1 BEFORE THE NEW YORK STATE SENATE FINANCE AND ASSEMBLY WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEES 2 _____ 3 JOINT LEGISLATIVE HEARING 4 In the Matter of the 2020-2021 EXECUTIVE BUDGET 5 ON HOUSING 6 _____ 7 Hearing Room A Legislative Office Building 8 Albany, New York 9 February 5, 2020 12:45 p.m. 10 11 PRESIDING: 12 Senator Liz Krueger Chair, Senate Finance Committee 13 Assemblywoman Helene E. Weinstein 14 Chair, Assembly Ways & Means Committee 15 PRESENT: 16 Senator James L. Seward Senate Finance Committee (RM) 17 Assemblyman Edward P. Ra 18 Assembly Ways & Means Committee (RM) 19 Assemblyman Steven Cymbrowitz Chair, Assembly Housing Committee 20 Senator Brian Kavanagh 21 Chair, Senate Housing Committee 22 Senator Zellnor Myrie 23 Assemblywoman Carmen N. De La Rosa 24 Assemblyman Harvey Epstein

1	2020-2021 Housing	Executive Budget
2	-	
3	PRESENT:	(Continued)
4	2	Assemblyman Colin Schmitt
5	2	Assemblyman Michael J. Fitzpatrick
6	\$	Senator Brad Hoylman
7	2	Assemblyman Victor M. Pichardo
8	2	Assemblyman Eric M. Dilan
9	\$	Senator Julia Salazar
10	2	Assemblyman Charles Barron
11	\$	Senator John Liu
12	2	Assemblywoman Yuh-Line Niou
13	\$	Senator Robert Jackson
14	2	Assemblyman Walter T. Mosley
15	2	Senator Brian A. Benjamin
16	2	Assemblywoman Latoya Joyner
17	2	Assemblyman William Colton
18	2	Senator Diane J. Savino
19	2	Assemblywoman Linda B. Rosenthal
20	\$	Senator George M. Borrello
21	2	Assemblyman Mark Johns
22	\$	Senator Todd Kaminsky
23	2	Assemblyman Michael Blake
24	\$	Senator Gustavo Rivera

1	2020-2021 Housing	Executive Budget
2	-	
3	PRESENT:	(Continued)
4	7	Assemblyman Michael Blake
5		
6		Senator Jessica Ramos
7	P	Assemblywoman Diana C. Richardson
8	P	Assemblywoman Latrice Walker
9		Senator Jamaal T. Bailey
10	Ĩ	Assemblywoman Aravella Simotas
11	P	Assemblyman Robert J. Rodriguez
12	P	Assemblyman Kevin A. Cahill
	P	Assemblywoman Marjorie Byrnes
13	I	Assemblyman Félix W. Ortiz
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1 2020-2021 Executive Budget Housing 2 2-5-20 3 LIST OF SPEAKERS 4 STATEMENT QUESTIONS 5 RuthAnne Visnauskas Commissioner & CEO 6 New York State Homes and Community Renewal 11 17 7 Jolie Milstein 8 President & CEO NYS Association for 9 Affordable Housing -and-10 Judi Kende VP and Market Leader 11 Lorraine Collins Director of Public Policy 12 and External Affairs Enterprise Community Partners 157 167 13 Laura Mascuch 14 Executive Director Supportive Housing Network of NY 15 -and-Rachel Fee 16 Executive Director 183 192 New York Housing Conference 17 Mark Streb 18 Executive Director Neighborhood Preservation 19 Coalition of NYS -and-20 Blair W. Sebastian Director 21 New York State Rural Advocates -and-22 Jeff Keller Executive Director 23 Colin McKnight Deputy Director 24 New York State Rural 207 223 Housing Coalition

1	2020-2021 Executive Budget Housing		
2	2-5-20		
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS	, Cont.	
4		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
5	Matthew Dunbar VP of External Affairs		
6	Habitat for Humanity NYC	228	233
7	Emily Goldstein		
8	Director of Organizing and Advocacy		
9	Association for Neighborhood and Housing Development -and-		
10			
11	Center for NYC Neighborhoods	240	249
12	Michael McKee Treasurer		
13		e	
14			
	Felix Guzman		
	Leader VOCAL-NY	262	276
17	Anita Long Volunteer Leader		
18	Pablo Estupiñan Deputy Director		
19	Community Action for Safe Apartments (CASA)	304	309
20	Melissa Sklarz	504	505
21	Senior Government Affairs Strategist		
22	SAGE -and-		
23	Perry Junjulas Executive Director		
24	Albany Damien Center	318	327

1 2020-2021 Executive Budget Housing 2 2-5-20 3 LIST OF SPEAKERS, Cont. 4 STATEMENT QUESTIONS 5 Oksana Mironova Housing Policy Analyst 6 Community Service Society of New York 7 -and-Adam Zaranko 8 President New York Land Bank Association 9 -and-Kirsten Keefe 10 Senior Staff Attorney Empire Justice Center 332 346 11 Richard William Flores 12 Individual 348 13 Zohran Kwame Mamdani 351 Individual 14 Boris Santos 15 Member Housing Justice for All 16 Coalition 358 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

1 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We're about to 2 start the Housing hearing. I thought we had 3 some guests who were outside who wanted to 4 come in for the Housing hearing, but we'll 5 see if that happens. I -- we don't solicit 6 extra people necessarily.

So good afternoon. I'm Assemblywoman
Helene Weinstein, chair of the New York State
Assembly's Ways and Means Committee, cochair
of today's hearing.

11 Today we begin the eighth in a series 12 of hearings conducted by the joint fiscal 13 committees of the Legislature regarding the 14 Governor's proposed budget for fiscal year 15 2020-2021. The hearings are conducted 16 pursuant to the State of New York Constitution and the Legislative Law. 17 And today the Assembly Ways and Means 18 19 Committee and the Senate Finance Committee 20 will hear testimony concerning the Governor's

21 budget proposal for housing.

I'll introduce members who are here
from the Assembly majority, and then
Senator Krueger, chair of Senate Finance,

1	will introduce members from the Senate. And
2	then our ranker on Ways and Means, Ed Ra,
3	will introduce members from his conference.
4	So we have in no particular order,
5	we have with us Assemblywoman Niou,
6	Assemblyman Epstein, Assemblyman Barron,
7	Assemblyman Colton, Assemblywoman Rosenthal,
8	Assemblyman Blake, Assemblywoman Richardson,
9	Assemblywoman De La Rosa, Assemblywoman
10	Joyner, and Assemblyman Mosley.
11	Liz?
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
13	Good afternoon. So I'm joined by
14	quite a few Senators. We have, starting at
15	the bottom row, our new Senator George
16	Borrello, Senator Brad Hoylman, Senator
17	Robert Jackson, Senator Gustavo Rivera,
18	Senator Todd Kaminsky, Senator Diane Savino,
19	and up at the top here Senator John Liu,
20	Senator Zellnor Myrie, Senator Julia Salazar,
21	Senator Jessica Ramos, chair of Housing Brian
22	Kavanagh, ranker on Finance James Seward, and
23	I am Liz Krueger.
24	Thank you.

1 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman Ra. 2 ASSEMBLYMAN RA: We're joined by 3 Assemblyman Mike Fitzpatrick, ranking member on the Housing Committee, as well as Colin 4 5 Schmitt and Assemblyman Mark Johns. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 6 7 So before introducing our first witness, I'd like to remind all the witnesses 8 9 testifying today to keep your statement 10 within your allotted time limit so everyone can be afforded the opportunity to speak. 11 12 And you can figure out your time by looking at the clock. It's 10 minutes for 13 14 governmental witnesses for testimony, up to 15 five minutes for nongovernmental witnesses. 16 Members should also be mindful of the countdown clocks. The chair of the 17 18 respective Housing Committees have 10 minutes 19 to ask questions of the governmental 20 witnesses, five minutes for the nongovernmental witnesses. Other members of 21 relevant committees have five minutes to ask 22 questions. And only the chairs have a second 23 24 round of five minutes. And when we get to

the nongovernmental witnesses, members have
 three minutes.

So I know there are a bit of rules,
but we found that by adhering to these
guidelines we get an opportunity to hear from
everybody who signed up to be a witness.

7 And for the witnesses coming who are 8 the nongovernmental witnesses, we have most of your testimonies electronically, they've 9 10 been shared with all of the members of the Ways and Means and Housing Committees. We 11 encourage you to summarize rather than read 12 13 your testimony, because what most people find 14 is they get halfway through their written 15 testimony if they read it and then we get 16 to -- we miss all the important parts that 17 you have on the last page.

So with that being said -- and also, just a reminder both for the witnesses and the members, this is a budget hearing on the Governor's housing budget. I know that there are -- from looking at some of the testimonies submitted, a number of people have written urging us to adopt certain

1	legislation. The discussion on legislation
2	will take place at another time. This is to
3	focus on the Governor's Executive Budget.
4	So with all that being said, I think
5	we are ready to begin the hearing. And our
6	first witness, commissioner of New York State
7	Homes and Community Renewal, RuthAnne
8	Visnauskas.
9	Welcome, Commissioner.
10	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Thank you.
11	And good afternoon, Chairs Krueger,
12	Weinstein, Kavanagh, Cymbrowitz, and
13	distinguished members of the Legislature. My
14	name is RuthAnne Visnauskas, and I'm
15	commissioner and CEO of New York State Homes
16	and Community Renewal. I'm honored to
17	testify before you today on the housing
18	portion of Governor Andrew Cuomo's Executive
19	Budget proposal for the '20-'21 state fiscal
20	year.
21	Once again the Governor's budget
22	demonstrates his unwavering dedication to a
23	progressive agenda that addresses
24	homelessness and sweeps away barriers that

block New Yorkers' opportunity to have a safe
 and affordable place to live in the community
 that they choose.

4 National data on the housing landscape
5 across the U.S. reinforces the need for a
6 strong commitment here in New York State, and
7 I'm happy to report today on our
8 accomplishments on the Governor's Housing
9 Plan to date.

10 A study released last month from Harvard's Joint Center on Housing Studies 11 12 provided data supporting some troubling 13 factors in the U.S. rental housing market. 14 Vacancy rates are at their lowest levels 15 since the 1980s. The number of people 16 experiencing homelessness nationally is more than 500,000, and one in four renters is 17 cost-burdened and pays more than 30 percent 18 of their income on rent. 19

I'm thrilled to work for a Governor who understands the need to tackle each of these issues: Housing supply, the need for supportive housing, and the rising cost of rentals.

1 For proof of this commitment, look no 2 further than the progress we've made to date 3 in carrying out the state's \$20 billion, five-year Housing Plan to create and preserve 4 5 more than 100,000 affordable housing units and 6,000 supportive housing units. 6 7 On supply, I'm pleased to announce that as of the end of this past December, we 8 have produced more than 62,000 affordable and 9 10 supportive homes. And in keeping with our 11 initial vision for this investment, 12 approximately half of the units are in New York City and half are located across the 13 14 rest of the state, with every region 15 benefiting. This includes new construction, 16 preservation, and home mortgages for low-income first-time homebuyers. 17 18 This is a strong investment in our 19 communities and creates job opportunities as 20 well as neighborhoods of opportunity. But don't take it from me. Here are some words 21 22 of a resident at one of the projects we financed near Albany: "My family and I were 23 24 chronically homeless. My son attended five

1 different schools by the time he reached the 2 eighth grade, because we were always moving. At the time I was offered affordable housing, 3 I was spending 89 percent of my gross income 4 5 on rent and utilities, and avoiding homelessness was my top priority. 6 7 "Since securing an affordable 8 apartment, I was able to enroll in college, and I am now one semester away from earning 9 10 my bachelor's degree. Additionally, I was able to secure full-time employment doing 11 12 work I love at an organization that values my lived experiences." 13 14 And while this story is from a woman 15 who lives near Albany, we enable this 16 transformation across the state and across diverse groups of people. We're serving 17 18 seniors and veterans, public housing 19 residents and Mitchell-Lama residents, and thousands and thousands of first-time 20 21 homebuyers. 22 And we are incredibly focused on our supportive housing goals. Across the state 23

we have created homes for survivors of

24

1 domestic violence, for the growing senior
2 population, individuals suffering from mental
3 illness, youth aging out of foster care, and
4 the developmentally disabled -- and, of
5 course, for those who are at risk of being
6 homeless.

7 I'm proud to say we've financed more 8 than 4,600 supportive apartments to provide 9 housing with essential services that allow 10 people to live independently in the 11 communities that they call home. This puts 12 us on target to meet the 6,000 supportive 13 unit commitment by the end of next year.

14 There is always more to do, but we 15 believe we are making a real impact in the 16 fight to reduce homelessness and housing 17 insecurity and are truly grateful for the 18 support we have come to count on from our 19 partners in government, finance, and the 20 nonprofit and private sectors.

21 And while creating opportunities and 22 increasing access to affordable housing is 23 paramount to HCR's mission, so too is 24 addressing the issue of housing-cost burden.

1 In 2019, together we further protected the 2 rights of New York's 2.5 million 3 rent-regulated tenants. When I sat before you last year, we were on the precipice of 4 5 what became the most sweeping changes in history of the state's rent laws. You played 6 7 an essential role in making these much-needed reforms a reality, and it is obvious that 8 these new laws have had an immediate impact 9 10 for many renters in New York. 11 As Governor Cuomo said in his budget 12 presentation, it's not what you say, it's 13 what you do that makes changes in people's 14 lives. Together we've done a great deal. 15 Together we have made tremendous progress. 16 I'm honored to work with all of you. And on behalf of my great HCR team who sits right 17 18 behind me, I ask for your continued support 19 securing the resources and advancing the 20 legislation we need to continue to make a 21 real difference in New Yorkers' lives and 22 communities. Thank you, and I'm happy to address 23

24 your questions.

1 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 2 We go to the chair of our Housing 3 Committee, Assemblyman Cymbrowitz. Before he begins, we've been joined by 4 5 Assemblyman Rodriguez and Assemblyman Cahill. 6 Assemblyman Cymbrowitz now. 7 ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Thank you, Chairs. 8 And thank you, Commissioner. I 9 10 wanted -- and I thank you for your testimony. 11 Thank you for being here. 12 I wanted to dig down a little deeper into your testimony and talk about the 13 14 \$2.5 billion, five-year Housing Plan that was 15 put together jointly. It was a first. It 16 was terrific. We're all very, very proud to have put that together. But can you tell us, 17 you know, of the \$2.5 billion, how much money 18 19 has been spent, how many units have been 20 produced, what's in the pipeline? I don't 21 know if you can break it down to city, 22 upstate, Long Island -- but that would be helpful for us. 23 24 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I'd be happy

to. So of the \$2.5 billion that was 1 2 allocated in the budget, we have spent 3 \$1.5 billion of that. We've produced a little over 62,000 units to date. They are 4 5 roughly split between New York City and rest of state, with a little less than 50 percent 6 7 in New York City and a little more upstate at this point. But we still have two years left 8 9 to go to spend the balance of the funds. 10 ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Of those 11 units, how many are less than 60 percent AMI, 12 how many of them are permanently affordable? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So we track 13 14 below 80 AMI -- what I would have for you 15 today is below 80. We track at multiple 16 levels. But the units that we produce that are below 80 and above 80, which is the 17 low-income -- federal definition of 18 19 low-income, 75 percent of the units we 20 produced are 80 percent of AMI or below, and 21 25 percent are 80 percent and above. ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: And what are 22 23 the plans for the rest of the money and the

24 number of units that are being produced with

1 that?

2	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So we are on
3	schedule for the next two years to complete
4	the full 100,000 affordable units and 6,000
5	supportive units. As I said, we have about a
6	billion dollars left to spend, and we will
7	make sure that we start construction on every
8	unit that we committed to before the end of
9	the five years.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: How much is
11	in the pipeline?
12	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Excuse me?
13	ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: How much
14	how many units, how much money is in the
15	pipeline ready to go out?
16	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So we so
17	across all the different spending programs
18	I don't have all the numbers by program, but
19	the total left to spend would be the billion
20	of the 2.5.
21	And we have a very full pipeline of
22	projects. We traditionally and annually
23	receive more applications than we can fund in
24	any given year across our different programs,

1 so we won't have any trouble meeting those 2 targets.

ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: A hundred 3 million dollars was allocated for the 4 5 preservation and creation of truly affordable housing, especially in New York City. Could 6 7 you talk about how many units have been produced with those dollars? 8 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Sure. I 9 10 don't have the exact figure for the units for the -- this is the 100 percent affordable 11 12 New York City program? I can get back to you with the expected number of units for that 13 14 program. But we have, certainly in the last 15 16 year, funded two or three projects that I can recall, and I believe we did some the year 17 before that. So we can get back to you with 18 19 the exact units.

20 ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Funds were also allocated for substantial or moderate 21 22 rehab. Could you tell us what types of projects received funding for that? 23 24

COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: This would

1 be for the multifamily preservation program? 2 ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Yes. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So it serves 3 a variety of different project types. It can 4 5 be existing affordable that is at the end of its regulatory period, and we would be 6 7 lending to do sort of another, you know, 20-8 or 30-year investment in scope and then extend the regulatory agreement for those 9 10 projects by another 30 years. And that's I think one of the most 11 12 common things that we use the multifamily preservation for. So whether that's a 202 13 14 or -- a HUD 202, a senior project, or an 15 existing tax credit project that might have 16 been done 25 years ago. And they can be big or small, upstate or in New York City. It 17 18 runs the whole gamut. 19 ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Funds were 20 made available for Mitchell-Lama. Could you talk a little bit about the Mitchell-Lama 21 22 program? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Sure. So we 23 24 have been very successful deploying the funds

1 in the Mitchell-Lama program. I believe we 2 had \$75 million in total, and I think we've 3 spent 60 or 70 percent of that to date, to fund both co-ops and rentals -- because we 4 5 have a mix in our portfolio of co-ops and rentals -- to do capital improvements, and 6 7 then also extend their affordability for another 40 years. 8 ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Was there a 9 10 process for their allocation? 11 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: People 12 apply. So we work with all -- obviously we 13 work fairly closely with all of our Mitchell-Lamas. And so we certainly work 14 15 with the ones that are most in need of 16 capital to make sure that they can develop a scope and come in and apply for those funds. 17 18 ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Supportive 19 housing receives a large amount of dollars in 20 the budget. Can you talk about where we are 21 in that, what year -- that's -- they're in 22 the fourth year of the -- no, they started a year early, I think. Right? So they're in 23 24 the last year of their fifth year.

1 Can you talk about how much has been 2 allocated, number of units? 3 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yup, happy 4 to. 5 So the Supportive Housing Program, as we think of it, is sort of in two pieces. 6 7 There are service contracts that are awarded, and those are done through a variety of the 8 state agencies, including OMH and OTDA and 9 10 OASAS and others. And then there's a capital budget, which is what sits primarily in HCR's 11 12 budget. And those two things fund the supportive housing, both the service 13 14 contracts and the capital. So there was \$950 million in HCR's 15 16 budget for supportive housing when the Housing Plan was started. To date we have 17 spent about \$650 million of that \$950 million 18 towards the creation of and have financed the 19 20 construction of 4500 supportive housing 21 units. So we are well on our way to 22 completing, in this calendar year, the 6,000 commitment. 23 24 ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: And what's in

1 the pipeline?

2	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We have many
3	projects in the pipeline. You know, the
4	supportive housing community I think has been
5	extremely appreciative of the amount of
6	capital that was put into HCR's budget, and
7	so they have been busy buying sites and
8	bringing them to us. It's a mix of New York
9	City and rest-of-state projects.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: The Governor
11	put out a press release today touting
12	\$20 billion for housing. Is that the same
13	20 billion that he talked about two weeks
14	ago? Is this an additional 20 billion? How
15	many 20 billions are going to be in this new
16	program?
17	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So the press
18	release today was announcing that we have
19	started construction on the 62,000 units,
20	which is a combination of the affordable and
21	the supportive, towards the 100,000 and the
22	6,000 unit goal. And it was in the context
23	of the original \$20 billion that funded that.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: It's

1 essential for the supportive housing 2 community to know how much money they're 3 going to have in order to go forward and 4 plan. As you know, it takes several years to 5 put one supportive housing project together, going through the community process, putting 6 7 all the different funding together to make a project work. 8 Can you talk about the next five years 9 10 for supportive housing? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I would say 11 12 that since we have the -- funding left of the 950 million that we have left, we will be 13 14 very busy over the next two years deploying 15 that. And I certainly agree with you, I 16 think it takes a very long time for all developers, but especially for supportive 17 housing developers, to put their projects 18 19 together. They are complex and involve 20 multiple sources of funds. 21 But we look forward to working with 22 them, to continuing on our commitment for the 23 next two years.

24 ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: So does the

Governor have -- does he plan on putting out 1 2 another five-year plan with dollars? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I think for 3 the moment we're very focused on completing 4 5 the plan we committed to already. ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: But you can 6 7 understand the supportive housing community 8 being extremely concerned on what they can go forward and build if they don't know what is 9 10 going to happen after this year. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I think 11 12 that's true not just for the supportive housing community but for the affordable 13 14 housing community writ large, as well as for 15 all of you and for neighborhoods and advocates and all of us who work in this 16 business. 17 18 I think everybody is appreciative of 19 the existing commitment and looks forward to 20 that continuing in the future. 21 ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: So I'm sure the Governor will then want to come out with 22 another five-year plan so that we can 23 24 continue to go forward.

1 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I suspect a 2 five-year plan will be something that the 3 Governor and the Legislature would work on together. 4 5 ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: We look forward to it. 6 7 Let's talk about public housing a 8 little bit. Upstate public housing has many of the same or has the same problems that 9 10 New York City Housing Authority has. We put money into the budget. Can you talk about 11 12 how much money has been spent, how it was allocated and who it was allocated to? 13 14 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes. So we 15 had \$125 million in the Housing Plan for 16 public housing around the state. We have spent almost all of that money, as of the end 17 18 of December, on housing authorities around 19 the state, including in Auburn, in Albany, in 20 Yonkers and really across the whole -- in 21 Southampton, Easthampton, across the state. 22 And then additionally we received another \$20 million last year in the budget 23 24 for additional public housing, which was --

1 we are very thankful for. And we are 2 currently working with Schenectady and Auburn and still with Albany on several other public 3 4 housing --5 ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Are these all RAD projects or are they --6 7 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: No, they are not all RAD. 8 9 ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: So they're 10 using their dollars for other issues -- or other programs? 11 12 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: They're a mix, yeah. Some people will utilize RAD --13 14 some developers will utilize RAD; others will 15 do a tax credit execution that might not 16 involve converting their public housing dollars into a RAD contract. 17 ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Thank you, 18 19 Commissioner. 20 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Thanks. 21 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 22 Before we go to the Senate, I just wanted to say we were joined by 23 24 Assemblyman Dilan, Assemblyman Pichardo and

1 Assemblywoman Byrnes. 2 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 3 We were joined by Senator Brian Benjamin and Senator Jamaal Bailey, but they 4 5 might have also walked out again. But 6 they'll be back. 7 And our first questioner is Housing Chair Brian Kavanagh. 8 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thank you, Chairs. 9 10 And I acknowledge my colleague Chairman Cymbrowitz, who's been a very great partner 11 12 on many of these issues as well. 13 And thank you, Commissioner, for being 14 here, for testifying. 15 Just as a preliminary matter, just to 16 follow up on some of Chairman Cymbrowitz's questions about the kind of overall status of 17 our effort to provide capital funding, so the 18 \$20 billion which has been kind of a 19 20 multi-yearly commitment for a while now, 21 looking at this year's Executive proposal, 22 just to be clear, there's no new funding for 23 New York City Housing Authority, correct? 24 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: For the

1	New York City Housing Authority? No.
2	SENATOR KAVANAGH: And no new funding
3	for other public housing authorities.
4	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: No.
5	SENATOR KAVANAGH: And no new funding
6	for the supportive housing program that you
7	were discussing with Chairman Cymbrowitz as
8	well, right?
9	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: No. We
10	still have funding in our budget for
11	supportive housing and for
12	SENATOR KAVANAGH: So we're working
13	our way through the five years there, and
14	with in some years we work on allocating
15	specific funds and in other years we're just
16	kind of leaving in place what's passed. And
17	with respect to those programs, we're just
18	sort of working off old money at this point.
19	Talking about NYCHA, for a while we
20	for several years we were holding the
21	executive was holding funding, the
22	\$450 million that had been allocated in prior
23	state budgets. There was a positive
24	announcement that money is now available and

1 as I understand it, NYCHA is now authorized 2 to move forward with projects that will be reimbursed. 3 There was an additional \$100 million 4 that was allocated in June. Has there been 5 any movement on getting that money out the 6 7 door to be available to NYCHA? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I don't 8 believe at this time there's been an 9 10 agreed-upon plan between NYCHA and the 11 Executive for the last hundred from last 12 year's budget, yes. SENATOR KAVANAGH: I just -- I'm 13 14 sorry --15 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So your 16 question was is there a plan to spend that 17 money? 18 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Right. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I don't 19 20 believe at this time there's a plan. As you had mentioned, the \$450 million from 2016 and 21 22 2018 was approved by the federal monitor late in 2019, so that work will begin and the 23 24 state will reimburse NYCHA for that. I don't

1 believe there's an agreed-upon plan for the 2 2019 funding of \$100 million. 3 SENATOR KAVANAGH: To your knowledge, has there been discussion about how to spend 4 5 that money? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Excuse me? 6 7 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Has there been discussion between NYCHA and the state about 8 9 how to spend that money, to your knowledge? 10 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I'm not 11 sure. 12 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Okay. Turning 13 to -- you know, we -- in the Housing 14 Stability and Tenant Protection Act, we 15 imposed a great many obligations on your 16 agency to enforce those laws. We've had numerous opportunities to have conversations 17 18 about the efforts of the agency to implement that, and we know that's been a big challenge 19 20 and we appreciate that work. Can you just talk a little bit about 21 the status of those efforts and the resources 22 the agency needs, including the status of 23

24 hiring up? You know, we added 94 positions

to the agency last year in the Office of Rent
 Administration and TPU.
 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I'd be happy
 to.
 We spent a lot of time in the summer

and in the early fall updating all of our 6 7 documents, which include, you know, the ways we communicate with both tenants and 8 landlords about the rent laws, which included 9 10 fact sheets and advisory opinions and lease amendments. And we'd produced all of those 11 12 in what we felt was the priority order of 13 importance so that we could get information 14 out -- the most important information out as 15 quickly and timely as we could.

16 In addition to that, we have gone out to many public forums, both for tenants and 17 18 landlords, and also to borough presidents and 19 any other sort of elected official that has 20 asked, to talk about where we are in the --21 both what the changes to the rent laws are and what they mean, and then also our process 22 for updating documents. 23

24 So we feel, you know, at this time we

1 are -- have sort of completed I think what we 2 felt was our sort of public process for that. 3 There are still a couple of things that have to get done, including, most largely, the 4 5 regulations. And those regulations will get published this spring. They will go through 6 7 the SAPA process this year, with an aim to be complete by the end of 2020. 8

On staffing, we were very appreciative 9 10 to get 94 additional full-time employees last 11 year prior to the rent laws actually even 12 being passed, but as part of the budget 13 process. That was the largest influx of 14 staffing that ORA had ever had, and it had 15 had quite a decline in staff over the period 16 of time before that.

17 So since we received that over the 18 past year, we are estimating that by June --19 by March, sorry, of this year -- so one year 20 since the budget -- we will have hired and 21 have working, in seats in the office, 75 new 22 employees of the 94.

I would caveat that by saying in thesame period of time we've had a lot of

1

retirements in the Office of Rent

2 Administration -- not any more than we 3 normally have in a given year, but we have had about -- a little over 30 people retire. 4 5 So we are net up about 45 employees in the overall ORA staffing. 6

7 So what that means is over the course of the next year, we'll continue to absorb 8 the balance of the 94 heads. And we do this 9 10 as quickly as we could. We work very closely 11 with the Office of Civil Service to bring on 12 people. We bring them on sort of in waves of 20 or so at a time. It takes obviously a lot 13 14 of staff time to train people.

15 I would also add that as you would 16 imagine, when people retire from the Office of Rent Administration with 30 years of 17 18 experience, they take that out the door with 19 them. And when new people come in, they're 20 coming in green and they have not processed 21 rent applications before. And so we do a --22 take a serious amount of time to train people and on-board them. 23

24 So we wouldn't expect to really see the full impact of the productivity of those
 94 new heads really probably for a year from
 now.

4 SENATOR KAVANAGH: I assume there will 5 probably be other panelists with questions 6 about that and also about hard costs like 7 computer systems and other that are part of 8 the administration of that. But I'll leave 9 it there for now, given my time.

10 Just on the issue of how this money 11 changes hands, the Executive is proposing 12 to -- instead of the city reimbursing the department for the cost of this, to -- it 13 14 seems that the proposal is that at any given 15 point the state can just remove money from 16 virtually any payment that would otherwise go to the city for whatever service, and also 17 18 charge the cost of that billing to the city. 19 Can you estimate what the reduction,

the net reduction in payments to the city
would be as a result of that change?
COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: The -- yes.
So the change has been made so that instead
of the city and the state sending checks back

1 and forth for a myriad of things, that instead the city would -- the state would 2 3 have an offset to payments to the city for the cost of running ORA. There's no change 4 5 in the cost of running ORA. There's no change to our processes, our procedure or our 6 7 staffing as a result of that change. It's just a process change, so that instead of 8 trading checks there's just an offset of 9 10 expenses. SENATOR KAVANAGH: So we shouldn't be 11 12 concerned about the language that says the city can -- in addition to the cost of 13 14 running, of administering the rent system, 15 the city can -- the state can also bill the 16 city for the cost of that accounting mechanism? 17 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: For the 18 account -- their cost of what? 19 SENATOR KAVANAGH: There's additional 20 21 language that suggests the city could also --22 the state could also bill the city for the

cost of billing them for this payment. Okay.

24 I'll leave that there as well.

1	The but it also eliminates the
2	notion that HCR is the agency that
3	administers these laws in New York and
4	instead substitutes the idea that just
5	generically the state administers them. Can
6	you talk about the purpose of that and what
7	its implications would be?
8	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Sure. So
9	since the change in the language would
10	allow the state to offset city payments for
11	any other expenses due. It wouldn't nec
12	it wouldn't be DHCR expenses, it could be
13	other expenses of the state. So that's what
14	that language is meant to reflect.
15	SENATOR KAVANAGH: So the purpose of
16	that is to allow the state to bill the city
17	for expenses of other agencies as well as HCR
18	for the administration of the rent laws?
19	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: No, I think
20	the notion would be that rather than just
21	billing the city and having the city pay the
22	state back, that the state could offset other
23	payments that are other expenses of other
24	aspects of the state that aren't just

- 1 DHCR-specific expenses. Hence the
- 2 substitution of the word.

3 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Okay. Again, with the clock ticking, I'll just note that it 4 5 seems -- from our reading of the language, it seems to be that there's, in addition to this 6 7 payment mechanism, there's also a change in the nature of the agency that is supposed to 8 administer these laws in New York. Which 9 10 we're not -- it's very unclear to a lot of us why that -- how -- why that would even be 11 12 necessary, or what its effect would be. 13 Foreclosure prevention -- we had this

14 conversation last year. This is the HOPP 15 program, sometimes called Communities First. 16 You know, we had a fight right down to the 17 wire about finding \$20 million. This was 18 originally, I believe, funded through your 19 agency; in more recent years it's been funded 20 through the AG.

21 Can you just talk about the -- does 22 the administration have plans to ensure that 23 those programs continue? As we know, this 24 funding expires March 31st, which was a

1 situation that was very problematic last 2 year. Can you --3 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes, I recall the conversation from last year. 4 5 My understanding is that there is a \$10 million -- the funding that was provided 6 7 last year will carry through -- halfway through the next year, because it was a 8 \$30 million total against a \$20 million 9 10 annual cost. 11 And I would anticipate that through 12 this budget process we continue to work on the balance of that. 13 14 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Is that \$10 million 15 in the Executive Budget? 16 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I think it was in -- the 30 from last year covered 20 of 17 one year and half of the -- of a following 18 19 year. SENATOR KAVANAGH: Okay, so we just --20 21 we're -- you know, we're reading it as zeroed 22 out, but we I guess -- we'd like to have 23 further conversations with you about ensuring 24 that that \$10 million is available at this

1	stage, obviously so we continue the programs
2	and look for additional funding to get
3	through the year.
4	Just very briefly I have 10 seconds
5	left. On code enforcement, we have had some
6	conversations. You know that the Legislature
7	has looked through this. Can you talk about
8	whether the state should be assisting
9	localities in ensuring that the codes are
10	enforced?
11	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: If it's
12	blinking, do you
13	SENATOR KAVANAGH: I'm not sure what
14	the rules are and what's if the question
15	is out the door.
16	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: RuthAnne, why
17	don't you just answer.
18	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes. We
19	housing quality is very important to us, so
20	too the intersection of code enforcement with
21	sort of housing funding policy generally is
22	important.
23	As I'm sure you see when you travel
24	the state, local municipalities are often

1 challenged with resources to ensure good 2 quality and just sort of general code. And 3 so we are -- we work with municipalities around the state to try and assist where we 4 5 can and to make sure that we can provide funding where they have buildings that are 6 7 not meeting, you know, local code in municipalities across the state. 8 So we're happy to continue to talk 9 10 about that as well. 11 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Okay. Thank you 12 for all your work throughout the year and for 13 your testimony today. 14 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 15 16 Now we go to Assemblyman Barron. 17 ASSEMBLYMAN BARRON: Thank you very 18 much. 19 Commissioner, as you know, we've had 20 several meetings, so I like to always put my 21 micro perspective in a macro perspective. As 22 you know and may or may not agree, we live in a very racist, parasitic, predatory, 23 24 exploitative, capitalist system that affects

1 housing. Exploitative. And I think the real 2 solution is revolution: Radical systemic 3 change of the system. But in the meantime, we're in the budget process now --4 5 (Laughter.) ASSEMBLYMAN BARRON: -- so I want to 6 7 talk about gentrification. Honesty compels me to say we've done very productive work 8 together in East New York. I'm not going to 9 10 say things to you privately and not say them publicly, that we've done a very good job 11 12 working together at 888 Fountain Street, 13 Fountain Avenue. And the housing development 14 there is 40 to 60 percent of the AMI. And we 15 talked about getting more black developers 16 getting contracts and not just the white men getting all the contracts out of these 17 18 multi-billion-dollar programs, and we've 19 actually done that in East New York. And I have to give my partner in East New York, and 20 21 in my house, my wife, Inez Barron, Council 22 member -- we were able to stop gentrification in our districts and maintain real affordable 23 24 housing as we define affordability in our

1 district.

2	My concern is what about the rest of
3	the state, where we are 80 percent, I heard
4	you say 75 percent of the housing is at
5	80 percent of the AMI. And that does not
6	match affordability in Brownsville and East
7	New York and Harlem and many of our
8	districts. So HUD cannot define
9	affordability for us.
10	In New York City I think the AMI is
11	\$95,000 for a family of three. So 80 percent
12	of that would be like \$75,000 for a family of
13	three. And if you look at the neighborhood
14	AMI, we're talking \$36,000 for a family of
15	three? So that's not affordable. So I want
16	you to address that.
17	Also I want to criticize the Governor
18	for eliminating the Advantage Program years
19	ago. That is subsidies for the homeless.
20	We've got subsidies for rich developers, but
21	we don't have subsidies to help the homeless
22	pay their rent. So that's a criticism.
23	The RAD program, I'm concerned about
24	privatization of public housing and public

1 property. In some instances it can work if 2 it maintains affordable. If you take 3 property and maintain the affordability of it, it can work. 4 5 And finally, we will never get out of poverty paying rent. At some point we've got 6 7 to build real affordable homeownership for those of us in black and brown high-poverty 8 9 neighborhoods. Homeownership. And I do 10 understand that the state and the city gives 11 a lot of subsidies to these developers for 12 rentals, but when it comes to homeownership 13 and building homeownership, the subsidies 14 aren't there. So I don't want them to see our 15 16 community as ching-ching, profit-making time, 17 and making money because it's more 18 profit-making to do rentals than it is to do homeownership. So I'm very, very concerned 19 20 about that as well. So I believe that we need some radical 21 22 changes in our system, but in the meantime we need to address these very serious issues of 23

24 foreclosures and gentrification,

1 homeownership and the housing crisis that 2 we're in, especially homelessness. 3 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Okay. That was a lot. So I'll do my best to address it 4 5 all. I thank you for your words on the 6 7 Brooklyn Developmental Center work that we are doing together, and I thank you for your 8 partnership. As someone who's been working 9 10 on affordable housing for a long time, it is incredibly sort of -- it really is a 11 12 privilege to be able to work on really 13 large-scale projects like that that will 14 bring thousands and thousands of units in one 15 project of affordable housing to one 16 community. It's not something we get to do all the time, so we feel very lucky to be 17 18 able to work on that with you. 19 And I think -- feel very grateful for 20 your partnership and think that we've arrived 21 at a place that we are producing housing at a 22 variety of income levels that really serves the people who live in East New York. 23 24 I think as it relates to AMI -- and

1 we've talked about this in the past -- we use 2 one standard, and we use that for a variety 3 of reasons that relate to how we get our funding and to also having sort of a standard 4 5 that we use across the city. But that doesn't mean we produce the same AMI of units 6 7 across the city, right? So we produce 30 AMI, 40 AMI, 50 AMI. We're not always at 80 8 when we're -- or 60 when we're producing. 9

10 So we try to work with you and other 11 local electeds to make sure that we are 12 providing units at household incomes that are 13 reflective of the neighborhoods where we're 14 working in. We might not do it a hundred 15 percent all the time, but we certainly try.

