	E NEW YORK STATE SI BLY WAYS AND MEANS	
J	OINT LEGISLATIVE H	EARING
2	In the Matter of 024-2025 EXECUTIVE ON TAXES	
		Hearing Room B Legislative Office Building Albany, New York
		February 14, 2024 9:34 a.m.
PRESIDING	:	
	Assemblywoman Held	ene E. Weinstein ays & Means Committee
	Senator Andrew Go	
PRESENT:		
	Senator Thomas F. Senate Finance Con	
	Assemblyman Edward	d P. Ra eans Committee (RM)
	Assemblywoman Jair	
		on Real Property Taxation
	Assemblyman Brian	
	Senator Dean Murra Assemblywoman Jo	_
	Senator John C. L.	

1	2024-2025 Taxes 2-14-24	Executive Budget
3	PRESENT:	(Continued)
4		Assemblyman Erik M. Dilan
5		Senator Bill Weber
6		Assemblywoman MaryJane Shimsky
7		Assemblyman Zohran K. Mamdani
8		Senator George M. Borrello
9		Assemblyman Edward C. Braunstein
10		Senator Brad Hoylman-Sigal
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1	2024-2025 Executive Budget Taxes		
2	2-14-24		
3			
4	LIST OF SPEAKERS		
5		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
6	Amanda Hiller		
7	Acting Commissioner NYS Department of Taxation		
8	and Finance	7	14
9	Warren Wheeler Executive Director		
10	New York State Assessors Association		
11	-and- Nathan Gusdorf		
	Executive Director		
12	Fiscal Policy Institute -and-		
13	James Inniss Public Safety Advocate		
14	New York Communities for Change		
15	Carolyn Martinez-Class Campaign Manager		
16	Invest in Our New York -and-		
17	Rebecca Garrard		
1.0	Deputy Director of Campaigns		
18	and Movement Politics Citizen Action of New York		
19	-and- Michael Kink		
20	Executive Director		
21	Strong Economy for All Coalition	94	113
		J 1	110
22			
23			

1	CHAIRWOMAN	WEINSTEIN:	Good	morning

This is the budget hearing on Taxes, and the last day of budget hearings for this year. I'm Helene Weinstein, chair of the Assembly Ways and Means Committee and cochair of today's hearings.

Today we begin the 12th in a series of hearings conducted by the fiscal committees of the Legislature regarding the Governor's proposed budget for fiscal year 2024-'25.

The hearings are conducted pursuant to the New York State Constitution and the Legislative Law.

Today the Assembly Ways and Means

Committee and the Senate Finance Committee

will hear testimony concerning the Governor's

proposal for taxation. After this hearing

there will be a second hearing on Housing, if

people are tuning in and wondering.

I'd like to now introduce the members from the Assembly that are here with us today, and then Senator Gounardes, who is the chair of the Revenue Committee, will introduce the members of the Senate.

1	So we have with us today Assemblywoman
2	Williams, who is chair of our Real Property
3	Tax Committee. We have Assemblyman
4	Braunstein, Assemblyman Mamdani,
5	Assemblywoman Shimsky and Assemblyman Dilan.
6	And Senator Gounardes, would you like
7	to introduce your colleagues?
8	SENATOR GOUNARDES: Good morning.
9	I'll do my best Liz Krueger impression today,
10	as chair of the Committee on Budget and
11	Revenue.
12	We are joined so far by the ranker on
13	the Finance Committee, Senator O'Mara;
14	Senator Liu; Senator Weber, the ranker on the
15	Budget and Revenue Committee; as well as
16	Senator Borrello.
17	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman Ed
18	Ra is the ranker on Ways and Means.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you.
20	Right now we are joined by
21	Assemblyman Brian Miller, our ranker on
22	Real Property Taxation.
23	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
24	So let me just give some ground rules

1 both for witnesses and for the members.

The governmental witness, our Tax commissioner, Amanda Hiller, will have 10 minutes. The nongovernmental witnesses that will come later will have three minutes each. They will be in a panel. And after all of them speak, then there will be time for the questions.

In terms of questions, the chairs of the relevant committee will have 10 minutes to ask questions of the governmental witness, and all other members will have three minutes.

And when we get to the nongovernmental witness panel, all members will have three minutes.

The chairs of the relevant committees are the only ones who have a second round with the governmental witness of three minutes.

And then just sort of a warning to everybody. Your testimony has been received, is posted. Please don't read word for word. Somehow the time clock goes much faster than

1	you think.
2	And speaking of the time clock,
3	there's a green button that means it's time
4	to go, to speak. The yellow button means
5	there's a minute left. And the red button
6	means you're finished.
7	And just so members know to tell both
8	myself and Senator Gounardes that they wish
9	to speak.
10	So with that with that, I'd like to
11	introduce the are you still acting
12	commissioner? Okay acting commissioner
13	and general counsel of the Department of
14	Taxation and Finance, Amanda Hiller.
15	Oh, that's also there's you
16	press it kind of they're new mics, and the
17	ring will turn green. There you go.
18	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: There
19	we go. Is that okay?
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Sounds good.
21	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: Okay,
22	great.
23	Good morning, Senator Gounardes,

Assemblymember Weinstein, members of the

fiscal committees. I'm Amanda Hiller, acting commissioner and general counsel of the Department of Taxation and Finance. Thank you for this opportunity to discuss Governor Hochul's 2025 Executive Budget and the operations of the Tax Department.

When I appeared before you last year,
I warned of risks on the horizon. The main
concern at the time was that the tightened
monetary policy we needed to combat inflation
could cause a recession. Today it appears we
may have avoided the recession we feared.
Still, New York's economic recovery continues
to lag behind the national recovery.

As we feared, the weakening of tax receipts we saw in fiscal year 2023 did continue in 2024, and the Division of the Budget projects that tax receipts, although improving, will remain well below 2023 levels in fiscal years '25 and '26, largely due to weaker personal and business income tax receipts. Job growth and wage growth are slowing, and affordability remains a pressing concern for too many New Yorkers.

challenges and has proposed a responsible state budget to support our ongoing economic recovery. The Governor's budget proposal makes targeted investments in mental health, public safety, housing and education that will benefit all New Yorkers, without raising taxes. In addition, her budget proposal devotes an unprecedented level of resources to address the needs of recent migrants.

The Executive Budget includes several revenue-related proposals, but there are two that stand out. First, the Governor has proposed to improve sales tax compliance in the short-term vacation rental industry. I suspect that most individual hosts don't realize they are responsible for collecting the sales taxes due on their rentals. The Executive Budget proposes to shift those sales tax responsibilities from individual hosts to the internet platforms that facilitate rentals and collect the rental charges, just as we did when we shifted sales tax responsibilities from small individual

1	sellers	to	Amazon	and	similar	internet
2	marketpl	Lace	es.			

Hotels already collect and pay over sales taxes on the rooms they rent. By making this change, we will ensure that short-term vacation rentals follow those same rules.

The Executive Budget also proposes to make an important change to New York's adult-use cannabis taxes. The Marijuana Taxation and Regulation Act imposed a distributor-level tax on adult-use cannabis that is measured by the THC content of cannabis products.

Cannabis growers and others have complained that this "potency tax" is unwieldy, largely because it requires testing that is both expensive and unreliable, and they have called for the elimination of this tax.

Governor Hochul recognizes the challenges posed by the potency tax, but she also understands the importance of having a distributor-level tax in order to combat the

L	sale of illicit cannabis. The Executive
2	Budget proposal would repeal the current
3	complex potency tax and replace it with a
1	simple price-based tax.

I believe the enactment of these proposals will streamline and simplify tax compliance, thereby improving the fairness and effectiveness of New York's tax laws.

At the Tax Department, we strive every day to ensure the fairness and effectiveness of our administration of the tax laws you've already enacted. Last year, the 3,800 members of Team Tax processed more than 27 million tax filings and closed 750,000 audit cases as we worked to collect \$147 billion in tax revenues that support the delivery of state and local government programs and services.

We issued \$14.4 billion in income tax refunds to 7.4 million taxpayers -92 percent in 30 days or less -- and we delivered close to 1 million STAR property tax relief checks to homeowners across the state.

We are able to operate at this scale only by leveraging sophisticated information technology platforms. We're now in Year 3 of a five-year Tax Modernization Project to improve the flexibility and interoperability of our systems, which will allow us to be more nimble as we evolve our operations to respond to changing laws and expectations and work to ensure fair and equitable outcomes for all of our current and future customers. I'm pleased to report that we are on budget and ahead of schedule.

We are also pursuing parallel projects that leverage technology to improve the taxpayer experience. This year, following a successful pilot project on Long Island, we will be offering homeowners across the state the option of receiving their STAR credits by direct deposit, which is faster, easier, safer, greener and cheaper than sending paper checks through the mail.

We also recently implemented a call-back feature at our call center so taxpayers no longer have to wait on hold to

1 get their tax questions answered.

Finally, as you may have heard, the IRS is piloting the Direct File Program that will allow taxpayers to prepare and file their federal tax returns for free. New York is one of four states partnering with the IRS to pilot parallel Direct File tools for state tax returns.

I'm excited about this pilot project, which accepted its first New York return yesterday. I think it's the future of tax filing. At the same time, we need to be cautious as we test this program in New York. This early pilot phase of the program will not be right for all New Yorkers because it will only be accepting limited types of income, deductions and credits.

We're currently testing the new tools with a very small pool of taxpayers. If this testing goes well, we expect Direct File will be a great option for about 10 percent of New York income tax payers later this spring. Although this pilot will not be the right tax preparation option for most New Yorkers this

1	year, we will learn from this pilot so we can
2	expand it to serve all New Yorkers in the
3	future.
4	To conclude, I remain incredibly proud
5	of the work we are doing at the Tax
6	Department. I'm excited about the
7	initiatives we are pursuing and look forward
8	to working with you to move them forward.
9	I'm happy to take any questions.
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
11	So we go to our chair of Real Property
12	Tax, Assemblywoman Williams.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WILLIAMS: Thank you
14	very much. (Mic issues.) I have a few
15	questions. Do I just all at once? Okay.
16	So first, the return of foreclosure
17	excess to property homeowners. As you may
18	know, the Executive proposes to amend the
19	Real Property Tax Law to ensure that surplus
20	funds resulting from tax foreclosure sales
21	are returned to the former owner, thereby
22	addressing the recent Supreme Court ruling
23	Tyler v. Hennepin County, from Minnesota.
24	Two questions here. Has the

1	department received any feedback from local
2	government about this proposal? And would
3	the individual be required to endure any
4	further litigation in order to claim their
5	surplus?

DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: So there was an Executive Budget proposal last year in anticipation of the Supreme Court's decision in Tyler v. Hennepin County.

And for those who aren't familiar,

New York is one of a very small number of

states that historically have allowed local

governments to retain the surplus when they

sell property for outstanding property tax

debts.

And the case that was before the Supreme Court in Minnesota, I think a lot of observers expected the decision that the Supreme Court ultimately rendered, which is that retaining that surplus is an unconstitutional taking.

And so there was an Executive Budget proposal last year to try to address -- develop a foreclosure process to return those

1	surplus funds. Our staff has engaged with
2	local governments last year with regard to
3	that proposal, which ultimately was not
4	adopted. I think there was I think a lot
5	of folks still held hope that the
6	Supreme Court wouldn't ultimately render the
7	decision they rendered.

And since then, the proposal has been refined. There's been a lot of engagement, primary with local government attorneys about the proposal. It's still a challenge for local governments. I think there are many local governments who have used the surplus funds they have retained to help fund local programs and services. And so having those funds no longer available will be a financial challenge for some local governments.

