1		E NEW YORK STATE SENAT BLY WAYS AND MEANS COM	
2			
3		JOINT LEGISLATIVE HEAR	ING
4	20	In the Matter of th 23-2024 EXECUTIVE BUDG	
5		HUMAN SERVICES	
6			
7			ring Room B islative Office Building
8		_	any, NY
9			ruary 13, 2023 35 a.m.
10	PRESIDING		
11	INESIDING		
12		Senator Liz Krueger Chair, Senate Finance	Committee
13		Assemblywoman Helene Chair, Assembly Ways	
14	PRESENT:		
15	PRESENT:		
16		Senator Thomas F. O'M Senate Finance Commit	
17		Assemblyman Edward P.	
18		Assembly Ways & Means	Committee (RM)
19		Senator Jabari Brispo Chair, Senate Committ	
20		and Families	
21		Assemblywoman Maritza Chair, Assembly Commi	Davila ttee on Social Services
22		Senator Roxanne Persa	ud
23		Chair, Senate Committ	ee on Social Services
24		Assemblyman Ron Kim Chair, Assembly Commi	ttee on Aging

2	2-13-23	
3	PRESENT:	(Continued)
4		Senator Cordell Cleare Chair, Senate Committee on Aging
5		Assemblywoman Kimberly Jean-Pierre Chair, Assembly Committee on Veterans' Affairs
7		Senator Jessica Scarcella-Spanton Chair, Senate Committee on Veterans,
8		Homeland Security and Military Affairs
9		Assemblyman Khaleel M. Anderson
10		Senator John C. Liu
11		Assemblyman Eric M. Dilan
12		Assemblywoman Jennifer Lunsford
13		Senator Samra G. Brouk
14		Assemblywoman Yudelka Tapia
15		Senator Andrew Gounardes
16		Assemblywoman Grace Lee
17		Assemblyman William Conrad
18		Assemblywoman Jo Anne Simon
19		Senator Jake Ashby
20		Assemblyman Jeff Gallahan
21		Assemblywoman Taylor Darling
22		Assemblyman Brian Maher
23		Senator Dean Murray
24		Assemblyman Chris Eachus

1 2023-2024 Executive Budget Human Services

2	2-13-23	
3	PRESENT:	(Continued)
4		Assemblywoman Sarah Clark
5		Senator Rob Rolison
6		Assemblywoman Marcela Mitaynes
7		Assemblyman Chris Burdick
8		Assemblywoman Jessica González-Rojas
9		Assemblywoman Karines Reyes
10		Senator Alexis Weik
11		Assemblywoman Phara Souffrant Forrest
12		Assemblyman Tony Simone
13		Assemblywoman Pamela J. Hunter
14		Assemblywoman Jenifer Rajkumar
15		Assemblyman Sam Pirozzolo
16		Assemblywoman Marjorie Byrnes
17		Senator Peter Oberacker
18		Assemblyman Juan Ardila
19		Assemblywoman Alicia Hyndman
20		Assemblyman Andy Goodell
21		Assemblyman Lester Chang
22		Senator Rachel May
23		Assemblyman Angelo J. Morinello

1 2023-2024 Executive Budget

Human Services

1	2023-2024 Executive Budget Human Services		
2	2-13-2023		
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS		
4		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
5	Suzanne Miles-Gustave, Esq. Acting Commissioner		
6	NYS Office of Children and Family Services		
7	-and- Daniel W. Tietz		
8	Commissioner		
9	NYS Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance	13	31
L O	Greg Olsen		
1	Acting Director NYS Office for the Aging -and-		
12	Rev. Viviana DeCohen Director		
L3	NYS Division of Veterans' Services	180	194
4			
_	Meredith Chimento		
_5	Executive Director		
16	Early Care & Learning Council		
. 0	Steven Morales		
17	NY Policy Director		
	All Our Kin		
8 .	-and-		
	Krista Hesdorfer		
_9	Government Relations Manager		
	Hunger Solutions New York		
20	-and-		
	Kate Ryan		
21	Executive Director		
	Adirondack Birth to Three Alliance		
22	-and-		
	Elizabeth Isakson, MD		
23	Executive Director		
) /1	Docs for Tots		
24	-on behalf of-	296	315
	Help Me Grow NYS	200	\mathcal{I}

```
2023-2024 Executive Budget
   Human Services
 2 2-13-2023
                      LIST OF SPEAKERS, Continued
 3
                                          STATEMENT QUESTIONS
  Gail Myers
    Deputy Director
 5 NY StateWide Senior Action Council
        -and-
 6 Rebecca Preve
   Executive Director
 7 Association on Aging in New York
        -and-
 8 Kimberly George
   President and CEO
 9 Project Guardianship
        -and-
10 Beth Finkel
    State Director
                                           341
11 AARP New York
                                                     356
12 Trudy Morgan
   Policy Director
13 NYS Network for Youth Success
        -and-
14 Bonnie Landi
   Chair
15 NYS YouthBuild Coalition
        -and-
16 William T. Gettman, Jr.
   CEO
17 Northern Rivers Family
    of Services
18
        -and-
   Timothy Hathaway
19 Executive Director
    Prevent Child Abuse New York
20
        -and-
   Kathleen Brady-Stepien
21 President & CEO
   Council of Family and
22
   Child Caring Agencies
        -and-
23 Nicole Bryl
   CEO
24 Children's Health Home of
```

Upstate New York

382

1	2023-2024 Executive Budget Human Services			
2	2-13-2023			
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS,	Continued		
4		STATEMEN	JT (QUESTIONS
5	Joan Gerhardt Director of Public Policy			
6	and advocacy			
7	New York State Coalition Against Domestic Violence			
8	-and- Emilia Sicilia			
9	Managing Attorney Empire Justice Center			
10	-and- Dede Hill			
11	Director of Policy Schuyler Center for Analysis & Advocacy			
12	-and- MJ Okma			
13	Senior Manager of Advocacy and Government Relations			
14	SAGE-Advocacy & Services for LGBTQ+ Elders			
15	-and- Stephen Piasecki			
16	Upstate Coordinator			
17	Supportive Housing Network of New York			
18	-and- Melinda Mack			
19	Executive Director New York Association of			
20	Training and Employment	414	433	
21				
22				
23				
∠ J				

	2023-2024 Executive Budget Human Services		
2	2-13-23		
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS,	Continued	
4		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
5 6	Gavin T. Walters Sr. NYS Dwyer Coalition Facilitator Hudson Valley National Center for Veteran Reintegrating		
7	-and-		
8	Kirby Hannan Board Member		
9	New York State Council of Veteran Organizations	459	467
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Good morning.
2	I am Helene Weinstein, chair of the
3	New York State Assembly's Ways and Means
4	Committee and cochair of today's hearing.
5	Today we begin the sixth in a series
6	of hearings conducted by the joint fiscal
7	committees of the Legislature regarding the
8	Governor's proposed budget for 2023-'24. The
9	hearings are conducted pursuant to the
10	New York State Constitution and the
11	Legislative Law.
12	And today the Assembly Ways and Means
13	Committee and the Senate Finance Committee
14	will hear testimony concerning the Governor's
15	budget proposal for human services.
16	I'll now introduce the members who are
17	here from the in the majority in the
18	Assembly, and then Senator Krueger, chair of
19	the Senate Finance Committee, will introduce
20	her colleagues. And then our ranking members
21	will introduce their colleagues.
22	So first we have the chair of our
23	Social Services Committee, Assemblywoman

Maritza Davila. We have Assemblyman Conrad,

1	Assemblywoman Lee, Assemblywoman Lunsford,
2	Assemblywoman Simon.
3	And I just wanted to mention that some
4	of our that Assemblyman Hevesi
5	unfortunately chair of our Children and
6	Families Committee couldn't be here today.
7	We have Assemblywoman Clark with us.
8	Senator Krueger?
9	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
10	much.
11	Good morning. We are joined by
12	Senator Jabari Brisport, Roxanne Persaud,
13	John Liu.
14	Senator O'Mara, from the Republican
15	rankers side, is running a little late, but
16	we are joined also by Senator Murray,
17	Senator Weik, Senator Ashby, and
18	Senator Rolison.
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman Ra,
20	if you can introduce your colleagues.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Yes, good morning.
22	We are joined by Assemblyman Gallahan;
23	Assemblywoman Byrnes, our ranker on Children
24	and Families; and Assemblyman Maher, our

1	ranker on Social Services.
2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
3	I just want to so we have
4	Assemblywoman Darling, who's joined us. And
5	I do think oh, sorry, and
6	Assemblyman Eachus, who's joined us.
7	And with that, let me go over the
8	ground rules for today. For both witnesses
9	and members, I just want to mention the time
10	clocks and the times. That's well,
11	actually for the governmental witnesses, let
12	me start there, you'll each have your panel,
13	but you each have 10 minutes to make your
14	presentations. And after both of you have
15	concluded, we will then have questions from
16	the respective members of both houses.
17	Nongovernmental witnesses, many of
18	whom are I believe sitting here will have
19	three minutes to make their presentation.
20	And I believe everyone will be in a panel.
21	Each member of the panel gets three minutes,
22	and then members will have an opportunity to
23	ask questions.

In terms of the legislators, in terms

1	of asking questions of the governmental
2	witnesses, they're 10 minutes for the
3	chair that's 10 minutes for the panel, not
4	each individual witness. For the rankers of
5	those of the respective committees, it's
6	five minutes to ask questions and answers.
7	All other and the chairs do get a second
8	round of three minutes if they need it.
9	All other members, it's three minutes
10	to ask questions. And when I say ask
11	questions, it's questions and to get the
12	answer.
13	Senator Krueger and I have needed to
14	have a gavel handy after we had an incident
15	at our first at one of our early hearings.
16	We've yet to have to use it. And as Senator
17	Krueger says, we haven't figured out if it's
18	to bang the gavel on the table or to throw it
19	at unruly individuals.
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Legislators, not
21	the audience.
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Not the
23	
25	audience, yes. The legislators.

1	don't make us have to make a decision on
2	that.
3	(Laughter.)
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So with that, I
5	believe and I know there will be a number
6	of members coming and going. We do ask the
7	members to please indicate, by the time the
8	governmental panel has finished making the
9	presentation, if you want to ask a question.
10	Because otherwise we find that people come in
11	an hour into it and start asking questions
12	that have already been asked.
13	So with that, I'd like to introduce
14	our and welcome our first presenters for
15	today, Suzanne Miles-Gustave, acting
16	commissioner, New York State Office of
17	Children and Families, and Daniel Tietz,
18	New York State Office of Temporary and
19	Disability Assistance.
20	So if Suzanne, if you can go first,
21	and then we'll move on. Thank you.
22	Oh, and just before you go, I'm sorry,
23	I just want to both for everyone
24	listening, all of your testimony has been

1	distributed and received by the members, so
2	please don't read your full testimony. The
3	time goes much faster than people think it
4	does, and then we end up not hearing the meat
5	of what you want to present to us.
6	So thank you.
7	Senator Krueger, did you want to
8	introduce some colleagues?
9	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I do. I just
10	want to introduce Senator Cordell Cleare.
11	Did any other Senators sneak in? I
12	think that's it. Thank you.
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. I'm
14	sorry, Suzanne, if you can begin.
15	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
16	Thank you. Good morning, Chairs Krueger and
17	Weinstein, and Children and Families
18	Committee Chairs Brisport and Hevesi in
19	his absence and distinguished members of
20	the Senate and Assembly.
21	I am Suzanne Miles-Gustave, the acting
22	commissioner of the New York State Office of
23	Children and Family Services. I appreciate
24	this opportunity to present Governor Hochul's

fiscal year 2024 budget and its potential to
enhance our mission a mission I know is
near and dear to each of you as well
ensuring all of New York's children, families
and communities are served and uplifted.

This year's Executive Budget makes smart, responsible investments and provides ongoing support for OCFS's core childcare, child welfare, and juvenile justice programs while advancing a bold and ambitious agenda to make New York more affordable, more livable, and more equitable for all New Yorkers.

The Governor's budget proposals thoughtfully weave investments in children and families into the fabric of the larger human services network, rebuilding New York's mental health care system and addressing the housing crisis.

Never before in New York's history
have we had a Governor with such a deep
commitment to increasing access to quality,
affordable childcare than Governor Hochul.
From the moment she took office, it has been

L	among the Governor's top priorities to build
2	the strong and equitable childcare system
3	that New Yorkers need and deserve.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Building on the extraordinary momentum of last year's budget, this proposal increases the state's commitment to childcare assistance to an unprecedented 7.6 billion over four years. The budget would also increase the income eligibility limits for childcare assistance to 85 percent of the state median income -- that's approximately 93,000 per year for a family of four. This is the maximum allowable by federal law and will make an estimated 113,000 children newly eligible for childcare assistance. In total, the Executive Budget invests over 1 billion for the New York State Child Care Block Grant, an increase from year to year of \$137.5 million.

And we'll address the patchwork of standards across the state, ensuring that all of our most vulnerable families -- such as those who are unhoused or those who are caring for children in foster care or kinship

care -- are provided the childcare assistance
they so desperately need.

There's no doubt we need this funding to make childcare assistance available to more families. But we also know that less than 10 percent of all eligible children actually receive assistance. Although there are many reasons this might be the case, one barrier is New York's cumbersome and antiquated application process, which makes it far too difficult for families to apply for assistance. This year's budget includes important initiatives to streamline and centralize the childcare assistance application process.

First, we'll launch a statewide online solution that will allow families to pre-screen for eligibility and apply for assistance electronically.

Additionally, for families that already participate in other programs such as SNAP, HEAP, Medicaid and WIC, the budget proposes to eliminate the senseless and redundant requirement that these families

1	also prove their income eligibility for
2	childcare assistance. This will provide
3	easier access for an estimated
4	83,000 children and families that are already
5	eligible.

Last year we invested in a second round of stabilization grants for providers, with at least 75 percent of those funds dedicated specifically to supporting the workforce. This year the budget invests an additional 389 million for a third round of stabilization grants for workforce retention incentives.

The budget also maintains last year's investment to support the increase in the market rate to the 80th percentile, among the highest in the nation -- which not only broadens childcare options for families but also dramatically increases payments to providers, by over 40 percent for some.

Building off of last year's successful \$100 million childcare desert initiative, the Governor's budget also includes several proposals aimed at rebuilding the supply of

1 childcare.

First, New York Homes and Community
Renewal will give priority to applications
for its low-income housing tax credit that
incorporate space for childcare facilities.
On top of that, the budget proposes a
flexible statewide business income tax credit
for businesses that create or expand
childcare slots for their employees.

The budget also provides 4.8 million to launch a new Employer-Supported Childcare Pilot Program in three regions of the state. Employers would contribute a third of the cost for low- and middle-income families, and the state will match it, reducing out-of-pocket costs for families who would not otherwise be eligible for childcare assistance.

Finally, the budget invests \$1 million in state dollars for a new business navigator program in each of the state's 10 Regional Economic Development Council regions, to help businesses identify childcare options for their employees.

1	The Executive Budget also makes
2	important investments in New York's most
3	vulnerable children and families by investing
4	7 million for preventive and permanency
5	placement services for foster, adoptive and
6	kinship families. The 2.5 percent COLA
7	that's included in this year's budget will
8	also benefit families and the voluntary
9	agencies who care for New York's children in
10	foster care and adoptive parents.

The budget also increases the appropriation authority for child welfare payments, reinforcing the state's commitment to this landmark funding stream that provides an open-ended reimbursement for preventive services, child protective services, independent living services, after-care services, and adoption assistance.

The budget includes 17 million to support voluntary foster care agencies that provide medically necessary care to children and youth placed in congregate settings and that are impacted by federal requirements related to Institutions for Mental Disease,

1	or IMD. For impacted voluntary foster care
2	agencies, this funding will support the
3	hiring of necessary staff, mitigate the loss
4	of federal Medicaid reimbursement, and allow
5	them to invest in additional programmatic
6	needs.

OCFS applauds Governor Hochul's proposal to index the state's minimum wage to inflation, which will provide a vital economic lifeline to New York's low-wage workers, who are disproportionately women and people of color, and many of whom work in human services.

We continue to face a daunting workforce crisis in careers that are critical to OCFS's mission. The Governor's budget also includes 3.8 million to supplement state-funded contracts to mitigate the impact of the wage increase on struggling human services providers.

Before I close and take your questions, I just wanted to take a moment to say that I'm thrilled to work in partnership with each of you to accomplish these very

1	lofty goals. It is my unwavering intention
2	that our services reflect the communities we
3	serve, that we hear the voices of children,
4	parents and providers, and that our work
5	promotes a safe, equitable and justice-driven
6	reality for all New Yorkers. This is OCFS's
7	mission.
8	In closing, this budget reflects our
9	commitment to the safety and permanency of
10	New York's children and families and to
11	uplifting all New Yorkers to a standard that
12	reflects the dignity and humanity of us all.
13	Thank you so much.
14	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yes. Yes, you
16	can go, and then we'll have questions.
17	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Thank you.
18	Good morning, Chairpersons Krueger and
19	Weinstein, Social Services Committee chairs
20	Persaud and Davila, and the other members of
21	the Senate and Assembly.
22	I'm Daniel W. Tietz, the commissioner
23	of the Office of Temporary and Disability

Assistance. I welcome the opportunity to

speak to you today about Governor Hochul's

2024 Executive Budget and its impact on the
important work our agency performs on behalf
of New Yorkers.

OTDA supervises programs that provide economic and other assistance and support to eligible families and individuals. This includes helping New Yorkers living in or near poverty meet their essential needs and ensuring their economic security by providing opportunities for stable employment, housing, and nutrition. Our agency carries out this mission in cooperation with local social services districts and community-based service providers.

The Governor's budget maintains the essential funding of our agency's core programs, which serve the critical needs of millions of New Yorkers daily, while making targeted investments for areas of particular need.

Beyond what is proposed relevant to OTDA's work, Governor Hochul's proposed budget includes many important initiatives

that will undoubtedly improve the lives of
the families and individuals our agency
serves. The Governor's plan proposes
groundbreaking investments in mental health
care, childcare and housing, while focusing
on reducing and preventing gun violence and
helping workers meet increased costs for
household needs by indexing the minimum wage
to inflation.

Significantly, the Executive Budget includes \$1 billion for OTDA to help New York City provide shelter and services to support asylum seekers who have been coming to New York in record numbers over the last year. This funding will help offset the costs incurred through New York City's shelter system and the Humanitarian Emergency Response and Relief Centers.

The Governor's historic New York
Housing Compact, which aims to build
800,000 new homes over the next decade, is a
comprehensive and multifaceted approach to
addressing the state's housing shortage.

On a related note, the budget

1	continues the Governor's \$25 billion,
2	five-year housing plan to create or preserve
3	100,000 affordable units, including
4	10,000 supportive housing units. OTDA's
5	(Protestors interrupt proceeding.)
6	(Off the record.)
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you all
8	for being here. And I just want to remind
9	people, we've let you have a few moments to
10	speak. We've done that at prior hearings. I
11	want to remind people that you can submit
12	written testimony. It will be posted on our
13	website, both the Assembly and the Senate,
14	and it will be available for all members and
15	all members of the public to be able to see
16	that.
17	So I would encourage those that are
18	here and other members of your organization
19	to do that, so that we have that information
20	in writing available to all of us.
21	UNIDENTIFIED PROTESTOR: We appreciate
22	that.
23	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for
24	being

1	(Overtalk from protestors.)
2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for
3	being here. Thank you for being here.
4	(Continued interruption.)
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for
6	being here. Thank you. Please send in your
7	written comments
8	(Continued loud chanting.)
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So we will
10	restart we will in a moment start up the
1	clock now that everybody's woken up.
12	(Laughter.)
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: If we could
14	start the are you okay just continuing?
15	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Yup.
16	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Okay. So if we
17	can just restart the clock, please continue.
18	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: I just want
19	to state my gratitude for the activism and
20	the participation in democracy that we just
21	witnessed.
22	On a related note
23	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Pull the mic a
24	little closer, Dan.

1	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Sure.
2	I think I left off here.
3	On a related note, the budget
4	continues the Governor's \$25 billion,
5	five-year housing plan to create or preserve
6	100,000 affordable units, including
7	10,000 supportive housing units. OTDA's
8	Homeless Housing and Assistance Program, with
9	\$128 million in the proposed budget, is a ke
10	part of this effort by funding capital
11	projects that provide permanent supportive
12	housing to families and individuals who have
13	experienced homelessness.
14	HHAP also is the only state resource
15	for the development of emergency shelters,
16	which often play a critical role in
17	communities' comprehensive homeless services
18	system. Supportive housing brings together
19	permanent housing and tailored services
20	necessary for individuals to achieve and
21	maintain stable lives in the community. The

budget also includes increased funding to

support these critical services and ongoing

operation of permanent supportive housing.

22

23

The budget further proposes changes to public assistance that will improve the economic stability and outlook of recipients, and increases access to education and training opportunities, by ending the requirement for education to be combined with other activities after 12 months of post-secondary education.

Additionally, the budget authorizes
the disregard of income a public assistance
recipient receives from participating in a
qualified work activity or training program,
when calculating their monthly benefit. It
also provides for a one-time disregard of all
of a public assistance recipient's earned
income following job entry for a period of up
to six consecutive months, provided that the
recipient's total income does not exceed 200
percent of the federal poverty level.

These amendments will help ease the effects of any benefits cliff by strengthening the recipient's financial footing when they become employed, before their household is no longer eligible for

public assistance due to those earnings.

Unfortunately, we've been seeing instances here in New York, and nationwide, of thieves using skimming devices to copy Electronic Benefit Transfer or EBT card and personal identification number information from SNAP and public assistance recipients and steal their benefits.

In December, thanks to New York's congressional delegation, the federal government agreed to partially reimburse SNAP recipients whose benefits have been stolen.

I'm pleased to say that the Executive Budget includes language that will allow the state to reimburse public assistance recipients who have been victimized as well.

This proposal will ensure that both SNAP and public assistance recipients can be reimbursed up to the lesser of the full amount stolen, or the amount of assistance provided during the two most recent months prior to their benefits being stolen.

The Governor is also committing \$18 million in this coming year, growing to

1	\$37 million on an annual basis, to launch a
2	new Youth Opportunities Program that will
3	augment the Summer Youth Employment Program
4	during the school year, providing year-round
5	part-time employment to an estimated
6	2,500 youth. This program will operate in
7	localities that have experienced high rates
8	of violent crime.

Additionally, there's a \$1 million increase for the Summer Youth Employment Program to \$47.1 million, to accommodate the most recent minimum wage increase and ensure that the same number of youths can be served this year as last summer.

As you know, the application portal for the Emergency Rental Assistance Program, or ERAP, closed on January 20. More than 225,000 payments have been made on behalf of tenants to landlords, totaling more than \$2.8 billion, and OTDA expects that we will fully utilize all available funds with the applications submitted through January 20.

CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Dan, we're still having trouble hearing you. Maybe another

1	microphone (inaudible).
_	
2	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: I'll put
3	this right in my face, how about that.
4	Where was I?
5	This program has overwhelmingly
6	benefited some of our most vulnerable
7	communities, with nearly nine out of
8	10 payments made on behalf of households at
9	or below 50 percent of the area median
10	income. When all is said and done, ERAP will
1	have provided more than \$3 billion in federal
12	and state funding to keep our fellow New
13	Yorkers who suffered financially due to the
4	pandemic in their homes when they were unable
15	to pay their rent.
16	The Child Poverty Reduction Advisory
17	Council, of which I'm a cochair, continues
18	its work to develop a plan for reducing child
19	poverty by 50 percent statewide over the next
20	decade. The council will have its third
21	meeting in a few weeks, and I expect the pace

I'm excited about the opportunity we have before us to meet this goal. Poverty

of our work will increase moving forward.

1	remains a reality for millions of
2	New Yorkers, but we know it is a problem that
3	we can solve.
4	In closing, Governor Hochul's
5	Executive Budget outlines a bold agenda that
6	focuses on making New York State a more
7	affordable, livable, and safe place for all.
8	This plan includes sound investments and
9	forward-looking policy proposals that will
10	benefit all New Yorkers.
11	We look forward to our continued
12	partnership with the Legislature in the
13	coming months. Thank you again for the
14	opportunity to testify, and I welcome your
15	questions and comments.
16	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
17	Since the hearing began, we were
18	joined by Kimberly Jean-Pierre, chair of our
19	Veterans Committee; Assemblyman Kim, chairman
20	of our Aging Committee; and Assemblyman
21	Simone.
22	Did you want to introduce some people
23	before we go to questions?
24	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.

1	Senator O'Mara, the ranker on Finance.
2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: And Assemblyman
3	Pirozzolo has joined us.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I'm sorry
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Go ahead.
6	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator
7	Scanlon
8	SENATOR SCARCELLA-SPANTON:
9	Scarcella-Spanton.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you so
11	much. I did it backwards and I got myself
12	messed up. Thank you.
13	Oh, and Senator Gounardes just joined
14	us. Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. So
16	now we'll go to and there may be some
17	other colleagues joining us as the hearing
18	continues.
19	So now we go to our first set of
20	questions and answers for 10 minutes, to our
21	Social Services chair, Assemblywoman Davila.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN DAVILA: Good afternoon,
23	Commissioner Tietz. It's good to see you.
24	So my first question is directed

1	towards public assistance. And the public
2	assistance caseload for the safety net
3	singles is projected to decrease by nearly
4	19,000 statewide. What are the factors that
5	play into the decrease?
6	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: I think
7	certainly an improving economy has made a
8	difference here, and that people have more
9	opportunities.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN DAVILA: What factors
11	are contributing to the increase of the
12	TANF families and the safety net public
13	assistance recipients, which are estimated to
14	increase by nearly 29,000 and 18,000
15	respectively?
16	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: I think what
17	we're seeing here in part is the continuing
18	impact of the COVID pandemic. We saw an
19	increase in the last three years, and it's
20	certainly proven challenging for families in
21	particular.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN DAVILA: My next
23	question would be on youth opportunities
24	programs.

1	The budget involves funding for a new
2	Youth Opportunities Program for localities
3	participating in the Gun-Involved Violence
4	Elimination the GIVE initiative. How
5	will funds be distributed to the localities?
6	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: It's based
7	on the WIOA program from previous. So the
8	communities with the highest rates of gun
9	violence in the state will see additional
10	funds through this program.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN DAVILA: Well, we have
12	seen gun violence in New York City the
13	increase is everywhere. Will this program be
14	a sort of program that is that not-CBOs
15	can apply for?
16	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: In New York
17	City?
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN DAVILA: Yes.
19	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: No. This
20	program the expansion here is for
21	communities outside of New York City.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN DAVILA: Okay. Thank
23	you.
24	The next question is on emergency

1	rental assistance. The Executive Budget does
2	not include additional funding for the
3	Emergency Rental Assistance Program, the ERAP
4	program. In fiscal year 2023 and '24, how
5	many rounds of additional federal
6	reallocations can we anticipate?
7	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: I believe
8	that there are a few more reallocations from
9	the federal Treasury. In the last
10	reallocation, which was a few weeks ago, we
11	received \$63 million. I think that our
12	expectation is that that's in the ballpark of
13	what we may see going forward. But I think
14	we're in the low tens of millions, maybe, and
15	not more than that.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN DAVILA: Is it not true
17	that the applications have closed down now
18	in starting January 2023?
19	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Yes.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN DAVILA: So people can
21	no longer apply for ERAP?
22	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: That's
23	correct, Assemblymember.
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN DAVILA: Okay. The next

1	question is on the Migrant Resettlement
2	Program. The executive proposed 5 million to
3	support a new enhanced Migrant Resettlement
4	Program. How will these funds be allocated
5	regionally?
6	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: So in the
7	Governor's budget we've of course proposed a
8	billion dollars overall, some in cash and
9	some in kind, to help support migrants in New
10	York State.
1	The single largest part of that,
12	\$767 million, will help cover the cost of
13	shelter and services in New York City. There
4	are significant costs here involved in terms
15	of the approximately 900 National Guard that
16	are right now helping to serve in those
17	sheltering locations in New York City. There
18	are costs with regard to Medicaid,
19	significant investments that the state is
20	making.
21	Among the resources we're providing
22	here will be \$25 million for resettlement in

welcoming communities elsewhere in the state.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN DAVILA: Okay. The next

23

1	question would be on eviction prevention
2	assistance.
3	The Executive Budget proposes to move
4	the funding for eviction prevention legal
5	assistance to your agency. Will this
6	impact how will this impact the contract,
7	and how will it be administered? How many
8	people have received service under the
9	program? How many evictions were prevented
10	as a result of legal assistance?
11	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Thank you
12	for the question.
13	The initial \$25 million has been
14	increased to \$35 million, and in the last
15	budget it was moved from HCR to OTDA. So
16	OTDA has actually managed these contracts
17	from the beginning. And it's largely through
18	Legal Services Corporation providers across
19	the state.
20	To date, I believe about 9500
21	households have been assisted.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN DAVILA: I am going to
23	ask this last question because I've been

asking a lot of questions today. I think

1	this	is	extremely	important.

The Executive Budget includes
assistance to recipients who had their
benefits stolen as a result of card skimming,
cloning and other similar fraudulent
activities. What will the application
process be? What would be the steps for an
applicant filing to receive their benefits?

And one of the reasons why I think
this is extremely important is because it's
taking an awful long time, once people have
suffered the fraud, to go back to PA and ask,
you know, to restore their benefits. It's
taking a long time. And we know that a
person can't be an extra month, two months
without benefits and not suffer any
consequences.

Going forward, will there be more safety measures to ensure the recipient's benefits will not be stolen again? As the Article VII states, then applicants cannot -- can seek reimbursement no more than twice in a fiscal year. So that maybe a little problematic.

1	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: So in the
2	federal omnibus that was passed near the end
3	of December, it included for the first time
4	granting the USDA authority to permit
5	reissuance of federal SNAP benefits. Until
6	now, no state has been able to reissue
7	federal SNAP benefits. A handful of states
8	have had state funds to reissue benefits for
9	some reasons, but the federal government
10	until now hadn't permitted this, the use of
11	federal funds.

So for the first time we are -- we will soon be able to issue the lesser of the actual amount stolen or two months, twice in a year. The goal here would be to keep folks from going hungry. So the idea would be until you get your next regular benefit, you would have something to sustain you.

The USDA just in the last week issued guidance to states on submitting a plan. We expect to submit our plan to USDA before the end of the month. It would appear, on the face of it, that the requirements for demonstrating that loss will be pretty

1	achievable, fairly modest. We won't know for
2	certain until we actually submit that plan
3	and obtain their approval.
4	So our hope is that within the next
5	few months that the USDA will approve our
6	plan and we'll begin to then roll that out to
7	local districts so that they can in fact
8	reissue the benefit.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN DAVILA: Thank you.
10	No further questions.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
12	We've been joined by Assemblyman Anderson.
13	And we go to the Senate.
14	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
15	We'll start off with our chair,
16	Jabari Brisport.
17	SENATOR BRISPORT: (Mic off;
18	inaudible.) Okay, I think okay. Great.
19	Well, thank you, Madam Chair.
20	Thank you, Commissioners. My
21	questions are all for OCFS. Good afternoon.
22	Thank you for being here, Commissioner.
23	My questions I want to start out by
24	talking about the continued and accelerating

1	collapse of the childcare sector. We
2	conducted a hearing on the childcare crisis a
3	few weeks ago, and the Children's Agenda
4	estimated that New York State has lost
5	roughly 20,000 childcare slots as a result of
6	childcare programs closing since 2020. That
7	would be 10,000 from March of 2020 through
8	July of 2022, and then an acceleration of
9	another 10,000 on top of that just from July
10	of 2022 through the start of 2023.
11	Does that match OCFS's assessment?
12	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE: So
13	we can I don't have that data with me
14	here, but I'm happy to bring that back to
15	you.
16	The additional acceleration from July
17	2022 sounds not like the numbers that I know
18	of, but we'll confirm that and get back to
19	you.
20	But I do hope you know that after the
21	pandemic, coming out of the pandemic and all
22	the federal funds that we were so gracious to

receive, we did issue, as I mentioned in my

testimony, that \$100 million childcare desert

23

grant. So that desert grant is meant to help build supply, because we do recognize we lost supply.

But that desert grant is not the only supply building we're doing. Our office has quite frankly almost doubled because we have so much focus on not only getting the money out the door for assistance and getting more families into the system, but also building supply. So we're laser-focused on that build. But it's -- it's not easy, so it's -- the pandemic was devastating to our industry, and we're continuing to work on building that back up.

SENATOR BRISPORT: Thank you. And not just the pandemic, you know, the Children's Agenda noted that this had been a long-term trend over the past decade with collapsing childcare slots across the state, some regions losing over 50 percent of their childcare slots in the past decade. Does that match OCFS's assessment?

ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE: So

I will agree with you that it is not just the

1	pandemic. I think the pandemic put a
2	spotlight on this incredible infrastructure
3	priority that no one else really knew about
4	or cared about until after the pandemic. So
5	good for OCFS, because we've always known it
6	was important.

But yes, childcare has needed support for many, many, many years. I know many states like New York were also super-disappointed with Congress when they couldn't get Build Back Better passed. So I think nationally, again, the spotlight is on childcare. Hopefully next year -- we'll cross our fingers -- that Congress will also recognize. Because I know the focus is looking towards universal childcare, and the states just can't do that by themselves. We definitely need the federal support.

But we're doing a million things by ourselves. We're doing what we can with the money that we have.

SENATOR BRISPORT: Gotcha. And I just want to clarify that despite these annual stabilization grants, we continue to see an

1	exodus of childcare workers and providers
2	from the sector, yes?
3	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
4	Data that I would need to get back to you on.
5	But the childcare workforce is in
6	crisis, I would not disagree there, as are
7	many other workforces after bleeding out from
8	the pandemic. This is a very difficult time.
9	And I know that we are focused on not only
10	providing the childcare workforce with
1	stabilization grants we get that they're
12	one-time incentives but we're working
13	towards, as you know, the Childcare
14	Availability Task Force that will has been
15	reimagined by Governor Hochul will come
16	together shortly.
17	And one of their tasks is to look at
18	the impact of the pandemic on the childcare
19	industry, including the workforce crisis.
20	And also including, phased in, a rollout of
21	universal childcare. That, granted, we
22	likely can't do by ourselves, but I do

believe that we look forward to having all

the advocates and all the experts help us.

23

1	This is a huge problem that we need all of
2	our partners to help solve.
3	SENATOR BRISPORT: Thank you.
4	And I just want to keep circling back
5	to this notion of stabilization. We're in
6	multiple rounds of stabilization grants now.
7	At last year's hearing I asked your
8	predecessor if she considered the childcare
9	sector as stable. She said no. Would you
10	say the childcare sector is stable now?
11	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
12	No, it's not stable now. I think this
13	Governor's budget proposes that additional
14	\$389 million as a round 3 for stabilization,
15	to help try to stabilize it. But we have
16	we're we've done many things over the past
17	two years. We have much more to do.
18	SENATOR BRISPORT: In taking the same
19	strategy, though, of using a one-time
20	infusion of federal funds for one time
21	bonuses, do you think that the 389 million
22	will stabilize the childcare sector this
23	year?
24	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE: I

1	think it will help. I think as you know,
2	we've increased the market rate to the
3	80th percentile. I think that's helped
4	stabilize some providers. I think the
5	stabilization grants, as I've gone on tours
6	myself, providers were very thankful. It
7	helped them greatly.
8	So I think these sometimes one-time
9	funding we get we're using our pandemic
10	funding as effectively as we can. It is
11	helpful. But I think we have a lot more to
12	do.
13	SENATOR BRISPORT: Where did the
14	\$389 million figure come from?
15	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE: I
16	
	would need to get back to you on the analysis
17	would need to get back to you on the analysis of that dollar amount.
17 18	
	of that dollar amount.
18	of that dollar amount. SENATOR BRISPORT: I have some other
18 19	of that dollar amount. SENATOR BRISPORT: I have some other questions about reimbursement rates and

Ed Trust-New York regarding the true cost of

care, and it seems like there's a big gap

23

1	between the true cost of care and what
2	New York is reimbursing its childcare
3	providers. Would you agree that there's a
4	gap?
5	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE: I
6	would agree there's a gap. And we were in
7	collaboration with Ed Trust, and I think they
8	did a great job with their report.
9	But again, that's one of the questions
10	that we have for our reimagined Child Care
11	Availability Task Force. I think we all
12	recognize that these questions aren't
13	answered, and they have a big charge.
14	SENATOR BRISPORT: Do you think there
15	are any benefits to our current practice of
16	paying childcare providers less than what it
17	costs them to provide care?
18	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE: I
19	do not think it makes sense. I do know that
20	we are with the 80th percentile change, it
21	increased payments to providers by an average
22	of 33 percent. Some regions got 40. So I do
23	think that's helpful, absolutely.
24	I think we can see in the budget,

1	because we have this third round of
2	stabilization, that yes, we need more. We
3	need to support our workforce and our
4	industry as much as we possibly can.
5	SENATOR BRISPORT: In the OCFS
6	analysis for its market rates survey, does
7	that include a living wage for the childcare
8	workforce? Or does it assume a low wage for
9	childcare workers?
10	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE: If
11	I understand your question, the market rate
12	doesn't contemplate wages. It speaks to the
13	rate that providers charge families. That is
14	the survey that we take. The rate providers
15	charge families, those who only receive
16	families that get assistance. That is then
17	tabulated by region, by modality, and the
18	market rate is then set.
19	We are at the 80th percentile, so it's
20	eight to 10 providers are accessible to our
21	families who receive our childcare
22	assistance.
23	SENATOR BRISPORT: Has OCFS calculated
24	how much more it would take to pay providers

1	what it actually costs them to provide care?
2	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
3	No, we have not engaged in a cost-of-care
4	study. That, again, was in Build Back
5	Better. The funding for that was in
6	Build Back Better; that unfortunately did not
7	pass.
8	So as I mentioned, we were working
9	collaboratively, providing data that we had
10	to Ed Trust, and we're familiar with their
11	report.
12	I know there are some think tanks
13	looking to assess what that what that
14	would cost, and Ed Trust is one of those.
15	So, you know, the again, the childcare
16	task force will look at this issue as well,
17	one of their charges. And my hope is that we
18	get additional federal support.
19	SENATOR BRISPORT: I had some
20	questions about eligibility and who gets
21	childcare.
22	The Governor's proposal continues to
23	exclude undocumented children from childcare.
24	Why is that?

1	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE: So
2	unfortunately our childcare dollars, our
3	federal dollars did not allow well, they
4	dictate who can get assistance and who can't.
5	Our current regulations do allow
6	children with favorable immigration status to
7	get assistance or be eligible for assistance,
8	regardless of the eligibility of their
9	parents. But that is federal law.
10	So our CCDF funds do not allow
11	SENATOR BRISPORT: I'm just going to
12	interrupt you briefly just for a time.
13	Federal funds cannot be used for undocumented
14	kids, I understand that. But even in
15	New York City, they use city funds. And
16	there is the option to do state funds.
17	So my question is, why has the
18	Governor refused to use state funds to give
19	access to childcare to undocumented children?
20	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
21	Well, I mean, I think this budget makes
22	really difficult choices. I know that there
23	is an access point for undocumented families
24	in our New York State Head Start, or federal

1	Head Start, and Early Start, of which we have
2	about 278 in the state. So that is a federal
3	program that does allow children to get care
4	even if they're undocumented.

Questions about eligibility and waiting
lists. We saw a letter from the New York
City ACS to OCFS from May. It was requesting
to amend their response whenever there is a
waiting list when there are not sufficient
funds to open all eligible cases from yes to
no, essentially saying that they did not want
to have a waiting list. Do you know if OCFS
granted that request for ACS?

ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE: So

I'm not familiar with that specific letter,

but I can talk to you a little bit about

ACS's previous waitlist that no longer

exists.

So there are federal requirements to operationalize the federal funds for childcare, and one of the primary focuses is parental choice. So the concept of a waitlist was problematic, and New York City

1	recognized that. And so now there is no
2	waitlist and they are addressing the requests
3	for assistance as they come in.
4	SENATOR BRISPORT: Thank you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
6	Assembly.
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We've been
8	joined by Assemblywoman Mitaynes.
9	And we go to Assemblywoman Byrnes for
10	five minutes, the ranker.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BYRNES: All right.
12	Thank you.
13	I want to thank both of the witnesses
14	that are here. My questions, though, will be
15	directed, ma'am, to the acting commissioner
16	of OCFS.
17	First I want to just make one
18	statement, and that is and I'm sure that
19	everyone can agree on this the Minority is
20	having an inability to actually contact our
21	legislative coordinator, John Divito, and
22	it's been for quite a while. And as a
23	result, we can't get any answers to our
24	questions.

1	So I just would respectfully ask that
2	when we go back to the office, if there's
3	anything you can do to try to make it so that
4	our inquiries of him are responded to. I'd
5	be most appreciative.
6	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE: I
7	really thought you were joking, I'm sorry.
8	John Divito is incredibly responsive. But if
9	there is something open, we will absolutely
10	respond to you.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BYRNES: No, no, I was
12	given specifically his name, that we've been
13	trying to reach out, and we haven't.
14	All right, I'm going to move on,
15	because I do have questions. But I wanted to
16	make sure that was not lost in the shuffle.
17	Look, obviously childcare is a major
18	part of this budget, and so I want to talk
19	about that and ask some questions about it,
20	ma'am. No disrespect to you, sir, but.
21	Look, how many more families, how many
22	more children are going to be eligible for
23	childcare by changing the income threshold to

85 percent of SMI?

1	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
2	Sure. Currently, what, 300 percent of the
3	federal poverty level and about half of
4	New York's children are eligible.
5	Once we, per our proposal, increase it
6	to 85 percent of SMI, which is the highest
7	allowable, another 113,000 children will be
8	eligible.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BYRNES: All right.
10	Now, you made a comment in your opening
11	statement that less than 10 percent of the
12	eligible children currently receive, but yet
13	apparently 50 percent would be eligible but
14	only 10 percent receive.
15	Now, if you propose and if it goes
16	through that this is increased dramatically
17	so that many more people, children, would be
18	eligible, how would you go about trying to
19	make sure that these children, now expanded
20	exponentially, will be actually receiving
21	services?
22	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
23	Sure. So we know that there's like several
24	reasons why only 10 percent of our uptake has

1	been standard, and one is reflected in our
2	proposal this year. So we have a mishmash of
3	requirements for childcare assistance. It is
4	an antiquated system. So we are trying to
5	the purpose is to make it electronic, make it
6	easier for families to receive assistance.
7	There is money out there. Counties
8	have lots of money to open cases. So raising
9	the eligibility just provides a larger pool
10	for those counties to create those slots.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BYRNES: I know that you
12	mentioned, again, in your opening statement
13	that one of the avenues would be a statewide
14	online solution, quote, unquote.
15	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
16	Yes.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BYRNES: You do
18	realize and I'm sure you do but I come
19	from a very rural district. Many people here
20	do. There are significant parts of my
21	district that don't have internet access.

How in the world are you going to be

question of literally have no access.

It's not a question of affordability, it's a

22

1	able to reach out to these families through
2	an online solution to vast portions of our
3	state that have no internet?
4	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
5	Mm-hmm. Sure. I mean, we do intend to roll
6	out a multilayered solution. So a family can
7	still go down to the social services district
8	and request a paper application and apply for
9	assistance
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BYRNES: They would have
11	to know that they were eligible. They would
12	have to know that there was a reason to go
13	down and do that.
14	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
15	Correct. So last year we entered into like a
16	multimedia campaign. So and that is
17	ongoing this year as well. So it was radio
18	ads, TV ads, bus ads, billboards. We do
19	recognize that not everyone knows that
20	they're eligible for childcare.
21	So we are we began that media
22	campaign last year, and we will continue that
23	this year. The goal is to get the word out,
24	for sure.

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BYRNES: Now, are there
2	actually enough daycare providers to provide
3	services for this increased pool of people?
4	And even if we have enough actual
5	providers, are there actually workers, peopl
6	that can work for these providers, that are
7	sufficient to handle this dramatic increase
8	in people who are children who are
9	eligible?
10	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
1	Yes. Well, we do think it's going to be a
12	slow rollout. We do not think that
13	113,000 children will come to the doors of
4	social services this year. Again, half of
15	New York's children are already eligible and
16	our uptake really is 10 percent.
L7	So we do believe it will be a slow
18	rollout, but we're encouraging to recognize
19	their eligibility, come down to the social
20	services district
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BYRNES: But even if
22	they're eligible, even if they come down

ASSEMBLYWOMAN BYRNES: But even if they're eligible, even if they come down -- I mean, at some point you have to have bodies to take care of them.

1	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
2	Yes.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BYRNES: And that is a
4	major problem in every aspect of our lives.
5	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
6	And supply is something that's a main focus
7	of ours, increasing supply.
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
9	Before we go to the Senate, we've been
10	joined by Assemblywoman González-Rojas and
11	Assemblyman Ardila.
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
13	Tom?
14	SENATOR O'MARA: We've been joined by
15	Senator Rolison and Senator Oberacker.
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
17	And next up is Senator Roxanne
18	Persaud, chair, 10 minutes.
19	SENATOR PERSAUD: Good morning,
20	everyone.
21	Commissioners, it's always great
22	seeing you. And I just want to first thank
23	you and both of you and your staff for
24	everything that you do for us whenever we're

1	calling. And, you know, we constantly call.
2	I would like to start with
3	Commissioner Tietz. And my first question to
4	you, Commissioner, you know, is always the
5	COLA. We have this COLA that we talk about
6	that's now proposed at 2.5 percent. But not
7	everyone qualifies for the COLA, even though
8	they're all doing the same work.
9	Can you give me your opinion of that
10	and justify why someone who's doing the same
11	work is not qualifying for the same COLA?
12	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Yeah, the
13	COLA as proposed in the Governor's budget
14	covers one of our programs at OTDA. It's the
15	Nutrition Outreach and Education Program.
16	But you're correct that it doesn't
17	reach to others.
18	We believe, of course, that everyone
19	deserves a livable wage. And I can't say
20	more on that, I guess.
21	SENATOR PERSAUD: Do you think
22	would you say if you're having conversations
23	about that? Because across human services,

the need for a wage increase is great. These

1	are people who are taking care of the most
2	vulnerable people in our communities. And
3	we're not treating them the same way even
4	though they are doing the same work.

So I really would love for the Governor to look at that and see how can we rectify that so that everyone who's doing the job receives the COLA.

Can you tell me, also, stolen public benefits in the Article VII. As you know, we've been talking about it. People -- the money is placed on their card, and two minutes later it's gone and there's no way of them receiving a refund or the funds being replaced.

Can you tell me, are there any plans in place -- we know we're working with the federal government to rectify the problem, and for the federal government to fund it.

What is OTDA doing about that?

OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: So there's a few things that we're doing. So as I'd mentioned earlier with regard to the SNAP benefits, we now have -- soon, you know, when

we submit that plan later this month and hopefully soon thereafter receive USDA's approval on that plan, we will then roll that out to the districts so that they can replace up to two months of benefits twice a year for those who've suffered losses.