16 I would -- can I keep going to answer? I'll be quick. I would say on RAD we have 17 18 done several RAD transactions. And while I 19 think people are -- often have concerns about 20 them, I think from my perspective we see that 21 as a way to get really significant investment 22 into housing that has been disinvested in for a very long time. And so as the federal 23 24 government has pulled back on its capital

1 that it provides to public housing 2 authorities, they have so little money to 3 make what are really significant and needed investments. So I think for us, we see RAD 4 5 as really a way to do major capital improvements into buildings that have been 6 7 neglected for a long time. And then lastly I would say on 8 homeownership, we do have AHC, which is our 9 10 homeownership program, that does thousands of units around the state every year, and we'd 11 12 be happy to work with you more on 13 homeownership opportunities. ASSEMBLYMAN BARRON: Thank you. 14 15 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 16 We go to Assemblyman Liu -- I mean Senator Liu. I'm sorry, John. 17 SENATOR LIU: {Inaudible; mic off.} 18 19 How about now? Thank you. 20 Commissioner, you testified that the Governor has committed \$20 billion for this 21 22 five-year Housing Plan to create 100,000 units of affordable housing and 6,000 23 24 supportive housing units. What are the main

1 tools that the state employs to create this
2 affordable housing?

3 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We have many tools that we use, but I think the two most 4 5 common ones that people think of in terms of production and preservation of multifamily 6 7 housing would be that we get federal resources in the form of volume cap, which 8 would be tax-exempt bonds that come with 9 10 4 percent tax credits. And we also get from the federal government 9 percent tax credits. 11 12 So we use both of those as a main driver for production of new affordable housing and 13 14 supportive housing. 15 SENATOR LIU: So federal tax 16 incentives for developers to build affordable 17 housing. 18 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: They 19 are somewhat tax --SENATOR LIU: Okay. Well, what about 20 the \$20 billion of state money? Where does 21 22 that go? 23 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Where does 24 it what?

1 SENATOR LIU: How does that manifest 2 itself, the \$20 billion? Is that straight 3 capital spending? Is it subsidies, is it tax 4 breaks? What is it? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes. So 5 it's a variety of things that would probably 6 7 take a while to go through. I think from 8 our -- the key one that we utilized would be that there was \$2.5 billion of state capital 9 10 put into HCR's budget that we've been spending over the past couple of years, in 11 12 connection -- alongside federal resources that we get in order to create housing. 13 14 SENATOR LIU: All right, I'm just focused on the state's \$20 billion. 15 16 According to your testimony, \$2.5 billion of that is straight capital expenditures. 17 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Correct. 18 19 SENATOR LIU: Is there an accounting 20 for tax incentives? For example, the 421-a 21 program, does that cost money out of this \$20 billion? 22 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: It does not. 23 24 SENATOR LIU: All right. So where's

1 the other 17.5 going? I mean, it's got to be 2 going somewhere.

COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So the 3 4 \$20 billion includes federal resources that 5 we use to do the affordable housing plan, so the credit, the volume cap that I was 6 7 speaking of. But only a portion of that is the housing that we produce at HCR. There's 8 also a portion of that \$20 billion that is 9 10 for shelters and other --

SENATOR LIU: Got it, okay. So that 2 \$2.5 billion is -- I presume that's going to 3 NYCHA or public housing -- no?

14 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: No. The 15 \$2.5 billion is in HCR's budget, and we're 16 spending it for affordable and supportive 17 housing.

SENATOR LIU: Okay. Cities like
New York City employ what they now call
mandatory inclusionary housing, right?
COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Mm-hmm.
SENATOR LIU: That's something that
the state doesn't really have, because the
state doesn't govern zoning laws, whereas

1 localities do govern zoning laws. 2 But does your department have any 3 oversight into the kinds of deals that municipalities make with developers? 4 5 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Not if they don't involve our funding. 6 7 SENATOR LIU: I'm sorry, not that --COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We wouldn't 8 have any oversight of a deal that a municipal 9 10 makes with a developer unless it involved --11 unless --12 SENATOR LIU: So in other words, for example, if the City of New York makes a deal 13 14 with a developer to give them additional bulk 15 for their development in exchange for affordable housing, DHCR has no oversight as 16 to what level of affordability or what 17 18 percentage of affordability that development 19 or that particular deal would encompass? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: That is 20 21 correct. 22 SENATOR LIU: So it's completely up to 23 the city. 24 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: If they are

using their own zoning to create affordable
 housing, that's within their jurisdiction,
 yes.

SENATOR LIU: Okay, great. And then 4 5 one last set of questions has to do with the new tenant reform -- the rent reform that I 6 7 was very happy and proud to be a part of last 8 year. There was just one item in the new rent laws that are -- is harming a large part 9 10 of my constituency. These are co-op owners, who of course they -- under the law they are 11 12 classified as shareholder tenants.

13 And so they're some kind of tenant, 14 and they're swept up into the tenant reforms. 15 But in fact they're shareholder tenants, 16 meaning they basically own their apartments, and they I guess legally, technically pay 17 rent to themselves. But the problem that 18 19 they're faced with is that some of the 20 restrictions on the fees, the deposits, 21 et cetera, it's harming the ability for many 22 of these co-op buildings to govern themselves. 23

24

Is there any look that your department

1 is taking to see what can be done to mitigate
2 some of the problems here?

3 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes, I think 4 you're referring to part of the Housing 5 Stability and Tenant Protection Act that is 6 commonly referred to as Part M, which had a 7 series of protections that were sort of 8 outside of the rent stabilization aspects of 9 the law.

10 We don't have any jurisdiction over 11 the condo and co-op portion of the law and 12 the changes that were made. My understanding is that -- but I certainly have heard of and 13 14 am aware of issues. My understanding is that 15 many of the organizations that work with 16 co-ops and their boards are working with the Attorney General's office to better 17 18 understand that part of the law. 19 SENATOR LIU: Got it. Thank you, 20 Commissioner. And thank you, Madam Chair. 21 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 22 Now we go to the ranker on Housing,

23 Mr. Fitzpatrick.

24 ASSEMBLYMAN FITZPATRICK: Thank you,

1 Madam Chair.

2	Hello, Commissioner, welcome. Has
3	DHCR given any thought or about the
4	changes to the MCIs and IAIs based on the new
5	law? Has there have you given any look at
6	how that is working out?
7	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I'm not sure
8	exactly what part of it you want to me to
9	address. But we continue to process MCI
10	applications according to the new provisions
11	provided in the law.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN FITZPATRICK: Have we seen
13	any impacts yet of the changes to the IAI and
14	MCI provisions?
15	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We have
16	not you mean in terms of applications? Or
17	a change of what type of impact?
18	ASSEMBLYMAN FITZPATRICK: Anything at
19	all. Have you noticed any?
20	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I mean, it's
21	still soon, right? The law was passed June
22	14, 2019. Certainly in the case of an MCI, a
23	landlord has up to two years after they
24	complete the work to submit, so and the

1 MCIs that we're processing right now are 2 probably not MCIs that were done after the 3 law was changed, right? They were probably MCIs that were done prior to it. 4 5 So I think it's still fairly soon in 6 any way of data or anything to see any result 7 of the change -- of the effects of the law. ASSEMBLYMAN FITZPATRICK: All right. 8 How about with respect to lead paint, mold 9 10 and asbestos? The \$15,000 cap, do you feel 11 that is enough to remediate those problems? 12 Or should that be higher or --13 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Again, so we haven't seen, you know, any challenges in 14 15 IAIs as a result of the \$15,000 cap yet. So 16 I think it's too early to tell. ASSEMBLYMAN FITZPATRICK: Okay. And 17 18 have you had any municipalities around the 19 state reach out to DHCR for guidance with 20 regard to the new rental laws? 21 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We are aware 22 that Kingston and Albany and a couple of other municipalities have done -- are 23 24 starting to do the study in order to look at

1 whether there's an emergency in the vacancy 2 for their municipalities. But we haven't had 3 any -- no one has reached out to us for 4 direct guidance on that. I think the law 5 provides them guidance on how to start the process. 6 7 ASSEMBLYMAN FITZPATRICK: All right. 8 Thank you very much. 9 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Thanks. 10 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. We now go to Assemblyman -- I'm sorry, Senator 11 12 Brad Hoylman. 13 SENATOR HOYLMAN: Thank you. 14 Nice to see you, Commissioner. I just 15 wanted to ask a couple of questions about the 16 implementation of the rent laws, if that's 17 okay. 18 As you know, in my Senate district, 19 which I share with Assemblymember Epstein, 20 there is a large housing complex, probably 21 the richest source of affordable housing in 22 the area called Stuyvesant Town and Peter Cooper Village. It includes over 11,000 23 24 apartments and about 25,000 residents.

1 And I'm sure you're familiar with the 2 case that dates back to 2009 where a 3 settlement was reached between the then-owner and the tenants that specified that tenants 4 5 who entered into that settlement agreement with the then-owners resulted in apartments 6 7 that had been illegally deregulated prior to this decision. And the rent stabilization 8 terms were extended through June 2020 at the 9 10 same time that the owners' J-51 tax benefits 11 expired.

We're reaching that deadline closely, we're reaching that deadline closely, as we approach June, of these J-51 tax benefits, and it's led to a lot of questions about whether the tenants of this class are going to be held to the settlement agreement or to the new rent laws that we passed that repealed deregulation.

19 Is it your opinion that deregulation20 has been repealed comprehensively?

21 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So we are
22 certainly familiar with Stuy Town and Peter
23 Cooper Village as well as with the issue.
24 It's a complex one, right, in terms of the

1 relationship between the settlement and the 2 new rent laws and what prevails. And I think 3 that we are also very sensitive to tenants 4 being unsure of an outcome as they approach a 5 lease renewal date. And so we will continue to work with your office and the owners to 6 7 address that issue. SENATOR HOYLMAN: I do thank you for 8 the work that you've done. And I have to 9 10 give a shout out to Woody Pasquale, of course, everyone's favorite employee at HCR 11 12 and maybe the State of New York, I don't

13know. But looking at the laws --14COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I thought I

15 was your favorite employee.

16 (Laughter.)

24

SENATOR HOYLMAN: -- more -- more broadly, have you -- have you received many reports of warehousing from landlords who are trying to evade the short-term impacts of the new rent laws? And are you keeping track of that information, and what do you plan as an agency to do about it?

COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So I have

1 read the same articles you have about 2 warehousing. It is not something that we 3 would see until the registration period opens, as it will in April of this year. 4 But 5 even at that time I think it would probably hard to perceive the warehousing perhaps in 6 7 the numbers because the system is so big. But as you know, landlords can keep 8 apartments off the market if they so choose. 9 SENATOR HOYLMAN: So there's no 10 regulatory approach that you're considering 11 12 in terms of a response to at least press 13 reports on warehousing? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Again, we 14 haven't seen it and we wouldn't see it until 15 16 after the registration period was closed to really know whether it existed or not. 17 And so it's sort of an odd economic 18 19 issue as to why a landlord would keep a 20 apartment off the market unless they 21 anticipated that all the laws might be undone 22 this year that were done last year. So I don't think we've perceived that people will 23 24 make uneconomic choices and continue to just

1 hold apartments off the market. 2 SENATOR HOYLMAN: Thank you very much. 3 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. We go to Assemblyman Schmitt. 4 5 ASSEMBLYMAN SCHMITT: Thank you, Chairwoman. 6 7 Thank you, Commissioner, for being here. 8 I just wanted to expand off of a 9 10 previous line of questioning. Since -- given the new rent laws that limit and restrict an 11 12 owner's ability to recover investments in an 13 apartment building, building upgrades, you 14 mentioned you really haven't seen any MCI 15 applications since post-passage of the new 16 laws. So you haven't seen -- not one has come across yet, to your knowledge? 17 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Well, 18 19 landlords, as I said, would have two years 20 after completing an MCI to submit an 21 application. So if they were to do work related to an MCI, they would have had to 22 start it in presumably June or July of last 23 24 year, completed it and already filed -- which

1	would be a fairly short time frame for an
2	MCI, which is generally a large capital
3	improvement for a building.

4 So I don't know specifically that we 5 haven't received any, but I would imagine 6 that the majority of MCIs that we have been 7 processing in the fall -- you know, in the 8 second half of last year, were probably MCIs 9 that were done prior to the law changing.

10 ASSEMBLYMAN SCHMITT: Do you have a 11 time frame when you expect to see it, then? 12 Is it within that two-year time frame? When 13 do you expect that we'll have some data where 14 we could be able to see is there -- what are 15 the associated dollar amounts, has there been 16 an overall decrease in the overall requests, I guess. 17

18 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I mean -- as 19 I said, landlords are allowed the two-year 20 time frame. So where they apply within that 21 is obviously driven by the landlord and/or 22 the owner. You know, there was not a change 23 to the amount an owner can spend on MCIs. So 24 I don't know that we would perceive

necessarily a change in that. But, you know,
 as the -- we will be supplying, as we did
 this year, data as required by the law on an
 annual basis.

5 ASSEMBLYMAN SCHMITT: Now, have you received an increase in inquiries from 6 7 building owners or from any parties regarding these changes, seeking guidance? Has there 8 been any -- you know, if you haven't 9 10 necessarily received the applications, has 11 there been an increase in any individual 12 trying to seek clarification, trying to see 13 what might be appropriate or seeing how --14 what new laws may impact the long-term 15 condition of their properties? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Well, I'd 16 say the MCIs had changes for both landlords 17

18 and tenants, right, so there was changes in 19 the way that the MCI impacts the rents as 20 well.

21 We have done a series of meetings, 22 public meetings, that tenants were invited to 23 and had open Q&A sessions. We've also met 24 with RSA and with the Small Property Owners

1 of New York and with the Community Housing 2 Improvement Program, and various owner 3 organizations too, to understand their 4 questions, things they may or may not 5 understand in the law or understand in the processing of cases. 6 7 So we have been as open door, I think, as we can be to make sure that we're taking 8 in issues and questions from all 9 10 stakeholders. 11 ASSEMBLYMAN SCHMITT: Have any --12 going outside of New York City, have any 13 upstate cities enacted rent regulation that 14 didn't previously have it since the new laws 15 have gone into effect? 16 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: No one has 17 opted into ETPA as of yet. 18 ASSEMBLYMAN SCHMITT: Is there any 19 active in the Hudson Valley, upstate, that 20 are in the process in the near future that 21 would enact that? 22 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: My understanding is that both Kingston and 23 24 Albany are in the process of undertaking

1 the -- a study that is required to determine 2 that there is a housing emergency. 3 ASSEMBLYMAN SCHMITT: Are they doing that in conjunction with you, or using 4 outside --5 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: No, there's 6 7 no requirement that they do it with us. They just have to perform their own -- you know, 8 perform their own evaluation. 9 10 ASSEMBLYMAN SCHMITT: Great. Another quick question. What projects and activities 11 12 are going to be funded with the new \$40 million in federal HUD money for the Small 13 14 Cities Community Development Block Grant 15 program? 16 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So we receive at HCR about \$40 million a year in 17 18 CBG dollars and we implement that through a 19 series of programs that are for economic 20 development and micro-enterprise and for 21 housing. And we assist many communities 22 throughout the state to achieve their priorities. So we will continue to deploy 23 24 that money in 2020 as well.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN SCHMITT: Great, thank
2	you.
3	Thank you, Chairwoman.
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
5	I just wanted to acknowledge we were
6	joined a while ago by Assemblywoman Aravella
7	{sic} and Assemblywoman Walker.
8	And before we go to the Senate, I just
9	wanted to for colleagues who may have
10	members who may have come in after we began
11	the hearing, just wanted to remind you that
12	it's the hearing on the Governor's budget.
13	Though it's tempting, with the commissioner
14	here, to move on to other non-budget-related
15	items.
16	So now we go to Senator Kaminsky.
17	SENATOR KAMINSKY: Thank you.
18	Good afternoon, Commissioner.
19	I just wanted to turn your attention
20	to the Governor's Office of Storm Recovery.
21	Many of us here had districts that were
22	decimated in Superstorm Sandy. And we're
23	coming up on almost $7\frac{1}{2}$ years, and many of the
24	community redevelopment projects which have

1 game-changing potential are kind of mired in 2 planning status or in some type of bidding 3 status. And, you know, the communities are still suffering from flooding on, you know, 4 5 just regular full moons or, you know, just regular bad rains, let alone storms. 6 7 And I'm wondering if GOSR is still 8 trying to make these projects a priority, trying to get them accomplished, and what 9 10 efforts are being taken to help move the ball 11 along. 12 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes, thank 13 you for the question. We are very proud of 14 the work that the Governor's Office of Storm 15 Recovery has done. As you would know, the priority at the 16 beginning of the spend was really around 17 housing and helping the 11,000 people that 18 19 applied for assistance. There was also a 20 multiyear planning process since the storm 21 that resulted in the identifying of all the 22 projects that are going to be done through community reconstruction. 23 24

And so that the fact that things are

1 only at 30 percent design is not a reflection 2 of any lack of commitment to those projects, 3 it's really just sort of where they are in 4 the process. 5 We still have two years till the end of the spending deadline for HUD, and so 6 7 these projects are all being planned and managed to make sure that they meet that 8 spending deadline. 9 10 SENATOR KAMINSKY: And do you have confidence -- I also assume that the 11 12 disbanding of GOSR which is proposed in the 13 budget is coterminous with when that spending 14 deadline ends, so that GOSR will be around to 15 make sure that all these projects get to the finish line and across the finish line? 16 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Absolutely. 17 18 So GOSR will be in place through the end of 19 the spending deadline. 20 And in fact as you probably know, 21 there's a fair amount of reporting that 22 actually happens after that deadline, so we will make sure that we are staffed to do all 23 24 the reporting required to HUD.

1 SENATOR KAMINSKY: And do you think 2 all the specific cases with specific 3 homeowners will be resolved by the time GOSR is planned to be disbanded? 4 5 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes, I would 6 hope so. 7 SENATOR KAMINSKY: Okay. I also just 8 want to call your attention to a tremendous 9 amount of contractor fraud that frankly, in 10 my opinion, the law has been inept and unable to deal with. 11 12 Many of these you have to prove ahead of time that a contractor knew that, when he 13 14 or she originally entered into a contract, 15 that fraud would ensue. And when someone 16 goes and builds a guarter of it, takes your money and goes away, you can't really make a 17 18 criminal case out of that. And it's -- you 19 know, it's caused a lot of extra heartache to storm victims. 20 We've tried to inject some fairness 21 22 and sense to the process when GOSR has been 23 dealing with those homeowners in reclamations

24 and other things. I have a bill this year

1 that would create I think a more fair statute 2 and standard for contractor fraud after a 3 storm disaster. And I'd love your office to take a look at it and work with me on trying 4 5 to get that -- I think we learned a lot of lessons from Sandy about fly-by-night 6 7 contractors and how -- we've seen a lot of 8 the best that comes out in people after storms, but we also see some of the worst 9 10 when people prey upon people in very vulnerable positions. And I think the amount 11 12 of contractor fraud that we've seen -- and I'm sure that GOSR has interacted with -- is 13 14 quite concerning. 15 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We'd be 16 happy to work with you on that. SENATOR KAMINSKY: And so in -- just 17 in conclusion, your -- your -- you don't see 18 19 any issue with winding down GOSR and its 20 impact on the impacted storm areas and affected storm areas? 21 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: The GOSR 22 team will be there to make sure that we 23 24 complete on all the commitments that we have

1	made for not just housing, but also for the
2	community reconstruction projects.
3	SENATOR KAMINSKY: Okay, thank you.
4	And I just want to take this
5	opportunity to compliment Betsy Mallow and
6	all of the great work she's done overseeing a
7	lot of this. This is very complicated, and
8	we have people with very difficult
9	situations. But thank you for working with
10	us, and we hope that we'll get these projects
11	over the finish line and help all of our
12	homeowners.
13	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Thank you.
14	I appreciate that. And thank you for
15	acknowledging my staff.
16	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
17	We go to Assemblyman Mosley.
18	And I just wanted to I mentioned
19	before Assemblywoman Aravella Simotas, but I
20	left out the Simotas part.
21	(Laughter.)
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So Assemblyman
23	Mosley.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN MOSLEY: Thank you,

1 Chairs.

2	First and foremost, I'd like to thank
3	the commissioner and your staff for always
4	being responsive to my office and to my staff
5	in realtime.
6	So I'm just going to go over just
7	three topics. One is dealing with
8	overcharges, leases, and major capital
9	improvements, related to what happened in
10	last year's budget with the Tenant Protection
11	Act and how that has translated into what we
12	see today.
13	So in the past few years the
14	legislators have been discussing with you and
15	your predecessors in terms of the backlogs of
16	complaints at ORA and the Overcharge Bureau.
17	What is the average time does it take to
18	resolve an overcharge right now?
19	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Overcharge
20	cases take between 12 and 18 months. And as
21	you might recall, one of the changes that was
22	made in the law last year was to extend the
23	period of time that we can go back to
24	ascertain a last reliable rent. So

1 previously it had been four, now it's at least six, but really it could go back much 2 longer than that per the provisions of the 3 4 law. 5 So I would not expect to see our processing time go down as a result of those 6 7 changes in the law. ASSEMBLYMAN MOSLEY: And have the 8 complaints that we've seen in the past with 9 10 regards to the Overcharge Bureau, have they been diminished? Are they starting to handle 11 12 these cases in a more timely fashion? 13 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Well, again, I don't know that we will reduce our 14 15 processing time, given that now the law 16 requires us to sort of do even more. And I think it also additionally provided some 17 18 additional time for tenants to respond to 19 certain parts of the process. 20 ASSEMBLYMAN MOSLEY: But the 21 complaints -- do you believe the complaints have diminished in lieu of that? 22 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Do I believe 23 24 the complaints have been addressed?

1 ASSEMBLYMAN MOSLEY: Yes.

2 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I mean, 3 look, we work really -- have been working 4 very, very hard to adjust to all the changes 5 in the new law and to be as responsive as we can, and we are sort of continuing to adjust 6 7 to the -- and from a staffing and processing 8 perspective, to what our new timelines will be for different aspects of the law. So I 9 10 think it's probably a little early for us to know whether we -- you know, where we will 11 12 sort of settle on those. 13 ASSEMBLYMAN MOSLEY: Okay. And how 14 many overcharge cases were processed in 2019? 15 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: In 2019? I 16 don't have that number, but I'd be happy to 17 get back to you with it. 18 ASSEMBLYMAN MOSLEY: Okay. And the 19 average amount awarded to tenants? 20 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: The same. I 21 can get back to you with those numbers. 22 ASSEMBLYMAN MOSLEY: And can you tell me about the Overcharge Bureau, how they're 23 24 processing cases post-Tenant Protection Act

1 of 2019? Like what's -- is there a 2 significant change in how we're handling that 3 process? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Well, look, 4 5 the main change, right, would be that we will go back much further in time to ascertain a 6 7 last reliable rent. So whether that's -- six years would 8 suffice for the sort of base of the law or 9 10 whether we're going to go back 10 years or 15 years obviously is on a case-by-case 11 12 basis. But that will certainly -- you know, 13 that takes more time, obviously, the further 14 back we go. 15 ASSEMBLYMAN MOSLEY: Okay. Leases. 16 Just three quick questions. Landlords submit a copy of every single lease signed by 17 18 tenants to HCR for recordkeeping. Do they do 19 that now? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I -- I'm not 20 21 sure. I can get back to you on that. 22 ASSEMBLYMAN MOSLEY: Okay. And has HCR updated its lease renewal forms to 23 24 reflect the new laws?

1 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes. So 2 over the summer we updated our fact sheets, 3 our advisory opinions, as well as our lease riders, so that landlords had them over the 4 5 summer as they were doing lease renewals. ASSEMBLYMAN MOSLEY: Okay. And, 6 7 Commissioner, do the new -- the lease renewal forms indicate MCI or IAI rent increases? 8 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes, a lease 9 10 renewal would reflect an MCI or IAI. ASSEMBLYMAN MOSLEY: And that's 11 12 reflective of the actual cap on rent increases, which is -- which indicates 13 14 preferential rent, lease status, and includes 15 any updated riders with summary of tenants' 16 rights? Is that also in the leases as well? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes, so the 17 18 lease would now be required to reflect, I think, in what you're asking is sort of in 19 20 the case of like a 2 percent MCI cap rather 21 than what previously had been a 6 percent 22 cap. 23 ASSEMBLYMAN MOSLEY: Okay. MCIs. The 24 MCI increase has been approved and provided a

1 breakdown for the numbers statewide? Do we 2 have those numbers? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Numbers for 3 how many MCIs we approved? 4 5 ASSEMBLYMAN MOSLEY: Right. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yeah, I'm 6 7 happy to follow up with those too. ASSEMBLYMAN MOSLEY: Okay. And will 8 the reasonable cost schedule be completed by 9 10 June of this year? 11 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes. 12 ASSEMBLYMAN MOSLEY: Okay. And I 13 quess one last question. Is it legal for a 14 landlord to refuse to rent to a tenant solely 15 because that tenant has housing subsidies or 16 other types of government vouchers? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: It is not. 17 Thanks to the source-of-income law that was 18 19 passed last year, you cannot deny a tenant 20 based on their source of income. 21 ASSEMBLYMAN MOSLEY: How is HCR --22 what is HCR doing to address that particular issue? Because that's like a systemic issue. 23 24 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So those

1	cases would be processed by the Division of
2	Human Rights, not by DHCR. But we make sure,
3	as best as we can, that everyone understands
4	their rights, through disseminating
5	information through our network and through
6	working with other state agencies.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN MOSLEY: All right, thank
8	you very much.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
10	To the Senate.
11	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
12	Senator Salazar.
13	SENATOR SALAZAR: Thank you.
14	I want to follow up on some questions
15	that Senator Kavanagh asked about the
16	Executive Budget proposal designating the
17	state generally as administrator of rent
18	regulations in New York City, seemingly
19	rather than designating DHCR. Is it your
20	is that your assessment?
21	And is your assessment that this would
22	increase the city's costs in administering
23	the particularly the rent regulations
24	post-Housing Stability and Tenant Protection

1 Act? And what if any impact would you 2 anticipate from that? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So no, we 3 4 don't believe it increased the costs of 5 running the system to the City of New York. 6 And that the language change is really just 7 to allow the state to reimburse for other expenses, not just DHCR rent regulation 8 expenses. We do not see any change in the 9 10 way we operate, in the way we are funded, in the way we are staffed as a result of that 11 12 language. 13 SENATOR SALAZAR: Thank you. 14 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 15 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 16 We go to Assemblywoman Rosenthal. ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: {Inaudible; 17 18 mic issues.}. 19 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: But I can 20 hear you if you just --21 ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Okay, now 22 you can hear me. Thank you. 23 I have questions on other issues, but 24 I just wanted to follow up on something

1 Assemblymember Fitzpatrick asked. Is lead 2 remediation covered under an IAI, or would 3 repairs around lead and mold be acceptable as IAIs under the old law or new law? 4 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I'd have to 5 get back to you on -- I don't think there's 6 7 been any change in certainly what was allowed under an IAI or an MCI --8 ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: It was 9 10 allowed. 11 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: It -- excuse 12 me? ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: You're 13 14 saying it was allowed earlier? 15 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Sorry, I'm 16 saying there was no change to what was allowed in an IAI, but the changes in the law 17 reflected the amount and the time frame. 18 19 ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Okay. 20 Because sometimes landlords say they can't do lead remediation, or mold, because of the IAI 21 22 change. But as far as you know right here, no change in IAI mission, purpose, allowance? 23 24 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Correct.

1	Right. The changes in the law were around
2	the dollar figure, the amortization and the
3	rent.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Okay. Okay,
5	I appreciate that.
6	What was the budget of the Tenant
7	Protection Unit last year? And what is it
8	this year.
9	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Five-point-
10	five million dollars.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Both years?
12	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: So given
14	that we made such large-scale changes,
15	shouldn't TPU's budget be increased?
16	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So the TPU
17	budget, at \$5.5 million, funds 29 staff
18	people. I think that in many ways the work
19	of TPU has changed, right? So that if no
20	more units are exiting the system, that's one
21	less
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: I'm sorry,
23	no more units are exiting?
24	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Right. So

1 as a result of the elimination of the vacancy 2 and high-income decontrol threshold, right, a 3 lot of the work that -- the audits that TPU would do would be for looking for units 4 5 illegally exiting the system. So I think that a lot of the changes 6 7 that were made in the law -- we could sort of go through them one by one -- actually 8 provide a lot more structure that -- and a 9 10 lot more tightening of the law so that not as many units obviously can sort of -- can come 11 12 out of the system illegally. So I think the -- while TPU's work 13 14 will still remain incredibly important -- to 15 date they've returned over 80,000 units back 16 into the system, which we're very proud of -we don't see the changes in the law creating 17 18 an uptick in work for them as much as it 19 helps them narrow their focus and where 20 they're really looking for anomalies. ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: So TPU does 21 22 return units that were illegally decontrolled, destabilized, is that right? 23 24 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Correct.

1 ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: And TPU does 2 that for cases that TPU works on but not on 3 individual apartment bases? DHCR does not do 4 that? 5 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: TPU has sort of an audit function, right? So they're sort 6 7 of looking at the whole system and they're looking for anomalies either by landlord or 8 by neighborhood or just by sort of rent units 9 10 leaving the system or rent increases that 11 don't sort of fit the formula. 12 ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Right. Right. Right. 13 14 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: And so now 15 that the formula has changed, their work will 16 change. ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Okay. Can 17 18 you tell me -- DHCR's upgrading its 19 technology, we've heard this for many years. 20 Where is that process now? 21 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So we have 22 had a lot of technology changes in the past year. As you will recall, last year when I 23 24 sat here we had just launched Rent Connect,

which was our online portal to allow
 landlords and tenants to sort of better
 access the agency.

In the past year we have also created 4 5 a data warehouse which, while sounding kind of dull, is actually sort of the back end of 6 7 what will be the new front-end processing 8 system that we're launching this year. So it was a major step for us to be able to create 9 10 the data warehouse to -- for the ORA database. 11

12 We changed the system to allow it to 13 process according to all the new rent laws, so that was not sort of an insignificant 14 15 technology upgrade we did. We also were 16 required by the law to create a database for IAIs, so landlords now have to upload before 17 18 and after photos. That was launched this 19 week.

20ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL:So what's21left to do?22COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS:Well, I'm

23 building up to the big --

24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: I know, I'm

1 running out of time.

2	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Oh. So the
3	IAI database was launched on Monday, and then
4	the last big change will be a front-end
5	processing system for ORA. We have been
6	working very closely with ITS on that, and it
7	will be completed in 2020.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: In this
9	year?
10	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: In this
11	calendar year.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: So you have
13	enough funding to complete all the upgrades.
14	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes.
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Okay. There
16	are these units called Frankenstein
17	apartments, where one those have been
18	created with space taken from an adjoining
19	apartment, and new apartments created from
20	the remaining space. Owners can use this
21	practice to create apartments with first
22	rents in their attempt to deregulate multiple
23	units.
24	What is is DHCR tracking that? And

1	if not, what would you need in order to have
2	the capability to track that?
3	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So that
4	provision is not addressed in the rent laws
5	sort of writ large, or in the new housing act
6	passed last year. We will be addressing that
7	issue in our regulations.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: As can
9	you say how?
10	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: No.
11	(Laughter.)
12	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
13	Senate.
14	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Jim
15	Seward.
16	SENATOR SEWARD: Thank you, Madam
17	Chair.
18	And thank you, Commissioner.
19	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Hi.
20	SENATOR SEWARD: I wanted to shift
21	gears a bit to the Lake Ontario relief
22	program. Can you provide an update on how
23	the 2019 I think there was \$20 million for
24	the homeowner program how that is

1 operating? Has the money flowed?

2 And the second part of that question 3 would be, is that enough, considering the assistance provided to homeowners -- I think 4 5 back to 2017 -- was 70 million? I mean, is that 20 million enough? And how is it being 6 7 used? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes, I 8 9 appreciate the question. We've been working 10 very hard on all the applications that we've received for the 2019 Lake Ontario program. 11 12 As you'll recall, the application 13 process happened late last year, the end of 14 2019. We have been sorting through -- the 15 applications have made I think several 16 hundred awards, and are working as fast as we can with the four organizations who are 17 18 processing the applications to get people's 19 approvals out as quickly as we can so they 20 can begin work. 21 SENATOR SEWARD: Okay, thank you. 22 The Executive has pledged \$300 million for the REDI program, the Lake Ontario 23 24 Resiliency and Economic Development program,

1

2

3

4

5

to rebuild the shoreline through that program. And can you provide us with any kind of update on that and the plans there?

How many projects have been identified? Any money disbursed yet?

COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: It is a very 6 7 big program, the \$300 million. And as you know, it was awarded to hundreds of projects 8 throughout the region. So we would be happy 9 10 to sit with you separately and go through county by county what the priority projects 11 12 are, and also the ones that are -- that 13 spread obviously multiple counties -- there's 14 several large infrastructure projects that 15 benefit multiple -- to talk about where they 16 are in the process. We are running a very tight ship on that. 17

18 SENATOR SEWARD: So a list does exist 19 of projects?

COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes.
 Absolutely.

22 SENATOR SEWARD: Okay. We would like 23 to reach out -- you know, we would like to 24 get the list of those, because a number of

our members are -- that border the lake are 1 2 very interested in those. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: 3 Great. SENATOR SEWARD: One final question on 4 5 that topic. The -- I note in the Executive's budget there's a reappropriation of 6 7 \$100 million for the REDI program. But where's the other \$200 million coming from? 8 Where is that appropriated, or where is that 9 10 coming from? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I don't know 11 12 the technical sort of budget of where the 13 money is located. But there is a -- for sure 14 a \$300 million commitment to the program 15 overall. SENATOR SEWARD: So you can't share 16 with us where the --17 18 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yeah, I'm not aware of sort of technically where the 19 funding lives in the budget. As I -- we sort 20 21 of speak mostly to the housing aspect of it. SENATOR SEWARD: I wanted to shift --22 I know some discussion earlier on the 23 24 Governor's Office of Storm Recovery. I note

1 that the Executive's Budget does not include 2 any new appropriations for that office. I 3 mean, why is this? Is the job done or --COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We are 4 5 continuing to work through the balance of the housing in the community reconstruction and 6 7 the infrastructure projects. So since we 8 still have some time to go as those work 9 their way through the process, and we don't have final budget numbers for many of those 10 11 projects -- which again is not a concern, 12 it's just where we are in the process, and we 13 have two years left to go. So as we better 14 define those budgets for all projects that 15 are remaining, we will have a better idea of what the budget -- if there's a sort of a net 16 budget need there. 17 18 SENATOR SEWARD: Can you share with us 19 what type of projects and improvements are 20 being funded with that -- the appropriations 21 of the past? And how is this money being

22 spent? I mean, we're almost a decade after
23 Lee, Irene and Sandy. What type of projects
24 are being funded?

