1 BEFORE THE NEW YORK STATE SENATE FINANCE AND ASSEMBLY WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEES 2 _____ 3 JOINT LEGISLATIVE HEARING In the Matter of the 4 2024-2025 EXECUTIVE BUDGET 5 ON HOUSING 6 _____ 7 Hearing Room B Legislative Office Building 8 Albany, NY 9 February 14, 2024 12:06 p.m. 10 11 PRESIDING: 12 Assemblywoman Helene E. Weinstein Chair, Assembly Ways & Means Committee 13 Senator Brian Kavanagh 14 Chair, Senate Housing Committee 15 PRESENT: 16 Assemblyman Edward P. Ra Assembly Ways & Means Committee (RM) 17 Senator Thomas O'Mara Senate Finance Committee (RM) 18 19 Assemblywoman Linda B. Rosenthal Chair, Assembly Housing Committee 20 Senator Pamela Helming 21 Assemblyman Keith P. Brown 22 Senator Rachel May 23 Assemblyman Edward C. Braunstein 24

1 2024-2025 Executive Budget
 Housing
2 2-14-24

3	PRESENT:	(Continued)
4		Senator Julia Salazar
5		Assemblyman Erik M. Dilan
6		Assemblywoman Yudelka Tapia
7		Senator Jabari Brisport
8		Assemblyman Tony Simone
9		Senator Robert Jackson
10		Assemblyman Harvey Epstein
11		Senator Zellnor Myrie
12		Assemblywoman Dr. Anna R. Kelles
13		Assemblyman Demond Meeks
14		Senator Brad Hoylman-Sigal
15		Assemblyman Chris Burdick
16		Senator Cordell Cleare
17		Assemblywoman Grace Lee
18		Assemblywoman Jo Anne Simon
19		Assemblyman Al Taylor
20		Senator Jack M. Martins
21		Assemblywoman Dana Levenberg
22		Assemblyman Brian Manktelow
23		Senator Leroy Comrie
24		Assemblywoman Marcela Mitaynes

1 2	2024-2025 Housing 2-14-24	Executive Budget			
2	2-14-24				
3	PRESENT:	(Continued)			
4					
5		Assemblywoman Mon	ique Chano	dler-Waterma	in
6		Senator George M.	Borrello		
		Assemblywoman Nik	ki Lucas		
7		Assemblyman Jeff	Gallahan		
8					
9					
10					
11					
12		LIST OF	SPEAKERS		
13				STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
14	RuthAnne \	/isnauskas			
15	Commissior				
	Community			13	20
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					

1 2024-2025 Executive Budget Housing 2 2-14-24 3 LIST OF SPEAKERS, Cont. 4 STATEMENT QUESTIONS 5 Jolie Milstein President and CEO 6 NYS Assn. for Affordable Housing -and-7 Mark Streb Executive Director 8 Neighborhood Preservation Coalition of NYS 9 -and-Erin Burns-Maine 10 Senior Vice President for Policy and Government Affairs 11 Community Preservation Corporation -and-12 William J. Simmons President 13 NYS Public Housing Authority Directors Association 14 -and-Sylvia Morse 15 Policy Program Manager Pratt Center for Community 16 Development -on behalf of-17 Basement Apartments Safe for Everyone 173 190 18 19 20 21 22

24

1 2024-2025 Executive Budget Housing 2 2-14-24 3 LIST OF SPEAKERS, Cont. 4 STATEMENT QUESTIONS 5 Jacob Inwald Director of Litigation-Economic Justice 6 Legal Services NYC 7 -and-Erica Zimny 8 Deputy Director Legal Services Access Alliance 9 -and-Christie Peale 10 Executive Director and CEO Center for NYC Neighborhoods 11 -and-Randy Dillard 12 Tenant Leader CASA 13 Steering Committee Member Right to Counsel Coalition 14 -and-Michael McKee 15 Treasurer 222 238 Tenants PAC 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

1 2024-2025 Executive Budget Housing 2 2-14-24 3 LIST OF SPEAKERS, Cont. 4 STATEMENT QUESTIONS 5 Megan Wylie Director, Government Relations 6 New York Building Congress -and-7 Manny Pastreich President 8 32BJ SEIU -and-9 Bria Donohue Government Affairs Manager 10 American Institute of Architects New York 11 -and-Rachel Fee 12 Executive Director New York Housing Conference 13 -and-Annemarie Gray 14 Executive Director Open New York 280 298 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

2024-2025 Executive Budget 1 Housing 2 2-14-24 3 LIST OF SPEAKERS, Cont. 4 STATEMENT QUESTIONS 5 Emily Goldstein Director of Organizing and 6 Advocacy Association for Neighborhood and Housing Development (ANHD) 7 -and-8 Rashida Taylor Project Manager 9 Interfaith Affordable Housing Collaborative and Partnership for Faith-Based Housing and 10 Community Development 11 -and-Patrick Boyle 12 Senior Director, NY Enterprise Community Partners 13 -and-Dr. Sandhya Kajeepeta 14 Senior Researcher Thurgood Marshall Institute 15 NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund 16 -and-Barbara Williams 17 Member Community Voices Heard 18 -and-Jennifer Hernandez 19 Staff Member Make the Road New York 322 340 20 21 22 23

1 2024-2025 Executive Budget Housing 2 2-14-24 3 LIST OF SPEAKERS, Cont. 4 STATEMENT QUESTIONS 5 Michael Borges Executive Director 6 Rural Housing Coalition of New York 7 -and-Lori Bellingham 8 VP for Community Impact Adirondack Foundation 9 -and-Blair W. Sebastian 10 Advocate New York State Rural Advocates 356 366 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

1 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Good afternoon. We'll officially start. I am Helene 2 Weinstein, chair of the Assembly's Ways and 3 Means Committee, and today we begin the 13th 4 5 and actually the last in a series of hearings conducted by the joint fiscal committees of 6 the Legislature regarding the Governor's 7 8 proposed budget for fiscal year '24-'25.

9 The hearings are conducted pursuant to 10 the Constitution and the Legislative Law, and 11 today the Assembly Ways and Means Committee 12 and the Senate Finance Committee will hear 13 testimony concerning the Governor's proposal 14 for housing.

I will now introduce the members of 15 16 the Assembly who are -- Democratic members of 17 the Assembly who are here, and then Senator Kavanagh, who is filling in for 18 19 Chair Liz Krueger, will introduce his 20 colleagues. And then the Minority members will be introduced. 21 So we have with us the Housing chair 22

23 of the Assembly, Linda Rosenthal;

24 Assemblyman Braunstein; Assemblyman Burdick;

1	Assemblyman Dilan; Assemblyman Epstein;
2	Assemblywoman Simon; Assemblywoman Tapia;
3	Assemblyman Simone; Assemblywoman Mitaynes.
4	And Senator Kavanagh, if you want to
5	introduce your members.
6	SENATOR KAVANAGH: Again, I'm
7	State Senator Brian Kavanagh, filling in as a
8	poor substitute for Liz Krueger, our
9	Finance chair.
10	And we're joined on the Majority side
11	in the Senate by Senators Brad Hoylman;
12	Zellnor Myrie; Jabari Brisport; and Julia
13	Salazar.
14	SENATOR O'MARA: And
15	SENATOR KAVANAGH: And, forgive me,
16	also Cordell Cleare, who's obscured by the
17	speaker and sitting by her friend Jo Anne
18	Simon.
19	SENATOR O'MARA: And on our side, I am
20	Finance Ranker Senator Tom O'Mara.
21	We're joined by our Housing ranker,
22	Senator Pam Helming, and Senator George
23	Borrello.
24	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman Ra,

1 our ranker on Ways and Means.

2 ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you. Good 3 afternoon. We're joined by Assemblyman Keith 4 5 Brown, who is filling in as our ranker on 6 Housing for Mr. Fitzpatrick. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So now a few 7 8 ground rules. Shut off your cellphones. 9 The governmental witness here today, 10 11 the commissioner, will have 10 minutes to 12 present her testimony. When we go to the panels of 13 14 nongovernmental witnesses, each member of that panel will have three minutes to present 15 16 their testimony. Just remember, three 17 minutes goes fast. And we have -- all of your written 18 testimonies have been submitted and are or 19 20 will be posted on both the Senate and Assembly's websites. So please don't read 21 your testimony, just tell us the highlights. 22 23 In terms of questions and answers from the legislators, for the chairs -- in this 24

1	case, Senator Kavanagh and Assemblymember
2	Rosenthal you have 10 minutes to ask
3	questions of our Housing commissioner. Then
4	both chairs can get a three-minute second
5	round if needed.
6	The rankers on the respective
7	committees, Housing and Ways and Means, have
8	five minutes to ask a question. And all
9	other members of the relevant committee have
10	three minutes.
11	And just to remind the legislators,
12	the time frame is both for the question and
13	for the answer. So please be kind to the
14	people testifying and don't leave them
15	10 seconds to answer a lengthy question.
16	So with that oh. Just everybody
17	keep an eye on the clock legislators,
18	testifiers. When it's green, you can speak.
19	When it goes yellow, you still can talk, but
20	that means you only have a minute left. And
21	when it's red, it's time to get up.
22	And Commissioner, if there are
23	questions you don't have time to answer, feel
24	free to well, not feel free, but we'd like

you to then send written responses to those
 questions to both the chairs of Ways and
 Means and Senate Finance.

And with that, with those ground
rules -- and we may have some additional
members that will come join us -- I'm very
happy to welcome the New York State Homes and
Community Renewal commissioner and CEO,
RuthAnne Visnauskas.

COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Great. Hi. 10 11 Good afternoon and happy Valentine's Day, Chairs Weinstein and Senator Kavanagh. 12 13 I am RuthAnne Visnauskas, commissioner and 14 CEO of New York State Homes and Community Renewal, and it's my pleasure to testify 15 16 before you today on Governor Hochul's 2025 17 Executive Budget and HCR's efforts to address New York's housing crisis. 18

19As the Governor has said repeatedly20over the past year, the shortage of housing21is driving up costs and driving people out of22New York State. And the only thing that will23solve this issue is to increase the supply of24homes.

1 If we need more proof that we need a 2 major housing production boom, we have that in the latest Housing and Vacancy Survey, 3 which pegs New York City's rental vacancy 4 5 rate at a shocking 1.41 percent, the lowest 6 in 56 years. A healthy vacancy rate is considered somewhere between 5 and 8 percent. 7 8 And for asking rents below \$2,400, the availability of units is at a functional 9 10 zero. 11 A vacancy rate this low places enormous pressure on our housing market and 12 13 enormous stress on our families. What is 14 more disheartening than starting your search for housing knowing less than 1 percent of 15 16 units in New York City are even available to 17 you? This is exactly why enacting the 18 19 housing supply elements of Governor Hochul's 20 2025 budget is more important than ever. I like to think we may be poised to 21 turn the corner, but while the Governor's 22 23 100,000-unit Housing Plan is on track, it cannot, on its own, create the housing that 24

we need in this state. There is no doubt that we still need to generate hundreds of thousands of homes over the next decade to make up for severe underproduction. All communities and levels of government must be part of the solution. We still need significant legislative

8 changes to allow for production at scale to meet the demand. That is our mission, and it 9 will be our legacy for New Yorkers -- for 10 11 young people looking to buy a first home, for families that need room to grow, and for 12 13 older New Yorkers who want to remain in 14 communities they've lived in their entire lives. 15

We're nearing completion of the second year of the Governor's \$25 billion, five-year Housing Plan, and I'm proud to say that in the first two years, we are on track to create and preserve nearly 40,000 affordable homes.

A couple of highlights from the past
year. I'm proud to note that New York was
the first state to gain approval for our plan

to spend \$450 million in American Recovery 1 2 Act funds, and that we have expended all of this federal funding to assist 18,000 3 households in stabilizing their homes. We 4 5 provided \$26 million to help 24 land banks revitalize communities across the state. 6 We're delivering \$50 million in home 7 8 repair funds to targeted communities in every part of the state, focusing on communities 9 with the highest rate of homeownership among 10 homeowners of color and where there are 11 12 significant indicators of housing distress. We provided \$5 million in Main Street 13 14 Grants to reinvest in our state's Main Streets. 15 16 For our affordable home ownership program, we've approved over 500 projected 17 homes for sale so far. 18 19 And lastly, our financing programs 20 require stringent design and sustainability guidelines. And through our Clean Energy 21 Initiative with NYSERDA, this year we awarded 22 23 more than \$12 million to 18 different projects that meet or exceed New York State's 24

climate goals.

1

2 Nearly every New Yorker understands the huge effort and fortitude it takes to 3 secure a decent, safe and affordable home in 4 the neighborhood of their choice. 5 6 The Pro-Housing Community program, launched in 2023, remains a key component to 7 this pressing challenge. Going forward, 8 municipalities will now be required to be 9 certified as pro-housing in order to qualify 10 11 for up to \$650 million in discretionary funding, including the Downtown 12 Revitalization Initiative, the NY Forward 13 14 program, and our own New York Main Street 15 program. 16 To further efforts to increase housing 17 supply, the Governor's budget proposes \$500 million over two years to assist state 18 19 agencies in repurposing their properties for 20 housing. New York City, given its dangerously 21 low vacancy rate and the critical importance 22 23 of maintaining its status and its world-leading role as an economic driver and 24

a center for creativity, has a real starring 1 2 role in the budget this year. Legislation to increase the housing supply in New York City 3 includes a new tax incentive for the 4 5 development of rental housing, an extension of the deadline for developments that were 6 vested in the prior tax incentive program, 7 8 authority for the city to lift outdated residential density restrictions, a tax 9 incentive to encourage affordable housing in 10 11 office conversions, and a path towards legalizing existing basement and cellar 12 13 apartments.

14Through it all, we must keep pushing15back on discriminatory practices on the part16of industries and landlords. We will17continue to aggressively enforce the state18and federal Fair Housing laws. New Yorkers19deserve that respect and protection.

Further, the Governor's plan proposes legislation to increase protections for affordable housing providers by prohibiting insurance carriers from raising premiums or denying coverage based on tenant source of

1	income, the existence of affordable housing
2	units, or if the building's residents are in
3	receipt of government assistance. When these
4	costs go up, they increase the cost of
5	operating housing.
6	Finally, the Governor has proposed
7	legislation to protect homeowners by creating
8	a clear definition of the crime of deed
9	theft, to enhance enforcement and other
10	measures to prevent against this terrible
11	crime.
12	I've traveled from one end of New York
13	to the other this past year, attending
14	meetings, taking tours, officiating at
15	ribbon-cuttings and ground-breakings. In
16	Schenectady I helped cut the ribbon on
17	Northside Village, a newly redeveloped public
18	housing complex that will provide 300 modern,
19	affordable and energy-efficient homes. And I
20	was thrilled to celebrate the opening of 55
21	new apartments at The Green at Garvies Point
22	in Glen Cove, on Long Island's North Shore.
23	But whatever the location or type of
24	development, I'm always deeply moved by the

1 incredible impact that getting a permanent, safe home has on New York's individuals and 2 families. There is no substitute for that 3 experience. And what remains abundantly 4 clear is that the state's housing crisis will 5 only be remedied with bold and creative 6 solutions that significantly increase our 7 8 supply and thereby drive down prices. The urgency of this housing crisis 9 demands action. The Governor's initiatives, 10 11 as expressed in her Executive Budget, 12 encompass our idea for meeting the housing 13 needs for all New Yorkers, and with your help 14 I know we will meet this challenge head on. Thank you, and I'm ready to take your 15 16 questions. 17 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. We go first to the Assembly Housing 18 19 chair, Assemblywoman Rosenthal. I just want to acknowledge we've been 20 21 joined by Assemblywoman Lee and Assemblyman Braunstein and 22 23 Assemblyman Gallahan. 24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Thank you,

1 Chair Weinstein.

2 It's good to see everyone. And hello, 3 Commissioner. And hello, out there to 4 everybody in the audience for tuning in to 5 this most important hearing -- the last, but 6 the most important, because it concerns 7 housing.

So, Commissioner, I have a number of 8 9 different questions. My first question would be -- last year the Governor put no money in 10 11 for HOPP funding, which you know is used throughout the state and helps keep tenants 12 13 in their homes and helps homeowners stay in their homes. Yet there's no funding this 14 year in the budget again. 15

Can you explain why?

16

17 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes. Last 18 year it was a legislative add, and we would 19 look forward to working with the Legislature 20 on that this year as well.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: So the
Legislature has to put it in, not the
Governor. Even though I think you have cited
and the Governor has said how important these

1 kinds of funds are.

2 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes, we look 3 forward to working with you to put that in 4 the budget.

5 ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: (Laughing.) 6 Okay. So we all know about the housing crisis. And right now there's not enough 7 housing of any type, but there's not enough 8 9 housing for people who make -- who are low-income earners or even middle-income 10 11 earners. 12 So can you tell me over the past 13 10 years how much affordable housing has been 14 created through the 421-a program? And, if you know, at what income bands. 15 16 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I believe 17 the stat that's generally been used, you know, in sort of research and press articles 18 19 is that between 2010 and 2020, about 20 70,000 units of housing were produced through

21 the program in New York City.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Okay. And are they all still affordable? Some of them will expire, no?

1	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Those
2	were between 2010 and 2020. I believe those
3	would all still be affordable.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Okay, can
5	you explain why and I know this is not
6	you, but we've heard from developers they're
7	just not building. And why do you think that
8	is?
9	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Well, as you
10	know, that program has expired and it's a
11	sort of quick
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: They're not
13	building anything.
14	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Right,
15	including rental housing. And I think with
16	the absence of that tax exemption, the
17	finances of the rental projects, given the
18	property tax structure in New York City,
19	doesn't sort of pencil or make economic
20	sense.
21	So you're right, you've seen a
22	decrease in the production of new rental
23	housing since the expiration of the program.
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: So you think

that absent a tax incentive there will be no building?

COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I believe 3 the stat is that 70 percent of the units 4 produced between 2010 and 2020 utilized 5 6 421-a. So yes, I think in the absence of that exemption you would see a significant 7 8 decrease in production. 9 And we see that now in the permit data, right? There's permit data that is out 10 11 for 2023 that shows a significant drop in permits last year. 12 13 ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Okay. 14 The Governor talks a lot about pro-housing community, but there are scant 15 16 details in the budget about what that means. 17 And I wonder if you'd explain that. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Sure. 18 19 The Pro-Housing Communities program 20 was launched last July -- announced last July by the Governor. And we at HCR opened up the 21 program in September. And localities can 22 23 apply, there's sort of three steps to apply to get certified. 24

1 And as proposed in the budget this 2 year, the certification would be a prerequisite for a series of state funding 3 programs that go to municipalities. 4 ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: But that's 5 6 vague. I mean, can you explain more? Because I've had a lot of people ask me what 7 8 does it mean, and nobody can figure it out 9 because the details are so sparse. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Sure. Is 10 11 there anything specifically you'd want me to 12 cover? 13 ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: No, no, no. Just -- there's not much info there. 14 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Sure. 15 16 So there's three ways that you apply. 17 You submit us a letter of intent. You submit us your zoning code and your building permit 18 data. And then you either, through your 19 20 building permits, reflect that you have 21 either grown -- which is the third way to get certified, the sort of third piece -- and if 22 23 you haven't grown, then we would ask that you pass a resolution locally that has a series 24

1 of sort of pro-housing statements in it about 2 reducing regulation to housing, wanting to grow your housing stock, that type of thing. 3 Those are the sort of three steps a 4 5 community has to take to get certified. 6 ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: So have any localities been certified so far? 7 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes. Twenty 8 have been certified so far, and we have about 9 hundred other localities that we're working 10 11 with in the process. 12 ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Okay. So 13 Mitchell-Lama is one of the most successful 14 programs, yet many developments are -- well, in my opinion, not supervised properly, and 15 16 many projects are trying to get out of deep 17 holes because they can't keep up with repairs, et cetera. 18 19 I notice there's no money -- it's once 20 again an Assembly and Senate add for Mitchell-Lama funding. 21 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So we had 22 23 funding in the Housing Plan for Mitchell-Lamas. We -- in the course of the 24

1 last Housing Plan, we had a series of 2 legislative adds. We have actually just finished spending those down, so we haven't 3 touched any of the \$150 million that's in the 4 5 second Housing Plan. We haven't spent any of 6 that yet, so we didn't feel that we needed any additional funding this year for 7 8 Mitchell-Lamas since we haven't spent any of 9 that funding yet.

10ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: The previous11421-a program, has HCR identified any12particular areas of concern that need to be13fixed or adjusted should there be another14421-a-type plan?

COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I would say 15 16 that in the proposal from last year, and sort of consistent to this year, there are some 17 changes around reporting. There are changes 18 around affordability. There were obviously 19 20 parts of the program that people didn't feel were affordable enough, and so some changes 21 22 to those.

So yes, I would say that I think
there's -- has been and sort of continues to

1	be proposals out there for modifications to
2	the program in order for it to be reenacted.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Do you think
4	that commercial conversions should include
5	affordable housing, and how much?
6	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So the
7	Governor has proposed a tax exemption for
8	commercial conversions in the City of
9	New York that would create affordable housing
10	in them. So yes, we certainly do agree with
11	that.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: But what
13	percentage of these buildings?
14	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: It's as
15	proposed, 20 percent.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: And do you
17	think that's enough?
18	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I think that
19	commercial conversions are challenging.
20	Right? All the buildings are very different.
21	And what it takes to convert them from a
22	commercial building to residential, I think
23	the economics can be hard for those buildings
24	to be sort of economically viable.

1	So we felt that that was a good
2	proposal. But as I said, it's it's a
3	because all the buildings are so different,
4	it's a hard thing to model sort of the
5	finances of those buildings.
6	But yes, we felt comfortable with
7	20 percent.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: So the
9	vacancy rate and the number of apartments
10	registered with ORA has dropped. In '23
11	there were 996,600 rent-stabilized units.
12	And what are how many are there now?
13	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Well, the
14	registrations come in on a rolling basis, so
15	that number changes, you know, sort of week
16	by week and month by month as people continue
17	to register. I don't have the current number
18	off the top of my head.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: But there
20	are fewer vacant than there were
21	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Oh, yes.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: And why is
23	that?
24	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Our I

1 mean, we believe that the spike that we saw 2 two years ago was due to the pandemic, and we 3 are back to historic norms for vacancy in the 4 system. And this is apartments that are 5 vacant as of April 1st each year.

6 ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Okay. Can 7 you tell me how much -- of the \$4.5 billion 8 five-year Housing Plan, how much of the money 9 has been spent?

10COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We can give11you sort of a breakdown probably program by12program.

13 When we laid it out initially, we 14 assumed that we would spend, you know, sort of on average about 20 percent of it each 15 16 year. But some of our programs, especially 17 when they're new -- like, for example, our homeownership program we started last year, 18 19 we didn't spend any of that money last year. 20 We are starting to spend that this year, so 21 some of it has lagged. 22 But we can get you a

```
23 program-by-program spend.
```

24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Okay. How

1	long are PARs taking to deal with? Because 1
2	have some constituents waiting two years for
3	any kind of decision from HCR, which puts
4	them in a funny position.

5 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yeah. So I 6 guess I would say in the case of PARs, they 7 are all different, right, because they're 8 very fact-specific and case-specific.

I don't know what our sort of average 9 time is by type, but we'd be -- we'd be happy 10 to circle back with you if there's specific 11 12 types that you're -- if you want to know how long the PARs are taking, we can follow up. 13 ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Okay. 14 How many people are employed in the 15 16 Tenant Protection Unit? 17 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We have 25 current employees. 18 19 ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: And are there allocations for more? 20 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes. We're 21 currently hiring. As you recall from the 22 23 budget last year, we expanded up into the Hudson Valley. And so we are still doing 24

1	some recruiting to get staff to work in that
2	part of the state.
3	But we are actively looking for
4	people.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: So in terms
6	of keeping tenants in their homes and
7	providing advice to homeowners, there are
8	NPPs and RPPs that the Governor cut the
9	funding and the Legislature added millions of
10	dollars.
11	Isn't it worthwhile to fund that? Why
12	didn't the Governor fund that?
13	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: The program
14	is back funded at its traditional sort of
15	base amount. And we will continue to
16	administer those contracts as funded in the
17	budget process.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: I know you
19	will, but why wasn't the why weren't these
20	programs important enough for the Governor to
21	<pre>fund? Because they are clearly successful;</pre>
22	they are oversubscribed. And they need a lot
23	more money to keep people in their homes.
24	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I think we

1	would look forward to working with the
2	Legislature if you want to add additional
3	funding to those programs.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Thank you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
6	To the Senate.
7	SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thank you.
8	It's good to see you here, and
9	Team HCR. I think we have some
10	representatives from the Governor's office
11	here as well.
12	Just a few questions about some of the
13	funding items that were included last year
14	and again, as you noted in the prior
15	testimony, partly as a response to some
16	legislative adds.
17	But the there's \$50 million in
18	in multiple most years we've added money
19	for Mitchell-Lama assistance in capital, on
20	the capital side. Last year there was
21	\$50 million. This year there's nothing in
22	the Executive Budget for that.
23	Can you just talk about the status of
24	past capital allocations for Mitchell-Lamas

1 and sort of whether you have money on hand or
2 whether -- how much of an additional need
3 there might be for that?

4 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes. As I 5 had mentioned, we -- in the course of the 6 first Housing Plan we started with I think it 7 was 125 or \$150 million, and then we got a 8 series of legislative adds -- 100, 125, 25. 9 And so we -- and then we started the second 10 Housing Plan two years ago with 150.

11 So we have actually, in the last year 12 or two, still been spending down the money 13 from the first Housing Plan, spending down 14 those legislative adds. So at this point we 15 haven't actually touched a dollar of the new 16 Housing Plan, 150 million.

17 So we will begin this year to spend 18 that down. And, you know, certainly we'll 19 continue to spend as needed. And if we need 20 additional funds, we will come back and ask 21 for that.

22 SENATOR KAVANAGH: And how many
23 projects have been funded through that -24 Mitchell-Lama projects have been funded

1 through that in the past?

2	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We
3	haven't I don't have a specific number on
4	that, but we can get you a list of everything
5	we've financed.
6	SENATOR KAVANAGH: Both the number and
7	a list of projects.
8	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yeah,
9	absolutely.
10	SENATOR KAVANAGH: I appreciate it.
11	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Sure.
12	SENATOR KAVANAGH: And just I'll
13	just say I share my colleague Linda
14	Rosenthal's concern about the lack of funding
15	for HOPP and reductions in Neighborhood and
16	Rural Preservation Programs and also
17	something that isn't directly in your
18	bailiwick, but the reduction in funding for
19	legal services for people facing eviction.
20	And again, that I assume will be a subject of
21	negotiations in the next month or so.
22	I want to talk a little bit more in
23	detail about the Pro-Housing program. So you
24	said you've received a total of about

1 120 applications and about 20 have been

2 approved so far. Have any been rejected?

3 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: No, no one's
4 been rejected.

5 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Okay. Is there a 6 deadline by which people need to apply if 7 they want to receive --

8 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So we didn't 9 start the program with a deadline because we 10 didn't feel that we were -- you know, we 11 wanted people to take their time and, if they 12 have to go through to pass a resolution, to 13 have the time to do that.

I think there's certainly -- everybody 14 loves, you know, a deadline. It does get 15 16 people to take action. But I think at this 17 point, given that we have a hundred communities that we're working with, I don't 18 19 think we feel the need to impose a deadline, 20 and we'll just keep working with those communities to get them through the process. 21 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Okay. And is 22 23 Pro-Housing certification sort of a permanent

24

status? Does it expire? Does it need to be

renewed?

1

2 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So one of the key parts of this that, you know, may not 3 be so obvious in sort of the three-step 4 5 process, is submission of data. And so we 6 are working to get that data up into a statewide database so there's more 7 transparency about zoning and also about 8 9 building permits across the state. So localities will have to submit us 10 11 permit data every year to maintain their 12 status, and we will then keep that in this sort of transparent database so that we can 13 14 see housing growth over the state across time. 15 16 SENATOR KAVANAGH: So in principle, 17 if a locality failed to provide data -- you know, maybe there could be some change in the 18 19 political control or administration of a 20 locality -- if they chose not to continue to

21 submit data, at some point they would cease
22 to be considered Pro-Housing for these
23 programs.

24

So this is a program, as you noted,

1	that was created by kind of executive action
2	during the summer. We have heard questions
3	about the legal authority to make to
4	condition these existing programs on
5	pro-housing certification.
6	Can you just talk about how the
7	programs that are the subject of this were
8	selected and kind of what the legal authority
9	is to do that?
10	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Sure.
11	We were looking at discretionary state
12	funding programs, so not things that go by
13	formula or like federal pass-throughs. So we
14	were looking at discretionary programs that
15	municipalities apply for, because this is a
16	municipality-based program, not sort of
17	project-specific, unlike other things that we
18	fund at the state.
19	So we looked at a series of programs,
20	the ones that are sort of outlined in the
21	budget include DRI and NY Forward as well as
22	one at DOT, and selected a series of programs
23	that we thought made sense and were important
24	and we feel that the language in the budget

1 give us the legal authority to do the 2 conditioning.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: And the language in 3 the budget applies to some of the programs 4 but not others, is that right? 5 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Only the 6 ones that had reappropriation language that 7 8 actually went into the budget. But we can still do it on the others, they just didn't 9 have reappropriation language. 10 SENATOR KAVANAGH: I see. So the 11 budget seeks authority to condition those for 12 13 those specific programs but not for -- the --14 the deciding factor on whether that language included in the budget is whether those 15 16 programs would otherwise have been mentioned? 17 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Were otherwise in the budget for appropriation, 18 19 yeah. 20 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Okay. I think probably some clarity in that would be 21 22 useful. 23 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Okay.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: The RUSH program --

1 and I'm going to resist jokes about these
2 rock-and-roll bands from Canada, but -3 although -- because I've gotten them out of
4 my system in the last couple of weeks.

5 But just there are three sites chosen 6 that are specifically mentioned in the budget documents, but there's been talk about other 7 sites that might -- that the Governor has 8 talked publicly about: A former prison in 9 Dutchess County, Creedmoor in Queens. 10 11 There's been talk generally about MTA sites that might be appropriate for conversion to 12 13 housing.

14Can you just talk about the choice of15the three sites that are mentioned and kind16of what the sort of potential future of this17program is over the coming year?

18

COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes.

19As you may recall, in July when the20Governor did the EO announcements, part and21parcel to that was sort of a call to the22agencies around public sites and her effort23to, you know, make sure she's contributing to24the overall housing supply needs in every way

that she can.

1

2 So there has been a very robust effort with the agencies to look through sites that 3 can be made available for housing. The sites 4 5 are not finalized, but there are -- we have been looking, as you mentioned, at MTA sites, 6 at SUNY sites, at state prisons and other 7 state facilities. And then the funding is 8 there to pay for infrastructure that's needed 9 to make those sites developable. 10

11 The couple of cites that you mentioned that are in the budget language this year are 12 13 the ones that needed some technical changes 14 to their statutory language in order to be able to facilitate the development of housing 15 16 there. But that's primarily why they're 17 mentioned, because they needed legislative changes. 18

19SENATOR KAVANAGH: And you and I had20the opportunity to have this conversation21offline, but there's a \$250 million22allocation for this program. If my23understanding is correct, that's not24necessarily earmarked for these three

specific sites, it's for a broader program to
 reuse state sites.

COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Correct.
It's to get at the overall 15,000 units that
we are hoping to produce on state-owned
sites.

7 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Okay. And could 8 the administration provide additional -- you 9 know, a list of potential sites that -- I 10 think it would just be helpful in considering 11 an allocation if we actually knew where we 12 might be -- you know, might be considering 13 doing the work.

14COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I'm sure we15can get back to you on that. We have a -- as16I said, there is not a final list of sites.17We are sort of working through -- there's a18lot of state-owned sites to be --19SENATOR KAVANAGH: It will probably

20 never be final, but yeah.

I mean, I think people were surprised that there are SUNY sites but only in Suffolk County, when obviously SUNY has a lot of land in a lot of other places. And the

same with the MTA.

2	And there's no there's not much
3	language in here about what would happen at
4	the sites that are enumerated. There's talk
5	about housing with respect to a DOT site that
6	says "Uses approved by the DOT commissioner."
7	I think the commissioner in that reference is
8	the DOT commissioner, not you.
9	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I believe
10	so.
11	SENATOR KAVANAGH: Is there a point
12	where we flesh out I mean, will there be
13	affordability requirements, will there be
14	other I mean, it's very vague for a
15	quarter-billion-dollar allocation at this
16	point.
17	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes, I guess
18	I would say a couple of things.
19	One is we felt it was important to
20	make sure we had funds so we could know that
21	we could move forward on sites that actually
22	need infrastructure improvements to make them
23	sort of ready to be developed for housing.
24	I would say that we have found, in

working in partnership with ESD, that sort of 1 conditions are different on all the sites and 2 in all the communities in terms of what gets 3 produced. So I don't think there's sort of a 4 5 single answer for what kind of housing we would expect in any of these sites. I think 6 it's going to be a conversation, you know, in 7 the local communities about what's -- about 8 what gets built. 9

10 SENATOR KAVANAGH: But just in the 11 course of budget negotiations, do you think 12 the administration would be willing to have a 13 negotiation about what the sort of parameters 14 of this are going to be before we --

15 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I don't
16 generally sit at those negotiating tables,
17 Senator, but I suspect those questions will
18 come up.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: We'll have you
appear as a special guest, a star at those
tables.

The -- oh, just one more question
about that. The DOT proposal is quite
specific, as there are subparts -- there's

1	Subpart C, which is eligible for conveyance
2	and Subparts A and B are for ground lease
3	only. Do you have a sense of how that
4	proposal is structured and why?
5	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I believe
6	I'm not totally sure which subparts are
7	which, but that the language is there to
8	provide flexibility in how disposition can
9	happen, whether that's a real property
10	transfer versus a subleasing of a portion of
11	a larger site.
12	So I think that language is getting at
13	that, but we can follow up with you on more
14	specifics.
15	SENATOR KAVANAGH: Okay. And just one
16	more question about this.
17	The \$250 million, the capital goes
18	through the Empire State Development
19	Corporation rather than the housing agency.
20	Is there I mean, understanding that ESD
21	might be helping structure the property
22	transactions and other things, but why would
23	we give another agency \$250 million rather
24	than providing it you know, you're

managing billions of dollars in capital to 1 2 provide housing. Why wouldn't you be the appropriate agency to manage that as well? 3 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So it's not 4 5 a subsidy for housing, it is funding to pay for roads and water and sewer or to do 6 demolition on buildings or other types of 7 work that isn't generally things that we 8 would pay for through our typical programs. 9 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 10 11 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thank you. 12 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 13 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Can I just -- we also -- just to note, we've also been joined 14 by Senator Robert Jackson. 15 16 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 17 And on the Assembly side, we've been joined by Assemblymembers Levenberg, Lucas 18 19 and Kelles. 20 And we go to the ranker on Housing -well, the substitute ranker on Housing, 21 Assemblyman Keith Brown, for five minutes. 22 23 ASSEMBLYMAN KEITH BROWN: I'm going to do my best job impersonating Mike 24

1 Fitzpatrick. So --

2 SENATOR O'MARA: Just quickly, we've
3 been joined on our side by Senator Jack
4 Martins. Thank you.

ASSEMBLYMAN KEITH BROWN: Good
afternoon, Commissioner. How are you today?
COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Good, thank
you.

9 ASSEMBLYMAN KEITH BROWN: In your 10 opening -- I only have five minutes, so I'm 11 going to ask a lot of quick questions, and if 12 you'd keep your answers as short as possible.

13 So in your opening you mentioned that 14 housing was driving up costs and driving 15 people out of New York State. I would just 16 add a qualifier to that, that I also believe 17 it's high taxes and the high cost of living 18 that go along with that.

19With regard to the repurposing of real20property at SUNY campuses -- namely,21Farmingdale and Stony Brook on Long Island --22you mentioned you'll be working in23conjunction with ESD. And it was kind of24vague in terms of what type of housing uses

would be -- that you anticipate building
 there, and how many units will be there and
 who will be eligible to live at those
 locations.

5 Have there been any discussions with 6 you and ESD about that? And have you had any 7 discussions with the supervisor of either 8 Huntington or the Township of Southampton, 9 where they're located?

COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I think the 10 11 idea with the state sites generally is that there are going to be conversations at the 12 13 local level about what gets built there. So 14 there is no sort of master plan for the sites already about what's getting built in each 15 16 place. This is just the beginning of a 17 longer process.

ASSEMBLYMAN KEITH BROWN: Along those lines, my understanding is President Nadler of SUNY Farmingdale has asked for housing specifically for staff and for professors. So is that the idea of building the housing there, or is it for some workforce housing or affordable housing of some type?