But it remains the law of the land now that we have to return it, so there needs to be a process to ensure that that happens smoothly.

The proposal that the Executive has put forward would provide for the payment of outstanding liens on a property from the

1	surplus before the remaining surplus gets
2	paid over to the property owner, much the way
3	those outstanding liens are paid in a
4	mortgage foreclosure process now.
5	And I think that that's a careful
6	balance, because we don't want to be in a
7	position where we're returning a surplus to a
8	property owner with those liens outstanding.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WILLIAMS: Okay.
10	Next, to clarify the taxable status of
11	telecommunications property, the Executive
12	proposes to clarify that property used
13	primarily or exclusively for the transmission
14	of radio, television or cable television
15	shall not be considered taxable real
16	property.
17	Why is property that primarily
18	transmits mobile internet signals considered
19	taxable property, but other property is not?
20	And do you foresee this proposal having any
21	significant local fiscal impact?
22	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: So I

think beginning in 1987, cable equipment,

cable -- the cables for cable television and

23

other cable transmission equipment was
exempted from real property tax. And it's
defined in the law as not being real property
for purposes of the real property tax.

These days I think we all know that the distinction between cable service and other broadband internet services is blurring. And the -- there are a number of broadband internet providers who have sought to avail themselves of the exemption that's on books for cable television by saying, Well, we also transmit news and radio -- entertainment information.

There have been a number of court decisions that have shut down that argument, but the litigation persists. And that litigation creates delays in the finalization of property taxes for local communities. So we've advanced this proposal to provide certainty in the hopes of ending that litigation.

It may be that this proposal is less important today because there was an appellate decision from the Third Department

recently that is pretty definitive on this question, and it may serve to shut down this litigation going forward.

And then on the different question of why -- you know, I wasn't around to do this in 1987, but my understanding is that cable was a fledgling industry at the time and there was a desire to ensure that it was expanded.

And, you know, I think there are different questions to be asked here. If there's a policy desire to treat broadband equipment the same way we're treating cable equipment, we could either exempt both or tax both. I tend to be -- you know, I have concerns about the fairness of our tax base already. And so I'm always a little suspect when we're exempting for-profit industries from property taxes. And so if it were me, I would probably tax them both.

But I can understand at the same time that we have a desperate need to expand broadband access, especially in our upstate communities. And it might be that the right

1	answer is to treat broadband the way we've
2	historically treated cable in order to help
3	subsidize that industry and expand it in
4	communities where there's a very real need.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WILLIAMS: Okay.
6	Last question. This has to do with
7	creating a new tax abatement for rental
8	housing construction.
9	The Executive proposes to establish a
10	new property tax incentive program in
1	New York City to incentivize the construction
12	of new rental housing, contingent upon a
13	memorandum of understanding between the
14	largest real estate trade developer
15	association and the largest building and
16	construction worker trade association.
17	The question here: Why doesn't this
18	proposal directly outline wage standards
19	instead of leaving these standards to be
20	determined by an MOU?
21	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: I
22	wish I had an answer to that question, but I

think it is more properly posed at the

Housing hearing later this afternoon.

23

1	Although this exemption would sit in the
2	Real Property Tax Law, I think it has
3	historically been the subject of housing
4	policy negotiations and housing policy
5	debate. And the Tax Department was not part
6	of the development of this proposal.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WILLIAMS: And does the
8	department have any idea of the fiscal impact
9	at a local level for this?
10	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER:
11	Again, no, we weren't we this is, you
12	know, I think traditionally considered to be
13	housing policy, just as 421-a is
14	considered was considered to be housing
15	policy. And the Tax Department is not
16	especially involved there.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WILLIAMS: Okay. That's
18	it.
19	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: But
20	you do still have a chance at the Housing
21	hearing later.
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
23	Senator Gounardes.
24	SENATOR GOUNARDES: There we go.

1	Thank you.
2	We've also been joined now by
3	Senators Murray and Hoylman.
4	And we will start with Senator Liu,
5	for three minutes.
6	SENATOR LIU: Thank you, Mr. Chairman,
7	for letting me go first on the Senate side.
8	It's the first time.
9	(Laughter.)
10	SENATOR LIU: I'll get a lottery
11	ticket later.
12	Thank you, Commissioner, for joining
13	us today. And I have heard from constituents
14	that the department is improving its
15	operations, so I concur with some of the
16	improvements that you've highlighted in your
17	opening testimony.
18	I have just a couple of relatively
19	technical questions. One has to do with the
20	Executive's proposal to extend the sales tax
21	exemption related to the Dodd-Frank
22	Protection Act for another three years. Are
23	you familiar with what I'm referring to?
24	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: I am.

1	SENATOR LIU: And the Executive's
2	saying that there's no fiscal impact for
3	extending this for another three years.
4	Doesn't there have to be a fiscal impact?
5	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: I
6	think there has to be a fiscal impact, but I
7	don't think we can quantify it. And I don't
8	think that it represents a change to the
9	financial plan.
10	And I think that that may be why
11	there's no fiscal, you know, listed on the
12	plan. So one of the
13	SENATOR LIU: Does the financial plan
14	from previous years already assume or presume
15	that there would be an extension?
16	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER:
17	Right. Well, I think that so one of the
18	things that the Dodd-Frank Act did is it
19	required large financial services industries
20	to spin out many of their back office
21	functions into separate units in order to
22	ensure that if we
23	SENATOR LIU: Aren't we losing revenue
24	by extending it another three years?

1	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: Well,
2	right, but we didn't have we weren't
3	taxing these particular transactions before
4	Dodd-Frank.
5	SENATOR LIU: I understand that.
6	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: They
7	were in-house transactions within the
8	organizations, so they weren't being you
9	know, we forced these taxpayers to spin out
10	functions that now create taxable
11	transactions within their business.
12	SENATOR LIU: Exactly.
13	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: And
14	so there could there could I mean, I'm
15	sure that there must be a fiscal because
16	we're not taxing transactions that are
17	taxable transactions. But we can't quantify
18	those because we weren't taxing them before
19	the change, because they weren't taxable
20	transactions before the change.
21	SENATOR LIU: Right, I understand.
22	But, I mean, are we the only state that's
23	exempting these kinds of transactions from
24	sales tax? You know

1	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: I
2	have no idea, but I think we are
3	(Overtalk.)
4	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: I
5	think we are a state that has that is the
6	situs of many of these financial
7	institutions.
8	SENATOR LIU: Even if you're saying
9	that, you know, you can't quantify the amount
10	of lost revenue, you do agree that we're
11	losing revenue by extending it yet another
12	three years.
13	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER:
14	I would
15	SENATOR LIU: Too many years.
16	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: I
17	would assume that these are transactions that
18	are taxable transactions but for this
19	exemption. And so if we were taxing them,
20	there would be revenue associated with them.
21	SENATOR LIU: Exactly.
22	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: But
23	we don't know how to quantify those because
24	we weren't taxing them before, so we don't

1	have a measure of them.
2	And the financial plan from
3	(Overtalk.)
4	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER:
5	from when Dodd-Frank happened did not tax
6	them because they weren't taxable
7	transactions at that time.
8	SENATOR LIU: You're good. I'm out of
9	time.
10	(Laughter.)
11	SENATOR LIU: But I think we should
12	not continue to extend these exemptions for
13	these big Wall Street companies ad nauseam.
14	Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So we've been
16	joined by Assemblywoman Simon.
17	And we go to the ranker on
18	Real Property, Assemblyman Miller.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MILLER: Thank you,
20	Acting Commissioner Hiller, for your
21	testimony. It's always a pleasure.
22	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: Thank
23	you.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN MILLER: I've got one

1	question on the commercial security tax
2	credit.
3	And I just want to thank the Governor
4	for putting that in her budget. And I wish
5	it was a little bit more, because this
6	problem is truly out of out of proportion.
7	And, you know, a \$3,000 tax credit's not
8	going to go a long ways for a lot of small
9	businesses, you know, with a hundred people
10	in, you know, locations.
1	But, you know, just some clarity on
12	some of the things that can be used for the
13	tax credit. We've got one for use of
14	security officers. So if they're already
15	using security officers, they can use the tax
16	credit for the '24-'25 tax year to help pay
17	for those?
18	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: A
19	taxpayer needs to have incurred \$12,000 in
20	theft prevention expenses in order to qualify
21	for the credit.
22	And so I think that that would include

ASSEMBLYMAN MILLER: Okay. So if

23

24

it, yes.

1	they're already doing it and that's nothing
2	added
3	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: I
4	don't think there's I don't think there's
5	a requirement that they be new expenses.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN MILLER: Okay.
7	Installation of security cameras,
8	we're talking about if they upgrade them?
9	That's eligible?
10	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: I
11	think that that would also be eligible.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN MILLER: Okay. And it's
13	perimeter security lighting, alarm systems,
14	access to control vaults these are all
15	great things, and I'm sure there's more
16	things out there.
17	But there's one question I have here
18	on other appropriate anti-theft devices as
19	determined by the Division of Criminal
20	Justice Services.
21	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER:
22	Right.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN MILLER: So is that an
24	evolving list, or we don't know what it is?

1	Or if somebody comes up with a unique way of
2	crime prevention, that would be eligible?
3	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: I
4	think that that's the reason why you know,
5	I think that establishing a small, finite
6	list of eligible expenses would limit
7	businesses that may have specific security
8	needs that we haven't thought of.
9	And so this is a certified credit with
10	the Division of Criminal Justice Services,
11	because they're well-positioned to take in
12	applications and engage with businesses to
13	see whether an expense really was a theft
14	prevention expense that isn't on the list.
15	But we certainly don't want to
16	foreclose the credit for expenses that we
17	just haven't thought of yet or we forgot to
18	put on the list in the law.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN MILLER: Okay. Okay, so
20	the tax credit, that would be they could
21	file during their income tax?
22	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: Yes.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN MILLER: Okay.
24	That's all that's all the questions

1	I have. Thank you.
2	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER:
3	Great.
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
5	Back to the Senate.
6	SENATOR GOUNARDES: Great, thank you.
7	Next we'll go to Senator Weber for
8	five minutes.
9	SENATOR WEBER: Thank you,
10	Commissioner, for being here today. And
11	appreciate, you know, the back-and-forth that
12	we'll have.
13	So just a few questions in general.
14	Obviously we've seen and we continue to see
15	the outmigration of residents from New York
16	State over the last number of years. It
17	seems to be continuing on that trend. I know
18	the Executive's budget doesn't have any
19	increase in individual corporate taxes, which
20	I'm pleased to see and I think that's great.
21	But, you know, I've seen some
22	proposals and discussions, crazy proposals
23	and discussions about, you know, the desire
24	by some in this Legislature to raise taxes on

1	individu	ıal eaı	rners,	corporat	ie e	earnei	îs,
2	capital	gains	taxes,	things	of	this	sort.

You know, in 2021 I think there was -it was the last time we had an increase in
the individual tax rates. And we continue to
see the outmigration. So do you think that
was a mistake? And do you see that -- would
you see that any increase in -- whether
individual, corporate, or any other taxes,
would continue that outmigration?

DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: You know, I think it's very hard to point to causes for outmigration. I think -- you know, I think we all at some time in our lives have moved, and I think there are lots of factors that go into that.

I will say -- you know, we have a bunch of data on our website, our tax facts data, and one of the things that we saw is that when we increased the tax brackets for the wealthiest New Yorkers in 2021, we did see an increase in the outmigration of taxpayers in those tax brackets that -- for that tax year.