1 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Sure. So as 2 you would recall, the bulk of the money was 3 spent on homeowners for the -- in the initial years of the project. So the things that we 4 5 are focused on now are a series of 200 6 community reconstruction projects that are 7 sort of all different shapes and scales. Ιt 8 could be a microgrid project, it could be a shoreline hardening, it could be elevation of 9 10 a firehouse or a hardening of sort of a 11 firehouse. 12 So they run the gamut from small, 13 medium to big and vary, obviously, in complexity. And so we'd be happy to sit with 14 15 you and talk through the projects in whatever 16 order makes sense to you. But for sure there's a very diverse set of community 17 18 reconstruction projects. 19 SENATOR SEWARD: Once again, a list 20 would be -- would be great. 21 One real quick question regarding the Housing Development Fund increase. 22 The Executive Budget increases funding for -- to 23 24 be used for improved farmworker housing. Can

1 you explain the program and the reason for 2 the increase? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Mm-hmm. 3 So we work with Farm Credit East, which is a 4 5 lender that lends to agricultural producers and farms. And the funding is available as a 6 7 low-cost revolving loan to either buy, 8 renovate or construct farmworker housing. SENATOR SEWARD: Thank you. 9 10 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 11 Assembly. 12 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 13 I also just want to remind members, as 14 we have at each hearing, to make sure your 15 mic is off when you're not speaking. We are 16 hearing from people who are streaming, who are watching this online, that they are 17 18 hearing voices other than the two main 19 speakers. 20 So now we go to Assemblywoman Niou. 21 New. 22 ASSEMBLYWOMAN NIOU: Hello. Can you hear me? Great. 23 24 So actually our Housing chairs asked

1 some really important questions about NYCHA's 2 operating deficit. NYCHA's operating deficit 3 is currently \$40 billion. NYCHA provides affordable housing to 600,000 of some of the 4 state's most vulnerable households. 5 You know, Brian had asked a question 6 7 about whether or not they were in the budget at all, and they're not. Why are these 8 households ignored in our State Budget? 9 10 And also, my Housing chair had asked about the public housing upstate, and they're 11 12 also left out of the budget. So why have 13 these housing authorities been left out of 14 the conversation? And what are we doing to 15 basically making sure that every public 16 housing resident in New York State has safe living conditions? 17 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes. 18 19 Obviously we care very much about our public 20 housing residents across the state. 21 I would note, as you know, that there's \$650 million that has been 22 appropriated in the last several years for 23 24 NYCHA specifically; \$100 million of that has

1 been spent. There's \$450 million that was 2 pending the appointment of the federal 3 monitor. As you recall, there was a lot of discussion last year about the appointment of 4 5 the federal monitor and the funds being released from the state. 6 7 So that monitor has finally signed off on the spending plan for the \$450 million --8 ASSEMBLYWOMAN NIOU: I know about the 9 10 500 million. I just -- what I'm asking is when the deficit is in the \$40 billion range, 11 12 why we are giving so little. And we haven't 13 provided anything this budget. 14 So New York State developed and funded 15 its own public housing developments until 16 1990. However, the state has since abandoned its financial commitment to these projects. 17 This divestment has cost New York City 18 19 \$60 million a year. 20 So would you agree that New York State 21 should actually step up to fully fund state 22 public housing? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So we have 23 24 spent over \$100 million in the last two years

1 working with upstate public housing 2 authorities on their capital needs. We have 3 funding left in that budget line, and we have an additional \$20 million from the 4 5 Legislature last year. So we will continue to work with the upstate housing authorities 6 7 to address their capital needs. ASSEMBLYWOMAN NIOU: But do you 8 believe that we should fully fund state 9 10 public housing? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I'm not 11 12 totally sure what you mean. So we -- they 13 are -- there's -- let me sort of step back 14 for a second. There's two types of public 15 housing in the rest of the state, right? 16 There's federal public housing that is -receives their operating capital money from 17 the federal government, and then there's a 18 very small section of state public housing 19 20 that we have oversight of that's about -- I think it's about 1500 units around the state. 21 22 And we work very closely with those state housing authorities, both on their capital 23 24 needs -- we have, as you recall, a public

1 housing modernization budget line in our 2 budget that's \$6 million a year, and we 3 deploy that year over year to those state 4 public housing authorities to make sure that 5 they can make capital repairs. ASSEMBLYWOMAN NIOU: Are you open to 6 7 exploring new state-funded public housing 8 programs? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: As I said, 9 10 we have funding in our budget that we would certainly continue to spend down. And once 11 12 that is done, I think -- I'm sure there will be a broader conversation about new resources 13 14 for all affordable housing. 15 ASSEMBLYWOMAN NIOU: So like -- but 16 are you open to exploring new programs for state-funded public housing? 17 18 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I'd be happy 19 to talk to you about that, yeah. 20 ASSEMBLYWOMAN NIOU: And what is your 21 position on new revenue streams, like 22 eliminating 421-a and passing a tax on private equity or like mezzanine debt in 23 24 order to fund public housing?

1 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I haven't 2 read those bills, so I'm not familiar with the details of them. 3 ASSEMBLYWOMAN NIOU: I mean, we know 4 5 what 421-a is. Are you open to eliminating it? 6 7 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I think 8 421-a has been for a very long time the major stimulus for the production of rental housing 9 10 in the city. So I think if you're going to eliminate it, there should be certainly 11 12 discussion about how rental housing would get 13 developed in New York City. 14 ASSEMBLYWOMAN NIOU: Yeah, 421-a costs 15 our state billions annually, actually. And 16 how can we justify this expenditure to corporate landlords like, for example, The 17 18 Related Group to build Hudson Yards, when our 19 public housing is actually in such massive 20 disrepair? 21 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Again, I 22 think you'd have to look at the consequences of eliminating it on the production of 23 24 housing overall.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN NIOU: What is the 1 2 administration's position on the Rental 3 Assistance Demonstration program? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So at HCR we 4 5 financed the first RAD project that was done by the New York City Housing Authority, but 6 7 we have also financed multiple RAD transactions around the state. And in every 8 case the leveraging -- the changing of the 9 10 public housing operating subsidy to basically what is essentially a Section 8 contract 11 12 allows those public housing authorities to 13 access capital that otherwise they would 14 never be able to reach, and to do really 15 significant long-term capital improvements. 16 So from my perspective, as the goal is to make sure that those properties stay in 17 18 good condition for the low-income residents 19 who in them, RAD has been one of the few 20 tools that the federal government has really 21 provided over the last decade to be able to 22 allow public housing authorities to make 23 significant investments in their properties. 24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN NIOU: Do you believe

1	that there are other pathways or options that
2	the state can provide public housing
3	residents, you know, funding without
4	privatization?
5	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I think that
6	the significance of the RAD program has been
7	leverage and that you will never get as much
8	sort of state, you know or never get as
9	much direct investment using just public
10	dollars as you can when you can leverage
11	other sources. So I think it's a
12	conversation.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NIOU: Thank you.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
15	Senate.
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
17	Senator Myrie.
18	SENATOR MYRIE: Thank you, Madam
19	Chair.
20	Thank you, Commissioner. I want to
21	publicly thank you and your staff for working
22	very closely with us, particularly the
23	members in Brooklyn, on the Vital Brooklyn
24	developments and for other local

developments. Thank you very much for your
 work and cooperation on that.

3 I want to focus my line of questioning on homeownership, and I want to talk about 4 5 two things. First, HOPP funding. And so as you know better than most, the foreclosure 6 7 crisis, while it had a severe impact all over 8 the nation and of course the state, black and brown communities felt that in a severe way. 9 10 We are still feeling the remnants of that 11 crisis, and HOPP funding has been critical to 12 keeping homeowners in their homes. It has been the first line of defense for things 13 14 like deed theft and foreclosure.

15 And so I'm wondering if you could 16 explain why that funding is not in this Executive Budget and why we continue to have 17 18 this shift. As you know, this used to be in the budget and in 2012 was shifted to AG 19 settlements. And so I'm hoping that we can 20 21 have a discussion about why that's not in the 22 budget.

23 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes, thank24 you for your comments about Vital Brooklyn.

We are very excited about all the work that
 we are doing there.

3 Foreclosures is certainly something 4 that weighs on us, and we keep an eye on it. 5 I think I read, as did you, that foreclosures 6 are down 20 percent, which it would be better 7 if they were down 100 percent, but we'll take 8 20 percent as at least a little bit of a 9 reprieve for the moment.

10 We had this conversation last year 11 about the HOPP program. And as I understand 12 it, the program is funded for half of this 13 coming year. The annual need is \$20 million, 14 and there's 10. And we would expect that 15 that -- to have conversation about the 16 balance of that funding over the course of 17 the budget process.

18 SENATOR MYRIE: And do you believe 19 that this is funding that we should be going 20 back and forth about every year, or should 21 this be a line item in the budget? Should 22 this come out of the AG settlements, given 23 the importance of keeping folks in their 24 homes?

1 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: As you 2 noted, it's been run by the Attorney 3 General's office for the last six to eight years. So I think to the extent that it 4 5 stays there or transitions into the state is a conversation to be had through the process. 6 7 SENATOR MYRIE: Thank you. And speaking of ownership, in the HSTPA last year 8 there's a provision on the rent to own 9 10 concept for manufactured homes, which we were 11 very proud to legislate and to see 12 implemented. And it is a concept that I 13 believe is important not just to folks in 14 manufactured homes, but also to renters in 15 the city that would love to have the 16 opportunity to own as well. I think there are many folks that are 17 18 of the opinion, including myself, that ownership is the strongest anchor against 19 20 gentrification and displacement, and so we 21 are having discussions both publicly and 22 privately around what that might look like for renters. 23 24 I know that these are programs that

1 have had some facsimiles in localities in the 2 past where the government provides some 3 subsidies for financing to allow tenants the opportunity to own. And I was wondering if 4 5 you could speak to your experience or thoughts on both the opportunity for tenants 6 7 to own and what your thoughts are on the limited co-op equity model. 8

9 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So we 10 certainly have a lot of programs that address 11 homeownership and agree, you know, it is --12 while New York City tends to be opposite from 13 the rest of the state, right, there is a sort 14 of strong homeownership component across the 15 state.

16 So we spend a lot of money on our HC program in New York City and outside to 17 18 guarantee home ownership. And we also, while 19 not necessarily always talked about in the 20 budget, run the State of New York Mortgage 21 Agency, where we assist thousands of homeowners every year to achieve first-time 22 homeownership by providing long-term, 23 24 low-cost mortgages. And we are increasing

1

our marketing efforts in minority

2 communities, especially at a time where there 3 seems to be an obvious disparity in lending across the state. 4 5 So we are very focused on this issue 6 and are putting our resources behind it, and 7 we would be happy to work with you more 8 closely on programs and opportunities. SENATOR MYRIE: Great. And if I 9 10 could, in the last 30 seconds, there has been discussion on the numbers of evictions that 11 12 have gone down, attributed to what we passed 13 last year. And I was wondering if HCR had 14 any numbers that they could provide on the 15 effects on evictions since the HSTPA has been

15 effects on evictions since the HSTPA has been 16 passed.

17 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We don't 18 sort of have oversight on evictions, so we 19 don't have data on that.

20 SENATOR MYRIE: Great. Thank you.
21 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Thanks.
22 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
23 Assembly.

24 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We were joined

1 earlier by Assemblyman Ortiz.

2 We go to Assemblyman Epstein for 3 questions. ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Thank you, 4 5 Commissioner, for all you do. And appreciate you taking the time to be with us today. 6 7 So as you heard earlier, we spent --8 we created such massive change in the rent laws last year. I'm wondering why the 9 10 Governor's office and your office hasn't 11 decided to put money into tenant education, 12 funding community-based organizations who can get the word out about the changes in the 13 14 rent law. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We do a lot 15 16 of public work on the rent laws, as I had mentioned earlier, and -- both myself and 17 18 also my staff. And we are constantly in 19 communities and in neighborhoods and 20 providing resources everywhere we can to make 21 sure people understand their rights as it relates to the law, and we're happy to 22 continue doing that. 23 24 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Yeah, and I

1 appreciate that. But as -- you know, we're 2 governments, and not everyone trusts 3 governments, so there are community-based 4 organizations around who have much more 5 ability to get to communities that are harder to reach. I'm wondering why there was a 6 7 decision not to fund those groups in this proposed budget. 8 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: It's not 9 10 something we have done before. So I'm not 11 sure if you're suggesting that it was 12 something that we had been committed to or 13 not any longer. I think we're -- we're happy 14 to talk about what that would look like. 15 It's just not something we've done in the 16 past. ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Great, I'm glad 17 18 to hear that. I'll follow up with you later 19 about that. And so source-of-income discrimination 20 21 laws were passed last year in the budget, so we have a statewide source of income 22 discrimination {sic}. And, you know, 23 24 obviously we've heard the stories of what's

1 happening on Long Island, housing 2 discrimination is rampant. And I'm wondering 3 why we didn't see a large effort to put money into education and enforcement on housing 4 5 discrimination that's clearly happening all across our state based on source of income. 6 7 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So we also 8 saw the Newsday article, as many people did, about the broker discrimination in 9 10 Long Island. 11 I think we have a lot of guardrails in 12 place for our part around fair housing as it 13 relates to our multifamily development, and 14 so feel confident that fair housing laws are 15 being followed. I think source of income is 16 an incredible resource layered on top of that, to give people a tool and also 17 18 knowledge and understanding of what their 19 rights are, which they oftentimes don't have. 20 And for our part, on our single-family side, we do a lot of outreach and education, 21 22 especially in disadvantaged communities, to make sure that people have access to credit 23 24 and understand what our programs are so they

1 can then ask for them. Our lending in
2 African-American communities trends with the
3 state population, so we don't have a
4 disparity in our efforts per se and try to
5 make sure that from a marketing perspective
6 we are out there and making people aware of
7 our products.

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: And I do 8 9 appreciate that. I just think -- just to be honest, I think we need to do more. Clearly 10 11 source-of-income discrimination is happening, 12 housing discrimination is happening across 13 the state. I'd love to see your agency step 14 up and do much more aggressive education, 15 enforcement, especially since we see so much 16 discrimination happening around housing and housing discrimination, and especially around 17 source of income discrimination. 18

19COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: As you know,20the Department of Human Rights has the21enforcement over fair housing. We work very22closely with them to make sure that we're23communicating -- anytime something is raised24to us or anytime we see something, that we

are referring it to DHR so that they can
 enforce.

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: I just want to
follow up with similar questions raised by my
colleague Ms. Niou. And you mentioned RAD
and, you know, how some of the programs are
successful.

I'm wondering about focusing on RAD 8 with nonprofit developers. We've seen, in my 9 10 district and my community, concerns around RAD and those resources being sent to 11 12 for-profit developers. I'm wondering around ensuring that RAD and the resources that 13 14 we're putting in there create nonprofit 15 partners and we're really funding and 16 supporting those nonprofit community-based organizations that are doing and preserving 17 18 affordable housing.

19COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I mean,20certainly I think the examples that some21people see in New York City probably have22more private developers.

23 Certainly from my perspective, as we24 see this work happening across the state,

1 that's not the norm, and that there are 2 certainly a mix. There's many, many 3 nonprofits who work with not only housing authorities on RAD -- but also any other sort 4 5 of federally funded Section 202 or anything else that wants to RAD, there's often strong 6 7 nonprofit partners who do that work as well. ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Great. And, you 8 know, we're running out of time. Just 9 10 quickly, just -- I know Senator Hoylman 11 raised the concerns just making sure that, 12 post-Roberts, that those units are remaining in rent regulation. You know, we have some 13 14 concerns that we don't want to lose any units 15 from rent regulation. 16 I assume you share that position that we don't want -- if -- once a unit is 17 18 regulated, as long as it's not coming out 19 because it wasn't regulated before, six or 20 more built before '74, we're taking the 21 position that they remain in rent regulation. 22 Is that your understanding as well? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I think in 23 24 the case of Stuy Town, as Senator Hoylman

said, it's certainly complicated as it 1 2 relates to the settlement documents. And 3 we'll continue to work on that. ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Great. Thank 4 5 you. And just for my last few seconds, just making sure that as we go forward -- I know 6 7 homelessness is a huge problem, and the 8 ability to deal with some of the homelessness. We'd love to see you and your 9 10 agencies create a bigger commitment to deal with the over 90,000 people who are homeless 11 12 and really making institutional commitments 13 to deal with the homelessness problem we've 14 seen continue to rise instead of decrease 15 over the last 10 years. 16 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yeah, I 17 mean, we're committed to spending the balance 18 of our supportive housing dollars just to 19 make sure we can build every unit we can with 20 the dollars we have, and to stretch those 21 dollars as far as we can to provide as much 22 housing as possible. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 23 24 Senate?

1 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 2 Senator Robert Jackson. SENATOR JACKSON: Good afternoon, 3 4 Commissioner. How are you? 5 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Good, thank 6 you. 7 SENATOR JACKSON: Good to see you and your staff here. And thank you for your 8 9 leadership of HCR. 10 I have a couple of questions quickly. 11 Last year I believe we allocated about 12 \$8 million for the Code Enforcement Unit. That money -- tell me what have you done with 13 14 that money so far. Have you expanded the 15 staff? And exactly where around the state or 16 specific locations? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Is this the 17 18 expansion in ORA? 19 SENATOR JACKSON: I'm sorry, say that 20 again? 21 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Do you mean 22 our expansion of the rent office? SENATOR JACKSON: Of the code 23 24 enforcement office, yeah. If that's the

1 rental office, then yes. I'm talking about 2 code enforcement, really. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Oh, for TPU, 3 for the Tenant Protection Unit? 4 5 SENATOR JACKSON: I'm sorry, it's the Code Enforcement Unit. 6 7 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I can talk a little bit about our efforts around the state 8 to make sure that we are -- from the lens of 9 10 TPU, that we're making sure that landlords are following the building codes and the rent 11 12 stabilization laws. 13 SENATOR JACKSON: Have you expanded the staff, considering that you got 14 15 \$8 million more in the budget? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So we 16 have --17 18 SENATOR JACKSON: And if so, how many 19 staff have you added? 20 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yup, sure. 21 So we last year at this time had 10 housing 22 inspectors who go out and do inspections for the Office of Rent Administration, and we 23 24 have expanded that staff by three in place

1 already, and we have another fourth person 2 that will be hired by the end of March. And 3 we think 14 is a right-sizing for that division based on the number of inspections 4 5 we do. SENATOR JACKSON: And is that 6 7 additional staff in New York City, or is it 8 in Rochester or Syracuse or other areas? Where, where at? 9 10 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Those are in 11 New York City, but they cover the New York 12 City and the surrounding counties. SENATOR JACKSON: Around where? 13 14 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: The Office of Rent Administration would cover New York 15 16 City and Rockland, Nassau and Westchester Counties. That's their jurisdiction. 17 18 SENATOR JACKSON: Okay. And what about -- do you have code enforcement up in 19 20 Rochester, New York? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We do not 21 have a code enforcement office in Rochester. 22 SENATOR JACKSON: Okay. Great. So 23 24 you must be aware that the real estate -- the

1 landlords filed a lawsuit against the rent 2 laws that were passed and the Governor signed 3 into law. Is your office involved with that, and to what extent? 4 5 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I believe four different people have filed lawsuits 6 7 against myself, my agency and various other parties as a result of the rent laws that 8 were passed in June of 2019, and we are being 9 10 represented by the Attorney General's office in those cases. 11 12 SENATOR JACKSON: And so you're 13 working with the Attorney General's office 14 regarding whatever information she needs in 15 order to represent you and the stability of 16 those rent laws that we passed, is that 17 correct? 18 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Absolutely. 19 SENATOR JACKSON: Okay. I'm going to ask you a question, understanding the time. 20 21 According to the financial plan, there was 22 over \$33.8 million for the fire prevention and code enforcement account by the year end 23 24 2021, but there is only an appropriation

1 amount out of this account of \$2.17 million 2 in the Department of State aid to localities 3 budget. Why is such a small amount of this account authorized for disbursement? And 4 5 what is this appropriation anticipated to be used for? 6 7 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I would have 8 to get back to you on all those facts and figures. I'm not that familiar with those. 9 10 SENATOR JACKSON: Okay, would you get back to central staff on that, if you don't 11 12 mind? 13 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Sure, 14 mm-hmm. SENATOR JACKSON: Because I want to 15 16 say to you the Senate has recently introduced a comprehensive code enforcement bill package 17 that could result in additional costs to the 18 19 state associated with the necessity of 20 enforcement and administrative oversight 21 matters. And do you think, though, that if 22 in fact there's an appropriate utilization of the excess funds in this account to be used 23 24 for fire prevention and code enforcement?

1 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We'd be 2 happy to talk further with you about that. 3 SENATOR JACKSON: Okay, sure, if you can get back to central staff on that, I 4 5 appreciate that. And my last one is concerning the 6 7 education that it talks about segregation in schools and housing. Do you track and keep 8 account of which owners have been found 9 10 guilty of discriminatory practices? And where's that list at, if you have it? 11 12 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: No, we do 13 not have a -- I'm sorry, do we track owners 14 that have been accused of? I'm sorry. 15 SENATOR JACKSON: No, not only 16 accused, but have been found to discriminate. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We as -- and 17 18 sort of depending on which part of our agency 19 you're referring to, but as we lend to 20 private developers to build or preserve 21 affordable housing, we do a background check 22 and that to ensure anybody that was found to --23 24 SENATOR JACKSON: But those that are

1 found guilty, does your office keep a list of 2 those landlords, owners, that have been found 3 to discriminate in housing? And if not, I would truly recommend that that be the case. 4 It needs to be known that landlords 5 have discriminated of people against their 6 7 income or because they're black, they're white, they're yellow, green or blue. 8 Discrimination is discrimination. And I 9 10 believe that your office should keep a list 11 of those and make that public on your 12 website. So I ask you to do that and take that into consideration, because a number of 13 14 our members are working on legislation aimed 15 at combating discrimination in housing and the rental real estate market. 16 And so I want to know, has your office 17 18 taken the time to review those proposals and 19 if so, do you have any thoughts or comments 20 on this particular matter? 21 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I have been 22 very focused on my budget testimony, so I have not familiarized myself with that 23 legislation. But for sure, as we get past

24

```
1 the budget, I will do that.
```

2	SENATOR JACKSON: Then I'm going to
3	ask central staff to follow up with your
4	office about this particular matter.
5	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Great.
6	SENATOR JACKSON: And thank you for
7	your time.
8	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Thank you.
9	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Assembly.
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
11	Blake.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN BLAKE: Commissioner, good
13	to see you. And thank you for you and your
14	team, as we all spend a lot of time with
15	Concourse Village and many places,
16	respectively.
17	Commissioner, for the sake of time, a
18	few quick questions. Have you or your team
19	been able to review the federal monitor's
20	quarterly reports that they have one? They
21	just did a third quarterly, but have you been
22	able to review the first, second or third?
23	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I have we
24	have read them. Not the most recent one, but

1 I have read the first and second one.

2 ASSEMBLYMAN BLAKE: Within the report 3 it comments around 148 elevators, 108 boilers and the challenges around lead, in particular 4 5 134,000 apartments that have lead, 52,000 6 common areas that are lead-based. 7 I'm trying to understand, the \$100 million that has been allocated last 8 year, who within state government is 9 10 overseeing that negotiation? You said 11 earlier today that you are not a part of that 12 currently. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I believe 13 14 that the Executive works directly with NYCHA 15 and the funding has to date been reimbursed 16 by DASNY. ASSEMBLYMAN BLAKE: So just very 17 18 clearly, who specifically within the 19 Executive is leading the effort of the \$100 million on NYCHA? 20 21 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I'd have to 22 get back to you on that. I don't know. ASSEMBLYMAN BLAKE: When can someone 23 24 get back to us on that?

1	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Shortly.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN BLAKE: Shortly being a
3	week?
4	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We'll get
5	back to you as soon as we know.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN BLAKE: Moving forward,
7	what is the specific rationale for zero
8	dollars for NYCHA capital in this budget,
9	given the concerning and glaring need for
10	NYCHA improvements?
11	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: As I had
12	said earlier, there's still \$450 million that
13	have yet to be spent, and there's another
14	100 million for which I'm not sure a plan has
15	been submitted yet for review.
16	So I think the idea would be to spend
17	down some of that before additional funding
18	is provided.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN BLAKE: Respectfully,
20	Commissioner, we always would have additional
21	funding for other matters even if we have not
22	spent down on those items. The 450 had a
23	clear responsibility towards it. So given
24	the continuing need, why would there be a

1 rationale of zero for NYCHA capital when 2 there is more need than the 450? 3 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Again, I think that that funding has to be begun to be 4 5 spent, and a plan would need to be submitted for the 100 that remains from last year. 6 7 ASSEMBLYMAN BLAKE: I'm just trying to 8 understand. Based upon -- when you said that has to be spent, the approval from the 9 10 federal monitor was for the 450. 11 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Right. 12 ASSEMBLYMAN BLAKE: So I'm asking 13 about additional funding. I'm just trying to 14 understand, what was the state's position as 15 to why there's a rationale for zero dollars? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I believe 16 since the work on the 450 hasn't begun and a 17 18 plan for the 100 has not been submitted yet, 19 that there's still some time for those funds 20 to be expended before additional funds would be allocated. 21 ASSEMBLYMAN BLAKE: Just on the 22 23 record, the 450 has begun. That's why I was 24 referencing the 148 elevators, the 108

1 boilers itself.

2	You had mentioned that HCR does not
3	have oversight on eviction. If not, then who
4	does?
5	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I believe
6	that information would live with the court
7	system. But it's not it's an area that we
8	have jurisdiction over.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN BLAKE: Is there a reason
10	why HCR would not be the entity that would
11	have data on evictions for the state?
12	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Well,
13	evictions are done through the court, so I
14	think the court maintains that data.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN BLAKE: But in part I
16	mean, that's part of the reason why we
17	created the changes last year, respectfully.
18	So is it to say that there is no one within
19	the state that would be able to provide us
20	information on evictions?
21	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I could
22	I'm not sure of that. I think I believe
23	all that information lives within the court
24	system.

1 ASSEMBLYMAN BLAKE: Understand. For 2 Opportunity Zones themselves, obviously 3 they're tracts primarily in communities of high impact of poverty. Just want to 4 5 understand, does the administration have a 6 position on whether you support or oppose 7 legislation to ensure affordable housing within the Opportunity Zone tracts? 8 Since that's currently not mandated. 9 10 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We have found -- although happy to discuss more --11 12 that the overlay of affordable housing 13 production in Opportunity Zones is more 14 complicated than it would seem at first 15 blush, given the timelines as Opportunity 16 Zones and our mandate and goal that affordable housing is very long term. 17 So we have not seen a lot of 18 19 production coming to us of affordable housing 20 in Opportunity Zones. 21 ASSEMBLYMAN BLAKE: Just to state for 22 the record that in Charleston they announced about two weeks ago -- and I would encourage 23 24 your team to review this -- legislation being

1 produced to ensure affordable housing to 2 occur within Opportunity Zones, which we 3 think that would have to be absolutely 4 necessary. 5 On Mitchell-Lama, could you just give a top line of the amount that was spent on 6 7 Mitchell-Lama for the year last year? And equally, any ballpark on Mitchell-Lama 8 funding within the Bronx? 9 10 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I don't know the Bronx number, although I'd be happy to 11 12 get back to you on that. 13 We had \$75 million in the original 14 housing plan, and we have spent about 60, 15 65 percent of that already. Although 16 sometimes not all of the properties will need subsidy dollars; sometimes we can do them 17 with tax credits alone. 18 19 So happy to get back to you on how 20 many Mitchell-Lamas we have financed in total. 21 22 ASSEMBLYMAN BLAKE: Is there a dollar 23 amount in this year's proposal for 24 Mitchell-Lama funding that you all have

1 proposed?

2 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: No. We 3 still have money to spend --ASSEMBLYMAN BLAKE: From the 4 previous --5 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: From the 6 7 previous, mm-hmm. ASSEMBLYMAN BLAKE: But going back to 8 the previous point, we always would put forth 9 10 more funding when there's additional need. 11 So I'm just trying to understand, what is the 12 rationale for not having more funding toward Mitchell-Lama this year? 13 14 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We have two 15 years left to go to spend down that. So I 16 think -- I suspect we'll be having this conversation at this time next year as well. 17 ASSEMBLYMAN BLAKE: Okay. And then 18 19 just in conclusion, just for clarity purposes, is there anyone within your team 20 21 that is responsible for assessing the 22 progress of the federal monitor's findings for the \$450 million and the \$100 million and 23 24 the other concerning items that are going on

1	with NYCHA? Since we have provided this
2	funding, who is the individual responsible to
3	give us progress reports on heat, lead and
4	mold?
5	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We will get
6	back to you on that.
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate?
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
9	Senator Kavanagh, second round, to
10	end.
11	SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thank you, Chairs.
12	And thank you for your patience with
13	the many questions. And I would note that as
14	Chairwoman Weinstein mentioned, this is a
15	budget hearing and we have some off-budget
16	conversations.
17	But I actually want to clarify
18	something that arose from one of those
19	conversations, which is we had several
20	questions today about the new rules with
21	respect to IAIs and the extent to which they
22	might hinder people from correcting mold
23	conditions and other maintenance issues.
24	Just can you clarify, the IAI law

1 IAIs have never been available for routine 2 repairs -- mold remediation, that sort of 3 thing -- as a project. Right? And nothing had changed with respect to people's ability 4 5 to use IAIs for that, in that they couldn't use them before and presumably can't use them 6 7 now. Is that your understanding? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Correct. 8 9 The changes to the law around IAIs were 10 around amortization, capping the amount, the term of the benefit. 11 12 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Great. Okay. And 13 so I'll just end again by thanking you and just noting that, you know, as my colleague 14 15 Michael Blake in the Assembly was noting, you 16 know, many of us view the needs particularly of public housing in New York and other 17 18 places, but several of the capital needs that we've talked about, as kind of ongoing needs 19 20 where we would expect and we have fought for 21 additional infusions of money.

You know, the idea that we delayed the spending of \$450 million for several years as a reason not to allocate new money this year

1 is -- you know, is not -- is something that I 2 think we'll be having a further conversation 3 about during the process. But again, I thank you for all of your 4 5 work and for your patience with all of us today. 6 7 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Thanks. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 8 We go to Aravella Simotas. 9 10 ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMOTAS: Thank you, Commissioner, for joining us today. 11 12 New Yorkers who experience domestic violence oftentimes can't get out of their 13 14 abusive environments because they have 15 nowhere else to go, they have no other 16 options, they have to stay with their abuser because they won't have a place to live. In 17 18 the past budgets we have prioritized creating 19 housing opportunities for DV survivors, and I 20 know that this budget does so as well. 21 I want to ask specifically how much is 22 the state -- is the Governor wanting to prioritize capital expenses for --23 24 specifically for DV survivors?

1 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So as you 2 probably know, there is, within the Empire 3 State Supportive Housing Initiative -- which is the service dollars that drive the 4 5 supportive housing creation for HCR -- there is funding available for DV populations and 6 7 for services to serve those. It's certainly very important to us to make sure that those 8 9 projects are funded.

10 So as organizations receive those 11 operating awards, they come to us for 12 capital. And so we have done -- I'd be happy 13 to get back to you the number, about how many 14 we have done over the last several years. 15 But we are -- have done in the past and will 16 continue to finance housing for survivors of domestic violence. 17

ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMOTAS: And what would be the process if there is a DV survivor who wants to look for other options to get out of their situation? How would they apply for this type of housing? And how is that -- how are those slots prioritized for these survivors?

1 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So the 2 organizations that get the contracts, so 3 the -- you know, often what our supportive 4 housing providers that specialize in domestic 5 violence survivors, they will run processes to pull women and their families from the 6 7 shelters that are specifically for domestic violence survivors, and have other ways that 8 they can access people in the community. So 9 10 they control that process, as long as they follow fair housing and other guidelines that 11 12 we require. They are predominantly looking 13 to take people from the domestic violence 14 system into their permanent housing. 15 And we work closely with those 16 organizations so that they can meet their objectives as they do that. 17 ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMOTAS: And is there 18 19 money allocated in this budget for education? Because oftentimes, as I mentioned when we 20 21 began, DV people who are caught in the cycle 22 don't know that this -- that these housing opportunities are available -- would be 23 available to them, and sometimes they won't 24

1 get out of their scenario because they just 2 won't have a place to live. 3 You know, they're going to become homeless, and they choose not to do so and 4 not to take the -- all of the resources that 5 are available to them to get out of their 6 7 situation. But do we specifically allocate money 8 for education? 9 10 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I think we primarily have a role sort of in the 11 12 marketing of that, to make sure that people have awareness. And we rely on the 13 14 organizations to sort of tell us how they think to best market the units to make sure 15 16 that people know that they exist. We'd be happy to talk more about some of the 17 18 marketing plans we get and how people 19 approach that and ways that you think that could be done better. 20 21 ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMOTAS: Thank you very 22 much. 23 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Thanks. 24 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman

1 Walker.

2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WALKER: Good afternoon.
3	I would like to submit my comments and
4	my support for all of my colleagues'
5	statements regarding public housing. Of
6	course I represent 29 NYCHA developments,
7	which is roughly, in estimate, about nearly
8	14,000 individuals. So clearly this is
9	something that is a huge need. And it really
10	provides the only level of affordable
11	housing, definitely in the communities that I
12	represent.
13	But regarding affordable housing, in
14	the Governor's budget did are there any
15	resources for the Supportive Housing
16	Opportunity Program, the New Construction
17	Capital Program, the Middle-Income Housing
18	Program, and any other tax credits for
19	affordable housing?
20	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes. So
21	when we received the \$2.5 billion several
22	years ago for the overall plan, we have been
23	spending that down. And we have at the
24	moment approximately a billion dollars left

1 across those various programs, including the 2 Supportive Housing Opportunities Program, the 3 new construction, and the others that all add up at the bottom line to a billion dollars 4 5 for us left to spend over the next two years. ASSEMBLYWOMAN WALKER: So when a 6 7 developer utilizes these resources to build, 8 are there any approvals that they have to go through either with your office or with HFA? 9 10 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes, 11 absolutely. These are sort of highly 12 structured financial deals that go through a 13 series of reviews and approvals -- not only 14 the internal credit committee, they go to the 15 HFA board, they go to the PACB. There's 16 multiple layers of review for our projects. ASSEMBLYWOMAN WALKER: Is there any 17 18 oversight that your office provides over the 19 course of time once these funds have been 20 expended on particular projects? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes. So we 21 22 both monitor them through construction to 23 make sure they're complete and then once they

complete the projects and move into our asset

24

management division. And we are doing
 compliance for financial, physical and
 regulatory compliance for everything that we
 finance.

5 ASSEMBLYWOMAN WALKER: Okay. So the reason for I guess the concern that I have 6 7 is, moving forward with how these projects are being handled, is that there was just 8 recently an announcement of a \$179 million 9 10 public financing opportunity for a development in Brooklyn. And of those 11 12 resources for this particular project, there 13 was a transfer of the ownership or the 14 development from one developer to another. 15 But when we reached out to your office, there 16 was some concern as to not necessarily knowing much about what's happening there. 17 18 So in your opinion, are there any items that we may be able to address or 19 include particularly on these financing 20 21 opportunities, where either HCR continues to

have authority and control over any changes that are made? And what type of outreach or community support may be provided at the

state level in order to help assist in that regard?

3 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So if any borrower of ours wants to change ownership or 4 5 sell their property, they have to get our approval before they do that. I'd be happy 6 7 to talk to you in specifics about yours or to 8 think, you know, more creatively about how we might do that. But they have to come to us 9 10 for approval if an owner wants to transfer 11 their interest in a property.

12 ASSEMBLYWOMAN WALKER: But I think, you know, \$179 million is a lot of money. So 13 14 are you aware that there was a transfer of 15 either ownership or control from one 16 developer to another on the Marcus Garvey development site? Is that typical? 17 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We can talk 18 19 more about sort of the specifics of that. 20 But for sure the owner would have to come to 21 my staff to seek their sign-off before they 22 can transfer their interest in a property. ASSEMBLYWOMAN WALKER: And also I'm 23 24 just wondering whether or not there are any

1 opportunities for affordable homeownership 2 with respect to increasing, you know, wealth 3 in many different communities. Of course as we know, the Nehemiah development was a huge 4 5 success, and it is the one item that we can point to that has the starkest growth of 6 7 generational wealth to the children from 8 their parents. And so we would love to have 9 more support for that. 10 There is a request in now for about \$100 million for more affordable 11 12 homeownership opportunities. So I'm just 13 wondering what your organization -- what your 14 agency feels about an affordable housing tax 15 credit. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We -- we are 16 fairly active in the ownership space. 17 18 As I had mentioned before, we have our 19 AHC program, which has been used in Nehemiah 20 and at other developments around the city and around the state to allow for low-income 21 22 homeownership. We also have a 23 first-time-homebuyer mortgage program that we 24 provide thousands of mortgages each year to

1 first-time homebuyers.

2	But we are always happy to talk about
3	ways that we can expand our efforts within
4	homeownership to make sure that we are
5	driving that as a policy matter. So we'd be
6	happy to talk to you about that more.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WALKER: Thank you.
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
9	Okay. Now the light was on, but it still
10	wasn't working. So I have a few questions.
11	One, I want to just follow up
12	sometimes it's a little hard to hear up here
13	in terms of the questions and responses, so I
14	wanted to follow up on the foreclosure
15	prevention funding. So I know in response to
16	Senator Kavanagh you said there's \$10 million
17	that we expect to be reappropriated into
18	this the budget that we're discussing now,
19	that will be six months worth of funding. I
20	know Senator Myrie also had asked questions
21	about this program, which is very important
22	to homeowners throughout the state who are
23	facing foreclosure.
24	So if we were looking at 20 million on

1 an annual basis, where is the additional 2 \$10 million going to come from or the 3 program's going to end in September? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes, so my 4 5 understanding, which I think as is yours, is 6 that the program has been funded and operated 7 by the Attorney General's office for the last six or more years, and that last year 8 \$30 million was put in to cover the 9 10 \$20 million for last year and half of this 11 year. 12 And we would anticipate discussing 13 funding the balance of that during the course 14 of this budget process. 15 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: I'm sorry, can 16 you just -- can you repeat that? Maybe talk into the mic. It's really difficult to hear. 17 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Sure. No, 18 19 that's fine. It's hard to tell if I'm on the 20 mic or not. 21 We -- as you know, the program was 22 funded and operated by the Attorney General's office for the last six or more years. Last 23 24 year, through the course of the budget

process, \$30 million was added to the budget,
 which funded the \$20 million for last year
 and \$10 million in this.

And we would anticipate that in the course of this year's budget discussion, that the funding of the balance of that would be part of that discussion.

CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Part of the 8 reason it came out of the Attorney General's 9 10 office was that there was settlement monies 11 as a result of some of the fraud committed by 12 banks, and that settlement money has been --13 the amount of settlement money coming in has been greatly reduced, so the Governor has 14 15 redirected it for other purposes.

So it would be better if we started off with the money we needed in the budget, not rely on adding it later.