1 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I admit I 2 was not involved in any conversations with the chancellor there and what they're looking 3 for, so I wouldn't be able to speak to that. 4 5 ASSEMBLYMAN KEITH BROWN: Okay. And 6 then I saw somewhere in the budget it indicated eliminating SEQR review from some 7 8 of these housing proposals. Is it related to 9 the SUNY property itself? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I'd have to 10 11 get back to you on that. I'm not sure that there is any SUNY-specific SEQR changes. 12 ASSEMBLYMAN KEITH BROWN: Well, some 13 14 elimination of SEQR review was in the budget somewhere related to housing. And if you 15 16 could get back to me and --17 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Sure. ASSEMBLYMAN KEITH BROWN: -- find out 18 19 where that is, that would be important for us 20 to know. 21 Just in general, with the last 22 question on this topic, how would any 23 affordable housing or workforce housing -how would they mix with the student 24

1 population that are located on those

2 campuses? Or would they be allowed to mix?

3 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I think 4 there have been some successful examples 5 actually on some other SUNY campuses where 6 they have built senior housing, for example, 7 or other types of housing.

8 I mean, they're not building it sort of in the middle of their campus, right? 9 These campuses tend to be quite large and 10 11 have parcels that are sort of far away from 12 the sort of the central hub of the campus. So I think this -- I don't think these are 13 14 intended to be housing units that are sort of built in the middle of the campuses as much 15 16 as utilizing the land that they have 17 available.

ASSEMBLYMAN KEITH BROWN: Okay. And a
question that Senator Mattera had asked me to
ask.

21 Relative to Southampton, has there 22 been any discussion about possibly building a 23 hospital on the Southampton campus? 24 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I'm not

1 aware of those discussions.

2	ASSEMBLYMAN KEITH BROWN: Okay.
3	Switching gears to Pro-Housing Community
4	designation, there's no mention anywhere of
5	transit-oriented development housing. Was
6	that on purpose, or is more to come?
7	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We would be
8	delighted for localities to, you know, rezone
9	around their transit hubs for residential
10	density. It's certainly something we've been
11	talking about for the last year.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN KEITH BROWN: Right. And
13	interestingly, when it comes to this, this
14	was by Executive Order of the Governor No. 30
15	in July. But there was supposed to be some
16	language mandating but it's not listed in
17	the program that would require the
18	certification that would it had to be in
19	place in order to receive those funds, such
20	as DRI and Main Street money, et cetera.
21	So it's not in this budget. Do you
22	is there going to be an amendment that comes
23	out later on?
24	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: No, there is

1 language in the budget that says these funds 2 may be conditioned upon certification of the Pro-Housing --3 ASSEMBLYMAN KEITH BROWN: May be 4 5 conditioned, but not mandatory. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I believe 6 that language allows us to make it a 7 8 requirement. 9 ASSEMBLYMAN KEITH BROWN: Okay. So -and then what about municipalities that 10 11 received DR money already? Are they grandfathered in? Is it going to become 12 effective in Round 8? 13 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: It would be 14 for the prospective rounds. 15 16 ASSEMBLYMAN KEITH BROWN: Okay. And 17 then I took a look at the resolution just in case some of my municipalities want to adopt 18 19 it, and the language is pretty vague. Right? 20 It's kind of aspirational, not mandatory. So again, my question related to 21 eliminating SEQR. But the one question I had 22 23 was No. 4 it says "increasing development capacity for residential uses." Could you 24

explain what was meant by that? Because
 that's a little vague.

COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I think it 3 depends on the locality. That can mean 4 5 different things. But for some places, that 6 could be investments in something like water and sewer and roads, and in other places it 7 could be schools. I think it's making sure 8 that localities are investing in being able 9 to accommodate additional housing growth in 10 11 ways beyond just the housing.

ASSEMBLYMAN KEITH BROWN: Okay. Ihave 20 seconds left.

14So Blue Buffers Buyout Program. We15have a couple of peninsulas in my district,16Asharoken being one of them. How would17homeowners' purchase price be related to fair18market value? And then how would it relate19to the tax rolls? Would the tax rolls be20based on vacant value?

COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We'd be
 happy to follow up with you. That's a rather
 detailed question for five seconds.

24 But we have had a program like this in

1	the Governor's Office of Storm Recovery, and
2	so we can talk through how those programs
3	worked in the past and how we're thinking
4	about it going forward.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN KEITH BROWN: Thank you
6	very much.
7	Thank you, Chair.
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
9	To the Senate.
10	SENATOR KAVANAGH: {Mic off;
11	inaudible.}
12	SENATOR HELMING: Thank you,
13	Senator Kavanagh.
14	Thank you, Commissioner, for your
14 15	Thank you, Commissioner, for your testimony.
15	testimony.
15 16	testimony. I also want to thank you because your
15 16 17	testimony. I also want to thank you because your office and you personally are always
15 16 17 18	testimony. I also want to thank you because your office and you personally are always available and ready to answer questions, so I
15 16 17 18 19	testimony. I also want to thank you because your office and you personally are always available and ready to answer questions, so I really appreciate that.
15 16 17 18 19 20	testimony. I also want to thank you because your office and you personally are always available and ready to answer questions, so I really appreciate that. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Thanks.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	testimony. I also want to thank you because your office and you personally are always available and ready to answer questions, so I really appreciate that. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Thanks. SENATOR HELMING: The most recent time

1 Ontario County -- again, I appreciate the 2 responsiveness. Unfortunately, some of the response we got about how can we assist 3 homeowners who are impacted or renters who 4 5 are impacted by the flooding, their homes 6 were devastated, it took a long time for the Governor to come out with an announcement 7 8 that there will be funding available.

9 And then, unfortunately, most of my 10 residents didn't qualify. It was the 11 Emergency Homeowner Assistance program. And 12 there was discussion at that time that we 13 need the language, work with the feds to get 14 the criteria changed.

Has there been any progress? Has there been any progress? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I don't think we've had a lot of progress with the federal government changing their process and standards.

20 SENATOR HELMING: Is there anything 21 in -- realizing this is going to be a problem 22 that continues, is there anything in the HCR 23 portion of the budget that would allow us to 24 be more responsive and to help more people?

COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes. So we 1 2 put \$40 million in the budget this year in a program called Resilient and Ready -- I might 3 have flipped the words, it might be -- but 4 5 it's called something like Resilient and 6 Ready. And the idea there is to be able to 7 8 make both proactive investments and resiliencies -- so getting people to put 9 their mechanicals above-grade or working with 10 11 putting in basement vents, the types of things that people often need in their homes 12 13 before a flood happens. 14 So we are really looking forward to that rolling out and being available. 15 16 SENATOR HELMING: I'm going to move 17 on. I -- so many questions, so little time. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Sorry. 18 19 That's okay, yeah. 20 SENATOR HELMING: But just moving on to rent-stabilized apartments. I've seen so 21 22 many examples of apartments that are in poor 23 condition, and the reason boils down to because the monthly rent isn't enough --24

doesn't generate enough revenue for the
 landlord to afford investment in revitalizing
 these units.

I know this personally. I live in 4 5 what I lovingly refer to as the Great White Money Pit, an older home that the cost in 6 repairs, maintenance is just out of control. 7 And what I've witnessed seems to be 8 supported by HCR's annual report on 9 rent-regulated housing. These reports 10 suggest there's been a dramatic drop-off in 11 total dollar value of major capital 12 13 improvements applied for and granted to 14 owners of multiple dwellings over the last five years. 15 16 What are we doing to address that? 17 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We have seen certainly a drop in applications of different 18 19 types in the overall rent-stabilized stock. 20 And certainly I have read, you know, articles similar to how you mentioned about 21 apartments needing large amounts of 22 23 investment. You know, we have a series of programs 24

that we have available at HCR that property owners can access in order to make capital investments into their properties for roofs and boilers and windows and those types of investments. And we always encourage owners of rent-stabilized properties to come take advantage of those.

8 So, you know, we will continue to do 9 that. And obviously if there are specific 10 owners that are in need or buildings in need, 11 we're happy to work with them directly.

12SENATOR HELMING: Thank you.13And I'll just add that, you know, the14incredible burden of putting more and more15regulations on people -- the fact that we pay16some of the highest property taxes in the17state -- none of that is happening. And I18hope that we're considering that when we look

20 Real quick, in the proposed budget the 21 Governor is advocating for taking money away 22 from various housing programs. We heard 23 about HOPP, we heard about Rural 24 Preservation. But programs that have been

at policies, when we look at programs.

19

1	affected in my district also include the land
2	banks. We had extensive conversations about
3	this in the past. Is it true that
4	\$10 million is being removed from land banks?
5	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: No. I
6	believe there was a legislative add last year
7	that just didn't get renewed.
8	But we still have over \$20 million of
9	land bank money that
10	SENATOR HELMING: Not enough.
11	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: that we
12	will spend this year, so.
13	SENATOR HELMING: We need more.
14	Some of my colleagues touched on this.
15	Part P of ELFA allows the repurposing of
16	properties owned by SUNY and DOT. Are these
17	properties going to be used for residential
18	purposes?
19	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes. The
20	idea is to utilize properties for
21	residential
22	SENATOR HELMING: Thank you. And will
23	the state have to conform to local zoning
24	codes with those properties?

COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I think in 1 2 the case of SUNY -- we could follow-up with you specifically on those sites. I don't 3 know their zoning well enough to answer that. 4 5 SENATOR HELMING: I appreciate that. 6 But again, it seems like the Governor tries to strip away local decision-making, 7 and I would hate -- I would not support that 8 on the SUNY campuses or state-owned 9 properties. 10 11 Really quick -- I only have a couple of seconds, but I need to put in a plug on 12 13 this Pro-Housing certification. Look, my 14 rural communities are struggling. The counties got stripped of their EFMAP funding, 15 16 millions and millions of dollars, last year. 17 They don't have money -- unless they raise property taxes -- to put into wastewater 18 19 treatments, water treatment plants, sewer 20 infrastructure, public drinking water. And then for them to be penalized, municipalities 21 22 and counties and everyone else, on top of 23 that for the Downtown Revitalization funding and everything else, it's bad. 24

1	If you want more development, more
2	housing, we need to do more to invest in
3	infrastructure.
4	And small communities can't compete
5	with the large communities on the grant
6	programs
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senator?
8	SENATOR HELMING: That's a response I
9	get all the time. But it doesn't work. They
10	don't have the manpower.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank thank
12	you, Senator.
13	We go to Assemblyman Ra, ranker on
14	Ways and Means.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you.
16	Commissioner, so according to the
17	annual report from your agency on
18	rent-regulated housing there's been a
19	dramatic drop-off in the total value of major
20	capital improvements applied for and granted
21	to owners of multiple dwellings over the last
22	five years. Are you concerned about this
23	lack of investment in the aging affordable
24	housing stock?

1 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I think we 2 want to -- you know, always want to make sure 3 that owners, where it's needed, are making 4 investments in the housing stock.

5 We haven't seen sort of a rise in 6 service cases or something that you might see 7 as a sort of counter-reflection to lack of 8 MCIs, so we don't have, I think, a cause for 9 concern based on that data.

10ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Do you think that the11\$15,000 cap over a 15-year period makes sense12given the age of these units and the work13that may be necessary on them?

14 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I would say 15 that we certainly hear from a lot of owners 16 that that is not enough and that many 17 apartments need more investment than that.

ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Okay. And my understanding is just last Thursday New York City released their most recent housing and vacancy survey, and it had the first increase in 35 years in dilapidated housing conditions in rent-regulated housing, which the number had been continuously going down up until

about three years ago.

2 So what role do you envision for HCR 3 in ensuring the viability of this 4 rent-regulated housing? 5 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Well, 6 certainly we work collaboratively with the

City of New York, who enforces the Housing
Maintenance Code, which is really sort of the
source of sort of the conditions inside the
city's rent-stabilized stock.

11 And for our part, we will make sure, 12 as I said, either whether it's in service 13 cases or other cases like that, that they are 14 responded to quickly so that we make sure 15 that the housing stays habitable.

ASSEMBLYMAN RA: One of the things that's come up in recent days was regarding New York Community Bank and the ability of rent-stabilized buildings to obtain refinancing.

Is that a concern for the department? And, you know, what are we looking at to try to make sure that that financing is available?

2

24

COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I would say

a couple of things.

Certainly for the housing that we 3 finance, we are working on sort of 30 -- we 4 5 lend on 30-year fixed rate mortgages. So for our portfolio, the change in interest rates 6 that impacted a lot of the New York Community 7 Bank and other type of banking loans that you 8 read about doesn't affect our direct 9 portfolio. 10

I think certainly for lots of rent-stabilized buildings who have longer-term debt on them, the sort of rate increase -- recent increases, you know, don't necessarily impact their operating expenses.

16 We obviously don't have a lot of 17 control over owners who take out loans that have shorter terms and therefore are subject 18 19 to interest rate risk. But we are happy --20 again, sort of as I mentioned before, we're happy to work with those owners should they 21 want to come to us for financing that is more 22 23 long-term and fixed-rate.

ASSEMBLYMAN RA: And just back in

1 terms of MCIs, you know, the current rate of 2 return, is that going to be enough when rent-stabilized buildings have to be able to 3 make the investments that are required of 4 5 them to comply with the new building emission 6 reductions that are required under Local Law 97? 7 8 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Look, 9 our role in this obviously is to enforce the law and to process MCI applications as they 10 11 come in. We certainly have heard, and I think 12 13 the city is very acutely aware of the 14 challenges of Local Law 97 and what incentives or tools may be needed to make 15 16 sure that buildings can make those 17 investments to meet those goals. ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Okay. And then just 18 19 lastly, going to a different topic, the 20 Pro-Housing designation. You know, one of the issues that I'm hearing from many local 21 governments -- and I have a local government 22 23 within my district who has received the designation, and they've built a lot of 24

1 housing in recent years.

2	But there's also a lot of places on
3	Long Island that need sewers and all kinds of
4	other infrastructure. So, you know, trying
5	to get the designation on the front end may
6	be more difficult if they're not able to
7	access the funding that they're going to need
8	to do those types of infrastructure
9	improvements to allow for them to build.
10	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yeah, I
11	would just say that there is no sort of
12	water/sewer type infrastructure money that is
13	limited or conditioned. The funding that's
14	conditioned is other sort of state
15	discretionary dollars.
16	And we would, you know, certainly be
17	happy to work with those communities in your
18	district that need additional resources.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Okay. Thank you.
20	And well, since I still have
21	30 seconds.
22	(Laughter.)
23	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: So you mentioned
24	the right, it says that it may be

1	conditioned. So but it's basically the
2	intention that those all those different
3	grant programs will be conditioned on having
4	that designation going forward?
5	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes, the
6	language gives us the authorization to make
7	the conditional to make it a requirement.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: And that's the
9	intention of the agency, is to make a
10	requirement?
11	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you.
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
14	We've been joined by Assemblywoman
15	Chandler-Waterman and Assemblyman Al Taylor.
16	Now we go to the Senate.
17	SENATOR KAVANAGH: Next up for
18	questions we'll have Senator Myrie.
19	SENATOR MYRIE: Thank you.
20	Good morning
21	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Good
22	morning.
23	SENATOR MYRIE: and thank you for
24	coming. Happy Valentine's Day.

I'd like to align myself with the 1 2 Governor's efforts to aggressively but responsibly build our supply. I think that 3 is something that we all should be focused 4 5 on, and we stand ready to get that across the finish line. 6 But I'm also looking at this report I 7 8 think just published today by Comptroller DiNapoli entitled "The Housing Insecurity 9 Crisis." And the report notes that evictions 10 11 were up, post the moratoria ending, about 12 180 percent -- and so many more New Yorkers 13 are being put out -- but it's still 14 27 percent less than pre-pandemic levels because of what we did in the Housing 15 16 Stability and Tenant Protection Act. 17 So I would urge all of us, as we're having this conversation about housing, to 18 ensure that tenant protections remain at the 19 20 fore of that conversation because we see the real-life impact on that. 21

I'd also note that it is my
understanding there's no capital funding for
NYCHA in the Executive Budget. I know we

1 were all appalled by the allegations that we 2 saw last week by the U.S. Attorney's office. I would hope that as we go through this 3 budget process that NYCHA and public housing 4 residents both in and outside of New York 5 6 City will not be forgotten. But I want to get to my main and only 7 8 question -- and some of my colleagues have spoken about this before -- in regards to 9 repurposing of SUNY property. In my district 10 11 I have a large SUNY property that you may have heard of, the Downstate Medical Center. 12 13 And as proposed by the chancellor, there is a 14 so-called transformation plan that includes in that, hypothetically, some type of 15 16 housing. 17 That would have to go through your agency. And I'm wondering if anyone in the 18 19 chancellor's office or the Governor's office 20 has discussed housing on SUNY Downstate. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: My 21 22 understanding is that those plans and 23 conversations are very preliminary, so I'm not aware of any specifics on housing for 24

that site other than what's sort of been 1 2 reported and discussed. SENATOR MYRIE: Okay. Thank you. 3 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman 4 Dilan. 5 ASSEMBLYMAN DILAN: Thank you, 6 Madam Chair. 7 8 And to Commissioner Visnauskas, good 9 to see you. 10 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: You too. 11 ASSEMBLYMAN DILAN: I just have a 12 brief question around a program that looks to 13 be new in this year's budget, and that's the 14 creation of a new tax abatement for rental construction housing, which I believe is 15 16 where tax policy should be directed because 17 that's where the demand is. And your housing -- or the city's housing vacancy 18 19 survey even states that. But I wanted to know -- and I asked 20 this of the Tax commissioner earlier. She 21 said it would be more appropriate for you. 22 23 Can you give us more details about how this tax abatement program would work? Like 24

1	what's the level of abatement? For how long?
2	How deep are the AMI levels?
3	And I see it's subject to some
4	negotiations in the MOU. Could you just
5	explain that as best you can?
6	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Sure.
7	So, you know, as you will recall, we
8	have proposed a version of this tax exemption
9	last year that was not successful. And so
10	this year we came back and have a sort of a
11	slightly different structure where there are
12	certain things in the proposal that are kind
13	of clear, and then we left a lot of sort of
14	variables sort of more to be figured out
15	either through the budget process or in
16	consultation with the city.
17	So as you mentioned, so for 485-x,
18	which is where we are in the numbering this
19	year, the proposal would be for new
20	construction of rental housing in New York
21	City, and then it sets sort of a specified
22	a to-be-specified percentage in AMI that we

23 imagine would work consultively with the City24 of New York to finalize what that is. It is

their tax incentive program that they
 implement. We very much want their sort of
 input on this as we go through the process.

I would say that the city designed 4 5 their mandatory inclusionary program, which creates permanently affordable housing, to 6 work in conjunction with the tax exemption. 7 So that's sort of another reason why we left 8 it a little more open this year for sort of 9 consultation with them and to be worked out 10 11 through the process.

12 The thing that is in there that is 13 consistent with from years past is that the 14 units would be permanently affordable.

ASSEMBLYMAN DILAN: Okay. This
doesn't say the level of abatement that
potential developers would see at this point?
That's subject to negotiation?

19COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So those I20think are left to be worked out as part of21this process or in consultation with the22city.

ASSEMBLYMAN DILAN: So in theory wecould pass this and not know the level of the

1	abatement, not know the details, and it would
2	be subject to the city even though we voted
3	on it, we passed it?
4	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I mean, I
5	think it can get worked out in the budget
6	process or, if not, it would defer to the
7	City of New York to figure out what they
8	believe works with their mandatory
9	inclusionary program and their other goals
10	for their housing program.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN DILAN: Okay. Thank you.
12	Thank you, Madam Chair.
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate?
14	SENATOR KAVANAGH: Next up,
15	Senator Hoylman.
16	SENATOR HOYLMAN-SIGAL: Good morning.
17	Nice to see you.
18	Thank you for your succinct answers.
19	It really has moved the conversation along.
20	Are we keeping track, Commissioner, of
21	vacant apartments?
22	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So our
23	system requires that landlords register the
24	status of their apartments on April 1st of

1	every year. And so we know the status of the
2	rent I assume you mean the rent-stabilized
3	stock. We know the status of the apartments
4	in the rent-stabilized stock we know the
5	status as of April 1st each year.
6	SENATOR HOYLMAN-SIGAL: Is there a
7	trend line that you can share with us in
8	terms of vacancies?
9	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We as I
10	had mentioned before, there was a bit of a
11	spike in post-pandemic in 2021, but we are
12	today back to certain normalized levels of
13	vacancy, which is about sub 40,000 units as
14	of that April 1 deadline, which is sort of a
15	historical norm.
16	SENATOR HOYLMAN-SIGAL: And can we
17	hold landlords to account for those vacancies
18	in any way that you know?
19	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Well,
20	generally we see that in a like a
21	subsequent registration year the units that
22	are vacant are different units. So it isn't
23	units that are being vacant or that are
24	being held vacant for multiple years, they

1	are just vacant at that moment in their cycle
2	and oftentimes are then rented when it comes
3	to the next cycle.
4	So I'm not sure if that answers your
5	accounting ability.
6	SENATOR HOYLMAN-SIGAL: Yeah.
7	And how about NYCHA? Are you
8	following vacancies in NYCHA developments?
9	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: No, I don't
10	have any awareness of vacancies in NYCHA.
11	SENATOR HOYLMAN-SIGAL: And why is
12	that?
13	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We don't
14	oversee NYCHA.
15	SENATOR HOYLMAN-SIGAL: So that
16	information is not shared with the state
17	housing authority at all.
18	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: No. I mean
19	they're regulated by the federal government,
20	right, and they report in to the city
21	administration. So we don't receive data on
22	their apartments as a whole.
23	SENATOR HOYLMAN-SIGAL: Speaking of
24	the city, how closely are you working with

the city administration on the mayor's City

2 of Yes proposal?

COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We have a
very collaborative relationship with HPD and
HTC on our housing pipelines.

6 SENATOR HOYLMAN-SIGAL: Is there 7 anything in his legislation agenda that you 8 think is a must-do on the part of the 9 Legislature?

COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I mean, I 10 think this -- we and the city have sort of 11 consistently, I think, in our conversation 12 13 about supply talked about the need for a tax 14 incentive for new construction of rental housing, we've talked about a tax incentive 15 16 for commercial housing. We would very much 17 like to see some authorization for legalization of basement apartments in 18 19 New York City as well as a removal from the 20 state law of the residential density cap so that the city can make decisions themselves 21 about where to have residential density. 22

So I think we are aligned on all of
those -- you know, our sort of supply package

```
1
             this year as it relates to New York City.
 2
                    (Interruption by protestors.)
                    CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Please sit
 3
             down.
 4
                    (Protestors continue.)
 5
                    CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: You are out of
 6
             order. Please -- please sit down so we can
 7
 8
             continue our hearing.
 9
                    (Protestors continue.)
                    CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: I would --
10
11
             please -- please respect the hearing. We
12
             would just --
                    (Protest continues.)
13
                    CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Plea -- plea --
14
             I would just like to clarify for the --
15
16
                    (Protest continues.)
17
                    CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We're going to
             take a --
18
19
                    (Protest continues.)
20
                    CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Every person --
21
             not to get a back-and-forth, but every
             individual or organization that requests --
22
23
             every individual or organization that
             requested to testify at this hearing and
24
```

1	submitted their testimony according to the
2	rules posted on the Assembly and Senate's
3	websites, are listed to testify today.
4	(Overtalk.)
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: If people
6	failed if people failed to submit their
7	testimony, they can submit in writing by the
8	end of today and it will be posted on the
9	Assembly/Senate's websites.
10	(Protest continues.)
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Can we give
12	Senator can we add another minute to the
13	time clock.
14	SENATOR HOYLMAN-SIGAL: Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
15 16	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. SENATOR HOYLMAN-SIGAL: Thank you very
-	
16	SENATOR HOYLMAN-SIGAL: Thank you very
16 17	SENATOR HOYLMAN-SIGAL: Thank you very much.
16 17 18	SENATOR HOYLMAN-SIGAL: Thank you very much. In the Pro-Housing Community program,
16 17 18 19	SENATOR HOYLMAN-SIGAL: Thank you very much. In the Pro-Housing Community program, is there anything to incentivize localities
16 17 18 19 20	SENATOR HOYLMAN-SIGAL: Thank you very much. In the Pro-Housing Community program, is there anything to incentivize localities to streamline their approvals process?
16 17 18 19 20 21	SENATOR HOYLMAN-SIGAL: Thank you very much. In the Pro-Housing Community program, is there anything to incentivize localities to streamline their approvals process? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Look, in the

1 reflection that you have a good system. Or the other is you've passed a 2 resolution and the resolution has a series of 3 affirmative statements, and one is about 4 5 reducing barriers to housing or reducing regulations. 6 So we are hoping that as communities 7 want to apply and certify, that they will be 8 doing those things. 9 SENATOR HOYLMAN-SIGAL: Are you 10 assisting them with ideas on how to do that? 11 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We are --12 you know, we generally make ourselves 13 available. We've been doing a lot of 14 webinars and a lot of outreach. And I myself 15 16 have gone out to a lot of meetings and talked 17 to people. So we will make ourselves as available 18 19 as we can be to provide guidance on whatever 20 it is they need from us. SENATOR HOYLMAN-SIGAL: And what's 21 your enforcement mechanism to ensure that the 22 23 locality is in fact doing what they say

24 they're doing?

COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Well, as you
 know, we're in a carrot, not stick,
 structure.

4 SENATOR HOYLMAN-SIGAL: Yes. So once 5 you give them the carrots, what do you do? 6 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: It is just a 7 carrot structure so that -- the idea is that 8 they get certified. They are then eligible 9 to apply --

SENATOR HOYLMAN-SIGAL: Can you claw back any of the grants or rewards if in fact they renege?

13 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Well, there 14 is no -- once you get certified, you have to submit us your data on an ongoing basis to 15 16 remain certified. So if you are awarded, 17 then you would receive that award, we wouldn't take it back. But going forward, 18 19 you would then not be eligible for future 20 rounds of grants.

21 SENATOR HOYLMAN-SIGAL: So it's an
22 annual --

23 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: --24 certification.

SENATOR HOYLMAN-SIGAL: Thank you.
 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
 We go to Assemblyman Epstein.
 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Thank you,
 Commissioner, for being here. It's good
 seeing you.

I just want to lift up my colleagues
about HOPP and HA -- MMP, trying to get those
back in the budget this year. Obviously
those are critical for our communities. HAVP
as well, trying to get that in the budget as
well.

I appreciate what you're saying around
kind of legalization of basements and giving
the city more authority.

16 I want to talk about expiring 421-a 17 units. So we've seen a lot of units come offline, pre-2013 units that aren't 18 19 permanently affordable. What is HCR doing 20 about preventing losing all those affordable housing units that are 421-a from leaving the 21 system and losing thousands -- by 2026 it 22 23 looks like we're going to potentially lose tens of thousands of affordable units in the 24

city.

1

2 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So our sort 3 of greatest tool is that we have preservation 4 financing programs available, so that when 5 people want to take advantage of our low-cost 6 funding that we have, that they use that to 7 make renovations and then are back in our 8 regulatory structure.

9 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Yeah, so the 10 vast majority of developers have chosen not 11 to take you up on those tools. So what other 12 options -- I mean, we're worried, obviously, 13 about thousands in my district and thousands 14 across the city. Is there anything else we 15 can do to try to not lose these units?

16 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I mean, 17 look, I think the best tool we have is for buildings that were developed through the 18 inclusionary program, they are permanent. 19 20 And for projects that we'll get ideally financed by a new tax exemption, they will 21 also be permanently affordable and we won't 22 23 be facing that issue.

24

It is a common one certainly in city

1 and state financing where we were also 2 traditionally financing things for 30 years and at the end of that dealing with an 3 expiring-use issue. So we try to proactively 4 5 work with as many buildings as we can, but we don't have a lot of tools besides that. 6 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: So just on the 7 8 permanent affordability, what percentage of the new units that you're doing are 9 permanently affordable housing when there is 10 11 affordable housing units in the project? 12 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I'd have to 13 get back to you on that because we have a 14 fair amount of overlap in New York City and not, so I don't know the percent. 15 16 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: So I know one of 17 my colleagues mentioned public housing. Not just NYCHA, but capital money for authorities 18 19 outside New York City, it would be great to 20 see something there to ensure we have resources for public housing authorities in 21

and outside.

But also there's the operating rent.
We provided some rent assistance last year to

avoid a lot of evictions. Didn't see
 anything on rent arrears either. I'm
 wondering what the logic is to try to avoid
 some of those evictions, especially of these
 really low-income tenants.

COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So we have a 6 series of tools that we work with with our 7 building owners to, where they're having 8 9 tenants who are not paying rent and they are having cash-flow issues, we are sort of using 10 11 every tool we can. We've been actively releasing Section 8 and project-based Section 12 13 8s or projects to help stabilize them. We 14 are proposing this insurance discrimination bill to cut down on costs on the other side 15 16 and --

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: We've heard both from public housing authorities as well as our nonprofit housing providers that they just need the rent payment. So is there a way to potentially think about that for this year as well, for additional rent payments for --

24

COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I think we

1 would continue to work with you all on that. ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Great. 2 And just finally, I'm wondering if 3 there's a path forward just on the 12-FAR 4 5 issue. I didn't see anything about 6 permanently affordable on the 12-FAR issue. Is there any plan for permanent 7 8 affordability? 9 And I guess you may not have time to answer, but --10 11 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yeah, look, 12 I think the idea there is to really give the 13 city the tools to rezone and they can include 14 permanent affordability where that can be --CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We need to go 15 16 to the Senate now. Thank you. 17 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Thanks. SENATOR KAVANAGH: Senator Brisport. 18 19 SENATOR BRISPORT: Thank you. 20 And thank you, Commissioner, for your 21 testimony. A few quick questions about 22 23 rent-stabilized units. When a tenant files an overcharge complaint with HCR, typically 24

how long does the process take for HCR to make a decision?

COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I don't know that I have an average time for you. The cases can be very different. So some cases get resolved very quickly and some cases take a very long time. And, you know, it does not make us happy that it takes a long time to resolve those cases.

10But I'd be happy to get back to you on11specifics if there's certain cases you're12concerned about.

13SENATOR BRISPORT: Thank you.14And my understanding is that the15lookback went from four years to six years16due to that change. Have there been any17changes in the amounts of complaints that18have been filed with HCR?19COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I don't

20 think that we have seen an uptick there. It 21 certainly is also one of the things that 22 makes the case processing take a little 23 longer, because we have to go back even 24 longer on those.

1 But I could get back to you on that. 2 SENATOR BRISPORT: Okay. And is HCR tracking rent-overcharge 3 complaints being filed against the same LLCs? 4 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I don't 5 believe that we do that because we handle all 6 of our cases individually, not sort of in a 7 8 batched or by owner way. 9 SENATOR BRISPORT: Okay, thank you. And I have some questions as well 10 11 about the 500 million in capital funds for state-owned property. 12 Have sites been selected already, or 13 14 is that published? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: No. So 15 16 there's a series of sites that we are working 17 on, when the Governor called all the agencies to come forward with sites, but the list has 18 19 not been finalized. 20 But we are working through a lot of sites to see which ones can get developed the 21 22 quickest, which can provide the most housing, 23 which need the least amount of capital to become sort of ready for housing -- those 24

1 types of questions.

2	SENATOR BRISPORT: Okay. I'll bring
3	up 1024 Fulton Street in Clinton Hill,
4	Brooklyn, in a state-owned site. It's slated
5	for demolition for the health and safety of
6	the pedestrians there through the OGS budget.
7	But it is state-owned property in a rapidly
8	gentrifying community with a deep need for
9	affordable housing.
10	Based on what you're hearing, would a
11	site like that qualify for the capital funds?
12	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes, we
13	would love to see that site become affordable
14	housing and have been looking watching it
15	for a little while. So we would look forward
16	to doing that there.
17	SENATOR BRISPORT: Thank you so much.
18	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yup.
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
20	Gallahan.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN GALLAHAN: Thank you,
22	Madam Chair.
23	Thank you, Commissioner, for your
24	testimony today on this lovely Valentine's

Day.

1

2

3

COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I like your red shirt.

ASSEMBLYMAN GALLAHAN: Last July the 4 5 Governor issued an executive order, No. 30, 6 which you're familiar with I'm sure, which created the Pro-Housing Community program. 7 8 And under this, several capital fund programs 9 would give preference to municipalities that applied for such programs if they'd been 10 11 certified by the Division of Homes and Community Renewal as a pro-housing community. 12 13 My question is, have municipalities 14 raised any concerns or questions about the certification process or requirements? 15 16 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I would 17 say -- you know, as I mentioned before, we have 20 communities that have been certified 18 19 so far, and we have about another hundred 20 that we're working with. And I think to the extent they've raised questions, they've been 21 in the context of a productive conversation 22 23 we're having with them, either in a sort of 24 webinar that we're running or an individual

phone call. So we are trying to be able to
 help communities work through the process.

ASSEMBLYMAN GALLAHAN: Were there any
really specific concerns that they had that
you can share with us?

6 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I don't know 7 that we -- I would say the concerns were more 8 questions about, you know, which forms, where 9 to apply, where to put certain sets of data. 10 And so we just help clarify things for 11 people. I don't think we've gotten any 12 concerns particularly.

ASSEMBLYMAN GALLAHAN: Okay. And did the Empire State Development, the Department of State or the Department of Transportation ask for their programs to become wrapped up in this certification at all?

18COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I believe19the decision was made by the Governor's20office, in consultation with the agencies.21ASSEMBLYMAN GALLAHAN: Thank you.22And last week the Governor hosted a23roundtable announcing those first 20, which

24

you mentioned earlier, they've been

certified. She referred to the state as
 being a partner and local governments don't
 want sticks, they want carrots to be
 encouraging the housing growth.

5 My question is, how many of the 6 933 towns, 62 cities, and 533 villages in 7 New York do you anticipate applying to become 8 certified? And how many do you anticipate 9 would become certified?

COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: That's a 10 11 great question which we don't know the answer to. But we are basically ready, willing and 12 13 able to work with all the communities that 14 want to come forward who want to apply for the discretionary funds that are available 15 16 and become certified as a part of that. 17 ASSEMBLYMAN GALLAHAN: Thank you. And have any of the larger 18 19 communities -- Rochester, Buffalo, 20 Hempstead -- applied yet? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes. We are 21 working with several of those and some other 22 23 additional larger cities too. 24 ASSEMBLYMAN GALLAHAN: The programs

1	which are proposed to be subject to the
2	pro-housing certification are the Downtown
3	Revitalization Initiative, NY Forward,
4	New York Main Street, Regional Council
5	Capital Fund, the Market New York capital
6	grants, Long Island Investment Fund,
7	Mid-Hudson it goes on and on Modern
8	Transportation.
9	When will these discretionary programs
10	be considered be considering the
11	Pro-Housing certification when reviewing the
12	application?
13	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So they will
14	be for the round that comes out this year,
15	which many of those will start sort of
16	after budget season, those will start to
17	the applications will start to roll out.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN GALLAHAN: Okay. And is
19	the current Round 7 of the Downtown
20	Revitalization Initiative and Round 2 of the
21	NY Forward considering certification for
22	their they're being considered for their
23	awards?
24	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I can't

1	remember which round we're on, but it would
2	be for whatever round is going to be released
3	this year.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN GALLAHAN: Okay. Thank
5	you very much.
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: To the Senate.
7	SENATOR KAVANAGH: Senator Salazar.
8	SENATOR SALAZAR: Thank you.
9	Good to see you, Commissioner.
10	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Hi.
11	SENATOR SALAZAR: So I have a question
12	about rent overcharge claims being processed.
13	Is the large backlog of rent
14	overcharge claims or complaints that still
15	need to be processed by HCR due to
16	insufficient capacity at the agency or other
17	causes that we should know about?
18	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I would say
19	a couple of things. We I have said here
20	many times in the past it is hard to hire.
21	And so we had had we'd had sort of a
22	limited-head-count sort of pre-pandemic. We
23	got much-needed relief from the Legislature,
24	we got a big head-count jump right before we

went into COVID when we had a hiring freeze 1 2 and -- so it's been very challenging to hire. We have been aggressively hiring for 3 the last two years as a state agency. And as 4 5 a part of our agency that has specifically sort of a lot of retirements all the time, 6 too, it often feels like we are hiring to 7 8 keep pace with our retirements even though we're trying to hire to actually add 9 additional heads. 10 So we currently have probably for --11 12 somewhere on the order of maybe 30 additional 13 people that we're hiring for still to get our 14 capacity up. So to answer your question, part of 15 16 the backlog is our need to keep expanding our 17 hiring, and it's challenging in the civil service, and in general it's hard to hire. 18 19 SENATOR SALAZAR: What can we in the 20 Legislature -- particularly, of course, through the budget process -- do to help 21 address that backlog? 22 23 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Short of coming to work at --24

SENATOR SALAZAR: Yes.

2 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: It's a great 3 question. We are trying to -- have been very 4 focused on this for the better part of the 5 last nine months and sort of coming out of 6 COVID and other legislative changes to make 7 that our -- getting the hiring completed as 8 quickly as we can.

9 A key part, we've been working with 10 Department of Civil Service to get changes 11 there that we need to how the jobs are 12 classified and the requirements that are 13 needed for employees, sort of adjusting that 14 to be more appropriate so that we get more 15 applicants.

16 So I'm not sure there's anything the 17 Legislature can do right now other than, you 18 know, keep us on our toes that we're doing 19 what we say we're going to do.

