1	BEFORE THE NEW YORK STATE SENATE FINANCE AND WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEES
2	
3	JOINT LEGISLATIVE HEARING
4	In the Matter of the 2020-2021 EXECUTIVE BUDGET ON
5	MENTAL HYGIENE
6	
7	
8	Hearing Room B
9	Legislative Office Building Albany, New York
10	February 3, 2020 11:09 a.m.
11	22,000 @V
12	PRESIDING:
13	Senator Liz Krueger Chair, Senate Finance Committee
14	Assemblywoman Helene E. Weinstein
15	Chair, Assembly Ways & Means Committee
16	PRESENT:
17	Senator James L. Seward Senate Finance Committee (RM)
18	
19	Assemblyman Edward P. Ra Assembly Ways & Means Committee (RM)
20	Senator David Carlucci Chair, Senate Committee on Mental Health and
21	Developmental Disabilities
22	Assemblywoman Aileen Gunther Chair, Assembly Committee on Mental Health
23	
24	

1	
2	Mental Hygiene 2-3-20
3	PRESENT: (Continued)
4	Senator Pete Harckham Chair, Senate Committee on Alcoholism
5	and Drug Abuse
6	Assemblywoman Linda Rosenthal Chair, Assembly Committee on Alcoholism
7	and Drug Abuse
8	Assemblywoman Ellen Jaffee
9	Senator Luis R. Sepúlveda
10	Assemblyman Michael Cusick
11	Senator George M. Borrello
12	Assemblywoman Kimberly Jean-Pierre
13	Senator Diane J. Savino
14	Assemblyman Angelo Santabarbara
15	Senator John Liu
16	Assemblywoman Melissa Miller
17	Senator Gustavo Rivera
18	Senator Anna Kaplan
19	Assemblywoman Patricia Fahy
20	Senator Fred Akshar
21	Assemblywoman Nathalia Fernandez
22	Assemblyman Charles D. Fall
23	Assemblywoman Mary Beth Walsh
24	Senator Sue Serino

1	2020-2021 Executive Budget Mental Hygiene 2-3-20					
3	PRESENT: (Continued)					
4	Assemblywoman Marianne Buttenscho	on				
5	Senator Elizabeth O'C. Little					
6	Assemblyman David I. Weprin					
7	Assemblywoman Carmen N. De La Ros	sa .				
8	Senator Robert Jackson					
9	Assemblyman William Colton					
10						
11						
12	LIST OF SPEAKERS	5				
13		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS			
14 15	Ann Marie T. Sullivan Commissioner NYS Office of Mental Health	12	17			
16 17	Theodore Kastner Commissioner NYS Office for People With Developmental Disabilities	102	107			
18	Arlene González-Sánchez Commissioner NYS Office of Addiction					
20	Services and Supports	161	167			
	services and supports	-	107			
21 22	Denise M. Miranda Executive Director NYS Justice Center for the Protection of People with		107			
	Denise M. Miranda Executive Director NYS Justice Center for the	214	220			

1	2020-2021 Executive Budget Mental Hygiene 2-3-20		
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS,	Continued	
4		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
5 6	Harvey Rosenthal Executive Director NY Association of Psychiatric		
7	Rehabilitation Services	240	
8	Glenn Liebman CEO		
9	Mental Health Association in New York State	245	252
10	Wendy Burch Executive Director		
11	National Alliance on Mental Illness of New York State		
12	(NAMI-NYS)	256	
13	Kelly A. Hansen Executive Director		
14	NYS Conference of Local Mental Hygiene Directors	261	267
15	Kevin Allen		
16	Chair Donna Tilghman		
17	Secretary Local 372 NYC Board of Education		
18	Employees, DC 37 -on behalf of-		
19	Substance Abuse Prevention and Intervention Specialists		
20	(SAPIS)	276	280
21	Angelia Smith-Wilson Executive Director		
22	Allison Weingarten Director of Policy		
23	Friends of Recovery New York	284	288
0.4			

1	2020-2021 Executive Budget Mental Hygiene		
2	2-3-20		
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS,	Continued	
4		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
5	Ken Robinson Executive Director		
6	Research for a Safer	201	206
7	New York	291	296
8	Christine Khaikin Health Policy Attorney Legal Action Center	302	306
9	Erik Geizer	302	300
10	Deputy Executive Director The Arc New York	309	
11	Lauri Cole		
12	Executive Director NYS Council for Community		
13	Behavioral Healthcare -and-		
14	Andrea Smyth Executive Director		
15	NYS Coalition for Children's Behavioral Health	315	324
16	Yvette Watts		
17	Executive Director NY Association of Emerging		
18	& Multicultural Providers -and-		
19	Susan Constantino President and CEO		
20	Cerebral Palsy Associations of New York State		
21	-for- New York Disability Advocates		
22	-and- Michael Seereiter		
23	President/CEO		
24	New York Alliance for Inclusion & Innovation	331	346

1	2020-2021 Executive Budget Mental Hygiene		
2	2-3-20		
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS,	Continued	
4		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
5	John J. Coppola Executive Director		
6	NY Association of Addictive Services and Professionals		
7	-and- Amy Dorin		
8	President and CEO The Coalition for Behavioral		
9	Health	354	366
10	William T. Gettman, Jr.		
11	2	270	
12	of Services	372	
13	Antonia Lasicki Executive Director		
14	Association for Community Living -for-		
15	Bring It Home Coalition	376	382
16	Paige Pierce CEO		
17	Families Together in NYS	384	
	Jim Karpe		
18	NYC FAIR -on behalf of-		
19	StateWide Advocacy Network (SWAN)	391	395
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Good morning. My
2	name is Liz Krueger. I am the chair of the
3	New York State Senate Finance Committee and
4	cochair of today's budget hearing.
5	Today is the fifth of 13 hearings
6	conducted by the joint fiscal committees of
7	the Legislature regarding the Governor's
8	proposed budget for state fiscal year
9	2020-2021. These hearings are conducted
10	pursuant to the New York State Constitution
11	and Legislative Law.
12	Today the Senate Finance Committee and
13	the Assembly Ways and Means Committee will
14	hear testimony concerning the Governor's
15	proposed budget for the Office of Mental
16	Health, Office for People With Developmental
17	Disabilities, Office of Alcoholism and
18	Substance Abuse Services, and the Justice
19	Center for the Protection of People With
20	Special Needs.
21	Following each testimony there will be
22	some time for questions from the chairs of
23	the fiscal committees and other legislators.
24	I will now introduce members of the

1	Senate, and afterwards Helene Weinstein will
2	introduce members of the Assembly.
3	So for the Senate Democrats, we have
4	Senator David Carlucci, Senator Pete
5	Harckham, Senator John Liu, Senator Luis
6	Sepúlveda, Senator Diane Savino, Senator Anna
7	Kaplan and Senator Gustavo Rivera.
8	And for my Senate Republicans, James
9	Seward is the ranker on Finance.
10	SENATOR SEWARD: Thank you, Madam
11	Chair. I'm pleased to introduce, from my
12	conference, Senator Fred Akshar and Senator
13	George Borrello.
14	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
15	Assembly.
16	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We have with us
17	Aileen Gunther, chair of our Mental Hygiene
18	Committee, Assemblyman Cusick, and
19	Assemblywoman Jaffee.
20	And now our ranker, Assemblyman Ra,
21	will introduce members of his conference.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you.
23	We're joined by Assemblywoman Missy
24	Miller, our ranking member on the Mental

1	Hygiene	Committee,	as	well	as	Assemblywoman
2	Mary Bet	th Walsh.				

right. So after the final question-and-answer period of the relevant government representatives, there will be an opportunity for members of the public to briefly express their views on the proposed budget under discussion.

Just some of the rules of the road
here. We discourage protests that interrupt
the flow of the hearing. If you like
something you're hearing and you want to do
this (gesturing), that's fine. If you don't
like it, you're of course welcome to testify
or let us know in lots of ways, preferably
not interrupting the flow of the hearing.

Please pay especially close attention to the time clocks if you are one of the people testifying. Government representatives have 10 minutes to present; members of the public will have five minutes to present. For both, please don't imagine you're going to read your full testimony. If

1	you're showing up with more than two pages of
2	testimony, you won't get through it. So you
3	want to think about bullet-pointing the
4	critical issues that you want to make sure we
5	know about.
6	We are all getting copies of
7	everyone's testimony. The testimony is going
8	up online for anyone to pull up and read and
9	review. This hearing is being live-streamed.
10	There's lots of opportunities for you to
11	participate even if you're not here with us
12	today.
13	Chairpersons of the relevant
14	committees have a 10-minute allotment for
15	questions and answers of governmental
16	witnesses; all other legislators who are
17	members of the relevant committees receive
18	just five minutes.
19	And except for the relevant chairs,
20	there will be no second round of questioning.
21	Relevant chairs can have a five-minute second
22	round if they need it.
23	Any legislator who feels the need to

ask additional follow-up questions but

1	doesn't have a second round, please present
2	them to either Helene Weinstein, my cochair,
3	or me, and at our discretion we may ask those
4	questions of the witnesses

For nongovernmental witnesses, all legislators only have three minutes to ask the witnesses.

I think I've covered sort of the rules of the road. Oh, one more thing. Please when you're testifying speak carefully into the microphone as close as you can to your mouth. We do not have an ideal system. And also for those of us who are up here on the daises, if you're not speaking into your mic, please turn it off. Because you don't know that the mic is hot and everyone listening in on their computers somewhere outside of this room is hearing everything every one of us says.

So if you don't really want to share that information, make sure your mic is off, because you won't realize what's happening, but people text in and call in saying, "That was so interesting, Liz," "Why were you

1	saying that, Helene?" So let's be very
2	careful.
3	On that note, I would like to invite
4	up Commissioner Sullivan, from the Office of
5	Mental Health.
6	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Good morning.
7	I'm Dr. Ann Sullivan, commissioner of the
8	New York State Office of Mental Health.
9	Chairs Krueger, Weinstein, Carlucci,
10	Gunther and members of the respective
11	committees, I want to thank you for the
12	invitation to address OMH's 2020-2021
13	proposed budget.
14	I would like to thank the Legislature
15	for your continued support of reinvestment
16	funding, which emphasizes providing care in
17	community-based settings. Since 2014, with a
18	commitment of more than \$100 million in
19	annualized investments to date, OMH has been
20	able to provide services to more than
21	70,000 new individuals, bringing the total to
22	over 800,000 people served in the public
23	mental health system.
24	Examples of the new community services

1	that have been funded are supported housing
2	units, child and adolescent crisis/respite
3	beds, clinic program expansion, additional
4	OnTrackNY teams, crisis intervention teams,
5	assertive community treatment or ACT teams,
6	and long-stay transition support teams.
7	Because these community services are
8	available, New Yorkers can get the support
9	they need to avoid hospitalization, access
10	inpatient services only when needed, and live
11	successfully in their communities.
12	Building on these investments, the
13	2020-'21 Executive Budget recommends
14	significant investments for the OMH
15	not-for-profit workforce. These investments
16	include resources to leverage over \$40
17	million in new annual funding to provide
18	targeted compensation increases to direct
19	care, support and clinical staff, and to
20	support provider costs for minimum wage
21	increases.
22	The Executive Budget increases support
23	for OMH housing initiatives by an additional

\$20 million for existing residential

1	programs. Since 2015, OMH support for these
2	programs will have increased by \$70 million.
3	Additionally, the budget includes \$60 million
4	in capital to maintain and preserve
5	community-based residences. The budget
6	provides an additional \$12.5 million for
7	certain individuals living in transitional
8	adult homes in New York City who wish to
9	transition to more integrated settings in the
10	community.
11	The comprehensive parity reform
12	enacted last year will enhance state
13	oversight of insurers and require them to
14	apply the same treatment and financial rules
15	to behavioral health services that are used
16	for medical and surgical benefits.
17	Importantly, this new law authorizes OMH to
18	review and approve medical necessity criteria
19	used by plans.
20	Additionally, the creation of the
21	Behavioral Health Ombudsman program,
22	otherwise called CHAMP, Community Health
23	Access to Addiction and Mental Health Care,
24	and the enactment of the Mental Health

1	Substance use Disorder Parity Reporting Act
2	have assisted individuals and their families
3	in accessing behavioral health services.
4	CHAMP has handled 1,600 cases while providing
5	education to an additional 5,000 individuals,
6	family members, caregivers, or providers.
7	In October 2015, New York State was
8	one of 23 states awarded a one-year planning
9	grant and an implementation grant two years
10	later from the federal government to create
11	Certified Community Behavioral Health
12	Clinics. CCBHCs improve health outcomes
13	through increasing access to care; reducing
14	avoidable hospital use; and providing
15	behavioral health care entities in
16	underserved areas with more financial
17	stability; and integrating mental health,
18	substance use, and physical health services.
19	OMH's experience has been increased access to
20	enhanced behavioral health services and
21	decreased need for acute care for both mental
22	and physical health.
23	School-based mental health clinics are
24	another area where New York State continues

to increase access to treatment by providing
services on-site. Currently there are 806
school-based mental health clinics in New
York State. Three years ago, there were less
than 300 such clinics.

Suicide prevention continues to be a priority issue. OMH has partnered with state agencies and communities to implement recommendations from the Governor's Suicide Prevention Task Force. The Task Force also identified gaps in suicide prevention efforts and made recommendations to identify at-risk populations where increased engagement efforts are needed, including Latina youth, the LGBTQ community, black youth, veterans, and individuals living in rural communities.

The FY 2021 Executive Budget includes a plan to transform the Kingsboro PC campus into a recovery hub facility, focused on shortening lengths of stay and providing centralized community support services, including a step-down transition to a community residence program. This transition is consistent with OMH's patient-centered

Τ,	approach to care with an emphasis on
2	recovery.
3	Finally, OMH's goal is to increase
4	access to prevention and community services,
5	intervening prior to the need for more
6	intensive and costlier care. For those who
7	continue to need inpatient hospitalization,
8	New York State has the highest number of
9	psychiatric inpatient beds per capita of any
10	large state in the nation, and we will
11	continue to preserve access to inpatient care
12	as we transform the system.
13	Again, thank you for this opportunity
14	to report on our efforts to support and
15	continue the work that we have jointly
16	embarked upon to transform New York's mental
17	health system.
18	Thank you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
20	First up, Senator David Carlucci.
21	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Thank you, Madam
22	Chair.
23	And thank you, Commissioner Sullivan.

Thank you for your commitment to our

1	community	and	protecting	some	of	our	most
2	vulnerable	e por	pulations.				

3 As you know, we've spoken at length about many of the issues that you're working 4 on. I wanted to start off with our 5 commitment to our workforce. And, you know, we've shared conversations about how important it is that we invest in our 8 workforce, that we encourage the longevity of 9 10 our staff, and that we make sure that we 11 don't have this transition that we are 12 consistently having to retrain and also we're providing a lack of service to the 13 14 individuals we serve if we have that 15 transition consistently.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

You know, last year we had a victory in including a 2 percent wage increase across the board for our human service workers. We find now we're hearing from service providers, they tell us that that wage increase has not yet been released. It was supposed to go out January 1st.

Do you know anything about that? Can you tell us about that?

1	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Well, as far
2	as I know, it's set to be released as soon as
3	possible. So I don't know the exact date, I
4	can't say that to you. But I can get you
5	that information afterwards.
6	But I there is another 2 percent
7	increase in this year's budget as well, which
8	will be 2 percent and then 4 percent for
9	direct care workers in April, and another 2
10	percent for clinical care workers.
11	So these increases are very real. I
12	know that sometimes there might be a delay,
13	but they are very real and they will happen.
14	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Okay, thank you.
15	And just to get into some of the
16	nuances of the budget, we've worked together
17	on suicide prevention; my colleagues in the
18	Senate, we passed a bunch of different pieces
19	of legislation to try to tackle the increases
20	that we've seen in suicide rates across the
21	board.
22	And one, I want to thank you for the
23	recent report that was put out from OMH on
24	suicide prevention. We passed the

1	legislation on the black youth suicide. And
2	I know you've been working with Dr. Lindsey
3	on how we integrate and make sure that we're
4	working specifically in that area.
5	Would you be able to give us any
6	information on what's going on with that,
7	what you plan to do and what we can see in
8	this year in terms of outreach to the black
9	youth community and across the board on
10	suicide prevention?
11	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: For sure, yes.
12	And first of all, I want to thank the
13	legislative members for their interest and
14	for their commitment to suicide prevention.
15	I think everyone who speaks to this helps to
16	decrease the stigma and helps to bring to
17	everyone's attention the importance.
18	On the black youth suicide, we have
19	had conversations with Dr. Lindsey, who is
20	the national expert in this area. It's a
21	very tragic fact that young black youth, ages
22	as early as 10 years old, there's been a

They published, through his work, a

significant increase in suicide.

Τ	report from the national caucus, the
2	Congressional Black Caucus, which outlines a
3	whole series of steps to work on how to
4	address this issue. Those steps include
5	things like research it's one of the
6	things he's looking for. Other things,
7	though, include working with communities,
8	working with faith-based organizations, and
9	doing a great deal of intervention in
10	schools.
11	And what we're going to be doing with
12	Dr. Lindsey is targeting the particular
13	areas. We have through our databases, we
14	can pick out particular hotspots where there
15	have been a number of suicide attempts or
16	particular problems within certain
17	communities, and we'll be doing that in the
18	black youth community. We'll be working with
19	the schools in those areas, the faith-based
20	organizations, parents, outreach campaigns to
21	increase the community's awareness and
22	understanding of the problem.

And we're doing a similar effort with other groups as well. It's going to be a

1	similar approach with Latina youth. We have
2	Dr. Silva from Rochester who's assisting us
3	with that. And we're doing it with rural
4	issues. There's a high incidence of suicide
5	in rural communities. And we're going to be
6	doing it for veterans, law enforcement, and
7	for LGBTQ communities.

So in addition to the overall suicide approach, which is general public awareness, which includes a lot of training in schools -- over 25,000 trainings last year, individuals who were trained -- we are also targeting specific high-risk communities, and we will be doing that in conjunction with the various community agencies that work with those groups, with the counties, with everyone else, to ensure that we get the word out. It's a multifactorial problem, suicide.

And the other area -- not to take too much time, the other area we're working very intensely with is the provider, both on the health side and on the mental health side.

So for example, there's an initiative now in 90 emergency rooms across the state to do

1	better follow-up after suicide in the
2	SENATOR CARLUCCI: I'm sorry, 90 what?
3	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Emergency
4	rooms, medical emergency rooms across the
5	state, to do improved follow-up and treatment
6	of individuals who come in post-suicide
7	attempt.
8	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Could you touch on
9	we were really excited to see, in the
10	Executive Budget, a million dollars dedicated
11	to suicide prevention for veterans and first
12	responders, law enforcement. We've seen a
13	spike, unfortunately, in law enforcement
14	suicide rates. Could you tell us about how
15	that money will be utilized?
16	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Yeah, we're in
17	the process of planning that. You know, I
18	think that first of all, it's very
19	exciting to have the dollars for a campaign
20	for suicide prevention anti-stigma. But
21	we're going to be working very closely with
22	the law enforcement agencies and the first
23	responders. They know best how to work with
24	the individuals in their forces. So we're

1	working with the State Police, with the New
2	York City PD, and we're working with all of
3	the veterans organization, the state veterans
4	organizations, the Office of Victim Services,
5	interagency.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And I think within -- probably within six to eight weeks we'll have a plan that we'll be able to come out with. But we really need the input of those -- they're groups, groups that have worked with those individuals. When you work with law enforcement, it's very important that individuals who work with them understand the issues of law enforcement. And so we're also going to be doing some training of staff so that individuals will be available for services. That's one of the issues, to have enough individuals who understand the issues of law enforcement and first responders in treating individuals who may need treatment in order to prevent suicide.

SENATOR CARLUCCI: Okay, thank you.

And we have been working extensively on
eating disorder issues and knowing that if we

1	put the right policies in place, we can help
2	a lot of people and diagnose them early to
3	get the treatment that they need.

And I know there's been a move for a transfer of the Comprehensive Center for Eating Disorders from the Department of Health to the Office of Mental Health. Could you briefly tell us about that and tell us --you know, we're really looking for the reassurance that that program will continue and not be at jeopardy now being under the auspice of the Office of Mental Health.

Can you tell us, has the money come with it from the Department of Health? What safeguards will be in place to make sure that that not only continues but expands?

COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: I think, first of all, it's a great need and there's a commitment on the part of the Office of Mental Health to ensure that it continues.

In terms of the dollars, the \$118,000 that was in the Executive Budget is coming with it. The other million dollars which traditionally has supported these eating

1	disorder specialty centers, and there are
2	three across the state, has been a
3	legislative add in each year. So that to
4	the Department of Health, which is a
5	different agency than the office of OMH.
6	So there are discussions going on
7	right now about that additional add, which
8	has been there over time to support these
9	centers. They're very important. They
10	really are the places the three places
11	I think it's Rochester; it's the city, at
12	Columbia; and it's in the Albany area
13	where people go for the expert help that they
14	can need for the major eating disorders.
15	They also do a lot of outreach for the
16	general population in terms of obesity and
17	other issues. So there is a great commitment
18	to continue these. There is the question of
19	the money, which will be discussed, I think,
20	over the budget negotiations.
21	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Yeah, it's
22	something I'm very concerned about, because
23	there is that million-dollar reduction and

now it's coming from the Department of Health

1	to OMH. So that's something we're going to
2	have to work closely on. I'm hopeful that
3	possibly the Governor, in his 30-day
4	amendments, will include that million dollars
5	in the OMH budget to make sure that this
6	doesn't fall off the table.
7	There's so much we need to talk about,
8	but I know our time is limited. We talk
9	about, in your opening statement, the
10	transition from adult homes to supportive
11	housing. There's money in there for New York
12	City. How about the rest of the state? As
13	well as the Governor said in his State of the
14	State the commitment towards supportive
15	housing. Where can we point to in the budget
16	that shows us where those dollars are to
17	build the supportive housing that we need?
18	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Yeah, the
19	throughout the there are points in the
20	budget, I think it's a total of \$12.5 million
21	which is going for the adult home in the
22	city.
23	There are an additional 1200 units in
24	the budget, not including those 500, that are

1	going to be opening up as part of the
2	pipeline for the rest of the state. Those
3	will be distributed across the state. And
4	basically that includes a combination of
5	funding from the ESHI, the Empire State it
6	includes some funding from the old
7	New York/New York III housing. So throughout
8	the budget there are line items which talk to
9	the amount of dollars that are there.
10	But it will and maybe I misspoke
11	for a minute. I think the 500 are in there.
12	So I think it's a commitment of 1200
13	including the 500, I don't yes, including
14	the 500 adult home slots. But the other 700
15	will be distributed across the state in
16	various areas. Which is what we have
17	traditionally done with all the housing that
18	has come up. Basically we look at areas
19	where it's needed, we get developers who
20	hopefully can put up the housing.
21	Also last year we had an additional
22	250 slots for homeless, a number of those
23	slots for apartments. A number of those were
24	upstate in fact, a good number of them

1	were also upstate.
2	So we try to distribute as best we can
3	the housing across the state, and it's all
4	kind of lined-itemed out in the budget under
5	the housing sections, so
6	SENATOR CARLUCCI: As far as OMH, how
7	many housing units do you believe we will
8	have?
9	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: There will be
10	1200 new ones this year. There were
11	approximately 1200 last year for OMH. That
12	includes the adult homes and additional
13	housing through ESSHI and New York/New York
14	III.
15	So the total number of housing units
16	in the state now is 47,000, which is really
17	great. It probably not probably, is the
18	largest commitment to supportive housing in
19	the country for the seriously mentally ill.
20	SENATOR CARLUCCI: I see I'm out of
21	time. Thank you, Commissioner.
22	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Thank you.
23	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
24	Assembly.

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
2	We've been joined by Assemblywoman
3	Fahy, Assemblyman Santabarbara, and
4	Assemblywoman Fernandez.
5	And we now go to our Mental Health
6	chair, Assemblywoman Gunther.
7	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And as she's
8	about to speak, I also forgot to introduce
9	Senator Sue Serino and Senator Akshar, who
10	both joined us.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: Thank you for
12	joining us today, Commissioner.
13	I wanted to start off regarding the
14	funding crisis for our mental health
15	providers. Last year we were able to
16	increase for direct support professionals;
17	however, the increase was still below the
18	rate of inflation, and mental health
19	clinicians only received 2 percent beginning
20	April 1st.
21	As you know, this year the mental
22	health and developmental disability community
23	is united around a 3 percent increase over
24	five years. We have been seeing raises in

1	other sectors of healthcare. We are losing
2	our workers in this system left and right, as
3	you well know. We know that the turnover in
4	the DSP community is tremendous.
5	And, you know, as a nurse myself, Ann,
6	we realize that these DSPs, they create
7	relationships with their patients and their
8	loss is a loss to the patient.
9	My question to you, then, is what can
10	we do to impress upon the second floor that
11	these raises are desperately needed.
12	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: The budget
13	does include a 2 percent increase for direct
14	care workers as of January, and another 2
15	percent in April, and also in April for
16	clinical care workers. And that's similar to
17	what it was last year, and there was an
18	agreement that that would be in the budget
19	this year.
20	I think that's about \$25 million to
21	the Office of Mental Health, including the
22	minimum wage increases. And basically, it

does help significantly, I think, to support

in some ways. There's always a question of

23

1	whether more is needed, but I do think this
2	is a significant contribution to the
3	workforce on the mental health side. And
4	when you add in the it's \$40 million when
5	you add in the contributions from the federal
6	share and the annualization of the dollars.
7	So that's very real, it's in the
8	budget, and it will happen this year. It's
9	been about over five years, about a
10	14 percent increase for direct care workers.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: Well, I think
12	it's much needed. But I would say that most
13	of the workforce are women. And honestly, to
14	have an apartment, it's really not a living
15	wage. I live in an area where the delivery
16	of care to people with disabilities, most of
17	our employees are connected with those kinds
18	of jobs, mostly women. You know, we have an
19	issue with daycare. And also to get an
20	apartment, feed your children, it's just not
21	a living wage. It's just not.
22	And we've lagged behind for years and
23	years before. We're doing some catch-up, but

we really have to do more, Ann. I know that

1	you're on the same page, but I'm hoping that
2	the second floor will take a look at it
3	and rather than this is economic
4	development, making sure that these doors
5	stay open. So rather than invest in new
6	economic development, keep what we have. So
7	my piece.

A recent study by the Council of
School Superintendents showed that more than
two-thirds of school superintendents report
that improving mental health services is
their top priority.

I know OMH has done a good job of expanding satellite offices in schools, but
I'm wondering why the Executive would decline to continue the enhanced rates for children
-- children's behavioral health providers.

COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: The enhanced rate -- the rates were set, and there were start-up rates initially -- for six months, 25 percent, then going down to 12.5 percent. And it was always known that basically those start-up rates would end. And the start-up-rate date for the start-up rates to

ease.
nt to
_
t these
ces to
lation
uth had
il
nabled
sooner
o the
o the
and
and
and that in
and that in
and that in

23 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: Thank you.
24 According to the United States

as of January 1st.

1	Interagency Council on Homelessness, New York
2	State has more than 90,000 people
3	experiencing homelessness. That's roughly 3
4	times that of Florida, a state that has about
5	2 million more people than us.
6	Granted, not every person who is
7	homeless has mental illness, but we know many
8	do. Most aren't logging onto the OMH website
9	or calling the field offices to look for
10	service. How can we better reach these
11	folks?
12	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Well, we've
13	been doing a lot of outreach in terms of
14	just for New York City, as an example,
15	there's
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: So explain
17	so there's two things I want to know.
18	Explain what we mean by what outreach, and
19	how we're doing it, number one. And New York
20	City is one area
21	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Absolutely.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: but we're
23	looking at low-income areas in the middle of
24	the state and other areas. So we're not just

1	going to be tunnel vision on New York City.
2	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: No. No.
3	Absolutely. I'm sorry to say that. So I'm
4	not I'm not
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: I know, but I
6	just have to say that, because a lot of times
7	we talk about New York City.
8	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: No, I
9	appreciate that.
10	No, the issue here is that there's
11	various well, it's a absolutely,
12	homelessness is a complicated issue. For the
13	seriously mentally ill, the group that often
14	people see and have a lot of questions about,
15	are the individuals who are on the streets.
16	And they are in the streets in many ways, and
17	homeless, not in great situations, throughout
18	the state, not just in New York City.
19	But there's a number of things that we
20	have done. One is the homeless outreach
21	teams, through county aid to many of the
22	counties as well as the city. That county
23	aid goes to homeless outreach teams. What's
24	a homeless outreach team? These are

1	individuals who go out to work with the
2	seriously mentally ill on the streets to help
3	them try to accept services. And for a
4	variety of reasons to some extent it's
5	sometimes the way they see the world, it's
6	sometimes the way they want to live. It's
7	very difficult to engage some of these
3	individuals

So we do spend a fair amount -- it's almost \$10 million, \$12 million across the state, to work on these outreach teams which we fund through county aid. And we monitor that.

The second area is working in the various shelter systems. To the extent that they can be safe havens is one way -- one name that we have for some of these. And we do a lot of that work as well.

We also have ACT teams that we have funded to work with the homeless, and they help the homeless transition from these safe haven shelters into apartments and give them the kind of wraparound supports that they need.

So that's when you've found someone who's already homeless and on the streets. But the bigger piece of this is not to get people homeless and on the streets and to help provide the services that prevent that from happening. And that we've been working very diligently with a whole series of crisis stabilization centers, crisis services, increasing intensive outpatient services, working with increased diligence on discharge planning when people leave the hospitals, so they don't get to the point where they've decided in some level that their home is on the streets.

So there's a number of things that have to happen: The preservices, to make sure that people don't become homeless; and then if they do, especially if they have trouble accepting services -- and the biggest part of helping people accept services is trust and engagement and connection. And that takes time. And that's why we fund these outreach teams that get to know the individuals who live on the streets and

1	really work intensively with them.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: How is the \$12
3	million distributed?
4	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: I think it's
5	about 9 million to the city, and then there's
6	another series of dollars which go to the
7	counties. I could get you the exact dollars
8	for that.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: So there's
10	9 million to New York City.
11	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Mm-hmm.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: But that's
13	half the population of New York State. And
14	the other half of the population gets
15	3 million? If it's 12 million, that's my
16	calculation.
17	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Well,
18	approximately I can get you approximately
19	what it is through county aid. It depends or
20	the counties and how it's distributed.
21	But again, there's outreach teams and
22	then there are housing. And the other big
23	piece that we have distributed across the
24	state in housing and upstate gets

1	approximately half of the increases in the
2	housing that we do in terms of some of the
3	stipends, et cetera. That prevents the
4	homelessness as well.
5	So the housing and it also depends
6	on the number of street people in each
7	particular county. So it can vary from
8	county to county, depending upon the number
9	of people on the streets.
10	But the housing is distributed across
11	the state, and that's pretty much distributed
12	by population base in the various counties.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: We are
14	spending a tremendous amount of money in
15	upstate New York housing our homeless in
16	hotel rooms for big dollars, so much more
17	than if they had stabilized housing. And
18	also their health isn't good, mental health
19	isn't good.
20	And so to me, if we could look at that
21	in terms of money saving and healthier
22	people because right now I represent
23	Sullivan and Orange County. Most of my

homeless population are in less than

1,	adequate, norrible notels. Because when
2	someone is considered homeless, they have
3	this idea in their head that they're like bad
4	people. So certain hotels won't take those
5	folks or for that rate that the county gives
6	them.
7	So I think we could do a lot better
8	and a lot more if we really provided more
9	stabilized housing, not only in New York
10	City, but in upstate New York you have
11	Buffalo, you have Syracuse, and we have it
12	all. You know, we all share part of that
13	population.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
15	We've been joined by Assemblyman Fall.
16	Senate now?
17	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
18	Senator Pete Harckham.
19	SENATOR HARCKHAM: Thank you,
20	Madam Chair.
21	Commissioner, good to see you, as
22	always. Thank you.
23	I just have one question for you. In
24	my work as chair of Alcoholism and Substance

1	Abuse and with colleagues up here, we just
2	toured the state focused on the opioid
3	crisis. And so much of and the science
4	now ties it with co-occurring disorders, the
5	nexus of mental health with substance use
6	disorder, the notion of self-medication.

And I know you and OASAS have been working closely on the blended license, which is a step -- a big step in the right direction. But one of the things that we've heard from people, both providers and from patients all across the state, is there are still obstacles with billing and paying.

That they can now go to one treatment center, but they are still treated differently in terms of the billing stream. So there's seeing a peer for substance use disorder is one bill, seeing a mental health counselor is another bill, seeing a psychologist is one bill, seeing a CASAC is another.

We're still not addressing the blended person with holistic treatment and really one bill. Where are we with that? What needs to be done? How close are we? And are there

1	things	that	the	legislative	body	can	do	to	be
2	helpful	L?							

think -- you know, we're definitely looking at that. Now, I think -- I believe that the community behavioral health centers that we've established are easier in that respect than some of our other Article 31 providers in terms of the ease with which the billing occurs for the client, for them to experience it.

And I think that's the model that we would like to try to use to expand to the other sites. I think that in some of the sites it's gotten a bit better. But yes, we have to work -- it's a combination of working with commercial insurers and depending upon what their desires are, then working with managed care through Medicaid. Sometimes it is difficult to get all the bills straight. We work a lot with the providers about that.

So you're right. And we should be working to make sure that that's kind of seamless for the client. I realize that's

1	where we get into trouble here, so the
2	clients get bills.
3	So we will continue to work on that.
4	But the CCBHCs seem to have been able to do
5	this in a more seamless way than some of the
6	others, and we're going to try to use that
7	model to help some of the other centers as
8	well.
9	But yes, there can be difficulties
10	sometimes with getting all the bills
11	organized from multiple providers and
12	insurers.
13	SENATOR HARCKHAM: Great, thank you.
L 4	Thank you, Madam Chair.
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
16	Assembly.
17	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
18	Assemblywoman Miller.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MILLER: Good morning.
20	So I pride myself on being the voice
21	for those who have the quietest voices. A
22	few of the questions that I'm asking have
23	come directly from those voices, people who
24	live in my district or surrounding districts

who have serious concerns and have asked me
to share.

On Long Island this past week there
was a ceremony for the opening of a mental
health clinic in Rockville Centre. It's a
collaboration between Cohen Medical Center
and five local school districts. The clinic
will provide emergency mental health
services, like acutely that day, until a
healthcare provider can be located for
long-term services. It's needed, and it
sounds great.

What we're not acknowledging is that
this is necessary because there's a shortage
of healthcare professionals who have
availability in the first place to see these
patients. Because most mental health
professionals, at least on Long Island, don't
accept insurance, the few that do are
completely booked. It would be very
difficult to find a mental health provider
that takes insurance that could fit in a new
patient immediately and provide the
availability that's needed more than once a

1	week	when	first	treating	а	patient	for	a
2	perso	on in	crisis	S.				

Hospital emergency rooms evaluate pediatric patients with mental health issues to see if they're a danger to themselves or others and, if not, they get referred to long-term-service providers, who can't take them.

The clinics, which are collaborations between Cohen and school districts, are needed because there's no place for these pediatric patients with mental health issues to get the immediate attention by medical professionals. But this collaboration is costing each of these school districts \$55,000.

We all know that our school district budgets cannot sustain this, and they shouldn't have to. There are school districts that are in financial distress. Is it fair that the districts that can squeeze it out of their budget will have that and the school districts that can't afford it shouldn't?

1	Schools should not have to spend
2	\$55,000 annually to a hospital for immediate
3	access to mental health professionals for its
4	students. It should be done automatically
5	via our insurance providers or Medicaid.

So what can we do about this continuous problem that currently exists where the majority of mental health professionals don't accept insurance? There are months-long waiting periods to get an initial appointment, and they don't accept insurance or Medicaid. If you're lucky enough to have a plan that does allow out-of-network coverage, maybe you can get a percentage of that visit reimbursed. It's a very real obstacle to seeking and receiving treatment.

I can tell you that I've experienced this firsthand myself with both my daughter, who experiences anxiety, and my mom, who has Alzheimer's. When my daughter began having panic attacks, we could not find a psychiatrist who could see her for three months. We finally wound up taking her to a

1	crisis center, who prescribed a medication
2	that made her feel worse and recommended
3	therapists for long term, who also didn't
4	accept insurance and had waitlists.
5	For my mother, I'm desperately trying
6	for several months now to find a
7	psychiatrist. My mother, who was herself a
8	clinical psychologist, is very depressed and
9	frustrated by not being able to remember
10	anything and losing her independence. I
11	can't even tell you how many doctors I have
12	called. I've had conversations with these
13	psychiatrists who acknowledge the problem,
14	and they can't schedule an appointment for
15	another two to four months.
16	When I asked what to do if she's
17	having trouble now, I was told to bring her
18	to a crisis center.
19	We are forcing people into crisis by
20	not having the mechanisms in place to help
21	them before they're in crisis. How do we not
22	see that? It's certainly evident in our

youth. So how can we start to fix this?

COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: You know,

23

1	first of all, I'm sorry you've had that ki	nd
2	of difficulty with your family, and I'm so	rry
3	for all the families that do.	

There's a critical issue here that I think has to be faced, and the major one has to do with commercial coverage for mental health and substance use. There's a major problem here, and it's a parity issue. And for a long time commercial insurers have not been covering the kinds of services or covering them with the reimbursement to the extent that is needed to have a workforce willing to take individuals who have insurance.

While the Medicaid system is not perfect, there is more access and more availability through Medicaid to get mental health services, often, than through individuals who work and have private insurance.

This has been a problem for decades.

What the state is doing I think is remarkable in terms of its efforts at this point in terms of parity. The parity, while it will

	1	take a little more time to get this to
	2	work but over the past two years, the
	3	state has made a massive investment in
	4	parity, and we are getting medical necessity
	5	criteria that will be reviewed by the Office
	6	of Mental Health. We're looking at networks.
	7	Often networks can be phantom networks, which
	8	mean that, you know, you look at your
	9	insurer, it lists 20 psychiatrists, and you
1	0	call them all up and they all say they're
1	1	full or they can't see you or they don't have
1	2	the time.

We are looking at all that. That's all coming through intensive work on the parity side.

Now, the important thing about parity is there's a law, but what happened over the years -- the law has been around for like over 10, 12 years -- the enforcement of it has been the issue. And the money that was in last year's budget that will be continued in this year's budget is the money to do that kind of enforcement. What we really need to do --

1		Z	ASSEMBLYWON	NAN	MILLE	ER:	But	we	can'	' t
2	force	a	physician	to	join	а	plan.			

there are physicians sometimes in the plans that they don't have enough -- you can force the plans to pay enough to get physicians in their plan. That's their responsibility to have physicians available. When you pay your health insurance, if you want a cardiologist, you should be able to get a cardiologist.