19I want to just ask a couple of20questions some of my colleagues didn't have21an opportunity to have enough time to ask.22So I just wanted to know, what role does the23agency play in reducing the homelessness24crisis? And what is the administration's

1 position on the Home Stability Support? And 2 then I'll have some follow-up questions. 3 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: As you know, homelessness is a complex issue. And so I 4 5 think it is addressed, from the state's perspective, through many programs. I know 6 7 that my colleagues from OTDA and OMH and other agencies have been testifying over the 8 last couple of days, and they all sort of 9 10 play a role in the broad strategy around 11 addressing homelessness in the state. 12 For our part at HCR, we have a very strong commitment to creating 6,000 units of 13 14 supportive housing in the five-year period, and so we have financed over 4,500 of those 15 16 to date and are on track to complete that commitment. And while permanent supportive 17 18 housing isn't the only tool for homelessness, we certainly believe that it's a very 19 20 important one and are happy to be doing our 21 part in that. 22 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So I quess it

23 was in 2016 that the Governor committed to 24 developing 20,000 units of supportive

1 housing. So you're saying that the 6,000 -so has it been -- how much of that 20,000 2 does the 6,000 that you're talking about 3 4 represent? 5 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Sure. So the Empire State Supportive Housing 6 7 Initiative -- ESSHI, as we call it -- was the sort of umbrella for the services as it 8 related to the 20,000 unit announcement. So 9 10 the first 6,000 of those are what's being done in this first five-year period. 11 12 As you also probably know, nonprofit 13 organizations apply through ESSHI to get 14 service contracts for different populations 15 according to who they serve. They then come 16 to OMH, OTDA, HCR to finance the construction of those projects. 17 So the last round of the ESSHI 18 19 operating subsidy contracts will happen this 20 year, and that will complete the award for 21 all 6,000. And then we are financing those 22 after they get their operating award, and we have financed 4500 of those so far and are 23 24 aggressively working our way toward the end

1 of the full 6,000 commitment of the ESSHI.

2 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Okay. And then 3 there was an issue about people who were in supportive housing where the supportive --4 5 for some reason the supportive housing providers were taken to court and the 6 7 individual tenants lose their -- they're not 8 on the lease. So that they don't have --9 they don't have the rights of tenants. 10 And there's been some complaints that 11 when the supportive housing tenants 12 complained -- they were getting harassed or 13 threatened when they made complaints to HPD 14 and DHCR over conditions. And I was 15 wondering if your Office of Rent 16 Administration has heard of those complaints. Because clearly the legislative intent was 17 18 that they would have -- the tenants 19 themselves would have the full rent 20 stabilization tenant protections even though 21 they weren't -- even though it was the 22 provider who was receiving the funding. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes, so I 23 24 think it's long been the practice of the

1 supportive housing community that they are 2 the -- they lease apartments and then they 3 have a client who's in that apartment. And the supportive housing provider, as the 4 5 recipient of the operating subsidy, is the one who is paying the rent, hence why they 6 7 are on the lease. And that the client they're serving likely does not have the full 8 income that would be required to pay whatever 9 10 the rent might be.

11 So that has long been the practice in 12 the way it was -- certainly we financed those 13 projects. So I think there were some changes 14 in the 2019 law around adding additional 15 protections to the subtenants or the clients 16 or whatever the right term would be for those. So that will now change and provide 17 18 additional protections for those tenants. 19 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: That's good.

If you could keep us informed as to how that process happens -- obviously it's just going to start happening now, then -- so we can find out if there are complaints that are happening as a result of tenants making

1	complaints, and if in fact they are being
2	able to get the full rent stabilization
3	protections.
4	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Okay.
5	Mm-hmm.
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
7	Now we're going to go to
8	Assemblyman Cymbrowitz for his second round
9	of five minutes.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Thank you.
11	Appreciate the stamina. Appreciate
12	your responses to my colleagues' questions.
13	And I just wanted you know, just to fill
14	in the blanks a little bit, be brief.
15	Of the many line items included in the
16	five-year plan, are there any funding
17	lines is there any funding that has not
18	yet been committed?
19	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We when
20	we originally devised the five-year plan, we
21	had some funding sources that were not within
22	the 2.5 that funded certain programs.
23	So to answer your question
24	specifically, in the case of some of our

1 homeownership programs, we had had some 2 JPMorgan settlement dollars that we got in 3 20 -- I think it was 2016. And they -- for example, we used some of that funding to 4 5 provide Habitat for Humanity resources so that they could provide very-low-interest 6 7 mortgages to the people that they work with in their homes. And so the first two, 8 probably two and a half years of that program 9 10 had been funded by some JPMorgan settlement dollars that we had. 11 12 And so now, going forward, that 13 program will be funded by the homeownership 14 line in our budget. So there are probably 15 maybe two lines within our overall budget 16 that now that they're in year -- in the last

17 two years of the spending plan, that will 18 begin to be tapped. But rest assured we will 19 spend them all by the end of the five years. 20 So if they haven't been spent yet, it's not 21 because we aren't programmatically working on 22 those issues; they may just have been funded 23 by a different source.

24 ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: So they're on

1 homeownership programs? 2 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Excuse me? 3 ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Homeownership programs, is that what's left --4 5 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Correct, so 6 in our homeownership program line. 7 So we had some funding from JPMorgan that we used first, and once we spend that 8 down -- which we have just done -- we will 9 10 now start to spend the Housing Plan dollars 11 for that. 12 ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: State public housing authorities, as we mentioned before, 13 14 have many of the same problems. And in order 15 to comply with HUD regulations, they need to 16 do a PNA. And many state authorities have said that they don't have the money to do 17 18 those PNAs. Is there something that HCR can 19 do as far as maybe a revolving loan that they can actually do these so that they comply 20 21 with all regulations? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We'd be 22 happy to talk more about that. We allow the 23

state public housing authorities that we have

24

1 oversight of to utilize the Public Housing 2 Modernization funds that flow through our 3 budget to pay for PNAs, to allow that to move forward. We'd be happy to talk about that in 4 5 a broader sense. ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: And just 6 7 lastly, just to talk a little bit about the 8 modernization of your computers. What's the estimated cost of the total modernization? 9 10 What funds are being used to finance it? And 11 do you have enough money to do that? 12 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: The -- we 13 anticipate that the modernization of the 14 processing system for ORA to be completed in 2020. And the costs associated with that 15 modernization are included in the costs that 16 we bill the city for, for the overall 17 operation of the rent system. So we are 18 19 funded at this time to do that. 20 ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: What steps is 21 HCR doing to reduce the amount of paperwork

22 that's distributed and submitted? Is there
23 any opportunity for tenants and landlords to
24 use electronic signatures?

1 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So last year 2 when we launched Rent Connect, one of the key 3 things that it allows people to do is to 4 submit both cases and also responses to 5 filings on a computer or on their phone, in an effort to not require people to always be 6 7 filling out paper documents and submitting them to our borough rent offices. 8

9 So as much as we can, we are trying to 10 both modernize our documents so that they are 11 easy to understand, easy to read, easy to 12 fill out. Obviously for many people English 13 is not their first language, and so we want 14 to make sure that our documents are clear 15 generally. And then we also will continue over time to add more documents to 16 Rent Connect so that more people can file and 17 interact with the Office of Rent 18 19 Administration electronically rather than 20 going to a borough rent office.

21 ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: And just very 22 quickly, can you talk about what the agency 23 is doing as far as the Census and making sure 24 people answer those questions?

1	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes. We
2	have done some outreach to our owners,
3	Mitchell-Lama owners, tax credit owners we
4	have approximately over 300,000 units in our
5	asset management division to make sure
6	that all those owners are aware of what the
7	Census is and that they can proactively talk
8	to their tenants about being involved.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Commissioner,
10	thank you very much.
11	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Thanks.
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you,
14	Commissioner, for being here and for staying
15	and being responsive to all of the members.
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Yes, thank you
17	very much.
18	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Thank you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So this oh,
20	Felix, I'm sorry.
21	Assemblyman Ortiz has a question.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN ORTIZ: Hello. Thank you,
23	Commissioner, for being here. And I'm going
24	to take only a few minutes, don't worry about

1 it.

2	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: That's okay.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN ORTIZ: But also I would
4	like to really thank you and your team for
5	the help that you guys give me, to my
6	district, on some of the evictions that was
7	taking place that to fight back with those
8	unscrupulous landlords. I really appreciate
9	the help that your agency provided last year.
10	I have a few questions, very
11	quickly I know that you have to go on
12	Mitchell-Lama. We have a Mitchell-Lama in
13	the Bay Ridge area, and the Mitchell-Lama now
14	there has been discussion about privatizing,
15	which I'm completely against privatization.
16	What is your agency's procedures or
17	process in order to be involved in what they
18	are implementing to do so as we speak?
19	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So we have
20	about 140 Mitchell-Lamas under our regulatory
21	authority, both a mix of co-ops and rentals,
22	and we work very closely with them, both from
23	an oversight perspective but also in sort of
24	any other matters that relates to poor

1	governance or capital repairs, to make sure
2	that they have what they need to be a good,
3	healthy, functioning building.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN ORTIZ: So you've been
5	aware of the Towers in Bay Ridge, that
6	there's been conversation about
7	privatization?
8	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So when
9	so Mitchell-Lamas can opt out of the program
10	when their regulatory period expires. We
11	work very closely to make sure that when
12	Mitchell-Lamas are exiting the Mitchell-Lama
13	program, that they are entering another
14	affordability program.
15	So almost every Mitchell-Lama but for
16	a few that have privatized in the last decade
17	have either gone into a like a HUD
18	Section 8 regime or gone into an Article 11,
19	a city tax exemption that requires
20	affordability. So we try to make sure that
21	when they exit, so when they privatize, that
22	they are privatizing into another
23	affordability program and not just going to
24	market.

1 ASSEMBLYMAN ORTIZ: So one of my 2 biggest concerns is that people might take 3 advantage of trying to sell these little condos for too much price value. And I hope 4 5 that we can be vigilant to ensure that that doesn't take place, especially that there's a 6 7 lot of working families that live there, there's probably 2,000 families. 8

9 The other question I have is that --10 very quickly -- is I met with the federal monitor at HUD, as well as NYCHA, and I was 11 12 getting a complete layout and update of 13 what's happening. I represent 52 buildings, 14 2900 units in Red Hook, east and west. And 15 I -- my office and myself have been keeping 16 track of all the progress that has been made. That was the reason why we decided to have 17 18 this big meeting.

19I know that we have been very helpful20to fund some of these projects to help NYCHA21to get out of the hole. But with the22circumstances that we continue to have in the23federal government in Washington, there's not24going to be no rescue soon in order to really

bring these NYCHA developments into -- to be
 upgraded.

3 So my question is, what are we doing, what are the agencies trying to do in order 4 5 to ensure -- and I know that our funding, that we might have to work together. I know 6 7 the advocates are asking for \$2 billion, which I support. If that's the case, we 8 will -- should do that. But at the same 9 10 token, what the agency is doing in order to 11 try to sunset or put aside some money to 12 continue to help our developments, the NYCHA 13 developments in New York City, especially --14 specifically in my district.

15 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I would 16 say -- and, you know, you heard me say earlier in the hearing that the \$650 million 17 18 that the Governor put forward in the series 19 of four different budgets is the biggest 20 commitment the state has made to NYCHA in 21 decades. And so we stand by that commitment, 22 understand that the need is great and that there is still a significant amount of 23 24 that 450 million that has to get spent, and

1 additionally the plan for the last

2 \$100 million.

As you said, the federal government has year over year, you know, been reducing the capital operating budgets of housing authorities across the country, and that is of course always of concern to us, as we want to make sure that people can keep their buildings in good repair.

10 So we work closely with the city as it 11 relates to the spending of the \$650 million 12 to make sure that money goes into the 13 buildings.

14 ASSEMBLYMAN ORTIZ: Lastly, you 15 know -- and I have your report right here, 16 the report that was presented by the Governor -- we always have been fighting for 17 18 the Neighborhood Preservation Program and 19 Rural Preservation Program, and it looks like 20 there hasn't been no change for the year 21 ahead. So it's completely flat, \$18.2 million. 22 So these programs are very beneficial 23

for people in my community and the minority

1 community as a whole. So I will recommend 2 that we should rethink whether or not we can 3 allocate other funding to ensure that these programs continue, because they've been very 4 5 successful to help my people. 6 Thank you very much, Commissioner. 7 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. Now 8 you can leave. 9 10 (Laughter.) 11 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Thank you. 12 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very 13 much. 14 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: And we look 15 forward to some of the follow-up information 16 that you're going to be giving us, and we'll share it with all of the members. Thank you. 17 18 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Thank you. 19 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 20 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So now we're 21 going to begin the nongovernmental portion of 22 the hearing. We start with a panel: New York State Association for Affordable 23 24 Housing, Jolie Milstein, and Enterprise

1 Community Partners, Judi Kende and

Lorraine Collins.

2

3 So even though you're a panel, and for future people, Jolie, you have five minutes, 4 5 and Enterprise Community Partners, you have five minutes between the two of you. And 6 7 whoever goes first, if you're both speaking, just remember to leave some time for your 8 colleagues so they're not mad at you on the 9 10 way home. 11 (Laughter.) 12 MS. MILSTEIN: Is this on? CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yes. Yes, it 13 14 should be. 15 MS. MILSTEIN: Thank you for the 16 opportunity to participate in today's hearing regarding the '20-'21 New York State housing 17 budget. My name is Jolie Milstein. I'm the 18 19 president and CEO for New York State's 20 Association for Affordable Housing. NYSAFAH is the trade association for New York's 21 22 affordable housing industry statewide. Its 375 members include for-profit and 23

24 not-for-profit developers, lenders,

investors, attorneys, architects, and others
 active in the financing, construction and
 operation of affordable housing. Together,
 NYSAFAH's members are responsible for most of
 the housing built in New York State with
 federal, state and local subsidies and
 incentives.

8 We'd first like to thank the Senate 9 and Assembly and the Cuomo administration for 10 its collective commitment over the past 11 several years to develop and preserve 12 thousands of units of affordable housing.

13 The five-year Housing Plan, we are entering its fifth year, and we are pleased 14 15 to see that the Governor has proposed funding that fifth year of the '20-'21 Executive 16 17 Budget, and we respectfully request that the 18 Legislature approve this critical funding as part of the enacted budget. This funding is 19 20 essential for a variety of housing programs 21 that we rely on to build and preserve 22 affordable housing and to create communities, 23 including the Middle-Income Housing Program, 24 Rural and Urban Communities Investment Fund,

1	the Low-Income Housing Trust Fund, the Homes
2	for Working Families Program, and many more.
3	We thank you in advance for your support.
4	We also urge you to provide
5	supplemental funding in the '20-'21 budget
6	for needs not addressed in the five-year plan
7	that we previously identified for Governor
8	Cuomo and the Senate and Assembly leadership.
9	As we have progressed in our efforts over the
10	past years, our coalition of housing
11	organizations has identified several key
12	housing-related needs which require immediate
13	funding, such as additional monies for public
14	housing, the Homeless Housing Assistance
15	Program, Home Stability Support, the
16	Affordable Housing Corporation, the Senior
17	Resident Assistant Program, and others.
18	One particular high-need area for
19	funding relates to a proposed Sustainable
20	Affordable Housing Program, which we believe
21	should be administered by HCR in cooperation
22	with NYSERDA. With \$50 million in grants
23	dedicated to creating sustainable affordable
24	housing, New York State can achieve the

complementary goals of reducing greenhouse
 gas emissions and achieving savings from
 burdensome energy costs for low-income
 families.

5 Battling climate change is our most pressing global issue, and New York's 6 7 policies towards that effort have been extraordinary, especially with the enactment 8 into law of the Climate Leadership and 9 10 Community Protection Act, which will develop policies to reduce economy-wide greenhouse 11 12 gas emissions over the next two decades. 13 NYSAFAH believes that affordable housing should be a key contributor to the state's 14 15 efforts since emissions from residential 16 housing will be a significant target for such reductions; there is already a strong 17 18 relationship between affordable housing developers and our government partners; and 19 20 the low-income households we serve are part 21 of the principal focus for financial assistance under the CLCPA. 22 Moreover, sustainable affordable 23

24 housing will reduce energy expenses for

1 low-income families, enabling them to have 2 the resources they need to improve their 3 lives. These are expenses that could be better directed to education, healthcare, 4 5 food, and other necessities. And with some modest additional resources, our developers 6 7 can build more sustainable, affordable 8 housing that benefits our families and our 9 environment.

10 Finally, while providing additional funding to address emerging housing needs is 11 12 imperative, a strong continued public funding commitment remains essential. New York has 13 14 been a leader in financial support for 15 affordable housing, and the Legislature has 16 long championed the housing programs that NYSAFAH and other housing organizations use 17 18 to build and preserve housing for those who 19 need it most.

As I previously noted, New York is entering its final year of the landmark five-year \$2.5 billion Housing Plan. The plan has been a success, and it's enabled us to significantly confront the housing crisis

1 and offered many New Yorkers a better life. 2 Our work is not done, however, as the 3 housing crisis persists. We are therefore asking our partners in New York State 4 5 government to establish a statutory process by which the state will be required to 6 7 prepare and fund a five-year housing plan on an ongoing basis, much as the MTA and other 8 capital programs require with their five-year 9 10 capital plans. 11 A multiyear commitment is necessary to 12 continue the pipeline of affordable housing 13 projects, which often take two to three or 14 more years in planning and approvals. 15 Without the assurance of an uninterrupted 16 multiyear plan and commitment by the state, we will have fewer housing projects in the 17 18 planning and development stages and a 19 resulting loss of momentum in the progress we have achieved to date. 20 Accordingly, we urge you to work with 21 the Cuomo administration to include a 22 statutory five-year funding process in the 23

24 2020-2021 enacted budget.

1	Thank you again for the opportunity to
2	testify and for your consideration of our
3	budget requests. I welcome any questions.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: All right, very
5	good timing.
6	Assemblymember Cymbrowitz.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Thank you,
8	Jolie
9	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Wait, have you
10	gone? Were we supposed to let you go
11	separately and then
12	MS. MILSTEIN: I don't know how this
13	works. It's a panel. Do we all testify?
14	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Oh, I'm sorry, I
15	jumped the gun.
16	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: You have five
17	minutes to share. Remember my warning.
18	(Laughter.)
19	MS. KENDE: We'll be quick.
20	Thank you. Good afternoon. My name
21	is Judi Kende, and I am the vice president
22	and New York market leader for Enterprise
23	Community Partners. I'm fortunate to be
24	joined by my colleague Lorraine Collins, who

leads our public policy and external affairs.
 For those who don't know Enterprise, we are a
 mission-driven nonprofit dedicated to finding
 solutions for affordable housing and
 investing capital in it.

On behalf of Enterprise, thank you, 6 7 Chair Cymbrowitz, thank you, Chair Kavanagh, thank you, Chair Krueger, and thank you, 8 Chair Weinstein, for the opportunity to speak 9 10 with you this afternoon. We are pleased to be joined by so many housing providers, 11 12 advocates and community development groups 13 echoing similar comments in our field.

14 First I'd like to talk to you about 15 the current five-year Housing Plan. We 16 applaud the Governor's commitment to fully fund the final year of the state's five-year 17 18 Housing Plan. We join a coalition of affordable housing advocates to call for 19 20 funding to supplement the final year of the 21 Housing Plan.

22 While we are pleased to see that 23 funding for the Homeless Housing and 24 Assistance Program was doubled from last

1 year's amount, we need to see similar funding 2 increases for other programs related to 3 public housing, supportive housing, senior housing and affordable homeownership. 4 5 Regarding the new five-year Housing Plan, the continuous availability of 6 7 affordable housing financing resources is 8 necessary for affordable housing developers to maintain a pipeline of sites and 9 10 buildings. We call on the state to adopt a new five-year Housing Plan beginning in 11 12 fiscal year 2021-2022. The state should also enact a 13 14 requirement that a statewide affordable 15 housing plan be developed and funded at least 16 every five years to ensure continuous funding for affordable housing long-term. 17 18 MS. COLLINS: And thanks to your 19 leadership, namely Assemblyman Mosley, last 20 year New York added non-wage income to the 21 state's protected class list outlawing source of income discrimination. As a co-lead of 22 the Statewide Source of Income Coalition --23 24 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: If you wouldn't

1 mind getting near the mic.

2 MS. COLLINS: Sorry. As a co-lead of 3 the Statewide Source of Income Coalition, we are asking for \$5 million to be allocated 4 5 each year in perpetuity for enforcement and education efforts to fund the New York State 6 7 Division of Human Rights, six full-service fair housing groups, and local 8 community-based organizations across the 9 10 state. 11 For the past year, Enterprise has been 12 working with fellow advocates to respond to 13 prevailing wage legislation and strike the 14 balance between the state's desperate need 15 for new affordable housing and economic 16 well-being and mobility for workers in our industry. Enterprise looks forward to 17 18 continuing conversations with legislators 19 this session, particularly regarding racial 20 and gender equity concerns about prevailing wage legislation, as well as the need for a 21 22 living wage. In 2017, Enterprise co-convened a 23 24 Regional Affordable and Fair Housing

1 Roundtable, in partnership with the Fair 2 Housing Justice Center. The roundtable has developed a set of policy priorities for 2020 3 4 related to strengthening tenant protections, 5 overcoming land-use barriers, and maximizing density. We hope to have an opportunity to 6 7 work with the Legislature this session on these priorities. 8

9 MS. KENDE: In closing, we would like 10 to thank you again for the opportunity to 11 testify here today and for your continued 12 leadership to address housing issues in 13 New York. We are happy to answer any 14 questions, and we look forward to working 15 with you this coming session.

16 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Now Assemblyman 17 Cymbrowitz.

18 ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Thank you19 very much, everyone.

I wanted first to ask all three of you, last year or the year before we were able to accomplish something regarding the bifurcation of tax credits, and I wanted to know how that has worked out. Have more

1 projects been built? And what effect will 2 that have for affordable housing in the future? 3 MS. MILSTEIN: I'll take this one. 4 5 The bifurcation certification of the state tax credit has been in place I quess 6 7 it's 18 months now. It's been slow to 8 participation, but the places -- I believe 9 there are several syndicators that have used 10 this certification bifurcation process, which has resulted in an increase in the tax credit 11 monies that were raised, as we had hoped that 12 it would. 13 I think we're hoping that now that we 14 15 have some successes demonstrating that 16 increased raise, we'll have other syndicators 17 able to market more broadly to a diversity of 18 investors, as planned. 19 We're still struggling with the 20 non-transferability. There's only a single transfer allowed in the bifurcation 21 22 certification process. Other states that 23 started with a single transfer have 24 subsequently moved to additional transfers

1 allowed within the structure, which has made 2 it a much more popular option. But we're 3 continuing to track this year. 4 ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Is there 5 something that we can do legislatively to make it easier to use? 6 7 MS. MILSTEIN: I believe there is. Let me get back to you on that. 8 9 ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Okay. 10 MS. MILSTEIN: With a proposal. In 11 writing. 12 ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: The 13 \$2.5 billion that we're now winding our way 14 through -- I happen to agree with you that we 15 need another five-year plan. What do you see 16 as the most important housing programs that we need to put in there? Last time half of 17 18 it was used for supportive housing, less for other programs. Do you see that that formula 19 20 needs to change, or keep it the way it was? 21 MS. KENDE: So I think that we 22 continue to see the same -- so supportive housing is a critical priority, and we'd like 23 24 to see funding for that increase. We would

1 also like to see a continued allocation of 2 funding across a variety of things from --3 and some new things. Homeownership is very 4 important, senior housing, public housing are 5 key priorities for us. ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: You've been 6 7 very vocal about the need for the state to give more public housing -- dollars for 8 9 particularly NYCHA. Could you talk about 10 that a little bit? 11 MS. KENDE: Yeah, the number that the 12 group that we work with together is putting forward is a billion dollars per year. We 13 14 think that that's vital. Right now the 15 deficit or the capital backlog is 16 \$40 billion. So it's going to take a lot to catch up on that. 17 18 MS. COLLINS: (Inaudible.) 19 ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: And as far as 20 operating funds --21 MS. KENDE: What were you going to 22 say? 23 MS. COLLINS: I was just going to add 24 just as an upstater, in addition to the

1	\$1 billion, a \$50 million ask for the upstate
2	PHAs, just in collaboration with the New York
3	State Public Housing Directors Association.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: And do you
5	also think that there should be operating
6	a recurring stream for operating?
7	MS. COLLINS: Mm-hmm.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: For downstate
9	and upstate public housing.
10	MS. COLLINS: Yes.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: And have you
12	thought about how to do that?
13	MS. COLLINS: We have not had specific
14	conversations. I know we're waiting to meet
15	with the chair of NYCHA to have a
16	conversation as a coalition to talk through
17	exactly what those needs itemize what that
18	would look like.
19	And I think the same could be said for
20	NYSPHDA, because we have many different PHAs
21	upstate so those representing cities such
22	as the City of Rochester and then smaller
23	communities and just making sure that
24	we're speaking with one voice.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Thank you
2	very much.
3	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
4	Housing Chair Brian Kavanagh.
5	SENATOR KAVANAGH: Is this working?
6	Okay, great. Thank you.
7	Thank you all for your testimony.
8	And, you know, we've had a lot of opportunity
9	over the last year to talk about a lot of
10	these issues, and we appreciate all of your
11	work and all of your advocacy.
12	I'd just like to start you know,
13	I've had the opportunity to speak with both
14	of your organizations about this question of
15	whether this five-year plan should be more
16	formally a statutory requirement. And I'm
17	glad yeah, I think as you know, we will be
18	introducing a bill very soon to that effect.
19	Can you talk a little bit more
20	about I mean, I think some people would
21	say, you know, money is money and you put
22	plans out as you go and you authorize the
23	money each year in the budget. Can you just
24	talk a little bit more about the value of

1 making that a more kind of structured, 2 routine requirement going forward? 3 MS. MILSTEIN: Well, as a former developer of affordable housing for the AIDS 4 5 homeless in New York City, I can speak to personal experience that knowing that there 6 7 is a pipeline of money for years forward, the planning and predevelopment cycle for these 8 projects that are very complicated, and often 9 10 involve brownfield remediation and other externalities you don't control, is several 11 12 years. 13 And if you're looking to purchase a piece of property in the fifth year of a 14 15 five-year plan -- and there's no 16 forward-looking commitment to that pipeline continuing -- you're in a lot of trouble. 17 18 You can't really make that commitment, and

19 financial backers aren't interested in 20 supporting you in that process.

21 So I'd say it's vitally important from 22 the developer point of view, and I think from 23 communities' points of view, so that they can 24 anticipate a planning process that makes 1 sense.

2	MS. KENDE: We would reiterate that.
3	As an investor, it's critical to know that
4	the resources will be there. And when we've
5	seen delays, there's also been delays in the
6	pipeline.
7	SENATOR KAVANAGH: So it's fair to
8	I mean, we have this \$20 billion number
9	that's been out there for a bit, and some of
10	it is over this five-year period, some of it
11	extends beyond that.
12	But from your perspective, a bit more
13	certainty and a bit more formality about how
14	that will roll out in the years beyond that
15	initial five would be useful in ensuring that
16	the money is well-spent and that developers
17	can plan to use it effectively? Great.
18	Is and I just and just that
19	applies I think there was some questions
20	from colleagues before about that issue of
21	predictability with respect to supportive
22	housing, because supportive housing projects
23	need to put services in place and involve
24	other agencies and ongoing operating support

1 as well that may not be coming from the 2 capital budget. 3 But just to be clear, you -- your organizations believe that that logic or some 4 5 version of that logic applies to really across the capital plan, not just for 6 7 supportive housing projects but for all elements of it? 8 9 MS. MILSTEIN: Absolutely yes. 10 In fact -- yes, absolutely. Preservation and new construction. All of 11 12 these very complicated financial transactions 13 require a very long predevelopment planning 14 cycle, with a lot of moving parts and many, 15 many players. So --16 MS. KENDE: Yes, as much the services, it's also in parallel about the 17 18 predevelopment period, which can be one, 19 two-plus years, acquiring -- you know, 20 acquiring the land, knowing when you're going 21 to get the financing to actually start 22 construction or -- so it's vitally important. SENATOR KAVANAGH: Great. I quess 23 24 this one's particularly for Enterprise, with

- 1 respect to the source of income

2	discrimination funding that you're proposing.
3	You know, it's so you described it briefly
4	in your testimony, but if you can just talk a
5	little bit more about why you think that's a
6	necessary program for us this coming year.
7	MS. COLLINS: I'd say just you
8	know, we all know that, you know, laws are
9	only as good as they are enforced. And what
10	we've seen with source of income,
11	particularly in those communities across the
12	state that have had laws on the books for
13	some time, New York City being one of those,
14	where, you know, they're still with a lack
15	of enforcement, you still see rampant
16	discrimination.
17	And so given that the state really not
18	in recent history has made a significant
19	investment in housing discrimination, and
20	with the new legislation, I'd say this would
21	be a good complement to make sure that both
22	tenants are aware of their rights and know
23	where to go to if they feel that they've been
24	discriminated against, and also use it as an

1 opportunity to inform property owners of 2 their responsibilities. 3 I also just add with the news of your recent legislation regarding vouchers, I 4 5 think it's even all the more important that we have enforcement dollars behind, so that 6 7 individuals are not being discriminated against when they have a voucher. 8 9 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Great. Thank you. 10 And since that bill was introduced 11 yesterday, I was not going to ask you all 12 your opinion of it, but I appreciate your 13 mentioning it. 14 And just quickly, we've done a lot of 15 work on fair housing in the housing sale 16 market, particularly in response to some events in Long Island. But you believe 17 18 there's a broader question of discrimination 19 going on in the rental market in New York 20 State as well. 21 MS. COLLINS: Yes. I'd say statewide, 22 both for homeowners as well as renters. SENATOR KAVANAGH: Great. Thank you 23 24 so much.

1 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 2 Assembly. 3 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman Epstein. 4 5 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: I want to thank you all for coming and testifying today. I 6 7 wanted to talk to you a little bit about financing mechanisms and revenue sources. 8 So I wanted to figure out how often, 9 10 in the affordable housing context, you guys are using private equity money and what's 11 12 your mezzanine debt to help finance projects, 13 and whether you believe that, seeing how 14 private equity money or mezzanine debt isn't 15 treated the same as traditional mortgage 16 debt, there's not a mortgage recording tax, there aren't other -- you know, there aren't 17 18 the same costs associated with them --19 whether you feel like there should be more 20 oversight and regulation on mezzanine debt 21 and whether those funds then could be used 22 for affordable housing projects. MS. KENDE: It's something that we 23

would want to come back to you on. We don't

24

1 provide any conventional equity in New York. 2 We're a low-income housing tax credit 3 syndicator. We do have conventional equity in other markets, but it's not kind of I 4 5 think what you're thinking of, of coming from the real kind of for-profit private equity 6 7 sector. MS. MILSTEIN: Those are mostly 8 mixed-income projects, and often they're 9 10 market-driven, whatever affordable -- what's 421-a called now? Affordable New York? 11 12 There are non-subsidy tax abatement programs 13 that may attract those investors. That's not 14 typically what we're involved with. ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: So if there was 15 16 more oversight or more requirements under 17 private equity financing, it wouldn't really 18 have any impact on the product you're 19 financing, it would just really impact the 20 private market, is that right? 21 MS. MILSTEIN: As far as I know. MS. KENDE: Yeah. 22 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: That's great. 23

24 That's good to hear.

1 Just on source of income 2 discrimination, I want to support what 3 Senator Kavanagh said about, you know, the importance of having oversight, ensuring 4 5 that. We see discrimination, housing discrimination happening all over our city 6 7 and state. Do you feel like \$5 million was the right number, and why you chose that 8 number, and whether you think that will have 9 10 enough statewide impact around source of income discrimination that we're seeing. 11 12 MS. COLLINS: So I'd say -- first I'd like to note that the \$5 million isn't a 13 14 one-time ask, it's something that we would 15 like to see as a budget line item. 16 We came up with that number --3 million of those dollars would be directed 17 18 to DHR. And that was going back to the 2010 19 veto of Governor Paterson of the SOI 20 legislation. At that time he noted that it 21 was -- would take approximately \$2.7 million 22 for DHR to effectively enforce the new law. And so we just rounded that up to 3 million. 23 24 So it is very much a baseline.

1	As far as the other components,
2	1.2 million we have set aside for the six
3	full-service fair housing groups. That was
4	based on itemized budgets that they provided
5	to Enterprise in terms of what would it take
6	or the cost over a year for fair housing
7	testing, for staff resources and other
8	operating resources.
9	And then the balance of \$800,000 would
10	be used for organizations that aren't fair
11	housing groups but would serve the fair
12	housing desert so where there's no local
13	organization.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Thanks.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. I
16	believe that's all the questions
17	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Oh, I'm sorry,
18	no. Excuse me.
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Sorry.
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Salazar.
21	(Mic problems.)
22	MS. MILSTEIN: Well, we can hear you.
23	MS. KENDE: We can hear you.
24	SENATOR SALAZAR: Okay, I'll just

1 speak loudly.

2 So Assemblyman Epstein sort of alluded 3 to the question that I wanted to ask, because he's the lead sponsor of the expanded 4 5 mortgage recording tax bill, or mezzanine debt bill, that I am the lead sponsor of in 6 7 the Senate. I basically just wanted to get your 8 opinion. Some in the real estate allege that 9 10 it is going to have an adverse effect or 11 opposite from our intent in introducing the 12 bill, that it would not only tax these 13 currently untaxed assets and make sure that multi-millionaire investors will be paying 14 15 their fair share of taxes but that it would 16 raise the cost of financing across the board. Have any of you -- are you familiar 17 18 with the bill that Assemblymember Epstein and 19 myself introduced? If not, I won't take up 20 time discussing it. 21 MS. KENDE: It hasn't been a conversation in our -- in the affordable 22 housing sector. And so I'll definitely go 23 24 back and talk to my finance team and see if

1	they think it would have any impact, and
2	we'll take a look and get back to you. But
3	it's not something that's coming up
4	MS. MILSTEIN: No. I'm aware of the
5	bill; I haven't reviewed it. But we'll
6	collegially review it and get back to you
7	with an opinion from our
8	SENATOR SALAZAR: Thank you.
9	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
11	Next we have put together a panel,
12	New York Housing Conference, Rachel Fee, and
13	Supportive Housing Network of New York,
14	Laura Mascuch and Maclain Berhaupt.
15	So one of you is
16	MS. MASCUCH: I'm Laura Mascuch from
17	the Supportive Housing Network of New York.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: And
19	MS. FEE: Rachel Fee, New York Housing
20	Conference.
21	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So just the
22	two.
23	MS. MASCUCH: Just two of us.
24	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So there's five

1	minutes for each of you, and then if there
2	are any questions. Thank you.
3	MS. MASCUCH: Terrific. Thank you,
4	Chairs Krueger and Weinstein and Housing
5	Chairs Kavanagh and Cymbrowitz, for having me
6	testify today.
7	My name is Laura Mascuch. I'm the
8	executive director of the Supportive Housing
9	Network of New York, a membership
10	organization of over 200 nonprofits that own
11	and operate 52,000 units of supportive
12	housing statewide.
13	As you know, supportive housing
14	represents a critical tool to ending chronic
14 15	represents a critical tool to ending chronic homelessness, offering permanent housing
15	homelessness, offering permanent housing
15 16	homelessness, offering permanent housing solutions with wraparound social services for
15 16 17	homelessness, offering permanent housing solutions with wraparound social services for homeless individuals and families with
15 16 17 18	homelessness, offering permanent housing solutions with wraparound social services for homeless individuals and families with special needs. As you know, we continue to
15 16 17 18 19	homelessness, offering permanent housing solutions with wraparound social services for homeless individuals and families with special needs. As you know, we continue to experience the worst crisis in homelessness
15 16 17 18 19 20	homelessness, offering permanent housing solutions with wraparound social services for homeless individuals and families with special needs. As you know, we continue to experience the worst crisis in homelessness the State of New York has seen. Across the
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	homelessness, offering permanent housing solutions with wraparound social services for homeless individuals and families with special needs. As you know, we continue to experience the worst crisis in homelessness the State of New York has seen. Across the state there are over 92,000 people, including

1 Housing Assistance Program in the

Executive Budget from \$64 million to \$128 million. To date it has helped create over 16,000 units of supportive housing throughout the state.

But that commitment alone will not 6 7 solve this problem. Almost five years ago, the Governor and the Legislature recognized 8 9 the need for a long-term plan to tackle this 10 crisis and enacted a five-year affordable housing and homelessness plan which included 11 12 funding 6,000 units of supportive housing. 13 The funding commitment was Phase I of the 14 Governor's commitment to develop 20,000 units 15 of supportive housing over the next 15 years. 16 We applauded this long-term commitment then and continue to today. 17

But in fact we strongly encourage the state to continue this long-term-planning approach and enact a requirement that a statewide affordable and supportive housing plan be developed and funded every five years.

24 Having a long-term commitment, as you

heard from my colleagues, for capital,
 services, and operating funding for
 supportive housing in particular is critical
 to attracting investors and developers. It
 diminishes risk by setting a long-term target
 to fund a specific number of units, thereby
 boosting investor confidence.

8 Four years into the five-year commitment, the state has made significant 9 10 progress, funding approximately 4,500 permanent ESSHI awards. There are another 11 12 163 projects encompassing 5,400 units in the pipeline that have received conditional 13 14 awards, which are currently working on 15 securing state and local capital needed to 16 progress forward.

