1	BEFORE THE NEW YORK STATE SENATE FINANCE AND ASSEMBLY WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEES
2	
3	JOINT LEGISLATIVE HEARING
4	In the Matter of the 2023-2024 EXECUTIVE BUDGET ON
5	WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
6	
7	Hooming Doom D
8	Hearing Room B Legislative Office Building Albany, New York
9	March 1, 2023
10	3:36 p.m.
11	
12	PRESIDING:
13	Senator Liz Krueger Chair, Senate Finance Committee
14	
15	Assemblywoman Helene E. Weinstein Chair, Assembly Ways & Means Committee
16	PRESENT:
17	Senator Jack Martins
18	Senator back martins Senate Finance Committee (Acting RM)
19	Assemblyman Edward P. Ra
20	Assembly Ways & Means Committee (RM)
21	Senator Jessica Ramos Chair, Senate Committee on Labor
22	Assemblywoman Latoya Joyner
23	Chair, Assembly Committee on Labor
24	Senator Robert Jackson Chair, Senate Committee on Civil Service and Pensions

1		Executive Budget Development
2	3-1-23	-
3	PRESENT:	(Continued)
4		Assemblywoman Stacey Pheffer Amato Chair, Assembly Committee on
5		Governmental Employees
6		Senator Steven D. Rhoads
7		Assemblyman Phil Steck
8		Assemblyman Joe DeStefano
9		Senator Shelley Mayer
10		Assemblyman Harry Bronson
11		Assemblywoman Jo Anne Simon
12		Senator Alexis Weik
13		Assemblyman Jonathan G. Jacobson
14		Assemblyman Billy Jones
15		Assemblywoman Nikki Lucas
16		Assemblyman Michael Durso
17		Assemblywoman Jodi Giglio
18		Assemblyman Robert Smullen
19		Senator Michelle Hinchey
20		Assemblywoman Rebecca A. Seawright
21		Assemblyman Juan Ardila
22		Assemblywoman Donna A. Lupardo
23		Assemblyman Matt Slater
24		Assemblywoman Gina L. Sillitti

1		Executive Budge	et		
2	Workforce 3-1-23	Development			
3	PRESENT:	(Continued)			
4		Assemblyman Bri	ian Manktelow		
5					
6					
7		LIST	OF SPEAKERS		
7				STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
8				SIAIEMENI	QOESTIONS
Ü	Roberta Re	eardon			
9	Commission	ner			
	Department	of Labor			
10	-and-				
	Timothy Ho				
11	Commission				
12	NYS Depart				
12	-and				
13		. Volforte			
	Director				
14	NYS Office	e of			
	Employee	Relations		11	32
15					
	Edward Far	=			
16	Executive				
17	Associat:	ublic Employees			
Ι/	-and				
18	Barbara Za				
	President	-			
19	Organizat	ion of NYS Manag	gement		
		tial Employees	(OMCE)		
20	-and-				
	Joshua H.				
21		ve Director			
22	CSEA Loca.	l 1000, AFSCME			
<i>L L</i>	-and- Randi DiAr				
23	Vice Presi				
		c Employees			
24	Federatio			169	183

1	2023-2024 Executive Budget Workforce Development		
2	3-1-23		
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS,	Continued	
4		STATEMENT	QUESTION
5	James A. Parrott, Ph.D. Director of Economic and		
6	Fiscal Policies Center for New York City		
7	Affairs at The New School -and-		
8	Paul K. Sonn State Policy Program		
9	Director National Employment Law		
10	Project -and-		
11	Hugh Baran Attorney		
12	Kakalec Law LLC		
13	EmPIRE Coalition	221	232
14	Tal Frieden Campaign Coordinator		
15	for Raise Up NY ALIGN		
16	-and- Angeles Solis		
17	Director of Worker Organizing		
18	-and-		
19	Lucas Sanchez Co-Executive Director		
20	New York Communities for Change -and-		
21	Adam Flint Director, Clean Energy Programs		
22	Network for a Sustainable Tomorrow (NEST)		
23	Convener, NYS Climate Education		
24	and Clean Energy Careers Working Group	257	270

	CHAIRWOMAN	KRUEGER:	I love	that	my
budget	hearing in	troduction	n says "	good	
morning	r." T thin	k we'll sh	kip that	part	_

But I do want to invite the first panel of commissioners to come up to the table, please. And that would be, in case you forgot who you were, Roberta Reardon, Timothy Hogues, and Michael Volforte. So that would be Department of Labor, State Department of Civil Service, and Governor's Office of Employee Relations, if you'd all come up to the table. Thank you.

Okay, let's just get rolling. Okay, so let's try good afternoon, everyone. I'm Liz Krueger, chair of the New York State Senate Finance Committee, and I'm cochairing today's budget hearing with my colleague and friend Helene Weinstein, from Assembly Ways and Means. This is the last of 13 hearings conducted by the joint fiscal committees of the Legislature regarding the Governor's proposed budget for fiscal year '23-'24.

These hearings are conducted pursuant to the New York State Constitution and

tape. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Safety. Safety. CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: We're holding the building together. Thank you. (Laughter.) CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Today the Senate Finance Committee and Assembly Ways and Mean Committee will hear testimony concerning the Governor's proposed budget for the New York State Department of Labor, the New York State Department of Civil Service, and the New York State Governor's Office of Employee Relations. Following each person's testimony and that's 10 minutes each, for you three there will be some time for questions from		
ONIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Duct tape. CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay, fine, duct tape. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Safety. Safety. CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: We're holding the building together. Thank you. (Laughter.) CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Today the Senate Finance Committee and Assembly Ways and Mean Committee will hear testimony concerning the Governor's proposed budget for the New York State Department of Labor, the New York State Department of Civil Service, and the New York State Governor's Office of Employee Relations. Following each person's testimony — and that's 10 minutes each, for you three — there will be some time for questions from the chairs of the fiscal committees and other	1	Legislative Law. What's that noise I'm
CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay, fine, duct tape. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Safety. Safety. CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: We're holding th building together. Thank you. (Laughter.) CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Today the Senate Finance Committee and Assembly Ways and Mean Committee will hear testimony concerning the Governor's proposed budget for the New York State Department of Labor, the New York Stat Department of Civil Service, and the New Yor State Governor's Office of Employee Relations. Following each person's testimony and that's 10 minutes each, for you three there will be some time for questions from the chairs of the fiscal committees and other	2	hearing?
5 tape. 6 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Safety. 7 Safety. 8 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: We're holding the building together. Thank you. 10 (Laughter.) 11 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Today the Senate Finance Committee and Assembly Ways and Mean Committee will hear testimony concerning the Governor's proposed budget for the New York State Department of Labor, the New York State Department of Civil Service, and the New York State Governor's Office of Employee Relations. 19 Following each person's testimony and that's 10 minutes each, for you three there will be some time for questions from the chairs of the fiscal committees and other	3	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Duct tape.
OUNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Safety. Safety. CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: We're holding the building together. Thank you. (Laughter.) CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Today the Senate Finance Committee and Assembly Ways and Mean Committee will hear testimony concerning the Governor's proposed budget for the New York State Department of Labor, the New York State Department of Civil Service, and the New York State Governor's Office of Employee Relations. Following each person's testimony — and that's 10 minutes each, for you three — there will be some time for questions from the chairs of the fiscal committees and other	4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay, fine, duct
CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: We're holding the building together. Thank you. (Laughter.) CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Today the Senate Finance Committee and Assembly Ways and Mean Committee will hear testimony concerning the Governor's proposed budget for the New York State Department of Labor, the New York State Department of Civil Service, and the New York State Governor's Office of Employee Relations. Following each person's testimony and that's 10 minutes each, for you three there will be some time for questions from the chairs of the fiscal committees and other	5	tape.
CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: We're holding the building together. Thank you. (Laughter.) CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Today the Senate Finance Committee and Assembly Ways and Mean Committee will hear testimony concerning the Governor's proposed budget for the New York State Department of Labor, the New York State Department of Civil Service, and the New York State Governor's Office of Employee Relations. Following each person's testimony and that's 10 minutes each, for you three there will be some time for questions from the chairs of the fiscal committees and other	6	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Safety.
building together. Thank you. (Laughter.) CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Today the Senate Finance Committee and Assembly Ways and Mean Committee will hear testimony concerning the Governor's proposed budget for the New York State Department of Labor, the New York Stat Department of Civil Service, and the New Yor State Governor's Office of Employee Relations. Following each person's testimony and that's 10 minutes each, for you three there will be some time for questions from the chairs of the fiscal committees and other	7	Safety.
10 (Laughter.) CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Today the Senate 12 Finance Committee and Assembly Ways and Mean 13 Committee will hear testimony concerning the 14 Governor's proposed budget for the New York 15 State Department of Labor, the New York Stat 16 Department of Civil Service, and the New Yor 17 State Governor's Office of Employee 18 Relations. 19 Following each person's testimony 20 and that's 10 minutes each, for you three 21 there will be some time for questions from 22 the chairs of the fiscal committees and other	8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: We're holding the
11 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Today the Senate 12 Finance Committee and Assembly Ways and Mean 13 Committee will hear testimony concerning the 14 Governor's proposed budget for the New York 15 State Department of Labor, the New York Stat 16 Department of Civil Service, and the New Yor 17 State Governor's Office of Employee 18 Relations. 19 Following each person's testimony 20 and that's 10 minutes each, for you three 21 there will be some time for questions from 22 the chairs of the fiscal committees and other	9	building together. Thank you.
Finance Committee and Assembly Ways and Mean Committee will hear testimony concerning the Governor's proposed budget for the New York State Department of Labor, the New York Stat Department of Civil Service, and the New Yor State Governor's Office of Employee Relations. Following each person's testimony and that's 10 minutes each, for you three there will be some time for questions from the chairs of the fiscal committees and other	10	(Laughter.)
Committee will hear testimony concerning the Governor's proposed budget for the New York State Department of Labor, the New York State Department of Civil Service, and the New York State Governor's Office of Employee Relations. Following each person's testimony and that's 10 minutes each, for you three there will be some time for questions from the chairs of the fiscal committees and other	11	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Today the Senate
Governor's proposed budget for the New York State Department of Labor, the New York State Department of Civil Service, and the New York State Governor's Office of Employee Relations. Following each person's testimony and that's 10 minutes each, for you three there will be some time for questions from the chairs of the fiscal committees and other	12	Finance Committee and Assembly Ways and Means
State Department of Labor, the New York State Department of Civil Service, and the New York State Governor's Office of Employee Relations. Following each person's testimony and that's 10 minutes each, for you three there will be some time for questions from the chairs of the fiscal committees and other	13	Committee will hear testimony concerning the
Department of Civil Service, and the New Yor State Governor's Office of Employee Relations. Following each person's testimony and that's 10 minutes each, for you three there will be some time for questions from the chairs of the fiscal committees and other	14	Governor's proposed budget for the New York
State Governor's Office of Employee Relations. Following each person's testimony and that's 10 minutes each, for you three there will be some time for questions from the chairs of the fiscal committees and other	15	State Department of Labor, the New York State
18 Relations. 19 Following each person's testimony 20 and that's 10 minutes each, for you three 21 there will be some time for questions from 22 the chairs of the fiscal committees and other	16	Department of Civil Service, and the New York
Following each person's testimony and that's 10 minutes each, for you three there will be some time for questions from the chairs of the fiscal committees and other	17	State Governor's Office of Employee
and that's 10 minutes each, for you three there will be some time for questions from the chairs of the fiscal committees and other	18	Relations.
there will be some time for questions from the chairs of the fiscal committees and other	19	Following each person's testimony
the chairs of the fiscal committees and othe	20	and that's 10 minutes each, for you three
	21	there will be some time for questions from
23 related committees.	22	the chairs of the fiscal committees and other
	23	related committees.

I will now introduce members of the

1	Senate, and Assemblymember Helene Weinstein
2	will introduce members of the Assembly. I'm
3	just quickly taking a look to see who's
4	joined us. I see Senator Ramos, the chair of
5	Labor, Senator Shelley Mayer, Senator Jack
6	Martins, whose double role today is the
7	temporary ranker of Finance as well as the
8	ranker of Labor? Of Labor, excellent.
9	And I'm going to turn it over to
10	Helene to introduce Assemblymembers.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
12	We have been joined by Assemblywoman
13	Joyner, chair of our Labor Committee;
14	Assemblywoman Pheffer Amato, chair of
15	Government Employees; Assemblyman Jacobson,
16	Assemblywoman Seawright, Assemblywoman Simon,
17	Assemblywoman Zinerman.
18	And Assemblymember Ra, would you
19	please our ranker, would you please
20	introduce your colleagues?
21	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you.
22	Good afternoon. We're joined by
23	Assemblyman DeStefano, our ranker on
24	Government Employees, and Assemblymembers

1	Giglio, Durso and Smullen.
2	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And I apologize,
3	I forgot to introduce Senator Weik, who is
4	the ranker for the Civil Service Committee.
5	I think now I have all the Senators.
6	I'm going to just go through some of
7	the basic rules we have for all hearings.
8	Sometimes they're actually followed. Our
9	governmental witnesses get 10 minutes each to
10	testify. Our nongovernmental witnesses get
11	three minutes each.
12	For questions, Chairs Weinstein and
13	Krueger and the relevant chairs of the
14	committees get 10 minutes to ask questions of
15	the government reps and three-minute
16	follow-ups. Rankers get five minutes, no
17	second round. All other members get three
18	minutes, no second round.
19	Then when we move to the
20	nongovernmental panels, everyone's equal. We
21	only get three minutes to ask you questions
22	and to get the answers.
23	It's important to highlight and

this is for the legislators in the room --

when you have a clock in front of you and you know you have three minutes or five minutes, if you ask a question that takes two minutes and 15 seconds or 4 minutes and 59 seconds, you give your panel guests no time to answer it. That can be a problem.

You can say, This is too technical a question, I hope you'll get back to us. And the answer is yes, please do get back to us in writing. If you send the letters to Helene and myself, we will make sure that they are sent to all members of the relevant committees. So regardless of who asked you the question, we'll all get the answer. And we appreciate that.

Okay, so please note the time limits do apply to the question and the answer in total. When you see a clock, one minute before your time is up there will be a yellow light and a beep or -- a sound that goes off to let you know.

Okay, I don't know that this will be an issue today, but we'll see. So no PowerPoint presentations, no placards, no

1	signs,	no	public	demonstrations	are	allowed
2	during	the	e hearir	ng.		

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And with that, I would like to -- oh, Helene has some additions.

CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: I just want to acknowledge Assemblyman Bronson has joined us also.

CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Great, thank you.

Okay. With that, I think we'll just take you in the order you are on the agenda: Commissioner Reardon first, Commissioner Hogues second, and Michael Volforte, the director of the Governor's Office of Employee Relations. So you each get 10 minutes. You may have much more detailed legislation {sic}; highlight your bullet points, you only have 10 minutes. And yes, every person who has submitted testimony for this hearing, whether they're testifying or not, that is available on the Senate website. So you can look it up, legislators can look it up, and 20 million New Yorkers can look it up if they like. So we're trying to cut down on the number of trees we kill each hearing this

1	year.
2	Okay. And after everybody has
3	testified, then we will be able to start the
4	questions.
5	So Senator Reardon Senator Reardon?
6	(Laughter.)
7	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: How about I
8	don't know, it's good, it's bad, it depends
9	on the day. Commissioner Reardon.
10	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I've been
11	elevated. Thank you.
12	Chairs Krueger and Weinstein,
13	distinguished members of the committees,
14	thank you for this opportunity to talk about
15	Governor Hochul's Executive Budget and to
16	update you on our efforts at the Department
17	of Labor.
18	I am Roberta Reardon. I'm the
19	commissioner of the New York State Department
20	of Labor. Our mission is transforming
21	New York's world of work, which includes
22	protecting workers, providing support to the
23	unemployed, helping businesses thrive, and

guiding New Yorkers into careers they love.

1	Our work is critically important to
2	New York State, and I am proud of the
3	milestones that we have achieved. When the
4	pandemic hit, businesses statewide were
5	shuttered, everyone was home. New Yorkers
6	were desperately searching for relief for
7	their families. It was clear that our first
8	priority was to ensure that New Yorkers
9	received their Unemployment Insurance
10	benefits quickly so they could feed and house
11	their families.

The pandemic did not defeat us. We overcame the hurdles, and I am so proud of not only what we've become, but what we are evolving into to better serve New Yorkers.

There were many teachable moments during the pandemic, and we did not let a single one go to waste.

Perhaps the greatest challenge we faced during the crisis was new and novel forms of unemployment identity fraud. What we experienced during the pandemic was extremely unusual and unlike anything seen before in our government's history. There is

a lack of understanding about what we were up against at the time, and I want to clear up any misconceptions.

We, as a state, were a victim of unemployment identity fraud. Like every state in the union, we were battered by international cybercriminals. All systems nationwide were under attack. This fraud was so sophisticated that it literally tricked every system in the country.

Throughout the course of the pandemic, the department distributed over \$105 billion in unemployment benefits to support nearly 5 million New Yorkers. We have identified \$4 billion in unemployment fraud stolen by criminals during that time. To be clear, any fraud is unacceptable. But I want to give you more perspective about that figure. It represents about 3.8 percent of what we paid in total to support New Yorkers.

We have since implemented many safeguards to protect our systems, including multifactor authentication, ID.me, cross-matching, and other measures to enhance

security for our customers and prevent future
fraud. We also continue to aggressively
pursue criminals to claw back stolen funds,
including half a billion dollars already
today. By today, not today.

While the pandemic was a turbulent time, I am proud of the work the department did to help New York families survive. We worked around the clock, side by side in the trenches during one of the most uncertain moments in U.S. history. I remain forever grateful to my colleagues for their dedication and commitment to helping their fellow New Yorkers. I also want to thank all of you and your staff for working so closely with us during the pandemic. We simply could not have done it alone, and we appreciate your offices helping us during such a challenging time.

And while this was a pivotal chapter, it is not the end of our story. This crisis does not define us as an agency. We are so much more than that. We have turned the page. We are focused on the next chapter,

1	and I know that it is one with a promising
2	future. Thanks to the leadership of Governor
3	Hochul, and in partnership with the
4	Legislature, the Department of Labor has
5	harnessed the pandemic experience to
6	transform ourselves into a well-oiled, more
7	efficient, and better-prepared agency. And
8	we are doing this with our core mission, to
9	protect workers, at the forefront of our
10	minds.

In 2022, our Worker Protection and Labor Standards teams oversaw wage theft investigations that recovered \$25 million in wages for nearly 18,000 workers. Over the last decade, the team has recovered and dispersed around \$360 million in stolen wages.

To help New Yorkers report labor violations and apply for permits, we launched a new case management system that will provide updates in real-time. The system will also allow us to collect data, enhancing our ability to identify and respond to trends.

1	Ensuring workers receive a fair day's
2	pay for a fair day's work is a core tenet
3	that guides our work, which is why I approved
4	the Farm Laborers Wage Board's recommendation
5	to lower the overtime threshold for
6	farmworkers from 60 hours to 40 over a
7	10-year period. The department also recently
8	oversaw a minimum wage increase to \$14.20 an
9	hour for all upstate workers.

But we can do more. We fully support Governor Hochul's plan to index minimum wage to inflation. The plan will help low-wage New Yorkers meet the rising cost of living.

We continue to prioritize workforce development and find innovative ways to provide training opportunities and connect New Yorkers to careers they love. An important part of this is meeting people where they are -- and these days, they're online. And more than 200,000 New Yorkers utilized our virtual job services in 2022 alone.

Last year we expanded our cutting-edge Virtual Career Center to all New Yorkers. The free platform caters the experience to
each customer's individual needs and allows
them to browse more than 250,000 job
postings.

Businesses are also seeking rapid online solutions to help fill jobs, a need being met by our Virtual Career Fairs. These events are connecting businesses and jobseekers virtually in real-time.

Registered Apprenticeships continue to give New Yorkers the skills they need to thrive in the economy. In November, we celebrated the inaugural New York State Apprenticeship Month, which highlighted more than 900 critical programs across the state. Registered Apprenticeships are paying big dividends for businesses and New Yorkers, which is why we continue to expand these programs.

New York is currently undertaking an ambitious effort to address climate change.

The clean energy sector is a game-changer for our planet and for New York's economy. The department is helping to connect New Yorkers

to green job opportunities by also ensuring this burgeoning sector benefits all communities equitably.

And of course we remain focused on assisting unemployed New Yorkers while also keeping our systems secure. We're more than halfway into our four-year modernization plan that will result in a completely new UI management system, optimized to create a more seamless and secure user experience.

While we wholeheartedly welcome these technological advancements, we are also implementing other immediate improvements to our programs. We are focused on reaching more New Yorkers and have ramped up language access. A great example of this was the launch of our Spanish Facebook and Twitter accounts. We also expanded our online virtual assistant, Perkins, to speak in 13 languages and to perform several tasks that previously required speaking to an agent.

None of these changes would be possible without the steadfast support of

Governor Hochul. She continues to ensure the department can modernize to provide the resources New Yorkers and businesses need to participate and thrive in today's economy.

To further Governor Hochul's commitment to a transparent and open government, the department held hearings to receive public input on the state's newly strengthened sexual harassment model policy, and on the impact of COVID-19 on women in the workforce and the gender wage gap. That report will be released in just a few weeks.

The department is working smarter, but there is always room for improvement. If we are to continue to keep our systems protected, we must evolve with the times. And you, our legislative partners, have played and continue to play critical roles in our efforts. By investing continuously in modernization, we will ensure that our systems remain more efficient, easier to use, and safer than ever before for all

Thank you.

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
2	Next, Commissioner Hogues.
3	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: Thank you.
4	Good afternoon, Chairs Krueger and
5	Weinstein and distinguished members of the
6	Senate Finance and Assembly Ways and Means
7	committees. My name is Timothy Hogues, and
8	it is my privilege to serve as the
9	commissioner of the Department of
10	Civil Service and the president of the
11	Civil Service Commission.
12	I appreciate the opportunity to appear
13	before you to comment on Governor Hochul's
14	Executive Budget for fiscal year 2024, as
15	well as to highlight some of our key
16	accomplishments over the past year.
17	During my short tenure as commissioner
18	I have been struck by the dedication and
19	unwavering commitment of New York State's
20	public workforce. State workers provide
21	critical functions from transportation to
22	public safety to healthcare that make the
23	Empire State a great place to live, work and
24	play.

New York's public-sector workforce has proven its dedication time and time again and is always on the frontlines through public emergencies, whether it be the COVID-19 pandemic or storm preparations and recoveries.

However, New York's workforce over recent years has experienced a reduction in size which has been especially evident in lower-level direct care and healthcare positions. This year I am pleased to report that Governor Hochul's proposed budget will continue to provide healthcare and mental hygiene worker bonuses to incentivize and reward direct care frontline state employees through FY 2024, and provide critical investments to reinforce careers and public services across several agencies as part of our larger efforts to rebuild the state's workforce.

The department is also implementing a

Hiring for Emergency Limited Placement

program to address the statewide workforce in

critical health and safety titles. The HELP

1	program will temporarily waive the
2	civil service exam requirement for
3	approximately 100 direct-care, health and
4	safety titles across state government for a
5	12-month period and address staffing
6	emergencies now.

In addition, after hearing concerns raised by the New York State Association of Counties and the New York Conference of Mayors, the department's also implementing a similar program, the Local HELP program, to allow local civil service agencies to address the emergency staffing crises that they are also facing across health and safety positions.

The department also recently

transitioned some of our largest and most

popular civil service examinations to an

online format, allowing individuals to

complete the exams wherever they have access

to a computer. These exams are also open

over multiple weeks, increasing accessibility

to interested candidates. Utilizing this

format, the department tested nearly 30,000

candidates for nearly 200 job types in 2022.

Further, the department continues to engage with agency partners to implement pay increases and salary differentials for a variety of titles, to improve recruitment and retention efforts. As part of these efforts, the department completed a major restructuring of nursing titles last year that resulted in upgrades for most titles and higher starting salaries and salary differentials.

Top of mind of all the efforts the department undertakes is ensuring diversity, inclusion, and equal opportunity are at the forefront of all statewide initiatives.

Under Governor Hochul's guidance and leadership, and in partnership with the state chief diversity officer, chief disability officer and each individual state agency, the department is committed to building and sustaining a workforce and advancing programs and services that reflect the many unique faces, voices, backgrounds and ideas of those we serve.

While we've made tremendous progress in 2022, I am extremely optimistic about the progress we will make in years ahead with Governor Hochul's ambitious vision to reimagine and modernize the Department of Civil Service.

The Governor's Executive Budget supports a new progressive and transformative civil service examination model that includes administering continuous recruitment examinations at state-operated computer-based testing centers. This model will allow the department to meet the increasing demand of examination services by expanding our capacity to hold more examinations and provide examination results to both state agencies and local civil service entities in a significantly compressed time frame.

The Executive Budget also allows the department to establish a greater presence at DOL Career Centers and meet job seekers where they are, fund a public awareness campaign to extol the values of public service, and support a comprehensive study to modernize

1 the civil service pay structure.

In addition to all I have already
highlighted, the department continues to
implement effective strategies to responsibly
administer the New York State Health
Insurance Program to more than 1.2 million
members, ensuring access to high-quality
providers and services.

In 2022, New York State and CSEA came to a five-year contract agreement which included health benefit changes taking effect this July that will save the state, local governments, public authorities, and enrollees over \$300 million annually.

As you can see, the initiatives
highlighted today reflect the department's
commitment and focus to eliminating barriers
to entry for civil service jobs, meeting job
seekers where they are, and modernizing the
state's approach to meeting our workforce
needs. The department's vision for the
future is progressive and inclusive, and I
look forward to working in partnership with
all of you to bring this vision to fruition.

1	Thank you.
2	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
3	And Director?
4	DIRECTOR VOLFORTE: Thank you,
5	Chairs Weinstein and Krueger and honorable
6	members of the Assembly and Senate. My name
7	is Michael Volforte, and I'm the director of
8	the New York State Office of Employee
9	Relations. Thank you for the opportunity to
10	speak with you about Governor Hochul's
11	Executive Budget proposal for fiscal year
12	2024 as it relates to our agency and the
13	state workforce.
14	The proposed budget continues
15	Governor Hochul's goal of increasing the
16	state workforce by proposing growth in a
17	number of agencies, like the Division of
18	State Police, Department of Environmental
19	Conservation, and the Office of Parks,
20	Recreation and Historic Preservation. This
21	year's budget also contains a number of
22	civil service proposals to jump-start hiring
23	for the future, like continuous recruitment
24	examinations; establishing centers for

careers in government, in partnership with
the Department of Labor; and a campaign
highlighting the importance of careers in
public service.

Together with our unions, we shape the future of the workforce and efforts to retain and expand it. Over the past year we successfully negotiated three collective bargaining agreements covering employees represented by the Police Benevolent Association of New York State, Council 82, and the Civil Service Employees Association.

All agreements contained compensation increases in each year of the agreement. The CSEA agreement not only provided increases but made changes to health insurance to encourage unit members to save money by using our broad participating provider network in the Empire Plan.

Between ongoing and planned negotiations, we will negotiate with nine unions this year for new collective bargaining agreements. Our approach in negotiations will remain consistent with the

Governor's message of being fair to employees and ensuring that agencies are positioned to continue to deliver outstanding service to New Yorkers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Last year we continued to assist agencies and employees in emerging from the pandemic, and we continue offering such services. For example, we agreed with all of the unions to extend the vacation accrual deadline until the end of 2023 to permit employees to take more time off. We also assisted in the rollout of the \$3,000 healthcare worker bonus included in last year's budget for eligible private-sector and state employees. As of today, this benefit has been extended to more than 39,000 state employees serving in a variety of roles in the healthcare and direct care fields. These employees were critical in our efforts to manage and respond to COVID-19.

On a daily basis OER fields numerous inquiries from executive branch agencies. We routinely dispense advice on the proper administration of our compensation and

benefits packages as well as advice on maximizing employee performance and operational flexibility under the terms of our negotiated agreements. We also continue to work to ensure that all complaints of illegal discrimination and harassment are properly investigated and addressed.

As we indicated last year, agencies have transitioned to their own telecommuting policies rather than the former emergency statewide telecommuting program. Most allow telecommuting up to several days per pay period but require in-office presence the remainder of the pay period. Our guidance to agencies has been consistent: Telecommuting programs must strike a balance between providing a convenience to employees on one hand and maintaining accountability and robust services for New Yorkers on the other hand.

As you all know, our office offers a number of pre-tax programs for state employees that save employees by using pre-tax dollars for various healthcare,

2	Last year, there were over 26,000 enrollments
3	in the various programs. We continue to make
4	our pre-tax program even more attractive by
5	allowing individuals to roll over the maximum
6	amount of 2022 funds into 2023 for the
7	Health Care Spending Account and provided a
8	grace period for our Dependent Care Advantage
9	Account and Adoption Expenses flexible
10	spending accounts where employees can use

utilize previously deducted funds.

dependent care and transportation expenses.