And if you're in a health plan and you want a psychiatrist, you should be able to get a psychiatrist.

network that can provide those services sits with the insurer, and that's where the problem is. For decades mental health services have been underfinanced by those insurers, and that's what has to change. And you have to look at the parity laws, which say how do you determine how you allocate your money, you insurer, how much do you use for behavioral health services, what do you use for others, and how do you ensure that

1	individuals when you look across the
2	country, the out-of-network use for mental
3	health services is significantly higher than
4	for any other medical service. Why?
5	Because I'm sorry.
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Why don't you
7	just finish your sentence.
8	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Because
9	basically the networks are not well
10	established by the insurers. And that's
11	something that the state is working on very
12	hard with parity. It will take some time,
13	but we hope it will significantly affect
14	this.
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MILLER: Thank you.
16	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
17	Senate?
18	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
19	We've been joined by Senator Betty
20	Little.
21	And next up on deck, Senator Jim
22	Seward.
23	SENATOR SEWARD: Thank you, Madam
24	Chair. And thank you, Commissioner, for

1	being here and for your commitment to some of
2	the most vulnerable citizens of New York, and
3	providing services.

I wanted to identify myself with some of the comments of my colleagues already this morning in terms of the salary levels for those that are on the front lines, our direct care workers and other staff of our not-for-profit agencies who provide yeoman's work on behalf of those who are in need of services, and yet, you know, their salaries lag.

I know you've mentioned some of the efforts to try to bring them up. Of course, the Legislature has made a major commitment there as well.

I wanted to ask you to comment on the Executive's justification for continuing the COLA deferral for the second year and not restoring that in the Executive Budget.

COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: The Executive has introduced the 2 percent targeted salary increases, which occur for direct care support workers in January and then will

1	occur again in April, at 4 percent. Those
2	increases are in the budget and are there.
3	That was in lieu of the COLA last year and in
4	lieu of the COLA this year. And that was an
5	agreement, is my understanding, between
6	various parties including the Legislature
7	last year, that as long as those that
8	those targeted salary increases would be this
9	year and last year, and not the COLA.
10	SENATOR SEWARD: I see. The there
11	is an advantage of having it run through as a
12	COLA in terms of the long-term stability of
13	their salary levels.
14	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Yes. Yeah.
15	Mm-hmm.
16	SENATOR SEWARD: Shifting gears, we've
17	already discussed the suicide prevention
18	commitment regarding particularly veterans,
19	law enforcement and our first responders. On
20	the positive side, there's an additional \$1
21	million in the Governor's proposal to help
22	along that line. Can you comment on when
23	this additional funding will be allocated?
24	And considering that the great need

1	that's out there we read it about it
2	practically every day it's important that
3	this funding be disbursed as soon as
4	possible. And I would also as you look to
5	the distribution of these funds, I would urge
6	you to look toward regional balance of the
7	funding distribution because we have many
8	needs in the upstate region. In many ways
9	it's even more serious, because of the
10	distances involved.

So if you could comment on when these funds will be available and also on the regional allocation.

going to try to move these funds as quickly as possible. It's not so much, I don't think, the availability of the funds as the planning to how to use them. And I think that's going to take a little time -- a couple of months, probably -- working with law enforcement, working -- we're going to be working with our state troopers across the state, we're going to be working with veterans as well -- this is for veterans and

1	first responders and with various EMS
2	teams across the state, to discuss where is
3	it most needed. Many for example, state
4	troopers already do some work in this area.
5	But where are the gaps? What are the things
6	where we need to enhance? And what should a
7	media campaign look like?
8	And when you talk about working with
9	getting decreasing stigma, it's often very
10	local, just as you said. You know, the same
11	approach to working with the community in
12	rural upstate New York or middle New York
13	versus, you know, Long Island, it's very
14	different. So we have to really plan out how
15	we're going to use so there's going to be
16	some time for planning. I don't think it's
17	the allocation, so much, of the funds as

So we're hopeful we'll be able to have something by the summer that will be, you know, able to begin to be launched.

making a plan sufficiently in-depth and with

the right people to advise us as to how to do

it. And that will take a few months to do.

24 SENATOR SEWARD: Thank you.

18

19

20

21

22

1	Just one final question. I know
2	you're familiar with the Joseph P. Dwyer
3	Veteran Peer-to-Peer Program. OMH staff has
4	been invaluable in planning and
5	implementation of these programs. And this
6	has been a long-term commitment and priority
7	of the Senate, and I'm pleased that that
8	continues under the new majority as well.
9	And can you comment on the
10	effectiveness of this program, the Dwyer
11	Peer-to-Peer? And also, considering the
12	importance of this program, why isn't there
13	any funding in the budget proposal to
14	continue this?
15	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: The Dwyer
16	program has traditionally been funded through
17	a legislative add, and it is in this budget
18	as well.
19	As a program, it is yes, it's been
20	shown to be very effective. It's a peer
21	program, as you well know, where vets talk
22	with vets. And I think that's probably been
23	shown across the board to be one of the most
24	effective ways to reach veterans, others in

Τ	law enforcement, et cetera.
2	So it's a good program, it's a solid
3	program, but it has traditionally been funded
4	by legislative adds.
5	SENATOR SEWARD: Thank you.
6	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
7	Assembly.
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
9	Assemblywoman Walsh.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WALSH: Thank you.
11	Good afternoon, Commissioner. I've
12	been an attorney for 30 years, and a good
13	part of that has been working in Family
14	Court. For a while I prosecuted abuse and
15	neglect cases, and a great, great number of
16	cases in my caseload involved sexual
17	offenders, sex offenders and intrafamily
18	sexual abuse.
19	So I wanted to ask you about the Sex
20	Offender Management and Treatment Act, SOMTA,
21	and reform in that program. Can you explain
22	how your proposed Sex Offender Management and
23	Treatment Act reforms are going to be carried
24	out?

1	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Yes. The Sex
2	Offender the SOMTA programs are for those
3	individuals who are leaving prison who have
4	been civilly committed to now to the SOMTA
5	program. The average stay in that program is
6	something like five years, so most of the sex
7	offenders are very serious, high-level sex
8	offenders.

When the legislation was first passed, it was done under the auspices of a hospital-based approach. And the science in terms of working with this population, as well as our experience over the past years, has been that basically you want more of a psychosocial rehab approach, which helps people change hopefully their behaviors which have led to the sex offender status.

So we're really redefining programmatically what's going to be happening. To do that and do it well, you needed to kind of move the auspices under something called secure treatment and rehabilitation in order to, for example, hire more psychologists, hire more -- others who

1	are skilled at a certain level of treating
2	that particular population.
3	And we're hopeful that by redesigning
4	it, we can even be more successful. We've
5	been able some individuals have been able
6	to leave, very slowly, very carefully, back
7	into the community. And we're hopeful that
8	working with this new model will be even more
9	effective. Currently there's about 385
10	individuals in civil commitment.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WALSH: Okay. And will
12	these reforms involve the movement of
13	patients from one facility to another, or
14	will patients be just segregated in their
15	current facility?
16	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: They will stay
17	exactly where they are. They will stay in
18	the same facilities, the same degree of

It's really just the clinical programming
that's shifting, not the location or the
legal status. It's the same.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN WALSH: And as I said
before, you know, my experience told me that

security -- everything will be the same.

1	sex offender treatment is some of the most
2	difficult treatment that's out there. It's
3	very, very difficult to break that cycle. So
4	are patients going to be getting any new
5	treatment that they're currently not getting?
6	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: It will be
7	more focused on what we call a psychosocial
8	rehab approach more groups, more ability
9	to work really on the on learned behaviors
10	which you're trying to unlearn. And more
11	focused on cognitive kind of work.
12	So yes, it will be an enhancement of
13	what they're currently receiving, we believe
14	while being able to move to a certain level
15	of expertise with the clinicians that will be
16	in that program.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WALSH: And when do you
18	think that that new approach is going to be
19	effective, taking effect?
20	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Well, we'll
21	start on if the legislation is passed,
22	we'll begin right away. And probably it will
23	take a while to do all the training and
24	things that are necessary. But I would give

1	it six months to hime months, we should have
2	in place the changes, and then we'll evaluate
3	them.
4	As you have said, this is a very
5	thorny issue and we are trying to really
6	provide the best evidence-based practices for
7	individuals in the sex offender treatment
8	program, but that is a very difficult group
9	to treat.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WALSH: Thank you.
11	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
12	Senator Luis Sepúlveda.
13	SENATOR SEPÚLVEDA: Good morning,
14	Commissioner. Thank you, Madam Chair.
15	Commissioner, as you may or may not
16	know, the issue of suicide is very personal
17	to me. When I was 11 years old, my mother
18	committed suicide. She suffered from mental
19	illness, and unfortunately back then 40 years
20	ago, 45 years ago, we didn't have the
21	services that we have today.
22	But her manifestation started when she
23	was a child. According to mental health
24	advocates, 54 percent of children with mental

1	health or behavioral conditions that needed
2	treatment in the last year did not receive
3	treatment. Additionally, suicide is the
4	second leading cause of teenagers between 15
5	and 19. Amongst Latinas, it's the second
6	leading cause; amongst African-American young
7	boys, same amount. Over 40 percent of the
8	LGBTQ community also has considered suicide
9	or engaged in suicide ideation.
10	In 2011 the original Medicaid Redesign
11	Team found that children's mental health
12	services need more resources and capacity and
13	should not be cut. Nine years later, the
14	Children's Behavioral Health MRT Subcommittee
15	is still working to implement reforms that
16	will expand children's mental health,
17	addiction and care coordination services.
18	So my first question is, should there
19	be a moratorium on children's mental health
20	cuts while the full reform and transition to
21	Medicaid managed care is going on?
22	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: There are no

projected at this point in time. That

reduction in the rate that was there for

23

1	start-up I explained that has been
2	reduced. But the overall plan, which
3	includes those services being expanded to
4	serve even more youth going forward, is still
5	in place, and the commitment to continue that
6	expansion of services, which at various
7	points has been estimated to be an additional
8	30 to 60 million over the next couple of
9	years as these services expand.
10	The newer services are very

The newer services are very community-based home-based services, and they include things like psychiatric home-based services, other licensed providers being able to go into the home. All these services are now being started up and are growing. As they grow, they are expected to increase the services for youth, especially youth at high risk that need intensive services.

So at this point in time the growth of that program, which is what -- the way it was planned through the MRT and the way all those services were provided, is continued. And the investment in that is still in place.

24 SENATOR SEPÚLVEDA: So there haven't

Τ	been any cuts, or there are no projected
2	cuts?
3	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: No, there has
4	been a cut there has been a reduction, a
5	planned reduction, which was always there,
6	for the start-up dollars. Which was supposed
7	to only last a year. So those dollars, yes,
8	have been reduced and have stopped as of
9	January 1st.
10	But the overall program is still
11	embedded into the Medicaid dollar.
12	SENATOR SEPÚLVEDA: Well, I will
13	strongly encourage and implore you not to cut
14	any at any level, because, you know, we
15	have to start at the when they start
16	manifesting mental health issues at a young
17	age and we don't treat it, any cut to me, I
18	think, is unacceptable.
19	And then will the work of the
20	Children's Behavioral Health MRT Subcommittee
21	be addressed by MRT II? Or will MRT II be
22	asked to defer to the ongoing work of the
23	children's subcommittee without any sort of
24	inference?

1	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Basically
2	those plans are still being discussed, so I
3	can't answer that at this time.
4	SENATOR SEPÚLVEDA: They're still
5	being discussed?
6	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Yeah.
7	SENATOR SEPÚLVEDA: And when do you
8	think
9	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: The I'm not
10	sure. Within the next several weeks when
11	various other things are decided about the
12	MRT.
13	SENATOR SEPÚLVEDA: All right. So I
14	can follow up with you on that once we have
15	further information.
16	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Yes. I'll be
17	glad to follow up with you, yes.
18	SENATOR SEPÚLVEDA: So now my next
19	question is really based as the chair of
20	Corrections. In the past budgets you've
21	proposed getting rid of 50 mental health beds
22	devoted to jail-based competency restoration,
23	and the Legislature has outwardly rejected
24	it. Are you again proposing to close 50

1	beds?

2	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: We're
3	proposing jail-based restoration, which would
4	basically enable a county to decide to do
5	restoration to competency, the ability to
6	stand trial. In a jail, versus having to
7	transfer that person by statute to a
8	hospital. Basically saying based on medical
9	necessity.

You can do outpatient restoration for individuals who are not in the prison or jail system. So outpatient restoration is something that can be done. And what we're proposing is that that outpatient restoration be done in an appropriate program which will be staffed appropriately, followed on the best practices in other states.

So the jail-based restoration, what it basically does, it enables individuals to be closer to home and to get the services they need in the jail without having to be transported for competency to a hospital if that's not medically necessary that they go to a hospital.

1	SENATOR SEPÚLVEDA: I've seen some
2	examples of that in some of the facilities,
3	and I'm completely dissatisfied with what
4	I've seen. I don't think that the services
5	that are provided are adequate in
6	Corrections.
7	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Well, just to
8	say there is no other jail-based restoration
9	at this point, though. This would be a
10	distinctive program, that's all.
11	SENATOR SEPÚLVEDA: Right. But in
12	facilities where they actually provide or
13	attempt to provide
L 4	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Yes, that's a
15	different issue, yes.
16	SENATOR SEPÚLVEDA: mental health
17	services, I think it's been a complete
18	disaster. And I've spoken with providers for
19	this; there's not enough money, there's not
20	enough services. And so that's another issue
21	that I think we should have a discussion
22	about.
23	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Okay. Glad
24	to

1	SENATOR SEPULVEDA: Thank you.
2	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
3	Assembly?
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
5	Assemblyman Ra for a question.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you.
7	I just wanted to ask about I know
8	there is an increase of \$12.5 million for new
9	adult home beds, beds and services, in the
10	Aid to Localities budget proposal. Is there
11	any information on where those might be
12	located in the state?
13	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: The adult home
14	beds are connected to an adult home
15	settlement, which by and large is New York
16	City. So they're tied to a legal settlement
17	and geographically. So those particular
18	adult home beds are locked in. And they're
19	primarily in New York City.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Okay. Thank you.
21	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So we go back
22	to the Senate now.
23	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
24	Senator Borrello.

1		SENATOR	BORRELLO:	Thank	you,	Madam
2	Chair,	apprecia	ate it.			

And thank you, Commissioner Sullivan, for being here today. It's nice to meet you in person after talking on the phone several times.

And first of all, let me say thank you very much for your involvement and your team's involvement with a critical issue we're having in my district with Lake Shore Hospital. And it leads to my question, particularly on mental health services in rural areas.

You know, we are now facing a shortage of beds throughout the state, yet the closure of Lake Shore Hospital is going to see the decommissioning of 20 critically needed beds in that region. On top of the other challenges we face, my question is is that it appears to me -- as a former county executive and a person who lives in that immediate area, it appears to me that the Department of Health and OMH were not in coordination on this. The left hand of state government

1	doesn't know what the right hand of state
2	government is doing. And we have a crisis in
3	our rural communities when it comes to mental
4	health services. And yet we are closing beds
5	unnecessarily. And DOH is being myopic in
6	their view of the services the holistic
7	view that's required of the services that are
8	really critically needed in our area.

So my question to you is how can we justify allowing beds to be decertified, and what can be done to preserve those and ensure that in the long run that DOH and OMH are coordinating their efforts to provide vital healthcare services to our regions, especially in the rural areas?

COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Thank you.

You know, we work very closely with DOH on
these issues and on the complement of beds
that are needed for a particular area, both
on the mental health side and obviously DOH
is concerned on the medical side as well.

I think that, you know, it's just a historic fact that psych beds, mental health beds do not have the financial margin, by and

large, that other medical beds have. So sometimes hospitals decide that, you know, for financial reasons they need to lower psych beds. That's always a serious issue, because we don't have as many as we might need, and we need to work very closely with those hospitals to make sure that there's enough services in the area.

And that's what we're trying to do in the area which will be impacted by TLC. And we are looking to see where we might be able to grow other kinds of services. Sometimes hospitals have been very helpful, even if they close beds, in establishing more outpatient ambulatory services. So we always work with communities to try to make this happen. Sometimes hospitals move quickly on this, quicker than we want them to, before plans are available, and then we — sometimes we resort to regulatory responses to that.

But the reality is that we have always worked very hard and worked together across the state to try to provide the services that communities need.

1	SENATOR BORRELLO: Let me compliment
2	you on the work that you have done to help.
3	But unfortunately the coordination with DOH
4	wasn't good. You worked very hard to help us
5	try to overcome this situation, but at the
6	end of the day it appeared DOH was singularly
7	minded in wanting to close that hospital and
8	really deny those services to their area.
9	And they gave their closure approval without
10	OMH's approval, which is just, you know, I
11	think unforgivable in that sense. There just
12	needs to be better coordination, especially
13	the fact that we have a crisis in healthcare
14	in our rural areas. And it just seems that
15	there was you know, DOH moved forward
16	without OMH's, you know, collaboration and
17	approval, clearly. And having that happen
18	again you know, this time it's the
19	hospital in my area. Next time it's going to
20	be somebody else's hospital. And it seems to
21	be, you know, not it's focused on dollars
22	and cents and not on the needs of the people.
23	And that is a real issue.
24	Thank you.

1	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Thank you.
2	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Assembly.
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
4	Assemblywoman Gunther.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: So I have a
6	few questions. The Executive has proposed
7	removing pre-admission certification
8	committees to determine a child's need for
9	residential treatment. What will the role be
10	of a newly created advisory board within the
11	Council of Children and Families?
12	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: I think that
13	the new advisory board will help us not just
14	with admission criteria and census,
15	et cetera; they'll help us with the design, I
16	believe, of the RTF system.
17	The Council on Children and Families
18	is a very active council, and I think they
19	can talk with us about the needs of
20	communities and the kinds of design that we
21	need in these facilities. By changing the
22	PACC admission process, it also gives us the
23	flexibility to do some creative work with the
24	RTFs across the state. And in particular,

1	many of the upstate RTFs are particularly
2	happy with this change because it gives more
3	flexibility in both admissions the kinds
4	of admissions and the kinds of services that
5	can be provided.
6	So we think it's a really good move,
7	and many of the upstate groups such as
8	Northern Rivers and Parsons are very involved
9	and are very happy that we've modified the
10	PACC admission process.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: Can you give
12	me examples of what type of behavior would
13	lead to the insurer being fined?
14	Also, regarding children's behavioral
15	rates, you say that you have start-ups. But
16	when did they actually begin?
17	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Excuse me, an
18	individual's being fined, is that relative to
19	parity?
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Yes. Well,
22	there will be regulations that will be posted
23	as of October of this year which will clearly
24	outline in great detail the various kinds of

1	things that insurers must respond to, and
2	they could possibly lead to fines. So that
3	compliance program is in this year's budget.
4	And it's stated that basically as of October
5	we'll have those regulations out, which will
6	make it even clearer what can lead to what
7	kind of repercussions if you're not following
8	the parity regulations.
9	At this point in time we have already
10	received all the medical necessity criteria
11	from the various insurers, we're reviewing
12	them, and how the compliance program will be
13	set up to make sure that they do it should be
14	established by October. And then we'll see,
15	as a result of those regulations, what the
16	fines will be kind of connected to that.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: So I think
18	there was about 1.5 million. Where did the
19	money go?
20	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: No, that

COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: No, that hasn't happened yet. I mean, there was an estimate that that might be the level. If that money were to occur.

24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: So where would

1	it go, then?
2	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: It would go to
3	the ombudsman program, the CHAMP ombudsman
4	program, which would then use that money to
5	further the efforts of parity, educating
6	families dealing with denials, et cetera. If
7	it occurs.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: You also
9	the other thing is like with the rates for
10	housing. So the new stock, they get an
11	increased rate, where old stock, they don't
12	get the same amount of money for their
13	rentals for people
14	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: The 20
15	million, though, is going towards older
16	housing which is already there, all types of
17	housing. In the past sometimes we've limited
18	it to specific housing; now it's any kind of
19	housing can be eligible for that \$20 million,
20	and it will also be spread across the state.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: Okay. Thank
22	you.
23	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate?

CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.

1	Senator Akshar.
2	SENATOR AKSHAR: Madam Chairwoman,
3	thank you.
4	Commissioner, always good to see you.
5	Let me go specifically to the Greater
6	Binghamton Health Center. Are there any
7	conversations happening about a reduction in
8	beds, either adult beds or children beds?
9	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: No.
10	SENATOR AKSHAR: Good. That's good
11	news. Thank you.
12	Let me move, if I may, to mental
13	health services in the public school system.
14	What type of money are we investing as a
15	state to address that issue? It's an issue,
16	at least from my perspective, that is at
17	crisis levels. Any school superintendent you
18	speak to will tell you that they are dealing
19	with mental health crises on a daily basis.
20	So what is our investment to deal with
21	that statewide?
22	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: One of the
23	major initiatives is to increase the number
24	of school-based mental health clinics, which

1	we've been successful in doing. What you do
2	is you work with a community-based provider
3	who then works with the school to set up a
4	satellite in that school, on site. Usually
5	it's a social worker, but also now some of
6	these are also using telepsychiatry to beam
7	in psychiatrists to work in the school, and
8	they can provide the services on site.
9	That has worked in 800 schools so far

That has worked in 800 schools so far, and we're working with all the school districts to increase that.

In addition, the work which is done by the Mental Health Education Act, in conjunction with the schools, has set up an entire ability to begin to look at the social/emotional wellness from early on in the schools, from kindergarten through 12th grade. So all the work on curricula, et cetera, is something which is also jointly done by the Department of Ed and also by Mental Health.

In addition, we do lots of crisis trainings in schools, lots of suicide prevention in schools. We have a whole

suicide prevention plan guidelines which
we just printed as of about a month ago and
we're distributing to all these schools as to
how to set up a tiered approach to working
with possible suicide issues in their
schools. And we're available for all kinds
of technical assistance with them.

We've also done some pilots of some very intensive work in schools, including something called ParentCorps, which works with the pre-K population and does parent teaching for schools. It's limited, but it's something that we are looking at to see if it might possibly grow.

And we also have, across the state in some schools -- five districts in the state, most of them upstate -- called Promise Zones, where there's an investment in dollars that come to the schools to come together with community-based providers so that schools not only have clinics on-site, but they also understand all the community-based services that are available and work in partnership.

So there's a number of initiatives

1	going on across the state. But the mainstay
2	is trying to get more and more satellite
3	clinics into schools. Because they not only
4	they see individual kids, they work with
5	teachers, they help educate the teachers,
6	they help work together to solve problems.
7	So that's probably one of the most effective
8	ways to help the schools.
9	SENATOR AKSHAR: Could you quantify,
10	though, in dollars what we're investing in
11	the public school system to address the
12	issue?
13	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: I don't know
14	if I could give you the exact dollars. I
15	could work on that to give you how all these
16	things add up. But I don't have it kind of
17	off the top of my head exactly what that
18	would be.
19	But I'll get back to you, Senator.
20	SENATOR AKSHAR: Let me ask you I
21	guess a more direct question. Do you think
22	the investment that we're making in the
23	public school system is significant enough to
24	address the underlying issue?

1	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: I think you
2	know, in some ways you can always do more. I
3	think this is a very, very strong beginning.
4	I really do believe that on-site work you
5	know, there's a lot that can be done with
6	trainings and education. But on-site
7	availability I think is one of the most key
8	things.
9	Many years ago I had a school-based
10	program when I worked in Queens, and
11	basically it was marvelous the difference it
12	made in a very troubled junior high school.
13	So I think that on-site capacity is
14	really critical, and so we're putting a lot
15	of our energies into getting that available,
16	so that when you're in a school and you have
17	a youth that you might be concerned about,
18	you have someone you can consult with, go to
19	them, get some feedback, help them get the
20	services.
21	So that's we're doing that. We're
22	doing all the other things too, but I think
23	that's a critical piece.
2.4	SENATOR AKSHAR: So I just want to

1	thank you publicly for all the work that you
2	are doing in the initiatives that you speak
3	about.

But we're falling short as a state.

This is not -- this is not a knock on you or anybody who works in your office, because I believe in my heart that you're doing the very best you can with what resources you get. But for me this comes down to wants versus needs.

When I look at the Joseph P. Dwyer program, the investment, \$3.7 million, it's a remarkable program, but that's a paltry investment. Last year there was a million-dollar grant provided for schools to compete against one another to address some of their mental health issues.

You know, nobody knows the scope and the difficulties of providing these services better than you and the people that work for you. I would argue that we are really at a crossroads in this state. And when I see the Executive make a suggestion that we would invest \$300 million in the restoration of the

Ţ	Erie Canal, but yet only invest \$3.7 million
2	in a program like Joseph P. Dwyer or have to
3	fight over dollars to provide mental health
4	services in schools, again, I think we're
5	falling short and we need to do a much better
6	job.
7	Madam Chairwoman, thank you for the
8	time.
9	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
10	Assembly.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We've been
12	joined by Assemblywoman Buttenschon,
13	Assemblyman Weprin.
14	And we go to Assemblywoman Miller for
15	a question.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MILLER: Hi again. For
17	the behavioral health ombudsman, where is the
18	funding coming from for that? Is it coming
19	from the penalties that are deposited to the
20	fund? And if that's the case I'm just
21	jumping the gun if that is the case, does
22	the ombudsman start or does it have to wait
23	for the program to get funded from that
24	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Sorry. It was

Ţ	actually started last year. There was an
2	allocation in the budget last year for 1.5
3	million for the ombudsman program. They've
4	already seen about 1600 clients and I think
5	have done 5,000 educational so they've
6	done a lot of work.
7	If fines are levied, that's additional
8	dollars that would then go in addition, on
9	top of the base funding, which is 1.5
10	million.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MILLER: Thank you.
12	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate.
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Sue
14	Serino.
15	SENATOR SERINO: Hello, Commissioner,
16	and thank you for being here today.
17	This is always a very sensitive
18	subject to me; I lost my brother by suicide
19	10 years ago, and I've been very open about
20	speaking about it from the time of his
21	obituary and trying to get rid of that
22	stigma.
23	And I know in 2018 we had passed a
24	bill that was not passed, I'm sorry, we

1	introduced a bill that would establish the
2	mental health services program coordinator
3	that would reimburse the schools for hiring
4	these professionals. And I know Senator
5	Akshar had spoken about that, and you
6	mentioned that there are 800 schools that
7	have some type of a pilot or a program.
8	But I know in my district I'm hearing
9	from kids and it doesn't matter if it's in
10	a wealthy school district, a poor school
11	district, middle class, they're all saying
12	that they're not getting enough help. And I
13	know that we don't have the beds for our
14	children too. Nobody wants to go into a
15	lockdown emergency services facility and then
16	you know, it's kind of scary, especially
17	for a kid, and then to think that they're
18	going to go back there again.
19	So I just wonder, you know, where
20	those 800 schools are, because I'm not seeing
21	it in my district.
22	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: They're spread
23	across the state. There are more of them
24	upstate, actually, than downstate. But I can

1	get you exactly how many might be in Staten
2	Island. I'm not sure off the top of my head
3	which ones, but there are several not
4	several, there's a number in the city,
5	probably about 25 to 30 percent in the city
6	and the rest are upstate.

We've been working with the Department of Ed in the -- trying to work with the Department of Ed in the city to kind of foster more ability to have mental health clinics in the schools. But I can -- I'll definitely get to you on what's available in your district.

SENATOR SERINO: Thank you. And I
liked hearing about the telepsychiatry too.
I think it's great, especially when you live
in a rural community. As far as seniors, you
know, we have a lot of seniors that suffer
from social isolation. And is there anything
that you're doing with the telepsychiatry for
our aging seniors? I'm the ranker on the
Aging Committee and was wondering -- I'm just
joining the mental health committee now, so
I'm just wondering if there are any services

1	<u>L</u>	for	the	seniors	as	well.

mental health association in upstate New York
that has done a little bit of a pilot with
the elderly in terms of a telegroup where -dealing with the issue of social isolation,
where people can get together, talk via -they're a combination of tablets and
computers -- with a great deal of success.

So we're looking into that in terms of some of the approaches that we have with the elderly. Social isolation is a huge issue, by and large, and we're probably not using technology the way we should be. So that whole — telemedicine is something that we have significantly expanded the ability to do and to bill for. Now I think we have to get the word out there and get creative about how we use it.

And I think that one of the creative ways can be, you know, kind of group therapy through -- which now could be reimbursed by Medicaid, we're still working sometimes with the commercial insurers. But you could do

1	that	for	the	elo	derly	across	s a	group.	
2		Sc	we'	11	defir	nitely	be	expanding	that.

And I thinking that that really is a big piece of the future. And it's also a way to increase access and to deal with the workforce limitations. So there's a great deal that can be done with that.

8 SENATOR SERINO: That's very 9 encouraging.

And then I just want to echo the sentiments that my colleagues have mentioned about the Dwyer, the Peer-to-Peer Program.

We first received it my first year in the Senate, and it's been wonderful working through Mental Health America, through the county. It's just great. And I wouldn't want to see any additional money that's put in the budget for mental health -- you know, like not robbing from Peter to pay Paul.

It's bad enough that our guys have to come up here every single year and kind of -you know, they schlep up here and they beg
for that money for the Dwyer program, where
we -- and I know that that's not you, but

1	it's where you know, we keep fighting for
2	it, and I don't want to see the extra money,
3	the million dollars that are going to help
4	our law enforcement, first responders,
5	everybody for mental health, you know, be
6	taken, you know, one for the other. I think
7	it's all vitally important.
8	Thank you.
9	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: I have a couple
11	of questions. But before that, just wanted
12	to say that we've been joined by
13	Assemblywoman Carmen De La Rosa.
14	And I want to switch to a question
15	about jail-based restoration. So the
16	Executive Budget includes 1.7 million in net
17	savings related to the development of
18	specialized beds in local jails to restore
19	felony-level defendants to competency. And
20	I'm wondering if you might comment or if you
21	know the fiscal impact on jail based that
22	this jail-based restoration would have on
23	counties, since they'd now be required to pay
24	100 percent of the cost beginning on April 1.

1	Are the counties both financially able
2	to take on that responsibility, and do we
3	have any concern about how the now that
4	the counties would be doing this, how it
5	would affect the quality of services provided
6	within the local jails?
7	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: The counties
8	are currently paying 50 percent of the cost
9	of hospital-based restoration, which is about
10	\$130,000 a year. So it's quite high, because
11	it's hospital-based care and treatment. When
12	it goes to 100 percent, that would be
13	\$130,000 for pretty much the cost per
14	restoration to competency.
15	Jail-based restoration is about a
16	third of that cost. So jail-based
17	restoration would leave probably a cost to
18	the counties of about 35,000 to 40,000 per
19	jail-based restoration. So that's one of the
20	incentives perhaps to do jail-based
21	restoration.
22	The problem here is that
23	individuals are getting hospital-based care
24	for restoration when they really kind of only

1	need outpatient level of care. And so the
2	counties are paying a very high cost even
3	now, and it will get higher with the 100
4	percent cost.
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for
6	that response.
7	Senate?
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
9	Second time, David Carlucci.
10	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Great. Thank you,
11	Chair.
12	Thank you, Commissioner, for your time
13	today. I'll try to be as brief as possible.
L 4	I just had a few more points I wanted to go
15	through with you.
16	First, the streamlining preadmission
17	process for residential treatment facilities,
18	it looks like we're going from a 30-day wait
19	to 15 days, which looks good on paper. I
20	just want to hear from you how we safeguard
21	this process and make sure that it's actually
22	working to the extent of what we have here or
23	paper.

COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: We're going to

1	be monitoring it very closely. The
2	individuals who there will still be a
3	review by a physician who will be designated
4	by me to kind of take a look at those
5	admissions and make sure. But we should be
6	able to reduce the time drastically because
7	prior it required a number of committee
8	steps, you had to have a group meet, which
9	only met once a month. I mean, that's now -
10	there will be timely meeting, there will not
11	be this once-a-month meeting, you can get a
12	review done in a day or two so that we can
13	get the information back.
14	So it should streamline the process,
15	but we're going to be monitoring it very
16	closely to make sure. I think it will be
17	less than two weeks, but we're targeting, to
18	be sure, two weeks.
19	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Yeah, I hope so.
20	Well, thank you.
21	And then back to the jail-based
22	restoration program, I know last year the
23	Governor had put this in the Executive
24	Budget. But the difference is same

Τ	proposal as this year, but the Governor was
2	offering money to the localities that opted
3	into this program.
4	I'm concerned for a few reasons.
5	First, that we're putting in a \$1.7 million
6	savings into the budget. And it looks like
7	the Governor is anticipating that
8	municipalities will join onto this program.
9	The concern I have is that and I'll let
10	you answer. My concern is that
11	municipalities will not opt into this program
12	because there's no dollars coming forth to
13	make the upgrades necessary to meet these
14	needs.
15	Can you speak to the jail-based
16	restoration program and how you see it making
17	those savings? And have any municipalities
18	expressed interest? Are any municipalities
19	ready to go with no additional funding?
20	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: There are some
21	municipalities who have expressed interest.
22	No one has said at this point this year that
23	they are ready to start, partly the one
24	municipality that had been, in the past,

1	wanted to just wait and kind of think about
2	it again because of some of the changes.
3	But jail-based restoration would
4	basically reduce the cost to the counties of
5	what they were paying now and will pay in the
6	future to have inpatient hospital
7	restoration.
8	Yes, there would be some start-up that
9	might be needed in terms of getting this
10	started in the counties, but that should be
11	not that much. And basically, even without
12	the additional dollars which were there in
13	the year before, which were really startup
14	dollars even without those dollars, it
15	should still be financially in the interest
16	of the counties to do this because the cost
17	of inpatient hospital-based restoration is so
18	high.
19	And this would only be for counties
20	that were big enough to have a large enough
21	population that would benefit from this.
22	SENATOR CARLUCCI: So the \$1.7 million

in savings -- that's savings to the State of

New York -- what now is the cost? Do we bill

23

1	the municipalities for that psychiatric care
2	when an inmate is unable to when they are
3	referred to the psychiatric facility? What
4	type of reimbursement are we talking about?
5	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: The average
6	cost is a thousand dollars a day in a
7	hospital. And the average time that's
8	average time, so for some it could be more or
9	less is about three almost four months,
10	almost 140 days to restoration to competency.
11	So the actual dollars is close to on
12	average, is about \$140,000 which counties
13	would now be paying, per restoration, to the
14	state.
15	SENATOR CARLUCCI: What is currently
16	happening? When they send someone to the
17	facility, is the state rebilling the county
18	for that?
19	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Currently,
20	yes. The county gets billed currently
21	50 percent. In New York City they have been
22	billed for the past year also at a hundred
23	percent.
24	Going forward, the counties would be

1	billed all counties would be billed for a
2	hundred percent.
3	SENATOR CARLUCCI: So, wait, what is
4	it now?
5	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Right now this
6	year prior to this year, counties were
7	paying 50 percent of the cost of the
8	hospital-based restoration, which is about
9	which was about \$70,000 per restoration. If
10	you but New York City, as of last year,
11	was paying a hundred percent of the cost.
12	Going forward, all counties will pay a
13	hundred percent of the cost of the
14	hospital-based restoration.
15	SENATOR CARLUCCI: And why is New York
16	City excluded from this proposal?
17	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: They're
18	they there wasn't any interest at the time
19	in New York City. And partly it's the way
20	they are established, the way they have
21	pre-arraignment hospital the way they're
22	established doesn't really fit for the need
23	for jail-based restoration. It really is a
24	program that is best-served upstate.

1	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Thank you,
2	Commissioner.
3	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
4	The Assembly is done. I'm just going
5	to do one question in closing for you,
6	Commissioner.
7	So my last two colleagues asked you
8	about the program in the local jails, mental
9	illness. In the General Welfare/ Human
10	Services hearing we had last week, one of the
11	discussions was that approximately 3200
12	people are released from the prisons directly
13	into the entry point for the New York City
14	Men's Shelter. A disproportionately large
15	number of them suffer from mental illness.
16	That surely can't be a smart discharge plan
17	for someone with mental illness: Okay, we're
18	letting you out of jail, and welcome to the
19	streets of New York.
20	I'm going to ask this in the Public
21	Protection hearing of DOCCS, but can you
22	please tell me what the right answer should
23	be? Because I know that this can't be the
24	right answer.

1	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Well, we know
2	that basically if individuals with serious
3	mental illness leave prison, that they do
4	best when they are in housing which is
5	supported by a series of services that
6	enhance their ability to reenter into the
7	community.

We do a lot of work in the prisons before they are discharged to help the seriously mentally ill get ready to go into the community. And just last year -- and we're in the process of putting forward these units, 250 additional apartment units were authorized for individuals leaving the prison system at the highest need, to be able to move to housing which would be run by the particular agencies that do very good work with the forensic population.

We try as best as we can for most of the seriously mentally ill leaving to get into some degree of housing, whether it's apartments or other kinds of family housing, whatever is possible. Some of the seriously mentally ill do unfortunately end up going to

1	the shelters, sometimes for hopefully, as
2	often as possible for a briefer period of
3	time waiting to get into the other housing.
4	But we do the best we can to try not to have
5	them go to shelters, because you're right,
6	it's not the best disposition.
7	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: But you are
8	working with or IDing people in prison as
9	suffering from mental illness.
10	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Oh, yes.
11	Before discharge we know all the individuals
12	who are seriously mentally ill. We also know
13	their risk levels. So we prioritize for the
14	high-risk clients to be able to go out into
15	housing. Some of the lower-risk clients,
16	unfortunately sometimes we don't have enough
17	housing so they go to the shelters.
18	But every client who leaves is
19	assessed for their ability to go. And if
20	they are assessed before they leave prison to
21	need additional help before going, they go to
22	one of the pre-discharge units in the prison
23	system. We have two of those, and we're

going to be expanding to another one, where

Τ	they spend anywhere from several months
2	getting ready to leave the prison system to
3	reintegrate into the community.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And at that time
5	you're attempting to find them someplace
6	where they would move to as opposed to the
7	shelters or the streets.
8	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Yes. Yup,
9	absolutely. Yes.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And is there some
11	kind of data report you can get for me and my
12	colleagues
13	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Yes.
14	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: showing
15	that would be very helpful.
16	COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: I can show you
17	we have the numbers and we have where the
18	individuals go, how many go into them. We
19	can definitely get that to you. Be glad to.
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
21	much for your time with us this morning. You
22	are now free.
23	(Laughter.)
24	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Well, you might

1	not be free, but you're allowed to leave this
2	room. Let's not go too far. Sorry.
3	Our next witness is the commissioner
4	of the Office for People With Developmental
5	Disabilities, Dr. Theodore Kastner.
6	Good afternoon.
7	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: Good afternoon,
8	Senator.
9	Good morning, Chairs Krueger,
10	Weinstein, Carlucci and Gunther and other
11	distinguished members of the Legislature. My
12	name is Ted Kastner, commissioner of the New
13	York State Office for People With
14	Developmental Disabilities, or OPWDD.
15	Thank you for the opportunity to
16	provide testimony about Governor Cuomo's
17	fiscal year '20-'21 Executive Budget and how
18	it will benefit the more than 140,000 New
19	Yorkers with developmental disabilities
20	served by OPWDD.
21	It has been just over a year since I
22	assumed leadership of OPWDD, and I'd like to
23	begin my testimony by highlighting some
24	accomplishments of the past year. In regard

1	to our work with our partners, in 2019 we
2	received federal approval to provide crisis
3	services for individuals with intellectual
4	and developmental disabilities, or CSIDD.
5	This Medicaid State Plan amendment allows us
6	to double our service capacity with the same
7	investment of state dollars. We will use
8	these funds to complete our statewide network
9	of crisis response services.