1	And it is returning to the sort of
2	more normal levels of outmigration after that
3	tax increase. So I read that to suggest a
4	possibility that those taxpayers who were
5	sensitive to that tax change, and that
6	taxpayers who weren't sensitive to that tax
7	change stayed. And are probably going to
8	continue to stay.

SENATOR WEBER: And would you amend that if you saw a massive increase in those rates now or in the next couple of years, you know, knowing that we're going to have budget shortfalls over the next couple of years, that you would see a continual outmigration of wealthier taxpayers?

DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: I certainly think that that's possible.

But I have a different set of concerns about increasing the taxes at the highest tax brackets, and that's that I think our tax system is already overreliant on a very small number of taxpayers. The millionaires in New York comprise less than 1 percent of our taxpayers; they pay about half of the tax

_	
1	revenue.

We just completed the processing of
tax year 2022 returns, and we saw a
\$7 billion decline in income tax revenue
because the economic fortunes of that very
small number of taxpayers declined that year.
And a \$7 billion swing from one year to the
next is because we're incredibly reliant on
the taxes at the way top of our bracket.

We don't -- we have an incredibly progressive tax system, but we don't have smooth progressivity across the tax brackets.

And so if we -- if we increase our reliance on the highest-earning taxpayers in order to fund recurring spending commitments, then we risk a bad year. You know, if the end of the year is a bad year for capital gains and now we don't have enough money and we have a huge budget gap and we have to cut programs and services we care about.

SENATOR WEBER: Putting all of our eggs possibly in one basket, we'll continue to have uncertainty in terms of the expected revenue income coming in each year.

1	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER:
2	Right. I mean, at the end of the day, I'm a
3	tax administrator, I administer the policies
4	that are set by the Legislature. And if the
5	Legislature decides that they are going to
6	increase tax brackets, then we will
7	administer those tax brackets.
8	But I am also pragmatic. We have a
9	visibility into where our tax dollars are
10	coming from, and they are overwhelmingly
11	coming from a very tiny sliver of New York
12	taxpayers. And so our budgets are riding on
13	that very small sliver.
14	And I don't know that increasing taxes
15	again would increase outmigration or not, but
16	that's certainly a risk to our financial plan
17	if we continue to rely on and further our
18	reliance on that very small handful of
19	taxpayers who are paying the freight in
20	New York.
21	SENATOR WEBER: Sure. And finally,
22	one other quick question.
23	So I've been a CPA in New York since

1994, so I've had a lot of experience with

1	not only IRS but New York State tax
2	departments. Now, being in government, we
3	get a lot of government calls to the office
4	related to residents' frustrations trying to
5	get through to agents within the department.
6	Is your department back to full
7	in-person? Or is there still remote working?
8	And has there been any improvements or any
9	improvements to be made in the future related
10	to, you know, customer service in terms of
11	people being able to get through to a live
12	agent?
13	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: So I
14	think that there are a couple of things.
15	One, many of our call center employees
16	are working remotely. They plug into a
17	digital platform at the beginning of the day,
18	and their day is entirely worked through that
19	digital platform. So it makes very little
20	difference whether they're sitting at a desk
21	in the Tax Department or sitting
22	(Time clock chiming.)
23	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: in

their den at home.

1	I think many of the challenges
2	reaching tax agents is related to our civil
3	enforcement operations, where people are
4	trying to resolve bills, primarily. And we
5	are we have had staffing issues in that
6	function.
7	We have recently transferred many of
8	those calls to our call center, which is
9	better equipped to handle very low-level
10	calls, in order to free up staff in order to
11	be able to devote more of their attention
12	to
13	(Overtalk.)
14	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER:
15	actually working those cases.
16	SENATOR GOUNARDES: Thank you.
17	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
18	We go to our ranker, Assemblyman Ra.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Good morning,
20	Acting Commissioner.
21	So I wanted to start with asking about
22	the department and your approach and perhaps
23	whether you'd be, you know, amenable to
24	having more authority in this area.

1	There was an article I don't know
2	if you saw it a couple of days ago in the
3	New York Post regarding flavored vape
4	products. I'm just wondering, A, when the
5	department does go out on enforcement of
6	products that you are able to do enforcement
7	on, what is the approach if they were to see
8	an item like this that is not legal but is
9	not really within the purview of your
10	department to enforce that?
11	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: I
12	think we generally notify the Health
13	Department when we see flavored vape.
14	You know, I certainly am aware that
15	there is conversation about perhaps
16	empowering the Tax Department or assigning to
17	the Tax Department responsibility to enforce
18	some of our restrictions on vapor products.
19	We you know, under the current law
20	vapor tax does not have tax enforcement
21	provisions attached to it. We can audit a
22	registered vapor dealer's returns, but that's

really the limit of our role with vapor

enforcement.

23

1	But we do see vapor products
2	nicotine-based vapor products when we're
3	doing tobacco inspections, and we do share
4	that information with the Health Department

We do also see cannabis vape in our cannabis enforcement, and we're seizing those products.

ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Do you have any opinion on whether it would make sense to give the department that authority so you could enforce all of them?

DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: You know, I feel like we're busy now. But I also -- you know, I understand that there may be some synergies with the tobacco enforcement we're doing now.

I'm actually much more concerned about the handling of the vapor products that are being seized because those flavored vapor products are coming in from out of the country, in many cases, and we don't really know what's inside them. And so I'm not incredibly comfortable with the idea that a bunch of accountants are responsible for

1	maintaining for years at a time, you know,
2	vapor cartridges that have unknown chemicals
3	inside them.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you.
5	I wanted to ask you about convenience
6	rules. New Jersey, you may be aware, is
7	encouraging residents to challenge their
8	New York tax bills in a dispute over
9	New York's rules that treat out-of-state
10	remote workdays as New York workdays.
11	Is the department seeing an increase
12	in challenges over remote work?
13	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER:
14	Absolutely.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Do you have any
16	statistics or
17	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: You
18	know, I don't think we have statistics.
19	The first the first case that's
20	actually moving through litigation went to an
21	administrative law judge at the Tax Appeals
22	Tribunal in the first instance. That
23	administrative law judge ruled for the state,
24	and that's currently on exception to the

1 Tax Appeals Tribunal. I don't even think
2 we've finished briefing it yet.

I expect that that issue will ultimately be resolved in our Court of Appeals or in the Supreme Court. It's -- it's a significantly challenging issue.

New Jersey is offering tax credits to its residents to challenge us. Connecticut has just proposed to do the same, and -- in order to encourage those challenges. And they're doing that because when a New Jersey resident is paying taxes to New York, New Jersey has to provide a credit to the New Jersey resident for the taxes that were paid to New York. And Connecticut, the same.

And so that means there is actually a financial burden on our neighboring states when we're collecting those taxes on that remote work.

ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Is the department -or should the Legislature be taking any steps
to prepare for the possibility that our
convenience rules could be deemed
unconstitutional as this works its way

throi	1ah?
	throu

DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: You know, I mean, we've certainly had discussions within the Executive about that possibility, although I do think that a court decision is probably years away.

You know, we are also seeing changes in the nature of work. Our convenience rules have been in place since the fifties. Our most recent guidance on the convenience rule was issued before the iPhone. And so we have -- you know, it may be that we need to think hard as a state about where we want to be on this question.

But I think it speaks more broadly to how we interact with our neighbors who rely on the New York City economy for their -- you know, for their livelihoods.

ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you.

And then just with the 20 seconds I have left, following up on the Senator's questions about outmigration, if you do have any data just in terms of the number of filers with incomes of more than a million

1	dollars per year, we have now as opposed to,
2	you know, before 2021, before they changed,
3	I'd be interested in seeing those, if you
4	could provide them.
5	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: We
6	have tax facts on our if you go to our
7	website, there's a "Data" home and we have
8	tax facts there that include a lot of
9	information about our income-tax payers,
10	about outmigration, about our corporate
11	taxes, our sales taxes. There's a lot of
12	data there.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Okay. Thank you.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate?
15	SENATOR GOUNARDES: Great.
16	Next we're going to go to
17	Senator Borrello, three minutes.
18	SENATOR BORRELLO: Thank you,
19	Mr. Chairman.
20	Thank you for being here. Appreciate
21	it.
22	You know, you brought up, I thought, a
23	very honest evaluation of the loss in tax
24	revenue that we have here, income tax revenue

1	in particular. Tom DiNapoli, our
2	comptroller, sounded the alarm last year. He
3	was projecting I think it was second quarter
4	a 17 percent decline in revenue that turned
5	into a 50 percent decline, if I'm not
6	mistaken.
7	And but you brought up an important
8	point. We're going to hear from some folks
9	later that are going to tell us that, you
10	know, the rich need to pay their fair share,
11	their fair share. I hear that a lot. One
12	percent, the top 1 percent of earners, did
13	you say, are paying 50 percent of the income
14	tax? Is that correct?
15	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: I
16	think it's the top 2 percent of earners are
17	paying about 50 percent of our taxes.
18	SENATOR BORRELLO: So the problem
19	isn't that the rich aren't paying their fair
20	share, the problem is we're chasing the rich
21	out of New York State, the wealthy people.
22	That's what's lowering the income the
23	revenue.
24	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: I

1	think that that's it's probably not
2	really I don't think it's really that
3	simple. I think that our I mean, there's
4	also information on our website about the
5	composition of income of different income
6	tranches of New York taxpayers.

Our wealthiest taxpayers primarily generate income from unearned income, including capital gains and other unearned income. And that means that their economic fortunes from one year to the next depend on economic circumstances that we don't get to control very well. And that I think creates risk for us.

I do think that we have seen outmigration of New Yorkers generally, of high-income New Yorkers included. I -- we pay attention to high-income New Yorkers because pragmatically they're paying the taxes, and we're a tax department, so we pay attention to where the tax dollars are going. But outmigration is true across all income tranches. Most New Yorkers are moving to Florida. In general, people are moving to

1	southern, less-regulated states, although
2	they're certainly
3	SENATOR BORRELLO: States with no
4	income tax also.
5	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: Well,
6	I think they are also states with income tax.
7	We certainly also see outmigration to our
8	immediate neighboring states.
9	But I think we also all anecdotally
10	know people who started their careers in the
11	city and then moved to New Jersey.
12	SENATOR BORRELLO: Yeah, that's true.
13	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: I
14	think that there's a pattern there.
15	SENATOR BORRELLO: I'm short on time.
16	I'm short on time, and I apologize to cut you
17	off. But I want to talk about the
18	projections that we've had recently.
19	You've seen projections in our budgets
20	and you've obviously had to deal with the
21	reality of the actual what comes in. Can you
22	tell us, you know, over the last, say, four
23	years what you've had to deal with as far as
24	what we project in our budget versus actually

1	what we earned? What kind of losses are we
2	talking about?
3	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: Wel

DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: Well,
I actually think that in the last couple of
years our projections have been conservative,
and then upgraded as we moved through the
midyear updates to the financial plan.

I think that -- for example, we thought that last year would be a very, very difficult year, but at the end of the day the stock market rallied, and that makes it a better year for our tax receipts a little bit.

And so one of the things I think, again, that is a real challenge as we try to project what our tax receipts are going to be is that in the income tax, absolutely, but also in our corporate taxes, even in our sales taxes, we are concentrated with a small number of taxpayers that are responsible for the bulk of the taxes.

(Time clock chiming; overtalk.)

DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: And so small shifts in those taxpayers can have

1	big swings, and that's hard to predict and
2	project for years out.
3	SENATOR GOUNARDES: Thank you.
4	Assembly.
5	SENATOR BORRELLO: I agree. Thank
6	you.
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
8	Assemblyman Mamdani.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN MAMDANI: Thank you,
10	Commissioner.
11	So your own tax website shows
12	6 percent of millionaires leaving in 2020 and
13	the rates falling in 2021 and 2022. Doesn't
14	this data show the migration rate of those
15	impacted by the 2021 tax increase declined?
16	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: I
17	think if you looked at the data on our
18	website well, one, I think we saw one
19	of the things that's challenging I think is
20	we think about migration right during this
21	particular set of years is that we had an
22	overlay of a COVID pandemic that hit New York
23	City first, and New York City is one of the
24	densest communities in the country. And we

1	didn't even understand COVID in those early
2	days, and I think we saw a ton of
3	outmigration that was just related to COVID.
4	And I think it it's intuitive to me
5	that the people who had the most resources
6	had the best ability to leave. And so I
7	think some of the migration data that's right
8	around the pandemic may be clouding what
9	other factors might drive migration.
10	I do think that we've seen a return of
11	many of the people that left the city. And
12	some of those people didn't leave the state,
13	they left the city for upstate and then they
14	moved back down to the city
15	ASSEMBLYMAN MAMDANI: I'm sorry to
16	jump in, but just on the issue of time.
17	I very much do agree with you in the
18	analysis around the impact of COVID in this
19	period
20	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: Sure.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN MAMDANI: And what I would
22	just bring up is that prior to COVID,
23	working- and middle-class New Yorkers were

leaving the state at a rate of four times as

1	much as the wealthiest New Yorkers. And now,
2	once we are emerging out of this pandemic,
3	we're seeing once again that working and
4	middle-class New Yorkers are leaving at
5	higher rates than the wealthy, whereas some
6	of the analysis around this departure is
7	attributing this to a tax increase when in
8	fact it's a pandemic.

DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: Well,
I think if you looked at some of the data
that's -- from the most recent tax year
that's posted on our website, you can see
that there is increasing migration in the tax
brackets that were most affected by the 2021
tax increases. Those were post the height of
COVID, and so I don't think you can attribute
those migration rates to COVID itself.

And -- but I also, I think, you know, they dropped back down afterwards. So I attribute that people who were sensitive left, and the people who weren't sensitive didn't leave. That's how I read it.

But I think it's important to understand that New York has had net

1	outmigration for many, many years across all
2	of our income tranches, including low-income
3	New Yorkers, middle-income New Yorkers,
4	wealthy New Yorkers. One of the things that
5	I find
6	ASSEMBLYMAN MAMDANI: I'm just going
7	to jump in because I only have 30 seconds
8	here
9	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: Sure
10	ASSEMBLYMAN MAMDANI: just to say
11	that given that it is still remarkable that
12	we have gained more than 17,500 additional
13	millionaires over the last four years, given
14	that existing statistic you were speaking of
15	The last thing I would just say, with
16	the 20 seconds that I have, is when we're
17	looking at an analysis of where the top
18	1 percent of earners are going if they are
19	leaving New York, we're seeing Connecticut,
20	New Jersey, California. This is the
21	statistics that we have in front of us of
22	28 percent, 27 percent, 22 percent and
23	then 9 percent Florida.
24	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: I

1	think it it depends on how you measure
2	those tranches. But I think, you know, if
3	you define a tranche as being over \$200,000,
4	then you see more movement to New Jersey.
5	And if you define a tranche as being
6	over a million dollars, you see more movement
7	to Florida.
8	And so one of the things that I've
9	come to understand about the debate about
10	taxes and migration is that there is data to
11	support every viewpoint out there.
12	(Laughter; overtalk.)
13	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: And I
14	think that that's an important context.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: And with that,
16	we can go to the Senate now. Thank you.
17	SENATOR GOUNARDES: Thank you.
18	Next we're going to have
19	Senator Hoylman for three minutes.
20	SENATOR HOYLMAN-SIGAL: Thank you,
21	Mr. Chair.
22	Good to see you.
23	Regarding outmigration, which
24	obviously is a question that is on a few

1	minds here, do you interview or speak to
2	individuals who do choose to leave the state?
3	Is there any type of analysis other than just
4	looking at their departure that you deploy to
5	understand what the impact of taxes is? As
6	you mention, there are so many other factors,
7	including age and weather, that results in
8	the constant outmigration to Florida that
9	we've seen for decades among New Yorkers.
10	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: I
11	mean, we don't we don't systematically
12	survey taxpayers who have left. But we also
13	we also many of the taxpayers who have
14	left
15	SENATOR HOYLMAN-SIGAL: I might
16	suggest you do that.
17	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER:
18	Right, but we also many of the taxpayers
19	who have left, we are engaging with in
20	ongoing audits with those taxpayers. And so
21	they tell us, as we are engaging with them
22	and their tax practitioners
23	SENATOR HOYLMAN-SIGAL: Good.
24	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER:

1	why they left.
2	(Overtalk.)
3	SENATOR HOYLMAN-SIGAL: Well, if
4	you're lacking data and information, I would,
5	you know
6	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: Sure.
7	I mean, we can certainly look at that. I
8	mean, I'm not opposed to the idea of trying
9	to do that. I don't know what response rates
10	we'll get from the people who are no longer
11	connected to New York taxes. I think we get
12	better responses from people who do have an
13	obligation to us than the people who have
14	left and stopped having an obligation to us.
15	SENATOR HOYLMAN-SIGAL: Because there
16	is there does seem to be conflicting
17	information. And as after all, I mean,
18	you know, we have a budget surplus this year,
19	and it runs counter to a lot of arguments
20	before and after the pandemic that the sky is
21	falling and taxes are too high and we're
22	pushing out those who have the ability to
23	pay.
24	And on that point, the Fiscal Policy

1	Institute has shown that millionaire earners
2	pay 44 percent of the state's income tax
3	revenue and earn 35 percent of all personal
4	income earned in New York. That would seem
5	somewhat proportionate, correct?

DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: I'm not -- I'm not -- so I don't -- I don't have an opinion about whether they're paying their fair share or not their fair share. That's a policy decision that the Tax Department doesn't get to make.

But I do know that we are heavily reliant on that upper tranche. And again, we have updated data through tax year '22 that just came -- we just were able to put that out last week, maybe. You know, because we finished the -- we got 2022 returns in October, so as we finish processing them, we can roll out '22. But that data is always retrospective.

I would also note that we have budget surpluses because we've budgeted conservatively against lower revenue expectations. And then when the revenue

1	expectations turned out to be rosier
2	although not rosy. They are rosier than we
3	originally projected. And I think that
4	that's part of what's tricky about trying to
5	project our tax receipts are reliant on the
6	economic fortunes of a handful of people.
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
8	Dilan.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN DILAN: Thank you,
10	Madam Chair.
11	I just had a brief follow-up on a
12	question that Chair Williams posed, and it's
13	about the creation of the new tax abatement
14	for rental construction housing.
15	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: Sure.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN DILAN: This is my
17	opinion. I believe this is exactly where tax
18	policy should be focused, on rentals, because
19	that's where the demand is.
20	But I wanted to dig deep down more
21	about the abatement itself. Like how deep is
22	the abatement? For how long does it last?
23	Are there targeted levels of affordability in
24	the housing that we are asking folks to

1	create? Could you tell us more mechanically
2	about how this abatement would work?
3	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: I
4	actually can't. I wish I could. But I
5	this is a housing policy initiative that
6	was
7	ASSEMBLYMAN DILAN: But it's also tax
8	policy that you would have to administer
9	and
10	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: We
11	we the Tax Department actually would not
12	have a role in administering this tax policy.
13	It would be a real property tax abatement
14	administered by New York City.
15	And traditionally the real property
16	tax abatements related to housing are
17	negotiated at the housing table. So I
18	suspect that Commissioner Visnauskas will be
19	better positioned to answer questions about
20	these particular proposals.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN DILAN: Thank you.
22	Thank you, Madam Chair.
23	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate.
24	SENATOR GOUNARDES: Next we'll hear

1	from Senator Murray, three minutes.
2	SENATOR MURRAY: Thank you, Chairman.
3	Commissioner, I'm going to change
4	scenes here and move to the film tax credit.
5	So your department actually recently
6	released a study that was commissioned to th
7	PFM Group I think it was like 359 pages -
8	looking at the major tax incentives that hav
9	been given out to different programs. It
10	looked like the Excelsior job program did
11	pretty good, but the film tax credit looks
12	like an abysmal failure here.
13	It says that the investment returns
14	31 cents on the dollar. Now, with such a lo
15	return on investment, wouldn't it be wise
16	maybe to stop this program, get that revenue
17	in and maybe recoup some of that money for,
18	say, schools, where we've cut the
19	Governor's proposing cutting funding to
20	schools? Or maybe things programs like

The Legislature last year increased this tax credit, a tax credit that obviously

about 90 million.

the Healthy Meals for All, that would cost us

1	is failing, from 520 million to \$700 million.
2	Why would we continue with a program that's
3	returning 31 cents on the dollar?
4	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: I
5	think that that's a question for the
6	Legislature. I certainly don't think that
7	the Tax Department can answer that question.
8	I will say a couple of things about
9	that study. The Tax Department was assigned
10	the responsibility for commissioning and
1	overseeing the development of that study, and
12	I'm proud to say that we were actually
13	independent. It is an independent study. We
4	did not reach in in any way into the analysis
15	that was done.
16	I think the limits of our involvement
17	was working to make the final report
18	accessible for people with visual impairments
19	on the web.
20	The a couple of notes, though.
21	One, I think the consultants, by their own
22	admission, took a very conservative approach

to measuring return on investment and were

only looking at the dollar-for-dollar state

23

1	return on in state dollar terms, even
2	though the report does develop projections
3	for county and local taxes that are also the
4	result of those incentives.

And that it's worth taking a look at the all-in numbers to get I think a broader picture of the return on investment --

SENATOR MURRAY: I'm going to interrupt you there only because of time. But there was another study previously that others are pointing to saying that, oh, it's a wonderful program. But that study -- and sort of like your comment earlier that, you know, we adjusted statistics to make whatever argument fits.

But this study seems to be much more in depth. The study previously was like a 16-page study that said basically if there was no film tax credit, no jobs would have been created. Which is absurd. This one takes a more I think realistic approach to this. But again, it shows that the investment is just — the return on investment is just not there.

1	Would you agree with that analysis
2	based on the information in this report?
3	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: I
4	think that the report's commentary on the
5	film credit, as with most of the credits it
6	looked at, was that in addition to the direct
7	dollar-for-dollar return on investment, there
8	are also quantitative benefits for each of
9	those incentives.
10	Ultimately whether or not those
11	incentives are the right incentives for
12	New York are decisions that the Tax
13	Department will administer, but we don't
14	decide.
15	SENATOR GOUNARDES: Thank you,
16	Commissioner.
17	Assembly?
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
19	Braunstein.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN BRAUNSTEIN: Thank you,
21	Commissioner.
22	First, my colleague Mr. Ra went over
23	my concerns about the shift to working from
24	home. And I appreciate that you recognize

that that's a challenge that we need to
confront as the legal process plays out.

My other question is obviously we're all concerned about outmigration of the tax base. In your opinion, has the cap on the state and local tax deduction had any impact on migration?

DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: You know, we started looking at migration patterns when that cap was put in place. And I think that we do see some changes in outmigration behavior that followed the institution of that cap.