In collaboration with our union counterparts, we continued many other programs that provide important benefits to our workforce. In the last year, our Employee Assistance Program provided assistance to almost 20,000 employees working and coping with the pandemic. We also distributed over 4,500 job and career-related tuition reimbursement benefits in the last year and offer a WellNYS Everyday program.

Lastly, through our labor-management

their 2022 balance during the first two and

half months of 2023 to incur new expenses and

the state's training and professional development programs. And in 2022, we offered over 700 training and development programs serving over 26,000 employees.	L	committees, we continue to foster and develop
offered over 700 training and development	2	the state's training and professional
•	3	development programs. And in 2022, we
programs serving over 26,000 employees.	1	offered over 700 training and development
	5	programs serving over 26,000 employees.

As the Governor indicated in both the State of the State and in her budget address, the State is moving forward with paid parental leave for New York State executive-branch employees. Recently, our office partnered with the Department of Civil Service and implemented paid parental leave for unrepresented state employees.

This leave will provide 12 weeks of leave at full pay for bonding with a child after the birth, adoption or foster care placement.

This benefit will provide much-needed time for new parents to bond with new family members without having to make undue financial sacrifices. Our office will negotiate with each of the unions that represent our employees to extend this benefit to them.

In sum, while the current job market

1	certainly poses challenges for the state,
2	under Governor Hochul's leadership our office
3	is well positioned to continue to deliver for
4	state agencies and employees alike so that
5	they can continue the essential services that
6	New Yorkers rely upon.
7	Thank you.
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. And
9	you win the prize six minutes to turn back
10	over.
11	(Laughter.)
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Sorry.
13	We've also been joined by Senator
14	Jackson, who is the chair of Civil Services,
15	and Senator Michelle Hinchey.
16	And are there Assemblymembers you'd
17	like to name?
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yes. We've
19	been joined by Assemblyman Steck,
20	Assemblywoman Lucas, Assemblyman Ardila,
21	Assemblywoman Sillitti, and
22	Assemblyman Jones. And Assemblyman Slater.
23	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Great, thank you.
24	And we're going to start with

1	questions from our Labor chair,
2	Senator Ramos.
3	SENATOR RAMOS: All right. Buenos
4	tardes. Hi, Commissioner Reardon.
5	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Hi.
6	SENATOR RAMOS: Good to see you.
7	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you.
8	SENATOR RAMOS: Let's start I want
9	to ask, you know, a few months ago the
10	Governor had agreed to give healthcare
11	workers a raise of \$3 above the minimum wage,
12	but now in this budget proposal she's taking
13	it away. What gives?
14	COMMISSIONER REARDON: So they are
15	getting the \$3 above the minimum wage.
16	They've gotten two already; they get the
17	third dollars I think in October. And I
18	think what you're referring to is the
19	indexing, which they are not included in.
20	I think the thinking there was this
21	was a particular area that needed
22	stabilization, and we wanted to make sure we
23	had a standar minalina of manula samina into
	had a steady pipeline of people coming into

1	necessary. And it has worked. We have I
2	think a 33 percent increase in employment
3	there.
4	SENATOR RAMOS: Why do we keep leaving
5	home care workers and other domestic worker
6	types outside of benefits that are given to
7	other workers? Why are they less?
8	COMMISSIONER REARDON: So right now
9	they're ahead of everybody else. They're \$3
10	above by October they'll be \$3 above the
11	minimum wage. And if the indexing with
12	the indexing they catch up with the minimum
13	wage, then I think you'll have a conversation
14	about whether or not it's time to increase
15	that minimum wage again for that particular
16	segment.
17	But they are right now above other
18	workers
19	SENATOR RAMOS: Well, I think the
20	minimum wage should be increased for
21	everyone. And it actually concerns me to see

that the Governor's proposal includes

cap at 3 percent and a bailout plan if

indexing without easing. It also includes a

22

23

24

1	unemployment U-3 goes up to 0.5 percent.
2	You know, no other state has such a
3	cap, or any off-ramps. Why should we?
4	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I believe other
5	states do have caps. I don't know about the
6	off-ramps. But
7	SENATOR RAMOS: What other states have
8	caps?
9	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I believe
10	California does. I'd have to check and get
11	back to you. I don't think we're the only
12	one with a cap.
13	It's not the same number, but there is
14	a cap. But I can get back to you with that
15	information. I believe you know, we're
16	very supportive of indexing the minimum wage.
17	SENATOR RAMOS: I appreciate that.
18	You know, by 2026 Washington, D.C. and
19	Denver, their minimum wage is going to hit
20	about \$19. San Francisco will be over \$20.
21	And Seattle will be at 21. But under our
22	Governor's proposal to raise the minimum
23	wage, New York will only be at \$16 by 2026.
24	Do you think that's right?

1	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I support the
2	Governor's plan, and obviously it's something
3	that the Governor and the Legislature will
4	have to work out together. And whatever you
5	decide is the law I will happily enforce.
6	SENATOR RAMOS: Okay. Well, let's
7	move on to unemployment insurance. You know,
8	Uber and Lyft still haven't paid their bill.
9	I asked this question at the budget hearing
10	last year. Right before the pandemic Uber
11	and Lyft drivers were granted access to
12	unemployment insurance and definitely used it
13	during the pandemic. At what point do we
14	tabulate their bill and make them pay?
15	COMMISSIONER REARDON: That is
16	currently under it's in the legal courts,
17	so I'm not going to be able to comment on any
18	of that.
19	SENATOR RAMOS: Okay. Would you agree
20	that PUA served as a lifeline for workers
21	throughout the pandemic? Has the DOL done
22	any research on its lasting effects?
23	COMMISSIONER REARDON: So PUA was
24	created by the CARES Act, and Congress

1	realized immediately with 1.9 well, in our
2	case, 1.9 million New Yorkers lost their jobs
3	in 60 days. By the end of April we had
4	almost 2 million people on unemployment that
5	had not been on unemployment in February. It
6	was an avalanche of need, and it went across
7	the entire state. It didn't hit just a
8	certain kind of employee or nonemployee, you
9	know, the classification. So the CARES Act
10	carved out a new kind of aid for people who
11	would not have been eligible otherwise. And
12	we supported that.
13	SENATOR RAMOS: You know what I'm
14	getting at, right? So folks who had been
15	eligible for PUA now are left without a
16	safety net because PUA has ended. Right?
17	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yes. Because
18	they are not unless they are considered
19	employees, they are not eligible for
20	unemployment insurance. It's a federal
21	regulation.
22	SENATOR RAMOS: Would you say that the
23	Excluded Workers Fund was successful?
24	COMMISSIONER REARDON: The Excluded

1	Workers Fund was a very targeted fund and we
2	are very proud of the work we did, and have
3	distributed \$2.1 billion to those
4	communities.
5	SENATOR RAMOS: I thought it worked
6	very well, it just wasn't enough money. We
7	need more money. And so now in knowing and
8	learning our lessons from the pandemic, we're
9	trying to establish a more permanent fund
10	with the unemployment bridge program that
11	wouldn't only help those who were eligible
12	for our Excluded Workers Fund but also those
13	who had benefited from PUA.
14	I'm hoping that we can count on your
15	support in that effort.
16	COMMISSIONER REARDON: You know, it's
17	an interesting conversation. I haven't I
18	don't comment on legislation. I simply
19	enforce it when it becomes the law.
20	SENATOR RAMOS: All right, so going
21	back to UI specifically, what reform is being
22	done to address the funding issue?
23	COMMISSIONER REARDON: You mean the
24	state the state okay. So that is a

1	metric that's controlled by the federal
2	government and the state. The federal
3	government has the FUTA bill that people are
4	getting now, and that is a regular payment to
5	restore the loan that went in to bail out
6	New York State because we had to pay out
7	benefits by federal regulation.
8	There are three bills that businesses
9	get. FUTA is paying off the loan. The IAS
10	is the interest assessment, and that is by
11	New York's law, businesses are on the hook
12	for that. And then there's their regular
13	bill, the bill is their experience rating
14	that goes to pay for this year's benefits as
15	well as restore the New York State Trust
16	Fund.
17	SENATOR RAMOS: Mm-hmm. Okay. I want
18	to ask about DOL enforcement. I saw that in
19	the budget proposal there is it includes
20	an expansion for adding a few workers to the
21	DOL, which is very exciting. I'm thrilled.

COMMISSIONER REARDON: Me too.

SENATOR RAMOS: What are they going to

22

23

24

do?

1	COMMISSIONER REARDON: So we are busy
2	hiring. Thank you to all of you for the \$25
3	million we got in last year's budget. We are
4	busy hiring people as we speak. And thank
5	you to Civil Service for helping us in this
6	regard. It has been a struggle sometimes.
7	And my fellow commissioner has helped smooth
8	out some of those problems.
9	One thing everybody knows from your
10	own constituents, hiring in this market is
11	very tough. And we are making sure that we
12	reach into all the communities that we can to
13	find the right people to do these jobs. And
14	we're training them up as fast as we can.
15	As of about this date, I think we have
16	hired an additional it's over 1100 new
17	employees over the last year. So we are
18	making great strides.
19	SENATOR RAMOS: How many in
20	enforcement?
21	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I don't have
22	that number in front of me. I can get it to
23	you.

SENATOR RAMOS: I mean, I would

1	appreciate that. I'm increasingly, you know,
2	concerned about the conditions we're hearing
3	at different workplaces, the wage theft that
4	continues to be rampant and has actually
5	tripled since before the pandemic. And I
6	only say that because actually the money
7	that's been recovered has tripled, so I can
8	only imagine how much wage theft is actually
9	taking place. And of course we want to make
10	sure that we are helping all of those
11	workers.

What else is needed here to further -to help your enforcement efforts?

any conversation that you want to have about how we do enforcement. It is a very important part of what we do. We are -- you know, one of the things we learned, one of the hard lessons we learned during the pandemic is you can't staff your way out of a crisis. You need a lot of help, and a lot of that help is tech.

So we are -- we have rolled out new tools for our inspectors so they're not

1	having to go back and write things on their
2	computers in their offices, they can do it in
3	the field. It expedites their work.
4	We have the new wage theft tip line
5	where workers can call into the tip line,
6	speak in 13 different languages, make their
7	report. It goes right into the agency.
8	SENATOR RAMOS: Anybody actually visit
9	the businesses where we know wage theft tends
10	to happen? So for example, you know, we have
11	the executive order from 2019 that did away
12	with the tipped wage for nail salon workers
13	and car wash workers and others. But does
14	anybody actually go to every car wash to make
15	sure that the \$15 at least are being paid
16	COMMISSIONER REARDON: We are a
17	tip-driven agency
18	SENATOR RAMOS: nail salon workers?
19	COMMISSIONER REARDON: so no, we do
20	not visit individual we don't do sweeps,
21	like that. But we follow up every tip that's
22	given to us.

SENATOR RAMOS: Okay. Well, I -- it's

an increasing concern to me. I even hear of

23

1	human trafficking stories having to do
2	particularly with nail salons
3	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yes. And we
4	follow up we have a whole unit that works
5	on that. It's a tragic part of our world and
6	it has obviously grown during the pandemic.
7	And it has also grown with new people coming
8	into the country who are very, very
9	vulnerable.
10	SENATOR RAMOS: Yes. I mean, in fact,
11	I think it was in Lewisville, New York,
12	upstate, where recently and I spoke to the
13	head of NYSERDA about this where we saw a
14	huge car accident where six migrant workers
15	were killed on their way, I believe on a
16	Sunday, to go work and install solar panel
17	equipment. So that's of deep concern to me.
18	Anyway, thank you, and thank you for
19	your work with the Farmworker Wage Board. I
20	appreciate it.
21	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you.
22	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
23	Assembly.
24	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to the

1	chair of our Labor Committee, Assemblywoman
2	Joyner.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JOYNER: Thank you so
4	much.
5	Okay, so I have a couple of questions.
6	I'm going to first start with the first topic
7	on unemployment insurance
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Ten minutes on
9	the clock, please.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JOYNER: Okay, the
11	clock, reset the clock. Okay, I have ten
12	seconds of Senator Ramos's time.
13	We'll start first with workers and
14	employers. As you know, both have been
15	negatively impacted by flaws in the UI
16	system. So I'll first start with workers
17	with regards to fraud. We've heard stories
18	of claimants who have been victims of
19	identity fraud or being locked out of ID.me.
20	I wanted to know, first, what measures
21	has the department been using to prevent UI
22	fraud and ensure that workers are obtaining
23	much-needed benefits?
24	And the other issue that is greatly

1	impacting workers is the overpayment issue.
2	The federal government has made it clear that
3	it does not want money back that and it
4	has authorized states to waive overpayments.
5	So my question is simply, why hasn't the
6	state waived overpayments? And how has the
7	process for giving notifications and waivers
8	for overpayments been made to claimants who
9	receive these overpayments at no fault of
10	their own?
11	COMMISSIONER REARDON: So let me start
12	with the overpayments. We are people have
13	the opportunity to apply for a waiver, and we
14	are reviewing them quickly. It's not a long
15	process. And a large, large, large percent
16	of them are given the waiver.
17	So there is an urban myth out there
18	that we not doing overpayment waivers.
19	That's not true. We have actually applied to
20	the federal government for blanket waivers.
21	We have not received any confirmation back,
22	so I don't know what the status of that is.
23	But if you are a victim of

overpayment, you can apply for a waiver. And

1 :	it's	generally	on	equity	and	good	conscience
-----	------	-----------	----	--------	-----	------	------------

It is a pretty streamlined process now, and people get their answers usually within two weeks. So they should -- if they feel that they are being asked for something they shouldn't be asked for, they should absolutely go on the website and do it. We have a lot, a lot of public comment, you know, social media, we sent -- we sent 125 million emails and texts to our customers during the pandemic. This was one of the

The word is out there. If people don't know, I'm happy to increase that kind of communication. But it is absolutely -- you know, we are granting these waivers at a pretty rapid clip.

things that we texted and emailed about.

ID.me. Nobody should be locked out of ID.me. If people are having trouble with it, there are other ways for people to confirm their identity. Again, we streamlined that. When we first set it up there was confusion; nobody had ever seen this before. But -- and we understand there are populations who don't

1	have the technology or they may not be
2	comfortable with the technology, or there may
3	be a language issue. So we have got
4	different workarounds. Nobody should ever be
5	denied their benefits because they can't
6	handle ID.me.

However, ID.me was the single strongest weapon that we had to shut down the international cybercriminals who had stolen people's identities across the state. And without it, we would be awash in more fraud. We can look at the numbers and see, as soon as ID.me came in, the fraud numbers began to trickle down. And I'm glad we have it.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN JOYNER: Okay, so my next question is with respect to the impact on employers. As you know, many employers are paying down the UI Trust Fund debt.

When will they expect to see a decrease in their contribution rates? I know that the numbers shared by DOL projected a repayment schedule of a five to six year timeline. Is that still accurate? Are we still on schedule? And does the department

1	have projections as to what employers'
2	contribution rates will be during that
3	repayment schedule?
4	COMMISSIONER REARDON: We do have
5	projections. I don't have them in front of
6	me. I can get them to you.
7	The FUTA tax the FUTA it's not
8	a the FUTA, it's the Federal Unemployment
9	Tax Act, dictates what the state businesses
10	have to pay to pay down the balance of the
11	debt. We had to borrow money from the
12	federal government. That is a federal law.
13	We cannot not pay UI benefits. So that's
14	what that is, and it's on a steady track.
15	It's to make sure that steady payments are
16	made into the system on a regular basis.
17	I can get you the rates. They know
18	them; I don't have them memorized. But it is
19	five or six years, and then we will be out of
20	that situation.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JOYNER: Okay. And I
22	know we've already paid off a billion. Will
23	rates decrease as the deficit also decreases?
24	COMMISSIONER REARDON: So the rates

remember, we have three different things that people are paying on. The FUTA rate is set by the federal government. This year and next year, it's the same. It probably does go down, but I don't know. Let me find out for you for sure.

But also when people say rates,
they're thinking about their individual UI
bill that they pay every year. That is based
on your experience rating -- you know, how
many people have left your employment, you
know, not of their own volition. I'll remind
everybody that during '20 and '21 we forgave,
we forebeared any experience rating on
businesses. They did not pay -- everybody
had people on unemployment because the
businesses were shut down. They didn't do it
voluntarily. So we didn't assess anybody an
experience rating in those years.

We know by our calculations that in those three years, counting this year, each business was saved approximately 800 and I think 32 dollars per employee. So in those three years we actually saved businesses

money by not dinging them on the experience rating.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN JOYNER: Okay, the next question I have is regarding partial UI. I know in your testimony you mentioned the four-year UI modernization plan that you are implementing. We gave an extension of one year in last year's budget. And simply -- I was told I need a yes or no response -- is DOL prepared to implement this partial UI system and to -- when is the department expected to complete and implement this modernization?

COMMISSIONER REARDON: Well, people can get partial UI right now. It's not being denied to anybody. It's just more of an onerous thing for us to do. It's not online because it's not in the system.

If we were to put it into the old system right now, we would have to stop the modernization for a significant period of time to put a patch on an old system that would stop as soon as modernization came in.

It just doesn't make sense. So -- and we've

L	spoken about this freely. We've sent
2	letters. It's not a secret. You can get
3	partial UI right now. It just takes more
1	time for us to do that because it's not
5	automated.

But as modernization rolls out, that is definitely going to be part of the mod, and it will be an entirely different system.

I am very fond of partial UI. I think it helps workers. But right now we can't automate it because we are in the middle of modernizing our ancient COBOL system.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN JOYNER: Okay. My next question is regarding agency operations. We know that DOL needs staff. There's a lot of enforcement activities that need the attention of DOL staff. In last year's budget we allocated an additional \$24.6 million to support the agency. However, we've been seeing an alarming decline in staff members. We see a drop in the number of full-time staff and an increase in provisional and temp workers.

How can you assure us that we will see

an expanded oversight and enforcement of the
state labor laws? And also what is being
done to on-board and train provisional and
temporary workers?

where the information came from, but we have been working very, very seriously to transition our provisional and, you know, temporary workers into full-time status as quickly as possible. Some of that involves my friend Tim's, you know, tests. They have to -- some of the civil service, they have to take the test and be available for that position.

But we're working very hard, we're working very closely with our unions to make sure that we can move those people as quickly as possible, because we value them as full-time employees as well.

So I don't think we are seeing a diminution of full-time workers, we are actually trying to reduce the number of part-time workers or temporary workers, so-called.

1	We have as I said earlier, we've
2	hired over a thousand new employees and we
3	are looking for more. And, you know, we are
4	very thankful for the \$25 million that you
5	gave us last year. We are using it to the
6	best of our ability. Again, as everybody
7	knows from your business colleagues, hiring
8	is tough in this market. But we are making a
9	concerted effort because we want the best
10	people doing this work for the state.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN JOYNER: Okay, yes. The data that we were provided, it's showing an increase in provisional and temp workers from 1 percent to 10 percent.

My next question is regarding
workforce development programs and funds. In
2018 we enacted legislation that would
require DOL to create an online database
containing workforce development training
programs in New York State. What's been the
status of this database? When can we expect
it to be available on the DOL website?

And the second question regarding workforce development is, you know, we see

that it's difficult to help underserved

communities become aware of different job

opportunities, so what can be done better to

serve these populations?

actually talking about -- I'll take the second question first. Reaching into underserved communities is a really important piece of what I think we do, and we're really upping our game. One of the things that I insist on -- two things. We meet our customers where they are. We are no longer going to have a system where you need to fit into a box of some kind in order to get our services.

I don't care what language you speak,

I don't care what religion you observe, I

don't care what part of the state you live
in -- none of that matters. I want to help

New Yorkers find careers they love and be
successful. So we are very focused on that.

We have a lot of language access. We do a

lot of work in communities. We've started
out-stationing our senior staff in DUES, in

1	the workforce development part, and they're
2	going out into our various Career Centers for
3	several days at a time to sit with the
4	community and understand what the issues are
5	in those communities so we can better refine
6	our processes.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JOYNER: And we have
8	15 seconds, so if you can get to the other
9	COMMISSIONER REARDON: The database.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JOYNER: Yes.
1	COMMISSIONER REARDON: It's up and
12	it's running. It's been up since last year.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JOYNER: Okay, great.
4	Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: To the Senate.
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
17	And we have our chair, Robert Jackson,
18	for Civil Service, 10 minutes on the clock.
19	SENATOR JACKSON: Sure, thank you.
20	So I was going to say good evening,
21	but good afternoon. So let me thank you all
22	for coming. And obviously this is very
23	important for the people of New York State.
24	So I guess my first question to you,

Commissioner, Department of Labor, can you tell us where we are in upgrading the system so that we can stop as much of the fraud that allegedly has occurred in the past?

COMMISSIONER REARDON: During the height of the pandemic -- actually, the very beginning of the pandemic, we began to realize that there was a new kind of fraud that was hitting our system, one that we had never had before. And this was identity fraud, and it was done by international cyber-rings, and they had people's personal identifications -- your name, your Social Security number, your address, your last employer, perhaps your salary range. Your claim looked like a real claim to our system.

So that presented a huge hurdle for us to figure out how to stop it. We worked with other states, we worked with the federal government. We quickly realized we needed technology, so we worked with Google and other places to figure out ways that we could do better geofencing and matching and the kinds of things that we did.

1	The problem with the CARES package was
2	they intentionally reduced the eligibility
3	criteria for people who were eligible for
4	those new federal programs. The first year
5	of PUA, all you had to do essentially was
6	check a box that said "I was unemployed by
7	the pandemic," and you were eligible. We had
8	no employer to talk to, we had no wage
9	records to pull this was how we usually
10	verified claims.

At the end of that year, the federal government changed the requirements, and that's why people then had to send in more proof of employment. But that first year we had over \$300 million a month going out the door in fraud because it was so easy to do.

We now have ID.me. The minute we got ID.me up and running, fraud began to decline. We then -- about six or eight months later, we got multifactor authentication. Again, fraud immediately began to come down.

We have other very sophisticated systems that I won't talk about in public because I don't want to tell them what we

1	have, but it really began to reduce the
2	fraud. In 2022 we paid out \$2.5 billion in
3	benefits. We only lost \$388,000 to fraud.
4	So you can see the third year, without the
5	federal benefits and with all the other stuff
6	we were able to put on the system, we've
7	tightened it up a lot.
8	There's always fraud. It's always a
9	problem. But we are on top of it, and the
10	modernization system will be even better.
11	And please, I can't wait for modernization to
12	come on board. Probably more than you.
13	SENATOR JACKSON: Thank you.
14	So my next question is for Michael
15	Volforte, the head of the GOER. You
16	indicated the labor agreements that you've
17	reached with do we have state labor unions
18	that have not reached agreement and have
19	expired contracts? And where are you at in
20	trying to move those contracts?
21	DIRECTOR VOLFORTE: We currently have
22	one union that has an expired contract, and
23	we're in active negotiations with them.

The remaining unions either have

contracts that expire this year or years into the future, and we're engaged with a number of those unions where we're actually starting negotiations in advance of expiration and hope to reach agreement over the coming months with a number of those unions.

SENATOR JACKSON: So one of the things that I hear about state service is it takes too long to get things done. So do you have enough staff to move contracts where we're not dealing with contracts that have expired? People get a little frustrated when things are taking so long to get things done.

DIRECTOR VOLFORTE: We believe we have enough staff to deliver on negotiating contracts.

I would simply say that when contracts settle, it isn't always a function of necessarily one side or the other. You know, sometimes there's a lot of issues that come up and negotiations don't always start before they expire. Sometimes negotiation start afterwards. So it's not entirely consistent that things get delayed because negotiations

1	start at a point in time, because that's
2	really dependent on the parties agreeing when
3	they start.
4	So it's not always the case that
5	there's delays because of staffing or other
6	things. Sometimes there are choices made in
7	terms of when timing starts for negotiations.
8	SENATOR JACKSON: Okay, now my next
9	question is for Commissioner Hogues.
10	Congratulations on your appointment as
11	commissioner.
12	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: Thank you.
13	SENATOR JACKSON: So I'm talking to a
14	lot of labor unions, obviously; I chair the
15	Civil Service and Pensions Committee. And so
16	they talk about that it takes too long to
17	move in order to get people appointed.
18	So what have you done so far in order
19	to modernize the civil service system as far
20	as pay structure and as far as examinations
21	and things like that?
22	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: So thank you.
23	So I believe throughout this testimony
24	you heard a lot about modernization, and so

Civil Service is taking that same approach to figure out how we can deliver, test more efficiently and effectively, and get the results in a condensed time frame.

And so one of those tools that is in our proposal is a continuous recruitment proposal that allows for us to offer tests on a regular basis and for those eligibility lists to be refreshed on a regular basis so that agencies have access to that.

We also are on the technology front to offer exams online. We've transferred some of our most popular exams from in-person, filling out the bubbles, to an online training-and-experience-type exam which has allowed us to serve a lot of individuals in a condensed time frame and more cost-effectively as well.

SENATOR JACKSON: And in speaking with the various unions, the continuous recruitment process and examinations so that you don't have to schedule an exam and people have to wait for the exam and things like that, have you consulted with the unions PEF,

1	CSEA, Council 82? And what are they saying?
2	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: Yes, we have,
3	and they're for it. They're behind it. I
4	talked to actually, I talk to PEF the

most, and they have been pushing this.

We've also met with the Council of
Mayors for New York State as well as NYSAC,
and they are asking for this to be done.
Because we know that lists right now, the
system that we have right now, it just takes
too long and we're not competitive with the
private sector. And so this is just one of
the ways that we are trying to reduce the
time that it takes to bring talent into state
government.

SENATOR JACKSON: Now, I do understand that PEF and others have expressed concern about the amount of contracting out of services that they feel if in fact the staffing was up to par, that they could handle as professionals that work inside the state government. And \$1.3 billion I think in new appropriations, and 1.13 is reappropriations. But the total, to my

1	understanding, is over 9,000 employees,
2	full-time-equivalent employees are basically
3	being contracted out to private contractors
4	that can done by the state workforce.

COMMISSIONER HOGUES: So there's a balance, right, and we have to figure out what that appropriate balance is. Sometimes you have short-term projects where it makes sense.

But for the most part, we are really looking at how do we compete, how are we more efficient and effective in not only the on-boarding but the recruitment and the retention of the state workforce. And so a lot of those things are in our proposal, and we look forward to the partnership and moving forward to really being able to compete and rebuild a more diverse and inclusive, talented workforce.

SENATOR JACKSON: I know -- can you tell me what has occurred within the past year as far as upgrading nurses? Because obviously the State of New York has contracted out for nurses because there were

1	not	enough	nurses.

What are we doing to recruit nurses into the state system, if you don't mind.

COMMISSIONER HOGUES: Sure.

So as you're probably aware, in 2022 we completed one of the -- a major reconstruction of our nursing titles where a bunch of nurses got upgrades as far as the pay and starting salary. We also introduced regional differentials. And we're continuing the Nurses for Our Future program which supports tuition.

And so we will continue to look at what we need to do. Part of our proposal is to do a comprehensive study of the civil service pay structure. And so we're hoping that will yield some guidance and direction for us to move forward, not only for our nurses but, across the state, we know we are competing with the private sector in areas we are losing.

So we're hoping that will produce some critical information to give us some direction.

1	SENATOR JACKSON: Well, I think we all
2	agree that we're competing with the outside
3	market. And so I would like to make sure
4	that we, you know, shine our shoes, brush our
5	teeth, you know, be ready as a state in order
6	to go out there and recruit the people that
7	we need to join the civil service system and
8	make us all proud. So that's what I think
9	that we need to do.
10	Thank you. Thank you.
11	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
12	Assembly.
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
14	Assemblywoman Pheffer Amato, chair of our
15	Governmental Employees Committee.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN PHEFFER AMATO: Good
17	afternoon. Thank you all for your testimony.
18	That's really loud, huh?
19	(Laughter.)
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN PHEFFER AMATO: We
21	just the Senator just referred to the
22	continuous recruitment positions. This is
23	for Commissioner Hogues. How much of the
24	current backlog of vacancies is this expected

+ ~	address?
L.O	address

aware, the pandemic caused a lot of tests not to be held. Civil Service currently relies on schools, high schools and other places to be able to administer our civil service exams. To knock on wood, we are pretty current as far as the testing schedule.

But when we talk about the game-changing opportunity for continuous recruitment, right now we have to prioritize our agencies' requests. So we talk to our agencies and say, Hey, what are your priority titles that we can hold exams for? We're talking about flipping that upside down on its head and saying, Hey, let's be more efficient and effective.

Commissioner Reardon talked about technology. That plays a huge key in this.

And so when we talk about going from just pencil and paper to online continuous recruitment, and also talking about being in some of the DOL centers, having staff that is doing outreach -- and we know that there is a

1	digital divide, and so we're not only doing
2	outreach as far as online and social media,
3	but we're looking at getting into communities
4	with community-based organizations, to reach
5	those individuals to educate them about the
6	opportunities in state government as well as
7	demystifying the "how do you get in." Right?
8	And so that's what I'm hearing on a
9	regular basis: How, why, and what. How do
10	you get in, why is it so difficult and
11	confusing, and what are you going to do about
12	it? And I think the proposals in here really
13	take a step forward in addressing that.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN PHEFFER AMATO: So you
15	feel that the backlogs will really be
16	addressed once all these the how, what and
17	where, who, why and when kind of get filled
18	in?
19	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: Yes.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN PHEFFER AMATO: So the
21	backlog will start getting a nice flow and
22	we'll get the workforce flowing, I guess is

the right word.