On the public assistance side, we're doing something very similar. It's much the same idea. We expect to be able to roll that out soon as well. We've essentially made these two efforts look similar, which I think is both helpful for recipients and helpful for the staff in the local districts who are charged with handling the matter.

In addition, we've taken several steps with regard to getting messages to recipients as well as to local districts. We've had some campaigns on how you can protect yourself. Among the ways in which you can protect yourself is to change your PIN frequently or change your PIN after every use.

In addition, we're speaking with our vendor that handles the EBT cards to permit

1	recipients to turn their card on and off, to
2	essentially lock it as they would like, as
3	well as to prevent out-of-state transactions
4	as well.
5	So there are several steps that we've
6	done to help ensure that people don't suffer
7	losses.
8	SENATOR PERSAUD: So talking about
9	that, have we spoken to the vendor about
10	updating their technology? Because, you
11	know, we've been asking about having chips
12	embedded in the cards. So what is the status
13	on that with the vendor?
14	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Yes. With
15	regard to chip-enabled cards, which
16	essentially then we get away from this
17	problem of the PIN and magnetic stripes,
18	which can be copied.
19	So for for the there's no state
20	in the nation right now that actually has a
21	chip-enabled card. We of course would need

guidance and assistance and approval

We are working right now with our

ultimately from USDA to do this.

22

23

1	vendors on a contract matter, so there's
2	there's a big dispute with regards to the
3	vendor that was awarded the contract going
4	forward. So once that's settled, we'll then
5	have which I'm hoping soon we'll then
6	have conversations with that vendor. We know
7	that that same vendor has begun such
8	conversations with California on chip-enabled
9	cards.
10	So we're I don't want to
11	overpromise here. I'll just be clear that
12	this will take a couple of years.
13	SENATOR PERSAUD: Thank you on that.
14	So we'll go to supportive housing.
15	The reimbursement rates are not the same.
16	Can you tell us the key difference between
17	the two models, say for NYSSHP and ESSHI, why
18	is it that the there's such a disparity in
19	the reimbursement rates?
20	And then while you're looking at that,
21	you can think about ERAP. What are we doing
22	for the NYCHA tenants in ERAP? I know we've
23	had this conversation; I just wanted to just

go it over again.

1	And would you agree with me if I say
2	that the time frame that we have for people
3	who are in a holding pattern is too long?
4	We're waiting for people to respond, and
5	we're waiting and waiting, they're not
6	responding, and we're still holding that
7	money while we have people who have
8	cleared everything and have qualified, but
9	they're not getting the money.
10	Meanwhile, they're so do you think
11	if I say that we need to shorten the time
12	frame, would you agree with me on that?
13	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Yes.
14	To go back to your supportive housing
15	question, I actually can't explain why
16	there's a difference in the rates for those
17	two programs. I'm happy to get you an
18	answer. This predates me. The NYSSHP
19	program is certainly well-established and
20	older than ESSHI. But I'm happy to get you
21	an answer on that.
22	With regard to ERAP, so your first
23	question was with regard to public housing.

And, I'm sorry, repeat it.

1	SENATOR PERSAUD: Right now they're
2	just there are so many of them waiting
3	because they didn't qualify, they weren't in
4	the categories that were listed. What are we
5	doing is there a plan for us to assist
6	those people?

And would you -- if I say that the state should say, let's just cover all of that NYCHA arrears and then with the understanding that NYCHA will say everyone who fell under the pandemic arrears that, you know, we paid and swiped out and they go forward -- would you say that's something that we should think about?

OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: So for subsidized housing, including for public housing, in the program as given to us by the Legislature as settled in the last two budgets, it placed subsidized housing in a low priority. Believing that, I suspect, given that they already have a subsidy for their housing, they were placed in a lower priority than those who don't have subsidized housing.

L	At this moment I would say that
2	there's approximately 73,000 subsidized
3	housing applications. Those are largely on
1	hold. Because they're a lower priority, they
5	haven't been actively reviewed in the same
õ	way as the non-subsidized housing
7	applications.

I suspect that we're -- at this moment we -- you know, we're working through the applications we received right through

January 20. In the last three weeks of the program we received more than 17,000 applications. Our expectation is that we are a little shy of having sufficient resources to fund the nonsubsidized housing and no resources, therefore, to fund subsidized housing.

We would leave to the Legislature and Governor how best to address the shortfall relative to subsidized.

SENATOR PERSAUD: Okay. So while my time is running out, I just want to cover TANF. You know, why does the proposal continue to reimburse New York City for only

1	85 percent of expenditures? And, you know,
2	let me ask this question of you: Why is so
3	much of the cost being shifted to New York
4	City?
5	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: It's a fair
6	question. I appreciate the question.
7	This well predates me. I think that
8	this goes back maybe a decade to the prior
9	administration, that the share that we
10	cover 100 percent of TANF eligible in every
11	district except New York City, in which we
12	cover 85 percent of the TANF-eligible cost.
13	SENATOR PERSAUD: Well, we have to
14	continue fighting against that, pushing back
15	on it.
16	Then my final question, while my time
17	is going, there's \$1 billion that's being
18	allocated for the migrant population. Could
19	you cover that? Tell us, you know could
20	you go over that, what is it really covering?
21	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Sure. So of
22	the billion dollars, the vast majority,
23	767 million is to cover the cost a portion

of the cost of shelter and services. And

1	that includes both DHS and the Humanitarian
2	Relief and Response Centers.
3	Another \$130-some million is for our
4	cost on Medicaid. Somewhere around
5	\$150 million is our share of the cost for the
6	National Guard who are serving in those
7	shelters. And then there are smaller
8	amounts for example, for resettlement.
9	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
10	SENATOR PERSAUD: Thank you very much.
11	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. Sorry
12	to cut you off, Roxie.
13	SENATOR PERSAUD: That's okay.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: The ranker on
15	Social Services, Assemblyman Maher, five
16	minutes.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN MAHER: How's everybody
18	doing? Thank you so much for being here.
19	The first question I have is for you,
20	Commissioner. I know my colleagues started
21	talking about the industry, childcare
22	services, and you had brought up in your
23	comments the desert grants, I know this is a
24	structure that's put in place to help that

workforce and to support these childcare service agencies and daycares.

So there's actually a handful in my district that are struggling through this application. Is there any flexibility in terms of the payments? I know that some facilities are waiting on their next \$50,000 payment because they have to hit certain thresholds to hire employees to have enough seats. Has your office had this experience statewide? And what is a potential solution?

ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE: So thank you for that question. We have had this experience statewide, and I do believe we're trying to resolve it.

The purpose of the childcare desert grant was to absolutely build supply, and the first portion of that was for new providers.

So if you've gotten your license, you're fulfilling the requirements of the regulation, you are not in violation and you're open, serving children, I believe we're working through the issues with those providers who are close to meeting those

milestones.

So if we are not in touch with those
providers, we can get in touch with them.
I'm happy to have my office reach out to your
office.

ASSEMBLYMAN MAHER: So in a specific case, if someone said, Hey -- and they had real proof -- we're going to have to shut our doors, we're really going to need this, you would work with them and try to make that a priority?

ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE: We would have to look at, obviously, the circumstance. But we are working with providers who are open and serving children, absolutely.

ASSEMBLYMAN MAHER: Okay, great.

The second part is if they hit that

10 percent number, individuals who are

receiving the childcare assistance. Now,

obviously a lot of that, as you explained, is

part of the process and there's some things

that you're going to work on internally. But

how much of it is also promotion? And what

1	kind of partnerships have you created with
2	counties, even elected officials, to try to
3	work with getting the information out there
4	appropriately?
5	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
6	Right. Well, I mean, I'm happy to work with
7	anyone here to get the word out for our
8	childcare assistance program.
9	But we did launch a huge campaign last
10	year again, as I said, a multimedia
11	campaign. And we have our community
12	partners, we have our CCR&Rs who are out
13	there in each region, helping our providers.
14	But we are open to any
15	recommendations, because getting the word out
16	truly is the priority.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN MAHER: We look forward to
18	working with you to do that, for sure.
19	Another section in here with the
20	Employer-Supported Childcare Pilot Program,
21	you talked about three regions being
22	selected. How will that process take place?
23	Which regions will be awarded, and how does
24	that work?

1	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE: So
2	all the details are still pending. But it is
3	a process that I know we are mirroring from
4	other states where it's been successful.

So I do think that we're still working through the details, but the important thing is that we've gotten the proposed funding in the budget.

ASSEMBLYMAN MAHER: Okay. And I know certain things are being developed. But would a partnership with a large employer, let's say a warehouse -- we have a lot of warehouses going on for a lot of internet sales going on -- would you creatively work with a business like that to try to establish potentially childcare facilities on the premises to make it more affordable and to create incentives for workers to take those jobs?

Because as much as those jobs are available, there's a lot of people who aren't taking the jobs because they don't want to work in that situation where they can't provide childcare because they don't make

1	enough	money.

So if there's incentives through a public/private partnership with these larger businesses, is that something that you guys have taken a look at so far?

ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
Well, that sounds like our business income
tax incentive. That's also in the budget.
So that program would have a business
significantly underwrite childcare for their
employees and then apply to the state for a
tax credit.

So also the details aren't quite worked out there either. But yes, the point is that we're looking at the economics of this all. And we're looking at private -- public and private partnerships for both of those two programs.

ASSEMBLYMAN MAHER: I am excited about that tax credit. And time's running short, but we very much look forward to seeing those details and hopefully working through your office to see how we can make it a perfect process -- as perfect as possible.

1	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
2	Yes. Thank you.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN MAHER: Thank you.
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
5	We've been joined by Assemblywoman
6	Tapia.
7	To the Senate.
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
9	Senator Murray, ranker, for five
10	minutes.
11	SENATOR MURRAY: Thank you very much.
12	Thank you, Madam Chair.
13	Thank you for being here.
14	I'm going to piggyback, actually, on
15	what the Assemblyman was just talking about
16	as far as childcare and businesses and the
17	workforce.
18	First, just for clarification, when
19	you said the statewide business income tax
20	credit for businesses that create or expand
21	childcare access for their employees, do you
22	mean financially or do you mean on-site or
23	both?
24	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE: I

think it can be both. We partner with
providers if they're looking to do an on-site
facility that would likely need a licensed
provider to come to their on-site location.

But yes, it's -- the point is to be creative. We're trying to be as creative as possible.

SENATOR MURRAY: And first, I don't want it going without saying that I applaud you, I applaud the Governor for taking this seriously and doing what she's doing in this budget, because we are in a crisis. And it's a threefold crisis. It's a crisis of are we reaching enough children and families.

When we do, are there providers available and are there workers available?

And the answer is no to all of those.

So getting creative with the business community. So when we talk about business and private -- public/private partnerships, have you been in contact with groups like NFIB, the Business Council, MACNY, Ignite Long Island, some of these that maybe can create incentives. Because for any

L	businesses out there listening Pssst: In
2	you want a big advantage, offer this as a
3	benefit. You will attract the best and the
1	brightest to come there.

Because the ones that benefit the most, other than the parents getting -- knowing they're getting good childcare for their children, are the businesses.

We don't -- we have a problem getting people back in the workforce now, and the problem is because -- and I've talked to several who've said "It will cost me to go to work because of childcare."

Are we working with these groups,

again, getting creative -- because again, the

businesses are going to benefit from this.

Is there maybe an effort in place to create a

fund or something where they can contribute

to offer even more incentives?

ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE: So love your ideas. We're going to write them down and take those back to our office.

But I think those two public/private partnerships that do involve business, that

1	really speaks to the economic infrastructure
2	issue we're trying to get at, and the
3	business income tax incentive program is
4	going to be throughout the 10 Regional
5	Economic Development Councils. The REDCs are
6	involved.
7	I don't know if we're working with
8	those specific people, but I'm sure someone
9	very smart in my office or the Governor's
10	office has.
11	SENATOR MURRAY: We'll definitely talk
12	on that and provide them.
13	But now I've talked to some in the
14	industry who have also said, Well, that's
15	great, but you had mentioned them, and I
16	quote, the cumbersome and antiquated
17	application process for families. But also
18	for providers. They're saying, Hey, you
19	know, we're jumping through a lot of hoops
20	here.
21	Is there an effort to streamline the
22	system?
23	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
24	Yes. So actually when we increased the

1	market rate to the 80th percentile, we tried
2	to streamline the process for the providers,
3	particularly those who serve 100 percent of
4	children that receive childcare assistance.
5	So we streamlined the application as much as
6	we could by state statute and regulation.
7	So we are. I mean, streamlining
8	really is the name, like the word of the day.
9	So our office has regional offices, we
10	contract with CCR&Rs, they work with
11	providers. So we have an infrastructure in
12	place to provide providers with that support.
13	We're trying to give as much flexibility now
14	to the parents.
15	SENATOR MURRAY: Okay, good.
16	In my remaining time I want to focus
17	on the funding too. Now, if I have my
18	numbers right, it says the Executive proposes
19	to utilize an estimated 800 million in
20	unspent and rollover funds in addition to
21	500 million in federal pandemic.
22	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
23	Right. Yes.

SENATOR MURRAY: How long will that

1	last? And then when that runs out, is that
2	going to shift onto localities, onto the
3	counties? Or how do we handle that?
4	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE: I
5	think, as we mentioned even last year, the
6	Governor made this historic investment in
7	childcare at 7 billion. This year it goes
8	on. It's 7.6 billion over four years.
9	I don't think any of us thought we'd
10	be here four or five years ago. And I think
11	in last year's financial plan we saw the
12	investment in years out, and in this year's
13	financial plan we will also see the
14	investment in years out. So I think the
15	Governor has made a commitment to support
16	this industry.
17	So yes, our pandemic funds will
18	eventually run out. We want our local
19	counties to use their subsidy. But there is
20	significant rollover every year. So we're
21	just going to invest all of our funds as
22	effectively as possible.
23	SENATOR MURRAY: Okay, great.
24	Thank you again. And I look forward

1	to working with you together to maybe come up
2	with some creative solutions. So thank you
3	so much.
4	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
5	Thank you. Thank you, Senator.
6	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Assembly.
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
8	On the Assembly side we now move to
9	three minutes for members to both ask the
10	question and receive the answer. So make
11	sure you leave time for our commissioners to
12	respond as we go through the many members who
13	have questions.
14	So we go first to our Veterans Affairs
15	Chair Jean-Pierre, but only it's
16	three minutes now. Kimberly?
17	(Off the record.)
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to our
19	Aging chair, I'm sorry, for three minutes.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN KIM: Thank you. Thank
21	you, Chair.
22	And thank you, Commissioners, for
23	testifying today.
24	My first question is to Children and

1	Family Services. The 16 million in the
2	capital funding that the Executive is
3	requesting, is that for third-party provider
4	capital projects or is it for your agency
5	capital needs?
6	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
7	Thank you, Assemblymember. No, the
8	16 million is to support the state-operated
9	facilities, our juvenile justice facilities.
10	We have an aging infrastructure, and
11	we know we need to modernize our facilities
12	to really meet the needs of youth we have
13	now, with programming and education and
14	vocational and mental health services. So
15	that is for the state-operated facilities.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN KIM: Thank you. And in
17	your testimony you said that funding is to
18	support facilities that provide programming,
19	education, mental health, treatment services
20	These are all in-house services that your
21	agency is servicing directly to constituents,
22	not third parties?
23	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
24	Yes. Yes. OCFS operates nine residential

1	juvenile justice facilities, and we staff
2	those facilities with clinicians, educators,
3	program leads. So it's fully state-operated.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN KIM: Great. State
5	workers, public pension, the whole nine
6	yards.
7	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
8	Yup.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN KIM: Got it, okay.
10	For the OTDA commissioner, my
11	colleague from Queens has done a wonderful
12	job Khaleel Anderson of highlighting
13	this problem with the scam involving SNAP and
14	EBT cards and PIN cards. And you point to
15	this problem in your testimony as well.
16	I think we all deal with these type of
17	scams in one form or another dealing with
18	vulnerable populations. And whenever we deal
19	with identity theft, it is such a challenge
20	to properly report it. We get punted from
21	the local police to the state, sometimes the
22	feds. It's a there's no one-stop place to
23	really understand what the problem is.
24	And is there anything you're doing

L	administratively to improve the situation?
2	And is there any kind of data that you
3	already have around the victims and what
1	vulnerable populations that we should be
5	focused on in trying to prevent these types
õ	of scams?

OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Yes. As I'd mentioned earlier, our messaging to recipients as well as to local districts about how to prevent this from happening to them has been pretty extensive, and we're happy to do more.

As I mentioned earlier, we expect to roll out within the next few months, pending USDA's approval of our plan, which we expect to submit later this month. I don't believe that that plan will necessarily require a police report. We'll see.

ASSEMBLYMAN KIM: Okay. Thank you.

Very quickly. The migrant services, is that
an in-house program that you're -- are there

OTDA personnel that's actually going to
support this population, or is this an
outsourced contract model you're adopting?

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: A quick yes or
2	no. There will be a later opportunity to
3	explain.
4	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: It's a yes
5	and a no.
6	(Laughter.)
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Okay. We'll
8	take that up later. Thank you.
9	To the Senate.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. Three
11	minutes to Cordell Cleare.
12	SENATOR CLEARE: Good morning.
13	Commissioner Tietz, I hope I get this
14	right. This is my first hearing.
15	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Your mic.
16	Your mic.
17	SENATOR CLEARE: I have a question
18	about the employment opportunities for youth,
19	the GIVE initiative. Why is New York City
20	excluded from the program? And if how do
21	we increase, how do we get these
22	opportunities to young people in New York
23	City? We know that money is necessary for a
24	lot of our younger New Yorkers, year-round

1	employment is necessary.
2	Can you just answer?
3	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Yeah, I'm
4	very pleased about the increase to the
5	minimum wage for the Summer Youth Employment
6	Program, to \$47 million.
7	Admittedly, as I had mentioned earlier
8	the new program is targeted to communities
9	outside of New York City with high rates of
10	violence. I would leave to the Legislature
1	and the Governor how best to address concerns
12	about the absence of that program in New York
13	City.
4	SENATOR CLEARE: Okay. And on the
15	SNAP benefit or the public assistance benefit
16	reimbursements, I think how long does
17	verification or that determination take from
18	your agency to I just want to see how much
19	time is it between that and when someone gets
20	their benefits reimbursed.
21	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: So at this

moment we just in the last week received

guidance from USDA. So the next step here is

for us to submit a plan to USDA. That plan

22

23

1	will go to them by the end of the month.
2	Some of the basics around that will be
3	that you'd expect that a recipient would need
4	to report to the local district that the
5	loss of the benefits due to theft within
6	30 days.
7	SENATOR CLEARE: You said within
8	three days?
9	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Thirty days.
10	Thirty days.
11	But beyond that, we actually haven't
12	written that response to them yet. So I'll
13	say that the guidance we got from USDA
14	frankly doesn't expect a lot. So our goal
15	here is to keep the administrative burden for
16	recipients and the districts to a minimum and
17	to be
18	SENATOR CLEARE: Okay, can you just
19	please follow up and let us know how long
20	that's going to take? Because that's very
21	important.
22	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Sure. Yes.
23	SENATOR CLEARE: Okay. There were

some advocates in here earlier, and I am

1	concerned about what they were speaking
2	about. The plan for AIDS housing for the
3	rest of the state, is there a plan for AIDS
4	housing?
5	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: So a handful
6	of years ago when the blueprint to end the
7	epidemic was developed under the prior
8	governor, it included HASA-like benefits for
9	the rest of the state. The cost of that
10	would be borne at the existing city/state
11	split in terms of the share. So 71 percent
12	of that cost would be borne by the district,
13	and 29 percent would be borne by the state.
14	It was always an option, it remains an
15	option for districts outside of New York City
16	to expand services to people with HIV. None
17	have chosen it.
18	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
19	Assembly.
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
21	Conrad, three minutes. (Pause.)
22	Assemblyman Burdick, you're next.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Thank you.
24	And this is a question for the

1 commissioner of OTDA.

First, thank you for your testimony and your work. I'm very pleased that OTDA helped provide funding for Freedom Commons, which provides housing for those released from New York State correctional facilities.

You mentioned that the budget includes \$128 million for the HHAP program. And just three questions on that. Are there any rollover funds for HHAP? And do you believe that OTDA can help promote an increase in such transitional housing as part of a consortium with HCR and ESSHI and other agencies? And lastly, does OTDA reach out to Osborne, Fortune and other community-based organizations for creating more transitional housing?

OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Thank you.

To your first question, of the \$128 million,
we pretty much annually get applications to
use those funds in the year, within the same
budget year. To the degree that they're not
all taken up in that year, they roll to the
next year. So the short answer to your

1	question is yes, they roll to the next year.
2	We expect to have applications and
3	approvals in the current year that will use
4	the available funds.
5	Your next question was
6	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Well, I was just
7	trying to find out whether or not the it's
8	128 million plus any funds left over or any
9	reappropriation.
10	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Well, there
11	weren't from last year. So so
12	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: So the answer to
13	that's no.
14	The second question is, you know, do
15	you reach out to Osborne, Fortune and other
16	community-based organizations to increase
17	transitional housing?
18	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: We know each
19	of those organizations well and respect their
20	
	work. We think that folks coming out of
21	work. We think that folks coming out of prison are an important constituency and have
2122	-
	prison are an important constituency and have

1	conversations with them with regard to
2	expanding transitional housing.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: And if I may, do
4	the applications that you have for housing
5	exceed, you know, the available funds?
6	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: So both
7	projects have multiple funding sources.
8	Could include tax credits, could include
9	so if they're in the city, for example, it
10	could include resources from HPD, what have
11	you.
12	So there's I would say that our
13	funds for sure help to encourage others to
14	come on board.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: But for the HHAP
16	program itself, do you have applications,
17	grant applications that exceed 128 million?
18	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Oh, I'm
19	sorry, exceed; I thought you said "seed."
20	Exceed 128 million yeah, I'm not certain.
21	I'd have to get back to you. I don't
22	believe
23	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: If you could,
24	that would be great.

1	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Sure. I
2	don't believe we do in the current year.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Super. Thanks
4	so much.
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate?
6	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
7	Senator Rolison for five minutes,
8	ranker.
9	SENATOR ROLISON: Thank you.
10	It's good to see you. And I have some
11	questions for OCFS.
12	In reference to discussions that we've
13	had in previous Public Protection budget
14	hearings, and we also had conversations on
15	data as it relates to public safety, one of
16	the things that I have heard in my former
17	capacity as mayor of the City of Poughkeepsie
18	for seven years was the inability to find
19	secure beds in cases where individuals have
20	been taken into custody and are being brought
21	through the Family Court system now because
22	of Raise the Age.
23	And in one particular case, an
24	individual who was charged with three gun

1	crimes	and	the	re	was	inabil	ity	to	find	ć
2	secure	bed	in	two	of	those	case	es.		

And I know that in the Governor's proposed budget there is additional upgrades for capital costs for OCFS facilities. And I know also, too, probably during the pandemic a lot of this building was not taking place because of all the restrictions that everybody found themselves under.

Commissioner, can you give us an update on where we are? Because we have had, especially in the criminal justice system -- and OCA was here; I asked the same question -- where we're at with that?

ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
Absolutely. Thank you, Senator, for that
question.

You're correct, the pandemic put a stop on much of our progress with respect to Raise the Age implementation. And as you know, detention is a local obligation. So we are working with all -- at this point it's six plus New York City, so seven counties that operate detention. We have been talking

1	with	them	since	201	7.	But	then	the	pandemic
2	hit.	And	they	all,	by	the	way,	had	expansion
3	plans	S.							

So I think we all recognize that we needed additional beds because Raise the Age required that we open specialized secure detention. That didn't exist prior to the law. So all of these counties have expansion plans that were pretty much put on hold.

So we do work with counties daily, we provide 24/7 support to counties, to sheriffs, to find beds where they don't exist sometimes. But we often always find solutions for our counties.

But currently we are working with our partners' stock and expediting all of those capital plans. Every single county that operates has a capital plan for expansion.

They are all moving forward. All told, within the next two to three years there should be 150 additional beds, based on all the plans from all the counties.

SENATOR ROLISON: So two to three years, if I understand the time frame. And I

would say that, you know, obviously the

challenge is real right now, you know, as

we're here today.

And is it -- it might not necessarily just be more money, it's just capacity in trying to get these beds constructed. What about staffing as it relates to staffing the new beds and/or the new facilities?

ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:

Well, I'll tell you. So two to three years is the capital timeline. But we hope to have more beds open up way before then. Because staffing also impacts the capacity issues. So some of our counties, coming directly out of COVID, have challenges in workforce just like every other industry.

So we are working with them,
encouraging them to increase their salaries
for this critical workforce. And many
counties have done so. So there are some
counties that have really good data on their
vacancy rate increasing, and we have some
counties that are operating detentions that
have beds that they can't fill because they

don't have staff.

So we do think that the focusing on the staffing will open up beds more quickly, but then ultimately every county, as I said, has a capital plan to expand their physical footprint.

SENATOR ROLISON: Do you know -- and if you don't, certainly we can talk offline about this. You talked about the additional 150. Do you know currently in the state what our bed capacity is for individuals that need to be remanded for safety reasons, both for themselves and also while they're, you know, awaiting their time through the system?

Yes, I do, actually. For secure and specialized secure -- there's additional nonsecure beds, which I don't have. But for secure and specialized secure, New York City has about 212. And the rest of the state -- I'll get back to you. I think it's 179, but I'll get back to you on that.

ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:

SENATOR ROLISON: Okay, good. And thank you for your discussion with us here

1	today.
2	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE: No
3	problem. Thank you.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
5	Assembly.
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
7	Assemblyman Goodell, for three minutes.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN GOODELL: Thank you very
9	much.
10	For OTDA, thank you very much. I saw
11	in your testimony that you recognized the
12	fiscal cliff and one of the proposals you had
13	was a six-month income disregard, which I
14	think is great. So it helps people get their
15	feet on the ground and establish some
16	employment experience before they start
17	losing benefits.
18	But at the end of six months, you have
19	the same fiscal cliff facing you. Is there
20	anything in this budget that would phase down
21	the benefits on a coordinated basis so that a
22	person can afford to earn more money after
23	the six months?
24	And along the same lines, is there any

1	proposal in this budget to, for example,
2	reduce the premium charge once you lose
3	Medicaid and you go into the health exchange,
4	any discussion about changing the Earned
5	Income Tax Credit so it can jump, for
6	example, at fiscal cliffs? Or maybe even
7	replacing categorical aid with cash, which is
8	much easier to phase down.
9	So other than the six-month extension,
10	what in your budget proposal addresses the
1	fiscal cliff?
12	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Well,
13	Assemblymember, you came with a whole list of
14	ideas. I appreciate that.
15	So I'll note that in the last budget
16	of course you made some important changes
17	with regard to public assistance so that
18	folks could in fact have higher earnings and
19	remain on public assistance. So this is
20	taken together with that.
21	I appreciate your concerns about, you
22	know, more is needed here. And certainly I
23	would leave to the Legislature and the

Governor on what exactly more might be useful

1 or needed.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2	With regard to tax credits, I think
3	maybe it's relevant to some degree to the
4	work of the Child Poverty Reduction
5	Advisory Council, which I cochair with
6	Deputy Secretary Jihoon Kim. You know, there
7	were you know, we just have pulled that
8	together, had the first couple of meetings,
9	we expect the third meeting in early March.
10	And, you know, in a careful look using data
11	and New York-specific research, we're going
12	to come with recommendations, as charged by
13	the statute. And I would expect that tax
14	credits would likely be a part of that
15	discussion when the time comes.
16	Unfortunately, in advance of this
17	budget, we're not there yet.

ASSEMBLYMAN GOODELL: And I appreciate your efforts with the Child Poverty Reduction Task Force.

So I would hope as part of that you also look at the impact of our current cash system as it relates to two-parent families. Because as you know, if both parents are

1	together, 100 percent of both parents' income
2	is included in calculating eligibility. But
3	if the parents are separated, the
4	noncustodial parent only has that portion of
5	their income that's attributable to childcare
6	counted. So it's 17 percent for one child,
7	24 percent for two, and so on.
8	It might make long-term sense to us to
9	expand the income disregard regardless of
10	whether you're together or not, as a way of
11	addressing child poverty.
12	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Appreciate
13	it.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
15	To the Senate.
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
17	Senator Gounardes.
18	SENATOR GOUNARDES: Thank you.
19	Good morning. I actually want to pick
20	up where my colleague just left off on
21	talking about child poverty.
22	Obviously we're waiting for the
23	recommendations of the Action Council. We
24	know we're required by law to have our child

1	poverty rate halved by 50 percent over the
2	next seven or eight years. And by any
3	objective measure, we know that any way to do
4	that's going to require a substantial
5	increase in child tax credits or in funding
6	families so they can pay for their
7	necessities.
8	Setting that aside, because we know
9	that it's not in this budget, what in this
10	budget proposal and this is for both of
11	you can we point to that will actually
12	help tackle the child poverty crisis that we
13	have here in New York, where we are one of
14	the highest-poverty states in the nation for
15	children living in poverty.
16	What in this budget proposal can you
17	point to that would help do that, aside from
18	the lack of investment in the child tax
19	credit?
20	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: My fellow
21	commissioner just said that I should start.
22	(Laughter.)
23	SENATOR GOUNARDES: Eeny, meeny, miny,
24	moe.

1	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: So, look,
2	I'd say that there are a few things. One is,
3	of course, adjusting the minimum wage and
4	tacking it to inflation. To the degree that
5	folks can earn more money, it goes a long
6	ways here.
7	In addition, we've got a number of
8	options here. Certainly there are resources
9	to prevent homelessness that we've got on the
10	table which are pretty substantial. The
11	Governor's housing proposals, that new
12	housing compact to build 800,000 units.
13	There are a host of items like that in this
14	budget that, while maybe not specifically in
15	my budget, would in fact have an impact on
16	low-income households.
17	SENATOR GOUNARDES: Okay, thank you.
18	Commissioner?
19	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
20	Yes, thank you. Thank you for that question.
21	I can add that for quite some time
22	OCFS has been investigating the intersection
23	between poverty and child welfare. And we

know that poverty and loss of income and

1	material hardships have are the greatest
2	predictors, quite frankly, of the risk of a
3	family's involvement in the child welfare
4	system. So we've been looking at
5	anti-poverty tools for quite some time. We
6	are so happy to be part of the advisory
7	council and look forward to those
8	recommendations.
9	But outside of that, we've been
10	focusing on prevention, and most of the time
11	those prevention dollars are provided as
12	services to families. However, in our
13	Child Welfare Unit, we are doing really
14	innovative and creative things and looking at
15	economic and concrete supports as a way to
16	mitigate child welfare involvement. We have
17	a direct
18	SENATOR GOUNARDES: Commissioner, I'm
19	going to have to cut you off there because I
20	have one more question and 30 seconds. I
21	appreciate it, though, and I'll follow up

23 ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE: 24 Absolutely.

with you more on those ideas.

1	SENATOR GOUNARDES: This question is
2	for Commissioner Tietz, and it's about our
3	shelter allowance. It's my understanding all
4	of our local social service districts can
5	choose to propose to increase their shelter
6	allowance from the bare minimum, and only a
7	number have I think only about 20 or so
8	have so far in this state.
9	And I was wondering if you have any
10	insight as to why more jurisdictions have not
11	taken advantage of the opportunity to
12	increase their shelter allowance, especially
13	given that the state picks up the lion's
14	share of that investment.
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: You're out of
16	time; you'll have to answer him later.
17	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: All right.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
19	Assemblywoman Lunsford.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUNSFORD: Thank you
21	very much.
22	My question is for Commissioner
23	Miles-Gustave.
24	OPWDD has been delegating much of its

1	responsibility for our most profoundly
2	disabled children, the ones that are not
3	adequately served by our school system, to
4	OCFS, and mainly our county CPS services. A
5	a result of this, children with profound
6	disabilities have been effectively living in
7	our emergency rooms, sometimes for months on
8	end.

Can you talk a little bit about how that's been a burden on your agency, on our county CPS services, and what if anything this budget does to help address that issue?

ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:

Thank you so much for that question.

So we do see this issue coming up year over year over year. But I will say that we have been collaborating, as state agencies, more now than I think I've ever seen. So we are in constant conversation with OMH and OPWDD and DOH to figure out not only funding streams but potentially even new licensing structures. Like how can we help and support these youth with very complex needs?

Particularly coming out of COVID, like the

1	mental	health	needs	are	stunning

So we are in constant contact with OPWDD. We do know that child welfare is the system of last resort, unfortunately. But I think the recognition of that is there.

And as you know, we have a cross-systems workgroup with our Council on Children and Families. We look at, case by case, children in the emergency room to try to figure out what the next path is for their permanency. So we look at every child and, you know, try not to let any child fall through the cracks. But we are looking to systematize that -- that cross-collaboration with respect to the potentially new funding streams or new licensing structures.

So there's no specific funding in the budget right now, but we've been known at OCFS to use our existing funding quite creatively. So we're committed to trying to solve this problem.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUNSFORD: I think this is a case that illustrates well the need to start to blend some of our funding streams.

1	Particularly when you have these children who
2	have funds that come from different agencies,
3	it becomes very complicated, the
4	administrative cost is very high.

To that end, I also wanted to ask you a little bit about the \$17 million for voluntary foster care agencies. Can you speak a little bit about the loss of the Medicaid funding for that and what you're doing to help alleviate that issue?

ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE: Absolutely. Thank you.

So the IMD concern -- IMD stands for Institutions of Mental Disease. It's a federal Medicaid concern. And so I'll make a very long story short. But IMD facilities are 17 beds or more, where the primary focus is to diagnose and treat for mental disease.

In us rolling out Family First, our volunteer agencies have increased their level of care. They had to do that to continue to receive Title IV-E funding. So we're at a point right now where some of our voluntary foster care agencies could potentially be

1	considered IMDs, and then they would no
2	longer be able to draw down federal matching
3	funds.
4	So the Governor recognized this. This
5	is a problem. We are talking with our
6	partners at DOH. We've been talking with
7	them for quite some time. And so we're going
8	to solve this problem. But the 17 million in
9	the budget is a recognition that this may be
10	an opportunity to transform our system. And
11	our voluntary agencies need investment to do
12	so. So that 17 million will go in a variety
13	of ways to hire more staff or make
14	programmatic changes or even some structural
15	changes to bypass the IMD definition.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUNSFORD: Thank you.
17	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
18	To the Senate.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
20	much.
21	To Senator Jessica Scarcella-Spanton.
22	SENATOR SCARCELLA-SPANTON: Thank you.
23	And I want to make sure I don't say
24	this incorrectly, so Commissioner

1	Miles-Gustave.
2	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
3	Yes, thank you.
4	SENATOR SCARCELLA-SPANTON: Okay,
5	good. Fellow hyphenated names.
6	(Laughter.)
7	SENATOR SCARCELLA-SPANTON: I just
8	want to bounce back to the childcare stuff
9	really quick.
10	In November it was announced, after
11	the childcare desert funds had dried up, that
12	they were going to make the unused funds
13	available. We have a few facilities in my
14	district, one specifically which is expanding
15	her daycare facility
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Jessica, I'm
17	sorry, you only get three minutes on this
18	panel. You do get 10 minutes a little later.
19	Sorry. Thank you.
20	SENATOR SCARCELLA-SPANTON: Yeah, that
21	one I knew.
22	(Laughter.)
23	SENATOR SCARCELLA-SPANTON: Oh, they
24	put it okay.

1	So yeah, for the childcare desert
2	funds. One of them, they let her know that
3	they were going to make them available and
4	they'll let those eligible providers know.
5	She's in the process of reopening a new
6	facility. Do you know when those grants,
7	those childcare desert grants, may be made
8	eligible again?
9	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
10	Sure. So our we had two specific RFPs.
11	So Childcare Desert 1 was for new providers
12	who wanted to open up new spaces in childcare
13	deserts. So those have been pre-awarded, and
14	people are working towards getting up and
15	running.
16	Childcare Desert 2 is for existing
17	providers who wanted to expand their slots.
18	So those have also been pre-awarded, but the
19	pre-awards are working towards getting the
20	licensure necessary to open up those
21	additional spots.

So I don't think we've made awards to the second group, that Childcare 2, but we can definitely get back to you. If you know

1	someone in your one of your constituents
2	was waiting for an answer, we can for sure
3	get back to you. But all that is moving.
4	SENATOR SCARCELLA-SPANTON: Okay,
5	great. And I guess my second question to
6	that was if you're doing more than just
7	expanding slots, so she's opening an actual
8	second facility nearby, same childcare
9	desert, to expand care, would she be eligible
10	for that? Since she's not technically new
11	and she's opening a new facility.
12	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE: It
13	would depend on her modality of care, if she
14	was a center versus family-based. So we
15	would have to look at that specific scenario.
16	SENATOR SCARCELLA-SPANTON: Okay. So
17	I'll make sure I send the specifics over to
18	you. But thank you so much.
19	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE: No
20	problem.
21	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
22	Assembly.
23	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
24	Assemblyman Simone. You need someone to give

1	you a chair. Thank you.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN SIMONE: Hi, I have two
3	questions, the first question for
4	Commissioner Tietz. Hi, Dan.
5	I thank the Governor for the
6	significant investment to assist New York
7	City providing aid to asylum seekers, many
8	who come to the Port Authority in my
9	district. Is your office also advocating for
10	additional federal aid and relief in the city
11	and across the state, and can the city count
12	on additional support?
13	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: So I
14	appreciate the question. The budget
15	contemplated essentially covering a third of
16	the estimated cost. And certainly the
17	Governor and governors from other states that
18	are so affected are speaking to the
19	White House and continuing to urge additional
20	funding and resources in coordination with
21	regard to migrants. So that that is
22	ongoing.
23	I think at the present moment the idea
24	was that essentially at the existing social

1	services share, as split between districts
2	and the state, we sort of kept to that
3	formula. So the sort of sheltering and
4	services related cost here represents about
5	29 percent of the estimate for the city's
6	expense to date.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN SIMONE: This is for
8	Commissioner Miles-Gustave.
9	Students are going to be recovering
10	from the COVID learning gap for years to
11	come. I often hear from after-school
12	programs on lack of funding in my district.
13	How does the budget reconcile filling that
14	need while offering no increases in the
15	Empire State After School Program and cutting
16	the Advantage Program by 5 million?
17	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE: So
18	there is no actual cut in the either
19	Advantage or Empire. What the budget does
20	this year, it proposes to move the funding
21	from SED to OCFS, because we are in fact
22	operating those programs. We facilitate all
23	the contracts, we do them ourselves in-house.
24	So the Advantage After School and

1	Empire contracts have been troubled because
2	of COVID. Things shut down, as you know.
3	But we have been working with all of our
4	providers to try to get them back on track.
5	Like we had to be slightly nimble during the
6	pandemic, and the contract framework changed
7	from, say, an expenditure base to an
8	attendance base. But now, with talking with
9	the advocates, talking to providers, and at
10	their request, we are transitioning those
11	contracts back to expenditure-based.
12	So those providers are in the middle
13	of a contract amendment right now. And trust
14	me, we have tons of people at our office
15	working on this to make sure that those
16	payments get out.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN SIMONE: Thank you.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate?
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
20	Senator Persaud for three minutes,
21	follow-up.
22	SENATOR PERSAUD: Thank you.
23	Commissioner Tietz, I just want to go
24	back to you again. Could you tell us what of

1	the \$1 billion that's covering the migrant
2	services, the funding that's allocated to the
3	National Guard, could you tell us what it's
4	covering? What is it that the National Guard
5	is doing with that funding?
6	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Yeah,
7	they're actually supplying National Guard
8	troops to assist with the sheltering and
9	services in New York City. So there's
10	approximately 900 National Guard troops right
11	now serving, and that represents the cost of
12	that service. They don't do security, they
13	do program-related work.
14	In fact, I think I was in your
15	district the other day visiting just one of
16	those locations, and I have to say that the
17	National Guard troop that was in charge there
18	was frankly extraordinary. I was like, do
19	you want a different job? I can give you a
20	different job.
21	(Laughter.)
22	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: He was quite
23	good. I was very impressed.
24	SENATOR PERSAUD: Okay. And can you

1	tell us, what is the status of the
2	applications to the federal government for
3	the hot meals program?
4	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Yes, we are
5	expecting the application is in to them
6	for review. We expect to hear something
7	soon.
8	SENATOR PERSAUD: Like in a month, two
9	months?
10	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Yeah, I
11	would say within the
12	SENATOR PERSAUD: The end of the year?
13	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: No, no, I
14	think I think within the next 60 days we
15	can expect to have an answer, yeah.
16	SENATOR PERSAUD: Okay. And if the
17	I'm not saying "if." When our application is
18	approved, how long do you think the program
19	will take to roll out?
20	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: It's well in
21	order. I would say that we've got a staffer
22	who you know well who is a go-getter on this,
23	and I expect that we'll have this up and
24	ready very quickly.

1	SENATOR PERSAUD: Okay, thank you.
2	And Commissioner Suzanne, again, I'm
3	finally coming to you to ask you a question.
4	It's about the minimum wage.
5	And you heard me talk about the COLA.
6	What are you going to do for to push for
7	an increase in the COLA on your side?
8	And, you know, we have the
9	\$3.8 million to fund the minimum wage
10	increase, but that's not enough, because we
11	are we're bringing these workers, these
12	critical workers, up to a minimum wage, but
13	they're still dependent on social services.
14	What are we doing for that?
15	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
16	Thank you for that question, Senator. I know
17	every industry's workforce is suffering.
18	That is without a doubt.
19	I do know that if you recall,
20	several administrations ago, right, there was
21	no COLA. So we are happy that there is a
22	COLA, there was a COLA last year, and there
23	is a COLA in the budget this year.
24	You know, I know that we all want more

1	in the budget. But the COLA that's applied
2	to the OCFS programs will support the
3	voluntary foster care agencies, and they went
4	through challenges last year as well. The
5	good thing is that the budget also the
6	language in the appropriation recognizes like
7	the very unique nature of our foster care
8	agency rates. So we're hoping that this will
9	be a benefit to them.
10	SENATOR PERSAUD: Thank you.
11	I think I was cheated on my time.
12	(Laughter.)
13	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
14	Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
16	Assembly.
17	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
18	Assemblywoman Clark.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CLARK: Hello. Thank
20	you. My questions are also for Commissioner
21	Miles-Gustave.
22	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
23	Gus-tauv, no "ay."
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CLARK: No "ay,"

4	1	\sim			
п	l Gustave.	Go	+	7 1	_
_	gustave.	G O	_	_	

So I have three quick questions on childcare that I'm hoping I can get in.

I'm really looking forward to the
Childcare Task Force meeting and finally
getting to their very tough job of figuring
out how to get us to universal childcare here
in the state. What we have seen, I think, is
that right now we do have dollars in the
system and they're still not being used.
They're not being used by families, we have
providers start closing their doors.

I appreciate the investment into the workforce, but I just think these continued one-time investments or using these stabilization grants isn't sustainable.

Truly if we're going to get sustainable, I think we need to get to the true cost of care, not market rate. The previous governor -- we passed in the Assembly a move to true-cost care, allowing that to replace our market rate. That was vetoed by the former governor.

Could we get support, if we get it

1	through the Legislature, to move to a
2	true-cost model for New York so that we could
3	start to raise wages?
4	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
5	Well, I can say that the market rate increase
6	I do think has been a significant increase
7	for all providers, like really cash in their
8	pockets.
9	And I would say that we honestly do
10	need the federal government. I mean,
11	increasing wages, absolutely, we all want to
12	do that. But we need the federal government
13	to pass that Build Back Better.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CLARK: Okay.
15	Second, I appreciate the also
16	increased I mean, I do want to just say
17	that we can't pay workforce more. No one can
18	afford to pay more. Families can't afford to
19	pay more. So how are we sustaining wage
20	increases without really looking at true
21	cost?
22	On the expansion of eligibility, I
23	think it's great, but at 93,000 even for a
24	family, what happens when that one parent

makes a dollar more? All of a sudden they go
from maybe paying \$200 a month to \$2,000 a
month we're going to lose that parent. I
understand this is the federal maximum, but I
really think we need to start looking at
state funds to have step-downs so we don't
lose parents out of the workforce the moment
they make a dollar more than eligibility. So
I'd just add that.

And then as for the employer piece — and what we've heard from our hospitals and other systems, our emergency workers, our front-line workers during COVID is we need 24-hour drop-in centers. We need childcare to work when overtime is all of a sudden offered.

Can we start to really look at how we pay through the subsidy system to make it follow the child and the family, not attendance, and really look at a way we could support these 24-hour drop-in centers?

ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE: So not aligned with our assistance, but aligned with our providers, we do have nontraditional

1	hours, and that was an incentive in our
2	childcare desert grants.
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
4	Thank you, Commissioner.
5	We go to the Senate.
6	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
7	We have Senator Ashby.
8	SENATOR ASHBY: Thank you,
9	Madam Chair.
10	Thank you, Commissioners, for being
11	here.
12	OCFS has a great innovative program
13	with the family-type adult homes. And I was
14	wondering if you could give me a policy
15	update with that, because one of the things
16	that's come up in my district is the hospice
17	waiver that goes along with that. So you
18	have elderly folks in place here who are used
19	to this family-type adult home being their
20	home, and then when they require hospice,
21	there's a very big gap in terms of being able
22	to remain in their home and receive treatment
23	there.

And it's caused a bit of turmoil, and

1	I'm	wondering	if	you	could	give	me	an	update
2	and	comment or	n tl	hat.					

ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE: So thank you for that question.

I'm not familiar with your specific scenario, but I can say that our family-type home for adults has been the topic of our conversation with DOH for quite a bit. So we're collaborating with DOH to look at what is needed -- is there a need for a change in our licensing of our family-type homes for adults? Because you're right, it is not supposed to be nursing level of care. But we do want people to be able to age in their homes -- and that is really their home, for all intents and purposes -- gracefully and safely.

So we can get back to you. If you have a very specific scenario, I'm happy to get back to you.

But I also want to point out that you all know the Governor issued the executive order to create the Master Plan for Aging, the state's first Master Plan for Aging, with

1	that exact purpose. So the goal is to make
2	sure that we have our older population safe,
3	aging with dignity in their homes if that's
4	their choice.
5	So I sit on that council, so I'm very
6	proud my agency is represented. So we look
7	forward to the recommendations from that
8	Master Plan for Aging Council. Because I
9	think your point is well-taken; that is the
10	goal.
11	SENATOR ASHBY: Yeah. And I look
12	forward to that as well. But there is a
13	sense of urgency here. You know, this
14	request has been out for over a year, and
15	there are people who are suffering because of
16	this gap in policy. So I appreciate your
17	time and attention to it.
18	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
19	Thank you.
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
21	Assembly.
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
23	Assemblywoman Darling.
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN DARLING: Hello. Thank

you,	Commi	Issi	oner	and	Acting	Di	rector,	for
your	work	and	your	tes	stimonie	es	today.	