I think -- as with our specific tax rates and tax brackets -- it's very difficult to tease out whether the state and local deduction has an impact.

I think it's also especially difficult now, because I think the taxpayers who were most impacted by the cap on state and local tax deductions now have the opportunity to -- many of them have the opportunity to leverage the PTET program to help reduce their state tax liabilities. And so I think that changes

1	the picture for them.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN BRAUNSTEIN: Okay, thank
3	you.
4	SENATOR GOUNARDES: Next we'll hear
5	from Senator O'Mara, for five minutes.
6	SENATOR O'MARA: Good morning,
7	Commissioner.
8	I've got a couple of questions on the
9	change to the cannabis tax. Well, first of
10	all, where are the cannabis tax receipts
11	compared to what was projected?
12	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: You
13	know, I don't have the specific numbers
14	offhand. But they are obviously much lower
15	than originally projected, because we just
16	haven't seen the rollout that we originally
17	anticipated.
18	You know, I think that, you know, the
19	state has prevailed in some of the more
20	recent legal challenges and that we're in a
21	position to start rolling out licenses
22	faster. And I remain of the belief that the
23	best way to combat the illegal market is to

have a robust legal market. So I'm excited

L	that	we	seem	to	be	gaining	momentum	on	the
2	legal	L st	cores.						

SENATOR O'MARA: Very slowly gaining momentum, in my opinion. And really getting no traction on, frankly, eliminating the illegal sticker shops that are out there, at least in communities that I represent.

It's very embarrassing, from where I sit as a state legislator, when I'm in my district and people want to know what's going on. I mean, it's just -- it's embarrassing, the whole program.

To change to -- to get away from the THC level taxing, what's that impact going to be to tax receipts from cannabis overall?

my -- you know, so one, I think that the movement from the THC tax to a price-based tax will be an incredibly important simplification of the tax. Because especially for cannabis growers who are selling flower, cannabis flower. Every batch has to be tested, and the testing is really expensive, hard to get, and unreliable. And

1	so that makes the taxing really
2	unpredictable.
3	SENATOR O'MARA: Don't they they
4	have to label the THC content on it, like
5	liquor has a proof on it. So it still has to
6	be appropriately labeled.
7	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER:
8	Absolutely.
9	SENATOR O'MARA: So why isn't it taxed
10	on just simply taxed on what it's labeled
11	as? And if there's periodic testing and it
12	proves to be different, then you change the
13	label and the tax level changes.
14	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: I
15	think that that's you know, I think I made
16	that argument at a hearing on cannabis in
17	November.
18	But I also recognize that the testing
19	is very difficult to get, and it's incredibly
20	expensive. And then we know that it's not
21	very reliable. So that combination I think
22	is not a great way to administer taxes.
23	And we have the opportunity to you
24	know, that was the original idea when we

L	first legalized adult use cannabis in
2	New York. And we've had a few years of
3	experience and have the opportunity to move
1	to a different tax structure. I think that
5	now's the time to make that move, as the
õ	legal industry is getting going.

And, you know, I think that in terms of the revenue for --

SENATOR O'MARA: I think changing before we really have the market established doesn't make sense. I mean, there was a reason it was put in in the first place.

But I want to move on from the cannabis, in the remaining time I have, to taxes on manufacturers. And I forget how many years ago it was -- six, eight years ago -- we eliminated the franchise taxes on C-corporation manufacturers. And there's proposals out there that I have and others have to eliminate that on the pass-through entities, whether it's a partnership, an LLC, a subchapter S corporation.

Have you looked, from your perspective as commissioner of the Tax Department, at

1	what impact that would be? And how could
2	that feasibly be done to give a further break
3	to smaller manufacturers in the state?
4	Because my understanding is they make up like
5	75 percent of the manufacturing entities in
6	the state. And we certainly I think want to
7	foster manufacturing in New York State.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: Well, certainly I think we see the -- you know, changes in the patterns of business formation over -- you know, historically, you know, from C-corporations as new businesses are increasingly structured as flow-through entities.

I'm aware that there are proposals to try to bring the same kind of tax relief to flow-through manufacturers as we have for C-corp manufacturers. At the Tax Department that makes us nervous, and that's because it's hard to tell what's a manufacturer.

And it's hard for us, from where we sit on a tax filing, to determine whether that entity was a manufacturer or not. We have a little bit more -- the group of C-corp

1	manufacturers is at this point fairly well
2	understood. But the flow-through
3	manufacturers is a much more unwieldy pool.
4	And so if we're going to move in that
5	direction, I would encourage the Legislature
6	to consider working with SED to have
7	certified manufacturers. And if we have
8	certified manufacturers, we have people with
9	expertise and able to determine whether this
10	entity is a manufacturer that should get a
11	tax break.
12	SENATOR O'MARA: Yeah, I think that
13	could definitely be done. So but thank
14	you. I think we should prioritize our
15	manufacturers, the base of our economy.
16	Thank you.
17	SENATOR GOUNARDES: Thank you,
18	Commissioner.
19	Assembly?
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Hello. So I
21	have just a couple of short questions.
22	The it was brought up I think by
23	Assemblyman Miller, the commercial security
24	tax credit. And a number of my colleagues

are concerned that both the \$12,000 spending before the credit kicks in, and the hundred or less employees, will really prevent a lot of the small individual businesses from being able to take advantage of this credit.

And I was just wondering if you had thoughts on why the \$12,000 limit -- or kick-in, really, was chosen, and why up to 100 employees. And your thoughts on whether perhaps to have a two-tier system for smaller individual businesses that maybe have only five, seven or 10 employees and they'll never get to that \$12,000 limit, and some of those sort of mom-and-pop stores that are having a lot of issues won't be able to take advantage of this credit.

DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: My understanding is that the 100-employee cap was established because that's how we define small businesses. In economic development terms, I think ESD and others look at that as being a threshold for being small businesses.

And I think it's also, for many of particularly our small retail businesses,

their payrolls -- you know, I may go into the store and only see three people, but most of those employees are part-time employees and there's a rotation of part-time employees, and the actual employee count is higher.

In terms of the spending cap, you know, I think that this is -- the intention here I think was to provide cost-sharing to help incentivize the investment in these kinds of security initiatives to help prevent retail theft without absorbing all of the cost of those initiatives. And I think that the -- essentially a 25 percent cost-sharing is what was the original proposal.

I expect this will get negotiated at the table, and there may be some variation in that, in the ultimate credit.

But I think this is one part of a larger series of initiatives that the Governor has proposed to try to address the retail theft that we're seeing. And, you know, it's an important piece but it's only one piece of a larger series of proposals that the state is undertaking in order to try

1	to address this growing scourge.
2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: And thank
3	you for that answer.
4	You know, we've heard people talk
5	about how New York State is gaining
6	millionaires. I assume that it's not a
7	number of millionaires moving into New York
8	State, but people who have been taxpayers who
9	have been below that amount and, as the
10	economy has improved for some people, that
11	they are now over the million-dollar income
12	threshold, where before they were taxpayers
13	below a million dollars.
14	Is that a correct assumption?
15	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER:
16	That's actually right on target. We do not
17	see in migration, meaningful in-migration of
18	millionaires into New York.
19	What we you know, we have we're
20	talking first you know, when we're talking
21	about millionaires, we're talking about
22	income millionaires, not wealth millionaires.

We have people who have homes that are worth

a million dollars, but they don't have income

23

of a million dollars a year.

And a large number of them, they're just above a million this year, they're just below a million next year. It's a great capital gains year, it was a good bonus year, they're above a million. The next year their bonus is down and they're below a million.

And so we see that fluctuation.

We also see a pattern that has been a longstanding pattern in New York of people who make their fortune in New York and then retire to Florida. And that's not new. People who started out in a business and by the time they retired, that business was generating a million dollars a year, and then they leave. I think that we see all of those kinds of patterns.

The one thing I think that's incredibly important to keep in mind, though, as we think about migration and outmigration is that when you look at the in-migration, the outmigration from New York and the outmigration from Florida, they aren't actually all that different. The difference

1	is that people are moving to Florida and
2	people are not moving to Florida.
3	Millionaires aren't moving to New York;
4	lower-income people aren't moving to
5	New York.
6	That doesn't surprise me. The vacancy
7	rate in New York City is at historic lows.
8	You can't get an apartment in New York. But
9	we aren't seeing the in-migration that
10	balances the outmigration we see every year.
11	In other states, that in-migration and
12	outmigration is more balanced or, in the case
13	of Florida, the in-migration vastly
14	overwhelms the outmigration from Florida.
15	But the numbers aren't all that different.
16	The number leaving Florida, the number
17	leaving New York, it's within you know,
18	some years we're above, some years we're
19	below.
20	It's not that's not where the
21	problem is. The problem is that we aren't
22	bringing people in to replace the people who
23	are leaving.

24 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.

1	And just to follow up on
2	Senator O'Mara's questions about the
3	inspections of the illegal cannabis, do you
4	have enough staff to do those inspections?
5	And are I guess just that question.

DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: I think if we had more peace officers, we would be able to do more inspections. And we are working to grow our peace officer class. I was just at a peace officer graduation two weeks ago.

And, you know, we're bringing on another new class of peace officers. But it takes time to recruit and train new peace officers. And so we're moving through that process expeditiously in order to sort of grow the ranks, because we not only are doing cannabis enforcement, we're still also doing tobacco enforcement.

And tobacco -- we have the highest tobacco taxes in the country, and so the incentive to bring in untaxed tobacco is enormous. And so we can't stop doing tobacco enforcement while we undertake cannabis

1	enforcement. And so we are working to grow
2	our ranks.
3	I was incredibly excited by the
4	graduation of that new class. We have a
5	great new team of people coming on board.
6	And we're going to be continuing to recruit
7	new peace officers to do that work.
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
9	Thank you for your responses.
10	To the Senate.
11	SENATOR GOUNARDES: Okay, we're done
12	on our side, so I'll take some time for some
13	questions.
14	Commissioner, I want to follow up on
15	what I guess has been the theme of today so
16	far on this question of migration,
17	in-migration, outmigration, tax code,
18	et cetera.
19	You know, I guess the first thing I'll
20	ask or I'll start with is, you know, there
21	does seem to be, based on the data on the
22	department's website, as well as, you know,
23	on the press reported analysis of that data,

a net increase in about 15,000 millionaire

1	filers in the same period that we lost about
2	2500 millionaire filers for whatever
3	reason they retire, they move, COVID,
4	et cetera. So we have seen an increase in
5	those in the number of people who are
6	supporting that tax base. I think that's an
7	important fact to just keep in mind.

Whether someone is coming in here or is already here and they're paying, arguably, their fair share or part of their fair share I think is ultimately the most important question for our financial plan. Right?

Whether you are a former Wisconsin resident who's paying the tax in New York or you're a New Yorker who's done very well and now you're paying that tax, it's still a part of our broader plan.

And so arguably, at the end of the day, whether you're coming from somewhere else or you're here and you're doing well, we still want you to be paying your fair share.

