preparatory schools are used in the best
2	possible way to fulfill the mission that we
3	are pursuing.

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: I'm just really concerned that -- I think it's a huge problem. I don't feel like the Governor's proposal goes far enough. It doesn't do anything for the consumer. What it does, it says to the for-profit colleges they have to report to the state on whether they're putting enough tuition dollars -- but it doesn't let the buyer beware about for-profit colleges that might be using tuition dollars for their profit instead of using it for the school.

So I'd really love to see a public component to this to allow all students who are applying to schools to understand — they'd be rated, like if you walk into a restaurant in New York City now, as you know, you may not walk into a restaurant with a C, because you want to go to the ones that have an A on it.

So if we can rate for-profit colleges,

1	we can also then deal with the for-profit
2	colleges that are taking advantage of our
3	young people.
4	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Well,
5	I'm sure that the intention that is being

I'm sure that the intention that is being pursued by the changes being introduced and proposed now is precisely to make sure that part of the process is greater accountability, but at the same time to inform those who will attend the schools, wherever those schools may be, to really hold them accountable to what we're pursuing, which is the best possible education and an informed decision-making for students and families.

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Well, great, I'd love to see if we can go further than we've been proposed.

I want to turn our attention to

Excelsior, because of this issue that, you

know, the Senator raised around the part-time

students. In my district we have a lot of

people who attend school part-time because

they're poor, they don't have the economic

1	opportunities, they have to work full-time.
2	And so if they're working full-time or even
3	working part-time to take care of their
4	family, they can't get Excelsior if they
5	don't go to school full-time.
6	I'd really love to see us deal with
7	this population, because those are the
8	students who are the highest-need. If they
9	can finish college, their economic
10	opportunities in the future are tremendous.
11	And so is there any way that we can
12	talk about expanding Excelsior for that
13	really high-need part-time population who
14	can't get to the 15 credits a semester?
15	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: You
16	know, as you are aware, Excelsior is for
17	full-time students and the idea of getting
18	them to complete their studies in time
19	without a high percentage that are not doing
20	so.
21	But when it comes to part-time
22	students, we have a brand-new program that i
23	up and running for part-time students, it's

part-time scholarship, it's up and running,

1	which is a response to the concern you just
2	expressed.
3	We also have a TAP part-time program.
4	The program I just mentioned to you is for
5	CUNY and SUNY public colleges. But we also
6	have a part-time TAP for part-time students
7	and a part-time study program as well. So
8	those are three programs all tailored and
9	geared to provide financial aid for part-time
10	students.
11	And I agree with you, you know, the
12	numbers are growing in terms of the needs
13	that they have. But those three programs
14	and the one I mentioned first goes directly
15	to respond to the need that they have.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Thanks.
17	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
18	(Discussion off the record.)
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Seward.
20	SENATOR SEWARD: Thank you.
21	And Dr. Linares, thank you for being
22	here and good to see you again.
23	I just wanted to try to get a real

24 handle on the exact cost of the Excelsion

1	program. I know the 2018-2019 budget
2	included I believe \$118.4 million for the
3	Excelsior scholarship, and it was targeted to
4	serving an estimated 27,000 students.
5	In this year's Executive proposal, it

In this year's Executive proposal, it only provides, as I read it, about \$200,000 more than last year, yet with the increase in income level it is anticipated that there would be an additional 3,000 students participating, or eligible to participate.

Just with a \$200,000 increase in this year's budget proposal, is that enough money to cover the increase that we expect in terms of numbers of students? Or is it that there's money left over from last year? We didn't quite get that.

ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Yeah, the number you referred to initially was a projected number that we had. With the actual numbers that we have for the first year of over 20,000, that is within the ballpark figure of the projections that we have. It's a lesser amount. And we do expect an increase in students receiving

1	Excelsior this year, with the threshold going
2	to \$110,000. And next year we'll continue to
3	increase.
4	I feel very comfortable that we're
5	going to, within the budget that we have
6	projected, that we're going to be able to
7	accomplish covering the scholarships for
8	those increases, which will in all
9	likelihood will surpass 30,000 by the end of
10	the program, the three-year rollout that we
11	have.
12	SENATOR SEWARD: So is it safe to
13	assume, then, that we last year's
L 4	appropriation, all of that was not needed and
15	so that's why we can
16	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: That
17	was a projection that we had. And the number
18	that we report is a little below that, but it
19	is within the scope of what we were
20	projecting. We're very satisfied with the
21	numbers that we have.
22	But moving forward, the number will

continue to increase, and I feel comfortable

that we're going to be able to cover all the

23

T	new Excelsior Scholarships there will be this
2	year and next year.
3	SENATOR SEWARD: Okay. That is in the
4	Governor's proposal, you're comfortable with
5	those numbers.
6	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Yes.
7	SENATOR SEWARD: Going forward with
8	the Excelsior program, has HESC do you
9	expect that number to increase or hold steady
10	as we go forward? Have there been any
11	projections at all made in terms of I know
12	we've had that buildup with raising the
13	income eligibility number. Obviously that
14	will include more students and their
15	families.
16	But in terms of going forward, are
17	there any projections in terms of the numbers
18	participating in this program?
19	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: If we
20	look at it three years, we fully anticipate
21	that the numbers will increase.
22	I want to highlight that we have
23	47 percent 48 percent, roughly, of
24	students in both systems receiving full

1	tuition. The number now has increased
2	significantly with the 20,000, the difference
3	being that this 20,000 are on track to
4	graduate on time.

And I'd like to highlight a number that really, you know, highlights the importance of Excelsior. At the two-year colleges, 9 percent of students graduate on time. That's the rough number that I have that drove the creation of this scholarship. At the four-year colleges, there's about 38, 39 percent that graduate on time. When you don't graduate on time, you exhaust your TAP and other programs and you run out. And you have to either borrow or, worse, you drop out.

The signal of this initiative is to encourage not just Excelsior but other students that are getting full tuition to attend full-time and try to get their degree, because they will save money and time into the workforce. So that's the big driver.

I'm confident that we will increase in the numbers. But it's a game changer from the

1	way that I see it, as a program to send a
2	message.
3	SENATOR SEWARD: Thank you.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
5	Assembly.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Assemblymember
7	Hyndman.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Thank you,
9	Dr. Linares. It's good to see you.
10	I have a couple of questions, and I
11	hope I don't go over my time.
12	I know that HESC has the ability to do
13	TAP audits. Do you know in the last, say
14	three to five years I know you've been in
15	the position, I think it's your second year
16	now?
17	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Yes.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Would you
19	happen to know has HESC done any audits of
20	the proprietary colleges?
21	HESC EXEC VP MAGEE: The audits are
22	actually conducted statutorily by the Office
23	of the State Comptroller. They do come up
24	with an audit plan each year, with a segment,

1	cross-segment of the colleges.
2	So I know we have seen the reports on
3	audits that have been done on proprietary
4	colleges. We can get you the information
5	about what the audit plan looked like for the
6	last year and the current year.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Has anything
8	been glaring in any of those reports on the
9	proprietary colleges?
10	HESC EXEC VP MAGEE: I think what we
11	find is that the findings are typical across
12	sectors
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Whether it's
14	proprietary, independent, SUNY, it's usually
15	the same?
16	HESC EXEC VP MAGEE: Yes, the same
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: So that leads
18	me to, you know, looking at the Governor's
19	proposal in this section to essentially if
20	you're making it from 90/10 to 80/20 for the
21	proprietary colleges, essentially that will
22	close a lot of them in the next two to three

years. Because he has a mandate in the

proposal, which is that if the schools don't

23

1	comply with the statutory information, then
2	the schools have to cease operating. That's
3	what's in the Article 7 language.
4	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Well,
5	I will say to you, you know, private schools
6	are highly valued in terms of the mission and
7	the work that they do helping prepare
8	students to get their degrees.
9	So I think that the proposal that the
10	Governor is presenting is one that is now

So I think that the proposal that the Governor is presenting is one that is now going to be discussed, and I can say to you that we intend to continue to work closely with all sectors that are providing higher education across the State of New York.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: I think that's good to hear. When we were talking about Excelsior Scholarships last year, I know the independent colleges reached out because they also wanted an increase, and I believe we did increase Bundy Aid. But there was no increase, obviously, to proprietary colleges, because they get whatever the student applies, they get TAP or Pell based on the financial need. Right?

1	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: But
2	the Enhanced Tuition Program is now available
3	for them as well.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: I do know just
5	what my colleague, when he asked the question
6	about bad there are bad actors in every
7	industry, we would say. And there was a
8	recent article in October where there was a
9	proprietary college that's now under
10	investigation from New York City for
11	aggressively recruiting students and
12	aggressively recruiting students into their
13	institution, and now that's under
14	investigation by the City of New York. How
15	does that affect, on the state level, if
16	they're found guilty of actually defrauding
17	students, so to speak?
18	HESC EXEC VP MAGEE: Similar to
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Do they have
20	to pay TAP back, other entities?
21	HESC EXEC VP MAGEE: Students are
22	always held harmless regardless of the
23	actions of the school.
24	We would work with the State Education

1	Department as far as cutting off financial
2	aid for any school, including the proprietary
3	schools that are under review. We work very
4	closely with their offices to identify where
5	a school is in that process and whether the
6	financial aid should be stopped that are
7	going to those schools.

And we would also work with the State Education Department as far as identifying schools for these students to transfer to.

As far as their federal aid, if they had federal student loans, they would not be required to repay those federal student loans. But the students would have to go through the process to have those loans discharged.

ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: And we do have contractual agreements that need to be -- you know, it's an obligation. When you do not fulfill that contractual agreement, then that kicks in to safeguard students and also to address those commitments that are made when the contracts are signed.

24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Thank you.

Т	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
2	Hi. Just two quick questions.
3	One, apparently we're still waiting
4	for your budget request. It wasn't included
5	in the budget materials that were
6	distributed. Do we know when we might get
7	your official budget request?
8	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: It has
9	been submitted.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Today sometime?
11	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: If you
12	haven't received it, it should be with you
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay, we'll
14	follow up, because this is finance behind me
15	and they said they hadn't gotten it.
16	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Yeah.
17	Yeah.
18	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: All right, thank
19	you.
20	So many of my colleagues have asked
21	questions about the proprietary schools and
22	the Governor's proposal. But I'm curious, he
23	also in his budget briefing book talked about
24	dealing with student loan debt from the

1	licensing of loan servicers and bad players
2	there, and yet I can't find anything proposed
3	in any Article 7 language. Do you know what
4	the Governor meant or what he plans to do, or
5	you plan to do?
6	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Well,
7	from my understanding, there's going to be a
8	license requirement, you know, for anyone
9	involved in lending in this state. So that's
10	the proposal, from the way I understand it to
11	be.
12	So that and also to make sure that
13	there are protections in place for students
14	not to be defrauded. And that is the impetus
15	of presenting this as an initiative, to
16	address and send a clear message.
17	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And when are we
18	going to see that? Is that going to be a
19	30-day amendment to the budget? Is that
20	going to be a freestanding bill?
21	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: I
22	think it's the Department of Finance Services
23	that
24	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So it will go

1	through as a Department of Financial Services
2	regulation or a program bill?
3	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: We'll
4	have to check with them to see, you know, how
5	they are approaching it.
6	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And does HESC
7	have any plans now to try to assist the
8	students who do have these kinds of loans
9	that were inappropriate you know, they got
10	sucked into a phony servicer or a ridiculous
11	deal? You know, it's kind of like the same
12	people who were selling you bad mortgages
13	years ago, decided to go into the student
14	loan business now.
15	Is there any model for HESC to provide
16	assistance and resolution for the students
17	out there who owe millions and millions of
18	dollars to these programs?
19	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Well,
20	we are a guaranty agency for federal loans.
21	And in that context, we provide assistance
22	and guidance for students who are in debt.
23	And so within that universe, we are already
24	doing that.

1	HESC EXEC VP MAGEE: And I think right
2	now there is a department within the
3	Department of Financial Services that works
4	with students currently who have defrauded
5	activities regarding their student loans.
6	We work with those who we guarantee
7	and would assist those who we guarantee their
8	loans. But there are many more students who
9	have loans in the state that we don't
10	guarantee, and they would work with the
11	Department of Financial Services.
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Can you explain
13	the difference between a guaranteed loan and
14	a not-guaranteed loan?
15	HESC EXEC VP MAGEE: If you have a
16	federal student loan that was issued before
17	2010, it was guaranteed by an agency like
18	HESC that guarantees student loans.
19	So we work with each there were 34.
20	Students would work with their guaranty
21	agency if they had a federal student loan
22	dating back to that time.
23	But there are many students who have
24	private student loans and loans that we do

1	not guarantee who live in New York State.
2	They would work through the Department of
3	Financial Services.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
5	Assembly.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: For a second
7	round, Mr. Epstein.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Thank you, Madam
9	Chair.
10	I just had two quick questions, one
11	just on the for-profit colleges. You
12	mentioned that schools that have been
13	defrauding students, the students will not be
L 4	the TAP dollars will not be cost against
15	them, they'll be able to be forgiven.
16	Can you give us, in the last two
17	years, how many occurrences has that happened
18	and those stories? It would be great to know
19	how often you're doing that and the
20	implications for how many students does that
21	impact.
22	HESC EXEC. VP MAGEE: In the last year
23	there's one that I'm aware of that closed on

Long Island. And again, we worked with

1	SED for	those st	udents.	I bel:	ieve a	hundred
2	percent	of them	found and	other s	school	to
3	attend.	Excuse	me? Dow	ling, y	yes.	

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Dowling.

And the other question -- thank you,
there's one that you know of. It would be
great -- if there are others, we'd love to
see what happened in those situations and
what happened with those students, to ensure
that they were made whole.

And the other thing is just -- you know, we've talked a lot about an increase of students with disabilities. And I'm wondering what you're seeing and how we can ensure that those students have full access to community -- and I raised this issue about Excelsior earlier because sometimes students with disabilities don't take full caseloads because of life circumstances and things that they need to do. So I wanted to see what you're experiencing on the ground and how we can provide more support for those students with disabilities.

24 ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Yeah,

1	one of the good things about the offering of
2	Excelsior, for example, is that it is wide
3	open for all constituencies and from one
4	corner of the state to another. And I think
5	the numbers reflect that.
6	But we'd be happy to share with you
7	any specific numbers that you'd be interested
8	in.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: It would be
10	great to see what percentage of the 20,000
11	are students who have self-identified with
12	disabilities. It would be great to see those
13	numbers.
14	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: We'll
15	be happy to get them to you.
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
17	I think Senate's done. Assembly?
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Assemblymember
19	Hyndman.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Just a quick
21	question. Do you know how many of the
22	community colleges or proprietary colleges,
23	independent colleges still offer ATB to
24	students in order to get financial aid? Has

1	that number decreased over the years since
2	2007? Or is it in any one area of higher
3	education than others? Ability to benefit
4	exams, yeah.
5	HESC EXEC. VP MAGEE: I think we would
6	have to get back to you on that information.
7	I'm not sure that we directly get that
8	information, but we can try to find it for
9	you.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Okay.
11	I know that the Office of College and
12	University Evaluation, they do the oversight
13	for when it comes to issues of
L 4	accreditation, faculty/student teacher
15	ratios. In your expertise in the time that
16	you've been HESC, do you see that the Office
17	of College and University Evaluation has
18	enough oversight over the higher education
19	sector?
20	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Well,
21	I can share with you that the collaborative
22	efforts between HESC and the other sectors,
23	including both CUNY and SUNY as well as CICU,
24	which is

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HINDMAN: YUp.
2	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: and
3	also even proprietary schools, all of the
4	sectors we have worked aggressively and
5	proactively to engage with them in making
6	sure that there is greater collaboration in
7	terms of the administration of our program
8	but more importantly, I say for us as an
9	agency, to really give information about the
10	offerings that we have, over two dozen
11	different scholarship programs. And we have
12	been doing that to communicate with students
13	and families, we've done it with higher
L 4	education, but we also have done it with
15	K-12, particularly, you know, middle schools
16	and high schools, but not limiting it to
17	them.
18	So there's been tremendous effort that
19	we have undertaken to really address that.
20	You know, I just want to give you a picture
21	of how the collaboration has been since I've
22	been on board.
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Thank you.
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Just a couple of

1 follow-up questio
---------------------

I will observe that TAP is a completion program, in that you only get eight semesters. So that the notion that it is new -- the notion that we want students to graduate on time is actually not a new proposal but rather one that is a little more inflexible.

On your website, we've had

instances -- and of course I want to thank

Elsa for her attempt to clarify for us how

students can run afoul of the requirement to

be moving through your program. And so a

student who needs financial aid may, in their

sophomore year, think that they wanted to

pursue sociology and now, having been exposed

in some way or other to psychology, take a

course that is not actually within their

major and -- although it may turn out to be

within their major -- but they run afoul.

I've looked on the website; I don't see any clarification of that. When a letter is sent -- because I presume you've now sent out an award letter -- is there any

1	indication included in that that clarifies
2	for students what their real requirements are
3	in relation to proceeding with that program
4	of study? And if there isn't, I would
5	suggest to you there ought to be, so that we
6	don't have students who write to the college
7	president, who then writes to me, and says,
8	you know, I just found all of a sudden that I
9	really wanted to switch, and now I've lost my
10	eligibility and now I'm in the soup.

It seems to me we don't want a two-tier educational system. So if you are able to pay, you can switch your major willy-nilly three times and it doesn't matter, it's coming out of your pocket. And I understand we want to make certain that the taxpayer dollars are used appropriately. But by the same token, we don't want students who need financial aid to not be able to explore another avenue of study. We may be cutting off our nose to spite our face, as my grandmother used to say, because we are not providing those students with sufficient flexibility when the reason you go to college

1	is for personal growth and for exploration,
2	educational exploration. We shouldn't be
3	expecting 19-year-olds to know what it is
4	they want to do for the rest of their lives.

And indeed we have moved into a different place; I understand that the program started a long time ago when people did sort of, you know, work at the same company for 30 years. They don't do that anymore. And if we talk about life learning (a) you should be informing students in an award letter -- which I don't think is currently happening -- and (b) we need your recommendations on how we can change things to make it a little more flexible without opening the flood gates to, you know, making decisions all over the map because we want to encourage students to go towards completion.

That's an observation. And maybe your website could provide, in your "Frequently Asked Questions," a little bit more, and certainly in the award letter.

Now, about the TAP audit, it's my understanding that the Office of the

1	Comptroller does that, but there is nothing
2	in a budget request from you all that
3	includes any chargeback, any dollars in your
4	budget to cover the cost of those audits.
5	And if they're saving money in your agency,
6	it shouldn't come strictly out of the Office
7	of the Comptroller.
8	Is there any thought to making that
9	part of your request to the Executive?
10	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Well,
11	I have saved the communication that you sent
12	recently and I have shared that suggestion,
13	that concern that you expressed in your
14	communication. And so it's I passed on
15	what you indicated was
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: And you've not
17	received any feedback.
18	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: I have
19	not received any feedback, but it's the
20	Governor's office is aware.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Okay. Just
22	lastly, one of the problems you had with
23	Excelsior was getting information relatively
24	to whether or not sufficient credits had been

1	taken. Was that just a startup problem, or
2	is that an ongoing problem? And is there
3	something that is being discussed as a means
4	of ensuring that students have the 30
5	credits?
6	I mean, that was one of the issues,
7	that students were taking stuff over the
8	summer, they might qualify, they might not.
9	Has that been addressed, resolved?
10	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: This
11	was something that we encountered
12	particularly as we introduced the program.
13	We needed to look, you know, the starting
14	point for students so that they would be on
15	track had they intended previously to
16	graduate on time.
17	But the bulk of the numbers I think we
18	had in the first year. Following that, I
19	don't think it's significant numbers that
20	we're looking at.
21	HESC EXEC. VP MAGEE: I think it was a
22	first-term issue. But as we moved even into
23	the first spring application, it was a much
24	smoother process. And this year for

201	8-2019,	it's	gone	much	smoother	as	well
-----	---------	------	------	------	----------	----	------

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: So since the eligibility has jumped up, will you have students who are in their second year who now their family would be eligible because they are -- the family income has now moved up to 125. So you're going to have another group of students, possibly, who are in their second year and are looking to be eligible but might be a few credits short.

Are you going to find that -- I mean, are you going to keep having that problem of trying to ascertain where they are in that continuum of having sufficient credits to be eligible?

HESC EXEC. VP MAGEE: No, I think the coordination that we have now with the university systems, I think that that has smoothed out tremendously.

Also, the students who are in their second year now -- again, when the program was first rolled out, it was identified what the income ranges would be through each of the three years of the rollout. So I think

1	those students going in that may have not had
2	incomes above \$110,000 or within the \$125,000
3	range knew about the on-time completion. So
4	I think that we're going to find that those
5	students are taking 15 credits, getting their
6	30 credits per year, and that they will be
7	just coming right into the program now that
8	we've moved to the third year.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Okay, thank you.
10	Anyone else? Okay.
11	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
12	much for your time today.
13	ACTING HESC PRESIDENT LINARES: Thank
14	you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
16	And our next testifier will be Carolyn
17	Fast, special counsel to the New York State
18	Office of the Attorney General.
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So feel free to
20	begin.
21	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: Great.
22	Thank you very much.
23	My name is Carolyn Fast. I'm special
24	counsel in the Consumer Frauds and Protection

Bureau of the New York Attorney General's

Office.

The focus of my remarks today will be about the Governor's proposal to enact accountability and transparency measures for for-profit colleges.

Each year, thousands of New York
students enroll in for-profit colleges with
the hope that higher education will provide a
path to economic success. Unfortunately,
many for-profit colleges lure students with
false promises of employment while offering
high-cost, low-quality programs. These
for-profit schools leave students with few
employment opportunities and insurmountable
debt.

Although for-profit schools enroll only 4 percent of students in New York, or thereabouts, for-profit schools have accounted for more than 40 percent of student loan defaults five years after students leave school. Despite these poor outcomes for students, for-profit colleges receive tens of millions annually in state funding.

1	The New York Attorney General's Office
2	has extensive experience investigating abuses
3	by predatory for-profit colleges. We have
4	also participated in multiple lawsuits
5	challenging the federal government's efforts
6	to dismantle regulations protecting
7	for-profit college students. Our enforcement
8	and other experiences have provided our
9	office with considerable expertise and unique
10	insight into the need for strong state
11	regulation of for-profit colleges.
12	In the past several years, our
13	office's investigations have revealed
14	widespread abuses, including
15	misrepresentations of employment and salary
16	outcomes, misrepresentations of graduates'
17	eligibility for professional certifications,
18	misrepresentations about students' ability to
19	transfer credits earned, and placement of
20	students in loans that the schools knew their
21	graduates would not be able to pay back.
22	Our office's investigations have
23	resulted in settlements with multiple schools
24	and millions of dollars in restitution and

1	debt relief to New York students. Our office
2	regularly hears from for-profit college
3	students who are struggling to repay their
4	student loan debt. Many default on their
5	loans, damaging their credit and making it
6	difficult or impossible to pursue further
7	education, obtain a car loan or mortgage, or
8	even rent an apartment.
9	Student loan debt, unlike many other
10	types of debt, is non-dischargeable in
11	bankruptcy, except where the borrower is able
12	to meet the high standard of demonstrating
13	that repayment would impose an undue
14	hardship. As a result, many
15	for-profit-college students are burdened with
16	insurmountable debt over the course of their
17	entire life.
18	New York State provides tens of

New York State provides tens of millions of dollars in financial aid to for-profit schools. When these funds go to low-quality programs that fail to prepare students for employment, taxpayer dollars are wasted. In the last few years the federal government has dismantled federal regulation

1	of for-profit colleges and eviscerated
2	federal protections for victims of
3	for-profit-college misconduct. Under
4	Secretary DeVos, the U.S. Department of
5	Education has delayed the implementation of
6	several key federal rules that protect
7	students. These actions open the door to
8	more fraud and abuses.