I'm Assemblywoman Taylor Darling, and
I represent the 18th Assembly District in
Nassau County. I also currently serve as the
chair of the Subcommittee on Foster Care.

I'm grateful this year's budget

provides us some opportunities to attempt to

make the human services industries whole, but

we have some serious issues that we have to

really plan out this year. So we must

increase the salaries for foster care direct

care workers. At their current salaries,

foster care direct care workers aren't able

to live on the salary alone, requiring them

to have multiple jobs.

The turnover rate currently for these workers across the state is around 50 percent. This is disastrous for a lot of reasons, but we have to always stress the continuity of care that is necessary for our children and families, and we need these to increase positive outcomes. So where we stand right now, there is not a lot positive

1	happening	in this	industry	with	these	workers
2	and the fa	amilies	they serve	€.		

So I wanted to hear your thoughts about the direct care workers.

 $\label{eq:acting_commissioner_miles-gustave:} \\ \mbox{Thank you for that question.}$

So I would agree that our foster care population is also in crisis, that workforce is in crisis. And we do recognize that the voluntary agencies and the foster care workforce, they are the backbone of what we do. Like they are the frontline workers of all of our work.

So I think we've done a couple of things to help the industry -- not only just foster care workers that work in congregate settings, but foster boarding homes, like foster families. So we have raised the MSAR for foster family boarding homes. And you may have noticed we had a settlement last year where we required the local districts to pay 100 percent of the MSAR for foster boarding homes. So that's coming I think full circle this year.

1	And then we did promise that we'd also
2	release an extraordinary rate, which would
3	provide foster parents with a higher rate to
4	support youth with complex needs so those
5	youth can still remain in that foster home.
6	So that extraordinary rate regulation will be
7	issued pretty soon. That was the third part
8	of our settlement promise.
9	So those rates will be out shortly.
10	We plan to follow that up with some policy.
11	So that's what we're doing on the foster
12	boarding home side.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN DARLING: Great.
14	Okay, I have two more questions.
15	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
16	Sure.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN DARLING: I'm concerned
18	about the restoration of the 65/35 child
19	welfare funding to 75/25, as well as OCFS's
20	shift from mandated reporting to mandated
21	supporting.
22	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE: So
23	the 62/38 open-ended funding as you've
24	mentioned, just landmark funding with your

unwavering support, we were able to issue
that.
It's been one of the major tools for
the reduction in the foster care numbers.
Because counties use this open-ended funding
stream, New York has one of the lowest foster
care admission rates in the country. And we
do point to that funding stream for the
field.
CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
To the Senate.
CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
Three minute follow-up for Chair
Jabari Brisport.
SENATOR BRISPORT: Thank you.
Thank you, Commissioner Miles-Gustave.
I know we've been all figuring that today.
But a pleasure. Thanks for taking my
questions again.
I think my first just follow-up about
the Governor's pilot program, the tri-share
program between the state, employer and
parent we've seen issues with tying

healthcare to people's jobs, including but

1	not limited to people staying in jobs that
2	are not good for them or that they don't like
3	because they want to keep their healthcare.

Do you see any similar issues coming up with tying childcare to somebody's current specific employment?

ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE: I mean, I see your point. But I think it's just an incentive.

I don't see it as a negative, I see it as more of a positive than anything else, because there's so many industries that do not even recognize the importance of childcare. So these employers will not only recognize the importance but invest in their employees. So I do believe the good would outweigh the bad here.

SENATOR BRISPORT: Okay, thank you.

And my second question is just about uptake rates. You know, in the Governor's proposal she talks about how many more children will become eligible, but the number that is eligible is not the number that participates.

1	What's the current uptake rate for
2	childcare assistance in New York?
3	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE: So
4	there are 100,000 families that are eligible
5	for subsidy. About 66,000 get it every
6	month. Annualized was 100,000.
7	So no, we do have a lot of work to do
8	to get that number up. And I do think that
9	the streamlining of the application and
10	making things more electronic and accessible
11	will increase that.
12	SENATOR BRISPORT: I like
13	streamlining. I think eliminating
14	means-testing in general would be the best
15	way to streamline.
16	But in addition to streamlining the
17	application there's also the issue of not
18	enough providers, right? So while waiting
19	lists does OCFS keep a list of how many
20	kids are on waiting lists to even get
21	childcare around the state?
22	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE: So
23	my understanding is that there are no
24	waitlists around the state. Counties don't

1	have waitlists anymore. It was more money to
2	open cases. And granted, a lot of providers
3	have low enrollment because we're still
4	coming out of the pandemic.
5	So I think ultimately we need more
6	childcare spots, absolutely. We have
7	deserts. So those deserts are being filled
8	right now. But there are many providers that
9	are out there that have open spots.
10	So we don't keep private-sector
11	waitlists. I'm sure there are some daycare
12	centers in New York City that have waitlists.
13	But OCFS wouldn't keep that.
14	As far as waitlists for subsidy, local
15	districts don't have waitlists. There are no
16	waitlists for any local districts that I know
17	of.
18	SENATOR BRISPORT: Okay, I wish you
19	had attended our hearing on the childcare
20	crisis a few weeks ago. You would have heard
21	providers saying that they've never seen

24 My last thing is in terms of child

their time being a provider.

their waitlists so long in the history of

22

1	welfare, New York State is still continuing
2	to fail to reimburse counties at the
3	statutorily required 65 percent. We're still
4	doing 62 percent. Why is that?
5	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
6	Well, 62/38 I believe is in the
7	appropriations. So that's the share that
8	gets appropriated year after year.
9	SENATOR BRISPORT: But do you think we
10	lose and do decreased services from doing
11	less than 65 percent?
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: You'll have to
13	follow up with him afterwards, sorry.
14	And everyone, remember, when you get a
15	clock, it's both for you to ask and for your
16	testifiers to answer. So testifiers, we're
17	hoping that you will follow up in writing on
18	questions you couldn't answer.
19	And if you get them to both Helene and
20	myself, we will make sure to get them to all
21	committee members. Thank you.
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
23	We go to Assemblywoman Forrest for
24	three minutes.

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FORREST: Thank you.
2	My question thank you,
3	Commissioners. My question is for
4	Commissioner I'm not going to mess it up,
5	I promise Miles-Gustave.
6	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
7	Thank you.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FORREST: Okay. Just a
9	question on the stabilization grants, right?
10	The stabilization grants two years ago
11	were around \$1 billion, and we lost childcare
12	providers. Right? Will this year's proposal
13	of 389 million stabilize the sector?
14	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
15	Thank you for your question.
16	I think it will help. I think the
17	\$1 billion stabilization was in the height of
18	the pandemic, when we knew we couldn't have
19	childcare providers close even when schools
20	were closed. So that was a critical lifeline
21	for our community of providers.
22	And then last year's Stabilization
23	2.0, we've been hearing from providers that
24	helped a lot. A majority of that

1	stabilization incentive went towards
2	workforce.
3	So I do think this Round 3 will help.
4	We do have limited pandemic funds, so we're
5	using the remaining funds just wisely.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FORREST: Okay. But we
7	lost with 1 billion, and now we're going to
8	be okay with 398 million. Okay.
9	My next question for you is regarding
10	the data matching for children's benefits.
11	We had talked about it in the hearing over
12	the fall at the public assistance hearing.
13	But in the Governor's State of the State she
14	mentioned data matching for children's
15	benefits. However, her actual written budget
16	doesn't have anything regarding that.
17	Can you tell us more about this
18	program for data matching for children's
19	benefits? What would that mean for our
20	programs, and maybe the programs for other
21	vulnerable populations such as older adults?
22	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE: Is
23	that for Commissioner Tietz? I'm sorry.
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FORREST: No, it's for

1	you, yes.
2	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
3	Oh. I don't understand the question. Data
4	matching for
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FORREST: So data
6	matching would be where if someone puts in
7	information about this program SNAP, it would
8	then populate and be able to say for
9	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Okay.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FORREST: Oh, he's I
11	thought it was for you. I really was
12	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: I apologize.
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: OTDA does SNAP.
14	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Yes.
15	So yes, there actually is a unified
16	application now. It does that now.
17	So outside of New York City so
18	New York City has New York City Access, which
19	does much the same thing but if you put
20	in, you know, you apply for SNAP or you apply
21	for public assistance, you apply for
22	Medicaid, there is it's shared. So
23	there's a single application now.
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FORREST: Have you heard

1	any reports on the effectiveness of this
2	single application? Because even in New York
3	City it has hiccups, but
4	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Yeah, I
5	won't suggest this is perfect. I mean, I'll
6	note that the integrated eligibility system,
7	which we're working on now, and I think the
8	first bits will roll out in about three and a
9	half years, will do this much better.
10	But yes, we're all heading in that
11	direction.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FORREST: Thank you,
13	Commissioners.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
15	To the Senate.
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
17	I think I'm the last Senator, so to
18	speak.
19	So going back to the migrant services
20	and assistance money that the Governor put in
21	her budget, so is it a billion dollars for
22	New York City oh
23	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Ten minutes on
24	the clock for Senator Krueger.

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thanks.
2	Is it a billion dollars for New York
3	City or statewide?
4	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: It's largely
5	for New York City.
6	So it's a billion dollars. The vast
7	majority of it, some 75 percent of that money
8	is in the same kind of reimbursement that the
9	city knows well for shelter and services. So
10	that's the biggest chunk of it.
11	Some of this is in-kind, but it's
12	all even that in-kind is for New York
13	City. So that's referencing some Medicaid
14	costs and some National Guard costs and what
15	have you.
16	The only portion of this which is
17	somewhat arguably not New York City is the
18	\$25 million for resettlement.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So when my
20	paperwork says that 741 million of this
21	billion is used to provide New York City with
22	29 percent reimbursement for supportive
23	services and assistance, my mayor is telling
24	me that the costs are radically higher

1	already	than	what	a	third	coming	from	the
2	state wo	ould k	oe.					

So is this really -- you're going to pay 29 percent of supportive services and assistance, so it could be more than 741 million in order to get to that -- keep at that 29 percent level?

OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: The budget proposes to spend 741 plus the existing \$26 million to get to \$767 million, for essentially 29 percent of the city's estimate for shelter costs from earlier in this state fiscal year through June of '24. That's what's on offer here right now.

CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So you've mentioned Medicaid costs and also safety net costs. So those aren't really new dollars because they're for migrants, those are benefits that if you're eligible in New York State, you get them. So we might see a projected increase in some costs because of this population.

But I don't think that should really be counted as migrant funds, do you?

1	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: To the
2	degree that that Medicaid expense is in fact
3	for migrants, I think that it could be fairly
4	counted in that billion dollars.
5	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And what about
6	the safety net money?
7	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: The same is
8	true there.
9	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Why? There's not
10	a new program, safety net for migrants, is
11	there?
12	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: No, but
13	there is some tracking of course of migrants
14	within the HRAs, right? So doing the
15	eligibility, they are now keeping track of
16	who are you know, the piece of paper you
17	come with. Right? So these are all
18	documented migrants. They're mostly asylum
19	seekers. They are paroled into the country.
20	Based on that eligibility status they may or
21	may not get safety net assistance. That can
22	be tracked.
23	And so this represents a portion of
24	that cost.

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So what I'm
2	trying to get at is trying to understand, of
3	the billion dollars defined as being for
4	migrant services in the budget, what
5	percentage of that billion is actually new
6	money versus dollars that would have been in
7	the budget anyway, we just call it something
8	different this year?
9	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: I would say
10	again that the vast majority is new money, if
11	only because I mean, the 741 by itself is
12	clearly new money. It wouldn't otherwise be
13	in this equation.
14	I'm happy to take a look further and
15	answer your question maybe with greater
16	detail, but I think it is fair to describe
17	that billion dollars as largely new money.
18	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: What are we
19	paying the National Guard \$162 million for?
20	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: I think it's
21	covering the cost of the that personnel
22	and their services for a lengthy period of
23	time. It's 900
24	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So current or

1	going retroactive?
2	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Yeah,
3	it's it's current. It's right through
4	that same period. So this is essentially
5	looking at this is an estimate of how much
6	National Guard troops we would need to
7	continue to serve in the way in which they
8	are now.
9	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Starting
10	April 1st going forward, for the new year.
11	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Yes.
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Does the state
13	pay anything for them in the previous year?
14	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: I'm sorry?
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Did the state pay
16	anything for their services for the current
17	fiscal year?
18	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: I'm
19	uncertain. And we can certainly follow up
20	with you on that.
21	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay. And what
22	happens if the city's costs just continue to
23	grow and grow and grow for this population
24	far beyond what's projected in the Governor's

1	budget?
2	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: I think it's
3	a very difficult equation all the way around.
4	Certainly we're concerned about the
5	capacity of the city to continue to take
6	migrants at this rate. I think we're
7	hopeful you know, myself here at OTDA, my
8	sister state agencies and the city are
9	hopeful that with the changes that the
10	federal government's made at the end of
11	December, beginning of January, that we'd see
12	a decrease in the number of migrants.
13	I'll note that last week we saw a
14	slight dip in terms of entrants to DHS or to
15	HERCs. So but for sure it will be
16	challenging going forward, and we stand ready
17	to assist further as needed.
18	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Because of the
19	growth in the migrant population, there seems
20	to be almost a triggered new set of problems
21	for people who were in our homeless shelter

system already -- I think partly because of

possibly go, how many providers there are who

just the volume of people, where they can

22

23

1	actually can be in the business of operating
2	services within shelters and HERCs and
3	whatever else we call them next.
4	Does the state have any plan to help
5	us with that? I know we don't have enough
6	not-for-profit service providers to take the
7	contracts even for the new shelters for the,
8	quote, unquote, traditional homeless
9	population. So forget getting them to take
10	on all these giant HERCs. I mean, you
1	know you're shaking your head yes because
12	you also know this.
13	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Yes.
_4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And you worked
15	for the city previously, so I know you know
16	this.
17	How can the state be more helpful?
18	Because this is really a growing crisis
19	that's not going to go away tomorrow.
20	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: I would say
21	that the National Guard troops' presence is
22	vital at this moment. It is 900 heads we

wouldn't otherwise have.

You're exactly right that there's not

23

1	a bottomless pit of nonprofit providers ready
2	and able, willing, right here, right now, to
3	staff up some new shelter. The city is in
4	over 80 hotels. The the rather than
5	traditional, how about nonmigrant shelter
6	residents actually there's been only a
7	slight uptick in this same period, mostly on
8	the families with children side. So there's
9	maybe around 49,000. But for migrants, the
.0	shelter census actually wouldn't be much
.1	changed from a year ago.

But with, you know, some 21,000 in DHS and another 8,000 in HERCs that are overseen by the city's Health + Hospitals, it's -it's become a serious challenge to operate the entire system.

I'll frankly admit that I worry at times about the potential collapse of DHS. But for what was -- what we did with regard to the HERCs, which I'm very grateful for emergency services in New York City and New York State and for Health + Hospitals in stepping up to do what was necessary. And I think, you know, with my other state

1	colleagues, we will continue to step up as
2	necessary.
3	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I am also very
4	grateful for all of their work. I am just
5	afraid that there's just going to be, at some
6	point in the next few months, a total
7	breakdown of these systems because they can't
8	absorb and handle this many assignments at
9	one time. So I share your appreciation, but
10	I also I really feel like we are literally
11	at the verge of just total chaos in trying to
12	operate these programs. And we need to come
13	up with more ideas and more assistance for
14	the City of New York on these issues.
15	Now, I'm biased, obviously; I
16	represent Manhattan, and everybody's in my
17	island. And I'm not saying they shouldn't
18	be, I'm just saying we need a lot more help
19	coming into the future.
20	I will give back my one minute. Thank
21	you.
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
23	We go to Assemblyman Gallahan,

three minutes.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN GALLAHAN: Thank you,
2	Madam Chair.
3	Thank you both for your testimony
4	today and all you do every day to make New
5	York a better place to live.
6	My question is for Commissioner Tietz
7	regarding ERAP and LRAP.
8	We know that 225,000 checks have been
9	cut and that the application period ended on
10	the 20th of January. It's my understanding
11	that only applicants who have applied through
12	October 31, 2022, are being reviewed. And
13	will applicants after October 31, 2022, also
14	be reviewed going forward?
15	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: So we're
16	reviewing applications received right through
17	January 20. We're currently paying for those
18	that are otherwise eligible and approved
19	through November 30.
20	Looking at resources available, my
21	expectation is that for the non-subsidized
22	housing we'll be in the ballpark.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN GALLAHAN: Okay. How much
24	of the appropriated money from the fiscal

1	year budget '23 has been awarded so far, and
2	how much is left?
3	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: So I don't
4	know off the top of my head in terms of the
5	amount of appropriation for '23. We've
6	distributed, as you noted, about
7	2.82 billion. I think the total is
8	somewhere leaving aside LRAP and the over
9	80 program, but ERAP alone, I think the total
10	is somewhere around 3.1 billion. So we have
11	around 300 million or so left.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN GALLAHAN: Thank you.
13	And as far as the LRAP, how much money
14	from the 125 million for LRAP in the fiscal
15	year '23 appropriation has been awarded to
16	recipients? And how much money would be left
17	there?
18	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Almost all
19	of it has. That there's a handful of
20	millions left in the LRAP program.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN GALLAHAN: Okay, thank
22	you.
23	And should the state reopen LRAP with
24	new funding?

1	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: I'm sorry,
2	say again? Say again?
3	ASSEMBLYMAN GALLAHAN: Should the
4	state open LRAP again with new funding?
5	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: I leave, of
6	course, to the Legislature and the Governor
7	on how best to address the need.
8	We've not the Governor's budget
9	doesn't propose to add any additional state
10	resources to this program. But certainly if
11	there is an interest, I leave to you all how
12	best to address.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN GALLAHAN: So I'll let you
14	know in my district that I hear quite
15	frequently from landlords saying "I've never
16	been made whole." They can't maintain their
17	properties and keep a good place to live for
18	their tenants. They're broke, they can't pay
19	their taxes, they can't pay the utilities.
20	So it's paramount that we do something
21	for those folks. Thank you very much.
22	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Of course.
23	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: The Senate is
24	finished with questioners, so we're going to

1	just keep calling on Assemblymembers.
2	Assemblywoman Simon.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Thank you.
4	Thank you.
5	So, Commissioner Tietz, I have a
6	question to sort of follow up some of the
7	recent questions about the issues around
8	migrants and being able to work, which I know
9	is federal, but there have been relaxations.
10	What is the state doing to help kind
11	of push the feds into allowing people to be
12	able to work more quickly? Because right now
13	they're working off the books, they're not
14	protected. And they're not paying taxes.
15	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: So you're
16	correct that this is federal rules.
17	So the in the normal course for an
18	asylum seeker, as I understand it, you would
19	have to apply, and then it's essentially 180
20	days from receipt of your application that
21	you would have work authorization.
22	I know that the Governor, along with
23	sister state governors, is urging our federal
24	friends to permit work authorization, to

1	provide work authorization sooner.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Thank you.
3	And Commissioner Miles-Gustave, I have
4	two questions.
5	One is I notice that after-school
6	programs are being moved to OCFS. Advantage
7	After School is going to lose \$5 million. So
8	one question is, like what's the purpose of
9	this? Is there does anybody think we need
10	fewer after-school programs?
11	And then the other issue is the
12	proposed elimination of the Committee on
13	Special Education state share to those
14	residential programs. And my question is,
15	who's going to be picking up that tab, and do
16	they have that money?
17	And also, what about the out-of-state
18	placements that actually cost us more and in
19	some cases are highly problematic in other
20	ways?
21	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
22	Thank you for your question.
23	So just to clarify, the after-school
24	funding is moving from SED's budget to OCFS's

1	budget because we've functionally been
2	operating these programs for the past several
3	years. So it just made more sense for it to
4	come to our budget.
5	And there is not an actual decrease.
6	We are looking to consolidate the future RFP,
7	to consolidate the programmatic requirements,
8	which will help us consolidate the payments
9	and the claims. So there's Empire and
10	Advantage; our hope is to let a consolidated
11	RFP for the next contract year.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Like a cost
13	savings, you're saying.
14	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE: I
15	don't think it was a cost savings, but we can
16	surely get back to you.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Okay. Please,
18	because we have and then the other thing
19	is the CSE state share on the residential
20	programs.
21	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
22	Yes, thank you.
23	So that was an extension, that that's
24	the current operations currently. We

1	don't the state does not pay that share.
2	It's to the CSEs and the local districts. So
3	under the proposal in the budget is to make
4	that permanent.
5	But for the past several years, I
6	think folks realized that these children are
7	in voluntary agencies placed by CSEs in the
8	custody of local districts. So that's the
9	current operation of the fiscal that
10	share.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: I'll follow up.
12	Thank you.
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
14	Assemblyman Pirozzolo.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN PIROZZOLO: Good
16	afternoon. Thank you both for being here. I
17	truly appreciate it.
18	My questions are really going to go to
19	Commissioner Miles-Gustave.
20	Unfortunately, you know, a lot of the
21	work that we get to do, some of it is
22	pleasant, some of it is unpleasant. And my
23	question is going to go into more probably
24	the most unpleasant things that come up.

1	But could you just give me a brief
2	overview of what your office does when it
3	comes to the abuse, exploitation and
4	trafficking of children?
5	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE: So
6	we have the Safe Harbour laws in New York
7	State, I think one of the most supportive and
8	helpful.
9	So we have divisions in our OCFS
10	office that provide we have funding that
11	provides allocations to local counties. So
12	human trafficking is something that the local
13	districts provide services and support.
14	But again, we have Safe Harbour laws.
15	So the point of that is that we're not
16	we're no longer criminalizing young women
17	for, say, prostitution if they've been
18	trafficked. And I think the point of
19	focusing on trafficking is to make sure that
20	we get to the trauma of that trafficked
21	individual. So we take trafficking and
22	trafficking prevention very seriously.

And in the rollout of our Family First implementation, there are several voluntary

agencies that were interested in becoming one
of our QRTP exceptions, which is an EMPOWER
program specifically for youth who are at
risk of being trafficked. So there's now a
congregate setting for those potentially
trafficked youth.

ASSEMBLYMAN PIROZZOLO: So I appreciate that. But do you have any suggestions or any way we could work with you so -- the victims certainly need as much help as they can get, but what do you see that we can do, or what can we do to go after the people who are actually doing the abuse?

ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:

Yes. Such a huge issue. I do not disagree
with you.

I know that some of our voluntary agencies and local districts are working in partnership with their local law enforcement, with the DA. There were situations that happened maybe several years ago in one of our voluntary agencies where the FBI was brought into this specific case. So I know there's partnership between the child welfare

1	system, our voluntary agencies, and local
2	county social services and local DAs, to try
3	to stave off this very it's very
4	troubling, a very troubling issue.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN PIROZZOLO: Well, I
6	appreciate all the work that you're doing. I
7	certainly look forward to working with you in
8	the future on ways that we can reduce the
9	amount of incidents that's happening by maybe
10	better prosecution of people who are
11	perpetrating these crimes against our
12	children.
13	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
14	Absolutely.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN PIROZZOLO: Thank you very
16	much.
17	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
18	Thank you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman
20	Mitaynes.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MITAYNES: Hello. Good
22	afternoon.
23	This is for the OTDA Commissioner.
24	This is about SNAP benefits fraud.

1	It seems that this state is allocating
2	the money it received from the federal
3	government to only replace the stolen funds
4	on or after October 1, 2022, through
5	September 30, 2023. And it seems that it may
6	only replace once in the federal fiscal year
7	for funds stolen after October 1, 2024.

Why hasn't OTDA looked into providing more funding as well from the state so that those with funding stolen before October 2022 are also covered and made whole? The federal government has already committed to addressing instances after October 2022, but it seems that the state has not moved further to supplement the existing aid.

OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: I think you actually wanted me to answer that, yes.

So just to be clear, the federal law as of late December permits states, once they submit a plan that's approved by USDA, to replace two -- benefits equal to two recent months of your benefits, twice in a year. I think it's through 2024, and thereafter once per year.

1	And you're right that that law
2	begins that's for, you know, losses
3	October 1, 2022, going forward. Right? And
4	so of course we're doing the same, we've
5	proposed to do much the same with regard to
6	the public assistance benefits. This is not
7	confined to SNAP.
8	As I had mentioned earlier, our
9	expectation is that within the next few
10	months, following the USDA's approval of our
11	plan, which we're submitting later this

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

month, that we'll be able to begin reissuing those benefits to the local districts.

With regard to earlier periods, of course we leave to the Legislature and the Governor how best to address that need. But the proposal is what we have here on the table now.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MITAYNES: Okay, so we would have your support to be able to do that?

OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: I'm happy to do at OTDA whatever the budget requires of me.

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MITAYNES: Just very
2	quickly, a second question, and this is about
3	childcare and the fact that it leaves out
4	undocumented kids. Has OCFS calculated the
5	cost of a dedicated state fund to provide
6	childcare for undocumented children?
7	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE: So
8	we don't have that data right now. I don't
9	know if we would even begin to know if that
10	would be statewide. But I'm happy to take
11	your question back to my team and get back to
12	you.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MITAYNES: I appreciate
14	it, thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
16	Assemblyman Ardila.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN ARDILA: Thank you so
18	much, Madam Chair.
19	Thank you, Commissioner Tietz; thank
20	you for coming. And I really appreciate you
21	both for showing up today. I wanted to talk
22	to you a little bit about HRA predominantly
23	impacting New York City residents.
24	Maybe you can tell me a little bit

1	about this when it comes to SNAP benefits,
2	public assistance. When people are applying
3	and there's a wait time and they don't hear
4	back, and then when they try to contact HRA,
5	they can be on the phone for hours without
6	being in contact with anyone. Can you talk
7	to me about that, why that's taken place?

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: I couldn't speak to that specifically. I'm happy to get you an answer about the wait times.

I can say, more broadly, that the city of course has sought waivers from us for federal or state rules with regard to timeliness of issuing benefits or conducting a certification or a recertification. We have again -- as we have since early 2020, we've again waived a bunch of those rules after the city proposed taking some -several actions to fill seats. They're having a staffing problem.

And I think we were satisfied that their proposal with regard to how best to fill those seats was sufficient to further extend by six months those waivers.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN ARDILA: Okay. And then
2	to that point, right, so when we have this
3	impacts a lot of seniors in my district, a
4	lot of people that are waiting for SNAP
5	benefits, SNAP assistance, and this is
6	essentially what makes or breaks so they
7	can help it helps them make ends meet.
8	So, you know, what can we do to work
9	together to ensure that we don't have those
10	staffing issues? What can the State
11	Legislature do to ensure that we have the
12	proper resources so people don't have to have
13	those wait times where their cases are
14	pending, they don't know the status, they
15	want to do check-ins in terms of where they
16	are in the application process?
17	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Yeah, I'd
18	say that we're happy to take up any idea that
19	would both help the city fill the open seats
20	and to retain the staff they have.
21	Admittedly, much of this is within
22	their control alone, and not ours.
23	So if I would just say that if the
24	waivers in and of themselves were enough,

1	they'd have worked by now. So I think it's
2	important, because you want to keep people on
3	benefits, and for those who apply to get them
4	benefits and to limit the workload by issuing
5	those waivers, so you don't have, for
6	example, to do recertifications at the same
7	rate or pace as you would have in the absence
8	of those waivers.
9	But at the end of the day, it is on
10	the city to figure out how best to fill those
11	seats. We stand ready to help in any way we
12	can if there's some measure beyond issuing
13	those waivers.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN ARDILA: I appreciate
15	that.
16	And I do want to say appreciate the
17	work you do, and you have my full commitment
18	to reach those goals.
19	Thank you.
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
21	We go to Assemblywoman Lee, three
22	minutes.
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LEE: Good afternoon.
24	Thank you. My first question is for OTDA.

1	I commend the Governor for recognizing
2	the need for more housing in the budget. But
3	I wanted to ask, what are the state standards
4	for the siting of homeless shelters and
5	ensuring that there's not a
6	disproportionate concentration in any
7	particular neighborhood, particularly in
8	low-income communities of color?
9	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: The siting
10	of I'm presuming you're asking principally
11	around New York City.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LEE: Yes.
13	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: So the
14	siting of shelters is really up to the city's
15	Department of Social Services and therein,
16	it's the Department of Homeless Services
17	and other city officials.
18	Our role at OTDA is to engage in
19	oversight with regard to the services, the
20	quality of services, to certify shelters, and
21	to provide resources. But the actual siting
22	of them, the determination about where best
23	to place them, is up to the City of New York.
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LEE: Understood. Thank

1 you.

And for OCFS, as you mentioned, local
districts can set different standards under
the Child Assistance Program, but
standardization doesn't necessarily mean
improved care.

So specifically for New York City, can you please elaborate more on how standardizing benefits and services will impact how New York families will receive care, and also how they will be applying?

ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE: So for New York City they have a slightly more complicated process. They have a contract of care system, which is EarlyLearn for older children. They still have typical standard childcare assistance for infants and toddlers.

So our hope is that for that specifically for the infant and toddler population, the systems will be standardized. They have no waitlists, so in New York City you might have heard from your constituents with issues getting to childcare because

1	there was a significant waitlist. That is
2	now gone. They are giving waivers, and we
3	are monitoring that process.
4	So it really should be standardized
5	and should be beneficial to all families.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LEE: Okay, great.
7	Thank you.
8	And then when it comes to ERAP, I
9	wanted to focus specifically on the 73,000
10	applications that you said are outstanding
11	for subsidized housing. How many of those
12	are specifically for NYCHA residents?
13	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: I don't know
14	off the top of my head, although it is a
15	substantial number. We can get it. We can
16	get that to you.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LEE: Okay, great. And
18	so would you know how much in need those
19	73,000 applications represent?
20	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: So because
21	they're of a lower priority, you know, given
22	the two previous budgets that set them at
23	that priority, we haven't thoroughly reviewed
24	them. They're essentially on hold.

1	If there were resources available,
2	then of course we would go through the
3	process of reviewing them. So we would have,
4	at best, you know, just an estimate. So I
5	couldn't tell you today exactly what the
6	value of those are.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LEE: Okay, thank you.
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
9	We go to Assemblywoman Gonzalez-Rojas.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GONZALEZ-ROJAS: Thank
11	you. Thank you both for being here.
12	Commissioner Tietz, benefits
13	skimming again, this is just a critical
14	issue. You're hearing this quite a bit
15	today. How much in dollars would you
16	estimate has been skimmed from New Yorkers at
17	this point?
18	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: The last
19	numbers I saw were somewhere in the ballpark
20	of \$5 million.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GONZALEZ-ROJAS: And is
22	there language that allows for the
23	replacement of SNAP in particular? As I
24	understand, this is about 50 percent of the

1	cases that have been happening in terms of
2	skimming.
3	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Actually I
4	think that the SNAP skimming represents a
5	significant majority of the losses, as
6	opposed to the public assistance cash
7	benefit. And, I'm sorry, your question was?
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GONZALEZ-ROJAS: The
9	replacement of that SNAP. I know that there
10	is money in partnership with the federal
11	government, but
12	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Actually,
13	it's their money.
14	So to be clear, we couldn't we
15	cannot, even as of this very moment, we
16	cannot replace stolen SNAP benefits.
17	So in the budget, in the omnibus
18	budget the federal government passed in late
19	December, for the first time it's permitting
20	the replacement of those benefits, consistent
21	with a plan, a state plan that we submit to
22	them, which we're intending to do by the end
23	of the month.
24	Once approved by them, we will then

1	roll out guidance to the districts on
2	actually implementing that plan. So
3	essentially, as I've mentioned earlier, a
4	couple of months, an amount equal to the
5	lesser of the amount stolen or two months of
6	benefits, twice in a year thereafter.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GONZALEZ-ROJAS: Is
8	there a way to do more than just that
9	minimum? I know that minimum was standard
10	the standard by the federal government. But
1	just, you know, the two replacements and the
12	two months, it just seems very limiting for
13	people who have maybe lost thousands of
L 4	dollars in skimming.
15	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Not with
16	federal resources. So that would be the
17	limit with regard to replacing the federal
18	benefit.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GONZALEZ-ROJAS: Could
20	we put in money at the state level to do
21	that?
22	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Well, I
23	leave it to the Legislature about how best to
2.4	address that.

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GONZALEZ-ROJAS: And
2	given that SNAP is our number-one hunger
3	program, and that the NOEP has increased the
4	number of people on SNAP, why isn't there
5	more here for NOEP? We know that's just
6	incredibly effective programs. Many counties
7	stand to see a reduction altogether, or loss
8	of SNAP assistance.
9	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: I don't have
10	it in front of me, but I know that we've
11	increased the amount to NOEP. I want to say
12	it's \$5 million. But I think we've carried
13	that on from previous.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GONZALEZ-ROJAS: And in
15	my last seconds I want to go back to the HIV
16	out of state. Would you you know, there's
17	activists that joined us earlier. Is there
18	anything in the Executive proposal that would
19	provide housing? I know you mentioned some
20	of the counties haven't opted in. But what
21	can we do to ensure that there's greater
22	access to housing for people with HIV?
23	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Um

CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: I think you're

1	going to have to answer that offline.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GONZALEZ-ROJAS: Thank
3	you. Thank you so much.
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: You know,
5	again, as the Senator said, answers to any
6	questions that are posed here that there
7	isn't time to answer, please send us the
8	answers and we'll make sure all the
9	colleagues receive them.
10	So we move on to Assemblywoman Reyes.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN REYES: So this is for
12	Commissioner Tietz.
13	In 2020 we passed legislation to start
14	in New York State a restaurant meals program
15	through the SNAP program. Just wondering
16	where you guys are on the implementation of
17	that pilot program, if you have gotten the
18	necessary approvals from the U.S. Department
19	of Agriculture, and whether there are
20	resources that the state can provide to help
21	kind of move that along.
22	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Yes, your
23	colleague asked it earlier and I had
24	mentioned that our plan is in to USDA and

1	that we expect in the next, I would say
2	within 60 days, to have a response from them.
3	I'm hopeful that it will be an approval.
4	And I would suggest that we're pretty
5	much all set to roll it once approved.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN REYES: Perfect.
7	My next question is so your office
8	works on child support issues along with the
9	courts. We are working on a proposal that is
10	currently being revised that would create a
1	streamlined, expedited settlement conference
12	process for families who generally agree on
13	child support in family courts.
14	Do you see the need for this sort of
15	new process and the value in helping families
16	who want to utilize this option to establish
17	child support orders as a more streamlined,
18	straightforward process?
19	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Yes.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN REYES: Great. And it's
21	also my understanding that the state is
22	reimbursed for costs associated with the

child support program through the federal

Title IV-D Social Security funds. Would

23

1	those funds be available for a program like
2	an expedited settlement conference process
3	for child support in family courts?
4	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Now you've
5	gotten off of my page. So we'll follow up
6	with you on that.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN REYES: Okay.
8	Those are my questions. Thank you.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
10	We go to Assemblyman Chang.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN CHANG: Thank you,
12	Madam Chairman.
13	Thank you, Commissioners, for being in
14	here. You have a thankless job. It's a very
15	tough judgment of this program. Thank you.
16	I represent Sunset Park, Boro Park and
17	Dyker Heights. I'm a 24-year veteran and I
18	served a tour in Afghanistan. So I have a
19	question about veterans; that's for
20	Commissioner Tietz.
21	Veterans are included as an eligible
22	group for the affordable housing program in
23	the Empire State Housing Initiative. How
24	many veterans have been recipients of this

1	program, and how much have they been awarded
2	sir?
3	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: I'm
4	uncertain, but I'm certainly happy to I
5	don't have that detail in front of me, but
6	I'm certainly happy to get it to you.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN CHANG: Okay, thank you.
8	And earlier in your testimony you
9	praised the National Guardsmen that had been
10	doing outstanding service in providing
11	immigrant services. You have the resources;
12	how would you expand their scope and mission
13	if you had that desire?
14	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: I think
15	ideally, as much as we are grateful for the
16	presence of the National Guard, it is an
17	unusual I would suggest it's a somewhat
18	unusual use here. Ideally we would have
19	nonprofit human services, shelter providers
20	on contract to do the entire task.
21	So, for example, in the site that I
22	visited in Brooklyn last Friday, there is a
23	nonprofit partner there, they just don't hav

all of the staff in place yet. So hence, the

1	National Guard to fill that gap.
2	Again, grateful for that. I don't
3	think that's the ideal approach. And we
4	would prefer that the nonprofit staffed up as
5	usual.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN CHANG: Okay, thank you.
7	And a question for the Landlord Rental
8	Assistance Program, the LRAP. How many
9	applicants have been completed I know that
10	the program's been closed, but how many have
11	been completed and processed and how many
12	have not, sir?
13	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: So as of the
14	end of last month, we made almost 28,000
15	payments to landlords totaling more than \$283
16	million. That was after last February we
17	moved some of the unexpended under-80 program
18	to the LRAP program because the LRAP program
19	was oversubscribed. So that's how much we've
20	put out to date.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN CHANG: And you were so
22	oversubscribed, so what's left on
23	oversubscriptions, sir?
24	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: I don't have

1	the amount left. I know it's a modest sum.
2	That program is, you know, oversubscribed as
3	well, but it's a modest sum that's left.
4	We're working through existing applications.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN CHANG: I see. Thank you.
6	That's all the questions I have,
7	Commissioner.
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
9	We go to the Ways and Means ranker,
10	Assemblyman Ra, for five minutes.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you.
12	Good afternoon, Commissioner and
13	Commissioner. Commissioner Tietz, thank you
14	for that meeting last week to discuss some of
15	the budget proposals. And I appreciate your
16	answers today.
17	With regard to ERAP, though, I wanted
18	to get into a little bit, as we're winding
19	down this program, a few issues including
20	Guidehouse, the outside entity that was
21	contracted to obviously before your
22	time to administer this program. My
23	understanding is their contract is going to
24	expire in May, is that correct?

1	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Yes.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: And will there be any
3	need to continue any type of contract with
4	them, or do you think everything will be
5	wrapped up by that point?
6	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Oh, it would
7	be nice if it were wrapped up. But no.
8	We're moving to extend that contract.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Okay. Do you know
10	how long that would be for or what any of the
11	terms of that might be expected to be?
12	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: I don't have
13	the term of the extension. I think our hope
14	is that by the end of calendar '23 that the
15	vast majority of this work on ERAP would have
16	been completed.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Okay. So by the end
18	of the calendar year you're expecting to have
19	the program
20	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Largely
21	done, that's right.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Yeah, okay.
23	So I know that as you're going
24	through, you know, these processes, a couple

1	of issues that have been brought to my
2	attention that are kind of recurring, one of
3	them being applicants who have been denied
4	multiple times but continue to apply.
5	Is there any process to streamline if
6	they've applied again and again?
7	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: Yes, we've
8	worked with the vendor to do an initial sort
9	for just such applications.
10	So in some instances folks have
11	reapplied because they didn't obtain, as an
12	initial matter, the full amount available to
13	them so 12 months of rent or three months
14	of prospective rent. In other cases there
15	may have been errors, what have you. But
16	so in some instances.
17	But where they essentially have
18	applied in much the same way and it's
19	clearly, you know, not a fundable
20	application, then we would quickly move to
21	deny it. At which point, by the way, then, I
22	think what you're hitting at here is the

ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Yes.

23 protections that come with the statute.

1	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: In which
2	case the protections then are not in
3	existence.
4	So if I would just note that for
5	someone who's never had an approved
6	application, then for whatever sets of
7	reasons they don't have the protections
8	upon denial. But because, say, in the
9	instance in which someone had a previously
10	approved application, got some funding, then
11	they actually have the eviction protections
12	for a period of time going forward.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Okay. And then
14	regarding owners, I've heard of situations
15	where owners have had payments and then they
16	get clawed back, you know, months later.
17	Why, you know, would this be happening to
18	some is there a change in information?
19	What requires that? And what if any recourse
20	does an owner have if, you know, they dispute
21	the reason that the money's being clawed
22	back?
23	OTDA COMMISSIONER TIETZ: I would

you know, among the states with ERAP

programs, New York ranks high in terms of its
success rate here in terms of getting dollars
out the door to as many households as we've
done. I think some evidence of that is we've
obtained nearly \$400 million from the federal
Treasury in reallocations from unspent
dollars from elsewhere. So I think we've
demonstrated an ability to get these
resources out the door to those most in need

There are errors, as there are with any program. The error rate in this program is at about 6 percent, which is frankly akin to most public assistance programs. So, for example, that's an error rate akin to SNAP. And so that would be the instance in which we would potentially look to claw back some resource.

ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Okay, thank you.

And just with my limited time,

Commissioner Miles-Gustave, just relative -you know, we've talked about the providers,
the childcare providers. And certainly, you
know, the minimum wage proposal, on the one
hand it's going to help lots of people

1	hopefully be able to afford childcare, but it
2	certainly has the potential to drive up costs
3	for providers.
4	So I hope that's something we can keep
5	an eye on with some of the things we're doing
6	with regard to ensuring there are adequate
7	opportunities for childcare.
8	ACTING COMMISSIONER MILES-GUSTAVE:
9	Yes.
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
11	So this concludes this portion of the
12	hearing.
13	Just to restate that to the extent
14	that there are questions that there wasn't
15	sufficient time to answer, please to
16	Senator Krueger and myself forward those
17	answers.
18	And next we are going to be hearing
19	from the New York State Office for the Aging,
20	Greg Olsen, acting director; and New York
21	State Division of Veterans' Services,
22	Reverend Viviana DeCohen, director.
23	And while we are having a quiet
24	transition, if you have any conversations,

1	please take them into the hall. I just want
2	to remind people who are going to be
3	testifying later to make sure that you've
4	checked in with the desk at the top of the
5	stairs so that we know that you are here.
6	Commissioner, if we could
7	Assemblywoman, if we could take the
8	conversation out in the hall.
9	(Pause.)
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: And while we
11	are getting ready to start, I want to
12	acknowledge we've been joined by
13	Assemblywoman Hunter. Assemblywoman
14	Jean-Pierre joined us recently. And I think
15	that's it for our new members.
16	So we're ready to start our second
17	panel of governmental witnesses. A reminder
18	to both of you that you each have 10 minutes
19	to make your presentation; then there will be
20	a number of members who have questions. And
21	hopefully they will be leaving you enough
22	time to be able to answer those questions.
23	Thank you.
24	So Greg, do you want to start?

1	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Sure,
2	happy to. Thank you.
3	Just let me know, is that close enough
4	for you guys? Okay, great.
5	Well, good afternoon, Chairpersons
6	Krueger, Weinstein Senator,
7	congratulations on getting the Senate
8	Committee on Aging and of course
9	Assemblyman Kim and all the distinguished
10	members of the Legislature.
11	My name is Greg Olsen. I'm the acting
12	director of the New York State Office for the
13	Aging, and I'm honored to testify today.
14	Governor Hochul's Executive Budget is
15	aptly named "Achieving the New York Dream."
16	The Executive Budget seeks to create a more
17	affordable, more livable, and safer New York,
18	while opening doors to the communities and
19	people who have historically been blocked
20	from equal chances at success.
21	I am pleased, as always, to testify on
22	provisions of Governor Hochul's proposed
23	budget that directly affect NYSOFA programs
24	and our services, along with additional

budget proposals that will positively impact older New Yorkers and their families and move towards the broader goal of creating a more affordable and livable New York.

The Governor's State of the State
message and subsequent Executive Budget
proposal aims to make New York the healthiest
state in the nation through a multi-agency,
coordinated effort that's focused on
developing a Master Plan for Aging, improving
physical and behavioral health, combating
social isolation, preventive health care
strategies, expanding access to services and
training for LGBTQ individuals, supporting
informal as well as working caregivers,
addressing disparities, diversity, equity and
inclusion, and more.

Utilizing the state's 2019-2024

Prevention Agenda as the umbrella, and instituting a "Health Across All Policies approach," New York State agencies are incorporating health considerations into our planning, programs, and initiatives. As agencies, we are committed to working

together and considering how our policies fulfill New York's pledge as the first age-friendly state in the nation.

Now we have an opportunity to further strengthen and coordinate this vital work through the Governor's priority of developing a Master Plan for Aging. This master plan is consistent with the goals and work that New York has been engaged in to improve communities for people of all ages and create healthy environments in which to grow up and grow old.

In 2018, as you know, New York became the first age-friendly state in the nation to receive that designation from AARP and the World Health Organization. New York was first because we rank pretty high in the eight domains of age-friendly, livable communities, and due to our comprehensive plan to systematically build age-friendly and smart-growth principles into how government operates and functions.

Through much-needed investments, the Governor's FY '24 Executive Budget expands

1	and strengthens the foundation with
2	initiatives that help individuals access
3	needed services and lead healthy lives by
4	maintaining funding for vital services like
5	the Community Services for the Elderly
6	program, or CSE; elder abuse and Holocaust
7	survivors funding; increasing funding for the
8	Master Plan for Aging; continuing the
9	historic \$23 million investment to help older
10	New Yorkers who are awaiting services;
11	continuing the \$2.9 million to expand our
12	efforts to combat isolation, bridge the
13	digital divide, address elder abuse, and
14	strengthen NYSOFA's stipend program for
15	volunteer recruitment and retention;
16	continuing our bill-payer service program in
17	10 counties in Western New York to prevent
18	and mitigate financial exploitation of older
19	adults; the continued improvements in our
20	New York Connects system, further increasing
21	access to long-term services and supports;
22	maintaining a half a million dollars that's
23	used to draw down more than \$2 million in
24	federal funds to combat elder abuse and

financial exploitation through our enhanced increasing multidisciplinary team program; continuing funding that was in the budget last year for the Long Term Care Ombudsman Program, and providing a 2.5 percent human COLA for our providers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The Governor's budget provides the support necessary to continue our trailblazing initiatives. Examples include our award-winning animatronic pet project; the GetSetUp platform that offers more than 900 lifelong learning and health and wellness classes; our Virtual Senior Center to bring senior center programming into the homes of older adults; our Elli-Q program that provides a proactive artificial intelligence tool to combat isolation and loneliness and improve connectivity to others as well as improving overall health and wellness; our GoGoGrandparent partnership, which provides specialized ride-sharing to increase transportation options; and our evidence-based caregiver support platform, TRUALTA, which is available to any New

Yorker, regardless of age, who provides some type of uncompensated care to somebody else.

Further, the proposed Executive Budget increases eligibility for those older adults that wish to use services via a private pay model. Legislation that we passed in 2019 allowed individuals and families for the first time to purchase services directly from their area Agency on Aging when their income was above 400 percent of the federal poverty level.

What we found is that created a gap, and so we're pleased that this year the Governor's proposal expands access to these services for those individuals between 250 and 400 percent of the federal poverty level.

NYSOFA has also launched several pilot projects that expand outreach, communication and engagement, as well as measure the effectiveness of these new models. These innovations will inform the Master Plan for Aging. One such innovation is the launch of a multicounty evidence-based intervention

pilot that uses complex analytics to identify
caregiver burnout. It's called TCARE, and
it's a leading cause of referral to higher
levels of care for older adults.