COMMISSIONER HOGUES: Yeah. And

L	that's like I can't emphasize this enough,
2	we continue to compete with the private
3	sector. And so I'll go back to the study,
1	the review of civil service at all titles, to
5	see where they are.

To look at how we value titles is important too. In the past we weighed heavily on individuals that supervised. So we also know that we have some technical titles that don't need to supervise anyone, but we need that technical knowledge and we need to pay them appropriately.

And so all of this together will help us to get rid of that backlog and be able to compete.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN PHEFFER AMATO: Great.

So in that same notion, what agencies and titles do you think will most be affected by this? You know, you could say all. But, you know, which ones really?

COMMISSIONER HOGUES: I mean, so they are. So we talk about a lot of the direct care, outward-facing agencies. That's huge for them. In my testimony I talked about the

HELP program, the Hiring for Emergency

Limited Placement program, because we know

that we have to do -- we have a balancing act

to perform.

So we have to do and we appreciate the merit of fitness, but we've also heard from agencies saying, I don't have enough people to serve the public right now. So they say, Hey, what are we going to do? And so we sat down and started talking through this, about how we can help them now. And so the HELP program impacts over a hundred titles across agencies to look at individuals that meet the minimum qualifications. They can get into state government right now. And then in a year's time they come back to the Civil Service Commission and we can flip them over to competitive and they can continue their career advancement.

So we're looking at a parallel path to this problem to really impact it. But we know we have to do two things. We have to solve it now, we have to look at short term as well as long term.

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN PHEFFER AMATO: Great.
2	The Executive Budget recommends nearly
3	23,000 new hires. So which positions has the
4	state found to be the most difficult to
5	recruit and retain those employees?
6	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: So once again,
7	it's those direct care. We all know, we hear
8	about it. Some titles aren't as desirable as
9	others. But quite frankly, it's across the
10	board. So it's every agency head I talk
11	to, they're talking about, Hey, how do we do
12	this and how can we do it quicker and faster.
13	And so I want to get a I believe
14	the package that we have in front of you
15	really takes a major step forward as a total
16	package. Individually, the pieces won't
17	work, but when we look at them
18	comprehensively, that's when we really start
19	moving forward.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN PHEFFER AMATO: We have
21	to compete with the private sector for sure.
22	What is needed for the state to
23	address the critical vacancies to prepare for
24	an increase sorry. What is needed more

1	for the state to address critical vacancies
2	and prepare for increased retirements over
3	the coming years?
4	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: So we're always
5	looking at planning to sorry that the
6	phrase is slipping my mind. But we're always
7	looking at how do we put ourselves in the
8	position to be successful as individuals
9	leave the leave
10	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Succession
11	planning.
12	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: Succession
13	planning, thank you. So that's why this is
14	my partner here.
15	(Laughter.)
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN PHEFFER AMATO: That's
17	good. Good. Good teamwork, I like that.
18	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: Yeah,
19	succession, she knew what I was talking
20	about.
21	We're always looking at succession
22	planning because that's critical. I spent a
23	bunch of years in the private sector, and
24	that was always a hang-up. And so state

1	government is no different.
2	And so we are working with agencies to
3	put plans together. We believe that the
4	impact of COVID, where we saw the mass exodus
5	of individuals, we believe that is starting
6	to slow down. And so now, like I said, we
7	are really focusing on that marketing
8	campaign, getting out and meeting individuals
9	where they are, and really pulling in that
10	workforce to replenish and rebuild to the
1	levels not only pre-COVID, but to meet the
12	demand and the expectations of the future.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN PHEFFER AMATO: Great.
14	Thank you for that.
15	Regarding the establishment of the
16	Centers for Careers in Government across the
17	state, how many centers will there be?
18	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: We're looking at
19	12.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN PHEFFER AMATO: And
21	where will the centers be located? Will they

work with the schools and universities to

COMMISSIONER HOGUES: Yes. I'm so

connect with the potential candidates?

22

23

glad you asked that question.

And so a theme that you've heard is meeting individuals where they are. We are really looking to be more accessible, having dedicated space, because COVID has taught us a lot. So individuals say, Hey, you can't come in here because we don't know what COVID is, and it grinds to a screeching halt.

And so this gives us the opportunity to be in those communities, be accessible on bus lines and public transportation, and allows for us to have individuals that are experts, subject matter experts in civil service to break down that who, what, when, when, where, how, why. And to go into communities and to work with community-based organizations that know the territory, to get those individuals the information that they need to be able to participate. As well as running civil service exams six days a week. Right?

And so that's an accessibility issue.

I've talked to individuals in the community,
and they say, Hey, sometimes a Saturday just

1	doesn't work for me. Right? Saturday in the
2	morning just doesn't work for me, I have
3	childcare issues, I have other stuff. And
4	then if an individual misses that
5	opportunity, sometimes they have to wait one,
6	two, three, four years for the next
7	opportunity.

So that's why, in conjunction with the continuous recruitment, all of this stuff goes together to, like I said, rebuild the New York State workforce. And it makes it easier and more accessible for individuals that are interested in joining the state workforce to be able to participate.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN PHEFFER AMATO: So you talked about CBOs. So would these centers serve as testing locations, like the CBO would be?

COMMISSIONER HOGUES: Yeah, so we're working with SUNY and CUNY locations across the state to establish state-operated testing facilities. And these will run six days a week. And so they will be able to come here to get the information about civil service

opportunities, they'll be able to take exams here. If an individual doesn't have access to a computer or WiFi, they will be able to take online exams at these locations.

And so we -- once again, I don't want to underestimate, but we understand that yes, digital and viral is the way to go, but we also understand that there's still somewhat of a digital divide. So we're trying to address all those barriers.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN PHEFFER AMATO: Great, thank you.

And for my 33 seconds, Director

Volforte, the executive proposes maintaining
pay equity with the private sector. How is
the pay gap between public and private sector
currently, and how much will this cost over
the next five years to implement?

DIRECTOR VOLFORTE: I don't think we know exactly what the difference is. I think the difference will depend on titles. you know, certainly we know in certain healthcare titles we are -- there's an ever-increasing demand and limited supply, so that keeps

1	driving the price up and up and up for
2	everybody, not just the state.
3	But part of the proposal is a study to
4	work on that, and then we'll find out what
5	those numbers are.
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
7	To the Senate.
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
9	Our ranker on Civil Service,
10	Senator Weik. Five minutes.
11	SENATOR WEIK: Thank you so much.
12	Good afternoon, and thank you so much
13	for your testimony today and for being so
14	patient with all of our questions.
15	I've been meeting with a lot of my
16	libraries and I'm noticing that so I
17	represent Long Island, parts of Nassau
18	County, parts of Suffolk County, and a lot of
19	our libraries are complaining that they have
20	very specific job titles. And they may find
21	a qualified individual who maybe lives in the
22	other county, and so they cannot give them
23	that title in their library. And so they're

left without someone fulfilling those job

So how can we be more flexible, or can we be more flexible? I understand we have to pick locals first, and we have that provision for a reason. Is there a way that we can be more flexible so that we can meet the needs of our libraries?

COMMISSIONER HOGUES: So yes, there -- and so there's a little bit difference between state and local.

So local has jurisdiction and provision to be able to create local rules around how they operate. And so we provide advisement to them and technical assistance. And so I'm sure, if there's conversations with the local civil service division, that we could figure those things out. And if they have questions they can reach out to our municipal services division to provide that type of support.

But we've done that type of stuff in the past. And yes, I was a local civil service commissioner from Erie County, and so I can say yes, there are ways to work through

1	that.
2	SENATOR WEIK: Okay, thank you.
3	And so we are looking for you know,
4	during these times I think we've seen
5	something I used to work, I was the
6	receiver of taxes in my town, and we had
7	civil service employees in that. And of
8	course as we went through the pandemic we
9	really did kind of expect our employees to
10	flex and move with the times, learn new
1	technology and so on.
12	Is there a way that we can be more
13	flexible in the titles? Because when we read
14	the civil service titles, quite a few of them
15	are very specific. Is there room for
16	flexibility? Can we create that?
17	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: So at the state
18	we have a class in compensation that reviews
19	titles on a regular basis, and so there is
20	that opportunity for titles to be reviewed
21	and refined.

I know on a regular basis when I was in local government that every time we were about to fill a position we'd look at the

1	title to say, Does this still make sense?
2	Are these still the job elements that this
3	individual will be doing?
4	We saw some that were talking about
5	typewriting skills and some other stuff that
6	just said we haven't done that since I don't
7	know how long. So yes, we should be looking
8	at that on a regular basis.
9	SENATOR WEIK: We had that as well.
10	We didn't even own typewriters.
11	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: Yeah.
12	SENATOR WEIK: So my next question,
13	Commissioner Reardon first of all, I want
14	to say thank you very much. In my previous
15	district over the last two years we did have
16	a huge outpouring of individuals who needed
17	unemployment, and unemployment was reasonably
18	responsive and we had really good outcomes,
19	and so I thank you for that.
20	But now the conversation that I'm
21	hearing is of responsibility in a different

way, and people are really concerned that

perhaps we're not aggressively going after --

to recoup fraud money, money that had been

22

23

1	taken that shouldn't be out there. And how
2	aggressively are we looking to recoup those
3	funds?

COMMISSIONER REARDON: Very.

So we have referred over a million claims to the federal government. It is a federal crime to steal this money. We are working with the FBI, we work with the OIG on the national level. We work with the state's Attorney General, we work with the district attorneys. If you go back through our press releases you'll see press releases about district attorneys going after people. We have a number of cases that resulted in large recoupments. We have pulled back half a billion dollars and counting. And it will take a long time.

Now, I want everybody to understand the money that was stolen by the international cyber-rings, probably very little of that will be recovered, because it's not here. And those people are not here. And that is -- that is a hard pill to swallow, I must say. But that is simply the

1 truth.

2	But if they're in the United States,
3	if we have a way to identify them, we go
4	after them. And there is no time limit. If
5	you stole that money in 2020 and we discover
6	in 2045 that that's who you are, we will go
7	after you. There is no limit to that kind of
8	pursuit. And, you know, we are not a law
9	enforcement agency, but we're very happy to
10	work with every law enforcement agency that
11	will let us.

SENATOR WEIK: Thank you.

One last question, in my time.

Commissioner Hogues, what are we doing to recruit younger individuals to make sure that we have that civil service pool to pull from?

COMMISSIONER HOGUES: So, real quick, we are reaching out to, like I said, community-based organizations that have connections throughout the community. We are looking at high schools and colleges. As I mentioned, we are trying to set up testing sites on colleges—

1	SENATOR WEIK: I only have 2 oh,
2	I'm sorry, I just wanted to, quick, a lot of
3	these tests do require a certain amount of
4	college education or experience. Are we
5	looking to relax some of those?
6	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: We're looking at
7	equivalency-type stuff.
8	SENATOR WEIK: Okay, good. Thank you.
9	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
10	Assembly.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
12	Assemblyman DeStefano, ranker, for five
13	minutes.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN DeSTEFANO: Good
15	afternoon. Thanks for coming. Thanks for
16	toughing it out with us throughout this
17	process.
18	My first question is going to be for
19	Commissioner Hogues. There's been some talk
20	recently, there are numerous reports the
21	Governor's lowering the reimbursement rate
22	for our out-of-network doctors, impacting
23	health insurance benefits for the over
24	1 million state employees and retirees who

1	use the Empire Plan. Can you explain how the
2	administration came to this decision to
3	change the reimbursement rate for
4	out-of-network doctors?
5	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: So as I've
6	mentioned, that the agreement between
7	New York State and CSEA will save the state,
8	local governments, and public authorities and
9	enrollees over \$300 million a year.
10	And so we have a very robust network,
11	and so this will impact individuals that
12	choose to go outside of the network. And
13	so
14	ASSEMBLYMAN DeSTEFANO: How would that
15	affect how did that affect out-of-state
16	workers who retired to like Florida or
17	Colorado or wherever? How does that affect
18	them?
19	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: So we once
20	again, we have a network that spans across
21	the country that is and we're always
22	looking to bring individuals, organizations
23	into our network to make it more expansive.
24	But when we took a look at it, some of

1	the charges for out-of-network were
2	astronomical, and we just couldn't cover
3	that. And once again, I have to emphasize
4	that our network is pretty darn good. And
5	the services and the providers in it are
6	excellent. And so when we took a look at
7	this, we said it makes sense to be fiscally
8	responsible. And we believe that individuals
9	have the opportunity to get the services and
10	support they need in-network.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN DeSTEFANO: Okay. So is
12	there an estimate of what we think this is
13	going to save the plan?
14	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: And so that was
15	the 300 million annually.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN DeSTEFANO: Is that in the
17	Governor's budget, like the proposal's going
18	to be added in that we're going to save this
19	amount of money that's
20	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: That that
21	that is so that was in it's part of the
22	contract.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN DeSTEFANO: Okay. Moving
24	on, Governor Hochul has stated that the

state's public workforce has been facing a shortage of 12,500 workers, with more than 26 percent of the state workforce eligible for retirement in the next five years.

I know we kind of touched on it a little bit, but is there any real specific way of recruiting -- I mean, we talk about the communities and going into the communities and things. Is there any real specific ways of trying to recruit more people to come to the workforce?

As we all know, when we took these -- I've been a civil servant for 30 years.

COMMISSIONER HOGUES: Thank you.

ASSEMBLYMAN DeSTEFANO: I know what it is. But when we took these jobs back in the day, it was the idea that we weren't getting paid a higher salary but we had benefits to offset the salary that we weren't getting.

Where are we bridging the gap between what the public sector and the private sector pay, putting the health insurance and all the other benefits that come with it -- how do we get that message to the people that we are

j	ust	as	viable	as	the	private	sector?

commissioner Hogues: Well, thank you so much for that question. And part of our proposal is a marketing campaign that talks about how great it is to be in state government and choosing state government as a career.

And so we've done a lot of work with my partner to my right, DOL, to promote careers in government. And it's been successful. In our entry-level law enforcement, we had over a million hits to some of the marketing things that we did. And it was just taking individuals that are currently working for the state workforce, videotape them talking about the stuff that they do on a regular basis and how they value it.

And so there's a comprehensive approach to it. It -- it's -- like I said, some is going to be through social media. A lot of it is also going to be through boots on the ground talking with community-based organizations and those types to be able to

1	not only talk about opportunity but once
2	again demystify what it is to enter into
3	state government through the competitive
4	ranks.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN DeSTEFANO: Thank you.
6	My last question is going to be for
7	Commissioner Reardon. Remember years ago we
8	had all those Zoomyland conferences about the
9	antiquated system that we had? And at that
10	time when we spoke, you said that you were
11	going to be doing a lot more in upgrades to
12	the system. Have we got there yet?
13	COMMISSIONER REARDON: We are we
14	are in the last part of the modernization. I
15	mean, the unfortunately during the
16	pandemic our contractor had to not pause,
17	but slow down a little bit in order to help
18	us stabilize the old mainframe system so it
19	wouldn't just blow up.
20	But, you know, we are getting there.
21	We hope by the end of the year to roll out
22	the mod, and it will be great.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN DeSTEFANO: Thank you.
24	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.

1	To the Senate.
2	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
3	much.
4	Next up is Senator Hinchey.
5	SENATOR HINCHEY: Thank you. I was
6	unprepared for this moment. Thank you.
7	Thank you all for being here and for
8	your work. I'm going to take a shot and have
9	my first question hopefully be for the
10	commissioner. Tell me if you have an opinion
1	here, knowing we don't have someone from PERB
12	speaking today. With the farmworker bill and
13	the movement forward with the regulations
14	that you just put in place, it's more
15	important than ever to have a properly funded
16	and functioning PERB. I hear that both from
17	farmers and farmworkers who are trying to
18	call the agency and don't have people who
19	speak the language, they don't have answers,
20	it takes very long. And yet in the budget
21	the funding a lot of that funding was cut.
22	Is there anything knowing it's not

Is there anything -- knowing it's not necessarily exactly your purview, but having a strong stake at this moment, you know, how

1	can we be better at making sure not only that
2	they're funded but that now that we have
3	these new regulations that we're actually
4	being responsive and getting information out
5	and doing it in a way that serves both the
6	workers and the farmers?
7	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Is that a me
8	question?
9	SENATOR HINCHEY: I'll open it to any
10	of you because I think so, but
11	COMMISSIONER REARDON: So I'll take a
12	crack at it. You know, PERB is not in my
13	lane at all. And so I think that it you
14	know, if there are issues, I think people
15	need to raise them. PERB is a small agency
16	and I think the you're talking about the
17	farmworker organizing part of it. And I
18	think if there are issues, it just makes
19	sense for people to raise those early and
20	often so people understand that there's a
21	need there.
22	SENATOR HINCHEY: Then I'll just put
23	it on your radar: They are. They are
24	raising them.

1	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I just heard
2	yesterday, actually.
3	SENATOR HINCHEY: Yeah, they are
4	raising them. And quite frankly PERB is not
5	being helpful, they are not kind of in the
6	place that they should be.
7	And so maybe we can all try to work
8	together here to figure out a better path
9	forward both in staffing and funding and
10	others.
1	Quickly, my next question is for
12	Commissioner Hogues. You know, we could have
13	a whole other conversation as you're
14	answering now on much of the civil service
15	exam. But I'll raise in our communities I
16	represent the Hudson Valley, and we have a
L7	lot of very small police departments who are
18	struggling to recruit new members, and
19	they're very important to our areas.
20	You know, some of the challenges they
21	face are between lack of reciprocity between
22	counties. And so, briefly, are you open or

do you -- how do you see that? And do you

see an avenue or a lane there to be able to

23

1	expand that option?
2	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: Yeah, that's a
3	difficult question that we'd probably have to
4	sit down and talk to further. Because
5	there's the reciprocity issue that exists in
6	state government, agency to agency and who
7	has the funds. But I will be more than
8	SENATOR HINCHEY: Would love to talk
9	with you more about that. Thank you.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
11	Assembly.
12	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
13	Bronson.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Thank you,
15	Madam Chair.
16	And thank you all for coming and
17	testifying. My questions are going to be for
18	Commissioner Reardon. I also want to thank
19	you for being so accessible and being a
20	partner with us.
21	My first question relates to
22	apprenticeships. And I know you're a fan of
23	apprenticeships. I am as well and think that
24	every industry should have apprenticeship

1	programs. But we passed the Empire State
2	Apprenticeship Tax Credit a number of years
3	ago, we have renewed it and extended it.
4	And my question is, how are we doing?
5	Are folks taking advantage of that tax
6	credit? And if so, do you have any data on
7	that?
8	COMMISSIONER REARDON: First of all,
9	thank you very much for passing that, because
10	it has really helped us expand
11	apprenticeships. It is really great. People
12	get an extra bump if they work with
13	disadvantaged youth, and they also get an
14	extra bump if they have a mentoring program.
15	Really smart add to that.
16	We had 63 applications last year in
17	2022, which considering a pandemic year,
18	really good. We had 85 tax credit
19	certificates issued in '21. The credits
20	totaled approximately \$700,000. It is a
21	really robust opportunity. We want to expand
22	it everywhere.
23	And I'd just say that two of the new
24	apprenticeship programs that we have this

1	year Steinway Pianos has a new registered
2	apprenticeship program where they learn to
3	build a Steinway piano from beginning to end.
4	And Tiffany's now has a registered
5	apprenticeship program for jewel-setters.
6	And I visited both of them. It is amazing.
7	It is an incredible opportunity for young
8	people middle-aged people. They're not
9	all really young to get really great
10	training and have a fabulous career.
11	So thank you.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: All right,
13	great. That's good news. I'm glad that the
14	program's working.
15	Chair Joyner asked this question about
16	the legislation we passed in the 2017-2018
17	cycle that would require a searchable
18	database catalog online. I'm glad to hear
19	that is up and running. I'm sad to tell you
20	I've had three staff people look for it
21	online and could not find it. So we need to
22	do some work in that regard.
23	And the question is
24	COMMISSIONER REARDON: It's on the

1	New York State Open Data website. It's not
2	on our website.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Ah, maybe that's
4	why.
5	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yeah. Yeah.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Okay. So the
7	question is this. Does it include job
8	training programs that are not through the
9	Department of Labor but might be through SED,
10	SUNY or ESD?
11	COMMISSIONER REARDON: So we gather
12	information from all the state agencies that
13	have workforce programs. We also have the
14	eligible provider training list. And we are
15	beginning an inventory of training programs
16	with an emphasis on regional pipelines, and
17	we're going to get them published in a more
18	friendly format than an Excel file, frankly.
19	We are working very closely with SUNY
20	and CUNY on all of this. And again, we want
21	to make it more robust. You should be able
22	to find a training program easily.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: One quick
24	question, yes or no: Does that information

1	include outcomes?									
2	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I don't think									
3	so.									
4	ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Thank you.									
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate.									
6	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very									
7	much.									
8	Next is Ranker Jack Martins on Labor,									
9	five minutes.									
10	SENATOR MARTINS: Commissioner, how									
11	are you?									
12	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Good afternoon.									
13	SENATOR MARTINS: And Commissioner and									
14	Director.									
15	I believe in the dignity of work. I									
16	Believe it's important for us to make sure									
17	that we put New Yorkers to work and that									
18	they're paid a fair wage. And I think that									
19	sort of drives our vocation here, certainly									
20	for me on the Senate Labor Committee.									
21	To that end, I hear from our building									
22	trades that we have all of this work that we									
23	put out prevailing wage work, work that									
24	requires certified payrolls. And that									

1	there's a concern that there's no one
2	actually checking, verifying that people are
3	complying with those requirements. And from
4	time to time someone is caught. But there's
5	a sense, certainly in the building trade
6	community, that it's much more prevalent, and
7	they hope that there's going to be an
8	enforcement mechanism.
9	Can you speak to that?
10	COMMISSIONER REARDON: So I have not
11	had anyone raise that to me in that
12	particular way.
13	We you know, we again, we follow
14	tips. When somebody registers a complaint,
15	we go out and pull it we pull a lot of
16	these payroll devices all the time. Let me
17	talk to my worker protection people and
18	see actually, probably more like public
19	work than worker protection. But let me see
20	if there's other ways that we could enforce
21	it.
22	I have not had the construction trades
23	bring this to me, so I'm a little surprised.

But I'd be happy to look into it.

1	SENATOR MARTINS: I've been hearing
2	about it for years. And certainly
3	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Well, they
4	should talk to me.
5	SENATOR MARTINS: Well, I have spoken
6	to you in the past about it as well,
7	Commissioner. So we'll we'll revisit it.
8	For our civil service list,
9	Commissioner, I have a Hogues, excuse
10	me I have a request. I come from Nassau
11	County. We have fire marshals in
12	Nassau County in particular that are
13	desperately in need of lists and tests. And
14	since I'm here, I'm taking the opportunity to
15	place that and would like the opportunity
16	perhaps to go back and look.
17	I know that we have an opportunity
18	and there is always some difficulty in making
19	sure that lists and tests are current. But
20	some of these may go back a few years. I
21	would just appreciate it if you'd look into
22	that. Because again, there are opportunities
23	for employment, they're restricted from being
24	able to employ people, especially when we're

1	dealing with emergency services personnel.
2	And I would certainly appreciate it,
3	and I'll follow up with you after this
4	hearing.
5	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: Yes, I am
6	definitely open to it.
7	SENATOR MARTINS: But I heard earlier
8	that the lists are current. Some of them
9	have that are more popular have been put
10	online. And so I would just ask, with
11	respect to fire marshals and emergency fire
12	services, perhaps if you would go back and
13	check on those lists to make sure that they
14	are actually current.
15	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: Yes. I most
16	certainly will.
17	SENATOR MARTINS: And Commissioner
18	Reardon, going back to unemployment
19	insurance. You know, there's a real concern
20	out there I know we've all heard it.
21	You've heard it from some of my colleagues
22	already.
23	You know, is there has there been a
24	discussion or is there the possibility we
<u>~</u> ¬	argeassion or is chere the bossibility we

understand that the state does have a surplus this year. We also understand that there was a tremendous amount of money spent during the pandemic to keep people employed. We are now repaying that debt to the federal government.

Is there any discussion with regard to providing relief for small business owners to allow for some of that unemployment insurance debt or payment to actually be ameliorated?

COMMISSIONER REARDON: So again, because we did not do the experience rating for the two years, every business got \$836, approximately, for three years for each employee that they had. So there has been some relief already.

The repayment of the federal loan for the -- to make sure we can make the payments, that is a federal regulation. And the amount and all of that is dictated by federal law.

That said, we have worked with USDOL.

I know the executive chamber has worked with the delegation. We have worked with associations. We've worked with other states. We're looking at many ways to try to

1	relieve	 any	way	we	can	relieve	any	of	this
2	debt.								

We are one of eight states that signed a letter to Janet Yellen saying that we think that it's up to the federal government to forgive that debt. But so far we have not received any relief.

SENATOR MARTINS: I do appreciate that. But again, there are monies that are available and there are ways for the state to offset those expenses with state resources, some of which actually came from the federal government, in relief, for COVID-related expenses in the state, and they were not used for unemployment insurance, they were used for other things.

Now that we have a surplus, have there been discussions to use it for relief?

COMMISSIONER REARDON: That is -- that is not some discussion that I would be having. But I would certainly, you know, have a conversation with the executive chamber about it.

You know, the Governor had a large

1	amount of problems to solve with a relatively
2	small amount of money.
3	SENATOR MARTINS: And a surplus.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
5	Assembly.
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman
7	Giglio.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GIGLIO: Hi. Thank you
9	so much for being here, and thank you for all
10	you do. Really appreciate all of your
11	cooperation with all of my constituents, not
12	only during the pandemic for unemployment
13	insurance, as my other colleagues have
14	stated, but you are faced with a very
15	difficult task, all of you, and I appreciate
16	you being here.
17	My question is well, first of all,
18	I think we're running around in circles
19	because the real problem to the workforce in
20	New York State is Tier 6. We need to fix
21	Tier 6. Is anyone having those conversations
22	with our Comptroller, Thomas DiNapoli, to
23	come up with solutions to incentivize people
24	to enter the workforce? Because the starting

1	pays are so low, the what they have to pay
2	is so high that it doesn't pay for them to
3	come to work. They'd rather be on
4	unemployment.
5	So is anyone having conversations with
6	the Comptroller on how to fix Tier 6?
7	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: So I have not
8	had conversations with the Comptroller as far
9	as Tier 6.
10	But when I look at the state's
11	compensation package as whole, paid time off
12	and Tier 6, obviously compared to the
13	other tiers, may not be as be favorable. But
14	when I look at it, I believe we are
15	competitive.
16	But the other thing I realize is that
17	our pay structure dates back to the 1950s.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GIGLIO: Exactly.
19	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: And so that's
20	why we are putting that in our proposal, to
21	really look at that and look at the job
22	evaluation so we can evaluate the jobs
23	appropriately.
24	We know that in some of the studies

1	that we've done, that jobs predominantly
2	filled by women are at a lower rate. And so
3	we're taking all that into account to make
4	sure that we are at an appropriate level to
5	be able to compete.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GIGLIO: Thank you. And I thank you for your response, and you've answered my question. Thank you very much.

And speaking of that, with women having to get back into the workforce, the biggest hurdle is childcare. And that their monthly pay pays for childcare and they are working really for the benefits.

So I'm wondering, because we have state childcare facilities here, what you are all doing about putting childcare or incentivizing private manufacturers to put in childcare facilities so that they can bring these people to the workforce. Not only in the state and county facilities, but in the private manufacturing facilities. And we all do need to focus on buying from New York and our private manufacturers.

And, Commissioner, if you would please

1	answer that question for me.
2	COMMISSIONER REARDON: So I was on the
3	Childcare Availability Task Force. I'm on it
4	again; it's been re-upped. I'm very proud to
5	do that work. And childcare is absolutely
6	the top of every woman's mind who works. It
7	has taken women out of
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GIGLIO: Women and men,
9	because now women are moving up in the
10	workforce and men are home taking care of the
11	children.
12	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yup. Every
13	parent. Every parent has this concern. And
14	we are very focused on it. I think you
15	probably saw in the news that President
16	Biden's CHIPS Act includes incentivizing that
17	kind of childcare, and it's a great move.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GIGLIO: Thank you for
19	your thoughtful answers.
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
21	To the Senate.
22	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
23	Assemblymember Shelley Mayer.
24	SENATOR MAYER: Thank you. Thank you

very much. And thank you, Commissioner, and all of you for being here.

A question for you, Commissioner

Reardon, again on this issue of home care.