We're collaborating with the Office of Mental Health to create new programs to support individuals with severe, challenging behaviors. These include a new inpatient unit in Brooklyn and a new extended treatment unit in Queens. We're exploring avenues to enhance the skills of primary care and behavioral health providers.

Complementing these efforts, we're working diligently to improve collaboration with our partners in county government. We have regular meetings with the counties and are exploring opportunities to coordinate state and county resources to improve crisis response outcomes.

1	We've achieved our goal of ensuring
2	that all OPWDD-eligible individuals have a
3	life plan developed by our care coordination
4	organizations, or CCOs. We're now working to
5	ensure that these life plans meet the
6	standards of being conflict-free and
7	person-centered.

In August of 2018, OPWDD published the draft Specialized IDD Plans-Provider Led, or SIPs-PL, qualification document for public comment. We anticipate releasing a revised draft for public comment soon.

Internal activities in 2019 have
helped lay a foundation for a more effective
system of support in the future. We have
restructured our leadership team and are
working to improve public engagement through
our advisory committees. OPWDD conducted a
thorough review of the organizational
structure and functions of approximately
20,000 employees to ensure that resources are
deployed to best meet the needs of people we
support.

We created a new Division of Data

1	Management and Strategy to enable
2	better-informed decision-making and to
3	promote data transparency. This will support
4	IT development and data analytics.
5	We're midway through the
6	implementation of an electronic health
7	records system for all state-operated
8	services. We've secured a five-year renewal
9	of our federal Medicaid waiver, allowing us
10	to support more than 90,000 people in their
11	own home or community.
12	And finally, OPWDD strengthened
13	central office oversight of policy, budgeting
14	and program operations and has now begun to
15	reorganize the regional office structure to
16	streamline operations.
17	As a result of all these improvements,
18	all individuals and families have the same
19	access to supports and services no matter
20	where they live, what language they speak,
21	their race, religion or when they became New
22	Yorkers.
23	The Governor's Executive Budget
24	continues to build upon these successes,

1	including significant new investments now
2	leveraging approximately \$9 billion in state
3	and federal funding for OPWDD services and
4	programs. These include \$120 million in
5	annual all-shares funding to provide new and
6	expanded services for people entering the
7	OPWDD system for the first time; \$15 million
8	in capital funding to expand housing
9	opportunities; and \$170 million in new state
10	and federal resources to comply with the
11	state's minimum wage, to increase wages for
12	direct support professionals, and to support
13	our clinical staff employed by OPWDD's
14	network of nonprofit providers.
15	These additional human capital
16	investments bring the total commitment to

New York leads the nation in the amount of funding to support people with developmental disabilities, providing twice the national per-capita average. As we move

into 2020 and beyond, OPWDD will continue to

nonprofit provider workforce to \$650 million

increased wages and compensation to our

since 2015.

1	transform the delivery system to one that is
2	more accessible, equitable and sustainable.
3	New York's evolution to be a more responsive
4	and flexible service system would not be
5	possible without our collaborations with the
6	Legislature, the input of the people we
7	support and their family members, and our
8	dedicated partners in the provider community.
9	Thank you for your partnership, and I
10	look forward to answer any questions you may
11	have.
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
13	Our first questioner will be Chair
14	David Carlucci.
15	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Thank you, Chair.
16	Thank you, Commissioner, for your
17	testimony today, and for your commitment to
18	our most vulnerable populations. And I know
19	we've spoken at length about the need to
20	support our workforce. It's the backbone of
21	the developmental disability system. And
22	it's a struggle that we still have. Every
23	day I hear about the concerns and the
24	complaints about the transition of the

1	workforce. And you can't blame them when
2	they're paid minimum wage or just above, in
3	some cases, to do the hardest work. And that
4	transition is just it really eats at the
5	quality of care.
6	So my hat goes off to the
7	professionals, the people that the DSPs
8	that have been there, that have done it as a
9	career. They pull together because of their
10	love and commitment to the people they serve,
11	so I thank them for that.
12	I first wanted to get into because
13	we'll get into the workforce, but the big
14	pressing issue is about the transition to
15	managed care. And we've heard about this,
16	but yet it's something little details have
17	been provided, at least that I'm aware of.
18	Is New York still moving to are we still
19	transitioning to managed care? And if so,
20	what is the time frame?

21 COMMISSIONER KASTNER: Thank you,
22 Senator. We have a process for the
23 transition to managed care. At the present
24 time there are two primary components to

1	that. The first was in July of 2018, we
2	launched our care coordination organizations
3	The effort was designed to expand the scope
4	of our case management program and to
5	incorporate healthcare services in addition
6	to habilitative services, into what we now
7	call a life plan. So our care management
8	system is now building the competency to be
9	able to incorporate both health and
10	long-term-care services.
11	In August of 2018, we published the
12	draft SIPs-PL qualifications document. We
13	received about 80 public comments on how that
14	document could be enhanced. We've been
15	working on revisions to that document while
16	we're simultaneously working to ensure that
17	our CCO launch has been effective. We are
18	near the end of completing a revision to that
19	draft SIPs-PL qualification document, and we

22 SENATOR CARLUCCI: What do you think,
23 how soon?

public soon.

20

21

expect that draft to be made available to the

24 COMMISSIONER KASTNER: I think soon.

1	(Laughter.)
2	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: I have to say
3	I
4	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Because we've kind
5	of heard that before.
6	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: I've said this
7	before. Actually, I went on record in saying
8	in September it would be out in October or
9	November, in November it would be out in
10	December, and obviously I've been wrong on
11	both counts.
12	So I'm a little uncomfortable saying
13	that it will be a specific date, although we
14	do expect it to be out shortly.
15	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Okay. And one of
16	the big concerns that we have is obviously
17	that the difference between state-operated
18	and voluntary services. Do you have an idea
19	or a breakdown of what percentage of the
20	covered population is covered under
21	state-operated work and the nonprofit work?
22	Do you have any idea what the breakdown is?
23	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: Yeah, a rough
24	ballpark is approximately 20 percent of the

1	service delivery system is provided through
2	OPWDD state employees and 80 percent through
3	the voluntaries. For residential, it's
4	pretty easy to look at: About 30,000
5	certified residential opportunities through
6	the voluntaries, about 7,000 certified
7	residential opportunities through OPWDD.
8	SENATOR CARLUCCI: One of the concerns
9	that we keep hearing about the transition to
10	managed care is that there would be start-up
11	costs that possibly have to be put out. Can
12	you tell us, is that would that be true?
13	Can we give a guarantee? Can we put people
14	at ease to say that no money from services
15	would be taken out in order to meet those
16	start-up costs?
17	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: We have said
18	that in the past. We do not have start-up
19	costs in our operating budget, and we believe
20	that that is still the case. The operating
21	costs for administration and start-up would
22	come from another source.
23	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Okay. And does
24	there seem when we talk about we have the

1	80 percent that's run outside of the OPWDD
2	state-run system, does OPWDD track the fiscal
3	health of the nonprofit providers?
4	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: Yes, we do.
5	Our providers are compensated through a
6	cost-based reimbursement mechanism. So for a
7	base year they submit to us the list of the
8	costs that they incur in providing services
9	to our individuals. We incorporate that
10	information into the rates that are paid to
11	them. It's probably the most favorable
12	reimbursement methodology that could be used
13	to provide payment to providers, because it's
14	based on their historical costs.
15	SENATOR CARLUCCI: I'm sorry, what was
16	that last part you said?
17	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: It's a very
18	favorable reimbursement methodology. As
19	opposed to a fee or a capitation arrangement,
20	payment based on costs actually makes
21	providers whole as a result of, you know,
22	being fully compensated for the services
23	they're providing.
24	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Okay. And we have

1	been pushing, we've got the
2	BFair2toDirectCare campaign. We were
3	successful in putting some money into the
4	budget last year. I had asked the
5	commissioner of OMH the same question about
6	we're hearing about that the 2 percent
7	increase has not been put out the door yet,
8	and that's putting many of the providers at a
9	very challenging cash-flow situation.
10	Can you explain to us what's going on
11	with that? Is money getting out the door to
12	the providers? Is there a holdup? Am I
13	mistaken, has that money gone out? Where are
14	we?
15	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: We are very
16	grateful for the Legislature, in the
17	Executive Budget of last year, incorporating
18	a 2 percent increase for direct support
19	professionals on January 1st and also
20	April 1st for this coming budget.
21	My understanding is that OPWDD has
22	approved the new rate that would incorporate
23	the increase for DSPs, but we're waiting to
24	see that that is processed and then delivered

1	to the providers.
2	I don't know specifically where the
3	holdup is, but I do know that we have
4	approved those rates for providers for the
5	January 1st increase.
6	SENATOR CARLUCCI: So but is it your
7	understanding that that money has not been
8	sent out the door yet?
9	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: From what the
10	providers have told me, it has not yet
11	arrived.
12	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Okay. So we need
13	to
L 4	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: We can look at
15	where that is. It's somewhere between,
16	obviously, OPWDD and our providers.
17	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Okay. Okay.
18	We've talked about it before, about
19	what do we do to increase the longevity of
20	our employees. And I've talked to you about
21	the idea of a credentialing program, that we
22	pay people for their experience.
23	Is there anything in the works through

OPWDD to have a program where we are actually

1	paying people for their experience to make
2	sure that that longevity continues? We have
3	agreed in meetings that that longevity is
4	paramount to the quality of care. It drives
5	down the cases of neglect, of abuse, and just
6	improves the continuum of care.

Can you tell us, is there anything in the budget that you can point to here, plans that OPWDD has to strengthen the workforce and to make sure that there's more of an incentive, that this is an actual career, a long-term career for people?

COMMISSIONER KASTNER: Well, Senator, as you know, when we met I told you that my first job in this field was in 1976 as a DSP.

SENATOR CARLUCCI: Yes.

COMMISSIONER KASTNER: So I understand the challenge of the work and the importance of the work. DSPs are the line staff, the individuals who have the most day-to-day contact with our individuals. So we value the DSPs. We have to find ways to create stability in that workforce and opportunities for professional development.

1	As far as salary, the last I was able
2	to determine, looking at data from probably
3	2015, the average salary for DSPs in New York
4	was about \$13.65, which put us in the top
5	five nationally. I think with the subsequent
6	increases that have occurred, we're near \$15
7	an hour, we're near the very top in terms of
8	the rest of the country. And certainly we're
9	favorably positioned relative to the states
10	that we compete against Pennsylvania,
11	New Jersey, New Jersey, Connecticut,
12	Massachusetts, Vermont. We're certainly not
13	losing workforce to those states.
14	We have tried to identify
15	opportunities to leverage resources. We
16	can we're conducting public service
17	announcements in the North Country
18	specifically reaching out to veterans at the
19	time of separation from service, identifying
20	a DSP role as a potential career path. We've
21	been able to identify benefits that are
22	available from the Department of Veterans
23	Affairs that enhance salary and compensation.
24	We've also identified that veterans are

1	can	benef	it f	rom	enhanced	entitlements	like
2	the	SNAP	Plus	pro	ogram.		

institutions. We have two different groups
working with the BOCES programs, one in
Nassau and Suffolk Counties and the other
upstate, to develop a curriculum and a
certificate. I went to the graduation for
DSPs on Long Island, it must have been in the
fall, because that's an extremely important
area for us.

We're also looking at credentialing, any number of activities that we can to elevate the quality of the work and the prestige associated with the role. It turns out it's not simply an issue of economics. I think people in the role value recognition and the credit for the work that they do. So working within both the state operations and our voluntaries to have a DSP Recognition Week and recognition events has been very important.

I've been to every part of this state celebrating DSPs and attending the

1	recognition events, which again we think is
2	very important for setting a tone in how we
3	value DSPs.
4	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Thank you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
6	Assembly.
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We've been
8	joined by Assemblywoman Kimberly Jean-Pierre
9	and Assemblywoman Rosenthal, and go to
10	Assemblywoman Gunther, chair of our Mental
11	Health Committee, for questions.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: Good morning.
13	So I'm not going to ask you about the DSPs.
14	We all know that we're looking for more money
15	for retention for our DSPs, it's a group of
16	women. So I know that you're working on
17	that, and we're happy about that.
18	Thirteen dollars you know,
19	McDonald's in the city just raised to \$15.
20	So, you know, there's a little competition
21	here. And this is people's lives. So but
22	I know that you're working on it, and I do
23	appreciate it.
24	So my next issue is bed blockers

1	that is, children who have aged out and
2	should be in adult beds but continue to be ar
3	issue, they stay in children's beds. And you
4	know that we have a place that I represent in
5	Sullivan County that we have quite a few bed
6	blockers, and there really is not very many
7	places to move these folks to, and it is an
8	issue.
9	So are we doing anything to create
10	more adult beds in the DD community?
11	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: Well, as you
12	will recall, in 2017 we received the support
13	to build 459 new certified residential
14	opportunities. We're about midway through
15	that process in building new residential
16	capacity. We also have \$15 million in
17	affordable housing capital available in this
18	year's budget which will help us address that
19	concern. And we also have the capacity to
20	offer between 1200 and 1300 new residential
21	opportunities each year.
22	So we recognize that is a problem.
23	We're looking at how we can address that both

in terms of the individuals who occupy those

1	beds, but also in making strategic
2	investments in a continuum of care in order
3	to reduce the pressure on those programs in
4	terms of the numbers of children that are
5	requesting residential services.
6	I'm sure I'll have an opportunity to
7	touch on that in a bit.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: Thank you.
9	Now, managed care and the CCO issue is next.
10	How can the Executive believe that moving to
11	managed care will save the system money.
12	It's just going to be another mouth to feed.
13	When you theoretically take the same pot of
14	money and add it to an entire another
15	administration apparatus, what other
16	conclusion can I and everybody else come to?
17	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: Well, as I
18	think everyone within OPWDD has said, the
19	move to managed care is not for the purposes
20	of saving money. And as I described it
21	earlier, we have a process in place where we
22	don't have specific timing. The process
23	begins
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: Can you pull

1	the mic a little closer? Because I can't
2	really hear you as clear as I should.
3	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: Sure. I have a
4	problem with mumbling, and I have an FM radio
5	voice
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: So do I.
7	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: so I can put
8	anybody to sleep.
9	(Laughter.)
10	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: But, you know,
11	we're making investments to address the
12	transition to managed care. The process
13	right now is focusing on the CCOs and
14	ensuring that all of our individuals have an
15	appropriate care plan and also the policy
16	framework being the SIPs-PL qualifications
17	document, which is currently under revision.
18	Those are the two steps that we're currently
19	engaged in. We don't have time frames
20	specifically for going forward.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: Do you think
22	managed care works in the DD system or will
23	work in the DD system, it will save money or
24	create better care? We should be the

1	focus should be on the quality of care, but
2	it's all soft core so a lot of it has to do
3	with money rather than quality.
4	And, you know, so far I don't think
5	that there are many people that are bragging
6	about managed care.
7	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: Well, as I said
8	before, the focus on implementing managed
9	care for people with developmental
10	disabilities is not focused on saving money.
11	One of the facts I think that's not
12	readily appreciated is that more than 28,000
13	people with intellectual and developmental
14	disabilities have volunteered to enroll in
15	mainstream managed care, and we believe
16	that's largely because it provides better
17	access to healthcare services for individuals
18	services that in the fee-for-service
19	Medicaid program might not be as accessible.
20	Generally that experience has been
21	favorable. Individuals enroll on a voluntary
22	basis; they can also disenroll on a voluntary
23	basis. So we think managed care as a safety
24	net for Medicaid State Plan services has been

1 very 1	helpful.
----------	----------

As I said in regard to provider-led
plans, we're still in the process of
preparing the revised draft for public
comment. We hope that that will be out
shortly, and that will give us more insight
into the feelings of our individuals and
families as to whether or not we should
pursue it and the timing and scope as we go
forward.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: So will services have to be cut for managed care and, you know, the uniqueness of the service that — the services that you provide to those individuals?

You know, when I think about managed care, like, you know, you take in their age, there are different factors you take in. But in the DD community it seems like there's so many different things that could cost additional money because of the child or the adult themselves.

23 So managed care is we did it to save 24 money. And I'm just wondering, you know,

1	what else will be cut. Right now we know
2	that we're not paying DSPs, to me, enough
3	money because we can see the turnover. And
4	now we're on managed care, you know. And the
5	hospital systems, I don't know that they got
6	their big bang for the buck that they thought
7	they were going to get, nor did they get the
8	streamlined care that no infection or nothing
9	unheard-of would happen, or something
.0	unexpected.

And I just sometimes wonder, with this unique community, how that's going to fit into it.

COMMISSIONER KASTNER: So the value proposition, if you will, in managed care is that managed-care organizations can avoid the need for unnecessary services. So by keeping people healthy, for example, they can reduce the amount of emergency room care or hospital care. And that premise is generally proven, I think, for all people who are Medicaid beneficiaries in virtually every state at this point.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: I like the

1	fact that, you know, we do that in acute-care
2	hospitals. And so on one side we're saying,
3	yeah, better care, but on the other side
4	we're saying we've got this turnover of DSPs.
5	So one has to be worked out with the other in
6	order to have any efficacy of these kind of
7	changes.
3	And you know what, the investment over

And you know what, the investment over the years is getting better, but the investment in our most vulnerable populations, whether it's Mental Health or OPWDD -- you and I have been in those facilities, we've seen the people that work there, that are working at one facility on one end of the town and the other facility.

So I think that these ideas that, you know, that are thrown out -- thrown at us every X amount of years, they sound great.

But, you know, have we seen a great deal of change in the acute-care facilities? A lot of readmissions, sometimes, but other than that I'm not really sure that it's been as effective as we think.

That's my last question.

1	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: Thank you.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: I don't know
3	if you have a comment on it.
4	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: Thanks.
5	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay. Senator
6	Pete Harckham.
7	SENATOR HARCKHAM: Thank you, Madam
8	Chair.
9	Thank you, Commissioner. Thank you
10	and your staff for all you do. I want to
11	thank the treatment providers and the family
12	caregivers. You know, this population that
13	doesn't have high-priced lobbyists, you know,
14	doesn't have star-studded, you know,
15	walkathons and so the people who are
16	committed to this, you know, you're doing
17	God's work and you deserve to be commended.
18	The question I have going back to
19	your testimony, you talk about 15 million
20	capital for housing. Many of us in our
21	districts have existing housing, group homes
22	or whatever, through various agencies that
23	have been there a while. And what they need
24	is new capital. They need reinvestment. You

1	know, they're at a point where they need new
2	roofs, maybe new boilers, maybe new siding,
3	whatever it is. And that's expensive.
4	Is reinvestment in existing properties
5	part of this capital expenditure? Or is
6	there money for that in other parts of your
7	budget?
8	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: The
9	reinvestment in capital for existing
10	residential capacity is within the providers'
11	budgets. These funds are for the expansion
12	of new affordable housing.
13	SENATOR HARCKHAM: All right, so
14	there you're I'm sorry, I didn't hear
15	you. So you're saying that you're assuming
16	there's capacity in providers' existing
17	budgets to do capital?
18	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: I'd have to
19	look specifically at how that is funded, but
20	I believe that that is not within the scope
21	of the \$15 million that's within this year's
22	budget.
23	SENATOR HARCKHAM: Okay. Is there any
24	other funding, to your knowledge, anywhere in

Τ	your budget that will assist with capital for
2	existing facilities to repair
3	infrastructure new roofs, new boilers,
4	those kind of things?
5	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: Yeah, I need to
6	go back and ask our budget people that
7	question. I apologize, I don't have the
8	specific answer.
9	SENATOR HARCKHAM: Okay. No, that's
10	fine. We can touch base afterwards.
11	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: Okay.
12	SENATOR HARCKHAM: All right, thank
13	you.
14	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: Thank you.
15	(Pause.)
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Sorry. I'm so
17	sorry. Thank you.
18	Next is Assemblymember Miller.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MILLER: Hello.
20	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: Hi.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MILLER: So as I'm sure
22	you're familiar, I have way more questions
23	than we are given time for. So I will focus
24	on the issues, as usual, that I'm hearing

1	most concern about from the families that
2	reach out to me from all over the state.
3	The first has already been spoken
4	about a little bit. Our transition into

about a little bit: Our transition into full managed care. I know you've heard this before, even from me, but this model has not been successful in other states in this population. Why are we so insistent that it will be here?

I can tell you that currently there is little confidence on the part of the consumers who are stuck in this constant transition. Families and agencies were sold an amazing program — care coordinators with graduate degrees who understood the needs of this community; they would be able to take so much off of our shoulders. We're certainly not seeing that. There is a tremendous turnover rate, much more so than before, I feel.

I myself, with Oliver, we're on our third care coordinator in a year. They're inexperienced in the actual coordinating that's required for this good care that we

1	were told was going to follow this. Many of
2	them have been taken out of classrooms
3	because at least they've dealt with this
4	population, but unfortunately it doesn't mean
5	that they know how to do what's required.
6	This is not what we were told would be
7	happening.

As I often say, you don't know what you don't know. And if the care coordinators don't know and the family doesn't know, guess what? The individual is not getting what they should be.

I'm sure you've heard all about the CDPAP program and that it's been a target, and now it's being looked at as one of the reasons that the Medicaid deficit is so great. And I realize that has nothing to do with OPWDD. But the bottom line, from your perspective, is that if these drastic cuts are made to CDPAP, there will be a much greater need for OPWDD services like residential placement in group homes, since these individuals will not be able to stay in their home care environments.

1	currently it's allowing people to keep
2	their loved ones at home. We know there
3	aren't enough slots for residential placement
4	right now, and I've heard you testify that
5	you're increasing that. But what we
6	really need to address this need for more
7	housing, whether it be group home, supportive
8	living, et cetera. This is coming.
9	There's also a rumor of cuts to the
10	comm-hab staffing. This combination of CDPAP
11	and comm-hab are what enable individuals to
12	live successfully in supportive living or
13	apartments. Removing these supports will be
14	devastating and then what do you do with
15	us? This is the most frequent question that
16	I get from families: What are we going to
17	do?
18	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: Well, as I
19	described our planning for managed care, we
20	have to be assured that the care coordination
21	organizations are effective at delivering
22	person-centered, conflict-free case
23	management.
24	The CCOs launched July 1st of 2018.

1	when I arrived in January of last year, I
2	believe it's fair to say they were well
3	behind in their development of life plans for
4	individuals. We specifically focused on that
5	issue over the next year. I am pleased that
6	as of the end of January, all individuals
7	served through CCOs do have a life plan.
8	That only means they have a life plan.
9	It doesn't mean that it is an adequate life
10	plan, that it is person-centered or
11	conflict-free.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MILLER: Or that they
13	have the services that they needed.
14	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: I certainly can
15	give that to you.
16	But I will say that since July, when
17	it became apparent that we would then be
18	on-target for each individual having a life
19	plan, we began weekly meetings with CCOs to
20	focus on the more qualitative aspects of the
21	plan you know, were they person-centered,
22	did they provide services? We began looking
23	at things like agency turnover and agency
24	staffing.

1	We've had weekly meetings with CCOs.
2	We're in the process of developing a
3	performance report card based on metrics.
4	We've told the CCOs that we plan to put
5	information on our website to make it
6	available for the public to see what percent
7	of individuals who are enrolled have life
8	plans and to look at their performance
9	relative to each other
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MILLER: Are you asking
11	the families or the individuals or just the
12	CCOs? Having a life plan is having a life
13	plan. But are you asking the families are
14	they getting what they need?
15	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: We're not
16	serving the families specifically, we're
17	talking with the CCOs at this point.
18	There is an opportunity to pull data
19	off the life plans because they're now
20	aggregated in an IT platform. But we
21	certainly are open to looking at metrics that
22	families may recommend as being important to
23	them.
24	It's a process of evolution. But I

1	want to tell you, it's already underway and
2	we plan on making that information available
3	to people so that they can make an informed
4	decision about the quality of care
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MILLER: I think as
6	usual the families are the last to be
7	contacted, to be reached out to to see how is
8	this working.
9	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: But we have
10	revitalized, I think, the input from
11	consumers both through our mandatory advisory
12	committees and through other committees. We
13	have numerous meetings with different parent
14	advisory groups from around the state and
15	also much more locally. We've really strived
16	to improve our ability to work with families
17	and communicate with them.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
19	We go to the Senate now.
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Jim
21	Seward.
22	SENATOR SEWARD: Thank you,
23	Madam Chair.
24	And Dr. Kastner, thank you for being

1	here	this	morning	 or	afternoon,	Ι	should
2	say.						

I wanted to continue the discussion a bit longer on the CCOs. And I just wanted to ask you directly, are there concerns that you're hearing from providers, families or other interested parties that are being brought to your attention through the -- you mentioned advisory groups and so on. But are you hearing concerns that you could share with us?

And also I would note that in last year's budget for fiscal year 2020, the enacted budget included \$5 million to help providers with the transition to managed care. I note that that sum of money is not included in this year's Executive proposal. Is it no longer needed? Or is this something that should be continued? Why weren't these additional funds included in this year's Executive Budget?

COMMISSIONER KASTNER: To the second question, there was last year an appropriation of \$5 million for provider

1	organizations to provide technical assistance
2	to our community providers for the purposes
3	of managed-care readiness. They've created a
4	managed-care community of practice, are
5	working with provider agencies on the
6	potential transition to managed care.
7	I don't believe that there are funds
8	in this year's budget. I can go back and
9	look.
10	And if you can just repeat the first
11	part of the question, I'd be happy to address
12	that again.
13	SENATOR SEWARD: Well, I was just
14	curious to hear whether or not you are
15	getting any concerns from providers,
16	families, and other interested parties in
17	terms of how this rollout of the CCOs, how
18	that's working. Do you have concerns
19	directed to you?
20	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: Absolutely.
21	The CCO rollout was one of the most
22	important issues that was presented to me in
23	early February when I arrived. At that point
24	the number of individuals receiving life

1	plans was far below what we expected, and we
2	pushed the providers to meet time targets
3	over the course of this year. So at this
4	point every individual has a life plan.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Again, we're focusing on the quality of those life plans because we're still continuing to hear concerns from families and individuals as to whether or not those life plans are adequate.

SENATOR SEWARD: And how are you reacting to those concerns?

COMMISSIONER KASTNER: Well, as I said, there are carrots and sticks that we can use. And we meet with the providers and provide them technical assistance, looking at their benchmarks. But we've also told them we think this is important information to share with the public. Once we redesign our website, we will be creating a place on the website for people to look at CCO performance and judge for themselves how well they're doing. We think that that type of public disclosure is really to the benefit of our individuals and families and should drive

1	performance.
2	SENATOR SEWARD: Okay, thank you.
3	Just one final question. I wanted to
4	shift gears to the issue of can you share
5	with us some of the latest developments on
6	the sheltered workshop transitions to
7	integrated work settings as well as
8	intermediate care facilities' conversions and
9	the other Home and Community Based
10	Waiver-related compliance actions?
11	Specifically on the transitioning
12	our sheltered workshops, one concern that I
13	have when I tour the workshops in my
14	district, many of these folks would like to
15	continue to be working there. That's what
16	they know, that's what they want. And is
17	this going to continue to be possible? If
18	you could just give us an update on how
19	that's going.
20	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: Sure. As you
21	know, we are transitioning from sheltered
22	workshops to employment, competitive
23	employment and supported employment. As of

last year, I believe, there were about

1	30 agencies that were operating sheltered
2	workshops. More than half of them had
3	successfully completed a transition to some
4	form of employment or supported employment.
5	I don't have more specific data than that,
6	but I can obtain that. I can provide it to
7	you at a later time, if that would be okay.
8	SENATOR SEWARD: Thank you.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go now to
10	Assemblywoman Rosenthal.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Thank you.
12	OPWDD transitioned from about 350
13	Medicaid service coordinating organizations
14	to seven care coordination organizations to
15	try to eliminate conflicts in the case
16	management system. Do you know if providers
17	are able to open their own CCOs and recommend
18	the individual receive services through that
19	provider?
20	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: You are correct
21	that one of the goals of the CCO
22	implementation was to create a system that
23	was conflict-free. We collaborated with the
24	Department of Health to implement CCOs using

1	the health home model. The Department of
2	Health is the primary regulator of CCOs.
3	We also coordinated with CMS about the
4	design of CCOs and the role of providers in
5	helping to create CCOs. We believe that that
6	satisfied CMS in terms of the requirement to
7	be conflict-free.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: I mean it's
9	just about a year old, right, or less than a
10	year old?
11	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: I'm sorry, I
12	didn't hear the question.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: This has
14	just been in place for a short time. But
15	have you heard back from individuals or their
16	families in terms of how satisfied they are
17	with the services?
18	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: I believe that
19	generally satisfaction is one of the metrics
20	that we're looking at. I don't have that
21	data offhand. But we are talking with
22	families and individuals about their
23	satisfaction. And obviously there are
24	opportunities for improvement in that regard.

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Do you
2	believe a managed care company paying a
3	capitated rate will lead to higher-needs
4	individuals receiving less care?
5	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: Well, as I
6	described it, we have a process for the
7	implementation of managed care. We're at the
8	beginning of that process. We're just now
9	revising the draft SIP-PL document.
10	Managed care implementation will
11	depend upon, I think, the feedback that we
12	get on that document. There are many ways in
13	which managed-care implementation could
14	occur. It could be focused on state plan
15	services versus waiver services, it could be
16	focused on certain types of beneficiaries
17	for example, people with Medicaid, people who
18	are dually eligible through Medicaid and
19	Medicare, people with medical insurance. It
20	could be phased in in different geographies.
21	There are many inputs to an answer
22	that we're really not prepared to offer at
23	this point.
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: And when do

1	you think you will be?
2	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: Well, again,
3	the process is to publish the revised draft
4	SIP-PL qualifications document, ask for the
5	public input, and see what they think of the
6	revisions that we will be making.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Okay, thank
8	you.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
10	Senator Savino for questions.
11	SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you,
12	Assemblywoman.
13	Commissioner, this question may have
14	been asked previously when I was out of the
15	room, I apologize. But I did say that I
16	would ask on behalf of the affected
17	individuals. I do want to, though, echo the
18	comments of many of my colleagues with
19	respect to housing issues. This week it's
20	I think we're going to be having the
21	Developmental Disabilities Breakfast in
22	Staten Island, and there will be another one
23	in Brooklyn, and it is the number-one issue
24	that comes up over and over as aging parents

1	are more and more concerned about what will
2	happen to their sons and daughters if they're
3	not around. So we've got to solve this
4	housing crisis.
5	Again, I believe it's the shame of
6	this state the way we treat human service
7	workers, particularly direct support
8	professionals. That they're equated with
9	minimum wage work, it's just it's
10	outrageous. But it is what it is.
11	Last year, in an effort to satisfy the
12	<pre>#bFair2DirectCare campaign, where the</pre>
13	Governor proposed and it was adopted
14	another deferral of the COLA, the human
15	service COLA, we did commit to an additional
16	2 percent to the agencies. I'm being told by
17	several of the agencies in my district that
18	they're not collecting that money yet. In
19	fact, they won't they anticipate they
20	won't get it till after this budget is put to
21	bed.
22	Can you tell me what's happening with
23	the #bFair2DirectCare money and why it hasn't
24	been disbursed?

1	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: Certainly.
2	We've been discussing the January 1st
3	increase of 2 percent for DSPs was
4	recommended by OPWDD. It was incorporated
5	into our rates, and then the rates are sent
6	up for approval and loading.
7	So you are correct, they have not yet
8	been received by our providers, but we do
9	expect they should be received in the near
10	future. I can't speak as to whether or not
11	that might occur after April 1st or not. But
12	we're committed to ensuring that those rates
13	go into force and that they are retroactively
14	paid back to January 1st.
15	SENATOR SAVINO: I'm not understanding
16	why, if it was supposed to go into effect
17	January 1st, why didn't it?
18	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: As I said,
19	they've been approved by OPWDD
20	SENATOR SAVINO: They've been
21	approved, but they haven't been disbursed.
22	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: Correct.
23	Because we're not the sole entity responsible
24	for payment to providers.

1	SENATOR SAVINO: Would the other
2	entity be Medicaid?
3	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: Well, these are
4	Medicaid funds; it involves the Department of
5	Health, the Division of the Budget.
6	But I can tell you at our end we have
7	approved those rates and recommended that
8	they be paid. They will be paid retroactive
9	to January 1st.
10	SENATOR SAVINO: Will they receive
11	interest?
12	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: I can't speak
13	to whether or not that occurs. I
14	SENATOR SAVINO: It's almost as if
15	we're you know, they're giving you guys an
16	interest-free loan. And I'm not putting you
17	on the spot, it's just you know, people
18	worked very hard to get that increase, and
19	it's just a little disconcerting to see that
20	while it's in statute, it's not actually in
21	operation. And these agencies operate on the
22	margins so often, as you know. And so it
23	just presents even more complications for
24	them as they deal with, you know, their own

1	issues.
2	So I would hope we would find a way to
3	do it faster. And I really do think we might
4	want to consider giving them the interest on
5	the money that they're owed.
6	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: We can
7	certainly go back and try to nudge that
8	process forward as quickly as we can. I
9	understand it's a cash-flow concern for
10	providers. I ran provider agencies
11	SENATOR SAVINO: Yeah.
12	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: myself, and
13	I understand the margins are tight, that cash
14	flow is a problem.
15	SENATOR SAVINO: And again, not to put
16	you on the spot, but on January 1st the
17	minimum wage went up in this state. And so
18	part of this money was to help them meet

20 higher wage to their workforce, God bless
21 them -- in fact we want people to earn a
22 better living -- but they didn't get the
23 money to help them do that.
24 So I just think we should be a little

19

that. And so they're saddled with paying the

1	bit more aggressive about making sure they
2	get their money.
3	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: Well, thank
4	you. As I said, I'll go look at that.
5	SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you.
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
7	We go to Assemblywoman Miller.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MILLER: I have just two
9	other things that I wanted to ask. I'm
10	hearing a lot about individuals on
11	self-direction not having or the rumor
12	that they will not have full budget
13	authority. And it's frightening to hear
14	that.
15	Consumers have rights. Self-direction
16	allows individuals to integrate into the
17	community. They're gaining skills, making
18	progress to be more independent, with the
19	assistance of the comm-hab staff that I was
20	telling you before.
21	Isn't this the vision and mission
22	statement of OPWDD? And I quote: "Vision
23	Statement. People with developmental
24	disabilities enjoy meaningful relationships

1	with friends, family and others in their
2	lives, experience personal health and growth,
3	and live in the home of their choice and
4	fully participate in their communities."
5	The mission statement. We help people
6	with developmental disabilities live richer
7	lives. Isn't that the promise that we're
8	making to these constituents? I know it's
9	what I promised.
10	They want to know what happened to
11	choices. Taking away the full budget
12	authority within the regulations and
13	guidelines from the families and the brokers
14	will be devastating for them.
15	The other wait, before I'll just
16	ask them both at once and then you can just
17	answer.
18	Two years ago we enacted legislation
19	that created a new training program for first
20	responders to recognize and use appropriate
21	techniques to handle emergency situations
22	involving individuals on the autism spectrum
23	disorder. What's the status of that? And

has anything been developed, has anything

1	been implemented as of yet?
2	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: Sure.
3	Self-direction is an important tool for us in
4	helping families and individuals access the
5	services that they need. Last year alone, we
6	expanded the Self-Direction Program, added
7	3500 more individuals and their families to
8	the program, at a cost of approximately \$100
9	million.
10	So we are committed to self-direction.
11	We currently spend about \$400 million a year
12	and support 17,000, 18,000 individuals.
13	We're we're not talking about cutting it.
14	We're not talking about the loss of budget
15	authority.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MILLER: You're just
17	giving the control to the CCMs {sic}, to the
18	care coordination agencies, rather than the
19	families and their brokers?
20	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: I I don't
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MILLER: The CCOs are
22	already overwhelmed with the tasks that they
23	have.
24	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: Well, CCOs are

1	responsible for developing a life plan. That
2	is separate from a family working with a
3	fiscal intermediary or a support broker to
4	develop a plan of services to be delivered
5	through self-direction.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MILLER: But the actual
7	control over the self-direction budget
8	things change. It's fluid. It changes back
9	and forth. Where you don't use one thing, we
10	put it into another like we have the
11	ability to make it meet the needs of the
12	individual.
13	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: So families
14	have full budget authority, and they execute
15	that with
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MILLER: And they're not
17	losing that.
18	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: We have no
19	plans at the current at this current time
20	to eliminate self-direction or full budget
21	authority.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MILLER: Okay. Because
23	that was one thing that I keep hearing, that
24	they're taking the control and removing it

1	from the families.
2	Okay, thank you. And then the
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MILLER: Thank you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Carlucci
6	for a second round.
7	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Thank you.
8	Commissioner, I know we've been asked
9	about the funding not coming through the door
10	yet. We've also heard that the July 2019
11	rates have not been approved either. Is that
12	true?
13	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: To your point,
14	the July 2019 rates were approved by OPWDD.
15	And similar to the January 1, 2020, rates,
16	they were presented, they need to be approved
17	and loaded and then paid. We recognize that
18	that's a hardship for our providers, and that
19	does affect their cash flow. And we're
20	working as effectively as we can to try to
21	get those funds made available to our
22	providers.
23	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Okay. And we're
24	also hearing that there will be a cut across

1	the board in July of this year. Can you tell
2	us about that? Do you know how much that cut
3	will be? And is that is that true?
4	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: The budget did
5	include a 2 percent across-the-board cut for
6	providers, that is true.
7	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Okay, so 2 percent
8	across the board.
9	And before, in the previous
10	conversation we had or testimony we had, we
11	talked about how 80 percent of the services
12	being provided by nonprofits, 20 percent by
13	state-run facilities. Can you tell us about
14	the cost of living adjustment or the trend
15	that we've seen? Is that provided equally to
16	state-run facilities as well as
17	non-for-profit facilities or operations?
18	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: Well, we've
19	talked a lot about the rates paid to
20	voluntaries for the services provided on
21	behalf of people with developmental
22	disabilities.
23	The state side of the operation is
24	funded based upon the collective bargaining

Т	agreement. So I would defer to that document
2	and those negotiations for specific
3	information about the size of any increases
4	of salary or benefits.
5	SENATOR CARLUCCI: So are we saying
6	there's a difference between the COLA for
7	state and the COLA for nonprofit
8	organizations?
9	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: There probably
10	is. I don't know the specific nature of
11	that, but that would be a reasonable
12	assumption.
13	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Well, it seems that
14	there's been a deferred COLA on the
15	nonprofits but not for the state.
16	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: I'm sorry?
17	SENATOR CARLUCCI: The COLA has been
18	deferred for the nonprofits but not for the
19	state-run operations.
20	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: Well, as I
21	said, there is a deferral of the COLA for the
22	voluntaries. I don't know what the specific
23	parameters are of increases for state
24	employees under the collective bargaining

SENATOR CARLUCCI: Okay. I wanted to

ask just -- this has come up. We see in the

Executive proposal that the -- there will be

the removal of the background checks for

health homes in the Executive Budget. And

you're talking about it's a duplication of a

background check.