Although our office has joined with other state attorneys general to successfully challenge the department's rollback of some of these federal protections, our efforts have not succeeded in restoring all of these federal protections.

Among the regulations dismantled by
Secretary DeVos is one regulation aimed at
ensuring that for-profit colleges offer
quality programs. This is the Gainful
Employment Rule. It required for-profit
schools to meet benchmarks demonstrating that
their programs led to jobs that provided
sufficient pay to enable students to pay back
loans. This protected students and taxpayers
by ensuring that federal aid was not spent to

1	fund programs that failed to prepare students
2	for employment.
3	The rule also required schools to

The rule also required schools to disclose key information to prospective students about student outcomes.

The U.S. Department of Education delayed the rule and has announced an intention to rescind it in its entirety. Our office has joined with other states in a lawsuit challenging this delay.

Secretary DeVos also delayed another important rule that protects students from for-profit college abuses, the Borrower Defense Rule. This rule established a process for students who were the victims of abuses to apply for a discharge of federal loans. Our office, along with 18 other state attorneys general, brought a legal action to challenge the delay of this rule. In September of 2018, the federal court hearing our lawsuit ruled that the department's delay was unlawful. As a result, the Borrower Defense Rule is now in effect. However, the U.S. Department of Education has begun the

1	process of drafting a rule to replace the
2	rule with a weaker version.
3	The department has also proposed
4	weakening federal rules governing
5	accreditation of higher education
6	institutions, including for-profit colleges.
7	In the wake of these rollbacks of
8	federal protections, state-level action is
9	critically needed to protect students in our
10	state. The Governor's proposed legislation
11	is an important step forward to protecting
12	New York students.
13	Thank you very much.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
15	Senate?
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator John Liu.
17	SENATOR LIU: Thank you, Madam Chair.
18	Thank you for your testimony.
19	I mean, I certainly support any effort
20	that the Attorney General's Office has to
21	protect students against predatory private
22	for-profit colleges predatory anything,
23	really. In your testimony you cite that this

is a prevalent problem. Right? I mean --

1	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: Well, there
2	are two issues I'm sorry, let me let you
3	finish your question. I apologize.
4	SENATOR LIU: Well, is it really
5	prevalent? I mean, are we talking about a
6	problem with most of the for-profit colleges?
7	And number two, my understanding is
8	that the proposed rules would actually bring
9	the vast majority of these for-profit
10	colleges into noncompliance.
11	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: Okay, those
12	are both good questions.
13	So the first question is, is it most
14	for-profit colleges that are bad actors? And
15	the answer is you know, my perspective is
16	perhaps a little bit biased because I'm on
17	the enforcement side and I'm dealing with
18	just the bad actors. So it's sort of perhaps
19	a little bit hard for me to know whether I'm
20	only seeing that tip of the iceberg because
21	all I'm seeing is the bad guys.
22	But I can tell you that we do get
23	consumer complaints and we track patterns of
24	consumer complaints, and our consumer

1	complaints are one of the things that lead us
2	to our enforcement actions, and those have
3	been concentrated in for-profit colleges.
4	SENATOR LIU: In a select number of
5	for-profit colleges or a vast range? I mean
6	
7	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: We have
8	seen a lot of different complaints about a
9	lot of different for-profit colleges. So far
10	our office has brought enforcement actions or
11	obtained settlements with respect to four,
12	some of whom operated multiple campuses in
13	New York. So overall, affecting
14	SENATOR LIU: All right. I mean, I
15	understand what you're saying, but again
16	and you may not know the answer, because as
17	you said you're looking at it from an
18	enforcement perspective.
19	My question, and you can follow up if
20	you like, is number one, are we talking about
21	a problem with most of the for-profit
22	colleges or is it a relatively small number
23	or small percentage of them that are
24	having that we're seeing these abuses

•			٠.			$\sim$
	wi	Τ.	h	٦.	n	٠,

And secondly, if -- well, I guess the second question has everything to do with the first question. Which is my understanding is that with these new rules, most of the for-profit colleges would actually not comply. So if we're trying to ban for-profit colleges, maybe that's one thing we can talk about. But this -- it seems like a -- kind of like a back-door way to approach the problem.

OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: I hear you on that. I think there's two ways of looking at the problem. One is there are schools that violate the law completely, and then there's also the issue of whether they're offering high-quality programs. And there have been studies that show that in New York people are less likely to graduate, graduate with more debt, et cetera.

So I think it's a good point -- if

I can continue talking, sorry -- that you

raise if -- because I don't think the idea is

to actually try to put all for-profit

1	colleges out of business. And if that is
2	going to be the result, that could be a
3	reason to look at the proposal and figure out
4	if that's really what it's doing.
5	But I think the idea was to create,
6	through accountability and transparency, very
7	clear incentives for the schools to offer
8	higher-quality programs and to weed out those
9	that are not.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Just because
11	we're done with time, we're going to have to
12	ask you to stop now.
13	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: Sorry.
L 4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I think that
15	Mr. Liu will want to continue this
16	conversation with you perhaps beyond the five
17	minutes we give him here today.
18	SENATOR LIU: Yes.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Assembly.
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: There may be
21	other members on the same topic.
22	Assemblywoman Fahy first.
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Yes, thank you,
2.4	Madam Chair

1	Thank you to our Assistant AG for
2	being here and for your testimony.
3	I just want to follow up on Senator
4	Liu's questions. Have you analyzed the
5	Governor's proposal and do you have any
6	estimate on what the impact of the proposal
7	with regard to the proprietary schools would
8	be, to what extent it will impact them?
9	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: I think
10	different parts of the proposal will have
11	different effects on for-profit colleges.
12	For example, placing requirements on how much
13	revenues or expenditures need to be used for
L 4	instruction will definitely have an effect on
15	the for-profit schools that are right now not
16	using more than 50 percent of their
17	expenditures for instruction. But it seems
18	to me that it would possible for those
19	schools to come into compliance rather than
20	stop offering the programs altogether. So
21	for that one, for example.
22	And then for also other some of the
23	other proposals that have to do with just

transparency and reporting of their

1	expenditures and the salaries of officers, et
2	cetera. Those seem to be things that would
3	not be likely to put these schools out of
4	business.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Thank you.

That's helpful. And obviously any of the bad actors -- we all care about that, and we care about any -- especially the very high levels of loan defaults. So we share your concerns on that.

I just want to make sure we're not throwing out the baby with the bathwater, because certainly I have one of them near my district that seems to have a very good graduation rate, and want to make sure that they're not inadvertently harmed or shut down over it.

My understanding is there may be only six schools, six proprietary schools that meet the proposed requirement. And is that what you think is needed here? And how long would they -- how long is your understanding that they would have to meet that -- the required 50 percent then?

1	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: I think I
2	would need to check on that. But I think
3	that it would go into effect very soon.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: It would, okay.
5	Are there you know, is this were
6	you able to work with the Governor's office
7	on this proposal? Or is this just your
8	testimony is generally just in support from
9	what you've seen on the enforcement side?
10	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: That's
11	right, we are just generally in support of
12	the proposal, but we were not involved in the
13	coming up with it.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Okay. So it's
15	not something you have helped with drafting,
16	it's just what you have encountered, okay.
17	All right, thank you. Again, I
18	appreciate your concerns on this. And I just
19	wonder if we've maybe gone a little bit too
20	far, but certainly need to address some
21	serious issues that you have raised, and
22	appreciate your testimony. Thank you.
23	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
24	Senate?

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Seward
2	has one question.
3	SENATOR SEWARD: Well, I might stretch
4	it into two.
5	I just wanted to follow up on your
6	previous discussions regarding the proposed
7	new rules on how proprietary colleges earn
8	and spend their money.
9	So do you have an estimate of how many
10	schools would be out of compliance? I know
11	we've been sort of dancing around that issue.
12	But just directly, the question is do you
13	have an estimate in terms of the number of
14	schools that would be out of compliance if
15	these proposed rules became law?
16	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: I'm sorry,
17	I do not have that information.
18	SENATOR SEWARD: And do you have any
19	estimate in terms of how many of our public
20	SUNY and CUNY community colleges, for
21	example, which are also involved in a lot of
22	workforce training and so on, how many of our
23	public institutions could not meet the
24	standards that are being presented for

1	proprietary colleges?
2	Which who my exposure to them
3	has been they serve a great number of
4	low-income students, giving them a skill and
5	an occupation so they can go out and earn a
6	living.
7	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: I don't
8	unfortunately have information on that as
9	well. I think there have been some studies
10	that compared for-profits on average for
11	example, their expenditures on instruction
12	with not-for-profits. But I'm not sure that
13	that would directly answer your question.
14	And I don't have those numbers at my
15	fingertips, but I can get them.
16	SENATOR SEWARD: One final question
17	I guess I've stretched it to three questions
18	here you say your unit is dealing with bad
19	actors, so to speak. Do you currently, as
20	the AG's office, lack the legal tools to go
21	after bad actors
22	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: That's a
23	great question.
24	SENATOR SEWARD: and then, you

1	know, leave the good actors alone?
2	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: That is a
3	really good question. I feel that we have
4	great tools for enforcement. But these
5	proposals will complement it, and especially
6	get at the things that enforcement can't get
7	at, which is program quality.
8	So I think all of these things that
9	are in the Governor's budget are aimed at
10	really trying to put pressure on the schools
11	to provide a quality program that is worth
12	the investment of students and taxpayers, and
13	that's something that unfortunately we can't,
14	as just enforcement attorneys, accomplish on
15	our own. We need that complementary work.
16	SENATOR SEWARD: Thank you.
17	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
18	Assembly.
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
20	Barclay.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Thank you.
22	I'll be very quick, and maybe just a
23	little bit what the Senator was hitting on
24	with the I mean, obviously, the Attorney

1	General is opposed to the pullback on the
2	Gainful Employment Rule. So the inverse of
3	that, does the AG support extending that rule
4	to public schools and public colleges?
5	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: I don't
6	think we have a position on whether those
7	rules should be extended to not-for-profit
8	colleges. I think that there are reasons
9	that they were that the federal government
10	limited them to well, actually it's not
11	entirely limited to for-profit colleges, it's
12	limited primarily to for-profit colleges with
13	a few not-for-profit programs that don't
14	offer degrees that kind of got also those
15	that are more focused on vocational programs,
16	for example, that are where nonprofits can
17	get occasionally kind of under the Gainful
18	Employment Rule.
19	But I think that we also understand
20	and agree with the idea that there are
21	special requirements and special needs in the
22	sector of the for-profits, that there are
23	reasons to treat them differently.

ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: More reason that

1	the Gainful Employment Rule would apply to
2	private colleges versus public, is that what
3	you're saying?
4	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: Right, that
5	there are reasons for having additional
6	restrictions such as the Gainful Employment
7	Rule that apply to for-profit colleges
8	primarily.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: What would those
LO	reasons be?
11	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: The reasons
12	are that they have different financial
13	incentives and that they have a concentration
L 4	of uses and worse outcomes in terms of
15	graduation rate, debt burden, debt default,
16	and job outcomes.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Right. I mean,
18	it just seems to me I guess what I
19	understand from the federal administration is
20	that the reason they pulled it back, they
21	want to apply it everyone, not just I
22	guess they haven't done it yet, so time will
23	tell. So I'm somewhat sympathetic to the
24	fact that we want to fill in that void.

1	But, I mean, why whenever I'm
2	sorry, I'll just finish pontificating
3	whenever is additional information a bad
4	thing? I mean, greater disclosure, whether
5	it's public or private, seems to me to be a
6	great idea. So I look forward to seeing what
7	the federal rules come out and if they do
8	include public institutions.
9	Thank you.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Toby
11	Stavisky.
12	SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you.
13	I commend your office for doing a
14	thorough investigation a number of years ago
15	and suing in court and ultimately closing a
16	quasi-university, which received a lot of
17	media attention.
18	However, I'm looking at your testimony
19	in this case you did a very thorough
20	investigation in that other so-called
21	university, and they were put out of
22	business, as well they should be. However,
23	you have three footnotes, and I'm trying to
24	figure out what kind of investigation you

1	conducted that brought you to deliver this
2	testimony today. I see there's a court case
3	there's a report and an article, but none of
4	it seems to be primary source.

So did you, you know, conduct an investigation or interview individuals or whatever?

OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: That is a good question. So my window into this has been somewhat limited in that I have been an enforcement attorney. So part of being an enforcement attorney means they have had the opportunity to speak with consumers who are directly affected by problems with their for-profits. But again, I have this lens that I'm only talking to the people who are, you know, defrauded and victimized, so that could certainly give me a perspective.

So one of the cases that's cited here was a case that our office brought, we were one of the plaintiffs in the lawsuit, that was the challenge to the federal rule. And we've also had the opportunity to participate in some of the federal rulemaking, which has

_	given us a fittle bit more background in sort
2	of the policy issues. I was able to serve on
3	a negotiated rulemaking committee for the
4	rule that had to do with state authorization
5	of for-profit colleges offering distance
6	education when it was made under the Obama
7	administration, so I have a little bit of
8	background in it.
9	But to your point in terms of the
10	some of the other
11	SENATOR STAVISKY: Well, let me get to
12	the point, because I'm almost out of time.
13	You say you interviewed people. Did
14	you bring a lawsuit or in any way investigate
15	or formally try to put them out of business
16	or I mean, what is the base? That's the
17	question.
18	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: Sorry, say
19	that again? I missed the last part, the very
20	last thing.
21	SENATOR STAVISKY: On what ground
22	what is your statement, what facts did you
23	determine to be correct, what investigations
24	did you conduct in preparation for your

1	testimony today?
2	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: Okay, thank
3	you. We as the office, we have
4	investigated a number of for-profits leading
5	to four settlements that I can think of off
6	the top of my head with for-profit colleges.
7	In each case we spoke with consumers
8	SENATOR STAVISKY: Can you tell us the
9	names?
10	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: Sure. One
11	of them was a settlement with Career
12	Education Corporation, which
13	SENATOR STAVISKY: Are they in
14	business now?
15	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: They offer
16	online education in our state but they I'm
17	sorry. Another one was DeVry, another one
18	was a company that gave loans to Corinthian
19	students, and the last one was a coding
20	school.
21	SENATOR STAVISKY: But they're not in
22	business, that's
23	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: Well,
24	DeVry's in business still.

1	SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you.
2	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
3	Assembly.
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
5	Assemblywoman Hyndman.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Thank you,
7	Ms. Fast.
8	How many proprietary colleges,
9	for-profit colleges are in the State of
10	New York?
11	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: I don't
12	have that information, but I could get back
13	to you.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Okay. I
15	believe the number, last time I checked, was
16	25 colleges, which isn't a lot. I just
17	recently received a degree-granting
18	proprietary college in my district, and I
19	happen to have a long history because I used
20	to be an employee with the State Education
21	Department, and one of the things with this
22	school, there's no other school, and correct
23	I know the audience will shake their heads
24	yes or no, but I don't know of any other

1	automotive	training	school,	degree	granting,
2	in the Stat	e of New	York		

And this school is now in my district, and most of the students there are black males, Latino males. And this school has a long record, before they became degree-granting, of graduating individuals and getting them into the trade of auto repairs. Because I know when we all go to an auto shop, we want to make sure that someone knows how to fix our cars. And the amount of computer technology that's required now in that field is much more than it was 20 years ago.

So when you say you've investigated these -- from your report and the citations you've cited, it doesn't seem like that there's that much of a -- we may have a few bad actors in the State of New York, but not to the point where we're really, with the Governor's legislation or Governor's budget language to really cut those schools off -- there are always bad actors. But I just wonder if there was a department as to

1	proprietary schools, the non-degree- granting
2	sector, that dealt with the oversight, would
3	that alleviate or lessen the responsibilities
4	of the Attorney General's Office?
5	Because there's an office that does
6	the reviews the curriculum, reviews the
7	teachers and so forth, within the State
8	Education Department. The Office of College
9	and University Evaluation doesn't get to go
10	to schools that much and do investigations
11	and make sure the teachers and faculty
12	facilities are up to code. But if there was
13	an office like that, then I think that
14	industry would have the oversight they
15	needed. I think the legislation is very
16	the budget language is very harsh to 25
17	schools in the State of New York that
18	individually may one or two may have
19	like you said DeVry and CIG, which is no
20	longer in business, or offers online may
21	have done wrong by students.
22	But on the whole, when you talk about
23	education, there's a lot of nurses who now

24 have come out of proprietary -- the

1	for-profit sector. There's a lot of
2	nurses that in two years they can get a
3	degree, whereas if they're going to some of
4	our community colleges, it takes longer.
5	So oh, my time is up. But thank
6	you for your testimony.
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
8	Senate?
9	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
10	Hi, Senator Krueger here. So I'm sort
11	of torn because I hear my colleagues talking
12	about that the Governor's proposal will
13	translate into a very large number of the
14	programs having to close down. I don't know
15	if that's true, but I do know it's more than
16	a few bad apples out there. And certainly
17	down in New York City, where I'm from, the
18	storylines of exploitation, particularly of
19	new Americans being the first students in
20	their families going to colleges and the
21	really aggressive marketing by some of these
22	companies where all the money is put into
23	signing you up, getting you to sign on the
24	dotted line, you end up maxing out every

1 possible student loan or grant you could ever 2 get -- and then you discover somebody who 3 says they're a computer training school and doesn't have computers. A program that says 4 5 they are training you for licensure in X, you learn you're not qualified to take the exam 6 7 that New York State calls on you to take when 8 you've completed your program.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So I don't know what the right answer is and whether the Governor's answer is right, but I think what you're hearing today is, at least from me, let's figure out how to get this right. Because I really do believe it is incumbent on New York State to make sure that if you are not a legitimate educational facility, you should not be draining both public monies and private monies primarily from low-income people. That not only doesn't get them the education they need to compete in our society, they've then used up all their ability to use their grants and loans for a quality education the second time out of the chute when the first time didn't work.

1	So perhaps this would be an ideal
2	roundtable for Assembly and Senate and the
3	Governor's people and your people and SED to
4	sit at a table and actually figure out how do
5	we make sure we get this right. So I think
6	that's my recommendation to us all.
7	Thank you.
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
9	Assemblyman Epstein.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Thank you.
11	And thank you for coming here today.
12	I'm wondering if you've seen any
13	problems with some of the online colleges,
14	especially the online colleges that are
15	operating out of New York, and seeing fraud
16	happening within the state.
17	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: Certainly
18	on our radar screen is to look at whether
19	there are issues in that sector, definitely.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: And have you
21	come across students who've complained about
22	online colleges and feeling defrauded by I
23	mean, they could be advertising in our
24	jurisdiction but they're not from New York.

1	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: I think
2	that we have some complaints from people who
3	fit that description.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: If we could have
5	that information, it would be good to know
6	what you're seeing in relationship to online
7	colleges. We have the SARA agreement with
8	the interstate pact. I just wanted to see if
9	there are we've seen kind of issues
10	raising up on that issue.
11	The second thing is I know that you
12	expressed support of what the Governor's
13	proposals are. I'm just wondering if you
14	think the Governor's gone far enough.
15	Because as I mentioned earlier, so we're
16	going to be able to have some oversight and
17	make sure that money is going to the
18	appropriate places, but it isn't a system
19	that educates the public.
20	So I'm wondering what you think about
21	a much more open and robust system where we
22	learn about schools, that information's now
23	on their websites like you go to a

restaurant in New York City and they get

1	graded, or tobacco warnings that are on the
2	package so there's kind of a buyer beware.
3	I'm wondering how about expanding into that
4	field.

OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: We definitely think there could be additional room for improvement in this area. And looking at sort of what is missing, one thing that has changed now that the Gainful Employment Rule has rolled back has also been disclosures to students about outcomes. And certainly that's another thing that the state could consider as a way to address that void at the federal level.

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Great. And so also because we're expanding TAP to Dreamers, hopefully when the Governor signs, and so we have a real vulnerable population who might be at risk of being scammed by any of these for-profit institutions, whether online or in the jurisdiction, I'm wondering if you're putting any -- is the Attorney General's Office doing any additional protections for those Dreamers?

1	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: That's a
2	really good point. And I'm not really sure
3	about that, but I can get back to you on that
4	as well.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: That would be
6	great.
7	And just finally, this issue of
8	for-profit colleges, it's not just obviously
9	happening in New York. A recent Times
LO	article around people who went to working
11	in the hair industry and spending, you know,
12	tens of thousands of dollars to get a minimum
13	wage job.
L 4	So I'm wondering if there's a way to
15	figure out opportunities for people to be
16	able to go into careers that may not need as
17	much education as possible and opening up
18	that system a little to allow more
19	opportunities without the huge burden of some
20	of these universities. I'm wondering if
21	you've looked into that at all. Thank you.
22	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: Thank you.
23	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: You can get back
24	to him later.

1	(Laughter.)
2	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: Yes. Will
3	do.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I think the
5	Senate is done.
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So the Assembly
7	is finished also.
8	Thank you for being here and hearing
9	people's questions.
10	OAG SPECIAL COUNSEL FAST: Thank you.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Next we have a
12	panel of Andrew Pallotta, NYSUT president;
13	Frederick Kowal, president of United
14	University Professions; and Barbara Bowen,
15	president, Professional Staff Congress/CUNY.
16	So we just have a question, because we
17	just need to figure out about the time clock.
18	So I know you have 15 minutes total. Do you
19	each want five minutes or do you want to
20	share the time?
21	MR. PALLOTTA: I'll be about three
22	minutes.
23	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Why don't we
24	put 10 on the clock and then we'll do another

1	five if we need it. How does that sound?
2	MR. PALLOTTA: Well, thank you. And
3	good evening. I thought I would have been
4	saying good morning or maybe good afternoon,
5	but good evening.
6	(Laughter.)
7	MR. PALLOTTA: Chairperson Krueger,
8	Chairperson Weinstein, Chair Stavisky, Chair
9	Glick, we thank you for this opportunity to
10	testify before you today. I am joined with
11	Dr. Kowal from UUP and Dr. Bowen from PSC.
12	I will not read my testimony, I will
13	be summarizing
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Good.
15	MR. PALLOTTA: And that is very good.
16	the need for state investment for
17	four-year SUNY and CUNY campuses, community
18	college funding, and the SUNY hospitals.
19	First let me start with the state
20	investment. Since 2011, operating aid to
21	SUNY and CUNY's core instructional budgets
22	has remained relatively flat. This Executive
23	Budget continues this trend. The impact of
24	flat funding is being felt by many students

1	across	the	state.	Ιt	is	also	felt	bу	our
2	members	ship							

In the case of SUNY, campuses are facing difficult decisions concerning the potential elimination of programs, declining student services due to inadequate resources.

At CUNY, the PSC is currently in contract negotiations and the university has been unwilling to put a financial offer on the table because they do not have the funding to make this offer. Nor do they have the financial commitment from the state to fund the cost of a new contract. Meanwhile, adjunct faculty, who make up the vast majority, as we've heard today, of the instructional staff are not being paid a living wage. The situation at CUNY has reached a crisis point and must be addressed.