17 As we enter the fifth year of the 18 Empire State Supportive Housing Initiative, 19 there is currently no funding commitment in 20 the Executive Budget that indicates the 21 program will continue to fund the remaining 14,000 units. Without a commitment in this 22 year's budget, there will be another halt in 23 24 production like the one that took place

1 between NY/NY III and ESSHI due to the 2 absence of this commitment. Nonprofits and 3 developers will stop buying sites, and many investors and syndicators will be unwilling 4 5 to invest without concrete assurances that the program will continue. 6 7 I draw your attention to the two graphs on the next page which show the NY/NY 8 III trajectory coming down in development 9 10 units and the ESSHI trajectory going up. We in no way want to see that taking a downward 11 12 trend. It is critical that the state 13 14 2020-2021 enacted budget authorize a

continuation of the program by statutorily
committing funding for the next five years,
beginning in state fiscal year 2021-2022.
This will signal to investors, developers,
syndicators and service providers that the
commitment is real and the state will finance
the remaining 14,000 units.

ESSHI has been a successful supportive
housing program because of the long-term
funding outlined in the state budget. A

1 commitment in this year's budget will prevent 2 history from repeating itself and ensure ESSHI's continued success. 3 Thank you for this opportunity to 4 5 testify. MS. FEE: Good afternoon. Thank you 6 7 for the opportunity to testify. My name is Rachel Fee. I'm executive 8 director of the New York Housing Conference. 9 10 We're an affordable housing policy and advocacy organization, and our mission is to 11 12 support the development and preservation of 13 decent and affordable housing for all New 14 Yorkers. 15 I, in the interests of time, don't 16 want to repeat everything that has been said already; we are working in a coalition. So 17 18 I'll just run through my points. 19 The first one would be that we would, 20 like the Supportive Housing Network just mentioned, the authorization of ESSHI to 21 continue. This is the cornerstone of new 22 construction in the statewide affordable 23 24 housing plan, and we think it's really

1 important that investors have the confidence 2 that this program will continue. And that's 3 also critical to uphold the Governor's commitment to a longer-term supportive 4 5 housing plan. We also are seeking to codify a 6 7 five-year housing plan requirement. We think that as -- in New York State we have an 8 affordable housing crisis, and ensuring that 9 10 there will be capital to address this crisis 11 in a multiyear fashion is important. 12 We also urge you to support the 13 preservation of public housing with a billion 14 dollars for NYCHA and \$50 million for the 15 rest of the state. Public housing is a 16 critical affordable housing resource, and if we lose that housing stock to deterioration, 17 18 we are going to be in a worst crisis. 19 You know that New Yorkers across the 20 state are struggling to afford rents. We've 21 heard many statistics today: 900,000 22 households pay half of their income towards housing, one in 10 New York City public 23 24 schoolchildren will experience homelessness

during the year, and tonight more than 92,000
 New Yorkers will either sleep in shelters or
 on the streets across the state.

The solutions to the homelessness 4 5 crisis in New York are not new ideas. It's more affordable housing, including more 6 7 supportive housing, and it's rental 8 assistance. So we're supportive of the 9 increase in the Governor's budget for the 10 Homeless Housing Assistance Program. We also 11 would advocate for Home Stability Support, 12 and we're also supportive of Senate Bill 13 7628, recently introduced. Rental assistance 14 is really critical to keeping people stably 15 housed.

16 We're also supportive of affordable homeownership. We've heard about this in 17 18 many of the questions today directed towards 19 the Housing commissioner. We all know that 20 owning a home can increase a family's 21 financial security, and it should really be a 22 priority and part of the housing plan. So we are advocating for funding for HOPP, and also 23 24 to increase the funding for the Affordable

1 Housing Corporation.

2	And some of our colleagues from
3	Habitat New York City and the Center for
4	New York City Neighborhoods will talk about
5	those programs in more detail.
6	We're also very keen to see some money
7	allocated to enforce the source-of-income
8	protection law that was newly adopted last
9	year. You heard Enterprise talk about the
10	importance of this program. I just
11	underscore the need for enforcement across
12	the state.
13	I would also like to just point out
14	that we are not preparing for our aging
15	population. We do have money for senior
16	funding in the existing Housing Plan, but
17	what we really want to see is affordable
18	senior housing being produced and being
19	paired with a resident service coordinator,
20	really embracing the 202 model that has been
21	a successful example established by the
22	federal government.
23	We also think, as NYSAFAH mentioned
24	earlier, that a new sustainable housing

1 program is needed to ensure that our 2 affordable housing is meeting sustainability 3 requirements. 4 So again, as you heard, we're working 5 in a coalition with 14 organizations on our top priorities for FY 2020-2021. We've also 6 7 given consideration to the important role that New York State has to play in addressing 8 our public housing crisis, homelessness, and 9 10 affordable housing across the state. And we do have a set of recommendations for what a 11 12 five-year housing plan should look like moving forward. 13 14 Thank you. 15 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 16 We go to Assemblyman Cymbrowitz. ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Thank you, 17 Laura and Rachel. 18 19 Laura, how many supportive housing 20 units have been created and move-in ready as 21 a result of the five-year Housing Plan? MS. MASCUCH: So the commissioner 22 testified that there's 4500 actually 23 24 permanent awards that have been made through

1 ESSHI.

2	
2	ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Is that an
3	accurate number?
4	MS. MASCUCH: Yes. Yes.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Okay. And
6	how many projects are shovel-ready but
7	waiting for funding release?
8	MS. MASCUCH: Well, we have another
9	5,400 with conditional awards. So those
10	awards are one year in nature, and then
11	people will reapply if they don't have their
12	capital stack ready to go. So when the
13	budget closes, the ESSHI RFP for the final
14	round will be issued, and then folks will
15	reapply. That will be the last opportunity
16	to gain supportive housing conditional awards
17	and find capital funding.
18	So we think the demand is there to
19	easily, you know, finish the 1500 units and
20	go beyond. And you and I have often talked
21	about the pace of development, and we're at a
22	very good pace right now. And so we don't
23	want to see the whole effort drop off, you
24	know, the side of a cliff because we don't

1 have Year 6 lined up.

2 ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Are 3 supportive housing projects that have been 4 completed filled to capacity? MS. MASCUCH: Yes. Yes. They're 5 rented up in very expeditious fashion because 6 7 people need to meet their low-income housing tax credit deadlines, and the referrals have 8 been flowing. We have actually five people 9 10 waiting for every one unit. 11 ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: In Rachel's 12 \$2.5 billion next five-year plan, do you both think that another billion should go towards 13 14 supportive housing or we should mix it up 15 more? Anyone want to touch that? 16 MS. MASCUCH: So I think there's tremendous needs. I think that, you know, we 17 18 all speak to the need for affordable housing 19 because we have such a homelessness crisis, 20 with 90,000 people homeless. Not all of 21 those people actually need supportive 22 housing, it's for a very specific subset. But we currently have a lot of people 23 24 who are chronically homeless that have been

homeless for over 400 days, and for those 1 2 individuals and families, supportive housing 3 is often the way that they exit homelessness. ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Okay. Thank 4 5 you very much. CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 6 7 Housing Chair Kavanagh. SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thank you. 8 9 We had the opportunity to speak about 10 the issue of having continuity and, you know, 11 appreciate the concerns expressed by 12 Assemblymember Cymbrowitz on that. 13 A couple of questions. Rachel, in 14 your testimony you talk about recommending a 15 billion-dollar investment for NYCHA. Can you talk about the -- is that something you would 16 hope to see kind of -- is that a five-year 17 18 number? Is that something that you think we 19 should be looking to do, kind of infuse 20 immediately in the budget? 21 MS. FEE: I think the ideal would be 22 that the city and state have a long-term funding plan and that they're both pitching 23 24 in to really fill in the gap from where the

federal government has left NYCHA high and
 dry.

3 So I think the city and state both have a role to play. The billion-dollar 4 5 number is for this year, and we think it should be a multiyear commitment. 6 The 7 capital needs seem to be growing. You know, you asked earlier about 8 should, you know, NYCHA also receive 9 10 operating funding. I think they're going through a plan right now to, you know, figure 11 12 out better operations and management as part 13 of their settlement agreement and working 14 with the monitor. So I would hold off on 15 that. But the clear -- there's clearly a need for capital, and it can be deployed 16 17 right away.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: I appreciate that.
And, you know, just for comparison,
it's been about \$650 million that's been
allocated over the last five years or so. So
that would be a great infusion. And I agree,
we need to be looking at much larger numbers
than we have seen in the past.

1 Your -- you deal with a variety of 2 these development programs. I want to focus 3 particularly on how a voucher, a rent subsidy 4 program -- which you testify that you support 5 Home Stability Support, which has been around for a while. And I also have been a big 6 7 supporter of that, and our new thing, which is, as you mentioned, S7628, which are 8 housing access vouchers. I think my staff 9 10 told me I had to say that at least three times during the hearing so we can get the 11 12 name of that program out there. 13 But can you just talk about the value 14 of having kind of direct rent subsidies as 15 well as kind of these longer-term development programs, how they -- sort of how they might 16 complement each other, and why your 17 18 organization supports both of those

19 approaches?

20 MS. FEE: So we need to increase the 21 supply of affordable housing, and that's what 22 the capital program does, to provide new 23 construction of supportive housing, new 24 construction of affordable, and preservation

1 of existing affordable housing.

2 But we're not going to be able to 3 build new affordable housing to meet demand. And people cannot afford market rents right 4 5 now. So Home Stability Support or a voucher program would fill that gap between what 6 7 someone can afford in rents and what the market rents are. So it's really allowing 8 9 people to avoid shelters, especially if it's 10 targeted towards homeless prevention. It's allowing them to access housing in the 11 12 market. 13 And again, as Lorraine Collins had

14 mentioned, that also makes pairing it with 15 enforcement funding across the state even 16 more important.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: So it's fair to say 17 18 that to the extent we're viewing homelessness 19 as both a long-term challenge and an emergency, if we want to make kind of an 20 21 immediate impact, a voucher program is more 22 suitable for that, and then, longer term, we 23 want to develop capital so maybe we're not 24 facing such a crisis going forward?

1 MS. FEE: I think you need to pursue 2 both strategies. I mean, in New York City 3 alone we have 60,000 people in the shelter 4 system. You know, they are living in hotels 5 and places not suitable for families. If there was more access to vouchers that could 6 7 provide access to housing in the private market, that's going to be part of the 8 solution. 9 10 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Okay, and just -- I have one minute left, but a question for 11 12 Laura. To the extent that -- you know, we talked about 20,000 units over 15 years. 13 14 We've been doing about 1200 a year, which is 15 6,000 over five years, so that's a little 16 slower than a pace that gets you to 20,000 over 15 years. 17 18 Is there the capacity in the communities to develop units at a higher rate 19 if we wanted to go to 1400 units a year 20 21 rather than 1200 units a year? Is there the 22 capacity out there to develop those, to provide the services for those? 23 24 MS. MASCUCH: Yes, so we would think

1 in the next five years we could do another 2 7,000 units. And that the capacity is there, 3 because now it's really ramped up due to the 4 6,000. And so we think it's achievable. 5 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Great. Thank you. I'll leave it there. Thank you so much. 6 7 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman 8 Epstein. ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Thank you for 9 10 your patience and being with us today. 11 I really just want to applaud your --12 some of your testimony around NYCHA. Clearly a real serious investment in NYCHA is a 13 14 critical idea. 15 I'm just wondering what you thought 16 about more publicly owned land, like a new NYCHA, a new public housing across the state, 17 18 where, you know, obviously we're talking 19 about a housing crisis here and we're talking 20 about having amazing nonprofit partners and 21 seeking that really deep affordable, deep 22 investment in public housing. Whether that's NYCHA-like or Mitchell-Lama-like, you know, 23 24 I'm wondering about thinking big as we move

1 forward on a housing plan.

2 MS. FEE: So I think the first 3 priority should be investing in NYCHA and making sure that every unit there is up to 4 5 code and each resident has safe and decent living conditions. 6 7 In terms of producing more affordable housing, that's definitely a priority. What 8 that model looks like -- I mean, there's lots 9 10 of options. Right now we're using federal low-income housing tax credits, and that's 11 12 really what is driving production of new affordable housing. And in that model, 13 14 you're having, you know, public investment 15 and private partners. 16 I think what you're getting at is more continued public ownership. I mean, the 17 18 funding would have to be there on the capital 19 side, on the operating side, in a model 20 that's going to be sustainable or else we end 21 up in the same situation. 22 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: So I agree with 23 the concerns you have around the crumbling 24 infrastructure we have in NYCHA, and knowing

1 that we have a \$40 billion capital hole.

2 And so are you suggesting that the 3 state -- you know, there's a billion-dollar investment in NYCHA or an ongoing investment 4 5 in NYCHA, or are you thinking that we give them resources so they can bond-finance the 6 7 capital work now? I'm wondering, you know, if you feel like this is something that's 8 short term or you think it's more a 9 10 long-term, deeper commitment to NYCHA. MS. FEE: I think -- I think you need 11 12 multiple strategies, that the problem is so 13 big and the funding gap is so large. So I 14 think we need the state to invest to preserve 15 the public housing that we have. 16 Right now, you know, for NYCHA the city has a plan to convert 62,000 units in 17 18 the public/private partnership model. So, 19 you know, that's a separate need. I think 20 all of these strategies are needed if we're 21 going to make those capital repairs over the 22 next decade or so. 23 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Thank you. 24 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.

1 Thank you for being here --

24

2 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: We have one more, 3 sorry. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Oh, I'm sorry. 4 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Robert 5 Jackson. 6 7 SENATOR JACKSON: So good afternoon. Let me thank you for coming in, and thank you 8 for the advocacy that you do on behalf of all 9 10 of the residents that you represent and all those that are in need of a permanent home. 11 12 Thank you. And understanding that -- I was 13 14 reading on page 2 of Rachel's testimony. The 15 gap between income and rent is the primary 16 cause of high rent burdens, but access to housing is an ongoing issue. 17 18 In your opinion, what are the primary 19 purposes of why people -- the gap is there 20 between income and rent? Is it because of 21 education, is it because the fact that so 22 many people are, you know, working two or three jobs trying to make ends meet? I mean, 23

what are the reasons why there's such a high

1 burden between income and rent, in your 2 opinion? I mean, you're experts in the field and I'm not. Really. And let's talk 3 truthfully. 4 5 MS. FEE: So wages have stagnated --SENATOR JACKSON: Wages have what? 6 7 MS. FEE: Wages have stagnated, right? They've stayed relatively the same. And then 8 you have demand -- more demand for housing 9 10 than you have supply, especially in New York City. So the rents just keep going up. And 11 12 those two things have clashed. 13 So, you know, certainly there could be 14 solutions that also boost people's incomes so 15 they can afford more, but we still need 16 access to affordable housing, that the gap is 17 so large. SENATOR JACKSON: So in essence 18 19 there's not enough affordable housing to meet the needs, is that correct? 20 21 MS. FEE: That's correct. 22 SENATOR JACKSON: That's why in your 23 testimony you say that we need to renew that 24 five-year plan so that investors will have a

1 signal that they can continue to look forward 2 of investing into affordable housing, is that 3 correct? MS. FEE: Absolutely. 4 5 SENATOR JACKSON: Were you here when I asked the HCR commissioner about when 6 7 organizations or landlords are found to discriminate -- found to discriminate, not 8 allegations -- do you think there should be a 9 10 permanent list of landlords and have it public on their website of landlords that 11 12 have discriminated, whether for income or any other reason, against tenants? 13 14 MS. FEE: Well, I think if we were 15 funding enforcement, we would know who's being charged with this, right? A lot of 16 this happens now discreetly and people aren't 17 18 being brought to court over it. So I think if we had funding, 19 20 especially around source-of-income discrimination, we would know more about 21 22 what's happening. And that funding could go both to Human Rights and to the groups -- the 23 24 fair housing organizations on the ground.

1 SENATOR JACKSON: Okay. But CBOs and 2 nonprofits being aware is one thing -- and 3 publicizing so everyone knows what owners 4 have discriminated. That's what I'm asking, 5 whether or not that is appropriate or not. MS. FEE: Well, I think something like 6 7 the Worst Landlords list has certainly been a deterrent. And you've seen, I think, the 8 Public Advocate keeps that kind of list in 9 10 New York. I don't really know, you know, how you 11 would collect information on discrimination 12 outside of courts. But I understand what 13 14 you're getting at in terms of the need for 15 information. 16 SENATOR JACKSON: Thank you, ladies. 17 Thank you, Madam Chairs. 18 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 19 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 20 Thank you for being here. 21 Next we have a panel we've put 22 together of Neighborhood Preservation Coalition of New York State, Mark Streb; 23 24 New York State Rural Advocates, Blair

1	Sebastian; and New York State Rural Housing
2	Coalition, Jeff Keller.
3	It's possible that Colin McKnight is
4	here and hasn't checked in.
5	MR. McKNIGHT: I'm here.
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Okay.
7	So Neighborhood Preservation Coalition
8	gets five minutes, New York State Rural
9	Advocates five minutes, and New York State
10	Rural Housing Coalition, if you're both
11	speaking, you share the five minutes.
12	MR. STREB: Good afternoon. My name
13	is Mark Streb, and I'm the executive director
14	of the Neighborhood Preservation Coalition.
15	I'd like to thank the members of the
16	committee for inviting me to testify about
17	the important value that the Neighborhood
18	Preservation Companies provide.
19	The Neighborhood Preservation Program
20	consists of more than 135 local
21	boots-on-the-ground, grassroots companies
22	working every day to help make consistent,
23	safe and affordable housing a reality for
24	low-to-moderate-income residents.

1 The Neighborhood Preservation Program 2 was created by the Legislature, in the 3 forward-thinking vision of the late Assemblyman Denny Farrell, to give grants to 4 5 community organizations in order to help them do their vital work. Yesterday would have 6 7 been Assemblyman Farrell's 88th birthday, and I'm sure he's looking down in support of us 8 as we fight to continue and grow this 9 10 program. The coalition was formed to provide 11 12 technical assistance to the NPCs in 13 completing grant applications, training on 14 subjects relevant to the services they 15 provide, and to give the NPCs a chance to 16 speak with a unified voice when advocating 17 for the work that they do. 18 The NPCs around the state provide a variety of services, including financial 19 20 counseling, homelessness prevention, 21 workforce assistance, and services for 22 addiction and mental health issues, to name just a few. The HCR Annual Report on 23 24 Neighborhood and Rural Preservation Programs

1 states that these programs additionally 2 constructed or began construction on over 3 5,000 new residential units to ensure that their constituents have stable, 4 5 well-cared-for housing. 6 This work, these vital services, are 7 an investment in the community, as shown by the more than 400 businesses who were 8 attracted to these revitalized areas in 2019. 9 10 Thanks to the Legislature, last year 11 was an historic year with the passing of the Housing Stability and Tenant Protection Act. 12 13 We must keep this great momentum going, because there is more work to be done. The 14 15 research clearly shows that the number of 16 individuals and families that are becoming cost-burdened and severely cost-burdened is 17 18 increasing for both owners and renters. The 19 work of the NPCs is becoming more and more 20 critical. 21 Funding the NPCs in the coalition is a

21 Funding the NPCS in the coalition is a
22 sound investment in the state, as
23 appropriated dollars spur economic
24 development and maximize the state's existing

funding commitment to create and maintain
 affordable housing units.

3 Affordable housing isn't merely an investment in economic capital -- its true 4 5 benefit is an investment in human capital. The benefit of the NPP is that it doesn't 6 7 assume a one-size-fits-all solution for affordable housing. It rather understands 8 9 that each neighborhood is best served not by 10 forcing solutions on communities from above, 11 but rather by enabling residents to take 12 responsibility for their own community. 13 Needs may differ, but the ingredients of 14 community ownership and government support 15 must remain constant.

16The NPCs create waves of both economic17and social benefits resulting in a situation18not of "We're from the government and we're19here to help," but, rather, "I'm your20neighbor and understand this challenge. Let21me work with you."

22 While we appreciate that Governor 23 Cuomo for the first time ever proposed last 24 year's funding level of 12.8 million for the

NPP in his Executive Budget, the reality is 1 2 that the NPCs have been operating at a triage 3 level in the state for years. During the past two decades, the rate of renters cost 4 5 burdened has increased from 40 to 50 percent; the rate of severely cost-burdened from 19 to 6 7 27 percent. The financial support granted to 8 the NPCs has not increased at a commensurate 9 rate.

10 Unfortunately, the coalition has no 11 funding allocated towards it in the Executive 12 Budget. Thanks to the support of the 13 Legislature, we have traditionally been 14 funded in the final budget. Unfortunately, 15 our level of funding has been stagnant at 16 150,000 for two decades, even though the services provided continue to grow. We 17 18 therefore respectfully request an increase to 200,000, allowing us to better serve our 19 20 members through enhanced training and support 21 services.

I'm pleased to have had this
opportunity to speak with you about the vital
importance of the work the Neighborhood

1 Preservation Companies do and the need to 2 ensure that the Neighborhood Preservation 3 Program is fully funded at \$14.5 million, an increase of \$1.7 million, and the 4 5 Neighborhood Preservation Coalition at \$200,000 in the final budget. 6 7 Thank you for your time and attention. MR. SEBASTIAN: I'm under a lot of 8 pressure now, as he nailed the time frame. 9 10 Thank you all for having us here. My name is Blair Sebastian. I work with a group 11 12 called New York State Rural Advocates. We're 13 a conglomeration of community-based 14 not-for-profits, RPCs, and others -- some of 15 the financial institutions who serve in our 16 market, and actually some of the small contractors who do the work that we all do. 17 18 We have submitted written testimony that details our point of view on a number of 19 20 issues with respect to the Executive Budget 21 proposal and our own perceived need for 22 additional funding in some places. But I just want to sort of mumble my way through 23 24 four points here out of that testimony that

1 I'd like to highlight.

2	We spend a lot of time, as Rural
3	Advocates, talking about particular
4	challenges of trying to operate in rural
5	communities. Two of those issues that come
6	to the fore most often are the small scale of
7	those communities and the limited access to
8	resources, both financial and human
9	resources, in small rural communities.
10	The Rural Preservation Company model
11	is a great model to address those challenges.
12	These are community-based organizations as
13	Mark says, they're controlled by local boards
14	of directors, the decisions about their
15	priorities and how they deliver programming
16	is in response to the local context within
17	which they work, and they wind up providing a
18	very highly targeted product that fits these
19	small variable rural communities very well.
20	Another issue that we address in the
21	written testimony is funding for the
22	Affordable Housing Corporation program. This
23	is a point of some frustration for those of
24	us who work in rural areas. Those counties

1 in our state that are more than 50 percent 2 rural are also more than 70 percent dominated 3 by homeowners. These are very much 4 homeownership markets. 5 We're interested in a resource that 6 both helps expand and drive homeownership and 7 deals with the challenges that existing homeowners are faced with. The housing stock 8 in rural New York tends to be older. It's 9 10 suffered from some disinvestment as a result of the lack of resources. AHC is really an 11 12 important tool to address that problem. 13 AHC, the Affordable Housing 14 Corporation program was created in 1985 with 15 what were then known as the big three funding 16 programs: The Housing Trust Fund Corporation, HHAP, and AHC. All three 17 18 programs started out at \$25 million. This year I believe the Executive proposes 44.2 19 20 for the trust fund and through our other 21 programs now that supplement the trust fund. 22 We're thrilled to see HHAP proposed at 128 million; it's a terrific program that 23 24 works very, very well in our communities.

1 Meanwhile, the Affordable Housing 2 Corporation is \$1 million over where it was 3 in 1985. In 35 years we've gone from 4 \$25 million in funding to \$26 million in 5 funding, while the costs of construction and 6 doing business have skyrocketed over that 7 period.

We are supportive of some changes to 8 9 the Affordable Housing Corporation program 10 that would increase the per-unit limits in 11 the -- for the program for the first time in 12 15 years, to sort of bring them up-to-date with current construction costs. That's 13 14 either we're going to reduce the number of 15 units we're able to address or we're going to 16 need an increased appropriation. We hope you'll consider that. 17

18Again, the small scale of rural19communities means that rental housing in20those communities also has to be21appropriately scaled, which means relatively22small projects. Most of the tools that we23have at our fingertips in the 21st century to24deal with rental housing involves -- requires

some scale, whether it's tax credits or
 bonded programs. Those all require much
 bigger projects than are practical in small
 rural communities.

5 We really need a program designed specifically to support small projects. 6 7 We've had a couple of examples of that over the past several years. I think it was 2013, 8 there was a \$12 million set-aside out of the 9 10 Housing Trust Fund for small projects. That 11 initiative was desperately oversubscribed. 12 Did some great projects, recovered some very 13 old Housing Trust Fund projects, brought them 14 back up to speed, put some other smaller 15 projects in small communities back online.

And more recently, the commission in the last couple of years has talked about the SRDI program, a program developed by the -nope -- I won't do so good, Mark -- developed by the Office of Community Renewal that addresses small projects. Thank you.

23 MR. KELLER: Good afternoon. I am24 Jeff Keller, and I'm the executive director

1 for the New York State Rural Housing

2 Coalition. Beside me is Colin McKnight, the3 deputy director for the coalition.

I'd like to thank the chairs and
members of the committees for holding this
hearing and allowing us to testify on behalf
of the affordable housing concerns of rural
New Yorkers.

One year ago I came before this joint 9 10 committee asking the Legislature to restore the funding for our affordable housing 11 12 program and to reject the deep cuts in the 13 Executive Budget. Today, thanks to the 14 members of these committees and the 15 Legislature, I'm happy to come before you and 16 start my testimony by saying thank you. Because of your constant support, the funding 17 18 for RPP, NPP, and other affordable housing 19 programs did not see the drastic cuts in this 20 year's Executive Budget. We cannot thank you 21 enough for this support and what it means for 22 the rural communities of New York.

23 Rural New Yorkers face many of the24 same housing issues that you see in urban and

1 suburban New York. With the lack of 2 affordable housing, we need housing for 3 special-needs populations, homes need rehab, and also we are seeing increases in rents. 4 5 With that in mind, I would like to talk with you about the work that the Rural Housing 6 7 Coalition and our Rural Preservation Corporations, known as RPCs, do across the 8 state and talk to you about the ways the 9 10 Legislature can further enhance these efforts. 11

12 The coalition supports our members and 13 our network of rural housing professionals. 14 Our members help build and manage affordable 15 housing developments, do rehabilitation of 16 single- and multifamily residences, mobile 17 and manufactured home replacements, and work 18 on helping your constituents find affordable 19 housing options. The coalition serves as a 20 source of education, best practices, and technical assistance to allow our members to 21 22 serve these underserved populations.

But what does that really mean? Wecurrently have 58 RPCs in New York. Over

1 75 percent of those RPCs own or manage an 2 average of 60 affordable housing units per 3 RPC. RPCs are also active in housing rehabilitation and replacement for 4 5 low-to-moderate-income households, working on an average of almost 40 houses annually per 6 7 RPC. All of these programs are in addition 8 to helping your constituents navigate the process of finding affordable housing in 9 10 their communities. We serve the most underserved in the 11 12 communities, from low-income to seniors, veterans, victims of domestic violence, 13 14 homeless and homeless youth, those with 15 physical disabilities and those recovering 16 from substance abuse. Our members and the coalition are 17 18 diligent stewards of the monies we receive 19 from the state and actively bring in other 20 sources of funding, both private and federal, 21 to make sure that the state funds are 22 enhanced and compounded. Without a doubt, we take the funds from the state and turn it 23 24 into one of the best investments around. We

are the boots on the ground -- developing,
 maintaining, rehabbing, and making sure that
 affordable housing is available for those in
 need.

5 With that in mind, I'd like to
6 highlight some of the funding requests that
7 we have.

We ask that the funding for the 8 RPP/NPP program receive an increase to 9 10 6.1 million for RPP funding, which will allow for 100,000 for each RPC, the development of 11 two new RPCs in underserved areas, and an 12 increase to 200,000 for the coalition. I 13 14 would ask the committee to make sure that 15 both the Assembly and Senate include language 16 to make sure the coalition receives our funding as part of the approved programmatic 17 18 budget.

19I would also note that we are looking20for this increase to be in parity with the21Neighborhood Preservation Coalition, with22them also receiving 100,000 per NPC, 200,00023for the coalition, and an increase of four24NPCs.

1 We also ask that AHC funding be 2 restored to parity with the Housing Trust Fund. This would be an increase from 3 4 26 million to 44.2 million. 5 We ask that HHAP funding be restored to a level deemed appropriate to carry out 6 7 the program and the needed homeownership 8 education and foreclosure prevention 9 programs. The coalition knows these programs 10 are extremely effective ways to help provide education and resources to those facing 11 12 foreclosure. 13 Furthermore, we ask for slight 14 increases in four other programs. For the 15 Manufactured Home Advantage program, which 16 allows for the replacement of mobile homes and manufactured homes, which represents one 17 18 of the largest forms of affordable housing in 19 rural communities -- and we see continuing 20 issues -- we ask the funding increase to 6 million. 21 For the New York State Main Street 22 23 program, a highly successful program that has 24 rural communities and leaders finding new

1 approaches to enhancing their main streets,

2 we ask for an increase of at least one 3 million.

We ask for an additional increase of at least 1 million to both the Access to Home programs and the HOPE/RESTORE programs, which help provide vital assistance to ensure that the elderly and disabled can continue to live independently.

Finally, the coalition and our members are grateful for all the hard work and dedication that the HCR staff provides to our programs. They're truly a wonderful partner, and I know that in my first year as executive director they've been a major asset to us.

16 While the staff does amazing work, the 17 need for affordable housing has led to longer 18 approvals and reviews and less time for staff 19 to do lien releases and application or exit 20 interviews. We ask that as HCR looks to 21 increase their staff, they look to focus on 22 that area.

23 Thank you very much for the24 opportunity to speak to you today, and we're

1	happy to answer any questions you have.
2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for
3	being here.
4	We have a question from Senate Chair
5	Brian Kavanagh.
6	SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thank you.
7	And I'll try to be brief, noting the
8	relatively late hour and the very long list
9	of witnesses.
10	But I just want to thank all three of
11	your organizations for your, you know,
12	constant advocacy. And as a relatively new
13	chair of the Housing Committee, you've all
14	been particularly helpful to me in
15	representing communities across the state
16	that I'm somewhat less familiar with, so it's
17	been a great education.
18	I also think it's important to note
19	the you credited the legislators who have
20	advocated for the Neighborhood and Rural
21	Preservation Programs and to keep them whole
22	each year for many years. And I think, you
23	know, that is it is a long, distinguished
24	sort of tradition of advocacy, again starting

with Denny Farrell. But I think it's also a
 credit to your organizations that you've, you
 know, carried the torch for so long.

And I think it's worth giving credit 4 5 where credit is due. I think it's been a number of years where the Executive Budget 6 7 each year began a dance where the number was rolled back about \$6 million to the previous 8 Executive Budget, and then we would fight to 9 10 get it in the enacted budget and we would succeed. I think it's worthwhile to note 11 12 that the Executive has decided to, you know, 13 fund these programs at the level that they 14 were in the enacted budget last year right 15 from the get-go.

16 So I think the Governor and his staff 17 should be complimented for that, and I think, 18 again, that's a credit to your advocacy.

19I want to just ask a question about20the proposal to get HCR to put out an RFP for21two new Rural Preservation Corporations. Is22there -- would that be -- would the intent be23to fill gaps in specific -- for those who are24following, this is on page 3 of the Rural

1 Housing Coalition testimony. But would that 2 be to fill the gap in specific jurisdictions 3 that you've identified? Or is there just this sort of general sense that there might 4 5 be greater capacity for these kinds of corporations to work in the state? 6 7 MR. KELLER: It would be to fill the gaps. We do have, in the rural side, five 8 communities that either do not have an RPC 9 10 currently or have recently lost one. So it 11 would be to fill the gaps in those areas that 12 do not have service right now. 13 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Okay. So just in 14 the interests of time, I will end it. But if 15 you could get us specific -- they're -you're mentioning -- I don't know if they 16 would be countywide, or are they specifically 17 within these counties that are mentioned? 18 19 MR. KELLER: Most of them are 20 countywide. The one that I would say is --21 two are not -- is rural Schenectady County 22 and Northern Dutchess County, would be the 23 two that are not countywide. 24 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Okay. So we do

1 appreciate just additional information about, 2 you know, the catchment areas and the needs there. And to the extent there are 3 4 organizations that have been incumbent 5 organizations in those areas that are maybe not -- you know, you're expecting maybe won't 6 7 be doing that work, we'd just appreciate additional information. But I'll leave that 8 for offline so we can keep moving here. 9 10 But again, thank you all for all of your work and your advocacy and for 11 12 testifying today. 13 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 14 We have a question from Senator 15 Seward. 16 SENATOR SEWARD: Yup, thank you. I wanted to ask a quick question on 17 18 the Lake Ontario relief program which your 19 agencies have been involved in --20 MR. KELLER: Yes, they have. 21 SENATOR SEWARD: -- on behalf of the state. And number one, I want to thank you, 22 you know, for your efforts and activities 23 24 along that line. Greatly needed, as you

know, with the -- some of the -- particularly 1 2 the homeowners along the shoreline. 3 My question is, you know, how is that going, in your estimation? Are we meeting 4 5 the needs that are out there in terms of these homeowners and others? 6 7 MR. SEBASTIAN: Well, for my part, the 8 sense is the demand is overwhelming. 9 Organizations on the ground who have been 10 dealing with this have been challenged to deal with the number of applicants that have 11 12 been to the program. I kind of have the 13 sense that the resources are being used up at 14 a fairly rapid rate, and that I think can 15 cause some concern. 16 Colin, have you got --MR. McKNIGHT: We got involved in the 17 18 Lake Ontario project because we had a VISTA 19 volunteer program that we were running and we 20 were able to provide one of those agencies 21 with the services of VISTA to help with the 22 caseload that they were dealing with. And if memory serves, she was carrying a caseload of 23 24 almost 4,000 files.

1 And that's an example of the kind of 2 demand that there is for this service. I'm 3 sure not all of those people ended up being 4 served because of limited resources. But it 5 certainly shows the scope of what the impact is of these kind of natural disasters and the 6 7 need for some planning for the future in 8 terms of emergency response in vulnerable rural communities. 9 10 I think that there is a need for anticipation for this kind of disaster and 11 12 coming up with appropriate programmatic 13 responses that really do make sense based on 14 the experience of the most recent two 15 flooding cycles. SENATOR SEWARD: Well, I appreciate 16 your perspective here today. And more than 17 18 that, I appreciate your efforts on behalf of 19 those property owners. 20 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 21 Thank you, gentlemen. 22 Next we have the Habitat for Humanity of New York City. 23 24 MR. DUNBAR: Good afternoon. My name

1	is Matthew Dunbar, I oversee external affairs
2	for Habitat for Humanity New York City.
3	My colleague from Habitat New York
4	State fell ill and was unable to accompany me
5	today, but I represent about 40 affiliates,
6	Habitat for Humanity affiliates across the
7	state. We are all not-for-profit
8	homebuilders that focus specifically on
9	affordable homeownership, and we serve
10	families ranging from as low as 40 percent
11	AMI up to about 90 percent AMI in New York
12	City. In New York City we've served over
13	1300 families through our home purchase and
14	preservation work.
15	And I want to I sit here today to
16	testify and thank all of you for the past
17	support that we've received from the state,
18	and especially to HCR and their amazing staff
19	that has provided funding through the
20	Affordable Housing Corporation and through
21	SONYMA to allow us to continue to serve
22	homeownership opportunities with low-income
23	families and not see that AMI go up into the
24	moderate and the middle-income range.

1 Support from the state is critically 2 important for what we do. Every Habitat home 3 that we build in New York City has Affordable 4 Housing Corporation funding in it, and every 5 Habitat homeowner that purchases a home in 6 New York City receives a SONYMA mortgage to 7 keep that home affordable.