NYSOFA is also currently conducting the state's first-ever statewide needs assessment survey. The results of this assessment will not only inform our federal plan that is due to the feds this summer, our county plans that will be due later in the year, but also the Master Plan for Aging.

NYSOFA is also the nation's first state unit on aging to partner with the National Association of Home Builders to make their Certified Aging in Place Specialist, or CAPS, training certification available to our case managers. This certification improves the ability of our front-line staff to assess, recommend, and incorporate home safety features into care plans, helps individuals age in place, and prevents injuries and falls.

Finally, through our partnership with the Department of Health, we will be

expanding a successful integrated care model
that coordinates aging services with health
services and physicians' offices and
strengthens the New York Connects data and
reporting system as well as the state
resource directory.

But the budget is much more than any one program or service. It must be examined holistically. Older adults, like all

New Yorkers, touch many different systems in their day-to-day lives, not just the Office for the Aging, which is why the Governor's systemic investments are so important.

Key investments and proposals that will further our ability to allow New Yorkers to age in place include -- and some have been mentioned already -- the New York Housing Compact to build 800,000 new homes; expanding access to behavioral health services; strengthening EMS, which for many is the first healthcare responders; making energy more affordable to reduce out-of-pocket costs; expanding food access -- and there's many, many more.

1	So NYSOFA will continue to engage our
2	sister agencies, private partners,
3	not-for-profits, and community-based
4	organizations as we work to serve New York's
5	older population and their families as
6	effectively as possible.
7	I really appreciate all of your guys'
8	support and the opportunity to be here, and
9	I'm happy, as always, to answer any
10	questions.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
12	Reverend?
13	DVS DIRECTOR REV. DeCOHEN: Good
14	afternoon, everyone.
15	Good afternoon, Chairs Krueger and
16	Weinstein and distinguished members of the
17	Senate and Assembly. My name is Viviana
18	DeCohen, I'm a Marine Corps veteran, and I
19	have the distinct honor of being the director
20	of the New York State Division of Veterans'
21	Services, or DVS.
22	Thank you for the opportunity to
23	discuss Governor Hochul's 2023-2024 Executive
24	Budget as it relates to New York State

1 Veterans' Services.

administration and in her budget the importance of veterans, servicemembers and their families here in our great state. As we approach our agency's transition from a division to a department, the Governor's expansion and improvements in the veterans services realm have been outstanding, and DVS stands ready to continue providing the highest-quality services for our New York State veterans, servicemembers, and their families.

Under Governor Hochul's

administration, New York continues to be a

state where veterans and their families are

proud to call home thanks to the continued

investment into veterans and their families.

These investments can be seen through new

programs, staffing, and additional funds for

peer-to-peer support programs such as the

Joseph P. Dwyer Program.

DVS has increased its economic impact, which directly benefits the lives of

1	New Yorkers throughout each and every
2	community. Through these increases, DVS
3	assisted veteran families in bringing in over
4	\$900 million in tax-free federal dollars in
5	2022. This is in addition to the
6	\$400 million brought into New York with over
7	23,000 veterans utilizing educational
8	opportunities.

These funds filter their way into every community across the state, to support the over 688,000 veterans of New York. The work of this agency delivers concrete results and benefits for veterans and their families in New York State in the form of federal funds and healthcare access.

We know that we could not produce such outstanding results alone. We are grateful for the support of the Legislature, Executive Chamber, and the tremendous work of DVS staff which has enabled us to rise to the occasion. No one is an island unto themselves, and we do know that without all of you and our incredible partners, the work we do would not be possible.

L	Our work addressing food insecurity,
2	increasing access through digital
3	technologies and transportation, and
1	educating providers on veteran and military
5	competency is only possible through our
5	continued partnership.

Food insecurity has been repeatedly cited as a concern for our military-connected families. One in nine working-age veterans lives in food-insecure households nationally. We know that this is unacceptable, and in New York State we're doing something about it. We're very proud that this past year the millionth meal was distributed -- between our partners -- to our New York veterans, servicemembers and their families through a partnership between the New York State Division of Veterans' Services and Hello Fresh, which will continue on into 2023.

This builds on the successful Nourish

New York initiative, a multi-agency effort to

reduce food insecurity and support our food

producers.

Additionally, Governor Hochul has

committed to doubling the funds committed to
the FreshConnect checks program which allows
our veterans, servicemembers and their
families to receive food vouchers to use at
farmers' markets and stands across the state.

DVS continues to create and provide additional points of access for veterans and their families to learn about the benefits they earned through their military service.

One of the most common issues we hear when talking about bringing veterans into contact with their benefits is transportation and isolation. We want to pursue innovative solutions to address that.

In her Executive Budget Governor

Hochul is supporting an initiative to help

DVS find and serve veterans in their

communities -- find and serve -- through the

dedication of \$300,000 for the purchase of

vehicles that will form our first-ever fleet

of Mobile Veterans Service Centers.

This initiative will allow us to reach those with some of the highest needs and the largest barriers to access by eliminating

transportation concerns. These mobile

centers will bring the DVS's accredited

veterans benefits advisors and their

best-in-class technologies on the road to

meet our New York veterans, servicemembers

and military families where they work, live,

where they thrive.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Lastly, DVS understands the immense burden that finding quality, affordable housing can pose to a veteran and their family. This is why Governor Hochul's administration is taking a twofold approach to this with the required military and veteran cultural competency trainings for supportive-housing providers that receive state funds for veteran-designated housing units and a \$1.1 million investment to create the Homeless Veterans' Housing Program, which will be used for emergency housing, providing temporary shelter, repair to homes damaged by disasters, and renovating homes to house veterans with certain special abilities -some call them disabilities. This creates a holistic and comprehensive approach to

1	address the unique needs that veterans, our
2	servicemembers and their families face with
3	housing.

Governor Hochul's State of the State agenda and Executive Budget provide DVS with the resources to build upon these successes and to deliver more support than ever to New York's veterans and their families. DVS appreciates the continued support of Governor Hochul and her administration in addressing the needs faced by our veterans, servicemembers and their families.

Thank you for the opportunity to discuss the Governor's Executive Budget with this committee. And on behalf of New York's veteran families, thank you for your continued support of the agency's mission. I look forward to answering any questions that you may have.

CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.

We go to Assemblyman Kim, chair of the Assembly Aging Committee, first.

ASSEMBLYMAN KIM: Thank you. Thank you both for your testimonies today.

1	According to a report by the Center
2	for an Urban Future, older New Yorkers grew
3	in population by 31 percent from 2011 to
4	2021. During this time the State Office for
5	the Aging's budget grew by approximately
6	25 percent. There seems to be about a
7	6 percent gap between overall growth of older
8	adults, older New Yorkers, versus SOFA's
9	budget, causing the delay in services and an
10	increase in waiting lists for vital services.

During this period, older New Yorkers living below the poverty line, and who most likely qualified and applied for Medicaid, increased by 37 percent in the last 10 years.

My office ran some models, with the help of the Association on Aging, based on how much we would have saved on Medicaid if we fully invested in non-Medicaid care programs administered by SOFA. In the last 10 years, our conservative figures show that we could have decreased older adults living in poverty by up to 10 percent and saved the state \$3.75 billion.

An additional \$390 million investment

L	in SOFA in the last 10 years would have saved
2	the state \$3.75 billion in Medicaid spending
3	and decreased the number of older adults
4	living in poverty.

Now, I know, Director Olsen, you already know these numbers. And I wonder why the former governor, the current governor, and the Department of Health won't fully invest in SOFA. Do they not care, or are they just incompetent at taking care of older adults?

You don't need to answer that, Commissioner.

(Laughter.)

ASSEMBLYMAN KIM: But what we do know is that \$3.75 billion in Medicaid waste ended up in the pockets of predatory nursing homes and corrupt home care agencies that see older adults as dollar signs, not humans. We systematically, systemically force older adults to spend down into poverty so we can institutionalize them into facilities we supposedly oversee to protect consumers through an advocacy program called the

Long Term Care Ombudsman Program that we purposely underfund.

And here you are, Director Olsen, knowing all this, biting your tongue for years, trying your best to make things work under a broken and aged system, but offering alternative solutions to finance SOFA's work.

So please tell us, for my first question, more about the Executive's Article VII private payment system and the impact that could have on the state's waiting list.

NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah, thanks, Assemblyman. You know, the question was directly about private pay. I just want to, you know, just mention something in terms of some other things you said.

I think this administration really does care about older adults. So we've got a couple of things we're working on now with multiple state agencies. As I said, you know, our partnerships with folks like DVS are critical. We have 24,000 veterans in our caseload; 63 percent of the state's veterans are over the age of 60. And, you know, the

L	Reimagine Long Term Care is going to be
2	once we get the appointments, we'll be
3	working on that.

But the private pay I think, you know,
I've heard a couple of folks back in '19 when
we passed this, and now that we're trying to
make it really a technical amendment, are
suggesting that we would be passing costs on
to low-income individuals.

As you know, Assemblyman, I've been doing this work for 31 years. That is not the intent of this, and that's not what it is. We have never been allowed, the network, to offer individuals that have the ability to pay -- we have 1.6 million older adults that have incomes of \$55,000 or more. That's not a lot of money. But you have people like me that could afford to purchase a service on behalf of my mother or my father -- we were literally barred, under law.

So the only thing the private pay allows is for the AAA network who wants to opt in -- it's not mandatory -- to offer it

1	if	they	have	already	utilized	their	federal,
2	sta	ite ar	nd loo	cal dolla	ars.		

Ninety-nine-point-five percent of all of our funding goes towards individuals who are low-income, limited English, cultural and ethnic minorities. That's by federal law, state law. And those are folks we want to protect. So this really provides an option.

And one last thing. Families are already doing this. You do what you gotta do to help the people in your family, your loved ones, et cetera. We would rather that they come to us because we can look much more holistically, connect you to the hundreds of programs -- federal, state and local -- that Veterans provides, connect you to OMH or OASAS if it's alcohol and substance abuse, and so on and so forth.

ASSEMBLYMAN KIM: And I guess the hope is that if we allow folks to purchase the program who can, that may alleviate some of the people on the waiting list so we can promptly get to those on the bottom of that income bracket who are still on the waiting

8

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah, that was the design. So, you know, there's a 3 cost to the service. And so like if a 5 home-delivered meal is \$7, what we allowed but capped it was a max of, you know, the 6 cost of the service plus 20 percent. That 7 could be because you're contracting with 9 Medicare Advantage, an MLTC, Mainstream, or just somebody in the community that finds 11 these things valuable.

> What we required is all the accounting to be separate and if there's any revenue generated from this proposal for the services, it is required by law to go back in to serve lower-income people, because that's our book of business.

ASSEMBLYMAN KIM: And since 2019, since we allowed the network to purchase -or charge older adults for the programs, how many -- do you have data on how many older adults actually purchase services from the local agencies?

NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: We do,

1	Assemblyman. The date that that was supposed
2	to go into effect so it passed in fiscal
3	year 2019, April of 2019. It was to go into
4	effect April 11, 2020, and we know what
5	happened in March of 2020. So I would be
6	tone deaf as an individual to be pushing this
7	during the middle of the pandemic. So we
8	didn't do that.

I think we're going to be reevaluating where we wind up this year. But right now we have five counties that chose the opt-in.

Most of it is for the personal emergency response system, which has been shown overwhelmingly to reduce hospitalizations, ER visits, observation status. It's about \$200,000.

ASSEMBLYMAN KIM: I mean, obviously if we can find the necessary funding to cover all, or other fundings and mechanisms, public finance mechanisms to eliminate the waiting list, it's best to pursue a path that we don't have to charge any older adults seeking SOFA services.

But here we are, because of some of

1	the references that I made earlier, that we
2	know what the impact this could be on
3	Medicaid and on Medicaid populations, we're
4	trying to find alternative solutions, trying
5	to get us there. But if we work together in
6	the coming weeks to figure out a different
7	pot of money, different revenue to fully fund
8	SOFA's programs, I'm hoping that we don't
9	have to charge any older adults.

NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: You know, it would be great to fully subsidize everybody. And I don't think that we can do that. And, you know, you and I have had this conversation, Assemblyman. This body, the Legislature, Governor's office and county governments, have picked up all of the costs where the feds have really let us down.

The Older Americans Act has been amended 13 times and added a whole bunch of work to us and to the network. All of it is appropriate, all of it. But none of it came with any money, so the least amount of money that this state receives is from the federal government, which is directing us on what we

1 need to do.

2	Which is why we developed an
3	Older Americans Act modernization proposal.
4	Senator Gillibrand is embracing that. We're
5	working with her to get really the due share
6	that we deserve that can really go a long
7	way. What we need is an annual increase
8	of in my opinion, of about \$2.7 billion,
9	which would bring the resources to New York
10	to allow this network to do what it does so
11	well: You know, promote happy, healthy
12	lives, living with dignity, avoiding
13	hospitalizations and so forth, but having a
14	strong infrastructure if people wind up in
15	those settings and then are discharged back
16	to the community.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN KIM: We're going to be
18	taking testimony from AARP later, and I thin

taking testimony from AARP later, and I think they'll be releasing a report on the ineffectiveness of the ombudsman program.

And the data just looks — the initial data that we're getting looks horrifying:

52 percent of nursing homes and other care facilities failed to receive a long-term-care

1	ombudsman visit due to lack of resources and
2	staff. Nearly 80 percent of New York City's
3	nursing homes and other care facilities
4	failed to receive a single visit from an
5	ombudsman. You know, the data goes on and
6	on.

And, you know, we need -- my colleagues and I, you know, we want to professionalize, we want to reset the ombudsman program, we want to rebuild it.

But every time, you know, we have these discussions we run into institutional pushback that people tell us, "Oh, if we remodel this, we may not qualify for the federal dollars."

It's my understanding the original framers of the program at the federal level wanted the LTCOP program to be independent.

And there are other states that even host this under nonprofit organizations, not even government agencies, to execute that independence of this program.

So I think it's just a matter of political will to get us to a better place

1	where we can truly have an advocacy program
2	for these facilities.
3	And I'll continue my questions in my
4	next five minutes. Thank you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
6	To the Senate.
7	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
8	And to our new chair of Aging,
9	Senator Cordell Cleare.
10	SENATOR CLEARE: Thank you.
11	And thank you for your testimony. I'm
12	looking forward to sitting down and speaking
13	with you, Commissioner.
14	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN:
15	Absolutely.
16	SENATOR CLEARE: I'm not on it is
17	on?
18	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yes.
19	SENATOR CLEARE: Anyway, I'm going to
20	try to project.
21	I'm Senator Cordell Cleare. I
22	represent the 30th Senatorial District, which
23	is Harlem, East Harlem, West Harlem, a
24	portion of Washington Heights and the

1 Upper West Side.

And I listened to your opening remarks about people who are historically blocked from achieving success. And a group of those people, I think, are home care workers. AARP submitted testimony that I was reading; nearly half of home care workers live in or near poverty, and more than half depend on public assistance to survive. These workers are continuously training and developing their skills and expertise to elevate the level of care they can provide, only to remain unable to support themselves and their families because of low wages.

The proposed Executive Budget provides for a 2.5 percent COLA for hardworking staff that fall under SOFA as well as other agencies. Why is this less than last year's 5.4 percent? And how is this low figure expected to retain staff and provide continuity of services? And also, what can we do to link it to the rate of inflation so this doesn't keep --

NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah.

1	Well, as you know, Senator and it was
2	great talking to you last week, and look
3	forward to our partnership. You know, the
4	Governor proposed an increase that is tied
5	to why am I drawing a blank the
6	inflation rate.

So there was a couple of different things that you mentioned in there. Our COLA that we're provided this year was like 5, 5-plus percent last year -- 2.5, that was used primarily to cover the rate increase for personal care aides that we fund through our in-home program, which is separate from the Medicaid program.

And you're right, the wages are low.

I mean, many of our counties, we authorized them to negotiate their own rates back in 2014 when MLTC kind of entered the marketplace. It was originally tied to Medicaid's rate. But in order to get aides assigned to the individuals that we serve that are just above Medicaid limit, they can negotiate their own rates. So many of our counties are paying a much higher rate,

1	1	\sim	1	4.0	-1 - 7 -	1		1
	between	.3()	and	4()	dol	lars	an	hour.

And I think some of them are starting
to make sure that the contracts that they
have with the licensed home care services
agency has language that passes those
increases actually to the worker and not to
the administrative side.

So, you know, the COLA is developed based on -- the three big areas are the WIN program, Wellness in Nutrition; EISEP, Expanded In-Home Services for the Elderly Program; and the CSE program. And that's -- and the value is about \$5 million.

SENATOR CLEARE: Okay. I was going to cut you off, but I wanted to hear everything you had to say.

But right now this is not enough money for our very valuable workers. And it affects even the care and the amount of care that people are receiving, and I'd like to see us work toward increasing that rate.

I'm going to try to speed up my questions, and you answered about private pay.

The Master Plan for Aging. You know,
there has been a million-dollar appropriation
in the budget for the master plan. Can you
just explain such a large expenditure for the
development of the master plan and also, in
creating it, how much stakeholder input will
be provided or sought out? And if you know,
who is a part of that body right now? Who is
a part of the

NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: I do.

I mean, you know, there's a partnership that
we have with the Department of Health, we're
cochairing. Health is taking the lead.
We're certainly a part of it.

But as was mentioned earlier, you know, we have over 20 state agencies as part of this. We have a stakeholder group that has I think 25 people. We plan on -- you know, what we have talked about over and over in the first couple of meetings that we've had is the responsibility that we ask of them not only to participate but to make sure that their partnerships and coalitions throughout the state are also represented. And we have

1 an association group.

The original dollars that were put in last year's budget was half a million dollars, and so we -- you know, we're using NYSTEC to coordinate. There's a lot that goes on behind the scenes in getting this together. Like we talk every day, every morning; we have several calls during the week.

So the million dollars is going to, again, help us continue this, because the effort's about 18 months long, and then anything else that we identify that we need to do. We plan on doing a lot of public outreach. We need you guys engaged. We're going to be out around the state. But we really just started the -- the executive order was signed in November. We've had a couple of state council meetings. And moving on, I think next week will be our second stakeholder meeting, and then the association. But there's a lot of moving pieces and certainly I can give you the website where we're starting to populate

1	that.
2	SENATOR CLEARE: When is that meeting
3	next week?
4	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: It's
5	the second stakeholder committee meeting. So
6	there's a state agency task force, there's a
7	stakeholder, and then there's an association.
8	And all will help, again, you know, populate
9	at least the beginning of the draft plan.
10	SENATOR CLEARE: Okay, thank you.
11	And over the last few years we've
12	passed a number of bills and pushed for
13	investments in the LTCOP program, with the
14	goal of increasing transparency, coordination
15	between DOH and LTCOP, and to increase the
16	number of paid staff.
17	Can you update us on these efforts
18	and, in particular, address what seems to
19	be and I know you spoke a little bit about
20	it with Assemblyman Kim but a continuing
21	problem of LTCOP staff or volunteers not
22	being in the facility that they're meant to
23	oversee?

NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah.

1	So again, I thank you guys and the Governor
2	for adding that 2.5 million in last year's
3	budget that is baseline this year. That
4	allowed us to hire 35 staff. So we went from
5	30 percent of facilities visited to between
6	50 and 60.

You know, this goes back to my original points on the federal government. This is a required program that could either be in-house or external, and we've looked at a variety of ways to structure this. And it's now, you know, with us. But we get \$1.4 million from the feds to run a statewide program. I mean, you can't do that with the number of facilities that we have in the state.

The fundamental flaw of the
Older Americans Act when it was passed in
1965 is the heavy reliance on volunteers.
And volunteers are fantastic. We have almost a million of them over the age of 55. But I don't know how you could have a professional network when you're relying on somebody giving their time and energy.

1	And when you talk about the Long Term
2	Care Ombudsman Program or the Health
3	Insurance Information Counseling and
4	Assistance Program, those are really, really
5	intense programs and need to be you need
6	to have hired staff, not volunteers. We
7	lost
8	SENATOR CLEARE: What was that annual
9	amount you said you needed?
10	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: From
11	the feds we get about \$1.4 million.
12	SENATOR CLEARE: And you need?
13	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: What's
14	that?
15	SENATOR CLEARE: And how much do you
16	need?
17	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: What we
18	need is to try to get to a ratio where you're
19	visiting every facility, you know, maybe 7:1
20	or 5:1 or something to that effect. So that
21	we can cover what we're supposed to cover.
22	And again, I think that that will be a
23	conversation I'm sure you guys will all have
24	over the next couple of months.

1	SENATOR CLEARE: Well, what's the
2	dollar amount? I'm sorry.
3	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: The
4	total dollar amount?
5	SENATOR CLEARE: Yeah.
6	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: We
7	receive about 6.9 million altogether. But if
8	only 1.4 of that is federal, then you do
9	the math.
10	SENATOR CLEARE: Okay. We'll continue
11	the conversation. Thank you.
12	We've continued to hear a lot about
13	unmet need and waiting lists for services at
14	the county offices for the aging, which the
15	Legislature has provided increased funds a
16	few times to address. Can you walk us
17	through the state of things there? And is
18	there still unmet need? Are we making
19	progress?
20	And also, can you update us on the
21	Reimagining Long Term Care Task Force?
22	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah,
23	I'll start with the latter first.
24	So we're waiting for the appointments

1	to come in. I think all of the Governor's
2	appointments are done. It's the
3	Legislature's appointments. So we're hoping
4	to get that up and running soon.

So yes, you know, we had an original baseline of \$15 million four budgets ago, and then the Legislature added another eight to it two years ago. So we receive about \$23 million. That has provided services for people who are on -- who are assessed and needing services, 26,000 over the first two years of that funding.

Yeah, waitlists are going up. A lot of it is for the personal care aide shortage. So, you know, we don't -- we don't, Senator, wait for things to happen, we try to make things happen. And here's what I mean by that. So back in the day, all the county offices for the aging hired their own personal care aides, and then they all got out of the business and contract with LHCSAs, licensed home care services agencies.

We have been pushing with our association trying to get the counties to

1	either hire their aides, so that we can
2	eliminate the waitlists in those particular
3	areas, or work with their LHCSAs to have
4	aides assigned. We have 12 counties now that
5	fit that mold. So there's other things that
6	we can do.
7	SENATOR CLEARE: Okay. I'm going to
8	ask a short question. I think you mentioned
9	800,000 units of housing in your opening
10	remarks.
11	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yes,
12	that's the Governor's
13	SENATOR CLEARE: How much of that is
14	senior dedicated for senior housing,
15	assisted
16	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Well,
17	it's a five-year, \$25 billion plan. I'm not
18	sure which is why HCR is so important for
19	the Master Plan for Aging, because we need
20	all of those agencies that are working across
21	the age spectrum.
22	The Master Plan for Aging is not just
23	about aging, it's obviously inclusive. But
24	it's across all agencies. I was also sitting

1	here for the first two testimonies. The
2	impact of poverty on children and middle
3	income access to food, education,
4	et cetera is going to matter for as
5	people age, and so we need to really look at
6	this across the age spectrum.
7	SENATOR CLEARE: Thank you.
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
9	Assembly.
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We've been
11	joined by Assemblywoman Hyndman.
12	And we go to our Veterans chair,
13	Assemblywoman Jean-Pierre.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JEAN-PIERRE: Well, good
15	afternoon. Thank you both for your
16	testimonies.
17	My question will go to Reverend
18	Viviana DeCohen. Thank you for meeting with
19	me and my staff just a few weeks ago to catch
20	up. But I have a few questions.
21	So last December the Assembly held a
22	hearing on veterans' services and the
23	assessment of going from a division to a
24	department. And one of the questions that

1	have been held is that there was no
2	representation from your office at the
3	hearing. And as we transition from a
4	division to department, some folks are
5	asking, What are going to be some of the
6	stronghold communications between the
7	department to the Legislature? And what type
8	of funding shifts will be necessary, needed
9	for the change?
10	DVS DIRECTOR REV. DeCOHEN: Thank you,
11	Assemblymember.
12	First of all, let me say good
13	afternoon again to all of you.
14	One of the things that happened with
15	that hearing is something that is innate when
16	there's technology. It was a lack of
17	communication, and we actually did not
18	receive our formal invitation. However, we
19	did listen to the hearing and heard all of
20	the thoughtful questions that were given by
21	you as well as our stakeholders.
22	One of the first things that we did
23	was to reach out to our stakeholders to
24	ensure that we were all on one page going

1	forward as we make this transition from
2	division to department. We know that more is
3	going to be needed on our part.

One of the things that I'm proud that we were able to do as we move into this transition -- and the communication will continue with all of our stakeholders on our monthly meetings that we have, with our in-person visits that we have -- and continues to be central on all of our media platforms as well -- is to ensure that the information, the communication is going to be done before, during and after the process.

We've already begun to change all of our forms as we move into that era. Our forms that used to ask and be able to ascertain assistance with benefits, we're now asking crucial questions: Are you sheltered now? Do you need help or assistance with rent now? Do you have food in your home? So that we can continue to meet all of our veterans' needs across the board.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN JEAN-PIERRE: So the second part of my question -- thank you for

1	the first part. The second part of the
2	question was, what are going to be the
3	funding needs from because it is going to
4	be a department. So do you anticipate
5	funding needs, and what are those needs?

DVS DIRECTOR REV. DeCOHEN: We're so glad to tell you that the Governor's Executive Budget just gave us \$300,000 for the veteran-mobiles. We know that this is going to be something that will allow us to access those veterans who are often hidden, and those who often will not walk into a building for these services. That's the money that's been given.

As we continue to go through the process, we're going to know step by step what we're going to be needing at that time. But the money that's been released thus far, we know that that's going to be huge in the impact as we continue to move into the department.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN JEAN-PIERRE: Okay, thank you. And I look forward to being one-on-one so we can talk more about those

funding	needs.

But also during that testimony there were some veterans who provided some emotional testimonies as far as homelessness.

And in the executive proposal, there was 1.1 million to assist homeless veterans.

And so my question is, how many veterans -- do you have a number of how many veterans face housing insecurity in New York? And with this funding, is there going to be a joint program? How many veterans do you think that 1.1 million is going to be served?

DVS DIRECTOR REV. DeCOHEN: First of all, let me say, as not only the director of Veterans' Services but as a veteran who has experienced this, if we have one veteran who's served their country, God and country, and then come out to continue the service with family and the continued service with community, it is one veteran too many that is homeless.

We are so happy to hear that money is coming not just for our veterans for this program, who are unsheltered, but those again

1	who have received devastation. So many of
2	our veterans have experienced fires and end
3	up being unsheltered, and they've lost so
4	many other things. And then we have so many
5	of our veterans with chronic conditions that
6	moved them to where they have to have
7	accessibility to their homes, and this is
8	crucial.

So we are very excited about this funding. You know, to a lot of people, you know, it seems -- people say it's such a little amount with what's out there. You know, but there was a story of a woman who had just a vial of oil, and she went and got bigger vats and she began to pour the oils into the vats. And as she did that, the bigger vats were overflowing and overpouring.

And we feel that's what this

Governor's budget is, that what seems like

little is so much in the right hands. The

last year's budget allowed us to bring in

\$900 million of services to our veterans

across the state, with another 400 million in

educational benefits. So, you know, we're

1	very grateful for what we've been able to do.
2	We've been able to accomplish a lot together.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JEAN-PIERRE: So just
4	for the essence of time, because I have a few
5	more questions. So on behalf of myself and
6	the Legislature, we thank you for your
7	service and your expertise here. But the
8	\$1.1 million we still, as a Legislature, need
9	to see numbers and who and how and where and
10	how many is going to be served under
11	\$1.1 million. Because dollars matter here,
12	and numbers matching dollars is critical.
13	So if you can get us what that
14	\$1.1 million is going to serve, and are there
15	any participating programs that's going to
16	support this \$1.1 million?
17	And my third question is: So
18	irregardless to last year's budget, again,
19	we're talking about dollars. Five million
20	dollars was a capital grant program. What is
21	the progress so far, approvals, how many have
22	applied? Do we have any stats on the \$5
23	million capital project?
24	DVS DIRECTOR REV. DeCOHEN: Yes, thank

1 you, Assemblymember Jean-Pierre.

The capital grants program was announced by the Governor on November 11th, on Veterans Day, which we had declared was Veterans Month across the state. So that made it even sweeter. We worked with DASNY and our grants management partners, who actually opened up the gateway, but made also a very inclusive video on how to apply for the RFP, how to fill out the applications, and an intensive question—and—answer video that they can replay. Many of them are still replaying it.

As of right now, we have about a dozen in-process applications. The application period closed on February the 8th, and we're looking forward to continuing the process so that these grants can be disbursed. For so many of our partners across New York State, that will allow them to up-build and to reenergize and strengthen the foundations and continue to serve the veterans in their communities.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN JEAN-PIERRE: Thank you.

1	I see I have a little bit more time,
2	so I'm just going to go back to something you
3	said about the 300,000. So that's in this
4	year's Executive Budget proposal, to
5	establish a fleet of mobile veterans'
6	services. How many veterans will they serve?
7	Again, it's going back to dollars and how
8	much is going to serve what population.
9	So what services will they provide? I

So what services will they provide? I know you talked about regional one time we met. But what is the 300 -- compared to 300,000, how many veterans is it going to serve and where is it going to serve?

DVS DIRECTOR REV. DeCOHEN: Well, we are going to begin this program -- and again, we're very grateful and excited about it, because for us this program is getting to those veterans who were like myself, that were not in areas where there was a local DSO across the street.

So these mobile units are so important to be able to go across the state. We're going to begin with three -- one at the beginning, New York City, one in the middle,

1	and one at the end so that we can get into
2	those places and spaces where our veterans
3	are.
4	One of the things that we're doing as
5	we transition into the department is a
6	program called Find and Serve. And Find and
7	Serve allows us to get on these mobiles and
8	take them into an area where a veteran will
9	know that every Wellness Wednesday at the
10	Piggly-Wiggly, between this time and this
11	time, there's a van there that can help them
12	to seek their services and all of the things
13	that they've earned through the services, and
14	be able to have someone there that can check
15	their health status and ensure everything
16	else that they're in need of.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JEAN-PIERRE: Thank you.
18	My time is up. Thank you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
20	We go to the Senate.
21	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
22	Our new chair of Veterans,
23	Senator Scarcella-Spartan Senator

Scarcella-Spanton. I'm making you a Spartan.

1	(Laughter.)
2	SENATOR SCARCELLA-SPANTON: Hi,
3	Reverend. Thank you so much for being here
4	today.
5	We had a wonderful meeting where we
6	got to discuss a lot of these ideas, and now
7	we're seeing them, you know, come to
8	fruition.
9	The one thing that I was concerned
10	about with this upcoming Executive Budget was
11	the cut of almost 14 percent to the Veterans'
12	budget. I do understand that a lot of those
13	legislative adds will be put back. But the
14	5 million for veterans nonprofit capital
15	funding is something I would love to see
16	continued as members also patch in with their
17	local district needs as well.
18	But the one thing I did want to start
19	with, which I think is wonderful, is the
20	300,000 for the mobile veterans advisor
21	clinics. As we discussed, it's really
22	important to meet people where they're at. I
23	think it's great, the Find and Serve motto.
24	I guess I wanted to ask, how long will

it take to actually get these mobile vans up	Ō
and running? And do we have a breakdown of	
where they'll be going, which counties? Is	
it every county? And how frequently?	

DVS DIRECTOR REV. DeCOHEN: Thank you so much for that question, Senator.

We're looking at all of the details right now as far as having them wrapped, what is going to be supplied on there. because there may be a few surprises on there. We may be able to not only give them some of the services that they need on paper, but we just may be able to supply them with a good pair of warm socks on there as well.

And again, we're looking forward to having them in all of those places. We've been listening to our partners, and some of our partners are looking forward to getting these into areas where they feel may have been a little large for them and conjoining with them.

One of the wonderful things that I have to tell you that I'm proud of the Governor, but also so many of you that sit

here, is that we were allowed to go out and to join with you and support so many of our veteran partners at over 425 events just between September and December.

And seeing you there and supporting our veterans, and seeing their response, and utilizing not veterans as a number -- because we are not numbers -- but allowing all of you and all of us to be able to listen to them and not assume their voice, but to use a qualitative, phenomenological approach to asking them what their needs were -- when I saw this, and we received the responses as to the things that we need, that helps us to know what it is that we need on these mobiles.

Because some of them needed diapers.

Others needed the food coupons that our

Governor has invested in again. Some of them

did not need some of the other programs that

we were assuming that they need.

But I want to thank you again, because we saw you out there at these over 400 events in the past few months, and listening to what

1	it is that the veterans need. And I know if
2	we continue to do that, continue to listen to
3	them and allow them their own voice, then
4	we're going to do bigger and better even this
5	year than that 900 million that we brought
6	in.

SENATOR SCARCELLA-SPANTON: So, you know, I think that was a very positive piece of the budget and something that everybody is going to be able to utilize, and hopefully our collective offices will be able to call upon these mobile units to request them at different VFW meetings in our own districts.

The one issue that I really took -that I wanted to highlight was in the
Executive Budget it indicates that there's a
decrease in funding for veteran nonprofit
capital programs. Why are we decreasing this
funding? And which nonprofit capital
programs will be affected as a result of that
decrease?

DVS DIRECTOR REV. DeCOHEN: Thank you for that question. I'm going to have to get back to you on that because I'm not --

1	SENATOR SCARCELLA-SPANTON: That's
2	okay.
3	And also the \$1.1 million in funding
4	for the Veterans Homeless Housing Program,
5	could you provide details on plans for this
6	funding? Is there supportive housing built
7	into this?
8	In our district in Coney Island we
9	have Surf Vets, which is affordable housing
10	for veterans. And one of the issues that we
11	run into is that these are people with
12	service-related disabilities, they may have
13	mental health issues, they're people who were
14	formerly experiencing homelessness. So
15	there's not a lot of support within that
16	housing within that affordable
17	housing system.
18	So I think that anything with housing
19	for veterans really does need to be
20	supportive. I say this as a military wife of
21	somebody with a service-connected disability.
22	And you need to provide resources for people
23	who have mental health conditions.
24	So I would love to see supportive

1	housing built into this. I don't think that
2	1.1 million could possibly provide the
3	support that it needs. So I wanted to see
4	what you do need to provide the supportive
5	housing.
6	DVS DIRECTOR REV. DeCOHEN: Thank you

DVS DIRECTOR REV. DeCOHEN: Thank you, Senator. And I look forward to working with you on that as we actually -- we're in talks now for that program, because that's exactly what we want.

This is not a one-tier with these veterans. We have to meet them at the several levels to where they are. And that's why we're having this thoughtful discussion, to ensure that that happens. I look forward to continuing that work with you.

SENATOR SCARCELLA-SPANTON: Okay. And if you guys could please get me a number on what it would take to have a real comprehensive and supportive housing program for veterans, that would be great.

I also wanted to -- I know when we met we discussed the funding for the Veterans

Memorial Registry, which is built in here,

1	which is wonderful. I wanted to see if there
2	was any comprehensive list of resources for
3	veterans and their families. I think
4	sometimes there's these great
5	organizations I met with one today,
6	Cohen Veterans Network, that provides mental
7	health services to not just veterans but also
8	their families, their children, spouses,
9	whoever may need it.
10	Is there a comprehensive list within
11	the state that veterans and their families
12	could tap into? And if there's not, would a
13	similar project like the registry for
14	memorials make sense?
15	DVS DIRECTOR REV. DeCOHEN: Thank you
16	for that question. And we're actually
17	working out the details of that. In fact,
18	after this meeting I have a meeting we
19	have a meeting to discuss that program as
20	well.
21	But we're looking forward to that half
22	a million dollars that the Governor has given

But we're looking forward to that half a million dollars that the Governor has given us to have this searchable database so that our family members can continue to honor the

23

1	memories of their loved ones and have access
2	to that for their children and their
3	great-grandchildren. I happen to be a
4	grandmother of 10, so I will appreciate when
5	my grandchildren are able to look up Grandma
6	and see the services in there as well.
7	Thank you for that, and look forward
8	to working with you on this piece.
9	SENATOR SCARCELLA-SPANTON:
10	Absolutely.
11	And my last question will be about the
12	Dwyer Peer-to-Peer Program, which is a
13	wonderful program. I think that veterans
14	supporting veterans, especially upon their
15	return, is critical to their success in
16	reintegration into civilian life.
17	Do you feel that the Governor's budget
18	sufficiently covers it? There was a very,
19	very moderate increase to the funding for the
20	peer-to-peer program. Do you think that it's
21	sufficient or it should be increased?
22	DVS DIRECTOR REV. DeCOHEN: Well, as
23	you know, the Joseph P. Dwyer Program is an
24	essential program around this nation. And

1	for our state, we were happy last year when
2	the Governor doubled that budget to the
3	7.7 million, which allowed us to be able to
4	work with them and OMH to be able to get this
5	program out to our various counties
6	throughout the state so that they could erect
7	a program as well.

We work closely with OMH to be able to do that, as well as our SUNY Albany researchers and partners, to be able to put together a concise listing, a guideline booklet, a Q&A booklet on how to erect and continue to strengthen and support them.

You know, wherever they are right now, we are committed, I'm committed to -- because this is a program that I used to send my veteran students to many years ago. So we know that this team is committed to continuing to support them with where they are, and we'll continue to push them as far as we can to ensure that our veterans across the state are serviced by that program.

SENATOR SCARCELLA-SPANTON: And if you could also just let me know -- I see that

1	there's a breakdown by county. We don't have
2	it quite as broken down for New York City, it
3	just has New York City listed as the county.
4	If you could send me a breakdown of
5	the peer-to-peer services and the funding
6	that are in both Kings County and Richmond
7	County, I think that would be really helpful.
8	We're happy to get the word out and, you
9	know, provide support to our local veterans.
10	And yeah, I just wanted to say thank
11	you for your service and I know I'm very much
12	looking forward to working together with the
13	mobile vans. I think it will be a great help
14	to veterans in need.
15	So thank you.
16	DVS DIRECTOR REV. DeCOHEN: Thank you
17	so much, Senator.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So we go to
19	Assemblyman Eachus for three minutes.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN EACHUS: Thank you,
21	Ms. Chair.
22	Reverend DeCohen, thank you for your
23	service. And I assure you your division,

soon to be department, has all my support.

1	I also want to thank you for your
2	optimism when you're sitting there eyeing a
3	governor's state appropriations of a decrease
4	of \$4.78 million, or approximately 14
5	percent, and you still seem to have a little
6	bit of a smile on your face.

So -- and relative to those new vans, do please check with the counties. My county is very, very concerned and gracious to all veterans. We have vans traveling throughout the county. We actually take veterans to their medical appointments and to shopping and so on like that.

But the question I have for you is I would like to first let you know that for 40 years I was a high school teacher, and I'm affiliated with many of the military service organizations. And I was wondering if you knew about something with the American Legion -- I'm going to pick out one service organization -- the Boys State and Girls State program at all.

This is a program where posts across the state can send kids for a week of

1	basically boot camp, and every student that I
2	have ever sent there through these
3	organizations and has returned has bragged
4	about it.
5	And we no longer support that we,
6	being the state, no longer support that. So
7	I am definitely going to ask for an
8	appropriation of 150,000 for the Boys State
9	and 150,000 for the Girls State to get us
10	back helping these programs, because I feel
11	they really need help.
12	Would your department support these
13	programs?
14	DVS DIRECTOR REV. DeCOHEN: First of
15	all, let me happily and proudly say I am a
16	Legionnaire, and so I am very familiar with
17	these programs.
18	And as a Legionnaire listen, as a
19	department as a division to department, we
20	want to continue to support all of our
21	partners who are supporting our veterans.
22	Part of our veterans is their families as

well as the children. And there is no better

way that we know to continue to strengthen

23

1	our partnership than to ensure that the next
2	generation continues to have the fortitude
3	and the strength and the knowledge and
4	support them, you know, as they go into
5	adulthood, honoring our nation's heroes.
6	So I look forward to working with you
7	with that, Assemblyman.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN EACHUS: Director Olsen, I
9	only have a half-minute, so I'm just going to
10	pose my two questions. There won't be any
1	time to answer them.
12	But the first is back to the LTCOP
13	program. Eighty percent of those
4	participants, those ombudsmen, are
15	volunteers, and I just would like to hear
16	specifically at some point in time what
17	you're doing to encourage these volunteers.
18	Because I didn't even know about the program,
19	and I was 12 years on the Orange County
20	Legislature.
21	And then the other question I would
22	have is you have in your key investments and

proposals "strengthening EMS," and I'd like

to hear how you're going to do that too.

23

1	Thank you.
2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate?
3	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
4	Senator Ashby, five minutes, ranker.
5	SENATOR ASHBY: Thank you,
6	Madam Chair.
7	Thank you, Director DeCohen. Thank
8	you, Commissioner Olsen.
9	Real quick, Commissioner Olsen, what's
10	the timeline for the master plan?
11	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: We're
12	supposed to have a draft early on in the
13	spring, and then it will commence
14	hopefully after, you know, huge public
15	input sometime next year.
16	SENATOR ASHBY: Okay, appreciate it.
17	Director DeCohen, good to see you.
18	DVS DIRECTOR REV. DeCOHEN: Yeah, it's
19	good to see you, Senator.
20	SENATOR ASHBY: You know, I'm happy to
21	hear that we're starting to put something
22	forward with, you know, these the mobile
23	response. I think that's a good thing.
24	But in light of, you know, really the

1	lack of funding and the lack of effort that
2	we've seen with this Governor's
3	administration with this new department, I'm
4	kind of surprised to hear that, you know,
5	that's the only thing that's going on. I
6	mean, are there any other subdivisions that
7	you're looking to outfit? Is there any other
8	federal programming or federal dollars that
9	you're looking to get to implement into this
10	new agency?

DVS DIRECTOR REV. DeCOHEN: Oh, thank you, Senator. Thank you for that.

Absolutely. And again, being into the public and going across this state now, from New York City, Bronx, all the way to Buffalo, has allowed us to be able to listen to the needs of our veterans. And so absolutely. We're continuing to work with our veterans who are incarcerated. As you know, we've already put in programs and veterans benefit advisors into so many of these correctional programs, and we're doing the welcome back with them as well so that they have a warm handshake back into society when they come

1 in.

2	Our volunteerism, our programs for our
3	veterans as you know, volunteerism has
4	been a lifesaver for so many of our veterans
5	who have gotten their dignity back because
6	their self-worth is not only in God and
7	country and family, but now back into the
8	community with that.

Continuing to meet the needs of our veterans who are expecting babies and unemployed -- and this state has done a great job in our --

SENATOR ASHBY: Director DeCohen, if I could interrupt you, though.

Is -- are there any -- aside from the prison program that you had mentioned, is there any specific program that you know of right now that you're looking to implement with this new agency? I mean, other than the volunteers, which are great, but -- the elevation allows us to garner federal dollars for this. What are we targeting?

DVS DIRECTOR REV. DeCOHEN: Well, we're continuing to target our suicide

1	prevention programs. We're continuing to
2	SENATOR ASHBY: I'm glad you brought
3	that up. And I because I only have five
4	minutes, I apologize for interrupting you.
5	DVS DIRECTOR REV. DeCOHEN: That's all
6	right.
7	SENATOR ASHBY: But the Dwyer program,
8	part of the aim of elevating the division to
9	an agency is the hope that the Dwyer program
10	could be permanent funding, so we wouldn't
11	have to go back and do this each and every
12	year. Is that something that your department
13	is committed to?
14	Because right now, I mean, we're
15	seeing a modest increase in that. This is
16	something that needs to happen, that we hear
17	about it every day. And I know that we have
18	people here in the audience that are going to
19	provide testimony to this.
20	Why is the Governor not moving on this
21	issue the way that we need her to?
22	DVS DIRECTOR REV. DeCOHEN: Well,
23	Senator, we're glad for the movement that we
24	have because, again, so much has been

1	accomplished with the Dwyer program and what
2	they're doing, and the doubling of those
3	funds to \$7.7 million.
4	And we continue to work with them as
5	well as OMH and all of our other partners.
6	We're going to ensure that we continue to
7	support them in any way that
8	SENATOR ASHBY: And I'm sure in your
9	role, Director, and as a veteran, you know
10	that that's not good enough. I know that
11	that's not good enough. Our veterans and

plain as it gets.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that's not good enough. Our veterans and their families deserve better than that. And we've worked too hard over the last years to elevate this division to an agency to be satisfied with that response. That's as

With \$30 million -- take a look at these numbers. Thirty million in funding for New York State veterans. We have roughly 700,000 veterans in New York. In New Jersey they have \$104 million in state funding. They have 300,000 veterans. In Massachusetts, \$97 million in funding, roughly 300,000 veterans.

1	There's a huge disparity there. And
2	we have a great opportunity here, and we have
3	a great leader in you. And we need to do a
4	better job for our veterans in making this
5	happen. I know that you recognize this, and
6	I know that you're pushing for it in a
7	variety of ways. But New York State's
8	veterans and their families deserve better.

What do we need to do as a Legislature to make that happen? What do we need to do to make the Dwyer funding permanent, to capitalize on federal grants and programming that could benefit our veterans and their families? This is your opportunity.

DVS DIRECTOR REV. DeCOHEN: Thank -- thank you, Senator.

One thing that we need to do is to continue to work together to allow the voices of our veterans to allow us to know what their needs are. But also we have to look at what we've brought in. And again, the work that we've done this past year with what we were given has brought in so much and helped so many that remain unseen to all of us.

1	And as we know, our service, as was
2	said earlier, is often unthanked, often
3	unknown to all of the things that you're
4	doing. But I want you to know that so much
5	has been done already with what we have
6	accomplished this past year, and we can only
7	continue to do more. And we will.
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you,
9	Reverend. Thank you, Senator.
10	DVS DIRECTOR REV. DeCOHEN: Thank you,
11	Senator.
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Assembly.
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman
14	Clark.
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CLARK: Thank you.
16	Good to see you. This is to
17	Director Olsen at Aging.
18	I thank you for your testimony and for
19	some of the many good things that are in
20	there, but I know you were at in Rochester
21	last week, and I, at a breakfast that our
22	organizational group around aging issues was
23	there. And you heard firsthand, as I did,
24	that one of our amazing groups that does a

lot of work in this space said it was probably one of the worst budgets she'd ever seen for older New Yorkers. And so that really does throw a huge amount of concern to me.

I think that particularly when you look at the issues we see around increasing poverty in our older New Yorkers, when you see the just huge population growth in older New Yorkers, when you understand what COVID has done in our long-term-care facilities, that even holding flat is a disappointment when we know we need to move forward to really make this work.

And I want to focus on the Long Term
Care Ombudsman Program quickly, which we've
heard a lot about. And I get that we keep
saying we need federal support and we need
federal investment, but last I heard we were
39th in the country in terms of a state
investing dollars into that program. So if
38 other states are doing more than we are
right now, I think we owe it to do more as
well.