The financial situations at SUNY and CUNY are a direct result of the budgets, the austerity budgets that we've seen over the past few years, and I call on the Legislature to make operating aid for public higher education a priority in this year's budget

On community colleges, I would like to thank you for your continued efforts throughout the years to support these campuses. Also, the Executive Budget proposes flat funding for full-time equivalent student for community colleges, which will likely force these campuses to raise tuition and/or eliminate programs and services.

Both SUNY and CUNY community college students are paying the lion's share of operating costs on these campuses, and just a couple of small statistics here I think are very important. Over the 10-year period from 2008 to 2018, SUNY community college students went from paying 40.8 percent of the operating costs to approximately 41.4 percent. That might not seem a lot to some people, but we also look at the state's contribution for the same period decreased from 30.8 to 25 percent. We see a similar trend for CUNY community colleges during the same time period, where student share

1	increased from 32.3 to 40.6, while the
2	state's share decreased from 28.9 to 23.7.
3	NYSUT urges the Legislature to
4	increase base aid by \$250 per FTE. This
5	would raise the level of spending to 3,097
6	and would greatly mitigate the impact of los
7	of state aid due to enrollment fluctuations.
8	At the SUNY hospitals, with respect to
9	the SUNY health science centers and
10	hospitals, NYSUT wants to thank you for the
11	support you've given them over the past few
12	years. We have done this year after year,
13	we've come to you when the budgets have been
14	cut. Under the proposed Executive Budget,
15	the hospitals have lost their state subsidy,
16	and this is a vital funding source.
17	While we are pleased that the
18	Executive Budget provides the hospitals with
19	additional DSH funding, they are still owed
20	approximately \$83 million for services
21	provided for patients in prior years. We
22	once again ask for you to look into this.

In conclusion -- and I think I'm really under the wire here -- the Legislature

1	spends a lot of time on these budget
2	negotiations, and we appreciate that. This
3	is also an opportunity to give aid to the
4	students that need it the most. While these
5	restorations are important, the table targets
6	assigned to higher ed during the course of
7	state budget negotiations is almost always
8	taken up by these restorations. This leaves
9	little if any funding for investments in the
10	instructional core at CUNY and SUNY.
11	As you head into these negotiations,
12	we call upon the Legislature to make funding
13	higher education a priority. This is a high
14	priority for NYSUT, and it is desperately
15	needed to address the budgets that have come
16	to the SUNY and CUNY campuses over the past
17	few years.
18	Again, thank you for this opportunity.
19	And I now turn it over to Dr. Kowal.
20	DR. KOWAL: Thank you.
21	Chairperson Krueger, Chairperson
22	Weinstein, distinguished members of the
23	Senate Finance Committee, Assembly Ways and
24	Means Committee and Higher Education

1	Committees, thank you for providing the
2	opportunity for United University Professions
3	to testify on the 2019-2020 Executive Budget
4	for higher education.
5	My name is Dr. Frederick Kowal, and
6	I'm president of United University
7	Professions, which is the largest higher
8	education union in the United States.
9	You have my written testimony, and in
10	order to ensure that my colleague Dr. Bowen
11	can speak as well before we turn to
12	questions, I just want to draw your attention
13	to several points that I raise in that
14	testimony as it pertains to some issues you
15	have already heard a great deal about.
16	First and foremost, in terms of
17	funding itself for SUNY, you are aware of the
18	cuts that have been made. And I believe that
19	it is most dramatic to show how that has
20	impacted the hiring of full-time faculty and
21	staff. It is why we welcome the Governor's

proposal for 1,000 new full-time faculty over

the next 10 years. However, there are no

funds included in the Executive Budget

22

23

1	proposal.	Those	funds	are	necessary,
2	obviously.				

In fact, it is a priority for us in

UUP because the goal that needs to be

achieved is bringing diversity to the faculty
and staff of SUNY, because it is lacking.

Second, on the TAP gap. Again, you've heard a great deal about this. I just want to point out a couple of important factors.

First and foremost, right now the maximum TAP award only covers 75 percent of tuition. The second fact, which may be even more important, is that tuition today covers only 25 percent of the cost of higher education in SUNY. The rest is made up of fees and other costs associated with higher education.

On the hospitals, Andy mentioned the subsidy that is so crucial. Bear in mind that the subsidy was intended from the start to cover the cost of fringe benefits and debt servicing at the hospitals. SUNY has proposed and the Governor has included funding for debt servicing -- but not for the fringe benefit costs. Those costs right now

1	are reaching nearly \$500 million annually at
2	the three hospitals. And so the subsidy and
3	restoring that subsidy becomes crucial.
4	On Opportunity Programs, you have
5	heard a great deal. A couple of pieces of
6	information that were not mentioned in SUNY's
7	testimony. First and foremost, if the
8	restoration does not occur, the incoming
9	freshman class would be reduced by 70
10	percent. That's seven-zero. This would be a
11	dramatic impact on an incredibly successful
12	program. We urge you to once again restore
13	and hopefully expand that program.
14	In closing, once again I want to thank
15	you for the opportunity to testify and look
16	forward to answering questions that you may
17	have.
18	DR. BOWEN: Thank you. Thank you very
19	much. And it's an honor to be here with my
20	colleagues Fred and Andy and also with so
21	many supporters. I'm looking at supporters
22	right now of public higher education.
23	And I'm also very proud to have

several members, faculty and staff, of the

1	Professional Staff Congress behind me. It
2	was so important to us, the funding this
3	year. We think this is a pivotal year, and
4	that's why we came out in force to be with
5	you.

I also want to thank you and congratulate you on passage of the DREAM Act. With the Governor's support, that will become law, and he has said he would support it. That is a much-needed provision that many of us have fought for for a long time.

And just as passage of the DREAM Act this year signals that New York is taking a different course from the administration in Washington and is doing its utmost to end the criminalization of young immigrants and to invest in their education, we're asking you this year to make this a year in which New York sends an equally strong message about public higher education.

So I won't speak about everything in the testimony, and please don't think that anything not mentioned is not important. But I do want to pull out a few things.

1	It's been a very long day, but I'm
2	going to ask you even at the near end not
3	even of this day to work with me to
4	reframe the debate about public higher
5	education. Many, many hardworking and
6	well-intentioned people in the state,
7	including advocates and legislators included
8	have framed the debate primarily in terms of
9	access and affordability. And New York does
10	invest in access, it does invest strongly in
11	the TAP program, which goes to private and
12	for-profit colleges as well as the public
13	sector. And New York has invested strongly
14	there.

Where New York lags is in the investment in the operating budgets of the public higher education systems. And because there's been so much debate, and rightfully, about the need for investment, there's been somewhat of an obfuscation of the other need, which is at least as important, and that is the need for investment in education itself, in the ability to promote educational quality and to deliver an education for students that

L	is	worthy	of	their	goals.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 So I would like to ask the Legislature 3 this year -- we would, from the PSC -- to 4 frame the debate also in terms of the quality of education. Because access without a 5 meaningful quality of education actually 6 7 equals denial. It is not access if what you come to in college is a college where the 8 buildings are falling down, where you do not 9 10 have -- where there are not even enough desks 11 or chairs for you in the classroom, where the 12 libraries have cut back their hours, where the Writing Center has closed -- that, in my 13 14 view, is not true access. It's cynical 15 access.

So we are asking you to invest and make this a year in which the rising energy carries us to make investment in the operating funds of public higher education a top priority, gets into your one-house bills, and is carried all the way to the end.

You heard this morning from Interim
Chancellor Rabinowitz about CUNY having a
sustainable financial model. I would have to

1	say the union disagrees with that. And my
2	colleague Fred spoke about this earlier, and
3	also Andy. When the previously agreed-to
4	contracts are not funded by the state, when
5	the state's portion is not funded, the result
6	has been that the CUNY four-year colleges
7	have been told for two, three years to carve
8	out 2 percent of their operating funds and
9	hold them back to cover the costs of
10	collective bargaining. That's not fair.
11	That is not a sustainable model if that keeps
12	going on and on.
13	And in fact this year's budget, in the
14	Executive's proposed budget for public higher
15	education or for CUNY, I'll say, is
16	essentially a flat budget. There is an
17	increase in the fringe benefits number, about
18	48 million, but the rest of the budget is
19	essentially flat. And yet the number of
20	students rises, we've had if you take the
21	actual enrollments and inflation increase,
22	we've had a 18 percent decrease in
23	per-student funding between 2008 and 2018.

So that's where it matters, how much

1	money is there for each student to get a good
2	education. That has been going down. So
3	while we're hearing about New York's
4	increasing investment, I'm asking you to peel
5	away some of the layers of that and look at
6	what's going down.

an increased investment at the per-student level so that the operating budget can reach the size it should be for the number of students we have. And that's so that CUNY doesn't have to cannibalize its existing academic programs to pay to keep the lights on. And so that student tuition is not raised every year to cover basic operating expenses. Student tuition increases were supposed to be for enhancements, not for basic expenses like rent.

I'd also join my colleagues in saying let's take a hard look at the TAP gap, which is increasing every year. That should not be absorbed by the universities.

And I'll just try to finish up, but I do want to speak about a couple of other

1	things which are important.
2	Andy mentioned the fact that we are in
3	contract negotiations and that
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: How about a
5	quick summary?
6	DR. BOWEN: I'll do a quick summary.
7	It's the penalty of being the third one.
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: No, you had a
9	full five minutes.
10	DR. BOWEN: Okay. But okay.
11	Well, we're in contract negotiations,
12	and as Andy said, there's been no offer on
13	the table. One of the key reasons is that
14	the CUNY administration has not had an
15	allocation of funding from the state in order
16	to make an offer.
17	You have heard today from some of the
18	very powerful questions that CUNY runs on
19	adjunct labor. More than half the courses at
20	CUNY are taught by people who are being paid
21	at a piecework rate, they're being paid
22	\$3,500 a class, they are doing all the work

for that class, and they are having to run

from that job to some other job, because you

23

1	cannot live on the amount you earn by being
2	an adjunct. Which means that our students
3	are necessarily shortchanged.
4	So we are urgently seeking your
5	attention and your support to address that
6	issue in this budget, in helping us conclude
7	our negotiations. New York has taken a very
8	strong stance on the minimum wage for most
9	workers. We've got to do it for these
10	workers.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. We
12	do have some questions.
13	Assemblywoman Glick.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you.
15	Earlier today we heard the chancellor,
16	the interim chancellor talk about the fact
17	that there was some attempt, some program to
18	try to move adjuncts into full-time
19	positions. It sounded like it was a small
20	number. And then there was a I'm not sure
21	if it was a clarification, but another
22	statement that there is a plan to move 50 a
23	year, or something like that.
24	I'm just wondering, since you would

1	know who's moving from adjunct to full-time,
2	what your perception of reality is in this
3	regard.
4	DR. BOWEN: Okay. Well, thank you.
5	Chancellor Rabinowitz said I had it
6	written down something about a queue,
7	there is a queue. There is no queue for
8	adjuncts to move into full-time positions.
9	There should be, and the union has pressed
10	for one, but there isn't. There is no
11	systematic movement. Through discussions
12	with the university concurrent with contract
13	negotiations, we have on three different
L 4	occasions reached a point where the
15	university has added 100 new positions for
16	exclusively for people who are currently
L7	adjuncts at a certain level of seniority to
18	move into lecturer positions. That has
19	happened in three different negotiations with
20	about 200 in one, 100 in another, 25 most
21	recently.
22	But the idea that there is a steady
23	stream of people moving is not correct.

There is no queue. There's no ladder. There

1	should be. And certainly one of the things
2	that PSC is looking for in increasing the
3	number of full-time faculty positions overall
4	is the opportunity for some of the people who
5	have dedicated years and years to CUNY as
6	adjuncts to move into those full-time
7	positions.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: If you could
9	I agree that there is insufficient support
10	for operating aid, whether it's SUNY or CUNY.
11	And since the time is short, if there is a
12	specific amount that you are recommending, it
13	would be helpful to have that as we go
14	forward for each of the systems.
15	Clearly there is the looming TAP gap,
16	which we've talked about, but that's only a
17	part of it.
18	DR. BOWEN: It's only a part of it.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: And so
20	understanding what is a, from your
21	perspective, a more adequate or real number
22	in order to get to quality (air quotes) or
23	maintain what quality there is would be very
24	helpful for us to have.

1	DR. BOWEN: I think we all have that
2	in our testimony. It's 332 million for CUNY,
3	which includes 86 million for the TAP gap,
4	money to sustain collective bargaining, and
5	some of the other pieces that are necessary.
6	And we support the request on the community
7	colleges.
8	DR. KOWAL: On the SUNY side, we can
9	provide you with more information. In my
10	testimony basically the largest sum has to do
11	with the hospitals, but then also on the TAP
12	gap. Which would assist those campuses that
13	are struggling the most right now.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
16	To the Senate now.
17	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Toby
18	Stavisky.
19	SENATOR STAVISKY: Very briefly, thank
20	you for your testimony and for your comments,
21	particularly about the maintenance of effort
22	issue, which I'm not going to ask about but 1
23	was thinking about it.
24	I don't know if you heard Chancellor

1	Johnson	earlier	talk	about	the	online	classes
2	that she	e's recor	nmendi	ing.			

3 DR. KOWAL: Yes.

SENATOR STAVISKY: I asked -- we all
asked a number of questions about the online
classes. And I'm wondering what your
response would be to relying on the online
classes.

DR. KOWAL: Well, two points that I would make. One is I found her response interesting in that she said most of the courses are hybrid courses right now, and those are not what they're looking at. As one who has taught online courses, the online courses that are the most effective are hybrid courses where there is an in-place classroom meeting that takes place along with an online component.

Which points to the second thing, is that online is not going to generate massive amounts of revenue. The most recent study that was published in Inside Higher Ed pointed out that in fact online education is more expensive. We've known that because

1	it's not just an instructor, it's also the
2	support staff that is required to make the
3	courses work.
4	SENATOR STAVISKY: The technical.
5	DR. KOWAL: The technical side, that
6	is correct.
7	SENATOR STAVISKY: Because I had the
8	same reaction. Thank you.
9	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Seward.
10	SENATOR SEWARD: Thank you.
11	I had one question for Dr. Kowal. We
12	had a discussion earlier in the day with
13	Chancellor Johnson in terms of the paying for
L 4	the covering the expenses associated with the
15	recent contract that was approved. And by
16	the way, I'm very, very pleased that we
17	finally got there
18	DR. KOWAL: So am I.
19	SENATOR SEWARD: to an approved
20	contract. But with an increase in expenses
21	of about 386 million, as I recall. And even
22	though there's new money in the Governor's
23	proposal for fringe benefits, not the money

24 to cover these increases --

1	DR. KOWAL: Right.
2	SENATOR SEWARD: That and I note
3	that, you know, you are supporting
4	\$30 million for additional full-time
5	tenure-track professors, something that I
6	agree should be a top priority.
7	But my question is, do you have
8	concerns about how this \$386 million is going
9	to be covered? And when I say do you have
10	concerns, in terms of taking it out of the
11	hides of the local campuses, or even a
12	portion of it, at a time when, you know, we
13	are looking to increase the state support of
14	our local campuses.
15	DR. KOWAL: Certainly. And I think
16	what the chancellor indicated is important,
17	and that is when we look at the campuses
18	right now that I indicated in my testimony,
19	for the most part, whether it be Fredonia,
20	Buffalo State, Plattsburgh, Potsdam, those
21	campuses have seen a real drop-off in terms
22	of enrollment which has really exacerbated

And secondly, when you look at the TAP

their financial crisis.

1	numbers, the percentage of students who are
2	TAP-eligible and beneficiaries are very high
3	at those campuses as well, which means
4	they're getting that hit at the same time.

I think, as the chancellor pointed out, the most expensive parts of this contract are in the outyears. The contract runs through '22. And I agree, we had conversations about this as the contract was wrapping up. She said, she volunteered, that she would be willing to advocate with me to get funding for the university, which I welcome. It's overdue, because I think SUNY has not in the past been as aggressive in advocating for itself as it should be. And certainly that's the case with the hospitals, but now with this financial challenge.

And so I welcome the opportunity to advocate with her, it's going to be necessary, but particularly in those outyears. In my conversations with the chancellor and with campus leaders, it's clear that except for one or two campuses where enrollment has been a real problem, the

1	campuses are going to be fine in this first
2	couple of years of the contract; it's in the
3	outyears.
4	SENATOR SEWARD: Thank you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
6	Senator John Liu.
7	SENATOR LIU: Thank you, Madam Chair.
8	Thank you for your wonderful
9	testimony.
10	A couple of questions. One relates to
11	the adjuncts and the effort, I guess the
12	administration's effort or claims that
13	there's an effort to make them full-time
L 4	faculty or tenure-track faculty.
15	My question, you know, is apart from
16	fiscal constraints, are there any other
17	constraints that make it hard for some of
18	these adjunct faculty to become full-time?
19	For example I'll cut right to the chase
20	is it possible that at every school there's a
21	faculty senate, there's some faculty involved
22	in making those decisions? Is there any
23	impediment there, or is it just purely
2./1	figgal 2

1	DR. BOWEN: The issue is money. It's
2	100 percent money.
3	SENATOR LIU: That's great to hear.
4	DR. BOWEN: It's 100 percent money.
5	When CUNY last had a student body approaching
6	the size that it has now, there were 11,500
7	faculty, full-time. Now CUNY has about
8	7,600 full-time faculty. We're 4,000
9	full-time faculty positions short.
10	CUNY should start filling those 4,000
11	positions, full-time positions right now.
12	And it's a question of money. They can start
13	by looking internally at the adjuncts we
14	have. We heard over and over again this
15	morning "We value our adjuncts." We value
16	them, but we don't pay them properly. I
17	mean, where is the measure of value there?
18	And I would go a step further and say
19	if we value the students, then you must value
20	the people who teach the students. It is a
21	gesture
22	SENATOR LIU: Your whole point about
23	quality.
24	DR. BOWEN: of disrespect not to

1	value the people who deliver education to the
2	students.
3	SENATOR LIU: Thank you very much.
4	That's very helpful.
5	And then my other question is, you
6	know, to what extent do you think maybe
7	there's not enough money in the entire CUNY
8	budget and SUNY budget that goes to the
9	individual campuses, as opposed to remaining
10	in central administration?
11	DR. BOWEN: I'm sure we could look at
12	things and find things. But I think the
13	chief issue is the basic level of funding.
14	It's not really the distribution of funds,
15	it's the fact that the per-student funding
16	has declined almost 20 percent in the last 10
17	years.
18	I mean, when you take a 20 percent
1.0	41

decline of an already inadequate budget -- it
wasn't so great 10 years ago, and then to see
a decline in that, that has led to the
effects that we talked about. For example,
the number of adjuncts has nearly doubled
since 2000 as the number of students has gone

<b>T</b>	<b>u</b> ρ.
2	SENATOR LIU: So the administration is
3	running pretty lean?
4	DR. BOWEN: I would not say that, no.
5	I mean, I'm sure that there are criticisms we
6	have. But what I really would want to ask us
7	to focus on it is not the case, I would
8	say, that there is an adequate overall budget
9	and it's being wrongly distributed. The
LO	issue is that there is an inadequate budget.
11	And I'd just add that for us that's an
12	issue not just of economic justice but also
13	racial justice. It's a racial injustice to
14	underfund the university where the student
15	population is 77 percent people of color.
16	SENATOR LIU: Thank you, Dr. Bowen.
17	Madam Chair, I've been a proud member
18	of PSC.
19	(Applause from the audience.)
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
21	DR. BOWEN: We're very proud of you.
22	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Robert
23	Jackson.
2.4	SENATOD TACKSON. Thank won Madam

1	Cochair.
2	And let me thank President Bowen and
3	President Pallotta and Dr. Kowal for coming
4	forward and giving testimony. I apologize
5	for not being here earlier; we were in
6	session, where we passed the Child Victims
7	Act, which
8	(Scattered applause.)
9	SENATOR JACKSON: And so that was
10	important to many, many people.
11	But I wanted just to touch base. I
12	heard you talk about the deterioration of
13	funds and the deterioration of CUNY with
14	respect to because of the lack of funds.
15	Knowing the population of CUNY and SUNY, what
16	is the average time it's taking for
17	individuals to graduate? It is it four
18	years, is it five years, is it six years?
19	And how has the lack of funds and the
20	increase in adjuncts compared to full-time
21	professors? What type of impact has that had
22	on the students and increasing the time it
23	takes to graduate?
24	If all of you can comment on that, I'd

1	appreciate	it	very	much.

DR. KOWAL: Yeah, absolutely there has been an impact. And we have seen it where -- with underfunding, courses aren't offered in the sequence where students can take advantage of them. I saw that firsthand at my home campus at Cobleskill, which is a tech campus. And again, those are the ones that receive the least funding. And in doing so, it forces students that now on average take five years to graduate.

And so when you consider, as you were asking questions about student debt, it increases that burden significantly. And so absolutely, it is a case where without the funding, students -- the time period for them to graduate is extended out, and that creates a further burden as well.

MR. PALLOTTA: And I just have a story of a friend of mine who was a paraprofessional in the Bronx, and to get the last course he needed to become a science teacher, it took at least five years to be able to get to that course on a time that he

1	could	actually	take	it	during	his	work
2	schedu	ıle.					

So yes, he has graduated and he is going to become a science teacher, but how many years it took because they just did not -- were not able to offer that at Lehman?

DR. BOWEN: CUNY's own survey of their students showed that 22 percent of students report they can't get a course they need in order to graduate.

And beyond that, I would say that the constant presence of a hollowed-out and stripped-down budget hurts every student.

Every student. So a student could have an adjunct who is absolutely dedicated and their favorite professor, and then they go back next semester to find that adjunct to be their mentor, to write a recommendation — that person has been moved to another college or didn't get a course that term.

That hurts the continuity. That also says to the student you don't matter, you don't matter enough for us to make sure that your professor is here. That's what it says

1	to the student. And on top of that, the
2	students are paying more and more tuition and
3	more fees. So all of that communicates to
4	the students whatever the official
5	message, the funding message communicates and
6	effectively communicates that you don't
7	matter and that shows. And the students who
8	do persist and graduate are heroes.
9	SENATOR JACKSON: Thank you.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. Thank
11	you very much.
12	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for
13	being here.
14	MR. PALLOTTA: Thank you.
15	DR. BOWEN: Thank you.
16	DR. KOWAL: Thank you.
17	(Applause from audience.)
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Next we have
19	the New York Public Interest Research Group,
20	NYPIRG, Jana Bergere and Blair Horner.
21	MR. HORNER: Ready whenever you are.
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Can the can
23	we have quiet in the cafeteria, please.
24	(Laughter.)