Could you tell us more about that and maybe tell us why that's necessary and what that will do?

COMMISSIONER KASTNER: That is applicable to health homes in general. The health homes are operated by the Department of Health. That falls outside of OPWDD's scope, so I really can't comment on that.

SENATOR CARLUCCI: Okay. Since I have just a minute left, I wanted to ask about when we talk about children with autism, we see a large spectrum. And I've been working with parents particularly that are concerned about high-functioning children with autism and making sure that they have the appropriate services.

1	Can you tell me what OPWDD is doing to
2	make sure that children with high-functioning
3	autism are getting the services that they
4	need?

the strengths of OPWDD is that it's focused on individuals and the planning is individualized. And if you qualify for OPWDD services, you actually access a wide range of support and services. There are many children, particularly individuals with high-functioning autism, who can benefit from services but may not qualify.

And in addition, we historically have not been particularly strong at building systems of care. So we're taking a lesson, I think, from OMH and focusing on building a continuum of services. We're looking at how to engage pediatric providers, primary care providers, and other healthcare professionals to provide appropriate supports. We're looking at building capacity among behavioral health providers. We're also trying to expand our access to intensive behavioral

1	services and, as I described in my testimony,
2	building out our network of crisis response
3	services.
4	We think there's a significant
5	opportunity to help children and their
6	families in particular access services which
7	might be more preventive in nature, as
8	opposed to reactive. I've heard the comments
9	about individuals in ERs and hospitals. We
10	want to try to avoid those when we can. So
11	being proactive, building systems that
12	support individuals would be very helpful in
13	that regard.
14	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Thank you,
15	Commissioner.
16	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
17	We go to Assemblywoman Griffin.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GRIFFIN: Thank you.
19	Good afternoon. I don't know if this
20	has been asked before, so forgive me if I'm
21	repeating. I know that the direct care
22	worker wages have increased by 4 percent, and
23	a 2 percent increase for clinical staff. But
24	I often meet with groups that work within

Т	these groups, but they're not considered
2	direct care workers so they might not be
3	getting this wage increase.
4	And I wondered, are there known groups
5	that are excluded from being categorized as
6	direct care workers?
7	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: My
8	understanding of how the salary increases for
9	DSPs occurs is that there are certain types
10	of positions that are eligible for that
11	increase and others that are not. I mean,
12	clearly the focus is on supporting
13	individuals that have a direct care
14	relationship to individuals as opposed to
15	management in the nonprofits.
16	So we use certain position categories
17	to identify individuals that would be
18	eligible for that salary increase.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GRIFFIN: Okay, thank
20	you. Yeah, it seems like there are you
21	know, I've met with I represent
22	southwestern Nassau County and I've been at a
23	couple of, you know, information meetings,
24	legislative breakfasts. And obviously it's a

1	very underfunded area. And there's so many
2	workers that you know, so many families
3	can't find people to work with their loved
4	ones because it's not well funded, like to
5	give them the adequate salaries. So it does
6	seem to, you know, to be an issue. So that
7	is something that I do question.
8	The other thing is I notice that we
9	have a cost-of-living adjustment that has
10	been deferred. So if the direct care workers
11	are getting an increase, who are the ones
12	that getting deferred from a cost of living
13	adjustment?
14	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: The salary
15	increases that were described earlier, the
16	January 1st and April 1st, are for DSPs. The
17	second increase is for DSPs plus clinical
18	staff. So the intent is to support the
19	capacity of organizations to provide services
20	directly to the individual, but the salary
21	increases are not focused on management.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GRIFFIN: Okay. Okay,
23	thank you very much.
24	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate?

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. I'm
2	almost going to let you go. So someone asked
3	you before, but either I didn't understand
4	the answer or you didn't give the answer.
5	You have 150 agencies on a financial
6	watch list. Tell me what that means, give us
7	a list of the agencies send it to us
8	and tell me what it means when you add a 2
9	percent cut in July.
10	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: As we
11	discussed, we are looking at our provider
12	capacity to ensure that it is adequate to
13	meet the needs of our individuals. The
14	current payment methodology to providers is
15	based on cost-based is cost-based, meaning
16	that the agencies send us a consolidated
17	fiscal report which identifies all of their
18	costs. All of those costs go into the rate
19	setting for that specific organization.
20	So there's a high degree of
21	variability for each agency in terms of the
22	rate that they're paid. We look at agencies

that may be fiscally stressed. We do not

want agencies to fail. We're particularly

23

1	concerned about agencies that have lower
2	costs, because they receive lower
3	compensation. Those are agencies that we do
4	want to support. There's no incentive for us
5	to allow them to fail, because if they fail
6	generally a higher-cost agency would assume
7	responsibility for providing those services.
8	So we're looking at opportunities to
9	try to enhance their operations and make them
10	viable.
11	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So you will be
12	able to provide us with a list of the 150
13	that are now on the watch list?
14	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: Of course.
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: How many of them
16	went under last year?
17	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: I do not know.
18	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: You don't know?
19	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: No, I don't
20	have that personal information. We can find
21	that for you.
22	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So if you could
23	follow up and also let us know how many went
24	under in the past 12 months.

1	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: Yes. Yes.
2	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I think that's
3	your time with us today. Thank you very much
4	for testifying.
5	COMMISSIONER KASTNER: Thank you,
6	Senator.
7	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. And
8	our next government witness, New York State
9	Office of Alcoholism and Substance Abuse
10	Services, Arlene González-Sánchez,
11	commissioner.
12	Good afternoon.
13	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: Good
14	afternoon, Senator Krueger, Assemblymember
15	Weinstein, Senator Harckham, Assemblymember
16	Rosenthal, and distinguished members of the
17	Senate and Assembly. My name is Arlene
18	González-Sánchez, and I'm the commissioner of
19	the New York State Office of Addiction
20	Services and Supports, or better known as
21	OASAS.
22	Thank you for providing me with the
23	opportunity to present Governor Cuomo's
24	fiscal year 2021 Executive Budget as it

1	pertains to OASAS. The Governor's Executive
2	Budget proposes that OASAS receive over \$821
3	million, including \$140 million for state
4	operations, \$90 million for capital projects,
5	and \$591 million for aids to localities.

The proposed Executive Budget will enable us to continue funding our prevention, treatment and recovery programs, including the increase in minimum wage for OASAS-funded providers as well as targeted salary increases for support, direct care and clinical staff.

The budget also supports increased spending for capital projects consistent with the agency's five-year capital plan, including over 200 residential treatment beds expected to open throughout the state over the next three years.

The Executive Budget establishes a new fund for the collection of fines levied for violations of existing state and federal behavioral health parity laws. Monies from this fund would be used for initiatives supporting further parity implementation,

. i	ncluding	the	ombudsman	program.
-----	----------	-----	-----------	----------

The Governor has proposed legislation to add 26 additional fentanyl analogs to the state's schedules of controlled substances.

This is especially crucial in the fight against the opioid epidemic, since the majority of all overdose deaths in New York State involve the use of substances containing fentanyl.

We will continue to enhance access to medicine-assisted treatment, increase prescriber education and resources, and train individuals in the use of naloxone as part of our continued effort to combat the opioid crisis.

Through our ongoing work, we are making measurable progress. For the first time in years we are starting to see a reduction in opioid overdose deaths. We have accomplished much of this progress through our Centers of Treatment Innovation, or COTIs, which offer expanded access to substance use disorder services through mobile treatment, telepractice and peer

_	i i
1	services.
	DETATCED.

2	Counties served by COTIs have seen a
3	25 percent decline in opioid-related overdose
4	deaths and a 48 percent decline in opioid
5	overdose emergency department visits. So
6	this year we're expanding COTIs statewide.
7	Today we announced the availability of
8	funding to establish one mobile treatment
9	vehicle in each of the 10 Economic
10	Development Regions in New York, and to
11	develop telepractice capacity in every county
12	in the state.
13	We have also facilitated
14	collaborations between emergency departments
15	and OASAS-certified treatment programs to
16	enhance medical providers' ability to offer
17	MAT. EDs can begin induction on medication

Another area that I would like to highlight is our efforts to establish and support MAT in state and local correctional facilities. Currently MAT is offered in

to treat opioid use disorder, and with the

help of peers, provide a supportive

transition to ongoing treatment.

Τ	II state facilities and 52 county
2	correctional systems, including New York
3	City.
4	We are currently working with the
5	New York State Department of Corrections and
6	Community Supervision and the Department of
7	Health to implement a buprenorphine program.
8	Medical staff at the seven facilities have
9	received training on buprenorphine already.
10	This will permit individuals who are
11	maintained on buprenorphine while
12	incarcerated in county jails to continue
13	their treatment when transferred to state
14	custody.
15	OASAS also working closely with DOCCS
16	to initiate an OTP inside a correctional
17	facility. This would be the first
18	state-operated OTP in a correctional facility
19	in the country.
20	As we all know, recovery supports are
21	crucial. Over the past year, we have opened
22	18 new recovery centers, for a total of
23	32 recovery centers serving more than
24	50,000 individuals across the state. The

1	agency has also implemented a multifaceted
2	approach to prevention, reaching youth,
3	families and communities across the state
4	through classroom-based curriculums,
5	schoolwide environmental activities, and
6	individualized prevention support for at-risk
7	students.
8	All of these services would not be
9	possible without a dedicated SUD workforce.
10	At OASAS we are proactively finding ways to
11	support employees and staff. Last week we
12	announced the award of over \$300,000 in
13	scholarship funding to support the
14	professional development of employees at
15	OASAS-certified organizations.
16	Finally, we continue developing public
17	education campaigns to address stigma, raise
18	community awareness about addiction, and
19	provide information on where to get help. In

education campaigns to address stigma, raise community awareness about addiction, and provide information on where to get help. In 2020 plans are underway to launch new campaigns to address many common misconceptions about addiction prevention, treatment and recovery.

So as you can see, we have been

1	working on expanding and enhancing our
2	services across New York State. And under
3	Governor Cuomo's leadership, and with your
4	support, we continue to make an aggressive
5	push to confront the opioid crisis and save
6	lives. We look forward to your continued
7	partnership as we advance these priorities.
8	Thank you.
9	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
10	Senator Pete Harckham.
11	SENATOR HARCKHAM: Thank you, Madam
12	Chair.
13	Commissioner, it's great to see you.
14	Thank you and your team for the great work
15	that you do.
16	Before I ask you a couple of
17	questions, just a statement and you don't
18	have to respond to this that many of us
19	and many of the people in the field think
20	that you are drastically underfunded. And so
21	I know that's not a question; you don't have
22	to respond to it. Those decisions are made
23	at a different pay grade. And I'm sure
24	you'll hear testimony from some of the

1	experts	later	on	in	the	afternoon	saying	the
2	same thi	ing.						

So I just wanted to put out on the record that for this Senator and for a number of the Senators who could not be here, we need to see your funding increased drastically, and that's our job to do. So thank you for all you do.

A couple of things. We spoke about workforce issues with the other agencies.

The Senate was happy to partner with you on the loan forgiveness, \$300,000. Tell us how that program is working, how it's been received, and should we expand that.

COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: It's going tremendously well. As a matter of fact, last week we announced -- I think it was like 38 or 48 awards, which tells you that it's really very much needed. People really jumped on it. With this money, people who are already or are thinking of becoming licensed social workers or mental health professionals will get additional help to pay for the schooling as well as the certified

1	prevention.

So it's going tremendously well. It

was one of those initiatives that when we put

it out, immediately the response was

tremendous. So it's going really, really

well. And it's going to do a lot for our

workforce.

SENATOR HARCKHAM: Great. Switching now briefly to the ombudsman program. It was mentioned during another agency's testimony; \$1.5 million is the budget. If you would explain to our constituents exactly what the ombudsman program is and how many people it serves.

COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: Well, as Commissioner Sullivan indicated, they've served over 1600 cases already. It's boots on the ground. There are five community agencies right now that are funded through the 1.5 that are actually doing the boots on the ground helping individuals.

Again, it's another program that has shown the tremendous need for this kind of work in the community. And there are areas

1	of the state that we don't have a
2	community-based organization to assist
3	families.
4	SENATOR HARCKHAM: Right.
5	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: And I
6	see you have the map.
7	SENATOR HARCKHAM: Yeah.
8	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: And so
9	if we were to acquire additional dollars, we
10	would want to ensure that those areas that
11	are blanked, we could have a community-based
12	organization there to help individuals work
13	with these entitlement issues.
14	SENATOR HARCKHAM: All right. So this
15	is the map of the areas where we have gaps.
16	So the gray areas are where we have gaps, the
17	colored are the regions where we have
18	service.
19	So how much would it cost us to get to
20	fill in the entire state and cover all the
21	regions?
22	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: So
23	right now we're funding the existing ones at
24	\$30,000, and there are five of them. What we

1	understand is that the funding is a little
2	low, so what we'd like to do is bring it up
3	to \$50,000 and then fund additional CBOs at
4	that rate.
5	SENATOR HARCKHAM: Okay. And then the
6	thought, as explained to me, is that the
7	ombudsman program would go to \$3 million,
8	with approximately \$1.5 million coming from
9	the new ability of OFS to levy fines
10	promulgate rules and levy fines against
11	insurance providers who are not guaranteeing
12	parity.
13	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: Yes,
14	it would be up to 1.5 if the appropriation is
15	approved and goes through.
16	SENATOR HARCKHAM: Okay. All right.
17	And then in your estimation let's
18	just say things work in a perfect world, that
19	part of the budget goes through. How long do
20	you think it takes for OFS to promulgate the
21	rules, people get up to speed, cases brought,
22	fines developed, and money starts flowing in?
23	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: Well,

like anything, it will probably take a little

1	time to get off the ground. So in the
2	interim, you know, we'll have to continue
3	working in the areas that we are. But it may
4	take a little while before fines are
5	promulgated and collected.
6	SENATOR HARCKHAM: So we're still
7	going to be short unless there's
8	legislative action, we're still going to be
9	1.5 shy from the 3.0.
10	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: Yes.
11	But, you know, I also want to make
12	clear just because we don't have a
13	community-based organization in those areas,
14	that we are not intervening when we're called
15	upon. It's just that it makes it more
16	difficult when you don't have, you know, CBOs
17	in particular areas. But we will continue
18	SENATOR HARCKHAM: Right. And you've
19	got you've got the hotline, but that's
20	still not a $24/7$. So in either case, we have
21	gaps that we need to fill.
22	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: Yes.
23	SENATOR HARCKHAM: Okay. Okay.
24	Moving on, a couple of other quick

1	things. We did a lot of traveling around the
2	state, as you know, the Senate did, in the
3	summer and in the fall, and we found out that
4	there were a lot of gaps. Gaps when people
5	leave hospitals, when they leave a
6	correctional facility, when they leave
7	treatment.
8	And one of the things that the federal
9	government has offered is funding of
10	take-home doses, up to a month. And that can
11	help sort of fill the gap in
12	medication-assisted treatment while we're
13	trying to get someone into their next.
14	New York's Medicaid reimbursement
15	model disincentives this. So what are we
16	doing to try and make this a more proactive
17	means of protecting people in the short term?
18	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: So as
19	you know, it is a complicated system, and
20	some of it is outside the jurisdiction of
21	OASAS. Nevertheless, we continue to work
22	with correctional facilities, with our
23	community-based organizations, to see how we
24	could minimize those risks of people being

1	released without medication, using our peers
2	and primarily our community-based, you know,
3	providers who assist us in being there and
4	helping us with this situation.
5	But it is a complicated system that
6	really falls outside of, you know, OASAS when
7	you're talking about Medicaid.
8	SENATOR HARCKHAM: Okay. All right.
9	I know we're going to run out of time soon.
10	I'm going to try and combine a bunch of
11	questions into one.
12	Criminal justice. You had mentioned
13	medication-assisted treatment in our
14	correctional facilities. A, want to know how
15	that is going.
16	B, we talk about criminal justice
17	reform. A lot of the sheriffs are saying,
18	Well, we no longer have that population to
19	treat. Obviously that's not where we want to
20	treat people, we want to get them first.
21	But what are the things that we can do
22	at the time of prearrest or arrest rather
23	than, you know, for that lower level
24	population?

Τ	And the third question is, what are we
2	doing to aid folks when they're getting out
3	of correctional facilities as a bridge?
4	Because we know that's those are among the
5	highest population for overdose deaths.
6	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: Okay,
7	so let's see how I figure this out. So let's
8	start off with we have 56 community-based
9	correctional facilities. And out of the 56,
10	45 are doing Vivitrol and 32 are doing
11	methadone and buprenorphine.
12	Now, on the state correctional side,
13	we have 52 prisons. All 52 are doing some
14	addiction services. Out of those 52, 11 are
15	doing medication-assisted treatment. Out of
16	those, four are doing Vivitrol and seven are
17	doing methadone. And soon, hopefully soon
18	they'll be doing buprenorphine as well.
19	So we have currently over 200
20	individuals behind the wall in state
21	facilities, including a handful of pregnant
22	women that are getting medication-assisted
23	treatment behind the wall.
24	On the community side, as I indicated,

1	we have those programs already providing
2	medication-assisted treatment. For those, we
3	continue to work with the sheriffs to look at
4	realigning some of the dollars that they got
5	to prescribe medication because the time
6	frame is so short now, to do more quick
7	assessment and referrals using peers.
8	We're also working with the various
9	DAs, you know, in Staten Island, here in
10	Albany, to do diversion programs at the point
11	in which police interact with the individual
12	prior to arraignment the HOPE program in
13	Staten Island, LEAD here.
14	So we are doing a lot of different
15	things on the community side to really
16	address this issue.
17	SENATOR HARCKHAM: Thank you.
18	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
19	Assembly.
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
21	Assemblywoman Rosenthal, chair of our
22	Alcoholism Committee.
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Hi. Thank
24	you. Hello, Commissioner. Good to see you.

1	So we've heard how overdose deaths are
2	going down. But can you explain the
3	disparity in data between the DOH opioid
4	dashboard and the DOH county opioid quarterly
5	reports? And from the dashboard, it doesn't
6	appear to be any improvements in
7	opioid-related deaths in the state, yet it's
8	been said often that the number of
9	opioid-related deaths seems to be going down.
10	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: I
11	don't know that I'm prepared to discuss DOH
12	dashboard data. I don't know that I really
13	could do that. So I couldn't respond to
14	that.
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Okay. So
16	assuming, yes, there's a reduction in
17	overdose death rates between 2017 and 2018
18	and I think a lot of them were in the City of
19	New York the pace of recovery is lopsided.
20	And we've not moved the dial for low-income
21	communities and communities of color, it
22	seems.
23	I have legislation to require that
24	naloxone be made available in homeless

1	shelters across the state, because every life
2	matters. Does OASAS have a program to
3	provide shelters with naloxone?
4	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: Well,
5	as you know, we do provide training, naloxone
6	training, and we do our own training. We
7	also, in collaboration with DOH, do that.
8	And when we do train folks, we have the
9	ability to give kits.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: But I don't
11	believe that it's a requirement in all the
12	homeless shelters. And certainly people with
13	opioid use disorder are present in shelters
14	the way they are throughout society.
15	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: Right.
16	I don't believe it's required that they do
17	that. But I do know in some of the shelters
18	that we have both mental health and addiction
19	teams doing some work with individuals in the
20	shelter. I believe there's an offer and
21	to give kits and also to train individuals,
22	to engage them in treatment.
23	But in terms specifically of Narcan
24	kits being given out, I really couldn't tell

1	you that that was a mandate, no.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Okay. So
3	along those lines, I'm the sponsor of
4	legislation requiring that
5	medication-assisted treatment be provided in
6	state and county correctional facilities as
7	well as, yes, state prisons.
8	The Governor announced in a State of
9	the State proposal that New York would seek
10	to have DOCCS recognized as an OTP. So while
11	it's good that the administration is
12	recognizing the importance of targeting the
13	vulnerable population with offers of MAT,
14	getting it recognized as an OTP will take a
15	long time.
16	It would be great if the
17	administration would consider expanding its
18	relationship with community-based OTPs so the
19	state correctional facilities can start
20	providing access to MAT now.
21	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: Well,
22	in fact there are some guest dosing that was

being done by our community-based

organizations at these different facilities.

23

1	And when DOCCS does get a program, then
2	they'll be able to do it on their own. But
3	for now it's through relationships with our
4	community-based organizations that they are
5	providing the methadone.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: I don't
7	understand how anyone could justify not
8	providing buprenorphine, Vivitrol and
9	methadone all three in state prisons
10	where we know it's needed. Every single
11	prison, so that and in every county
12	facility as well. Because we know that
13	overdoses happen, we know that people
14	sometimes struggle to not be as dependent.
15	So if they had the buprenorphine, if
16	they had methadone, if they had Vivitrol for
17	those who want the one-month shot, that that
18	be given to them. I mean, they don't have
19	fewer rights in terms of healthcare just
20	because they're behind bars.
21	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: So
22	what I'd like to say first of all, you

know, I feel that I'm answering for the DOCCS

commissioner, and I want to make sure that I

23

1	don't do that. But from where I sit, I have
2	to say that I've seen a tremendous
3	partnership with DOCCS in the last couple of
4	years. I mean, the fact that we are in 11
5	facilities I think speaks a lot.
6	I think we need to also learn a little
7	more about the needs behind the wall: Do we
8	need 52 prisons to have all three
9	medications? I don't know. And I think thi
L O	is something we have to evaluate.
11	You have three reception centers that
12	they are opening that, you know, individuals
13	once they are arrested and before they get
L 4	transferred to wherever they're going to do
15	their state time, will go. We have three
16	that they could continue their medication,
L7	and now we have seven facilities.
18	I think we need to look at who we're
19	talking about. Are the numbers there to the
20	say we need to expand it to yet more prisons

I think we need to look at who we're talking about. Are the numbers there to then say we need to expand it to yet more prisons? And I humbly say that's my opinion, and I don't want to speak for the commissioner of DOCCS.

24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Okay. Well,

1	the Assembly last year added \$1 million to
2	the budget to expand MAT, but this funding
3	was not carried over into the 2021 budget.
4	So are there concerns that the funding, you
5	know, not being continued will affect the
6	pilot programs that are going on?
7	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: Well,
8	I have to say that yes, it wasn't carried on.
9	It was a one-time item, so the expectation
10	was not to carry it on.
11	However, I think that there are
12	efficiencies that we could look at. Like I
13	said, that we are looking, we are working
14	with the local sheriffs and police to see how
15	we could realign some of the dollars that are
16	in the county jails.
17	And so at this point I think that
18	there are concerns. I think that people are
19	working more closely together because of
20	other changes in laws. And we're watching it
21	carefully to see if they are.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: I think this
23	is part of a game. Like you put it in the
24	budget, and I'll take it out, and then you

1	restore it.
2	But in this area, and I'll echo
3	Senator Harckham said, there's no room for
4	games here. I mean, it's a small budget and
5	the need is so great. We need to have Narcan
6	in every emergency room. We need
7	buprenorphine in every emergency room. We
8	need MAT available with counseling to people
9	behind bars. We need so much more. And this
10	petty you know, the Executive removes 2
11	million for SAPAS workers, the Assembly
12	restores it, now the Senate can join us in
13	restoring it. It's like games that shouldn't
14	happen in a budget that is dealing with
15	life-and-death issues.
16	And I wish that there was much more
17	money devoted to dealing with the overdose
18	crisis than there is right now.
19	Can you tell me how much federal money
20	OASAS has received this year?
21	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: Well,
22	we receive 111 million from for prevention

and treatment. And as part of the SOR, we

are receiving 35, I believe -- 32, 35

23

1	million.

2		ASS	SEME	BLYWOMAN	I RO	SENI	HAL:	And	do	we
3	know	what	we	expect	in	the	future	?		

4 COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: The
5 SOR, the state -- well, the federal grant, we
6 expect the same amount unless there are some
7 cuts in Washington. The SOR is time-limited,
8 so this will be the last year that we get the
9 30 to 35 million that we're getting.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Okay. And then I see time is running out, but I have legislation on sober homes, three-quarter homes, recovery homes, where people who are newly in recovery go to find a supportive environment in which to live.

But many in recovery homes are in treatment looking for a stable living environment. Sober homes are not typical housing, and they are not treatment, so they fall within a gray area. Someone who doesn't have a safe and stable home in which to live will find it much more difficult to maintain their sobriety.

24 What does OASAS think its role is, or

1	should be, with respect to sober homes?
2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: And you'll have
3	an opportunity to answer that in the second
4	round.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Okay.
6	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
7	Senator James Seward.
8	SENATOR SEWARD: Thank you, Madam
9	Chair. And thank you, Commissioner.
10	Following up on the discussion in
11	terms of what goes on in the local jails, I
12	know that the last two to three years this
13	has been a Senate priority, to include
14	\$3.75 million for jail-based substance use
15	disorder treatment. Have these funds been
16	released from this year's budget? Or what's
17	your plan to implement this \$3.75 million?
18	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: Oh,
19	no, the 3.75 is in the base. And yes, it's
20	been released. And that's what the local
21	jails and sheriffs are using to develop peer
22	programs and so on and so forth. It's very
23	well used, yes.
24	SENATOR SEWARD: Do you have a process

1	in place to evaluate the effectiveness of
2	these programs?
3	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: Of the
4	jail-specific ones?
5	SENATOR SEWARD: Right. Right.
6	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: We
7	have a process and we have a report that
8	we actually share with the Legislature on all
9	the new initiatives, like the recovery
10	supports, the clubhouses. And I believe the
11	report was sent either last week or today or
12	something I think last week.
13	With respect to the efficiencies or
L 4	the not efficiencies, but the outcomes of
15	the jail-based, we're continuing to work with
16	the sheriff associations to monitor the
17	outcomes. So we work with them. Do we get a
18	report? We're working on trying to get a
19	report from them.
20	SENATOR SEWARD: I would share the
21	concerns of my colleagues that the additional
22	\$1 million for the MAT program in our local
23	jails is not included in the Executive's

proposal. And it's certainly, I think, a

1	much-ne	eeded	l r	progr	am	that	hope	efully	we	can
2	figure	out	a	way	to	conti	lnue	that.		

You mention the reduction in heroin overdoses here, and I've experienced that in some of my counties as well. Would you say that this is -- this phenomenon, and I think it is a good trend to see. But is there a way to evaluate whether that is because of the expanded availability of Narcan? Or is there just less use of heroin through, you know, the treatment opportunities and the like?

I mean, how can we judge whether it's the Narcan or less use through treatment and other means?

COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: I

think it's a combination. And what we saw
early last year is that those counties,
especially upstate, where we implemented the
COTIs, the Centers of Treatment Innovations,
where we brought telehealth, we brought
mobile capacity to very, you know, rural
areas where there was no treatment, we saw a
drastic decrease in overdose deaths and even,

<pre>1 you know, emergency room visit</pre>	1	you know,	, emergency	room	visit
---	---	-----------	-------------	------	-------

So I think having that, the treatment
and the access to that -- remember, we've
also, through legislation, really increased
access by flexing some of our regulations.
We're out there training, you know, on
Narcan. I think it's a combination of all
that.

But certainly I think that, you know,

But certainly I think that, you know, the mobile treatment has been key. And the telehealth, it's been really, really tremendous for our population.

SENATOR SEWARD: And one final question, Commissioner. You know, as I read the Governor's budget, the amount of funds of approximately \$240 million for heroin/opioid-related funding of various programs is flat. And could you share with us how these funds will be utilized -- generally, obviously. You can't talk about every single dollar -- but how these funds will be utilized, and do you believe that more funding would help combat even better this heroin/opioid epidemic in New York

1	State?
2	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: Well,
3	what I could say is that there is a slight
4	increase in our budget, at least the
5	appropriated budget. The budget this
6	current budget does allow us to continue all
7	the work that we're doing, and yet some that
8	are in the pipeline, like I indicated, having
9	telehealth in every county, having the mobile
10	treatment in each county.
11	So this budget does allow me to
12	continue those efforts.
13	SENATOR SEWARD: Thank you.
14	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
15	Assembly.
16	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman Ra.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you,
18	Commissioner.
19	I just had a question with regard to
20	the cannabis proposal. And I know there's
21	been obviously a lot of discussion about this
22	proposal publicly, it came up last year, and
23	there's an office that's going to deal with a
24	lot of the pieces of this.

1	But do you foresee, you know, any new
2	needs within your department as a result of
3	this adult use of marijuana potentially
4	becoming legal in New York State?
5	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: Well,
6	we will continue to work with DOH and the new
7	office. We treat cannabis abuse right now in
8	our system, and we will continue to do so.
9	It's premature to really say whether
10	we're going to see a spike or not, you know.
11	I can't really comment on that at this point.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Okay. And just so
13	with regard to that, so whether there should
14	be some piece of this, that some level of the
15	revenue goes toward treatment or anything
16	like that, you think it's premature until we
17	see, you know, what potential increase in use
18	we might see in New York State.
19	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: I
20	really can't comment on that because I think
21	those are negotiations that are happening
22	right now. So I really can't comment on that
23	point.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you,

1	Commissioner.
2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate.
3	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
4	Senator David Carlucci.
5	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Thank you, Chair.
6	Thank you, Commissioner. It's great
7	to see you. And thank you for your
8	commitment to fighting addiction wherever it
9	is. And it's great to always see you in the
10	district, at openings. And my office has had
11	a great partnership with your office in doing
12	as many naloxone trainings as we can, and
13	we've trained hundreds of people, and they've
14	left with a kit. And every now and then I'll
15	be in the community, someone will come up to
16	me and say, Hey, I was at one of those
17	trainings, I had the kit, I used it, and I
18	saved someone's life. And you know, that
19	hits you. And it says, Okay, we've got to
20	keep doing this.
21	So one of the things I want to ask is,
22	is there something in the budget we can point
23	to that will be funding these naloxone kits

to make sure that the programs that you're

1	doing to distribute the kits free of charge
2	is continuing?
3	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: I
4	believe it is in our budget. I couldn't
5	really point it to you right now, but I'll be
6	more than glad to give you that information.
7	SENATOR CARLUCCI: But you're
8	satisfied that you're going to be able to
9	continue that program and provide free kits?
10	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: Yes.
11	Yes.
12	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Okay. Then I
13	wanted to ask about one of the things that
L 4	just drives me crazy is hearing about the
15	access to we've heard about
16	medical-assisted treatment and methadone.
17	And we know that the federal government has
18	allowed for take-home doses to exist.
19	But it seems that in New York State
20	that hasn't been as thorough or as accessible
21	as we'd like. I mean, I have people that I
22	know travel hours every day to get access to
23	methadone just to live an independent,
2.4	productive life. And we know we see our

corrections units going down the Thruway all
day long, or through our local roads, because
they don't have access to methadone locally.

What we've heard, though, on some of the programs is that because of New York's Medicaid reimbursement system, the way that it's set up is that it disincentivizes the take-home-dose program. As you know, it can be 30 days that someone can have a take-home dose, under the guidelines of the federal government.

Can you speak to that? What are we doing to make it easier? Is there something that I'm missing? Is there a problem with moving it in a way that would incentivize to have more of these take-home dose options?

COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: I really feel uncomfortable speaking about the whole Medicaid situation because that's outside of our purview. That's more of a Department of Health question. We continue, as always, working with localities where there is a need for medication-assisted treatment. We're doing it via the vans, the

Τ	mobile vans. We're doing the best that we
2	can with what we can under our jurisdiction.
3	But when it comes to the whole, you
4	know, Medicaid billing and so on, I really
5	I can't speak to that.
6	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Okay, I could
7	appreciate that.
8	With that said, we can't dive into
9	Medicaid, we don't have them here at the
10	table with us today. But are there other
11	roadblocks that you can see that we could be
12	proactive with under this agency to make
13	methadone more accessible in New York State?
14	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: Well,
15	we continue to move forward, you know, making
16	sure that our regulations speak to access,
17	individuals having access. I think that we
18	have really done a tremendous job in that.
19	We're continuing to work with
20	individuals and, you know, educating them
21	around medication-assisted treatment, and we
22	will continue to do that. I think that
23	there's still some gaps, some communities
24	that still don't understand that, you know,

1	buprenorphine is a medication. And that's
2	something that, you know, we need any help we
3	can in getting people to understand that it
4	is.
5	But like I said, you know, we continue
6	to work with the criminal justice system, the
7	judicial system, to get that across.
8	SENATOR CARLUCCI: So what would you
9	say, what is the biggest roadblock right now
10	for access to methadone in New York State?
11	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: I
12	don't know that I would say there's a
13	roadblock. I think we need to look at some
14	of our insurance payers. I think that's an
15	area we really need to look at. Because, you
16	know, people say it's a block, but it's
17	really the payers. So we need to do a better
18	job of talking to our private insurers as
19	well as Medicaid managed care plans.
20	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Thank you.
21	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: Thank
22	you.
23	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Assembly.
24	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman

	Rosenthal.
1	DOSCILLIAT.

	2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Okay, thank
	3	you. So in terms of sober homes, where I
	4	ended last time and I've heard many
	5	stories where they set their own rules. And
	6	if someone, you know, comes two minutes late
	7	then the management can say, Okay, you're out
	8	of here. Or they say abstinence only, but
	9	for a person who's on buprenorphine, that's
1	0	abstinence for them, they're not using heroin
1	1	or other opioids.

So -- and I have a bill to address this issue. But what -- I think OASAS -- I'd like to hear what you think the role should be in terms of sober homes where people with substance use disorder go.

COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: Okay. So this comes up every year. Sober homes, we don't monitor -- our sober homes are not part of our continuum of care. That's probably part of the reason why there's so many issues with sober homes.

We do have our own system of housing within our portfolio. And I have in the past

1	said and I continue to say if you have sober
2	homes that want to be monitored and regulated
3	by OASAS, then, you know, they're welcome to
4	apply.
5	The you know, I can't I can't
6	tell a sober home that's not under our
7	purview to follow our regulations. I could
8	only do that with individuals that are
9	licensed under us. And that's the issue with
10	sober homes.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Okay. I
12	mean you correctly point out, because there
13	really is no oversight, that it's a free
14	reign to do whatever they want. And that
15	does need to change.

COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: I

think that -- you know, to a certain extent I

also think that's a local issue that needs to

be addressed as well. Because some of these
entities, you know, are they zoned properly?

I mean, I really don't want to get into that
right now. But who monitors and who decides

I'm going to become a sober home, and how
does that happen?

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Exactly.
2	Exactly. And the sober home creators do say,
3	Ooh, let me get your Medicaid money. And so
4	they have a certain responsibility back to
5	the state to not have these kinds of
6	frivolous power, you know, moves when a
7	person is in treatment or other
8	circumstances.
9	So the Governor vetoed a bill that I
10	cosponsored with Senator Harckham about prior
11	authorization. He did sign a bill that
12	commercial insurance would no longer have to
13	comply with would no longer have a prior
14	authorization issue, yet people on Medicaid
15	would still have to undergo prior
16	authorization. And at times that is going to
17	bollix up their whole treatment. Because
18	when a person needs their buprenorphine, for
19	example, there's no time to waste to wait
20	some days or even a week for prior
21	authorization.
22	How do you think that your agency or
23	others can attempt to make things right for
24	Medicaid patients?

1	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: So a
2	couple of things, a couple of comments.
3	Again, that's a DOH jurisdiction,
4	Medicaid.
5	But I just want to clarify that no one
6	will go without medication. I think that
7	what the Governor is proposing, this
8	standardized formulary would address a lot of
9	other issues that we're not talking about
10	when it comes to the formulary.
11	There are different forms of
12	buprenorphine. We have approximately 17 or
13	18, something like that, managed care
14	programs, right? Entities. They all cover
15	different forms of buprenorphine. It's
16	really chaotic . It's chaotic for the
17	person, the prescriber; it's chaotic for the
18	individual receiving the prescription.
19	Sometimes they go to their local pharmacy,
20	the pharmacy is not even stocked up.
21	So having a standardized formulary
22	where you have access, everyone's clear on
23	what forms of buprenorphine are covered by
24	the managed care entities, I think goes a

1	long way.
2	But I need to clarify: No one will go
3	without their buprenorphine. And if there is
4	I've got to finish. If there is an
5	individual that's on a particular form that
6	their managed care company does not cover it,
7	they have a we have in statute a five
8	they could get five days of the medication,
9	and they also have a quick turnaround time
10	for prior approval. That's in statute as
11	well.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Thank you.
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
14	Senate?
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. I'm
16	going to offer a few questions.
17	So I was very disturbed that you were
18	hypothesizing whether the number of people
19	having opioid overdoses was going up or down
20	statewide and whether it was the same people
21	having multiple and then Narcan or
22	something else saving them, or whether they

were unique independent overdoses.