But as was mentioned by the previous 8 panel and some of the previous folks 9 10 testifying from the coalitions that we're a 11 part of, the Affordable Housing Corporation 12 funding has not kept up with the cost of construction. It was mentioned that the 13 program was started in 1985, funded at a 14 level of \$25 million, and it has now 15 16 increased by about 4 percent to \$26 million over those 35 years. And the program is 17 18 statutory, meaning that the funding that we 19 can access for each home is set in the law. 20 And so we are supportive of the law 21 that's been put forward by Assemblymember 22 Walker and Senator Bailey to reform the

23 program and to increase the per-unit funding 24 level to up to \$75,000 per unit, in

1 accordance with cost increases that have 2 tripled over the past 35 years. We're 3 supportive of that and, in connection with 4 that increase, we would love to see that 5 legislation incorporated into the budget. But of course with more money 6 7 allocated per unit, we need to see the budget right-sized as well. So we stand with the 8 previous members who are asking for a budget 9 10 rightsizing to see that budget go from 11 26 million to the 44.2 million, in parity 12 with the Housing Trust Fund program. But as we also understand that with 13 14 increased resources, public resources, there 15 should be increased public benefit. And so 16 the legislation that has been put forward really does emphasize that the larger amounts 17 of funds can be tiered by the -- by HCR to 18 19 ensure that the largest amounts in the 20 highest-cost communities are paired with 21 longer terms and deeper levels of 22 affordability. And so we're happy to be a member and 23 24 a cofounder of Interboro Community Land

1 Trust, which is the first citywide CLT in the 2 city, and we stand with community land trusts across the state to advocate for another bill 3 that is introduced by Senator Montgomery and 4 5 Assemblymember Maritza Davila that would provide stable and fair taxation for 6 7 properties on community land trusts. As of 8 right now, none of the properties that are currently on CLTs have any legislation that 9 10 backs up that the properties that are resale restricted should be taxed at their 11 12 resale-restricted rate and not at market 13 rate. 14 And so as we seek to build more homes,

15 both single-family and multifamily 16 cooperatives, on community land trusts and utilize greater public resources to do so, we 17 18 do want to see long-term and permanent 19 affordability. And so we encourage the CLT 20 fair taxation bill to be incorporated into 21 the budget as well so that we can see that 22 affordable housing that we built today last for generations. 23

24 And lastly, I also want to stand with

1 those that came before me in supporting the 2 HOPP program and fully funding foreclosure 3 preservation counseling and legal services, as well as a program that's been put forward 4 5 by the Governor to fund the Community Development Financial Institutions at 6 7 \$25 million and to ensure that that funding will be extended both to banks, credit 8 unions, and also community funds like the 9 10 Habitat New York City Community Fund that funds housing preservation and creation as 11 12 well. 13 So I thank you for all of your time, 14 and I'm happy to take any questions. 15 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman 16 Blake. 17 ASSEMBLYMAN BLAKE: Thank you. 18 Thank you, Matthew, for your comments. And specifically, you mentioned CLTs several 19 20 times; I want to just get some guidance and 21 some clarity here. First of all, can you convey the 22 efforts of collaborating with community-based 23 24 housing advocates on the ground, the success

1 you're seeing around what could be done there 2 to partner, of course, with local financial institutions? You've talked about CDFIs, 3 4 which obviously is a critical element of 5 this. And specifically, how can places like 6 7 the Bronx and other areas similar to that equally see benefit here? I think a lot of 8 us are trying to figure out how do our 9 10 community entities actually benefit from this 11 program. 12 MR. DUNBAR: Well, thank you for your 13 question. 14 So community land trusts are 15 essentially, you know, not-for-profit organizations that come together -- kind of 16 the classic governance structure is a 17 tripartite board in which the board has 18 19 representatives from the community, from 20 residents who live in housing on the CLTs, 21 and from other outside experts. 22 There are, you know, successful examples of community land trusts that have 23 24 existed in New York State, including

1 Cooper Square on the Lower East Side.

2 There's one right here in Albany, Albany CLT.
3 There's a -- you know, a CLT in the
4 Adirondacks and across the state. And each
5 one of those -- and Ithaca as well, Long
6 Island.

7 All of them essentially kind of form in order to make sure that the land is owned 8 9 collectively by that not-for-profit, in partnership with the community, and have 10 11 that, you know, long-term ground lease with 12 the housing that is created on top, to ensure 13 that that housing is affordable for generations to come. So on the homeownership 14 15 side, there would be a ground lease saying 16 that there would be resale restrictions for the future homebuyers and that low-income 17 18 people would have access and those sales 19 prices would be limited.

20 So across -- so speaking of -- on the 21 local level, there is a lot of conversation 22 up in northern Manhattan, the Bronx, and in 23 various other areas where people are coming 24 together to do so. We just need to

1 understand how they will be taxed affordably 2 and then partner it with existing housing programs to make it successful. 3 ASSEMBLYMAN BLAKE: So just to be 4 5 specific, you mentioned examples in other parts of the state. I want to go back 6 7 concretely -- for the areas like the Bronx, how would this work, how is this working, how 8 are you engaging with all of the financial 9 10 institutions or housing advocates? And is there a track record of success that's 11 12 happening here that we can then focus in on 13 specifically within the Bronx and similar 14 areas? 15 MR. DUNBAR: Sure. So from my 16 understanding, I don't believe that there are 17 any community land trusts currently 18 incorporated and -- with housing in the Bronx. The one that's the best example in 19 20 New York City is Cooper Square on the 21 Lower East Side, where they had I think over 22 350 units within their community land trust. They're all structured as MHA co-ops. 23 24 And so, you know, I would say, you

1 know, Interboro Community Land Trust is 2 citywide, and so we can partner with existing 3 programs and with existing developers to 4 develop the land or preserve buildings and 5 transfer them onto a CLT in that way. But as of right now, there's no examples in the 6 7 Bronx that I can show you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 8 We have Senator Krueger for a 9 10 question. 11 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Sorry, I'm sorry. 12 Sneezing at the wrong time. 13 I think as a follow-up question for 14 Michael Blake's question, have there been 15 cases where Habitat for Humanity homes have 16 been then sold off after they are built for market rate, as opposed to remaining in 17 18 perpetuity affordable? And can that still 19 happen, and what can we do about it? 20 MR. DUNBAR: Yeah. So in the past, the Habitat -- we started off by building 21 22 HDFC co-ops on the Lower East Side. And then through various programs and during the 23 24 nineties and the aughts, we moved into more

condo development, which had UDAAP tax
 exemptions rather than Article 11 tax
 exemptions. And those were more structured
 as kind of anti-flip mortgages, recapture
 mortgages.

6 And so there are examples in which 7 Habitat homes that have been developed in 8 Harlem or in Bed-Stuy were structured as 9 condos, and then after 15 years or so they 10 are sold. Some of the subsidies are 11 recaptured, but it's really sold at market 12 rate.

13 So we have transitioned away from that 14 model to ensure that the funding that we get 15 from the city and the state and from our 16 philanthropic partners lasts for generations. 17 So we have moved our multifamily construction 18 now in New York City -- is predominantly 19 cooperatives, and we have helped to establish 20 the CLT to ensure that both our single family 21 homes and our and multifamily homes are 22 affordable for generations to come.

23Across the state, it would vary. We24have a saying in the Habitat world: If

1 you've seen one Habitat affiliate, you've 2 seen one Habitat affiliate. But there is a 3 lot of momentum and interest in establishing and partnering with community land trusts to 4 5 ensure that in addition to the deed restrictions that we put on our homes for 6 7 resale, that it would get stronger and even 8 longer-lasting over time. 9 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 10 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for 11 being here today. 12 So next we have a panel --13 MR. DUNBAR: Thank you so much. 14 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: -- a panel with 15 Association for Neighborhood and Housing 16 Development, Emily Goldstein; Center for NYC Neighborhoods, Christie Peale -- and is 17 someone here for Churches United for Fair 18 19 Housing? Yes? No? I guess no. They haven't checked in. 20 21 Okay, thank you. If there's anybody 22 in the audience who was scheduled to speak who hasn't checked in at the top desk, please 23 24 do, because we need to know you're here.

1 So it's five minutes for each of you, 2 and then if there are questions, we will ask. 3 Just identify yourself when you speak. MS. GOLDSTEIN: Good afternoon, and 4 5 thank you for the opportunity to testify. My name is Emily Goldstein. I'm the 6 7 director of organizing and advocacy at the Association for Neighborhood and Housing 8 Development, or ANHD. I want to thank the 9 10 chairs of the committees and all of the 11 Senators and Assemblymembers who are here 12 today for this important hearing. ANHD's mission is to advance 13 14 equitable, flourishing neighborhoods for all 15 New Yorkers. We work in coalition with our approximately 80 member organizations as well 16 as allies and partners to support policies 17 18 and programs that center justice, equity and 19 opportunity for New York's marginalized 20 communities and neighborhoods. 21 First and foremost, we want to applaud 22 this Legislature for passing the Housing Stability and Tenant Protection Act of 2019. 23

24 This law is a game-changer and an historic

1 opportunity to provide crucial protections 2 for the 365,000 low-income households that 3 live in rent-regulated apartments, as well as beginning to create some new protections 4 5 against harassment and displacement for the well over 3 million renters across the state. 6 7 We do hope to see those protections expanded this year with the passage of "good 8 cause" eviction to further extend necessary 9

10 protections to all New York State residents 11 and renters. 12 The strength of our rent regulation

13 system is dependent on strong and consistent 14 enforcement and timely processing. Given the 15 significant expansion in rent regulation 16 processes from the HSTPA, we recommend an 17 increase in the ORA's budget sufficient to 18 fully enact and enforce the new law.

19It is our understanding that the20budget increase ORA received in FY19 -- I'm21sorry, FY20 -- was to address the backlog in22processing overcharges and tenant complaints,23which do remain an ongoing concern for many24New York City residents, as I have personally

1 heard in many community meetings. In order 2 to effectuate the additional changes in the 3 new rent laws, ORA does, we believe, need additional staff, legal services, equipment 4 5 and technological systems. Without a significant increase in ORA's budget, they 6 7 won't be able to successfully implement the 8 groundbreaking changes to the law that were passed in 2019. 9

10 In addition, we feel that the Tenant 11 Protection Unit, TPU, has done important and 12 impactful work with its existing resources, 13 reregulating nearly 80,000 units, as the 14 commissioner mentioned earlier this 15 afternoon, and reaching important settlements 16 with landlords who have patterns of 17 systematic tenant harassment.

18Given the size of the rent-regulated19housing stock in New York State and the20expanded protections under the new laws, we21believe TPU needs additional funding to22continue this important work and increase its23impact. We recommend increasing their budget24to 10 million -- approximately doubling --

1 thus expanding their ability to do audits, 2 investigations, and take legal actions. 3 Moving on, ANHD supports the Home Stability Support program, a form of rental 4 5 assistance for low-income families and individuals who are facing eviction, 6 7 homelessness, or loss of housing due to domestic violence or hazardous conditions. 8 9 This program would help bridge the difference 10 between public assistance and shelter allowance and fair market rents for those 11 12 leaving the homeless shelter system or who are at risk of homelessness. 13 14 State funding is also crucially needed 15 to address the critical infrastructure and 16 capital needs of public housing throughout the state. This is an irreplaceable resource 17 18 for all New Yorkers and should be prioritized in our budget. There are hundreds of 19 20 thousands living in unacceptable conditions 21 that public housing residents face each day. 22 We recommend this year that the State Legislature invest \$3 billion to fix 23 24 and preserve public housing statewide, with

1 approximately \$2 billion directed to NYCHA 2 and \$1 billion directed to the rest of the 3 state, proportional to population. Finally, ANHD supports full funding 4 5 for the HOPP program, as was discussed by many people earlier this afternoon. New York 6 7 homeowners and their communities continue to 8 struggle with the devastation caused by foreclosures. The Communities First campaign 9 10 ensures that low- and moderate-income 11 homeowners throughout the state in every 12 county of New York receive vital housing 13 counseling and legal services necessary to 14 protect homeownership, prevent blight, and 15 prevent further foreclosures. 16 Thank you again for the opportunity to testify today and for all your great work for 17 18 preserving housing for the residents of 19 New York State, and I'd be happy to answer 20 any questions. MS. PEALE: Good afternoon. My name 21 is Christie Peale, and I'm the executive 22 director of the Center for New York City 23

24 Neighborhoods.

1 I'd like to thank Chairs Krueger, 2 Weinstein, Kavanagh, and Cymbrowitz for the 3 opportunity to testify today and for your stamina. I really appreciate that so many of 4 5 you have stayed so long in the day on this really critical issue. 6 7 So the center's mission is to promote 8 and protect affordable homeownership. We are here today primarily to talk about the 9 10 Homeowner Protection Program, but I just want to start by thanking my colleagues from 11 12 across the affordable housing spectrum for supporting all of our collective work. 13 14 We really feel that affordable housing 15 is part of a continuum. There are many 16 homeowners who will be renters, renters who will be homeowners, folks from NYCHA who want 17 18 to own a home -- and we don't see any of these issues as separate, but really all very 19 20 much connected. And so we fully support the 21 five-year housing budget plan that our 22 colleagues at the New York Housing Conference, and more, had referenced. 23 24 In addition, I know that last year our

1 funding in the State Budget was truly a 2 collaborative effort between the Senate, the 3 Assembly, the Executive, and the Office of the Attorney General. So we just want to say 4 5 a huge thank you for allowing the services that exist from Buffalo to Long Island to 6 7 continue to serve so many at-risk New Yorkers. 8 There's a lot of information in our 9 10 testimony and in subsequent testimonies, but 11 I just wanted to make sure that we 12 highlighted who we serve. Not only are we serving almost 16,000 homeowners a year -- in 13 14 New York City, 80 percent of the folks that 15 the HOPP groups serve are families of color, 16 52 percent of those African-American families -- so we're really focused on 17 18 righting a lot of the wrongs that have 19 happened over the years in homeownership and 20 housing that have had a really 21 disproportionate, deleterious impact on 22 African-American, Latinx, and other families of color. 23 24

It's really important that we preserve

1 this critical piece of the social safety net. 2 I take issue with some of the statistics that 3 were previously cited about foreclosures being down by 10 percent from last year. 4 We 5 have not seen that. At our best day, doing this work since 2009, we are able to see 6 7 10 percent of the people in need. And unfortunately, we're in a new normal where 8 there are 22,000 new foreclosures every 9 10 year -- so we still see a very high demand for our services. 11

12 I'm very curious to find out where this \$10 million that the commissioner 13 testified about is. As far as the Attorney 14 15 General's office has let us know, we got funded at a \$20 million level. Those 16 contracts end on March 31st, and we have no 17 18 confidence at this point that we'll be able 19 to keep our doors open.

20 We've surveyed our colleagues across 21 the state. We think 28 of the groups that we 22 work with would have to close their doors if 23 there isn't additional funding, and 26 would 24 have to reduce their services to down to one

1 or two providers.

2	All of the homeownership initiatives
3	that we run throughout the state are
4	leveraged by HOPP. You know, HOPP is not
5	only providing legal services assistance for
6	folks that are in the legal process of
7	foreclosure, but it helps folks that are
8	trying to buy a home avoid getting
9	discriminated against in fair lending or
10	other issues, it helps leverage other
11	funding which is frankly not sufficient
12	from HUD and other sources, and it's a
13	really, really critical foundational piece of
14	all of the other programs that we talked
15	about that serve and promote affordable
16	homeownership.
17	So it won't just impact the homeowners
18	that are trying to save their homes, it will
19	impact homeowners that are trying to buy and
20	a lot of other new opportunities we have for
21	families to build as well.
22	So there are a lot of other spots in
23	the testimony. I just wanted to highlight

24 that the majority of families that we serve

1 are in financial distress through no fault of 2 their own -- a loss of employment, a 3 catastrophic health issue, the loss of a 4 family member. You know, there are things 5 that can happen to anybody at any time, and we now know that we need these services. We 6 didn't have them before the crisis. I think 7 we wouldn't have had as significant a crisis 8 if the HOPP network had been around. 9 10 And we're very anxious about what the future holds for our state and these 11 12 communities, and we really appreciate all of 13 your support and working with you to keep 14 these critical services open. 15 Thank you so much. 16 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. We go to Assemblyman Cymbrowitz. 17 ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Thank you 18 19 very much. 20 Emily, I wanted to ask you about the DAP program --21 22 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Sure. ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: -- that 23 24 you've put together, which as a matter of

1 fact we have a training for members next 2 week. If you could talk about that, but also 3 about the Displacement Alert portal. I understand that there is -- you've been 4 5 defunded, that there's no money in the budget for that? 6 7 MS. GOLDSTEIN: So thank you for that question. So one of ANHD's projects is the 8 Displacement Alert project, which includes a 9 10 new component, a portal. We think that it is crucial to be 11 12 making data available to community 13 organizations, to residents, and to elected 14 officials so that they can better evaluate 15 and analyze and then respond to the needs of 16 local communities. So we do a lot of work to essentially take all of the open data 17 18 available and put it into an accessible, 19 searchable online form. We're very excited 20 to be doing that training next week. 21 We did rely last year on state funding 22 to help us basically put state-level 23 geographies into our system so that you all 24 are able to look at the data by Assembly

1 district or by Senate district and add some 2 additional information as it became 3 available. We hope to be able to continue to provide and expand that work, but don't 4 5 currently have funding for that. ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: How important 6 7 is restoration of those funds? MS. GOLDSTEIN: I mean I think we 8 will, you know, keep up what we have. We're 9 10 not going to take it off our website. But in 11 order to be able to continue to update the 12 data, provide timely information, and expand 13 it to add additional options for searches and 14 for analysis, we do need that funding. 15 ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Thank you. 16 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate? 17 18 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 19 Senator Salazar. 20 SENATOR SALAZAR: Thank you. I just 21 had a quick clarifying question for Emily. 22 In your testimony you mentioned that you support the state investing \$3 billion 23 24 annually in -- dedicated to public housing

statewide. And with \$2 billion directed to 1 2 NYCHA, was that 2 billion of the 3? 3 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Yes. SENATOR SALAZAR: Okay. Sorry. 4 5 Thanks. 6 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman 7 Felix Ortiz. ASSEMBLYMAN ORTIZ: Thank you, 8 9 Madam Chair. I also have a quick question 10 for the Center for NYC Neighborhoods. On the Association for Neighborhood 11 12 and Housing Development -- and I quote -- on 13 their paper, you say that "ANHD also supports 14 full funding for the Communities First 15 Campaign, " which I do support. "New York 16 homeowners and their communities continue to struggle with the devastation caused by 17 foreclosures," and I close quote. 18 19 And on the other hand, in your 20 statement you have stated that, and I quote, "The Center is a proud member of the 21 22 Homeowner Protection Program funding campaign to preserve foreclosure" -- and I close 23 24 quote.

1 So my question to you -- and I read 2 all the beautiful testimonies that are 3 here -- is that I represent the area of 4 Sunset Park and Red Hook, and my question to 5 you is if it has been any help to any of the 6 foreclosure people that live in my district. 7 And if the answer is yes, can you provide us with who those people are, that we will be 8 9 able to also follow up with them as well? 10 MS. PEALE: Yeah. 11 ASSEMBLYMAN ORTIZ: And I thank you 12 for the work that you guys do. 13 MS. PEALE: Thank you for the 14 question. We can provide you statistics 15 with -- of the number of homeowners that 16 we've -- that the HOPP network has assisted in your district, and any other statistics 17 that you need. 18 19 ASSEMBLYMAN ORTIZ: Thank you. 20 MS. PEALE: And thanks for your 21 support. 22 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Robert 23 Jackson. 24 SENATOR JACKSON: So I want to thank

1 you for coming in and staying the course.

2 You know, when you sit around for hours and 3 hours and hours, you know, you need to be thanked. So thank you --4 5 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Thank you. MS. PEALE: Thank you. 6 7 SENATOR JACKSON: -- on behalf of all of the people that you represent and help, 8 9 let me just tell you. 10 And I read some of the testimony of individuals around the State of New York. 11 12 This is telling in itself. People read this 13 and you can see, you know, most people -- a lot of people, they're like one paycheck to a 14 15 month or two or three months away from losing 16 their home, whether they own the home or 17 whether they rent. 18 And so I just wanted to thank you in 19 understanding what it means to know that you 20 have legislators that are supporting you. 21 And we do everything we can to increase the 22 funding so that you all can help more of our constituents around the state. 23 24 Thank you very much.

1 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Thank you. 2 MS. PEALE: Thank you. 3 SENATOR JACKSON: Thank you, 4 Madam Chairs. 5 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to 6 7 Assemblyman Epstein. ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Thank you both 8 for being here and for testifying. 9 10 Just on the HOPP funding, it's critical funding. And I implore my 11 12 colleagues to continue to support it, because I know how vital it is for our community to 13 14 protect people's homes and that, you know, 15 people unfortunately have been scammed and 16 lost them from various sources. But I have a larger question around 17 18 mezzanine debt, and I just -- so -- so -- you want to call it mezzie debt? 19 20 (Laughter.) 21 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: So we've seen a 22 lot of private equity money in the market, and we've seen that money really be 23 24 unregulated. You know, it's hard to track,

1 it's hard to know. And we've seen a lot of 2 homeowners who are -- people trying to 3 purchase homes are competing with private 4 equity money. We've seen it with 5 multifamilies where we've seen a lot of, you 6 know, dark money, private equity money coming 7 in.

I was wondering what you think about a 8 9 better system to oversee it, regulate it, 10 make sure it's reported, and then also ensure 11 that they're paying taxes on that private 12 equity money the same way we see traditional 13 mortgagors paying mortgage recording taxes 14 and other fees. I want to get a sense of 15 your -- both -- opinion on that.

16 MS. PEALE: Thank you for the question. I would say that we've seen 17 18 homeowners who are, you know, lucky enough to 19 get approved for a mortgage, are in the 20 market trying to buy a home, are often beat 21 out by cash buyers, as well as seeing 22 properties removed through other finances purchased with other sources. 23

24 And we really don't have enough

information about the role of private equity
in both -- for property scams, deed theft.
You know, there's a lot of ways in which it
could be operating in the market that we
don't know. So we are really excited about
the proposed legislation that would create
more transparency.

8 I think looking at a way to, again, 9 equalize the impact of cash buyers as well --10 you know, if you have to borrow money and 11 then you're paying more in a mortgage 12 recording tax than somebody who's paying 13 cash, it doesn't seem quite fair, as well as 14 somebody who's leveraging private equity debt. 15

So we're really supportive of an
effort to level the playing field for regular
New Yorkers in that purchase context.

19 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Yeah, and I'll just 20 say I agree with that. And particularly on 21 the multifamily side, where we look a little 22 more closely, you know, this is certainly a 23 problem both in terms of transparency and 24 information gathering.

1 You know, we have had various issues 2 with banks, but we at least are able to get a baseline of information and there's sort of a 3 level of regulation there. There are 4 5 regulators, right, to go to. And that really doesn't exist with non-bank lenders. 6 7 So I think certainly having transparency, having the information is 8 absolutely necessary to understanding what 9 10 we're dealing with and trying to fix it. And 11 then certainly putting that tax in place and 12 sort of treating non-mezzanine debt as all other kinds of debt would help to take away 13 14 that unfair advantage that speculators have. 15 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Thank you. 16 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman 17 Blake. 18 ASSEMBLYMAN BLAKE: Very quickly. 19 First, thank you to both of you. If I 20 could, I think this may be first to Emily. 21 On the back page, when it talks about public 22 housing --23 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Mm-hmm. 24 ASSEMBLYMAN BLAKE: -- just if you

1 could give some context of how was the number 2 determined. Saying the state should invest 3 \$3 billion statewide and \$2 billion directly to NYCHA, how was that number determined? 4 5 MS. GOLDSTEIN: So the 2 billion as an -- you know, out of the 3 -- was looking 6 7 at public housing needs statewide and just trying to sort of divvy up proportionally. 8 And that is a number that you'll hear from a 9 10 lot of tenant organizations and folks who work directly with NYCHA residents, sort of 11 12 agreed upon as a way to do that fairly. 13 ASSEMBLYMAN BLAKE: And just finally 14 on NYCHA and then moving from there, what do 15 you think is the initial reaction from 16 advocates hearing and seeing that the current proposal from the Governor has zero dollars 17 towards NYCHA? 18 19 MS. GOLDSTEIN: I think it's 20 upsetting. And I think it will contribute to 21 the continuing decline of an irreplaceable 22 affordable housing resource and to, you know, really horrific living conditions for a lot 23 24 of New Yorkers.

1 ASSEMBLYMAN BLAKE: Certainly. And 2 then to follow up and assess here to Christie 3 and others -- yeah, I was just looking at my piece of paper first -- for HOPP funding 4 5 specifically, can you give a sense, practically speaking, how much at risk would 6 homeowners be if this does not continue? I 7 mean, a lot of times we talk about 8 foreclosure prevention, and it's broad and 9 10 theoretical. MS. GOLDSTEIN: Yeah. 11 12 ASSEMBLYMAN BLAKE: But just -- what's 13 the dollar and cents impact we're talking if 14 this were to occur? 15 MS. PEALE: We can capture that in a 16 couple of different ways. We can look at the impact on property taxes. We can look at the 17 18 impact of a foreclosure on neighboring 19 property values and loss of neighborhood 20 equity. We can look at the increased debt that 21 22 homeowners are going to face. The longer you're in foreclosure, the longer your unpaid 23

principal balance increases, and the harder

24

1 that debt is to discharge, either if you have 2 to represent yourself in the foreclosure 3 process or if you have to pursue bankruptcy. 4 So it's, you know, the personal debt 5 calculation, increasing the loss of tax revenue and the loss of neighborhood equity, 6 7 are some of the ways that we think about it. And we have a piece on the economic impact of 8 HOPP that we can forward to you that captures 9 10 some of those pieces. ASSEMBLYMAN BLAKE: And so it's fair 11 12 to say that it's not just the devastating 13 impact on the homeowner, but it's also the 14 community that would it have devastating 15 impact as well. 16 MS. PEALE: Absolutely. You know, we impact that -- we have some estimates on the 17 downward drag of a foreclosure on 18 19 neighborhood equity that we can share with 20 you as well. 21 ASSEMBLYMAN BLAKE: That would be incredibly helpful. Thank you. 22 MS. PEALE: And just to -- I would 23 24 love to talk to you about CLTs in the Bronx.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN BLAKE: Thank you.
2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you
3	MS. PEALE: Thank you so much.
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: for all the
5	questions.
6	Next we have a panel, Tenants
7	Political Action Committee, Michael McKee,
8	and VOCAL-NY, Felix Guzman.
9	MR. McKEE: We only have three chairs.
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: I think they
11	brought extra chairs because you had
12	colleagues walking down
13	MR. McKEE: I thought we were all
14	doing this together.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: You are. You
16	have five minutes and VOCAL-NY has five
17	minutes.
18	MS. SOLTANI: Thank you. My name is
19	Paulette Soltani, I'm the political director
20	of VOCAL-NY, and I'm reading testimony on
21	behalf of our leader, Ms. Flowers. She
22	apparently had to get back to New York City
23	because she's in a shelter and has been
24	living there for almost four years.

1 "My name is Nathylin Flowers Adesegun. 2 I'm a member of VOCAL-NY. VOCAL-NY is a 3 grassroots organization working to end AIDS, mass incarceration, the war on drugs, and 4 5 homelessness. "On behalf of VOCAL-NY, I want to 6 7 thank the Senate and Assembly Housing Committee chairs, Brian Kavanagh and Steve 8 Cymbrowitz, and the other members of the 9 10 committee. "My story of homelessness started in 11 12 February 2015, when I was evicted from my 13 apartment of 34.5 years when I lost my rent 14 stabilization status. Since then, I have 15 been living in a homeless shelter along with more than 92,000 people across New York 16 State. Many of the women in my shelter have 17 18 become my closest friends. We share 19 memories, experiences, and most of all we 20 share solidarity with each other as we search 21 for housing stability and basic dignity. 22 "My shelter is in Queens. I have to leave my room each morning by 9 a.m. and be 23

back for curfew at 10 p.m. Our toilet paper

24

1 is rationed because the shelter has so many 2 plumbing issues. There is one microwave for 3 200 women. I am a vegetarian, and I love to cook, but the options for food at my shelter 4 5 are sparse at best. When I'm not at my shelter, I cook every chance I get. 6 7 "Year after year we've heard 8 Governor Cuomo make announcements about his unprecedented commitment to housing and 9 10 homelessness. He says he's investing 11 historic resources to help homeless 12 New Yorkers, so why hasn't all of that money 13 materialized in housing for the homeless? 14 The fact is, the Governor's words are empty 15 if 92,000 people are still homeless. 16 "People are in desperate need of supportive housing. The Governor promised 17 New York State 20,000 units of supportive 18 19 housing in 2016, but only a fraction of the funds that we need have been released. For 20 21 every five approved supportive housing 22 applications, only one vacancy exists.

23 "Meanwhile, the overdose crisis has24 taken the lives of over 20,000 people since

1 2016. Many of those lives could have been 2 saved if more people had access to housing. 3 At the same time prosecutors, police, and elected officials are doing whatever they can 4 5 to roll back bail reform laws. We will never stop relying on incarceration without meeting 6 7 the basic needs of New Yorkers. "While homeless New Yorkers struggle 8 9 every day, New York is home to 112 billionaires with over \$525 billion in 10 11 wealth, and dozens more that live in 12 part-time" --13 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Excuse me. Ι just asked them to pause the clock because I 14 15 know Felix -- yes? 16 MR. GUZMAN: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: -- also has a 17 18 statement in these five-minutes-for-the-two, 19 so I just wanted to make sure there was some 20 time for him to speak. I don't know if he 21 wanted -- if you want to add something, or 22 should we go to him? You know, we do have 23 the testimony, it's circulated to everybody, 24 but I just didn't want you to use up all the

1 time and not have something for him. MS. SOLTANI: Sure. I will do the 2 time for Felix -- I just want to say that 3 there haven't been a lot of homeless 4 5 New Yorkers testifying in the housing hearing, so I think it's important that the 6 7 members of this committee hear from homeless New Yorkers. 8 I'll just lastly say that Ms. Flowers 9 10 supports 20,000 units of supportive housing for the state along with -- she asks for 11 12 \$500 million for a state-funded rental 13 assistance program. 14 We support Brian Kavanagh and 15 Steve Cymbrowitz's recently introduced bill 16 for a new voucher program, and along with that also Home Stability Support, which is 17 Liz Krueger's bill, I think. 18 19 MR. GUZMAN: Hello. My name is Felix 20 Guzman. I'm a leader of VOCAL-NY, a poet, activist, and former shelter resident. I 21 22 would like to thank you for the opportunity to speak today. 23 24 VOCAL-NY is a part of the Housing

1 Justice for All campaign. Last year we won 2 an expansion of rent protections, but we know there is still more work to do. We are 3 fighting for a New York homes guarantee 4 5 because every New Yorker has the right to a safe, stable, and affordable place to live. 6 7 Today I'm here to talk to you about 8 our state's homelessness crisis. My story is emblematic of how our current housing system 9 10 fails people and keeps them trapped in dangerous situations at high cost to the 11 12 taxpayer. 13 Several years ago, my then-landlord 14 started to convert units in my building into 15 a scattered cluster site shelter. He was 16 allowed to make tremendous amounts of money from the city to kick out rent-stabilized 17 18 tenants and replace them with people who had 19 no rights and therefore couldn't complain or 20 join tenant associations. The result was a 21 rapid deterioration of the building conditions. 22 This, in combination with 23 24 complications due to being stuck in an

1 abusive relationship, forced me out of my 2 home and into the very same shelter system 3 that caused my problem in the first place. The more I have organized with members 4 5 of my community, the more I have seen that I was caught up in a larger system of 6 7 displacement and gentrification. I was born and raised in Brooklyn, and I have seen how 8 9 it has changed. Massive glass skyscrapers 10 have been built all over the borough, 11 representing thousands and thousands of units 12 of new housing. How could it be that thousands of units of housing have been built 13 14 all around our borough over the last 15 20 years, and yet tens of thousands of more 16 people have become homeless? The answer is actually quite simple. 17 18 The private housing market's primary goal is not housing people, its primary goal is to 19 20 maximize the profits. In New York City, 248,000 units of 21 22 housing are empty. That's 8 percent of the overall housing stock. Twenty-five percent 23 24 of new luxury condos that were built since

2013 remain empty, and yet thousands more are
 in the process of being built. All of this
 adds up to a system where there are four
 empty apartments for every single New Yorker
 in the shelter.

This criminal greed has gone on long 6 7 enough, and at the end of the day, the blame 8 lies with a governor that has not put any meaningful resources into tackling this 9 10 problem at its root. The blame lies with a 11 legislature that has not effectively 12 challenged him into addressing this moral crisis. 13

14 The solutions to the problems we face 15 are not a mystery. We have been fighting for 16 them for years. First and foremost, we need 17 a new housing subsidy program that can 18 actually cover apartments at the market rate. 19 Rental assistance is the fastest and most 20 effective way to get people out of temporary 21 shelters and into permanent homes.

22 Other states have created programs 23 that actually cover apartments at the market 24 rate. In New York City, we have CityFHEPS,

1 which will only cover apartments up to \$1400 2 for most individuals, which is woefully 3 inadequate if you consider that the average one-bedroom apartment in New York City rents 4 5 for \$2860. It is criminal for the city to give out vouchers when it knows that there 6 7 are not enough apartments to be covered by them. 8

We cannot continue on this path. We 9 10 must fight for a New York where everyone has 11 a home. New York State must make a plan to 12 end homelessness, and it must start by 13 investing in the needs of homeless people. 14 We need our Senate and Assembly to fight for \$500 million for a state-funded 15 16 rental assistance program to help New Yorkers and those at risk of becoming homeless. 17 18 Funding rental assistance will help tens of 19 thousands of people and will put us on the 20 path to having a New York homes guarantee. 21 Thank you, and I apologize for going 22 over time. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 23

24 Michael.

1	MR. McKEE: Good evening afternoon.
2	I should say evening.
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Almost.
4	MR. McKEE: Almost. It feels like it.
5	I'm sure you're more tired than I am. It's
6	kind of tiresome to listen to three hours of
7	somebody patting Andrew Cuomo on the back.
8	First of all, my name is
9	Michael McKee. I'm the treasurer of
10	Tenants Political Action Committee.
11	I want to at the risk of cutting
12	into my time, I have to take a moment to say
13	thank you for the Housing Stability and
14	Tenant Protection Act of 2019. There are
15	many reasons this happened, including a
16	tremendous grassroots organizing campaign led
17	by the Upstate-Downstate Housing Alliance.
18	The fact that we flipped the State Senate and
19	turned it blue and turned it overwhelmingly
20	blue, it would never have happened if that
21	had not happened.
22	But I also want to single out
23	Senator Kavanagh and Senator Myrie and
24	Mr. Cymbrowitz, the chair of the

Housing Committee, for a terrific job of
 putting this bill together. And I want to
 particularly thank you for Part M, a very
 unexpected and pleasant surprise.

5 I want to also thank Senator Salazar 6 and Assemblymember Glick for the Loft Tenant 7 Protection Bill -- very, very important and 8 very dear to my heart.

9 I'm here to ask you to tax the rich 10 and house the poor. I mean, really, this is what it's down to. We can't sit here in this 11 12 day and age and talk about millions of 13 dollars to address the problems of public 14 housing and housing the homeless -- a hundred 15 million here, 450 million there. I want to 16 remind you that that 450 million had nothing to do with Andrew Cuomo. It was the 17 18 State Assembly that put that into the budget. 19 All Andrew did was hold it up and refuse to turn it over to NYCHA. 20

21 We've got to start talking in terms of 22 billions, and you've got to stop being afraid 23 to raise taxes on rich people. You've been 24 able to afford to cut taxes on rich people --

when I say "you," I don't mean you particular 1 2 people, I mean you the institution. You've 3 been able to cut taxes on rich people, you've 4 been able to afford to cut taxes on big 5 corporations. Our Governor has wasted billions of dollars on stupid economic 6 7 development projects that have afforded him a photo op, you know, ribbon cutting, and then 8 go belly up, like his famous Central New York 9 10 film studio.

11 This is ridiculous. We're asking for 12 \$3 billion for public housing. If you don't 13 do this at some point soon, it's going to be 14 too late to save public housing. It's just 15 going to be too late. And we can't let that 16 happen.

17We're asking for at least \$500 million18for a realistic rent subsidy program. And we19want to give a shout out to Senator Krueger20and Assemblymember Hevesi and Senator21Kavanagh and Assemblymember Cymbrowitz22for these bills that we think is a very good23model for how to do this.

24 We're tired of hearing we can't afford

1 it. You've got to get beyond that. We want 2 full funding for supportive housing. We believe Andrew Cuomo owes us 14,000 units. 3 4 He promised 20,000. Where are the other 14,000? 5 We need a Tenant Opportunity To 6 7 Purchase Act. We want you to get rid of 421-a and 485-a tax subsidies. These are 8 boondoggles. They are the worst way to 9 10 subsidize and create housing. Get rid of it. 11 That money could go into something really 12 useful, instead of funding market-rate 13 housing. 14 I want to make a pitch for increasing 15 funding to the Neighborhood Preservation 16 Companies and Rural Preservation Companies programs. I think all of you know what 17 18 benefit these companies do for the State of New York and for our communities. 19 20 And finally, the State Senate had a 21 very interesting hearing two weeks on code 22 enforcement. I learned a lot just sitting and listening to some of the people 23 24 testifying. The state used to fund code

enforcement. Governor Mario Cuomo cut it out of the budget in 1990 and 1991. It's never been put back. I think if you really want to do something about the lack of code enforcement, especially in upstate New York, you're going to have to put some money into the program.

8 To paraphrase the old American Express 9 TV commercial, don't come home without it. 10 We're looking to you, our friends, to do 11 this. You're going to have to fight the 12 Governor to do this -- as well as, perhaps, 13 some of your more conservative members.

14 I'm not going to go into a lot about 15 the Office of Rent Administration except to 16 say that the most important thing you can do is not to give them more money but to change 17 18 the laws so that you tie their hands. This is an agency that will do anything it can to 19 20 let landlords off the hook for their failure 21 to comply with the law. Their whole approach 22 is to cut everything down the middle instead of enforcing the law and protecting tenants 23 24 and preserving affordable housing. So the

best way to do this is to tie their hands by
 changing the law.