1	What do you think would be I mean,
2	you know we can't run this program on
3	volunteers. We can't have weekly visits
4	based on volunteers. We can't have
5	volunteers going toe-to-toe with DOH on
6	answers for complaints. Do you sort of
7	recognize that we have to get to the point
8	where we truly professionalize this and
9	invest dollars into it?
10	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: I think
11	the entire network needs that. Again,
12	because the structure of the Older Americans
13	Act 50-something years ago really relied on
14	volunteers.
15	We are working with, you know,
16	physicians' offices, hospitals, plans,
17	long-term-care facilities, et cetera. And
18	you're absolutely right, you can't expect,
19	you know, 75-year-old, 80-year-old volunteers
20	who are unbelievably dedicated and smart
21	to do the job that a professional ought to
22	do.
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CLARK: Excellent.
24	And I just also want to say, you know,

1	some of the things to flag as we look
2	particularly at removing and getting
3	continuing to get older New Yorkers who are
4	on these waitlists for critical basic needs
5	off these waitlists. You know, DOH had
6	2.1 million in its budget to create a new
7	program that is particularly or could be
8	considered duplicative to what we're already
9	doing. Why not put that into SOFA? Why not
10	get that extra \$9.5 million that we need to
11	remove waitlists, let alone go above and
12	beyond to see what we can do to really help
13	seniors in poverty?
14	Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
16	To the Senate.
17	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
18	Senator May.
19	SENATOR MAY: Thank you.
20	Hi, Commissioner Olsen, or
21	Director Olsen. Great to see you again.
22	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: You
23	too.
24	SENATOR MAY: I wanted to thank

Senator Cleare for asking a number of the questions that I had.

But I wanted to follow up about the NORC funding in the budget. And I'm glad to see there's Neighborhood NORC as well as the traditional NORC funding. But what are you thinking about our upstate cities which don't fall typically into either category? Is there planning for a third category of NORCs so we can have smaller apartment buildings, for example, in our upstate cities participate in this program?

NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah, Senator, good to see you as well.

You know, when we did the modernization of the NORC program a few years ago, it was at '14 or so, we did attempt in there to create models that would serve rural communities, urban communities and suburban. We worked with you last year and some of the NORCs, specifically for one in your district in Syracuse, where a couple of other tweaks needed to be made.

So, you know, I think that's something

1	that we should all look at. It's
2	budget-neutral, and what the Naturally
3	Occurring Retirement Communities does was so
4	ahead of their time in terms of bringing
5	together healthcare and social determinants
6	of health, social services that we provide to
7	serve people holistically. So it's not meant
8	to be exclusive, and I think that's what we
9	tried to do, and the modernization might need
10	a tweak.
11	SENATOR MAY: Okay, great.
12	And then following up to what
13	Assemblymember Clark said, I heard you say
14	earlier that we were hoping to get to about
15	60 percent coverage of nursing homes with
16	ombudsmen.
17	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: That's
18	where we are now with the additional 35 staff
19	that was negotiated, thanks to you and then
20	the Governor last year, to add that to our
21	budget.
22	SENATOR MAY: Okay. Because by law it
23	should be 100 percent, and so we've got to

start putting the money in to make sure that

1	we're getting to 100 percent. That's where I
2	am.
3	Thank you.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
5	Assembly.
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
7	Gallahan.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN GALLAHAN: Thank you,
9	Madam Chair.
10	Thank you both for your testimony and
11	all that you do for us here in New York
12	State.
13	Director Olsen, I have one topic, and
14	it is constantly coming across my email
15	screen, it is constantly on my phone, and I
16	constantly get bombarded with it, and it has
17	to do with home healthcare aides. And the
18	biggest complaint I have is retention and
19	hiring, which we all are well aware of that.
20	The biggest problem I have is in my own
21	community. There's such a shortage, the
22	retention rate is it's just dysfunctional.
23	It's terrible. Because all these folks are
24	going to McDonald's and Burger King for two

1	and three dollars more an hour.
2	Now, you know, the state mandated
3	wages for fast food workers. Is there any
4	discussion about this situation with home
5	healthcare aides? And if there is, I would
6	love to know, so I can report that back to my
7	constituents. Because I'm really I'm
8	really catching it on this, and rightfully
9	so. I mean, our loved ones are not getting
10	the care that they need, and they're the most
11	important people in our lives.
12	So I would really respect your
13	response.
14	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah,
15	absolutely, Assemblyman. That is the
16	problem.
17	As you know, last year's budget
18	contained a \$2 increase for personal care
19	aides and home care aides, and another dollar
20	coming up in October of '23.
21	You're right, it's difficult work.

You know, you're not guaranteed a certain

travel. And the people that are in that

number of hours, you don't get paid when you

22

23

1	business really love the work that they do.
2	But you're right, when you have aides in
3	somebody's house, you can help them remain
4	independent with dignity for a very, very

5 long time.

I mentioned earlier, and I'm not sure if you were here at the time, we have been working -- because our in-home program is not Medicaid. We serve people who are just above Medicaid -- who could certainly spend down, but they don't need to, because we coordinate a package of services around them, including PC 1 and 2, which is the personal care aides.

And what we have seen by, you know, trying to work with our counties to hire aides directly or have the licensed home care services agencies provide a certain number of aides just to the OFA, we've seen elimination of waitlists, we've seen no turnover.

They're part of the care teams. Who's the most important part of that care team is the person that's in the home every day that can report things in realtime.

So these are the kinds of things that

1	I think well, this one in particular, but
2	there's other models of things that the
3	Offices for the Aging do so well that really
4	we're excited to bring to the Master Plan for
5	Aging and the Reimagine Long-Term Care.
6	Because I think a real continuum starts at
7	the community level, and hopefully Medicaid
8	is the payer of last resort.
9	And there's things out there that have

And there's things out there that have been tried and tested and show a return on investment, both in terms of savings but really these are people, as you had talked about. I would love to -- I know I'm done, I was just going to offer in your district, we should have a conversation and see if that's something we can get the county executives to do.

I also just want to mention that, you know, as of this morning -- and vouchers may be late -- our AAAs have about \$77 million available to them for ARPA funds through '24. That can certainly help.

CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank -- thank -- thank you.

1	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: I'm so
2	sorry, Assemblywoman.
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN GALLAHAN: Thank you.
5	Thank you very much.
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: To the Senate.
7	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
8	To Roxanne Persaud.
9	SENATOR PERSAUD: Thank you.
10	Reverend, it's always great to see
11	you. And thank you for your passion and your
12	commitment to, you know, your colleagues,
13	your fellow veterans. I really appreciate
14	everything that you continue to do.
15	Director Olsen, are you aware of our
16	legislation where we're talking about
17	breaking up the 24-hour work rule for home
18	health attendants?
19	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah.
20	That's not applicable to us, Senator, I'm
21	sorry
22	SENATOR PERSAUD: No, I'm just asking.
23	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah,
24	I'm familiar with it.

1	SENATOR PERSAUD: So we were talking
2	about why I brought it up is when we're
3	talking about the salaries for home health
4	attendants, and across the board they are not
5	being paid enough. They are working and
6	you have some who work 24-hour shifts
7	without being paid the appropriate amount of
8	money. As you know, they are only paid for
9	13 hours that they work. And so we're
10	looking to change that. I just wanted to
11	bring that up.
12	Could you tell me what is the plan for

Could you tell me what is the plan for the home-delivered meals? There are so many people who are still on a waitlist. What is being done to ensure that our seniors are receiving the meals that they should receive?

NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yup,

Senator, I'd love to know where the waitlists

are for home-delivered meals, because we

don't -- we're not seeing that. There's

pockets for sure, and there's some places in

the city.

As you know, we do operate and administer with our partners the largest

1	nutrition program in the country.
2	I'll also just mention that, again,
3	meals are was the number-one service
4	provided from the beginning of the pandemic
5	to now, no question. And it's
6	extraordinarily important. Food is medicine.
7	SENATOR PERSAUD: We're still having
8	some so I have for example, I have a
9	couple, both of them are cancer patients, and
10	they're still trying to get it. They're
1	constantly calling about having meals
12	delivered. And, you know, we contact the
13	agencies and, you know, then we have a
14	stop-gap method, and then it happens again.
15	So, you know, we'll send we'll
16	follow up with you on that, because
17	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah,
18	I'd appreciate that.
19	SENATOR PERSAUD: it's something
20	that, you know, we need to
21	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: I'd
22	appreciate that.
23	SENATOR PERSAUD: to address.
2.4	And one last thing for you no, that

1	was it, what we the home-delivered meals.
2	I think I covered it
3	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Can I
4	just say something in 48 seconds really to
5	everybody here?
6	SENATOR PERSAUD: Sure.
7	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: SNAP
8	benefits are extraordinarily important that a
9	lot of older adults are eligible for that
10	don't use it. It could put an extra \$2,000
11	in their pocket.
12	Starting probably in the next month,
13	last year's budget raised the income level
14	for the Medicare Savings Program.
15	SENATOR PERSAUD: Right.
16	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: That
17	will serve 300,000-plus people, put \$7,000
18	back into their Social Security checks.
19	We could really use your help, in
20	addition to the networks that we're going to
21	engage, to get the word out so that again,
22	you put just those two together, that's
23	\$10,000 in people's pockets in this really
24	difficult inflationary time. So we could

1	certainly use all of your help as well to
2	advertise in your district offices and public
3	forums, that these exist.
4	SENATOR PERSAUD: Thank you. I hope
5	you will send that to DFTA so they can send
6	it to all our senior centers across the
7	yeah, thank you.
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
9	Assembly.
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman
11	Forrest.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FORREST: Thank you.
13	Thank you, Reverend DeCohen, for being
14	here. We appreciate your service.
15	My question is for Director Olsen. As
16	you know, SOFA is required by law and statute
17	to submit a report to both the Assembly, the
18	Senate, and the Governor. Right? That was
19	due since September 1, 2020.
20	Now, you had spoken a bit about the
21	report, but I wanted to get some more
22	clarity. Who is leading the report at this
23	time? And when the report
24	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Which

1	report are we talking about?
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FORREST: SOFA is
3	supposed to give a report.
4	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: You're
5	talking about an annual report?
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FORREST: The Master
7	Plan for Aging report, yes. And it's
8	supposed to be given.
9	But who is actually leading this
10	the report? And do you have a time frame on
11	when this
12	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: You
13	mean leading the development of the plan?
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FORREST: Yes.
15	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: So the
16	Health Department is leading that, and we are
17	working hand in hand with them.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FORREST: Do you know a
19	time frame? Is there a time frame, a month,
20	two months?
21	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Oh,
22	absolutely. Like I said, we were supposed to
23	have the draft report due in the spring, but
24	it's an 18-month process. The final report

1	will be in 2024. I'd be happy to tell you
2	what the timelines are that were laid out in
3	the executive order.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FORREST: In the
5	meantime, the \$1 million that is in the
6	executive report, is that part of that
7	\$1 million being spent on this plan as well?
8	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: It's
9	for development and technical support.
10	There's like I said, there's a lot that
11	goes on behind the scenes, from the
12	development of a website to organizing the
13	meetings and the calls and things like that.
14	So, you know, in last year's budget
15	and State of the State, the Governor had
16	announced that we would get 1.5 million. We
17	got 500,000 last year and then preserve that
18	commitment this year.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FORREST: All right,
20	thank you.
21	And then also the AARP says that
22	96 percent of New York City's nursing homes
23	and adult care facilities failed to receive a
24	single visit from the New York federally

1	required adult oversight program, the
2	ombudsman program. Right? And they said
3	that the you need one employee to visit
4	five different facilities. And I'm a nurse,
5	so I'm all for safe staffing, right?
6	Can you explain why this funding is
7	I still don't understand, and I want to make
8	sure. Why is this funding still not properly
9	allocated? I mean, an only \$2.5 million
10	increase does not man the entire state, much
11	less just it doesn't man New York City,
12	much less the whole state.
13	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah, I
14	think, you know, it has traditionally been
15	underfunded. I talked to you about how much
16	we get from the federal government, and we
17	have cobbled together through a variety of
18	sources since at least I've been at NYSOFA,
19	to try to increase
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FORREST: I'm not
21	talking about the federal, I'm talking about
22	the New York City New York State's
23	commitment.
24	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Again,

1	I can't comment on AARP's visits or not. I
2	can get you data directly from us.
3	I think budgets are hard because
4	they're big and there's a lot of different
5	things that people want, and this is the
6	beginning of the process where, you know,
7	Governor outlays her priorities, you guys
8	will do the same thing, and then, you know,
9	there'll be a dialogue over the next couple
10	of months
11	(Inaudible overtalk.)
12	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
13	Senate?
14	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
15	Cordell Cleare, three-minute second
16	round, to close for the Senate. Oh, I'm
17	sorry, not to close for the Senate. I forgot
18	Senator Weik.
19	Three minutes, go ahead.
20	SENATOR CLEARE: Okay. Director
21	Olsen, I just wanted to ask, did SOFA receive
22	any pandemic money directly or through
23	another agency? And if so, is it continued
24	in the '23-'24 budget proposal?

1	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Are you
2	talking about stimulus dollars?
3	SENATOR CLEARE: Any dollars that SOFA
4	received to
5	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah,
6	we received five different packages of
7	federal stimulus dollars. That's what I was
8	mentioning; the largest one is the ARPA funds
9	that expire September 31, '24. And there's
10	still quite a bit of available resources to
11	the counties.
12	All of our money, except those that
13	are outlined in our budget, are required by
14	law to go to the counties. So I'm frustrated
15	that some of them are not spending dollars
16	that could help, again, with some of these
17	needs that we have.
18	SENATOR CLEARE: Okay. And also a
19	little off-topic, you know, I have senior
20	centers in my district that provide
21	incredible service to the seniors that are
22	living there, who are living at home who
23	depend on them daily for social, health and
24	other resources. And they are literally,

1	many of them, falling apart. They're not in
2	good facilities, they are in need of capital.
3	How can SOFA help these very important
4	centers? In my district I have A. Philip
5	Randolph Center I mean, and they're
6	scrambling, they're providing really critical
7	services, as you know
8	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: They
9	really are. And there's never been federal
10	or state capital dollars for senior centers.
11	They're mostly funded, besides the service
12	money we provide, they're mostly funded
13	locally, as you know.
14	But you're right. I've been around,
15	you know, for 31 years; I've seen a lot of
16	these centers. Some of them are amazing in
17	terms of their structure, and some of them
18	are really struggling.
19	SENATOR PERSAUD: Yeah, and we really
20	need it, because some they need to be
21	retrofitted, they need to have bathrooms
22	done, they need their kitchens
23	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: They

need to be welcoming.

1	SENATOR CLEARE: They need to be
2	welcoming, and they need to be habitable for
3	the period of time that people are in them,
4	technology needs, and so forth and so on.

So that's just something I really want to bring to you and talk to you about how we can get those centers upgraded. I have Central Harlem Senior Center, they're operating in a basement. You know, that's not -- it's just not cool.

So I just thank you for that. The pandemic money -- you know, I saw senior centers and senior programs happening during the pandemic that really should have been happening all along. So that's why I asked that question about pandemic dollars, because some of that needs to be continued. We should have been doing it even pre-pandemic.

NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: You're absolutely right. We can talk afterwards because there's a lot of things that we launched with our association partners in counties over the last two years that absolutely are continuing.

1	SENATOR CLEARE: Thank you.
2	And Reverend, I'd just like to thank
3	you for your service. I will contact you
4	about the mobile units.
5	DVS DIRECTOR REV. DeCOHEN: Thank you,
6	Senator.
7	SENATOR CLEARE: Thank you.
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
9	Assembly.
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
11	Burdick.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Thank you.
13	And thank you, Reverend DeCohen, for
14	your service and for your testimony.
15	And I have to confess that I do share
16	the concerns and being perplexed how your
17	testimony seems to describe an increase in
18	services and yet there's a substantial
19	decrease in the budget. And, I mean, it kind
20	of defies gravity.
21	And I'm wondering if you could try to
22	explain how that might be accomplished,
23	particularly with what I think is very
24	laudable, to have the new Homeless Veterans

1 Housing Program

And, you know, the other question I
would ask in connection with the latter is
whether that's a program that you intend to
work in collaboration with OTDA.

DVS DIRECTOR REV. DeCOHEN: Oh, thank you. Thank you, Assemblymember.

That program, as I stated, it's still a new program to us, so we're still hashing out all of the details.

Less, I don't know from this administration, but I do know the dollar and cents amount that we were able to bring in.

And again, that \$900 million in services to our veterans across the state is a number that exceeded the one on last year.

And we know that there's more to be done, especially as we are moving into our new nomenclature as a department. There's so many levels and so many tiers. And we know that that is not our area, but we are looking forward to working with you to be able to ensure that this is going to meet the needs of all of our veterans across the state as we

1	motro	forward.
L	IIIOVE	IUIWalu.

2	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Well, I think
3	it's a daunting task, you know, with the
4	decrease in expenditures, as one of my
5	colleagues noted. And, you know, it's
6	commonly known that it's almost a 14 percent
7	cut in the budget at a time of high inflation
8	as well as increasing needs and a large
9	veterans population. And as one of the
10	Senators noted, it contrasts quite poorly, I
11	think, frankly, with Massachusetts,
12	New Jersey, other states in terms of the
13	funds that they devote in their state budgets
14	to their veterans services.
15	So I think there certainly will be
16	some interest in increasing the budget. I
17	don't know whether we'll be successful in
18	that. But I think that that's something that
19	we all would like to see.
20	But in any event, we certainly thank
21	you for your service and everything that
22	you're trying to do on behalf of the
23	veterans.

DVS DIRECTOR REV. DeCOHEN: Thank you,

1	Assemblymember.
2	I'd like to say, in this last
3	17 seconds, I remember the old adage of my
4	grandmother having a half a cup of rice and
5	looking like she could only feed herself, and
6	she ended up not only feeding the entire
7	family but she felt she was able to feed the
8	community as well. And that's what we're
9	hoping to continue to do with what we have.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Sounds like a
1	biblical reference.
12	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
13	To the Senate.
14	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
15	Senator Weik.
16	SENATOR WEIK: Thank you very much.
17	And I want to say thank you to
18	Reverend DeCohen. Thank you for your
19	service. Thank you for your testimony today.
20	I come from Suffolk County, which has
21	the greatest number of veterans in all of
22	New York State. I'm very proud to say that.
23	And I work very hard with our veterans to

make sure that we're providing however we

1 can.

2	We do have a lot of veterans who are
3	complaining of kind of the same thing over
4	and over again, which is that their posts are
5	in disrepair. They've been applying for
6	grants, they're waiting for signatures,
7	they've gotten that far. And these posts are
8	really vital to their services when they
9	provide Joseph P. Dwyer Peer-to-Peer Program
10	services. And if I heard you correctly, that
11	you'll be going around assisting to try to
12	help them apply for these grants. Is that
13	accurate, you're able to do that?
14	DVS DIRECTOR REV. DeCOHEN: Yeah. The
15	grants gateway is open and they have recorded
16	a video on how to apply for the grant and all
17	of the Q&A that are out there.

Just so that you know, Suffolk -- I used to work in Suffolk and Nassau County, and so I know so many of these organizations -- and I have recused myself from the actual process of who was applying. But we did ensure that there was a comprehensive video that has been made that

1	they can still access on how to, what to, and
2	all of the questions and answers. And thus
3	far, I've been told from a great many of them
4	that are applying, that is relatively easy to
5	follow.

SENATOR WEIK: I guess getting the signatures at the end is the hard part; that continues to be holding them up.

So of course if there's any way that you can advocate for them as well, that would certainly be much needed and truly appreciated.

I know our homeless veterans is a big issue as well in many areas. Are there any other services that you're going to be able to provide to assist getting them out of these tents in the woods and into shelters of any sort?

DVS DIRECTOR REV. Decohen: We were -we were happy to be able to have the service
of Find and Serve and to utilize a platform
for more outreach, the Find and Serve. And
on Veterans Month we were able to access so
many, so many veterans. One of our advisors

walked over a couple of our veterans to the
Department of Social Services and was able to
get them the assistance that they needed.

One of them that I can tell you from last year now has 100 percent of her services, having been housed. We were able to work with and continue to work with those who have been sheltered with our resources so that they can get things like furniture and continue to get the food and other things that they need -- and especially those that have children, to be able to get them the book bags that are fully slotted.

So absolutely. Listen, we could make a list of a hundred things today and tomorrow the list is going to be a thousand, and more and more. Because I have to tell you, as a veteran, I always say if I could bounce a basketball and do a layup, everything that we would need as a veteran would be in our home free of charge.

SENATOR WEIK: Well, I look forward to working with you in the future.

24 Thank you.

1	DVS DIRECTOR REV. DeCOHEN: I look
2	forward to working with you as well, Senator
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
4	Assemblywoman Hunter.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HUNTER: Thank you.
6	Thank you to you both.
7	Director DeCohen, at our
8	December hearing we heard from several
9	different witnesses. They were very
10	compelling, and I wish you had been able to
11	be in-person to hear the gentlemen talk about
12	being homeless, not having housing.
13	So while we're having aspirational
14	dreams about this budget and what both the
15	Assembly and Senate will have to put in
16	because it is not adequate where it is right
17	now I'd like know what services are
18	available today while another veteran is
19	homeless. So that's my first question.
20	My second question and again, this
21	was from the hearing, but I live and breathe
22	it every day. As a veteran, I actually have
23	a case that spanned four years that was one
24	of the biggest issues that was brought

forward	from ou	r servic	e pro	viders	about	not
getting	assista	nce from	the s	state	to help)
them fac	cilitate	these c	laims	from	the fed	ds.

So my second question is, what is your relationship with the federal government in aiding our veterans to get their claims processed which have been languishing for years?

And my last question is relative to mental health and PTSD. We had a conversation about 988 and you press 1 and it will get you to someone who can speak to someone who can help a veteran. They have millions and millions of calls since that number was instituted, and that leaves the veteran hanging out, waiting. That's a lot, millions and millions, just to get to someone to talk to.

And so I'm looking for leadership.

I'd like to see the \$300,000 worth of vans.

Give them to the county, give them to the direct service organizations. We don't need to see the state-level people right in the area, because our local people know where

1	1 1		
		veterans	

Ì	And	so	if	you	could	answer	my	
questio	ne i	n i	the	time	allot	ted '	Thank	VO11

DVS DIRECTOR REV. DeCOHEN: Thank you so much. And if you don't mind, I'll answer them not directly in the order that you've given.

With the 888 {sic} number, we've been working with the American Red Cross. I'm wearing the band today. There is actually a committed and dedicated hero care line for our veterans for not just emergencies with fires, but all emergencies that they need, whether it's housing, emergency housing, emergency clothing, emergency cash for gas to get to school.

We continue to work with our partners at the VA right now, especially as the PACT Act has become a reality. We've also put out a video for that as well. We continue to talk with them.

They're also having an aggressive program right now where they've hired more people to address homelessness by getting

1	dedicated workers and social workers and more
2	in the mental health.
3	And right now we're doing just what we
4	said. The 15 that we found and the 14 more
5	that just came to us, we have had them walked
6	over to shelter assistance ourselves, and
7	then we've put in resources to assist those
8	shelters to get the clothing and the things
9	that they need while they're in shelter.
10	We continue to work with the
11	Department of Labor to ensure the jobs, to
12	ensure the education and even to ensure that
13	their military transcripts are properly
14	translated into jobs and things that they can
15	get right now.
16	We're about the right amount of
17	services because so many of us have been that
18	homeless person.
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you,
20	Director.
21	Senate?
22	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senate is closed.
23	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Okay, we have

several more Assemblymembers.

ASSEMBLYMAN PIROZZOLO: I'd like to
say thank you to both of you for being here
today. Reverend DeCohen, thank you for your
service.

This question is really for both of you. Director Olsen, it kind of falls to you under Aging, and then, Reverend DeCohen, it falls to you because -- just because, right?

So last Friday I was sitting in my
office and a gentleman comes in through the
door, and he was a veteran from the
Vietnam War. And he had his tax bill with
him, and he said, "Could you do me a favor?
Could you go over this and see what
exemptions or tax breaks I'm not getting?"
And we were able to do that, and I think we
found two, if not three.

So as our veterans age, maybe using the internet or getting this information or emails, for some -- not all, absolutely not all, right -- but for some veterans who are a little bit older, it's more difficult to use this system.

1	So how do we let these veterans know
2	or what are ways we can increase avenues to
3	let all veterans know that there are tax
4	exemptions, tax credits that they can be made
5	aware of? How can we do a better job of
6	doing that?

NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: So I guess I'll start.

And I know Beth Finkel is following us at some point today from AARP, but AARP and many other community organizations sponsor free tax services. It's like here in Albany they'll do that every Sunday during the entire tax season for hundreds and hundreds of people.

I think that there's a lot of things that happen that either the state's working on or the counties are working on that the public generally doesn't know about because we don't consolidate them somewhere to be able to provide that this may be available in, you know, the district that you work in or what have you.

So there are those types of services

1	that are available free of charge for older
2	adults specifically. I'm not sure their
3	veteran status would matter. If you're just
4	an older adult and you need help, those types
5	of things are available.

DVS DIRECTOR REV. DeCOHEN: Thank you,
Assemblymember.

And Greg and I actually work hand in hand with our seniors -- I am a senior now.

And so we've been able to partner together to ensure, when we're having our seminars, that those who are aging do get the information.

We have it not only posted on our website, but now we have flyers that are posted in places like laundromats and the post office, with the names of our advisors, that says, Call us and you can ask us any questions — especially for those who are aging, as far as knowing what their benefits are for home health aides for themselves through aides and attendants, for themselves and also for their spouses, anything that they do.

You know, the municipalities are

1	serving so many with their own tax benefits,
2	and we're working with them to do the same
3	some of the same things that we're doing to
4	get the message out in places and spaces that
5	they frequent.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN PIROZZOLO: Thank you very
7	much.
8	And I'd just like to say, once again,
9	thank you for your service. I'd like to
10	thank every veteran for their service. If
11	you think about it, if it weren't for the
12	service of veterans, hearings like this may
13	not be happening.
14	DVS DIRECTOR REV. DeCOHEN: Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
16	We go to Assemblywoman Lee.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LEE: Good afternoon.
18	Thanks for being here.
19	I just had a quick question for
20	Director Olsen about NORCs. I wanted to get
21	a better understanding of how the services
22	for NORCs are provided. Is it mostly
23	privatized, or is there any of the services
24	administered from the county and state

1	
	agencies?
_	agenere.

NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yup.
So there are multiple so we have NORCs
throughout New York State, but most of them
are centralized in the city. The original
NORC SSP started there in '95, and then we
drafted, when I was in the legislature, the
Neighborhood NORC program in '05.

multiple occasions is an RFP that provides
money to the community, and what they use is
the same community infrastructure in the city
that they would use for, you know,
home-delivered meals. The nursing could go
like VNS or others. But, you know, there's a
pretty large infrastructure in the city of
vendors that DFTA and others use to provide
the services, and that's how that would work.
They're not-for-profits and community-based
organizations.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN LEE: And how did this model evolve? Like why is it that it's mainly privatized or given off to -- you know, farmed out to nonprofit organizations

1	rather than being serviced in-house?
2	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: I'm not
3	sure I understand the question.
4	So all of the services that are
5	provided within a NORC building are also
6	provided in residential homes throughout
7	New York State. So what this model tried to
8	do in the beginning was recognize that people
9	had moved into a particular building at the
10	time, when they were much younger, and they
11	aged into the building. And so you have
12	density of ability to serve individuals with
13	both healthcare and social services.
14	So it's not farmed out, it's you
15	know, an organization would apply for the
16	funds and provide the services, based on the
17	geographic area.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LEE: Thank you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
20	Assemblyman Ra for five minutes, ranker.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you.
22	Directors, thank you for being here.
23	Director DeCohen, I just want to
24	somewhat continue where my Senate colleague

1	left off, you know, in terms of the overall
2	funding. We did have a proposal that we
3	worked on last year putting forward that
4	would put \$250 million on the services side,
5	\$250 million on the capital side for our
6	organizations and all of that. And I think
7	that's more in the ballpark of where we need
8	to go.

But, you know, I hope with your leadership and the passion of people like my colleague Senator Ashby we can get there.

I will say, though, one of the -- I'm happy with the expansion of the Dwyer funding last year, happy to see it's in this, you know, executive proposal. But there is a cut that would potentially impact a bunch of other programs, including Helmets to Hard Hats, the Outdoor Prescription program which, you know, gets veterans outdoors and has them taking advantage of things that we think are therapeutic for their mental health.

So, you know, while I feel like the Legislature will advocate for those restorations, you know, I wish that didn't

have to be the case, that they could be there
in the Executive Budget proposal. So I won't
even ask you to comment on that, because I
think you probably agree.

But I do want to ask about -- there was some capital in the budget last year to help our veterans service organizations, which I think any of us in this Legislature have heard from them about the need for, you know, new roofs and paving the parking lot and boilers and everything under the sun to keep those places better places for veterans to gather and access services and, you know, be with people that have that shared experience.

So could you tell me -- I know applications are still open till the end of March -- but how that's gone or how many applications have come in? Do you think the information has gotten out there to the veterans' organizations that this capital funding is available?

DVS DIRECTOR REV. DeCOHEN: Thank you, Assemblymember. I'm going to go back to what

L	Ι	stat	ed	earl	Lier,	that	right	now	we	have	a
2	do	zen	in	the	proce	ess.					

And we're continuing to put out the word actually monthly on all of our platforms, by snail mail -- we're still doing that -- by email, on all of our social platforms, including our website as well.

We were so happy to be one of the first states to have the Outdoor Rx program, and we have six people right now to be able to get the \$25,000 to have an extensive program in their natural lands to continue to have those programs for our veterans, our military, and our families, that we know it's so vital to have these outdoor programs that can help on a mental level, a physical level, and on every level for our veterans across the state.

So very happy that the Governor was able to roll that out.

ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Okay. And just going back to the capital. So do you see a need to, you know, maybe do another round of that, put it -- you know, match that funding again

1	this year so that we can help more veterans
2	organizations keep roofs over their heads so
3	there's a place for those veterans to go?
4	DVS DIRECTOR REV. DeCOHEN: Listen, we
5	want everything and everything times 10 for
6	our veterans across this state. And so we
7	know that this is just the beginning of so
8	many programs that together we'll be able to
9	roll out more and more all of our
10	veterans, and especially our supporters who
11	are supporting our veterans and their
12	families.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Great. Thank you
14	very much.
15	DVS DIRECTOR REV. DeCOHEN: Thank you.
16	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
17	Thank you both for (pause) for staying
18	here just for another three minutes
19	(Laughter.)
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: while
21	Assemblyman Morinello asks a question.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN MORINELLO: Hi.
23	I want to thank you for your service.
24	I'm a veteran also, and that is and I've

1	been on	the Veterans Committee since I've
2	been in	the Assembly. And I'm very concerned
3	about a	number of issues.

One is more financial. This may have been asked, and if it has, I apologize, because I was in another hearing, and you can stop me. But the Governor removed funding for 17 veterans programs totaling 2.6 million that were added by the Legislature last year. To highlight some of the programs which have been very effective, Helmets to Hard Hats and outdoor recreation programs, which are healthy alternatives for veterans.

Is there any underlying reason as to why these were removed and whether you're intending to put them back? I mean, if we lose Helmets to Hard Hats, we're losing an incredible, incredible program to assist those veterans that have difficulties coming back, after they've been pretty much willing to give it all just to protect us. So ...

DVS DIRECTOR REV. DeCOHEN: Thank you, Assemblymember.

That question was asked earlier. And

1	again, for the RFP for the new \$25,000 apiece
2	for our new Rx program which is going to
3	be ending very soon, and we will be
4	disbursing the funds in April for those six
5	recipients of that \$25,000 grant. We're glad
6	that that's there.
7	The other program I'm sorry, sir
8	I was not familiar with as
9	ASSEMBLYMAN MORINELLO: Well, Helmets
10	to Hard Hats, I'd ask you to maybe look into
11	that.
12	DVS DIRECTOR REV. DeCOHEN: That's
13	right.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN MORINELLO: Okay? Because
15	it's been a very valuable program.
16	And apparently it's probably been
17	asked also, the elimination of the 5 million
18	for capital improvements. The money from
19	fiscal year '23, it's still available in
20	appropriation reappropriations. How much
21	of that, do you know, has been
22	reappropriated, how much is available, and is
23	there still an opportunity to request?
24	DVS DIRECTOR REV. DeCOHEN: The

1	\$5 million capital grants management program
2	is still in effect. And so we're still
3	working through all of the applications. We
4	have 12 in process right now.

And we're looking forward to being able to disseminate those funds, and of course looking forward to continuing the program in the future.

ASSEMBLYMAN MORINELLO: Thank you.

And I want to compliment you on the creation of the mobile veterans benefits advisory clinics. I think you know rural areas, there's many veterans that live in rural areas. It just seems that a lot of the rural individuals are those that are willing to volunteer.

Will those be dispersed throughout the state?

DVS DIRECTOR REV. DeCOHEN: We do have some counties that already have this program, so we will continue to work with those veterans service organizations and those counties that have been asking for this, that have been telling us that transportation

1	continues to be the number-one problem, that
2	they're looking forward to it. It's for
3	those that want it. And those that have it,
4	you know, we're so happy to be able to see
5	our veterans being served.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN MORINELLO: Let me just
7	add one last thing
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: I'm sorry,
9	Judge. The time has expired.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN MORINELLO: Thank you.
1	I'm done.
12	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Okay.
13	Actually, I misspoke before. Assemblyman
_4	Ron Kim, our Aging chair, has a second round
15	of three minutes.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN KIM: Thank you, Chair.
17	Director Olsen, just to wrap up my
18	questions from earlier. So in
19	Washington, D.C., the state's Long Term Care
20	Ombudsman Program is housed under AARP's
21	national office. The head of that program is
22	Mark Miller, who actually used to head the
23	New York State LTCOP program. In Michigan,
2.4	the LTCOP is housed under the Michigan Elder

1	Justice Initiative. And I'm sure there's
2	plenty of other examples like this in the
3	country.

And I bring these up because I just
want to demonstrate the flexibility of the
program. And depending on how we're able to
build capacity for these programs, we can do
a lot more. And I just want to give you an
opportunity to discuss what we need to be
doing together to fully fund and
professionalize the Long Term Care program,
which will save lives of nursing home
residents.

NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: I mean,
I think the short answer is you need to hire
staff, which, you know, we were able to bring
on 35 new people to take the place of, again,
asking people to volunteer for a very
complicated program. As part of our federal
Older Americans Act modernization, a huge
increase in workforce across the aging
network, but also included in the Long Term
Care Ombudsman Program for that reason.

So, you know, I think again -- I think

you were out, Assemblyman -- I see there's a lot in the budget, there's a lot of needs.

We hear them from every single agency, from every constituent, and it's a starting point for a dialogue.

ASSEMBLYMAN KIM: And, honestly, going back to the private payment system, it's a very intriguing, you know, concept for me because when you think about charging people a fee-for-service model, we often think about the MTA, the buses, the bridges, the tunnels, and it's usually housed under public benefit authorities or corporations who are able to leverage those fees to borrow against those incomes for — to finance future projects.

And you're kind of -- because SOFA doesn't deal with intermediaries like MLTCs and through EISEP, counties are able to directly administer the reimbursements. You know, it's a unique situation for us to experiment on how to properly finance long-term care. And I'd love to stay in touch with you about not only this, but different mechanisms where we can rethink

1	about public financing long-term care.
2	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Yeah, I
3	am always interested in having that
4	conversation.
5	And the other thing, just to point
6	out, is the service infrastructure that
7	we oversee with the counties and their
8	1200 partners intervenes a lot earlier. And
9	so you can help somebody get better or
10	maintain themselves and not have to devolve
11	to a point where they need a higher level of
12	care.
13	And that should be that's what a
14	real system of long-term care it goes from
15	information to skilled nursing, but that's
16	what a real system ought to look like.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN KIM: Great. Thank you.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
19	Thank you both for being here and
20	participating in today's hearing.
21	I know there's some questions that
22	there wasn't time to answer, so to the extent
23	that you have some answers to individual
24	members, please send them also to myself and

1	Senator Krueger so we can distribute to all
2	of the members.
3	Thank you.
4	DVS DIRECTOR REV. DeCOHEN: Thank you.
5	NYSOFA ACTING DIRECTOR OLSEN: Thank
6	you guys. Thanks, everybody.
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So now we will
8	go to we are completed with the
9	governmental witnesses, so now we will have
10	witnesses from the members of the public. If
11	you're following along, it's Panel A: Early
12	Care & Learning Council; All Our Kin; Hunger
13	Solutions New York; Adirondack Birth to Three
14	Alliance; and Docs for Tots.
15	If there is anybody here who hasn't
16	checked in I think most people have
17	please let us know. I know people tend to
18	stay in the back, but the panel after this
19	will be AARP, New York StateWide Senior
20	Action Council, Project Guardianship,
21	Association on Aging so some of those

folks may want to, as this panel nears its

We also have been joined by a member

end, move a little further down.

22

23

1	of Ways	and Means,	Assemblyman	Dilan.	So you
2	have to	do a little	e sharing of	the	
3	micropho	one.			

Just a reminder, everybody gets three minutes to make their presentation after all members of the panel have completed their presentation. Any members that wish to ask questions, just let myself or Senator Krueger know. And members, all members will have up to three minutes to ask questions, and please leave time for the answer.

So maybe just identify yourselves when you speak. I think we'll -- I think everybody's checked in. So why don't we start with the Early Care & Learning Council, the way I introduced people.

MS. CHIMENTO: Good afternoon. And thank you, Chairs Krueger and Weinstein.

My name is Meredith Chimento. I'm the executive director of the Early Care & Learning Council. ECLC is the coordinating body for the network of some 35 childcare resource and referral agencies that you've heard a lot about today.

1	We often refer to CCR&Rs as the
2	workforce behind the childcare workforce. At
3	the start of the pandemic, we worked directly
4	with OCFS to ensure that childcare stayed
5	open
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Excuse me one
7	second.
8	The clock should say three minutes
9	because we are nongovernmental.
10	MS. CHIMENTO: As federal funds flowed
1	through OCFS, it was the network that ensured
12	that these childcare programs could access
13	much-needed financial relief. We are
4	grateful to the Governor and OCFS for their
15	commitment to childcare, but unfortunately
16	these investments do not go far enough.
17	In 2012, CCR&R state contracts were
18	cut and have remained flat-funded ever since.
19	Imagine no core contract increase in more
20	years than it takes to send a child through
21	the public school system. CCR&Rs are faced
22	with a more dynamic and complex system,

bigger problems -- funding levels have failed

to keep up.

23

1	Since 2012, inflation has risen at a
2	rate of 29.7 percent. What are we to do, a
3	resourceful group of nonprofits, one that
4	seeks out alternative funding streams and
5	continuously cuts cost. But now things are
6	too tight. Like our childcare providers,
7	many of our agencies are struggling.

It is with this in mind that ECLC and the network of CCR&Rs respectfully request that the Governor and Legislature support the following increases:

Increase childcare resource and referral contracts, including registration contracts, by \$7.9 million annually to cover increasing costs to staff the network who train the 17,000 licensed and regulated childcare providers serving 400,000 children in our state. Last year we delivered 50,000 hours of technical assistance and helped 32,000 families find quality, affordable care through our referral networks.

We also ask for \$6.5 million annually to fund the Stabilization and Deserts Grant

1	technical assistance positions. The funding
2	for these positions will sunset in the next
3	year. CCR&Rs offer core business trainings
4	to assist providers who are often financially
5	unstable and at a risk of closing their
6	doors.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

As you've heard, 64 percent of New York State is a childcare desert. Without the TA specialists working closely with new and existing childcare providers, the situation will only deteriorate, undercutting parents' ability to work and undermining the state's economic future. These TA positions delivered grant assistance to 10,600 existing childcare providers and helped 846 prospective providers navigate the grant process.

Additionally, we request \$4 million to increase infant/toddler mental health consultation programming to ensure that our younger children get off to the start they deserve, with nurturing and responsive care, and an additional 5.5 million to provide DEIB training to mitigate and address racism and

1 unconscious bias.

2	CCR&Rs were established into law in
3	1993. We are asking that you continue to
4	honor the critical role that CCR&Rs play in
5	the New York State economy through their
6	support of childcare businesses, employers,
7	and families, by increasing contracts that
8	have unfortunately remained stagnant for far
9	too long. Our work, which is critical to
10	keeping New York working, will struggle to
11	continue without this adjustment.

Thank you.

13 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Next, All Our
14 Kin.

MR. MORALES: Senators, members of the Assembly, my name is Steven Morales, and I'm the New York policy director at All Our Kin.

All Our Kin is a nonprofit organization that trains, supports, and sustains family childcare educators in New York City, and we're also proud members of the Empire State Childcare Campaign.

Family childcare, or home-based -- childcare in a home-based setting is a

1	critical part of New York's childcare
2	infrastructure, and many parents choose
3	family childcare for its ability to offer
4	flexible hours, mixed-age, culturally
5	responsive care in a family's home language.
6	In New York State, 40 percent of
7	children in publicly funded care are cared
8	for in family childcare settings.
9	Despite the demand for care across the
10	state in any type of setting, our childcare
1	system remains in crisis, with programs
12	across the state closing their doors. At the
13	core of the childcare crisis lies one simple
14	fact: Compensation for childcare
15	professionals is woefully inadequate. On
16	average, childcare educators in New York are
17	in the bottom 3 percent of all earners, and
18	when childcare providers struggle, children
19	and families suffer the consequences.
20	Since the beginning of the pandemic,
21	New York State has experienced a net loss of
22	over 1,300 childcare programs, which

translates to over 10,000 lost childcare

slots. That's thousands of working families

23

1	whose lives are disrupted daily because of
2	lack of childcare access. And the crisis is
3	ongoing.

In a December survey, one-third of family childcare educators in New York expressed that they are considering leaving their jobs and closing their programs, and the number-one reason for that is low compensation.

So to begin addressing this crisis,

All Our Kin is calling for the following
investments. First, in the short term, a
childcare workforce compensation fund of

\$1 billion this year. We consistently hear
from childcare providers that past
stabilization grants temporarily helped keep
their business afloat, but when temporary
payments stopped, providers found themselves
back at square one wondering how they would
pay the bills.

The \$389 million in the

Executive Budget for stabilization grants is
a good start but is not enough to keep
educators from leaving the field for

1	higher-paying jobs in other sectors. So we
2	ask that you build on the Governor's
3	investment by increasing the amount to
4	\$1 billion this year.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Second, we know -- and a recent EdTrust study confirms -- that our current childcare subsidy system pays educators thousands of dollars less than it actually costs to run a childcare program. So we need a long-term commitment to pay educators based on what it actually costs to provide care so they can be in the field for the long term.

And finally, we call on the state to expand childcare access to all children, regardless of immigration status, by investing \$60 million in a childcare fund for undocumented children. No child should be denied access to childcare and an education because of their immigration status.

In closing, despite the achievements of last year's budget, the childcare crisis in New York State is far from over, and we urge you to seize the opportunity to invest in children and families this year.

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. We
2	go to Hunger Solutions New York.
3	MS. HESDORFER: Good afternoon, and
4	thank you for the opportunity to testify
5	today.
6	My name is Krista Hesdorfer, and I'm
7	here representing Hunger Solutions New York,
8	a statewide nonprofit dedicated to
9	alleviating hunger for all New Yorkers by
10	maximizing federal nutrition assistance
11	programs, including SNAP, WIC, school meals,
12	and other child nutrition programs.
13	Today I'll focus on SNAP, our nation's
14	largest anti-hunger program, which provides
15	monthly food benefits to more than
16	2.8 million New Yorkers.
17	For over 35 years, through a contract
18	with OTDA, we have worked to maximize SNAP
19	through the Nutrition Outreach and Education
20	Program, or NOEP. Through NOEP, a network of
21	community-based organizations provide
22	outreach and assistance to help more eligible
23	low-income New Yorkers connect with SNAP
24	benefits.

1	Recognizing the importance of this
2	work amid an ongoing hunger crisis, last year
3	the Legislature successfully championed an
4	additional \$1 million in NOEP funding, for a
5	total of 4.22 million. That additional
6	funding supported 14 new SNAP assistors in
7	high-need and rural counties, and expanded
8	remote SNAP assistance statewide.

This year NOEP is on track to reach 134,000 New Yorkers. However, without sustained funding, NOEP will be forced to scale back. And unfortunately, the executive proposal does not include the \$1 million that the Legislature added last year.

Without added investment this year,

NOEP services will be reduced in Erie and

Monroe counties and all boroughs of New York

City. Chemung, Cortland, Essex, Franklin,

Lewis, and Schuyler counties will lose all

NOEP services.

To avoid these devastating cuts, we ask the Legislature to restore and build on previous investments, funding NOEP at \$5.22 million to sustain and expand outreach

1	and assistance for SNAP and other federal
2	nutrition programs, all of which are
3	undertapped in New York.
4	This modest investment brings a
5	uniquely high return. Every dollar the state
6	invests in SNAP outreach is federally matched
7	dollar for dollar. And because SNAP benefits
8	are 100 percent federally funded, NOEP has
9	had a more than 27-fold return on investment
10	for New York.
1	And I must mention one other critical
12	anti-hunger proposal, outside of human
13	services on paper but not in spirit.
4	New York must provide free school buses and
15	lunch for all students across the state.
16	This is a critical step to support families,
17	providing an estimated \$140 per child in
18	monthly grocery savings, while also
19	supporting children's learning and
20	development.

We urge the Legislature to adopt these vital anti-hunger proposals to support families and alleviate hunger across the state. Thank you.

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
2	Adirondack Birth to Three Alliance.
3	MS. RYAN: My name is Kate Ryan. I'm
4	the director of the Adirondack Birth to Three
5	Alliance, the signature program of Adirondack
6	Foundation. We're a coalition of providers,
7	organizations, and community members across
8	the Adirondacks. We appreciate the
9	opportunity to share with you the needs of
10	our region.
11	The Adirondacks are home to
12	approximately 7,000 children three years of
13	age or younger. Our population density is
14	14 people per square mile, compared to the
15	state average of 428. Although beautiful,
16	our landscape presents unique challenges.
17	Many families travel over an hour to access
18	services, including childcare.
19	The childcare crisis cannot be solved
20	for our region with centers serving 30 to
21	100 children. We need family or group family
22	childcare. This is a big undertaking, as it

requires eight to 12 family and group

childcare providers to serve 100 children.

23

1	Prior to the pandemic, nearly
2	80 percent of our census tract were already
3	considered childcare deserts, and since ther
4	we've lost another 1200 slots. Investments
5	and recruiting and onboarding new childcare

providers are essential.