20 SENATOR SALAZAR: Thank you. 21 And while -- as you know, while 22 waiting for a rent overcharge complaint to be 23 processed, a rent-stabilized tenant can often 24 be forced to move out before the claim is

even determined.

2	What recourse is there for tenants in
3	those situations if ultimately it is
4	determined that they had a their claim was
5	legitimate?
6	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We try to
7	do and we are also very appreciative when
8	members of the Legislature sort of signal for
9	us ways that we can jump in and assist.
10	But I would say, you know, we try to
11	make sure that we are in contact with tenants
12	as much as we can so that they understand
13	what their rights are while they're there or
14	if they leave. We'd be happy to sort of
15	follow up with you on that if you think
16	there's other ways and other things we can be
17	doing.
18	SENATOR SALAZAR: Thank you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman
20	Lucas.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUCAS: Thank you,
22	Chair.
23	Good afternoon to everyone.
24	How are you doing, Commissioner?

1	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Hi.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUCAS: I just wanted to
3	ask two quick questions.
4	With the recent settlement by
5	Mayor Adams on the community board
6	preference, what is it that you think that
7	the state and your agency can do to protect
8	fair housing while protecting community
9	members who negotiate each project in their
10	communities?
11	Can you hear me? Okay, one-two,
12	one-two. Can we stop my time? (Pause.)
13	How's this?
14	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Much better.
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUCAS: Okay. Can I
16	have 7 seconds back, please?
17	(Laughter.)
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUCAS: With the recent
19	settlement by Mayor Adams on the community
20	board preference, what is it that you think
21	that the state and your agency can do to
22	protect the fair housing while protecting
23	the community members who negotiate each
24	project in their communities?

1 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I would say, 2 you know, we are very committed to fair 3 housing at the state. We last year published 4 our Fair Housing Matters report that's up on 5 our website and has a series of 6 recommendations.

And we are working ourselves, we work 7 8 with the Attorney General's office, we work 9 with legal agencies across the state to make sure that fair housing is followed. We have 10 11 a lot of wonderful changes to our processes to make sure that tenants have better access 12 13 and rights when it comes to lotteries and 14 things like that.

15 I think with respect to the litigation 16 of the city-run community board preference, 17 you know, we will follow the city's lead and 18 coordinate for the case on the community 19 board preference.

20 But we, you know, have other efforts 21 in our marketing that make sure that we are 22 reaching people in order so that they have 23 access to our apartments. So we take fair 24 housing very seriously.

1 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUCAS: Yeah, it sounds 2 likes we're going to have a longer discussion. 3 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Sure. 4 5 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUCAS: Also I know that 6 you and your team are committed to building capacity with MWBEs that work with your 7 8 agency with the renewal of 15-A. 9 What is it that your agency will be doing to advance this program while looking 10 11 to build a future -- more capacity in the future? 12 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So we've 13 14 made a lot of changes to our programs to create better access for MWBEs to our 15 16 programs. 17 And we will continue, you know, to do that to make sure that we can increase the 18 19 number of projects that are going to MWBEs 20 both in downstate and really across the 21 state. ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUCAS: Okay. And just 22 23 for the record, I've been working with your

office around -- as it relates to the

24

9 percent tax credit and diversity in the 1 2 program. And I'd like to just make sure on record that we can continue that discussion 3 about expanding diversity for that particular 4 5 program. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Absolutely. 6 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUCAS: Thank you. 7 8 SENATOR KAVANAGH: So we're going to 9 go to Senator George Borrello. SENATOR BORRELLO: Thank you, 10 Mr. Chairman. 11 Commissioner, thank you for being 12 13 here. 14 Let me start off by saying that I really appreciate the engagement with your 15 16 agency in my district. You guys really are 17 great communicators. We appreciate that. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Thanks. 18 19 SENATOR BORRELLO: But I want to talk 20 a little bit about right now there's a report recently in Politico that since the end of 21 the pandemic, New York has paid out 22 23 \$3 billion in rent assistance to tenants, and yet there are still hundreds of thousands of 24

tenants that are in arrears.

Let's face it, the state did a pretty poor job of verifying whether these folks actually had a real hardship. I heard from property owners across my district that, you know, there was no hardship experienced by their tenants, they just stopped paying their rent.

9 You know, we have a huge hole there.
10 What does the Governor think we should do to
11 resolve this?

12 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Look, I 13 think what I can say is that I certainly hear 14 that from a lot of our owners, that it's a 15 very frustrating environment when tenants who 16 can pay their rent don't pay their rent.

And obviously there's a lot of tenants who have hardship and can't pay their rent, and certainly I think our building owners -and we see it -- a little bit of our portfolio feels stress, obviously economic stress from both rising costs and decreased revenues.

24

For our part, you know, we work really

1 closely with our owners and we're trying to 2 provide them relief whenever we can, to make sure that they can stay economically viable. 3 And as I sort of said before, I think we 4 5 would make ourselves available to any sort of 6 building owner that needed relief that we can provide in the forms of subsidy financing for 7 8 capital repairs or other types of work to 9 help them out.

SENATOR BORRELLO: Thanks. Let me
 move on to another question.

12 So workforce housing -- great concept. 13 The idea that being able to ensure that 14 people that are working on the low end of the 15 income scale are actually able to have 16 affordable housing near where they work.

17 The problem is I kind of think of it as a myth in our area. Every time we have a 18 19 workforce housing project in my area, over 20 the course of two or three years, the four years that it takes, inflation hits and the 21 22 next thing you know, the application process 23 that they applied for, it goes from workforce housing to affordable housing. And now we're 24

1	not really accomplishing the goal of ensuring
2	that people that want to work and live in the
3	areas that they work in that might be
4	otherwise unaffordable are actually
5	getting that housing.
6	What's being done about that? Can't
7	we put some type of inflation adjuster into
8	these applications?
9	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Sure. Are
10	you saying that something that is sort of
11	middle-income becomes low-income? Or
12	something that's
13	SENATOR BORRELLO: Yes. Yes. Yeah,
14	exactly. Something essentially that you
15	know, we just had one recently where a
16	project in my district, they put it in a
17	certain level and then over the course of
18	four years, inflation was huge. Now all of a
19	sudden that application that limit, income
20	limit became went from being workforce to
21	low-income housing
22	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I see.
23	SENATOR BORRELLO: which defeats
24	the purpose.

1	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Look, we
2	have a couple of programs that allow for
3	averaging, which is one tool that we use to
4	allow for a variety of incomes to be and
5	rents to be met on an average.
6	We also have a series of middle-income
7	programs that specifically target those
8	middle-income ranges so they're not
9	low-income.
10	So I guess I'd be happy to sort of
11	talk with you more specifically about whether
12	there's certain AMIs that you're not to
13	target because of our financing or other ways
14	that we could make sure that happens.
15	SENATOR BORRELLO: Well, we can follow
16	up on that. But I appreciate that. Thank
17	you.
18	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yeah, okay.
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
20	Assemblymember Tapia.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN TAPIA: (Mic off;
22	inaudible.) I represent part of the Bronx.
23	And as we know, I mean one of the poorest
24	boroughs in the whole State of New York. And

1 one of the issues that I see every single day 2 in my community is how and what steps are you 3 taking in regards to ensure that landlords 4 and property owners do not discriminate based 5 on the source of income? And specifically 6 when we're talking about voucher-holders.

And that happens many times that those 7 8 people that are in shelters with families and that are waiting and looking like crazy for 9 an apartment are negated the opportunity in 10 11 discriminating by the landlords. And they -many times they lose those vouchers and they 12 have to start the program all over again, and 13 14 they continue in those shelters with their families when they deserve and they've been 15 16 approved.

And I would love to know what are we doing right now to prevent that and to make sure that that's not continue happening the way that it's happening.

21 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: It's a great 22 question and certainly a topic that's of 23 great concern to us.

24 Look, we're very thankful to the

1 Legislature for passing the source of income 2 discrimination law that we have, and it's on the books as the law in New York State that 3 you cannot do that. But nonetheless, we 4 5 certainly are aware that it still happens. We are -- this year in the budget 6 you'll see, as a follow-up to last year, 7 8 there is \$2 million for fair housing testing. And we work with legal organizations across 9 the state who are doing testing to root out 10 11 where there is sort of consistent patterns of source-of-income discrimination. So that is 12 one of our best tools. 13 14 We're also working with the Division of Human Rights to make sure that they are 15 staffed up to make sure that they can address 16 17 cases of source-of-income discrimination as well there. 18 19 So happy to keep working with you if 20 there's other ways you think we can be working to combat that. 21 ASSEMBLYWOMAN TAPIA: I think so. 22 23 I also have this thing -- because, for example, my district is -- the median income 24

is 39,000 and per capita is 18,000. And I 1 2 just want to weigh in on what my colleagues from the Senate said. And now I have new 3 buildings that started before I was elected. 4 And they are -- the median income that 5 they're offering for those buildings in that 6 neighborhood, in those neighborhoods that are 7 in my district is just starting at \$107,000 8 for the lottery. And that is for a studio. 9 That's the minimum that you have to work --10 11 to earn. And I wonder -- I mean, that's --12 that's just -- that is fostering -- that is 13 fostering gentrification. Because, I mean, 14 who -- my -- my district cannot live there. 15 16 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 17 Before we go to the Senate, just want to acknowledge we were joined a while ago by 18 19 Assemblyman Meeks, who snuck in behind me. 20 Next we go to Senator Cleare. SENATOR CLEARE: Thank you. 21 Good to see you, Commissioner. 22 23 And I'm going to just follow right up with what my colleague was just asking about. 24

Earlier you mentioned 20 percent of 1 2 the housing is being planned for affordable -- for affordable living. And 3 that -- this is a statement but also a 4 5 question. That is not nearly enough. Over 50 percent of my district, even with the 6 aggressive gentrification that's already 7 8 taking place, over 50 percent of my district is paying more than 30 percent of their 9 income in rent. 10 11 And this particularly affects the Black community in New York, where the 12 13 average median income is only \$43,000 in 14 New York City and is only \$53,000 in the 15 state. 16 What is HCR, what is the state 17 planning to do? What is the best approach? It's not about, in my view, just building 18 19 more housing. It has to be more of a certain 20 kind of housing. Because if we continue to build housing that people can't afford, all 21 we're doing is displacing and continuing the 22 23 exodus of people and particularly the Black community that has left in the hundreds of 24

thousands that have been forced to leave New York State.

3 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So I would 4 say one of the things we see and have seen in 5 the last, you know, five and more years is a 6 real increase in rents and a real extreme 7 increase in house prices. And that is making 8 New York even more unaffordable.

9 And we know, and the data proves this, that when there is more supply, that the 10 11 prices come down. So we are very focused on supply this year because every study has 12 13 shown that the more housing that can get 14 built, the more either price increase will slow or prices will -- that price inflation 15 16 will slow down. And we have to have that, 17 because there is not enough apartments, the apartments are there --18

19SENATOR CLEARE: I don't disagree that20we need apartments. We need more housing.21But we need more of a certain type of22housing. Never has anyone walked into my23office and said, Senator Cleare, you know24what you've got to do, you've got to get us

some more luxury housing.

2	(Laughter.)
3	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So I would
4	say, for our part, we spend every dollar that
5	we get from the federal government on
6	affordable housing. We cannot build any more
7	housing than we finance at HCR, and the city
8	is somewhat in the same position, because we
9	get these two resources from the federal
10	government in the form of tax credits
11	that's the primary source that we use for new
12	construction, and we are spending every
13	dollar of it we get every year, and we can't
14	do any more, so
15	SENATOR CLEARE: They only give me a
16	little bit of time.
17	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Sure.
18	SENATOR CLEARE: So I have a bill
19	I'd like to talk to your office about it,
20	because I think the state should be
21	providing developing and providing
22	housing. We cannot continue to ask
23	developers to create affordable housing.
24	That's not what they do. They develop for

1 profit.

2	And we have to find a way for us to
3	the best housing is Mitchell-Lama, that we
4	created. We need to go back to that model.
5	And I'll just ask these really
6	quickly. What portion of the any of this
7	housing is being dedicated to seniors, the
8	fastest-growing population?
9	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So we
10	finance a large amount of senior housing in
11	our portfolio. I don't have the exact number
12	off the top of my head, but I can get that to
13	you.
14	But we have a very strong supportive
15	housing and senior housing portion of the
16	work that we do.
17	SENATOR CLEARE: And if you could send
18	this to me, I'd like more information on the
19	first-time homeowners program, if we can get
20	an update on how many people have been
21	helped
22	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Sure.
23	SENATOR CLEARE: and are there
24	plans to expand it.

2

24

COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yeah,

absolutely.

CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 3 We go to Assemblywoman Levenberg. 4 5 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LEVENBERG: So thank you very much, Commissioner and Madam Chair. 6 In your testimony you refer to the 7 8 proposed \$500 million in capital funds dedicated to developing up to 15,000 housing 9 units on state-owned property. Senator 10 11 Kavanagh had asked about state-owned sites 12 which might be considered for development. 13 If a municipality has a site which they'd like considered, can you explain what 14 the process and criteria for HCR's evaluation 15 16 of suitability and availability would be? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Sure. 17 I mean, we'd be happy to work with any 18 19 municipality that has a site that they're 20 looking -- from our parochial perspective for affordable housing, we'd be happy to work 21 with them. 22 23 I think for the larger state fund, it

is targeted towards state-owned sites, but I

don't know that we have been approached by
any municipality that wanted to talk about
their municipally owned sites. Though I
think we'd be open to having that discussion.
We are really trying to get more housing
built everywhere, so ...

ASSEMBLYWOMAN LEVENBERG: Just a guick 7 8 advertisement, which is that hearing from everybody about infrastructure that is making 9 communities suitable for building more 10 11 housing, I think having both more money for AIM, Aid to Municipalities, as well as more 12 13 money -- as well as consideration of a 14 New York State Bank would go a long way to helping finance all of the needs that we 15 16 have.

17 Also the Comptroller's just released 18 report recommended that the state provide 19 planning and logistical support to localities 20 for review of zoning and other actions to 21 facilitate development.

22 Feedback that we've received from
23 Westchester Municipal Officials Association
24 and others, and "we" being actually

1 Assemblyman Burdick and I, is that the lack 2 of such support is a major hurdle. And I 3 recognize that your department has considered this before. But could you please explain 4 5 how such support might be provided other than these hard-to-secure planning grants? 6 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So we have 7 8 a -- in the budget there are some additional 9 FTEs for us specifically for the Pro-Housing Communities. So we would anticipate that 10 11 some of those additional staff could be liaisons for municipalities who need 12 13 assistance. 14 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LEVENBERG: So to help them in the actual application process as 15 16 well as --17 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yeah, I would think -- I would say right now we are 18 19 trying to help people in the application 20 process actively. Currently I think if people need beyond that additional work we're 21 happy to sort of take that in and figure out 22 23 how we can help them. 24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LEVENBERG: Okay, great.

All right.

1

And then finally, you know, just if 2 there's anything in particular that expands 3 funding for "missing middle" housing, which 4 5 is something that we talk a lot about again in the suburbs. I don't know if you have any 6 thoughts about that. And I know that 7 Assemblyman Burdick's going to talk a little 8 bit about some of our legislative ideas also. 9

COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yeah, we 10 want to continue to make sure that developers 11 in places like your district are aware that 12 we actually have a lot of resources for 13 middle-income housing. We have a tax credit 14 that supports it. We have a funding capital 15 16 program that supports it and not everyone is 17 sort of aware that that exists and they go right to some of the other funding programs. 18

19So we are happy to continue working20with you to make sure they're aware we have21that and they can make themselves -- you22know, use it for housing in your district.

23 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LEVENBERG: Thank you24 very much.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: So next we have Senator Jackson.

3 SENATOR JACKSON: Good afternoon,
4 Commissioner, and to your staff. Thank you
5 for coming and addressing the issues and
6 concerns.

I have one primary big concern. I
will mention it to you now, and I want to
follow it with your staff. But the survival
of the Amalgamated Housing Cooperative in the
Bronx. I'm sure you know about that.

12 They're -- in my opinion are on the 13 verge of bankruptcy, and they need all of the 14 help they can get. So as the State Senator 15 that represents them, I want to work with you 16 and your team in order to help them survive.

17 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes, we're 18 absolutely happy to do that. And we are 19 working with all of our Mitchell-Lamas that 20 are having challenges. We're working very 21 closely with them to make sure they can get 22 stabilized.

23 SENATOR JACKSON: The 31st Senatorial24 District; Cordell, the 30th; and I think

Gustavo Rivera -- they are all under the
 average wealth of the community. In essence,
 the majority of the people are working,
 trying to survive.

5 And so my question to you is: Under 6 the community-controlled affordable housing, 7 would Amalgamated fall under that since it's 8 basically people that have a limited amount 9 of money invested into co-ops?

10 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We have a 11 Mitchell-Lama funding program, so that would 12 probably be where we would most likely direct 13 them to in terms of resources at our agency, 14 is the Mitchell-Lama funding program.

15 SENATOR JACKSON: And would they be 16 able to receive help under the homeowner's 17 stabilization fund? I mean, I'm looking at 18 every opportunity that they can borrow money 19 or get grants in order to survive.

20 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I would say 21 we'll be happy to follow up with you on sort 22 of the resources we have specifically for 23 Amalgamated and how we can best get them the 24 investment they need to make their capital

repairs. We have been working with them very closely for quite some time.

3 SENATOR JACKSON: Now, good-cause
4 eviction, there's been a bill that's been
5 pending in the State Senate since basically
6 we got into -- when I say "we," the Democrats
7 took leadership of the Senate.

8 Do you support good-cause eviction 9 that tenants should be able to renew their 10 lease without being thrown out and have a 11 good reason to evict them as the only way to 12 have eviction?

COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I would 13 14 say -- and, you know, the Governor has been very clear about this -- that we want to make 15 16 sure that as we go into this budget season we 17 are incredibly focused on housing supply. We were very focused on it last year, and we are 18 19 back again talking about the importance of 20 that to relieving pressure in the -- it's the absolute main tool that we need to relieve 21 22 pressure in the housing stock.

And, you know, going back to theHousing Vacancy Survey and the level of

1	production in the city has dropped from the
2	lapsing of the tax incentives, and we just
3	aren't keeping up also in terms of, you know,
4	people moving into New York City and yet the
5	housing that's available.
6	So I think we will you will
7	consistently hear us talking about housing
8	supply as our number-one issue for the budget
9	season.
10	SENATOR JACKSON: Thank you.
11	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Thanks.
12	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
13	Assemblyman Braunstein.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN BRAUNSTEIN: Thank you,
15	Commissioner.
16	I want to talk about the RUSH program.
17	So with the RUSH program, you're asking for
18	\$500 million over two years to be
19	appropriated to UDC. UDC has statutory
20	authority to use general project plans to
21	override local zoning. Is the expectation
22	for these state properties that UDC is going
23	to use GPPs to override the local zoning?
24	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Well, I'd

say a couple of things.

2	So GPP can be used for disposition
3	only. It's not always used for rezoning.
4	That would be the example in the Gowanus
5	pilot that we were was in the news a bit
6	this week where it was used just to provide a
7	tax exemption only. So I would just
8	distinguish that it isn't always for
9	rezoning.
10	But to the larger question, I don't
11	know that we have clarity yet across
12	depending on which sites end up being the
13	most appropriate, whether or not ESD would
14	need to be involved for disposition or
15	rezoning or whether they wouldn't need to be.
16	So I don't think we know the answer to
17	that yet.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN BRAUNSTEIN: So I
19	represent the Creedmoor site in
20	Eastern Queens, and there's already a
21	proposal that's been released. Is it
22	anticipated that ESD is going to be using a
23	GPP for that project?
24	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I believe

1 they will use GPP for disposition. And I
2 would -- yeah, I would think -- I think the
3 plan is to use it for the rezoning framework
4 there also.

5 ASSEMBLYMAN BRAUNSTEIN: Okay. So if 6 we were to approve this funding for future 7 unspecified projects, it's anticipated that 8 the administration will use GPPs as well to 9 override local zoning? Because you're doing 10 it with Creedmoor, right?

11 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Well, I 12 think in Creedmoor it has to go through some 13 process in order to get rezoned in order to 14 get disposed of.

But I wouldn't say it's for sure that all the sites that are in the 15,000 would necessarily use a GPP or, if they did, that they would use it for rezoning.

19ASSEMBLYMAN BRAUNSTEIN: If they were20using a GPP moving forward, would the21administration seek approval of local22legislators to make sure that they and the23community are comfortable with the project?24COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yeah, I

mean, I don't -- I think the idea and the 1 2 intent here is to make sure that -- and because the sites are so different and 3 they're all sort of in different localities, 4 that there has to be a conversation at the 5 local level about what gets built there. So 6 I think that is very much anticipated to be 7 8 part of the process. 9 ASSEMBLYMAN BRAUNSTEIN: Okay. I mean, because in Eastern Queens we've -- we 10 11 had a conversation that consisted of the community making recommendations, Zooms with 12 13 ESDC. The community recommended a thousand 14 units, various types of housing. ESDC came back with 2800 units, eight-story buildings, 15 16 completely out of context with the rest of 17 the surrounding community.

So, you know, having Zoom meetings and having people offer opinions is not the same as having an agreement with the local community.

22 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Understood.
 23 ASSEMBLYMAN BRAUNSTEIN: So given my
 24 experience with Creedmoor so far, I just have

1	concerns about giving this authority for
2	unspecified projects moving forward, and I
3	imagine some of my colleagues would as well.
4	Thank you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate?
6	SENATOR KAVANAGH: Senator May.
7	SENATOR MAY: Hi, Commissioner, good
8	to see you.
9	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Hi. You
10	too.
11	SENATOR MAY: Let me start just by
12	saying that there's quite a bit in the
13	Governor's budget about housing for New York
14	City, but I hope that doesn't mean you're
15	taking your eye off the ball on upstate.
16	Senator Cleare had a very strong and
17	important message about the need for
18	affordable housing in her district. We had a
19	hearing in December about concentrated
20	poverty in our upstate cities. And one of
21	the messages we got is that if you build a
22	hundred percent affordable, that actually
23	contributes to more concentrated poverty.
24	But if you can have mixed-income development,

that is much better for shifting the character of the neighborhood.

3 So are there ways that the state can 4 use its granting powers to help us with more 5 mixed-income housing? Because what we are 6 finding is there's not that much flexibility 7 in the funding that's out there.

8 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes, 9 absolutely. And we'd be happy to work with 10 you sort of more specifically on projects if 11 you feel like they are -- need a little 12 pushing to get sort of the income mix to be 13 different than what it is. We're happy to 14 work with you on that.

We have a series of programs, we have tweaked them over time to try to get at more middle-income housing. It tends to be -- you know, it's harder to finance. There's sort of like a box for low-income and there's a box for market, and the middle can be more challenging.

22 But we have tried, with our state tax 23 credits and with our middle-income program 24 and a series of tools to try to drive a little more of that where the market can
 support it and where it makes sense.

3 If there's other ways you think we
4 should be looking at that, we're happy to
5 work with you on that.

6

SENATOR MAY: Thank you.

7 And I guess in my office we're focused 8 on trying to come up with ways to make it 9 more affordable to develop housing, to reduce 10 some of the regulatory barriers and just the 11 costs of the lawsuits that come up when 12 people try to do developments in certain 13 areas.

So one of those is about reforming the 14 SEQR process in housing. And I talked with 15 16 the DEC commissioner about this. I don't 17 know if your office is at all involved in that process of trying to shift it. I was 18 19 hearing from the groups that are here today 20 from the neighborhood development organizations that they're really in favor of 21 that. 22

23 So can you say anything about where 24 you are on that?

COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes. 1 I'm 2 sure you may have heard from the DEC commissioner they are starting to make some 3 changes in their process that will occur 4 5 during this year. And I think we look forward to that being the beginning of a 6 series of changes that can get made to make 7 it easier. 8 And the City of New York is also 9 proposing some changes which will provide 10 11 sort of a guide path also for other changes that we could make at the state level. 12 So we will continue to work with you I 13 14 think on getting changes on that -- on SEQR as best we can. 15 16 SENATOR MAY: I look forward to it. 17 Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman 18 19 Lee. ASSEMBLYWOMAN LEE: Hi, Commissioner. 20 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Hi. 21 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LEE: So earlier you 22 23 mentioned the vacancies for the number of vacant units for rent-stabilized apartments 24

was around 40,000, and that includes
 apartments that are offline in that.

COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So the thing 3 that we track at HCR which is different than 4 what's in the age for the city's HVS survey 5 is landlords register with us, but the status 6 of their apartment is on April 1st -- what 7 8 the status is as of April 1st each year. And so, you know, at any given time on April 1st 9 a certain number of apartments are in between 10 11 tenants. So we are back to historic norms for 12 what we see as apartments registered as 13 14 vacant on April 1st. ASSEMBLYWOMAN LEE: Got it. 15 16 And that's 40,000? 17 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I can get you the exact number of it. It's sub -- I 18 19 believe it's sub 40,000. 20 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LEE: Okay. In my district I have a large number 21 of rent-stabilized and rent-controlled units, 22 23 and I'm hearing from building owners that, one, it's just getting difficult to maintain 24

their buildings because of higher insurance
 and property taxes, as well as bringing up
 older units that become vacant up to code.

And, you know, this is an important amount -- an important supply for my communities. Do you have some solutions for how we can help support getting more of these apartments online that already exist?

9 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Absolutely. 10 I mean, we certainly hear some of those same 11 challenges. I don't know that they sort of 12 are reflected in the data so clearly, but we 13 certainly hear them anecdotally.

As you'll recall, the J-51 tax exemption was passed last year which does provide a tax exemption for owners in order to be able to make investments in their properties.

19We also are passing a source of income20for insurance bill this year, which there21is -- people are seeing really big spikes in22their insurance. We're hoping that will23bring some of the cost down for insurance,24especially buildings that have affordable

1 tenants in them.

2	So, you know, we are trying with the
3	tools that we have, that we can, to try to
4	relieve some of that pressure. Again, as
5	I've said before, I think people are
6	certainly welcome to come talk to us about
7	financing if they'd like to take out a loan
8	from us that we can provide for them to make
9	capital repairs on their buildings.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LEE: Maybe a fund to

11 help support improvements as well would be 12 helpful.

13I also have large ethnic communities14in my district. I have a large Latino15population and a large Asian population.16These are, you know, households that are17multigenerational and like to -- they want to18live together. So the grandparents, the19parents, the children.

20 And I'm hearing from builders that 21 it's very difficult to get financing for 22 buildings with multi-bedroom apartments. 23 Studio and one-bedroom apartments are, you 24 know, easy, buildings with lots of studios and one-bedrooms, but it's harder to get the
 financing when you have three-bedroom
 apartments and the like.

What can we do to help with that financing to support that? Not just studios and one-bedrooms. We need to be able to make sure that our families can stay in the neighborhoods where they are.

9 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: You know, 10 it's interesting, I actually haven't heard --11 you know, we don't do a lot of sort of 12 market-rate financing. So I'm not as 13 familiar with what maybe the banks might be 14 saying to developers about that.

But happy to sort of do a follow-up 15 16 and see where there's a way we could be 17 helpful if we can. ASSEMBLYWOMAN LEE: And it's for 18 19 affordable housing as well. 20 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Oh, for affordable. Oh, well, we certainly 21 finance threes and fours. So we should 22 23 follow up and talk about that. 24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LEE: Thank you.

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate?
2	SENATOR KAVANAGH: Senator Comrie.
3	SENATOR COMRIE: Good afternoon,
4	Commissioner.
5	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Hi.
6	SENATOR COMRIE: Happy Valentine's
7	Day.
8	Just to follow up, you mentioned
9	hardship for folks that are in
10	rent-stabilized units. Do you know what the
11	total amount of vacant units are in the
12	rent-stabilized stock?
13	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So we track
14	vacancies as of April 1st each year.
15	landlords have to report to us the status of
16	the apartment on that date. And we are back
17	to roughly historic sort of pre-COVID norms
18	for vacancy in the rent-stabilized stock.
19	SENATOR COMRIE: You don't know what
20	that number is, though?
21	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Oh, yeah,
21 22	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Oh, yeah, sorry. It's sub 40,000 units, which is where

1 along with an Assembly companion bill, to 2 work on the rent-stabilized units, S6352C, which would work on making sure that we could 3 come up with realistic numbers for that. 4 5 Have you had a chance to look at that bill? 6 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I haven't 7 8 read it, but I'm familiar. SENATOR COMRIE: You mentioned that 9 you can do some help for people that are in 10 11 that situation if they need to get loans. How many loans have you actually granted? 12 13 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So as I was 14 saying, we're happy to provide loans to rent-stabilized building owners who need 15 16 low-cost loans to make building repairs --17 SENATOR COMRIE: How many have you actually granted? 18 19 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We have worked with the associations. We've not had 20 a lot of interest from them in loans from us. 21 So we have not done -- I would have to go 22 23 back and check if we have done any. But they 24 have not expressed interest in getting

1 low-cost financing from us.

2	SENATOR COMRIE: You mentioned that
3	you're having problems getting staffing up.
4	Were you able to improve your technology over
5	the last few years to be able to get better
6	computer systems?

7 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We have in 8 some places and not in others. But we have 9 active procurements in all the places where 10 we are very behind and will be bringing on 11 new systems this year.

SENATOR COMRIE: Okay. You mentioned you're creating and preserving 40,000 affordable homes. Do you have a breakdown on what was created and what was preserved?

16 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Our number 17 for the 40,000 is about -- a little less than 18 10,000 new. It's a little more than 20,000 19 preserved. And then the difference would be 20 homeownership, but I can't do the math in my 21 head that quick.

22 SENATOR COMRIE: And how many of those
23 homes were done with minority developers?
24 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We can get

back to you with that. We are trying to make
 great efforts to up the number of MWBE
 developers that we work with across our
 different programs. So be happy to circle
 back on that.

6 SENATOR COMRIE: Okay. Then also how 7 much MWBE procurement or contracting have you 8 done within your agency? Supplies and 9 everything else.

COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yup. So our 10 11 -- you know, the state's goal is 30 percent. Our -- I think last year as an agency we were 12 13 at 29 percent. We are generally one of the 14 top agencies to meet the 30 percent goal. We will exceed it in procurement. I think we 15 16 are north of 60 percent in our procurement on 17 MWBEs. And happy to provide you more sort of detailed information if it's helpful. 18

19SENATOR COMRIE: I only have2025 seconds, so I just want to concur with21what Assemblyman Braunstein said about22Creedmoor and making sure those numbers are23right and fit the context of the community,24and that the agency doesn't make a large

shift from what they promised us in the
 beginning.

3	And also, with Senator Cleare, the
4	need for the state to build housing directly
5	is more important than ever. And I would
6	hope that we can delve down, and I want to
7	work with Senator Cleare to get back to a
8	statewide Mitchell-Lama development plan. We
9	can't have developers making affordable
10	housing. They just can't afford it. The
11	state can do it. We need to make it happen.
12	Thank you.
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you,
14	Senator.
15	We go to Assemblymember
16	Chandler-Waterman.
1 🗆	
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CHANDLER-WATERMAN: All
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CHANDLER-WATERMAN: All right. Thank you so much, Chair.
18	right. Thank you so much, Chair.
18 19	right. Thank you so much, Chair. Thank you, Commissioner. It's always
18 19 20	right. Thank you so much, Chair. Thank you, Commissioner. It's always a pleasure to work with you and your team.
18 19 20 21	right. Thank you so much, Chair. Thank you, Commissioner. It's always a pleasure to work with you and your team. Thank you for the support on the ground in

1	and faith leaders in housing development.
2	So does your agency have jurisdiction
3	over Mitchell-Lama?
4	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We do over
5	some, and the city does over others. But
6	yes.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CHANDLER-WATERMAN:
8	Okay. So ERAP. So shareholders apply for
9	ERAP, they're allowed to go through the
10	process, payment goes to the management
11	company, then they're told they don't
12	qualify, money is taken back.
13	What can be done to rectify this and
14	find a solution to assist shareholders in
15	arrears and management to navigate?
16	And then also, what contributions are
17	we doing for Mitchell-Lama as a whole, and
18	how we're supporting them and making sure we
19	are investing as we started, what we did
20	prior.
21	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Sure. So
22	ERAP specifically, OTDA operates that program
23	so I can't I don't know the specifics of
24	how many Mitchell-Lamas have applied for

that.

1

2 We certainly work really closely with our Mitchell-Lamas to make sure, you know, if 3 they run into trouble -- they are privately 4 5 owned and privately managed, and we are there to assist them when they need us. And 6 especially when they need capital repairs, we 7 want to make sure that they're -- they have 8 support in that. 9 And we have \$150 million in our 10 11 Housing Plan that we haven't actually accessed yet. We will start -- we have been 12 13 using old appropriations and we will now 14 start to spend that down this year to help a series of Mitchell-Lamas that need help with 15 capital repairs. 16 17 ASSEMBLYWOMAN CHANDLER-WATERMAN: All right. So I look forward to working with you 18 19 with that. 20 Vital Brooklyn Utica Crescent, with the affordable project, that's now going to 21 be built in two years in my district. The 22 23 community preference, right, I know was 50 percent. It was changed due to the city 24

settlement. Does that apply to that project,
 being it was done before the settlement came?
 Did the community preference change, the
 percentage?

5 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: My 6 understanding is that the litigation would apply to anything that happens after the 7 decision was made, so I believe it would 8 apply. But we can get back to you on that. 9 ASSEMBLYWOMAN CHANDLER-WATERMAN: 10 11 Okay. Faith leaders. What plan for supporting faith leaders to be able to be at 12 the table for building affordable housing? 13 14 We have a perfect project that's being done -- it's called Ebenezer -- in my sister 15 16 colleague Nikki Lucas's district. We would 17 like to see more of that with our faith leaders. How can you support or do you have 18 19 a plan to help faith leaders to be part of

20 development?

COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: We work with
faith organizations across the state, from
Brooklyn to Buffalo, to develop property,
their property for affordable housing. So

1	we'd be happy to work with you if there's
2	specifics in your district. It's certainly
3	something we is a part of our work that we
4	really are proud of.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CHANDLER-WATERMAN:
6	Okay. And senior housing. I know that we're
7	going to have some percentage of senior
8	housing how much in that Utica Crescent
9	project will be allocated to the older
10	adults?
11	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I don't have
12	the exact numbers for that specific project,
13	but happy to follow up with you.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CHANDLER-WATERMAN:
15	Okay. Any other senior housing that you can
16	discuss with us now?
17	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So we have a
18	program specifically for senior housing. So
19	we fund it in New York City and in the rest
20	of the state we have, in any given year, you
21	know, a number of projects that are
22	specifically for seniors. We'll be happy to
23	get you that list of projects if that's
24	helpful.

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CHANDLER-WATERMAN:
2	Okay. Thank you. And we did it in exactly
3	3 minutes. Thank you so much. Appreciate
4	you.
5	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yup. Yeah,
6	thanks.
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So there are no
8	more Senators, so we're going to the
9	Assemblymembers. Assemblyman Burdick, who
10	will be followed by Assemblywoman Simon.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Thank you.
12	Thank you for the great work that
13	you're doing.
14	And I want to mention my agreement
15	with Senator May in advocating for
16	mixed-income housing, and also would like to
17	add my support for good-cause eviction.
18	Further to the Comptroller's housing
19	report it recommends that each local
20	government should conduct a review of local
21	zoning rules and other actions. And that
22	recommendation seems to align with what the
23	Governor wants to do. And as my colleague
24	Assemblywoman Levenberg had mentioned, she

and I are working on a package of housing 1 2 bills, some of which already have been introduced. They do not include construction 3 mandates but would require localities to take 4 5 action, including assessing need, updating 6 comprehensive plans if warranted, adopting an actual housing plan to address community 7 need, and promoting climate-informed smart 8 growth and transit oriented development. 9 We would welcome feedback outside of 10 11 this hearing. 12 The New York City watershed 13 regulations also -- and I think I've mentioned this to you -- are a hurdle for 14 many localities that need to build out 15 16 infrastructure. And of course we are keen on 17 protecting, you know, precious water resources. But would really like to see your 18 19 thoughts and your help on how we can meet 20 both needs, and would appreciate your 21 comments. My only other question at this 22 23 juncture is in a Zoom meeting we had with you

and your staff, we had talked about how we

24

1 might be able to increase the number of units 2 under the five-year affordable housing plan. And you mentioned that some of those come 3 from federal dollars, but others might be a 4 possibility. Would appreciate it if you can 5 identify what programs might be expanded. 6 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Sure. Thank 7 you for the question. And we're happy to 8 9 work with both you and Assemblywoman Levenberg to advance legislation that 10 11 increases housing supply across the state, as 12 is very much our focus in general, and 13 specifically for the next six weeks. So 14 happy to work with you on those bills that you're proposing. 15 16 I think in terms of our ability to 17 expand the work that we do -- and I have said this before -- you know, we spend every 18 19 dollar we get from the federal government 20 that is on our new construction --ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: But are there 21 22 any specific programs that maybe it's through 23 state dollars that might work? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I think that 24

for -- two things. One is I think for new 1 construction, we need federal dollars for new 2 construction. They are incredibly valuable. 3 And there is potentially movement at the 4 5 federal level right now to get some increased 6 capacity there, which we are excited about. I think on the state funding programs, 7 8 those would probably be more around investing in smaller homes or in smaller buildings and 9 doing sort of more renovation and sort of 10 11 capital improvement type work. 12 ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Maybe if we 13 could pursue that offline. 14 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yup. ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: And on watershed 15 16 regulations? 17 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I don't have a lot of expertise in watershed regulations. 18 19 I would defer to Commissioner Seggos for most issues around that. 20 But happy to continue discussion with 21 you as those things overlap with housing. 22 23 ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Thanks so much. 24 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.