So I'm going to ask you to submit a

23

1	document, a chart to the committees that
2	shows how many people had overdoses, how many
3	died, and whether if you know how many
4	multiples there were of the same people, that
5	the success of having access to Narcan
6	treated them.
7	Because in your testimony you talk
8	about in the counties where you have X
9	operating and then Pete Harckham had a map
10	that showed holes in the counties. But I
11	think we really want to know county by county
12	what the story is and whether we're going up
13	or down.
14	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: So can
15	I clarify?
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Yup.
17	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: The
18	map that Senator Harckham had had nothing to
19	do with overdose deaths.
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay.
21	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: It had
22	to do with the ombudsman program.
23	And I did not hypothesize of whether
24	the deaths are going up or down. As a matter

1	of fact, I didn't want to comment on a
2	Department of Health database because I don't
3	have that data to comment on. I don't I
4	didn't hypothesize that the numbers are going
5	up or down. In fact, in some areas they are
6	going down and in some areas they're
7	plateauing and in other areas they're just
8	going up slightly.
9	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So you do have
10	that data available.
11	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: Yes.
12	And the data that I have let me be
13	clear I also work with the Department of
14	Health to get. Because they're the ones that
15	have that data. They get it from the
16	hospitals. That's the only way I would be
17	able to get that data.
18	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Well, if you
19	died, they would get it from the hospitals,
20	perhaps. But if you were saved and never
21	even got to a hospital, it wouldn't be
22	hospital data.
23	But I think you're right, that the
24	Department of Health should still have that

1	data in their data collection. But you
2	should also have easy access to that kind of
3	data.

COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: Yeah.

asked several times -- and I'm just totally confused. Federal law says that they can give you Medicaid if you are moving, transitioning from one program or jail to somewhere else so that you don't have an interruption in medications that are helping ensure you don't go back to the kinds of drugs we don't want you on. And you've said several times you can't comment about how DOH handles this.

You have many sort of arrangements with the Department of Health where you do things together. I remember when you were going through and moving methadone clinics to being full health clinics so that people who were going for methadone were getting full healthcare at the same sites, because that was very rational.

What is it that prevents the State of

1	New York from making sure that people can
2	continue medication uninterrupted so that
3	they don't find themselves perhaps up to 30
4	days with no access to medications that we
5	know they were on and are working?
6	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: So I
7	guess I would rephrase my answer by saying
8	that I would need to discuss with the
9	Department of Health to see what are the
10	issues, if any, preventing us from doing
11	that.
12	But right now I'm not I'm not
13	prepared to respond to the specifics of that.
14	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: But these are
15	people coming out of where?
16	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ:
17	Prison.
18	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Prison? So when
19	they're in prison, we know everything about
20	them. We've got all the I.D., we've got
21	every piece of information. And there
22	certainly are models where people are signed
23	up for Medicaid while they're still pending
24	release from prison. We've had that model in

1	a variety of places throughout the state.
2	So pretty much it's exclusively when
3	you're leaving prison and we know you have
4	been in a drug program where you're getting
5	medicine, and we wanted to make sure you
6	continue that medicine. Right?
7	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: Right.
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay. It may
9	take DOH cooperation, it may take DOCCS
10	cooperation. But this seems to me to be a no
11	brainer and that we want to make sure we're
12	not leaving people hanging out there for 30
13	days without the treatment that they have
14	been responding well to. Because you're
15	setting them up for a couple of things.
16	One, you're of course setting them up
17	to go back to their addiction problem. And
18	two, you're likely setting them up to just
19	get sent right back to the state jails
20	because they've flunked the test of sobriety.
21	So it just seems, again, this is a
22	win/win or a lose/lose, and we're currently

in the lose/lose column. So I hope that we

can move to the win/win column quickly.

23

1	Another follow-up question and Pete
2	Harckham raised it, and I think Linda
3	Rosenthal raised it just the inadequacy of
4	enough money for drug treatment. You and I
5	have talked about in the past the problem we
6	see in New York City where you're on the
7	streets, you haven't been open to going into
8	shelter, you are very often a dual mental
9	illness and substance-abusing person, and
10	suddenly because of the work of the outreach
11	teams, usually, the person says, "You know
12	what, yeah, it's time, I need help, I need
13	treatment." And they need to get them
14	residential treatment right away.
15	And we even had a meeting maybe two
16	years ago now with your team and the city's
17	HRA and homeless services team and I think
18	Department of Mental Health, and you had a
19	number of programs that were just about to
20	open and were going to help address this.
21	Did they open?
22	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: Are
23	you talking specifically for the teams in the
24	shelters?

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: You had a number
2	of different programs. But you also were
3	committing to more residential on-demand for
4	people who were on the streets with a
5	combination of substance abuse and mental
6	illness.
7	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: Well,
8	we do have a couple of programs that have
9	opened up throughout the state to do exactly
10	that. I don't have the list I don't
11	remember the list. But I'll be more than
12	glad to submit it to you and tell you when
13	they started, how they're doing, how many
14	people they're serving, and so on and so
15	forth.
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay. That would
17	be appreciated, thank you.
18	Assembly?
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman
20	Gunther.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: So I have a
22	question regarding I was in a clinic in
23	Newburgh. And, you know, there's people
24	fail on the other meds and often they stay on

1	methadone,	you	know.	And	you	have	to	go	to	а
2	clinic in	order	to get	met	hado	one.				

And yet what my understanding is is

that, you know, people travel from all over

the Orange County area to get to this clinic.

And they also, you know, usually in those

facilities they have ID doctors, just general

practitioners. And there's an issue with

one-stop shopping for those folks.

Like, in other words, it has bathroom issues -- it's like some ridiculous thing that is stopping somebody to do their deal and get what they need to remain sober, but also going then on the -- maybe to the other side and getting medical care, like if there's an ID doctor and you have a co-infection with HIV or something like that, that you can't go from one side to the other. Whether it's one bathroom missing -- it's like ridiculous rules that the DOH has in place that are preventing people from one-stop shopping.

We're spending a boatload of money on Medicaid cabs and -- because you come for one

1	reason	and	then	you	go	to	someplace	else	for
2	another	rea	ason.						

So it's a cost saving and it's also something that when you have a captive audience, you really get to be able to do a thorough, you know, full-person evaluation.

And there's a remarkable thing called like talking to one another between like an ID doctor, someone that's running the program, et cetera, et cetera.

And there's something that's stopping it, and I don't know what exactly the law on the books is. But I know that it would be much more cost-efficient, it would be better for the patient, it's more of a holistic approach in 2020.

And if you could look and see what we can do to maybe change that system. Because I think it's important -- I know that anonymity for many is very important. But again, you walk in with a tribunal of people from all different walks of life -- there can be, you know, the president and CEO and somebody else.

1	so I just thought I'd bring it to your
2	attention because New York could save money
3	on Medicaid cabs and it's also a convenience
4	for many that are, you know, getting
5	treatment. And also because of, you know,
6	brutalizing their body for some time, they
7	have to make sure that their health is in
8	good order.
9	That's all I have to say.
10	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: Thank
11	you.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: I just went
13	there. That's why I brought it up.
14	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Pete
15	Harckham, second round, to close.
16	SENATOR HARCKHAM: Thank you,
17	Madam Chair.
18	So many questions, so little time.
19	I'm glad Chair Rosenthal asked about housing,
20	we'll check that off the list.
21	Let's talk about harm reduction. Over
22	the last couple of months as we traveled
23	around the state, we heard so much about, you
24	know, before you get people in treatment,

1	we've just got to keep people alive and reach
2	them where they are, wherever that may mean.
3	Anything from needle exchange all the way to
4	supervised consumption.
5	So what is the state doing, what are
6	we doing now in terms of harm reduction, and
7	what opportunities do we have to do more?
8	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: So as
9	you know, we have, you know, flexed our
10	regulations. There's no wording in our regs
11	that says "abstinence only." We're very
12	patient-centered in everything we do. We
13	work with the individual where they're at.
14	We don't force treatment on anyone. We work
15	with them. And we will continue to do that.
16	SENATOR HARCKHAM: Any specific
17	programs? That's kind of a generic
18	philosophy. I guess what I'm looking for,
19	what more can we do, particularly in our
20	hard-to-reach communities, in terms of
21	keeping people alive and harm reduction?
22	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: Well,
23	you know, we're out, we have peers out in the
24	street doing one-on-one work with

1	individuals, with Narcan, trying to get them
2	into treatment if that's what they want. And
3	we're going to continue to do those efforts.
4	SENATOR HARCKHAM: All right. Thanks.
5	One of the other things that we hear
6	and see is the shortage of doctors who have
7	the waiver for to prescribe certain types
8	of medication-assisted treatment. What are
9	we doing to try and incentivize more
10	physicians, physician assistants and nurse
11	practitioners into the program?
12	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: Well,
13	I hear that often. Last year it was the same
14	issue. I'm not sure that's accurate. I
15	think we have quite a few ex-waivered
16	physicians. I think the issue is whether
17	they want to prescribe or not. That's
18	another issue.
19	We now, of course, are working with
20	DOH to ensure that the EDs start induction of
21	medication-assisted treatment and then
22	communicate with the community-based
23	organizations to hook up the individuals if
24	they at the emergency rooms cannot or do not

1 want	to	prescribe.
--------	----	------------

So we should have a better sense this year, once these things are fully implemented and we have the appropriate oversight to know how that's working. But we continue to work with physicians who want to be waivered. I believe we do a -- we have even assistance in doing the actual waiving, the teaching and so on and so forth, and we will continue that.

SENATOR HARCKHAM: All right.

Speaking of the emergency rooms, we had heard from patient advocacy groups and treatment providers there are still emergency rooms in the state that don't have MAT-qualified physicians in the emergency room.

I know you're rolling that out, you've got a program for that. How soon before we close that gap and literally every emergency room in New York State has at least one MAT-certified physician?

COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: So as I indicated, we will continue to work with the Department of Health, because they're the ones that have jurisdiction over hospitals,

1	to ensure that that happens.
2	But that is part of regulations right
3	now, and they have to do discharge planning,
4	that's appropriate, and they must start
5	induction in the EDs. We need that
6	oversight. So I will continue to work with
7	the Department of Health to ensure that that
8	happens.
9	But there are several EDs that are
10	doing it already.
11	SENATOR HARCKHAM: Terrific. Thank
12	you.
13	Thank you, Madam Chair.
14	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. I
15	think we're done. Thank you very much.
16	COMMISSIONER GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ: Thank
17	you.
18	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And our next
19	testifier is Denise Miranda, executive
20	director of New York State Justice Center for
21	the Protection of People with Special Needs.
22	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: Good
23	afternoon, Chairs Krueger, Weinstein,

24 Carlucci, and Gunther, as well as other

1	distinguished members of the Senate and
2	Assembly.
3	My name is Denise Miranda, and I am
4	the executive director of the New York State

5 Justice Center for the Protection of People with Special Needs. I would like to thank 7 you for the opportunity to testify regarding

Governor Cuomo's Executive Budget proposal. 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Today I come before you on behalf of the more than 1 million New Yorkers in care with special needs. The Justice Center's work is directed by our steadfast commitment to protecting vulnerable people from abuse and neglect. It is our commitment to that focus that drives every aspect of what we do and every decision that we make.

We refuse to deviate from our mission because history has proven that this opens the door to bad actors who for years worked the system while hurting people.

CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Denise, pull the mic a little closer to your mouth, sorry. Thank you.

24 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: Sure.

1	Thanks to the leadership of the
2	Governor, and with your partnership, cases
3	that previously had fallen through the cracks
4	are now fully investigated and those
5	responsible for abuse and neglect are held
6	accountable.
7	Nearly seven years ago, the Justice
8	Center was tasked with the important mission
9	of protecting vulnerable populations, and we
10	have learned a lot in that time. Some look
11	at the young age of this agency as a
12	detriment. I see it as an advantage.
13	Because we are young, we are not entrenched
14	in how we operate. Our processes are still
15	evolving.
16	Every day we work at evaluating where
17	we can be more efficient and build
18	collaboration both inside and outside of the
19	agency. Time and time again, we search for
20	better ways to serve individuals, families
21	and stakeholders.
22	In our continual pursuit to build and
23	improve the Justice Center, we recognized a

need to renew our focus on one of our largest

1	and most critical units investigations.
2	We're getting back to the basics. The agency
3	has implemented a new, intensive on-boarding
4	and mentorship program for all new
5	investigators. The program provides the
6	resources they need as they learn to navigate
7	these very complex cases.
8	In the past 12 months, we have

continued to explore new and better ways to serve those we protect. The Justice Center's sexual abuse response team is now fully operational. This cross-disciplinary group is highly trained in the latest investigatory techniques and strategies. Our team takes a trauma-informed approach so that individuals will not be revictimized. Creating a cohort that has been trained by nationally recognized experts ensures our ability to bring justice to the victims and hold bad actors accountable.

But investigating allegations is only one part of what we do. Our prevention work continues to expand. More than 350 corrective action plan audits, 40 site

1	visits, and a dozen systemic reviews were
2	conducted in 2019 to ensure providers are
3	taking appropriate steps to stop abuse and
4	neglect before it happens.
5	We continue to collaborate with our
6	state oversight agency partners on the
7	Interagency Abuse Prevention Workgroup, but
8	this year we're going even further. Recently
9	the Justice Center established its own
10	internal workgroup solely focused on
11	developing new prevention initiatives. We
12	also introduced an in-person training for
13	providers and staff on establishing
14	professional boundaries.
15	This past year we added a new toolkit
16	to our growing library of prevention
17	resources: "The Dangers of Intestinal
18	Obstructions." Justice Center data
19	highlighted this as a serious, sometimes
20	life-threatening issue for individuals

24 Engagement with stakeholders remains

will be coming out this year.

21

22

23

receiving services. In addition, a toolkit

featuring guidance on wheelchair securement

1	an ongoing need for the agency. This has
2	been a priority since my arrival three years
3	ago. In 2019, we focused on helping
4	organized labor understand our role in the
5	workplace. We engaged in several sessions
6	around the state with members of unions to
7	share information about the Justice Center.

Outreach to providers and families has also been a top priority. The Justice Center held five regional conferences throughout the state in 2019. These free, day-long events gave attendees the opportunity to gain in-depth knowledge of the agency. The conferences continue to open the dialogue between the Justice Center and those we serve.

Another way the Justice Center
supports individuals and families is through
our advocates. Our team assisted more than
4,000 people last year and provided
accompaniment services to more than
400 people during interviews. We also helped
hundreds of families access investigatory
records. As a result of their hard work, we

1	received additional grant funding and we were
2	able to grow our staff of advocates in our
3	high-volume areas.
4	Last but not least, we continue to
5	build on our established foundation of
6	collaboration with our state oversight agency
7	partners. The Justice Center now holds
8	monthly meetings with each state oversight
9	agency.
10	We believe the work we have planned in
11	the coming year will continue to improve the
12	Justice Center. The safety and well-being of
13	the individuals under our jurisdiction
14	remains the foundation of everything we do.
15	We do not deviate from this mandate, but we
16	seek opportunities to enhance it in any way
17	we can.
18	Thank you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
20	Our chair, David Carlucci.
21	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Thank you.
22	Thank you, Commissioner, Director.
23	Appreciate your testimony here today and the

work that you've done.

1	So just quickly, one of the things I
2	wanted to make sure I asked you about was the
3	change in the budget about the optional
4	statewide central registry. And this is
5	something that, after reading, is just
6	difficult to explain to my colleagues and to
7	advocates about what is being done here.
8	Can you tell us why this change is
9	necessary and how the concern is that it will
10	that it won't lead to adverse outcomes?
11	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: Sure,
12	absolutely. And I appreciate the question
13	because I know this issue has been a source
14	of some confusion.
15	The SCR checks are part of our
16	criminal background check for pre-employment
17	purposes. Those checks are going to remain
18	in place as they are. The proposal that's
19	before us contemplates eliminating the need
20	for the SCR checks to be mandatory when
21	they're part of an investigation.
22	So this is not going to have any
23	impact in terms of qualifications, background
24	checks, et cetera, but it's really an

Δ,	investigatory stage of our cases where
2	currently we are mandated to do an SCR check.
3	The changes in the proposal still
4	allow for that check to be discretionary if
5	we choose to do so, but what we've found is
6	that the SCR does not provide any value
7	evidentiary-wise from the perspective of
8	assisting us in our investigation. Our
9	investigation is limited to the four corners
10	of the allegations that are before us, and
11	the SCR is, frankly, drains on resources that
12	we have and we believe that it should be
13	discretionary, not a mandatory requirement.
14	SENATOR CARLUCCI: And can you
15	elaborate why it is a drain on resources?
16	What's entailed here?
17	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: Sure. Sc
18	we're talking about two to three hours for
19	every single SCR check, which is a
20	considerable amount of time, again,
21	considering the weight that it will have and
22	the bearing it will have on an investigation.
23	The SCR check, as many of us know,
24	there have been a lot of concerns regarding

1	the SCR check. There are allegations that
2	date back 20 years. And so from the
3	perspective of deciding whether an allegation
4	of abuse and neglect has occurred, whether we
5	can substantiate or unsubstantiate, the SCR
6	does not help us build evidence in a case.
7	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Okay. And so how
8	often are you accessing that in a given year?
9	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: So for
10	every single investigation that we do, we're
11	currently required to run an SCR check for
12	the subject involved in that investigation.
13	So currently right now we're talking about,
14	last year, 12 to 13,000 investigations. So
15	it is a real impact on the agency and our
16	ability to function.
17	SENATOR CARLUCCI: And what do you
18	think that will so if we're going from 12
19	to 13,000 a year, what will that bring it
20	down to, you think?
21	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: Well, as
22	I mentioned before, it's discretionary.
23	Right? And so if in the rare instance we
24	find that this would be particularly helpful,

1	we	still	have	the	ability	to	make	that	check.

You know, again, I think a lot of the

concern regarding the SCR check has been

conflated with our background check process.

That will remain in place. The SCR will

still be part of the employment clearance

process.

SENATOR CARLUCCI: And so what do you think -- what's your estimate in terms of if it's not mandatory, it's discretionary, how often -- will it be 50 percent of the time, 1 percent of the time?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: That's going to depend on the investigations. Every single investigation is unique. We look at those cases individually. So I cannot at this particular point hazard a guess with respect to how often we would use the SCR.

What we have found thus far, with close to 70,000 investigations done since the opening of our agency in 2013, it has not proven to be helpful in terms of building a case of abuse or neglect or coming to a determination that a case should be

1	unsubstantiated.
2	SENATOR CARLUCCI: So from this it
3	sounds like you would maybe never use it?
4	Like when I'm just trying to understand
5	when you would use this check.
6	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: It will
7	remain discretionary. And we will evaluate
8	every single case to determine if it's
9	necessary. And if it's there, that resource
10	will be available.
11	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Okay. Now, you
12	mentioned that you've taken on 70,000
13	investigations since the start of the Justice
14	Center seven years ago.
15	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: Correct.
16	SENATOR CARLUCCI: And what can you
17	tell us, is there an agency that you have
18	more cases out of than any other? Can you
19	tell us that? And then have you seen a trend
20	in terms of newer types of cases that you're
21	getting? Could you speak to both of those
22	issues?
23	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: Sure.

So currently our cases, approximately

1	50 percent of those cases	are related to the
2	state oversight agency of	OPWDD. That does
3	represent the bulk of the	investigations that
4	we do.	

We're constantly analyzing our data to identify trends. These trends help inform our prevention tools that we create every single year. As I mentioned in my testimony, we do a "Spotlight on Prevention." We've done six of these. A lot of the determination of what the topic will be.

It's based on the trends of what we're seeing in terms of investigations and allegations that are coming in.

So we've created spotlight kits on intestinal obstruction, we have another one that will be forthcoming on wheelchair securement, we've done one on caregiver fatigue, safety for individuals left in vehicles, and another one on restraints, on how to eliminate or diminish the occurrence of restraints.

So all of those topics are based on the data that we're seeing in terms of the

1	number of cases that are coming in, and we
2	continue to review that data every year to
3	help inform our choices.
4	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Okay. So you
5	mentioned those six categories. I'm just
6	trying to follow you here. So you had the
7	wheelchair constraints that is a report
8	that you're putting out or
9	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: So
10	wheelchair securement. What we've seen is a
11	trend in individuals being injured because
12	they have been improperly fastened within a

wheelchair securement. What we've seen is a trend in individuals being injured because they have been improperly fastened within a vehicle. Right? And so this is a real concern, because those injuries can be quite serious if a person is not appropriately secured, especially in a moving vehicle. And so that has informed our decision to make sure that we're creating a toolkit which will be released this year.

All of this information is available on our website. Our toolkits, besides education and resource materials, there's also a lot of material there for providers in terms of self-assessments as well.

1	SENATOR CARLUCCI: So you would say
2	that the question was about trends that
3	you see, and the response is that there's
4	these toolkits that are put in place when you
5	do see a trend. Is that what I can gather?
6	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: That's
7	correct.
8	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Okay. And so what
9	were some of the other ones that you were
10	talking about? I got confused with the
11	regional meetings that you're doing to do
12	outreach and educate the workforce, and then
13	the trends that you're seeing. So you've got
14	the wheelchair restraints
15	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: So the
16	topics of the Spotlight?
17	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Yeah, like
18	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: Reduction
19	of restraints
20	SENATOR CARLUCCI: what I'm trying
21	to understand is what you're seeing, because
22	you're seeing 10, 12 to 13,000 cases a year,
23	and what are there any types of things
24	that we should be concerned about or know

1	that have been changes in the past seven
2	years? Things that you see that are
3	increasing in more frequency.
4	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: So with
5	respect besides the Spotlight on
6	Prevention, right? Which as I discussed,
7	those topics are based on the data and the
8	trends that we're seeing of abuse and
9	neglect.
10	We also have a very consistent data
11	point with respect to the cases that are
12	substantiated. Approximately 75 percent of
13	our cases are substantiated at Category 3.
14	Category 3 is our lowest category for abuse
15	and neglect. That statistic has held
16	consistent for the past six years.
17	So what we see there is thankfully a
18	couple of things. Number one, there's a low
19	rate of criminality within the service
20	settings, which is a good thing. The
21	majority of the cases that we do investigate
22	are Category 3 and, thankfully, not the most

egregious cases, although those certainly do

occur and we have those cases within our

23

1	jurisdiction.
2	But in terms of category level
3	seriousness, you know, those are the trends
4	that have been quite consistent now for six
5	years.
6	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Okay, thank you.
7	Thank you, Chair.
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
9	Assembly.
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman
11	Gunther.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: Can you give
13	us a little bit of an update where you are
14	with the lawsuit relating to the independent
15	prosecutor?
16	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: Yes. So
17	currently that issue will be before the Court
18	of Appeals. As many of you may recall, the
19	issue here is the independent authority of
20	the agency to have a prosecutor. There's
21	nothing in the State Constitution that
22	precludes the Legislature and the Governor
23	from appointing a prosecutor, so we're

expecting that that issue will be resolved

1	this	particular	vear.

2	I think the question that usually
3	follows that particular question is, so what
4	are we doing right now? How are we handling
5	cases? What about the criminal cases that
6	we're actively involved in?

So as I mentioned, the issue here is independent authority, right? And the crux of the issue is really do we need the consent of the county DAs. Our position is that we don't. However, as a safeguard and a preventative measure, we have sought the consent of the county DAs on all the criminal cases where we are involved.

I think it's important to note we have never prosecuted a case over the objection of any single county DA. We work collaboratively with them. We enjoy a very cooperative relationship. And irrespective of the outcome of this case, we will continue to make sure that we're removing bad actors from the workforce.

23 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: And what about 24 like -- if the communication with parents is

1	unchanged, as far as the Justice Center goes,
2	on changes in any policy or procedure?
3	There's a good line of communication?
4	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: Sure. So
5	communication, engagement, quite frankly,
6	transparency, has been a priority since I
7	arrived here three years ago. I'm very happy
8	to share that last year we launched a series
9	of regional conferences. We did one
10	conference in every single region, so there
11	were five last year.
12	This was a perfect opportunity for
13	family members, provider associations, and
14	smaller nonprofits to come and speak with
15	executive staff of the agency, to receive
16	updates, to get more information, to answer
17	questions. And then in the afternoon we had
18	sessions that were specifically focused on
19	some very unique parts of the agency. So
20	there was a topic of investigations, there
21	was also another panel discussion regarding

These were very well attended. We plan on renewing that effort and launching

our criminal background check.

1	another series this year.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: Well, I for
3	one have received less complaints about the
4	Justice Center, which is great. And, you
5	know, one of the largest employers in my
6	district are people that care for people with
7	disabilities. So thank you for what you've
8	done.
9	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: Thank
10	you.
11	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
12	Senator Jim Seward.
13	SENATOR SEWARD: Thank you,
14	Madam Chair.
15	And Director Miranda, good to see you
16	again.
17	I know in the past I'm talking
18	about in the past a chronic complaint that
19	we had heard about the Justice Center was the
20	length of time of investigations. And I know
21	I think last year when you were before us

24 Can you give us an update in terms of

looking to shorten those times.

22

23

we had a discussion about that and you were

1	the	length	of	time	of	investigations?

2	EXECUTIVE	DIRECTOR	MIRANDA .	Sure.
∠	PVPCOIT A P	DIVECTOR	MILKANDA.	Sure.

for investigations is 69 days. Since we last met here and spoke last year, we've taken on several initiatives. We had the expansion of one of our regions, we opened up an office in White Plains in Westchester County. We do that because we are constantly looking at the data, trying to identify places in the state where we need to deploy more resources. You know, resources impact cycle times.

We work with the providers as well to ensure that they are familiar with our internal processes. You know, cycle time is a priority, but it's also very nuanced and complex. Cycle time is going to be impacted by the type of case, the complexity of the case -- sometimes we have multiple subjects, sometimes we have multiple witnesses, sometimes these investigations need to be coordinated with labor unions or perhaps counsel. We also rely on the provider or the employer to supply us with the necessary

1	documents	tο	review
_	accuments		TCVTCW.

That said, cycle time is always a priority for us. Recently we actually expanded a program where we're now allocating three business days to get additional information for a provider. So in this pilot program -- we've put about 2500 cases through this pilot program, and what we've found is that 65 percent of those cases have been reclassified.

This is particularly important because we do not have discretion as an agency when it comes to a call that's coming in. If an allegation of abuse and neglect comes in, we are mandated to do an investigation, to reach a conclusion, a substantiation or unsubstantiation. We have to make a classification based on that call.

There are instances where perhaps

there are gaps in the information, and so the

three business-day extension, for lack of a

better word, allows us to seek additional

information oftentimes from the provider, so

that we can make a much more accurate

1	classification. This has had a tremendous
2	impact on cycle time because it allows us to
3	make sure that we're deploying resources for
4	the cases that need it most.
5	SENATOR SEWARD: Thank you for that
6	update.
7	There there continues to be some
8	concerns that the Justice Center has, shall
9	we say, a law enforcement approach to all
10	investigations regardless of the nature of
11	those investigations in the original
12	complaint. This can lead to fear and anger
13	among the provider staffs that are out there.
14	How do you respond to those
15	allegations, and what actions have been taken
16	to take care of that?
17	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: So that
18	was a concern that was articulated when I
19	arrived here at the agency, and I think we've
20	gone to great lengths to make sure that we're
21	dispelling that myth.
22	You know, first and foremost we have
23	199 members of our investigatory unit; only
24	25 of those are sworn police officers. But

1	we do understand that sometimes, you know,
2	the actions of one or two can color an
3	agency, and so we've been extremely committed
4	to making sure that we're providing training
5	for all of our investigators on forensic
6	interviewing, best practices, working with
7	disabled populations. And quite frankly,
8	also reminding our entire agency in
9	particular our investigators that an
10	investigation is a traumatic event, whether
11	you are a witness, whether you are a subject,
12	whether you are a victim on a particular
13	case, and making sure that we're using a
14	trauma-informed approach when speaking with
15	people. Very important.
16	Additionally, we record all of our
17	interviews at the agency so when there is a
18	concern with respect to the tone or the
19	conduct of an investigator, this provides us
20	with an opportunity to actually review the
21	recordings and make sure that the conduct is
22	on par with our expectations.

23 SENATOR SEWARD: I do like your 24 emphasis on -- in your testimony and your

1	answers, on prevention and working with
2	providers to avoid problems before they come
3	up.
4	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA:
5	Absolutely.
6	SENATOR SEWARD: One quick final
7	question in terms of the applicants for
8	background checks that you do for the various
9	agencies. You're looking at 13,000
10	applicants, but only a very small number,
11	380, were disapproved.
12	How do you account for that, such a
13	small percentage, being disapproved?
14	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: So
15	actually our statistics for last year, we did
16	100,000 criminal background checks. And so
17	there was a small number that were not
18	approved for employment due to
19	criminal-history backgrounds. So our volume
20	is actually significantly higher.
21	The number the amount of people who
22	were not approved, you know, this is based on
23	the criminal history and an assessment that's
24	done during the criminal background check

1	process.
2	SENATOR SEWARD: Do you have a any
3	speculation why there's such a small number?
4	Were those those maybe don't apply if they
5	have a
6	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA:
7	Thankfully, mm-hmm.
8	SENATOR SEWARD: Yeah. Thank you.
9	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: Sure.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
11	Assembly? No?
12	We're done. Thank you very much.
13	Appreciate it.
14	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: Thank
15	you.
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: {Mic off.} I
17	have to leave for a meeting, but my very
18	capable cochair will be here in the interim.
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
20	So now we begin the portion of the
21	hearing for the nongovernmental witnesses.
22	And just a reminder, the witnesses have up to
23	five minutes to present their testimony. As
24	you were forewarned in the beginning, it

1	would be best, especially since we've
2	received your testimony in advance, and it
3	has been circulated to all the members, to
4	try and summarize and not read word for word;
5	you end up not getting through it.
6	And just a reminder to members, any
7	members with a question are limited to three
8	minutes for question and answer.
9	So now we have New York Association of
10	Psychiatric Rehabilitation Services, Harvey
11	Rosenthal, executive director.
12	MR. ROSENTHAL: Good afternoon. Thank
13	you to the chairs and members of the
14	committee for your long-time partnership,
15	thoughtful oversight, and support of the
16	thousands of people with serious mental
17	illnesses and the providers that we support
18	at NYAPRS.
19	This is my 25th annual budget
20	testimony, having begun in 1995. And I
21	actually began my work in the field working
22	at the State Psych Center here in Albany in
23	1977. And at that time, a diagnosis of
24	mental illness was a life sentence; severe

1	functional limitations and frequent illness
2	and relapses were considered the norm.
3	Medication, hospitalization and community
4	institutions were the only major treatment
5	options, and people were rarely, were rarely
6	considered capable of good judgment.

Since the '80s we've all worked together -- the providers, the consumers, and government officials -- to raise the bar for what's possible for people with mental illnesses and what should be required from our provider system. State mental health policy is a very personal issue for me, my community. I have a mental illness, and many of the people who work in my office do, and agency.

The lens through which we view the budget has been based on the view that everyone can recover, everyone can take on responsibility, everyone can deserve the dignity of an independent life in the community and stable housing, employment, and culturally good supports. That's the lens that I'm going to offer this testimony.

1	The first issue I'm going to talk
2	about is the community funding for the
3	community services and the workforce. It's
4	important to distinguish that the money
5	that's been made available that you've heard
6	about, which is the 2 percent, is only for
7	the workforce and only for the workforce that
8	works for agencies that are funded by OASAS,
9	OMH, and OPWDD. So we're not talking about
10	the thing we've looked at, the COLA that's
11	been denied for over a decade. We are
12	talking now about across-the-board increases
13	for the agencies as well as the workforce.
14	Because those agencies are in a state of
15	crisis that you'll hear a lot more about.
16	Our group, which is the statewide
17	#3for#5 campaign, is calling for a 3 percent
18	increase across the board for each of the
19	next five years. And you'll hear that this
20	coalition is made up of an unprecedented
21	coalition of nonprofits across the human
22	service spectrum.

When it comes to Medicaid, we're quite anxious about what we're going to hear in

1	Medicaid. We're worried about cuts. We're
2	grateful that we haven't been cut, as other
3	sectors were, by the 1 percent, and we're
4	looking for those kind of protections in the
5	coming budget. Since so much of the work
6	relies on the MRT, we want the state to
7	ensure there is significant representation
8	from the mental health community, consumers
9	and providers, and to allow for the active
10	level of participation I had in the past as a
11	member of the MRT.
12	Stable housing with individual

Stable housing with individual supports is fundamental to promote the health, safety and dignity of people in recovery. We're grateful that there's a 20 million add in this budget, and 60 million for capital funds. More is needed. You'll hear more about that.

There's a line in the budget, in the OMH budget, that talks about increasing the capacity in emergency departments. But all it does is increase one more day of reimbursement. It misses the point. People are waiting in emergency rooms -- I visit

1	them, I see that for days, waiting for an
2	assessment. There is simply not enough staff
3	and facility, and we need to do more about
4	that than increase reimbursement by one day.
_	This hudget increases nermits for

This budget increases -- permits, for the first time ever, the use of Medicaid in institutional settings. The IMD exclusion that has set the tone for that has prevented states from using Medicaid to expand institutions. This budget takes a step that we're very concerned about that will allow Medicaid to provide services in an inpatient setting.

You heard about the adult home residents and the need for more services for them. Over the last I think it's seven years, only one in five of adult home residents with psych disabilities who were supposed to leave have left. The state needs to do a lot more. We want to work with them on that.

In terms of criminal justice

reforms -- I'll end with that -- there are

three parts. Crisis intervention teams --

1	Senator Carlucci has been terrific on that.
2	We've had several millions of dollars where
3	police are trained and supported not to
4	arrest or harm people and keep them out of
5	the criminal justice system.
6	We're very focused on diversion;
7	Mrs. Gunther has been great in funding an
8	alternative program in Westchester County
9	that helps keep people out of prison and
10	jail.
11	We want the passage of the HALT Bill
12	that will ban solitary confinement of people
13	with mental illnesses and limit it for other
14	populations. And I will stop there.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
16	Questions?
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: I think you
18	covered everything. And I know I'll see you
19	in my office if
20	MR. ROSENTHAL: Okay, I'll be there.
21	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
22	Next, Mental Health Association in
23	New York State, Glenn Liebman, CEO.
2.4	MP ITERMAN. Cood afternoon I want

1	to thank the Ways and Means Committee and the
2	Senate Finance Committee as well as our
3	chairs, our terrific chairs of you know,
4	both Assemblywoman Gunther and
5	Senator Carlucci have been outstanding
6	supporters of the Mental Health Association
7	and support for mental health services.

So really today is about two budget narratives. The first one -- and Harvey did a great job of describing -- considering this economic climate, this actually was a pretty good budget, relatively. Good credit goes to Governor Cuomo and Commissioner Sullivan in reflecting a budget with no major cuts to mental health services. We were protected from the first round of Medicaid cuts and actually added funding for housing as well as keeping the commitment to the direct-care workforce.

But there's also Narrative No. 2. And you all talked about it today with great passion, and I heard this. This is not only about New York, this is the country. We are in a mental health crisis. And it's great

that the budget's been positive, but we are
in a crisis.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And I've been doing this for 17 years and I rarely have said the word "crisis," but this is what we are doing. Individuals and families are suffering. We heard the stories about six-month waiting lists for services, two-year waiting lists for housing, overworked and underpaid workforce, exploding rates of anxiety, depression, and suicide attempts among young people, shortage of mental health professionals, suicidality among veterans and discrete populations, people not getting Medicaid for months as they're released from jail and prison while spending all this time -- so much of their time, unfortunately -- in solitary confinement as well.

So how do we respond to this crisis?

There are no simple answers. And frankly,

New York is better that virtually any other

state. But we're not here to blame or to

point fingers. We're here for fixes. And

yes, these fixes cost money. And yes, we're

1	in a budget of fiscal constraint. But the
2	reality for us in mental health is that it
3	doesn't matter if it's a good budget or a bad
4	budget, we always only get a small slice of
5	the pie.
6	The reality is we're not a small slice
7	of the pie. We actually comprise almost the
8	entire pie. One in five people in the
9	United States has a serious mental health
10	issue. Almost half of all Americans will
11	have a diagnosed mental health issue in their
12	lifetime. I doubt there is anyone in this
13	room, or anywhere that we know of, that has
14	not been either directly or indirectly
15	impacted by mental health and mental illness,
16	whether as a family member, themselves, or as
17	a close friend.
18	In the context of our following
19	recommendations, we urge you to keep this in
20	mind, the importance of what we're advocating
21	for, and the significance of financial
22	support for our stated goals.

So I have here -- obviously I'm not going to read it -- I have about 26 different

recommendations. And I'm going to focus
really on two things in particular. And
that's not to minimize all the other 24 other
things, because they're all equally as
significant. But what I'm going to focus on
right now and Harvey talked about it as
well is the #3for#5 campaign.
So this is an unprecedented move
whereby the entire human service sector
non-for-profit community is speaking with one
voice and you're going to hear from other
people today too. Mental health,
developmental disabilities, addiction
disorders, aging, child welfare, domestic
violence, we're all speaking with one voice.
We're all advocating for a 3 percent increase
in funding for the next five years. So
that's where the campaign is, the number 3,
"for" spelled out, f-o-r, 5. Three for five.
We've lost over a billion dollars in
the last decade by COLAs not being part of
the human service sector. We were supposed
to get a COLA every year for the last
11 years. It's been carved out every year,

1	unfortunately. We've lost our entire
2	sector has lost over a billion dollars.
3	Think about how these nonprofits provide
4	service and support for those in greatest
5	need, and think of how different our lives
6	would be if we had that funding.
7	We are the safety net for these
8	people. And for those of us in mental
9	health, what the safety net means is housing,
10	care management, respite, clinic services,
11	medication management, peer support,
12	employment, education and much more.
13	We get two questions a lot in this
14	campaign: Why this year, when we know this
15	is a difficult budget year? The bottom line
16	is, we don't pick a year. This is a fiscal
17	crisis. We're in a financial crisis. We
18	can't say that, jeez, we should start the
19	#3for#5 campaign next year or the year after.
20	We are in a crisis. Everybody here today is
21	going to talk about this, because this is the
22	reality.
23	And we do appreciate the 2 percent

And we do appreciate the 2 percent across-the-board funding cut -- I mean,

1	funding increase for the direct care
2	workforce. We do appreciate that very much.
3	But the bottom line is we need more and our
4	entire sector needs more.
5	And the other thing is, we're the
6	taxpayers' best friends. We are economic
7	drivers in the community, but we're also
8	taxpayers' best friends. When you're talking
9	about things like what are we talking
LO	about here? With DSRIP and Medicaid managed
11	care and everything else, it's all dedicated
12	to keeping people out of expensive settings
13	and in the communities. That's what we do in
14	the nonprofit sector every day. We are doing
15	really great work in the community, and we're
16	saving people money as well.
17	So we hope that as we move forward,
18	that that \$170 million we're asking for will
19	be added in the budget.
20	Do I have time for one more quick
21	thing? Or I'm being
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Just finish up
23	that finish up your last thought.