And, you know, you had kind of alluded to, you know, the 1 percent pay 50 percent and that the highest earners are already

1	doing significantly or paying a
2	significantly higher share of their taxes,
3	and that when they don't do well, we all do
4	less well.
5	But the opposite's also true, that
6	when they do very well, we all do very well.
7	Correct?
8	And, you know, nine months ago we were
9	looking at a \$9 billion deficit. Then in
10	October it was 4 billion. Now we have a
11	balanced budget. The Budget Department says
12	it's because of the stock market, which grew
13	24 percent last year.
14	So when the well-to-do are doing well,
15	it benefits everyone. So isn't that an
16	argument for, you know, we should not make
17	changes to lessen the burden that is being
18	asked of the most privileged and wealthiest
19	New Yorkers?
20	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: Well,
21	first, I'm not aware of any proposals to
22	lessen the burden on the people who are doing
23	well (laughing).
24	SENATOR GOUNARDES: (Inaudible.)

1	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: You
2	know, the conversation I have heard is about
3	proposals to increase that burden.

You know, I'm a New Yorker, I want people to all pay their fair share. I believe in progressive taxes. New York is a high-tax, high-spend state, and I'm proud of that. You know, there are states in other parts of the country that do not provide services to their residents, and I'm pleased that we step up to provide services to people who need assistance.

That I don't think is the question. I think for me, separate from whether or not people are paying their fair share -- however one defines fairness -- I think the real question is built into your question. And that's whether our financial plan is stable from one year to the next when we're funding recurring expenditures.

And so if --

SENATOR GOUNARDES: On that point,

Commissioner, so I understand that we want to

have as smooth a kind of financial plan as

possible. Even with the increases over the
last couple of years that we've seen in
spending, education spending, childcare
spending, healthcare spending, et cetera, you
know, at the same time we've managed to sock
away \$20 billion which we didn't have two or
three years ago.

So we've been able to pay for the things we need to pay for -- arguably maybe not pay for enough of the things we pay for -- while still putting away a significant number of reserves based on the current structure of the tax system and tax code.

So I guess I'm wondering, you know, why would we or why should we not continue down that road and continue to structure our taxes in that way, and perhaps arguably try to raise a little bit more to pay for those things that are remaining unmet needs that we're facing right now?

DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: Well,
I think there are a couple of different
things there. One, I think that we were all
surprised by the strength of the stock market

in the pandemic. I think that economists
widely attribute that to the infusion of
federal funds into the economy to support the
economy. And that left us with surprisingly
strong revenues during some of our darkest
times.

And I'm glad to see that we were able to put a lot of those resources into reserves, because it could -- that could have been a very different story and I think a lot of us feared it would be a very different story. And so having reserves in place to help us steel ourselves against another disaster like that and another crisis like that I think is incredibly important.

But again, I think the issue is when
we have access to resources, there are ways
to do one-time spending to spend those
additional resources. I mean, we've done
supplemental earned income tax credits,
supplemental dependent care credits,
additional homeowner rebate credits that are
not recurring expenses but can help us do
revenue-sharing to our lower-income residents

1	that are distributing some of that
2	unanticipated fund balance that we have.
3	There are ways that we can do that
4	that don't create recurring expenditures when
5	we're fortunate enough to have that. But
6	when we build our school aid formula on
7	revenues that are uncertain, then we have
8	problems in the next year in how we go about
9	funding that in the future. And I think that
10	that's true across our spending categories.
1	SENATOR GOUNARDES: So I appreciate
12	that. I understand. I would say that that's
13	one of the reasons why we have the reserves,
L 4	to kind of allow us to smooth out, right?
15	Which is why it's there.
16	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: Sure.
17	SENATOR GOUNARDES: I want to just get
18	clarity. When we say that the top 1 percent
19	pays 50 percent, is that 50 percent of the
20	income taxes or is that 50 percent of the
21	entire tax levy on individuals?
22	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: So,

one, I would want to be clear. I think we

say about 2 percent of the taxpayers, the top

23

1	200,000 taxpayers.
2	SENATOR GOUNARDES: Earlier I think
3	you said 1 percent, but
4	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: Well,
5	I think New York millionaires are less than
6	1 percent.
7	But that top 200 we have about
8	84,000 millionaires in New York. But if you
9	move to the top 2 percent, which is those
10	84,000 millionaires plus people who are just
11	below a million, you get to that
12	essentially that 2 percent number, and they
13	pay about half.
14	It just shift a little bit from when
15	you
16	SENATOR GOUNARDES: Half of the
17	personal income
18	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: Half
19	of the personal income tax.
20	SENATOR GOUNARDES: Okay.
21	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: But
22	we also can see that the largest corporate
23	taxpayers pay a disproportionate amount of
24	corporate taxes. We see that a small handful

1	of sales tax vendors are responsible for most
2	sales tax revenue. We do have concentration
3	in our key taxes.
4	SENATOR GOUNARDES: And on the
5	looking, for example, at the capital gains
6	tax, which arguably is what gave us a big
7	boost because we tax capital gains as income
8	here, what kind of carried us from the red to
9	the black, what percentage of the capital
10	gains tax is paid by the people in the top
11	2 percent, are the millionaire class and
12	above?
13	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: Oh, I
14	think it's overwhelmingly.
15	I mean, I don't know that number
16	offhand. We'd have to do some serious
17	analysis within our tax returns in order to
18	try to pull that out. But
19	SENATOR GOUNARDES: Isn't
20	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: But
21	we do see that different we've looked at
22	different wealth sources for income sources

by income tranche, and you can see that it's

overwhelmingly unearned income amongst our

23

1	wealthiest taxpayers.
2	SENATOR GOUNARDES: All right, thank
3	you. I want to shift to another topic here.
4	In the Governor's proposal, Part A,
5	she proposes to extend the limitation on
6	charitable deductions at 25 percent of the
7	federal limit. Otherwise, it would shoot up
8	to 50 percent. And I guess my first question
9	is, do we know, do we have an analysis, has
10	your department done an analysis what the
11	revenue gain to the state would be if we
12	eliminated that deduction entirely?
13	Because I think they're projecting
14	175 million increased revenue next year and
15	beyond by continuing that
16	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: I
17	think it may be 350 million full year. I
18	mean, it's a substantial I mean the
19	reduction in this deduction has a substantial
20	impact on our revenue stream.
21	I don't know offhand what it would be
22	if we eliminated the charitable deduction
23	completely for these sectors.

SENATOR GOUNARDES: I think the

proposal is just for people with incomes over
mathematical stress of the proposal is just for people with incomes over
mathematical stress of the proposal is just for people with incomes over
mathematical stress of the proposal is just for people with incomes over
mathematical stress of the proposal is just for people with incomes over
mathematical stress of the proposal is just for people with incomes over
mathematical stress of the proposal stress of th

So we're talking about, as I -- as I read the proposal, right -- so people making 10 million or above get to deduct 25 percent of their charitable contributions on their state tax return.

DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: We -right. We have a permanent limitation of
50 percent. And then we're extending the
highest-dollar taxpayers -- the reduction to
25 percent for our highest-dollar taxpayers.

I don't know what it would look like if we completely eliminated the charitable deduction for those taxpayers. I think we could certainly do that -- work that up and get that to you. I don't know that offhand.

I do also know that, you know, charitable contributions in New York are important to sustain some of our service providers in New York. And so I think that -- you know, I don't know where the balance is, where the value of the federal deduction, which may be limited by the

1	alternative minimum tax at the federal level
2	for some of our these donors, what the
3	tradeoff is for that.
4	SENATOR GOUNARDES: Sure.
5	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: I
6	would hope that they're philanthropic
7	regardless of the tax status of their
8	donations, but I don't know that.
9	SENATOR GOUNARDES: I guess the
10	question is, what is the public policy
11	rationale for subsidizing charitable
12	donations of multimillionaires?
13	We want people to be able to donate to
14	their local YMCA and to their local Boy
15	Scouts and Girl Scouts and to the food bank,
16	and we want folks to be able to do that. But
17	if you are a multimillionaire, I guess I
18	question what the public policy rationale is
19	of subsidizing your largesse to the libraries
20	and the parks, which arguably wouldn't need
21	those donations if we had fully funded them
22	through tax revenue in the first place.
23	So I don't know if you can speak to
	30 1 don t know 11 you can speak to

1	we're trying to maintain what we currently
2	have, but why not do something different?
3	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: You
4	know, I can't speak to the public policy
5	rationale because it's set by you, not by me.
6	SENATOR GOUNARDES: Or the Governor's
7	proposal, in this case.
8	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER:
9	Right. Well, the Governor's proposal in this
10	case is to extend, you know, sort of what's
11	now become a longstanding limitation.
12	I would also you know, I think we
13	could ask the question of whether we as a
14	matter of public policy want to have
15	deductions for charitable contributions at
16	all for any level. But I think our
17	wealthiest taxpayers also make the largest
18	donations, and that that's part of a of a
19	web of nonprofits that play an important role
20	in our economy and in our communities.
21	SENATOR GOUNARDES: Great. Thank you.
22	I think we have
23	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We have one
24	more questioner on the Assembly side.

1	Assemblywoman Simon.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Thank you.
3	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: Good
4	morning.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Thank you for
6	your testimony.
7	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: Of
8	course.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Actually I
10	understood most of it, which is good.
11	Because the last time I understood anything
12	on tax, it was my tax class.
13	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER:
14	You're not alone.
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: And as soon as
16	the final was over, I forgot it.
17	So I have a couple of questions about
18	some proposals that the Governor has and
19	whether you've been part of this analysis and
20	what that might be.
21	So one is to authorize tax incentive
22	benefits for converting commercial property
23	into affordable housing. And with an 80/20
24	split, so that 20 percent would be

1	affordable; a subset of that would be more
2	deeply affordable. And for the benefit
3	period, which it's not clear what that is.
4	But, you know, were you involved in
5	your office, in figuring that out?
6	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: No.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Okay. So you
8	don't have any analysis about whether a
9	different split like 25/75 might be more
10	appropriate or justifiable financially.
11	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: You
12	know, I really don't. I last worked on
13	housing policy, you know, 12 years ago,
14	13 years ago. I really don't have a view
15	there. Or any knowledge base there.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Thank you. I
17	appreciate it.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go back to
19	the Senate.
20	SENATOR GOUNARDES: Thank you,
21	Commissioner. I have just a couple of quick
22	follow-ups in my remaining three minutes.
23	I want to talk about the Direct File
24	pilot. I'm very excited about this. As you

know, I wrote you a letter a couple of months
ago about this. I think it's great. And I
think it's the future of tax collection and
administration.

You had mentioned that the pilot's going to start with a limited number of credits and exemptions. Can you kind of expand on that a little bit? What are the limited credits and exemptions that are going to be part of that initial pilot?

DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: So the pilot in its first instance is being driven by the IRS. And they've set some limitations on the types of income deductions and credits that will be administered at the federal pilot.

I think you can't have more than \$1500 of interest income. You can't -- you have to be taking the standard deduction, you can't be itemizing. They are allowing the earned income tax credit and the child credit. In New York we are following along on that, because we're a parallel filing tool. So we are following the IRS's limitations around

1	that.
2	So if you were taking an exotic
3	credit, you can't file. If you have itemized
4	deductions, you can't use this tool.
5	SENATOR GOUNARDES: Got it.
6	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: This
7	year.
8	SENATOR GOUNARDES: Gotcha.
9	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: I
10	mean, I expect that we'll be able to roll it
11	out next year.
12	One of the things that we are we
13	want to be careful about is to make sure that
14	taxpayers who are using these tools
15	understand whether the tool is right for
16	them. So it supports New York City income
17	tax, but the pilot does not support Yonkers
18	income tax. So this is not the right
19	solution for residents of Yonkers this year.
20	SENATOR GOUNARDES: Gotcha.
21	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: It
22	will be next year.
23	SENATOR GOUNARDES: Okay. Great.

That's really helpful.