1	We go to Senator Martins.
2	SENATOR MARTINS: Good to see you
3	again. How are you?
4	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Hi. You
5	too. Good, thanks.
6	SENATOR MARTINS: You had mentioned
7	earlier that there were a series of sites
8	that needed statutory approval in their
9	authorization; therefore, they're identified
10	in the budget as potential sites for housing.
11	They include some sites on Long Island.
12	To me, that means there are other
13	sites that didn't require statutory approval.
14	Can you speak to that, whether or not there
15	is in fact a list of other sites across the
16	state that are state-owned, MTA-owned or
17	SUNY-owned properties that are being
18	evaluated for housing?
19	And if that list exists, is it
20	available for us to review?
21	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So I think
22	we'll get back to you on sort of the list
23	available for review.
24	But I would say sort of writ large,

1 there is a long list of sites and we are 2 working through which are most appropriate for housing, which have the least number of 3 barriers in terms of how much infrastructure 4 5 they might need to have housing built on them, so how quickly can we get them sort of 6 housing-ready. And the RUSH funding that's 7 8 in the budget is meant to pay for infrastructure or for -- you know, for roads, 9 for water/sewer, for demolition, things like 10 11 that.

12 We don't need statutory authority for 13 most state-owned sites. The state has the 14 ability to dispose of property through the 15 UDC, and we would use ESDC for that.

16 So what you see in the budget around 17 SUNY is really some -- a tweak to allow them 18 to land-lease portions of their property.

SENATOR MARTINS: I understand -- no, I understand that. And that's precisely the point of the question, is that allowing the opportunity for our local communities and certainly us as representatives to understand which sites are being evaluated and frankly

1 prioritized would be very helpful.

2 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yup. 3 SENATOR MARTINS: As you know, I 4 represent a district on the North Shore of 5 Nassau County, and so there are probably a 6 series of areas that could be impacted. And 7 certainly the sooner we know, the sooner we 8 can prepare for those.

9 I share a great village with 10 Assemblyman Ra that received a grant this 11 year. Very happy to say they're doing 12 wonderful work, built over a thousand units 13 before the carrot -- didn't need the stick. 14 But they're very happy to get that funding.

One of the themes that we get 15 16 oftentimes is the lack of a quick response 17 when these municipalities have a question, and therefore projects are delayed. And it's 18 19 just more of a comment than anything else. I 20 understand. If you can look into that, that is -- the turnaround time would make a huge 21 difference in terms of getting projects in 22 23 the ground and actually building the housing, and it really should be something. 24

1 And to piggyback on Senator Comrie, 2 you know, we've mentioned quite often today the need to incentivize landlords to invest 3 in making major capital improvements. We 4 5 understand that the housing stock in many instances is not where it should be. And yet 6 these low-interest loans are not getting 7 8 people's attention. Why? 9 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I mean, I think in general private landlords want to 10 11 work with the private financial market, they don't want to take out loans from us. And 12 given where interest rates are, I think 13 14 that's challenging at this time. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 15 16 We go to Assemblywoman Simon. 17 ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Thank you. It's good to see you again. 18 19 So I have a couple of questions, and 20 some of my colleagues have asked similar questions. And so one of the concerns we 21 have is -- or at least I have -- is 22 23 converting the commercial property to affordable housing where it's 80/20. Now, 24

there is a subset that is lower. But one of the -- and I know you're focused on supply. But in my district we've had an increase of supply like crazy. Very little of it is affordable.

6 And I will tell you I have a neighbor 7 who was in a converted building from factory 8 to -- and 22 years later got an affordable 9 unit.

10 And so the challenge really is the 11 supply of the affordable units. It doesn't 12 mean we don't need more, but we also need 13 really to look at affordability. So I'm glad 14 this year the Governor is talking about that, 15 which is a big change from last year. But 16 the real analysis is how much.

17 And the other is the types of apartments that are being built. We have a 18 19 lot of studios and one-bedrooms. You can't 20 keep a family -- if you have two kids, you're going to end up moving to the suburbs because 21 22 you can't find a three-bedroom apartment. We 23 really tried to control for that at Atlantic Yards. We got a few of those units. 24

1 As you know, they're still not built.

2 But what -- you know, this really is a critical issue in terms of people leaving. 3 If they're leaving, they're leaving and going 4 to Maplewood, New Jersey. I know that's 5 where half of my district -- my neighborhood 6 went, to Maplewood, New Jersey, because they 7 had two kids. 8 9 So how can we change that approach? Because that affordability needs to be more 10 11 permanent, and it needs to be deeper. And we need more of those units. 12 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I've never 13 14 been to Maplewood, but I agree that we want people to --15 16 ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: It's a lovely --17 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: -- stay in Brooklyn, and we want them to stay in 18 19 New York City and in New York State. 20 Look, I would say, you know, since we were together this week celebrating 18 21 projects that are going to start construction 22 23 in the Gowanus area to create over 5,000 housing units, including 1400 very low, 24

1 permanently affordable housing --

2

3

ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Yes. We have exceptions.

COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Well, I 4 5 think that's sort of -- that's the map, right? We have this great example of, with a 6 tax exemption in place -- or that was not in 7 8 place, but with the ability to sort of 9 replicate that through the process, so the Governor announced in July we are now going 10 11 to create 1400 very low income, permanently affordable housing units in your district, 12 13 and we want to be doing that everywhere we 14 can to create the affordable units and to create the rest of the supply to help tamp 15 16 down prices.

So, you know, I think we would hope to
continue that conversation about how we need
that in other areas of the city.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Well, we're getting a lot, but we need -- it's the mix. And that's the real challenge. Because we have plenty of luxury housing. That's what, you know, they want to build. People will

1 move in, but we're displacing people.

2	And, you know, we've had a lot of
3	displacement. In my district in particular,
4	we lost most of the Puerto Rican community
5	because they rezoned Fourth Avenue. Atlantic
6	Yards we've, you know, displaced 25 percent
7	of the African American community. So we
8	need to be careful about how we do that.
9	That's my concern.
10	Thank you.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
12	Assemblymember Taylor.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN TAYLOR: Thank you,
14	Commissioner. Thank you, Madam Chair.
15	Wow, I just sound like a broken record
16	here, so I don't want to do that. But
17	there's this old saying: If you build it,
18	they'll come to it. However, if you don't
19	build it, they're going to get forced out.
20	And I think that's happening across the
21	state, especially in the city.
22	So I want to be wise with my time.
23	There are three things that are really,
24	really important to me in my district in

1	particular. One, can we do more vouchers but
2	increase the cost, the amount of the
3	vouchers? That may be helpful. We certainly
4	want to watch out for those that are
5	discriminated against that.
6	The other one is Wilson Major Morris
7	is a community center in my community that is
8	available and I have this in the budget
9	ask but they want to do housing. So that
10	would be a great collaboration. If we could
11	talk about that offline, that would be great.
12	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Absolutely.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN TAYLOR: The other thing
14	that I am just losing my mind about is
15	Mitchell-Lama.
16	Esplanade Gardens, they had a
17	\$150 million investment in capital
18	improvement, and the place looks like they've
19	done diddly in there. I mean, it looks good
20	in the area, you've got blue lights on top of
21	the building, but you have no electricity in
22	some places. You have boilers that are
	some praces. Tou nave borrers chat are
23	inadequate. You have water that looks like

1 So the residents are at wits' end, 2 coupled with the fact that they have these increases that they have to pay. They have a 3 loan they have to pay back. And no one seems 4 5 to care about them. That's my understanding. Why? Because during COVID the Governor 6 allowed -- not this Governor, the previous 7 8 Governor allowed the capital work to go on 9 but no one watched what happened. It was deplorable the way the people had to live. 10 11 And they have not been made whole. 12 These folks who are in here, this is 13 their sunrise -- the sun is setting on a lot 14 of their lives, and they can't even stay there anymore. And I see no help, no relief, 15 16 and I am pissed to the highest. I just want 17 to say that. The other issue that I want to put out 18 19 here really quickly is, is it possible that 20 the state can consider bonds and let us build? When I say "us," get people to build. 21

22 Because the folks that are doing it right 23 now, there's a gain associated with it. And 24 I think we have to just stop, look back.

There are a lot of things that they're doing well, but we can do more.

Essentially what my colleague just 3 said. If we build three bedrooms, if we 4 5 don't change that narrative, then we already 6 know what we're going to get. It's a self-fulfilling prophecy. If you build it, 7 that's what you're going to get: one 8 bedroom. Who's coming? Single families. 9 Single persons. But if you build it, you 10 11 want to keep families, what New York has been the bread and butter of the world about? 12 Keep building families. And that's what it's 13 14 all about. So I'll leave you time to -- oh, 15 16 shoot. Sorry. We'll have to talk offline. 17 (Laughter; inaudible overtalk.) COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Look, I 18 19 would say sort of on the -- I'm not sure 20 which thing to answer there. But I would say on the Mitchell-Lamas, 21 we continue to work with all the 22 23 Mitchell-Lamas to make sure that -- you know, they are privately owned and privately 24

1 managed, but we are there to support them to
2 make sure they can get access to --

ASSEMBLYMAN TAYLOR: They need 3 oversight, they need critical -- because 4 5 right now it's almost criminal some of the stuff that's happened there. And everybody 6 said, you know, they have ability to do --7 they have not done a job well. They're not 8 real estate people. They don't own. And I 9 appreciate -- I apologize for cutting you 10 11 off. But they don't know how to do the job. 12 And no one's making sure that the job is done 13 correctly. That's my bone to pick. 14 Can we talk afterwards? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Absolutely. 15 16 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you, 17 Assemblyman. ASSEMBLYMAN TAYLOR: Thank you, 18 19 Commissioner. I apologize. 20 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: That's all 21 right. 22 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman 23 Meeks. 24 ASSEMBLYMAN MEEKS: Thank you,

Madam Chair.

1

2 Commissioner, thank you for joining us3 today.

I have a question or concern. I'm 4 5 from Rochester, New York. And often we deal with the Tale of Two Cities. We've made some 6 strides in the space of new housing, but I 7 8 think we also need to keep in mind existing 9 housing stock and the many in our community who are on fixed incomes, struggling to stay 10 11 afloat, and need assistance sometimes with things as simple as a new roof or improved 12 13 windows.

14 But with these new developments that come about, often mixed-income or low-income 15 16 housing, I think we need to be mindful about 17 the opportunities that we could provide our community. All too often we see majority 18 19 white men come into the community, build 20 these projects up, and then you have BIPOC communities that live there. 21

22 So it's like here is something new, 23 something different for you all to live in. 24 However, we don't afford these communities

1	the opportunity to generate wealth in
2	building these type of projects, and we
3	continue to see the fact that we have
4	communities such as three of the poorest top
5	five zip codes in Rochester in my district.
6	And I think we need to capitalize on
7	these opportunities. How could you support
8	such an effort and be intentional when it
9	comes to project labor agreements to assure
10	that members of the community have an
11	opportunity to generate wealth?
12	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So I would
13	say a couple of things, one sort of relate
14	not so directly related and one directly
15	related.
16	One is we launched the Homeowner
17	Stabilization Fund, and we are going to be
18	working in Rochester in areas of high
19	homeowners of color, also high levels of
20	housing distress, because we want to make
21	sure that those historic neighborhoods in
22	places like Rochester that have a lot of
23	oftentimes elderly Black and brown
24	homeowners, but who have a lot of distress in

their houses, are getting assistance to be
 able to make repairs to roofs and boilers and
 any other type of sort of capital they need
 so they can stay stably housed in those
 communities.

6 So I think that's important for us too 7 in your sort of Tale of Two Cities narrative.

8 I think on the other side, you know, 9 we make sure -- we are -- have made changes 10 to our programs to get more MWBE developers 11 in them, to sort of your point about who's 12 building the buildings we're talking about.

And we also enforce our MWBE in the 13 14 contracting to make sure that the contracts that we are -- that are sort of by extension 15 being let out through the projects that we're 16 17 financing are going to MWBE contractors. You know, there are not as many -- in some parts 18 19 of the state there are more or less MWBE 20 contractors, so we are sometimes less or more successful in that effort, depending on where 21 22 we're working.

But, you know, I would also say we'dbe happy to work with you if you think

there's other things we could be doing to get
 a bigger impact there.

ASSEMBLYMAN MEEKS: Yeah, I would love 3 to work with you in that space, because there 4 are things that we could do even as they 5 6 relate to our high schools and educational opportunities to, you know, bring about like 7 co-ops and training opportunities for some of 8 our youth in the community as well as adults. 9 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Great. I 10 think the commissioner of Labor will be 11 delighted to partner with us on that also. 12 13 ASSEMBLYMAN MEEKS: Thank you. 14 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. We go to Assemblywoman Kelles. 15 16 ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Thank you so 17 much for being here. Just one thing I want to mention off 18 19 the top, I see that HOPP, first-time 20 homeowners and land banks were cut. I know this was a legislative add. I'd love for us 21 22 to stop playing that game back and forth, honestly. We're a team. Let's be a team. 23 I'd love to see these back in the 30-day 24

amendments.

1

2 Next, how long does it take to build a 3 new housing development? COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: That sort of 4 5 varies, depending on the scale of the building. 6 7 ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: A range. 8 What I'm reading is 47 years nationally. Does that sound about right to 9 10 you? 11 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: For a construction? 12 13 ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Yup. No, for 14 the process. COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Oh. I --15 16 some projects go faster and some take a very 17 long time. It depends on --18 ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: I have one, for 19 example, in my district: 10 years, still not 20 done. It was going to be affordable. It's now luxury. Perfect example. 21 22 It takes two years, on average, to get through SEQR. This isn't a solution to the 23 affordable housing crises that people are 24

1 facing right now.

2	I just want to point out like we can
3	talk about it, it's part of the process for
4	sure. It is absolutely not a solution to
5	people not being able to afford their
6	So, you know, we're looking at the
7	Comptroller right now just released a
8	report I just want this on record: Cost
9	burdens are the primary driver of housing
10	insecurity. New York consistently ranks as
11	one of the states with the highest cost
12	burden. Rates of rental cost burdens have
13	increased across all cost levels.
14	Significant racial disparities among
15	households suffering from household
16	insecurity. Housing insecurity among
17	New York's seniors exceeds the national
18	average. Evictions have been higher in
19	New York than the national average.
20	New York's rate of homelessness, about five
21	per thousand, was highest among all states.
22	Developing new housing is not going to
23	address this crisis. It's just not. That is
24	a now thing. If housing takes a couple of

1 years, that will solve future problems. I'm 2 totally with you, great. I'd love to see the faith-based housing also in this budget; that 3 would be amazing. That's a Cunningham bill. 4 5 But we do need those renter protections. 6 You talked about renter arrears, Section 8, that we're helping with Section 8. 7 8 But I did want to give some statistics. 9 Essex, which is one of our counties, their average wait time to get the Housing Access 10 11 Voucher Program {sic} is 11 years. My town -- my district, three years. 12 13 Just, again, it's a problem. And that 14 about 50 percent of those who get the Housing Access Voucher Program {sic} in New York City 15 16 can't find a unit within the six months that 17 they have to find it, and then they lose it and go back to the end of the line. It's not 18 19 a solution in and of itself. 20 We have HAVP, the Housing Access Voucher Program, to supplement that. Do you 21 support that, supplementing this? 22 23 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Look, I definitely would say that -- I would, at the 24

risk of disagreeing, say that we really - this really is a supply issue. And we have
 to be focused on supply.

4 ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: I hear you, but 5 supply is years in the building. It's not 6 going to affect those who are being evicted 7 right now.

8 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: If we don't 9 start building today, this problem is never 10 going to go away.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: We can do both at the same time. We can walk and chew gum at the same time. I really do believe that. We're really, really powerful as a state. And we're innovative.

16 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I agree. 17 Look at the case of vouchers. There would not be a place that a voucher-holder in 18 19 New York City could even -- there's functional zero vacancy, right? So it's a --20 ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Good cause, 21 22 HAVP, TOPA. Love to see those -- love to see 23 your support on them. 24 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.

1	We go to the Senate.
2	SENATOR KAVANAGH: Yes, I think I'm
3	the last Senator today for this witness.
4	So, first of all, thank you for your
5	patience and your testimony and your
6	thoughtful answers, and for all the walking
7	and chewing gum you do all year round.
8	Just a couple of questions that some
9	of my colleagues have asked that we do
10	follow-up on.
11	First of all, the Homeowner
12	Stabilization Fund, I understand there are 10
13	communities that have received that funding.
14	Can you tell us which 10 communities?
15	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: I can't name
16	them all off the top of my head, but they are
17	going to our board for approval tomorrow.
18	We've already funded Buffalo, and the other
19	nine will go to our board tomorrow for but
20	I'm happy to send you what the list is, I
21	just can't recite them. I know it's Utica
22	and Hempstead and Buffalo and
23	SENATOR KAVANAGH: Presumably they'll
24	be in the public they'll be public as of

1 tomorrow and --

2	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yes, we can
3	send it yes, we can send it to you today.
4	SENATOR KAVANAGH: One of my Senate
5	colleagues was asking.
6	Just I want to circle back to the
7	pro-housing conversation and just we you
8	and I had again had a chance to have this
9	conversation offline. But just for those
10	present and for those listening, New York
11	City is not currently an applicant for
12	Pro-Housing status.
13	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: They have
14	not applied yet.
15	SENATOR KAVANAGH: They have not
16	applied, right.
17	So if we were to do what's in this
18	budget, the expectation is that the City of
19	New York would become ineligible for some of
20	the programs that are referenced, like the
21	Downtown Revitalization Initiative, unless
22	they proceed to
23	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Yeah, they
24	need to apply and get certified before that

application program opened up.

2 SENATOR KAVANAGH: All right. So 3 that's obviously a conversation I think some 4 of us from the city should have with the city 5 as well.

I also just want to, just for -- this 6 has been talked about in various ways a 7 couple of times, but just to make sure we're 8 crystal-clear on the 40,000 vacancies. 9 Forty-thousand vacancies is the number of 10 11 apartments that were vacant as of April 1st of this year? That's the two thousand --12 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Of the --13 14 SENATOR KAVANAGH: I'm sorry, forgive me, obviously not April 1st this year. 15 16 April 1, 2023. And that represents

17about 4 percent of the housing stock. And18the longstanding standard in New York State19for what constitutes a housing emergency is20an excessively low vacancy rate that is below215 percent. That's been the legal standard22for a long time.

23 So to the extent we're seeing vacant 24 apartments, based on your survey, it's a

snapshot of units that most of which will be 1 2 rented up promptly, they're just available for rent as of that moment, and that number 3 is not out of the norm, does not reflect --4 although there does seem to be some 5 warehousing and there does seem to be some 6 dilapidated apartments. And we've had 7 proposals to deal with that. 8 9 But that does not represent any increase that we should be addressing of 10 11 vacancies that's cropped up in the system over the last few years. 12 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Correct. 13 14 For us, it sort of aligns with the historic 15 norm, so. 16 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Great. 17 Okay, thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: And to close up 18 19 this panel, for her second round, 20 Assemblywoman Rosenthal. ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Thank you. 21 The first thing, I want to thank HCR 22 23 and the Governor for working together with the Stop Croman Coalition and my office to --24

1	well, he owes five hundred more than
2	\$500,000 in fines. And you did a great job
3	investigating
4	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Thank you.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: all of
6	his misdeeds, but he continues to perpetrate.
7	I also want to shout out Mike Barrios,
8	who's been a long-time employee who's just
9	wonderful.
10	COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Thank you.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: I want to
12	get on the record that after we passed HSTPA
13	in 2019, some landlords said: "We are going
14	to let our apartments fall into disrepair."
15	I just want to get that out there; it's a
16	deliberate tactic by some landlords.
17	I want to know, how much affordable
18	housing do you think we need? Because
19	there's been talk about 800,000 units needed
20	across the state, but there hasn't been
21	delineation of how much of that needs to be
22	affordable. And also by county, how much do

23 you think? And at what AMI bands?

24 We can have a longer conversation, but

I wonder about your thoughts on that.

2 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Look, I 3 would say -- and you heard me say this before, right -- we take every resource we 4 5 get and stretch them as far as we can get to 6 make sure that we can finance as much affordable housing, whether that's new 7 8 construction, preservation, investing in single-family homes, really -- providing 9 mortgages to first-time homebuyers. Right? 10 11 We are across working in manufactured home parks sort of across the board trying to 12 13 get at affordable housing in every -- you 14 know, not just a multifamily rental building,

but really other types of affordable housing that might not be as -- you know, sort of come to mind as quickly.

So we are doing that everywhere we can. We have always sort of a pipeline that's bigger than we can meet with the resources we have from the -- sort of the base resources we have from the federal government as it relates to new construction of multifamily housing. Until we get sort of

relief from the federal government, that's sort of hard to increase.

I don't know that we have a number on 3 what the right number is. Our projections 4 5 are really looking at job growth and 6 population growth and saying, you know, over the next 10 years if we do the same thing we 7 did for the last 10, we could expect 400,000 8 9 units -- and we really need more like 800,000. We're already behind, right, 10 11 because 421-a has expired --12 ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Yes, but I 13 mean --COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: It's 14 expired, and we're not producing --15 16 ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: --17 affordable. And there's not just one tool, which is relying on developers who are in the 18 19 business of making a profit. And that's 20 their business, but we overly rely on them to 21 produce affordable housing. And, you know, 22 we're sort of under the gun here with that. 23 Other question -- so in Congress there's a LIHTC bill to increase -- or a 24

LIHTC discussion and bill. How do you think
 the state would use all of those credits were
 we to get them?

4 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: So there's 5 two pieces to it. One is an increase in our 6 9 percent low-income-housing tax credit. So 7 we will -- if the bill passes, we will award 8 more projects those credits and we will do 9 more projects each year with that.

10 On the bond side, sort of similarly,
11 we will -- it will increase our capacity to
12 close more deals each year.

13 ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Thank you. 14 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. So Commissioner, that is it for --15 16 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Thank you. 17 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: As Senator Krueger says, we have not run out of 18 19 questions, we've run out of members' time to 20 ask questions.

22 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So thank you 23 for being here. There may be a few times 24 that you didn't have a full opportunity to

(Laughter.)

21

answer questions, so we look forward to
 anything in writing from you so we can
 distribute to members.

And just continue to thank you for
being here and look forward to continuing to
work with you.

7 COMMISSIONER VISNAUSKAS: Great.8 Thank you very much.

9 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So we will now 10 begin the nongovernmental portion of the 11 hearing. People are -- the witnesses will be 12 in several different panels. And we will be 13 starting with -- the first panel is Panel A. 14 And Assemblyman Burdick, why don't you talk 15 to the commissioner outside.

16 We will start with New York State 17 Association for Affordable Housing; Neighborhood Preservation Coalition; the 18 19 Community Preservation Corporation; New York 20 State Public Housing Authority Directors Association, and Pratt Center for Community 21 Development on behalf of Basement Apartments 22 23 Safe for Everyone.

And for those leaving the hearing,

24

1	please do so to the extent you want to
2	have a conversation, please do that in the
3	hallway so that we can continue on our long
4	hearing and people can get home for
5	Valentine's Day or Valentine's Night.
6	Can members take their conversation
7	with the commissioner out in the hall so we
8	can continue with our hearing? Thank you.
9	So if we can just a reminder, three
10	minutes for presentation. Your remarks have
11	been received, reviewed by members, posted on
12	our websites.
13	And with that, if we could start with
14	Jolie Milstein.
15	MS. MILSTEIN: Thank you.
16	Good afternoon, Chairs Weinstein,
17	Kavanagh, Rosenthal, and other honorable
18	members of the Legislature. I'm Jolie
19	Milstein, president and CEO for the New York
20	State Association for Affordable Housing. We
21	represent more than 400 members statewide who
22	play a critical role in the financing,
23	construction and operation of affordable
24	housing.

1	Thank you for the opportunity to
2	testify regarding Governor Hochul's 2025
3	Executive Budget for housing.

We'd like to thank the Governor and 4 5 the Legislature for previously enacting and 6 continuing a \$25 billion, five-year Housing Plan to create and preserve 7 8 100,000 new and preserved homes. NYSAFAH 9 members have been employing these resources to help address the housing affordability and 10 11 supply crisis across our state. 12 And despite our successes,

13 pandemic-related consequences continue to 14 significantly impair affordable housing property operations and financial stability. 15 We ask that \$250 million be approved for a 16 17 new affordable housing relief fund to provide needed capital monies and debt restructuring 18 19 to ensure at-risk properties remain available 20 to tenants.

High interest rates, rising insurance
costs and rent arrears have forced projects
to absorb additional debt and placed them in
severe financial and physical distress. Last

1	year the 391 million that was included in the
2	enacted State Budget for the payment of
3	rental arrears for tenants in public
4	housing including NYCHA and federal
5	Section 8 voucher holders was appreciated,
6	but we really need an additional \$250 million
7	investment in a new fund for at-risk
8	properties that will complement the
9	Legislature's prior-year commitment.
10	We also ask that you support the
11	Executive Budget proposal to prohibit
12	insurance-related discrimination against
13	affordable housing, housing in urban areas
14	where crime rates are higher, and against
15	landlords that rent to Section 8 voucher
16	holders.
17	Increased insurance premiums, combined
18	with a lack of availability of property and
19	casualty insurance are endangering affordable
20	housing.
21	We further urge you to authorize a
22	three-year pilot program that would carve out
23	affordable housing from the Scaffold Law, to

measure the impact lower insurance rates will

have on affordable housing production. The
 existing Scaffold Law has contributed to
 skyrocketing insurance rates and has driven
 most insurance companies from the New York
 market, adding substantial costs to general
 liability coverage for affordable housing
 developments.

8 We ask that you amend the law to allow the State Historic Tax Credit to be sold to a 9 different investor from the federal historic 10 tax credit. This will increase the 11 attractiveness of the State Historic Tax 12 13 Credit and its value, bringing greater 14 private investment to affordable housing projects. 15

16And we ask that you enact an17as-of-right tax benefit to replace the 421-a18abatement.

19Thank you for the opportunity to20testify today and for your consideration of21our budget request. I welcome your22questions.

23 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.24 Everybody else should pay attention to

1 the clock.

2	MS. MILSTEIN: Was that good? I
3	practiced.
4	(Laughter.)
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Mark Streb,
6	Neighborhood Preservation Coalition.
7	MR. STREB: Good afternoon.
8	First I'd like to start off by saying
9	thank you to each and every one of you for
10	your incredible support of the frontline
11	not-for-profit housing workers during this
12	housing crisis. It is your steadfast support
13	of the Neighborhood Preservation Program that
14	allows essential services to be provided.
15	These boots-on-the-ground community leaders
16	are often the difference between a family
17	living in a home versus a shelter versus the
18	streets. The incredible value of that cannot
19	be overlooked.
20	For this year's budget, \$18.34 million
21	is requested to fund the Neighborhood
22	Preservation Program. That is a 4 percent
23	increase from last year's allotment.
24	Additionally, the Neighborhood Preservation

Coalition seeks a carveout of \$260,000.

2 This program was originally created as a response by the forward-thinking State 3 Legislature to the recognition that 4 5 Neighborhood Preservation Companies face 6 significant challenges due to inadequate funding. These not-for-profit housing 7 8 organizations play a crucial role in addressing the escalating demand for 9 affordable housing community services. Their 10 11 services range from housing counseling to home improvement and rehabilitation projects 12 13 to food programs to eviction protection. Unbelievably, Governor Hochul's 14 proposed budget presents a concerning 15 16 reduction of \$4.8 million from last year's 17 funding, lowering the NPP allocation to 12.83 million. This decrease will severely 18 19 impact vital services provided. Cuts aren't 20 carrots. Cutting funding for the frontline workers fighting the housing crisis is wrong 21 and must be reversed. Families' and 22 23 children's lives will be negatively affected if this draconian cut is instituted. 24

1 In addition to the program's human 2 capital is the economic development investment of this program. By working with 3 the community in leveraging other resources, 4 this program's return on investment is over 5 13 to one. The money is put back into the 6 neighborhoods. It's put into the 7 8 communities. In addition to this fantastic return 9 on investment, the local not-for-profits must 10 provide matching funds of 33 percent -- a 11 12 true testament that this program is 13 community-driven from the very neighborhoods 14 that it serves. The urgency of the housing crisis 15 16 can't be overstated. Half of renters and a 17 significant portion of homeowners in New York State are burdened by housing costs, with 18 19 low-income households bearing the brunt of this burden. 20 In closing, I will repeat my request 21 that the Neighborhood Preservation Program be 22 23 funded at \$18.34 million, with a \$260,000 carveout for the Neighborhood Preservation 24

1 Coalition.

2	Thank you.
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Community
4	Preservation Corporation.
5	MS. BURNS-MAINE: Thank you, Committee
6	Chairs Rosenthal and Kavanagh and other
7	distinguished members of the New York State
8	Senate and Assembly, for the opportunity to
9	speak today.
10	My name is Erin Burns-Maine, and I'm
11	senior vice president for policy and
12	government affairs at the Community
13	Preservation Corporation. On behalf of CPC,
14	I'd like to express gratitude to Governor
15	Hochul's administration, Commissioner
16	Visnauskas, and the Senators and
17	Assemblymembers who continue to advance
18	housing solutions here in New York State.
19	Today our affordable housing crisis is
20	ongoing, and the impacts are being felt by
21	all New Yorkers. Renters are pinched by the
22	high rents and limited supply. Statewide,
23	the percentage of renters who pay more than
24	30 percent of their income reached 51 percent

1	last year. The recently released New York
2	City Housing Vacancy Survey revealed the
3	city's vacancy rate had fallen to
4	1.4 percent, as we've talked about today, and
5	it's far below the range of 5 to 8 percent
6	that signifies a healthy housing market.
7	The situation is bleak for buildings
8	as well. As expenses have grown considerably
9	while collections remain below pre-pandemic
10	levels in a high-interest-rate environment,
11	affordable and rent stabilized buildings have
12	seen intensifying pressure on operations,
13	leading to tightening debt service coverage,
14	deferred maintenance, tax and water/sewer
15	arrears and mortgage delinquency.
16	From CPC's work managing a large
17	mortgage portfolio for affordable housing,
18	we've seen delinquencies double over the last
19	several years, dramatically increasing the
20	amount owed. We've also seen per-unit
21	insurance premiums increase an average of
22	17 percent a year since 2020, resulting in an
23	over 50 percent increase on pre-pandemic
24	rates.

Tenants and owners need help
 navigating these complicated times. And just
 as no one factor causes the housing crisis,
 no one solution will fix it.

5 We support the Governor's proposal to prioritize competitive programming funds for 6 communities that can demonstrate their 7 8 commitment to identifying and removing 9 impediments to housing growth, thereby driving investments in communities that 10 11 welcome new construction. The Pro-Housing Community program would reward communities 12 13 and help to grow the state's housing supply.

14 We are also encouraged by the Governor's proposal to prohibit insurance 15 16 carriers from raising premiums on property 17 owners based on a tenant's source of income, the existence of affordable housing units, or 18 19 the receipt of government assistance. We 20 encourage the Legislature to pass this legislation to ensure that the criteria 21 22 informing insurance underwriting decisions 23 does not discriminate against affordable housing properties and their tenants. 24

1 We also are looking for the 2 Legislature to help convene stakeholders and experts from across the housing field to work 3 towards creative solutions to address the 4 5 rising cost of insurance across the state that, absent meaningful action, will continue 6 to plague affordable housing providers and 7 8 developers. But the single most important tool 9 needed to facilitate the development needed 10 11 to meaningfully increase supply is the restoration of an as-of-right tax incentive 12 13 for multifamily housing development. We call 14 on the Legislature to enact 485-x. 421-a was not perfect. However, this program has -- I 15 16 will stop there -- has been improved. 17 Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 18 William Simmons? 19 20 MR. SIMMONS: Thank you. I come before you to discuss NYSPHADA's budget 21 request for this fiscal year. 22 23 Over the last several years, NYSPHADA has worked closely with our partners in the 24

state -- HCR, the Governor's office and the 1 2 Legislature -- to urge public housing authorities to rethink how they rehabilitate 3 public housing stock in need of 4 modernization. Many of our authorities are 5 considering RAD projects and pursuing 6 innovative alternatives to repurpose their 7 8 facilities.

NYSPHADA is very grateful for the 9 Legislature and the Executive for their 10 11 incredible support in the past several budget cycles to help modernize and rehabilitate our 12 aging facilities. We are expecting more 13 14 housing authorities to pursue major upgrades and Rental Assistance Demonstration projects 15 16 in the years to come. Therefore, we 17 respectfully request that the Legislature and the Executive set aside \$150 million in this 18 year's budget for public housing authorities 19 20 outside of New York City for substantial and moderate rehab and/or the demolition and 21 replacement through the construction of 22 23 public housing authorities developments outside of New York City. 24

1 We have taken advantage of over 2 \$250 million in the last five years to help public housing authorities across New York 3 State modernize their facilities. We want to 4 5 continue that momentum for our public housing authorities and provide the highest quality 6 of life for all of our residents. Several of 7 8 our authorities have undergone renovations 9 utilizing New York State tax incentive programs, including the following: Albany, 10 11 Amsterdam, Auburn, Buffalo, Freeport, Geneva, Glens Falls, Greenburgh, Herkimer, Ithaca, 12 13 Kingston, New Rochelle, New Hempstead, 14 Rochester, Rome, Saratoga Springs, Schenectady, Troy, Utica, White Plains and 15 16 Yonkers. 17 In addition to our budget request, we want to address an issue pertaining to 18 19 insurance discrimination. Insurance 20 discrimination is becoming an ever-growing issue in the affordable housing market. As 21 public housing authorities continue to 22 23 transition to RAD, we are more concerned about the growing threat of insurance 24

discrimination.

2	Therefore, NYSPHADA strongly supports
3	the passage of Senator Brian Kavanagh's bill
4	to address housing discrimination practices
5	by insurance companies for low-income
6	residents. This bill prohibits insurance
7	discrimination for affordable units as
8	well as Assemblywoman Linda Rosenthal's bills
9	that will address this issue as well,
10	prohibiting insurance companies from
11	requesting information on or discriminating
12	against buildings for the use of rental
13	subsidies in such buildings.
14	We therefore agree to support these
15	legislations and hope that we can get some
16	assistance with this unlawful discriminatory
17	practice.
18	Thank you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
20	And last, Sylvia?
21	MS. MORSE: Thank you.
22	Good afternoon, Chair Weinstein,
23	Chair Kavanagh and all members of the Senate
24	and Assembly here today. Thank you for the

opportunity to address the fiscal '25
 Executive Budget proposals on housing.

I'm Sylvia Morse, speaking on behalf
of Basement Apartments Safe for Everyone, or
BASE, a coalition of policy, legal services
and community organizations dedicated to the
safety and affordability of basement and
cellar apartments in New York City.

9 We strongly support Education, Labor 10 and Family Assistance Article VII, Part S, to 11 enable New York City to create a program to 12 safely legalize existing basement and cellar 13 dwelling units.

Basement and cellar apartments are a critical part of the city's low-income housing stock, home to tens of thousands of New Yorkers, and concentrated in areas that are majority people of color and where rent burden and poverty rates are higher than citywide.

Yet because these homes are not
legally recognized, there are no regulations
or resources available to ensure their safety
and affordability. Current law prevents

1 upgrades to better protect tenants in the 2 event of fire or the growing risk of flooding, as tragically shown by the deaths 3 of 11 New Yorkers in subgrade units during 4 5 Hurricane Ida. The city's only regulatory tool is a vacate order, which can result in 6 immediate homelessness for tenants with few 7 housing options, and destabilizing fines and 8 loss of income for low- and moderate-income 9 homeowners. 10

11 This legislation would grant New York 12 City local control to establish safety 13 standards for below-grade apartments and 14 create a program to existing units into 15 compliance. It would not automatically 16 legalize any basement or cellar apartment.

17 This citywide program would build on 18 the city's East New York basement conversion 19 pilot program, which established eligibility 20 criteria and safety standards agreed upon by 21 a multi-agency task force.

22 Specifically, this legislation would 23 make basement and cellar conversions feasible 24 and affordable by addressing duplicative and

1	outmoded regulatory barriers in New York
2	State's Multiple Dwelling Law, or MDL. Under
3	current law, basement apartments in two- and
4	three-family homes would become subject to
5	MDL upon conversion.
6	In two-family homes, the whole
7	building may be newly subject to the MDL,
8	requiring costly renovations unrelated to
9	basement safety. In the East New York pilot,
10	the MDL drove up conversion costs by
11	prohibitive six-figure increases. This
12	effectively barred two-thirds of pilot
13	applicants from the program, and we estimate
14	that the MDL may prevent much-needed safety
15	upgrades in half of potentially eligible
16	units.
17	There's precedent for this
18	legislation. The Legislature has passed
19	similar exemptions for loft conversions. And
20	the proposed reforms to MDL are narrow but
21	imperative for housing safety in New York
22	City. Without regulatory relief, basement
23	and cellar units will persist in the informal
24	housing market and remain beyond oversight.