MR. LIEBMAN: Okay. Mental health

1	education in schools, really significant. We
2	really appreciate the support of our chairs
3	in this area. And we have a handout in the
4	back of the report about the work we're doing
5	in schools and communities around
6	mental health and our resource center.
7	And we appreciate Assemblywoman
8	Gunther putting us initial support, as
9	well as now the Governor in the last two
10	years.
11	And we also appreciate
12	Senator Carlucci's just introduced a bill
13	last week around teacher training, and that's
14	the next step forward in terms of teacher
15	education I mean, around mental health
16	education in schools.
17	So thank you very much.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
19	Senator Carlucci.
20	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Thank you, Chair.
21	Thank you, Glenn.
22	I wanted to ask you about you
23	touched on mental health first aid and the
24	importance of that. So I was going to ask

1	you about that. But I also wanted to ask,
2	because you didn't get to it, about crisis
3	intervention teams.
4	MR. LIEBMAN: Yes.
5	SENATOR CARLUCCI: I know the Mental
6	Health Association in New York has been
7	really pushing that initiative. Could you
8	tell us why that's important and why we need
9	to put it in the budget?
10	MR. LIEBMAN: Sure. And again, we
11	appreciate your leadership in that area,
12	because it does mean a lot. And you're going
13	to hear, you know, NYAPRS is talking about
L 4	it, and you're going to hear from NAMI as
15	well about how important it is to a lot of
16	us.
17	Basically, crisis intervention teams
18	are a best practice that brings together law
19	enforcement and individuals and their
20	families who are in crisis, in a mental
21	health crisis, to plan, be planful in the
22	process what should law enforcement look

for, what should they pay attention to when

somebody's in a current crisis, how do we

23

1	minimize that crisis and make sure that, you
2	know, we don't have those terrible outcomes
3	that we so often have.

And so we're very appreciative of this funding. It is a best practice, and it's going on in counties around the state now.

And this is relatively new, this is only in the last five years, because for years New York was behind the 8-ball on this, and now, you know, with your leadership and the other leadership, we've really been able to really implement some really strong work around CIT, which is really a positive for everybody in our community.

SENATOR CARLUCCI: Great. And what do you think we need in terms of funding in the state to meet the needs to have more law enforcement trained in crisis intervention?

MR. LIEBMAN: Well, you know, I'd have to do an environmental scan to find out how many counties already have CIT and how many counties need to have CIT. Because everybody wants it, and I think it would be appropriate for everywhere. So I don't know exact

1	numbers, but I think that's appropriate.
2	And the other thing is and you know
3	this well is that Mental Health First Aid,
4	specifically for counties that have CIT in
5	a lot of counties, as we know, law
6	enforcement, it's hard for them to take a
7	35-hour training. Mental Health First Aid
8	has been the perfect backdrop for those
9	individuals who are interested in finding out
10	more about law enforcement, how to work
11	mental health and law enforcement. And
12	Mental Health First Aid has been that
13	ancillary piece that's been very helpful.
L 4	SENATOR CARLUCCI: And with Mental
15	Health First Aid, you know, it's been great.
16	I talk to my children's preschool teachers,
17	they've taken the course, they've signed up
18	for the eight-hour course.
19	Can you tell us how many people have
20	been trained on Mental Health First Aid in
21	New York State? Do you have that number?
22	MR. LIEBMAN: I don't have it for
23	New York State specifically. I do have it

for the country as a whole: 2.5 million

1	people have been trained in Mental Health
2	First Aid across the country. And that's
3	whether it's preschool teachers, you know,
4	law enforcement, librarians every sector
5	has been taught in Mental Health First Aid.
6	And I know that we in New York State
7	have done again, over the last several
8	years we've gotten the funding to be able to
9	go out there, and our members are certainly
10	doing it around the state, and I know there
11	have been hundreds of trainings that are
12	going on consistently around the state.
13	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Thank you, Glenn.
14	Thank you, Chair.
15	MR. LIEBMAN: Thank you.
16	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
17	Thank you for the work you do on this
18	issue.
19	MR. LIEBMAN: Thank you.
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Next we have
21	the National Alliance on Mental Illness-
22	New York State, Ariel Coffman, president.
23	MS. BURCH: Good afternoon. I'm
24	actually Wendy Burch. I'm the executive

1	al :a a + a	£ 0.00	NAMI-NYS.
1	arrector	TOT	NAMI-NIS.

Our organization represents thousands

of New Yorkers living with a mental health

condition, as well as their family members.

I appreciate the opportunity to present

testimony today, and thank you for allowing

me to do so.

We have submitted our written testimony to you, so of course in the interests of time, I'd just like to take a moment to highlight some of the needs we see in the course of our work at NAMI.

First we want to ensure that those who need it have access to care and services. We recognize that people living with mental health issues, when provided with appropriate services in a timely manner, can live healthy and productive lives.

Having the necessary supports in place can prevent long-term hospitalization, homelessness, incarceration, and the risk of them taking their own lives.

This is the reason that NAMI-NYS has joined the #3for#5 campaign. Access to care

1	begins by having human services agencies who
2	have the ability to run their programs in a
3	way that allows them to provide the
4	continuity of care that is critical to
5	recovery. It is significant and speaks to
6	the seriousness of the situation that so many
7	providers and supporters across human
8	services have come together to support and
9	promote this campaign.
10	We urge you to lend your support as
11	well and institute the 3 percent increase in
12	funding for nonprofits in the human services
13	sector every year for the next five years.
14	NAMI-NYS was pleased to see that the
15	Governor's budget reflects the importance of
16	adequate community-based mental health
17	housing by including an additional
18	\$20 million for existing residential
19	programs. However, this investment still
20	falls short of what is needed to address the

We urge the Legislature to close the gap in funding these vital programs.

mental health housing programs.

quarter-century of flat funding to nonprofit

1	Recovery	is	only	possible	e when	а	person	first
2	has a saf	Fe ar	nd st	able pla	ace to	1	ive.	

Along with residential and treatment services, crisis services are also desperately needed. NAMI-NYS believes that no one should have to travel more than an hour to access psychiatric emergency crisis services. Unfortunately, this goal is unattainable for far too many New Yorkers. We need investments to expand both mobile crisis services such as assertive community treatment teams and mobile intervention teams, as well as stationary options such as crisis stabilization centers and respite centers.

We would like to commend the Governor and the Legislature for their support in several areas. The first is in the area of parity for mental health. The establishment of an ombudsman to oversee parity in the CHAMP program, and now the Behavioral Health Parity Compliance Fund, will help to ensure that mental health issues are treated fairly by insurance companies.

1	We would also like to commend the
2	Legislature for its commitment to improving
3	the criminal justice-mental illness
4	interface, particularly the investment in
5	crisis intervention teams. We hope to see an
6	increasing emphasis on diversion initiatives,
7	including the commitment to ensuring that
8	psychiatric services exist, and are
9	accessible, in which to divert individuals
10	from incarceration to recovery.
11	Finally, as a former member of the
12	armed services, I was pleased to see an
13	investment of the \$1 million for services and
14	expenses related to suicide prevention
15	efforts for veterans, law enforcement and
16	first responders. The defenders and
17	protectors of our nation deserve the support
18	of the community, especially in times of
19	personal struggle.
20	Thank you for the opportunity to
21	provide input to you today. I know you will
22	continue to invest in initiatives that
23	support those working towards recovery so
24	that they can truly be a part of the

1	community and lead healthy and productive
2	lives. Thank you.
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for
4	your concise testimony. As you noted, we do
5	have the full testimony and it was circulated
6	to all the members.
7	I don't believe there are any
8	questions. Thank you for being here today.
9	MS. BURCH: Thank you.
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Next we have
11	New York State Conference of Local Mental
12	Hygiene Directors, Kelly Hansen, executive
13	director.
14	MS. HANSEN: Good afternoon. My name
15	is Kelly Hansen. I'm the executive director
16	of the New York State Conference of Local
17	Mental Hygiene Directors, and I appreciate
18	the opportunity to present testimony to you
19	today on the Governor's Executive Budget.
20	The conference represents the
21	directors of community services and county
22	mental health commissioners in each of the
23	57 counties and the Department of Mental

Hygiene for the City of New York.

1	Given the five minutes you have my
2	full testimony, but I want to spend my time
3	on two specific pieces. One is to be able to
4	report to you how the funding was spent that
5	the conference had advocated for and you
6	provided for jail-based substance use
7	disorder treatment and transition services.
8	It's on page 4 of your testimony.
9	And also I wanted to provide a
10	clarification around the discussions that
11	have occurred earlier around jail-based
12	competency restoration and also the shift to
13	the 100 percent county cost of state
14	psychiatric center treatment for competency
15	restoration.
16	So the conference, in partnership with
17	our partners in the New York State Sheriffs'
18	Association and also the New York State
19	Association of Counties, two years ago were
20	successful, with your help, in securing
21	\$3.75 million to be able to provide
22	jail-based substance use disorder treatment.
23	And the reason that we were advocating for

this funding is because while the state had

1	developed a number of community services, we
2	know that our folks traditionally have
3	significant interaction with the criminal
4	justice system, and they would be brought
5	into jail and we had no funding to be able to
6	provide services to them.
7	So last year's budget included the
8	3.75, the Governor continued that, and as
9	Chairwoman Rosenthal had indicated
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Why don't you
11	hold on for a minute. Let's try and I'm
12	not sure if it's your mic or the maybe try
13	shifting
14	MS. HANSEN: Switch? Okay.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yeah. I'm not
16	sure if it's the microphone or the speaker
17	feedback we're getting.
18	MS. HANSEN: I have a one-out-of-three
19	chance to get this is this better? So far
20	so good? All right, thank you. And thank
21	you for stopping the clock, I appreciate it.
22	So as I was mentioning, there was
23	3.75 million in the budget. Our original ask
24	was for 12.8, to be able to provide funding

1	for each of the counties outside of New York
2	City. New York City has a well-established
3	program.

We got 3.75. So the result of that was roughly \$60,000 to each county to be able to provide those services. One of the pieces is that Herkimer County, with 58 people in their jail, got \$60,000. Nassau County, with over a thousand individuals in their jail, got \$60,000.

However, I'm pleased to report that we had done a very in-depth survey of our county mental health commissioners over the summer and asked, what specifically did you use this funding for, and did you create a new service or did you enhance an existing service?

So a few of the numbers I just want to point out to you is that 20 jails were able to create individual and group counseling services. Fourteen jails created peer services, which are so important. And 15 jails were able to put in place transition and reentry services.

So we have revised our budget ask

1	based on what we anticipate may be the impact
2	of bail reform. We don't have a visual into
3	what the numbers will change, but we do know
4	that there will still be a need in county
5	jails to be able to offer treatment.
6	So in addition to the 3.75, we're
7	asking for another 3.25 to bring our total to
8	\$7 million. That's our ask.
9	Also, as part of last year's budget,
10	there was another million added to pay for
11	the cost of medications only in the jail.
12	That, as you've heard, was not
13	reappropriated, and we're hoping that that
14	funding will be forthcoming.
15	The other piece I just want to quickly
16	talk about is the provision for authorizing
17	counties to do jail-based competency
18	restoration. I can tell you that based on
19	what I hear from my members, who are all
20	clinicians, I don't know any who think that
21	doing restoration in a jail is a good place
22	to provide mental health treatment.
23	There's also significant issues with
24	being able to not provide medication over

objection, training staff. We just don't
think that this is a good appropriate place
to provide restoration, yet every year it
turns up in the budget. So we wanted to
point that out.

The other piece that we're very concerned about, and we will be coming to you to discuss further, is an assumption in the budget that shifts the cost of competency restoration for someone who is in the care and the custody of the state commissioner of the Office of Mental Health, receiving treatment in a state-operated psychiatric center, to be returned to competency prior to going back to face a criminal justice procedure because they have been restored such that they understand the charges against them and can advocate on their own behalf.

In current years, the state has charged the county 50 percent of a per-diem rate for 730 competency restoration. This would do a shift so now the counties would pay 100 percent of the daily cost per person for competency restoration. Outside of

New York State, we're looking at 12 millio:	L	New	York	State,	we're	looking	at 1	12 millio
---	---	-----	------	--------	-------	---------	------	-----------

I can tell you that there's no planning that a county could do for the cost of restoration. You could go four years without a 730, and then you've got six 730s in one year and it costs you hundreds of thousands of dollars -- or millions, in some counties. So we -- and this money goes into the General Fund. I think that's important to point out.

So thank you very much for your time, and we'll be visiting you later.

CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: I'm going to ask a question about what you just said. So you heard the commissioner respond to questions about this topic of the local jail restoration. And I guess what I'm hearing from you is you don't agree.

She was saying that people could be treated in the local jail, which would be reasonable to the county than having them treated in a hospital setting. But you seem to say both that that's not an appropriate setting and that the costs are greater than

1	なわった	aha'a	CATTINA
_	wiiat	2116 2	saying.

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 MS. HANSEN: You are correct, 3 Chairwoman. I think there was a lot of confusion in the discussion between 4 5 jail-based competency restoration and allowing counties to do jail-based -- keep in 6 7 mind, this is an individual who's been charged with a crime, generally felony level, 8 who's been evaluated and deemed incompetent 9 10 to go forward in the judicial proceedings because they have a mental illness and have 11 12 been deemed to not understand the charges against them and not able to aid in their own 13 14 defense. So under law, that individual is then 15 16 transferred into the care and custody of the commissioner of the Office of Mental Health, 17 18

transferred into the care and custody of the commissioner of the Office of Mental Health, and they are then treated at a state psychiatric forensic center -- Kirby, some of the others -- until they are restored to competency, and then they would come back.

So the first piece is the jail-based restoration, which is -- I don't agree that it's a fiscal benefit to the counties. And

1	from the clinical standpoint, my members
2	would tell you that they don't agree that the
3	jail is a good place to provide mental health
4	treatment.
5	The other piece is on what has
6	currently gone forward with the cost of the
7	730 same thing, 730 Criminal Procedure
8	Law for the competency restorations, where
9	the counties have traditionally been charged
10	50 percent of the per-diem cost for a 730
11	all of which that money goes into the General
12	Fund and now that would be shifted to the
13	counties paying 100 percent of the cost.
L 4	New York City apparently started
15	paying 100 percent of the cost last year, and
16	it's millions of dollars. And we're trying
17	to find the justification as to why this is a
18	county cost as well.
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
20	Senator Carlucci.
21	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Thank you, Director
22	Hansen. And thanks for coming to our
23	committee and giving us an overview, to the

Senate, of what some of the priorities are

```
1 and what you're working on.
```

24

2 And to follow up on the jail-based 3 restoration program, the shift is something that we're all very concerned about in that 4 5 cost, and what it just means to the locality. And do you have an idea from your estimate of 6 7 what -- for the local mental hygiene directors, what that cost shift will be for 8 the rest of the State of New York outside of 9 10 New York City? MS. HANSEN: We're told that, fully 11 12 annualized, about \$12 million. SENATOR CARLUCCI: Okay, \$12 million. 13 So it's right now -- just so I understand it 14 15 correctly, it's probably about \$24 million 16 annually, and the county gets reimbursed half of that? 17 MS. HANSEN: No, it's -- we reimburse 18 19 6 million. Rest of state, the cost is 20 12 million. That would be the shift to 21 100 percent for the county. And New York 22 City is separate. SENATOR CARLUCCI: Okay. So right 23

now, under your calculation, it would be --

1	if it stayed the same as last year, on
2	average, it would be about a \$6 million
3	increase to the counties.
4	MS. HANSEN: Correct.
5	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Okay. Thank you.
6	MS. HANSEN: That's my estimate, I
7	guess.
8	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Okay. And last
9	year the Governor did put in \$850,000 to
10	incentivize counties to take on this
11	challenge. And could you just elaborate a
12	little bit more how you did make the
13	statement that you didn't think that that's
L 4	an appropriate place to get treatment.
15	Could you just tell us about your
16	reasoning?
17	MS. HANSEN: Certainly. Thank you.
18	And, you know, based on what I
19	my our commissioners' discussions, you
20	know, I think if someone is deemed
21	incompetent, they have a severe mental
22	illness, a pretty high diagnosis. And I
23	don't know how much time you've spent in
24	iails lately: I spent a lot with our SUD

1	project. And to put staffing in a jail
2	you need clinical staff, not COs. Clinical
3	staff. And it's not a therapeutic place by
4	any stretch of the imagination.

The other piece is for a number of individuals, being started on a medication regimen is extremely important to starting to treat a serious mental illness. The jails cannot medicate over objection without going to court, and that takes away one of the very significant tools that would need to be in place in order to do jail-based restoration if any county wanted to do that.

I know other states have done it. I don't see a lot of uptake from our members that would think that this is a good placement. And I also don't think that, by having the counties pay 100 percent of the cost, that that provides any change in the therapeutic discussion or that all of a sudden people will want to do jail-based restoration.

23 SENATOR CARLUCCI: Okay, thank you.

MS. HANSEN: Thank you.

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
2	Assemblywoman Rosenthal.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Thank you.
4	Hi, good to see you.
5	MS. HANSEN: Great seeing you.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: So last year
7	we worked closely together, and left on the
8	floor was my medication-assisted treatment
9	bill, which I hope to get to pass this year.
10	How much money do you really think
11	would be necessary in order to accomplish
12	what we're trying to do?
13	MS. HANSEN: There's a couple of
L 4	different factors. Number one is, again, we
15	don't have a visual yet into what the jail
16	census will be. And keep in mind that
17	someone's jail stay is generally anywhere
18	from 14 to maybe 20 to, outliers, 40 days.
19	So we're working with folks in a relatively
20	short timeline.
21	But the N, the number of individuals
22	in jail, is in part what drives what we put
23	together as a fiscal assumption.
2.4	For the modification-aggisted treatment

1	bill, we issued and shared with you and
2	others a fiscal impact that took a very
3	conservative approach and said that at any
4	given time in the county jail system outside
5	of New York City, individuals you'd have
6	50 individuals on Vivitrol, 50 individuals on
7	methadone, 50 individuals on Suboxone, and
8	50 individuals on Sublocade.
9	And as you know, the cost of these

And as you know, the cost of these medications is significant. And in addition to the cost is having the waiver prescribers, the clinical piece around it. And you mentioned, Assemblywoman, that medication-assisted treatment is the medication and the clinical piece together, it's all together. I sometimes think that gets lost in the discussion.

So our concern was the cost, with how do you build these programs? And what we're saying is continue to fund our counties to be able to develop the counseling, the behavioral health services that are needed in order to overlay a medication-assisted treatment program. because we're not there

1	yet. We've made great progress, with your
2	support and funding, but we have more to go.
3	So that was what our position was in
4	terms of let's continue building services in
5	the jails so we can help people in those 14
6	to 22 days, and have transition services in
7	place for a good, solid reentry into the
8	community.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Are the
10	the supply of Vivitrol, is that part of an
11	agreement with the company that makes
12	Vivitrol?
13	MS. HANSEN: I don't know. I mean, I
14	think that's more a sheriff discussion. I
15	think it was the first one that was, you
16	know, available on the market. It's
17	injectable, and certainly that's a
18	consideration as well. I mean, Sublocade is
19	injectable now too, as things have changed.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Right.
21	Right. Right.
22	But would you call it a good
23	investment? You know, we're going to try to
24	unearth that money. You call it a good

1	investment toward getting people back on the
2	right path.
3	MS. HANSEN: I think any money to the
4	county mental health commissioners that would
5	allow us to expand treatment and transition
6	services in the jails is a good idea for all
7	individuals.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Thank you.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
10	Thank you for being here.
11	MS. HANSEN: Thank you.
12	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Next, Substance
13	Abuse Providers in Schools, Kevin Allen,
14	chair, Local 372. And Donna Tilghman,
15	secretary. Thank you.
16	MR. ALLEN: Good afternoon. To the
17	chairperson, to the distinguished members,
18	how's everyone? My name is Kevin Allen.
19	Along with Donna Tilghman, we represent
20	275 substance abuse or prevention and
21	intervention specialists of the New York City
22	Department of Education, Local 372 with
23	DC 37.

You have the information in front of

1	you, but I just want to make it personal so
2	it would resonate. The SAPIS is the only
3	person in the school that works a 12-month
4	year. As opposed to guidance counselors, as
5	opposed to various teachers that have grades
6	K-12, SAPIS have all grades, kindergarten
7	through 12th grade. They also deal with
8	students from A to Z.

They also are the only people in the building that deal with an evidence-based curriculum that we teach. And it's across the board, all over New York City. Life skills, Second Step, Too Good For Violence, Too Good For Drugs, are just examples of evidence-based curriculum that OASAS has okayed, along with the Department of Education, for us to show fidelity throughout no matter what borough, what school you go into, SAPIS will be teaching out of this curriculum.

We are here asking for a joint legislative appropriation of 2 million plus -- 2 million from the Assembly, 2 million from the Senate.

1	As any successful corporation, any
2	successful organization, there's two things
3	that are always important to them. One is
4	scalability, and the other one is
5	scalability. To sustain and to build. And
6	so we're at the point where we are glad that
7	we have dedicated SAPIS, but we want to be
8	able to keep who we have and to be able to
9	build on their reputation and build on their
10	success that they've already built.

They do classroom presentations, they do positive alternatives, they meet with parents, they meet with communities. Because of the new vaping, we are doing vaping demon — we're talking about vaping from another perspective where we already have established DVDs on that and we're bringing more parental and more community awareness to that.

As our commissioner had just come to speak about, there is more than ever before a direct correlation between substance abuse and mental health. And we see that going down from our high schools to our middle schools and to our elementary students. And

1	what we want to do is give them as much
2	information, give them as much of a
3	foundation to be able to change the course of
4	a child's achievement from kindergarten to
5	the 12th grade.

We are excited about that, and we're asking for the -- for both the Assembly and the Senate to each contribute \$2 million towards SAPIS in this year's budget, for a shared allocation of \$4 million in funding.

All together, this would preserve and create the equivalent to at least 48 full-time SAPIS positions, and the potential to reach up to 24,000-plus students and their families who would otherwise not have the support that they need.

We just don't want to be driven on data, but we want to be also driven on results. And as we go into each and every school, each and every school has several things that are in common. They all have their own culture, their own climate, and their own community and language. And because of all of these things, we see that

Ţ	it's more necessary than ever before to bring
2	home the message of this.
3	One additional thing that a SAPIS does
4	that no one else has, the way that we do it
5	is that we have built our social-emotional
6	needs in these lessons. If there's
7	15 lessons and life skills curriculum, and if
8	there's 13 lessons and a Second Step,
9	85 percent of those lessons are based on
10	social-emotional. Which means that we want
11	to get to the root of the matter.
12	I thank you for listening, and if
13	there's any questions, please feel free to
14	ask.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senator Liu for
16	a question.
17	SENATOR LIU: Thank you, Madam Chair.
18	Not so much a question, I just wanted
19	to thank Mr. Allen and his colleague. They
20	are leaders at Local 372 who really are
21	instrumental. I mean, honestly, when we talk
22	about schools, we when we're thinking
23	about schools, we talk about teachers,

24 principals. We often forget the other staff

1	that rounds out the education and the care
2	for our kids.
3	So thank you and your members for all
4	the work that you do. And I certainly will
5	support this.
6	MR. ALLEN: Thank you, Senator.
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman
8	Rosenthal.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Hi.
10	I too would like to commend all the
11	good work that you do in schools. And the
12	Assembly for years have provided \$2 million
13	in extra funding for you, which I hope this
14	year we can each house can provide
15	\$2 million, that's my goal.
16	I wonder if you could just expand on
17	how, if you got this increased funding
18	right now you have 270 people working in the
19	schools, right?
20	MR. ALLEN: Yes.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: And how many
22	more could you get if we increased the
23	funding?

MR. ALLEN: We would go to at least 24

1	to 50 more additional people, 48 full-time.
2	Which means that if there's 1700 schools in
3	the New York City Department of Education, it
4	would be even that more that we'll be able to
5	get at any point.
6	And that means even with the point of
7	having a SAPIS collocated in different
8	schools, which we have in some situations, we
9	can fill that need more prevalent than is
10	happening.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: I mean, one
12	of the key elements everyone talks about is
13	prevention, education and then, of course,
14	treatment and recovery. But if we don't do
15	the first two points, we're not you know,
16	we're going to keep going down a bad road.
17	So I think your work is essential.
18	And I know you have included vaping now,
19	because that is also
20	MR. ALLEN: Yes, we have.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: something
22	all the kids are doing, unfortunately, in
23	schools. But I think your model is great,

and continue to do the great work you do.

1	Thank	you.

- 2 MR. ALLEN: And I thank you for your
- 3 support.
- And we've seen, more than ever
- 5 before -- it seems that every other week
- 6 there's another vaping fatality. And we take
- 7 that to heart. And the information that
- 8 we're able to give each and every student,
- 9 which gives to each and every family, that
- 10 gives to each and every community, that gives
- 11 to each and every city, that's what we want
- 12 to circumvent, that type of thing.
- Because we're talking about -- the new
- word is the "C" word, contemporary issues.
- These are issues that maybe 15 or 20 years
- 16 ago, we did not see as rampant as we see now
- in our elementary, middle school and high
- school students. So we want to be right on
- 19 the cutting edge in regards to this.
- 20 ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Okay. Thank
- 21 you.
- MR. ALLEN: Thank you.
- 23 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for
- 24 being here. And again, thank you for the

1	work your members do in the city schools.
2	MR. ALLEN: Thank you, Chairperson.
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Next, Friends
4	of Recovery-New York: Angelia Smith-Wilson,
5	executive director; Allison Weingarten,
6	director of policy.
7	And if you're keeping score, after
8	this will be research for a Safer New York,
9	then Legal Action Center, then The Arc
10	New York.
11	MS. SMITH-WILSON: Good afternoon. I
12	am Angelia Smith-Wilson, the executive
13	director of Friends of Recovery-New York.
14	Friends of Recovery-New York is the only
15	statewide recovery organization in New York
16	State, and we represent the voice of
17	individuals and families living in recovery
18	from addiction, families who have lost a
19	family member, or people who have been
20	otherwise impacted from addiction. I myself
21	am a family member in recovery.
22	In New York State there are over
23	260,000 admissions annually. These
24	admissions make up crisis and noncrisis

1	admissions to treatment facilities. We know
2	that those folks that enter a treatment
3	facility will leave that treatment facility
4	and reenter their community. So it is
5	important that we keep at the front of any
6	discussions around addiction and addressing
7	the opioid crisis. When we talk about
8	treatment, we cannot miss talking about
9	recovery, because recovery wraps itself
10	around and supports people as they leave
11	treatment. It supports the treatment itself.
12	So fortunately since 2017,
13	recovery-oriented systems of care, which
14	include the development of recovery community
15	organizations, recovery community outreach
16	centers, recovery youth clubhouses, peer
17	engagement specialists, family support
18	navigators these services, combined, have
19	touched over 250,000 individuals, saving
20	lives to no doubt mitigate the overdose
21	crisis that we are currently in.
22	We respectfully ask you to further
23	continually consider recovery in your
24	discussions when you discuss being effective

1	at addressing the current opioid drug crisis,
2	as without adequate funding, as we have heard
3	today, as you have pointed out today,
4	treatment services will not be fully
5	supported if you are not supporting recovery
6	services. So more funding is needed.
7	We would ask that any proceeds coming
8	from the opioid settlement dollars which
9	we estimate could be as high as \$1 billion
10	to be directed to evidence-based prevention,
11	treatment and recovery services, as these
12	dollars in particular, \$40 million of them,
13	are needed to further ensure that one
14	recovery community organization, one recovery
15	community outreach center, one recovery youth
16	clubhouse, two peer engagement specialists,
17	two family support navigators, can and will
18	be in every county throughout New York State.
19	So in addition, we are very much in
20	tune in light of the sign of the times in

So in addition, we are very much in tune -- in light of the sign of the times in increasing access to substances, we are very concerned about our youth. So Allison will talk about that point.

MS. WEINGARTEN: Thanks, Angelia.

1	And I would like to just say that I
2	work very closely with a group called Youth
3	Voices Matter in New York State, and they are
4	under the Friends of Recovery organization.
5	And there are currently three young people
6	employed in Western New York, New York City,
7	and the Capital Region, to go out and find
8	young people and support recovery.
9	And if we're talking about a continuum

And if we're talking about a continuum of care, we like to say that prevention is recovery, especially for young people. So that we are providing these services so that young people don't feel like they're alone.

So that is federally funded, and we are definitely looking for your support, especially over the next year, to try and get that embedded in the state budget.

And I want to say that that program is being recognized federally. Angelia attended a conference last summer, a national conference, and that New York State program was getting that kind of credit. So we want to definitely see that continue.

24 Thank you so much.

1	MS. SMITH-WILSON: Thank you.
2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for
3	being here.
4	Senator Carlucci.
5	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Thank you, Chair.
6	And thank you both for being here
7	today. Director Smith-Wilson, Angelia, good
8	to see you again.
9	And I just want to thank you for your
10	commitment to our community. I know we have
11	a very strong group in Rockland and
12	Westchester Counties with Friends of
13	Recovery, and it's been tremendous. So thank
L 4	you for your commitment. You've risen to the
15	occasion, and it's just been tremendous.
16	We've talked a lot today about so many
L7	different issues which you are you're
18	involved in each of them, pretty much, when
19	it comes to addiction. And I was questioning
20	the commissioner earlier today about some of
21	the barriers. And you list out, and you do a
22	great job of that, about what is needed and,
23	with the right funding, the services you'll

be able to provide.

1	I wanted to ask you about some of the
2	direct obstacles that you see to access to
3	medical-assisted treatment, but particularly
4	to methadone. When I was asking the
5	commissioner, the commissioner didn't want to
6	comment on anything related to Medicaid.

Would you be able to tell us what you've seen in terms of access to methadone, any of the major roadblocks, or suggestions that you see that we could be doing to make it easier to access that type of treatment?

MS. SMITH-WILSON: Well, I think as the commissioner kind of touched on, it is a very complicated issue with regards to having individuals be able to bridge certain scrips when they leave. And because of all of the issues that are tied with Medicaid, it becomes a complicated issue.

And I think for our folks, we just simply want, to be honest, for you guys to figure it out. Because our folks are the ones that are leaving treatment facilities, jails or outpatient or even at the ED, our folks are the folks that are leaving and not

1	having	the	medicines	that	thev	need.

And so we have tried to support in any way that we can by providing testimony from individuals, by collecting data. We do a lot of surveys, and anytime there's any issue that our community is facing, we will put together a survey to really collect that data and to really be able to drill down and apply recommendations that the community has kind of validated and authenticated and that is real, that is happening for them on a daily basis.

And so I would say that it is a complicated issue, one that we are hopeful that in the coming years we can begin to really kind of mitigate. And folks will have the necessary medications that they need as they leave facilities.

SENATOR CARLUCCI: Okay, thank you.

I was going to ask -- I know we're out of time, but we'll talk further about it -- but the access to supportive housing and the barriers that are there.

MS. SMITH-WILSON: Oh, yes, that

1	remains. That was a we did a survey at
2	our recovery conference in October. Over 150
3	people were surveyed, and that was at the top
4	of our list.
5	So Stand Up for Recovery Day, we will
6	definitely be talking about housing.
7	MS. WEINGARTEN: Yup. Tuesday,
8	February 11th, I know many of you are going
9	to be there. So we're excited to have you
10	there to listen to the voices of the people
11	in recovery.
12	And thank you for all that you do. We
13	want to work together, continue to work
14	together.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for
16	being here today.
17	Next we have Legal Action Center,
18	Christine Khaikin and Wendy Burch was
19	before, so I assume that's not accurate.
20	MR. ROBINSON: What about Research for
21	a Safer New York?
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Oh, I'm sorry.
23	Research for a Safer New York. Please. Ken
24	Robinson.

1	MR. ROBINSON: Thank you. I didn't
2	want to miss my turn.
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Not to worry.
4	It's the bright lights.
5	MR. ROBINSON: Good afternoon. As my
6	written testimony indicates, my name is Ken
7	Robinson, and I am the executive director of
8	Research for a Safer New York.
9	Research for a Safer New York is a
10	consortium of harm-reduction providers that
11	has been established to oversee a pilot
12	research study in the form of the operation
13	of five overdose prevention centers in New
14	York State, four in New York City and one in
15	Ithaca. The 24-month pilot study will
16	evaluate the efficacy of OPCs as a crucial
17	strategy to prevent opioid overdose
18	fatalities, reduce public drug use and needle
19	sharing, create a pathway to substance use
20	disorder treatment and recovery, and combat
21	the HIV and hepatitis C epidemics.
22	Most of you probably know that
23	overdose prevention centers are a safe and

clean place where indigent IV drug users can

1	consume their drugs under the supervision of
2	staff that have been trained to intervene
3	with naloxone in the case of overdose.

OPCs started in Europe in the '70s, and they then spread to Australia and Canada. Multiple empirical studies have been done, and the data has been consistent and it has been clear: OPCs increase access to drug treatment and services.

They reduce public injection and hazardous
litter. They prevent HIV and hepatitis C
transmission. And they are cost-effective.
Studies indicate that they save the
jurisdictions that they operate in millions
of dollars by reductions in medical, criminal
justice, incarceration, and public sanitation
costs.

So this is my second year in a row to come before this body to ask you to authorize the Overdose Prevention Center Act. In the meantime, I have met with many of you and/or your staffs to essentially plead with you to support this initiative. Last year we tried

1	to get the OPC Act included in the budget;
2	that failed. Then we tried to get a bill
3	passed, but it never made it out of
4	committee.

I have to tell you, my heart has become heavy, because people are dying.

We're talking life and death here. I could have done an easy, quick calculation of the people who have died from the last time I testified to this time, but I didn't, but we all know it's a substantial number of people.

It really, truly, sincerely is beyond my comprehension that we cannot pass this simple piece of legislation, whose sole purpose is to save lives and to get a very vulnerable and hard-to-reach population into care. Saving human lives should not be controversial. Saving human lives should not be a crime.

Esteemed Senators and Assemblymembers, it is time for all of us to show moral and political courage. I know that this bill has negative stigma associated with it, but that is because people do not understand. Most

1	people are good and decent, and it is
2	incumbent upon us to educate them. Passing
3	this bill is simply the right thing to do,
4	and we must do it.
5	A great example of the benefits of

OPCs is the Insite program in Vancouver,

Canada. Insite was the first OPC in

North America, and it's one of the most

well-known OPCs in the world. On their

website they say that in 2017 they engaged in

1,983 overdose interventions and in 2018 they

engaged in 1,466.

Last July I spoke with Insite's director, Elizabeth Holliday. I was curious, and I asked Ms. Holliday if she would say that each one of those interventions was a life saved. She emphatically replied that she knew with a high level of certainty that each of those of 3,449 interventions was a human life saved.

Think about that. That's 3,449 families that did not have to bury a son, daughter, brother or sister; 3,449 mothers that did not have to suffer the crushing

1	grief of losing a child.
2	Again, we must authorize the overdose
3	prevention, we must authorize overdose
4	prevention centers this session. One of the
5	lives saved may very well be one of your
6	friends or family members.
7	Thank you.
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
9	Senator David Carlucci.
10	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Thank you, Chair.
11	Thank you, Director Robinson.
12	MR. ROBINSON: You're welcome.
13	SENATOR CARLUCCI: I wanted you to
L 4	elaborate on I'm going to ask you two
15	things. First, what are some of the reasons
16	you believe that this legislation has not
17	been passed?
18	And we saw not too long ago, a few
19	months ago, a federal judge rule down the
20	Department of Justice's intervention in
21	trying to strike down a nonprofit provider
22	from doing safe overdose prevention in a

facility in Philadelphia. And so they said,

okay, well -- they were fighting and saying

23

1	that the Controlled Substance Act of 1986
2	precluded them from doing this. The federal
3	judge said no, they can. Where does put us
4	now?
5	And then also, because that roadblock,
6	it seems to be pushed aside that, okay, we
7	had it was against federal law, possibly,
8	to do this. We see that that's not the case.
9	Could you elaborate on that? And also, what
10	are some of the other issues holding up this
11	important legislation?
12	MR. ROBINSON: If it's okay, I'll back
13	up even a little bit more and explain to
14	everybody I know some of you know this
15	story, but originally this was going to be
16	done by executive order through the Health
17	Department. The Governor was all in, he
18	promised us that he was going to authorize
19	this. We even saw a draft of the letter that
20	they wrote to authorize it. And
21	Commissioner Zucker, I understand, was
22	holding that letter, just waiting for the

Governor to say go ahead. The Governor said

"However, I need to wait until after the

23

1	general election," which we understood, but
2	then we never heard from him again. He just
3	went away.
4	Interestingly, I had we had a
5	meeting with his chief counsel, the first
6	time we've talked to them at all since then,
7	earlier today. There may be a glimmer of
8	hope that that could happen. I'm not
9	terribly optimistic about it.
10	So then we switched to the strategy of
11	getting a bill passed, under the leadership
12	of Assemblymember Rosenthal. And I would
13	have to give credit to Senator Rivera too.
14	We all worked really hard, I think, to get it
15	passed last year. The political will just
16	wasn't there, I guess, Senator Carlucci,
17	that's the best thing I could say about it.
18	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Do you believe that
19	the federal court's decision
20	MR. ROBINSON: Oh, going back
21	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Yeah.
22	MR. ROBINSON: Well, I have kept up
23	quite a bit with the Philadelphia situation.
24	Everybody knows that the judge ruled in favor

1	of Safehouse, and then the Justice Department
2	pretty quickly said, We are going to appeal.
3	Well, of course they are, we knew that they
4	would do that.
5	Safehouse recently said: We're going
6	to open anyway. We feel we have a stronger
7	case in New York State, because they're doing
8	that just on the authority of the City of
9	Philadelphia. We would be doing it on the
10	authority of the State of New York, who has
11	the authority for an emergency we're in an
12	opioid crisis, an epidemic. The state could
13	recognize that as an official emergency, and
14	we would have a much stronger case in court.
15	Through our discussion this morning,
16	there were two or three lawyers in the room.
17	We don't think that they could probably even
18	get an injunction like they got in
19	Philadelphia. That's what the people in the
20	room said this morning.
21	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Okay, thank you.
22	MR. ROBINSON: You're welcome.
23	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
24	Assembly.