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: If people are
2	leaving, please be quiet. We have other
3	witnesses and your voices carry.
4	Yes. Let's go.
5	MS. BERGERE: Good evening. My name
6	is Jana Bergere. I am here to deliver the
7	testimony of Smitha Varghese. Smitha sends
8	her apologies, she was unable to make it
9	today due to an academic conflict.
10	With me today is Blair Horner,
11	NYPIRG's executive director.
12	As I mentioned, my name is Jana
13	Bergere, and I'm a student at SUNY New Paltz.
14	And I'm also interning with NYPIRG this
15	semester. Our comments summarize some of the
16	key points found in Smitha's written
17	testimony and highlight NYPIRG's biggest
18	areas of concern. The written testimony also
19	includes personal stories from students
20	impacted by the state budget.
21	Before I start, a quick introduction
22	to NYPIRG. Established in 1973, NYPIRG is a
23	statewide nonpartisan research and advocacy
24	organization based on college campuses at

1	some of the state university, SUNY
2	university, and independent institutions of
3	higher education. NYPIRG's mission is to
4	offer interested college students an
5	opportunity to gain hands-on experience in
6	policy decision-making while learning about
7	civic life at the same time.
8	NYPIRG's board of directors are
9	college students elected from the campuses in
10	which students have chosen to join. Smitha
11	is a college student attending Queens College
12	and is a chairperson of NYPIRG's board of
13	directors.
14	Now to summarize our testimony.

Now to summarize our testimony.

NYPIRG thanks the Legislature for its recent approval of the DREAM Act. Approval has been long overdue. As you know, undocumented college students have been eligible for in-state tuition as a result of an agreement between then-Governor George Pataki and the Legislature well over 10 years ago. Since then, those students have been ineligible for state financial aid programs, which has created needless obstacles to attending

1	college.	Passage o	f the	Dream Act,	and
2	hopefully	approval 1	by the	Governor,	, fixes
3	that probl	Lem.			

NYPIRG supports the Governor's plan to expand financial aid through the Excelsior Program. The Excelsior Program should also be expanded to allow greater participation by needy students. In our testimony we make specific recommendations as to how, but in sum we believe that the 30-credit-per-year limit should be lowered. It should be available during summer and winter sessions, and that students who fail to meet the criteria should not be burdened with more debt.

NYPIRG urges you to bolster the

Governor's funding levels for state support

of SUNY and CUNY. As you know, when the

so-called rational tuition plan was put in

place, a bargain was struck: Tuition

increases will be used to enhance the

universities, not fill budget gaps. Yet it

sure looks to us that the pledge is being

broken and tuition is being used to plug

1	budget	sho	ortfall	ls,	and	the	Legislature	has
2	been we	ell	aware	of	the	prok	olem.	

Both houses have approved an enhanced maintenance of effort to supplement state support for SUNY and CUNY to allow greater freedom to use tuition dollars for student service enhancement. We urge you to approve an enhanced maintenance of effort in your budget plan.

Also the fact that tuition charges now exceed the maximum TAP award leave SUNY and CUNY having to provide support to needy students instead of the state. We urge you to close the TAP gap.

Lastly, we urge you to reject cuts included in the Governor's budget that target aid programs for college students from educationally and economically disadvantaged backgrounds, the Opportunity Programs. These have been models for the nation. In particular, the Accelerated Study in Associate Programs was highlighted by the Obama administration as a model for the nation.

1	Yet the Governor's budget zeroes out
2	state support for ASAP, a reduction of
3	\$2.8 million, and his proposed cuts don't
4	stop there. For example, the Governor's
5	budget calls for cuts to Opportunity Programs
6	that total \$28 million. All of those cuts
7	must be restored. In fact, the programs
8	should be expanded.
9	Our testimony identifies other
10	recommendations as well, including community
11	college funding and protections for student
12	borrowers and food insecure students.
13	We appreciate the opportunity to
L 4	testify. Thank you.
15	MR. HORNER: We finished in less than
16	five minutes.
17	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Oh. I didn't
18	know, Blair, if you were going to
19	MR. HORNER: Nope.
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Oh, okay.
21	MR. HORNER: Any questions?
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Does any
23	Assemblyman Barclay.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: I don't know

1	thank you thanks for your testimony.
2	I don't know if you were here when the
3	assistant attorney general testified, but she
4	talked about you know, we were talking
5	about private colleges and the rules have
6	been pulled back, and the federal government
7	with them, with the Gainful Employment Rule,
8	which essentially said we have to kind of
9	tell students how much they can possibly earn
10	after they get out of the program.
11	Do you have any position or does
12	NYPIRG have any position on that as it
13	applies to public universities?
14	MR. HORNER: We do not.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Fair enough.
16	Why not?
17	MR. HORNER: We can't do everything.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Fair enough.
19	(Laughter.)
20	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Well, would you
21	formulate an opinion and get back to me,
22	then?
23	MR. HORNER: Well, we'll certainly
24	take a look at it.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: All right, thank
2	you.
3	MR. HORNER: It's a good question.
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
5	MR. HORNER: Thank you.
6	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senate's good.
7	Thank you very much. Thank you for
8	your testimony and fuller testimony.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So now we'd
10	like to ask the we're going to invite the
11	CUNY University Student Senate, Greshawna
12	Clement, vice chair for international
13	affairs, and the SUNY Student Assembly, Alex
14	George, chief of staff of the SUNY Student
15	Assembly, to come down together, and you'll
16	each have a five-minute time period.
17	MS. CLEMENT: Thank you.
18	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I do not see your
19	other so you should start, and if they get
20	here we'll let them know.
21	MS. CLEMENT: Okay. Thank you.
22	Good afternoon, members of the
23	New York State Legislature. My name is
2.4	Crashauma Clamont and I am a conjor at

1	Medgar Evers College serving as the evening
2	and weekend vice president and the vice chair
3	for international student affairs for the
4	University Student Senate. I am here today
5	to advocate for the 500,000 students in CUNY
6	this academic year and beyond.

Since the creation of the rational tuition plan in 2011, there has been a culture of tuition hikes created from the lack of funding at CUNY from the state to cover the mandatory operating costs. A predictable tuition hike of \$200 has been and continues to be an injustice and disappointment to the students, as CUNY is being encouraged to operate on the backs of students by counting on tuition hikes to cover the costs. The recently approved tuition hike is the 16th tuition increase at CUNY senior colleges since the academic year 1990-1991, 30 years.

What we would like to highlight is the consistent rise of tuition directly increases the TAP gap. In turn, CUNY has to request funds from the state to provide parity. It

1	is not fiscally responsible to raise tuition
2	and provide and then provide funds to make
3	up for the TAP gap.

As of now, the maximum amount of TAP that can be awarded is \$5,165, while the cost of attendance at a four-year college is \$6,730, leaving a gap of \$1,565 that students will need to cover.

It has been evident over the years that the priorities of the state budget are not education. We are calling on this democratic, progressive legislature to start prioritizing education. It is time to fund CUNY now, stop tuition hikes, close the TAP gap, and provide financial aid to graduate students again, which was eliminated in 2010.

Every year since 2015, the budget of senior colleges has taken a cut by 1 to 2 percent. This continuous decision severely impacts the student experience. These cuts have impacted a number of staff, faculty, programming, and opportunities on the local campuses, which plays a vital role in student success and school pride.

1	Opportunity Programs have
2	statistically been proven to help thousands
3	of students annually graduate on time. Every
4	year we have to advocate why we need them
5	restored. The budget reflects a decrease of
6	23.4 million from SEEK and 2.4 million from
7	the ASAP program, but references investing in
8	new pilot programs. We are concerned about
9	this when there are working programs like
10	ASAP, SEEK, ACE, and CUNY LEADS receiving
11	cuts to fund new pilot programs. Why not use
12	these same funds to restore and enhance these
13	successful Opportunity Programs?
14	Mental health services is an
15	additional area where state funding is
16	lacking, and has now become a priority of
17	CUNY, as it is reflected in their budget
18	requests.
19	According to the report by the CUNY
20	School of Public Health, more than 18 percent
21	of our undergraduates reported symptoms of
22	clinical depression, and more than 20 percent
23	suffer from anxiety. Nearly 10 percent lack

any form of health insurance, and more than

1	15	percent	lack	any	relationship	with	а
2	hea	althcare	provi	der.			

Currently, the CUNY student-tocounselor ratio of 2400 students per
counselor is well above the level of 1500
students per counselor that is recommended by
the International Association of Counseling
Services. The needs for these services are
immense, and the needs necessary for students
to avoid the crisis.

There is also a disparity in disability services. The number of students enrolled with disabilities has increased by more than 30 percent in the last 25 years. However, the funding has remained flat at \$1.5 million. There is a need for more funding to the services that this growing population of students needs, and currently the state is not addressing them.

We are also concerned about our childcare centers that were created to assist our student parents aiming to achieve a CUNY degree. Currently, because of the lack of funding, some campuses such as Queens College

1	are raising their student activity fees to
2	keep open childcare centers on campus.
3	Again, we say student activity fees should
4	not be used to make up for the lack of
5	funding, they should be used for student
6	activities.
7	Women make up 56.6 percent of the

Women make up 56.6 percent of the student population in CUNY senior colleges and 57 percent of the student population in CUNY community colleges. We are also requesting funding for feminine hygiene products, as CUNY senior and community campuses are predominantly female. Female hygiene products should be free and accessible for college students, not just mandatory through K-12. Women do not stop being women when they go to college. We still have needs that need to be addressed.

We are calling on the State

Legislature to hear our concerns and

requests. Fully fund the operating cost of

CUNY, restore the funding for Opportunity

Programs, and invest in student services such
as mental health counseling, feminine hygiene

1	products, and services for students with
2	disabilities.
3	We are calling for a new deal that
4	provides justice for students. Enough is
5	enough. Fund CUNY now.
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
7	Thank you for being here. I'm not sure if we
8	have any questions.
9	Senator Liu has a question.
10	SENATOR LIU: Thank you, Madam Chair.
11	I don't have questions as much as I
12	have heard your testimony very clearly and I
13	want to thank you for taking the time. You
14	heard everybody else speak today, and we hear
15	you speak. And it's very important that we
16	hear from the students as well, so thank you.
17	MS. CLEMENT: Thank you.
18	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Is Alex George
20	of SUNY Student Assembly obviously you're
21	both not Alex George, so
22	MR. GEORGE: I'm Alex. Good evening.
23	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: If you're going

to speak, hopefully you'll identify yourself.

1	MS. CADESCA: Oh, good evening. My
2	name is Langie Cadesca. I'm the president of
3	the University at Albany Student Association.
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Okay. Thank
5	you. Are you going to be speaking also?
6	MS. CADESCA: What?
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Are you going
8	to speak also?
9	MS. CADESCA: Yes, ma'am.
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Okay. So you
11	have five minutes between the two of you.
12	MR. GEORGE: Great. Thank you.
13	On behalf of the SUNY Student Assembly
14	and SUNY's 1.4 million students, I would like
15	to thank Chairpersons Weinstein, Krueger,
16	Glick, Stavisky, and the members of the
17	committee presiding over this hearing for
18	allowing us to testify today.
19	My name is Alex George. I'm a
20	graduate student at the University at Albany,
21	and I serve as the chief of staff for the
22	SUNY Student Assembly. I'm joined by Langie
23	Cadesca, president of the Student Association
24	at the University at Albany, one of the four

1	university	centers	in	the	SUNY	system.

Braun, Vice President Austin Ostro, and our government relations team wish they could be here. However, they are currently in Washington, D.C., with student leaders from across the country, speaking with members of Congress and the Department of Education on the importance of federal financial aid, DACA, and Title IX.

The Student Assembly is the recognized overarching student government for the SUNY system. The Student Assembly advocates on the local, state, and federal levels on behalf of the collective student interest on matters of higher education policy. Today we will be discussing the importance of furthering the investment of public higher education across our state, including the need for a new funding formula for SUNY's 30 community colleges, investing in mental health, capital funding support, retention grants through the emergency fund program, and expanding EOP and EOCs.

1	MS. CADESCA: Strengthening the
2	Educational Opportunity Program is key for
3	ensuring that all New Yorkers have access to
4	SUNY. EOP has empowered students like me to
5	succeed in college and beyond.
6	As a proud EOP student, I have seen
7	firsthand the power of this program and what
8	it has done to change lives. I have been
9	able to have one-on-one advisory mentorship,
10	professional development programs, and been
11	exposed to different career pathways. Coming
12	from Brooklyn, New York, many of these
13	programs are not provided locally, and EOP
14	has given me hope and perseverance to excel
15	socially, academically, and professionally.
16	Unfortunately, the Governor's budget
17	once again calls for cuts to Educational
18	Opportunity Programs and Educational
19	Opportunity Centers. We urge the Legislature
20	to restore these cuts and to expand funding
21	for both EOP and our EOC. Doing so will put
22	a SUNY education within reach for more
23	New Yorkers.
24	Additionally, when students enroll on

1	a SUNY campus, we must do all that we can to
2	ensure their completion. Sometimes students
3	are forced to take academic leave or even
4	drop out of school due to unforeseen personal
5	financial difficulties. It could be a
6	broken-down car, an unexpected medical bill,
7	or being a victim of a crime, and sometimes
8	just as little as \$100 can be the difference
9	between a student staying in school and
10	dropping out.
11	Multiple campuses have created
12	emergency funds which give students
13	micro-grants to manage through these
14	circumstances, and these grants which are
15	never more than \$2,000 are a blessing for
16	students who have nowhere else to turn.
17	Investment from the state would allow SUNY to
18	take on this initiative to scale.
19	Furthermore, in order to quarantee

Furthermore, in order to guarantee student success, our campuses must have modern infrastructure. SUNY accounts for 40 percent of all public infrastructure in New York State, and most of it was constructed in the 1960s. The \$550 million

1	in critical maintenance capital funding
2	proposed in the Executive Budget is not
3	enough to keep up with necessary renovations.
4	Underfunding maintenance has created a
5	backlog of projects, forcing students to
6	learn in antiquated and occasionally
7	dangerous facilities. We urge the
8	Legislature to expand funding for SUNY
9	infrastructure and to ensure that our
10	campuses maintain the world-class facilities
11	that students deserve.
12	MR. GEORGE: We also want to draw your
13	attention to an important program being
14	utilized by hundreds of SUNY students.
15	SUNY's telecounseling initiative
16	offers psychiatric services to students in
17	medically underserved regions of the state.
18	Students can remotely connect with a mental
19	health provider and seek treatment, and
20	without this program students may be forced
21	to go without the care they need to maintain
22	their mental and physical well being.
23	Unfortunately, the Governor's budget
24	proposes eliminating this vital program. We

1	urge the Legislature to restore the \$600,000
2	in funding the program had last year.
3	SUNY students are also concerned by
4	the deficiencies in the community college
5	formula. Twenty-seven out of 30 SUNY
6	community colleges are on track for reduced
7	state support year. This means cuts to
8	academic programs and student support
9	services that are essential to student
10	success.
11	Fluctuating enrollment should not
12	jeopardize the experience of students on our
13	community college campuses. Establishing a
14	funding floor would give security to
15	community college students that their
16	institutions will continue to be able to
17	offer high-quality programs.
18	Once again, we would like to thank the
19	assembled committees for hearing our concerns
20	on behalf of SUNY's 1.4 million students. We
21	look forward to answering your questions.
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
23	No Assembly.
24	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Excuse me.

1	Senator Jackson.
2	SENATOR JACKSON: Thank you. I was
3	going to say good afternoon. Good evening.
4	So let me just I want to thank you,
5	as John thanked the other students. You're
6	young people, you're involved in your
7	education and the education of others.
8	And I say to you I am a SUNY alum,
9	SUNY New Paltz, an EOP student. My wife, who
10	was a foreign student, came in at SUNY New
11	Paltz and my daughter, who's an M.D., came in
12	as a regular admission student.
13	And my message to all of you, and
14	students all over the world, is there's
15	different ways to get in. The most important
16	thing is you have to have the willingness to
17	get in there and do your best. And hopefully
18	we as legislators will help you by giving you
19	access to funds that you need in order to get
20	a good education so that you can, you know,
21	achieve your goals and objective as an adult

24 So let me thank you for coming in,

our great city and our state.

22

23

and be a positive influence to others and to

1	understanding that I'm going to fight to make
2	sure that as much as I can, to make sure
3	that you get the funding that you need, and
4	also at the elementary and intermediate
5	school level, so that people will have the
6	opportunity to go to college just like the
7	two of you.
8	Thank you on behalf of all of the
9	people of New York State. Thank you.
10	MS. CADESCA: Thank you, Senator.
11	MR. GEORGE: Thank you.
12	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Liu,
14	sorry.
15	SENATOR LIU: Thank you, Madam Chair.
16	Very briefly, I certainly want to echo what
17	Senator Jackson already said, to thank these
18	student leaders for coming here.
19	Way back when I was a member of what
20	was then called SASU, which was the Student
21	Association of the State University, we had
22	our conferences everywhere in the state,
23	including Plattsburgh, New York. I drove my
24	horse-drawn carriage there

1	(Laughter.)
2	SENATOR LIU: And I thank you for
3	continuing the advocacy for our SUNY students
4	and trying to keep tuition low, as well as
5	many of the other issues that you have
6	brought up. Thank you.
7	MR. GEORGE: Thank you.
8	MS. CADESCA: Thank you.
9	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
10	much.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
12	Next we have the Commission on
13	Independent Colleges and Universities, Mary
L 4	Beth Labate.
15	MS. LABATE: Good evening.
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Good evening.
17	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Good evening.
18	MS. LABATE: Thank you, Chairpersons
19	Krueger, Weinstein, Senator Stavisky,
20	Assemblymember Glick. Thank you for your
21	leadership and continued support for
22	New York's college students.
23	I'm Mary Beth Labate; I'm the
24	president of the Commission on Independent

1	Colleges and Universities. For those of you
2	who don't know CICU, we represent more than
3	100 private, not-for-profit colleges in the
4	state, including the 500,000 students that
5	they educate.

I will make my abbreviated comments even more abbreviated, given the time of evening, but first I'd like to thank you for moving so quickly on the DREAM Act. And I want to thank the Governor for including it in his Executive Budget. Like the

New Yorkers they are, Dreamers deserve financial aid, and we do hope it sparks a broader discussion of TAP.

Let me touch on just a few key points from my testimony. The Governor and the Legislature should be proud that the state provides close to \$7.4 billion a year to its public higher education systems. It's critical, however, that New York also invest in its independent sector of higher ed, supporting all of New York's students in the institutions that attract investment and talent from across the globe. It is only

1	with public and private sectors working
2	together that New York can educate
3	1.2 million students each year.

Investment in private, not-for-profit colleges provides tremendous taxpayer value. With just 4 percent of the state's higher education budget, private colleges confer 60 percent of the bachelor and graduate degrees in the state. We educate nearly 500,000 students, including almost 300,000 New Yorkers, and count more than 1.3 million New Yorkers as our alums.

We are the number-one destination for students leaving their home states to go to college and the number-two state for international students. More black and Hispanic students earn bachelor's and graduate degrees at private colleges than anywhere else in New York.

Approximately 72,000 of our students qualify for TAP because their families earn less than \$80,000 a year. New York's private colleges contribute \$5.7 billion from their own resources to student aid each year.

1	Eighty-eight cents of every dollar that's
2	provided for financial aid comes directly
3	from our colleges.
4	In 2017 New York's private
5	not-for-profit colleges contributed
6	\$88.8 billion to our state's economy and
7	supported almost 416,000 jobs. Given the
8	scale of our role in the higher education
9	landscape, I think our requests in this
10	year's budget are quite modest.
11	First, funding for the Opportunity
12	Programs like HEOP that improve access to
13	higher education for high-needs students
14	suffered a 17 percent cut in the budget even
15	as Dreamers became eligible to benefit from
16	transformative initiatives. Our students are
17	grateful to the Legislature for safeguarding
18	these programs, and we ask that you do so
19	once again and increase opportunity funding
20	in total to \$98 million.
21	Second, TAP. TAP serves more than

336,000 New Yorkers, including 72,000

However, the program is helping fewer

attending private not-for-profit colleges.

22

23

1	students because the eligibility parameters
2	have remained stagnant. Now is the year to
3	modernize TAP so that it reaches more
4	New Yorkers. We ask that the state begin a
5	three-year phase-in to increase the maximum
6	TAP award to 6,000, the minimum TAP award to
7	1,000, and the maximum income eligibility to
8	95,000.

We were very pleased to see Senators
Stavisky and Skoufis begin this important
discussion by introducing a bill that would
increase income eligibility to \$95,000 and
increase the minimum award to \$750. And with
a lot of discussion this afternoon about the
TAP gap I will also point out that an
increase in TAP will go a long way towards
helping SUNY and CUNY to close their TAP gap.

The Higher Education Capital

Assistance Program has been used in every corner of the state to spur much-needed construction at our private college campuses.

Regrettably, the Executive Budget proposes to eliminate funding for the program. We ask that you restore funding to HECap,

1	historically at \$30 million per year, and
2	enhance it to \$35 million. For every dollar
3	that you invest, our campuses invest at least
4	another \$3.

The STEM Incentives Program. Last year's budget opened the state's STEM

Incentive Scholarship to students at private colleges only to have that door shut in the proposed budget, and we ask that you include funding for a second cohort of students.

Thank you all for fighting to restore
Bundy Aid in last year's budget. We are very
grateful to you and the Governor that
Bundy Aid was included this year's budget,
and we ask that you consider increasing
Bundy Aid funding to \$40 million in the state
budget by reinvesting some of \$15.6 million
cut from the Enhanced Tuition Award Program.
This would be the first increase in Bundy in
more than a decade.

Without data from HESC on the amount of money or the number of scholarships awarded under the Enhanced Tuition Award Program, it's impossible to know if the

1	\$/ million proposed in the Executive Budget
2	is sufficient. We urge you to fully meet all
3	demands for that program.
4	With that, my time is up. The only
5	thing I had left to say is thank you and I
6	appreciate all of your support over the
7	years, and I'd be glad to answer any
8	questions.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: I think you're
10	up first.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Are the colleges
12	that are part of CICU, currently do they have
13	DACA students? And are they providing the
14	funding privately?
15	MS. LABATE: Yes. Yes, we do have
16	DACA students. I don't have a specific
17	count. We very purposefully do not ask, but
18	most of our schools will make any financial
19	aid that they have available will make it
20	available regardless of citizenship.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Okay. And aside
22	from now, since HESC did not have a number
23	of details, on your enhanced tuition, how
24	many schools are actually participating?

1	MS. LABATE: There are about 30
2	schools who are participating.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: And what will be
4	the impact of cutting that out?
5	MS. LABATE: We don't know. We know
6	that this what we've been told is that the
7	\$7 million that has been appropriated is
8	sufficient to fully fund the first two
9	cohorts and to fund a third cohort. But what
10	we don't know is how much is needed for those
11	first two cohorts and therefore how much will
12	be available for the third.
13	We clearly don't want to encourage a
14	lot of students to apply if there's not going
15	to be money for them, that's just dashing
16	their hopes. That's why I'm hoping we can
17	work that out and we can fully fund realistic
18	demands for the program.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Could you just
20	pull the microphone up a little closer?
21	MS. LABATE: Certainly.
22	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I think we've
23	just
24	MS. LABATE: Is that better?