1	Homeowners will be prevented from making
2	safety upgrades, and tenants will be left
3	vulnerable to hazardous conditions, eviction,
4	or to life-threatening disasters like
5	Hurricane Ida.
6	The power to bring safety measures to
7	New York City's basement and cellar
8	tenants or leave them in unsafe
9	conditions rests with this Legislature.
10	Thank you.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
12	We'll go to Assemblywoman Rosenthal
13	first, three minutes.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Thank you.
15	I'd like to thank all of you for your
16	wonderful work.
17	I'm wondering if you've had
18	conversations with the Governor or her team
19	about the needs that you've just talked
20	about, and if there is some sense of
21	understanding that we can't just rely on the
22	Legislature to play that game every year to
23	add funding for your necessary work.
24	MR. STREB: Thank you, Assemblywoman.

1 Yes, every year, you know, when the 2 cycle starts, you know, back in November, December, we reach out to DOB, we reach out 3 to our partners at HCR and have this 4 conversation. Because it's too important of 5 an issue to make everybody go back and forth. 6 The thought or the difficulty it puts 7 8 on these people that are boots on the ground, 9 providing these services, knowing that their funding may be cut -- and all they do is work 10 really hard to provide services. 11 12 So we try every year and thankfully to 13 everyone here, you guys see fit that this 14 funding is recognized and important. Thank you. 15 16 MS. MILSTEIN: Thank you for the 17 question, Chair Rosenthal. Yes, we start early, probably at the 18 19 end of session last year, with reaching out 20 to the second floor, to HCR, and bring our members. We've been meeting with the second 21 floor and many of you over the last six 22 23 months because we know that it's a lot to ask, and we want to make sure that we answer 24

1 any questions regarding these requests. And 2 we continually reach out to the second floor and to HCR staff to make sure that we're all 3 on the same page with the necessity of 4 5 providing this funding and are available to 6 answer any questions. ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Anyone else? 7 8 MR. SIMMONS: Yes. Thank you for the 9 question. We -- you know, as I recognized in my 10 11 opening comments, we work very, very closely with HCR and have been able to get a number 12 13 of great projects completed throughout 14 New York State with the dollars. It's been my understanding that there 15 16 are some monies left over from the 17 250 million, but most of those dollars are spoken for. Buffalo and Syracuse, where I'm 18 19 the executive director, we have large, 20 transformative projects that will be looking for some future dollars in the near future 21 22 and would not want that momentum to stop. 23 And so yes, we really have been working closely with HCR over the past five 24

years and looking forward to working with
 them over the next five.

3 Thank you. ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Thank you. 4 5 One of the themes of this hearing has been the need for affordable housing with 6 people who are homeless and people being 7 priced out of the state. And so denying the 8 groups in front of me enough funding to keep 9 people housed is insane. 10 11 Thank you. 12 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate. 13 SENATOR KAVANAGH: So I'll just begin 14 with a few questions, and a couple of my colleagues also have questions today. 15 16 So just for starters with the -- I 17 guess just start with Ms. Milstein and your affordable housing relief fund. Can you just 18 19 a little -- I think this is going to be new 20 for some members of the panel, and perhaps others watching. Can you just talk a little 21 bit more about, you know, you mentioned it's 22 23 partly because of arrears that built up and other constraints during COVID. 24

Can you just talk a little bit more
 about how that would work and sort of why
 existing HCR programs are not adequate to
 address that?

5 MS. MILSTEIN: Yes, thank you for the 6 question, Chair Kavanagh.

We have been working closely 7 8 throughout the pandemic with our members and 9 with HCR to do everything we can to triage the lack of revenue coming in to support 10 11 these buildings because of delays in the ERAP program and people misunderstanding what 12 13 their liability and their responsibility is 14 to pay the rent.

At the same time, we have supply chain problems, we have rising interest rates, we have insurance costs and other operating and maintenance expenses going through the roof during the same time. So revenues are going down, expenses are going up. And in the best of times those revenues are fixed.

22 So we've been working with HCR -- and 23 in fact NYSAFAH is just now drafting a term 24 sheet for how this might all work -- to

understand that for projects that aren't in 1 2 line yet for recapitalization, which would typically happen with our stock at Year 15 or 3 Year 30. These projects are newer than that, 4 5 and they didn't anticipate in years past that 6 they would need this influx of capital to maintain the building, to replenish reserves 7 8 and to just keep the building from foreclosure. 9

So we understand that we would --10 11 we've proposed that we would have a per-unit cap, a per-building cap. This isn't meant 12 for substantial rehabilitation. This is 13 14 meant to get these buildings that experienced problems during COVID to get through this 15 16 period of distress, back on their feet and 17 make it to the regularly cycled reinfusion of capital in 15 years. 18

19SENATOR KAVANAGH: And this would20be -- it would be a pool of funding,21\$250 million of capital funding, that then22effectively HCR would come up with some kind23of a program for people to articulate the24particular needs for their building and --

1 MS. MILSTEIN: That's right. There 2 would be -- HCR would establish the criteria to qualify for what we see as a forgivable 3 loan over like 10 years. So, you know, we're 4 5 really thinking with HCR about how to structure this so it makes sense, so we're 6 not overspending, but we're really taking the 7 buildings that are most at risk first and 8 9 prioritizing the needs. SENATOR KAVANAGH: Okay. And three 10 11 minutes goes fast, so I'll just take a minute to thank our other four panelists. You know, 12 13 we work very closely with each of your 14 organizations. You know, thank you for your testimony today and for all the work you do. 15 16 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 17 We go to Assemblyman Epstein. ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Yeah, thank you 18 19 all for being here. 20 Just for NYSAFAH and CPC, do you agree to a permanent affordability requirement to 21 exceed 12 FAR, like MIH? 22 23 MS. MILSTEIN: It certainly makes sense to us. We're not a part of the 24

1 conversation, but look, we really want to 2 return autonomy to New York City over their land use, and we're in favor. And obviously 3 we care about affordability, but we haven't 4 5 really examined what the criteria would be to have that move forward. 6 MS. BURNS-MAINE: We'd be happy to be 7 8 part of that conversation as well. 9 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: So at this point do you have a public position on MIH 10 11 exceeding 12 FAR? 12 MS. BURNS-MAINE: We're supportive of 13 the current proposal on the FAR cap, but we'd 14 love to be part of the conversation to work out those details. 15 16 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: So just if I can 17 turn our attention to 421-a. You'd even mentioned labor standards for 421-a, so I'm 18 19 wondering what requirements or labor 20 standards are you saying? The Governor didn't really put much in about labor 21 22 standards, and it's been a long conversation 23 about that as well as permanent 24 affordability.

If you'd quickly -- I don't have a lot
 of time unfortunately.

3 MS. MILSTEIN: Anybody else want to 4 take that one?

5 MS. BURNS-MAINE: There's a level of 6 detail that needs to be worked out for the 7 485-x proposal. And I -- you know, and given 8 the time allotted, I don't know that we can 9 roll up our sleeves and dig into all of it.

But as was raised earlier, I think during budget, to make sure that we figure out the AMI levels to make sure that we get the financing to work, that it pencils, that we are creating the units that need to be created.

16 What I would say is I think throughout 17 this hearing today we've heard time and time 18 again about the low vacancy numbers. We know 19 that 51 percent of HPD's pipeline last year 20 was -- those were 421-a housing starts. 21 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: And labor

22 standards too, across-the-board labor

- 23 standards?

24

MS. BURNS-MAINE: So again, so I -- I

- 1 can't get into the details of labor
- 2 standards right --

3 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: If it pencils4 out, it pencils out, basically.

5 MS. BURNS-MAINE: I would be happy to 6 be part of that conversation. CPC's at the 7 table, and we would love to engage and make 8 sure it works.

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Great.

So just on the BASE, thank you for all
 your work as well.

So if we don't do this in the budget, what authority does the city not have and why do we need to do it in our state budget?

15 MS. MORSE: Right. So right now 16 New York City, you know, the rules that are 17 local that govern basement conversions are 18 essentially the Housing Maintenance Code, 19 Building Code, and zoning.

The East New York pilot really is what -- did what a pilot program is supposed to do, showed us what the barriers were. And what we've seen is that with the Multiple Dwelling Law, it's effectively impossible to

convert basement and cellar units in two- and three-family homes.

3	So potentially with zoning reforms and
4	other efforts at the city level, there could
5	be a program that serves single-family homes.
6	But tenants who are living in basement or
7	cellar apartments would basically have
8	different rights or opportunities to make
9	their homes safe, depending on whether
10	they're in single- or two-family homes.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Great.
12	And just for NPC, clearly we've got to
13	get the money back in for capital for
14	New York City, as well as in New York City.
15	I appreciate you pushing for that. We need
16	to make sure that your capital dollars are
17	with you. Thank you.
18	MS. MILSTEIN: Can I just say thank
19	you for your leadership on the Martin Act.
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
21	To the Senate.
22	SENATOR KAVANAGH: There we go.
23	Senator Comrie.
24	SENATOR COMRIE: Thank you all for

being here.

2	I just wanted to follow from what you
3	said you said right now with the
4	regulations it's impossible to do ADUs for
5	what categories?
6	MS. MORSE: So specifically for
7	basement and cellar apartments in two- and
8	three-family homes. It's prohibitively
9	expensive and complicated.
10	SENATOR COMRIE: And what have your
11	costs been estimated for the one-family
12	homes?
13	MS. MORSE: That depends. I could
14	share, potentially, follow-up data on the
15	East New York pilot program.
16	But the costs for conversions in two-
17	and three-family homes are double what they
18	would be in single-family.
19	SENATOR COMRIE: My understanding is
20	that there was only one successful conversion
21	in that study. And can you tell us why?
22	MS. MORSE: So again, I can share in
23	detail after this, if it's helpful, the
24	interim report on the pilot program. There

1	are a small number of homes going through.
2	But the reason that that program has
3	not been able to reach more homes is because
4	of the Multiple Dwelling Law, which is what
5	we're advocating to reform today.
6	SENATOR COMRIE: Okay.
7	Different topic. The need for
8	solutions to rehabilitate existing apartments
9	and rent-stabilized units is keener than
10	ever. And from what I'm told, the 15 grand
11	cap is just not realistic.
12	Have you made any adjustments to come
13	up with a realistic cap for that, or looked
14	at our bill that I have with
15	Assemblyman Burgos to look at how to make
16	sure we can really get 10,000 units back
17	online and rent-controlled, rent-stabilized
18	units?
19	(No response.)
20	SENATOR COMRIE: Okay. Since nobody's
21	answering that question, we'll go I'll
22	keep going.
23	How many minority developers have you
24	worked with in developing housing? Or rehab,

rehabbed apartments.

2 MS. MILSTEIN: We have a program that fosters and mentors MWBE developers. And 3 every year, in fact, we give an award to an 4 5 MWBE developer that's done something innovative or new. 6 And we're very proud of the work we 7 do. And CPC has been a leader here too in 8 expanding the pool of qualified, capable MWBE 9 developers in the state. 10 11 MS. BURNS-MAINE: Absolutely. So through CPC's access initiative, we've 12 13 committed \$40 million in capital to new 14 up-and-coming BIPOC developers in New York State. 15 16 In addition to doing that, we've run 17 now I think four or five cohorts of a very successful program that brings resources to 18 19 the table, it's a training program, it's an 20 incubator program that has a curriculum that gets folks up and going with their projects 21 and also starts building a network. 22 23 SENATOR COMRIE: How much actually has been completed, completed projects that 24

are --

2	MS. BURNS-MAINE: That's a great
3	question. I'd be happy to follow up with you
4	with the exact numbers of developers we've
5	engaged and units completed. Happy to follow
6	up.
7	SENATOR COMRIE: Thank you.
8	I think that's my time, so I could
9	go longer.
10	(Laughter.)
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
12	Assemblyman Braunstein.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN BRAUNSTEIN: Thank you.
14	I want to talk to Ms. Morse about the
15	basement apartments. I just want to share
16	with you some of my concerns about the
17	Executive proposal.
18	For one, it doesn't seek to reform the
19	MDL, it just says "the MDL notwithstanding."
20	So there's questions about what safety
21	regulations would apply. Should we just not
22	withstand the MDL?
23	The other concern I have is cost. We
24	had Commissioner Carrión testify at a hearing

1	in December, and he estimated to convert
2	illegal apartments to make them up to code
3	would be 300,000 to \$500,000 each. And we're
4	talking let's I don't know, can we
5	expect people to be able to pay \$300,000 to
6	upgrade these units?
7	And I also have trouble with only
8	illegal apartments, right? Like right now
9	you're someone who's renting your apartment
10	out illegally, you're probably not paying
11	taxes on it, you're putting people in harm's
12	way, and we're going to say to you now the
13	city's going to give you a low-interest loan
14	to upgrade your property?
15	Whereas the person who lives next door
16	to you who's been following the rules all
17	along, perhaps they wanted to move a family
18	member into their basement they're
19	prohibited from doing it under the Governor's
20	proposal. It just seems that's troubling
21	to me that someone who followed the rules
22	can't do it, but someone who broke the rules
23	is now getting subsidized so they can fix the
24	apartment, continue to rent it out and

collect revenue.

1

2 So it's -- the concerns I have is the notwithstanding the MDL and now knowing what 3 safety rules apply, not having any kind of 4 5 conversations with the fire department and 6 those groups. The cost. Right? If it's \$300,000 to 7 8 upgrade, \$30 million will get you, what, a hundred units, right? And we've seen the 9 challenges you had in East New York. 10 11 And then, finally, the concept of just -- how do you even prove -- like how do 12 13 people prove that they're illegally renting 14 their apartment? You know, people see this coming, should they start renting their 15 16 apartment illegally so they can come out and 17 say, Look, I've been doing this illegally, now pay for the upgrades? 18 19 The whole concept is something that I 20 just -- I'm not comfortable with. And, I'm sorry, there's 40 seconds to respond. 21 MS. MORSE: Sure. 22 23 So on the first point, so the primary -- New York City's housing 24

maintenance code, building code, that's 1 2 really the primary way that New York City regulates the safety. The MDL is another 3 layer on top of that that applies to multiple 4 dwellings. So for single-family homes, for 5 two-family homes that exist today, they're 6 not subject to the MDL. So they are already 7 8 governed by New York City's robust safety regulations. And that is what would govern 9 the safety of these newly converted units. 10 In terms of cost, again, that cost is 11

being driven up by the challenges of trying to interpret and comply with this additional layer of code that's in many cases duplicative of the MDL. And it is less than new construction.
And on the last piece, I would just

18 say that it --

ASSEMBLYMAN BRAUNSTEIN: We'll follow
up. We're out of time. You did well,
though. You almost got it all in there.
Thank you.
MS. MORSE: I tried.

24 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We ran out of

1 time.

2	Senate?
3	SENATOR KAVANAGH: Senator Cleare?
4	(No response.)
5	SENATOR KAVANAGH: I don't think we
6	have any other Senators.
7	No other questions from the Senate.
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman
9	Chandler-Waterman.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CHANDLER-WATERMAN: All
11	right. Thank you so much, Chair.
12	Thank you so much for all the work
13	that you do to advocate for our landlords and
14	our tenants.
15	So I just wanted to ask NPC, Mark
16	Streb I appreciate working with you and
17	your team, especially within my district,
18	when it comes to landlord/tenant concerns,
19	especially with the issue with the issue
20	with ERAP issues with Mitchell-Lama. We had
21	a great meeting a week ago about that:
22	Sinking houses, infrastructure concerns due
23	to overdevelopment in our community.
24	We wish it was all affordable, right,

1 the housing, but we can keep working together 2 to reimagine housing. So I know there's like a nearly 5 million cut, right? What service 3 or program would we lose if that cut is in 4 5 effect? And are you able to leverage services with the dollars, you know, you 6 receive now? How does that impact us on the 7 8 ground? Because I mentioned the things that 9 we are doing together. Are we losing that? Could you please --10 11 MR. STREB: Thank you. 12 Right now each of the Neighborhood Preservation Companies -- you know, 13 14 on-the-ground not-for-profit housing organizations -- receive roughly \$129,723 for 15 16 each organization. And that allows them to 17 pay for staff, keep the lights on, keep the phone working. And that -- when somebody 18 comes in, you know, a tenant or landlord that 19 20 has a problem that they don't know how to 21 solve or they need help with, that is what 22 those staff, those organizations do. 23 So we're \$30,000 per company, which the cut would be -- that equates to not quite 24

1 a full-time staff person, but it equates to 2 20 to 30 hours of staff work a week, and 3 that's direct people that wouldn't be 4 assisted or helped when they come into the 5 office.

So it's -- you know, and that is 6 roughly a 33 percent cut, 34 percent cut in 7 8 their funding. And during a housing crisis, 9 we should be going the other way. Last year was the first year -- thank you again -- that 10 11 we actually saw our funding increase to make up for decades of flat funding, which is a 12 13 cut in funding based on the inflation from 14 the last 20, 25 years.

15 So people -- services would not be 16 provided. New York State HCR, we have a lot 17 of good programs. But if we can't get the 18 programs to the people, they're no good.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN CHANDLER-WATERMAN:
 Thank you so much.

21 And with the basement apartments, we 22 know that during COVID there was a lot of 23 regulations with garages and basement 24 conversions, and we know we're trying to be

1 creative how we do affordable housing. I
2 want to make sure as we make things legalized
3 that the constituents are worrying about are
4 they going to be overregulated now, is there
5 going to be more stuff put on them, more
6 burden, because now that it is -- become
7 affordable -- how do you answer that?

MS. MORSE: So if this legislation 8 were passed and New York City were able to 9 create a basement legalization program, the 10 11 only homeowners who would be subject to the new regulations would be those who applied 12 13 and said, I want to bring my basement or 14 cellar apartment up to code and provide housing. 15

16 ASSEMBLYWOMAN CHANDLER-WATERMAN: 17 We'll talk offline. Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 18 19 Assemblyman Burdick. 20 ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Yes, thank you. And thank you all for the work that 21 you do in this space. It's incredibly 22 23 important. You folks have been integral in increasing the supply of housing and 24

1 particularly affordable housing.

2	I don't know if you were following the
3	exchanges with Commissioner Visnauskas, but
4	you may have heard that my Hudson Valley
5	colleague, Dana Levenberg, and I are
6	introducing a package of housing bills. We
7	believe they complement the Governor's
8	policies and would appreciate your looking at
9	them and considering supporting them.
10	And we'd appreciate your letting us
11	know offline the appropriate person we'd
12	email them to.

And this next question I think is 13 directed primarily to CPC, and that is that 14 while we welcome the Governor's proposals to 15 expand housing, we believe it would be 16 helpful to have more that is statewide in 17 scope. And certainly there are things there, 18 19 but what more might you suggest that we do? 20 MS. BURNS-MAINE: Thank you, 21 Assemblymember, for that question. 22 You know, I think that the approach 23 that was laid out in the Pro-Housing

24 Communities is something that we're very

1	supportive of. I think we have to work
2	across all levels of government, so there's
3	also I think some federal housing resources
4	that were raised a little bit earlier
5	today we were talking about the affordable
6	housing tax credit bill and the LIHTC
7	expansion. These are things that would
8	definitely support overall housing
9	development in New York State and the
10	provision of housing and subsidies flowing to
11	the streets.
12	I'd be happy to speak further and
13	explore that more.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: That would be
15	great.
16	MS. BURNS-MAINE: We'd be happy to,
17	yes.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Any other on the
19	panel who might add to that?
20	(No response.)
21	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Good. No, we'd
22	be very interested in discussing that further
23	with you offline. Thanks so much.
24	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman

Lee.

1

2

3

ASSEMBLYWOMAN LEE: This question is for Mr. Simmons and Ms. Milstein.

You know, last year in the budget we 4 were able to secure \$391 million statewide 5 6 for public housing and Section 8 housing to fully fund the Emergency Rental Assistance 7 8 Program. Can you talk about how that's being 9 implemented across your developments and across your members' buildings and, you know, 10 11 the benefits you've seen from that funding?

MR. SIMMONS: Well, in our case the 12 13 program's been tremendous. It's helped out 14 so many families. Because especially as you know, for those families who have to leave 15 16 public housing with any arrears, they are no 17 longer prohibited from participating in the public housing programs until those arrears 18 19 are cleared up.

20 So initially, before the program was 21 implemented, we had families that couldn't 22 pay their rent, moved out of public housing 23 and couldn't get into public housing nowhere 24 else in the country, couldn't even get access

to the voucher program.

2 But with those resources, it helped many families out tremendously. It even 3 helped out the housing authorities. 4 5 Obviously those dollars went to pay for sorely needed staff to maintain the 6 properties, and for the physical upkeep. 7 8 And so in Syracuse we had probably \$2.3 million in arrears. We were able to 9 make a big dent in those dollars, and we're 10 11 still getting dollars in. With your help and so many other Assemblymembers -- even the 12 13 administration -- in flowing of the dollars 14 and how they come to our properties, that process has been improved with the help of I 15 16 know in particular Assemblywoman Chair 17 Rosenthal helped out some of our public housing authorities. 18 19 Because oftentimes that resident had 20 to accept those dollars when they came in, 21

but many of them moved on and the housing
authority still had that debt. And the
New York State Assembly, in particular
Assemblywoman Rosenthal, was able to help out

the Ithaca Housing Authority, and so many
 received those dollars.

3 So it has helped out tremendously.
4 And it -- naturally more would help, but
5 yeah, it really was a great program.

6 MS. MILSTEIN: Thank you for your 7 question. NYSAFAH was very involved in 8 advocating for those funds. And we didn't 9 stop once the funds were appropriated, we 10 actually got involved with OTDA. We were 11 like a concierge service for public housing 12 and for affordable.

13 And it really -- all of the tenants 14 who had some sort of subsidy were at the back of the line, and this money allowed many 15 16 subsidized tenants who legitimately suffered 17 through COVID, to receive those funds. And we helped OTDA understand, from the tenant 18 19 and landlord side, what was going on. And we 20 really intermediated there and got those funds delivered very quickly and efficiently 21 to tenants, which went through to landlords. 22

23And now that the money's all been24spent -- there's some slight overhang because

1 of timing -- we really need to make sure that 2 those buildings can stay afloat. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 3 We go to Assemblywoman Kelles to close 4 5 for this panel. 6 ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Thank you so 7 much. 8 First question for Mark, Neighborhood 9 Preservation Coalition. Can you just -- you touched briefly, but can you give us a 10 11 comprehensive list of the projects that you all do that help keep people in their homes? 12 MR. STREB: Thank you. And thank you 13 14 for your support. The programs that our organizations 15 provide run the entire gamut. Not every 16 17 organization provides the exact same programs, but we -- you know, when somebody 18 19 comes in and says "I don't have any heat" or 20 "My landlord's going to evict me" or, you know, "A tree fell through my roof" or "I 21 don't have any hot water" -- you know, the 22 23 list goes on and on and on. 24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: So you're the

catchall, really, for people to keep them in 1 2 their homes, get them in their homes. MR. STREB: When people need help, 3 they come to us and we help them. 4 ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Is there any 5 other entity in the state that does that? 6 MR. STREB: You know, we have a sister 7 8 organization, you know, the Rural Preservation Coalition, the Rural Advocates. 9 Great organizations. You know, we do more of 10 11 the urban and suburban; they do more of the 12 rural -- hence the name. 13 ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: They're your counterparts, so it's the --14 MR. STREB: Yes. 15 ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: -- the rural 16 17 and the neighborhood. MR. STREB: Right. 18 19 ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: But there's no 20 other entity that does that work. MR. STREB: No. I mean, there are 21 other housing not-for-profits that do not 22 23 participate in the Neighborhood Preservation Program, so those are great organizations 24

1 also. So --

2 ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Okay, so 3 there's some.

MR. STREB: But there's a subset that 4 5 participate in this specific program. And, 6 you know, we all provide different services. Because when somebody comes in, even 7 if we don't provide it, we don't say, Oh, 8 9 sorry, we don't provide that service. We say: Let's find out how we can help you. 10 ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: So there are 11 organizations -- you all support each other, 12 13 you're a network, but you create a foundation. I understand there's 60 RPCs. 14 How many NPCs are there? 15 MR. STREB: We're at around 134 right 16 17 now. They opened it up --ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Okay, so we've 18 19 got these 200 organizations that provide this 20 work, we've got others that do this as well. 21 You've got an over \$10 million cut between the NPCs and the RPCs in this budget. This 22 23 is used for operating funds, correct? MR. STREB: Yes. This is to allow the 24

doors to stay open -- the heat to be on, to
 pay for administrative costs. This is a very
 unique program that allows them to provide
 services.

5 ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: So it will 6 prevent you from being able to expand any of 7 those supports and potentially lose staff.

MR. STREB: Right.

allowed for this 10 million cut.

9 ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Okay. I just 10 wanted to get a sense of what the impact 11 would be across the entire state if we

8

12

13And the other -- really quickly, and I14apologize for this, I can't get to everyone.15I love that you are all here. Thank you so16much. The 150 million for the public17authorities, can you describe a little bit18what all of that would be used for around the19state?

20 MR. SIMMONS: Sure. 21 Well, as I'd mentioned before, as the 22 executive director for the Syracuse Housing 23 Authority, we have a transformational program 24 that takes some 675 units of public housing,

1	repurposes those, and adds on its footprint a
2	total of 1,400 of mixed-income and
3	market-rate units to deconcentrate poverty
4	and repair these units.

5 And so we'll be doing this over a 6 10-year period of time. And I think Buffalo 7 has the same type of a project. And there 8 are a number of other upstate organizations 9 that need to repurpose their properties as 10 well.

11 ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Thank you so 12 much.

13CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.14I want to thank all of the witnesses15in this panel.

16 And we move on to Panel B: Legal 17 Services New York City, Jay Inwald; Legal Services Access Alliance, Erica Zimny; 18 19 Center for New York City Neighborhoods, 20 Christie Peale; Right to Counsel Coalition and Community Action for Safe Apartments/New 21 Settlement, Randy Dillard; and Tenant 22 23 Political Action Committee, Michael McKee. Mr. Burdick, can you please take your 24

1

seat so we can begin?

2 Jay, you can begin. 3 MR. INWALD: Thank you so much. Jacob Inwald from Legal Services NYC, 4 5 director of litigation for economic justice. 6 I'm here to talk today about the Homeowner Protection Program. Most of you are familiar 7 8 with it. 9 I am not going to actually read my testimony because I think you've all really 10 11 heard all the particulars. I want to just seize on a couple of things that the 12 commissioner said and address some of those 13 14 things. Regrettably, this is an annual 15 16 exercise. I know we're a couple of weeks 17 past Groundhog Day, but it really feels like that. I do this every year. I got a 18 19 Facebook memory generated this morning, Here's a memory from seven -- oh, and it was 20 a press conference that we held in support of 21 restoring funding for HOPP seven years ago to 22 23 the day.

24

So if I'm expressing a little bit of a

1 tone of irritation, it is not directed at the 2 Legislature. The Legislature has -- I have nothing but gratitude to the Legislature that 3 has restored our funding for really more than 4 a decade. But I am a little bit grumpy about 5 it because there are better things that I 6 could be doing with my time than trying to 7 8 prevent us from being defunded.

9 And the annual threat of our defunding is not without -- it's not just the 10 11 irritation of having to do the advocacy. It has real consequences. These are long, 12 13 complex cases. And when there's a cloud of 14 uncertainty about whether we continue to have funding come July, we cannot take new cases. 15 16 Right? It inhibits our case acceptance. 17 There are people who lose their homes because of that. 18

19It causes staff attrition, right?20Because there are staff who are at risk of21losing their jobs, and there are other22opportunities that they -- so it is not just,23you know, this game of football without any24consequences.

1 And it was startling to hear the 2 candor of the commissioner to just say, well, it was a legislative add. That to me is not 3 a satisfactory policy response for why the 4 5 Governor does not value this program. So I think you're entitled to know why it is that 6 the Governor doesn't think that this is 7 8 worthy of funding.

9 I want to also mention the subject of deed theft. The commissioner mentioned that 10 11 there are provisions in the budget addressing deed theft. And I want to point out that as 12 13 recently as November 14th the Governor signed 14 important legislation addressing deed theft surrounded by two of our clients -- who we 15 16 restored their titles to -- and she sang the 17 praises of Brooklyn Legal Services, only to 18 two months later propose defunding the agency 19 that restored over -- almost a decade's worth 20 of litigation, that homeowner's -- those 21 homeowners' homes.

22 So all these protections are great, 23 but if there are no advocates to actually 24 vindicate those rights, that's really a

problem.

1

2 I know I only have a few seconds left, but I also just want to mention this is an 3 issue of racial justice. The mortgage 4 default rate for communities of color is 5 twice that of what it is for white 6 homeowners. And if you are talking about 7 8 depriving homeowners in need of these services, you're talking about depriving, you 9 know, a few communities in particular. So 10 11 that's also hugely problematic. And the need is still really eqregious. We've doubled the 12 13 number of clients that we've served over the 14 past year. So thank you, and I think I got the 15 16 main points in. 17 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. Erica? 18 19 MS. ZIMNY: Good afternoon, Senator 20 Krueger and Assemblymember Weinstein, Senator Kavanagh, Assemblymember Rosenthal, and 21 members of the Legislature and staff here 22 23 today. Thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony regarding Governor Hochul's 24

1 2 fiscal year 2024-2025 Executive Budget as it pertains to housing.

My name is Erica Zimny, and I'm a deputy director at the Legal Aid Society of Northeastern New York. Our executive director at LASNNY is Nic Rangel, and she is a board member of the Legal Services Access Alliance.

9 The Legal Services Access Alliance represents the seven largest providers of 10 free civil legal services outside of New York 11 City and includes Neighborhood Legal 12 13 Services, Legal Assistance of Western New 14 York, Legal Services of Central New York, Legal Aid Society of Mid-New York, the Legal 15 16 Aid Society of Northeastern New York, Legal 17 Services of Hudson Valley, and Nassau Suffolk Law Services. We collectively serve every 18 19 county outside of New York City and employ 20 nearly 1,000 legal services workers. We have two main budget requests we'd 21 like to present to the committee for 22

23 consideration.

24 First, continue support for legal

1 services for evictions. We are grateful for 2 Governor Hochul's continued support of \$35 million in funding in the Executive 3 Budget for the Legal Representation for 4 5 Eviction Services Program. This program is also commonly referred to as the Eviction 6 Defense and Housing Stability Program, and it 7 8 was created to provide legal services and representation for eviction cases outside of 9 New York City. 10

11 Last year the Legislature appropriated 12 an additional \$15 million to further expand 13 this program to New York City and other areas 14 throughout New York, for which we and our 15 partners are incredibly appreciative.

16 The Office for Temporary and 17 Disability Assistance administers this program, and this funding has been critical 18 19 to assisting struggling New Yorkers. OTDA, 20 with expertise in providing services to those with housing instability, has been an 21 22 excellent partner in implementing this 23 program and serving the public. With this state support, the alliance members and 24

partner organizations have helped countless
 individuals navigate through their eviction
 crisis.

This funding has been transformative 4 5 for eviction services in New York. With this state investment, all the alliance members 6 and subcontracting partners' eviction 7 8 programs have grown, as have the number of staff who can provide these legal services 9 throughout New York. We have increased the 10 11 network of eviction legal services and expanded representation in underserved areas, 12 including city, town and village courts in 13 our rural counties, and all other underserved 14 15 tenants.

16 Additionally, the alliance is 17 continuing to develop our partnerships with local law schools to recruit and train new 18 lawyers, which will help to create a diverse 19 20 and robust pipeline of civil legal service 21 providers in New York. We have also hosted 22 several statewide training programs, attended 23 by hundreds of legal services attorneys, to help build out best practices in providing 24

1 eviction representation in New York.

2	Lastly, with this state support,
3	alliance members have obtained a federal
4	Technology Initiative Grant to develop a
5	statewide online intake portal to increase
6	access to legal representation, and also
7	created a toll-free hotline that tenants can
8	call to be directed to.
9	Thank you.
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Next?
11	MS. PEALE: Good afternoon. My name
12	is Christie Peale, and I am the CEO and
13	executive director for the Center for
14	New York City Neighborhoods.
15	I would like to thank Chairs Krueger,
16	Weinstein, Kavanagh and Rosenthal for today's
17	hearing and the opportunity to testify.
18	I'm here today to call for the full
19	restoration of the Homeowner Protection
20	Program, also known as HOPP, at the level of
21	the previous budget number of \$40 million.
22	And I'd just like to take a moment to add to
23	some of Jay's comments.
24	So you probably know that the HOPP

1 network not only has amazing legal services 2 partners, as you just heard from, but also high-quality housing counselors who serve 3 every county across New York State. We serve 4 5 15,000 families every year, and over the 6 course of the decade-plus that we've been around, we have served 120,000. We've helped 7 these New Yorkers avoid homelessness, 8 9 crushing debt and displacement. Just another piece of Jay's point, 10 11 43 percent of our clients across the state 12 are BIPOC New Yorkers. In New York City, 13 that percentage is 75 percent. 14 We save New York State over \$1.2 billion in value preservation, 15 16 property-value preservation, property taxes 17 and other costs every year. So while this is a significant investment in this incredible 18 19 network of service providers, we help the 20 state maintain value, and we help the state 21 maintain property tax revenue. Every million dollars invested in HOPP yields a 22 23 return of over \$5 million in tax and property 24 cost savings.

1 If the HOPP funding is not restored, 2 we lose a huge number of assets, as you heard from Jay, including the statewide toll-free 3 hotline, representation at mandatory 4 5 settlement conferences. And again, I keep mentioning property tax payments because I 6 think it's a really huge issue across the 7 8 state, and the HOPP network has been really 9 significantly impactful in making sure that municipalities, school boards, every taxing 10 11 entity got paid through the Homeowner Assistance Fund over the past two years, to 12 13 the tune of \$53 million. So we are 14 maintaining revenue and returning revenue to the state. 15

16 To that point, we are also calling on 17 the state to find additional funding for direct financial assistance for homeowners. 18 19 We're asking for \$50 million to help all of 20 the homeowners who couldn't get assistance 21 through the Homeowner Assistance Fund. They 22 weren't eligible due to federal restrictions, 23 or we weren't able to get to them. We served 18,000 families, in partnership with the 24

state, but there are many others who we
 weren't able to help.

3	And on the revenue side, I just wanted
4	to highlight Chair Rosenthal's vacancy
5	registration and tax bill. This is an
6	opportunity to generate revenue. In
7	addition, the End Toxic Home Flipping Act
8	could also generate revenue. We could look
9	at affordable title insurance. We have a lot
10	of ways that we can generate the revenue that
11	we need to save New York families.
12	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
13	Randy Dillard.
14	MR. DILLARD: Yes, I'm Randy Dillard.
15	I'm with the Right to Counsel Coalition, and
16	I'm also with Community Action for Safe
17	Apartments.
18	I'm here today because I had an
19	attorney, I went to court in 2013 for
20	nonpayment, which money I did not owe.
21	Section 8 did an inspection on my apartment,
22	and my apartment didn't pass inspection, so
23	my landlord took me to court.
24	I'm a single parent with five kids. I

1 was in court for two and a half years. And 2 if I didn't have an attorney, I wouldn't be able to testify for rights for tenants so 3 they can get an attorney today, after the 4 5 suffering that me and my kids went through. 6 My landlord was a police officer who harassed me and my family. My daughter 7 dropped from As and Bs to Ds and Fs because 8 she was afraid of going to a shelter. We 9 started the Right to Counsel Coalition in 10 11 2012. We won; it took us three years, we won it. After we won it, 84 percent of the 12 13 tenants got to stay in their homes because 14 they had legal representation. Evictions went down 40 percent, and landlords stopped 15 16 suing, taking tenants to court by less than 17 30 percent. Over 20 cities, states and counties 18 19 have passed RTC laws since we won in 2017. 20 Westchester County passed RTC last year. Right now over 175,000 tenants 21 statewide are facing eviction right now. 22 23 We have a solution. We have a solution. We are now working with over 100 24

1 statewide partners to pass statewide right to counsel for all New Yorkers. Our bill is 2 S2721, introduced by Senator May, which would 3 guarantee the right to a free lawyer for all 4 tenants facing eviction across the state. 5 6 We're asking for \$260 million in funding this year to begin implementing the right to 7 8 counsel.

9 Two hundred sixty million is going to enable a wholesale change in our courts. 10 11 It's going to allow tens of thousands more tenants to be represented. It is going to 12 13 equip attorneys to fully litigate their cases 14 and help ensure long-term housing stability for tenants. It's going to transform the 15 16 workplace conditions for legal providers and 17 help us to compete nationally, attracting the next generation of civil legal talent to 18 New York State. It will support organizers 19 20 who are working hard to ensure tenants know 21 what their rights are.