I'm proud to sit on this panel with my colleagues. Much of my testimony seeks investment in the work that they do. Local childcare resource and referral agencies are uniquely positioned to provide support to new and existing childcare providers and assist families in identifying programs.

CCR&Rs in the Adirondacks simply do not have the capacity to recruit and support the large number of families and group family providers needed. The alliance supports the Early Care & Learning Council's request for new state funding of \$7.9 million to support the CCR&R program.

High-quality early childhood education systems rest on standards that are based on longstanding and current research that demonstrates improved outcomes for children.

QUALITYstarsNY provides support to program
staff and providers to achieve these
standards, and we request an additional
investment of \$20 million

There is a need to invest in the development of trauma-informed practices in classrooms that incorporate appropriate and social/emotional strategies implemented through racial equity and multigenerational poverty lenses. In 2018, the Infant/Toddler Mental Health Consultation Project was launched. An increased investment would ensure that young children birth to five would have access to nurturing environments with the skills and resources to address social, emotional, and behavioral needs. The alliance supports the ECLC request for 4 million to support mental health consultants.

Lastly, the Help Me Grow model works

to promote child development, makes

developmental and social and emotional

screening universally available, and provides

ongoing support for families. Help Me Grow

1	is a proven prevention model that fosters
2	strong families and ensures that all children
3	get the support they deserve. The alliance
4	supports an investment of \$500,000 to enhance
5	access in the existing catchment areas and
6	additional funding to expand the program into
7	regions like the Adirondacks.
8	On average, our families earn \$10,000
9	per year less than other New Yorkers.
10	Approximately 18.2 percent of our region's
11	children live at or below the federal poverty
12	level. Franklin County rates second in the
13	entire state for the percentage of children
14	ages 18 and under living in poverty.
15	The proposals I have mentioned only
16	address a fraction of the issues faced by the
17	Adirondacks, but each would be highly
18	impactful toward improving the services
19	offered to young children and their families.
20	Thank you.
21	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
22	And Docs for Tots.
23	MS. ISAKSON: Hi. Good afternoon,

everyone. Thank you for the opportunity to

speak today regarding New York State's Help
Me Grow model.

My name is Liz Isakson, and I'm the executive director of Docs for Tots. Docs for Tots is a nonprofit organization that believes that every child under five deserves a chance to reach their full potential. We believe that working together, from family members to Assemblymembers and Senators like yourselves, we can ensure New York's zero to five thrive their whole lives.

What is Help Me Grow? Help Me Grow

New York represents the four existing Help Me

Grow systems: Long Island, Western New York,

Finger Lakes, and Onondaga. Each Help Me

Grow is organized locally and respectively

organized by Docs for Tots, Community

Connections of New York, the Children's

Institute, and the Onondaga Early Childhood

Alliance. However, within each community,

there's a coalition or leadership team.

If you put together all the people that are involved in overseeing and creating this Help Me Grow system, over 100

1	community-based organizations over
2	17 counties in New York State are currently
3	working to build better systems for young
4	children.
5	Help Me Grow is a national
6	evidence-based model of community support for
7	families with children under five. It's
8	basically a form of primary prevention,
9	addressing things before they start. It
10	focuses on promoting optimal child
11	development by linking families to existing
12	community resources, identifying
13	developmental concerns early through
14	universal access to screening, and
15	celebrating the amazing transformation that
16	occurs from the time you take that newborn
17	home from the hospital to the day you're
18	sitting at their fifth birthday party and
19	they're blowing out the candles on their
20	cake.
21	The model works across sectors
22	human services, healthcare, childcare to
23	help families at the community level

effectively navigate really unfamiliar

systems. In 2022 alone, the four Help Me
Grow systems reached 10,000 children.

Why is this needed? For too many families in New York, whether because of location, poverty, or systemic inequity, access to vital services for the under-five-year-old child are out of reach. They're just unknown. Children thrive when their families are informed and supported, and Help Me Grow provides that information and support to new families.

It doesn't replicate resources, it
elevates existing ones. The four Help Me
Grow communities in New York State are
serving a fraction currently of their
potential need. Yet additional communities,
like the North Country over there, are eager
to join the ranks and become Help Me Grow
communities. They see how the model improves
their community-level data, their services
and supports for young children.

We are disappointed that the

Executive Budget merely reappropriated

last year's investment of \$200,000 -- which

1	we haven't even got the chance to spend
2	yet and does not allocate continued
3	funding for Help Me Grow.
4	We request \$500,000 total to maintain
5	the four communities and add at least one or
6	two new communities. Ideally, with everybody
7	who's lined up to become a Help Me Grow,
8	something like \$2 million would really help
9	us.
10	Thank you.
1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
12	So for questions just a reminder
13	colleagues, three minutes for both question
4	and answer. And we go first to Assemblywoman
15	Clark.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CLARK: I never get to
17	go first. Thank you, Chairwoman.
18	A couple of questions. First, Krista,
19	just I know it's not the committee of
20	jurisdiction, but I just want to uplift
21	universal school meals. No child should go
22	hungry in our state. Both of my school

districts that I talked to about this, one

went up 40 percent and one doubled when there

23

were univ	versal sc	hool	meals.	We	know	we	were
reaching	children	who	were g	going	unrea	ache	ed
before.	So thank	you	for br	ringir	ng tha	at u	ıp.
We will o	continue	that	drumbe	eat.			

This is a question for some of our childcare experts. We do talk about the stabilization grants, we talked about different -- differential rates, we talked about all these different things. What do you see as sort of the real how we get to really uplifting on a permanent, sustainable basis for paying our workforce a wage -- I mean, no one can afford it, right? Families can't pay more, and providers don't have the dollars to give their staff more money.

So the stabilization's great, but I think you kind of touched on it a little.

And we've been trying to put a drumbeat around this. So just wondering what you see as a real answer to getting to that point where we sustain wages at a higher level.

MR. MORALES: Absolutely. There we go. Okay, yeah, absolutely. We need to get to a place where we are paying providers

based on the actual cost of care.

What our current subsidy system does is it pays them based on a collection of what the state is able to charge families. And we know that families are already not able to pay what it costs.

And so there are studies out there, multiple studies that show that it really costs thousands more dollars per child than we pay. So we need to do that, in addition to the stabilization measures, and then we need to continue expanding access to care to more and more families.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN CLARK: Excellent.

And then on the differential pay, we would love to hear as well -- you know, one of the things we're struggling with in terms of not just -- I mean, providers are closing their doors, but the nights and weekends are really, really an issue. As far as we've been told, the differential pay can be a bump-up of 5 to 15 percent, but most counties are paying 5 percent.

Do you see, if we actually got a

1	differential pay up to 15 percent across the
2	state, that it might make a difference
3	towards there being more spots available for
4	nights and weekends?
5	MR. MORALES: I mean, increases in
6	funding and reimbursement rates are always
7	great, and providers appreciate them. But
8	again, we're not going to get to the place
9	where we need to get to until we're paying
10	based on the cost of care. Because at a
11	certain point the mathematics for a childcare
12	provider, whether they are a center or a
13	home-based program, don't add up.
14	So we have to get
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CLARK: Well, we have a
16	bill for that. So we'll get you on it.
17	Thank you.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
19	Senate?
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Jabari
21	Brisport.
22	SENATOR BRISPORT: Well, good
23	afternoon. Thank you all for sticking it
24	out. And a pleasure to see some of you

1 again.

2	My question is for the panelists in
3	the childcare space. Earlier this earlier
4	today I was speaking with OCFS; I asked them
5	about waiting lists, if they had more data or
6	just the need for childcare. And they
7	weren't able to give a number or any
8	sensibility of waiting lists, what was going
9	on.

Could any of you speak to waiting
lists, what you're seeing? Are they getting
longer? Are people who qualify for
subsidized childcare on these waiting lists?
What can you share with us?

MS. CHIMENTO: Sure.

So the state doesn't require childcare providers to maintain waiting lists. And our referral database, which does the majority of parent referrals, does not necessarily track waiting lists. It's not set up to do that. So it's the individual childcare provider that maintains the waitlist.

With that said, I have three staff coming back from maternity leave; they're two

1	years out	t to	find	infant	care	in	the	Capital
2	Region.	It's	s not	availak	ole.	It'	's th	nat
3	simple.							

SENATOR BRISPORT: Thank you.

I also just had a question, too, for All Our Kin, Mr. Morales. The gap in between, you know, the cost of care and how much we reimburse, how does that affect your providers?

MR. MORALES: It makes our providers make extremely difficult choices every day.

We've seen that. We've seen providers themselves who have had to close or who have lost staff members to other industries.

And so that gap is really taking money out of the pockets of educators, because what they are doing is they are then subsidizing the system with their own dollars, with their own time, when they're working extra shifts.

And so they're doing their best to care for children. But when the money is not there, they're making those really tough calls, working 80, 100-hour weeks to make up for the difference.

1	SENATOR BRISPORT: Thank you.
2	And with the time I have left, just
3	one more question for Adirondack. Ms. Ryan,
4	I believe someone from Adirondack gave us
5	written testimony for our hearing earlier on
6	the crisis, and basically gave us the data
7	that prior to the pandemic, around 80 percent
8	of your census tracts were considered
9	childcare deserts. And then since then,
10	you've lost 1200 more slots, or 25 percent of
11	your capacity.
12	Have the stabilization grants slowed
13	that down or reversed the trend of losing
14	childcare slots in the Adirondacks?
15	MS. RYAN: I don't have the data to
16	support that. But I will say anecdotally
17	that it was helpful, but we are still seeing
18	serious discrepancies and loss of slots.
19	Specifically, many of our centers that
20	have been able to maintain are no longer able
21	to do so, and we're seeing them closing.
22	SENATOR BRISPORT: Thank you. I
23	appreciate it.
24	I believe it was said earlier when

1	OCFS was here, but \$1 billion in
2	stabilization grants wasn't enough to save
3	the sector about two years ago. And we
4	definitely need more that 389 million this
5	year.
6	Thank you all.
7	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
8	Assembly.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
10	Assemblyman Maher.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN MAHER: Thank you. Thank
12	you for painting this portrait of what you
13	all do on the ground level. And obviously or
14	behalf of all of us, thank you so much for
15	what you're doing. Hopefully our job is
16	going to be able to do what we can to support
17	you all to go back into the community and do
18	what you do.
19	I have three questions, and anyone
20	who'd like to jump out and answer, I just
21	would love to have your feedback.
22	First, we talked earlier my
23	colleagues and I asked some questions of the

Office of Children and Family Services.

Specifically, I would love to know your
interactions with providers on applying for
desert grants, how difficult that can be. It
can be frustrating in terms of receiving
funding and then not getting to the next
step. Do you have any recommendations that
we can pass on to our contacts at the Office
of Children and Family Services?

The second is promoting more folks to let them know that they are eligible for the expansion of childcare assistance, not taking into account the fact that it's difficult to apply for. Is there anything promotionally that you guys are currently doing that we can assist you with and we can collaborate on? That's something we can do offline as well. But if you have some specific things, I'd love to have everybody hear from them.

And then public-private partnerships.

For the new tax credit that's going to be coming out, have you guys already started to engage with private businesses on potentially having sites on-site for some of these warehouse jobs and other locations that

1	people	would	work	at	if	they	had	some	relief?
2		MS. C	HIMENT	0:	Tł	nank <u>y</u>	you.	Thos	se are

great questions.

Deserts, OCFS funded 70 positions through the CCR&R network to have -- help them navigate the process of applying for the grants. It was at times laborious. And childcare providers serve and care for the love of children, which is why the business support specialists that I spoke about are so necessary. They are the ones that are helping childcare providers run a business -- a losing-proposition business, but still a business.

So I think the piece about

Stabilization and Deserts is increased

access, multiple languages -- all the things

that they've done -- but it's keeping these

70 staff in place to help them navigate the

process.

The second piece that you asked was about business partnerships. That is something that the CCR&R network also does.

1	It's another piece of what we'd like to do,
2	is to continue to employ business experts
3	that are able to navigate that public-private
4	partnership. And some of the Governor's
5	recommendations have funding identified for
6	that. We would like to see that funding
7	some of that funding come to the CCR&R
8	networks.
9	I forget the third question.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN MAHER: The third one was
11	promotion of the expansion of childcare
12	services, what we can do to kind of
13	collaborate, on some of the efforts you've

been making, to promote it.

MR. MORALES: I mean, I think I would love to see a concerted effort on the part of OCFS and working with local agencies in making folks aware of the services that they are eligible for.

I worked for New York City for a time, in the Department of Education, on the expansion of pre-K, and there was a dedicated team whose job it was to go out into communities and make sure folks knew that

1	they were eligible for these things.
2	So that's something I think we could
3	really think about.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN MAHER: Would love to work
5	with you on that.
6	MS. CHIMENTO: We do that through the
7	CCR&R network.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN MAHER: Thank you.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
10	To the Senate.
11	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
12	Senator Samra Brouk.
13	SENATOR BROUK: Hi. Thank you so
14	much.
15	First, I just want to thank all of you
16	for being here and sticking it out and
17	sharing this testimony. So often many of us
18	talk about giving voice to the voiceless,
19	right? You're literally giving voice to the
20	little kids who can't come here and advocate
21	for themselves, and then probably very
22	overworked parents who can't make it here as
23	well. So thank you for everything you do.
24	I have two questions. One of them is

1	probably not a surprise. We've talked a lot
2	about compensation for this workforce. It's
3	a societal ill that we seem to give the least
4	amount of value to human services in this
5	country and in this state, and we're working
6	to address that.

But for anyone that wants to answer specifically around the childcare piece, based on the trends that you've seen over the last couple of years, what do you think is going to happen next in this sector if we don't make increased investments on top of what we've done with the Executive Budget to make these actually competitive wages?

 $\label{eq:MS.CHIMENTO: I'm going to flip it to} $$\operatorname{you}.$$

But you're going to see mass exodus and a complete collapse of the childcare system. So that's why the Empire State Campaign for Childcare speaks about the \$12,500 annual bonus or incentive for childcare providers. The system's this close to collapsing.

MR. MORALES: Yeah, I mean, we've seen

1	the trends. We know that programs continue
2	to close. And they're going to continue to
3	do so without that sustained investment.
4	SENATOR BROUK: And we're talking not
5	10 years from now, five years from now. When
6	you're thinking about collapse, what's your
7	time frame you're thinking about?
8	MR. MORALES: So I'm pretty sure in a
9	recent survey in December, done by the
10	National Association for the Education of
11	Young Children, they surveyed providers in
12	New York. And they were worried that
13	33 percent of family childcare providers said
14	they were worried about having to close
15	within the next year.
16	SENATOR BROUK: In the next year.
17	Thank you.
18	My next question is about something,
19	Mr. Morales, that you brought up around
20	children with specific immigration statuses.
21	And I was just curious I didn't catch
22	it how many children right now are not
23	covered because of their immigration status?
24	MR. MORALES: So I can follow up, or

1	someone from the Empire State Campaign can
2	follow up with that estimate. Because we
3	have we have some estimates which we can
4	share with you.
5	SENATOR BROUK: And your best guess,
6	maybe having talked to any of these families,
7	what do they do?
8	MR. MORALES: I I think there's a
9	range. They do what any parent who's
10	struggling to find childcare does. They
11	might have a relative. They might have a
12	neighbor. They might go to a family
13	childcare provider who might offer them a
14	discount out of their own pocket, which we
15	see a lot of times.
16	So really and a lot of times they
17	leave the workforce or they're unable to work
18	because of it. So there's a lot of negative
19	consequences for when we don't provide
20	care.
21	SENATOR BROUK: Thank you. I
22	appreciate it. Thank you.
23	MR. MORALES: Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.

1	Assembly.
2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We were joined
3	a little while ago by Assemblywoman Rajkumar.
4	And we go to Assemblywoman
5	Gonzalez-Rojas.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GONZALEZ-ROJAS: Thank
7	you all for your testimony.
8	Krista, these questions are for you.
9	Can you tell us how much families save per
10	month in groceries if we fund universal
11	school meals?
12	MS. HESDORFER: Happy to.
13	It's an estimated \$140 per child per
14	month in grocery savings.
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GONZALEZ-ROJAS: Great.
16	And can you talk about some of the
17	other specific benefits in funding universal
18	school meals? As you know, I'm a champion
19	for this initiative, and I really want the
20	Assembly and Senate to really understand the
21	implications of something so profound and how
22	helpful it could be for our families and
23	children.
24	MS. HESDORFER: Yes, definitely, thank

1 you for your continued support.

It's really hard to imagine a more impactful investment that New York State could make. Providing universal free school meals supports children's education. Kids score better on tests, they're better able to focus and engage, their attendance improves. Of course it reduces food insecurity, which is of concern to many folks here today. And it also improves children's mental and physical health.

There's also benefits for schools.

They're no longer spending administrative and financial resources chasing down debt from families who can't afford to pay.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GONZALEZ-ROJAS: Can you talk about the way in which it could draw down funds from the federal government if we put our own money into this?

MS. HESDORFER: Yes. So our work focuses on maximizing the federal Nutrition Assistance Program. So I spoke a little bit about how the NOEP investment would be federally matched, all SNAP outreach dollars.

1	And part of the universal school meals policy
2	is maximizing federal and nutrition programs
3	as well. So making sure that any school
4	that's eligible for the community eligibility
5	provision is able to participate in that, and
6	maximizing those reimbursements for free
7	school meals as well.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GONZALEZ-ROJAS: Great.

And so can you share more about NOEP, the Nutrition Outreach and Education Program? Exactly how does it work, and what is the return on investment in this program in particular?

MS. HESDORFER: Sure.

So we manage, through a contractor, through OTDA, a network of community-based organizations across the state. They provide outreach and free and confidential application assistance to make sure that all folks who are eligible for SNAP benefits are able to receive those benefits. They're friendly, hand-holding sort of folks, to get people through that process.

The return on investment for New York

1	in recent years has been 27-fold, because
2	SNAP benefits are 100 percent federally
3	funded and because SNAP outreach dollars that
4	the state invests are matched dollar for
5	dollar by USDA SNAP outreach funds.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GONZALEZ-ROJAS: Great,
7	thank you so much.
8	I want to underscore how helpful this
9	is for children and families. But we heard
10	from the earlier panel that many seniors who
11	are eligible for SNAP are not enrolling
12	because they're not aware.
13	Thank you. Any comments there?
14	MS. HESDORFER: Yes. I'll just say
15	there is an estimated 200,000 seniors who are
16	eligible and not participating in New York
17	State, and part of our work is providing the
18	resources and assistance to help senior
19	service providers connect more of those folks
20	with SNAP as well.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GONZALEZ-ROJAS: Thank
22	you for your work. Thank you all.
23	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
24	Senate?

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
2	Senator Rolison.
3	SENATOR ROLISON: Thank you, Chair.
4	We had a hearing a few weeks ago about
5	this very topic, which was described as a
6	crisis and is being described again as a
7	crisis by you, and I think we all understand
8	that. And I don't think we talked about this
9	in the hearing, so I wanted to get your
10	perspective, and anybody can really jump in.
1	Let's just say money became available,
12	staff could get hired based on the money
13	available and the wages paid, and to staff up
_4	and create additional childcare slots and to
15	retain the employees that are currently doing
16	this great work throughout the state.
17	What goes into timewise and
18	finances to properly train someone in the
19	business of childcare? It is not something
20	where obviously you're going to bring someone
21	in at an entry-level position and say just,

you know: Go do the best you can, and we're

there are programs out there, but it seems to

here to help you out. I mean, I know that

22

23

1	me that that seems to be something sort of
2	new, that the emphasis on the training of the
3	individuals who are doing this critical work,
4	when we know statistically zero to three is
5	so important, right, in the development of a
6	child.

So could you just, in a minute and 45 seconds, just give us a brief -- give me a brief understanding of what goes into this and how the state can be helpful in that area as well?

MS. CHIMENTO: Thanks, that's great.

So there's a variety of organizations throughout the state that work on the business of childcare and work on the quality of childcare: The National Association -- or New York Association for the Education of Young Children, PDI, QUALITYstars, the CCR&R network, the unions, to name a few.

There is a prescriptive career ladder that childcare providers can follow to maintain or to attain certain educational requirements. The CCR&R network, through Stabilization and Deserts, implemented a

1	seven-part core business training series to
2	focus on the business, the finances behind
3	the childcare business. But it's those
4	considerable investments in all of the
5	agencies that I spoke about that can really
6	bring that the educational piece of it,
7	along with the business support.
8	Funding for wages is great, but
9	funding for operations and infrastructure is
10	just as critically important. You had
11	someone had asked why people were leaving or
12	what could happen with Stabilization and
13	Deserts. Just increased awareness about what
14	they are being held accountable for during
15	the process will improve what how
16	childcare providers are responding to these
17	investments.
18	SENATOR ROLISON: So there's a
19	corresponding system that needs to be
20	enhanced as well, just besides just raising
21	wages?
22	MS. CHIMENTO: One hundred percent.
23	SENATOR ROLISON: Thank you.
24	Absolutely. Thank you.

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
2	We go to Assemblyman Eachus.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN EACHUS: Thank you, Chair.
4	Krista, the first thing that I'd like
5	you to know is which my colleagues know
6	I was 40 years in the classroom. Okay? I
7	was a teacher, and I absolutely know that
8	there's a difference between the kids who
9	know where their next meal's coming from in
10	the learning pattern versus those who are
11	worried about it and what they're
12	concentrating on, 100 percent. And so as
13	these folks know, I'm completely in on that
14	program, the universal breakfast and lunch
15	program.
16	I would like you, though, sometime, if
17	possible, to call or come to my office to
18	explain to me a little more about the SNAP
19	program and how the elders qualify for it
20	maybe what process they need to go through,
21	so I can transmit that to them.
22	Meredith, hi. I must apologize, I had
23	to run up to session to make sure I was
24	checked in up there, and I missed it. But

1	when I walked back in, I heard a term, TA.
2	Is that what you use?
3	MS. CHIMENTO: Technical assistance.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN EACHUS: Technical
5	assistance, okay. All right. Because I was
6	wondering exactly what that was, and I
7	MS. CHIMENTO: Sure. As an educator,
8	you wonder.
9	It's the services that childcare
10	resource and referral agencies provide to
11	childcare providers. And it can be anything
12	from running a budget to social-emotional
13	development within our mental health
14	consultation project.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN EACHUS: Okay. And
16	Senator Rolison just asked my question,
17	actually. I was wondering what it took to
18	train an individual to be a childcare worker,
19	you know, and specialist. And going from
20	testimony before, we realize that actually,
21	as of today, most of the people that are in
22	childcare, they're in there because they love

it and they love their children and they love

what they do.

23

1	But one of the things I was thinking
2	about because I heard this relative to
3	another program which I won't go into is,
4	are you making this available to college
5	students? Maybe training and so on like
6	that? Is you know, maybe that's a
7	resource if you're not taking advantage of
8	especially when we talk about the Adirondacks
9	and all. We know we have SUNY schools up
10	there and all that, you know, I hope there's
11	some method by which we're advertising.
12	I had to work I won't tell you, but
13	I had to work three or four jobs to get
14	through college while in college. So, you
15	know
16	MS. CHIMENTO: Yeah, I mean, there
17	absolutely is. SUNY Adirondack, all of those
18	programs have or were looking to implement
19	CDA prep programs, so that's the Child
20	Development Associate degree. After-school
21	programs, childcare centers definitely
22	attract the young college students, and it's
23	a great way for them to enter.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN EACHUS: Yeah, because

1	that was one of the questions just real
2	quickly, that was one of the questions about,
3	you know, after business hours and through
4	the night hours. And of course most college
5	kids are up during that period of time
6	anyway.
7	(Laughter.)
8	MS. CHIMENTO: Why not, yeah.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN EACHUS: So yeah. So
10	okay. Thank you very much.
11	MS. CHIMENTO: You're welcome.
12	MS. ISAKSON: Do you mind if I take
13	the last 15 seconds and just jump in?
14	So I'm a pediatrician by training,
15	right? So when we're talking about
16	stabilizing the market of childcare, we
17	forget about stabilizing the care for the
18	children.
19	So turnover of care providers has a
20	real damaging effect on young kids. And so
21	we have to kind of I think actually Dr
22	Senator Rolison kind of mentioned that, the
23	impact.

CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So -- thank

1	you, Liz. The time is up.
2	I don't believe we have any other
3	questions. Thank you for being here. I just
4	wanted to remind all of my colleagues and the
5	people who are going to be coming in the
6	panels after, that all of the written
7	testimony has been submitted, has been
8	received by all of the legislators. And
9	again, not to read your testimony so we have
10	time for the questions.
11	So our next panel will be AARP
12	New York; New York StateWide Senior Action
13	Council; Project Guardianship; and
14	Association on Aging in New York.
15	Gail, do you want to start?
16	MS. MYERS: I'm happy to.
17	Yes, thank you. Thanks. My name is
18	Gail Myers. I'm deputy director at New York
19	StateWide Senior Action Council.
20	Investments are needed now to ensure
21	access to services that are vital to
22	preserving the dignity of older New Yorkers

and their ability to age in their community

homes. Reports are coming, task forces will

23

1 be producing those, but we cannot wait.

We operate two programs that are funded through the New York State Office for Aging, through your good graces. We have operated our patients rights hotline and advocacy projects since 1987. The executive proposal is \$31,500 in funding. You have in the past added, which we appreciate, \$200,000 -- a total funding of 231,500.

We implore you to let us keep the lights on. Please restore that program.

It's a cut otherwise, and we really need to continue to offer the services.

We also operate the Managed Care

Consumer Assistance Program. You heard

Director Olsen talk about the increase in the income levels that you granted last year for the Medicare Savings Program. An extra

300,000 people will be eligible for cash in their pocket.

It doesn't happen just because you pass those programs. Someone needs to do the case finding, the outreach, the enrollment assistance, make sure that they're being

1	followed up on, so that they get those
2	benefits that you have entitled them to and
3	that they so much need. So I'm asking you to
4	increase the funding for those programs to
5	help us to achieve the capacity we need.

So we are very concerned about the private-pay program that is being proposed to be expanded by reducing the income eligibility in the budget. We are particularly concerned that middle-income residents will think that the network of aging service providers are not available to them unless they pay a 20 percent surcharge or tax to receive those services. It's 120 percent of the fee. Otherwise, they -- now they get it for 100 percent.

So we ask you to look at that very carefully. We don't think that the justification to say there's not enough money to support aging services is a justification for doing these things. You make difficult decisions during the budget based on your priorities, and we ask you to make these decisions that -- just like childcare needs

1	all your support, very, very important, we
2	need to make sure that elder care is being
3	supported as well.
4	Home care worker shortage. There's
5	12 hours to be said on this; I know I have
6	like four seconds. We support Fair Pay for
7	Home Care. We reject the Governor's cap on
8	wages for home care workers. And we ask you
9	to also reject the pay equity provisions in
10	the CDPAP program.
11	The ombudsman program, we certainly
12	support an increase in those services.
13	However, there's also a Part Z in the funding
14	in the Article VII bill that changes the way
15	the surveillance is for assisted living, and
16	we would ask you to make sure that the
17	ombudsman is included in those
18	recommendations.
19	Please see my written 27 pages of
20	testimony
21	(Laughter.)
22	MS. MYERS: and I'm happy to answer
23	any of your questions.
24	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.

1	Yes,	ao	ahead

	1	MS.	PREVE	Ξ:	Good	after	moon,	and	thank
you	all	for	host	ing	this	very	y impo	rtant	Ē
hear	ring								

I'm Becky Preve. I'm the executive director of the Association on Aging in New York, and I have the pleasure to represent the 59 Offices for the Aging that you heard Director Olsen testify about today.

I want to make you all aware, as I'm sure you are, the population of older residents in the State of New York is rapidly growing, as is the demand for our services.

The COVID-19 pandemic severely impacted the older population, and the need for services has substantially increased without adequate investments to support the 4.6 million individuals over the age of 60 in the State of New York.

On behalf of the network, we were very shocked that the proposed budget does not include any significant investments for the New York State Office for the Aging. The state has proudly announced a Master Plan on

Aging with executive order language stating,
and I quote: Ensure older New Yorkers can
live fulfilling lives in good health with
freedom, dignity, and independence to age in
place as long as possible.

However, the proposed budget refuses to acknowledge or address the funding needs that have been presented repeatedly. In fact, the budget continues to harm aging services providers specifically regarding home care services.

We serve individuals that are above income from the Medicaid benchmark to receive home care services, but they can't afford to privately pay for the care. We use the exact same licensed home care provider network as the Medicaid system, and we're also mandated to pass a \$2 rate increase on October 1st for all the personal care aides serving the older population, with no investment from the state to absorb this cost.

This obviously has created a huge issue within this aging services infrastructure, because we also are facing a

\$1 mandated rate increase for October 1 of
2023. And again, the proposed Executive
Budget completely ignored the fact that we're
serving thousands of older New Yorkers with
our home care program and did not receive
these investments

I also want to put into perspective that the individuals that we're serving in the aging services network absolutely qualify for nursing home placement. Our traditional client is an 83-year-old female who lives alone, is low-income, and has four or more chronic conditions, and needs assistance with activities of daily living such as going to the bathroom or taking a shower.

We know that our aging services infrastructure serves that individual for less than \$10,000 per year, on average for six and a half years -- versus \$150,000 per year in a skilled nursing facility.

We know that people waiting for the services through the aging services network on waiting lists, 10 percent of them will go directly to a nursing home, another 7 percent

1	will spend down to MLTC. On the current
2	waiting list right now we have in the State
3	of New York for services, it's going to cost
4	\$70 million for those 10 percent of
5	individuals to go to nursing homes.

Additionally, the proposed

Executive Budget completely disregards the rising costs of inflation that severely impacted the largest nutrition program in the country, which is ours. We know the cost of raw food, transportation and workforce has substantially increased, along with an increase in the older population. Coupled with inflation and the loss of federal stimulus dollars, the aging services network in the State of New York is facing severe issues and very long waiting lists for services.

Again, under the context of the

Master Plan on Aging, we wanted to ask the

Legislature to really take into consideration

the fact that we have to make sure that the

basement isn't flooded before we can build

the fourth floor. And we really need to look

1	at our current infrastructure, which I
2	outlined in my written testimony.
3	Thank you.
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
5	Project Guardianship.
6	MS. GEORGE: Hello? Hi. Thank you,
7	Chairs Krueger and Weinstein and committee
8	members.
9	My name is Kimberly George. I'm the
10	president and CEO of Project Guardianship.
1	We are a nonprofit organization providing
12	person-centered, court-appointed guardianship
13	services to hundreds of limited-capacity
4	New Yorkers.
15	Our clients are living with
16	disabilities, serious mental illness,
17	dementia, substance misuse disorders,
18	traumatic brain injury, and other conditions
19	that negatively impact their ability to make
20	decisions.
21	We serve clients regardless of their
22	ability to pay and provide services for some
23	of the most compelling and complex cases in

New York. We also share research and policy

recommendations for a better guardianship
system and advocate for more equitable
service provision for people in need of
decision-making supports.

For New Yorkers with limited capacity, the New York Mental Hygiene Law provides for the appointment of a guardian to help manage personal and/or property needs. Guardianship is a critical link between supportive services for New Yorkers whose functional limitations have prevented them from accessing those services. And while New York is fortunate to have strong legal protections that entitle individuals access to guardianship services, this mandate is underfunded, and there's currently no direct funding stream to ensure statutory compliance.

If a family member cannot serve as guardian, nonprofits, county social services districts, and private attorneys must step in to provide services in a patchwork manner.

But the demand for assistance greatly outpaces capacity. In some regions there's

no access to these resources, especially for
low-income individuals with family, without
family, and unable to pay for a private
attorney.

At the same time, our state's older population is growing, becoming more diverse, and increasingly living in poverty. You know the stats, and they're in my written testimony so I won't go through them now.

But because of them, guardianship petitions are on the rise.

Guardianship itself encompasses many services, including legal services, financial management, healthcare coordination, and other vital tasks to promote the overall health, safety, and stability of the individual.

An effective guardian works to prevent institutionalization and supports these populations in their own communities. Not only do these efforts support the local economy by redirecting funds back into the community, but guardianship also saves public dollars by decreasing Medicaid spending on

1	avoidable hospitalizations and
2	institutionalizations.
3	Guardianship services are also
4	critical in achieving the goals of the
5	Master Plan for Aging. With data that
6	clearly reflects the imminent need of growing
7	guardianship, it is crucial that
8	community-based guardianship programs be
9	robustly funded to ensure that New Yorkers
10	are able to access the services that they
11	need.
12	We, along with a coalition of
13	guardianship providers and advocates called
14	Guardianship Access New York, are asking for
15	a \$15 million investment to provide nonprofit
16	community guardianship services to 1,500
17	people annually across the state. This
18	funding would help us provide technical
19	assistance, quality assurance, data
20	collection, and a statewide guardianship
21	prevention and support helpline.
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
23	AARP?
24	MS. FINKEL: Can you hear me? Sorry.

1	Good evening. Thank you so much,
2	Senator Krueger and Assemblymember Weinstein
3	So much of the data and all of you for
4	hanging out with us. I really appreciate it

I know a lot of data has been given.

I appreciate -- many of you have quoted

AARP's data, and I'm very appreciative of

that. As you heard, 65-plus is the fastest

growing, and it grew by 31 percent in the

last decade. We just commissioned a report,

which we will share with all of you -- there

are now more people 65-plus in New York State

than 15 and under. Which is kind of a sad

thing in some ways, and a good thing in other

ways.

But we're here today because we really need to talk about how the budget is going to address this demographic shift. We talked about caregivers; my colleagues have talked about home- and community-based services. We really feel like we need a stable home care system so people can age in place so we can get those savings that were just documented before.

We also want to make sure that we have fair pay, that we make sure that the money that was allocated in the budget that you did last year actually ends up going to the home care workers, because we know it's getting caught up with the insurance companies and it's not getting through. And that's just, I think, appalling to everybody.

We also want to make sure that we relieve the pressure of the home care family members, like all of us -- there's two and a half million of them in New York who are feeling this pressure.

We know that -- Becky talked about the 10,000 waiting list. But we also know that we don't actually have a true reading on that number because there's no transparency. SOFA is supposed to report those numbers every two years, and they haven't. We need to do that so that all of you can decide how the budget should be spent and where, so we can really document where people are waiting for services and what they need.

We need to make sure that we're

1	cost-effective and compassionate about all
2	this home care. We also I want to just
3	hit the LTCOP really quickly. We're asking
4	for 15 million in LTCOP. Again, we know that
5	people have not been going in to visit
6	it's supposed to be one every week, and we
7	know that only 9 percent of the facilities in
8	New York State are getting that one visit a
9	week, which is unconscionable.

matching. I know that came up earlier.

There is over \$4.4 billion of federal funds that are not coming into New York State as an economic stimulus because of SNAP, Medicare Savings Program, and HEAP benefits -- that are federal dollars, for the most part -- and they're not reaching seniors. If they did, there would be as, I said, the 300,000

Medicare Savings Program beneficiaries -- who would, by the way, get on the average \$7,000 back every year in their Social Security checks. And just the economic stimulus from that alone is over \$2 billion.

So I know you're all going to help us

1	in this fight, so I thank you all.
2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
3	We go to Assemblyman Kim.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN KIM: Thank you. It's
5	good to see everyone. Thank you for your
6	patience today. And a couple of quick
7	questions.
8	Rebecca, the I just wanted to
9	simplify the numbers for a minute. So if we
10	put in a dollar toward SOFA programs, we can
11	save, in my calculation, up to \$8 in the
12	back end on Medicaid savings. Does that
13	sound about accurate?
14	MS. PREVE: It does, yes.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN KIM: Okay. And I just
16	I mean, the number is so stunning to me,
17	that and there are between 7 to 10 percent
18	of people on the waiting list that if we
19	don't serve today, they will spend down to
20	poverty level so that they can qualify for
21	Medicaid. So we are systemically fueling
22	older adults into poverty by not fixing this,
23	correct?
24	MS. PREVE: I 100 percent agree. And

I would say and I know I've had this
conversation with you numerous times we
look at prevention in every other system's
infrastructure. Right? We want to make sure
that pregnant moms know about the WIC program
so they can prevent health disparities moving
forward.

We're doing nothing to prevent impoverishing people down to Medicaid. And I can tell you from direct practices -- I used to be a director that did home visits -- if I knew I had 200 people on my waiting list but I had an 85-year-old who really needed home care, I would get them a full trust that week and get them on Medicaid coverage because they needed the care, and that's exactly a backwards system.

And if the state is very concerned about savings overall, we know the efficacy of our programs. Why are we not investing on the front end to make sure we're keeping people in homes and communities, as the master plan outlines, and keeping them off of the Medicaid system so that Medicaid can

1	serve	the	purpo	ose	that	it'	S	been	inte	nded	tc
2	do, to	su]	pport	low	-inco	ome	ol	der :	indiv	idual	s.

as the EISEP non-Medicaid home care services and the problems with reimbursement of wages, it's further exacerbating the problem because if you don't take care of the reimbursements, people will again try to go to Medicaid health care and not wait for SOFA's EISEP program.

So it's urgent, it's incumbent upon us to make sure that we put in funding for the non-Medicaid to make sure that the entire home care universe of older adults are properly serviced.

Gail, you mentioned the ZZ surveillance around LT -- can you just expand on that? I want to give you 30 seconds just to expand on that.

MS. MYERS: Yeah. There is a provision within the Governor's budget that she says is about improving quality, and it changes -- it lays out a system for allowing industry to self-regulate and to say that

1	they have met quality measures, which would
2	allow the Department of Health to extend the
3	frequency of surveillance inspections.
4	And there's no while you need to
5	examine that on its own, if you agree to do
6	that, we really would like you to see the
7	ombudsmen play a role in establishing
8	quality.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
10	To the Senate.
11	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Cordell
12	Cleare.
13	SENATOR CLEARE: I want to thank you
14	all for coming today and hanging around this
15	long.
16	So I just have a couple of questions
17	maybe AARP can answer. You know, I know
18	you're strong supporters of Fair Pay for Home
19	Care, as I am. And if you could tell me wha
20	that looks like for your members. And also,
21	you know, have you seen the crisis getting

worse or getting easier? And also I'll

stop right there.

just -- let me give you -- no, I'm going to

22

23

1	MS. FINKEL: Absolutely. I hear it
2	from everywhere I go. It's probably the
3	number-one call that I get. When I'm out
4	with my own friends and relatives, it's the
5	number-one thing that I hear.

So yes, there is a dearth of home care workers. And it's mostly because they are not getting a decent wage and they can't support their own family. We're not being respectful of the worker, and we're certainly not being respectful of the person who needs the care, is desperate for the care.

MS. MYERS: And if I can, from our patients' rights helpline, the two biggest concerns that we have are on the lack of home care workers, both the people who need to stay at home and for people who want to exit the nursing home and then go back home, and they can't get discharged because they don't have a safe environment.

The second thing, of course, is the quality of care in nursing homes, which increasingly the ombudsman program certainly will help to address.

1	SENATOR CLEARE: The Association for
2	the Aging, if you could just tell me more
3	about the rates for home care workers. We're
4	hearing that some counties are not increasing
5	the funding to agencies to meet the mandated
6	wage. Can you speak to that?

MS. PREVE: What I can tell you -- and I think the commissioner spoke to this earlier -- most of our counties are actually paying well above Medicaid rates. And that's why I really talk about an un-level playing field.

So because our authorizations are traditionally lower than the Medicaid system, we're not as attractive to a licensed home care provider because you're not getting a block of hours at one individual's home.

So again, I surveyed the members last month; we're paying anywhere between 35 and \$40 an hour for personal care services. We were mandated for the \$2 rate increase above the minimum wage benchmark. On October 1st we got no revenue in the State Budget to absorb that. We have no revenue forecasted

1	for the additional \$1.
2	Again, it's an unfunded mandate on the
3	non-Medicaid system for a solution that
4	didn't solve the problem. Because now as you
5	index minimum wage, you're going to see the
6	same thing home care becomes a
7	minimum-wage job once again, in competition
8	with retail, fast food, et cetera.
9	So it's really done nothing to
10	alleviate the long-term problem, which is why
11	we support Fair Pay for Home Care.
12	SENATOR CLEARE: Thank you.
13	And to StateWide Senior Action
14	Council and you can send this answer to
15	me I just need I want a breakdown of
16	the private pay and why it's not a good
17	thing. Why is that something that because
18	it was confusing to me when I heard it, and I
19	heard it again and it's still confusing. And
20	I'd like to get some more clarity, because I
21	think I'm right.
22	(Laughter.)
23	MS. MYERS: I think you're right too.

Let's talk.

1	Just very quickly, just if you look at
2	the EISEP services, EISEP is a sliding scale
3	up to 250 percent of the federal poverty
4	level. At 250 and above, it's 100 percent
5	pay. This proposal would say it's
6	120 percent pay if the county opted into
7	providing it in this particular way.
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
9	Assemblywoman Clark.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CLARK: Thank you.
11	It's been a long day, so I'm going to
12	do some rapid-fire questions so that
13	Can we ever get to once-a-week visits
14	across all our long-term care facilities
15	without the trustful eye of our volunteers?
16	MS. FINKEL: Absolutely not.
17	And that's why we need the \$15 million
18	in the budget for the long term care
19	ombudsman. We can't have what happened in
20	COVID again. We have to have transparency.
21	We have to have advocates looking out for
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CLARK: Thank you. You
23	answered my second question, so I'll move to
24	my third question for you.

1	We get the visits, we understand that.
2	Are we getting clear access to the reports
3	and data on the visits from SOFA, what the
4	visits entail, and if the complaints are
5	resolved?
6	MS. FINKEL: I'm going to have to get
7	back to you on that one, but the complaints
8	do go to DOH.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CLARK: Are you getting,
10	are we as a state getting good access to the
11	data on those visits, on those complaints?
12	MS. FINKEL: I will get back to you.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CLARK: Okay. I think I
14	know the answer, but we will keep working on
15	that.
16	I have some questions on elder abuse.
17	So first and foremost, are we seeing more or
18	less cases of elder abuse right now?
19	MS. PREVE: We have seen an explosion
20	in elder abuse most predominantly,
21	financial exploitation and scams. I think
22	all of you have heard in your district
23	offices of very, very well-rehearsed, very
24	tangible scams that are targeting older

1	individuals.
2	And again, I'm glad you raised this,
3	Assemblymember Clark. When you look at the
4	Executive's proposed budget, there was a
5	\$375,000 cut to Lifespan of Greater
6	Rochester, who host the Statewide Elder Abuse
7	Coalition. And again, when you look at a
8	million dollars being invested into a
9	planning committee for the Master Plan on
10	Aging, and yet you're going to cut the
1	service organization that protects the one in
12	24 older New Yorkers that actually report
13	that they were exploited, it's kind of hard
14	to wrap your mind around that.
15	So, you know, again in my testimony we
16	fully support full implementation of
17	restoring that funding for Lifespan.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CLARK: And these
19	complaints are getting more or less confusing
20	and complicated more?
21	MS. PREVE: Absolutely.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CLARK: Last but not

least, on the prevention piece of this, if we

were to reach more seniors' basic needs, if

23

we were able to take care of them, if we were
able to hand-hold them through some of the
complex issues, would we or would we not save
money in the long run on healthcare?

MS. PREVE: We would save an extraordinary amount of money.

And when I -- every year I come in and talk at these budget hearings, we're talking about the high need, right, that 85-year-old who needs home care? That doesn't take into account the thousands of other older

New Yorkers that we serve through wellness programs and prevention through volunteer activities.

So, you know, again, I would love to be able to talk about the fact that our transportation program gets older individuals to medical appointments, which we know then reduces emergency department visits. We know that 80 percent of home-delivered meal participants self-report that the reason they're able to stay in homes and communities is because of a meal that costs \$7 a day.

So again, we desperately need the

1	investment.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CLARK: Thank you.
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
4	To the Senate.
5	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Tom
6	O'Mara.
7	SENATOR O'MARA: Thank you,
8	Chairwoman.
9	Good evening. Thank you for your
10	testimony here today.
11	I've just got one question, with
12	regards to the change in the I guess the
13	number of ADLs needed for home care. Have
14	any of you received any justification for
15	this change and why they're going about it?
16	Because it seems to me it's going to it's
17	going to cost a lot more money.
18	MS. MYERS: Well, we've seen the
19	Medicaid Redesign Team put this forward as a
20	cost saving for the state. There were not
21	consumers on that panel to be able to say,
22	Here's what happens if you reduce our
23	benefits.
24	In other words, if you're saying

1	the only time you can get home care under
2	Medicaid, under that provision which may
3	soon go into effect would be if you were
4	otherwise eligible to be in a nursing home.
5	And it just flies in the face of good
6	prevention to be able to say some
7	housekeeping, some assistance with bathing,
8	some food services in the home may be able to
9	keep a large number of people off of
10	Medicaid. And also, it keeps them active in
11	their houses of worship and their social
12	programs locally. And that ending isolation
13	is incredibly important in terms of the
14	prevention piece as well.
15	MS. PREVE: And I would just add,
16	Senator, that the changes on the Medicaid
17	side will actually create more customers on

Senator, that the changes on the Medicaid side will actually create more customers on the aging side, because we did not change our triggers for home care services. So we still provide Personal Care Level 1, which is housekeeping, grocery shopping, bill paying. And when that's carved out of the Medicaid benefit because of the ADL requirements, we're going to get customers that come to our

	infrastructure.

2	Additionally, when Medicaid home care
3	puts into effect the 30-month lookback
4	period, people that traditionally would have
5	been able to access Medicaid for home care
6	services will no longer be eligible. And
7	guess where they're going to come? They're
8	going to come to Office for the Aging for the
9	care. So

SENATOR O'MARA: Thank you. Thank you very much. Very concerning.

MS. MYERS: One of the things that -just if I may, one of the things that you did
last year, which was great, was you expanded
the income eligibility for Medicaid.

So some of the most needy people within the Office for Aging services will now become Medicaid-eligible under that expansion. That's a good thing for them getting comprehensive benefits. It's also a good thing to allow more dollars to go to people above the Medicaid level.

But if the ADL piece goes through and they are newly eligible for Medicaid, they're

1	not going to get the services that they had
2	the day before under the EISEP program.
3	SENATOR O'MARA: Thank you all.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
6	Assemblywoman Rajkumar.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN RAJKUMAR: Thank you.
8	My question is about the waiting
9	lists. So there have been calls for an
10	increase in the provision of public
11	information, specifically regarding waiting
12	lists. And according to you, the Association
13	on Aging in New York, over 10,000 seniors in
14	our state who are not Medicaid recipients are
15	waiting for essential services such as adult
16	day care, meal delivery, and transportation.
17	I understand that there's a call for
18	clear information on how many seniors are
19	waiting and what services they are waiting
20	for, as well as requesting a change to
21	reporting on a county-wide level versus a
22	statewide aggregate.
23	So can you tell this legislative panel

what you're seeing on the ground with regards

1	to waiting lists and how it's affecting
2	families?
3	MS. PREVE: So I can tell you that we
4	have roughly about 10,000 people that are
5	waiting for services. It's nuanced, because
6	you might have someone waiting for a meal and
7	personal care services, so you could have
8	duplicate services within that catchment.
9	But I can tell you, just for case
10	management, these would be unique customers.
1	We have 7,713 that are waiting for services
12	today. And what that means is those
13	individuals are seeking alternate ways to get
_4	care, whether that be spend down to
15	Medicaid unfortunately, many times, by the
16	time someone gets to our service network, if
17	they're told you might have a six-month
18	waiting period, the family automatically
19	looks towards assisted living or skilled
20	nursing facility placement out of necessity.