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Yes.
2	MS. LABATE: Okay.
3	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: On the HECap
5	funding, was there any explanation, was there
6	an attempt to suggest that there are projects
7	that are already funded but some leftover
8	resources?
9	MS. LABATE: No. We are in the middle
10	of a funding round now. That should be
11	awarded this year. Once that is awarded,
12	there will be no more funding. So it would
13	completely zero out the program for any
14	future rounds. And no, I don't know the
15	explanation.
16	I do know, though, when you look at
17	the SUNY and CUNY system and again, we
18	understand the tremendous amount of support
19	for the SUNY and CUNY system but when you
20	look at their capital plan they are
21	proposing, the state is proposing almost
22	\$7 billion in capital spending for those two
23	systems over the next five years. I think a

worthy investment, but when you think about

1	our what we do in that education
2	landscape, I think a \$30 million, \$35 million
3	investment is well warranted.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Okay. Thank
5	you.
6	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Toby
7	Stavisky.
8	SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you.
9	For the record, I asked Dr. Linares
10	about the full funding the cost of the
11	entire funding for that.
12	MS. LABATE: Thank you, Senator.
13	SENATOR STAVISKY: Getting back to
L 4	oops there we go. I should have taken a
15	technical course. I've been assaulted
16	(Laughter.)
L7	SENATOR STAVISKY: Thanks.
18	Getting back to the HECap, what's
19	going to happen to the construction projects
20	that have been started?
21	MS. LABATE: For those that have been
22	started, I have every indication that the
23	state will honor their commitment.
2.4	Infortunately, you will not have any new

1	projects starting under the program unitess
2	funding is restored.
3	SENATOR STAVISKY: Yeah, I think
4	that's unfortunately the cut I think was
5	not well-thought-out.
6	MS. LABATE: I think it's a very
7	modest investment for what the state gets in
8	return. In almost every community you can
9	find HECap-funded projects.
10	SENATOR STAVISKY: Two other
11	questions.
12	Your independent colleges do not get
13	the STEM scholarship. What do you recommend
14	in terms of providing the equality of
15	opportunity for the independent college
16	students?
17	MS. LABATE: Well, we did get this
18	year was the first year that we were eligible
19	for the STEM scholarship. Unfortunately, in
20	the proposed budget for next year it's
21	recommended for elimination.
22	We strongly encourage that our
23	students be eligible for it. We need all the
2.4	CTEM dogroos in this state that we can get

1	we conter to percent or them, and we want to
2	keep as many students in the state studying
3	STEM and studying STEAM as possible, and
4	having our schools be part of that helps that
5	to happen.
6	SENATOR STAVISKY: I'm sure you saw
7	the story in the Times Union by Rick Karlin:
8	"Facing Financial Headwinds, Region Colleges
9	Adapt, Innovate."
10	MS. LABATE: Yes. Yes, I did.
11	SENATOR STAVISKY: And I commend the
12	innovation of these private and public
13	colleges.
14	Last question. I asked it of the CUNY
15	and SUNY chancellors: What's the effect of
16	Betsy DeVos' watering down of regulations
17	involving Title IX?
18	MS. LABATE: We have real concerns
19	about it. And I heard what my colleagues at
20	SUNY and CUNY said, and I would mirror many
21	of those.
22	We have concerns about the narrowing
23	of the definition of sexual harassment, about
2.4	the need for live hearings, and marticularly

1	about limiting the scope of claims under
2	sexual harassment, that they have to happen
3	on the campus. We know that the campus
4	community often extends well beyond the
5	campus borders.
6	So we have commented. I think there
7	will be an unprecedented number of comments,
8	and we're hoping to see significant changes
9	in those proposals.
10	SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you.
11	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
12	Members?
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Will Barclay.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Thank you.
15	Good evening. And this may be I
16	have a son who's a senior, so we're looking
17	at colleges. So this issue is particularly
18	acute to me, and it's regarding obviously the
19	tuition increases. I think next to health
20	care, higher ed costs are maybe the highest
21	increases or they may be higher now, as
22	far as I know. And when I look at the
23	private colleges, going around, when I see
24	beautiful campuses, there's no doubt that

1	some of the improvements put on campuses are
2	really incredible. And when I talk to the
3	admissions people and sometimes college
4	presidents, they all indicate that they're in
5	an arms race with this.

What are your member colleges doing, other than looking for more government aid -- which I don't blame them, I would be doing the same -- to try to stabilize tuition or actually even lower tuition so more people can afford it rather than have a very few afford it and everybody else on financial aid?

MS. LABATE: Sure. I think what the important point to look at, the important statistic to look at there is really the net price that students pay. And 90 percent of all students get financial aid at our schools, so very, very few are paying the actual sticker price.

ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Is that a healthy way to have it, though? I mean, seriously, we're eventually going to get to 99 percent and maybe 100 percent. Why not

1	have it so more people can afford it rather
2	than having less people only a few being
3	able to afford it? Or at least advertise
4	I guess you have got to get the fees somehow
5	from the people that can afford it.
6	But it seems like it's a balance I
7	know I went to St. Lawrence, and that's
8	almost 80 percent of the students are on
9	financial aid. That seems unsustainable to
10	me.
11	MS. LABATE: Well, I kind of look at
12	it as similar to our progressive tax
13	structure. You need a certain amount of
14	money to run your institution. What our
15	schools have done but it does certainly
16	have limitations, and that's why we encourage
17	more support from government. What our
18	schools have done is for those few who can
19	afford to pay a higher tuition price, our
20	schools charge that higher tuition price.
21	And that allows them to subsidize to a large
22	extent the number of students who can't.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Aren't you
24	concerned

1	MS. LABATE: The costs are the cost
2	structure is not terribly dissimilar,
3	Assemblymember, between publics and private
4	institutions. The biggest differential is
5	the amount of subsidy that the state provides
6	its public systems to keep that tuition low.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: I'm sympathetic
8	to that. But aren't you concerned I even
9	had a college president tell me they should
10	be raising do a means test for SUNY,
11	because they're losing too many higher-wealth
12	students to SUNY because they don't want to
13	pay the tuition anymore.
14	Again, eventually you're going to have
15	100 percent of people on financial aid.
16	That's the problem with the progressive
17	tuition, I guess eventually people are
18	going to say "I'm not going to pay this
19	anymore." You're going to price yourself
20	out.
21	MS. LABATE: I think our schools look
22	for every alternative to tuition to help
23	support the students who want to go there.
24	One of those alternatives that I encourage

1	this body to consider are increases in TAP
2	and other financial aid programs.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Thank you.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
5	Senator Bob Antonacci.
6	SENATOR ANTONACCI: Thank you. Madam
7	President, thanks for your time today.
8	I'm new to this committee, so I may be
9	a little inartful, but I did go to a private
10	school, I went to Le Moyne and Syracuse
11	University, as well as my wife going to
12	Le Moyne, and my son graduates from Cazenovia
13	in May. So we're very fond of the private
14	university.
15	You've had testimony here today, so I
16	think you've answered my questions to some
17	degree, that you are treated differently.
18	And I grant that we're in Albany, we're
19	talking about the SUNY schools all day, and
20	CUNY, I get it that's what government
21	does, and that's our jewel. And don't get me
22	wrong, the SUNY system is phenomenal, and I'm
23	a big supporter of OCC and the like.

But you are treated differently. My

1	question is this and by the way, you're
2	all not-for-profit. I mean, there's nobody
3	really getting rich or making money, there's
4	no stockholders I mean, yeah, there's a
5	private school here or there, but for the
6	most part the schools I mentioned are all
7	not-for-profits.

What can we do for you and your member organizations that doesn't involve tax dollars? What rules, what laws, what regulations can we change that don't involve tax dollars that would allow you to be more competitive, operate more efficiently?

I did reach out to one of your members and I got a little laundry list of restoring authority of private colleges that participate in enhanced tuition awards to set their own tuition rates, something as simple as allowing students to access specific travel and accident insurance policies by providing an exemption to insurance law mandates.

I know that we've only got about a minute and a half left, and you could always

1	get this to me offline, but what can we do
2	that doesn't involve tax dollars that helps
3	you be more competitive?

MS. LABATE: Well, I think you just named two of them. I think some flexibility in how we operate the enhanced tuition award helps. There are several of our schools that have concerns about trying to procure insurance for their students.

I would say that a big issue that I encourage this body to look at, and we're certainly engaging with SED on it, is the whole program approval process. We are only one of six states in the nation that requires such an extensive process. We certainly understand the need for certain elements of that to ensure program integrity and program quality, but I think as with all regulations that have built up over time, there's always some opportunity to look at how those can be streamlined so that our schools can be more nimble in responding to the needs of the marketplace.

24 SENATOR ANTONACCI: And you're

1	participating with DACA kids, you've
2	congratulated the Governor on the
3	DREAM Act
4	MS. LABATE: Absolutely.
5	SENATOR ANTONACCI: I mean, you're
6	playing ball with all of the other
7	regulations and rules that are coming out of
8	Albany.
9	MS. LABATE: Absolutely. Every
10	regulation that affects a small business
11	affects us, and we play with them we
12	comply with all of them.
13	SENATOR ANTONACCI: Thank you.
14	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
15	Is there any other Assemblymember?
16	Senator John Liu.
17	SENATOR LIU: Thank you, Madam Chair.
18	My questions have generally been
19	answered already. I want to thank you for
20	your testimony, and I also want to thank you
21	for the work of your wonderful staff,
22	especially a young man by the name of
23	Tom Schnurr.
24	Thank you.

```
1
                   MS. LABATE: He's okay.
 2
                   (Laughter.)
                   SENATOR LIU: He's relentless, I tell
 3
 4
            you.
                   MS. LABATE: I know.
 5
 6
                   SENATOR LIU: You just call him off a
 7
            little bit.
 8
                   MS. LABATE: He keeps me on my toes.
 9
                   SENATOR STAVISKY: And his father.
10
                   (Laughter.)
11
                   CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
12
            much for your time today.
13
                   MS. LABATE: Very good. Thank you.
14
                   CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
15
                   CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: The Association
16
            of Proprietary Colleges.
17
                   ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Anytime you're
            ready. You have your five minutes.
18
                   MS. STELLING-GURNETT: Chairwoman
19
20
            Stavisky, Chairwoman Krueger, Chairwoman
21
            Glick, members of the Legislature, thank you
22
            for this opportunity to present this
23
            testimony on behalf of the Association of
24
            Proprietary Colleges.
```

1	My name is Donna Gurnett, and I am the
2	president of the association. And I am
3	joined here today by Christopher Barto, who
4	is the vice president of government affairs
5	for LIM College.

I would start my testimony today by asking you to turn to the last page of my testimony, to the APC Fast Facts that we've put together for you. I've had the opportunity to participate in this hearing for several years now, and so I know that you've heard me talk in the past about who our members are, who our students are, where they come from, the demographics, and most importantly the strong student outcomes that they have.

It's important to keep these facts in mind today as I would like to talk to you today about the Governor's proposed

For-Profit College Accountability Act. In many ways the goals of APC align with the Governor's goals of expanding access to higher education and combating student debt.

However, we believe that the Governor's

proposal, which was conceived due to reports

touting inaccurate and misleading data, is

flawed -- not only because it targets just

the proprietary sector, but because it also

ignores the strong, long history of strong

student outcomes and success demonstrated by

this sector.

To be clear, if this act goes into play as it currently stands, it will decimate the entire proprietary sector, both the non-degree schools and degree-granting colleges entirely. This is not a proposal that measures accountability as suggested but a proposal that will eliminate the entire sector of higher education in New York, a sector that educates thousands of students with strong graduation and job placement rates and provides a direct pipeline to employers across the state in critical industries.

Now, the Governor's proposal has five components to it, but Christopher and I are going to limit our remarks, due to time, to just two. And the first is I'd like to talk

1	about the 80/20 rule. This requires that
2	for-profit colleges demonstrate that they are
3	not receiving more than 80 percent of their
4	tuition revenue from public sources,
5	including Pell, TAP, ETA, and federally
6	backed student loans.
7	At the federal level there is a
8	similar regulation called the 90/10 rule that
9	states that for-profit colleges cannot
10	receive more than 90 percent of their tuition
11	revenues from federal sources. All APC
12	member colleges meet the federal metric with
13	no issue.
14	The Governor's 80/20 proposal,
15	however, would not only increase the amount
16	of revenue that must come from private
17	sources, it also significantly expands the
18	definition of public funding. Because
19	proprietary colleges receive no direct state
20	or federal aid, this tuition revenue accounts
21	for over 90 percent of our overall revenue.
22	Therefore any institutions that serve a high
23	number of low-income students, minority or

first-generation students that are eligible

1	for these financial aid programs will have
2	difficulty meeting this metric. This metric
3	amounts to little more than a reflection of
4	the demographics of the students these
5	institutions serve.
6	The second metric is the requirement
7	that for-profit colleges spend at least
8	50 percent of their expenses on student
9	instruction, and I'm going to turn it over to
10	Christopher to address that.
11	MR. BARTO: Thank you, Donna.
12	Again, I represent LIM College, which
13	will be celebrating our 80th anniversary and
14	is an institution with a rich and unique
15	history focused on educating students in the
16	business of fashion, located in Midtown
17	Manhattan, one of the global homes of the
18	fashion industry.
19	This proposal would have a direct
20	impact on our institution, imposing an
21	unreasonable requirement that we and other
22	proprietary colleges must spend at least
23	50 percent of our expenditures on student

instruction, which in the Governor's budget

is defined primarily as faculty salary and
benefits.

This completely disregards the substantial investment we have made in student academic support programs, in personnel, with comprehensive math and writing centers, other wraparound services such as advising, career management, counseling and accessibility services, student affairs, co-curricular programming, student financial services counseling -- all of those without the benefit of any direct public dollars -- and other capital investments and improvements made to ensure that our students successfully graduate on time and find jobs in their fields of study.

We've looked at the data, it's
publicly available on the Department of
Education's IPEDS website. In fact, even
with a broad definition of what constitutes
institutional instructional expenditures,
over 65 percent of all four-year colleges,
regardless of sector of higher ed in
New York, would not meet this measure. That

Τ	includes institutions such as Niu, Cornell,
2	Juilliard, and many other prestigious
3	institutions in this state. In fact, a very
4	narrow definition of just salary and wages as
5	implied in the Governor's budget when you
6	take that look, you'll find that less than
7	10 colleges in the state actually meet that
8	metric.
9	MS. STELLING-GURNETT: So what
10	happens can we just finish this one
11	more yup? Okay.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: It's all right.
13	(Laughter.)
14	MS. STELLING-GURNETT: That's okay.
15	That's all right. I know it's been a long
16	day.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: We're into
18	questions now.
19	MS. STELLING-GURNETT: Okay.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: If this is too
21	narrow a definition on the revenue side of
22	expenses that you attribute the 50 percent,
23	what other perhaps you could give it to us
24	at some other time what other markers and

1	what	perc	ent	they	would		so	that	we	sort	of
2	look	at a	di	fferer	nt def	init	cior	1.			

Right now you're saying the definition is too narrow. So come back and tell us what would be a more appropriate definition and what that looks like.

MR. BARTO: We can share that the average percent of instructional expenses for faculty salary and wages in the state across all sectors is about 22.4 percent. That's average -- public, private, nonprofit, for-profit. And that's publicly available information.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: What other categories would you include in making what you believe the definition would be more reflective of what is appropriate?

MS. STELLING-GURNETT: If I can just answer that. I think what we need to do is kind of get to the heart of what it is that the regulation is trying to do, and I guess the whole deal was that they didn't want us spending too much money on advertising, which is fine. I completely get that.

1	But I do think that you need to take a
2	look at what it is that the regulation is
3	trying to get at the heart of. And, you
4	know, we know that these colleges are
5	spending a significant amount of money on
6	student support services, wraparound
7	services, on capital investments. So we can
8	certainly take a look at that and get back to
9	you.
10	But I assure you that we're actually
11	spending a very small amount on advertising
12	and recruiting and that kind of thing, which
13	I believe is what they were really concerned
14	about.
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senate?
16	Toby Stavisky.
17	SENATOR STAVISKY: How many of your
18	member institutions are in compliance today?
19	MS. STELLING-GURNETT: Well, where
20	there
21	SENATOR STAVISKY: If these
22	regulations were enacted.
23	MS. STELLING-GURNETT: Right. So
24	there's the two components. There's the

1	80/20 rule I've surveyed our members, and
2	about 50 to 60 percent of them
3	SENATOR STAVISKY: Really?
4	MS. STELLING-GURNETT: can meet
5	that. Yes, I was that was very good news
6	to hear.
7	It's really the very narrow definition
8	of the instructional expense. The budget
9	language is clear, it is just salaries and
10	benefits paid to your instructors for
11	classroom time. So that's really our main
12	key, and quite honestly none of our members
13	would meet that metric.
14	SENATOR STAVISKY: That's my point.
15	Thank you.
16	MS. STELLING-GURNETT: Yes.
17	SENATOR STAVISKY: A couple other real
18	quick questions.
19	What is the default rate on student
20	loans for the proprietary colleges?
21	MS. STELLING-GURNETT: I could tell
22	you for our sector, and again I think that we
23	need to kind of make the distinction between
24	the non-degree schools and the

1	degree-granting colleges
2	SENATOR STAVISKY: Degree-granting.
3	MS. STELLING-GURNETT: We represent
4	the degree-granting colleges, so I'm going to
5	speak from that perspective. And our average
6	for the APC members is about 10.9 percent at
7	three years out. And then including the
8	entire degree-granting proprietary sector, I
9	believe it goes up about another percent,
10	maybe 11 percent.
11	SENATOR STAVISKY: And what is the
12	national average?
13	MS. STELLING-GURNETT: For the
14	proprietary sector?
15	SENATOR STAVISKY: Yes.
16	MS. STELLING-GURNETT: 15.5 percent.
17	SENATOR STAVISKY: In other words,
18	you're
19	MS. STELLING-GURNETT: We're well
20	below.
21	SENATOR STAVISKY: Well below. Thank
22	you.
23	A couple of other questions. What
24	provision is there in the proposal for cities

1	where expenses are high rent, for example,
2	in New York City. I'm sure your rent is
3	astronomical unless you own the building.
4	MR. BARTO: Yes.
5	SENATOR STAVISKY: What provisions are
6	there for these
7	MR. BARTO: There's no provisions in
8	the Governor's proposal, and in our case
9	you're right, Senator. Being in Midtown
10	Manhattan, much of our space while we do
11	own our historic landmark townhouse, much of
12	the rest of our instructional space is leased
13	space, and it's some of the most expensive
14	real estate certainly in the country, if not
15	in the world.
16	SENATOR STAVISKY: And lastly, what
17	will happen if these regulations are enacted?
18	MS. STELLING-GURNETT: So what happens
19	is the penalties are very severe. Our
20	colleges would be prohibited from enrolling
21	new students, and our current students could
22	potentially lose access to TAP and ETA. So
23	that's essentially the death knell for our
24	students or for ourselves. For our

1	students, essentially, but for our
2	institutions. And they would be forced into
3	immediate teach-out situations.
4	And so as devastating as that would be
5	for us, it would truly be devastating to the
6	26,000 students who attend our institutions
7	and would have to scramble to transfer and
8	finance and complete their degrees. So it
9	would be very disruptive to our students.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator
11	Antonacci.
12	SENATOR ANTONACCI: Thank you,
13	Madam Chair.
14	I'm a proud former professor at Bryant
15	& Stratton. And if you think being called
16	Senator inflates your ego, when you get
17	called "Professor," that's equally
18	prestigious and an awesome responsibility. I
19	loved teaching at Bryant & Stratton and
20	full disclosure, I haven't been there in a
21	bunch of years.
22	I think you're being targeted
23	unfairly. I think it has a lot to do with a
24	certain resident of Washington, D.C., that

1	had	his	own	unive	rsity	that	had	its	is	sues.	
2	I th	nink	tard	geting	just	becai	ıse	you'ı	re :	makin	g

3 a profit is unreasonable.

I don't think I'm going to be able to support this act, but I think what you need to let me know, and others, is what is it that you're maybe willing to hold yourselves accountable for, how can we measure you, how can we keep you accountable.

You are receiving federal funds and state funds and are responsible for making sure that these kids are educated. I will tell you the students that I had at Bryant & Stratton were phenomenal. Some of them to this day still keep in contact with me.

So I'm more than willing to help. It is the environment that we're in. We're in a town that has been reeking {ph} about being fair and treating people equally, but yet you're seeing disparate treatment in -- in just in education.

So anything I can do to help, please let me know. You're more than happy to contact my office with what you think we

1	should be advocating for.
2	MS. STELLING-GURNETT: Okay. Can I
3	respond to that?
4	SENATOR ANTONACCI: Please. And you
5	can finish what you were going to say
6	earlier, too.
7	MS. STELLING-GURNETT: Right.
8	Absolutely. Thank you very much.
9	So our request for today really is to
10	reject the proposal outright. There is no
11	fiscal attached to it, so there's no rush to
12	get this done throughout this budget proposal
13	system and process.
L 4	What we'd really like to do is have
15	the conversation about where the issues
16	really lie. So let's talk about strategies
17	and metrics that can be used for on-time
18	graduation. Let's talk about strategies and
19	metrics that can be used to judge student
20	loan debt. Let's talk about cohort default
21	rates.
22	I know we heard earlier one of the

Senators say something about creating a task

force perhaps to take a look at some of those

23

1	things, and I think that that would be a
2	wonderful idea. Right now APC participates
3	on many state task forces, so we'd love to be
4	a part of that.
5	SENATOR ANTONACCI: Thank you.
6	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So your
7	association represents 12 degree-granting
8	proprietary colleges.
9	MS. STELLING-GURNETT: Correct.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: How many
11	non-degree-granting proprietary schools do
12	you think there are in New York State?
13	MS. STELLING-GURNETT: It's my
14	understanding there's about 350 to 400.
15	That's what the Governor said in his
16	proposal.
17	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So the Governor
18	is talking about a proposal that would impact
19	everyone.
20	MS. STELLING-GURNETT: Correct.
21	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: But you are only
22	here making the arguments based on the facts
23	of your institutions, just to clarify.
24	MS. STELLING-GURNETT: That is

1	correct.
2	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
3	much. Thank you for your time tonight.
4	MS. STELLING-GURNETT: You're welcome
5	Thank you.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Veterans
7	Education Success.
8	MR. CURTIS: Good evening, how are you
9	doing this evening?
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Good evening.
11	MR. CURTIS: I'd just like to first
12	pour some water, actually. And also thank
13	you for taking this time this late in the
14	evening to hear our testimony.
15	My name is Ramond Curtis. I am the
16	state policy manager for Veterans Education
17	Success. I am also a combat veteran of the
18	United States Army. I served from 2003 to
19	2006 and in Iraq during 2005, 2006 excuse
20	me, 2003 to 2009. Six years.
21	We are thrilled that New York is
22	focusing on protecting students and also
23	protecting veterans through this policy,

through this specific budget that's coming.

1	I will be reading some from my
2	testimony, but mostly I'll try to skip
3	through it because I imagine you'll have some
4	questions.
5	I'm sure the committee is aware that
6	not all colleges are created equal.
7	Unfortunately, because of a loophole in the
8	federal law, one that was referenced earlier
9	this evening called the 90/10 rule, the GI
10	bill usage is currently dominated by
11	low-quality on-line colleges that soaked up
12	about 39 percent of the GI bill tuition and
13	fees between fiscal years 2009 and 2017 and
14	that routinely overpromise and underdeliver
15	as well.
16	This leads many students to drop out
17	and to not complete college. If I may read
18	some quotes from veterans that have come to
19	us at VES and described some of the issues
20	that they face. I will be leaving out names
21	for privacy purposes.
22	From Timothy in Kirkville, New York:
23	"The curriculum was deceiving, all the core
24	courses were an intro course. When I

1	graduated, they sent me to interviews with
2	companies who said that if they were really
3	in need, they might bring me in on a entry
4	level. With most of my courses being intro
5	courses, I was unable to find a job in the IT
6	field. They had the nerve to ask me to come
7	back as a success story. To see the
8	commercials they put on television, I felt it
9	was all a smoke screen."