22 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
23 And just a reminder, everybody, your
24 full remarks -- your written submitted

1 testimony is available on the websites. So 2 not to worry if you run out of time. Next, Michael McKee. 3 MR. McKEE: Good afternoon, and thank 4 5 you for the invitation to testify. My name is Michael McKee, and I'm the treasurer of 6 the Tenants Political Action Committee. 7 8 I want to talk about three things. 9 First, I want to commend a new bill to you. Just recently Senator Cordell Cleare and 10 11 Assemblymember Emily Gallagher introduced a bill to create a new social housing 12 13 development authority. This will be a new 14 state agency to create and manage and maintain genuinely and permanently affordable 15 16 housing. It is a chance to change the 17 conversation, to get away from the tired narrative that the only way we can build 18 19 housing is to bribe the private sector to do 20 it and to give them subsidies to build 21 market-rate housing, and in return we get a 22 little bit of supposedly affordable housing. 23 For 25 years I have heard Andrea Stewart-Cousins, including before she was 24

elected to the Senate, when she was a member
 of the Westchester County Board of
 Legislators, say "We need a new Mitchell-Lama
 program." Well, this is it.

5 And we urge you to take this bill 6 seriously. It is not pie in the sky. It's a 7 very serious piece of legislation, and I want 8 to commend Senator Cleare and 9 Assemblymember Gallagher.

Secondly, I want to talk about the 10 11 housing package that is being cooked up at a 12 leadership level, supposedly to go into the budget. From what we have learned about it, 13 14 this housing package is totally unacceptable. I am speaking not only for Tenants PAC, I am 15 16 speaking for the entire statewide tenant 17 movement, including Housing Justice for All. Number one, the bill includes 18 apparently an unacceptable version of 19 20 good-cause eviction. Number two, it includes another 21 iteration of the failed 421-a program with 22 23 yet another numerical moniker, although it 24 looks to me like the Governor might be

1 running at the end of the alphabet.

2 Finally, and most egregiously, it includes the CHIP/REBNY vacancy reset bill, 3 which is a new form of vacancy decontrol. It 4 5 is not about the 10,000 apartments that 6 landlords are holding off the market, claiming that they cannot afford to renovate 7 8 them. It would put a target on every rent-stabilized tenant's back who has been in 9 occupancy for 10 years or longer. It took us 10 11 25 years to repeal vacancy decontrol; we are 12 not about to sit silent while it gets 13 reimposed.

14 Finally, I want to call your attention to problems with the implementation of the 15 16 ETPA-Upstate New York. Kingston and now 17 Newburgh have opted into the system. There are other municipalities in the pipeline. 18 19 What happened in Kingston was a disgrace. In 20 my written testimony I have a reference to the chronicle I wrote about this called 21 "Lessons from Kingston, New York." It's on 22 23 our website. I urge you to read it. And I urge the two Housing Committees to do 24

1 oversight hearings about what's going on 2 here.

3	Thank you very much.
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
5	We go to Assemblymember Rosenthal.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Thank you.
7	And thank you all for all your great
8	work in keeping tenants in their homes,
9	homeowners in their homes, and just eking
10	every using every penny that you get. And
11	we're going to fight hard to get more for
12	you.
13	I wanted to ask Mr. McKee if you could
14	elaborate about the bill that you mentioned
15	earlier.
16	MR. McKEE: The vacancy reset bill?
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Yes.
18	MR. McKEE: Yes. This bill was
19	drafted by CHIP, the Community Housing
20	Improvement Program. It's lately been taken
21	over by REBNY, the Real Estate Board of
22	New York. I mean, REBNY has a lot more
23	credibility in Albany.
24	It's a very dangerous bill. It would

reimpose a form of vacancy decontrol. It's a wolf in sheep's clothing -- it's a classic wolf in sheep's clothing. And as I said, it would apply not just to New York City and the three suburban counties, it would apply to any future municipality that opts into ETPA-Upstate New York.

8 This bill would put a target on the 9 backs of long-term residential tenants, anyone who's been in occupancy for 10 years 10 11 or longer. We saw this during the 25 years that vacancy decontrol was in effect when 12 13 landlords had an incentive to get people out. 14 They had an incentive to harass people and evict them because they could get a 15 16 deregulated apartment.

17 This would allow them to do the same 18 thing. And it's simply not thinkable that 19 the Legislature would really be thinking 20 about going down this road.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: I took a quick look at it earlier. You know, we saw a lot of abuse with MCIs and IAIs over the years that we mostly fixed in the 2019 HSTPA.

But isn't there part of this bill that calls for doing this but with very little oversight?

4 MR. McKEE: Yes. I mean, if you were 5 going to consider as part of a package 6 increasing the amount that owners could spend 7 on renovating an apartment upon vacancy, that 8 would be one thing as long as you were doing 9 it within the framework of the rent 10 recognition regulation system.

11 If you want to amend the individual 12 apartment improvement formula as part of an 13 overall package that would give a greater 14 allowance to landlords who want to fix up those vacant apartments, as long as that's 15 16 done within the framework of the rent 17 regulation system and it does not allow landlords to reset the rent at market, then 18 19 that would not be as objectionable.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Okay, that's
very helpful. Thank you.
CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: To the Senate.

23 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thanks.

24 Just I guess to continue -- I'll start

1 where my colleague left off, just to say, you 2 know, I think we've all seen the advocacy 3 agendas of many organizations this year and last year, including, you know, CHIP and 4 5 REBNY, the ones that you mentioned. But, you know, there's not, to my knowledge, any 6 particular bill that's being cooked up right 7 8 now that includes, you know, the package that 9 you mentioned that is being -- you know, I think "cooked up" was your term at the --10 11 MR. McKEE: We only know what we hear, Senator. We don't -- we're not privy to 12 13 what's going on behind closed doors. 14 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Okay. I'm just -since that has been articulated on the record 15 here, I'll just articulate my perspective, 16 17 which is there is not at this point a bill that's being cooked up that I'm aware of that 18 19 includes the elements that you referred to. 20 But -- which I guess should be comforting to 21 you. I think -- you know, just to say --22

23 I'll just -- in the interests of time I'll 24 just say I agree very strongly that we should

1 not have a process where we fight for large 2 amounts of money for really essential services for homeowners and tenants and then 3 in January it's announced that those numbers 4 5 are zero and then we spend about 80 days or 6 so returning to the previous numbers. And then you all have to wonder if that cycle 7 will be successful each time. 8

9 It is odd, particularly this year with respect to HOPP, because the Executive Budget 10 had started having a baseline of \$20 million 11 previously. So -- but just where -- you 12 13 know, we are very attentive to the issues 14 that arise from that process. And we're going to be working to ensure that we, you 15 know, fully fund these programs. 16

17But -- and just thank you all for18being very effective at using that money for19its intended purpose. We really appreciate20it. But I'll stop there.

21 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.

22 We go to Assemblywoman

23 Chandler-Waterman.

24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN CHANDLER-WATERMAN:

1

Thank you, Chair.

2 I appreciate your advocacy for the most vulnerable population, especially Black 3 and brown communities, seniors, individuals 4 5 living with mental health conditions. 6 But Jacob, Legal Services New York City. Deed theft, right, with our Black and 7 brown and our older adults. Seniors 8 experience deed theft and the burden of proof 9 falls on the seniors to prove that the person 10 11 who scammed them and the person who acquired the property unlawfully were in 12 collaboration -- like they knew each other, 13 14 they knew about it. In your expert opinion, what needs to 15 16 be done or is currently in place to support 17 our older adults who are being preyed on? Because I know you mentioned deed theft. 18 19 MR. INWALD: So these are really tough 20 cases. There was some legislation that was passed and signed, which I referenced that 21 22 the Governor signed at the end of last year, 23 that makes some improvements to the process. But all of these cases first of all represent 24

1	situations where someone was trying to avert
2	foreclosure. Right? And had they reached
3	members of the HOPP network, they would never
4	have fallen for these scams. So that's the
5	most important thing.
6	And then this is also the network that
7	actually represents those homeowners to
8	restore their title. But these are very
9	resource-intensive and difficult cases. So
10	every case every fact pattern is
11	different, and the scams are constantly
12	evolving. So I can't necessarily, you know,
13	talk about the burden of proof in one
14	particular type of instance because they're
15	constantly, you know, changing in light of
16	what's going on and
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CHANDLER-WATERMAN: We
18	can talk offline more about it.
19	MR. INWALD: Sure, I'd be happy to
20	talk to you more about that. Probably more
21	than you would ever want to hear.
22	(Laughter.)
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CHANDLER-WATERMAN:
24	Okay. Legal Aid Services that's Legal Aid

1 Society, right?

MR. INWALD: Legal Services NYC. And
we serve all five boroughs.
ASSEMBLYWOMAN CHANDLER-WATERMAN: So
I'm talking about Legal Services Access?
MS. ZIMNY: The Access Alliance.
ASSEMBLYWOMAN CHANDLER-WATERMAN:
Alliance, right. That's the Legal Aid
Society falls under you, or no?
MS. ZIMNY: So Legal Aid Society of
Northeastern New York is a member of the
Access Alliance.
ASSEMBLYWOMAN CHANDLER-WATERMAN:
Okay. And do you all deal with mental health
supportive housing and support of those who
are going through that process?
MS. ZIMNY: I'm sorry, I couldn't hear
you.
ASSEMBLYWOMAN CHANDLER-WATERMAN:
Mental health supportive housing, do you have
jurisdiction or support in that area, of
helping community members fill out the
application to get support, like Form HRA
2210E? You know, family members are having a

lot of issues, when their loved ones are in a
 mental health facility, getting that form
 filled out because they think it's too much
 paperwork in the hospitals.

5 So we're trying to figure out what 6 support can be given or have you been giving 7 to those particular families and those living 8 with mental health conditions?

9 MS. ZIMNY: We have many different 10 member programs, and so I'd love to talk to 11 you more offline about that.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN CHANDLER-WATERMAN:Okay, thank you so much.

14 And we want to make sure that they are getting the supportive housing that's needed, 15 16 and how we could build a plan to make sure 17 that families are included in anything. Because, you know, in order for them to 18 19 really recover, they need that support from 20 their families. And family members need to know how to advocate, especially when they're 21 hospitalized. And they need to have 22 23 sustainable housing. If you don't have housing, you don't have food, you don't have 24

1 2

24

shelter, how can you really thrive in the community?

So thank you all so much for your 3 work, and I look forward to working with you, 4 5 especially on these topics. Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate? 6 7 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Senator Jackson. SENATOR JACKSON: So first I want to 8 thank you all for coming in. You are 9 extremely important for all of the people 10 11 that are in court and having attorneys 12 represent them. Right to counsel should be 13 for everyone going into the courts. 14 And so to the young man who fought the system and you're still alive and strong, let 15 16 me just say that you have a lot --17 MR. McKEE: Flattery will get you everywhere, Senator. 18 19 (Laughter.) SENATOR JACKSON: You have a lot of 20 people behind you in order to -- for what 21 22 you're doing. 23 So I want to ask Mike, Mike, what do you consider the definition of good-cause

1	eviction?
2	MR. McKEE: A definition of good-cause
3	eviction?
4	SENATOR JACKSON: Yes. Because I
5	asked the commissioner about did she support
6	good-cause.
7	And so I'm asking you, what is your
8	definition as a housing expert?
9	MR. McKEE: First of all, all it is,
10	ultimately, is a defense. It is a defense to
11	an unfair eviction or an unfair rent
12	increase. If a tenant is brave enough an
13	unregulated tenant is brave enough to stay
14	and fight.
15	And we know from experience I mean,
16	organizers are always telling tenants: Do
17	not self-evict. And unfortunately, many of
18	them do because they just either are too
19	afraid or they can't get a lawyer or they
20	don't understand their rights.
21	So to have the real estate lobby
22	characterize this as universal rent control
23	or "lease for life" is so hypocritical and so
24	nonsensical.

1 But the version that we understand is 2 being discussed -- because the Governor has 3 strongly opposed this bill ever since she became Governor -- and the Legislature has 4 5 for five years in a row failed to pass it, leaving half the tenants in this state living 6 in unregulated apartments with no defenses of 7 8 any kind to unfair evictions or unfair rent 9 increases.

A valid form of good-cause eviction 10 11 must be mandatory, not local option. It's absurd to expect that upstate municipalities 12 13 are going to have to do this by local option. 14 And this whole formula that some staff member cooked up last year about a portfolio size --15 16 that, you know, a landlord who owns fewer 17 than X number of apartments would not be covered by good-cause -- is a loophole so big 18 19 you could drive a truck through it.

20Those are unacceptable provisions. It21has to be mandatory, not local option, and it22has to be for specific units. Our bill,23which is sponsored by Senator Salazar --24SENATOR JACKSON: I want to get in one

1 more question. Thank you --2 MR. McKEE: -- would exempt one and --3 two- and three-family homes --SENATOR JACKSON: I need to get one 4 5 more question in. MR. McKEE: -- owner-occupied. 6 7 SENATOR JACKSON: So, Jacob, you 8 represent Legal Services of New York. Do all 9 of the tenants going into court have counsel, yes or no? And what can we do to make sure 10 11 that they get it? MR. INWALD: I'm talking about --12 13 SENATOR JACKSON: Talk into the mic, 14 please. MR. INWALD: I'm sorry, I can't 15 16 speak -- I'm talking about the homeownership 17 services we provide, so I'm not talking about tenants. 18 19 But it's a continuum of services. So 20 some cases we'll represent them for the 21 settlement conference phase. Sometimes we'll 22 just be helping people put in an answer so 23 that their defenses are preserved. And in 24 some instances we're taking on full-on

1	representation defensively in a foreclosure
2	case, and in other cases affirmative
3	litigation.
4	SENATOR JACKSON: Thank you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
6	Assemblywoman Kelles.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: I wanted to
8	thank you all. Thank you for coming,
9	committing to this. And Randy, thank you so
10	much for sharing your story.
11	It seems and there's another piece
12	that irks me a bit about this, is that we
13	have a pilot. It has been proven to be
14	successful. And now not providing it to the
15	rest of the state is the ultimate in
16	inequity. And it is, I think, inhumane and
17	unconscionable, since we already have the
18	data that it works.
19	So thank you so much for coming.
20	MR. DILLARD: Thank you.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: And I just
22	wanted to add, thank you so much, Michael,
23	for the definition for good-cause.
24	The one thing that I wanted to add

that bothers me about -- as it seems to bother you -- is that it is being used to say that now no one can evict for any reason. It has nothing to do with that. It is about, you know, the raising -- the unrealistic raising of rents, right, like evicting without cause.

8 If someone breaks their lease by doing 9 something in that lease agreement that they 10 agreed not to do, that is still cause to 11 evict. And those are being conflated, and I 12 despise that. So thank you so much for 13 saying it.

14 I just wanted to give you an opportunity, though, to talk a bit about 15 16 the -- your comments about a new 17 Mitchell-Lama program, social housing. I want to take that phrase back, because it is 18 19 so important that we create diversity of 20 housing. We talk about it all the time. Why would we not allow for social housing so 21 well, since it has worked so astoundingly 22 23 well throughout the world. And there's this amazing bill, as you described, from Cleare 24

and Gallagher.

1

2 Can you talk a little bit about the proponents -- the pieces of it that you think 3 really stand out that are so critical? 4 5 MR. McKEE: Well, thank you, Ms. Kelles. 6 And I just want to -- I think this is 7 8 perhaps the first time we've seen each other 9 since we were in Vienna together. ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: I think so too. 10 MR. McKEE: And both chairs of the 11 12 Housing Committee were with us. 13 ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Blew my mind. 14 MR. McKEE: We spent a week in Vienna studying their social housing. I would urge 15 16 any member of the Legislature -- maybe it's 17 time to organize another trip to Vienna with more of you joining us. It was amazing what 18 19 we saw. I mean -- beautiful --20 ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Forty-four percent of the community --21 MR. McKEE: -- public housing built 22 23 more than a hundred years ago, still in operation. And still run by the City of 24

1 Vienna. And 62 percent of Viennese live in 2 some form of social housing. Rents are low, even market rents. The private landlords 3 have to compete with the social housing, so 4 5 market rents tend to be fairly low. 6 I mean, 600 euros is a normal rent in Vienna. That's like, what, \$650? Something 7 8 like that. ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: And this bill 9 is modeled after that, what we --10 11 MR. McKEE: Yeah. I mean, and it's mixed-income. Only the most affluent 12 Viennese are not eligible for social housing. 13 14 This is one of the reasons it's so popular. It's like Social Security: Everybody gets 15 16 it. This is why the Republicans have not 17 been able to do in Social Security. So I strongly believe in mixed-income 18 19 housing as long as the balance is correct and 20 you have enough housing for really low-income people who need it the most. 21 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 22 To the Senate. Brian, you have 23 anybody else? 24

1	SENATOR KAVANAGH: Next we have
2	Senator Senator Brisport's up next.
3	SENATOR BRISPORT: Thank you,
4	Mr. Chair.
5	And thank you to our panelists for
6	being here today.
7	I have a couple of questions for
8	Mr. Inwald and also Mr. McKee from Tenants
9	PAC.
10	Mr. Inwald, first up, do you come
11	across any tenants in 421-a apartments who
12	are being overcharged on their rent, despite
13	the state subsidizing the real estate sector?
14	MR. INWALD: So I'm not the right
15	person to answer questions about tenants
16	because the practice that I oversee is really
17	all about representing and preserving
18	homeownership. So
19	SENATOR BRISPORT: Okay. Okay. I
20	only have questions for Mr. McKee, then.
21	(Laughter.)
22	SENATOR BRISPORT: Thank you.
23	Mr. McKee, on brokers' fees, could you
24	tell us a bit more about the impact of

brokers' fees on tenants? In New York City
 we hear horror stories from our constituents
 all the time.

MR. McKEE: I assume you're talking 4 5 about your bill that would prohibit landlords 6 from passing brokers' fees on to tenants? SENATOR BRISPORT: Yes. 7 8 MR. McKEE: I mean, this is a 9 peculiarity of the New York City rental market. It is absurd that tenants who are 10 11 looking for an apartment have to pay a broker who's hired by the landlord. 12 13 And there was an article in the New 14 York Times, what, three days ago, four days ago? Something like that. The average cost 15 16 of moving into an apartment now, including 17 the broker's fee and one month's rent and security deposit, is like \$10,000. I mean, 18 19 that's just a huge impediment to people who 20 are looking for a place to live. And it's unfair. It's just absurd that tenants should 21 22 have to pay a broker unless the tenant hires

23 the broker. Period.

24 SENATOR BRISPORT: Yeah. Period.

1 And on the good-cause eviction bill, 2 could you please describe what happened in the City of Kingston after they opted into 3 ETPA and what the problems were with the lack 4 of enforcement --5 MR. McKEE: Oh, thank you for asking 6 that, Senator. I could go on forever. I 7 urge you all to read my chronicle, "Lessons 8 from Kingston." 9 Once Kingston opted in -- we were not 10 prepared for this. We should have been, but 11 it was a good lesson. We should have started 12 tenant education months before. Because once 13 14 the City of Kingston opted in, there was mass confusion for three or four months. 15 16 Landlords went wild with, you know, 17 misconduct. Even though rents were legally frozen until the new Kingston Rent Guidelines 18 19 Board was constituted and could vote on rent 20 adjustments, landlords were demanding that tenants sign illegal leases and pay illegal 21 rent increases. 22 23 Tenants at a seven-unit building who

should have been protected by ETPA were

24

1 evicted, and the building was emptied by a 2 speculator who bought it and told the tenants he was going to flip it for a million 3 dollars. And they were evicted even though 4 they were technically protected by ETPA as 5 6 well as the Kingston good-cause eviction law, which at that point was in full force and 7 8 effect. And they were evicted because they 9 couldn't get a lawyer. They couldn't get a lawyer. And all seven families -- six of 10 them Black -- are gone. One of them is now 11 in a shelter, one's in a Section 8 building, 12 13 and one moved to Albany. I don't know what 14 happened to the others. We're seeing the same kind of illegal 15

behavior in Newburgh, where we're seeing tenants reporting that landlords -- even though Newburgh rents are frozen, landlords are hitting them with demands for signing leases with a big rent increase.

And the agency, DHCR, does nothing.
There's no enforcement at all. Which is why
I'm suggesting that the two Housing
Committees have an oversight hearing about

1 this.

2	It's going to be the same problem in
3	every other future municipality that opts in.
4	SENATOR BRISPORT: Thank you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
6	We go to Assemblyman Brown.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN KEITH BROWN: Thank you,
8	Chair.
9	Just one quick comment. I just
10	appreciate all your help with the Homeowner
11	Protection Program. I'm from Suffolk County.
12	Our county is one of the hardest-hit when it
13	comes to foreclosures. And in particular,
14	Touro Law School has a clinic to help support
15	your efforts. So I just wanted to say thank
16	you.
17	MR. INWALD: Thank you. And Touro is
18	actually part of the HOPP network.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN KEITH BROWN: Yes. Thank
20	you.
21	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Back to the
22	Senate.
23	SENATOR KAVANAGH: Senator Salazar.
24	SENATOR SALAZAR: Thank you.

1	Michael, could you speak more about
2	specifically you know, you talked about a
3	loophole, right, so large that you could
4	drive a truck through it. What is the risk?
5	Like what is actually lost? If you don't
6	mind elaborating.
7	MR. McKEE: No.
8	SENATOR SALAZAR: Failing to protect
9	the integrity of the bill.
10	MR. McKEE: Right.
11	Well, your bill defines it the way it
12	should be. Your bill would subject every
13	unit, every rental unit in the state that is
14	not rent-regulated in some way public
15	housing, Mitchell-Lama, whatever to the
16	good-cause eviction law. And you would
17	exempt two- and three-unit owner-occupied
18	buildings, which is appropriate.
19	But some staff member last year cooked
20	up a formula that a landlord who owns fewer
21	than X number of apartments would be exempt
22	from the law. I mean, this is the kind of
23	things landlords some landlords are really
24	good at manipulating. And with the Governor

1	now making the LLC registry not available to
2	the public, it's going to make it harder for
3	tenants to even know who their landlord is.
4	So the correct way to do this is the
5	way it's done in your bill, to specify
6	specifically that it's going to apply to
7	these units.
8	SENATOR SALAZAR: Thank you.
9	And then I have a very different
10	question for Christie. You mentioned the
11	End Toxic House Flipping Act, S1569A thank
12	you, I appreciate that in the context of
13	potentially generating revenue.
14	How else could that bill help
15	alleviate and address problems that are
16	impacting the housing market in communities
17	in New York City?
18	MS. PEALE: Well, certainly first from
19	a preservation perspective, if we can deter
20	purchasers who are just looking to, you know,
21	slap a coat of paint on a property and flip
22	it for, you know, 100, 200 percent profit,
23	thereby evicting families from affordable
24	rental units, you know, we certainly save a

lot in preserving owner-occupant and their
 tenants that are most likely receiving
 below-market rents through an owner-occupant
 landlord. So that's one piece.

5 And then I think that what we'd like to see is if there are short-term flips, that 6 a percentage of that upside be returned to 7 8 the community, either in the form of, you 9 know, what we're all dreaming about, new affordable homeownership, whether that's 10 11 through Mitchell-Lama or other multifamily affordable home ownership, such as subsidized 12 13 co-ops, community land trusts. I imagine 14 those would be a piece of a social housing 15 approach.

16 But we're really interested in 17 creating new affordable homeownership supply, as a part of the fact that we know we've lost 18 19 tens of thousands of BIPOC homeowners across 20 the city through the foreclosure crisis, through gentrification, through a lot of 21 22 speculative pressure and all the deed theft 23 schemes that Jay mentioned.

24 So there's a lot that we're fighting

- 1 against and we're really optimistic about the
- 2 way revenue could help us address it.
- 3 SENATOR SALAZAR: Thank you.
- 4 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
- 5 Assemblywoman Levenberg.
- 6 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LEVENBERG: Thank you so 7 much to all of you for your testimony and for 8 all of the good work you do.
- 9 And Mr. Dillard, thank you for all
 10 your struggles and your testimony as well. I
 11 appreciate hearing that.
- I just wanted to agree with the idea of going to Vienna, number one. I think that sounds great. And I appreciate your clarifying some of the social housing pieces from the Gallagher legislation.
- 17 I did want to just follow up with some of the questions about good-cause to some of 18 19 the legal services teams because, you know, 20 out of curiosity. You know, we hear a lot of pushback obviously about what would happen in 21 22 the legal processes because of good-cause. I 23 do support this legislation, but I'm wondering, again, what the other, you know, 24

perspective is in terms of just taking a lot of time to get through the process.

And if you could clarify some of that for us, I think it would be helpful, because I'm hopeful that it's not the lengthy process that we're hearing about from the other side, I guess you could say.

8 MS. PEALE: Again, you have a lot of 9 folks here that spend a lot of time defending 10 against the state process of foreclosure in 11 the State Supreme Court. So I would love Jay 12 to recommend some resources.

13 MR. INWALD: What I can say is my 14 colleagues who work with rental housing, I'd 15 be happy to connect you offline and I don't 16 want to sort of overstep my bounds and sort 17 of, you know, go beyond my lanes, which is 18 sort of outside of my expertise.

19So -- but I'd be happy to connect you.20We have a huge amount of resources on that21subject. It's just that I'm not that22resource, so --23ASSEMBLYWOMAN LEVENBERG: I gotcha. I

24 gotcha. Okay.

1	I guess I guess that's I mean, I
2	don't know, Mr. McKee, if you wanted to
3	comment on it then, you know, from from
4	what you know. Mr. McKee?

MR. McKEE: Well, I don't think it 5 6 would have a huge impact on the court system. 7 What it would do is give unregulated tenants, which is roughly 1.6 million tenants 8 statewide -- including tenants in rural 9 areas, who are never going to have access to 10 11 a lawyer, and where landlord/tenant cases are 12 often heard by justices of the peace who know nothing about landlord/tenant law. 13

14It gives people a defense. And if15you're brave enough to stay and fight and16say, No, I'm not going to pay that rent17increase, or No, you can't evict me for no18reason, that tenant will have a defense that19they don't now have.

I mean, it's essential to give people the right to counsel. That's huge. And it's very important. But if you don't give people an affirmative defense, all a lawyer's going to be able to do is buy some time.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN LEVENBERG: Yeah. And
 shout out to --

3	MR. McKEE: You know, Kathy Hochul
4	says we've got more tenant protections in
5	New York State and therefore we don't need
6	any more. Well, I'm sorry, half the tenants
7	in this state live in unregulated apartments,
8	and they are not protected in any way.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LEVENBERG: Thank you
10	very much. Appreciate it.
11	SENATOR KAVANAGH: Senator May.
12	SENATOR MAY: Thank you.
13	And thank you, all of you, for the
14	great work you do and your advocacy. And
15	Randy, for your work on right to counsel.
16	I'm proud to carry that bill.
17	I wanted to say first to Mike, if
18	going to Vienna is too far, we should go to
19	Montgomery County, Maryland, and see the
20	public developer model at work there, because
21	they've done some really great work with
22	mixed-income housing that people love living
23	in. And so I would love to have a trip down
24	there with you.

But on right to counsel, what I keep 1 2 hearing is that we don't have enough lawyers to actually do the work that would need to be 3 done statewide. So I want to pick your 4 5 brains about how do we ramp up that pipeline of attorneys who can be -- who can make a 6 program like that actually work statewide. 7 MS. ZIMNY: I think specifically from 8 9 the legal services point -- oh, sorry. Thank you for the question. 10 11 And specifically from the point of the Access Alliance, we are actively working with 12 13 all of our law school partners across the 14 state to create internships and fellowships with law clerks, to get them interested in 15 16 the work that these organizations are doing 17 so that when they come out of law school they're excited and ready to work within 18 19 these organizations. Additionally, across the state we've 20 had to look at raising salaries to attract 21 qualified candidates. And so I think the 22

issue comes back to funding and to exercisethose relationships early within the law

schools.

2	MR. INWALD: And if I could just jump
3	in on that, sweeping funding from IOLA is not
4	going to help. I know that's beyond the
5	scope of this committee. But, you know,
6	there is a huge problem with pay parity for
7	legal services, so resolving that would be
8	really helpful.
9	MR. DILLARD: And that's why we're
10	asking for \$260 million, because it would
11	help pay lawyers and to bring lawyers in.
12	And it would help pay organizers. And it
13	would help pay the office that we're getting
14	ready to open up to get started.
15	SENATOR MAY: Yeah, I was wondering
16	about that too, if there is an administrative
17	level that needs to be funded
18	MR. DILLARD: Yes.
19	SENATOR MAY: and supported in
20	addition to just having enough attorneys to
21	do this. Everyone's nodding. Make the
22	record. Record, everybody is nodding. Okay,
23	great.
24	Thank you so much. And again, thanks

1	for your leadership on all of these issues.
2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
3	Burdick.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Thank you,
5	Chair Weinstein.
6	And I want to thank each of the
7	panelists for the terrific work that you're
8	doing.
9	I should mention to Erica Zimny that
10	we really appreciate the coalition that
11	you've put together of legal services
12	statewide, and the Westchester County
13	delegation is certainly extremely supportive
14	of Legal Services of the Hudson Valley, which
15	I know is part of that alliance. So thank
16	you for that work.
17	I'm just going to ask the same
18	question I asked of the previous panel. I
19	don't know whether any of you had heard the
20	exchange with Commissioner Visnauskas about
21	the work that my Hudson Valley colleague Dana
22	Levenberg and I are doing about trying to do
23	something more on a statewide basis, and if
24	any of you might have any comments about it.

(No response.)

2 ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Maybe you didn't 3 hear it.

4	MS. PEALE: I'm sorry, doing more
5	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: So, you know,
6	it's really to try to it does not have
7	construction mandates. Instead, it provides
8	that municipalities need to come up with
9	something. They have to come up with a
10	housing plan. They have to assess need.
11	They have to take a look at mixed-use housing
12	along the income range and require something.
13	In a housing hearing back in December,
14	New York City said they're fine in putting
15	together a plan. They do that anyway. So it
16	seems that this can be done statewide, it
17	doesn't have to be centered in just New York
18	City or just upstate.
19	And so we would welcome your thoughts
20	and would be happy to provide that to you and
21	would appreciate your feedback and whether
22	you might support it.
23	Thank you.
24	SENATOR KAVANAGH: Senator Cleare.

SENATOR CLEARE: I want to thank all
 of you for being here today and the work that
 you're doing ongoing.

Mike McKee, I am a huge fan of all the 4 5 work that we've done together over the years. This is a comment question. I don't 6 know if you were here when you heard about my 7 8 testimony. My district which I represent, which includes Harlem and East Harlem and 9 El Barrio, aggressively gentrified. You 10 11 know, I feel like I'm fighting for the very soul of my community, the exodus of Blacks 12 13 out of New York State, not just the city --14 that have left the state not because they wanted to, but because they could not afford 15 16 to live here. And it's continuing across the 17 city.

I would like to know if there's more that we can do. And losing HPD, losing the community preference battle a couple of weeks ago, I just want to know what we can do to preserve and protect and allow us to maintain a diverse population in New York City. And also for the work that you do.

1 I chair the Aging Committee. Very 2 concerned about our seniors who are getting defrauded. And I think it's a despicable 3 crime. I think it's horrible. I sadly think 4 5 more people get away with it than don't. And 6 I'd like to know what we can do legislatively to help those cases that are so long and so 7 8 arduous and take so much time and so many resources -- as well as allow us to even look 9 back longer, and what can we do where there 10 11 are cases where this has happened? 12 Seniors, they don't have the strength 13 to fight. You don't even have to be a 14 senior. These cases wear people out. Even in landlord/tenant court, they will wear you 15 16 out. When Mike McKee talks about folks in 17 Kingston, you know, not having a lawyer, that makes a difference. Because even just to 18 19 take off from work to show up -- they know 20 that they can show up more than you. What can we do? 21 MR. INWALD: Well, with one minute and 22 23 6 seconds --24 SENATOR CLEARE: You can call me.

1	MR. INWALD: I would say, first of
2	all, preserving existing homeownership, which
3	is one of the reasons why I'm here, is
4	really, really key. Right?
5	There's no reason to lose the all
6	the talk about, you know, increasing the
7	housing stock and everything, when our
8	clients, if they're not represented by us and
9	they lose their homes to foreclosure, that is
10	the loss of affordable housing on several
11	in several respects. And it actually feeds
12	gentrification, right? Because the people
13	buying these properties at auction are
14	private equity and speculative investors who
15	are not maintaining these as, you know,
16	community
17	SENATOR CLEARE: I'm looking forward
18	to a conversation later, with recommendations
19	legislatively that we can
20	MR. INWALD: Yeah, I'd be happy to
21	have a yeah.
22	SENATOR CLEARE: Yes, please.
23	MR. McKEE: I would recommend that you
24	put the 300,000 or so apartments that were

lost to vacancy decontrol back under 1 2 regulation. And which, for some reason, were not included in the Housing Stability and 3 Tenant Protection Act. That's a substantial 4 5 chunk of the New York City and three county housing stock, and it was not reregulated for 6 some reason. 7 8 SENATOR CLEARE: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 9 Senate? 10 SENATOR KAVANAGH: I think we have no 11 12 further questions. 13 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Oh, we still have -- that's just the Senate. We have a 14 couple of Assemblymembers. 15 16 Assemblywoman Lee. 17 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LEE: Thank everyone for being here. 18 19 Just a question for Mike. You know, there have been conversations around 20 including good-cause in the budget, but more 21 including it in intention but maybe not 22 23 naming it as good-cause. Does it make a difference as long as protections are there? 24

Do you care that the name is there or not?

2 MR. McKEE: It depends on the kind of 3 protection.

I mean, the formulation that we've 4 5 been told that is being pursued by -- at a leadership level, whether it's true or not, 6 that's what we are being told -- is 7 unacceptable. It's unenforceable. It would 8 not -- it would leave out 85 percent of 9 tenants in upstate New York. It would leave 10 11 out a substantial number of tenants living in smaller buildings in New York City and in the 12 13 suburban counties. They would be without any 14 protections.

And we're simply not going to accept a watered-down good-cause eviction. If you can't give us a real good-cause-eviction bill, no bill is better. We don't want a screwed-up, watered-down bill that is not going to work just so some legislators can say "We passed good-cause."

I'm sorry, that may sound very blunt,but I tend to be a very blunt person.

24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LEE: But if it is in

1 its totality but not named that, that's okay, 2 is what -- you're okay with that?

MR. McKEE: (Sighing; chuckling.) 3 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LEE: Okay. And then 4 this is just more of a comment, because I 5 represent a district that has a lot of -- a 6 large Asian community. And there's certainly 7 a lot of misinformation about what good-cause 8 9 is, and it gets propagated through, you know, the Asian property owners and things like 10 that, when there are a lot of vulnerable 11 Asian tenants who would benefit greatly from 12 13 good-cause.

So just something that I'd like to 14 say, I'd like to just reemphasize for anyone 15 16 providing legal services, we need -- and 17 language services that are culturally competent, please come to our communities. 18 19 We need that. And we need strong organizing 20 as well so that we can build that groundswell of support for the tenant protections that 21 really do help so many vulnerable members of 22 23 the community -- but they get drowned out or lost because of, you know, the language and 24

1 other issues.

2	Thank you.
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
4	And to close out this panel,
5	Assemblyman Manktelow.
6	(Audio echo.)
7	ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: Thank you,
8	Chair.
9	Jacob, I want to say thank you for
10	everything HOPP does. I'm from the
11	130th District, Wayne County, up near
12	Ontario County, and you've done a lot of good
13	work there through your organization, so
14	thank you.
15	My second question, to Michael, if you
16	have a few minutes. I appreciate you being
17	blunt. I think you'd make a great
18	Assemblymember being blunt.
19	(Laughter.)
20	ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: So you talked
21	a little bit about social housing. So a few
22	years ago I was in New York City; I've seen
23	housing in NYCHA, the city housing authority.
24	I've been overseas, I've seen housing, social

housing in different countries. I want to go see Vienna.

When you talk about social housing, how is that going to be different than some of the housings that I've seen around the world, and including New York City? What are you going to do to make sure that doesn't happen with this type of housing?

9 MR. McKEE: This is a very long conversation, and we would have to go back to 10 11 the 1930s and what the federal government did in establishing public housing and some of 12 13 the restrictions they put on it, including 14 how much you could spend to construct it. Which is why so much of it is badly 15 16 constructed and has maintenance problems. 17 Social housing in other countries, including France and Germany, is much 18 19 different: It's beautiful, it's 20 well-constructed, it's constructed at the highest standards of construction. They hire 21 top architects to design it. It doesn't all 22 23 look like projects. It's a very different 24 approach.