And it also means that specifically regarding home care services, because the home care workforce is in such crisis -- I can tell you I surveyed all of the AAAs:

100	percent	of the	em reporte	ed wa	aıtı	ing .	lısts	
for	personal	care	services	due	to	the	lack	of
dire	ect care	profes	ssionals.					

So this is something, you know, we've talked about at a state perspective. It's also a federal issue; you're seeing a national shortage as well. But we're never going to be able to serve individuals if we don't have funding to do so, but also if we don't have an infrastructure to serve them.

And that's why Fair Pay for Home Care to actually raise these wages to a livable wage, and getting 50 percent of home care workers off public service benefits, is so important for the state, because it's going to save us money long-term.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN RAJKUMAR: Thank you.

And also I'd like to ask you about data matching again, which has already come up. Last month Governor Hochul announced a new data matching policy to help connect recipients of one benefit to other benefits to which they are entitled. And the plan identified families receiving benefits who

1	are eligible for childcare assistance.
2	So do you support basically a similar
3	data matching program for seniors
4	MS. PREVE: Yes.
5	MS. FINKEL: Yes.
6	MS. MYERS: Absolutely.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN RAJKUMAR: to connect
8	them to SNAP, HEAP, and the Medicare Savings
9	Program? I assume you do. But can you speak
10	to how that expansion of data matching would
1	help?
12	MS. FINKEL: Well, we know there are
13	300,000 New Yorkers 65-plus who are now newly
4	eligible for MSP. We also know that there
15	are 200,000 older New Yorkers that are now
16	eligible for SNAP that are not getting it.
17	And we know that there are a lot of people
18	that are struggling out there to pay their
19	utility bill.
20	So when you put all of that together,
21	yes, we know that it would help. Why you
22	would just single out family and children and

leave out older adults is something that we

really can't understand.

23

1	And you saw, when we asked when
2	someone here asked the OTDA commissioner, he
3	said that it's going to be three and a half
4	years. Well, I've been hearing it's going to
5	be five years for the last 20 years.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN RAJKUMAR: Okay.
7	MS. FINKEL: So honestly, I don't
8	understand it. We're making people struggle
9	personally when they don't have to. They
10	could have upwards of seven or eight or nine
11	thousand dollars back in their pocket every
12	year. And
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
14	MS. FINKEL: Sorry.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
16	MS. FINKEL: This is an issue I'm very
17	passionate about.
18	(Laughter.)
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN RAJKUMAR: Thank you for
20	that information.
21	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you,
22	Beth.
23	So we move on to the Senate.
24	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Murray.

1	SENATOR MURRAY: Thank you, Chair.
2	Thank you all for being here in this
3	kind of late hour.
4	I want to touch on something I hear
5	the frustration in your voice, we hear it all
6	the time as far as home care workers and pay.
7	But I want to take it from a different angle.
8	Can you tell me, first, how long does
9	it take to properly train a home care worker
10	and how much investment does it take to do
11	that?
12	MS. PREVE: So you have to become
13	certified through a licensed home care
14	agency. And I can follow up I believe the
15	requirement is a six-week-long in-person
16	training for the personal care worker.
17	And we've really seen a variety. So
18	there's many licensed home care providers
19	throughout the state. Predominantly, they're
20	not-for-profits that absorb the cost of the
21	training because they have to.
22	Specifically in upstate, those
23	licensed home care agencies are also trying
24	to reimburse travel time, which they don't

1	get reimbursement for on the Medicaid side.
2	And specifically in my district, you could
3	drive 50 miles in between cases.
4	So the licensed home care providers
5	are absorbing those costs to get individuals
6	trained, but then what you run into is
7	extensive competition with healthcare.
8	Right? Because the CNA at a nursing home can
9	make much more than a community home care
10	worker can.
11	So it's and then with recruitment
12	and retention bonuses, you also run a risk of
13	then having an aide that's trained who then
14	has to jump agency to agency to get that
15	benefit.
16	So again, you know, the CUNY research

So again, you know, the CUNY research report that came out two years ago clearly outlined that a significant state investment of about 4 billion would reach \$7 billion in return by raising those wages to 150 percent of poverty.

SENATOR MURRAY: And there's also the problem of the quality of care, because I've heard from seniors who they'll get a home

1	care worker, they feel very comfortable with
2	them, they trust them and before you know
3	it, they're gone. And now they have to get
4	to know someone else, maybe they're not as
5	comfortable. You start shifting who you're
6	sending, causing all sorts of problems.

So as much as recruiting is a problem, would you say retention is also as high or higher?

MS. PREVE: Retention is a huge issue. And again, competition with other markets.

And again, we believe everyone should get a living wage. But I find it disheartening that my 16-year-old son works at a local farm and he makes more than a home health aide does for an hour of care where they're giving someone a bath.

And, you know, I think to talk about the home care workforce, these people are so dedicated, they are so in love with the position that they work two or three jobs to support their families because they believe in the cause.

But again, you raise a great point.

L	These are personal relationships. Home care
2	workers are social workers, they're nurses,
3	they're physicians, they're therapists, and
1	they need to be paid in a way that we can
5	retain them and have them part of a
5	long-term-care team.

SENATOR MURRAY: And I'm wondering if something like -- I mean, we have IDAs and we have Economic Development Councils for businesses. Is there something we can do for the workers similar to what we do for EMS and all, where we give maybe retirement credits or something, but somehow thinking outside of the box to offer some sort of a longevity bonus or something to that effect? Would that be helpful?

MS. PREVE: I completely agree. And I think that the model that Director Olsen referenced this morning in the 12 counties that are directly employing aides, we've seen 100 percent retention of those home health aides.

In Putnam County specifically, they had over 80 people on a waiting list. Their

1	waiting list has been cleared for two years,
2	and they have the same aides.
3	SENATOR MURRAY: Wow. That's great.
4	Thank you very much.
5	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
6	Assembly.
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We have no
8	other questioners.
9	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: We have one final
10	questioner, Roxanne Persaud.
11	SENATOR PERSAUD: Good evening,
12	everyone.
13	I just wanted to ask a question to
14	follow up on something Director Olsen said.
15	When asked if because we were saying many
16	agencies and aging reports were saying there
17	are wait lists for home delivery meals,
18	et cetera. And he said that he was not
19	hearing that.
20	But you just said the opposite of what
21	he said. Could you clarify that for me,
22	please?
23	MS. PREVE: I can tell you we have
24	362 people waiting in the State of New York

1	for a home-delivered meal.
2	SENATOR PERSAUD: Thank you.
3	MS. PREVE: You're welcome.
4	MS. MYERS: You know, and in part
5	they're waiting for an assessment, they're
6	waiting for case management to be able to say
7	they've done a home visit, they see that this
8	person is homebound, that they need these
9	services in order for that spigot to start.
10	So you were talking about somebody who
11	was getting emergency home-delivered meals
12	and then it runs out and then they try to get
13	it again and it runs out?
14	SENATOR PERSAUD: No, it's just a
15	person waiting for home delivery.
16	MS. MYERS: Right. Waiting and
17	waiting.
18	SENATOR PERSAUD: The whole process,
19	yes.
20	MS. MYERS: So part of it that
21	connects together with all of the workers
22	that are needed in the aging network are also
23	to make sure that there are enough case
24	managers to do that assessment so that people

1	are getting the services that they have
2	earned after so long living in our community.
3	SENATOR PERSAUD: What's the average
4	time for the 300-plus people who have been
5	waiting?
6	MS. PREVE: It really varies based on
7	your geographic area and population density.
8	So we can absolutely talk offline if you want
9	further information specifically about your
10	constituents.
11	SENATOR PERSAUD: Absolutely.
12	MS. PREVE: But traditionally,
13	especially post-discharge from an acute care
14	facility, we try to start meals within seven
15	days. There are some areas of the state
16	where that's not possible, based on geography
17	and also waiting lists. But it's definitely
18	more prevalent in the New York City region
19	than it is in other parts of the state.
20	But again, when you talk about the raw
21	cost of food, everybody feels this at the
22	grocery store right now. We're feeding, you
23	know, hundreds of thousands of older

New Yorkers, and the food cost has gone up

1	with no revenue to offset it. Gasoline for
2	meal delivery again, a huge expense that
3	we haven't been reimbursed for.
4	So I think you're going to see that
5	waiting list grow if we don't do something to
6	address those ongoing issues.
7	SENATOR PERSAUD: Ongoing.
8	Thank you very much. We'll talk.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you to
10	all the members of this panel.
11	And we're going to now ask Panel C to
12	come: New York State Network for Youth
13	Success; New York State YouthBuild Coalition;
14	Northern Rivers Family of Services; Prevent
15	Child Abuse New York; Council of Family and
16	Child Caring Agencies; and Children's Health
17	Home of Upstate New York.
18	And so that we don't mess up so we
19	get your name correctly on the broadcast, if
20	we can go in that order, starting with
21	New York State Network for Youth Success.
22	MS. MORGAN: Hello. Thank you for
23	allowing me to testify today.

My name's Trudy Morgan, and I'm the

policy director at the New York State Network
for Youth Success. Our goal as a statewide
network is to build an effective and
integrated statewide system of high-quality,
expanded learning programs in New York.

Decades of research shows that after-school and summer expanded learning programs play a critical role in improving student outcomes. Research spanning several states shows that every dollar invested in our school programs saves at least \$3.

In New York, an overwhelming majority of caregivers are satisfied with their child's after-school and summer school experience. It is no surprise that the impact of after-school spans across critical issue areas. For many students and families, after-school goes beyond after-school hours.

After-school provides a safe haven for some, a support system for others, academic empowerment for leadership development or career exploration or youth development.

After-school is integral to students' education. These programs complement what

students learn in school by providing more
time for deeper learning, creative spaces for
hands-on projects, and opportunities to
explore careers.

After-school is also integral to

New York's childcare system. After-school

services, through high-quality school-age

childcare programs, promote stability in

families who rely on them to balance work and

life. That is why any improvements to the

childcare system must include support for

school-age childcare and children.

After-school is integral to youth development. In after-school, children and youth are surrounded by caring adults and mentors that help create a safe space for participants to express themselves, along with a sense of belonging and discovering their passions while fostering an environment that supports healthy development.

Over the last few years New York's after-school programs have experienced many crises, and that's why we have presented a roadmap in this year's budget to reimagine

the system. And among our priorities we ask for your support to reorganize after-school through a \$219 million investment.

And this is a critical year to align the Empire State After-School Program and Advantage After School Program, as both programs follow very similar objectives and benchmarks. Streamlining these programs will mitigate the many barriers providers face when accessing after-school funding.

And as we take this critical step, we must do more and do things differently to ensure that our programs are better resourced, by adopting a higher per-student rate of \$4,300 and engaging the expertise and experience of after-school stakeholders to develop and access program success, and guaranteeing the current base funding for these programs, including the restoration of Advantage by \$5 million.

We also ask that you dedicate 500,000 through the CCDBG grants to support technical assistance for after-school providers that we provide support for as the statewide

1	after-school network. Currently the state
2	does not provide any dedicated funding to
3	support TA for after-school, and this funding
4	can help sustain these programs while helping
5	us to partially pay the statewide landscape
6	analysis for after-school, summer, and
7	expanded learning programs, which is
8	currently lacking and much needed.
9	After-school, summer and expanded
10	learning programs help keep students safe,
11	support working families, and empower youth
12	success. We count on your support to
13	continue these programs.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
15	New York State YouthBuild Coalition.
16	MS. LANDI: Thank you, Senator
17	Krueger, Assemblywoman Weinstein, for the
18	opportunity to provide information on the
19	value of YouthBuild programs in New York
20	State.
21	First, on behalf of the thousands of
22	vulnerable youth we serve, thank you for the

First, on behalf of the thousands of vulnerable youth we serve, thank you for the '22-'23 budget support we received in the amount of \$10 million in ESD Pay for

1	Performance Workforce strategies funding and
2	2.5 million appropriated for the Legislature
3	to fund YouthBuild program wraparound
4	services, such as addressing mental health
5	and substance use issues, addressing
6	community gun violence, housing insecurity,
7	food insecurity, job coaching, resume
8	writing, self-care, proper hygiene, how to
9	dress appropriately, how to speak
10	appropriately, and leadership development
11	all of which have been determined as integral
12	to the outstanding outcomes YouthBuild
13	programs achieve.
14	We are requesting the same level of
15	budget funding that we received in the
16	'23-'24 budget.
17	Recently, YouthBuild participated in
18	an OCFS Workforce Development Demonstration
19	Project. The outcomes from that project
20	clearly indicate the effectiveness of the
21	comprehensive training provided. Those
22	outcomes were:
23	Programs exceeded the number of

required enrollments by 6 percent, serving

1	more than what was expected;
2	Placement rate in a job or a
3	post-secondary education program after
4	completion, 100 percent;
5	HSE and industry-recognized
6	credentials earned, 99 percent;
7	Youth with prior justice system
8	involvement, no rearrests zero recidivism.
9	We're very proud of that.
10	As a point of information, YouthBuild
11	began right here in New York State, in 1978
12	in East Harlem. In 1992 it was replicated by
13	the federal government and expanded across
14	the nation into 44 states. Today there are
15	over 225 YouthBuild programs nationwide.
16	Currently in New York State there are
17	17 programs, located from Long Island to
18	Buffalo. Additionally, we have requests from
19	Hudson, Monticello, Syracuse, and Yonkers,
20	who are seeking to start a YouthBuild
21	program.
22	As you are aware, the aftermath of
23	COVID has exacerbated the inequities facing
24	young people of color. One of the most

1	troubling issues facing these young people is
2	community gun violence. YouthBuild programs
3	across the state have already begun to take
4	the necessary steps to address the issue and
5	its adverse effects on our young people.
6	The highly successful YouthBuild
7	program model. Our efficacy rates indicate
8	YouthBuild has the ability to address the
9	very issues leaders and U.S. state
10	policymakers are grappling with for young
11	people. However, program resources are
12	limited. We need the willingness of the
13	state to continue to invest in our efforts.
14	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
15	MS. LANDI: We must stop reinventing
16	the wheel and keep these youth working
17	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
18	MS. LANDI: toward what they need
19	for success.
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Next, Northern
21	Rivers Family of Services.
22	MR. GETTMAN: Good evening, members of
23	the Legislature and chairs.
24	My name is Bill Gettman. I'm the CEO

L	of Northern Rivers Family of Services. I'm
2	here representing the 18,000 children,
3	adults, and families that we serve in
4	40 counties across New York State.

I'm also here today to talk on behalf of the 1400 employees who work for Northern Rivers -- seven days a week, 24 hours a day -- 25 percent who have a second job, 10 percent who have to have a third job to make ends meet.

I'm here today to talk about while we appreciate the Governor's investment after a decade of poor investments in the areas of mental health and childcare and other areas, we need to go a step further.

First, we need to go ahead with the 8.5 percent COLA that has been advocated by many associations around New York State. Our workers need to hear from the Legislature and the executive branch that they are respected, they are cared for, and the work they do is important to the future of this state.

Our staff take care of families and kids when no one else will do it. Just

1	Friday night, we admitted an 11-year-old who
2	was in the hospital who had no place to go.
3	They ended up at our doorstep, and we
4	admitted them. We will place them in a
5	foster home, and we will get them back to a
6	permanent and safe situation someday.

But you don't do that if 25 percent of your staff have to work a second job, if you have a turnover rate of 30 percent. And I have 105 vacancies today. I have over 1,000 on my waitlist for mental health services and community-based services. We need a trained and experienced workforce to meet those community needs.

Mental health and child welfare are a single item. The Governor's proposal for mental health we applaud. However, in child welfare we provide mental health every single day. And as part of that, we need to correct some of the deficiencies in the Governor's budget related to rate-setting and the underfunding of the childcare agency budgets.

Our rates will end on April 1st this year unless the Legislature takes decisive

1	actions. I don't know how many
2	businesspeople can provide services without
3	knowing what they're going to get paid.
4	However, we have thousands of kids in our
5	care, and we need to improve the
6	rate-setting.
7	We also need to look at prevention.
8	And so I call to your attention the bills in
9	the Legislature to increase primary
10	prevention to 75 percent, and also to the
11	Poverty Agenda that the Governor's task force
12	has worked on. We need to make long-term
13	investments so that we can change the curve
14	and create a better place for kids in this
15	state.
16	Kids are our future. They will take
17	care of all of us. They will take care of
18	our grandchildren. They are the people that
19	are going to care for us, and we need to
20	invest in them now if we want to make
21	New York a great place to live, work, and

So thank you for your time. I applaud you for what will be some tough decisions in

raise a family.

1	the next few weeks, but thank you for your
2	dedication and your service, because you also
3	are heroes.
4	Thank you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
6	Next is Prevent Child Abuse New York,
7	Timothy Hathaway.
8	MR. HATHAWAY: Good afternoon.
9	My name is Tim Hathaway. I'm the
10	executive director with Prevent Child Abuse
11	New York. Thank you to the members of this
12	committee for your dedication this afternoon
13	and throughout this legislative session.
14	Prevent Child Abuse is a training and
15	technical assistance organization serving
16	folks across the State of New York, as well
17	as providing policy and advocacy work.
18	Primarily, we're looking at how do we build
19	strong families in the state. And that work
20	is critical to avoid the downstream impacts
21	that we all know are extremely
22	cost-prohibitive.
23	So our testimony outlines a number of

different priorities. They're bulleted on

1	the first page of my testimony, and I want to
2	just take a couple of minutes and dive into
3	some specifics on a couple.

The first one is around the Child and Family Well-Being Fund. We know that the best solutions to prevent child abuse come at a neighborhood level. The research tells us that. When families are strong, when neighborhoods are strong, kids do better. This fund is aimed at directly that.

How do we get more money directly down to the faith-based communities, the organizations that are operating on a shoestring budget? How do we make sure that they receive a part of the funding that really helps get them to the next level so that they can do what they do best, help families in their neighborhood do this work?

We also want to talk about maternal, infant, and early childhood home visiting.

We applaud the state's investment in

Healthy Families New York. We do have a concern, and we want to urge restoration of the \$200,000 line item for ParentChild+.

1	This is something that was in the budget, has
2	been taken out.
3	We also want to encourage the
4	restoration of \$200,000 for Help Me Grow.
5	You've heard from those folks earlier today.
6	We also are pushing forward this large
7	investment around universal home visiting.
8	We know this model works, we've seen it work
9	in other states. It's an investment in the
10	future of New York and in our children and
1	families.
12	Childcare, we continue to support
13	and love the testimony from our earlier
4	folks after-school programs. We know this
15	is critical to what we do in terms of
16	prevention and building strong families. The
17	work that Mr. Gettman already outlined around
18	75/25 is very important; we urge that.
19	And then relative to housing, the
20	right for counsel bill that will be coming
21	before you folks. Again, strong families
22	need safe housing. They need good housing.
23	And I'll close with that.
2.4	(Laughter.)

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
2	Appreciate it.
3	Next up is the Council of Family and
4	Child Caring Agencies, Kathleen
5	Brady-Stepien.
6	MS. BRADY-STEPIEN: Hello. My name is
7	Kathleen Brady-Stepien. I'm the CEO of
8	COFCCA. We represent the state's child
9	welfare nonprofit organizations.
10	This past year the state took action
11	to diminish funding for foster-care programs
12	and services. It was an unacceptable action
13	that has left our foster-care programs in
14	free fall, unable to plan ahead for their
15	budgets. And still right now, today, these
16	programs do not know what rate they're going
17	to receive from the state as of April 1st to
18	provide care and services for young people in
19	foster care.
20	We are asking for the Legislature's
21	support in ensuring that these programs are
22	fully funded through the end of their current
23	fiscal year, which goes to June 30th, so that

they don't receive a midyear rate reduction,

and also that we invest meaningfully in salaries to support the very hardworking foster-care staff, of which we have a 49 percent turnover rate across the state.

This is a Darling/Brisport proposal that we strongly support.

Human services programs have had to contend with incredibly rising costs, and so we have to not only get to an 8.5 percent COLA to support these programs, but we also have to make sure that those programs that have been left out of this human services COLA in the past are included going forward. And for us, in our world, that's prevention services programs, it's also health home care management supporting children. Let's make sure that they're included going forward also.

And not only do we need the COLA for prevention services programs, but we want to make sure that we invest meaningfully in doing more prevention across the State of New York so that families are kept safely together and are supported in the challenges

that they're experiencing. We strongly
support the proposal, as my colleagues have
said, to raise this up to 75 percent support
for counties around the state to do more
prevention services.

Finally, we have to make sure that we are working together towards policy solutions for really critical challenges ahead of these nonprofit organizations. We strongly support Assemblymember Lunsford's efforts to establish a fund for the Child Victims Act, to ensure that there is justice for victims who are bringing their claims forward.

We also note with concern the inclusion of funding in the Governor's budget for the state's forward direction on submitting a Medicaid waiver. This is relating to residential foster care. And we don't think that this is the best long-term solution for making sure that there is appropriate in-state capacity for those young people who are assessed to need this level of care.

We continue to recommend the provision

1	of state-only Medicaid until such time that
2	there is a federal solution to ensure that
3	children and youth in residential foster care
4	can receive federal funding for their
5	healthcare and their behavioral healthcare.
6	Thank you for the opportunity to
7	testify.
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
9	much.
10	Okay, and our last testifier on this
11	panel, the Children's Health Home of Upstate
12	New York, Nicole Bryl.
13	MS. BRYL: Good evening.
14	My name is Nicole Bryl, and I'm the
15	CEO of the Children's Health Home of Upstate
16	New York, also referred to as CHHUNY.
17	I would like to thank Chairs Krueger
18	and Weinstein and all members of the
19	Legislature for allowing me to provide
20	testimony today.
21	CHHUNY is the largest upstate health
22	home designated to serve children and youth
23	under the age of 21. We serve over
24	13,500 members each month and almost

1	18,000 unique members annually. CHHUNY
2	provides health home care management services
3	in 55 counties and upstate New York through a
4	network of over 90 care management agencies.
5	Our population consists of seriously
6	emotionally disturbed children, medically
7	fragile children, children with multiple
8	chronic conditions, developmentally disabled
9	children, and children who have experienced
10	complex trauma.
11	I want to start by commending

I want to start by commending

Governor Hochul for the significant
investments in mental health services as
proposed in her Executive Budget. With over

75 percent of the children and young people
we serve experiencing at least one mental
health condition, we applaud her
acknowledgment of the dire need to support
this sector.

Today I'm here on behalf of the

1,000-plus individuals that make up our
children's health home care management
workforce and the children and families we
serve. I am here to ask for your support in

1	ensuring that our Children's Health Home
2	Program is specifically identified as an
3	eligible program for the proposed human
4	services COLA, and that the COLA be
5	consistent with the Consumer Price Index,
6	which would equate to 8.5 percent instead of
7	2.5 percent.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Over the last six years, care management services for children have been consolidated under the health home model to streamline and simplify the children's system of care. OMH Targeted Case Management transitioned in 2016, and then six waiver programs previously under OMH, OCFS, and OPWDD state agencies transitioned in 2019.

With these transitions came immense responsibility and new requirements to understand all systems of care for children, truly breaking down silos by consolidating pathways to care and ensuring no wrong door for struggling youth and families.

Unfortunately, over the past six years the state has failed to support our program through these transitions and added

1	responsibility. Since the inception of this
2	program, our rates have increased by only
3	1 percent. Children's health homes were
4	excluded from the 5.4 percent COLA last year,
5	and without your support to explicitly
6	identify our program as eligible in this
7	year's budget, we again will be excluded from
8	a COLA, while our colleagues who serve health
9	home members in OPWDD or the adult Health
10	Home Plus world are benefiting from the COLA
11	the past two years. So due to the
12	bifurcation of this program, and under our
13	DOH oversight, Children's Health Home is
14	consistently left out of funding
15	opportunities.
16	Children's Health Home services are
17	not adult health home services. Our systems

not adult health home services. Our systems are multifaceted, and our care managers are expected to know how to navigate state plan services -- OMH, OCFS, OPWDD, OTDA, State Ed, Early Intervention, managed care waiver, and so much more.

And although the lift is a lot for one person, our care managers are doing it

1	successfully. We are seeing positive
2	outcomes such as increased annual
3	primary-care visits and annual dental visits,
4	increased compliance with metabolic
5	monitoring when a child is on two or more
6	antipsychotic medications, and improving the
7	completion of post-hospitalization outpatient
8	appointments.
9	Thanks.
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
11	So we go to Assemblyman Maher, for
12	three minutes.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN MAHER: Sorry about that.
14	I actually did not have any particular
15	questions at this time.
16	I do plan to reach out to all of you,
17	and just thank you so much for all that you
18	do. Such amazing information you give us,
19	also thank you.
20	MS. BRYL: Thank you.
21	MR. GETTMAN: Thank you.
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate?
23	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Jabari Brisport.
24	SENATOR BRISPORT: Thank you,

1 Madam Chair.

2	And thank you, panelists.	I really
3	appreciated your testimonies.	

I just had a question about public safety. You know, a lot of times in Albany when we discuss public safety the conversation gets framed around policing, prisons, the criminal legal system. But many of the programs that you offer and advocate for seem like they could serve a much more expansive vision of what keeps us safe. So I'm just curious, how could these investments that you're talking about help make our communities more safe?

And this is for everyone. I'm certainly interested in the YouthBuild answer, Northern Rivers, CHHUNY, but it's open to everybody.

MR. GETTMAN: So let me start. We have partnered with the City of Albany and the County of Albany to look at how we can use our prevention staff and our community-based staff to reduce the occurrence or reoccurrence of the violence in

1 the community

And it goes back to what Tim was
talking about: It's about prevention. It's
about housing. It's about having the care
manager in partnership with the family to
create means of a plan for alternatives to
perhaps stress, inappropriate reactions to
conflict.

But it's about investing in our workforce, who is out there every day. So it's the prevention workforce, it's our health home workforce, it's our YouthBuild partners, who do great, great work keeping kids meaningfully employed and then getting them jobs in the future.

MS. LANDI: I would like to address the gun violence issue as well.

When gun violence became prevalent amongst YouthBuild programs, we did not wait to have somebody come in and tell us what we needed to do. We took the bull by the horns, and we went in and we tried to address those issues by providing the preventative care that the young people need.

1	It comes out of their neighborhoods.
2	They come into the program every single day
3	talking about being afraid to walk to the
4	program. So we have staff that will go pick
5	them up to make sure they get there.
6	We are regularly meeting and bringing
7	in workshop leaders to help us with
8	prevention. We work closely with the
9	police department and the sheriff's
10	department.
11	The young people in a YouthBuild
12	program know they're safe there. They aren'

The young people in a YouthBuild program know they're safe there. They aren't safe anywhere else when they leave. So we try to do whatever we can to help them understand what they need to do to be able to cope with the things that are providing them the problems and the issues that they face every single day.

MS. MORGAN: I'll share that for after-school, one of the main benefits for after-school is that it keeps young people safe.

Our research actually shows that the hours between 3 to 6 are the times where

1	young people are most likely to engage in
2	juvenile crime or be victimized by crime.
3	And so we recognize that the after-school
4	hours are a critical part of students'
5	development and also their safety as well.
6	And I'll also share that in addition
7	to this, after-school provides protective
8	factors such as self-management,
9	self-control, and enables them to have the
10	social networks to help them thrive.
1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
12	SENATOR BRISPORT: Thank you.
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
L 4	We go to Assemblyman Ra.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you. Can you
16	hear me? There we go. Sorry about that.
17	So I just wanted to go, Kathleen, with
18	regard to the COLA and all of that stuff.
19	Because I think it's important, but it's
20	technical as to where we are.
21	So you have last year we have this
22	COLA, but it's not in the normal rate-setting
23	that you go through. So we hit the end of
24	our budget year, and now you have a gap

1	between the end of the state budget year and
2	the calendar year or the budget year that
3	you would the providers operate on,
4	correct?
5	MS. BRADY-STEPIEN: Right.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: So there's you
7	don't know what it looks like for that gap
8	between April 1st and, what, June 1st?
9	MS. BRADY-STEPIEN: That's right, yup.
10	So we're six weeks out from April 1st,
11	and we don't know what rate the programs will
12	get. Because of what happened last year,
13	yes.
14	MR. GETTMAN: And we will not take the
15	money back from our workforce. If anything,
16	we need to invest in them. So this is a
17	double whammy.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: But, I mean so
19	really what we need in this budget is, you
20	know, something to plug that gap in that last
21	quarter, correct?
22	MS. BRADY-STEPIEN: Yup, that's right.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: And then a COLA for
24	next year.

1	MS. BRADY-STEPIEN: Yup.
Τ.	MO. DRADI-SIEFIEN. Tup.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: But we have to make
3	sure this all ties together so that we don't
4	at some point go back to a rate-setting that
5	looks at a number from two or three years ago
6	at that point, correct?
7	MS. BRADY-STEPIEN: That's right. And
8	so what we need to accomplish is making sure
9	that the programs have their full funding
10	through the end of the fiscal year to
11	June 30th.
12	Then we have to make sure that the
13	COLA continues forward into the rate so that,
14	as Bill says, you know, nobody is pulling
15	money back from their workforce. In fact,
16	we're here talking with all of you about the
17	need to build on that, right?
18	And so what we have to accomplish
19	together really is making sure that we have
20	the funding not only for right now, but
21	building into the future, so that we can give

these staff the increases that they need.

don't -- I mean, I would look at it this way.

ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Yeah. I mean, if you

22

23

1	If you don't carry a COLA forward years into
2	the future, really it was a one-year bonus,
3	not
4	MS. BRADY-STEPIEN: Right.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: a COLA, correct.
6	MR. GETTMAN: And they will go find
7	another job. Because the message that will
8	be sent is "Your work is not valued. We love
9	you, but we're not going to pay you." They
10	will go find another job, especially if we're
11	being indexing minimum wage. That's one
12	of the harsh realities that our workforce
13	faces.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: All right. Well,
15	thank you, guys.
16	MS. BRADY-STEPIEN: Thank you.
17	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate?
18	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Cordell
19	Cleare.
20	SENATOR CLEARE: Thank you, all of
21	you. I that you took my question. But
22	some of my question, you answered it. But I
23	do want to just thank all of you for the work
24	that you do. It is very important that every

1	child should have after-school.
2	And YouthBuild, I've got to shout you
3	out, because it started in my district,
4	East Harlem. Nina Saxon is a big cheerleader
5	for you over there. And I know how important
6	that work is, not just because it provides
7	something for young people to do, but it also
8	gives them a skill, something that they will
9	make money from and they will be able to live
10	off of. So I support the work that you do a
1	hundred percent.
12	MS. LANDI: Thank you. It supplies
13	affordable housing, too.
_4	SENATOR CLEARE: Absolutely, that
15	part.
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
17	Assembly.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
19	Eachus.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN EACHUS: Thank you very
21	much.
22	And I want to thank all these groups
23	for what they do.

Just to let you know, I had 13 foster

1	brothers and sisters, so
2	MR. GETTMAN: We could reenroll you,
3	if you'd
4	(Laughter.)
5	ASSEMBLYMAN EACHUS: Thanks.
6	But what I would like to say is that
7	earlier in the hearing there were some folks
8	talking about like the GIVE program, if
9	you're familiar with that, and, you know, why
10	isn't it in New York City and all. New York
11	City has a number of programs which are great
12	and hopefully address that. But I want you
13	to know that I taught in the second most
14	violent city in all of New York, and that's

And so I think I'm just going to make an ask of you folks. I hope that you will visit my office at some point in time, come in, talk to me in depth, and let's find out what we really can do to, you know, get you out there. Because I have to honestly say that I have not heard of a few of your

in upstate New York: Newburgh. Okay? And

so we definitely need these programs in

upstate also.

1	programs. I'm unaware of them. Not that I'm
2	supposed to know everything, but I would love
3	to learn more, so
4	MS. LANDI: We just started one in
5	Newburgh last year.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN EACHUS: Okay, great.
7	Thank you.
8	MR. GETTMAN: Thank you.
9	MS. MORGAN: Thank you.
10	MR. GETTMAN: We'll be there.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate?
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I don't believe
13	we do have any other Senators, no.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: And no further
15	Assemblymembers.
16	So thank you all for being here.
17	Thank you for the work that your
18	organizations do for New Yorkers.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Next we have
21	Panel D: New York State Coalition Against
22	Domestic Violence, Empire Justice Center
23	and if you're keeping score, we have a
24	Jessica Radbord couldn't be with us today

1	because of illness, and Emilia Sicilia is
2	replacing her. Then we also have the
3	Schuyler Center for Analysis and Advocacy;
4	SAGE - Advocacy & Services for LGBTQ+ Elders;
5	Supportive Housing Network of New York; and
6	New York Association of Training and
7	Employment.
8	So if you can go in that order,
9	starting with the New York State Coalition
10	Against Domestic Violence.
11	MS. GERHARDT: That would be me.
12	Hello, everyone. Thank you.
13	I'm Joan Gerhardt, director of public
14	policy and advocacy at the New York State
15	Coalition Against Domestic Violence.
16	NYSCADV is recognized by the U.S.
17	Department of Health and Human Services and
18	the U.S. Department of Justice as the
19	information clearinghouse and resource center
20	on domestic violence in the State of
21	New York.
22	Domestic violence advocates are
23	dedicated and passionate professionals.
24	Their work is challenging and requires unique

1	skills and training. It is a 24-hour-a-day,
2	seven-day-a-week obligation, meeting domestic
3	violence survivors where they are at the
4	police station, in the hospital, and in
5	court. We provide crisis services which are
6	often life-stabilizing and lifesaving.

Recognizing this critical need,

domestic violence advocates were included in

New York's pool of essential frontline

workers at the start of the pandemic. And

it's a good thing, because during the

pandemic and even since, the demand for

domestic violence services is incredibly

high. In fact, it's the highest in the

nation. In the nation. On just one day last

year when the national count was conducted,

more than 9100 adult and child victims

received services. Extrapolate that for a

full year -- we're talking about thousands of

New Yorkers in need.

That's why it's so frustrating that far too many domestic violence advocates do not earn a living wage. Their compensation is tied to restrictive contracts with

New York	State which are not sufficiently
funded.	At current salaries, most domestic
violence	staff do not earn enough to afford a
two-bedro	oom rental.

DV advocates were not included in the pool of human service workers who received additional salary, bonuses and COLA adjustments last year. Nor are we included in this year's COLA proposal. These employees are mostly women providing support to other women and children. They should be first on the list to receive a wage increase.

At the beginning of the pandemic a tremendous number of domestic violence staff left their jobs for fear of working in congregate settings. Now thousands of positions are still vacant because domestic violence agencies can't offer a living wage.

NYSCADV urges the Legislature to increase the minimum wage of all domestic violence staff and provide us with a COLA.

I also want to mention our request that the Legislature carry forward a \$14.4 million transfer to OVS for victim

1	service providers, as well as appropriate
2	11.7 million for the 87 providers who
3	received significant cuts in the most recent
4	round of awards. Over the three-year course
5	of those contracts, these legacy providers
6	stand to lose 35.1 million. New York's crime
7	victims will bear the brunt of these costs.
8	These are certainly described more in
9	our written testimony. I will leave it at
10	that. Thank you.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
12	Empire Justice.
13	MS. SICILIA: Hello. My name is
14	Emilia Sicilia, and I'm a managing attorney
15	at the Empire Justice Center. I want to
16	thank Chairs Krueger, Weinstein, the
17	committee chairs, and other members here
18	today.
19	The Empire Justice Center is a
20	nonprofit law firm that seeks to make the law
21	work for all New Yorkers, especially those
22	who need it the most. We teach the law by
23	providing training, support, and technical

assistance; we practice the law by providing

direct civil legal assistance and undertaking impact litigation; and we change the law by engaging in policy analysis, research, and advocacy.

Support for human services has never been more essential. As we work to recover from the pandemic, we must strengthen access to healthcare and other benefits and address the disparities laid bare. We must also prepare thoughtfully for coming changes, including the end of the public health emergency and the expansion of the Medicare Savings Program.

Our written testimony includes more details about the following priorities. For public benefits, the need to increase the basic needs grant amounts, the need to address skimming of public benefits, and the need to expand and improve the rental supplement program.

The need to increase the shelter allowances for households with children.

OTDA has not updated the shelter allowance schedule since 2003, even though rents have

doubled since then. For households without
children, OTDA has not increased the shelter
allowance since 1988, but rents have tripled
in the last 35 years.

The opportunity to support children who have lost parents and family members caring for these children by establishing a kinship legal network pilot program.

The need to increase funding for the Managed Care Consumer Assistance Program -- notably, to be prepared to respond to the expansion of the Medicare Savings Program.

The importance of maintaining funding of \$35 million for OTDA's Emergency Rental Assistance Program, which provides legal representation to low-income renters outside of New York City facing eviction, and where there's no right to counsel.

I'd like to spend the rest of my
allotted time discussing the need to increase
funding for the Disability Advocacy Program,
known as DAP. This year marks the
40th anniversary of DAP, which was created by
this Legislature in 1983. And for four

1	decades, DAP has been providing civil legal
2	assistance to low-income disabled New Yorkers
3	denied or cut off from their federal
4	disability benefits Supplemental Security
5	Income, known as SSI, or SSD, Social Security
6	Disability.
7	DAP services help stabilize people's
8	income, which in turn helps to stabilize
9	health, housing, and quality of life overall.
10	And for every dollar invested in DAP, at
11	least \$2 is generated to the benefit of
12	New York State and local governments in the
13	form of public assistance costs avoided and
14	in money spent in local economies.
15	The disability appeals process is
16	complex and becoming increasingly more
17	challenging. Financial issues, homelessness,
18	inadequate healthcare, and sometimes the very
19	symptoms of the disability often make it
20	exceedingly difficult to gather the evidence
21	needed for a claim.
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
23	MS. SICILIA: Thank you.
24	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Schuyler

1 Center.

MS. HILL: Yeah, hi. Good evening,
and thank you to the chairs and members of
the respective committees for the opportunity
to present our testimony.

My name is Dede Hill, and I'm policy director at the Schuyler Center. For more than 150 years we have been advocating for policies that strengthen and improve public systems that serve low-income and disenfranchised New Yorkers, with a real focus on children and families.

I'll begin with a general observation about this Executive Budget. While it includes some important investments to improve child and family well-being -- absent our key poverty-reducing proposals -- also missing are the significant sustained investments that are truly needed for New York's child-serving systems, which have been chronically underresourced for many years. But there is time and we think real opportunity to craft a final enacted budget that centers New York children and families.

1	First, I want to talk about childcare.
2	We welcome and support the Executive Budget
3	proposals to continue expanding
4	income-eligibility levels for childcare
5	assistance and cutting copays, and the
6	proposals to break down unnecessary and
7	burdensome administrative barriers.
8	However, these expansions will be
9	meaningless if New York does not act quickly
10	to halt the exodus of the workforce. And you
11	have heard this many times already today, but
12	it's worth repeating: What the workforce
13	needs is an investment of \$1 billion this
14	year, and that will support a wage supplement
15	of \$12,500 per member of the workforce.
16	Nothing less will stop the exodus.
17	The Governor has proposed
18	\$389 million. This is a good step, but it is
19	not enough. We need \$1 billion.
20	And further, to be effective, the
21	supplement must continue until New York has
22	transitioned to a new provider-reimbursement
23	model and pay scale. Providers simply cannot

retain staff or recruit new staff with a

1	small	one-time	bump	in	pay

Also missing from the Executive Budget is an expansion of childcare assistance to children currently excluded due solely to their immigration status. Among those children who are excluded currently are many children who are lawfully present. Many of the children who are here seeking asylum are among that group who are excluded. New York should end this exclusion immediately.

Other missed opportunities: New York is overdue to increase the monthly foster-care housing subsidy and index it to inflation. We haven't changed that housing subsidy since 1988.

CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.

17 SAGE?

MR. OKMA: Good evening. My name is $$\operatorname{\mathsf{MJ}}$ Okma, with SAGE.

SAGE has been serving LGBTQ+ and HIV-affected older New Yorkers for over four decades, providing comprehensive social services and community-building programming through a network of LGBTQ+-welcoming older

adult centers across New York City. We are
also the on-site service provider of the
state's first LGBTQ-affirming elder housing
developments and run the statewide SAGE
Veterans Program.

As they age and need more assistance and care, LGBTQ+ and HIV-affected elders have fewer biological family caregivers than older people in general. Because of thin support networks, they are more likely to rely more heavily on community service providers, yet they're often distrustful of providers after facing a long history of stigma and compounding discrimination.

For many of these elders in New York,

SAGE is their lifeline. It is because of
them I'm here today, respectfully asking for
your support in the fiscal year '24 budget
for restoration of our programming. This
includes restoration of 300,000 to support

LGBTQ+ residents and community members in and
around New York State's first

LGBTQ+-welcoming affordable elder housing
developments; a restoration of 150,000 to

1	increase our multilingual programming
2	services; a restoration of fiscal year '22
3	levels of funding of 260,000 for SAGEVets,
4	after receiving a smaller designation last
5	fiscal year; and, finally, a new funding
6	designation of 150,000 to help meet the
7	growing demand for services among
8	HIV-affected older people across the state
9	through the expansion of our SAGEPositive
10	program.

With the support of the New York

Legislature, SAGE has been able to evolve and expand to best address the widespread

disparities facing LGBTQ+ New Yorkers. SAGE has redesigned our program and service delivery models to provide a variety of in-person, virtual, and hybrid options. This helps draw elders in for in-person services while addressing the direct needs of homebound elders, those with mobility challenges, those with caregiving and work responsibilities, and those elders who have health concerns about returning to in-person programs and services.

As noted, SAGE works with prominent developers to directly address the housing insecurity experienced by many LGBTQ+ elders, by building the first LGBTQ+-welcoming affordable elder housing developments. Here we provide on-site services to residents and surrounding community members, including programming, wellness checks, a food pantry, grocery delivery, and coordinated care with other providers. We have also expanded our multilingual programming into Spanish, Mandarin, and Cantonese.

Utilizing state funding and the momentum of the passage of the Restoration of Honor Act, our SAGEVets program helps older LGBTQ+ veterans navigate the VA to get the benefits they deserve, aiding in their overall health and wellness and providing referrals to counsel for discharge status upgrades. SAGE provides this life-changing support while saving New York significant amounts of funding by ensuring veterans access all their federal benefits instead of relying solely on state programs to address

1	their housing and healthcare needs.
2	SAGE respectfully requests for the
3	restoration of our funding to continue this
4	vital work. We also request the new funding
5	designation to replicate the success of the
6	SAGEVets program and similarly provide
7	statewide support for older New Yorkers
8	living with HIV through the expansion of our
9	SAGEPositive program.
10	Thank you so much.
1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
12	Supportive Housing Network of
13	New York.
14	MR. PIASECKI: Hi, everyone. I'm
15	Steve Piasecki, with the Supportive Housing
16	Network of New York. And thank you,
17	Chairs Krueger and Weinstein and all the
18	members of the committee, for this
19	opportunity to thank you for a bunch of other
20	stuff, to start with.
21	The Governor's budget has really got
22	lots of bright new, shiny new things for

supportive housing. I'll focus on the ESSHI

program that we've been working on for the

23

1 past decade or so.

But now, Year 7, I'm happy to report
that (a) the funding for ongoing services and
operations for the existing ESSHI units that
are up and running which are now over 7400
in total meeting the Governor's obligation
for that supportive housing plan, is fully
funded in the OTDA budget. So that is
excellent news for us.

We're happy that the recommitment for the Affordable Housing Fund last year in the HCR budget is present, and that will help us build the next 1400 units worth of supportive housing.

We're also happy for the new initiative around the mental health housing in the OMH budget.

But really what I'm here today to talk about is our legacy programs, or the first generation of supportive housing, that have gone underfunded to an extent that dwarfs imagination -- in particular, the NYSSHP funding program.

NYSSHP, the New York State Supportive

1	Housing Program, has been around for almost
2	30 years. It funds a little bit of services
3	in a lot of different supportive housing
4	programs, about 20,000 units statewide. Half
5	of them are a joint project with New York
6	City DHS, and the other half are spread all
7	around the state. They serve individuals and
8	families at this point. The families were
9	added in 2002.

These programs are funded at about 1/10 of the rate of the funding that the ESSHI program has -- maybe \$2400 to \$3500 per unit per year, as compared with \$25,000 for ESSHI. So we're really looking to fix that. The total price tag to fix it, to be honest with you, would be about a little less than \$150 million.

But we're also looking for a technical fix, as many others are. For some reason, even though this program funds strictly staffing that provide direct services to homeless people in supportive housing, it has never been in the COLA, so it didn't receive the 5.4 percent last year like many of my

1 colleagues have already talked abou
. Colleagues have alleady talked abou

And once again, we really need to have that added as an ongoing program to the COLA legislation that is being proposed this year, and carried forward in the future, as another means to catch up.

We're also advocating for additional funding to support the state's Preservation Plan that was funded last year. It's sort of the same thing -- there is just not enough support for programs that really need to be physically rehabilitated without expanding the services.

Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.

New York Association of Training and Employment.

MS. MACK: Hi. Good evening,
everyone. Let me know if you can't hear me.
Thank you for allowing me the opportunity to
testify again this year. I always appreciate
the chance to share with you what our members
care about.

My name is Melinda Mack. I am the

executive director at NYATEP, which is the statewide workforce development association representing the education, job training and employment providers across New York State.

As I'm sure you are speaking to folks in your districts, you're hearing that people just don't want to work and people should just go out and get a job. I'm here to tell you that over the last decade our labor force participation rate has begun to slide, and it's been happening long before COVID.

And it's predominantly due to retirements, lack of birth rate -- sort of, you know, folks don't have 10 kids, like they used to -- and also the challenges we're having around educational attainment across New York as well as, you know, low-wage work. You're hearing about some of it today. Some of it is grossly underpaid, and in many ways it's something that we absolutely need to rectify if we're going to recruit and retain folks.

In our last four annual State of the Workforce Development reports we basically

1	laid out the fact that the vast majority of
2	jobs in New York are low-wage work. In fact
3	2 million jobs from the top 10 largest
4	occupational groups pay less than a living
5	wage.

In addition to that, when you take those two points between low-wage work and the fact that 36 percent of our population has a high school diploma or less, we understand why we're not getting more folks into good or better jobs.