What is the precedent for excluding a group

of low-wage workers from an across-the-board

minimum-wage increase? I've just never seen

such a thing before. We fought tooth and

nail to get that money. It did not come

easy. It's hard for me to understand what is

the Governor's justification for excluding

them if other workers receive, for example, a

dollar wage increase.

COMMISSIONER REARDON: Well, I mean right now they're not working at the regular minimum wage, I would assume is what the thinking was. I don't know. But, you know, they are two or three dollars above the minimum wage.

SENATOR MAYER: But just -respectfully -- that's what we did. That's
what we passed. That's why we did it, to get
them above the minimum wage in order to keep
them in their jobs.

1	So I'm asking, what's the
2	justification for not continuing that logic
3	that they needed to be above minimum wage in
4	order to retain and attract new people?
5	COMMISSIONER REARDON: As I understand
6	it, the additional money put to home care
7	workers was to stabilize that part of the
8	industry and attract more people to it. And
9	it was in a specific moment in time, not
10	necessarily forever.
11	And as I said, as the wages go up, as
12	they begin to be more in parity, it can be
13	looked at again. But that was my
14	understanding of the logic.
15	SENATOR MAYER: Okay. Question number
16	two. Your report shows that violations of
17	the child labor laws in New York State went
18	up incredibly, 68 percent in 2022. That is I
19	think the Department of Labor's report.
20	Are there any criminal convictions
21	associated with that? You in your
22	testimony you reflect civil fines.
23	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I do not know
24	specifically what is you know, what came

1	out of all there were a lot of fines. We
2	don't tend to do we don't do the criminal
3	stuff, we have to refer it. So I don't know
4	what those referrals were. I can find out.
5	We do know that in the last two years
6	specifically there was a large increase, and
7	it is very alarming. You all saw the
8	articles in the New York Times. We'll be
9	working very closely with the Governor on
10	this. We want to do a lot more focus on it.
11	Obviously we cannot have children in
12	dangerous situations in any job, let alone in
13	some of the horrendous situations that were
14	revealed in the Times article.
15	SENATOR MAYER: Right. That's why I'm
16	asking about criminal penalties.
17	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yeah. I mean,
18	they would have to be referred to a DA.
19	SENATOR MAYER: Yes, I understand. If
20	someone could get back to me, that would be
21	good.
22	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yup.
23	SENATOR MAYER: I want to thank
24	Commissioner Hogues I'd be remiss if I

1	didn't for the change in the rule that
2	allows now EMS workers that are associated
3	with a not-for-profit a quasi-municipality
4	to obtain Empire Plan. Thank you very much.
5	That is a game-changer for EMS workers
6	throughout the state.
7	But I did have a question about the
8	frequency of tests. You referenced that a
9	number of tests are moving to virtual tests
10	as opposed to in-person. What percentage of
11	the tests will be online? If you know.
12	Just
13	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: Yeah, we'll have
14	to look at it and see.
15	SENATOR MAYER: You don't have a
16	percentage.
17	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: No. No, we
18	don't have a percentage.
19	SENATOR MAYER: Thank you.
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
21	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
22	We go to Assemblyman Smullen.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN SMULLEN: Thank you,
24	Chair.

1	Commissioners and Director, thanks for
2	being here today.
3	I just want to make sure I have got
4	all this straight in my head sort of thing as
5	we, you know, wrap up what happened in the
6	coronavirus pandemic. We owe \$8 billion to
7	the federal government. That's a rough
8	figure. We lost, according to the
9	Comptroller, somewhere around \$11 billion.
10	COMMISSIONER REARDON: We dispute that
11	fact.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN SMULLEN: I'm sorry?
13	COMMISSIONER REARDON: We do not agree
14	with that. It was not a finding of the
15	audit.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN SMULLEN: What is your
17	number? How much did we lose?
18	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Four billion.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN SMULLEN: Four billion?
20	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Four billion.
21	Of which \$388 million was in the state trust.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN SMULLEN: I'll let you
23	argue with the Comptroller over methods and
24	means of forensic accounting.

1	And now we're over the next five
2	years we're going to ask our small businesses
3	for about \$6 billion to make sure the fund is
4	topped up?
5	COMMISSIONER REARDON: We have to
6	repay the fund that was the money that
7	the funding that was borrowed from the
8	federal government. And the fund needs to be
9	rebuilt.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN SMULLEN: But we're asking
11	our small businesses essentially to
12	COMMISSIONER REARDON: We're asking
13	all businesses that have employees
14	ASSEMBLYMAN SMULLEN: All businesses,
15	50 percent of which are small businesses in
16	New York State, which provide the
17	COMMISSIONER REARDON: That's the law.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN SMULLEN: The vast
19	majority of employers are small businesses.
20	COMMISSIONER REARDON: That's the law.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN SMULLEN: Right. Of
22	course. And now one of the things that has
23	occurred to me as we're in this panel here
24	with the Governor's Office of Employee

1	Relations is we're thinking about allowing
2	more people to telecommute. And one of the
3	things during the pandemic was is that
4	telecommuting is probably one of the reasons
5	why we lost contact with people that we could
6	then verify that they were a citizen, a
7	person eligible for unemployment insurance
8	benefit.
9	Is your department fully back to work
10	and staffed to see people in-person to
11	determine
12	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yes. Yes. All
13	of our 96 Career Centers have been open for
14	quite a while. Our state workers are back in
15	the office.
16	We do have a telecommuting policy. We
17	have a small number of workers who almost a
18	hundred percent telecommute because they are
19	telephone agents and they're on a phone. So
20	they don't have to be in an office.
21	Everybody else is back. But there is a
22	policy that allows people, under certain
23	circumstances, to have some days of

telecommuting.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN SMULLEN: Sure, and I
2	obviously that's you know, that's a good
3	thing, but you have to verify that, you know
4	the person is there for their insurance
5	claim.

commissioner Reardon: We are very, very careful about who gets to telecommute and who does not, and they have to have a business plan, as it were, with their supervisor. It was something that we rolled out before the pandemic, actually, which was very successful, and then we were ready, sadly, to handle, you know, everybody going remote. But they are back at work because we need them.

ASSEMBLYMAN SMULLEN: And we do, we need them on the job doing the thing. I'm still receiving unemployment -- just so you know and the public knows, still receiving questions about unemployment insurance claims from the pandemic, still adjudicating claims and working with your offices to do so. And I appreciate all the employees that have worked so hard to do that.

1	Because there are still issues out
2	there. Just so everyone knows, this isn't
3	quite over yet, and we need to kind of work
4	our way through the rest of these issues.
5	Thank you so much.
6	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yes. Thank
7	you.
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
9	Senator Rhoads has joined us and has a
10	question or two.
11	SENATOR RHOADS: Okay. Thank you very
12	much, Chairwoman, I appreciate that.
13	And thank you to our panelists for
14	your presentations and for your thoughtful
15	answers to our questions.
16	Just a follow-up for Commissioner
17	Reardon. I know that my colleague Senator
18	Martins inquired about surplus money. And I
19	know that you said it was a bit above your
20	pay grade
21	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I don't handle
22	that part of the budget.
23	SENATOR RHOADS: to make a
24	determination with respect to that.

1	Is there any prohibition in the
2	federal regulations to the state using
3	surplus money rather than tapping into
4	employers to provide those funds?

COMMISSIONER REARDON: I don't believe there is. I'm not quite sure -- the term "surplus money." But I -- you know, states can make arrangements, you know, as they wish.

The federal government requires that it's repaid. And it has -- and FUTA requires the schedule for that repayment. Other states have done other things.

But I must say the enormous amount of problems that were presented to New York

State by this pandemic were huge, and UI was only part of it. And, you know, the Governor has made decisions that she needed to make.

I just want to remind everyone about the pandemic. When this pandemic hit, almost 2 million people lost their jobs by the end of April. They didn't do it because they wanted to; they were shut down. We were the epicenter of the pandemic in this country.

1	As somebody said to me, we were the bleeding
2	edge. And we took it on the chin. UI was
3	the only lifeline these people had. And that
4	was job one in my agency, and I will never
5	apologize for that.
6	SENATOR RHOADS: Not questioning, and
7	I'm not asking you to apologize for it. We
8	took it on the chin in terms of our
9	workforce.
10	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yes.
11	SENATOR RHOADS: But our employers
12	also took it on the chin. They didn't shut
13	their businesses down, we shut their
14	businesses down.
15	And so now that this unemployment
16	insurance has to be repaid, I think the state
17	should have some increased responsibility in
18	helping shoulder that burden, especially
19	since we did receive COVID relief money which
20	is now, in part, is what's funding a
21	projected surplus that we have for this
22	particular year.
23	COMMISSIONER REARDON: And as I've

said, we've reached out to federal partners,

1	to other associations, to everybody who will
2	listen to us, to see if there's some way we
3	can make a dent on it.
4	SENATOR RHOADS: Understood.
5	And just with respect to I guess
6	this would go to Commissioner I want to
7	pronounce your name correctly.
8	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: Hogues.
9	SENATOR RHOADS: Hogues, Commissioner
10	Hogues.
11	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: Like
12	stakeholder.
13	(Laughter.)
14	SENATOR RHOADS: With with I
15	appreciate that.
16	With respect to the Governor's plan
17	for continuous recruitment testing, would
18	that continuous recruitment testing be
19	would police, fire, EMS personal be eligible
20	for that continuous testing as well?
21	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: Yes.
22	SENATOR RHOADS: Okay. I appreciate
23	it. Thank you so much.
24	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.

1	Assembly.
2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
3	Jacobson.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN JACOBSON: All right,
5	thank you, Madam Chair.
6	And thank you all for your testimony.
7	Just like we don't want to build a
8	bridge to nowhere, we don't want to have
9	training that leads nowhere and does not lead
10	to good-paying jobs. I do believe in the
11	power of government to do good, but we must
12	insist that our money is spent wisely.
13	Otherwise, those who want spending by
14	government will be met by the usual refrain:
15	I told you so, it's just wasteful spending.
16	I also believe in effective workforce
17	development. This means talking to potential
18	employers and unions and have them help to
19	shape the curriculum. This also means
20	extensive follow-up on the results of the
21	programs. In order to ensure that these
22	state dollars are well spent, we must insist
23	on metrics to verify that the programs are

working and successful, by requiring every

entity receiving workforce development dollars to provide data on an annual basis.

And this data would include the outreach that was done and how many persons were actually reached; what organizations are participating in the training, such as labor unions and the Council of Industry; the type of training and certification offered and provided; how many people started the courses and how many completed them. And of those who completed, how many became employed and at what wages. How many of those who became employed are still working six, 12, 18 months and 24 months after becoming employed, and are they still working for the same employer or in the same field or otherwise, and what wages are they now receiving.

I know I can talk to any union and find out this information about their own training and apprenticeship programs, but I've talked to too many groups, including workers at the Department of Labor, who could not give me this information. We should demand this from all who receive workforce

development money from the state.

There are numerous groups focused on workforce development, but we lack the metrics to determine if they are successful.

By adding this language in the budget, we can make sure that every workforce development dollar is being spent wisely and well. I'm not optimistic that this will happen, so I'm introducing a bill to address this situation.

Plus I have two quick points to make.

One, when is there going to be real relief to the employers who are facing high surcharges, high unemployment insurance surcharges due to the pandemic, which is not their fault? And secondly, on civil service, I was told by police departments that when they go to hire and they go down the list and they choose somebody and that person flunks the psychological evaluation, the person is still on the civil service list. So that should be corrected.

CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you,

Assemblyman, for your -- for your statement.

(Laughter.)

1		CHAIRWOMAN	WEINSTEIN:	And	now	to	the
2	Senate.						

CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: It appears that he has many questions he would like answered after the fact. So if you give him answers through sending them in writing to Helene and I, we will make sure that everyone on the committees gets the answers. Thank you.

I don't need my 10 minutes, but I think I'm up next. So I know this has been asked, but we keep getting a little different information. So a follow-up question to Senator Mayer's follow-up to Senator Ramos's question about the home attendants and the money we worked so hard to get added to their salary, because we have a crisis in home care attendants. And now you said that that was always intended to just, I guess, make sure that we were dealing with an immediate crisis and encourage people to come into the system.

So where's your data showing they came into the system and we don't have a crisis anymore? Because that's not what I'm seeing in my city.

1	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Oh, I'm not
2	saying that there's no crisis. I am saying
3	that according to the Center for Healthcare
4	Workforce Studies, there has been a
5	33 percent increase in home healthcare
6	workers since 2017, which is very good news
7	That does not mean the crisis is gone.

Part of the problem, as you well know, is we are in an aging population and we have people -- more and more people who are going to need home care. And we don't have enough workers so fulfill that need. So it is -- we are attracting more people to the workforce, but we also have more aging people who need that care. So that -- you know, that's kind of the situation that we're in.

My understanding was that the \$3 increase was to stabilize the industry and increase the people coming into it. It is technically above the minimum wage. And so I would expect that as it approaches what the minimum wage indexed is, that you'd have another discussion: Do you need to increase that bump, is the need still there?

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So I think that's
2	a later question, because we haven't
3	increased the minimum wage. And we're just
4	looking at, right now, we gave them a bump to
5	try to increase participation and stabilize
6	the industry. So you're telling me there's
7	data showing that between '17 and '23 that
8	there's been a 33 percent
9	COMMISSIONER REARDON: According to
10	what I have here.
11	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay. So we
12	didn't go down during the COVID period, we
13	just have been on a straight line up on
14	participation?
15	COMMISSIONER REARDON: That I don't
16	know. So let me find out for you. I'm just
17	reading what they gave me, and I'll get more
18	details for you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And you are
20	right, of course, that the aging population
21	continues to grow. You know, it's good news
22	about modernization of healthcare: We are
23	living longer, and we're being kept alive
24	longer, which means there are more and more

of us who actually hit the stages of life that we refer to as the frail elderly.

So I would also love to see on a chart where we are in growth or plummets in home care, where we are on growth in aging during that same time period. And if you possibly can see where there are waiting lists and demand -- because again, I'm from New York City, and I can't speak for everybody in their districts, but I know that we literally have people who aren't allowed to leave hospitals because there are no home care workers available and discharge planning requires they have it. That we have people who are literally calling my office every day, can I help them get some kind of home care because they've got nothing.

And so I just don't believe we have in any way, shape or form resolved the issues that justified our giving them a \$3 increase --

COMMISSIONER REARDON: I would imagine that there's more to it than just the wages.

So, you know, it is a bigger question which

1	we could probably talk about offline for a
2	long time. But, you know, the wages
3	definitely has helped.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So if the wages
5	have helped and we still have a crisis,
6	taking away the wages seems to be
7	counterintuitive.
8	And yes, we should be increasing
9	everyone's minimum wage. And I suppose at
10	some point in time we could even have a
11	conversation about why are some jobs mandated
12	above minimum wage, or should they be. But I
13	really don't feel that we've got to that
14	point in our state yet at this point.
15	So I very much would love to see that
16	data, because the Governor may have decided
17	to do this but I don't think you actually
18	believe this is a good idea. And I think the
19	data would back all of us up on that.
20	And I'm going to give back my five
21	minutes. Thank you.
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
23	So we're going to go to Assemblyman
24	Slater for three minutes.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN SLATER: Thank you very
2	much.
3	Good afternoon, good evening, whatever
4	time of the day it is. It's great to see all
5	of you here.
6	Most of my questions actually were
7	asked earlier, so I'm kind of shooting from
8	the hip here. But one of the things that I
9	saw in the Governor's proposed budget that
10	troubled me deeply was her attempt to take
11	away local zoning when it comes to some of
12	the housing projects in the Hudson Valley and
13	Long Island.
14	One of the things that I didn't see
15	and I'm hoping you can clarify for me I
16	didn't see any labor requirement specifically
17	with regards to a PLA or a prevailing wage.
18	Did you see that in her proposal?
19	COMMISSIONER REARDON: No.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN SLATER: Is that in her
21	proposal?
22	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Not that I know
23	of.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN SLATER: So there's no

1	requirement in that regard to ensure a fair
2	wage on those projects.
3	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Not that I know
4	of.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN SLATER: Okay. Thank you
6	very much. I appreciate that.
7	Secondly, in regards to apprenticeship
8	programs, something I'm particularly
9	interested in is how can we better foster a
10	dialogue and a pipeline between our school
11	districts and bona fide apprenticeship
12	programs? I'm going to be visiting Putnam
13	Northern Westchester BOCES tomorrow morning.
14	COMMISSIONER REARDON: You're singing
15	to me.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN SLATER: Yeah. Well, I
17	think it's something that's critically
18	important. Not everyone needs to go to
19	college, and we need to make sure that we're
20	obviously recognizing the importance that
21	apprenticeship programs provide.
22	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Here's the way
23	I've learned to frame it. When I first came
24	into the DOL we talked about that was an

1	alternative to college. And one day I went,
2	This is an insult to the parents and the
3	young people that we're addressing. It makes
4	them feel like they're lesser than.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN SLATER: Hundred percent.
6	COMMISSIONER REARDON: It is an
7	alternative form of higher education. And it
8	is as valuable as a college education.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN SLATER: Yes. Absolutely.
10	COMMISSIONER REARDON: So I have
11	worked extensively with the building trades
12	and our apprenticeship folks to make sure
13	that we are going into high schools. We need
14	to go into middle schools, because that's
15	really where kids begin to get the idea, and
16	expose them to what this is.
17	There are some wonderful
18	pre-apprenticeship programs. In New York
19	City there's NEW, Nontraditional Employment
20	for Women. And women come in and they go
21	through this program where they learn basic
22	trades, it's a direct-entry program, they
23	choose the apprenticeship they want to go
24	into, and they're on a path for success.

1	We need more of that. We need to
2	expose young people to this idea that working
3	with your hands is a gratifying career. It's
4	not something other, it is fabulous. But I'm
5	with you.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN SLATER: I appreciate it
7	and look forward to having a more detailed
8	dialogue about ways that we can
9	COMMISSIONER REARDON: We can go to
10	schools together.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN SLATER: That sounds
12	great. That sounds great.
13	Lastly, I just want to go back to a
14	comment that was made in response to Senator
15	Martins' question. When it comes to wage
16	theft, you're saying that you've never heard
17	from the construction industry that it's a
18	problem? Because
19	COMMISSIONER REARDON: It's a problem,
20	but I've never heard that we I mean, I
21	never heard it stated that way.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN SLATER: Okay. Well, I
23	would again invite the conversation
24	COMMISSIONER REARDON: There's always

1	wage theft. There's always problems.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN SLATER: I have two
3	business managers down in the Hudson Valley,
4	where I represent, Ed Cook being one of them.
5	And every conversation I have is about wage
6	theft and how there's a lack of enforcement
7	when it comes
8	COMMISSIONER REARDON: And every time
9	they ask us we go and we investigate and we
10	pull the wage records and we do what we need
11	to do. It's not that we don't talk to the
12	labor unions.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN SLATER: If we could have
14	a I think more detailed dialogue about that,
15	I know that would go a long way.
16	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yup. Yup.
17	Happy to do it.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN SLATER: I'd appreciate
19	it. Thank you.
20	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you.
21	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate, any
22	more?
23	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. We
24	have a three-month three-minute follow-up

1	by Senator Ramos.
2	SENATOR RAMOS: I'll take three
3	months. That's great.
4	(Laughter.)
5	SENATOR RAMOS: Thank you, Senator
6	Krueger.
7	Commissioner Reardon.
8	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Hey, Senator
9	Ramos.
10	SENATOR RAMOS: Construction injuries
11	and deaths are on the rise and have been
12	surpassing, actually, pre-pandemic levels.
13	It's been a problem for a long time and why I
14	created a Worker Fatal Registry that the DOL
15	significantly delayed to implement. And I
16	was disappointed that they were unwilling to
17	meet with our counsel, as we requested, to
18	make sure that there was compliance. Because
19	as of right now, it's not.
20	The registry is finally posted, but it
21	does not provide information about where a
22	worker was killed and the circumstances
23	surrounding their deaths. How can we work

together to improve this?

1	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Was that in the
2	original law, was that required?
3	SENATOR RAMOS: It was.
4	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Okay, so then
5	we'll have a conversation about how to
6	improve the website.
7	Part of as you know, part of
8	our significant problem and we welcomed
9	this regulation. Part of our issue was that
10	it fell on the county coroners and people
11	like that to report the deaths to us. We had
12	no mechanism to force them to do that.
13	So we have done extensive outreach.
14	We have attended their conferences to talk to
15	them as groups. We have attended them we
16	talk to them in their localities. We've
17	impressed upon them how important this
18	information is. And we are getting better
19	compliance. Right now our numbers for
20	New York City are actually higher than the
21	New York City numbers, because our staff is
22	really trained to keep an eye on what's going
23	on.
24	SENATOR RAMOS: All right. I really

1	appreciate that
2	COMMISSIONER REARDON: It is irregular
3	across the state.
4	SENATOR RAMOS: All right. I really
5	appreciate that because the point of the
6	registry is to figure out patterns and
7	pinpoint improvements to working conditions
8	that need to be made.
9	I have time for one more. In 2016 the
10	Joint Task Force to Fight Worker Exploitation
11	and Employee Misclassification was
12	established. However, the previous Governor
13	eliminated the yearly report that had
14	initially been required. So in addition to
15	being a blatant issue of transparency for
16	New Yorkers, how do we expect the task force
17	to be successful and their recommendations to
18	be implemented without a yearly report?
19	COMMISSIONER REARDON: If you'd like a
20	yearly report, you probably should tell us to
21	do it.
22	SENATOR RAMOS: Please do it. I can
23	tell you more formally as well.

COMMISSIONER REARDON: Okay. Thank

1	you.
2	SENATOR RAMOS: All right.
3	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Assembly.
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
5	Assemblyman Ardila.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN ARDILA: (Mic off.) Thank
7	you so much. Nice to see you, Commissioner.
8	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN ARDILA: My district is a
10	waterfront district. We have communities in
11	Long Island City facing the East River,
12	communities in Maspeth facing the
13	Newtown Creek. What can we do in terms of
14	enhancing climate-resilient projects to
15	combat storms and floodings throughout the
16	state?
17	And Part B, how can we ensure that we
18	are upholding goals set out by the Climate
19	Leadership and Community Protection Act so
20	that employers within the renewable energy
21	sector are the ones getting government
22	subsidies for prevailing wages and worker
23	protections?
24	COMMISSIONER REARDON: So interesting

1	question. Of course I don't I'm not the
2	agency that oversees the resiliency part. I
3	think that's probably NYSERDA and DEC. But
4	happy to work with them.
5	Our charge is to make sure that we ar
6	training workers in the clean energy sector.
7	And I'm very happy to say that the Just
8	Energy Transition Office will be at the DOL,
9	because that's what we do. We train workers
10	and we're very happy to do that.
11	I want to make sure that workers
12	across the state have opportunities to work
13	and thrive in those sectors, including in
14	your district, where you obviously are
15	challenged by rising water.
16	Specifically to make sure that you're
17	being compliant with the
18	ASSEMBLYMAN ARDILA: Superstorms,
19	actually, not just rising water.
20	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yeah, I know
21	everything.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN ARDILA: Ida yeah.
23	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yeah. It's
24	bad. It's not getting better.

1	You know, as to the compliance with
2	the CLCPA, again, it's not something I
3	oversee. But I'm sure the DEC and NYSERDA
4	would be very happy to help you with that.
5	But when it comes to the workforce
6	part of it, we would love to be a part of it.
7	You know, we are very interested in making
8	sure that people who live in those
9	neighborhoods also get to participate in the
10	work.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN ARDILA: I appreciate
12	that. Because we want to make sure that
13	folks aren't being you know, they are
14	getting prevailing wages, that they are
15	getting the PLAs are being met and
16	protections are being upheld.
17	And now I do want to piggyback off of
18	Senator Ramos's previous question, shifting gears
19	into home healthcare workers. If it took the
20	minimum wage to increase to \$18, a \$3 increase,
21	in order to attract home care workers, why are we
22	not keeping that \$3 increase?
23	COMMISSIONER REARDON: That is not a

question that my agency can answer. But, you

1	know, I think that it was put there
2	specifically to again, to stabilize and
3	draw people back into that form of
4	employment.
5	I think the home care worker situation, as
6	I said earlier, is bigger than wages. There are
7	a lot of different issues around why people are
8	not doing it. It's very hard work.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN ARDILA: It is hard work.
10	That's why we should keep it.
11	COMMISSIONER REARDON: It often is
12	done by immigrants, many of whom have been
13	excluded from our country, so that's a
14	dwindling resource. But there's a lot of
15	issues
16	ASSEMBLYMAN ARDILA: Many of which are
17	in my district.
18	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yes. Yeah.
19	And anything we can do to help that
20	industry, to help secure those workers' safe
21	conditions and a good wage, we're happy to
22	do.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN ARDILA: So let's fight to
24	keep to raise the wage for them.

1	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Okay.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN ARDILA: All right. thank
3	you.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
5	Senator Robert Jackson for a
6	three-minute follow-up as chair.
7	SENATOR JACKSON: Thank you.
8	Commissioner Hogues, I got three items
9	I want to touch base with you on. One,
10	regarding 55B and 55C programs, the Governor
11	proposed an increase from 1200 to 1700
12	positions. Do you have any insight on that?
13	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: Yes. So for the
14	first in the program's history, we are
15	approaching that 1200 mark. And to make sure
16	that we are offering an inclusive workforce,
17	we have asked to increase that by 500, to
18	1700 positions. Because like I said, we are
19	bumping up against that number.
20	So that said, the agencies have been
21	doing a great job of making jobs available to
22	individuals with disabilities.
23	SENATOR JACKSON: We're talking
24	about these are people with disabilities, on

1	the are hard under EED and returned with
1	the one hand, under 55B, and veterans with
2	disabilities on 55C. Is that correct?
3	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: That's correct.
4	SENATOR JACKSON: Okay. Well, I
5	support this also. And I just wanted to
6	my staff will follow up with you on some
7	details about that, okay?
8	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: Thank you so
9	much.
10	SENATOR JACKSON: The second one is
11	the extension of the waiver. The
12	Executive the Governor's proposing a
13	one-year extension of the waiver of the
14	income cap for retirees to return to work in
15	school settings. Because it's hard to
16	recruit people, and they would have to give
17	up part of their pension benefits. And so
18	the Governor is proposing this.
19	I support it. But I'm going to ask
20	you to follow up with my staff about the
21	details as to how many have taken advantage
22	of this, so forth and so on.
23	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: We certainly

will do that.

1	SENATOR JACKSON: And the last one is
2	the special accident or death benefits for
3	individuals. So currently municipalities pay
4	a special accidental death benefit for
5	people families of deceased police, fire,
6	emergency medical techs of the New York City
7	Health + Hospitals Corp., and uniformed
8	officers of the NYC Housing Authority,
9	New York City Transit, Department of
10	Corrections, Bridge and Tunnels, so forth and
11	so on.
12	And the Governor is proposing to
13	include counties in this list. Do you have
14	any insight on that at this point in time?
15	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: I don't. So I
16	apologize. That is something that we will
17	have to follow up on.
18	SENATOR JACKSON: This is something we
19	will follow up with you. But obviously
20	accidental death is accidental death. And I
21	support dealing with individuals in our
22	employment that are looking after our safety
23	and security, their accidental death
24	benefits. So my staff will follow up with

1	you.
2	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: Thank you.
3	SENATOR JACKSON: Madam Chairs, thank
4	you very much for the additional time.
5	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
6	Assembly.
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
8	Durso.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN DURSO: Good evening, I
10	think. Soon, right? Thank you, everybody,
11	for being here.
12	So I just had a couple of quick
13	questions, first for Commissioner Hogues with
14	Civil Service, a follow-up to something
15	Assemblyman DeStefano was talking about.
16	Just when you talk about recruitment,
17	bringing people into civil service working
18	for the state, working for local government,
19	even if it's our local municipalities. As
20	someone who came from that, worked as a
21	sanitation worker for 15 years
22	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: Thank you so
23	much.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN DURSO: Please, I loved

1	it.	Sometimes	I w	ish	I was	still	there.
2		(Laughte	er.)				
3		ASSEMBL:	YMAN	DUF	RSO:	But in	regard

ASSEMBLYMAN DURSO: But in regards to that, so we -- he brought up a little bit changes to NYSHIP where we talked about Tier 6 or paying into retirement for longer periods of time, or paying into the rising costs of healthcare that now some of the workers have to do.

Do you think it makes it harder to recruit people to take those civil service jobs that Joe spoke about -- you take these jobs initially because you know you're not getting rich, you know you're not making that certain level of money, but you have your retirement, you have your health benefits, you have a solid job that's protected.

But now with obviously private industry coming in -- which it's always there -- but we want people, qualified people to work for the state and in civil service, do you think it's going to be harder to find those people to do those jobs when your salary range hasn't changed that much, or

1	really hasn't kept up with the times, on top
2	of it paying into your healthcare more,
3	paying into your retirement more? And as
4	someone that came from the sanitation
5	department, now you have to be there till
6	you're 65 years old. In all honesty, I'm 43
7	I could not work till I was 65 years old in
8	the back of a truck.