3 We specifically urge you to restore the rent registration system to its pre-1993 4 5 state. For some reason this did not end up in the 2019 act. In 1993, the rent 6 7 registration system was gutted and it is now a voluntary program. If you put it back to 8 where there are significant penalties for 9 10 failure for register or filing a fraudulent registration, you're going to increase 11 12 enforcement of the rent laws. 13 Thank you very much. 14 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 15 We go to Assemblyman Blake for a 16 question. ASSEMBLYMAN BLAKE: First I just want 17 18 to say that -- how much I appreciate the 19 three of you and the work that you're doing. 20 That as we have talked about many times, that 21 this is incredibly personal for me, because 22 my family was homeless. And so I think too often we ignore putting a face on the reality 23 24 that without one paycheck, how things can

1 change dramatically for many families. 2 So I just had to start there. And 3 whenever we see you all, you give us strength and we appreciate you. 4 5 Very quickly -- because I know our colleagues will have many different 6 7 questions -- specifically in the Housing Justice for All campaign, which I know we're 8 doing a workshop during Caucus Weekend around 9 10 this, is there one element of it that you 11 think we especially need to make it critical, 12 that has to happen within that? Because a 13 lot of times we have big packages and people 14 don't internalize the elements within them. 15 MS. SOLTANI: So we want all of it. 16 (Laughter.) ASSEMBLYMAN BLAKE: Of course. Yes. 17 18 I know. 19 MS. SOLTANI: And we won't stop saying 20 that. I think Felix and I are talking about 21 homelessness, and Michael also did -- but we 22 also have zero dollars in the budget right now for public housing, which is just a 23 24 disaster and horrendous. And our government

needs to be doing much more to address public
 housing and the crisis across the state.

3 So there isn't just a single part of this. I think the housing crisis is hitting 4 5 everybody, every low-income brown and black New Yorker across the state in epic 6 7 proportions. And we need -- I think that's what Michael was talking about in terms of 8 taxing the rich. We need that kind of 9 10 resources to actually tackle this crisis and end homelessness and fund a New York homes 11 12 guarantee.

ASSEMBLYMAN BLAKE: Absolutely. And as we hopefully transition from a state of crisis, which we are too often always in, to finally getting to a place where people have better opportunities, can you give us a sense of what would be the impact of passing the Tenant Opportunity to Purchase Act?

20 MR. McKEE: Well, you did it for 21 mobile home residents last year, which was 22 great. I mean, that section of the law was 23 really a win-win-win. I mean, it was really 24 great. I wish you would do the same for the

1 rest -- every other tenant in the state. 2 If you're going to cap rents for 3 manufactured-home tenants at 3 percent per year with a hardship provision that landlords 4 5 can get more if they can prove hardship, why not do it for all unregulated tenants 6 7 everywhere in the state? I mean, it would be a simple bill to draft, and I don't see why 8 we don't do that. 9 10 You don't have to have a survey, you 11 don't have to see what the vacancy rate is, 12 you don't have to have a vote to -- by the 13 local legislature to opt in. I mean, 14 obviously it wouldn't be as strong as strong 15 rent control, but it would be a very good 16 supplement to a rent control program. ASSEMBLYMAN BLAKE: Thank you. 17 18 MR. McKEE: And, I'm sorry, I didn't address the Tenant Opportunity to Purchase. 19 20 I mean, this is something, you know -- and 21 Senator Myrie is, I read, drafting a bill 22 that if a building goes up for sale, tenants would have an opportunity to purchase it and 23 24 keep it affordable.

1 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 2 MR. McKEE: And presumably as a 3 limited-equity co-op. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate? 4 5 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. Housing Chair Brian Kavanagh. 6 7 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thank you. First of all, thank you, Mr. McKee, 8 for your kind words about our work last year 9 10 and for knowing what Part M is, which I'm -because -- because for those of you that 11 12 aren't as familiar as Mr. McKee, that's the 13 portion of the law that deals with all the 14 non-rent-regulated -- has all the many 15 protections that we added to the law that 16 protect tenants statewide. And there is actually some talk outside this room now 17 18 about how that's being interpreted with 19 respect to broker fees and -- so that's going 20 to be a continuing topic as we see how that affects the many millions of tenants that are 21 22 not part of the rent-regulation system. And I echo my colleague Michael 23

24 Blake's comments about the work of each of

your organizations in advocating over many
 years, and particularly Ms. Soltani's comment
 that it's very important that we're hearing
 from tenants, that we're hearing from
 homeless people directly who are experiencing
 these things.

7 And I think a lot of -- you know, we 8 had five hearings around the state on the rent laws last year, and I think a lot of the 9 10 value of that was hearing directly from 11 tenants. And I know your organization played 12 a big role in making sure that tenants were 13 able to get to those hearings and express 14 their point of view directly to the 15 Legislature.

I'd just like to talk briefly about --16 we've spent a lot of time over a number of 17 18 years now arguing about the capital budget, 19 about the sort of money for public housing, 20 and I continue to join you and many others in 21 suggesting that it's grossly inadequate. But 22 I want to focus particularly on -- the focused conversation about direct rent 23 24 subsidies I think is getting -- you know,

it's kind of a newer thing for a lot of
 folks. And particularly in housing, it's
 been considered a type of public assistance
 program in the past.

5 So can you just talk a little bit about -- you know, one argument is, you know, 6 7 you work for the long term, you invest in capital, you build the right buildings, you 8 get the right programs. Why is it also 9 10 important that we have sort of a rental subsidy program as well, like the housing 11 12 access vouchers or Home Stability Support? MS. SOLTANI: So I think the most 13 14 immediate way to help a homeless person who's 15 low-income or somebody who's experiencing 16 homelessness is by giving them the resources to pay rent. As we've seen, it's taken far 17 18 too long for us to build the kind of housing 19 to reduce our homelessness crisis in any

20 meaningful way.

And so the way we always frame it, and the way local members always fight for it when you hear them speaking publicly, is the fact is that we need money to pay the rent

1 today. And these programs, like the voucher 2 that you introduced recently, like Home 3 Stability Support, not only helps people pay the rent and get out of homelessness, but it 4 5 actually prevents people from becoming homeless if you're severely rent-burdened. 6 7 And so it's an immediate way to really have a meaningful impact in people's lives today. 8 MR. McKEE: I just want to add to that 9 that there are -- you know, I was really 10 stunned to read in the Daily News today when, 11 12 in the article about the new 13 Kavanagh-Cymbrowitz bill, a representative of the Governor, unidentified, said "Rent 14 15 subsidy programs often perpetuate the cycle of homelessness." 16 What? I mean -- come on, guys. I 17 18 mean, the problem is not subsidies. The problem is lack of subsidies. 19 20 There are a few things you can do if 21 you really want to help homeless people or 22 near-homeless people. You can increase the housing supply and make sure you're building 23 24 affordable housing. You can roll back rents,

1 roll back high rents so they're more 2 affordable. Or you can give low-income 3 people some assistance to help them pay the 4 rent. Those are the three things you can do. 5 And/or you can do all three. But to say that, you know, subsidies, 6 7 you know, continue the cycle of homelessness -- I mean, that's just -- I'm 8 sorry, that's 1984. 9 10 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Okay. In the interest of -- sorry, Felix. Did you have 11 12 something to add? 13 MR. GUZMAN: Hello. Hi. I actually 14 just wanted to touch base on a couple of 15 things. 16 The money is there because apparently we're throwing hundreds of millions of 17 18 dollars at these shelter providers, 19 for-profit and nonprofit. And at the end of 20 the day, nonprofits that are receiving money 21 should be held accountable to a higher 22 standard because they receive monies from where they do. And again, like if 23 24 they're not providing permanent housing, then

1 why are we throwing hundreds of millions of 2 dollars at some of these providers? 3 And if you want to talk about actually ending homelessness, we've got to redirect 4 5 the monies that are actually being provided for each person's bed and locker in a shelter 6 7 into actually some kind of proper program or something, because more is being spent on 8 actually a bed and a locker in a shelter for 9 10 homeless individuals than the shelter 11 vouchers are actually going for. 12 So at the end of the day, the money is there. Whether that be mismanagement of 13 funds or creating and perpetuating 14 15 homelessness, the industry of homelessness 16 and the manufactured problem that it is -- we should actually start holding accountable 17 18 whoever is managing this money and actually creating pathways out of homelessness. 19 20 Thank you. 21 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thank you all for 22 your testimony. And we do hope to have additional opportunities to testify on these 23 24 matters in the coming days.

1 Thank you. 2 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 3 Assemblyman Ortiz. 4 ASSEMBLYMAN ORTIZ: Thank you, Madam Chair. 5 6 Thank you all for being here. And, 7 Mike, thank you very much, for every single one of you, for your testimony. 8 9 I just want to be on the record very 10 quick that the Neighborhood Preservation 11 Companies and Rural Preservation Company 12 programs not only are very beneficial for my community, but for the state. And I did 13 14 address that to the commissioner. And the 15 reason I ran out was because I had a 16 conversation with her -- not just about this, but a few other issues that are very critical 17 for the people of my district, which by 18 19 default will impact the people of the State 20 of New York. 21 But thank you for supporting that and 22 putting it in your testimony. This is 23 very --24 MR. McKEE: I lobbied the bill into

1 law in 1977, the Neighborhood Preservation 2 Companies bill, I worked with Denny Farrell, and I lobbied the Rural Preservation 3 Companies Act into law in 1980, and they were 4 5 very gratifying experiences. And I think, you know -- some people 6 7 said you're creating a Frankenstein monster. I said I don't think so, I think these groups 8 are essential to -- you know, it's a 9 10 community-based approach to solving housing 11 problems. 12 ASSEMBLYMAN ORTIZ: I know. And I do have a quick question for Ms. Flowers. You 13 14 live in a shelter as we speak, right? MS. SOLTANI: So I work in an 15 organization, but Ms. Flowers is a leader at 16 the organization that I work at, at VOCAL-NY. 17 18 So she's a community leader. 19 But she's currently getting back to 20 New York City because she has to be in her shelter before the curfew hits, otherwise she 21 could lose her bed. 22 ASSEMBLYMAN ORTIZ: My question -- my 23 24 question is -- it's more about logistics,

1 about whether or not the shelter -- how many 2 social workers are there? What is the 3 protocol regarding breakfast, lunch, and 4 dinner? 5 And you stated that a person from 9 a.m. to -- 10 p.m. is when you can come 6 7 back, is the curfew, and a person who probably might be able to -- they only 8 consume vegetable foods, for example, or 9 10 maybe halal foods or others. How does the shelter accommodate these people, and if they 11 12 do or if they don't? MS. SOLTANI: She'll be the first to 13 14 tell you that they don't, and that she 15 doesn't get -- she doesn't have the food that 16 she would want to be eating. She's not as healthy as she wants to be because of the 17 food she eats. 18 19 I think there's a lot of media that 20 you can read of news articles about how a lot 21 of people have complaints, especially in the last few months, about this problem in 22 New York City. 23 24 I think you can probably speak to this 1 a lot better.

2	MR. GUZMAN: Hello? (Mic problem.)
3	I would like to say something about
4	Ms. Flowers is currently in an Acacia
5	shelter. As we know, they're actually under
6	investigation by the Department of
7	Investigation, and it's just it's a
8	telltale sign.
9	There's also other organizations
10	CCS that are able to continue going
11	forward.
12	So whatever is available, that's what
13	we get, and that includes food that is not
14	adhering to our dietary needs. And again,
15	having experienced having to seek out healthy
16	food somewhere else, it's a lack of
17	consistency and also concern and care for
18	people's diets.
19	As you know, people lose weight, and
20	I'm sure that being on a vegetarian/vegan
21	diet is very much more restrictive in that
22	nature. So Ms. Flowers is an amazing person.
23	She can't be here, obviously, because she's
24	living in a shelter. And I'm just thankful

1 to be able to speak on her behalf. 2 ASSEMBLYMAN ORTIZ: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 3 Senator Salazar. 4 5 SENATOR SALAZAR: Thank you. I just want to thank, first of all, all three of you 6 7 for sharing both Ms. Flowers' experience with 8 us and your expertise with us. My question is for Michael. You 9 10 mentioned in your testimony support for repealing 421-a and also 485-a, and I agree 11 12 with you. But we less frequently talk about 13 485-a in the Legislature, frankly, and in the 14 public discourse, so I was wondering if you 15 could elaborate. 16 MR. McKEE: Well, I'm not an expert on 485-a, it's -- what I'm told by my upstate 17 colleagues is that it's the upstate 18 19 equivalent of 421-a. 20 I'm very familiar with 421-a and have been familiar with it since it was first 21 22 enacted in 1971, the same year that the State Legislature enacted vacancy decontrol. If 23

24 you look at the actual -- these programs --

421-a and 485-a cost localities billions of
 dollars every year, and they are subsidies
 that go to developers who essentially create
 market-rate housing.

5 I believe, and I have believed this for many, many years, that it is bad policy 6 7 to bribe profit-making developers to build a little bit of affordable housing by giving 8 them subsidies that allow them to build 9 10 market-rate housing. I think it is a misuse of public dollars, I believe. And sometimes 11 12 the housing that is supposedly affordable is 13 not really affordable, and it's not 14 permanently affordable. It's affordable for 15 a limited period of time.

16 Government makes this mistake again 17 and again and again. The State of New York 18 made it with the Mitchell-Lama program by 19 allowing landlords or co-ops to buy out after 20 20 years of affordability, and they make it 21 again and again and again.

22 So I believe that if we are going to 23 spend tax dollars on subsidizing the creation 24 of housing, it should be low- and moderate-income housing, and it should be permanently affordable. You can allow private developers to build it, but I don't think they should be subsidized to own it and make huge profits off of it.

And of course now what we're seeing 6 7 with the neighborhood rezonings that the 8 de Blasio administration has been pushing through the City Council -- and I think we 9 10 are seeing the end of that now, you know, considering what's happened with the last 11 12 three -- these are -- I view these as 13 attempts to allow market-rate housing to be 14 inserted into low-income communities that is 15 going to ultimate change that community in a 16 negative way.

Look at what the de Blasio 17 18 administration said they were going to do 19 with East New York. Whether this actually 20 happens or not remains to be seen, but 21 supposedly it was going to result in 6,000 22 new units of housing -- 3,000 of them affordable, 3,000 of them market rate -- with 23 24 some neighborhood amenities. And if this

1 happens, it will be just -- now, these will 2 not be Manhattan market rents, obviously, but they will be much higher --3 4 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Michael, I'm 5 going to cut you off. 6 MR. McKEE: I'm sorry? 7 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: We have two more questions --8 9 MR. McKEE: Okay. I'm sorry. I think 10 you understand what I'm saying --11 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: -- and we can 12 have -- the city government is doing things in a different direction --13 14 MR. McKEE: -- it's just a matter of 15 time until we have coffee shops and upscale 16 restaurants. 17 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 18 Assemblymember Niou. ASSEMBLYWOMAN NIOU: Hello. I 19 20 actually have two questions. One is a 21 follow-up on the 421-a issue. 22 So when we were talking to the commissioner, she was saying that she felt 23 24 like there was very few alternatives to

1 building affordable housing. What would be 2 some alternatives that you could think of? 3 MR. McKEE: Well, first of all, you have to increase revenues in a meaningful way 4 5 that would allow you to produce new housing. The State of New York funded the 6 7 Mitchell-Lama program between the years 1955 and 1979 that created -- and local 8 9 governments put in money too, and especially 10 the City of New York put in money -- which created 175,000 units, I believe, of housing 11 statewide in that 25-year period. It cost --12 13 I believe, if memory serves, I think it cost 14 about \$7 billion. Now, those were dollars back then. 15 16 But if we want to get serious about 17 this, we need to start talking about a new 18 Mitchell-Lama type program. And you know, Andrea Stewart-Cousins, at her hearing, the 19 20 Housing Committee hearing in Westchester 21 County last spring, talked about we need a new Mitchell-Lama program. I think that's 22 the kind of thing we need. We need to look 23

at that model, and we should avoid the

24

1 mistakes of the past.

2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NIOU: But in the same
3	vein for public housing, you know, people
4	talk about RAD and privatization. Do you
5	feel like there should be there's an
6	alternative to privatization?
7	MR. McKEE: We should have more public
8	housing. But the Clinton administration
9	pushed a bill through Congress that basically
10	prohibits localities from creating more
11	public housing.
12	I mean, this is a huge problem. It's
13	hard enough to preserve the public housing we
14	have, but it would be great if we could build
15	more.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NIOU: So then you would
17	suggest that we fund public housing and that
18	we get more.
19	MR. McKEE: I would if I could
20	design a program that would it would be
21	very different from what we have. It
22	wouldn't be towers in the park, it would be
23	integrated housing with lively street
24	commercial operations and green space and

1 schools and playgrounds.

2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NIOU: Like Singapore.
3	MR. McKEE: And, you know, they do
4	this in Europe, and they hire top architects
5	to build public housing with amenities, with
6	art, with playgrounds, and I mean, it's
7	I just spent a week in Vienna last fall, and
8	it was amazing to see what the City of Vienna
9	has done.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NIOU: Yes. Singapore
11	has 80 percent of its housing is public
12	housing.
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN NIOU: Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Assemblywoman
16	Rosenthal to close.
17	Oh, excuse me. Excuse me,
18	Assemblywoman. Senator Jackson first, then
19	Assemblywoman Rosenthal.
20	SENATOR JACKSON: I think next time
21	I'm going to sit up there so you can see me.
22	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: You have to tell
23	me.
24	SENATOR JACKSON: But first let me

1 thank you all for waiting towards the end of 2 the testimonies of everyone so you got to 3 hear everyone's questions. And I thank you 4 for your advocacy on behalf of the people 5 that we represent.

So one of the things I wanted to 6 7 comment on your statement, brother -- when I call you brother, it's because we all are 8 brothers and sisters from a human point of 9 10 view. Now, you said that the blame lies with 11 the Legislature that has not effectively 12 challenged him, meaning the Governor, in addressing this moral crisis. 13

14 It's all of our responsibility. It's 15 the advocates' responsibility, it's for the 16 people that you advocate for that we must educate everyone to be advocates, to put 17 18 pressure on the system to do what's right. 19 And I know that -- because you may not 20 be aware, I filed a lawsuit against the State 21 of New York -- they were cheating our children out of billions of dollars. 22 Thirteen years of litigation, walked 150 23 24 miles -- we won.

1 Last year, with the blue wave coming 2 in, the Republicans are out now in the 3 Senate, we did humongous work on behalf of residents in New York State. 4 5 That's the type of coming together, all of us working to make it happen. And I 6 7 say to you that the Governor has so much power in the budgetary process that was 8 challenged before, and the courts ruled in 9 10 the Governor's favor. But we collectively --11 not just us -- we have to do what's right to 12 make sure that we get everything we need in 13 order for affordability for everyone. 14 Because the housing justice for all is a 15 human right. But I said at the rally, I said at the 16 17 rally last week, yes, but education plays an 18 important part in that. It's been known, a 19 proven fact, that the more education you receive, the more than likely you will earn 20 21 more income, more than likely. And that will 22 close that gap between income and 23 affordability. 24 And I agree that rents are too damn

1 high. That's what the guy said when he ran 2 for mayor, and I agree with that. And you 3 heard me say earlier about the fact that if 4 landlords are discriminating against --5 because of someone's source of income or any other reason, we need to have that open and 6 7 clear so everyone knows that they're cheating 8 and discriminating us. But I thank you for coming in. I just 9 10 wanted to say this to you, that it's not only us, it's all of us working together. 11 12 Mike, thank you for your advocacy. 13 Thank both of you for coming in. And I 14 appreciate what you said on behalf of 15 Ms. Flowers. Thank you. 16 MS. SOLTANI: Can I just say -- one thing Flowers had in her testimony is that 17 18 last year 150,000 students experienced 19 homelessness across New York State. So we've 20 got to --21 SENATOR JACKSON: Oh, I'm fully aware 22 of that. I know. Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. But 23 24 stay there.

1

Assemblywoman Rosenthal.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Okay. Thankyou all for your great work.

I am the sponsor of the 421-a bill, to just get rid of it, because we have not found that the credits that we give to developers equals adequate at all numbers of affordable -- whatever affordable means -y units.

10 I always note how the streets, the sidewalks of New York City have so many 11 12 homeless people, just that's their place to 13 live, whereas above us in the sky are 14 hundreds, thousands of empty units. Do any 15 of you have any thoughts about how we could 16 take advantage of the fact that they are there, they are empty, and yet there are 17 18 many, many people who might need to live in 19 them?

20 MR. GUZMAN: Hello. So really 21 quickly, the cluster site program was 22 actually good-intentioned. Perhaps something 23 along the lines of that, but with some 24 accountability and some actually security 1 implemented.

2	Again, like we're paying shelter
3	providers and landlords, as a result of that,
4	three to four times what the vouchers
5	actually go for probably even more than
6	that. I don't really know the business of
7	homelessness. But then again, we're actually
8	paying over market rate, luxury rates for
9	penthouse apartments, maybe perhaps for
10	duplex apartments, to put someone in a bed in
11	dangerous conditions in shelter.
12	So anything anything is better than
13	that. The cluster site
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: So maybe
15	maybe people should live in those luxury
16	penthouses
17	MR. GUZMAN: Yeah, again
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: for the
19	price of a shelter.
20	MR. GUZMAN: if DHS is hasn't
21	actually to this point just created a mass of
22	their own buildings where they could actually
23	direct people in there, which would actually
24	solve that issue, then we should actually

1	look at creating vouchers or creating some
2	sort of system that allows people to be
3	placed in those apartments.
4	Again, the housing stock is is as
5	available as homelessness is present. So,
6	you know, it's something
7	MS. SOLTANI: It's more available.
8	There's more open, empty apartments
9	MR. GUZMAN: Yeah.
10	MS. SOLTANI: like you're saying.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Yeah.
12	MR. GUZMAN: You know, this is a
13	situation where like the housing stock
14	currently is available and people don't have
15	entry points to that. So we should really
16	look at seeing how that money being provided
17	to a shelter provider can actually be
18	redirected into getting someone a home.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Well, let's
20	work on that.
21	MR. McKEE: Shift the money from the
22	shelter system to subsidizing tenants.
23	Something our Governor would not agree with,
24	because he has a stake in the shelter system,

1 if you consider his history.

-	ii you constact his history.
2	But this is what it's all about. This
3	is the fight that you're going to have to
4	have. It's shifting priorities.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: I mean, we
6	also see in Berlin the rents have been frozen
7	now.
8	MR. GUZMAN: Yeah.
9	MR. McKEE: Yeah.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Just now,
11	for the next five years. I don't think that
12	would even be possible here.
13	MR. McKEE: Prevention.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you all.
17	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for
18	the work you do
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you for
20	your work.
21	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: and for
22	being here.
23	MR. McKEE: I hope you all don't have
24	to stay here until midnight.

1 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thanks. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Up, up, up, 2 3 Senator. 4 Next we have Community Action for Safe 5 Apartments, CASA, Anita Long, Pablo Estupiñan -- Espiñan? Hopefully I did not 6 7 torture your name too much -- to be followed by a panel of SAGE and Albany Damien Center. 8 Again, just a reminder that it's five 9 10 minutes for the two of you. So make sure whoever goes first leaves some time for the 11 12 other person so you don't have a fight in the hall. 13 14 (Laughter.) 15 MS. LONG: Thank you. And thank you 16 for hearing me. My name is Anita Long, and I'm a volunteer leader with CASA, which is 17 18 also known as Community Action for Safe 19 Apartments, located in the southwest Bronx. 20 And I have been organizing with them for 21 almost three years.

I'm also a member of the Housing
Justice for All, under the banner today of
New York Homes Guarantee 2020. And we're

fighting because we all understand that all
 tenants in New York, no matter where they
 live, deserve basic protections.

Now, in June of 2019 we won changes to
an antiquated rent control system here in
New York. No one gave us this. We fought
for it. And we organized for it. And we had
a vision and we did advocacy for it. And
that was all the things that have changed the
rent laws today.

11 So now you may ask why we're here 12 today. And it is 2020. We are here because 13 we have to finish an uncompleted agenda. We, 14 the tenant movement, we understand that we 15 cannot rest on our laurels because we know 16 that we have to continue to fight so that all tenants get the benefits they deserve from 17 the new rent laws and also what we left off 18 19 the table last year.

HSTPA did make a tremendous positive impact in our communities. But we also know that if we stop fighting, Governor Cuomo's HCR will undermine our wins. We know that HCR is overwhelmed by the number of

1 complaints that they're receiving, they are 2 tremendously understaffed, untrained, and are 3 using outdated technology to handle this daunting task. And this Governor only 4 5 proposed \$25 million to fix HCR. But to ensure the success of HSTPA, the Housing 6 7 Justice for All Coalition is advocating for \$500 million. 8

We all know that homelessness is on 9 10 the rise in New York City, across the state, and even across this country. In 2019, the 11 12 Good Cause and the No More MCI bills did not 13 pass, and those are the two major triggers 14 that contribute to homelessness in our 15 communities. So that's why we are here, and 16 we are going to continue to aggressively fight to pass the good-cause evictions and 17 the elimination of MCIs. 18

19 Thank you.

20 MR. ESTUPIÑAN: Good evening. My name 21 is Pablo Estupiñan, and I'm the deputy 22 director at CASA. CASA is a member-led, 23 grassroots tenant organizing group in the 24 Southwest Bronx, and we're made up of over 1 3,000 members.

2	So I'm here to affirm that CASA is a
3	proud member of the Housing Justice for All
4	Coalition and we stand in solidarity and are
5	also demanding the same things from the
6	Executive Budget: \$500 million in rental
7	assistance for homeless New Yorkers or
8	New Yorkers at risk of homelessness.
9	When we invited our partners from
10	VOCAL to come to talk to our members about
11	homelessness, we saw the solidarity of our
12	members recalling experiences in their lives
13	and living in public housing without adequate
14	conditions, being homeless, having high
15	rents. So we see the connections run deep
16	across the state, not just in the Bronx.
17	We're also here to also demand that
18	20,000 units of supportive housing be built;
19	a \$3 billion investment in public housing
20	authorities across New York; and \$500 million
21	for HCR, specifically the Office of Rent
22	Administration.
23	CASA, along with many other groups in
24	Housing Justice for All, have been advocating

1 and targeting the HCR since 2015, and we have 2 seen little shifts. In fact, I recall a recent conversation with one of the executive 3 staff at HCR in the hallway before we walked 4 5 in, in which they said "If we didn't have to meet with you so often, we'd have more time 6 7 to do the work." To which I countered, "We wouldn't be meeting with you if we didn't 8 have to explain to you how to do your work." 9 10 (Laughter.) MR. ESTUPIÑAN: And so I'll tell them 11 12 that we didn't take the summer off. 13 Immediately we regrouped, we met with tenant leaders, and we developed a 14 15 comprehensive policy platform targeting the 16 HCR. We read Part A through Part M, even when we didn't understand it. 17 18 But we are here today to -- first I just wanted to say, to wrap up -- to thank 19 20 you all for standing strong and voting for 21 the Housing Stability Tenant Protection Act. 22 But that going forward, as Anita said, the victories are meaningless unless there isn't 23 24 strong and robust enforcement.

1 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 2 Thank you for being here. 3 Senator Jackson, you're looking like you want to ask a question, so we'll call on 4 5 you. SENATOR JACKSON: I have to first 6 7 thank him, because these are advocates that have sat and waited and listened to the 8 testimony. So I thank you for the long haul. 9 10 I know what it is to wait. Believe me, I do. I'm still waiting for \$4 billion 11 12 that's owed to the children of New York 13 State, where our attorney had to file another 14 lawsuit. But times have changed. And so as 15 I said -- you heard what I said to the previous panel, okay? We're all in this 16 17 together. 18 And understanding the process, both 19 houses -- meaning the Assembly and the 20 Senate -- are led by Democrats. What we 21 don't want is for our house, meaning the 22 Senate, to change hands back again, else nothing's going to happen. So you have to 23 24 help us. Continue to advocate. All of the

1 people that you represent, the over 3,000 2 tenants, have them write and email all of their legislators and write and email the 3 Governor and put pressure on them and us. 4 5 And we're going to put pressure on you, too, to understand what the situation 6 7 that we're in -- no one didn't say that to you, and I'll tell you later. We're not in 8 an easy spot. I don't want this Senate to 9 10 turn back to Republican-led, okay? So we'll 11 talk later. 12 Thank you. 13 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 14 SENATOR JACKSON: Thank you. 15 MR. ESTUPIÑAN: Thank you. 16 MS. LONG: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 17 18 Assemblyman Blake. 19 ASSEMBLYMAN BLAKE: Thank you. It's 20 always good to see Bronx allies in the room 21 and at the table. 22 Can you just kind of personalize and crystallize the impact, particularly for our 23 24 immigrant communities? You know, good and

1 bad. I think a lot of times, again, these 2 conversations are very theoretical. Why do 3 we have to go further in providing housing protections, in particular especially for our 4 5 immigrant communities in areas like the Bronx and other places? 6 7 MR. ESTUPIÑAN: Definitely. Just to 8 clarify, you said immigrant communities? You said immigrant communities? 9 10 ASSEMBLYMAN BLAKE: Yes. MR. ESTUPIÑAN: Yes. So in the 11 12 neighborhood that we organize, many of our 13 members are also monolingual Spanish 14 speakers. And so just speaking about HCR, we 15 believe that they're not currently in 16 compliance with the executive order with 17 language access. 18 We've had members that received, like, landlord applications around MCI rent 19 20 increases who don't understand the notice. 21 It only comes out -- it's only mailed to them 22 in English. And the way it's phrased, it doesn't actually explain to tenants that if 23 24 you don't respond that you will lose your

1 opportunity to challenge the MCI.

And we've also seen cases in which landlords have submitted work orders from tenants who speak other languages as proof that they're satisfied with the work, which HCR has received as proof that everything's okay in the building and that the tenants no longer have any complaints.

And just in general, right, there 9 10 isn't enough interpreters for members to call. And just navigating the system is 11 12 hard, even for English speakers, right? We had one of our members that came to Albany 13 14 today, he took his neighbor who's homebound 15 in a wheelchair, rent-controlled, over to the 16 office in Fordham, and they sent him -- they said to him: "This is the wrong office, you 17 18 have to go to Jamaica for rent-controlled 19 tenants." In my seven years, I did not even know that. 20

21 And then when they did -- when he 22 called me to ask "What should I do next?" I 23 said, "Call them to get your rent history." 24 They called, and no one was available to

speak -- like, there wasn't a live person to 1 2 even speak to them about their rent history. 3 So let's say there are really large gaps for people who speak different 4 5 languages. And that actually really impacts 6 their ability to want to fight back, claim 7 their rights, file rent reductions, file overcharge complaints, keep accurate record 8 releases. It just makes it really impossible 9 10 for them to even want to navigate the system. ASSEMBLYMAN BLAKE: Thank you. 11 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman 12 Ortiz. 13 14 ASSEMBLYMAN ORTIZ: Thank you, Madam Chairwoman. 15 16 And also I would like to echo the Senator for his comment. I would add my 17 comment to his comment as well. 18 19 I just have two quick questions. How 20 many attorneys do you have in CASA? 21 MR. ESTUPIÑAN: Zero. We only have 22 organizing staff. But we partner with Bronx Legal Services on a weekly basis. 23 24 ASSEMBLYMAN ORTIZ: Okay.

1 MR. ESTUPIÑAN: And they have two 2 attorneys who staff Thursday legal clinic. 3 So anyone who comes to our office from 11 to 4 6 will be seen by an attorney, but they are only able to provide counsel and not take on 5 any litigation. 6 7 ASSEMBLYMAN ORTIZ: The second 8 question that I have is where your funding stream comes from. 9 10 MR. ESTUPIÑAN: Our funding? A quick 11 breakdown is like 70 percent from city 12 contracts around doing education around 13 tenants' rights, foundations, and private 14 donations from our supporters. 15 ASSEMBLYMAN ORTIZ: And the last 16 question I have is, how many people work in 17 CASA? How many? MR. ESTUPIÑAN: We have 10 total 18 19 full-time staff, ranging from supervisors to 20 campaign organizers to organizers whose sole 21 focus is working with organizing tenant 22 associations in the building. But I will say, around our structure 23 24 in CASA, is we do spend a lot of our

1 resources and time and are not compensated 2 for our work. So a great example is today. 3 Right? As part of being part of Housing Justice for All, it's really important for us 4 5 to be able to bring our members, because we could not kind of afford to do that work on 6 7 our own. ASSEMBLYMAN ORTIZ: So the -- and how 8 many bilingual people do you have? 9 10 MR. ESTUPIÑAN: In CASA? All of our 11 staff speak Spanish and other languages. 12 ASSEMBLYMAN ORTIZ: Other languages. And you don't get no contract from the state 13 14 at all for your services? 15 MR. ESTUPIÑAN: Uh, no. We inquired 16 into receiving funding from DHCR. We understand that they allocate funding for 17 18 some affordable housing preservation every 19 two years. But they haven't -- when we asked 20 Senator Rivera's office to support into the 21 inquiry, we did not receive -- the window had 22 opened -- the application window is really small, as we understand, and they haven't 23 24 really made an attempt to expand who they

1 give funding to.

2	ASSEMBLYMAN ORTIZ: And my last
3	question is do you offer services just in the
4	Bronx, or you do services throughout the
5	city, Yonkers, Westchester? What is your
6	catchment area?
7	MR. ESTUPIÑAN: Highbridge, Cardi B
8	<pre>{ph}, Strato {ph}. Also Concourse Village,</pre>
9	Mount Eden. So we're really local. But I
10	know that I like to think of us as really
11	local, but our reach is far.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN ORTIZ: Well, thank you
13	for your service. And I used to work in the
14	Bronx many, many years ago, and one of my
15	jobs was to deal with neighborhood
16	preservation for the borough president. In
17	those days, it was Fernando Ferrer.
18	And I think that the work that you
19	guys do and continue to perform deserves some
20	more funding, and you should reach out to the
21	legislators as well.
22	Thank you.
23	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
24	Thank you both for being here today.

1 Next we have -- thank you, that's --2 oh, I'm sorry. Wait, wait, sit down. 3 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Sorry, just --CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Pablo? Pablo, 4 5 sit down. SENATOR KAVANAGH: Just briefly. 6 7 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Anita? Pablo, 8 sit, sit. We have one more question, sorry. SENATOR KAVANAGH: Yeah. Sorry, we 9 10 weren't -- we had some miscommunication up 11 here. 12 I didn't -- I shouldn't -- I don't 13 want to hold you, but I do want to say that, 14 you know, we've had opportunity to speak 15 separately about your work and your platform 16 on making sure that these laws, that the Home Stability and Tenant Protection Act, are 17 18 going to be implemented properly. 19 And, you know, we did question, in our 20 limited time here today, question the 21 commissioner about the resources necessary to do that and the manner in which they're 22 interpreting that, and we will -- we'll 23 24 continue to do that work.

1 But I just wanted to take the time to 2 publicly thank you and your organization for 3 your advocacy last year and for your very 4 thoughtful approach to ensuring that that law 5 has the effect that we all hope it will. 6 So I just want to thank you very much. 7 MR. ESTUPIÑAN: Great, thank you. We look to partnering in the future. 8 9 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for 10 being here. That was our exercise program, having to get up and down in the chairs. 11 12 (Laughter.) CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Next we have a 13 14 panel: SAGE, Melissa Sklarz, and Albany 15 Damien Center, Perry -- Perry, please, rather 16 than my mess up your last name, just say it when you sit down. 17 18 MR. JUNJULAS: Junjulas. Thanks. 19 MS. SKLARZ: Hey, you all. I quess 20 good evening, then. 21 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Good evening. MS. SKLARZ: So let's see. So thank 22 you for having us tonight. So on behalf of 23 24 SAGE and our lesbian, gay, bisexual and

transgender elders we serve, thank you to the members of this committee for holding the hearing today and allowing me to present testimony. My name is Melissa Sklarz. I'm the senior government relations strategist at SAGE.

7 Founded in 1978 in New York City, SAGE is the country's first and largest 8 organization dedicated to improving the lives 9 10 of LGBT elders. Service-enriched LGBT-friendly housing is crucial for our 11 12 state's LGBT elders. Aging alone is difficult. We've heard for hours today and 13 14 all of you deal with it in your work here in 15 Albany, knowing that aging is difficult. And then when you add LGBT people into it -- with 16 thin support networks, no guarantee of family 17 18 support, struggling to keep their houses once 19 people age, they're out of work.

20 So what we've been doing at SAGE is 21 we've made sure that people that age, 22 LGBT-identified people, have a place to go. 23 We have a 10-state study from 2014 24 conducted by the Equal Rights Center and SAGE

1 that found that 48 percent of same-sex older 2 couple testers seeking housing have 3 experienced discrimination. Data from these studies shows the pervasive challenges that 4 5 LGBT elders face when seeking housing in New York. Again, we've been hearing it today 6 7 about older people pricing out of neighborhoods, neighborhoods they've lived in 8 for decades. 9 10 Our LGBT older New Yorkers need and deserve affordable, welcoming housing. 11 12 That's why, 42 years after our founding, SAGE 13 is realizing a dream. We are opening LGBT-friendly affordable housing in New York 14 15 City. Our first housing project is Stonewall 16 House down in Fort Greene, Brooklyn, in Assemblymember Mosley's neighborhood. This 17 18 year we'll be opening up our second house in 19 Crotona Park North, in East Tremont, in 20 Assemblymember's Blake's neighborhood. 21 The Brooklyn house will have 145 22 100 percent-affordable apartments for low-income elders, 25 percent for formerly 23 24 homeless elders. Of course all of our --

1	both of these buildings will have SAGE
2	Centers that will provide full services, full
3	options from case management to healthcare,
4	of for feeding.
5	And the Crotona residence in the Bronx
6	will have 83 residences, it will have a
7	10,000 square foot SAGE Center it will be
8	the largest SAGE Center in the city. The
9	development will house a diverse elder
10	population. Thirty percent of these will be
11	chronically homeless elders.
12	Each of these SAGE Centers will be
13	open not only to the residents but also
14	elders throughout the neighborhood. And this
15	will be great. It will add a component to
16	both of these neighborhoods that is
17	well-needed. There is a senior center in
18	Fort Greene, but we're going to increase
19	that, and with state-of-the-art services.
20	And we're very excited about that.
21	The Fort Greene is located on the
22	Ingersoll House campus. The average level of
23	household income: \$23,889. So we're really

24 excited about the options and opportunities

1 that will be available to low-income

2 residents in the neighborhood and for people
3 at NYCHA.