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman
2	Rosenthal.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Thank you.
4	Good to see you again.
5	Do you think the stigma around being a
6	drug user is abating a bit?
7	MR. ROBINSON: I do. I certainly do.
8	I think that that stigma you know, this
9	just crushes my heart. You know,
10	unfortunately, it seems to me people don't
11	value their lives. That stigma is so strong
12	that people just don't seem to care whether
13	they die or not. You know, it breaks my
14	heart.
15	I you know, a couple of people
16	today have mentioned about making it
17	personal. I'll make it a little bit personal
18	for me. I'm in recovery, recently in
19	December celebrated 20 years. I'm a former
20	IV drug user. So I've got a passion, I've
21	got a passion to get this done. And I know
22	that stigma firsthand.
23	You know, I'm also a gay man of a
24	certain age, and I saw the government turn

1	their back on us. And they didn't give a
2	damn if we died or not either. And a lot, a
3	lot of people died. Well, I'm seeing the
4	same thing now, it seems like to me, with
5	this group of people. It seems that like
6	many many, certainly not everybody, but
7	many in government are more worried about
8	political considerations than they are
9	whether or not these people live or die.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Well, I look
11	forward to continuing our work together. I
12	mean, it is an epidemic and it is a matter of
13	life and death.
14	And it's hard for me to understand as
15	well when overdose prevention sites have been
16	legal around the world for years, and here in
17	the United States and in the progressive
18	State of New York, we can't take a step
19	forward when we know it works in saving lives
20	and providing treatment, housing, healthcare,
21	et cetera, for people who need it.
22	MR. ROBINSON: Right. It's become the
23	number-one entryway to treatment in
24	Vancouver, the number-one portal in

1	Vancouver. We would see the same thing here,
2	I'm sure.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Thank you.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
5	much for your testimony here.
6	MR. ROBINSON: Thank you.
7	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Next up,
8	Christine Khaikin, from the Legal Action
9	Center, and then followed by if people
10	want to get ready and come forward Mark
11	van Voorst of The Arc New York, and then he
12	will be followed by a panel of Lauri Cole and
13	Andrea Smyth.
14	Good evening is it wait,
15	afternoon, I take that back.
16	MS. KHAIKIN: Good afternoon. My name
17	is Christine Khaikin. I'm a health policy
18	attorney at the Legal Action Center. And we
19	have a long history of working to remove
20	barriers to health insurance coverage for
21	people with substance use disorders and
22	mental health needs, and so we thank you for

the opportunity to provide input today.

You have my written testimony, so I'll

23

L	just	focus	on	а	couple	of	priorities.

Last year's passage of the behavioral health insurance parity reforms, a groundbreaking set of policies, made several advances towards improving the ability to access life-saving substance use disorder and mental health treatment. But New Yorkers still struggle to access life-saving addiction and mental health care.

For example, they have trouble finding providers with available appointments in their insurance networks, they face delays in getting care because their insurer requires prior authorization, or their care is denied midway through treatment because their insurer says their treatment is not medically necessary. People are paying hundreds or even thousands of dollars out of pocket when they have insurance, due to copays and coinsurance charged higher or more often for substance use disorder and mental health care than for medical care.

High-quality providers throughout the state are not accepting insurance or

1	struggling because they receive subpar
2	reimbursement rates for behavioral health
3	services compared to physical healthcare.

The state and federal parity laws have made things better, but insurers are still often not held accountable for violating the law. Insurance should help people access care, not prevent someone from receiving treatment.

That is why we were thrilled to see
that the Executive Budget includes a proposal
to require the Department of Financial
Services and DOH to promulgate regulations to
clarify and strengthen parity compliance
requirements. These could provide strong
compliance standards so that the regulators
can hold plans accountable to follow the law
and to not discriminate against people with
substance use disorders or mental health
service needs.

The Executive also proposes to establish the Behavioral Health Parity

Compliance Fund, to collect penalties from plans who violate the law. And as we heard,

1	\$1.5 million of those funds will eventually
2	go to support New York's mental health and
3	substance use disorder ombudsman program,
4	known as CHAMP.

Thanks to the Legislature, in 2018

CHAMP became a first-in-the-nation ombudsman program. Operated by OASAS and OMH, CHAMP operates a helpline, run through the Community Service Society, as well as a current network of five community-based organizations that provide on-the-ground outreach and localized support and expertise. CHAMP has served over 1600 New Yorkers since it launched, and it helps people overcome many of the insurance barriers that I cited, as well as connects people to care.

While this fund will hopefully eventually provide support for CHAMP, there is a great need now for the Legislature to provide \$1.5 million to supplement the current \$1.5 million budget for CHAMP. The additional funding will allow a localized network of CBOs to expand to many more counties across the state -- we saw Senator

1	Harckham's map showing there are many bare
2	counties and additional money will also
3	help extend the helpline hours beyond a
4	limited time during weekdays.
5	We were also grateful for the
6	Legislature's support for removing prior
7	authorization requirements for all
8	FDA-approved medications to treat substance
9	use disorder. And while we were thrilled to
10	see the Governor sign legislation to remove
11	prior authorization in commercial insurance,
12	Medicaid recipients must also receive this
13	benefit, because administrative barriers
14	should not be getting in the way of receiving
15	immediate life-saving care. And we thank the
16	Legislature for your continued support to
17	make this happen.
18	And I just want to thank you for the
19	opportunity to provide input.
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
21	Senate? Well, I have one quick one.
22	So you were here earlier when we were
23	asking the OASAS commissioner questions.
24	What's your opinion about what's preventing

1	the State of New York from just making sure
2	people have continuation of Medicaid coverage
3	from when they're leaving prison to when they
4	get to communities? There seems to be such a
5	disconnect in our inability to get this taken
6	care of.

MS. KHAIKIN: Well, I think one of the issues there is the current inability to provide Medicaid inside the walls. And so DOH recently submitted a federal waiver to be allowed to provide Medicaid for the last 30 days while people are inside. And that would really help with that transitional care, because it would allow people to get enrolled and begin receiving transitional services even before they leave.

And so I think that waiver will be really important. Right now it's with the federal government, so we'll see what happens. But I think that that -- being able to start those transitional services and provide Medicaid inside is a great path forward for that.

24 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So when they're

1	inside they can get the drug, but you're
2	suggesting that we need a federal waiver to
3	start them a month in advance before they
4	leave jail. But we could still do the
5	application while they're in jail and
6	literally turn it on the day they get out,
7	right?
8	MS. KHAIKIN: Yes, correct. Yes.
9	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: We wouldn't need
10	a waiver for that.
11	MS. KHAIKIN: You would not need a
12	waiver for that, that's right.
13	I don't know if I can speak to all of
L 4	the reasons preventing that from happening
15	right now, but I do think that that
16	starting services and Medicaid before people
L7	leave would be great. And we'll see what
18	happens with the waiver.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
20	Assembly? No. Thank you very much.
21	Appreciate it.
22	MS. KHAIKIN: Thank you.
23	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay. And as I
2./1	anid we now have Mark wan Mooret The Ara

1	New York, followed by a panel of two.
2	Good afternoon.
3	MR. GEIZER: Good afternoon. Thank
4	you for the opportunity to speak with you
5	today and provide feedback on the proposed
6	Executive Budget, its impact on our field,
7	and the people that we serve.
8	I am Erik Geizer. I'm one of the
9	deputy executive directors. Mark van Voorst
10	unfortunately could not be with us today due
11	to illness.
12	The Arc New York represents 47
13	chapters across the state who deliver
14	essential supports and services to
15	New Yorkers with I/DD. We are the largest
16	voluntary provider in our field. We support
17	more than 60,000 individuals and families.
18	And we employ almost 30,000 people throughout
19	the state.
20	I've organized my talking points today
21	into five distinct areas which are reflected
22	also in our written testimony, but I'd like

to just touch briefly on each of them.

The first is #3for5, which you've

23

1	heard several times today. The Arc New York
2	has joined forces with the New York State
3	disability advocates and the larger human
4	services sector to request a 3 percent annual
5	program funding investment every year for the
6	next five years. This is the same #3for5
7	campaign you've heard today, sector-wide
8	support.
9	Investment keeps pace with inflation,
10	it's aligned with the overall growth of
11	Medicaid funding that the Governor deemed
12	reasonable and expected in his State of the
13	State address.
14	New York has a legal and ethical
15	obligation to provide essential services,
16	quality care, and integration for its
17	citizens with I/DD. Our shared
18	responsibility to the people we support is
19	non-negotiable.
20	The next area I'd like to just speak
21	about is mergers and consolidations. While
22	we appreciate the investment in our DSP
23	workforce, a decade of deferred
24	cost-of-living increases has left our system

1	in crisis. We believe the state is
2	intentionally and systemically underfunding
3	the system to drive consolidation.

In the first 65 years of our organization's existence, The Arc New York conducted a total of four mergers, one each decade. Yet eight mergers were completed in the last five years alone, and another five are planned by the end of 2021. A similar trajectory can be seen throughout the field.

efficiency, consolidations should be planned, proactive and initiated prior to providers developing into a crisis where services are jeopardized. However, crisis consolidation will continue to escalate in response to the economic constraints our providers face, and OPWDD simply does not have the funds or the capacity at this time to handle the rapid increase in consolidations.

COLA deferral has saved the state \$5 billion over the last decade. We request, respectfully, that \$10 million in state share funding be reinvested from that savings to

1	support	the	cost	of addit:	ional	consolidations
2	occurrir	ng ir	the	upcoming	year.	

In addition to the current financial pressure on providers, the release of the Executive Budget has raised further concerns about cuts to program funding the system simply could not sustain.

Managed care. The 2020 Budget

Briefing Book included language which clearly articulated that funds required to transition to a managed care environment would be covered by the global Medicaid budget. This year the briefing book is silent on the matter. This omission is deeply concerning for our organization.

Funding for the implementation and operation of managed care cannot come from the operating budgets of providers delivering supports and services to the people with I/DD, or from existing resources of the OPWDD system. Any attempt to do so will result in the creation of a financial crisis that will rapidly and irreparably damage the service system before any positive outcomes can be

1	derived	from	managed	care.

2	Deferred rate action. On their budget
3	briefing call, OPWDD indicated there is
4	insufficient funding in the budget to fully
5	fund provider costs moving forward, based on
6	the most recent rates. We are grateful that
7	OPWDD shifted funds to fully reimburse
8	providers through July 2020. However, we
9	have been told to expect a budget neutrality
10	factor of less than 1 that will be applied in
11	July, in an effort to recoup \$30 million in
12	state share funding.
13	In his testimony today,
14	Commissioner Kastner indicated that the
15	budget neutrality factor will cut all
16	rate-rationalized programs by 2 percent,
17	significantly more than we estimated. This
18	cut would drive already strained providers to
19	the brink and would escalate crisis mergers
20	beyond the current unsustainable rate. We
21	request that the \$30 million be included in
22	the Executive Budget to fully cover actual

Our organization wants to partner with

costs for the fiscal year.

1	state leaders. But to do so, we must be
2	informed, we must have clarity of direction
3	necessary to plan thoughtfully and invest
4	proactively in the future of our service
5	system. Today I urge not only your
6	investment, but your transparency. We have
7	far more questions than clarity about the
8	transition to managed care and the
9	preparation and investment that will be
10	necessary to transform our field.
11	We believe the state is driving the

We believe the state is driving the field towards consolidation, but we have no definitive picture of New York's plan for our service system or its expectations for providers. To be effective partners with the state in serving people with I/DD, we need the administration to be clear about its vision for the future of our field.

And lastly, we will work to identify solutions and be strong partners in achieving our shared goals. To do so effectively and to fulfill our mission and our responsibility to the people we serve, we need the state to clearly define the path forward.

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. Thank
2	you very much for your testimony today.
3	MR. GEIZER: Thank you.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Next up, Lauri
5	Cole, executive director, New York State
6	Council for Community Behavioral Healthcare,
7	and Andrea Smyth, executive director,
8	Coalition for Children's Behavioral Health.
9	MS. COLE: Good afternoon.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Good afternoon.
11	MS. COLE: Good to see you all. Thank
12	you for being here and staying in the game
13	this afternoon.
14	My name is Lauri Cole. I'm the
15	executive director of the New York State
16	Council, as you've said. And just to put it
17	on record, it's 20 years for me before this
18	entity.
19	And in addition to being a membership
20	association director representing mental
21	health and substance abuse providers around
22	the state, I'm also a family survivor, twice
23	over in the last two years, both losses to
24	the opioid epidemic.

1	Everything that I say here today and
2	that you've heard before me is largely
3	related to the everything that I see is
4	through the lens of access to care. Without
5	it, without adequate access and continuity to
6	care for some of New York's most vulnerable
7	people, we are nowhere.

There are two public health crises

facing us right now with the epidemic, the

opioid epidemic, and increasing rates of

completed suicides in certain populations.

Our workforce are like first responders at

this point. They are essential staff and

should be treated, in that regard, similarly

to the way that emergency personnel are often

treated.

At this point the trauma associated with working in a job as a direct care person who is face-to-face with clients who may be there one day and not there the next, is collective and it's fierce. And it's resulted in all kinds of disadvantages for our field and our sector as it relates to being able to recruit and retain staff.

1	Andrea and I are both part of the
2	#3for5 coalition, and I'll tell you that it
3	is an unprecedented group of individuals.
4	And we are looking for something more than a
5	COLA. It is not that we're not appreciative,
6	but instead it is that roofs need repair,
7	health insurance bills go up, all kinds of
8	expenses that we cannot control go up every
9	year, in addition to recruitment and
10	retention issues, et cetera.
11	So while we appreciate the COLA, and
12	we needed it, we need something more. We
13	need an investment, an infusion of resources
14	into our human services sector.
15	There is money to be had this year. I
16	want to remind you of that. I try and do
17	that every year. There is a whole lot of
18	money potentially proposed through DSRIP, and
19	you'll hear about and see that a coalition of
20	advocates is looking for a set-aside of DSRIP
21	funds for community-based organizations,
22	where in the past in DSRIP 1.0, we got a
23	smidgen of those dollars for the
24	community-based care side.

1	In addition to that, we continue to
2	urge you to take a look at the Healthcare
3	Transformation Fund account. That is the
4	fund where Centene-Fidelis and other formal
5	business transaction monies go and where
6	hospitals and nursing homes received a
7	disbursement this past spring. We do not
8	begrudge our colleagues that money, but by no
9	means do we think the legislation, the
10	statutory legislation does not stipulate that
11	it is only for a portion of the healthcare
12	sector.

Now, that account is controlled by the Governor, and we just urge you to look at it and perhaps to speak about it and perhaps even to advance legislation that would put you in the mix in terms of that discussion, because we need you as champions.

In addition, I'd like to just also point out to you that there's money related to settlements to come -- the opioid settlement being one, but not the only one. The Governor's proposal on parity compliance provides an opportunity for income where

1	there has not been before. I'm hoping some
2	of you will ask me questions about the type
3	of enforcement that's gone on to this point,
4	both in the Medicaid and the commercial
5	space, related to the implementation of
6	Medicaid managed care in our sector. It's
7	been 3 1/2 years, and there have not been
8	very many violations.
9	So with that, I'll turn it over to
10	Andrea, my colleague from the Children's
11	Coalition.
12	MS. SMYTH: Hi. I'm executive
13	director of the New York State Coalition for
14	Children's Behavioral Health. My name is
15	Andrea Smyth. I'm also going to run through
16	five quick issues.
17	Thank you for asking questions about
18	the children's behavioral health expansion.
19	I think pursuant to the previous Arc
20	testifier, you can't start up a program
21	unless you invest in new services. And what
22	you heard today was that on January 1st, our
23	startup got cut by 11.5 percent. And yet

people are promising that that startup, that

expansion, is what's going to address the lack of access to care.

And I don't see how you start up and grow a program without the available startup funds. And the startup funds were not on the streets long enough. And they were paid through each individual child that got services as an add-on to the rate, and we think less than 700 kids got the services.

So the startup funds to expand the children's behavioral health capacity were not paid out. They're being limited by the Medicaid global cap. We've got to figure out the auspice between this committee and the Medicaid committee and what we're going to do about the Medicaid global cap.

The reason why there isn't an expansion in children's and family treatment and support services is because the Medicaid global cap is suppressing what they said they're going to invest in new services to a very small number -- \$15 million -- under the global cap. So even if they say we need \$60 million to spend on children's behavioral

1	health services,	they're only going to commit
2	to \$15 million.	And they will commit to
3	\$15 million from	now until we change the
4	global cap, so.	

As Lauri indicated, we also are joining all of the human services field to talk with you about #3for5 funding to help communities thrive. The COLA has not been consistently funding; you've all acknowledged that. But my organization doesn't believe that the patchwork of alternatives is long-term sustainable for the nonprofits. And so by investing in the community-based service system, this year, with the first year of a five-year commitment of 3 percent, we believe we'll get on the right foot.

I'm hoping that you will look to other tables to help us with our workforce problems. The Governor proposed last year \$175 million in statewide workforce development initiatives. We hope that the human services chairs will look towards adding a new human services workforce initiative.

1	Specifically, one of the key reasons
2	why people are dissatisfied with the
3	low-paying human services jobs the second
4	reason. Low-paying is the first
5	dissatisfaction. The second reason is
6	overwhelming paperwork.
7	And we think if you had a legislative

And we think if you had a legislative roundtable that talked about how the human services field could use the robotic process automation to reduce paperwork, and you invested in our ability to train people to do that work and to come and work for us, that we would start to have some productivity improvements in the human services workforce.

So we really urge you to carefully take a look at that. We are going to apply under the existing workforce funds. We're working with UIPath and Gigster, nationally known social impact bond corporations, to put together the proposal. But if we do it, there won't be a way for other human services agencies to do it unless you do a human services workforce initiative.

We heard with interest that the

1	\$350,000 from OASAS for loan forgiveness was
2	announced today, and we think that's great.
3	And I've spoken with Senator Harckham about
4	the fact that doing loan forgiveness for
5	addiction professionals joins the
6	\$3.9 million that's in the budget for
7	Senator Pat McGee's loan forgiveness for
8	nurses and \$1.7 million, through
9	Senator Savino, for the LCSW loan forgiveness
10	program, \$50,000 for the child welfare worker
11	loan forgiveness program, zero for the
12	children's mental health, licensed community
13	mental health, licensed creative arts
14	therapists, licensed marriage and family
15	therapists.
16	It's okay if we want to do this all
17	piecemeal. It's fine. I'll ask you for
18	\$250,000. I'll go out and get a
19	philanthropic match to make it \$500,000. I
20	can't retain my clinicians who come out of
21	graduate school with a six-year degree with
22	\$120,000 in loans at a \$39,000 annual payment
23	rate if I can't offer them loan forgiveness.
24	It's vitally important that we address it for

1	all fields.
2	Last year Senator Carlucci and
3	Assemblywoman Gunther put in a revision to a
4	loan forgiveness program. But we ask you one
5	way or the other to make sure it hits the
6	children's mental health field.
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman
8	Rosenthal.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Hi.
10	Thank you. Thank you both.
11	I have a question for Ms. Cole. I'm
12	interested about the availability of MAT
13	prescribers across New York State. I know
14	that there are not enough medical
15	professionals who have the waiver and are
16	allowed to prescribe.
17	So I wonder if you would talk about
18	that and ways that we could increase the
19	number so that more people would have
20	availability.
21	MS. COLE: Thank you for asking that
22	question.
23	It's a big state, and certainly there

are qualified, ready physicians, medical

1	staff who are prescribing MAT. However,
2	federal regulation combined with some turf
3	issues in New York State around scope of
4	practice create a volatile situation where we
5	are not maximizing the workforce that is the
6	most interested, the most ready, and the most
7	motivated to provide MAT. And those would be
8	people who currently are operating under
9	caps, arbitrary caps due to federal
10	requirements.

One of the ways we can solve this problem, particularly in areas of the state that are not in concentrated urban settings, but also in rural areas of the state, et cetera, would be to focus on what can we do to incentivize those individuals -- nurse practitioners, psychiatric nurse practitioners -- in order to want to do this.

This is not easy work. That's why
there are probably lots of slots available in
a doctor's practice that are not filled to
the limit with clients who need MAT because
perhaps some potential prescribers do not
want to do this work. We need to identify,

1	recruit, retain individuals who do want to do
2	it.
3	And in order to do that, we have to
4	look at scope issues. We have to look at
5	what we can do to be flexible around federal
6	regulations, and we've begun that discussion.
7	But access to care begins with access to
8	appropriate medications.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Is it
10	federal law that I mean, for example, are
11	we allowed to say every physician should be
12	able to prescribe bu?
13	MS. COLE: There's an educational
14	component that they have to complete. And
15	sometimes for a prescriber who or a
16	potential prescriber who has a busy practice,
17	that may not be desirable.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: But it's not
19	preempted by the federal government, is my
20	question.
21	MS. COLE: There are preemptions
22	around the number of clients you can carry or

ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Right. But

23

24

a caseload.

1	not now many people can write a prescription
2	MS. COLE: I don't believe so.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Okay. Well,
4	I'd love to work with you on that.
5	MS. COLE: Thank you.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Thank you.
7	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you both
8	very much. Appreciate it.
9	MS. SMYTH: Thank you.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: I think I have
11	one.
12	Could you shed some light on the
13	disparity in rates between commercial and
14	Medicaid and what they pay?
15	MS. COLE: Yes.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: The other
17	thing I thought when you were just talking
18	about physicians, there's a lot of physicians
19	that you know, it's a tedious practice
20	when you do MAT, medication- assisted
21	treatment, and a lot of doctors still had in
22	their mind this picture of those that take
23	drugs They don't realize it's the

stockbroker with the suit on and those kinds

1	of things. So it's about it's a stigma
2	issue a lot of times.
3	MS. COLE: That's right. That's
4	right.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: And I think
6	that you know, I know that in my kind
7	of my own research, people travel miles and
8	hours away to pick up their methadone in the
9	morning because they don't want to be seen
10	walking in and out.
11	However, if it were some simple doctor
12	that or not a simple doctor, but a doctor
13	that had all kinds of things going on, you
14	wouldn't have to charge in error because no
15	one would know your business, for instance.
16	MS. COLE: Yes.
17	I'll just tell you, as a partner in
18	the state's ombuds program, we spend an awful
19	lot of time watching people travel great
20	distances to access care. And that's why the

that would fund the ombuds program beyond the initial 1.5 is so important, because in your communities around the state, probably most

proposal that Christine spoke about earlier

1	of you do not have community-based
2	organizations that are set up and that are
3	working with the ombuds program to provide
4	local coverage.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: And they work
6	in silos also.
7	MS. COLE: That's right. That's
8	right.
9	But to your question about commercial
10	versus Medicaid rates, we've testified for
11	close to a decade, the council has, that
12	unlike other areas of the healthcare sector,
13	in the behavioral health sector it is the
14	Medicaid rate, the Medicaid managed care
15	rate, that is consistent, stable and
16	government actuarially set. Okay? That is
17	called an APG government rate.
18	On the commercial side, over the last
19	10 years the New York State Council has asked
20	the Department of Financial Services over and
21	over and over again to take responsibility
22	for the inadequate rates that commercial
23	payers that is, private health plans

are permitted to pay that are not in any way

1	near cost of care, let alone the Medicaid
2	rates which the state has set.
3	And so what is created is a disparate
4	system in which, interestingly, people with
5	private health insurance, with commercial
6	health plans, people who are underinsured,
7	working-class people every day struggle to
8	find access to care in those same clinics,
9	with the same staff, with the same provider
10	as a person who would show up at the clinic
11	with a Medicaid card in his hand.
12	It is incredible. The state continues
13	to permit it. What we've asked the
14	Department of Financial Services to do is to
15	take responsibility and seek statutory
16	purview to set actuarially sound commercial
17	rates so that we do not have this disparity
18	in rates between commercial clients and
19	Medicaid clients.
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
21	much, both of you.
22	MS COLE: Thank you

MS. COLE: Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Next up, New York 23 Disability Advocates, Susan Constantino, and 24

1	I believe New York Alliance for Inclusion &
2	Innovation, Michael Seereiter. And there was
3	a third, but they did not submit testimony,
4	so I'm double-checking, Yvette Watts and the
5	New York Association of Emerging &
6	Multicultural Providers as well. Did we get
7	testimony from Yvette Watts? Yes.
8	MS. WATTS: Good afternoon, Chairwomen
9	Krueger and Weinstein, Senator Carlucci,
10	Assemblymember Gunther and other members of
11	the Senate and Assembly. Thank you.
12	My name is Yvette Watts, and I'm the
13	executive director of the New York
14	Association of Emerging & Multicultural
15	Providers. But the title that I'm most proud
16	of is I'm a parent of a 34-year-old woman
17	with autism, who still lives at home with me
18	because of the wonderful services and
19	supports that I received in the span of her
20	life from the volunteer agencies downstate.
21	And I'm able to be here today because
22	of the wonderful services that the DSP is
23	providing for me right now. So thank you.
24	I'm here with my colleagues Susan

1	Constantino from she's the CEO of UCP of
2	New York State {sic} and Michael
3	Seereiter. He is the president and CEO of
4	the New York Alliance. We speak to you today
5	not as executives of our individual agencies,
6	but as representatives of the New York
7	Disability Advocates that's NYDA a
8	newly formed coalition of more than
9	300 volunteer providers who are instrumental
10	in delivering services and support to over
11	140,000 New Yorkers with I/DD.
12	While we've come to you independently
13	for years to advocate for the field and for
14	people we serve, today we come as one. Our
15	members hold various perspectives and
16	priorities on some matters, but our single
17	driving goal is shared: The sustainability
18	of comprehensive quality supports and
19	services for New Yorkers with I/DD.
20	Across the state these organizations
21	and the more than 120,000 people they employ
22	provide lifelong, comprehensive,
23	individualized services to support people
24	with I/DD in all areas of their lives. In

1	addition to delivering physical and
2	behavioral health services, they assist with
3	transportation, housing, medication
4	administration, cooking, feeding, developing
5	personal care, community living, employment,
6	and other crucial services.

As part of the broad coalition of over 40 associations across the human services sector, we are here today to ask the state to facilitate the continuation of this care and to stabilize the non-for-profit sector by providing a 3 percent increase in investment annually for the next five years. We're asking for #3for5.

We understand the state is facing financial headwinds. However, achieving #3for5 is crucial for ensuring continual care for New Yorkers throughout the state with I/DD. Right now crucial services are in jeopardy. Over the last decade, provider organizations have received only one cost-of-living funding increase -- that's less than .02 percent -- and have experienced \$2.6 billion in cuts, pushing many provider

1 agencies to the brink of insolvency.

In a statewide survey that we conducted, nearly half of them have less than 40 days of cash in hand. A third of the providers reported having to reduce services or cut programs completely in the last three years due to funding constraints, impacting almost 50,000 New Yorkers with I/DD and more than 30,000 employees who support these individuals. And all over New York, providers are operating with minimal or outdated technology and deteriorating infrastructure. This is really dangerous.

Stagnant funding also affects
employment. Provider organizations employ
more than 120,000 people across the state.
The majority of them are women and
minorities. Many of these organizations are
the largest employers in their counties,
playing a vital role in the local economies.

Organizations are doing what they can to operate within these constraints, and they've taken a variety of steps to lower their costs, with four out of five having to

1	slash employee benefits to these already
2	underfunded individuals. Yet they are
3	running out of options and simply cannot
4	continue to do more with less.
5	These communities deserve the same
6	access to quality care as every other
7	New Yorker. They deserve opportunities to
8	lead independent, fulfilling lives and
9	participate in their communities. We and I
10	implore you to champion the lives of people
11	with I/DD and to commit to support an annual
12	3 percent investment for the next five years.
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
14	MS. CONSTANTINO: Thank you. I'm
15	Susan Constantino, and I am here just to
16	represent the New York Disability Advocates.
17	What I really wanted to do was just to
18	give you a little more information about what
19	these providers are going through. What we
20	had done is in December we did a survey. And
21	currently right now, as Yvette has said,
22	there's 300 providers in the intellectual and

developmental disability area. You heard

everyone today talking in the whole human

23

1	service	coali	iti	on,	but	I just	have	the
2	informat	cion d	on	the	I/DD	provid	ders.	

The providers that we surveyed have really been in their communities for 30, 40, 50 years or more. These are agencies that are viable, have always been viable agencies providing support to individuals and their families. But all of a sudden this is not what's going on any longer.

As Yvette stated, and we'll go just a little bit deeper, 50 percent of the providers statewide have less than 40 days of cash on hand. Your auditors will tell you that's not a good thing. However, 30 percent of the providers have less than 30 days of cash on hand, and we do know that there are providers that may have 10 days of cash on hand or less.

So because #bFair2DirectCare gave us dollars to increase salaries, those dollars came in and went right back out to those employees every single time, but not to the agencies so that we could help their structure.

1	Fifteen percent of our providers
2	indicate that in the current years that they
3	have used up to 75 percent of their lines of
4	credit, the balance on their lines of credit
5	Some might have \$2 million, some might have
6	\$15 million, depending on the size of the
7	agency. It doesn't matter bigger agency,
8	bigger bills. So they are using those
9	dollars up.

And also, which I think is really one of the real critical things that is such a shame in all of this, is that in the last three years the agencies tell us that they've had to either reduce or eliminate programs. They have vacancies in their programs, vacancies that should be filled by people in the community waiting to come in. But they can't bring them in because many of these people who are referred to them have complex needs, complex behavioral needs or complex medical needs. And they would have to put on more staff in order to be able to accommodate them, and they don't have the dollars to do that. So those folks are not getting the

l service that they ne

Years ago, those of us who are old and have been in this field a long time remember what the nonprofits offered to individuals, to our staff, was good benefits. We may not have always been the highest-paid in the community, but we offered good benefits.

That's not the case any longer. And over the last two years, what people have had to do is restructure their benefits so that people often -- your employees have to pay more than what they've paid before. They've reduced and restructured their retirement plans so that it's harder for individuals to make it on retirement. And many have had to reduce and/or close programs because they just can't afford what it costs to keep that program running. And, again, all critical services to families that are now being lost to us.

What individuals -- what they've told us is that, you know, they have had to really -- and I heard this question earlier -- they've had to really look very

1	carefully at what they do with their own
2	facilities. Can they make all of the repairs
3	that their facilities need, can they afford
4	to put on the roofs, can they afford to do
5	many things. The funding streams are not
6	what they used to be, and those are also very
7	significant issues to us in our communities.

They've had to switch vendors, not worrying about quality but worrying about cost, which is not always the best thing to do. And for many of them, they've had to reduce program staffing needs. Now, we all know that one of our main directives is always community inclusion, that's what we need to do. But if we don't have enough staff, and the staff because we've not been able to pay them, then we're not able to do that.

So I think -- and within there, and
we've totally been appreciative of the -- all
of the money that came with
#bFair2DirectCare. But there are other
people who work for us, vital people -- our
payroll people, our human resources people,

1	any of our administrative people and
2	secretaries that have been very difficult for
3	us to be able to support any kinds of
4	increases for them that's something that a
5	COLA would be able to do.
6	So I guess what I'm saying is that the
7	#3for5 is critical to us right now. This is
8	the only way we're going to be able to keep
9	on. And we are a much better deal than the
10	state. So we are asking you please to
11	consider this.
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
13	MR. SEEREITER: Good afternoon. I'm

MR. SEEREITER: Good afternoon. I'm Michael Seereiter of the New York Alliance for Inclusion & Innovation. Thank you.

I'd like to start with a thank you to you for your ongoing support and the support from the Governor for our workforce, which is really the backbone of the I/DD industry and the sector. We're very pleased to see the increase for the #bFair2DirectCare campaign and the effort, reflected in the Governor's budget, for a 2 percent increase this year taking effect on April 1st.

1	I would say that wages, I think, are
2	only part of that equation, however. I think
3	that another piece of that puzzle to address
4	what is a huge workforce challenge for our
5	sector is also a credential. And we would
6	support we would urge resources to be
7	supportive of the piece of legislation
8	sponsored by Senator Carlucci and
9	Assemblymember Gunther related to a DSP
10	pilot.
11	I would like to quickly address
12	something the commissioner addressed earlier,
13	speaking around DSP wages and the fact that

something the commissioner addressed earlier, speaking around DSP wages and the fact that New York State enjoys DSP wages that are typically higher than most other states. I think the question really needs to be asked about what is the cost of living in New York State as it relates to those wages. And furthermore, what is the value of the supports and services the direct support professionals provide? I would argue it is not minimum wage work.

So we are indeed pivoting our advocacy efforts, and we're very pleased to be working

1	with the New York State Disability Advocates
2	and the larger #3for5 campaign for the
3	3 percent increase each year for five years,
4	as my colleagues here have more eloquently
5	articulated today than I will. I will say
6	three quick things about this.

Number one, the flexible resources we seek would do three things. One, it would stabilize the sector. Number two, it would provide the opportunity for provider organizations that operate in the sector to be planful about their futures. And lastly, it would actually allow us to continue the workforce investments that began under the #bFair2DirectCare campaign.

One of the things that -- well, another thing that Commissioner Kastner articulated earlier was that the cost-based methodology that currently is the methodology by which provider organizations are funded in this sector is probably the most favorable of any of the structures. I would say that that's probably true. However, that's not necessarily true when you artificially

1	suppress those rates with a budget neutrality
2	factor or other across-the-board reductions
3	like those that were articulated today.

So the rates are indeed less than what it costs to provide services. And just as a kind of -- in passing, those are rates that are reflected two years after the services are delivered. And again, they have not been reflective of any of the cost-of-living adjustments that this sector needs and has not been privy to over a period of about a decade now.

On the Medicaid Redesign Team No. 2, we're watching this very carefully and cautiously. We would encourage you to do the same. There seems to be no reason that this process can't improve on the previous process of the MRT 1 in three particular areas:

Number one being improved representation for the populations that are impacted; number two being improved stakeholder input; and number three being an improved opportunity for reviewing the recommendations that are ultimately put forward.

1	Lastly, on managed care, we heard
2	today and we've heard through the Governor's
3	budget proposal that the SIP-PL plan
4	qualification document is expected shortly.
5	If indeed the I/DD sector is to continue to
6	move toward managed care, it needs, I
7	believe, four things: Number one, a
8	continued investment in readiness resources,
9	including the managed care community of
10	practice that Senator Seward was asking about
11	earlier, which is a project of the New York
12	Alliance.
13	I will say two things about the
14	managed care community of practice. One is
15	that we are beginning the work to
16	specifically try to address some of the itch
17	points, the concerns related to the CCO
18	implementation, and we are happily taking up
19	some of that work, which will be starting
20	with an inclusive conversation of everyone,
21	all stakeholders involved in the system.
22	Number two, I would say that very
23	shortly we will be delivering to your offices
24	a white paper that discusses the implications

1	for New York State, based on a study of five
2	other states that have already implemented
3	managed care for the I/DD sector, and what
4	can be learned from those experiences.

The second on my list of things that are necessary for success in managed care are investments in health information technology to be able to operate in a managed care structure.

Number three would be an I/DD ombudsperson program specifically geared for the specific needs of this population. It is a very different population than all of the other populations that have moved to managed care.

And lastly, I will quote from the

Transformation Panel recommendations from now

five -- I believe it was five years ago -
to, quote, identify funding to meet the

administrative costs of managed care,

distinct from funding required to meet the

needs of individuals for services.

Under no means can the resources necessary to stand up that system come out of

1	the existing system provider sector or, by
2	any means, out of those services and supports
3	that individuals and families rely on on a
4	day-to-day basis.
5	Thank you.
6	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
7	Senator Carlucci.
8	SENATOR CARLUCCI: Well, thank you for
9	your testimony and thank all of you for your
10	commitment to protecting our most vulnerable
11	populations. I share the concerns that all
12	of you addressed today, and I think many of
13	our colleagues do as well.
14	I just wanted to ask you to elaborate
15	more upon because we didn't get time to
16	talk about it today about the
17	credentialing program, how you see that
18	working and how it will help to give a better
19	experience to the people that are being
20	served.
21	MR. SEEREITER: Several years ago the
22	Legislature appropriated funds to study this
23	program study this idea in its totality.
24	And the recommendations that came out of that

1	report really talk about how the credential
2	needs to be accompanied by what is already in
3	the existing system when we talk about core
4	competencies.

That's kind of the base, right? And then on top of that you want to start to strive for excellence. And between the two of those things, you start to actually move a system toward identifying those opportunities for improving quality.

Quality is a definition that I

think -- the definition of quality in this
sector is something that is not yet fully
defined. In fact, I think we're in the
process of that right now.

If we are to be playing a role in helping to make that a -- helping to define what that is and certainly improving the lives of individuals with disabilities that these services and supports are designed to do, we need to be striving for that excellence.

And in doing so, the credential starts to push the system and it starts to create

1	the opportunities for people who want to be a
2	direct support professional to do this and to
3	make it a career, which is really where I
4	think the secret sauce is. It's in the
5	relationship between the individual served
6	and the person providing those services. The
7	longevity of that relationship, the quality
8	of that relationship drive so much of what we
9	see in terms of the outcomes I think that are
10	possible in this system.

SENATOR CARLUCCI: Thank you.

And I wanted to -- I just wanted to also thank you for your advocacy for the #3for5 campaign. It's so important that we get the funding into the system.

And also I want to thank you for bringing it to the attention that it was stated earlier that our DSPs are paid at a level top in the country compared to other states. And I think it's important that we remind everyone that we really can't be looking to other states. If we want to go backwards, maybe we'll do that, but we want to continue to go forward.

1	And New York is consistently seen as a
2	leader in providing services to our most
3	vulnerable populations, and I think we have
4	to isolate ourselves from that and not look
5	to go backwards but look to go forward and
6	continue to be an example for other states to
7	follow.
8	So I just want to thank you for
9	bringing that point up and really correcting
10	that statement that was said earlier. Thank
11	you.
12	Thank you, Chair.
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Jim
14	Seward.
15	SENATOR SEWARD: Yes, one question for
16	the panel. I know some of you have talked
17	about this in general terms, but I wanted to
18	get your assessment of the CCOs and the
19	impact on services to those that need the
20	services in the DD community.
21	MS. CONSTANTINO: I'll take one crack
22	at it.
23	SENATOR SEWARD: I mean, how's it
24	going, I guess is the

1	MS. CONSTANTINO: You know, I think
2	for it being a program that's not quite two
3	years old, it's going well, considering what
4	we did and how we totally did away with one
5	whole piece of what we had come to rely on,
6	which was our Medicaid service coordinators,
7	and move to something different. So I think
8	in that regard it's doing well.

I think -- and we did hear the commissioner talk today, and I was really glad to hear him say they are meeting weekly. Because we as providers have sent our concerns, because there still are concerns.

I think the hardest part is for the families. They were very used to a very close relationship with their service coordinators. Because the CCOs have had to -- they have larger geographic territories and they've had to change how they really have been able to assign people, people have had to get to know new folks. And they've had so much pressure to do the life plans that they probably haven't had as much time to really begin to integrate into people's

-					
- 1	2 477 1	a	little	hit	$m \cap r \cap$

But I think for being a new program,
3 it's really moved along quite remarkably.