New York: "When I dealt with the financial aid advisor I was told that since I was a veteran, that my GI bill should cover the cost of my tuition, and all I had to do would be to apply through the VA website. While waiting for my GI Bill application to be approved, the financial advisor stated that I was eligible for a stipend which would cover the cost of books, supplies and living costs. I filled out the required application to receive this stipend, and I was never told how or where the funding was coming from. I soon discovered that it was student loans that I was being — that I was taking out for

The for-profit college accounts for -and I think this is a very important
statistic, because we were speaking earlier
about how many students go into default from
these schools. But of how many students in
New York that are going into default are
coming from these schools I think is a more
important statistic.

The for-profit college sector accounts for just 4 percent of all undergraduate students in State of New York -- but 40 percent of the borrowers who defaulted after five years of graduating. According to new data recently released by the Center for an Urban Future, at 73 percent of all for-profit programs, graduates earn less than \$25,000 a year, which is equivalent to the average wage of a high school graduate between 25 and 32.

In 38 percent of for-profit colleges, graduates' student loan repayments totaled more than 8 percent of their annual earnings, which places an enormous burden on students

1 as they re-enter the workforce.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 With such poor results, it is even 3 more concerning that the worst-performing for-profit schools receive tens of millions 4 5 annually in federal and state subsidies. 2015 alone, more than \$37 million from 6 New York's Tuition Assistance Program went to 8 colleges with at least one program that failed or nearly failed the U.S. Department 9 10 of Education's Gainful Employment standard. 11 Thirty-one million went to colleges at which 12 no more than 30 percent of the former 13 students made any payments to their loan's 14 principal within three years of entering 15 repayment.

One of the primary demographics

for-profit schools target aggressively and

deceptively is the veteran community.

Federal law prohibits for-profit colleges

from receiving more than 90 percent of their

revenues from federal education aid. The

purpose of this revenue cap is to provide a

market test ensuring that federal student aid

funds aren't used to prop up low-quality

1	schools that are unable to attract at least
2	10 percent of the revenue from private
3	sources, including employers or private
4	scholarships or families.
5	However, the post 9/11 GI bill and
6	Defense Department Tuition Assistance are not
7	listed in the policy as federal education
8	aid. Additionally, New York State tuition
9	assistance programs like TAP and ETAP are not
10	included in the federal policy and are
11	counted as private money. So that means that
12	for-profit colleges can legally receive
13	100 percent of their revenues from state and
14	federal education aid.
15	If the state doesn't take action,
16	for-profit college salesmen will continue to
17	recruit on military bases, inside VA
18	hospitals, and even at the homes of veterans
19	and servicemembers.
20	I will skip to the end really quickly
21	also, because I think this is maybe the most
22	important part because it's the direction
23	that we are coming from not an attack on

for-profit schools, but an opportunity to

1	improve.
2	While these enforcement actions are
3	necessary to root out bad practices, the
4	greater hope in New York is that they can
5	prevent predatory practices and bad outcomes
6	by implementing strong policies that hold
7	for-profit colleges accountable to the
8	students and taxpayers they have an
9	obligation to serve, and in doing so New York
10	will be able to identify the schools that
11	rely on deceptive and aggressive marketing
12	tactics to attract and enroll students.
13	And I'll just end there, because it
14	says it pretty well.
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: First of all, I
16	want to thank you for your service and for
17	the service of those with whom you have
18	worked.
19	When you indicated it was a little
20	bit surprising when you said that there's
21	actually recruitment on military bases.
22	MR. CURTIS: Yes. And in VA

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: How does one

hospitals.

Ţ	gain access to a military base in order to
2	MR. CURTIS: You can go on a military
3	base as a civilian and they can go into
4	different areas that are communal spaces.
5	Military bases you know, speaking
6	largely have you visited some of the
7	bigger ones? They're quite large. Stores,
8	opportunities for them I mean, salespeople
9	will go to the PX. I encountered it
10	frequently, you know, even back in the early
11	2000s.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Well, it's been
13	many years. I thought that they had gone a
14	little bit more restrictive in terms of
15	security, that's all.
16	MR. CURTIS: Restrictive in security,
17	but there's not really a security clearance
18	issue with recruiters going to the base.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you.
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I also want to
21	thank you for your testimony tonight. It's
22	very powerful.
23	I'm glad you were here representing a
24	concern that has gone national, the

1	exploitation of veterans by sucking GI bill
2	money dry, giving you no other opportunities.
3	And I just we've all been in this hearing
4	room all day, but the Albany Times Union
5	today has a front-page story about the
6	student loan debt, and the one example was a
7	78-year-old woman who now owes \$20,000 in
8	loans for a breach of a student loan from
9	40 years ago.
10	So that's another I thought it was
11	important to remind everybody student loan
12	debt is the one kind of debt that follows you
13	forever, I believe even into death so onto
14	military bases and post-life.
15	So thank you very much for coming and
16	testifying tonight.
17	MR. CURTIS: Thank you.
18	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Do you have
19	anyone else? Toby? Nope, we're done.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Mr. Curtis,
21	thank you for your service and testimony.
22	You said that your association has
23	received more than 4,000 complaints from
24	veterans?

1	MR. CURTIS: That's correct.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: How many of
3	them are from New York? And what do you do
4	when a complaint comes in? Do you refer it
5	to a state agency?
6	How many are from New York, and what
7	do you do when a complaint comes in?
8	MR. CURTIS: I apologize, I do not
9	have the exact number that we have from
10	New York at this moment. It is one of the
11	largest, if not the largest most of them
12	that we have are coming from New York, more
13	than any other state, I would say. But I can
14	get you that number, without a doubt.
15	And the second part of the question,
16	I'm sorry?
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: What do you do
18	when the complaints come in? I mean, you're
19	not I don't know if you're equipped to
20	handle them. Or do you refer them to a state
21	agency?
22	MR. CURTIS: We work with veterans.
23	The organization started out with mostly
24	attorneys who will offer their services to

1	veterans who are in need of bringing a case
2	against a for-profit school so they can get
3	their benefits back. It's a much more
4	difficult process for a veteran to get their
5	earned benefits back than, say, a Pell grant
6	or to get student loans forgiven. And so
7	we'll work with them if we can.
8	And also collect stories and
9	information, whistleblowers as well telling
10	us about tactics from inside, and use that so
11	we can help change policy, shift the needle,
12	and stop these for-profit predatory schools
13	from robbing GIs blind of the benefits that
14	they earned in service to this country.
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
16	much.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you.
18	MR. CURTIS: Thank you.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Legal Services
20	New York City.
21	MR. TYLER: Good evening. Thank you
22	for asking me to testify today. My name is
23	Johnson Tyler. I'm an attorney at Brooklyn
24	Legal Services in Brooklyn, New York. I work

1	at Legal Services NYC. We are the largest
2	provider of free civil legal services in the
3	country.
4	I specialize in student loan law and
5	see borrowers every day. Some of those

see borrowers every day. Some of those borrowers go to private schools, some of those borrowers go to SUNY schools, and a lot go to for-profit schools.

The repeating fact pattern that I see with the borrowers who go to for-profit schools is they're basically working the same jobs that they worked prior to going to school. They're working as home attendants, they're working as security guards, they're working as waitresses. They basically have made no progress in their education.

And you might say, as some people have said to Ms. Fast, who testified earlier, Well, maybe you're just seeing a snapshot.
But the data shows that that is a fact.

If you look at the Department of

Education's own data -- and this is why

for-profit schools are in the news all the

time -- 43 percent of the for-profit

1	students, seven years after they are repaying
2	their loans, are able to pay down a dollar a
3	day.

So how does this work? You have an amount of debt and you have -- which is your student loan -- and your income, and there's basically formulas that the federal government has provided where if you're not making much money, you're not going to pay much down on that debt.

And so for the majority of the people who go to for-profit schools, they are actually paying a debt that will never disappear. As long as they stay -- they can keep recertifying their income, they are never going to repay that debt. They are never going to move into middle-class life, essentially, which is what the goal is of education.

Now, not all of these schools are terrible, not all of them, because some people are actually repaying their debt and making progress. Some of these nursing schools are terrific nursing schools. But

1	the question really is how do we sort out
2	these people, the good apples from the bad
3	apples?
4	And right now there is no metric.
5	There's no metric out there. The CDR metric
6	that the federal government has has long been
7	moribund, and it's moribund because there are
8	completely legal ways to manipulate that
9	data.
10	I put it in my testimony that GAO's
11	the General Accounting Office's study that
12	they actually pay people gift cards to get
13	them to forbear their debt during that review
14	period so that they don't show up as a bad
15	statistic. So it's a meaningless statistic.
16	So who's going to come up with the
17	criteria? The federal government certainly
18	is not. And Governor Cuomo here has come up
19	with two criteria. Are they perfect?
20	Perhaps not. Will they wipe out some of the

23 So I want to talk specifically about 24 the 50 percent rule, which requires

21

22

schools? They may have difficulty reaching

them, but they are legitimate criteria.

1	50 percent of tuition dollars to go towards
2	education. Now, 29 percent of for-profit
3	schools are already doing that. So those
4	schools are doing okay, and they have good
5	outcomes for their students.

The other schools are not doing it.

Well, some of them can do, some of them can't do it. It really relates to where they put their priorities. With respect to -- you know, sometimes what they do is take a lot of money as profit. There's a school in Queens, Lincoln Tech, where the CEO paid himself two and a half times the amount of the president of Harvard in 2010. That all came out in a report that the U.S. Senate investigated, and of course he cut his salary after that. But this is part of the reason that you're not making -- they're not making money.

The last point I want to make is where some of this money goes. So we've heard a lot about advertising and how that's really not what the problem is here. But recruitment is a huge portion of where the

1	money goes in terms of the tuition dollars
2	paid for for-profits. It's at least
3	20 percent, some people say 23 percent.
4	But as an example. I tried to get s

But as an example, I tried to get some information off of a for-profit website. You can't actually get into it very far without a pop-up coming in front of you and saying "Do you want more information about our programs?" So I got a little fed up and actually filled it out and gave them my cellphone number. I got a phone call every day for three weeks from them.

I thought, hmm, what's a CUNY school going to do? So I did the same thing with a CUNY school. I did not get a single phone call. All I got was an email saying thanks for registering, we'll be with you shortly.

So we're stuck with this debt.

Taxpayers are stuck with this debt. If

people can't pay -- and a majority of

for-profit students seven years out are not

paying down their debt -- that is a debt that

they will take with them all the way to the

end of their lives. And we as taxpayers are

1	subsidizing that debt.
2	So I think the Governor's program is a
3	sound program, it's a bold program. It's
4	worthy of more discussion if people want to
5	have it, but there is data supporting the
6	benchmarks that he's created.
7	Thank you. I'm sorry I went over my
8	time.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Assemblyman
10	Hyndman.
11	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: That's okay.
12	Questions? Toby Stavisky.
13	Oh, sorry. Hold on. Assembly first.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Assemblywoman
15	Hyndman.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Thank you for
17	your testimony.
18	MR. TYLER: Sure.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: I noticed that
20	you in your report and listening to you,
21	you mixed proprietary degree-granting with
22	non-degree-granting proprietary schools also.
23	Some of the examples Lincoln Tech
24	is not just in New York State, they're around

1	the country too, right? So the owner of
2	Lincoln Tech schools around the country,
3	that's what you're referring to?
4	MR. TYLER: Yes. But Harvard
5	University is the premier university in the
6	nation, and the guy there pays himself a
7	third less.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: I'm not
9	doubting that. I don't know if they
10	housing is provided, or allowances, so I
11	don't know if all of that is factored into
12	it.
13	But I think while I do think there
14	is some oversight needed, I just think that
15	this level is and I don't see the examples
16	given in your argument. I know that
17	arbitration is not allowed in the proprietary
18	non-degree-granting sector because the State
19	Education Department makes sure of that. Did
20	you know that?
21	MR. TYLER: Well, with all due
22	respect, I'll put aside that arbitration
23	agreement. That is not what is hurting
24	low-income people. But it's hurting

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: No, I'm just
2	saying these are all arguments you're using.
3	MR. TYLER: Excuse me?
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: You're using
5	arguments to say the proprietary sector is
6	defrauding students left, right, and center.
7	MR. TYLER: I'm saying that a large
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: And you're
9	saying that
10	MR. TYLER: segment of low-income
11	students are not properly served by
12	for-profit schools. Yes.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: And these are
L 4	students that come to Brooklyn Legal
15	Services, right?
16	MR. TYLER: Definitely, yes.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: And in some of
18	the examples you put in here is a woman who
19	went to school in 1985 when the proprietary
20	school sector back then was rampant
21	MR. TYLER: Excuse me?
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: In the
23	proprietary school sector, the oversight in
24	the '80s was not what it is now. Would you

1	say that?
2	MR. TYLER: It was Wilfred Beauty
3	School. They were ripping off everyone at
4	welfare centers.
5	But I will say this. I've seen ASA
6	College, which is a for-profit school, parked
7	right outside the subway in a low-income part
8	of Brooklyn. So I think that the techniques
9	that they're using are no different than
10	before.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Okay. Thank
12	you.
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Toby
14	Stavisky.
15	SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you.
16	Have you ever visited Lincoln Tech?
17	MR. TYLER: I have not. I've never
18	SENATOR STAVISKY: I have. I have.
19	It's right outside my district.
20	And I had no idea what the president
21	was making, but to me what I saw were a large
22	number of students working on cars. And I
23	know that they get jobs. And to me, a lot of
24	this has to do with educating a sector and

L	educating	young	people	for	jobs	that	exist.

So as I said, I've been there on

several occasions and I was impressed. And I

taught at a vocational high school at one

time which taught auto repair, auto

mechanics. I saw these kids working, and I

must tell you I was impressed.

MR. TYLER: If I may respond, I have a client -- I had to research Lincoln Tech -- he had an internship at Pep Boys changing oil, which he knew how to do before he got there.

I actually looked up the data when I heard about an auto school in Queens that I thought maybe you were talking about: Only 37 percent of Lincoln Tech students are able to repay their loans seven years after leaving the institution. Therefore, the majority of them are basically not making enough money to repay the debts that they took out from Lincoln Tech. It's data that the Department of Education is collecting, it's not stuff that we're making up.

So there are lots of the anecdotes

⊥	nere and there, and there are tertainly some
2	students who did benefit from Lincoln Tech.
3	But there are a lot of students who are not
4	benefiting, and taxpayers and New Yorkers are
5	supporting that.
6	SENATOR STAVISKY: This is a
7	non-degree-granting institution, though.
8	MR. TYLER: They have all the data
9	there, it's right on the IPEDS form. I'm
10	happy to share it with you.
11	SENATOR STAVISKY: Presumably they're
12	not getting TAP or anything.
13	Thank you.
14	MR. TYLER: Sure.
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So the
16	proprietary colleges that testified, there
17	are 12 of them, and they're degree granting.
18	Do you see them as a different universe than
19	the issues you're seeing for your clients?
20	MR. TYLER: Well, I don't really. I
21	mean, I'm not I'll be honest, I don't
22	really make a distinction between degree
23	granting or not degree granting. I make a
24	distinction between whether people are

1	repaying	f the	ir de	bts a	nd ak	ole	to	make	а
2	living o	off o	f wha	t the	y're	doi	ng.		

So I know some of the people who come from that group do a pretty good job of educating people, some of the institutions.

But I would say -- so I guess that's my answer. Some are better than others. But I'm familiar with all the groups in there.

I know one of the groups in there -and no offense to the Senator here, but

Bryant & Stratton has a 27 percent repayment
rate after seven years of college. That's a
really low rate of people who are actually
able to pay that debt down. Now, I'm sure
they help some students, but there are a lot
of students where that education was a lost
opportunity.

I think that's one other thing that I see from my clients. You don't get a do-over on your education. You basically use the capital of your family to have someone take care of your child while you go to school or to have someone put up with you working late at night to do your homework, and if it

1	doesn't	work out,	you don't	get to do it	
2	again.	So it's a	real loss	when people go	in
3	the wron	ng directio	on.		

I mean, the last thing I'll say is I heard about community college enrollment going down. And when you think of the competition of trying to get enrolled in a school where -- getting into community college is not an easy thing. They're not providing all the bells and whistles to sign up for your student loans. They're not calling you on the phone. But those are really places of value, and that's what we should be supporting people to do, is go to those schools.

And if there's no criteria -- if there's no accountability for the schools that are making money off of this, they're just going to keep doing it again. There needs to be some metric here.

CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So you would recommend that the metrics that are used are whether or not people are getting jobs that they are supposedly getting educated for that

1	pay them more than before they went to
2	school, and also the rate of default?
3	MR. TYLER: I would actually I
4	mean, if I were to do it I once was on the
5	Gainful Employment Negotiating Rule
6	Committee, and we spent a lot of time
7	thinking about this. And most of the policy
8	wonks said look at repayment rates at an
9	extended period of time. You're dealing with
10	the same people whether they dropped out from
11	a CUNY school, you're dealing with the same
12	person whether they dropped out of a
13	for-profit, you're dealing with the same
14	person whether they graduated it's the
15	best metric, and it informs whether you're
16	getting value for the subsidy that's being
17	provided by the taxpayers.
18	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
19	much.
20	Oh, I'm sorry. Senator Bob Antonacci.
21	SENATOR ANTONACCI: Thank you.
22	You know, I appreciate the comments
23	and your written testimony. I didn't say
24	Bryant & Stratton was Harvard, right? So

there's always an element of personal
responsibility.

What about the flip of this now, would this accountability act -- and again, you heard the testimony earlier, nobody's saying we don't want any accountability, nobody's saying we don't want any metrics. But what about the inverse where an institution decides not to take that chance on a student, whether it be low-income or somebody who struggled in school, decides not to take that chance because they're worried about getting judged or sued by your organization or judged by other organizations? How do we balance all of those interests?

And there's -- you're not saying that there's not a student loan problem in private education at the higher level. The SUNY system has loan defaults. I get it, there's probably a larger balance coming out of, to your point, these proprietary schools. But I guess my question is, where do we draw that balance on kids that maybe this is one of their only avenues?

1	MR.	TYLER:	That's	а	great	question.
---	-----	--------	--------	---	-------	-----------

I think one of the things I've always been struck with is tuition doesn't necessarily correlate to the risk that the school is taking. So, you know, why is it that ASA charges \$28,000 to be a nursing assistant, which is -- there is no such job as a nursing assistant. It's basically a feel-good job, you get to wear a stethoscope and wear a smock, but there is no market for that. And you can go to community college and actually learn something, to be a phlebotomist, for a much lesser amount. 

So if you want to meet these metrics, the way you meet the metrics, part of it would have to do with tuition, how much you're charging for. I don't think it has so much to do with selectivity. I think it has to do with where you put your money in terms of instruction.

SENATOR ANTONACCI: But again, where is the personal responsibility? If I'm doing some research on my career and I want to give an institution \$28,000 to be a nursing aide

Ţ	that doesn't exist, isn't there some
2	culpability on the individual that didn't do
3	the our community colleges are
4	unbelievable. I mean, they're fantastic.
5	So how but if we can't advertise
6	any more, we can't do any more, I believe, to
7	tell these young people that these are
8	beautiful institutions that are very low-cost
9	and you get a great education.
10	So I don't know where the disconnect
11	is. But do we blame the private institution,
12	the for-profit institution for offering a
13	product that somebody avails themselves of?
14	Unless there's fraud or duress, what do we
15	do?
16	MR. TYLER: Well, there's rarely
17	duress, but there often is puffery, in the
18	word of law, that happens. Like the woman
19	who has that who actually has that \$28,000
20	debt for the nursing assistant, she was told
21	she was going to make essentially twice the
22	wage she was making at the time. And it
23	didn't happen.

There's -- I have a client who

1	actually was kind of went with eyes open
2	to a school called The Art Institute with her
3	mom, and her mom's like, How is my daughter
4	going to make money as a fashion designer? I
5	mean, you have to catch lightning in a bottle
6	to do that. And they convinced her that they
7	had relationships with all the big fashion
8	places and she'd have the job. She's working
9	now at Newark Airport in a restaurant.
10	So, you know, there's salesmanship
11	that goes along with some of these things.
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
13	SENATOR STAVISKY: They closed The Art
14	Institute.
15	MR. TYLER: Thank you.
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
17	They did close The Art Institute, yes.
18	Right.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Western New York
20	Law Center, followed by the New York Legal
21	Assistance Group.
22	So after Western New York Law Center,
23	if the New York Legal Assistance Group could
24	come down close so we don't have to wait for

1	you to make the appearance at the
2	(Laughter.)
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: You're on, five
4	minutes.
5	MS. LANICH: Hi, good evening. My
6	name is Pam Lanich. I am an attorney at the
7	Western New York Law Center, which is in
8	Buffalo.
9	Our office represents consumers in a
10	variety of matters. We're not-for-profit, we
11	have a CLARO clinic in four counties in
12	Western New York, where we represent
13	consumers with primarily consumer debt
14	issues.
15	So my testimony is primarily concerned
16	with the student debt issue, a lot of which
17	was talked about in the last testimony, a lot
18	of the same kind of statistics about the
19	default rates of these proprietary colleges.
20	And I don't really want to offend the
21	Senator who taught at Bryant & Stratton, but
22	unfortunately I have some statistics about
23	Bryant & Stratton's Buffalo college campus in
2.4	comparison to Eric Community College for

1	example. Actually, the Buffalo campus has a
2	little bit even of a worse statistic than the
3	overall Bryant & Stratton, which the
4	statistic was given earlier. Only 16 percent
5	of the Buffalo campus's students are able to
6	repay any of their debt after three years,
7	which means most of them are making very low
8	incomes, even not being able to pay anything
9	on their loans.

ECC costs less than half of Bryant &
Stratton in Buffalo but spends double the
money on teaching. Bryant & Stratton has
students graduate with three times the amount
of student loans versus ECC, but they make
30 percent less money. They actually make
less than if they just graduated high school
after 10 years.