At SAGE we're very grateful for the 4 5 support we've gotten from New York State to provide for care management and support 6 7 services. In fiscal year 2021 we're asking for a restoration of the \$100,000 in support 8 9 to provide comprehensive care management, 10 care center programming and support services to low-income predominantly LGBT elder 11 12 residents in and around New York State's 13 first LGBT-welcoming elder housing 14 development. 15 SAGE also requests a restoration of 16 \$200,000 in support of our general services and expenses in support of our state's 17 elders. In total, SAGE is requesting a 18 19 restoration of \$300,000 in fiscal year 2021.

20 Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
 MR. JUNJULAS: Thank you for the
 opportunity to present testimony today. My
 name is Perry Junjulas, and I'm the executive

director at the Albany Damien Center. And I
 also represent the Ending the Epidemic
 Coalition, which is comprised of over 90
 organizations across New York State dedicated
 to ending the AIDS pandemic.

But most importantly, I'm a person who 6 7 was diagnosed with AIDS 25 years ago, who was told at the time that he had three months to 8 9 live. I am fortunate enough to be here today 10 to testify in front of you because of the 11 investments New York State has made to help 12 persons like myself who were dying at the time of AIDS. 13

14 Today I'm here to testify on behalf of 15 4300 very-low-income persons struggling with 16 HIV who are unstably housed or homeless outside of New York City -- persons who may 17 not survive AIDS unless we intervene and 18 19 help. These are also people who will 20 continue to spread the HIV virus because 21 their viral load is not suppressed. For them, it is 1980. 22 At the end of 2018, we have data that 23

24 shows we have 108,000 persons in New York

State who are living with HIV and AIDS. In
 2018 we had over 2400 new HIV infections in
 New York State. We have the tools to end
 this.

5 Since 2016, in New York City, every single low-income person with HIV has had 6 7 access to a rental subsidy that provides a 30 percent rent cap. In short, every person 8 diagnosed with HIV in New York City has 9 10 access to safe, affordable housing and thus 11 is having better health outcomes than those 12 outside of New York City. So we are starting 13 to see great disparities in the health of 14 people with HIV and AIDS in New York City 15 compared to the rest of the state.

16 So we were very pleased that the New York State 2020 budget included our 17 18 proposal to make \$5 million in New York State 19 funding available to enable departments of 20 social services outside of New York City to 21 voluntarily partner with local health payers, 22 such as MCOs and PPSs, and community-based organizations such as ours, to provide 23 24 meaningful rent assistance for homeless and

unstably housed low-income New Yorkers with
 HIV outside of New York City.

3 This New York State funding would have leveraged matching dollars of at least 4 5 5 million from local partners, for a total of 10 million annual HIV housing investment. 6 7 Ample evidence shows that dollars spent on HIV rental assistance generates Medicaid 8 savings from avoided emergency and inpatient 9 10 care that offset the cost of housing 11 supports.

12 However, the funding year 2020 budget 13 language included language that undermined 14 the ability of the local districts to secure 15 local partners and propose successful plans. 16 The Aid to Localities language required -said that any savings realized through the 17 18 improved housing stability be recaptured to 19 reduce the state investment, while requiring 20 the local partners to continue providing the 21 funds and pay 100 percent of the cost for 22 housed participants in perpetuity.

As we predicted, unfortunately nolocal district proposed to opt into the

1 program as written. And the result was that 2 the \$5 million allocated last year was not 3 spent and not one single person with HIV was 4 housed.

5 We're very happy again to see in the 6 2021 Executive Budget again that there is the 7 \$5 million, and actually a appropriation also 8 of last year's \$5 million. However, we were 9 very dismayed to see that the proposed 10 language continues to include the same 11 language.

12 So it's critical to the success of the 13 program that the language be changed to allow 14 the local partners to propose the best use of 15 the healthcare savings realized through 16 improved housing status, including sharing savings among the local social services 17 18 district and the health payer, to support 19 program and administrative costs and to 20 provide ongoing HIV housing subsidies. 21 Attached to my written testimony are the 22 proposed changes to the budget language that are necessary to be able to move this program 23 24 forward.

1 The Albany Damien Center and the 2 Ending the Epidemic Community Coalition 3 strongly urge the Governor and the 4 Legislature and this committee to please 5 fully support this \$5 million rest-of-state 6 HIV housing program by including the revised 7 language in the enacted FY 2021 budget and passing the ELFA Article VII language to 8 9 authorize the use of these funds. We believe 10 that this \$10 million investment will support sufficient housing subsidies to finally 11 12 afford equal access to safe and supportive 13 housing for persons with HIV in every part of 14 New York State. 15 Certainly at the Albany Damien Center, 16 right up the street, I'm continuing to see a large number of persons who are homeless who 17 have HIV who I do not have spots for. This 18 19 money will help. 20 So thank you. 21 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 22 Assemblyman Blake. 23 ASSEMBLYMAN BLAKE: Thank you very 24 much.

1 And I just wanted to go on record and 2 say to Melissa and everyone at SAGE that we 3 are incredibly excited within our district --4 and definitely of course follow the lead of 5 Assemblymember Mosley, what they're doing in Brooklyn -- but I think this could be a 6 7 prototype that can be done across the city and across the country. You know, people may 8 9 not think about the urgency, especially for 10 LGBT seniors, to have spaces and 11 opportunities. So I just want to say aloud 12 how excited we are, and we're grateful for 13 everything you all are doing. 14 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblymember Ortiz. 15 16 ASSEMBLYMAN ORTIZ: Thank you, Madam 17 Chair. 18 I just have a quick question. I was reading your statement in the back -- and 19 20 thank you for the work that you do. Thank 21 you very much. But I have a quick question. 22 Many years ago, 1988, 1989, I used to work for Selfhelp Community Services. And those 23 24 days, when the AIDS epidemic took place,

there was a program that was developed as a
 result of a young kid in Florida called Ryan
 White.

And for those of us who remember the 4 5 Ryan White contract, one of the additions to the new Ryan White contract was to have a 6 7 program established for new guardians. The new guardians, you probably remember -- if 8 you do -- was to ensure that any individual 9 10 suffering from HIV or AIDS that are on their 11 terminal life, at the end of their life, that 12 there will be a mechanism in process to make 13 sure that if they have children, they will be 14 transitioned into a new guardian.

15I used to run that program. My16organization at that point was the one who17got the first contract in the City of18New York, called Selfhelp Community Services.19My question to you is that -- I don't20know if the new guardian program or the21Ryan White program is still around anymore,

22 but is there something in place within your 23 sector about those who are terminally ill and 24 what kind of transition they go through

1 today?

2	MR. JUNJULAS: So certainly, if I
3	understand your question, it Ryan White
4	funds have been invaluable to helping persons
5	living with HIV throughout the state.
6	The hard part is not having enough
7	dollars for the housing to be able to you
8	know, without housing a person cannot get to
9	the medications that they deserve. That
10	often, you know, is the thing that is going
11	to be able to save their lives. They're not
12	able to get to the doctor, they're not able
13	to get to so many other things. And for
14	people living with AIDS, taking their
15	medication and getting to the doctor is
16	critical, absolutely critical.
17	Also, in 2016 there's new information
18	that showed that if we get a person's viral
19	load, like mine, down to an undetectable
20	level, which means it's really small, we
21	cannot infect other people. So part of
22	this these dollars is also really working
23	to eliminate the pandemic, to reduce the 2500
24	new infections we're seeing every year in

New York State so we can get that down to a 1 2 very, very low level so our grandkids can 3 say, you know, What was that thing called 4 AIDS, Grandpa, when you were living and 5 growing up? 6 ASSEMBLYMAN ORTIZ: Yeah. Well, I did 7 a lot of work on that, and I want to make sure that I put on the record that I'm 8 willing to help and to do whatever I can to 9 10 make sure that we can bring this kind of justice to this kind of program. Because 11 12 what you do is very critical, and we should 13 support it. 14 Thank you very much. 15 MR. JUNJULAS: Thank you. 16 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 17 Thank you for being here today. 18 Next we have a panel of the Community 19 Service Society of New York, the New York Land Bank Association, and Empire Justice 20 21 Center. 22 So you can begin. You can do it in the order -- yeah, well, you can just do it 23 24 in the order that I called you.

MS. MIRONOVA: Sure. Can you hear me?
 Yeah, okay.

3 Thank you so much for the opportunity to offer comments on the budget and for 4 5 sticking around until the bitter end. My name is Oksana Mironova, and I'm a housing 6 7 policy analyst with the Community Service Society. CSS is an independent nonprofit 8 organization that addresses some of the most 9 10 urgent problems facing low-income New Yorkers, including the effects of the 11 12 city's affordable housing crisis. I'm going to talk about three main 13 14 topics -- the relationship between rent 15 regulation and enforcement, rental 16 assistance, and public housing. And you've already heard a lot about the need to fund 17 ORA specifically for increased enforcement. 18 19 We know that the historic Housing 20 Stability and Tenant Protection Act of 2019 21 only increases the need for strong 22 enforcement. The new rent laws will depend on ORA's ability to monitor and regulate 23 24 vacancy rents, which will no longer be

1 subject to vacancy bonuses. ORA will also 2 have an increased responsibility over 3 monitoring and auditing individual apartment 4 improvements and major capital improvement 5 increases over very long timelines, so 15 to 30 years. 6 7 Further, with the removal of geographic restrictions, the rent laws may 8 eventually cover an increased number of units 9 10 dispersed all across New York State. 11 It is apparent that the agency's 12 workload has increased exponentially since the passage of the new rent laws. That is 13 14 why it is absolutely vital for HCR's budget 15 to increase accordingly. 16 Now I'm going to move on to rental 17 assistance. 18 The major programs for creating new 19 affordable housing today are capital subsidy 20 programs that provide resources for 21 constructing apartment buildings but not for 22 heating, maintaining and otherwise operating them. Capital subsidies can reduce the rents 23 24 only so much, and rent payments are still

needed to cover the costs of operating the
 building. That is why existing programs are
 failing homeless people and those at the risk
 of homelessness.

5 Subsidies to lower rents below operating costs are the missing ingredient in 6 7 today's housing programs, and rental assistance programs, much like the federal 8 Section 8 program, fill that gap. There are 9 10 two bills in the Legislature that would 11 establish a state rental assistance program, 12 both of which CSS supports -- the Housing 13 Access Voucher program and the Home Stability 14 Support program.

15 Both of those programs direct rental 16 assistance to the people who need it most and provide mandated relief to local governments 17 18 that are struggling with limited resources. 19 Both address homelessness by doing what most 20 present-day housing programs don't do: 21 Enable people with incomes near or below the 22 poverty line to afford their rent.

In addition to that, we also supportthe End Income Bias NY Coalition's budget

1 request for a minimum of \$5 million towards 2 the enforcement of the new source-of-income 3 discrimination law. Similarly to rent regulation, rental assistance programs depend 4 5 on strong enforcement. And now I'm going to move on to public 6 7 housing. We all know that public housing is in 8 dire crisis in New York State. NYCHA has a 9 10 40 billion capital dollar backlog. Smaller 11 public housing authorities across the state 12 are subject to less media coverage and also 13 don't make their capital needs public. 14 However, authorities in cities like 15 Rochester, Buffalo, Syracuse and Albany 16 likely have capital needs that are parallel to NYCHA's, given a similar history of 17 federal, state and local disinvestment. 18 19 State funding is critically needed to 20 improve living conditions, and CSS recommends 21 the state allocate \$3 billion for public 22 housing across New York State. Solutions to the state's housing and 23 24 homelessness crises will require substantial

1 investment and a significant reorientation of 2 the state's budget and tax policy. One 3 potential place to start is to eliminate 421-a, which cost the city \$1.6 billion in 4 5 forgone tax revenue in 2019, and has shown time and time again to be extremely 6 7 inefficient in supporting the state's housing affordability goals. 8 9 Thank you. 10 MR. ZARANKO: Hello. Good evening, 11 and thank you to the honorable members of 12 this joint committee for the opportunity to 13 testify about New York State's land bank 14 program. 15 My name is Adam Zaranko, and I have 16 the honor of being both the executive director of the Albany County Land Bank and 17 18 the president of the New York State Land Bank 19 Association, which is a statewide association that supports New York's land bank program, 20 21 which is among the most active and effective networks of land banks in the nation. 22 Land banks are not-for-profit local 23 24 public authorities equipped through New York

1 State law with the authority and flexibility 2 needed to reclaim the tremendous number of 3 vacant and abandoned properties devastating our communities in New York State. There are 4 5 currently 25 land banks in the state. We expect up to 10 more to be formed with 6 7 respect to the recent increase on the legislative cap adopted by this body two 8 9 years ago.

10 Land banks are necessary because 11 history has not been kind to most of New York 12 State's communities. It's been 12 years since the 2008 financial crisis devastated 13 14 neighborhoods across the state and many of 15 our communities are still reeling from the effects. It's been 70 years since the 16 post-World War 2 suburbanization of America 17 18 hollowed out our urban cores, creating an 19 imbalance in the housing supply and leaving 20 behind entire populations that lacked the 21 economic opportunity and mobility to 22 participate in the new American dream. It's been 90 years since the introduction of the 23 24 federal redlining maps that encouraged the

intentional disinvestment of communities of
 color and ethnic groups that created a legacy
 of economic and racial segregation in cities
 like Albany, Buffalo, Rochester, and Syracuse
 that still persists today.

6 Today, the inequality plaquing 7 New York's communities caused by this history is staggering. The disparity between white 8 and black homeownership rates in cities like 9 10 Albany and Buffalo are among the widest in 11 the nation. And left unaddressed, the 12 economic disparity and pervasive inequality 13 gap will continue for generations.

14 We don't have to look far to see the 15 impacts of this history. Consider Arbor 16 Hill, which is about a five-minute walk from where we're sitting today. Arbor Hill is one 17 18 of the lowest-opportunity neighborhoods for U.S. children in the country, scoring a 1 out 19 20 of 100 on the Child Opportunity Index. The 21 neighborhood lacks quality affordable 22 housing, and its renters are rent-burdened or severely rent-burdened. More than 25 percent 23 24 of buildings in Arbor Hill are abandoned.

1 That's over 100 vacant buildings, one for 2 every 38 people that live in that community. 3 Unfortunately, there are too many neighborhoods throughout New York State like 4 5 Arbor Hill struggling to recover from a hundred years of disinvestment. For every 6 7 urban community in the state struggling, there are also multiple rural communities 8 9 grappling with their own affordable housing 10 crisis. Land banks eliminate blight and 11 12 transform vacant and abandoned properties into affordable homes that serve as a 13 foundation upon which our communities can 14 15 rebuild. Along with proactive code 16 enforcement and foreclosure prevention, we can eliminate disparities and change the 17 18 trajectory of even our most distressed 19 neighborhoods. 20 To date, New York's land banks have 21 been funded primarily through the New York 22 State Attorney General's Community

24 obtained from settlements from the 2008

23

Revitalization Initiative, using funding

1 financial crisis. While this money has been 2 incredibly helpful to land banks and the 3 communities that they serve, there's currently no additional funding identified 4 5 for land banks beyond December 31st of this 6 year. 7 In order to continue our collective work and foster the growth of new land banks, 8 the New York State Land Bank Association 9 10 respectfully requests \$40 million in funding be added to the FY 2020 state budget to fund 11 12 land banks for the next several years. The New York Land Bank Association has 13 14 developed a model that would provide 15 reoccurring, adequate and predictable public 16 funding to New York's land banks -- something that most successful land banks in the nation 17 18 have secured. More information is in your 19 packets, and the Land Bank Association is always prepared to roll up our sleeves and 20 21 get to work. 22 We can and do have many discussions about the historic origins of vacant 23

24 properties, who's to blame or who should be

1 responsible for dealing with them, the 2 astronomical costs associated, including 3 insurance premiums and other things. But what we really need to do is weigh the costs 4 5 of not continuing to invest in our communities and reclaiming vacant properties. 6 7 The New York Land Bank Association believes the cost is way too high, and I hope that you 8 would all agree. 9 10 We can't go back and change the past, 11 but we can certainly continue to work 12 together to make a better future for our 13 state. 14 Thank you. 15 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Kirsten? 16 MS. KEEFE: Hi. Hi. Is that on? My name is Kirsten Keefe, and I am a 17 18 senior attorney with the Empire Justice 19 Center. I too want to thank you for the 20 opportunity to testify, and really thank you 21 all for still being here. I have testified 22 at hearings at this hour to one or two people, so it's really appreciated. 23 24 So I'm here to mostly talk about the

1	Homeowner Protection Program, which I know
2	there's been a lot of conversation on.
3	Before I do that, though, I just want
4	to mention one thing in the budget, and I
5	don't think anyone has mentioned it yet
6	today. But in the Governor's Executive
7	Budget, Part O, he includes a proposal that
8	would eliminate the STAR credit and any
9	additional exemptions if a homeowner
10	missed fell behind just one year in their
11	property taxes. So we are opposing that, and
12	we are really encouraging the Assembly and
13	the Senate not to include it in your
14	one-houses and to reject it.
15	You know, it really is, in our view
15 16	You know, it really is, in our view I understand how theoretically it might seem
	_
16	I understand how theoretically it might seem
16 17	I understand how theoretically it might seem like an incentive to get people to pay their
16 17 18	I understand how theoretically it might seem like an incentive to get people to pay their taxes. I'd say it's my experience and the
16 17 18 19	I understand how theoretically it might seem like an incentive to get people to pay their taxes. I'd say it's my experience and the experience of my fellow advocates that people
16 17 18 19 20	I understand how theoretically it might seem like an incentive to get people to pay their taxes. I'd say it's my experience and the experience of my fellow advocates that people know to pay their property taxes. If they
16 17 18 19 20 21	I understand how theoretically it might seem like an incentive to get people to pay their taxes. I'd say it's my experience and the experience of my fellow advocates that people know to pay their property taxes. If they don't, it's more often than not because they

1 down.

2	Okay, so regarding the Homeowner
3	Protection Program, one, thank you for the
4	attention that it's gotten in today's hearing
5	and for all the questions. I'm certainly
6	here to testify in support of continued
7	funding of \$20 million.
8	HOPP is really New York State's main
9	program, along with the NPCs and RPCs, to
10	preserve homeownership in New York State.
11	HCR doesn't have any similar dedicated
12	program because back in 2008 it originally
13	started a foreclosure prevention services
14	program made up of now, today, 87 housing
15	counseling and legal services programs that
16	provide services and make services available
17	in every county of New York State. So it is
18	a very fair program across the state.
19	Homeowners have equal opportunity to housing
20	counseling and legal services throughout the
21	state.
22	I think we'd be hearing a lot more
23	about problems that homeowners are
24	experiencing with lenders we really

haven't, I don't think, through the years because this system has been set up and we are at this point in time a very well oiled machine and really providing assistance to I think over half of the homeowners who are in default and distress in New York State.

7 In my testimony there's a very detailed history of the program and where the 8 funding has come to. I will also say that in 9 10 my testimony, in Footnote 5, I actually 11 reprinted the budget language from last year. 12 There have been some questions, so I just want to clarify. In last year's budget HOPP 13 14 was funded through a reappropriation of a \$25 million pot of funding from the 2015 MOU 15 over the Chase money. So that original pot 16 of money was not 30 million. I believe the 17 18 commissioner thought that it was 30 million. It's actually a 25 million pot. 19

20 Up to 20 million was allocated last 21 year to the Office of the Attorney General, 22 and then there was language that the 23 remaining could be appropriated April 1, 24 2020.

1 So we believe that there is 5 million 2 in the pot currently left over from the 20 to 3 25 million. I do not know whether or not 4 there's an additional 5 million that has not 5 been spent in the 20 million that was 6 allocated last year to the Office of the 7 Attorney General.

So I certainly hope the commissioner 8 is right that there is 10 million already 9 10 there to be allocated, and that would be great. But, you know, I just wanted to 11 12 clarify that because we might need actually 15 million from the State Legislature. 13 14 Beyond my pay grade to figure out whether 15 that money is there, but I just really wanted 16 to clarify that.

17 Also in my testimony we outline 10 18 programs and laws that will be deeply 19 impacted if these services are not funded. 20 And let me be very clear, there is no other 21 dedicated source for foreclosure prevention 22 services throughout New York State. The vast majority of these services will go away 23 24 starting April 1, and the rest will probably

decline, or almost all the rest will decline
 over the rest of the year.

There are 10 programs, most notably the mandatory settlement conferences, that are required in every residential foreclosure case in New York State. These programs are now embedded with the courts, working with homeowners in the settlement conferences. They depend on these services.

10 There is a notice that New York State 11 mandates be sent by lenders to homeowners at 12 least 90 days before they can file a foreclosure, and that notice must include a 13 14 list of five housing counseling agencies in 15 the geographic region. The HOPP agencies are 16 the agencies listed on that notice. If HOPP is not continued, they will not be able to 17 18 comply with the law.

CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you all
 for being here.

21 And Kirsten, thank you for helping --22 I know we had some conversations after the 23 commissioner's testimony, and obviously a lot 24 of us are very concerned about that funding

1 and have been for a number of years and would 2 like to have something more stable than the 3 response of: We'll negotiate during the budget negotiations, we'll talk about that 4 5 extra 10. But we're going to try and get to the 6 7 bottom of whether in fact it's 10 million or 5 million that is available. And rest 8 assured that there are strong advocates here 9 10 for funding to be in place. We know the good 11 work that all of you do in this area. 12 So thank you all for being here. 13 ASSEMBLYMAN CYMBROWITZ: Thank you. 14 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Now we have a number of individuals -- well, first let 15 16 me -- is Betsy Kraat from Kingston Tenants Union here? I don't believe so. 17 So now we have several different 18 19 individuals. I'll call them one at a time. 20 First, Richard Flores, are you here? Oh, 21 come on down. Are you going to -- it's not a game show, but we're waiting for you. There 22 may be a better prize at the end. 23 24 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And then if you

1	know you're planning on testifying tonight,
2	if you wouldn't mind moving towards the front
3	so when we call you don't have to come from
4	all the way in the back. Thank you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So just as
6	Mr. Flores is settling in, the next person
7	is and I'm sorry if I don't get your name
8	pronounced correctly Zohran Kwame Mamdani,
9	and then Boris Santos.
10	And is has is Karim Walker here?
11	If you are, you are the final individual.
12	So begin when Mr. Flores.
13	MR. FLORES: Good afternoon. My name
14	is Richard William Flores. I'm 54 years of
15	age. I'll make this very brief. I'm
16	originally a resident of Queens Village,
17	New York. I've been homeless twice in
18	13 years first in 2009, then from 2015
19	till now.
20	I've sought assistance from several
21	agencies in New York that are supposed to
22	help individuals who are looking for or
23	assistance for a place to live, including at
24	DHS, Coalition for the Homeless. And I was

1 denied because I receive Social Security 2 Disability insurance. And before that, I was denied assistance because I received 3 unemployment insurance. 4 5 I was simply told that I received too much money from the state. And to my 6 7 understanding, they were supposed to provide some sort of assistance other than sending me 8 9 to a city shelter. 10 I'd like to stray away from this for a 11 moment and just pose a question to you, 12 and -- which you've probably dealt with 13 before. I think there are probably many 14 individuals like me who unfortunately didn't know how the law works in reference to how 15 16 one can become evicted and what you can do to 17 stop from being evicted. 18 In the times that I was evicted, I 19 didn't have legal counsel. So when I went to court, I naively did whatever the judge told 20 21 me to do, which was if you can't pay, you 22 have to vacate the premises. Not we'll give you a length of stay or we'll look at your 23 24 situation or what they do with people who

sign a lease. And I apologize if I sound
 naive, but I actually was that naive.

3 So what I'm asking here is I would 4 implore you to build more affordable housing 5 units in New York City and more affordable 6 housing units are needed to help the state's 7 most vulnerable populations and requires a 8 continued investment in services to function 9 as needed.

10 I think there's a real problem with agency in our country. Throwing people out 11 12 into the street is not a solution in New York 13 or anywhere in our country. And affordable 14 housing is but one of the necessities of life that's needed to be addressed in America. 15 Ι think the other thing that needs to be 16 addressed is equality, whether it's education 17 18 or whether it's your place of employment, and those two factors often lead to individuals 19 20 becoming homeless.

I don't have the knowledge to speak to you in terms of dollars and cents. I can only really speak to you from my own personal position. And I would imagine that everyone

1 here would translate that into what that 2 means in terms of dollars and cents and how 3 that money is allocated to help individuals. 4 That's all I'd like to say. 5 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So on behalf of all the members, we thank you for being here. 6 7 It's helpful for people to see the face behind some of the work that we're trying to 8 accomplish for our homeless population and 9 10 others who need affordable housing. Thank you for being here. 11 12 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. Thank 13 you very much. 14 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So next we have Zohran Kwame Mamdani. 15 16 MR. MAMDANI: Hello. Good evening. CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Good evening. 17 MR. MAMDANI: Thank you so much for 18 19 having me. 20 My name is Zohran Kwame Mamdani, and 21 I'm here to talk today about the housing crisis across our state. I'm a foreclosure 22 prevention housing counselor, and I'm going 23 24 to be speaking from my experience in that

1 profession.

2	One of the most troubling issues we
3	face in housing today is the rise of
4	speculative investors treating our
5	neighborhoods like investment portfolios
6	instead of communities. Last year housing
7	advocates across the state descended on
8	Albany to let our elected officials know that
9	we would no longer accept the loopholes in
10	the rent laws that allowed too many families
11	to be displaced, too many units to be
12	deregulated, and too many predatory landlords
13	to make unprecedented profits at our expense.
14	After decades of struggle, the voices
15	of the tenant movement won, and the state
16	passed, with the help of so many of you in
17	this room, a landmark package of rent laws
18	that are already making a significant
19	difference in our communities.
20	However, unscrupulous landlords have
21	been allowed to continue to use exploitative
22	methods and predatory equity tactics to
23	extract wealth from our communities by
24	focusing on unregulated buildings. This has

included making large portfolios out of one and two-family homes that until now have
 served as the building blocks of housing
 stability and intergenerational wealth
 building for our communities.

6 This is why I urge lawmakers here in 7 Albany and here today in this committee to 8 pass a good-cause eviction law and to also 9 push for good-cause eviction legislation in 10 the budget and pass a budget with it 11 included.

12 Good-cause eviction will put in place 13 basic tenant protections for most tenants who 14 currently live precariously in their homes by 15 the good graces of their landlord. Tenants 16 in unregulated housing units will have the right to a lease renewal unless the landlord 17 18 can present a legitimate reason not to, such 19 as persistent failure to pay rent or causing 20 serious damage to a property.

As a housing counselor, the agency I work for regularly meets with tenants who are too scared to ask their landlord to turn the heat on during winter or remove mold from the

1 bathroom because they knew that once their 2 lease expired, the landlord could refuse to 3 give them a new one and kick them out of their home. This protection allows tenants 4 5 to speak out when their rights are being violated without the fear that their landlord 6 7 will see them as a troublemaker and simply refuse to renew their lease. 8

This is critical for New Yorkers 9 10 across the city and the state, and especially for the district I live in, which is in 11 12 Astoria. Many of the tenants who live in 13 Astoria live in unregulated apartments. Our 14 district has a diverse housing topography, 15 including many one-to-four-family homes and 16 multifamily buildings. In order to guarantee housing stability in the midst of 17 gentrification, we need a good-cause eviction 18 19 law.

20 The real estate industry has made a 21 bogeyman of good-cause eviction. They say 22 that if we pass this bill, it will hurt small 23 homeowners, especially property owners of 24 color. This is a flat-out lie. And I say

1 this not only from analysis of the 2 legislation, but also from my personal 3 experience. The vast majority of clients that I work with are immigrants of color who 4 5 are new to this country and who have 6 one-to-three-family homes. 7 Now, the good-cause eviction law 8 already has exemptions for owner-occupied one-to-three-family homes, and I'm astutely 9 10 aware of the challenges that working-class homeowners face to maintain their homes. 11 12 What this eviction law will do is to extend 13 basic tenant rights to millions of New 14 Yorkers while being sensitive to the needs of 15 struggling homeowners. Good cause will be a 16 win for all community members. Good-cause eviction will help stem the 17 tide of the rise of small-home investors. 18 19 More and more of our housing stock, including 20 one-, two-, and three-family homes, are being 21 bought by corporate investors and 22 private-equity firms, crowding out homeownership opportunities for our community 23 24 members. This trend has taken off in the

1 last decade. Many investors see housing as 2 an arena where they can make a killing, and 3 with hardly any constraints on evicting tenants, small homes are a great way to 4 5 maximize returns. Astoria has been swarmed by these 6 7 predatory investors. In 2005, about 5 percent of Astoria's one- and two-family 8 9 homes on the market were bought by investors. 10 And we're defining "investors" as 11 non-owner-occupants owning properties through 12 limited liability corporations. 13 And yet in the time since, the number 14 has skyrocketed. So in 2015, the investor 15 frenzy hit its peak, with 41 percent of homes 16 on the market being bought by those investors. Today, investors are still 17 18 muscling out prospective buyers with nearly 19 one in three homes -- the exact percentage 20 being 26 percent of purchases on the market 21 being by investors. This trend mirrors what 22 we've seen across Queens and in New York City 23 in recent years.

24

This data is from something called

1 GeoData, which is a private realtor database. Now, one thing I had -- you know, this 2 3 is all in my testimony, and I just want to 4 expand on one thing beyond what's written -is that too often I've found that when we 5 talk about legislation, it's either discussed 6 7 as being pro-tenant or being pro-homeowner. And as a counselor of low-income homeowners 8 and also as a tenant myself, I think that 9 10 it's very important to talk about how that kind of analysis leaves out the role of 11 12 speculative interests and leaves out the role of investors who are seeking to make a 13 profit. 14 15 I guess that's my time. 16 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. And 17 18 we do have your testimony. Thank you for --19 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you for 20 being here with us today. 21 MR. MAMDANI: You're very welcome. Thank you for having me. 22 23 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. 24 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Next, Boris

1 Santos, to be followed by Karim Walker. 2 MR. SANTOS: Good evening, everyone. 3 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Good evening. MR. SANTOS: I want to start off by 4 5 saying -- I'm sorry, I'm naturally a loud speaker -- there's 28 Assemblymembers in the 6 7 Housing Committee, 11 Senators in the Housing committee, a total of about 40 legislators. 8 There's about half at the moment. What I 9 10 want to say is thank you for sticking it through this grueling hearing. Thank you, 11 12 thank you, thank you. 13 I also want to say there's a qualifier 14 to testifying that might bar you from 15 testifying, which is you have to bring 16 50 copies, printed copies. For folks that take time out of their day to come and give 17 18 testimony -- like me -- who are working 19 class, please don't make that qualifier. And 20 please ensure that the burden is on the state 21 for those 50 copies. Just a quick reformist, 22 internal reformist mindset right there. But good evening otherwise. My name 23 24 is Boris Santos. I am a proud member of the

Democratic Socialists of America, which is an
 affiliate or partner of the Housing Justice
 for All Coalition.

I want to preface my testimony by 4 5 disclosing that the policy conclusions and recommendations that I will advocate for here 6 7 are grounded on the context of the 54th Assembly District, which is the current 8 Assembly district I live in. I am a Bushwick 9 10 resident. It is also important to note that that district overlaps considerably with 11 12 Senate District 18. All but nine election districts that fall within Assembly District 13 14 54 do not fall within Senate District 18. 15 Recently an electoral campaign -- I 16 won't say which one it is, but you can probably guess -- conducted a report on the 17 18 state of the rent-stabilized housing stock in Assembly District 54. The report is attached 19 20 to this testimony, so you all should have a 21 copy. The report made it known that since

rent-stabilized units have been deregulated
in Assembly District 54. In other words,

2007, approximately 1800 units of

22

almost 30 percent of the rent-stabilized
 units that once existed in this Assembly
 district have been deregulated. That's 1800
 less families that no longer have the right
 to renewal, limited rent increase, and
 succession rights.

7 At a time when it's becoming harder 8 and harder to live in our city and in this state and to put food on the table, these 9 10 families could benefit with additional rent 11 protections. And it is my belief that the 12 good-cause eviction bill is the only 13 legislative proposal on the table that can 14 give back those rights to those tenants.

15 The state of renters and the dimming 16 of their rights that has occurred in Assembly District 54 is emblematic of the housing 17 18 situation across the state, and it therefore 19 should not be viewed in a silo. In other 20 words, it is a microcosm of what is happening 21 in the entire state. For this reason, I demand that good-cause eviction be placed in 22 23 both houses' legislative budget proposals. I 24 know there is an issue or a controversy or a

1	need of fixing of the requirement of
2	1.5 times CPI. That can be worked out, and I
3	am sure the sponsor wants to do that as well.
4	On to the next, public housing. You
5	all have all heard it today. I don't want to
6	elaborate as much, and I want to make sure my
7	time is I make use of my time. In
8	Assembly District 54 we have Roosevelt
9	Houses, we have 303 Vernon, we have Bed-Stuy
10	Rehab, and we have the already privatized-
11	managed Hope Gardens \$255 million of
12	capital deficit out of that conservative
13	\$32 billion, conservative \$32 billion capital
14	deficit within NYCHA.
15	It is unacceptable that this Governor
16	didn't give not one second of his time to
17	public housing residents. Let's be honest.
18	He doesn't care about poor people, low-income
19	people, people of color. How can you not
20	mention for one second public housing? Since
21	elected in 2010, the Governor, in tandem with
22	the State Legislature, have only allocated a

24 residents. That amounts to \$50 million a

23

total of \$550 million to public housing

1 year. And that is unacceptable to tenants 2 that I share a neighborhood with. 3 And public housing is a size, in the 4 City of New York, bigger than that of 5 countries. We need to make sure that we're 6 making the best effort to not only preserve, 7 protect, but expand our resources and fund it in our public housing resources. 8 9 So please fund it to the fullest 10 degree possible today. Y'all know of the progressive budget revenues that y'all can 11 12 pass -- ultra-millionaire's tax, stock 13 transfer tax, stock buyback transfer tax, 14 et cetera. I could go down the list. 15 Pied-à-terre, et cetera. Y'all worked on 16 many. Can I just finish my testimony? I'm 17 18 very passionate right now and I want to feel 19 myself a bit. Sorry. Thank you. 20 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: You just have a 21 few minutes -- a few seconds to. 22 MR. SANTOS: All right, got it. 23 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: -- since we've 24 been not allowing --

1 MR. SANTOS: So I know there's a 2 \$3 billion figure in the Housing Justice for All Coalition. I'm not even tied to that. 3 Fund it more than that if you can, because we 4 5 haven't done much in the past decade. Homelessness, we all know there is a 6 7 80,000 number as pertains to HUD, 80,000 homeless living in the State of New York, 8 please support the brilliant Liz Krueger's 9 10 bill, Housing Stability Support. Put it in 11 the budget. 12 And lastly, Small Home 13 Anti-Speculation Act. Eighteen hundred to 14 2,000 homes in the last five years from 2013 15 to 2017 have been flipped in the City of 16 New York. If you don't know what flipping is, that means a big real estate developer 17 18 corporation takes -- purchases a home, gut 19 renovates it, makes it look very nice and 20 gentrified, and then sells it for substantial 21 profits. 22 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you --MR. SANTOS: Everyone should be signed 23 24 on to this.

1	And I will conclude by saying this
2	Governor
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: No, you're
4	MR. SANTOS: Thank you so much, Madam
5	Chair. Thank you
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We gave you
7	MR. SANTOS: other Madam Chair.
8	Thank you, everyone.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: more than a
10	minute extra and
11	MR. SANTOS: I really, really
12	appreciate it.
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: You should
14	appreciate that. You know, thank you. We do
15	have all of the materials that were
16	submitted, so not to
17	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: We appreciate
18	your pointing out that some people might have
19	trouble making 50 copies. So we were just
20	saying that if you come to either of our
21	offices to testify for another hearing, we
22	can help make the copies.
23	And if you're testifying if you're
24	submitting without testifying, you just need

1 the one copy to go online.

2	MR. SANTOS: Thank you so much,
3	Madam Chair. God bless you. Y'all have a
4	great evening.
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
6	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Is Karim Walker
8	here? I don't believe he checked in. Okay.
9	So this then concludes the Housing
10	hearing. We will be reconvening the joint
11	budget hearings on Monday at 11 a.m., for the
12	Local Governments hearing. We have four
13	hearings scheduled next week four days of
14	hearings scheduled next week. Actually, five
15	hearings, four days.
16	Thank you all. Thank you, members,
17	for staying here.
18	(Whereupon, the budget hearing
19	concluded at 6:23 p.m.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	