One of the challenges we have identified as a major hurdle that keeps low-income New Yorkers not able to access economic mobility is the benefits cliff. And the benefits cliff, for those who are unaware, is a sudden or gradual loss of your benefits because you took a job, because you decided to go into an apprenticeship program that gave you a wage, because you are taking a subsidized job training program. A lot of folks make the decision not to participate because they will lose their benefits immediately.

1	Thankfully, both the Governor and the
2	Legislature have put forth proposals to
3	support a six-month income disregard. We are
4	fully supportive of that income disregard and
5	thank the leadership here that has supported
6	that on our behalf.
7	In addition to that, you already heard
8	earlier that the Office of Strategic
9	Workforce Development at Empire State
10	Development has also provided support to many
11	community-based organizations on the ground.
12	This year in the Governor's budget the
13	Governor, despite saying they support
14	workforce, it provided zero dollars. There's
15	no money going to ESD this year for the
16	Office of Strategic Workforce Development.
17	That's a problem because without job
18	training, again, that 36 percent of
19	New Yorkers who have a high school diploma or
20	less will not be accessing good or better
21	jobs.
22	Thank you.
23	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
24	Assemblyman Eachus.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN EACHUS: Thank you again,
2	Ms. Chair.
3	Joan, I'm going to pile on here a
4	little bit of stuff. Your call for an
5	increase in wages and benefits is absolutely
6	important, because domestic violence is
7	generational. It gets passed from one
8	generation down to the next, down to the
9	next. And when you're talking about that,
10	you're sometimes talking about parents to
11	many children.
12	And we've seen this with the increase
13	in teen violence, with relationships, and
14	that's something that we need to stop now, as
15	it may be.
16	I'm going to do a little dirt here and
17	do a little PR for a program that I have
18	locally. It's called FEARLESS. It used to
19	be called formerly Safe Homes. My wife
20	worked for it for years as a volunteer, but
21	they do have professionals that are paid
22	there. But they do function a lot on the

24 And I encourage anybody who can avail

volunteers.

1	themselves to it to see what's called their
2	T-Shirt Project. It is hundreds upon
3	hundreds of T-shirts from folks who were
4	affected by this domestic violence. And you
5	should take the opportunity to view that if
6	you can. Thank you.
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate?
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Jabari
9	Brisport.
10	SENATOR BRISPORT: Thank you,
11	Madam Chair.
12	And thank you all for being here and
13	your testimonies. I have questions for SAGE
14	and for Schuyler Center.
15	For SAGE, I just want to express my
16	gratitude. You do incredible work in my
17	district in Fort Greene. I actually just
18	feel guilty that others don't have a SAGE to
19	help out their LGBTQ+ seniors.
20	I'm just curious, in any of your
21	analysis, if you have a way to share a sense
22	of like the need throughout this state, like
23	if you have any numbers of how many LGBTQ+
24	seniors are not being served at the moment.

1	MR. OKMA: I will say that it's really
2	hard to get adequate data about this
3	population, so there isn't a good number of
4	how much.

We have data that says that there is everywhere from 250,000 to like 800,000 LGBTQ+ elders in New York State. So there's a big disparity. And part of that is we really do need more sexual orientation and gender identity data collected on all places where demographic data's collected, to get a sense of how big this population is.

I will stress, though, specifically with the new funding designation that we're asking for SAGEPositive, is that we do have data that shows that by 2030, 72 percent of New Yorkers living with HIV will be over the age of 50. And quite frankly, the state just is not adequately prepared to make sure that we're serving that population.

SENATOR BRISPORT: Thank you. So we have a long way to go.

And for Schuyler Center, thank you for reiterating the need for workforce support.

1	As you know, and I just want to repeat
2	it for everyone here, the Executive Budget is
3	once again attempting to stabilize the sector
4	by doing using one-time federal funds.
5	And I'm just curious if you've heard in your
6	advocacy from any providers anywhere if
7	they've used this one-time limited infusion
8	to create long-term wage increases.
9	MS. HILL: No, I have not heard that.
10	What I can say is that what we heard
1	from providers who received the first round
12	of stabilization, and the second round, where
13	they had they could use those funds in a
14	real broad variety of ways, they used almost
15	all of it for workforce. I mean, that is the
16	number-one issue. And they've poured it all
L7	into workforce, and they're still losing
18	workforce every day. It's not enough.
19	SENATOR BRISPORT: Thank you.
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Assembly.
21	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
22	Burdick.
23	(Pause.)
24	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Is he here?

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: He left.
2	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I don't see him.
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So the Senate.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Oh, okay. We
5	have Senator Murray.
6	SENATOR MURRAY: Thank you, Chair.
7	And thank you all for being here.
8	I'd like to ask Ms. Mack a couple of
9	things.
10	First, when we talked about the
11	benefit cliff and you talked about holding on
12	to the benefits for six months, we're just
13	looking for a little clarification. Were you
14	talking about the benefits they currently
15	have with the state, or would it be
16	transitioning to the benefits they would have
17	when they go?
18	MS. MACK: Yeah, so the benefit cliff
19	is really around losing your public benefits,
20	so the public assistance that you're already
21	receiving.
22	What we find is that again, even with
23	the Summer Youth Employment Program, it was
24	again the Legislature that really helped us

1	out, because we had young adults who were
2	unwilling to participate in SYEP because, if
3	they did, that couple hundred bucks they'd
4	earn over the summer, it would likely kick
5	their family off of public assistance.
6	It makes no sense for us to keep
7	people poor, especially folks who are trying
8	to do all of the right things to get out of
9	poverty.
10	SENATOR MURRAY: Right. I wanted to
11	talk also about when we talk about the
12	different types of jobs, I've spoken to many
13	manufacturers who are saying their number-one
14	problem it's not taxes, not
15	infrastructure they can't find a trained
16	workforce.
17	Are we investing enough in BOCES,
18	CTE programs, things like this?
19	MS. MACK: Absolutely not.
20	And again, in the Governor's infinite
21	wisdom she opened this new office of
22	Strategic Workforce Investment, but they are
23	providing zero dollars, zero flexible
24	dollars for workforce development this year.

1	So the vast majority of workforce
2	development funding is federal funding that
3	has very specific requirements in terms of
4	how you can spend it.
5	We need to meet people where they're
6	at. Again, everybody who is available to
7	work should be able to get a good job in
8	New York, and we have great programs that are
9	not being attended because people can't cove
10	the cost of their childcare, because they
11	can't cover transportation, they don't have
12	car, they don't have a driver's license.
13	Think of all the places in your
14	district that you need to get to with a car.
15	And I lived in Brooklyn for a while try to
16	get to Kingsborough without a car.
17	(Laughter.)
18	MS. MACK: It will take you the whole
19	day.
20	SENATOR MURRAY: And I was also going
21	to ask, when you mentioned so you
22	mentioned childcare, you talked about the

workforce and reasons that people aren't

getting back into the workforce. How big of

23

1	a problem is the childcare issue?
2	MS. MACK: It's one of the number-one
3	issues. Childcare, transportation,
4	workforce, housing. So, you know, affordable
5	rents, but then also, again, this benefits
6	cliff.
7	The vast majority of people who are
8	underutilized are either utilizing public
9	assistance or have low basic educational
10	skills and often can't step away from the
11	low-wage job they have for job training.
12	SENATOR MURRAY: Thank you very much.
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Assembly.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
15	Burdick.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Thank you.
17	This is a question for Joan Gerhardt.
18	And I first want to apologize for not being
19	here at the time of your testimony, but I did
20	read it.
21	And I'm wondering if you could explain
22	more fully what you have experienced or what
23	agencies have experienced with respect to the
24	loss of funding, these legacy agencies that

1	have been handling victim services for quite
2	some time and I think were just totally
3	shocked that they didn't get the funding
4	levels that they had before actually, at a
5	time when Linda Rosenthal and I thought that
6	we had gotten the money into the budget that
7	we thought would do the trick so that those
8	agencies would not sustain some drastic cuts
9	in funding.
10	What was the outcome? I mean, what

What was the outcome? I mean, what have you heard? Have victims been turned away? Have staff been cut? Can you describe a little bit what those outcomes have been?

MS. GERHARDT: Absolutely, and thank you for the question.

It's been devastating. It's really been devastating for those 87 programs.

We've heard of vacant positions. You know, we've heard of layoffs. We've heard of job postings that have been pulled back because they can't offer the position any longer.

For the legal service organizations that are included in that mix, we've heard that they've been unable to take on new

1	clients because they are ethically required
2	to be able to follow that client through the
3	end of their proceeding. And with those
4	cuts, they're not sure how long those
5	employees will still be in their rolls.

So yeah, it's \$11.7 million to the state's infrastructure, this critical infrastructure of victim service providers.

And like you said, we thought we had dealt with this in last year's budget, but unfortunately, with OVS's recent round of awards for these 87 programs, we didn't resolve anything. So I think it's a dramatic impact.

 $\label{eq:assemblyman burdick: Well, thank you} % \begin{center} \begin{center} \textbf{ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Well, thank you} \end{center} % \begin{center} \begin{center} \textbf{ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Well, thank you} \end{center} % \begin{center} \begin{center} \textbf{ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Well, thank you} \end{center} % \begin{center} \begin{center} \textbf{ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Well, thank you} \end{center} % \begin{center} \begin{center} \textbf{ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Well, thank you} \end{center} % \begin{center} \begin{center} \textbf{ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Well, thank you} \end{center} % \begin{center} \begin{center} \textbf{ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Well, thank you} \end{center} % \begin{center} \begin{center} \textbf{ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Well, thank you} \end{center} % \begin{center} \begin{center} \textbf{ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Well, thank you} \end{center} % \begin{center} \begin{center} \textbf{ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Well, thank you} \end{center} % \begin{center} \begin{center} \textbf{ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Well, thank you} \end{center} % \begin{center} \begin{center} \textbf{ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Well, thank you} \end{center} % \begin{center} \begin{center} \textbf{ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Well, thank you} \end{center} % \begin{center} \begin{center} \textbf{ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Well, thank you} \end{center} % \begin{center} \begin{center} \textbf{ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Well, thank you} \end{center} % \begin{center} \begin{center} \textbf{ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Well, thank you} \end{center} % \begin{center} \begin{center} \textbf{ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Well, thank you} \end{center} % \begin{center} \begin{center} \textbf{ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Well, thank you} \end{center} % \begin{center} \textbf{ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Well,$

And, you know, we will try to see what we can do, because I think that the Executive just wasn't aware. Some may take a cynical view; I don't. I think that they just didn't realize that it wasn't enough to go up by 14.4 million, because the whole process of the competitive bidding that was required by the Comptroller resulted in new agencies --

1	which I'm sure are doing a good job scoring
2	very high for the desert areas.
3	And so we're going to work very hard
4	to try to get the funding in for the legacy
5	agencies.
6	MS. GERHARDT: I appreciate that.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Thanks for your
8	work.
9	MS. GERHARDT: Thank you.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate?
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Excuse me.
13	Senator Jessica Scarcello-Stanton I'm
14	going to get it right, I promise, yes.
15	(Laughter.)
16	SENATOR SCARCELLA-SPANTON: I know,
17	it's a tough name. It's Jessica
18	Scarcella-Spanton.
19	Hi. My question is for you, Joan. I
20	just wanted to thank you for the work that
21	you're doing.
22	I know that incidents of domestic
23	violence have gone up significantly from the
24	pandemic. How are you balancing the uptick

in domestic violence cases?

And I also want to say I think you're doing a really wonderful job highlighting different kinds of abuse. We often talk about physical abuse. I'm happy to see that you're highlighting stalking, emotional abuse — there's all sorts of abuse which you're talking about, which I also imagine results in more cases for you.

So how are you balancing an uptick in caseload with a loss of workforce, especially given that they can't afford it, is the first part of my question.

My second part of my question is I

think domestic violence victims often have a
hard time trusting people. So if a domestic
violence victim is working with a certain
caseworker or an advocate, and then through
that process they lose that advocate, how
does that impact the progression of the case?
Which we know a lot of times victims of
domestic violence tend to take -- I believe
it's eight times before they finally go. So
how does that impact the case?

1	MS. GERHARDT: Great. I don't think
2	we are balancing that difficulty between the
3	increased demand and the loss of the
4	increase in job vacancies. I think it's
5	every program in the state there's
6	100 programs with about 250 facilities that
7	they operate is struggling with this,
8	whether they received cuts or whether they,
9	you know, are maintained. It's a case by
10	case.
11	And I want to point out, too, it's not
12	just the increase in numbers of requests for
13	service, it's the lethality that's connected
14	to those requests. Because what we're
15	hearing as well is not only the increase in
16	number, but they're much more volatile
17	incidents.
18	So I agree with you, it's not just
19	physical violence, but of the individuals who
20	are in that circumstance, the lethality
21	factors are very, very high. So I agree with
22	you there.
23	And I would say we need more help.

We're not balancing it well. And victims are

1	going to be the ones who bear the brunt of
2	that.
3	And I forget your second question, I'm
4	sorry.
5	SENATOR SCARCELLA-SPANTON: Oh, my
6	second question was, you know, if because
7	of the lack of COLA increases, because of the
8	lack of a pay raise, if a victim is working
9	with an advocate I think a lot of times it
10	takes a victim of domestic violence a while
1	to actually trust who they're confiding in
12	and who they're working with. It's like I
13	said before, it can take up to eight times
4	for a victim to leave before they finally
15	actually leave.
16	So if you lose an advocate while
17	you're in the middle of working with a
18	victim, how does that impact the progression
19	of some of your case of your caseloads?
20	MS. GERHARDT: Thank you.
21	Often they won't come back for
22	services. So if they're working closely with
23	an advocate and they've developed a

relationship and that advocate for whatever

1	reason is no longer there, that could be
2	enough for them to say, You know what, I
3	didn't get the assistance I needed, I don't
4	want to start over again.
5	And I think that is one of the issues:
6	We ask survivors over and over and over again
7	to tell their story, which is very
8	re-traumatizing.
9	SENATOR SCARCELLA-SPANTON:
10	Absolutely. Thank you so much.
11	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
12	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
13	No more Assemblymembers. Any
14	Senators?
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay, we have
16	Senator Cordell Cleare.
17	SENATOR CLEARE: Thank you, everyone,
18	for your testimony.
19	I just you know, you all do a
20	fantastic job of talking really fast
21	(Laughter.)
22	SENATOR CLEARE: so I missed a few
23	things a little bit.
24	And SAGE, you know, I'm a fan of your

1	work and you're also in my district, so I
2	feel really lucky to have you there. You
3	said \$300,000 for housing and then I think
4	there's some \$150,000 for HIV-impacted
5	older people. But I missed the other 150.
6	MR. OKMA: Yeah, of course. Thank you
7	so much.
8	The restoration of 150,000 to support
9	our multilingual programs and services.
10	So we currently offer programs and services
11	in English, Spanish, Cantonese, and Mandarin.
12	And the support of the Legislature really
13	helps us provide that, and we'd love to
14	expand for more language options as well.
15	And then the other designation was for
16	our SAGE
17	SENATOR CLEARE: 250,000.
18	MR. OKMA: 260 for SAGEVets. And I
19	will say that that is fiscal year '22 funding
20	levels we saw a reduction last fiscal
21	year, where we got 150,000 and we saw a
22	really big impact in what we were able to do
23	specifically in being able to partner with

more providers and affiliates outside of

1	New York City to make sure that we provide
2	the service.
3	It is the only program that
4	specifically serves LGBTQ+ older veterans,
5	and we would really like to see it restored
6	back to those fiscal year '22 levels.
7	SENATOR CLEARE: Thank you so much.
8	I'm sorry, I don't know your could
9	you tell me about the DAP program?
10	MS. SICILIA: Yes.
11	SENATOR CLEARE: Yeah.
12	MS. SICILIA: Yes. From Empire
13	Justice, Emilia Sicilia.
14	SENATOR CLEARE: I wanted to hear a
15	little bit you went down a little bit and
16	I didn't hear everything.
17	MS. SICILIA: Thank you for I'm
18	happy to talk at length about DAP.
19	(Laughter.)
20	MS. SICILIA: So we in the DAP program
21	are facing many obstacles in helping our
22	clients overcome many of the hurdles that
23	they're facing. And they've been compounded
24	by the pandemic and compounded by the

1	difficulties at the Social Security
2	Administration with severe understaffing that
3	has resulted in a lot of difficulty in our
4	clients accessing those services.
5	So although
6	SENATOR CLEARE: Just tell me what DAP
7	was for, because the {inaudible}
8	MS. SICILIA: Excuse me?
9	SENATOR CLEARE: DAP, what is that?
10	MS. SICILIA: Oh, DAP is the
1	Disability Advocacy Program.
12	SENATOR CLEARE: Oh, okay.
13	MS. SICILIA: So we're assisting
4	individuals who have been denied benefits and
15	representing them in their appeals.
16	And so we are it is a the
17	appeals process is really difficult, lasts a
18	long time. And at this moment we're
19	really we're addressing a lot of
20	COVID-related obstacles due to closures of
21	Social Security. They were closed for two
22	years and reopened with real severe
23	understaffing.
24	And we also are representing many

1	people with Long COVID claims. That's
2	something that is amounting to new
3	challenges, in that it's not very well
4	understood. Those claims present a lot of
5	difficulty also in obtaining the evidence
6	that's required. And again, there's just a
7	lot of access issues for our clients.
8	And so although we've we're really
9	grateful for the executive funding increase
10	last year, we really do need the Legislature
11	to restore the \$3 million add-on in order to
12	continue to meet the current demands that
13	have been compounded presented by the
14	pandemic.
15	SENATOR CLEARE: Thank you.
16	MS. SICILIA: Sure.
17	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay.
18	Senator Rolison.
19	SENATOR ROLISON: Thank you, Chair.
20	This question is for Ms. Gerhardt.
21	There was a time when crime victims
22	were essentially voiceless and I'm going
23	back many years, as a retired police officer
24	of 26 years. And there was the term "crime

victim-centered approach," which we were all
trained in and we needed to really do more
for the victims. And this was through the
entire system. And systems were put in
place, money was allocated, groups got
together, we collaborated, we weren't in
silos because it was about the crime victim.

In the Executive Budget there is additional money for DCJS in a variety of areas that are important. There is a small increase, I think, in the Crime Victim

Compensation Fund, probably -- definitely not enough. But now we're hearing from you, we've heard this from others in other hearings and other testimony, where we're stagnant and we're going backwards in the area of domestic violence and crime victim services.

And speaking with my colleague from the Assembly, I think we're talking a little over \$11 million. Is that the number, what needs to be restored, reallocated, or increased to make sure, as we've heard from all of the members of the Legislature today

1	about the importance of having those
2	advocates, having people you can go to, ever
3	creating places that where every service
4	was brought together so you weren't going
5	from one place to another, because people
6	wouldn't go. Or they'd go to the first step
7	and they wouldn't go to the second or to the
8	third.

I'd like to take the position, too, as my colleague, that maybe this is an oversight. Because if you think about the money that's committed in DCJS and other agencies related to public safety, that's not a whole heck of a lot of money, in my estimation. And I hope that when this budget is debated and people work together to try to create some changes, that will be one of them.

I'd like to be helpful to the extent that I can. But I realize, as a freshman Senator, I won't have probably those opportunities other than to advocate for you. But we're going backwards. We've made a lot of strides, and now here we are in 2023 and

1	it sounds like we're talking about what we
2	were talking about in the '80s and in the
3	early '90s when these changes were made.
4	MS. GERHARDT: You know thank you,
5	Senator. We're doing a lot of talking about
6	public safety and increases in crime rates,
7	and very little about crime victims. Very
8	little about crime victims.
9	SENATOR ROLISON: Right. Because
10	they're there no matter what the crime rate
11	is. Somebody is a victim. And that is the
12	loneliest place in the world to be if you
13	don't have people there to help you through
14	it.
15	I give you all the credit in the world
16	for what you're doing all of you. Thank
17	you.
18	MS. GERHARDT: Thank you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
20	I believe I'm the last Senator.
21	So for Stephen, Supportive Housing.
22	MR. PIASECKI: Yes.
23	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So you described
24	the continuing saga of the older programs

1	getting so little reimbursements instead of
2	the newer ones.
3	So I've been telling people for years,
4	just don't do the older contracts. Move your
5	resources to the newer contracts. Trust me,
6	the state will come up with the money rather
7	than have nobody provide those programs.
8	Because it's ridiculous that you've been
9	strung along like this forever and that we
10	don't make progress on this. So that's just
11	my advocacy position.
12	Shelter allowance. Seriously, they're
13	paying you almost less than a little more
14	than half of what they're paying the newer
15	contracts. You're supposed to be doing the
16	same services for
17	MR. PIASECKI: It's 10 percent.
18	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Pardon me?
19	MR. PIASECKI: Ten percent of what is
20	in the newest contracts.
21	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Far less.
22	So, you know, the punch line is
23	apparently we think you can keep doing it

because you keep doing it. So stop doing it.

1	MR. PIASECKI: Well, some of
2	there's been some erosion. There's certainly
3	erosion in the physical plants of many of the
4	early supportive housing buildings.
5	And that's why last year you guys were
6	gracious enough to create the Preservation
7	Program.
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Because you know
9	what, we're desperate for supportive housing.
10	MR. PIASECKI: Yeah.
11	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: No one's letting
12	those really go. You just have to we're
13	playing a game of chicken, and if I'm the
14	government in this conversation, and we're
15	winning. And that's wrong, in my opinion.
16	Shelter allowance also. How many
17	families might not be evicted every year in
18	New York State if we just adjusted the
19	shelter allowance, even just for inflation?
20	Does anybody do you have any
21	calculations on that?
22	MS. SICILIA: I would be happy to
23	bring that back to my colleagues who prepared
24	our written testimony on that point and be in

1	touch with you with the specifics.
2	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Because I'm
3	almost going to guarantee we are spending so
4	much more money on the emergency rents in
5	assorted programs that we're paying because
6	we just won't pay a realistic shelter
7	allowance.
8	So it's but I would like you to
9	MS. SICILIA: We'd agree with you.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: get back to me
11	with any data you have.
12	And on domestic violence, you talked
13	about the number the demand going up so
14	much during the pandemic and post-pandemic.
15	Are you also seeing growth in the number of
16	victims of sex trafficking coming to you for
17	help? And are they eligible for the same
18	kinds of services that your other
19	MS. GERHARDT: Yes. So that the pool
20	of service providers that I've been talking
21	about includes providers of services for
22	trafficking victims.
23	I'd have to give you some more you
24	know, go back and research if there's been a

1	bump in the number of trafficking survivors
2	that have been provided assistance. I'm
3	happy to do that.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Great. I
5	appreciate it.
6	And thank you all for the very hard
7	work you do.
8	Thank you.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
10	Thank you for being here with us. And I want
11	to echo the thank you for the work you do to
12	help New Yorkers.
13	MR. OKMA: Thanks.
14	MS. GERHARDT: Thanks.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Our last, our
16	final panel, Panel E, Hudson Valley National
17	Center for Veteran Reintegrating, and
18	New York State Council of Veterans
19	Organizations.
20	(Pause.)
21	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So sometimes we
22	say we save the best for last. Thank you
23	both for staying with us throughout this day.
24	And we can go first with

I HUUSON VALLEY	1	Hudson Valle
-----------------	---	--------------

MR. WALTERS: Thank you. My name is
Gavin Walters. Thank you, Senate and
Assembly members, for allowing me to sit here
and represent our military community.

I sit here on behalf of the

Ulster County Dwyer Program, which is under

the Hudson Valley National Center for Veteran

Reintegration, and have the great privilege

of sitting here as the facilitator of the

New York State Dwyer Coalition, also known as

the Joseph P. Dwyer Veteran Peer Support

Project, which is officially a state program.

2022 was a tremendous year for the
PFC Joseph Dwyer Program because it was
expanded to every county in New York State.
This will allow every veteran and their
family to become connected to veteran peers.

The Dwyer program has allowed servicemembers to feel equally represented, because time, duty, and length of service do not matter when you put on the uniform. If you're homeless, let's pay for a day or two for a hotel. If you enjoy the outdoors,

well, let us take a hike or camp out. Equine
Encounters, Mindfulness, and groups help
continue the camaraderie that we experienced
in the service. That is what the Dwyer
counties represent, and that is what was
brought to every county in New York State.

Now, for every Dwyer county to be represented, we knew a united front and a collective body were needed. In December 2020, the New York State Dwyer Coalition, under the Hudson Valley National Center for Veteran Reintegration, was created. We have been meeting once a month to discuss challenges that we have seen in our communities. Guest speakers as well as representatives from our New York State Assembly and Senate sit at the table and hear our voices. Our open and well-represented meetings give our community a large voice.

With the support and leadership of OMH, DVS, the Dwyer Evaluation Team, and the Governor's Office, the Dwyer Coalition uses its voice to help with the collaboration to assist new counties to develop their

programs. The collaboration amongst these departments reflects the unity that was created within the Dwyer Coalition.

Our importance for being at any table means that we are being represented collectively, as one voice, when issues may bother us or challenges might affect us. For each of the counties that house a Dwyer program, representation is very important, because every Dwyer program in their respective county is supposed to service their community uniquely and with 100 percent autonomy. Those two caveats have allowed every program to help an armed forces member that received a dishonorable or honorable discharge.

Collectively, many Dwyer programs have shared the concern of the program never receiving an increase in funding since its enactment in 2012. The Dwyer program has evolved into peers transporting veterans, assisting with food insecurities, advocating for housing, and many more. With inflation and the cost of living increasing, some

1	programs have been able to offset the
2	additional cost with fundraising and
3	community generosity, which sometimes doesn't
4	cover the cost of many services.
5	Sitting here as a Jamaican-born
6	immigrant that honorably served in the
7	U.S. Air Force and now who is a disabled
8	veteran with invisible wounds fighting for a
9	service connection, I understand the
10	advocacy. That is why funding the
1	Dwyer Coalition and an increase in funding
12	for Dwyer programs would positively impact
13	each county.
14	Thank you for your time.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
16	Kirby?
17	MR. HANNAN: Well, don't be fooled by
18	Gavin's title, Hudson Valley National Center
19	for Veteran Reintegration. That's his job,
20	okay? But what he does with Dwyer is he's
21	got at least 30 to 40 counties that are
22	participating on a weekly basis on a

monthly basis in his furthering of --

{cellphone interruption}.

23

1	Yeah, I know. Don't worry about it.
2	You know, it has to happen. I apologize.
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Okay.
4	MR. HANNAN: And what he's done is
5	he's brought the Dwyer program to a brand-new
6	level. It went from 30 counties to
7	60 counties. Thank you so much, okay.
8	And what is Dwyer doing now? Every
9	peer-to-peer situation is something that's
10	happening at a post level. You don't walk
11	into a brick building and say, I'm here to
12	get peer-to-peer mentoring. No, it's
13	something that happens through a veteran's
14	service officer, it's something that happens
15	in a whole variety of ways.
16	So first of all, thank you, Gavin, for
17	bringing this. We've been talking about this
18	for about eight years. And some folks here
19	recognized the Dwyer program eight years ago
20	in four counties and I won't mention what
21	counties they were. But nonetheless, Dwyer
22	has now migrated to the whole state.
23	Terrific. All right?
24	Having said that, at every county

there's a veteran service officer, there's
county veteran service officers, there's VFW
veteran service officers, there's DAV veterar
service officers, AMVET veteran service
officers, and Legion veteran service
officers. The funding was just increased for
them to about \$25,000, the state's share.

But where we're going from here is keep an eye on the veterans service officer, because not only does that person perform a claims function -- and you heard from DVS earlier today -- but that person is also a leader, a veteran leader in their community.

So when we talk about veteran service officers, you've got to understand that that's also an integral part of Dwyer's peer-to-peer program. So keep in mind funding for that.

Finally, Veterans Treatment Court and the Veterans Defense Program. Okay, you've got two pieces of that. Veterans Treatment Court is mostly for misdemeanors, all right?

I'm going to say that the Veterans Defense Program is largely felony level, all right?

1	And the Veterans Treatment Courts need
2	monitors. So funding for monitors. If
3	any that's found in different places in
4	the budget, but not a lot of money.
5	Okay, funding for Veterans Treatment
6	Court monitors is incredibly important,
7	because they're all volunteers. We've heard
8	about volunteers today. So when you see an
9	opportunity to increase the funding for
10	Veterans Treatment Court monitors, seize on
11	it, because it's saving the state money.
12	And then, finally, the Veterans
13	Defense Program, that's the last stop for a
14	veteran who gets himself in serious trouble
15	with the law. And they have been the
16	Veterans Defense Program has been very, very
17	successful in pleading those down, using
18	educating the court system and using the
19	jargon in a way in which the court can
20	understand it so that they know what kind of
21	a veteran they're dealing with.
22	That's it. That's all I got.
23	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank
24	MR. HANNAN: I do have one more thing

1	come to think of it.
2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Go ahead.
3	MR. HANNAN: I have to give you guys,
4	everybody up there, the Hard Ass Award.
5	(Laughter.)
6	MR. HANNAN: Yeah.
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: How'd you know?
8	(Laughter.)
9	MR. HANNAN: Seriously.
10	(Laughter.)
11	MR. HANNAN: You've heard it before
12	but, you know, you people are just fantastic.
13	And thank you very much.
14	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: We'll take it.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yeah, we'll
16	take it.
17	We go to Assemblyman Ra.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you two very
19	much for your patience today, and certainly
20	for your service and serving our veterans.
21	So Mr. Walters, quickly, I mean, I
22	think it's so great to hear from somebody
23	like you that the state has now invested to
24	grow this program. And it takes people like

1	you to, you know, make this program great.
2	And that's why we know it's always worked is
3	because of the people who've walked in those
4	same shoes that are working with our
5	veterans.
6	But what have you seen in those
7	counties that are, you know, putting this
8	into effect for the first time as a result of
9	the funding last year? Is there just a
10	growing need to continue investing in this?
11	I would think there is.
12	MR. WALTERS: Investing financially or
13	just investing
14	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Financially and
15	otherwise.
16	MR. WALTERS: Definitely financially,
17	yes. Time, yes.
18	I sit with the individuals from OMH,
19	DVS, as well as the Dwyer Evaluation Team,
20	where we sit and discuss what can be done
21	regarding the counties that's trying to
22	figure out what to do if they're a different
23	process because every county is unique,

every county is different. So each county

1	has their own, you know, challenges or, you
2	know, questions about, okay, what should I
3	do, how can I run this, you know, who can I
4	hire. You know, it goes through a different
5	process. So it's an ongoing thing.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

But financially, absolutely. One of the things that, you know, I sit here is because the Dwyer program has not been -since its enactment about 10 years ago, it has not been increased. So just from the program's deliverables of people doing things like going and helping individuals with groceries or sitting with someone at the store or whatever it is, you know, the service has increased just from the peer-to-peer, but just -- you know, they've actually turned into like case managers, but without being case managers. Advocates. You know, speaking with someone at 2 o'clock in the morning, where I've taken a phone call from someone suicidal.

Those are things that we've done beyond, you know, just our office hours or just beyond what we have to do.

1	But absolutely, the need for
2	financial you know, a monetary gain would
3	be great for a lot of the programs.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Great. Thank you.
5	And Mr. Hannan, I don't know if you
6	were here earlier, but when we were talking
7	to the director
8	MR. HANNAN: DVS?
9	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Yes. We mentioned
10	the grant program that was in the \$5 million
11	in grants that was put in for veterans
12	service organizations.
13	MR. HANNAN: That's for infrastructure
14	improvements and the like.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Yeah, in last year's
16	budget.
17	And the number of applicants seemed to
18	be very low. So are local veterans
19	organizations aware of this? I just
20	MR. HANNAN: You just asked my
21	favorite question.
22	You know, the problem as I see it
23	and, you know, I'm outspoken, and I apologize
24	for that. But DVS is treating it as a

1	procurement program, not a grant program. So
2	if it's a procurement program, they can't
3	answer any questions.
4	Have you ever navigated that grants
5	gateway? I have. It's a bear. It's
6	incredibly counterintuitive.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you.
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
9	To the Senate.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
11	(Slowly.) Senator Jessica
12	Scarcella-Spanton.
13	SENATOR SCARCELLA-SPANTON: Ooh, very
14	good. Thank you.
15	(Laughter, applause.)
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I have been
17	making mistakes with her name all day.
18	That's why we're laughing.
19	SENATOR SCARCELLA-SPANTON: It is
20	it's tough.
21	But Kirby, Gavin, I just wanted to
22	thank you both so much for being here today
23	and being patient and making us laugh at the
24	end of the day also.

1	(Laughter.)
2	SENATOR SCARCELLA-SPANTON: But the
3	Assemblyman asked the question I was going to
4	ask is, you know, how is that \$5 million
5	that's not being allocated in the
6	Executive Budget going to affect you?
7	And I also wanted to ask if the if
8	it's sufficient, the amount of peer-to-peer
9	funding that you have to include all the
10	counties this year if it's enough, if you
11	need more. I just wanted to I know it's a
12	very, very important program, so I want to
13	make sure that it's being appropriately
14	funded.
15	MR. HANNAN: Well, it's different
16	things for different people. Gavin said it,
17	all right? So, you know, you have to look at
18	it in terms of one county maybe is adequately
19	funded and another county has obviously
20	significantly more needs. Rural versus
21	urban, et cetera. Transportation versus
22	homeless, you know. So all of that is going
23	on.

I can't say whether more funding needs

1	to my testimony says that Dwyer ought to
2	be allowed to evaluate this in a grassroots
3	way with SUNY, and that at the end of some
4	budget period you need to take a second look
5	at, okay, where do we allocate our funds.
6	Because I think that it's a very
7	important question, and I don't I think
8	that there's not a clear-cut answer for it.
9	Certainly I don't have one.
10	SENATOR SCARCELLA-SPANTON: And that
11	makes sense. If you're in an area that is
12	more densely populated with veterans, whether
13	it's, you know, if you're near a VA, or if
14	you're up in Fort Drum, and maybe that's just
15	the area that they tend to gravitate to, they
16	may need more funding than an area who
17	doesn't have as many veterans.
18	So it gives you the opportunity to
19	have more flexibility with how you spend that
20	money. Is that correct?
21	MR. HANNAN: Well, yes.

And I think a lot of people don't

realize that Dwyer is actually -- a lot of

people think that it's connected with DVS.

22

23

1	It's really not. OMH contracts with Dwyer,
2	with the county OMH as well as the county
3	Division of Veteran Services, and that's how
4	they figure out what CBOs and what
5	not-for-profits are out there that can
6	provide some of the services that are
7	specific.
8	Do me a favor, don't listen me. Just
9	Google "WHY6Vet." WHY, 6, Vet.
10	MR. WALTERS: We Have Your 6. It's
11	called "We Have Your 6." It's an
12	organization that helps veterans in need that
13	are suicidal and just going through the
14	issues that so many of us, you know, have
15	experienced.
16	But they are one of those
17	organizations that's out there that have been
18	helping many veterans. But it's WHY6, but
19	it's We Have Your 6.
20	MR. HANNAN: And for your information,
21	because I've heard several people asking
22	about New York City and certainly
23	Staten Island, Senator. And DVS just
24	New York City, just issued how they're going

1	to administer Dwyer for New York City. So
2	it's and if anybody wants it, just give me
3	a shout and I'll
4	SENATOR SCARCELLA-SPANTON: I want it.
5	(Laughter.)
6	MR. HANNAN: Okay. All right,
7	Senator.
8	SENATOR SCARCELLA-SPANTON: Thank you
9	so much.
10	MR. HANNAN: You bet. Okay.
1	SENATOR SCARCELLA-SPANTON: I
12	appreciate it.
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
15	Eachus.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN EACHUS: There we go.
17	Thank you.
18	Thank you for your service, and thank
19	you for helping out vets. It's very
20	important.
21	Just recently when I was home, one of
22	the complaints I heard from a member of the
23	posts has to do with brick and mortar, and
2.4	that is the fact that there really are no

1	funds out there that are available for posts
2	to fix their facilities unless they spend the
3	money first.
4	And many of them told me: You know
5	what, if we had the money to spend, I
6	wouldn't go through all the paperwork to try
7	to get grants from New York State to work on
8	these buildings.
9	MR. HANNAN: Let me let me correct
10	you on something.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN EACHUS: Sure.
12	MR. HANNAN: The way DVS has worked it
13	out, and the way I know DASNY, Dormitory
14	Authority of the State of New York, has
15	actually interacted on this issue is that
16	they've got a method by which they can
17	reimburse the contractor.
18	So the 5 million that we were talking
19	about before for infrastructure improvements,
20	that can actually be contracted for with the
21	contractor, and then DASNY will take over and
22	execute the contract and will actually pay.

So you don't have to spend the money first.

That was the case a while back, in the

23

1	last round of I'll call them crest
2	fundings but not this time.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN EACHUS: Well, I thank you
4	for that. I deal with a number of folks that
5	are even older than myself, and maybe they
6	remember from those points in time.
7	Gavin, I just wanted to ask you real
8	quickly, how does the Dwyer program work with
9	the county service officers?
10	MR. WALTERS: So depending on which
11	county you go to, you know, it might be
12	different.
13	I could speak about Ulster County. We
14	have a great relationship with Mark Cozzupoli
15	at the Ulster VSA, where we will meet
16	actually, last year we met once a month just
17	to speak about the veterans in the community,
18	the veterans that are being impacted, such as
19	the ones that were homeless or just had some
20	type of need of services. But we always will
21	work with one another.
22	We have luncheons that we'll actually
23	sit at different tables together to share

each other's resources. If a veteran -- we

1	know a lot of servicemembers will not go to
2	the VSA. So when they do actually come to
3	our office, we'll actually speak to them and
4	say, Hey, you know, have you gotten your
5	service connection? Or what do you know
6	about benefits? And we'll actually escort
7	them to there, speak to them collectively and
8	say, Hey, this is the person that you should
9	speak to regarding service connection, and
10	then come back to our office and then see
11	what you want to do.
12	So that relationship really blends,

So that relationship really blends, you know, when you work really well with your VSA.

ASSEMBLYMAN EACHUS: That's great to hear.

The final thing that I would say is you mentioned about OMH. It is my hope that OMH is working very closely with you. Because when you have a veteran that calls up and has an immediate, serious problem, there should be somebody in OMH, a professional -- I mean, thank you for what you do, thank you for all the volunteers and so on like that,

1	but there should be a professional also
2	available to answer the questions and help
3	that particular
4	MR. WALTERS: They actually sit at our
5	coalition meetings monthly. So they sit at
6	our meetings, and anytime we have an issue or
7	anything like that, they actually will
8	address us and speak to us. So their
9	relationship is really close to us now.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN EACHUS: Good.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Tom
13	O'Mara.
14	SENATOR O'MARA: Thank you, gentlemen,
15	for the great work you do day in and day out
16	for our veterans.
17	I think, as we've heard testimony
18	throughout the day today, kind of the state's
19	attention to veteran services is woeful and
20	could be a heck of a lot better. But you're
21	doing a great job with what you have.
22	Can you comment at all, are we making
23	any progress in the treatment of
24	post-traumatic stress and how that fits into

1	the whole equation of our mental health and,
2	frankly, addiction issues of so many
3	veterans? What kind of progress is being
4	made there?
5	MR. WALTERS: Well, I think the
6	biggest hurdle is the reintegration. Because
7	we know once someone's in service and once
8	they get out is two different attitudes.
9	Because we'll see someone when they're in the
10	most harsh situation.
11	And because there's not that piece of,
12	like, connecting them right before they get
13	to our county, then it becomes that challenge
14	of trying to reach out to them and say, Hey,
15	how you doing, what's going on, are you
16	experiencing any issues?
17	And we know the young veterans will
18	not reach out to anybody unless until, you
19	know, they need to get somewhere. But the
20	older veterans, we do know that they will
21	you know, they're more willing to reach out
22	to someone. If we go to the VA or wherever,
23	they'll be willing to speak to us.
24	But the younger veterans are the ones

that we're always trying to reach out to,

because they're the ones that's usually not

willing because -- as a young veteran that

came out myself, that didn't have any service

connections, just got -- you know, just

was I'll say booted out, I didn't want to

speak to anybody because I didn't feel like I

qualified, as well as I didn't think anybody

understood.

It took a long time until another veteran talked to me and said, Hey, Gavin, you might qualify for some VA services, speak to someone. And that connection with another peer actually connected me. And that's what usually happens with a lot of the veterans.

So just for the PTSD, or just speaking to someone with that, it's about the reintegration part where we actually -- if we just speak to somebody if like when they -- you know, if we knew that they were in combat, if we knew that they were deployed somewhere and we were able to talk to them right before they got -- came back to the States, that would be a big impact.

That would be so good that we could say, Hey, we know that you're going through something, come to our office. Or, listen, if you're not able to come to our office, we'll talk to you, we'll talk to your family -- or educate their families, which is the biggest component where eight, nine times out of 10, it's the families that's reaching

out to us.

So if we could educate the family members to say, Hey, listen, if you know your sister, brother, cousin, or whoever is in this situation, these are the tools that you should have. Have a number that's on text or speed dial that you could just reach out to somebody while you're comforting that person in the corner and they're crying. Listen, don't make them feel like they're, you know, isolated or anything like that, just give them the necessary tools to understand that they're not alone, and then reach out to that person or that friend that they might have that could get connected to them.

So it's an interesting process. It

1	just depends on where you might who you
2	might speak to, who you might go to. But
3	there's no real good answer for that. I just
4	say I always go back to the reintegration
5	part, where if we can actually speak to
6	someone when they leave service, that will be
7	the biggest component to actually dealing
8	with that.
9	SENATOR O'MARA: Thank you.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: We do have
11	Senator, I believe, Ashby. Senator Ashby.
12	SENATOR ASHBY: Thank you,
13	Madam Chair.
14	Gavin, Kirby, thanks for being here
15	today. Thanks for your testimony. Thanks
16	for all the work you do every day.
17	The Dwyer program in Ulster is it
18	growing?
19	MR. WALTERS: Yes, it's growing. With
20	the funds and with the individuals and the
21	individuals that see what we're doing, it is
22	absolutely growing.
23	SENATOR ASHBY: And the other members
24	across the state in your program, are their

1	Dwyer programs growing as well in terms of
2	participation?
3	MR. WALTERS: I believe so, yes.
4	SENATOR ASHBY: And when you look at
5	the increase that we saw in this
6	Executive Budget, do you think it's
7	commensurate with the growth that we're
8	seeing in Dwyer throughout the state? Or do
9	you think it should be more?
10	MR. WALTERS: It should be more,
11	absolutely.
12	The 7.7 million was a great impact
13	because it actually gave every county a Dwyer
14	program, which was huge. But it didn't cover
15	what the Dwyer programs were actually doing
16	in the counties.
17	Like for us, I'm the actually only
18	full-time individual in the county, and then
19	everybody else is part-time. And then we
20	have one person part-time on Monday and
21	another person three days part-time, and then
22	one two days part-time. And it's all around.
23	But then when you have a veteran
24	that's in crisis, I'm usually the one that

1	would actually default to that. And then if
2	someone's in crisis at nighttime, I'll be the
3	one that will default to that.

So the burden will actually default to the program managers, anyone in that full-time role, to really do -- you know, interact with someone. Where if we had individuals to really cover a lot of this stuff, it will be a big impact.

SENATOR ASHBY: Yeah.

MR. WALTERS: And a lot of our funds even go to paying for hotel fees and stuff like that. So it's through fundraising that we actually -- that we can pay for a hotel for our veteran.

SENATOR ASHBY: Right.

And when you see how much the

Dwyer program has grown over the years and

the effectiveness that it's had, you know, at

this point, with the division being elevated

to an agency, it gives us the opportunity -
more of an opportunity, I believe, to make it

a permanent part of the budget. You know, so

that way, you know, we don't have to come

1 back and ask for this.

And I think the other programs that

Kirby had mentioned, the legal funding -- and
reintegration really as a whole, right, we
have the opportunity to take, you know, a

50,000-foot-level perspective on this and
really mold this.

So I really hope that you're in contact with Director DeCohen on this and some of the other people that made this program -- made this, you know, elevation happen behind the scenes. Because we do have a real opportunity here, and I hope that the Governor hears what you have to say on this.

MR. HANNAN: Senator, you asked if the program is growing, and I believe it is. I don't think we have specific statistics that can point to that.

But women are getting left behind right now because they don't go to the post to engage in peer-to-peer-type activities on a regular basis. So if you're expecting this program to grow, I think you have to take a look at women veterans. They're 17 percent

1	of the veteran population right now, okay?
2	But hey, you know, I'm part of the
3	veteran population that at sixty at
4	79 years old trying to give myself a break
5	there you know, I got eight years of life
6	expectancy. So what's going to happen to
7	that veteran population? It's going to rise
8	to at least 25 percent women.
9	And what we need to do now is start to
10	plan so that women veterans have the type of
11	peer-to-peer counseling activities that make
12	sense childcare, women's health, all of
13	those things. Because this is just the tip
14	of the iceberg in terms of the changing
15	dynamic.
16	SENATOR ASHBY: Thank you.
17	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
18	One more Senator, Cordell Cleare.
19	SENATOR CLEARE: My questions were
20	mostly answered.
21	First of all, thank you so much for
22	your service. Thank you for hanging in there
23	with us today. You get an award, too.
24	(Laughter.)

1	SENATOR CLEARE: This is the first one
2	I've ever gotten.
3	But I just wondered, is there a place
4	where all the posts are compiled? Lists of
5	posts. Are they registered in one place?
6	MR. HANNAN: Yes.
7	MR. WALTERS: Yeah.
8	SENATOR CLEARE: I would like to know
9	all the posts in my district.
10	MR. HANNAN: That's yet another thing.
11	I'll send you the DVS New York City
12	information. And I'll send there are not
13	enough posts in New York City, but I'll send
14	you the posts in New York City.
15	SENATOR CLEARE: Right. Right.
16	I'd like to know which ones are there
17	and where the need might be. I'm interested.
18	So thank you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So I think the
20	Senate is closed.
21	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thanks.
22	So I want to thank you both for being
23	here, sticking with us till the end. And
24	MR. WALTERS: Would it be okay if I

1	submit some other information? Because I
2	that I've brought to you guys as well on your
3	email?
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Sure. You
5	know, if you have anything you want to add,
6	you can send make sure to send information
7	to myself and Senator Krueger's office, and
8	we will make sure it's distributed to all the
9	members.
10	In fact, anybody can submit
11	information regarding before the hearing
12	ends, people can submit testimony. So we may
13	have some other written materials that have
14	been submitted, but we'll make sure that it's
15	distributed to all of the members of the
16	respective committees.
17	I want to thank you for the work you
18	do and staying with us till the end of this
19	hearing.
20	MR. WALTERS: Thank you.
21	MR. HANNAN: Thank you.
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So the
23	Human Services hearing is now over.
24	We ask you to join us, if you're

1	listening, and colleagues, tomorrow morning
2	at 9:30, when we will have the
3	Environmental Conservation hearing.
4	The hearing is adjourned.
5	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
6	(Whereupon, the budget hearing
7	concluded at 7:09 p.m.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	