So what are we doing to recruit people to those jobs, keep them, you know, retain them, but also understanding the needs of each individual civil service job throughout the state?

COMMISSIONER HOGUES: So once again, we are looking at that, and I appreciate the question. We are looking at an opportunity to do a study to modernize the civil service pay structure, which is critical. We've done stuff over the past to increase salaries and offer regional differentials.

But the other thing that we have to realize is that the increased cost is not something that is unique to state government. So private sectors are experiencing the same

increased costs in healthcare benefits and
the sort.

ASSEMBLYMAN DURSO: But that was one of the reasons, like we said, there was always that same thing of I'm taking this job because of my future. Right? It was the retirement, it was the health benefits, it was the fact that maybe you could retire in 20, 25 years, possibly, without too bad of a limp.

Now, you know, working that much longer, the pay structure not going up as high as it probably should, not keeping up with all the essentials that you have to now pay into, I think recruiting qualified candidates and keeping them is going to be very difficult.

COMMISSIONER HOGUES: So there's a couple of quick things there. So there's a sense of job security. Once you get in a competitive position, you have the opportunity to advance throughout state government. And state government is a huge employer. So you can go and see a number of

1	things. You can start out in Parks and end
2	up in DMV. And so it's a huge opportunity.
3	I'd love to talk to you about it.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN DURSO: Thank you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
6	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I think the
7	Senate is done, so back to the Assembly.
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So we have a
9	number of Assemblymembers.
10	Assemblyman Jones.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN JONES: Good afternoon,
12	evening, whatever it is. Thank you all for
13	being here and answering these questions.
14	Thank you for all your work.
15	Commissioner Hogues, we need first
16	of all, I want to ask, how old is civil
17	service? How long has it been in place?
18	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: I apologize, I
19	don't have that answer with
20	ASSEMBLYMAN JONES: Okay, because we
21	were just talking about it up here and we
22	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: It's old.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN JONES: It's old.
2.4	I guess my point around that is I have

1	municipalities, I have counties, I have
2	state I represent a lot of state workers
3	as well. Don't you think we need an upgrade
4	or a rehaul of our civil service? Because I
5	say that and if I hear from another agency,
6	municipality, whatever that says, Hey, you
7	know, we've hired a great worker, you know,
8	they're doing a good job, they have to take
9	the civil service test, they didn't place in
10	the top three. They've been working here for
11	nine, 10 months, we all love them, great job.
12	And we lost them.

And a lot of times when they take that test, it has nothing to do with their job.

And certainly it doesn't have anything to do with the job performance that they've been doing.

So my question is, what are we doing to address that? I know there's waivers and such in place. But what are we doing to address that?

And I do have a second question. I think you -- I don't know if I misheard it.

You were saying something about the counties,

1	because the county structure is they take
2	care of the school districts, at least in
3	upstate take care of the school districts,
4	take care of the municipalities, take care of
5	obviously their county employees and
6	municipalities.
7	You said there's a lot of flexibility
8	they have there? Because they're always
9	blaming the state, honestly.
10	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: Of course they
11	do.
12	(Laughter.)
13	ASSEMBLYMAN JONES: I'd like to hear a
14	little more about that, but I really do want
15	to know about what we're doing to overhaul
16	civil service. Because it just seems
17	antiquated and not addressing the workforce
18	that we need in those certain positions.
19	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: So in the
20	submitted testimony we talked a lot about the
21	modernization of civil service, the
22	opportunity to look at how individuals enter
23	into state government.
24	And so yes, we do need to change to be

1	competitive with the private sector and just
2	to be attractive to individuals that are
3	entering into the workforce.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN JONES: And let's face it,
5	not everybody's a great test-taker. You
6	know, it's just
7	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: And I agree. So
8	some of the things that we've done is we've
9	switched from where you're filling in the
10	bubbles to a training and experience. And so
11	our most recent PCO, professional career
12	opportunities, where a lot of individuals get

some of the things that we've done is we've switched from where you're filling in the bubbles to a training and experience. And so our most recent PCO, professional career opportunities, where a lot of individuals get their entrance into state government, we switched that. In 2019 it was fill in the bubble; in 2022 it was a T&E. And we've started to do preliminary studies against those that took it in 2019 and 2022, and we've seen that those that took it in 2022, versus 2019, have done better. And we could talk more about --

ASSEMBLYMAN JONES: And I'd love to -the flexibility, can we talk about that
online -- or offline? And I can get ahold of
you on that, because --

1	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: Yes. Yes.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN JONES: That would be
3	great. Thank you.
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman
5	Zinerman.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ZINERMAN: Good evening,
7	everyone. Thank you all for being here and
8	answering our questions.
9	I want to talk about the elephant in
10	the room. Unemployment in the Black
11	community is a deep-rooted issue with no real
12	solutions identified to tackle the problem.
13	Since the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics
14	first started collecting data in 1972, it has
15	generally been twice the rate of white
16	workers. And we know that this was
17	exacerbated throughout the pandemic.
18	So I have three questions for you.
19	What if any equity initiatives have you or
20	are you employing to address double-digit
21	unemployment among this group?
22	The second question has to do with the
23	recruitment, because we've talking about
24	recruitment a lot. And this is something I'd

1	really like to be a partner with you on. But
2	the question is this. Who's your target
3	audience when you're recruiting? You're
4	talking about different recruitment
5	strategies and you're talking about
6	recruiting for state jobs and specific titles
7	that we have experience and workforce
8	shortages in.
9	But what is your recruitment plan to
10	retain workers that we're losing? And again,
11	Black workers are leaving the state
12	departments as well, and the city and
13	federal, if you think about it. And also,
14	what are we doing to recruit new ones? And I
15	think how much time do I have?
16	Okay, good. I think I have a I
17	want to ask and talk about career pathways
18	is something that I'm working on in my
19	district. I think high school really is too
20	late to start talking to people about jobs
21	and especially jobs in the state.
22	So if we could just talk about what
23	you're doing around equity initiatives for

Black workers, how are we recruiting them,

1	how are we going to get them employed, how
2	are we going to reduce this number?
3	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: So we're talking
4	state workforce?
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ZINERMAN: Everybody. I
6	want everybody to join in.
7	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: Okay. I will
8	jump in gladly, and thank you for that.
9	We have a division, ODIM diversity,
10	management, inclusion that has kicked off
11	a campaign to reach out to the underserved
12	population. One of the things that we've
13	done is we've partnered up with the NAACP,
14	who has a
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ZINERMAN: I'm a
16	card-carrying member.
17	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: All right, thank
18	you so much. You should hear about it to
19	do those outreaches into those the
20	populations, into the communities, on a
21	ground boots-on-the-ground-type level.
22	Because we know that individuals, all
23	individuals aren't on social media and get
24	their information that way. And that we know

1	that we need to get trusted individuals in
2	certain communities to say, Hey, it's okay,
3	to provide them with the information when the
4	tests are going to be and also, once again,
5	educate them on the how. How do you get in,
6	and how do you do that?
7	We also have we focus on career
8	advancement and the retention of individuals.
9	Our department is responsible for holding
10	cultural events, which we just held a Black
11	History Month event that was attended by
12	multiple agencies and over 500 individuals
13	online.
14	And so we can have that conversation
15	because it's dear to my heart.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ZINERMAN: It should be
17	to all of our hearts.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you
19	COMMISSIONER REARDON: And I'll talk
20	to you offline. We do it too.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ZINERMAN: Okay.
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman
23	Lucas.
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUCAS: We almost had

1	the same questions, Stefani.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ZINERMAN: Ask it again
3	because I still have some follow-up.
4	(Laughter.)
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUCAS: Just wanted to
6	know a little bit more about the investment
7	in the GAINS program. Which you may or may
8	not have.
9	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Which one?
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUCAS: It's Growing
11	Apprenticeship in Nontraditional Sectors, or
12	emerging technologies. And being able to
13	pull out of career pathways, wage subsidy
14	programs, EBT ventures. This is where we ca
15	extract a number of or grow the workforce
16	Additionally, we spoke about you
17	spoke about, Commissioner, boots on the
18	ground. Is there any intention to invest in
19	incubators in these neighborhoods that are
20	typically unnoticed? Because I'm in the
21	60th Assembly District, which is East
22	New York, Brownsville, Canarsie. And we are
23	one of the highest when it comes to

unemployment. So when we're talking about

1	all of these wonderful things, I don't see
2	these things in our communities and I don't
3	see any outreach.
4	So could you give me a little bit more
5	information about what I just asked?
6	COMMISSIONER REARDON: So we do a lot
7	of work to make sure that underserved
8	communities understand that we are here for
9	everybody. And I said earlier, we meet our
10	customers where they are. You don't have to
11	fit in a box of any kind to access our help.
12	We have made a concerted effort over
13	the last couple of years to make sure that
14	I have a personal thing. If I open a door
15	and look in
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUCAS: one minute
17	and 12.
18	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Okay. If I
19	don't see myself in that room, I'm not going
20	to go in that room. So we are changing that
21	dynamic. We want to make sure that young
22	people see themselves in these careers and
23	understand they can be powerful
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUCAS: So is there an

1	investment in a growing apprenticeship for
2	nontraditional sectors and emerging
3	technology?
4	COMMISSIONER REARDON: We are
5	definitely growing the apprenticeship
6	programs as much as we can. It requires
7	sponsors who are employers. But I can talk
8	to you offline about that.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUCAS: Absolutely,
10	because I definitely have that.
11	Additionally, in terms of pulling out
12	of these different areas with career
13	pathways, wage subsidy programs and SNAP, as
14	well as making a key investment in incubators
15	in these spaces.
16	COMMISSIONER REARDON: The incubators
17	is not something we would do. It might be
18	something that maybe ESD might do, they have
19	a let me think about it. But we have
20	Career Centers. We do a lot of outreach.
21	Let me talk to you offline about it, because
22	it is a personal passion of mine.
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUCAS: I would
24	appreciate that. Because this is a way that

1	we can pull people in, on the ground,
2	real-time, and train trainers.
3	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yes.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUCAS: Thank you.
5	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: So one of the
6	reasons why we're trying to be at DOL centers
7	is so we can have that presence. But we also
8	need your help to let individuals know that
9	these opportunities are available.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUCAS: I'm all in.
11	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Good. Thank
12	you.
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
14	Assemblyman Ra.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you.
16	Commissioner, thank you for the call
17	yesterday.
18	COMMISSIONER REARDON: My pleasure.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: We've started to look
20	through your response regarding the UI fraud,
21	and as we go through the background materials
22	we'll reach out and maybe we'll set up a
23	meeting so we can
24	COMMISSIONER REARDON: It's a lot, I

1	know.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: So thank you. I
3	appreciate your response.
4	I wanted to just get into a little bit
5	as right, we talked about it, but now
6	we're talking about modernization, hopefully
7	finding ways that are going to update the
8	system and prevent some of these things in
9	the future. So can you tell me, as we're
10	implementing this, is the state looking at
1	its data sources and whether as part of the
12	modernization we're looking into sources that
13	might have current unemployment and income
4	data, as opposed to just relying on state
15	wage data?
16	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I'm sorry, ask
17	me the question again. I didn't get it.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Are you looking at
19	other maybe utilizing other sources of
20	data when you're trying to verify claims?
21	Like there's, you know, clearinghouses and
22	things out there that

COMMISSIONER REARDON: So an

individual's claim? The only way we're set

23

L	up right now is we pull we contact the
2	employer and we ask them to give us their
3	wage data.

So that was part of the problem during the pandemic. For PUA, there were no employers, there were no records to pull, and we didn't have any way to verify 1.5 million people who were getting benefits had actually been employed the way they said they were.

But the way the law reads for UI in

New York State is you have to have an

employer, and we contact them about your

employment and we contact them for your wage

data. That's the way the law is written. So

we'd have to change that law.

ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Okay. I understand. It's something that's come up I think in a number of different, you know, programs throughout the pandemic, because there are these data sources out there that might be able to more quickly --

COMMISSIONER REARDON: But this is this person's individual employment. So it wouldn't be in a --

1	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: But that have they
2	keep data on consumers and all that type of
3	stuff. And, you know, in modernization it
4	might be something we can look into.

The other thing I wanted to -- hold on one second. Sorry. I had something here,
I'm sorry. I actually -- actually, no, not for you, Commissioner. Well, thank you.

I did want to ask regarding GOERS and training within the department. You know, we saw some reports earlier this year and in the fall regarding the implementation amongst the state workforce of things like sexual harassment training and diversity training and ethics training and all that type of stuff that I think we're all used to going through, and legislative staffers are going through, and a very small number having gone through it.

Do you have any information as to like the executive chamber workforce? Have most undergone that training? Because it was a problem in the previous administration.

DIRECTOR VOLFORTE: My understanding

1	is that the entire executive chamber
2	workforce has undergone live training.
3	And I believe the report you're
4	speaking about is they transitioned from
5	the training of about 120,000 state employees
6	who have been trained, and they train
7	annually online, to ethics training through
8	that new government body, which is not
9	handled by me, and live sexual harassment
10	training, which we are in the process of
11	to make that transition. We're about to
12	start enrolling individuals and up to
13	300 trainers to train them to train the state
14	workforce live. But we'll also continue to
15	offer other training models to ensure that
16	folks are trained.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So I think I'm
19	last, with a few questions. And,
20	Commissioner okay. Well, I'll go first
21	and then Jo Anne. Sorry, I didn't see.
22	So Commissioner Reardon, I just want
23	to talk a little bit about unemployment data
24	relating to youth. So the headline

1	unemployment rate has come down from the
2	highs of the pandemic years, but obviously
3	not all New Yorkers nor all regions have
4	fared equally.

So we know that the unemployment rates for youth 16 to 24 have not returned to the pre-pandemic levels. And I was wondering if you have any thoughts on what factors we can attribute this to.

COMMISSIONER REARDON: It is a thorny problem. You know, it is very important for young people to be engaged in work at a relatively early age if they're going to be successful in the workplace, because it's -- you know, it's part of your learning.

Some young people are in school, so they're not working. Some young people are working in the gig economy, so they may not be reported in public data and it's a little hard to find that information. We do have records of more people becoming entrepreneurial, and perhaps some of them did that. But there's not one answer to it.

It is very important that we get young

1	people when they leave school, or even before
2	they leave school, to begin to work so that
3	that's part of who they are. We do an
4	enormous amount of work with our workforce
5	development people trying to reach
6	particularly young people about career paths.
7	As I said, we are out-stationing our senior
8	staff now across the state in our Career
9	Centers for days at a time so we can connect
.0	with communities and find out what's going on
.1	in that community and how can we impact it.

We are working very closely with Betty Rosa at State Ed, and all of her BOCES superintendents and those people, to find out how can we work more closely with them. How can we connect young people to registered apprenticeships, because those are wonderful ways to get people into training. But a lot of it is if you're -- what is your path? And how can we connect you to something that's meaningful so you're not just bouncing from a fast food restaurant job to, I don't know, a bicycle delivery job or something.

We want to give them a clear path into

1	their adulthood. And it's not one size fits
2	all. It's different in every community. As
3	the member said about a Black community,
4	that's a very different equation. You know,
5	and we need to make sure we have people who
6	look like them, who can speak to them and
7	help them find the answers.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

But we are very focused on helping young people find careers that they love, that they can thrive in. They're the future. And it's an important part of what the agency does.

CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So in order for us to work together to help these young people, I know that the reporting shows the category of 16-to-24. And obviously there are very different needs for those on the younger portion of that scale to those on the high portion.

And I was wondering if your agency can report the labor force data on a more granular level, but just separate out some of that age difference. And along with that, the data on the website shows labor force

1	demographics by the state's regions, but it's
2	only on a five-year-estimate basis. And the
3	latest one when we just looked at it the
4	other day, is from 2017 to 2021. Which
5	obviously, again, in terms of trying to set
6	policy, doesn't really
7	COMMISSIONER REARDON: It's not
8	helpful.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: really
10	inform us as to what's going on.
11	So I'm wondering if there's a reason
12	why we can't do that on a yearly or a
13	biyearly basis, and also in terms of breaking
14	the age group down.
15	COMMISSIONER REARDON: I am guessing
16	that some of it has to do with the way the
17	federal government reports things, because
18	that often drives the way that we report
19	things. But I'm not sure about that.
20	But I would certainly be very happy to
21	go back to my research and policy folks and
22	say, you know, can we look at doing this
23	differently. Because I agree, a 16-year-old
24	is very different from a 23-year-old.

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Okay. So, you
2	know, we would look forward to working with
3	the department and trying to figure out ways
4	that we could get better information so that
5	we can help direct programming and funds.
6	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Governments
7	tend to lump people into groups, and we
8	should pull them apart and look at them in
9	a you're right, in a more granular way.
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you so
11	much.
12	So now I want to call on Assemblywoman
13	Simon to ask a question, three minutes.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Thank you both
15	very much all three of you.
16	So I have a series of questions. One
17	is how quickly will the DOL modernization of
18	the equipment be done.
19	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Our aim is to
20	roll it out the end of this year.
21	However, I want to say to everybody
22	clearly today, this system will not go live
23	until it is completely tested. We know of
24	other states that have failed because they

1	did not test their systems. I will not allow
2	it to fail our citizens. So the end of the
3	year, but it's got to be tested.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Okay. I think
5	you've heard about unemployment issues
6	still remain, so I'd like to follow up with
7	you.
8	But the other issue I have for both
9	Department of Labor and Civil Service is
10	workers with disabilities, recruiting, how
11	accessible the just applying that
12	technology is to them, how accessible are the
13	tests themselves. When you're updating them,
14	how are you doing it? Who are you working
15	with to update those tests?
16	And then the accessibility of
17	apprenticeship programs as well, if you could
18	address those issues.
19	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: I'll give
20	Commissioner Reardon a break. She's been
21	talking quite a bit.
22	So we work very closely with the
23	state's first-ever Chief Disability Officer

to look at how we can be more appropriate and

precise in how we recruit. Our office of
ODIM is very active in not only our agency
but working with other agencies to make sure
that we are sensitive to that.

We work with community-based organizations that represent individuals with disabilities, to inform us. We have an open-door policy where we listen and we make adjustments appropriately. As you've heard, we've increased our 55B program. We're requesting an increase in our 55B program by 500 individuals because agencies are getting it and we're working together to improve that.

And we're always looking at accessibility. One of the quick things that I'll talk about is that's why it's necessary for us to have state-operated testing facilities. So when you talk about access, sometimes in schools, in other areas, we couldn't -- we're not in control of that. So when we look at our state-operated facilities, we have control of that to make sure they're accessible.

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: By the way,
2	Civil Service started in May of 1883. I just
3	thought I'd let you know.
4	(Laughter.)
5	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: Thank you so
6	much.
7	COMMISSIONER REARDON: So very
8	quickly, we work with a very extensive
9	network of
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: The tech is
11	accessible? That's another issue, is
12	assistive technology.
13	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Yes. We have
14	assistive technology in every Career Center.
15	All of our counselors are trained on it. All
16	of our website is accessible.
17	We have we meet them where they
18	are. Regardless of what their issue is, we
19	want to make sure that we serve them, soup to
20	nuts. Everything that we do for everybody,
21	we do for people with disabilities. They are
22	treated exactly the same.
23	But we have a very extensive network
24	of connection across the state, and it is

1	very robust and we work very hard to ensure
2	that people with disabilities are also put on
3	career paths.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Thank you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
6	So we have exhausted ourselves and all
7	of the questions.
8	(Laughter.)
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So thank you,
10	Commissioners, for being here, Director. And
11	I know there are some follow-up questions
12	that you'll be able to get to both of us.
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Great. Thank
14	you.
15	COMMISSIONER REARDON: Thank you very
16	much.
17	COMMISSIONER HOGUES: Thank you.
18	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Yes, everybody
19	can take a stretch as I call the next panel
20	up: The Retired Public Employees
21	Association; Organization of New York State
22	Management Confidential Employees; CSEA Local
23	1000 AFSCME; and Public Employees Federation.
24	And then if people want to continue

1	talking to those three two commissioners
2	and director, take those conversations
3	outside. Thank you.
4	(Off the record.)
5	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: All right. If
6	the conversations can go out in the hallway
7	and we can start up the next panel. Thank
8	you. I know it's a long day for everyone.
9	And when you do two hearings on one day, they
10	never work out the timewise you thought.
11	So let's just start off by one, I
12	want to reference that apparently for PEF we
13	have Randi DiAntonio, vice president. Okay.
14	MS. DiANTONIO: Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Hi, welcome.
16	But why don't we start with
17	Ed Farrell. Hi.
18	MR. FARRELL: Thank you. Chairwomen
19	Krueger and Weinstein, thank you for the
20	opportunity. I'm Ed Farrell. I'm the
21	executive director of the Retired Public
22	Employees Association. We represent the
23	interests of retired state and local
24	government former employees. And there's

nearly 500,000 of us in the state retirement system. So we're in your district, you know who we are.

I thank you for the support you've shown in the prior years. I want to talk about two issues today. And you're not going to hear this from anyone else, so it's very important. One has to do with the COLA, the cost-of-living adjustment the retirees receive. When the COLA was enacted 23 years ago -- you may or may not know this, but it's not a real COLA. It's half a COLA. And it can never be less than 1 percent or higher than 3 percent.

Over time, the value of the pension benefit that people receive has gone down dramatically. And you're probably not aware, but 24 percent of the retirees in the State and Local Retirement System have a pension under \$10,000. And nearly half of them have a pension less than 20,000.

So there have been bills in the past to say, well, let's raise the point at which the COLA applies, which is 18,000. Let's

1	raise that to 21. But for these folks who
2	are getting a \$10,000 pension, raising the
3	amount at which the COLA's applied is not
4	going to help them. And these folks need
5	help.

And what we've proposed, come up with, is a way to -- what we call a catch-up provision, that if you went back to when the COLA was enacted 23 years ago and said, well, what if you got the real COLA, but it could never be higher than 3 percent -- we're not going to bust the bank, but if it were to be 4 percent, you know, you would get the max of 3. But for the most part the COLA has run about 1.3, 1.4 percent.

And if your pension is under \$10,000, getting a 1 percent increase is not going to help you much.

These folks need help. And there's -there's a lot of them. And we've come up
with this catch-up provision to help them.

So, you know, we also propose raising the cap from 18 to 21. The catch-up provision applies only to current retirees.

1	It would be a one-time calculation. If you
2	raise the threshold from 18 to 21, that
3	applies to everyone going forward.
4	The other issue I want to raise has to
5	do with access to skilled nursing facilities
6	for retirees in the Empire Plan. You're
7	probably aware of this, but because there
8	was a bill that was enacted last year. All
9	of you voted for it. It passed unanimously
10	in each house.
11	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: (Inaudible.)
12	MR. FARRELL: I know.
13	I call this to your attention, it's
14	really important. The bill's been
15	reintroduced. It's Senator Breslin,
16	John McDonald. We hope that you include
17	funding for this in your one-house budget
18	resolutions.
19	And finally, we support the proposal
20	that Barbara has regarding the MC, the
21	retirees. Thank you.
22	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: (Mic off;
23	inaudible.)
24	Why don't we take Barbara Zaron next.

1	You might want to (inaudible)
2	MS. ZARON: Okay, thank you. Thank
3	you. Can you hear me? Is this working?
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: A little closer.
5	MS. ZARON: Is that better? Ooh, yes.
6	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Better.
7	MS. ZARON: Well, thank you very much
8	for the opportunity to talk to you from our
9	perspective.
10	So I know there are some people who I
11	have not seen here before, so I'll just
12	quickly MC employees are unable to join a
13	union or engage in collective bargaining. So
14	they're kind of left out in the ether. And
15	we're the folks that represent them. Most of
16	them are civil servants who obtained their
17	positions through competitive examinations.
18	They're not high-level appointees that most
19	people think of when they think about civil
20	servants.
21	So our MCs, many of them feel
22	undervalued because frequently their
23	compensation is not comparable to the
24	compensation of those people who do belong to

1	a union and who negotiate their contracts.
2	So we try always to make sure that MCs are
3	treated in a way that's comparable to the

non-MCs.

And so during budget development season this year, we wrote to the Governor, we wrote to OER and talked to OER outlining our salary and benefit recommendations for MCs to be included in the budget. They were not included in the budget. And so I'm going to let you know now what it is that we are looking for. And we used the CSEA contract as our guide and our comparison.

So what we were hoping for is a 3 percent salary increase this April, a \$3,000 retention bonus as of April, increased location pay, expansion of longevity pay to all MCs in graded positions -- not just for those in Grade 17 and below -- and an increased cap for sick leave.

So there is a bill, Senate 2395/
Assembly 3766, which specifically provides
that the state would pay comparable pay and
benefits for MCs. That would include salary

1	increases, longevity pay, performance
2	advances. So we certainly would like your
3	action to pass that.
4	The second issue that's of major
5	concern to us, and hopefully we can resolve
6	this this year, those folks who retired
7	between 2009 and 2015 were on the payroll
8	when the 2009 and 2010 salary increases were
9	withheld for MC employees and for no other
10	workforce folks. And what we have proposed,
11	a \$70 per month rebate for every month of
12	withholding between 2009 and '15, not to
13	exceed \$5,000. Oh, my goodness.
14	So we've tried to fix this for
15	10 years. We hope this is the year that we
16	actually can accomplish that.
17	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
18	MS. ZARON: Thank you. No, there are
19	other things but they've been talked about in
20	the previous panel. I just wanted you to
21	know that we support a lot of the things that
22	were discussed by the previous panel.
23	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
24	MS. ZARON: Thank you.

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
2	Next we're going to go to Joshua
3	Terry, legislative director, CSEA Local 1,000
4	AFSCME.
5	MR. TERRY: Great. Thank you,
6	Senator. Thanks, everybody, for being here.
7	For a decade we had the state
8	workforce was strangled. We couldn't hire
9	anybody, nobody was being admitted. Local
10	governments are also facing a lot of
11	difficulty in hiring employees. And we see
12	that in our numbers. We have fewer CPS
13	caseworkers. We have fewer emergency
14	dispatchers, people maintaining our local
15	infrastructure. New York State has lost, in
16	about a decade, 13,000 employees, net. Our
17	local governments, about 7 percent of the
18	workforce has been we have a net loss of
19	7 percent in that same time.
20	So this is the time that we need to
21	start making investments. And we are really
22	happy to see the Governor has finally
23	embraced investments in the public workforce,

and we're very supportive of a lot of these

1	proposals. We will give credit where credit
2	is due. Because I think I've said here in
3	years past I have blamed agencies on not
4	hiring. Agencies are trying to hire. OPW
5	and OMH especially are doing their best. But
6	there are a lot of headwinds out there for
7	hiring.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Specifically in this budget we are very supportive of the continuous recruitment proposal from the Governor. We need to offer tests routinely. We cannot do it every couple of years. We need to get fresh lists. We need people to get offered jobs to come into the workforce.

We're supportive of a proposal to waive civil service exam fees for a number of years. If \$40 is a barrier of entry to the public workforce, it needs to be done away with. You do not have to pay Target or Amazon to apply for a job. There's no reason you should have to apply for -- pay money to not even apply for a job, to take a test to possibly get a job with the state.

We also -- you know, there's been a

1	lot of talk of how do we connect these jobs
2	to underrepresented communities in the
3	workforce. We need to enter the community
4	with these job opportunities. Websites are
5	great, it's really good that they get posted
6	there. But unless we get into communities
7	with these job positions and talk to people
8	about how to apply for the civil service,
9	we're not going to connect these jobs with
10	those individuals.

And lastly, I will channel my Senator Robert Jackson and talk about how much we don't like Tier 6. I won't use his phrase, because he'll use it later.

(Laughter.)

MR. TERRY: We need to look at Tier 6 reform. We are incredibly thankful for what was done last year, but that just scratched the surface. We have a lot of work to do to make Tier 6 an attractive pension benefit for people that want to -- that are looking for work and looking for not just a job, but for a career.

So we need to look at the

1	contributions, we need to look at the final
2	average salary, we need to look at the value
3	of the pension.
4	So we really look forward to working
5	with you in over the coming month. We're
6	down to one month now.
7	And in my last five seconds I'll say
8	hi to my two kids, who are eating tacos,
9	watching me on TV at home.
10	(Laughter.)
11	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
12	And Randi DiAntonio from PEF.
13	MS. DiANTONIO: Good afternoon,
14	Chairpersons Kruger, Weinstein, Pheffer Amato
15	and Jackson, and to all other distinguished
16	members. My name is Randi DiAntonio. I'm
17	the vice president of the New York State
18	Public Employees Federation. We represent
19	about 50,000 professional, scientific and
20	technical employees employed by the State of
21	New York.
22	I'm very thankful for the opportunity
23	to appear here today and talk about the
24	Executive Budget.

1	Ditto to everything my brother at CSEA
2	just said as far as we are heartened by the
3	fact that we're sitting here having a
4	dialogue, a real dialogue, about improving
5	the staffing at state agencies, improving how
6	government operates. We are the frontline
7	workers. Whether we do it in our facilities
8	or we do it behind a desk, we take care of
9	New Yorkers. And the last decade has made it
10	almost impossible to do the work that we love
11	to do.