MR. SEEREITER: I would echo that, actually. I think, you know, when you look at the larger trajectory of implementing a system change of that magnitude -- you're now a year, 19 months into the process, roughly -- I think it has gone along quite well, all things considered.

I think there's just also a -- I think there are some fundamental issues that do need to be addressed with the existing -- kind of the system that we now have. We're now, again, 19 months into implementation.

That's no longer part of the implementation period. But I think that there are expectations that are either unrealistic or don't match up with what the service system is now looking like.

I think it is an oversimplification to say that it is simply a shift of the Medicaid service coordination capacity from the previous process to the care coordination

1	structure. That is, it's different. It is a
2	health home model. And the scope and
3	magnitude of the responsibilities of the
4	health home and the care managers who work
5	for them are different than those that work
6	for the Medicaid service coordinators.
7	So setting reasonable expectations and

helping everyone understand what the roles and responsibilities are, I think we kind of need to go back and do a little bit of the going back to basics. And that's actually where we're going to try to focus some of our managed care efforts, managed care community and practice efforts, to try to help understand what is it that we can actually expect out of this process and what are reasonable expectations.

MS. WATTS: But I can say, as a parent and also as an association rep, that we as parents, we've always worked with OPWDD and the volunteer sector. We've worked very closely with them.

So yes, this -- as Susan did say -- was a tremendous leap for the families, but

1	they are resilient. And I will tell you that
2	the OPWDD providers, they worked with us and
3	the CCOs. Because the CCOs, many of them
4	were the providers. So it was a tremendous
5	transformation, which I think is starting to
6	find its way. Because as Michael was saying,
7	now they're going back and saying, okay, what
8	were the mistakes? And we're going to work
9	together.
10	And I think that's what is so
11	wonderful, is the collaboration. And if we
12	can get this #3for5, we can continue that
13	collaboration, which parents need to continue
14	to be like myself, to continue to work and
15	have quality of life and still maintain your
16	individual at home longer because you're
17	getting the good services from these provider
18	agencies. So it's all a collaboration that
19	needs to continue.
20	SENATOR SEWARD: Thank you for your
21	assessment.
22	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
23	much, all three of you. Appreciate it

MS. CONSTANTINO: Thank you.

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Our next
2	panelists are Alcoholism and Substance Abuse
3	Providers of New York State, John Coppola,
4	and Coalition for Behavioral Health,
5	Amy Dorin.
6	You can start in whichever direction
7	you prefer.
8	MS. DORIN: We're going to start with
9	John.
10	MR. COPPOLA: Good evening. I'm happy
11	to be here.
12	And just want to begin by sort of
13	echoing a point that a number of that,
14	Senator Krueger, I heard you make, and
15	Senator Harckham a little bit earlier,
16	Assemblywoman Gunther, Assemblywoman
17	Rosenthal, in terms of talking about the
18	amount of resources that are committed to the
19	crisis. And I think Senator Harckham's words
20	were "drastically underfunded."
21	The magnitude of our response to the
22	public health crisis that's been created by
23	the addiction related to opioids and opioid
24	overdose deaths the magnitude of our

1	response has not approximated the magnitude
2	of the crisis. And that's a significant
3	problem.

It is not acceptable that -- you know, there's a chart that I included in my testimony that shows the funding for local assistance programs in communities across the state beginning in 2013 and ending with the current year's proposal. And if you think about the work that you did last year, after the Senate and the Assembly were finished adding to what the Governor proposed, the result was a 1 percent increase over the previous year.

And that was in a year when we were talking about a record number of overdoses and a record impact of addiction on the system. And when we were all done with the budget, there was a 1 percent increase to help folks address this crisis. Which means, you know, all of the things you've heard people talk about relative to the cost of doing business were somehow, you know, also included.

1	And one of the things that I want to
2	do I want to call a little bit of
3	attention to the testimony that Ken Robinson
4	gave a little bit earlier. And he talked
5	about his experience working with AIDS and
6	HIV. And his you know, he must have said
7	three or four times "My heart is broken," and
8	he was thinking about the lack of attention
9	that we pay to people who are currently
10	using, you know, drugs. And, you know, our
11	lack of ability to see the fundamental
12	dignity in those people. And so he was kind
13	of surmising that it was a stigma which was
14	the reason why we were so poorly funded.
15	And I want to call your attention to
16	what happened specifically with AIDS/HIV.
17	You all were responsible for a significant
18	change in the response that that illness and

You all were responsible for a significant change in the response that that illness and that health challenge received, and that was that you increased the rates, the Medicaid rates for physicians who were supposed to be paying attention to that crisis. And as soon as the rates were increased, physicians started coming out of the woodwork to do that

1 work.	Absolutely.
---------	-------------

And I would suggest to you that if you take a page or two out of the playbook that you used in addressing the crisis that was caused by the incidence of HIV and AIDS, that that would go a long way toward helping us address the needs of people suffering with addiction to opioids and other drugs.

And I would just sort of suggest that the conversation be much broader than just the opioid crisis. It was alarming to read recently that the number of deaths attributable to alcohol doubled -- doubled -- in the last 10 years. And so when you think about, you know, addiction related to alcohol, addiction related to opioids, and we're starting to hear about methamphetamine and cocaine and fentanyl, it's a sizable public health issue.

And I believe that if you approach it the same way that you approached AIDS and HIV, look at the Medicaid rates and, you know -- and again, I think that is at the point when Assemblywoman Rosenthal asked the

1	question about why don't more doctors
2	prescribe buprenorphine, why aren't more
3	doctors getting involved.

There are a lot of doctors that are certified that are not prescribing to anybody, and they've made a decision that it's not good business for them to do so because the rates don't pay for the cost of doing the service, it's a bad idea. And so there's an inherent discouragement of offering the very service that people are talking about so frequently which is also, you know, medication-assisted treatment.

So it really is fundamentally a question of do we have the will to really address this problem and can we work looking at the Medicaid rates.

So our first priority this year is indeed the #3for5. And you've heard from everybody that if you don't have an economically viable, fiscally solvent -- fiscally sound organization, you are not in the best possible position to address the needs of people in need. And so we stand

1	firmly with our partner organizations in the
2	#3for5 campaign.
3	The second one is really which I
4	just identified, is to take a look at the
5	Medicaid rates and to do something with
6	Medicaid rates.
7	And the final point is to look at the
8	possible revenue that you have at your
9	disposal, whether it's the settlements from
10	opioids, whether it's the tax on marijuana
11	for adult users if that's something that
12	you legalize if it's the Comrie-DenDekker
13	alcohol tax which would literally tax maybe
L 4	5 cents for a drink, or if there's additional
15	funds that go into the pharma fund. There
16	are resources there if you want to do the
17	job.
18	Thank you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
20	Amy?
21	MS. DORIN: Thank you. Good evening.
22	We really appreciate your convening of

this joint legislative public hearing on the

2020-2021 Executive Budget.

23

1	I'm Amy Dorin. I'm the president and
2	CEO for the Coalition of Behavioral Health.
3	The coalition represents more than
4	100 community-based behavioral health
5	providers who collectively serve over
6	600,000 New Yorkers every year.
7	I'm testifying today with my colleague
8	John Coppola. The coalition and ASAP
9	recently announced a strategic partnership to
10	build a unified voice for behavioral health
11	providers throughout the state, highlight

integration as the future of our field, and
improve care for individuals with mental
health and substance use disorders. We're
very excited about this partnership, and

17 about 250 community-based agencies throughout

together the coalition and ASAP represent

New York State.

This is a critical time for behavioral health in New York. The opioid and suicide epidemics are taking the lives of thousands of New Yorkers every year. New York State has the opportunity at this moment to truly invest in behavioral health, tackle these

epidemics and emerging issues, and improve
public health for the state.

Unfortunately, the current funding and support for the sector means that providers lack the flexibility to fully address these issues. Individuals throughout the state frequently encounter waitlists for services, which delays access to care and increases the likelihood of utilizing much costlier services such as the emergency room and inpatient hospitals. Clients also experience staff turnover that is detrimental to their care, as funding does not allow for sufficient salaries.

Our first and most important issue is the #3for5 campaign, which I'm sure you've heard all throughout the day. It is absolutely crucial. If we do not invest in our community providers, we're not going to go anywhere or be able to do anything. So we stand with human services coalitions from across New York State calling for the states to invest in a 3 percent increase on contracts and rates for the next five years.

1	Human services funding has been
2	slashed by 26 percent since 2008, resulting
3	in rates that are lower than 1980. The
4	800,000 New Yorkers who make up the human
5	services workforce bear the brunt of this,
6	with stagnant low wages which leave the
7	average human services worker living at or
8	below the poverty line.
9	One of our members today and it
10	represents several members talked about
11	their workforce that are homeless. They li
12	in homeless shelters, come into work every

represents several members -- talked about their workforce that are homeless. They live in homeless shelters, come into work every day to help other people who are also on the brink of homelessness. I think that is quite a statement and very impactful.

This chronic underfunding threatens
the stability of New York's entire human
services sector. Thirty-nine percent of
New York's human services organizations have
less than three months of cash on hand. If
you run an organization, that's very, very
scary.

Organizations often have just a few late payments -- are a few late payments away

	1	from	being	able	to	make	payroll.
--	---	------	-------	------	----	------	----------

doing business.

Organizations struggle to pay for increasing requirements and demands necessary to conduct business, including widespread adoption of electronic health records, data analytics, cybersecurity -- all part of the cost of

underfunding has also led to the severe workforce crisis across the state. There's a 34 percent turnover rate, an increasing vacancy rate, with behavioral health providers reporting lower-level staff leaving for positions that pay better in retail and food service. And we do have staff leaving to work at Home Depot or McDonald's because the rate of pay is equal to what we're paying or more.

This is not easy work to begin with.

While it can be immensely rewarding, hours

are often inflexible and include nights and

weekends, and common incentives like working

from home are simply not available to many of

the members of our workforce.

1	It is critical that programs are able
2	to staff appropriately to serve individuals
3	with mental health and substance use
4	disorders, but low salaries make it
5	impossible for providers to do so. There are
6	less people coming into the field and less
7	staying in the field and that is direct
8	service as well as supervisors.
9	The second issue in our request or
10	ask: Maintaining existing behavioral health
11	funding. We were happy in the first round of
12	cuts when the 1 percent did not affect
13	agencies operating under the Mental Hygiene
14	Law. We need to at least maintain what's
15	happening now, and we must be represented on
16	MRT II since our providers serve the
17	highest-cost, highest-need individuals in the
18	state.
19	Next ask: Ensuring that the opioid
20	settlement funding responds to our epidemic.
21	We will absolutely advocate for the
22	settlement funds to be infused back into the

system for substance use prevention and

treatment, and we hope that you join us in

23

1	that.

2	Restore funding for children. This is
3	a huge issue. We can't cut kids it has a
4	devastating impact on children, families, and
5	our society. We are requesting a restoration
6	of cuts made to Children and Family Treatment
7	and Support Services, CFTSS, and fulfillment
8	of the state's promise to increase access to
9	services through Medicaid redesign. By
10	bringing children with mental illness, with
11	serious emotional disturbance into
12	Medicaid-managed care
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: If you could
14	wrap up.
15	MS. DORIN: I'll wrap up.
16	Children in this case, we cannot
17	cut. We've lost so far 25 agencies around
18	the state that have de-designated. That
19	means that they're not going to continue to
20	do CFTSS services for children.
21	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: That final
22	MS. DORIN: Just a concern about CFTSS
23	and the low rates that have caused a lot of

providers around the state from

1	de-designating. That means that they cannot
2	do the business of serving children, and it's
3	horrifying.
4	And the last ask has to do with
5	integrating care to improve quality and
6	access. And I'm looking at the model of the
7	CCBHC, the Centers of Excellence for
8	Behavioral Health there are 13 in the
9	state, seven in New York City. And really ar
10	ask to replicate that model, which allows
11	community providers to have the funding they
12	need to do the services.
13	Thank you.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
15	There are no questions. Thank you for
16	being here.
17	MR. COPPOLA: Thank you.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: Well, I
19	just
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: I'm sorry.
21	Assemblywoman Gunther.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: So I read I
23	try to go along and read along you were

quick, so it was hard. But, you know, when

1	I'm looking at this, we realize that not all
2	of these requests will be fulfilled. So in
3	my opinion, it's just like my kid's Christmas
4	list you know, you've got to go for the
5	ones that are most important to you.
6	MS. DORIN: Yeah.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: And I think
8	that's important. And I think it's important
9	also, can we incorporate into like
10	organizations that already are in
11	communities?
12	I think a lot of the times when I go
13	back to the HIV when that was going on, we
14	used to ID physicians that were already
15	existing in our community, you know, we did
16	it kind of secretly behind you know,
17	people would go in.
18	But what I'm saying is that there
19	won't be enough money to support every agency
20	that has come and testified today. So it's
21	almost like we can't be redundant, because
22	there's only a limited amount of money. So
23	how can we get the best bang for the buck
24	without redundancy?

1	I know that you're working together,
2	but I almost think we have to do it just
3	like it was different interagencies. They're
4	doing lots to communicate together. They
5	want DSPs to get a raise to get an
6	increase, so they're working together on
7	those things.

Well, as far as we look at the areas of New York State, the agencies that we can't have redundancy -- and the providers. And it's important. And -- because there's only that much money to go around. And you hate to keep saying no to people. So how can we make it more cost-effective with efficacy, is what I'm saying.

And, I mean, I think that brilliant minds, they do prevail, and there's got to be some way, because we're not going to get it all. And I know that. And I'm sure other people agree with me. I feel bad when people sit here and are asking for money and I feel like, you know, I'm going to be -- it's going to be disappointing.

So how could you not disappoint people

1	and utilize what you have and also
2	collaborate together to provide the services
3	that you really want for our communities?
4	MR. COPPOLA: I think there's two
5	things specific to your point. One of them
6	is to take advantage of the additional
7	resources that we mentioned, to have the
8	conversation about how can we utilize funds
9	from the settlement funds to, you know,
10	distribute in a way that will really make the
11	kinds of changes we're talking about.
12	When we have the conversation about
13	the marijuana tax, if that's something that
14	happens, how do we make sure that we make the
15	investment in prevention and treatment that
16	we
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: But we don't
18	know that it's going to happen. So I'd
19	rather look at what is in front of us,
20	because that by the time the tax is, you
21	know, when they start if they do get
22	the tax comes, it's still going to be a
23	length of time before that money will be able
24	to be utilized

1	MR. COPPOLA: Right.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: for
3	anything. So
4	MR. COPPOLA: So what is in front of
5	you, though, is the results of DSRIP No. 1.
6	And DSRIP No. 1 if you say, okay, let's
7	look only at the successful programs that
8	drove savings. And those savings were
9	largely driven by behavioral health
10	organizations where there was a commitment of
11	resources from DSRIP to those programs which
12	drove savings.
13	So that is not only a question of
14	making the investment, that's where the
15	providers can say to you "We can help you
16	close the gap." We can help you and I'll
17	give you one concrete example which was
18	incorporated into DSRIP.
19	There was a program in New York City
20	that did case management with 750 folks who
21	had frequent services paid for by Medicaid,
22	and they were given case management and
23	treatment for their addiction. And it saved
24	those 750 individuals who received treatment,

1	saved in the same fiscal year \$10 million.
2	When the program increased from 750 to 1500,
3	it saved \$20 million.
4	So you have the ability to say, let's
5	utilize what we know from DSRIP and let's
6	project out how much savings will be
7	generated if we make the investment in the
8	community-based organizations that can drive
9	it.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: I agree with
11	you. I agree.
12	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
13	MS. DORIN: Thank you.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for
15	the work you do and for being here today with
16	us.
17	MR. COPPOLA: Thank you.
18	MS. DORIN: Thank you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Next we have
20	Northern Rivers Family of Services,
21	William Gettman, to be followed by the
22	Association for Community Living, to be
23	followed by Families Together in New York

State.

2 is Bill Gettman, from Nort	
	tal health provider
3 of Services. We're a ment	
4 a child welfare provider,	and an educational
5 provider in the Capital Re	egion. We serve
6 18,000 children and adults	s in the 30-county
7 area.	
8 Thank you for your	time today, and
9 thank you for your public	service.
10 I want to address t	three critical
11 things related to the deli	ivery of services
12 for children and adults ac	cross New York
13 State. First, as my colle	eagues have
14 suggested, we need to inve	est in the #3for5
15 campaign. The #3for5 camp	oaign is an overdue
16 action by the Legislature	and the
17 administration to support	the viability of
18 our local not-for-profit s	sector.
19 Many people think of	of #3for5 as a
20 shorthand for the workford	ce, but it goes
21 beyond the workforce. It	pays for things
22 like physical security in	our schools and in

our residences. It pays for the IT costs

that allow us to negotiate with managed-care

23

1 cc	mpanies.	Ιt.	pavs	for	heaters.

2	As I was sitting here today I received
3	an email from my facilities director, who
4	told me that one of the heaters in the
5	residences had just blown up and we have an
6	\$18,000 expense tomorrow. In the past years
7	I could go back to the state, I could go back
8	to our funders OMH, OPWDD, OCFS and
9	others and look for dispensation. That no
10	longer exists. I have to go out and
11	privately raise that money.

So we ask for #3for5. We need predictable funding over the next five years.

Related to that is the recommendation from Andrea Smyth and the Children's Mental Health Coalition for an appropriation to support the mental health workforce and a loan forgiveness program.

At Northern Rivers this year we piloted a loan forgiveness program for our staff. We privately raised \$150,000 to go out and provide monthly stipends to our staff so they would stay and work for us, so they wouldn't be hired away to go to work for the

1	managed-care	companies	or	for	the	state
_	managea care	Companies	\circ	$\perp \bigcirc \perp$	CIIC	Deace

In that regard, I have one additional workforce story to share with you. We have a young man who is 18 years old. He lives in one of our group homes, and I'm proud to say he just got a part-time job. He is the assistant shift supervisor at a local coffee store here in the Capital District. He makes \$16.85 an hour, and he works as many hours as he can schedule.

The staff person who takes him there every day and picks him up makes \$13 an hour.

And 25 percent of my staff have to work a second job to meet their needs.

So again, I encourage you to support the workforce in various ways through loan forgiveness as well as #3for5.

Last, I need to touch on the community-based services that are available under the CBFT services, the new Medicaid services. There are large waiting lists, there are turnover of staff, and they're not financial viable.

We are a large provider, and we

1	provide these services to several hundred
2	families currently. We will lose \$1 million
3	this year based on the current rates. It's
4	not a productivity issue, it's an
5	insufficiency of the rate. If we can't meet
6	this need to break even on this program, we
7	will have to close it.
8	Which gets back to the question that
9	Chair Gunther asked about how do we make sure
10	we're having an impact, because these kids
11	will end up in hospital rooms, in hospital
12	EDs, they'll end up in homeless shelters, and
13	their problems will escalate, therefore
14	costing more Medicaid.
15	So again, I encourage you to support
16	the human service sector through #3for5, the
17	loan forgiveness, and look hard at the new
18	state plan Medicaid services.
19	I appreciate your time and your
20	service and your dedication. This is a tough
21	budget. But I think we need to invest in
22	kids, because kids are our future.
23	Thank you.
24	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for

1	being	here	today.	Thank	you.

Next, we have the Association for

Community Living, Antonia Lasicki, executive

director, to be followed by Families Together

in New York State.

6 MS. LASICKI: Hi, good afternoon.
7 Thank you so much for staying and listening

for so long. Hi, how are you?

that.

I'm going to be as brief as possible.

I don't want to repeat a lot of what's already been said here. I do want to start out just by saying that we so support the \$20 million that the Governor put in his proposed budget for OMH residential programs.

These programs have been underfunded for many years -- some of them did not get increases for 20 years, literally. So it's very much

Just to put that into context, though, if you take -- we have about 40,000 units of housing operated by not-for-profit providers for people who are -- with serious and persistent mental illnesses who are

appreciated, and we hope you will support

1	functionally impaired by those illnesses. So
2	the people with very challenging behavior and
3	medical issues, often on six to 12
4	medications each we have people with a
5	high school diploma supervising all those
6	medications, managing that care. Very
7	complicated jobs, as other people have said.
8	And when you think about the
9	\$20 million over 40,000 units of housing, it
10	comes out to about \$500 per person per bed
11	per year. So it's really because the
12	housing system under the Office of Mental
13	Health is so big, it's approximately a
14	billion dollars of their budget. And so
15	\$20 million is a 2 percent increase. And
16	when you've gotten nothing for years and
17	years and years, 2 percent is not very much.
18	So we really need that money. It's
19	going to offset other losses. So we but I
20	must say, I do appreciate it. It's a very
21	tough budget year. And I did want to just
22	put a couple of things into context.
23	There was a couple of questions to the

commissioner about the 2 percent workforce

1	increase, as compared to the statutory COLA
2	that's been deferred 12 out of the last
3	14 years. So the statutory COLA was put in
4	in 2006; we only got it two out of the
5	14 years since then.
6	So what's the difference? So the
7	statutory COLA covers Office of Mental
8	Health, OPWDD, OASAS, OCFS, OTDA, and Office
9	for the Aging. So that's the extra
10	COLA was for those six state agencies and all
11	the providers who contracted with those six
12	state agencies.
13	The 2 percent workforce COLA is only
L 4	for OMH, OPWDD, and OASAS. So those other
15	three state agencies have gotten nothing from
16	the 2 percent workforce increases. They've
17	got nothing for many years.
18	The other thing is, and I think
19	Mike Seereiter spoke about this
20	specifically or maybe it wasn't Michael,
21	but the 2 percent workforce increase is
22	only for the direct support professionals, as

we know. But all those other workers in the

organizations are not in that 2 percent.

23

1	So if you have a worker who is doing
2	data entry for minimum wage and we have to
3	enter every single gas receipt, every single
4	expense, it's tedious work we have a lot
5	of the workers like that, and they're not
6	part of the 2 percent. So they're left out,
7	even in the OMH, OASAS, and OPWDD systems.

So the 2 percent does not replace the COLA that we lost. The COLA that we lost is a completely different animal. And that's why everybody is asking for #3for5, because the #3for5 would take the place of the statutory COLA that we have lost.

The 3 percent for five years is a

3 percent COLA on the contracts, which is
what the statutory COLA would have been -not just for certain workers in certain
categories. So the #3for5 is critical,
because the longer we go with targeted
workforce increases -- yes, we can give a

2 percent workforce increase to certain
workers, but the agencies are still going to
go out of business. They're still going to

1	So it makes no it doesn't really
2	make a lot of sense to just give a targeted
3	workforce increase and let agencies sink. So
4	we I often think about this, the targeted
5	workforce increase idea, as kind of like a
6	it's kind of a state idea where you're you
7	know, you give CSEA workers a raise. You
8	know, there's an arm of the state the CSEA
9	workers, they get a raise, but nobody is
10	concerned that the Office of Mental Health is
11	not going to be able to continue to operate
12	from an administrative/managerial point of
13	view. They're still not going to be able to
14	cover their rents, their utilities, their
15	you know, liability insurance. All that gets
16	taken care of.
17	But for us, it doesn't get taken care
18	of. We get a targeted workforce increase to

of. We get a targeted workforce increase to a direct care worker, and the rest is left alone, and we are -- a lot of our agencies, as you heard, you know, the cash on hand is two weeks.

It's really not -- it's not a healthy way to do business, particularly when you are

1	taking care of some of the most vulnerable
2	people in the state, and you don't want
3	providers to go out of business and have an
4	emergency situation on your hands where you
5	have to scramble and figure out how you're
6	going to take care of people.

of the statutory COLA. The 2 percent targeted workforce increases are very much appreciated. It's a very tough year, we get that, and we appreciate it. But something else has to be done. We have to move forward in a different way. And I think the #3for5 campaign is a campaign that has got everybody on board under all six of those state agencies, all the advocates.

And so in terms of Assemblywoman

Gunther's question, you know, where do you

get the best bang for your buck, I think the

#3for5 campaign is probably where you get the

best bang for your buck. And -- but again,

thank you all for your support, and we'll

talk more over the coming months about all of

this, I'm sure.

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yes.
2	Assemblyman Santabarbara.
3	MS. LASICKI: Yes. Hi.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN SANTABARBARA: I just want
5	to thank you for being here, and I also want
6	to thank you for visiting my district.
7	MS. LASICKI: I'm sorry?
8	ASSEMBLYMAN SANTABARBARA: I want to
9	thank you for visiting my district and for
LO	providing me the information back in
L1	Schenectady, Mohawk Opportunities, we had a
L2	meeting and it just kind of
L3	MS. LASICKI: I'm sorry. I'm having a
L 4	hard time understanding you.
L5	ASSEMBLYMAN SANTABARBARA: Oh, I'm
L 6	sorry. I just wanted to thank you for being
L7	here and thank you for visiting my district
L8	over the summer.
L9	MS. LASICKI: Oh, yes, yes, yes, yes.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN SANTABARBARA: Yeah, we
21	got
22	MS. LASICKI: I really liked that,
23	yes.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN SANTABARBARA: And Mohawk

1	Opportunities and executive director Joe
2	was there
3	MS. LASICKI: Yes.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN SANTABARBARA: and
5	provided me information ahead of the hearing
6	MS. LASICKI: Yes. Yes.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN SANTABARBARA: That was
8	very helpful, because there's a lot to take
9	in at the hearing.
10	MS. LASICKI: Yes.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN SANTABARBARA: So I
12	appreciate you taking the time. And, you
13	know, the homes that we're talking about, the
14	facilities, some of them are not far from
15	where I live
16	MS. LASICKI: Right.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN SANTABARBARA: They're
18	certainly in my district. And we talked
19	about the City of Amsterdam as well, a new
20	project that's going to be
21	MS. LASICKI: Yeah. Yeah.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN SANTABARBARA: coming
23	online very soon. So I wanted to thank you

for -- I know it's a short period of time,

1	but we did review that information and we
2	will be fighting for you in the budget.
3	MS. LASICKI: Great. Great.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN SANTABARBARA: Thank you.
5	MS. LASICKI: Thank you.
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for
7	being here today.
8	MS. LASICKI: Thank you.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Next we have
10	Families Together in New York State, Paige
11	Pierce, to be followed by Jim Karpe.
12	And is Amber Decker here? No?
13	Yes, go ahead.
14	MS. PIERCE: Good afternoon. Thanks
15	for sticking it out.
16	I'm Paige Pierce, I'm the CEO of
17	Families Together in New York State.
18	Families Together is a family-run
19	organization that represents families of
20	children with social, emotional, and
21	behavioral health and cross-systems needs.
22	We represent thousands of families from
23	across the state whose children have been
24	involved in many systems, including mental

1	health,	addiction,	spe	ecial e	education	١,
2	juvenile	e justice,	and	foste	r care.	

I am one of those parents. I have a son who's 28 who's on the autism spectrum, and I've been advocating with him and for him over the last 25 years. Our board and staff are made up primarily of family members and youth who have been involved in these systems, and our 2020 policy agenda, which you have in my written testimony, is created by our families.

In 2011, when the Medicaid Redesign

Team was launched, I was glad to serve as one of the people on the Children's Behavioral Health MRT Subcommittee. The central premise of redesign was that New York would rein in costs by investing in better, more creative preventive healthcare strategies.

Back then, we knew that the children's behavioral health system was underresourced. We knew that we had insufficient capacity to meet the needs of our children. The state acknowledged this reality. For children, unlike every other aspect of Medicaid, we

1	resol	ved	that	the	state	would	spend	fur	nding
2	most	effe	ective	ely k	by act	ually	investi	ng	more.

But now, in 2020, despite several delays, the new services are finally here and we must acknowledge a new reality. After nine years, the promised expansion of 200,000 newly eligible young people who would be able to access a suite of innovative and evidence-based services known as the Children and Family Treatment and Support Services, CFTSS, has not been realized.

These services are designed specifically to be delivered in our homes, schools, and communities instead of waiting for families to reach crisis and rely on emergency rooms, residential placements, and police involvement. Today, only 6400 children are utilizing these services -- not because children no longer need them as they did back in 2011. In fact, depression and anxiety are rising among children and adolescents. Seventeen percent of high school students reported seriously considering a suicide attempt. Suicide is

1	the	second	leading	cause	of	death	among
2	15-t	co-19-ye	ear-olds.				

And we heard earlier about, you know, all of the statistics: 54 percent of children with behavioral health needs don't receive the treatment that they need.

Almost a decade since the MRT acknowledged the children's capacity crisis, the expansion of the children's service system has been delayed so long and supported so sparingly that the nonprofit community-based organizations and their workforce have been left in disarray.

Rates were set drastically lower than the actual cost of delivering these services. Even the enhanced rate during the first year wasn't sufficient to cover costs.

On December 31st of last year, despite the outcry from the children's behavioral health community, and with these services barely off the ground, the enhanced rates were rolled back as scheduled. As you heard from the commissioner earlier, that's the explanation for why they were rolled back, is

1	that	it	was		that	was	the	schedule.
---	------	----	-----	--	------	-----	-----	-----------

Staff turnover is high, waitlists are common, and in some parts of the state, it can take half a school year to get into a therapy program that serves kids, and they have to travel hours for just an assessment.

The bottom line is that despite the fanfare and despite the years of preparation, we are not reaching nearly the number of children the state promised to serve.

Cutting rates at this time has not only crippled providers, it has harmed children who will now not have the access to the services that they need.

Today, Medicaid is overspent by the standards set nearly 10 years ago. And before the original MRT plan has been realized, a new MRT II will now envision how they can further reduce costs locally. In my experience, I worry that children and families will be caught in the crossfire. They can't, again, be sent to the back of the line.

Will children have to wait yet another

1	decade for their needs to be a priority? I
2	hope not. We must put children first. We
3	must invest in services that strengthen
4	families and help young people reach their
5	potential.

Evidence is clear that exposure to childhood traumas, known as adverse childhood experiences, ACEs, can lead to poor health, mental health and socioeconomic outcomes later in life, health outcomes that are no doubt driving the increasing costs of Medicaid. Our failure to make investments in the mental health of young New Yorkers a decade ago can't be the reason we don't invest now.

What we do this year will impact entire generations of New Yorkers moving forward. That's why we're proud to help lead the Campaign for Healthy Minds, Healthy Kids in calling for a moratorium on all cuts to children's behavioral health services and to restore recent cuts to the CFTSS services.

We also join the unprecedented coalition of human service organizations for the

1	#3for5	campaign	that	you've	heard	so	much
2	about t	today.					

Our human service programs are the thing that will drive down the enormous cost of ER visits and unnecessary hospitalizations. You can see in my written testimony the other priorities that we have.

We just want to say how much we strongly support the Behavioral Health Priority Compliance Fund holding health plans accountable and enforcing mental health parity laws. We worked for years on the Timothy's Law campaign to ensure that parity was the law of the land, and we need to enforce it now.

If we fail to acknowledge the underresourced system and don't invest in our children today, we will most certainly continue to scratch our heads for decades to come, wondering how we can contain costs in human services and address the growing health and behavioral health needs in our state. Please be the progressive leaders that we need and that our children need.

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for
2	being here. And as you said, we have your
3	testimony, it's been circulated to members.
4	So I
5	MS. PIERCE: Thanks.
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: No questions.
7	Thank you.
8	Let me just ask again, is Amber Decker
9	here? No. Okay.
10	So then Jim Karpe is going to be our
11	last testifier.
12	MR. KARPE: Thank you.
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for
14	being here.
15	MR. KARPE: Okay. Thank you to the
16	members for sticking it out. It's been a
17	marathon.
18	And here we are to talk about
19	intellectual and developmental disabilities
20	and I'll alert you right away, don't bother
21	trying to follow along with the written
22	testimony, because I'm going to vary widely
23	from it. A lot's been said today, and I'm
24	going to bounce off of some of that.

1	SWAN, for those who don't know, is an
2	independent grassroots coalition of unpaid
3	parents from across New York State. The only
4	stake that we have in this system is the care
5	of our children. I'm one of those unpaid
6	volunteers, and I'm a parent of two young
7	adults with developmental disabilities. So I
8	often say I've got a caseload of two and also
9	a caseload of 200,000.

I'm here today really to talk to you about accountability, about holding the system accountable and about holding ourselves accountable for asking the right questions, for looking into all the dark corners. We've done a pretty good job here today, but I'm going to make suggestions about some better work that we can do to continue to probe and continue to hold ourselves accountable and the system accountable.

And the first question I have is, why are we moving forward with managed care at all? The state now concedes that this will lead to no savings. So why do it? Perhaps

1	it leads to better quality, but I would read
2	to you one quote from the top of page 4,
3	"There is no definitive conclusion as to
4	whether managed care improves or worsens
5	access to or quality of care." And that's
6	the conclusion of the congressional committee
7	charged with reviewing managed care for
8	Medicaid. So why move forward with it at
9	all?
10	And if we can't save money through
11	managed care, where can we save money? I've
12	got some ideas. One place I can tell you
13	where we can't save money is in comm-hab.
14	Comm-hab right now, community habilitation,
15	serves about 7,000 individuals in New York
16	State at a total cost an average cost per
17	person of 23,000. So even if we looked into
18	each of those cases and found some savings,
19	we wouldn't be able to save very much.
20	There is a place where we can save
21	money. There's some big buckets of money,
22	and specifically it's residential services.
23	Residential services
24	(Mic problems.)

1	MR. KARPE: Oh, I'm sorry. Is this
2	better? Okay.
3	Residential services consume well over
4	half of the OPWDD budget. And within that
5	category, state services consume over
6	\$2 billion, at an average cost for state
7	services' IRAs of \$233,000 per person. So if
8	we were to find 10 percent savings for those
9	7,000 people, we'd be able to have another
10	7,000 people in comm-hab. And even after
11	finding that 10 percent savings in the state
12	services, they would still cost twice as much
13	as the nonprofit providers for the equivalent
14	service.
15	And that leads me to another question.
16	We have the MRT II busy looking at how to
17	save money. And what makes us think that
18	hospitals and unions will look to themselves
19	for ways to save money? I think it's a
20	tragedy if we allow that to move forward.
21	So I have a list of many other
22	questions, but I'll close with this. What
23	are you, the Legislature, doing to help give

OPWDD the flexibility and the power that it

1	needs to actually make change in the system?
2	OPWDD's hands are tied. They don't
3	actually control the licenses of the CCOs,
4	they are we've heard today about having to
5	put stuff up to DOH. We've heard about the
6	collective bargaining agreement. What can we
7	do to actually free up OPWDD to change the
8	system?
9	That's the end of my time. I invite
10	you to ask me some of the questions you've
11	asked others, such as how are the CCOs doing.
12	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senator
13	Jackson.
14	SENATOR JACKSON: Good afternoon.
15	First let me thank you for coming in.
16	And I came in later, so I didn't hear all of
17	the testimony. But you asked a question
18	about what can we do. So I'm sure that you
19	have suggestions for the MRT
20	MR. KARPE: The MRT II.
21	SENATOR JACKSON: II. So are some
22	of those incorporated in here? Or you're
23	going to be submitting those to the design
24	team?

1	MR. KARPE: We can submit them to the
2	design team.
3	It was breaking news about it, and
4	we but I would echo Michael Seereiter in
5	saying that it's vitally important that there
6	be representation of all parties.
7	SENATOR JACKSON: On the redesign
8	team, is that correct?
9	MR. KARPE: On the redesign team. And
10	that there be an opportunity to review the
11	results, and that there be actual stakeholder
12	engagement.
13	SENATOR JACKSON: Now, in my
L 4	understanding and you probably know more
15	that I do, because you're following this very
16	closely because of your advocacy on behalf of
17	not only your two adults but, you said, 2,000
18	other people involved.
19	So if we do not agree with the
20	redesign team and do not adopt their
21	recommendations, then we have to deal with a
22	\$2.5 billion deficit. One way or the other,
23	do you see the redesign team and them coming

forward, whatever they're going to come

1	forward with do you think it's going to be
2	positive overall for all of the constituents,
3	your children and others, with regards to
4	children with mental health or developmental
5	disability issues?
6	MR. KARPE: I unfortunately don't have
7	much faith. It's being led by the head of a
8	hospital system and the head of a union.
9	SENATOR JACKSON: And that's why you
10	say it's imperative and these are my
11	words imperative that you have activists
12	and parents that have been involved from a
13	leadership point of view, like yourself and
14	others that have testified, as part of the
15	redesign team.
16	MR. KARPE: I would concur with your
17	use of the word "imperative." Thank you.
18	SENATOR JACKSON: Well, let me I
19	wanted to thank you and everyone else that
20	came in in order to give testimony, because
21	it's extremely important overall.
22	And the leaders here have been here
23	for days hearing testimony; I'm just spotting
24	here and there, being in other hearings. So

1	thank you for coming in and giving testimony.
2	Thank you, Madam Chair.
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
4	Assemblyman Ra.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: So thank you for your
6	patience in waiting to testify today.
7	So you closed with a potential
8	question to ask you, so how are the CCOs
9	doing?
10	MR. KARPE: So Assemblywoman Missy
11	{Miller} said that she was on her third
12	I'm on my eighth care manager in 19 months.
13	Before then, I had the same MSC for five
L 4	years. So just on that metric, I'd say it
15	gets an F.
16	I have I have hope for the future
17	that with a lot of hard work, a lot of
18	actually developing the IET {ph} systems that
19	are necessary to gather information, that
20	it's possible to salvage this. But right
21	now, it's from my viewpoint as a parent,
22	from the viewpoint of the parents that I

speak with, it's just not the rosy picture

that you've been presented with today.

23

1	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: What have you seen in					
2	terms of, you know, the reasons why you've					
3	had so many? You know, are they just you					
4	start working with one and then they leave					
5	and go somewhere else, or					
6	MR. KARPE: They leave because the					
7	work is very frustrating, because there's so					
8	much emphasis on metrics versus helping					
9	people. People get into this profession					
10	because they want to help others, and a large					
11	increase in salary doesn't make up for not					
12	feeling a sense of purpose.					
13	So people are motivated by money,					
14	purpose, mastery. You can give people a lot					
15	of money if they don't feel a sense of					
16	purpose, if they don't have a chance to					
17	master their tasks, they're going to leave.					
18	That's my belief and my experience.					
19	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you.					
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So thank you					
21	for being here and waiting through the end of					
22	the hearing to testify.					
23	So this concludes the Mental Health					
24	Joint Budget Hearing. Committees will					

1	reconvene tomorrow morning at 9:30, where we
2	will have joint fiscal committee hearings on
3	the Higher Ed portion of the Executive's
4	budget.
5	Thank you all.
6	(Whereupon, the budget hearing concluded
7	at 5:45 p.m.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	