1 And that's what we're talking about. 2 We're not talking about, you know, monolithic, you know, buildings that are all 3 red brick and badly built and where the 4 5 government does not meet its obligations in 6 terms of funding for maintenance. I mean, these are low-income people. You can't --7 8 you can't run a building on the rent rolls in 9 public housing. You've got to have subsidies. 10 11 And, you know, government at every level, especially the federal government, you 12 13 know, just doesn't do what they should be 14 doing. ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: So I'm glad 15 16 you brought up Germany. I spent three years 17 of my life there, and I've been in a lot of that housing. And I agree with some of the 18 19 things you say, maybe not all of them. 20 But I'd love to spend a little time 21 with you on the side where we can spend a few 22 hours and really talk about this in depth, 23 because I want to see where you think we can go, how we're going to fund it -- but more 24

1 so, how are we going to help the tenants that 2 are in those homes, in those rental units, make that last long-term for them? Because 3 we can't constantly throw state or federal 4 5 dollars at that. We have to give them the 6 ability to work and to help self-fund the 7 rent. 8 So I'm in full support of talking with 9 you. I want to go to Vienna. Let's go see these places and let's talk. 10 11 So thank you for your testimony, and thank you all for being here this afternoon. 12 PANELISTS: Thank you. 13 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 14 While we plan our field trip, I want to thank 15 16 you all for being here. 17 And I'm going to call on Panel C: New York Building Congress; New York Housing 18 19 Conference; American Institute of Architects 20 New York; 32BJ SEIU; and Open New York. 21 (Pause.) 22 SENATOR KAVANAGH: So whenever you're 23 ready. 24 MS. WYLIE: Good afternoon,

Chairs Weinstein, Kavanaugh, Rosenthal and
 members of the Senate and Assembly.

My name is Megan Wylie, and I am the 3 director of government relations at the 4 5 New york Building Congress. And I want to 6 thank you for allowing us to speak today regarding the Legislature's efforts to create 7 8 a comprehensive plan in the FY 2025 budget to address our state's current housing 9 affordability crisis. 10

11 The New York Building Congress 12 represents over 500 constituent organizations 13 comprising over 250,000 skilled tradespeople 14 and professionals dedicated to the growth and 15 prosperity of New York City and State.

16 With the lack of adequate affordable 17 housing stock, one of the biggest obstacles to these goals, we applaud the Legislature's 18 19 eagerness to shape a housing package that 20 incorporates the diverse priorities of labor, 21 property owners and developers, third-party stakeholders, the 46 percent of New Yorkers 22 23 who rent their homes, and those currently struggling to find a place to call home. 24

The Building Congress has already 1 2 committed to working with a broad coalition to take much-needed action once and for all. 3 We echo Governor Kathy Hochul's 4 5 proposal in the Executive Budget to extend eligibility for the recently expired tax 6 incentive program and enact a newer and more 7 robust one to reinvigorate the development of 8 affordable housing. 9 We also support the Governor's 10 11 proposals to remove antiquated restrictions on the FAR cap that hinder development, 12 streamline environmental reviews on 13 affordable housing projects, boost the 14 conversion of unused commercial space into 15 16 residential units, and incentivize new 17 opportunities for homeowners and tenants like accessory dwelling units. 18 19 We respectfully request that you 20 consider these items to be included in any plan put forth by the Legislature. We 21 believe the dire current statistics outlined 22 23 in our recent Construction Outlook Report

24 underscore this request.

1 New York's housing crisis went from 2 bad to worse when we lost the number-one driver for residential housing development in 3 decades. While the program had its critics, 4 5 when 421-a expired in 2022 we saw a dramatic drop in the construction of new residential 6 affordable housing. In New York City alone, 7 8 our annual projections for new units dropped from 30,000 to just over 11,000. This 9 situation is unsustainable, and we are 10 11 counting on the Legislature to ensure a home for every New Yorker. 12 13 In the Governor's Executive Budget she 14 introduced 485-x, a replacement program for 421-a. We believe this proposal has the 15 16 potential to provide a much-needed shot in 17 the arm for our statewide housing stock and will address criticisms of previous incentive 18

485-x would allow the Department of
Housing Preservation and Development to
determine updated affordability indexes, and
includes extending the completion deadline
for vested projects that qualified for 421-a

programs.

19

benefits but failed to start construction 1 2 prior to the June 2022 deadline. This would save over 33,000 rental units currently in 3 the pipeline. Extending the deadline is 4 5 appropriate to account for delays and construction challenges due to the pandemic. 6 Furthermore, the Building Congress is 7 8 most importantly committed to supporting the 9 stipulation that makes the program dependent on the Building and Construction Trades 10 Council and the Real Estate Board of New York 11 agreeing to a memorandum of understanding. 12 13 With member organizations across both 14 labor and development we are ready, willing, and able to use our unique position to help 15 16 quide this program towards success. We have 17 the opportunity in the FY 2025 budget to improve the lives of all New Yorkers, and we 18 19 owe it to our great state to meet this 20 crucial moment. Thank you again for the opportunity to 21 22 testify. Sorry. 23 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thank you. I just don't want Assemblymember 24

1 Weinstein to hear that, you know, once she

2 left the room bedlam broke out, so.

(Laughter.)

3

4 MR. PASTREICH: All right. Thank you,
5 Committee Chairs Rosenthal, Kavanaugh and
6 Weinstein.

I'm here today because what I am is --7 8 and very proud to be -- is the representative of nearly 100,000 working New Yorkers, 9 members of SEIU Local 32BJ who call New York 10 11 home. They're not just the heart and soul of the largest property service union, they're 12 13 also the working people, the essential 14 workers who are struggling to find affordable housing within a reasonable commute to their 15 16 jobs.

17 That's the common thread you've heard many times today, you will hear in coming 18 19 weeks from other labor leaders -- like DC37 20 and the hotel trades -- and you'll continue to hear until a new housing paradigm is 21 22 established. Right now we have too few units 23 of affordable housing too far away from where 24 people work.

In years past, addressing the crisis may have been too big a mountain to climb, but I'm optimistic that if we take a different route, a middle ground, that we can collectively reach our common goal of reaching a set of policies that works for both tenants and workers.

8 First, we must recognize the problem. 9 New York City's housing crisis didn't appear overnight. It's a result of years of new 10 11 housing construction failing to keep pace with population and job growth. And it's a 12 13 problem that unfortunately has grown more 14 acute since the expiration in 2022 of 421-a, the program which spurred a majority of the 15 16 new housing production in recent years.

17 From 2010 to 2020, New York City's population increased by approximately 18 19 630,000 residents. The city gained a million 20 new jobs while its housing stock increased by only 200,000 units. That discrepancy between 21 job growth and housing production meant that 22 23 for every job added, less than one in five units of housing was created. That's 24

1 literally the definition of demand

2 overwhelming supply.

And as the New York Times said, the housing availability is at a 50-year low. And the result is exactly what you'd think: Not enough housing to go around, and workers being forced to travel long distances, sometimes two hours, to live in the only neighborhoods they can afford.

10 We need to produce hundreds of 11 thousands of housing units to meet the 12 projected half-million shortfall, and to do 13 that we need to create and reinvigorate 14 policies so we have tools to meet and 15 maintain the housing needs of working people 16 and all the residents.

17 Specifically, we believe there's a few key actions that should be taken. The first 18 19 is to extend the project completion deadline for 421-a and create new tax abatements for 20 rental housing construction. It's been 21 22 proven to increase supply, increase affordability, and have labor standards to 23 ensure jobs and pay a living wage for 24

workers.

2	Second, we need to authorize tax
3	incentives benefits for converting unused
4	commercial property to affordable housing.
5	Third, we should lift the 12-FAR cap
6	to allow for greater housing density.
7	Fourth, we should enact strong tenant
8	protections to prevent arbitrary evictions,
9	including the ability to renew leases at
10	reasonable rent.
11	Fifth, we should establish a Housing
12	Access Voucher Program to provide immediate
13	rental assistance to avoid homelessness.
14	And sixth, provide a right to counsel.
15	SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thank you.
16	MS. DONOHUE: Good afternoon. I am
17	Bria Donohue, senior manager of government
18	affairs at AIA New York. We represent more
19	than 5,000 architects and design
20	professionals committed to positively
21	impacting the physical and social qualities
22	of our state.
23	Thank you, members of the joint
24	legislative budget committee, for the

opportunity to participate in today's
 hearing.

To avoid being repetitive, I'm going to focus my testimony today on office-toresidential conversions. In order to maximize the opportunity in front of us, there are three key barriers that need to be addressed to create a feasible pathway for office to residential conversion.

First, AIA New York supports ELFA 10 11 Part Q to authorize New York City and the New York State Urban Development Corporation 12 to allow for denser residential development. 13 14 Since 1961 there has been a cap on floor area ratio in New York City which limits the size 15 16 of residential buildings to 12 times the size 17 of the lot on which they are built.

18This presents a challenge for19conversion projects, because the FAR cap only20applies to residential buildings. Many21commercial buildings, however, are greater22than 12 FAR, so this cap serves as one of the23first impediments to office-to-residential24conversion projects.

Second, AIA New York supports ELFA
 Part R to authorize tax incentive benefits
 for converting commercial properties to
 affordable housing.

5 Without government incentives, 6 conversion projects with affordable units are 7 effectively impossible. Conversion projects 8 are expensive to start with, and adding on 9 affordable units without government 10 assistance to offset costs is not feasible.

In this current economic climate where interest rates and construction costs are high, a new tax incentive program is essential to producing housing in New York City and creating an opportunity for the inclusion of affordable units to be a realistic option.

18Third, AIA New York recommends19amending the date conversions are permitted20in the Multiple Dwelling Law from 1961 to211990. By extending the date, approximately22120 million square feet of office space will23be eligible for conversion. This proposal is24needed to open the full-scale opportunities

for conversion projects.

2 Additionally, one of the many challenges with conversion projects is that 3 buildings are often not entirely empty and 4 5 it's often too costly to buy or wait out tenants' leases. For buildings built before 6 1961 this is not an issue, and conversions 7 8 can begin with tenants in units. However, for buildings built after 1961, the Multiple 9 Dwelling Law prohibits conversions beginning 10 11 with a single tenant in place. Therefore, the date extension is essential to remedying 12 13 this roadblock. Additionally, AIA New York is 14 supportive of the policies to create a 15 16 replacement for 421-a, extend the completion 17 date for 421-a projects, and create a pathway to legalize basement apartments included in 18 19 Governor Hochul's Executive Budget, as well 20 as legislation to encourage transit-oriented development and legalize accessory dwelling 21 22 units.

23Thank you again for the opportunity to24testify today and for your consideration of

1	AIA New York's budget requests. Our full
2	testimony has been submitted for your review,
3	and I welcome any questions you may have.
4	SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thank you.
5	MS. FEE: Good afternoon. I'm
6	Rachel Fee, executive director of the
7	New York Housing Conference. Thank you for
8	this opportunity to testify.
9	Last year I spoke in support of
10	statewide zoning action to boost supply and
11	improve affordability. We still believe
12	New York needs to adopt a housing supply
13	framework including transit-oriented
14	development, tax incentives to spur
15	development, and rental assistance to prevent
16	homelessness.
17	New York's housing vacancy rate has
18	dropped to the lowest in decades. Finding an
19	affordable home in New York City and in much
20	of New York State is nearly impossible. The
21	Legislature should pass a budget with
22	policies to encourage housing supply and with
23	support for the lowest-income renters. All
24	policies requested by the City of New York to

help them address the city's housing crisis
 should be granted.

Without a 421-a replacement, the
city's local zoning authority is undermined
and affordable housing development is only
viable in the lowest-income neighborhoods,
letting wealthy, resource-rich communities
off the hook.

9 Projects that went through public review and were negotiated by New York City's 10 11 City Council are also undermined. And Mayor Adams' City of Yes citywide zoning text 12 13 amendment to add housing in every 14 neighborhood is also undermined without a new rental tax abatement. And the City of Yes 15 16 won't add much rental housing at all. 17 We support replacing 421-a with a tax abatement that requires affordable 18 19 housing with rents affordable to low-income New Yorkers. We support a state Housing 20 21 Access Voucher Program to prevent homelessness and help New Yorkers afford 22

23 rent. We support linking aid to renters to

24

adding supply, to ensure housing access. For

1 example, 485-x could require low-income 2 housing in the highest-rent neighborhoods, where it could be cross-subsidized. And it 3 could allow setting rents affordable to 4 5 voucher-holders to give them access elsewhere. 6 Outside of New York City, the 7 8 Governor's Pro-Housing Community designation can be used to reward communities that add 9 housing with a formula that increases HAVP. 10 11 While we need to build more housing, we must also ensure continued investment in 12 13 our housing stock and support for renters 14 falling behind in rent, including in public housing. Arrears in affordable housing 15 16 continue to be significant. A third of 17 renters in affordable housing owing more than two months in rent were identified in a 18 19 sample of 52,000 units of affordable housing 20 we analyzed. Limitations for improvements in 21 22 rent-stabilized buildings should be 23 revisited. With high interest rates and rising operating costs, it's important that 24

1 this housing stock remains financially sound 2 and in good repair.

We're pleased Governor Hochul has 3 taken the first steps towards regulating 4 5 discriminatory practices in insurance, but 6 more must be done. While addressing housing policy is 7 8 challenging, the divided Congress just passed 9 an expansion for the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit in the house, so we think here in 10 11 New York the Legislature and the Executive can find compromises to our housing crisis. 12 Thank you. 13 14 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thank you. MS. GRAY: Thank you, Chairs Kavanagh 15 16 and Rosenthal. My name is Annemarie Gray. 17 I'm the executive director of Open New York, an independent grassroots pro-housing 18 19 nonprofit with hundreds of volunteer members 20 across the state. New York's housing affordability 21 crisis is rooted in the fact that we simply 22 23 do not build enough homes. Displacement, 24 exclusion, tenant harassment and homelessness are all exacerbated by our dire housing
 shortage. Homeownership is out of reach for
 everyone but the wealthiest. Landlords know
 that renters have far too few options.

5 Frankly, your landlord should always 6 be aware that you can find another apartment. 7 We have the opposite. Nearly 1.4 percent of 8 apartments, as many people have said, in 9 New York City are vacant and available for 10 rent. That is the lowest in over 50 years.

11 Not only are workers from doormen to 12 janitors to architects to carpenters seeing 13 their industries impacted by the lack of 14 construction, but working people across the 15 state don't have enough options to live near 16 jobs and spend hours commuting to work -- or 17 just leave New York entirely.

18The proposals in the Governor's19Executive Budget are positive steps to20introduce new incentives for pro-housing21communities, advance housing projects on22state-owned land, and revive tax incentive23tools in New York City to build mixed-income24housing in well-resourced communities.

However, these proposals do not go nearly far
 enough.

We need an "all of the above" approach 3 to housing. We need incentives, we need 4 5 subsidies, we need vouchers, we need tax 6 tools, we need tenant protection measures to help people facing pressures right now. And 7 critically, we need state-level zoning and 8 land use reforms that ensure that all corners 9 of the state are building more homes. 10

11 Unlike many other states, New York allows local governments absolute power to 12 13 ban housing growth, which perpetuates 14 patterns of segregation and exclusion and worsens our housing crisis each year. The 15 16 Legislature must come to the table with 17 strong solutions. This will require a multiyear commitment from all levels of 18 19 government, but we can take meaningful steps 20 this year to build the foundation and clear away major hurdles to building housing in a 21 22 more affordable way.

23The Faith-Based Affordable Housing24Act, which empowers religious organizations

1	to develop affordable housing on their
2	properties and includes proposals to reform
3	environmental review practices and
4	single-stair building design, is an important
5	start that we can do this year.
6	We need our state leaders at every
7	level of government to pass reforms that
8	build more homes of all types this year, next
9	year, and every single year for the
10	foreseeable future.
11	Thank you.
12	SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thank you.
13	So we're going to begin with
14	Senator Myrie.
15	SENATOR MYRIE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
16	And thank you to the panel for your
17	patience in waiting today.
18	My first question is directed at
19	Manny. Firstly, congratulations on the new
20	commercial cleaning contract.
21	My question is, you know, because we
22	have this discussion about the needs and
23	we're all clear about what the facts are, and
24	at times we divide forces that could be

1 easily coalesced. And they do this with 2 labor as well. So I'm asking from a labor perspective: Are you aware of how much of 3 your members' wages they spend on rent? 4 5 MR. PASTREICH: Yeah, thank you for 6 the question, Senator. So our members in New York City 7 8 range -- our commercial members and 9 residential members are on the higher range of our members. And I would say, you know, 10 11 our members are very much like working-class 12 New Yorkers. And I think the stat roughly 13 for working-class New Yorkers is that 14 50 percent of New Yorkers spend a third of their salary on rent, and a third of 15 16 New Yorkers spend 50 percent of their salary 17 on rent. And I think our members are not that 18 19 different from that reality, from our 20 better-paid members to the workers who've been most recently organized at airports and 21

22 other places.23 So the rent burden and the increases

24

in rent have been a real stress for our

members and a major concern for us.

2 SENATOR MYRIE: Thank you for that 3 response.

And in line with that, I would ask the 4 5 entire panel -- and you don't have to give an extensive answer, but it was alluded to in 6 several of your testimonies. We have been 7 8 told that we can either do a lot on the supply side, we can do a lot on the zoning, 9 or we can do a lot to protect tenants. 10 11 And I want to know from each person on 12 the panel whether or not you think it is 13 feasible for us to do all of those things and tackle them at the same time -- not perhaps 14 to completion in every single area, but to 15 16 not be paralyzed by not being able to 17 accomplish every one of those things. MS. FEE: Thank you. I'll start. 18 19 So I would just say that we know we 20 have a housing supply shortage, especially for affordable units. So as we're building, 21 we have to keep creating incentives and other 22 23 tools to create affordable housing. But building takes a long time, and 24

people can't pay their rent today. So we
 strongly support the Housing Access Voucher
 Program to make sure that we have more
 resources to help people stay in their homes
 and afford a home.

MR. PASTREICH: I'll just add that I 6 think the answer is a simple yes. I think 7 8 that when you look back over the past couple of years, I think there's been a lot of good 9 ideas. And I think now is the moment. And 10 11 we're very optimistic that we can put 12 together key elements of capping rent so that 13 tenants are not gouged -- but, as many people 14 have said on this panel, ensuring that we have the supply that we need as well. 15

MS. GRAY: I'll also jump in and say a
hearty yes. You're speaking my language,
Senator.

But we -- you know, tenant protections and supply really go hand in hand, and we need all of the above. And it's very possible.

23 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.24 We go to Assemblywoman Kelles.

(Pause.)

2 ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: All right, I 3 found all my notes.

4 So thank you all. Like the balance 5 of, as you heard me say earlier, being able 6 to walk and chew gum at the same time and be 7 able to address the crisis today, which is 8 that we have housing that's not affordable, 9 and build at the same time -- so thank you 10 for confirming -- for confirming that.

11 I would love -- Annemarie, we've spoken about this before, but I want to hear 12 you talk a little bit more about the research 13 14 between incentivizing the development of housing versus having a mandatory program 15 across the state that requires that everyone 16 17 participate. Create diversity, but it's mandatory participation. 18

19MS. GRAY: Yes, thank you.20So this was a big topic of21conversation last year. But all of the22academic research is quite clear that an23incentives-only approach doesn't work.24Right? There are a lot of -- New York has

some of the most exclusionary zoning in - across parts of the state. And frankly just
 incentives and sort of asking some places to
 build more housing, it's just proven to not
 be the only way we can do things.

6 So -- which is why our organization, we're really, we're really advocating for --7 8 of course you need incentives. There are a 9 lot of things we can do. We also have to just be honest that we absolutely need the 10 11 state to use all of the tools that it has to keep all parts of the state just accountable 12 to fair housing and to really being part of 13 14 the solution, that we just need more homes.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Great, thank 15 16 you. It does seem that having a program that 17 is flexible enough so that communities can still decide how they want to participate, 18 19 but that they simply have to participate, 20 would be a great balance. So I think that 21 housing compact kind of got there a little bit. I mean, I think it did a good job on a 22 23 lot of it. So thank you on that. 24 Another question: You've been

advocating for the Faith-Based Housing Act.
 Can you talk about the components of it, how
 this will help with our supply?

4

MS. GRAY: Yeah, absolutely.

5 So the Faith-Based Affordable Housing 6 Act empowers religious organizations who have 7 deep connections to their local communities 8 to develop mixed-income and 100 percent 9 affordable housing on their land across the 10 state.

11 So this is actually something that has passed in other states. It's really making 12 13 sure that we're both kind of using all of the 14 powers of the state to, again, keep everyone accountable and just get rid of sort of 15 16 outdated zoning restrictions that slow 17 down -- something that a lot of religious organizations already want to do by serving 18 19 their communities and their constituents with 20 more affordable housing on land that they already have. 21

ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: So has a lot of support been from the faith-based community? MS. GRAY: It is. We're working with

a whole coalition of faith leaders. 1

2 ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: All right. It seems like wonderful low-hanging fruit. So 3 if they -- we so rarely have people say, 4 5 Please let us help, let us be part of the 6 solution. We've got a group that's saying, Please, please, let us do it. It just seems 7 8 very strange to not prioritize it. 9 So thank you so much, appreciate it. Thank you, everyone, I really appreciate it. 10 11 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate? 12 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Senator May? 13 SENATOR MAY: Thank you. 14 And thank you all for your advocacy. I -- and Annemarie especially for keeping the 15 16 term "zoning" on the table. Because I think 17 just because local officials went nuts about it last year isn't a reason we need to table 18 19 that. 20 In my city of Syracuse we know that as

soon as you get outside the boundary of the 21 city, there's almost no land that is zoned 22 23 for multifamily housing. 24

And the very term "affordable housing"

seems to trigger so much opposition in local
 communities that it's just almost impossible
 to build -- to overcome the zoning
 restrictions.

5 So I guess I -- this is partly for Bria. I feel like part of that is people 6 don't have a vision of what can be beautiful, 7 8 attractive, affordable housing that works, 9 that, you know -- and especially mixed-income housing that creates communities and helps 10 11 contribute to walkable, desirable neighborhoods and that kind of thing. 12

13 So I'm just wondering, is there --14 have you all worked on like literature or a 15 tour or something that helps us raise the 16 profile of that kind of housing to something 17 that communities might be able to imagine?

18 MS. DONOHUE: Yeah, absolutely. Our 19 members are a hundred percent committed to 20 the whole built environment. It's not just 21 about creating strong design, it's about 22 incorporating it into the larger community 23 and the public realm element of it. 24 Which is why office-to-residential

conversions is something that we are really
 passionate about and supportive of, because
 it does not interrupt the character and the
 integrity and the connection to the
 neighborhood.

We're adaptively reusing existing 6 buildings. And there's also a lot of 7 8 sustainability benefits to it that I wasn't 9 able to touch on in my testimony, but it's in my written comments. So it's about the 10 11 larger connection to the city and to the 12 state as a whole. And so we're trying to 13 figure out and advocate for different ways to 14 do that.

SENATOR MAY: Great. Thank you. 15 16 And then, Annemarie, I'm wondering --17 how much do you think about not just changing the zoning itself, but changing who is doing 18 19 that? I feel like really trying to promote 20 having young people on zoning boards who have a desire for walkable communities, for 21 example. Or people who have a vision for the 22 23 future rather than people who are just protecting their vision of the past. 24

1	
1	Is that something you're thinking
2	about? Is that something we can help with?
3	MS. GRAY: Yeah. So Open New York,
4	we're a grassroots membership-based
5	organization, so we have hundreds of members.
6	A lot of them are on their own community
7	boards. They kind of go together to meetings
8	to really like be proponents of new housing
9	directly in their backyards. So we think
10	it's a model, and we've expanded across the
11	entire state.
12	So it's really, really, really
13	important. This is a you know, we got
14	into this mess over decades, and it's going
15	to take us kind of everything to get out of
16	it and everyone really organized.
17	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
18	SENATOR MAY: Thank you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
20	Assemblymember Grace Lee.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LEE: Good afternoon.
22	Thanks for waiting patiently to testify.
23	And I want to thank especially our
24	labor unions here for being a good reminder

of all the great men and women who keep our
 city running and who also are struggling with
 housing.

4 So this is for Manny. I see many 32BJ 5 members who work in big buildings in my 6 district in Manhattan. What are their 7 commutes like? And how does their access to 8 affordable housing impact their commute?

9 And can you tell me how many of -- you 10 know, more specifically, how many of your 11 members are traveling more than an hour to 12 get to their jobs?

13 MR. PASTREICH: Yeah. No, thank you, 14 Assemblymember. The -- so approximately 15 80,000 of our members work in New York City. 16 And of those, 13,000 live outside the city 17 and 6,000 live outside the state. So I think 18 it's a fair assumption for those 13,000 that 19 their commutes are over an hour.

20 We know that a few hundred of our 21 members live in Pennsylvania because that's 22 where they can afford to live, so they have 23 at least a two-hour commute each direction. 24 So it is a real stress in the realities of

it.

2	You know, it varies. We could have a
3	discussion about the MTA. But the reality is
4	13,000 are clearly over an hour commute in
5	each direction.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LEE: Great. Thank you.
7	MR. PASTREICH: Thank you.
8	SENATOR KAVANAGH: Senator Martins.
9	SENATOR MARTINS: Good afternoon,
10	everyone. Thank you for your patience.
11	I happen to represent one of those
12	urban districts that everyone is concerned
13	about, with zoning and the like. I have
14	about 45 villages in my district in
15	Nassau County outside of New York City.
16	And, you know, I love the term
17	"exclusionary zoning," especially when it's
18	used by someone who doesn't live in a suburb
19	or in a village, because it means villages
20	make decisions for themselves and those
21	communities get to decide what's permissible
22	or, alternatively, what's excluded. We like
23	to consider the possibilities.
24	So as a Mineola guy myself and we

1 built probably 1500 units or so in the last 2 10 years in the village, based on downtown revitalization efforts. I guess I'm 3 concerned because I know other communities 4 5 that are socioeconomically challenged who are telling us: "Don't build any more low-income 6 housing or affordable housing here, we have 7 8 enough. We want to see market-rate housing, 9 because we want people to build market rates in our downtown." 10

11 So there seems to be a disconnect --12 socioeconomically challenged communities that 13 feel that they have too many affordable units 14 in their downtowns, and they want market-rate 15 because they want the activity that comes 16 from that market-rate housing.

Do we support that? Should we support that? Because I think all kinds of housing should be an imperative here, and not a one-size-fits-all approach. Because there are communities out there that are asking us precisely for that.

23 So, Annemarie, what do you say?
24 MS. GRAY: Yeah, our organization --

we support all types of housing, very 1 2 explicitly. We support market-rate housing, we support affordable. Frankly, I think you 3 need a diversity of sizes of housing that 4 5 serves different family structures. You need 6 people to be able to upsize, downsize. And frankly, we are -- we need all of 7 8 it so badly, we just need to make sure that everyone is kind of taking that approach and 9 being part of the solution. 10 11 But we're very much for that. 12 SENATOR MARTINS: Yeah, look, I happen 13 to agree. I love housing diversity. I love 14 the fact that people can buy a house when they're young, move into the suburbs if they 15 choose to, and as they're older they can stay 16 17 in the community where they live. I'd just love to see us use an 18 19 all-tools approach -- I think we heard that 20 before from some of my colleagues -- about how we should put everything on the table and 21 not emphasize one as opposed to others as a 22 23 tool. So I thank you all for your testimony 24

1	today. Looking forward to working with my
2	colleagues in trying to figure out how we
3	incentivize not only landlords to maintain
4	apartments and make them livable and spend
5	money in revamping them and not just looking
6	at them as in a negative light, but also
7	incentivizing our local communities to use
8	their zoning laws. Because I do think the
9	carrot works more likely to get a better
10	result than that stick.
11	So thanks again.
12	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
13	We go to Assemblywoman
14	Chandler-Waterman.
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CHANDLER-WATERMAN:
16	Thank you so much for all the hard work you
17	do around housing.
18	And this is going to go to Manny, of
19	32BJ. Yeah, we're asking you a lot of
20	questions today.
21	So we talked about your union members,
22	how hardworking they are, essential to
23	buildings, essential workers. And they're
24	working in housing facilities that they could

1 probably never afford to live in.

2 And then we have a community 3 preference percentage change that was made with the mayor, the settlement, right, that 4 changes that for affordable housing from 50 5 percent to I think like 15 percent. How does 6 that impact your members? 7 8 MR. PASTREICH: The thing that we're 9 pushing for is to ensure that we get increased supply and that we use all the 10 levers we can to ensure that with that 11 increased supply there's a level of 12 13 affordability. 14 And I think when we go through the affordability levels, I think as a number of 15 16 people just said, we need different levels of

affordability. Obviously for union members in New York City that affordability is a little higher than in other areas. And addressing the affordability levels that meet the needs of 32BJ members, hotel trades, public-sector groups is incredibly important. But obviously, as others have said, we

24

have to meet the needs of all New Yorkers up

and down the income ladder.

2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CHANDLER-WATERMAN: And
3	there needs to be more local community too,
4	what their median income is for that
5	particular community so they go for it to
6	live there.

7 And for interfaith housing, what does 8 it -- what can it look like to really include our faith leaders in part of development? Is 9 there a plan that you may have? That would 10 be great. Or is there one that we can work 11 12 towards that's going to really have the faith 13 leaders at the head of development in their communities, the Black and brown communities 14 especially. 15

MS. GRAY: Yeah, I would love to sitdown and talk more directly.

18 So this is a bill that just basically 19 puts -- it gets rid of some red tape that 20 stops faith-based organizations who want to 21 use their land to build housing. It just 22 makes it easier for them and makes it faster 23 for them. They're in the driver's seat. 24 There's also some components to the

1	bill that allow some technical assistance
2	programs and support, just to make sure that
3	you know how to navigate some of the process
4	with all of with different partnerships.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CHANDLER-WATERMAN: And
6	especially the financing part of it.
7	MS. GRAY: Exactly.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CHANDLER-WATERMAN: To
9	make sure they get the loans
10	MS. GRAY: Exactly.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CHANDLER-WATERMAN:
12	and remove the red tape as well, and get
13	assistance throughout the whole process.
14	MS. GRAY: Exactly.
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CHANDLER-WATERMAN: So I
16	appreciate definitely talking with y'all. I
17	appreciate anybody want to add anything
18	else we're talking about true
19	affordability that we have not mentioned
20	here? I have 30 seconds. Anybody want to
21	say anything?
22	MS. FEE: We're supportive of funding
23	for LISC NY that is looking to provide that
24	technical assistance to faith-based

1	organizations and build affordable housing.
2	And that's part of our budget request as
3	well.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CHANDLER-WATERMAN:
5	Thank you so much.
6	Twenty seconds. Anybody else?
7	MS. WYLIE: Oh, thank you. I included
8	this in our written testimony as well, but
9	the Building Congress is also in support of
10	faith-based housing. But additionally,
11	increasing as-of-right opportunities for
12	areas where less than 10 percent of the
13	housing in the area, in the units, are below
14	market rate. And we think these will create
15	affordability.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CHANDLER-WATERMAN:
17	Thank you all for your support. Thank you.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
19	Assemblywoman Rosenthal.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Hi. Thank
21	you all for your testimony.
22	So everybody here is basically
23	supporting what the Governor put in her
24	budget, and then you've mentioned some other

1 things you support.

2	Last year there were 213,000-plus
3	filings for evictions across the state,
4	135,000 of them were in the city. So they
5	weren't all evicted, but they were all
6	brought to court. So how do you think we
7	deal with that situation in the contexts of
8	building, converting commercial to
9	residential and hopefully not a
10	Fifth Avenue type, but a mixed-income
11	commercial conversion and yet tenants
12	don't have access to attorneys in the city
13	because there's not enough of them and they
14	don't have the right across the state?
15	So heavy on supply solutions, but less
16	on keeping people housed and at decent rents.
17	MS. GRAY: Yeah, I'm happy to start.
18	That we you know, our policy
19	platform very much believes that the root
20	issue here is that we have we've had
21	decades of underbuilding and lack of supply
22	of all types, but frankly in the near term
23	you need to make sure that you're keeping
24	tenants in their homes and that these really

1 go hand in hand. And this is how it's also
2 played out in other states.

So we're supportive of the good-cause 3 eviction bill and of generally just making 4 5 sure that you're really thinking about this 6 holistically, both in the near term and who's suffering right now, but then also just 7 8 taking a hard look at the root cause and making sure you're really addressing the fact 9 that frankly right now landlords -- it's 10 11 really easy to take advantage of tenants 12 because they don't have other options. And 13 we should switch that.

14 MS. FEE: I would just add that the 15 eviction filings, we're really concerned 16 because that number is growing. And the 17 number of households that are actually being 18 evicted has I think more than doubled in the 19 last year.

20 So, you know, I think right to counsel 21 has been proven to be really effective. 22 We're certainly supportive of an expansion.

23We're also supportive of rent arrears24across the state. An emergency one-shot

1	program has been effective in keeping people
2	in their homes in New York City, and that
3	could go beyond New York City as well.
4	And then, you know, the Housing
5	Voucher Program also is really critical. And
6	I had mentioned our analysis of arrears and
7	affordable housing where a third of tenants
8	are still struggling. We have this left over
9	from the pandemic, where renters
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: I want to
11	get other people, thank you.
12	MS. FEE: Yeah, sure.
13	MR. PASTREICH: I'll go quickly.
14	I mean, I think that in we strongly
15	believe we need to set have some kind of
16	rent cap so people don't get evicted for
17	unreasonable rent increases. I think we need
18	a right to counsel. And vouchers also are
19	I think need to be a key component as well to
20	ensure that people can stay in their housing.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Anyone else?
22	MS. DONOHUE: Again, I'll just say
23	quickly, AIA New York strongly supports just
24	adding as many tools to the toolbox as

1 possible, to giving as many people an 2 opportunity. But again, supply is really what we think is the core problem here. 3 ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Thank you. 4 5 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. SENATOR KAVANAGH: I think we're good. 6 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Okay. So thank 7 8 you to this panel for being here. 9 And we're going to go to Panel D: Association for Neighborhood and Housing 10 11 Development; Interfaith Affordable Housing 12 Collaborative; Enterprise Community Partners; 13 Thurgood Marshall Institute, NAACP; Community 14 Voices Heard; and Make the Road New York. And if you're part of Panel E, which 15 16 is our last panel, I might suggest that you 17 make your way to the front of the room so people could get home for Valentine's night. 18 19 (Laughter.) 20 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: It's musical 21 chairs today. MS. GOLDSTEIN: Yeah, right. 22 23 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So we'll go in the order that I said, so Emily Goldstein 24

first.

1

2 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Good afternoon. And 3 thank you for the opportunity to testify and 4 for sticking around through this long 5 hearing.

6 My name's Emily Goldstein. I'm the 7 director of organizing and advocacy at ANHD, 8 which stands for the Association for 9 Neighborhood and Housing Development.

10 There are a number -- there's a lot in 11 my written testimony, so I'm just going to do 12 highlights and try to keep it short.

13 First of all, we want to thank you for 14 your support of the Displacement Alert Project and ask you to continue that support. 15 16 We want to maintain the important data work 17 that we're doing that provides our elected officials as well as community members with 18 19 resources that they need to understand the 20 housing situation and displacement risks in their communities. 21

Second, an initiative that's been
highlighted a number of times by others who
have testified but is really crucial for our

nonprofit developer members in particular, is
 we really need emergency preservation funding
 to address the unmet needs of rental arrears
 in nonprofit and in general affordable
 housing.

A lot of affordable housing never got 6 ERAP, was not served by the money that was 7 8 provided last year. We supported, you know, 9 both those programs. We certainly don't ever want to be pitting different forms of 10 11 affordable housing against each other. A lot of last year's money went to public housing, 12 13 which was absolutely a necessity, but it 14 means there are a lot of affordable buildings that are still carrying arrears from during 15 16 the height of the pandemic when, you know, 17 through nobody's fault, no one could pay.

18This is particularly unsustainable for19nonprofit mission-driven developers that20operate on incredibly slim margins, because21they underwrite their buildings to maximize22affordability and they're always putting23resources back into community programs. So24this is becoming -- you know, this has been

1 an issue. It's hitting a crisis point where 2 we're either going to see buildings start to 3 fail or tenants start to get evicted, and we 4 desperately don't want either of those things 5 to happen.

6 Third, we support -- along with 7 others -- both the legislation and the 8 Governor's recommendations around addressing 9 discrimination on insurance premiums in 10 affordable housing. This has likewise become 11 a huge challenge for our members.

Fourth, we absolutely need to pass and fund right to counsel statewide. We support good-cause. We agree with the BASE campaign and supportive of that campaign on passing legalization for basement and cellar apartments and allowing the city to address those housing needs.

19And finally, I'll just say, unlike20many of the others who have spoken here, we21are not supportive of the -- what we've seen22so far of proposals to replace 421-a. The23numbers and letters keep changing. At its24core, this still seems to be primarily use of

1	public resources for primarily market-rate
2	housing, and we don't think that's the right
3	priority for public resources.
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
5	Rashida Tyler.
6	MS. TYLER: Hi, thank you. My name is
7	Rashida Tyler. I'm the project manager for
8	the Interfaith Affordable Housing
9	Collaborative and the Partnership of
10	Faith-Based Affordable Housing and Community
11	Development, a/ka/a "the Partnership." I am
12	also the deputy executive director of the
13	New York State Council of Churches.
14	The Partnership is comprised of the
15	New York State Council of Churches,
16	Interfaith Affordable Housing Collaborative,
17	and the Interfaith Assembly on Homelessness
18	and Housing and Bricks and Mortals.
19	And so these organizations were begun
20	to help faith-based organizations respond to
21	housing and homelessness crises in their
22	communities by providing them access to
23	technical assistance and predevelopment funds
24	to utilize their properties to help develop

housing that stabilizes and strengthens their communities.