The problem with this is while there might be some good schools out there, if you're going to school and taking out huge amounts of debt that are going to ruin your life and never be able to pay back, can't discharge in bankruptcy -- some are even taking private loans on top of their federal

1	loans which they're getting sued for, they're
2	getting garnished for. They're coming to our
3	clinics with \$100,000 in debt, already being
4	garnished or being sued, and they're no
5	better off than if they didn't go to school
6	at all.
7	I just can't reconcile that those
8	kind of statistics and not think that
9	something needs to be done. So I do support
10	the $80/20$ proposal and the $50$ percent being
11	used for actual teaching costs.
12	Maybe there are better ways of doing
13	this. A lot of it was talked about last time
14	as well, with the measures of actual gainful
15	employment. Maybe that would be better. But
16	I think that a step needs to be taken in our
17	state to do something and get out of the
18	horrible statistics that we're seeing with
19	the debt, student debt.
20	I don't really have anything else.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: A quick
22	question.
23	MS. LANICH: Yes.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: When you've

1	dealt with students who have come to you out
2	of desperation, how did they wind up going to
3	these schools?
4	MS. LANICH: I think a lot of it is
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: If ECC is
6	there
7	MS. LANICH: Right. I think a lot of
8	it, like we talked about with the other
9	people that have been up here, is the
10	advertising. There's I see advertising on
11	TV for Bryant & Stratton and different
12	things.
13	I think if even you know, the
L 4	Senator talked a lot about accountability of
15	the student earlier, but we're talking about
16	18-year-olds who are looking on the internet
17	and seeing a lot of flashy advertisements.
18	They're getting recruiters calling them if
19	they put their phone number in. We can't
20	expect they're going to make an informed
21	decision about which school really is best
22	when they have that kind of tactic being
23	thrown at them.
2.4	The other thing that is concerning to

Τ	me is that in Buffalo we have a very huge
2	refugee population, refugees that are
3	obviously new to the country. I deal with
4	them in consumer scams a lot, and I'm also
5	concerned about the advertising that they
6	would see and buy into versus doing a really
7	great, informed decision-making about which
8	college to go to.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Anyone?
11	Senator Antonacci.
12	SENATOR ANTONACCI: Thank you. I just
13	have a comment rather than really a question.
14	I appreciate your passion, as well as
15	the speaker before you. And I can tell you
16	those 18-to 21-year-olds, this Legislature's
17	going to make sure they can't smoke a
18	cigarette in a couple of weeks. But again, I
19	believe in people being able to make up their
20	own minds, being able to do their own
21	research.
22	But isn't this really a bigger
23	problem? And maybe there's an article you
24	can send me, or topic isn't higher

1	education in general just overinilated? And
2	didn't the student loan industry enable it to
3	some degree, especially the federal
4	guarantee? I mean, what came first, the
5	higher education bills creeping up or the
6	fact that they were able to be financed with
7	loans?
8	I mean, wouldn't it be a lot cheaper,
9	wouldn't everybody kind of salaries and
10	costs come down if the student loan market
11	wasn't so prevalent and the federal guarantee
12	as well?
13	So I don't know if that's a question
14	or a comment, but I appreciate what you are
15	doing. I'm sure there's been those that have
16	been misled, and those individuals should be
17	sued in a court of law and, if found liable,
18	they have to pay back the money.
19	But I have a student going to
20	college, I have student loan debt that I
21	actually took out for my son, so I'm not
22	unsympathetic to the plight of middle-class

families. But it just seems like -- and

you've got to see the sticker shock on some

23

1	of these universities, as I'm sure you're
2	aware. So I guess that's just my general
3	comment. What do we do about it in general
4	in terms of the price of college to begin
5	with?
6	MS. LANICH: I do agree with you. I
7	think that student debt is an issue outside
8	of just proprietary colleges. Student debt
9	is a huge issue for myself, even.
10	The difference is the statistics still
11	show that a lot of the students at the
12	proprietary colleges have higher debt loads,
13	lower wages, lower job rates. And that's
14	just there.
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So I want to
16	thank you for your testimony.
17	And I suppose in response to my
18	colleague, so half of today has been around
19	the state colleges and Excelsior and TAP and
20	showing that you can actually get through one
21	of our state universities and community
22	colleges with a very, very low rate of
23	student debt, if none at all.
24	And I think what we're hearing this

1	evening are a group of people testifying
2	about exploitation of young people. And I
3	don't agree with you, Senator, I think
4	consumer protection is right there in our job
5	description for New Yorkers.
6	And certainly when they're using up
7	Pell grants, private money I mean, I don't
8	know if you were here when the veteran was
9	testifying about people saying, Oh, we'll
10	give you a stipend, and then you learn they
11	signed you up for a student loan you didn't
12	know about to pay you your stipend. So I
13	think that there is serious consumer
14	protection and fraud problems that we need to
15	address.
16	Thank you very much.
17	MS. LANICH: Thanks.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: We will now hear
19	from the New York Legal Assistance Group,
20	followed by New York Automotive and Diesel
21	Institute, the College of Transportation
22	Technology. So if you can move yourself up.
23	And followed by the Coalition of New York
24	State Career Colleges.

1	Anytime you're ready.
2	MR. BERKMAN-BREEN: Thank you. My
3	name is Joseph Berkman-Breen, and I am a
4	legal fellow at the New York Legal Assistance
5	Group, where I primarily represent low-income
6	veterans who have been defrauded by
7	for-profit schools.
8	I would like to start by telling you
9	about two of our clients who were harmed by
LO	high-cost, low-value for-profit schools. The
11	first client I'll refer to as Carter.
12	Carter is a veteran who attended
13	Sanford-Brown, a for-profit school in
L 4	White Plains, New York, which was so costly
15	that he needed to take out thousands of
16	dollars in loans in addition to exhausting
17	his veterans benefits. But he enrolled
18	despite that fact because of a promise from
19	the school that if he graduated, he was
20	almost guaranteed a job in his field that
21	would be high-paying, and he was promised a
22	lifetime of career services support.
23	Carter graduated with the highest

grades in his class and applied to dozens of

1	jobs in his field, but to no avail. The
2	school provided no help in his career search
3	and soon closed, leaving Carter with nothing
4	but debt, and he is now living on the brink
5	of default.

Anthony. Anthony was disabled as a result of his military service. In an effort to retrain for a civilian career, he enrolled in DeVry, a for-profit school in New York City. He was explicit with DeVry that he did not want to take out loans. He only wanted to enroll if his VA benefits would cover all of his costs. He was reassured by the school, but after graduating was frankly horrified to learn that the school had signed him up for loans without his knowledge. Anthony is now in financial crisis because he cannot afford to pay back those loans.

These are typical stories of our clients who attended for-profit schools in New York. Further, veterans like Carter and Anthony are disproportionately impacted by for-profit schools because current federal

1	laws incentivize low-value for-profit schools
2	to target veterans for their education
3	benefits.

The current law prevents for-profit schools from receiving more than 90 percent of their revenue from federal financial aid.

This is the 90/10 rule, as it's referred to, and it helps prevent taxpayer funding from propping up low-value schools that can't find private sources of revenue. But unfortunately this rule is too weak as it is, in part because of a loophole in which there is no limit on for-profit school revenue that can come from veteran education benefits.

This leads schools to target veterans to fill the 10 percent revenue gap.

With the proposed For-Profit College
Accountability Act, New York has the
opportunity to step up where the federal
government has failed to strengthen the 90/10
rule. However, for the proposal to achieve
its goal of holding for-profit schools more
accountable, it must close the loophole that
incentivizes the targeting of veterans, and

1	it can do this by ensuring that veteran
2	education benefits are included among the
3	limited revenue sources in the act. If it
4	does not do this, the consequence would be an
5	increase in the incentive for schools to
6	target veterans.
7	In sum, we see the proposed act as an
8	opportunity to hold low-value for-profit
9	schools to a higher standard to protect
10	students and to stop the targeting of
11	veterans. Thank you.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you very
13	much.
14	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
15	much.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Next is the
17	New York Automotive and Diesel Institute,
18	The College of Transportation Technology.
19	MR. HATTEN: Thank you all for this
20	opportunity to appear before this committee
21	this evening, even at this late hour. I
22	thought it would be appropriate to stay all
23	day and put a face to the for-profit college
24	sector.

1	I am the chairman and CEO of
2	Automotive and Diesel Institute, the company
3	that owns New York Automotive and Diesel
4	Institute, the College of Transportation
5	Technology.
6	I've been employed in this sector
7	since 1970. I've been admissions director,
8	financial aid director, teacher, director,
9	vice president, president, and chairman and
10	CEO of a for-profit college in the State of
11	New York.
12	New York Automotive and Diesel
13	Institute recently, in 2017, made history in
14	this state, becoming the first non-degree
15	school in 13 years to be offered the ability
16	to offer a degree to its students, an ALS in
17	Automotive and Automotive and Diesel
18	Technology.
19	The school has been visited by Deputy
20	Commissioner Kevin Smith, Deputy Commissioner
21	John D'Agati, Regent Judy Chin in Queens,
22	Regent Kathleen Cashin excuse me,
23	Christine Cea from Staten Island, and finally
24	Regent Dr. Kathleen Cashin, who also is the

1	cochair of the Higher Education Committee in
2	the Regents. All of these individuals have
3	visited our institution over the past 12
4	months. They loved the school. They thought
5	it was a terrific educational institution.

I know it's a terrific educational institution. We educate the non-traditional student in the State of New York. And we educate the individuals who come to us who are at the highest level in automotive technology in our state.

Since becoming degree-granting in

September 2017, our institution has reached

out to manufacturers throughout this country,

from one end of the country to the other, to

bring the latest techniques to our graduates.

We've reached out to CTE programs in the City

of New York that are desperate for quality

educators, and we've established partnerships

with them.

This evening -- well, it's already
happened -- we had an in-service afternoon
for six teachers from Alfred E. Smith Career
and Technical Education Institution to bring

1	them up to speed on industry certifications
2	that they could bring that they could get
3	in their professional development and that
4	they can bring to their students.

Next week we're going to host

Pete Dalton, who is the principal at Nassau

County BOCES Barry Tech. And we already have established a partnership to build their -
to help them build their diesel program.

Our students are 95 percent men, black and Hispanic men, a demographic that we oftentimes talk about as having not an ability to achieve at a high level. They're all disadvantaged, basically, economically. They come to our institution, we graduate them at over 50 percent. Probably when you go to the three-year statistic, it'll reach up to 70 percent. At night, 80 to 90 percent of our students find employment.

We've done everything that you have wanted from us in education. The average student loan debt that comes out of our institution: \$20,000, for a college degree which they can turn into some real gold out

1	there.

2	Our 90/10 is 75/25. If you start
3	messing around with the formula, you'll
4	probably end up putting us out of business.
5	I don't know of any institution that
6	almost anywhere in this country that can say
7	that they're going to devote 50 percent of
8	their expenses to faculty salaries. I don't
9	think that's going to happen. And it
10	certainly would eliminate every for-profit
11	college in our sector and others as well.
12	We have achieved the outcomes you have
13	wanted us to achieve. We've served the
14	population that you want us to serve. It's
15	in the state plan, we read it all the time.
16	Access to individuals who are nontraditional,
17	underserved in higher education.
18	Our students take an incredible amount
19	of pride in the degrees that they get.
20	Dr. Kathleen Cashin, cochair of the Regents
21	Higher Education Committee, spoke at our
22	first cohort graduation this past
23	December 13th. In that graduation ceremony
24	we had three students, we had three

1	students and I know there was more we
2	had three students that went from we have
3	an eligible career pathway program where we
4	teach ATB students. We bring them in, we do
5	this, we do the bridge program, we've been
6	doing it for three years with an incredible
7	amount of success.
8	We have over 50 students who have got
9	their high school equivalence diploma from
10	being associated with our institution while
11	they took the program. They went from a
12	certificate, coming in with no high school
13	credential, entering our certificate program,
14	getting their high school equivalency,
15	passing the TASC test, and then going into a
16	degree program and coming out at the end with
17	a college degree from the State of New York.
18	That's extraordinary. That's exactly
19	what we want, and that's a story that should
20	be told over and over again.
21	I'll stop here and let you do the next
22	thing.
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you.
24	Assemblymember Hyndman.

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Now, I've
2	known Mr. Hatten I think maybe 20 years
3	now
4	MR. HATTEN: Twenty years.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Is this on?
6	How many in your previous schools
7	that you had, non-degree-granting proprietary
8	schools, how many of your students got loans?
9	MR. HATTEN: Got loans?
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Yeah.
11	MR. HATTEN: As a non-degree school?
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Yup.
13	MR. HATTEN: Just about everybody.
L 4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Okay. Now how
15	many students in your
16	MR. HATTEN: Excuse me, except for the
17	veterans. I have 50 veterans in my school
18	that didn't get student loans.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Okay. And how
20	much did you invest in job placement for
21	those students? Internships
22	MR. HATTEN: I'm sorry, can you
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: How much did
24	you invest in job placements for your

1	students? Internships, working with the job
2	placement department, career education. How
3	much did you invest in that way?
4	MR. HATTEN: A significant amount of
5	money came out of the institution, because we
6	want to have I can't tell you the exact
7	amount. If you want, I'll get it for you.
8	All I know is that we appropriated the
9	necessary dollars to meet our mission and go
10	beyond, and to get the student outcomes that
11	we've been able to achieve should be evidence
12	of the dedication of the Board of Trustees.
13	I'm a trustee. We have a board,
14	13 members, they're the governing body of our
15	college, and everybody is on the same page.
16	Ownership, president, Board of Trustees, and
17	all of us want the best outcomes for our
18	students.
19	So I don't know exactly how to answer
20	that question.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: How long did
22	you own how long did you own New York
23	Automotive and Diesel before it became
24	degree-granting?

1	MR. HATTEN: I bought New York
2	Automotive and Diesel Institute in 2008. The
3	school had been terminated from participation
4	in the Title IV programs at that point in
5	time. The federal government had a two-year
6	wrangle with the previous owner, and they
7	were teaching out at the time when I bought
8	the school.
9	I went there in 2008. There were a
10	few students in the school, it was taught
11	out, and it was going out of business. But 1
12	was encouraged by the State Education
13	Department, our national accreditor. And
14	even the Department of Education that was
15	putting them out of business said, you know
16	what, go do it.
17	Our first certification with the
18	Department of Education was a thing called a
19	temporary provisional certification. We went
20	over that, we went to provisional, we had the
21	four-year certification, and now we enjoy a
22	full six-year certification with the U.S.
23	Department of Education.

A national accreditor just came in and

1	gave us and did a visit. They did a
2	survey of our students: 98 percent of our
3	students would recommend the education to
4	their relatives, 98 percent were very
5	satisfied with their education 98 percent.
6	And that wasn't a survey we did, it was the
7	accrediting council.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: I've known him
9	a long time. I know he loves his school.
10	So you bought the school in 2008 and
11	it became degree-granting in 2017. Did
12	you was it ever about profit for you? Was
13	it about profit or making money, being in the
14	proprietary degree-granting business?
15	MR. HATTEN: Thanks for asking the
16	question. I really wasn't going to go down
17	this avenue, but for the last four years I
18	have taken not one penny out of the
19	institution.
20	As we transitioned from a non-degree
21	school into a degree-granting college, every
22	penny went towards that goal, towards that
23	end. Does that get factored in anywhere? I
24	cashed in my IRA, I cashed in my life

1	insurance policy, I mortgaged my home to make
2	sure that the institution would survive,
3	because I knew there was incredible quality
4	there. And it's being borne out every day,
5	day in and day out.
6	THE WITNESS: And if I run out of time
7	and you tell me to leave, I'd like to invite
8	you to the institution. If you want to see a
9	degree-granting institution that you can be
10	proud of, that the for-profit label can be worn with
11	distinction, come to my institution.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you very
13	much.
14	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
15	Senator Antonacci.
16	SENATOR ANTONACCI: I'm not against
17	making a profit, and that's certainly your
18	choice. I am against, as to my colleague's
19	point, Senator Krueger, against ripping off
20	students.
21	Have you ever been I take it you do
22	get federal loans or your students do get
23	federal loans, and you help administer that?
24	MR. HATTEN: Yes.

T	SENATOR ANTONACCI: Have you ever been
2	found to have defrauded a student or lied to
3	a student in terms of acquisition or
4	acquiring of a student loan?
5	MR. HATTEN: Never once. You haven't
6	heard a single complaint when we transitioned
7	from non-degree to degree you would think
8	maybe a couple of students would get a little
9	sort of not one complaint to the State
10	Education Department. No. I've been
11	sorry.
12	SENATOR ANTONACCI: No, that's okay.
13	Because I don't know who talks quicker, me or
14	you. But you've really given me some energy
15	here while I'm watching you. I know you're
16	passionate.
17	But let me ask you this there is
18	accountability in again, I'm new here,
19	right? So there is accountability in the
20	for-profit schools, you have to answer to at
21	least the Department of Education I would
22	assume the federal Department of Education as
23	well as the State Education Department;
24	correct?

1	MR. HATTEN: Yes.
2	SENATOR ANTONACCI: Okay. What
3	happens to your school if you go out of
4	business, to these young men and women that
5	want to be automotive and diesel mechanics?
6	Where do they go?
7	MR. HATTEN: Okay, so so
8	nowhere, basically. Where are they going to
9	go? The community colleges are not serving
10	them an ALS degree. We're the only ALS
11	degree-granting institution south of Albany.
12	Our outcomes should stand for
13	themselves, that students get to the finish
14	line, get jobs, and are repaying their
15	student loan debt.
16	Okay, I'm a veteran, I served in the
17	'60s. I have 50 veterans who are in my
18	institution, right? They came not from us
19	camping out at some military base, they came
20	from referrals from counselors in the VA and
21	from past students who are incredibly
22	satisfied with the education they're
23	receiving.
24	I would never I've been doing this

1	for 49 years. I have a reputation in this
2	state. Twenty-five years I served on the
3	Advisory Council for Licensed Private Career
4	Schools, appointed by Mario Cuomo in 1993,
5	reappointed by his son on numerous occasions
6	as the chairman. I have 49 years in this
7	sector, and never ever has there been anyone
8	who has ever accused me or the institution I
9	serve of ripping off a student.

There may be out there -- and I

believe there are some institutions out

there -- but it's not my job to do that. You

want to know how to fix the problem? I'll

tell you how to fix the problem. I think I

heard some testimony earlier today. Give the

State Education Department professionals more

money, let them have more associates out

there, and then some of the problems that are

perceived to be problems can be adjudicated.

Build up the Education Department. I want

that oversight, because that oversight will

protect my institution.

SENATOR ANTONACCI: Well, thank you for your service, and thank you for what you

1	do for the veterans. And best of luck.
2	MR. HATTEN: Thank you.
3	SENATOR ANTONACCI: Thank you.
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
5	Next, the Coalition of New York State
6	Career Schools.
7	MR. ZALESKI: Thanks.
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Our former
9	colleague, Terence Zaleski.
10	MR. ZALESKI: It's more fun sitting o
11	that side. Try it on this side.
12	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: I don't know.
13	Try it for nine or 10 hours, you might have
14	different
15	MR. ZALESKI: It's much more fun on
16	that side. Trust me.
17	I admire the fact that you guys have
18	been there for 10 hours. I heard there was
19	one in here the other day for
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Eleven hours.
21	MR. ZALESKI: We're getting close.
22	But we're going to be wrapping up soon, too.
23	Well, thank you very much, first of

all, for giving me the opportunity to speak.

	1	I'	ve	sat	down,	SO	the	light	is	on.
--	---	----	----	-----	-------	----	-----	-------	----	-----

I'm not given to hyperbole. But let me just tell you, I'm here regarding Part E, and the 20 years since I've been executive director and counsel for the Coalition of New York State Career schools, I've never appeared before this committee before. I never had any occasion to do so.

Occasionally I come before higher education people, their offices, or I'd see some other people in their offices about one thing or another, but I've never had an occasion to come to a joint hearing like this.

The reason I'm here today is because

I'm extremely concerned -- as I said, I'm not

given to hyperbole, but if you look at my

testimony, the first paragraph says it all.

This is why I'm here. This Part E -- and I

hope the Governor does not intend for this to

be the purpose, but this will shut the

doors -- I'm not kidding -- shut the doors to

the approximately 400 non-degree-granting

for-profit career schools in New York State.

1	You want a headline? A half-billion-
2	dollar sector of the economy will be crushed.
3	It will end the jobs of over 5,000 licensed
4	teachers. And most importantly, it will
5	eliminate paths to opportunity for over
6	180,000 students in a typical year. That's
7	what we're talking about here.
8	If you read on a little bit in my
9	testimony, our demographic data, our
10	students black, Hispanic, Asian,
11	countless nationalities, origins. Many women
12	returning to the workforce seeking a first
13	career. Others, dislocated unemployed
14	workers pursuing a new and different path.
15	Others, returning veterans, those with
16	disabilities, many recovering from alcohol or
17	substance abuse, others formerly incarcerated
18	who are seeking a new shot at life. Large
19	numbers of our students try their hand at
20	community college in despair. Some are not
21	high school graduates.
22	I read this to a school owner the
23	other day to get her take on my testimony of
24	characterizing who we serve. She said,

1	"You're not telling them." Right? "Here's
2	what I want you to say." And these are notes
3	I took from a school owner in the Bronx who
4	has had a school, very successful for
5	20 years, in the allied health field.
6	She said: You tell those legislators
7	that this proposal impacts the marginalized.
8	It impacts those who are in the crevices of
9	this world. It impacts those who are at the
10	fringes, who are hidden in society. These
11	are people, they said, who are intimidated by
12	the traditional college atmosphere, who can't
13	navigate the environment of community
14	colleges. They're not friendly like we are.
15	We help them get a job.
16	Quite frankly, that's the truth. What
17	she said is really the truth. And now who
18	are our schools, what are we? I mean, we are
19	not TAP receiving, okay? There are no state
20	funds that come to our schools. The budget
21	for our oversight is provided by our schools.
22	There are three people in this room
23	who helped to pass legislation in 1990 and

it may have been one of the first bills, may

have been in the first year of Assemblywoman
Glick's tenure, perhaps. But there was the
Brodsky bill, you may recall, that we passed
in 1990 which put in place a comprehensive
scheme for oversight and regulation of the
non-degree-granting for-profit sector. And
all aspects of our schools are regulated.

If this piece of legislation were to pass, I'll tell you what the impact is going to be, because I see time runs fast. One of the big impacts will be a proliferation of unlicensed, unregulated schools. We're licensed, we're regulated.

Another impact will be unbalanced balance sheets for these schools. I mean, we have to present a balance sheet to the State Education Department every year which shows how our expenditures are allocated, where our resources are coming from. Our schools would not be able to pass the tests necessary for financial viability if this were to go into effect.

And by the way, who are our school owners anyway? Let's understand. Our school

1	owners are part of the community. Our school
2	owners are black, Hispanic, women, Arab,
3	Iranian, Bangladeshi, from Poland, from
4	Jamaica, from Pakistan, from India, from
5	China, from Russia, from Puerto Rico, from
6	Haiti I can go on and on and on.
7	They represent the communities, that's
8	why they're so successful in many ways. They
9	are training people in their communities who
10	feel comfortable coming to them and getting
11	training at their schools.
12	You can read the rest of the stuff
13	after. It's a bad piece of legislation.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
15	Any questions?
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator, you got
17	your hand up?
18	SENATOR ANTONACCI: I'm sorry, yes.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator
20	Antonacci.
21	SENATOR ANTONACCI: Thank you very
22	much, Madam Chair. It's been a long day, but
23	thank you very much for all your courtesy.
24	And thank you, everyone, for staying.