So we're hopeful that a new day is dawning. And we thank the Governor for lifting the hiring freeze last year. We're committed to rebuilding this workforce. But frankly, there's a number of things that we heard about today that we need to fast-track.

You know, there are things that we've heard today about modernizing the civil service system and doing studies, and they are great ideas. We support all of them.

But what we're hearing from the folks in the field are that these things aren't happening fast enough. We hear from folks in the field

that New York State isn't attractive as an
employer anymore because of Tier 6, because
they don't have the same level of pension
benefits, because the pay isn't equal, you
know, to what they could get in the private
sector.

And frankly, although civil service is a great equalizer and it brings people on-board from all different places, once they get there, they don't always feel supported. We've seen the last years of an increased reliance on overtime. Our workforce is stressed out, they're exhausted, and they work in somewhat toxic and unsafe working conditions.

So not only do we have a problem on the front end with recruitment, we have a problem on the back end with retention. We have seen -- and in the Governor's budget she talks about we're down 12,500 workers, with 26 percent more ready to retire. Those folks ready to retire are going to go. And we don't have people coming on board fast enough to replace them.

1	We know that our services are critical
2	to New Yorkers, from OPWDD which is
3	significantly short-staffed, although we're
4	trying to bring people on to OMH. We've
5	asked for monies in the budget to support
6	reopening some of the homes. But we need an
7	emergency staffing plan. You know, the
8	reality is a lot of these things are great
9	ideas and we're appreciative that there's
10	going to be conversation. We're happy that
11	there's no closures to fight off.
12	But the reality is, you know, studies
13	are great, but we know pay equity is a huge
14	problem. We know many of our titles can go
15	to the private sector and make more money.
16	And because we have about 50/50 frontline
17	versus administrative support titles, many
18	can do different types of jobs remotely and
19	go to other places to work. But we need them
20	in government because they take care of our
21	people.

So thank you so much for the opportunity today.

CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.

1	Okay, all four have testified; I'm
2	going to now take questions. I know that
3	Senator Ramos would like to start us off with
4	questioning.
5	SENATOR RAMOS: I would. Thank you,
6	Senator Krueger.
7	Randi, can you talk a little bit more
8	about the situation with how tight the labor
9	market is right now? You know, the state
10	workforce has clocked in 20 million hours in
11	overtime. That's a lot. And now the
12	Governor's indicating that New York is
13	currently in need of 12,500 workers. Can you
14	talk a little bit more about how we got there
15	and how we can fix it?
16	MS. DiANTONIO: Well, I think we got
17	there over the last 10 or 11 years of
18	austerity budgets, demonizing, frankly,
19	government workers, making people feel
20	devalued so they didn't want to stay in this
21	workforce.
22	So I think that the culture of the
23	workforce changed. I mean, I've been with

the state 26 years, and it wasn't like that,

1 you know, the first 15 years I was there. So
2 I think there's a lot of cultural issues that
3 affect the workforce.

Also, you know, with COVID came many different extreme working conditions. And with our healthcare professionals -- although many other titles -- they were able to go elsewhere and to work as travelers, to do agency nursing, to go into the private sector, to do remote health. I mean, we represent social workers who now can do telehealth. We represent nurses who can go be travelers.

So I think we didn't get here

overnight, and we know we're not going to fix

it overnight. But we do have to acknowledge

that the folks that are on the frontlines,

the folks that do this work, have to be

incentivized, they have to be rewarded. I

think there's a lot of things we can do to,

you know, make public service an option for

people. We don't talk about it anymore. We

used to.

SENATOR RAMOS: That's true. That's

1	true.
2	MS. DiANTONIO: And we don't bring it
3	back to our communities to say, this is a
4	career choice that will bring you a lot of
5	benefits and a lot of reward.
6	SENATOR RAMOS: Thank you, Randi.
7	I have one more question for Josh.
8	Josh, how can we ensure that
9	continuous recruitment is used to expand the
10	state workforce to include more people of
11	color?
12	MR. TERRY: Yeah, I mean I think it
13	gets to what I talked about towards the end
14	of my testimony, which is we need to promote
15	these exams. Civil service is it came up
16	a little bit earlier; I think Assemblyman
17	Jones brought this up. It's a tough system.
18	It's complex. Not everybody understands it.
19	I mean, unless you're in that world
20	I always say like if you look at a civil
21	service exam notice, it looks like it
22	could look like a lead abatement form. You
23	don't know what you're actually reading.

(Laughter.)

1	SENATOR RAMOS: It does not look
2	attractive at all.
3	MR. TERRY: So let's get into the
4	community, let's go to let's work with
5	organizations, community-based organizations
6	to push these job opportunities out. It
7	doesn't have to be the state is the only one
8	that's promoting these. I mean, NAACP came
9	up earlier
10	SENATOR RAMOS: Does the union do it?
11	Does the union go into CBOs to talk to them?
12	MR. TERRY: We are 100 percent
13	committed to working with the state and the
14	Department of Civil Service to enter the
15	community and start promoting these jobs and
16	using, quite frankly, our membership to
17	promote these jobs. Because our members are
18	the best salespeople on the work that they
19	do.
20	SENATOR RAMOS: That's right.
21	Excellent. Thank you, Josh.
22	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
23	Assembly.
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JOYNER: Member Pheffer

1	Amato.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN PHEFFER AMATO: Thank
3	you. Boy, I don't know where to go now.
4	First of all, I want to thank you bot
5	past and present frontline workers, all of
6	your membership, for everything they've done
7	for us. I mean, they did get us through the
8	pandemic. And I'm sure many of our retirees
9	were out there calling the active to let then
10	know what they should be doing correctly.
11	I'm going to just jump to Josh, if yo
12	can answer a question for me, something
13	that's been circulating around here. There's
14	been a lot of recent discussion surrounding
15	the CSEA executive branch contract regarding
16	out-of-network health insurance benefits.
17	Can you describe what the negotiated and
18	what the impact on public employees in
19	New York are?
20	MR. TERRY: Great. Assemblywoman,
21	thank you. I appreciate that.
22	There's been a lot of misinformation

on this topic, and we sent a letter this week

that everybody here should have received.

23

1	CSEA, when we were in collective
2	bargaining negotiations with the state this
3	past summer, we negotiated a change in the
4	rate that doctors receive if they are
5	out-of-network, if they are out of the NYSHIP
6	network. This is the only place it applies
7	for. In addition, it does not apply to any
8	other state union or state union's contract
9	other than CSEA's.

When we -- when that went into our agreement, NYSHIP has -- they have legal authority, and this stems from I think the 1950s or '60s, to extend any rate changes to participating agencies -- so local government employers and public authorities. And they chose to do so. That was not part of our agreement, they did that unilaterally.

In reality, what this change means for our members is they still have full access to the whole NYSHIP network, and especially from Long Island and New York City, I mean, you can throw a rock and hit a participating doctor in the NYSHIP system. But they still have the full authority to go out of network.

1	And
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN PHEFFER AMATO: So
3	MR. TERRY: Oh, I'm sorry,
4	Assemblywoman
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN PHEFFER AMATO: You go.
6	You go.
7	MR. TERRY: So what change was the
8	actual reimbursement rate? We went from
9	about 550 percent of the Medicare rate,
10	generally, to about 275 percent of the
11	Medicare rate. Which is still, for
12	out-of-network coverage and out-of-network
13	rates, compared to most other plans, is still
14	a very generous reimbursement rate.
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN PHEFFER AMATO: So let
16	me just clarify this.
17	So there's no diminished benefit to
18	the membership, we just took it's less
19	payout to the doctor.
20	MR. TERRY: One hundred percent
21	correct, yes.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN PHEFFER AMATO: Okay.
23	So I'm just going to repeat that again. So

we did not diminish any benefit to the

2	MR. TERRY: One hundred percent correct.
2	correct.
3	
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN PHEFFER AMATO: Okay, 1
5	just want to make sure we're really clear or
6	that and we get that for the sound bite,
7	because
8	MR. TERRY: I appreciate that.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN PHEFFER AMATO: it's
10	been circulating and the conversations have
11	been all over the place. Thank you for that
12	Ed, I want to say to you that any
13	retiree that's making \$10,000, we should be
14	helping. So I want to support that just to
15	say that, you know, what salary they got
16	years ago and not catching up, it's really -
17	we're just promoting the poverty.
18	MR. FARRELL: Correct.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN PHEFFER AMATO: And for
20	all the service they gave us to be a
21	retiree you know, I want you to just know
22	that I'm supportive of those initiatives and
23	just help to bring them forward.

MR. FARRELL: Thank you.

1	Thirty-some-odd years ago, salaries were much
2	lower. And, you know, your final average
3	salary in your pension was \$10,000 or less.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN PHEFFER AMATO: In 1986
5	when I first worked for the Department of
6	Sanitation, I made \$17,000, so
7	(Laughter.)
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN PHEFFER AMATO: Thank
9	you very much, all of you.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Robert
11	Jackson.
12	SENATOR JACKSON: So good evening. It
13	is evening, right? Yes, it is.
14	So I have a question to you. I always
15	said that Tier 6 sucks. But, you know, I'm
16	saying that now because it expresses the
17	dissatisfaction from the people that I've
18	talked to. And so I ask you, as leaders of
19	the various unions or organizations you
20	belong to, what are you hearing about Tier 6
21	and why the people are not in favor or it?
22	So if you can give me short answers,
23	because I only have two minutes and a half.
24	MS. DiANTONIO: I'll start.

1	I think it's very disheartening for
2	people to stand next to a worker that's
3	getting a completely different benefit. They
4	see no end of light at the tunnel, you know?
5	There's nothing there to stay committed to
6	state work. I think what we hear from Tier 6
7	members is, you know: This isn't worth me
8	staying for, I can go somewhere else. So it
9	really creates a situation where people are
10	not staying as career employees. And I think
11	that's a huge issue.
12	It's also it's a much lower benefit
13	that they're paying more for. So I mean,
14	I think, in a nutshell, they pay more to get
15	less.
16	SENATOR JACKSON: Barbara?
17	MS. ZARON: I personally have not
18	heard a lot. But I think that, in part, that
19	maybe we tend to have longer-term
20	employees
21	SENATOR JACKSON: You're the
22	management/confidential employees, is that
23	correct?
24	MS. ZARON: Most of the folks start

1	either through a CSEA or PEF kind of position
2	and work their way up not all, but a fair
3	proportion of.
4	And it may be that they're more
5	consumed with the immediate issues that
6	they're trying to deal with than even
7	thinking about
8	SENATOR JACKSON: Okay. Do you mind
9	if I go to Josh, please?
10	MS. ZARON: So most of them may not be
11	Tier 6. I'm sure there are some, but I think
12	most of them probably are the earlier tiers
13	and not quite as intimately involved with the
14	issue of Tier 6.
15	SENATOR JACKSON: Thank you.
16	Josh, please?
17	MR. TERRY: It's three things. They
18	pay more for Tier 6, they get less under
19	Tier 6, and they have to work longer, at the
20	end of the day. Those are the three things
21	that our members talk about.
22	SENATOR JACKSON: And Ed, what are you
23	hearing? Even though you're dealing with the
24	Retired Public Employees Association.

1	MR. FARRELL: Senator, I can tell you
2	that retirees have zero conversations about
3	this.
4	(Laughter.)
5	SENATOR JACKSON: They're gone.
6	But also I want to know, in the last
7	24 seconds, how bad is contracting out in
8	your unions, PEP and CSEA? And you only have
9	18 seconds, and I can follow up with my
10	staff.
11	MS. DiANTONIO: It's a significant
12	problem in many of our agencies. We have
13	nurses or other titles sitting next to a
14	state employee, making more money, with less
15	responsibilities. They have their own
16	schedule set for what works for them. It
17	really is divisive and demeaning to the state
18	workforce, and it costs way more money.
19	SENATOR JACKSON: Josh, we'll
20	follow up with you on that, okay?
21	MR. TERRY: Absolutely.
22	SENATOR JACKSON: And the two of you
23	also, if you heard anything.
24	Thank you.

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
2	Assembly.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JOYNER: Member Lucas?
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUCAS: Thank you,
5	Madam Chair.
6	Okay, this is for the Retired Public
7	Employees Association. In your testimony,
8	the pension cost of living speaks to catching
9	up to the annual cap of 3 percent for the
10	current eligible retirees.
11	MR. FARRELL: Correct.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUCAS: What is the
13	impact to the State of New York fiscally to
14	fill this potential budget ask for the
15	retirees?
16	Additionally, will our current
17	inflation rate have a subsequent impact on
18	the cost-of-living increase for the retirees?
19	MR. FARRELL: This proposal that I
20	talked about was actually in print at the end
21	of last session, and it had a fiscal note.
22	So the fiscal note from the retirement system
23	put the catch-up provision at \$107 million.
24	Which is peanuts when you consider the

1	pension fund is 242 billion.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUCAS: Okay. And the
3	second part of the question?
4	MR. FARRELL: Which was
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUCAS: The inflation
6	rate
7	MR. FARRELL: Our proposal still has
8	the 3 percent cap. Only one time in the
9	history of the COLA did it ever reach
10	3 percent, and that was this year. That's
11	because inflation was 9 percent. So we got
12	3.
13	That's the only time in the history of
14	the COLA that we've gotten 3 percent. For
15	the most part we've gotten, on average, 1.5.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUCAS: So will it have
17	an impact on the inflation rate, will it
18	have a subsequent impact on the
19	cost-of-living increase for retirees?
20	MR. FARRELL: The 3 percent cap
21	remains in place. So if inflation drops down
22	to 8 percent instead of 9, we would still
23	only get 3.
24	So there have been two times in the

1	history where actually the rate of inflation
2	was less than 1 percent, and the retirees got
3	a bit of a boost because we got the 1
4	percent, as opposed to a half of 1 percent.
5	So
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUCAS: Got it.
7	MR. FARRELL: It's in there, but if
8	you leave the guardrails in there with the 1
9	percent floor and the 3 percent ceiling, none
10	of that changes.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUCAS: Thank you.
12	MR. FARRELL: You're welcome.
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
14	Assembly.
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JOYNER: Member Jones.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN JONES: Hello, everyone.
17	And thank you for your testimony.
18	First of all, I just want to state I'm
19	with you on Tier 6. We need to reform it.
20	What better way of a recruitment tool would
21	we have than to improve Tier 6, when we're
22	sitting here talking about we don't have
23	enough workforce in many of our agencies.
24	On that, I do want to touch on

1	something else, and I do have some questions
2	here. I've been hearing a lot from your
3	members about safety issues in a lot of the
4	facilities that you work in. I actually a
5	colleague from CSEA of Josh's the other
6	evening, when I was at the reception, pulled
7	me aside and showed me some very disturbing
8	pictures of one of your employees.

What can we do to improve that situation in those facilities? That's my first question.

My second question, because I'm going to get it all out, we have this cap with retirees. We raised it from 30,000 to 35,000. And I ask this because we need to continue to recruit people. What's your opinion on the cap, and should we still have it in place? I know I'm putting you on the spot a little there.

But we need to recruit workers and we need to get people in these agencies. This is detrimental. It has to do with safety in our facilities. It has to do with other things going.

1	Also on the COLA, I missed part of
2	your testimony, I apologize. What is the
3	recommended what do we want to see that
4	percentage of COLA going up to?
5	So I'll leave those questions to yo

So I'll leave those questions to you to answer.

MR. TERRY: Assemblyman, on the first part of your question on safety in our worksites, it is a real problem. But I think you -- you know, you also alluded to the answer. A lot of the issues that we have regarding safety -- and it really revolves around violence -- it's about staffing. And you are safer when you're in pairs or, you know, with multiple people.

And unfortunately, over the course of the last decade, that's gone by the wayside, but the job still has to get done. So our members still go into a situation that may be unsafe, and it's not by the book, but they have to go treat a patient, right? I mean, you have to provide the service. And our members will always do that, regardless of the situation.

1	And so we need to it's staffing.
2	It comes down to staffing, at the end of the
3	day.
4	MS. DiANTONIO: And the only thing I'd
5	like to add to that, I agree a hundred
6	percent, staffing has a lot to do with it.
7	But over the course of 10 years we also
8	closed a lot of our intensive treatment
9	facilities that were geared towards the most
10	complex-need individuals. And when that
11	happens, they end up in settings that might
12	be less secure, less structured, less
13	environmentally controlled. And that also
14	influences how they're taken care of and how
15	safe they are, and the people who take care
16	of them are.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN JONES: Thank you. I'll
18	get the other question offline.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
20	Senator Jack Martins.
21	SENATOR MARTINS: Thank you. Thank
22	you all for still being with us a couple of
23	minutes before 7 o'clock.

Josh, to your point, I mean, is there

1	a recommendation on how we streamline this?
2	I'm hearing from a bunch of different sources
3	that we have jobs, we have people who may
4	want to work and we'll deal with Tier 6 in
5	a second. But, you know, we can't get a
6	list, we can't get an exam, there seems to be
7	a bottleneck here. And do you have any
8	suggestions on how we should deal with that
9	from our end?
10	MR. TERRY: I mean, I think part of it
11	is staffing within the Department of
12	Civil Service. I mean, they have been
13	decimated. And I know I read PEF's
14	testimony earlier, and they allude to this in
15	that testimony, that the department doesn't
16	have enough staff to run the operations.
17	So the commissioner referred to this:
18	They have to prioritize, and they're only
19	looking at tests that are the most critical.
20	Which is causing problems across the board.
21	Because by the time we catch up to the ones
22	that are the jobs that are less critical,

the ones that are not urgent, we've already

lost them. Right? I mean, we're already way

23

1	understaffed.
2	So I think we need to make the
3	investments inside the department, which
4	we're happy the Governor has started doing
5	that last year into this year.
6	SENATOR MARTINS: And when we talk
7	about retirees, \$10,000, are we talking about
8	people who have put in their 20 or 30 years
9	in state service, full-time employees, at the
10	end of their retirement, and then only
1	qualifying for \$10,000 in pension? Are we at
12	that point? Or are we dealing with the
13	majority of those people in your example
4	being part-timers who put in their 10 years
15	and then moved on to the private sector?
16	Where is that range?
17	MR. FARRELL: No
18	SENATOR MARTINS: Because they would
19	still qualify for a pension.
20	MR. FARRELL: You have to be able to
21	qualify for the pension. So if you're
22	part-time, you can't put in 10 years, you'd

have to put in 20 years, you know, to have

your 10-year --

23

1	SENATOR MARTINS: Well, then let's say
2	it's 20 years as a part-timer. I'm just
3	trying to contextualize
4	MR. FARRELL: These are folks these
5	are real people who, because their job
6	their salary was so low when they were
7	working 30 years ago, that when their pension
8	was calculated that those this is a
9	quarter of the people in the retirement
10	system.
11	SENATOR MARTINS: Don't get me wrong,
12	I'm not questioning that. I'm trying to
13	contextualize the nature of those whether
14	this was their full-time job or these are
15	people who had a full-time job outside,
16	because we have many people who work
17	part-time in the public sector. That's all.
18	I wanted to clarify that.
19	And as far as Tier 6, you know,
20	it's I hear it, I know you hear it every
21	day, people who are working side by side with
22	others who are in different tiers who are
23	asked to do the same work, receiving less and
24	fewer benefits. And I do think it's

1	something that we should address.
2	And I was hoping, in the last
3	20 seconds or so give me your thoughts.
4	MS. DiANTONIO: We would support that
5	all the way. Thank you.
6	SENATOR MARTINS: And Josh, with about
7	10 seconds, you want to say hello to your
8	kids again?
9	(Laughter.)
10	MR. TERRY: They're probably on their
11	fifth taco at this point, but
12	(Laughter.)
13	MR. TERRY: We need to lower
14	contributions for Tier 6. We need to bring
15	it down to 3 percent, Senator.
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
17	Assembly.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JOYNER: We wish we were
19	having tacos too.
20	(Laughter.)
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JOYNER: But Member
22	Bronson.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: Thank you,
24	Madam Chair.

Rochester Psych Center, but we're not going to talk about that tonight. You used the phrase you said "What we need now is an emergency staffing plan." What would that look like? MS. DiANTONIO: Well, I think there's a lot of obstacles that get in the way of bringing staff on board fast. Some of them relate to what was alluded to around staffing	1	And thank you all for your testimony.
Rochester Psych Center, but we're not going to talk about that tonight. You used the phrase you said "What we need now is an emergency staffing plan." What would that look like? MS. DiANTONIO: Well, I think there's a lot of obstacles that get in the way of bringing staff on board fast. Some of them relate to what was alluded to around staffing and Civil Service not having enough tests, not having enough testing sites, not being accessible. But it's also the time frame between someone taking a test and getting hired. We have great candidates who are interested in working for the government, and it takes six	2	My first question is for
to talk about that tonight. You used the phrase you said "What we need now is an emergency staffing plan." What would that look like? MS. DiANTONIO: Well, I think there's a lot of obstacles that get in the way of bringing staff on board fast. Some of them relate to what was alluded to around staffing and Civil Service not having enough tests, not having enough testing sites, not being accessible. But it's also the time frame between someone taking a test and getting hired. We have great candidates who are interested in working for the government, and it takes six	3	Ms. DiAntonio. And we usually talk about the
phrase you said "What we need now is an emergency staffing plan." What would that look like? MS. DiANTONIO: Well, I think there's a lot of obstacles that get in the way of bringing staff on board fast. Some of them relate to what was alluded to around staffing and Civil Service not having enough tests, not having enough testing sites, not being accessible. But it's also the time frame between someone taking a test and getting hired. We have great candidates who are interested in working for the government, and it takes six	4	Rochester Psych Center, but we're not going
emergency staffing plan." What would that look like? MS. DiANTONIO: Well, I think there's a lot of obstacles that get in the way of bringing staff on board fast. Some of them relate to what was alluded to around staffing and Civil Service not having enough tests, not having enough testing sites, not being accessible. But it's also the time frame between someone taking a test and getting hired. We have great candidates who are interested in working for the government, and it takes six	5	to talk about that tonight. You used the
MS. DiANTONIO: Well, I think there's a lot of obstacles that get in the way of bringing staff on board fast. Some of them relate to what was alluded to around staffing and Civil Service not having enough tests, not having enough testing sites, not being accessible. But it's also the time frame between someone taking a test and getting hired. We have great candidates who are interested in working for the government, and it takes six	6	phrase you said "What we need now is an
MS. DiANTONIO: Well, I think there's a lot of obstacles that get in the way of bringing staff on board fast. Some of them relate to what was alluded to around staffing and Civil Service not having enough tests, not having enough testing sites, not being accessible. But it's also the time frame between someone taking a test and getting hired. We have great candidates who are interested in working for the government, and it takes six	7	emergency staffing plan." What would that
a lot of obstacles that get in the way of bringing staff on board fast. Some of them relate to what was alluded to around staffing and Civil Service not having enough tests, not having enough testing sites, not being accessible. But it's also the time frame between someone taking a test and getting hired. We have great candidates who are interested in working for the government, and it takes six	8	look like?
bringing staff on board fast. Some of them relate to what was alluded to around staffing and Civil Service not having enough tests, not having enough testing sites, not being accessible. But it's also the time frame between someone taking a test and getting hired. We have great candidates who are interested in working for the government, and it takes six	9	MS. DiANTONIO: Well, I think there's
relate to what was alluded to around staffing and Civil Service not having enough tests, not having enough testing sites, not being accessible. But it's also the time frame between someone taking a test and getting hired. We have great candidates who are interested in working for the government, and it takes six	10	a lot of obstacles that get in the way of
and Civil Service not having enough tests, not having enough testing sites, not being accessible. But it's also the time frame between someone taking a test and getting hired. We have great candidates who are interested in working for the government, and it takes six	11	bringing staff on board fast. Some of them
not having enough testing sites, not being accessible. But it's also the time frame between someone taking a test and getting hired. We have great candidates who are interested in working for the government, and it takes six	12	relate to what was alluded to around staffing
accessible. But it's also the time frame between someone taking a test and getting hired. We have great candidates who are interested in working for the government, and it takes six	13	and Civil Service not having enough tests,
But it's also the time frame between someone taking a test and getting hired. We have great candidates who are interested in working for the government, and it takes six	14	not having enough testing sites, not being
someone taking a test and getting hired. We have great candidates who are interested in working for the government, and it takes six	15	accessible.
have great candidates who are interested in working for the government, and it takes six	16	But it's also the time frame between
working for the government, and it takes six	17	someone taking a test and getting hired. We
	18	have great candidates who are interested in
20 months to bring them on board after they	19	working for the government, and it takes six
	20	months to bring them on board after they
offer them an exam offer them the job. So	21	offer them an exam offer them the job. So
we lose them in that time between.	22	we lose them in that time between.

What I can tell you from just looking

at this, years ago human resources used to

23

1	have control at the agency levels, at the
2	local level, on their on-boarding when
3	they posted positions, how they brought
4	people on. That function has mostly been
5	centralized into our Albany central offices.
6	It takes a lot longer. The more layers you
7	put on it, the longer it takes.

We're losing good people. So I think if there are ways to bring down those obstacles so that it takes less time. I think also the way we bring people in from like student internships, mentorships. We've gotten away from that. We used to have relationships with a lot of colleges that they would provide interns. Those interns would then become long-term employees. And we worked with them so they knew the job.

What's happening now is people that get hired get thrown into the fire and they're like, Holy cow, I'm working 30 hours of overtime my second week here, I don't know what I'm doing. And then they may leave.

So we put all this investment -- even if we can get them in the door, it's hard to

1	keep them if we don't wraparound the supports
2	they need. So I think there's a lot of
3	conversation we can have about how to better
4	that. You know, all of this really boils
5	down to talking about these issues and coming
6	up with creative solutions. I'm heartened
7	that we're talking about them, because I
8	don't think even though we've been ringing
9	this bell for a long time, we haven't had
10	these in-depth conversations.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN BRONSON: So I've been
12	doing this for a long time. This is the
13	first year we're talking about how do we
14	recruit state workers. It used to be cut,
15	cut, cut. And now we're paying the piper. I
16	mean, now we're facing I mean, there's
17	lots of other reasons why we're
18	short-staffed, because short-staffing is
19	everywhere. But for state workers it's
20	because we went through a decade of balancing
21	budgets by cutting workers.

And so I'm glad we're having this conversation. I look forward to working with all of you and trying to turn this around.

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
2	I don't believe no, we don't have
3	any other Senators, so Assembly, keep
4	rolling.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JOYNER: Member Simon.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: You need some
7	work, some training.
8	(Laughter.)
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: So thank you all
10	for your testimony.
11	So I wanted to ask a similar question,
12	and that is when it comes to recruitment and
13	training and the accessibility
14	accessibility can be many things. How are
15	PEF and CSEA reaching out to people with
16	disabilities in the workforce who need these
17	jobs? We want people paying taxes.
18	You know, what are we doing to bring
19	people into the workforce who have
20	disabilities? Which could be a whole, as you
21	know, huge continuum of people who might
22	need, you know, minor accommodations on the
23	worksite.
24	MR. TERRY: Yeah, Assemblywoman, thank

1 you for that question.

You know, we're really supportive of the Governor's proposal to increase the 55B program from 1200 to 1700. The report that came out at the end of 2022 from the Rockefeller Institute, which was requested, mandated by the Legislature, showed that we're nearing that level, we're nearing the capacity in that program. And so this is probably the time for us to expand it.

When people take those jobs, they are in our bargaining units, and they are entitled to all of our contract, we represent them. And we really serve a valuable role within not just the state government but local governments as well. And so we really want to encourage, you know, more people to enter that program because they are more heavily unemployed statewide -- nationwide. And we need to do more to encourage them to come into this workforce.

MS. DiANTONIO: And we agree. We support the 55B expansion. But I think also not only bringing people in but keeping them,

1	we really need to look at the reasonable
2	accommodation process, making sure people are
3	given the tools that they need to stay in the
4	workforce once they're there. Because a lot
5	of times people may become disabled while
6	they're a state employee, and it's very
7	difficult to bring them back or keep them on
8	the job.

And there should be a more robust, more timely process to do that. We find a lot of people end up, you know, retiring or leaving early because the agencies either cannot or will not accommodate. So there's more to do in that area, absolutely.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: And so I'd like to talk to you about that, because that is an ongoing obligation of an employer, whatever that agency is.

And of course it's also about promotions, right? There are plenty of people -- you know, 55B isn't the only way that you can bring people with disabilities into the workforce. You have people who are highly educated that need certain -- you

1	know, screen reader you know, right, and
2	can do whatever job you have, but they're not
3	necessarily the 55B workforce.
4	So I want to make sure we expand our
5	mentality about that as well, and an ongoing
6	issue with regard to accommodations. So
7	thank you.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JOYNER: Member
9	Zinerman.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ZINERMAN: Good evening
1	This question is for Ms. Zaron. In
12	your testimony you have an entire section on
13	diversity in hiring and employment. And I
4	just want to refer first to the first bullet
15	allowing for expanded remote working
16	arrangements where possible.
L7	How many jobs have been reevaluated to
18	include that as an option? Especially when
19	we think about the cost of childcare and how
20	many women have left the workplace happy
21	Women's History Month.
22	And I also so that's just my
23	guestion. Other things, I just want to say 1

24 think that we can do -- and I know we've had

to do that as well with regard to recruiting
people to come and work for the Assembly
really talking to people about not only the
tasks related to the job, but the environment
that people are going to work in.