1	And do you anticipate or can you
2	anticipate, you know, other jurisdictions
3	that have other countries, I should say,
4	that have kind of taken this step in direct
5	file, they kind of go one step further and
6	they actually mail or they send their
7	taxpayers or their residents pre-filled tax
8	returns, ultimately, just for certification
9	as part of the electronic system.

Do you see that as being kind of the next step in our process here, assuming we get through the pilot and we're able to, you know, work out the kinks and do it successfully?

DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: I think we have a lot of work to do before we can get there, our own internal analysis.

You know, so one of the issues that often drives an exception in our processing work is the discrepancy between what a taxpayer reports on their tax return as their withholding and what the employer reports as having withheld for that employee. And that discrepancy triggers questions to the

1 taxpayer about that difference.

Our own internal work suggests that in almost every case, it's a problem on the employer side in the reporting, not a problem on the employee side on their reporting. But we don't know there's a discrepancy until we're working an individual return. And so individual taxpayers sort of bear the brunt of working through the correction of those errors. And we've been doing a lot of work with employers to try to improve the payroll reporting and the withholding reporting that they are doing.

And if we can get to a place where we feel that that's reliable, then we could use that to generate pre-filled returns. But right now we have significant doubts about the reliability of the data we start with.

SENATOR GOUNARDES: Gotcha.

Well, thank you very much. Hopefully we can see that to completion in the future. Thank you.

DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: Sure.

24 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So I think we

1	are finished with questions. A record,
2	maybe.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: It's a record.
4	DTF ACTING COMMISSIONER HILLER: It is
5	a record. Thank you so much.
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you,
7	Commissioner Hiller, for being here.
8	So next we will be having a panel
9	that to make presentations. Again, it
10	will be three minutes each, and then members
11	can ask questions.
12	So the panel is, if you could come
13	forward and take a chair we have to have
14	some more chairs, though. The first four
15	we'll be playing musical the first four
16	get a chair. New York State Assessors
17	Association, Warren Wheeler; Fiscal Policy
18	Institute, Nathan Gusdorf; New York
19	Communities for Change, James Inniss; Invest
20	In Our New York, Carolyn Martinez-Class;
21	Citizen Action of New York, Rebecca Garrard;
22	and Strong Economy For All Coalition,
23	Michael Kink.
24	So just, again, a reminder for all of

1	you as you take your seats that your
2	testimony has been received, distributed to
3	all of the members here as well as members
4	from these committees who are not here, and
5	have been or will be, I think, already posted
6	by the Senate, and I believe the Assembly
7	also or shortly by the Assembly.
8	And after all of you have made your
9	presentations, there will be opportunity for
10	members to ask questions.
11	And I know the mics are a little
12	bit they're these new mics, so just if
13	whoever is speaking could just use the
14	make sure that your mic is on.
15	And we start with Warren Wheeler.
16	MR. WHEELER: Good morning. Thank
17	you. In the accordance of time, I will get
18	right to it.
19	So everybody has the our written
20	testimony from the New York State Assessors
21	Association. My name is Warren Wheeler, and
22	I'm the executive director. So thank you
23	very much for this opportunity today.
24	First off, I'd like to show our

1	support for Part M of the budget clarifying
2	the telecommunications assessment ceiling.
3	One part that we would respectfully request
4	again is that there's some amendment in there
5	that allows for these companies to provide
6	inventory. It's very important that we get
7	this inventory. Right now we are not
8	afforded that inventory, and so it's very
9	difficult for us to maintain any kind of
10	assessment information when the inventory's
11	not provided.

It's very similar, if you would think about it, as asking a property owner -- we're standing there and the house is behind a curtain, and we have to rely on the property owner to tell us what's there.

All other property, we have the accessibility of going and visiting and obtaining the inventory. These types of properties, we do not.

Also I would like to mention support for A1292 and S04065. It's the -- what we call the Condo Bill. For those of you who have been here for a while, you'll understand

L	that we've been looking for a condo bill for
2	quite some time. Last year there was a
3	companion bill that was passed for
1	Greenburgh, and we would also like to throw
5	our support in for a condo bill that is at
5	local option.

So we feel that right now there's a huge discrepancy between the way condominiums and cooperatives are treated in relationship to their single-family counterparts. And while the bill originally may have been created to -- you know, for affordable housing or something along those lines, it's definitely become what we refer to as a loophole. And it's being used -- the homes that are being built are not in the affordable homes category.

And I think that's all I've got for right now, so I don't want to take any more of your time, so I would entertain any questions.

CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Great. Thank you. So we move on to Fiscal Policy Institute.

1	MR. GUSDORF: So thank you to the
2	committee. My name is Nathan Gusdorf. I'm
3	the executive director of the Fiscal Policy
4	Institute. We're a nonpartisan think tank
5	that studies state tax and budget policy.

We'd say the state's revenue needs at this point are apparent, given the Executive Budget's proposed cuts to Foundation Aid, Medicaid, and the absence of new initiatives in housing and climate investment.

The question for this committee is to what extent the state can raise additional revenue through taxes to meet those needs.

But first I want to speak to some of the issues that have come up today and that always come up in terms of the viability of raising revenue for the state's population and fiscal base.

So the Fiscal Policy Institute conducted a detailed study that you may have seen; we released it in the fall. It was a statistical overview of census data, federal tax data and state tax data going back to

2015 to see whether there's any truth to these claims of taxpayer outmigration.

We found that in normal years the top

1 percent of income earners typically move

out of the state at about one-fourth of the

rate of all other income groups. Further, we

found that when those high earners do move

out of the state, they typically move to

states like New Jersey and California that

have very comparable tax structures to our

own.

And finally, we looked statistically at the two most recent tax increases, in 2017 and 2021, to see if that changed migration behavior based on prior-year tax returns, and we found that they generally did not.

The other common argument that we've heard today is that there's that small share of taxpayers who pay too much of the state's income tax revenues. But as Senator Hoylman noted, they earn about a third of all income earned in the state, while paying about 44 percent of all income tax revenue for the state. So that's proportionate, reflecting a

1 mild degree of progressivity in the state's
2 tax structure.

We also have a fairly large stock of these high earners that's growing, suggesting that we have ongoing fiscal stability for that high end of the tax base.

If you look at the actual structure of the state's taxes, there's considerable room to raise income tax rates at the top in particular, and to repair certain peculiarities of our progressivity. Our top tax rate is 10.9 percent. New Jersey's top income tax rate is 10.8 percent; California's is 13.3 percent. But New York imposes that top rate on people who make over \$25 million a year. In New Jersey and California, they impose their top rates on people who make over a million dollars a year. So we're fairly skewed in the structure of our top brackets.

There are other sound tax-policy options for addressing these revenue needs, including looking at higher tax rates on capital gains, possibly structured as a

1	higher net investment income tax rate, which
2	was done in Minnesota. And that's modeled or
3	a federal policy that is used to pay for the
4	Affordable Care Act.
5	There's also a lot that needs to be

There's also a lot that needs to be done in corporate and business taxation, in part due to the fact that most businesses are not structured as corporations, and so they don't pay the corporate tax. About 95 percent of businesses today are LLCs and partnerships. It's worth considering doing a business entity profits tax that would apply across the whole field of businesses.

Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: New York
Communities for Change.

MR. INNISS: How you doing? My name is James Inniss, and I come before you on behalf of New York Communities for Change.

We are one of the largest community-based organizations in the country, with over 20,000 members in New York City and Long Island. We bring neighbors together to help build community power through direct

1	action, legislative advocacy and community
2	organizing. We organize in low-income
3	communities of color and for the 98 percent
4	of New Yorkers who haven't been mentioned in
5	this hearing today.

New York is staring down a catastrophe -- orange skies, flooded streets, deadly blizzards and unbreathable air are the new reality. Climate migration is bringing more families to New York who need the same things that our communities need. And if we don't cut climate-heating pollution by at least 40 percent in the next six years, things will get even worse. And on top of that, New York is facing a profound housing emergency.

It's hard to overstate the urgency.

2030 is five years away, and the climate
science is clear: Failure to reduce
climate-heating pollution by 40 percent will
guarantee catastrophe both locally and
globally.

In order to cut pollution and protect our communities, we need to make it rain --

but not climate rain, money rain. That's
right, we need historic investments into our
communities, and the good news is we have
plenty of money in the state to make that
happen.

New York can rise to the occasion of these unprecedented times that we're living in and lead our nation in solutions at the scale of the crisis that we are facing right now. Or we can just allow them to spiral out of control.

At the same time as all of this, homeownership is increasingly out of reach for most New Yorkers, with more families being unable to afford their rent and many more living in substandard, low-quality housing.

As climate disasters displace millions of climate refugees northward, an elevated number of asylum seekers are making the housing shortage in New York even more acute. Within just New York City, 40 percent of residents are facing displacement due to rising sea levels.

1	It's a race to the bottom, and it does
2	not have to be this way. That's why New York
3	Communities for Change supports the Invest in
4	Our New York package which, if passed, will
5	raise tens of billions of dollars through the
6	combination of personal income tax increases
7	on the top 5 percent of earners, corporate
8	tax reforms targeting the most profitable
9	corporations which is fewer than the top
10	1 percent of them addressing loopholes
11	that allow millionaire and billionaires to
12	shield their wealth from tax liability.
13	The Senate and Assembly must listen to
14	the 73 percent of New Yorkers who support
15	raising taxes on the rich, and we must act
16	today. Together we can transform our state
17	into a place where everyone can live, breathe
18	clean air, and afford beautiful, safe,
19	fossil-free homes. Let's do it together.
20	And for everybody that celebrates,
21	have a happy Love Day.
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
23	So now, Invest in Our New York.

MS. MARTINEZ-CLASS: Good morning. My

1	name is Carolyn Martinez-Class, and I am the
2	campaign manager for the Invest in Our
3	New York Campaign. The Invest in Our
4	New York Campaign is an unprecedented
5	campaign for a more just economy, fighting
6	through the state budget process.

We have a two-prong approach. We are arguing for the need for critical investments in housing, infrastructure, healthcare and more, while advocating for those investments to be paid for through increased taxes on New York's millionaires, billionaires, and wealthiest corporations.

You all have my testimony, so I'm not going to -- I'm not going to reiterate what's on there. I'm going to respond directly, though, to some of the things that have been raised.

So, one, proposals that are part of the Invest in Our New York agenda and the broader concept of raising taxes have been described as crazy through the course of this conversation. And to that, I'm going to say that those proposals have the support of

1	two-thirds of New Yorkers regardless of
2	political affiliation, whether rural,
3	suburban, or in major urban areas in our
4	state. And so that is a majority support for
5	those proposals.

The budget today has been described as responsible. I don't know in what universe a budget that cuts education, healthcare, and fails to fund and meet the moment we're in in terms of the housing crisis can be deemed responsible. And we know that fundamentally our budgets are documents that are reflections of our priorities as a state. This is a budget that will further inequity.

New York is the most unequal place in the country. Affordability has -- like

New York is the most unaffordable place in the country. Wages have not kept apace, despite the increase in the last year. And we know New Yorkers are in need. And so I just want to emphasize how critical it is to make deep and lasting serious transformative investments in our social safety net for working-class people.

1	And	we've	talked	а	lot	about

outmigration. The thing that hasn't been raised is that the Comptroller, think tanks, everyone's aligned that the people likeliest to leave are working-class people. People -- in the Comptroller's report in December 2023, he named that people who are likeliest to leave the state were people earning less than \$500,000 a year. Those people have not been impacted by tax increases in terms of the proposals we've advocated and pushed for.