3 Although it is well-known that New York State has been in a housing crisis 4 5 for many years, post-pandemic this crisis has been deepening at an alarming rate. 6 According to the Fiscal Policy Institute --7 8 and again, we all know these numbers, so I'm 9 not going to go too in-depth -- but 40 percent -- housing prices have increased 10 11 40 percent in the three years since the pandemic. 12

13According to the Point-In-Time housing14survey, our homelessness count, New York15State is second in the nation for the number16of homeless individuals. And last year there17were 212,000 eviction filings in New York18State, according to the Eviction Dashboard.19So these numbers are worsening. And

it should come as no surprise that our
faith-based institutions are being impacted
in unprecedented ways, particularly with the
loss of population of over 100,000 people
since last year.

And so post-COVID, faith-based 1 2 organizations are facing economic pressures, with over 50 percent of some pastors citing 3 negative impact on their congregations. 4 5 Attendance at religious services is 6 decreasing. And although giving remains stable, operating costs are rising and many 7 houses of worship are in economic distress. 8 So we favor the Faith-Based Affordable 9 Housing Act, which allows faith-based 10 11 organizations to utilize their properties to develop affordable housing. Outside of 12 New York City, you can build up to three 13 14 stories; inside of New York City, up to five stories. It's based on population. 15 16 The reason we favor the Faith-Based 17 Affordable Housing Act is that it allows communities to determine and work with their 18 19 institutions of faith to find the type of 20 development that works best for them. The housing crisis really can be seen 21 as three crises in one -- a lack of vacancy, 22 23 a lack of affordability, and a lack of will. Many faith-based institutions have the will 24

1	to develop, but there are many things such as
2	zoning restrictions that actually hamper
3	their ability to develop. So we urge a
4	comprehensive housing plan that is
5	pro-development, such as the Governor's
6	Pro-Housing Communities plan, and also
7	policies that help prevent homelessness, such
8	as the Housing Access Voucher program and the
9	right to counsel, which helps keep people
10	housed.
11	Thank you.
12	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
13	Next, Enterprise Community Partners.
14	MR. BOYLE: Hi. I'm Patrick Boyle,
15	senior director with Enterprise Community
16	Partners. Thank you for the opportunity to
17	testify here today.
18	A number of issues, some of which were
19	reflected in the Governor's budget and a few
20	other additional ones we just wanted to
21	highlight quickly today.
22	First is the Housing Access Voucher
23	Program. We are co-conveners of that
24	coalition, which has been growing. It's

1 really been growing in membership around the 2 state. I think coming out of the pandemic, in terms of what we've seen around rental 3 arrears and housing insecurity and 4 5 homelessness, you know, more important than ever. And we certainly thank the Senate and 6 the Assembly for their historical support of 7 8 that proposal.

9 On insurance, you know, Enterprise is spending a lot of time thinking about 10 11 insurance and convening about insurance lately. It really is a significant crisis in 12 13 the affordable housing world. So we support 14 the Governor's language in her Executive Budget around closing some of the 15 16 discrimination loopholes -- and, you know, 17 thank our Housing chairs for kind of beating the drum about this issue for a while now. 18

19So that's an important first step.20But we want do want to stress that that is a21first step of what we think are a number of22necessary steps. You know, it's going to be23important to close the discrimination24loophole, but it doesn't address root causes.

1 There's going to be funding relief that's 2 needed here, and there's going to be sort of a number of, you know, regulatory and 3 legislative solutions that we hope to partner 4 5 with the Legislature on moving forward over 6 this session. The Fair Housing Testing Network and 7 8 Opportunity Program out of HCR, this launched last year, \$2 million to fund six 9 full-service fair housing testing 10 11 organizations around the state. That's gone a long way. That has grown out of an 12 13 Enterprise pilot program called EBNY {ph}, is 14 where that -- where this program was sort of seeded from. It needs more funding support. 15 16 So it's \$2 million, and that's allowed

17these organizations to do a lot, but there's18a lot of unreached areas around the state in19terms of fair housing deserts. There's a lot20more these organizations could be doing.21There's a lot that they do that's not covered22under the parameters of the program.

So we would like to request anincrease to \$8 million annually for that

1 program. There's still a tremendous amount 2 of source of income discrimination that goes 3 on around the state, and we'd really like to 4 see a funding increase there to match the 5 need.

6 We support the Supportive Housing 7 Network and their ask for \$32 million to 8 bolster the State Supportive Housing Program 9 initiative and bring those up to ESSHI levels 10 of funding.

11 And we support the Rural Housing Coalition and their ask for \$25 million 12 13 around USDA 515 housing preservation. So, 14 you know, this is a lot of housing units around the state that's coming up to the end 15 16 of their sort of affordability regulatory 17 periods, over 20,000 units around the state. And so, you know, that's a great preservation 18 19 need that's going to become more apparent in 20 the years and decade ahead.

So thank you for your consideration.
CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Perfect timing.
Thurgood Marshall Institute.
DR. KAJEEPETA: Thank you.

1 Good afternoon. My name is 2 Dr. Sandhya Kajeepeta, speaking on behalf of the NAACP Legal Defense Fund. Thank you for 3 the opportunity to testify in support of 4 5 good-cause eviction legislation, which has been discussed already today. 6 My testimony will focus on my original 7 8 research on racial disparities and evictions 9 in New York State and the ways in which good-cause can help promote racial equality 10 in the state. 11 12 In 2022, New York landlords filed 13 nearly 200,000 eviction filings. That's 14 almost triple the number in 2021. About 20 percent of these filings are for holdover 15 16 evictions, those initiated for a reason other 17 than nonpayment of rent. The concerning reality is that many New York renters live in 18 19 their homes at the whim of their landlords, 20 and they can be evicted for reasons that are no fault of their own. 21 New research from LDF's 22 23 Thurgood Marshall Institute, where I am senior researcher, documents that New York's 24

eviction crisis has a disportionate impact on
 Black renters. We analyzed housing and
 demographic data from the census and the
 Statewide Eviction Dashboard.

5 We found that, first, Black New York residents are significantly more likely to be 6 renters than homeowners. Two of every three 7 8 Black households in the state are renters, 9 while two of every three white households are homeowners. As a result, tenant protections 10 11 are particularly important for the hundreds of thousands of Black renter households in 12 New York. 13

14Second, Black New Yorkers are154.6 times as likely as white New Yorkers to16report being threatened with eviction.

17 And finally, we found that New York counties and neighborhoods with higher 18 19 Black renter populations face higher rates of 20 total and holdover eviction filings. A 21 10 percentage-point increase in the percent of Black renters in a county was associated 22 23 with a 55 percent increase in the rate of total eviction filings and a 33 percent 24

increase in the rate of holdover eviction
 filings. We observed the same pattern at the
 zip code level.

The findings from our analysis provide evidence that Black communities in New York face a disproportionate risk of eviction, including holdover evictions where the renter is keeping up with rent payments.

Enacting good-cause-eviction 9 protection in New York has the potential to 10 reduce the rate of holdover evictions, help 11 renters stay in their homes, and limit the 12 13 negative impacts of housing instability. We 14 anticipate that good-cause will significantly benefit renters in predominantly Black 15 16 neighborhoods that currently face a 17 disproportionate risk of total evictions and holdover evictions. 18 19 Thank you. 20 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. And Community Voices Heard. 21 MS. WILLIAMS: Good afternoon, and 22 23 thank you for having me this evening. My name is Barbara Williams. I am a 24

member of Community Voices Heard, and I live in public housing in Washington Heights.

I've lived in public housing for
33 years. I've also been the president of my
resident association for two terms. I'm here
today because of the living conditions that
I'm seeing in public housing.

8 I've been in apartments with no kitchen. I've been in apartments with no 9 walls. I've looked into closets where you 10 11 couldn't see the ceiling because of black 12 mold. I've smelled mold and mildew so strong 13 that I almost passed out. I've opened 14 kitchen cabinets infested with roaches. I've seen bathroom ceilings fall on my neighbors. 15 16 And we've been going through these same 17 issues for three decades. Three decades. No one should have to live like that. 18

19The development where I live, the20Polo Grounds, was constructed in 1968. In2156 years, the piping has never been updated.22Just Band-Aid fixes. And while all of this23is going on, 800 people in Polo Grounds are24likely to be evicted for rent arrears because

public housing residents were not covered in the pandemic aid. That's not right.

But this isn't just an issue in Polo Grounds or in NYCHA. Lack of repairs and evictions is a problem statewide. CVH members living in Newburgh public housing face the same issues. Their buildings are falling apart.

Nearly 600,000 people live in public 9 housing across the state. Public housing is 10 11 one of the largest sources of affordable housing. In the middle of a statewide 12 housing crisis, the state can't afford to 13 14 lose more public housing because of disinvestment. We depend on the state to 15 16 protect us and provide us with healthy homes. 17 We voted you into office because we felt you would do the right things for people 18 19 in public housing. I'm here on behalf of 20 Community Voices Heard members from across

21 the state to ask you to commit \$4.5 billion

22 for public housing capital repairs to

23 preserve 40,000 public housing units.

24 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you for

your -- thank you for your oral testimony. 1 2 As I said earlier, all the written testimony is posted on the Assembly and 3 Senate websites. 4 5 And to end this panel, Make the Road New York. 6 MS. HERNANDEZ: Yes. Thank you for 7 8 allowing me to testify. My name is Jennifer Hernandez, and I'm a staff member at Make the 9 Road New York, a 25-year-old organization 10 11 building the power of immigrant and 12 working-class communities. New York faces an out-of-control 13 14 housing and affordability crisis. Rents and basic costs have risen dramatically. Wages 15 16 and government support have stagnated. 17 Meanwhile, millions of people remain unjustly excluded from tenant protections and key 18 19 safety-net programs like health insurance and 20 unemployment. Families are being pushed out of their 21 22 homes and neighborhoods. We now have the

23 highest homelessness level since the Great24 Depression, the consequence of decades of

inaction to ensure safe, affordable housing for all.

Governor Hochul continues to cater to 3 real estate tycoons who see our homes as 4 5 ATMs, raising rents, refusing to make basic repairs, and displacing us. She has no plan 6 to address this crisis. We do. All 7 8 New Yorkers deserve the freedom to stay in our homes -- affordable, comfortable and safe 9 homes where we can watch our kids take their 10 11 first steps, gather around the kitchen table to share meals, and unwind after a long day 12 13 of work.

14 The Legislature must stand up to the Governor and prioritize the needs of Black, 15 brown and immigrant families. We must give 16 17 unregulated renters protections against unreasonable rent hikes and retaliatory or 18 19 discriminatory evictions by passing a 20 statewide good-cause eviction program, as introduced by Senator Salazar and 21 22 Assemblymember Hunter. 23

We must create a statewide program
 that provides low-income New Yorkers who are

1 homeless or at risk of homelessness with 2 money to pay their rent. Let's pass the 3 Housing Access Voucher Program, as introduced by Senator Kavanagh and Assemblymember 4 5 Rosenthal. And we must invest in the future of all New Yorkers by enacting the Invest In 6 Our New York tax proposal to raise tens of 7 8 billions of new public dollars for vital 9 services by ensuring the wealthiest New Yorkers and big corporations pay what 10 11 they owe in taxes.

12 These concrete policy steps can ensure 13 that every tenant in New York gains the same 14 basic protections. But you must stand up to the Governor and her real estate friends who 15 16 will continue to try to take away our homes 17 and even chip away at the rent-stabilization laws we have already fought so hard to 18 strengthen. 19

20 Without action now, more and more 21 families will find themselves on the streets 22 or unable to live in our state. Our written 23 testimony will lay out our additional policy 24 priorities to ensure all New Yorkers have the

1 freedom to thrive.

2	Thank you.
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
4	For our first questioner, we go to
5	Assemblymember Chandler-Waterman.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CHANDLER-WATERMAN:
7	Thank you, Chair.
8	I appreciate the advocacy. I
9	appreciate all that you all have been doing,
10	not only for yourselves, but advocating for
11	others and our most vulnerable population,
12	Black and brown communities. So I agree that
13	we need to ensure community voices no pun
14	intended, I'm saying just involvement with
15	community members is at the table in a
16	true way.
17	And we know this is a multilayer issue
18	that requires a multifaceted approach. So
19	creating a pathway for faith leaders to
20	develop is one. As we know, they create
21	more. We talk I talk so much about that.
22	But tenants are not really being part of the
23	discussion.
24	So unfortunately, individuals going

through heartbreaking experiences -- like
what you said, Barbara; like what you're
saying, Jennifer -- don't always know where
to go, right? Or what to do or how to
access, right? We know we need so much more,
but what we have -- sometimes we don't even
know what exists.

8 So educational awareness, in what ways can residents be more informed about the 9 complex dynamics of housing -- you know, what 10 11 they're going through and how to get support. The initiatives, the workshops. What do you 12 13 think we could do more of, even as 14 legislators or just in agencies, just to make sure that people are more aware of what 15 16 access is out there and what support? 17 And I could -- Make the Road. I don't know if Community Voices Heard wants to start 18 19 with that, especially the experiences you

20 just talked about.

21 MS. WILLIAMS: Well, I feel that 22 public housing has been being disinvested in 23 ever since 1998. And each year, it's less 24 funds with the expectation to do more.

1	We need funding. The funding is what
2	allows the necessary work to be done.
3	Capital projects are major. Where I live
4	as I mentioned, this development came up in
5	1968. The piping has never been changed.
6	According to law, it should be changed every
7	20 years. So we're way past that year.
8	It's time that those things be done,
9	those types of repairs.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CHANDLER-WATERMAN:
11	Gotcha. Thank you.
12	MS. WILLIAMS: Mold, mildew.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CHANDLER-WATERMAN:
14	Thank you so much for that. And
15	MS. HERNANDEZ: Yeah, I mean, your
16	question was around education. I mean, you
17	know, as a community organizer, we do a lot
18	of that education in the community, right?
19	Obviously, one of the things that you could
20	do is, you know, continue funding organizing,
21	fund organizers organizing even more, right?
22	But also give us the tangible
23	solutions that we can teach people about.
24	Right? A lot of the times folks come to us

1 with a rent hike, with an eviction for no 2 cause, right, and they come to us with -- in need of solutions. Right? Sometimes they 3 don't even know that they don't have 4 5 protections against rent hikes. Right? 6 And we like have to sit there and say to them: The most we can do, if you can find 7 8 a lawyer and right to counsel, right, 9 because -- you know, because of the -- so many cases, right, it's -- right? Like you 10 11 might be able to delay your process of 12 eviction, but we probably won't be able to 13 stop your eviction. Right? And so they ask questions, right? 14 Where am I -- where else am I going to go? 15 16 What apartments can I rent. Right? We don't 17 have those tools. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 18 19 ASSEMBLYWOMAN CHANDLER-WATERMAN: 20 Thank you. 21 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to the 22 Senate. 23 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Just I guess the 24 first question for ANHD.

1 We had NYSAFAH here earlier talking 2 about the -- what did they call it? I'm sorry, there's a -- NYSAFAH was also pushing 3 for a \$250 million fund to stabilize existing 4 5 housing, which sounded a little bit -- quite 6 a bit like your emergency preservation fund. I'm just trying to figure out, are 7 these sort of distinct asks for distinct sets 8 9 of housing? Or is there a consensus among ANHD and NYSAFAH and perhaps others that a 10 new \$250 million fund would be what we'd be 11 looking for this year? 12 MS. GOLDSTEIN: I think there's 13 14 consensus that we're looking for funding for affordable housing. I think probably there's 15 16 still some working out of the details of 17 exactly how would the program work, who qualifies. You know, what types of -- yeah, 18 19 the details. 20 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Okay. But just so there's not --21 MS. GOLDSTEIN: It's the same 22 23 \$250 million. 24 SENATOR KAVANAGH: That's what I was

1 getting at.

2 MS. GOLDSTEIN: I think there's still some sorting out of exactly how should it be 3 distributed, what are the qualifications and 4 criteria for prioritization. 5 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Right. And in 6 response to questions earlier NYSAFAH said, 7 8 you know, there would be, you know, an 9 application process and some details to be worked out with HCR. I would, just for 10 11 practical purposes in the next five weeks or 12 so --13 MS. GOLDSTEIN: It's the same -- it's 14 the same pot. SENATOR KAVANAGH: I think you should 15 16 also come up with the same name for it, just 17 so -- because it's going to be hard -- I think it's going to be hard for -- like 18 19 people have trouble processing all the things 20 that are being asked for. So it would be helpful if it's -- if there's a single kind 21 of ask. 22 23 The -- there's -- you know, we've -- a 24 lot of us have been advocating for a long

time for money for public housing, for
capital. I really appreciate CVH's role in
bringing, you know, the voices of people most
affected by this to Albany over the course of
many years now.

6 I just want to -- since there's only a few of us here now, one of the arguments we 7 8 often get when we talk about putting more 9 money into public housing capital is that public housing authorities, particularly the 10 11 New York City Public Housing Authority, don't 12 manage their capital particularly well. That 13 they don't -- they haven't -- you know, they 14 have management operational challenges. They don't spend the money they have. 15

16 Can you just, as someone who's 17 advocating for \$4.5 billion over the next 18 five years, which is something I support, can 19 you just talk a little bit about, you know, 20 how your advocacy for additional money fits 21 within that argument?

22 MS. WILLIAMS: Well, I can't offer how 23 the money is spent. I'm not on that level. 24 I am not -- I'm not an employee of public

1	housing. I live in public housing, and I
2	feel that my home should be as good as
3	anybody else's home. And everybody who lives
4	in public housing has the right to a safe and
5	healthy home.

6 And that's the responsibility of those 7 that we put in place, to protect that. Poor 8 management, on city and state -- if the money 9 is not being used correctly, those people 10 answer to those agencies, not to residents.

11 So I feel that -- put people in place 12 that check. I live in public housing. When 13 I first came in, there were superintendents 14 that came behind the workers to make sure 15 that the work was done that they were 16 allotted to do. That's not happening 17 anymore.

18There were two apartment inspections19yearly to make sure that what was needed in20those apartments was done and the residents21who lived there were doing their part to22upkeep that apartment. That's no longer23done.

24

There's a lot of things that --

that -- I cannot go through the list here, it 1 2 would just get too much time. SENATOR KAVANAGH: I'm going to get in 3 trouble if I let you go any further. 4 5 But thank you. That was very eloquent, though. We appreciate it. 6 7 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. And, you know, again, there's 8 opportunity later today to be able to submit 9 in writing -- if these conversations have 10 11 brought other issues to your thoughts, that 12 you can submit additional information to the committees that will be posted as part of the 13 official record. 14 We go to Assemblyman Manktelow. 15 ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: Thank you, 16 Madam Chair. 17 Barbara, if I could ask you a 18 question, please? 19 20 MS. WILLIAMS: Yes. ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: And thank you 21 all for your testimony, and thank you for all 22 23 your comments. I believe you gave us the number of 24

1 \$4.3 billion to fix up the housing, is that 2 correct? MS. WILLIAMS: 4.5 billion. 3 ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: 4.5 billion? 4 MS. WILLIAMS: Yes. 5 6 ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: So if you don't mind me asking, where did that number 7 8 come from? 9 MS. WILLIAMS: That came from a lot of research into public housing. Getting to the 10 11 necessary people to give us what the costs would be. And that is well published in 12 13 NYCHA works. This is where we got it from. 14 That's their numbers, saying what it would 15 cost. 16 There are other areas that I didn't 17 even mention. But --ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: Well, Barbara, 18 19 before you go on to that, just -- I want to 20 finish. I don't mean to interrupt you, but I'm running out of --21 MS. WILLIAMS: No, no, go right ahead. 22 23 ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: So is it safe to assume that you live in NYCHA housing, 24

1 then, is that correct? MS. WILLIAMS: I live in NYCHA 2 housing. Yes, I do. 3 ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: When is the 4 5 last time, where you live -- when is the last 6 time that has been updated? 7 MS. WILLIAMS: Updated? ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: Mm-hmm. Like 8 9 a change of paint. You know, we talk about lead poisoning in our water -- has all those 10 11 lines been checked in your unit? Those are some of the questions we have. 12 13 You talked about every 20 years it should be updated. I just want to know where 14 that number came from as well. 15 MS. WILLIAMS: Well, that's also part 16 17 of NYCHA's literature. And what is needed, we have something called the Tenants Handbook 18 19 to give you information. 20 ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: Mm-hmm. 21 MS. WILLIAMS: We get that information. We didn't all throw it away. 22 23 It is something that was given out, like, when these developments first came up. Rules 24

and regulations and what needs to be done and when it should be done.

Painting used to be done every three years. If you don't request it now, you don't get it. And then when you do, you might get one room. The entire apartment is not done at the same time.

8 There's a lot of things that's not 9 being done that should be done. So this is 10 why we need this. But we need people who are 11 taking the time to manage this.

12ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW:Mm-hmm.13MS. WILLIAMS:That money can go14wherever it needs to go if it's managed15properly.

16ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: Okay. Because17I know just in our Governor's proposed18budget, we're going from 229 billion to19233 billion. Well, there's your \$4 billion,20or almost where you need to be.21And you're absolutely right, we throw

22 dollars at a lot of different things at the 23 state level and a lot of it doesn't get 24 followed up on, to make sure those dollars 1 are spent wisely.

2 So I want to thank you for your testimony. And I totally agree with what 3 you're saying. Your property should be 4 5 updated. It should be taken care of. And you should have some pride in that home. 6 7 So thank you for your testimony. 8 MS. WILLIAMS: And thank you. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: To the Senate. 9 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Senator May. 10 11 SENATOR MAY: Thank you. And thank 12 you all. Ms. Williams, for telling us really 13 specifics about what some of the issues are 14 that tenants confront. Mr. Boyle, I wanted to ask you a 15 16 couple of questions about source-of-income 17 funding and what the Governor put in her budget, what you think about what's in her 18 19 budget, and how we can further eliminate source-of-income discrimination. 20 MR. BOYLE: Sure. 21 So the HCR testing program as it 22 23 exists is really successful for the amount of money that's put into it. It's \$2 million. 24

1 It funds six full-service fair housing 2 testing organizations that operate around the 3 state. That money has allowed them to hire 4 new staff, it allows them to do testing, it 5 allows them to do enforcement work and 6 negotiate settlements with landlords, which 7 is really a good outcome.

8 I think what we're looking for is not 9 just punitive damages against landlords that 10 get -- you know, that a tenant is turned away 11 and then down the line there's sort of a 12 punitive damage, but the tenant hasn't been 13 housed.

A model that's been sort of 14 increasingly popular and which we're very 15 16 supportive of is sort of negotiated -- you 17 know, when there's a tenant that's discriminated against, there is a quick 18 19 action that's negotiated between the landlord 20 and when there's a settlement, that landlord will have to put aside several units for 21 voucher-holders for the future, and there's 22 23 oversight of that. And the Governor in her budget has a 24

new unit between Human Rights and HCR that
 would staff some additional people to kind of
 help facilitate some of that up-front
 quick-action enforcement work. And so we are
 supportive of that new unit that the Governor
 has announced in her State of the State.

7 The Attorney General also has a pot of 8 money, and that's intended to fund nonprofits 9 in some fair housing deserts around the state 10 that don't currently do fair housing work but 11 that might have the capacity if they got some 12 extra funding.

13 So what we're asking for is extra 14 money specifically into that HCR Fair Housing Testing program, which is really kind of the 15 16 best current state-supported vehicle for rooting out SOI. But which is just kind of, 17 you know, based on what we've seen around the 18 19 state, is really kind of in need of some 20 extra support.

21

SENATOR MAY: Thank you.

22 And just quickly, Ms. Tyler, the 23 faith-based affordable housing bill, I was 24 part of writing that but then we realized

this really mostly affects New York City, and
 so we wanted a New York City sponsor for
 that.

But I'm wondering if you're seeing 4 5 pushback. Are there -- what do you expect to 6 be the barriers to making that work? MS. TYLER: Well, I think that most 7 8 communities want to make sure that the development that is proposed is specific and 9 unique to their community. 10 11 So I think the Faith-Based Housing Act is flexible in that way. So far we've had 12 13 conversations with faith leaders across the 14 state, and we've been working with the coalition to hold forums, and so we haven't 15 16 had a lot of pushback. 17 One of the things that has come up is around labor and prevailing wage, which we 18 know that we want to make sure that there is 19 20 space and room to have those conversations and make amendments to the bill, because we 21

22 ultimately want to make sure that labor and

23 prevailing wage are -- are included.

24 SENATOR MAY: Great. Thank you.

1	MS. TYLER: Thank you.
2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
3	So thank you to this panel for being
4	here with us today and being able to answer
5	some of our questions. Thank you.
6	So we move on to our final panel of
7	this hearing: Rural Housing Coalition of
8	New York, Adirondack Foundation, and New York
9	State Rural Advocates.
10	MR. BORGES: Can you hear me? There
11	it goes. Okay.
12	Oh, good evening. Thank you for
13	having me. I'm Mike Borges. I'm the
14	executive director of the Rural Housing
15	Coalition of New York. We represent rural
16	housing nonprofit organizations and community
17	development organizations that serve rural
18	communities throughout the state.
19	I first want to thank the Legislature
20	for really stepping up last year and
21	supporting increases for a number of housing
22	programs that serve rural communities. Kudos
23	to you guys for really helping us and doing
24	the things that unfortunately the Governor

didn't.

1

2 This past November the Rural Housing 3 Coalition commissioned a Marist poll that 4 showed 73 percent of New Yorkers believe 5 housing affordability is a major concern in 6 their communities and 71 percent believe the 7 state is not providing enough resources to 8 address this problem.

9 Given this overwhelming concern about housing availability and affordability, we 10 11 had really high hopes that the Governor's Executive Budget would convene housing 12 13 stakeholders from around the state to develop 14 consensus proposals to address this housing crisis. The Executive Budget did not include 15 16 that. Instead, the Executive Budget actually 17 cut funding for a variety of housing programs, many of which serve rural 18 19 communities.

20 As you know, funding was cut to 21 RESTORE, which assists seniors with emergency 22 housing repairs so they can remain in their 23 homes. Funding was cut for Access to Home, 24 which assists the disabled with accessibility

1 modifications like wheelchair ramps, 2 handrails, so they can remain in their homes. Funding was cut to the Rural Preservation 3 Program, which funds a network of nonprofit 4 5 housing community development organizations 6 that serve rural New Yorkers by repairing, rehabbing, and building housing for 7 8 low-to-moderate-income New Yorkers. Funding was eliminated for the Small Rental 9 Development Initiative, which funds 10 11 affordable rental projects of 20 units or less that are more suitable for less densely 12 13 populated areas with little to no 14 infrastructure. Lastly, we just released a report 15 yesterday about the state of our USDA 515 16 17 programs. This was all emailed to all the legislators, and we released it to the media. 18 19 Again, it documents a great need in rural 20 communities. If you're not familiar with it, 21 the USDA 515 program was created by Harry Truman back in 1949 or so. And in 22 23 New York State we built thousands of these affordable rental projects in the 1980s and 24

1 1990s.

2	Their mortgages are expiring now. And
3	as those mortgages expire, they lose their
4	affordability protections as well as their
5	rental assistance. So thousands of
6	New Yorkers in rural communities about
7	15,000 households potentially could lose
8	their homes because they can no longer afford
9	to stay where they are. These properties are
10	in great disrepair.
11	We're looking for an investment of
12	about \$25 million, as Enterprise's Patrick
13	Boyle mentioned ealier, to similar like
14	with the Mitchell-Lama program. You know,
15	there was about \$50 million in the
16	State Budget last year to preserve and rehab
17	the Mitchell-Lama housing. And so we're
18	looking for something similar that serves
19	rural New York.
20	Again, thank you for your support, and
21	we appreciate it.
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
23	Adirondack Foundation.
24	MS. BELLINGHAM: Sure. Hi. Thank you

for the opportunity to testify.

2 My name's Lori Bellingham, and I'm vice president for community impact with 3 Adirondack Foundation. The foundation seeks 4 5 to improve lives and expand opportunities for the people in our region by working with 6 partners to identify and address challenges 7 8 such as housing. I'll share a brief description of our 9

10 year-round residents. Approximately
11 44 percent of the population are ALICE
12 individuals and families. Nearly 60 percent
13 struggle to earn enough income to access
14 stable housing, reliable transportation,
15 childcare and the like.

16 To compound our challenges, seasonal 17 housing constitutes 24 percent of housing 18 units, and in some communities it's as high 19 as 70 percent.

20 Perhaps more critical, though, is that 21 the housing affordability gap is growing. In 22 Clinton and Franklin counties, 50 percent of 23 families live in rent-burdened households. 24 And income has not kept up with housing prices. Median household income increased 15 percent between 2015 and 2020; however, median home prices increased by 28 percent -and now we've heard 40 percent from elsewhere.

6 Until the gap between wages and 7 affordability of housing comes into balance, 8 our economy will be constrained. The gap and 9 our region's unique scale are two of the most 10 important points I'd like to make.

11 We noted in written testimony that our communities are, quote, truly rural --12 13 meaning there's rural and then there's the 14 Adirondack region. While we are book-ended by population bases in Plattsburgh 15 16 and Lake George, the majority of the more 17 than 100 communities in between are home to fewer and often far fewer than 3,000 people. 18 19 In these communities, housing projects of 20 12 units or less for people making at least 120 percent AMI make a significant impact on 21 the schools and the economy. 22

23 Unfortunately, as we've heard, the24 Executive Budget fails to invest in proven

1 programs such as the Small Rental Development 2 Initiative, Rural Preservation Program, and 3 the Adirondack Community Housing Trust. We 4 encourage the Legislature to fully support 5 these programs, as they are some of the few 6 that meet the unique needs and scale of our 7 region.

8 In addition to these programs, our 9 community has developed new ideas that can pilot public-private partnerships targeted at 10 11 addressing the housing shortage in the North Country at first. With the state 12 investment of 3.3 million and the partnership 13 14 of foundations and municipalities, we can establish targeted resources to address the 15 16 need for technical assistance and 17 predevelopment support. Using state dollars to leverage private philanthropy can maximize 18 19 and target our investments.

The housing crisis -- you know this -is a workforce crisis. It inhibits our region's economic development. Our residents seek to improve their rural communities by ensuring that teachers, first responders, and

1 municipal workers can live, work, and welcome 2 visitors to the North Country. 3 Thank you for the opportunity. CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 4 New York State Rural Advocates. 5 MR. SEBASTIAN: Thank you so much for 6 the opportunity to testify this afternoon. 7 8 Thank you for persevering through yet another marathon day. And happy Valentine's Day. 9 My name's Blair Sebastian. I'm with 10 New York State Rural Advocates. Rural 11 Advocates submitted written testimony that 12 13 focused on some of the economic and 14 demographic challenges that impact housing in New York's rural communities. We also offer 15

16 our thoughts about some of the ways our 17 not-for-profit community can contribute to 18 addressing these challenges.

19At the top of our list is the20Rural Preservation Program. It's at the top21because RPP provides the platform from which22we deliver a whole array of state and federal23housing and community development programs.24RPP funds pay for the research and the

1 planning. It pays for the community building 2 work that precedes every grant. It pays for the writing of the grants and for the 3 reporting that comes at the end of a program. 4 When New York invests in rural 5 preservation, it's buying powerful and 6 flexible organizational capacity. We've 7 8 often spoken of the ways these locally governed organizations are able to tailor 9 their work programs to the specific needs of 10 11 communities. That same flexibility and resourcefulness positions RPCs to respond to 12 13 unforeseen events. 14 I can't tell you how many RPCs we have worked with who have responded to floods --15 16 big floods, little floods, tornadoes, 17 ice storms, hurricanes, and fires. They are able to bring their general housing and 18 19 community development skills to bear to focus 20 resources and mitigate these crises because 21 you have invested in their basic capacity, 22 and for that we and the communities we serve 23 thank you.

24

In the FY 2024 State Budget you were

able to return RPP borrowing power to the
 level that it had back at the turn of the
 century, back in 2000. The revised funding
 levels allowed Rural Preservation Companies
 to begin catching up and rebuilding old
 capacity.

7 Unfortunately, and quite predictably, 8 the Governor is proposing to return the 9 program to historic funding levels. We're 10 here to ask you to find a way to sustain 11 those levels of funding.

12 We understand the difficult budget decisions you face. We also understand that 13 14 our funding comes through some kind of funky streams. But we are grateful for your past 15 16 support, and we ask for your continued 17 support and to fund RPC at \$7.86 million this 18 year. 19 Thank you. 20 (Overtalk.)

21CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.22MR. SEBASTIAN: It's the end of the23day. We're the last slot.

24 (Overtalk.)

1SENATOR KAVANAGH: Senator May.2SENATOR MAY: Thank you.

And thanks for your testimony. And I want you to know, as chair of the Legislative Commission on Rural Resources, we are fighting to put these programs back in the budget. I'm very concerned about many of the cuts that you highlighted.

9 I also want to say last year I think 10 was the first time I ever heard the term 11 "rural homelessness," and at first I thought 12 it was an oxymoron. But it is a real thing. 13 And you didn't mention that, but is -- would 14 you -- how large a problem would you call 15 that?

MR. SEBASTIAN: It's a large problem, 16 17 and it's invisible. You know, I ran Rural Preservation Companies in Western New York. 18 19 We encountered folks living in barns, living 20 in -- there was a sawmill up the road from our office that knowingly left boards 21 22 flapping on the back because there were a 23 handful of local fellows who were living in the mill at night and wandering the streets 24

1 during the day.

2	And they're a particular challenge
3	because they're often you find folks who
4	are not particularly comfortable living in
5	traditional sort of living environments.
6	SENATOR MAY: Sure.
7	MR. SEBASTIAN: So we offer housing,
8	and it isn't particularly attractive to
9	folks. So some of this is imagining ways to
10	allow particularly rural homeless people who
11	have kind of this independent streak that
12	comes with characters like that to be
13	comfortable.
14	It is a big problem. Families we
15	did a years ago in the Adirondacks we were
16	looking at census data with the folks from
17	one of the RPCs up there, and there were
18	these anomalies that nobody could figure out,
19	why we had more families than housing units.
20	We found mobile homes with partitions through
21	the middle of them
22	SENATOR MAY: Wow.
23	MR. SEBASTIAN: with a front door
24	and a back door.

1 SENATOR MAY: So let me interrupt for 2 the last minute and ask about mobile homes, because we had a conversation earlier about 3 the idea that maybe instead of replacing 4 5 mobile homes, if people owned the land -building actual brick-and-mortar homes would 6 be a better way to proceed because it would 7 8 help people build equity.

9 Do you want to, in 30 seconds, comment 10 on that?

11 MR. BORGES: Well, I think, you know, there are like 1800 mobile home parks in the 12 13 state. And I think there's like over 100,000 14 manufactured housing, making up 10 percent of the housing stock in rural communities. So 15 16 mobile homes and mobile home parks are an 17 essential affordable housing option for owner-occupied residents. 18

19So, yes, the cost of replacing a20mobile home now has risen to the point where21it's \$150,000, \$180,000. Which is why we22have a bill with Senator Mannion in the23Senate, and I think it's Assemblywoman24Barrett, Didi Barrett in the Assembly, to

replace that program and raise the amount
 that could be used to replace the mobile
 homes from \$100,000 to \$200,000.

So yeah, modular homes or mobile homes 4 or stick-built homes -- we need all kinds of 5 housing in rural communities, and we need you 6 to do more. The state needs to do more to 7 8 preserve the housing stock that we do have, 9 because we have very old housing stock and dilapidated housing stock. 10 11 SENATOR MAY: Thank you. 12 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 13 We go to Assemblywoman Rosenthal. 14 ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Thank you. And thanks for sticking around. 15 16 I know a lot of what we talked about 17 sounded like it centered on the city, New York City. But, you know, as a city 18 19 person I have been educated by all of you 20 over the past year and really believe that you need many more resources. 21

22 We can't forget about you, you're all 23 struggling there to maintain your home in New 24 York State and contribute to society. And we

1	can't leave you in the lurch, so we're going
2	to fight for more funding for all of you.
3	And thank you.
4	PANELISTS: Thank you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
6	So thank you to this panel for being
7	here.
8	So just before we conclude the Housing
9	hearing, I just want to thank my cochair,
10	Senator Liz Krueger, for all of the budget
11	hearings; my cochair today for the
12	Housing hearing, Senator Kavanagh; and our
13	ranker on Ways and Means, Assemblyman Ra, and
14	Senator O'Mara.
15	So this concludes the not only the
16	Housing budget hearing, but all of the budget
17	hearings for the '24-'25 Executive Budget,
18	until Senator
19	SENATOR KAVANAGH: And I would be
20	remiss if I did not also thank our Ways and
21	Means Chair Helene Weinstein, who I had the
22	pleasure of serving with for many years in
23	the Assembly, for all of her work over many
24	of these hearings and everybody who

1	testified today. We really appreciate your
2	input.
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
4	(Whereupon, the budget hearing
5	concluded at 5:26 p.m.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	