Recruitment has been a reoccurring theme throughout this entire hearing today. everybody has touched on it. And I really think that we have to expand what -- have a profile of who we're trying to recruit, having a real idea of what people are looking for in work. People want to be valued, they want to understand the mission of the organization, they want to connect their work to the mission of the organization.

So how much of that is actually being done in your recruitment? And specifically if you can just kind of answer the question about remote access and how many people -- how many job titles have actually been reevaluated to include remote access, working remotely.

MS. ZARON: Well, I personally have no idea how many jobs have been evaluated --

1	UNIDENTIFIED LEGISLATOR: I'm sorry, I
2	can't hear you.
3	MS. ZARON: I personally she was
4	asking me how many jobs have been evaluated
5	or reevaluated for remote access. I don't
6	know the answer to that.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ZINERMAN: Okay. Well,
8	it's one of your that's why I asked. Does
9	anybody else want to jump in? All right, if
10	you don't know, is it something that you're
11	in support of, you want to see happen?
12	Because I know a lot of workers, this is a
13	big question for them.
14	MS. DiANTONIO: Yeah. So with PEF
15	titles, we have 3,000 titles, and many of
16	them have been able to show that they can be
17	productive working remotely. We also know
18	that many of those professions in the private
19	sector are offering remote work. So it not
20	only becomes something that people want to do
21	for work/life balance reasons, but it also
22	becomes a tool to keep people in their jobs

and to bring people into the workforce.

You know, our position is that we want

23

1	to maximize hybrid work, remote work, where
2	it's feasible to do so, because we believe
3	that people that have work/life balance, you
4	know, are happier. But at the same time we
5	also know 50 percent of our workforce are
6	not they're in jobs that are public
7	facing, front facing. Those are not going to
8	be options.
9	So I don't know off the top of my head
10	like how many have been evaluated. I know
11	that, you know, PEF has entered into
12	agreements and discussions with state
13	agencies; where we can do it, we are
14	encouraging agencies to look at this and
15	really support it.
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
17	Assembly again.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JOYNER: To close,
19	Member Manktelow.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: Thank you,
21	Madam Chair.
22	And good evening, everyone. Good
23	evening, Randi, how are you?
24	MS. DiANTONIO: I'm good, Brian, how

1	are you?
2	ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: Good. Good.
3	Couple of questions for you, really quick,
4	Randi.
5	My colleague next to me, Assemblyman
6	Jones, touched on workplace safety. And I've
7	met with many of the workers some of those
8	workers, Randi, working doubles and triples,
9	some of them having families. One of the
10	women I talked to had twins at home.
11	I've got concerns as well about the
12	safety not only in the workplace, but when
13	they leave the workplace. What can we do to
14	emphasize that, what can we do to make that
15	top priority not only in the budget process,
16	but outside of the budget process? Because
17	this isn't going to fix everything in a
18	month.
19	So I was just wondering what your
20	thoughts were, Randi, on that. Or anyone.
21	MS. DiANTONIO: So we've also heard
22	from many of those workers, a lot of them are
23	probably members of CSEA, at least I think

you're talking about at OPWDD, primarily, in

1	Ollr	area?

ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: Yup

MS. DiANTONIO: You know, for -- the state was in a critical situation and they offered 2.5 times overtime to many of our employees to sort of support CSEA's work in direct care so that people weren't working doubles, triples. Unfortunately, that's very expensive in this system, and wasn't going to be the forever solution.

I do think that we need to do more with flexible scheduling, alternative work schedules, compressed work weeks, and be really creative, because people are being expected to work doubles and triples. They can't do it. You can't work for certain agencies if you have children, if you have a dog, if you have a life. And they're not going to stay if they go to work and they can't leave.

ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: Especially, like you said earlier, you throw them right into the fire right off the bat, then they're not going to stay.

you know, CSEA probably has a little more to say about this, because it's mostly their members that are in these at least with OPW, and I know that's who's contacted you. They've been on the frontlines of a very difficult situation for many, many years.	1	MS. DiANTONIO: I mean, I would think,
members that are in these at least with OPW, and I know that's who's contacted you. They've been on the frontlines of a very	2	you know, CSEA probably has a little more to
5 OPW, and I know that's who's contacted you. 6 They've been on the frontlines of a very	3	say about this, because it's mostly their
6 They've been on the frontlines of a very	4	members that are in these at least with
	5	OPW, and I know that's who's contacted you.
7 difficult situation for many, many years.	6	They've been on the frontlines of a very
	7	difficult situation for many, many years.

MR. TERRY: Yeah, it's a great point,
Assemblyman. And the problem we have is we
have people get hired, especially OPWDD, they
are on the job one week, and they are
mandated overtime. And it could be mandated
overnight, it could be on a weekend. They
quit. Right? I mean, they do not stay.

But the ones that do -- I mean, we've had members that have worked consecutive hours of 50, 60, 70 hours because they cannot leave their group home unless they have relief. And if that relief calls out sick, if the person just doesn't show up, they're staying there.

And our members care. Our members are not going to abandon their clients, right? I mean, they truly love these people. I mean,

1	they build these long-lasting relationships
2	with
3	ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: I see that
4	firsthand.
5	MR. TERRY: And so they will work
6	those hours. They will miss the birthdays,
7	they will miss picking their kids up from
8	daycare and figure out another arrangement.
9	It is a real problem to really solidifying
10	the not just the workforce, but the
11	industry and the care that they're able to
12	provide.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: One second
14	left. You have my full support in changing
15	Tier 6. Thank you.
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JOYNER: To close,
18	again, Member Ra.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you all for
20	your patience today.
21	I just had a question for PEF. There
22	has been some conversation I know you have
23	members that work within some of the prisons
24	regarding HALT and basically your desire to

1 maybe make some changes to HALT.

MS. DiANTONIO: So yes, we do -- we represent teachers, counselors, a number of different professional titles within our correctional settings. And the HALT bill, while very well-intentioned -- and we agree with a lot of aspects of it in regards to we want people to be treated humanely.

Unfortunately, what we're hearing from our members are some unintended consequences to it, with an increase of up to 45 percent of inmate-to-inmate assaults and about 25 percent increase in staff assaults. A lot of the circumstances we're hearing about are people doing bad acts so that they get removed from the larger population because of safety reasons.

So, again, we support, you know, a lot of the intent of the HALT legislation, but we think there needs to be some tweaks to make it safer for people. We are definitely hearing from our members that they have concern not only for safety for themselves and the people that they serve, but

1	therapeutically. They just don't have
2	some of those programs, while from the
3	outside looking in may look like they're bad
4	programs, there are therapeutic reasons to
5	keep people separate from one another, to
6	make sure they're in a safe space, to make
7	sure that if they're decompensating they get
8	treatment in a way that is going to protect
9	them and others.

So I think those are conversations we should have about this with all those players. You know, everybody should be at the table. Because I don't work in Corrections, so I can't speak to their day-to-day business, but we have heard some really bad stories coming out of there. And frankly the majority of people getting hurt are the people that are incarcerated.

CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: All right, since the Senate and the Assembly are closed for this panel, thank you very much for being here with us tonight. We appreciate it.

ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you.

24 PANELISTS: Thank you. Thank you.

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you to your
2	members.
3	Next panel up is going to be the
4	Center for New York City Affairs at
5	The New School, James Parrot, director of
6	many things; the National Employment
7	Law Project, Paul Sonn, state policy
8	program director; and Hugh Baran from the
9	Kakalec/EmPIRE Coalition.
10	MR. PARROTT: Good evening.
11	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Good evening.
12	How are you, James?
13	MR. PARROTT: James Parrott is my
14	name, I'm director of economic and fiscal
15	policies at the Center for New York City
16	Affairs. Thank you for the opportunity to
17	testify this evening.
18	I want to come back to the
19	unemployment insurance issue. New York
20	State's unemployment insurance financing is
21	severely flawed. It is the reason we're
22	facing an \$8 billion trust fund federal debt
23	that will take another four to five years to
24	pay down. It's the reason our benefit

structure is mediocre at best and inferior to benefits paid in all of our neighboring states. And it is the reason our employers are paying much higher UI taxes than they were before the pandemic.

New York's UI tax structure causes small employers with fewer than 100 employees to pay effective tax rates, relative to total wages, that are three to four times the rates paid by large companies with 500 or more employees. Industries with low-average wages pay much higher effective UI tax rates than very high wage industries like finance, information, media, technology and so on.

The irony here is that the pandemic hit hardest those industries and employers who are paying the highest taxes now and, on the flip side, the industries that prospered during the pandemic are paying the lowest unemployment taxes. My written testimony has charts with the latest data from the federal government that illustrate this very regressive tax structure.

The root of the problem is New York's

unusually low taxable wage base of \$12,300,
lower than in 35 of the 50 states despite the
fact that New York has the highest average
weekly wage of all 50 states. Because of
New York's chronic UI trust fund insolvency,
the state's maximum weekly benefit has been
frozen at \$504 since 2019. It would be \$200
more per week if our fund were solvent, and
nearly twice that if it were 50 percent of
our average weekly wage which it was in
2000.

Some commentators have mistakenly attributed New York's trust fund debt to fraud. This tendency was compounded by an unfortunate press release accompanying a State Comptroller audit that exaggerated the extent of fraud related to the state's UI trust fund by a factor of 25 -- they made up a number.

New York's UI trust fund debt burden can only be addressed by overhauling the financing system, by following the lead of other states that assess unemployment taxes on a higher portion of payroll and also on

1	gig companies. New York can pay back its
2	debt sooner, make sure big corporations pay
3	their share, expand the state's inadequate
4	benefits, and actually reduce taxes on small
5	businesses. New York has the latitude under
6	federal law to lessen the weight of the
7	experience rating in setting our tax rates.
8	Thank you.
9	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
10	Next? Hi, Paul.
11	MR. SONN: Am I on? Yup.
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Yes.
13	MR. SONN: Great.
14	Well, thank you, Chairs Krueger,
15	Weinstein, Ramos and Joyner, and other
16	members of the committee. Thanks for the
17	opportunity to testify this evening on the
18	competing proposals to raise New York's
19	minimum wage. I'm Paul Sonn, with the
20	National Employment Law Project.
21	I'll briefly summarize some of the key
22	points that I spell out in more detail in my
23	written testimony.
24	First, the highest inflation in

40 years is rapidly eroding New York's
first-in-the-nation \$15 minimum wage and
reducing the historic reductions in poverty
and earnings inequality statewide that the
\$15 minimum wage achieved.

The Legislature, we respectfully urge, should reverse those gains by first including in the one-house budgets the Raise the Wage Act that many of you are cosponsors of, and it's sponsored additionally by Senator Ramos and Assemblymember Joyner, and then work to pass it. It would raise the minimum wage to \$21.25 by 2026 and then automatically adjust or index it each year after that so that it keeps up with inflation and worker productivity going forward.

The Governor's meager minimum wage proposal -- which is far less generous than New York's last minimum wage increase that the Republican-led Senate negotiated and passed back in 2016 -- is far too small and really, with respect, should be a nonstarter.

While there are many problems with it, its major failing is that it provides only

for tiny adjustments to partially respond to
future inflation, while ignoring altogether
the huge past drop in the value of New York's
minimum wage as a result of inflation since
2019. In essence, the Governor proposes to
close the inflation barn door only long after
the horse has escaped.

The Governor's proposal would lock in the inadequate \$15 minimum wage by implementing just tiny increases averaging about 40 cents a year. What that means is under the Governor's proposal, by 2027, New York's minimum wage would still be only \$16 and change.

By contrast, Table 2 in my testimony shows what minimum wages will look like in other high-cost places in the country by then. They will be in the 20 to \$21 range in many high-cost cities, including Seattle, Washington, D.C., Denver, Colorado, and others.

Moreover, other high-cost states such as Massachusetts, Vermont and California are proposing increases in the \$20 range. The

1	Raise the Wage Act would bring New York's
2	wage in line with those similar high-cost
3	places. The Governor's proposal would leave
4	it \$4 or \$5 an hour lower than these other
5	high-cost regions. Fresno, California, and
6	Yakima, Washington, would have higher minimum
7	wages than New York under the Governor's
8	proposal.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Even worse, her proposal would not even ensure that New York's wage keeps up with inflation going forward. That's because she has capped increases at just 3 percent. In the very first year, inflation will be 6 percent. Be happy to go into other details of how her indexing proposal is far out of line with what, you know, the majority of the other states use.

There's a lot of research that has shown that the \$15 minimum wage didn't hurt jobs. And finally, today they rolled out a coalition of more than 200 businesses statewide, in all regions of the state, backing the \$21 Raise the Wage Act. They span from Western New York to Long Island

1	to
2	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I have to cut you
3	off, Paul. Sorry.
4	MR. SONN: Thank you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
6	MR. SONN: Thank you.
7	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Certainly.
8	Good evening.
9	MR. BARAN: Good evening.
10	Can you hear me or can you hear me
11	now? Yes, okay.
12	Thank you to Chairs Krueger,
13	Weinstein, Joyner, Ramos and the entire
14	committee for the opportunity to testify
15	today. My name is Hugh Baran. I'm an
16	attorney at Kakalec Law, a workers' rights
17	law firm based in Brooklyn and serving
18	workers across the state.
19	I'm here to testify about why
20	Assemblymember Joyner and Senator Hoylman's
21	EmpIRE Worker Protection Act, A1893/S541,
22	needs to be included in the budget as a
23	revenue-raiser that would contribute close to

\$30 million annually to the DOL's budget,

1	allowing the agency to hire more
2	investigators and enforcers for years to
3	come, to address our state's wage-theft
4	crisis.

Employers steal \$3 billion every year from New York workers. This theft disproportionately affects Black, Latinx, immigrant workers and other workers of color who are disproportionately represented in high-violation industry jobs. We've always depended on a combination of private and public enforcement to address this wage theft and other violations of the Labor Law.

Despite new protections that have been enacted by the Legislature, several trends are jeopardizing New York workers' ability to exercise their rights. On the public enforcement side, caseloads have risen at DOL, but staffing levels have declined -- so much so that DOL has fewer than half the investigators that it had in the 1960s.

On the private litigation side, increased fear of retaliation and other hurdles have posed obstacles to workers

trying to address violations of their rights.

As you just heard from my colleague
Paul Sonn, there's been a lot of talk about
raising the minimum wage in the budget, and
it appears likely this could be done through
the budget. But passing a higher minimum
wage will be undermined if workers can't
enforce the minimum wage and wage-theft
protections that we already have on the
books.

The Empire Act would address the state's lack of public enforcement capacity by allowing workers and labor organizations to stand in the shoes of the state and bring public enforcement actions seeking civil penalties, declaratory and injunctive relief for wage and hour, health and safety, and retaliation violations.

EmPIRE encourages robust enforcement of the Labor Law, awarding workers affected by violations a 40 percent share of civil penalties recovered, with 60 percent going to the DOL to fund future public enforcement efforts in a typical case.

1	The EmPIRE Act does not create any new
2	requirements for employers. It simply
3	expands public enforcement of laws that are
4	already on the books. DOL simply does not
5	have the resources to address the current
6	scale of the wage-theft crisis, and a
7	temporary increase in DOL's budget will not
8	change this.

The act states that civil penalties recovered for DOL are to be used for enforcing the Labor Law and are to be continuously appropriated to supplement and not supplant the funding to the agency for those purposes. This will create a permanent revenue stream for DOL's enforcement for years to come.

In California, PAGA, a law which inspired EmPIRE, has generated an average of \$67 million per year for that state's Department of Labor. It's estimated EmPIRE would generate close to \$30 million per year. That money can help fund hiring of more investigators and enforcers for years to come.

1	Thank you. Happy to answer any other
2	questions about EmPIRE.
3	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
4	Our first questioner is Chair Senator
5	Ramos.
6	SENATOR RAMOS: Thank you, Chair
7	Krueger. And welcome, gentlemen. Great to
8	see you all.
9	Mr. Baran, I apologize, I'm not going
10	to be asking you questions, mostly because I
11	agree with everything you've said.
12	(Laughter.)
13	SENATOR RAMOS: And I do agree with
14	the other gentlemen, of course, but I would
15	love for James Parrott to expand a little bit
16	on actual possible solutions to our UI
17	situation and how to bring the fund out of
18	the deficit that it's in, in hopefully about
19	a minute?
20	MR. PARROTT: Yeah. So as I was
21	indicating, the main problem is that the
22	taxable wage base in New York is only
23	\$12,300. So an employer only pays the UI tax
24	on the first \$12,300 in wages.

1	New York is the highest-wage state
2	overall, so a lot of wages in New York are
3	going untaxed. So effectively you could
4	raise the taxable wage base in the
5	neighborhood of \$50,000 to \$60,000, which
6	would not make it the highest Washington
7	State is at \$62,000, a half-dozen states are
8	around \$50,000.
9	You raise it to \$50,000, you could
10	generate more revenue, pay off the trust fund
11	debt in two to three years, lower taxes on
12	small employers. New York State has latitude
13	under federal law to change the emphasis that
14	experience rating has in the in setting
15	the tax rates. That could further lower the
16	tax rates on small employers.
17	So it's raising the tax rate,
18	changing raising the taxable wage base,
19	changing the structure, shifting the tax
20	burden from small employers to large
21	employers.
22	SENATOR RAMOS: Thank you. And
23	succinct, I appreciate that, Mr. Parrott.

MR. PARROTT: Thanks.

1		SEN	IATOR	RAMOS:	Mr.	Sonn,	thank	you
2	for	being	here.					

You know, you worked on the last campaign to raise the minimum wage, and I think one of the biggest myths that I hear is that it will somehow hinder job growth. But we saw the opposite with the last minimum wage increase.

MR. SONN: Sure. There have been a total -- and our colleague James is the author of one of them, so he should feel free to elaborate. There have been a total of five separate studies of the impact of New York's \$15 minimum wage. You know, a couple -- several by universities, including James's, also the University of California and Columbia University, one by the New York Federal Reserve Bank. All of them found that they raised pay for millions, resulted in significant reductions in poverty, and there was no evidence of job loss.

This includes upstate. For example, the Federal Reserve looked at job growth

1	patterns along the Pennsylvania/New York
2	border where the wage differential is about
3	\$4 or \$5 an hour
4	SENATOR RAMOS: Anything about
5	Long Island? I'm trying to get
6	Senator Martins on the bill.
7	(Laughter.)
8	MR. SONN: Yes, on Long Island as
9	well. The most significant one was the
10	recent University of California study which
11	looked at the impact of the full \$15
12	minimum wage on Long Island and upstate
13	counties, and it found that job it focused
14	on the fast food minimum wage, which has been
15	at \$15 for several years, long enough to
16	study. It found that job growth was equal to
17	or faster in Long Island and upstate than in
18	similar counties in other states that had
19	much lower minimum wages.
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Sorry, Paul, I
21	have to stop you there.
22	SENATOR RAMOS: Thank you, Paul.
23	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
24	Assembly.

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JOYNER: Member Lucas.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUCAS: Thank you,
3	Madam Chair.
4	I think this is for EmPIRE Coalition.
5	MR. BARAN: Yes.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUCAS: You mentioned in
7	your testimony that the loss of 3 billion in
8	wages that are stolen each year due to
9	inadequate enforcement of the Labor Law.
10	Can you speak to what enforcement
11	should look like? As well as what mechanism
12	as legislators should we have in place to
13	curtail these types of losses?
14	MR. BARAN: Absolutely. So I think
15	there's always got to be a combination of
16	different enforcement mechanisms. And that's
17	how the law has always our laws under the
18	federal law, the Fair Labor Standards Act,
19	and the New York Labor Law, have always
20	treated it.
21	But there's going to be public
22	enforcers like the departments of labor but
23	also private enforcers, people who are
24	directly harmed by the violations, bringing

1	lawsuits	in	court	to	address	these
2	violation	ns.				

Part of the problem right now is that
the -- there isn't a significant enough
deterrent mechanism for employers because the
penalties are so low. You know, even if
workers get together and bring a claim for
the violations -- like we, for example,
represent workers at a paper bag factory
upstate. The violations, you know, end up
being a couple of minutes a day. For each
worker, those violations are very
small-dollar.

And for the employer, at the end of the day, if they have to pay, it's not necessarily a lot of money to that employer. They pay that money that they stole plus an equal amount is liquidated damages. And it ends up being very little to deter the violation. And so a lot of violations go undetected and unabated.

The EmPIRE Act, if it's enacted, would create a new default civil penalty of \$500 per pay period per worker per violation.

1	From that penalty, 40 percent would go to the
2	workers who are affected by the violations
3	and 60 percent would go to the Department of
4	Labor to fund future enforcement.
5	And in California, where they have
6	this similar law, those penalties have helped
7	start new enforcement initiatives and fund
8	new enforcement initiatives, in particular to
9	address independent contractor
10	misclassification.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUCAS: Thank you for
12	that.
13	MR. BARAN: You're welcome.
14	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
15	Oh, do you have more? I'm sorry, you
16	have a few more seconds if you wish.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUCAS: No, I'm okay.
18	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I didn't want to
19	cut you off.
20	Okay, Senator Martins.
21	SENATOR MARTINS: Thank you.
22	It's good to see you again. It's been
23	a few years.
24	MR. PARROTT: Yup.

1	SENATOR MARTINS: You know, I do have
2	some concerns. I've heard from constituents
3	back home that every time they go to the
4	supermarket the price of things is higher.
5	It just costs more. And, you know, it's not
6	due to anything we've done. Certainly
7	there's inflation nationally, and they're
8	seeing the impacts of those that inflation
9	reflected in their ability to purchase
10	everyday items.

There is a concern that increasing minimum wage will add to an inflationary cycle and add to costs to basic goods to people who are looking to purchase, and will impact those, especially those on fixed incomes who are unable to absorb that.

And so I was curious if you can give us your insights, whether you relate back to the minimum wage increase that was done just a few years ago or whether you have independent insights of that. For those who are concerned about an increase and how it's going to impact those who are least able to pay, what say you?

1		MR	. PAI	RROTT:	Well,	Ι	can	start	and
2	Paul	can	supp	lement	this.				

Look at our experience when we raised the -- when we phased in the \$15 minimum wage in New York City. So the minimum wage basically doubled between 2014 and 2019.

There was no noticeable inflation at that time in New York.

The recent inflation we've had is very unusual. You know, it's due to a combination of factors, none of which are related to what people might call wage push inflation -- wages rising, leading businesses to raise prices. The inflation we've seen is due to supply chain problems related to the pandemic, the Russian war in Ukraine and the effect that that's had on oil prices and grain prices and food prices around the country.

Plus, you know, there's a recent report from the Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland that found that corporate pricing power was responsible for half of the inflation recently, which just added to

1	profits.
2	So none of the research about the
3	recent inflation or our own experience in
4	New York when we raised the minimum wage to
5	\$15 points to an effect on minimum wage
6	increases leading to inflation.
7	MR. SONN: So it really is not a
8	driver of inflation, but it's a vital
9	response to inflation. Because inflation is
10	eroding consumers' and workers' purchasing
11	power in their you know, you just have to
12	go to the grocery store or the gas pump and
13	you can see how everything is costing a lot
14	more.
15	And the Governor's very small proposal
16	is just not enough to help to catch paychecks
17	up to where they need to be.
18	SENATOR MARTINS: Thank you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
20	Assembly.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JOYNER: Member Simon.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Here we go.
23	Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Parrott. I always

1	enjoy hearing from you and your expert
2	advice. You were once in my neighborhood on
3	an issue
4	MR. PARROTT: We're well-represented
5	in the Assembly.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: So I think this
7	sounds like a great idea.
8	But I wanted to also speak to
9	Mr. Baran about the EmPIRE whatever Act
10	sorry. And I just for a matter of the
11	record alone.
12	So you're essentially raising the
13	penalties and you're empowering individual
14	attorneys or not-for-profit public interest
15	law firms, for example, to in fact act as the
16	Department of Labor, get those penalties, and
17	they would be empowered to bring an action
18	for declaratory relief penalties, injunctive
19	relief, but not damages. Is that correct?
20	MR. BARAN: That's correct.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Okay. So
22	this we're not talking about mental
23	distress or other kinds of damages that are
24	usually the kinds of things people are

1	worried about in terms of the, you know
2	what is the the opening-the-floodgates
3	kind of thing. So this really is going to be
4	limited in terms of the kind of remedies that
5	you can get that would directly then help New
6	York State as well as help those workers who
7	would get a cut of that.
8	Is that correct?
9	MR. BARAN: Correct.
10	And so where the Labor Law already
11	provides for a civil penalty, that is the
12	civil penalty that would apply. Most of the
13	Labor Law provisions do not provide for that
14	kind of civil penalty. And so there would be
15	a new default penalty that would apply in
16	these actions of \$500 per worker per pay
17	period per violation.
18	So it's very predictable and clear
19	what the costs of stealing wages or
20	committing other violations of the Labor Law
21	are, and part of our hope is that it will

ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: We have passed a

happening in the first place.

actually deter those violations from

22

L	couple of bills that are about wage theft.
2	had passed a wage-theft law last year; there
3	have been others. Why are they not
4	sufficient for this purpose?

MR. BARAN: So there's a combination of reasons. One is — there's definitely a combination of reasons. Part of the — on the private enforcement side is there's a real increased fear of retaliation among workers, particularly immigrant workers in the current anti-immigrant climate that we're facing. That's one big problem.

The other problem is just various obstacles to privately enforcing your rights in court, and to banding together to enforce rights in court. You know, some of those are obstacles that we've seen from the Supreme Court and bad decisions in case law there. But they're not only limited to that.

And in general -- particularly a lot of these wage-theft cases involve small-dollar violations. And frankly, for attorneys like me, a lot of those kinds of violations just simply aren't big enough to

1	be able to have an incentive to pursue.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Hard to find
3	counsel.
4	MR. BARAN: And so when you have
5	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I'm sorry, I have
6	to cut you off. Thank you.
7	Senator Robert Jackson.
8	SENATOR JACKSON: Am I to close? No.
9	But thank you for coming and speaking
10	out.
11	Paul, I want to ask you what can
12	you talk about the impact of the Governor's
13	proposal on home care workers and the
14	vulnerable population that they serve?
15	MR. SONN: Yeah, it was really quite
16	surprising that the Governor, having just
17	negotiated 10 months ago this important \$3 ar
18	hour wage premium for home care workers, that
19	she's now proposing to freeze it and phase it
20	out.
21	And, you know, I think every the
22	rationale for that policy was that home care
23	work is so demanding that it needs to pay

above minimum wage in order to be able to

1	adequately recruit a workforce, you know, in
2	light of our urgent home care labor shortage.
3	So the policy needs to be kept permanently
4	above minimum wage.
5	And so Senator Ramos and
6	Assemblymember Joyner's Raise the Wage Act
7	would do that. It would preserve the
8	\$3 increment. It would modify it so that as
9	the minimum wage goes up, the home care wage
10	would remain \$3 above the general minimum
11	wage, preserving that important incentive.
12	The Governor, though, is proposing to
13	strip that away, and it's really puzzling and
14	really, you know, kind of counterproductive.
15	And with respect, we would urge the
16	Legislature to preserve that important gain
17	for home care workers and build on it with
18	the Raise the Wage Act.
19	SENATOR JACKSON: And so it will be up
20	to us in order to pass the law and, if she
21	vetoes it, to override the veto.
22	MR. SONN: I don't think she
23	proposed modifying the law from last year.

The law as is is written to keep the home

1	care wage \$3 above the minimum wage. So that
2	includes the minimum wage phased up to \$21
3	under the Raise the Wage Act. So as long as
4	you do not accept the Governor's amendments
5	that were included in her budget proposal,
6	the \$3 increment will stand.

But you obviously need to be very clear on that.

SENATOR JACKSON: And Jim, what do we need to do from a legislative point of view in order to basically raise the revenue in order to help reduce the time frame to pay back the money for the unemployment? I mean, do we have the heart to do that?

MR. PARROTT: You know, other states change their taxable wage base all the time.

New York seems to have a really hard time addressing its unemployment insurance problem. It wasn't addressed from 2000 until 2014, and then the proposal was so flawed that many of us were skeptical that the -- you know, that it would effectively prevent the trust fund from going into debt the next recession. The trust fund lasted two weeks

1	this time before New York had to start
2	borrowing.
3	You write legislation that changes the
4	UI tax structure. That's at the discretion
5	of New York State. You have to be in
6	compliance with federal guidelines on that.
7	New York has a fair amount of latitude.
8	Other states have much higher taxable wages
9	bases.
10	We can change the taxable wage base in
11	the structure and project out what that would
12	do to the trust fund balance. And that
13	likely would allow for an acceleration of
14	repaying the debt and increasing benefits.
15	because our benefits are really they're
16	below mediocre.
17	SENATOR JACKSON: And we call
18	ourselves the Empire State.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I have to cut you
20	off, Robert. Sorry.
21	Assembly.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JOYNER: Okay. Thank
23	you to the panel for your insight on all of
24	the proposals that you brought up.