1	Is it fair to say that National
2	Tractor Trailer School is one of your
3	clients?
4	MR. ZALESKI: They're not one of our
5	members. They're regulated through the
6	Department of Motor Vehicles, not the State
7	Department of Education.
8	SENATOR ANTONACCI: They're not an
9	educational institution?
10	MR. ZALESKI: They are an educational
11	institution.
12	SENATOR ANTONACCI: Are they similar
13	to
14	MR. ZALESKI: They're part of a
15	coalition of New York State career schools.
16	They don't fall under the State Education
17	Department's umbrella through the Bureau of
18	Proprietary School Supervision.
19	SENATOR ANTONACCI: Okay. So are they
20	similar to your organization or because
21	I'm told that they take they get federal
22	student loans for their students.
23	MR. ZALESKI: They do receive federal
24	student loans, but I'm not directly aware of

1	the tractor trailer school that you're
2	referring to.
3	SENATOR ANTONACCI: Okay. I must have
4	gotten that information. I apologize.
5	Well, let me say this to you. The
6	National Tractor Trailer School and in
7	full disclosure, the owner of that company
8	did donate to my campaign, I want to make
9	sure that that's out there. But I'm very
10	aware of their organization. They're in my
11	community, they are in my district.
12	They can't find students right now to
13	become tractor trailer drivers. They have
14	job openings \$65,000 a year, four days on,
15	four days off, sleep in your own bed. And
16	they're an educational institution, their
17	training facility is unbelievable.
18	Will this bill hurt those kind of
19	institutions from being able to provide the
20	services you
21	MR. ZALESKI: I haven't spoken to any
22	of the owners over there. I would imagine
23	yes, it certainly would. And the provision

that's most damaging and most hurtful as we

1	see it is the 50 percent of overall
2	expenditures going towards teacher salaries.
3	It's just something that totally skews the
4	entire way a school operates.
5	And when the question was raised

earlier, I believe by Senator Stavisky,
regarding the rents in New York City and what
people have to pay. Many of our schools are
located in New York City and in high-rent
areas. Much of the fixed costs of the
schools are what really drive the expense
equations for schools.

And it's very difficult to meet that 50 percent -- nobody is going to meet that 50 percent number. That 50 percent number -- and you heard some testimony before. I mean, no one is anywhere close to that number or could be close to that number. It would close everybody down.

And there's also another provision in this bill -- this is one of the most poorly written pieces of legislation I've seen, and I've tried to pull this thing apart. There's a section over here that talks about any

Τ	other rocar, state, or rederal government
2	loan grant or scholarship program utilized to
3	pay tuition as part of this limited
4	limited what do they call it? Limited
5	revenue source.
6	What this would put out of business
7	are schools that are in our organization and
8	in our sector that provide targeted,
9	specialized services to students with
10	disabilities who are receiving ACCES-VR
11	dollars under contract with the State
12	Education Department and who have basically
13	dedicated their entire portfolio to working
14	with those students.
15	This legislation, the way it's
16	written, actually closes that school down.
17	And other schools like this not just one,
18	but several schools that depend on it.
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for
20	answering the question.
21	I don't believe there's there are
22	any more. Thank you.
23	MR. ZALESKI: Aw, shucks. I wanted to
24	be here for the rest of the night.

1	(Laughter.)
2	MR. ZALESKI: Thank you for your time,
3	and it's a pleasure to see you again,
4	Helene.
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
6	Thank you, Terry.
7	MR. ZALESKI: And Assemblywoman Glick,
8	nice to see you too.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Next, Lisa
10	Stifler, deputy director of state policy, the
11	Center for Responsible Lending.
12	Proceed.
13	MS. STIFLER: Good evening. Thank
14	you, Chairs Krueger, Weinstein, Glick, and
15	Stavisky and members of the committee, and
16	thank you for allowing me to testify this
17	evening.
18	My name is Lisa Stifler. I am deputy
19	director of state policy at the Center for
20	Responsible Lending. CRL is a nonprofit,
21	nonpartisan research and policy organization
22	dedicated to protecting homeownership and
23	family assets by working to eliminate abusive
24	financial practices.

1	CRL is an affiliate of Self-Help
2	Credit Union, the nation's largest community
3	development financial institution. We strive
4	to promote responsible lending and access to
5	fair credit for low-wealth families.

I'm going to use most of my time to share some of our new research on for-profit colleges with a particular focus on how for-profit schools deepen the racial wealth gap and disproportionately harm low-income students and women.

In short, New York students who attend for-profit schools are more likely to have higher debt loads, lower graduation rates, and higher loan default rates than other students in the state. An inordinate number of students of color, low-income students, and women in New York are left with large loans that they cannot repay, and often have very little to no educational benefit in return. And with multiple rollbacks at the federal level, the state can and must act to fill the void, and New York has this opportunity.

1	You've already heard a lot of the
2	concerns about for-profit schools, and I'm
3	not going to repeat them, but I do echo many
4	of those concerns. And a report that we're
5	going to be releasing tomorrow supports these
6	concerns.
7	Using the most recent College
8	Scorecard data from the federal government,
9	the report that we're releasing provides a
10	snapshot of for-profit colleges in New York
11	by comparing demographics, costs, and
12	financial burdens for students. To make an
13	apples-to-apples comparison, we focused our
14	research on four-year degree programs,
15	comparing those at the state's for-profit,
16	non-profit, and public schools.
17	Our research found a few things.
18	New York's for-profit schools are expensive.
19	The median debt level for students of the
20	state's for-profit schools is more than
21	\$28,000, compared to under \$17,000 for the
22	state's public schools. And almost

66 percent of New York's for-profit students

borrowed to attend school, compared to

23

1 41	percent	of	the	state's	public	schools

At New York's for-profit schools, the students are less likely to graduate. Less than 41 percent of for-profit students graduate within six years, compared to 55 percent of the state's public students.

And you have also heard about how some students are left worse off, sometimes earning less than a typical high school graduate. And in large part because of that, New York students who attend for-profit schools are more than two times more likely to default on their student loans within three years of leaving school than those who attend the state's public and nonprofit schools. And that rate increases over time.

These outcomes are particularly troubling given the well-documented targeting that we've heard about today. CRL's research bears this out. New York's for-profit schools disproportionately enroll low-income students, students of color, and female students. The student body of New York's for-profit schools is 29 percent black,

1	61 percent low-income, and almost 68 percent
2	women. All of these groups are represented
3	in greater numbers among the for-profit
4	student body than in the state population as
5	a whole, and these students bear the brunt of
6	the harms and financial consequences due to
7	for-profit school abuses.

These students also face additional barriers in repaying their student debt due to structural inequalities in family wealth, education, and employment. And far from helping students advance, many for-profit schools instead contribute to the racial wealth gap and other structural inequities that exist in New York and around the country.

These same debt loads prevent progress in closing the racial wealth gap as they hamper opportunities for home ownership, starting a business, and saving for retirement. Defaulting on student loans leaves borrowers even further behind with damaged credit scores, creating even more barriers for jobs, housing, and affordable

1	~ +	÷	+ h -	future.
1	creart	111	tne	luture.

2	Just one last point, and my time
3	despite the well-documented concerns that
4	we've heard and that have existed and been
5	borne out over the years, the U.S. Department
6	of Education is currently rolling back
7	existing protections against for-profit
8	school abuses. And in light of these
9	rollbacks, states can and should take action
10	to fill the void. State oversight is
11	critical, and it would ensure not just
12	quality of education in schools but also
13	protect students against abusive practices
14	that have the consequence of burdening these
15	students with large debt loads and often
16	little to no educational benefits in return.
17	And with the For-Profit College
18	Accountability Act, New York has the
19	opportunity to stem the tide of for-profit
20	school abuses and student loan debt, and we
21	hope you take that opportunity.
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
23	Thank you for the work that the Center for
24	Responsible Lending does in this area and

1	others.
2	MS. STIFLER: Thank you.
3	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Seward.
4	SENATOR SEWARD: Just a quick
5	question.
6	In your testimony you cut kind of what
7	I would call a very wide swath in describing
8	the for-profit schools.
9	MS. STIFLER: Okay.
10	SENATOR SEWARD: With your
11	generalizations are there any good ones
12	that do meet the needs of the students in
13	your estimation? Or, I mean, are there
L 4	MS. STIFLER: Well, there are
15	certainly
16	SENATOR SEWARD: That's my question,
17	in terms of you kind of generalize
18	MS. STIFLER: Right.
19	SENATOR SEWARD: But I'm wondering if
20	you have some we talked earlier with a
21	previous witness about good actors, bad
22	actors. Is that the phenomenon that you see
23	out there?

MS. STIFLER: There's always that

1	argument that there are good apples and bad
2	apples. I think one of the concerns we have
3	is just general oversight to make sure
4	whatever degree or whatever education is
5	pursued, that then it will lead to
6	professional opportunities down the line.
7	And so I you know, there are
8	certain schools that have good programs and
9	bad programs or not as helpful programs, and
10	I you know, I don't I'm taking the
11	wide the overall swath because there
12	are you can't say there's one good school,
13	one bad school.
14	I think there are we believe there
15	are programs that do end up educating for
16	certain careers and others that don't. And
17	so it's about making sure that the promises
18	that are offered and made then actually do
19	come to fruition in helping students attain
20	employment and get ahead in their careers.
21	SENATOR SEWARD: Thank you.
22	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
23	much.
24	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yes, thank you.

1	Next, Yan Cao, fellow, The Century
2	Foundation.
3	MS. CAO: Good evening. Thank you for
4	your sustained attention today over the
5	course of the day to the critical matters of
6	higher education funding and accountability.
7	My name is Yan Cao. I'm a fellow
8	focusing on higher education research at
9	The Century Foundation, a New York-based
10	think tank that for 100 years has focused on
11	fostering opportunity, reducing inequality,
12	and promoting security.
13	It is my honor to close out today's
14	hearing. I have to say I am deeply impressed
15	by your stamina, and in my book I think the
16	higher education funding and
17	accountability taxpayers and students
18	is worth it.
19	Funding higher education is such a
20	priority because it is critical that students
21	from all backgrounds can make their way
22	through college, strive for a better life for
23	themselves and for their families. But
24	unfortunately, it doesn't always work out

1	that	way
⊥	that	way

I promised to share the story of Zach Hastie, a Poughkeepsie firefighter and ex-Marine whose life was nearly derailed by a for-profit college. He wanted to join today but was unable to stay given the timing of the testimony. His written testimony is before you, and I'll pull out a few highlights. 

After returning from service, Zach searched for schools that would accept his GI benefits. He plugged in "GI benefits" into his computer and was directed through the use of a lead generator paid for by for-profit colleges that target military veterans. A recruiter who was paid by DeVry University showed up at his house the next day.

The recruiter promised that his benefits would cover the costs and that DeVry would set him up for good jobs at great pay. The recruiter also said that should Zach sign up his name, the recruiter would be able to hold his spot, as seats were going fast.

24 Each statement was a lie. The

1	signature enrolled Zach for DeVry. In two
2	years, DeVry drained Zach's GI bill benefits
3	and put him in \$90,000 of student loan debt
4	that he did not know about. At any public
5	college, the GI bill would have covered
6	Zach's program and gotten him to a degree
7	without debt.

Worse, DeVry discontinued Zach's program before he could get the degree, and that's when Zach realized his credits could not be transferred to reputable colleges and universities.

Zach had trusted the DeVry recruiter.

After all, the recruiter was representing a college, one that participated in the GI Bill program, one that participated in your TAP program that was licensed by the State of New York. Zach had been taught to believe that colleges were a good thing, and how bad could a college be?

Unfortunately, there is a deep record of stories like Zach's in the for-profit sector. You've heard that although these schools enroll 4 percent of New York

1	students, they account for 41 percent of the
2	student loan defaults after five years, more
3	student loan defaults than all the CUNY and
4	SUNY schools put together.

In addition to that, these schools represent 98 percent of student fraud complaints submitted across the country by students like Zach. And the problem isn't going away. In fact, it's going to get worse.

DeVry University stock shot up

31 percent in one quarter when Trump

announced plans to deregulate for-profit

colleges. The federal government is rolling

back every protection that has been put in

place to prevent abuse like what happened to

Zach. For example, Education Secretary DeVos

has stopped investigating fraud complaints

and won't share incidence of fraud complaints

with the state attorneys general. That will

allow, without a cop on the beat, for-profit

colleges that engage in bad practices to

grow.

24 The Secretary of Education has stopped

1	processing student borrower defense
2	complaints so that every time a for-profit
3	like DeVry defrauds students, it gets to keep
4	the money and students are left with their
5	federal student loan debt. And the
6	department has proposed to eliminate the
7	Gainful Employment Rule so that federal aid
8	dollars can fuel rapid growth at schools that
9	lead to high debt and low earnings, a recipe
10	for default.

Not every for-profit college uses the same playbook. But when the rules of the game are written to reward predatory practices, bad actors will grow -- and we've seen this happen in the past. New York offers generous aid to help students access and succeed through higher education. I say with great student aid should come great responsibility, specifically responsibility not just to owners and investors, but to students and taxpayers who end up bearing the burden of the costs and the debt.

With federal oversight being stripped away, New York's generous aid without

1	additional oversight and accountability to
2	step in to fill the void will mean that
3	student aid is used to prop up predatory
4	colleges. There is an opportunity before you
5	to protect students with commonsense
6	guardrails that promote high-quality and
7	affordable degrees: An 80 percent TAP cap on
8	reliance on federal benefits, 50 percent of
9	revenue being used on teaching.
10	These commonsense accountability
11	metrics are needed to make sure that aid goes
12	to helping students learn to succeed rather
13	than going to profit and marketing while
14	students get buried in debt that they cannot
15	repay and with little educational benefit to
16	show for it.
17	Passing the Accountability Act will
18	help protect students and help ensure better
19	quality and value for students in New York's
20	for-profit college sector.
21	Thank you.
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
23	Thank you for the other statistics that you
24	include in your written testimony.

1	Assemblyman Barclay has a question.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Thank you for
3	your testimony.
4	The one thing I'm getting confused on
5	is all these different statistics that every
6	side has been offering.
7	And I guess the question I have I
8	mean, because the for-profit the private,
9	for-profit you broke down I thought I
10	saw maybe it was someone before that, but
11	I think you probably would agree with the
12	testimonies that the people who attend
13	for-profit colleges tend to be lower-income
L 4	minority-type students, and for the classes
15	they're teaching wouldn't you expect them
16	not them particularly as a class, but just
17	generally to have a higher default rate than
18	I guess some of them with a different body, a
19	student body?
20	I mean, it's not really surprising,
21	one with the education that they're trying
22	to receive, their socioeconomic background,
23	is it surprising that they have a bigger

default rate?

1	And then the default rate, which isn't
2	insubstantial, but it's 20-26 percent. Is
3	that and then how did you get the last
4	question I kind of had, maybe it's a bunch,
5	and maybe you can agree with it how do you
6	come up with a five-year period? I mean,
7	where did that five-year period come?
8	Sometimes you I've seen statistics also,
9	and they do 10 years, and that changes the
10	numbers substantially. Or two years.
11	So maybe you could just answer my
12	question.
13	MS. CAO: I'll take those one at a
14	time if you don't mind, Assemblymember.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: Thank you.
16	MS. CAO: So on the population, how
17	that might impact default rate, that's why we
18	try to look at metrics where we could compare
19	more apples to apples.
20	So one of the data points we found is
21	that when you look at enrollment of
22	African-American students, a population that
23	is overrepresented in the for-profit college
24	community, you can see that over 12 years,

1	75 percent of them nearly 75 percent are
2	defaulting on student loans. Which means
3	that three out of four students who are
4	starting at a college are going to end up in
5	default rather than being able to advance in
6	their careers, when you look out over a long
7	time span.

Now, if you look at that same population and you look at them attending not-for-profit and public schools in New York without attending for-profit colleges, you see a 25 percent default rate. And so even when you compare within a demographic population, when you look at low-income students, when you look just at middle-income students, when you look at any ethnographic group, you see much higher default rates in the for-profit college sector.

Going to the question of the time span of default rates, as one of the prior witnesses testified, the three-year default rate is gameable. Because within a three-year period, for-profit colleges and also student loan servicing companies can

	convince students to just take a forbearance,
2	which means their loans will grow and they
3	won't go away, but the schools avoid the
4	oversight of the current federal rule, which
5	only looks to a three-year period.
6	And that's why we've looked at a
7	five-year period, to see what happens after
8	those tools for gaming the federal system go
9	away. And the 12-year period is even more
10	useful, but it can't be broken down at a
11	school-by-school basis. You can only look on
12	a sector basis.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: All right, thank
14	you. That's helpful.
15	So how long are these loans usually?
16	What's the length of an average loan at a
17	private for-profit school?
18	MS. CAO: That's a great question.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN BARCLAY: You'll have to
20	say your answer before we run out of time.
21	MS. CAO: Standard repayment is
22	supposed to be 10 years on a student loan.
23	But when you have a loan debt that you cannot
24	repay, as the gentleman from Legal Services

1	mentioned, the repayment rate for many of
2	these schools is below 50 percent.
3	So you have a student, Zach, the
4	veteran I mentioned, he's currently paying
5	\$800 a month towards the \$90,000 debt that he
6	never signed up for. But we have a \$100,000
7	debt even at a 6 percent rate he can pay
8	\$800 for the rest of his life, and that loan
9	won't go away.
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
11	We have an added speaker who wasn't on
12	the list but who had responded, so our final
13	speaker tonight is Jonathan Teyan, New York
14	State Academic Dental Centers.
15	MR. TEYAN: Good evening to the chairs
16	and members. Thank you for accommodating me
17	at the very end of the agenda. I appreciate
18	it.
19	So my testimony is going to be a
20	slight departure from what we've been
21	discussing, but student loan debt does figure
22	prominently in the story.
23	So my name is Jonathan Teyan. I'm the

chief operating officer for the New York

1	State Academic Dental Centers. We're the
2	consortium of the six dental schools in the
3	state. They're at Columbia University, NYU,
4	Stony Brook University, Touro College,
5	University of Buffalo, and University of
6	Rochester.

And I'll just take a moment and say that our dental schools educate and train nearly 3,000 students and residents every year, and those graduates go on to practice all across the state and are a vital part of oral health care and also primary care.

In addition to that, our dental schools conduct research that results in new treatments and cures.

And finally, and very importantly, our dental schools are safety net providers for vulnerable populations. And so annually we have about 400,000 Medicaid visits at our dental schools, and about 35,000 of those visits are developmentally disabled and medically compromised patients who really can't seek oral health treatment anywhere else because the facilities simply can't

1 accommodate them.

4

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 So the issue I actually wanted to 3 bring to your attention this evening has to do with a chronic shortage of dental faculty. 5 This has been something that's plagued our schools for at least a decade. And currently 7 we have 66 budgeted but unfilled faculty 8 positions at our dental schools. We are 9 concerned also because nationally -- and this is a national problem -- those numbers seem 10 11 to be ticking upwards.

> And the genesis of the problem is sort of very complex, but one of the things that really does constrict the pipeline for future dental faculty is simply -- is a financial one. The average indebtedness coming out of dental school nationwide is nearly a quarter of a million dollars. So when you are coming out of dental school with that sort of debt and you sort of look at the potential career landscape, you can make three times as much or more in private practice as in academia. And so it's not surprising that less than one-half of 1 percent of dental graduates in

1	the U.S. indicate an interest in a career in
2	academia. And so we really have constricted
3	the pipeline, then, because of this.

And in New York we have a bit more of a challenge because we have -- our licensure rules don't allow for or haven't allowed for dentists who have done their training and education outside the U.S. to get licensure. Since 2008 we have had a narrow, restricted category of licensure for dentists who did train and educate outside the U.S. As long as they're serving on faculty at a New York dental school, they are eligible for this restricted licensure.

Unfortunately, this is due to sunset again in another year and a half, and we have had to come back every two years and ask for an extender on this. We are actually asking the Legislature to make this permanent. We would love to see this licensure made permanent. It really works quite well and we have many very, very talented faculty members who are in this category of licensure.

24 And quickly -- I see my time is

1	running short another thing we are looking				
2	for the Legislature's support on is a loan				
3	forgiveness program. And we have started				
4	talking about this two years ago, also in the				
5	context of being able to recruit and retain				
6	faculty.				
7	This program would be supported by the				
8	State of New York, and it would provide for				
9	up to \$40,000 per year for up to four years.				
10	And these are for U.Strained dentists.				
11	While they are serving on faculty, they'd be				
12	eligible for this loan forgiveness and they				
13	would have a service commitment to at least				
14	two years and up to four years, depending on				
15	how much loan repayment they got, to serve on				
16	faculty at a New York State dental school.				
17	So with that, I will conclude my				
18	testimony and answer any questions if there				
19	are any.				
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator				
21	Antonacci.				
22	SENATOR ANTONACCI: Thank you very				
23	much. I promise the last question of the				
24	evening.				

1	Thank you for staying or coming in
2	late.
3	I'm a big fan of competition in my
4	profession, even though I'm a certified
5	public accountant. There are some
6	limitations of people that can't compete with
7	me doing my licensure, but like doing a basic
8	tax return, somebody can do it out of their
9	house. So I'm a big fan of competition.
10	How do we would more dentists drive
11	down the cost of dentists and make it more
12	accessible? And how do we get more dentists?
13	I would assume that the next 20 kids that
14	don't get accepted to a dental school in the
15	State of New York are pretty bright kids, I
16	would guess.
17	So how do we if we were to help
18	with this and help with faculty retention,

19

20

21

22

23

would that allow us to expand dental schools and then drive down the cost of dentistry and make it more accessible and more available across the state, especially in low-income areas?

24 MR. TEYAN: So the cost issue is

1	certainly a much more complex one. I
2	think to address your primary question,
3	yes, I think if we were to enact both of
4	these, we would have more of an ability to
5	enroll more students and educate and train
6	more dentists for New York State.
7	I think the flip side of it is that
8	if particularly on the licensure, if that
9	were to sunset, we would suddenly have about
10	50 faculty members who are no longer able to
11	teach, and as a result we would have many
12	fewer dentists being educated in New York
13	State.
14	So but to answer your question,
15	yes, we would like to train more dentists to
16	serve in New York and particularly to serve
17	in shortage areas. There are many shortage
18	areas, both rural and urban, where folks just
19	don't have access to dentists, and we would
20	like to help address that problem as well.
21	SENATOR ANTONACCI: Thank you.
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So the final
23	question will actually be by
24	Assemblywoman Hyndman.

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: SOTTY,
2	Senator. I have the last question.
3	Hi, Jonathan. How are you?
4	MR. TEYAN: Good.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: I thank you
6	for waiting this out.
7	Which of the practitioner dentists can
8	make up to \$322,000? Is that like an
9	orthodontist or endodontist?
10	MR. TEYAN: That's right. Those are
11	the specialities. Yes.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: All right. So
13	why would anyone teach, right? If I can go
14	out and make $$322,000$ , why would I why
15	would I teach at a why would I get on
16	faculty for a dental school, right?
17	MR. TEYAN: Well, you know, for many
18	people it's simply a calling. I mean,
19	academia is something that they really want
20	to do. And what we would like to do is
21	remove some of the financial barriers.
22	Certainly not all of it.
23	But for those who really want to do it
24	and just feel that they can't because of

1	their student loan debt, we want to help make
2	their decision a little bit easier. We won't
3	convince the people who are in it just for
4	the money, but certainly for those who do
5	have academia as a calling, we want to make
6	that possible.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: I just want to -- I fully support this. I think that if we want to keep dental services -- you know, a lot of insurance companies don't always offer good dental programs. So a lot of my constituents I know -- especially seniors -- are looking for free services.

So if we can keep dental faculty in our schools instead of leaving the state or going into private practice, and I think -- we spend a lot of money on a lot of things in New York State, but I think keeping our faculty here in our dental schools -- if you grow up in New York, go to dental school in New York, you should be able to say I want to teach in New York and give back to the State of New York.

24 So thank you. Last question, I'm

1	done.
2	(Laughter.)
3	MR. TEYAN: Thank you.
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
5	This concludes our Higher Ed budget
6	hearing. Come back tomorrow at 9:30 when we
7	will have our hearing on Public Protection.
8	(Whereupon, the budget hearing concluded
9	at 8:07 p.m.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	