And so it really speaks to the need to make investments in affordability and the urgency surrounding that right now. And we agree with what's been named around the need for more progressive -- more progressivity in the way our income tax is structured. And so to that we'd say there's a Meeks/Jackson bill that we hope you will take under consideration for one-house budget proposals and in the final budget.

And we would advocate for diversifying our sources of revenue, including by taxing wealth through proposals to tax capital

1	gains, inheritances, as well as the
2	billionaire's tax carried by Senator Ramos.
3	Thank you.
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
5	And now Citizen Action of New York.
6	MS. GARRARD: We're on.
7	Thank you for the opportunity to
8	testify. I will for the sake of brevity,
9	because you also have the written testimony,
10	just say "Plus one" instead of going into
11	detail to the information that has been
12	shared about both the popularity of
13	progressive taxation and the impact of
14	New Yorkers and who's leaving and who's not
15	leaving, right, who's suffering and who's
16	benefiting.
17	So I will just plus-one that and
18	really spend my time talking about why the
19	sum of money that we propose is vitally
20	necessary.
21	Budgets are moral documents, and they
22	reflect the choices, right, of the Governor
23	and the Legislature in terms of who they're
24	prioritizing. And so this truly is a

1	decision: Are we prioritizing the wealthiest
2	New Yorkers, who will be fine if they pay a
3	little more, or are we prioritizing
4	struggling low- and moderate-income families
5	who are already barely surviving and
6	certainly cannot afford to make any more cuts
7	or lose any more resources?
8	And so certainly the cuts that have
9	been proposed to Medicaid, to Foundation Aid

And so certainly the cuts that have been proposed to Medicaid, to Foundation Aid are wholly unacceptable. And there's needs and gaps to be filled on top of that, right?

So I'm going to mention a few of those.

When we think about healthcare, healthcare remains unaffordable for many

New Yorkers. And in fact over

740,000 New Yorkers have a medical debt in collections. That is a moral failure of this state. Healthcare should be considered by everyone a human right. And so that has to be addressed.

We support the increase in the hospital financial assistance and moving that threshold for eligibility up from 300 percent of the federal poverty limit to 600 percent.

We support the Governor's solution idea
for cost-sharing on insulin. And we
certainly vehemently push back on any cuts to
Medicaid.

Through the Invest in Our New York package, that could be accomplished and we could certainly make a dent in this ever-escalating and unsustainable housing crisis that we're in.

So we know that working-class families are being driven out of the state due to affordability. To that end, we must have the Housing Access Voucher Program. We must have legislation to create a Social Housing Development Authority to have long-term fixes to this crisis. And of course none of these solutions will be tenable or effective without good-case tenant protections.

In terms of climate, I will also just plus-one. We are in no way planning for the funding to meet the mandates of CLCPA. We support the Climate Change Superfund Act, and we support using IONY funding to meet those mandates, which we are not on schedule to

1	meet.
2	In terms of child you can read the
3	testimony. There's multiple needs. But
4	thank you for the time.
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
6	And to close out this panel, Strong
7	Economy for All Coalition, Michael Kink.
8	MR. KINK: There we go. Thank you.
9	Michael Kink, executive director of
10	the Strong Economy for All Coalition. We're
11	a coalition of labor unions and community
12	groups working together for economic, social
13	and racial justice.
14	We support the five-bill package that
15	the Invest in Our New York Campaign has put
16	together to tax high incomes, the most
17	profitable corporations and the most extreme
18	wealth.
19	The tax increases that Invest in Our
20	New York put forward and that the Legislatur
21	passed in 2021 have been successful.
22	According to the Comptroller, they've raised

\$10 billion more than predicted, and they've

added to that cash stockpile. The state is

23

1	not only sitting on \$20 billion in reserve
2	funds, there's another \$10 billion in unspend
3	money in the checking account.
4	There's essentially a \$30 billion
5	reserve right now, in a year when we're
6	facing an affordability crisis.
7	The facts are the facts. FPI has put
8	it out: The people that are moving are
9	low-income and working-class New Yorkers.
10	The front page headline of the New York Times
1	said "New York's millionaire class is
12	growing. Other people are leaving."
13	Those kinds of headlines and those
4	kinds of studies are the types of headlines
15	and stories that should inform the debate
16	here at the Capitol. You cannot move to
17	avoid the corporate tax bill that we've
18	proposed in Invest in Our New York.
19	ExxonMobil is already based in Irving, Texas
20	Tyson Chicken is already based in Arkansas.
21	They sell hundreds of millions of dollars of
22	products into New York, and they pay New York
>3	taxes on those profits. That's right, and

that's appropriate.

1	That's why public polling, as Carolyr
2	mentioned, shows that vast majorities of
3	New Yorkers, including majorities of
4	conservatives and Republicans, say that
5	New York should increase taxes on the
6	highest-earning individuals, the most
7	profitable corporations, and the wealthiest
8	households to fund public programs and
9	services.

I want to take one second to talk about capital gains tax, because Blake Washington and Mark Massaroni, in their technical briefing with reporters after the Governor's budget address, noted that the thing that took us from a \$5.5 billion deficit to a \$2.2 billion surplus was a burst of capital gains.

We taxed those capital gains at our regular income tax rate. Those individuals are dramatically undertaxed at the federal level. You pay higher taxes on your paycheck than you do when you get a check from your huge investments on Wall Street.

So a capital gains tax, like

1	Washington State instituted two years ago,
2	like Minnesota instituted this year, would
3	benefit New York tremendously. Billions of
4	dollars to fund housing, to fund schools, to
5	fund healthcare and it would only hit
6	1 percent of taxpayers who are already
7	undertaxed at the federal level.
8	A capital gains tax is timely. It's
9	one of the five bills, and we stand with the
10	Invest in Our New York Campaign to urge you
11	to consider all five bills in this year's
12	budget. Thank you.
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
14	We go to Assemblyman Ra.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you.
16	Just wanted to point out and I mear
17	if you disagree, please tell me. But
18	Mr. Gusdorf, you talked about that it was
19	said earlier that, you know, the higher
20	earners pay too high a rate. I mean,
21	maybe we would probably agree to disagree
22	about that. But I think what Acting
23	Commissioner Hiller was saying, which is
24	something that I think is not something that

1	can be argued, is that, you know, they make
2	up a very large portion of our tax base. And
3	when there's fluctuations, that can have a
4	very big impact on our tax receipts.
5	Would do you disagree with that?

MR. GUSDORF: I think that's generally right.

ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Yeah. So just that point of clarification, that we can debate what the appropriate share is, but it does have an impact when we have -- see ebbs and flows in the economy because we are so heavily reliant on that piece of the tax base.

But I did want to ask about something which I think many of us might be able to agree upon, which is if you have any comments on the recent report that came out about all the tax incentive programs and the, you know, kind of a lack of a bang for a buck that we're getting.

Because when we talk about revenue, certainly there are any number of proposals out there for new sources of revenue, but

1	there is also a lot of revenue we forego as a
2	state trying to, you know, do so in the name
3	of economic development, and most of the
4	programs are not doing too well in terms of
5	that.
6	MR. GUSDORF: Yeah, and FPI would
7	agree with that.

I'd say there are two pretty widely agreed-upon fundamental principles of sound tax policy. One is progressivity, to make the tax system fair, and the other is having a broad base. The more that you selectively grant exemptions or cut people out of the tax system, the less revenue you get and the more burden is shifted onto all other taxpayers.

And so all of those credits and exemptions certainly really undermine the broad-based prong of New York's tax code.

And, you know, they should be at a minimum very heavily scrutinized.

ASSEMBLYMAN RA: And if anybody else has any thoughts, there's 35 seconds left.

MS. MARTINEZ-CLASS: The Invest in Our New York Campaign supports shifting those

1	economic development funds into the public
2	programs we've named.
3	MR. KINK: And I'll note that the
4	return on investment data in that report
5	shows that there's not a single, quote
6	unquote, economic development program in
7	New York that provides a higher return on
8	investment than the investments in early
9	childhood education, public health programs,
10	or housing for New Yorkers who are homeless.
11	All of those programs provide a way
12	bigger bang for the buck than any of the
13	economic development programs we have now.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We next go to
16	Assemblyman Mamdani.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN MAMDANI: Thank you very
18	much for all of your testimonies.
19	Mr. Gusdorf, I wanted to just follow
20	up with you on a set of questions.
21	You know, the Governor in her State of
22	the State said that, quote, People aren't
23	moving for warmer weather or for lower taxes,

they're moving next door. I just wanted to

L	know what your thoughts were on that and if
2	you could elaborate on what do you think the
3	best way is to deal with the affordability
1	crisis that she was alluding to.

MR. GUSDORF: Thank you, Assemblymember. That -- we were very pleased 6 to see the Governor make that statement in 7 her State of the State, as it tracked very 8

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

had been published just a little bit earlier.

closely with the findings of our report that

What we think that shows -- and it follows on previous research that FPI has done as well -- is that the biggest differences between New York and neighboring states is really in the cost of living, and in particular in the cost of housing and the cost of rent -- really not the tax structure.

So that confirms what should be intuitive to many of us, which is that just the challenges of affordability, and in particular the high cost of housing and rent, is a much stronger explanation for migration behavior of low- and middle-income earners in particular.

1	What we think should be done at the
2	state level, among other things, is really
3	significant public investment in housing
4	construction. It's important to create
5	affordable housing for people at the low end
6	of the income spectrum, but it's also
7	important to increase the production of
8	housing for middle-income renters, both so
9	that people have somewhere to go, but really
10	to reduce pressure in the housing market.
11	And we think it's unlikely that you'll
12	see those kinds of protections against market
13	risks for middle-income renters without a
14	focused plan of state investment in something
15	like a social housing authority that has been
16	proposed in the Assembly.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN MAMDANI: Thank you for
18	that.

And just a follow-up question from some of what Commissioner Hiller's testimony focused on, as well as the questions that they received. I just wanted to hear your

thoughts.

You know, after the personal income

1	tax increase that we enacted through the
2	budget in 2021, the state's own tax website
3	showed the millionaire population grew and
4	the rate of millionaire outmigration shrank.
5	What does that data tell us? What
6	does it tell you, what does it tell the
7	Fiscal Policy Institute about the
8	correlation or lack thereof between
9	taxes and the millionaire population?
10	MR. GUSDORF: Yeah, there are a few
11	things we've seen.
12	One is that when we look at the data
13	that we can see from other sources such as
14	the census, as well as the Tax Department's
15	data, the millionaire outmigration trend
16	decreases from 2020 to 2021. So obviously
17	the pandemic itself is a confounding factor.
18	But 2021 is when the state last raised
19	income taxes. So it doesn't look to us,
20	based on the data that we can see, that that
21	caused more people to move away. Fewer high
22	earners moved away from 2020 to 2021.
23	I think I missed something.

ASSEMBLYMAN MAMDANI: Thank you very

1	much.
2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So thank you
3	all for being here. There are no further
4	questions.
5	As I mentioned earlier, all of the
6	testimony is available for the public as well
7	as colleagues to see on the Assembly and
8	Senate's websites.
9	Just for anybody listening, as of the
10	close of today, if anybody who hasn't yet
11	submitted testimony, you can still submit
12	testimony that will be made public.
13	So this concludes the Tax hearing for
14	this year on the Governor's budget. We will
15	reconvene at promptly at 12 noon for the
16	start of the Housing hearing. Thank you.
17	(Whereupon, the budget hearing
18	concluded at 11:22 a.m.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	