1	I had a couple of questions for Hugh.
2	The EmPIRE Act is currently not in the
3	proposal, the Governor's budget proposal. So
4	can you talk a little bit more about this
5	\$30 million that could potentially be a
6	revenue-raiser? How many jobs do you
7	estimate DOL could see from this?
8	MR. BARAN: Yes, so in California the
9	PAGA, which is the law that inspired EmPIRE,
10	has generated an average of \$67 million per
11	year from 2016 to 2021. And so our estimate
12	of \$30 million per year is sort of accounting
13	for New York's just a smaller state than
14	California and there's fewer obviously
15	there's fewer workers as a result.
16	But we do think that once attorneys
17	have become familiar with how to use EmPIRE,
18	that it's going to generate a lot of revenue,
19	and potentially more. It might we
20	actually think we've run a fairly
21	conservative estimate.
22	In terms of jobs, I mean, what we've
23	seen in California is it's actually enabled

seen in California is it's actually enabled the hiring of a lot of new people into their

1	state DOL. You know, first there were
2	people part of the way the EmPIRE Act
3	works is that you have to file a claim, a
4	notice of claim with the Department of Labor.
5	So there will be people at the department
6	reviewing those notices as an initial matter
7	that will help sort of spur other
8	investigation efforts. Those are jobs that
9	will be created.
10	In addition, then once the revenue
11	starts coming in from EmPIRE actions, we
12	think there could be dozens of new
13	investigators and enforcers who are hired. I
14	don't have exact numbers at my fingertips;
15	I'm happy to get that to you if you like.
16	But I think what's really important
17	about this is it's a permanent revenue
18	stream. It's not something that would vary
19	year to year based on a budget. It's money
20	that's going to come in every year from these
21	EmPIRE actions, and it will be protected to
22	be used for these enforcement purposes.
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JOYNER: Okay, great.

And my remaining two questions are for

1	the remaining panelists. The Governor's
2	proposal has a 3 percent cap on minimum wage
3	indexing. Can you talk a little bit about
4	what the impact will be to workers?
5	And then I know, James, you spoke
6	about the taxable wage base. I think you
7	said 12,000, right? It's currently at
8	\$12,000?
9	MR. PARROTT: Twelve thousand three
10	hundred currently, right.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JOYNER: And if you
12	could speak if we change that, what would
13	the impact be on businesses and employers as
14	well?
15	MR. SONN: The Governor's proposal to
16	cap inflation adjustments at 3 percent would
17	mean that not only would workers' minimum
18	wage not catch up for the past inflation
19	since 2019, but it wouldn't even keep up with
20	inflation in the future. Because this very
21	first year, inflation is supposed to be close
22	to 6 percent. She would authorize only a
23	3 percent increase. So New York's minimum
24	wage will continue to erode.

1	Of the 19 other states that adjust
2	their minimum wage for inflation, 16 have no
3	caps or limits. It hasn't resulted in
4	unmanageably high minimum wage increases,
5	including during the past years of high
6	inflation. They're just completely
7	unnecessary and unfair, and they should be
8	rejected.
9	Also, almost no other states have
10	the she would automatically suspend
11	increases whenever unemployment ticks up.
12	Virtually no other states do that. And that
13	should be rejected as well.
14	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
15	Okay, I think I might be the last
16	Senator.
17	So James, I read your report, and I
18	completely agree that New York should have
19	done this years ago, and we haven't. And I
20	think, following up on where I had to cut off
21	Senator Jackson before, so some of us are
22	worried we're going to hit a new recession in

not that long, and we're going to need to

deal with unemployment benefits again.

23

1	How quickly could we implement the
2	changes you're making recommendations that we
3	should do, so that come the next time we
4	actually have a more reasonable benefit level
5	for unemployed workers? Because I'm not
6	counting on the federal government coming to
7	give us more benefits again.
8	MR. PARROTT: Yeah. Right. I think
9	that's a reasonable thing to not assume.
10	Well, I doubt that you could rewrite
11	the tax structure by the end of the month and
12	put it in this budget. But, you know
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: But soon after.
14	MR. PARROTT: I'll help, if you you
15	know, if there's interest in doing that.
16	I did meet with the UI specialist at
17	the Labor Department last week to review this
18	proposal, because I wanted to make sure that
19	they didn't see any legal reason why New York
20	State couldn't do that. I didn't hear any
21	objections on those grounds.
22	They did say, however, that because of
23	this computer system, which is not yet fully
24	modernized, that you couldn't implement a new

1	system, including the tax structure part of
2	it, until the new system is in place. So
3	but hopefully it will be done by the end of
4	the calendar year I think that's what the
5	commissioner was saying so that
6	conceivably it could be in place a year from
7	now.
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So it could be in
9	place by the end of '23, is that what you're
10	saying? '24?
11	MR. PARROTT: Well, the end of '23
12	I mean, it's mainly a function of the
13	computer system.
14	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Right.
15	MR. PARROTT: You would have to you
16	would have to, you know, decide the best way
17	to reconfigure the tax structure, the
18	combination of taxable wage base and what you
19	want to do about experience rating and so on.
20	None of that is you know, it's not,
21	so to speak, rocket science to do that. You
22	just have to figure it out.
23	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: But we have other
24	states to look at for the models, right?

1	It's not like we're starting from scratch.
2	MR. PARROTT: Oh, absolutely.
3	Absolutely, right.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So it's not that
5	hard to steal good ideas from other states.
6	MR. PARROTT: Right.
7	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Right?
8	MR. PARROTT: Yup.
9	MR. SONN: Hundred percent.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay no, I
11	don't have enough time to start another
12	question. So I want to just thank all of you
13	for your good work.
14	Is there another Assemblymember?
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JOYNER: No.
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And we have no
17	other Senators. I know you want seconds and
18	thirds, but we're not letting you do that.
19	So with that, I want to thank you all
20	very much for participating and waiting all
21	day to testify before us.
22	MR. BARAN: Thank you.
23	MR. SONN: Thank you.
24	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I want to ask you

1	or excuse you to leave or go back to your
2	seats.
3	And I'm going to call up the next and
4	actually now it will be the final panel:
5	Make the Road New York, New York Communities
6	for Change, and ALIGN. And I'm also going to
7	ask to come up at the same time No. 16, the
8	New York State Climate Education and Green
9	Energy Careers, because Nos. 14 and 15 both
10	were not able to join us. Okay? And it just
11	seems silly to do three and then one.
12	Okay? Thank you. So I see three, I'm
13	hoping for four. Oh, they're coming, they're
14	waving. No problem. Don't rush.
15	(Discussion off the record with
16	Mr. Flint, Speaker No. 16.)
17	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: We're all a very
18	civilized group. Last night we had
19	pro-tobacco and anti-tobacco people at the
20	same table at the same time.
21	(Laughter.)
22	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay, I purposely
23	set it up that way. But, you know, it was a
24	long day. Sorry. And it was a health

1	committee Health hearing.
2	Okay, hi, everyone. I'll start with
3	my right, your left. So please just
4	introduce yourself and start for your
5	three minutes.
6	TAL FRIEDEN: Thank you, honorable
7	chairs and committee members. My name is
8	Tal Frieden. I work at ALIGN as the campaign
9	coordinator for Raise Up New York.
10	Raise Up New York has built a powerful
11	alliance of labor unions, grassroots
12	organizations and businesses in support of
13	raising the minimum wage to over \$21.25 by
14	2026, and indexing it annually thereafter.
15	ALIGN was active in the Fight for 15,
16	as were many of the members of the Raise Up
17	New York coalition, who together represent
18	almost 1.3 million workers across New York
19	State.
20	The Raise the Wage Act is also
21	supported by New York Business for a Fair
22	Minimum Wage, a growing coalition of over 200

businesses and business organizations across

New York, from retailers, restaurants and

23

1	other	small	busine	esses	to	farms,
2	manufa	acturei	cs, and	d more	е.	

Our coalition members are UAW workers manufacturing Ford F150s in Buffalo, farmworkers harvesting our food in the Finger Lakes region. They're home care workers in the Hudson Valley, providing essential care to those who need it.

Raise Up New York represents fast food workers across the state, 32BJ airport workers at some of the busiest airports in America, and UPS Teamsters in Long Island, who provide the logistics infrastructure that keeps our economy running. These are the workers who will see a direct impact in their wallets when we raise the minimum wage.

According to the Economic Progress

Institute, under Senate Bill 1978A and

Assembly Bill 2204A, 2.9 million workers

would receive an average raise of \$63 per

week. This increase of over \$3,300 per year

could mean the difference between eating and

heating, between a stable home and housing

insecurity, or between childcare and missing

1 work.

Governor Hochul has put forward a
modest proposal to index the minimum wage
starting at \$15 an hour, with caps and
exceptions that limit the impact of this
measure. While it is important that the
Governor has recognized that we need to raise
the minimum wage, her proposal has serious
faults. Namely, it does not first raise the
minimum wage, an essential element of
restoring the minimum wage's power lost due
to rising prices.

Under the Governor's proposal, 900,000 workers would get an average raise of \$13 per week. Again, under the Raise the Wage Act, 2.9 million workers, or a third of New York State's workforce, would get an average raise of \$63 per week. The Governor's proposal leaves out 2 million workers and gives those who do get a raise barely enough for a sandwich in New York City.

Moreover, the Governor funds her proposal by reversing the historic raise that the Legislature granted essential home care

1	workers last year, ensuring that they would
2	return to minimum wage work around the year
3	2030 when the minimum wage would finally
4	reach \$18 an hour under her proposal.
5	New York should not cut benefits for
6	some workers in order to pay for raises for
7	others. All workers need an immediate
8	increase in the minimum wage.
9	This policy is incredibly popular.
10	Eighty percent of voters Democrats,
11	Republicans, independents support it, and
12	70 percent think the minimum wage should be
13	over \$20 an hour.
14	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. I
15	have to cut you off there.
16	TAL FRIEDEN: Thanks.
17	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Next?
18	MS. SOLIS: Good evening. Thank you
19	for sticking it out with us. My name is
20	Angeles Solis. I'm with Make the Road
21	New York. I represent here 24,000 workers,
22	immigrants and tenants fighting for
23	protections in their community.
24	And I'm here to testify for a critical

1	piece of legislation carried by Labor Chair
2	Senator Ramos and Assemblymember Karines
3	Reyes, the Unemployment Bridge Program, S3192
4	and A4825. This legislation is a solution to
5	a structural problem, and that is exclusion
6	in unemployment insurance, which we've talked
7	a lot about today.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Unemployment insurance is more than a benefit, it is an essential labor right. When losing your job means losing everything, workers are less likely to speak up in dangerous conditions. This is something I see and our members see every single day. This was the case for Juan Chonillo, an NYCC {ph} member who lost their life on a Manhattan construction site and we honored earlier this year. Safety nets are a matter of life and death.

The UBP, or the Unemployment Bridge Program, is a solution for over 750,000 New Yorkers ineligible for traditional UI. Freelancers, undocumented workers, people on reentry and those in the cash economy would be covered for up to \$1200 a month for up to six months. We're talking about \$1200. This
is not a luxury, this is basic needs support.

I've got a minute. Research shows that for every dollar provided in unemployment compensation, recipients generate \$1.93 right back into the economy. Undocumented immigrants paid 1.4 billion over the last decade directly into UI. So they subsidize this benefit for other workers, but they're excluded themselves.

Freelancers are a growing part of our economy. It's an exploding sector in our workforce. And nearly 60 percent of them say that they will likely do this work in the future. These are in your districts, meaning these workers lack protection without the UBP.

Incarcerated workers make the very furniture we sit on, to license plates, to hand sanitizer in New York for pennies an hour, but when released are denied UI. With the UBP we can improve public safety, greatly reduce recidivism, and save the costs of

1	incarceration in New York State. Quick
2	number there: Recidivism can cost \$50,000
3	per year per person for someone in reentry
4	while UBP would cost a maximum of 7200 per
5	person.

So there's a strong economic argument here of a spending boost. We can expect 250 million back to New York City alone, 43 million back to Long Island, the Hudson Valley and other regions across New York State.

Five hundred million is what it would cost. That includes 15 percent for the Department of Labor. And it would be funded through the digital ad tax, which generates over a billion for New York State alone.

And in my last 16 seconds I'll just say that if the Legislature was able to justify 455 million for beautification projects like the Belmont State Track, the Legislature can also justify the same amount of resources to cover all workers that make those projects run, including the labor of excluded workers. So we're counting on you

1	to bring this program home and to remedy the
2	exclusions in our social safety net.
3	Thank you.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
5	Next up?
6	MR. SANCHEZ: Hi, good evening.
7	My name is Lucas Sanchez. I'm the
8	co-executive director of New York Communities
9	for Change. We're a community-based
10	organization representing around
1	20,000 members in New York City and on
12	Long Island.
13	I'm also here as a member of the Fund
4	Excluded Workers Coalition, the Raise Up
15	New York Coalition, and the EmPIRE Act
16	Coalition.
17	On a personal level, I'm also here on
18	behalf of immigrants like me and my family.
19	I came here in the late eighties as an
20	undocumented immigrant. My family, my aunts,
21	my uncles, family friends, everyone I grew up
22	with, were undocumented immigrants from
23	Colombia. And the legislation I'm going to

talk about is legislation that would have

1	impacted	family	memb	pers	like	me	and	millions
2	of other	folks	that	have	arri	lved	in	similar
3	ways.							

Again, thank you so much for the opportunity to testify. I want to talk about three important pieces of legislation: The Unemployment Bridge program, sponsored by Senator Ramos and Assemblymember Reyes; Senator Ramos and Assemblymember Joyner's bill to raise the minimum wage; and Senator Hoylman-Sigal and Assemblymember Joyner's bill, the Empire Act, Empowering People in Rights Enforcement.

So the UBP, the Unemployment Bridge
Program, would provide unemployment insurance
to 750,000 workers excluded from traditional
insurance, with 30,000 people expected to get
benefits at any given time, and would work
similarly to traditional unemployment.

The UBP is a lifeline for the self-employed. It is also about carceral justice and a public safety issue. Twenty thousand workers reentering the labor force after prison or detention would be covered

L	during	their	first	vear	post-release

If we want to tackle public safety, if
we want to tackle the root causes of public
safety, this is something that will help us
with that. It's also an immigrant justice
issue. Immigrant workers pay over
100 million a year into the unemployment
insurance system in New York, but cannot
access a cent.

Again, this is a racial and economic justice issue. Black and brown workers are disproportionately impacted by unemployment -- with, for example, Black unemployment rates typically running nearly twice as high as the rate for white workers.

For the second piece of legislation we support, we must raise the minimum wage in New York. I think it's clear to everyone that \$15 an hour is not sufficient to make ends meet anywhere across the state, and it is just impossible to survive. And we must raise the minimum wage.

As for the EmPIRE Act, this will really expand the state's enforcement

1	capacity and deter wage theft by creating a
2	mechanism that will allow workers in labor
3	organizations to step into the shoes of the
4	state and file claims for violation of the
5	law.
6	We are counting on you, our elected
7	officials, to bring this legislation home and
8	to really help all workers across New York
9	State. Thank you.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
1	Excuse me. Hi. And you were, again?
12	You were for the I know this
13	MR. FLINT: It has to be too long,
14	doesn't it?
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Climate
16	Education and Clean Energy careers.
17	I'm sorry, Adam, yes.
18	MR. FLINT: Well, I just want to thank
19	you, Senator Krueger, and Chairs Ramos and
20	Joyner and the remainder of you who have just
21	amazing stamina. I don't think I could pull
22	that off.
23	So I'm Adam Flint, and I wear a number
24	of hats. But I'm here today representing a

working group with a very long name, climate education and clean energy careers. I've been in this space since the first year of Green Jobs, Green New York. And I've also co-led a consortium that designed NYSERDA's new \$53 million Regional Clean Energy Hub program. And a lot of this draws on that experience.

The proposals in my testimony, my written testimony, most of them are in the New York Renews "Climate and Community Protection Plan," which I don't know that has been formally introduced yet, but it will be hopefully soon. The working group that I represent included or includes a number of organizations from across the state:

Educators, workforce professionals, labor, community-based organizations -- including ALIGN, our neighbors to the left -- NYATEP, New York Renews, UUP and so forth.

We convened because we saw a critical gap in state policy and programs that we really need to fill in order to build the 21st-century workforce in an equitable way

that is required to meet our ambitious CLCPA goals. To put it bluntly, not only does the state lack a plan with resources to meet this challenge, but it also lacks any official space in which to create such a plan.

And so our first proposal is to fund a working group, interagency plus stakeholders working group, to do the job that unfortunately was not done at any point in the CLCPA.

In terms of the Governor's budget and NYSERDA, funds are too few and, perhaps more importantly, are not appropriately designed for the needs of this sector. They tend to favor larger, already successful groups with a lot of resources. Groups that don't meet those conditions often just won't qualify or can't practically use the money.

The Governor's own Strategic Workforce

Development Office fund, if I'm reading

things right, was zeroed out for this year,

even though there's funding for future

outyears. That fund would need to be

reconfigured as well.

1	We don't anticipate federal funding
2	from any source to do anything differently.
3	I spoke to the seniormost official in this
4	area in DOE, and if you're not doing formal
5	apprenticeships, there's not going to be a
6	lot of money.
7	The CLCPA sorry. We need this
8	funded group of folks to do the work of
9	putting together a proposal, and we need for
10	the various agencies involved to have a more
11	nuanced and comprehensive plan to cover the
12	entire State of New York and all of its
13	citizens.
14	Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
16	I think our first questioner is
17	Senator Ramos.
18	SENATOR RAMOS: Thank you,
19	Chair Krueger.
20	Hi, everybody. Good to see you.
21	We've had a great day.
22	Tal, thanks for testifying today. I'm
23	wondering if you can expand a little bit
24	about how this helps how raising the

1	minimum wage helps in terms of public safety
2	And maybe a few seconds on more of the
3	business support that we've been seeing.
4	TAL FRIEDEN: Sure.
5	So first of all, all of the research
6	shows that raising the minimum wage is good
7	for small business. There are countless
8	studies Paul mentioned this. We can send
9	multiple studies to your offices regarding
10	the impact on small business.
11	Our coalition is over 200 businesses
12	and growing. They include farms,
13	construction, real estate firms, restaurants
14	manufacturers, some of the lobbying firms
15	here in Albany. It's really across the
16	gamut, in districts across the state from
17	Brooklyn to Montauk. And I can, you know,
18	quote some of them on their support.
19	I can also say that James Parrott, who
20	was here earlier, authored a really importan-
21	report that showed that raising the minimum

wage and ensuring living wages does wonders

to ensure thriving communities. Thriving

communities are communities where folks are

22

23

1	not driven to crimes of poverty or other
2	types of criminalization that we see in our
3	communities.
4	So there's research showing that when
5	you pay people, it's good for the community.
6	It's not hard to understand fundamentally.
7	But there's also research to show that as
8	well.
9	SENATOR RAMOS: Thank you, Tal.
10	Angeles, for the Unemployment Bridge
11	Program that we've introduced this year, can
12	you talk a little bit about how it would work
13	in light of the UI deficit that we've been
14	talking about here?
15	MS. SOLIS: Yup.
16	So there's a couple of ways to answer
17	that question. First, the UBP is needed
18	because tens of thousands of workers are
19	excluded from the UI due to federal rules.
20	Second, we should not sacrifice
21	safety-net programs as we work to overhaul
22	all of the issues that we need to fix with
23	UI.
24	It is also funded through a different

1	revenue source, so it doesn't actually
2	interact with the UI trust fund. And just on
3	the UI trust fund, I believe that
4	James Parrott really is the expert here and
5	can speak to more.
6	But the problem with the UI system is
7	not fraud or employers being overtaxed. It's
8	the chronic insolvency because of the
9	irresponsible tax rates and not being able to
10	keep up over decades.
11	SENATOR RAMOS: Thank you, Angeles.
12	I'm sorry to cut you off.
13	Lucas, my Colombian parcero, can you
14	talk a little bit about how the UI benefits
15	for reentry people work?
16	MR. SANCHEZ: Sure. Thank you,
17	Senator, for that question.
18	So although many, many people work
19	while they are incarcerated or while they are
20	in immigration detention, this labor is not
21	recognized as employment and, you know,
22	cannot be used to establish the necessary
23	earnings history required for traditional
24	unemployment insurance.

1	So this program will really provide
2	benefits to New Yorkers who haven't had
3	access to that. And again, you know, the
4	data is clear that recidivism is very much
5	tied to a person's inability to find
6	employment, to have an income, and to have
7	stable housing.
8	SENATOR RAMOS: Thank you, Lucas.
9	Adam, I ran out of time, I'm sorry.
10	Love your work, though.
11	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Assembly.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JOYNER: Member
13	Zinerman.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ZINERMAN: Good evening.
15	thank you all for your really good
16	{Inaudible interruption.}
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ZINERMAN: As you know,
18	and we're going to make this happen.
19	My question is for you. New Yorkers
20	did a great thing, we passed MRTA and we
21	passed the Environmental Bond Act. And so
22	there's all of these great jobs that you just
23	talked about that are available where we
24	don't have a mechanism for how are we going

1	to get those who actually need these jobs
2	that could help with our unemployment rate
3	and other ways into these jobs.
4	So do you have a figure? Because I
5	don't have your testimony in front of me.
6	How much would the working group cost? And
7	then if you could
8	MR. FLINT: We have a
9	back-of-the-envelope figure, I'll be honest,
10	of about 3 million.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ZINERMAN: Three million
12	dollars.
13	MR. FLINT: Yeah.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ZINERMAN: And so you're
15	asking for that to be a part of the one-house
16	this year in order for the working group to
17	get started.
18	(Overtalk.)
19	MR. FLINT: Yeah, and part of that is
20	we want to
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ZINERMAN: Is this going
22	to be a multiyear group? Or is it
23	MR. FLINT: Oh, yeah.
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ZINERMAN: Okay, mm-hmm.

1	MR. FLINT: We want to make sure that
2	lack of compensation doesn't limit who can be
3	on this committee.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ZINERMAN: Okay, so
5	MR. FLINT: Which is something NYSERDA
6	is already actually doing. No more
7	volunteerism for people who can't afford it.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ZINERMAN: Thank you.
9	Oh, I have 1:47. So let's talk about
10	you you get the working group together.
11	So just kind of walk us through some
12	additional steps. I mean, you are you
13	and I think this is the first time we have
14	one bill that's being proposed where they've
15	actually named the members of the community
16	that community groups that are already
17	there working. Do you have a list of groups
18	that you want to be a part of this working
19	group, this interagency working group?
20	MR. FLINT: Yeah. It's not a it's
21	not a complete list. And we would want to
22	establish some criteria. We look a lot at
23	the same kinds of procedures that have been
24	used recently in connection with

CLCPA-related work with NYSERDA in terms of criteria.

I think part of the reason why we're really focusing on this is that we could propose, all right, we need this amount of money for this particular program. But we know that in order to do anything comprehensive, we really need to have everybody at the table. And unfortunately, because of the way the CLCPA was written, which in turn was because of who was and wasn't at that table at the time, we need to do that.

So, you know, we can say, for example, the state badly needs to be able to spend money to market and educate that this is in fact a growing and established set of careers that are fantastic careers, very well kept secret in most places. Most of the money does not touch K-12 because it doesn't lead to very-near-term employment. We need to do something about that.

So there's a whole list of things we've developed that's in the testimony, but

1	this for us is just the teaser. We really
2	need to do this comprehensively.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ZINERMAN: I note you're
4	asking for a carve-out so that the big boys
5	don't suck up all the air and all the money
6	before the working group comes back with its
7	recommendations. And in three seconds?
8	MR. FLINT: Yes.
9	(Laughter.)
10	MR. FLINT: I can only say yes or no.
11	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: All right.
12	Robert Jackson.
13	SENATOR JACKSON: So, beautiful
14	people, let me thank you for hanging in there
15	and putting forward the advocacy that you do
16	on behalf of the organizations that you
17	represent.
18	And I'm one of those individuals that
19	I'm sure that I'm on those bills. And if
20	not, I will be on them ASAP.
21	And just keep pushing in the right
22	direction in what you're doing in order to
23	make us do the right thing on behalf of all
24	of the people that we represent.

1	With that I say to all of you,
2	as-salamu alaykum. Peace be upon all of you.
3	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay, Assembly.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN JOYNER: Member Lucas.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUCAS: Thank you,
6	Madam Chair.
7	Okay, this is for New York Communities
8	for Change. In your testimony you mentioned
9	Senator Ramos's and Assemblymember Reyes's
10	bill on the Unemployment Bridge Program,
11	which will provide unemployment insurance
12	coverage to 750,000 workers excluded from
13	traditional insurance.
14	You mentioned to include a digital ad
15	tax from companies with gross revenues of
16	100 million. What percentage of that tax
17	would be levied to those companies to
18	generate the revenue? Do you have any data
19	that supports this ask? And is it adjusted
20	for inflation?
21	MR. SANCHEZ: Sure. So just to
22	stress, so the Unemployment Bridge Program
23	will be revenue-neutral, and the digital tax
24	is expected to raise a billion dollars for

1	New York State.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUCAS: I'm sorry, can
3	you speak into the thank you.
4	MR. SANCHEZ: Sure. Sorry.
5	So just to start again, so I want to
6	stress that the Unemployment Bridge Program
7	is absolutely revenue-neutral, and the
8	digital tax is expected to raise a billion
9	dollars for New York State.
10	To be clear, the tax will collect a
11	small portion of the annual revenues of
12	digital advertising services from companies
13	whose gross and annual revenues from these
14	services is 100 million or more.
15	So in terms of the other specific
16	questions and the numbers, that is something
17	that I can definitely get to you.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUCAS: Appreciate that
19	And is it adjusted for inflation, and
20	do you have data that supports it?
21	MS. SOLIS: On the inflation one,
22	let's get back to you on that one.
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUCAS: Okay.
24	MS. SOLIS: But there is data.

1	So there's several states that have
2	made a move to pass this ad tax. And folks
3	might be wondering what that is. It's
4	essentially the advertising that is already
5	existing out there, bringing in millions if
6	not billions of dollars of revenue for major
7	tech corporations like Amazon, eBay, Google,
8	that they aren't getting taxed on. Right?
9	That's missing revenue for our state.
10	So (indicating timer) the little
11	peep. So in Maryland in particular, I do
12	want to add, they've passed the
13	first-in-the-nation digital ad tax. U.S.
14	Chamber of Commerce, Comcast, Verizon and
15	industry groups backed by big tech players
16	like Google and Amazon brought forward two
17	separate lawsuits. Now those lawsuits and
18	those counts have been dismissed in the
19	federal challenge. This is, again, new tax
20	law that we want to bring across the table.
21	(Unintelligible overtalk.)
22	MS. SOLIS: But in terms of data, it
23	will stand on its feet.
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUCAS: So I got it, you

1	got support.
2	MS. SOLIS: Sorry. Yeah, gotcha.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUCAS: This tax
4	proposal is actually has some similarities
5	to the payroll tax that the MTA is seeking to
6	get out of its financial bind. Are you
7	concerned or what would you say to those
8	who would view this as a double taxation on
9	companies at a time when businesses are
10	reducing their workforce by either laying off
11	employees or imposing hiring freezes?
12	And could you possibly guarantee that
13	the revenue projections will actually happen
14	on this proposed tax?
15	MS. SOLIS: Those are good questions.
16	Again
17	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: {Mic off.} You
18	don't have any time to answer.
19	MS. SOLIS: Oh, okay.
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: {Mic off.} You
21	can write up your answer
22	MS. SOLIS: I'll follow up.
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUCAS: I appreciate it.
24	Thank you.

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: and send them
2	to us and we'll get them to everyone. Or you
3	can have an offline conversation with the
4	Assemblymember in just a few minutes, because
5	you're the last panel I'm going to be
6	thanking {mic on}. Excuse me.
7	You'll be the last panel I'll be
3	thanking during this year's budget hearings,

thanking during this year's budget hearings, because you have the honor and privilege of being the last panel of the last budget hearing for '23-'24.

And I sincerely want to thank all the legislators who participated during all of these hearings for three weeks. I want to thank all of the staff who worked so hard to get us here and through these events. And that's both houses, both parties, not just legislative staff, but the staff who makes sure that we can stay here till sometimes late at night. And didn't even get that much pizza this year, actually. Overtime, I have no idea how their pay works, actually. But that we can talk about at another time.

But Helene had to leave a little

1	early, but she wanted to make sure that I
2	reference how much we both appreciated
3	everyone's participation this year. We
4	barely had to use the gavel once.
5	So really, thank you all for being our
6	last panel tonight. Thank you, everybody
7	who's here and has been here. And I'm
8	officially closing down the '23-'24 New York
9	State constitutionally required budget
10	hearings.
1	Thank you.
12	(Applause.)
13	(Whereupon, at 7:54 p.m., the budget
14	hearing concluded.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	